ORIGINAL

1	Robert W. Thompson, Esq. (SBN: 250038)	
2	Kristen A. Vierhaus, Esq. (SBN: 322778) THOMPSON LAW OFFICES, P.C.	
3	700 Airport Boulevard, Suite 160 Burlingame, CA 94010 Tel: (650) 513-6111 / Fax: (650) 513-6071	FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles
4		• •
5	Brian D. Kent, Esq. (Pro Hac Vice Admission Pend Gaetano D'Andrea, Esq. (Pro Hac Vice Admission M. Stauret Prog. Chec. Use Vice Admission P	Pending)
6	M. Stewart Ryan, Esq. (Pro Hac Vice Admission Po Helen L. Fitzpatrick, Esq. (Pro Hac Vice Admission	n Pending) by
7	Lauren Stram, Esq. (Pro Hac Vice Admission Pend LAFFEY, BUCCI & KENT, LLP	ing) Isaac Lova
8	1435 Walnut Street, Suite 700 Philadelphia, PA 19102	
9	Tel: (215) 399-9255 / Fax: (215) 241-8700	
10	SEE ATTACHMENT A FOR ADDITIONAL ATTORNEYS REPRESENTING PLAINTIFFS	
11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
12	SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - U	
14	CHRISSIE CARNELL BIXLER; CEDRIC	Case No.: 19STCV29458
15	BIXLER-ZAVALA; JANE DOE #1; MARIE BOBETTE RIALES; and JANE DOE #2,	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES
16	Plaintiffs,	1. STALKING IN VIOLATION OF CAL.
17	v.	CIV. CODE § 1708.7 2. PHYSICAL INVASION OF PRIVACY
18	CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY	IN VIOLATION OF CAL. CIV. CODE
19	INTERNATIONAL; RELIGIOUS TECHNOLOGY CENTER; CHURCH OF	§ 1708.8 3. CONSTRUCTIVE INVASION OF
20	SCIENTOLOGY CELEBRITY CENTRE INTERNATIONAL; DAVID MISCAVIGE;	PRIVACY IN VIOLATION OF CAL. CIV. CODE § 1708.8
21	DANIEL MASTERSON; and DOES 1 – 25,	4. INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF
22	Defendants.	EMOTIONAL DISTRESS 5. LOSS OF CONSORTIUM
23		5. LOSS OF CONSORTIUM <u>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</u>
24	·	
25		
	COMPLAINT	FOR DAMAGES
26	Plaintiffs, Chrissie Carnell Bixler, Cedric F	Bixler-Zavala, Jane Doe #1, Bobette Riales, and
27	Jane Doe #2, submit their complaint against Defen	dants, The Church of Scientology International;
28		
	1	

PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

1		
1	Religious Technology Center; Church of Scientology Celebrity Centre International; David	
2	Miscavige; Daniel Masterson; and DOES $1 - 25$, and allege as follows:	
3	INTRODUCTION	
4	1. This case is brought against the Defendant Organizations of Scientology, its leader,	
5	David Miscavige, and one its staff members, Daniel Masterson, for the Defendants' conspiracy to	
6	cover up that Daniel Masterson sexually assaulted four young women. When those women came	
7	forward to report Masterson's crimes, the Defendants conspired to and systematically stalked,	
8 9	harassed, invaded their and their family's privacy, and intentionally caused them emotional	
10	distress to silence and intimidate them.	
11	PARTIES	
12	2. Plaintiff, Chrissie Carnell Bixler, is an adult female who works and resides in the	
13	State of California.	
14	3. Plaintiff, Cedric Bixler-Zavala, is an adult male who works and resides in the State	
15	of California and at all times material is and was the lawful husband of Plaintiff Chrissie Carnell	
16 17	Bixler.	
17	4. Plaintiff, Jane Doe #1, is an adult female whose name and address are not	
19	contained in this Complaint so as to protect her privacy and identity as she incurred injuries and	
20	damages of a sensitive nature as a result of the intentional acts of Defendants outlined below.	
21	Information which could identify Jane Doe #1 is not contained herein. Plaintiff may be contacted	- M
22	through her counsel as outlined herein. There exists good cause for Plaintiff to use a pseudonym	
23	due to the harmful effect of the public disclosure of her identity and the harm inflicted by the	
24	Defendants to Jane Doe #1. Plaintiff's undersigned counsel will provide the identity of Plaintiff to	
25 26	all Defendants. As such, Defendants suffer no prejudice as a result of concealing her identity in	
27	the Complaint and Verifications.	
28		
	2	

5. Plaintiff, Marie Bobette Riales, is an adult female who lives and works in the State
 of Indiana.

Plaintiff, Jane Doe #2, is an adult female whose name and address are not 6. 3 contained in this Complaint so as to protect her privacy and identity as she incurred injuries and 4 5 damages of a sensitive nature as a result of the intentional acts of Defendants outlined below. 6 Information which could identify Jane Doe #2 is not contained herein. Plaintiff may be contacted 7 through her counsel as outlined herein. There exists good cause for Plaintiff to use a pseudonym 8 due to the harmful effect of the public disclosure of her identity and the harm inflicted by the 9 Defendants to Jane Doe #2. Plaintiff's undersigned counsel will provide the identity of Plaintiff to 10 all Defendants. As such, Defendants suffer no prejudice as a result of concealing her identity in 11 12 the Complaint and Verifications.

7. Defendant, Church of Scientology International ("CSI"), is a California
Corporation which, at all material times, was doing business in the County of Los Angeles, State
of California. CSI's primary place of business and headquarters is located at 6331 Hollywood
Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90028.

8. Defendant, Religious Technology Center ("RTC"), is a California Corporation
 which, at all material times, was doing business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
 RTC's primary place of business and headquarters is located at 1710 Ivar Avenue, Suite 1100, Los
 Angeles, California 90028.

08/22/201

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

9. Defendant, Church of Scientology Celebrity Centre International ("CCI"), is a California Corporation which, at all material times, was doing business in the County of Los

Angeles, State of California. CCI's primary place of business and headquarters is located at 5930 Franklin Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90028.1 2

Defendant, David Miscavige, is and at all material times was a resident of Los 10. 3 Angeles, California. Mr. Miscavige is the Chairman of the Board of the RTC, and the de facto 4 5 leader of all aspects of RTC, CSI, CCI, and any related Scientology institution/organization, 6 including, but not limited to, the Sea Organization and the Office of Special Affairs. Mr. 7 Miscavige is believed to reside at 6331 Hollywood Boulevard, Suite 1100, Los Angeles, 8 California 90028. 9

Defendant, Daniel Masterson, is and at all relevant times was believed to be a 11. 10 resident of Los Angeles, California. Mr. Masterson is believed to reside at 2151 Hollyridge Drive, 11 12 Los Angeles, California 90068.

13 At all relevant times, the Institutional Defendants, Mr. Miscavige, and Mr. 12. 14 Masterson acted through their/his employees, members, servants, and respective agents. At all 15 times relevant hereto, Defendants Does 1 through 25, inclusive, were the agents, servants, 16 employees, representatives, contractors, and/or subcontractors of the Institutional Defendants, Mr. 17 Miscavige, and/or Mr. Masterson and in doing the things herein alleged, were acting within the 18 course and scope and purpose of their authority as such agents, servants, employees, 19 representatives, contractors, and/or subcontractors, and with the permission and consent of their 20 21 employer and the Institutional Defendants, Mr. Miscavige, and/or Mr. Masterson. The true names 22 and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, of Defendants DOES 1 23 through 25, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiffs, who therefore sues these Defendants by said 24 fictitious names. 25

26 111

27

08/22/201

6.17

1

¹ Where appropriate, Defendants CSI, RTC, and CCI are hereinafter referred to collectively as the 28 "Institutional Defendants."

1	JURISDICTION AND VENUE
2	13. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Code of Civil
3	Procedure § 410.10. Plaintiffs seek damages under the statutory and common law of the State of
4	California for Defendants' wrongful actions.
5	14. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 395
6	because (a) some of the acts and transactions described herein occurred within this county; (b)
7 8	Defendants are or were registered to do business in the State of California and/or were doing
9	business within this county; (c) because Defendants did do business in this county by operating
10	and/or exercising complete control over the operations of the Institutional Defendants; and (d)
11	because Defendants Miscavige and Masterson are individuals residing in this county.
12	INTRODUCTION
13	15. This case is brought against the Defendant Organizations of Scientology, its leader,
14	David Miscavige, and one its staff members, Daniel Masterson, for the Defendants' conspiracy to
15 16	cover up that Daniel Masterson sexually assaulted four young women. When those women came
17	forward to report Masterson's crimes, the Defendants conspired to and systematically stalked,
18	harassed, invaded their and their family's privacy, and intentionally caused them emotional
19	distress to silence and intimidate them.
20	FACTUAL HISTORY
21	(Background and Organizational Structure of Scientology)
22	16. Defendants, RTC and CSI, along with a network of Scientology organizations that
23 24	sit underneath RTC and CSI, including the CCI, make up what is informally known to the public
24	as "The Church of Scientology" or "Scientology." "Scientology" was created by L. Ron Hubbard
26	in 1952 following the publication of "Dianetics: The Modern Science of Mental Health." Its
27	practices are mandated by the writings, thoughts, and teachings of Mr. Hubbard.
28	
	5 PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

1	17. For those following Scientology, the writings of L. Ron Hubbard must be strictly		
2	practiced and followed in a fundamentalist, orthodox manner with strict adherence to the policies,		
3	procedures, and practices as written and dictated by L. Ron Hubbard.		
4	18. After L. Ron Hubbard passed away in 1986, Defendant David Miscavige assumed		
5	control of Scientology and is known as "Chairman of the Board" or "COB." Defendant Miscavige		
6	operates, manages, and/or controls RTC, CSI, and the entire network of Scientology organizations		
7	that fall beneath RTC and CSI, including the CCI.		
8 9	19. The senior hierarchy of Scientology organizations, including, but not limited to the		
10	RTC, CSI, and CCI are staffed and run by an organization known as the Sea Organization ("Sea		
11	Org").		
12	20. The Sea Org is a paramilitary organization wherein each Sea Org member holds a		
13	naval rank from Swamper (initiate) to Commodore (the title held by Scientology founder L. Ron.		
14	Hubbard during his life). The Sea Org is comprised of Scientology's most dedicated members.		
15	21. Defendant Miscavige controls, directs, and supervises the Sea Org and its		
16 17	members.		
18	(The Practice of Auditing)		
19	22. A Scientologist's salvation is premised on completing the "Bridge to Total		
20	Freedom," which requires reading Mr. Hubbard's extensive materials and completing a series of		
21	courses and "auditing sessions." These courses and auditing sessions are the only way to achieve		
22	the coveted status of "Clear" and, beyond that, "Operating Thetan."	~	
23	23. During an "auditing session," a member meets with an "auditor," who is generally		
24 25	a higher-ranking Scientologist and often a Sea Org member. The "auditor" has the member hold		
26	two metal rods commonly referred to as "cans" of an "electropsychometer" or "e-meter." An e-		
27	meter, is designed to "measure[] the mental state or change of state of a person and thus is of		
28			
	6 PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES		

