#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 1 of 386 PageID #: 6484 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA
3	MONROE DIVISION
4	000
5	
6	
7	STATE OF MISSOURI, et al., )
8	Plaintiff, )
	vs. ) Case No.
9	JOSEPH R. BIDEN, JUNIOR, et ) -TAD-KDM
10	al., )
11	Defendants. )
12	)
13	
14	
15	000
16	TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 29, 2022
17	ZOOM VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ELVIS CHAN
18	000
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	REPORTER: BALINDA DUNLAP, CSR 10710, RPR, CRR, RMR
25	

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 2 of 386 PageID #: 6485

### ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1		APPEARANCES
2		000
3	FOR THE	PLAINTIFF:
4		MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE Superior Court Building
5		221 West High Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
6		BY: D. JOHN SAUER, ESQ. (Remote) TODD SCOTT, ESQ.
7		KEN CAPPS, ESQ. (Remote) (573) 751-8870
8		john.sauer@ago.mo.gov
9		
10	FOR THE	DEFENDANT:
11		DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 1100 L Street Northwest Washington, D.C. 29530
12		BY: INDRANEEL SUR, ESQ. AMANDA CHUZI, ESQ.
13		(202) 532-5748 indraneel.sur@usdoj.gov
14		amanda.k.chuzi@usdoj.gov
15		
16	FOR THE	PLAINTIFF NEW CIVIL LIBERTIES ALLIANCE:
17		NEW CIVIL LIBERTIES ALLIANCE 1225 19th Street, Northwest, Suite 450 Washington, D.C. 20036
18		BY: JENIN YOUNES, ESQ. (Remote) (202) 918-6902
19		jeninyounes@ncla.legal
20		
	FOR THE	PLAINTIFF JIM HOFT:
21		BURNS LAW FIRM
22		P.O. Box 191250 St. Louis, Missouri 63119
23		BY: JOHN BURNS, ESQ. (Remote) (314) 329-5040
24 25		john@burns-law-firm.com

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 3 of 386 PageID #: 6486

### ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	A P P E A R A N C E S
2	000
3	
4	FOR THE PLAINTIFF STATE OF LOUISIANA:
5	LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 1885 North Third Street
6	Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70802 BY: TRACY SHORT, ESQ. (Remote)
	(225) 326-6705
7	shortt@ag.louisiana.gov
8	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
9	MAHON & BERGER
10	70 Glen Street, Suite 249
11	Glen Cove, New York 11542 BY: LAWRENCE BERGER, ESQ. (Remote)
12	(516) 671-2688
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 4 of 386 PageID #: 6487

### ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 4

1		INDEX OF EXAMINATION	
2		000	
3		7.57	
4 5	ELVIS CH	AN	PAGE
5	BY MR. S.	AUER	8
6	BY MR. S		308
7			
8		TNDEY OF EVILLETIC	
9		INDEX OF EXHIBITS 000	
10	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
11	1	Naval Postgraduate School Thesis: Fighting Bears and Trolls: An	11
12		Analysis of Social Media Companies And U.S. Government Efforts to	
13		Combat Russian Influence Campaigns During the 2020 U.S. Election By	
14		Elvis M. Chan Dated September 2021 (149 pages)	
16	2	Email Correspondence, First Email From Elvis Chan to Elvis Chan Dated September 10, 2020 (121 pages)	287
17	6	Defendants' Amended Combined	169
18	Ŭ	Objections and Responses to Plaintiffs' First Set of Expedited	100
19		Preliminary-Injunction Related Interrogatories (95 pages)	
20	8	Declaration of Yoel Roth (4 pages)	216
21	9	Tech CEOs Senate Testimony	241
22	5	Transcript Dated October 28 (91 pages)	211
23	1 0		
24	13	Audio Transcription of Recording Of Elvis Chan Interview Dated October 28, 2020 (3 pages)	258
25		2020201 20, 2020 (0 pugeb)	

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 5 of 386 PageID #: 6488

### ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
2		000	
3			
4	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
5			
6	15	Audio Transcription of Recording	271
7		Of an Elvis Chan Interview Dated June 29, 2022 (3 pages)	
8	23	Email Correspondence, First Email	196
9		From Elvis Chan to Patricia Rich Dated October 4, 2016 (29 pages)	190
10		Dated October 4, 2010 (29 pages)	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 6 of 386 PageID #: 6489 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 6

1	REMOTE VIA ZOOM, CALIFORNIA, NOVEMBER 29, 2022
2	000
3	BE IT REMEMBERED that on Tuesday, the 29th
4	day of November 2022, commencing at the hour of
5	9:07 a.m. thereof, remotely via Zoom, California,
6	before me, Balinda Dunlap, a Certified Shorthand
7	Reporter in and for the County of San Francisco,
8	State of California, remotely appeared:
9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Good morning,
10	everyone. We are on the record. Today's date is
11	November 28 I'm sorry, 29th, 2022. The time is
12	9:07 a.m.
13	This is the video-recorded deposition of
14	Elvis Chan in the matter of State of Missouri
15	versus Joseph R. Biden, filed in U.S. District
16	Court for the Western District of Louisiana, Monroe
17	Division.
18	This deposition is being held at the U.S.
19	Attorney's Office, Northern District of California,
20	in San Francisco.
21	The reporter's name is Balinda Dunlap. My
22	name is Ruslan Gurzhiy. I am the legal
23	videographer. We are here with Lexitas Legal.
24	Will the attorneys present please
25	introduce themselves and the parties they

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 7 of 386 PageID #: 6490 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 7

1	
1	represent.
2	MR. SAUER: John Sauer on behalf of the
3	Missouri Attorney General's Office and State of
4	Missouri. I am joined by my colleagues Ken Capps
5	and Todd Scott, as well as John Burns and Jenin
6	Younes on behalf of private plaintiffs.
7	MR. SUR: I am Indraneel; my last name is
8	Sur, S-u-r. I'm at the Phoenix Department of
9	Justice, Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch,
10	representing the defendants in this matter.
11	(Discussion off the record.)
12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Any feedback
13	now? Okay. Somebody hold this. Please continue.
14	MR. SUR: I am Indraneel; my last name is
15	Sur, S-u-r. I am at the United States Department
16	of Justice, Civil Division, Federal Programs
17	Branch; and we are representing the defendants
18	today, and some of my colleagues are as well.
19	MR. BERGER: Okay. I am just announcing
20	myself. I don't know if I am out of order, though.
21	Berger, Lawrence Berger. I represent Elvis Chan.
22	(Discussion off the record.)
23	//
24	//
25	//

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 8 of 386 PageID #: 6491 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

	raye
1	ELVIS CHAN
2	called as a witness by the Plaintiff,
3	having been sworn to tell the truth, the whole
4	truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and
5	testified as follows:
6	EXAMINATION BY MR. SAUER
7	Q. Would you please state your name for the
8	record.
9	A. My name is Elvis Chan, E-l-v-i-s, C-h-a-n.
10	Q. And what's your occupation, sir?
11	A. My title is the Assistant Special Agent in
12	Charge of the Cyber Branch for San Francisco
13	Division of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.
14	Q. How long have you worked for the San
15	Francisco Division of the FBI?
16	A. I have worked for the FBI for over 17
17	years.
18	Q. Have you been in San Francisco the whole
19	time?
20	A. Minus three years at headquarters.
21	Q. Was that in Washington, D.C.?
22	A. Yes. From 2011 to 2014.
23	Q. Have you ever given a deposition before?
24	A. No, I have not.
25	Q. Is this your first deposition?

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 9 of 386 PageID #: 6492 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 9 1 Α. Yes. This is my first civil deposition. How about criminal depositions? 2 Q. 3 I have not given criminal depositions Α. 4 before. 5 Q. Can I just go over a few kind of common 6 ground rules with you? 7 First of all, as I'm asking the questions 8 today, can you listen carefully to the question 9 that I'm asking and answer the question that I ask? 10 Can you do that? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. And if you don't understand the question, 13 could you please ask me for clarification instead 14 of quessing at what my meaning might be in 15 responding to a question that you're not sure is 16 what I'm asking? Can you do that? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. And can you and I be careful not to 19 interrupt each other, just because that makes the 20 record unclear and life difficult for the court 21 reporter? 2.2 Yes. Α. 23 Also, could you be careful to respond with Q. 24 actual oral responses, not with head-shakes and 25 "huh-uhs" and "uh-huhs" and things like that, just

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 10 of 386 PageID #: 6493 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1 so that the record's clear? For example, "yes" and 2 "no," not "uh-huh" and not nodding or shaking the 3 heads; can you do that? 4 Α. Yes. 5 And in addition, let's both -- I think we Q. 6 both are fast talkers, especially me. Can we both 7 make an effort to talk slowly enough today so that 8 the court reporter has a chance to take down what 9 we're saying? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Can you describe your educational Q. 12 background? 13 Α. I graduated from the University of 14 Washington with a bachelor of science degree in 15 chemical engineering and chemistry, and then I 16 graduated from the Naval Postgraduate School with a 17 master of arts in homeland security studies. 18 Q. When did you graduate from the Naval 19 Postgraduate School? 20 Last year, 2021, in September. Α. 21 Q. Oh, and you got a -- did you say you got 2.2 an MA there? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Did you do a thesis in connection with Q. 25 that -- that degree?

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 11 of 386 PageID #: 6494 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 11

1	A. Yes, I did.
2	Q. What was the title of the thesis?
3	A. "Fighting Bears and Trolls:" Russian
4	disinformation against the 2020 elections and the
5	social media companies and U.S. government
6	countermeasures.
7	Q. I am showing you a document previously
8	marked as Exhibit 1. Is this, in fact, a copy of
9	that thesis?
10	(Reporter marked Exhibit No. 1 for
11	identification.)
12	THE WITNESS: Yes, that is a copy of that
13	thesis.
14	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Okay. You are listed as
15	the sole author there on the first page?
16	A. That is correct.
17	Q. Okay. And again, as you've noted earlier,
18	this is, I think, dated just over a year ago,
19	September of 2021, correct?
20	A. That is correct.
21	Q. Can I just can you kind of generally
22	characterize what this thesis is about? You know,
23	what's the topic? What are you investigating,
24	researching or defending?
25	MR. SUR: Objection; compound.

LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 12 of 386 PageID #: 6495 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 12

1	Q. BY MR. SAUER: You may answer.
2	A. Okay. So my thesis, the synopsis of it is
3	I examined Russian malign-influence activities,
4	specifically on social media platforms, ahead of
5	and during the 2020 elections. And then I examined
6	the actions taken by three social media companies;
7	specifically Google; Meta, which was previously
8	Facebook; and Twitter; and then I also examined the
9	U.S. government's countermeasures against Russian
10	actions.
11	Q. When you say "Google," do they operate
12	YouTube?
13	A. Yes, they do.
14	Q. So your reference to Google would
15	encompass YouTube; investigating, you know, these
16	kinds of issues on YouTube as well?
17	A. That is correct. And if I may add, when I
18	reference Meta or Facebook, I mean all of the
19	Meta-associated products, which include Facebook,
20	Instagram and WhatsApp.
21	Q. Would that include Nextdoor too?
22	A. It would not include Nextdoor.
23	Q. Is that is not a Meta app?
24	A. It is not a Meta-owned company.
25	Q. Okay. You referred to, I think, Russian

#### 6496 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	malign-influence activities. Can you describe what
2	what does that mean?
3	A. So there are in my thesis, there are
4	there's a description of two types of Russian
5	malign-influence activities. I am specifically
6	talking about online activities.
7	The first type of activity is what is
8	known generally as a hack-and-dump activity. I
9	think the best example I would have of that is the
10	hack of the Democratic National Committee and the
11	DCCC, the Democratic Congressional Campaign
12	Committee, in 2016; hacking of their servers; and
13	then the leaking or dumping of their information on
14	the Internet over the course of the 2016 election
15	season. That is one type of Russian malign
16	influence, but I do not focus on that in the
17	thesis. I do reference it.
18	The second type of Russian malign
19	influence that I discuss in the thesis itself is on
20	the social media platforms and on fake news
21	websites that the Russians have created.
22	Q. And is there a connection between those?
23	Like, the fake news sites, do those get, you know,
24	boosted up to social media platforms by the
25	Russians?

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 14 of 386 PageID #: 6497 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	A. Yes. From my thesis, I was able to
2	determine that the social media platforms were used
3	to direct viewers from the social media platforms
4	to the fake news sites being controlled by Russian
5	state-sponsored actors.
6	Q. And those viewers, I take it, would
7	include American citizens who were being
8	principally targeted by these activities?
9	MR. SUR: Objection; vague.
10	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Were they
11	A. I am not sure, but it would be I'm not
12	sure of who the viewers would be, but whoever would
13	look at the fake Russian accounts and click on the
14	link or be directed to the website. But I do not
15	know who the specific users are.
16	Q. Does your thesis have evidence that people
17	actually did that? In other words, that people
18	actually clicked through and accessed the Russian
19	fake news sites?
20	MR. SUR: Objection; compound.
21	THE WITNESS: So my thesis my thesis
22	was based on the report of both the social media
23	companies as well as third parties, such as
24	Graphika. So I relied on their analysis of the
25	direct data.

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 15 of 386 PageID #: 6498 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	
1	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Did their analysis indicate
2	that there had been, in fact, that kind of
3	engagement of viewers of the social media accounts
4	to go through to the fake news sites?
5	A. From both the social media company
6	standpoint, the answer is yes; but in general, I
7	was told that it was a, quote/quote, low
8	engagement, from what they could tell.
9	And then from the third-party reports,
10	they did not characterize it as much. They said
11	that they believed that viewers could be directed,
12	but they did not say who the amount were or the
13	percentage of any of those viewers.
14	Q. And did your research indicate a higher
15	level of engagement with the kind of fake social
16	media accounts operated by the Russians than the
17	fake news websites?
18	A. Yes. In general, yes.
19	Q. Let me ask this: You referred a minute
20	ago to U.S. government countermeasures, I believe.
21	Can you describe, just generally, what sort of U.S.
22	government countermeasures do you discuss in the
23	thesis?
24	A. So there were three U.S. government
25	countermeasures that I discussed in the thesis.

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 16 of 386 PageID #: 6499 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 16

1 Number one was an initiative that the FBI took called the Protected Voices Initiative. That was 2 3 actually focused on hack-and-dump operations. And 4 the Protected Voices Initiative was cybersecurity 5 briefings and awareness training provided for all 6 the national-level political committees, such as 7 the RNC, the DNC, the RSCC, the DCCC. Briefings 8 were provided by our Washington field office.

9 And then briefings were also provided to 10 the vast majority of the presidential candidates 11 for the 2020 election season. I think there were 12 approximately two dozen of those presidential 13 candidates that were provided -- them and their 14 senior staff were provided cybersecurity briefings. 15 That was one of the measures that was taken.

A second measure that was taken was the information sharing with the private sector companies, where we shared both strategic-level information as well as tactical information.

And then the third -- the third measure I think I mentioned in the thesis was that -- the CISA Act, the formation of the Cybersecurity and Information Security Agency in 2018 and their ability to provide state, county and local election officials with both grants, federal grants to

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 17 of 386 PageID #: 6500 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	improve their infrastructure as well as with
2	cybersecurity training.
3	Q. Okay. That was you said a lot there,
4	and I just want to ask you a few follow-up
5	questions to kind of unpack it.
6	I think you said the second U.S.
7	government countermeasure was information sharing;
8	is that right?
9	A. That's correct.
10	Q. What is a
11	A. I might have the order incorrect. I
12	haven't looked in my thesis since over a year, but
13	yeah.
14	Q. Well, let me ask you this: Information
15	sharing, you know, is that information sharing
16	between federal agencies and social media
17	platforms?
18	A. That is information sharing between the
19	FBI and the in the thesis I focus on the three
20	social media companies.
21	Q. So so specifically your thesis focuses
22	on information sharing between the FBI and
23	basically Facebook, Google and Twitter, right?
24	A. That is correct.
25	Q. Yeah. Is there other information sharing

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 18 of 386 PageID #: 6501 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1 that happened by other federal agencies on issues 2 that relate to this? 3 MR. SUR: Objection; lacks foundation, 4 vaque. 5 Q. BY MR. SAUER: If you know. 6 Α. I am generally aware that other federal 7 agencies want to do private sector engagement, but 8 I am not familiar with the frequency of the 9 information sharing or the type of information 10 sharing. 11 Do you know which other federal agencies Q. 12 do that? 13 MR. SUR: Objection; vague, lacks 14 foundation. 15 THE WITNESS: I have been -- I am aware 16 specifically that CISA, the Cybersecurity and 17 Infrastructure Security Agency, does information sharing in terms of elections with the social media 18 19 companies. 20 BY MR. SAUER: To the extent you know, Q. 21 what kind of information sharing do they do? 2.2 All of the information that I have Α. 23 personally witnessed comes through what CISA has 24 called the "industry working group." That includes 25 the three social media companies I mentioned. And

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 19 of 386 PageID #: 6502 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	the type of information that they have shared is
2	generally about when the primaries for each state
3	happen and then to highlight anything that they
4	believe is a unique or different from
5	standard or from what is considered normal.
6	For example, like an Iowa caucus is not a
7	normal type of primary. Most states do not run
8	caucuses, so they will highlight something like an
9	Iowa caucus. They will also highlight the election
10	methods that are used in different states, whether
11	it be a paper ballot or an electronic device, like
12	a touch-screen device.
13	Q. That industry working group, do you
14	personally participate in that?
15	A. I have participated in several of them.
16	Q. How often do they occur?
17	A. For the year 2020, I think for the first
18	half of the year they occurred quarterly. So I
19	think, you know, every three months.
20	And then for the last half of the year, I
21	want to say around the June, July time frame, it
22	moved to monthly.
23	Q. How about in 2022?
24	A. In 2022 I believe they were about the
25	same. It happened quarterly. And then I believe

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 20 of 386 PageID #: 6503 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 20

1	ahead of the midterm elections they moved to
2	monthly; except due to my conflicting schedule, I
3	believe I was only able to attend about three of
4	the meetings this year.
5	Q. When you say "attend," are these all done,
6	you know, by Zoom? Or are there is there a big
7	kind of in-person meeting?
8	A. And I have attended via videoconference,
9	and it's not Zoom. It's typically whatever the
10	private sector companies want to use. So I have
11	seen Cisco Webex or BlueJeans. Those are the two I
12	remember.
13	Q. Do a lot of companies participate in these
14	meetings?
15	A. Yes. Several companies participate in
16	these meetings.
17	Q. Okay. But which ones, do you recall?
18	A. I do I do recall. I don't know if
19	that's law enforcement sensitive or not. I don't
20	know if the companies want to be disclosed.
21	Q. Please tell us on the record what
22	companies participated.
23	A. Meta
24	MR. BERGER: Yeah, Elvis, is this is it
25	classified or protected? How do you what's your

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 21 of 386 PageID #: 6504 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	understanding of the classification of this
2	information?
3	THE WITNESS: My understanding is that
4	this is that this is sensitive information and
5	that the
6	MR. BERGER: All right. I'll direct
7	you I'll direct you not to disclose it. We'll
8	have to take it to the judge.
9	MR. SAUER: Okay. Is this a okay. You
10	realize the government has already disclosed that
11	information in interrogatories for this case.
12	(Discussion off the record.)
13	MR. SAUER: You realize this information
14	has already been disclosed by the government in
15	their interrogatory responses in this case.
16	And in addition to that, there is no
17	privilege of law enforcement sensitive. There is a
18	law enforcement investigative files privilege that
19	is clearly inapplicable here.
20	And also, are both counsel going to
21	instruct the witness not to answer questions here?
22	MR. BERGER: Well, if it's already been
23	disclosed in interrogatories, why don't you ask him
24	based on you know, give him the names that have
25	already disclosed.

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 22 of 386 PageID #: 6505 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 22

```
1
              MR. SAUER: I'd like to cross-verify the
 2
     information I got from the government from someone
 3
     who personally participated in the meetings, and
 4
     I'm entitled to do that.
5
              MR. SUR: Counsel, if I may, I -- do you
6
     think it would be helpful to have a break at this
7
     point to sort this out?
8
              MR. SAUER: Yeah. We can go off the
9
     record.
10
              MR. SUR:
                       Okay.
11
              MR. SAUER:
                          Okay.
12
              THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record -- off
13
     the record at 9:27 a.m.
14
                 (Whereupon a recess was taken.)
15
              THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
16
    record at 9:37 a.m.
17
              MR. SAUER: So, Counsel, where are we?
18
     Are you standing on that objection?
19
              MR. BERGER: I withdraw the objection.
                                                       Go
20
     ahead.
21
              MR. SAUER: Yeah.
                                 Thank you. As a
22
     process point, I am requesting that one attorney
23
    make the objections and represent him in this
24
     deposition, not two. Now, I have no problem if
    Mr. Berger wants to flag something for Mr. Sur.
25
```

LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 23 of 386 PageID #: 6506 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 23

1	But I understand that Mr. Sur is defending the
2	deposition, not Mr. Berger. So if you've got an
3	issue, I don't mind if you guys want to talk about
4	it, but I don't want to have multiple multiple
5	attorneys objecting and instructions being started.
6	Can we proceed on that basis from now on?
7	MR. SUR: We intend to do so. There my be
8	some exceptional circumstances that warrant a
9	different approach, but that's how we expect to
10	proceed.
11	MR. SAUER: I am fine to hear that. You
12	know, if things come up that raise an issue, you
13	know, we can we can raise them. But, yeah,
14	anyway, all right. I mean, to be clear, you know,
15	any law enforcement privilege is held by the
16	government, not by Mr. Chan's personal capacity,
17	and Mr. Sur is the one who is there to represent
18	the government today.
19	Q. So but anyway, okay. Mr. Chan, or
20	Agent Chan, who do you recall on the social media
21	platform side participating in these in these
22	working group meetings that you have been
23	testifying about from 2020 and 2022?
24	A. The companies that I remember attending
25	the meetings are Facebook; Microsoft; Google;

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 24 of 386 PageID #: 6507 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 24

1 Twitter; Yahoo!, which may have been known as 2 Verizon Media at the time; Wikimedia Foundation and 3 Reddit. 4 Do you remember any others at this time? Ο. I think I listed seven. 5 Α. I -- those were, 6 like, the regular participants that I can remember. 7 Q. How about on the U.S. government side, 8 what agencies were represented at these meetings? 9 At these meetings, CISA is the host and Α. 10 facilitator for the meeting. They also invite 11 another component of Department of Homeland 12 Security called Intelligence and Analysis, I&A, so 13 DHS I&A I know attends. The Office of the Director 14 of National Intelligence, ODNI, attends. And then 15 from the FBI there is typically a representative 16 from the FBI's Foreign Influence Task Force, which 17 you will hear me abbreviate as FITF regularly. And then I attend from FBI San Francisco when I am 18 19 available. 20 And why are you included in particular? Q. 21 Α. The reason that I attend these meetings is 2.2 because the way the FBI works is FBI field offices 23 are responsible for maintaining the day-to-day 24 relationships with the companies that are 25 headquartered in their area of responsibility,

> LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

#### 6508 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	which I may occasionally abbreviate to AOR. And
2	all of the companies that have been listed, with
3	the exception of Microsoft, are all headquartered
4	in FBI San Francisco's territory.
5	Q. Now, where is Microsoft headquartered?
6	A. They are headquartered in Redmond,
7	Washington.
8	Q. And then on the CISA side in particular,
9	what individuals participate in these meetings?
10	A. Typically there are senior-level what I
11	believe are senior-level officials. The two that I
12	specifically remember are Matt Masterson and Brian
13	Scully.
14	Q. Is that Matt Masterson, did you say?
15	A. Yeah. Yeah, Matthew Masterson and Brian
16	Scully are the two regular attendees. And they are
17	usually one or both of them one either one
18	of them is usually emceeing the meeting.
19	Q. So Brian Scully, do you know him
20	personally?
21	A. I know him just through work.
22	Q. Okay. In what connection at work?
23	A. And I only know I only know
24	Mr. Masterson through work as well.
25	Q. When you say you know him through work,

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 26 of 386 PageID #: 6509 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	did you work together on anything other than these
2	meetings?
3	A. So we have met I want to say I have met
4	in person with each of those individuals, twice in
5	person; but they are primarily through either the
6	preparatory calls for these meetings that they are
7	hosting or the meetings themselves.
8	Q. So you work with CISA in preparatory calls
9	for these industry working group meetings?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And in that connection, you've met Brian
12	Scully and Matt Masterson, correct?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And Brian Scully is involved in kind of
15	leading or emceeing these meetings; is that right?
16	MR. SUR: Objection; vague.
17	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Or is he the is he the
18	leader of the meeting when it convenes?
19	A. For the 2020 election cycle, Mr. Masterson
20	was the primarily he was primarily the
21	facilitator. Ahead of the 2022 midterm elections,
22	Mr. Scully has been the primary facilitator.
23	Q. Was that because Mr. Masterson left CISA
24	in the in the intervening time?
25	A. Yes.

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 27 of 386 PageID #: 6510 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 27

1	Q. Do you know where he works now?
2	A. Yes. I believe he works at Microsoft now.
3	Q. Do you do you interact with him now as
4	a representative of a of a tech company?
5	MR. SUR: Objection; vague.
6	THE WITNESS: I have only interacted with
7	him on two occasions. One was when he showed up at
8	one of the more recent industry meetings ahead of
9	the 2022 midterm elections. That is when I
10	discovered that he went to Microsoft. And then I
11	asked him to send me his new contact information
12	during the call, and then he sent me an email and
13	provided me with his new contact information.
14	Q. BY MR. SAUER: You work with CISA on these
15	industry working group meetings to help prepare
16	them, what's the nature of your involvement in
17	preparing for these meetings? Do you kind of set
18	the agenda or you know, what's your involvement?
19	MR. SUR: Objection; vague and compound
20	and implicates the deliberative process privilege.
21	MR. SAUER: Let me rephrase the question.
22	Q. Are you involved in preparing for these
23	meetings?
24	A. No. I participate in the preparation
25	meetings, but I do not provide any agenda items.

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 28 of 386 PageID #: 6511 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 28

1 Q. Do you remember anyone else at CISA
2 besides Mr. Scully and Mr. Masterson who
3 participates in these meetings?
4 A. I don't recollect any other people's names
5 at this time.
6 Q. Were there others who participated in
7 but you just don't remember who they were?
8 A. Yes, that is correct.
9 Q. Okay. Let me do you still have Exhibit
10 1 on the screen in front of you, your thesis?
11 A. Yes.
12 Q. Okay. Let me ask let's turn back to
13 that for a little while. And if we could, I am
14 going to scroll ahead to your abstract on Page v.
15 Can you see that clearly, Roman numeral v.
16 MR. SUR: Roman numeral v. Okay.
17 THE WITNESS: Yes, I see it now.
18 Q. BY MR. SAUER: And can you see it also on
19 the screen share as well as on the iPad? I want to
20 make sure you can see the document in both places
21 as we go forward today.
22 A. I can see the bottom half of one
23 paragraph, and then wait, now now I see
24 yeah, I see the bottom paragraph of the
25 Q. Actually, can I can I direct your

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 29 of 386 PageID #: 6512 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1 attention this sentence here in your abstract that I am highlighting? I guess, actually, for context, 2 3 if you see above -- actually, just focus on that 4 "This" -- you say, "This thesis finds sentence. 5 that the Russians shifted their tactics from 2016 6 to 2020," right? 7 Α. Correct. 8 Q. And then you say, "Still, the U.S. 9 government and social media companies effectively 10 impeded their influence campaigns primarily through 11 information sharing and account takedowns, 12 respectively," correct? 13 Α. Correct. 14 What do you mean by "information sharing" Ο. 15 here? 16 Α. So "information sharing" is meant -there -- as I mentioned previously, there are two 17 18 types of information that the U.S. government, 19 specifically the FBI, shares with the social media 20 companies. The first type of information, the 21 strategic information, which discusses the tools, 22 tactics or processes, shortened to be TPPs, used by 23 the Russians. 24 The second type of information shared by the U.S. government is tactical information. And 25

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 30 of 386 PageID #: 6513 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 30

1	when I mean tactical information, I specifically
2	mean indicators or selectors. And both of those
3	are a term of art within the cybersecurity
4	industry. And indicators or selectors include IP
5	addresses, email accounts, social media accounts,
6	well, website domain names, and, like, file hash
7	values.
8	Q. Sorry. Say the last thing. What kind of
9	hash values?
10	A. File, like electronic file hash values.
11	Q. Okay. And so, yeah, I take it the
12	strategic information is kind of high-level advice
13	to the social media platforms about, you know, the
14	kinds of kinds of campaigns the Russians might
15	be conducting; is that fair to say?
16	MR. SUR: Objection; lacks foundation.
17	THE WITNESS: I would not I would not
18	characterize the information we share as advice.
19	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Okay. Then in that case it
20	is sort of is it high-level general information
21	about what FBI understands the Russians are
22	engaging in when it comes to social media influence
23	campaigns?
24	MR. SUR: Objection; lacks foundation.
25	THE WITNESS: Yes.

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 31 of 386 PageID #: 6514 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	Q. BY MR. SAUER: And then go ahead.
2	Sorry. Go ahead.
3	A. I can provide an example if that would be
4	illustrative.
5	Q. That would be super helpful. Please do.
6	A. I had the 2020 elections, through our
7	investigation of the Internet Research Agency, we
8	discovered that they were trying to set up a base,
9	as it were, or set up offices in western Africa.
10	We shared this type of strategic information with
11	the social media companies. They were able to use
12	whatever detection methods they have to discover
13	that there were Russian troll farms being set up
14	specifically in Ghana and Nigeria.
15	Q. Okay. And so you mentioned earlier that
16	tactical that would be strategic information?
17	A. That would be strategic. To summarize, an
18	example would be we believe the Russian troll
19	farms, specifically the Internet Research Agency,
20	is trying to make inroads in western Africa.
21	Q. Got you. And then tactical information
22	would be much more specific. Here are specific
23	I think you said IP addresses, websites, social
24	media accounts, that are actually the FBI has
25	concluded are being operated by the Russians. Is

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 32 of 386 PageID #: 6515 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 32

1	that what tactical information is?
2	A. That is correct.
3	Q. So there is and so is there information
4	sharing from the FBI to social media platforms
5	providing that kind of specific tactical-level
6	information?
7	A. Yes, there is.
8	Q. And I think your that then the timeline
9	in your thesis goes on specifically with
10	"information sharing and account takedowns." Does
11	"account takedowns" refer to the social media
12	platforms kind of taking down those social media
13	accounts where the FBI identifies them as being
14	operated by Russian actors?
15	MR. SUR: Objection; lacks foundation.
16	THE WITNESS: So the FBI shares
17	information with the social media companies, no
18	strings attached, so that the social media
19	companies can protect their platforms as they deem
20	appropriate. And from what I have observed and
21	what they have told me when we have provided them
22	with high confidence of Russian selectors, that
23	they have been able to discover fake Russian
24	accounts and take them down.
25	Q. BY MR. SAUER: So you don't control what

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 33 of 386 PageID #: 6516 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 33

1 they do, correct? 2 Α. I do not control what they do. 3 But you provide them with information that Ο. 4 they don't have about the source of certain -- you 5 called them selectors or social media accounts, 6 correct? 7 Correct. Α. 8 Q. And when you provide them with that 9 information, they take it and they pull down those 10 accounts, at least sometimes, fair to say? 11 MR. SUR: Objection; lacks foundation. 12 THE WITNESS: If I can clarify, what they 13 do is they take the information that we share, they 14 validate it through their own means. And then if 15 they determine that these are accounts being 16 operated by Russian state-sponsored actors, then 17 they have taken them down. 18 Q. BY MR. SAUER: Oh, okay. And then -- and 19 that's, I -- I take it, part of the point of your 20 sharing the information with them, right? So that 21 they can assess and evaluate and then ultimately, 22 if they agree with your conclusion, take them down, 23 correct? 24 Α. Correct. 25 Ο. In other words, the purpose of the

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 34 of 386 PageID #: 6517 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	information sharing on the FBI's side is to have
2	the inauthentic Russian accounts taken down so that
3	they are not influencing political discourse in the
4	United States, correct?
5	MR. SUR: Objection; lacks foundation,
6	calls for speculation.
7	THE WITNESS: I would characterize it as
8	the FBI provides information to these companies so
9	that they can protect their platforms as they deem
10	appropriate, and they can take whatever actions
11	they deem appropriate without any suggestion or
12	interference from the FBI.
13	Q. BY MR. SAUER: But my question's a little
14	different, which is what my question is: Part
15	of the purpose from the FBI's perspective is to
16	give them the tools to assess and potentially take
17	down accounts that the FBI has deemed to be
18	inauthentic, correct?
19	MR. SUR: Objection; lacks foundation,
20	calls for speculation.
21	Q. BY MR. SAUER: You may answer.
22	A. So I would say inauthentic so my
23	focus is on Russian state-sponsored, -controlled
24	accounts. And so whether the companies take them
25	down or not, it's their own choice.

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 35 of 386 PageID #: 6518 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	Q. Right, but is it your purpose in giving
2	them the information that the FBI believes or has
3	concluded that they are Russian-operated accounts,
4	is it your purpose to equip them to take them down
5	if they end up agreeing with your assessment?
6	MR. SUR: Objection; lacks foundation,
7	calls for speculation.
8	THE WITNESS: My purpose is to share the
9	information with them so that they can protect
10	their platforms as they deem appropriate.
11	Q. BY MR. SAUER: And one way to protect
12	their platforms is to take down these accounts,
13	correct?
14	A. That is correct.
15	Q. And, in fact, that's what you say here in
16	this sentence, right? You say that, "the U.S.
17	government and social media companies effectively
18	impeded their influence campaignsthrough
19	information sharing and account takedowns," right?
20	A. I said that. You can see I put
21	"respectively" because it was the U.S. government,
22	specifically the FBI, sharing information; and it
23	was the social media companies doing the account
24	takedowns.
25	Q. Right. And the joint result of that was

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 36 of 386 PageID #: 6519 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1 effectively impeding Russian influence campaigns, 2 correct? 3 Α. Correct. 4 And -- and that's FITF's goal, right? Ο. То 5 effectively impede Russian influence campaigns, 6 right? 7 MR. SUR: Objection; lacks foundation, 8 calls for speculation. 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah, FITF -- my understanding of FITF's goal is to counter malign 10 11 foreign-influence campaigns. 12 BY MR. SAUER: Does that include Q. 13 effectively impeding their influence campaigns, as 14 you say in your thesis? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Does that include doing so through account Q. 17 takedowns by information sharing with social media 18 platforms? 19 MR. SUR: Objection; lacks foundation, 20 mischaracterizes the testimony. 21 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I believe you're 2.2 mischaracterizing. So like I said before, the FBI 23 shares information with no strings attached and no 24 expectations to -- for the companies. And the 25 companies, they can protect their own platforms.

> LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 37 of 386 PageID #: 6520 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 37

1 MR. SAUER: I am going to jump ahead to 2 page little Roman xvii. 3 You can see there, Indraneel, it's going 4 to be on Page 19 of the PDF. See that? 5 MR. SUR: Yep. Yeah, we're on it. 6 Okay. I believe this is a Ο. BY MR. SAUER: 7 kind of summary section of your thesis. You talk 8 about in this paragraph here that begins with, "The 9 U.S. government's response," that I have 10 highlighted; do you see that? 11 Α. Yes. 12 And you say, "The U.S. government's Q. 13 response to the Russian influence campaign appeared 14 more robust before the 2020 elections than in the 15 2016 or 2018 elections, " correct? 16 Α. Correct. 17 Q. And then in the next sentence, you say, 18 "The most important actions taken by the U.S. 19 government may have been the information sharing 20 with the social media companies to expose Russia's 21 different operations and shut down its accounts," 2.2 correct? 23 Α. Correct. 24 So the information sharing was done, "To Q. 25 expose Russia's different operations and shut down

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 38 of 386 PageID #: 6521 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 38

1 its accounts," right? 2 Α. Correct. 3 And then "its" refers to Russia, right? Ο. 4 So (as read) "to expose Russia's different 5 operations and shut down Russia's accounts," 6 correct? 7 Correct. Α. 8 Q. I am going to jump ahead a few pages to 9 Page xxii. MR. SAUER: And, Indraneel, if you're 10 11 following on your iPad, that's going to be Page 24 12 of the PDF. 13 Q. There's a reference here in the 14 acknowledgments where you refer to, "My colleagues 15 back at headquarters who were in the trenches with 16 me as we worked to protect the 2020 elections." 17 See that? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Okay. What are you talking about there Q. 20 where it says (as read), "in the trenches with you 21 as you worked to protect the 2020 elections"? 2.2 I'm referring to my colleagues Α. 23 specifically at the Foreign Influence Task Force 24 who participated in the meetings with me, who 25 provided briefings to the companies and who

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 39 of 386 PageID #: 6522 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 39

1	coordinated the information sharing.
2	Q. And so you said you had meetings with the
3	companies. What meetings did you have?
4	A. We had let me be more clear. I hosted
5	meetings, bilateral meetings between each of the
6	companies I mentioned and the Foreign Influence
7	Task Force.
8	And we would also bring in field offices
9	that had investigations related to malign foreign
10	influence by state-sponsored actors. We would also
11	bring in field offices that had cyber
12	investigations. And when I mean cyber
13	investigations, I mean state-sponsored actors that
14	the FBI was investigating that we believe were
15	capable of hack-and-dump campaigns that we observed
16	in the 2016 election.
17	Q. Okay. Let me unpack that a bit.
18	First of all, you said there were meetings
19	with social media companies, between you and social
20	media companies during the 2020 election cycle,
21	correct? Is that what we're talking about?
22	A. Yes, that is correct.
23	Q. Now, did those meetings also continue in
24	the 2022 election cycle?
25	A. Yes. They occur at roughly a quarterly

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 40 of 386 PageID #: 6523 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 40

1 cadence. 2 And then do they -- does the cadence Q. 3 increase as elections get close? 4 Yes, they do. And --Α. 5 Now, does that become monthly as the Q. 6 election nears and then weekly very close to the 7 elections? 8 Α. Ahead of the 2020 elections, that is 9 correct. Ahead of the 2022 elections, we moved it 10 from quarterly to monthly, and then we just had one 11 meeting a week ahead of the midterm elections. 12 I'm sorry. You said you had one weekly Q. 13 meeting ahead of the midterm elections? 14 Right. We had one meeting a week before Α. 15 the midterm elections. 16 Oh, and how long was the -- how long was Q. 17 that period of weekly meetings? Was that, like, 18 the month before or the three months before? 19 Α. No. Just the week before the election 20 itself. 21 Q. Oh, okay. There was one weekly meeting, 22 right, the week before the election? 23 There was -- yeah. So there was a Α. Yeah. 24 monthly meeting in October; and then we had another 25 meeting out of -- you know, out of cadence the week

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 41 of 386 PageID #: 6524 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 41

1 before the election, so the end of October. 2 And then are these meetings going back to Q. 3 quarterly now that the election has passed? 4 That is correct. Α. 5 And so you're -- you'll have quarterly Q. 6 meetings with the social media companies going 7 forward until the 2024 election cycle gets closer? 8 That is what I anticipate. Α. 9 And then as that election gets closer, Q. 10 then you'll move to monthly and eventually weekly a 11 couple years from now, or in the fall of 2024; is 12 that fair to say? 13 MR. SUR: Objection; calls for 14 speculation. 15 THE WITNESS: That is what I anticipate. 16 BY MR. SAUER: Let me ask you this: Ο. What 17 social media companies are involved in these 18 meetings? 19 Objection; vague. MR. SUR: 20 THE WITNESS: Currently or in 2020? 21 Well, let's start with Q. BY MR. SAUER: 2.2 2020. I'd like to know both. Let's start with 23 2020, please. 24 So for the 2020 elections, we regularly Α. 25 met with Facebook, Google, Twitter, Yahoo!, Reddit

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 42 of 386 PageID #: 6525 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 42

1	and LinkedIn.
2	Q. Okay. And then who did you which
3	social media companies did you meet with in 2022?
4	A. The same companies; and we less not
5	on a quarterly basis, but on a periodic basis,
6	maybe twice this year, we also met with Apple and
7	Wikimedia Foundation.
8	Q. Does Apple operate social media platforms?
9	A. They do not.
10	Q. Then why did they get added to this the
11	list?
12	A. They were added because they are a cloud
13	infrastructure company; and we believe that
14	tactical information, specifically indicators that
15	we shared with them related to
16	foreign-state-sponsored actors, might pop up on
17	any any screening they do on iCloud.
18	Q. How about in the 2018 election cycle, did
19	these meetings happen back then?
20	A. Yes, they did. On a quarterly cadence.
21	Q. And did they ever get to monthly or weekly
22	back then?
23	A. I don't recollect. They may have, but I
24	don't recollect at this time.
25	Q. You what let me ask this: What

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 43 of 386 PageID #: 6526 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 43

1	kinds of people are you meeting with from these
2	social media platforms? Is this members of the
3	trust and safety teams of these platforms?
4	MR. SUR: Objection; vague.
5	THE WITNESS: There are typically two
6	types of people that we meet at these companies
7	and when I mean types, I mean roles. So as you
8	mentioned, one role of person that we typically
9	meet is a trust and safety or site integrity or
10	cybersecurity. Like, so a security function person
11	or team of people.
12	And then we meet with their attorneys too.
13	So typically there is a security counsel or trust
14	and safety counsel.
15	Q. BY MR. SAUER: And those trust and safety
16	and site integrity teams, they are responsible for
17	defending those platforms from kind of hacking
18	attempts and things like that, right?
19	MR. SUR: Objection; vague, assumes facts
20	not in evidence.
21	THE WITNESS: That is my understanding.
22	Q. BY MR. SAUER: And then they are also, I
23	take it, in charge of enforcing the you know,
24	the terms of service or the content modulation
25	policies at those platforms; is that right?

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 44 of 386 PageID #: 6527 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

<ol> <li>A. That is my understanding.</li> <li>Q. Let me ask you this: With respect to</li> <li>Facebook, who do you meet with on the trust and</li> <li>safety team?</li> </ol>	
Facebook, who do you meet with on the trust and safety team?	
4 safety team?	
5 A. In what context? Specifically for the	
6 FITF meetings?	
7 Q. Well, let's start with those, and then	
8 I'll ask you about other contexts. Yeah, these	
9 sort of quarterly, monthly, then weekly cadence	
10 meetings we have been talking about, who attends	
11 from the kind of trust and safety or site integri	y
12 group from Facebook?	
13 A. From, yeah, the trust and safety side of	
14 that house, the people that I typically meet with	
15 are Emily Vacher, Ryan Kelly, Mike Devilotski, Mi	e
16 Torrey. The those are the senior-level	
17 officials. They are either manager- or	
18 director-level officials. And typically the	
19 they have investigators or analysts under them, b	ıt
20 that's kind of rotating and on an ad hoc basis	
21 on an as-needed basis. I don't recollect the nam	S
22 of most of the analysts.	
23 Q. Do you interact with Facebook employees	.n
24 other contexts?	
25 MR. SUR: Objection; vague.	

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 45 of 386 PageID #: 6528 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 45

1	THE WITNESS: Yeah. The majority of my
2	interaction with Facebook is not in the
3	disinformation or malign-foreign-influence realm.
4	It is actually for things related to my to the
5	Cyber Branch, which are specifically cyber
6	investigations.
7	Q. BY MR. SAUER: How about Twitter? Who do
8	you meet with at Twitter when it relates to
9	disinformation and malign-foreign-influence
10	operations?
11	A. The same as with Facebook. I meet with
12	trust and safety/site integrity people, and then I
13	meet with their security counsel.
14	Q. And then what what human beings at
15	Twitter from the trust and safety/site integrity
16	group do you meet with?
17	A. The head of site integrity until the day
18	after the midterm elections that I met with was
19	Yoel Roth, and his senior manager is Will Newland.
20	But they have both told me they are no longer with
21	the company.
22	Q. Do you have any new contacts at Twitter
23	now?
24	A. I was provided their counterpart in the
25	Dublin, Ireland, office; and I believe his name was

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 46 of 386 PageID #: 6529 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1 Aaron Roderick. I have only exchanged like two emails with him. 2 3 So but up until quite recently, your Ο. 4 principal trust and safety contacts at Twitter were 5 Yoel Roth and Will Newland? That is correct. 6 Α. 7 Q. But they are both senior- or 8 management-level officials in site integrity or 9 trust and safety? Α. 10 That is my understanding. 11 Anyone else at Twitter that -- who would Q. 12 participate in meetings that relate to malign 13 foreign influence or disinformation? 14 Yes. On the attorney side, they regularly Α. 15 had several security counsels attend. And the 16 senior official at the time of the 2020 elections 17 was Angela Sherrer, but she is also no longer with 18 the company. 19 Okay. And then how about Google, who do Q. 20 you -- or who do you meet with at Google about 21 disinformation and malign-foreign-influence 2.2 activities? 23 On the site integrity/trust and safety Α. 24 side, the senior director of that group is Shane 25 Huntley.

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 47 of 386 PageID #: 6530 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	Q. Anyone else besides is that a
2	Mr. Huntley?
3	A. Yeah. Mr. Shane Huntley.
4	Q. And then is there anyone else at Google
5	who you meet with?
6	A. Yes. Up until recently, I would say
7	earlier this year, the senior attorney that we met
8	with was Richard Salgado, but he has since retired.
9	Q. Anyone else besides those two individuals?
10	A. Those are the two senior officials. The
11	other officials that I would meet with regularly on
12	the attorney side include Harold Chun and Mike
13	Maffei.
14	Q. Sure.
15	A. But I believe both of them are senior
16	security counsels.
17	Q. How about Yahoo!, who do you meet with on
18	the site integrity or trust and safety side from
19	Yahoo! as it relates to malign foreign influence
20	and disinformation?
21	A. The head of the group that we met with $$
22	they are colloquially known as "The Paranoids"
23	is named Chris Kieft.
24	Q. Why are they called "The Paranoids"?
25	A. I believe it was I'm not sure, but I

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 48 of 386 PageID #: 6531 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 48

1 believe it was a previous chief information security officer who said that it was the job of 2 3 his team to be paranoid in order to protect their 4 platform. 5 Are they paranoid in your view? Q. 6 MR. SUR: Objection; calls for 7 speculation. 8 THE WITNESS: They are very good people to 9 work with. 10 Q. BY MR. SAUER: I'm sorry. Tell me their 11 names again? 12 Chris Kieft is the director of that group, Α. 13 and then the senior manager is Suruchi Chen. 14 Is there anyone else on the trust and Ο. 15 safety/site integrity side from Yahoo! that you 16 meet with? 17 There are different investigators that Α. they will have briefing different things, but I 18 19 don't recollect any of their names at this time. 20 Okay. And then how about Reddit, who do Q. 21 you meet with from Reddit on the site 22 integrity/trust and safety side as it relates to 23 disinformation or malign foreign influence? 24 Α. His name is Tyler Otto. He is the head of data sciences at Reddit. 25

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 49 of 386 PageID #: 6532 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1 Anyone else at Reddit who you meet with Q. 2 about those topics? 3 Yes. The -- his senior manager is named Α. 4 Aylea Baldwin. 5 Is that A-l-i-a? Q. 6 Α. A-y-l-e-a. 7 Q. All these officials you have talked about 8 from these various social media platforms --9 Facebook, Google, Twitter, Yahoo!, Reddit -- these 10 are all people that would have authority over 11 enforcing terms of service at their respective 12 platforms, correct? 13 MR. SUR: Objection; mischaracterizes the 14 testimony and calls for speculation. 15 THE WITNESS: That is my understanding. Т 16 don't know if they have the authority, but they 17 will at least inform the decision-makers, whoever 18 they may be. 19 Q. But they'd be directly BY MR. SAUER: 20 involved in the enforcement of terms of service for 21 these various platforms; is that fair to say? 2.2 That is my understanding. Α. 23 And that includes, of course, content Q. 24 modulation of content on the platforms, right? 25 MR. SUR: Objection; vague, calls for

> LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 50 of 386 PageID #: 6533 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	speculation.
2	THE WITNESS: That is my understanding.
3	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Turning back to your
4	thesis, you talk about (as read) "being in the
5	trenches with you as you worked to protect the 2020
6	elections." Were there any other steps you took in
7	the trenches other than those these, I guess,
8	information sharing meetings you have described
9	with those, I think, seven platforms?
10	MR. SUR: Objection; vague.
11	THE WITNESS: Yes. One of the things that
12	I did more frequently was San FBI San
13	Francisco's territory includes 16 counties. So I
14	provided them, the county registrar or county
15	clerk's offices, their information security
16	personnel, with monthly cybersecurity updates and
17	guidance.
18	Q. BY MR. SAUER: And so that would involve
19	advising county clerks and registrars how to fend
20	off hacking attempts?
21	A. Yes. I would I would share indicators
22	with them I would share the same type of
23	information that I shared with social media
24	companies, to a more limited extent. I would share
25	strategic information about what we saw

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 51 of 386 PageID #: 6534 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	foreign-state-sponsored actors doing.
2	And then where appropriate, we would share
3	indicators with companies, but these were not
4	these would primarily be IP addresses and domain
5	names so that they could see if they were popping
6	up anywhere on their networks.
7	Q. I am going to jump ahead in your thesis to
8	Page 17 of the main text.
9	MR. SAUER: And Indraneel, if you're
10	are you following along on your iPad?
11	MR. SUR: I am trying, yes.
12	MR. SAUER: I think this is going to be
13	Page 41 of Page 41 of the of the PDF.
14	Q. Agent Chan, can you see the screen share
15	in front of you well? I have made it a little
16	smaller to make it easier for me to read.
17	A. Yeah. I can see the there's part of
18	one paragraph and the beginning of a second
19	paragraph.
20	Q. Can you see there that there's you
21	refer to "DiResta" there at the top of the page?
22	Do you see what I've highlighted?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. Who is DiResta?
25	A. Renée DiResta is a researcher at the

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 52 of 386 PageID #: 6535 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 52

1	Stanford Internet Observatory.
2	Q. And and so do you know her personally?
3	A. I have engaged with her on phone calls.
4	Q. What were the nature of those phone calls?
5	A. The nature of these phone calls were
6	actually related to threats being directed at her
7	as well as about Russian disinformation.
8	Q. Okay. And you threats being directed
9	at her, you mean someone had personally made
10	threats at her, and you were, as an FBI agent,
11	relating them back with her; is that fair to say?
12	A. That is that is correct. And the
13	threats would be
14	Q. And were the threats I don't want to
15	A. The threats were
16	Q. Go ahead. Sorry. I didn't mean to
17	interrupt you.
18	A. The threats were of an online nature that
19	were that she was concerned may be
20	state-sponsored in nature.
21	Q. Oh, okay. Okay. And that what and
22	then what was the second thing that you said that
23	you were engaged with her on?
24	A. A lot of conversations about Russian
25	disinformation.

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 53 of 386 PageID #: 6536 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	Q. Yeah. What did you say to each other
2	about Russian disinformation?
3	A. I was mostly listening, but and I would
4	ask her for her thoughts about what she was seeing
5	from the Internet Observatory.
6	Q. Did you have these conversations in
7	connection with writing your thesis, or was it in
8	connection with your work as an FBI agent in the
9	field?
10	A. It was connected to my work as an FBI
11	agent in the field.
12	Q. When did you talk to Renée DiResta?
13	A. I want to say maybe in 2019.
14	Q. Would that be the only time?
15	A. Yeah. I can only recollect two phone
16	calls that I had with with her.
17	Q. And those would have been in 2019?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Do you know why you called her?
20	A. She called me on the first call to
21	Q. Why did she call you?
22	A. To she had been given my contact
23	information by the head of the Stanford Internet
24	Observatory, and she was concerned about Russian
25	actors either providing her or giving her

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 54 of 386 PageID #: 6537 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1 online th	reats or potentially slotting her.
2 <b>Q.</b>	Okay. Who is the head of the Stanford
3 Internet	Observatory?
4 A.	Alex Stamos.
5 <b>Q.</b>	Do you know Mr. Stamos?
6 A.	I do.
7 <b>Q.</b>	How do you know him?
8 A.	I know him from his time as the chief
9 security	officer for Facebook.
10 <b>Q.</b>	And was he one of the Facebook officials
11 that you	interacted with in your professional
12 capacity	as an FBI agent when he was there?
13 A.	Yeah, when he was there, but he was not
14 he left b	efore the 2020 election cycle.
15 <b>Q.</b>	Was he involved in any of the meetings
16 <b>you've ta</b>	lked about where malign-foreign-influence
17 <b>activitie</b>	s were discussed?
18 A.	He was involved in a few, but he left it
19 to the pe	ople that I mentioned who reported to him.
20 <b>Q.</b>	So when did he leave Facebook?
21 A.	I can't remember, but it was ahead of the
22 2020 elec	tion cycle.
23 <b>Q.</b>	So do you think he would have left
24 <b>before 20</b>	20 began or during 2020?
25 A.	I think he left well before 2020. I think

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 55 of 386 PageID #: 6538 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 55

he left after the midterms, but I can't remember. 1 2 Q. You mean the 2018 midterms? 3 Α. I'm sorry. Yeah. The 2018 midterm 4 elections. 5 Okay. Have you had any discussions with Q. 6 Mr. Stamos since he left Facebook? 7 Yes. But not about Russian Α. 8 disinformation. 9 Generally what have they been about? Q. 10 Α. Cybersecurity and trust and safety. 11 Q. What is trust and safety? 12 Trust and safety is protecting platforms Α. 13 from hacking and -- yeah, I -- primarily hacking. 14 Have you had any discussions with him Ο. 15 about protecting platforms from, you know, 16 malign-foreign-influence activities? 17 Α. No. 18 Q. No? 19 Not since -- not since the -- you know, Α. 20 before the 2018 midterm elections. Not in his 21 current capacity at the Stanford Internet 2.2 Observatory. 23 Lower down on the page you see I have Q. 24 highlighted a reference to Professor Kate Starbird. 25 Do you see that?

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 56 of 386 PageID #: 6539 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	Α.	Yes.
2	Q.	Do you know Kate Starbird?
3	Α.	I do not know her personally.
4	Q.	Have you ever talked to her to your
5	recollec	tion?
6	Α.	I have never talked to her.
7	Q.	Okay. So you're you only you only
8	referenc	e her research in your thesis, but you have
9	never ta	lked to her?
10	Α.	That is correct.
11	Q.	Are you familiar with the Stanford
12	Internet	Observatory and the University of
13	Washingt	on teaming up on something called the
14	"Electio	n Integrity Partnership"?
15	Α.	I am generally aware of that.
16	Q.	Okay. What do you know about the Election
17	Integrit	y Partnership? What is it, if you know?
18		MR. SUR: Objection; vague.
19		THE WITNESS: My knowledge is just
20	general.	So I knew they partnered on election
21	integrit	y and I knew that there was research
22	involved	, but that's the extent of my knowledge.
23	Q.	BY MR. SAUER: How do you know that?
24	Α.	I think I read it somewhere in a news
25	article.	

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 57 of 386 PageID #: 6540 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 57

1 Have you ever talked to anyone involved in Q. 2 the Election Integrity Partnership? 3 Not that I'm aware of. Α. 4 Did you have any involvement in it? Ο. 5 Α. No. I would have known. So no, not that I'm aware of. 6 7 Q. How about any other federal agencies, are 8 you aware of them being involved or working with 9 the Election Integrity Partnership? 10 Α. I am not aware of any other federal 11 agencies. 12 And then would that include the FBI? Q. Do 13 you know if the FBI has had or if it is involved in 14 any way with the Election Integrity Partnership? 15 I do not have any knowledge of that. Α. 16 However, I know that my colleagues at FBI 17 headquarters regularly meet with researchers much 18 more frequently than I do. 19 So is it possible that your colleagues at Q. 20 FBI headquarters meet with Alex Stamos? 21 MR. SUR: Objection; calls for 2.2 speculation. 23 Q. BY MR. SAUER: If you know. 24 Α. Not to my knowledge, because since Alex Stamos is at Stanford and Stanford is located in 25

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 58 of 386 PageID #: 6541 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 58

1	FBI San Francisco's territory, it is common
2	courtesy to ask the field office to broker the
3	meeting or to at least make them aware that they
4	are coming into the territory. So I am not aware
5	of any of them meeting with Alex Stamos.
6	Q. What researchers out there in California
7	are you aware that they have met with other than
8	Mr. Stamos?
9	A. I am aware that they have at least had
10	discussions with a researcher at University of
11	California at Berkeley, Professor Hany Farid.
12	Q. How do you spell that?
13	A. H-a-n-i [verbatim]; and I believe his last
14	name is F-a-r-e-e-d, but it could be F-a-r-i-d. I
15	am not sure.
16	Q. Any other researchers you are aware of
17	them meeting with?
18	A. That's the only one in my territory that I
19	am aware of them meeting with.
20	Q. How about Kate Starbird, do you know if
21	they've ever met with her at FBI headquarters?
22	A. I am not aware.
23	Q. I want to jump ahead in your thesis to
24	Page 25.
25	MR. SAUER: And, Indraneel, it is Page 49

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 59 of 386 PageID #: 6542 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 59

1	in the PDF.
2	Q. Earlier, Agent Chan, you testified that
3	there is kind of two kinds of Russian influence
4	operations that you discussed in the thesis. I
5	think you referred to hack-and-dump operations,
6	right?
7	A. That's correct.
8	Q. And that's a a reference to hackers
9	reaching into a computer network, stealing data and
10	then kind of publicizing it, right?
11	A. Yeah, that's correct.
12	Q. And then here you also refer to "attempted
13	hacks on the" "the actual voting systems," but
14	you say that that's not the focus of your thesis,
15	right?
16	A. Yeah, that is correct.
17	Q. Then here, I think in this next paragraph,
18	where you refer to "Online social media influence
19	campaigns," that's what's your thesis actually
20	focuses on in this document, right?
21	A. That is correct.
22	Q. Okay. At a high level, can you describe
23	what sorts of online social media influence
24	campaigns have been conducted by the Russians?
25	A. So broadly speaking, they have they

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 60 of 386 PageID #: 6543 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1 and when I mean "they," I mean the Internet
2 Research Agency and other Russian state-sponsored
3 actors have news have created fake social
4 media accounts, and they have either generated
5 disinformation themselves or they have amplified
6 existing content from current users of the social
7 media platforms.
8 Q. So they generate their own disinformation.
9 What does that entail?
10 A. So they make their own content.
11 Q. Okay. So can you can you give us a
12 specific example of what that might look like?
13 A. Like like they they will make their
14 own Facebook postings. They will they will copy
15 other people's Facebook postings. And they will
16 I mean, when I say "content," they try to find what
17 are the hot-button or current issues in the news
18 around the time frame they're looking at, and then
19 they will try to either generate content
20 <b>Q. Okay.</b>
21 A themselves related to that or they will
22 amplify existing content.
23 Q. Okay. So they might we'll say or
24 suppose there's a hot-button issue, and they will
25 create some inflammatory Facebook post and push

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 61 of 386 PageID #: 6544 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1 that out into social media, that's one thing they 2 do? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Ο. And I take it the goal there is they are 5 trying to get ordinary, real people on social 6 media, including Americans, to kind of interact 7 with or engage with that content, right? 8 MR. SUR: Objection; vague, calls for 9 speculation. 10 THE WITNESS: That is my understanding, is 11 that in general the Russian government and the 12 Internet Research Agency want to sow discord in the 13 American online environment. 14 BY MR. SAUER: So the goal there is to Ο. 15 have -- they post messages that they anticipate 16 will be divisive and try and get Americans to 17 engage with them, right? 18 Α. Yeah. That is my understanding. 19 And "engagement," I take it, can mean Q. 20 multiple things on a social media platform. That 21 might mean reading the post. That would be a form 22 of engagement, right? 23 Yes, sir. Yeah. Α. The -- so the 24 companies -- I use the companies' definition. And 25 when they say "engagement," they do -- they mean

### 6545 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	different things as well. Like you said, viewing
2	is one of the types of engagement. And they will
3	let us know, "Hey, Elvis. You shared this IP
4	address with us. We found these accounts, and they
5	had low-level engagement."
6	And when I ask, "What is low-level
7	engagement?" they will typically characterize it
8	as, "Oh, there was, you know, a dozen views."
9	Q. Right. Whereas high-level engagement
10	might be a million views, right?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay. Or 100,000 views might be a
13	high-level engagement, fair to say?
14	A. Yeah. Yeah, that's fair.
15	Q. And then, you know, liking or disliking a
16	post is another kind of engagement; is that fair to
17	say?
18	A. For the Facebook and Instagram platforms
19	specifically.
20	Q. Yeah. Or and then doesn't Twitter have
21	a kind of function that you can kind of express
22	approval or disapproval in that way?
23	A. Yeah. I believe so.
24	Q. Is that do you know what that's called?
25	A. I can't remember the name for it.

### 6546 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 63

1	Q. And it's the equivalent of liking
2	something on Facebook. You could, you know, like a
3	tweet, essentially; is that fair to say?
4	MR. SUR: Objection; vague.
5	THE WITNESS: I would assess them as being
6	similar.
7	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Yeah. And then there's
8	again, and obviously reposting it is another form
9	of engagement, right?
10	A. That is correct.
11	Q. And also there's reposting it with
12	commentary as well, right?
13	A. That is correct.
14	Q. And I take it these are all forms of
15	engagement that these social media influence
16	campaigns that you are referring to in your thesis
17	are kind of designed at least they are trying to
18	get people to do that, at least regular, you know,
19	kind of not real users, not bots; the Russians are
20	trying to get people to engage on their divisive
21	content, right?
22	MR. SUR: Objection; calls for
23	speculation.
24	THE WITNESS: Yeah. So it is my opinion
25	that, you know, based on what I know, that that is

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

### 6547 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 64

1 wł	nat the Russians Russians are attempting to do.
2	Q. BY MR. SAUER: I take it from your thesis
3 <b>t</b> 1	nat they have met with mixed success in these
4 et	fforts, right? In some of their efforts they were
5 <b>h</b> :	ighly effective in getting high levels of those
6 <b>k</b> :	inds of engagements, whereas others it would
7 <b>k</b> :	ind of fizzled; is that fair to say?
8	A. Yes. I would say it was hit and miss.
9	Q. And I think you in your thesis you talk
10 <b>al</b>	oout how in 2016 they had high, high levels of
11 <b>s</b> ı	access, right, because there were essentially no
12 <b>c</b> o	ountermeasures taken by social media platforms?
13	A. That is correct.
14	Q. And I think you cite examples of
15 <b>s</b> :	ituations where there are Russian-originated
16 <b>c</b>	ontent with hundreds of thousands or I think
17 <b>y</b>	ou talk about millions of Americans interacting
18 <b>w</b> :	ith it, you know, liking it, disliking it,
19 <b>re</b>	eposting it and all that; is that fair to say?
20	MR. SUR: Objection; compound.
21	THE WITNESS: That is for the 2016
22 eI	lection cycle.
23	Q. BY MR. SAUER: And then I take it maybe
24 <b>t</b>	nere was there was some engagement in the 2018
25 <b>a</b> ı	nd 2020 election cycles, but probably not quite as

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 65 of 386 PageID #: 6548 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	much as in 2016, right?
2	A. That is correct.
3	Q. How would you kind of characterize the
4	levels of engagement? You know, the various forms
5	of engagement I have talked about reading it,
6	liking it or disliking it, reposting it or
7	reposting it with commentary how do those levels
8	of engagement in general with the kind of Russian
9	malign content, what levels were those at in 2016
10	and 2018?
11	MR. SUR: Objection; compound.
12	THE WITNESS: Well, on Page 35 I give one
13	example where you can see how IRA controlled
14	accounts and the numbers of users they reached.
15	And so on Page 35, you see that table, right?
16	So those are the types of numbers. And
17	then after that, you know, when we compare to 2020,
18	it's less.
19	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Okay. It is not
20	A. But those are the numbers that were, you
21	know, provided. I think these I think that I
22	footnoted that it's from it's from the special
23	counsel's report.
24	Q. I got you. That's from Robert Mueller's
25	report?

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 66 of 386 PageID #: 6549 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	
1	A. That is correct.
2	Q. That indicates that there were really a
3	very high level of number of users reached from
4	Facebook, 126 million users reached by 2016 Russian
5	messages, fair to say?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And I take it that, you know, some subset
8	of those people are reading it, or at least
9	clicking on it to read it; is that fair to say?
10	A. Yeah. So the "Number of Users Reached,"
11	my interpretation is that is views.
12	Q. Got you.
13	A. Unique views, yeah.
14	Q. Some of them, but we just don't know how
15	many, but some of them would have liked it or
16	disliked it, fair to say?
17	A. Yeah, I have no idea how many would have
18	liked it or disliked it.
19	Q. And then some of them some may have
20	reposted it, right?
21	A. They may have, but I don't know.
22	Q. And then that Twitter figure shows what
23	is it about 1.4 million Twitter users were
24	reached by these activities in 2016?
25	A. Yes, that is correct.

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 67 of 386 PageID #: 6550 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 67 1 Let's -- I am going to flip back to Page Q. 2 29. You've got this kind of graphic picture of --3 of Hillary Clinton with a black kind of "X" painted 4 on her face. Do you see that? 5 Α. Yes. 6 And I take it this is an example of Ο. 7 something that was -- it is actually 8 Russian-originated content kind of masquerading as 9 something posted by an American, right? 10 Α. Yeah. That is an example. 11 Q. And this is the sort of thing that 12 might -- is this the sort of thing that would have 13 resulted in engagements by users? 14 MR. SUR: Objection; vague, calls for 15 speculation. 16 THE WITNESS: Well, from this one, there 17 appeared to be 763 reactions. 18 Q. BY MR. SAUER: Do you know what 19 "reactions" mean? Is that liking or disliking, or 20 is that something else? 21 Α. I am not -- I am not familiar. 2.2 Okay. So that might mean -- might mean Q. 23 liking or disliking, but it might also mean 24 reposting? 25 Α. Yeah. I don't know.

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 68 of 386 PageID #: 6551 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 68

```
1
              So at least 763 people for this example
         Q.
 2
     did something more than just reading it, right, or
 3
     viewing it?
 4
              MR. SUR: Objection; calls for
5
     speculation.
              THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't know what a
 6
7
     reaction means. It could just mean viewing it, but
8
     it could mean taking some further action.
9
              BY MR. SAUER: And the next step, 76
         Q.
10
     people commented on this, right?
11
         Α.
              Yes.
12
         Q.
              And then those comments are people who
13
     presumably said something, whether they agree or
14
     disagree or just want to say something about this
15
     kind of political ad, fair to say?
16
              MR. SUR: Objection; calls for
17
     speculation.
18
              THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't know what the
19
     nature of the comments are, but your
20
     characterization is probable.
21
         Q.
              BY MR. SAUER:
                             The accounts -- I suppose
22
     you have talked about account takedowns earlier.
23
     If this account that posted this ad is taken down,
24
     do all those comments get taken down with it?
25
              MR. SUR: Objection; calls for
```

LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 69 of 386 PageID #: 6552 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 69

1 speculation. 2 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 3 BY MR. SAUER: Oh, so you think that the Ο. 4 comments may stay up with the account gone? 5 I -- to be honest with you, I do not use Α. 6 any social media. 7 Q. I see. So you don't know if -- you don't 8 know if the comments kind of remain floating out on 9 Twitter when the underlying picture of Hillary 10 Clinton with black paint on her face is taken down? 11 Α. I do not know. 12 Turning to the next page of your thesis, Q. 13 Page 30, here in this Subsection a you talk about 14 "The IRA's Social Media Activities," right? 15 Α. Correct. 16 Okay. And the "IRA" is the "Internet Q. 17 Research Agency," right? 18 Α. In this context, correct. 19 Right. Not in the Ireland separatist Q. 20 context. 21 Just generally speaking, can you describe 2.2 what the IRA is in this context? 23 MR. SUR: Objection; vague. 24 THE WITNESS: So in this paragraph I describe the three lines of activities that I 25

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 70 of 386 PageID #: 6553 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	observed the IRA doing in terms of malign-influence
2	campaigns.
3	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Okay. And the first one
4	you say is they "made and maintained fake user
5	accounts and pages on social media platforms that
6	covered a range of political issues," right?
7	A. That is correct.
8	Q. And that's a bit like what we have already
9	been talking about, where they're I take it they
10	are creating fake accounts and pages and trying to
11	gather American viewers to review and engage with
12	their content, right?
13	MR. SUR: Objection; vague, calls for
14	speculation.
15	THE WITNESS: That is my assessment, yeah.
16	Q. BY MR. SAUER: And it says, "For these
17	accounts and pages, the IRA employees generated
18	organic content to ingratiate themselves with
19	online communities and amplify or steer the themes
20	discussed in those communities," correct?
21	A. Yes, that is what I wrote.
22	Q. And they were trying to influence online
23	conversations involving real American citizens,
24	right?
25	A. That was my assessment and is my

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 71 of 386 PageID #: 6554 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

|--|

1	agaagmant
	assessment.
2	Q. All right. So that, I take it, would
3	involve the kind of active engagement that we
4	talked about a minute ago: not just reading what
5	they say, but maybe reposting it, commenting on it,
6	you know, and having a higher level of engagement
7	than just viewing it, right?
8	MR. SUR: Objection; compound and calls
9	for speculation.
10	THE WITNESS: That would be my
11	understanding.
12	Q. BY MR. SAUER: You go on to say,
13	"Second" and this ties back to something I think
14	you foreshadowed a minute ago "IRA employees
15	used social media bots, i.e., computer programs
16	which control social media accounts, to amplify
17	existing content," right?
18	A. That is correct.
19	Q. What is it to "amplify existing content"?
20	A. It is to do the things that you had
21	mentioned. Depending on what the social media
22	platform were, they include things like liking it
23	or reposting it.
24	Q. So social media bots, those are kind of
25	fake accounts, right, that don't have real human

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 72 of 386 PageID #: 6555 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 72

1 beings behind them; is that what that means? 2 Α. Yes. They are controlled by computer 3 programs. 4 Ο. And so -- and -- and sometimes they will 5 have, like, a fake picture so it looks like a real 6 human being; but actually it is just a computer 7 program, right? 8 Α. That is correct. 9 And so the social media -- I take it the Q. 10 IRA-generated social media bots to go out there, 11 find the divisive messages that the IRA wanted to 12 sort of amplify, and have them engage with those 13 posts, correct? 14 MR. SUR: Objection; compound, calls for 15 speculation. 16 THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say they 17 generated the bots. In general, people rent bots. 18 Q. BY MR. SAUER: That's interesting. How do 19 you rent a bot? 20 In the cybersecurity industry, there is a Α. 21 role called a bot herder, so someone who develops 2.2 malicious software and finds unprotected devices 23 and infects them with malicious software, also 24 known as malware; and then they will advertise on 25 the dark web that, you know, you can rent a botnet

> LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 73 of 386 PageID #: 6556 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1 for -- you know, a botnet of a certain size for a 2 certain amount of money. 3 And that is what I am assessing the 4 Internet Research Agency did. 5 Got you. Okay. So do you know for sure Q. 6 whether they generated their own or bought them on 7 the dark web or rented them? 8 So I'm not -- I'm not sure how they Α. 9 acquired them. I was just -- I didn't feel 10 comfortable saying they generated their own. 11 Somehow they were able to get control of a botnet 12 and then use them to amplify. 13 Q. And then "amplify," I take it that means 14 things like liking and reposting and taking steps 15 like that that make it -- is that fair to say? 16 It's liking, reposting, stuff like that; is that 17 right? 18 MR. SUR: Objection; vague, calls for 19 speculation. 20 THE WITNESS: Yeah. That would be my 21 assessment. 2.2 BY MR. SAUER: Okay. And so I take it the Q. 23 Russian bots go out there and they find the 24 divisive messages that the Russians want to push, 25 and then they amplify them by having fake user

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 74 of 386 PageID #: 6557 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	accounts like them or retweet them or repost them
2	on Facebook, fair to say?
3	A. I don't think the bots do that themselves.
4	The bots don't have any intelligence. I would I
5	believe that a human being, an actual Internet
6	Research employee would find divisive content or
7	yeah, divisive content or users who had lots of
8	followers and then deploy the bots to amplify those
9	users' messages or content.
10	Q. And part of this, I take it, is the bots,
11	the fake accounts, having their own followers,
12	trying to collect their own real followers, right?
13	A. In my experience, the bots typically don't
14	care how many followers they have. Their primary
15	job is to amplify other content.
16	Q. I got you. So in other words, suppose
17	and when you say "other content," this is content
18	that wasn't originated by Russians or the IRA but
19	is generated by American citizens with views that
20	the IRA likes or wants to push, right?
21	MR. SUR: Objection; vague, calls for
22	speculation, assumes facts not in evidence.
23	THE WITNESS: By "other content," I mean
24	content not generated by the bots.
25	Q. BY MR. SAUER: And that's because the

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 75 of 386 PageID #: 6558 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1 A. Because bots yeah. Bots will gen	nerate
2 their own content, but they're it is usual	ly
3 nonsense talk or just, like, random images.	The
4 that's what I meant by "other."	
5 Q. Right. And then I think that	and
6 that's what you referred to in the previous	
7 sentence as "organic content," right?	
8 A. Yeah. "Organic content," I mean tha	t it
9 is actually generated by Internet Research Ag	Jency
10 employees.	
11 Q. Oh, okay. So "existing content" in	this
12 sentence that I have highlighted is different	: than
13 "organic content." "Existing content" is stu	ıff
14 that is already out there on the social media	L
15 platforms; is it fair to say?	
16 A. That is correct.	
17 Q. That would be stuff that can be post	ed by
18 other users or come from any other source, bu	ıt it
19 is just stuff	
20 A. Yeah.	
21 Q that they like and they want to p	oush
22 those messages, right?	
23 MR. SUR: Objection; vague, compound	1.
24 THE WITNESS: Yes. I mean not gener	ated
25 by the Internet Research Agency. That is wha	ıt I

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 76 of 386 PageID #: 6559 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	mean by "other content "
	mean by "other content."
2	Q. BY MR. SAUER: If a bot that's operated by
3	the Internet Research Agency were to repost, like,
4	a an American citizen's tweets or Facebook page
5	and then that bot account were taken down or shut
6	off, would that reposting or amplification of that
7	message then go away?
8	MR. SUR: Objection; compound and
9	hypothetical.
10	Q. BY MR. SAUER: If you know.
11	A. I don't know.
12	Q. Now, then suppose there's John Smith, who
13	is an American citizen who posts an aggressive,
14	pro-Second Amendment message. And the Russian bot
15	identifies that as something to amplify and
16	retweets it, and then the Russian bot account is
17	shut down. There's an account takedown. Does that
18	retweeting of John Smith's pro-Second Amendment
19	message go away from Twitter if there's no account
20	there anymore to retweet it?
21	MR. SUR: Objection; hypothetical, calls
22	for speculation, assumes facts not in evidence.
23	Q. BY MR. SAUER: If you know.
24	A. Yeah. I don't know. I don't know what
25	happens to the retweet.
20	
1	

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 77 of 386 PageID #: 6560 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 77

1	MR. SUR: Counsel, if I may, are we at a
2	near or natural breaking point? We have been in
3	this session for now approaching one hour and a
4	little bit past that. Why don't we take a break
5	if
6	MR. SAUER: Yeah. That's now is as
7	good a time as any.
8	MR. SUR: Thank you.
9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record off
10	the record at 10:45 a.m.
11	(Whereupon a recess was taken.)
12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on record at 10:58
13	a.m.
14	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Agent Chan, sticking to
15	Page 30 of your thesis, I want to direct your
16	attention to this next sentence, where you say (as
17	read), "Third, IRA employees covertly purchased
18	online advertisements from social media companies
19	to enhance their organic content and drive online
20	traffic to the sites controlled by them," right?
21	A. Yeah. That is what I wrote.
22	Q. Yeah. And you agree with it, right?
23	A. Yes. You will see the footnote that it
24	was by Professor Howard, but I agree with it.
25	Q. Okay. And then so the idea is the that
20	y. Okay. And then so the idea is the that

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 78 of 386 PageID #: 6561 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	they would purchase ads that would drive traffic by
2	real people to their their sites, basically
3	their accounts and their websites as well, right?
4	A. Yes. This is in the 2016 election
5	contest.
6	Q. Okay. So why do you say did something
7	different happen in 2018 and 2020?
8	A. Yes. From my meetings with the companies,
9	they shared with me that they had changed their
10	policies to do more intensive validation of people
11	trying to purchase political advertisements.
12	Q. And so it was harder for them to buy ads
13	basically in the later election cycles?
14	A. That is my understanding.
15	Q. But either way, the purpose here is for
16	them to be driving or achieving engagement by real
17	users, right?
18	MR. SUR: Objection; vague, calls for
19	speculation.
20	THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe the purpose
21	was for the Internet Research Agency, who are
22	comprised of Russian citizens, to drive engagement.
23	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Got you. And if you skip
24	ahead a couple more pages, to Page 32, do you see
25	these ads that you've identified in your thesis in

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 79 of 386 PageID #: 6562 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	Figure 3 and Figure 4?
2	A. Yes, I see those ads.
3	Q. Okay. And these are, I take it you
4	talk about how they have, like, left-wing content
5	that's actually designed to to depress voter
6	turnout among minority communities, right?
7	A. This was what the research that I reviewed
8	said.
9	Q. Yeah. And you look at Figure 3 well,
10	let me ask you this. You talk about the research
11	you reviewed. You also are personally involved in
12	this, quote, in the trenches, right?
13	MR. SUR: Objection; vague, misstates the
14	record.
15	THE WITNESS: Can you clarify that
16	question?
17	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Let me ask this: Is the
18	research you said this is what your research
19	indicated. Is the research in your thesis
20	consistent with your experience as an FBI agent who
21	deals with disinformation and
22	malign-foreign-influence campaigns?
23	A. So the research, to include this reporting
24	from the House Permanent Select Committee on
25	Intelligence as well as what was conveyed to me by

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 80 of 386 PageID #: 6563 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 80

1 the social media companies, was this content. Т would otherwise not know because -- I mentioned to 2 3 you I do not go on any social media platforms 4 myself. 5 So but your understanding, for example, is Q. 6 Figure 3 is Russian-originated content. Your 7 understanding of that is based on information 8 obtained from the social media platforms or from 9 other federal government officials, correct? 10 Α. Yeah. This information is specifically 11 from the HPSCI report that I cited in the footnote. 12 Got you. And just looking at this, for Q. 13 example, in Figure 3 on the right, there's a Black 14 Matters ad, correct? 15 "Figure 3. Facebook Political Ads Α. Yeah. 16 Targeting Black voters." 17 And that one indicates that 223,799 people ο. 18 had liked it, right, on Facebook? 19 Α. That is what the image on the right Yes. 20 says. 21 Q. And so that would be a high level of 22 engagement based on what you said earlier, right? 23 I would not consider 223 views as being Α. 24 high. 25 Q. Oh, is it 223,799?

