

Advancing Wildfire Preparedness and Planning in Anchorage

Wildfire Exposure and Egress Study

By Jennifer Schmidt*, University of Alaska Anchorage, Institute for Social and Economic Research and John W. See, Forester & Fire Management Officer for the Coastal Region of the Alaska Division of Forestry (1980-2007) and Wildfire Mitigation Program Manager for the Anchorage Fire Department, Retired (2012-2020).

* Email: jjschmidt@alaska.edu

Published: May 12, 2023

Funding: This project was funded by the State of Alaska with the help of Senator Joshua Revak. Also assisting in the garnering of funds were the Anchorage Fire Department, Anchorage Assembly Member John Weddleton, and Ky Holland of the Rabbit Creek Community Council.

Acknowledgements: Thank you to our funders. I would also like to thank all those who contributed to this document and participated in productive discussions about wildfire and egress issues. This includes Anchorage residents (Sue Rodman, Joe Albrecht, Michelle Torres); Anchorage Municipality Departments (Office of Emergency Management, Fire Department, Police Department, Geographic Data and Information Center, Planning Department, and Parks and Recreation Department); Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson; Alaska Department of Forestry; native village of Eklutna; Eklutna Inc.; and Campbell Tract Bureau of Land Management. Together we have provided a document that can help reduce wildfire vulnerability.



Executive Summary

Advancing Wildfire Preparedness and Planning takes an in-depth look at the dynamic factors that are impacting wildfire occurrence for the most populated geographic area in the 49th State of Alaska, the Municipality of Anchorage (MOA). The length and severity of recent fire seasons pose a threat to those who have chosen a niche in the Wildland Urban Interface (WUI) area to call “home.” This report is a “call to action” in many ways, delving into some of the swings in weather patterns caused by climatic change. These subtle changes are at the root of the evolving wildfire danger and its effect on the 291,247 residents (2020 census) of the MOA.

The objective of this report is to combine the knowledge of local wildfire managers with some unique geographic information system tools to help analyze and bring a better understanding of what residents can do to mitigate wildfire risk. The lengthening fire seasons, increase in fuel (vegetation) loadings, the upswing in wildfire occurrence statistics and diminishing budgets to provide for mitigation measures pose an increasingly higher risk for the potential loss of life, homes, and infrastructure. Hopefully, the recommendations found in the conclusion of this report will offer residents some clarity as to what should be in the cross hairs of their efforts as they navigate the potentially cataclysmic danger of a major wildfire within the WUI of the MOA.

Geographic Information System (GIS) tools and analysis methods used for this report include:

- Network analysis modeling tools in ArcGIS.
- Weather and Fire Danger Indices data to depict fire season trends/history.
- GIS Mapping to reproduce MOA area maps with roads, vegetation, fire risk and structure data layers.
- Data entry on maps to show participants’ neighborhood locations, egress routes and destinations for hypothetical wildfire evacuations.
- Maps depicting major evacuation routes (roads with speed limits of 45 mph or more, highways, expressways, major arterials, or snow plow routes) and hazards along the designated routes.
- A final area map for MOA community council areas that depicts detailed egress route concerns and a list of prioritized mitigation measures.
- An independent GIS tool developed to locate wildfire safety zones using available vegetation (fuels), bare earth elevation data and other data layers.

Keep in mind that this project is focused on the use of some specific tools and analysis methods. It is not a complete review of all the challenges faced within the MOA WUI. This work is also not an evacuation model or a community wildfire protection plan. Those models and plans cover many topics outside the scope of this work. However, the information presented here is useful

for those plans and can be integrated. We are hopeful that this new approach will help residents reach a better understanding for answering recurring questions like:

- What is the wildfire risk level where I live?
- What are some things I should be concerned about for my family's safety if we need to evacuate?
- What can I do to improve the chances of my home surviving a wildfire?
- Is there any help available to assess my property's risk of a wildfire?
- Can working with my neighbors help to identify or improve egress routes in my subdivision?
- Is my wildfire risk greater if I live in an area that is not part of a MOA fire service area?
- Is it important to look for a home with fire hydrants or water sources close by?

One of the motivating factors for this report was based upon the tragic events that occurred during the Camp Fire that burned over Paradise, California on November 8th, 2018, in which at least 85 people died (Alexander *et al.* 2021). Many of these victims were attempting to evacuate the area to safety. A similar scenario could evolve near milepost 3 of Eagle River Road (or dozens of other locations within the MOA) if first responders are prevented from reaching the incident due to road blockage from active fire behavior. The time to focus on this problem is now, before the embers rain down upon the WUI landscapes of the Chugach Mountain Foothills.

Solutions include developing alternate egress routes; preparing homes through Firewise inspections (AWFCG 2009) and treatments; practicing evacuation drills in neighborhoods; improving public notification systems; and working within your community with neighbors and community councils to help each other prepare. Roll up your sleeves and get involved before an emergency happens. Everyone's help is needed!

We would like to thank those who attended the meetings during this project. Without your help the efforts and valuable ideas gathered in this document would not be possible. Thank you for your time and energy. In addition,, thank you, Senator Joshua Revak, for funding this project.

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| Advancing Wildfire Preparedness and Planning in Anchorage..... | 1 |
| Executive Summary | 2 |
| Background..... | 2 |
| Anchorage Fire Department’s Wildfire Mitigation Program..... | 3 |
| MOA Community Wildfire Protection Plan | 4 |
| Wildfire Egress Project List & Relationship to Existing Projects and Goals..... | 5 |
| Wildfire Egress Fuel Treatment Prescriptions..... | 5 |
| MOA Fuels (Vegetation) and Demographics | 6 |
| MOA Wildfire History..... | 7 |
| Preparing for Weather Extremes..... | 8 |
| Methods and Results..... | 11 |
| Methods Overview..... | 11 |
| Participatory Mapping | 13 |
| Wildfire Egress Hazard Maps..... | 14 |
| Wildfire Egress Modeling..... | 16 |
| Wildfire Egress Network Analysis Model..... | 16 |
| Wildfire Egress Map Input from AFD Personnel..... | 20 |
| Wildfire Egress & Safety Zone Tool | 21 |
| Wildfire Egress Proposed Solutions and Budget..... | 22 |
| Wildfire Egress Project Discussion and Recommendations..... | 22 |
| Advancing Wildfire Preparedness and Planning Takeaways | 25 |
| Answers to Questions in the Executive Summary..... | 27 |
| Future wildfire and egress modeling..... | 28 |
| Final Comments | 30 |

Background

The Anchorage Hazard Mitigation Plan and the Anchorage Climate Action Plan 2019 identify wildfire as one of the main hazards facing the Municipality of Anchorage (MOA). Anchorage abuts the Chugach State Park and National Forest (Figure 1) creating a large wildland urban interface (WUI).

The average number of wildfires in Southcentral Alaska has increased over the past 50 years (Figure 2). Additionally, wildfire hazard and risk will increase as Anchorage continues to grow, people build out into forested areas and temperatures increase creating drier forests (Cheyette et al. 2008; Flannigan et al. 2009). Thus, there is the need to increase preparedness and resilience towards wildfire in the Anchorage area.

One effort to increase resilience towards wildfire has been the mapping of wildfire hazards through a project called the [Arctic Urban Risks and Adaptations \(AURA\)](#) led by Dr. Schmidt. In 2021, [wildfire hazard maps](#) of the MOA were created (Figure 3), which have provided a new opportunity to better understand, spatially, where hazardous fuels have accumulated. This tool can be used to identify areas with hazardous fuels buildup and opportunities for uniform fuels reduction actions.




Figure 1. Anchorage with purple representing areas occupied by residents.




Figure 2. Ten year rolling average number of wildfires in Southcentral, Alaska. Southcentral includes the Kenai Peninsula Borough, Municipality of Anchorage, and Matanuska-Susitna Borough. Source: <https://fire.ak.blm.gov/predsves/maps.php>




Figure 3. Wildfire Exposure (i.e., hazard) in 2014, based upon NASA ABoVE vegetation data (30m) for the MOA. https://daac.ornl.gov/ABOVE/guides/Annual_Landcover_ABoVE.html

Anchorage Fire Department's Wildfire Mitigation Program

The Anchorage Fire Department's Wildfire Mitigation Program (AFD-WMP) has been the focal point for wildfire mitigation efforts funded by appropriations and grants (approximately \$17 million total) over the past two decades (AFD 2010). AFD-WMP program goals included:

- Providing public education on how to "Firewise" homes through community workshops and Firewise Alaska booklets.
- Offering cost share funding upon request to help offset the high cost of fuels reduction to homeowners as well as no-cost, no obligation Firewise home inspections.
- Providing woodlots for the cost-effective disposal of woody debris.
- Using wildfire science to study the effects of the rapidly increasing spruce bark beetle infestation and changes over time in the fuels (vegetation) within the MOA.

- Maintaining a GIS database which spatially depicts wildfire mitigation assessments and treatments as well as the status of the prescribed mitigation actions.

MOA Community Wildfire Protection Plan

A portion of the mitigation grant funding was dependent on the MOA development (and periodic updating) of a [Community Wildfire Protection Plan](#) (CWPP)(Goodrich *et al.* 2008). It was completed and adopted in January of 2008. The CWPP identified goals for wildfire mitigation which included community level fuels treatment (reduction) projects and shaded fuel breaks in addition to improving fire protection capabilities and enhanced public safety.

CWPP fuels reduction objectives included:

- Implementing Firewise practices by creating survivable space around structures.
- Developing shaded fuel breaks in strategic locations that benefited the entire WUI project area(s).
- Providing adequate safety zones in high use areas.
- Completing fuels treatments along right-of-ways (ROWs), including evacuation routes to enhance survivability.
- Providing for safe ingress/egress during fires on public and private access roads, especially in areas with complex topography, to improve visibility during wildland fires.

The initial CWPP addressed the need for ongoing education and outreach activities by distributing "[Alaskanized literature on Firewise principles](#)" (AFD 20210); conducting homeowner fire assessments; distributing media releases for radio, television and newspapers; and holding annual workshops and events to educate homeowners about wildland fire mitigation techniques. These activities helped the MOA meet the primary goals of a cohesive strategy. This strategy included restoring and maintaining landscapes, developing fire adaptive communities, and enhancing wildfire response.

