IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

FARHAD AZIMA,)
ALG TRANSPORTATION, INC.,))
MAIN 3260 LLC,))
FFV W39 LLC, and))
FFV DEVELOPMENT LLC,))
	Civil Action No:
Plaintiffs,))
)
v.)
) COMPLAINT
DECHERT LLP,)
DAVID NEIL GERRARD,) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
DAVID GRAHAM HUGHES,)
NICHOLAS DEL ROSSO,)
VITAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC.,)
AMIT FORLIT,)
INSIGHT ANALYSIS AND RESEARCH LLC,)
SDC-GADOT LLC,)
AMIR HANDJANI,)
ANDREW FRANK, and)
KARV COMMUNICATIONS,	
Defendants.))

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page		
INTR	ODUC	ΓΙΟΝ	1		
PART	TIES AN	ND NON-PARTY CO-CONSPIRATORS	4		
	Plaint	iffs	4		
	RICO	RICO Defendants			
	Non-F	Party Co-Conspirators and Members of the Enterprise	9		
JURIS	SDICTI	ON AND VENUE	12		
FACT	TUAL A	LLEGATIONS	17		
I.	THE INITIAL CRIME: UNLAWFUL DETENTION AND INTERROGATION OF AL SADEQ				
	A.	Dechert and Gerrard Unlawfully Detain and Interrogate Al Sadeq	17		
	B.	Azima and Others Attempt to Expose the Enterprise's Human Rights Violations	18		
II.		ATTACK ON AZIMA: HACKING, THEFT, AND FRAUDULENT GATION	19		
	A.	The Enterprise Hacks Azima, His Associates, and Other Perceived Enemies of RAK	19		
	B.	The Enterprise Creates Its 2016 Action Plan to Harm Azima and Others	23		
	C.	The Enterprise Induces Azima to Enter into a Fraudulent Settlement Agreement	24		
	D.	The Enterprise Launders Azima's Stolen Data on the Internet	25		
	E.	The Enterprise Brings Civil Litigation Against Azima	27		
III.		COVER-UP: OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, A PROTRACTED SMEAR PAIGN, AND A FABRICATED CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION	28		
	A.	The Enterprise Seeks to Influence U.S. Law Enforcement in an Effort to Manufacture a Criminal Investigation of Azima and Others	29		
	B.	The Enterprise Launches a Media Campaign to Harm Azima	30		
	C.	Obstruction of Azima's D.C. District Court Proceeding	31		

		1.	The Enterprise Makes False Statements in the D.C. District Court Proceeding and Develops False Testimony to Conceal Its Role in the Hacking of Azima	32
		2.	The Enterprise Destroys Evidence and Makes False Statements Regarding Evidence Preservation	40
		3.	The Enterprise Defrauds the D.C. District Court by Submitting Stolen Documents Laundered Through Lebanon	42
	D.		nterprise Obstructs Multiple Proceeding in the U.S. Under 28 U.S.C. 2 Related to Azima's UK Case	44
		1.	Handjani Obstructs Azima's Section 1782 Proceeding in New York	45
		2.	Forlit Obstructs Azima's Section 1782 Proceeding in Florida	46
	E.	Del Ro	osso Obstructs Azima's North Carolina Proceeding	48
		1.	The Enterprise Fabricates Evidence in the U.S. and Threatens Its Own Hackers	49
		2.	Enterprise Member Del Rosso Makes False Statements to the North Carolina District Court	52
	F.		nterprise Conducts Further Hacking to Determine the Sources of ng for Azima's and Al Sadeq's Litigation	53
		1.	The Enterprise Seeks to Determine Who Was Funding Litigation Brought by Azima and Al Sadeq	53
		2.	The Enterprise Hacks Al Sadeq's Lawyers	54
		3.	The Enterprise Bribes Potential Witnesses in U.S. Proceedings	55
		4.	Del Rosso Obstructs Al Sadeq's Section 1782 Proceeding in North Carolina	57
IV.			PRISE'S CRIMES AND COVER-UP HAVE CAUSED IT DAMAGE TO AZIMA AND HIS BUSINESSES	57
V.	DECHERT IS LIABLE FOR THE ACTIONS OF THE ENTERPRISE			
	A.		rt Was at Least Recklessly Indifferent to Overwhelming Evidence Enterprise's Crimes	60
	B.	Deche	ert Played a Central Role in the Cover-Up of the Enterprise's Crimes	62

CLAIMS	FOR RELIEF	66
FIRST CI	LAIM FOR RELIEF	66
Th	ne RICO Enterprise	66
Pa	ttern of Racketeering Activity	67
1.	Obstruction of Justice in Violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1503	68
2.	Witness Tampering in Violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1512	69
3.	Money Laundering in Violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(2)(A)	69
4.	Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud in Violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343	70
5.	Bank Fraud in Violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1344	72
Su	Immary of the Pattern of Racketeering Activity Alleged as to Each RICO Defendant	74
In	jury to Plaintiffs' Business and Property	78
SECOND	CLAIM FOR RELIEF	80
PRAYER	FOR RELIEF	82

COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs Farhad Azima ("Azima"), ALG Transportation, Inc. ("ALG"), Main 3260 LLC ("Main 3260"), FFV W39 LLC ("FFV W39"), and FFV Development LLC ("FFV Development") (collectively, "Plaintiffs") allege as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. For almost a decade, a sophisticated and organized group of individuals and entities (the "Enterprise") led by Neil Gerrard ("Gerrard") engaged in a global campaign against perceived enemies of Ras Al Khaimah ("RAK"), a client of Dechert LLP ("Dechert"). RAK itself has recently accepted legal responsibility for some of the misconduct and formally sought to distance itself from Dechert and Gerrard, claiming in a recent court submission that it also has been victimized by the misconduct of Dechert and Gerrard described in this Complaint.
- 2. Dechert was hired by RAK to investigate the activities of Khater Massaad the former head of RAKIA and his associates. Dechert's work grew into a sprawling international investigation, led by Gerrard but involving other Dechert lawyers and various outside service providers, that spawned numerous related lawsuits in multiple countries.
- 3. One of the Massaad associates who became a target of the investigation was Karam Al Sadeq, who served as legal adviser, Group Legal Director, and, ultimately, Deputy Chief Executive Officer of RAKIA between 2008 and 2012. In 2014, Al Sadeq was renditioned from the United Arab Emirates ("UAE") and then detained indefinitely in a prison in RAK. In a lawsuit he filed in the High Court of Justice of England and Wales, Queen's Bench Division, captioned *Karam Salah Al Din Awni Al Sadeq v. Dechert, LLP, Neil Gerrard, David Hughes, and*

¹ For simplicity, the term RAK is used throughout this complaint to refer to the emirate, the Ruler of RAK, the sovereign wealth fund Ras Al Khaimah Investment Authority ("RAKIA"), and other RAK-based entities.

Caroline Black, Claim No. QB-2020-000322, Al Sadeq alleges that Gerrard and other members of the Enterprise violated international and United Arab Emirates law, as well as his human rights, during his detention.

- 4. Between 2007 and 2016, Farhad Azima was involved in various actual and proposed commercial joint ventures with RAK. Azima became a target of Dechert's investigation on behalf of RAK when he sought to expose RAK's history of human rights abuses generally and, in particular, the human rights abuses against Al Sadeq and Gerrard's role in Al Sadeq's mistreatment.
- 5. To silence Azima and others involved in seeking to generate press coverage, the Enterprise hacked the confidential computer data of Azima and others, and used that illegally obtained information to induce an agreement from Azima arising out of unrelated prior business contacts between Azima and RAK, with a premeditated plan to commence a lawsuit against Azima alleging violation of that agreement. *See Ras Al Khaimah Inv. Auth. v. Farhad Azima*, [2020] EWHC 1327, Case No. HC-2016-002798. The documented goal of this litigation was to silence Azima by financially and reputationally crippling him.²
- 6. Azima refused to buckle, however, and instead filed suit in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia against RAKIA for stealing his data, *Azima v. RAK Investment Authority*, No. 1:16-cv-01948. To counter the threat that started with Azima's 2016 U.S. hacking lawsuit and increased in urgency with the Al Sadeq's 2020 UK lawsuit, the Enterprise began a

² The UK case seeks damages for the 2016 hacking of Azima. This Complaint seeks damages for conduct that post-dates the 2016 hacking. In effect, the UK case focuses on crimes that occurred in or about 2016, while this Complaint focuses on efforts to cover-up those crimes. In addition, the parties in the two cases are not identical, and this Complaint includes defendants Handjani, Frank, KARV, Insight, SDC-Gadot, and Forlit, who are US persons and entities, and along with Defendant Hughes are not defendants in the UK case. UK law does not have a comparable statute to RICO.

more than six-year effort to cover up its role in the hacking of Azima and mistreatment of Al Sadeq.

- 7. The Enterprise's actions consisted of a continuing pattern of racketeering activity in the U.S. and elsewhere and involved the commission of numerous crimes under U.S. federal law, including money laundering, obstruction of justice, witness tampering, mail and wire fraud, further computer hacking, and the theft of highly confidential information, documents, and materials. The predicate acts committed by the Enterprise in the course of its cover-up campaign have caused Plaintiffs substantial harm in the U.S. to their business and property.
- 8. Dechert played a central role in the Enterprise's affairs and criminal activity. To carry out its scheme, Gerrard and the Enterprise relied upon Dechert's infrastructure, partners, employees, financial resources, and reputation. Dechert received millions of dollars in fees as a direct result of the Enterprise's continuing campaign of criminal conduct. Dechert and its leadership were at least willfully blind or recklessly indifferent to Gerrard's misconduct. The firm ignored "red flags" regarding Gerrard beginning when it first hired him as a partner in 2010 and turned a blind eye to increasingly clear evidence that emerged over the following decade showing that Gerrard was involved in serious ethical violations, human rights abuses, and criminal activity, including hacking.
- 9. In response to the Enterprise's criminal campaign, much of which occurred in the U.S., involved U.S. persons and companies, and was perpetrated through the U.S. financial system, Azima now brings this action under the Racketeering Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ("RICO") Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961, *et seq*. The Enterprise's efforts to conceal its criminal conduct included the commission of numerous predicate acts under RICO, including: obstruction of justice, witness tampering, wire fraud, mail fraud, bank fraud, and money laundering. Allegations made

in this Complaint have been substantiated by sworn statements provided by members of the Enterprise and/or corroborated by documentary evidence.³ As alleged in further detail below, Azima (a U.S. citizen) and his co-Plaintiffs (U.S.-based entities) have suffered significant and direct harm in the U.S. from these predicate criminal acts. That harm, which included damage to Azima's businesses, legal expenses, a UK judgment, and loss of litigation interests, were foreseeable and were intended by the Enterprise. Indeed, the Enterprise described these goals in writing. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to recover treble damages from the RICO Defendants (as defined further below) and to other relief for the harm they have suffered at the hands of the Enterprise as a result of its long-running scheme.

PARTIES AND NON-PARTY CO-CONSPIRATORS

Plaintiffs

10. Plaintiff Farhad Azima is a U.S. citizen and businessman who has resided and worked in Kansas City, Missouri, since immigrating to the U.S. A successful entrepreneur, Azima founded and built numerous successful companies over the course of almost 50 years, including serving as chairman and CEO of multiple airlines. His companies have provided vital transportation services to the U.S. Department of Defense and the UK Ministry of Defence. Among other awards, he is a recipient of the Ellis Island Medal of Honor, which is bestowed on individuals who have made valuable contributions to the American way of life and immigrants who have preserved the distinct values and heritage of their ancestors. Azima was appointed by the President of the United States to the U.S. Economic Development Commission, and he

³ Azima has obtained sworn affidavits from multiple members of the Enterprise, bank records, invoices, and copies of reports prepared by the Enterprise that included hacked data from Azima and his associates, as well as significant circumstantial evidence. All of this evidence was uncovered less than four years before the filing of this Complaint, and in most cases the evidence was obtained in the past year.

currently serves on the Board of Trustees of the United States Army Command and General Staff
College Foundation and the American University of Afghanistan.

- 11. Plaintiff ALG Transportation, Inc. is a Missouri corporation with its principal place of business in Kansas City, Missouri. ALG is wholly owned by Azima.
- 12. Plaintiff Main 3260 LLC is a Missouri company with its principal place of business in Kansas City, Missouri. Main 3260 is a wholly-owned subsidiary of FFV Development LLC. As alleged in further detail below, it suffered significant harm as a result of the Enterprise's predicate acts.
- 13. Plaintiff FFV W39 LLC is a Missouri company with its principal place of business in Kansas City, Missouri. FFV W39 is a wholly owned subsidiary of FFV Development LLC. As alleged in further detail below, it suffered significant harm as a result of the Enterprise's predicate acts.
- 14. Plaintiff FFV Development LLC is a Missouri company with its principal place of business in Kansas City, Missouri. Azima owns 50% of FFV Development. As alleged in further detail below, it suffered significant harm as a result of the Enterprise's predicate acts.

RICO Defendants

15. Defendant Dechert LLP ("Dechert") is a Pennsylvania limited liability partnership registered in New York and also incorporated in the UK. Dechert is a global law firm with 22 offices around the world, including 10 in the U.S. As the firm has acknowledged in connection with a federal court proceeding, Dechert operates as a single firm and its offices are effectively a single entity. Dechert itself is a central figure in the RICO scheme. As alleged in further detail below, the Enterprise relied heavily on Dechert's personnel, infrastructure, offices, finances, and reputation to execute its scheme. Senior firm leadership was at least recklessly indifferent to the misconduct. Gerrard and others carried on the affairs of the Enterprise out of Dechert's New York

office, and the firm benefited substantially from the Enterprise's criminal activity, receiving millions of dollars in fees between 2014 and 2020.

- 16. Defendant Gerrard is one of the leaders of the Enterprise. Between 2011 and 2020, he was a partner, Global Co-Head of the White-Collar and Securities Litigation Practice, and member of Dechert's Policy Committee. In those roles, and using the substantial resources provided to him and the Enterprise by Dechert, Gerrard directed and oversaw the Enterprise's scheme to defraud and harm the Plaintiffs and the years-long cover up of the Enterprise's crimes.
- 17. Defendant David Graham Hughes ("Hughes") is a lawyer and former Dechert partner who served as a high-level deputy of Gerrard in the Enterprise. Between September 2014 and June 2017, Hughes was a partner at Dechert, where he worked closely with Gerrard in organizing and structuring the Enterprise, coordinating and carrying out its affairs, and directing and executing its illegal acts. After suddenly leaving Dechert in 2017 and bringing the UK proceeding with him, Hughes joined Stewarts Law LLP as a partner, where he continued to work with Gerrard to manage and execute the affairs of the Enterprise, including its criminal cover-up campaign.
- Defendant Nicholas Del Rosso ("Del Rosso") lives and resides in Charlotte, North Carolina, and is the owner of Defendant Vital Management Services, Inc. ("Vital"), a company organized and based in North Carolina. Del Rosso and Vital were hired by the Enterprise, acting through Gerrard and Dechert, to conduct illegal computer hacking operations on its behalf in the U.S. and elsewhere. Del Rosso repeatedly met with other members of the Enterprise in New York to plan and coordinate its affairs. Del Rosso also worked with other members of the Enterprise to obtain and disseminate hacked and stolen materials to harm Azima and others; to obstruct multiple proceedings in U.S. courts related to the Enterprise's misconduct; and to manipulate U.S. law

enforcement into investigating Azima in an effort to silence Azima and distract from and conceal the Enterprise's own criminal conduct.

- 19. Defendant Vital is a company organized under the laws of North Carolina and located at 1340 Environ Way, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, 27517. Vital purports to provide legitimate private investigative services but was, in fact, used by Del Rosso to participate in the Enterprise's criminal conduct, including the receipt and transfer of funds into and from the U.S. to pay for and promote the Enterprise's illegal hacking operations and obstruction-of-justice campaign.
- 20. Defendant Amit Forlit ("Forlit") is a resident of Israel and the owner of U.S. companies Insight Analysis and Research LLC ("Insight") and SDC-Gadot LLC ("SDC-Gadot"). Acting at the direction of Gerrard and other members of the Enterprise, Forlit orchestrated the hacking and theft of private emails, and then assisted the Enterprise in covering up such conduct through the obstruction of U.S. judicial proceedings. To carry out these crimes and conceal their past criminal actions on behalf of the Enterprise, Forlit utilized Insight and SDC-Gadot to receive and transfer funds into and from the U.S. to pay for and promote the Enterprise's hacking operations and obstruction-of-justice campaign.
- 21. Defendant Insight is a limited liability company organized under the laws of Florida with its principal place of business at 13727 SW 152 Street, Unit 715, Miami, Florida. Insight is one of two U.S. entities created, owned, and controlled by Forlit that the Enterprise used to receive millions of U.S. dollars in U.S. bank accounts sent from outside the U.S., which was then used by the Enterprise to pay for and promote its hacking operations and obstruction-of-justice campaign, including through further transfers to bank accounts outside of the U.S. In addition, Forlit used

Insight to engage in further transfers in the U.S. to launder funds intended to pay for the Enterprise's unlawful activity.

- 22. Defendant SDC-Gadot is a limited liability company organized under the laws of Florida with its principal place of business at 210 West 89th Street, Apt 1K, New York, New York, 10024. SDC-Gadot is one of two U.S. entities created, owned, and controlled by Forlit that the Enterprise used to receive millions of U.S. dollars in U.S. bank accounts sent from outside the U.S., which was then used by the Enterprise to pay for and promote its hacking operations and obstruction-of-justice campaign, including through further transfers to bank accounts outside of the U.S. In addition, Forlit used SDC-Gadot to engage in further transfers in the U.S. to launder funds intended to pay for the Enterprise's unlawful activity.
- 23. Defendant Amir Handjani ("Handjani") is a U.S. citizen who lives in New York, New York, and currently serves as a "Senior Advisor" with Defendant KARV Communications Inc. ("KARV"). Handjani repeatedly met with other members of the Enterprise in New York and elsewhere to plan and coordinate its attacks on Azima and cover-up of the Enterprise's criminal actions. Handjani served for many years as a "front man" for the Enterprise, tasked with responsibility for befriending Azima and deceiving him as to the Enterprise's role in the hacking and theft of his documents, materials, and other information. Handjani also received reports prepared by Enterprise hackers, regularly attended high-level Enterprise meetings at Dechert's New York offices, and guaranteed offers of payment to witnesses in exchange for testimony concealing the roles of Enterprise members.
- 24. Defendant Andrew Frank ("Frank") is a U.S. citizen who lives in New York, New York, and is the founder and current President of Defendant KARV Communications. Frank repeatedly met with other members of the Enterprise in New York to plan and coordinate its affairs,

received materials unlawfully obtained from Azima and others by the Enterprise through hacking, and then disseminated those materials to the press for the purpose of attacking the credibility of Azima and others associated with Azima.

25. Defendant KARV is a purported communications and lobbying firm located at 370 Lexington Avenue, Suite 2001, New York, NY 10017. According to Foreign Agents Registration Act ("FARA") filings, KARV has served as a registered foreign agent of RAK since 2013. KARV was a key architect of the Enterprise's broader strategy for attacking and harming Azima. In addition, KARV received materials unlawfully obtained from Azima and others by the Enterprise through hacking and then disseminated those materials to the press for the purpose of attacking the credibility of Azima and others associated with Azima.

Non-Party Co-Conspirators and Members of the Enterprise

- 26. The Enterprise also included additional individuals and business entities (together with the Defendants, the "RICO Conspirators") who are not named as parties here. These members played important roles in the affairs of the Enterprise, including participating in the obstruction of justice and wire fraud scheme to harm and bankrupt Azima. Non-party RICO Conspirators include the following individuals and entities:
 - a. RAKIA is a sovereign wealth fund of the Emirate RAK, one of the United Arab Emirates. RAK and its Ruler control RAKIA. RAK hired Dechert, Gerrard, Hughes, James Edward Dennison Buchanan ("Buchanan") and Stuart Robert Page ("Page"), to conduct investigations involving Azima and others. In 2016, the Enterprise used Azima's stolen data to induce him to enter into a fraudulent settlement agreement with RAKIA (the "2016 Settlement Agreement") designed to ensnare him costly litigation.
 - b. Sheikh Saud Bin Saqr Al-Qasimi (the "Ruler") is the head of state of the Emirate of Ras Al Khaimah, which owns and controls RAKIA.

- c. Buchanan is a resident of the UK and Canada (and at one point neighbor of Gerrard) who served as a key lieutenant of Gerrard in the operation of the Enterprise. Between 2014 and 2019, Buchanan worked closely with Gerrard in organizing and structuring the Enterprise, coordinating its affairs, and executing its illegal acts. Buchanan also used at least one shell company to pay for illegal activity on behalf of the Enterprise. Buchanan has transacted business and engaged in tortious and illegal conduct on behalf of the Enterprise in the U.S. and New York that gives rise in part to Plaintiffs' claims.
- d. Page is a resident of the UK who helped organize the Enterprise's unlawful hacking operations. Acting on behalf of the Enterprise, Page hired others to assist in the Enterprise's hacking and wired funds from overseas into the U.S. to pay for and promote the Enterprise's hacking operations. Page also took part in the Enterprise's efforts to cover up its criminal activity by obstructing U.S. judicial proceedings, conspiring with other members of the Enterprise to provide false testimony regarding the source of the hacked and stolen materials. A UK court found that Page had given false testimony on this topic.
- e. Eitan Arusy ("Arusy") is a resident of the U.S. who participated in regular meetings with Gerrard, Buchanan, Page, and Forlit regarding reports prepared by Page and Forlit that assembled and relayed information about Azima and others obtained through the Enterprise's unlawful hacking operations. Acting on behalf of the Enterprise, Forlit paid Arusy through Arusy's U.S.-based entity, Global Impact Services, LLC ("Global Impact Services"). In addition, although the reasons remain unclear, Arusy, through Global Impact Services, paid Forlit more than \$700,000 on behalf of the Enterprise from September 2020 through September 2021.

- f. Patrick Tristram Finucane Grayson ("Grayson") is a resident of the UK who procured, processed, and laundered stolen materials for the Enterprise. Together with the RICO Defendants, Grayson also obstructed U.S. proceedings on behalf of the Enterprise to cover up the Enterprise's criminal activity through witness tampering, concealment of evidence, and other obstructive conduct.
- g. Paul Robinson ("Robinson") is a resident of the UK. He was paid by the Enterprise to hack certain victims on behalf of the Enterprise and to steal their data, documents, and information. Robinson also conspired with other members of the Enterprise to conceal the Enterprise's unlawful conduct through the destruction of documents. Robinson reported to Del Rosso and was at times supervised by Grayson.
- h. Cyber Root Risk Advisory Private Limited ("Cyber Root") is a firm based in India that regularly engages in illegal hacking. On behalf of the Enterprise, Del Rosso and Vital paid Cyber Root over one million U.S. dollars using the U.S. financial system for hacking Azima, stealing his confidential data, documents, and personal information, and orchestrating their release on the internet.
- i. Aditya Jain ("Jain") is a resident of India and the owner of Indian cyber company Cyber Defense and Analytics ("Cyber Defense"). Acting at the direction of Del Rosso, Jain and Cyber Defense hacked and stole private emails, documents, records, and other information from Azima and his associates. On behalf of the Enterprise, Del Rosso and Vital paid Jain, through Cyber Defense, significant sums of money in U.S. dollars sent from the U.S. for this hacking.
- j. Majdi El Halabi ("Halabi") is a resident of Israel and a purported journalist. Halabi conspired with other members of the Enterprise, including Gerrard, Forlit, Page, and

Buchanan, to obstruct U.S. judicial proceedings and cover up the Enterprise's unlawful activities by, among other things, providing false testimony regarding the source of the hacked and stolen materials. A UK court found that Halabi had given false testimony on this topic and that his story on discovering the hacked documents with a simple Google search was "not true."

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 27. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' claims under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1332, and under 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c). Plaintiffs' first claim for relief arises under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961-1968, as alleged in further detail in this Complaint.
- 28. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2), as a substantial number of the events giving rise to this action occurred in this District, and also under 18 U.S.C. § 1965(a) because Defendants Dechert, KARV, Frank, and Handjani conduct their affairs in New York.
- 29. Personal jurisdiction exists over Dechert under 18 U.S.C. § 1965(a) because Dechert conducts its affairs in New York. Dechert has an office at Three Bryant Park, 1095 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York. Dechert's website states: "Established in 1980, Dechert's New York office is our largest and most globally focused office in the United States." Dechert LLP, New York Office, https://www.dechert.com/locations/offices/new-york.html (last visited Oct. 13, 2022). Many of Dechert's decision-making stakeholders are also based in New York and because Dechert conducts extensive business activities in the state, and by and through the activities of its partners who are based out of and participate from New York. In addition, Dechert is a Pennsylvania-organized LLP. The exercise of jurisdiction over Dechert is proper in this District pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1965(b), and the ends of justice require application of the nationwide service provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 1965(b) because there is no district in which all of

the RICO Defendants could otherwise be tried together. Dechert has also transacted business and engaged in conduct in the United States and New York that gives rise to Azima's claims. Among other things, Enterprise meetings were regularly held at Dechert's offices in New York, and were attended by Dechert leaders, partners, and others. Dechert partners made or caused to be made false and misleading statements from New York, and participated in cover-up meetings from New York. As described in this Complaint, Dechert has served as a central figure in the conspiracy against Azima.

- 30. Exercise of jurisdiction over Defendants Andrew Frank and KARV Communications is reasonable and proper in this District pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1965(a) because Frank is a resident of New York and KARV is a New York entity, and both conduct extensive business within the state, including activities which give rise in part to Plaintiffs' claims. Frank is the Founder and President of KARV Communications, which is located and does business in New York. KARV's decision-making stakeholders are based in New York. Through his activities in New York, Frank participated in the Enterprise by defrauding Azima and causing the filing of false statements in U.S. and UK courts in service of the Enterprise's goal of inflicting reputation harm and massive litigation costs on Azima.
- 31. Exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant Handjani is reasonable and proper in this District pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1965(a) because Handjani is a citizen of New York and conducts extensive business activities within the State, including activities which give rise in part to Plaintiffs' claims. Handjani is employed by New York-based KARV Communications. Handjani met with Gerrard and other Dechert partners in New York regarding Enterprise business. Through his activities in New York, Handjani has assisted the Enterprise in defrauding Azima, causing the

filing of false statements in U.S. and UK courts in service of the Enterprise's goal of inflicting reputation harm and massive litigation costs on Azima, and tampering with witnesses.

- 32. Defendant Del Rosso is resident in North Carolina, and Vital is incorporated and has its principal place of business in North Carolina. The exercise of jurisdiction over each of these Defendants is proper in this District pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1965(b), and the ends of justice require application of the nationwide service provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 1965(b) because there is no district in which all of the RICO Defendants could otherwise be tried together. Defendants Del Rosso and Vital also transacted business and engaged in conduct in the United States and New York which give rise in part to Plaintiffs' claims. Del Rosso, at times through his company Vital,⁴ participated in meetings in New York with the RICO Conspirators in which they discussed and planned the use of the hacked data and deploying false statements in U.S. and UK courts and to U.S. law enforcement agencies in service of the Enterprise's goal of inflicting reputation harm and litigation costs on Azima. In addition, Del Rosso and Vital used the U.S. financial system to send multiple wires overseas for the purpose of promoting unlawful criminal activity and, on information and belief, these wires passed through New York on their way overseas.
- 33. Defendant Insight is a Florida company owned and controlled by Forlit. The exercise of jurisdiction over this defendant is proper in this District pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1965(b), and the ends of justice require application of the nationwide service provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 1965(b) because there is no district in which all of the RICO Defendants could otherwise be tried together. Insight also transacted business and engaged in conduct in the United States and New York that give rise in part to Azima's claims. Through Insight, Forlit used the U.S. financial

⁴ Vital is the alter ego of Del Rosso.

system to send multiple wires overseas for the purpose of promoting unlawful criminal activity and, on information and belief, these wires passed through New York. There is a substantial nexus between Insight's purposeful availment of the New York forum and Azima's claims. The ends of justice require application of the nationwide service provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 1965(b) because there is no district in which all of the RICO Defendants could otherwise be tried together.

- 34. Exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant SDC-Gadot is proper pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1965(a) because SDC-Gadot lists its principal place of business as New York. In addition, Defendant SDC-Gadot is a Florida company owned and controlled by Forlit. The exercise of jurisdiction over this defendant is proper in this District pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1965(b), and the ends of justice require application of the nationwide service provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 1965(b) because there is no district in which all of the RICO Defendants could otherwise be tried together. SDC-Gadot also transacted business and engaged in conduct in the United States and New York that give rise in part to Azima's claims. Through SDC-Gadot, Forlit used the U.S. financial system to send multiple wires overseas for the purpose of promoting unlawful criminal activity and, on information and belief, these wires passed through New York.
- 35. Exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant Gerrard is proper pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1965(b). Gerrard transacted business and engaged in conduct in the United States and New York which gives rise in part to Plaintiffs' claims. Through his regular business activities in New York while a partner at Dechert, Gerrard directed all aspects of the Enterprise's scheme to defraud and harm Azima, and to oversee the cover up of the Enterprise's illegal and actionable activities. Among other things, Gerrard (i) repeatedly met with Handjani, Frank, Del Rosso, and other Dechert lawyers in New York to cause the filing of false statements in U.S. and UK courts and to U.S. law enforcement agencies in service of the Enterprise's goal of inflicting reputation harm and

litigation costs on Azima; and (ii) met with Buchanan, Page, and others in New York and in Houston to encourage law enforcement reliance on false statements in the pursuit of meritless criminal investigations against Azima. Gerrard also caused payments to be made to Del Rosso in the United States for hacking and other illegal conduct. For the same reasons, Gerrard has engaged in intentional, wrongful, illegal, and/or acts the effects of which Gerrard knew and intended would be felt in the United States and New York. And, as set forth more fully herein, at Gerrard's direction, the RICO Conspirators have engaged in intentional, wrongful, illegal, and/or acts in the United States and New York. The activities of Gerrard's co-conspirators and agents benefited Gerrard, and his co-conspirators and agents were working at the direction, under the control, at the request, and/or on behalf of Gerrard in committing those acts.

36. Exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant Hughes is proper pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1965(b). Hughes has transacted business and engaged in conduct in the United States and New York which gives rise in part to Plaintiffs' claims. As detailed further below, Defendant Hughes engaged in conduct in the United States and directed toward the United States related to the scheme and directed at the United States proceedings. For the same reasons, Hughes has engaged in intentional, wrongful, illegal, and/or acts the effects of which Hughes knew and intended would be felt in the United States and New York. Also, as set forth more fully herein, Hughes' co-conspirators and agents have engaged in intentional, wrongful, illegal, and/or acts in the United States and New York. Hughes was aware of the effects in the United States and New York of those acts. The activities of Hughes' co-conspirators and agents were to the benefit of Hughes and the Enterprise, and his co-conspirators and agents were working at the direction, under the control, at the request, and/or on behalf of Hughes in committing those acts.

37. Exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant Forlit is proper pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1965(b) because Forlit transacted business and engaged in conduct in the United States and New York that give rise in part to Azima's claims. Among other things, Forlit participated in meetings in New York with the RICO Conspirators in which they discussed and planned the use of the hacked data and deploying false statements in U.S. and UK courts and to U.S. law enforcement agencies in service of the Enterprise's goal of inflicting reputation harm and litigation costs on Azima. Forlit, through his U.S.-based companies Insight and SDC-Gadot, used the U.S. financial system to send and receive multiple wires overseas and within the U.S. for the purpose of promoting unlawful criminal activity, including wires that passed through New York. Also, as set forth more fully herein, Forlit's co-conspirators have engaged in intentional, wrongful, illegal, and/or acts in the United States and New York. Forlit was aware of the effects in the United States and New York of those acts.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

I. THE INITIAL CRIME: UNLAWFUL DETENTION AND INTERROGATION OF AL SADEO

- A. Dechert and Gerrard Unlawfully Detain and Interrogate Al Sadeq
- 38. In 2014, Gerrard and Dechert were hired to represent RAK to investigate allegations that its former CEO, Khater Massaad, had defrauded RAK. The matter presented an extremely important and potentially lucrative opportunity for Gerrard and the firm to generate substantial fees.
- 39. Gerrard quickly sought to expand Dechert's work for their new client. Under Gerrard's direction, Dechert embarked on a wide-scale global "investigation," which targeted several perceived enemies of its new client. One such target was Al Sadeq.