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 81 of 386 PageID #: 6564 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1 Α. No. This one, the -- I see "223," and then I see a slash, "99 people like this." 2 3 Oh, is that what that means? I thought Ο. 4 that was a "7." So you say -- what is -- do you 5 know -- how do you read that? What does that mean? Yeah. I read that as 223 viewed it and 99 6 Α. 7 of those 223 like it. That is how I interpret 8 this. 9 So that would be a low level of Ο. 10 engagement, correct? 11 Α. That is how I would personally 12 characterize it. 13 And then below there, in Figure 4 there's Q. 14 a Secured Borders ad on the left with a "No 15 Invaders Allowed" sign in front of the border. Do 16 you see that one? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. In that one, it looks like 134,943 people 19 liked that, correct? 20 Α. Yes. 21 And if that ad were taken down because it Q. 2.2 was identified to be part of a 23 malign-foreign-influence campaign, all those likes 24 would disappear from Facebook as well, correct? 25 MR. SUR: Objection; calls for

> LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 82 of 386 PageID #: 6565 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	speculation, assumes facts not in evidence.
2	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I do not know what
3	happens to the likes if the ad disappears, but I
4	know that the ad disappears.
5	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Right. You think that
6	likes may stay out there, floating in floating
7	in the social media platform, not addressing
8	anything that's still there?
9	A. I don't know.
10	Q. Let me ask this: A like is a way of
11	expressing
12	A. I don't know what happens.
13	Q. A like is a way of expressing approval of
14	something, right? It's basically saying "I agree
15	with this" or "I like it," right?
16	A. Yes. But I don't what I'm saying to
17	you, Mr. Sauer, is I don't know what happens to
18	those likes.
19	Q. The but you know that the ad
20	disappears?
21	A. Well, for example, if you're if you're
22	a if you're a user
23	(Discussion off the record.)
24	MR. SUR: Sorry. We are having difficulty
25	hearing you, Mr. Sauer. It may be a connection

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 83 of 386 PageID #: 6566 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	problem.
2	(Discussion off the record.)
3	MR. SAUER: Can you hear me now?
4	MR. SUR: Yeah, that's better.
5	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Chan.
6	MR. SUR: Okay.
7	THE WITNESS: So I don't know what
8	happens. So, for example, if you were a user and
9	you like this ad, I don't know, does it stay on
10	your account? Or I don't know if it disappears
11	from your account. I don't know what happens on
12	the ad itself. So I don't know. I don't know
13	the I don't know the mechanics of how online
14	advertisements for these platforms work.
15	Q. BY MR. SAUER: If you look at the ad to
16	the right of that, the pro-Second Amendment ad that
17	says "Defend the 2nd"?
18	A. Yeah.
19	Q. And do you see at the bottom it says,
20	"96,678 people like this"?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Would that be a high level of engagement?
23	A. I would characterize that as high.
24	Q. And it's your testimony that you don't
25	know what happens if that ad is pulled down to all

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 84 of 386 PageID #: 6567 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1 those likes? 2 Α. And then --3 Ο. Do you think it is going to continue to be 4 in people's individual feeds? 5 Yeah, I do not know what happens to the Α. 6 likes. 7 Q. Jumping ahead a couple pages, I think this 8 is the page that you referred to earlier. You 9 talked about how there was a very high level of 10 engagement by the IRA in -- in 2016, right? 11 Α. Yes. Where it says, "The sheer magnitude 12 of the IRA's social media campaign," that sentence? 13 Q. Correct, yeah. 14 Yeah, yes, that is what I wrote. Α. 15 MR. SUR: To clarify for the record, this 16 is on Page 34 of the thesis? 17 MR. SAUER: Yeah. That would be Page 58 of the PDF. Indraneel, do you see where we are? 18 19 MR. SUR: Yes, yeah. 20 BY MR. SAUER: It's the bottom paragraph Q. 21 "The sheer magnitude of the IRA's social there. 22 media campaign targeting the United States was 23 unparalleled in the digital age," right, Mr. Chan? 24 Α. That was my characterization of it. 25 And it -- the research indicated that the Ο.

> LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 85 of 386 PageID #: 6568 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 85

1	IRA had uploaded a thousand videos on YouTube. Do
2	you know what the level of engagement for those
3	videos was?
4	A. I don't. And you can see from the
5	citation that I am citing research done by Renée
6	DiResta and her team.
7	Q. Got you. And that research indicates that
8	the IRA had, quote, reached a significant number of
9	American users: 59 percent on Facebook, 19 percent
10	on Instagram and two percent on Twitter, right?
11	A. That is what Renée DiResta and her team
12	found.
13	Q. So 59 percent. And this isn't just sort
14	of bots, she found that specifically as to American
15	users, in other words, real social media platform
16	human beings, real people using social media
17	platforms in America, right?
18	A. That is what I recollect. And this is in
19	the context of the 2016 elections.
20	Q. Correct. And that that's 59 percent of
21	Americans on Facebook were reached in some way, had
22	some level of engagement with with
23	IRA-originated content; fair to say?
24	A. That is her calculation.
25	Q. And you cite it as a reputable source in

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 86 of 386 PageID #: 6569 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	your thesis, right?
2	A. Yes, I do.
3	Q. And do you know how much of that is
4	obviously we talked earlier how there's different
5	kinds of engagement, like reading, liking,
6	reposting, reposting with commentary, commenting.
7	Do you know how much of that is that reaching of
8	American users breaks down into those various
9	categories?
10	A. I do not. I was not able to find that
11	level of detail.
12	Q. Okay. Next page. You've referred to
13	Table 1 before on Page 35 that points out that 126
14	million
15	A. Yeah.
16	Q American Facebook users were reached in
17	some way by content, right?
18	A. Yes, yeah. I was able to extract that
19	from the report.
20	Q. Right below that you refer again to "the
21	second line of effort," where they (as read)
22	"amplified real user accounts whose identities,
23	behavior, and content aligned with their strategic
24	goals," right?
25	A. So yes. You can see I cited the Mueller

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 87 of 386 PageID #: 6570 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 87

1	report. That's special counsel's report.
2	Q. And then you go on to cite a Clemson
3	University study indicating that over 100,000 real
4	people had their postings amplified by
5	IRA-controlled social media bots, right?
6	A. Yes, that is correct.
7	Q. And you don't know what happens to those
8	amplifications when the bots are detected and shut
9	down?
10	A. I do not.
11	Q. I think in the thesis you talk generally
12	about how there was virtually no reaction by the
13	social media platforms in the 2016 election cycle
14	to this Russian activity; is that right?
15	A. That is correct.
16	Q. But that changed, I take it, in the 2018
17	and 2020 election cycles, at least to some degree?
18	A. That is correct, yes.
19	Q. How did it change?
20	A. Well, what I was told what I was told
21	by the companies was that they used both technology
22	as well as human beings to moderate or protect
23	their platforms.
24	Q. Okay. And then can you break down that
25	for me? On the technological side, what did they

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 88 of 386 PageID #: 6571 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	tell you they did?
2	A. So they tell me that they used a different
3	detection method. The shorthand for that is
4	algorithms. But they will not get into any of the
5	details about the algorithms. And we have probed
6	them to ask for details, but it has never been
7	shared with us.
8	Q. What you say you probed them to ask
9	about the details. What did you ask?
10	A. I can't remember exactly, but we would
11	ask, for example, "What are the parameters that you
12	use to develop the algorithm? Or what are the
13	factors that you use?" And they would not share
14	any of that information with us.
15	Q. Why did you want to know that? Did you
16	want to know did you want to ascertain if the
17	algorithms were effective? Or why would you ask
18	them a question like that?
19	A. The reason that I would ask that question
20	is so that we could make sure that we were sharing
21	the most effective and actionable type of
22	information with them.
23	Q. Explain that to me. What if you know
24	how their algorithm operates, how does that help
25	you share actionable information?

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 89 of 386 PageID #: 6572 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 89

1	A. So, for example, if a platform doesn't
2	need a telephone number for an account, then
3	providing them with Russian telephone numbers would
4	not be useful. Or if providing them with an email
5	account is not something that the platform saves,
6	then that would not be useful. So those are two
7	examples.
8	Q. Uh-huh. But they just tell you, "We use
9	algorithms to detect kind of Russian-originated
10	content," but they tell you nothing about how the
11	algorithms operate?
12	A. That is correct.
13	Q. When did these conversations occur?
14	Did where you're asking them about their
15	algorithms and they are declining to provide
16	information. Did they occur in those weekly,
17	monthly and quarterly meetings we talked about
18	earlier?
19	A. I would characterize it as occurring early
20	in those quarterly meetings in the 2017 time frame;
21	and after we asked the different companies and
22	found that none of them were willing to share in
23	those earlier meetings, we stopped asking.
24	Q. And then you mentioned human I think
25	you mentioned human beings involved in their in

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 90 of 386 PageID #: 6573 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	their activities. Tell us, what did they tell you
2	about that?
3	A. In general, what the companies tell us is
4	the technology or the algorithms will flag specific
5	content, and certain content that looks like spam
6	is, I believe, taken down.
7	However, if something is flagged that
8	requires a higher level of decision-making, that is
9	when it is sent to a content moderator.
10	Q. So a human being, a content moderator
11	would be looking at, like, an account that was
12	flagged by an algorithm as potentially involving
13	malign, inauthentic activity and take a closer look
14	at it?
15	A. That is correct.
16	Q. Did you discuss with them what standards
17	their content modulators use to to figure that
18	out, you know, how do they make their judgment
19	calls?
20	MR. SUR: Objection; compound.
21	THE WITNESS: So in response to your
22	question, we would just broadly ask them what their
23	terms of service or community standards were.
24	Q. BY MR. SAUER: So how do you know that
25	they have human beings involved in enforcing the

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 91 of 386 PageID #: 6574 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1 reviewing and enforcing the terms of service with 2 respect to particular content? 3 Α. Through the discussions that we had at the 4 meetings. 5 And what you have been saying, is this Q. 6 true of all the -- all the platforms? I do not know. I am specifically thinking 7 Α. 8 of two platforms that use human being content 9 moderators. 10 Q. Which two are those? 11 Α. I am specifically thinking of Facebook and 12 YouTube. 13 Q. How about Twitter? 14 Α. I don't know if they use human -- I have 15 never -- I don't recollect discussing that with 16 them. 17 How about the others? You mentioned, I ο. 18 think, Yahoo! and Reddit, Instagram. Do they use 19 human content moderators? 20 I don't recollect discussing that with Α. 21 them. 2.2 You mentioned their terms of service. You Q. 23 know, did you ever discuss with them what their 24 terms of service are or should be? Did you ever 25 make recommendations that they change terms of

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 92 of 386 PageID #: 6575 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	
1	service to make them more effective in addressing
2	malign-foreign-influence activities?
3	MR. SUR: Objection; compound and vague.
4	THE WITNESS: I will answer the last part
5	of your compound question, which is no, we never
6	told the companies to modify their terms of service
7	or community standards.
8	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Do you know how they
9	developed their terms of service or community
10	standards?
11	A. Only from what they discussed with me in
12	those meetings.
13	Q. What did they say to you in those
14	meetings?
15	A. So
16	MR. SUR: Objection; vague.
17	THE WITNESS: So in those meetings, we
18	would not discuss them. We would ask them, "What
19	are your terms of service related to detecting
20	Russian troll accounts?"
21	And then they would share with us that
22	the the two terms that come up regularly are
23	"coordinated," which I interpret to mean across
24	many accounts, and "inauthentic," which means the
25	user is pretending to be someone they are not.

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 93 of 386 PageID #: 6576 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 93

1	And so those were the two primary
2	terms-of-service violations that I remember being
3	discussed.
4	Q. BY MR. SAUER: What does "coordinated"
5	mean?
6	A. Like, as I mentioned before, it was
7	activities across multiple accounts, the similar
8	activities across multiple accounts.
9	Q. How does that violate terms of service?
10	A. So in the cybersecurity context, you will
11	have a botnet that will push ads, for example,
12	Canadian pharmacy ads, to hundreds and thousands of
13	users. That is coordinated behavior. That is
14	illegal. Because if you wanted to push ads on a
15	platform, typically you have to purchase online
16	advertising.
17	Q. Okay. Got you. And then what was the
18	second category you mentioned of provisions of
19	terms of service that these activities would
20	violate?
21	A. Inauthentic.
22	Q. Yeah. And what is what is inauthentic?
23	A. Inauthentic is a user pretending to be
24	someone they are not.
25	Q. And I take it that you got that in these

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 94 of 386 PageID #: 6577 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page	e 94
------	------

1 Russian malign-foreign-influence activities, right? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Ο. We have been talking about Russia 4 principally because that's your thesis, but do 5 other countries try and do these kinds of 6 disinformation malign operations on social media 7 platforms as well? 8 MR. SUR: Objection; vague. 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. 10 Q. BY MR. SAUER: What countries are involved 11 in them? 12 The two countries that we focus on are Α. 13 China and Iran, outside of Russia. 14 Are there any others? Ο. 15 Not to --Α. 16 MR. SUR: Objection; Counsel, we're at the 17 law enforcement privilege. 18 MR. SAUER: I am going to withdraw the 19 question. I don't concede the privilege applies, 20 but I will withdraw the question if you guys --21 yeah. 2.2 Just generally speaking, you mentioned, Q. 23 say, Iran and China and, frankly, they are also 24 referred to in your publicly-available thesis. Are 25 the sorts of activities that they engage in similar

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 95 of 386 PageID #: 6578 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 95

<ul> <li>to what Russia does, you know, in the the way of</li> <li>generating fake accounts, generating inauthentic</li> <li>content and trying to get Americans to interact</li> <li>with it?</li> <li>MR. SUR: Objection; vague and compound.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: In my experience, the</li> <li>Chinese state-sponsored activity and the Iranian</li> <li>state-sponsored activity share similarities to the</li> <li>Russian state-sponsored activities.</li> <li>Q. BY MR. SAUER: We were talking moving</li> <li>back to what we were talking about a minute ago,</li> <li>you talked about how there are two general</li> <li>categories of content-modulation policies</li> <li>coordinated activity and inauthentic activity</li> <li>that get cited most frequently to remove this</li> <li>content; is that right?</li> <li>A. In my personal experience, yes.</li> <li>Q. And your personal experience is based on</li> <li>these meetings and conversations with</li> <li>representatives of the social media platforms,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct. You broke up on me, but</li> <li>I believe that is correct.</li> <li>Q. I'm I'm sorry. Again, you can't hear.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>content and trying to get Americans to interact</li> <li>with it?</li> <li>MR. SUR: Objection; vague and compound.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: In my experience, the</li> <li>Chinese state-sponsored activity and the Iranian</li> <li>state-sponsored activity share similarities to the</li> <li>Russian state-sponsored activities.</li> <li>Q. BY MR. SAUER: We were talking moving</li> <li>back to what we were talking about a minute ago,</li> <li>you talked about how there are two general</li> <li>categories of content-modulation policies</li> <li>coordinated activity and inauthentic activity</li> <li>that get cited most frequently to remove this</li> <li>content; is that right?</li> <li>A. In my personal experience, yes.</li> <li>Q. And your personal experience is based on</li> <li>these meetings and conversations with</li> <li>representatives of the social media platforms,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct. You broke up on me, but</li> <li>I believe that is correct.</li> <li>Q. I'm I'm sorry. Again, you can't hear.</li> </ul>	1	to what Russia does, you know, in the the way of
<ul> <li>with it?</li> <li>MR. SUR: Objection; vague and compound.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: In my experience, the</li> <li>Chinese state-sponsored activity and the Iranian</li> <li>state-sponsored activity share similarities to the</li> <li>Russian state-sponsored activities.</li> <li>Q. BY MR. SAUER: We were talking moving</li> <li>back to what we were talking about a minute ago,</li> <li>you talked about how there are two general</li> <li>categories of content-modulation policies</li> <li>coordinated activity and inauthentic activity</li> <li>that get cited most frequently to remove this</li> <li>content; is that right?</li> <li>A. In my personal experience, yes.</li> <li>Q. And your personal experience is based on</li> <li>these meetings and conversations with</li> <li>representatives of the social media platforms,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct. You broke up on me, but</li> <li>I believe that is correct.</li> <li>Q. I'm I'm sorry. Again, you can't hear.</li> </ul>	2	generating fake accounts, generating inauthentic
<ul> <li>MR. SUR: Objection; vague and compound.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: In my experience, the</li> <li>Chinese state-sponsored activity and the Iranian</li> <li>state-sponsored activity share similarities to the</li> <li>Russian state-sponsored activities.</li> <li>Q. BY MR. SAUER: We were talking moving</li> <li>back to what we were talking about a minute ago,</li> <li>you talked about how there are two general</li> <li>categories of content-modulation policies</li> <li>coordinated activity and inauthentic activity</li> <li>that get cited most frequently to remove this</li> <li>content; is that right?</li> <li>A. In my personal experience, yes.</li> <li>Q. And your personal experience is based on</li> <li>these meetings and conversations with</li> <li>representatives of the social media platforms,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct. You broke up on me, but</li> <li>I believe that is correct.</li> <li>Q. I'm I'm sorry. Again, you can't hear.</li> </ul>	3	content and trying to get Americans to interact
6 THE WITNESS: In my experience, the 7 Chinese state-sponsored activity and the Iranian 8 state-sponsored activity share similarities to the 9 Russian state-sponsored activities. 10 Q. BY MR. SAUER: We were talking moving 11 back to what we were talking about a minute ago, 12 you talked about how there are two general 13 categories of content-modulation policies 14 coordinated activity and inauthentic activity 15 that get cited most frequently to remove this 16 content; is that right? 17 A. In my personal experience, yes. 18 Q. And your personal experience is based on 19 these meetings and conversations with 20 representatives of the social media platforms, 21 correct? 22 A. That is correct. You broke up on me, but 23 I believe that is correct. 24 Q. I'm I'm sorry. Again, you can't hear.	4	with it?
<ul> <li>Chinese state-sponsored activity and the Iranian</li> <li>state-sponsored activity share similarities to the</li> <li>Russian state-sponsored activities.</li> <li>Q. BY MR. SAUER: We were talking moving</li> <li>back to what we were talking about a minute ago,</li> <li>you talked about how there are two general</li> <li>categories of content-modulation policies</li> <li>coordinated activity and inauthentic activity</li> <li>that get cited most frequently to remove this</li> <li>content; is that right?</li> <li>A. In my personal experience, yes.</li> <li>Q. And your personal experience is based on</li> <li>these meetings and conversations with</li> <li>representatives of the social media platforms,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct. You broke up on me, but</li> <li>I believe that is correct.</li> <li>Q. I'm I'm sorry. Again, you can't hear.</li> </ul>	5	MR. SUR: Objection; vague and compound.
<ul> <li>state-sponsored activity share similarities to the</li> <li>Russian state-sponsored activities.</li> <li>Q. BY MR. SAUER: We were talking moving</li> <li>back to what we were talking about a minute ago,</li> <li>you talked about how there are two general</li> <li>categories of content-modulation policies</li> <li>coordinated activity and inauthentic activity</li> <li>that get cited most frequently to remove this</li> <li>content; is that right?</li> <li>A. In my personal experience, yes.</li> <li>Q. And your personal experience is based on</li> <li>these meetings and conversations with</li> <li>representatives of the social media platforms,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct. You broke up on me, but</li> <li>I believe that is correct.</li> <li>Q. I'm I'm sorry. Again, you can't hear.</li> </ul>	6	THE WITNESS: In my experience, the
<ul> <li>9 Russian state-sponsored activities.</li> <li>9 Russian state-sponsored activities.</li> <li>9 Q. BY MR. SAUER: We were talking moving</li> <li>back to what we were talking about a minute ago,</li> <li>you talked about how there are two general</li> <li>categories of content-modulation policies</li> <li>coordinated activity and inauthentic activity</li> <li>that get cited most frequently to remove this</li> <li>content; is that right?</li> <li>A. In my personal experience, yes.</li> <li>Q. And your personal experience is based on</li> <li>these meetings and conversations with</li> <li>representatives of the social media platforms,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct. You broke up on me, but</li> <li>I believe that is correct.</li> <li>Q. I'm I'm sorry. Again, you can't hear.</li> </ul>	7	Chinese state-sponsored activity and the Iranian
<ul> <li>Q. BY MR. SAUER: We were talking moving</li> <li>back to what we were talking about a minute ago,</li> <li>you talked about how there are two general</li> <li>categories of content-modulation policies</li> <li>coordinated activity and inauthentic activity</li> <li>that get cited most frequently to remove this</li> <li>content; is that right?</li> <li>A. In my personal experience, yes.</li> <li>Q. And your personal experience is based on</li> <li>these meetings and conversations with</li> <li>representatives of the social media platforms,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct. You broke up on me, but</li> <li>I believe that is correct.</li> <li>Q. I'm I'm sorry. Again, you can't hear.</li> </ul>	8	state-sponsored activity share similarities to the
back to what we were talking about a minute ago, you talked about how there are two general categories of content-modulation policies coordinated activity and inauthentic activity that get cited most frequently to remove this content; is that right? A. In my personal experience, yes. Q. And your personal experience is based on these meetings and conversations with representatives of the social media platforms, correct? A. That is correct. You broke up on me, but I believe that is correct. Q. I'm I'm sorry. Again, you can't hear.	9	Russian state-sponsored activities.
you talked about how there are two general categories of content-modulation policies coordinated activity and inauthentic activity that get cited most frequently to remove this content; is that right? A. In my personal experience, yes. Q. And your personal experience is based on these meetings and conversations with representatives of the social media platforms, correct? A. That is correct. You broke up on me, but I believe that is correct. Q. I'm I'm sorry. Again, you can't hear.	10	Q. BY MR. SAUER: We were talking moving
13 categories of content-modulation policies 14 coordinated activity and inauthentic activity 15 that get cited most frequently to remove this 16 content; is that right? 17 A. In my personal experience, yes. 18 Q. And your personal experience is based on 19 these meetings and conversations with 20 representatives of the social media platforms, 21 correct? 22 A. That is correct. You broke up on me, but 23 I believe that is correct. 24 Q. I'm I'm sorry. Again, you can't hear.	11	back to what we were talking about a minute ago,
14 coordinated activity and inauthentic activity 15 that get cited most frequently to remove this 16 content; is that right? 17 A. In my personal experience, yes. 18 Q. And your personal experience is based on 19 these meetings and conversations with 20 representatives of the social media platforms, 21 correct? 22 A. That is correct. You broke up on me, but 23 I believe that is correct. 24 Q. I'm I'm sorry. Again, you can't hear.	12	you talked about how there are two general
15 that get cited most frequently to remove this 16 content; is that right? 17 A. In my personal experience, yes. 18 Q. And your personal experience is based on 19 these meetings and conversations with 20 representatives of the social media platforms, 21 correct? 22 A. That is correct. You broke up on me, but 23 I believe that is correct. 24 Q. I'm I'm sorry. Again, you can't hear.	13	categories of content-modulation policies
<ul> <li>16 content; is that right?</li> <li>17 A. In my personal experience, yes.</li> <li>18 Q. And your personal experience is based on</li> <li>19 these meetings and conversations with</li> <li>20 representatives of the social media platforms,</li> <li>21 correct?</li> <li>22 A. That is correct. You broke up on me, but</li> <li>23 I believe that is correct.</li> <li>24 Q. I'm I'm sorry. Again, you can't hear.</li> </ul>	14	coordinated activity and inauthentic activity
<ul> <li>A. In my personal experience, yes.</li> <li>Q. And your personal experience is based on</li> <li>these meetings and conversations with</li> <li>representatives of the social media platforms,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct. You broke up on me, but</li> <li>I believe that is correct.</li> <li>Q. I'm I'm sorry. Again, you can't hear.</li> </ul>	15	that get cited most frequently to remove this
18Q. And your personal experience is based on19these meetings and conversations with20representatives of the social media platforms,21correct?22A. That is correct. You broke up on me, but23I believe that is correct.24Q. I'm I'm sorry. Again, you can't hear.	16	content; is that right?
19 these meetings and conversations with 20 representatives of the social media platforms, 21 correct? 22 A. That is correct. You broke up on me, but 23 I believe that is correct. 24 Q. I'm I'm sorry. Again, you can't hear.	17	A. In my personal experience, yes.
<ul> <li>representatives of the social media platforms,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct. You broke up on me, but</li> <li>I believe that is correct.</li> <li>Q. I'm I'm sorry. Again, you can't hear.</li> </ul>	18	Q. And your personal experience is based on
<pre>21 correct? 22 A. That is correct. You broke up on me, but 23 I believe that is correct. 24 Q. I'm I'm sorry. Again, you can't hear.</pre>	19	these meetings and conversations with
<ul> <li>A. That is correct. You broke up on me, but</li> <li>I believe that is correct.</li> <li>Q. I'm I'm sorry. Again, you can't hear.</li> </ul>	20	representatives of the social media platforms,
<ul> <li>23 I believe that is correct.</li> <li>24 Q. I'm I'm sorry. Again, you can't hear.</li> </ul>	21	correct?
Q. I'm I'm sorry. Again, you can't hear.	22	A. That is correct. You broke up on me, but
~	23	I believe that is correct.
25 I am hearing you fine. Can you hear me okay?	24	Q. I'm I'm sorry. Again, you can't hear.
	25	I am hearing you fine. Can you hear me okay?

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 96 of 386 PageID #: 6579 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	A. Now I can.
2	Q. Okay. Let me ask you this: Do they
3	when you have these information exchanges, you
4	provide the social media platforms with tactical
5	information, which includes you know, identifies
6	specific accounts and user or not users, but
7	specific accounts and URLs that you have concluded
8	are Russian-originated, right?
9	A. Can you repeat the question? You have
10	been breaking up on me.
11	MR. SAUER: Should we go off the record?
12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 11:22
13	a.m.
14	(Whereupon a recess was taken.)
15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on record
16	at 11:27 a.m.
17	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Agent Chan, circling back
18	to where we were a moment ago, I wanted to ask you,
19	when you have these information sharing sessions
20	with various social media platforms, you testified
21	earlier that you give them tactical information,
22	right?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Yeah. And tactical information includes
25	identifying specific social media accounts and URLs

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 97 of 386 PageID #: 6580 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	and things of that nature, right?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Yeah. How often has that happened? I
4	know in your thesis you refer to four
5	publicly-available instances where there was that
6	kind of information sharing. How often does it
7	happen that the FBI will give a list of specific
8	tactical information for the social media platforms
9	to consider?
10	MR. SUR: I am going to object on the
11	basis of the law enforcement privilege. And you
12	can answer without insofar as you can without
13	disclosing any particular investigation.
14	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Yeah, I don't want to know
15	any particular URL, but just generally how often
16	does that happen?
17	A. I would say in general it happens between,
18	I don't know, one to five times per month.
19	Q. Okay. And then how many
20	A. From different investigations.
21	Q. Oh, got you. Okay. And then how and
22	does this actually occur at these meetings we've
23	talked about, or are there separate communications
24	where you pick up the phone and call the site
25	integrity people and tell them, "Here are some bad

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 98 of 386 PageID #: 6581 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 98

1	
1	URLs"?
2	A. So
3	MR. SUR: Objection; mischaracterizes the
4	record, compound.
5	Q. BY MR. SAUER: If you know.
6	A. From my understanding of your question, we
7	will typically share information either right
8	before or after one of our quarterly meetings.
9	That is pretty standard. Otherwise we will just
10	share information from different investigations as
11	deemed appropriate by the field offices running the
12	investigations and FBI headquarters.
13	Q. So so it would be both, I take it,
14	there would be in every quarterly meeting you
15	might identify or you do identify tactical
16	information about foreign-originated URLs and user
17	accounts, correct?
18	A. I don't know if it happens at every single
19	quarterly meeting, but I would say most of them.
20	Q. And then and then apart from the
21	quarterly meetings, I think you said one to five
22	times a month there would be a communication of
23	that nature through another channel, right?
24	A. Correct. What I would typically do is
25	send an email to the recipients at the companies

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 99 of 386 PageID #: 6582 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 99

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 100 of 386 PageID #: 6583 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	and provide an explanation of the FBI's basis
2	for thinking that those are bad accounts or bad
3	indicators?
4	A. In general it will typically provide a
5	very short summary, maybe a sentence or two, that
6	will say, "We assess that these accounts are being
7	controlled by the Internet Research Agency," and
8	then provide a list of accounts, or "We assess this
9	IP address is being used exclusively by the
10	Internet Research Agency from this time period to
11	that time period." That is a typical type of
12	information sharing.
13	Q. Typically how many accounts or URLs or
14	other indicators would be identified in a
14 15	other indicators would be identified in a communication like this?
15	communication like this?
15 16	communication like this? A. It varies from one account or one selector
15 16 17	A. It varies from one account or one selector to many, like a whole spreadsheet full of them.
15 16 17 18	<pre>communication like this?    A. It varies from one account or one selector to many, like a whole spreadsheet full of them.    Q. Can you estimate for example, in 2022,</pre>
15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>communication like this?</li> <li>A. It varies from one account or one selector to many, like a whole spreadsheet full of them.</li> <li>Q. Can you estimate for example, in 2022, can you estimate how many specific indicators have</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>communication like this?     A. It varies from one account or one selector to many, like a whole spreadsheet full of them.     Q. Can you estimate for example, in 2022, can you estimate how many specific indicators have been flagged for social media companies?</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>communication like this?</li> <li>A. It varies from one account or one selector to many, like a whole spreadsheet full of them.</li> <li>Q. Can you estimate for example, in 2022, can you estimate how many specific indicators have been flagged for social media companies?</li> <li>A. I can't really estimate that number. I</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>communication like this?</li> <li>A. It varies from one account or one selector to many, like a whole spreadsheet full of them.</li> <li>Q. Can you estimate for example, in 2022, can you estimate how many specific indicators have been flagged for social media companies?</li> <li>A. I can't really estimate that number. I can estimate that during 2020 I shared information</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>communication like this?</li> <li>A. It varies from one account or one selector to many, like a whole spreadsheet full of them.</li> <li>Q. Can you estimate for example, in 2022, can you estimate how many specific indicators have been flagged for social media companies?</li> <li>A. I can't really estimate that number. I can estimate that during 2020 I shared information with the companies between one to five or one to</li> </ul>

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 101 of 386 PageID #: 6584 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 101

1 anywhere from one to a very large number, a whole 2 spreadsheet full of indicators that you'd be 3 flagging, fair to say? 4 That is fair. And when I say "large," Α. 5 maybe hundreds, but not thousands. I don't ever 6 recollect anything in the thousands, but maybe 7 hundreds. 8 Q. And then -- and that happened one to five 9 times a month in 2020, correct? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Q. How about in 2022, did it also happen that 12 frequently? 13 In my recollection, maybe one to four Α. 14 times a month. 15 And then there would be a similar range of ο. 16 the number of indicators flagged in each incident? 17 I would say for the 2022 cycle, that we Α. would not have in the hundreds. At the most it 18 19 would be in the -- in the tens, in the dozens. 20 And do you send these out to all the Q. 21 social media platforms? I think you mentioned 22 seven or eight that you regularly meet with. And 23 do they all get these Teleporter communications 24 flagging bad indicators for them? I would --25 Α.

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 102 of 386 PageID #: 6585 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	MR. SUR: Objection; mischaracterizes the
2	record, vague.
3	THE WITNESS: I would say in general that
4	the companies I mentioned to you before would
5	receive these.
6	However, there would be some occasions
7	that it would be company-specific information that
8	we would not share across all the companies that I
9	previously mentioned.
10	Q. BY MR. SAUER: So sometimes it would
11	relate to all of them; sometimes it might be just a
12	Facebook issue or a Twitter issue or something like
13	that, fair to say?
14	A. I would say most of the time we would
15	share with that list of companies, and a few of the
16	times we would share with just one company or two
17	companies.
18	Q. Okay. Do you ask them to kind of report
19	back to you on whether they take any action on
20	those?
21	MR. SUR: Objection; vague.
22	THE WITNESS: The typical language we
23	would use is, "We would appreciate any information
24	you're able to share back with us about this
25	information and if you were to take any actions

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 103 of 386 PageID #: 6586 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 103

based on this information." 1 2 BY MR. SAUER: And do they typically Q. 3 comply with that request? 4 MR. SUR: Objection; vague. 5 THE WITNESS: I would say sometimes. 6 Some -- I would say at every guarterly meeting we 7 try to follow up to ask if information we shared 8 has been relevant if we have not received a 9 response yet. 10 However, the companies either say they 11 don't have anything additional to share or they do 12 not find anything based on the information we 13 shared. 14 So sometimes they'll report Ο. BY MR. SAUER: 15 back and say, "Hey, thanks for providing this 16 information. We've taken down some of the 17 accounts," or something like that? That happens 18 sometimes, you say? 19 That is correct. And when it happens, Α. 20 we -- I will document it, and the reason for that 21 is because that helps us fine-tune the information 2.2 that we're sharing. 23 Uh-huh. And then I take it sometimes they 0. 24 just -- they don't tell you what they did with it, 25 right?

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 104 of 386 PageID #: 6587 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	A. That is correct.
2	Q. But then every I take it at every
3	quarterly or monthly meeting, you usually follow up
4	and ask them, "Hey, did you take any actions on
5	these reports we made, " right?
6	A. We try to at quarterly meetings.
7	Q. Right. And I take it they don't always
8	answer that question, fair to say?
9	A. They will always respond, but they will
10	say, "Sorry. We don't have anything to share."
11	Q. Okay. And do you know why they are
12	reluctant to tell you whether they took things down
13	or not?
14	MR. SUR: Objection; vague, calls for
15	speculation, mischaracterizes the record.
16	THE WITNESS: I don't know why I focus
17	on when they do let us know about actions that
18	they've taken.
19	Q. BY MR. SAUER: When you say you focus on
20	that, what do you do with that information?
21	A. I document it, and then I relay it back to
22	the field office, where it gets logged where that
23	information came from.
24	Q. Do essentially all these communications
25	kind of pass through you because you are the

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 105 of 386 PageID #: 6588 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page	1	05
------	---	----

1	relevant field officer in San Francisco, where
2	these companies are located?
3	A. I would say I'm one of the primary people
4	with pass-through information. However, we have
5	agents on the different cyber squads and our
6	private sector-engagement squad who also relay
7	information to the companies.
8	Q. Who are those agents who relay this kind
9	of information about a sort of problematic content
10	on their platforms to social media?
11	(Discussion off the record.)
12	(Reporter read back as requested.)
13	THE WITNESS: So the question is: Who are
14	these agents who do that? I would say for that
15	sort of information it is not specifically about,
16	quote, problematic content. It is specifically
17	about cyber investigations, which are different
18	from malign-influence investigations.
19	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Let me rephrase the
20	question. Focusing on disinformation and
21	malign-foreign-influence operations, are there any
22	other FBI officials besides yourself who are
23	involved in communicating those kinds of concerns
24	to social media platforms outside the context of
25	the quarterly meetings you've talked about?

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 106 of 386 PageID #: 6589 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	A. Yes. I have squad supervisors who are
2	GS-14-level employees who share information to the
3	companies as well.
4	MR. SUR: I'm sorry to interrupt. The
5	videographer is suggesting that we go off the
6	record for technical reasons.
7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at
8	11:40 a.m.
9	(Whereupon a recess was taken.)
10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at
11	11:43 a.m.
12	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Mr. Chan, I think you were
13	saying you have two GS-14 officials who also
14	communicate with social media platforms about
15	disinformation, correct?
16	A. That is correct.
17	Q. Are those people who report to you in the
18	San Francisco office?
19	A. They report to me directly.
20	Q. Other than those individuals, are there
21	any other FBI officials who, to your knowledge,
22	communicate with social media platforms about
23	disinformation?
24	A. Yes. The agents on those two supervisors'
25	squads.

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 107 of 386 PageID #: 6590 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	Q. And I'm sorry. What are the two
2	supervisors' squads? Are they located in San
3	Francisco?
4	A. Yes, they are. They are located in the
5	San Francisco field office.
6	Q. Are those agents that also report to you
7	as the ASAC?
8	A. That is correct. They report to the
9	supervisors, who report directly to me.
10	Q. And those supervisors are the GS-14s that
11	you just talked about, right?
12	A. That is correct.
13	Q. How about outside the San Francisco field
14	office, are you aware of well, just a second.
15	How many agents are we talking about there in total
16	who engage in these communications with social
17	media platforms about disinformation from the San
18	Francisco field office?
19	A. I would say the two supervisors that I
20	already mentioned and four agents on one squad and
21	another agent on another squad.
22	Q. What kinds of communications do they have?
23	Do they just send the Teleporter links, or do they
24	get on the phone and talk to social media
25	platforms? What's the nature of their

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 108 of 386 PageID #: 6591 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	communication?
2	A. So both of the things that you said. So
3	they will give them a heads-up if they need to
4	relay information through Teleporter; and both of
5	those squads have active investigations where they
6	share unclassified, strategic-level information
7	with the companies.
8	Q. So they would share both strategic and
9	tactical information?
10	A. That is correct.
11	Q. And then would they be involved in
12	following up to find out if their the tactical
13	information was acted on?
14	A. Yes, they would.
15	Q. So and that might apply to you, the two
16	GS-14s and the six field agents on the two squads
17	you referred to?
18	A. Yes, that is correct.
19	Q. Okay. Then how about outside the San
20	Francisco field office? Are you aware of any other
21	agents who are you aware of any other FBI
22	officials who communicate with social media
23	platforms about disinformation?
24	A. So I am only aware of the FBI officials or
25	employees who we invite to provide briefings at the

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 109 of 386 PageID #: 6592 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 109

1 quarterly meetings. 2 Okay. So that includes people who -- at Q. 3 FITF in Washington, D.C.? 4 That's correct. Α. 5 Is Laura Dehmlow -- she's the head of Ο. 6 FITF, right? 7 Currently she's the section chief and head Α. 8 of the FITF. 9 And does she come to all of those Q. 10 meetings? 11 Α. I would say in the 2020 election cycle she 12 had not been promoted yet and she was the unit 13 chief for the China unit, and I would say she 14 attended most of those guarterly meetings. 15 Since she has been promoted to be the head 16 of the FITF, she has not attended as frequently. Ι 17 believe she's only attended one set of meetings 18 this year. 19 What level of people do attend? You know, Q. 20 what sorts of people attend those meetings? 21 Α. So Laura Dehmlow would be the 2.2 highest-ranking FBI official to attend. She is the 23 SES level. I would be the second-highest-level 24 attendee, as a GS-15. And then everyone else would 25 be below my grade, ranging from GS-14s to perhaps

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 110 of 386 PageID #: 6593 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 110

1 GS-10 agents. 2 How long do these meetings last typically? Q. 3 We like to keep a tight agenda, and so it Α. 4 will typically last one hour. 5 And about how many people would attend on Q. 6 the FBI side -- or do attend on the FBI side? 7 I would say from the Foreign Influence Α. 8 Task Force, between three to ten. However, 9 typically only one to two people from each of the 10 three units speaks. And then we would usually have 11 one field office comprised of two 12 representatives -- between one to three field 13 offices attend. So one to two representatives from 14 each of those field offices. 15 So I can't do that math in my head, but it Ο. 16 is north of ten frequently? 17 If you do the math, it could be as Α. Yeah. 18 high as a dozen. 19 And then how many people typically attend Q. 20 on the social media platform side? 21 Α. I would say a similar amount. For the --2.2 I'm sorry. I would say for the three larger 23 companies -- specifically Google/YouTube, Facebook 24 and Twitter -- it would be equal numbers or higher numbers than the FBI. 25

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 111 of 386 PageID #: 6594 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

```
1
              When you provide tactical information to
         Q.
 2
     the social media platforms -- in other words, lists
 3
     of social media accounts, URLs and other things of
 4
     that nature -- how do you verify that those
5
     actually are inauthentic accounts and not actual
6
     real accounts by real people?
7
              MR. SUR: Objection; implicates the law
8
     enforcement privilege.
9
              I will ask that the witness answer only in
10
     generalities without disclosing the details of any
11
     particular investigation.
12
              THE WITNESS: Understood.
13
              So there are -- the FBI, as the lead law
14
     enforcement agency and domestic security agency for
15
     the federal government has two types of
16
     authorities. One, which you're very familiar with,
17
     Title 18 criminal authorities and all that
18
     entails -- subpoenas, search warrants, 2703(d)
19
     orders -- is one way that we acquire information
20
     for investigations.
21
              On the national security side, we have
2.2
     Title 50 authorities and then the authorities from
23
     the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act, from
     the -- from the PATRIOT Act, from Executive Order
24
25
     12333 that allows us to gather national security
```

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 112 of 386 PageID #: 6595 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 112

1 intelligence.
-----------------

And so broadly we are able to use both types of techniques to gather the intelligence that we need to -- and then there is a -- in the case of the national security side, there is a declassification process that has to take place before we are allowed to share information with the social media companies.

9 Q. BY MR. SAUER: Let me ask you this: You 10 had cited an example earlier where, for example, a 11 Teleporter message was sent with a spreadsheet with 12 hundreds of accounts back in 2020. Do you remember 13 talking about that?

A. Yes.

14

Q. How sure are you that every single one of those accounts is actually an inauthentic kind of Russian-originated account as opposed to, you know, actually a real account of somebody who's got a Facebook or Twitter or YouTube account?

20 MR. SUR: Objection; compound.

THE WITNESS: Within the U.S. intelligence committee, as you're familiar, there are different levels of confidence in terms of the information that, you know, we are reviewing in a product. And we only share information that we have high

> LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 113 of 386 PageID #: 6596 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	confidence that is attributed to a foreign-state
2	actor.
3	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Is "high confidence" always
4	correct or usually correct, in your experience?
5	A. In my experience, it has always been
6	correct.
7	Q. So anything for the
8	A. For the selectors that we are providing.
9	I do not remember an instance where we have
10	provided selectors where any of the social media
11	companies have said, "Elvis, this is actually
12	U.Sperson information."
13	Q. So you are not aware of ever getting
14	feedback from them where they said you guys
15	accidentally identified real users?
16	A. That is correct.
17	Q. Do they always tell you let me ask you
18	this: How many of the ones that you flag do they
19	take down?
20	A. I couldn't even estimate that number.
21	Q. How about as a percentage?
22	A. I couldn't even estimate. And let me
23	let me tell you part of the reason is because we
24	may share, for example, one account with them, but
25	then they may find ten connected accounts and take

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 114 of 386 PageID #: 6597 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 114

1	all of them down. And they don't always tell us
2	when you know, how many accounts were taken
3	down. Sometimes they will tell us. Sometimes they
4	will tell us, "Thank you, Elvis. We were able to
5	detect this information and took all of the
6	accounts down." And when we ask for the specifics,
7	they don't necessarily tell us all the time.
8	Q. Right. Okay. But it sounds like
9	sometimes your reports lead to more takedowns than
10	the accounts you have you flagged, fair to say?
11	A. That is correct.
12	Q. How confident are you that they are not,
13	you know, kind of making mistakes in taking down
14	real user accounts?
15	MR. SUR: Objection; calls for
16	speculation, lacks foundation.
17	THE WITNESS: So this is just my personal
18	opinion, obviously not based on being able to see
19	any of their data. In my experience, they take
20	account takedowns very seriously because this
21	affects their bottom line.
22	So no social media company wants to take
23	down a large number of accounts, because one of the
24	things they base their ad sales on is how many
25	users are on their platform. So in my opinion,

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 115 of 386 PageID #: 6598 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

-	
1	they take it very seriously. And I would say that
2	to the best of their ability, they are very careful
3	before doing account takedowns.
4	Q. BY MR. SAUER: I think that ties back into
5	something you said earlier, which was in 2016 they
6	really didn't do any account takedowns, fair to
7	say?
8	A. That is correct.
9	Q. And it and I take it they may have had
10	a I we may be speculating here, if you know.
11	Do you know if that was because they have a
12	financial incentive to leave those accounts up
13	because it increases their ad revenues?
14	MR. SUR: Objection; calls for
15	speculation.
16	THE WITNESS: I wouldn't even begin to
17	speculate. I don't know why.
18	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Let me ask you this: Why
19	did things change, in your view? I take it in 2018
20	and 2020 there were many more account takedowns,
21	right?
22	A. So there are two parts to your question.
23	Why do I think they did it? I can provide you with
24	my personal opinion.
25	Q. Okay.

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 116 of 386 PageID #: 6599 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	A. My I believe pressure from Congress,
2	specifically HPSCI and SSCI, may have had a part of
3	it.
4	And then also because I believe that they
5	felt that this may have damaged their brands, but
6	that is my personal opinion.
7	Q. Okay. Well, let me ask you this: When
8	you say "pressure from Congress" and you mentioned
9	HPSCI and SSCI, what are HPSCI and SSCI? Are
10	those are those committees?
11	A. I'm sorry. HPSCI is the the House
12	Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence. And
13	SSCI is the Senate Select Committee on
14	Intelligence.
15	Q. Starting with the House Permanent Select
16	Committee on Intelligence, what kind of pressure
17	did they put on the social media platforms to, you
18	know, engage more aggressively in account
19	takedowns?
20	A. They compelled I don't know if they
21	compelled. They requested the CEOs for the
22	companies that I mentioned, the to testify in
23	front of their committee.
24	Q. And so they kind of brought in Mark
25	Zuckerberg and Jack Dorsey and Sundar Pichai and

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 117 of 386 PageID #: 6600 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	had them testify in front of Congress?
2	A. That is correct.
3	Q. And that happened that happened once or
4	it happened multiple times?
5	A. To my knowledge, that happened more than
6	once.
7	Q. And you believe that that that that
8	kind of scrutiny and public pressure from Congress,
9	in your view, motivated them to be more aggressive
10	in the account takedowns?
11	MR. SUR: Objection; lacks foundation,
12	calls for speculation.
13	THE WITNESS: That is just my personal
14	opinion.
15	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Yeah. What is the basis
16	for your opinion? Has anyone at a social media
17	platform ever made a comment to you that would
18	reflect that that view?
19	A. I would say yes. And the types of
20	comments that I have received are that staffers
21	from both of those committees have visited with
22	those companies. And while they would not reveal
23	the types of discussions that they had with these
24	House and Senate staffers, they would indicate that
25	they had to prepare very thoroughly for these types

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 118 of 386 PageID #: 6601 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 118

1 of meetings and that it was -- they indicated that it felt like a lot of pressure. 2 3 "They" is representatives of social media 0. 4 platforms? 5 Yeah. The social media companies that Α. were visited. 6 7 Q. What -- what social media companies were 8 visited by these HPSCI and SSCI staffers? 9 To my knowledge, it was the three Α. 10 companies that I've mentioned, which include 11 Facebook, Google and Twitter. 12 And Facebook, Google and -- Facebook, Q. 13 Google and Twitter employees all told you that they 14 experienced these visits from congressional 15 staffers as exercising a lot of pressure on them? 16 Α. That was how I interpreted their comments. 17 Q. And then you infer from that that their 18 changes in takedown policies resulted from that 19 kind of pressure from Congress? 20 That is my personal opinion. Α. 21 If I can add, I think some of -- some of 2.2 what was discussed -- I'm interpreting what -- some 23 of what was discussed. But what the -- the 24 staffers would come and talk to us either before or 25 after they met with those three companies. And so

> LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 119 of 386 PageID #: 6602 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	what was discussed with us was legislation that
2	they were thinking about doing, and them asking for
3	our opinion.
4	Q. Uh-huh. When you say "legislation that
5	they were thinking about doing," what do you mean?
6	A. Legislation that either HPSCI or SSCI was
7	thinking about doing.
8	Q. So HPSCI and SSCI, these committees on
9	intelligence, their staffers would be communicating
10	to the social media platforms Facebook, Twitter and
11	Google or YouTube that they intended to try and
12	pass legislation?
13	A. So I inferred that because that is what
14	they discussed with me personally.
15	Q. That is what they, the social media
16	platforms, discussed with you, correct?
17	A. No, no. That is what HPSCI and SSCI
18	discussed with me when they were coming to these
19	meetings.
20	Q. Oh, did you were you in on these
21	meetings? Like, were you included in the meetings
22	with the congressional staffers?
23	A. So I and FBI San Francisco personnel would
24	meet with the congressional staffers, typically
25	before they met or after they met with the social

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 120 of 386 PageID #: 6603 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	media companies. And the reason for that is
2	because they wanted an FBI opinion about what they
3	had heard from the social media companies.
4	Q. I got you. What did they first of all,
5	when did these meetings occur? Was this in 2017,
6	2018, 2019, 2020? What time frame?
7	A. I can't recollect, but I do they felt
8	like an annual occurrence after after 2017.
9	Q. And the congressional staffers would tell
10	you in advance, "We're going to meet with the
11	social media companies and and tell them about
12	legislation we want to advance," correct?
13	A. No.
14	Q. I'm sorry.
15	A. What they would say what they would say
16	is they would tell us, "We plan to meet with these
17	three companies, and what have you been doing with
18	these three companies?"
19	And then we would share, like, the cases
20	or that we would be working on, but we would be
21	in a classified setting. So we would be able to
22	speak more freely about the types of investigations
23	that were the most prominent or the most active on
24	our side, that they were either their their
25	platforms were impacted at some point. That is

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 121 of 386 PageID #: 6604 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 121

1	would be the nature of our discussion with the
2	staffers.
3	But I would not meet with the staffers and
4	the social media companies. The staffers had
5	separate meetings with each of the companies.
6	Q. Okay. And then after those meetings, the
7	staffers would come to you and ask your opinion
8	about potential legislation?
9	A. That is correct.
10	Q. What sort of legislation?
11	MR. SUR: I am going to object. The
12	deliberative process privilege extends not just to
13	the executive branch, but all sorts of executive
14	communications within the government.
15	So if you can answer at a level of
16	generality that avoids disclosing the
17	particularities of any deliberations. If you if
18	you cannot, then I instruct you not to answer.
19	THE WITNESS: I cannot discuss it in
20	general terms.
21	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Okay. Well, let me ask you
22	this just generally. Did the legislation relate to
23	Section 230 of the Communications Decency Act?
24	MR. SUR: I am going to stand by the same
25	objection on the grounds of deliberative process

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 122 of 386 PageID #: 6605 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 122

privilege. 1

2	MR. SAUER: The deliberative process
3	privilege does not apply, quote, when there is any
4	reason to believe that government misconduct has
5	occurred. The judge by ordering this deposition
6	has already concluded there's potential First
7	Amendment violations in this case that need to be
8	explored, so the privilege does not apply.
9	MR. SUR: We are at the at the pleading
10	stage on the First Amendment violations, and the
11	judge has not ruled on any particular privilege
12	question. So I will continue to assert and ask
13	that the witness not answer the question on the
14	grounds of the deliberative process privilege.
15	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Let me ask you this: The
16	social media platforms talk to you people on the
17	social media platforms talk to you as well, right?
18	A. Yes. I talk with the social media
19	platform personnel regularly.
20	Q. And I think you'd said earlier that they
21	had kind of just made statements to you that
22	indicated that they felt that these meetings, these
23	annual meetings with congressional staffers put a
24	lot of pressure on them, right?
25	A. That was my interpretation of their

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 123 of 386 PageID #: 6606 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	comments. I don't recollect any of them using the
2	specific word "pressure," but that was how I
3	interpreted our conversations.
4	Q. What congressional staffers were involved
5	in these meetings?
6	A. They would be senior-level staffers. I'm
7	sorry. I can't remember any of their names. But
8	typically it would be the delegation would
9	include a senior-level staffer, I think a
10	director-level; and then one of their attorneys,
11	like the committee counsel or a senior counsel for
12	the committee; and then there would be one to two
13	other, like, line-level staffers.
14	Q. So there would be maybe three to five
15	staffers total who would come to the meetings?
16	A. That is correct.
17	Q. Do you know who at the three social media
18	platforms you referred to attended the meetings?
19	A. So I was told by the staffers that they
20	met with Facebook, Google and Twitter.
21	Q. But then you actually talked to some
22	people from Facebook, Google and Twitter who were
23	at those meetings, right?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Who were they for Facebook, for starters?

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 124 of 386 PageID #: 6607 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1 Α. For Facebook, I would typically talk to Steven Siegel. He's a -- a director on their 2 3 attorney side. 4 Anyone else at Facebook that was involved Ο. 5 in the meetings with congressional staffers? 6 Α. He would be the one that I would talk to 7 about that. 8 And he -- for some --Q. 9 That's what I recollect. Α. 10 Q. And specifically he was involved in those 11 meetings, right? 12 He led me to believe that he was involved Α. 13 in those meetings. Probably not just him. 14 How about -- how about Twitter, what -- do Ο. 15 you know who at Twitter was involved in those 16 meetings? 17 Α. I would have discussions with Yoel Roth or 18 Angela Sherrer. 19 How do you spell "Angela Sherrer"? Q. 20 Angela, common spelling; Sherrer, Α. 21 S-h-e-r-r-e-r. 2.2 Anyone else? Q. 23 She is no longer -- she's no longer -- or Α. 24 neither of them are at Twitter anymore. 25 Okay. There's some turnover there? Ο.

> LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 125 of 386 PageID #: 6608 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Anyone else at Twitter?
3	A. Those would be the two senior officials
4	that I am aware of.
5	Q. How about Google?
6	A. I would say in my experience it would be
7	Richard Salgado.
8	Q. Anyone else besides Richard Salgado?
9	A. I believe on some occasions Shane Huntley
10	would attend.
11	Q. Anyone else at Google?
12	A. I can't recollect at this time, but those
13	would be the two most senior officials attending
14	those types of meetings.
15	Q. Let me ask you this: Connecting these two
16	things, did you observe that after those meetings
17	where the congressional staffers came out and met
18	with Facebook, Twitter and YouTube; that Facebook,
19	Twitter and YouTube changed their practices and
20	became more active in account takedowns?
21	A. No. I would not connect those two events.
22	What I would say is after 2016 at the end of
23	2016 and the beginning of 2017, after those initial
24	congressional hearings, and even prior to those
25	congressional hearings, in my experience, the

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 126 of 386 PageID #: 6609 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	companies did not seem to be aware of the
2	activities going on on other platforms. But after
3	they became aware, they became much more active in
4	trying to combat it.
5	Q. I am going to pull up your thesis again,
6	and hopefully it won't cause audio problems. Can
7	you see that?
8	A. Can you let us know what page you're on?
9	Q. Yeah. This is on Page 42. See down
10	there, that's Page 42? And then that would be Page
11	66 of the PDF.
12	A. Okay. I can see one whole paragraph and
13	parts of two paragraphs.
14	Q. Can you see here at the top of the page
15	where you refer to the "Internet Research Agency's
16	influence campaign in 2016"?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And then you say that the "companies
19	allowed the IRA free rein to achieve the relevant
20	elements of the Kartapolov Framework" in 2016,
21	right?
22	A. Yeah. That is how I characterize that.
23	Q. And that's a reference to, you know, "free
24	rein," that is engaging in no takedown activity at
25	all, but letting the Russian inauthentic content be

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 127 of 386 PageID #: 6610 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	freely disseminated on their platforms, right?
2	A. That is correct.
3	Q. And then you go on to say, "However, later
4	on, intense pressure from U.S. lawmakers and the
5	media would eventually force the social media
6	companies to examine what had taken place on their
7	platforms and strive to ensure it did not happen in
8	the future," right?
9	A. Yeah. I wrote that. And you can see that
10	the footnote says I am referencing the HPSCI
11	"Report on Russian Active Measures."
12	Q. So you're actually referencing HPSCI, the
13	congressional committee that sent these staffers
14	out to have these meetings that we just talked
15	about, right?
16	A. That's correct.
17	Q. Do you still agree with that statement
18	that (as read) "intense pressure from U.S.
19	lawmakers and the media forced the social media
20	companies to examine what had taken place and
21	strive to ensure it did not happen in the future"?
22	A. Yes. I wrote that statement, and I agree
23	with it.
24	Q. That's a consistent approach with the
25	Footnote 185 that cites the congressional reports

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 128 of 386 PageID #: 6611 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	as well as what you said earlier about, you know,
2	calling the tech CEOs in to testify in front of
3	Congress and these meetings out here in San
4	Francisco, right?
5	A. Yes. That is my personal opinion.
6	Q. Okay. I want to jump ahead to Page 46 of
7	your thesis. Can you still hear me?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Okay. Do you see here on Page 46, Page 70
10	of the PDF?
11	A. "Facebook stated it took a series of
12	measures"?
13	Q. All right.
14	(Discussion off the record.)
15	THE WITNESS: I read the first sentence of
16	the second paragraph.
17	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Right. If I could direct
18	your can you hear me now?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Directing your attention higher up, at the
21	very top of the page, you say, "Facebook and
22	Twitter appeared to be the most detailed in sharing
23	their changes and the most public about account
24	<pre>takedowns," right?</pre>
25	A. That is correct.

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334 Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 129 of 386 PageID #: 6612 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

```
1
              And "their changes," what are those?
         Q.
                                                     Is
 2
     that a reference to substantive actions and policy
 3
     changes to address malign foreign influence?
 4
         Α.
              Yes.
 5
              And your footnotes there I think refers to
         Q.
6
     changes that they actually made to their
7
     content-modulation policies, right, their terms of
8
     service?
9
         Α.
              That is correct. Whenever --
10
                 (Discussion off the record.)
11
              THE WITNESS: Okay. Can you -- can you
12
     scroll back to the sentence you were on, Mr. Sauer?
13
              Oh, I wanted to clarify that the
14
     substantive actions refers to, like, the
15
     technology-detection methods, right? That is one
16
     of the substantive actions.
17
              And then, as you mentioned, yeah, the
18
     policy changes specifically to their terms of
19
     service or community standards.
                 (Discussion off the record.)
20
21
              THE WITNESS: -- and community -- or
2.2
     community standards.
23
              BY MR. SAUER: Got you. So the policy
         Q.
24
     changes are changes -- the substantive changes are
25
     their using algorithms to detect inauthentic
```

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 130 of 386 PageID #: 6613 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

```
1
     content, right?
              Correct. That is -- that is what I'm
 2
         Α.
 3
     referring to.
 4
              And then these -- the policy changes are
         Ο.
 5
     actually changing their terms of service to make
 6
     things -- to clarify that certain things actually
 7
     violate their policies and can be taken down,
 8
     right?
 9
         Α.
              Correct.
10
              So those are kind of more robust or more
         Q.
11
     aggressive content-modulation policies that they
12
     have adopted in this time frame, right?
13
         Α.
              Yes.
14
              And you go on to say in the next page,
         Ο.
15
     "Facebook and Twitter appeared to be the most
16
     detailed in sharing their changes and the most
17
     public about account takedowns," right?
18
         Α.
              That is correct.
19
              And the changes they shared were they
         Q.
20
     shared that they had both -- well, include the
21
     policy changes, the changes to their terms of
22
     service, right?
23
              Yes. They were very public in blog posts
         Α.
24
     about the terms-of-service changes --
25
                  (Discussion off the record.)
```

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 131 of 386 PageID #: 6614 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	THE WITNESS: is their term for it
2	(Discussion off the record.)
3	THE WITNESS: And providing reports of
4	account takedowns of foreign malign influence.
5	Q. BY MR. SAUER: So in addition to notifying
6	the FBI that they had taken stuff down, they would
7	provide a public report saying, "Hey, we took down
8	all the a certain number of accounts in the last
9	quarter"; is that what they would do?
10	A. Yes, yes.
11	Q. Okay. And you go on to say, "A possible
12	reason could be that Facebook and Twitter faced
13	more Congressional scrutiny than Google as their
14	senior executives testified before Congress on
15	three separate occasions before the midterm
16	elections"
17	A. Uh-huh.
18	Q is that right?
19	A. Yes. I do cite a news article. I
20	however, I do share the opinion of that article.
21	Q. And that's consistent with what we just
22	talked about a moment ago, which is that one way
23	that these social media platforms experience
24	pressure was Mark Zuckerberg and Jack Dorsey had to
25	go testify in front of Congress multiple times.

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 132 of 386 PageID #: 6615 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 132

1	
1	And you infer from that that may have led to their
2	changing their terms of service to be more robust
3	and to prevent certain kinds of content from being
4	<pre>posted; fair to say?</pre>
5	MR. SUR: Objection; mischaracterizes the
6	record.
7	THE WITNESS: My personal opinion is that,
8	yeah, the my personal opinion is that political
9	pressure from Congress was a contributing factor.
10	Q. BY MR. SAUER: On the next page, Page 47,
11	you talk about there were a significant number of
12	takedowns, right? "In 2018, Twitter announced the
13	takedown of 3,613 IRA-associated accounts."
14	A. Yeah. I see that at the bottom of the
15	first paragraph on that page.
16	Q. Do you have any way of knowing if all of
17	those were actually IRA-associated or some of them
18	might have been authentic accounts?
19	A. Well, I only know what Twitter has told
20	us.
21	Q. Jumping ahead in your thesis to a
22	couple pages, to Page 49, you talk about how
23	something "provided politicians with the occasion
24	to exert pressure on the companies to make
25	constructive changes to their platforms," right?

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 133 of 386 PageID #: 6616 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	A. Yeah, that was my assessment.
2	Q. Yeah. And in other words, this is, again,
3	another way of saying something we have been
4	talking about, that politicians, including members
5	of Congress, pressured the social media companies
6	to make changes to their platforms to address
7	malign foreign influence, correct?
8	A. That is correct.
9	Q. And you described it as "constructive
10	changes." So by and large you approve of the
11	policy changes and substantive actions that were
12	taken after 2016, correct?
13	MR. SUR: Objection; lacks foundation.
14	THE WITNESS: The reason I said it was
15	constructive was that it appeared the social media
16	companies were able to detect and counter
17	foreign-malign-influence operations on their
18	platforms, which I believe was constructive.
19	Q. BY MR. SAUER: And do you think it's
20	constructive that they are better equipped to
21	engage in account takedowns?
22	A. That is correct. As compared to 2016 and
23	prior.
24	Q. And you think it is a positive development
25	between 2016 and 2018 that zero accounts were taken

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 134 of 386 PageID #: 6617 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 134

1 down during the 2016 cycle but 3,613 Twitter 2 accounts were taken down in the 2018 cycle, 3 correct? 4 The higher number is better than Α. Yeah. 5 zero, in my estimation. 6 Because by and large you believe that Ο. 7 malign-foreign-influence accounts should be taken 8 down from Twitter, Facebook, YouTube and so forth? 9 Yes, that is my belief. Α. 10 Q. In the next paragraph of the thesis, you 11 talk about the Global Engagement Center. What 12 exactly is that? 13 So as I mentioned, it -- legislation Α. 14 established the Global Engagement Center within the 15 State Department. And based on my understanding and review of reporting about the GEC, they were 16 17 primarily focused originally on countering foreign 18 terrorist organizations' propaganda. 19 Q. Do you -- and then did their mission 20 change to address malign foreign influence? 21 Α. I -- yeah. I would say it has now -- in 2.2 my experience, it is now including malign foreign 23 influence as well. 24 When you say your experience, do you Q. 25 interact with the Global Engagement Center in your

> LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 135 of 386 PageID #: 6618 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	professional role?
2	A. Yeah, I the yes. Ahead of the 2020
3	elections, I engaged with a regional representative
4	who was based here in San Francisco.
5	Q. Who was that?
6	A. His name was Sam Stewart.
7	Q. Uh-huh. Was that Samaradun Kay Stewart?
8	A. Yeah. Yeah, that's his full name.
9	Q. What were your interactions with him?
10	A. I would have periodic phone calls with
11	him. He would meet with the social media companies
12	separately from me. And from what he told me, it
13	seemed like he primarily met with policy
14	individuals. I I rarely, if ever, meet with
15	policy individuals.
16	As I mentioned to you before, I typically
17	meet with the trust and safety individuals and then
18	their associated attorneys.
19	Q. Did he discuss with you the nature of the
20	meetings he had with the policy individuals at
21	social media platforms?
22	A. I would say only broadly. He discussed
23	different initiatives that they have.
24	Q. Would the social media platforms
25	A. And these initiatives include different

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 136 of 386 PageID #: 6619 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 136

1	types of software made by vendors that they would
2	pilot to see if they could detect malign foreign
3	influence on social media platforms. And then he
4	would also share information from State Department
5	employees who were at embassies, you know, boots on
6	the ground type of information from the embassies
7	we have around the world.

8 That is the type of information that he 9 would share with me and let me know that that was 10 the type of information that he was sharing with 11 the companies.

Q. That first piece of it is kind of interesting because it sounds like that connects to what you said earlier about the substantive actions taken by the social media platforms. They adopted computer programs or algorithms to try and detect inauthentic foreign activity, correct?

A. Yes. In my estimation, they use that type
of technology to detect and either knock down or
flag malign-foreign-influence activity.

Q. It sounds like Mr. Stewart was, based on your description, kind of offering them access to the sorts of tools they might be able to use to detect it, correct?

25 MR. SUR: Objection; calls for

LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 137 of 386 PageID #: 6620 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 137

1 speculation, mischaracterizes the record. 2 So I don't know what outside THE WITNESS: 3 vendors any of the companies, any of the social 4 media companies use. 5 I do know that the -- Sam Stewart from the Global Engagement Center, he -- he would provide 6 7 webinars to me from these different types of 8 vendors, and then the vendors would just come in 9 and share results that they have. 10 Q. BY MR. SAUER: When you say "results," are 11 these vendors who prepare computer programs to 12 detect, you know, inauthentic activity on social 13 media platforms? 14 That is my understanding. Α. 15 Ο. And you -- I take it you participated in 16 some of his presentations, or you --17 I would say I went to two of those Α. 18 webinars just to see what they were like. 19 However, based on my viewing of those two 20 webinars, I did not think it was useful from a law 21 enforcement standpoint. 2.2 And would it be more useful -- in other Q. 23 words, a more useful audience would have been the 24 social media platforms who might use such programs? 25 MR. SUR: Objection; vague.

> LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 138 of 386 PageID #: 6621 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	THE WITNESS: I believe that is why Sam
2	Stewart would share that type of information. But
3	the reason I did not find it useful was because, as
4	a law enforcement agency and a domestic security
5	service, I am focused on the malign foreign actors
6	themselves and their activities. And what these
7	companies and applications did was just look at
8	the look at the content and activities from a
9	surface level.
10	So I would be concerned that that sort of
11	application might accidentally pick up U.S. people
12	information, and so that is not something that I
13	think would be useful or relevant to the FBI.
14	Q. BY MR. SAUER: And so your concern your
15	concern was that the GEC's kind of computer
16	programs that they were making available to social
17	media companies might be overinclusive and
18	misidentify authentic accounts as inauthentic
19	activity?
20	MR. SUR: Objection; lacks foundation,
21	calls for speculation, and mischaracterizes the
22	record.
23	THE WITNESS: So if I may if I may
24	respond to your question. So the State Department
25	is primarily a foreign-focus agency. And so in

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 139 of 386 PageID #: 6622 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	their estimation I believe that in their
2	estimation their tools would be deployed overseas,
3	where I believe they do not have the same type of
4	legal training that I do specifically about First
5	Amendment protections. And so, you know, they are
6	overseas in embassies and their analysts are
7	overseas in embassies, and so they don't have the
8	same sorts of concerns that I would working at the
9	FBI is what I is my personal opinion.
10	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Okay. And those concerns
11	specifically is that it would raise a First
12	Amendment concern if somebody's a real user's
13	account got accidentally taken down because the
14	State Department provided a computer program that
15	was overinclusive?
16	MR. SUR: Objection; mischaracterizes the
17	record and calls for a legal conclusion.
18	Q. BY MR. SAUER: But if yeah, if that
19	concern
20	A. So I don't think the State Department was
21	providing these programs to the companies. I think
22	the State Department was just providing a venue
23	where different vendors could show off their
24	products. I don't think they endorsed or did not
25	endorse these products, but they provided and it

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 140 of 386 PageID #: 6623 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	wasn't just for the social media companies.
2	They would invite all sorts of audiences,
3	to include researchers, employees from State
4	Department counterparts, so typically Ministry of
5	Foreign Affairs. They would provide these were
6	open to the general public, but I believe the
7	audience that they were going for were State
8	Department-equivalent personnel, social media
9	companies and researchers.
10	MR. SAUER: Do you want to go off the
11	record?
12	THE WITNESS: That's just based on what
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at
14	12:27.
15	(Whereupon the noon recess was
16	taken.)
17	000
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 141 of 386 PageID #: 6624 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

-	raye 141
1	REMOTE VIA ZOOM, CALIFORNIA, NOVEMBER 29, 2022
2	AFTERNOON SESSION
3	000
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
5	record at 1:20 p.m.
6	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Agent Chan, I just want to
7	direct your attention back to your thesis, Exhibit
8	1, for a few more questions. Let me know if you
9	have difficulty hearing me.
10	Here I am on Page 61 of your thesis, which
11	is Page 85 of the pdf. In here you discuss the
12	case of PeaceData, which was a website, right?
13	A. Yes, that is correct.
14	Q. What exactly was PeaceData? Was that a
15	Russian-originated website?
16	A. Yes. For the 2020 election cycle, that
17	was a website created by the Internet Research
18	Agency.
19	Q. And it was intended to sow kind of
20	disinformation and discord among kind of
21	left-leaning voters in the United States, right?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And then here in this sentence that I am
24	highlighting you say "Third, Reuters broke a story
25	about the IRA posing as PeaceData staff to hire
1	

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 142 of 386 PageID #: 6625 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 142

1	unwitting freelance journalists, including
2	Americans, to write articles for the site," right?
3	A. That is correct, and I cite the articles.
4	Q. Right. And then you go on to say that
5	"The Carnegie Endowment" "determined that at least
6	20 freelance journalists, " which includes
7	Americans, "had been duped into writing articles
8	for the PeaceData outlet," right?
9	A. That is correct.
10	Q. Those articles were posted on that
11	website, right?
12	A. That is correct.
13	Q. Then that website, I take it, got taken
14	down as a result of the revelation that it was a
14 15	down as a result of the revelation that it was a Russian mis or Russian-originated site, right?
15	Russian mis or Russian-originated site, right?
15 16	Russian mis or Russian-originated site, right? A. I do not believe it was taken down. I
15 16 17	Russian mis or Russian-originated site, right? A. I do not believe it was taken down. I believe it was just discredited. It may I
15 16 17 18	Russian mis or Russian-originated site, right? A. I do not believe it was taken down. I believe it was just discredited. It may I haven't checked it, but it was up for a while.
15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Russian mis or Russian-originated site, right? A. I do not believe it was taken down. I believe it was just discredited. It may I haven't checked it, but it was up for a while. Q. And this is one of those sites that you</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Russian mis or Russian-originated site, right?</li> <li>A. I do not believe it was taken down. I</li> <li>believe it was just discredited. It may I</li> <li>haven't checked it, but it was up for a while.</li> <li>Q. And this is one of those sites that you</li> <li>described as achieving fairly low engagement</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Russian mis or Russian-originated site, right?    A. I do not believe it was taken down. I    believe it was just discredited. It may I    haven't checked it, but it was up for a while.    Q. And this is one of those sites that you    described as achieving fairly low engagement    earlier in the deposition; is that right?</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Russian mis or Russian-originated site, right?</li> <li>A. I do not believe it was taken down. I</li> <li>believe it was just discredited. It may I</li> <li>haven't checked it, but it was up for a while.</li> <li>Q. And this is one of those sites that you</li> <li>described as achieving fairly low engagement</li> <li>earlier in the deposition; is that right?</li> <li>A. Right. That is correct.</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Russian mis or Russian-originated site, right?</li> <li>A. I do not believe it was taken down. I</li> <li>believe it was just discredited. It may I</li> <li>haven't checked it, but it was up for a while.</li> <li>Q. And this is one of those sites that you</li> <li>described as achieving fairly low engagement</li> <li>earlier in the deposition; is that right?</li> <li>A. Right. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Was the FBI involved in the investigation</li> </ul>

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 143 of 386 PageID #: 6626 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 143

1	THE WITNESS: So the FBI shared
2	information with the companies that it believed the
3	Internet Research Agency was standing up. Two
4	websites. One was PeaceData, and the other was
5	called NAEBC, which is an abbreviation for Newsroom
6	for American- and European-Based Citizens. So they
7	were supposed to be complementary news websites.
8	But we did tip the social media companies to both
9	of those sites.
10	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Did the social media
11	companies, to your knowledge, act on that
12	information and take actions to restrict social
13	media postings about those bombing those sites?
14	A. What they conveyed to me is that they
15	identified accounts that were foreign-associated,
16	so Internet Research Agency-associated, that were
17	directing users on those platforms to the PeaceData
18	website as well as the NAEBC website.
19	Q. So they identified. Did they take actions
20	against those accounts?
21	A. I believe they did.
22	Q. Okay. So this is a situation where the
23	in the 2020 election cycle the tactics have evolved
24	and the Russians have now created a website, hired
25	Americans to write for the website and post

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 144 of 386 PageID #: 6627 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 144

1 articles on it, and then are using fake accounts to 2 direct ordinary users to the content on that 3 website; is that right? 4 MR. SUR: Objection; assumes facts not in 5 evidence. 6 THE WITNESS: Yeah, so you have summarized 7 one of the shifts that I noticed in my thesis. 8 Q. BY MR. SAUER: Got you. And the FBI was 9 the organization that flagged this for social media 10 companies as -- the PeaceData site as a 11 Russian-originating site, correct? 12 Α. Yes, that is my recollection. 13 Q. Okay. So in that you talked about the 14 NAEBC site, right? That's N-A-E-B-C, right? 15 Α. Correct. 16 And that was a more right-wing site that Q. 17 the Russians originated? 18 Α. Correct. 19 And just turning two pages ahead in your Q. 20 thesis to Page 63, you have a sentence here about 21 the NAEBC site that begins "Lastly, Graphika 2.2 determined that the IRA used various social media 23 accounts to engage with real users and convince 24 them to post on the NAEBC site, which met with some 25 success," correct?

