

Assigned for all purposes to: Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Judicial Officer: Upinder Kalra

1 John C. Carpenter (155610)  
2 *carpenter@cz.law*  
3 **CARPENTER & ZUCKERMAN**  
4 8827 West Olympic Boulevard  
5 Beverly Hills, California 90211  
6 Tel: (310) 273-1230  
7 Fax: (310) 858-1063

8 Gloria Allred (65033)  
9 *gallred@amglaw.com*  
10 **ALLRED, MAROKO & GOLDBERG**  
11 6300 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1500  
12 Los Angeles, CA 90048  
13 Tel: (323) 653-6530  
14 Fax: (323) 653-1660

15 Victor Alexandroff (136972)  
16 *litigation@alglegal.com*  
17 **ALEXANDROFF LAW GROUP**  
18 16542 Ventura Blvd., Ste. 203  
19 Encino, CA 91436  
20 Tel: (818) 908.8899  
21 Fax: (818) 908.8898

22 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
23 **OLGA SOLOVEY, ANATOLII ANDROSOVYCH,**  
24 **& SVETLANA ZEMKO**

25 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
26 **FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

27 **OLGA SOLOVEY, an individual; ANATOLII**  
28 **ANDROSOVYCH an individual; and SVETLANA**  
**ZEMKO;**

Plaintiffs,

vs.

**RUST MOVIE PRODUCTIONS, LLC., a domestic**  
**limited liability company; ALEXANDER R.**  
**BALDWIN III, an individual; EL DORADO**  
**PICTURES, INC., California corporation; RYAN**  
**DONNELL SMITH, an individual; LANGLEY**  
**ALLEN CHENEY, an individual;**  
**THOMASVILLE PICTURES, LLC, a domestic**

**CASE NO.: 23STCV02819**

**COMPLAINT FOR LOSS OF**  
**CONSORTIUM DAMAGES:**

- 1. BATTERY**
- 2. INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF**  
**EMOTIONAL DISTRESS**
- 3. NEGLIGENCE**
- 4. LOSS OF CONSORTIUM**

**\*\* DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL \*\***

1 limited liability company; ANJUL NIGAM, an  
2 individual; BRITTANY HOUSE PICTURES, a  
3 business form unknown; HANNAH GUTIERREZ-  
4 REED, an individual; SARAH ZACHRY, an  
5 individual; SETH KENNEY, an individual; PDQ  
6 ARM AND PROP, LLC, a New Mexico limited  
7 liability company; DAVID HALLS, an individual;  
8 NATHAN KLINGHER, an individual; RYAN  
9 WINTERSTERN, an individual; SHORT PORCH  
10 PICTURES, LLC, a domestic limited liability  
11 company; MATTHEW DELPIANO, an individual;  
12 CALVARY MEDIA, INC., a Delaware  
13 corporation; GABRIELLE PICKEL, an individual;  
14 3RD SHIFT MEDIA, LLC, a domestic limited  
15 liability company; KATHERINE WALTERS, an  
16 individual; CHRIS M.B. SHARP, an individual;  
17 JENNIFER LAMB, an individual; EMILY  
18 SALVESON, an individual; STREAMLINE  
19 GLOBAL, a business form unknown; and DOES 1  
20 through 100, Inclusive;

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
Defendants.

29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

COMES NOW, Plaintiffs OLGA SOLOVEY, an individual, ANATOLII  
ANDROSOVYCH, an individual, and SVETLANA ZEMKO, an individual, (collectively,  
“Plaintiffs”) who complain and allege against Defendants RUST MOVIE PRODUCTIONS, LLC.,  
a domestic limited liability company, ALEXANDER R. BALDWIN III, an individual, EL  
DORADO PICTURES, INC., a California corporation, RYAN DONNELL SMITH, an individual,  
LANGLEY ALLEN CHENEY, an individual, THOMASVILLE PICTURES, LLC, a domestic  
limited liability company, ANJUL NIGAM, an individual, BRITTANY HOUSE PICTURES, a  
business form unknown, HANNAH GUTIERREZ-REED, an individual, SARAH ZACHRY, an  
individual, SETH KENNEY, an individual, PDQ ARM AND PROP, LLC, a New Mexico limited  
liability company, DAVID HALLS, an individual, NATHAN KLINGHER, an individual, RYAN  
WINTERSTERN, an individual, SHORT PORCH PICTURES, LLC, a domestic limited liability  
company, MATTHEW DELPIANO, an individual, CALVARY MEDIA, INC., a Delaware  
corporation, GABRIELLE PICKEL, an individual, 3RD SHIFT MEDIA, LLC, a domestic limited

1 liability company, KATHERINE WALTERS, an individual, CHRIS M.B. SHARP, an individual,  
2 JENNIFER LAMB, an individual, EMILY SALVESON, an individual, STREAMLINE GLOBAL,  
3 a business form unknown, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive (hereinafter “DEFENDANTS”), the  
4 following:

5 1. DEFENDANTS engaged in, without limitation, the following intentional acts and/or  
6 omissions, without any just cause or excuse, that were reasonably expected to result in the fatal  
7 injury suffered by Halyna Hutchins with utter disregard for the consequences (*see Delgado v.*  
8 *Phelps Dodge Chino, Inc.* (2001) 34 P.3d 1148, 1156):

9 2. On October 21<sup>st</sup>, 2021, on the set of the production, “*Rust*”, Defendant  
10 ALEXANDER R. BALDWIN III (“Alec Baldwin”/“DEFENDANT BALDWIN”) fired a loaded  
11 gun containing a live bullet killing Director of Photography Halyna Hutchins and injuring others  
12 who were standing in the line of fire when the gun went off.

13 3. Days before the shooting, a camera operator had reported two unexpected gun  
14 discharges during a rehearsal in a cabin on the set of the production of “*Rust*.” “This is super  
15 unsafe,” the camera operator wrote in a text message to the “*Rust*” production manager.

16 4. On the day of the shooting, but prior to it, union camera operators and their  
17 assistants had walked off the job to protest working conditions, including concerns about safety.

18 5. Safety protocol designed to ensure that firearms would be safely used were ignored,  
19 and actions that were taken were against all industry norms, including, without limitation, as  
20 follows:

- 21 • Live ammunition was allowed onto the set despite the fact that live ammunition is never  
22 to be used nor brought onto any studio lot or stage.
- 23 • Alec Baldwin intentionally, without just cause or excuse, cocked and fired the loaded  
24 gun even though the upcoming scene to be filmed did not call for the cocking and firing  
25 of a firearm.
- 26 • Alec Baldwin intentionally, without just cause or excuse, fired the gun towards  
27 individuals, including at Ms. Hutchins, even though protocol was not to do so.

- 1 • Against industry protocols, the loaded gun was handed to Alec Baldwin by Assistant  
2 Director David Halls and not, as required, by Armorer Hannah Gutierrez-Reed. Guns  
3 are never to be handed to an actor by anyone other than the Armorer. Mr. Baldwin,  
4 being an industry veteran, knew that the gun in question should not have been handed to  
5 him by Assistant Director Halls, and he also knew that he could not rely upon any  
6 representation by the Assistant Director that it was a “cold gun” and was safe to use.
- 7 • The industry wide safety bulletin for use of firearms mandates that all firearms are to be  
8 treated as though they are loaded because, as Alec Baldwin knew, guns are inherently  
9 dangerous weapons. Alec Baldwin should have assumed that the gun in question was  
10 loaded, unless and until it was demonstrated to him or checked by him that it was not  
11 loaded. He had no right to rely upon some alleged statement by Assistant Director Halls  
12 that it was a “cold gun.” Mr. Baldwin cannot hide behind the Assistant Director to  
13 attempt to excuse the fact that he did not check the gun himself and yet subsequently  
14 cocked and fired the gun that killed Ms. Hutchins.
- 15 • Alec Baldwin, without just cause or excuse, failed to check the gun to see if the firearm  
16 was loaded before cocking and firing it.
- 17 • The industry norm is that the Armorer hands the gun to the Actor and demonstrates to  
18 the Actor, in this case Alec Baldwin, that the gun chambers are empty. Alec Baldwin  
19 knew that this was the norm and that it was not followed. The industry norm and safety  
20 bulletin mandates that no one shall be issued a firearm until he or she is trained in safe  
21 handling, safe use, the safety lock, and proper firing procedures of guns. Alec Baldwin  
22 knew that these were the safety protocols and chose to ignore them.
- 23 • All guns and ammunition are supposed to be secured throughout the production. The  
24 Armorer is required to keep all guns and ammunitions locked up, or to stay with the  
25 guns and ammunition until they are used. Instead, the Armorer allowed guns and  
26 ammunition to be left unattended on a rolling cart outside the Church at midday on  
27 Thursday during the lunch break.