1	benefit to the auditor [to] locate areas to be handled." ² As Hubbard said and Defendants		
2	maintain, "an e-meter is better known as a 'lie detector' and is used to ascertain truth of		
3	background and conduct." ³		
4	24. Members are audited repeatedly. Auditing sessions may occur daily and involve		
5	several hours of being forced to reveal explicit, personal details regarding daily thoughts and		
6 7	activities, including sexual habits, crimes committed (including violent crimes, such as rape),		
8	sexual fantasies, adultery, etc.		
9	25. During these sessions, the auditor takes copious notes on what the member reports,		
10	including information relating to crimes they have committed or that have been committed against		
11	them. These notes are then placed in a folder and maintained by Scientology permanently.		
12	(Reporting Crime is Forbidden)		
13	26. Whether discovered during auditing or otherwise, Defendants forbid members from		
14	contacting police to report a crime committed by any member. The Institutional Defendants		
15 16	instruct their members and agents that reporting such instances to law enforcement is considered a		
17	"high crime" and subjects the member to punishment.		
18	27. The Defendants instruct their members and agents that:		
19	Police and courts offer an open-armed opportunity to the vicious and		
20	corrupt to establish themselves in a position of safety while satisfying their strange appetites of perverted viciousness toward their fellow man.		
21	Justice systems thereby become a sort of cancer which erode every splendid ambition and achievement of the decent citizen "Justice" apparently cannot be trusted in the hands of Man Who is Public Enemy #1 today?		
22	The FBI! Its obvious target is every opinion leader and public-spirited group in America! ⁴		
23	Bronk minimum		
24			
25	² CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INT'L, http://www.whatisscientology.org/html/Part14/Chp50/pg1020-		
26 27	a.html (last visited Aug. 12, 2019). ³ Hubbard Commc'ns Office Bulletin from L. Ron Hubbard, Scientology Founder, regarding Security Check[s] (Feb. 3, 1960).		
28	⁵ L. Ron Hubbard, INTRODUCTION TO SCIENTOLOGY ETHICS (1972).		
	PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES		

The Institutional Defendants instruct their members and agents that the only answer 28. 1 to a crime being committed by its members, including rape or other sexual abuse, is found in the 2 practices directed by the Defendants, not law enforcement or the court system. Victims (and all 3 others) are expressly prohibited from contacting law enforcement. 4 5 To prevent members from contacting authorities when any crime is revealed, 29. 6 witnessed, or suspected, the Institutional Defendants and Defendant Miscavige require the phones 7 within certain facilities to be incapable of dialing 911. 8 (The Defendants Punish Those Who Report Crimes) 9 Defendants do not treat victims of sexual abuse as victims at all. Instead, one who 30. 10 suffers sexual abuse (whether an adult or a child) is assumed to have done something to incite or 11 12 invite such abuse. Defendants claim sexual abuse victims "pull in" the abuse they have suffered. 13 Historically, when a member has complained of suffering sexual abuse, this 31. 14 disclosure has resulted in the member being forced to confront or apologize to his or her abuser 15 and to undergo a program to identify what "pulled in" the abuse. 16 There is a department within CSI known as the Office of Special Affairs ("OSA"). 32. 17 OSA is the legal, public relations, and intelligence network of CSI. One or more network 18 representatives from OSA are employed at every Scientology organization across the world. 19 20 OSA and its operations were and are directed by Defendant Miscavige. 33. 21 The Defendants, through OSA, utilize what Scientology calls "Fair Game" tactics 34. 22 to attack, harass, embarrass, humiliate, destroy, and/or injure individuals who Defendants declare 23 to be a "suppressive person" or "SP." 24 Defendants declare anyone who flees or speaks out against Scientology a 35. 25 suppressive person and direct members and agents to subject them to such Fair Gaming as 26 27 described more fully below. 28 8 PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

(The Concept of "Fair Game")

36. Per Defendants' directives, anyone who flees Scientology or is otherwise deemed
an SP, must be silenced by whatever means necessary. Defendants instruct members to damage
the person's professional reputation, file frivolous lawsuits, and harass and surveil "the enemy."
The policy and practice of destroying these individuals is known within Scientology as the "Fair
Game Policy."

7 37. A person who is declared an enemy of Scientology is Fair Game for relentless and
8 cruel behavior. A person who is Fair Game "[m]ay be deprived of property or injured by any
10 means by any Scientologist without any discipline of the Scientologist. May be tricked, sued or
11 lied to or destroyed."⁵

38. Defendants instruct OSA and its agents to "haunt" declared enemies of Scientology
through concrete action, including surveillance and the use of private investigators. Moreover,
according to Hubbard's mandates, information must be disseminated that will damage the
individual's professional and private reputation regardless of the truth of the information
disseminated. Defendants' policies and procedures encourage and/or instruct followers to "ruin
[the individual] utterly."⁶

39. Moreover, under the Defendants' policy and practice, they must threaten that which
an enemy seeks to protect, or as Hubbard ordered, "discover what the person really is defending
and threaten it effectively."⁷ This includes friends and family of an enemy of Scientology.

⁵ Allard v. Church of Scientology, 58 Cal. App. 3d 439 n.1 (Ct. App. 1976).

⁶ L. Ron Hubbard, *A Manual on the Dissemination of Material*, THE MAGAZINE OF DIANETICS AND SCIENTOLOGY, Mar. 1955, at 157.

28 ⁷ Mem. regarding Counter Attack Tactics (Mar. 28, 1972).

PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

22

23

24

25

26

111

///

1	(Implementation of "Fair Game")	
2	40. Defendants implement Fair Game in a variety of different ways all with the single	
3	objective to destroy anyone whom they have deemed an enemy of Scientology with the ultimate	
4	goal to "shudder [them] into silence," ⁸ "obliterate [them]," ⁹ and "ruin [them] utterly." ¹⁰	
5	41. Historically, Defendants have hired private investigators to surveil, follow, video	
6	record, and photograph SPs. The Institutional Defendants have rented homes in close proximity to	
7 8	the perceived threat to place their "enemies" under around-the-clock surveillance.	
9	42. Subjects of Defendants' fair gaming activities have included The United States	
10	Government, United States Attorneys, Elected Officials, Judges, The Drug Enforcement	
11	Administration, The United States Coast Guard, The American Medical Association, The National	
12	Institute of Mental Health, as well as former members or other individuals.	
13	43. In 1979, multiple Scientologists, including L. Ron Hubbard's wife, Mary Sue	
14 15	Hubbard, were convicted of conspiring to infiltrate, wiretap, and steal United States government	
15	documents. The United States Attorney's Office's Sentencing Memorandum in that matter	
17	outlined Scientology's fair coming testics and conduct:	
18	Thus believed that they had carte blanche to violate the rights of others frame	
19	documents outlining the strategy of individuals and organizations that the Church had sued To these defendants and their associates, however, anyone who did	
20	not agree with them was considered to be an enemy against whom the so-called "fair game doctrine" could be invoked The crimes committed by these	
21	defendants is of a breadth and scope previously unheard of. No building, office, desk, or file was safe from their snooping and prying. No individual or organization was free from their despicable conspiratorial minds. The tools of their trade were	
22 23	miniature transmitters, lock picks, secret codes, forged credentials, and any other device they found necessary to carry out their conspiratorial schemes. ¹¹	
23 24		
25	 ⁸ Hubbard Commc'ns Office Manual of Justice by L. Ron Hubbard, Scientology Founder. ⁹ Hubbard Commc'ns Office Policy Letter from L. Ron Hubbard, Scientology Founder, regarding 	
26	Battle Tactics (Feb. 16, 1969). ¹⁰ Hubbard, <i>A Manual on the Dissemination of Material, supra</i> , at n.7.	
27	¹¹ Sentencing Mem. of the United States at 45–46, 69, United States of America v. Mary Sue Hubbard, et al., criminal case no. 78-401 (D.C. Cir. Dec. 3, 1979), https://archive.org/stream/USAV.MarySueHubbardEtAl/Sentencing%20memorandum_djvu.txt.	
28	10	
	PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES	

1	44. Despite the release of the Sentencing Memorandum, Scientology's use of		
2	extraordinary surveillance tactics and technology has not ceased. In 2012, two private		
3	investigators revealed they had been assigned to conduct various surveillance operations for the		
4	Institutional Defendants and Defendant Miscavige for the preceding twenty-five years. ¹²		
6	45. In 2013, a private investigator surveilling Defendant Miscavige's father (who had		
7	left Scientology) was arrested by law enforcement in Wisconsin. He was found with two rifles,		
8	four handguns, 2,000 rounds of ammunition, a homemade silencer, two laptop computers,		
9	binoculars, a GPS tracking device, and a stun gun. He revealed he had been following the elder		
10	Miscavige for eighteen months at the direction of Defendants CSI and Miscavige. His		
11	surveillance tactics included eavesdropping, taking the elder Miscavige's garbage, photographing		
12 13	him, and placing a magnetic GPS tracking device under his vehicle. ¹³		
14	46. In March 2014, another private investigator was arrested by the FBI when it was		
15	learned that he was illegally wiretapping phone calls and hacking into the email accounts of,		
16	among others, two individuals identified as enemies by the Institutional Defendants. ¹⁴		
17	47. Courts have repeatedly acknowledged the existence of the Defendants' fair-gaming		
18	policy and conduct. Scientology has a "history of seeking retribution against its perceived		
19 20	enemies." ¹⁵		
20			
22	¹² Thomas C. Tobin, <i>Private investigator's lawsuit against Church of Scientology comes to an end</i> , TAMPA BAY TIMES, Dec. 1, 2012, <u>https://www.tampabay.com/news/scientology/private-</u>		
23	investigators-lawsuit-against-church-of-scientology-comes-to-an-end/1264073. ¹³ Kim Christensen, <i>Exclusive: Scientology head's father was spied on, police report says</i> , LOS ANGELES TIMES, Apr. 8, 2015, https://www.latimes.com/local/california/la-me-scientology-		
24	private-eyes-20150409-story.html.		
25	8, 2015, <u>https://www.nytimes.com/2015/07/09/business/dealbook/hacker-feared-retaliation-from-</u> clients-court-documents-show.html.		
26 27	¹⁵ See also Wollersheim v. Church of Scientology, 212 Cal. App. 3d 872, 880, (Ct. App. 1989), cert. granted, judgment vacated sub nom. Church of Scientology of California v. Wollersheim, 499		
28	U.S. 914 (1991) ("Scientology is a hierarchical organization which exhibits near paranoid attitudes toward certain institutions and individuals—in particular, the government, mental health		
	11 PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES		

