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in this case, is that correct?
MR. STEINGLASS: Yes, that is correct, Judge. There are a number of plea conditions that $I$ would like to place on the record with the Court's permission.

First, the defendant must plead guilty to each and every one of the 15 counts in the indictment.

Number two, the defendant must fully allocute under oath to his participation in this tax evasion scheme and that of his co-defendants, the Trump Corporation and Trump Payroll Corporation both doing business as the Trump Organization.

Number three, the defendant must agree to testify truthfully at the trial of the Trump Organization. That is Allen Weisselberg A, must testify in the upcoming trial of the Trump Organization as to the facts underlying his allocution and plea. And B, must do so truthfully.

Number four, the defendant must agree to full repayment of taxes due, interest and penalties to New York City and New York State pursuant to a signed closing agreement in the amount of one million, 994 thousand, 321 dollars as determined by the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance.

Number five, the defendant must withdraw all defense motions filed on behalf of Allen Weisselberg, including the outstanding Kastigar motion.

Number six, the defendant must waive appeal. And number seven, this defendant's sentencing will be held in abeyance until the conclusion of the trial of the Trump Organization to insure compliance with these plea conditions.

If the defendant lives up to all of these conditions in good faith, the People will recommend in the aggregate, a split sentence of six months incarceration followed by five years probation, which is the maximum allowable split sentence.

However, if the defendant does not live up to the conditions of the plea, including giving truthful testimony at trial, then the People will recommend significant state prison.

We would respectfully ask this Court to advise the defendant that if he does not fulfill these stated plea conditions, the Court is free to impose any sentence authorized by law, up to and including the maximum allowable sentence on the top count of five to 15 years in state prison.

We realize that five to 15 years would be an unusually high sentence in this matter, but nonetheless, the defendant should be informed of his maximum exposure to insure the voluntariness of this plea. And specifically, we would ask this Court to admonish this defendant that
failure to live up to any of these plea conditions will permit the Court to impose a state prison sentence without affording the defendant the opportunity to withdraw his guilty pleas entered here today. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Steinglass. Counsel, do you have an application?

MR. GRAVANTE: I do, your Honor. My client, Allen Weisselberg, has authorized me to withdraw his previously entered plea of not guilty, such authorization is premised on the understanding that the Court has promised a sentence of five months incarceration, five years probation if all of the conditions of the disposition are satisfied.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Weisselberg, your attorney has indicated that you would like to withdraw your previous entered plea of not guilty and enter a plea of guilty.

Before I may accept your plea, I'm required by law to go over your rights with you to insure that you understand what is happening. That is what we will do right now.

If at anytime you have any questions for me, please let me know and I will try to answer your questions for you.

If you need a break at anytime to speak to your attorneys, let me know and I'll give you the opportunity to
do that. Do you understand me so far?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth during this proceeding?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes sir.
THE COURT: Have you spoken with your lawyers about pleading guilty, and are you satisfied with the service of your lawyers?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Do you understand by pleading guilty you are going to give up your right to remain silent and not incriminate yourself?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Weisselberg, I have to ask you whether you are currently taking any medications?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Are you taking those medications as prescribed?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Is there anything about any of those medications that would affect your ability to appreciate and understand what is happening here today?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: Counsel, you know your client better
than I do. Do you have any concerns about his ability to fully appreciate and understand what is happening here today?

MR. GRAVANTE: No, I do not.
THE COURT: Mr. Weisselberg, I've been informed that one of your attorneys, Mr. Gravante, previously represented two other individuals; Matthew Calamari Junior and Matthew Calamari Senior on this very same case.

Although he no longer represents them, he still owes a duty of loyalty to them.

In particular, he cannot reveal or use information that they told him in confidence. That could, for example, affect his ability to call them as witnesses in your defense or cross examine them if they were to be called as the People's witnesses.

