UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

MARCUS J. MOORE,	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	Case No. 22-cv-00010 (APM)
DONALD J. TRUMP,	
Defendant.)	
BOBBY TABRON & DEDIVINE K. CARTER,)	
Plaintiffs,	
v.)	Case No. 22-cv-00011 (APM)
DONALD J. TRUMP,	
Defendant.)	
BRIANA KIRKLAND,	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	Case No. 22-cv-00034 (APM)
DONALD J. TRUMP,	
Defendant.)	

ORDER

In these three matters, four U.S. Capitol Police officers have sued former President Donald J. Trump for damages arising from injuries they sustained during the events of January 6, 2021, at the U.S. Capitol Building. Plaintiffs' allegations and claims are the largely the

same as those advanced by the U.S. Capitol Police plaintiffs in *Blassingame v. Trump*, No. 21-cv-

858, (APM) (D.D.C.), ECF No. 1. In nearly identically worded motions, President Trump has

moved to dismiss all three actions on one ground: he is absolutely immune from suit because the

acts complained of fall within the "outer perimeter" of his presidential responsibilities. See Def.'s

Mot. to Dismiss, Moore v. Trump, No. 22-cv-00010 (APM) (D.D.C.), ECF No. 16; Def.'s Mot. to

Dismiss, Tabron v. Trump, No. 22-cv-00011 (APM) (D.D.C.), ECF No. 9; Def.'s Mot. to Dismiss,

Kirkland v. Trump, No. 22-cv-00034 (APM) (D.D.C.), ECF No. 10.

The court already rejected President Trump's assertion of immunity in Blassingame.

See Thompson v. Trump, No. 21-cv-00400 (APM), 2022 WL 503384, at *11–18 (D.D.C. Feb. 18,

2022). The court does so again. The court does not needlessly repeat its reasoning here, but simply

adopts and incorporates it by reference. See generally id. at *18 (holding that Defendant Trump's

alleged actions "entirely concern[ed] his efforts to remain in office for a second term" and therefore

do not fall within the "outer perimeter" of a president's official responsibilities). Accordingly,

President Trump's motions to dismiss are denied.

Dated: August 2, 2022

United States District Court Judge

2