1	(Defendant Daniel Masterson and the Institutional Defendants' Special Treatment of Celebrities)		
2	48. Nearly since its inception, Scientology has had a special focus on "artists,		
3	politicians, leaders of industry, [and] sports figures." ¹⁶ This was because Scientology's founder, L.		
4 5	Ron Hubbard, saw these individuals, and celebrities in particular, as powerful tools to influence		
6	and recruit new members.		
7	49. Hubbard developed "Project Celebrity" to recruit celebrities to accept his nascent		
8	belief system and adopt his practices. ¹⁷ Hubbard encouraged Scientologists to target celebrities as		
9	"quarry" and recruit them to Scientology. ¹⁸ Hubbard published a list of celebrities and then		
10	promised any member who was able to "bring one [] home" a "small plaque as a reward." ¹⁹		
11	50. Those considered celebrities are given special status and granted their own		
12	"churches." These "Celebrity Centres" were established as organizations "specifically founded" to		
13	provide special Scientology services "to artists, athletes and leaders in the business world.		
14 15	51. Defendant Daniel Masterson was born into Scientology. He began Scientology		
16	"coursework" between the ages of eight and ten.		
17	52. Defendant Masterson rose to public prominence in 1998 when he appeared on the		
18			
19	53. Once he achieved this celebrity status, Masterson became highly regarded within		
20	the Church of Scientology and was granted special treatment. Masterson has also held prominent		
21			
22			
23	professions, disaffected members and others who criticize the organization or its leadership. Evidence also was introduced detailing Scientology's retribution policy, sometimes called "fair		
24 25	game."). ¹⁶ SCIENTOLOGY Celebrity Centre Int'l, https://www.scientology.cc/en_US/about/index.html (last		
25 26	visited Aug. 13, 2019). ¹⁷ Joel Sappell & Robert Welkos, <i>The Courting of Celebrities</i> , Los ANGELES TIMES, June 25, 1000, https://www.latimes.com/local/la-scientology/062590b-story.html		
20 27	1990, https://www.latimes.com/local/la-scientology062590b-story.html. ¹⁸ Id. ¹⁹ Id.		
28	²⁰ SCIENTOLOGY NEWSROOM, https://www.scientologynews.org/faq/what-are-celebrity- centres.html (last visited Aug. 13, 2019).		
	12 		

roles within Scientology, including being a Commissioner for the Citizens Commission on Human 1 Rights International, an organization founded in 1969 by the Church of Scientology "to investigate 2 and expose psychiatric violations of human rights." Masterson also has a history of promoting and 3 4 fundraising for Scientology.

5

54. The Institutional Defendants closely monitor and protect celebrity members.

6 To that end, the Institutional Defendants and Defendant Miscavige worked with 55. 7 Defendant Masterson to keep Masterson's sexual assault victims from reporting their abuse and 8 mobilized an aggressive Fair Game campaign against the victims once the sexual assaults had 9 been disclosed. 10

(Plaintiff Chrissie Bixler's Background with Scientology and Defendant Masterson) 12 Plaintiff Bixler was working in Los Angeles, California when she met Masterson at 56. 13 a party in 1996. They started dating, and the couple moved in together and lived in a house with 14 Masterson's three younger siblings.

15

11

Masterson was controlling in the relationship, and Plaintiff Bixler became involved 57. 16 in the Church of Scientology in 1997 per his orders. 17

Masterson regularly forced Plaintiff Bixler to have sex with him and became 58. 18 violent when Plaintiff Bixler refused. In one instance, after Plaintiff Bixler refused sex, Masterson 19 20 dragged Plaintiff Bixler naked across their bedroom floor while berating her appearance. He then 21 threw her, still naked, into the hall and locked the bedroom door.

22 Following this incident, Plaintiff Bixler was required by the Institutional 59. 23 Defendants to do an "ethics program" during which she told an employee of the Institutional 24 Defendants about Masterson's sexually coercive and abusive treatment of her. The employee 25 advised Plaintiff Bixler that her job as Masterson's girlfriend was "to give him sex whenever he 26 27 wants it" and that if she complied, "these things wouldn't happen."

28

In late 2001 to early 2002, Masterson's already violent treatment of Plaintiff Bixler 60. 1 escalated. Masterson committed multiple acts of sexual violence and assault against Plaintiff 2 Bixler. On more than one occasion Plaintiff Bixler awoke in bed to find Masterson sexually 3 4 assaulting her. In one specific instance, Masterson drugged Plaintiff Bixler's wine at dinner and 5 anally assaulted her. The following morning, Masterson admitted that he had anal sex with her 6 while she was unconscious.

61. The next day, Plaintiff Bixler went to Defendant CSI to report Masterson's actions 8 to head ethics officer, Miranda Pearson Scoggins. Mrs. Scoggins listened to Plaintiff Bixler's 9 account and told her that Plaintiff Bixler was not to refer to the incident as "rape." Mrs. Scoggins 10 assisted Plaintiff Bixler in writing a report about what happened, but instead of a standard incident 11 12report, Plaintiff Bixler was instructed to write a "Things That Shouldn't Be" Report. In summary, 13 Mrs. Scoggins told Plaintiff Bixler that she was not raped, because "you can't be raped by 14 someone you are in a consensual relationship with." Mrs. Scoggins went on to ask Plaintiff Bixler 15 what she did to cause the assault and ordered her to complete an ethics course. 16

Mrs. Scoggins then ordered Plaintiff Bixler to read several Hubbard ethics policies 62. 17 including "Ethics Protection" and a list of acts considered to be "High Crimes" within 18 Scientology, which if committed, would result in a person being declared an SP. These High 19 20 Crimes included reporting to the authorities the sexual assault Defendant Masterson had 21 committed.

Defendants deal with SPs. It was clear to Plaintiff Bixler that continuing to talk about the incident

Plaintiff Bixler was also ordered to read policies detailing how the Institutional

08/22/201

would result in Plaintiff Bixler being declared an SP and being subjected to the harsh treatment accorded enemies of Scientology. 26 27

63.

From January through February 2002, Plaintiff Bixler was made to do continuous 64. 1 ethics programs while the Institutional Defendants imposed no negative consequences on 2 Masterson despite his criminal actions. Masterson told Plaintiff Bixler that Scientology justified 3 his treatment of her. He explained he did not have to attend ethics programs because he was 4 5 flourishing and prospering in life. (Defendants largely equate "goodness" with individual 6 success.)

7 In mid-February 2002, Plaintiff Bixler broke up with Masterson and moved out of 65. 8 his home. Afterwards, she was ordered to meet with Chris Scoggins, an employee of the 9 Institutional Defendants, and Masterson. 10

When she arrived at Mr. Scoggins's office, there was a document prepared for her 11 66. to sign stating that she would never speak publicly about her relationship with Masterson or sue 12 13 him for any reason.

14

Plaintiff Bixler was not permitted to read the document nor was she given a copy of 67. 15 it. Plaintiff Bixler was told she owed her life to Masterson because he saved her by bringing her 16 into Scientology and she had to sign the document then and there. Mr. Scoggins remarked to 17 Masterson in Plaintiff Bixler's presence: "She will sign it. She will like being a Scientologist more 18 19 than she will like the alternative."

Plaintiff Bixler signed the document because she feared that if she did not 20 68. 21 cooperate, she would be labeled a "suppressive person" or "SP," be subjected to fair game, and 22 lose her friends and family.

23

24

27

28

(Defendants' Fair Gaming Campaign Against the Bixler Plaintiffs)

In the following years, Plaintiff Bixler did not disclose the sexual abuse and assault 69. 25 she suffered from Defendant Masterson. 26

08/22/201

But in early 2016, Plaintiff Bixler was contacted by D.P., a former Scientologist 70. 1 and friend of Masterson's, who asked if Masterson had raped Plaintiff Bixler. When Plaintiff 2 Bixler confirmed that she had been sexually assaulted by Defendant Masterson, D.P. informed her 3 that he knew of another woman, Plaintiff Jane Doe #1, who was sexually assaulted by Masterson. 4 5 D.P. told Plaintiff Bixler he was present at Masterson's house and witnessed part of 71. 6 Defendant Masterson's assault of Plaintiff Jane Doe #1. Within days of witnessing the assault, 7 D.P. had been called to report to a Defendant CCI facility and told by Institutional Defendants' 8 employee, representative, and agent Miranda Scoggins that Plaintiff Jane Doe #1 was being 9 "handled." 10 D.P. would later be harassed by the Institutional Defendants and others acting at the 72. 11 direction of Defendants when he cooperated with law enforcement in support of Plaintiffs. 12 13 The day after learning another woman had also suffered from being sexually 73. 14 assaulted by Defendant Masterson, Plaintiff Bixler had a panic attack and was hospitalized. 15 Plaintiff Bixler has suffered from panic attacks since that time. 16 Shortly after receiving D.P.'s phone call, Plaintiff Bixler was contacted by Jenny 74. 17 Butler, the Vice President of Defendant CCI. Butler asked Plaintiff Bixler if she was contacted by 18 D.P. Upon hearing that she had been so contacted, Butler told Plaintiff Bixler not to speak to D.P. 19 20 again as he was a "suppressive person." 21 In September of 2016, Plaintiff Bixler emailed Ethics Officer Ellery Travers of 75. 22 Defendant CCI to inform her of what Masterson had done to Plaintiff Bixler and to inquire how 23 CSI had handled her 2002 report of sexual assault. Travers responded by saying Masterson's 24 folders were not at CCI but said she would look into it. Travers then failed to return any of 25 Plaintiff Bixler's phone calls, texts, or emails. 26 27 28 16

PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

76. Plaintiff Bixler sought Plaintiff Jane Doe #1's contact information and in October
 of 2016, they were able to speak. They discussed the abuse they had suffered from Defendant
 Masterson and the Institutional Defendants.

- 4 77. In the following days, Plaintiff Bixler sent letters to Defendant Masterson, Brie
 5 Shaffer (his personal assistant), Travers, Butler, and Susan Watson (President of Defendant CCI),
 6 formally terminating her relationship with Scientology and informing them that she was aware of
 7 the roles they played in her abuse and the subsequent efforts to silence her.
- 9 78. Plaintiff Bixler never heard back from any of those individuals, but after sending
 10 the letters, Plaintiff Bixler's friends and associates who were Scientologists completely
 11 disconnected from her and blocked her on social media.
- 12 79. After sending the letters, Plaintiff Bixler was contacted by Masterson's publicist,
 13 Jenni Weinman. Plaintiff Bixler refused many of her calls but agreed to speak after Weinman said
 14 she was contacting Plaintiff Bixler because "it's about to get bad for you and your family."
 15 Weinman forwarded a letter from Masterson to Plaintiff Bixler. The letter contained threatening,
 16 abusive, and harassing language.
- 80. Weinman also stated to Plaintiff Bixler that what she was claiming happened
 between her and Masterson could not constitute rape because she and Masterson were in a
 relationship at the time.
- 81. These statements were nearly identical to those Plaintiff Bixler had been told years
 ago by the Institutional Defendants, and Weinman was later recorded making them again about
 Plaintiff Bixler

82. In December of 2016, Plaintiff Bixler reported the sexual assault against her by
Masterson to police, and the Los Angeles Police Department opened an investigation. The
investigation is still ongoing.