40. In 2020, Al Sadeq filed suit against Dechert, Gerrard, Hughes, and Dechert partner Caroline Black in the UK, detailing and seeking redress for his detention and abuse. According to Al Sadeq, after being illegally extradited to RAK, he was detained in inhumane conditions and subjected to coerced interrogations by Gerrard, Hughes, and others. Al Sadeq alleges that he was blindfolded with his hands tied behind his back while being interrogated by Gerrard, and that during the interrogations, Gerrard pressured Al Sadeq to pay Dechert's legal fees owed to the firm by RAK. He further alleges that Black also conducted an illegal search of Al Sadeq's home and threatened his wife and children. Al Sadeq, however, repeatedly refused to comply with his captors.

B. Azima and Others Attempt to Expose the Enterprise's Human Rights Violations

- 41. In the fall of 2014, Azima learned of the unlawful detention and interrogations of Al Sadeq, and Dechert's involvement in the abuse and mistreatment of Al Sadeq and others believed to be enemies of RAK.
- 42. Azima, Massaad, and others sought to end the mistreatment of Al Sadeq and secure his release by investigating and publicizing the conduct of Gerrard and others. Media outlets and humanitarian organizations were provided details of the abuse and began to investigate.
- 43. These efforts put Azima and others directly in the Enterprise's crosshairs once high-level members of the Enterprise learned of their efforts. Members of the Enterprise warned Al Sadeq's wife not to speak with journalist Simon Goodley from The Guardian regarding the matter. Simultaneously, the Enterprise put into motion a detailed and documented plan to hack and steal Azima's confidential information and data, then release the data to tarnish his personal and professional reputation and induce him into costly and damaging litigation.

II. THE ATTACK ON AZIMA: HACKING, THEFT, AND FRAUDULENT LITIGATION

44. In an attempt to prevent Azima and others from exposing their human rights abuses, Gerrard and the Enterprise developed a plan to retaliate against and intimidate him. The plan initially involved hiring hackers to unlawfully access and steal Azima's confidential and highly sensitive documents, data, and other information, publishing the hacked data to discredit Azima, and then using that hacked data to embroil Azima in litigation. Although Azima originally sought redress for this misconduct in U.S. courts, the litigation was eventually transferred to the UK by virtue of a forum selection clause in a settlement agreement that the Enterprise had deployed as a trap to force Azima to defend the litigation overseas. As a result, litigation over the hacking remains pending in UK court.

A. The Enterprise Hacks Azima, His Associates, and Other Perceived Enemies of RAK

- 45. A key component of the Enterprise's strategy against Azima involved a coordinated and concealed effort to hack him and steal his confidential and highly sensitive information. In April 2015, Gerrard, Buchanan, Handjani, Frank, and others had discussions via email and over the phone regarding how to "target" Azima, through a "coordinate[d] . . . attack" that would involve bringing civil or criminal lawsuits against Azima. While at least one member of the Enterprise recognized there was no basis for such litigation, the Enterprise did in fact seek to bring civil and criminal charges against Azima based upon hacked material.
- 46. Starting in 2015, Azima and his associates began to receive what were later determined to be "phishing" emails designed to gain unlawful access to their email accounts and computers. According to an analysis conducted by Reuters, hackers targeted more than a dozen email accounts owned or operated by Azima and his associates with a coordinated phishing campaign conducted between April 2015 and August 2016.

- 47. This hacking campaign was directed and paid for by the Enterprise, which employed multiple hackers. Del Rosso and his company, Vital, were retained and instructed by Dechert, and were paid by Dechert until at least 2019. Upon information and belief, Del Rosso received these funds through bank accounts in the U.S.
- 48. Del Rosso, in turn, hired Jain and his company, Cyber Defense, to hack Azima and other targets identified by Del Rosso. Del Rosso also hired CyberRoot to hack Azima, and Del Rosso, through Vital, paid CyberRoot more than \$1 million. Buchanan's company Gravitas International also paid CyberRoot nearly \$450,000 at the direction of Del Rosso.
- 49. The Enterprise also hired Forlit to hack and to prepare reports about the victims using the hacked data. Forlit, in turn, paid other individuals and entities who assisted in the hacking and analysis.
- 50. Through its illegal hacking operations, the Enterprise unlawfully obtained real-time access to Azima's computers and email accounts without his knowledge. For example, one of Azima's email accounts was accessed without his knowledge in October 2015. The two IP addresses that accessed Azima's accounts were traced back to computers located in Florida and New York, respectively.
- 51. Between March 2015 and May 2020, members of the Enterprise prepared regular reports ("Hacking Reports") detailing the substance of the information they had unlawfully obtained. Some of those reports included hacked data from Azima and outlined strategies for using the hacked data to attack him. Page worked closely with Gerrard and Buchanan to oversee the Enterprise's hacking operation and preparation of these Hacking Reports, which the Enterprise referred to as "Project Beech" and "Project Beach."

- 52. The Hacking Reports contained information that, on its face, was plainly confidential and clearly belonged to Azima, including excerpts of Azima's emails, his financial records, including documents reflecting account numbers and balances for multiple bank accounts belonging to or associated with him, and screen shots of his passports. Additionally, some Hacking Reports, including those dated January 2016 and February 2016, contained excerpts of privileged correspondence between Azima and his attorney. Buchanan received the Hacking Reports from Page immediately after they were written, and Gerrard sometimes read the reports in the presence of Buchanan. Gerrard has acknowledged that he read approximately twenty-four reports.
- 53. The Enterprise employed covert means to distribute these Hacking Reports to other members of the Enterprise. For example, Page and Forlit created a single email account to which they both had access. Forlit would prepare a draft email that attached a hacking report and leave that draft in the draft folder. Page would then access the draft folder of that email account, download the report, and then delete the draft message and the attached report. Members of the Enterprise also regularly used covert messaging applications, such as Confide, Threema, Silent Circle, Signal, and Telegram to communicate, and set the applications to automatically delete messages shortly after reading in order to avoid a record of their communications. After March 2020, the Enterprise transmitted Hacking Reports through these messaging applications. The Hacking Reports were also couriered to Gerrard at Dechert's London office and at Gerrard's home in the UK.
- 54. The Enterprise used the Hacking Reports to plan future attacks on Azima and others. Gerrard assembled a team, including Buchanan, Arusy, Page, and Forlit, to meet regularly and discuss strategy and tactics. During these meetings, Gerrard, Buchanan, and Arusy provided feedback to Page and Forlit regarding the contents of the reports and next steps in the investigation

of Azima. Forlit met with Gerrard at least a dozen times between 2015 and 2020, including at Dechert's offices in New York. Gerrard, Handjani, Buchanan, Frank, Del Rosso, and others also met regularly during this time period, including at Dechert's offices in New York, to plan strategy for the attacks against Azima.

- 55. The Enterprise spent millions of dollars on its hacking campaign. From March 2015 through May 2020, Page was paid approximately \$300,000 per month for his role in the Enterprise, and he sometimes was paid additional amounts for extra work or expenditures. In order to conceal the illegal nature of the work, Page issued falsified invoices that appeared to be for legitimate services. For example, falsified invoices dated between November 2019 and June 2020 claim to be for a "feasibility study to identify market potential to provide management services in the African subcontinent" even though Page did not conduct any such feasibility study. The invoices were falsified to avoid producing the invoices in litigation.
- 56. Page, in turn, paid Forlit approximately \$250,000 each month between October 2017 and May 2020. Page made these payments in U.S. dollars sent from outside the U.S. to U.S. based bank accounts at JP Morgan Chase, Citibank, and Bank of America belonging to Forlit's Florida-based companies, Insight and SDC-Gadot. *See* Exhibit A (summary of money laundering transactions).
- 57. Forlit used the funds Page transferred to him on behalf of the Enterprise to make payments to other hackers who were, upon information and belief, employed by the Enterprise. For example, in March 2018, Forlit's company, SDC-Gadot, sent \$55,000 to Aviram Hawk Consultant. In April 2018, Forlit's other company, Insight, sent an additional \$32,000 to Aviram Hawk Consultant. Aviram Hawk Consultant is a company owned by or associated with Aviram Azari. In 2022, Azari pleaded guilty in federal court in New York to an indictment charging him

with conspiracy to commit computer hacking, conspiracy to commit wire fraud, wire fraud, and aggravated identity theft based on allegations that he successfully gained access to private email accounts through the use of phishing emails.⁵

58. Forlit also caused Insight and SDC-Gadot to send payments to Dinka Analysis Services, a company owned by Rafi Pridan, for Pridan's role in introducing Forlit to Page. Pridan has twice been charged in Israel in connection with illegal wiretapping. Other "analysts" paid by Forlit, through Insight and SDC-Gadot, included BMI Analysis Limited, Insight GSIA, Global Impact Services, and Fusion GPS.

B. The Enterprise Creates Its 2016 Action Plan to Harm Azima and Others

- 59. The Enterprise's scheme to attack Azima and cause him to incur significant financial damages was reflected in writing. The plan, which was drafted at least in part by Frank and KARV (for whom Handjani serves as a senior advisor) with direction from Gerrard and others, described a multi-prong approach by the Enterprise to attack and damage Azima, including civil litigation, criminal investigations, and planting stories with media. One of the objectives of the Enterprise's scheme to defraud was to cause economic damages to Azima and his businesses.
- 60. In December 2015, Frank, Gerrard, and others, including upon information and belief Handjani, met in New York to discuss this plan. In early January 2016, Frank provided an informal outline of the plan, which was prepared based on documents obtained through the Enterprise's hacking and intelligence-gathering operation described above. The outline, titled "View from the Window," summarized a strategy for attacking and harming those who had sought to expose the Enterprise's criminal conduct, with a particular focus on Azima. In the document,

⁵ See Indictment ¶ 3f, United States v. Azari, No. 19-cr-610 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 30, 2019), ECF No. 7.

Frank solicited additional information from Gerrard that Frank could use to develop the outline to attack Azima and others further.

61. On or about January 26, 2016, the Enterprise prepared a "comprehensive action plan" (the "Action Plan") stating that the Enterprise's scheme was about to enter "a new and decisive phase in that a wide-scale legal action is about to commence in multiple jurisdictions" against Azima and others. The Action Plan was created shortly after Frank's email to Gerrard describing the "View from the Window" and includes overlapping content, indicating that Frank and KARV were also responsible for drafting the Action Plan in conjunction with Gerrard. This was later confirmed by Gerrard, who testified in a UK court that Gerrard hired Frank to create "a global strategic plan." The ten-page Action Plan identified steps the Enterprise would take to damage Azima's "reputation and even [ex]pose him [to] criminal exposure," including "an online campaign against U.S. individuals." An important step outlined in the Action Plan was "the publishing of selected materials" and the use of "blogs in order to harm his reputation." The object of the scheme was to defraud Azima of money and property by harming his businesses and bankrupting him.

C. The Enterprise Induces Azima to Enter into a Fraudulent Settlement Agreement

62. Consistent with the Action Plan, after the Enterprise obtained Azima's stolen data in or around August 2015, the Enterprise sought to develop a basis to "target" Azima with civil and criminal litigation. Using a stale commercial dispute between Azima and RAK, the Enterprise, acting through Dechert, induced Azima to enter into a written settlement agreement ("the 2016 Settlement Agreement") with RAK, which imposed on Azima (1) a duty of "good faith" towards RAK and (2) an English jurisdiction and choice of law clause, even though neither party was located in England. The English jurisdiction benefited the Enterprise since the lawsuit against

Azima was filed and managed by Dechert, Gerrard, Hughes, and others. Azima was reluctant to sign the 2016 Settlement Agreement, but eventually did so at the urging of Handjani and Buchanan, who were acting on behalf of the Enterprise.

- 63. The 2016 Settlement Agreement was a trap. While it provided Azima a \$2.6 million payment, it imposed on him obligations that the Enterprise already intended to exploit in future litigation. At the time Dechert was purportedly negotiating in good faith with Azima, it had access to his confidential documents and emails, including communications between Azima and his attorney discussing the proposed 2016 Settlement Agreement. Upon information and belief, after inducing Azima to assume a duty of "good faith" towards RAK, Dechert intended to sue Azima on behalf of their client, arguing that he violated his duty of good faith based on the documents and communications the Enterprise had already unlawfully obtained through its hacking activities.
- 64. Indeed, in a letter to the Ruler of RAK, Buchanan described the good-faith clause as "the key clause in this agreement" given the Enterprise's "wider objectives." Upon information and belief, those "wider objectives" included harming Azima to neutralize him and cause him injury for attempting to bring the human rights abuses of Dechert and RAK to light. Dechert also included the forum-selection provision to enable it to sue Azima on behalf of RAK in England, a highly inconvenient and expensive forum given his residence in Kansas City, Missouri.

D. The Enterprise Launders Azima's Stolen Data on the Internet

- 65. On or about July 16, 2016, after the 2016 Settlement Agreement had been signed, Azima met with Buchanan, Gerrard, and another Dechert lawyer. During this meeting, Gerrard threatened to make Azima "collateral damage" in the Enterprise's attacks against Massaad.
- 66. To make use of the confidential documents and information of Azima it had obtained through its hacking operations, the Enterprise needed a plausible and innocent

explanation for how it came into possession of the materials. Accordingly, it devised a complicated plan to launder the materials by publishing them on the internet.

- 67. Within weeks of the July 2016 meeting in which Gerrard threatened Azima, anonymous blog sites appeared on the internet which included content disparaging Azima. These blog sites also contained links through which some of Azima's stolen data could be downloaded from the internet. On or around August 4, 2016, approximately 27.75 GB of Azima's materials appeared on the internet sites. Additional data appeared on or around August 30, 2016 and September 8, 2016. In total, the blogs included links to more than 30 GB of data unlawfully obtained from ten email accounts belonging to Azima and one of his associates. The stolen data comprised approximately 161,702 emails, 13,736 photographs or other images, and 840 voice recordings. It also included Azima's calendar appointments, call history, SMS messages, Viber messages, WhatsApp messages, videos, voicemails, contacts, and notes. Additionally, the data included important business records, privileged and confidential communications, financial documents, information, and trade secrets for Azima's businesses, including ALG.
- 68. Members of the Enterprise then created a false exculpatory data trail intended to show they had innocently found the data on the internet in August 2016. On August 16, 2016, for example, Buchanan wrote to Frank and Handjani and falsely stated that he was informed by Page "last night that there is an internet site that is carrying a huge amount of material relating to FA." Similarly, Gerrard sent an email via Dechert's servers deceptively asking Del Rosso to search for the website that he knew that the Enterprise itself was responsible for creating, and which held data he had been repeatedly reviewing through regular Hacking Reports. In addition, Gerrard had emailed Del Rosso a week before (on August 9) about links containing the hacked data, proving that the emails on August 15 and 16 were a ruse.

E. The Enterprise Brings Civil Litigation Against Azima

- 69. Once the data was made available on the internet, the Enterprise executed the next step of the plan, in which the Enterprise would deploy the hacked materials against Azima, as outlined in the Enterprise's January 2016 Action Plan. On September 23, 2016, Hughes sent a letter via U.S. mail and wire to Azima's U.S. counsel claiming that Azima had breached the 2016 Settlement Agreement. Hughes's letter threatened to sue Azima on behalf of RAK unless Azima paid \$4.2 million within seven days. Days later, as contemplated by the Action Plan, the Enterprise brought suit against Azima in the English High Court on behalf of RAKIA for breach of the 2016 Settlement Agreement (the "UK Proceeding").6
- 70. To support its claim of breach, Dechert's letter attached excerpts from Azima's hacked documents and data, which had been printed from Dechert's servers by a Dechert secretary. Although the Enterprise was responsible for stealing the information from Azima and placing it on the internet, Hughes's letter claimed that Dechert had innocently found the documents on "publicly available" websites.
- 71. Those claims, which were false, were intended to defraud Azima and extort him into paying millions of dollars to RAK. The Enterprise itself had placed Azima's documents and data on the internet after hacking his accounts and stealing it from him. Initially, the links to all 30 GB of Azima's stolen material were inaccessible to all but Dechert and the RICO Conspirators. After Azima's counsel noted this fact, the Enterprise published WeTransfer links on the blog sites

⁶ The UK Proceeding remains ongoing. In 2020, RAKIA secured a favorable trial decision in the UK against Azima, but it was recently vacated and remanded for a new trial regarding whether RAKIA, Dechert, Gerrard, and Buchanan were responsible for the hacking of Azima. RAKIA has since withdrawn its defense and offered to settle Azima's claim, acknowledging that its officers "may have been the victims of dishonest and unscrupulous former third-party advisors" – i.e. Gerrard and Dechert – "who have taken steps to advance their own interests for their own gains."

three different times – as recently as June 2019 – containing Azima's hacked data. These new links made Azima's previously unavailable data available to anyone, not just Dechert and the RICO Conspirators. Upon information and belief, the updated links were created by the Enterprise in order to conceal the fact that the Enterprise was responsible for the hacking.

72. The same day that Dechert filed suit in the UK against Azima for the alleged breach of contract, Azima sued RAKIA in the U.S. for hacking him and stealing his data. This suit was based in part upon the fact that Dechert's letter enclosed Azima's stolen data.

III. THE COVER-UP: OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, A PROTRACTED SMEAR CAMPAIGN, AND A FABRICATED CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION

- 73. As alleged above, the Enterprise and its members committed serious crimes against Al Sadeq and Azima. Much of this initial criminal activity by the Enterprise, including the detention and abuse of Al Sadeq and its early efforts to attack Azima, is the subject of ongoing litigation in the UK.
- 74. But the Enterprise's criminal conduct did not stop there. As detailed below, the Enterprise continues to this day to engage in an extensive and prolonged effort to cover up its crimes. Over at least the past four years, the Enterprise has continued its attacks on Azima and others, as initially outlined in its Action Plan, in an effort to silence him and to harm and bankrupt Azima and his businesses. Among other things, once the Enterprise placed Azima's stolen data on the internet, the Enterprise used the data to lobby law enforcement to investigate Azima and sought to induce media outlets to write false and damaging stories about Azima in an attempt to harm him and his businesses.
- 75. Then, as Azima came closer to uncovering the truth about the hacking through litigation in the U.S. and UK, the Enterprise repeatedly sought to obstruct justice including in

multiple proceedings brought in U.S. courts – to avoid detection and to obtain a multi-million-dollar judgment against Azima.

A. The Enterprise Seeks to Influence U.S. Law Enforcement in an Effort to Manufacture a Criminal Investigation of Azima and Others

- 76. As alleged above, the Enterprise's Action Plan to ruin Azima financially included using the data it hacked and stole from him to manipulate U.S. law enforcement agencies into launching a criminal investigation of him. From 2016 through at least 2019, the Enterprise, through Dechert and others, provided U.S. federal law enforcement agencies with a selection of documents stolen from Azima through hacking and an extensive dossier prepared by Dechert lawyers. Upon information and belief, the RICO Conspirators did not disclose to U.S. law enforcement that they had obtained Azima's data illegally.
- 77. As part of this scheme, the Enterprise sought to arrange multiple meetings with U.S. law enforcement authorities. For example, in February 2019, Page and others met in New York to plan for an upcoming meeting that was scheduled with agents from the Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI"). That meeting was ultimately canceled and rescheduled for March 2019.
- 78. In March 2019, Page, Gerrard, Buchanan, and attorney Chris Swecker, a former FBI agent who worked closely with Del Rosso and claimed to have significant personal contacts with the FBI, met with FBI agent Paul Zukas at a hotel in Houston, Texas. During the meeting, Zukas interviewed Page about Azima.
- 79. The Enterprise initially succeeded in instigating an FBI investigation of Azima that closely tracked the allegations raised by RAK in its demand letter and subsequent UK litigation against Azima. Azima, who fully cooperated, was forced to incur significant legal and professional fees responding to document requests and subpoenas. The investigation was subsequently terminated.

B. The Enterprise Launches a Media Campaign to Harm Azima

- 80. The Action Plan also called for the Enterprise to "contact several world leading reporters and investigative journalists and supply them with materials and evidence" about Azima "in order to be published." To implement this aspect of the plan, the Enterprise planted false and disparaging stories in the press alleging that Azima had defrauded RAK and violated international sanctions laws. The Enterprise also used false information and stolen data to influence other stories in the media regarding Azima and others. Upon information and belief, the Enterprise did not reveal that they had stolen the information that they provided to reporters, nor did it reveal to the media that some of their information was produced through coerced interrogations of Al Sadeq in violation of international law.
- 81. For example, AP journalists John Gambrell, Jack Gillum, and Jeff Horwitz published a June 2017 article citing hacked documents that denigrated Azima. In May 2018, Zach Dorfman with Politico cited Azima's hacked emails in another article that negatively portrayed Azima. Some journalists such as Gambrell, Horwitz, and Dorfman not only cited Azima's hacked documents in their negative coverage, but were also were "anonymously" sent new hacked

⁷ See, e.g., Ellen Milligan, UAE Wealth Fund Wins Fraud Suit Against Aviation Executive, Bloomberg Law (May 22, 2020, 12:53 PM)

https://www.bloomberglaw.com/product/blaw/document/QAQS4HDWX2QH?criteria_id=6332b7a3203c0c78ad56c7836f39245a&searchGuid=d44a63d0-2de5-464e-a23f-6fdbd9ad49a7; Krishnan Nair, Dechert Partner in Mining Company Dispute is Now Accused of Conspiring Against Tycoon, LAW.COM (Aug. 20, 2019, 4:18 AM), https://www.law.com/international-edition/2019/08/20/dechert-partner-in-enrc-dispute-is-now-accused-of-conspiring-against-tycoon/; Zach Dorfman, The Mysterious Tale of a Powerful American Businessman, Three Sanctioned Iranians and an Imprisonment in Tehran, POLITICO (May 27, 2018), https://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2018/05/27/the-mysterious-tale-of-a-powerful-american-businessman-an-emirati-sheikhdom-three-sanctioned-iranians-and-an-imprisonment-in-tehran-218405/; Paul Peachey, Aircraft magnate accused of 'secret plot' to smear RAK ruler, THE NATIONAL NEWS (July 19, 2018); https://www.thenationalnews.com/world/europe/aircraft-magnate-accused-of-secret-plot-to-smear-rak-ruler-1.751760; Jon Gambrell, Jack Gillum, and Jeff Horwitz, 'Worth killing over': How a plane mogul dodged US scrutiny, AP NEWS (June 21, 2017); https://apnews.com/article/iran-mo-state-wire-ks-state-wire-middle-east-international-news-4a4b6e9dfc0949e698ee0ada284414ed.

documents by Gerrard in April 2019 to generate more negative press. *See* ¶¶ 120-126. As alleged in further detail below, the Enterprise's successful efforts to plant false and disparaging stories such as these about Azima in the press caused extensive damage to his reputation and business interests.

82. In addition, in June 2019, the Enterprise published Azima's stolen data on WeTransfer links on the internet, which triggered additional negative media coverage directly harming Azima and his businesses.

C. Obstruction of Azima's D.C. District Court Proceeding

- 83. As alleged above, in September 2016, Azima filed his own suit against RAKIA in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, seeking compensation for the hacking of his accounts and theft of his emails, documents, and other information (the "D.C. District Court Proceeding"). The D.C. District Court Proceeding remained pending until 2020, when the D.C. court transferred it to the UK based on the forum selection clause contained in the 2016 Settlement Agreement that the Enterprise had fraudulently induced Azima to sign.
- 84. The D.C. District Court Proceeding threatened to expose the Enterprise's misconduct, and members of the Enterprise repeatedly sought to avoid detection through obstruction of justice. In March 2018, the D.C. Court denied RAKIA's motion to dismiss, filed by Dechert, paving the way for discovery to commence regarding the Enterprise's hacking. At that point, the UK Proceeding did not yet include any claims relating to hacking, which meant that the D.C. District Court Proceeding was the only legal proceeding then ongoing that posed a threat to expose the Enterprise's hacking operations.
- 85. Throughout the pendency of the D.C. District Court Proceeding, from 2016 up to and including 2020, the Enterprise repeatedly fabricated and destroyed evidence in order to obstruct the case by concealing their involvement in the hacking of Azima's accounts and the theft

of his data and information. The first evidence of this obstruction did not begin to come to light until approximately April 2019. Since then, additional evidence of the Enterprise's obstruction has continued to emerge, including as recently as April 2022.

- 86. Additionally, between February 2020 and June 2022, Azima obtained confessions from certain members of the Enterprise, including individuals who hacked on behalf of the Enterprise. In June 2022, Azima also obtained copies of a number of the Hacking Reports, discussed above, which for the first time revealed that many of the statements that the Enterprise made to the court and in affidavits and filings in connection with the D.C. District Court Proceeding were false, misleading, and/or deceptive. Upon information and belief, because the D.C. District Court Proceeding remained pending until July 2020, all steps by the RICO Conspirators until then to cover up their involvement in the hacking were taken, at least in part, to obstruct those proceedings.
 - 1. The Enterprise Makes False Statements in the D.C. District Court Proceeding and Develops False Testimony to Conceal Its Role in the Hacking of Azima
- 87. RAK's defense to the D.C. District Court Proceeding relied on two factual assertions: (1) the venue provision of the 2016 Settlement Agreement was valid and enforceable and had been obtained through a good-faith negotiation, and (2) the Enterprise had no involvement with the hacking of Azima, and Dechert had found the stolen documents through publicly available internet sources. Both of these foundational pillars were false, and the Enterprise members involved in the D.C. District Court Proceeding knew they were false. Gerrard and Hughes induced other Dechert partners to make statements Gerrard and Hughes knew were false, and that the other Dechert partners knew, or should have known, were false, each of which contributed to the Enterprise realizing its goals in relation to the D.C. litigation.

- 88. The first lie was successful. In 2019, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit held that the case must be transferred to the UK based on the venue provision of the 2016 Settlement Agreement. The D.C. District Court subsequently did so in 2020.
- 89. The second lie was also successful, at least initially. Due to the Enterprise's obstruction of the D.C. District Court Proceeding, it was able to conceal for many years its hacking of Azima and its role in laundering his documents and other data through the internet. It was able to embroil Azima in costly litigation, as it had described in its Action Plan, and ultimately was able to obtain a judgment against him based on the stolen data and false testimony.
- 90. Both false narratives were developed and perfected through a series of meetings involving the RICO Conspirators and were repeated in both the D.C. District Court Proceeding and the UK proceeding. Ultimately, the purposes of the false statements were to damage Azima, his associates, and his businesses by obtaining a multi-million judgment against him and to prevent him from recovering in the D.C. District Court Proceeding (and exposing the Enterprise's misconduct) by concealing that misconduct.
- 91. The Enterprise took numerous obstructive acts to corruptly interfere with the D.C. District Court Proceeding by inducing Dechert partners to make representations the Enterprise knew were false. For example, on October 6, 2016, Dechert's then-general counsel, Arthur Newbold, sent an email to Azima's U.S. counsel, copying Gerrard and Hughes, stating: "Your email suggests that our partner, David Hughes, has done something wrong, and I don't see from what you have said that he has done anything wrong." In a subsequent email to Azima's U.S. counsel, Newbold, speaking on behalf of Dechert and again copying Gerrard and Hughes, stated: "I have been assured that neither Dechert nor our client knows whether your client's computer was

hacked or by whom. I have also been told that Dechert is unaware of any communications between your client and his counsel."

- 92. Newbold's assurances, presumably obtained from Gerrard, were false and misleading. Gerrard, who was copied on Newbold's emails, knew that the Enterprise had hacked Azima's computer and stolen his confidential information. Gerrard was also aware that the Enterprise had unlawfully obtained privileged communications between Azima and his counsel, which they relied on as part of their scheme to defraud Azima.
- 93. On October 20, 2016, Dechert Partner Linda Goldstein emailed Azima's U.S. counsel and "reaffirmed the representations previously made" by Hughes and Dechert's General Counsel. Goldstein repeated the false claim that the hacked documents in Dechert's possession were found by the firm through publicly available internet sources. The email from Goldstein falsely stated that: "our client engaged experts to monitor press articles and other information The monitoring initially disclosed websites of documents from the Panama Papers detailing Mr. Azima's financial arrangements Standard Google searches also identified numerous websites (such as the ones identified in Mr. Hughes's letter of September 29) from which other documents relating to your client could be and were obtained." As with Newbold's statements, Gerrard knew that the documents had been stolen via hacking by the Enterprise at the time Goldstein made these claims.
- 94. In a motion to dismiss electronically filed on December 12, 2016 in the D.C. District Court Proceeding and signed by Goldstein, Dechert repeated the false claim that "Azima's documents were publicly available on the internet, which is where RAKIA obtained them."

- 95. On April 18, 2017, Goldstein stated during a hearing in the D.C. District Court Proceeding that "We, of course, deny that RAK Investment Authority or my law firm [Dechert] had anything whatsoever to do with hacking these documents."
- 96. On June 13, 2017, in Dechert's second motion to dismiss in the D.C. District Court Proceeding, electronically filed and signed by Goldstein, Dechert again falsely and misleadingly stated that "a consultant engaged by RAKIA identified a trove of Azima's documents that were available for download on the internet." In the motion, Dechert insisted the 2016 Settlement Agreement was obtained through a good-faith negotiation, and not fraud. Specifically, Dechert argued that RAK "would not have paid Azima \$2.6 million in March 2016 in reliance on a warranty of good faith" if they had been able to hack Azima's emails prior to the settlement. This statement was false and misleading because the Enterprise had hacked Azima prior to March 2016, was reviewing his communications (including privileged communications about the 2016 Settlement Agreement) in real-time at the time the agreement was executed, and already intended to sue Azima to recoup more than the \$2.6 million that he was initially paid out under the 2016 Settlement Agreement.
- 97. On June 13, 2017, Dechert electronically filed a sworn affidavit from Buchanan in support of RAK's motion to dismiss. In his affidavit, Buchanan falsely claimed that "The allegations in Mr. Azima's Complaint and First Amended Complaint are completely untrue." Buchanan also falsely claimed that "If RAKIA had been able to read and monitor Mr. Azima's communications beginning back in October 2015, as Azima claims in the First Amended Complaint, we . . . would have never paid Mr. Azima \$2.6 million in connection with the March 2016 settlement agreement."

- 98. In July 2018, Azima sought to stay the UK proceeding until the D.C. District Court Proceeding could determine whether RAK hacked Azima. In opposition to that application, both Hughes and Goldstein filed witness statements. Hughes falsely stated that a "public relations company" innocently found Azima's stolen data on the internet, and Goldstein adopted Hughes's account regarding the acquisition of Azima's data.
- 99. On August 3, 2018, Dechert, Goldstein, Gerrard and Hughes falsely stated in an email to Azima's counsel, sent in connection with the D.C. District Court Proceeding, that no Dechert attorney, employee or agent (including Gerrard), had "been complicit in, or had knowledge of any of" the hacking of Azima.
- 100. On August 8, 2018, Dechert filed a brief before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit falsely and misleadingly asserting that Azima's stolen data was "obtained via publicly available internet sources." In addition, Dechert falsely and misleadingly stated: "It is highly implausible that RAKIA had ten continuous months of unfettered access to Azima's personal computers, as Azima contends." Finally, Dechert falsely and misleadingly stated: "Again, there are no facts showing that anyone much less RAKIA accessed Azima's communications in real time, or sent any emails appearing to come from him."
- 101. From late 2018, while the D.C. District Court Proceeding was pending, the Enterprise went to great efforts to ensure that its members had their stories straight. Beginning in October of that year, Gerrard convened a series of meetings of RICO Conspirators in Cyprus, London, and Switzerland designed to provide key members of the Enterprise with the opportunity to rehearse and perfect false testimony regarding the hacking of Azima and the theft of his confidential information. Throughout this period, the D.C. District Court Proceeding was pending, meaning that all of the Enterprise's efforts to develop false and misleading testimony including

those relevant to the UK Proceeding – were also intended, in large part, to obstruct the D.C. District Court Proceeding.