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 145 of 386 PageID #: 6628 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	A. Yes, I wrote that, and I was citing the
2	Graphika team report.
3	Q. So it's your understanding that the NAEBC
4	site also included content drafted and written by
5	real users that had posted on that site?
6	A. Yes, based on the Graphika report.
7	Q. So it is a bit like the PeaceData site
8	where they had hired freelance journalists,
9	including Americans, to post on the site. Here
10	they induced social media users to post their own
11	thoughts on that site, right?
12	A. That is correct, but we do not know the
13	nationality of the users because it wasn't
14	mentioned in the source that I used.
15	Q. You don't know today whether those were
16	Americans or foreigners who were posting on that
17	NAEBC site, right?
18	A. I do not.
19	Q. But the NAEBC site was designed by the
20	Russians for Americans to read, right, or Americans
21	and Europeans; is that right?
22	A. That is my assessment.
23	Q. In fact, NAEBC stands for Newsroom for
24	American- and European-Based Citizens, right?
25	A. That is correct.

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 146 of 386 PageID #: 6629 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 146

1	Q. Higher on this page you describe these
2	people who posted on the site as unwitting
3	co-optees. Do you see that?
4	A. I do see that.
5	Q. So you have a situation where there's a
6	kind of, you know, intermingling or blending of the
7	inauthentic Russian content with essentially
8	authentic user-generated content, right?
9	MR. SUR: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
10	THE WITNESS: Yes, I characterize those
11	individuals as unwitting co-optees.
12	Q. BY MR. SAUER: And the FBI flagged the
13	NAEBC site for social media platforms as a kind of
14	Russian-originated source as well, right?
15	A. That is correct.
16	Q. Did you also flag social media accounts
17	that were engaged in directing people or
18	directing people to those sites, both PeaceData and
19	NAEBC, to the social media platforms?
20	MR. SUR: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
21	THE WITNESS: No, not to my recollection.
22	From my recollection, we provided those two
23	websites to include the URLs and then the companies
24	were able to discover Russian-controlled accounts
25	that were used to try to redirect users to those

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 147 of 386 PageID #: 6630 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	websites.
2	Q. BY MR. SAUER: And the social media
3	companies told you that they had found them. Did
4	they say they had taken action against those
5	accounts?
6	A. Yes, they said that they had taken down
7	those accounts.
8	Q. Jumping ahead to Page 70 of your thesis,
9	which is Page 94 of the PDF, Table 5 here you have
10	a summary of Facebook takedowns from 2020, right?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And you have a grand total of 825 accounts
13	taken down from Facebook and Instagram pages or
14	platforms, right?
15	A. That is correct.
16	Q. So I think part of your thesis points out
17	that Facebook was much, much more active in the
18	2020 election cycle in removing
19	malign-foreign-influence accounts than it had been
20	in 2016, right?
21	A. That is my assessment.
22	Q. And in your thesis, you only cite the four
23	publicly cited in media reports instances where the
24	FBI had flagged stuff to Facebook and other social
25	media platforms, right?

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 148 of 386 PageID #: 6631 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 149 of 386 PageID #: 6632 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1 You kind of asked them for that and they Ο. 2 haven't told you, right? 3 Α. That is correct. 4 Okay. But it's some subset of those Q. 5 because you have been told on multiple occasions 6 that they have acted on information that you 7 provided, right? 8 That is my assumption. Α. 9 Next page you talk about in 2019, Twitter Q. 10 announced two takedowns of 422 accounts which made 11 929,000 tweets, right? 12 That's correct. Α. 13 Q. Can you look at the figure on that page? 14 Looks like the topics relate to the 2018 midterms, 15 MAGA, ReleaseTheMemo and other subjects; is that 16 right? 17 That is correct. Α. 18 Q. ReleaseTheMemo is some -- some sort --19 some trend that's designed to appear -- to appeal 20 to conservative voters, right? 21 Honestly, I can't remember. Α. 2.2 In any event, do you know how many of Q. 23 these 422 accounts and 929,000 tweets that were 24 removed were the result of FBI information? 25 A. I do not.

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 150 of 386 PageID #: 6633 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 150

1 It is some subset of those, but they Q. 2 haven't given you the precise numbers; is that fair 3 to say? 4 Α. That is -- that would be my assumption. 5 And then the next page, again, there's a Q. 6 reference to Twitter announcing four sets of 7 takedowns with 1,233 accounts as well. Same 8 I take it that some of those are due to question: 9 the FBI, but you don't know how many? 10 MR. SUR: Objection; calls for 11 speculation. 12 THE WITNESS: I would assume that some 13 subset of that amount was due to information we 14 provided, but I do not know the exact number. 15 Ο. BY MR. SAUER: And at some level you know 16 that some are because Twitter's told you on at 17 least some occasions, "Yes, we acted on your 18 information and took down problematic accounts," 19 right? 20 That is correct. Α. 21 Q. Let's jump ahead to Page 76 of your 2.2 thesis. You have a discussion of some meetings 23 here at the bottom of the page. You see where I 24 On September -- you talk about how the U.S. am? 25 government was more engaged ahead of the 2020

> LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 151 of 386 PageID #: 6634 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	elections, right?
2	A. I said the government appeared to be more
3	engaged with social media companies.
4	Q. In fact, based on your actual experience,
5	were you more engaged?
6	A. I would personally characterize it as
7	being more engaged. However, I cannot discuss that
8	in a thesis.
9	Q. Got you. This next sentence you say
10	"September 4th, 2019, Facebook hosted an election
11	security meeting with FBI, DHS and ODNI," right?
12	A. That's correct.
13	Q. Were you at that meeting?
14	A. I was at that meeting.
15	Q. Who else was at that meeting from the FBI?
16	A. I specifically remember two attorneys
17	being at that meeting, but they are both GS-14
18	attorneys.
19	Q. Don't tell me their names. Anyone else
20	from the FBI?
21	A. No. I believe I yeah, I was the only
22	non-FBI I'm trying to remember if any FITF
23	employees came. Actually, yes, I believe one
24	employee from FITF came, but I am not completely
25	sure.

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 152 of 386 PageID #: 6635 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 152

1	Q. Do you know who it was?
2	A. Yeah, he's another GS-15. It would be the
3	unit chief for the Russian unit.
4	Q. How about from DHS, were those CISA
5	individuals?
6	A. I definitely remember Matt Masterson being
7	there, but I do not remember if Brian Scully was
8	there. Oh, I also remember the director of CISA,
9	Mr. Krebs, was there as well.
10	Q. So Krebs, Masterson and possibly Scully
11	were at that meeting?
12	A. Krebs and Masterson for sure, and I can't
13	remember if Scully was there.
14	Q. Okay. What was discussed at this meeting?
15	A. It was just an overall meeting to discuss
16	election security, and I think in my opinion,
17	Facebook held the meeting because they wanted all
18	of the relevant federal government components in
19	the same room to share what the federal government
20	agencies intended to do to ensure the safety of the
21	2020 elections.
22	Q. Okay. And you go on to the next page to
23	say, "The other companies attending the meeting
24	included Google, Microsoft, and Twitter," right?
25	A. Yeah. And you can see from the footnote

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 153 of 386 PageID #: 6636 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	those were the only companies who were mentioned in
2	the article.
3	Q. Were there other companies there, since
4	you attended the meeting?
5	A. Yes, there were other companies there.
6	Q. Which ones?
7	A. I specifically remember Yahoo! was there.
8	Q. Any others?
9	A. Not from my recollection.
10	Q. Were the individuals from the social media
11	platforms at these at this meeting, were these
12	people from the site integrity or trust and safety
13	teams?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. So those are the people on the social
16	media side who have responsibility for enforcing
17	content-modulation decisions and enforcing terms of
18	service?
19	A. That is
20	MR. SUR: Objection; calls for
21	speculation, lacks foundation.
22	THE WITNESS: That is my understanding.
23	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Did you what was was
24	content modulation discussed at all? Was
25	disinformation discussed at this meeting?

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 154 of 386 PageID #: 6637 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

	1	MR. SUR: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
	2	THE WITNESS: From my recollection, the
	3	social media companies were focused on discussing
	4	disinformation, and the FBI was really focused on
	5	the actors who were involved, specifically the
	6	Internet Research Agency.
	7	Q. BY MR. SAUER: So this was an information
	8	where disinformation was discussed and removing
	9	disinformation from platforms?
	10	A. So the social media companies discussed
	11	that. We, the FBI, did not discuss that.
	12	Q. What did CISA discuss at this meeting,
	13	Mr. Krebs and Mr. Masterson or Mr. Scully, if he
	14	was there?
	15	A. From my recollection, CISA just discussed
	16	what their role was and is in securing election
	17	infrastructure and supporting election officials.
	18	Q. Did they talk about disinformation?
	19	A. I do not remember them talking about
	20	disinformation.
	21	Q. How about ODNI, did they talk about
	22	removing disinformation?
	23	A. No, they did not. ODNI, from my
	24	recollection, provided an unclassified overview of
	25	three specific nation states that they were
1		

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 155 of 386 PageID #: 6638 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	concerned might try to conduct malign-influence
2	campaigns against the U.S. elections in 2020.
3	These include Russia, China and Iran.
4	Q. You go on to say, "In August 2020, the New
5	York Times broke a story revealing that the private
6	sector companies working with the U.S. government
7	had expanded to include the Wikimedia Foundation,
8	Verizon Media, Reddit, Pinterest, and LinkedIn,"
9	correct?
10	A. That is correct.
11	Q. So let me ask you this: Were there other
12	meetings, other than this one on September 4th,
13	2019, with these other companies?
14	A. I don't remember another oh, outside of
15	the CISA-hosted industry group meetings, I don't
16	remember another meeting that had all of the
17	companies together.
18	Q. Okay. So the CISA-hosted meetings, that's
19	the I think we talked about at the very
20	beginning of the deposition, the industry what
21	does CISA call, the industry-what meeting?
22	A. I can't remember, but yes, those were the
23	meetings I was those were the meetings I was
24	referring to.
25	Q. So you believe this you believe this

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 156 of 386 PageID #: 6639 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 156

1	New York Times story that refers to Wikimedia,
2	Reddit and Pinterest and so forth is a reference to
3	the CISA-hosted industry meeting that you just
4	testified about earlier, correct?
5	A. That is only my assumption.
6	Q. Okay. Those meetings you attended some
7	but not all of them, I think you said?
8	A. Yes, that is correct.
9	Q. Was disinformation discussed at those
10	meetings, the CISA-led industry meetings that
11	included all these social media platforms?
12	A. Yes, from the disinformation content
13	was shared by the social media companies. They
14	would provide a strategic overview of the type of
15	disinformation they were seeing on their respective
16	platforms.
17	Q. And then what did the government say in
18	those meetings?
19	MR. SUR: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
20	THE WITNESS: As I mentioned before, CISA
21	primarily discussed actual election logistics to
22	include the dates of State primaries, the methods
23	for which ballots were cast.
24	The FBI, we just provided strategic,
25	unclassified overviews of the activities that we

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 157 of 386 PageID #: 6640 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	saw the Internet Research Agency doing.
2	ODNI, to my recollection, did not provide
3	any substantive overviews at most of the meetings
4	except for to provide general assessments about
5	nation-state-level threats.
6	Q. BY MR. SAUER: I am going to jump ahead to
7	Page 94 of your thesis, and that's on Page 118 of
8	the PDF.
9	A. So where
10	Q. Up here at the top.
11	A. Part B, "Recommendations."
12	Q. Right above it.
13	A. Okay.
14	Q. At the top you say, "Many factors are at
15	play when trying to measure the effects of Russia's
16	influence operations," right?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. You say, "First-order effects include real
19	users interacting with inauthentic content," right?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Interacting with inauthentic content, that
22	includes reading it, liking it, commenting on it,
23	potentially reposting it and reposting it with a
24	commentary, correct?
25	A. Yes.

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 158 of 386 PageID #: 6641 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 158

1 Those are all first-order effects of Q. 2 Russia's influence operations, right? 3 That is how I define "first-order Α. 4 effects." 5 Got you. "Russian-bot amplification of Q. 6 diverse organic content is what you list in that 7 list, right? 8 Yeah, I also define that as a "first-order Α. 9 effect." 10 Q. And that refers to the Russian bots 11 basically being used to amplify, that is like or 12 repost or retweet, content that other users have 13 already posted, right? 14 Α. That is correct. 15 ο. And then a third first-order effect you 16 identify is "IRA-controlled accounts communicating 17 directly with real users," right? That's correct. 18 Α. 19 They would be directing messages to those Q. 20 users, and those users would be responding to these 21 inauthentic accounts, right? 2.2 Α. Correct. 23 Jumping ahead to Page 99, you make a Q. 24 recommendation here for "the U.S. government to 25 establish a National Counter Information Operations

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 159 of 386 PageID #: 6642 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 159

1	Center as an interagency fusion center and focal
2	point for countering disinformation campaigns,"
3	right?
4	A. That is a personal recommendation that I
5	made. If you look at the footnote, this was one of
6	the recommendations of the U.S. Cyberspace
7	Solarium.
8	Q. Okay. So you kind of agree with what they
9	had recommended, right?
10	A. That is my personal stance, not
11	necessarily the stance of the FBI.
12	Q. You go on to say, "In April 2021" you
13	talk about how that commission, the one whose
14	recommendation you agree with, envisioned a center
15	that would allow the relevant U.S. government
16	elements to work alongside social media companies
17	to combat disinformation, right?
18	A. That is correct. But I'm also citing a
19	report.
20	Q. And combatting disinformation here would
21	include the sort of stuff we're talking about
22	already today, which is flagging inauthentic
23	accounts and having them removed, right?
24	MR. SUR: Objection; vague and ambiguous,
25	mischaracterizes the record.

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 160 of 386 PageID #: 6643 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 160

1	THE WITNESS: Yeah. So my intent was
2	and what the commission also envisioned was closer
3	to realtime sharing of information. So right now,
4	you know, we are limited by the declassification
5	process, the approval process for us to be able to
6	get information in a form that can be shared with
7	the social media companies.
8	However, if some of the social media
9	company employees were to carry security
10	clearances, they could be discussed at least on an
11	informal basis at a high level, a higher
12	classification.
13	Q. BY MR. SAUER: And I take it that
14	communication could happen much more quickly under
15	this recommendation, right?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And that could, therefore, result in
18	quicker action being taken by the social media
19	platforms against inauthentic activity on their
20	platforms?
21	MR. SUR: Objection; calls for
22	speculation.
23	THE WITNESS: Yes, that would be my
24	opinion.
25	Q. BY MR. SAUER: And the next sentence you

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 161 of 386 PageID #: 6644 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	say, "In April of 2021, the" ODNI announced "it was
2	establishing 'the Foreign Malign Influence
3	Center'." Do you know anything about that Foreign
4	Malign Influence Center other than the public
5	report that you cite?
6	A. Unfortunately I do not.
7	Q. So you don't know if they have something
8	like you're recommending already in place where
9	people with security clearances on the government
10	side and the social media side can convey
11	information to each other more quickly?
12	A. So I am not aware of any such sent of
13	any such center being housed at ODNI at this point.
14	Q. Jump ahead or I'll jump ahead to Page
15	104. Here toward the end you commented that
16	"although the focus of this thesis was Russian
17	disinformation campaigns, domestic disinformation
18	operations also featured prominently ahead of the
19	2020 elections," correct?
20	A. Can you tell me what you highlighted
21	again?
22	Q. Oh, sorry. Here I am. "Although the
23	focus of this thesis was Russian disinformation
24	campaigns, domestic disinformation operations also
25	featured prominently ahead of the 2020 elections."

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 162 of 386 PageID #: 6645 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 162

1 Α. Yes, I wrote that, and I was citing a 2 report from the Atlantic Council. 3 Did you have any involvement in looking Ο. 4 for domestic disinformation campaigns in the 2020 5 election cycle? 6 MR. SUR: Objection; vague, 7 mischaracterizes the record. 8 THE WITNESS: In the context of the FITF 9 meetings, no. 10 Q. BY MR. SAUER: All right. How about in 11 any other context? 12 During FBI San Francisco's 2020 election Α. 13 command post, which I believe was held from the 14 Friday before the election through election night, 15 that Tuesday at midnight, information would be 16 provided by other field offices and FBI 17 headquarters about disinformation, specifically about the time, place or manner of elections in 18 19 various states. 20 These were passed to FBI San Francisco's 21 command post, which I mentioned to you before I was 2.2 the daytime shift commander, and we would relay 23 this information to the social media platforms 24 where these accounts were detected. 25 So I do not believe we were able to

> LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 163 of 386 PageID #: 6646 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 163

1	determine whether the accounts that were posting
2	time, place or manner of election disinformation,
3	whether they were American or foreign.
4	Q. But you received reports, I take it, from
5	all over the country about disinformation about
6	time, place and manner of voting, right?
7	A. That is we received them from multiple
8	field offices, and I can't remember. But I
9	remember many field offices, probably around ten to
10	12 field offices, relayed this type of information
11	to us.
12	And because DOJ had informed us that this
13	type of information was criminal in nature, that it
14	did not matter where the who was the source of
15	the information, but that it was criminal in nature
16	and that it should be flagged to the social media
17	companies. And then the respective field offices
18	were expected to follow up with a legal process to
19	get additional information on the origin and nature
20	of these communications.
21	Q. So the Department of Justice advised you
22	that it's criminal and there's no First Amendment
23	right to post false information about time, place
24	and manner of voting?
25	MR. SUR: Objection on the grounds of

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 164 of 386 PageID #: 6647 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 164

1 attorney-client privilege --2 MR. SAUER: He just testified --3 MR. SUR: -- and work product issues. 4 MR. SAUER: That's waived. He just told 5 him what -- he just described what DOJ said, and 6 I'm asking for specificity. 7 MR. SUR: I am putting the objection on 8 the record. 9 Q. BY MR. SAUER: You may answer. 10 Α. That was my understanding. 11 And did you, in fact, relay -- let me ask Q. 12 you this. You say manner of voting. Were some of 13 these reports related to voting by mail, which was 14 a hot topic back then? From my recollection, some of them did 15 Α. 16 include voting by mail. Specifically what I can remember is erroneous information about when 17 18 mail-in ballots could be postmarked because it is 19 different in different jurisdictions. 20 So I would be relying on the local field 21 office to know what were the election laws in their 22 territory and to only flag information for us. 23 Actually, let me provide additional context. DOJ public integrity attorneys were at 24 25 the FBI's election command post and headquarters.

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 165 of 386 PageID #: 6648 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 165

1 So I believe that all of those were reviewed before 2 they got sent to FBI San Francisco. 3 So those reports would come to FBI San Ο. 4 Francisco when you were the day commander at this 5 command post, and then FBI San Francisco would 6 relay them to the various social media platforms 7 where the problematic posts had been made, right? 8 That is correct. Α. 9 And then the point there was to alert the Q. 10 social media platforms and see if they could be 11 taken down, right? 12 It was to alert the social media companies Α. 13 to see if they violated their terms of service. 14 And if they did, then they would be taken Ο. 15 down? 16 Α. If they did, they would follow their own 17 policies, which may include taking down accounts. 18 Q. How about taking down posts as opposed to 19 the entire account? 20 I think it depends on how they interpreted Α. 21 it and what the content was and what the account 2.2 was. 23 Do you know what the -- do you know Q. whether some of those posts that you relayed to 24 25 them were acted on by their content modulators?

> LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 166 of 386 PageID #: 6649 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	MR. SUR: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
2	THE WITNESS: So from my recollection, we
3	would receive some responses from the social media
4	companies. I remember in some cases they would
5	relay that they had taken down the posts. In other
6	cases, they would say that this did not violate
7	their terms of service.
8	Q. BY MR. SAUER: What sort of posts were
9	flagged by you that they concluded did not violate
10	their terms of service?
11	A. I can't remember off the top of my head.
12	Q. I mean, I take it they would all have a
13	policy against just posting about the wrong time
14	that the poles opened, right? Or the wrong date to
15	mail your ballot?
16	A. That would be my assumption, but I do
17	remember, but I can't remember the specifics as to
18	why. But I do remember them saying that certain
19	information we shared with them did not result in
20	any actions on their part, but I can't remember the
21	details of those. They were not frequent, but I do
22	remember that they occurred.
23	Q. In most cases when you flagged something,
24	it was taken down?
25	A. In most cases let me rephrase that.

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 167 of 386 PageID #: 6650 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	In some cases when we shared information
2	they would provide a response to us that they had
3	taken them down.
4	Q. Got you. Same as the go ahead.
5	A. I would not say it was 100 percent success
6	rate. If I had to characterize it, I would say it
7	was like a 50 percent success rate. But that's
8	just from my recollection.
9	Q. And the success rate would be the number
10	of times it got taken down based on the number of
11	instances you reported?
12	A. The success rate would be that some action
13	had been taken because it was a terms-of-service
14	violation.
15	Q. Got you. Did this command post, this kind
16	of activity of relaying these reports of
17	election-related disinformation, did that occur
18	through these election command posts in 2022 as
19	well as 2020?
20	A. Yes. So to restate, the election command
21	post from 2020, from my recollection, occurred the
22	Friday before the election through Tuesday at
23	midnight. Then for the midterm elections, we only
24	stood at the command post, I believe, from 8:00
25	a.m. to 10:00 p.m. of election day.

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 168 of 386 PageID #: 6651 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	Q. So why was it operating longer in 2020?
2	A. Based on our experience in the 2018
3	midterms, where there was a very low volume of
4	information that needed to be coordinated, we
5	assessed that we could do with an actual command
6	post on Tuesday with people on call on Monday and
7	on Wednesday who, if needed to, could report and
8	activate a command post. This is specifically for
9	FBI San Francisco.
10	Q. And this command post was chosen to be
11	I mean, it addresses nationwide election-related
12	information, right?
13	A. So every field office, every FBI field
14	office was mandated by headquarters to stand at a
15	command post at least on election day.
16	And FBI San Francisco was responsible for
17	relaying any time, place or manner disinformation
18	or malign-foreign-influence information to the
19	social media companies as well as accepting any
20	referrals from the social media companies.
21	Q. So FBI San Francisco had the special job
22	of referring concerns to social media companies?
23	MR. SUR: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, and the reason for that
25	is because the majority of the social media

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 169 of 386 PageID #: 6652 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1 (	companies are headquartered in FBI San Francisco's
2 t	territory.
3	Q. BY MR. SAUER: I am going to move to a
4 <b>c</b>	different exhibit, I'm sure you'll be happy to
5 <b>b</b>	near.
6	A. Well, I spent a lot of time on my thesis.
7	Q. Both researching it and testifying about
8 3	it today.
9	Let me I am showing you an exhibit
10	MR. SUR: Hang on a second.
11	(Reporter marked Exhibit No. 6 for
12	identification.)
13	Q. BY MR. SAUER: I am showing you can you
14 s	see this exhibit
15	A. Yes.
16	Q defendants' amended objections and
17 <b>1</b>	responses on interrogatories?
18	MR. SUR: Counsel, to clarify, this is
19 E	Exhibit 6; is that right?
20	MR. SAUER: Yeah. We had to go out of
21 c	order, so I am jumping to Exhibit 6 because they
22 v	were pre-marked. I just emailed you this one, but
23 ±	it ought to look familiar.
24	Q. I just want to jump down to Page 37 of
25 <b>t</b>	these interrogatory responses. Down here at the

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 170 of 386 PageID #: 6653 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 170

1 bottom of the page under "DHS," do you see this 2 here, it begins with a bullet point? 3 I see a sentence starting with "A Α. 4 recurring meeting usually entitled USG-industry 5 meeting." 6 Yes, yeah, that paragraphs states "A Ο. 7 recurring meeting usually entitled USG-industry 8 meeting, which has generally had a monthly cadence, 9 and is between government agencies and private 10 industry." Do you see that description? 11 Α. Yes. 12 The CISA-organized meeting that we have Q. 13 talked about multiple times already, is that 14 usually entitled USG-industry meeting? 15 Based on my recollection, yes. Α. 16 So this interrogatory response appears to Q. 17 be referring to meetings that we have already 18 talked about today? 19 That is correct, the CISA-facilitated Α. 20 meeting. 21 Q. It says, "Government participants have 2.2 included CISA's Election Security and Resilience 23 team, DHS's Office of Intelligence and Analysis, 24 the FBI's foreign influence task force." 25 MR. SUR: Objection; misstates

> LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 171 of 386 PageID #: 6654 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 171

1	information.
2	Q. BY MR. SAUER: I am asking you if this is
3	what it says?
4	A. This is what it says, and I remember
5	sharing with you from my memory those were the
6	participants. I forgot to mention DOJ National
7	Security Division, but I do remember that they
8	sometimes have participants.
9	Q. I take it you participated in some of
10	these meetings but not all of them?
11	A. That is correct.
12	Q. When did these meetings happen, what
13	years? Here it just says these monthly cadence
14	meetings occurred. When did they actually happen?
15	MR. SUR: Objection; lacks foundation.
16	THE WITNESS: I can't remember exactly,
17	but I believe they started happening before the
18	2020 election, but I can't remember how far before
19	the 2020 election.
20	Q. BY MR. SAUER: So they were they I
21	guess CISA organized these meetings, I think you
22	said earlier?
23	A. That is correct, and I was just an
24	attendee.
25	Q. CISA organized the meetings gearing up

Г

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 172 of 386 PageID #: 6655 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 172

1	toward the 2020 election; is that right?
2	A. For these USG-industry meetings, yes.
3	Q. Were hack-and-dump operations discussed at
4	these meetings, or hack-and-leak operations?
5	A. Yes, they were.
6	Q. Tell me what was discussed about them at
7	these meetings?
8	A. The context of hack and dump is what was
9	the FBI and CISA doing to prevent hack-and-dump
10	operations. So from the FBI side, I think we
11	already I already relayed to you that we had the
12	protective voices initiative. I can't remember the
13	specifics, but CISA also discussed its
14	cybersecurity awareness efforts as well as grants
15	efforts with the state-, county- and local-level
16	election officials.
17	Q. Did anyone at these meetings tell the
18	industry participants to expect a Russian
19	hack-and-dump operation or hack-and-leak operation
20	shortly before the 2020 election cycle?
21	MR. SUR: Objection; lacks foundation.
22	THE WITNESS: From my recollection, I
23	remember that the FBI warned that I or someone
24	from the FBI warned the social media companies
25	about the potential for a 2016-style DNC

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 173 of 386 PageID #: 6656 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 173

1 hack-and-dump operation. 2 BY MR. SAUER: What exactly did you say to Q. 3 the social media companies about that? 4 Essentially what I just told you. Α. 5 You said that there might be a Russian Q. 6 hack-and-dump operation? 7 So what I said was although we have not Α. seen any computer intrusions into national-level 8 9 political committees or election officials or 10 presidential candidates at this time, we ask you to 11 remain vigilant about the potential for 12 hack-and-dump operations, or something to that 13 effect. 14 Did you specifically refer to the 2016 Ο. 15 hack-and-dump operation that targeted the DCCC and 16 the DNC? 17 I believe I did. Α. 18 Q. Did you provide any basis to the social 19 media platforms for thinking that such an operation 20 might be coming? 21 Α. The basis was -- my basis was it had 22 happened once, and it could happen again. 23 Did you have any other specific Ο. 24 information other than it had happened four years 25 earlier?

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 174 of 386 PageID #: 6657 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	MR. SUR: Objection in so far as the
2	answer calls for the law enforcement privileged
3	information.
4	You can answer, if you can, without
5	divulging the law enforcement privilege as to any
6	particular investigation.
7	THE WITNESS: Through our investigations,
8	we did not see any similar competing intrusions to
9	what had happened in 2016.
10	So although from our standpoint we had not
11	seen anything, we specifically, in an abundance of
12	caution, warned the companies in case they saw
13	something that we did not.
14	Q. BY MR. SAUER: So did you ask the
15	companies if they had seen any attempts at
16	intrusions or unauthorized access?
17	A. This is something that we that I
18	regularly ask the companies in the course of our
19	meetings.
20	Q. Did you ask them in these meetings?
21	A. Not at every meeting, but I believe I
22	asked them at some meetings.
23	Q. And did you repeatedly warn them at these
24	meetings that you anticipated there might be
25	hack-and-dump operations, Russian-initiated

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 175 of 386 PageID #: 6658 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

```
1
     hack-and-dump operations?
 2
              MR. SUR: Objection; vague, assumes facts
 3
     not on the record.
 4
              THE WITNESS: So repeatedly I would say --
 5
     can you -- can you ask your question like -- what
6
     do you mean by "repeatedly"? Like 100 times, five
7
     times?
 8
         Q.
              BY MR. SAUER: Well, did you do it more
9
     than once?
10
         Α.
              I did it more -- yes. I warned the
11
     companies about a potential for hack-and-dump
12
     operations from the Russians and the Iranians on
13
     more than one occasion, although I cannot recollect
14
     how many times.
15
         0.
              Did anybody else at the FBI talk about
16
     hack-and-dump Russian operations?
17
              From my recollection, other senior
         Α.
18
     officials, to include Section Chief Dehmlow, likely
19
     mentioned the possibility of hack-and-dump
20
     operations.
21
         Q.
              Do you remember Section Chief Dehmlow
2.2
    mentioning it?
23
              I said that I believe she mentioned it on
         Α.
24
     at least one occasion.
25
         Q. What did she say?
```

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 176 of 386 PageID #: 6659 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 176

1 Α. Essentially what I said. We all said 2 essentially the same thing. 3 Ο. We --4 Α. Which was --5 Go ahead. Which was? Ο. 6 Which was -- which was because the Russian Α. government had hacked a political organization in 7 8 2016, that if they were able to do so again for the 9 2020 cycle, they would likely do it. 10 So you had -- you told the social media Q. 11 companies that a hack-and-dump operation was 12 likely? 13 MR. SUR: Objection; misstates the record. 14 THE WITNESS: Let me recharacterize it. Ι 15 said potentially as opposed to likely. 16 Q. BY MR. SAUER: Okay. Did Ms. Dehmlow say 17 likely? Not to my recollection. I think she said 18 Α. 19 potentially as well. 20 You said we all --Q. 21 Α. We all, meaning myself and Ms. Dehmlow. 2.2 Q. Were there any other FBI agents at the 23 meeting -- at these meetings? 24 Α. There were. 25 Ο. Who?

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 177 of 386 PageID #: 6660 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	A. They were GS-14 or under grade employees.
2	Q. From the San Francisco office or
3	elsewhere?
4	A. Both.
5	Q. Both, okay.
6	A. During I'm sorry, let me be more
7	precise. During the quarterly meetings, there were
8	multiple FBI agents, as I previously mentioned,
9	from both the FBI San Francisco field office as
10	well as other field offices at these meetings.
11	Q. Okay. I think we are talking about two
12	different sets of meetings because we started
13	talking about the USG-industry meetings, right,
14	organized by CISA, correct?
15	A. Oh, okay. You are correct.
16	Q. But then there were also the monthly
17	there's quarterly and then monthly and then weekly
18	meetings between FBI and social media platforms,
19	right?
20	A. That's correct.
21	Q. And which of those sets of meetings were
22	the potentiality for Russian hack-and-dump
23	operations discussed?
24	A. At the FBI-led meetings with FITF and the
25	social media companies.

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 178 of 386 PageID #: 6661 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	Q. Okay. How about at these USG-industry
2	meetings that were organized by CISA, were
3	hack-and-dump operations discussed then?
4	A. I would say less frequently, but what was
5	discussed was the potential for Russian
6	hack-and-dump-style operations.
7	Q. Okay. And who was that raised by FBI
8	or was that raised by others or both?
9	A. I can't recollect, but I would say I know
10	at least the FBI mentioned this, specifically in
11	the context of the 2016 DNC hack.
12	Q. So the FBI, would it be you and
13	Ms. Dehmlow, right?
14	A. Among others.
15	Q. So others would have raised that as well
16	at these USG-industry meetings?
17	A. Others from the FBI attended those
18	meetings.
19	Q. Did those others raise the issue of
20	Russian hack-and-dump operations?
21	A. I can't recollect.
22	Q. Do you know whether they did or didn't?
23	A. I know that I did.
24	MR. SAUER: Later, Mr. Sur, I am going to
25	ask for the identities of those participants. I

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 179 of 386 PageID #: 6662 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	can ask him now on the record under oath, or you
2	can agree to give them to me in a private in a
3	private communication. Can we agree to do that?
4	MR. SUR: Just just to be clear, are
5	you looking for below the SES level?
6	MR. SAUER: Yes. I want the identities of
7	every FBI official who was at the USG-industry
8	meetings referred to in this interrogatory response
9	in 2020.
10	MR. SUR: Okay. I will discuss it with
11	the clients obviously.
12	MR. SAUER: All right. Then I am asking
13	him now on the record.
14	Q. Who was there? Name the FBI officials who
15	were there regardless of their levels?
16	MR. SUR: Well, I'm sorry, when you say
17	regardless of the level, can you can we provide
18	you part of the name on the record and part of the
19	
	name off the record?
20	name off the record? MR. SAUER: If you're willing to give me
20 21	
	MR. SAUER: If you're willing to give me
21	MR. SAUER: If you're willing to give me the information, which you did not just agree to, I
21 22	MR. SAUER: If you're willing to give me the information, which you did not just agree to, I don't have to ask him on the record. We need the
21 22 23	MR. SAUER: If you're willing to give me the information, which you did not just agree to, I don't have to ask him on the record. We need the information. You don't want it on the record.

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 180 of 386 PageID #: 6663 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	this deposition. I need the information. You can
2	agree to give it to me. I am going to ask him now
3	because it is not subject to a protective order.
4	MR. SUR: Okay. If anything, Counsel, a
5	break would be appropriate.
6	MR. SAUER: Okay.
7	MR. SUR: So I can I'm sorry?
8	MR. SAUER: We can go off the record.
9	MR. SUR: Okay.
10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 2:11
11	p.m.
12	(Whereupon a recess was taken.)
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at
14	2:34 p.m.
15	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Back on the record,
16	Mr. Chan, after some discussions off the record.
17	You testified earlier that at these 2020
18	meetings between the FBI and social media platforms
19	where the risk of a Russian hack-and-leak operation
20	was discussed, they were attended by you and
21	Ms. Dehmlow, right?
22	A. That is correct, on some occasions. I
23	don't think either of us has attended all of the
24	meetings with the CISA-hosted USG-industry
25	meetings.

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 181 of 386 PageID #: 6664 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	Q. And then there were also the quarterly,
2	monthly, weekly cadence meetings involving just
3	FITF and the social media platforms, right?
4	A. That is correct, and other FBI field
5	offices to include FBI San Francisco.
6	Q. And the risk of hack-and-leak operations
7	were raised at both sets of meetings, both at
8	CISA-organized USG-industry meetings and the
9	FITF-organized direct meetings between the FBI and
10	social media platforms, right?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay. As to the first set of meetings,
13	the CISA-organized USG-industry meetings, other FBI
14	officials besides you and Ms. Dehmlow attended when
15	Russian hack-and-leak operations were discussed,
16	right?
17	A. That is correct.
18	Q. Who?
19	A. So from my recollection, and I don't know
20	who attended which meetings, but I remember being
21	in meetings with the following people: Brady
22	Olson, William Cone, Judy Chock and Luke Giannini.
23	Q. Can you say the last name again?
24	A. Giannini.
25	Q. Spell it, please.

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 182 of 386 PageID #: 6665 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 182

1 Α. I am not sure, but I believe it is 2 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i. 3 Ο. And then the second name was William 4 Cohen, is that C-o-h-e-n? 5 Cone, C-o-n-e. Α. 6 Like the -- like the shake? Ο. 7 Like an ice cream. Α. 8 Q. Any other FBI officials at that set of 9 meetings where Russian hack-and-leak operations 10 were discussed with social media platforms in 2020? 11 Α. Those are the only people I can remember. 12 Okay. Then talk about the other set of Q. 13 meetings, the FITF FBI meetings with social media 14 platforms in -- with the quarterly, then monthly, 15 then weekly cadence, what FBI officials attended 16 those meetings where Russian hack-and-leak 17 operations were discussed? 18 Α. So it's the exact same set of names that I 19 recollect. 20 Q. Did anyone --21 Α. I cannot remember what days -- or, you 2.2 know, which meetings that they attended because 23 William Cone and Wayne Brady both work in the same 24 unit, and I can't remember if they both attended or 25 if one attended or another. So I can't remember

> LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 183 of 386 PageID #: 6666 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	when. I do remember that at some point these
2	individuals have attended the FBI bilateral
3	meetings with the social media companies.
4	Q. And you said the names are Brady Olson; is
5	that correct?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Okay.
8	A. Olson.
9	Q. Is that O-l-s-o-n?
10	A. Maybe, or it might be s-e-n. I can't
11	remember. It's one of those spellings.
12	Q. William Cone; is that right?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Judy Chock; is that right?
15	A. C-o I'm sorry, C-h-o-c-k.
16	Q. And then someone Giannini, right? What
17	was that person's first name?
18	A. Luke.
19	Q. Luke?
20	A. Luke.
21	Q. Is that L-u-k-e?
22	A. I think his full name might be Lucas,
23	L-u-c-a-s, but I refer to him as Luke.
24	Q. Are all those people from FITF in
25	Washington, D.C.?

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 184 of 386 PageID #: 6667 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Okay. What are their what are their
3	titles, do you know?
4	A. I do know. So I believe oh, the first
5	four are unit chiefs, and then Luke is an assistant
6	section chief.
7	Q. What are they unit chiefs of? Are they
8	chiefs of like the Russian unit or
9	A. Yeah, correct.
10	Q. Which one is the section chief, did you
11	say?
12	A. Assistant section chief.
13	Q. So that's
14	A. Excuse me?
15	Q. Is that the number two person under
16	Ms. Dehmlow?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Okay.
19	(Discussion off the record.)
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, Mr. Luke Giannini is
21	the assistant section chief at the Foreign
22	Influence Task Force.
23	Q. BY MR. SAUER: So at FITF, these meetings
24	include, in addition to you, the number one person
25	at FITF, Laura Dehmlow; the number two person at

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 185 of 386 PageID #: 6668 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 185

1 FITF, Mr. Giannini; and then at least three section 2 chiefs at FITF, correct? 3 No, that's not correct. Ms. Dehmlow is a Α. 4 section chief. 5 Q. Okay. Mr. Giannini is an assistant section 6 Α. 7 chief, and then the other individuals are unit 8 chiefs. 9 Got you. Did -- did anyone other than you Q. 10 or Ms. Dehmlow discuss the possibility or prospect 11 of Russian hack-and-leak operations at these 12 meetings from the FBI? 13 I can't recollect if others have said it, Α. 14 but I know that that is something that I would say 15 regularly. 16 You said that regularly in these meetings? Q. 17 Α. Yes. Not every meeting, but some meetings. 18 19 And does that apply both to the Q. 20 USG-industry meetings that had many participants? 21 I would say for the bilateral FITF Α. 22 meetings, I would have said that because those 23 would have been one of the types of 24 malign-influence campaigns that I would have been 25 focused on.

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 186 of 386 PageID #: 6669 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	For the DHS USG meetings, I was more of an
2	attendee and not as active as a speaking
3	participant.
4	Q. Did anyone else at those meetings, the
5	USG-industry meetings organized by CISA, address
6	the risk or prospect of Russian hack-and-leak
7	operations?
8	A. I believe they did, but I can't remember
9	who would have said it.
10	Q. Would it have been anyone at the FBI?
11	A. It may have been.
12	Q. Which one of these people is the head of
13	the Russia unit?
14	A. Mr. Olson and then after him, Mr. Cone.
15	Q. Did Mr. Olson or Mr. Cone ever address the
16	risk of a Russian hack-and-leak operation?
17	A. I don't recollect.
18	Q. How about Ms. Dehmlow?
19	A. I don't specifically recollect, but I
20	believe that is something she would have mentioned.
21	Q. Do you think she raised it at some point
22	in these meetings?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. What did she say about it to your
25	recollection?

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 187 of 386 PageID #: 6670 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

```
It would have been that the FBI is
1
         Α.
     concerned about the potential for hack-and-leak or
 2
 3
     hack-and-dump operations from foreign
 4
     state-sponsored actors, something to that effect.
 5
              Did you discuss within FITF that risk
         Q.
 6
     before you raised it with the social media
7
     platforms?
 8
              MR. SUR: Objection; an internal
9
     deliberation of that sort would be subject to the
10
     deliberative process privilege, attorney-client
11
     privilege and other privileges.
12
                             Did anyone at any time
         Q.
              BY MR. SAUER:
13
     discuss that prospect with you or did you raise it
14
     on your own?
15
              MR. SUR: Same objection.
16
              You can answer insofar as it doesn't
17
     involve internal discussions within FITF or
     discussions with counsel.
18
19
              THE WITNESS: I would say in general the
20
     FBI had internal meetings or discussions or at the
21
     very least emails, coordination before the
22
     CISA-hosted meetings.
23
              BY MR. SAUER: So there would be internal
         0.
24
     discussion within the FBI before the CISA-hosted
25
    meetings?
```

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 188 of 386 PageID #: 6671 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 188

1 Α. Yes, about what agenda items would be 2 discussed during the meeting. 3 In the course of those discussions, did Ο. 4 anyone suggest to you that you should raise the 5 risk of a Russian hack-and-leak operation? 6 MR. SUR: Objection; as I said before, it 7 calls for internal deliberations which would be 8 covered by the deliberative process privilege, by 9 the attorney-client privilege and other privileges, 10 including law enforcement. 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I will reiterate in 12 general, we would have internal discussions about 13 what would be discussed in the CISA-hosted meeting. 14 More specifically, did BY MR. SAUER: Ο. 15 anyone suggest that -- let me ask you this: You 16 raised it at these meetings, right? 17 I know that I have raised it at the Α. 18 meetings. 19 Did you do that on your own accord or did Q. 20 anyone else suggest to you that it should be 21 raised? 2.2 MR. SUR: Objection; as before, it's 23 covered by multiple privileges, and I am going to 24 instruct the witness not to answer on grounds of 25 deliberative process privilege, attorney-client

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 189 of 386 PageID #: 6672 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	privilege, law enforcement privilege, among others.
2	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Are you declining to answer
3	the question, sir?
4	A. I am reiterating that I recollect
5	mentioning the potential for hack-and-dump
6	operations during the CISA-hosted USG-industry
7	meetings.
8	Q. Did you do that solely on your own accord?
9	MR. SUR: Same objection as before.
10	MR. SAUER: That doesn't ask for any
11	communications. Did he do it solely on his own
12	accord? Are you instructing him not to answer that
13	question?
14	THE WITNESS: I cannot recollect.
15	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Okay. I think we are going
16	to make a record on this.
17	I am asking you, did anyone within the FBI
18	discuss or suggest with you that you should raise
19	the prospect of Russian hack-and-leak operations
20	with social media platforms in 2020?
21	A. I do not recollect. In the context of the
22	USG-industry CISA-held meetings, I do not
23	recollect.
24	Q. How about in the context of the bilateral
25	FITF social media platform meetings, in that

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 190 of 386 PageID #: 6673 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 190

1	context did anyone suggest it to you?
2	A. So in that context, depending on what was
3	being briefed, without getting into the specific
4	investigations, certain as I mentioned
5	previously, certain nation state actors the FBI
6	deemed as potentially being capable of conducting
7	hack-and-leak operations.
8	So at the beginning of the meetings, I
9	would preface and say something to the effect of
10	"We are going to provide you a briefing on this
11	group because they have the potential to conduct
12	hack-and-leak operations like the Russians did in
13	2016."
14	Q. Did anyone suggest to you that you should
14 15	Q. Did anyone suggest to you that you should raise that concern to the social media platforms at
15	raise that concern to the social media platforms at
15 16	raise that concern to the social media platforms at any time?
15 16 17	<pre>raise that concern to the social media platforms at any time? A. In the context of the FITF meetings with</pre>
15 16 17 18	<pre>raise that concern to the social media platforms at any time? A. In the context of the FITF meetings with the companies, I flagged that concern because I was</pre>
15 16 17 18 19	<pre>raise that concern to the social media platforms at any time? A. In the context of the FITF meetings with the companies, I flagged that concern because I was generally responsible in coordination with FITF for</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>raise that concern to the social media platforms at any time? A. In the context of the FITF meetings with the companies, I flagged that concern because I was generally responsible in coordination with FITF for coming up with the agenda for those meetings with</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	raise that concern to the social media platforms at any time? A. In the context of the FITF meetings with the companies, I flagged that concern because I was generally responsible in coordination with FITF for coming up with the agenda for those meetings with the social media companies.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>raise that concern to the social media platforms at any time? A. In the context of the FITF meetings with the companies, I flagged that concern because I was generally responsible in coordination with FITF for coming up with the agenda for those meetings with the social media companies. Q. So are you saying what's your answer to</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>raise that concern to the social media platforms at any time? A. In the context of the FITF meetings with the companies, I flagged that concern because I was generally responsible in coordination with FITF for coming up with the agenda for those meetings with the social media companies. Q. So are you saying what's your answer to my question did anyone anyone suggest to you in</pre>

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 191 of 386 PageID #: 6674 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	Russian hack-and-leak operation?
2	A. I do not recollect. However, I would have
3	flagged that comment on my own for the reasons I
4	just stated to you.
5	Q. So it is something that you would have
6	done on your own, but someone might have also
7	suggested to you to do it; is that fair to say?
8	A. They may have, but I don't recollect at
9	this time.
10	Q. Returning to the returning to the
11	USG-industry CISA-organized meetings, do you recall
12	anyone discussing with you at any time the prospect
13	of Russian hack-and-leak operations in connection
14	with those meetings?
15	A. Who do you mean?
16	Q. Anybody. Did anybody on earth talk to you
17	about raising Russian hack-and-leak operations at
18	those meetings?
19	A. Do you mean from the social media
20	companies or from the government side? Because in
21	either case, I don't recollect.
22	Q. Okay. How about anyone outside the
23	government, did anyone outside the government
24	discuss the prospect of Russian hack-and-leak
25	operations with you?

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 192 of 386 PageID #: 6675 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 192

1	A. I do remember during the CISA-hosted
2	USG-industry meetings that the social media
3	companies would ask the FBI if they were aware of
4	any hack-and-leak operations, and I remember that
5	we would say ahead of the 2020 elections that we
6	were not aware of any hack-and-leak operations, but
7	that there was always the potential for there to be
8	hack-and-leak operations.
9	Q. Did the FBI tell the social media
10	companies that there would be a specific risk of a
11	hack-and-leak operation shortly before the election
12	in 2020?
13	A. I believe that we did mention that as a
14	possibility shortly before the election, perhaps in
15	the August or October time frame.
16	Q. So you mentioned that there you
17	mentioned that there was a risk that a
18	hack-and-leak operation could happen in October?
19	A. So to be specific, what we mentioned was
20	that there was the general risk of hack-and-leak
21	operations, especially before the election.
22	However, we were not aware of any
23	hack-and-leak operations that were forthcoming or
24	impending.
25	Q. Were you involved in the investigation of

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 193 of 386 PageID #: 6676 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	the 2016 DNC hack-and-leak operation?
2	MR. SUR: Objection; vague.
3	THE WITNESS: What do you mean by
4	"involved"?
5	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Well, did you have any
6	were you in any way involved in that investigation?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. What was your involvement?
9	A. I was the supervisor for a squad that had
10	an investigation associated with the hack of the
11	DNC and DCCC.
12	Q. And what was your role in that
13	investigation? What did your squad do?
14	A. I was the supervisor for the squad that
15	ran one of the investigations associated with the
16	2016 DNC hack.
17	Q. What did your squad do in that
18	investigation?
19	MR. SUR: I am going to object on the law
20	enforcement privilege grounds. If you can answer
21	the question without discussing any particular
22	investigation or files or contents, you can answer.
23	MR. SAUER: Before you say that, I am
24	going to read some case law into the record. The
25	investigative the law enforcement privilege

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 194 of 386 PageID #: 6677 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 194

1	states, quote, investigative files with ongoing
2	privilege investigation. That's from Coughlin
3	against Lee, 946 F.2d 1152, 1159, Fifth Circuit
4	1991. The privilege, quote, is bounded by
5	relevance and time constraints and it lapses either
6	at the close of an investigation or at a reasonable
7	time thereafter based on the particularized
8	assessment of documents.
9	We are talking about an investigation that
10	happened six years ago. Is it your position that
11	you can assert law enforcement privilege with
12	respect to that? Is my first question.
13	Second one I'm not finished yet.
14	Second one is the documents relating to emails
15	relating to his involvement in this investigation
16	are publicly filed in the docket of the case United
17	States against Sussmann. So there's been a waiver
18	of that by those being publicly filed, in any
19	event. So now I am going to repeat the question.
20	Q. What did your squad do when it came to the
21	investigation of the DNC hack-and-leak operation?
22	A. Can I confer with my counsel before I
23	answer this question?
24	Q. I want an answer to the question.
25	MR. SUR: Yeah, so let me just clarify.

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 195 of 386 PageID #: 6678 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	The objection based on law enforcement privilege, I
2	understand your position about the public
3	disclosure. But since you're not limiting your
4	question to what was in the public record, there
5	are cases that describe the application of the law
6	enforcement privilege to techniques that have
7	future application, including future
8	investigations.
9	So I don't think that the mere fact that
10	your question is about a past investigation will
11	overcome the law enforcement privilege concern.
12	MR. SAUER: Well, why doesn't he answer
13	the question in general terms, and then we'll get
14	into specifics about law enforcement techniques? I
15	am not really concerned about those. Can he say it
16	in general terms?
17	THE WITNESS: Based on the case law you
18	cited, the information I have would be protected
19	under the first. Because this is an existing and
20	pending and active investigation.
21	Q. BY MR. SAUER: So you're saying that the
22	2016 DNC server leak investigation is an active
23	criminal investigation?
24	A. What I am saying is that the investigation
25	of the individuals associated with the DNC hack of

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 196 of 386 PageID #: 6679 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	2016 is an existing and active investigation.
2	Q. I thought they were being indicted on
3	other charges later. Are you saying that there's
4	still an active and pending criminal investigation
5	of the 2016 DNC hack?
6	A. I am not saying there is a pending
7	investigation of the 2016 hack itself. However,
8	there is active investigations on the individuals
9	involved with the 2016 hack. That case has not
10	been adjudicated. The individuals have been
11	indicted. They have not been arrested. They have
12	not been going through the judicial process. This
13	is an active investigation.
14	(Reporter marked Exhibit No. 23 for
15	identification.)
16	Q. BY MR. SAUER: I am showing you a
17	collective exhibit that we have pre-marked as
18	Exhibit 23. Do you see this document?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. These are some of your emails that are
21	publicly filed in the case the United States versus
22	Sussmann. Do you recognize them?
23	MR. SUR: I'm sorry, may I ask, Counsel,
24	have you sent this to us?
25	MR. SAUER: Let me email it to you right

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 197 of 386 PageID #: 6680 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1 now. Obviously it wasn't -- we are getting a bit out of order here. 2 3 Looking at this email, does this refresh Ο. 4 your recollection about what your involvement was 5 during that investigation? 6 MR. SUR: Counsel, may I ask that I 7 receive the email first so I can pull it up on the 8 iPad so Mr. Chan can see the exhibit that you are 9 talking about? 10 MR. SAUER: Can you see it on the screen 11 share? The emails were sent to you. 12 MR. SUR: Okay. We have this PDF. May I 13 ask which page you're on? 14 MR. SAUER: Start on the top page. 15 Ο. Do you see that, the one on the screen 16 share? 17 Α. 8:32 a.m. October 4th, yes, I see this 18 email. 19 And you are being copied on emails lower Q. 20 down from Michael Sussmann at Perkins Coie; is that 21 fair to say? 2.2 Yes, I have been cc'd. The email is to Α. 23 another DOJ official. 24 And then you forward that along to other Q. 25 FBI officials, Patricia Rich and Delynn Hammell.

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 198 of 386 PageID #: 6681 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 198

1 Do you see that? 2 Α. Yes, I see that. 3 Do you know why Mr. Sussmann was copying Ο. 4 you on an email about sharing information on a 5 rolling basis? Because I was involved with -- I oversaw 6 Α. 7 the squad that was responsible for one of the investigations of the 2016 hack of the DNC, and 8 9 Mr. Sussmann was their legal representative. 10 Q. Which squad did you oversee? 11 Α. I oversaw the Russian cyber squad at FBI 12 San Francisco. 13 Q. And the Russian cyber squad was 14 involved -- was it involved in trying to analyze 15 the DNC server to see how it had been hacked? 16 Α. Yes, that is correct. 17 Ο. And you oversaw that effort? 18 Α. Yes. I managed the squad responsible for 19 one of the investigations of the DNC hack. 20 What did that squad -- did that squad Q. 21 investigate the server? 2.2 We did a triage investigation of the Α. 23 server and then sent it back to headquarters to do a more complete investigation of the server. 24 25 What's a triage investigation? Ο.

> LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 199 of 386 PageID #: 6682 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 199

1	A. Triage in cyber cybersecurity context
2	is to try to extract indicators. As I mentioned
3	before, indicators can be email accounts, IP
4	addresses, other types of electronic indicia that
5	we could serve legal process in order to move our
6	investigation forward.
7	Q. Did you find any indicia of that when you
8	investigated the server?
9	A. I believe we did, but I can't recollect
10	the specific selectors that we discovered.
11	Q. Subsequent to subsequent to the 2016
12	investigation, did you have further communications
13	with anyone involved in that investigation about
14	the possibility of a recurrence in 2020?
15	A. Can you repeat that question?
16	Q. Subsequent to the 2016 investigation of
17	the hack of the DNC server, did you have any
18	communications with anyone involved in that
19	investigation about the possibility that a
20	hack-and-leak operation could occur before the 2020
21	election?
22	MR. SUR: Objection; vague. I also object
23	on the grounds of law enforcement privilege.
24	You can answer to the extent it doesn't
25	implicate any particular investigation.

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 200 of 386 PageID #: 6683 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	THE WITNESS: Yeah, so I do not remember
2	discussing the potential for a 2020 election with
3	any of the FBI personnel because they had moved on
4	to different roles.
5	Q. BY MR. SAUER: How about people outside
6	the FBI?
7	A. Well, Sean Newell, who is the email
8	recipient on this, is a deputy chief at DOJ
9	National Security Division. He would have been one
10	of the senior officials I would have consulted
11	with.
12	Q. Consulted with about what?
13	A. About potential Russian interference in
14	the 2020 elections.
15	Q. Did you consult with Sean Newell about the
16	potential for a Russian hack-and-leak operation?
17	MR. SUR: I am going to renew the
18	objection based on the law enforcement privilege
19	and ask that you not answer insofar as that would
20	disclose the contents of any individual
21	investigation.
22	THE WITNESS: My recollection was that
23	being the deputy chief at DOJ NSD, Sean Newell
24	would have overview of multiple FBI investigations
25	and would have a broader perspective than my

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 201 of 386 PageID #: 6684 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 201

1	perspective from the field office.
2	So I would regularly just ask him in
3	general how things were going and if they were
4	seeing anything that would be impactful to us at
5	FBI San Francisco.
6	Q. BY MR. SAUER: So you did these
7	conversations with Sean Newell occur in the lead-up
8	to the 2020 election?
9	A. I don't remember having many conversations
10	with Mr. Newell during the lead-up to the 2020
11	elections.
12	Q. When did the conversations with Mr. Newell
13	occur?
14	A. They would have I can't recollect
15	specifically. I regularly have conversations with
16	Mr. Newell outside of the context of Russian
17	disinformation campaigns targeted at the 2020
18	elections. Because Mr. Newell, as a deputy chief,
19	oversaw all of DOJ's national security cyber
20	investigations.
21	Q. Did Mr. Newell ever tell you that he
22	expected there might be a Russian hack-and-leak
23	operation before the 2020 election?
24	MR. SUR: Objection on the grounds of law
25	enforcement privilege, also attorney-client

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 202 of 386 PageID #: 6685 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 202

1	privilege, given the functions of Mr. Newell in
2	this context. I will ask that the witness answer
3	only without referring to attorney-client
4	communications. For everything in this context you
5	can't, so
6	THE WITNESS: What I can broadly say is
7	that we discussed national security cyber
8	investigations in general, sometimes about Russian
9	matters, sometimes about other nation state
10	threats.
11	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Let me ask the question
12	more specifically. Prior to the 2020 election
13	cycle, did anyone suggest to you that there would
14	be or that there might be a Russian
15	hack-and-leak operation prior to the 2020 election?
16	MR. SUR: So I am going to object on the
17	grounds of law enforcement privilege and
18	attorney-client and all other privileges.
19	You can answer insofar as it doesn't
20	disclose the contents of any particular
21	investigation.
22	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Okay. I want an answer,
23	and I want to know whether you're withholding
24	information in response to your client's
25	instruction. He keeps instructing you

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 203 of 386 PageID #: 6686 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

```
Page 203
```

1 conditionally, and then you keep providing vague 2 responses. 3 I want to know are you, in fact, 4 withholding information from me in response to my 5 question as a result of that instruction. Did 6 anyone discuss that with you? 7 Α. I am not --8 Q. That is not going to get into the content 9 of any -- content of any communications. I just 10 want to know did anyone discuss with you the 11 prospect of a Russian hack-and-leak operation 12 before the 2020 election? 13 So no, I do not recollect. The reason for Α. 14 that is I don't recollect any specific person 15 discussing that with me. 16 However, based on both my experience as 17 well as my knowledge of active investigations, I 18 would have believed -- as my own assessment, I 19 believe that there was the potential for 20 hack-and-leak operations ahead of the 2020 21 elections. 2.2 I believe that there was the potential for 23 hack-and-leak operations ahead of the 2022 election, and I believe that there is the potential 24 25 for Russian hack-and-leak operations ahead of the

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 204 of 386 PageID #: 6687 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 204

1	2024 elections.
2	Q. In particular, you relayed that belief to
3	the social media platforms on multiple occasions in
4	two sets of meetings in 2020, correct?
5	A. That is correct.
6	Q. And that includes the USG-industry
7	meetings organized by CISA, correct?
8	A. Yes, I believe so.
9	Q. And it includes the FITF organized
10	meetings with the individual social media
11	platforms, correct?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Did any did the social media platforms
14	respond to that, your communications that indicate
15	that they were taking any steps with respect to any
16	Russian hack-and-leak operations?
17	MR. SUR: Objection; vague.
18	THE WITNESS: So in general, I believe
19	that the companies were actively looking for
20	hack-and-leak operations, and I don't know the
21	
	types of technology that they use, but I believe
22	types of technology that they use, but I believe they use they tried to use detection methods
22	they use they tried to use detection methods
22 23	they use they tried to use detection methods that would find whatever hacked materials were put

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 205 of 386 PageID #: 6688 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	they were taking any action with respect to any
2	content posted on social media because of a concern
3	about a hack-and-leak operation?
4	A. So from my recollection, I remember I
5	can't remember which social media companies, but
6	some social media companies adjusted or updated
7	their terms of service or their community standards
8	to say that they would not post any hacked
9	materials. I believe the reason would be due to
10	privacy issues of the victim. But I can't
11	recollect which company said that.
12	Q. When did those updates occur, do you
13	recall, before the 2020 election?
14	A. Before the 2020 elections, but I can't
15	remember when. I believe I believe the impetus
16	was in case there was a 2016-style hack-and-leak
17	operation.
18	Q. So is that was that a concern that you
19	raised to them specifically that there might be a
20	recurrence of a 2016 style hack-and-leak operation?
21	A. So I have raised that concern, but I
22	believe independently they had similar concerns.
23	Q. And in the same time frame that you were
24	raising that concern, some of them updated their
25	terms of service to prohibit the posting of hacked

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 206 of 386 PageID #: 6689 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1materials?2A. I believe so.3Q. Did you ever discuss updating their terms4of service with them or suggested it to them?5A. I never suggested it to them. The only6context we would bring up terms of service is that7we wanted to know if they had changed their terms8of service or modified it, and we wanted to know9what they had changed.10Q. Did they advise you that they had changed11it to reflect the ability to pull down content that12results from hack operations?13A. Yes.14Q. And that occurred at some time after you15had raised these concerns with them?16A. Some time after 2016, but before 2020. So17unfortunately I can't remember when they would have18updated it, but I do remember learning about their19terms of service updates.20Q. During 2020 do you recall anyone at the21FBI discussing with you the prospect of a23A. I believe that we internally discussed the24potential for hack-and-leak operations, and so I25regularly was in communication with the cyber		
<ul> <li>Q. Did you ever discuss updating their terms</li> <li>of service with them or suggested it to them?</li> <li>A. I never suggested it to them. The only</li> <li>context we would bring up terms of service is that</li> <li>we wanted to know if they had changed their terms</li> <li>of service or modified it, and we wanted to know</li> <li>what they had changed.</li> <li>Q. Did they advise you that they had changed</li> <li>it to reflect the ability to pull down content that</li> <li>results from hack operations?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that occurred at some time after you</li> <li>had raised these concerns with them?</li> <li>A. Some time after 2016, but before 2020. So</li> <li>unfortunately I can't remember when they would have</li> <li>updated it, but I do remember learning about their</li> <li>terms of service updates.</li> <li>Q. During 2020 do you recall anyone at the</li> <li>FBI discussing with you the prospect of a</li> <li>hack-and-leak operation?</li> <li>A. I believe that we internally discussed the</li> <li>potential for hack-and-leak operations, and so I</li> </ul>		materials?
<ul> <li>of service with them or suggested it to them?</li> <li>A. I never suggested it to them. The only</li> <li>context we would bring up terms of service is that</li> <li>we wanted to know if they had changed their terms</li> <li>of service or modified it, and we wanted to know</li> <li>what they had changed.</li> <li>Q. Did they advise you that they had changed</li> <li>it to reflect the ability to pull down content that</li> <li>results from hack operations?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that occurred at some time after you</li> <li>had raised these concerns with them?</li> <li>A. Some time after 2016, but before 2020. So</li> <li>unfortunately I can't remember when they would have</li> <li>updated it, but I do remember learning about their</li> <li>terms of service updates.</li> <li>Q. During 2020 do you recall anyone at the</li> <li>FBI discussing with you the prospect of a</li> <li>hack-and-leak operation?</li> <li>A. I believe that we internally discussed the</li> </ul>	2	A. I believe so.
<ul> <li>A. I never suggested it to them. The only</li> <li>context we would bring up terms of service is that</li> <li>we wanted to know if they had changed their terms</li> <li>of service or modified it, and we wanted to know</li> <li>what they had changed.</li> <li>Q. Did they advise you that they had changed</li> <li>it to reflect the ability to pull down content that</li> <li>results from hack operations?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that occurred at some time after you</li> <li>had raised these concerns with them?</li> <li>A. Some time after 2016, but before 2020. So</li> <li>unfortunately I can't remember when they would have</li> <li>updated it, but I do remember learning about their</li> <li>terms of service updates.</li> <li>Q. During 2020 do you recall anyone at the</li> <li>FBI discussing with you the prospect of a</li> <li>hack-and-leak operation?</li> <li>A. I believe that we internally discussed the</li> <li>potential for hack-and-leak operations, and so I</li> </ul>	3	Q. Did you ever discuss updating their terms
<ul> <li>context we would bring up terms of service is that</li> <li>we wanted to know if they had changed their terms</li> <li>of service or modified it, and we wanted to know</li> <li>what they had changed.</li> <li>Q. Did they advise you that they had changed</li> <li>it to reflect the ability to pull down content that</li> <li>results from hack operations?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that occurred at some time after you</li> <li>had raised these concerns with them?</li> <li>A. Some time after 2016, but before 2020. So</li> <li>unfortunately I can't remember when they would have</li> <li>updated it, but I do remember learning about their</li> <li>terms of service updates.</li> <li>Q. During 2020 do you recall anyone at the</li> <li>FBI discussing with you the prospect of a</li> <li>hack-and-leak operation?</li> <li>A. I believe that we internally discussed the</li> <li>potential for hack-and-leak operations, and so I</li> </ul>	4	of service with them or suggested it to them?
<ul> <li>we wanted to know if they had changed their terms</li> <li>of service or modified it, and we wanted to know</li> <li>what they had changed.</li> <li>Q. Did they advise you that they had changed</li> <li>it to reflect the ability to pull down content that</li> <li>results from hack operations?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that occurred at some time after you</li> <li>had raised these concerns with them?</li> <li>A. Some time after 2016, but before 2020. So</li> <li>unfortunately I can't remember when they would have</li> <li>updated it, but I do remember learning about their</li> <li>terms of service updates.</li> <li>Q. During 2020 do you recall anyone at the</li> <li>FBI discussing with you the prospect of a</li> <li>hack-and-leak operation?</li> <li>A. I believe that we internally discussed the</li> <li>potential for hack-and-leak operations, and so I</li> </ul>	5	A. I never suggested it to them. The only
<ul> <li>of service or modified it, and we wanted to know</li> <li>what they had changed.</li> <li>Q. Did they advise you that they had changed</li> <li>it to reflect the ability to pull down content that</li> <li>results from hack operations?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that occurred at some time after you</li> <li>had raised these concerns with them?</li> <li>A. Some time after 2016, but before 2020. So</li> <li>unfortunately I can't remember when they would have</li> <li>updated it, but I do remember learning about their</li> <li>terms of service updates.</li> <li>Q. During 2020 do you recall anyone at the</li> <li>FBI discussing with you the prospect of a</li> <li>hack-and-leak operation?</li> <li>A. I believe that we internally discussed the</li> <li>potential for hack-and-leak operations, and so I</li> </ul>	6	context we would bring up terms of service is that
<ul> <li>9 what they had changed.</li> <li>Q. Did they advise you that they had changed</li> <li>11 it to reflect the ability to pull down content that</li> <li>12 results from hack operations?</li> <li>13 A. Yes.</li> <li>14 Q. And that occurred at some time after you</li> <li>15 had raised these concerns with them?</li> <li>16 A. Some time after 2016, but before 2020. So</li> <li>17 unfortunately I can't remember when they would have</li> <li>18 updated it, but I do remember learning about their</li> <li>19 terms of service updates.</li> <li>20 Q. During 2020 do you recall anyone at the</li> <li>21 FBI discussing with you the prospect of a</li> <li>22 hack-and-leak operation?</li> <li>23 A. I believe that we internally discussed the</li> <li>24 potential for hack-and-leak operations, and so I</li> </ul>	7	we wanted to know if they had changed their terms
<ul> <li>Q. Did they advise you that they had changed</li> <li>it to reflect the ability to pull down content that</li> <li>results from hack operations?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that occurred at some time after you</li> <li>had raised these concerns with them?</li> <li>A. Some time after 2016, but before 2020. So</li> <li>unfortunately I can't remember when they would have</li> <li>updated it, but I do remember learning about their</li> <li>terms of service updates.</li> <li>Q. During 2020 do you recall anyone at the</li> <li>FBI discussing with you the prospect of a</li> <li>hack-and-leak operation?</li> <li>A. I believe that we internally discussed the</li> <li>potential for hack-and-leak operations, and so I</li> </ul>	8	of service or modified it, and we wanted to know
11 it to reflect the ability to pull down content that 12 results from hack operations? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. And that occurred at some time after you 15 had raised these concerns with them? 16 A. Some time after 2016, but before 2020. So 17 unfortunately I can't remember when they would have 18 updated it, but I do remember learning about their 19 terms of service updates. 20 Q. During 2020 do you recall anyone at the 21 FBI discussing with you the prospect of a 22 hack-and-leak operation? 23 A. I believe that we internally discussed the 24 potential for hack-and-leak operations, and so I	9	what they had changed.
<ul> <li>results from hack operations?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that occurred at some time after you</li> <li>had raised these concerns with them?</li> <li>A. Some time after 2016, but before 2020. So</li> <li>unfortunately I can't remember when they would have</li> <li>updated it, but I do remember learning about their</li> <li>terms of service updates.</li> <li>Q. During 2020 do you recall anyone at the</li> <li>FBI discussing with you the prospect of a</li> <li>hack-and-leak operation?</li> <li>A. I believe that we internally discussed the</li> <li>potential for hack-and-leak operations, and so I</li> </ul>	10	Q. Did they advise you that they had changed
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that occurred at some time after you</li> <li>had raised these concerns with them?</li> <li>A. Some time after 2016, but before 2020. So</li> <li>unfortunately I can't remember when they would have</li> <li>updated it, but I do remember learning about their</li> <li>terms of service updates.</li> <li>Q. During 2020 do you recall anyone at the</li> <li>FBI discussing with you the prospect of a</li> <li>hack-and-leak operation?</li> <li>A. I believe that we internally discussed the</li> <li>potential for hack-and-leak operations, and so I</li> </ul>	11	it to reflect the ability to pull down content that
<ul> <li>Q. And that occurred at some time after you</li> <li>had raised these concerns with them?</li> <li>A. Some time after 2016, but before 2020. So</li> <li>unfortunately I can't remember when they would have</li> <li>updated it, but I do remember learning about their</li> <li>terms of service updates.</li> <li>Q. During 2020 do you recall anyone at the</li> <li>FBI discussing with you the prospect of a</li> <li>hack-and-leak operation?</li> <li>A. I believe that we internally discussed the</li> <li>potential for hack-and-leak operations, and so I</li> </ul>	12	results from hack operations?
<ul> <li>had raised these concerns with them?</li> <li>A. Some time after 2016, but before 2020. So</li> <li>unfortunately I can't remember when they would have</li> <li>updated it, but I do remember learning about their</li> <li>terms of service updates.</li> <li>Q. During 2020 do you recall anyone at the</li> <li>FBI discussing with you the prospect of a</li> <li>hack-and-leak operation?</li> <li>A. I believe that we internally discussed the</li> <li>potential for hack-and-leak operations, and so I</li> </ul>	13	A. Yes.
<ul> <li>A. Some time after 2016, but before 2020. So</li> <li>unfortunately I can't remember when they would have</li> <li>updated it, but I do remember learning about their</li> <li>terms of service updates.</li> <li>Q. During 2020 do you recall anyone at the</li> <li>FBI discussing with you the prospect of a</li> <li>hack-and-leak operation?</li> <li>A. I believe that we internally discussed the</li> <li>potential for hack-and-leak operations, and so I</li> </ul>	14	Q. And that occurred at some time after you
17 unfortunately I can't remember when they would have 18 updated it, but I do remember learning about their 19 terms of service updates. 20 Q. During 2020 do you recall anyone at the 21 FBI discussing with you the prospect of a 22 hack-and-leak operation? 23 A. I believe that we internally discussed the 24 potential for hack-and-leak operations, and so I	15	had raised these concerns with them?
<ul> <li>updated it, but I do remember learning about their</li> <li>terms of service updates.</li> <li>Q. During 2020 do you recall anyone at the</li> <li>FBI discussing with you the prospect of a</li> <li>hack-and-leak operation?</li> <li>A. I believe that we internally discussed the</li> <li>potential for hack-and-leak operations, and so I</li> </ul>	16	A. Some time after 2016, but before 2020. So
19 terms of service updates. 20 Q. During 2020 do you recall anyone at the 21 FBI discussing with you the prospect of a 22 hack-and-leak operation? 23 A. I believe that we internally discussed the 24 potential for hack-and-leak operations, and so I	17	unfortunately I can't remember when they would have
20 Q. During 2020 do you recall anyone at the 21 FBI discussing with you the prospect of a 22 hack-and-leak operation? 23 A. I believe that we internally discussed the 24 potential for hack-and-leak operations, and so I	18	updated it, but I do remember learning about their
FBI discussing with you the prospect of a hack-and-leak operation? A. I believe that we internally discussed the potential for hack-and-leak operations, and so I	19	terms of service updates.
hack-and-leak operation? A. I believe that we internally discussed the potential for hack-and-leak operations, and so I	20	Q. During 2020 do you recall anyone at the
A. I believe that we internally discussed the potential for hack-and-leak operations, and so I	21	FBI discussing with you the prospect of a
24 potential for hack-and-leak operations, and so I	22	hack-and-leak operation?
	23	A. I believe that we internally discussed the
25 regularly was in communication with the cyber	24	potential for hack-and-leak operations, and so I
	25	regularly was in communication with the cyber

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 207 of 386 PageID #: 6690 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	division of the FBI as well as with the Foreign
2	Influence Task Force to see if they had heard of
3	anything that I had not heard of.
4	So I would say that the people that I
5	communicate with, everyone was vigilant, but no
6	one I believe that in general people at the FBI
7	were concerned about the potential for
8	hack-and-leak operations, but that we had not seen
9	any investigations that led in that direction or
10	that would lead us in that direction.
11	Q. Who are the people at the cybersecurity
12	division that you referred to?
13	A. So cyber division I can't even remember
14	the individuals now. And the reason for that is
15	they tend to rotate they rotate out every 18
16	months.
17	Q. How about FITF, who are the individuals at
18	FITF that discussed the concern with you?
19	A. So it was the three individuals that I
20	mentioned to you I'm sorry, the four individuals
21	that I have mentioned to you. Specifically,
22	Ms. Dehmlow, Mr. Olson, Mr. Cone and then
23	Mr. Giannini.
24	Q. And did all of those people express to you
25	a concern about the possibility of a Russian

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 208 of 386 PageID #: 6691 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	hack-and-leak operation?
2	MR. SUR: Objection; mischaracterizes his
3	testimony.
4	THE WITNESS: From my recollection, we all
5	shared the same concern for the potential for there
6	to be a hack-and-leak operation.
7	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Did you have any basis for
8	that other than the fact that one had occurred in
9	2016?
10	A. My basis for that was the hack-and-dump
11	operation in 2016 as well as our knowledge of the
12	skills of the Russian hackers who were involved.
13	Q. Any other basis besides that?
14	A. No. Those were the two primary reasons
15	driving our concern.
16	Q. In 2020 did you ever discuss the
17	possible or did anyone discuss with you the
18	possibility of a Russian hack-and-leak operation
19	before the 2020 election from outside the FBI other
20	than legal counsel?
21	A. I believe that the companies would
22	regularly ask if the FBI was aware of any
23	hack-and-leak operations ahead of the 2020
24	elections. We were not aware of any hack-and-leak
25	operations.