The Institutional Defendants then declared Plaintiff Bixler an SP and conducted 83. 1 harassment, surveillance, and abuse of the Bixler Plaintiffs pursuant to the fair gaming policy. 2 Upon information and belief, all the following-described acts of harassment, 84. 3 4 surveillance, and/or stalking were carried out by or at the direction of Defendants' employees, 5 agents, and/or representatives. 6 Almost weekly, the Bixler Plaintiffs awoke to find their car doors and trunk 85. 7 opened. 8 Throughout 2017, on a persistent and regular basis, the Bixler Plaintiffs observed 86. 9 Defendants' agents loitering outside their home and looking into their windows. The Bixler 10 11 Plaintiffs observed vans parking outside their home and filming their home and family. 12 As a result, the Bixler Plaintiffs invested in a home security system and installed 87. 13 cameras. During the installation, the technician verbally supplied the Bixler Plaintiffs with the 14 password for the system. Within five minutes, the security system was hacked, and the password 15 was changed. The installation technician, who was still present and observed the unsolicited 16 change in password, had no explanation for how this could occur. 17 Over the course of four months, Defendants hacked and disabled the Bixler 88. 18 19 Plaintiffs' home security system five times. 20 In October of 2017, Plaintiff Bixler was driving on the street where her home was 89. 21 located when she was chased by two of Defendants' agents in a vehicle who were filming her. 22 Plaintiff Bixler was so frightened that she drove to the local police department. Plaintiff Bixler 23 reported this incident and the hacking attempts to law enforcement and was advised not to return 24 home for the night. 25 When Plaintiff Bixler returned to her home, she noticed that a pile of important 90. 26 27 mail was missing from the table she had left it on. 28 18

PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

The following day, the Bixler Plaintiffs' family dog died inexplicably. They 91. 1 requested an autopsy and learned that the dog died of unexplained traumatic injuries to her trachea 2 and esophagus. 3 In November of 2017, Defendants' agent followed Plaintiff Bixler into a nail salon 4 92. 5 and harassed and photographed her. 6 In November of 2017, Defendants hacked Plaintiff Bixler's email account and 93. 7 attempted to delete it. 8 In January of 2018, the Bixler Plaintiffs and their family moved back to Los 94. 9 Angeles, California. Three days after moving into their new residence, they awoke to find all their 10 car doors open. 11 In June of 2018, Defendants hacked into Plaintiff Bixler's Instagram account and 12 95. 13 attempted to change the password. 14 In August of 2018, Plaintiff Bixler saw a man in a white truck parked outside of her 96. 15 home for several hours. During this time, Plaintiff Bixler was experiencing technical issues with 16 her cell phone. Plaintiff Bixler approached the man and observed a computer, cell phone, and 17 other device set up inside his truck. The man and Plaintiff Bixler got into a verbal altercation, and 18 the man ultimately spat on Plaintiff Bixler. Plaintiff Bixler called the police and the man was later 19 20 identified as Steve Miller, an agent of Defendants. 21 Steve Miller and his vehicle were later identified by Plaintiff Jane Doe #1 and D.P. 97. 22 as the same person who previously surveilled them in front of their homes. He was also identified 23 by individuals who had seen Miller passing out Scientology pamphlets on Hollywood Boulevard 24 in Los Angeles, California. 25 In August of 2018, Defendants' agents Heather Seidler and Virginia Macgregor 98. 26 published posts to their Facebook accounts inciting fellow Scientologists to assist in harassing 27 28 19

Plaintiff Bixler. In one post, Macgregor stated that Plaintiff Bixler was "reminiscing about all the anal sex [Plaintiff Bixler] obviously miss[es]."

99. In and around September of 2018, Defendants' agent Kathy Gold started publicly
threatening Plaintiff Bixler, the other Plaintiffs, and their relatives. Gold threatened to commit
serious and violent crimes against Plaintiffs including murder. Gold accused Plaintiffs of being
religious bigots and liars. Gold threatened to report Plaintiff Bixler to Child Services to have the
Bixler Plaintiffs' then five-year-old twins taken away from then and further threatened that the
children would be drugged and raped.

10 100. Also around this time, Defendants' agents broke the lock to the Bixler Plaintiffs'11 front door.

12 101. Since Plaintiff Bixler's report to law enforcement in December 2016, the Bixler
Plaintiffs have repeatedly been the subject of credit card fraud. The Bixler Plaintiffs also have
been subjected to fraudulent credit schemes that have resulted in creditors and collectors harassing
them for payment on items and services that they did not order or receive.

102. Plaintiff Bixler has been targeted for harassment via social media, including by
anonymous and/or unidentified individuals that utilized information that could be gathered only
from the Institutional Defendants' auditing files.

20 103. Plaintiff Bixler has been the victim of fake ads posted by Defendants on Craig's
 21 List which purport to be her soliciting anal sex from strangers. She has subsequently received
 22 contact from men responding to the fake ads.

104. In April of 2019, Plaintiff Bixler and Plaintiff Jane Doe #1 attended Denim Day, a
sexual violence prevention event. When they arrived, they were immediately confronted by
Defendants' agents who harassed and filmed them. Scientologist Taryn Rinder confronted both
Plaintiff Bixler and Plaintiff Jane Doe #1.

28

23

08-22+

1

2

In May of 2019, Plaintiff Bixler received messages from a person she did not know 105. 1 who asked Plaintiff Bixler to meet him at 6331 Hollywood Boulevard, which is the headquarters 2 of Defendant CSI, the purported residence of Miscavige, and a location from which both 3 4 Defendant RTC and OSA operate. 5 In June of 2019, an agent of Defendants and friend of Defendant Masterson's 106. 6 contacted Plaintiff Bixler-Zavala and threatened to leak nude photos of an underage Plaintiff 7 Bixler. 8 Also in June 2019, Plaintiff Bixler was run off the road by a vehicle that was 107. 9 following her. 10 Defendants fraudulently registered Plaintiff Bixler's vehicle so that it appeared 11 108. 12 stolen. 13 In June of 2019, the Bixler Plaintiffs and their family moved to a new home as a 109. 14 result of this constant surveillance and harassment. They specifically selected this residence as it is 15 private and surrounded by government owned property. No other homes were visible from inside 16 the Bixler Plaintiffs' home at the time they moved in. After moving in, Plaintiff Chrissie Bixler 17 observed two men on an adjacent property cutting down a large tree branch. Once this tree branch 18 was removed, the inside of the home was visible to another property that was previously blocked 19 20 by the branch. 21 In July of 2019, a different tree on the Bixler Plaintiffs' property was poisoned and 110. 22 had to be removed. Once removed, the Bixler Plaintiffs' property was further visible to adjacent 23 properties. 24 Also in July of 2019, the Bixler Plaintiffs observed a man loitering around their 111. 25 property and looking into windows for over two hours. Upon seeing the man outside, Plaintiff 26 Bixler-Zavala stated "he's OSA for sure." Seemingly able to hear him, the man looked up 27 28 21

08/22/201

immediately and smiled at the Bixler Plaintiffs. The man left, only to return several hours later. When the Bixler Plaintiffs saw him the second time, he smiled and waved.

3 112. The Bixler Plaintiffs' trash has been regularly stolen for approximately the last4 three years.

5 Defendants have used numerous technological forms of harassment against the 113. 6 Bixler Plaintiffs. Both have received repeated and harassing spam phone calls. Plaintiff Bixler has 7 received calls on her phone that appear to originate from her own phone number. The Bixler 8 Plaintiffs receive text alerts that are delayed by days, weeks, months, and even years. Plaintiff 9 Bixler has received emails originating from her own email account containing dangerous links she 10 did not send. The Bixler Plaintiffs were the victims of repeated email hacks that included the 11 destruction of important email messages contained in their accounts. Plaintiff Bixler's phone was 12 13 "ported" such that calls, text messages, and other information were routed to a device that does not 14 belong to her, and of which she is not in possession.

16 114. To this day, the Bixler Plaintiffs continues to be threatened, harassed, stalked, and surveilled.

18

15

1

2

(Plaintiff Jane Doe #1's Background with Scientology and Danny Masterson)

19 115. Plaintiff Jane Doe #1 was raised in Scientology by her parents and became a
20 member of the Sea Org at age seventeen.

21 116. Jane Doe #1 met Daniel Masterson in 1999-2000 as he was acquainted with Jane
22 Doe #1's father. Additionally, Jane Doe #1's close friend, Brie Shaffer was employed as
23 Masterson's personal assistant.

In 117. Jane Doe #1 would see Masterson often at social gatherings due to their mutualfriends, but they were not friends themselves.

28

27

At these social gatherings, Masterson would make sexual advances towards Jane
 Doe #1 then berate her when she refused him.

119. In September 2002, Jane Doe #1 was supposed to meet with a group that included
Shaffer, Defendant Masterson, and others. After she had arrived, Jane Doe #1 learned that Shaffer
was not going to attend. She did, however, encounter Masterson, who had already purchased her a
drink and encouraged her to consume it.

120. Immediately after Jane Doe #1 finished the first drink, Masterson ordered her a
second. As she began to consume the second drink, Jane Doe #1 felt the effects of the alcohol. The
effects Jane Doe #1 felt were greater than she expected after having consumed similar amounts of
alcohol in the past.

12 121. Jane Doe #1 was supposed to stay at Shaffer's house but due to her absence and
13 other factors, Shaffer arranged for Jane Doe #1 to stay in Masterson's guest room.

- 14 122. Jane Doe #1 was intoxicated and went to bed alone in the guest room. She awoke to
 15 Masterson having sex with her. Jane Doe #1 was intoxicated to the point that she could not have
 16 consented and in fact did not consent to this sexual contact. She was confused, disoriented, scared,
 18 and intoxicated to the point that she could not defend herself or resist.
- 19

23

123. Eventually, Jane Doe #1 was able to push Masterson off of her.

20 124. Jane Doe #1 did not want to have sex with Masterson and did not consent to any
21 sexual encounter, but she blamed herself for what occurred for having consumed alcohol that
22 night. Nonetheless, she tried to avoid being alone with Masterson.

125. On or about April 24-25, 2003, Jane Doe #1 was present for a gathering at
Masterson's home. There were multiple people present. Most were in Masterson's backyard
drinking and using a Jacuzzi tub.

28

27

Masterson made Jane Doe #1 a drink and as she drank it, she began to feel very
 sick and disoriented. Jane Doe #1 became far more intoxicated than she had been previously when
 drinking the same amount of alcohol. Jane Doe #1 could only conclude that she had been drugged.

4 127. Defendant Masterson forcefully pulled Jane Doe #1 out of her chair and pushed her
5 into the Jacuzzi tub.