Those limitations could adversely affect your defense, and you have the right to conflict-free representation by an attorney, do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.
THE COURT: Have you discussed Mr. Gravante's prior representations of the Calamari's with him and the possible affect of that prior representation upon your defense?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: And after discussing that with him,
have you come to a decision as to what you would like to do?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Is there a signed understanding regarding Mr. Gravante's continued representation of Mr. Weisselberg?

MR. GRAVANTE: There is, your Honor.
THE COURT: Can you please hand that up so I can look at it.
( Handed to Court).
THE COURT: Let the record reflect I have been handed the waiver which has been signed by Mr. Gravante and Mr. Weisselberg. We will mark this as Court's One.

Mr. Weisselberg, have you discussed this matter with your other attorneys, including Ms. Mulligan?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Ms. Mulligan, have you in fact consulted with your client and advised him as to this matter?

MS. MULLIGAN: Yes, Your Honor, I have.
THE COURT: Mr. Weisselberg, have you had sufficient time to consider this issue, and if you need more time, $I$ can give you more time.

THE DEFENDANT: I have had sufficient time.
THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you or forced
you or pressured you to continue with Mr. Gravante against your will?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: Have I or any of your lawyers, or anyone else said anything to pressure you to continue with Mr. Gravante against your will?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: Have you chosen to continue to have Mr. Gravante represent you voluntarily of your own free will?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Let the record reflect Mr. Weisselberg has been administered his Gomberg warnings.

Now, you've asked to plead guilty to all 15 counts in the indictment.

The law requires that $I$ go through each and every count with you separately. I will ask you whether the allegations contained under the counts in the indictment are true, and then you may answer yes or no.

You may, of course, consult with your attorneys before answering.

Counsel, am I correct that you together with the prosecutors have reviewed the questions that I will ask Mr . Weisselberg, including, but not limited to the factual allegations under counts one and two and you are in
agreement as to what I will ask?
MR. GRAVANTE: Yes, your Honor. All of the lawyers at this table have done that with Mr. Weisselberg.

THE COURT: Have you reviewed that with Mr. Weisselberg and is he prepared to proceed?

MR. GRAVANTE: He is, your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Weisselberg, under count one of the indictment, charging you with scheme to defraud; it is alleged that you, together with the Trump Corporation, doing business as the Trump Organization, and the Trump Payroll Corporation, doing business as the Trump Organization, in the County of New York and elsewhere, during the period from on or about March 31, 2005 to on or about June 30, 2021 engaged in a scheme constituting a systematic ongoing course of conduct with intent to defraud more than one person and to obtain property from more than one person by false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, and so obtained property with a value in excess of one thousand dollars from one or more such persons; specifically, the United States Internal Revenue Service, the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, and the New York City Department of Finance; is that true?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Is it true that from at least 2005 to

June 30, 2021 you were the chief financial officer of the Trump Organization, an employee of the Trump Corporation, both headquartered in Manhattan; and that during that time you had authority over the Trump Organization's accounting functions and personnel, including its payroll administration procedures?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Is it also true that from at least 2005 to June 30, 2021 you supervised the controller of the Trump Organization, Jeffrey McConney, who managed the day-to-day affairs of the accounting department, including payroll administration and who reported to you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Is it also true from at least 2005 to June 30,2021 you were authorized to act on behalf of the Trump Corporation and Trump Payroll Corporation, and to supervise subordinate employees in a managerial capacity, and as such, you served as a high managerial officer of the Trump Organization, including the Trump Corporation and Trump Payroll Corporation?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Is it also true from at least 2005 to June 30, 2021 together with the Trump Corporation and the Trump Payroll Corporation, Jeffrey McConney and others, you engaged in a scheme to defraud federal, New York State, and