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 209 of 386 PageID #: 6692 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 209

1	However, as I mentioned, we would provide
2	briefings about nation state groups that
3	potentially had the capability of conducting
4	hack-and-leak operations.
5	Q. Okay. Other than the social media
6	platforms, anybody else discuss that with you?
7	A. Not to my recollection. Up. Not to my
8	recollection.
9	Q. You said "up"?
10	A. "Up" because we had already mentioned the
11	FBI employees. We mentioned the social media
12	companies. The reason I said "up" is because
13	technically Microsoft is not a social media
14	company.
15	Q. Did Microsoft discuss it with you?
16	A. During the they discussed their concern
17	during the CISA-hosted USG-industry meetings.
18	Q. What did they say?
19	A. I think I can't recall exactly, but
20	they shared the same sentiment about being
21	concerned for a potential Russian hack-and-leak
22	operation.
23	Q. Who said that?
24	A. The individual from Microsoft, his first
25	name is Jan, J-a-n, but I can't remember his last

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 210 of 386 PageID #: 6693 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 210

1 -- I can't remember how to -- his last name, or 2 even how to spell it. 3 Antonaros, something like that? Ο. 4 I definitely remember his first Α. Maybe. 5 name was Jan, and he had a last name that I am not familiar with. 6 7 Q. Does it begin with an A-n-t? Α. That doesn't sound familiar. It feels 8 9 like it was a Scandinavian name, like Stevrud or 10 something. I don't remember why, but for some 11 reason I thought it sounded Scandinavian. 12 Did any other social media platform Q. 13 discuss these things in the USG-industry meetings? 14 MR. SUR: Objection; vague. 15 Ο. BY MR. SAUER: Did any other social media 16 platforms discuss the prospect of having these 17 operations, or hack-and-dump operations in the 18 USG-industry meetings? 19 I would say not to my recollection because Α. 20 the primary individuals who spoke from the social 21 media companies were the three companies that I 2.2 previously referred to you, which is Facebook, 23 Google and Twitter and then Microsoft. Those were, 24 from my recollection, the four companies that spoke 25 the most frequently. If individuals or

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 211 of 386 PageID #: 6694 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	representatives from the other companies spoke, I
2	don't recall what they said.
3	Q. Did Facebook, Twitter or YouTube/Google
4	representatives address this issue at all of the
5	prospect of hack-and-leak operations?
6	A. So during the CISA USG-industry meetings,
7	I believe that those companies regularly flagged
8	this as a concern at each meeting, or at least at
9	most meetings they would ask if the U.S. government
10	had any information about potential hack-and-leak
11	operations.
12	Q. How about other government agencies
13	outside the FBI at these meetings, the USG-industry
14	meetings, did any of them discuss the risk or
15	prospect of Russian hack-and-leak or hack-and-dump
16	operations?
17	A. So from my recollection, CISA would
18	discuss it from the standpoint of cybersecurity.
19	Namely if an organization or individual has good
20	cybersecurity, that will minimize the risk of being
21	hacked. So that was their standpoint. So they
22	were focused on cybersecurity and infrastructure
23	protection.
24	Q. Who is "they"? What individuals were
25	saying this?

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 212 of 386 PageID #: 6695 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 212

1	A. So as I mentioned to you before, the only
2	individuals I remember who regularly spoke at these
3	meetings were Mr. Masterson and Mr. Scully.
4	Q. And those individuals discussed how to
5	defend against hacking operations?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Did they specifically say that they
8	anticipated or there was a risk that there might be
9	Russian hack-and-leak operations before the 2020
10	election?
11	A. I don't specifically recall, but that
12	sounds like something that they would have the
13	general they would have the same concern or
14	similar concern that I have.
15	Q. They might you believe they expressed
16	that concern in these meetings?
17	A. I do not recall any specific situations
18	where they did, but that, I believe, is something
19	that they may have discussed.
20	Q. And that would include both Mr. Masterson
21	and Mr. Scully, to your recollection?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Were you aware in 2020 that the FBI had
24	Hunter Biden's laptop in its possession?
25	MR. SUR: Objection; lacks foundation,

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 213 of 386 PageID #: 6696 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

```
Page 213
```

1 calls for speculation. 2 Q. BY MR. SAUER: Did you know it at the 3 time? 4 I was only aware when news media outlets Α. 5 posted it, or published it. 6 Was Hunter Biden referred to in these ο. 7 meetings in any way? 8 Hunter Biden was not -- in my Α. 9 recollection, Hunter Biden was not referred to in 10 any of the CISA USG-industry meetings. 11 How about the FITF social media company Q. 12 meetings? 13 From my recollection, one meeting with Α. 14 Facebook after the regular agenda had been 15 completed, one of the Facebook analysts asked if 16 the FBI had any information they could share about 17 the Hunter Biden investigation. 18 To that I recall Ms. Dehmlow saying that 19 the FBI had no comment. 20 Ο. Would that have been before or after the 21 big -- the big news story broke on October 14th of 2.2 2020? 23 MR. SUR: Objection; lacks foundation. 24 THE WITNESS: I am not certain, but I 25 believe that it would have had to have been after

> LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 214 of 386 PageID #: 6697 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	the name starm bracks because I day to because if it was
1	the news story broke because I don't know if it was
2	publicly known then.
3	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Do you know that in 20
4	so you remember sometime in 2020 a Facebook analyst
5	asked the FBI to comment on the status of the
6	Hunter Biden investigation?
7	A. That's correct.
8	Q. And you believe that this occurred after
9	there had been, you know, a New York Post article
10	about the contents of the laptop that you referred
11	to I think you referred to earlier you finding
12	out about it that way, right?
13	A. Yeah, I only found out through news media.
14	I have no internal knowledge of that investigation,
15	and yeah, I believe that it was brought up after
16	the news story had broke.
17	Q. And so the what did the Facebook
18	analyst ask Ms. Dehmlow? Did they ask, you know,
19	"Hey, we have the story. Can you confirm it," or
20	what did they ask?
21	A. Yeah, they just I can't remember the
22	exact question, but I believe the investigator
23	asked if the FBI could provide any information
24	about the Hunter Biden investigation.
25	Q. Did they refer to the laptop in particular

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 215 of 386 PageID #: 6698 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	that had been the subject of the news stories?
2	A. I can't recall.
3	Q. And what did Ms. Dehmlow respond?
4	A. She said no comment. She said something
5	to the effect that the FBI has no comment on this.
6	Q. Did she indicate why the FBI declined to
7	comment?
8	A. Yes. It was because at the time I do
9	not believe that we had confirmed that it was an
10	active we had at the time we had not
11	confirmed that the FBI was actually investigating
12	Hunter Biden. So she did not have the authority to
13	say anything or to comment about it.
14	Q. Did she know at the time that the FBI had
14 15	Q. Did she know at the time that the FBI had the laptop and that the contents had not been
	_
15	the laptop and that the contents had not been
15 16	the laptop and that the contents had not been hacked?
15 16 17	the laptop and that the contents had not been hacked? MR. SUR: Objection; calls for speculation
15 16 17 18	the laptop and that the contents had not been hacked? MR. SUR: Objection; calls for speculation and gets into law enforcement privilege.
15 16 17 18 19	<pre>the laptop and that the contents had not been hacked? MR. SUR: Objection; calls for speculation and gets into law enforcement privilege. Q. BY MR. SAUER: To your knowledge?</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>the laptop and that the contents had not been hacked?</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>the laptop and that the contents had not been hacked?</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>the laptop and that the contents had not been hacked?</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>the laptop and that the contents had not been hacked?</pre>

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 216 of 386 PageID #: 6699 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	
1	at any meetings with any social media platforms?
2	A. No. It stood out because that Facebook
3	meeting was the only one where an individual from
4	one of the companies even asked about it.
5	Q. You're confident that Hunter Biden did not
6	come up at any other meetings between federal
7	government officials and social media platforms in
8	2020?
9	A. I was confident that I was not a party to
10	any meeting with social media companies where
11	Hunter Biden was discussed outside of the one
12	incident that I told you about.
13	Q. That was the one where it was a FITF
14	Facebook meeting where the analyst asked
15	Ms. Dehmlow and she refused to comment, correct?
16	A. That is correct. That is correct.
17	MR. SAUER: I am going to show you a new
18	exhibit, Exhibit 8.
19	Counsel, I just emailed it to you, too. I
20	will put it up on screen share, and it will be
21	familiar to you.
22	(Reporter marked Exhibit No. 8 for
23	identification.)
24	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Do you see this document
25	here?
1	

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 217 of 386 PageID #: 6700 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	A. It says the "Declaration of Yoel Roth."
2	Q. Do you know Yoel Roth?
3	A. Yes. I mentioned to you mentioned him
4	to you previously. He was the head of site
5	integrity at Twitter.
6	Q. And it says that's a trust and safety
7	department, correct?
8	A. Well, it says it is a site integrity team
9	which assesses content posted to Twitter to
10	determine whether it violates the company's
11	policies. So in general, that would be construed
12	as a trust and safety role.
13	Q. So in other words, he is has
14	responsibility for content modulation at Twitter,
15	or he at least did at the time of this declaration?
16	A. Yes, I believe so.
17	Q. In fact, your committee worked with him in
18	that role, which he had until very, very recently,
19	right? Just a couple weeks ago, right?
20	A. Until the day after the election.
21	Q. I am going to direct your attention to
22	Paragraph 10 in this declaration. You see where it
23	says in Paragraph 10, "Since 2018, I have had
24	regular meetings with the" ODNI, "the Department of
25	Homeland Security, the FBI and industry peers

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 218 of 386 PageID #: 6701 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 218

1	regarding election security"? Do you see that?
2	A. Yeah, Paragraph 11?
3	Q. Paragraph 10.
4	A. I'm sorry, Paragraph 10. Yes, I see that.
5	Q. Do you know what regular meetings he's
6	referring to in that paragraph? Is that the
7	CISA-organized USG-industry meetings or is there
8	other meetings as well?
9	A. I am not sure, but from my interpretation
10	of this document, it would be in the context of the
11	CISA-hosted USG-industry meeting. Because I never
12	hosted a meeting with those U.S. government
13	components.
14	Q. So let me ask you this: Are you aware of
15	any meetings involving Twitter with ODNI, DHS and
16	the FBI and other social media platforms?
17	A. Only in the context of the CISA-hosted
18	USG-industry meetings.
19	Q. So the only meetings that you're aware of
20	that this Paragraph 10 could be referring to are
21	those USG-industry meetings that we have been
22	talking about organized by CISA?
23	A. That is my belief.
24	Q. Paragraph 11, "During these weekly
25	meetings, the federal law enforcement agencies

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 219 of 386 PageID #: 6702 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

ion
У
I
е
or
g.
sly,
oned
ith
n
do

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 220 of 386 PageID #: 6703 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 220

1 before the 2020 presidential election; is that fair 2 to say? 3 Α. Yes. During the CISA USG-industry 4 meetings. 5 You're saying those obtained a weekly Q. 6 cadence in the six to eight weeks before that 7 actual election in 2020? 8 Α. Yes. 9 In those meetings, I take it likely Q. Okay. 10 in October, was that relayed to Twitter and the 11 other industry participants? 12 I can't remember specifically, but it Α. 13 would have made sense for the meetings to 14 definitely have occurred on a weekly basis in 15 October ahead of the elections in November. 16 And did the federal officials in those Ο. 17 meetings convey that they expected that 18 hack-and-leak operations might occur shortly before 19 the election, likely in October? 20 Α. So I wouldn't have used the word 21 "expected." I would have used the word "concern" 2.2 about potential hack-and-leak operations. The 23 reason I say that is because we were not aware of 24 any hack-and-leak operations that were pending. 25 Ο. Okay. He goes on to say in the next --

> LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 221 of 386 PageID #: 6704 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 221

1 let me ask you this: Did you express that concern 2 would be, quote, likely in October? 3 I would say possible in October. Α. 4 He refers to the federal law enforcement Ο. 5 agencies, plural, in that sentence. Do you see 6 that? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. And other federal law enforcement agencies 9 other than the FBI also convey an expectation or 10 concern that Russian hack-and-leak operations would 11 occur shortly before the 2020 election? 12 Α. Not to my -- not to my knowledge. 13 Q. So the only agency you recall conveying 14 that information is the FBI? 15 The only federal law enforcement agency I Α. 16 remember conveying our concern was the FBI. 17 How about any other agency? Ο. 18 Α. As I mentioned, I believe CISA would have 19 had the same concern as the FBI. 20 And that was relayed through Mr. Masterson Q. 21 and Mr. Scully, I think you said, correct? 2.2 Α. Correct. 23 Any other agencies or federal officials Q. 24 raise those concerns other than you, Ms. Dehmlow, 25 Mr. Masterson and Mr. Scully?

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 222 of 386 PageID #: 6705 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1 A. I believe that the senior election
2 official from ODNI would also have flagged that as
3 a concern. Because that was a concern across the
4 entire U.S. government.
5 Q. Who is that?
6 A. At the time the senior election official
7 was Shelby Pierson.
8 Q. What did Mr is that Mr. or Ms.?
9 A. Ms., Ms. Pierson.
10 Q. What did Ms. Pierson say about that
11 concern for the social media platforms in these
12 meetings?
13 A. I don't recall what she exactly said, but
14 the sentiment would have been similar to what I
15 already conveyed, which is that I was concerned
16 about the potential for hack-and-leak operations.
17 However, we were not aware of any pending
18 hack-and-leak operations. I believe she would have
19 shared the same sentiment.
20 Q. Do you remember anything specific about
21 what she said?
22 A. I don't.
23 Q. Mr. Roth in the affidavit goes on to say,
24 "I was told in these meetings that the intelligence
25 community expected that individuals associated with

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 223 of 386 PageID #: 6706 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	political campaigns would be subject to hacking
2	attacks and that material obtained through those
3	hacking attacks would likely be disseminated over
4	social media platforms, including Twitter." Do you
5	see that?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Do you remember that occurring, that
8	people in the intelligence community relaying that
9	they expected attacks on individuals associated
10	with political campaigns and that the material
11	obtained would be disseminated over Twitter?
12	A. So my recollection is different from
13	Mr. Roth's. Not that the intelligence community
14	expected that individuals associated with political
15	campaigns would be subject to hacking attacks, but
16	that there was the potential for individuals
17	associated with political campaigns.
18	The reason I say that is because I and the
19	individuals I work with at FITF, we were not aware
20	of any successful hacks into any political
21	organizations or campaigns at the same time of
22	these meetings.
23	Q. How about in the intelligence community?
24	You know, I take it that may refer to ODNI
25	A. Yeah.

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 224 of 386 PageID #: 6707 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 224

1 -- is Mr. Roth accurately describing what Q. 2 they said in these meetings? 3 MR. SUR: Objection; calls for 4 speculation. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know what 5 6 Mr. Roth meant or meant, but what I'm letting you 7 know is that from my recollection -- I don't 8 believe we would have worded it so strongly to say 9 that we expected there to be hacks. I would have 10 worded it to say that there was the potential for 11 hacks, and I believe that is how anyone from our 12 side would have framed the comment. 13 And the reason I believe that is because I 14 and the FBI, for that matter the U.S. intelligence 15 community, was not aware of any successful hacks 16 against political organizations or political 17 campaigns. 18 Q. BY MR. SAUER: You don't think that 19 intelligence officials described it in the way that 20 Mr. Roth does here in this sentence in the 21 affidavit? 2.2 Yeah, I would not have -- I do not believe Α. 23 that the intelligence community would have expected 24 it. I said that they would have been concerned 25 about the potential for it.

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 225 of 386 PageID #: 6708 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 225

1	
1	Q. How about the second half of the sentence
2	here where he says that he was told in these
3	meetings that material obtained through those
4	foreign hacking attacks would likely be
5	disseminated over social media platforms, including
6	Twitter, do you recall that being discussed in the
7	meetings?
8	A. Yeah, so if you're going to break it up
9	into two parts like that, if there were a
10	successful hack, that is what the intelligence
11	community and I both think would happen.
12	Q. Did you relay that to them, that if there
13	were a successful hack, they would likely relay the
14	hacked materials over social media platforms
15	including Twitter?
16	A. I don't remember if I relayed that, but I
17	do agree with Mr. Roth's statement, that if there
18	was a hack, that that would be a method to
19	disseminate the information.
20	Q. And that particular concern was relayed to
21	social media platforms in these meetings?
22	A. Yes, you are correct.
23	Q. He goes on to say, "These expectations of
24	these hack-and-leak operations were discussed
25	throughout 2020." Do you see that?
	- <b>-</b>
1	

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 226 of 386 PageID #: 6709 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 226

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. What was that, in fact, true that all
3	throughout 2020 there were discussions of these
4	concerns about hack-and-leak operations?
5	A. So I would not have used the word
6	"expectation." As I characterized it to you
7	before, we regularly discuss the potential for
8	nation state actors to conduct hack-and-leak
9	operations. And we provided briefings on the
10	specific nation state actors that we believe who
11	were potentially capable of conducting these types
12	of operations.
13	Q. That would include Russia, I presume?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And you so in the briefings you
16	provided to them, you brief them on your
17	understanding that Russia was capable of conducting
18	those hack-and-leak operations?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Do you brief them on your concerns that
21	those might occur in the 2020 election cycle in
22	connection with those briefings as well, correct?
23	A. Yeah, from my recollection, "might" is a
24	more appropriate word than "expected."
25	Q. So you feel like you said "might," and

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 227 of 386 PageID #: 6710 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	Mr. Roth feels like he was told they were
2	"expected"; is that fair to say?
3	A. Well, he wrote that well, in this
4	deposition he provided, he said "expected," but I
5	don't remember the language being so strong from
6	from me or from any FBI officials.
7	Q. I want to direct your sentence to the next
8	page sentence. He says, "I also learned in
9	these meetings that there were rumors" of a
10	hack-and-leak "that a hack-and-leak operation
11	would involve Hunter Biden," right, you see that?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Do you remember what's he referring to
14	there, to your recollection?
15	A. So from my recollection, the social media
16	companies, who include Twitter, would regularly ask
17	us, "Hey, what kind of content do you think the
18	nation state actors, the Russians would post," and
19	then they would provide examples. Like, "Would it
20	be X" or "Would it be Y" or "Would it be Z." And
21	then we I and then the other FBI officials would
22	say, "We believe that the Russians will take
23	advantage of any hot-button issue."
24	And we I do not remember us
25	specifically saying "Hunter Biden" in any meeting

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 228 of 386 PageID #: 6711 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 228

```
1
     with Twitter.
 2
              Mr. Roth says in his sworn declaration he
         Q.
 3
     learned in these meetings, and I take it we are
 4
     still talking about these weekly meetings, USG
5
     industry CISA-organized meetings, he learned in
 6
     these meetings that there were rumors that a
7
     hack-and-leak operation would involve Hunter Biden,
8
     right? That's what he said in his sworn
9
     declaration, right?
10
         Α.
              Yes, I see that's what he said.
11
         Q.
              And do you have any reason to doubt the
12
     veracity of what he said?
13
         Α.
              I would interpret what he said
14
     differently.
15
         Ο.
              How would you interpret what he said when
16
     he says he learned that there were rumors that a
17
     hack-and-leak operation would involve Hunter Biden?
18
     What do you think he's referring to?
19
              MR. SUR: Objection; calls for
20
     speculation.
21
              THE WITNESS: Yeah, in my estimation, we
2.2
     never discussed Hunter Biden specifically with
23
     Twitter. And so the way I read that is that there
     are hack-and-leak operations, and then at the
24
     time -- at the time I believe he flagged one of the
25
```

LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 229 of 386 PageID #: 6712 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 229

1	potential current events that were happening ahead
2	of the elections.
3	Q. BY MR. SAUER: You believe that he, Yoel
4	Roth, flagged Hunter Biden in one of these
5	meetings?
6	A. No. I believe I don't believe he
7	flagged it during one of the meetings. I just
8	think that so I don't know. I cannot read his
9	mind, but my assessment is because I don't remember
10	discussing Hunter Biden at any of the meetings with
11	Twitter, that we didn't discuss it.
12	So this would have been something that he
13	would have just thought of as a hot-button issue on
14	his own that happened in October.
15	Q. So you think that where he says he learned
16	in the meetings that there were rumors that a
17	hack-and-leak operation would involve Hunter Biden,
18	you don't recall any basis for that actually being
19	discussed in the meetings?
20	A. That is correct.
21	Q. Paragraph 12 goes on to say that "On
22	October 14, 2020, I learned from media coverage
23	that the New York Post had posted the articles to
24	its website that morning containing emails and
25	other personal materials found on a hard drive that

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 230 of 386 PageID #: 6713 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	allegedly belonged to Hunter Biden." Do you see
2	that?
3	A. Yes, Paragraph 12.
4	Q. There's that reference to those articles
5	that you referred to earlier, correct?
6	A. Correct.
7	Q. It's your testimony that those news
8	articles are the first time that you became aware
9	that you became aware of Hunter Biden's laptop
10	in any connection?
11	A. Yes. I don't remember if it was a New
12	York Post article or if it was another media
13	outlet, but it was on multiple media outlets, and I
14	can't remember which article I read.
15	Q. And before that day, October 14th, 2020,
16	were you aware were you aware of Hunter Biden
17	had anyone ever mentioned Hunter Biden's laptop to
18	you?
19	A. No.
20	Q. The next paragraph Mr. Roth says, "The
21	site integrity team preliminarily determined that
22	the information in the articles," the New York Post
23	articles, "could have been obtained through
24	hacking, based on, among other things, the type of
25	material, the sourcing described in the articles,

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 231 of 386 PageID #: 6714 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 231

1 and the information security community's initial 2 reactions." Do you see that? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Do you know what he's referring to in that Ο. 5 last bit where he talks about the information 6 security community's initial reactions? 7 MR. SUR: Objection; calls for 8 speculation. 9 THE WITNESS: I do not know. 10 Q. BY MR. SAUER: Do you know -- what is the 11 information security community? 12 So I don't know specifically that Α. 13 reference that he's using, but there is -- you 14 know, I -- my assessment is that he's talking 15 broadly about the American information security 16 industry, but I don't know. 17 Does that include the FBI? ο. 18 MR. SUR: Objection; calls for 19 speculation. 20 THE WITNESS: So I don't know. However, I do not believe that involves the FBI. To me when I 21 2.2 read that, this is the first time I am reading that 23 paragraph, it sounds like he is talking about the 24 private sector information security community. 25 Q. BY MR. SAUER: He goes on to talk about

> LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 232 of 386 PageID #: 6715 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 232

1	how Twitter ended up essentially blocking the
2	articles from being shared on its platform and
3	suspending the New York Post's Twitter accounts.
4	Generally without getting into the
5	details, are you generally familiar with the fact
6	that Twitter took steps to reduce the distribution
7	of the Hunter Biden laptop story on its platforms?
8	A. Yeah, yeah, the extent of the information
9	that I learned was exactly what you just described.
10	I have no other knowledge of that.
11	Q. Okay. So right here at Paragraph 17 he
12	says, "The Site Integrity Team blocked Twitter
13	users from sharing links over Twitter to the
14	applicable New York Post articles and prevented
15	users who had previously sent tweets sharing those
16	articles from sending new tweets until they deleted
17	the tweets violating Twitter's policies," correct?
18	A. So that is what he wrote, but I am not
19	aware of the specific details of the actions that
20	they took until you just read that paragraph to me
21	today.
22	Q. Do you know if anyone at Twitter reached
23	out to anyone at the FBI to check or verify
24	anything about the Hunter Biden story?
25	A. I am not aware of any communications

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 233 of 386 PageID #: 6716 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	between Yoel Roth and the FBI about this topic.
2	Q. Are you aware of any communications
3	between anyone at Twitter and anyone in the federal
4	government about the decision to suppress content
5	relating to the Hunter Biden laptop story once the
6	story had broken?
7	MR. SUR: Objection; lacks foundation.
8	THE WITNESS: I am not aware of Mr. Roth's
9	discussions with any other federal agency. As I
10	mentioned, I am not aware of any discussions with
11	any FBI employees about this topic as well. But I
12	only know who I know. So I don't he may have
13	had these conversations, but I was not aware of it.
14	Q. BY MR. SAUER: You mentioned Mr. Roth.
14 15	Q. BY MR. SAUER: You mentioned Mr. Roth. How about anyone else at Twitter, did anyone else
15	How about anyone else at Twitter, did anyone else
15 16	How about anyone else at Twitter, did anyone else at Twitter reach out, to your knowledge, to anyone
15 16 17	How about anyone else at Twitter, did anyone else at Twitter reach out, to your knowledge, to anyone else in the federal government?
15 16 17 18	How about anyone else at Twitter, did anyone else at Twitter reach out, to your knowledge, to anyone else in the federal government? A. So I can only answer for the FBI. To my
15 16 17 18 19	How about anyone else at Twitter, did anyone else at Twitter reach out, to your knowledge, to anyone else in the federal government? A. So I can only answer for the FBI. To my knowledge, I am not aware of any Twitter employee
15 16 17 18 19 20	How about anyone else at Twitter, did anyone else at Twitter reach out, to your knowledge, to anyone else in the federal government? A. So I can only answer for the FBI. To my knowledge, I am not aware of any Twitter employee reaching out to any FBI employee regarding this
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	How about anyone else at Twitter, did anyone else at Twitter reach out, to your knowledge, to anyone else in the federal government? A. So I can only answer for the FBI. To my knowledge, I am not aware of any Twitter employee reaching out to any FBI employee regarding this topic.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>How about anyone else at Twitter, did anyone else at Twitter reach out, to your knowledge, to anyone else in the federal government?</li> <li>A. So I can only answer for the FBI. To my knowledge, I am not aware of any Twitter employee reaching out to any FBI employee regarding this topic.</li> <li>Q. How about Facebook, other than that</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>How about anyone else at Twitter, did anyone else at Twitter reach out, to your knowledge, to anyone else in the federal government?</li> <li>A. So I can only answer for the FBI. To my knowledge, I am not aware of any Twitter employee reaching out to any FBI employee regarding this topic.</li> <li>Q. How about Facebook, other than that meeting you referred to where an analyst asked the</li> </ul>

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 234 of 386 PageID #: 6717 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Pag	e	234

1 at Facebook and anyone at the FBI related to the 2 Hunter Biden laptop story? 3 Α. No. 4 Q. How about any other social media platform? 5 Α. No. 6 How about Apple or Microsoft? Q. 7 Α. No. 8 MR. SUR: Counselor, if you have concluded 9 with this exhibit, may I ask or may I suggest that 10 a break would be appropriate? We have been in this 11 session now I think for an hour and eight minutes. 12 MR. SAUER: I'm okay with that. Want to 13 go off the record? 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 3:43 15 p.m. 16 (Whereupon a recess was taken.) 17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 18 3:57 p.m. 19 BY MR. SAUER: Do you know Peter Strzok, Q. 20 S-t-r-z-o-k?21 Α. Yes, I do. 2.2 How do you know him? Q. 23 I know that he was a deputy assistant Α. 24 director at counterintelligence division, and the 25 capacity that I worked with him in was related to

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 235 of 386 PageID #: 6718 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	the Yahoo! hack, which also occurred in 2016, and
2	our office did the investigation for that hack.
3	Q. What was the Yahoo! hack?
4	A. At the time the Yahoo! hack was the
5	largest data breach in American history where 500
6	million Yahoo! users' credentials were stolen by
7	Russian intelligence service officers.
8	Q. You worked on that investigation with
9	Peter Strzok?
10	A. So I oversaw the squad that ran the
11	investigation. So I oversaw the investigation.
12	Mr. Strzok consulted with me because he was
13	concerned he had counterintelligence concerns
14	about the investigation.
15	Q. What were those concerns generally
16	speaking? I am not going to ask specifics.
17	A. Broadly speaking, U.S. government
18	employees, U.S. current government employees as
19	well as other government officials had used Yahoo!
20	and still use Yahoo!, and he was concerned that
21	Russian intelligence officers would be able to
22	figure out the personal Yahoo! Yahoo! and AOL
23	accounts for current and former U.S. government
24	officials.
25	Q. Did you have multiple interactions with

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 236 of 386 PageID #: 6719 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1 him during the course of that investigation?
2 A. I would say regular like maybe once a
3 month maybe.
4 Q. Over the course of how long?
5 A. Over the course of about six months.
6 Q. Would these be phone calls or did you have
7 any in-person meetings with him?
8 A. These would be via classified Skype or
9 Link, if you're familiar with that Microsoft
10 product.
11 Q. So they'd be videoconferences that were in
12 a secured link?
13 A. They would be voice conference there
14 was video capability, but the FBI culture is not to
15 use videoconference.
16 Q. Have you talked to him since then?
17 A. I have not. Yeah, I only spoke to him in
18 the context of the Yahoo! investigation. So and
19 he was interested, you know, in the extent of the
20 damage, you know, like our intrusion investigation
21 to determine if we could tell if Russians were
22 aware which accounts belong to which U.S.
23 government officials.
24 Q. These interactions with him occurred in
25 the year 2016; is that right?

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 237 of 386 PageID #: 6720 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 237

1	A. Yeah, I would say spanning between 2015 to
2	2016.
3	Q. So this would have been the same time
4	frame that he's involved in the Crossfire Hurricane
5	investigation?
6	A. I do not know when that investigation took
7	place exactly, but I would say that I had
8	engagement with him in the late 2015 to early 2016
9	time frame.
10	Q. Do you know anyone associated with the
11	Crossfire Hurricane investigation?
12	A. No, not to my knowledge.
13	Q. How about Lisa Page?
14	A. So Lisa Page is an attorney, an FBI
15	attorney for the Office of General Counsel. She
16	was on many of the calls. I don't know if she was
17	on all of the calls, but she was on at least some
18	of the, like, once-a-month calls that I had with
19	Mr. Strzok.
20	Q. She was on so you would talk to
21	Mr. Strzok and Ms. Page at the same time as the
22	Yahoo! investigation?
23	A. Yes. My understanding was that she was
24	I don't know this for a fact, but my understanding
25	was that she was a senior attorney in the

LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 238 of 386 PageID #: 6721 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

	Fage 230
1	counterintellines a dissister and that she would be
1	counterintelligence division and that she would be
2	privy to the types she would need to know about
3	the types of communications I had with Mr. Strzok
4	about the extent of the damage.
5	Q. Did you have any communications with
6	Ms. Page after 2016?
7	A. Yes, but not while she was working at the
8	FBI.
9	Q. What communications were those?
10	A. She currently works for a private sector
11	company, and I have regular communications focused
12	on cybersecurity matters with her current company.
13	Q. What company is that?
14	A. The company is Twilio.
15	Q. And you know her now and communicate with
16	her in her work at Twilio?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And do those communications relate to
19	hacking?
20	A. Cybersecurity in general and potential
21	hacks against the Twilio platform.
22	Q. Have you communicated with her recently?
23	MR. SUR: Objection; vague.
24	THE WITNESS: I would say the last time I
25	communicated with her was maybe I can't recall
1	

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 239 of 386 PageID #: 6722 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 239

1 exactly, but maybe two months ago. 2 Q. BY MR. SAUER: How often do you talk to 3 her? 4 Maybe on a quarterly basis. Α. 5 Have you ever discussed with Mr. Strzok or Q. 6 Ms. Page the prospect of a Russian hack-and-leak 7 operation? 8 The only investigation that I ever Α. No. 9 discussed with either of them was the Yahoo! hack 10 investigation. 11 Do you know anyone else associated with Q. 12 the Crossfire Hurricane investigation? 13 But I do want to add during those Α. No. 14 meetings that I had with Mr. Strzok, Mr. Jim Baker, 15 who was our general counsel at the time, would 16 attend some of those meetings as well. 17 Mr. Baker would attend those meetings that Ο. 18 you had with Mr. Strzok about the Yahoo! hack? 19 Α. Yes. At least some of them. 20 Who else would attend those meetings? Q. 21 Α. Just the three of them. It would be the 2.2 three of them getting a status update from -- they 23 would be getting a case update from me. 24 A case update about the Yahoo! Q. 25 investigation?

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 240 of 386 PageID #: 6723 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	
1	A. Correct.
2	Q. Did you ever discuss any hacking issues
3	with Mr. Baker?
4	A. Not outside the context of the Yahoo!
5	hack.
6	Q. Do you believe that Russian
7	malign-foreign-influence activities affected the
8	outcome of the 2016 presidential election?
9	MR. SUR: Objection; calls for
10	speculation.
11	THE WITNESS: Quite honestly, I don't know
12	if they had an impact.
13	Q. BY MR. SAUER: In your thesis you talk
14	about how I think on multiple occasions you talk
15	about how that election was decided by about 78,000
16	votes in three key swing states; is that right?
17	A. That is correct. So I wanted to highlight
18	that there was the potential, but unfortunately if
19	you read my thesis, I could not conclus I could
20	not conclusively say whether it had an impact, but
21	it potentially could have an impact.
22	Q. So your thesis was the conclusion was
23	that potentially Russian malign-foreign-influence
24	operations may have affected the 2016 presidential
25	election?

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 241 of 386 PageID #: 6724 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 241

1 Α. Yes, but that we would never know conclusively. 2 3 I think you also cited statistics Ο. 4 suggesting that something like 59 percent of all 5 Facebook users had been reached by Russian malign 6 social media content during that election cycle? 7 Yeah, I cited that from one of the Α. 8 reports, that is correct. 9 MR. SAUER: I am going to show you a new 10 exhibit. Just give me a second to email it to your 11 counsel. 12 (Reporter marked Exhibit No. 9 for 13 identification.) 14 BY MR. SAUER: Can you see this exhibit Ο. 15 that I have labeled Exhibit 9 that I posted on the 16 screen share? 17 I see it on there, but I don't see it on Α. 18 counsel's iPad yet. 19 Just as a preliminary matter, you see that Q. 20 it is dated October 28, 2020, and it states, "Tech 21 CEOs Senate Testimony Transcript October 28"? 2.2 Yes, I see that. Α. 23 And you refer in your thesis to the fact Q. 24 that tech CEOs were called in to testify before 25 Congress shortly before the 2020 election, correct?

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 242 of 386 PageID #: 6725 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 242

1	
1	A. Yes, correct.
2	Q. I think you refer to this as one of the
3	instances where pressure was put on them to take
4	more aggressive action to to keep malign foreign
5	influence off their platforms, right?
6	A. Yes, that was my assessment.
7	MR. SAUER: I am going to jump ahead to
8	Page 56 of this document. So Indraneel, I don't
9	know if you're following in the PDF.
10	MR. SUR: It hasn't arrived yet,
11	unfortunately.
12	Q. BY MR. SAUER: If you look here at Page
13	56, there's a question posed to Mark Zuckerberg who
14	is the CEO of Meta or Facebook, correct?
15	A. Can you highlight the question that you
16	want me to look at?
17	Q. I actually probably just look at what
18	he said. I don't think the question is that
19	relevant.
20	MR. SUR: If I may interrupt, the email
21	just arrived, so we'll have it on the screen in a
22	few seconds here.
23	MR. SAUER: Great.
24	Q. Can you see there
25	MR. SUR: May I ask which page?

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 243 of 386 PageID #: 6726 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 243

1	MR. SAUER: Page 56 of the PDF.
2	Q. Do you see there in the middle of the page
3	it indicates that Mark Zuckerberg is the one
4	speaking?
5	A. I see that at 2:34:35.
6	Q. That's the place. Thanks. In that answer
7	he says along the lines of what you mentioned
8	earlier, "one of the threats the FBI has alerted
9	our companies and the public to, was the
10	possibility of a hack and leak operation in the
11	days or weeks leading up to this election,"
12	correct?
13	A. Yeah, I am reading that.
14	Q. Okay. And then Mr. Zuckerberg went on to
15	say, "So you had both the public testimony from the
16	FBI and in private meetings alerts that were given
17	to at least our company, I assume the others as
18	well, that suggested that we be on high alert and
19	sensitivity that if a trove of documents appeared
20	that we should view that with suspicion, that it
21	might be part of a foreign manipulation attempt."
22	Do you see that?
23	A. I do see that.
24	Q. This testimony is occurring two weeks
25	after the Hunter Biden stories in the New York

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 244 of 386 PageID #: 6727 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	Post, correct, October 28, 2020?
2	A. Yes, that's what it was dated.
3	Q. Okay. Let's go through his account there.
4	Mr. Zuckerberg said "one of the threats that the
5	FBI has alerted our companies and the public to,
6	was the possibility of a hack-and-leak operations
7	in the days" and/"or weeks leading up to this
8	election," correct?
9	A. Correct.
10	Q. Yeah, and he says the FBI has alerted the
11	public to that. Do you recall the FBI doing so?
12	A. I believe that we much more frequently
13	than in the 2016 context context, "we," meaning
14	the FBI and CISA, would put out advisories about
15	concerns that we had about the elections. These
16	would be public advisories.
17	Q. Would these include public advisories
18	saying there might be a hack-and-leak operation
19	shortly before the 2020 election?
20	A. I can't recollect. I know there are
21	public advisories, and if you show them to me I
22	would be able to read them and refresh my memory,
23	but I don't recollect at this time.
24	Q. Do you know whether such public advisories
25	were made, as Mr. Zuckerberg says, relating to

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 245 of 386 PageID #: 6728 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	musta the possibility of a back-and-look energian
1 2	quote, the possibility of a hack-and-leak operation
	in the days or weeks leading up to this election?
3	A. I can't remember any specific advisories
4	at this time.
5	Q. Were you involved in preparing public
6	advisories?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Did you ever suggest that the FBI should
9	issue a public advisory about a hack-and-leak
10	operation?
11	A. No, not specifically. What I did tell our
12	cyber intelligence section was that we, the FBI,
13	should try to be as transparent as possible ahead
14	of the 2020 elections.
15	Q. In the next sentence Mr. Zuckerberg says,
16	"So you had both the public testimony from the FBI
17	and in private meetings alerts that were given to
18	at least our company, I assume the others as well,
19	that suggested we be on high alert and
20	sensitivity." Do you see that?
21	A. Yes, I see that statement.
22	Q. So do you know what he's referring to
23	about the public testimony from the FBI?
24	MR. SUR: Objection; calls for
25	speculation.

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 246 of 386 PageID #: 6729 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: I don't know about the</li> <li>public testimony. I could assume it is from</li> <li>direct the director, Christopher Wray, or</li> <li>another senior official, but I am not aware of what</li> <li>testimony he's talking about.</li> <li>Q. BY MR. SAUER: How about "in private</li> <li>meetings alerts that were given to at least our</li> <li>company, I assume others as well," do you know what</li> <li>private meetings alerts he's referring to?</li> <li>MR. SUR: Objection; calls for</li> <li>speculation.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I don't know what private</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>direct the director, Christopher Wray, or</li> <li>another senior official, but I am not aware of what</li> <li>testimony he's talking about.</li> <li>Q. BY MR. SAUER: How about "in private</li> <li>meetings alerts that were given to at least our</li> <li>company, I assume others as well," do you know what</li> <li>private meetings alerts he's referring to?</li> <li>MR. SUR: Objection; calls for</li> <li>speculation.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>another senior official, but I am not aware of what</li> <li>testimony he's talking about.</li> <li>Q. BY MR. SAUER: How about "in private</li> <li>meetings alerts that were given to at least our</li> <li>company, I assume others as well," do you know what</li> <li>private meetings alerts he's referring to?</li> <li>MR. SUR: Objection; calls for</li> <li>speculation.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>5 testimony he's talking about.</li> <li>6 Q. BY MR. SAUER: How about "in private</li> <li>7 meetings alerts that were given to at least our</li> <li>8 company, I assume others as well," do you know what</li> <li>9 private meetings alerts he's referring to?</li> <li>10 MR. SUR: Objection; calls for</li> <li>11 speculation.</li> </ul>
6 Q. BY MR. SAUER: How about "in private 7 meetings alerts that were given to at least our 8 company, I assume others as well," do you know what 9 private meetings alerts he's referring to? 10 MR. SUR: Objection; calls for 11 speculation.
7 meetings alerts that were given to at least our 8 company, I assume others as well," do you know what 9 private meetings alerts he's referring to? 10 MR. SUR: Objection; calls for 11 speculation.
8 company, I assume others as well," do you know what 9 private meetings alerts he's referring to? 10 MR. SUR: Objection; calls for 11 speculation.
9 private meetings alerts he's referring to? 10 MR. SUR: Objection; calls for 11 speculation.
10 MR. SUR: Objection; calls for 11 speculation.
11 speculation.
12 THE WITNESS: I don't know what private
13 meetings he meant, but as I've discussed with you,
14 I've hosted several meetings with Facebook ahead of
15 the 2020 elections. So these may be these private
16 meetings.
17 Q. BY MR. SAUER: You hosted several private
18 meetings with Facebook where the concern about a
19 hack-and-leak operation was raised?
20 A. Yes.
21 Q. Are you aware of any other private
22 meetings between the FBI and Facebook?
A. I am not aware of any besides the ones
24 I've hosted.
25 Q. Is it possible that others occurred that

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 247 of 386 PageID #: 6730 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	didn't involve you?
2	A. That is possible, but I am not aware of
3	them.
4	Q. And he describes those private meetings
5	alerts as "suggested that we be on high alert and
6	sensitivity that if a trove of documents appeared
7	that we should view that with suspicion, that it
8	might be part of a foreign manipulation attempt."
9	Do you see that?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Was that discussed in your alerts, that if
12	a trove of documents appear, that that should be
13	something viewed with suspicion?
14	A. I don't remember that exact framing of our
15	discussions with them.
16	Q. Do you remember saying I know you
17	talked about, "Hey, there could be another
18	hack-and-leak operation." Do you remember saying
19	something like "If you get a whole bunch of
20	documents that suddenly appear, that's something
21	that should be viewed with suspicion"?
22	A. No, I don't remember any of us saying
23	that. I think I don't remember this for a fact,
24	but I think what we would have said is we would
25	have asked "If you receive a whole if you see a

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 248 of 386 PageID #: 6731 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	trove of potentially hacked materials, what are you
2	going to do about it?" Which would be our way of
3	asking them how their terms of service would handle
4	a situation like that.
5	Q. Do you recall asking them how they would
6	handle it if potentially hacked materials appeared,
7	correct?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And what did they say?
10	A. So I believe that they would describe what
11	their policies were for validating the information
12	and handling the information in general. I can't
13	remember what specific company said exactly what,
14	but in general, I remember the social media
15	companies having terms-of-service policies to
16	handle this sort of situation.
17	Q. Which social media companies did you ask
18	that of, Twitter, Facebook and YouTube?
19	A. Yes, I believe we would have asked them
20	that, but I can't recollect when that would have
21	happened, but I believe we would have asked them
22	that at some point.
23	Q. So you would have cautioned them that
24	there might be a hack-and-leak operation and ask
25	them how their terms of service would address it,

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 249 of 386 PageID #: 6732 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	fair to say?
2	A. That is fair to say.
3	Q. Okay. You specifically asked them how
4	their terms of service would address it? You
5	wanted to know whether and to what extent the
6	material would be taken down or blocked if it
7	appeared, correct?
8	A. Yeah, we wanted to know what actions they
9	would take to include the two actions that you
10	described.
11	Q. Why did you want to know that? Why did
12	you want to know whether or not the various social
13	media platforms would take down hacked materials if
14	they appeared?
15	A. So this is just my personal opinion about
16	why we wanted to know was because I think
17	internally we wanted to know what actions that we
18	would need to take, whether we would need to take a
19	legal remedy such as like a seizure warrant or
20	something. I can't ever recollect discussing this
21	because it never came up.
22	In my assessment, why I would be concerned
23	was if there's hacked materials and they stay
24	up, then and if the companies do not believe
25	they violate their terms of service, what actions

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 250 of 386 PageID #: 6733 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	could the FBI take.
2	So I mean, these are all hypotheticals.
3	Q. And the idea would be the FBI could pursue
4	a seizure warrant to have basically take take
5	the materials down through legal process if the
6	social media platforms wouldn't do it themselves?
7	A. So that was one hypothetical solution.
8	Q. And that was one that occurred to you?
9	A. Yeah, that was one that occurred to me. I
10	don't remember discussing it with anyone else.
11	Q. The who who of the FBI asked them,
12	the social media platforms, "How are your hacking
13	materials policies addressing this?"
14	A. I would say we take turns asking. When I
15	say "we," I mean either myself or the members of
16	the Foreign Influence Task Force I already
17	mentioned to you. Wherever it seemed like an
18	organic follow-up question, we would ask "How would
19	your terms of service apply to this situation or
20	that situation?" Just so that we would understand
21	what types of actions that they would take.
22	Q. I take it their answers would inform
23	potential further actions by the FBI, such as
24	potentially pursuing a seizure warrant to remove
25	hacked materials?

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 251 of 386 PageID #: 6734 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

```
1
         Α.
              I think we were -- we were dealing in
 2
     hypotheticals, so there was no concrete plan.
                                                     In
 3
     my mind, that would be one way to take down
 4
     information.
 5
              And the reason I say that is because in a
     different situation where the FBI became aware of
 6
7
     Iranian fake news sites, we did pursue a seizure
8
     warrant and got an IIFA/FARA-based search or
9
     seizure warrant to take down over 70 Iranian fake
10
     news websites.
11
              Did they take down hacked materials?
         Q.
12
              I don't know the specifics. I oversaw the
         Α.
13
     squad that executed the seizure warrant.
14
              Do you recall, did Ms. Dehmlow ever
         Ο.
15
     discuss what actions might be taken if there was a
16
     hack-and-leak operation before the 2020 election to
17
     take down materials?
18
         Α.
              No.
19
              Do you recall discussing that with the
         Q.
20
     FBI -- or anyone at the FBI, that is if we knew
21
     there was a hack-and-leak operation, how do we get
2.2
     the materials down?
23
              MR. SUR: Objection; calls for
24
     deliberative process privilege of internal
     discussions within the FBI.
25
```

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 252 of 386 PageID #: 6735 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	THE WITNESS: Yeah, we did have internal
2	discussions. As I mentioned, the only one of
3	the solutions was potentially to see if we have
4	enough probable cause to execute a seizure warrant.
5	Another solution was to ask the company to
6	consensually take down the information even if it
7	did not violate their terms of service. So those
8	were the two hypothetical solutions that I
9	remember.
10	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Right. That second
11	hypothetical solution about asking them to take it
12	down even if it didn't violate their terms of
13	service, did you pursue that with respect to the
14	Hunter Biden laptop story?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Did you contact any social media platforms
17	and say, "Hey, can you take this stuff down because
18	it looks hacked"?
19	A. No.
20	Q. When the social media platforms answer,
21	did Facebook and Twitter indicate that they would
22	remove hacked materials under their terms of
23	service when you asked them?
24	A. From my recollection, I think both of
25	those companies said that they would remove hacked

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 253 of 386 PageID #: 6736 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	materials if they were able to validate that it was
2	hacked. I don't remember I don't remember the
3	qualifiers that they used to determine whether they
4	were hacked materials or not.
5	Q. When did they tell you that, roughly?
6	A. I can't remember, but it was ahead of the
7	2020 elections.
8	Q. That would have been before that Hunter
9	Biden laptop story broke?
10	A. I don't remember. It would have been
11	it might have happened in October. It could have
12	happened before. I think it may have happened
13	before then, but I can't remember.
14	Q. They made that representation to you, both
15	Twitter and Facebook, in these FITF Facebook
16	preelection meetings sorry. Let me rephrase
17	that.
18	Did they make that representation to you
19	in the FITF-organized meetings with Facebook and
20	then Twitter?
21	A. I can't remember the specifics, but
22	generally speaking, the companies provided us with
23	overview of what their terms of service was and how
24	hacked materials could be categorized within these
25	terms of service and then just the types of actions

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 254 of 386 PageID #: 6737 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	that they would potentially take.
2	Q. I think you said a minute ago that the
3	kinds of actions they would potentially take
4	included taking the materials down?
5	A. Yeah, that was that was a potential
6	action. I think it had to reach a you know, it
7	had they had an internal validation process and
8	it had to clear internal hurdles before they would
9	take that certain type of content down. But I
10	can't remember the specifics of what the internal
11	hurdles were.
12	Q. That information was conveyed to a group
13	of FBI officials that had included you and
14	Ms. Dehmlow as well as Mr. Olson, Mr. Cone,
15	Ms. Chock and Mr. Giannini?
16	A. Yeah, I don't know if all of these people
17	were present during any of those meetings where
18	they were discussed, but in general, I would say
19	that at least some subset of them would have been
20	present.
21	Q. Okay. And then did you guys relay that
22	information to anyone, "Here's what Facebook and
23	Twitter will do if they find and receive hacked
24	materials on their platforms"?
25	A. So I did not relay it to anyone else,

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 255 of 386 PageID #: 6738 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	outside of my internal discussions with them. I do
2	not know if they relayed it to anyone else.
3	Q. Do you know you don't know if it was
4	relayed to anyone else by anyone?
5	A. I do not. I am only privy to what I
6	discussed with them.
7	Q. Do you remember anything else turning
8	back to the screen share, and you see
9	Mr. Zuckerberg's testimony, he talks about the
10	information received "suggested that we be on high
11	alert and sensitivity." Is that a fair
12	characterization of the communications from the FBI
13	to Facebook that you were involved in?
14	A. So I would not have framed it like
15	Mr. Zuckerberg did. As I mentioned, his language
16	seems stronger than how I would have framed it, or
17	how I believe FBI officials would have framed it.
18	We would have said something to the effect
19	of "We are concerned about potential hack-and-leak
20	operations ahead of the 2020 elections and that one
21	of the methods that we would use is to disseminate
22	the hacked materials on the social media
23	platforms."
24	So I don't know if I we would have said
25	that we should view that with suspicion. Instead

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 256 of 386 PageID #: 6739 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	of "foreign manipulation attempt," I would have
2	said "foreign malign influence."
3	Q. Did you, in fact, tell them that if a
4	trove of document appears, it should be viewed with
5	suspicion?
6	A. No. That's what I'm saying, is I don't
7	think we would have said that language.
8	Q. Did you tell him that if a trove of
9	documents appeared, it may be part of a foreign
10	manipulation attempt?
11	A. I would we would not have used that
12	language. As I said before, what we would have
13	told them and what I recollect saying is that we
14	that I was concerned about a potential
15	hack-and-leak operation, especially right before
16	the election, and that one of the channels for
17	disseminating that hacked information would be via
18	the social media platforms.
19	Q. Do you know Timothy Thibault or Thibault,
20	T-h-i-b-a-u-l-t?
21	(Discussion off the record.)
22	THE WITNESS: I am not familiar with that
23	name.
24	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Do you know Brian Auten,
25	A-u-t-e-n?

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 257 of 386 PageID #: 6740 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	A. Yes. I believe he was a supervisory
2	intelligence analyst in the in the special
3	counsel's office. That's how I know him.
4	Q. So he's an FBI official?
5	A. Yeah, he is supervisory intelligence
6	analyst, I believe is his title.
7	Q. What's a supervisory intelligence analyst?
8	A. A frontline supervisor position for
9	analysts within the FBI.
10	Q. Do you know how do you know him?
11	A. I only know him in passing because I
12	have I had engaged with the special counsel's
13	office specifically Robert Mueller's special
14	counsel's office. I know there are multiple
15	special counsel's office, but specifically Robert
16	Mueller's. I believe that he was part of the
17	special counsel's office team.
18	Q. What was your engagement with Robert
19	Mueller's special counsel's office?
20	A. So as I previously mentioned, we my
21	squad ran one of the investigations associated with
22	the hack of the DNC in 2016. The special counsel's
23	office consolidated all investigations that had to
24	do with any Russian interference in the 2016
25	elections. So we, specifically my squad and I, had

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 258 of 386 PageID #: 6741 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

```
1
     to hand off that portion of the investigation to
     the special counsel's office.
 2
 3
         Ο.
              So they took over your investigation of
 4
     the DNC hack?
 5
              Yes, they took over that portion of the
         Α.
6
     investigation.
7
         Q.
              What other portions were there?
8
              The other portion is the continuing active
         Α.
9
     investigation against the individuals for our
     computer intrusions besides the 2016 DNC hack.
10
11
         Q.
              So it involves the same malign actors but
12
     not the same hacking attempt?
13
         Α.
              Correct, yeah, that is a correct
14
     assessment.
15
              MR. SAUER: I am going to email you and
16
     your counsel a set of new exhibits.
17
              Indraneel, can you tell me when you get
18
     these?
19
              MR. SUR: I will.
20
              MR. SAUER: Has that email come yet?
21
              MR. SUR: Still waiting.
2.2
              MR. SAUER: While you're waiting, I am
23
     going to go ahead and share, just to keep things
24
     moving, Exhibit 13 with you.
25
                 (Reporter marked Exhibit No. 13 for
```

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 259 of 386 PageID #: 6742 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	identification.)
2	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Do you see that now, sir,
3	Exhibit 13?
4	A. Yeah, I see the title is "Audio
5	Transcription of Recording in re: State of
6	Missouri, et al., versus Joseph R. Biden, Junior."
7	Q. It goes on "File: FBI on Election-There's
8	Going to be a Lot of Noise," right?
9	MR. SUR: I'm sorry, we still don't have
10	the email. So is this Exhibit 13?
11	MR. SAUER: Yeah. We may have the court
12	reporter make a transcription of his publicly
13	record public interviews, the audio of his
14	public interviews.
15	Q. So Mr Agent Chan, on October 28, 2020,
16	did you give an interview to someone called Tom
17	Field relating to the upcoming election?
18	A. I believe I did. I give a lot of
19	interviews, but yeah, I am looking at the
20	transcript of this one. Tom Field is associated
21	with a media outlet called ISMG.
22	Q. Interestingly the date of this is October
23	28, 2020, the same date as the tech CEOs
24	congressional testimony we just talked about,
25	right?

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 260 of 386 PageID #: 6743 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	A. Okay. Yeah, I didn't remember when the
2	tech CEOs testified, but you have refreshed my
3	memory.
4	Q. This would be less than a week before the
5	October 2020 election, right?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And you told him there's going to be a lot
8	of noise. Do you know what you were talking about
9	there?
10	A. Can you tell me what page you're on?
11	Q. That title "FBI on Election-There's Going
12	to be a Lot of Noise," did you say that to him?
13	A. What line is that? What page?
14	Q. I am highlighting it. It is the all caps
15	title on Lines 10 and 11 on Page 1, "FBI on
16	Election-There's Going to be a Lot of Noise."
17	A. So I don't recall making that title.
18	Q. Do you remember making that statement,
19	that there's going to be a lot of noise?
20	A. Is it in the transcript anywhere?
21	Q. I am just asking do you remember that?
22	A. I don't remember it. That's why I'm
23	asking if it is in the transcript anywhere.
24	Q. Fair enough. If you don't remember, you
25	don't remember.

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 261 of 386 PageID #: 6744 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	A. Yeah.
2	Q. Go down to Page 9 of the transcript,
3	there's a paragraph here starting on Line 3 at Page
4	9 where you say, "So I am going to ask I'm
5	probably going to say the same thing that I said
6	the last time, but if you see something, say
7	something; right? So if you are seeing any types
8	of cyber attacks against your companies, let your
9	<pre>local FBI office know; right?"</pre>
10	A. Yes, I see that.
11	Q. Is that do you recall saying that to
12	Tom Field?
13	A. I don't specifically remember, but it
14	appears to be a transcript of an interview I had
15	with him. That seems like something I would say.
16	Q. And then you go to say, "If you're seeing
17	something related to the election on your social
18	media platform, all of them have portals where you
19	can report that sort of information," correct?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. So there you are encouraging the listeners
22	of the podcast if they see something inaccurate on
23	their social media platform, to go and report it
24	directly to the social media platform, correct?
25	A. That is correct.

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 262 of 386 PageID #: 6745 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	Q. Then you go on to say, "They are being
2	very aggressive in trying to take down any
3	disinformation or misinformation," right?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And I take it the context here you're
6	talking about disinformation and misinformation
7	related to the election, right?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. So you're encouraging the listeners of
10	this podcast to report to social media companies
11	any election-related speech that they see that they
12	think is disinformation or misinformation so that
13	the social media platforms can take it down, right?
14	A. So that the social media platforms can
15	review it and determine if it violates their terms
16	of service.
17	Q. And it was your view at that time that the
18	social media platforms were being, quote, very
19	aggressive in trying to take down any
20	disinformation or misinformation relating to
21	elections, right?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And you go on to say "if they see anything
24	on election day or before election day, you can
25	always report it to FBI.gov or justice.gov, and you

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 263 of 386 PageID #: 6746 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	know, there's a little button you can click to
2	<pre>submit a complaint," correct?</pre>
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. So you're encouraging the listeners to
5	report any disinformation or misinformation to
6	FBI.gov or justice.gov, correct?
7	A. That is correct.
8	Q. FBI.gov is the FBI's website, right?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Justice.gov, is that the Department of
11	Justice's website?
12	A. That is correct.
13	Q. Federal Department of Justice, right?
14	A. Yes, the U.S. Department of Justice.
15	Q. What happens to disinformation complaints
16	that get submitted to FBI.gov relating to election
17	misinformation or disinformation?
18	A. I believe that all these tips are reviewed
19	by an intake analyst, either an FBI employee or an
20	FBI contractor. And then I believe they have
21	certain levels of predication where they would keep
22	sending it higher higher up the chain.
23	Q. What do you mean by certain levels of
24	predication where they would keep sending you
25	higher up the chain?

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 264 of 386 PageID #: 6747 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 264

1 A. So I would say if something is a vague
2 threat about for example, a common type of
3 threat is "I hate Politician X." And then someone
4 sends in a complaint like "I believe this user
5 wants to kill Politician X," but you know, what
6 that person said was a vague statement with no
7 threat in it.
8 So that is a that is like one type of
9 example. So in that situation, that type of
10 complaint would be filed away.
11 In another situation, for example, a
12 threat-to-life situation, "I want to kill
13 Politician X, and I know where he lives."
14 So then that would be they would the
15 analyst would try to figure out what field office
16 does Politician X reside in and then forward that
17 information to the appropriate field office to
18 conduct an initial assessment.
19 So that would be an example of how we
20 would handle two types of complaints.
21 Q. Those are complaints about threats. In
22 this context you're referring to disinformation and
23 misinformation, correct?
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. So if somebody reports not a threat to a

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 265 of 386 PageID #: 6748 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 265

1	public official but a disinformation someone
2	reports disinformation or misinformation on social
3	media to FBI.gov, how would that be processed?
4	A. So that would also be reviewed by the
5	analyst, and then it would be the same situation.
6	So if I can give you a hypothetical
7	example, "Political Party A vote on Tuesday.
8	Political party B vote on Wednesday." So if
9	someone submitted something like that, that would
10	probably be flagged. Like an analyst would say,
11	"Oh, this is involving the time, place or manner of
12	an actual election. I need to send this up to
13	someone who is more authoritative that can review
14	this information."
15	So they may actually kick this out to the
16	field office depending on if they can figure out
17	where the account holder is from.
18	Q. What if okay. So if that sorry, say
19	it again. They send it out to the field office?
20	A. In this hypothetical situation, let's say
21	a social media account user somehow identifies
22	themselves as being from the state of Missouri, you
23	know, like it is a handle. And then they say or
24	they portray themselves as being from St. Louis,
25	Missouri and they say, "If you are affiliated with

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 266 of 386 PageID #: 6749 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	Party A, you vote on Tuesday. If you're affiliated
2	with Party B, you vote on Wednesday."
3	So that information would be reviewed by
4	the analyst that does the intake, and that would
5	likely revolve result in a lead being sent to
6	the St. Louis field office to work with the U.S.
7	Attorney's Office to see if, you know, they should
8	serve a subpoena to get the additional information
9	or if it, you know, if it rises to the level of an
10	election requirement.
11	Q. Does the FBI also report does anyone on
12	that chain in the FBI report it to the social media
13	platform to be assessed under their
14	content-modulation policies?
15	A. So that would only that that type of
16	process that I'm aware of only happened during the
17	election command post that I mentioned to you
18	previously.
19	Q. So if somebody went to FBI.gov and said,
20	"Hey, you know, someone's saying in Missouri
21	Republicans vote on Tuesday, Democrats vote on
22	Wednesday," that would be referred to the Missouri
23	field office for an investigation?
24	A. Yeah, that would be referred to the St.
25	Louis field office for investigation. And then

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 267 of 386 PageID #: 6750 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 267

1	like, the investigators in the St. Louis office
2	along with the U.S. Attorney's Office would
3	determine if that is something that should be sent
4	to FBI headquarters. And then that would be sent
5	to FBI headquarters and there would be an FBI OGC
6	attorney as well as a DOJ public integrity attorney
7	along with, you know, another FBI official. They
8	would all look at it and say, "Yes, we believe that
9	this should be sent to FBI San Francisco."
10	So by the time it's reached us, there's
11	already been what I will characterize as an FBI
12	headquarter stamp of approval.
13	Q. And the FBI San Francisco then would relay
14	those to social media platforms as you've discussed
15	earlier
16	A. Yes.
17	Q in your testimony, correct?
18	A. Yes, correct.
19	Q. And there the idea is that the social
20	media platforms will assess those in connection
21	with their terms of service, assess them for
22	compliance with their terms of service, correct?
23	A. That is correct.
24	Q. What if somebody posts something like
25	"Voting by mail is unreliable, and your ballot

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 268 of 386 PageID #: 6751 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 268

1	probably won't get there so don't vote that way"?
2	MR. SUR: Objection; incomplete
3	hypothetical.
4	Q. BY MR. SAUER: What would happen to a
5	report like that to FBI.gov?
6	A. So I think that would also be reviewed by
7	the attorneys. When I mean "the attorneys," I mean
8	specifically the FBI headquarters attorney as well
9	as a DOJ public integrity attorney, and they will
10	determine whether it will get sent to San Francisco
11	or not.
12	Q. And would something like that, in your
13	experience, get sent to San Francisco?
14	A. In my experience, something vague like
15	that would not get sent to San Francisco.
16	Q. How about something specific like "Voting
17	by mail is rife with fraud and it involves tons of
18	people cheating and therefore, it should be
19	abolished," how about that?
20	MR. SUR: Objection; hypothetical.
21	THE WITNESS: Honestly, I have never saw
22	that type of complaint being submitted to us
23	through the command post. As I mentioned to you
24	previously, it was, from my recollection, time,
25	place or manner disinformation or misinformation.

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 269 of 386 PageID #: 6752 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 269

1	Q. BY MR. SAUER: What do you remember
2	specifically about those being referred to you?
3	What kind of things do you remember specifically
4	A. The example I gave you where if you are
5	registered with Party A, you vote on Tuesday; if
6	you're registered with Party B, you vote on
7	Wednesday. So that was a frequent one.
8	Another one was, depending on what state,
9	some states where it has to arrive at the polling
10	location on election day, whereas other states
11	allow it the ballot the mail-in ballot to be
12	postmarked by election day. So I remember I would
13	look, and I was like, "Oh, like, huh, I don't
14	understand this one." And then maybe I would
15	follow up with the field office and they say,
16	"Elvis, in our state it has to arrive at the
17	polling location by a certain date."
18	So that would be the type of different
19	information that we would provide to the social
20	media companies.
21	Q. And I take it you testified earlier that
22	posting the wrong information about that, the date
23	that your ballot has to arrive, is criminal on
24	in the government's view; is that right?
25	A. It is a potential violation of federal

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 270 of 386 PageID #: 6753 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 270

1 election laws. 2 What federal election statute does it Q. 3 violate? 4 MR. SUR: Objection; calls for legal 5 conclusion. 6 THE WITNESS: I am not an attorney, but 7 you hear me saying the words "time, place or manner 8 disinformation." So just based on my law 9 enforcement training where the attorneys tell me that anything that is related to false information 10 11 about the time, place or manner of an election or 12 about the voting process, that that is a potential 13 election crime. 14 BY MR. SAUER: You refer to the social Ο. 15 media platforms being very aggressive in trying to 16 take down any disinformation or misinformation. 17 What were you talking about? 18 Α. I was saying in contrast to 2016 when they 19 took no actions. 20 What did they do to be very aggressive? Q. 21 Α. So as I mentioned to you previously, they 2.2 developed technologies to be able to detect 23 foreign-malign-influence operations, and they also 24 add just their policies to be able to handle 25 foreign-malign-influence operations.

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 271 of 386 PageID #: 6754 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	MR. SAUER: I am going to show you Exhibit
2	15. Indraneel, I sent you an email.
3	MR. SUR: Okay. 15.
4	(Reporter marked Exhibit No. 15 for
5	identification.)
6	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Agent Chan, can you see
7	this on the screen share?
8	A. Yes, the title "Preparing for Retaliatory
9	Attacks from Russia."
10	Q. On June 29, 2022?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Again, this is a court reporter-created
13	transcript of your podcast or interview with Anna
14	Delaney on that date. Do you see that?
15	A. Yeah, on June 29th, 2022.
16	Q. Who is Anna Delaney?
17	A. She is now the now that I am looking at
18	the transcript, she is a reporter with ISMG.
19	Q. Do you remember giving this interview last
20	June?
21	A. I believe this was in the context of the
22	RSA conference, but I can't remember specifically.
23	As I mentioned, I did a lot of public
24	speaking, and I get interviewed frequently by media
25	
20	outlets.

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 272 of 386 PageID #: 6755 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page	272
i ugu	<b>_</b>   <b>_</b>

1	Q. Going down to Page 8 of this transcript,
2	starting on Page 7 you see that Ms. Delaney asks
3	about the midterm elections 2022 and she asked you
4	what the FBI is doing to prepare, right? "What's
5	the FBI doing to prepare," you see that at the
6	bottom of the page?
7	A. Okay. I see that question.
8	Q. And you respond to that, "The good news
9	is, post 2020, we've never stopped," right?
10	A. Yes, I said that.
11	Q. You say that you were "As soon as
12	November 3rd happened in 2020, we just pretty much
13	rolled into preparing for 2022," correct?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And you say a little lower down you
16	say, "From FBI San Francisco's standpoint, we are
17	also really engaged with the technology companies
18	out here and represented here at the RSA
19	conference." There you go.
20	A. Yeah, so yeah, it was at the RSA
21	conference.
22	Q. "So making sure that, you know, any
23	vulnerabilities we think advanced persistent
24	threats would be using" and so forth, right?
25	A. Yes.

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 273 of 386 PageID #: 6756 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	Q. I think what you're talking about there is
2	potential hacking attempts and working with the
3	social media companies to defend against hacking
4	attempts; is that right?
5	A. I wouldn't say because I mention
6	technology companies, against all types of
7	companies, even non-social media companies.
8	Q. Got you. You say, "We're also working
9	with the social media companies to make sure that
10	any foreign disinformation that's coming out that,
11	you know, like, if we can identify them, we can
12	share that information with them so they can knock
13	down accounts, knock down disinformation content,"
14	correct?
15	A. Yes, I said that.
16	Q. And do you agree with that statement, that
17	you were working with social media companies in the
18	2022 election cycle to make sure that foreign
19	disinformation would be identified and you could
20	share that information with them so they can knock
21	down accounts and knock down disinformation
22	content?
23	A. Yeah, so looking at that sentence and from
24	my recollection, the FBI part of it is the
25	information sharing portion, and then the social

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 274 of 386 PageID #: 6757 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 274

1	media company portion is to decide if it violates
2	their terms of service. And if it does violate
3	their terms of service, one of the actions they
4	could take is to knock down accounts or to knock
5	down content.
6	Q. And the purpose of the information sharing
7	is the get them to assess it; and potentially if it
8	violates the terms of service, to knock down
9	content, correct, right?
10	MR. SUR: Objection; mischaracterizes the
11	document, calls for speculation.
12	THE WITNESS: Our my purpose was to
13	share information with them so that they could
14	protect their platforms as they deemed appropriate.
15	I did highlight two of the actions that they could
16	potentially take, which include knocking down
17	accounts or knocking down misinformation content.
18	Q. BY MR. SAUER: And you're aware that they
19	do both of those things in response to your reports
20	of disinformation on their platforms?
21	A. I am aware of that because of the feedback
22	they have provided me on several occasions.
23	Q. Do you know Jen Easterly?
24	A. I do know Jen Easterly.
25	Q. How do you know Jen Easterly?

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 275 of 386 PageID #: 6758 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 275

1	A. I actually met her at this conference for
2	the first time.
3	
4	Q. Had you been aware of her before that?
	A. I was aware when she was nominated and
5	confirmed for her role as the director of CISA.
6	Q. Were you aware that she's a Star Trek fan?
7	A. I am aware that she's a Star Trek fan.
8	Q. How do you know that?
9	A. From reading news articles about her,
10	specifically at the Black Hat and DEF CON
11	conference which had happened previously.
12	Q. What is the Black Hat and DEF CON
13	conference?
14	A. That those are two conferences which
15	are back to back in Las Vegas sometime in the
16	summer, and they are next to the RSA
17	conference the leading cybersecurity conferences
18	in the American industry.
19	Q. Did you have any have you had any
20	communications with Jen Easterly about
21	disinformation on social media?
22	A. No, I have not had the one time I met
23	her in person was at the RSA conference. And
24	primarily what I recollect us talking about was
25	that I wanted her to have additional CISA

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 276 of 386 PageID #: 6759 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	personnel, specifically to embed with FBI San
2	Francisco cyber task force.
3	Q. Did she agree to that?
4	A. She said that she would work on it.
5	Q. Did it happen?
6	A. I was told by the CISA official, the
7	regional CISA officials that I work with that there
8	are funded bullets to hire people, but they are
9	I believe they are still going through the hiring
10	process.
11	Q. Who are the regional CISA officials that
12	you work with?
13	A. There are two regional CISA officials that
14	I work with. One individual is based in
15	Sacramento. His name is Mario Garcia. And then
16	the second individual is based in the Los Angeles
17	area. His name is Joseph Oregon.
18	Q. How do you what do you do to work with
19	them?
20	A. So primarily when I work with them, it is
21	on cybersecurity matters. So we coordinate
22	regularly with CISA on any cyber attacks against
23	critical infrastructure companies.
24	For example, a ransomware attack or a data
25	breach against an energy company or yeah,

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 277 of 386 PageID #: 6760 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 277

	r age 277
1	specifically an example I can give you is the
2	ransomware attack against the Colonial Pipeline
3	Company.
4	Q. Do you work with CISA on any
5	disinformation issues?
6	A. I do not work with those two individuals
7	on disinformation issues.
8	Q. Do you work with anyone else at CISA on
9	any disinformation issues?
10	A. Only as we have discussed in the CISA-led
11	USG-industry working group meetings.
12	
13	Q. I am going to open up Exhibit 6 again and
	share it with you. These are the amended
14 15	interrogatory responses. Can you see them on the
16	screen share now?
-	A. Which exhibit is that?
17	MR. SUR: This is 6. This is on the first
18	page.
19	THE WITNESS: Okay.
20	Q. BY MR. SAUER: I am going to scroll back
21	down to Page 38.
22	A. Okay.
23	Q. We talked a bit about this USG-industry
24	meeting that's identified here, correct?
25	A. You're on the first bullet on that page,

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 278 of 386 PageID #: 6761 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 278

1	is that what you're referring to?
2	Q. The first bullet on Page 37.
3	A. Okay. "A recurring meeting usually
4	entitled USG-industry meeting," yes.
5	Q. Okay. We talked about I think we
6	talked about the participants in this meeting in
7	detail, and the CISA participants you mentioned are
8	Matt Masterson and Brian Scully?
9	A. That's correct.
10	Q. How about DHS's Office of Intelligence and
11	Analysis, who participated from there?
12	A. I can't remember. I believe whoever was
13	the head of that organization someone from I&A
14	showed up, but I don't remember the names.
15	Q. What does I&A do? What is it?
16	A. So from my knowledge of I&A, they are the
17	intelligence community agency, or at least one of
18	them, within the Department of Homeland Security.
19	What I the products that I have seen from them
20	are all-source products, which means I have seen
21	them take, you know, intelligence reports from
22	different government agencies and provide more
23	strategic overview types of products. That is what
24	I have personally seen from I&A.
25	Q. Let's scroll down to this next bullet

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 279 of 386 PageID #: 6762 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 279

1	point where it talks about a CISA cybersecurity
2	advisory meeting. Do you know anything about those
3	meetings between CISA and social media platforms?
4	A. I have never heard of the CISA
5	cybersecurity advisory committee meetings. I have
6	never heard of that organization within CISA.
7	Q. How about "ASD-HKS Tech Policy Paper
8	Series," do you know anything about those meetings?
9	A. No, I don't even know what the acronym
10	stands for.
11	Q. How about "DHS/Microsoft Disinformation
12	Follow Up"?
13	A. I have no idea what that is in reference
14	to.
15	Q. Let's scroll down to the CISA response.
16	See there's an additional response under the
17	heading here "CISA"; do you see that?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And that's CISA, C-I-S-A, in all caps?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. It looks like it is identical to the
22	bullet point above that we discussed.
23	There's a reference here in the second
24	bullet point to "A recurring meeting to prepare for
25	and set the agenda for the USG-industry meeting,

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 280 of 386 PageID #: 6763 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 280

1	and participants have generally included CISA and
2	Facebook." Do you see that?
3	A. I see that.
4	Q. That is a meeting to prepare for the
5	meeting that we talked about in detail; is that
6	right?
7	A. I see that.
8	Q. Were you aware that there were such
9	meetings?
10	A. I was not aware that there was a separate
11	preparatory meeting between CISA and Facebook ahead
12	of the broader USG-industry meeting. I was only
13	aware of the USG side, you know, where they would
14	convene us to ask us, specifically the FBI and the
15	other federal components, what agenda topics we
16	wanted to talk about.
17	Q. So you participated in your own
18	preparatory meeting with CISA that did not involve
19	social media platforms, correct?
20	A. That is correct.
21	Q. During the course of those preparatory
22	meetings, CISA would ask the FBI, you, Elvis Chan,
23	what topics it might be useful to discuss at the
24	USG-industry meeting?
25	A. Yes. They would ask the FBI, and I was a

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 281 of 386 PageID #: 6764 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 281

1 part of the contingent. 2 Who was on that contingent? Q. 3 It would typically be Laura -- the Α. 4 individuals that I mentioned from FITF, Laura 5 Dehmlow, Luke Giannini, Bill Cone, Brady Olson, 6 Judy Chock. It would not necessarily be all of 7 them, but it would be a subset of them or at least 8 one of them. Sometimes the FBI would not have any 9 agenda items for the CISA-hosted meetings. 10 Q. Anyone else from FBI participate in those 11 meetings? 12 I can't recollect anyone else. Α. 13 Q. How about who from CISA would participate 14 in those meetings? 15 As I mentioned to you, the two people I Α. 16 remember are Mr. Masterson and Mr. Scully. 17 Ο. The same two who had run the USG-industry 18 meeting, the big meeting, right? 19 They were the two ranking officials that I Α. was aware of from CISA. 20 21 Would those preparatory meetings be just Q. 2.2 Mr. Scully and Mr. Masterson and FBI, or would 23 other agencies be involved with them? 24 From my recollection, the ODNI, I&A, they Α. 25 would be involved in them as well. So we may have

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 282 of 386 PageID #: 6765 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 282

1	the preparatory call or we may just have a
2	coordination email. I remember both of those
3	methods happening.
4	Q. In either the email or the preparatory
5	call, did anyone ever discuss raising hack-and-leak
6	operations or the risk of hack-and-leak operations
7	in a USG-industry meeting?
8	MR. SUR: Objection; falls within the
9	deliberative process privilege for interagency
10	discussions and recommendations.
11	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't recollect that
12	being a specific topic of discussion.
13	In my recollection, or in my opinion, this
14	would be just a general topic of continued
15	interest.
10	
16	Q. BY MR. SAUER: What else was discussed in
16 17	Q. BY MR. SAUER: What else was discussed in those preparatory meetings, to your recollection?
	-
17	those preparatory meetings, to your recollection?
17 18	those preparatory meetings, to your recollection? MR. SUR: Objection; deliberative process
17 18 19	those preparatory meetings, to your recollection? MR. SUR: Objection; deliberative process privilege covers interagency advice and
17 18 19 20	those preparatory meetings, to your recollection? MR. SUR: Objection; deliberative process privilege covers interagency advice and recommendations before a decision is made. So I am
17 18 19 20 21	those preparatory meetings, to your recollection? MR. SUR: Objection; deliberative process privilege covers interagency advice and recommendations before a decision is made. So I am going to you can respond if you can without
17 18 19 20 21 22	those preparatory meetings, to your recollection? MR. SUR: Objection; deliberative process privilege covers interagency advice and recommendations before a decision is made. So I am going to you can respond if you can without describing the specifics of any proposals or
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	those preparatory meetings, to your recollection? MR. SUR: Objection; deliberative process privilege covers interagency advice and recommendations before a decision is made. So I am going to you can respond if you can without describing the specifics of any proposals or recommendations.