- 102. On or about October 25, 2018, Gerrard, Hughes, Buchanan, Page, Forlit, and Halabi met in Cyprus, where they agreed that Halabi would falsely attest that he had discovered Azima's hacked data on the internet. At the time, the D.C. District Court Proceeding was being actively litigated. Dechert had appealed the district court's order denying RAKIA's motion to dismiss, and Azima had filed a motion to begin discovery, which was pending. Thus, Halabi's false testimony was necessarily intended, at least in part, to obstruct the D.C. District Court Proceeding.
- 103. On November 6, 2018, Hughes signed another sworn statement in the UK proceeding falsely stating that Page had obtained the links to Azima's stolen data from an unnamed individual who was not an agent of RAK. As alleged above, given the status of the D.C. District Court Proceeding, this false statement was necessarily intended, at least in part, to obstruct those proceedings.
- 104. On or about November 21, 2018, Gerrard, Hughes, Page, Forlit, and Halabi met again in Cyprus to further develop Halabi's false narrative that he had discovered Azima's hacked data on the internet. Dechert has admitted that Goldstein attended this meeting virtually from New York.
- 105. On December 11, 2018, Hughes repeated the false narrative in another sworn statement in the UK proceeding, stating that "Mr Page was informed of the existence of the publicly available links to the first cache of data by a freelance journalist and lawyer called Majdi Halabi." As alleged above, given the status of the D.C. District Court Proceeding, and the repeated similar false statements to the federal courts in D.C., this false statement was necessarily intended, at least in part, to obstruct those U.S. proceedings.

106. On May 1, 2019, Halabi met in London with Gerrard, Forlit, and Page to further rehearse his false testimony. Halabi did so again the next day with Gerrard, Buchanan, and Forlit. On May 3, 2019, Halabi met with Gerrard, Hughes, and Forlit at Dechert's office in London to prepare his false witness statement. Halabi then met with other attorneys from Hughes's new law firm, Stewarts Law, and Dechert, including New York partner Goldstein, to help him prepare his witness statement for the UK Proceeding.⁸ As alleged above, given the status of the D.C. District Court Proceeding, this false statement was necessarily intended, at least in part, to obstruct those proceedings.

107. On June 24, 2019, Gerrard signed a witness statement that was emailed to Azima's UK counsel recounting the false story that he and RAK did not learn of the existence of Azima's hacked data until August 2016. Gerrard also falsely stated: "I should make it clear at the outset that I was never instructed . . . to hack Farhad Azima's emails or computer. I certainly did not undertake any such hack on my own initiative, nor did I give instructions to any person to hack Farhad Azima's documents. I do not know who hacked Farhad Azima's computers or other devices or when or how the hacking took place." As alleged above, given the status of the D.C. District Court Proceeding, this false statement was necessarily intended, at least in part, to obstruct those proceedings.

108. On October 17, 2019, Dechert Chairman Andrew Levander, sent a letter to the Washington Free Beacon on behalf of RAK and Handjani. The letter denied that RAK had hacked Azima's computers and threatened to sue the newspaper for reporting that allegation.

⁸ Hughes left Dechert in June 2017 for Stewarts Law. Dechert continued to represent RAK in the D.C. District Court Proceeding, but after Hughes's departure, Stewarts Law represented RAKIA in the UK Proceeding.

- 109. In December 2019, Gerrard, Forlit, Page, and Halabi met at the Moosegg hotel outside of Bern, Switzerland, just one month before Gerrard, Buchanan, Page, and Halabi were scheduled to testify in the UK Proceeding. The RICO Conspirators went to great lengths to conceal their activities, including by taking indirect transportation methods and reserving the entire hotel for the RICO Conspirators in order to maintain secrecy. While dining with a private chef and enjoying an extensive selection of fine wines, Gerrard, Page, Forlit, and Halabi engaged in a mock trial, with Gerrard acting as judge and cross-examining counsel in an effort to perfect the narrative and ensure that the testimony at the upcoming UK trial remained consistent.
- 110. Page, Halabi, Gerrard, and Buchanan subsequently offered their well-refined perjury to the UK court in January 2020. Page and Halabi have since acknowledged through sworn testimony that the story fabricated in Cyprus and rehearsed in Switzerland was false. In a sworn witness statement signed in 2022, and in other discussions, Halabi admitted that the false story was created by Gerrard, Hughes, Buchanan, Forlit, and Page. Halabi also admitted that he was first asked to provide this false story in 2017, when the D.C. District Court Proceeding was the only forum in which Azima's hacking claims were being litigated. RAK has recently sought to distance itself from the meeting in Switzerland, claiming that the meeting was organized by Gerrard without client authorization.
- 111. The above-described meetings, correspondence, and testimony were part of a broader scheme to conceal the Enterprise's illegal acts and were intended, at least in substantial part, to obstruct the D.C. District Court Proceeding, which was pending throughout the duration of the obstructive conduct. Goldstein, who was then handling the D.C District Court Proceeding, was present at the meetings in November 2018 and May 2019.

112. The RICO Conspirators' false statements, all transmitted over U.S. wires, prevented Azima, the D.C. district court, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit from learning material information central to the pending D.C. District Court Proceeding. They prevented Azima from demonstrating that the 2016 Settlement Agreement (including its UK forum clause) was a fraud, caused the court to transfer his case to the UK based on the Agreement's forum selection clause, and prevented Azim from obtaining a favorable judgment in the D.C. District Court Proceeding against RAKIA. Moreover, as a direct result of the obstruction detailed above, Azima did not learn about the extent of the RICO Conspirators' false statements until years later, starting in 2020, when individuals involved in the hacking began confessing their involvement.

2. The Enterprise Destroys Evidence and Makes False Statements Regarding Evidence Preservation

- 113. The Enterprise also obstructed the D.C. District Court Proceeding by destroying evidence and documents, and by making false statements to Azima and his counsel regarding their efforts to preserve and retain relevant materials.
- 114. Throughout the almost four years the D.C. District Court Proceeding was pending, Azima's counsel made at least five requests to Dechert and to the court intended to ensure the preservation of relevant evidence. Dechert, Gerrard, and Hughes, through correspondence from Goldstein and Newbold, made affirmative, but false, assurances that relevant evidence was being preserved, despite knowing that evidence had already been destroyed and/or was in the process of being destroyed.
- 115. For example, just one week after Azima filed the D.C. District Court Proceeding in September 2016, Buchanan brought his iPhone to an Apple store, where much of his webmail, including mail stored remotely in the "cloud," was allegedly deleted. On October 22, 2016,

Buchanan informed Dechert's Goldstein that his emails and other data had been deleted but that the emails and data could be restored if steps were taken immediately. Goldstein instructed Buchanan to restore *only* emails from August to September 2016, ensuring that earlier emails, which upon information and belief they knew would necessarily include emails related to the hacking of Azima and the Enterprise's preparation of Hacking Reports, would be permanently deleted, destroyed, and unrecoverable. The Enterprise concealed this document destruction until April 2019 through false statements that were relied upon by Azima.

116. Buchanan's laptop also disappeared under mysterious circumstances. Buchanan claimed in a sworn statement that his laptop was stolen in January 2017, just a few months after Azima's D.C. District Court Proceeding was filed. At the time, Dechert had not taken any steps to preserve his laptop or its data. Upon information and belief, Buchanan destroyed the laptop to prevent evidence stored on it from being produced in the D.C. District Court Proceeding. The Enterprise concealed this document destruction until April 2019 through false statements that were relied upon by Azima.

117. In July 2021, Dechert revealed that it had furnished Gerrard with at least fifteen different mobile devices between 2014 and 2020 – a period when Gerrard and others were actively involved in the Enterprise's unlawful activities. Dechert admitted that data and evidence from eight of those devices could not be retrieved and had not been preserved because the devices were "temporarily mislaid," "stolen," "reissued to another member of the firm," "returned to [the] Dechert IT team," or "lost." The Enterprise concealed this document destruction until July 2021 through false statements that were relied upon by Azima. Indeed, throughout his tenure with Dechert, Gerrard consistently and repeatedly replaced his devices – at least twenty-two times since he joined the law firm.

- 118. Though Dechert was aware as early as 2016 that Buchanan and/or Gerrard had destroyed and/or failed to preserve relevant evidence, it repeatedly provided Azima and his counsel with false and misleading information regarding document retention and preservation in connection with the D.C. District Court Proceeding. Dechert did not disclose that data from Buchanan's laptop or Gerrard's cell phones had been lost or destroyed at any point in the D.C. District Court Proceeding. In fact, Dechert, through Goldstein, and with the knowledge and approval of Gerrard, Hughes, and others, falsely assured Azima's U.S. legal team that all relevant documents had been preserved even though Gerrard, Hughes, and others knew that relevant evidence had already been destroyed.
- 119. Upon information and belief, Gerrard and Hughes caused Goldstein to make these false statements in order to obstruct discovery in the D.C. District Court Proceeding. Had the truth about the document destruction been known, Azima would have immediately sought judicial intervention.

3. The Enterprise Defrauds the D.C. District Court by Submitting Stolen Documents Laundered Through Lebanon

- 120. The Enterprise also obstructed the D.C. District Court Proceeding in 2019 through a clumsy effort to inject select emails stolen from Azima into the case by anonymously sending copies of the stolen emails to the district court judge, Dechert, and Azima's U.S. lawyers, as well as members of the media.
- 121. In or around March 2019, members of the Enterprise identified a hacked email chain from August 12, 2016 that they wanted to file with the court in the D.C. District Court Proceeding. However, the email post-dated the initial publication of Azima's hacked documents on the internet about a week earlier. Accordingly, there was no plausible explanation for how

Dechert had the document in its possession given its claim that it "innocently discovered" Azima's hacked and stolen materials on the internet on or about August 8, 2016.

- 122. Confronted with this conundrum, Gerrard, Del Rosso, and Grayson hatched a complicated scheme to use a subcontractor in France to travel to Lebanon to anonymously mail printed copies of the August 12, 2016 emails to Dechert's New York office, the D.C. district court, Azima's counsel, and others. This scheme was intended to provide Dechert's U.S. lawyers with a false basis for claiming that it had innocently come into possession of the email chain and allow the firm to use the documents against Azima in the case.
- Lebanon to the presiding district court judge, Azima's counsel, Goldstein, Gerrard, and others. However, the co-conspirator neglected to include a recipient list in each package. When Gerrard received his package without the master recipient list, he grew concerned that it would undermine his ability to pose as an innocent recipient of the stolen document. Accordingly, he instructed Del Rosso and Grayson to repeat the mailing with the recipient list included, which they did. According to the recipient list, the hacked and stolen email was mailed directly to the district court judge presiding over the case by the RICO Conspirators.
- 124. On April 18, 2019, Goldstein informed Azima's counsel that Dechert had received a copy of Azima's hacked August 2016 emails and intended to use the document in the D.C. District Court Proceeding.
- 125. As alleged above, the Enterprise went to great lengths to obstruct the D.C. District Court Proceeding between 2016 and 2020, including lying to Azima's counsel and the district court on multiple topics; destroying evidence and then lying to Azima's counsel and the district court about document preservation; concocting false testimony designed to mask the Enterprise's

complicity in the merits of the suit, the hacking of Azima and the theft of his data; hiding the fact that the 2016 Settlement Agreement was procured through fraud; and submitting stolen documents to the D.C. district court under false pretenses.

126. Through its obstructive conduct, Dechert and RAK successfully avoided U.S. discovery that threatened to unravel the Enterprise's conspiracy and reveal its role in the hacking of Azima. The case was transferred to the UK based on the forum selection clause in the fraudulent 2016 Settlement Agreement, and the matter has been pending ever since.

D. The Enterprise Obstructs Multiple Proceeding in the U.S. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1782 Related to Azima's UK Case

- Proceeding, the threat remained that discovery in the U.S. would reveal the Enterprise's criminal conduct. After Azima's claims in the D.C. District Court Proceeding were transferred to the UK, he re-asserted them as counterclaims in the pending UK Proceeding brought by RAKIA against Azima for breach of contract. In addition, in 2020, Al Sadeq filed suit against Dechert, Gerrard, Hughes, and Caroline Black in the UK asserting claims based on his mistreatment at the hands of the Enterprise. Azima and Al Sadeq subsequently filed proceedings in New York, North Carolina, and Florida under 28 U.S.C. § 1782 ("Section 1782") in which they sought the aid of U.S. federal courts to obtain evidence for use in their respective UK cases.
- 128. The Enterprise thus faced a similar problem specifically, that discovery obtained in these Section 1782 proceedings would lead to exposure of the Enterprise's past crimes, including its abuse of Al Sadeq and its hacking, intimidation, and harassment of Azima. Accordingly, the Enterprise developed and implemented a corrupt plan to obstruct and disrupt these Section 1782 proceedings as well.

1. Handjani Obstructs Azima's Section 1782 Proceeding in New York

- 129. On June 29, 2021, Azima filed a Section 1782 application in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York (the "New York § 1782 Proceeding") seeking discovery from Handjani relevant to Azima's pending retrial of his claims in the UK Proceeding. In his opposition to Azima's application, which was filed with the court, Handjani stated that he had "attested in his witness statement [in the UK Proceeding] that he had no knowledge of or involvement in any hacking of Azima and did not become aware of Azima's hacking until August 16, 2016." In the same filing, Handjani also stated that he had affirmed at trial "under oath and with a penalty of perjury, I had no knowledge of Azima's material being hacked, who hacked it, or of it appearing online." In addition, Handjani asserted that he served solely as a "channel of communication" between Azima and RAK and "was only intermittently involved in the parties' settlement discussions."
- 130. These statements were false and misleading, and they have been directly contradicted by confessions from three of Handjani's co-conspirators and the Hacking Reports discussed above. In December 2021, Azima learned that Handjani had attended regular meetings every four to six weeks with Gerrard, Frank, and Buchanan to discuss and implement the plan to attack and harm Azima. Upon information and belief, these meetings included discussions regarding hacking victims, including Azima.
- 131. In addition, upon information and belief, Handjani was also involved in the preparation of the Action Plan and reviewed at least some of the Hacking Reports. And, as alleged in more detail below, Handjani was directly involved in instructing Page to work with hackers, including Forlit, to investigate who was funding the litigation brought by Azima and Al Sadeq.
- 132. Taken together, these facts demonstrate significant involvement by Handjani in the Enterprise's hacking operations, including its hacking of Azima. They make clear that Handjani's

statements in the New York § 1782 Proceeding were materially false, misleading, and corruptly made with an intent to obstruct that matter and to thereby prevent discovery of facts that would have exposed the Enterprise's criminal activity.

2. Forlit Obstructs Azima's Section 1782 Proceeding in Florida

- 133. On March 8, 2022, Azima filed another application under Section 1782 in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida (the "Florida § 1782 Proceeding") seeking discovery from Forlit, Insight, and SDC-Gadot relevant to Azima's claims in the UK Proceeding. Forlit subsequently opposed the application. In support of his opposition, Forlit filed two affidavits with the court that contained materially false and misleading statements that were intended to obstruct the proceeding. Upon information and belief, Forlit made these false statements to the court in order to avoid U.S. discovery that threatened to reveal the Enterprise's prior criminal conduct.
- 134. On March 16, 2022, Forlit filed an affidavit with the court falsely asserting that he and SDC-Gadot had "not conducted business in the state of Florida" and that SDC-Gadot "has not conducted any business in years." Forlit's affidavit was submitted in order to conceal his company's role in laundering payments for the Enterprise's hacking of Azima.
- 135. However, bank records for SDC-Gadot, which were obtained from Citibank through another Section 1782 proceeding, prove that Forlit's affidavit was false. Those bank records show that SDC-Gadot received millions of dollars in revenue between 2017 and 2021 from transactions with other Florida companies. In addition, in 2017, Forlit represented to Citibank that he expected approximately \$5 million in revenue over a 12-month period for "services." Two of the three customers he listed as "major customers" were Page Group, which is owned by Page, and Global Impact, which is owned by Arusy. Forlit also represented that he expected to receive approximately \$250,000 per month from the United Arab Emirates, the United Kingdom, and/or

Hong Kong for "services," and that he intended to wire approximately \$100,000 per month to Israel for "IT analysis services." The company address listed for SDC-Gadot on the documents provided to Citibank was in Florida.

- 136. SDC-Gadot's bank records, together with the account-opening documents that Forlit submitted to Citibank, thus make clear that his statements in this first affidavit regarding SDC-Gadot's business operations were false, misleading, and made with an intent to obstruct the matter and to thereby prevent discovery of facts that would have exposed the Enterprise's criminal activity.
- 137. On June 1, 2022, Forlit filed a second affidavit that falsely stated that: (1) he "was not a hacker" and hacking was "not something that I ever performed" and (2) he "show[ed] Stuart Page the existence of online links that were available to anyone with internet access." Like his first affidavit, Forlit's second affidavit was submitted in an attempt to conceal his company's role in hacking and in laundering hacking payments for the Enterprise.
- 138. Forlit was deposed in July 2022 and repeated his false statements that he was not a hacker and did not hack Azima (though he admitted to many other key facts, including that he prepared regular reports and was paid \$250,000 per month by Page).
- 139. As alleged above, however, Forlit was in fact directly involved in the preparation of monthly Hacking Reports on Azima and his associates. *See supra* ¶¶ 45-61. These reports contained information and excerpts that clearly came from Azima's hacked documents. They demonstrate that Forlit had obtained access to much of Azima's hacked and stolen documents and information as early as August 2015, approximately one year before that information appeared on the internet.

- 140. In this second affidavit, Forlit also repeated the false statement that he had never performed any work in the state of Florida. However, as alleged above, his company, SDC-Gadot, which he solely owned and controlled, in fact engaged in millions of dollars in transactions with Florida companies. These transactions included receipt of millions of dollars of payments from Page, which were intended to fund the Enterprise's hacking operations.
- 141. Forlit also submitted filings with the court in the Florida § 1782 Proceeding that included materially false statements intended to thwart document discovery. Shortly after filing the two false affidavits discussed above, Forlit made a filing with the court in which he stated that SDC-Gadot and Insight had no responsive documents to produce in discovery, which sought information relating to Azima's claims that he had been hacked by the Enterprise. This statement was false.
- 142. As alleged above, voluminous bank records for both entities subsequently obtained by Azima make clear that both entities engaged in millions of dollars in transfers between at least 2017 and 2021. Page has confirmed that many of the transfers reflected in these records were payments to Forlit and his companies for hacking and the preparation of the Hacking Reports and other obstructive conduct, including, for example, providing "security" for the perjury school at the Moosegg Hotel.
- 143. Thus, Forlit's affidavits submitted in the Florida § 1782 Proceeding, his subsequent filings, and his statements made in his deposition, were materially false, misleading, and corruptly made with an intent to obstruct that matter and to cover up his involvement in the hacking and other illegal activities of the Enterprise.

E. Del Rosso Obstructs Azima's North Carolina Proceeding

144. In a further effort to prevent exposure of its criminal conduct, the Enterprise also obstructed U.S. litigation brought by Azima against Del Rosso for his role in the hacking and

dissemination of Azima's data and trade secrets. In October 2020, Azima sued Del Rosso in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina (the "North Carolina Proceeding"). As it did with the D.C. District Court Proceeding, the Enterprise took extensive steps to obstruct Azima's lawsuit, including interfering with witnesses, manufacturing evidence, and making false statements to the court.

1. The Enterprise Fabricates Evidence in the U.S. and Threatens Its Own Hackers

- 145. To prevent disclosure of its illegal activity in the North Carolina Proceeding, the Enterprise threatened and tampered with one of their own RICO Conspirators, hacker Aditya Jain, whom they feared was cooperating with Azima, Al Sadeq, and others and would be an important witness in the North Carolina Proceeding.
- 146. As alleged above, Del Rosso hired Jain on behalf of the Enterprise to hack Azima and his associates. In 2020, Jain confessed to his involvement with the Enterprise and its illegal conduct and detailed his role in the Enterprise. The RICO Conspirators learned of Jain's confession and, fearing that he would emerge as an important witness in Azima's lawsuit, took steps to minimize the damage.
- 147. On August 29, 2020, Del Rosso contacted Jain, accusing him of working with Azima's lawyers "to [p]oint finger[s]" at the RICO Conspirators and instructing Jain to stop. Jain viewed this contact as a threat.
- 148. On September 11, 2020, just one month before Azima filed his complaint in the North Carolina Proceeding, at a time when litigation was contemplated, Del Rosso messaged Jain again and accused him of assisting with Azima's case, saying that they both could be "dragged into" the litigation. Del Rosso expressed a fear of being sued, stating that Azima's legal team "seem[s] to have information" about the hacking. In response, Jain suggested that he conduct

additional hacking to determine who was responsible for the leak, feigning his alignment with Del Rosso. Jain asked Del Rosso who to hack, and Del Rosso responded, "I don't have any names but imagine somewhere Stuart Page is in this." The next day, Jain sent Del Rosso a text saying he had details about Azima, and Del Rosso immediately called Jain to discuss. Jain and Del Rosso subsequently discussed the destruction of documents to cover their tracks.

- 149. In September or October of 2020, Del Rosso deleted his Threema account "~Sierra," which contained communications with his co-conspirators and others that were relevant to the U.S. proceedings. By November 2020, Del Rosso created a new Threema account "~SA BC 86" to communicate with Jain. That account has also since been deleted, in violation of a litigation hold notice sent by Azima in connection with the North Carolina Proceeding.
- 150. On October 15, 2020, Azima sued Del Rosso in the Middle District of North Carolina, seeking damages and other relief from Del Rosso based on his role in the hacking. One week later, Azima filed a motion seeking permission to issue third party subpoenas for Del Rosso's bank records.
- 151. The Enterprise then enlisted Jain's help in creating fake documents to cover its tracks. On November 6, 2020, shortly after Azima sought Del Rosso's bank records, Del Rosso messaged Jain expressing concern that Jain's company, Cyber Defense, appeared in Del Rosso's bank statements. Jain and Del Rosso agreed to create a fake contract with Cyber Defense and fake reports to cover up the fact that Del Rosso paid Jain for hacking.
- 152. On November 9, 2020, Jain sent a draft of the fake contract to Del Rosso for review. On November 18, 2020, Jain sent Del Rosso a fully signed PDF of the fake contract, which falsely stated that Jain's company, Cyber Defense, would provide legitimate IT-related services for Del

Rosso's company, Vital. Though the fake contract was conceived and created in November 2020, the final draft was backdated to May 7, 2019, prior to the filing of the North Carolina Proceeding.

- 153. On November 26, 2020, Del Rosso also tried to entice Jain to travel to Dubai, where he would be vulnerable to kidnapping or attack by the Enterprise. Jain was concerned for his safety and declined to meet. In December 2020, Del Rosso again asked Jain to meet in Dubai to "clear the air" and to "get to the bottom" of whether Jain was cooperating with Azima's counsel. Jain declined to meet in Dubai for fear that the meeting was a pretext to detain and subject him to coercive interrogation in the same manner as Al Sadeq. On or around January 2021, Del Rosso, CyberRoot employees, and others gathered in Dubai to discuss Jain's cooperation with Azima's counsel and to coordinate their intimidation campaign.
- 154. The Enterprise also appears to have attempted to hack Jain, presumably to obtain information it could use to prevent him from providing truthful testimony in the North Carolina Proceeding. In July 2021, Jain received phishing emails seeking his personal login information. That same month, a former CyberRoot employee was contacted by someone at CyberRoot, who asked about Jain and suggested that CyberRoot had successfully compromised Jain's Skype account.
- 155. In August 2021, while the North Carolina Proceeding was pending, the Enterprise further tampered with Jain and pressured him not to cooperate with Azima. Jain received a call from a hacker who worked with CyberRoot. The hacker warned Jain not to reveal the hacker's role in the Enterprise. Jain felt threatened and thought it to be a coordinated effort by the Enterprise to intimidate him and stop him from cooperating with Azima and providing truthful testimony and information in connection with the North Carolina Proceeding.

2. Enterprise Member Del Rosso Makes False Statements to the North Carolina District Court

- 156. In addition to intimidating a key witness, creating false documents, and destroying records, the Enterprise also sought to obstruct the North Carolina Proceeding by making false statements to the court.
- 157. After filing the complaint in the North Carolina Proceeding, Azima sought permission to serve subpoenas on third parties to obtain bank records and other documents that could corroborate the allegations in his complaint, including the payments made by Del Rosso and Vital for the Enterprise's hacking activities. On November 12, 2020, in a filing opposing Azima's motion for leave to serve third-party subpoenas and signed by Del Rosso's lawyer, Brandon Neuman, Del Rosso and Vital "categorically den[ied]" Azima's allegations that they had overseen and directed the hacking of Azima and then lied about it in court. These statements were false. The court subsequently denied Azima's motion on December 14, 2020. Bank records showed that Vital paid CyberRoot, the Indian hacking firm, more than \$1 million during the same period the Enterprise was hacking Azima and stealing his documents and information.
- 158. Upon information and belief, these false statements were intended to prevent the North Carolina federal court from authorizing third-party discovery into Del Rosso's and Vital's bank records.
- 159. On October 12, 2022, Del Rosso filed an answer in the North Carolina proceedings in which he again falsely denied involvement in the hacking. Del Rosso also admitted that he worked for Dechert and Gerrard, was paid more than \$1 million by Dechert, and paid more than \$1 million to CyberRoot.

160. The obstruction of justice and witness tampering committed by Del Rosso in connection with the North Carolina Proceeding harmed Azima's interest in the litigation and caused unnecessary legal fees and associated costs in connection with the litigation.

F. The Enterprise Conducts Further Hacking to Determine the Sources of Funding for Azima's and Al Sadeq's Litigation

161. As described in the 2016 Action Plan, a principal aim of the Enterprise was to attack the enemies of RAK in part through burying them with costly litigation. Enterprise victims resisted these attacks and brought claims of their own. Accordingly, to further its plan to attack Azima and others, the Enterprise sent, among other wires, fraudulent spear-phishing emails in a campaign of further hacking, to determine who was funding litigation involving Azima, Al Sadeq, and others. The wires were sent as part of the Enterprise's scheme to deprive Azima of his interest in the litigation.

1. The Enterprise Seeks to Determine Who Was Funding Litigation Brought by Azima and Al Sadeq

- 162. As alleged above, after Azima's D.C. District Court Proceeding was dismissed in favor of a UK forum, he brought counterclaims in the already pending UK Proceeding based on the unlawful hacking and theft of his documents and information. In addition, on January 28, 2020, Al Sadeq sued Dechert, Gerrard, and others in the UK for the mistreatment described above (the "Al Sadeq Proceeding").
- 163. In early February 2020, during the pendency of the UK Proceeding and just days after the Al Sadeq Proceeding was filed, Handjani, Page, and Gerrard met at the Royal Automobile Club in London. During the meeting, Handjani, Gerrard, and Page discussed their concern that Azima and Al Sadeq appeared to be well funded, frustrating the Enterprise's plan to inflict financial harm on them through litigation. Gerrard and Handjani thus instructed Page to determine

who was funding Azima's and Al Sadeq's litigation. Based on that instruction, Page then contacted Forlit to effect the instruction.

164. Upon information and belief, Gerrard and Handjani knew and intended that Page would engage hackers, such as Forlit and those engaged by him, to carry out their instructions because Page had been providing them for years with regular Hacking Reports that contained information obviously obtained through hacking. Indeed, on July 19, 2022, Dechert and Gerrard conceded that "Mr. Gerrard was aware in or around February 2020 that Mr. Forlit carried out work for Mr. Page and that, as a result, Mr. Page had 'access' to Mr. Forlit's services."

2. The Enterprise Hacks Al Sadeq's Lawyers

- 165. At approximately the same time that Gerrard and Handjani directed Page to obtain information about the funding of litigation brought by Azima and Al Sadeq, Del Rosso also directed hackers to obtain such information from Al Sadeq's UK lawyers. Upon information and belief, Gerrard instructed Del Rosso to gather this information about Al Sadeq's litigation funding, just as he had done with Page.
- 166. Del Rosso engaged Patrick Grayson to uncover information concerning the funding source for Al Sadeq's litigation. Grayson suggested hacking Maltin PR, a litigation support and PR firm known to be used by Al Sadeq. Afterwards, Grayson met with Paul Robinson in London on January 30, 2020, to pass on Del Rosso's instructions to investigate the source of Al Sadeq's litigation funding. Grayson and Robinson have since admitted to their role in the hacking of Al Sadeq's lawyers to determine the source of his litigation funding, including that Del Rosso directed the hacking using clandestine messaging applications paid them via transfers sent from U.S.-based bank accounts.
- 167. Shortly thereafter, Al Sadeq's UK lawyers, Stokoe Partnership Solicitors ("Stokoe"), received numerous phishing emails and text messages.

- 168. In April 2020, suspicious of the phishing campaign against it, Stokoe set up a sting operation in which the Enterprise hacked and obtained internal Stokoe documents with embedded tracking features.
- 169. In April or May of 2020, in response to earlier instructions from Gerrard and Handjani, Forlit produced a Hacking Report concerning the source of the litigation funding for Azima and Al Sadeq. Upon information and belief, the report contained information obtained through hacking. According to Page, Handjani was sensitive to who was permitted to view the report. Page sent the hacking report to Handjani using the Signal encrypted messaging application. Additionally, Page provided a hard copy of the report to Gerrard at a gas station near Gatwick Airport in London, where Gerrard requested that they meet because he believed he would not be easily surveilled or spotted there.

3. The Enterprise Bribes Potential Witnesses in U.S. Proceedings

- 170. On or about June 25, 2020, Grayson met with Robinson at the Goring Hotel in London, where Grayson took Robinson into a black minivan and confiscated Robinson's phone. Grayson told Robinson that "we have a problem" and that someone connected to Robinson had been passing information to "the other side." Grayson then asked Robinson to destroy and "sanitize" any materials that could be traced back to Grayson and Del Rosso. Immediately after the meeting, Robinson returned to his office and enlisted his sister and brother-in-law to help identify, destroy, and doctor documents connecting Robinson to Grayson or Del Rosso.
- 171. On July 1, 2020, Stokoe issued proceedings in the UK seeking information from Robinson and others about their role in the hacking of the firm (the "Stokoe Proceeding"). In addition, Stokoe subsequently filed a Section 1782 application in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina (the "North Carolina 1782 Proceeding") seeking discovery from Del Rosso concerning the hacking.

- 172. Upon information and belief, Del Rosso was alarmed by the Stokoe Proceeding and concerned that his role in the Enterprise's hacking would be exposed. Accordingly, he attempted to conceal his connections to Robinson and the hacking by, among other things, fabricating and destroying documents and bribing Robinson. Upon information and belief, Del Rosso's conduct was directed at obstructing both the Stokoe Proceeding in the UK and potential litigation in the US.
- 173. On July 1, 2020, Del Rosso instructed Robinson not to mention Del Rosso in relation to the Stokoe Proceeding. In return for Robinson's silence, Del Rosso offered to pay him. Del Rosso instructed Robinson to contact his U.S. lawyer, Neuman, who would facilitate the payment. After the phone call with Del Rosso, Robinson destroyed invoices that he had sent to Del Rosso associated with his hacking-related work. On July 2, 2020, Del Rosso paid Robinson \$25,000.
- 174. On or around July 4, 2020, Del Rosso and Neuman called Grayson several times to discuss Stokoe's case against Robinson. Del Rosso and Neuman then drafted a witness statement for Grayson to file in the Stokoe Proceeding. The draft contained false and misleading statements in order to disguise Del Rosso's role in directing Grayson to hack Stokoe. According to Del Rosso, he wanted the Stokoe hacking litigation to "stay over there" in the UK. Del Rosso thereafter contacted Grayson and offered to increase his monthly retainer from \$10,000 to \$20,000 and offered him a "bonus payment" of £500,000, presumably in exchange for his silence concerning Del Rosso's role in the hacking of Stokoe. Handjani also discussed the "bonus" payment with Grayson, and reassured Grayson that Del Rosso's offer would be honored and could be relied upon. Handjani told Grayson that his legal fees would be fully paid by the "client," which Grayson understood to mean Dechert.