| 1 | New York City Tax Authorities with the purpose of |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | compensating yourself and other Trump Corporation employees |
| 3 | in a manner so that substantial portions of your income and |
| 4 | theirs were intentionally unreported or misreported by the |
| 5 | Trump Corporation or Trump Payroll Corporation to the |
| 6 | taxing authorities? |
| 7 | THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Is it also true the scheme wrongfully |
| 9 | enabled you to obtain tax refunds of amounts previously |
| 10 | withheld and remitted to federal and state tax |
| 11 | authorities? |
| 12 | THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. |
| 13 | THE COURT: Is it also true that the scheme |
| 14 | involved a failure of the Trump Corporation and the Trump |
| 15 | Payroll Corporation to withhold income taxes on wages, |
| 16 | salaries, bonuses, and other forms of compensation paid to |
| 17 | you and other employees? |
| 18 | THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. |
| 19 | THE COURT: Is it also true that the scheme |
| 20 | allowed the Trump Corporation and the Trump Payroll |
| 21 | Corporation to evade the payment of payroll taxes that the |
| 22 | Trump Corporation was required to pay in connection with |
| 23 | such employee compensation? |
| 24 | MS. MULLIGAN: Your Honor, I believe the Trump |
| 25 | Organization. |

THE COURT: In the first line?
MS. MULLIGAN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, I'll repeat that. Is it also true that the scheme allowed the Trump Organization and the Trump Payroll Corporation to evade the payment of payroll taxes that the Trump Organization was required to pay in connection with such employee compensation?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Is it also true that during the periods from March 2005 through June 2021 you received from your employer, in addition to your regular $W$-2 income, additional income in the form of an apartment on Riverside Boulevard in Manhattan, utilities and garage privileges related to that apartment, multiple Mercedes Benz automobiles, unreported cash, private school tuition for your grandchildren, and furnishings for your apartment, and an additional home you maintained in Florida?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is it true that you purposefully concealed that additional income from your personal income tax preparer, Donald Bender, during this same period?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Are you now aware that unreported income in total from 2005 to 2021 amounts approximately to one point 76 million dollars?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Did you willfully, knowingly, and intentionally omit that additional approximately one point 76 million in income from your personal tax return submitted to multiple taxing authorities, including the IRS and the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance knowing that you were required to include it, so as to evade paying hundreds of thousands of dollars in personal income tax on that additional income, and in order to seek and receive tax refunds from those tax authorities?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Is it true by underreporting your compensation, you were able to increase your take-home pay, thereby eliminating the need for raises and saving the Trump Corporation from paying you additional salary and bonuses?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Did you also willfully, knowingly, and intentionally conceal for years 2005 to in and about 2013 the fact you were a resident of New York City who was required to pay New York City income taxes?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Did you also cause during those years, the Trump Corporation and the Trump Payroll Corporation not to report your compensation to the New York City tax
authorities and not to withhold New York City income taxes from your compensation?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is it also true that the scheme involved the Trump Corporation improperly paying substantial amounts of employee compensation to certain Trump Organization employees, including yourself, as non employee compensation reported on IRS 1099 forms?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Did you receive from the Trump Corporation substantial amounts of employee compensation as non-employee compensation reported on IRS 1099 forms which permitted you to take certain business deductions and make annual contributions to a Keogh Plan which is a tax deferred pension plan that is available to self employed individuals for retirement purposes?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Is it also true that you were in fact not a self-employed individual, and at all relevant times you were an employee of the Trump Corporation, and therefore the Trump Corporation and you falsely reported the receipt of self employment income which allowed you to falsely claim to the relevant tax authorities you were entitled to certain business deductions and an annual exclusion from your income of amounts contributed to your