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 283 of 386 PageID #: 6766 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	previously, CISA would talk about state, county,
2	local election processes and infrastructure and
3	cybersecurity.
4	ODNI, when they spoke, substantively would
5	discuss an unclassified description or overview of
6	potential nation-state threats.
7	And then the FBI would discuss any
8	unclassified information or concerns that we had
9	related to malign-foreign-influence disinformation
10	campaigns.
11	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Would that include the kind
12	of strategic and tactical information you testified
13	about earlier?
14	A. No. It would be a very condensed or, I'm
15	sorry, more general version of strategic
16	information that we would share with the specific
17	companies, and we would never share tactical
18	information at the CISA-hosted meetings.
19	Q. That would only be on the kind of one
20	or sort of one-on-one meeting, not really
21	one-on-one, but FBI meeting with a specific social
22	media platform, correct?
23	A. Yes, that is that is correct. And the
24	reason for that is because we would be providing
25	law enforcement sensitive information in some

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 284 of 386 PageID #: 6767 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	instances to the companies.
2	Q. Next bullet point refers to a CISA
3	Cybersecurity Advisory Committee meetings on
4	certain dates in 2021 and 2022. Do you know what
5	those meetings are about?
6	A. I do not.
7	Q. Below that, "CISA CSAC, protecting
8	critical infrastructure from misinformation and
9	disinformation subcommittee meetings"?
10	A. I have no idea what those are.
11	Q. How about this next bullet point,
12	"Meetings convened by the Election Infrastructure
13	Subsector Government Coordinating Council
14	(EIS-GCC)," do you know what the EIS-GCC is?
15	A. I do not know what that organization is.
16	Q. How about the Election Infrastructure
17	Subsector Government Coordinating Council Joint MDM
18	Working Group?
19	A. I do not know what that is.
20	Q. Do you know what the Joint MDM Working
21	Group is?
22	A. I do not know what that is.
23	Q. Slipping back, it had to be recurring
24	USG-industry meetings, I can't remember if you said
25	this earlier, these continued throughout 2022,

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 285 of 386 PageID #: 6768 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	correct?
2	A. Yeah, they are continuing.
3	Q. And they are planned to continue through
4	2024, right?
5	A. I don't know that for a fact, but I would
6	assume so.
7	Q. And disinformation concerns are discussed
8	at these meetings on a regular basis?
9	A. I would say from the companies so as I
10	mentioned to you previously, the federal agencies
11	discuss the topics that they discuss, and then the
12	social media companies, they they provide an
13	overview of the content, which is what I would
14	categorize the disinformation as.
15	For example, CISA would talk about the
16	state election process and different states have
17	different dates for primaries, and, you know, the
18	ODNI would provide threat actor nation-state
19	threat actor updates, and then the FBI would
20	provide a broad overview of what we were seeing
21	from, like, Russian and state-sponsored actors.
22	Q. And then the social media platforms, would
23	they report on what sorts of disinformation they
24	were seeing on posts on their platforms?
25	A. Yes, that is correct. And from my

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 286 of 386 PageID #: 6769 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 286

recollection, this primarily came from the three
 social media companies, Google, Facebook and
 Twitter.

Q. So in these USG-industry meetings, Google,
Facebook and Twitter would report on what sorts of
election-related disinformation they are seeing on
their platforms?

8 I would say they would discuss what broad Α. 9 types of disinformation they received, whether it was election related or not. And the example I 10 11 want to flag for you is from my thesis where, for 12 example, you saw like the postings about like Black 13 Lives Matters or about 2nd Amendment rights, they 14 would -- they would highlight the current topics 15 that they saw the Russians were either amplifying 16 information about or sowing disinformation about.

17 And would you take anything -- let me ask Ο. 18 you this: If they provided that information, would 19 there be a back-and-forth with the FBI or another 20 federal agency about how that jibes with the 21 information the FBI was seeing in its 22 investigations? 23 We would not discuss that during the Α. 24 CISA-hosted USG-industry meetings. 25 Would you take what the social media Ο.

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 287 of 386 PageID #: 6770 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	platforms had reported, that information, and take
2	further action based on that generally?
3	A. In general in general what the social
4	media companies provided at the CISA-industry USG
5	working group meetings was just broad, strategic
6	trends that they were seeing. So there was no
7	actionable information from there.
8	The actionable information shared with the
9	FBI was one-on-one from the companies with FBI San
10	Francisco either during the FITF meetings or
11	outside of the FITF meetings just during the
12	regular course of work.
13	MR. SAUER: Why don't we go off the
14	record.
15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 5:04
16	p.m.
17	(Whereupon a recess was taken.)
18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
19	record at 5:15 p.m.
20	(Reporter marked Exhibit No. 2 for
21	identification.)
22	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Mr. Chan, I am going to
23	show you Exhibit 2, which I emailed to your counsel
24	earlier today. This is the read-through version on
25	the screen of the LinkedIn emails.

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 288 of 386 PageID #: 6771 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	Mr. Chan, have you actually reviewed this
2	collection of emails?
3	A. I have not.
4	Q. Okay. Are you aware that you're on 121
5	pages of emails with the social media platform
6	LinkedIn setting up meetings in 2020 and 2022, as
7	you talked about today?
8	A. So I don't know the exact number, but I
9	believe that sounds about right.
10	Q. And that would be what you did with
11	LinkedIn, you also would have done with about six
12	or seven other social media platforms as well,
13	scheduling monthly sorry, quarterly then monthly
14	then weekly meetings that led up to the elections,
15	right?
16	A. That's correct, they would be similar
17	types of correspondence.
18	Q. On the first page here you talk about
19	"Increased cadence touch point before election"
20	when you're sending an invite to them. Why did you
21	increase cadence before the election?
22	A. This was actually at the company's
23	request. We said, "Do you think a quarterly
24	cadence is enough or should we go to a more
25	frequent cadence?" I would say I can't remember

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 289 of 386 PageID #: 6772 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	which companies, but I would characterize most of
2	the companies as wanting at least a weekly cadence
3	just to have a touch point on the calendar in case
4	they or we wanted to talk about something.
5	Q. Typically did you actually meet with them
6	on a weekly basis in the two months or so before
7	the election?
8	A. I think generally we had a meeting, but
9	like I don't remember, like, the substance. You
10	know, if during this message thread I may have, you
11	know, put an agenda item or two, then we would have
12	had substance. But I can't remember just from
13	looking at these emails. I would have documented
14	any substantive meetings that we had with LinkedIn
15	or any of the other companies.
16	Q. When you say "documented," did you write
17	up a report of it?
18	A. Yes. So the system of record for the FBI
19	is called Sentinel. It is a file management
20	system, and I would have and I did document every
21	substantive interaction that I had with social
22	media companies, or actually any organization, for
23	that matter.
24	Q. Would you have written Sentinel reports
25	for all of the FITF social media platform meetings?

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 290 of 386 PageID #: 6773 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	A. Based on my recollection, I wrote almost
2	all of them, but maybe not all of them. And the
3	reason I say that is malign foreign influence is
4	just one of the issues that I have to deal with in
5	my role. The majority of my role is dealing with
6	cyber investigations. So maybe on a couple of
7	occasions I may have asked someone else to write
8	the meeting summary on my behalf.
9	Q. But there would be a Sentinel report for
10	every meeting that you had with a social media
11	platform that discussed this information and/or
12	malign foreign influence?
13	A. Yes. There would be in FBI parlance,
14	they are called electronic communications, ECs.
15	Q. So ECs exist that record your
16	contemporaneous recollection of all of these
17	meetings we have been talking about?
18	A. That is correct.
19	Q. And do those ECs exist with respect to the
20	USG-industry meetings?
21	A. I would say that I documented all the
22	meetings that I attended at those CISA USG-industry
23	meetings.
24	Q. So you would you have documented what
25	discussions that were related to foreign hacking

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 291 of 386 PageID #: 6774 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 291

1	attempts?
2	A. I think in general, my practice for that
3	was I would take the agenda from the email provided
4	by CISA, and then I would cut and paste that or I
5	would just retype that into my meeting summary.
6	Q. So your meeting summary would just be the
7	agenda that you were given?
8	A. Yes. I would say in the vast majority, if
9	not all, of the communications. And the reason for
10	that is because they were just very broad overviews
11	or, you know, trends that were being discussed.
12	Q. Whereas you'd have more specific detail in
13	your ECs with respect to the FITF social media
14	platform bilateral sync meetings?
15	A. That is correct. I believe the reason for
16	that is because the companies felt more comfortable
17	or more forthcoming in a bilateral setting as
18	opposed to in a group setting because these
19	companies are all competitors at the end of the
20	day. That is just my personal opinion.
21	Q. On the third page of this document, where
22	are those by the way, where are those ECs
23	stored, or they are all housed by the FBI?
24	A. Yeah. As I mentioned, they are in an
25	application called Sentinel. They would be

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 292 of 386 PageID #: 6775 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 293 of 386 PageID #: 6776 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 293

1	with what you testified earlier, that in these
2	bilateral meetings between FITF and the social
3	media platforms, the people that you would be
4	meeting with would be trust and safety and site
5	integrity people, correct?
6	A. Yes. Yeah, it would be typically director
7	level and then their direct reports and, you know,
8	people from the organizations.
9	Q. I am going to jump ahead to this page
10	which is labeled LinkedIn 86. It is the seventh
11	page of the PDF. Here in your email you see dated
12	Tuesday, November 17, 2020, which is right after
13	the 2020 election, you tell LinkedIn folks that you
14	want to have a, quote, Post Election Hot Wash.
15	What on earth does that mean, "hot wash"?
16	MR. SUR: I am sorry to interrupt. Can I
17	ask for you to repeat what page you are on? This
18	is a large document.
19	MR. SAUER: Seventh page of the PDF.
20	MR. SUR: Seventh page of the PDF.
21	MR. SAUER: It's got Bates No. 86 at the
22	bottom.
23	MR. SUR: Okay. Okay. Mr. Chan, if you
24	want to read the document.
25	THE WITNESS: Is this a document dated

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 294 of 386 PageID #: 6777 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

	r aye 234
1	Tuesday, November 17th, 2020, 12:16:09 p.m.?
2	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Yeah. And you say
3	A. If you could bear with me while I read my
4	email.
5	Q. Sure.
6	A. Oh, yeah, so you said the second agenda
7	item was "Post Election Hot Wash"?
8	Q. Yeah. What does that mean?
9	A. So sorry. That is a law enforcement term.
10	A hot wash so after the FBI when we conduct a
11	search operation or execute an arrest operation,
12	after we're done with the operation, then we have a
13	meeting as closely thereafter the operation as we
14	can to discuss what we thought went well, what we
15	thought needs improvement, what we think needs to
16	change for next time.
17	So a hot wash is similar to an
18	after-action meeting.
19	Q. Did you discuss with all the social media
20	platforms what went well, what didn't go well and
21	what we need to do differently next time?
22	A. Yes. From my recollection, we had hot
23	wash meetings with all of the social media
24	companies that I previously listed.
25	Q. And what did what did you all think

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 295 of 386 PageID #: 6778 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 295

1	went well and think didn't go well?
2	A. So based on my recollection, this is
3	specifically during the election command post that
4	this hot wash occurred. So after the election
5	command post. So my recollection was the hot wash
6	was specifically about the election command post.
7	And for that context, what the companies
8	said worked well was that I don't know if I
9	mentioned this yet, but we used two separate
10	communication channels to communicate with the
11	social media companies at the election command
12	post. One was called Signal I don't know if
13	you're familiar with that communication
14	application. It's an encrypted chat app.
15	And then the second method is via the
16	Teleporter application, which I referenced before
17	is the FBI's secure file transfer application.
18	So as I mentioned before, the companies
19	liked knowing that they were getting information in
20	realtime from the FBI and that they were getting
21	the same information as all of the other companies
22	on the Signal chat app. That was one of the things
23	I was highlighting as good.
24	Another thing that was highlighted as good
25	by the companies who received information from us

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 296 of 386 PageID #: 6779 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	is we would send information about malign foreign
2	influence to specific companies as we became aware
3	of it, and then they would review it and determine
4	if they needed to take action.
5	So the companies who did receive
6	one-to-one Teleporter information from us also
7	liked that.
8	So those were the two things that I
9	remember that people liked in general.
10	Q. What did they not like?
11	A. So I think that so I don't remember the
12	social media companies telling us there wasn't
13	anything that they did not like. What I do
14	remember was I can't remember who, perhaps it was
15	me, just so you're aware, for the Signal channel,
16	it was one-way communication. It was the FBI,
17	specifically the FBI San Francisco command post,
18	disseminating information to the companies.
19	If the companies needed to relay
20	information back to the FBI, they would contact the
21	FBI San Francisco election command post either by
22	telephone or by email and request a Teleporter
23	link, and then they would share information back to
24	us in that fashion.
25	Q. You think that was a cumbersome process?

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 297 of 386 PageID #: 6780 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	A. It was not realtime. I mean, at the end
2	of the day, I don't remember any specific instance
3	where the delay caused any harm to anything, but I
4	think what my preference would have been was for
5	if they needed to, to set up direct Signal channels
6	only with the FBI so that they could get realtime
7	information as well.
8	But the social media companies or
9	actually all of the companies who were on the
10	receiving end, they I was told that they all had
11	an internal discussion and their preference was to
12	only use Signal for FBI broadcasts.
13	Q. You mentioned Signal and Teleporter as two
14	channels of communication between the FBI and the
15	social media companies. Are there any others?
16	A. No. So, I mean let me rephrase that.
17	The only other commun the only other situations
1.0	
18	where we relayed information to the companies was
18 19	where we relayed information to the companies was during those quarterly or monthly or weekly FITF
19	during those quarterly or monthly or weekly FITF
19 20	during those quarterly or monthly or weekly FITF meetings with the social media companies. So that,
19 20 21	during those quarterly or monthly or weekly FITF meetings with the social media companies. So that, I guess, would be a third method of relaying
19 20 21 22	during those quarterly or monthly or weekly FITF meetings with the social media companies. So that, I guess, would be a third method of relaying information.
19 20 21 22 23	<pre>during those quarterly or monthly or weekly FITF meetings with the social media companies. So that, I guess, would be a third method of relaying information. Q. Is Signal a self-deleting app? Is it an</pre>

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 298 of 386 PageID #: 6781 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	A. So yes, in general, Signal is a
2	self-deleting app. But based on guidance from FBI
3	headquarters, we had to turn that functionality off
4	because we needed to save all of that information.
5	So we did. We took screen shots of all of the
6	information on the Signal channel. We burned it to
7	DVDs, and then we have saved it as evidence.
8	Q. So all the all the communications on
9	Signal between FBI and social media platforms from
10	2022 and 2020 are saved on on DVDs or CDs?
11	A. No. Only the information only the
12	Signal information from the 2020 FBI San Francisco
13	command post was saved. And the reason for that
14	was both the social media companies as well as the
15	FBI assessed that there would not require the need
16	for the Signal channel because we did not
17	anticipate a large amount of information being
18	disseminated from the FBI for the 2022 midterm
19	elections.
20	Q. Did you not use Signal at all in 2022?
21	A. No. It was primarily through Teleporter.
22	Q. You did use Teleporter for the 2022
23	elections to report misinformation concerns to
24	social media platforms?
25	A. That is correct.

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 299 of 386 PageID #: 6782 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	Q. And then you used both version or both
2	channels in 2020?
3	A. That's correct.
4	Q. And the Teleporter communication still
5	exists as well; is that right?
6	A. Yes, they currently exist.
7	Q. On this same page you talk about "what we
8	need to do differently for next time." What did
9	you what did you all decide you need to do
10	differently next time?
11	MR. SUR: Objection; calls for
12	speculation.
13	THE WITNESS: Honestly, I wrote that down,
14	but I don't remember any things that the companies
15	wanted to do differently.
16	Q. BY MR. SAUER: I take it one thing you did
17	do differently was you stopped using Signal, right?
18	A. Well, that was for the 2022 elections only
19	because both the companies and the FBI assessed
20	that there would not be the same volume of
21	information that happened in the 2020 cycle. I
22	believe that differentiation is, you know, between
23	a general elections versus a midterm election.
24	MR. SAUER: I am going to jump ahead to
25	the page marked LinkedIn 146. And Indraneel, it is

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 300 of 386 PageID #: 6783 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 300

1 the tenth page of the PDF. 2 MR. SUR: Tenth page of the PDF. 3 BY MR. SAUER: If you go up there on the Ο. 4 ninth page, Agent Chan, you see that Tuesday, July 5 14th, 2020, 11:02 a.m. there's an email from you to 6 the LinkedIn team? 7 MR. SUR: I apologize. I am not seeing 8 the date. Is the date at the bottom of the 9 previous page? 10 MR. SAUER: The date's at the bottom of 11 Page 9 if you look at the file share. 12 THE WITNESS: Did you say July 14, 2020, 13 11:02 a.m.? 14 BY MR. SAUER: I said that. Ο. 15 Α. Okay. 16 If you scroll down, you are talking about Q. 17 having the next round of FITF bilateral meetings 18 with LinkedIn scheduled. Do you see that? 19 A. Correct. 20 And then at the bottom you say, "Planning Q. 21 for U.S. elections: FBI posture, your posture, and 22 the information sharing" channel and "channels and 23 methods," correct? 24 Α. Yes. 25 What did you mean by "FBI posture and your Ο.

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 301 of 386 PageID #: 6784 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 301

1	posture"?
2	A. The FBI posture was what the FBI San
3	Francisco command post would look like, when it
4	would be active, who it would be staffed by. And
5	then the FITF personnel would describe what the FBI
6	headquarters command post would look like. That's
7	what was meant by "FBI posture."
8	Q. This is planning
9	A. And then your
10	Q. Go ahead.
11	A. And then "Your posture," that's when we
12	asked the companies how they expected to have
13	personnel.
14	Just so you're aware, I think in general,
15	like FBI so FBI headquarters, they just ran 24
16	hours a day for their command post, I believe from
17	Friday to Tuesday. FBI San Francisco ran from, I
18	believe, 8:00 o'clock in the morning to perhaps
19	10:00 o'clock at night every day except the
20	election, when we ran until midnight.
21	So asking about your posture would be
22	asking the companies when they intended to have
23	personnel on what days monitoring their platform
24	for any threats that they saw.
25	Q. And what did you say about information

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 302 of 386 PageID #: 6785 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 302

1 <b>sha</b>	ring channels and methods?
2	A. So I think that is when we wanted to
3 con	firm if Signal and Teleporter would be the
4 acc	cepted communication channels.
5	Q. Anything else?
6	A. Not that I'm aware of.
7	Q. Were the tech companies okay with using
8 Sig	nal and Teleporter?
9	A. So the tech companies were the ones who
10 sug	gested Signal as the real the, quote/unquote,
11 rea	ltime communication platform.
12	Q. And the FBI continued to use Teleporter as
13 <b>wel</b>	.1?
14	A. Yes. Because that is our secure file
15 tra	insfer system, and I believe that the companies
16 wer	e comfortable using that system.
17	Q. Jump ahead to LinkedIn 163.
18	(Discussion off the record.)
19	MR. SUR: May I ask what the SES heading
20 is?	
21	MR. SAUER: This is the twenty-first page
22 of	the PDF.
23	Q. Agent Chan, you see there on the file
24 <b>sha</b>	are this is an email from you to the LinkedIn
25 <b>tea</b>	um dated October 19th, 2020?

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 303 of 386 PageID #: 6786 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 303

1	MR. SUR: Yes, let's just give him a
2	moment to see it on the screen.
3	THE WITNESS: Yes, I see that email.
4	Q. BY MR. SAUER: In this email you say
5	"LinkedIn folks," says, "Subject: Additional
6	information," correct?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. There's a gentleman here who you've copied
9	from right there with the initials AF from the
10	FBI. Do you see that?
11	A. Yes, I do.
12	Q. Who is he?
13	A. He is a member of the Foreign Influence
14	Task Force, specifically the global unit.
15	Q. What does "CID" stand for next to his
16	name?
17	A. Criminal Investigative Division. That's a
18	headquarters component.
19	Q. You refer to someone by a nickname here
20	and in the body of the email. Is that the same
21	individual with the initials AF?
22	A. That is correct.
23	Q. You say, "LinkedIn folks, please see
24	additional information from FITF-Global Unit. I
25	have cc'd" that guy "in case you have any

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 304 of 386 PageID #: 6787 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	questions. Thanks."
2	Do you have any idea what the initial
3	information was you are sending here?
4	A. I have I have no idea. I can't
5	remember. I sent so much you know, it happens
6	so regularly, I couldn't even speculate.
7	Q. It says it looks like you cut and
8	pasted some texts saying, "Named U.S. political
9	party: Republicans. Named U.S. presidential
10	candidate: Biden. The U.S. President: Trump."
11	Do you have any idea what that's referring to?
12	A. I don't. I can provide you with my
13	speculation.
14	Q. Go ahead.
15	A. I believe that link I believe that we
16	may not have named with specificity the U.S.
17	political party, the U.S. presidential candidate or
18	the U.S. president, and that LinkedIn may have
19	asked for clarifying information.
20	Q. About what, clarifying
21	A. About the information that was shared.
22	Q. Here there's a reference here to
23	"Information Sharing 5," right?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. What's that mean?

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 305 of 386 PageID #: 6788 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	A. So to me that would mean I had probably
2	shared I don't know this for a fact, but from my
3	own speculation, I would believe that at some point
4	in October I shared five separate documents with
5	at least five separate documents with LinkedIn, and
6	that one of the documents was named "Information
7	Sharing 5," and that within that document we have
8	information about a U.S. political party, a U.S.
9	presidential candidate and a U.S. president.
10	Q. What kind of information about the U.S.
11	political party, presidential candidate, president
12	would you have shared with LinkedIn?
13	A. I have I have no idea. I can't
14	recollect, unfortunately, without looking at the
15	actual document.
16	Q. Would it be disinformation related?
17	A. My guess would be it would be
18	disinformation related. And because it's coming
19	from the global unit, it would be disinformation
20	information not related to Russia or China.
21	Because global unit handles Iran and other
22	countries.
23	Q. This would be a potentially
24	malign-foreign-influence-type activity from
25	countries other than Russia or China?

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 306 of 386 PageID #: 6789 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	A. Without seeing the actual document or
2	remembering at this time, that would be my best
3	assessment.
4	Q. Let me jump ahead to a page marked
5	LinkedIn 168. This is the twenty-sixth page of the
6	PDF. Are you with me?
7	MR. SUR: Yes. Mr. Chan needs a moment to
8	review the document.
9	THE WITNESS: Is this a document sent on
10	11/19/2020 9:07:16 a.m.?
11	Q. BY MR. SAUER: Correct. In this email you
12	say, "LinkedIn folks, heads up I'll be sending you
13	a Teleporter link with new indicators, which are
14	not election related." Do you know what that
15	means?
16	A. I can't remember, but I would surmise that
17	it meant it was not related to the election that
18	just occurred earlier in the month.
19	Q. And then indicators I think you testified
20	earlier refers to URLs or specific accounts or
21	things of that nature, right?
22	A. Yes. It would be different indicia of
23	that nature.
24	Q. So do you flag disinformation/
25	misinformation from malign foreign actors to social

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 307 of 386 PageID #: 6790 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	media platforms that doesn't relate to elections?
2	A. I don't know why I I can't remember why
3	I flagged that as not being election related. I
4	think the only I don't recall exactly, but I
5	would surmise that I would have flagged it as
6	election like, if it was election related, I
7	believe that all of the companies, including
8	LinkedIn, would have acted on it quickly.
9	You know, everyone was very tired from
10	working very long hours and very long shifts during
11	the election command posts.
12	So that would be the only reason or
13	that would be a reason why I believe I would have
14	flagged it as not election related so that they
15	wouldn't have to, you know like, if they were
16	taking the day off or taking time off because it
17	was near Thanksgiving time frame.
18	Q. What what malign-foreign-influence
19	activities do you flag for social media companies
20	that aren't related to elections? What sort of
21	content do you flag?
22	A. So in general, it would be in general,
23	it would be from our cyber investigations, or from
24	state-sponsored actors that were that we would
25	not believe were associated with hack-and-dump

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 308 of 386 PageID #: 6791 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

```
Page 308
```

1	
1	campaigns. That would be one type of nonelection
2	related.
3	Q. Are there others?
4	A. Off the top of my head, that's the one
5	that's sticking out to me. That would be a likely
6	type of information. It would be related to a
7	cyber investigation that was not necessarily
8	related to any disinformation, specifically any
9	malign foreign influence.
10	MR. SAUER: I don't have any further
11	questions.
12	(Discussion off the record.)
13	EXAMINATION BY MR. SUR
14	Q. Mr. Chan, you testified earlier today
15	about the 2020 Hunter Biden laptop story in the
16	questioning with Mr. Sauer. Do you remember the
17	question that came from a Facebook analyst at one
18	of the meetings in 2020?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Okay. And what was that question, to your
21	recollection?
22	A. To my recollection, the question was,
23	"What can you share about the Hunter Biden case?"
24	Q. And at that meeting you were there with
25	other FBI officials; is that right?

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 309 of 386 PageID #: 6792 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1	A. Yes, with Foreign Influence Task Force
2	officials.
3	Q. Okay. And who were they again?
4	A. I specifically remember Laura Dehmlow was
5	present because she was the official who said that
6	the FBI had no comment.
7	Q. Okay. Did she
8	(Discussion off the record.)
9	Q. BY MR. SUR: So picking up there, did
10	Ms. Dehmlow say anything else?
11	A. Not in response to the Hunter Biden
12	question.
13	MR. SUR: That's all I have.
14	MR. SAUER: Nothing further.
15	(Discussion off the record.)
16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. This concludes
17	today's deposition of Elvis Chan. We are off the
18	record at 5:47 p.m.
19	(Whereupon the proceedings were
20	concluded at 5:47 p.m.)
21	000
22	
23	
24	
25	

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 310 of 386 PageID #: 6793 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 310

1 DEPOSITION OFFICER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 3 ) ss. 4 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO) 5 6 I, Balinda Dunlap, hereby certify: 7 I am a duly qualified Certified Shorthand 8 Reporter in the State of California, holder of Certificate Number CSR 10710 issued by the Certified Court 9 10 Reporters' Board of California and which is in full 11 force and effect. (Fed. R. Civ. P. 28(a)(1)). I am authorized to administer oaths or 12 13 affirmations pursuant to California Code of Civil 14 Procedure, Section 2093(b) and prior to being examined, 15 the witness was first duly sworn by me. (Fed. R. Civ. 16 P. 28(a)(a)). 17 I am not a relative or employee or attorney or 18 counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, nor am I 19 financially interested in this action. (Fed. R. Civ. P. 20 21 28). 22 I am the deposition officer that 23 stenographically recorded the testimony in the foregoing deposition and the foregoing transcript is a true record 24 25 / / /

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 311 of 386 PageID #: ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

of the testimony given by the witness. (Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)). Before completion of the deposition, review of the transcript [ ] was [ ] was not requested. If requested, any changes made by the deponent (and provided to the reporter) during the period allowed, are appended hereto. (Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(e)). Dated: B. Dunlag 

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 312 of 386 PageID #: 6795 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

```
1
                             LEXITAS LEGAL
 2
     December 5, 2022
 3
     DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
     1100 L Street Northwest
 4
     Washington, D.C. 29530
     INDRANEEL SUR, ESQ.
 5
     IN RE: STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. v. JOSEPH R.
            BIDEN, JUNIOR, et al.
 6
 7
     Dear Mr. Sur,
 8
     Please find enclosed your copies of the deposition of
     ELVIS CHAN taken on November 29, 2022 in the
     above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original
 9
10
     signature page and errata sheets.
11
12
     Please have the witness read your copy of the
13
     transcript, indicate any changes and/or corrections
14
     desired on the errata sheets, and sign the signature
15
     page before a notary public.
16
17
     Please return the errata sheets and notarized
18
     signature page within 30 days to our office at 711 N
19
     11th Street, St. Louis, MO 63101 for filing.
20
21
     Sincerely,
22
23
24
     LEXITAS LEGAL
25
```

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 313 of 386 PageID #: 6796 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1 ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: ELVIS CHAN
2 Case Name: STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. v. JOSEPH R. BIDEN, JUNIOR, et al.
3 Date Taken: NOVEMBER 29, 2022
4
5  Page # Line #
6 Should read:
7 Reason for change:
8
9  Page # Line #
10 Should read:
11 Reason for change:
12
13 Page # Line #
14 Should read:
15 Reason for change:
16
17  Page # Line #
18 Should read:
19 Reason for change:
20
21 Page # Line #
22 Should read:
23 Reason for change:
24
25 Witness Signature:

#### 6797 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Page 3	81	4
--------	----	---

1	STATE OF)
2	COUNTY OF)
3	
4	I, ELVIS CHAN, do hereby certify:
5	That I have read the foregoing deposition;
6	That I have made such changes in form
7	and/or substance to the within deposition as might
8	be necessary to render the same true and correct;
9	That having made such changes thereon, I
10	hereby subscribe my name to the deposition.
11	I declare under penalty of perjury that the
12	foregoing is true and correct.
13	Executed this day of,
14	20, at
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	ELVIS CHAN
20	
21	
22	NOTARY PUBLIC
23	My Commission Expires:
24	135954
25	

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 315 of 386 PageID #: 6798

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

		-		-
A	312:9	265:17,21	163:1	34:10
<b>A-1-i-a</b> 49:5	abstract	accounts	165:17	37:18
	28:14 29:1	14:13 15:3	199:3	102:25
<b>A-n-t</b> 210:7	abundance	15:16 30:5	232:3	104:4,17
A-u-t-e-n	174:11	30:5 31:24	235:23	129:2,14
256:25	accepted	32:13,24	236:22	129:16
A-y-l-e-a	302:4	33:5,10,15	273:13,21	133:11
49:6	accepting	34:2,17,24	274:4,17	136:14
<b>a.m</b> 6:5,12	168:19	35:3,12	306:20	143:12,19
22:13,16	access	37:21 38:1	accurately	166:20
77:10,13	136:22	38:5 60:4	224:1	232:19
96:13,16	174:16	62:4 65:14	achieve	249:8,9,17
106:8,11	accessed	68:21 70:5	126:19	249:25
167:25	14:18	70:10,17	achieving	250:21,23
197:17	accident	71:16,25	78:16	251:15
292:17,18		-		
292:22	113:15	74:1,11	142:20	253:25
300:5,13	138:11	78:3 86:22	acknowle	254:3
306:10	139:13	92:20,24	38:14	270:19
<b>Aaron</b> 46:1	accord	93:7,8	acquire	274:3,15
abbreviate	188:19	95:2 96:6	111:19	activate
24:17 25:1	189:8,12	96:7,25	acquired	168:8
abbrevia	account	98:17	73:9	<b>active</b> 71:3
143:5	29:11	99:14,19	acronym	108:5
ability	32:10,11	99:21	279:9	120:23
16:24	35:19,23	100:2,6,8	<b>act</b> 16:22	125:20
115:2	36:16	100:13	111:23,24	126:3
206:11	68:22,23	103:17	121:23	127:11
<b>able</b> 14:1	69:4 76:5	111:3,5,6	143:11	147:17
20:3 31:11	76:16,17	112:12,16	<b>acted</b> 108:13	186:2
32:23	76 <b>:</b> 19	113:25	149:6	195:20,22
73:11	83:10,11	114:2,6,10	150 <b>:</b> 17	196:1,4,8
86:10,18	89:2,5	114:14,23	165:25	196:13
102:24	90:11	115:12	307:8	203:17
112:2	100:16	131:8	<b>action</b> 68:8	215:10
114:4,18	112:17,18	132:13,18	102:19	258:8
120:21	112:19	133:25	147:4	301:4
133:16	113:24	134:2,7	160:18	actively
136:23	114:20	138:18	167:12	204:19
146:24	115:3,6,20	143:15,20	205:1	activities
160:5	116:18	144:1,23	242:4	12:3 13:1
162:25	117:10	146:16,24	254:6	13:5,6
176:8	125:20	147:5,7,12	287:2	14:8 46:22
235:21	128:23	147:19	296:4	54:17
244:22	130:17	148:9,19	310:20	55 <b>:</b> 16
253:1	131:4	149:10,23	actionable	66 <b>:</b> 24
270:22,24	133:21	150:7,18	88:21,25	69:14,25
abolished	139:13	158:16,21	287:7,8	90:1 92:2
268:19	165:19,21	159:23	actions 12:6	93:7,8,19
above-re	244:3	162:24	12:10	94:1,25
1				

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 316 of 386 PageID #: 6799

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

95:9 126:2	80:14	130:12	310:13	213:14
138:6,8	81:14,21	136:15	Africa 31:9	279:25
156:25	82:3,4,19	ads 78:1,12	31:20	280:15
240:7	83:9,12,15	78:25 79:2	after-ac	281:9
307:19	83:16,25	80:15	294:18	282:25
activity	114:24	93:11,12	AFTERNOON	289:11
13:7,8	115:13	93:14	141:2	291:3,7
87:14	add 12:17	advance	age 84:23	294:6
90:13 95:7	118:21	120:10,12	agencies	agent 8:11
95:8,14,14	239:13	advanced	17:16 18:1	23:20
126:24	270:24	272:23	18:7,11	51:14
136:17,20	added 42:10	advantage	24:8 57:7	52:10 53:8
137:12	42:12	227:23	57:11	53:11
138:19	addition	advertise	152:20	54:12 59:2
160:19	10:5 21:16	72:24	170:9	77:14
167:16	131:5	advertis	211:12	79:20
305:24	184:24	77:18	218:25	96:17
actor 113:2	additional	78:11	221:5,8,23	107:21
285:18,19	103:11	83:14	278:22	141:6
actors 14:5	163:19	advertising	281:23	141:6
32:14	164:23	93:16	285:10	259:15
33:16	266:8	advice 30:12	agency 16:23	271:6
39:10,13	275:25	30:18	18:17 31:7	300:4
42:16 51:1	279:16	282:19	31:19 60:2	302:23
53:25 60:3	303:5,24	advise	61:12	agents 105:5
138:5	address 62:4	206:10	69:17 73:4	105:8,14
154:5	100:9	advised	75:9,25	106:24
187:4	129:3	163:21	76:3 78:21	107:6,15
190:5	133:6	advising	100:7,10	107:20
219:2,8,24	134:20	50:19	111:14,14	108:16,21
226:8,10	186:5,15	advisories	138:4,25	110:1
227:18	211:4	244:14,16	141:18	176:22
258:11	248:25	244:17,21	143:3	177:8
285:21	240:25	244:17,21 244:24	154:6	
306:25	addresses	244:24 245:3,6	157:1	<b>aggressive</b> 76 <b>:</b> 13
307:24	30:5 31:23	advisory	221:13,15	117:9
actual 9:24	51:4 99:21	245:9	221:13,13	130:11
59:13 74:5	168:11	279:2,5	233:9	242:4
111:5	199:4	284:3	278:17	262:2,19
151:4	addressing	<b>AF</b> 303:9,21	286:20	270:15,20
156:21	82:7 92:1	Affairs	Agency's	aggressi
168:5	250:13	140:5	126:15	116:18
220:7	adjudicated	affidavit	Agency-a	ago 11:18
265:12	196:10	222:23	143:16	15:20 71:4
282:25	adjusted	224:21	agenda 27:18	71:14
305:15	205:6	affiliated	27:25	95:11
306:1	administer	265:25	110:3	96:18
ad 44:20	310:12	266:1	188:1	131:22
68:15,23	adopted	affirmat	190:20	194:10
00.10,20	adopied	atttmac	10.20	
	I	I	1	I

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 317 of 386 PageID #: 6800

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

217:19	246:14	<b>allow</b> 159:15	61:6,16	213:15
239:1	253:6	269:11	64:17	257:9
254:2	255:20	allowed	85:21 95:3	analyze
agree 33:22	258:23	81:15	142:2,7	198:14
68:13	280:11	112:7	143:25	and/"or
77:22,24	293:9	126:19	145:9,16	244:7
82:14	299:24	311:6	145:20,20	and/or
127:17,22	301:10	allows	<b>amount</b> 15:12	290:11
159:8,14	302:17	111:25	73:2	312:13
179:2,3,21	304:14	alongside	110:21	314:7
179:24	306:4	159:16	150:13	<b>Angela</b> 46:17
180:2	<b>al</b> 1:6,10	<b>AMANDA</b> 2:12	298:17	124:18,19
219:22	259:6	amanda.k	amplific	124:20
225:17	312:5,6	2:14	76:6 158:5	Angeles
273:16	313:2,2	ambiguous	amplific	276:16
276:3	<b>alert</b> 165:9	146:9,20	87:8	<b>Anna</b> 271 <b>:</b> 13
agreeing	165:12	154:1	amplified	271:16
35:5	243:18	156:19	60:5 86:22	announced
<b>ahead</b> 12:4	245:19	159:24	87:4	132:12
20:1 22:20	247:5	166:1	amplify	149:10
26:21 27:8	255:11	168:23	60:22	161:1
28:14 31:1	alerted	<b>amended</b> 4:17	70:19	announcing
31:2 37:1	243:8	169:16	71:16,19	7:19 150:6
38:8 40:8	244:5,10	277:13	72:12	<b>annual</b> 120:8
40:9,11,13	alerts	Amendment	73:12,13	122:23
51:7 52:16	243:16	76:14,18	73:25 74:8	answer9:9
54:21	245 <b>:</b> 17	83:16	74:15	12:1 15:6
58:23	246:7 <b>,</b> 9	122:7,10	76:15	21:21
78:24 83:5	247:5,11	139:5,12	158:11	34:21 92:4
84:7 128:6	<b>Alex</b> 54:4	163:22	amplifying	97 <b>:</b> 12
132:21	57:20,24	286:13	286 <b>:</b> 15	104:8
135:2	58:5	America	analysis	111:9
144:19	algorithm	85 <b>:</b> 17	4:12 14:24	121:15,18
147:8	88:12,24	American	15:1 24:12	122:13
150:21,25	90:12	14:7 61:13	170:23	164 <b>:</b> 9
157 <b>:</b> 6	algorithms	67:9 70:11	278:11	174:2,4
158:23	88:4,5,17	70:23	analyst	187 <b>:</b> 16
161:14,14	89:9,11,15	74:19 76:4	214:4,18	188:24
161:18,25	90:4	76:13 85:9	216:14	189:2,12
167:4	129:25	85:14 86:8	233:23	190:22
176:5	136:16	86:16	257:2,6,7	193:20,22
192:5	aligned	163:3	263:19	194:23,24
203:20,23	86:23	231:15	264:15	195:12
203:25	all-source	235:5	265:5,10	199:24
208:23	278:20	275:18	266:4	200:19
220:15	allegedly	American-	308:17	202:2,19
229:1	230:1	143:6	analysts	202:22
242:7	ALLIANCE	145:24	44:19,22	233:18
245:13	2:15,16	Americans	139:6	243:6
	l	I	I	I

www.lexitaslegal.com

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 318 of 386 PageID #: 6801

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

252:20	256:4	approxim	248:19,21	231:14
answers	261:14	16:12	249:3	242:6
250:22	appended	April 159:12	250:11	249:22
anticipate	311:7	161:1	252:23	258:14
41:8,15	<b>Apple</b> 42:6,8	<b>area</b> 24:25	272:3	264:18
61:15	234:6	276:17	290:7	306:3
298:17	applicable	arrest	301:12	assessments
anticipated	232:14	294:11	304:19	157:4
174:24	application	arrested	<pre>asking 9:7,9</pre>	assistant
212:8	99:2	196:11	9:16 89:14	8:11 184:
Antonaros	138:11	<b>arrive</b> 269:9	89:23	184:12,21
210:3	195:5,7	269:16,23	119:2	185:6
anybody	291:25	arrived	164:6	234:23
175:15	295:14,16	242:10,21	171:2	associated
191:16,16	295:17	<b>art</b> 30:3	179:12	135:18
209:6	applicat	article	189:17	193:10,15
anymore	138:7	56:25	248:3,5	195:25
76:20	applies	131:19,20	250:14	222:25
124:24	94:19	153:2	252:11	223:9,14
anyway 23:14	<b>apply</b> 99:21	214:9	260:21,23	223:17
23:19	108:15	230:12,14	301:21,22	237:10
<b>AOL</b> 235:22	122:3,8	articles	asks 272:2	239:11
AOR 25:1	185:19	142:2,3,7	assert	257:21
<b>apart</b> 98:20	250:19	142:10	122:12	259:20
apologize	appreciate	144:1	194:11	307:25
300:7	102:23	229:23	assess 33:21	assume
<b>app</b> 12:23	approach	230:4,8,22	34:16 63:5	150:12
295:14,22	23:9	230:23,25	100:6,8	243:17
297:23,24	127:24	232:2,14	267:20,21	245:18
298:2	approaching	232:16	274:7	246:2,8
	77:3	275:9	assessed	285:6
<b>appeal</b> 149:19		arts 10:17	168:5	
	appropriate			assumes
appear	32:20	as-needed	266:13	43:19
149:19	34:10,11	44:21	298:15	74:22
247:12,20	35:10 51:2	<b>ASAC</b> 107:7	299:19	76:22 82:
appeared 6:8	98:11	ascertain	assesses	144:4
37:13	180:5	88:16	217:9	175:2
67:17	226:24	ASD-HKS	assessing	assumption
128:22	234:10	279:7	73:3	149:8
130:15	264:17	<b>asked</b> 27:11	assessment	150:4
133:15	274:14	89:21	35:5 70:15	156:5
151:2	292:3	149:1	70:25 71:1	166:16
243:19	approval	174:22	73:21	Atlantic
247:6	62:22	213:15	133:1	162:2
248:6	82:13	214:5,23	145:22	attached
249:7,14	160:5	215:20	147:21	32:18
256:9	267:12	216:4,14	194:8	36:23
appears	approve	233:23	203:18 229:9	<b>attack</b> 276:24
170:16	133:10	247:25		

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 319 of 386 PageID #: 6802

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

277:2	109:24	137:23	213:4	102:19,24
attacks	171:24	140:7	218:14,19	103:15
223:2,3,9	186:2	audiences	220:23	104:21
223:15	attendees	140:2	222:17	105:12
225:4	25:16	audio 4:23	223:19	106:10
261:8	attending	5:6 126:6	224:15	112:12
271:9	23:24	259:4,13	230:8,9,16	115:4
276:22	125:13	August 155:4	230:16	129:12
attempt	152:23	192:15	232:19,25	141:4,7
243:21	attends	Auten 256:24	233:2,8,10	164:14
247:8	24:13,14	authentic	233:13,19	180:13,15
256:1,10	44:10	132:18	233:25	198:23
258:12	attention	138:18	236:22	234:17
attempted	29:1 77:16	146:8	246:4,21	255:8
59:12	128:20	<b>author</b> 11:15	246:23	275:15,15
attempting	141:7	authorit	247:2	277:20
64:1	217:21	265:13	251:6	284:23
attempts	attorney 2:4	authorities	266:16	287:18
43:18	7:3 22:22	111:16,17	274:18,21	296:20,23
50:20	46:14 47:7	111:22,22	275:3,4,6	back-and
174:15	47:12	authority	275:7	286:19
273:2,4	124:3	49:10,16	280:8,10	background
291:1	237:14,15	215:12	280:13	10:12
<b>attend</b> 20:3	237:25	authorized	281:20	bad 97:25
20:5 24:18	267:6,6	310:12	288:4	100:2,2
24:21	268:8,9	available	296:2,15	101:24
46:15	270:6	24:19	301:14	Baker 239:14
109:19,20	310:17,19	138:16	302:6	239:17
109:22	Attorney's	148:18	awareness	240:3
110:5,6,13	6:19 266:7	avoids	16:5	<b>Baldwin</b> 49:4
110:19	267:2	121:16	172:14	Balinda 1:24
125:10	attorney	<b>aware</b> 18:6	<b>Aylea</b> 49:4	6:6,21
239:16,17	164:1	18:15		310:6
239:20	187:10	56:15 57:3	В	<b>ballot</b> 19:11
attended	188:9,25	57:6,8,10	<b>B</b> 157:11	166:15
20:8	201:25	58:3,4,7,9	265:8	267:25
109:14,16	202:3,18	58:16,19	266:2	269:11,11
109:17	attorneys	58:22	269:6	269:23
123:18	6:24 23:5	107:14	bachelor	ballots
153:4	43:12	108:20,21	10:14	156:23
156:6	123:10	108:24	<b>back</b> 22:15	164:18
178:17	135:18	113:13	28:12	ballpark
180:20,23	151:16,18	125:4	38:15 41:2	148:20
181:14,20	164:24	126:1,3	42:19,22	<b>base</b> 31:8
182:15,22	268:7,7	148:8	50:3 52:11	114:24
182:24,25	270:9	161:12	67:1 71:13	<b>based</b> 14:22
183:2	attributed	192:3,6,22	77:12	21:24
290 <b>:</b> 22	113:1	208:22,24	95 <b>:</b> 11	63 <b>:</b> 25 80:7
attendee	audience	212:23	96:15,17	80:22

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 320 of 386 PageID #: 6803

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

<b></b>				
95 <b>:</b> 18	<b>Baton</b> 3:5	139:1,3	255:17	216:5,11
103:1,12	bear 294:3	140:6	257:1,6,16	227:11,25
114:18	Bears 4:11	142:16,17	259:18	228:7,17
134:15	11:3	143:21	263:18,20	228:22
			· · · · ·	
135:4	<b>began</b> 54:24	151:21,23	264:4	229:4,10
136:21	beginning	155:25,25	267:8	229:17
137:19	51:18	162:13,25	271:21	230:1,16
140:12	125 <b>:</b> 23	165 <b>:</b> 1	276:9	232:7,24
145:6	155 <b>:</b> 20	167 <b>:</b> 24	278:12	233:5,24
151:4	190:8	171 <b>:</b> 17	288:9	234:2
167:10	<b>begins</b> 37:8	173:17	291 <b>:</b> 15	243:25
168:2	144:21	174:21	299:22	252:14
170:15	170:2	175 <b>:</b> 23	301:16,18	253:9
194:7	<b>behalf</b> 7:2,6	182:1	302:15	259:6
195:1,17	290:8	184:4	304:15,15	304:10
200:18	behavior	186:8,20	305:3	308:15,23
203:16	86:23	192:13	307:7,13	309:11
230:24	93:13	199:9	307:25	312:6
270:8	beings 45:14	203:19,22	believed	313:2
276:14,16	72:1 85:16	203:24	15:11	Biden's
287:2	87:22	204:8,18	143:2	212:24
290:1	89:25	204:21	203:18	230:9,17
295:2	90:25	205:9,15	believes	<b>big</b> 20:6
298:2	<b>belief</b> 134:9	205:15,22	35:2	213:21,21
basically	204:2	206:2,23	belong	281:18
17:23 78:2	218:23	207:6	236:22	bilateral
78:13	believe	208:21	belonged	39:5 183:2
82:14	15:20 19:4	211:7	230:1	185:21
158:11	19:24,25	212:15,18	Berger 3:9	189:24
219:23	20:3 25:11	213:25	3:11 7:19	291:14,17
250:4	27:2 31:18	214:8,15	7:21,21	293:2
<b>basis</b> 23:6	36:21 37:6	214:22	20:24 21:6	300:17
42:5,5	39:14	215:9	21:22	Bill 281:5
44:20,21	42:13	217:16	22:19,25	<b>bit</b> 39:17
97:11	45:25	219:17	23:2	70:8 77:4
100:1	47:15,25	221:18	Berkeley	145:7
117:15	48:1 58:13	222:1,18	58:11	197:1
160:11	62:23 74:5	224:8,11	<b>best</b> 13:9	231:5
173:18,21	78:20 90:6	224:13,22	115:2	277:23
173:21	95 <b>:</b> 23	226:10	306:2	<b>black</b> 67:3
198:5	109:17	227:22	<b>better</b> 83:4	69 <b>:</b> 10
208:7,10	116:1,4	228:25	133:20	80:13,16
208:13	117 <b>:</b> 7	229:3,6,6	134:4	275:10,12
220:14	122:4	231:21	<b>Biden</b> 1:9	286:12
229:18	124:12	240:6	6:15 213:6	blending
239:4	125:9	244:12	213:8,9,17	146:6
285:8	133:18	248:10,19	214:6,24	blocked
289:6	134:6	248:21	215:12,22	232:12
Bates 293:21	138:1	249:24	215:25	249:6

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 321 of 386 PageID #: 6804

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

blocking	182:23	broader	182:5	41:13 48:6
232:1	183:4	200:25	<b>cadence</b> 40:1	49:14,25
<b>blog</b> 130:23	281:5	280:12	40:2,25	52:3,4,5
BlueJeans	branch 7:9	broadly	42:20 44:9	53 <b>:</b> 16
20:11	7:17 8:12	59:25	170:8	57:21 61:8
<b>Board</b> 310:10	45:5	90:22	171:13	63:22
<b>body</b> 303:20	121:13	112:2	181:2	67:14 68:4
bombing	<b>brands</b> 116:5	135:22	182:15	68:16,25
143:13	<b>breach</b> 235:5	202:6	219:14	70:13 71:8
boosted	276:25	231:15	220:6	72:14
13:24	<b>break</b> 22:6	235:17	288:19,21	73:18
<b>boots</b> 136:5	77:4 87:24	broke 95:22	288:24,25	74:21
<b>border</b> 81:15	180:5	141:24	289:2	76:21
Borders	225:8	155:5	calculation	78:18
81:14	234:10	213:21	85:24	81:25
<b>bot</b> 72:19,21	breaking	214:1,16	calendar	90:19
76:2,5,14	77:2 96:10	253:9	289:3	104:14
76:16	breaks 86:8	broken 233:6	California	114:15
<b>botnet</b> 72:25	Brian 25:12	<b>broker</b> 58:2	6:1,5,8,19	115:14
73:1,11	25:15,19	brought	58:6,11	117:12
93:11	26:11,14	116:24	141:1	135:10
<b>bots</b> 63:19	152:7	214:15	310:2,8,10	136:25
71:15,24	256:24	Building 2:4	310:13	138:21
72:10,17	278:8	<b>bullet</b> 170:2	call 27:12	139:17
72:17	brief 226:16	277:25	53:20,21	150:10
73:23 74:3	226:20	278:2,25	97:24	153:20
74:4,8,10	briefed	279:22,24	155:21	160:21
74:13,24	190:3	284:2,11	168:6	174:2
75:1,1	briefing	bullets	282:1,5	188:7
85:14 87:5	48:18	276:8	called 8:2	213:1
87:8	190:10	<b>bunch</b> 247:19	16:2 18:24	215:17
158:10	briefings	Bureau 8:13	24:12 33:5	224:3
bottom 28:22	16:5,7,9	<b>burned</b> 298:6	47:24	228:19
28:24	16:14	Burns 2:21	53:19,20	231:7,18
83:19	38:25	2:23 7:5	56:13	236:6
84:20	108:25	<b>button</b> 263:1	62:24	237:16,17
114:21	209:2	buy 78:12	72:21 99:2	237:18
132:14	226:9,15		143:5	240:9
150:23	226:22	С	241:24	245:24
170:1	bring 39:8	C2:1 3:1	259:16,21	246:10
272:6	39:11	<b>C-h-a-n</b> 8:9	289:19	251:23
293:22	206:6	C-h-o-c-k	290:14	270:4
300:8,10	broad 99:23	183:15	291:25	274:11
300:20	285:20	C-I-S-A	295:12	299:11
<b>bought</b> 73:6	286:8	279:19	calling	campaign
bounded	287:5	<b>C-o</b> 183:15	128:2	13:11
194:4	287:5	C-o-h-e-n	<b>calls</b> 26:6,8	37:13
<b>Box</b> 2:22	broadcasts	182:4		37:13 81:23
		<b>C-o-n-e</b>	34:6,20	
Brady 181:21	297 <b>:</b> 12	C-0-11-6	35:7 36:8	84:12,22

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 322 of 386 PageID #: 6805

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

106.16	9:23 115:2		22.10.20	211.5
126:16		cautioned 248:23	23:19,20 51:14 59:2	311:5 312:13
campaigns	carefully			
4:13 29:10	9:8	<b>caveat</b> 148:4	77:14 83:5	314:6,9
30:14,23	Carnegie	cc'd197:22	84:23	changing
35:18 36:1	142:5	303:25	96:17	130:5
36:5,11,13	<b>carry</b> 160:9	<b>CDs</b> 298:10	106:12	132:2
39:15	case1:8	center	141:6	channel
59:19,24	21:11,15	134:11,14	180:16	98:23
63:16 70:2	30:19	134:25	197:8	296:15
79:22	112:4	137:6	259:15	298:6,16
155:2	122:7	159:1,1,14	271:6	300:22
159:2	141:12	161:4,13	280:22	channels
161:17,24	174:12	Center'	287:22	256:16
162:4	191:21	161:3	288:1	295:10
185 <b>:</b> 24	193:24	<b>CEO</b> 242:14	293:23	297:5,14
201:17	194:16	<b>CEOs</b> 4:21	300:4	299:2
223:1,10	195 <b>:</b> 17	116:21	302:23	300:22
223:15,17	196:9,21	128:2	306:7	302:1,4
223 <b>:</b> 21	205:16	241:21,24	308:14	characte
224 <b>:</b> 17	239:23,24	259:23	309:17	68:20
283 <b>:</b> 10	289:3	260:2	312:8	84:24
308:1	292:1,3	<b>certain</b> 33:4	313:1	255:12
Canadian	303:25	73:1,2	314:4,19	characte
93:12	308:23	90:5 130:6	<b>Chan's</b> 23:16	11:22
candidate	312:9	131:8	<b>chance</b> 10:8	15:10
304:10,17	313:2	132:3	<b>change</b> 87:19	30:18 34:7
305:9,11	<b>cases</b> 120:19	166:18	91:25	62 <b>:</b> 7 65 <b>:</b> 3
candidates	166:4,6,23	190:4,5	115:19	81:12
16:10,13	166:25	213:24	134:20	83:23
173:10	167:1	254:9	294:16	89:19
capability	195:5	263:21,23	313:7,11	126:22
209:3	<b>cast</b> 156:23	269:17	313:15,19	146:10
236:14	categories	284:4	313:23	151:6
capable	86:9 95:13	Certificate	changed 78:9	167:6
39:15	categorize	310:1,9	87:16	267:11
190:6	285:14	Certified	125:19	289:1
226:11,17	categorized	6:6 310:7	206:7,9,10	characte
capacity	253:24	310:9	changes	226:6
23:16	category	certify	118:18	charge 8:12
54:12	93:18	310:6	128:23	43:23
55:21	<b>caucus</b> 19:6	314:4	129:1,3,6	charges
148:7	19:9	<b>chain</b> 263:22	129:18,24	196:3
234:25	caucuses	263:25	129:24,24	<b>chat</b> 295:14
<b>Capps</b> 2:7	19:8	266:12	130:4,16	295:22
7:4	cause 126:6	<b>Chan</b> 1:17	130:19,21	cheating
<b>caps</b> 260:14	252:4	4:4,14,16	130:21,24	268:18
279:19	caused 297:3	4:16,24	132:25	<b>check</b> 232:23
<b>care</b> 74:14	caution	5:7,9 6:14	133:6,10	checked
careful 9:18	174:12	7:21 8:1,9	133:11	142:18
	⊥ / ⊥ • ⊥∠	,,J		U
	I	I	I	I

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 323 of 386 PageID #: 6806

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

	_	_	_	_
chemical	152:4,8	281:9	<b>civil</b> 2:15	<b>close</b> 40:3,6
10:15	154:12,15	283:18	2:16 7:9	194:6
chemistry	155:21	286:24	7:16 9:1	closely
10:15	156:20	CISA-ind	310:13	294:13
<b>Chen</b> 48:13	171:21,25	287:4	clarific	<b>closer</b> 41:7
<b>chief</b> 48:1	172:9,13	CISA-led	9:13	41:9 90:13
54:8 109:7	177:14	156:10	clarify	160:2
109:13	178:2	277:10	33:12	<b>cloud</b> 42:12
152:3	186:5	CISA-org	79:15	co-optees
175:18,21	204:7	170:12	84:15	146:3,11
184:6,10	211:6,17	181:8,13	129:13	<b>Code</b> 310:13
184:12,21	213:10	191:11	130:6	<b>Cohen</b> 182:4
185:4,7	218:22	218:7	169:18	<b>Coie</b> 197:20
200:8,23	219:8	228:5	194:25	colleagues
201:18	220:3	<b>Cisco</b> 20:11	clarifying	7:4,18
<b>chiefs</b> 184:5	221:18	citation	304:19,20	38:14,22
184:7,8	244:14	85:5	classifi	57:16,19
185:2,8	275:5,25	<b>cite</b> 64:14	21:1	collect
<b>China</b> 94:13	276:6,7,11	85:25 87:2	160:12	74:12
94:23	276:13,22	131:19	classified	collection
109:13	277:4,8	142:3	20:25	288:2
155:3	278:7	147:22	120:21	collective
305:20,25	279:1,3,4	161:5	236:8	196:17
Chinese 95:7	279:6,15	<b>cited</b> 80:11	<b>clear</b> 10:1	colloqui
<b>Chock</b> 181:22	279:17,19	86:25	23:14 39:4	47:22
183:14	280:1,11	95:15	179:4	Colonial
254:15	280:18,22	112:10	254:8	277:2
281:6	281:13,20	147:23	clearances	<b>combat</b> 4:13
<b>choice</b> 34:25	283:1	195:18	160:10	126:4
chosen	284:2,7	241:3,7	161:9	159:17
168:10	285:15	<b>cites</b> 127:25	clearly	combatting
Chris 47:23	290:22	<b>citing</b> 85:5	21:19	159:20
48:12	291:4	145:1	28:15	Combined
Christopher	CISA's	159:18	Clemson 87:2	4:17
246:3	170:22	162:1	clerk's	come 23:12
<b>Chun</b> 47:12	CISA-fac	citizen	50 <b>:</b> 15	75 <b>:</b> 18
CHUZI 2:12	170:19	76:13	<b>clerks</b> 50:19	92:22
<b>CID</b> 303:15	CISA-held	citizen's	<b>click</b> 14:13	109:9
circling	189:22	76 <b>:</b> 4	263:1	118:24
96 <b>:</b> 17	CISA-hosted	citizens	clicked	121:7
Circuit	155:15,18	14:7 70:23	14:18	123:15
194:3	156:3	74:19	clicking	137:8
circumst	180:24	78:22	66 <b>:</b> 9	165:3
23:8	187:22,24	143:6	client's	215:22
<b>CISA</b> 16:22	188:13	145:24	202:24	216:6
18:16,23	189:6	<b>City</b> 2:5	clients	258:20
24:9 25:8	192:1	<b>Civ</b> 310:11	179:11,25	comes 18:23
26:8,23	209:17	310:15,20	Clinton 67:3	30:22
27:14 28:1	218:11,17	311:1,7	69:10	comfortable
	I	I	I	I

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 324 of 386 PageID #: 6807

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

73:10	71:5 86:6	292 <b>:</b> 15	225:11	121:4,5
291:16	157:22	communic	231:11,24	126:1,18
302:16	comments	98:22	278:17	127:6,20
<b>coming</b> 58:4	68:12,19	99:25	community's	132:24
119:18	68:24 69:4	100:15	231:1,6	133:5,16
173:20	69:8	108:1	companies	135:11
190:20	117:20	160:14	4:12 11:5	136:11
273:10	118:16	179:3	12:6 14:23	137:3,4
305:18	123:1	206:25	16:18	•
command	commission	208:25	17:20	138:7,17 139:21
162:13,21	159:13 160:2	296:16	18:19,25	140:1,9
164:25		297:14	20:10,13	143:2,8,11
165:5	314:23	299:4	20:15,20	144:10
167:15,18	committee	302:4,11	20:22	146:23
167:20,24	13:10,12	communic	23:24	147:3
168:5,8,10	79:24	97:23	24:24 25:2	151:3
168:15	112:22	101:23	29:9,20	152:23
266:17	116:12,13	104:24	31:11	153:1,3,5
268:23	116:16,23	107:16,22	32:17,19	154:3,10
295:3,5,6	123:11,12	121:14,23	34:8,24	155:6,13
295:11	127:13	163:20	35:17,23	155:17
296:17,21	217:17	189:11	36:24,25	156:13
298:13	279:5	199:12,18	37:20	159:16
301:3,6,16	284:3	202:4	38:25 39:3	160:7
307:11	committees	203:9	39:6,19,20	163:17
commander	16:6	204:14	41:6,17	165:12
162:22	116:10	219:7	42:3,4	166:4
165:4	117:21	232:25	43:6 50:24	168:19,20
commencing	119:8	233:2,25	51:3 61:24	168:22
6:4	173:9	238:3,5,9	77:18 78:8	169:1
comment	<b>common</b> 9:5	238:11,18	80:1 87:21	172:24
117:17	58:1	255:12	89:21 90:3	173:3
191:3	124:20	275:20	92:6 98:25	174:12,15
213:19	264:2	290:14	100:20,23	174:18
214:5	commun-	291:9	102:4,8,15	175:11
215:4,5,7	297:17	298:8	102:17	176:11
215:13	communicate	communities	103:10	177:25
216:15	106:14,22	70:19,20	105:2,7	183:3
224:12	108:22	79:6	106:3	190:18,21
233:24	207:5	community	108:7	191:20
309:6	238:15	90:23 92:7	110:23	192:3,10
commentary	295:10	92:9	112:8	204:19
63:12 65:7	communic	129:19,21	113:11	205:5,6
86:6	219:1	129:22	116:22	208:21
157:24	238:22,25	205:7	117:22	209:12
commented	communic	222:25	118:5,7,10	210:21,21
68:10	105:23	223:8,13	118:25	210:24
161:15	119:9	223:23	120:1,3,11	211:1,7
commenting	158:16	224:15,23	120:17,18	216:4,10
	I	I	l	

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 325 of 386 PageID #: 6808

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

227:16	238:11,12	152 <b>:</b> 18	222:3,3,11	concrete
243:9	238:13,14	218:13	225:20	251:2
244:5	243:17	280:15	246:18	condensed
248:15,17	245:18	compound	concerned	283:14
249:24	246:8	11:25	52:19	conditio
252:25	248:13	14:20	53:24	203:1
253:22	252:5	27:19	138:10	conduct
261:8	274:1	64:20	155:1	155:1
262:10	276:25	65:11 71:8	187:2	190:11
269:20	277:3	72:14	195:15	226:8
272:17	company's	75:23 76:8	207:7	264:18
273:3,6,7	217:10	90:20 92:3	209:21	294:10
273:7,9,17	288:22	92:5 95:5	222:15	conducted
276:23	company	98:4	224:24	59:24
283:17	102:7	112:20	235:13,20	conducting
284:1	compare	comprised	249:22	30:15
285:9,12	65:17	78:22	255:19	190:6
286:2	compared	110:11	256:14	209:3
287:4,9	133:22	computer	concerns	209:3
289:1,2,15	compelled	59:9 71:15	105:23	<b>Cone</b> 181:22
289:22	116:20,21	72:2,6	139:8,10	182:5,23
291:16,19	competing	136:16	168:22	183:12
294:24	174:8	137:11	205:22	186:14,15
294:24		138:15	206:15	207:22
295:7,11	competitors 291:19	139:14	208:15	207:22 254:14
-				
295:25	complaint	173:8	226:4,20	281:5
296:2,5,12	263:2	258:10	235:13,15	confer
296:18,19	264:4,10	<b>CON</b> 275:10	244:15	194:22
297:8,9,15	268:22	275:12	283:8	conference
297:18,20	complaints	concede	285:7	236:13
298:14	263:15	94:19	298:23	271:22
299:14,19	264:20,21	concern	concluded	272:19,21
301:12,22	compleme	138:14,15	31:25 35:3	275:1,11
302:7,9,15	143:7	139:12,19	96:7 122:6	275:13,17
307:7,19	complete	190:15,18	166:9	275:23
companies'	198:24	190:25	234:8	conferences
61:24	completed	195:11	309:20	275:14,17
company	213:15	205:2,18	concludes	confidence
12:24 15:5	completely	205:21,24	309:16	32:22
27:4 42:13	151:24	207:18,25	conclus	112:23
45:21	completion	208:5,15	240:19	113:1,3
46:18	311:3	209:16	conclusion	confident
102:16	compliance	211:8	33:22	114:12
114:22	267:22	212:13,14	139:17	216:5,9
160:9	comply 103:3	212:16	240:22	confirm
190:24	component	219:19	270:5	214:19
205:11	24:11	220:21	conclusi	302:3
209:14	303:18	221:1,10	240:20	confirmed
213:11	components	221:16,19	241:2	215:9,11
			l	l

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 326 of 386 PageID #: 6809

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

1				
275:5	80:23 97:9	71:17,19	200:20	270 <b>:</b> 18
conflicting	99:9	74:6,7,9	202:20	contribu
20:2	considered	74:15,17	214:10	132:9
Congress	19:5	74:17,23	215:15	control
116:1,8	consistent	74:24 75:2	<b>contest</b> 78:5	32:25 33:2
117:1,8	79:20	75:7,8,11	context 29:2	71:16
118:19	127:24	75:13,13	44:5 69:18	73:11
128:3	131:21	76:1 77:19	69:20,22	controlled
131:14,25	219:6	79:4 80:1	85:19	14:4 34:23
132:9	292:25	80:6 85:23	93:10	65:13 72:2
133:5	consolid	86:17,23	105:24	77:20
241:25	257:23	89:10 90:5	162:8,11	100:7
congress	constraints	90:5,9,10	164:24	convene
13:11	194:5	90:17 91:2	172:8	280:14
118:14	construc	91:8,19	178:11	convened
119:22,24	132:25	95:3,16	189:21,24	284:12
120:9	133:9,15	105:9,16	190:1,2,17	convenes
122:23	133:18,20	126:25	190:24	26:18
123:4	construed	130:1	199:1	conversa
124:5	217:11	132:3	201:16	52:24 53:6
125:17,24	consult	138:8	202:2,4	70:23
125:25	200:15	144:2	206:6	89:13
127:13,25	consulted	145:4	218:10,17	95:19
131:13	200:10,12	146:7,8	236:18	123:3
259:24	235:12	153:24	240:4	201:7,9,12
connect	contact	156:12	244:13,13	201:15
125:21	27:11,13	157:19,21	262:5	233:13
connected	53:22	158:6,12	264:22	convey
53:10	252:16	165:21,25	271:21	161:10
113:25	296:20	203:8,9	295:7	220:17
Connecting	contacts	205:2	contexts	221:9
125:15	45:22 46:4	206:11	44:8,24	conveyed
connection	contain	217:9,14	contingent	79 <b>:</b> 25
10:24	99:12	227:17	281:1,2	143:14
13:22	containing	233:4	continue	222:15
25:22	229:24	241:6	7:13 39:23	254:12
26:11 53:7	contains	254:9	84:3	conveying
53:8 82:25	99:8,10	273:13,22	122:12	221:13,16
191:13	contempo	274:5,9,17	285:3	convince
226:22	290:16	285:13	continued	144:23
230:10	content	307 <b>:</b> 21	282:14	coordinate
267:20	43:24	content	284:25	276:21
connects	49:23,24	95 <b>:</b> 13	302:12	coordinated
136:13	60:6,10,16	129:7	continuing	39:1 92:23
consensu	60:19,22	130:11	258:8	93:4,13
252 <b>:</b> 6	61:7 63:21	153 <b>:</b> 17	285:2	95 <b>:</b> 14
conserva	64:16 65:9	266:14	contractor	168:4
149:20	67:8 70:12	contents	263:20	Coordina
consider	70:18	193:22	contrast	284:13,17
			l	

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 327 of 386 PageID #: 6810

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

coordina	85:20 87:6	158:22	288:16	258:2
187:21	87:15,18	159:18	290:10	Counselor
190:19	89:12	161:19	291:15	234:8
282:2	90:15	165:8	292:5	counsels
copied	95:21,22	170:19	293:5	46:15
197:19	95:23	171:11,23	298:25	47:16
303:8	98:17,24	177:14,15	299:3	counter
copies 312:8	101:9	177:20	300:19,23	36:10
copy 11:8, 12	103:19	180:22	303:6,22	133:16
60:14	104:1	181:4,17	306:11	158:25
312:12	106:15,16	183:5	314:8,12	countering
copying	107:8,12	184:9	corrections	134:17
198:3	108:10,18	185:2,3	312:13	159:2
correct	109:4	198:16	correspo	counteri
11:16,19	113:4,4,6	204:4,5,7	4:15 5:8	234:24
11:20	113:16	204:11	288:17	235:13
12:17 17:9	114:11	214:7	Coughlin	238:1
17:24	115:8	216:15,16	194:2	counterm
26:12 28:8	117:2	216:16	Council	17:7
29:7,12,13	119:16	217:7	162:2	counterm
32:2 33:1	120:12	221:21,22	284:13,17	11:6 12:9
33:6,7,23	121:9	225:22	counsel	15:20,22
33:24 34:4	123:16	226:22	21:20 22:5	15:25
34:18	127:2,16	229:20	22:17	64:12
35:13,14	128:25	230:5,6	43:13,14	counterpart
36:2,3	129:9	232:17	45:13 77:1	45:24
37:15,16	130:2,9,18	240:1,17	94:16	counterp
37:22,23	133:7,8,12	241:8,25	123:11,11	140:4
38:2,6,7	133:22	242:1,14	169:18	counties
39:21,22	134:3	243:12	180:4	50:13
40:9 41:4	136:17,24	244:1,8,9	187:18	countries
46:6 49:12	141:13	248:7	194:22	94:5,10,12
51:23	142:3,9,12	249:7	196:23	305:22,25
52:12	142:22	258:13,13	197:6	country
56:10 59:7	144:11,15	261:19,24	208:20	163:5
59:11,16	144:18,25	261:25	216:19	county 6:7
59:21	145:12,25	263:2,6,7	237:15	16:24
63:10,13	146:15	263:12	239:15	50:14,14
64:13 65:2	147:15	264:23	241:11	50:19
66:1 <b>,</b> 25	148:1,10	267:17,18	258:16	283:1
69:15,18	148:15,16	267:22,23	287:23	310:4
70:7,20	149:3,12	272:13	310:18,19	314:2
71:18 72:8	149:17	273:14	counsel's	county-
72:13	150:20	274:9	65:23 87:1	172:15
75:16 80:9	151:12	277:24	241:18	couple 41:11
80:14	155:9,10	278:9	257:3,12	78:24 84:7
81:10,19	156:4,8	280:19,20	257:14,15	132:22
81:24	157:24	283:22,23	257:17,19	217:19
84:13	158:14,18	285:1,25	257:22	290:6
	-			

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 328 of 386 PageID #: 6811

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

<b>course</b> 13:14	237:4,11	199:1	dark 72:25	301:23
49:23	239:12	207:11	73:7	312:18
174:18	CRR 1:24	211:18,20	<b>data</b> 14:25	daytime
188:3	<b>CSAC</b> 284:7	211:22	48:25 59:9	162:22
236:1,4,5	CSR1:24	238:12,20	114:19	DCCC 13:11
280:21	310:9	275:17	235:5	16:7
287:12	culture	276:21	276:24	173:15
<b>court</b> 1:1	236:14	279:1,5	<b>date</b> 6:10	193:11
2:4 6:16	cumbersome	283:3	166:14	<b>deal</b> 290:4
9:20 10:8	296:25	284:3	259:22,23	dealing
259:11	current	Cyberspace	269:17,22	251:1
271:12	55:21 60:6	159:6	271:14	290:5
310:9	60:17	cycle 26:19	300:8,8	deals 79:21
courtesy	229:1	39:20,24	313:3	<b>Dear</b> 312:7
58:2	235:18,23	41:7 42:18	date's	December
<b>Cove</b> 3:10	238:12	54:14,22	300:10	312:2
coverage	286:12	64:22	<b>dated</b> 4:14	Decency
229:22	currently	87:13	4:16,22,24	121:23
<b>covered</b> 70:6	41:20	101:17	5:7,9	<b>decide</b> 274:1
188:8,23	109:7	109:11	11:18	299:9
covers	238:10	134:1,2	241:20	decided
282:19	299:6	141:16	244:2	240:15
covertly	<b>cut</b> 291:4	143:23	292:16	decision
77:17	304:7	147:18	293:11,25	233:4
<b>cream</b> 182:7	<b>cyber</b> 8:12	148:12,14	302:25	282:20
<b>create</b> 60:25	39:11,12	148:15	311:9	decision
created	45:5,5	162:5	dates 156:22	49:17
13:21 60:3	105:5,17	172:20	284:4	decision
141:17	198:11,13	176:9	285:17	90:8
143:24	199:1	202:13	<b>day</b> 6:4	decisions
creating	201:19	226:21	45:17	153:17
70:10	202:7	241:6	165:4	declaration
credentials	206:25	273:18	167:25	4:20 217:1
235:6	207:13	299:21	168:15	217:15,22
crime 270:13	245:12	cycles 64:25	217:20	228:2,9
criminal 9:2	261:8	78:13	230:15	declare
9:3 111:17	276:2,22	87 <b>:</b> 17	262:24,24	314:11
163:13,15	290:6		269:10,12	declassi
163:22	307:23	D	291:20	112:6
195:23	308:7	<b>D</b> 2:6	297:2	160:4
196:4	cybersec	<b>D.C</b> 2:11,17	301:16,19	declined
269:23		8:21 109:3	307:16	215:6
303:17	17:2 18:16	183:25	314:13	declining
critical	30:3 43:10	312:4	day-to-day	89:15
276:23	50:16	damage	24:23	189:2
284:8	55 <b>:</b> 10	236:20	days 182:21	<b>deem</b> 32:19
cross-ve	72:20	238:4	243:11	34:9,11
22:1	93:10	damaged	244:7	35:10
Crossfire	172:14	116:5	245:2	<b>deemed</b> 34:17
			l	