1           12.     Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant  
2 ALEXANDER R. BALDWIN III, an individual, is and, at all relevant times, was a resident of New  
3 York (“DEFENDANT BALDWIN”). Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon  
4 allege, that DEFENDANT BALDWIN was an actor in and producer of the film “*Rust*” and, at all  
5 relevant times, contracted as an individual and/or in his official capacity with the loan-out  
6 corporation DEFENDANT EL DORADO PICTURES and/or DOES 1 to 50 to provide said  
7 services for the filming of “*Rust*”.

8           13.     Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant EL  
9 DORADO PICTURES is a corporation incorporated in and existing under the laws of the State of  
10 California with its principal place of business in California, County of Los Angeles (hereinafter  
11 “DEFENDANT EL DORADO PICTURES”). Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and  
12 thereon allege, that EL DORADO PICTURES was the loan-out corporation for Defendant  
13 BALDWIN related to the filming of “*Rust*”.

14           14.     Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant RYAN  
15 DONNELL SMITH, an individual, is and, at all relevant times, was a resident of California, County  
16 of Los Angeles (“DEFENDANT SMITH”). Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon  
17 allege, that DEFENDANT SMITH was a producer of the film “*Rust*” and, at all relevant times,  
18 contracted as an individual and/or in his official capacity with Defendant THOMASVILLE  
19 PICTURES, LLC and/or DOES 1 to 50 to provide said service for the filming of “*Rust*”.

20           15.     Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant LANGLEY  
21 ALLEN CHENEY, an individual, is and, at all relevant times, was a resident of California, County  
22 of Los Angeles (“DEFENDANT CHENEY”). Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and  
23 thereon allege, that DEFENDANT CHENEY was an executive producer of the film “*Rust*” and, at  
24 all relevant times, contracted as an individual and/or in his official capacity with Defendant  
25 THOMASVILLE PICTURES, LLC and/or DOES 1 to 50 to provide said service for the filming of  
26 “*Rust*”.

27           16.     Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant  
28

1 THOMASVILLE PICTURES, LLC is a limited liability company organized in and existing under  
2 the laws of Georgia with its principal place of business in Thomasville, Georgia (hereinafter  
3 “DEFENDANT THOMASVILLE PICTURES”). Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and  
4 thereon allege, that DEFENDANT THOMASVILLE PICTURES is Defendant SMITH’s and  
5 Defendant CHENEY’s Georgia-based studio specializing in creating low-budget film projects,  
6 including, without limitation, “*Rust*”.

7 17. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant ANJUL  
8 NIGAM, an individual, is and, at all relevant times, was a resident of California, County of Los  
9 Angeles (“DEFENDANT NIGAM”). Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon  
10 allege, that DEFENDANT NIGAM was a producer of the film “*Rust*” and, at all relevant times,  
11 contracted as an individual and/or in his official capacity with Defendant BRITTANY HOUSE  
12 PICTURES and/or DOES 1 to 50 to provide said service for the filming of “*Rust*”.

13 18. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant BRITTANY  
14 HOUSE PICTURES is a business form unknown (hereinafter “DEFENDANT BRITTANY  
15 HOUSE PICTURES”). Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon allege, that  
16 DEFENDANT BRITTANY HOUSE PICTURES was the loan-out corporation for DEFENDANT  
17 NIGAM related to the filming of “*Rust*”.

18 19. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant HANNAH  
19 GUTIERREZ-REED, an individual, is and, at all relevant times, was a resident of the State of  
20 Arizona (“DEFENDANT GUTIERREZ-REED”). Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and  
21 thereon allege, that DEFENDANT GUTIERREZ-REED was, without limitation, the armorer for  
22 the film “*Rust*” and, at all relevant times, contracted as an individual and/or in her official capacity  
23 with DOES 1 to 50 to provide said service for the filming of “*Rust*”.

24 20. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant SARAH  
25 ZACHRY, an individual, is and, at all relevant times, was a resident of the State of California  
26 (“DEFENDANT ZACHRY”). Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon allege, that  
27 DEFENDANT ZACHRY was, without limitation, the prop master for the film “*Rust*” and, at all  
28

1 relevant times, contracted as an individual and/or in her official capacity with DOES 1 to 50 to  
2 provide said service for the filming of “*Rust*”.

3           21.     Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant SETH  
4 KENNEY, an individual, is and, at all relevant times, was a resident of the State of Arizona  
5 (“DEFENDANT KENNEY”). Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon allege, that  
6 DEFENDANT KENNEY was, without limitation, an armorer assistant for the film “*Rust*” and, at  
7 all relevant times, contracted as an individual and/or in his official capacity with DOES 1 to 50 to  
8 provide said service for the filming of “*Rust*”.

9           22.     Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant DAVID  
10 HALLS, an individual, is and, at all relevant times, was a resident of the State of New Mexico  
11 (“DEFENDANT HALLS”). Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon allege, that  
12 DEFENDANT HALLS was, without limitation, an Assistant Director for the film “*Rust*” and, at all  
13 relevant times, contracted as an individual and/or in his official capacity with DOES 1 to 50 to  
14 provide said service for the filming of “*Rust*”.

15           23.     Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant NATHAN  
16 KLINGHER, an individual, is and, at all relevant times, was a resident of California, County of Los  
17 Angeles (“DEFENDANT KLINGHER”). Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon  
18 allege, that DEFENDANT KLINGHER was a producer of the film “*Rust*” and, at all relevant  
19 times, contracted as an individual and/or in his official capacity with Defendant SHORT PORCH  
20 PICTURES, LLC and/or DOES 1 to 50 to provide said service for the filming of “*Rust*”.

21           24.     Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant RYAN  
22 WINTERSTERN, an individual, is and, at all relevant times, was a resident of California, County  
23 of Los Angeles (“DEFENDANT WINTERSTERN”). Plaintiffs are further informed and believe,  
24 and thereon allege, that DEFENDANT WINTERSTERN was a producer of the film “*Rust*” and, at  
25 all relevant times, contracted as an individual and/or in his official capacity with Defendant SHORT  
26 PORCH PICTURES, LLC and/or DOES 1 to 50 to provide said service for the filming of “*Rust*”.

27           25.     Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant SHORT  
28



1 PORCH PICTURES, LLC is a limited liability company organized in and existing under the laws  
2 of the State of California with its principal place of business in California, County of Los Angeles  
3 (hereinafter “DEFENDANT SHORT PORCH PICTURES”). Plaintiffs are further informed and  
4 believe, and thereon allege, that SHORT PORCH PICTURES was the loan-out corporation for  
5 DEFENDANT KLINGHER and DEFENDANT WINTERSTERN related to the filming of “*Rust*”.

6 26. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant MATTHEW  
7 DELPIANO, an individual, is and, at all relevant times, was a resident of California, County of Los  
8 Angeles (“DEFENDANT DELPIANO”). Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon  
9 allege, that DEFENDANT DELPIANO was a producer of the film “*Rust*” and, at all relevant times,  
10 contracted as an individual and/or in his official capacity with Defendant CALVARY MEDIA,  
11 INC. and/or DOES 1 to 50 to provide said service for the filming of “*Rust*”.