Keogh Plan?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: And is it also true the scheme involved the Trump Corporation and the Trump Payroll Corporation creating and issuing $W-2$ and 1099 forms that falsely underreported compensation paid to you and other employees?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Under count two of the indictment charging you, along with the corporate defendants, with the crime of conspiracy in the fourth degree. It is alleged that you, along with the Trump Corporation and Trump Payroll Corporation and unindicted conspirator number one, Jeffrey McConney, in the County of New York and elsewhere, during the period from on or about March 31, 2005 to on or about June 30, 2021 with intent that conduct constituting a class C felony, specifically grand larceny in the second degree be performed, agreed with one or more persons to engage in and cause the performance of such conduct; is that true?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Is it true from at least 2005 through June 30, 2021 you, the Trump Corporation, the Trump Payroll Corporation, and others, including Jeffrey McConney, agreed to and implemented a compensation scheme with the object of
enabling you to underreport your income to federal authorities, and thereby evade taxes and falsely claim federal tax refunds to which you were not entitled?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Is it true that as a result of you and your co-conspirators's actions during the period of the conspiracy, you took approximately 94 thousand, 902 dollars from the United States Internal Revenue Service in federal tax refunds to which you were not entitled?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you agree with other members of the accounting department, including Jeffrey McConney, to omit the additional income you received from $W$-2 and 1099 forms that were reported to state and federal taxing authorities?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Based on the underreporting of your income by you and the Trump Corporation and Trump Payroll Corporation, did you deposit multiple federal and state tax refunds into your bank accounts between April 2010 and April 2018?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Did the Trump Corporation enter into a lease on March 31, 2005 with the owner of an apartment on Riverside Boulevard in the County of New York, designating
and permitting you and your wife to have sole access to and use of the apartment that would be part of your compensation beginning at that time?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Is it true that in or about September 2016, that you directed a staff member in the accounting department to remove the notation per Allen Weisselberg from the entries in Donald J. Trump's detailed general ledger relating to tuition payments paid on your behalf to your grandchildren's private schools; is that true?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Under count three of the indictment charging you with the crime of grand larceny in the second degree. It is alleged that you, in the County of New York and elsewhere, during the period from on or about April 5, 2010 to on or about April 27, 2018 stole property from the United States Internal Revenue Service, and the value of that property exceeded 50 thousand dollars; is that true?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Under count four of the indictment charging you and the corporate defendants with criminal tax fraud in the third degree, in violation of Tax Law Section 1804, it is alleged that in the County of New York and elsewhere, during the period from on or about April 10, 2015 committed a tax fraud act, and with the intent to
evade any tax due under the tax law, and to defraud the state and any political subdivision of the state, paid the state and a political subdivision of the state by means of underpayment and receipt of refund and both, in a period of not more than one year in excess of 10 thousand dollars less than the tax liability that was due; is that true?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: And that you, together with the corporate defendants, committed a tax fraud act by willfully engaging in and willfully causing another to engage in the tax fraud set forth in Tax Law Section 1801 subdivision $A-2$, in that knowing that a return contained any materially false and fraudulent information, and omitted any material information, filed and submitted that return, specifically a 2014 New York resident income tax return form IT 201 with the state and any political subdivision of the state, and with any public office and public officer of the state and any political subdivision of the state; is that true?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Under count five charging you and the corporate defendants with criminal tax fraud in the third degree, in violation of Tax Law Section 1804, it is alleged that --