### 6812 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

98:11	309:4,10	265:16	describes	236:21
190:6	Delaney	269:8	247:4	253:3
274:14	271:14,16	depends	describing	262:15
<b>DEF</b> 275:10	272:2	165:20	224:1	267:3
275:12	<b>delay</b> 297:3	<b>deploy</b> 74:8	282:22	268:10
<b>defend</b> 83:17	delegation	deployed	description	296:3
212:5	123:8	139:2	4:10 5:4	determined
273:3	deleted	deponent	13:4	142:5
DEFENDANT	232:16	311:5	136:22	144:22
2:9	delibera	deposition	170:10	230:21
defendants	187:9	1:17 6:13	283:5	develop
1:11 7:10	delibera	6:18 8:23	designed	88:12
7:17	121:17	8:25 9:1	63:17 79:5	developed
defendants'	188:7	22:24 23:2	145:19	92:9
4:17	delibera	122:5	149:19	270:22
169:16	27:20	142:21	desired	development
defending	121:12,25	155:20	312:14	133:24
11:24 23:1	122:2,14	180:1	<b>detail</b> 86:11	develops
43:17	187:10	227:4	278:7	72:21
<b>define</b> 158:3	188:8,25	309:17	280:5	device 19:11
158:8	251:24	310:1,22	291:12	19:12
definitely	282:9,18	310:24	detailed	devices
152:6	Delynn	311:3	128:22	72:22
210:4	197:25	312:8	130:16	Devilotski
220:14	Democratic	314:5,7,10	<b>details</b> 88:5	44:15
definition	13:10,11	depositions	88:6,9	DHS 24:13
61:24	Democrats	9:2,3	111:10	151:11
<b>degree</b> 10:14	266:21	<b>depress</b> 79:5	166:21	152:4
10:25	department	deputy 200:8	232:5,19	170:1
87:17	2:10 3:4	200:23	<b>detect</b> 89:9	186:1
Dehmlow	7:8,15	201:18	114:5	218:15
109:5,21	24:11	234:23	129:25	DHS's 170:23
175:18,21	134:15	describe	133:16	278:10
176:16,21	136:4	10:11 13:1	136:2,16	DHS/Micr
178:13	138:24	15:21	136:19,24	279:11
180:21	139:14,20	59:22	137:12	different
181:14	139:22	69:21,25	270:22	19:4,10
184:16,25	140:4	146:1	detected	23:9 34:14
185:3,10	163:21	195:5	87:8	37:21,25
186:18	217:7,24	248:10	162:24	38:4 48:17
207:22	263:10,13	301:5	detecting	48:18 62:1
213:18	263:10,13	described	92:19	75:12 78:7
214:18	278:18	50:8 133:9	detection	86:4 88:2
215:3	312:3	142:20	31:12 88:3	89:21
216:15	Departme	164:5	204:22	97:20
221:24	140:8	224:19	determine	98:10
251:14	depending	230:25	14:2 33:15	99:15,16
254:14	71:21	232:9	163:1	105:5,17
281:5	190:2	249:10	217:10	112:22
1	•	•	•	•

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 330 of 386 PageID #: 6813

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

135:23,25	direction	disclosure	discussed	193:21
137:7	207:9,10	195:3	15:25	200:2
139:23	directly	discord	54:17 59:4	203:15
164:19,19	49:19	61:12	70:20	206:21
169:4	106:19	141:20	92:11 93:3	229:10
177:12	107:9	discourse	118:22,23	249:20
200:4	158:17	34:3	119:1,14	250:10
223:12	261:24	discover	119:16,18	251:19
251:6	director	31:12	135:22	discussion
269:18	24:13	32:23	152:14	7:11,22
278:22	46:24	146:24	153:24,25	21:12
278:22	48:12	discovered		82:23 83:2
			154:8,10	
306:22	124:2	27:10 31:8	154:15	105:11
differen	152:8	199:10	156:9,21	121:1
299:22	234:24	discredited	160:10	128:14
differently	246:3	142:17	172:3,6,13	129:10,20
228:14	275:5	discuss	177:23	130:25
294:21	292:10	13:19	178:3,5	131:2
299:8,10	293:6	15:22	180:20	150:22
299:15,17	director	90:16	181 <b>:</b> 15	184:19
difficult	44:18	91:23	182:10,17	187:24
9:20	123:10	92 <b>:</b> 18	188:2,13	256:21
difficulty	DiResta	121:19	202:7	282:12
82:24	51:21,24	135:19	206:23	292:12
141:9	51:25	141:11	207:18	297:11
digital	53:12 85:6	151:7	209:16	302:18
84:23	85:11	152:15	212:4,19	308:12
<b>direct</b> 14:3	disagree	154:11,12	216:11	309:8,15
14:25 21:6	68 <b>:</b> 14	179:10,25	225:6,24	discussions
21:7 28:25	disappear	185:10	228:22	55:5,14
77:15	81:24	187:5,13	229:19	58:10 91:3
128:17	297:24	189:18	239:5,9	117:23
141:7	disappears	191:24	246:13	124:17
144:2	82:3,4,20	203:6,10	247:11	180:16
181:9	83:10	206:3	254:18	187:17,18
217:21	disapproval	208:16,17	255:6	187:20
227:7	62:22	209:6,15	267:14	188:3,12
246:3	disclose	210:13,16	277:10	226:3
293:7	21:7	211:14,18	279:22	233:9,10
297:5	200:20	226:7	282:16	247:15
directed	202:20	229:11	285:7	251:25
14:14	disclosed	240:2	290:11	252:2
15:11 52:6	20:20	251:15	291:11	255:1
52:8	21:10,14	280:23	discusses	282:10
directing	21:23,25	282:5	29:21	290:25
128:20	disclosing	283:5,7	discussing	disinfor
143:17	97:13	285:11,11	91:15,20	11:4 45:3
146:17,18	111:10	286:8,23	154:3	45:9 46:13
158:19	121:16	294:14,19	191:12	46:21
100.19	121.10	∠୬4•⊥4 <b>,</b> ⊥୬	191.12	40.21
	I	I	1	I

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 331 of 386 PageID #: 6814

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

47:20	306:24	194:21	<b>DOJ</b> 163:12	
48:23 52:7	disliked	195:22,25	164:5,24	
52:25 53:2	66:16,18	196:5	171:6	<b>E</b> 2:1,1 3:1
55:8 60:5	disliking	198:8,15	197:23	3:1
60:8 79:21	62:15	198:19	200:8,23	E-l-v-i-s
94:6	64:18 65:6	199:17	267:6	8:9
105:20	67:19,23	257:22	268:9	earlier
106:15,23	disseminate	258:4,10	<b>DOJ's</b> 201:19	11:17
107:17	225:19	docket	<b>domain</b> 30:6	31:15 47:7
108:23	255:21	194:16	51:4	59:2 68:22
141:20	dissemin	document	domestic	80:22 84:8
153:25	127:1	11:7 28:20	111:14	86:4 89:18
154:4,8,9	223:3,11	59:20	138:4	89:23
154:18,20	225:5,11	103:20	161:17,24	96:21
154:22	298:18	104:21	162:4	99:17
156:9,12	dissemin	196:18	Dorsey	112:10
156:15	256:17	216:24	116:25	115:5
159:2,17	296:18	218:10	131:24	122:20
159:20	distribu	242:8	doubt 228:11	128:1
161:17,17	232:6	256:4	download	136:14
161:23,24	District1:1	274:11	99:11	142:21
162:4,17	1:2 6:15	289:20	<b>dozen</b> 16:12	156:4
163:2,5	6:16,19	291:21	62:8	171:22
167:17	diverse	292:7,14	110:18	173 <b>:</b> 25
168:17	158:6	292:19	dozens	180:17
201:17	division1:3	293:18,24	101:19	214:11
262:3,6,12	6:17 7:9	293:25	drafted	230:5
262:20	7:16 8:13	305:7,15	145:4	243:8
263:5,15	8:15 171:7	306:1,8,9	drive 77:19	267:15
263:17	200:9	documented	78:1,22	269:21
264:22	200:5	289:13,16	229:25	283:13
265:1,2	207:13	290:21,24	driving	284:25
268:25	234:24	292:3	78:16	287:24
270:8,16	238:1	documents	208:15	293:1
273:10,13	303:17	194:8,14	<b>Dublin</b> 45:25	306:18,20
273:19,21	divisive	243:19	due 20:2	308:14
274:20	61:16	247:6,12	150:8,13	<b>early</b> 89:19
275:21	63:20	247:20	205:9	237:8
277:5,7,9	72:11	256:9	duly 310:7	<b>earth</b> 191:16
279:11	73:24 74:6	305:4,5,6	310:15	293:15
283:9	74:7	doing 35:23	<b>dump</b> 172:8	<b>easier</b> 51:16
284:9	divulging	36:16 51:1	dumping	Easterly
285:7,14	174:5	70:1 115:3	13:13	274:23,24
285:23	<b>DNC</b> 16:7	119:2,5,7	<b>Dunlap</b> 1:24	274:25
286:6,9,16	172:25	120:17	6:6,21	275:20
305:16,18	173:16	157:1	310:6	<b>ECs</b> 290:14
305:19	178:11	172:9	<b>duped</b> 142:7	290:15,19
308:8	193:1,11	244:11	<b>DVDs</b> 298:7	291:13,22
disinfor	193:16	272:4,5	298:10	educational
	100.10	_,_ <b>.</b> ,,,	200.10	10:11
1		1		•

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 332 of 386 PageID #: 6815

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

effect158:9	election	210.1 11	260.11 16	199:4
158:15	4:13 13:14	219:4,11 219:15	260:11,16 elections	290:14
173:13			11:4 12:5	elements
187:4	16:11,24	220:1,7,19 221:11		
	19:9 26:19		18:18 20:1	126:20
190:9	39:16,20	222:1,6	26:21 27:9	159:16
215:5	39:24 40:6	226:21	31:6 37:14	<b>Elvis</b> 1:17
255:18	40:19,22	240:8,15	37:15	4:4,14,16
310:11	41:1,3,7,9	240:25	38:16,21	4:16,24
effective	42:18	241:6,25	40:3,7,8,9	5:7,9 6:14
64:5 88:17	54:14,22	243:11	40:11,13	7:21 8:1,9
88:21 92:1	56:14,16	244:8,19	40:15	20:24 62:3
effectively	56:20 57:2	245:2	41:24	113:11
29:9 35:17	57:9 <b>,</b> 14	251 <b>:</b> 16	45:18	114:4
36:1,5,13	64:22 <b>,</b> 25	256:16	46:16 50:6	269:16
effects	78:4,13	259 <b>:</b> 17	55 <b>:</b> 4,20	280:22
157:15 <b>,</b> 18	87:13,17	260:5	85 <b>:</b> 19	309:17
158:1,4	109:11	261 <b>:</b> 17	131:16	312:8
<b>effort</b> 10:7	141:16	262:7,24	135:3	313:1
86:21	143:23	262:24	151:1	314:4,19
198:17	147 <b>:</b> 18	263 <b>:</b> 16	152:21	<b>email</b> 4:15
<b>efforts</b> 4:12	148:12	265 <b>:</b> 12	155:2	4:15 5:8,8
64:4,4	151:10	266:10,17	161:19,25	27:12 30:5
172:14,15	152:16	269:10,12	162:18	89:4 98:25
<b>eight</b> 101:22	154:16,17	270:1,2,11	167:23	99:4,4,7
219:16	156:21	270:13	192:5	99:10,21
220:6	162:5,12	273:18	200:14	196:25
234:11	162:14,14	283:2	201:11,18	197:3,7,18
EIS-GCC	163:2	284:12,16	203:21	197:22
284:14,14	164:21,25	285:16	204:1	198:4
<b>either</b> 25:17	167:18,20	286:10	205:14	199:3
26:5 44:17	167:22,25	288:19,21	208:24	200:7
53:25 60:4	168:15	289:7	220:15	241:10
60:19	170:22	293:13,14	229:2	242:20
78:15 98:7	171:18,19	294:7	244:15	258:15,20
103:10	172:1,16	295:3,4,6	245:14	259:10
118:24	172:20	295:11	246:15	271:2
119:6	173:9	296:21	253:7	282:2,4
120:24	192:11,14	299:23	255:20	291:3
136:19	192:21	301:20	257:25	292:21
180:23	199:21	306:14,17	262:21	293:11
191:21	200:2	307:3,6,6	272:3	294:4
194:5	201:8,23	307:11,14	288:14	296:22
239:9	202:12,15	election	298:19,23	300:5
250:15	203:12,24	167:17	299:19,23	302:24
263:19	205:12,24	168:11	300:21	303:3,4,20
282:4	208:19	262:11	307:1,20	306:11
			-	
286:15	212:10	286:6	electronic	emailed
287:10 296:21	217:20	Election	19:11	169:22
	218:1	259:7	30:10	216:19

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 333 of 386 PageID #: 6816

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

	•	1	1	•
287:23	encrypted	107:16	entire	estimation
<b>emails</b> 46:2	295:14	116:18	165:19	134:5
187:21	<b>ended</b> 232:1	133:21	222:4	136:18
194:14	endorse	144:23	entitled	139:1,2
196:20	139:25	engaged 52:3	22:4 170:4	228:21
197:11,19	endorsed	52:23	170:7,14	<b>et</b> 1:6,9
229:24	139:24	135:3	278:4	259:6
287:25	Endowment	146:17	environment	312:5,6
288:2,5	142:5	150:25	61:13	313:2,2
289:13	energy	151:3,5,7	envisioned	European
embassies	276:25	257:12	159:14	143:6
136:5,6	enforcement	272:17	160:2	145:24
139:6,7	20:19	engagement	equal 110:24	Europeans
embed 276:1	21:17,18	15:3,8,15	equip 35:4	145:21
emceeing	23:15	18:7 61:19	equipped	evaluate
25:18	49:20	61:22,25	133:20	33:21
26:15	94:17	62:2,5,7,9	equivalent	event149:22
Emily 44:15	97:11	62:13,16	63:1	194:19
employee	111:8,14	63:9,15	errata	events
74:6	137:21	64:24 65:4	312:10,14	125:21
151:24	138:4	65:5,8	312:17	229:1
233:19,20	174:2,5	71:3,6	313:1	eventually
263:19	188:10	78:16,22	erroneous	41:10
310:17,19	189:1	80:22	164:17	127:5
employees	193:20,25	81:10	especially	evidence
44:23	194:11	83:22	10:6	14:16
70:17	195:1,6,11	84:10 85:2	192:21	43:20
71:14	195:14	85:22 86:5	256:15	74:22
75:10	199:23	134:11,14	<b>ESQ</b> 2:6,6,7	76:22 82:1
77:17	200:18	134:25	2:12,12,18	144:5
106:2	201:25	137:6	2:23 3:6	298:7
108:25	202:17	142:20	3:11 312:4	evolved
118:13	215:18	237:8	essentially	143:23
136:5	218:25	257:18	63:3 64:11	
140:3	221:4,8,15	engagements	104:24	182:18
151:23	270:9	64:6 67:13	146:7	214:22
160:9	283:25	engaging	173:4	247:14
177:1	294:9	30:22	176:1,2	288:8
209:11	enforcing	126:24	232:1	exactly
233:11	43:23	engineering	establish	88:10
235:18,18	49:11	10:15	158:25	134:12
enclosed	90:25 91:1	enhance	established	141:14
312:8,9	153:16,17	77:19	134:14	171:16
encompass	engage 61:7	<b>ensure</b> 127:7	establis	173:2
12:15	61:17	127:21	161:2	209:19
encouraging	63:20	152:20	estimate	222:13
261:21	70:11	<b>entail</b> 60:9	100:18,19	232:9
262:9	72:12	entails	100:21,22	237:7
263:4	94:25	111:18	113:20,22	239:1
	-	-	•	•

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 334 of 386 PageID #: 6817

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

248:13	executed	226:6	expressing	123:20,22
307:4	251:13	expectat	82:11,13	123:25
EXAMINATION	314:13	36:24	extends	124:1,4
4:1 8:6	executive	225:23	121:12	125:18,18
308:13	111:24	expected	<b>extent</b> 18:20	128:11,21
examine	121:13,13	163:18	50:24	130:15
127:6,20	executives	201:22	56:22	131:12
examined 8:4	131:14	219:1	199:24	134:8
12:3,5,8	exercising	220:17,21	232:8	147:10,13
310:14	118:15	222:25	236:19	147:17,24
<b>example</b> 10:1	<b>exert</b> 132:24	223:9,14	238:4	148:20
13:9 19:6	exhibit11:8	224:9,23	249:5	151:10
31:3,18	11:10 28:9	226:24	extract	152:17
60:12	141:7	227:2,4	86:18	210:22
65:13 67:6	169:4,9,11	301:12	199:2	211:3
67:10 68:1	169:14,19	Expedited		213:14,15
80:5,13	169:21	4:18	F	214:4,17
82:21 83:8	196:14,17	experience	F-a-r-e-e-d	216:2,14
88:11 89:1	196:18	74:13	58:14	233:22
93:11	197:8	79:20 95:6	F-a-r-i-d	234:1
100:18	216:18,18	95:17,18	58:14	241:5
112:10,10	216:22	113:4,5	<b>F.2d</b> 194:3	242:14
113:24	234:9	114:19	face 67:4	246:14,18
264:2,9,11	241:10,12	125:6,25	69:10	246:22
264:2,9,11	241:10,12	131:23	Facebook	248:18
265:7	258:24,25		12:8,18,19	252:21
269:4	•	134:22,24 151:4	17:23	253:15,15
	259:3,10		23:25	
276:24	271:1,4	168:2	41:25 44:3	253:19
277:1	277:12,16	203:16	44:12,23	254:22 255:13
285:15	287:20,23	268:13,14		
286:10,12	exhibits 4:8	experienced	45:2,11	280:2,11
examples	5:1 258:16	_118:14	49:9 54:9	286:2,5
64:14 89:7	<b>exist</b> 290:15	Expires	54:10,20	308:17
227:19	290:19	314:23	55:6 60:14	
exception	292:4	explain	60:15,25	facilitato
25:3	299:6	88:23	62:18 63:2	24:10
exceptional	existing	99:25	66:4 74:2	26:21,22
23:8	60:6,22	explanation	76:4 80:15	<b>fact</b> 11:8
exchanged	71:17,19	100:1	80:18	15:2 35:1
46:1	75:11,13	explored	81:24 85:9	145:23
exchanges	195:19	122:8	85:21	148:11
96:3	196:1	<b>expose</b> 37:20	86:16	151:4
exclusively	<b>exists</b> 299:5	37:25 38:4	91:11	164:11
100:9	expanded	express	102:12	195:9
Excuse	155:7	62 <b>:</b> 21	110:23	203:3
184:14	<b>expect</b> 23:9	207:24	112:19	208:8
execute	172:18	221:1	118:11,12	217:17
252:4	expectation	expressed	118:12	226:2
294:11	221:9	212:15	119:10	232:5

LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 335 of 386 PageID #: 6818

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

237:24	70:4,10	57:16,20	183:2	257:4,9
241:23	71:25 72:5	58:1,21	185:12	259:7
247:23	73:25	79:20 97:7	186:10	260:11,15
256:3	74:11 95:2	98:12 99:2	187:1,20	261:9
285:5	144:1	99:11 99:11	187:24	263:19,20
305:2	251:7,9	105:22	189:17	266:11,12
<b>factor</b> 132:9	fall 41:11	106:21	190:5	267:4,5,5
factors	falls 282:8	108:21,24	192:3,9	267:7,9,11
88:13	false 163:23	109:22	197:25	267:13
157:14	270:10	110:6,6,25	198:11	268:8
facts 43:19	familiar	111:13	200:3,6,24	272:4,5,16
74:22	18:8 56:11	119:23	200:5,0,24	272:4,5,10
76:22 82:1	67:21	120:2	206:21	276:1
		131:6	207:1,6	
144:4 175:2	111:16 112:22	138:13	207:1,6	280:14,22 280:25
<b>fair</b> 30:15	169:23	139:9	209:19,22	280:25
33:10	210:6,8	139:9	209:11 211:13	281:8,10
33:10 41:12	210:6,8 216:21	142:23	212:23	281:22
49:21	232:5	144:8	213:16,19	285:19
52:11	236:9 256:22	146:12 147:24	214:5,23	286:19,21
62:13,14			215:5,6,11	287:9,9
62:16 63:3	295:13	148:6,7,9	215:14	289:18
64:7,19	<b>fan</b> 275:6,7	148:23	217:25	290:13
66:5,9,16	<b>far</b> 171:18	149:24	218:16	291:23
68:15	174:1	150:9	221:9,14	294:10
73:15 74:2	Farid 58:11	151:11,15	221:16,19	295:20
75:15	<b>farms</b> 31:13 31:19	151:20	224:14	296:16,17
85:23		154:4,11 156:24	227:6,21	296:20,21
101:3,4 102:13	<b>fashion</b> 296:24	159:11	231:17,21 232:23	297:6,12 297:14
102:15	<b>fast</b> 10:6		232:25	298:2,9,12
114:10		162:12,16 162:20	•	
	<b>FBI</b> 8:15,16		233:18,20	298:15,18 299:19
115:6 132:4	16:1 17:19 17:22	165:2,3,5	233:24 234:1	
150:2	24:15,18	168:9,13 168:16,21	234:1 236:14	300:21,25 301:2,2,5
191:7	24:15,18	169:1	237:14	301:2,2,5
191:7	24:22,22 25:4 29:19	172:9,10	237:14 238:8	301:7,15
220:1	30:21	172:23,24	230:0 243:8,16	302:12
227:2	31:24 32:4	175:15	244:5,10	303:10
249:1,2	32:13,16	176:22	244:5,10	308:25
255:11	34:8,12,17	177:8,9,18	245:8,12	309:6
260:24	35:2,22	178:7,10	245:16,23	<b>FBI's</b> 24:16
fairly	36:22	178:12,17	246:22	34:1,15
142:20	39:14	179:7,14	250:1,3,11	100:1
fake 13:20	50:12	180:18	250:23	164:25
13:23 14:4	52:10 53:8	181:4,5,9	251:6,20	170:24
14:13,19	53 <b>:</b> 10	181:13	251:20,25	263:8
15:4,15,17	54:12	182:8,13	254:13	295:17
32:23 60:3	57:12,13	182:15	255:12,17	FBI-led
52.25 00.5	$J \cdot L \subset I \perp J$	$\perp \cup \angle \cdot \perp \bigcirc$	2JJ•12/1/	TDT TEG
	I			I

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 336 of 386 PageID #: 6819

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

177:24	24:22 39:8	264:10	163:22	300:17
FBI.gov	39:11 53:9	<b>files</b> 21:18	181:12	301:5
262:25	53:11 58:2	99:11,12	183:17	FITF's 36:4
263:6,8,16	98:11	193:22	184:4	36:10
265:3	104:22	194:1	194:12	FITF-Global
266:19	105:1	filing	195:19	303:24
268:5	107:5,13	312:19	197:7	FITF-org
featured	107:18	financial	209:24	181:9
161:18,25	108:16,20	115:12	210:4	253:19
Fed 310:11	110:11,12	financially	219:22	<b>five</b> 97:18
310:15,20	110:14	310:20	230:8	98:21
311:1,7	162:16	<b>find</b> 60:16	231:22	100:23
<b>federal</b> 7:9	163:8,9,10	72:11	275:2	101:8
7:16 8:13	163:17	73:23 74:6	277:17,25	123:14
16:25	164:20	86:10	278:2	148:12,13
17:16 18:1	168:13,13	103:12	288:18	175:6
18:6,11	177:9,10	103:12	310:15	305:4,5
57:7,10	181:4	113:25	first-order	<b>fizzled</b> 64:7
80:9	201:1	138:3	157:18	flag 22:25
111:15	259:17,20	199:7	158:1,3,8	90:4
152:18,19	261:12	204:23	158:15	113:18
216:6	264:15,17	254:23	<b>FITF</b> 24:17	136:20
218:25	265:16,19	312:8	36:9 44:6	146:16
220:16	266:6,23	finding	109:3,6,8	164:22
221:4,8,15	266:25	214:11	109:16	286:11
221:4,8,15	269:15	<b>finds</b> 29:4	151:22,24	306:24
233:3,9,17	<b>Fifth</b> 194:3	72:22	162:8	307:19,21
263:13	Fighting	fine 23:11	177:24	<b>flagged</b> 90:7
269:25	4:11 11:3	95:25	181:3	90:12
270:2	<b>figure</b> 66:22	fine-tune	182:13	100:20
280:15	79:1,1,9	103:21	183:24	101:16
285:10	80:6,13,15	finished	184:23,25	114:10
286:20	81:13	194:13	185:1,2,21	144:9
feedback	90:17	<b>FIRM</b> 2:21	187:5,17	144:9
7:12	149:13	<b>first</b> 4:15	189:25	147:24
113:14	235:22	4:18 5:8	190:17,19	148:9
274:21	264:15	8:25 9:1,7	190:24	163:16
<b>feeds</b> 84:4	265:16	11:15 13:7	204:9	166:9,23
<b>feel</b> 73:9	<b>file</b> 30:6,10	19:17	207:17,18	190:18
226:25	30:10 99:1	29:20	213:11	191:3
feels 210:8	259:7	39:18	216:13	211:7
227:1	289:19	53:20 70:3	223:19	222:2
<b>felt</b> 116:5	292:2,3	120:4	253:15	228:25
118:2	295:17	122:6,10	281:4	229:4,7
120:7	300:11	128:15	287:10,11	265:10
120:7	302:14,23	132:15	289:25	307:3,5,14
291:16	filed 6:15	136:12	291:13	flagging
<b>fend</b> 50:19	194:16,18	139:4,11	293:2	101:3,24
<b>field</b> 16:8	196:21	148:4	297:19	159:22
	190.21	140.4	291.19	1.0.9.22
	I	I	I	I

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 337 of 386 PageID #: 6820

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

	_	_	_	_
floating	152:25	247:8	197:24	224:12
69:8 82:6	159:5	250:16	199:6	255:14,16
82:6	footnoted	256:1,2,9	264:16	255:17
focal 159:1	65:22	273:10,18	<b>found</b> 62:4	Framework
<b>focus</b> 13:16	footnotes	290:3,12	85:12,14	126:20
17:19 29:3	129:5	290:25	89:22	framing
34:23	force 24:16	296:1	147:3	247:14
59:14	38:23 39:7	303:13	214:13	Francisco
94:12	110:8	306:25	229:25	6:7,20
104:16,19	127:5	308:9	foundation	8:12,15,18
161:16,23	170:24	309:1	18:3,14	24:18
focused16:3	184:22	foreign	24:2 30:16	105:1
134:17	207:2	143:15	30:24	106:18
138:5	250:16	foreign	32:15	107:3,5,13
154:3,4	276:2	138:25	33:11 34:5	107:18
185:25	303:14	foreign	34:19 35:6	108:20
211:22	309:1	36:11	36:7,19	119:23
238:11	310:11	foreign	42:7	128:4
focuses	forced	133:17	114:16	135:4
17:21	127:19	270:23,25	117:11	165:2,4,5
59:20	foregoing	foreign	133:13	168:9,16
Focusing	310:23,24	98:16	138:20	168:21
105:20	314:5,12	99:13	153:21	177:2,9
<b>folks</b> 293:13	foreign	foreign	155:7	181:5
303:5,23	24:16	113:1	171:15	198:12
306:12	38:23 39:6	foreign	172:21	201:5
<b>follow</b> 103:7	39:9 46:13	42:16 51:1	212:25	267:9,13
104:3	47:19	foreigners	213:23	268:10,13
163:18	48:23	145:16	233:7	268:15
165:16	110:7	foreshad	<b>four</b> 97:4	276:2
269:15	111:23	71:14	101:13	287:10
279:12	129:3	<b>forgot</b> 171:6	107:20	296:17,21
follow-up	131:4	form 61:21	147:22	298:12
17:4	133:7	63:8 160:6	148:8,14	301:3,17
250:18	134:17,20	314:6	150:6	310:4
followers	134:22	formation	173:24	Francisco's
74:8,11,12	136:2,17	16:22	184:5	25:4 50:13
74:14	138:5	former	207:20	58:1
following	140:5	235:23	210:24	162:12,20
38:11	161:2,3	forms 63:14	<b>frame</b> 19:21	169:1
51:10	163:3	65 <b>:</b> 4	60 <b>:</b> 18	272:16
108:12	170:24	<b>forth</b> 134:8	89:20	frankly
181:21	184:21	156:2	120:6	94:23
242:9	187 <b>:</b> 3	272:24	130:12	<b>fraud</b> 268:17
<b>follows</b> 8:5	207:1	forthcoming	192:15	<b>free</b> 126:19
footnote	219:9,24	192:23	205:23	126:23
77:23	225:4	291 <b>:</b> 17	237:4,9	freelance
80:11	242:4	forward	307 <b>:</b> 17	142:1,6
127:10,25	243:21	28:21 41:7	framed	145:8
	I	l	I	l

www.lexitaslegal.com

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 338 of 386 PageID #: 6821

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

				1
freely	287:2	283:15	232:4	71:12
120:22	308:10	287:3,3	239:22,23	72:10
127:1	309:14	291:2	295:19,20	73:23 76:7
frequency	<b>fusion</b> 159:1	296:9	<b>Ghana</b> 31:14	76:19 80:3
18:8	<b>future</b> 127:8	298:1	Giannini	83:5 87:2
frequent	127:21	299:23	181:22,24	96:11
166:21	195:7,7	301:14	183:16	106:5
269:7		307:22,22	184:20	127:3
288:25	G	General's	185:1,6	130:14
frequently	G-i-a-n	2:4 7:3	207:23	131:11,25
50:12	182:2	generali	254:15	140:10
57:18	Garcia	111:10	281:5	142:4
95:15	276:15	generality	give 21:24	152:22
101:12	gather 70:11	121:16	34:16	155:4
109:16	111:25	generally	60:11	159:12
110:16	112:3	11:21 13:8	65:12	167:4
178:4	gearing	15:21 18:6	96:21 97:7	169:20
210:25	171:25	19:2 55:9	108:3	176:5
244:12	GEC 134:16	56:15	179:2,20	180:8
271:24	GEC's 138:15	69:21	179:24	234:13
Friday	general 15:6	87:11	180:2	244:3
162:14	15:18	94:22	241:10	258:23
167:22	30:20	97:15	259:16,18	261:2,16
301:17	56:20	121:22	265:6	261:23
front 28:10	61:11 65:8	170:8	277:1	262:1,23
51:15	72:17 90:3	190:19	303:1	272:19
81:15	95 <b>:</b> 12	232:4,5		287:13
116:23	97:17	235:15	<b>given</b> 8:23 9:3 53:22	288:24
	100:4			
117:1	102:3	253:22	150:2	294:20
128:2	121:20	280:1	202:1	295:1
131:25	140:6	287:2	243:16	300:3
frontline	157:4	289:8	245:17	301:10
257:8	187:19	generate	246:7	304:14
<b>full</b> 100:17		60:8,19	291:7	goal 36:4,10
101:2	188:12	75:1	311:1	61:4,14
135:8	192:20	generated	<b>giving</b> 35:1	goals 86:24
183:22	195:13,16	60:4 70:17	53:25	<b>goes</b> 32:9
310:10	201:3	72:17 73:6	271:19	220:25
function	202:8	73:10	<b>Glen</b> 3:10,10	222:23
43:10	204:18	74:19,24	global	225:23
62:21	207:6	75:9,24	134:11,14	229:21
function	212:13	generating	134:25	231:25
298:3	217:11	95:2,2	137:6	259:7
functions	237:15	gentleman	303:14	going 21:20
202:1	238:20	303:8	305:19,21	28:14 37:1
funded 276:8	239:15	<b>getting</b> 64:5	<b>go</b> 9:5 15:4	37:3 38:8
	248:12,14	113:13	22:8,19	38:11 41:2
<b>further</b> 68:8				
further 68:8 199:12 250:23	254:18 282:14	190:3 197:1	28:21 31:1 31:2 52:16	41:6 51:7 51:12 67:1

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 339 of 386 PageID #: 6822

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

84:3 94:18	41:25	201.12 17	291 <b>:</b> 18	243:10
97:10		284:13,17		257:22
120:10	46:19,20 47:4 49:9	<b>governme</b> 12:9 37:9	groups 209:2 GS-10 110:1	
				258:4,10
121:11,24	118:11,12	37:12	<b>GS-14</b> 106:13	hack-and
126:2,5	118:13	269:24	151:17	13:8 16:3
140:7	119:11	governments	177:1	39:15 59:5
157:6	123:20,22	219:9	GS-14-level	172:3,9,19
169:3	125:5,11	grade 109:25	106:2	173:1,6,12
178:24	131:13	177:1	GS-14s	173:15
180:2	152:24	graduate	107:10	174:25
188:23	210:23	10:18	108:16	175:1,11
189:15	286:2,4	graduated	109:25	175:16,19
190:10	Google/Y	10:13,16	<b>GS-15</b> 109:24	176:11
193:19,24	110:23	grand147:12	152:2	177:22
194:19	government	grants 16:25	guess 29:2	178:3,20
196:12	4:12 11:5	16:25	50 <b>:</b> 7	187:3
200:17	15:20,22	172:14	171:21	189:5
201:3	15:24 17:7	graphic 67:2	297:21	208:10
202:16	21:10,14	Graphika	305:17	210:17
203:8	22:2 23:16	14:24	guessing	211:15
216:17	23:18 24:7	144:21	9:14	307:25
217:21	29:9,18,25	145:2,6	guidance	hack-and
225:8	35:17,21	<b>Great</b> 242:23	50:17	178:6
235:16	37:19	ground 9:6	298:2	hack-and
241:9	61:11 80:9	136:6	Gurzhiy 6:22	172:4,19
242:7	111:15	grounds	<b>guy</b> 303:25	180:19
248:2	121:14	121:25	guys 23:3	181:6,15
258:15,23	122:4	122:14	94:20	182:9,16
259:8	150:25	163:25	113:14	185:11
260:7,11	151:2	188:24	254:21	186:6,16
260:16,19	152:18,19	193:20		187:2
261:4,5	155:6	199:23	Н	188:5
271:1	156:17	201:24	H-a-n-i	189:19
272:1	158:24	202:17	58:13	190:7,12
276:9	159:15	group 18:24	hack 13:10	191:1,13
277:12,20	161:9	19:13	172:8	191:17,24
282:21	170:9,21	23:22 26:9	178:11	192:4,6,8
287:22	176:7	27:15	193:10,16	192:11,18
293:9	191:20,23	44:12	195:25	192:20,23
299:24	191:20,23	45:16	196:5,7,9	192.20,23
<b>good</b> 6:9	211:9,12	46:24	198:8,19	194:21
48:8 77:7		40:24 47:21	199:17	199:20
211:19	216:7 218:12	47:21 48:12	206:12	200:16
272:8	222:4	40:12 155:15	225:10,13	200:16
			225:10,13	
295:23,24	233:4,17	190:11	235:10	202:15
<b>Google</b> 12:7	235:17,18	254:12		203:11,20
12:11,14	235:19,23	277:11	235:4	203:23,25
17:23	236:23	284:18,21	239:9,18	204:16,20
23:25	278:22	287:5	240:5	205:3,16
	I	I	I	I

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 340 of 386 PageID #: 6823

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

205:20	208:12	268:4	186:12	23:15
206:22,24	hacking	276:5	217:4	152:17
207:8	13:12	happened	278:13	162:13
208:1,6,18	43:17	18:1 19:25	308:4	help 27:15
208:23,24	50:20	97:3 101:8	head-shakes	88:24
209:4,21	55:13,13	117:3,3,4	9:24	helpful 22:6
211:5,10	212:5	117:5	heading	31:5
211:15	223:1,3,15	173:22,24	279:17	helps 103:21
212:9	225:4	174:9	302:19	herder 72:21
219:1,10	230:24	194:10	headquarter	hereto 311:7
219:20,25	238:19	229:14	267:12	Hey 62:3
220:18,22	240:2	248:21	headquar	103:15
220:10,22	250:12		24:25 25:3	104:4
220:24	258:12	253:11,12 253:12		131:7
			25:5,6	
222:16,18	273:2,3	266:16	169:1	214:19
225:24	290:25	272:12	<b>headquar</b> 8:20 38:15	227:17
226:4,8,18	hacks 59:13	275:11		247:17
227:10,10	223:20	299:21	57:17,20	252:17
228:7,17 228:24	224:9,11	happening	58:21 98:12	266:20
	224:15	171:17		<b>high</b> 2:5
229:17	238:21	229:1	162:17	32:22
239:6	half19:18	282:3	164:25	59:22 64:5
244:6,18	19:20	happens	168:14	64:10,10
245:1,9	28:22	76:25 82:3	198:23	66:3 80:21
246:19	225:1	82:12,17	267:4,5	80:24
247:18	Hammell	83:8,11,25	268:8	83:22,23
248:24	197:25	84:5 87:7	298:3	84:9
251:16,21	hand 258:1	97:17	301:6,15	110:18
255:19	handle 248:3	98:18	303:18	112:25
256:15	248:6,16	103:17,19	heads 10:3	113:3
282:5,6	264:20	263:15	306:12	160:11
hacked 176:7	265:23	304:5	heads-up	243:18
198:15	270:24	<b>happy</b> 169:4	99:4 108:3	245:19
204:23	handles	hard 229:25	hear 23:11	247:5
205:8,25	305:21	harder 78:12	24:17 83:3	255:10
211:21	handling	harm 297:3	95:24,25	high-level
215:16	248:12	Harold 47:12	128:7,18	30:12,20
225:14	Hang 169:10	<b>hash</b> 30:6,9	169:5	62:9,13
248:1,6	Hany 58:11	30:10	270:7	higher 15:14
249:13,23	<b>happen</b> 19:3	Hat 275:10	heard 120:3	71:6 90:8
250:25	42:19 78:7	275:12	207:2,3	110:24
251:11	97:7,16	hate 264:3	279:4,6	128:20
252:18,22	101:11	head 45:17	hearing	134:4
252:25	127:7,21	47:21	82:25	146:1
253:2,4,24	160:14	48:24	95:25	160:11
254:23	171:12,14	53:23 54:2	141:9	263:22,22
255:22	173:22	109:5,7,15	hearings	263:25
256:17	192:18	110:15	125:24,25	highest
hackers 59:8	225:11	166:11	<b>held</b> 6:18	109:22
	l	l	l	I

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 341 of 386 PageID #: 6824

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

	I	I	1	1
highlight	<b>host</b> 24:9	Hunter	<b>idea</b> 66:17	illegal
19:3,8,9	<b>hosted</b> 39:4	212:24	77:25	93:14
240:17	151:10	213:6,8,9	148:20	illustra
242:15	218:12	213:17	215:20	31:4
274:15	246:14,17	214:6,24	250:3	<b>image</b> 80:19
286:14	246:24	215:12,22	267:19	<pre>images 75:3</pre>
highlighted	hosting 26:7	215:25	279:13	impact
37:10	hot 164:14	216:5,11	284:10	240:12,20
51:22	293:14,15	227:11,25	304:2,4,11	240:21
55:24	294:7,10	228:7,17	305:13	impacted
75:12	294:17,22	228:22	identical	120:25
161:20	295:4,5	229:4,10	279:21	impactful
295:24	hot-button	229:17	identifi	201:4
highligh	60:17,24	230:1,9,16	11:11	<b>impede</b> 36:5
29:2	227:23	230:17	169:12	impeded
141:24	229:13	232:7,24	196:15	29:10
260:14	hour 6:4	233:5,24	216:23	35:18
292:20	77:3 110:4	234:2	241:13	impeding
295:23	234:11	243:25	259:1	36:1,13
<b>highly</b> 64:5	hours 301:16	252:14	271:5	impending
Hillary 67:3	307:10	253:8	287:21	192:24
69:9	house 44:14	308:15,23	identified	impetus
hire 141:25	79:24	309:11	78:25	205:15
276:8	116:11,15	Huntley	81:22	implicate
hired 143:24	117:24	46:25 47:2	100:14	199:25
145:8	housed	47:3 125:9	113:15	implicates
hiring 276:9	161:13	hurdles	143:15,19	27:20
history	291:23	254:8,11	273:19	111:7
235:5	Howard 77:24	Hurricane	277:24	important
hit 64:8	HPSCI 80:11	237:4,11	292:9	37:18
hoc 44:20	116:2,9,9	239:12	identifies	<pre>improve 17:1</pre>
<b>HOFT</b> 2:20	116:11	hypothet	32:13	improvement
hold 7:13	118:8	76:9,21	76:15 96:5	294:15
holder	119:6,8,17	250:7	99:13	in-person
265:17	127:10,12	252:8,11	265:21	20:7 236:7
310:8	huh 269:13	265:6,20	identify	inaccurate
homeland	huh-uhs 9:25	268:3,20	98:15,15	261:22
10:17	human 45:14	hypothet	158:16	inapplic
24:11	71:25 72:6	250:2	273:11	21:19
217:25	74:5 85:16	251:2	identifying	inauthentic
278:18	87:22		96:25	34:2,18,22
<b>honest</b> 69:5	89:24,25	I	identities	90:13
honestly	90:10,25	<b>I&amp;A</b> 24:12,13	86:22	92:24
149:21	91:8,14,19	278:13,15	178:25	93:21,22
240:11	hundreds	278:16,24	179:6	93:23 95:2
268:21	64:16	281:24	identity	95:23 93:2 95:14
299:13	93:12	<b>i.e</b> 71:15	292:9	111:5
hopefully	101:5,7,18	ice 182:7	IIFA/FAR	112:16
126:6	112:12	iCloud 42:17	251:8	126:25
120.0	•		201.0	120.20
	1	I	I	I

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 342 of 386 PageID #: 6825

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

129:25	145:4	84:25	207:19,20	influence
136:17	152:24	118:1	210:20,25	4:13 13:16
137:12	156:11	122:22	211:24	13:19
138:18	170:22	indicates	212:2,4	24:16
146:7	254:4,13	66:2 80:17	222:25	29:10
157:19,21	280:1	85:7 243:3	223:9,14	30:22
158:21	includes	indicating	223:16,19	35:18 36:1
159:22	18:24	87:3	258:9	36:5,13
160:19	49:23	indicators	277:6	37:13
incentive	50:13 96:5	30:2,4	281:4	38:23 39:6
115:12	96:24	42:14	Indraneel	39:10
incident	109:24	50:21 51:3	2:12 7:7	46:13
101:16	142:6	99:15,17	7:14 37:3	47:19
216:12	157:22	99:17,22	38:10 51:9	48:23 59:3
include	204:6,9	99:24	58:25	59:18,23
12:19,21	including	100:3,14	84:18	63:15
12:22 14:7	61:6 133:4	100:19	242:8	70:22
30:4 36:12	134:22	101:2,16	258:17	110:7
36:16	142:1	101:24	271:2	126:16
47:12	145:9	199:2,3	299:25	129:3
57:12	188:10	306:13,19	312:4	131:4
71:22	195:7	indicia	indranee	133:7
79:23	223:4	199:4,7	2:13	134:20,23
118:10	225:5,15	306:22	induced	136:3
123:9	307:7	indicted	145:10	157:16
130:20	incomplete	196:2,11	industry	158:2
135:25	268:2	individual	18:24	161:2,4
140:3	incorrect	84:4	19:13 26:9	170:24
146:23	17:11	200:20	27:8,15	184:22
155:3,7	increase	204:10	30:4 72:20	207:2
156:22	40:3	209:24	155:15,20	242:5
157:18	288:21	211:19	156:3,10	250:16
159:21	Increased	216:3	170:10	256:2
164:16	288:19	276:14,16	172:18	290:3,12
165:17	increases	303:21	217:25	296:2
175:18	115:13	individuals	220:11	303:13
181:5	independ	25:9 26:4	228:5	308:9
184:24	205:22	47:9	231:16	309:1
212:20	<b>INDEX</b> 4:1,8	106:20	275:18	influencing
226:13	5:1	135:14,15	industry	34:3
227:16	indicate	135:17,20	155:21	<b>inform</b> 49:17
231:17	15:1,14	146:11	infects	99:1
244:17	117:24	152:5	72:23	250:22
249:9	204:14	153:10	<b>infer</b> 118:17	informal
274:16	215:6	183:2	132:1	160:11
283:11	252:21	185:7	inferred	information
included	312:13	195:25	119:13	13:13
24:20	indicated	196:8,10	inflamma	16:17,19
119:21	79 <b>:</b> 19	207:14,17	60 <b>:</b> 25	16:19,23
1				

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 343 of 386 PageID #: 6826

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

17:7,14,15	111:1,19	261 <b>:</b> 19	264:18	43:9,16
17:18,22	112:7,23	264:17	304:2	44:11
17:25 18:9	112:25	265:14	initials	45:12,15
18:9,17,21	113:12	266:3,8	303:9,21	45:17 46:8
18:22 19:1	114:5	269:19,22	initiative	47:18
21:2,4,11	136:4,6,8	270:10	16:1,2,4	48:15
21:13 22:2	136:10	273:12,20	172:12	56:14,17
27:11,13	138:2,12	273:25	initiatives	56:21 57:2
29:11,14	143:2,12	274:6,13	135:23,25	57:9 <b>,</b> 14
29:16,18	148:17,23	283:8,12	inroads	97:25
29:20,21	149:6,24	283:16,18	31:20	153:12
29:24,25	150:13,18	283:25	insofar	164:24
30:1,12,18	154:7	286:16,18	97:12	217:5,8
30:20	158:25	286:21	187 <b>:</b> 16	230:21
31:10,16	160:3,6	287:1,7,8	200:19	232:12
31:21 32:1	161:11	290:11	202:19	267:6
32:3,6,10	162:15,23	295:19,21	Instagram	268:9
32:17 33:3	163:10,13	295:25	12:20	293:5
33:9,13,20	163:15,19	296:1,6,18	62:18	integrit
34:1,8	163:23	296:20,23	85:10	46:23
35:2,9,19	164:17,22	297:7,18	91:18	48:22
35:22	166:19	297:22	147:13	intellig
36:17,23	167:1	298:4,6,11	instance	24:12,14
37:19,24	168:4,12	298:12,17	113:9	74:4 79:25
39:1 42:14	168:18	299:21	297:2	111:23
48:1 50:8	171:1	300:22	instances	112:1,3,21
50:15,23	173:24	301:25	97:5	116:12,14
50:25	174:3	303:6,24	147:23	116:16
53:23 80:7	179:21,23	304:3,19	148:8,12	119:9
80:10	180:1	304:21,23	148:13,14	170:23
88:14,22	195:18	305:6,8,10	167:11	222:24
88:25	198:4	305:20	242:3	223:8,13
89:16 96:3	202:24	308:6	284:1	223:23
96:5,19,21	203:4	informed	instruct	224:14,19
96:24 97:6	211:10	163:12	21:21	224:23
97:8 98:7	213:16	infrastr	121:18	225:10
98:10,16	214:23	17:1 18:17	188:24	235:7,21
99:8,12	221:14	42:13	instructing	245:12
100:12,22	225:19	154:17	189:12	257:2,5,7
102:7,23	230:22	211:22	202:25	278:10,17
102:25	231:1,5,11	276:23	instruction	278:21
102:23	231:15,24	283:2	202:25	<b>intend</b> 23:7
103:16,21	232:8	284:8,12	202:25	intended
104:20,23	248:11,12	284:16	instruct	119:11
105:4,7,9	251:4	ingratiate	23:5	141:19
105:15	252:6	70:18	intake	152:20
106:2	254:12,22	initial	263:19	301:22
108:4,6,9	255:10	125:23	266:4	intense
108:13	256:17	231:1,6	integrity	127:4,18
	200.11	201.1,0	y	±2,•1,±0
	l	1	1	I

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 344 of 386 PageID #: 6827

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

	I	1	I	I
intensive	206:23	interview	199:6,12	investig
78:10	249:17	4:24 5:7	199:13,16	214:22
<b>intent</b> 160 <b>:</b> 1	Internet	259:16	199:19,25	investig
interact	13:14 31:7	261:14	200:21	44:19
27:3 44:23	31:19 52:1	271:13,19	202:21	48:17
61:6 95:3	53:5,23	interviewed	213:17	267:1
134:25	54:3 55:21	271:24	214:6,14	<b>invite</b> 24:10
interacted	56:12 60:1	interviews	214:24	108:25
27:6 54:11	61:12	259:13,14	233:24	140:2
interacting	69:16 73:4	259:19	235:2,8,11	288:20
64:17	74:5 75:9	introduce	235:11,14	involve
157:19,21	75:25 76:3	6:25	236:1,18	50:18 71:3
interaction	78:21	intrusion	236:20	187:17
45:2	100:7,10	236:20	237:5,6,11	227:11
289:21	126:15	intrusions	237:22	228:7,17
interact	141:17	173:8	239:8,10	229:17
135:9	143:3,16	174:8,16	239:12,25	247:1
235:25	154:6	258:10	258:1,3,6	280:18
236:24	157:1	Invaders	258:9	involved
interagency	interpret	81:15	266:23,25	26:14
159:1	81:7 92:23	investigate	308:7	27:22
282:9,19	228:13,15	198:21	investig	41:17
interest	interpre	investig	39:9,12,13	49:20
282:15	66:11	199:8	45:6 97:20	54:15,18
interested	122:25	investig	98:10,12	56:22 57:1
236:19	218:9	11:23	105:17,18	57:8,13
310:20	interpreted	12:15	108:5	79:11
interesting	118:16	39:14	111:20	89:25
72:18	123:3	215:11	120:22	90:25
136:13	165:20	investig	174:7	94:10
Interest	interpre	8:13 31:7	190:4	105:23
259:22	118:22	97:13	190:4	103:23
interfer	interrog		195:8	123:4
	4:19 21:11	142:23	196:8	
34:12 200:13	21:23		198:8,19	124:4,10
257:24	169:17	174:6 192:25	200:24	124:12,15 142:23
intermin			200:24	154:5
146:6	<b>interrog</b> 21 <b>:</b> 15	193:6,10 193:13,18	202:8	192:25
internal			202:8	
	169:25	193:22		193:4,6
187:8,17	170:16	194:2,6,9	207:9	196:9
187:20,23	179:8	194:15,21	257:21,23	198:6,14
188:7,12	277:14	195:10,20	286:22	198:14
214:14	interrupt	195:22,23	290:6	199:13,18
251:24 252:1	9:19 52:17	195:24	307:23	208:12
	106:4	196:1,4,7	<b>investig</b> 21:18	237:4
254:7,8,10	242:20	196:13		245:5
255:1	293:16	197:5	193:25	255:13
297:11	intervening	198:22,24	194:1	281:23,25
internally	26:24	198:25	303:17	involvement
	I	I	I	I

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 345 of 386 PageID #: 6828

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

27:16,18	<b>Iran</b> 94:13	7:5	302:17	11:21 15:2
57:4 162:3	94:23	jeninyou	306:4	15:15 17:5
193:8	155:3	2:19	jumping 84:7	18:21 20:7
194:15	305:21	jibes 286:20	132:21	26:14
197:4	<b>Iranian</b> 95:7	Jim 2:20	147:8	27:17 30:8
involves	251:7 <b>,</b> 9	239:14	158:23	30:12 32:5
231:21	Iranians		169:23	32:12 37:7
		<b>job</b> 48:2		
258:11	175:12	74:15	<b>June</b> 5:7	43:17
268:17	Ireland	168:21	19:21	44:11,20
involving	45:25	<b>John</b> 2:6,23	271:10,15	59:3,10
70:23	69:19	7:2,5	271:20	61:6 62:16
90:12	<b>ISMG</b> 259:21	76:12,18	Junior 1:9	62:21,21
181:2	271:18	john.sau	259:6	63:17,19
218:15	<b>issue</b> 23:3	2:8	312:6	64:7 65:3
265:11	23:12	john@bur	313:2	65:8 67:2
<b>Iowa</b> 19:6,9	60:24	2:24	jurisdic	67:3,8
<b>IP</b> 30:4	102:12,12	joined 7:4	164:19	68:15 69:8
31:23 51:4	178:19	<b>joint</b> 35:25	Justice 2:10	71:3,24
62:3 99:21	211:4	284:17,20	3:4 7:9,16	89:9 97:6
100:9	227:23	Joseph1:9	163:21	102:18
199:3	229:13	6:15 259:6	263:13,14	104:25
<b>iPad</b> 28:19	245:9	276:17	312:3	105:8
38:11	<b>issued</b> 310:9	312:5	Justice's	112:16
51:10	<b>issues</b> 12:16	313:2	263 <b>:</b> 11	114:13
197:8	18:1 60:17	journalists	justice.gov	116:16,24
241:18	70:6 164:3	142:1,6	262:25	117:8
<b>IRA</b> 65:13	205:10	145:8	263:6,10	118:19
69:16,22	240:2	<b>judge</b> 21:8		122:21
70:1,17	277:5,7,9	122:5,11	K	130:10
71:14	290:4	judgment	Kartapolov	136:12,22
72:11	item 289:11	90:18	126:20	138:15
74:18,20	294:7	judicial	<b>Kate</b> 55:24	141:19,20
77:17	items 27:25	196:12	56:2 58:20	146:6,13
84:10 85:1	188:1	<b>Judy</b> 181:22	<b>Kay</b> 135:7	149:1
85:8	281:9	183:14	<b>keep</b> 110:3	159:8
126:19	282:25	281:6	203:1	167 <b>:</b> 15
141:25	·	<b>July</b> 19:21	242:4	227:17
144:22	J	300:4,12	258:23	269:3
<b>IRA's</b> 69:14	<b>J-a-n</b> 209:25	<b>jump</b> 37:1	263:21,24	283:11,19
84:12,21	<b>Jack</b> 116:25	38:8 51:7	<b>keeps</b> 202:25	305:10
IRA-asso	131:24	58:23	Kelly 44:15	<b>kinds</b> 12:16
132:13,17	<b>Jan</b> 209:25	128:6	Ken 2:7 7:4	30:14,14
IRA-cont	210:5	150:21	<b>key</b> 240:16	43:1 59:3
87:5	Jefferson	157 <b>:</b> 6	<b>kick</b> 265:15	64:6 86:5
158:16	2:5	161:14,14	Kieft 47:23	94:5
IRA-gene	<b>Jen</b> 274:23	169:24	48:12	105:23
72:10	274:24,25	242:7	kill 264:5	107:22
IRA-orig	275:20	293:9	264:12	132:3
85:23	<b>Jenin</b> 2:18	299:24	<b>kind</b> 9:5	254:3
1	-	-	-	-

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 346 of 386 PageID #: 6829

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

F.C. 01	72.5 76.10	170.00	057.14	<b>1</b> 1 2 . 0
56:21	73:5 76:10	178:23	257:14	known 13:8
251 <b>:</b> 20	76:11,23	181:19	260:8	24:1 47:22
<b>knock</b> 136:19	76:24,24	182:22	261:9	57:5 72:24
273:12,13	80:2 81:5	184:3,4	263:1	214:2
273:20,21	82:2,4,9	185:14	264:5,13	Krebs 152:9
274:4,4,8	82:12,17	188:17	265:23	152:10,12
knocking	82:19 83:7	198:3	266:7,9,20	154:13
274:16,17	83:9,10,11	202:23	267:7	101.10
<b>know</b> 7:20	83:12,12	203:3,10	272:22	L
	•	•		<b>L</b> 2:11 312:3
11:22	83:13,25	204:20	273:11	
12:15	84:5 85:2	206:7,8	274:23,24	L-u-c-a-s
13:23	86:3 <b>,</b> 7	213:2	274:25	183:23
14:15	87:7 88:15	214:1,3,9	275:8	L-u-k-e
17:15 18:5	88:16,23	214:18	278:21	183:21
18:11,20	90:18,24	215:14	279:2,8,9	labeled
19:19 20:6	91:7,14,23	217:2	280:13	241:15
20:18,20	92:8 95:1	218:5	284:4,14	293:10
21:24	96:5 97:4	223:24	284:15,19	lacks 18:3
	97:14,18			18:13
23:12,13	,	224:5,7	284:20,22	30:16,24
23:14	98:5,18	229:8	285:5,17	·
24:13	104:11,16	231:4,9,10	288:8	32:15
25:19,21	104:17	231:12,14	289:10,11	33:11 34:5
25:23,23	109:19	231:16,20	291:11	34:19 35:6
25:25 27:1	112:17,24	232:22	293:7	36:7,19
27:18	114:2,13	233:12,12	295:8,12	114:16
30:13	115:10,11	234:19,22	299:22	117:11
40:25	115:17	234:23	304:5	133:13
41:22	116:18,20	236:19,20	305:2	138:20
43:23	123:17	237:6,10	306:14	153:21
	124:15	•		171:15
49:16 52:2		237:16,24	307:2,9,15	172:21
53:19 54:5	126:8,23	238:2,15	knowing	
54:7,8	128:1	239:11	132:16	212:25
55:15,19	132:19	240:11	295 <b>:</b> 19	213:23
56:2,3,16	136:5,9	241:1	knowledge	233:7
56:17,23	137:2,5,12	242:9	56:19,22	language
57:13,16	139:5	244:20,24	57:15,24	102:22
57:23	141:8	245:22	106:21	227:5
58:20 62:3	145:12,15	246:1,8,12	117:5	255:15
62:8,15,24	146:6	247:16	118:9	256:7,12
63:2,18,25	148:3	249:5,8,11	143:11	<b>lapses</b> 194:5
63:25	149:22	249:12,16	203:17	laptop
				212:24
64:18 65:4	150:9,14	249:17	208:11	
65:17,21	150:15	251:12	214:14	214:10,25
66:7,14,21	152:1	254:6,16	215:19,24	215:15
67:18,25	160:4	255:2,3,3	221:12	230:9,17
68:6,18	161:3,7	255 <b>:</b> 24	232:10	232:7
69:2,7,8	164:21	256:19,24	233:16,19	233:5
69:11 71:6	165:23,23	257:3,10	237:12	234:2
72:25 73:1	178:9,22	257:10,11	278:16	252:14

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 347 of 386 PageID #: 6830

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

253:9	lawmakers	163:18	Lexitas 6:23	289:14
308:15	127:4,19	198:9	312:1,24	292:8,10
large 101:1	Lawrence	199:5	LIBERTIES	293:10,13
101:4	3:11 7:21	208:20	2:15,16	299:25
114:23	laws 164:21	249:19	life 9:20	300:6,18
133:10	270:1	250:5	<b>liked</b> 66:15	302:17,24
134:6	lead 111:13	270:4	66:18	303:5,23
293:18	114:9	312:1,24	80:18	304:18
298:17	207:10	legislation	81:19	305:5,12
larger	266:5	119:1,4,6	295:19	306:5,12
110:22	lead-up	119:12	296:7,9	307:8
largest	201:7,10	120:12	likes 74:20	links 107:23
235:5	<b>leader</b> 26:18	121:8,10	81:23 82:3	232:13
Las 275:15	leading	121:22	82:6,18	<b>Lisa</b> 237:13
Lastly	26:15	134:13	84:1,6	237:14
144:21	243:11	let's10:5	liking 62:15	list 42:11
late 237:8	243.11 244:7	28:12	63:1 64:18	97:7 100:8
Laura 109:5	245:2	41:21,22	65:6 67:19	102:15
109:21	275:17	44:7 67:1	67:23	158:6,7
184:25	leak 195:22	150:21	71:22	<b>listed</b> 11:14
281:3,4	243:10	244:3	73:14,16	24:5 25:2
309:4	leaking	265:20	86:5	294:24
law 2:21	13:13	278:25	157:22	<b>listen</b> 9:8
20:19	learned	279:15	limited	listeners
21:17,18	227:8	303:1	50:24	261:21
23:15	228:3,5,16	letting	160:4	262:9
94:17	229:15,22	126:25	limiting	263:4
97:11	232:9	224:6	195:3	listening
111:7,13	learning	level 15:15	line 86:21	53:3
137:20	206:18	59:22 66:3	114:21	lists 111:2
138:4	<b>leave</b> 54:20	71:6 80:21	260:13	<b>little</b> 28:13
174:2,5	115:12	81:9 83:22	261:3	34:13 37:2
188:10	<b>led</b> 124:12	84:9 85:2	313:5,9,13	51:15 77:4
189:1	132:1	85:22	313:17,21	263:1
193:19,24	207:9	86:11 90:8	line-level	272:15
193:25	288:14	109:19,23	123:13	<b>lives</b> 264:13
194:11	<b>Lee</b> 194:3	121:15	lines 69:25	286:13
195:1,5,11	<b>left</b> 26:23	138:9	243:7	<b>local</b> 16:24
195:14,17	54:14,18	150:15	260:15	164:20
199:23	54:23,25	160:11	<b>link</b> 14:14	261:9
200:18	55:1,6	179:5,17	99:5,10	283:2
201:24	81:14	266:9	236:9,12	local-level
202:17	left-lea	293:7	296:23	172:15
215:18	141:21	<b>levels</b> 64:5	304:15	located
218:25	left-wing	64:10 65:4	306:13	57:25
221:4,8,15	79:4	65:7,9	LinkedIn	105:2
270:8	<b>legal</b> 6:22	112:23	42:1 155:8	107:2,4
283:25	6:23 139:4	179:15	287:25	location
294:9	139:17	263:21,23	288:6,11	269:10,17

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 348 of 386 PageID #: 6831

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

logged	279 <b>:</b> 21	<b>mail</b> 164:13	45:3,9	268:25
104:22	292:21	164:16	46:21	270:7,11
logistics	304:7	166:15	54:16	Mario 276:15
156:21	Los 276:16	267:25	55:16	Mark 116:24
long 8:14	lot 17:3	268:17	79:22	131:24
40:16,16	20:13	mail-in	81:23 92:2	242:13
110:2	52:24	164:18	94:1	243:3
236:4	118:2,15	269:11	105:21	marked 11:8
307:10,10	122:24	main 51:8	134:7	11:10
longer 45:20	148:8	maintained	136:20	169:11
46:17	169:6	70:4	147:19	196:14
124:23,23	259:8,18	maintaining	168:18	216:22
168:1	260:7,12	24:23	240:7,23	241:12
<b>look</b> 14:13	260:16,19	majority	283:9	258:25
60:12 79:9	271:23	16:10 45:1	307:18	271:4
83:15	lots 74:7	168:25	malign-f	287:20
83:15 90:13	Louis 2:22	290:5	305:24	299:25
		290:5 291:8		299:25 306:4
138:7,8 148:3	265:24		<pre>malign-i 12:3 13:1</pre>	
	266:6,25	making		masquera
149:13	267:1	114:13	13:5 70:1	67:8
159:5	312:19	138:16	105:18	<b>master</b> 10:17
169:23	Louisiana	260:17,18	155:1	Masterson
242:12,16	1:2 3:3,4	272:22	185:24	25:12,14
242:17	3:5 6:16	malicious	malware	25:15,24
267:8	love 148:24	72:22,23	72:24	26:12,19
269:13	<b>low</b> 15:7	malign 13:15	managed	26:23 28:2
292:19	81:9	13:18	198:18	152:6,10
300:11	142:20	36:10 39:9	management	152:12
301:3,6	168:3	46:12	289:19	154:13
looked 17:12	low-level	47:19	292:2	212:3,20
looking	62:5,6	48:23 65:9	manageme	221:20,25
60:18	<b>lower</b> 55:23	90:13 94:6	46:8	278:8
80:12	197:19	99:13	manager	281:16,22
90:11	272:15	129:3	45:19	material
162:3	Lucas 183:22	131:4	48:13 49:3	223:2,10
179:5	Luke 181:22	133:7	manager-	225:3
197:3	183:18,19	134:20,22	44:17	230:25
204:19	183:20,23	136:2	mandated	249:6
259:19	184:5,20	138:5	168:14	materials
271:17	281:5	161:2,4	manipula	204:23
273:23		241:5	243:21	205:9
289:13	M M	242:4	247:8	206:1
292:24	<b>M</b> 4:14	256:2	256:1,10	225:14
305:14	<b>MA</b> 10:22	258:11	manner	229:25
<b>looks</b> 72:5	Maffei 47:13	290:3,12	162:18	248:1,6
81:18 90:5	<b>MAGA</b> 149:15	296:1	163:2,6,24	249:13,23
99:5	magnitude	306:25	164:12	250:5,13
149:14	84:11,21	308:9	168:17	250:25
252:18	<b>MAHON</b> 3 : 9	malign-f	265:11	251:11 <b>,</b> 17
	I		I	l

www.lexitaslegal.com

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 349 of 386 PageID #: 6832

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

251:22	193:3	33 <b>:</b> 5 35 <b>:</b> 17	127:5,5,19	204:13
252:22	250:2,15	35:23	127:19	205:2,5,6
253:1,4,24	263:23	36:17	131:23	209:5,11
254:4,24	268:7,7	37:20	133:5,15	209:13
255:22	293:15	39:19,20	135:11,21	210:12,15
math 110:15	294:8	41:6,17	135:24	210:12,15
110:17	294:0	42:3,8	136:3,15	
Matt 25:12	300:25	43:2 49:8	137:4,13	213:4,11 214:13
25:14	304:25		•	
		50:23	137:24	215:23
26:12	305:1	59:18,23	138:17	216:1,7,10
152:6	<b>meaning</b> 9:14	60:4,7	140:1,8	218:16
278:8	176:21	61:1,6,20	143:8,10	222:11
<b>matter</b> 6:14	244:13	63:15	143:13	223:4
7:10	<b>means</b> 33:14	64:12 69:6	144:9,22	225:5,14
163:14	68:7 72:1	69:14 70:5	145:10	225:21
224:14	73:13 81:3	71:15,16	146:13,16	227:15
241:19	92:24	71:21,24	146:19	229:22
289:23	219:9	72:9,10	147:2,23	230:12,13
matters	278:20	75:14	147:25	234:4
80:14	306:15	77:18 80:1	151:3	241:6
202:9	<b>meant</b> 29:16	80:3,8	153:10,16	248:14,17
238:12	75:4 224:6	82:7 84:12	154:3,10	249:13
276:21	224:6	84:22	155 <b>:</b> 8	250:6,12
286:13	246:13	85:15,16	156:11,13	252:16,20
Matthew	301:7	87:5 <b>,</b> 13	159 <b>:</b> 16	255 <b>:</b> 22
25:15	306:17	94:6 95:20	160:7,8,18	256:18
<b>MDM</b> 284:17	measure	96:4,20,25	161:10	259:21
284:20	16:16,20	97:8 99:14	162:23	261:18,23
<b>mean</b> 12:18	157 <b>:</b> 15	99:18	163:16	261:24
13:2 23:14	measures	100:20	165:6,10	262:10,13
29:14 30:1	16:15	101:21	165 <b>:</b> 12	262:14,18
30:2 39:12	127:11	105:10,24	166:3	265:3,21
39:13 43:7	128:12	106:14,22	168:19,20	266:12
43:7 52:9	mechanics	107:17,24	168:22,25	267:14,20
52:16 55:2	83:13	108:22	172:24	269:20
60:1,1,16	<b>media</b> 4:12	110:20	173:3,19	270:15
61:19,21	11:5 12:4	111:2,3	176:10	271:24
61:25	12:6 13:20	112:8	177:18,25	273:3,7,9
67:19,22	13:24 14:2	113:10	180:18	273:17
67:22,23	14:3,22	114:22	181:3,10	274:1
68:7,8	15:3,5,16	116:17	182:10,13	275:21
74:23 75:8	17:16,20	117:16	183:3	279:3
75:24 76:1	18:18,25	118:3,5,7	187:6	280:19
81:5 92:23	23:20 24:2	119:10,15	189:20,25	283:22
93:5 119:5	29:9,19	120:1,3,11	190:15,21	285:12,22
166:12	30:5,13,22	121:4	190:24	286:2,25
168:11	31:11,24	122:16,17	191:19	287:4
175:6	32:4,11,12	122:18	192:2,9	288:5,12
191:15,19	32:17,18	123:17	204:3,10	289:22,25
	0		, ± .	
	1	l l		

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 350 of 386 PageID #: 6833

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

290:10	155.16 01	11.10	177.10 01	222.12.24
	155:16,21	44:10	177:18,21	222:12,24
291:13	156:3	46:12 50:8	177:24	223:22
293:3	170:4,5,7	54:15 78:8	178:2,16	224:2
294:19,23	170:8,12	89:17,20	178:18	225:3,7,21
295:11	170:14,20	89:23 91:4	179:8	227:9
296 <b>:</b> 12	174:21	92:12,14	180:18,24	228:3,4,5
297:8,15	176:23	92 <b>:</b> 17	180 <b>:</b> 25	228:6
297 <b>:</b> 20	185 <b>:</b> 17	95 <b>:</b> 19	181:2,7,8	229:5,7,10
298:9,14	188:2,13	97:22 98:8	181:9,12	229:16,19
298:24	211:8	98:21	181:13,20	236:7
307:1,19	213:13	104:6	181:21	239:14,16
<b>meet</b> 42:3	216:3,10	105:25	182:9 <b>,</b> 13	239:17,20
43:6,9,12	216:14	109:1,10	182:13,16	243:16
44:3,14	218:11,12	109:14,17	182:22	245:17
45:8,11,13	219:16	109:20	183:3	246:7,9,13
45:16	227:25	110:2	184:23	246:14,16
46:20 47:5	233:23	118:1	185:12,16	246:18,22
47:11,17	277:24	119:19,21	185:18,20	247:4
48:16,21	278:3,4,6	119:21	185:22	253:16,19
49:1 57:17	279:2,24	120:5	186:1,4,5	254:17
57:20	279:25	121:5,6	186:22	277:11
101:22	280:4,5,11	122:22,23	187:20,22	279:3,5,8
119:24	280:12,18	123:5,15	187:25	280:9,22
120:10,16	280:22	123:18,23	188:16,18	281:9,11
120:10,10	281:18,18	124:5,11	189:7,22	281:14,21
135:11,14	282:7	124:13,16	189:25	282:17
135:17	283:20,21	125:14,16	190:8,17	283:18
289:5	289:8	127:14	190:20,25	284:3,5,9
meeting 20:7	290:8,10	128:3	191:11,14	284:12,24
24:10	291:5,6	135:20	191:18	285:8
25:18	293:4	150:20	192:2	286:4,24
26:18	294:13,18	155:12,15	204:4,7,10	287:5,10
40:11,13	308:24	155:18,23	209:17	287:11
40:14,21	meetings	155:23	210:13,18	288:6,14
40:24,25	20:4,14,16	156:6,10	211:6,9,13	289:14,25
43:1 58:3	22:3 23:22	156:10,18	211:14	290:17,20
58:5,17,19	23:25 24:8	157:3	212:3,16	290:22,23
98:14,19	24:9,21	162:9	213:7,10	290:22,23
	25:9 26:2	170:17	213:12	
103:6 104:3	26:6,7,9	171:10,12	216:1,6	293:2 294:23
151:11,13		171:14,21	217:24	294:23
151:11,13	26:15 27:8 27:15,17	171:25	217:24 218:5,7,8	300:17
151:14,15	27:15,17	172:2,4,7	218:5,7,8	308:18
152:11,14	28:3 38:24	172:17	218:15,18	member
		174:19,20	•	303:13
152:15,17	39:2,3,5,5	•	218:25	
152:23	39:18,23	174:22,24	219:8,12	<b>members</b> 43:2
153:4,11	40:17 41:2	176:23	219:24	133:4 250:15
153:25	41:6,18	177:7,10	220:4,9,13 220:17	
154:12	42:19 44:6	177:12,13	220:1/	<b>memory</b> 171:5
	1			1

### LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Fax: 314.644.1334

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 351 of 386 PageID #: 6834

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

<b></b>				
244:22	250 <b>:</b> 17	Meta-ass	168:3	262:20
260:3	252:2	12:19	Mike 44:15	263:5,17
mention	255:15	Meta-owned	44:15	264:23
171:6	257:20	12:24	47:12	265:2
192:13	266:17	method 88:3	million	268:25
215:25	268:23	225:18	62:10 66:4	270:16
273:5	270:21	295:15	66:23	274:17
mentioned	271:23	297:21	86:14	284:8
16:21	278:7	methods	235:6	298:23
18:25	281:4,15	19:10	millions	306:25
29:17	282:25	31:12	64:17	mission
31:15 39:6	285:10	129:15	mind 23:3	134:19
43:8 54:19	291:24	156:22	229:9	Missouri 1:6
71:21 80:2	292:1	204:22	251:3	2:4,5,22
89:24,25	295:9,18	255:21	minimize	6:14 7:3,4
91:17,22	297:13	282:3	211:20	259:6
93:6,18	mentioning	300:23	Ministry	265:22,25
94:22	175:22	302:1	140:4	266:20,22
99:22	189:5	Michael	minority	312:5
101:21	219:19	197:20	79:6	313:2
102:4,9	mere 195:9	Microsoft	Minus 8:20	misstates
107:20	message 76:7	23:25 25:3	<b>minute</b> 15:19	79:13
116:8,22	76:14,19	25:25 25:3	71:4,14	170:25
118:10	112:11	27:10	95:11	176:13
129:17	289:10	152:24	254:2	mistakes
134:13	messages	209:13,15	minutes	114:13
135:16	61:15 66:5	209:23,13	234:11	mixed 64:3
145:14	72:11	210:23	mis-142:15	<b>MO</b> 312:19
153:1	73:24 74:9	234:6	mischara	moderate
156:20	75:22	236:9	36:20	87:22
162:21	158:19	middle 243:2	49:13 98:3	moderator
175:19,23	297:24	midnight	102:1	90:9,10
177:8	met 26:3,3	162:15	104:15	moderators
178:10	26:11	167:23	132:5	91:9,19
186:20	41:25 42:6	301:20	137:1	modified
190:4	45:18 47:7	<b>midterm</b> 20:1	138:21	206:8
192:16,17	47:21 58:7	26:21 27:9	139:16	modify 92:6
192:19	58:21 64:3	40:11,13	159:25	modulation
199:2	118:25	40:15	162:7	43:24
207:20,21	119:25,25	45:18 55:3	208:2	49:24
209:1,10	123:20	55:20	274:10	153:24
209:11	125:17	131:15	mischara	217:14
212:1	135:13	167:23	36:22	modulators
217:3,3	144:24	272:3	misconduct	90:17
219:17,19	275:1,22	298:18	122:4	165:25
221:18	<b>Meta</b> 12:7,18	299:23	misidentify	<b>moment</b> 96:18
230:17	12:23	midterms	138:18	131:22
233:10,14	20:23	55:1,2	misinfor	303:2
243:7	242:14	149:14	262:3,6,12	306:7
1				

