Exhibit B



Inspector GeneralWashington DC 20420

May 26, 2021

The Honorable Charles Grassley Ranking Member Committee on the Judiciary U.S. Senate Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Grassley:

I am writing in response to your April 2, 2021 letter regarding allegations related to the Veterans Benefits Administration (VBA) and specifically allegations of ethical violations and other misconduct by VBA leadership.

We have considered your requests and discussed our analysis with your staff. The Office of Inspector General (OIG) has opened an administrative investigation to evaluate the allegations that Ms. Charmain Bogue, Executive Director of VBA's Education Service, may have violated applicable conflict of interest laws or regulations concerning her official duties and her spouse's business interests. The scope of this administrative investigation will also include reviewing any legal opinions that were provided to Ms. Bogue regarding the need for her recusal from decisions based on her spouse's business and reviewing Ms. Bogue's financial disclosures. The OIG's work is in progress, and we will notify your office when we have concluded our work.

For the reasons summarized below, at this time we will not be reviewing the other matters raised in your letter:

- Mitigation of Disciplinary Penalties for Certain Senior VA Officials. Your letter requested that the OIG review and report on the reasons why VA allegedly mitigated penalties recommended in disciplinary actions of specific senior-level VBA employees. VA's Office of Accountability and Whistleblower Protection (OAWP) investigated the matters referenced by your letter. The OAWP makes recommendations relating to discipline and it tracks the information that your letter requests. The OIG will defer to OAWP's response to the corresponding request in your letter to VA Secretary McDonough.
- Potential Disclosure of Material Non-Public Information Concerning Certain Education Institutions. Since you have separately requested the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) to investigate this matter and the VA OIG has limited authority to compel testimony, we will defer to the SEC. If we uncover information relevant to this potential violation during our review, we will refer that information to the SEC for review.

Page 2

The Honorable Charles Grassley

- Financial Disclosures of VA Employees. Your letter asked the OIG to review VA employees' compliance with financial disclosure requirements. The allegations presented relate to one individual's disclosures and, even if true, do not appear to suggest the existence of a broad process failure affecting the nearly 700 VA employees who file public financial disclosures every year. Although the OIG may reconsider initiating a broader review at a future time, we note that the Office of Government Ethics has primary authority in this area and is better positioned to evaluate VA's financial disclosure process, especially as it pertains to an individual's compliance with disclosure requirements.
- Compliance with Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Requests. The specific FOIA requests mentioned in your letter are the subject of pending litigation. The OIG generally does not investigate matters under litigation if the issue can be resolved by the decision-maker. In this instance, the court's determination will provide the requestor with any appropriate relief and address your question as to whether VA is failing to comply with FOIA requests.
- Anonymous Allegations of Reprisal. Your letter raises non-specific allegations of reprisal by individuals who provided unspecified information to your office. The OIG lacks the authority to provide redress for reprisals. For current and former VA employees seeking relief from instances of reprisal, VA's OAWP or the U.S. Office of Special Counsel are the most appropriate offices to review those allegations.

We appreciate the opportunity to consider these matters and thank you for your interest in the OIG.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL J. MISSAL