MS. MULLIGAN: Your Honor with respect -- is that
count six?
THE COURT: I believe that is count five. That you, in the County of New York and elsewhere, during the period on or about April 11, 2016 committed a tax fraud act, and with the intent to evade any tax due under the tax law, and to defraud the state and any political subdivision of the state, you paid the state and a political subdivision of the state by means of underpayment and receipt of refund and both, in a period of not more than one year, in excess of 10 thousand dollars less than the tax liability that was due; is that true?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: And you, together with the corporate defendants, committed a tax fraud act by willfully engaging in and willfully causing another to engage in the tax fraud act set forth in Tax Law Section 1801 subdivision $A-2$, in that knowing that a return contained any materially false and fraudulent information and omitted any material information filed and submitted that return, specifically a 2015 New York resident income tax return form IT 201 with the state and any political subdivision of the state, and with any public office and public officer of the state and any political subdivision of the state; is that true?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Under count six of the indictment
charging you, together with the corporate defendants, of criminal tax fraud in the third degree, in violation of Tax Law Section 1804, in the County of New York and elsewhere, during the period from on or about April 10, 2017 committed a tax fraud act, and with the intent to evade any tax due under the tax law, and to defraud the state and any political subdivision of the state, paid the state and a political subdivision of the state by means of underpayment and receipt of refund and both, in a period of not more than one year in excess of 10 thousand dollars less than the tax liability that was due; is that true?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: And you together with the corporate defendants, committed a tax fraud act by willfully engaging in and willfully causing another to engage in the tax fraud act set forth in Tax Law Section 1801 , subdivision $A-2$, in that knowing that a return contained any materially false and fraudulent information and omitted any material information filed and submitted that return, specifically a 2016 New York resident income tax return form IT 201 with the state and any political subdivision of the state, and with any public office and public officer of the state, and any political subdivision of the state; is that true?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Under count seven of the indictment
charging you, together with the corporate defendants, of criminal tax fraud in the fourth degree, in violation of Tax Law Section 1803, it is alleged that you, in the County of New York and elsewhere, during the period from on or about April 2, 2018 committed a tax fraud act, and with the intent to evade any tax due under the tax law, and to defraud the state and any political subdivision of the state, paid the state and a political subdivision of the state by means of underpayment and receipt of refund and both, in a period of not more than one year in excess of 3000 dollars less than the tax liability that was due; is that true?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: And you, together with the corporate defendants, committed a tax fraud act by wilfully engaging in and willfully causing another to engage in the tax fraud act set forth in Tax Law Section 1801 A-2, in that knowing a return contained any materially false and fraudulent information and omitted any material information, filed and submitted that return, specifically a 2017 New York resident income tax return form IT 201 with the state and any political subdivision of the state, and with any public office and public officer of the state, and any political subdivision of the state; is that true?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Under count eight of the indictment, charging you with the crime of offering a false instrument for filing in the first degree, it is alleged that you, in the County of New York and elsewhere, from on or about April 10, 2015 knowing that a written instrument, specifically a 2014 New York resident income tax return form IT 201, contained a false statement and false information, and with intent to defraud the state and any political subdivision, public authority, and public benefit corporation of the state, offered and presented it to a public office, public servant, and public authority and public benefit corporation, with the knowledge and belief it would be filed with, registered and recorded in and otherwise become a part of the records of such public office, public servant, public authority, and public benefit corporation; is it that true?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Under count nine of the indictment, charging you with the crime of offering a false instrument for filing in the first degree, it is alleged that you, in the County of New York and elsewhere, from on or about April 11, 2016 knowing that a written instrument, specifically a 2015 New York resident income tax return form IT 201, contained a false statement of false information, and with intent to defraud the state and any
political subdivision, public authority, and public benefit corporation of the state, offered and presented it to a public office, public servant, public authority, and public benefit corporation with the knowledge and belief it would be filed with, registered, and recorded in and otherwise become a part of the record of such public office, public servant, public authority, and public benefit corporation, is that true?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Under count 10 of the indictment charging you with the crime of offering a false instrument for filing in the first degree, it is alleged that you in the County of New York and elsewhere, on or about April 10, 2017 knowing a written instrument, specifically a 2016 New York resident income tax return form IT 201, contained a false statement and false information, and with intent to defraud the state and any political subdivision, public authority, and public benefit corporation of the state, offered and presented it to a public office, public servant, public authority, and public benefit corporation with the knowledge and belief it would be filed with, registered, and recorded, and otherwise become a part of the record of such public office, public servant, public authority, and public benefit corporation; is that true? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Under count 11 of the indictment charging you with offering a false instrument for filing in the first degree, it is alleged that you, in the County of New York and elsewhere, on or about April 2, 2018 knowing a written instrument, specifically a 2017 New York resident income tax return form IT 201, contained a false statement and false information, and with intent do defraud the state and any political subdivision, public authority, and public benefit corporation of the state, offered and presented it to a public office, public servant, public authority, and public benefit corporation with the knowledge and belief that it would be filed with, registered, and recorded, and otherwise become a part of the record of such public office, public servant, public authority, and public benefit corporation; is that true?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Under count 12 of the indictment charging you, together with the corporate defendants, of falsifying business records in the first degree, it is alleged that in the County of New York and elsewhere, on or about April 11, 2016 with the intent to defraud, made and caused the false entry in the business records of an enterprise, specifically a form $W-2$ wage and tax statement 2015 and your intent to defraud included an intent to commit another crime and to aid and conceal the commission
thereof; is that true?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Under count 13 of the indictment, charging you, together with the corporate defendants, of falsifying business records in the first degree, it is alleged that you in the County of New York and elsewhere, on or about April 10, 2017 with the intent to defraud, made and caused the false entry in the business records of an enterprise, specifically the form $W$-2 wage and tax statement 2016, and your intent to defrauded included an intent to commit another crime, and to aid and conceal the commission thereof; is that true?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Under count 14 of the indictment, charging you, together with the corporate defendants, with the crime of falsifying business records in the first degree, it is alleged in the County of New York and elsewhere, on or about April 2, 2018 with the intent to defraud, made and caused the false entry in the business records of an enterprise, specifically the form $W$-2 wage and tax statement 2017, and your intent to defraud included an intent to commit another crime, and to aid and conceal the commission thereof; is that true? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Under count 15, charging you and the