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 352 of 386 PageID #: 6835

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

<b>Monday</b> 168:6	Mueller	210:9	52:4,5,18	<b>never</b> 56:6,
<b>money</b> 73:2	86:25	256:23	52 <b>:</b> 20	88:6 91:1
monitoring	Mueller's	276:15,17	68 <b>:</b> 19 97:1	92:5 206:
301 <b>:</b> 23	65:24	303:16	98:23	215:20,21
Monroe1:3	257:13,16	313:1,2	107:25	218:11
6:16	257:19	314:10	111:4	228:22
<b>month</b> 40:18	multiple	<b>named</b> 47:23	121:1	241:1
97:18	23:4,4	49:3 304:8	135:19	249:21
98:22	61:20 93:7	304:9,16	163:13,15	268:21
100:24	93:8 117:4	305:6	163:19	272:9
101:9,14	131:25	<b>names</b> 21:24	306:21,23	279:4,6
148:12,13	149:5	28:4 30:6	Naval 4:11	283:17
148:14	163:7	44:21	10:16,18	<b>new</b> 2:15,16
236:3	170:13	48:11,19	<b>near</b> 77:2	3:10 27:1
306:18	177:8	51:5 123:7	307:17	27:13
nonthly	188:23	151:19	<b>nears</b> 40:6	45:22
19:22 20:2	200:24	182:18	necessarily	45:22 155:4
40:5,10,24	200.24	183:4	114:7	156:1
41:10	230:13	278:14	148:5	214:9
42:21 44:9	235:25	nation	159:11	216:17
50:16	240:14	154:25	281:6	229:23
89:17	257:14	190:5	308:7	230:11,22
104:3		202:9	necessary	232:3,14
170:8	<u>N</u>	209:2	314:8	232:16
171:13	N2:1 3:1	226:8,10	<b>need</b> 89:2	241:9
177 <b>:</b> 16,17	312:18	227 <b>:</b> 18	108:3	243:25
181:2	N-A-E-B-C	nation-s	112:4	258 <b>:</b> 16
182:14	144:14	283:6	122:7	306:13
288:13,13	<b>NAEBC</b> 143:5	285:18	179:22	Newell 200:
297:19	143:18	nation-s	180:1	200:15,23
<b>nonths</b> 19:19	144:14,21	157:5	238:2	201:7,10
40:18	144:24	national	249:18,18	201:12,16
207:16	145:3,17	13:10	265:12	201:18,21
236:5	145:19,23	24:14	294:21	202:1
239:1	146:13,19	111:21,25	298:15	Newland
289:6	<b>name</b> 6:21,22	112:5	299:8,9	45:19 46:
morning 6:9	7:7,14 8:7	158:25	<b>needed</b> 168:4	<b>news</b> 13:20
229:24	8:9 45:25	171:6	168:7	13:23 14:
301:18	48:24	200:9	296:4,19	14:19 15:
notivated	58:14	201:19	297:5	15:17
117:9	62:25	202:7	298:4	
	135:6,8			56:24 60:
<b>nove</b> 41:10		<b>national</b>	<b>needs</b> 294:15	60:17
169:3	179:14,18	16:6 173:8	294:15	131:19
199:5	179:19	nationality	306:7	143:7
moved 19:22	181:23	145:13	neither	213:4,21
20:1 40:9	182:3	nationwide	124:24	214:1,13
200:3	183:17,22	168:11	network 59:9	214:16
<b>moving</b> 95:10	209:25	<pre>natural 77:2</pre>	networks	215:1
258:24	210:1,5,5	<b>nature</b> 27:16	51 <b>:</b> 6	230:7

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 353 of 386 PageID #: 6836

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

251:7,10	November	<b>object</b> 97:10	112:20	238:23
272:8	1:16 6:1,4	121:11	114:15	240:9
275:9	6:11 141:1	193:19	115:14	245:24
Newsroom	220:15	199:22	117:11	246:10
143:5	272:12	202:16	121:25	251:23
145:23	293:12	objecting	132:5	268:2,20
Nextdoor	294:1	23:5	133:13	270:4
12:21,22	312:8	objection	136:25	274:10
nickname	313:3	11:25 14:9	137:25	282:8,18
303:19	NSD 200:23	14:20 18:3	138:20	299:11
	<b>number</b> 4:10	18:13	139:16	
Nigeria 31:14	5:4 16:1	22:18,19	142:25	<b>objections</b> 4:18 22:23
<b>night</b> 162:14		26:16 27:5	142:25	169:16
-	66:3,10			
301:19	85:8 89:2	27:19	146:9,20	Observatory
<b>ninth</b> 300:4	100:21	30:16,24	150:10	52:1 53:5
nodding 10:2	101:1,16	32:15	153:20	53:24 54:3
<b>noise</b> 259:8	113:20	33:11 34:5	154:1	55:22
260:8,12	114:23	34:19 35:6	156:19	56:12
260:16,19	131:8	36:7,19	159:24	observe
nominated	132:11	41:13,19	160:21	125:16
275:4	134:4	43:4,19	162:6	observed
non-FBI	150:14	44:25 48:6	163:25	32:20
151 <b>:</b> 22	167:9,10	49:13,25	164 <b>:</b> 7	39:15 70:1
non-social	184:15,24	50:10	166:1	obtained
273:7	184:25	56 <b>:</b> 18	168:23	80:8 220:5
nonelection	288:8	57:21 61:8	170 <b>:</b> 25	223:2,11
308:1	310:9	63:4,22	171 <b>:</b> 15	225:3
nonsense	numbers	64:20	172:21	230:23
75:3	65 <b>:</b> 14,16	65:11	174:1	obviously
<b>noon</b> 140 <b>:</b> 15	65:20 89:3	67:14 68:4	175 <b>:</b> 2	63:8 86:4
<b>normal</b> 19:5	110:24,25	68:16,25	176:13	114:18
19:7	150:2	69:23	187:8 <b>,</b> 15	179:11
<b>north</b> 3:5	numeral	70:13 71:8	188:6,22	197:1
110:16	28:15,16	72:14	189:9	occasion
Northern		73:18	193:2	132:23
6:19	0	74:21	195:1	175:13,24
Northwest	o'clock	75:23 76:8	199:22	occasion
2:11,17	301:18,19	76 <b>:</b> 21	200:18	25:1
312:3	0-1-s-o-n	78:18	201:24	occasions
notarized	183:9	79 <b>:</b> 13	204:17	27:7 102:6
312:17	<b>000</b> 1:4	81:25	208:2	125:9
notary	1:15,18	90:20 92:3	210:14	131:15
312:15	2:2 3:2	92:16 94:8	212:25	149:5
314:22	4:2,9 5:2	94:16 95:5	213:23	150:17
<b>noted</b> 11:17	6:2 140:17	98:3 102:1	215:17	180:22
noticed	141:3	102:21	224:3	204:3
144:7	309:21	103:4	228:19	240:14
notifying	oath 179:1	104:14	231:7,18	274:22
131:5	oaths 310:12	111:7	233:7	290:7
		· · · · ·		
	I	1	l	1

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 354 of 386 PageID #: 6837

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

	_	-	-	
occupation	230:15	267:1,2	179:14	33:18 37:6
8:10	241:20,21	269:15	181:14	38:19
occur 19:16	244:1	278:10	182:8,15	39:17
39:25	253:11	312:18	197:25	40:21 42:2
89:13,16	259:15,22	officer 48:2	200:10	46:19
97:22	260:5	54:9 105:1	216:7	48:20 52:8
120:5	302:25	310:22	220:16	52:21,21
167:17	305:4	OFFICER'S	221:23	54:2 55:5
199:20	<b>ODNI</b> 24:14	310:1	224:19	56:7,16
201:7,13	151:11	officers	227:6,21	59:22
205:12	154:21,23	235:7,21	235:19,24	60:11,20
219:2	157:2	offices	236:23	60:23
220:18	161:1,13	24:22 31:9	254:13	62:12
221:11	217:24	39:8,11	255:17	65:19
226:21	218:15	50:15	276:7,11	67:22
occurred	222:2	98:11	276:13	69:16 70:3
19:18	223:24	110:13,14	281:19	73:5,22
122:5	281:24	162:16	308:25	75:11
166:22	283:4	163:8,9,10	309:2	77:25 78:6
167:21	285:18	163:17	<b>OGC</b> 267:5	79:3 83:5
171:14	offering	177:10	oh 10:21	83:6 86:12
206:14	136:22	181:5	33:18	87:24
208:8	office 2:4	official	40:16,21	93:17
214:8	6:19 7:3	46:16	52:21 62:8	95:25 96:2
219:13	16:8 24:13	109:22	69:3 75:11	97:19,21
220:14	45:25 58:2	179:7	80:25 81:3	99:7
235:1	104:22	197:23	97:21	102:18
236:24	104.22	222:2,6	119:20	104:11
246:25	107:5,14	246:4	129:13	108:19
250:8,9	107:18	257:4	152:8	109:2
295:4	108:20	265:1	155:14	114:8
306:18	110:11	267:7	161:22	115:25
	164:21	276:6	177:15	116:7
occurrence 120:8		309:5	184:4	121:6,21
	168:13,14	officials		
occurring 89:19	170:23 177:2,9	16:25	265:11 269:13	124:25 126:12
223:7	201:1	25:11	294:6	128:6,9
243:24	235:2	44:17,18	<b>okay</b> 6:9	129:11
<b>October</b> 4:22	237:15	46:8 47:10	7:12,13,19	131:11
4:24 5:9	257:15	40:0 47:10 47:10	11:14,17	139:10
4:24 5:9	257:14,15	54:10 80:9	12:2,25	143:22
192:15,18	257:14,15	105:22	17:3 20:17	143:22
197:17	257:23	106:13,21	21:9,9	149:4
213:21	258:2	108:22,24	22:10,11	152:14,22
213:21 219:4	261:9	125:3,13	23:19	155:14,22
220:10,15	264:15,17	154:17	25:22 28:9	156:6
220:10,15	265:16,19	172:16	28:12,16	157:13
220:19	266:6,7,23	173:9	30:11,19	159:8
229:14,22	266:25	175:18	31:15	176:16
223.14,22	200.20	1/3.10	51.15	T/0.T0
	I	I	I	l

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 355 of 386 PageID #: 6838

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

177:5,11	287:9	199:20	190:7,12	282:13
177:15	one-to-one	200:16	191:13,17	291:20
178:1,7	296:6	201:23	191:25	opposed
179:10	one-way	202:15	192:4,6,8	112:17
180:4,6,9	296:16	202:13	192:21,23	165:18
181:12	ones 20:17	205:3,17	203:20,23	176:15
182:12	113:18	205:20	203:20,23	291:18
183:7	153:6	206:22	203:25	oral 9:24
184:2,18	246:23	208:1,6,11	204:10,20	order 7:20
185:5	302:9	208:18	200:12,24	17:11 48:3
189:15		209:22		111:24
	ongoing		208:23,25	
191:22	194:1	219:25	209:4	169:21
197:12	<b>online</b> 13:6	227:10	210:17,17	180:3
202:22	52:18 54:1	228:7,17	211:5,11	197:2
209:5	59:18,23	229:17	211:16	199:5
220:9,25	61:13	239:7	212:5,9	ordering
232:11	70:19,22	243:10	219:10,21	122:5
234:12	77:18,19	244:18	220:18,22	orders
243:14	83:13	245:1,10	220:24	111:19
244:3	93:15	246:19	221:10	ordinary
249:3	<b>open</b> 99:8	247:18	222:16,18	61:5 144:2
254:21	140:6	248:24	225:24	Oregon
260:1	277:12	251:16,21	226:4,9,12	276:17
265 <b>:</b> 18	opened	256 <b>:</b> 15	226:18	organic
271:3	166 <b>:</b> 14	294:11,11	228:24	70:18 75:7
272:7	operate	294:12,13	240:24	75:8,13
277:19,22	12:11 42:8	operations	244:6	77:19
278:3,5	89:11	16:3 37:21	255 <b>:</b> 20	158 <b>:</b> 6
288:4	operated	37:25 38:5	270:23,25	250 <b>:</b> 18
293:23,23	15:16	45:10 59:4	282:6,6	organiza
300:15	31:25	59:5 94:6	operations'	144:9
302:7	32:14	105:21	219:2	176:7
308:20	33:16 76:2	133:17	opinion	211:19
309:3,7,16	operates	157 <b>:</b> 16	63 <b>:</b> 24	278:13
<b>Olson</b> 181:22	88:24	158:2,25	114:18,25	279:6
183:4,8	operating	161:18,24	115:24	284:15
186:14,15	168:1	172:3,4,10	116:6	289:22
207:22	operation	173:12	117:14,16	organiza
254:14		174:25	118:20	223:21
281:5	173:1,6,15	175:1 <b>,</b> 12	119:3	224:16
<b>once</b> 117:3,6	173:19	175:16,20	120:2	293:8
173:22	176:11	177:23	121:7	organiza
175:9	180:19	178:3,6,20	128:5	134:18
233:5	186:16	181:6,15	131:20	organized
236:2	188:5	182:9,17	132:7,8	171:21,25
once-a-m	191:1	185:11	139:9	177:14
237:18	192:11,18	186:7	152:16	178:2
one-on-one	193:1	187:3	160:24	186:5
283:20,21	194:21	189:6,19	249:15	204:7,9
				, -
1	•		•	

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 356 of 386 PageID #: 6839

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

218:22	235:10,11	126:10,14	313:5,9,13	51:17
219:8	251:12	128:6,9,9	313:17,21	74:10
origin	overseas	128:21	pages 4:14	81:22 92:4
163:19	139:2,6,7	130:14	4:16,19,20	113:23
original	oversee	132:10,10	4:22,24	116:2
312:9	198:10	132:15,22	5:7,9 38:8	147:16
originally	overview	141:10,11	70:5,10,17	157:11
134:17	154:24	144:20	78:24 84:7	166:20
originated	156:14	146:1	132:22	179:18,18
74:18	200:24	147:8,9	144:19	243:21
144:17	253:23	149:9,13	147:13	247:8
<b>Otto</b> 48:24	278:23	150:5,21	288:5	256:9
ought 169:23	283:5	150:23	<b>paint</b> 69:10	257:16
outcome	285:13,20	152:22	painted 67:3	273:24
240:8	overviews	157:7,7	paper 19:11	281:1
outlet 142:8	156:25	158:23	279:7	participant
230:13	157:3	161:14	paragraph	186:3
259:21	291:10	169:24	28:23,24	particip
outlets		170:1	37:8 51:18	24:6
213:4	P	197:13,14	51:19	170:21
230:13	<b>P</b> 2:1,1 3:1	227:8	59:17	171:6,8
271:25	3:1 310:11	237:13,14	69:24	172:18
outside	310:16,20	237:21	84:20	178:25
94:13	311:1,7	238:6	126:12	185:20
105:24	<b>p.m</b> 141:5	239:6	128:16	220:11
107:13	- 167:25	242:8,12	132:15	278:6,7
108:19	180:11,14	242:25	134:10	280:1
137:2	234:15,18	243:1,2	217:22,23	participate
155:14	287:16,19	260:10,13	218:2,3,4	19:14
191:22,23	294:1	260:15	218:6,20	20:13,15
200:5	309:18,20	261:2,3	218:24	25:9 27:24
201:16	<b>P.O</b> 2:22	272:1,2,6	229:21	46:12
208:19	<b>page</b> 4:4,10	277:18,21	230:3,20	281:10,13
211:13	5:4 11:15	277:25	231:23	particip
216:11	28:14 37:2	278:2	232:11,20	19:15
240:4	37:4 38:9	288:18	261:3	20:22 22:3
255:1	38:11 51:8	291:21	paragraphs	28:6 38:24
287:11	51:13,13	292:7 <b>,</b> 13	126:13	137:15
overall	51:21	292:18	170:6	171:9
152:15	55:23	293:9,11	parameters	278:11
overcome	58:24,25	293:17,19	88:11	280:17
195:11	65:12,15	293:20	paranoid	particip
overincl	67:1 69:12	299:7,25	48:3,5	28:3
138:17	69:13 76:4	300:1,2,4	Paranoids	particip
139:15	77:15	300:9,11	47:22,24	23:21
oversaw	78:24 84:8	302:21	parlance	particular
198:6,11	84:16,17	306:4,5	290:13	24:20 25:8
198:17	86:12,13	312:10,15	<b>part</b> 33:19	91:2 97:13
201:19	126:8,9,10	312:18	34:14	97 <b>:</b> 15

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 357 of 386 PageID #: 6840

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

111:11	128:10	110:5,9,19	79 <b>:</b> 24	200:3
122:11	141:11	111:6	116:12,15	276:1
174:6	147:9	122:16	perpetrate	301:5,13
			219:10	
193:21	157:8 197:12	123:22		301:23
199:25		138:11	persistent	perspective
202:20	242:9	146:2,17	272:23	34:15
204:2	243:1	146:18	<b>person</b> 26:4	200:25
214:25	293:11,19	153:12,15	26:5 43:8	201:1
225:20	293:20	161:9	43:10	<b>Peter</b> 234:19
particul	300:1,2	168:6	184:15,24	235:9
121:17	302:22	181:21	184:25	pharmacy
particul	306:6	182:11	203:14	93:12
194:7	PeaceData	183:24	264:6	Phoenix 7:8
parties 6:25	141:12,14	186:12	275:23	<b>phone</b> 52:3,4
14:23	141:25	200:5	person's	52:5 53:15
310:18	142:8,24	207:4,6,11	183:17	97 <b>:</b> 24
partnered	143:4,17	207:24	personal	107:24
56 <b>:</b> 20	144:10	219:23	23:16	135:10
Partnership	145:7	223:8	95:17,18	236:6
56:14,17	146:18	254:16	114:17	Pichai
57:2,9,14	<b>peers</b> 217:25	268:18	115:24	116:25
<b>parts</b> 115:22	penalty	276:8	116:6	<b>pick</b> 97:24
126:13	314:11	281:15	117:13	138:11
225:9	pending	293:3,5,8	118:20	picking
<b>party</b> 216:9	195:20	296:9	128:5	309:9
265:7,8	196:4,6	people's	132:7,8	picture 67:2
266:1,2	220:24	28:4 60:15	139:9	69:9 72:5
269:5,6	222:17	84:4	148:5	<b>piece</b> 136:12
304:9,17	<b>people</b> 14:16	<pre>percent 85:9</pre>	159:4,10	Pierson
305:8,11	14:17 43:1	85:9,10,13	229:25	222:7,9,10
<b>pass</b> 104:25	43:6,11	85:20	235:22	<b>pilot</b> 136:2
119:12	44:14	167:5,7	249:15	Pinterest
pass-thr	45:12 48:8	241:4	291:20	155:8
105:4	49:10	percentage	personally	156:2
<b>passed</b> 41:3	54:19 61:5	15:13	18:23	Pipeline
162:20	63:18,20	113:21	19:14 22:3	277:2
passing	66:8 68:1	period 40:17	25:20 52:2	<b>place</b> 112:6
257:11	68:10,12	100:10,11	52:9 56:3	127:6,20
<b>paste</b> 291:4	72:17 78:2	219:3,10	79:11	148:4
<b>pasted</b> 304:8	78:10	219:27	81:11	161:8
Patricia 5:9	80:17 81:2	311:6	119:14	162:18
197:25	81:18	periodic	148:2	163:2,6,23
PATRIOT	83:20	42:5	151:6	168:17
111:24	85:16 87:4	135:10	278:24	237:7
<b>pdf</b> 37:4	97:25	perjury	personnel	243:6
38:12	105:3	314:11	50:16	265:11
51:13 59:1	106:17	Perkins	119:23	268:25
84:18	109:2,19	197:20	122:19	270:7,11
126:11	109:20	Permanent	140:8	<b>places</b> 28:20
	107.20	- ermanent	110.0	Praces 20.20
		I	I	I

LEXITAS LEGAL .com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Fax: 314.644.1334

## Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 358 of 386 PageID #: 6841

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

Plaintiff	42:8 43:2	187 <b>:</b> 7	podcast	78 <b>:</b> 11
1:7 2:3,15	43:3,17,25	189:20	261:22	80:15
2:20 3:3,8	49:8,12,21	190:15	262:10	132:8
8:2	49:24 50:9	204:3,11	271:13	173:9
plaintiffs	55:12,15	204:13,24	point 22:7	176:7
7:6	60:7 62:18	209:6	22:22	223:1,10
Plaintiffs'	64:12 70:5	210:16	33:19 77:2	223:14,17
4:18	75:15 80:3	215:23	120:25	223:20
<b>plan</b> 120:16	80:8 83:14	216:1,7	159:2	224:16,16
251:2	85:17	218:16	161:13	265:7,8
planned	87:13,23	222:11	165:9	304:8,17
285:3	91:6,8	223:4	170:2	305:8,11
planning	94:7 95:20	225:5,14	183:1	Politician
300:20	96:4,20	225:21	186:21	264:3,5,13
301:8	97:8	232:7	248:22	264:16
platform	101:21	242:5	279:1,22	politicians
23:21 48:4	105:10,24	249:13	279:24	132:23
61:20	106:14,22	250:6,12	284:2,11	133:4
71:22 82:7	107:17,25	252:16,20	288:19	polling
85:15 89:1	108:23	254:24	289:3	269:9,17
89:5 93:15	111:2	255:23	305:3	<b>pop</b> 42:16
110:20	116:17	256:18	<b>points</b> 86:13	popping 51:5
114:25	118:4	262:13,14	147:16	portals
117:17	119:10,16	262:18	<b>poles</b> 166:14	261:18
122:19	120:25	267:14,20	policies	portion
189:25	122:16,17	270:15	43:25	258:1,5,8
210:12	123:18	274:14,20	78:10	273:25
232:2	126:2	279:3	95:13	274:1
234:4	127:1,7	280:19	118:18	portions
238:21	131:23	285:22,24	129:7	258:7
261:18,23	132:25	286:7	130:7,11	portray
261:24	133:6,18	287:1	165:17	265:24
266:13	135:21,24	288:12	217:11	<b>posed</b> 242:13
283:22	136:3,15	293:3	232:17	posing
288:5	137:13,24	294:20	248:11,15	141:25
289:25	143:17	298:9,24	250:13	position
290:11	146:13,19	307:1	266:14	194:10
291:14	147:14,25	<b>play</b> 157:15	270:24	195:2
301:23	153:11	pleading	<b>policy</b> 129:2	257:8
302:11	154 <b>:</b> 9	122:9	129:18,23	positive
platforms	156:11,16	<b>please</b> 6:24	130:4,21	133:24
12:4 13:20	160:19,20	7:13 8:7	133:11	possession
13:24 14:2	162:23	9:13 20:21	135:13,15	212:24
14:3 17:17	165:6,10	31:5 41:23	135:20	possibility
30:13 32:4	173:19	181:25	166:13	175:19
32:12,19	177:18	303:23	279:7	185:10
34:9 35:10	180:18	312:8,12	political	192:14
35:12	181:3,10	312:17	16:6 34:3	199:14,19
36:18,25	182:10,14	<b>plural</b> 221:5	68:15 70:6	207 <b>:</b> 25
1				

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 359 of 386 PageID #:

6842 ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

208:18	132:4	187:2	practices	305:9,11
243:10	142:10	189:5	125:19	presiden
244:6	145:5	190:11	pre-marked	16:10,12
245:1	146:2	192:7	169:22	173:10
possible	158:13	200:2,13	196:17	219:3,11
57:19	205:2	200:16	precise	220:1
131:11	213:5	203:19,22	150:2	240:8,24
208:17	217:9	203:24	177:7	304:9,17
221:3	229:23	206:24	predication	305:9,11
245:13	241:15	207:7	263:21,24	pressure
246:25	Postgrad	208:5	preelection	116:1,8,16
247:2	4:11 10:16	209:21	253:16	117:8
possibly	10:19	211:10	preface	118:2,15
152:10	posting	219:20	190:9	118:19
<b>post</b> 60:25	145:16	220:22	preference	122:24
61:15,21	163:1	222:16	297:4,11	123:2
62:16	166:13	223:16	prelimin	127:4,18
143:25	205:25	224:10,25	230:21	131:24
144:24	269:23	224:10,23	preliminary	132:9,24
145:9,10	postings	229:1	241:19	242:3
162:13,21	60:14,15	238:20	Prelimin	
163:23	87:4	240:18	4:19	pressured 133:5
164:25	143:13	250:23	preparation	presumably
165:5	286:12	254:5	27:24	68:13
167:15,21	postmarked	254:5		
•	-	256:14	preparatory	226:13
167:24	164:18 269:12	269:25	26:6,8	
168:6,8,10			280:11,18	<pre>pretending 92:25</pre>
168:15	<b>posts</b> 72:13	270:12	280:21	
205:8	76:13	273:2	281:21	93:23
214:9	130:23	283:6	282:1,4,17	<b>pretty</b> 98:9
227:18	165:7,18	potentia	prepare	272:12
229:23	165:24	177:22	27:15	prevent
230:12,22	166:5,8	potentially	117:25	132:3
232:14	167:18	34:16 54:1	137:11	172:9
244:1	267:24	90:12	272:4,5	prevented
266:17	285:24	157:23	279:24	232:14
268:23	307:11	176:15,19	280:4	Prevention
272:9	posture	190:6	preparing	292:10
293:14	300:21,21	209:3	27:17,22	previous
294:7	300:25	226:11	245:5	48:1 75:6
295:3,5,6	301:1,2,7	240:21,23	271:8	300:9
295:12	301:11,21	248:1,6	272:13	previously
296:17,21	potential	250:24	<b>present</b> 6:24	11:7 12:7
298:13	121:8	252:3	254:17,20	29:17
301:3,6,16	122:6	254:1,3	309:5	102:9
<b>Post's</b> 232:3	172:25	274:7,16	presenta	177:8
<b>posted</b> 67:9	173:11	305:23	137:16	190:5
68:23	175:11	practice	president	210:22
75:17	178:5	291:2	304:10,18	217:4
	I	l	l	1

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 360 of 386 PageID #: 6843

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

219:17	231:24	124:13	product	32:19 34:9
232:15	238:10	163:9	112:24	35:9,11
257:20	243:16	242:17	164:3	36:25
266:18	245:17	261:5	236:10	38:16,21
268:24	246:6,9,12	265:10	products	48:3 50:5
270:21	246:15,17	268:1	12:19	87:22
275:11	246:21	305:1	139:24,25	274:14
283:1	247:4	<b>probed</b> 88:5	278:19,20	protected
285:10	privilege	88:8	278:23	16:2,4
294:24	21:17,18	problem	professi	20:25
primaries	23:15	22:24 83:1	54:11	195:18
19:2	27:20	problematic	135:1	protecting
156:22	94:17,19	105:9,16	Professor	55:12,15
285:17	97:11	150:18	55:24	284:7
primarily	111:8	165:7	58:11	
				protection
26:5,20,20	121:12	problems	77:24	211:23
29:10 51:4	122:1,3,8	126:6	<b>program</b> 72:7	protections
55:13	122:11,14	Procedure	139:14	139:5
134:17	164:1	310:14	programs 7:9	protective
135:13	174:5	<b>proceed</b> 23:6	7:16 71:15	172:12
138:25	187:10,11	23:10	72:3	180:3
156:21	188:8,9,25	proceedings	136:16	provide
275:24	189:1,1	309:19	137:11,24	16:24
276:20	193:20,25	process	138:16	27:25 31:3
286:1	194:2,4,11	22:22	139:21	33:3,8
298:21	195:1,6,11	27:20	prohibit	89:15 96:4
primary 19:7	199:23	112:6	205:25	100:1,4,8
26:22	200:18	121:12,25	prominent	108:25
74:14 93:1	201:25	122:2,14	120:23	111:1
105:3	202:1,17	160:5,5	prominently	115:23
208:14	215:18	163:18	161:18,25	131:7
210:20	251:24	187:10	promoted	137:6
principal	282:9,19	188:8,25	109:12,15	140:5
46:4	privileged	196:12	propaganda	156:14
principally	174:2	199:5	134:18	157:2,4
14:8 94:4	privileges	250:5	proposals	164:23
<b>prior</b> 125:24	187:11	251:24	282:22	167:2
133:23	188:9,23	254:7	prospect	173:18
202:12,15	202:18	266:16	185:10	179:17
310:14	<b>privy</b> 238:2	270:12	186:6	190:10
privacy	255:5	276:10	187:13	209:1
205:10	pro-Second	282:9,18	189:19	214:23
<pre>private 7:6</pre>	76:14,18	285:16	191:12,24	227:19
16:17 18:7	83:16	296:25	203:11	269:19
20:10	probable	processed	206:21	278:22
105:6	68:20	265:3	210:16	285:12,18
155:5	252:4	processes	211:5,15	285:20
170:9	probably	29:22	239:6	304:12
179:2,3	64 <b>:</b> 25	283:2	protect	provided

www.lexitaslegal.com

#### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 361 of 386 PageID #: 6844

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

16:5,8,9	244:16,17	73:24	96:9 98:6	122:3
16:13,14	244:21,24	74:20	104:8	194:1,4
27:13	245:5,9,16	75:21	105:13,20	221:2
32:21	245:23	93:11,14	115:22	245:1
38:25	246:2	<b>put</b> 35:20	122:12,13	262:18
45:24	259:13,14	116:17	138:24	293:14
50:14	265:1	122:23	150:8	quote/quote
65:21	267:6	204:23	175:5	15:7
113:10	268:9	216:20	189:3,13	quote/un
132:23	271:23	242:3	190:23	302:10
				302:10
139:14,25	312:15	244:14	193:21	R
146:22	314:22	289:11	194:12,19	<b>R</b> 1:9 2:1
148:23	publicizing	putting	194:23,24	
149:7	59:10	164:7	195:4,10	3:1 6:15 259:6
150:14	publicly	Q	195:13	
154:24	147:23		199:15	310:11,15
156:24	148:17	qualified	202:11	310:20
162:16	194:16,18	310:7	203:5	311:1,7
226:9,16	196:21	qualifiers	214:22	312:5
227:4	214:2	253:3	242:13,15	313:2
253:22	259:12	quarter	242:18	<b>raise</b> 23:12
274:22	publicly	131:9	250:18	23:13
286:18	94:24 97:5	quarterly	272:7	139:11
287:4	published	19:18,25	292:25	178:19
291:3	213:5	39:25	308:17,20	187:13
311:6	<b>pull</b> 33:9	40:10 41:3	308:22	188:4
provides	126:5	41:5 42:5	309:12	189:18
34:8	197:7	42:20 44:9	question's	190:15,25
providing	206:11	89:17,20	34:13	221:24
32:5 53:25	<b>pulled</b> 83:25	98:8,14,19	questioning	<pre>raised178:7</pre>
89:3,4	purchase	98:21	308:16	178:8,15
103:15	78:1,11	103:6	questions	181:7
113:8	93 <b>:</b> 15	104:3,6	9:7 17:5	186:21
131:3	purchased	105:25	21:21	187 <b>:</b> 6
139:21,22	77:17	109:1,14	141:8	188:16,17
203:1	purpose	177:7,17	304:1	188:21
283:24	33:25	181:1	308:11	205:19,21
provisions	34:15 35:1	182:14	quicker	206:15
93:18	35:4,8	239:4	160:18	246:19
<b>public</b> 117:8	78:15,20	288:13,23	quickly	raising
128:23	274:6,12	297 <b>:</b> 19	160:14	191:17
130:17,23	pursuant	question 9:8	161:11	205:24
131:7	310:13	9:9,12,15	307:8	282:5
140:6	<b>pursue</b> 250:3	27:21	<b>quite</b> 46:3	<b>ran</b> 193:15
161:4	251:7	34:14	64:25	235:10
164:24	252:13	79 <b>:</b> 16	240:11	257:21
195:2,4	pursuing	88:18,19	quote 79:12	301:15,17
243:9,15	250:24	90:22 92:5	85:8	301:20
244:5,11	<b>push</b> 60:25	94:19,20	105:16	<b>random</b> 75:3
· ·	-			

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 362 of 386 PageID #: 6845

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

99:24	294:3	302:11	225:6	101:6
101:15	297:25	<b>reason</b> 24:21	229:18	120:7
ranging	312:12	88:19 99:3	238:25	123:1
109:25	313:6,10	103:20	244:11	124:9
ranking	313:14,18	113:23	248:5	125:12
281:19	313:22	120:1	251:14,19	175:13
ransomware	314:5	122:4	260:17	178:9,21
276:24	read-thr	131:12	261:11	182:19
277:2	287:24	133:14	307:4	185:13
rarely	reading	138:3	receive	186:17,19
135:14	61:21 65:5	148:16	102:5	189:4,14
<b>rate</b> 167:6,7	66:8 68:2	168:24	166:3	189:21,23
167:9,12	71:4 86:5	203:13	197:7	191:2,8,21
reach 233:16	157:22	205:15	247:25	199:9
254:6	231:22	207:14	254:23	201:14
reached	243:13	209:12	296:5	203:13,14
65:14 66:3	275:9	210:11	received	205:13,14
66:4,10,24	real 61:5	220:23	103:8	244:20,23
85:8,21	63:19	223:18	117:20	248:20
86:16	70:23	224:13	163:4,7	249:20
232:22	71:25 72:5	228:11	255:10	256:13
241:5	74:12 78:2	251:5	286:9	275:24
267:10	78:16	283:24	295:25	281:12
reaching	85:15,16	290:3	receiving	282:11
59:9 86:7	86:22 87:3	291:9,15	297:10	305:14
233:20	111:6,6	298:13	recess 22:14	recollec
reaction	112:18	307:12,13	77:11	56:5
68:7 87:12	113:15	313:7,11	96:14	101:13
reactions	114:14	313:15,19	106:9	144:12
67:17,19	139:12	313:23	140:15	146:21,22
231:2,6	144:23	reasonable	180:12	153:9
read 38:4,20	145:5	194:6	234:16	154:2,15
50:4 51:16	157:18	reasons	287:17	154:24
56:24 66:9	158:17	106:6	recharac	157:2
77:17 81:5	302:10	191:3	176:14	164:15
81:6 86:21	realize	208:14	recipient	166:2
105:12	21:10,13	recall 20:17	200:8	167:8,21
127:18	really 66:2	20:18	recipients	170:15
128:15	100:21	23:20	98:25	172:22
145:20	115:6	191:11	recognize	175:17
193:24	154:4	205:13	196:22	176:18
228:23	195:15	206:20	recollect	181:19
229:8	272:17	209:19	28:4 42:23	186:25
230:14	283:20	211:2	42:24	197:4
231:22	realm 45:3	212:11,17	44:21	200:22
232:20	realtime	213:18	48:19	205:4
240:19	160:3	215:2,25	53:15	208:4
244:22	295:20	221:13	85:18	209:7,8
293:24	297:1,6	222:13	91:15,20	210:19,24
	, <b>.</b> _ <b>,</b> \		51.10,20	,,
		1	1	L

www.lexitaslegal.com

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 363 of 386 PageID #: 6846

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

211:17	105:11	199:14	referenced	206:11
212:21	106:6,7,10	205:20	295:16	refresh
213:9,13	128:14	recurring	referencing	197:3
219:6	129:10,20	170:4,7	127:10,12	244:22
223:12	130:25	278:3	referrals	refreshed
224:7	131:2	279:24	168:20	260:2
226:23	132:6	284:23	referred	refused
227:14,15	137:1	redacted	12:25	216:15
252:24	138:22	292:9	15:19 59:5	regarding
268:24	139:17	<b>Reddit</b> 24:3	75:6 84:8	148:6
273:24	140:11,13	41:25	86:12	218:1
281:24	141:5	48:20,21	94:24	233:20
282:13,17	159:25	48:25 49:1	108:17	regardless
286:1	162:7	49:9 91:18	123:18	179:15,17
290:1,16	164:8	155:8	179:8	regional
294:22	175:3	156:2	207:12	135:3
294:22	176:13	redirect	210:22	276:7,11
308:21,22	179:1,13	146:25	213:6,9	276:13
	179:18,19	<b>Redmond</b> 25:6	214:10,11	registered
<b>recommen</b> 158:24	179:22,23	<b>reduce</b> 232:6	230:5	269:5,6
	180:8,10	refer 32:11	233:23	•
159:4,14 160:15	180:13,15	38:14		<pre>registrar 50:14</pre>
	180:15,15	51:21	266:22,24 269:2	
recommen				<pre>registrars 50:19</pre>
91:25	184:19	59:12,18	referring	
157:11	189:16	86:20 97:4	38:22	<b>regular</b> 24:6
159:6	193:24	99:18	63:16	25:16
282:10,20	195:4	126:15	130:3	63:18
282:23	234:13,14	173:14	155:24	213:14
recommended	234:17	183:23	168:22	217:24
159:9	256:21	214:25	170:17	218:5
recommen	259:13	223:24	202:3	236:2
161:8	287:14,15	241:23	218:6,20	238:11
reconvene	287:19	242:2	227:13	285:8
179:25	289:18	270:14	228:18	287:12
<b>record</b> 6:10	290:15	303:19	231:4	regularly
7:11,22	292:12	reference	245:22	24:17
8:8 9:20	302:18	12:14,18	246:9	41:24
20:21	308:12	13:17	264:22	46:14
21:12 22:9	309:8,15	38:13	278:1	47:11
22:12,13	309:18	55:24 56:8	304:11	57:17
22:16 77:9	310:24	59:8	refers 38:3	92:22
77:10,12	record's	126:23	129:5,14	101:22
79:14	10:1	129:2	156:1	122:19
82:23 83:2	recorded	150:6	158:10	174:18
84:15	310:23	156:2	221:4	185:15,16
96:11,12	Recording	230:4	284:2	201:2,15
96:15 98:4	4:23 5:6	231:13	306:20	206:25
102:2	259:5	279:13,23	reflect	208:22
104:15	recurrence	304:22	117:18	211:7
		l	l	l

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 364 of 386 PageID #: 6847

00-1	
ELVIS CHAN	11/29/2022

212:2	relation	remedy	222:20	6:8
226:7	24:24	249:19	223:7	<b>remove</b> 95:15
227:16	relative	remember	225:16	250:24
276:22	310:17,18	20:12	227:5,13	252:22,25
304:6	<b>relay</b> 104:21	23:24 24:4	227:24	removed
<b>rein</b> 126:19	105:6,8	24:6 25:12	229:9	149:24
126:24	108:4	28:1,7	230:11,14	159:23
reiterate	162:22	54:21 55:1	245:3	removing
99:23	164:11	62:25	247:14,16	147:18
188:11	165:6	88:10 93:2	247:18,22	154:8,22
reiterating	166:5	112:12	247:23	<b>render</b> 314:8
189:4	225:12,13	113:9	248:13,14	<b>Renée</b> 51:25
<b>relate</b> 18:2	254:21,25	123:7	250:10	53:12 85:5
46:12	267:13	149:21	252:9	85:11
102:11	296:19	151:16,22	253:2,2,6	renew 200:17
121:22	relayed	152:6,7,8	253:10,13	rent 72:17
149:14	163:10	152:13	253:21	72:19,25
238:18	165:24	153:7	254:10	rented 73:7
307:1	172:11	154:19	255:7	repeat 96:9
<b>related</b> 4:19	204:2	155:14,16	260:1,18	194:19
39:9 42:15	220:10	155:22	260:21,22	199:15
45:4 52:6	221:20	163:8,9	260:21,22	293:17
60:21	225:16,20	164:17	261:13	repeatedly
92:19	255:2,4	166:4,11	269:1,3,12	174:23
164:13	297:18	166:17,17	271:19,22	175:4,6
234:1,25	relaying	166:18,20	278:12,14	rephrase
261:17	167:16	166:22	281:16	27:21
262:7	168:17	171:4,7,16	282:2,24	105:19
270:10	223:8	171:18	284:24	166:25
283:9	297:21	172:12,23	288:25	253:16
286:10	ReleaseT	175:21	289:9,12	297:16
290:25	149:15,18	181:20	296:9,11	<b>report</b> 14:22
305:16,18	relevance	182:11,21	296:14,14	65:23,25
305:20	194:5	182:24,25	297:2	80:11
306:14,17	relevant	183:1,11	299:14	86:19 87:1
307:3,6,14	103:8	186:8	304:5	87:1
307:20	105:1	192:1,4	306:16	102:18
308:2,6,8	126:19	200:1	307:2	103:14
<b>relates</b> 45:8	138:13	201:9	308:16	106:17,19
47:19	152:18	205:4,5,15	309:4	107:6,8,9
48:22	159:15	206:17,18	REMEMBERED	127:11
relating	242:19	207:13	6:3	131:7
52:11	<b>relied</b> 14:24	209:25	remembering	145:2,6
194:14,15	reluctant	210:1,4,10	306:2	159:19
233:5	104:12	212:2	<b>Remote</b> 2:6,7	161:5
244:25	relying	214:4,21	2:18,23	162:2
259:17	164:20	219:18,18	3:6,11 6:1	168:7
262:20	<b>remain</b> 69:8	220:12	141:1	261:19,23
263:16	173:11	221:16	remotely 6:5	262:10,25
				202.10,20
	1	1	1	

#### LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 365 of 386 PageID #: 6848

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

<b></b>				
263:5	274:19	rominated	204:15	168:16
265:5	274:19	requested 105:12	204:15	190:19
268:5				
	289:24	116:21	252:13	198:7,18
285:23	292:4	311:4,5	290:19	restate
286:5	293:7	requesting	291:13	167:20
289:17	<b>repost</b> 74:1	22:22	respective	restrict
290:9	76:3	require	49:11	143:12
298:23	158:12	298:15	156:15	<b>result</b> 35:25
reported	reposted	requirement	163:17	142:14
54:19	66 <b>:</b> 20	266:10	respecti	148:22
167 <b>:</b> 11	reposting	requires	29:12	149:24
287 <b>:</b> 1	63:8,11	90:8	35:21	160:17
reporter	64:19 65:6	research	<pre>respond 9:23</pre>	166:19
1:24 6:7	65 <b>:</b> 7 67:24	15:14 31:7	104:9	203:5
9:21 10:8	71:5,23	31:19 56:8	138:24	266:5
11:10	73:14,16	56:21 60:2	204:14	resulted
105:12	76:6 86:6	61 <b>:</b> 12	215:3	67 <b>:</b> 13
169:11	86:6	69:17 73:4	272:8	118:18
196:14	157:23,23	74:6 75:9	282:21	results
216:22	represent	75:25 76:3	responding	137:9,10
241:12	7:1,21	78:21 79:7	9:15	148:21
258:25	22:23	79:10,18	158:20	206:12
259:12	23:17	79:18,19	response	Retaliatory
271:4,18	represen	79:23	37:9,13	271:8
287:20	253:14,18	84:25 85:5	90:21	<b>retired</b> 47:8
310:8	represen	85:7 100:7	103:9	return
311:6	24:15 27:4	100:10	167:2	312:17
reporter's	135:3	126:15	170:16	returning
6:21	198:9	141:17	179:8	191:10,10
reporter	represen	143:3,16	202:24	retweet 74:1
271:12	95:20	154:6	203:4	76:20,25
Reporters'	110:12,13	157:1	274:19	158:12
310:10	118:3	researcher	279:15,16	retweeting
reporting	211:1,4	51:25	292:8	76:18
79:23	represented	58:10	309:11	retweets
134:16	24:8	researchers	responses	76:16
reports 15:9	272:18	57:17 58:6	4:18 9:24	<b>retype</b> 291:5
104:5	represen	58:16	21:15	Reuters
114:9	7:10,17	140:3,9	166:3	141:24
127:25	148:3,4	researching	169:17,25	reveal
131:3	Republicans	11:24	203:2	117:22
147:23	266:21	169:7	277:14	revealing
163:4	304:9	reside	responsi	155:5
164:13	reputable	264:16	24:25	revelation
165:3	85:25	Resilience	153:16	142:14
167:16	request	170:22	217:14	revenues
241:8	103:3	respect 44:2	responsible	115:13
264:25	288:23	91:2	24:23	review 70:11
265:2	296:23	194:12	43:16	134:16
203.2	290:22	194:12	40.10	TOHITO
	I	I	I	1

www.lexitaslegal.com

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 366 of 386 PageID #: 6849

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

262:15	73:17	148:9	274:9	rolling
265:13	74:12,20	149:2,7,11	280:6	198:5
		• •		
296:3	75:5,7,22	149:16,20	281:18	Roman 28:15
306:8	77:20,22	150:19	285:4	28:16 37:2
311:3	78:3,17	151:1,11	288:9,15	<b>room</b> 152:19
reviewed	79:6,12	152:24	292:23	rotate
79:7,11	80:13,18	157:12,16	293:12	207:15,15
165:1	80:19,22	157:19	299:5 <b>,</b> 17	rotating
263:18	82:5,14,15	158:2,7,13	303:9	44:20
265:4	83:16	158:17,21	304:23	<b>Roth</b> 4:20
266:3	84:10,23	159:3,9,17	306:21	45:19 46:5
268:6	85:10,17	159:23	308:25	124:17
288:1	86:1,17,20	160:3,15	right-wing	217:1,2
reviewing	86:24 87:5	162:10	144:16	222:23
91:1	87:14 94:1	163:6,23	rights	224:1,6,20
112:24	95:16 96:8	165:7,11	286:13	227:1
revolve	96:22 97:1	166:14	<b>rises</b> 266:9	228:2
266:5	98:7,23	168:12	<b>risk</b> 180:19	229:4
<b>Rich</b> 5:9	99:9,14,19	169:19	181:6	230:20
197:25	103:25	172:1	186:6,16	233:1,14
Richard 47:8	104:5,7	177:13,19	187:5	Roth's
125:7,8	107:11	178:13	188:5	223:13
<b>rife</b> 268:17	109:6	179:12	192:10,17	225:17
<b>right</b> 17:8	114:8	180:21	192:20	233:8
17:23 21:6	115:21	181:3,10	211:14,20	<b>Rouge</b> 3:5
23:14	122:17,24	181:16	212:8	roughly
26:15 29:6	123:23	183:12,14	282:6	39:25
33:20 35:1	124:11	183:16	<b>RMR</b> 1:24	253:5
35:16,19	126:21	188:16	<b>RNC</b> 16:7	round 300:17
35:25 36:4	127:1,8,15	196:25	<b>Robert</b> 65:24	<b>RPR</b> 1:24
36:6 38:1	128:4,13	214:12	257:13,15	RSA 271:22
38:3 40:14	128:17,24	217:19,19	257:13,15	272:18,20
40:22	129:7,15	227:11	<b>robust</b> 37:14	275:16,23
43:18,25	130:1,8,12	228:8,9	130:10	<b>RSCC</b> 16:7
49:24 59:6	130:17,22	232:11	132:2	<b>ruled</b> 122:11
49:24 59:0 59:10,15	130:17,22	236:25	Roderick	<b>rules</b> 9:6
59:20 61:7	132:12,25	240:16	46:1	rumors 227:9
61:17,22	141:12,21	242:5	<b>role</b> 43:8	228:6,16
62:9,10	142:2,4,8	252:10	72:21	229:16
63:9,12,21	142:11,15	256:15	135:1	<b>run</b> 19:7
64:4,11	142:21,22	259:8,25	154:16	281:17
65:1,15	144:3,14	260:5	193:12	running
66:20 67:9	144:14	261:7,9	217:12,18	98:11
68:2,10	145:11,17	262:3,7,13	275:5	Ruslan 6:22
69:14,17	145:20,21	262:21	290:5,5	<b>Russia</b> 38:3
69:19 70:6	145:24	263:8,13	<b>roles</b> 43:7	94:3,13
70:12,24	146:8,14	269:24	200:4	95:1 155:3
71:2,7,17	147:10,14	272:4,9,24	rolled	186:13
71:25 72:7	147:20,25	273:4	272:13	226:13,17
	ļ		l	I

#### LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 367 of 386 PageID #: 6850

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

271:9	189:19	143:24	58:1 105:1	45:7 48:10
305:20,25	191:1,13	144:17	106:18	49:19 50:3
Russia's	191:17,24	145:20	107:2,5,13	50:18 51:9
37:20,25	198:11,13	175:12	107:17	51:12
	200:13,16	190:12	108:19	56:23
38:4,5 157:15				
158:2	201:16,22 202:8,14	227:18,22 236:21	119:23 128:3	57:23 58:25
<b>Russian</b> 4:13	202:0,14	286:15	135:4	61:14 63:7
11:3 12:3	203:11,23		162:12,20	64:2,23
12:9,25		<b>Ryan</b> 44 <b>:</b> 15		•
	207:25	S	165:2,3,5	65:19
13:4,15,18	208:12,18	<b>S</b> 2:1 3:1	168:9,16	67:18 68:9
14:4,13,18	209:21		168:21	68:21 69:3
31:13,18	211:15	<b>s-e-n</b> 183:10	169:1	70:3,16
32:14,22	212:9	<b>S-h-e-r</b>	177:2,9	71:12
32:23	221:10	124:21	181:5	72:18
33:16 34:2	235:7,21	S-t-r-z-o-k	198:12	73:22
34:23 36:1	239:6	234:20 <b>S</b> -m-m 7.9 15	201:5	74:25 76:2
36:5 37:13	240:6,23	<b>S-u-r</b> 7:8,15	267:9,13	76:10,23
52:7,24	241:5	Sacramento	268:10,13	77:6,14
53:2,24	257:24	276:15	268:15	78:23
55:7 59:3	285:21	<b>safety</b> 43:3	272:16	79:17 82:5
60:2 61:11	Russian-bot	43:9,14,15	276:1	82:17,25
65:8 66:4	158:5	44:4,11,13	287:9	83:3,5,15
73:23	Russian	46:4,9,23	296:17,21	84:17,20
76:14,16	146:24	47:18	298:12	90:24 92:8
78:22	Russian	48:22	301:2,17	93:4 94:10
87:14 89:3	174:25	55:10,11	310:4	94:18
92:20 94:1	Russian	55:12	Sauer 2:6	95:10
95:9	35:3	135:17	4:5 7:2,2	96:11,17
126:25	Russian	152:20	8:6 11:14	97:14 98:5
127:11	64:15 67:8	153:12	12:1 14:10	102:10
142:15	80:6 89:9	217:6,12	15:1 18:5	103:2,14
146:7	96:8	292:11	18:20 21:9	104:19
152:3	112:17	293:4	21:13 22:1	105:19
158:10	141:15	safety/site	22:8,11,17	106:12
161:16,23	142:15	45:12,15	22:21	112:9
172:18	146:14	48:15	23:11	113:3
173:5	Russian	sales 114:24	26:17	115:4,18
175:16	144:11	<b>Salgado</b> 47:8	27:14,21	117:15
176:6	Russians	125:7,8	28:18	121:21
177:22	13:21,25	<b>Sam</b> 135:6	30:19 31:1	122:2,15
178:5,20	15:16 29:5	137:5	32:25	128:17
180:19	29:23	138:1	33:18	129:12,23
181:15	30:14,21	Samaradun	34:13,21	131:5
182:9,16	31:25	135:7	35:11	132:10
184:8	59:24	San 6:7,20	36:12 37:1	133:19
185:11	63:19 64:1	8:12,14,18	37:6 38:10	137:10
186:6,16	64:1 73:24	24:18 25:4	41:16,21	138:14
188:5	74:18	50:12,12	43:15,22	139:10,18

#### LEXITAS LEGAL www.lexitaslegal.com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 368 of 386 PageID #: 6851

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

140:10	239:2	166:18	sciences	<b>second</b> 13:18
141:6	240:13	190:22	48:25	16:16 17:6
143:10	241:9,14	195:21,24	<b>Scott</b> 2:6	29:24
144:8	242:7,12	196:3,6	7:5	51:18
146:12	242:23	211:25	screen 28:10	52:22
147:2	243:1	213:18	28:19	71:13
150:15	245:1	220:5	51:14	86:21
153:23	252:10	227:25	197:10,15	93:18
154:7	256:24	244:18	216:20	107:14
157:6	258:15,20	247:16,18	241:16	128:16
160:13,25	258:22	247:22	242:21	169:10
162:10	259:2,11	256:6,13	255:8	182:3
164:2,4,9	268:4	261:11	271:7	194:13,14
	269:1	266:20	277:15	225:1
166:8 169:3,13				241:10
	270:14	270:7,18	287:25	
169:20	271:1,6	304:8	292:14,20	252:10
171:2,20 173:2	274:18	<b>says</b> 38:20 70:16	298:5 303:2	276:16
	277:20			279:23
174:14	282:16	80:20	screening	294:6
175:8	283:11	83:17,19	42:17	295:15
176:16	287:13,22	84:11	scroll 28:14	second-h
178:24	292:13	127:10	129:12	109:23
179:6,12	293:19,21	170:21	277:20	seconds
179:20	294:2	171:3,4,13	278:25	242:22
180:6,8,15	299:16,24	217:1,6,8	279:15	<b>section</b> 37:7
184:23	300:3,10	217:23	300:16	109:7
187:12,23	300:14	225:2	scrutiny	121:23
188:14	302:21	227:8	117:8	175:18,21
189:2,10	303:4	228:2,16	131:13	184:6,10
189:15	306:11	229:15	Scully 25:13	184:12,21
193:5,23	308:10,16	230:20	25:16,19	185:1,4,6
195:12,21	309:14	232:12	26:12,14	245:12
196:16,25	<b>save</b> 298:4	243:7	26:22 28:2	310:14
197:10,14	<b>saved</b> 298:7	244:10,25	152:7,10	<b>sector</b> 16:17
200:5	298:10,13	245:15	152:13	18:7 20:10
201:6	<b>saves</b> 89:5	303:5	154:13	155:6
202:11,22	<b>saw</b> 50:25	304:7	212:3,21	231:24
204:25	157:1	Scandina	221:21,25	238:10
208:7	174:12	210:9,11	278:8	sector-e
210:15	268:21	schedule	281:16,22	105:6
213:2	286:12,15	20:2	<b>Sean</b> 200:7	secure 99:1
214:3	301:24	scheduled	200:15,23	295:17
215:19	<pre>saying10:9</pre>	300:18	201:7	302:14
216:17,24	73:10	scheduling	search	secured
224:18	82:14,16	288:13	111:18	81:14
229:3	91:5	School 4:11	251:8	236:12
231:10,25	106:13	10:16,19	294:11	securely
233:14	131:7	science	<b>season</b> 13:15	99:11
234:12,19	133:3	10:14	16:11	securing
	l	I	I	I

www.lexitaslegal.com

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 369 of 386 PageID #: 6852

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

154:16	126:14	292:14,19	sending	271:2
security	127:9	293:11	232:16	292:21
10:17	128:9	300:4,18	263:22,24	304:5
16:23	132:14	302:23	288:20	306:9
18:17	136:2	303:2,3,10	304:3	sentence
24:12	137:18	303:23	306:12	29:1,4
43:10,13	146:3,4	<b>seeing</b> 53:4	sends 264:4	35:16
45:13	150:23	156:15	senior 16:14	37:17 75:7
46:15	152:25	201:4	45:19	75:12
47:16 48:2	165:10,13	261:7,16	46:16,24	77:16
50:15 54:9	169:14	285:20,24	47:7,10,15	84:12
111:14,21	170:1,3,10	286:6,21	48:13 49:3	100:5
111:25	174:8	287:6	123:11	128:15
112:5	196:18	300:7	125:3,13	129:12
138:4	197:8,10	306:1	131:14	141:23
151:11	197:15,17	seen 20:11	175:17	141:23
152:16	198:1,2,15	173:8	200:10	151:9
160:9	207:2	174:11,15	222:1,6	160:25
161:9	207:2	207:8	237:25	170:3
170:22	217:22	278:19,20	246:4	219:22
		278:19,20		221:5
171:7 200:9	218:1,4 219:4		senior-46:7	224:20
200:9		<b>seizure</b> 249:19	<b>senior-l</b>	225:1
	221:5		25:10,11	
202:7	223:5	250:4,24	44:16 123:6,9	227:7,8
217:25 218:1	225:25	251:7,9,13		245:15
231:1,6,11	227:11 228:10	252:4 Select 79:24	<b>sense</b> 220:13	273:23
	230:1		<b>sensitive</b> 20:19 21:4	<pre>sentiment 209:20</pre>
231:15,24	231:2	116:12,13		
278:18		116:15	21:17	222:14,19 Sentinel
<b>see</b> 28:15,17	241:14,17	selector	283:25	
28:18,20 28:22,23	241:17,19	100:16	<pre>sensitivity 243:19</pre>	289:19,24 290:9
	241:22 242:24	selectors		290:9 291:25
28:24 29:3		30:2,4	245:20	
35:20 37:3	243:2,5,22	32:22 33:5	247:6	292:1
37:4,10	243:23	113:8,10	255:11	separate
38:17 51:5	245:20,21	199:10	sent 27:12	97:23
51:14,17	247:9,25	self-del	90:9	121:5
51:20,22	252:3	297:23	112:11	131:15
55:23,25	255:8	298:2	127:13	280:10
65:13,15	259:2,4	<b>Senate</b> 4:21	161:12	295:9
67:4 69:7	261:6,10	116:13	165:2	305:4,5
77:23	261:22	117:24	196:24	separately
78:24 79:2	262:11,23	241:21	197:11	135:12
81:1,2,16	266:7	send 27:11	198:23	separatist
83:19	271:6,14	98:25 99:3	232:15	69:19
84:18 85:4	272:2,5,7	101:20	266:5	September
86:25	277:14	107:23	267:3,4,9	4:14,16
114:18	279:16,17	265:12,19	268:10,13	10:20
126:7,9,12	280:2,3,7	296:1	268:15	11:19
		l	l	

#### LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 370 of 386 PageID #: 6853

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

151:10	session77:3	106:2	36:23	100:5
155:12	141:2	108:6,8	sharing	219:16
292:17,22	234:11	112:7,25	16:17 17:7	shortened
series	sessions	113:24	17:15,15	29:22
128:11	96:19	120:19	17:18,22	shorthand
279:8	set 4:18	131:20	17:25 18:9	6:6 88:3
seriously	27:17 31:8	136:4,9	18:10,18	310:7
114:20	31:9,13	137:9	18:21	shortly
115:1	109:17	138:2	29:11,14	172:20
serve 199:5	181:12	152:19	29:16 32:4	192:11,14
266:8	182:8,12	197:11,16	32:10	219:3,11
server	182:18	213:16	33:20 34:1	219:13,25
195:22	258:16	216:20	35:19,22	220:18
198:15,21	279:25	241:16	36:17	221:11
198:23,24	297:5	255:8	37:19,24	241:25
199:8,17	sets 150:6	258:23	39:1 50:8	244:19
servers	177:12,21	271:7	88:20	shortt@a
13:12	181:7	273:12,20	96:19 97:6	3:7
service	204:4	274:13	100:12	<b>shots</b> 298:5
43:24	setting	277:13,15	103:22	<b>show</b> 139:23
49:11,20	120:21	283:16,17	128:22	216:17
90:23 91:1	288:6	292:14,20	130:16	241:9
91:22,24	291:17,18	296:23	136:10	244:21
92:1,6,9	seven 24:5	300:11	160:3	271:1
92:19 93:9	50:9	302:24	171:5	287:23
93:19	101:22	308:23	198:4	<b>showed</b> 27:7
129:8,19	288:12	<b>shared</b> 16:18	232:13,15	278:14
130:5,22	seventh	19:1 29:24	273:25	<b>showing</b> 11:7
132:2	293:10,19	31:10	274:6	169:9,13
138:5	293:20	42:15	300:22	196:16
153:18	<b>shake</b> 182:6	50:23 62:3	302:1	shows 66:22
165:13	shaking 10:2	78:9 88:7	304:23	shut 37:21
166:7,10	Shane 46:24	100:22	305:7	37:25 38:5
205:7,25	47:3 125:9	103:7,13	sheer 84:11	76:5,17
205:7,25	<b>share</b> 28:19	130:19,20	84:21	87:8
206:19	30:18	143:1	SHEET 313:1	side 23:21
235:7	33:13 35:8	156:13	sheets	24:7 25:8
248:3,25	50:21,22	160:6	312:10,14	34:1 44:13
249:4,25	50:24 51:2	166:19	312:10,14	46:14,24
250:19	51:14	167:1	Shelby 222:7	47:12,18
252:7,13	88:13,25	208:5	Sherrer	48:15,22
252:23	89:22	209:20	46:17	87:25
253:23,25	92:21 95:8	222:19	124:18,19	110:6,6,20
262:16	98:7,10	232:2	124:20	111:21
267:21,22	99:24	287:8	shift162:22	112:5
274:2,3,8	102:8,15	304:21	<b>shifted</b> 29:5	120:24
SES 109:23	102:16,24	305:2,4,12	<b>shifts</b> 144:7	124:3
179:5	103:11	<b>shares</b> 29:19	307:10	153:16
302:19	104:10	32:16	<b>short</b> 3:6	161:10,10
$J \cup \angle \cdot \perp J$	TOTO	JZ · IU	31101 6 3 . 0	,,,
	I	I	1	I

#### LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 371 of 386 PageID #: 6854

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

172:10	48:21	Slipping	94:6 95:20	162:23
191:20	97:24	284:23	96:4,20,25	163:16
224:12	142:2,15	slotting	97:8 99:14	165:6,10
280:13	144:10,11	54:1	99 <b>:</b> 18	165:12
Siegel 124:2	144:14,16	<b>slowly</b> 10:7	100:20	166:3
sign 81:15	144:21,24	smaller	101:21	168:19,20
312:14	145:4,5,7	51:16	105:10,24	168:22,25
Signal	145:9,11	Smith 76:12	106:14,22	172:24
295:12,22	145:17,19	Smith's	107:16,24	173:3,18
296:15	146:2,13	76:18	108:22	176:10
297:5,12	153:12	<b>social</b> 4:12	110:20	177:18,25
297:13,23	217:4,8	11:5 12:4	111:2,3	180:18
298:1,6,9	230:21	12:6 13:20	112:8	181:3,10
298:12,16	232:12	13:24 14:2	113:10	182:10,13
298:20	293:4	14:3,22	114:22	183:3
299:17	<b>sites</b> 13:23	15:3,5,15	116:17	187:6
302:3,8,10	14:4,19	17:16,20	117:16	189:20,25
signature	15:4 77:20	18:18,25	118:3,5,7	190:15,21
312:10,14	78:2	23:20 29:9	119:10,15	190:24
312:18	142:19	29:19 30:5	119:25	191:19
313:25	143:9,13	30:13,22	120:3,11	192:2,9
significant	146:18	31:11,23	121:4	204:3,10
85:8	251:7	32:4,11,12	122:16,17	204:13
132:11	situation	32:17,18	122:18	205:2,5,6
<b>similar</b> 63:6	143:22	33:5 35:17	123 <b>:</b> 17	209:5,11
93:7 94:25	146:5	35:23	127:5,19	209:13
101:15	248:4,16	36:17	131:23	210:12,15
110:21	250:19,20	37:20	133:5,15	210:20
174:8	251 <b>:</b> 6	39:19,19	135:11,21	213:11
205:22	264:9,11	41:6,17	135:24	215:23
212:14	264:12	42:3,8	136:3,15	216:1,7,10
222:14	265:5,20	43:2 49:8	137:3,12	218:16
288:16	situations	50:23	137:24	222:11
294:17	64 <b>:</b> 15	59:18,23	138:16	223:4
similari	212:17	60 <b>:</b> 3,6	140:1,8	225:5,14
95:8	297 <b>:</b> 17	61:1,5,20	143:8,10	225:21
Sincerely	<b>six</b> 100:24	63:15	143:12	227:15
312:21	108:16	64:12 69:6	144:9,22	234:4
<b>single</b> 98:18	194:10	69:14 70:5	145:10	241:6
112:15	219:14,15	71:15,16	146:13,16	248:14,17
<b>sir</b> 8:10	220:6	71:21,24	146:19	249:12
61:23	236:5	72:9,10	147:2,24	250:6,12
189:3	288:11	75:14	151:3	252:16,20
259:2	<b>size</b> 73:1	77:18 80:1	153:10,15	255:22
<b>site</b> 43:9,16	skills	80:3,8	154:3,10	256:18
44:11	208:12	82:7 84:12	156:11,13	261:17,23
45:17 46:8	<b>skip</b> 78:23	84:21	159:16	261:24
46:23	<b>Skype</b> 236:8	85:15,16	160:7,8,18	262:10,13
47:18	<b>slash</b> 81:2	87:5,13	161:10	262:14,18
	l			

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 372 of 386 PageID #: 6855

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

265:2,21	someone's	283:20	168:21	110:23
266:12	266:20	307:20	257:2,12	116:2
267:14,19	<b>soon</b> 272:11	sorts 59:23	257:13,15	124:10
269:19	sorry 6:11	94:25	257:17,19	129:18
270:14	30:8 31:2	109:20	257:22	139:4,11
273:3,9,17	40:12	121:13	258:2	151:16
273:25	48:10	136:23	specific	153:7
275:25	52:16 55:3	139:8	14:15	154:5
		140:2		162:17
279:3	82:24		31:22,22	
280:19	95:24	285:23	32:5 60:12	164:16
283:21	104:10	286:5	90:4 96:6	168:8
285:12,22	106:4	<b>sound</b> 210:8	96:7,25	173:14
286:2,25	107:1	sounded	97:7 99:18	174:11
287:3	110:22	210:11	100:19	178:10
288:5,12	116:11	<b>sounds</b> 114:8	123:2	186:19
289:21,25	120:14	136:13,21	154:25	188:14
290:10	123:7	212:12	173:23	201:15
291:13	148:13,22	231:23	190:3	202:12
293:2	161 <b>:</b> 22	288:9	192:10,19	205 <b>:</b> 19
294:19,23	177:6	<b>source</b> 33:4	199:10	207 <b>:</b> 21
295:11	179:16	75 <b>:</b> 18	203:14	212:7,11
296:12	180 <b>:</b> 7	85:25	212:17	220 <b>:</b> 12
297:8,15	183 <b>:</b> 15	145:14	222:20	227 <b>:</b> 25
297:20	196:23	146:14	226:10	228:22
298:9,14	207:20	163:14	232:19	231:12
298:24	218:4	sourcing	245:3	245:11
306:25	253:16	230:25	248:13	249:3
307:19	259:9	<b>sow</b> 61:12	268:16	257:13,15
software	265:18	141:19	282:12	257:25
72:22,23	283:15	sowing	283:16,21	261:13
136:1	288:13	286:16	291:12	268:8
Solarium	292:23	<b>spam</b> 90:5	296:2	269:2,3
159:7	293:16	99:5,6	297:2	271:22
<b>sole</b> 11:15	294:9	spanning	306:20	275:10
<b>solely</b> 189:8	<b>sort</b> 15:21	237:1	specific	276:1
189:11	22:7 30:20	<b>speak</b> 120:22	12:4,7	277:1
solution	44:9 67:11	speaking	13:5 17:21	280:14
250:7	67:12	59:25	18:16	295:3,6
252:5,11	72:12	69:21	25:12	296:17
solutions	85:13	94:22	29:19 30:1	303:14
252:3,8	105:9,15	186:2	31:14,19	308:8
somebody	121:10	235:16,17	32:9 35:22	309:4
7:13	138:10	243:4	38:23	specificity
112:18	149:18	253:22	42:14 44:5	164:6
264:25	159:21	271:24	45:5 62:19	304:16
266:19	166:8	speaks	80:10	specifics
267:24	187:9	110:10	85:14 91:7	114:6
somebody's	248:16	<b>special</b> 8:11	91:11	166:17
139:12	261:19	65:22 87:1	105:15,16	172:13
1.0.9.12	201.19	00.22 07.1	103.13,10	112.13
1	I	I	I	I

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 373 of 386 PageID #: 6856

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

	1	1	1	
195:14	<b>spell</b> 58:12	301:4	52:1 53:23	state-sp
235:16	124:19	staffer	54:2 55:21	14:5 33:16
251:12	181:25	123:9	56:11	34:23
253:21	210:2	staffers	57:25,25	39:10,13
254:10	spelling	117:20,24	<b>Star</b> 275:6,7	52:20 60:2
282:22	124:20	118:8,15	Starbird	95:7,8,9
speculate	spellings	118:24	55:24 56:2	187:4
115:17	183:11	119:9,22	58:20	285:21
304:6	<b>spent</b> 169:6	119:24	<b>start</b> 41:21	307:24
speculating	<b>spoke</b> 210:20	120:9	41:22 44:7	stated
115:10	210:24	121:2,3,4	197:14	128:11
speculation	211:1	121:7	started 23:5	191:4
34:6,20	212:2	122:23	171:17	statement
35:7 36:8	236:17	123:4,6,13	177:12	127:17,22
41:14 48:7	283:4	123:15,19	starters	225:17
49:14 50:1	spreadsheet	124:5	123:25	245:21
57:22 61:9	100:17	125:17	starting	260:18
63:23	101:2	127:13	116:15	264:6
67:15 68:5	112:11	<b>stage</b> 122:10	170:3	273:16
68:17 69:1	squad 105:6	<b>Stamos</b> 54:4	261:3	statements
70:14 71:9	106:1	54:5 55:6	272:2	122:21
72:15	107:20,21	57:20,25	<b>state</b> 1:6	states 1:1
73:19	193:9,13	58:5,8	3:3 6:8,14	7:15 19:7
74:22	193:14,17	<b>stamp</b> 267:12	7:3 8:7	19:10 34:4
76:22	194:20	stance	16:24 19:2	84:22
78:19 82:1	198:7,10	159:10,11	134:15	141:21
104:15	198:11,13	stand 121:24	136:4	154:25
114:16	198:18,20	168:14	138:24	162:19
115:15	198:20	303:15	139:14,20	170:6
117:12	235:10	standard	139:22	194:1,17
137:1	251:13	19:5 98:9	140:3,7	196:21
138:21	257:21,25	standards	156:22	240:16
150:11	<b>squads</b> 105:5	90:16,23	190:5	241:20
153:21	106:25	92:7,10	202:9	269:9,10
160:22	107:2	129:19,22	209:2	285:16
213:1	108:5,16	205:7	219:2,8,24	statistic
215:17	<b>ss</b> 310:3	standing	226:8,10	148:25
224:4	<b>SSCI</b> 116:2,9	22:18	227:18	statistics
228:20	116:9,13	143:3	259:5	241:3
231:8,19	118:8	standpoint	265:22	<b>status</b> 214:5
240:10	119:6,8,17	15:6	269:8,16	239:22
245:25	St2:22	137:21	283:1	statute
246:11	265:24	174:10	285:16	270:2
274:11	266:6,24	211:18,21	310:2,8	<b>stay</b> 69:4
299:12	267:1	272:16	312:5	82:6 83:9
304:13	312:19	stands	313:2	249:23
305:3	<b>staff</b> 16:14	145:23	314:1	stealing
speech	141:25	279:10	state-	59:9
262:11	staffed	Stanford	172:15	<b>steer</b> 70:19

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 374 of 386 PageID #: 6857

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

				•
stenogra	86:23	subjects	188:4,15	257:1,5,7
310:23	108:8	149:15	188:20	supporting
<b>step</b> 68:9	156:14,24	<b>submit</b> 263:2	189:18	154:17
<b>steps</b> 50:6	278:23	submitted	190:1,14	suppose
73:14	283:12,15	263:16	190:23	60:24
204:15	287:5	265:9	202:13	68 <b>:</b> 21
232:6	strategi	268:22	234:9	74:16
<b>Steven</b> 124:2	16:18	subpoena	245:8	76:12
Stevrud	108:6	266:8	suggested	supposed
210:9	Street 2:5	subpoenas	191:7	143:7
Stewart	2:11,17	111:18	206:4,5	suppress
135:6,7	3:5,10	subscribe	243:18	233:4
136:21	312:3,19	314:10	245:19	Sur 2:12 4:6
137:5	strings	Subsection	247:5	7:7,8,14
138:2	32:18	69:13	255:10	7:15 11:25
sticking	36:23	Subsector	302:10	14:9,20
77:14	strive 127:7	284:13,17	suggesting	18:3,13
308:5	127:21	subsequent	106:5	22:5,10,25
<b>stolen</b> 235:6	strong 227:5	199:11,11	241:4	23:1,7,17
stood 167:24	stronger	199:16	suggestion	26:16 27:5
216:2	255 <b>:</b> 16	<b>subset</b> 66:7	34:11	27:19
stopped	strongly	149:4	Suite 2:17	28:16
89:23	224:8	150:1,13	3:10	30:16,24
272:9	Strzok	254:19	summarize	32:15
299:17	234:19	281:7	31:17	33:11 34:5
stored	235:9,12	substance	summarized	34:19 35:6
291:23	237:19,21	289:9,12	144:6	36:7,19
292:1	238:3	314:7	summary 37:7	37:5 41:13
stories	239:5,14	substantive	100:5	41:19 43:4
215:1	239:5,14		147:10	41:19 43:4
		129:2,14	290:8	43:19
243:25	studies	129:16,24		
<b>story</b> 141:24	10:17	133:11	291:5,6	49:13,25
155:5	<b>study</b> 87:3	136:14	summer	50:10
156:1	<b>stuff</b> 73:16	157:3	275:16	51:11
213:21	75:13,17	289:14,21	Sundar	56:18
214:1,16	75:19	substant	116:25	57:21 61:8
214:19	131:6	283:4	<pre>super 31:5</pre>	63:4,22
232:7,24	147:24	success 64:3	Superior 2:4	64:20
233:5,6	159:21	64:11	supervisor	65:11
234:2	252:17	144:25	193:9,14	67:14 68:4
252:14	<b>style</b> 205:20	167:5,7,9	257:8	68:16,25
253:9	subcommi	167:12	supervisors	69:23
308:15	284:9	successful	106:1	70:13 71:8
strategic	subject	223:20	107:9,10	72:14
29:21	180:3	224:15	107:19	73:18
30:12	187:9	225:10,13	supervis	74:21
31:10,16	215:1	suddenly	106:24	75:23 76:8
31:17	223:1,15	247:20	107:2	76:21 77:1
50:25	303:5	suggest	supervisory	77:8 78:18
	I	I	I	l

www.lexitaslegal.com

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 375 of 386 PageID #: 6858

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

[				
50 10	170 10	0.15		100.00
79:13	179:16	sure 9:15	302:15,16	103:23
81:25	180:4,7,9	14:11,12	systems	104:2,4,7
82:24 83:4	187:8,15	28:20	59 <b>:</b> 13	112:6
83:6 84:15	188:6,22	47:14,25		113:19,25
84:19	189:9	58:15 73:5	T	114:19,22
90:20 92:3	193:2,19	73:8 88:20	$T-h-i-b-\ldots$	115:1,9,19
92:16 94:8	194:25	112:15	256 <b>:</b> 20	137:15
94:16 95:5	196:23	151:25	<b>table</b> 65:15	142:13
97:10 98:3	197:6,12	152:12	86:13	143:12,19
102:1,21	199:22	169:4	147:9	150:8
103:4	200:17	182:1	tactical	160:13
104:14	201:24	218:9	16:19	163:4
106:4	202:16	272:22	29:25 30:1	166:12
111:7	204:17	273:9,18	31:16,21	171:9
112:20	208:2	294:5	32:1 42:14	219:9
114:15	210:14	surface	96:4,21,24	220:9
115:14	212:25	138:9	97:8 98:15	223:24
117:11	212:23	surmise	99:8,12	227:22
121:11,24	215:23	306:16	108:9,12	228:3
122:9	224:3	307:5	111:1	242:3
			283:12,17	
132:5	228:19	<b>Suruchi</b> 48:13	tactical	249:9,13
133:13	231:7,18		32:5	249:18,18
136:25	233:7	Surveill	<b>tactics</b> 29:5	250:1,4,4
137:25	234:8	111:23	29:22	250:14,21
138:20	238:23	suspending	143:23	250:22
139:16	240:9	232:3		251:3,9,11
142:25	242:10,20	suspicion	<b>TAD-KDM</b> 1:9 <b>take</b> 10:8	251:17
144:4	242:25	243:20	14:6 21:8	252:6,11
146:9,20	245:24	247:7,13	30:11	252:17
150:10	246:10	247:21	32:24 33:9	254:1,3,9
153:20	251:23	255:25		262:2,5,13
154:1	258:19,21	256:5	33:13,19	262:19
156:19	259:9	Sussmann	33:22	269:21
159:24	268:2,20	194:17	34:10,16	270:16
160:21	270:4	196:22	34:24 35:4	274:4,16
162:6	271:3	197:20	35:12	278:21
163:25	274:10	198:3,9	43:23 61:4	286:17,25
164:3,7	277:17	swing 240:16	61:19	287:1
166:1	282:8,18	switch	63:14 64:2	291 <b>:</b> 3
168:23	293:16,20	219:14	64:23 66:7	296:4
169:10,18	293:23	<b>sworn</b> 8:3	67:6 70:9	299:16
170:25	299:11	228:2,8	71:2 72:9	takedown
171:15	300:2,7	310:15	73:13,22	76:17
172:21	302:19	<b>sync</b> 291:14	74:10 77:4	118:18
174:1	303:1	synopsis	79:3 87:16	126:24
175:2	306:7	12:2	90:13	132:13
176:13	308:13	system	93:25	takedowns
178:24	309:9,13	289:18,20	98:13	29:11
179:4,10	312:4,7	292:2	102:19,25	32:10,11