The current CWPP plan has recently been designated for review and updating because it is out-of-date. As such, it no longer meets the stringent requirements for requesting/receiving funding for wildfire mitigation projects. Identifying projects related to the current community egress and mitigation concerns, which is the focus of this report, in addition to developing the CWPP update process is a win-win for assisting in kick-starting the CWPP updating effort.

Previous MOA wildfire mitigation projects met CWPP objectives (and WUI grant matching requirements) through the landowner cost share treatments, ROW fuels treatments and fuels reduction/shaded fuel break construction around at-risk subdivisions. Amendments to these projects will continue to enhance their overall effectiveness. In fact, contributing to the

sustainability of a larger area plan by enhancing the original projects through interconnection and reinforcement is essential to ongoing wildfire mitigation efforts.

Wildfire Egress Project List & Relationship to Existing Projects and Goals

A public participatory mapping process identified egress issues (Appendix A, Egress Issues).

The resulting map along with potential solutions can be found online:

<https://bit.ly/moawildfireppgis>. New projects have the potential to enhance previously completed MOA WUI efforts. These efforts include an Eagle River Corridor shaded fuel break next to subdivisions; hand-thinned and pruned hazardous fuels on MOA park lands; shaded fuel breaks, constructed on Chugach State Park lands adjacent to MOA lands; and fuel treatments on both MOA Parks and Recreation lands and adjacent residential areas, which have connected subdivision green belts. Landowners in at-risk MOA communities have created over 800 acres of survivable space (around 2000 plus homes) with outreach to several additional MOA communities in the form of annual Firewise workshops. These past projects have been mapped on the MOA GIS site.

The list of proposed (additional) treatments will occur on private and public forested land, some adjacent to past treatments. These treatments will increase the amount of survivable space in the WUI as well as the protection levels for residents. To turn the potential solutions into projects, the egress ideas presented here need a wider vetting by the public and experts (i.e., engineers, transportation modelers, wildfire practitioners, etc.). All projects (when completed) will be added to the GIS database for MOA lands and the evacuation planning maps. The updated maps will be provided to cooperators who routinely augment the Anchorage Fire Department (AFD) Wildfire Suppression Response Force.

Treatments may include pre-commercial thinning and a limited amount of prescribed fire (hand pile burning) to create fuel breaks. Fuel breaks reduce aerial fuels, ground fuels, and stand densities to promote historic vegetation characteristics. The Wildfire Egress Project is located on a moderate to highly hazardous priority landscape, identified in the Alaska Forest Action Plan as forestlands in a “moderate condition departure.” Private forest lands in this area are at an elevated risk of severe fire and need fuel treatments.

Wildfire Egress Fuel Treatment Prescriptions

Fuels treatment prescriptions are intended to aid in developing more resilient fire adapted landscapes that favor low intensity fires and simulate the natural fire interval in the boreal forest. Prescriptions are site specific and were outside of the goals of this project. Fuel characteristics

vary significantly throughout the boreal WUI. Mature white spruce sites that have experienced spruce bark beetle damage need shorter maintenance intervals. Invasive brush, invasive tree species, deadfall and rapidly accumulating ladder fuels may need to be addressed as a priority. Site specific prescriptions will be developed for each approved fuels mitigation project.

In order to maintain the effectiveness of fuel treatments, AFD requires private landowners to perform annual maintenance for 5 years (AFD 2023). In return, AFD agrees to provide ongoing assistance in the form of additional assessments, particularly after significant events (i.e., insect infestations, wind storms, ice storms and other natural events). Landowners are provided an opportunity to reassess areas based upon the dynamic factors impacting their lands. Slash disposal sites (woodlots) need to re-open, following events that create a substantial increase in fuel loadings. Wind thrown debris could adversely impact previously completed fuels treatments on projects in adjacent areas.

MOA Fuels (Vegetation) and Demographics

Fuel types (vegetation) within the MOA area consist of overstocked (dense) conifer forests of white and black spruce with areas of varying mortality, progressing into highly hazardous fuel types (condition classes of II and III)(Alaska Fuel Model Guide Task Group 2018). Some areas are a mixed spruce-hardwood type with a volatile understory of blue joint reed grass (*Calamagrostis canadensis*). The human population within the targeted WUI area totals close to 84,800 people with population densities around 130 residents per square mile. Nearly all homes are full time residences within the WUI. The median home value is \$294,900 with a prominent section of the area averaging \$592,667 (Personal Communication with Arlene Weber Sword, 2018, August). We must note there is no agreed upon WUI boundary for the MOA which is desperately needed. There are methods for WUI identification for the lower 48 (Radeloff *et al.* 2018), but they need to be evaluated for use in Alaska.




Figure 4. Total population (left) and assessed building values (right) by US 2020 census block group.

Prospective property owners within the MOA WUI are specifically looking for secluded properties tucked into the forest environment of the Chugach Mountain Foothills. The unsuspecting home buyers are unaware that steep grades, "one way in" limited access roads and oftentimes undependable water sources (wells) are a significant challenge to first responders. Most residents lack survivable space around their homes and ingress/egress routes. Many are unaware of the benefits of fuels reduction and the inevitability of wildfire affecting their homes. John See, former lead of the wildfire mitigation program, noted that a common response observed from homeowners who asked about participating in wildfire mitigation efforts is "Isn't that what fire insurance is for?" This response is reversed after homeowners complete the participative home inspection. They often respond with "I would have done the wrong things when it comes to wildfire mitigation and home preparation." It's a learning process to prepare for wildfires!

MOA Wildfire History

Anecdotally, the area has a history of large fires, including the 2016 McHugh Fire, which threatened hundreds of residents (DOF and BLM 2023). At a cost of over \$6 million to suppress, the wildfire, on the south end of the Anchorage bowl, was a wakeup call for many residents (Figure 5). If the wind direction had shifted, and the fire had spread over the Potter Creek Ridge, many lives and structures could have been jeopardized. During the weeklong wildfire, many residents in the nearby Potter Creek subdivision made haphazard efforts to complete Firewise treatments on homes and outbuildings. Many of the last-minute treatments fell far short of the Firewise standards and did not measure up to well planned, neighborhood addressed mitigation projects.




Figure 5. McHugh Fire Progression Map (July 23, 2016).

Nearly a dozen significant wildfires have occurred in the MOA during the past two decades. They include:

- The 10-acre Piper Fire in 2008.
- A 1300-acre fire near Eklutna in 2010.
- A 25-acre fire on the south fork of Eagle River in May 2016.
- The 2-acre Bicentennial Park Fire in May 2016.
- The 25-acre Martin Luther King Fire (south of the MOA permit building, west of Elmore Road) during July 2019.
- In August of 2019 (the same month and year of the McKinley Fire that occurred near Willow, Alaska), a fire started just east of Service High School that was quickly extinguished by AFD. A homeless person was indicted in December 2019 for starting this blaze and an additional fire that occurred two weeks later in Ruth Arcand Park.
- The 13-acre Elmore Fire in June 2022.
- Numerous additional fires have occurred over the years that have been aggressively and successfully attacked.

Preparing for Weather Extremes

A challenge that must be considered when assessing the need for fuels treatments in Southcentral Alaska is resilience to weather extremes. The highest number of acres burned happens on extreme wildfire weather days. Fire managers are increasingly interested in guiding homeowners towards preparing for the extremes that have emerged during the past two decades.

Since 2004, when the highest number of acres burned statewide, additional periods of extreme fire danger have challenged fire managers and residents. The following graph depicts the Buildup Index (BUI) from the Canadian Forest Fire Danger Rating System for Southcentral Alaska from 1994 to 2022 (Figure 6). It is noteworthy that two of the most extreme BUI indices occurred in 2019 and 2022. It was atypical to see the BUI levels climb after a season ending event (weather) occurred in mid-July and to observe the BUI recover to peak fire season levels in mid to late August. This unseasonably warm and dry period, which occurred after the fire season ended, had never been observed in prior recorded weather history for the Anchorage area.

Typically, fire season ending events in Alaska are a dependable marker for all major fire activity(WFDSS 2009). A season ending event is defined as multiple days of ample precipitation that occur over an extended period and provide a significant drop in fire danger indices. The fact that a major wildfire, the McKinley Fire, burned in the second half of August, following a season ending event was historic. The Alaska Division of Forestry typically allows vital firefighting resources (e.g., air tankers and wildfire fighting crews) to head south after a season ending event. The return to extreme fire danger conditions following a season ending event is a game changer for fire managers!

In addition, to the more extreme wildfire weather, the fire season is getting longer. See Figure 7. Now, the chance of a wildfire happening earlier in the spring and later in the fall requires more vigilance. Fire season in Alaska is usually in full swing by April 1st, marking the date when burning permits are required each spring(AKDOF 2022).





Figure 6. Canadian Fire Danger Rating System Buildup Index 1994-2022.

Alaska's lengthening fire season



Credit: Grabinski, Z. (2020). Longer fire season. *Alaska's Changing Wildfire Environment*, Grabinski, Z. and H. R. McFarland, www.frames.gov/atasc/acwe.
 Data source: Alaska Interagency Coordination Center



Figure 7. Alaska wildfire season length from 1980 through 2022. Source: (Grabinski and McFarland 2020) <https://uaf-iarc.org/alaskas-changing-wildfire-environment/>

Methods and Results

Methods Overview

A total of 54 individuals from 9 community councils (Abbott Loop, Basher, Bear Valley, Eagle River Valley, East Fork, Eklutna Valley, Glen Alps, Hillside, and Rabbit Creek) (MOA 2023) participated in the Wildfire Egress Project work sessions (Figure 8). In addition, participating organizations included MOA, State, and Federal agencies as well as Eklutna, Inc. Work sessions were planned to maximize attendance. They were initially planned for Tuesday evenings, but later changed to Monday evenings, due to conflicts with other meetings, especially Tuesday evening MOA Assembly meetings. Work sessions were “hybridized” with Zoom meetings and concurrent in-person sessions. More information about the work sessions can be found in Appendix B.

Participants were surveyed to determine their interest in participating in the CWPP update, slated to begin in mid-2023. Participant knowledge of the process, the proposed projects for their community council area and egress routes will allow the CWPP update to begin in a much faster, more productive manner, than if starting with community members unfamiliar with the issues.