12 27. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant CALVARY  
13 MEDIA, INC. is a corporation incorporated in and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware  
14 with its principal place of business in the State of California, County of Los Angeles (hereinafter  
15 “DEFENDANT CALVARY MEDIA”). Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon  
16 allege, that DEFENDANT CALVARY MEDIA was the loan-out corporation for DEFENDANT  
17 DELPIANO related to the filming of “*Rust*”.

18 28. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant GABRIELLE  
19 PICKEL, an individual, is and, at all relevant times, was a resident of the State of Georgia  
20 (“DEFENDANT PICKEL”). Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon allege, that  
21 DEFENDANT PICKEL was a line producer of the film *Rust* and, at all relevant times, contracted  
22 as an individual and/or in her official capacity with Defendant 3RD SHIFT MEDIA, LLC and/or  
23 DOES 1 to 50 to provide said service for the filming of *Rust*.

24 29. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant 3RD SHIFT  
25 MEDIA, LLC is a domestic limited liability company organized in and existing under the laws of  
26 the State of Georgia with its principal place of business in Norcross, Georgia (hereinafter  
27 “DEFENDANT 3RD SHIFT MEDIA”). Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon  
28

1 allege, that DEFENDANT 3RD SHIFT MEDIA was the loan-out corporation for DEFENDANT  
2 PICKEL related to the filming of “*Rust*”.

3 30. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant  
4 KATHERINE WALTERS, an individual, is and, at all relevant times, was a resident of the State of  
5 Pennsylvania (“DEFENDANT WALTERS”). Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and  
6 thereon allege, that DEFENDANT WALTERS was, without limitation, a Unit Production Manager  
7 for the film “*Rust*” and, at all relevant times, contracted as an individual and/or in her official  
8 capacity with DOES 1 to 50 to provide said service for the filming of “*Rust*”.

9 31. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant CHRIS M.B.  
10 SHARP, an individual, is and, at all relevant times, was a resident of address unknown  
11 (“DEFENDANT SHARP”). Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon allege, that  
12 DEFENDANT SHARP was, without limitation, an executive producer for the film “*Rust*” and, at  
13 all relevant times, contracted as an individual and/or in his official capacity with DOES 1 to 50 to  
14 provide said service for the filming of “*Rust*”.

15 32. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant JENNIFER  
16 LAMB, an individual, is and, at all relevant times, was a resident of address unknown  
17 (“DEFENDANT LAMB”). Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon allege, that  
18 DEFENDANT LAMB was, without limitation, an executive producer for the film “*Rust*” and, at all  
19 relevant times, contracted as an individual and/or in her official capacity with DOES 1 to 50 to  
20 provide said service for the filming of “*Rust*”.

21 33. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant EMILY  
22 SALVESON, an individual, is and, at all relevant times, was a resident of address unknown  
23 (“DEFENDANT SALVESON”). Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon allege,  
24 that DEFENDANT SALVESON was, without limitation, an executive producer for the film “*Rust*”  
25 and, at all relevant times, contracted as an individual and/or in her official capacity with DOES 1 to  
26 50 to provide said service for the filming of “*Rust*”.

27 34. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant  
28

1 STREAMLINE GLOBAL is a business form unknown (hereinafter “DEFENDANT  
2 STREAMLINE GLOBAL”). Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon allege, that  
3 DEFENDANT STREAMLINE GLOBAL was a motion picture development and finance company  
4 related to the filming of “*Rust*”.

5 35. The true names and capacities, whether individual, plural, corporate, partnership,  
6 associate, or otherwise, of DOE DEFENDANTS 1 through 200, inclusive, are unknown to  
7 Plaintiffs, who therefore sue said defendants by such fictitious names (“Doe Defendants”). Doe  
8 Defendants include, without limitation, producers and/or financiers related to the filming of the  
9 motion picture “*Rust*” at issue in this lawsuit. The full extent of the facts linking such fictitiously  
10 sued Doe Defendants is unknown to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon  
11 allege that each of the defendants designated herein as a Doe Defendant was, and is, liable for the  
12 events and happenings hereinafter referred to, and thereby intentionally, recklessly, and/or without  
13 any just cause or excuse and with utter disregard of the consequences of their intentional acts and/or  
14 omission, or in some other actionable manner, legally and proximately caused the hereinafter  
15 described injuries and damages, including Halyna Hutchin’s death and related loss of consortium  
16 damages to Plaintiffs, as Halyna’s surviving mother, father, and younger sister. Plaintiffs will  
17 hereafter seek leave of the Court to amend this Complaint to show the defendants' true names and  
18 capacities after the same have been ascertained.

19 36. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that at all times relevant and  
20 mentioned herein, DEFENDANTS, and each of them, were, without limitation, the agents,  
21 ostensible agents, principals, joint venturers, servants, employees, employers, co-conspirators,  
22 and/or joint venturers of their co-Defendants, and each of them, and were, without limitation, acting  
23 within their individual capacity and/or official capacity within the course, scope and authority of  
24 said agency, ostensible agency, employment, and/or joint venture, and that each and every  
25 Defendant, as aforesaid, when acting as a principal, was negligent in the selection and hiring,  
26 retention, training, and supervision of each and every other Defendant as an agent, ostensible agent,  
27 employee, and/or joint venturer.

1           37. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that each of the  
 2 DEFENDANTS caused and is responsible for the unlawful conduct and resulting by, inter alia,  
 3 personally participating in the tortious conduct at issue in this lawsuit, or acting jointly and in  
 4 concert with others who did so, by authorizing, acquiescing, ratifying, and/or failing to take action  
 5 to prevent the tortious conduct that caused the hereinafter described injuries and damages,  
 6 including Halyna Hutchin's death and related loss of consortium damages to Plaintiffs, as Halyna's  
 7 surviving mother, father, and younger sister, by promulgating policies and procedures pursuant to  
 8 which the tortious conduct occurred, by failing and refusing, with deliberate indifference to Halyna  
 9 Hutchins' and Plaintiffs' rights, to initiate and maintain adequate supervision, retention, and/or  
 10 training, and by ratifying the tortious conduct that occurred by employees, joint venturers, agents,  
 11 and/or ostensible agents under their direction, authority, and/or control. Whenever and wherever  
 12 reference is made in this Complaint to any act by a Defendant, such allegation and reference shall  
 13 also be deemed to mean the acts and failure to act of each of the DEFENDANTS individually,  
 14 jointly, and severally.

15                                   **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

16           38. This Court has jurisdiction over this unlimited civil action because, without  
 17 limitation, some of the DEFENDANTS reside in the State of California, County of Los Angeles at  
 18 the commencement of this action.

19           39. Venue is proper in this County in accordance with California *Code of Civil*  
 20 *Procedure* § 395(a): "If the action is for injury to person...from wrongful act or negligence, the  
 21 superior court in either the county where the injury occurs or the injury causing death occurs or the  
 22 county where the defendants, or some of them reside at the commencement of the action, is a  
 23 proper court for the trial of the action."

24                                   **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

25           40. Plaintiffs incorporate herein by reference, each and every allegation and statement  
 26 contained in paragraphs 1 through 39, *supra*, as if the same had been set forth fully below.

27           41. DEFENDANTS engaged in, without limitation, the following intentional acts and/or  
 28

1 omissions, without any just cause or excuse, that were reasonably expected to result in the fatal  
2 injury suffered by Halyna Hutchins and loss of consortium damages to Plaintiffs with utter  
3 disregard for the consequences (*see Delgado v. Phelps Dodge Chino, Inc.* (2001) 34 P.3d 1148,  
4 1156):

5 **The Fatal Incident:**

6 **Defendant Baldwin Discharges a Loaded Gun That Kills Halyna Hutchins.**

7 42. In New Mexico, where DEFENDANTS stationed their movie production, it was and  
8 is unlawful for anyone to endanger the safety of another by handling or using a firearm in a  
9 negligent manner (N.M. Statutes § 30-7-4(A)(3)) and/or to carry any type of concealed loaded  
10 firearm anywhere, with limited exceptions not relevant to the DEFENDANTS' production (N.M.  
11 Statutes § 30-7-2(A)). DEFENDANTS willfully disregarded the law of New Mexico when they  
12 acted as alleged hereinafter.

