
CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	\square	Force		Discourt.	□ U.S.
Ethan Waterman		Squad #14	202003743	☑	Abuse		0.L.	🗹 Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		F	Precinct:	18	Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Friday, 05/29/2020 10:40 PM		In front of 242 Flatbus	sh Avenue		78		/29/2021	5/4/2022
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported	<u> </u>	-		vived at CC	
Sun, 05/31/2020 9:12 AM		CCRB	On-line website	•) 9:12 AM	
Complainant/Victim	Tumo	Home Addr						
	Туре		655					
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command					
1. An officer								
2. POM Jose Dejesus	29842	967034	078 PCT					
3. SGT Thomas Chan	01232	941535	078 PCT					
4. COD Terence Monahan	00000	876747	CD OFF					
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name					
1. SSA Angelo Pirozzi	03390	919567	078 PCT					
2. CCA Jeffrey Maddrey	00000	899501	C A B					
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on			Invo	estiga	tor Recor	nmendation
A.COD Terence Monahan		nief of Department Ter ysical force against ^{§ 87}		rizeo	d the			
		Force: An officer used physical force against ^{§ 87(2)(b)}						
B. An officer	Force: A	i officer used physical	Toree against	Force: An officer used physical force against § 87(2)(b)				
B. An officer C. An officer								
	Force: A		force against § 87(2)(b)					
C. An officer	Force: A Force: A	n officer used physical	force against ^{§ 87(2)(b)} force against ^{§ 87(2)(b)}					
C. An officer D. An officer	Force: A Force: A Abuse: A	n officer used physical n officer used physical	force against ^{§ 87(2)(b)} force against ^{§ 87(2)(b)} ovide his name to ^{§ 87}	(2)(b)				
C. An officer D. An officer E. An officer	Force: A Force: A Abuse: A Abuse: A	n officer used physical n officer used physical n officer refused to pro	force against ^{§ 87(2)(b)} force against ^{§ 87(2)(b)} ovide his name to ^{§ 87}	(2)(b)				
C. An officer D. An officer E. An officer F. An officer	Force: A Force: A Abuse: A Abuse: A	n officer used physical n officer used physical n officer refused to pro	force against ^{§ 87(2)(b)} force against ^{§ 87(2)(b)} ovide his name to ^{§ 87}	(2)(b)				
C. An officer D. An officer E. An officer F. An officer § 87(4-b), § 87(2)(9)	Force: A Force: A Abuse: A Abuse: A	n officer used physical n officer used physical n officer refused to pro	force against ^{§ 87(2)(b)} force against ^{§ 87(2)(b)} ovide his name to ^{§ 87}	(2)(b)				
C. An officer D. An officer E. An officer F. An officer § 87(4-b), § 87(2)(9) § 87(4-b), § 87(2)(9)	Force: A Force: A Abuse: A Abuse: A	n officer used physical n officer used physical n officer refused to pro	force against ^{§ 87(2)(b)} force against ^{§ 87(2)(b)} ovide his name to ^{§ 87}	(2)(b)				
C. An officer D. An officer E. An officer F. An officer § 87(4-b), § 87(2)(9) § 87(4-b), § 87(2)(9) § 87(4-b), § 87(2)(9)	Force: A Force: A Abuse: A Abuse: A	n officer used physical n officer used physical n officer refused to pro	force against ^{§ 87(2)(b)} force against ^{§ 87(2)(b)} ovide his name to ^{§ 87}	(2)(b)				

Case Summary

On May 31, 2020, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint via the CCRB's online website. On May 29, 2020, at approximately 10:40 p.m., \$87(2)(b) was on his way home from his job as a security worker when he saw a vandalized marked SUV on the southwest corner of Flatbush Avenue and 6th Avenue in Brooklyn. At the same time, former Chief of Department Terence Monahan, who was supervising the dispersal of a large crowd at the nearby Barclays Center, authorized the use of force against civilians in the vicinity of Flatbush Avenue and 6th Avenue in Brooklyn (Allegation A: Force – \$37(2)(9) took a video recording on his phone of the vandalized SUV when approximately 15 officers in riot gear ran towards from the north side of the street corner. An unidentified officer grabbed \$87(2)(b) by his backpack and pulled him to the ground (Allegation B: Force – § 87(2)(9)). Several officers then handcuffed \$ 87(2)(b) while an unidentified officer kneed \$ 87(2)(b) in the head (Allegation C: Force – § 87(2)(9)). After \$37(2)(b) was handcuffed but while he was still on the ground, an unidentified officer punched \$87(2)(b) on the left side of his head (Allgation D: Force – § 87(2)(g)). Officers then stood § 87(2)(b) up. § 87(2)(b) asked the officer who had punched him for his name and shield number, but the officer did not respond to § 87(2)(b) s request (Allegations E-F: Abuse of Authority – § 87(2)(9) was arrested for disorderly conduct (fighting/violent behavior) and transported to the 78th Precinct stationhouse. \$ 87(2)(b) was thereafter released without any charges and



The investigation received BWC footage from the NYPD, the contents of which will be discussed in further detail below.