Work Session 1: September 13, 2022 6-8 p.m.

Work Session 2: September 27, 2022 6-8 p.m.

Work Session 3: October 11, 2022 6-8 p.m.

Work Session 4: November 7, 2022 6-8 p.m.

Work Session 5: December 19, 2022 6-8 p.m.

Work Session 6: January 23, 2022 6-8 p.m.

All work sessions were held at the Institute for Social and Economic Research.

Figure 8. Wildfire Egress Mapping Project Work Session Schedule for the MOA.

Participants also were surveyed and asked if they would serve as Firewise “delegates” for their respective council area (NFPA 2018). Delegates will work with AFD to track annual progress of Firewise home inspections, insect and disease outbreaks, community Firewise projects and by communicating the need to provide maintenance for previously completed wildfire mitigation treatments. Delegates would also form the backbone of the MOA CWPP update team and help coordinate public meetings in their respective community council areas. They would have a good understanding of the proposed Wildfire

Egress Project list and the importance of each of the projects.

A participatory mapping approach was utilized, working collaboratively with residents at community council work sessions. At the first meeting on September 13, 2022, we introduced the project and showed maps/posters of the current roads and those identified as dead ends which have one way in and out (i.e., dead ends). In addition, participants were asked to think about where they would go if they were forced to evacuate from their community council for the evening. This exercise highlighted the importance of developing advanced plans for family,

pets, meeting up locations and evacuation routes. The groundwork was laid to identify major egress routes (i.e., used by multiple neighborhoods) and evacuation destinations. The participants also became familiar with the vernacular of the Wildfire Mitigation Program and Firewise fundamentals.

At the next two community council work sessions on September 27th and October 11th, we




Figure 9. Map of roads with dead ends (purple) and major evacuation routes (black) in the Abbott Loop Community Council. More maps in Appendix D.

presented updated maps for participants to identify neighborhoods or areas with multiple structures that have access restricted to one way in and out (Figure 9). Using suggestions from the participants, we identified major evacuation routes. These were defined as roads with speed limits of 45 mph or more, highways, expressways, major arterials, or snow plow routes. We also used the updated roads and parcel layers to visually inspect the areas for dead-end roads. We worked back through road intersections to identify bottleneck points.

The final product is a map for each council area that identifies dead-end roads that are egress concerns.

Updated maps/posters were created to show the results and validated at a community council work session on November 7th. The final GIS layers that depicted major egress routes

and residential areas with limited access were coupled with exposure maps to identify areas for fuels reduction work. The group suggested other factors for inclusion in the identification (i.e., process, slope, distance to fire stations, etc.). The additional parameters were utilized to examine what effect they had on egress/fire behavior impact.

Participatory Mapping

Evacuating from an event, such as a wildfire, is very situational and hard to predict. However, this does not mean residents and communities should not strategize and plan for the event. The MOA Office of Emergency Management (OEM) has various potential emergency shelters identified that can be activated depending on the situation. Residents can follow OEM’s guidance. One big step towards preparedness is identifying multiple ways out from a person’s residence and potential overnight locations. To encourage planning, we proposed the following scenario: a wildfire is approaching, and you have been asked to leave your community council boundary and spend a night away from home. Where would you go and how would you get there? Figure 10 shows the results from the mapping exercise and the utility of providing residents the opportunity to map out their plans.




Figure 10. Mapping exercise where residents were asked to identify evacuation routes leading out of their community council to a place where they could spend one night away from home.

After the maps of dead ends were updated, residents had the opportunity to review them again and view them overlaid with wildfire exposure maps. Using this information residents identified areas of egress concerns with suggested improvements, vulnerable areas, and potential evacuation zone options (Figure 11). Evacuation zones are difficult because they are dependent on the situation. Thus, estimating the number of people needing to evacuate is

challenging, but the goal was to start thinking about this issue in the hopes of working towards a more informed plan. This plan could identify potential evacuation populations, potential evacuation locations, and the feasibility of safely sheltering in place or finding a safety zone to ride out a passing wildfire. One lesson learned from this project highlights the need to define

these terms more clearly (i.e., shelter in place, evacuation zone, safety zone) in order to not provide false confidence and preclude residents from evacuating when they should evacuate.

An exercise used during the 4th meeting asked participants to take a “Should I Stay or Should I Go” quiz (Appendix C, <https://www.rsf-fire.org/shelter-in-place/>). This was a very useful exercise because, initially, most people raised their hands to indicate they would shelter in place. However, as we worked our way through the questions several hands started to go down. At the end, we discussed how sheltering in place takes a lot of planning, not just for the homeowner, but also includes consideration of other household members and pets.

Wildfire Egress Hazard Maps




Figure 11. Areas identified by residents as having egress issues and potential solutions along with areas vulnerable wildfire and entrapment and evacuation zones. This map along with potential solutions can be found online:

<https://bit.ly/moawildfireppgis>

Participants created and analyzed egress routes on wildfire hazard maps for the participating community councils to identify fire hazard areas and identify which roads might be high priority for action due to fire load or restricted/isolated homes.

Participants worked with one (or more) of the previously mentioned community councils to conduct egress modeling to determine which egress routes residents will use and potential impacts on evacuation from road closures. Agency and indigenous group representatives floated between many of the groups to offer ideas and perspectives.

Wildfire hazard maps were created and customized for the participating community councils, similar to the Rabbit Creek area map in Figure 12. See Appendix D for more wildfire hazard maps. Prior to this project several community councils in Anchorage requested presentations showcasing these maps at their monthly meetings. Presenting the maps was important because feedback was needed and acceptance of the maps was one of the first steps to help identify and reduce wildfire hazards.

A PDF map for each community council area was developed and is included in the appendices to be used as a poster (if desired) for community council information purposes.

The next step was to use the hazard maps to identify areas to target for fuels reduction. This was




Figure 12. Wildfire exposure (i.e., hazard) in 2014 for the Rabbit Creek Community Council within the MOA.

accomplished by identifying areas where the exposure value was over 80% (near egress routes and near residents where egress is limited by one way out) as shown for the Abbott Loop Community Council Area (Figure 13). Exposure maps were previously created by the AURA project (Figure 3). Building and road GIS layers were also gathered by the AURA project but needed to be validated using aerial imagery and feedback from community councils.

The final product is a map for each participating council that highlights areas to target for fuels reduction actions (Appendix E).




Figure 13. Areas with extreme wildfire exposure values (80 or more) and roads with access issues in the Abbott Loop Community council.

Wildfire Egress Modeling

Networks Analysis modeling is a tool in ArcGIS that is used to map and model evacuation routes as well as connect residents with identified egress routes and evacuation shelters. This type of modeling requires accurate, current, complete street datasets that are properly designed and prepared. This GIS roads layer is crucial for a number of reasons. It identifies evacuation routes in addition to understanding who and how many people will use evacuation routes. It also identifies how future roads might affect and improve evacuation during an emergency. These layers need to include information on speed limits, directionality, surface type, elevation, and width. Given the complexity of building a road network database and time constraints, Dr. Schmidt rented a road network database from

American Digital Cartography, Inc. (ADCI) which cost \$7,000 for one year. However, Dr. Schmidt later learned that the MOA Geographic Data & Information Center (GDIC) updated a road network database for the MOA in November 2022. Upon request they also provided an intersection database which was useful for looking at intensity use during an evacuation.

Wildfire Egress Network Analysis Model

Parcels

The closest features option within the network analysis tool was used to assign parcels to the nearest school (based on drive time). All MOA schools were used, but we acknowledge that some are more feasible than others, and schools might not be the best choice. To understand

why, one can drive by some of the local schools during the time when parents pick up their children to experience extreme traffic congestion. However, we wanted to start quantifying how many people might be trying to use the roadways and intersections. To perform this analysis, we used the recent MOA parcel assessment database which illustrates parcels as a polygon (i.e., circle). See Figure 14.

<https://muniorg.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=493d6c82574c43d981bd2aa384b3d60>) However, to run the analysis the parcels were turned into points in the center of the parcel. For future work it is recommended that these points be moved to the exit location on a parcel, so that when evacuation routes are drawn the route follows the driveway a resident would




Figure 14. Parcels assigned to the nearest MOA school based on drive time. Numbers in parenthesis indicate the number of parcels assigned to a school.


take rather than an exit out the back or side of the parcel.

A PDF map of parcels assigned to schools for evacuation was created for each participating community council to be used as a poster (if desired) for information purposes (Appendix F).

Intersections

Roads are not the only transportation feature that can become congested during evacuations. Intersections and traffic control can be problematic. The network analysis tool provides information on routes that residents within each parcel would take based on the fastest driving time. Using this, we calculated the total number of parcels and evaluated intersections to derive intensity use. This intensity use can provide insights for the strategic placement of police officers and traffic controllers (Figure 15).

A PDF map of intersection intensity was created for each participating community council to be used as a poster (if desired) for information purposes (Appendix G).



Roads and Wildfire

Using the major evacuation roads identified earlier, we overlaid this data with our wildfire exposure and hazardous fuels maps. The goal was to identify evacuation routes that go through areas of high wildfire exposure or flammable vegetation and prioritize them for treatment (i.e., vegetation thinning or removal). See Figure 16. Our ranking system was four tiered. Tiers included areas in most need of treatment as 1) very high hazardous vegetation and extreme wildfire exposure (80 or above); 2) very high hazardous vegetation; 3) high hazardous vegetation and extreme wildfire exposure (60-79); or 4) high hazardous vegetation. Roads were buffered by 30 m and then regions within the four criteria mentioned above were identified.

A PDF map of roads and areas of treatment was created for each participating community council to be

used as a poster (if desired) for information purposes (Appendix H).

There was a request to add an 8% or greater slope criteria when identifying roads in need of vegetation work, which we also did (Appendix I). The 8% mask helps to remove treatment areas from within the bowl of Anchorage, but on the outer areas if funds are available, we recommend using the results without the slope mask.

Intersection and Wildfire

Similar to the roads and wildfire analysis, we identified high use intersections that occurred in areas with either high or extreme wildfire exposure (60% or more) or flammable vegetation (high or very high flammability). These maps can be used to prioritize vegetation clearing or thinning around potential high use intersections.