4. Del Rosso Obstructs Al Sadeq's Section 1782 Proceeding in North Carolina

- 175. On February 5, 2021, Al Sadeq and Stokoe filed a Section 1782 application in the United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina seeking discovery from Del Rosso and Vital concerning the attempted hack of Stokoe.
- 176. On November 30, 2021, Del Rosso falsely denied any involvement in the hacking of Azima in a filing with U.S. District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina, stating that he "had no involvement in the alleged hacking" of Azima.
- 177. Del Rosso also falsely denied any involvement in the hacking of Stokoe. However, as alleged above, Del Rosso oversaw the hacking of Stokoe and paid Robinson to obtain Stokoe's hacked information. Upon information and belief, Del Rosso made these false and misleading statements in the North Carolina 1782 Proceeding to conceal his and the Enterprise's criminal conduct.
- 178. On October 18, 2021, the court granted Al Sadeq's Section 1782 application and on March 18, 2022, denied Del Rosso's motion to quash Al Sadeq's subpoenas issued following the court's order granting Al Sadeq's application. This litigation remains pending today.

IV. THE ENTERPRISE'S CRIMES AND COVER-UP HAVE CAUSED SIGNIFICANT DAMAGE TO AZIMA AND HIS BUSINESSES

179. As foreshadowed by the Action Plan, the attacks alleged above successfully damaged Azima and the other Plaintiffs, not only by causing extensive damage to Azima's reputation but also by destroying his business ventures and forcing him to incur substantial debts associated with legal fees and related expenses. The damage the Enterprise has caused to Azima's reputation, business, and property is continuous, ongoing, and significant. Banks have closed Azima's accounts and denied him loans citing the negative publicity brought on by the Enterprise's litigation against Azima in the UK based on the hacked documents.

- 180. For example, Mr. Azima held a 50% stake in FFV Development (which wholly owns 3260 Main and FFV W39) and planned to develop land owned by each of the LLCs into multi-unit apartment complexes in Kansas City, Missouri. This planned development was subject to lender financing, which had been agreed in principle and approved by lenders at the local level. Main 3260 LLC, in particular, was expecting to receive a loan of approximately \$13.5 million. However, as a result of the actions taken by the Enterprise against Azima detailed above, the financing was rejected in January 2019. The lender refused to provide financing due to the negative publicity instigated by the Enterprise that the bank found when conducting its due diligence. Azima attempted to secure alternative financing for both projects but was unsuccessful. As a result, Azima and his companies suffered approximately \$15 millions of dollars in lost profits that they otherwise would have obtained from these two projects.
- 181. The Enterprise also harmed Azima through costly litigation designed to "force [Azima] to invest both energy and funds defending himself, and slowly to fade away from" RAK's disputes with Al Sadeq and Massaad, as outlined in one of the early Hacking Reports. Azima incurred millions of dollars in attorneys' fees and costs defending himself in the litigation in the UK, exposing the Enterprise's pervasive fraud in the litigation in the U.S. and UK, and interacting with law enforcement. As alleged above, one of the objects of the Enterprise's scheme to defraud Azima was to cause him to expend significant legal fees and expenses.
- 182. The Enterprise's pattern of racketeering activity described herein caused the above harm to Azima, just as the Enterprise foresaw in its Action Plan. The Enterprise's numerous and repeated false statements have been relied upon by U.S. courts, U.S. law enforcement agencies, financial institutions, the media, and by UK courts. But for these false statements and the Enterprise's other obstructive conduct including witness tampering, document destruction, and

fabrication and manipulation of evidence – Azima could have demonstrated that the 2016 Settlement Agreement (and its UK forum selection clause) was a fraud; avoided a transfer of his case to the UK based on the Agreement's forum selection clause, where he has been forced to participate in costly and burdensome litigation; and obtained a favorable judgment in the D.C. District Court Proceeding against RAK and the North Carolina Proceeding against Del Rosso. But for these false statements and the Enterprise's other obstructive conduct, Azima could have exposed the Enterprise's misconduct and avoided a judgment against him in the UK.

183. These losses were not only foreseeable to the Enterprise but were also the intended result of the Enterprise's actions. The Action Plan outlined a plan to cause damage to Azima through civil and criminal litigation and reputational damage. Attorneys' fees and expenses, improper judgments, the impairment of Azima's business interests, and his loss of access to financial services were all foreseeable, proximate, intended consequences of the harm the RICO Defendants scheme caused Azima. The RICO Defendants have even recently signaled their intent to continue the damage: with their own client (RAKIA) withdrawing from the UK proceedings, Dechert and Gerrard have attempted to take their client's place to maintain the judgment against Azima and continue driving up Azima's legal costs.

V. DECHERT IS LIABLE FOR THE ACTIONS OF THE ENTERPRISE

184. As explained above, not only did at least two Dechert partners participate as members of the Enterprise, but the firm was also itself a central figure in the Enterprise, including its conspiracy, crimes, and subsequent coverup. In addition to providing the resources and infrastructure for the criminal conduct of Dechert partners Gerrard and Hughes, Dechert also played an active and critical role in supporting, facilitating, and concealing the Enterprise's misconduct.

185. Dechert for years either had knowledge of, consciously disregarded, or was at least recklessly indifferent to accusations and, later, overwhelming evidence that two of its equity partners – Gerrard and Hughes – were masterminding and participating in a global criminal enterprise. As alleged above, Dechert committed numerous predicate acts in violation of U.S. federal law in the ordinary course of Dechert's representation of RAKIA. Motivated initially by legal fees and ultimately by a desire to cover up the Gerrard's and Hughes's misconduct, Dechert ignored red flags for years and continued to defend and protect Gerrard, Hughes, and the Enterprise from public exposure and attempts by victims to hold them accountable for their campaign of rampant criminal conduct. Indeed, according to recent press reports, Dechert was "[g]ripped by Gerrard's moneymaking powers" and became a "shrine to Neil," who was regularly applauded for his high billings and was given a seat on the firm's Policy Committee. The firm reportedly went so far as to provide him with "do not enter" rooms that were dedicated to Gerrard's team and his work.

A. Dechert Was at Least Recklessly Indifferent to Overwhelming Evidence of the Enterprise's Crimes

- 186. Dechert, including partners in leadership positions, were aware of Gerrard's reputation before hiring him. In October 2010, then-Dechert partner Graham Defries informed Dechert management, including its chairman, that Gerrard engaged in "scaremongering in order to increase [Gerrard's then-firm] DLA's fees." This assessment was based upon Defries' firsthand observations. Dechert nevertheless hired Gerrard as a Global Co-Head of the firm's White Collar and Securities Litigation practice and agreed to pay him £2 million in annual compensation (or approximately \$3 million USD) on the condition that he produce £12 million in annual fees.
- 187. In April 2013, however, one of Dechert's largest clients fired Gerrard and Dechert after discovering that they had engaged in what a UK court later characterized as "shocking"

betrayals of the client during the course of the representation. The client's firing of Gerrard and Dechert left Gerrard without a major source of revenue (as he had depended on it for almost the entirety of his billings) thus placing enormous pressure on Gerrard to find another deep-pocketed client he could exploit to justify the outsized compensation package he had received from Dechert. Notwithstanding this obvious red flag regarding Gerrard's conduct, Dechert continued to support Gerrard for approximately nine more years.

188. By April 2014, Dechert received a sworn witness statement stating that Gerrard had said he was "in rape mode" when billing the Dechert client referenced above. By February 2018, Dechert also learned through another witness statement that Gerrard had said he was prepared to "screw these fuckers" (the same large Dechert client) for £25 million in fees. Notwithstanding these startling statements about Gerrard and his billing practices, Dechert continued to support Gerrard for approximately six more years.

189. By 2015, Dechert was aware of accusations that Gerrard engaged in human rights abuses as part of his work. Al Sadeq had accused Gerrard, Hughes, and another Dechert partner of interrogating him under degrading, filthy, and illegal conditions in secret prisons in RAK. When Gerrard learned of Azima's coming campaign against Gerrard's human rights abuses, Gerrard briefed Dechert's leadership on the potential threat posed by Azima's media campaign, which directly implicated Gerrard in human rights abuses. Nevertheless, Dechert continued to support Gerrard for approximately seven more years.

⁹ A UK court found that Gerrard had leaked the client's privileged and confidential information to the media, engaged in numerous unauthorized and undisclosed meetings with UK government officials, and participated in other misconduct. The same UK court found that Gerrard and Dechert had been "dishonest" with respect to their former client, had engaged in a wide range of serious and unethical conduct that "would be almost unimaginable in the case of a straightforward competent solicitor," and had "lied continuously" when testifying in a suit brought by the former client against them and others.

- 190. Since 2016, Dechert and partners within the firm's leadership knew, were willfully blind, or otherwise recklessly indifferent to repeated allegations and evidence that Gerrard masterminded the hacking of Azima and suborned perjury before U.S. and UK courts. At each opportunity to rein in potential wrongdoing by Gerrard, Dechert instead actively defended him, and failed to expel him from the partnership.
- 191. In March 2017, Azima's counsel told Dechert that Dechert remained the only party able to obtain Azima's hacked materials. Although this information should have caused Dechert to investigate why Dechert partners Gerrard and Hughes were the only ones who had access to supposedly publicly available documents which by then had been downloaded to Dechert servers Dechert continued to stonewall against allegations of misconduct by Gerrard and continued to permit Gerrard to manage related litigation.

B. Dechert Played a Central Role in the Cover-Up of the Enterprise's Crimes

- 192. Dechert, through its partners, also played a central role in the coverup of the Enterprise's misconduct. In 2018 and 2019, Gerrard organized a series of meetings in Cyprus, London, and Switzerland to create, develop, and rehearse false testimony about Azima's stolen data. Dechert partner Goldstein (who was handling the U.S.-based D.C. District Court Proceeding at the time) participated in at least two of the meetings.
- 193. Dechert was also willfully blind or recklessly indifference during separate civil litigation involving Gerrard and defended Gerrard's misconduct even as incriminating details emerged. In an unrelated case in the UK, Gerrard was accused of (and now has been found liable for) mailing an anonymous manilla envelope with sensitive, privileged client information to the UK Serious Fraud Office in order to harm Dechert's own client. One of the envelopes had a hair stuck to it, and Gerrard's client sought to DNA test the hair to see if it belonged to Gerrard or his assistant. Dechert opposed the testing of the hair, and the UK court found that there was a strong

inference that the reason Dechert declined was because the DNA testing might have shown that the hair on the envelope was Gerrard's. Thus, when given a clear opportunity to discover Gerrard's misconduct, Dechert chose to obstruct and prevent the discovery, either because they already knew of the misconduct or because they didn't want to learn the extent of it.

- 194. In mid-2019, RAK removed Gerrard from its cases, but Dechert continued with the representation and continued to push the false story that its client had innocently found Azima's stolen documents on the internet. Even after Gerrard was removed, the firm remained on the case, with other Dechert partners, including Dechert Chairman Andrew Levander, taking a larger role in the representation. Though Gerrard was removed from the representation, he continued to participate in the Enterprise's affairs and cover-up campaign, including for example organizing the Swiss meetings described above to perfect perjurious witness testimony.
- 195. In January 2020, during Azima's UK trial, Gerrard falsely denied any involvement or knowledge of the hacking of Azima or improper treatment of Al Sadeq. Yet in June 2020, Gerrard recanted some of his false testimony concerning the treatment of Al Sadeq. Dechert demonstrated reckless indifference to the fact that Gerrard provided false testimony and continued to permit Gerrard to testify.
- 196. Dechert also provided Gerrard with "burner phones" and allowed him to repeatedly scrub the data from them. Remarkably, Dechert provided Gerrard with at least 15 different mobile devices between 2014 and 2020, during the height of the Enterprise's conspiracy to harm Azima. Dechert did not preserve the data on many of these "burner" phones, even after the phones were returned to the firm.
- 197. Even in those instances where Dechert did retain Gerrard's data, it was not produced as required in litigation. In July 2021, Dechert's International General Counsel James

Croock conceded that the firm committed a "significant omission" by failing to disclose numerous text messages from 2011 through 2013 on Gerrard's mobile devices that showed Gerrard's previous testimony was false.

- 198. According to press reports, even as the allegations around Gerrard mounted, Croock (the firm's now-retired former general counsel) "helped establish a 'party line'" that "Dechert and Gerrard were right." Upon information and belief, firm management sent emails to its partners indicating that Dechert had a strong case.
- 199. Despite more than a decade of red flags and clear indications that Gerrard was engaging in unethical and illegal behavior, it was not until May 2022 that Dechert half-heartedly condemned Gerrard's conduct. Even then, Dechert belatedly attempted to distance itself from Gerrard only following court findings that Gerrard lied under oath. Dechert issued a statement that they "recognise fully the seriousness of the judge's findings in relation to Mr Gerrard's conduct. We are considering the judgment to see what we should learn from it."
- 200. But Dechert did not learn. Rather, on June 14, 2022, just days after being asked to reconsider the truthfulness of some of statements in the D.C. District Court Proceeding made in reliance on Gerrard, Dechert General Counsel Benjamin Rosenberg replied that the firm had reviewed the record of the D.C. District Court Proceeding and could not find any statement that warranted correction, and repeated yet again Dechert's false statement that Azima's hacked documents were found through publicly available sources.
- 201. The judicial finding of Gerrard's criminal conduct ultimately led RAK to publicly disavow him. On June 15, 2022, in a letter to Azima's counsel, RAK stated that it had been defrauded by "Mr Gerrard and his gang" and was also a victim of "criminal wrongdoing" by Gerrard. On June 23, 2022, RAK took the remarkable step of withdrawing from the UK

proceeding, claiming it had been misled and lied to by Gerrard and Dechert. In doing so, RAK conceded liability for the hack, saying it "is content for judgment to be entered against it, for damages to be assessed and it will take all necessary steps to ensure that such a judgment is satisfied." RAK cited the recent judgment against Gerrard and Dechert that found Gerrard "to be a dishonest witness who engaged in serious wrongdoing and ethical violations towards a client." In a letter regarding its request to withdraw, RAK described Gerrard and other Enterprise coconspirators as "dishonest and unscrupulous third-party advisers who have taken steps to advance their own interests for their own gains."

- 202. On August 9, 2022, in an effort to blame Gerrard for the firm's deliberate breaches of fiduciary duty, Dechert finally conceded through a spokesperson that the firm had "acted in reliance on the assurances given to us by Mr. Gerrard." Dechert continues to repeat the Enterprise's false story to fraudulently mislead Azima, courts, law enforcement, and the public about how they had come into possession of Azima's hacked documents.
- 203. For all of these reasons, Dechert is liable for the acts of its partners Gerrard and Hughes, as well as the predicate acts of RICO Conspirators committed at their behest.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Violations of RICO, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c)) (Against All RICO Defendants)

- 204. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein by reference each and every foregoing paragraph of this Complaint as if set forth in full.
- 205. At all relevant times Plaintiffs Azima, ALG, and Main 3260, FFV W39, and FFV Development each was and is a person within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(3) and 1962(c).
- 206. At all relevant times, each RICO Defendant was and is a person with the meaning of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(3) and 1962(c).

The RICO Enterprise

207. The RICO Defendants and their co-conspirators are a group of persons associated together in fact for the common purpose of carrying out an ongoing criminal enterprise, as described in the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint; namely through a multi-year, multi-faceted campaign of computer hacking, illegal surveillance, witness tampering and intimidation, obstruction of justice, perjury, money laundering, bank fraud, and wire fraud. The Enterprise's goal was to manufacture and prosecute claims against perceived enemies of RAK, including Plaintiffs, and to commit further crimes in the U.S. and overseas to cover up their unlawful conduct and obstruct Plaintiffs' efforts to seek a legal remedy. Over the years, the RICO Defendants and their co-conspirators have adapted their scheme to changing circumstances, expanding the scope and nature of their activities to harm Plaintiffs and conceal their illegal conduct. The affairs of the Enterprise were intended to, and have in fact resulted in, great financial gain for the RICO Defendants through millions in fees for their criminal services and great harm to the business and property of Plaintiffs in the US.

- 208. These RICO Defendants and their co-conspirators have organized their operation into a cohesive group with specific and assigned responsibilities and command structure, operating in the U.S. and other countries, with funding and direction coming to and going from the US. The Enterprise has operated continuously since 2014, when Gerrard and Dechert were first retained by RAK for this work.
- 209. Gerrard was a leader of the Enterprise, and he relied upon and utilized the vast resources of Dechert in executing the plan of the Enterprise. Dechert, through Gerrard, oversaw and directed the use of hacking coordinators, Del Rosso and Page, each of whom engaged hackers to participate in the affairs of the Enterprise as described above. The Enterprise continues to this day, with RICO Defendants and co-conspirators continuing their efforts to cover-up the Enterprise's illegal conduct by, among other things, making false statements in judicial proceedings and tampering with witnesses and evidence.
- 210. The RICO Defendants and their co-conspirators constitute an association-in-fact enterprise within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(4) and 1962(c). Each of the RICO Defendants participated in the operation or management of the Enterprise.
- 211. At all relevant times, the Enterprise was engaged in, and its activities affected interstate and foreign commerce within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c).

Pattern of Racketeering Activity

212. The RICO Defendants conducted or participated, directly or indirectly, in the conduct, management, or operation of the Enterprise's affairs through a "pattern of racketeering activity" within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 1961(5) and in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c), including the following acts of racketeering activity:

1. Obstruction of Justice in Violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1503

- 213. Over the past six years, numerous cases have arisen in the U.S. that threaten to expose the misconduct and crimes of the Enterprise. In a concerted effort to thwart Azima's attempts to uncover the truth and avoid discovery that would reveal their illegal conduct, the RICO Defendants have engaged in a lengthy pattern of obstruction and lies through document destruction, fabrication of evidence, mail fraud, and witness tampering and intimidation, as alleged in detail above.
- 214. The obstructive conduct also included false declarations stating that: (1) they did not hack Azima and did not know who had hacked Azima; (2) they did not have Azima's hacked documents in March 2016, when they induced Azima to sign the fraudulent 2016 Settlement Agreement; (3) they only obtained Azima's hacked data from publicly available links on the internet and they had no role in creating those links; and (4) the innocent discovery of Azima's hacked documents on publicly available internet sites prompted them to bring litigation against Azima.
- 215. The RICO Defendants have made these statements with full knowledge that the statements were false and misleading, as evidenced by the documents showing that the Enterprise was in possession of Azima's hacked documents as early as August 2015.
- 216. By making these deliberate and strategic false representations in various pending federal judicial proceedings, including the D.C. District Court Proceeding and Section 1782 proceedings, from September 2016 through as recently as September 2022, with full awareness of their consequence and with the specific intent to corruptly endeavor to influence, obstruct, and impede the due administration of justice, the RICO Defendants have repeatedly engaged in obstruction of justice in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1503.

2. Witness Tampering in Violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1512

- 217. In order to conceal their criminal conduct and harm to Azima, the Enterprise engaged in multiple instances of witness tampering as recently as July 2020 in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1512.
- 218. In August and September 2020, Azima was preparing to file a complaint against Del Rosso and Vital in the North Carolina Proceeding. In addition, Azima had filed the New York § 1782 Proceeding against Handjani, Al Sadeq had filed Section 1782 proceedings against Del Rosso, and Stokoe had filed proceedings against Robinson. Each of those proceedings risked exposing that Del Rosso had hired co-conspirators CyberRoot and Jain to hack Azima and others.
- 219. Fearing that Jain would expose the truth about the hacking and Del Rosso's involvement and the Enterprise's illegal conduct, Del Rosso knowingly engaged in intimidation, threats, and corrupt persuasion toward Jain with the specific intent to influence, delay and prevent Jain's testimony or to cause Jain to withhold relevant evidence during the North Carolina Proceeding. Del Rosso also knowingly fabricated evidence to impair discovery during U.S. proceedings. Additionally, as alleged above, Del Rosso and Handjani bribed Grayson and Robinson for their silence during the Stokoe Proceeding and instructed Robinson to destroy relevant evidence of the Stokoe hack.
- 220. The foregoing conduct constitutes witness tampering in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1512.

3. Money Laundering in Violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(2)(A)

221. The RICO Defendants have engaged in repeated acts of money laundering in furtherance of and to promote the unlawful objectives and activities of the Enterprise. Members of the Enterprise knowingly caused the transportation, transmission, and/or transfer of funds to or from the United States to themselves and other RICO Conspirators to promote unlawful activity,

including but not limited to violations of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341, 1343, 1344, 1503, and 1512, as alleged in this Complaint.

222. Exhibit A details known money laundering transactions in furtherance of the scheme to defraud. As described above, these transactions were made in U.S. dollars by or to RICO Conspirators via wire either in to or out of the U.S. Each of these transfers was made for the purpose of carrying on and promoting illegal activity in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956, as alleged above. The 413 money laundering transactions listed in the chart total more than \$29 million.

4. Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud in Violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343

- 223. As alleged herein, as part of the cover-up, the RICO Defendants engaged in a wideranging scheme or artifice to defraud Azima and harm him financially by embroiling him in expensive litigation, obtaining a judgment against him, and destroying his businesses and ability to generate income. Many of the wires in furtherance of this scheme were sent in the past four years.
- 224. As part of the scheme to defraud Azima, the Enterprise manufactured false evidence and used that evidence against Azima, claiming it was true when it was not. The scheme included the use of wires to deceive Azima, the U.S. courts, UK courts, and the public to believe that the RICO Conspirators had found Azima's stolen data on publicly available links on the internet, when in fact the RICO Conspirators hacked Azima and posted his data on the internet to hide the fact that they had stolen it. The scheme also included attempts to deceive Azima, the U.S. courts, UK courts, and the public to believe that the 2016 Settlement Agreement was negotiated in good faith when in fact it was procured by fraud. The objective of the scheme was to deprive Azima of money and property as described herein, including by coercing Azima to pay RAK significant sums of money that would benefit the RICO Defendants, by forcing him to incur substantial fees

and costs associated with litigation, by causing substantial damage to his businesses, and by preventing him from succeeding in litigation against RAK, as alleged herein.

- Azima's businesses, including posting Azima's stolen data on WeTransfer links on the internet in 2019, sending stolen data to media outlets to create negative publicity to harm Azima, and sending wires containing false statements about Azima and his stolen data to deceive the courts and the public. The object of the scheme was to cause financial harm to Azima and his businesses to "force him to invest both energy and funds defending himself, and slowly to fade away" from RAK's ongoing litigation, as described in one of the Hacking Reports.
- 226. As part of the scheme to defraud Azima, the Enterprise engaged in a hacking campaign through at least 2020, including attempts to determine whether Azima's litigation was being funded. The object of the scheme was to deprive Azima of money and property related to his ongoing litigation.
- 227. Azima incorporates by reference Exhibit B, which sets forth particular uses of wire and mail communications in the U.S. in furtherance of the scheme to defraud, describing which RICO Conspirator caused the communication to be mailed or wired, when the communication was made, and how it furthered the fraudulent scheme. The 173 wire and mail communications described in Exhibit B were made in furtherance of the scheme to defraud Azima.
- 228. Azima also incorporates by reference Exhibit A, which sets forth money laundering transactions in furtherance of the scheme to defraud. Each of these wire transfers was made in furtherance of the scheme to defraud and constitutes another instance of wire fraud.
- 229. This scheme to defraud was intended to, and in fact did, cause cognizable injury to Azima (a U.S. citizen) and his co-Plaintiffs (U.S. entities) by depriving them of money and

property in the U.S. and causing detrimental injuries to their U.S. business interests. These injuries included depriving Azima of identifiable and quantifiable business opportunities and causing Azima's banks to close accounts, cancel credit cards and lines of credit, and cancel business financing and/or refuse to provide Azima with such financing. The injuries caused by the scheme to defraud also included defeating Azima's meritorious claims for the Enterprise's hacking and related injuries, as well forcing Azima to spend fees to pursue these claims that would have been unnecessary but for RICO Defendants' acts of fraud. The injuries to Azima were directly and proximately caused by the Defendants' fraudulent schemes in violation of the mail and wire fraud statute, have occurred within the past four years, and continue to this day.

230. The RICO Defendants' false and misleading statements have been relied on by Azima, U.S. courts, U.S. government agencies, the UK court, and Azima's customers, business partners, potential customers and business partners, lenders and banks. As a foreseeable and intended result of the RICO Defendants' false and misleading statements, the fraudulent scheme has caused Azima and his co-Plaintiffs substantial damages and lost business opportunities.

5. Bank Fraud in Violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1344

- 231. The Enterprise unlawfully executed and attempted to execute a scheme to obtain money, funds, and credits from a financial institution under false and fraudulent pretenses and via false and misleading representations in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1344.
- 232. From October 2017 through as recently as October 2021, Forlit, Insight, and SDC-Gadot opened bank accounts with financial institutions in the U.S. through false statements and representations, intentionally designed to defraud banks into providing their services in support of the Enterprise's illicit money laundering. For example, in its account opening documents, Citibank asked Forlit to state the "Purpose or Reason for Wire" of wires SDC-Gadot intended to send and receive internationally using its account. Forlit falsely and/or misleadingly answered that SDC-

Gadot's U.S.-based Citibank account would send wires of approximately \$100,000 each up to ten times per month to bank accounts in Israel for "IT ANALYSIS SERVICES." Forlit also misleadingly stated that SDC-Gadot's U.S.-based Citibank account would receive regular monthly wires of approximately \$250,000 up to ten times per month from the UAE, UK, and Hong Kong for vague "SERVICES." In fact, the purposes of all wires sent and received internationally by SDC-Gadot's Citibank account were to channel money from Page and RAK to Forlit's Israeli company "Gadot Information Services," which investigated and hacked Enterprise targets, including Azima. Indeed, under oath, Forlit later admitted that his U.S. entities SDC-Gadot and Insight had "no business activity other than to serve as a conduit to transfer money" to pay Forlit's Israel-based company, which hacked Azima and other Enterprise targets.

- 233. In SDC-Gadot's account opening submissions to Citibank, Forlit also misleadingly stated that co-conspirator Eitan Arusy's company Global Impact Services was among Gadot's "major customers." In fact, under oath, Forlit later admitted that Arusy was actually a co-conspirator within the Enterprise who served on the team that hacked Azima and others. Forlit admitted that he and Arusy met with Gerrard, Buchanan, and Page all high-level members of the Enterprise to discuss the hacking of Azima at least five to ten times. Far from serving as one of Gadot's "major customers," Arusy and his company were co-conspirators in the same scheme splitting the proceeds of their criminal conduct using Citibank's wires.
- 234. To deceive U.S. banks into facilitating their transfer of proceeds from the Enterprise's scheme, Forlit and Page fabricated false and misleading invoices to convince banks to approve transactions. Forlit has admitted that when banks raised concerns about funds transfers, he and Page "would make some [written] arrangements that would pacify the banks." Despite having no retainer agreement between Forlit and Page for hacking services, they fabricated retainer

agreements for "special IT network protection consulting" to convince banks to approve wire transfers paying Forlit for hacking Enterprise targets like Azima. Forlit also admitted that invoices for the hacking of Azima and others would reference this "agreement" to "make the bank pay more easily" if the bank asked to see the invoice supporting the wire transfer.

- 235. Until as recently as October 2021, Forlit and Page succeeded in deceiving U.S.-based Citibank, Bank of America, and J.P. Morgan into approving wire transfers of the hacking proceeds. By Forlit's own admission, the banks approved transfers of at least \$5 million from Page to Forlit through U.S. bank accounts for "Project Beech" targeting Azima and others for hacking.
- 236. Del Rosso and Vital also engaged in similar acts of bank fraud against U.S. financial institutions. As with Forlit's account opening submissions to Citibank, upon information and belief, Del Rosso and Vital concealed from his U.S.-based bank, PNC Bank, that one of their accounts would be used to pay over \$1 million USD to hackers associated with CyberRoot and Aditya Jain's company Cyber Defence and Analytics. In a sworn witness statement in the UK proceedings, Jain admitted to receiving over \$50,000 from Del Rosso to hack Azima and other Enterprise targets. Jain also testified that CyberRoot employees shared with Jain that CyberRoot was paid over \$1 million to hack Azima.

Summary of the Pattern of Racketeering Activity Alleged as to Each RICO Defendant

- 237. Each of the RICO Defendants has participated in and conducted the affairs of the Enterprise by engaging in multiple predicate acts, as alleged above and summarized immediately below. The conduct of each of the RICO Defendants constitutes a pattern of racketeering activity, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 1961(5).
- 238. Defendant Gerrard has committed numerous predicate acts, including mail and wire fraud, obstruction of justice, witness tampering, and money laundering. Gerrard engaged in

obstruction of justice and wire fraud by causing false statements to be made in U.S. and UK courts and to U.S. law enforcement agencies in service of the Enterprise's scheme to defraud Azima. Gerrard caused to be filed in U.S. courts and sent via wire to Azima's counsel documents that falsely represented that RAK innocently discovered Azima's hacked materials on the internet after negotiating the 2016 Settlement Agreement in good faith. Gerrard also directed the scheme to defraud the U.S. courts by mailing stolen documents to the D.C. district court. Gerrard engaged in witness tampering by secretly coaching witnesses to commit perjury directed at least in part toward the U.S. litigation. Gerrard engaged in money laundering by knowingly causing funds to be transported, transmitted, or transferred to and from the US with the intent that such payments would fund the Enterprise's criminal activity. Specific instances of wire fraud and mail fraud are listed in Exhibit B, and specific instances of money laundering are listed in Exhibit A.

- 239. Defendant Dechert, through the actions of its partners, has committed numerous predicate acts, including mail and wire fraud, obstruction of justice, witness tampering, and money laundering. Dechert engaged in obstruction of justice and wire fraud by causing false statements to be made in U.S. and UK courts and to U.S. law enforcement agencies in furtherance of the scheme to defraud Azima. Dechert filed in U.S. courts and sent via wire to Azima's counsel documents that falsely represented that RAK innocently discovered Azima's hacked materials on the internet after negotiating the 2016 Settlement Agreement in good faith. Specific instances of wire fraud and mail fraud are listed in Exhibit B, and specific instances of money laundering are listed in Exhibit A.
- 240. Defendant Hughes has committed numerous predicate acts, including mail and wire fraud, obstruction of justice, witness tampering, and money laundering. Hughes engaged in obstruction of justice and wire fraud by causing false statements to be made in U.S. and UK courts

and to U.S. law enforcement agencies in service of the Enterprise's scheme to defraud Azima. Hughes caused to be filed in U.S. courts and sent via wire to Azima's counsel documents that falsely represented that RAK innocently discovered Azima's hacked materials on the internet after negotiating the 2016 Settlement Agreement in good faith. Hughes also directed the scheme to defraud the U.S. courts by mailing stolen documents to the D.C. district court. Hughes engaged in witness tampering by secretly coaching witnesses in the UK proceeding to commit perjury directed at least in part toward the U.S. litigation. Specific instances of wire fraud are listed in Exhibit B.