Findings and Recommendations

Allegation (A) Force: Chief of Department Terence Monahan authorized the use of physical force against §87(2)(b)

As noted above, \$37(2)(b) alleged that at approximately 10:40 p.m. on May 29, 2020, at the corner of Flatbush Avenue and 6th Avenue in Brooklyn, unidentified officers pulled him to the ground, kneed him in the head, and punched him in the head.

The CCRB determined that former Chief of Department Monahan was the highest-ranking officer present during the protests at Barclays Center on May 29, 2020. Chief Monahan testified (BR 14) that he determined the assembly at the Barclays Center to be unlawful due to the violence of the crowd, namely throwing objects at officers and reports of injuries. Chief Monahan told Deputy Chief John Dadamo of the Strategic Response Group (SRG) to "clear the streets," including Atlantic and Flatbush Avenues, and arrest anyone that refused to disperse from the area if necessary. At approximately 10:40 p.m., at Flatbush Avenue and Sixth Avenue in Brooklyn, there were still civilians who had not yet dispersed from the protests at Barclays Center. Chief Monahan instructed officers on scene to "keep moving them along," referring to the civilians who had not dispersed yet. Chief Monahan testified that SRG officers moved these civilians back to clear the area.

Per the CCRB's Complaint Tracking System database, Chief Monahan's was no longer an active member of the Department as of April 1, 2021.

§ 87(2)(g)

```
§ 87(2)(g)
```

Allegation (B) Force: An officer used physical force against \$87(2)(b) Allegation (C) Force: An officer used physical force against \$87(2)(b) Allegation (D) Force: An officer used physical force against \$87(2)(b) Allegation (E) Abuse of Authority: An officer refused to provide his name to \$87(2)(b) Allegation (F) Abuse of Authority: An officer refused to provide his shield number to \$87(2)(b)

Known Facts and General Descriptions

On May 29, 2020, at approximately 10:40 p.m., §87(2)(b) said (BR 02-03) he stood in front of the shuttered Woodland Bar on the southwest corner of 6th and Flatbush Avenues in Brooklyn, § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) § saw a vandalized marked SUV on the corner. Ser(2)(b) took a video of the SUV, as other civilians on the sidewalk were doing. As he stood on the sidewalk recording the marked SUV, server saw approximately 15 officers in riot gear run towards him from 6th Avenue. § 87(2)(b) could only describe these officers in riot gear as white males. Upon seeing these officers, \$87(2)(b) began to walk away from the marked SUV and dropped his phone. Other civilians ran away. Once the officers reached **3**(2)(b) one of the officers in riot gear grabbed • by his backpack and pulled him to the ground. Thereafter, four officers in riot gear handcuffed \$87(2)(b) and an officer in riot gear kneed him in the head. \$87(2)(b) further said that, once he was handcuffed but still on the ground, an officer punched him in the side of the head. The officer who punched \$37(2)(b) in the head appeared to be a Black male, approximately 5'6"-5'7", average build, late-20s-to-early-30s in age, with a Caesar haircut and a navy blue standard patrolman's uniform. Officers then stood \$87(2)(b) up. The officer who had punched \$87(2)(b) handed \$ 87(2)(b) his phone. \$ 87(2)(b) then asked the officer, "What's your name?" and asked for his shield number, but the officer did not respond. The officer placed \$37(2) in a police van, and within minutes, \$87(2)(b) was driven to the 78th Precinct stationhouse for arrest processing.