After Jane Doe #1 got out of the Jacuzzi tub, she began to feel worse, she could not
open her eyes and was having a difficult time breathing. Masterson said he would take her inside
to throw up. Jane Doe #1 said she did not want to go with him. Masterson's close friend, Luke
Watson, suggested that he should take care of Jane Doe #1. Watson is the son of Susan Watson,
President of Defendant CCI. Defendant Masterson insisted that he take Jane Doe #1 and
proceeded to pick up Jane Doe #1 who protested Masterson trying to carry her upstairs.

13 129. Jane Doe #1 was disoriented and unable to stand on her own. Masterson put Jane
14 Doe #1 in front of the toilet and stuck his fingers down her throat to induce vomiting. He then
15 undressed her and put her in the shower where he handled her very aggressively and sexually
16 assaulted her. Jane Doe #1 was intermittently unconscious. Masterson then dragged Jane Doe #1
18 from the shower and threw her onto his bed where she passed out.

19 130. Jane Doe #1 awoke to Defendant Masterson raping her. She attempted to fight him
20 off by shoving a pillow into his face, but he pushed it back down onto her face, making it difficult
21 for her to breathe.

131. Jane Doe #1 attempted to make noise, but Masterson picked up a gun off of his
nightstand, pointed it at her, and told her to be quiet.

25 132. Defendant Masterson held Jane Doe #1 down and anally assaulted her. Masterson
26 only stopped when he heard a voice at the bedroom door and went to investigate.

28

27

PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

133. Jane Doe #1 does not specifically recall when, but she recalls at one point escaping the bedroom and returning downstairs. She recalls Defendant Masterson and Watson grabbing her to bring her back up to Masterson's bedroom.

- 134. Jane Doe #1 recalls crawling into the bedroom closet and passing out. She next recalls waking up the next morning naked and hiding in the closet.
- 6

1

2

3

4

5

Although not by Jane Doe #1, this sexual assault was reported to Defendant CSI. 135. 7 Unbeknownst to Jane Doe #1, a portion of the events leading to the April 24-25, 2003, sexual 8 assault were witnessed by an individual named D.P. (the same D.P. that would later contact 9 Plaintiff Bixler and inform her she was not Defendant Masterson's only assault victim). D.P. was 10 concerned about Jane Doe #1's condition when he saw Masterson carrying her up the stairs. D.P. 11 12 saw Jane Doe #1 approximately "about an hour or an hour and a half later" when she came up to 13 him with wet hair and bare feet and said "Oh my God Danny just raped me." D.P. also observed 14 Masterson and Watson trying to get Jane Doe #1 back upstairs.

15

136. D.P., who was a Scientologist at the time, was called into the Church of
Scientology Celebrity Centre within days of the sexual assault. He was confronted by Defendant
CCI Ethics Officer Miranda Scoggins and told "in no uncertain terms" that Masterson "had not
done anything" to Jane Doe #1. He was told that Jane Doe #1 was "doing ethics handlings to help
her." D.P., tried to do as he was told but would remain "haunted" by what he saw for years until
he became the connection between Jane Doe #1 and Plaintiff Bixler.

22 23 24

25

08/22/201

137. In May of 2003, Jane Doe #1 reported her assault to her Ethics Officer, Julian Swartz. Swartz is an Ethics Officer to many celebrities and other prominent Scientologists and as a result he consistently communicates with the highest levels of Defendant CSI.

138. Jane Doe #1 reported to Swartz what she recalled at the time about what Masterson
had done. She also reported that she had told a friend. Swartz instructed Jane Doe #1 to go back to

her friend and tell him that what she said about Masterson was not true and that she was joking. Swartz also told her not to speak about it to anyone and that it would be handled internally within Scientology. Jane Doe #1 attempted to explain to Swartz that what happened was rape. This infuriated Swartz who insisted to Jane Doe #1 that this was not rape and that she was "not to use the 'R' word again."

6 Defendant CSI required Jane Doe #1 to begin an ethics program that Swartz 139. 7 designed. It required that she report to him daily and get his permission to travel. It required her to 8 read many policies, including those policies that state it is a "high crime" to report anything 9 criminal or negative about another Scientologist, policies regarding disconnection,²¹ policies 10 regarding how one becomes declared a Suppressive Person, and policies that describe what 11 happens to Suppressive Persons. Swartz consistently reminded her not to talk about the sexual 12 13 assault and repeatedly told her that high ranking officials within CSI were aware of her ethics 14 programming and monitoring it closely.

- 15
 140. The ethics program designed by Swartz also included frequent and seemingly
 16
 17 endless auditing sessions in which Jane Doe #1 was repeatedly asked to admit to "past crimes"
 18 that she allegedly committed during previous lifetimes. Jane Doe #1 was pressured into
 19 "confessing" to "evil purposes" she had toward Masterson, Scientology, L. Ron Hubbard, and
 20 others.
- 141. In July 2003, Jane Doe #1 encountered Watson, whom she had not seen since she
 was last assaulted by Defendant Masterson with Watson's assistance. Watson, admitted that he
 reported the sexual assault directly to Defendant Miscavige.
- ²¹ Any member of Scientology who is related to, friends with, or an associate of an SP must immediately disassociate from that person. This policy is known as "disconnection." Though Scientology claims that this is the individual's decision, failure to disconnect means that the member will be declared a Potential Trouble Source—and ultimately an SP—themselves.
- 28

08/22/201

C.C.T

1

2

3

4

5

1 142. In December of 2003, Officials from the Institutional Defendants discovered that 2 Jane Doe #1 had told friends and family about the sexual assault. She was first ordered to meet 3 with Miranda Scoggins and then Swartz. She was handed a "non-enturbulation order," a formal 4 censure that precedes a Scientologist being declared a suppressive person. She was told that if she 5 continued to talk about the assault, she would instantly be declared a suppressive person and 6 would be subject to Fair Game.

7 In January 2004, Jane Doe #1 was made to undergo "security checks" or "sec 143. 8 checks" which are used by the Institutional Defendants as a form of investigation. Jane Doe #1 9 was subjected to intense auditing that included reading reports written about her sexual assault, 10 including those written by Watson and Masterson. Defendant Masterson's included admissions to 11 12 the Institutional Defendants about the sexual assault. Despite Defendant Masterson's and 13 Watson's admissions, the Institutional Defendants and Defendant Miscavige never took negative 14 action against Defendant Masterson.

15

144. At the conclusion of this "sec check," Jane Doe #1 was forced to sit in a room
alone with Masterson, the man who sexually assaulted her, at the direction of the Institutional
Defendants so that they could "clear the air."

In January 2004, Jane Doe #1's mother, who is a Scientologist, wrote a letter to 19 145. 20 Tammy Wilkoff, an official with Defendant RTC. In it, she detailed the sexual assaults committed 21 against Jane Doe #1 and the woefully inadequate response from the Institutional Defendants. She 22 detailed a number of disturbing reports written about the assault and how CSI and/or CCI was 23 failing to address it. She also wrote that she believed the non-enturbulation order entered against 24 Jane Doe #1 was intended to suppress information related to her assault from being made known. 25 She also related that she learned Shaffer had written a report concerning the sexual assault to the 26 27 Institutional Defendants the day after it occurred.

28

In March 2004, frustrated with the lack of progress after her January 2004 letter, 146. 1 Jane Doe #1's mother wrote another letter, this time directly to Defendant Miscavige. She attached 2 her January 2004 letter and demanded that Miscavige act. She detailed admissions by Masterson 3 4 and Watson, as well as inconsistencies in their account of what had occurred.

5

6

7

In response to these letters Jane Doe #1's non-enturbulation order was lifted and 147. she was given free auditing by the Institutional Defendants.

Jane Doe #1 received a letter on April 21, 2004, from Defendant CSI International 148. 8 Justice Chief Mike Ellis reminding her of the written policy in Scientology that it is a 9 "Suppressive Act" to report a fellow Scientologist in good standing to civil authorities. 10

On June 6, 2004, despite more than a year of Institutional Defendants and their 11 149. 12 agents trying to convince Jane Doe #1 she was not raped, Jane Doe #1 reported the sexual assault 13 to the Los Angeles Police Department. Defendants mobilized against Jane Doe #1 to ensure no 14 charges were filed. This included convincing D.P., a critical witness to a criminal prosecution, that 15 nothing wrong or criminal had occurred. 16

After her report to LAPD, Kendrick Moxon, a partner from Moxon and Bowles, the 150. 17 law firm that exclusively represents the interests of the Institutional Defendants and its affiliate 18 organizations, arrived to Jane Doe #1's parents' home while she was also present. The attorney 19 20 carried with him a letter, written by Defendant Masterson, where he stated words to the effect of 21 "if you got hurt, sorry you got hurt." Jane Doe #1 was astonished that this is how Masterson 22 attempted to apologize for raping her. Jane Doe #1 was told that she was not allowed to keep the 23 letter. Moxon also stated that Swartz would be contacting Jane Doe #1 to broker a meeting 24 between Jane Doe #1 and an attorney for Masterson, which Swartz did. 25

In 2016, Jane Doe #1 learned that Plaintiff Bixler was seeking to contact her. Jane 151. 26 Doe #1 connected with Plaintiff Bixler and told Plaintiff Bixler about the assault by Masterson. 27 28

Jane Doe #1 contacted the Los Angeles Police Department to re-open the investigation into her 1 previously reported sexual assault. 2 (The Institutional Defendants' Fair Gaming Campaign 3 Against Plaintiff Jane Doe #1) 4 As a result of reporting her sexual assault to the police, Jane Doe #1 was ultimately 152. 5 declared a "suppressive person" by the Institutional Defendants. 6 After Jane Doe #1 contacted the police for the second time, Defendants carried out 7 153. 8 an intense fair game campaign against her. 9 Upon information and belief, all the following-described acts of harassment, 154. 10 surveillance, and/or stalking were carried out by or at the direction of Defendants' employees, 11 agents, and/or representatives. 12 From late 2016 to present, Jane Doe #1 has received hundreds of phone calls and 155. 13 text messages from unknown numbers. On one occasion, Jane Doe #1 answered and a man's voice 14 asked if Jane Doe #1 was "scared" before the call was quickly terminated. 15 16 In November of 2016, Jane Doe #1 was followed and surveilled by Defendants' 156. 17 agent Michelle Miskovich. Miskovich followed Jane Doe #1 to a Best Buy and proceeded to 18 follow her into the store. After this instance, Miskovich contacted a reporter to claim that Plaintiffs 19 were fabricating their claims against Masterson. Several months later, Miskovich contacted B.S., a 20 witness to Jane Doe #1's sexual assault, in an attempt to dissuade B.S. from speaking with police. 21 Defendants had others attempt to dissuade B.S. from telling the truth. For instance, 22 157. Vanessa Mooney, who claimed to B.S. that Jane Doe #1 was fabricating her claims against 23 24 Masterson. 25 Jane Doe #1 observed an SUV sitting outside of her home on many occasions for 158. 26 long periods of time. Jane Doe #1 observed the Defendants' agent driver of the SUV 27 photographing her with his cell phone. 28 29