13 43. This case arises out of the October 21, 2021, deadly discharge of a loaded gun by  
14 DEFENDANT BALDWIN that occurred on the set of the western-themed motion picture "*Rust*", a  
15 film project that DEFENDANTS knew would necessitate the use of numerous weapons used by the  
16 filming crew throughout "*Rust's*" anticipated 21-day shoot. The shot fired by DEFENDANT  
17 BALDWIN killed "*Rust*" Director of Photography, Halyna Hutchins ("Halyna  
18 Hutchins"/"Halyna").

19 44. Thursday, October 21, 2021, the day of the tragic incident, was the 12<sup>th</sup> day of a 21-  
20 day shoot on the Bonanza Creek Ranch near Santa Fe, New Mexico.

21 45. At the time of the fatal incident on that day, Halyna Hutchins was standing less than  
22 four feet away from DEFENDANT BALDWIN when he aimed the gun in her direction and  
23 subsequently discharged it at her without warning and without necessary and required safety  
24 precautions in place. As a result of the discharge of the loaded gun, Halyna was fatally shot and  
25 killed.

26 46. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that the first 11 days of the  
27 production were filled with a variety of safety issues that placed DEFENDANTS, including,  
28

1 without limitation, producers and others in control of the production, on notice that there were  
2 serious safety-related problems on the set that were endangering the cast and crew related to the use  
3 of firearms. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that, prior to the incident and  
4 because of the gross mismanagement of firearms by DEFENDANTS, including, without limitation,  
5 *Rust* armorer DEFENDANT GUTIERREZ-REED, live ammunition had been brought onto the  
6 filming set and guns had been misfired on set.

7 47. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that the cart used for storing  
8 the ammunition by DEFENDANT GUTIERREZ –REED and other DEFENDANTS, including,  
9 without limitation, the gun discharged by DEFENDANT BALDWIN had been regularly left  
10 unattended and mismanaged throughout filming prior to the October 21, 2021 tragic incident.  
11 Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon allege, that DEFENDANTS knew or should  
12 have known that loaded firearms were on set before the October 21, 2021 incident because loaded  
13 firearms had been used by crew members for target practice against safety protocols that should  
14 have been in place but were not. Prior to the October 21, 2021 incident, DEFENDANTS knew or  
15 should have known about serious safety violations related to the use of firearms and live  
16 ammunition on the set because, without limitation, a number of workers had walked off the job in  
17 protest of safety concerns over filming conditions and production issues.

18 48. For the purpose of, without limitation, saving money, DEFENDANTS willfully,  
19 knowingly, intentionally, and/or in utter disregard for the known harmful consequences to Halyna  
20 Hutchins and other members of the *Rust* crew decided to ignore the known dangers associated with  
21 ammunition and/or guns on the set. As a result, DEFENDANTS decided not to even briefly stop the  
22 production to search for and/or remove live ammunition from the set, including from weapons,  
23 even after it was widely known by them that live ammunition had been introduced onto the set.

24 49. On October 21, 2021, the day of the tragic incident, the first scenes that were filmed  
25 that morning before lunch were in the small church on the Bonanza Creek Ranch and included  
26 DEFENDANT BALDWIN’S character, an injured “Harland Rust,” and a young boy.

27 50. When the morning filming was completed, everyone broke for lunch. At that time,  
28

1 Halyna Hutchins and other crew members met and spoke together to confirm what filming would  
2 take place after the lunch break. It was discussed that there would be 3 tight camera shots when  
3 filming resumed. One camera shot would be focused on DEFENDANT BALDWIN'S eyes, one  
4 would be focused on a blood stain on DEFENDANT BALDWIN'S shoulder, and the third would  
5 focus on DEFENDANT BALDWIN'S torso as he reached his hand down to his holster and  
6 removed the gun. There was nothing in the script about the gun being discharged by  
7 DEFENDANT BALDWIN or by any other person.

8 51. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege that, at the time  
9 DEFENDANT BALDWIN discharged the gun inside the church, there was nothing in the script  
10 indicating that a firearm was to have been discharged by DEFENDANT BALDWIN.

11 52. Under well-known industry safety practices, if there was to have been any firearm  
12 discharged in the upcoming scene according to the script, Halyna Hutchins, along with other crew  
13 members present, would have been situated outside of the church when DEFENDANT BALDWIN  
14 would be using the firearm inside of the church. There was no such indication in the script. Under  
15 well-known industry safety practices, had the script called for a firearm to be discharged, Halyna,  
16 along with other crew members present, would have been required to view the discharging of the  
17 gun via exterior monitors that would have been set up outside of the church. None of these  
18 protocols and precautions had been taken since the script did not indicate that a firearm was to be  
19 discharged by DEFENDANT BALDWIN or anyone else.

20 53. No notice of any discharge of a firearm was given to anyone present prior to the  
21 deliberate acts giving rise to these claims. Prior to, and at the time of DEFENDANT BALDWIN'S  
22 discharge of the loaded gun, no rehearsal had been called, and the crew had not commenced  
23 filming. The fact that no rehearsal had taken place and that no filming had commenced is  
24 evidenced by the fact that the slate, which designates the scene to be filmed, had not yet been  
25 changed to reflect the upcoming scene. Based upon information and belief, prior to discharge,  
26 DEFENDANT GUTIERREZ-REED, the film's Armorer, recklessly took 3 firearms from the  
27 mismanaged and often unattended cart that contained loaded guns and placed them at the upcoming  
28

1 scene's location. Against all safety protocols, standards, and practices, DEFENDANT HALLS,  
2 who was an Assistant Director and not an Armorer, recklessly handed, and was permitted to hand,  
3 DEFENDANT BALDIN a loaded firearm that constituted a concealed deadly weapon, in violation  
4 of, without limitation, N.M. Stat. Ann. § 30-7-2.

5 54. Immediately prior to DEFENDANT BALDWIN'S deliberate, but to crew members'  
6 unexpected, discharge of the concealed loaded gun, the cast and a number of crew members,  
7 including, without limitation, DEFENDANT BALDWIN and Halyna Hutchins, were in very close  
8 proximity with one another inside the church for the afternoon scene. Specifically, DEFENDANT  
9 BALDWIN was in the church sitting in a pew wearing a holster with a gun that had been handed to  
10 him earlier by DEFENDANT HALLS. Several other crew members were also inside the church,  
11 including, but not limited to, a script supervisor, wardrobe person, a camera operator, and a gaffer.  
12 At that time, Halyna was less than 4 feet from where DEFENDANT BALDWIN was seated, and  
13 she had Script Supervisor Mamie Mitchell immediately to her right with Director Souza standing  
14 behind her.

15 55. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege that, against basic safety  
16 protocols, at no time prior to the incident was Halyna Hutchins or the rest of the crew present  
17 advised that the gun was loaded or that it would be discharged. At no time prior to DEFENDANT  
18 BALDWIN'S discharge of the gun were ear protectors provided to individuals in the church, which  
19 were required by safety protocols if a gun was expected to be discharged. Furthermore, contrary to  
20 basic safety protocols, there was limited plexiglass in the church. Safety protocols were not put  
21 into place, including, without limitation, that Plaintiff and other crew members be situated outside  
22 of the church viewing the actions via exterior monitors.