- 241. Defendants Del Rosso and Vital have committed numerous predicate acts, including mail and wire fraud, obstruction of justice, witness tampering, bank fraud, and money laundering. Del Rosso engaged in obstruction of justice and wire fraud by manufacturing evidence and causing false statements to be made to U.S. and UK courts, to Azima's counsel, and to U.S. law enforcement agencies, many of which were made over the wires in furtherance of the Enterprise's scheme to harm and defraud Azima. Del Rosso has also engaged in witness tampering and extortion through threats to Jain, Robinson and Grayson. Del Rosso and Vital have committed wire fraud and engaged in money laundering by knowingly causing funds to be transported, transmitted, or transferred from the United States to hackers CyberRoot and Aditya Jain in India with the intent that such payments would fund the RICO Defendants' criminal activity. Specific instances of wire fraud and mail fraud are listed in Exhibit B, and specific instances of money laundering are listed in Exhibit A.
- 242. Defendants Forlit, Insight, and SDC-Gadot have committed numerous predicate acts, including mail and wire fraud, obstruction of justice, witness tampering, bank fraud, and money laundering. Forlit engaged in obstruction of justice and wire fraud by manufacturing

evidence and causing false statements to be made to U.S. and UK courts, to Azima's counsel, and to U.S. law enforcement agencies, many of which were made over the wires in furtherance of the Enterprise's scheme to defraud Azima. Forlit engaged in witness tampering by manufacturing a false witness statement for Halabi, which was directed at least in part toward the U.S. litigation. Insight and SDC-Gadot are alter egos of Forlit and are liable for Forlit's conduct. Forlit, Insight, and SDC-Gadot have committed wire fraud and engaged in money laundering by knowingly causing funds to be transported, transmitted, or transferred from the United States to hackers in Israel with the intent that such payments would fund the Enterprise's criminal activity. Specific instances of wire fraud and mail fraud are listed in Exhibit B, and specific instances of money laundering are listed in Exhibit A.

- 243. Defendant Handjani has committed numerous predicate acts, including mail and wire fraud, obstruction of justice, and witness tampering. Handjani has engaged in obstruction of justice and wire fraud by participating in multiple meetings regarding the attacks on Azima, causing false statements to be made in U.S. and UK courts in service of the Enterprise's scheme to harm and defraud Azima. Handjani has also engaged in witness tampering by guaranteeing payment of Patrick Grayson's legal fees in exchange for false testimony concealing Del Rosso's and Vital's roles in the Enterprise's hacking operations.
- 244. Defendants Frank and KARV Communications have committed numerous predicate acts, including mail and wire fraud, obstruction of justice, and witness tampering. Frank and KARV have engaged in obstruction of justice by causing false statements to be made in U.S. and UK courts in in furtherance of the scheme to defraud Azima by drafting the action plan by which the Enterprise would use false statements in litigation to inflict attorneys' fees, costs, and reputational harm to Azima. Frank and KARV engaged in extortion of Azima by developing and

executing the scheme to manufacture false evidence to be used against Azima and relied upon that false evidence in U.S. and UK legal proceedings and with the press. Frank and KARV have also committed wire fraud and engaged in money laundering by knowingly causing funds to be transported, transmitted, or transferred to the United States from the UAE through RAK with the intent that such payments would fund the Enterprise's criminal activity. Specific instances of wire fraud and mail fraud are listed in Exhibit B, and specific instances of money laundering are listed in Exhibit A.

Injury to Plaintiffs' Business and Property

- 245. Azima was injured in his business and property by reason of the RICO Defendants' violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c). The injuries to Azima caused by reason of the violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) include but are not limited to, the impairment of Plaintiff's business interests in executed contracts including but not limited to those described above; damages to Azima's reputation and good will; and attorneys' fees, costs to defend himself, and payments made in connection with improper litigation, as well as costs to investigate and disprove false testimony, as alleged herein.
- 246. Plaintiff ALG Transportation Inc. was injured in its business and property by reason of the Defendants' violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c). The injuries to ALG Transportation, Inc. caused by reason of the violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) include but are not limited to damages to ALG Transportation's reputation and goodwill; damages stemming from the unlawful hacking and release of ALG Transportation's business records, financial documents, and trade secrets; and the attorneys' fees and costs to defend against subpoenas, which were issued to ALG Transportation as a result of the Enterprise's campaign to instigate FBI pressure.
- 247. Plaintiff Main 3260 was injured in its business and property by reason of the Defendants' violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c). The injuries to Main 3260 LLC caused by reason

of the violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) include but are not limited to damages stemming from the loss of financing that had been preliminarily approved for the housing projects, lost profits related to the projects, and damages to the company's reputation and goodwill.

- 248. Plaintiff FFV W39 injured in its business and property by reason of the Defendants' violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c). The injuries to FFV W39 LLC caused by reason of the violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) include but are not limited to damages stemming from the loss of financing that had been preliminarily approved for the housing projects, lost profits related to the projects, and damages to the company's reputation and goodwill.
- 249. Plaintiff FFV Development was injured in its business and property by reason of the Defendants' violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c). The injuries to FFV Development LLC caused by reason of the violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) include but are not limited to damages stemming from the loss of financing that had been preliminarily approved for the housing projects, lost profits related to the projects, and damages to the company's reputation and goodwill.
- 250. Further, these injuries to Plaintiffs Azima, ALG Transportation, Inc., Main 3260, FFV W39, and FFV Development were a direct, proximate, and reasonably foreseeable result of the violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962. Plaintiffs are the ultimate victims of the RICO Defendants' unlawful Enterprise. Plaintiffs have been and will continue to be injured in their business and property in an amount to be determined at trial, with total damages in excess of \$100 million.
- 251. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c), Plaintiffs are entitled to recover treble damages plus costs and attorneys' fees from the RICO Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs Azima, ALG, Main 3260, FFV W39, and FFV Development pray for judgment as set forth below.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Conspiracy to Violate RICO, Violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d)) (Against All RICO Defendants)

- 252. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein by reference each and every foregoing paragraph of this Complaint as if set forth in full.
- 253. The RICO Defendants have unlawfully, knowingly and willfully combined, conspired, confederated and agreed together and with others to violate 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) as described above, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d).
- 254. Upon information and belief, the RICO Defendants knew that they were engaged in a conspiracy to commit the predicate acts, and they knew that the predicate acts were part of such racketeering activity, and the participation and agreement of each of them was necessary to allow the commission of this pattern of racketeering activity. This conduct constitutes a conspiracy to violate 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) and, (d).
- 255. Upon information and belief, the RICO Defendants agreed to conduct or participate, directly or indirectly, in the conduct, management, or operation of the Enterprise's affairs through a pattern of racketeering activity in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c).
- 256. Each RICO Defendant knew about and agreed to facilitate the Enterprise's scheme to inflict massive legal fees upon and spoil business interests of Plaintiffs. It was part of the conspiracy that the RICO Defendants and their co-conspirators would commit a pattern of racketeering activity in the conduct of the affairs of the Enterprise, including the acts of racketeering set forth herein.
- 257. As a direct and proximate result of the RICO Defendants' conspiracy, the acts of racketeering activity of the Enterprise, the overt acts taken in furtherance of that conspiracy, and violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d), Azima has been injured in his business and property, including

but not limited to the impairment of Azima's interest in executed contracts including the apartment projects described above, damages to Azima's reputation and good will, a judgment against Azima procured by fraud, and attorneys' fees and costs to defend himself in fraudulently manufactured and improperly motivated litigation in the UK and to investigate and disprove false testimony and declarations provided by the RICO Defendants in judicial proceedings in the U.S. and UK.

- 258. As a direct and proximate result of the RICO Defendants' conspiracy, the acts of racketeering activity of the Enterprise, the overt acts taken in furtherance of that conspiracy and violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d), Plaintiff ALG Transportation, Inc. has been injured in its business and property, including but not limited to damages to ALG Transportation's reputation and goodwill; damages stemming from the unlawful hacking and release of ALG Transportation's business records, financial documents, and trade secrets; and the attorneys' fees and costs to defend against subpoenas, which were issued to ALG Transportation as a result of the Enterprise's campaign to instigate FBI pressure.
- 259. As a direct and proximate result of the RICO Defendants' conspiracy, the acts of racketeering activity of the Enterprise, the overt acts taken in furtherance of that conspiracy and violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d), Plaintiff Main 3260 has been injured in its business and property, including but not limited to damages to Main 3260's reputation and goodwill, which proximately caused the collapse of financing for housing development projects.
- 260. As a direct and proximate result of the RICO Defendants' conspiracy, the acts of racketeering activity of the Enterprise, the overt acts taken in furtherance of that conspiracy and violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d), Plaintiff FFV W39 has been injured in its business and property, including but not limited to damages to FFV W39's reputation and goodwill, which proximately caused the collapse of financing for housing development projects.

- 261. As a direct and proximate result of the RICO Defendants' conspiracy, the acts of racketeering activity of the Enterprise, the overt acts taken in furtherance of that conspiracy and violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d), Plaintiff FFV Development has been injured in its business and property, including but not limited to damages to FFV Development's reputation and goodwill, which proximately caused the collapse of financing for housing development projects.
- 262. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c), Plaintiffs are entitled to recover treble damages plus costs and attorneys' fees from the RICO Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment as set forth below.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- For general damages according to proof at trial, trebled according to statute, 18
 U.S.C. § 1964(c).
 - 2. For pre-judgment interest according to statute;
- For Plaintiffs' reasonable attorneys' fees and costs according to statute, 18
 U.S.C.§ 1964(c); and
 - 4. For such other legal and equitable relief as the Court may deem appropriate.

October 13, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Calvin Lee

Calvin Lee (#5621677)

Kirby D. Behre (pro hac vice motion forthcoming)

Timothy P. O'Toole (pro hac vice motion forthcoming)

Ian A. Herbert (*pro hac vice* motion forthcoming) Cody F. Marden (*pro hac vice* motion forthcoming)

Miller & Chevalier Chartered

900 Sixteenth St. NW

Black Lives Matter Plaza

Washington, DC 20006

Tel. (202) 626-5800

Fax. (202) 626-5801

Email: clee@milchev.com

Email: <u>kbehre@milchev.com</u>

Email: totoole@milchev.com

Email: <u>iherbert@milchev.com</u> Email: <u>cmarden@milchev.com</u>

Counsel for Plaintiffs

Exhibit A

Azima, et al. v. Dechert, LLP, et al.: Complaint Exhibit A Selected Payments and Transfers in Violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956 (Money Laundering)

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
1.	Khaimah	Communications	5/1/2014	\$ 40,509.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
2.	Khaimah	Communications	6/1/2014	\$ 40,120.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
3.	Khaimah	Communications	7/1/2014	\$ 40,110.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
4.	Khaimah	Communications	8/1/2014	\$ 40,109.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
5.	Khaimah	Communications	9/1/2014	\$ 40,090.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator Jain's company Cyber
					Defense and Analytics for hacking,
	Defendant Vital	Co-conspirator			sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Cyber Defence			services to promote unlawful
6.	Services	and Analytics	9/9/2014	\$ 5,000.00	Enterprise activities.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
7.	Khaimah	Communications	10/1/2014	\$ 49,767.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
8.	Khaimah	Communications	11/1/2014	\$ 40,525.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
9.	Khaimah	Communications	12/1/2014	\$ 40,110.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
10.	Khaimah	Communications	1/1/2015	\$ 40,104.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
11.	Khaimah	Communications	2/1/2015	\$ 40,075.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
12.	Khaimah	Communications	3/1/2015	\$ 40,063.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
13.	Khaimah	Communications	4/1/2015	\$ 55,826.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator	_			Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
14.	Khaimah	Communications	5/30/2015	\$ 49,876.00	related to Azima and others.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator Jain's company Cyber
					Defense and Analytics for hacking,
	Defendant Vital	Co-conspirator			sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Cyber Defence			services to promote unlawful
15.	Services	and Analytics	6/2/2015	\$ 2,480.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator Jain's company Cyber
					Defense and Analytics for hacking,
	Defendant Vital	Co-conspirator			sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Cyber Defence			services to promote unlawful
16.	Services	and Analytics	6/12/2015	\$ 4,980.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator Jain's company Cyber
					Defense and Analytics for hacking,
	Defendant Vital	Co-conspirator			sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Cyber Defence			services to promote unlawful
17.	Services	and Analytics	6/23/2015	\$ 34,975.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
18.	Services	CyberRoot	7/28/2015	\$ 7,500.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
19.	Khaimah	Communications	7/31/2015	\$ 40,037.00	related to Azima and others.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
20.	Khaimah	Communications	8/31/2015	\$ 48,042.06	related to Azima and others.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator Jain's company Cyber
					Defense and Analytics for hacking,
	Defendant Vital	Co-conspirator			sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Cyber Defence			services to promote unlawful
21.	Services	and Analytics	9/23/2015	\$ 9,980.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
22.	Khaimah	Communications	10/13/2015	\$ 50,058.47	related to Azima and others.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
23.	Khaimah	Communications	11/1/2015	\$ 47,696.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
24.	Khaimah	Communications	12/1/2015	\$ 55,771.53	related to Azima and others.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator Jain's company Cyber
					Defense and Analytics for hacking,
	Defendant Vital	Co-conspirator			sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Cyber Defence			services to promote unlawful
25.	Services	and Analytics	12/2/2015	\$ 4,980.00	Enterprise activities.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
				<u> </u>	Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
26.	Khaimah	Communications	1/1/2016	\$ 41,857.87	related to Azima and others.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
27.	Khaimah	Communications	2/1/2016	\$ 40,105.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
28.	Khaimah	Communications	3/1/2016	\$ 52,926.25	related to Azima and others.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
29.	Services	CyberRoot	3/14/2016	\$ 7,500.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
30.	Services	CyberRoot	3/15/2016	\$ 7,500.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
31.	Khaimah	Communications	4/1/2016	\$ 48,012.72	related to Azima and others.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
	Defendant Vital	Co-conspirator			U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
	Management	Cyber Defence			conspirator Jain's company Cyber
32.	Services	and Analytics	4/25/2015	\$ 2,980.00	Defense and Analytics for hacking,

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					sham litigation, coverup, and related
					services to promote unlawful
					Enterprise activities.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
33.	Khaimah	Communications	5/1/2016	\$ 41,884.21	related to Azima and others.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
34.	Services	CyberRoot	5/10/2016	\$ 10,000.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
35.	Services	CyberRoot	5/16/2016	\$ 14,991.67	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
36.	Services	CyberRoot	5/20/2016	\$ 42,491.67	Enterprise activities.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
37.	Khaimah	Communications	6/1/2016	\$ 49,720.91	related to Azima and others.
	Defendant Vital	Co-conspirator			Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
	Management	Cyber Defence			U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
38.	Services	and Analytics	6/3/2016	\$ 17,480.00	conspirator Jain's company Cyber

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					Defense and Analytics for hacking,
					sham litigation, coverup, and related
					services to promote unlawful
					Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
39.	Services	CyberRoot	6/7/2016	\$ 47,991.67	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
40.	Services	CyberRoot	6/10/2016	\$ 7,500.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
41.	Services	CyberRoot	6/16/2016	\$ 9,500.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator Jain's company Cyber
					Defense and Analytics for hacking,
	Defendant Vital	Co-conspirator			sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Cyber Defence			services to promote unlawful
42.	Services	and Analytics	6/27/2016	\$ 3,980.00	Enterprise activities.
	Defendant Vital	Co-conspirator			Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
	Management	Cyber Defence			U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
43.	Services	and Analytics	6/29/2016	\$ 9,980.00	conspirator Jain's company Cyber

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					Defense and Analytics for hacking,
					sham litigation, coverup, and related
					services to promote unlawful
					Enterprise activities.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
44.	Khaimah	Communications	7/1/2016	\$ 51,245.96	related to Azima and others.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
45.	Services	CyberRoot	7/19/2016	\$ 82,991.67	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator Jain's company Cyber
					Defense and Analytics for hacking,
	Defendant Vital	Co-conspirator			sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Cyber Defence			services to promote unlawful
46.	Services	and Analytics	7/21/2016	\$ 22,980.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
47.	Khaimah	Communications	8/1/2016	\$ 40,749.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
48.	Services	CyberRoot	8/3/2016	\$ 49,491.67	Enterprise activities.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
49.	Services	CyberRoot	8/26/2016	\$ 14,991.67	Enterprise activities.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
50.	Khaimah	Communications	9/1/2016	\$ 40,744.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator Jain's company Cyber
					Defense and Analytics for hacking,
	Defendant Vital	Co-conspirator			sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Cyber Defence			services to promote unlawful
51.	Services	and Analytics	9/6/2016	\$ 8,980.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
52.	Services	CyberRoot	9/6/2016	\$ 56,491.67	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
53.	Services	CyberRoot	9/12/2016	\$ 7,500.00	Enterprise activities.
	Defendant Vital				Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
	Management	Co-conspirator			U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
54.	Services	CyberRoot	9/16/2016	\$ 2,500.00	conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					sham litigation, coverup, and related
					services to promote unlawful
					Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
55.	Services	CyberRoot	9/27/2016	\$ 10,000.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
56.	Khaimah	Communications	10/1/2016	\$ 43,898.85	related to Azima and others.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
57.	Services	CyberRoot	10/10/2016	\$ 2,500.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
58.	Services	CyberRoot	10/13/2016	\$ 27,491.67	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator Jain's company Cyber
	D 0 1 17711				Defense and Analytics for hacking,
	Defendant Vital	Co-conspirator			sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Cyber Defence	40/44/0045	- 000 00	services to promote unlawful
59.	Services	and Analytics	10/14/2016	\$ 5,980.00	Enterprise activities.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
60.	Services	CyberRoot	10/18/2016	\$ 77,191.67	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
61.	Services	CyberRoot	10/27/2016	\$ 24,991.67	Enterprise activities.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
62.	Khaimah	Communications	11/1/2016	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
63.	Services	CyberRoot	11/4/2016	\$ 1,500.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator Jain's company Cyber
					Defense and Analytics for hacking,
	Defendant Vital	Co-conspirator			sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Cyber Defence			services to promote unlawful
64.	Services	and Analytics	11/8/2016	\$ 4,980.00	Enterprise activities.
	Defendant Vital				Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
	Management	Co-conspirator			U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
65.	Services	CyberRoot	11/10/2016	\$ 5,000.00	conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					sham litigation, coverup, and related
					services to promote unlawful
					Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
66.	Services	CyberRoot	11/15/2016	\$ 5,000.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
67.	Khaimah	Communications	12/1/2016	\$ 44,331.68	related to Azima and others.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
68.	Services	CyberRoot	12/7/2016	\$ 21,491.67	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
69.	Services	CyberRoot	12/22/2016	\$ 7,500.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
70.	Services	CyberRoot	12/23/2016	\$ 27,491.67	Enterprise activities.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
71.	Khaimah	Communications	1/1/2017	\$ 55,791.38	related to Azima and others.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
72.	Services	CyberRoot	1/3/2017	\$ 17,991.67	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
73.	Services	CyberRoot	1/3/2017	\$ 17,991.67	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
74.	Services	CyberRoot	1/10/2017	\$ 2,500.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
75.	Services	CyberRoot	1/18/2017	\$ 89,991.67	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
	Defendant Vital				U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
	Management	Co-conspirator			conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
76.	Services	CyberRoot	1/24/2017	\$ 7,500.00	sham litigation, coverup, and related

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	1	Amount	Description and Comment
						services to promote unlawful
						Enterprise activities.
						Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
						U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
						conspirator Jain's company Cyber
						Defense and Analytics for hacking,
	Defendant Vital	Co-conspirator				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Cyber Defence				services to promote unlawful
77.	Services	and Analytics	1/24/2017	\$	14,980.00	Enterprise activities.
						Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator					Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV				promote unlawful Enterprise activities
78.	Khaimah	Communications	2/1/2017	\$	40,282.00	related to Azima and others.
						Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
						U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
						conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital					sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator				services to promote unlawful
79.	Services	CyberRoot	2/1/2017	\$	44,991.67	Enterprise activities.
						Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
						U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
						conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital					sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator				services to promote unlawful
80.	Services	CyberRoot	2/13/2017	\$	9,000.00	Enterprise activities.
						Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
						U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
						conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital					sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator				services to promote unlawful
81.	Services	CyberRoot	2/14/2017	\$	108,989.67	Enterprise activities.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
82.	Khaimah	Communications	3/1/2017	\$ 40,190.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
83.	Khaimah	Communications	4/1/2017	\$ 51,013.16	related to Azima and others.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator Jain's company Cyber
					Defense and Analytics for hacking,
	Defendant Vital	Co-conspirator			sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Cyber Defence			services to promote unlawful
84.	Services	and Analytics	4/21/2017	\$ 4,980.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
85.	Khaimah	Communications	5/1/2017	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
86.	Khaimah	Communications	6/1/2017	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator Jain's company Cyber
					Defense and Analytics for hacking,
	Defendant Vital	Co-conspirator			sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Cyber Defence			services to promote unlawful
87.	Services	and Analytics	6/8/2017	\$ 10,980.00	Enterprise activities.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
			-		Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
88.	Khaimah	Communications	7/1/2017	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator Jain's company Cyber
					Defense and Analytics for hacking,
	Defendant Vital	Co-conspirator			sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Cyber Defence			services to promote unlawful
89.	Services	and Analytics	7/5/2017	\$ 11,980.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator Jain's company Cyber
					Defense and Analytics for hacking,
	Defendant Vital	Co-conspirator			sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Cyber Defence			services to promote unlawful
90.	Services	and Analytics	7/20/2017	\$ 1,980.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
91.	Khaimah	Communications	8/1/2017	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payment from Defendant Buchanan's
					company, Gravitas, to Co-conspirator
					CyberRoot for hacking, sham litigation,
		Co-conspirator			coverup, and related services to
92.	Gravitas	CyberRoot	8/14/2017	\$ 70,000.00	promote unlawful Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
	Defendant Vital	Co-conspirator			conspirator Jain's company Cyber
	Management	Cyber Defence			Defense and Analytics for hacking,
93.	Services	and Analytics	8/22/2017	\$ 3,980.00	sham litigation, coverup, and related

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					services to promote unlawful
					Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator Jain's company Cyber
					Defense and Analytics for hacking,
	Defendant Vital	Co-conspirator			sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Cyber Defence			services to promote unlawful
94.	Services	and Analytics	8/29/2017	\$ 17,480.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
95.	Khaimah	Communications	9/1/2017	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payment from Defendant Del Rosso's
					U.S. bank account (PNC Bank) to Co-
					conspirator CyberRoot for hacking,
	Defendant Vital				sham litigation, coverup, and related
	Management	Co-conspirator			services to promote unlawful
96.	Services	CyberRoot	9/22/2017	\$ 139,990.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
97.	Khaimah	Communications	10/1/2017	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
98.	Khaimah	Communications	11/1/2017	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
99.	Khaimah	Communications	12/1/2017	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
						Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
						(Bank of America) for hacking, sham
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight				litigation, coverup, and related services
4.00	Page Group ME	Analysis and	40/4/004	•		to promote unlawful Enterprise
100.	DMCC	Research LLC	12/4/2017	\$	279,950.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity, used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Analysis and	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
101.	Research LLC	Services	12/11/2017	\$	5,000.00	activities.
					,	Receipt and sending of Enterprise
						funds between U.S. bank accounts
						controlled by Defendant Forlit for
						hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant Insight					related services to promote unlawful
1.00	Analysis and	Defendant SDC-	10/00/0015	Φ.	5 000 00	Enterprise activities, and to transfer
102.	Research LLC	Gadot LLC	12/20/2017	\$	5,000.00	Enterprise hacking proceeds to Israel.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of America) to Co-conspirator Eitan
						Arusy's company Global Impact
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				Services for hacking, sham litigation,
	Analysis and	Global Impact				coverup, and related services to
103.	Research LLC	Services LLC	12/26/2017	\$	200,000.00	promote unlawful Enterprise activities.
			·		,	Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Analysis and	Gadot Information				America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
104.	Research LLC	Services	12/26/2017	\$	160,000.00	entity, used in part to compensate those

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					involved in the hacking, sham
					litigation, coverup, and related services
					to promote unlawful Enterprise
					activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
					America) to Co-conspirator Eitan
					Arusy's company Global Impact
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator			Services for hacking, sham litigation,
	Analysis and	Global Impact			coverup, and related services to
105.	Research LLC	Services LLC	12/28/2017	\$ 60,000.00	promote unlawful Enterprise activities.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
106.	Khaimah	Communications	1/1/2018	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
					Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
					(Bank of America) for hacking, sham
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight			litigation, coverup, and related services
	Page Group ME	Analysis and			to promote unlawful Enterprise
107.	DMCC	Research LLC	1/12/2018	\$ 239,950.00	activities.
					Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
					Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
					(Bank of America) for hacking, sham
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight			litigation, coverup, and related services
	Page Group ME	Analysis and			to promote unlawful Enterprise
108.	DMCC	Research LLC	1/31/2018	\$ 125,500.00	activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator			America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
	Analysis and	Gadot Information			entity, used in part to compensate those
109.	Research LLC	Services	1/22/2018	\$ 150,000.00	involved in the hacking, sham

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					litigation, coverup, and related services
					to promote unlawful Enterprise
					activities.
					Receipt and sending of Enterprise
					funds between U.S. bank accounts
		Defendants			controlled by Defendant Forlit for
		Analysis and			hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant Insight	Research LLC			related services to promote unlawful
	Analysis and	and SDC-Gadot			Enterprise activities, and to transfer
110.	Research LLC	LLC	1/26/2018	\$ 45,000.00	Enterprise hacking proceeds to Israel.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
111.	Khaimah	Communications	2/1/2018	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
					America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
					entity, used in part to compensate those
					involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator			litigation, coverup, and related services
	Analysis and	Gadot Information			to promote unlawful Enterprise
112.	Research LLC	Services	2/1/2018	\$ 130,000.00	activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
					to subcontractor who supported
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator			related services to promote unlawful
113.	Gadot LLC	Fusion GPS	2/6/2018	\$ 49,000.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight			Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
	Page Group ME	Analysis and			(Bank of America) for hacking, sham
114.	DMCC	Research LLC	2/9/2018	\$ 187,950.00	litigation, coverup, and related services

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					to promote unlawful Enterprise
					activities.
					Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
					Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
	Co-conspirator				(Citibank) for hacking, sham litigation,
	Page Group ME	Defendant SDC-			coverup, and related services to
115.	DMCC	Gadot LLC	2/9/2018	\$ 112,000.00	promote unlawful Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
					to subcontractor who supported
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator			related services to promote unlawful
116.	Gadot LLC	Fusion GPS	2/13/2018	\$ 50,000.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
					to subcontractor who supported
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator			related services to promote unlawful
117.	Gadot LLC	Fusion GPS	2/15/2018	\$ 50,000.00	Enterprise activities.
					Receipt and sending of Enterprise
					funds between U.S. bank accounts
					controlled by Defendant Forlit for
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant Insight				related services to promote unlawful
	Analysis and	Defendant SDC-			Enterprise activities, and to transfer
118.	Research LLC	Gadot LLC	2/15/2018	\$ 275,000.00	Enterprise hacking proceeds to Israel.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
					to subcontractor who supported
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator	- / /		related services to promote unlawful
119.	Gadot LLC	Fusion GPS	2/20/2018	\$ 50,000.00	Enterprise activities.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
					to subcontractor who supported
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator			related services to promote unlawful
120.	Gadot LLC	Fusion GPS	2/27/2018	\$ 50,000.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
121.	Khaimah	Communications	3/1/2018	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
		Co-conspirator			to company owned by Aviram Azari,
	Defendant SDC-	Aviram Hawk-			who pled guilty to operating a hack for
122.	Gadot LLC	Consultant	3/1/2018	\$ 30,000.00	hire operation targeting U.S. citizens.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
					America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
					entity, used in part to compensate those
					involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator			litigation, coverup, and related services
	Analysis and	Gadot Information			to promote unlawful Enterprise
123.	Research LLC	Services	3/8/2018	\$ 230,000.00	activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
		Co-conspirator			to company owned by Aviram Azari,
	Defendant SDC-	Aviram Hawk-			who pled guilty to operating a hack for
124.	Gadot LLC	Consultant	3/12/2018	\$ 25,000.00	hire operation targeting U.S. citizens.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
		Co-conspirator			Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information			to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
125.	Gadot LLC	Services	3/13/2018	\$ 50,000.00	used in part to compensate those

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						involved in the hacking, sham
						litigation, coverup, and related services
						to promote unlawful Enterprise
						activities.
						Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
						Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
						(Bank of America) for hacking, sham
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Page Group ME	Analysis and	- / /			to promote unlawful Enterprise
126.	DMCC	Research LLC	3/15/2018	\$	219,950.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
		Co-conspirator				involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information				litigation, coverup, and related services to promote unlawful Enterprise
127.	Gadot LLC	Services	3/19/2018	\$	50,000.00	activities.
12/.	Gadot LLC	Services	3/17/2010	Ψ	30,000.00	Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
128.	Gadot LLC	Services	3/20/2018	\$	45,000.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to subcontractor who supported
						hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator				related services to promote unlawful
129.	Gadot LLC	Fusion GPS	3/29/2018	\$	50,000.00	Enterprise activities.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
					to subcontractor who supported
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator			related services to promote unlawful
130.	Gadot LLC	Fusion GPS	3/30/2018	\$ 30,000.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
		CO-			America) to vendor who supported
	Defendant Insight	CONSPIRATOR			hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Analysis and	BMI Analysis			related services to promote unlawful
131.	Research LLC	Limited	3/30/2018	\$ 20,000.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
132.	Khaimah	Communications	4/1/2018	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
					to subcontractor who supported
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator	. (2 /2 0 / 0		related services to promote unlawful
133.	Gadot LLC	Fusion GPS	4/3/2018	\$ 20,000.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
		CO-			America) to vendor who supported
	Defendant Insight	CONSPIRATOR			hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Analysis and	BMI Analysis	4/0/0040	42 000 00	related services to promote unlawful
134.	Research LLC	Limited	4/9/2018	\$ 43,000.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator			Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
105	Analysis and	Gadot Information	4 10 10 01 0	200.000.00	America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
135.	Research LLC	Services	4/9/2018	\$ 300,000.00	entity, used in part to compensate those