07272013

	159.	In March of 2017, a blog was posted online publicly revealing the existence of an	
1		tigation into Defendant Masterson as a result of reports of sexual abuse.	
2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
3	160.	In March and April of 2017, Jane Doe #1's mother, a Scientologist, called Jane Doe	
4	#1 many times and told Jane Doe #1 that Jane Doe #1 is being followed and her trash is being		
5	taken. Jane Doe #1's trash has in fact been frequently stolen. She has also observed people		
6	following he	r on many occasions.	
7 8	161.	From April of 2017 through June of 2018, Jane Doe #1 frequently awoke to find all	
9	her car doors	and trunk open.	
10	162.	In March of 2017, Jane Doe #1's car was broken into in front of her home and two	
11	debit cards v	vere stolen. The accounts linked to those cards were then over-drafted in the middle of	
12	the night.	·	
13	163.	On many occasions, Jane Doe #1 observed her trash being dumped into the back of	
14	a vehicle.		
15 16	164.	In April of 2017, Jane Doe #1 noticed she was frequently being followed.	
17	165.	Beginning in March 2018, Jane Doe #1 observed people frequently parking outside	
18	of her famil	y home for hours and looking into her windows. On one occasion, Jane Doe #1	
19	confronted an agent of the Defendants, a woman who was surveilling her home. Beginning in May		
20	2018, the woman would stand outside the curtilage of Jane Doe #1's home at night and stare at the		
21	property. The woman would also rifle through Jane Doe #1's trash. On certain evenings, the		
22 23	woman would use a flashlight and point the beam into various windows of the home including		
23	into the bedrooms of Jane Doe #1's children. The woman also followed Jane Doe #1 in public		
25	during the d	ay including to Jane Doe #1's hair salon where she stood outside the window staring	
26	at Jane Doe	#1. During the summer of 2018, Jane Doe #1 went on an extended vacation and the	
27	woman no l	onger stood outside her house. When Jane Doe #1 returned, so did the woman. One	
28		30	
	-	PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES	

PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

08/22/2019

evening when Jane Doe #1 observed the woman stalking her outside her house she contacted
 LAPD who then followed the woman to her own residence. The woman provided a fake name to
 LAPD. The woman was later identified by police, despite her initially producing a false
 identification.

In and around September of 2018, Defendants' agent Kathy Gold started publicly
threatening Plaintiffs and their families. Gold threatened to commit serious and violent crimes
against Plaintiffs, including murder. Gold accused Plaintiffs of being religious bigots and liars.

In January of 2019, Jane Doe #1 was at an appointment with an accountant. When 167. 9 she left the appointment and was walking to her car, she was accosted by two agents of the 10 Defendants, a male and female. They were yelling at her and threatening her life. The male spat on 11 12 Jane Doe #1's windshield as she fled the parking lot. Jane Doe #1 later found out that a major 13 tenant that shares the building with her accountant is a company run by a Scientologist. She was 14 able to use the company website to identify the two individuals that accosted her and threatened 15 her life. Both are Scientologists. 16

17 168. In March of 2019, Jane Doe #1 was followed into a grocery store by a man in a Sea
18 Org uniform.

In March of 2019, Jane Doe #1 observed that the home adjacent to the rear of her 19 169. 20 property trimmed back a tree that had secluded Jane Doe #1's home. After the tree was trimmed, 21 Jane Doe #1's home was now visible from the neighboring property. Around this time, Jane Doe 22 #1's cell phone map application would frequently malfunction and redirect her to the neighboring 23 property instead of her own home. Additionally, Jane Doe #1's husband observed a man standing 24 at the property line watching Jane Doe #1's family home. When Jane Doe #1's husband 25 confronted him, the man fled back to the neighboring property. Jane Doe #1 later discovered that 26 the property is owned by Scientologists, and their son, who is a Sea Org Member, resides at that 27 28

property. Jane Doe #1 learned that the son only moved into the property after she reported her 1 sexual assault by Masterson to police for the second time. 2 In June of 2019, a tree that partially obstructed the view of Jane Doe #1's home 170. 3 from the neighboring property was poisoned at the root. Jane Doe #1 consulted with a gardener 4 5 who informed her of the poisoning and advised her to cut down the tree. In doing so, Jane Doe 6 #1's property can easily be seen from her neighbor's property, the same home described above. 7 Also during this time period, Jane Doe #1 found phallic clay objects had been 171. 8 thrown in her backyard. 9 Jane Doe #1 has experienced various acts of fraud committed against her including 172. 10 prescription fraud. She has also experienced a host of issues with technology outside of that 11 detailed above including but not limited to having her cellular devices connect to other devices 12 13 that she does not own and has never heard of as well as hearing voices, noises, and other 14 interference in the background of phone calls being made from her cellular devices. 15 To this day, Jane Doe #1 continues to be threatened, harassed, stalked, and 173. 16 surveilled. 17 (Plaintiff Marie Bobette Riales' Background with 18 Scientology and Danny Masterson) 19 Plaintiff Marie Bobette Riales (hereinafter Plaintiff Riales) met Daniel Masterson 174. 20 in 2002 at a party in Palm Beach, Florida. They soon began dating and spending all their time 21 together. 22 Plaintiff Riales never became involved with the Church of Scientology but was 175. 23 aware that Masterson was a Scientologist. 24 Plaintiff Riales noticed that whenever Defendant Masterson would make or serve 25 176. 26 her drinks she would black out frequently and wake up unable to remember anything about the 27 night before. 28 32

PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

Plaintiff Riales eventually realized that this was likely caused by Masterson 177. 1 drugging her drinks so that he could sexually assault her. 2 On or around June 22, 2003, Plaintiff Riales attended an awards ceremony with 178. 3 Masterson in Toronto, Canada. That night, Plaintiff Riales woke up in their hotel room to find 4 5 Masterson having sex with her. She felt incapacitated and fell in and out of consciousness during 6 the assault. 7 The following morning she had swollen and sore genitals and no recollection of 179. 8 how she received the injuries. 9 Masterson continued to sexually assault Plaintiff Riales in a similar manner 180. 10 11 throughout their relationship. On numerous occasions when Plaintiff Riales spent the night with Masterson, 12 181. 13 whether it was at his home in California, his homes elsewhere, or in hotels while traveling, 14 Plaintiff Riales would wake up to Masterson having sex with her. 15 Sometimes during these assaults, Plaintiff Riales would attempt to push Masterson 182. 16 off of her, but she could often barely move her arms from being so weak and disoriented. 17 If Plaintiff Riales succeeded in raising her arms enough to push Masterson, he 183. 18 would just push her arms back down on the bed and continue assaulting her. 19 Defendant Masterson assaulted Plaintiff Riales almost nightly until the end of their 20 184. 21 relationship. 22 Plaintiff Riales stopped seeing Masterson in 2004. 185. 23 (The Institutional Defendants's Fair Gaming Campaign Against Plaintiff Riales) 24 In April of 2017, Plaintiff Riales reported the assault to the Los Angeles Police 186. 25 Department. Once Plaintiff Riales spoke to police, Defendants' began an intense fair gaming 26 27 campaign against her. 28 33 PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

187. Upon information and belief, all the following-described acts of harassment,
 surveillance, and/or stalking were carried out by or at the direction of Defendants' employees,
 agents, and/or representatives.

4 188. Since going to the police, the Defendants have repeatedly stolen Plaintiff Riales's
5 trash.

6 7

15

189. The Defendants have frequently and consistently followed and surveilled her.

8
9
9
9
190. Plaintiff Riales's food truck that she owned and operated was vandalized by
9
9
9
10
10
11
11
11
11
12
13
14
14
15
16
16
17
18
19
19
19
19
19
19
19
19
10
10
10
11
10
11
10
11
10
11
11
11
11
11
12
14
15
16
16
17
18
19
19
19
10
10
10
11
10
11
11
11
12
14
15
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
17
16
17
17
17
18
18
19
10
10
10
11
10
11
11
11
11
11
12
14
14
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
16
<l

12 191. Plaintiff Riales's neighbor observed a man in her driveway taking pictures of
 13 Plaintiff Riales's food truck, car, license plates, and home. Plaintiff Riales's neighbor confronted
 14 him, and he fled.

16
192. That night, at approximately 1:00 a.m., the window to a room where Plaintiff
17 Riales's 13 year-old child slept was shattered.

18 193. On at least one occasion Plaintiff Riales has confronted men standing on her19 property taking pictures of her home. The men flee when confronted.

20 194. On one occasion, shortly after speaking with police, Plaintiff Riales was at a
21 restaurant with friends when the table next to her began taunting Plaintiff Riales by talking loudly
22 of anal sex and rape. When Plaintiff Riales left the restaurant, the table left and followed her to her
23 car.

25

26

27

28

195. On several occasions, Plaintiff Riales found all the doors of her car were opened.

34

PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

In July of 2018, Plaintiff Riales went on vacation with her children to Rehoboth
 Beach, Delaware. Every evening Plaintiff Riales observed people on a nearby balcony taking
 photos of her.

4 197. In and around September of 2018, Defendants' agent, Scientologist Kathy Gold,
5 started publicly threatening Plaintiffs and their families. Gold threatened to commit serious and
6 violent crimes against Plaintiffs including murder. Gold accused Plaintiffs of being religious
7 bigots and liars.

9 198. Since December of 2018 through the present, Plaintiff Riales has observed people
outside her home at all hours who would remain near her property and watch her. If Plaintiff
11 Riales left her property, they would follow. Additionally, on many occasions, Plaintiff Riales has
been followed by strangers throughout her town or to the homes of her friends and family.Plaintiff
Riales' phone and computer have been hacked repeatedly, and she has experienced technological
issues with various devices she has purchased. Various accounts belonging to her, including her

- 16
- 17

(Plaintiff Jane Doe #2's Background with Scientology and Danny Masterson)

18 199. Jane Doe #2 became a member of the Church of Scientology when she was a child.
19 200. Jane Doe #2 became close friends with Daniel Masterson's brother, Chris, and
20 eventually met Masterson through mutual friends and her auditing at CCI.

21 201. Years after beginning her auditing at CCI, Jane Doe #2 was living with Ilaria
22 Urbinati, who she had met through the Church of Scientology. Urbinati invited Jane Doe #2 to
23 join her for an evening with Masterson and Masterson's friend Luke Watson. This was the first
25 time Jane Doe #2 spent any significant time with Masterson in a small group.

26 202. At the end of the evening Defendant Masterson requested Jane Doe #2's phone
27 number, which she gave him.

28

08/22/201

1 203. A few days later, Jane Doe #2 met Masterson at his home. Immediately upon her 2 arrival he served her a drink. Masterson demanded that Jane Doe #2 remove her clothes and get 3 into his Jacuzzi tub. Jane Doe #2 felt the effects of the alcohol Masterson had provided but at a far 4 faster rate than she had ever experienced. Masterson began to remove Jane Doe #2's clothes and 5 enticed her into the Jacuzzi tub. Masterson also began kissing Jane Doe #2.

6 204. Next, Masterson demanded that Jane Doe #2 go into his shower. Masterson ushered
7 her to the upstairs of his home.