23 56. Shortly before DEFENDANT BALDWIN fired the loaded gun, DEFENDANT  
24 BALDWIN was moving the loaded gun within approximately 4 feet in front of Halyna Hutchins  
25 and other crew members. The crew members in close proximity of DEFENDANT BALDWIN,  
26 including Halyna, were unaware that the gun was loaded. At the time, Hutchins was leaning down  
27 in line with the camera setting up the upcoming scene. Director Souza was behind Hutchins.  
28



1           57.       Suddenly and without warning, a shocking and deafening sound and force from the  
2 gunshot was heard. Soon thereafter, crew members witnessed the horror of what had occurred.  
3 Director Souza was doubled over and moaning as a result of being shot by DEFENDANT  
4 BALDWIN. Halyna Hutchins, who had also been shot by DEFENDANT BALDWIN, was on  
5 the ground, not moving.

6           58.       The gunshot would eventually take Halyna's life.

7           59.       At approximately 1:46 p.m., a 911 call was made by Script Supervisor Mamie  
8 Mitchell. It was communicated to the 911 operator that they needed to send help immediately  
9 because two crew members had been shot.

10          60.       As a direct and proximate cause of this tragic incident involving the fatal shooting of  
11 Halyna Hutchins, the lives of Halyna's surviving mother Olga Solovey, surviving father Anatolii  
12 Androsovysh, and surviving younger sister Svetlana Zemko will never be the same. As a result of  
13 Halyna's death, Halyna's surviving family members have suffered substantial and foreseeable  
14 compensable loss of consortium damages, including, without limitation, as a result of direct injury  
15 to Plaintiffs' relational interest with Halyna as her surviving mother, father, and younger sister.  
16 Plaintiffs are entitled to loss of consortium damages for the emotional distress due to the harm to  
17 their close relationship with Halyna that was caused by the tortious conduct of DEFENDANT  
18 BALDWIN described herein. Plaintiffs have suffered substantial damages in an amount which  
19 cannot presently be ascertained, but which Plaintiffs believe is within the jurisdictional limits of this  
20 Court, according to proof at time of trial.

21                   **The Low-Budget Filming of "Rust" and Related Cost-cutting Measures**  
22                   **Intentionally, and without Just Cause or Excuse, Endangered the Lives of Crew Members,**  
23                   **Including of Halyna Hutchins.**

24          61.       Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that New Mexico-based  
25 DEFENDANT RUST MOVIE PRODUCTIONS was formed for the purpose of filming the motion  
26 picture "Rust". It shares the same principal place of business as DEFENDANT SMITH and  
27 DEFENDANT CHENEY'S Georgia-based studio, DEFENDANT THOMASVILLE PICTURES,  
28

1 in Thomasville, Georgia. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that  
2 DEFENDANT SMITH and DEFENDANT CHENEY both serve as executive officers of  
3 DEFENDANT RUST MOVIE PRODUCTIONS and producers for “*Rust*”.

4 62. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that, since its formation on or  
5 about February 2017, Georgia-based DEFENDANT THOMASVILLE PICTURES, owned by  
6 DEFENDANT SMITH and DEFENDANT CHENEY, has staked out a reputation in the movie-  
7 making industry as a low-budget studio. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege,  
8 that as part of its practice of making films on ever-shrinking budgets in states like New Mexico and  
9 Georgia, and other states and locales with fewer regulations than in Hollywood, DEFENDANT  
10 THOMASVILLE PICTURES, run by DEFENDANT SMITH and DEFENDANT CHENEY,  
11 intentionally implements cost-cutting practices constituting intentional acts and/or omissions,  
12 without any just cause or excuse, that were reasonably expected to result in the injuries suffered by  
13 Halyna Hutchins and others with utter disregard for the harmful consequences.

14 63. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that THOMASVILLE  
15 PICTURES’ cost-cutting practices include, without limitation, failing to follow basic and standard  
16 safety protocols and practices, forcing production crews to adhere to rushed and unreasonable  
17 production schedules, and hiring crew members and other staff that often lacked the knowledge,  
18 expertise, and experience to adequately ensure their safety and the safety of others during  
19 filmmaking.

20 64. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that DEFENDANT RUST  
21 MOVIE PRODUCTIONS was intentionally formed in 2021 by, without limitation, DEFENDANT  
22 SMITH and DEFENDANT CHENEY within the same cost-cutting mold as DEFENDANT  
23 THOMASVILLE PICTURES – to produce “*Rust*” on a low budget and cost-cutting scheme that  
24 was known to create unsafe conditions for movie production crews. Despite this knowledge,  
25 DEFENDANTS continued the production of “*Rust*”. Those responsible for the unsafe conditions  
26 include, without limitation, executive producers, producers, and/or financiers for “*Rust*,” including,  
27 without limitation, DEFENDANT RUST MOVIE PRODUCTIONS, DEFENDANT BALDWIN,  
28

1 DEFENDANT EL DORADO PICTURES, DEFENDANT SMITH, DEFENDANT CHENEY,  
2 DEFENDANT THOMASVILLE PICTURES, DEFENDANT KLINGHER, DEFENDANT  
3 WINTERSTERN, DEFENDANT SHORT PORCH PICTURES, DEFENDANT NIGAM,  
4 DEFENDANT BRITTANY HOUSE PICTURES, DEFENDAN DELPIANO, DEFENDANT  
5 CALVARY MEDIA, DEFENDANT PICKEL, DEFENDANT 3RD SHIFT MEDIA,  
6 DEFENDANT SHARP, DEFENDANT LAMB, DEFENDANT SALVESON, DEFENDANT  
7 STREAMLINE GLOBAL, and DOES 1 to 100 (hereinafter collectively, “DEFENDANT  
8 PRODUCERS”).

9 65. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that DEFENDANT  
10 PRODUCERS intended to cut through what was considered derisively as red tape common in  
11 Hollywood-based productions by running some of “*Rust*’s” filmmaking apparatus out of Georgia  
12 and New Mexico.

13 66. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that as part of the cost-  
14 cutting measures taken to produce “*Rust*” within the low-budget mold, DEFENDANT  
15 PRODUCERS intentionally failed to hire experienced crew members to manage and handle the  
16 numerous weapons that were to be used in the film “*Rust*”, an outlaw western-themed film that was  
17 known to involve the use of numerous firearms, considered an ultrahazardous activity throughout  
18 its filming.

19 67. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that instead of hiring an  
20 experienced armorer to manage and handle the numerous weapons, including the loaded gun fired  
21 by DEFENDANT BALDWIN at Halyna Hutchins that killed her, DEFENDANT PRODUCERS  
22 instead hired 24-year old DEFENDAN GUTIERREZ-REED as an armorer for “*Rust*”. Plaintiffs  
23 are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that DEFENDANT GUTIERREZ-REED, who  
24 DEFENDANTS, including, without limitation, DEFENDANT PRODUCERS, knew had only  
25 worked as an armorer in 1 previous film, was intentionally hired by DEFENDANT PRODUCERS  
26 as part of the cost-cutting measures intentionally implemented by DEFENDANT PRODUCERS to  
27 film “*Rust*” as a low-budget film.  
28

1           68.     Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that DEFENDANTS allowed  
2 live ammunition on the production of “*Rust*”. Live ammunition is visibly different from blanks used  
3 on movie sets, and, as such, it was apparent to DEFENDANTS that live ammunition, which had  
4 absolutely no legitimate role in the production of “*Rust*” was present on the set and posed a danger  
5 to cast and crew. Plaintiffs are further informed and believe that DEFENDANTS, and each of them,  
6 were at all relevant times aware that weapons with live ammunition had been discharged from the  
7 guns to be used on the location of this production.

8           69.     A firearm is a dangerous instrumentality and DEFENDANTS were, at all relevant  
9 times, subject to heightened duties of care toward Halyna Hutchins and others exposed to firearms,  
10 particularly loaded ones.

11           70.     Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that DEFENDANT  
12 PRODUCERS knew that the intentional hiring of DEFENDANT GUTIERREZ-REED as armorer  
13 for “*Rust*” placed crew members in unreasonably unsafe and dangerous contexts but, due to their  
14 goal of producing “*Rust*” on a shoe string budget, intentionally decided to hire her based upon  
15 economic considerations – or profit – rather than based upon ensuring the safety and well-being of  
16 crew members, including, without limitation, of Halyna Hutchins.