| 1 | Trump Corporation, doing business as the Trump |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Organization, with the crime of falsifying business records |
| 3 | in the first degree, it is alleged that you, in the County |
| 4 | of New York and elsewhere, on or about September 2016 with |
| 5 | the intent to defraud, altered, erased, obliterated, |
| 6 | deleted, removed, and destroyed a true entry in the |
| 7 | business records of an enterprise, specifically Donald J. |
| 8 | Trump's detailed general ledger, and your intentions to |
| 9 | defraud included an intent to commit another crime and to |
| 10 | aid and conceal the commission thereof; is that true? |
| 11 | THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Mr. Weisselberg, you must understand |
| 13 | in pleading guilty, you are going to waive and forfeit |
| 14 | certain rights. |
| 15 | By pleading guilty, you waive any right you may |
| 16 | have to a hearing to suppress evidence; do you understand? |
| 17 | THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. |
| 18 | THE COURT: For example, you know that I have |
| 19 | already ordered a hearing to determine whether certain |
| 20 | statements allegedly made by you should be suppressed. |
| 21 | By pleading guilty, you're giving up your right to |
| 22 | that hearing; do you understand? |
| 23 | THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor. |
| 24 | THE COURT: You also know I had not yet ruled on |
| 25 | your motion for a Kastigar hearing. |

By pleading guilty, you are give up your right to that hearing; do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Have you discussed that with your attorneys?

THE DEFENDANT: We have.
THE COURT: By pleading guilty, you waive the right to a trial by jury; do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: At a trial by jury, you are presumed to be innocent and you are entitled to the following rights: You have the right to be represented by your lawyers.

You have the right to confront and cross examine witnesses presented by the Government.

You have the right to remain silent and not incriminate yourself.

You have the right, but are not required, to call witnesses and to testify yourself.

And you have the right to require the Government to prove your guilt beyond a reasonable doubt to a jury of 12 people who must be unanimous in finding that you are guilty; do you understand each of those rights?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Do you understand by pleading guilty
you're giving up each and every one of those rights?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Do you understand by pleading guilty you're giving up any defense you may have to these charges?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Do you understand a plea of guilty is the same as a verdict of guilty by a jury after trial? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, a defendant ordinarily retains the right to appeal even after pleading guilty.

Thus, a waiver of the right to appeal is separate and distinct from the waiver of a trial and other rights by a plea of guilty.

In this case, however, as a condition of your plea agreement, you have been asked to waive your right to appeal.