A second officer, determined by the investigation to be PO Dejesus, then processed at the 78th Precinct stationhouse. Between 12:30 a.m. and 1:00 a.m., \$37(2)(b) was released from the stationhouse without any charges. When \$37(2)(b) left the stationhouse, he looked in a car mirror and saw that the left side of his face was swollen. \$37(2)(b) went to \$37(2)(b)

at approximately \$27(2)(b) on \$27(2)(b) . Doctors told \$27(2)(b) that it was evident that someone had used force against \$27(2)(b) s left eye and temple. Doctors also took x-rays of \$27(2)(b) s ribs and back but revealed no bone damage.

ser(2) sent the investigation a live photograph (BR 04) of the graffitied marked SUV on the southwest corner of Flatbush Avenue and 6th Avenue. The photograph did not feature any members of service.

ser(2)(b) sent the investigation a photograph (BR 05) of his hospital discharge sheet, which listed ser(2)(b) as a victim of physical assault. The discharge sheet directed ser(2)(b) to take Tylenol as needed for pain and further noted that medical professionals had taken a chest x-ray of ser(2)(b) The CCRB requested ser(2)(b) sign HIPAA forms, and ser(2)(b) acceded, but never returned the completed forms.

BWC

The investigation made four BWC requests regarding this incident and received 67 videos, none of which corresponded with this incident (BR 06-10).

The NYPD first searched for footage corresponding to the following criteria: 12:00 a.m.-11:59 p.m. on May 29, 2020 and 12:00 a.m.-01:59 a.m. on May 30, 2020, for PO Dejesus, the 78th Precinct, and PSA 1, which returned negative results. The NYPD then independently searched for footage corresponding to the following criteria: 9:40 p.m. to 11:40 p.m. on May 29, 2020, for PO Dejesus, the 78th Precinct, Strategic Response Group 3, Critical Respond Command, and the Counterterrorism Bureau, which also returned negative results.

The investigation then requested that NYPD search the following parameters: 10:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m. on May 29, 2020, for the 68th, 70th and 114th Precincts, as PO Dejesus testified (BR 11) that details from these precincts were in the general vicinity during this incident. This request returned negative results.

Furthermore, the investigation requested the NYPD query the following officers, who were identified in detail rosters and roll calls from the night of the incident: Sgt. Chan, Sergeant Paula Smith of the 78th Precinct, Police Officer Leonard Leslie of the 78th Precinct, Police Officer Aron Baksh of the 78th Precinct, Police Officer Daniel Alvarez of the 78th Precinct, Sergeant Alexander Wong of the 103rd Precinct, Police Officer Aphisith Usdonvudhikai of the 103rd Precinct, Police Officer Aphisith Usdonvudhikai of the 103rd Precinct, Police Officer Matthew Neubauer of the 103rd Precinct, Police Officer Kevin O'Shea of the 103rd Precinct, Police Officer Richard Dudley of the 103rd Precinct, Police Officer Leonard Lazo of the 103rd Precinct, and PO Joel Bacchus of the 103rd Precinct. This third request returned positive results for PO O'Shea and PO Brooks, as well as Sgt. Viola of the 70th Precinct: seven videos in total. However, these results did not pertain to the incident.

Finally, the investigation requested the NYPD search the following parameters: all SRG commands between 10:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m. This request returned 60 results, but none of the videos corresponded with this incident.

NYPD Documents Reviewed

No Threat, Resistance, and Injury Report Worksheet was prepared regarding \$37(2)(b) As noted above, the investigation reviewed detail rosters created for the Barclays Center protests on May 29, 2020 (BR 12). These detail rosters included location-based assignments for each assigned command – the 103rd Precinct was stationed approximately two blocks away from Flatbush Avenue and 6th Avenue, in front of the 78th Precinct side entrance, and evenly spaced on 6th Avenue between Bergen and Dean Streets on the west side of the street. The investigation reviewed roll call for the 78th Precinct (BR 13), which listed five officers as assigned to a protest detail: PO Alvarez, PO Baksh, PO Leslie, PO Trafildo, and PO Dejesus.

The only NYPD documentation which directly referenced \$37(2)(b) were his voided arrest report (which listed PO Dejesus and Sgt. Pirozzi as the arresting officer and approving supervisor, respectively)(BR 01), and the 78th Precinct's command log (which listed Sgt. Chan as the supervisor who verified \$37(2)(b) arrest)(BR 13).

s 87(2)(b) s voided arrest report did not further identify any officers involved in his arrest. The narrative only read as follows: \$87(2)(b), \$87(2)(a) 160.50

Concurrent Investigations

There are no concurrent investigations regarding the allegations levied by \$87(2)(6)

Ranking Officers

Specifically, for the protest detail at the 78th Precinct, Sgt. Chan was the highest-ranking officer. Regarding the larger surrounding protests at the Barclays Center, the CCRB determined that Chief of Department Terence Monahan was present on the night of May 29, 2020. Chief of Department Monahan testified (BR 14) that at approximately 10:40 p.m., at Flatbush Avenue and

Sixth Avenue in Brooklyn, there were still civilians who had not yet dispersed. SRG officers moved these civilians back to clear the area. Chief Monahan instructed officers on scene to "keep moving them along," referring to the civilians who had not dispersed yet. Chief Monahan did not see an officer punch a black male civilian in the face.