A PDF map of intersections and areas of treatment was created for each participating community council to be used as a poster (if desired) for information purposes (Appendix J).




Figure 16. Prioritization of fuel thinning or removal to reduce flammable vegetation or high wildfire hazards (exposure) along major evacuation routes in Eklutna Valley.

Wildfire Egress Map Input from AFD Personnel

An important step in the data/comment collection was to reach out to the AFD WUI Fire Station Captains for concurrence and comments on the Wildfire Egress routes and data collected during the project. Anchorage Fire Marshal Brian Dean directed the AFD station captains, at the 5 most impacted wildfire stations, to provide input on the data and comments collected during the wildfire egress study. The list was forwarded to John See and meetings were scheduled to collect AFD's input. The email sent by Brian Dean is shown below.

November 3, 2021

Gentlemen,

Earlier this fall, UAA/ISER researcher Dr. Jen Schmidt embarked on a community wildfire hazard and evacuation study. Along with gathering community input, another important input is information AFD personnel tasked with responding to incidents in certain areas of town may have regarding difficult road access or problematic dead ends or questionable connections. This information is similar to the information Captain Albrecht compiled for the Station 10 response area. Ultimately the goal is to identify and map these areas.

Retired AFD Forester John See has been contracted by UAA to assist in gathering information. He will be reaching out to you for any insights you or your crews may have. We have some time so please give some thought to problem areas you or your crews have encountered or contingencies you may have formulated regarding viable ingress and egress routes to difficult areas. Thanks in advance for any assistance you can offer.

| Senior Captain | Station/Shift | Email | Phone | Area |
|-----------------------|----------------------|--|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| Casey Johnson | 8A | Casey.johnson@anchorageak.gov | (907)267-5008 | O'Malley/ Mid Hillside / Prospect |
| Sean Fredenhagen | 9B | Sean.Fredenhagen@anchorageak.gov | (907)267-5009 | DeArmoun / Potter Valley / Seward Hwy |
| Nick Davis | 10C | Nichalas.Davis@anchorageak.gov | (907)267-5010 | Bear Valley / Rabbit Creek |
| Ryan Staten | 11A | Ryan.Staten@anchorageak.gov | (907)267-5011 | Eagle River |
| Eric Lawson | 14C | Eric.Lawson@anchorageak.gov | (907)267-5014 | Stuckagain Heights |

Dr. Schmidt and John See then reached out to AFD captains to schedule meetings (Appendix K). Meetings were held with each captain to solicit input on the wildfire egress maps and projects. The input provided was used to append (and verify) input from the community council

participants. Generally, there was good correlation and agreement between AFD input and the council residents in the AFD station areas. The input from fire stations was included in the list of recommended actions and on the maps that identified egress issues and vulnerabilities.

Wildfire Egress & Safety Zone Tool

Personal communications with Jack Cohen from the USFS Fire Lab in Missoula, Montana informed a crucial work session on December 19. Cohen discussed the often-overlooked value of safety zones associated with recent major wildfires. The significant loss of lives that occurred during egress efforts for the Camp Fire, near Paradise, California, was a specific example mentioned where the loss of lives might have been reduced if readily available areas that met safety zone criteria had been recognized by first responders and the residents. A sports field (football stadium) was discussed as an example.

Safety zones are critical tools that can be used by wildland firefighters to avoid injury or fatality when engaging a fire. Effective safety zones provide a safe separation distance from surrounding flames, ensuring that a fire's heat cannot cause burn injury to firefighters within the zone. Evaluating safe separation distance on the ground can be challenging, and underestimating safe separation distance can be fatal [refer to the following video]

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FOxPyvBSewA>.

“In researching this alternative, a recently introduced online tool for mapping safe separation distance was discovered. The tool utilizes vegetation height, terrain slope, wind speed, and burning conditions to estimate the required safe separation distance. The Safe Separation Distance Evaluator (SSDE) <https://firesafetygis.users.earthengine.app/view/ssde-en> allows users to draw a potential safety zone polygon and estimate the safe separation distance as well as the extent to which the safety zone polygon may be suitable, given the local landscape, weather, and fire conditions (Campbell and Dennison 2022; Campbell *et al.* 2022).

The tool depends on vegetation height for assessing safe separation distance. Campbell and Dennison describe an analysis that compares LANDFIRE Existing Vegetation Height and a recent Global Ecosystem Dynamics Investigation (GEDI) and Landsat 8 Operational Land Imager (OLI) satellite image-driven forest height dataset to vegetation heights derived from airborne lidar data in three areas of the Western US. Their analysis revealed that both LANDFIRE and GEDI/Landsat tended to underestimate vegetation heights, which translates into an underestimation of safe separation distance”.

To rectify this underestimation, we selected the bias-correction procedure in the tool that adjusted vegetation heights to more closely resemble those of the lidar data. SSDE is a tool that can provide valuable safety information to wildland fire personnel who are charged with the critical responsibility of protecting the public and landscapes from increasingly intense and frequent fires in a changing climate. However, as it is based on data that possess inherent uncertainty, it is essential that all safety zone polygons evaluated using SSDE are validated on the ground prior to use.

Dr. Jen Schmidt discussed the SSDE tool with Dr. Campbell and a test application revealed some missing components of the digital elevation model (DEM) for Alaska. After some adaptation, the model was updated for use in Alaska. Test runs show some promise in applying the tool in Alaska. However, its complexity indicates that additional evaluation is needed before adoption. John See spent 25 years with the Alaska T1 Incident Management Team, during which time he was assigned to dozens of major wildfires throughout Alaska and the lower 48 states as the team's primary Fire Behavior Analyst (FBAN). One of his critical duties was to watch out for entrapment situations and developing fire behavior conditions that could compromise crew (and team member's) safety while performing fire suppression duties. Fire behavior is very complex, and miscalculations can lead to the loss of lives. Experts are specifically trained to monitor fire behavior or "knowing what your fire is doing at all times." (NWCG 2022) [Standard Fire Orders](#) is critical information for selecting safety zones. This assessment should be made by seasoned wildland firefighters or preferably by an FBAN. Preplanning to establish escape routes and potential safety zones is a great idea for a neighborhood, providing that limitations are established, and residents understand the criteria for which the designated safety zones can provide survivable space.

For these reasons, the tool developed by Dr. Campbell should be used by fire managers, FBANs and seasoned firefighters in a pre-planning mode to help residents inventory potential safety zones. The SSDE tool shows great promise to eventually offer an on-the-fly safety zone locating tool that could reliably help fire crews (and residents) escaping from a wildfire. It offers a life-saving solution. We hope that a quick reliable tool will emerge very soon for use by all first responders.

Wildfire Egress Proposed Solutions and Budget

See Appendix A for egress proposed solutions and Appendix L for the budget.

Wildfire Egress Project Discussion and Recommendations

Recommendations for actions to reduce wildfire vulnerability and improve egress

[Agencies responsible in brackets]

Actions

1. Suggest AK Division of Forestry [DOF], Urban and Community Forestry Council work with the tree service industry to remove spruce beetle killed trees and conduct associated road maintenance [AK DOF, Tree service, AFD].(AKDOF 2023)

2. A wildland urban interface (WUI) needs to be spatially identified for the Municipality of Anchorage. This is important because of resource availability for firefighting efforts [AFD, AK DOF].
3. Identify a person within each community council to work with AFD to [CC, AFD]
 - Monitor actions taken on CWPP projects.
 - Report on Firewise activities within each area, such as “chipper days”, wood lot passes issued, community Firewise events, etc. Include the amount of funds donated for Firewise projects.(NFPA 2018)
 - Coordinate this information with the Alaska DOF and AFD.
4. Fund a wildfire mitigation position at AFD in addition to the public information officer [PIO] to oversee and accomplish the following activities and where appropriate, in collaboration with the PIO [*Anchorage Assembly*]
 - Survey all roads for access and recommend improvements to CBERRSA and the community councils to use for project requests to the MOA Capital Improvement Projects.
 - Work to identify areas that need safety zones.
 - Ground truth and review roads/vegetation.
 - Manage woodlot projects.
 - Garner funding for mitigation efforts.
 - Conduct Firewise inspections.
 - Work with PIO to take people out to view problematic areas.
 - Advertise Firewise and chipping programs.
 - Get the word out about what it means to live inside and outside of a fire protection area.
 - Track Firewise inspections and show progress by community council, make recommendations to community councils for making progress with a goal of reaching 50% of homes.
 - Get people signed up for Rave Pulsepoint, the MOA’s new emergency notification system.
 - Figure out a communication strategy for people outside of cell service range.
5. Work with wildfire experts and residents to identify safety zones and re-run egress modeling. Eventually this should be linked to an app to help people navigate out especially in smoky conditions. [AFD, local fire departments, residents]
6. Assess the feasibility of adopting the International Wildland Urban Interface Code [IWUIC]. For things that are not feasible, identify alternatives. [AK DOF, MOA, CCs, *Anchorage Assembly and Homeowners*]
7. Determine if there are adequate water resources for areas where fire trucks have limited access and, if not, viable solutions (e.g., dry hydrants, water storage tanks, etc.). [AFD, OEM]
8. Set up rotating annual fire drills, so residents know what to do in case of an actual emergency. This would include using pilot cars to get people safely through a fire zone. [AFD, APD, OEM, JBER, CCs]