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 376 of 386 PageID #: 6859

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

35:19,24	249:6	131:22	110:8	129 <b>:</b> 15
36:17	251:15	144:13	170:24	telephone
68:22	287:17	155:19	184:22	89:2,3
114:9,20	312:8	170:13,18	207:2	296:22
115:3,6,20	313:3	236:16	250:16	Teleporter
116:19	<b>talk</b> 10:7	247:17	276:2	99:3,4,7
117:10	23:3 37:7	259:24	303:14	99:10,25
125:20	50:4 53:12	277:23	309:1	101:23
128:24	64:9,17	278:5,6	team 43:11	101:23
130:17	69:13 75:3	280:5	44:4 48:3	107:23
131:4	79:4,10	288:7	85:6,11	112:11
132:12	87:11	talkers 10:6	145:2	295:16
133:21	107:24	<b>talking</b> 13:6	170:23	296:6,22
147:10	118:24	38:19	217:8	297:13
148:21,22	122:16,17	39:21	230:21	298:21,22
149:10	122:18	44:10 70:9	232:12	299:4
150:7	124:1,6	94:3 95:10	257:17	302:3,8,12
<b>taken</b> 12:6	132:11,22	95:11	300:6	306:13
16:15,16	134:11	107:15	302:25	<b>tell</b> 8:3
22:14	149:9	112:13	teaming	15:8 20:21
33:17 34:2	150:24	133:4	56:13	48:10 88:1
37:18	154:18,21	154:19	<b>teams</b> 43:3	88:2 89:8
64:12	159:13	159:21	43:16	89:10 90:1
68:23,24	175 <b>:</b> 15	177:11,13	153:13	90:1,3
69:10 76:5	182 <b>:</b> 12	194:9	<b>tech</b> 4:21	97 <b>:</b> 25
77:11	191 <b>:</b> 16	197:9	27:4 128:2	103:24
81:21 90:6	231:25	218:22	241:20,24	104:12
96:14	237:20	228:4	259 <b>:</b> 23	113:17,23
103:16	239:2	231:14,23	260:2	114:1,3,4
104:18	240:13,14	246:5	279:7	114:7
106:9	280:16	260:8	302:7 <b>,</b> 9	120:9,11
114:2	283:1	262 <b>:</b> 6	technical	120:16
127:6,20	285 <b>:</b> 15	270 <b>:</b> 17	106:6	151 <b>:</b> 19
130:7	288:18	273:1	technically	161 <b>:</b> 20
131:6	289 <b>:</b> 4	275 <b>:</b> 24	209:13	172:6,17
133:12,25	299:7	290 <b>:</b> 17	techniques	192:9
134:2,7	<b>talked</b> 49:7	300 <b>:</b> 16	112:3	201:21
136:15	54:16 56:4	<b>talks</b> 231 <b>:</b> 5	195:6,14	204:25
139:13	56:6,9	255:9	technolo	236:21
140:16	57:1 65:5	279:1	87:25	245:11
142:13,16	68:22 71:4	targeted	technolo	253:5
147:4,6,13	84:9 86:4	14:8	270:22	256:3,8
160:18	89:17	173 <b>:</b> 15	technology	258 <b>:</b> 17
165:11,14	95 <b>:</b> 12	201:17	87:21 90:4	260 <b>:</b> 10
166:5,24	97:23	targeting	136:19	270:9
167:3,10	105 <b>:</b> 25	80:16	204:21	293 <b>:</b> 13
167:13	107:11	84:22	272:17	telling
180:12	123:21	<b>task</b> 24:16	273:6	296:12
234:16	127:14	38:23 39:7	technolo	<b>ten</b> 110:8,16

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 377 of 386 PageID #: 6860

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

113:25134:18thereof 6:5 $256:19,19$ $69:3$ 71:13163:9tead 207:158:5 59:2 $314:9$ $52:22$ 61:1 $82:5$ 84:3101:19 $96:20$ thesis 4:11 $67:11,12$ $84:7$ 87:111ant $10:24$ 11:2 $176:2$ $89:24$ 300:2 $156:4$ $11:9,13,22$ $261:5$ $91:18$ term 30:3 $164:2$ $12:2$ 13:3 $295:24$ $98:21$ $294:9$ $260:2$ $14:1,16,21$ things 9:25 $101:21$ terms 18:18 $269:21$ $14:21$ $23:12$ $106:12$ $43:24$ $283:12$ $15:23,25$ $43:18$ $45:4$ $115:4,23$ $91:1,22,24$ $306:14$ $17:12,19$ $50:11$ $122:20$ $91:1,22,24$ $306:14$ $17:21$ $61:20$ 62:1 $123:9$ $91:1,22,24$ $306:14$ $17:21$ $61:20$ 62:1 $123:9$ $91:1,22,24$ $306:14$ $17:21$ $61:20$ 62:1 $123:9$ $91:25$ $92:6$ $125:2$ $56:8$ $58:23$ $108:2$ $139:20,21$ $12:20$ $131:25$ $56:8$ $58:23$ $108:2$ $139:20,20,21$ $12:20$ $131:25$ $56:8$ $58:23$ $108:2$ $139:20,20,21$ $12:20$ $131:25$ $56:8$ $58:23$ $108:2$ $139:20,20,21$ $12:20$ $131:25$ $56:8$ $58:23$ $108:2$ $139:20,20,21$ $12:20$ $131:25$ $56:8$ $88:23$ $139:20,20,21$ $12:20$ $131:25$ $130:6,6$ $155:19$					
163:9testifiedthereonthing 30:874:3 75:5tens 101:1996:20314:952:22 61:182:5 84:3tens 101:19131:1410:24 11:2176:289:24300:2156:411:9,13,22261:591:18term 30:3164:212:2 13:3295:2498:21 99:6131:1180:1713:17,19299:1699:17294:9260:214:1,16,21things9:25100:12terms 18:18269:2114:2123:12106:1243:24283:1215:23,2543:18 45:4115:4,2349:11,20293:116:2232:9 36:1473:14 97:1170:1 90:23306:1917:12,1950:11122:2091:12,22,24308:1417:2161:20 62:1123:991:25 92:6testify28:10 29:471:20,22129:592:9,19,22116:2232:9 36:1473:14 97:11133:19,24130:5,21testifying63:16 64:2114:24147:16132:213:2556:8 58:23108:2139:20,2112:2312:2459:44,14,19111:3139:24130:5,21testifying63:16 64:2114:24147:1612:2413:2556:8 58:23108:2139:20,2112:25:7,1223:2364:9 69:12115:19148:1115:317169:777:15125:16156:716:513testifying16:16:66:1156:7156:7195:13,1649:1484:16 86:1	113:25	134:18	thereof 6:5	256:19,19	69:3 71:13
tend $207:15$ $8:5$ $59:2$ $314:9$ $52:22$ $61:11$ $82:5$ $84:3$ tent $300:1$ $13:14$ $10:24$ $11:2$ $67:11,12$ $84:7$ $87:11$ $300:2$ $156:4$ $11:9,13,22$ $261:5$ $91:18$ $89:24$ $300:2$ $164:2$ $12:2$ $13:3$ $295:24$ $99:21$ $99:16$ $294:9$ $260:2$ $14:1,16,21$ thing $99:17$ $99:17$ $294:9$ $260:2$ $14:1,16,21$ thing $91:25$ $10:21$ terms $18:18$ $269:21$ $14:21$ $23:12$ $106:12$ $43:24$ $283:12$ $15:23,25$ $43:18$ $41:15:4,23$ $91:1,20$ $293:11$ $16:21$ $48:18$ $118:21$ $70:1$ $90:23$ $306:19$ $17:12,19$ $50:11$ $122:20$ $91:1,22,24$ $308:14$ $17:21$ $61:20$ $62:11$ $123:9$ $92:9,19,22$ $116:22$ $32:9$ $92:4$ $13:19,24$ $93:9,19$ $17:1$ $37:750:4$ $99:14$ $137:20$ $122:2$ $128:2$ $51:753:7$ $104:12$ $138:13$ $122:2$ $23:23$ $64:96:912$ $116:23$ $139:24$ $133:15$ $128:2$ $51:753:7$ $104:12$ $138:13$ $122:2$ $23:23$ $64:96:912$ $114:24$ $147:16$ $132:2$ $23:23$ $64:96:912$ $114:24$ $147:16$ $132:2$ $23:23$ $77:15$ $125:16$ $155:19$ $166:7,10$ $42:13:6:20$					
tens101:1996:20thesis4:11 $67:11, 12$ $84:7$ $87:11$ tenth300:2156:411:9,13,2226:591:18term30:3164:212:2:13:3295:2498:21131:1180:1713:17,19299:1699:17294:9260:214:1,16,21things91:52terms18:18269:2114:16,21things106:1243:24283:1215:23,2543:1845:4115:4,2349:11,20293:116:2148:18118:2170:1 90:23306:1917:12,1950:11122:2091:25 29:2:616:2232:9 36:1473:14 97:1133:19,2493:3,19117:137:7 50:499:14137:20112:23128:255:7 53:7104:12138:13121:20131:2556:8 58:23108:2139:20,21129:7,18241:2459:4,14,19111:3139:24130:5,21testifying63:16 64:2115:19148:11153:17169:777:15125:16152:16166:7,104:21 36:2079:19201:3156:7195:13,1649:1484:16 86:1210:13165:20206:19230:7126:5269:3176:18249:4,25241:21128:777:41:9171:12206:3,6,7208:394:24 97:4256:23172:10206:19230:7126:5269:3176:18249:4,25241:21 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
300:2 $156:4$ $11:9,13,22$ $261:5$ $91:18$ term $30:3$ $164:2$ $12:2:13:3$ $295:24$ $99:21$ $99:17$ $294:9$ $260:2$ $14:1,16,21$ things $9:25$ $101:21$ terms $18:18$ $269:21$ $14:21$ $23:12$ $106:12$ $43:24$ $283:12$ $15:23,25$ $43:18$ $45:4$ $115:4,23$ $49:11,20$ $293:1$ $16:21$ $48:18$ $118:21$ $70:1$ $90:23$ $306:19$ $77:12,19$ $50:11$ $122:20$ $91:25$ $92:6$ testify $28:10$ $29:4$ $71:20,22$ $123:9$ $91:25$ $92:6$ testify $82:19$ $92:14$ $137:20$ $112:23$ $128:2$ $51:7$ $53:7$ $104:12$ $138:13$ $121:20$ $131:25$ $56:8$ $88:23$ $108:2$ $139:24$ $130:5,21$ testifying $63:16$ $64:2$ $114:24$ $147:16$ $132:2$ $23:23$ $64:9$ $69:12$ $115:19$ $146:11$ $153:17$ $169:7$ $77:15$ $125:16$ $155:19$ $166:7,10$ $4:21$ $36:20$ $79:19$ $201:3$ $156:7$ $20:7,25$ $83:24$ $87:16$ $84:16$ $86:16$ $86:1$				,	
131:1180:1713:17,19299:1699:17294:9260:214:1,16.21things 9:25101:21terms 18:18269:2114:2123:12106:1243:24283:1215:23,2543:18 45:4115:4,2349:11,20293:116:2148:18118:2170:1 90:23306:1917:12,1950:11122:2091:25 92:6testify28:10 29:471:20,22129:592:9,19,22116:2232:9 36:1473:14 97:1133:19,2412:23128:251:7 53:7104:12138:13121:20131:2556:8 58:23108:2139:20,21129:7,18241:2459:4,14,19111:3139:24130:5,21testifying63:16 64:2114:24147:16132:223:2364:9 69:12115:19148:11153:17169:777:15125:16155:19166:7,104:21 36:2079:19201:3156:7195:13,1649:1484:16 86:1210:13156:7206:19230:7126:5269:3176:18248:3,25241:21128:7274:19177:1129:44,25243:15,24134:1029:14186:21252:7,12246:2,5141:7,1029:14186:21252:22259:9144:7,20306:21189:15253:23,25247:17147:2216:16,2324:5251:19245:16151:812:1222:12252:22 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
294:9260:2 $14:1,16,21$ things 9:25 $101:21$ terms 18:18269:21 $14:21$ $23:12$ $106:12$ 43:24283:12 $15:23,25$ $43:18$ $45:4$ $115:4,23$ $49:11,20$ $293:1$ $16:21$ $48:18$ $118:21$ $70:1$ $90:23$ $306:19$ $17:12,19$ $50:11$ $122:20$ $91:1,22,24$ $308:14$ $17:21$ $61:20.62:1$ $123:9$ $91:25$ $92:6$ testify $28:10.29:4$ $71:20,22$ $129:5$ $92:9,19,22$ $116:22$ $32:9.96:14$ $73:14.97:1$ $133:19,24$ $93:9,19$ $117:1$ $37:7.50:4$ $99:14$ $137:20$ $112:23$ $128:2$ $51:7.53:7$ $104:12$ $138:13$ $121:20$ $131:25$ $56:8.58:23$ $108:2$ $139:20,21$ $130:5,21$ testifying $63:16.64:2$ $114:24$ $147:16$ $132:2$ $23:23$ $64:9.69:12$ $115:19$ $148:11$ $153:17$ $169:7$ $77:15$ $125:16$ $155:19$ $166:7,10$ $4:21.36:20$ $79:19$ $201:3$ $156:7$ $99:14$ $84:16.86:1$ $210:13$ $165:20$ $205:7,25$ $83:24$ $87:11.94:4$ $230:24$ $171:21$ $206:19$ $230:7$ $126:5$ $269:3$ $176:18$ $244:3,25$ $241:21$ $128:7$ $274:19$ $177:11$ $249:4,25$ $243:15,24$ $134:10$ $296:8$ $183:22$ $252:27,12$ $246:2,5$ $144:7,20$ $306:21$ <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				-	
49:11,20293:116:2148:18118:2170:190:23306:1917:12,1950:11122:2091:2592:6 <b>testify</b> 28:1029:471:20,2292:9,19,22116:2232:936:1473:1497:1112:23128:251:753:7104:12138:13121:20131:2556:858:23108:2139:20,21129:7,18241:2459:4,14,19111:3139:24130:5,21 <b>testifying</b> 63:1664:2114:24147:16132:223:2364:969:12115:19148:11153:17169:777:15125:16152:16165:13 <b>testimony</b> 78:25130:6,6155:19166:7,104:2136:2079:19201:3165:20205:7,2583:2487:1194:4230:24171:21206:3,6,7208:394:2497:4258:23172:10206:19230:7126:5269:3176:18249:4,25241:21128:7274:19177:11249:4,25243:15,24132:21295:22180:23250:19245:16,23134:10296:8183:22252:7,12246:2,5141:7,10299:14186:21252:7,25259:24147:8,1611:8209:19267:21,22255:9144:7,20306:21189:15267:25267:17147:2216:11,21221:21267:21,2230:23					
$70:1 \ 90:23$ $306:19$ $17:12,19$ $50:11$ $122:20$ $91:22,92:6$ $testify$ $28:10\ 29:4$ $71:20\ 62:1$ $123:9$ $91:25\ 92:9,19,22$ $116:22$ $32:9\ 36:14$ $73:14\ 97:1$ $133:19,24$ $93:9,19$ $117:1$ $37.7\ 50:4$ $99:14$ $137:20$ $112:23$ $128:2$ $51:7\ 53:7$ $104:12$ $138:13$ $121:20$ $131:25$ $56:8\ 58:23$ $108:2$ $139:20,21$ $129:7,18$ $241:24$ $59:4,14,19$ $111:3$ $139:24$ $130:5,21$ $testifying$ $63:16\ 64:2$ $114:24$ $147:16$ $132:2$ $23:23$ $64:9\ 69:12$ $115:19$ $148:11$ $153:17$ $169:7$ $77:15$ $125:16$ $152:16$ $165:13$ $testimony$ $78:25$ $130:6,6$ $155:19$ $166:7,10$ $4:21\ 36:20$ $79:19$ $201:3$ $165:7$ $205:7,25$ $83:24$ $87:11\ 94:4$ $230:24$ $171:21$ $206:19$ $230:7$ $126:5$ $269:3$ $176:18$ $248:3,25$ $241:21$ $128:7$ $274:19$ $177:11$ $249:4,25$ $243:15,24$ $132:21$ $295:22$ $180:23$ $252:7,12$ $246:2,5$ $144:7,20$ $306:21$ $189:15$ $252:7,12$ $246:2,5$ $144:7,20$ $306:21$ $189:15$ $252:22$ $259:24$ $147:8,16$ $11:18$ $209:19$ $267:21,22$ $30:23$ $148:2,6,18$ $12:25\ 13:9$ $214:11$ $274:2,3,8$ $311:1$					•
91:1,22,24308:1417:2161:2062:1123:991:2592:9,19,22116:2232:936:1471:20,22129:592:9,19,22116:2232:936:1473:1497:1133:19,2412:23128:251:753:7104:12138:13121:20131:2556:858:23108:2139:20,21130:5,21testifying63:1664:2114:24147:16132:223:2364:969:12115:19148:11133:17169:777:15125:16155:19166:7,104:2136:2079:19201:3156:7195:13,1649:1484:1686:1210:13165:20205:7,2583:2487:1194:4230:24171:21206:19230:7126:5269:3176:18248:3,25241:21128:7274:19177:11249:4,25243:15,24132:21295:22180:23252:7,12246:2,5141:7,10299:14186:21252:22255:9144:7,20306:21189:15267:21,22310:23148:2,6,1812:2513:9267:21,22310:23148:2,6,1812:2513:9267:21,22310:23148:2,6,1812:2513:9267:21,22310:23148:2,6,1812:2513:9267:21,22310:23148:2,6,1812:2513:9267:21,22310:23148:2,6,1812:2513:9 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
91:2592:6testify $28:10 29:4$ $71:20,22$ $129:5$ 92:9,19,22116:22 $32:9 36:14$ $73:14 97:1$ $133:19,24$ 93:9,19117:1 $37:7 50:4$ $99:14$ $137:20$ 112:23128:2 $51:7 53:7$ $104:12$ $138:13$ 121:20131:25 $56:8 58:23$ $108:2$ $139:20,21$ 129:7,18 $241:24$ $59:4,14,19$ $111:3$ $139:24$ 130:5,21testifying $63:16 64:2$ $114:24$ $147:16$ 132:2 $23:23$ $64:9 69:12$ $115:19$ $148:11$ 153:17 $169:7$ $77:15$ $125:16$ $152:16$ 165:13testimony $78:25$ $130:6,6$ $155:19$ 166:7,10 $4:21 36:20$ $79:19$ $201:3$ $165:7$ 195:13,16 $49:14$ $84:16 86:1$ $210:13$ $165:20$ 205:7,25 $83:24$ $87:11 94:4$ $230:24$ $171:21$ 206:3,6,7 $208:3$ $94:24 97:4$ $258:23$ $172:10$ 206:19 $230:7$ $126:5$ $269:3$ $176:18$ 248:3,25 $241:21$ $128:7$ $274:19$ $177:11$ 249:4,25 $243:15,24$ $132:21$ $295:22$ $180:23$ 250:19 $245:16,23$ $134:10$ $296:8$ $183:22$ 251:9 $144:7,20$ $306:21$ $189:15$ 253:23,25 $259:24$ $147:8,16$ $11:18$ $209:19$ 267:21,22 $310:23$ $148:2,6,18$ $12:25 13:9$ $214:11$ $274:2,3,8$ $311:1$					
92:9,19,22116: $2^{2}$ 32:9 36:1473:14 97:1133:19,2493:9,19117:137:7 50:499:14137:20112:23128:251:7 53:7104:12138:13121:20131:2556:8 58:23108:2139:20,21129:7,18241:2459:4,14,19111:3139:24130:5,21testifying63:16 64:2114:24147:16132:223:2364:9 69:12115:19148:11153:17169:777:15125:16152:16165:13testimony78:25130:6,6155:19166:7,104:21 36:2079:19201:3165:7195:13,1649:1484:16 86:1210:13165:20205:7,2583:2487:11 94:4230:24171:21206:3,6,7208:394:24 97:4258:23172:10206:19230:7126:5269:3176:18248:3,25241:21128:7274:19177:11249:4,25243:15,24132:21295:22180:23250:19245:16,23134:10296:8183:22252:7,12246:2,5141:7,10299:14186:21252:22259:9144:7,20306:21189:15262:15267:17147:2211:18209:19267:21,22310:23148:2,6,1812:25 13:9214:11274:2,3,8311:1150:2216:11,21221:21261:15267:17147:2211:18209:19262:1					
93:9,19117:137:7 $50:4$ 99:14137:20112:23128:2 $51:7 53:7$ 104:12138:13121:20131:25 $56:8 58:23$ 108:2139:20,21129:7,18241:24 $59:4,14.19$ 111:3139:24130:5,21testifying $63:16 64:2$ 114:24147:16132:223:23 $64:9 69:12$ 115:19148:11153:17169:777:15125:16152:16165:13testimony78:25130:6,6155:19166:7,104:21 36:2079:19201:3165:7195:13,1649:1484:16 86:1210:13165:20205:7,2583:2487:11 94:4230:24171:21206:3,6,7208:394:24 97:4258:23176:18248:3,25241:21128:7274:19177:11249:4,25243:15,24132:21295:22180:23250:19245:16,23134:10296:8183:22252:7,12246:2,5141:7,10299:14186:21253:23,25259:24147:8,16think 10:5195:9262:15267:17147:2211:18209:19267:21,22310:23148:2,6,1812:25 13:9214:11274:2,3,8311:1150:2216:11,21221:21termsoftext 51:8151:817:6 19:1724:1893:2text 50:48157:719:19 22:6225:11130:24Thank 22:2116:16,2324:5 23:232		_			
112:23128:2 $51:7$ $53:7$ $104:12$ $138:13$ 121:20 $131:25$ $56:8$ $58:23$ $108:2$ $139:20,21$ 129:7,18 $241:24$ $59:4,14,19$ $111:3$ $139:24$ 130:5,21testifying $63:16$ $64:2$ $114:24$ $147:16$ 132:2 $23:23$ $64:9$ $69:12$ $115:19$ $148:11$ 153:17 $169:7$ $77:15$ $125:16$ $152:16$ $165:13$ testimony $78:25$ $130:6,6$ $155:19$ $166:7,10$ $4:21$ $36:20$ $79:19$ $201:3$ $165:7$ $205:7,25$ $83:24$ $87:11$ $94:4$ $230:24$ $171:21$ $206:3,6,7$ $208:3$ $94:24$ $97:4$ $258:23$ $172:10$ $206:19$ $230:7$ $126:5$ $269:3$ $176:18$ $246:3,25$ $241:21$ $128:7$ $274:19$ $177:11$ $249:4,25$ $243:15,24$ $132:21$ $295:22$ $180:23$ $250:19$ $245:16,23$ $134:10$ $296:8$ $183:22$ $252:7,12$ $246:2,5$ $141:7,10$ $299:14$ $186:21$ $252:22$ $255:9$ $144:7,20$ $306:21$ $189:15$ $253:23,25$ $259:24$ $147:8,16$ $think 10:5$ $195:9$ $262:15$ $267:17$ $147:22$ $11:18$ $209:19$ $267:21,22$ $310:23$ $148:2,6,18$ $17:6$ $12:25:11$ $130:24$ $thanks$ $157:7$ $19:19$ $22:6$ $225:11$ $130:24$ $t$					•
121:20131:2556:858:23108:2139:20,21129:7,18241:2459:4,14,19111:3139:24130:5,21testifying $63:16$ $64:2$ 114:24147:16132:223:23 $64:9$ $69:12$ 115:19148:11153:17169:7 $77:15$ 125:16152:16165:13testimony $78:25$ 130:6,6155:19166:7,104:2136:2079:19201:3166:7195:13,1649:1484:1686:1210:13165:20205:7,2583:2487:1194:4230:24171:21206:19230:7126:5269:3176:18248:3,25241:21128:7274:19177:11249:4,25243:15,24132:21295:22180:23250:19245:16,23134:10296:8183:22252:7,12246:2,5141:7,10299:14186:21253:23,25259:24147:8,16think10:5195:9262:15267:17147:2211:18209:19267:21,22310:23148:2,6,1812:2513:9214:11150:2216:11,21221:2116:13164:24240:13,1951:12229:8,15130:24Thank 22:21161:16,2324:524:1893:2text 51:8151:817:619:1724:18130:24Thank 22:2116:16,2324:23,25234:1116:25260:13240:2254:2					
129:7,18241:24 $59:4,14,19$ 111:3139:24130:5,21testifying $63:16\ 64:2$ $114:24$ $147:16$ 132:2 $23:23$ $64:9\ 69:12$ $115:19$ $148:11$ 153:17 $169:7$ $77:15$ $125:16$ $152:16$ 165:13testimony $78:25$ $130:6,6$ $155:19$ 166:7,10 $4:21\ 36:20$ $79:19$ $201:3$ $156:7$ 195:13,16 $49:14$ $84:16\ 86:1$ $210:13$ $165:20$ $205:7,25$ $83:24$ $87:11\ 94:4$ $230:24$ $171:21$ $206:3,6,7$ $208:3$ $94:24\ 97:4$ $258:23$ $172:10$ $206:19$ $230:7$ $126:5$ $269:3$ $176:18$ $248:3,25$ $241:21$ $128:7$ $274:19$ $177:11$ $249:4,25$ $243:15,24$ $132:21$ $295:22$ $180:23$ $250:19$ $245:16,23$ $134:10$ $296:8$ $183:22$ $252:7,12$ $246:2,5$ $141:7,10$ $299:14$ $186:21$ $253:23,25$ $259:24$ $147:8,16$ think $10:5$ $195:9$ $267:21,22$ $310:23$ $148:2,6,18$ $12:25\ 13:9$ $214:11$ $274:2,3,8$ $311:1$ $150:22$ $16:11,21$ $221:21$ terms-oftext 51:8 $151:8$ $17:6\ 19:17$ $224:18$ $93:2$ thanks $240:13,19$ $51:12$ $229:8,15$ $130:24$ thanks $240:13,19$ $51:12$ $229:8,15$ $130:24$ thanks $240:22$ $54:23,25$ $234:11$					
$130:5,21$ testifying $63:16\ 64:2$ $114:24$ $147:16$ $132:2$ $23:23$ $64:9\ 69:12$ $115:19$ $148:11$ $153:17$ $169:7$ $77:15$ $125:16$ $152:16$ $165:13$ testimony $78:25$ $130:6,6$ $155:19$ $166:7,10$ $4:21\ 36:20$ $79:19$ $201:3$ $156:7$ $195:13,16$ $49:14$ $84:16\ 86:1$ $210:13$ $165:20$ $205:7,25$ $83:24$ $87:11\ 94:4$ $230:24$ $171:21$ $206:3,6,7$ $208:3$ $94:24\ 97:4$ $258:23$ $172:10$ $206:19$ $230:7$ $126:5$ $269:3$ $176:18$ $248:3,25$ $241:21$ $128:7$ $274:19$ $177:11$ $249:4,25$ $243:15,24$ $132:21$ $295:22$ $180:23$ $250:19$ $245:16,23$ $134:10$ $296:8$ $183:22$ $252:22$ $255:9$ $144:7,20$ $306:21$ $189:15$ $253:23,25$ $259:24$ $147:8,16$ think 10:5 $195:9$ $267:21,22$ $310:23$ $148:2,6,18$ $12:25\ 13:9$ $214:11$ $274:2,3,8$ $311:1$ $150:22$ $16:11,21$ $221:21$ $130:24$ $176:19:17$ $224:18$ $274:19$ $17:6\ 19:17$ $224:18$ $93:2$ $103:15$ $240:22$ $54:23,25$ $234:15$ $130:24$ $148:4$ $169:6$ $32:8\ 50:9$ $228:18$ $248:15$ $164:22$ $103:15$ $240:22$ $54:23,25$ $234:11$ $25:4\ 50:13$ $243:6$ $2$					•
132:223:23 $64:9\ 69:12$ 115:19 $148:11$ 153:17169:7 $77:15$ 125:16152:16165:13testimony $78:25$ 130:6,6155:19166:7,10 $4:21\ 36:20$ $79:19$ 201:3156:7195:13,16 $49:14$ $84:16\ 86:1$ 210:13165:20205:7,25 $83:24$ $87:11\ 94:4$ 230:24171:21206:3,6,7208:3 $94:24\ 97:4$ 258:23172:10206:19230:7126:5269:3176:18248:3,25241:21128:7274:19177:11249:4,25243:15,24132:21295:22180:23250:19245:16,23134:10296:8183:22252:7,12246:2,5141:7,10299:14186:21252:22255:9144:7,20306:21189:15253:23,25259:24147:8,16think10:5195:9262:15267:17147:2211:18209:19267:21,22310:23148:2,6,1812:25\ 13:9214:11274:2,3,8311:1150:2216:11,21224:1893:2text51:8151:817:6\ 19:17224:1893:2text5304:8157:719:1922:6225:11130:24Thank 22:21161:16,2324:5\ 31:23227:17167:1377:8\ 114:4169:632:8\ 50:9228:18248:15thanks240:2254:23,25240:1425:4\ 50:13243:6241:2354:25 <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>• •</td> <td></td> <td></td>	-		• •		
153:17 $169:7$ $77:15$ $125:16$ $152:16$ $165:13$ testimony $78:25$ $130:6,6$ $155:19$ $166:7,10$ $4:21$ $36:20$ $79:19$ $201:3$ $156:7$ $195:13,16$ $49:14$ $84:16$ $86:1$ $210:13$ $165:20$ $205:7,25$ $83:24$ $87:11$ $94:4$ $230:24$ $171:21$ $206:3,6,7$ $208:3$ $94:24$ $97:4$ $258:23$ $172:10$ $206:19$ $230:7$ $126:5$ $269:3$ $176:18$ $248:3,25$ $241:21$ $128:7$ $274:19$ $177:11$ $249:4,25$ $243:15,24$ $132:21$ $295:22$ $180:23$ $250:19$ $245:16,23$ $134:10$ $296:8$ $183:22$ $252:7,12$ $246:2,5$ $141:7,10$ $299:14$ $186:21$ $252:22$ $255:9$ $144:7,20$ $306:21$ $189:15$ $267:21,22$ $259:24$ $147:8,16$ think $10:5$ $195:9$ $267:21,22$ $310:23$ $148:2,6,18$ $12:25$ $13:29$ $274:2,3,8$ $311:1$ $150:22$ $16:1,21$ $21:21$ $274:2,3,8$ $311:1$ $150:22$ $16:1,21$ $221:21$ $130:24$ $Thank 22:21$ $161:16,23$ $24:5$ $31:23$ $227:17$ $167:13$ $77:8$ $114:4$ $169:6$ $32:8$ $50:9$ $228:18$ $248:15$ $thanks$ $240:13,19$ $51:12$ $229:8,15$ $terms-of$ $text 51:8$ $151:2$ $240:14$ $240:22,25$ $240:14$	-				
165:13testimony $78:25$ $130:6,6$ $155:19$ $166:7,10$ $4:21$ $36:20$ $79:19$ $201:3$ $156:7$ $195:13,16$ $49:14$ $84:16$ $86:1$ $210:13$ $165:20$ $205:7,25$ $83:24$ $87:11$ $94:4$ $230:24$ $171:21$ $206:3,6,7$ $208:3$ $94:24$ $97:4$ $258:23$ $172:10$ $206:19$ $230:7$ $126:5$ $269:3$ $176:18$ $248:3,25$ $241:21$ $128:7$ $274:19$ $177:11$ $249:4,25$ $243:15,24$ $132:21$ $295:22$ $180:23$ $250:19$ $245:16,23$ $134:10$ $296:8$ $183:22$ $252:7,12$ $246:2,5$ $141:7,10$ $299:14$ $186:21$ $253:23,25$ $259:24$ $147:8,16$ think $10:5$ $195:9$ $267:21,22$ $259:24$ $147:8,16$ think $10:5$ $195:9$ $267:21,22$ $310:23$ $148:2,6,18$ $12:25$ $13:9$ $274:2,3,8$ $311:1$ $150:22$ $16:11,21$ $221:21$ terms-oftext $51:8$ $151:8$ $17:6$ $19:17$ $248:15$ $103:15$ $240:23$ $24:5$ $31:23$ $227:17$ $167:13$ $77:8$ $114:4$ $169:6$ $32:8$ $50:9$ $228:18$ $248:15$ thanks $240:13,19$ $51:12$ $229:8,15$ $25:4$ $50:13$ $243:6$ $241:23$ $54:25$ $240:14$ $58:1,4,18$ $304:1$ $286:11$ $59:17$ $64:14,16$ $247$					
166:7,10 $4:21$ $36:20$ $79:19$ $201:3$ $156:7$ $195:13,16$ $49:14$ $84:16$ $86:1$ $210:13$ $165:20$ $205:7,25$ $83:24$ $87:11$ $94:4$ $230:24$ $171:21$ $206:3,6,7$ $208:3$ $94:24$ $97:4$ $258:23$ $172:10$ $206:19$ $230:7$ $126:5$ $269:3$ $176:18$ $248:3,25$ $241:21$ $128:7$ $274:19$ $177:11$ $249:4,25$ $243:15,24$ $132:21$ $295:22$ $180:23$ $250:19$ $245:16,23$ $134:10$ $296:8$ $183:22$ $252:7,12$ $246:2,5$ $141:7,10$ $299:14$ $186:21$ $252:22$ $255:9$ $144:7,20$ $306:21$ $189:15$ $253:23,25$ $259:24$ $147:8,16$ think $10:5$ $195:9$ $262:15$ $267:17$ $147:22$ $11:18$ $209:19$ $267:21,22$ $310:23$ $148:2,6,18$ $12:25$ $13:9$ $274:2,3,8$ $311:1$ $150:22$ $16:11,21$ $221:21$ $1a0:24$ $text 51:8$ $151:8$ $17:6$ $19:17$ $224:18$ $93:2$ $text 5304:8$ $157:7$ $19:19$ $22:6$ $225:11$ $130:24$ $thanks$ $240:13,19$ $51:12$ $229:8,15$ $1a3:15$ $240:22$ $54:23,25$ $234:11$ $248:15$ $thanks$ $240:13,19$ $51:12$ $229:8,15$ $text 50:13$ $243:6$ $241:23$ $54:25$ $240:14$ $55:1,4,50:13$ $243:6$ <					
$195:13,16$ $49:14$ $84:16\ 86:1$ $210:13$ $165:20$ $205:7,25$ $83:24$ $87:11\ 94:4$ $230:24$ $171:21$ $206:3,6,7$ $208:3$ $94:24\ 97:4$ $258:23$ $172:10$ $206:19$ $230:7$ $126:5$ $269:3$ $176:18$ $248:3,25$ $241:21$ $128:7$ $274:19$ $177:11$ $249:4,25$ $243:15,24$ $132:21$ $295:22$ $180:23$ $250:19$ $245:16,23$ $134:10$ $296:8$ $183:22$ $252:7,12$ $246:2,5$ $141:7,10$ $299:14$ $186:21$ $253:23,25$ $259:24$ $147:8,16$ think 10:5 $195:9$ $262:15$ $267:17$ $147:22$ $11:18$ $209:19$ $267:21,22$ $310:23$ $148:2,6,18$ $12:25\ 13:9$ $214:11$ $274:2,3,8$ $311:1$ $150:22$ $16:11,21$ $221:21$ $1a0:24$ thank 22:21 $161:16,23$ $24:5\ 31:23$ $227:17$ $167:13$ $77:8\ 114:4$ $169:6$ $32:8\ 50:9$ $228:18$ $248:15$ thanks $240:13,19$ $51:12$ $229:8,15$ $25:4\ 50:13$ $243:6$ $241:23$ $54:25$ $240:14$ $25:4\ 50:13$ $243:6$ $241:23$ $54:25$ $240:14$ $8:1,4,18$ $304:1$ $286:11$ $56:24\ 59:5$ $241:3$ $164:22$ thanksgi $59:17\ 64:9$ $242:2,18$ $169:2$ $307:17$ $236:11$ $64:14,16$ $247:23,24$		_			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
206:19230:7126:5269:3176:18248:3,25241:21128:7274:19177:11249:4,25243:15,24132:21295:22180:23250:19245:16,23134:10296:8183:22252:7,12246:2,5141:7,10299:14186:21252:22255:9144:7,20306:21189:15253:23,25259:24147:8,16think 10:5195:9262:15267:17147:2211:18209:19267:21,22310:23148:2,6,1812:25 13:9214:11274:2,3,8311:1150:2216:11,21221:21terms-oftext 51:8151:817:6 19:17224:1893:2texts 304:8157:719:19 22:6225:11130:24Thank 22:21161:16,2324:5 31:23227:17167:1377:8 114:4169:632:8 50:9228:18248:15thanks240:13,1951:12229:8,15territory103:15240:2254:23,25234:1125:4 50:13243:6241:2354:25240:1458:1,4,18304:1286:1156:24 59:5241:3164:22Thanksgi307:17236:1164:14,16247:23,24	-				
248:3,25 $241:21$ $128:7$ $274:19$ $177:11$ $249:4,25$ $243:15,24$ $132:21$ $295:22$ $180:23$ $250:19$ $245:16,23$ $134:10$ $296:8$ $183:22$ $252:7,12$ $246:2,5$ $141:7,10$ $299:14$ $186:21$ $252:22$ $255:9$ $144:7,20$ $306:21$ $189:15$ $253:23,25$ $259:24$ $147:8,16$ think $10:5$ $195:9$ $262:15$ $267:17$ $147:22$ $11:18$ $209:19$ $267:21,22$ $310:23$ $148:2,6,18$ $12:25:13:9$ $214:11$ $274:2,3,8$ $311:1$ $150:22$ $16:11,21$ $221:21$ terms-oftext $51:8$ $151:8$ $17:6:19:17$ $224:18$ $93:2$ texts $304:8$ $157:7$ $19:19:22:6$ $225:11$ $130:24$ Thank $22:21$ $161:16,23$ $24:5:31:23$ $227:17$ $167:13$ $77:8:114:4$ $169:6$ $32:8:50:9$ $228:18$ $248:15$ thanks $240:13,19$ $51:12$ $229:8,15$ territory $103:15$ $240:22$ $54:23,25$ $234:11$ $25:4:50:13$ $243:6$ $241:23$ $54:25$ $240:14$ $58:1,4,18$ $304:1$ $286:11$ $56:24:59:5$ $241:3$ $164:22$ Thanksgithey'd $49:19$ $59:17:64:9$ $242:2,18$ $169:2$ $307:17$ $236:11$ $64:14,16$ $247:23,24$					
249:4,25243:15,24132:21295:22180:23250:19245:16,23134:10296:8183:22252:7,12246:2,5141:7,10299:14186:21252:22255:9144:7,20306:21189:15253:23,25259:24147:8,16think10:5195:9262:15267:17147:2211:18209:19267:21,22310:23148:2,6,1812:25 13:9214:11274:2,3,8311:1150:2216:11,21221:21terms-oftext 51:8151:817:6 19:17224:1893:2texts 304:8157:719:19 22:6225:11130:24Thank 22:21161:16,2324:5 31:23227:17167:1377:8 114:4169:632:8 50:9228:18248:15thanks240:13,1951:12229:8,15territory103:15240:2254:23,25234:1125:4 50:13243:6241:2354:25240:1458:1,4,18304:1286:1156:24 59:5241:3164:22Thanksgi307:17236:1164:14,16247:23,24					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		•			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
253:23,25259:24147:8,16think10:5195:9262:15267:17147:2211:18209:19267:21,22310:23148:2,6,1812:25 13:9214:11274:2,3,8311:1150:2216:11,21221:21terms-oftext 51:8151:817:6 19:17224:1893:2texts 304:8157:719:19 22:6225:11130:24Thank 22:21161:16,2324:5 31:23227:17167:1377:8 114:4169:632:8 50:9228:18248:15thanks240:13,1951:12229:8,15territory103:15240:2254:23,25234:1125:4 50:13243:6241:2354:25240:1458:1,4,18304:1286:1156:24 59:5241:3169:2307:17236:1164:14,16247:23,24	-				
262:15267:17147:2211:18209:19267:21,22310:23148:2,6,1812:25 13:9214:11274:2,3,8311:1150:2216:11,21221:21terms-oftext 51:8151:817:6 19:17224:1893:2texts 304:8157:719:19 22:6225:11130:24Thank 22:21161:16,2324:5 31:23227:17167:1377:8 114:4169:632:8 50:9228:18248:15thanks240:13,1951:12229:8,15territory103:15240:2254:23,25234:1125:4 50:13243:6241:2354:25240:1458:1,4,18304:1286:1156:24 59:5241:3169:2307:17236:1164:14,16247:23,24					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
274:2,3,8311:1150:2216:11,21221:21terms-oftext 51:8151:817:6 19:17224:1893:2texts 304:8157:719:19 22:6225:11130:24Thank 22:21161:16,2324:5 31:23227:17167:1377:8 114:4169:632:8 50:9228:18248:15thanks240:13,1951:12229:8,15territory103:15240:2254:23,25234:1125:4 50:13243:6241:2354:25240:1458:1,4,18304:1286:1156:24 59:5241:3169:2307:17236:1164:14,16247:23,24					
terms-oftext 51:8151:817:6 19:17224:1893:2texts 304:8157:719:19 22:6225:11130:24Thank 22:21161:16,2324:5 31:23227:17167:1377:8 114:4169:632:8 50:9228:18248:15thanks240:13,1951:12229:8,15territory103:15240:2254:23,25234:1125:4 50:13243:6241:2354:25240:1458:1,4,18304:1286:1156:24 59:5241:3169:2307:17236:1164:14,16247:23,24					
93:2texts 304:8157:719:19 22:6225:11130:24Thank 22:21161:16,2324:5 31:23227:17167:1377:8 114:4169:632:8 50:9228:18248:15thanks240:13,1951:12229:8,15territory103:15240:2254:23,25234:1125:4 50:13243:6241:2354:25240:1458:1,4,18304:1286:1156:24 59:5241:3164:22Thanksgithey'd 49:1959:17 64:9242:2,18169:2307:17236:1164:14,16247:23,24				•	
130:24Thank 22:21161:16,2324:5 31:23227:17167:1377:8 114:4169:632:8 50:9228:18248:15thanks240:13,1951:12229:8,15territory103:15240:2254:23,25234:1125:4 50:13243:6241:2354:25240:1458:1,4,18304:1286:1156:24 59:5241:3169:2307:17236:1164:14,16247:23,24					
167:1377:8 114:4169:632:8 50:9228:18248:15thanks240:13,1951:12229:8,15territory103:15240:2254:23,25234:1125:4 50:13243:6241:2354:25240:1458:1,4,18304:1286:1156:24 59:5241:3164:22Thanksgithey'd 49:1959:17 64:9242:2,18169:2307:17236:1164:14,16247:23,24	93:2		157 <b>:</b> 7		225:11
248:15thanks240:13,1951:12229:8,15territory103:15240:2254:23,25234:1125:450:13243:6241:2354:25240:1458:1,4,18304:1286:1156:2459:5241:3164:22Thanksgithey'd 49:1959:1764:9242:2,18169:2307:17236:1164:14,16247:23,24	130:24	Thank 22:21	161:16,23	24:5 31:23	227:17
territory103:15240:2254:23,25234:1125:4 50:13243:6241:2354:25240:1458:1,4,18304:1286:1156:24 59:5241:3164:22Thanksgithey'd 49:1959:17 64:9242:2,18169:2307:17236:1164:14,16247:23,24	167 <b>:</b> 13			32:8 50:9	228:18
25:4 50:13243:6241:2354:25240:1458:1,4,18304:1286:1156:24 59:5241:3164:22Thanksgithey'd 49:1959:17 64:9242:2,18169:2307:17236:1164:14,16247:23,24	248:15	thanks	240:13,19	51:12	229:8,15
58:1,4,18304:1286:1156:24 59:5241:3164:22Thanksgithey'd 49:1959:17 64:9242:2,18169:2307:17236:1164:14,16247:23,24	-	103:15	240:22	54:23,25	234:11
164:22 169:2Thanksgithey'd 49:1959:1764:9242:2,18307:17236:1164:14,16247:23,24	25:4 50:13	243:6	241:23	54:25	240:14
169:2         307:17         236:11         64:14,16         247:23,24	58:1,4,18	304:1	286 <b>:</b> 11	56:24 59:5	241:3
	164:22	Thanksgi	<b>they'd</b> 49:19	59:17 64:9	242:2,18
terrorist         themes 70:19         Thibault         65:21,21         249:16	169:2	307 <b>:</b> 17	236:11	64:14,16	247:23,24
	terrorist	<b>themes</b> 70:19	Thibault	65:21,21	249:16

#### www.lexitaslegal.com

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 378 of 386 PageID #: 6861

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

<b></b>				
251:1	85 <b>:</b> 1	8:19 19:21	275:2,22	32:21
252:24	thousands	24:2,4	294:16,21	45:20
		•	•	
253:12	64:16	26:24 28:5	299:8,10	87:20,20
254:2,6	93:12	42:24	306:2	92:6
256:7	101:5,6	46:16	307:16,17	118:13
262:12	thread	48:19	timeline	123:19
268:6	289 <b>:</b> 10	53:14 54:8	32:8	132:19
272:23	<b>threat</b> 264:2	60:18 77:7	times 97:18	135:12
273:1	264:3,7,25	89:20	98:22	147:3
278:5	285:18,19	100:10,11	100:24	149:2,5
288:23	292:10	102:14	101:9,14	150 <b>:</b> 16
289:8	threat-t	114:7	102:16	164:4
291:2	264:12	120:6	117:4	173:4
294:15,25	<b>threats</b> 52:6	125:12	131:25	176:10
295:1	52:8,10,13	130:12	155:5	215:21
296:11,25	52:14,15	162:18	156:1	216:12
297:4	52:18 54:1	163:2,6,23	167:10	222:24
301:14	157:5	166:13	170:13	225:2
302:2	202:10	168:17	175:6,7,14	227:1
306:19	243:8	169:6	Timothy	256:13
307:4	244:4	173:10	256:19	260:7
thinking	264:21	187:12	tip143:8	276:6
91:7,11	272:24	190:16	tips 263:18	297:10
100:2	283:6	191:9,12	<b>tired</b> 307:9	<b>Tom</b> 259:16
119:2,5,7	301:24	192:15	<b>title</b> 8:11	259:20
173:19	<b>three</b> 8:20	194:5,7	11:2	261:12
<b>third</b> 3:5	12:6 15:24	205:23	111:17,22	tons 268:17
14:23	17:19	206:14,16	257:6	tools 29:21
16:20,20	18:25	213:3	259:4	34:16
77:17	19:19 20:3	215:8,10	260:11,15	136:23
141:24	40:18	215:14	260:17	139:2
158:15	69:25	217:15	271:8	top 51:21
291:21	110:8,10	222:6	<b>titles</b> 184:3	126:14
292:7,13	110:12,22	223:21	today 7:18	128:21
292:18	118:9,25	228:25,25	9:8 10:7	157:10,14
297:21	120:17,18	230:8	23:18	166:11
third-party	123:14,17	231:22	28:21	197:14
15:9	131:15	235:4	145:15	292:24
thoroughly	154:25	237:3,9,21	159:22	308:4
117:25	185:1	238:24	169:8	<b>topic</b> 11:23
thought 81:3	207:19	239:15	170:18	164:14
196:2	210:21	244:23	232:21	233:1,11
210:11	239:21,22	245:4	287:24	233:21
229:13	240:16	261:6	288:7	282:12,14
294:14,15	286:1	262:17	308:14	topics 49:2
thoughts	<b>ties</b> 71:13	265:11	<b>today's</b> 6:10	149:14
53:4	115:4	267:10	309:17	280:15,23
145:11	<b>tight</b> 110:3	268:24	<b>Todd</b> 2:6 7:5	285:11
thousand	time 6:11	270:7,11	told 15:7	286:14
	1		1	

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 379 of 386 PageID #: 6862

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

		-		
<b>Torrey</b> 44:16	31:18	157:15	91:13	43:5 46:1
total 107:15	92:20	198:14	102:12	47:9,10
123:15	<b>Trolls</b> 4:11	262:2,19	110:24	53:15 59:3
147:12	11:3	270:15	112:19	85:10 89:6
touch 288:19	<b>trove</b> 243:19	<b>Tuesday</b> 1:16	118:11,13	91:8,10
289:3	247:6,12	6:3 162:15	119:10	92:22 93:1
touch-sc	248:1	167:22	123:20,22	94:12
19:12	256:4,8	168:6	124:14,15	95:12
<b>TPPs</b> 29:22	<b>true</b> 91:6	265:7	124:24	99:20,22
<b>TRACY</b> 3:6	226:2	266:1,21	125:2,18	100:5
traffic	310:24	269:5	125:19	102:16
77:20 78:1	314:8,12	292:22	128:22	106:13,24
training	<b>Trump</b> 304:10	293:12	130:15	107:1,19
16:5 17:2	trust 43:3,9	294:1	131:12	108:15,16
139:4	43:13,15	300:4	132:12,19	110:9,11
270:9	44:3,11,13	301:17	134:1,8	110:13
transcript	45:12,15	<b>turn</b> 28:12	149:9	111:15
4:22	46:4,9	298:3	150:6	115:22
241:21	47:18	<b>turning</b> 50:3	152:24	123:12
259:20	48:14	69:12	210:23	125:3,13
260:20,23	55:10,11	144:19	210:23	125:15,21
261:2,14	55:12	255:7	217:5,9,14	126:13
271:13,18	135:17	<b>turnout</b> 79:6	218:15	137:17,19
272:1	153:12	turnover	219:23	143:3
310:24	217:6,12	124:25	220:10	144:19
311:4	292:10	turns 250:14	223:4,11	146:22
312:13	293:4	tweet 63:3	225:6,15	149:10
transcri	truth 8:3,4	tweets 76:4	227:16	151:16
4:23 5:6	8:4	149:11,23	228:1,23	177:11
259:5,12	try 60:16,19	232:15,16	229:11	184:15,25
transfer	61:16 94:5	232:13,10	232:1,3,6	204:4
99:2	103:7	twenty-f	232:12,13	208:14
295:17	104:6	302:21	232:22	225:9
302:15	119:11	twenty-s	232:22	239:1
transparent	136:16	306:5	233:16,19	243:24
245:13	146:25	<b>twice</b> 26:4	248:18	249:9
<b>Trek</b> 275:6,7	155:1	42:6	252:21	252:8
trenches	199:2	Twilio	253:15,20	264:20
38:15,20	245:13	238:14,16	254:23	274:15
50:5,7	264:15	238:21	286:3,5	275:14
79:12	trying 31:8	<b>Twitter</b> 12:8	Twitter's	276:13
trend149:19	31:20	17:23 24:1	150:16	277:6
trends 287:6	51:11 61:5	41:25 45:7	232:17	281:15,17
291:11	63:17,20	45:8,15,22	<b>two</b> 13:4	281:19
triage	70:10,22	46:4,11	16:12	289:6,11
198:22,25	74:12	49:9 62:20	20:11	295:9
199:1	78:11 95:3	66:22,23	22:24	296:8
tried 204:22	126:4	69:9 76:19	25:11,16	297:13
troll 31:13	151:22	85:10	27:7 29:17	<b>Tyler</b> 48:24
		~~~~		
1	1	1	1	1

# Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 380 of 386 PageID #: 6863

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

		•		
<b>type</b> 13:7,15	100:11	267:2	226:17	update
13:18 18:9	102:22	300:21	237:23,24	239:22,23
19:1,7	typically	304:8,9,10	understands	239:24
29:20,24	20:9 24:15	304:16,17	30:21	updated
31:10	25:10 43:5	304:18	Understood	205:6,24
50:22	43:8,13	305:8,8,9	111:12	206:18
88:21	44:14,18	305:10	148:19	updates
100:11	62:7 74:13	U.Sperson	unfortun	50:16
136:6,8,10	93:15 98:7	113:12	148:24	205:12
136:18	98:24	<b>uh-huh</b> 10:2	161:6	206:19
138:2	100:4,13	89:8	206:17	285:19
139:3	103:2	103:23	240:18	updating
156:14	110:2,4,9	119:4	242:11	206:3
163:10,13	110:19	131:17	305:14	uploaded
230:24	119:24	135:7	<b>unique</b> 19:4	85:1
254:9	123:8	<b>uh-huhs</b> 9:25	66:13	204:24
264:2,8,9	124:1	ultimately	<b>unit</b> 109:12	<b>URL</b> 97:15
266:15	135:16	33:21	109:13	<b>URLs</b> 96:7,25
268:22	140:4	unauthor	152:3,3	98:1,16
269:18	281:3	174:16	182:24	99:13,18
308:1,6	289:5	unclassi	184:5,7,8	100:13
<b>types</b> 13:4	293:6	108:6	185:7	111:3
29:18 43:6		154:24	186:13	146:23
43:7 62:2	U	156:25	303:14,24	306:20
65 <b>:</b> 16	<b>U.S</b> 4:12,13	283:5,8	305:19,21	<b>use</b> 20:10
99:15,16	6:15,18	<pre>unclear 9:20</pre>	United 1:1	31:11
99 <b>:</b> 22	11:5 12:9	underlying	7:15 34:4	61:24 69:5
111:15	15:20,21	69:9	84:22	73:12
112:3	15:24 17:6	understand	141:21	88:12,13
117:19,23	24:7 29:8	9:12 23:1	194:16	89:8 90:17
117:25	29:18,25	195:2	196:21	91:8,14,18
120:22	35:16,21	250:20	<b>units</b> 110:10	102:23
125:14	37:9,12,18	269 <b>:</b> 14	University	112:2
136:1	112:21	understa	10:13	136:18,23
137:7	127:4,18	21:1,3	56:12	137:4,24
185:23	138:11	36:10	58:10 87:3	148:18
199:4	150:24	43:21 44:1	<b>unpack</b> 17 <b>:</b> 5	204:21,22
204:21	155 <b>:</b> 2,6	46:10	39:17	204:22
226:11	158:24	49:15,22	unparall	235:20
238:2,3	159:6,15	50:2 61:10	84:23	236:15
250:21	211:9	61 <b>:</b> 18	unprotected	255:21
253:25	218:12	71:11	72:22	297 <b>:</b> 12
261:7	222:4	78:14 80:5	unreliable	298:20,22
264:20	224:14	80:7 98:6	267 <b>:</b> 25	302:12
273:6	235:17,18	134:15	unwitting	<b>useful</b> 89:4
278:23	235:23	137:14	142:1	89:6
286:9	236:22	145:3	146:2,11	137:20,22
288:17	263:14	153:22	upcoming	137:23
typical	266:6	164:10	259 <b>:</b> 17	138:3,13
	I	l	I	I

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 381 of 386 PageID #: 6864

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

280:23	180:24	63 <b>:</b> 4 67 <b>:</b> 14	249:12	<b>videos</b> 85:1
<b>user</b> 70:4	181:8,13	69:23	<b>vast</b> 16:10	85:3
73:25	185:20	70:13	291:8	VIDEOTAPED
82:22 83:8	186:5	73:18	<b>Vegas</b> 275:15	1:17
86:22	189:6,22	74:21	vendors	<b>view</b> 48:5
92:25	191:11	75:23	136:1	115:19
93:23 96:6	192:2	78:18	137:3,8,8	117:9,18
98:16	204:6	79:13 92:3	137:11	243:20
114:14	209:17	92:16 94:8	139:23	247:7
264:4	210:13,18	95:5 102:2	<b>venue</b> 139:22	255:25
265:21	211:6,13	102:21	veracity	262:17
user's	213:10	103:4	228:12	269:24
139:12	218:7,11	104:14	verbatim	<b>viewed</b> 81:6
user-gen	218:18,21	137:25	58:13	247:13,21
146:8	219:7	142:25	<b>verify</b> 111:4	256:4
users 14:15	220:3	146:9,20	232:23	<b>viewers</b> 14:3
60:6 63:19	277:11,23	154:1	<b>Verizon</b> 24:2	14:6,12
65:14 66:3	278:4	156:19	155:8	15:3,11,13
66:4,10,23	279:25	159:24	version	70:11
67:13 74:7	280:12,24	162:6	283:15	<b>viewing</b> 62:1
75:18	281:17	166:1	287:24	68:3,7
78:17 85:9	282:7	168:23	299:1	71:7
85:15 86:8	284:24	175:2	versus 6:15	137:19
86:16	286:4,24	193:2	196:21	<b>views</b> 62:8
93:13 96:6	290:20,22	199:22	259:6	62:10,12
113:15	usually	203:1	299:23	66:11,13
114:25	25:17,18	204:17	victim	74:19
143:17	75:2 104:3	210:14	205:10	80:23
144:2,23	110:10	238:23	<b>video</b> 236:14	148:5,5
145:5,10	113:4	264:1,6	video-re	vigilant
145:13	170:4,7,14	268:14	6:13	173:11
146:25	278:3	validate	videocon	207:5
157:19	270:3	33:14	20:8	<b>violate</b> 93:9
	v	253:14	236:15	93:20
158:12,17	<b>v</b> 28:14,15			130:7
158:20,20 232:13,15	28:16	validating 248:11	<b>videocon</b> 236:11	166:6,9
241:5	312:5	validation	videogra	249:25
<b>users'</b> 74:9	313:2	78:10	6:9,23	252:7,12
235:6	Vacher 44:15	254:7	7:12 22:12	270:3
<b>USG</b> 186:1	<b>vague</b> 14:9	values 30:7	22:15 77:9	270:3
228:4	18:4,13	30:9,10	77:12	violated
280:13	26:16 27:5	varies	96:12,15	165:13
280:13	27:19	100:16	106:5,7,10	violates
USG-indu	41:19 43:4	various 49:8	140:13	217:10
170:4,7,14	43:19	49:21 65:4	140:13	262:15
172:2	44:25	86:8 96:20	180:10,13	274:1,8
172:2	49:25	144:22	234:14,17	violating
178:1,16	50:10	162:19	287:15,18	232:17
179:7	56:18 61:8	165:6	309:16	violation
113.1	JU.IO UI.0	T03.0	309.10	VIOLACION
	I	l	I	I

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 382 of 386 PageID #: 6865

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

167 <b>:</b> 14	23:3,4	74:20	228:23	266:2,22
269:25	26:3 28:19	114:22	248:2	269:7
violations	52:14	264:5	251:3	week 40:11
93:2 122:7	53:13	warn 174:23	268:1	40:14,19
122:10	58:23	warned	291:22	40:22,25
virtually	61:12	172:23,24	Wayne 182:23	260:4
87:12	68:14	174:12	we'll 21:7	weekly 40:6
visited	73:24	175:10	60:23	40:12,17
117:21	75:21	warrant 23:8	195:13	40:21
118:6,8	77:15	249:19	242:21	40.21
visits	88:15,16	250:4,24	we're10:9	42:21 44:9
118:14	88:16	251:8,9,13	37:5 39:21	89:16
<b>voice</b> 236:13	97:14 99:5	252:4	94:16	177:17
<b>voices</b> 16:2	120:12	warrants	103:22	181:2
16:4	128:6	111:18	106:7	182:15
172:12	140:10	wash293:14	120:10	218:24
<b>volume</b> 168:3	140:10	293:15	159:21	218:24 219:12,14
299:20	169:24	294:7,10	273:8	219:12,14 219:16
<b>vote</b> 265:7,8	179:6,23	294:7,10	294:12	220:5,14
266:1,2,21	194:24	295:4,5	we've97:22	228:4
266:21	202:22,23	Washington	103:16	288:14
268:1	202:22,23	2:11,17	272:9	289:2,6
269:5,6	203:3,10	8:21 10:14	web 72:25	297:19
<b>voter</b> 79:5	234:12	16:8 25:7	73:7	weeks 217:19
<b>voters</b> 80:16	239:13	56:13	Webex 20:11	219:15,16
141:21	242:16	109:3	webinars	220:6
141:21 149:20	242:16	183:25	137:7,18	243:11,24
<b>votes</b> 240:16	264:12	312:4	137:20	243:11,24 244:7
voting 59:13	286:11	wasn't74:18	website	245:2
163:6,24	293:14,24	140:1	14:14 30:6	went 27:10
164:12,13	wanted 72:11	145:13	141:12,15	137:17
164:12,13	93:14	197:1	141:12,15	243:14
267:25	96:18	296:12	142:11,13	266:19
268:16	99:23	way 24:22	143:18,18	294:14,20
270:12	120:2	35:11	143:24,25	294:14,20
<b>vs</b> 1:8	129:13	57:14	144:3	West 2:5
vulnerab	152:17	62:22	229:24	western1:2
272:23	206:7,8	78:15	263:8,11	6:16 31:9
272.25	240:17	82:10,13	websites	31:20
W	249:5,8,16	85:21	13:21	WhatsApp
wait 28:23	249:17	86:17 95:1	15:17	12:20
waiting	275:25	111:19	31:23 78:3	Wikimedia
258:21,22	280:16	131:22	143:4,7	24:2 42:7
waived 164:4	289:4	132:16	146:23	155:7
waiver	299:15	133:3	147:1	156:1
194:17	302:2	193:6	251:10	William
want17:4	wanting	213:7	Wednesday	181:22
18:7 19:21	289:2	214:12	168:7	182:3,23
20:10,20	wants 22:25	224:19	265:8	183:12
		227 · 17	200.0	100.12
	1		1	1

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 383 of 386 PageID #: 6866

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

89:22	114:17	268:21	8:16 38:16	145:1
179:20	115:16	270:6	38:21 50:5	162:1
withdraw	117:13	274:12	217:17	227:3
22:19	121:19	277:19	234:25	232:18
94:18,20	122:13	282:11,24	235:8	290:1
withholding	128:15	293:25	295:8	299:13
202:23	129:11,21	299:13	working	233.13
202:25	131:1,3	300:12	18:24	x
witness 8:2	132:7	303:3	19:13	<b>X</b> 67:3
11:12	133:14	306:9	23:22 26:9	227:20
14:21	137:2	310:15	27:15 57:8	264:3,5,13
18:15 21:3	138:1,23	311:1	120:20	264:16
21:21 27:6	140:12	312:12	139:8	<b>xvii</b> 37:2
28:17	143:1	313:1,25	155:6	<b>xxii</b> 38:9
30:17,25	144:6	witnessed	238:7	<b>AAII</b> 00.9
32:16	146:10,21	18:23	273:2,8,17	Y
33:12 34:7	150:12	word 123:2	277:11	<b>Y</b> 227:20
35:8 36:9	153:22	220:20,21	284:18,20	Yahoo 24:1
36:21	154:2	226:5,24	287:5	41:25
41:15,20	156:20	worded 224:8	307:10	47:17,19
		224:10	works 24:22	48:15 49:9
43:5,21 45:1 48:8	160:1,23 162:8	words 14:17		91:18
			27:1,2	153:7
49:15 50:2	166:2	33:25	238:10	235:1,3,4
50:11	168:24	74:16	world136:7	235:6,19
56:19	171:16	85:15	wouldn't	235:20,22
61:10 63:5	172:22	111:2	72:16	235:20,22
63:24 64:21	174:7 175:4	133:2	115:16 220:20	236:18
65:12	176:14	137:23 217:13	250:20	237:22
67:16 68:6	184:20	270:7	273:5	239:9,18
	187:19	work 25:21	307:15	239:24
68:18 69:2 69:24	187:19			240:4
70:15	189:14	25:22,24 25:25 26:1	Wray 246:3 write 142:2	yeah 17:13
				17:25
71:10	193:3	26:8 27:14 48:9 53:8	143:25	20:24 22:8
72:16	195:17		289:16	20:24 22:0
73:20	200:1,22	53:10	290:7	23:13
74:23 75:24	202:2,6 204:18	83:14 159:16	writing 53:7 142:7	25:15,15
				28:24
78:20	208:4	164:3	148:2	30:11 36:9
79:15 82:2	213:24	182:23	written	36:21 37:5
83:7 90:21	224:5	223:19	145:4	40:23,23
92:4,17 94:9 95:6	228:21	238:16	289:24	40.23,23
	231:9,20	266:6	wrong166:13	45:1 47:3
102:3,22	233:8	276:4,7,12	166:14	51:17 53:1
103:5	238:24	276:14,18	269:22	53:15
104:16	240:11	276:20	wrote 70:21	54:13 55:3
105:13	246:1,12 252:1	277:4,6,8	77:21	55 <b>:</b> 13
111:9,12	252:1	287:12	84:14	59:11,16
112:21	256:22	worked 8:14	127:9,22	$\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}$
		1	I	

#### LEXITAS LEGAL Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 384 of 386 PageID #: 6867

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

62:14,14	219:12	45:19 46:5	11:10	<b>12:27</b> 140 <b>:</b> 14
62:20,23	223:25	124:17	28:10	<b>121</b> 4:16
63:7,24	224:5,22	217:1,2	86:13	288:4
66:10,13	224:5,22	229:3	141:8	<b>1225</b> 2:17
66:17	226:23	233:1	260:15	<b>12333</b> 111:25
67:10,25	228:21	<b>York</b> 3:10	<b>1,233</b> 150:7	<b>126</b> 66:4
68:6,18	232:8,8	155:5	<b>1.4</b> 66:23	86:13
70:15	236:17	156:1	<b>1:20</b> 141:5	<b>13</b> 4:23
73:20 74:7	237:1	214:9	<b>10</b> 4:16	258:24,25
75:1,8,20	241:7	229:23	217:22,23	259:3,10
76:24 77:6	243:13	230:12,22	218:3,4,20	·
77:21,22	243:13		260:15	<b>134,943</b> 81:18
79:9 80:10	249:8	232:3,14 243:25	<b>10:00</b> 167:25	135954
80:15 81:6	250:9	<b>Younes</b> 2:18 7:6	301:19	314:24 <b>14</b> 229:22
82:2 83:4	252:1		<b>10:45</b> 77:10	
83:18 84:5	254:5,16	YouTube	<b>10:58</b> 77:12	300:12
84:13,14	257:5	12:12,15	<b>100</b> 167:5	<b>146</b> 299:25
84:17,19	258:13	12:16 85:1	175:6	<b>149</b> 4:14
86:15,18	259:4,11	91:12	100,000	<b>14th</b> 213:21
93:22	259:19	112:19	62:12 87:3	230:15
94:21	260:1	119:11	104161:15	300:5
96:24 97:3	261:1	125:18,19	<b>10710</b> 1:24	<b>15</b> 5:6 271:2
97:14	266:24	134:8	310:9	271:3,4
99:15,20	271:15	248:18	<b>11</b> 4:11	<b>16</b> 50:13
110:17	272:20,20	YouTube/	218:2,24	<b>163</b> 302:17
117:15	273:23	211:3	260:15	<b>168</b> 306:5
118:5	276:25	Z	11/19/2020	<b>169</b> 4:17
126:9,22	282:11		306:10	<b>17</b> 8:16 51:8
127:9	285:2	<b>Z</b> 227:20	<b>11:02</b> 300:5	232:11
129:17	291:24	<b>zero</b> 133:25	300:13	293:12
132:8,14	292:21,23	134:5	<b>11:17</b> 292 <b>:</b> 17	<b>17th</b> 294:1
133:1,2	292:23	<b>Zoom</b> 1:17	292:22	<b>18</b> 111:17
134:4,21	293:6	6:1,5 20:6	<b>11:22</b> 96:12	207:15
135:2,8,8	294:2,6,8	20:9 141:1	<b>11:27</b> 96:16	<b>185</b> 127:25
139:18	<b>year</b> 10:20	Zuckerberg	<b>11:39</b> 292 <b>:</b> 18	<b>1885</b> 3:5
144:6	11:18	116:25	<b>11:40</b> 106:8	<b>19</b> 37:4 85:9
151:21	17:12	131:24	<b>11:43</b> 106:11	<b>191250</b> 2:22
152:2,25	19:17,18	242:13	<b>1100</b> 2:11	<b>196</b> 5:8
158:8	19:20 20:4	243:3,14	312:3	<b>1991</b> 194:4
160:1	42:6 47:7	244:4,25	<b>1152</b> 194:3	<b>19th</b> 2:17
169:20	109:18	245:15	<b>11542</b> 3:10	302 <b>:</b> 25
170:6	236:25	255:15	<b>1159</b> 194:3	2
184:9	<b>years</b> 8:17	Zuckerbe	<b>118</b> 157:7	<b>2</b> 4:15
188:11	8:20 41:11	255:9	<b>11th</b> 312:19	
194:25	171:13	0	<b>12</b> 163:10	287:20,23 2.11 190.10
200:1	173:24		229:21	<b>2:11</b> 180:10
214:13,15	194:10	1	230:3	<b>2:34</b> 180:14
214:21	<b>Yep</b> 37:5	<b>1</b> 4:11 11:8	12:16:09	2:34:35
218:2	<b>Yoel</b> 4:20	<b>-</b> 7 • 1 1 1 1 • 0	294:1	243:5
	l	I	I	I

Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 385 of 386 PageID #: 6868

ELVIS CHAN 11/2
-----------------

<b>20</b> 142:6	205:16	115:20	251 <b>:</b> 16	310 <b>:</b> 14
314:14	<b>2017</b> 89:20	120:6	253:7	<b>216</b> 4 : 20
<b>20-</b> 214:3	120:5,8	135:2	255:20	<b>221</b> 2:5
200362:17	125:23	141:16	259:15,23	<b>223</b> 80:23
<b>2011</b> 8:22	<b>2018</b> 16:23	143:23	260:5	81:1,6,7
<b>2011</b> 8:22	37:15	147:10,18	272:9,12	223,799
2014 8.22	42:18 55:2	148:14	288:6	80:17,25
2015237.1,8	55:3,20	150:25	292:17,22	<b>225</b> 3:6
13:12,14	64:24	152:21	293:12,13	<b>23</b> 5:8
29:5 37:15	65:10 78:7	155:2,4	294:1	196:14,18
39:16	87:16	161:19,25	298:10,12	<b>230</b> 121:23
64:10,21	115:19	162:4,12	299:2,21	<b>230</b> 121 . 23 <b>24</b> 38 : 11
65:1,9	120:6	167:19,21	300:5,12	301:15
				<b>241</b> 4:21
66:4,24 78:4 84:10	132:12 133:25	168:1 171:18,19	302:25 308:15,18	<b>241</b> 4:21 <b>249</b> 3:10
85:19		•	<b>2021</b> 4:14	
	134:2	172:1,20 176:9		<b>25</b> 58:24
87:13	148:12 149:14	179:9	10:20	<b>258</b> 4:23
115:5 125:22,23	149:14 168:2	180:17	11:19 159:12	<b>2703(d)</b> 111:18
				<b>271</b> 5:6
126:16,20	217:23	182:10	161:1	
133:12,22	<b>2019</b> 53:13	189:20	284:4	<b>28</b> 4:22,24
133:25	53:17	192:5,12	<b>2022</b> 1:16	6:11
134:1	120:6	199:14,20	5:7 6:1,4	241:20,21
147:20	149:9	200:2,14	6:11 19:23	244:1
173:14	151:10	201:8,10	19:24	259:15,23
174:9	155:13	201:17,23	23:23	310:21
176:8	<b>202</b> 2:13,18	202:12,15	26:21 27:9	28(a)(1))
178:11	<b>2020</b> 4:13,16	203:12,20	39:24 40:9	310:11
190:13	4:24 11:4	204:4	42:3	28(a)(a))
193:1,16	12:5 16:11	205:13,14	100:18	310:16
195:22	19:17	206:16,20	101:11,17	<b>287</b> 4:15
196:1,5,7	23:23	208:16,19	141:1	<b>29</b> 1:16 5:7
196:9	26:19 29:6	208:23	148:15	5:9 6:1
198:8	31:6 37:14	212:9,23	167:18	67:2 141:1
199:11,16	38:16,21	213:22	203:23	271:10
205:20	39:20 40:8	214:4	271:10,15	292:17,22
206:16	41:20,22	215:23	272:3,13	312:8
208:9,11	41:23,24	216:8	273:18	313:3
235:1	46:16 50:5	219:3,7,11	284:4,25	<b>29530</b> 2:11
236:25	54:14,22	220:1,7	288:6	312:4
237:2,8	54:24,24	221:11	298:10,18	<b>29th</b> 6:3,11 271:15
238:6	54:25	225:25	298:20,22 299:18	271:15 2nd 83:17
240:8,24	64:25	226:3,21	299:18 312:2,8	
244:13	65:17 78:7 87.17	229:22	312:2,8	286:13
257:22,24	87:17	230:15		3
258:10	100:22	241:20,25	<b>2024</b> 41:7,11	<b>3</b> 4:24 5:7
270:18	101:9	244:1,19 245.14	204:1	79:1,9
<b>2016-style</b>	109:11	245:14	285:4	80:6,13,15
172:25	112:12	246:15	2093 (b)	00.0,10,10
	I		l	

www.lexitaslegal.com

### Case 3:22-cv-01213-TAD-KDM Document 144-2 Filed 12/05/22 Page 386 of 386 PageID #: 6869

ELVIS CHAN 11/29/2022

261:3	<b>5:15</b> 287:19	216:18,22		
<b>3,613</b> 132:13		272:1		
134:1	309:20	8:00167:24		
	<b>50</b> 111:22	301:18		
1:9	167:7	8:32 197:17		
	<b>500</b> 235 <b>:</b> 5	<b>825</b> 147:12		
	<b>516</b> 3:11	148:19		
	532-5748	<b>85</b> 141:11		
77:15	2:13	86293:10,21		
312:18	<b>56</b> 242:8,13	·		
<b>30(e))</b> 311:7	243:1	9		
30(f)(1))	<b>573</b> 2:7	<b>9</b> 4:21		
311:2	<b>58</b> 84:17	241:12,15		
<b>308</b> 4 : 6	<b>59</b> 85:9,13	261:2,4		
<b>314</b> 2:23	85:20	300:11		
<b>32</b> 78:24	241:4	<b>9:07</b> 6:5,12		
<b>326-6705</b> 3:6		9:07:16		
329-5040	6	306:10		
2:23	<b>6</b> 4:17	<b>9:27</b> 22 <b>:</b> 13		
<b>34</b> 84:16	169:11,19	<b>9:37</b> 22:16		
<b>35</b> 65:12,15	169:21	<b>91</b> 4:22		
86:13	277:12,17	918-6902		
<b>37</b> 169:24	<b>61</b> 141:10	2:18		
278:2	<b>63</b> 144:20	929,000		
<b>38</b> 277:21	<b>63101</b> 312:19	149:11,23		
<b>3rd</b> 272:12	<b>63119</b> 2:22	<b>94</b> 147:9		
4	<b>65101</b> 2:5	157:7		
	<b>66</b> 126:11	946194:3		
<b>4</b> 4:20 5:9	671-2688	<b>95</b> 4:19		
79:1 81:13	3:11	<b>96,678</b> 83:20		
<b>41</b> 51:13,13	7	<b>99</b> 81:2,6		
<b>42</b> 126:9,10	<b>7</b> 81:4 272:2	158:23		
<b>422</b> 149:10 149:23	<b>70</b> 3:10			
<b>450</b> 2:17	128:9			
<b>46</b> 128:6,9	147:8			
<b>47</b> 132:10	251:9			
<b>49</b> 58:25	70802 3:5			
132:22	<b>711</b> 312:18			
<b>4th</b> 151:10	<b>751-8870</b> 2:7			
155:12	<b>76</b> 68:9			
197:17	150:21			
1 <i>J I</i> <b>i</b> 1 <i>I</i>	<b>763</b> 67:17			
5	68:1			
<b>5</b> 147:9	78,000			
304:23	240:15			
305:7				
312:2	8			
5:04287:15	<b>8</b> 4:5,20			
L		•	-	-

www.lexitaslegal.com