Officers Interviewed

As PO Dejesus was \$87(2)(b) arresting officer, the investigation interviewed him. PO Dejesus (BR 11) testified that he had been assigned to stand at attention at Bergen Street and Flatbush Avenue beginning at 3:00 p.m. with PO Alvarez, PO Baksh, PO Leslie, and Sgt. Smith. At approximately 10:40 p.m., Sgt. Chan directed PO Dejesus to leave the line and go to a parked van in which \$ 87(2)(b) was seated alone. Sgt. Chan gave no additional information regarding

including who apprehended him and why he had been apprehended. PO Dejesus sat down in the van. PO Dejesus did not see \$87(2)(b) apprehension. PO Dejesus did not see any apparent injuries on \$87(2)(b) An unknown officer drove PO Dejesus and \$87(2)(b) to the 78th Precinct stationhouse. At the stationhouse, PO Dejesus testified, Sgt. Chan told PO Dejesus to void the arrest as PO Dejesus could not establish probable cause for disorderly conduct.


As PO Dejesus identified Sgt. Chan as the member of service who directed him to interact with \$87(2)(b) the investigation interviewed Sgt. Chan. Sgt. Chan testified (BR 15) that, throughout the evening of May 29, 2020, he walked in the vicinity of Flatbush Avenue and responded to various 10-85 calls for assistance. Sgt. Chan had no specific recollection of the incident regarding \$87(2)(b) and did not remember ever having any dialogue with PO Dejesus. Sgt. Chan did not see officers push \$87(2)(b) to the ground or punch him in the head. Sgt. Chan acknowledged that he was the supervising officer for \$87(2)(5) arrest on scene, as corroborated by the command log entry discussed above. Sgt. Chan testified that, on the night of May 29, 2020, he had directed officers to take apprehended civilians to the 78th Precinct stationhouse for processing but did not specifically remember \$87(2)(b) s arrest.

As Sgt. Pirozzi was listed as the supervising officer on \$87(2)(b) s voided arrest report, the investigation interviewed him. Sgt. Pirozzi testified (BR 16) that had no independent recollection of interacting with 87(2)(b) on the night of the incident. Sgt. Pirozzi testified that he was the desk officer and did not recall if he ever left the precinct stationhouse during his tour. Sgt. Pirozzi never assisted in any arrests outside the precinct stationhouse. Sgt. Pirozzi testified that he instructed PO Dejesus to void \$37(2)0 s arrest as PO Dejesus could not articulate probable cause. Sgt. Pirozzi approved the voided arrest because it was his duty as the desk officer.

Chief Maddrey of the Community Affairs Bureau was interviewed regarding this case; at the time of this incident, Chief Maddrey testified (BR 17) that he was at the 88th Precinct stationhouse but testified that Chief of Department Monahan was on scene and the supervisor at the Barclays Center demonstration.

3 87(z)(g)		
§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)		

§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)	
§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)	
§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)	



Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

- This is the first complaint to which \$87(2)(b) has been a party (BR 23).
- Chief Monahan was a member of service for 39 years and was named a subject in 13 cases and 32 allegations, none of which was substantiated. Ser(2)(0)
- PO Dejesus has been a member of service for two years and this is the first complaint to which he has been a subject.
- Sgt. Chan has been a member of service for 14 years and has been subject to nine prior complaints and ten allegations, none of which were substantiated. **§** 97(2)(9)

Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories

- This case was not eligible for mediation.
- As of September 16, 2020, the New York City Office of the Comptroller has no record of a Notice of Claim being filed regarding this complaint (BR 24).
- According to the Office of Court Administration, **SE7(2)(b)** has no history of convictions in New York City (BR 25).

Squad No.: 14

Investigator:	Ethan C. Waterman	Inv. Ethan Waterman	June 23, 2021
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Squad Leader:	Cassandra Fenkel	IM Cassandra Fenkel	June 24, 2021
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Reviewer:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date