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						involved in the hacking, sham
						litigation, coverup, and related services
						to promote unlawful Enterprise
						activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to subcontractor who supported
						hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator				related services to promote unlawful
136.	Gadot LLC	Fusion GPS	4/11/2018	\$	50,000.00	Enterprise activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
1	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
137.	Gadot LLC	Services	4/12/2018	\$	50,000.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to subcontractor who supported
						hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
4.00	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator	1/1/2/2010		* 0.000.00	related services to promote unlawful
138.	Gadot LLC	Fusion GPS	4/16/2018	\$	50,000.00	Enterprise activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
	D C 1 : CDC	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
120	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information	4/17/2010	Φ.	50,000,00	to promote unlawful Enterprise
139.	Gadot LLC	Services	4/17/2018	\$	50,000.00	activities.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight					America) to entity controlled by Insight
	Analysis and					employee, used as compensation for
140.	Research LLC	Yessodot	4/17/2018	\$	57,000.00	management of Insight.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
	D 0 1 6D 6	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information	4/40/0040		* 0.000.00	to promote unlawful Enterprise
141.	Gadot LLC	Services	4/18/2018	\$	50,000.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to subcontractor who supported
	D.C. 1 ACDC					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
1.40	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator	4/20/2010	Φ.	70 000 00	related services to promote unlawful
142.	Gadot LLC	Fusion GPS	4/20/2018	\$	50,000.00	Enterprise activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to subcontractor who supported
	D 0 1 1 0D 0					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
1 42	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator	4/22/2010	Φ.	7 0 000 00	related services to promote unlawful
143.	Gadot LLC	Fusion GPS	4/23/2018	\$	50,000.00	Enterprise activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to subcontractor who supported
	D 0 1 . CD C					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
 	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator	4/0.4/0.40		5 0 000 00	related services to promote unlawful
144.	Gadot LLC	Fusion GPS	4/24/2018	\$	50,000.00	Enterprise activities.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
1 4 5	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information	4/05/0010	Φ.	5 0,000,00	to promote unlawful Enterprise
145.	Gadot LLC	Services	4/25/2018	\$	50,000.00	activities.
						Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
						Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight				(Bank of America) for hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and related services
	Page Group ME	Analysis and				to promote unlawful Enterprise
146.		Research LLC	4/25/2018	\$	191,950.00	activities.
170.	DIVICE	Research LLC	4/23/2010	Ψ	171,730.00	Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to subcontractor who supported
						hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator				related services to promote unlawful
147.	Gadot LLC	Fusion GPS	4/26/2018	\$	50,000.00	Enterprise activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				to company owned by Aviram Azari,
	Analysis and	Aviram Hawk-				who pled guilty to operating a hack for
148.	Research LLC	Consultant	4/26/2018	\$	32,000.00	hire operation targeting U.S. citizens.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
	D.C. 1 (I to 1)					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight					America) to entity controlled by Insight
1.40	Analysis and	V 1 - 4	4/20/2010	Φ.	1 (730 00	employee, used as compensation for
149.	Research LLC	Yessodot	4/30/2018	\$	16,720.00	management of Insight.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to vendor who supported
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Analysis and	BMI Analysis				related services to promote unlawful
150.	Research LLC	Limited	4/30/2018	\$	50,000.00	Enterprise activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
						entity, used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Analysis and	Gadot Information	1/20/2010	•	1000000	to promote unlawful Enterprise
151.	Research LLC	Services	4/30/2018	\$	100,000.00	activities.
						Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator	D.C. 1. AZADV				Defendant KARV Communications to
150	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV	<i>5</i> /1/2010	¢.	40,000,00	promote unlawful Enterprise activities
152.	Khaimah	Communications	5/1/2018	\$	40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
						entity, used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Analysis and	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
153.	Research LLC	Services	5/1/2018	\$	200,000.00	activities.
				7		Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to vendor who supported
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Analysis and	BMI Analysis				related services to promote unlawful
154.	Research LLC	Limited	5/1/2018	\$	50,000.00	Enterprise activities.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
		Co-conspirator				involved in the hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and related services
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
155.	Gadot LLC	Services	5/2/2018	\$	50,000.00	activities.
						Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
						Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account (Bank of America) for hacking, sham
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Page Group ME	Analysis and				to promote unlawful Enterprise
156.	DMCC	Research LLC	5/2/2018	\$	107,950.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information	. (0 (0 0 1 0		.	to promote unlawful Enterprise
157.	Gadot LLC	Services	5/3/2018	\$	50,000.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
1.50	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information	5 / A / O O 1 O	Φ.	5 0 000 00	to promote unlawful Enterprise
158.	Gadot LLC	Services	5/4/2018	\$	50,000.00	activities.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	A	mount	Description and Comment
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
159.	Gadot LLC	Services	5/7/2018	\$	50,000.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
		C				involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator Gadot Information				litigation, coverup, and related services
160.		Services	5/8/2018	\$	50,000.00	to promote unlawful Enterprise activities.
100.	Gadot LLC	Scrvices	3/0/2010	Ą	30,000.00	Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
161.	Gadot LLC	Services	5/10/2018	\$	50,000.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
162.	Gadot LLC	Services	5/14/2018	\$	50,000.00	activities.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
					America) to company owned by Co-
					conspirator Rafi Pridan for Enterprise
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
					related services to promote unlawful
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator			Enterprise activities. Pridan introduced
	Analysis and	Dinka Analysis			Forlit to Page and received
163.	Research LLC	Services	5/14/2018	\$ 35,000.00	commissions from Forlit.
					Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
					Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
	Co-conspirator				(Citibank) for hacking, sham litigation,
	Page Group ME	Defendant SDC-			coverup, and related services to
164.	DMCC	Gadot LLC	5/21/2018	\$ 187,500.00	promote unlawful Enterprise activities.
					Receipt and sending of Enterprise
					funds between U.S. bank accounts
					controlled by Defendant Forlit for
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Defendant SDC-			related services to promote unlawful
	Gadot LLC	Gadot LLC (JP	_ ,_ , , , _		Enterprise activities, and to transfer
165.	(Citibank)	Morgan)	5/21/2018	\$ 50,000.00	Enterprise hacking proceeds to Israel.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
					to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
					used in part to compensate those
					involved in the hacking, sham
	D 0 1 GDG	Co-conspirator			litigation, coverup, and related services
1.00	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information	5 /0 A /0 0 1 C	5 0.000.00	to promote unlawful Enterprise
166.	Gadot LLC	Services	5/24/2018	\$ 50,000.00	activities.
	D 0 1 . CD C				Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
1.65	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator	<i>5</i> /20 /2010	50.000.00	Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
167.	Gadot LLC	Fusion GPS	5/29/2018	\$ 50,000.00	to subcontractor who supported

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
					related services to promote unlawful
					Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
					to subcontractor who supported
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator			related services to promote unlawful
168.	Gadot LLC	Fusion GPS	5/30/2018	\$ 50,000.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
					to subcontractor who supported
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator			related services to promote unlawful
169.	Gadot LLC	Fusion GPS	5/31/2018	\$ 50,000.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
170.	Khaimah	Communications	6/1/2018	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
					Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
					(Bank of America) for hacking, sham
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight			litigation, coverup, and related services
	Page Group ME	Analysis and			to promote unlawful Enterprise
171.	DMCC	Research LLC	6/4/2018	\$ 274,950.00	activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
					to subcontractor who supported
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator	C	- 0.000.5-	related services to promote unlawful
172.	Gadot LLC	Fusion GPS	6/5/2018	\$ 50,000.00	Enterprise activities.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight				America) to entity controlled by Insight
	Analysis and				employee, used as compensation for
173.	Research LLC	Yessodot	6/6/2018	\$ 24,115.00	management of Insight.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
					America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
					entity, used in part to compensate those
					involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator			litigation, coverup, and related services
	Analysis and	Gadot Information			to promote unlawful Enterprise
174.	Research LLC	Services	6/6/2018	\$ 250,000.00	activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
					America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
					entity, used in part to compensate those
					involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator			litigation, coverup, and related services
	Analysis and	Gadot Information			to promote unlawful Enterprise
175.	Research LLC	Services	6/7/2018	\$ 250,000.00	activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
					America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
					entity, used in part to compensate those
					involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator			litigation, coverup, and related services
1	Analysis and	Gadot Information	6/4.0/0.04.6	• • • • • • • • •	to promote unlawful Enterprise
176.		Services	6/19/2018	\$ 200,000.00	activities.
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator			Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
1	Analysis and	Gadot Information	6/04/0046	• • • • • • • • •	Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
177.	Research LLC	Services	6/21/2018	\$ 200,000.00	America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					entity, used in part to compensate those
					involved in the hacking, sham
					litigation, coverup, and related services
					to promote unlawful Enterprise
					activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
					to subcontractor who supported
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator			related services to promote unlawful
178.	Gadot LLC	Fusion GPS	6/21/2018	\$ 50,000.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
					to subcontractor who supported
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator			related services to promote unlawful
179.	Gadot LLC	Fusion GPS	6/25/2018	\$ 50,000.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
					to subcontractor who supported
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator			related services to promote unlawful
180.	Gadot LLC	Fusion GPS	6/26/2018	\$ 50,000.00	Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
					to subcontractor who supported
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator			related services to promote unlawful
181.		Fusion GPS	6/27/2018	\$ 50,000.00	Enterprise activities.
	Co-conspirator				Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			Defendant KARV Communications to
182.	Khaimah	Communications	7/1/2018	\$ 40,000.00	

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						promote unlawful Enterprise activities
						related to Azima and others.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
183.	Gadot LLC	Services	7/6/2018	\$	50,000.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to company owned by Co-
						conspirator Rafi Pridan for Enterprise
						hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
						related services to promote unlawful
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				Enterprise activities. Pridan introduced
	Analysis and	Dinka Analysis				Forlit to Page and received
184.	Research LLC	Services	7/9/2018	\$	35,000.00	commissions from Forlit.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight					America) to entity controlled by Insight
40-	Analysis and		- /0 /- 0 1 0			employee, used as compensation for
185.	Research LLC	Yessodot	7/9/2018	\$	22,630.00	management of Insight.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
	D C 1 (CDC	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
106	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information	7/0/2010	Φ.	50,000,00	to promote unlawful Enterprise
186.	Gadot LLC	Services	7/9/2018	\$	50,000.00	activities.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	<u> </u>	Amount	Description and Comment
			<u> </u>			Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
187.	Gadot LLC	Services	7/9/2018	\$	50,000.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator Gadot Information				litigation, coverup, and related services
188.		Services	7/9/2018	\$	50,000.00	to promote unlawful Enterprise activities.
100.	Gadot LLC	Services	//9/2018	Ф	30,000.00	Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
189.	Gadot LLC	Services	7/10/2018	\$	20,000.00	activities.
					•	Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
190.	Gadot LLC	Services	7/11/2018	\$	50,000.00	activities.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
191.	Gadot LLC	Services	7/12/2018	\$	50,000.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
						entity, used in part to compensate those
	Defendant Insight	Ca aananinatan				involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight Analysis and	Co-conspirator Gadot Information				litigation, coverup, and related services to promote unlawful Enterprise
192.	Research LLC	Services	7/23/2018	\$	200,000.00	activities.
172.	Research LLC	Services	772372010	Ψ	200,000.00	Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to subcontractor who supported
						hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator				related services to promote unlawful
193.	Gadot LLC	Fusion GPS	7/23/2018	\$	50,000.00	Enterprise activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to subcontractor who supported
						hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator				related services to promote unlawful
194.	Gadot LLC	Fusion GPS	7/24/2018	\$	50,000.00	Enterprise activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
105	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator			- 0.000	to subcontractor who supported
195.	Gadot LLC	Fusion GPS	7/25/2018	\$	50,000.00	hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					related services to promote unlawful
					Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
					to subcontractor who supported
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator			related services to promote unlawful
196.	Gadot LLC	Fusion GPS	7/26/2018	\$ 50,000.00	Enterprise activities.
					Transfer of Enterprise proceeds
					for hacking, sham litigation, coverup,
		Defendant SDC-			and related services to promote
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot LLC (Bank			unlawful Enterprise activities, between
	Gadot LLC (JP	Hapoalim BM			U.S. and Israeli bank accounts
197.	Morgan)	Tel-Aviv)	7/26/2018	\$ 30,000.00	controlled by Defendant Forlit.
					Receipt and sending of Enterprise
					funds between U.S. bank accounts
					controlled by Defendant Forlit for
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Defendant SDC-			related services to promote unlawful
	Gadot LLC	Gadot LLC (JP			Enterprise activities, and to transfer
198.	(Citibank)	Morgan)	7/30/2018	\$ 50,000.00	Enterprise hacking proceeds to Israel.
					Receipt and sending of Enterprise
					funds between U.S. bank accounts
					controlled by Defendant Forlit for
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Defendant SDC-			related services to promote unlawful
	Gadot LLC	Gadot LLC (JP			Enterprise activities, and to transfer
199.	(Citibank)	Morgan)	7/30/2018	\$ 50,000.00	Enterprise hacking proceeds to Israel.
					Receipt and sending of Enterprise
	Defendant SDC-	Defendant SDC-			funds between U.S. bank accounts
	Gadot LLC	Gadot LLC (JP			controlled by Defendant Forlit for
200.	(Citibank)	Morgan)	7/31/2018	\$ 50,000.00	hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					related services to promote unlawful
					Enterprise activities, and to transfer
					Enterprise hacking proceeds to Israel.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight				America) to entity controlled by Insight
	Analysis and				employee, used as compensation for
201.	Research LLC	Yessodot	7/31/2018	\$ 22,400.00	management of Insight.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
202.	Khaimah	Communications	8/1/2018	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Receipt and sending of Enterprise
					funds between U.S. bank accounts
					controlled by Defendant Forlit for
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Defendant SDC-			related services to promote unlawful
	Gadot LLC	Gadot LLC (JP			Enterprise activities, and to transfer
203.	(Citibank)	Morgan)	8/1/2018	\$ 50,000.00	Enterprise hacking proceeds to Israel.
					Receipt and sending of Enterprise
					funds between U.S. bank accounts
					controlled by Defendant Forlit for
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Defendant SDC-			related services to promote unlawful
	Gadot LLC	Gadot LLC (JP			Enterprise activities, and to transfer
204.	(Citibank)	Morgan)	8/2/2018	\$ 18,000.00	Enterprise hacking proceeds to Israel.
					Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
					Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
					(Bank of America) for hacking, sham
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight			litigation, coverup, and related services
	Page Group ME	Analysis and			to promote unlawful Enterprise
205.	DMCC	Research LLC	8/2/2018	\$ 277,950.00	activities.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
					Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
	Co-conspirator				(Citibank) for hacking, sham litigation,
	Page Group ME	Defendant SDC-			coverup, and related services to
206.	DMCC	Gadot LLC	8/8/2018	\$ 277,000.00	promote unlawful Enterprise activities.
					Receipt and sending of Enterprise
					funds between U.S. bank accounts
					controlled by Defendant Forlit for
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Defendant SDC-			related services to promote unlawful
	Gadot LLC	Gadot LLC (JP			Enterprise activities, and to transfer
207.	(Citibank)	Morgan)	8/8/2018	\$ 50,000.00	Enterprise hacking proceeds to Israel.
					Receipt and sending of Enterprise
					funds between U.S. bank accounts
					controlled by Defendant Forlit for
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Defendant SDC-			related services to promote unlawful
•	Gadot LLC	Gadot LLC (JP	0/0/2010	* 0.000.00	Enterprise activities, and to transfer
208.	(Citibank)	Morgan)	8/9/2018	\$ 50,000.00	Enterprise hacking proceeds to Israel.
					Receipt and sending of Enterprise
					funds between U.S. bank accounts
					controlled by Defendant Forlit for
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Defendant SDC-			related services to promote unlawful
	Gadot LLC	Gadot LLC (JP	0/40/5040		Enterprise activities, and to transfer
209.	(Citibank)	Morgan)	8/10/2018	\$ 50,000.00	Enterprise hacking proceeds to Israel.
					Receipt and sending of Enterprise
					funds between U.S. bank accounts
	Defendant SDC-	Defendant SDC-			controlled by Defendant Forlit for
216	Gadot LLC	Gadot LLC (JP	0/40/0045	* 0.000.00	hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
210.	(Citibank)	Morgan)	8/13/2018	\$ 50,000.00	related services to promote unlawful

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amo	<u>ount</u>	Description and Comment
						Enterprise activities, and to transfer
						Enterprise hacking proceeds to Israel.
						Receipt and sending of Enterprise
						funds between U.S. bank accounts
						controlled by Defendant Forlit for
						hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Defendant SDC-				related services to promote unlawful
	Gadot LLC	Gadot LLC (JP				Enterprise activities, and to transfer
211.	(Citibank)	Morgan)	8/13/2018	\$	50,000.00	Enterprise hacking proceeds to Israel.
						Transfer of Enterprise proceeds
						for hacking, sham litigation, coverup,
		Defendant SDC-				and related services to promote
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot LLC (Bank				unlawful Enterprise activities, between
	Gadot LLC (JP	Hapoalim BM		\$		U.S. and Israeli bank accounts
212.	Morgan)	Tel-Aviv)	8/13/2018	200,000.00		controlled by Defendant Forlit.
						Transfer of Enterprise proceeds
						for hacking, sham litigation, coverup,
		Defendant SDC-				and related services to promote
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot LLC (Bank				unlawful Enterprise activities, between
	Gadot LLC (JP	Hapoalim BM		\$		U.S. and Israeli bank accounts
213.	Morgan)	Tel-Aviv)	8/13/2018	150,000.00		controlled by Defendant Forlit.
						Transfer of Enterprise proceeds
						for hacking, sham litigation, coverup,
		Defendant SDC-				and related services to promote
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot LLC (Bank				unlawful Enterprise activities, between
	Gadot LLC (JP	Hapoalim BM		\$		U.S. and Israeli bank accounts
214.	Morgan)	Tel-Aviv)	8/13/2018	100,000.00		controlled by Defendant Forlit.
						Receipt and sending of Enterprise
						funds between U.S. bank accounts
	Defendant SDC-	Defendant SDC-				controlled by Defendant Forlit for
	Gadot LLC	Gadot LLC (JP				hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
215.	(Citibank)	Morgan)	8/20/2018	\$	50,000.00	related services to promote unlawful

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					Enterprise activities, and to transfer
					Enterprise hacking proceeds to Israel.
					Receipt and sending of Enterprise
					funds between U.S. bank accounts
					controlled by Defendant Forlit for
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Defendant SDC-			related services to promote unlawful
	Gadot LLC	Gadot LLC (JP			Enterprise activities, and to transfer
216.	(Citibank)	Morgan)	8/21/2018	\$ 50,000.00	Enterprise hacking proceeds to Israel.
					Receipt and sending of Enterprise
					funds between U.S. bank accounts
					controlled by Defendant Forlit for
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Defendant SDC-			related services to promote unlawful
	Gadot LLC	Gadot LLC (JP			Enterprise activities, and to transfer
217.	(Citibank)	Morgan)	8/22/2018	\$ 50,000.00	Enterprise hacking proceeds to Israel.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
					America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
					entity, used in part to compensate those
					involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator			litigation, coverup, and related services
	Analysis and	Gadot Information			to promote unlawful Enterprise
218.	Research LLC	Services	8/22/2018	\$ 150,000.00	activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
					America) to company owned by Co-
					conspirator Rafi Pridan for Enterprise
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator			hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Analysis and	Dinka Analysis	0/22/2015		related services to promote unlawful
219.	Research LLC	Services	8/22/2018	\$ 35,000.00	Enterprise activities. Pridan introduced

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Ame	<u>ount</u>	Description and Comment
						Forlit to Page and received
						commissions from Forlit.
		Defendant SDC-				Transfer of Enterprise proceeds
		Gadot LLC				for hacking, sham litigation, coverup,
		(Bankco				and related services to promote
		Mercantil Del				unlawful Enterprise activities, between
	Defendant SDC-	Norte Sa				U.S. and Mexican bank accounts
	Gadot LLC (JP	Institumonterrey		\$		controlled by Defendant Forlit.
220.	Morgan)	Mexico)	8/29/2018	150,000.00		
						Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator					Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV				promote unlawful Enterprise activities
221.	Khaimah	Communications	9/1/2018	\$	40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
						Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
						Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
						(Bank of America) for hacking, sham
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Page Group ME	Analysis and	0/4/5040			to promote unlawful Enterprise
222.	DMCC	Research LLC	9/4/2018	\$	197,950.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
						entity, used in part to compensate those
	D C 1 (1 11)					involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
222	Analysis and	Gadot Information	0/4/2010	Φ.	50,000,00	to promote unlawful Enterprise
223.	Research LLC	Services	9/4/2018	\$	50,000.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
	Defendant Ingialit					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight					America) to entity controlled by Insight
224	Analysis and Research LLC	Yessodot	9/4/2018	\$	22 720 00	employee, used as compensation for management of Insight.
<i>LL</i> 4.	Research LLC	1 essouot	9/4/2018	D	22,720.00	management of msight.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
			-			Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
						entity, used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Analysis and	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
225.	Research LLC	Services	9/4/2018	\$	200,000.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
	D C 1 (CDC	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
226	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information	0/4/2010	0	50,000,00	to promote unlawful Enterprise
226.	Gadot LLC	Services	9/4/2018	\$	50,000.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
227.	Gadot LLC	Services	9/4/2018	\$	50,000.00	activities.
				*		Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
228.	Gadot LLC	Services	9/4/2018	\$	50,000.00	activities.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator Gadot Information				litigation, coverup, and related services to promote unlawful Enterprise
229.	Gadot LLC	Services	9/5/2018	\$	50,000.00	activities.
229.	Gauot LLC	Scrvices	9/3/2016	φ	50,000.00	Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
						Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
						(Bank of America) for hacking, sham
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Page Group ME	Analysis and				to promote unlawful Enterprise
230.	DMCC	Research LLC	9/18/2018	\$	249,950.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight					America) to entity controlled by Insight
231.	Analysis and Research LLC	Yessodot	10/2/2018	¢.	22 740 00	employee, used as compensation for
231.	Research LLC	ressouot	10/2/2018	\$	22,740.00	management of Insight. Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
						entity, used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Analysis and	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
232.	Research LLC	Services	10/9/2018	\$	150,000.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
	D C 1 : 7 : 1					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				America) to company owned by Co-
222	Analysis and	Dinka Analysis	10/15/2019	•	20,000,00	conspirator Rafi Pridan for Enterprise
233.	Research LLC	Services	10/15/2018	\$	30,000.00	hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						related services to promote unlawful
						Enterprise activities. Pridan introduced
						Forlit to Page and received
						commissions from Forlit.
						Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
						Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
						(Bank of America) for hacking, sham
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Page Group ME	Analysis and				to promote unlawful Enterprise
234.	DMCC	Research LLC	10/26/2018	\$	249,950.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
						entity, used in part to compensate those
	D.C. 1 41 114					involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator Gadot Information				litigation, coverup, and related services
235.	Analysis and Research LLC	Services	11/1/2018	\$	250,000.00	to promote unlawful Enterprise activities.
233.	Research LLC	Services	11/1/2016	Φ	230,000.00	Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to company owned by Co-
						conspirator Rafi Pridan for Enterprise
						hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
						related services to promote unlawful
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				Enterprise activities. Pridan introduced
	Analysis and	Dinka Analysis				Forlit to Page and received
236.	Research LLC	Services	11/13/2018	\$	35,000.00	commissions from Forlit.
					·	Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight					America) to entity controlled by Insight
	Analysis and					employee, used as compensation for
237.	Research LLC	Yessodot	11/13/2018	\$	5,985.00	management of Insight.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight					America) to entity controlled by Insight
	Analysis and					employee, used as compensation for
238.	Research LLC	Yessodot	11/19/2018	\$	10,250.00	management of Insight.
						Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
	Co-conspirator					Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
	Page Group ME	Defendant SDC-				(Citibank) for involvement in false
239.	DMCC	Gadot LLC	11/20/2018	\$	270,000.00	testimony against Azima.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
2.40	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information	11/00/0010	Φ.	* 0.000.00	to promote unlawful Enterprise
240.	Gadot LLC	Services	11/23/2018	\$	50,000.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator Gadot Information				litigation, coverup, and related services
241.	Gadot LLC		11/26/2018	¢.	50,000.00	to promote unlawful Enterprise activities.
241.	Gadot LLC	Services	11/20/2018	\$	30,000.00	Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator					Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV				promote unlawful Enterprise activities
242.	Khaimah	Communications	11/26/2018	\$	40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
∠+∠.	Defendant SDC-	Communications	11/20/2010	Φ	40,000.00	Transfer of Enterprise proceeds
	Gadot LLC (JP	Defendant SDC-				for hacking, sham litigation, coverup,
243.	`	Gadot LLC (Bank	12/13/2018	\$	16,500.00	and related services to promote
Z43.	morgan)	Gaudi LLC (Balik	12/13/2018	Φ	10,500.00	and related services to promote

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
		Hapoalim BM			unlawful Enterprise activities, between
		Tel-Aviv)			U.S. and Israeli bank accounts
					controlled by Defendant Forlit.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
244.	Khaimah	Communications	12/17/2018	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight				America) to entity controlled by Insight
	Analysis and				employee, used as compensation for
245.	Research LLC	Yessodot	12/18/2018	\$ 5,600.00	management of Insight.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
					to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
					used in part to compensate those
					involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator			litigation, coverup, and related services
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information			to promote unlawful Enterprise
246.	Gadot LLC	Services	12/18/2018	\$ 49,000.00	activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
					to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
					used in part to compensate those
					involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator			litigation, coverup, and related services
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information			to promote unlawful Enterprise
247.	Gadot LLC	Services	12/24/2018	\$ 18,000.00	activities.
	Defendant Insight				Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
	Analysis and				Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
248.	Research LLC	Yessodot	12/31/2018	\$ 18,000.00	America) to entity controlled by Insight

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					employee, used as compensation for
					management of Insight.
					Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight			Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
	Page Group ME	Analysis and			(Bank of America) for involvement in
249.	DMCC	Research LLC	1/7/2019	\$ 289,950.00	false testimony against Azima.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight				America) to entity controlled by Insight
	Analysis and				employee, used as compensation for
250.	Research LLC	Yessodot	1/9/2019	\$ 4,300.00	management of Insight.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
					America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
					entity, used in part to compensate those
					involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator			litigation, coverup, and related services
	Analysis and	Gadot Information			to promote unlawful Enterprise
251.	Research LLC	Services	1/14/2019	\$ 150,000.00	activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight				America) to entity controlled by Insight
	Analysis and				employee, used as compensation for
252.	Research LLC	Yessodot	1/22/2019	\$ 22,200.00	management of Insight.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
					America) to company owned by Co-
					conspirator Rafi Pridan for Enterprise
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator			hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Analysis and	Dinka Analysis			related services to promote unlawful
253.	Research LLC	Services	1/24/2019	\$ 15,000.00	Enterprise activities. Pridan introduced

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						Forlit to Page and received
						commissions from Forlit.
						Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator					Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV				promote unlawful Enterprise activities
254.	Khaimah	Communications	1/28/2019	\$	40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
						entity, used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
2.5.5	Analysis and	Gadot Information	1/21/2010	Φ.	0.7.000.00	to promote unlawful Enterprise
255.	Research LLC	Services	1/31/2019	\$	95,000.00	activities.
						Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
						Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
	Co-conspirator	D.C. 1. (CDC				(Citibank) for hacking, sham litigation,
256	Page Group ME	Defendant SDC-	2/10/2010	¢.	92.500.00	coverup, and related services to
256.	DMCC	Gadot LLC	2/19/2019	\$	82,500.00	promote unlawful Enterprise activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
257.	Gadot LLC	Services	2/25/2019	\$	30,000.00	activities.
== ,.				*	20,000.00	Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator					Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV				promote unlawful Enterprise activities
258.	Khaimah	Communications	3/4/2019	\$	40,000.00	related to Azima and others.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
						Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
						(Bank of America) for hacking, sham
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Page Group ME	Analysis and				to promote unlawful Enterprise
259.	DMCC	Research LLC	3/13/2019	\$	259,950.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
						entity, used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
2.60	Analysis and	Gadot Information	0/40/0040	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	to promote unlawful Enterprise
260.	Research LLC	Services	3/13/2019	\$	200,000.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to company owned by Co-
						conspirator Rafi Pridan for Enterprise
						hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant Insiels	Calananinatan				related services to promote unlawful
	Defendant Insight Analysis and	Co-conspirator				Enterprise activities. Pridan introduced Forlit to Page and received
261.	Research LLC	Dinka Analysis Services	3/18/2019	\$	30,000.00	commissions from Forlit.
201.	Research LLC	Services	3/16/2019	Φ	30,000.00	Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
						Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
	Co-conspirator					(Citibank) for hacking, sham litigation,
	Page Group ME	Defendant SDC-				coverup, and related services to
262.	DMCC	Gadot LLC	3/20/2019	\$	100,000.00	promote unlawful Enterprise activities.
202.	DIVICC	Gadot LLC	312012017	Ψ	100,000.00	Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator					Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV				promote unlawful Enterprise activities
263.		Communications	3/25/2019	\$	40,000.00	related to Azima and others.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
					Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
					(Bank of America) for hacking, sham
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight			litigation, coverup, and related services
	Page Group ME	Analysis and			to promote unlawful Enterprise
264.	DMCC	Research LLC	3/29/2019	\$ 189,950.00	activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight				America) to entity controlled by Insight
	Analysis and				employee, used as compensation for
265.	Research LLC	Yessodot	4/1/2019	\$ 45,220.00	management of Insight.
					Receipt and sending of Enterprise
					funds between U.S. bank accounts
		Defendant Insight			controlled by Defendant Forlit for
		Analysis and			hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant Insight	Research LLC			related services to promote unlawful
	Analysis and	and Defendant			Enterprise activities, and to transfer
266.	Research LLC	SDC-Gadot LLC	4/3/3019	\$ 5,000.00	Enterprise hacking proceeds to Israel.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
					America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
					entity, used in part to compensate those
					involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator			litigation, coverup, and related services
	Analysis and	Gadot Information	. 10 15 0 1 0		to promote unlawful Enterprise
267.	Research LLC	Services	4/8/2019	\$ 100,000.00	activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
	D 0 1 . 7 . 1 1				Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight				America) to entity controlled by Insight
2.60	Analysis and	T7 1 .	4/0/0010	22 (00 00	employee, used as compensation for
268.	Research LLC	Yessodot	4/8/2019	\$ 22,600.00	management of Insight.