9. Work with the property tax assessor's office to provide a discount on taxes if a home is determined to be Firewise. [*Anchorage Assembly, MOA assessment, AFD*]
10. Revisit traffic mapping/egress mapping once evacuation or safety zones have been vetted by experts to identify choke points and capacity issues. [*UAA, AFD, OEM, MOA Traffic Engineering, MOA GIS*]
11. Expand woodlots and increase use by reducing cost and expanding access (3-5 around town). [*AFD*]
12. Hold annual pruning events for residents and road sections with a chipper pick up program.
13. Fund signage to advertise egress and boundaries of fire service protection areas. Increase awareness about fire service area boundaries for those looking to buy property and homes. [*MOA, AFD*]
14. Strengthen the relationship between AFD, JBER, Chugiak, and Girdwood fire protection areas. [*AFD, JBER, local fire departments*]
15. Establish CERTs at the community council level. [*Michelle Torres, Outreach Branch Chief, State CERT Administrator, Division of Homeland Security & Emergency Management, Community Councils*]
16. More cross training of AFD with Alaska DOF, JBER, and local fire agencies (especially with DOF) to learn more about fighting wildland fires, communication with multiple agencies, air attack personnel, etc. [*AFD, AK DOF, JBER, local fire departments*]
17. Increased funding for more AFD personnel. [*MOA office of management and budget, Anchorage Assembly, residents of Anchorage*]
18. Increased funding for equipment needed by AFD to fight wildland fires. [*MOA office of management and budget, Anchorage Assembly, residents of Anchorage*]
19. Look into the use of fire easements that would allow fire roads to be built to connect roads with limited access (could have a gate to address issues about increased crime and traffic from added road connections). [*MOA Planning, MOA Private Development & AFD*]
20. Encourage the use of water tanks on people's property with fire hose connections, so the fire department can easily connect. [*CCs, AFD*]
21. On the ground assessment of easements for access since some are blocked. [*CCs, AFD, MOA ROW*].
22. Establish stronger wildfire easement/land management regulations that can be used to establish egress/access areas. [*CCs, MOA Planning, MOA Private Development*]
23. Known areas with no cell reception are out towards the end of Eklutna Valley Road and Eagle River Road. Windrunners, a service provider to Vertical Bridge, presented at the Eagle River Valley Community Council in November of 2021, regarding the expansion of a cellular network at the P&M Garden Center location on Eagle River Road. Both this location (Eagle River) and Eklutna need additional cell phone towers for the new RAVE system, so that the reporting of new wildfires and communications for first responders will be enabled. [*Cell service providers, OEM, AFD*].
24. Hazard identification work (identified within this document) should reference the 4/2022 FEMA approved, MOA All-Hazard Mitigation Plan, in more detail. This is part of an

ongoing effort (commencing 20 years ago) to “crosswalk” all-hazard emergency management and wildfire planning efforts. The All Hazard Mitigation Plan is authorized by the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000, amending the Stafford Act, specifically Title 44 CFR Part 201, entitled Mitigation Planning. This is one effort that should not be overlooked in local studies. The plan includes projects and priorities for wildfire mitigation as well. [AFD, OEM]

Analysis

1. Census and population modeling “need work” to be useful to determine the number of people/parcels evacuating from areas.
2. Move parcel centroids closer to the actual egress (i.e., driveways) used by residents to improve accuracy of the evacuation modeling.
3. Compare egress modeling from the purchased road network database to the MOAs database.
4. UAA will work with OEM/AFD/Police/JBER/Eklutna, Chugiak and Girdwood Fire Departments to determine the most useful analysis/database.
5. Build a spatial database where you can select road/road segments and get a quick tally of the number of people who would need to evacuate from an area.
6. Create a non-spatial database where you can select roads and identify feeder roads with the number of people linked to each road segment.

Advancing Wildfire Preparedness and Planning Takeaways

The **Wildfire Egress Project** was a community project that helped the participants engage in the challenge of identifying serious wildfire problems, occurring within the landscape areas of private residences, neighborhoods, subdivisions and ultimately entire community council areas within the WUI areas of the MOA. During the project, participants were encouraged to ask questions about the preparedness level of MOA neighborhoods located within the MOA WUI. The hands-on mapping exercises invoked meaningful discussion and concern over the upcoming CWPP update. More importantly, the number of one-way egress routes throughout the MOA were recognized as a formidable challenge, especially in specific areas (i.e., Eagle River).

Some of the limiting factors of the project methodology included:

- Support from all involved agencies was somewhat limited. Agencies with overlying responsibility for wildfire suppression attended sporadically and did not make time available to provide meaningful input.
- The project could have benefitted from additional experts on wildland suppression techniques, evacuation practices, shelter in place options and more.
- Public involvement was limited to homeowners with widely varying levels of wildfire experience and abilities.

- Mapping exercises were based upon “available” GIS data. Up-to-date data is always a tremendous benefit when making decisions on public safety practices, such as egress from communities during an emergency.
- Suggestions were made about attaching cost estimates to project items. This was not possible due to the funding, time constraints and scope of the project. It will be recommended as a follow-up action.
- Very few of the participants completed the requested input, especially the prioritization of recommended projects.

Major benefits were also observed throughout the five-month project period:

- The Updated Community Council Wildfire Risk Maps, dead end road verification and map updates as well as the neighborhood evacuation routes and destinations will undoubtedly aid in community planning for evacuation.
- Fuel treatments, one-way egress route improvements and other mitigation measures discussed and listed during the Wildfire Egress Project could become a to-do project list for MOA Administrations and Fire Agencies.
- Similarly, the project list developed (Appendix A) during this effort will benefit the team (or contractor) assigned to update the existing [MOA CWPP](#). It can also serve as a prioritized list of wildfire mitigation projects that could be advanced for further evaluation and fast-tracked for approval. (Goodrich *et al.* 2008)
- The node (intersection) study could end up being a great tool for law enforcement during major evacuations for wildfires as well as other disasters like hazmat spills.
- The Wildfire Egress Project participants who have agreed to continue by representing their community council areas will be an invaluable resource towards completion of the [MOA CWPP Template](#) update. If they choose to continue as community council Firewise representatives, their increased understanding of wildfire mitigation challenges facing the MOA, gained through the project, could also be a major benefit.
- The importance of designating safety zones could be a game-changing benefit from the project. Using the SSDE tool (developed by the University of Utah) to locate, evaluate and mark safety zones throughout the MOA could change the outcome significantly during a major wildfire event.
- The Wildfire Egress Project seemed to “spark” further interest in learning more about wildfire behavior, suppression strategies and techniques as well as mitigation measures. One of the classes that could be offered is the Wildland Fire Behavior Class offered as a part of the [FEMA Fire Certification Program](#).
- A questionnaire that was filled out during the project identified individuals who are interested in working on the CWPP update project or for their community council areas as Firewise committee members. Because of the knowledge that the participants gained during the mapping exercises and other presentations, they could be valuable assets in the near future.
- Another questionnaire that delved into sheltering in place offered some great insight into issues that need to be addressed, such as the evacuee’s physical suitability for sheltering in place. Video clips of the burn over from cameras placed in a fire give some great

insight into what it could be like to get caught in a life-threatening situation. Another alternative has recently emerged for homeowners who reside in complex topographic areas (i.e., box canyons, steep V canyons, etc.). Underground fire shelters are currently available for sheltering in place. Refer to: New California Fire Shelter Built Into The House: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tdAlcdOy6E0>.

- A tool that has undoubtedly prevented countless major wildfires is the Canadian Forest Fire Danger Rating System (CFFDRS). This tool has allowed for precise implementation of burning restrictions, statewide bans on the sale of fireworks and all-out burn bans within the MOA. Up-to-date weather data is acquired from a series of fire weather stations that provide for the calculation and tracking of the CFFDRS indices. Maintenance of this system is critical.
- Coordination with road/highway maintenance, regarding right-of-way standards, was discussed. There is a great opportunity to significantly increase the survivability of egress routes by meeting the necessary vegetation [fuel] clearances for safety along neighborhood roads [LRSA], egress corridors [MOA Maintenance], as well as major roads and highways. [AK DOT-PF]
- Chugach Electric attended the workshops to discuss utility corridor maintenance. This important topic will undoubtedly resurface during the CWPP update process. The continuing spruce bark beetle epidemic makes this another high priority for the CWPP.
- There are other issues that arose during the five-month project. Public input during the CWPP update process should allow for many of those other issues, such as where to evacuate large animals (i.e., horses, llamas, etc.) during a wildfire.

Answers to Questions in the Executive Summary

- ✓ What is the wildfire risk level where I live? Answer: Refer to **Appendix D** for participating community councils' wildfire exposure maps. Find your street and refer to the color code for your property. Some home insurance companies also have rating systems. Discuss your rating with the nearest fire station captain and your insurance company.
- ✓ What are some things I should be concerned about for my family's safety if we evacuate? Answer: Refer to **Firewise Alaska booklet**, pages 21-22, [Prepare to Evacuate](#).
- ✓ What can I do to improve the chances of my home surviving a wildfire? Refer to the **Firewise Alaska booklet**, page 4, Self-Assessment Checklist, [My Firewise Home](#).
- ✓ Is there any help available to assess my property's risk of a wildfire? Yes, contact **AFD Wildfire Mitigation** to schedule an inspection, [Schedule a Firewise Home Assessment](#).
- ✓ Can working with my neighbors help to identify or improve egress routes in my subdivision? Yes, refer to **Community Fire Planning**, [Community Fire Planning Link](#).
- ✓ If I live in an area that is not part of a MOA fire service area, then is my wildfire risk greater? This may not be an easy question to answer, but, generally, your risk is greater if

you live outside of a fire service area. Visit your **nearest fire station** and discuss the issue with the captain on duty.

- ✓ Is it important to look for a home to have fire hydrants or water sources close by? Refer to the **Firewise Alaska booklet**, page 14, Tips on Creating Your Own Water Supply, [Create Your Own Water Supply](#).

Remember to pick up your own copy of the Firewise Alaska booklet at your nearest AFD, Chugiak or Girdwood Fire Station or go online to [Firewise Alaska Booklet Part 1](#) and [Firewise Alaska Booklet Part 2](#) .

Future wildfire and egress modeling

The roads network layer will be used to build a network analysis model using ArcGIS. This model was used in California to assess how residents would evacuate given the threat of a wildfire and how new egress routes would change which roads are used, how many residents use the roads, and the potential for trapped residents during emergency egress. Figures 17 and 18 show how the model can be used to identify routes used by residents, number of residents on each evacuation route, effects when a route is blocked, and the opening of a new egress route. Such a tool has not been co-produced with residents of Anchorage, so this will need to be tested and added in the near future. We have worked with participants to complete the first 4 steps of building a network analysis model as shown below.

- 1) Find a roads network GIS layer.
- 2) Identify main evacuation routes and evacuation shelters.
- 3) Validate and update the resident database gathered by the AURA project.
- 4) Build the network analysis model.
- 5) Identify a potential wildfire perimeter or road closure.
- 6) Re-run the model.