17           71.     Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that DEFENDANT  
18 PRODUCERS knew about the unreasonably unsafe and dangerous contexts in which they were  
19 placing “*Rust*” crew members during the filming of “*Rust*” due to previous implementation of cost-  
20 cutting filmmaking measures in other projects and due to at least 2 instances of weapons misfiring  
21 during the filming of “*Rust*” prior to DEFENDANT BALDWIN’S deadly shooting of Halyna  
22 Hutchins.

23           72.     Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that, prior to DEFENDANT  
24 BALDWIN’S fatal shooting, DEFENDANT PRODUCERS knew about the unreasonably unsafe  
25 and dangerous conditions that existed, because, without limitation, there had been numerous prior  
26 misfiring incidents involving weapons during production of “*Rust*”. Plaintiffs are further informed  
27 and believes, and thereon allege, that, despite these instances, DEFENDANT PRODUCERS  
28

1 intentionally failed to take the standard precautions and implement standard safety protocols for the  
2 safe use of weapons during the filming of “*Rust*” because of their prioritization of profit over the  
3 safety and well-being of crew members, including, without limitation, of Halyna Hutchins.

4 73. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that the actions of  
5 DEFENDANT PRODUCERS constituted intentional acts and/or omissions, without any just cause  
6 or excuse, that were reasonably expected to result in the fatal injury suffered by Halyna Hutchins  
7 and loss of consortium damages to Plaintiffs with utter disregard for the consequences and/or  
8 wanton conduct with utter indifference to and/or conscious disregard for the rights and safety of  
9 others, including, without limitation, of Halyna Hutchins.

10 74. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that DEFENDANTS,  
11 including DEFENDANT PRODUCERS, allowed live ammunition on the production of “*Rust*”.

12 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

13 **(Loss of Consortium Damages for Battery against DEFENDANT BALDWIN)**

14 75. Plaintiffs incorporate herein by reference, each and every allegation and statement  
15 contained in paragraphs 1 through 74, *supra*, as if the same had been set forth fully below.

16 76. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that DEFENDANTS,  
17 including, without limitation, DEFENDANT BALDWIN, engaged in, without limitation,  
18 intentional acts and/or omissions, without any just cause or excuse, that were reasonably expected  
19 to result in the fatal injury suffered by Halyna Hutchins and loss of consortium damages to  
20 Plaintiffs with utter disregard for the harmful consequences (*see Delgado v. Phelps Dodge Chino,*  
21 *Inc.* (2001) 34 P.3d 1148, 1156).

22 77. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that DEFENDANT  
23 BALDWIN committed battery against Halyna Hutchins by intentionally acting, without just cause,  
24 when pointing and discharging a loaded gun towards her that resulted in Halyna’s death.

25 78. Shortly before DEFENDANT BALDWIN fired the loaded gun, DEFENDANT  
26 BALDWIN was within approximately 4 feet in front of Halyna Hutchins, including while he was  
27 moving the loaded gun on the set and aiming the weapon in her direction.

28

1           79.     Based on information and belief, at no time did Halyna Hutchins or other crew  
2 members in such close proximity to DEFENDANT BALDWIN consent to having a loaded gun  
3 pointed and discharged towards them by DEFENDANT BALDWIN, including, without limitation,  
4 because, based upon information and belief, (1) there was no warning prior to having the gun  
5 pointed and discharged when Halyna Hutchins and other crew members were standing  
6 approximately 4 feet away from DEFENDANT BALDWIN, (2) the script for the upcoming scene  
7 did not call for the discharge of a gun, (3) no ear protectors were provided to Halyna and other  
8 nearby crew members, and (4) there was insufficient plexiglass inside the church at the time of  
9 discharge to ensure her safety. Had Halyna and other crew members known that DEFENDANT  
10 BALDWIN would point and discharge a weapon in their direction, Halyna and other crew members  
11 would not have been inside the church but would have instead been outside of the church viewing  
12 DEFENDANT BALDWIN's actions on an exterior screen with other crew members.

13           80.     At the time DEFENDANT BALDWIN pointed and discharged the gun, neither  
14 filming nor rehearsal had commenced. As a result of the DEFENDANT BALDWIN's discharge  
15 of the loaded weapon pointed at Halyna Hutchins and other crew members in close proximity to  
16 DEFENDANT BALDWIN, a bullet entered Halyna Hutchins' body, and she was on the ground,  
17 not moving. The gunshot would eventually take Halyna's life.

18           81.     As a direct and proximate cause of DEFENDANT BALDWIN's fatal shooting of  
19 Halyna Hutchins, the lives of Halyna's surviving mother Olga Solovey, surviving father Anatolii  
20 Androsovyeh, and surviving younger sister Svetlana Zemko will never be the same. As a result of  
21 Halyna's death, Halyna's surviving family members have suffered substantial and foreseeable  
22 compensable loss of consortium damages, including, without limitation, as a result of direct injury  
23 to Plaintiffs' relational interest with Halyna as her surviving mother, father, and younger sister.  
24 Plaintiffs are entitled to loss of consortium damages for the emotional distress due to the harm to  
25 their close relationship with Halyna that was caused by the tortious conduct of DEFENDANT  
26 BALDWIN described herein. Plaintiffs have suffered substantial damages in an amount which  
27 cannot presently be ascertained, but which Plaintiffs believe is within the jurisdictional limits of this  
28

1 Court, according to proof at time of trial.

2 82. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that the actions of  
3 DEFENDANT BALDWIN constituted intentional acts and/or omissions, without any just cause or  
4 excuse, that were reasonably expected to result in the fatal injury suffered by Halyna Hutchins and  
5 loss of consortium damages to Plaintiffs with utter disregard for the consequences and/or wanton  
6 conduct with utter indifference to and/or conscious disregard for the rights and safety of others,  
7 including, without limitation, of Halyna Hutchins.

8 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

9 **(Loss of Consortium Damages for Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress against**  
10 **DEFENDANT BALDWIN)**

11 83. Plaintiffs incorporate herein by reference, each and every allegation and statement  
12 contained in paragraphs 1 through 82, *supra*, as if the same had been set forth fully below.

13 84. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that DEFENDANT  
14 BALDWIN, engaged in, without limitation, intentional acts and/or omissions, without any just  
15 cause or excuse, that were reasonably expected to result in the fatal injury suffered by Halyna  
16 Hutchins and loss of consortium damages to Plaintiffs with utter disregard for the harmful  
17 consequences (*see Delgado v. Phelps Dodge Chino, Inc.* (2001) 34 P.3d 1148, 1156).

18 85. Plaintiff are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that the conduct of  
19 DEFENDANT BALDWIN when he pointed and discharged a loaded gun at Halyna Hutchins that  
20 killed her constituted extreme and outrageous conduct under the facts and circumstances of the  
21 “*Rust*” filmmaking.

22 86. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that DEFENDANT  
23 BALDWIN engaged in intentional acts and/or omissions, without any just cause or excuse, that  
24 were reasonably expected to result in the fatal injury suffered by Halyna Hutchins and loss of  
25 consortium damages to Plaintiffs

26 87. As a result of DEFENDANT BALDWIN’S intentional acts and/or omissions  
27 without justification and in utter disregard for the consequences, Halyna Hutchins was killed and  
28

1 Plaintiffs suffered and continues to suffer, without limitation, severe emotional distress as Halyna's  
2 surviving mother, father, and younger sister.

3 88. As a direct and proximate cause of DEFENDANT BALDWIN's fatal shooting of  
4 Halyna Hutchins, the lives of Halyna's surviving mother Olga Solovey, surviving father Anatolii  
5 Androsovysh, and surviving younger sister Svetlana Zemko will never be the same. As a result of  
6 Halyna's death, Halyna's surviving family members have suffered substantial and foreseeable  
7 compensable loss of consortium damages, including, without limitation, extreme and severe  
8 emotional distress as a result of direct injury to Plaintiffs' relational interest with Halyna as her  
9 surviving mother, father, and younger sister. Plaintiffs are entitled to loss of consortium damages  
10 for the extreme and severe emotional distress due to the harm to their close relationship with  
11 Halyna that was caused by the tortious conduct of DEFENDANT BALDWIN described herein.  
12 Plaintiffs have suffered substantial damages in an amount which cannot presently be ascertained,  
13 but which Plaintiffs believe is within the jurisdictional limits of this Court, according to proof at  
14 time of trial.