9

205. Masterson then sexually assaulted Jane Doe #2 in the shower and in his bedroom.

10 206. Jane Doe #2 could not have, and in fact did not consent to sex with Defendant
11 Masterson. Defendant Masterson knew she did not consent and sexually assaulted her
12 nonetheless.

13 207. At the time it occurred, Jane Doe #2 only confided in two friends about the sexual
14 assault, and she soon began withdrawing from Scientology. Jane Doe #2 understood from her
15 Scientology coursework that she would not be permitted to report the assault to civil authorities
16 outside of Scientology. She also understood that reporting the assault to Scientology would not
18 result in any action being taken except to shame and harass her.

208. The Church attempted to draw Jane Doe #2 back in by offering her free auditing
sessions, but by 2004 she ceased practicing Scientology completely.

209. Jane Doe #2 did not experience persistent harassment or stalking from the Church
at first because she had not spoken openly about being sexually assaulted by Masterson and
otherwise remained quiet about her history with Scientology.

210. In 2011, Jane Doe #2 disclosed to Masterson's former personal assistant, Brie
Shaffer, that Masterson had sexually assaulted her because she believed that Shaffer was no longer

28

08/22/201

Kata 7

associating with Masterson. Soon after the disclosure many Scientologists began to disconnect from Jane Doe #2.

3

5

7

1

2

(The Institutional Defendant's Fair Gaming Campaign Against Jane Doe #2)

4 In 2017, Jane Doe #2 disclosed the assault to the Los Angeles Police Department. 211. Since speaking with police, Defendants have subjected Jane Doe #2 to a fair gaming campaign to 6 silence Jane Doe #2.

Upon information and belief, all the following-described acts of harassment, 212. 8 surveillance, and/or stalking were carried out by or at the direction of Defendants' employees, 9 agents, and/or representatives. 10

Jane Doe #2 has experienced substantial issues with her cell phone and computer 11 213. 12 which suggest that her phone and computer may have been hacked or otherwise compromised. 13 During phone calls wherein she discussed the abuse, she heard beeping, interference, or the calls 14 would abruptly drop.

15

Jane Doe #2 repeatedly received phone calls and text messages from numbers 214. 16 associated with the Sea Org. She frequently received voicemails from Defendants' agents urging 17 her to call them to get involved with Scientology. The text messages urged Jane Doe #2 to return 18 to Scientology to help her cope with the death of her father by suicide. Her father's death had 19 20 occurred years earlier.

21 Jane Doe #2 has experienced vandalism of her car. In these instances, there were no 215. 22 other cars surrounding hers that were vandalized, demonstrating the vandalism was targeted at 23 Jane Doe #2. 24

Jane Doe #2 has been harassed via social media by Defendants' agents including 216. 25 being accused of serious criminal offenses. 26

27 28

08/22/201

1 217. In January of 2017, Jane Doe #2 was at a grocery store when Urbanati, who had
2 since become a business partner of Defendant Masterson's, approached Jane Doe #2 and told Jane
3 Doe #2 that Leah Remini was putting Jane Doe #2 "up to this," and asked why Jane Doe #2 and
4 Plaintiff Bixler were "doing this to Danny?" She went on to attempt to interrogate Jane Doe #2
5 about the facts of her sexual assault and tell Jane Doe #2 that no one believed her account and that
6 Plaintiff Bixler was "insane."

8
9
9
9
10
11
11
12
18. In and around September of 2018, Defendants' agent, Scientologist Kathy Gold,
11
12
13. In and around September of 2018, Defendants' agent, Scientologist Kathy Gold,
14. Started publicly threatening Plaintiffs and their families. Gold threatened to commit serious and
14. Started publicly threatening Plaintiffs including murder. Gold accused Plaintiffs of being religious

12 219. On March 8, 2019, Jane Doe #2 made a post to social media in which she called on
13 the FBI to investigate Scientology. In the days immediately following, Defendants' agents had
14 approximately \$4,000.00 of merchandise delivered to Jane Doe #2's house from Victoria Secret
15 and vitamin suppliers using her credit card. She also had a series of fraudulent transactions within
17 her bank account that she was not making.

18 220. From the time Jane Doe #2 disclosed the assault to law enforcement through the
19 present, Jane Doe #2 has been stalked, harassed, and intimidated by Defendants who seek to
20 silence her and in retaliation for reporting her abuse.

21 221. As a result of the harassment she has suffered, Jane Doe #2 has rarely left the house
in the two years since reporting the sexual assault to law enforcement.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Stalking—Civil Code § 1708.7)

25 222. Plaintiffs incorporate and reference the averments contained above as though fully
26 set forth herein.
27

28

24

08/22/201

223. Defendants stalked Plaintiffs and engaged in the pattern of conduct outlined above with the intent to follow, alarm, place under surveillance, and harass the Plaintiffs.

224. Defendants followed Plaintiffs online and in person per their codified "Fair Game"
practices, thus placing Plaintiffs under surveillance with the intent to alarm, threaten, and harass
Plaintiffs.

6 225. As a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiffs reasonably feared for their safety and
7 the safety of their immediate family, and Plaintiffs suffered substantial emotional distress. The
9 Defendants' pattern of conduct outlined above would cause a reasonable person to suffer
10 substantial emotional distress.

Defendants made credible threats against the Plaintiffs with the intent to cause the
 Plaintiffs and their immediate family members to fear for their safety and/or with reckless
 disregard for the safety of the Plaintiffs and their immediate family members.

14 227. Plaintiffs demanded the Defendants cease their behavior. The Defendants persisted
15 in their pattern of conduct and warned Plaintiffs that further public complaints about them would
17 only increase the severity of their fair gaming campaign.

18 228. Any further attempts to clearly and definitively demand the Defendants cease their
19 behavior were impractical and/or unsafe due to the power exerted by the Defendants over
20 Plaintiffs and the Defendants intractable position on the Plaintiffs being "fair game" for their
21 tactics.

22 229. Defendants knowingly and willfully conspired and agreed among themselves to:
23 (1) obstruct justice by withholding the facts of Masterson's sexual assaults from civil authorities:
24 (2) stalk Plaintiffs; (3) physically and constructively invade the Plaintiffs' privacy (see below);

26

27

28

1

2

39

and/or (4) intentionally inflict emotional distress upon Plaintiffs (see below). The conspiracy 1 continues to this day.²² 2

In furtherance of said conspiracy and agreement, Defendants engaged in wrongful 3 230. 4 conduct, including but not limited to information suppression, coercion, deception, stalking, 5 harassment, surveillance, threats, vandalism, theft, and/or fraud.

6

Defendants' actions, set forth in the preceding paragraphs and incorporated herein, 231. 7 were in violation of the rights of Plaintiffs and committed in furtherance of the aforementioned 8 conspiracies and agreements. Moreover, each of the aforementioned Defendants lent aid and g encouragement and knowingly financed, ratified, and/or adopted the acts of the other. As a 10 11 proximate result of the wrongful acts herein alleged, Plaintiffs have suffered significant damage to 12 be determined at trial.

13 These acts constituted malicious conduct which was carried on by said Defendants 232. 14 with willful and conscious disregard for Plaintiffs' rights with the intention of willfully concealing 15 information that could have prevented sexual assaults against Plaintiffs Chrissie Bixler, Marie 16 Riales, Jane Doe #1, Jane Doe #2, or others yet unknown, as well as harassing and silencing 17 Plaintiffs. The conduct at issue was and continues to be despicable and has and continues to 18 subject Plaintiffs to a cruel and unjust hardship and justifies an award of exemplary and punitive 19 20 damages. Accordingly, punitive damages should be awarded against Defendants to punish them 21 and deter them and other such persons from committing such wrongful and malicious acts in the 22 future.

These acts constituted malicious conduct which was carried on by said Defendants 233. 24 with willful and conscious disregard for Plaintiffs' rights with the intention of willfully concealing 25 information that could have prevented sexual assaults against Plaintiffs Chrissie Bixler, Marie 26

27

23

28 ²² Paragraphs 230 through 232 are herein realleged and apply to each and every Cause of Action.

Riales, Jane Doe #1, Jane Doe #2, or others yet unknown, as well as harassing and silencing 1 Plaintiffs. The conduct at issue was and continues to be despicable and has and continues to 2 subject Plaintiffs to a cruel and unjust hardship and justifies an award of exemplary and punitive 3 damages. Accordingly, punitive damages should be awarded against Defendants to punish them 4 5 and deter them and other such persons from committing such wrongful and malicious acts in the 6 future. 7 Wherefore, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants in the form of all 234. 8

general and special damages in a sum to be proven at trial, and exemplary and punitive damages as
allowed by law and in a sum to be proven at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Physical Invasion of Privacy-Civ. Code § 1708.8)

235. Plaintiffs incorporate and reference the averments contained above as though fully
set forth herein.

15 236. As stated above, Defendants and their agents placed Plaintiffs under surveillance
16 and in doing so, trespassed on their property to take photos, looked in Plaintiffs' windows, and
17 electronically compromised their security systems, and/or phones, and/or computers, and/or other
18 digital devices.

19
237. Defendants committed the aforementioned trespass in a highly offensive and
20
21
237. Defendants committed the aforementioned trespass in a highly offensive and
21
237. Defendants committed the aforementioned trespass in a highly offensive and
24
237. Defendants committed the aforementioned trespass in a highly offensive and
237. Defendants committed the aforementioned trespass in a highly offensive and
24
237. Defendants committed the aforementioned trespass in a highly offensive and
237. Defendants committed the aforementioned trespass in a highly offensive and
24
257. Defendants committed the aforementioned trespass in a highly offensive and
267. Defendants committed the aforementioned trespass in a highly offensive and
278. Interfering in their private affairs and/or intruding upon Plaintiffs' privacy and/or capturing visual
288. images, video recording, or sound recording of Plaintiffs engaging in private, personal, and/or
299. familial activities.

25 238. As a result of Defendants' conduct, the Plaintiffs reasonably feared for their safety
26 and the safety of their family, and Plaintiffs suffered substantial emotional distress.