The AURA project has used a fire modeling program called FLAMMAP to simulate burn probabilities and fire scars on the landscape based on ignitions, fuels, weather, and topography. We chose one of the simulated wildfire scars to run steps four and five. However, we ran out of time to present the results that will identify evacuation routes used by residents, how many residents will use those routes, and the potential for trapped residents to the community council for validation and discussion.




Figure 17. Example of an evacuation route after road is blocked by wildfire. Residents are re-routed north to get to lower Glenrosa Road. Many residents are routed close to the wildfire.




Figure 18. The fire has grown and without a new northern evacuation route many would be trapped.

Final Comments

Advancing Wildfire Preparedness and Planning takes a new approach to assessing wildfire risk using some unique, state of the art geographic information system tools to prepare neighborhoods for wildfire. It would not have been possible without participating MOA Community Councils' support and cooperation.

I would like to borrow a friend's description of the community council. "They are the essence, the epitome of participatory democracy, each representing neighbors and neighborhoods and proffering the special opportunity to interact with our elected representatives (Used with permission from Peter Mjos, Rogers Park Community Council President). This includes municipal and state levels of government, as well as guests (subject matter experts) who are knowledgeable about issues of concern. Councils are advisory only and have no lawmaking or enforcement authority."

The MOA is fortunate to have the powerful system of community councils to take on difficult issues. The power to reach out and create a plan to resolve some of the toughest problems--like wildfire prevention, mitigation, preparedness and awareness-- far surpasses the power of elected officials that only serve for a handful of years.

In working with these powerful local groups of citizens, we would like to thank all of you for helping to make this effort a success. Your participation, comments and willingness to persevere when brainstorming the evacuation issues and other facets of preparing for the "not if but when", inevitable major wildfire event were refreshing and determined.

Thank you!

Dr. Jen Schmidt &

John W. See

References:

- AFD (2010) Wildfire Mitigation Program Report - January 2010. Anchorage Fire Department.
- AFD (2023) 'Firewise Home Assessment Program.' Available at <https://www.muni.org/Departments/Fire/Wildfire/Pages/FirewiseHomeAssessments.aspx>
- AFD (2021) Home Ignition. Anchorage Fire Department Available at https://www.muni.org/Departments/Fire/Wildfire/Documents/Home_Ignition.pdf.
- AKDOF, 2022. Alaska wildland fire season is here. Alaska Division of Forestry,
- AKDOF (2023) 'Alaska Community Forestry.' Available at <https://forestry.alaska.gov/community/>
- Alaska Fuel Model Guide Task Group (2018) The fuel model guide to Alaska vegetation. Alaska Wildland Fire Coordinating Group, Fire Modeling and Analysis Committee, Fairbanks, AK. Available at <https://www.frames.gov/catalog/56055>.

- Alexander, M, Eric, L, Christopher, B, William, M, Steven, H, Mike, W, Will, B, Robert, V, William, W, 2021. A Case Study of the Camp Fire - Fire Progression Timeline. Technical Note (NIST TN), National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, AWFCG (2009) Firewise Alaska. Alaska Wildland Fire Coordinating Group Available at <https://forestry.alaska.gov/Assets/pdfs/home/firewise09.pdf>.
- Campbell, M, Dennison, P (2022) Safe Separation Distance Evaluator (SSDE). Available at <https://firesafetygis.users.earthengine.app/view/ssde-en>.
- Campbell, MJ, Dennison, PE, Thompson, MP, Butler, BW (2022) Assessing Potential Safety Zone Suitability Using a New Online Mapping Tool. *Fire* **5**, 5.
- Cheyette, D, Rupp, TS, Rodman, S (2008) Developing Fire Behavior Fuel Models for the Wildland–Urban Interface in Anchorage, Alaska. *Western Journal of Applied Forestry* **23**, 149-155.
- DOF, BLM (2023) 'Alaska Wildland Fire Information.' Available at <https://akfireinfo.com/>
- Flannigan, M, Stocks, B, Turetsky, M, Wotton, M (2009) Impacts of climate change on fire activity and fire management in the circumboreal forest. *Global Change Biology* **15**, 549-560.
- Goodrich, CP, Rodman, SU, Stam, J (2008) Municipality of Anchorage Community Wildfire Protection Plan. Municipality of Anchorage, Anchorage, AK.
- Grabinski, Z, McFarland, HR (2020) Alaska's changing wildfire environment [outreach booklet]. Alaska Fire Science Consortium, International Arctic Research Center, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, AK, USA.
- MOA (2023) 'Federation of Community Councils.' Available at <https://www.communitycouncils.org/servlet/content/home.html>
- NFPA (2018) 'Firewise USA®: Residents Reducing Wildfire Risks.' Available at <https://www.nfpa.org/Public-Education/By-topic/Wildfire/Firewise-USA>
- NWCG (2022) NWCG Incident Response Pocket Guide (IRPG), PMS 461. National Wildfire Coordinating Group Available at <https://www.nwcg.gov/publications/461>.
- Radeloff, VC, Helmers, DP, Kramer, HA, Mockrin, MH, Alexandre, PM, Bar-Massada, A, Butsic, V, Hawbaker, TJ, Martinuzzi, S, Syphard, AD, Stewart, SI (2018) Rapid growth of the US wildland-urban interface raises wildfire risk. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **115**, 3314-3319.
- WFDSS (2009) Reference guide. Wildland Fire Decision Support System.

Appendix C. A quiz to help residents decide whether they should stay in place during a wildfire or evacuate (Source: Rancho Santa Fe fire department; <https://www.rsf-fire.org/shelter-in-place/>).

- Are you physically fit to fight spot fires in and around your home for up to 10 hours or more?
- Are you and your family members mentally, physically and emotionally able to cope with the intense smoke, heat, stress and noise of a wildfire while defending your home?
- Can you protect your home while also caring for members of your family, pets, etc.?
- Do you have the necessary resources, training, and properly maintained equipment to effectively fight a fire?
- Does your home have defensible space of at least 100 feet and is it cleared of flammable materials and vegetation?
- Is your home constructed of ignition resistant materials?

Appendix A. Egress issues identified through participatory mapping. L = Low support, M = Medium support, H = High support. This map along with potential solutions can be found online: <https://bit.ly/moawildfireppgis>