An appeal is a proceeding before another court. On an appeal, a defendant may, normally through his lawyer, argue that an error took place in this Court which requires a reversal or modification of the conviction.

If a defendant cannot afford the cost of an appeal or a lawyer, the state would bear those costs.

A reversal would require new proceedings in this court or a dismissal; do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: By waiving your right to appeal, you do not give up your right to take an appeal by filing a notice of appeal with this Court and the District Attorney within 30 days of the sentence.

But, if you take an appeal, you are, by this waiver, giving up the right to have the appellate court consider most claims of errors; including a claimed error in the denial of your motions to suppress and to consider whether the sentence imposed is excessive and should be modified.

As a result, the conviction by this plea and sentence will normally be final; do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Among the limited number of claims that will survive the waiver of the right to appeal, are the voluntariness of this plea, the validity and voluntariness of the waiver, the legality of the sentence, and the jurisdiction this Court.

Have you spoken to your lawyers about waiving your right to appeal?

THE DEFENDANT: I have, your Honor.
THE COURT: Are you willing to waive your right to appeal in this case in exchange for the negotiated disposition?

| 1 | THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | THE COURT: You waive your right to appeal |
| 3 | voluntarily of your own free will? |
| 4 | THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Counsel, have you reviewed the |
| 6 | written waiver of appeal with your client? |
| 7 | MS. MULLIGAN: Yes, your Honor, we have. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Are you prepared to sign it? |
| 9 | MS. MULLIGAN: Yes, your Honor. |
| 10 | THE COURT: Please go ahead. |
| 11 | Let the record reflect the waiver of appeal has |
| 12 | being signed in open court by Mr. Weisselberg and counsel. |
| 13 | We will mark that as Court Exhibit Number Two. |
| 14 | Let the record reflect the court is now signing all three |
| 15 | copies. |
| 16 | Again, this will be marked as Court Exhibit Number |
| 17 | Two. |
| 18 | Mr. Weisselberg, you have previously heard |
| 19 | Mr. Steinglass state the negotiated terms of this |
| 20 | disposition, right? |
| 21 | THE DEFENDANT: I did. |
| 22 | THE COURT: I will now go over them with you one |
| 23 | more time to insure we both have the same understanding of |
| 24 | the agreement. |
| 25 | First, you have agreed to plead guilty to the |

entire indictment, which is what we are doing right now.
You have agreed to fully allocute under oath which is what we are doing right now.

You have agreed to testify truthfully at the trial of the Trump Organization.

You have agreed to make full repayment of taxes due, interest, and penalties to New York City and New York State to be paid pursuant to the signed agreement in the amount of one million, 994 thousand, 321 dollars; is that right?

THE DEFENDANT: That is correct.
THE COURT: Is there a signed agreement?
MR. STEINGLASS: Yes Judge. The agreement that was executed last night by the defendant and counsel, still needs to be signed by the Department of Taxation and Finance.

We will submit this as a Court Exhibit, and when we get the signed copy back from the Department of Taxation and Finance, we will send it to the Court.

THE COURT: Is that acceptable?
MR. GRAVANTE: It is, your Honor.
THE COURT: We will mark that as Courts's Three. You have agreed to withdraw all of your motions, including your motion to dismiss on the Kastigar, or in the alternative for a hearing, and you have agreed to a waiver

| 1 | of appeal. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | You have also agreed that your sentence will be |
| 3 | held in abeyance until the conclusion of the trial of the |
| 4 | Trump Organization. |
| 5 | As a condition of your plea of guilty, I have thus |
| 6 | far promised you a five month split sentence, meaning that |
| 7 | you will serve a sentence of five months in jail, and that |
| 8 | period of incarceration will be followed by five years of |
| 9 | probation. |
| 10 | Is that your understanding of the plea agreement |
| 11 | offered to you? |
| 12 | THE DEFENDANT: It is, your Honor. |
| 13 | THE COURT: Now, you should be aware a sentence of |
| 14 | probation is revocable. What that means is as part of the |
| 15 | sentence you will be required to adhere to certain |
| 16 | conditions. Those conditions will be set forth in a |
| 17 | written document and given to you at the time of sentence. |
| 18 | If you violate one or more of those conditions, |
| 19 | the sentence may be revoked, that is set aside, and you may |
| 20 | be resentenced. |
| 21 | That resentence may include a period of |
| 22 | incarceration; do you understand? |
| 23 | THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Some of the conditions of probation |
| 25 | will include, among other things, that you be subject to |