15 89. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that, without limitation,  
16 DEFENDANT BALDWIN, at all relevant times, was aided by and through the agency,  
17 employment, and/or joint venture between him and DEFENDANT PRODUCERS when he shot and  
18 killed Halyna Hutchins with the loaded gun. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon  
19 allege, that, without limitation, DEFENDANT BALDWIN, by reason of his agency, employment,  
20 and/or joint venture between him and DEFENDANT PRODUCERS was provided with the loaded  
21 gun with which he killed Halyna.

22 90. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that the actions of  
23 DEFENDANT BALDWIN constituted intentional acts and/or omissions, without any just cause or  
24 excuse, that were reasonably expected to result in the fatal injury suffered by Halyna Hutchins and  
25 loss of consortium damages to Plaintiffs with utter disregard for the consequences and/or wanton  
26 conduct with utter indifference to and/or conscious disregard for the rights and safety of others,  
27 including, without limitation, of Halyna Hutchins.

28



1 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

2 **(Loss of Consortium Damages for Negligence against All DEFENDANTS)**

3 91. Plaintiffs incorporate herein by reference, each and every allegation and statement  
4 contained in paragraphs 1 through 90, *supra*, as if the same had been set forth fully below.

5 92. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that DEFENDANTS,  
6 including, without limitation, DEFENDANT PRODUCERS as owners, operators, managers,  
7 directors, casting directors, stunt coordinators, choreographers, supervisors and as persons  
8 responsible for the coordination, creation, execution, safety and supervision of “*Rust*”, had a duty  
9 to create, coordinate, choreograph, block, supervise, direct, and execute actions on set to be  
10 performed in such a manner, including by DEFENDANT BALDWIN, so as to make filming and  
11 execution of “*Rust*” reasonably safe for crew members, including for Halyna Hutchins.

12 93. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that DEFENDANTS,  
13 including, without limitation, DEFENDANT PRODUCERS, further had the duty to coordinate,  
14 create, and execute safety protocols and supervision for filming so as not to increase the safety risks  
15 associated with filming “*Rust*”, a western genre film utilizing numerous weapons, including,  
16 without limitation, the gun used by DEFENDANT BALDWIN at issue in this lawsuit.

17 94. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that DEFENDANTS,  
18 including, without limitation, DEFENDANT PRODUCERS their employees, supervisors, personnel,  
19 agents, joint venturers, and/or representatives, owed a duty to, without limitation, to reasonably  
20 provide for the safety of Halyna Hutchins and other crew members in the execution of filming. This  
21 duty included, without limitation, the taking of every reasonable precaution to maximize the safety of  
22 individuals, including Halyna Hutchins, in making and producing a western film utilizing numerous  
23 firearms throughout its filming.

24 95. Because, without limitation, the filming of *Rust* included the use of firearms and/or  
25 ammunition, DEFENDANTS and each of them owed to Halyna Hutchins a heightened duty of care  
26 to use the highest standard of care.

27 96. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that despite knowing that the  
28

1 filming of “*Rust*” presented serious safety concerns involving ultrahazardous activities related to the  
2 use and storage of numerous firearms due to its western-inspired theme, DEFENDANTS, including,  
3 without limitation, DEFENDANT PRODUCERS, intentionally opted to produce “*Rust*” on a low  
4 budget that was known to create unsafe conditions for movie production crew members and failed  
5 to secure weapons and ammunition.

6 97. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that as part of the cost-  
7 cutting measures taken to produce “*Rust*” within the low-budget mold, DEFENDANTS, including,  
8 without limitation, DEFENDANT PRODUCERS, intentionally failed to hire experienced crew  
9 members to manage and handle the numerous weapons and ammunition that were to be used in the  
10 film “*Rust*”, an outlaw western-themed film that was known to involve the use of numerous firearms  
11 throughout its filming.

12 98. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that instead of hiring an  
13 experienced armorer to manage and handle the numerous weapons that would be used in the  
14 making of this western-themed film, DEFENDANTS, including, without limitation, DEFENDANT  
15 PRODUCERS, instead hired 24-year old DEFENDANT GUTIERREZ-REED as an armorer for  
16 “*Rust*”. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that since the incident at issue,  
17 DEFENDANT GUTIERREZ-REED has admitted to lacking the experience that should have been  
18 required to manage the use and storage of firearms and ammunition related to the filming of “*Rust*”.

19 99. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that DEFENDANT  
20 GUTIERREZ-REED was intentionally hired by DEFENDANTS, including, without limitation,  
21 DEFENDANT PRODUCERS, as part of the cost-cutting measures intentionally implemented by  
22 them to film “*Rust*” as a low-budget film.

23 100. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that DEFENDANTS,  
24 including, without limitation, DEFENDANT PRODUCERS, knew that the intentional hiring of  
25 DEFENDANT GUTIERREZ-REED as armorer for “*Rust*” placed crew members in unsafe and  
26 dangerous conditions but, due to their goal of producing “*Rust*” on a shoestring budget,  
27 DEFENDANTS, including, without limitation, DEFENDANT PRODUCERS, intentionally  
28

1 decided to hire her based upon a prioritization of economic profit over ensuring the safety and well-  
2 being of crew members, including, without limitation, Halyna Hutchins.

3 101. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that DEFENDANTS,  
4 including, without limitation, DEFENDANT PRODUCERS, knew about the unsafe and dangerous  
5 conditions in which they were placing “*Rust*” crew members during the filming of “*Rust*” due to  
6 previous implementation of cost-cutting filmmaking measures in other projects and due to at least 2  
7 instances of weapons misfiring incidents during the filming of “*Rust*” prior to DEFENDANT  
8 BALDWIN’S firing of the loaded gun that killed Halyna Hutchins.

9 102. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that, as a result of the  
10 aforementioned actions and without limitation, on October 21, 2021, the day of the tragic incident.  
11 DEFENDANTS, including, without limitation, DEFENDANT PRODUCERS, their employees,  
12 supervisors, employees, agents and representatives, breached their duties as described herein by  
13 failing to reasonably provide for the safety of Halyna Hutchins, failing to supervise, secure, and  
14 make safe conditions of the filming of “*Rust*”, where deadly weapons were used while filming.

15 103. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that the cart used for storing  
16 ammunition had been regularly left unattended throughout filming prior to the October 21, 2021  
17 tragic incident. Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon allege, that loaded firearms  
18 had been used by crew members for target practice against safety protocols that should have been in  
19 place but were not. DEFENDANTS were also on notice of serious safety concerns related to  
20 firearms and live ammunition on the set because, prior to the October 21, 2021 incident, a number  
21 of workers had walked off the job in protest of safety concerns over filming conditions and  
22 production issues.

23 104. In addition, DEFENDANTS, including, without limitation, DEFENDANT  
24 PRODUCERS, intentionally acted and/or failed to act, without any just cause or excuse, when they  
25 failed to use a professional, experienced armorer to manage and supervise the use of weapons and  
26 instead used DEFENDANT GUTIERREZ-REED, who was known not to be an experienced  
27 armorer, which exposed Halyna Hutchins to a substantial and foreseeable risk of harm and/or  
28

1 injury. As a result of said breach herein described, DEFENDANTS, and each of them, are liable to  
2 Plaintiffs as the proximate cause of direct injuries to them as Halyna's surviving mother, father, and  
3 younger sister as herein described.