| Com. Council | Type of issue | Description | Count | | | | | | | | | Percent (%) | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--|---|------------------------|---|---|-------------------------|---|---|------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|-----|-----|-------------------------|-----|----|------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | Short term (1-2 years) | | | Medium term (3-9 years) | | | Long term (10 years or more) | | | Short term (1-2 years) | | | Medium term (3-9 years) | | | Long term (10 years or more) | | |
| | | | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H |
| Abbott Loop | Connections (to be made or improved) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Connect Elmore by golf course | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Gates (education/awareness of their use during a wildfire evacuation) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Meridian Ave and Egret Rock | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | South of Thuja Ave and Brayton Dr (no labeled street) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Resurfacing/Upgrade/Widening | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Lore Rd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Rancho Dr and Rio Grande Ave | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Vulnerable Areas to focus efforts to improve egress or reduce wildfire hazards | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Academy Dr and Brayton Dr | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| | Wildfire Hot (target for vegetation thinning or firewise) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | King David and Chapelle | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Jupiter Dr and Mars Dr | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Abbott Rd and Nickell Cir | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | E 80th Ave and E 84th Ave | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Stella and E 72nd Ave | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | E 68th Ave and Shane Pl | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | E 68th Ave and O'Brien St | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | E 64th Ave and Elmore Rd | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | E Dowling Rd and Elmore Rd (Campbell Park) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Basher | Gates (education/awareness of their use during a wildfire evacuation) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Com. Council | Type of issue | Description | Short term (1-2 years) | | | Medium term (3-9 years) | | | Long term (10 years or more) | | | Short term (1-2 years) | | | Medium term (3-9 years) | | | Long term (10 years or more) | | | |
|--------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|-------------------------|---|---|------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----|-----|-------------------------|----|---|------------------------------|----|---|---|
| | | | Count | | | | | | | | | Percent (%) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | |
| Basher | | Gate at BLM and Science Center Dr | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Gate at Williwa and Shamrock | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Resurfacing/Upgrade/Widening | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Emergency only Egress from Atelier to Bulldog trail onto JBER | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 33 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 |
| | Evaluation or creation of safety zone | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Anchorage Baptist would be a good shelter in place area (temporary, away from the fire) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Parking/Access | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Campbell Airstrip Road pullout/fire truck fill area needs gates/parking management. This gets blocked by cars. Gate? | Not voted on, but mentioned as an issue after the meetings ended. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Vegetation Management (Vegetation thinning) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Maintain /Retreat Fuel treatments on the north and south side of Stuckagain Heights (2) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | Tudor and Campbell Airstrip - Shaded Fuel Break (2) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | Thin vegetation along the houses to the east of Muldoon to the south (MOA Park) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | Thin vegetation along the houses to the east of Muldoon to the east (JBER) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | Fuel Treatment at end of Shivalik | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Vulnerable Areas to focus efforts to improve egress or reduce wildfire hazards | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Com. Council | Type of issue | Description | Short term (1-2 years) | | | Medium term (3-9 years) | | | Long term (10 years or more) | | | Short term (1-2 years) | | | Medium term (3-9 years) | | | Long term (10 years or more) | | |
|---------------------------|---------------|--|------------------------|---|---|-------------------------|---|---|------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----|-----|-------------------------|-----|----|------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | Count | | | | | | | | | Percent (%) | | | | | | | | |
| | | | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H |
| Basher | | Neighborhood south of Campbell Creek Canyon added to vulnerable population list in ArcGIS | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bear Valley | | Easements (maintain or re-establish) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | King's Way Dr and Brewster's Dr – private road, need easements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Major One Way in-One Way Out | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Clark's Rd and King's Way Dr | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Vegetation Management (Vegetation thinning) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Clark's Rd – remove widow maker trees | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Clark's Rd and Heights Hill – maintain fuel break | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Vulnerable Areas to focus efforts to improve egress or reduce wildfire hazards | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | King's Way Dr neighborhood is vulnerable due to the lack of outlets along King's Way Dr, Paine Rd, Jamie, Brewster's and Honey Bear Lane | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | OTHER – MISC Comments | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Expand fire hydrants | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Campbell Park | | Wildfire Hot | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Elmore Rd and E Dowling Rd | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Eagle River Valley | | Change Gradient/Steep/Oneway/Soften curves | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Steeple Dr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Harmony Ranch, one way in/out | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Com. Council | Type of issue | Description | Short term (1-2 years) | | | Medium term (3-9 years) | | | Long term (10 years or more) | | | Short term (1-2 years) | | | Medium term (3-9 years) | | | Long term (10 years or more) | | | |
|--------------------|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------------|---|---|------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---|---|-------------------------|-----|-----|------------------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | Count | | | | | | | | | Percent (%) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | |
| Eagle River Valley | | Soften first curve on Glacier View Dr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Soften curves on Mount Magnificent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Soften curves on Steeple Dr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Soften curves on Lower Canyon Dr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Soften curves on Wilson Way | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Soften curves on Upper Canyon Dr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Soften curves at intersection of Sheppard Dr and Lynx Way | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Soften curves at intersection of Lynx Way and Brownie Dr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Soften curves on at intersection of Brownie Dr and Barclay Dr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Easements (maintain or re-establish) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Dolores Dr-Easement not accessible | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | Harca/Beechy point – road is drawn as visible but isn't there | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Major One Way in-One Way Out (focus efforts to improve egress or reduce wildfire hazards) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Price Dr (Dead End) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | Golden Eagle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | Eagle River Rd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Resurfacing/Upgrade/Widening | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Prudhoe Bay Ave and Bear Ridge Cir - check airstrip | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | Eagle River Rd near Mile 10 – expand school bus turnaround | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | Harca St and Beechey Point Ct - Provide Turnaround at top | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Com. Council | Type of issue | Description | Short term (1-2 years) | | | Medium term (3-9 years) | | | Long term (10 years or more) | | | Short term (1-2 years) | | | Medium term (3-9 years) | | | Long term (10 years or more) | | |
|----------------|--|---|------------------------|---|---|-------------------------|---|---|------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|-----|-----|-------------------------|---|-----|------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | Count | | | | | | | | | Percent (%) | | | | | | | | |
| | | | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H |
| ER Valley | Evaluation or creation of safety zone | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Eagle River Road Campground and Boat Launch | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Clear out area off of Golden Eagle for people | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Clear out and expand area around Eagle River Nature Center for staging area | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Private air strip near Bear Ridge for landing/staging | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Wildfire Hot (target for vegetation thinning or firewise) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Driftwood Bay Dr (behind Alpenglow Elementary School) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Ptarmigan Blvd and Wren Ln (behind Ravenwood Elementary School) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Eklutna Valley | Evaluation or creation of safety zone | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Gravel pit/Powwow grounds | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Western point of Lake Eklutna off Eklutna Lake Rd | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glen Alps | Vulnerable Areas to focus efforts to improve egress or reduce wildfire hazards | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Powerline (Canyon Rd) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Meadow Road | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hillside | Change Gradient/Steep/Oneway/Soften curves | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Soften the curve on Stroganof | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Soften the curve on Kasilof | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Soften the curve on Toilsome Hill up to Glen Alps | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Connections (to be made or improved) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Com. Council | Type of issue | Description | Short term (1-2 years) | | | Medium term (3-9 years) | | | Long term (10 years or more) | | | Short term (1-2 years) | | | Medium term (3-9 years) | | | Long term (10 years or more) | | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|---|---|-------------------------|---|---|------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|-----|-----|-------------------------|---|-----|------------------------------|---|---|--|
| | | | Count | | | | | | | | | Percent (%) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | |
| Hillside | | Huffman Rd and Huffman Rd (near Audubon Dr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Connect through Elmore (punch through) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Connect Birch by golf course (punch through) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Resurfacing/Upgrade/Widening | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Birch (Beverly to Kalgin) | Not voted on but, engineering studies almost to the 65% design stage | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Upper Huffman Rd and Jeanne Rd-upgrade ROW, narrow, rough road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Huffman Rd and Audubon Dr-upgrade, not maintained in winter or driveable in Spring | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Evaluate streets without sideways for widening | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Vegetation Management (Vegetation thinning) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | North end of Nordic St | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Nelva J Wilmoth Park to E 104th Ave | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Alatna Ave and Graiff St | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Hideaway Lake Dr and Hideaway Lake Cir | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Upper Huffman Rd and Hillside Dr-upgrade ROW clear | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Vulnerable Areas to focus efforts to improve egress or reduce wildfire hazards | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | North Main Tree Dr | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Middle Main Tree Dr | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | South Main Tree Dr | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| OTHER – MISC Comments | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Com. Council | Type of issue | Description | Short term (1-2 years) | | | Medium term (3-9 years) | | | Long term (10 years or more) | | | Short term (1-2 years) | | | Medium term (3-9 years) | | | Long term (10 years or more) | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|-------------------------|---|---|------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---|-----|-------------------------|-----|-----|------------------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | Count | | | | | | | | | Percent (%) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | |
| Hillside | | Expand fire hydrants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Huffman-O'Malley | Change Gradient/Steep/One-way/Soften curves | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Huffman-O'Malley | | Potter Valley Rd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Beacon Hill Dr and Hillside Dr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Lake O'The Hills Circ and Mountain Lake Dr (steep and oneway) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Gamache Dr and E 112th Ave | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | New Route - north of Elmore Rd and Passage Way | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Connections (to be made or improved) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Connect Birch by Naknek and DeArmoun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Connect Ridgeview Drive from Badger Lane to Birch Road | Not voted on but, design plans show it will provide alternative egress to approximately 40 homes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Connect Bainbridge (right now only ATV/pedestrian) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Evaluation or creation of safety zone | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Steamboat Dr and Switzerland Drive | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Goldenview Middle School | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | South High School | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Resurfacing/Upgrade/Widening | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Birch (Beverly to Kalgin) | Not voted on but, engineering studies almost to the 65% design stage | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wildfire Hot (target for vegetation thinning or firewise) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | E 140th Ave and Hosken Street | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Com. Council | Type of issue | Description | Short term (1-2 years) | | | Medium term (3-9 years) | | | Long term (10 years or more) | | | Short term (1-2 years) | | | Medium term (3-9 years) | | | Long term (10 years or more) | | |
|---------------------|---------------|---|------------------------|---|---|-------------------------|---|---|------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---|-----|-------------------------|-----|-----|------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | Count | | | | | | | | | Percent (%) | | | | | | | | |
| | | | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H |
| Huffman-O'Malley | | Huffman Park (Huffman Rd and Elmore Rd) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Ruth Arcand Park (Abbott Rd and Lake Otis Parkway) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Red Ct and Nordic St | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rabbit Creek | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Connections (to be made or improved) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Northfield Dr and Pickett St-connection | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Snowshoe Ln and Twilight Ln – connection | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | South Windsor Cir and Buffalo – connection | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Bainbridge Rd and Bainbridge Rd – emergency connection for pedestrians and ATVs (near De Armoun Rd) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Connect Birch (punch through) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Jamie to Mountain Air (additional access to Bear Valley) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Marino Dr to Mountain Breeze Dr (additional access to Bear Valley) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Bryon Dr to Henson Dr E (additional access to Bear Valley) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Connect Elmore between Riverton Ave and Natrona Ave (punch through) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Change Gradient/Steep/Oneway/Soften curves | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Elizabeth St and E 156th Ave- Change Gradient, Steep | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Bluebell Dr and Golden View Dr – Change Gradient, Steep | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Com. Council | Type of issue | Description | Short term (1-2 years) | | | Medium term (3-9 years) | | | Long term (10 years or more) | | | Short term (1-2 years) | | | Medium term (3-9 years) | | | Long term (10 years or more) | | | |
|--------------|--|--|---|---|---|-------------------------|---|---|------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---|-----|-------------------------|-----|---|------------------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | Count | | | | | | | | | Percent (%) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | |
| Rabbit Creek | | Improve road, bridge, and connection between Finland and Romania | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Soften the curve at Kittiwake and King Eider | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Soften the curves on Potter Valley (especially first curve) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Soften the curve on Sandpiper | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Soften the curve on Prominence Pointe | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Easements (maintain or re-establish) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Steamboat Dr – public trail pending final legal decision | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | Potter Heights – missing public easement | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | End of Villages Scenic – Non-motorized easements exist but not shown on map. Need pedestrian escape routes from ends of long cul-de-sac | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Resurfacing/Upgrade | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Finland to Portugal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Evaluation or creation of safety zone | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Golden View Middle School | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | Gravel pits at England Ave and Finland St | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | Rugby field between Portugal Pl and Potter Heights Dr | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Fuels reduction/ROW (maintain) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | E 142nd Ave and Golden View Dr | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | Golden View Dr and E 142nd Ave | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Com. Council | Type of issue | Description | Short term (1-2 years) | | | Medium term (3-9 years) | | | Long term (10 years or more) | | | Short term (1-2 years) | | | Medium term (3-9 years) | | | Long term (10 years or more) | | | |
|--------------|--|--|---|---|---|-------------------------|---|---|------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---|-----|-------------------------|---|---|------------------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | Count | | | | | | | | | Percent (%) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | |
| Rabbit Creek | | Rabbit Creek Rd and Elmore Rd | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Rabbit Creek Rd and Bridgeview Dr | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | England Ave and Finland St | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Southpark Bluff Dr and Old Seward Hwy | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Bulgaria Dr | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Connect fuel treatment east to Rabbit Creek Rd and Chawa | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Vegetation Management (Vegetation thinning) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Clear/thin vegetation along Rabbit Creek Rd between Elmore and Golden View Dr | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Vulnerable Areas to focus efforts to improve egress or reduce wildfire hazards | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Houses along the Rabbit Creek drainage (funnel effect) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | OTHER – MISC Comments | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Traffic management should start north of RC Road interchange | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Long cul-de-sac at Ridgewood Rd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Long cul-de-sac at Shelburne Rd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Long cul-de-sac at Naknek and Badger Ln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Potter Highlands – Leave this is an open space track | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |

