

**U.S. District Court  
Southern District of Indiana (Terre Haute)  
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 2:23-cv-00128-JPH-MKK**

TIKAL v. INTERNAL REVENUE SVC et al  
Assigned to: Judge James Patrick Hanlon  
Referred to: Magistrate Judge M. Kendra Klump  
Cause: 05:552 Freedom of Information Act

Date Filed: 03/16/2023  
Date Terminated: 05/12/2023  
Jury Demand: Plaintiff  
Nature of Suit: 899 Other Statutes:  
Administrative Procedures Act/Review or  
Appeal of Agency Decision  
Jurisdiction: Federal Question

**Plaintiff**

**ALAN DAVID TIKAL**

represented by **ALAN DAVID TIKAL**  
69252-097  
TERRE HAUTE – FCI  
TERRE HAUTE FEDERAL  
CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION  
Inmate Mail/Parcels  
P.O. BOX 33  
TERRE HAUTE, IN 47808  
PRO SE

V.

**Defendant**

**INTERNAL REVENUE SVC**

represented by **INTERNAL REVENUE SVC**  
.  
PRO SE

**Defendant**

**COMMISSIONER**

represented by **COMMISSIONER**  
.  
PRO SE

**Defendant**

**IRS**  
*Milwaukee*

represented by **IRS**  
.  
PRO SE

**Defendant**

**IRS EMPLOYEES**  
*Unknown*

represented by **IRS EMPLOYEES**  
.  
PRO SE

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
03/16/2023	<u>1</u>	COMPLAINT against COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SVC, IRS, IRS EMPLOYEES, filed by ALAN DAVID TIKAL. (No fee paid with this filing) (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit, # <u>2</u> Envelope)(DRB) (Entered: 03/17/2023)
03/16/2023	<u>2</u>	MOTION for Leave to Proceed in forma pauperis, filed by Plaintiff ALAN DAVID TIKAL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit, # <u>2</u> Envelope)(DRB) (Entered: 03/17/2023)
03/17/2023	<u>3</u>	*** PLEASE DISREGARD DOCKETED IN ERROR*** Notice to File Rule 7.1 Disclosure Statement. (RAGS) (DRB) Modified on 3/17/2023 (DRB). (Entered: 03/17/2023)

03/17/2023	<u>4</u>	Notice to File Rule 7.1 Disclosure Statement. (RAGS) (DRB) (Entered: 03/17/2023)
03/17/2023	<u>5</u>	MAGISTRATE JUDGE's NOTICE of Availability to Exercise Jurisdiction issued. (DRB) (Entered: 03/17/2023)
03/27/2023	<u>6</u>	ORDER DENYING IN FORMA PAUPERIS STATUS AND DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO SHOW CAUSE – Plaintiff, Alan David Tikal, has moved to proceed in forma pauperis. Dkt. <u>2</u> . But, because he appears to have "struck out" under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), that motion is DENIED. Mr. Tikal is ORDERED to SHOW CAUSE—that is, explain in writing—by April 14, 2023, why he should not be subject to sanctions, up to and including dismissal of this lawsuit with prejudice. Mr. Tikal shall have through April 14, 2023, to pay the \$402 filing fee to the clerk of the district court. Failure to do so may also result in dismissal of this action without further notice. (SEE ORDER.) Copy sent to plaintiff via US mail. Signed by Judge James Patrick Hanlon on 3/27/2023.(TPS) (Entered: 03/27/2023)
04/05/2023	<u>7</u>	MOTION to Retain Jurisdiction filed Defensively, filed by Plaintiff ALAN DAVID TIKAL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(JDC) (Entered: 04/06/2023)
04/20/2023	<u>8</u>	ORDER DENYING MOTION AND REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO SHOW CAUSE AND PAY FILING FEE – Mr. Tikal has filed is a "Motion to Retain Jurisdiction Filed Defensively." Dkt. <u>7</u> . The Court will not consider any motions until Mr. Tikal (1) explains why he omitted most of his litigation history from his in forma pauperis motion and (2) pays the \$402 filing fee to the clerk of the district court. See dkt. <u>6</u> . Therefore, the Court DENIES Mr. Tikal's motion, dkt. <u>7</u> . Mr. Tikal has one more chance to do both of those things. Failure to do so by May 5, 2023, will result in the dismissal of this action without further notice. (SEE ORDER.) Copy sent to plaintiff via US mail. Signed by Judge James Patrick Hanlon on 4/20/2023. (TPS) (Entered: 04/21/2023)
05/12/2023	<u>9</u>	ORDER DISMISSING CASE – Mr. Tikal has not paid the filing fee. Thus, the Court DISMISSES this case. Because of the early stage of this case, the dismissal is without prejudice. See Hill v. United States, 762 F.3d 589, 591 (7th Cir. 2014). Final judgment will issue by separate entry. Copy sent to Plaintiff via U SMail. Signed by Judge James Patrick Hanlon on 5/12/23.(JRB) (Entered: 05/12/2023)
05/12/2023	<u>10</u>	FINAL JUDGMENT UNDER FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 58 – Having this day directed the entry of final judgment, the Court now enters FINAL JUDGMENT in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiff. This action is dismissed without prejudice. Copy sent to Plaintiff via US Mail. Signed by Judge James Patrick Hanlon on 5/12/23.(JRB) (Entered: 05/12/2023)