4 105. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that DEFENDANTS,  
5 including, without limitation, DEFENDANT PRODUCERS, intentionally acted and/or failed to act,  
6 without any just cause or excuse, when they produced, directed, blocked, supervised, coordinated,  
7 designed, controlled, maintained, secured, and/or operated the filming of "*Rust*" so as to allow  
8 Halyna Hutchins to encounter unanticipated, unknown, and unnoticed physical risks and deadly  
9 trauma related to the use of firearms on set.

10 106. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that DEFENDANTS,  
11 including, without limitation, DEFENDANT PRODUCERS, intentionally acted and/or failed to act,  
12 without any just cause or excuse, when they engaged in the aforementioned intentional cost-cutting  
13 acts and/or omissions despite knowing that said cost-cutting measures posed particular harm due to  
14 the ultrahazardous conditions related to the filming of a western-themed film that required the use  
15 and storage of numerous weapons and ammunition.

16 107. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, DEFENDANTS, including,  
17 without limitation, DEFENDANT PRODUCERS, intentionally acted and/or failed to act, without  
18 any just cause or excuse, when they failed to implement basic safety measures, including, without  
19 limitation, related to training and supervision of firearm use and storage, including, without  
20 limitation, of DEFENDANT GUTIERREZ-REED and DEFENDANT BALDWIN.

21 108. Based upon information and belief, prior to discharge, DEFENDANT GUTIERREZ-  
22 REED, the film's Armorer, had taken 3 firearms from the mismanaged and often unattended cart  
23 and placed them at the upcoming scene's location. Against all safety protocols, standards, and  
24 practices, DEFENDANT HALLS, who was an Assistant Director and not an Armorer, handed,  
25 and was permitted to hand, DEFENDANT BALDWIN a loaded firearm that constituted a  
26 concealed deadly weapon, in violation of, without limitation, N.M. Stat. Ann. § 30-7-2.

27 109. At all relevant times, Halyna Hutchins and other crew members in close proximity  
28

1 of DEFENDANT BALDWIN were unaware of the serious safety risks and dangers involved prior  
2 to DEFENDANT BALDWIN's actions described hereinabove and did not consent to the serious  
3 safety risks and dangers related to the incident.

4 110. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that as a result of the  
5 aforementioned, DEFENDANT BALDWIN unexpectedly, to Halyna Hutchins and crew members,  
6 and without warning aimed the loaded gun and shot in the direction of Halyna that caused a bullet  
7 to strike and kill her.

8 111. As alleged hereinabove, DEFENDANTS and each of them breached the duty of care  
9 they owed to Halyna Hutchins.

10 112. DEFENDANTS and each of them failed to exercise any care to prevent harm to  
11 Halyna Hutchins and/or acted in a way that constituted an extreme departure from the applicable  
12 standard of care. Without limitation, DEFENDANTS' actions constituted gross negligence.

13 113. As a direct and proximate cause of DEFENDANTS' intentional acts and/or  
14 omissions, without any just cause or excuse, that were reasonably expected to result in Halyna  
15 Hutchins' death as described hereinabove, the lives of Halyna's surviving mother Olga Solovey,  
16 surviving father Anatolii Androsovych, and surviving younger sister Svetlana Zemko will never be  
17 the same. As a result of Halyna's death, Halyna's surviving family members have suffered  
18 substantial and foreseeable compensable loss of consortium damages, including, without limitation,  
19 as a result of direct injury to Plaintiffs' relational interest with Halyna as her surviving mother,  
20 father, and younger sister. Plaintiffs are entitled to loss of consortium damages for the emotional  
21 distress due to the harm to their close relationship with Halyna that was caused by the tortious  
22 conduct of DEFENDANT BALDWIN described herein. Plaintiffs have suffered substantial  
23 damages in an amount which cannot presently be ascertained, but which Plaintiffs believe is within  
24 the jurisdictional limits of this Court, according to proof at time of trial.

25 114. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that the actions of  
26 DEFENDANTS as described hereinabove constituted intentional acts and/or omissions, without  
27 any just cause or excuse, that were reasonably expected to result in the fatal injury suffered by  
28

1 Halyna Hutchins and loss of consortium damages to Plaintiffs with utter disregard for the  
2 consequences and/or wanton conduct with utter indifference to and/or conscious disregard for the  
3 rights and safety of others, including, without limitation, of Halyna Hutchins.

4 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

5 **(Loss of Consortium Damages against All DEFENDANTS)**

6 115. Plaintiffs incorporate herein by reference, each and every allegation and statement  
7 contained in paragraphs 1 through 114, *supra*, as if the same had been set forth fully below.

8 116. Plaintiff Olga Solovey is the surviving mother of Halyna Hutchins, Plaintiff Anatolii  
9 Androsovych is the surviving father of Halyna Hutchins, and Plaintiff Svetlana Zemko is the  
10 younger sister of Halyna Hutchins.

11 117. Plaintiffs Olga Solovey, Anatolii Androsovych, and Svetlana Zemko, as Halyna's  
12 surviving mother, father, and younger sister, respectively, all suffered a direct injury to their close  
13 relational interest with Halyna and, as a direct result, have suffered damages for loss of consortium  
14 for the emotional distress caused by the harm to their intimate relationship with Halyna.

15 118. Without the death of Halyna Hutchins, Plaintiffs would have continued to maintain  
16 their close relationship with Halyna, a familial, loving relationship that was sufficiently close,  
17 including, without limitation, one that included mutual dependence, shared experiences, financial  
18 support and dependence, emotional reliance on each other, and the many intimate manners in which  
19 Plaintiffs and Halyna related to each other.

20 119. As a direct result of the loss of the close relationship interest between Halyna and  
21 Plaintiffs Olga Solovey, Anatolii Androsovych, and Svetlana Zemko, Plaintiffs have lost the love,  
22 companionship, comfort, care, assistance, protection, affection, society, guidance, training,  
23 assistance, and moral support of Halyna.

24 120. Plaintiffs are entitled to loss of consortium damages for the emotional distress due to  
25 the harm to their close relationship with Halyna that was caused by the tortious conduct of  
26 DEFENDANTS as described herein. Plaintiffs have suffered substantial damages in an amount  
27 which cannot presently be ascertained, but which Plaintiffs believe is within the jurisdictional limits  
28

1 of this Court, according to proof at time of trial.

2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against all DEFENDANTS, and each of them, as follows:

1. For compensatory damages against each Defendant, jointly and severally, in an amount to be proven at trial, including, without limitation, related to the direct harm to the relationship between Halyna Hutchins and them as her surviving mother, father, and younger sister and for the resulting emotional distress due to the loss of loss of love, companionship, comfort, care, assistance, protection, affection, society, guidance, training, assistance, and moral support of Halyna Hutchins;
2. For civil penalties as permitted by law;
3. For punitive damages;
4. For costs and reasonable attorneys’ fees;
5. For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as permitted by law; and
6. For other such relief as the Court may deem proper.

Dated: February 9, 2023

**CARPENTER & ZUCKERMAN**

BY \_\_\_\_\_


John C. Carpenter  
 Attorney for Plaintiffs  
 OLGA SOLOVEY, ANATOLII  
 ANDROSOVYCH, & SVETLANA ZEMKO

///  
///  
///

1 Dated: February 9, 2023

**ALLRED, MAROKO, & GOLDBERG**

2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28


BY:   
Gloria Allred  
Attorney for Plaintiff,  
OLGA SOLOVEY, ANATOLII  
ANDROSOVYCH, & SVETLANA ZEMKO

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial of all causes by jury.


Dated: February 9, 2023

**CARPENTER & ZUCKERMAN**

BY:   
John C. Carpenter  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
OLGA SOLOVEY, ANATOLII  
ANDROSOVYCH, & SVETLANA ZEMKO

Dated: February 9, 2023

**ALLRED, MAROKO, & GOLDBERG**

BY:   
Gloria Allred  
Attorney for Plaintiff,  
OLGA SOLOVEY, ANATOLII  
ANDROSOVYCH, & SVETLANA ZEMKO