	Payment From	Payment To	Date	Amount	Description and Comment
					Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
					Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
	Co-conspirator				(Citibank) for hacking, sham litigation,
	Page Group ME	Defendant SDC-			coverup, and related services to
269.		Gadot LLC	4/10/2019	\$ 100,000.00	promote unlawful Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
					to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
					used in part to compensate those
					involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator			litigation, coverup, and related services
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information			to promote unlawful Enterprise
270.	Gadot LLC	Services	4/10/2019	\$ 50,000.00	activities.
					Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
					Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
					(Bank of America) for hacking, sham
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight			litigation, coverup, and related services
	Page Group ME	Analysis and			to promote unlawful Enterprise
271.	DMCC	Research LLC	4/15/2019	\$ 229,950.00	activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
					America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
					entity, used in part to compensate those
					involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator			litigation, coverup, and related services
	Analysis and	Gadot Information	1/1 = 10 0 1 0	400 000 00	to promote unlawful Enterprise
272.	Research LLC	Services	4/15/2019	\$ 190,000.00	activities.
		D 0 1 1 1 1 1			Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight			Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
256	Page Group ME	Analysis and	4/10/0010	240.050.00	(Bank of America) for hacking, sham
273.	DMCC	Research LLC	4/18/2019	\$ 249,950.00	litigation, coverup, and related services

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						to promote unlawful Enterprise
						activities.
						Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator					Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV	4/00/0040		40.000.00	promote unlawful Enterprise activities
274.	Khaimah	Communications	4/22/2019	\$	40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
	D C 1 . I . I .					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight					America) to entity controlled by Insight
275	Analysis and	37 1 4	4/20/2010	Φ.	22 ((4.00	employee, used as compensation for
275.	Research LLC	Yessodot	4/29/2019	\$	22,664.00	management of Insight.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
						entity, used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Analysis and	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
276.	=	Services	4/29/2019	\$	100,000.00	activities.
					·	Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
						Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
						(Bank of America) for hacking, sham
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Page Group ME	Analysis and				to promote unlawful Enterprise
277.	DMCC	Research LLC	5/14/2019	\$	149,950.00	activities.
						Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
						Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
	Co-conspirator					(Citibank) for hacking, sham litigation,
	Page Group ME	Defendant SDC-	-4		400000	coverup, and related services to
278.	DMCC	Gadot LLC	5/16/2019	\$	100,000.00	promote unlawful Enterprise activities.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
						Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
						(Bank of America) for hacking, sham
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Page Group ME	Analysis and				to promote unlawful Enterprise
279.	DMCC	Research LLC	5/16/2019	\$	199,950.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
						entity, used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Analysis and	Gadot Information			. =	to promote unlawful Enterprise
280.	Research LLC	Services	5/20/2019	\$	150,000.00	activities.
						Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator	D C 1 VIADA				Defendant KARV Communications to
201	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV	<i>E</i> /20 /2010	Φ.	40.000.00	promote unlawful Enterprise activities
281.	Khaimah	Communications	5/28/2019	\$	40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
						Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
						Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
	Co-conspirator	D.C. 1. (CDC				(Citibank) for hacking, sham litigation,
202	Page Group ME	Defendant SDC-	5/21/2010	Φ.	250 000 00	coverup, and related services to
282.	DMCC	Gadot LLC	5/31/2019	\$	250,000.00	promote unlawful Enterprise activities.
						Debit Card Payment from Defendants
						Forlit's and Gadot's U.S. bank account
						(Citibank) to Conshohocken, PA car
						dealership for purchase of Porche using
	Defendant SDC-	Don Rosen				proceeds from hacking, sham litigation,
202			6/2/2010	•	20.006.00	coverup, and related services to
283.	Gadot LLC	Imports	6/3/2019	\$	39,996.00	promote unlawful Enterprise activities.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
284.	Gadot LLC	Services	6/6/2019	\$	50,000.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight					America) to entity controlled by Insight
20.5	Analysis and		C/4.0/ 0 .04.0		22.070.00	employee, used as compensation for
285.	Research LLC	Yessodot	6/10/2019	\$	22,870.00	management of Insight.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
		Cananinatan				involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator Gadot Information				litigation, coverup, and related services
286.	Gadot LLC	Services	6/10/2019	\$	50,000.00	to promote unlawful Enterprise activities.
200.	Gadot LLC	Services	0/10/2019	Ф	30,000.00	Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
						Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
	Co-conspirator					(Citibank) for hacking, sham litigation,
	Page Group ME	Defendant SDC-				coverup, and related services to
287.	DMCC	Gadot LLC	6/13/2019	\$	200,000.00	promote unlawful Enterprise activities.
207.	Biviee	Gudot EEC	0/15/2019	Ψ	200,000.00	Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
		Co-conspirator				used in part to compensate those
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information				involved in the hacking, sham
288.	Gadot LLC	Services	6/17/2019	\$	20,000.00	litigation, coverup, and related services

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					to promote unlawful Enterprise
					activities.
					Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight			Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
	Page Group ME	Analysis and			(Bank of America) for involvement in
289.	DMCC	Research LLC	6/19/2019	\$ 279,950.00	false testimony against Azima.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
					America) to company owned by Co-
					conspirator Rafi Pridan for Enterprise
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
					related services to promote unlawful
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator			Enterprise activities. Pridan introduced
	Analysis and	Dinka Analysis			Forlit to Page and received
290.	Research LLC	Services	6/20/2019	\$ 45,000.00	commissions from Forlit.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
					America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
					entity, used in part to compensate those
					involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator			litigation, coverup, and related services
	Analysis and	Gadot Information			to promote unlawful Enterprise
291.	Research LLC	Services	6/24/2019	\$ 50,000.00	activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
					to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
					used in part to compensate those
					involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator			litigation, coverup, and related services
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information			to promote unlawful Enterprise
292.	Gadot LLC	Services	6/25/2019	\$ 50,000.00	activities.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator					Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV				promote unlawful Enterprise activities
293.	Khaimah	Communications	6/25/2019	\$	40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight					America) to entity controlled by Insight
	Analysis and					employee, used as compensation for
294.	Research LLC	Yessodot	7/1/2019	\$	22,946.00	management of Insight.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
						entity, used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
• • •	Analysis and	Gadot Information	= / = / 0 0.4 0		4000000	to promote unlawful Enterprise
295.	Research LLC	Services	7/5/2019	\$	100,000.00	activities.
						Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
						Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
		D.C. 1 (1 11)				(Bank of America) for hacking, sham
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight				litigation, coverup, and related services
206	Page Group ME	Analysis and	7/1//2010	Φ.	100.050.00	to promote unlawful Enterprise
296.	DMCC	Research LLC	7/16/2019	\$	189,950.00	activities.
						Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
						Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
	Co conquirator	Defendant Ingicht				(Bank of America) for hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and related services
	Co-conspirator Page Group ME	Defendant Insight Analysis and				to promote unlawful Enterprise
297.	DMCC	Research LLC	7/22/2019	\$	299,950.00	activities.
471.	Co-conspirator	Research LLC	112212019	Ф	477,730.00	Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV				Defendant KARV Communications to
298.		Communications	7/22/2019	\$	40,000.00	Defendant KARV Communications to
490.	Miailliall	Communications	112212013	Ψ	40,000.00	

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						promote unlawful Enterprise activities
						related to Azima and others.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to company owned by Co-
						conspirator Rafi Pridan for Enterprise
						hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	D 0 1 . 7 . 1 1 .					related services to promote unlawful
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				Enterprise activities. Pridan introduced
200	Analysis and	Dinka Analysis	7/20/2010	Φ.	22 000 00	Forlit to Page and received
299.	Research LLC	Services	7/29/2019	\$	22,000.00	commissions from Forlit.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
						entity, used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Analysis and	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
300.	Research LLC	Services	7/29/2019	\$	150,000.00	activities.
					,	Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
						entity, used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Analysis and	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
301.	Research LLC	Services	8/7/2019	\$	150,000.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
	D 0 1 17 14					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight					America) to entity controlled by Insight
202	Analysis and	Vassadat	9/7/2010	•	22 555 00	employee, used as compensation for
302.	Research LLC	Yessodot	8/7/2019	\$	23,555.00	management of Insight.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to company owned by Co-
						conspirator Rafi Pridan for Enterprise
						hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
						related services to promote unlawful
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				Enterprise activities. Pridan introduced
	Analysis and	Dinka Analysis				Forlit to Page and received
303.	Research LLC	Services	8/13/2019	\$	23,000.00	commissions from Forlit.
						Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
						Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
						(Bank of America) for hacking, sham
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Page Group ME	Analysis and				to promote unlawful Enterprise
304.	DMCC	Research LLC	8/16/2019	\$	249,950.00	activities.
						Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator	D C 1 VYADY				Defendant KARV Communications to
205	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV	0/00/0010		40.000.00	promote unlawful Enterprise activities
305.	Khaimah	Communications	8/22/2019	\$	40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to company owned by Co-
						conspirator Rafi Pridan for Enterprise
						hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	D 0 1 . 7 . 1 1 .					related services to promote unlawful
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				Enterprise activities. Pridan introduced
206	Analysis and	Dinka Analysis	0/20/2010	Φ.	25.000.00	Forlit to Page and received
306.		Services	8/30/2019	\$	25,000.00	commissions from Forlit.
	Defendant Insight					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
205	Analysis and	X 1 .	0/0/0010		22 000 00	Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
307.	Research LLC	Yessodot	9/3/2019	\$	22,900.00	America) to entity controlled by Insight

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					employee, used as compensation for
					management of Insight.
					Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
					Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
	Co-conspirator				(Citibank) for hacking, sham litigation,
	Page Group ME	Defendant SDC-			coverup, and related services to
308.	DMCC	Gadot LLC	9/6/2019	\$ 196,000.00	promote unlawful Enterprise activities.
					Receipt and sending of Enterprise
					funds between U.S. bank accounts
					controlled by Defendant Forlit for
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant SDC-	Defendant SDC-			related services to promote unlawful
	Gadot LLC	Gadot LLC (JP			Enterprise activities, and to transfer
309.	(Citibank)	Morgan)	9/11/2019	\$ 20,000.00	Enterprise hacking proceeds to Israel.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
310.	Khaimah	Communications	9/26/2019	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
					Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
					(Bank of America) for hacking, sham
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight			litigation, coverup, and related services
	Page Group ME	Analysis and			to promote unlawful Enterprise
311.	DMCC	Research LLC	9/30/2019	\$ 249,950.00	activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
					to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
					used in part to compensate those
					involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator			litigation, coverup, and related services
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information			to promote unlawful Enterprise
312.	Gadot LLC	Services	10/2/2019	\$ 50,000.00	activities.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
						entity, used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Analysis and	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
313.	Research LLC	Services	10/2/2019	\$	250,000.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight					America) to entity controlled by Insight
	Analysis and		10/2/2010			employee, used as compensation for
314.	Research LLC	Yessodot	10/2/2019	\$	23,500.00	management of Insight.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
		C				involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator Gadot Information				litigation, coverup, and related services
315.	Gadot LLC	Services	10/4/2019	\$	50,000.00	to promote unlawful Enterprise activities.
313.	Gadot LLC	Services	10/4/2019	Ф	30,000.00	Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
316.	Gadot LLC	Services	10/7/2019	\$	50,000.00	activities.
213.		Co-conspirator	10. 1. 2019	*	20,000.00	Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information				Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
317.	Gadot LLC	Services	10/8/2019	\$	50,000.00	to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					used in part to compensate those
					involved in the hacking, sham
					litigation, coverup, and related services
					to promote unlawful Enterprise
					activities.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
318.	Khaimah	Communications	10/28/2019	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
					Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
					(Bank of America) for hacking, sham
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight			litigation, coverup, and related services
	Page Group ME	Analysis and			to promote unlawful Enterprise
319.	DMCC	Research LLC	11/25/2019	\$ 254,950.00	activities.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
320.	Khaimah	Communications	11/25/2019	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight				America) to entity controlled by Insight
	Analysis and				employee, used as compensation for
321.	Research LLC	Yessodot	11/26/2019	\$ 23,656.00	management of Insight.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
					America) to company owned by Co-
					conspirator Rafi Pridan for Enterprise
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator			hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Analysis and	Dinka Analysis			related services to promote unlawful
322.	Research LLC	Services	11/29/2019	\$ 28,200.00	Enterprise activities. Pridan introduced

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	<u> </u>	Amount	Description and Comment
						Forlit to Page and received
						commissions from Forlit.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
		C				involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator Gadot Information				litigation, coverup, and related services to promote unlawful Enterprise
323.	Gadot LLC	Services	12/2/2019	\$	50,000.00	activities.
323.	Gadot LLC	Services	12/2/2019	Ф	30,000.00	Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
						entity, used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Analysis and	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
324.	Research LLC	Services	12/2/2019	\$	255,000.00	activities.
						Transfer of Enterprise proceeds
		D C 1 GDG				for hacking, sham litigation, coverup,
	D.C. 1 + CDC	Defendant SDC-				and related services to promote
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot LLC (Bank				unlawful Enterprise activities, between U.S. and Israeli bank accounts
325.	Gadot LLC (JP Morgan)	Hapoalim BM Tel-Aviv)	12/2/2019	\$	20,000.00	controlled by Defendant Forlit.
323.	wioigaii)	1 CI-AVIV)	12/2/2019	Φ	20,000.00	Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
						Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
						(Bank of America) for hacking, sham
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Page Group ME	Analysis and				to promote unlawful Enterprise
326.	1 -	Research LLC	12/19/2019	\$	272,950.00	activities.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight					America) to entity controlled by Insight
	Analysis and					employee, used as compensation for
327.	Research LLC	Yessodot	12/23/2019	\$	18,310.00	management of Insight.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
						entity, used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Analysis and	Gadot Information		_		to promote unlawful Enterprise
328.	Research LLC	Services	12/23/2019	\$	103,000.00	activities.
						Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator					Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV	4 / 5 / 9 9 9 9	Φ.	40.000.00	promote unlawful Enterprise activities
329.	Khaimah	Communications	1/6/2020	\$	40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
						Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight				Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
220	Page Group ME	Analysis and	1/6/2020	Ф	222 450 00	(Bank of America) for involvement in
330.	DMCC	Research LLC	1/6/2020	\$	232,450.00	false testimony against Azima.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to company owned by Co-
						conspirator Rafi Pridan for Enterprise
						hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	D.C. 1. (I. 1.1)					related services to promote unlawful
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				Enterprise activities. Pridan introduced
221	Analysis and	Dinka Analysis	1 /5 /0000	Φ.	1 7 000 00	Forlit to Page and received
331.	Research LLC	Services	1/7/2020	\$	17,000.00	commissions from Forlit.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
						entity, used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Analysis and	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
332.		Services	1/13/2020	\$	65,000.00	activities.
	Co-conspirator					Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
	Page Risk					Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
	Management	Defendant SDC-				(Citibank) for involvement in false
333.	DMCC	Gadot LLC	1/27/2020	\$	143,500.00	testimony against Azima.
						Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator					Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV				promote unlawful Enterprise activities
334.	Khaimah	Communications	2/5/2020	\$	40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information	- / - / - 0 - 0	_		to promote unlawful Enterprise
335.		Services	2/12/2020	\$	50,000.00	activities.
	Co-conspirator					Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
	Page Risk	Defendant Insight				Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
226	Management	Analysis and	0/10/0000	Φ.	1.40.007.00	(Bank of America) for involvement in
336.	DMCC	Research LLC	2/12/2020	\$	149,935.00	false testimony against Azima.
	D 0 1 . T 1 1					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Analysis and	Gadot Information	0/10/2020	Φ.	4.5.000.00	America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
337.	Research LLC	Services	2/12/2020	\$	45,000.00	entity, used in part to compensate those

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	A	mount	Description and Comment
						involved in the hacking, sham
						litigation, coverup, and related services
						to promote unlawful Enterprise
						activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
	D C 1 (CDC	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
220	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information	2/10/2020	Φ.	7 0 000 00	to promote unlawful Enterprise
338.	Gadot LLC	Services	2/18/2020	\$	50,000.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
						entity, used in part to compensate those involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Analysis and	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
339.	Research LLC	Services Services	2/18/2020	\$	100,000.00	activities.
337.	Research LLC	Services	2/10/2020	Ψ	100,000.00	Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator					Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV				promote unlawful Enterprise activities
340.		Communications	2/24/2020	\$	40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
				Ψ	,	Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
341.	Gadot LLC	Services	3/3/2020	\$	42,000.00	activities.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
						Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
	Co-conspirator					(Bank of America) for hacking, sham
	Page Risk	Defendant Insight				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Management	Analysis and				to promote unlawful Enterprise
342.	DMCC	Research LLC	3/11/2020	\$	246,935.00	activities.
						Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
						Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
	Co-conspirator					(Bank of America) for hacking, sham
	Page Risk	Defendant Insight				litigation, coverup, and related services
2.42	Management	Analysis and	2/16/2020	Φ.	00.025.00	to promote unlawful Enterprise
343.	DMCC	Research LLC	3/16/2020	\$	89,935.00	activities.
						Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
	Co-Conspirator					Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
	Page Risk	Defendant SDC-				(Citibank) for hacking, sham litigation,
344.	Management DMCC	Gadot LLC	3/23/2020	\$	222,500.00	coverup, and related services to promote unlawful Enterprise activities.
344.	DIVICC	Gadot LLC	3/23/2020	φ	222,300.00	Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator					Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV				promote unlawful Enterprise activities
345.		Communications	3/23/2020	\$	40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
3 13.	TKIIGIIIGII	Communications	3/23/2020	Ψ	10,000.00	Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
						entity, used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Analysis and	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
346.	Research LLC	Services	3/30/2020	\$	150,000.00	activities.
		Defendant Insight				Receipt and sending of Enterprise
	Co-conspirator	Analysis and				funds between U.S. bank accounts
347.	Amit Forlit	Research LLC	4/10/2020	\$	15,000.00	controlled by Defendant Forlit for

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	I	Amount	Description and Comment
						hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
						related services to promote unlawful
						Enterprise activities, and to transfer
						Enterprise hacking proceeds to Israel.
						Receipt and sending of Enterprise
						funds between U.S. bank accounts
						controlled by Defendant Forlit for
						hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
		Defendant Insight				related services to promote unlawful
	Defendant SDC-	Analysis and				Enterprise activities, and to transfer
348.	Gadot	Research LLC	4/10/2020	\$	15,000.00	Enterprise hacking proceeds to Israel.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
349.	Gadot	Services	4/10/2020	\$	35,000.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight					America) to entity controlled by Insight
	Analysis and	Hayarkon 48				employee, used as compensation for
350.	Research LLC	Hostels Ltd	4/14/2020	\$	18,000.00	management of Insight.
						Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator					Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV				promote unlawful Enterprise activities
351.	Khaimah	Communications	4/16/2020	\$	40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
		Co-conspirator				Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information				to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
352.	Gadot	Services	4/17/2020	\$	50,000.00	used in part to compensate those

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					involved in the hacking, sham
					litigation, coverup, and related services
					to promote unlawful Enterprise
					activities.
					Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
	Page Risk				(Citibank) for hacking, sham litigation,
	Management	Defendant SDC-			coverup, and related services to
353.	DMCC	Gadot	4/27/2020	\$ 160,000.00	promote unlawful Enterprise activities.
					Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
					Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
	Co-conspirator				(Bank of America) for hacking, sham
	Page Risk	Defendant Insight			litigation, coverup, and related services
	Management	Analysis and			to promote unlawful Enterprise
354.	DMCC	Research LLC	4/27/2020	\$ 299,935.00	activities.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight				America) to entity controlled by Insight
	Analysis and	Hayarkon 48			employee, used as compensation for
355.	Research LLC	Hostels Ltd	4/30/2020	\$ 17,500.00	management of Insight.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
					America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
					entity, used in part to compensate those
					involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator			litigation, coverup, and related services
	Analysis and	Gadot Information			to promote unlawful Enterprise
356.		Services	5/4/2020	\$ 130,000.00	activities.
	Defendant Insight				Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
	Analysis and				Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
357.	Research LLC	Yessodot	5/18/2020	\$ 10,100.00	America) to entity controlled by Insight

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						employee, used as compensation for
						management of Insight.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
	D.C. 1 (CDC	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
250	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information Services	5/21/2020	¢.	5 0,000,00	to promote unlawful Enterprise activities.
358.	Gadot LLC	Services	5/21/2020	\$	50,000.00	Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
359.	Gadot LLC	Services	5/26/2020	\$	50,000.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant SDC-	Co-conspirator Gadot Information				litigation, coverup, and related services
360.	Gadot LLC	Services	5/26/2020	\$	50,000.00	to promote unlawful Enterprise activities.
300.	Gauot LLC	Services	3/20/2020	Φ	30,000.00	Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
						Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
	Co-conspirator					(Bank of America) for hacking, sham
	Page Risk	Defendant Insight				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Management	Analysis and				to promote unlawful Enterprise
361.	DMCC	Research LLC	5/28/2020	\$	297,935.00	activities.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator					Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV				promote unlawful Enterprise activities
362.	Khaimah	Communications	6/3/2020	\$	40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
						entity, used in part to compensate those
	D. f 1 1 1.4	C				involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator Gadot Information				litigation, coverup, and related services
363.	Analysis and Research LLC	Services	6/10/2020	\$	200,000.00	to promote unlawful Enterprise activities.
303.	Research LLC	Services	0/10/2020	Ф	200,000.00	Payment from Co-conspirator Page to
						Defendant Forlit's U.S. bank account
	Co-conspirator					(Bank of America) for hacking, sham
	Page Risk	Defendant Insight				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Management	Analysis and				to promote unlawful Enterprise
364.	DMCC	Research LLC	6/29/2020	\$	199,935.00	activities.
					,	Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
						entity, used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Analysis and	Gadot Information	_,,,,			to promote unlawful Enterprise
365.	Research LLC	Services	7/6/2020	\$	220,000.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
	D C 1 / T 1 1 .					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight	11 1 40				America) to entity controlled by Insight
200	Analysis and	Hayarkon 48	7/6/2020	d.	17 460 00	employee, used as compensation for
366.	Research LLC	Hostels Ltd	7/6/2020	\$	17,460.00	management of Insight.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
367.	Khaimah	Communications	7/9/2020	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
368.	Khaimah	Communications	8/3/2020	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
369.	Khaimah	Communications	8/25/2020	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payment to Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
					America) from Co-conspirator Eitan
					Arusy's company Global Impact
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight			Services for hacking regarding Project
	Global Impact	Analysis and			Beech and meetings with Enterprise
370.	Services LLC	Research LLC	9/8/2020	\$ 65,000.00	members, including Neil Gerrard.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
					to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
					used in part to compensate those
					involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator			litigation, coverup, and related services
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information			to promote unlawful Enterprise
371.	Gadot LLC	Services	9/24/2020	\$ 50,000.00	activities.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
372.	Khaimah	Communications	9/24/2020	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						Payment to Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) from Co-conspirator Eitan
						Arusy's company Global Impact
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight				Services for hacking regarding Project
	Global Impact	Analysis and	0.40.4.40.00.0	A	- 4 000 00	Beech and meetings with Enterprise
373.	Services LLC	Research LLC	9/24/2020	\$	71,000.00	members, including Neil Gerrard.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
						entity, used in part to compensate those involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Analysis and	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
374.	_	Services	9/24/2020	\$	50,000.00	activities.
				,		Payment to Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) from Co-conspirator Eitan
						Arusy's company Global Impact
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight				Services for hacking regarding Project
	Global Impact	Analysis and				Beech and meetings with Enterprise
375.	Services LLC	Research LLC	10/16/2020	\$	70,000.00	members, including Neil Gerrard.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
						entity, used in part to compensate those involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Analysis and	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
376.	_	Services	10/20/2020	\$	75,000.00	activities.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
377.	Khaimah	Communications	10/22/2020	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight				America) to entity controlled by Insight
	Analysis and				employee, used as compensation for
378.	Research LLC	Yessodot	10/26/2020	\$ 20,150.00	management of Insight.
					Receipt and sending of Enterprise
					funds between U.S. bank accounts
					controlled by Defendant Forlit for
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
		Defendant Insight			related services to promote unlawful
	Defendant SDC-	Analysis and			Enterprise activities, and to transfer
379.	Gadot LLC	Research LLC	11/2/2020	\$ 24,000.00	Enterprise hacking proceeds to Israel.
					Receipt and sending of Enterprise
					funds between U.S. bank accounts
					controlled by Defendant Forlit for
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
		Defendant Insight			related services to promote unlawful
	Co-conspirator	Analysis and			Enterprise activities, and to transfer
380.	Amit Forlit	Research LLC	11/2/2020	\$ 24,000.00	Enterprise hacking proceeds to Israel.
					Payment to Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
					America) from Co-conspirator Eitan
					Arusy's company Global Impact
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight			Services for hacking regarding Project
	Global Impact	Analysis and			Beech and meetings with Enterprise
381.	Services LLC	Research LLC	11/9/2020	\$ 71,000.00	members, including Neil Gerrard.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight					America) to entity controlled by Insight
	Analysis and					employee, used as compensation for
382.	Research LLC	Yessodot	11/10/2020	\$	23,300.00	management of Insight.
						Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator					Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV				promote unlawful Enterprise activities
383.	Khaimah	Communications	11/19/2020	\$	40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight					America) to entity controlled by Insight
201	Analysis and		10/0/000		24 600 00	employee, used as compensation for
384.	Research LLC	Yessodot	12/2/2020	\$	24,600.00	management of Insight.
						Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator	D.C. 1. AKADW				Defendant KARV Communications to
205	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV	12/14/2020	•	40,000,00	promote unlawful Enterprise activities
385.	Khaimah	Communications	12/14/2020	\$	40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
						Payment to Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) from Co-conspirator Eitan Arusy's company Global Impact
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight				Services for hacking regarding Project
	Global Impact	Analysis and				Beech and meetings with Enterprise
386.	-	Research LLC	12/22/2020	\$	66,000.00	members, including Neil Gerrard.
300.	Services ELC	Research LLC	12/22/2020	Ψ	00,000.00	Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator					Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV				promote unlawful Enterprise activities
387.	Khaimah	Communications	1/13/2021	\$	40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight	-: - -:	Ť		Payment to Defendants Forlit's and
	Global Impact	Analysis and				Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
388.	-	Research LLC	1/20/2021	\$	71,000.00	America) from Co-conspirator Eitan

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
					<u> </u>	Arusy's company Global Impact
						Services for hacking regarding Project
						Beech and meetings with Enterprise
						members, including Neil Gerrard.
						Payment to Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) from Co-conspirator Eitan
						Arusy's company Global Impact
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight				Services for hacking regarding Project
	Global Impact	Analysis and				Beech and meetings with Enterprise
389.	Services LLC	Research LLC	1/29/2021	\$	50,000.00	members, including Neil Gerrard.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Gadot's U.S. bank account (Citibank)
						to Defendant Forlit's Israeli entity,
						used in part to compensate those
						involved in the hacking, sham
		Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
	Defendant SDC-	Gadot Information				to promote unlawful Enterprise
390.	Gadot LLC	Services	2/1/2021	\$	16,000.00	activities.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
						entity, used in part to compensate those
	D 0 1 . T 11.					involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
201	Analysis and	Gadot Information	2/1/2021	Φ.	1.40.700.00	to promote unlawful Enterprise
391.	Research LLC	Services	2/1/2021	\$	148,500.00	activities.
	C					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator	D.f 14 I/ A D.V				Defendant KARV Communications to
202	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV	2/1/2021	0	40,000,00	promote unlawful Enterprise activities
392.	Khaimah	Communications	2/1/2021	\$	40,000.00	related to Azima and others.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>		Amount	Description and Comment
						Payment to Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) from Co-conspirator Eitan
						Arusy's company Global Impact
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight				Services for hacking regarding Project
	Global Impact	Analysis and				Beech and meetings with Enterprise
393.	Services LLC	Research LLC	3/1/2021	\$	100,000.00	members, including Neil Gerrard.
						Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
						Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) to Defendant Forlit's Israeli
						entity, used in part to compensate those
	D C 1 (1 11)					involved in the hacking, sham
	Defendant Insight	Co-conspirator				litigation, coverup, and related services
204	Analysis and	Gadot Information	2/2/2021	Φ.	101 000 00	to promote unlawful Enterprise
394.	Research LLC	Services	3/2/2021	\$	101,000.00	activities.
						Payment to Defendants Forlit's and Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
						America) from Co-conspirator Eitan
						Arusy's company Global Impact
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight				Services for hacking regarding Project
	Global Impact	Analysis and				Beech and meetings with Enterprise
395.	Services LLC	Research LLC	3/15/2021	\$	70,000.00	members, including Neil Gerrard.
373.	20171005 222		3/15/2021	Ψ	, 0,000.00	Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator					Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV				promote unlawful Enterprise activities
396.	Khaimah	Communications	3/18/2021	\$	40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
						Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator					Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV				promote unlawful Enterprise activities
397.	Khaimah	Communications	4/27/2021	\$	40,000.00	related to Azima and others.

	Payment From	Payment To	Date	Amount	Description and Comment
					Payment from Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
	Defendant Insight				America) to entity controlled by Insight
	Analysis and	Hayarkon 48			employee, used as compensation for
398.		Hostels Ltd	5/12/2021	\$ 10,000.00	management of Insight.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
399.	Khaimah	Communications	6/23/2021	\$ 80,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payment to Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
					America) from Co-conspirator Eitan
					Arusy's company Global Impact
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight			Services for hacking regarding Project
	Global Impact	Analysis and			Beech and meetings with Enterprise
400.	Services LLC	Research LLC	6/25/2021	\$ 30,000.00	members, including Neil Gerrard.
					Receipt and sending of Enterprise
					funds between U.S. bank accounts
					controlled by Defendant Forlit for
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant Insight	Insight Analysis			related services to promote unlawful
	Analysis and	and Research -			Enterprise activities, and to transfer
401.	Research LLC	Citibank	6/30/2021	\$ 1,960.00	Enterprise hacking proceeds to Israel.
					Receipt and sending of Enterprise
					funds between U.S. bank accounts
					controlled by Defendant Forlit for
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant Insight				related services to promote unlawful
	Analysis and	Defendant SDC-			Enterprise activities, and to transfer
402.	Research LLC	Gadot LLC	6/30/2021	\$ 2,000.00	Enterprise hacking proceeds to Israel.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
403.	Khaimah	Communications	7/15/2021	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
404.	Khaimah	Communications	8/17/2021	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payment to Defendants Forlit's and
					Insight's U.S. bank account (Bank of
					America) from Co-conspirator Eitan
					Arusy's company Global Impact
	Co-conspirator	Defendant Insight			Services for hacking regarding Project
	Global Impact	Analysis and			Beech and meetings with Enterprise
405.	Services LLC	Research LLC	9/13/2021	\$ 42,000.00	members, including Neil Gerrard.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
406.	Khaimah	Communications	9/16/2021	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Receipt and sending of Enterprise
					funds between U.S. bank accounts
					controlled by Defendant Forlit for
					hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and
	Defendant Insight	Defendant Insight			related services to promote unlawful
	Analysis and	Analysis and			Enterprise activities, and to transfer
407.	Research LLC	Research LLC	9/21/2021	\$ 73,483.31	Enterprise hacking proceeds to Israel.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
408.	Khaimah	Communications	10/12/2021	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.

	Payment From	Payment To	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Description and Comment
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
409.	Khaimah	Communications	11/18/2021	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
410.	Khaimah	Communications	1/6/2022	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
411.	Khaimah	Communications	2/22/2022	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
412.	Khaimah	Communications	3/15/2022	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.
					Payments from Co-conspirator RAK to
	Co-conspirator				Defendant KARV Communications to
	Emirate of Ras Al	Defendant KARV			promote unlawful Enterprise activities
413.	Khaimah	Communications	4/20/2022	\$ 40,000.00	related to Azima and others.

Exhibit B

Azima, et al. v. Dechert LLP, et al.: Complaint Exhibit B Selected Violations of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341, 1343 (Mail and Wire Fraud)

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
1.	Co-conspirator Buchanan (U.K.)	Defendant Handjani (U.S.)	4/4/2015	Email	Communications between co-conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Buchanan and Defendant Handjani discussed how to "target" Azima, making clear that such discussions also involved Defendants Gerrard and Frank. The RICO Conspirators noted that "another channel" was also being used to target the former CEO of Defendant Dechert's Client. The RICO Conspirators agreed that they should "hook up and coordinate our attack."
2.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	On or around 6/17/2015	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	Description
3.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	On or around 7/8/2015	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
4.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	8/17/2015	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
5.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	8/25/2015	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
6.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	8/26/2015	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
7.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	9/3/2015	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
8.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	9/24/2015	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	Description
9.	RICO Conspirators (Upon information and belief, India or Israel)	Afsaneh Azadeh (U.S.)	10/12/2015	Email	Hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Hackers employed by the Enterprise sent a phishing email to an Azima employee. The email contained what looked like a link to a Huffington Post article, however the link did not lead to the Huffington Post, but directed to deferrer.website, where Enterprise hackers could obtain any confidential information entered by the recipient.
10.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	10/13/2015	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
11.	RICO Conspirators (Upon information and belief, India or Israel)	Azima (U.S.)	10/14/2015	Email	Hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Hackers employed by the Enterprise sent a phishing email to Azima falsely purporting to be from YouTube and including a link to a video about an aircraft. Instead of directing to YouTube, the link directs to deferrer website, where Enterprise hackers could obtain any confidential information entered by the recipient.
12.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	10/15/2015	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
13.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	10/19/2015	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
14.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	10/21/2015	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	Description
15.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	11/5/2015	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
16.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	11/19/2015	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
17.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	11/23/2015	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
18.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	11/25/2015	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
19.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	11/30/2015	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
20.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	12/3/2015	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	Description
21.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	12/7/2015	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
22.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	12/16/2015	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
23.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	12/17/2015	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
24.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	12/18/2015	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
25.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	12/21/2015	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
26.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	1/4/2016	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
27.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	1/12/2016	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
28.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	1/26/2016	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
29.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	1/29/2016	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
30.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	2/23/2016	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
31.	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	On or around 3/1/2016	Email	Communications between co-conspirators and hacking and prevention of RICO Conspirators' exposure in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page sent Defendant Forlit a false engagement letter concealing hacking activities to facilitate bank payments and money laundering.
32.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	3/10/2016	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	Description
33.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	3/14/2016	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
34.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	3/28/2016	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
35.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	4/5/2016	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
36.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	4/20/2016	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
37.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	5/9/2016	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
38.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	5/25/2016	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
39.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	6/13/2016	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
40.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	6/14/2016	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	Description
41.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	7/1/2016	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
42.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	7/6/2016	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
43.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	8/1/2016	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
44.	Co-conspirator Buchanan (U.K.)	Defendant Frank (U.S.); Defendant Handjani (U.S.)	8/15/2016	Email	Manufacture of false evidence in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Buchanan wrote an email to Defendants Frank and Handjani where Buchanan falsely stated he was informed by co-conspirator Page "last night that there is an internet site that is carrying a huge amount of material relating to FA."