| Com. Council | Type of issue | Description | Short term (1-2 years) | | | Medium term (3-9 years) | | | Long term (10 years or more) | | | Short term (1-2 years) | | | Medium term (3-9 years) | | | Long term (10 years or more) | | |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|---|------------------------|---|---|-------------------------|---|---|------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----|-----|-------------------------|----|-----|------------------------------|----|----|
| | | | Count | | | | | | | | | Percent (%) | | | | | | | | |
| | | | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H |
| Rabbit Creek | | Marino and Mountain Breeze - Better connection to Bear Valley due to lack of wetlands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Romania Dr – not preferred for road due to high water tables, shallow bedrock and critical hydrology for Potter Marsh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Virgo and Essex Park – fire only, gasline connection? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Potter Valley Rd – concern about dumping more traffic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Possible future watershed conservation between Potter Bluff and Goldenview Dr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | In an emergency, need traffic management along both old and new Seward starting north of Rabbit Creek Road off ramp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Rabbit Creek park could be thinned out | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Expand fire hydrants | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| South Fork | Connections (to be made or improved) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Possible Access - road between Birdsong Dr and West River | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0 |
| | | Possible evacuation route between River Park Dr and lower Stonehill Drive | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| | Evaluation or creation of safety zone | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Chugach State Park S Fork Trailhead Parking Lot | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 |
| | South Creek Rd (southern end) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 25 | |

| Com. Council | Type of issue | Description | Short term (1-2 years) | | | Medium term (3-9 years) | | | Long term (10 years or more) | | | Short term (1-2 years) | | | Medium term (3-9 years) | | | Long term (10 years or more) | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------|---|---|------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|-----|----|-------------------------|-----|-----|------------------------------|----|----|----|
| | | | Count | | | | | | | | | Percent (%) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | L | M | H | |
| South Fork | | South Fork Fire Station #13 (Hiland Rd and Wild Mountain Dr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Eagle River High School | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | |
| | | Trail used for avalanche access between W River and Birdsong | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Major One Way in-One Way Out (focus efforts to improve egress or reduce wildfire hazards) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Wolf Den Rd | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | Hiland Rd | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 25% | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 |
| | SFB/ROW (maintain) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Hiland Rd (clear ROW) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 |
| | Vulnerable Areas to focus efforts to improve egress or reduce wildfire hazards | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | River View Dr and Waterfall Dr area | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Entire MOA | Connections (to be made or improved) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Enforce parking rules near trailheads (i.e. reduce illegal parking along roads that impedes emergency vehicle access) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Evaluation or creation of safety zone | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Expand parking lots at trail heads for potential safety zones | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Improve green spaces to allow landing of helicopters for supplies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Appendix B. Advancing Wildfire Preparedness and Planning Meeting Agendas

Meeting 1: September 13, 2022

- Overview of CWPP Update Process and where we are right now
- Overview of the Project & Feedback; Objectives; Products
- Showcase Current Maps & Data
- Project Workload Flow; Next Steps
- Plan Future Meetings

Meeting 2: September 27, 2022

- Recap from 1st Meeting, Introductions
- Instructions for Mapping Exercises; Overall Goal w/ Example
- Mapping Exercises; Where would you evacuate to? Don't worry about being exact. Which roads would you take to get there? Mark on map with provided markers.
- Mapping Exercises (continued); Examine dead ends and cul-de sac neighborhoods that have a higher chance of sheltering in-place. Mark areas on map with provided markers.

Meeting 3: October 11, 2022

- Update from Brian Dean, AFD Fire Marshal, on NFPA WUI Code Adoption & Community Wildfire Protection Plan (CWPP) Update
- Preparation and Planning for Evacuation: John See
- How to Evacuate Safely & Effectively (McKinley Fire Video) John See & Jen Schmidt
- Evacuation Locations (timing & selection process) and Data Driven Site
- Vulnerability; What Does it Mean? How to Identify?

Meeting 4: November 7, 2022

Continue working on Maps

Meeting 5: December 19, 2022

- CERT: a way communities can increase preparedness (Guest presentation)
- Summary of identified egress issues
- Prioritize actions (top 3 recommendations)
- Review of safety zone tool
- Results from evacuation to schools
- Outline of final report – Discussion

- Anonymous public comment and feedback
- Questions:
 1. What would you like to see happen in the upcoming Community Wildfire Protection Plan?
 2. What should be done to help Anchorage become more prepared and less vulnerable to wildfires?


Meeting 6: January 23, 2023

- Welcome and wildfire history presentation (Jen Schmidt)
- Captain Albrecht: Background of what he did regarding wildfire and egress; Lessons learned from the previous egress report (data gathering, public engagement)
- Suggestions for making progress/influential (Make copies of his report)
- Review of maps created and online app
- Summary of online survey and priorities
- Go over action items and comments
- How the CWPP process is likely to unfold and questions (Sue Rodman)
- Where is the money? (past funds and future ideas)


Appendix C. A quiz to help residents decide whether they should stay in place during a wildfire or evacuate (Source: Rancho Santa Fe fire department; <https://www.rsf-fire.org/shelter-in-place/>).


- Are you physically fit to fight spot fires in and around your home for up to 10 hours or more?
- Are you and your family members mentally, physically and emotionally able to cope with the intense smoke, heat, stress and noise of a wildfire while defending your home?
- Can you protect your home while also caring for members of your family, pets, etc.?
- Do you have the necessary resources, training, and properly maintained equipment to effectively fight a fire?
- Does your home have defensible space of at least 100 feet and is it cleared of flammable materials and vegetation?
- Is your home constructed of ignition resistant materials?


Appendix D. Wildfire exposure maps for participating community councils.































Appendix E. Areas with extreme wildfire exposure (80% or more) to target for mitigation and streets with limited egress (i.e. no outlet).




























Appendix F. Parcels assigned to evacuation locations (i.e. schools) with the number of parcels for each designated school.































Appendix G. Intersection intensity use which is determined by calculating the number of parcels using an intersection to get to their assigned evacuation location (i.e. school).































Appendix H. Recommended areas for fuel reduction activities along roadways with hazardous fuels or high exposure values to reduce wildfire hazards and facilitate access and evacuation. Slope is not included in these maps.































Appendix I. Recommended areas for fuel reduction activities along roadways with hazardous fuels or high exposure values to reduce wildfire hazards and facilitate access and evacuation. A slope of 8% or more was used to further refine the suggested area of treatment.




























Appendix J. Intersection intensity use which is determined by calculating the number of parcels using an intersection to get to their assigned evacuation location (i.e. school) and wildfire exposure. Intersections that have heavy use and are in areas with exposure values over 60.





























Appendix K. Advancing Wildfire Preparedness and Planning, Correspondence with AFD Captains:

To: AFD Senior Captains assigned to WUI AFD Stations. Thanks for your assistance, in advance, in completing the meaningful task of providing input to the UAA Wildfire Egress Route Study, headed by UAA Professor, Dr. Jen Schmidt, assisted by John See, AFD Forester, Retired.

We have made some progress in furthering the efforts of Captain Joe Albrecht, AFD Station 10 Senior Captain, on wildfire egress. We are broadening the effort by involving other MOA representatives, community council leaders and others in identifying problem areas, including one way in/out egress limitations in our study. We are asking each of you to review the input we have received by providing comments, adding your recommendations to the effort and making notations on the project GIS maps, which will be hand delivered during the upcoming week.

Application for funding has been completed to initiate the process of updating the Community Wildfire Protection Plan (CWPP) for the MOA, completed approximately 15 years ago. The original plan was completed during Sue Rodman's tenure as AFD Forester. Additionally, there has been some information in the pipeline regarding some more significant funding which could help rejuvenate the AFD Wildfire Mitigation Program. More information will be forthcoming in the weeks ahead!

Ideas for wildfire mitigation, developed by the participants in this Wildfire Egress effort, will be forwarded (along with the maps) as part of what could become an important addition to the wildfire mitigation project. This could ultimately be attached to the CWPP update. We are requesting your help in adding to and prioritizing the list of road egress modifications, fuel treatments, etc., necessary to bring the egress efforts more in line with the overall objective to enhance the outcome of a disaster level wildfire within the MOA WUI.

We will be setting up individual meetings (depending on your availability) with you on December 7th, 8th & 9th, as well as the week of December 19th. We are asking that you take some time to review the maps, recommendations and proposed solutions to the MOA's challenges with wildfire egress. We recognize that your input is a key part of this project and welcome your thoughts as we prepare our final report in the next few months.

Regards,

*Dr. Jen Schmidt
John W. See*

Appendix L. Advancing Wildfire Preparedness and Planning “task list” and budget.

| Task | Fall semester | Spring semester |
|---|---------------|-----------------|
| <i>Hazard mapping and egress maps</i> | | |
| Introductory meeting and presentation of hazard maps for community councils (CC) | | |
| Present current parcel, roads, and buildings GIS layers to assess for accuracy, identification of major egress routes, and evacuation points. | | |
| Present updated roads and buildings GIS layers with identified major egress routes and evacuation points | | |
| Use the exposure maps and updated roads and egress layers to identify areas to target for fuel reduction activities | | |
| Present maps of the areas identified for fuel reduction to CC and other wildfire experts for feedback | | |
| Make any necessary refinements to the selection process | | |
| Present final maps and report of the selection process and findings | | |
| <i>Egress modeling</i> | | |
| Create a roads network GIS layer using community feedback | | |
| Build network analysis model | | |
| Simulate evacuation without road closures and identify egress by residents to evacuation points | | |
| Present model results to community council and seek input on potential road closure/wildfire for simulation | | |
| Simulate road closure | | |
| Present model results to community council about effects of closure on egress | | |
| Write short report | | |

Timeline (2022-2023 academic year):

Budget:

The University of Alaska Anchorage has an agreement with the State of Alaska to charge 25% facilities and administration (F&A) costs for research.

| Item | Cost |
|--|----------|
| Salary and benefits | |
| Dr. Schmidt (175 hours) | \$11,875 |
| John See (110 hours) | \$7,254 |
| Undergraduate (academic year) | \$10,260 |
| Supplies | |
| Printing of posters/promotional material | \$2,611 |
| Total subject to F&A | \$32,000 |
| F & A costs | \$8,000 |
| Total costs | \$40,000 |

END