28

27

00/22/201

6.50

11

1	239. These acts constituted malicious conduct which was carried on by said Defendants
2	with willful and conscious disregard for Plaintiffs' rights with the intention of willfully concealing
3	information that could have prevented sexual assaults against Plaintiffs Chrissie Bixler, Marie
4	Riales, Jane Doe #1, Jane Doe #2, or others yet unknown, as well as harassing and silencing
5	Plaintiffs. The conduct at issue was and continues to be despicable and has and continues to
6	subject Plaintiffs to a cruel and unjust hardship and justifies an award of exemplary and punitive
7	damages. Accordingly, punitive damages should be awarded against Defendants to punish them
9	and deter them and other such persons from committing such wrongful and malicious acts in the
10	future.
11	240. Wherefore, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants in the form of all
12	general and special damages in a sum to be proven at trial, and exemplary and punitive damages as
13	allowed by law and in a sum to be proven at trial.
14	
15	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (Constructive Invasion of Privacy—Civil Code § 1708.8)
16	
16 17	(Constructive Invasion of Privacy—Civil Code § 1708.8) 241. Plaintiffs incorporate and reference the averments contained above as though fully
16	(Constructive Invasion of Privacy—Civil Code § 1708.8) 241. Plaintiffs incorporate and reference the averments contained above as though fully set forth herein.
16 17	(Constructive Invasion of Privacy—Civil Code § 1708.8) 241. Plaintiffs incorporate and reference the averments contained above as though fully
16 17 18	(Constructive Invasion of Privacy—Civil Code § 1708.8) 241. Plaintiffs incorporate and reference the averments contained above as though fully set forth herein.
16 17 18 19	 (Constructive Invasion of Privacy—Civil Code § 1708.8) 241. Plaintiffs incorporate and reference the averments contained above as though fully set forth herein. 242. As stated above, Defendants and their agents placed Plaintiffs under surveillance
16 17 18 19 20	(Constructive Invasion of Privacy—Civil Code § 1708.8) 241. Plaintiffs incorporate and reference the averments contained above as though fully set forth herein. 242. As stated above, Defendants and their agents placed Plaintiffs under surveillance and in doing so, used devices to capture image and sound recordings or other physical impressions
16 17 18 19 20 21	(Constructive Invasion of Privacy—Civil Code § 1708.8) 241. Plaintiffs incorporate and reference the averments contained above as though fully set forth herein. 242. As stated above, Defendants and their agents placed Plaintiffs under surveillance and in doing so, used devices to capture image and sound recordings or other physical impressions which, because of such device's use, allowed Defendants to avoid trespassing upon Plaintiffs' land while still being able to capture such recordings and impressions.
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	(Constructive Invasion of Privacy—Civil Code § 1708.8) 241. Plaintiffs incorporate and reference the averments contained above as though fully set forth herein. 242. As stated above, Defendants and their agents placed Plaintiffs under surveillance and in doing so, used devices to capture image and sound recordings or other physical impressions which, because of such device's use, allowed Defendants to avoid trespassing upon Plaintiffs' land while still being able to capture such recordings and impressions. 243. Defendants, in a manner that is highly offensive and unreasonable, used a recording
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	(Constructive Invasion of Privacy—Civil Code § 1708.8) 241. Plaintiffs incorporate and reference the averments contained above as though fully set forth herein. 242. As stated above, Defendants and their agents placed Plaintiffs under surveillance and in doing so, used devices to capture image and sound recordings or other physical impressions which, because of such device's use, allowed Defendants to avoid trespassing upon Plaintiffs' land while still being able to capture such recordings and impressions. 243. Defendants, in a manner that is highly offensive and unreasonable, used a recording device with the intention of harming Plaintiffs by interfering in their private affairs and/or
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	(Constructive Invasion of Privacy—Civil Code § 1708.8) 241. Plaintiffs incorporate and reference the averments contained above as though fully set forth herein. 242. As stated above, Defendants and their agents placed Plaintiffs under surveillance and in doing so, used devices to capture image and sound recordings or other physical impressions which, because of such device's use, allowed Defendants to avoid trespassing upon Plaintiffs' land while still being able to capture such recordings and impressions. 243. Defendants, in a manner that is highly offensive and unreasonable, used a recording
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	(Constructive Invasion of Privacy—Civil Code § 1708.8) 241. Plaintiffs incorporate and reference the averments contained above as though fully set forth herein. 242. As stated above, Defendants and their agents placed Plaintiffs under surveillance and in doing so, used devices to capture image and sound recordings or other physical impressions which, because of such device's use, allowed Defendants to avoid trespassing upon Plaintiffs' land while still being able to capture such recordings and impressions. 243. Defendants, in a manner that is highly offensive and unreasonable, used a recording device with the intention of harming Plaintiffs by interfering in their private affairs and/or
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	(Constructive Invasion of Privacy—Civil Code § 1708.8) 241. Plaintiffs incorporate and reference the averments contained above as though fully set forth herein. 242. As stated above, Defendants and their agents placed Plaintiffs under surveillance and in doing so, used devices to capture image and sound recordings or other physical impressions which, because of such device's use, allowed Defendants to avoid trespassing upon Plaintiffs' land while still being able to capture such recordings and impressions. 243. Defendants, in a manner that is highly offensive and unreasonable, used a recording device with the intention of harming Plaintiffs by interfering in their private affairs and/or intruding upon Plaintiffs' privacy and/or capturing visual images, video recording, or sound

244. As a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiffs reasonably feared for their safety and 1 the safety of their family, and Plaintiffs suffered substantial emotional distress. 2 These acts constituted malicious conduct which was carried on by said Defendants 245. 3 4 with willful and conscious disregard for Plaintiffs' rights with the intention of willfully concealing 5 information that could have prevented sexual assaults against Plaintiffs Chrissie Bixler, Marie 6 Riales, Jane Doe #1, Jane Doe #2 or others yet unknown, as well as harassing and silencing 7 Plaintiffs. The conduct at issue was and continues to be despicable and has and continues to 8 subject Plaintiffs to a cruel and unjust hardship and justifies an award of exemplary and punitive 9 damages. Accordingly, punitive damages should be awarded against Defendants to punish them 10 and deter them and other such persons from committing such wrongful and malicious acts in the 11 12 future. 13 Wherefore, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants in the form of all 246. 14 general and special damages in a sum to be proven at trial, and exemplary and punitive damages as 15 allowed by law and in a sum to be proven at trial. 16 FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION 17 (Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress) 18 Plaintiffs incorporate and reference the averments contained above as though fully 247. 19 set forth herein. 20

248. Defendants surveilled, harassed, stalked, and photographed Plaintiffs. Specifically,
Defendants trespassed on Plaintiffs' personal property, looked in windows, followed and stalked,
hacked personal online accounts and emails, engaged in surveillance of and interference with
Plaintiffs' daily lives, and/or called, and/or texted, and/or otherwise attempted to communicate
repeatedly.

43 PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

249. Defendants' aforementioned conduct was extreme and outrageous.

28

26

27

081221

francis Constantino formation 250. Defendants acted with reckless disregard and/or intention that their conduct would
 cause Plaintiffs severe emotional distress.

3 251. The aforesaid outrageous conduct caused Plaintiffs severe emotional distress,
4 suffering, aguish, anxiety, humiliation, and shame.

5 These acts constituted malicious conduct which was carried on by said Defendants 252. 6 with willful and conscious disregard for Plaintiffs' rights with the intention of willfully concealing 7 information that could have prevented sexual assaults against Plaintiffs Chrissie Bixler, Marie 8 Riales, Jane Doe #1, Jane Doe #2 or others yet unknown, as well as harassing and silencing 9 Plaintiffs. The conduct at issue was and continues to be despicable and has and continues to 10 subject Plaintiffs to a cruel and unjust hardship and justifies an award of exemplary and punitive 11 12 damages. Accordingly, punitive damages should be awarded against Defendants to punish them 13 and deter them and other such persons from committing such wrongful and malicious acts in the 14 future.

253. Wherefore, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants in the form of all
general and special damages in a sum to be proven at trial, and exemplary and punitive damages as
allowed by law and in a sum to be proven at trial.

19

20

15

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Loss of Consortium as to Plaintiff Cedric Bixler-Zavala Only)

254. Plaintiffs incorporates and references the averments contained above as though
 fully set forth herein.

255. Plaintiff Cedric Bixler-Zavala is lawfully married to Plaintiff Chrissie Bixler.
 Plaintiff Chrissie Bixler was injured by Defendants' above-described tortious conduct. Plaintiff
 Cedric Bixler-Zavala has suffered loss of consortium due to a loss of love, companionship,
 comfort, care, assistance, society, sexual relations, and moral support; he has further suffered lost
 wages and earning capacity and was further damaged in having to serve as Plaintiff Bixler's

08-22-

(rad) CSD Jonator

1	caretaker, which services constitute a special damage to Plaintiff Bixler. The losses suffered by		
2	Plaintiff Cedric Bixler-Zavala were proximately caused by Defendants' tortious conduct, as		
3	described herein, through which his wife was tortuously injured.		
4	256. Wherefore, Plaintiff Cedric Bixler-Zavala prays for judgment against Defendants in		
5	the form of all general and special damages in a sum to be proven at trial, and exemplary and		
6	punitive damages as allowed by law and in a sum to be proven at trial.		
7	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
8 9	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants, and each of them as		
10	follows as to each cause of action:		
11	1. For general damages;		
12	2. For special damages and compensatory for medical, hospital, and incidental expenses;		
13	3. For injunctive relief pursuant to Civil Code §§ 1770 and 1780(a) and (e);		
14	4. For punitive/exemplary damages according to proof and pursuant to Civil Code §§		
15	1708.5(3)(b) and 1782(2);		
16 17	5. For attorneys' fees and/or penalties pursuant to Civil Code §§ 1708.5(3)(b) and		
17 18	1782(2) and Civil Code §§ 51.7, 51, and 52.4, and Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5;		
10	6. For treble damages pursuant to California Penal Code §236.1 and California Civil		
20	Code § 52.5;		
21	 Pre -and post-judgment interest; 		
22	 8. For costs of suit herein incurred; and 		
23			
24	9. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.		
25			
26 27	///		
27	///		
0	45		
	PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES		

1		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	
2	Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury.		
3 4	Dated: August 21, 2019	THOMPSON LAW OFFICES, P.C. \square	
5		By: WHU	
6		Robert W. Thompson Attorney for Plaintiffs CHRISSIE	
7		Robert W. Thompson Attorney for Plaintiffs CHRISSIE CARNELL BIXLER; CEDRIC BIXLER- ZAVALA; JANE DOE #1; MARIE BOBETTE RIALES; and JANE DOE #2	
8		BOBETTE RIALES; and JANE DOE #2	
9			
10 11			
11			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17		¢	
18			
19 20			
20			
22		· ·	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27 28			
28	×	46 PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES	

ATTACHMENT A

Below are additional attorneys representing Plaintiffs:

Jeffrey P. Fritz, Esq. (Pro Hac Vice Admission Pending) SOLOFF & ZERVANOS, P.C. 1525 Locust Street, 8th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 Tel: (215) 732-2260 / Fax: (215) 732-2289

Marci Hamilton, Esq. (Pro Hac Vice Admission Pending) University of Pennsylvania¹ Fox-Fels Building 3814 Walnut Street Philadelphia, PA 19104 Tel: (215) 353-8984 / Fax: (215) 493-1094

Ricardo M. Martinez-Cid (Pro Hac Vice Admission Pending) Lea P. Bucciero (Pro Hac Vice Admission Pending) PODHURST ORSECK, P.A. One S.E. 3rd Avenue, Suite 2300 Miami, FL 33131 Tel: (305) 358-2800 / Fax: (305) 358-2382

¹ This address is solely for delivery purposes. It does not indicate support for any lawsuit or case by the University of Pennsylvania.