Case 1:22-cv-08728 Document 1-2 Filed 10/13/22 Page 24 of 75

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
45.	Defendant Gerrard (U.K.)	Defendant Del Rosso (U.S.)	8/16/2016	Email	Manufacture of false evidence in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Gerrard wrote an email to Defendant Del Rosso to create a false email trail suggesting that he asked Defendant Del Rosso to search for Azima's material on the Dark Web, which led to the discovery of Azima's hacked data online.
46.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	8/26/2016	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
47.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	8/31/2016	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
48.	Defendant Hughes (U.K.)	Azima (U.S.)	9/23/2016	Email	Dissemination of hacked data and threat of sham litigation in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Hughes sent Azima's U.S. counsel a demand letter with hacked Azima documents via email from Defendant Dechert's servers. The letter threatened Azima with litigation if he did not pay more than \$4.1 million, and falsely claimed that Hughes had innocently obtained the hacked documents from publicly available sources on the internet.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
49.	Defendant Hughes (U.K.)	Azima (U.S.)	9/29/2016	Email	Promotion of false story that co-conspirators discovered Azima's hacked documents online in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Hughes falsely stated to Azima's U.S. counsel that Azima's hacked documents were found on the internet, stating that the "[d]ocuments were obtained from a number of sites," and listed three Dark Web torrent links.
50.	Defendant Dechert (U.S.)	Azima (U.S.)	10/7/2016	Email	Promotion of false story that co-conspirators discovered Azima's hacked documents online in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Dechert's General Counsel Arthur Newbold sent an email to Azima's U.S. counsel, which copied Defendants Gerrard and Hughes, and stated, "I have been assured that neither Dechert nor our client knows whether your client's computer was hacked or by whom. I have also been told that Dechert is unaware of any communications between your client and his counsel."

Case 1:22-cv-08728 Document 1-2 Filed 10/13/22 Page 27 of 75

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
51.	Defendant Hughes (U.K.)	Azima (U.S.)	10/7/2016	Email	Promotion of false story that co-conspirators discovered Azima's hacked documents online in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Hughes emailed Azima's U.S. counsel to falsely deny any involvement in hacking Azima and falsely claim that Dechert's Client had found Azima's hacked documents in the public domain.
52.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	10/10/2016	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
53.	Defendant Dechert (U.S.)	Azima (U.S.)	10/20/2016	Email	Promotion of false story that co-conspirators discovered Azima's hacked documents online in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Dechert's U.S. partner Linda Goldstein falsely claimed Azima's documents had only been found by Defendant Dechert after being made publicly available on the internet.
54.	Co-conspirator Buchanan (U.K.)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	11/10/2016	Email	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Buchanan emailed a public relations agent and copied co-conspirator Page to discuss media campaign to smear Azima's reputation and asked the PR agent to send Page a copy of RAKIA's claim against Azima in the U.K. Proceedings based on Azima's hacked documents.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
55.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	12/2/2016	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
56.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	12/11/2016	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
57.	Defendant Dechert (U.S.)	U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia	12/12/2016	Electronic Case Filing and Service	Promotion of false story that co-conspirators discovered Azima's hacked documents online in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	In a motion to dismiss Azima's D.C. District Court hacking lawsuit, Defendant Dechert falsely wrote that "The Letter Before Action [from Hughes] attached the [hacked] documents and noted that they had been 'obtained via publically [sic] available internet sources.""
58.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	12/13/2016	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
59.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	12/19/2016	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
60.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	1/12/2017	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
61.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	1/16/2017	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
62.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	1/17/2017	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
63.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	3/26/2017	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
64.	Defendant Dechert (U.S.)	Azima (U.S.)	4/3/2017	Email	Promotion of false story that co-conspirators discovered Azima's hacked documents online in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Dechert's U.S. partner Linda Goldstein falsely claimed to Azima's U.S. counsel that Azima's hacked documents had been "downloaded [by Dechert] from publicly accessible sites on the Internet," that there was no need for a protective order to prevent further dissemination, and that they had already been widely disseminated by others.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
65.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	4/25/2017	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
66.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	5/3/2017	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication <u>To</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
67.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	5/18/2017	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
68.	Defendant Dechert (U.S.)	U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia	6/13/2017	Electronic Case Filing and Service	Promotion of false story that settlement agreement was not devised to entrap Azima in sham litigation in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	In a motion to dismiss Azima's D.C. District Court hacking lawsuit, Defendant Dechert falsely claimed that Dechert's Client "would not have paid Azima \$2.6 million in March 2016 in reliance on a warranty of good faith" if they had been able to hack Azima's emails prior to the settlement. But RICO Conspirators planned for the settlement to entrap Azima in sham litigation and were monitoring Azima's reactions to the settlement agreement in real- time through hacking.

Case 1:22-cv-08728 Document 1-2 Filed 10/13/22 Page 36 of 75

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
69.	Defendant Dechert (U.S.); Co-conspirator Buchanan (U.K.)	U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia	6/13/2017	Electronic Case Filing and Service	Promotion of false story that settlement agreement was not devised to entrap Azima in sham litigation in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Dechert and coconspirator Buchanan filed an affidavit, in which Buchanan falsely claimed that Azima's allegations were "completely untrue." Buchanan also falsely claimed that "[i]f [Dechert's Client] had been able to read and monitor Mr. Azima's communications beginning back in October 2015 we would have never paid Mr. Azima \$2.6 million in connection with the March 2016 settlement agreement." But RICO Conspirators planned for the settlement to entrap Azima in sham litigation and were monitoring Azima's reactions to the settlement agreement in real-time through hacking.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
70.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	6/19/2017	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
71.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	6/20/2017	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
72.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	6/22/2017	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
73.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	6/27/2017	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
74.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	7/31/2017	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
75.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	8/27/2017	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
76.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	8/29/2017	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
77.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	9/5/2017	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

Case 1:22-cv-08728 Document 1-2 Filed 10/13/22 Page 41 of 75

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
78.	Defendant Dechert (U.S.)	Azima (U.S.)	9/12/2017	Email	Promotion of false story that co-conspirators discovered Azima's hacked documents online in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Dechert's U.S. partner Linda Goldstein sent an email to Azima's U.S. counsel disputing that an agent of Dechert or Dechert's Client was involved in hacking Azima.
79.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	10/16/2017	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

Case 1:22-cv-08728 Document 1-2 Filed 10/13/22 Page 42 of 75

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
80.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	10/23/2017	Email	Communications between co-conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
81.	Co-conspirator Buchanan (U.K.)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	On or around 2/18/2018	Email	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Buchanan sent co-conspirator Page an invite to a meeting at the RAK Palace with co-conspirator Ruler to discuss progress of scheme to defraud Azima.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
82.	Defendant Dechert (U.S.)	Azima (U.S.)	4/3/2018	Email	Promotion of false story that co-conspirators discovered Azima's hacked documents online in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Dechert's U.S. partner Linda Goldstein falsely told Azima's counsel that Dechert would not be returning Azima's stolen documents because, "We are aware of no basis on which we, or our client, could be required to 'return' to you documents that were downloaded from publicly accessible sites on the Internet."
83.	Defendant Dechert (U.S.)	U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia	7/13/2018	Electronic Case Filing and Service	Promotion of false story that co-conspirators discovered Azima's hacked documents online in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Dechert's U.S. partner Linda Goldstein filed a witness statement, falsely claiming "law enforcement agencies" had "expressed interest in receiving Mr. Azima's data from RAKIA," while failing to reveal that it was at Dechert's instigation that law enforcement was interested in Azima in the first place.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
84.	Defendant Dechert (U.S.)	High Court of Justice, Business and Property Courts of England and Wales	7/13/2018	Sent Electronically or By Mail	Promotion of false story that co-conspirators discovered Azima's hacked documents online in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Dechert's U.S. partner Linda Goldstein transmitted over U.S. and U.K. wires a witness statement in the U.K. proceedings adopting false statements by Defendant Hughes in his July 13, 2018, witness statement that Azima's hacked data was discovered on "publicly available links" that were found by a "public relations company."
85.	Defendant Dechert (U.S.); Defendant Gerrard (U.K.); Defendant Hughes (U.K.)	Azima (U.S.)	8/3/2018	Email	Promotion of false story that co-conspirators discovered Azima's hacked documents online in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Dechert's U.S. partner Linda Goldstein, Defendant Gerrard, and Defendant Hughes falsely stated to Azima's U.S. counsel that no Dechert attorney, employee or agent (including Gerrard and Hughes), had "been complicit in, or had knowledge of any of" the hacking of Azima.

Case 1:22-cv-08728 Document 1-2 Filed 10/13/22 Page 45 of 75

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
86.	Defendant Dechert (U.S.)	U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia	8/7/2018	Electronic Case Filing and Service	Promotion of false story that co-conspirators discovered Azima's hacked documents online in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Dechert's U.S. partner Linda Goldstein filed a U.K. decision fraudulent procured by and citing false statements from Defendant Hughes that Azima's stolen documents were discovered on "publicly available links" that were found by a "public relations company."
87.	Defendant Dechert (U.S.)	U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit	8/8/2018	Electronic Case Filing and Service	Promotion of false story that co-conspirators discovered Azima's hacked documents online in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Dechert's U.S. partner Linda Goldstein, falsely claimed after July 2016, "one of the consultants [Dechert's Client] had instructed to monitor the Internet identified Azima's documents that were available for download."

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	Description
88.	Defendant Dechert (U.S.)	U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit	8/18/2018	Electronic Case Filing and Service	Promotion of false story that co-conspirators discovered Azima's hacked documents online in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Dechert's U.S. partner Linda Goldstein falsely stated that Dechert had found Azima's documents through a publicly available website.
89.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	10/16/2018	Email	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Forlit invoiced co- conspirator Page for a \$250,000 payment while concealing that the payment was for hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and related services in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.
90.	Defendant Hughes (U.K.)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	10/23/2018	Email	Communications between co-conspirators and prevention of RICO Conspirators' exposure in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Hughes messaged co-conspirator Page to discuss an exchange of documents in advance of a Cyprus meeting to develop the false story on how the Enterprise obtained Azima's documents to conceal Enterprise's hacking of Azima.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
91.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	11/6/2018	Email	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Forlit invoiced co- conspirator Page for a \$270,000 payment while concealing that the payment was for hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and related services in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.
92.	Defendant Dechert (U.S.)	Defendant Gerrard (Cyprus); Defendant Hughes (Cyprus); Defendant Forlit (Cyprus); Coconspirator Page (Cyprus); Coconspirator Halabi (Cyprus)	11/21/2018	Phone call or video conference	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Dechert's U.S. partner Linda Goldstein remotely attended a meeting of co-conspirators in Cyprus meeting to develop the false story on how the Enterprise obtained Azima's documents to conceal Enterprise's hacking of Azima.
93.	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Defendant Gerrard (U.K.)	11/28/2018	Phone call	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page called Defendant Gerrard to discuss the scheme to defraud Azima.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	Description
94.	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Defendant Gerrard (U.K.)	11/28/2018	Phone call	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page called Defendant Gerrard to discuss the scheme to defraud Azima.
95.	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Defendant Gerrard (U.K.)	11/28/2018	Phone call	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page called Defendant Gerrard to discuss the scheme to defraud Azima.
96.	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Defendant Gerrard (U.K.)	11/28/2018	Phone call	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page called Defendant Gerrard to discuss the scheme to defraud Azima.
97.	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Defendant Gerrard (U.K.)	12/7/2018	Phone call	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page called Defendant Gerrard to discuss the scheme to defraud Azima.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
98.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	12/7/2018	Email	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Forlit invoiced co- conspirator Page for a \$290,000 payment while concealing that the payment was for hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and related services in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.
99.	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Defendant Gerrard (U.K.)	1/3/2019	Phone call	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page called Defendant Gerrard to discuss the scheme to defraud Azima.
100.	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Defendant Gerrard (U.K.)	1/3/2019	Phone call	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page called Defendant Gerrard to discuss the scheme to defraud Azima.
101.	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Defendant Gerrard (U.K.)	1/3/2019	Phone call	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page called Defendant Gerrard to discuss the scheme to defraud Azima.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
102.	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Defendant Gerrard (U.K.)	1/8/2019	Phone call	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page called Defendant Gerrard to discuss the scheme to defraud Azima.
103.	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Defendant Gerrard (U.K.)	1/10/2019	Phone call	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page called Defendant Gerrard to discuss the scheme to defraud Azima.
104.	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Defendant Gerrard (U.K.)	1/10/2019	Phone call	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page called Defendant Gerrard to discuss the scheme to defraud Azima.
105.	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Defendant Gerrard (U.K.)	1/11/2019	Phone call	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page called Defendant Gerrard to discuss the scheme to defraud Azima.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
106.	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Defendant Gerrard (U.K.)	1/15/2019	Phone call	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page called Defendant Gerrard to discuss the scheme to defraud Azima.
107.	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Defendant Gerrard (U.K.)	1/15/2019	Phone call	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page called Defendant Gerrard to discuss the scheme to defraud Azima.
108.	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Defendant Gerrard (U.K.)	1/16/2019	Phone call	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page called Defendant Gerrard to discuss the scheme to defraud Azima.
109.	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Defendant Gerrard (U.K.)	1/17/2019	Phone call	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page called Defendant Gerrard to discuss the scheme to defraud Azima.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	Description
110.	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Defendant Gerrard (U.K.)	1/18/2019	Phone call	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page called Defendant Gerrard to discuss the scheme to defraud Azima.
111.	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Co-conspirator Arusy (U.S.)	1/23/2019	Phone call	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page called co- conspirator Arusy to discuss the scheme to defraud Azima.
112.	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Defendant Gerrard (U.K.)	1/24/2019	Phone call	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page called Defendant Gerrard to discuss the scheme to defraud Azima.
113.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	1/25/2019	Email	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Forlit invoiced co- conspirator Page for a \$260,000 payment while concealing that the payment was for hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and related services in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.

	Communication From	Communication <u>To</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
114.	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Defendant Gerrard (U.K.)	3/27/2019	Phone call	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page called Defendant Gerrard to discuss the scheme to defraud Azima.
115.	Defendant Gerrard (U.K.); Defendant Del Rosso (U.S.); Co-conspirator Grayson (U.K.)	Azima (U.S. and U.K.); U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia; U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit; Defendant Dechert (U.S.); Defendant Gerrard (U.K.); Defendant Hughes (U.K.); U.K. High Court of Justice (U.K.); Jeff Horwitz (U.S.); Jon Gambrell (U.K.); Jack Gillum (U.S.); Paul Peachey (UAE); Zach Dorfman (U.S.)	4/2/2019	U.S. Mail	Dissemination of hacked data and promotion of false story that co-conspirators discovered Azima's hacked documents online in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendants Gerrard and Del Rosso attempted to fraudulently influence the U.S. District Court by anonymously mailing selected documents obtained by hacking Azima for use in litigation against Azima. The anonymous mailing included a recipient list disguising Defendant Gerrard as one of several innocent recipients of the documents, when he was actually their source.

	Communication From	Communication <u>To</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	Description
116.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	4/10/2019	Email	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Forlit invoiced co- conspirator Page for a \$250,000 payment while concealing that the payment was for hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and related services in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.
117.	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Co-conspirator Arusy (U.S.)	4/10/2019	Phone call	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page called co- conspirator Arusy to discuss the scheme to defraud Azima.
118.	Defendant Dechert (U.S.)	Azima (U.S.)	4/18/2019	Email	Promotion of false story that co-conspirators discovered Azima's hacked documents online in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Dechert's U.S. partner Linda Goldstein emailed Azima's U.S. counsel and falsely claimed that Defendants Dechert and Gerrard were innocent recipients of Azima's anonymously mailed hacked documents from April 2, 2019. Dechert's statements furthered Gerrard's efforts to fraudulent influence the D.C. proceedings.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
119.	Defendant Dechert (U.S.)	Defendant Gerrard (UK); Defendant Hughes (UK); Defendant Forlit (UK); Coconspirator Halabi (UK); Coconspirator Buchanan (UK)	5/1/2019	Phone call or video conference	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Dechert's U.S. partner Linda Goldstein remotely attended a meeting of co-conspirators in London meeting to develop the false story on how the Enterprise obtained Azima's documents to conceal Enterprise's hacking of Azima.
120.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	5/14/2019	Email	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Forlit invoiced co- conspirator Page for a \$100,000 payment while concealing that the payment was for hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and related services in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.
121.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	5/14/2019	Email	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Forlit invoiced co- conspirator Page for a \$200,000 payment while concealing that the payment was for hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and related services in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
122.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	6/17/2019	Email	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Forlit invoiced co- conspirator Page for a \$280,000 payment while concealing that the payment was for hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and related services in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.
123.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	7/14/2019	Email	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Forlit invoiced co- conspirator Page for a \$300,000 payment while concealing that the payment was for hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and related services in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.
124.	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Defendant Gerrard (U.K.)	7/15/2019	Phone call	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page called Defendant Gerrard to discuss the scheme to defraud Azima.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	Description
125.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	8/12/2019	Email	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Forlit invoiced co- conspirator Page for a \$250,000 payment while concealing that the payment was for hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and related services in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.
126.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	9/4/2019	Email	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Forlit invoiced co- conspirator Page for a \$250,000 payment while concealing that the payment was for hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and related services in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.
127.	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Defendant Gerrard (U.K.)	10/1/2019	Phone call	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page called Defendant Gerrard to discuss the scheme to defraud Azima.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
128.	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Defendant Gerrard (U.K.)	10/1/2019	Phone call	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page called Defendant Gerrard to discuss the scheme to defraud Azima.
129.	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Defendant Gerrard (U.K.)	10/7/2019	Phone call	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page called Defendant Gerrard to discuss the scheme to defraud Azima.
130.	Defendant Dechert (U.S.)	Washington Free Beacon (U.S.)	10/17/2019	Email	Promotion of false story that co-conspirators discovered Azima's hacked documents online in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Dechert's Chairman Andrew Levander, in a letter emailed to the Washington Free Beacon, falsely and categorically denied that Dechert's Client had any involvement in the hacking of Azima. Mr. Levander also falsely denied Handjani's involvement in the hacking of Azima.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
131.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	10/28/2019	Email	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Forlit invoiced co- conspirator Page for a \$255,000 payment while concealing that the payment was for hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and related services in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.
132.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	11/29/2019	Email	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Forlit invoiced co- conspirator Page for a \$273,000 payment while concealing that the payment was for hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and related services in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.
133.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	12/31/2019	Email	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Forlit invoiced co- conspirator Page for a \$232,500 payment while concealing that the payment was for hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and related services in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.

	Communication From	Communication <u>To</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
134.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	1/9/2020	Email	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Forlit invoiced co- conspirator Page for a \$143,500 payment while concealing that the payment was for hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and related services in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.
135.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	1/10/2020	Email	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Forlit invoiced co- conspirator Page for a \$100,000 payment while concealing that the payment was for hacking, sham litigation, coverup, and related services in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.
136.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	2/4/2020	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	Description
137.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	2/11/2020	Email	Communications between co- conspirators and hacking in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page receives a hacking report containing stolen documents, confidential information, and/or privileged communications transmitted by Defendant Forlit and downloaded by Page from a shared email account used exclusively by Page and Forlit to clandestinely transmit hacking reports.
138.	Defendant Handjani (U.S.)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Between 3/1/2020 – 3/31/2020	Phone Call	Communications between co- conspirators in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Handjani called co- conspirator Page instructing Page to have no further contact with Defendant Gerrard. By this time, Handjani had become Page's main point of contact for the Enterprise.
139.	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Defendant Handjani (U.S.)	Between 4/1/2020 – 4/28/2020	Messaging Application	Dissemination of hacked data in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page forwarded a hacking report prepared by Defendant Forlit requested by Defendant Handjani, using the Signal encrypted messaging application.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
140.	Co-conspirator Ruler (UAE)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	4/28/2020	Mail	Communications between co-conspirators and prevention of RICO Conspirators' exposure in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Ruler, through the Investment & Development Office of the Government of RAK, sent co-conspirator Page a letter terminating RAK's engagement with Page to protect and reduce liability to the Enterprise.
141.	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	Defendant Handjani (U.S.)	On or around 4/28/2020	Phone Call	Communications between co-conspirators and prevention of RICO Conspirators' exposure in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Page called Defendant Handjani about Page's termination from his RAK engagement and the Enterprise. Handjani replied that he knew nothing of the termination and would check with the co-conspirator Ruler.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	Description
142.	Defendant Handjani (U.S.)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	On or around 4/28/2020	Phone Call	Communications between co-conspirators and prevention of RICO Conspirators' exposure in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Handjani called co- conspirator Page to tell him that the termination from the RAK engagement and the Enterprise was temporary, a matter of internal politics, and that Page would be reinstated months later. Page's termination stemmed from dissatisfaction with the court finding Page's testimony not credible in the U.K. Proceedings and to protect the Enterprise.
143.	Co-conspirator Ruler (UAE)	Co-conspirator Page (U.K.)	6/14/2020	Mail	Communications between co- conspirators and prevention of RICO Conspirators' exposure in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Ruler, through the Investment & Development Office of the Government of RAK, sent co-conspirator Page a letter confirming termination from the RAK engagement and Enterprise. Page's termination stemmed from dissatisfaction with the court finding Page's testimony not credible in the U.K. Proceedings and to protect the Enterprise.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
144.	Co-conspirator Robinson (U.K.)	Co-conspirator Grayson (U.K.)	7/1/2020	Phone Call	Prevention of RICO Conspirators' exposure in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Robinson called co-conspirator Grayson in a "panic" to say Robinson had been accused of hacking and served with the claim in the Stokoe Proceedings, which threaten to expose the Enterprise's hacking operation directed by Defendant Del Rosso.
145.	Co-conspirator Grayson (U.K.)	Defendant Del Rosso (U.S.)	7/1/2020	Phone Call	Prevention of RICO Conspirators' exposure in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Grayson called Defendant Del Rosso to inform Del Rosso that co-conspirator Robinson had been served in the Stokoe Proceedings, was accused of hacking, and wanted a lawyer. Defendant Del Rosso replies, "Patrick, leave it to me. I'll talk to Paul [Robinson]."
146.	Co-conspirator Neuman (U.S.)	Co-conspirator Grayson (U.K.)	On or around 7/4/2020	Phone Call	Prevention of RICO Conspirators' exposure in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Neuman called co-conspirator Grayson "many" times to discuss the Stokoe Proceedings and accusations that co-conspirator Robinson had hacked Stokoe on behalf of Defendant Del Rosso.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
147.	Defendant Del Rosso (U.S.)	Co-conspirator Grayson (U.K.)	On or around 7/4/2020	Phone Call	Prevention of RICO Conspirators' exposure in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Del Rosso called co-conspirator Grayson "many" times to discuss the Stokoe Proceedings and accusations that co-conspirator Robinson had hacked Stokoe on behalf of Defendant Del Rosso.
148.	Defendant Del Rosso (U.S.); Co- conspirator Neuman (U.S.)	Co-conspirator Grayson (U.K.)	On or around 7/4/2020	Email	Prevention of RICO Conspirators' exposure and manufacture of false evidence in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Del Rosso and co- conspirator Neuman asked co- conspirator Grayson to provide his retainer agreement with Dechert for an unrelated project. Del Rosso and Neuman would then use the retainer to draft a false and retroactive retainer agreement to cloak hacking assignments under Del Rosso's direction with privilege under the guise of instructions from Dechert.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
149.	Co-conspirator Grayson (U.K.)	Defendant Del Rosso (U.S.); Co- conspirator Neuman (U.S.)	On or around 7/4/2020	Email	Prevention of RICO Conspirators' exposure and manufacture of false evidence in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Grayson provided his retainer agreement with Dechert for an unrelated project to Del Rosso and Neuman, who would then use the retainer to draft a false and retroactive retainer agreement to cloak hacking assignments under Del Rosso's direction with privilege under the guise of instructions from Dechert.
150.	Defendant Del Rosso (U.S.); Co- conspirator Neuman (U.S.)	Co-conspirator Grayson (U.K.)	On or around 7/4/2020	Email	Prevention of RICO Conspirators' exposure and manufacture of false evidence in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Del Rosso and co- conspirator Neuman sent co- conspirator Grayson a fraudulent and retroactive draft retainer agreement, which falsely stated that Grayson's investigations and hacking alleged in the Stokoe Proceedings "were prompted by [Grayson's] engagement and work with Dechert LLP." The draft retainer fraudulently conceals Del Rosso's own role between Dechert and Grayson.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	Description
151.	Co-conspirator Grayson (U.K.)	Defendant Del Rosso (U.S.); Co- conspirator Neuman (U.S.)	On or around 7/4/2020	Email	Prevention of RICO Conspirators' exposure and manufacture of false evidence in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Grayson provided edits to the draft false retainer agreement prepared by Defendant Del Rosso and co-conspirator Neuman concealing Del Rosso's role in supervising hacking by Grayson as alleged in the Stokoe Proceedings.
152.	Defendant Del Rosso (U.S.)	Co-conspirator Grayson (U.K.)	On or around 7/4/2020	Phone Call	Prevention of RICO Conspirators' exposure in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Del Rosso called co-conspirator Grayson to offer an increased monthly retainer and a £500,000 bonus if he agreed to stay silent regarding Del Rosso's role in the hacking and prevent litigation from spreading to the U.S.
153.	Co-conspirator Grayson (U.K.)	Defendant Handjani (U.S.)	On or around 7/4/2020	Phone Call	Prevention of RICO Conspirators' exposure in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Grayson and his intermediary Steve McIntyre called Defendant Handjani about Defendant Del Rosso's offer of a £500,000 bonus and payment of legal fees if Grayson stayed silent about the hacking. During this call, Handjani guaranteed Del Rosso's offer.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
154.	Defendant Handjani (U.S.)	Co-conspirator Grayson (U.K.); Steve McIntyre (U.K.)	On or around 7/4/2020	Messaging Application	Prevention of RICO Conspirators' exposure in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Handjani texted co- conspirator Grayson's intermediary Steve McIntyre that the Enterprise will need Defendant Gerrard's approval of payments to Grayson in exchange for concealing Defendant Del Rosso's role in the hacking allegations from the Stokoe Proceedings. Handjani also advises approaching Gerrard in an unaggressive fashion.
155.	Defendant Handjani (U.S.)	Co-conspirator Grayson (U.K.); Steve McIntyre (U.K.)	On or around 7/4/2020	Messaging Application	Prevention of RICO Conspirators' exposure in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Handjani texted co- conspirator Grayson's intermediary: "Please assure Patrick [Grayson] that his fees will be fully paid by the client."

	Communication From	Communication <u>To</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
156.	Co-conspirator Neuman (U.S.)	Co-conspirator Grayson (U.K.)	7/17/2020	Email	Prevention of RICO Conspirators' exposure in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Neuman sent co-conspirator Grayson the claim papers served on co-conspirator Robinson in the Stokoe Proceedings to coordinate on how to conceal Defendant Del Rosso's supervision of hacking by Grayson and Robinson.
157.	Defendant Del Rosso (U.S.)	Co-conspirator Jain (India)	8/29/2020	Messaging Application	Prevention of RICO Conspirators' exposure in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Del Rosso messaged and called co- conspirator Jain on the messaging application Threema to accuse Jain of working with Azima's lawyers "to [p]oint finger[s]" at the RICO Conspirators and instruct Jain to stop. Del Rosso then told Jain: "Hope this is not correct. We should talk."

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
158.	Defendant Del Rosso (U.S.)	Co-conspirator Jain (India)	9/11/2020	Messaging Application	Prevention of RICO Conspirators' exposure in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Del Rosso messaged co-conspirator Jain to discuss engaging in further hacking for the purpose of concealing their illegal conduct because Azima's legal team "seem to have information" about the hacking.
159.	Co-conspirator Jain (India)	Defendant Del Rosso (U.S.)	9/12/2020	Messaging Application	Prevention of RICO Conspirators' exposure in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Del Rosso and co- conspirator Jain discussed the destruction of documents for the purpose of concealing their illegal conduct.
160.	Defendant Del Rosso (U.S.)	Co-conspirator Jain (India)	11/6/2020	Messaging Application	Prevention of RICO Conspirators' exposure in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Del Rosso and co- conspirator Jain discussed creating a fake contract for the purpose of concealing their illegal conduct.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
161.	Defendant Del Rosso (U.S.)	Co-conspirator Jain (India)	11/8/2020	Messaging Application	Prevention of RICO Conspirators' exposure in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Del Rosso and co- conspirator Jain again discussed creating a fake contract and fake reports for the purpose of concealing their illegal conduct.
162.	Co-conspirator Jain (India)	Defendant Del Rosso (U.S.)	11/9/2020	Messaging Application	Prevention of RICO Conspirators' exposure in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Del Rosso and co- conspirator Jain again discussed creating a fake contract and fake reports for the purpose of concealing their illegal conduct.
163.	Defendant Del Rosso (U.S.); Defendant Vital Management Services (U.S.)	U.S. District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina	11/12/2020	Electronic Case Filing and Service	Promotion of false story that co-conspirators discovered Azima's hacked documents online in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendants Del Rosso and Vital Management Services falsely and "categorically den[ied]" Azima's allegations that they had overseen and directed Azima's hacking.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
164.	Co-conspirator Jain (India)	Defendant Del Rosso (U.S.)	11/18/2020	Messaging Application	Prevention of RICO Conspirators' exposure in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Jain sent Defendant Del Rosso the fake contract to conceal their illegal conduct. The final contract was backdated to May 7, 2019.
165.	Co-conspirator Grayson (U.K.)	Co-conspirator Jain (India)	11/20/2020	Messaging Application	Prevention of RICO Conspirators' exposure in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Co-conspirator Grayson messages co-conspirator Jain to "investigate" and confirm Defendant Del Rosso's suspicions that co-conspirator Page is exposing Del Rosso's role within the Enterprise.
166.	Defendant Del Rosso (U.S.); Defendant Vital Management Services (U.S.)	U.S. District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina	3/5/2021	Electronic Case Filing and Service	Promotion of false story that co-conspirators discovered Azima's hacked documents online in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendants Del Rosso and Vital Management Services falsely claimed that their payments to co-conspirator CyberRoot were not for hacking Azima.

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
167.	Defendant Handjani (U.S.)	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York	7/28/2021	Electronic Case Filing and Service	Promotion of false story that co-conspirators discovered Azima's hacked documents online in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	In an opposition filing, Defendant Handjani falsely stated that "he had no knowledge of or involvement in any hacking of Azima," and that he "had no knowledge of Azima's material being hacked, who hacked it, or of it appearing online."
168.	Defendant Del Rosso (U.S.)	U.S. District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina	11/30/2021	Electronic Case Filing and Service	Promotion of false story that co-conspirators discovered Azima's hacked documents online in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendants Del Rosso falsely denied involvement in hacking Azima, stating that he "stands by his sworn statement that he had no involvement in the alleged hacking" of Azima.

	Communication From	Communication <u>To</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	Description
169.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida	3/16/2022	Electronic Case Filing and Service	Promotion of false story that co-conspirators discovered Azima's hacked documents online in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Forlit filed an affidavit falsely claiming that his co-conspirator company SDC-Gadot LLC has never conducted business in the state of Florida.
170.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida	5/12/2022	Electronic Case Filing and Service	Prevention of RICO Conspirators' exposure in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Forlit filed another affidavit that falsely stated his co-conspirator company SDC-Gadot LLC "has not conducted business in the State of Florida" and "has not conducted any business in years."
171.	Defendant Forlit (Israel)	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida	6/01/2022	Electronic Case Filing and Service	Promotion of false story that co-conspirators discovered Azima's hacked documents online in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Forlit filed another affidavit falsely stating: (1) "I am not a hacker and [hacking] is not something that I ever performed."; and (2) that he found Azima's hacked documents on the internet.

Case 1:22-cv-08728 Document 1-2 Filed 10/13/22 Page 75 of 75

	Communication From	Communication To	<u>Date</u>	<u>Format</u>	Purpose(s)	<u>Description</u>
172.	Defendant Dechert (U.S.)	Azima (U.S.)	6/14/2022	Email	Promotion of false story that co-conspirators discovered Azima's hacked documents online in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendant Dechert's U.S. General Counsel Rosenberg emailed Azima's U.S. counsel falsely denied that Dechert's filings and representations to the Court were inaccurate and reiterated the false story that Azima's hacked materials were "publicly available on the internet."
173.	Defendant Del Rosso (U.S.); Defendant Vital Management Services (U.S.)	U.S. District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina	10/12/2022	Electronic Case Filing and Service	Promotion of false story that co-conspirators discovered Azima's hacked documents online in furtherance of scheme to defraud Azima.	Defendants Del Rosso and Vital Management Services falsely claimed that their payments to co-conspirator CyberRoot were not for hacking Azima and falsely denied involvement in hacking Azima.