supervision by a probation officer. That you report to probation on the dates and times you are required. That you not engage in any criminal conduct or get rearrested.

However, you will not be required to report to the Department of Probation until you are sentenced; do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: I must also advise you that under our law, a Court sentence commitment is conditional. What that means is that between now and the time of sentence, $I$ will be provided with a pre-sentence report and additional information about you and this case.

If after reviewing that material and after having found you have fulfilled the conditions of the plea I'm prepared to impose the promised sentence, I will do so.

If you have fulfilled the conditions of the plea, but for some other reason $I$ cannot in good conscience impose the promised sentence, I will tell you that and I will permit you to withdraw your plea of guilty and go to trial.

However, if you violate a condition of this plea agreement, I will not permit you to withdraw your plea and I will be at liberty to impose any lawful sentence, which in this case would include a period of imprisonment up to five to 15 years.

For example, if you fail to testify truthfully at the upcoming trial of the Trump Organization, or if you fail to fully repay the taxes due, penalties and interest to New York City and New York State pursuant to the signed consent agreement by the date specified in the consent agreement, those will be considered violations of the plea agreement.

I would then not be bound by my sentence promise, I would not permit you to withdraw your plea of guilty, and I would be at liberty to impose any lawful sentence, which again in your case, would include imprisonment up to five to 15 years; do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.
THE COURT: Sir, I'm required by law to inform you if you are not a citizen of the United States, your plea of guilty will subject you to deportation, exclusion from the United States, and denial of naturalization.

I'm also required by law to inform you that a conviction of a felony will result in your loss of the right to vote while you are serving the felony sentence in a correctional facility, and the right to vote will be restored upon your release; do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Now, where do we stand on discovery?
MR. STEINGLASS: I believe, although it is not a
condition of the plea, I believe that there will be a waiver by the defense of any further discovery.

MR. GRAVANTE: That is correct, your Honor.
THE COURT: Do you acknowledge that waiver was not a condition of this plea?

MR. GRAVANTE: Yes.
THE COURT: Mr. Weisselberg, other than the plea and sentence agreement which has been placed on the record, has anyone made any other commitment, promise, or representation to you of any kind to get you to plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you, or forced you, or pressured you to plead guilty against your will?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: Have I or your lawyer said anything
to you to have you plead guilty against your will?
THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: Has anyone else said anything to you to have you plead guilty against your will?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: Are you therefore pleading guilty voluntarily of your own free will and choice?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Finally, do you understand if you are
ever again convicted of another crime in the future, this conviction may be used against you to impose additional or different punishment for that new crime; do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: The Court finds the defendant's
allocution is knowing, intelligent, and voluntary.
Is the allocution acceptable to the People?
MR. STEINGLASS: Yes it is, your Honor.
THE COURT: To defense?
MR. GRAVANTE: It is, your Honor.
THE COURT: Take the plea.
THE CLERK: Allen Weisselberg, do you now
withdraw your previously entered plea of not guilty, and now plead guilty to count one, scheme to defraud in the first degree, Penal Law Section 19065 sub one sub B.

Count two, conspiracy in the fourth degree, Penal Law section 10510 subsection one.

Count three, grand larceny in the second degree, Penal Law Section 15540 subsection one.

Count four, five, and six, criminal tax fraud in the third degree, Tax Law Section 1804.

Count seven, criminal tax fraud in the fourth degree, Tax Law Section 1803.

Count eight, nine, 10, and 11, offering a false



