
From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/16/2021 1:30:07 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Thursday, September 23, 2021 at 3:00 PM (Joint) (Public Hearing)
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
NOTICE OF JOINT PUBLIC HEARING
2021-2022 SESSION**

The **House Committee on Redistricting** will **JOINTLY** hold a **Public Hearing**.

DAY & DATE: Thursday, September 23, 2021

TIME: 3:00 PM

LOCATION: Mitchell Comm. Coll. Iredell

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

The views of interested parties will be heard concerning:

Joint public hearing for public comments - House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee

Location: Mitchell Comm. College IREDELL Co. Campus

Shearer Hall

500 W. Broad St.

Statesville, NC 28677

Hearing starts at 3:00 pm, doors open and in-person sign up to speak begins at 2:00 pm. Online sign- up will close 4 hours prior to the meeting start time.

<https://www.ncleg.gov/requesttospeak/55>

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street |
Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/10/2021 10:00:08 AM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Wednesday, September 15, 2021 at 5:00 PM (Joint) (Public Hearing)
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
NOTICE OF JOINT PUBLIC HEARING
2021-2022 SESSION**

The **House Committee on Redistricting** will **JOINTLY** hold a **Public Hearing**.

DAY & DATE: Wednesday, September 15, 2021

TIME: 5:00 PM

LOCATION: Nash Community College

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

The views of interested parties will be heard concerning:

Joint public hearing for public comments - House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee

Location: Nash Community College

Brown Auditorium

522 N. Old Carriage Rd.

Rocky Mount, NC 27804

The hearing is slated to begin at 5:00 pm, with the facility open to the public at 4:00 pm.

The on-line sign up link is: <https://www.ncleg.gov/requesttospeak/50>

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street |
Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/10/2021 10:05:08 AM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Thursday, September 16, 2021 at 5:00 PM (Joint) (Public Hearing)
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
NOTICE OF JOINT PUBLIC HEARING
2021-2022 SESSION**

The **House Committee on Redistricting** will **JOINTLY** hold a **Public Hearing**.

DAY & DATE: Thursday, September 16, 2021

TIME: 5:00 PM

LOCATION: Alamance Comm. College

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

The views of interested parties will be heard concerning:

Joint public hearing for public comments - House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee

Location: Alamance Community College

Patterson Auditorium

1247 Jimmie Kerr Rd.

Graham, NC 27253

The hearing is slated to begin at 5:00 pm, with the facility open to the public at 4:00 pm.

The on-line sign up link is: <https://www.ncleg.gov/requesttospeak/51>

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street |
Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/10/2021 10:10:08 AM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Thursday, September 16, 2021 at 3:00 PM (Joint) (Public Hearing)
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
NOTICE OF JOINT PUBLIC HEARING
2021-2022 SESSION**

The **House Committee on Redistricting** will **JOINTLY** hold a **Public Hearing**.

DAY & DATE: Thursday, September 16, 2021

TIME: 3:00 PM

LOCATION: Pitt Community College

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

The views of interested parties will be heard concerning:

Joint public hearing for public comments - House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee

Location: Pitt Community College

Craig F. Goess Student Center

169 Bulldog Run

Winterville, NC 28590

The hearing is slated to begin at 3:00 pm, with the facility open to the public at 2:00 pm.

The on-line sign up link is: <https://www.ncleg.gov/requesttospeak/52>

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street |
Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/9/2021 10:10:08 AM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Tuesday, September 14, 2021 at 5:00 PM (Joint) (Public Hearing)
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
NOTICE OF JOINT PUBLIC HEARING
2021-2022 SESSION**

The **House Committee on Redistricting** will **JOINTLY** hold a **Public Hearing**.

DAY & DATE: Tuesday, September 14, 2021

TIME: 5:00 PM

LOCATION: Elizabeth City State Univ.

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

The views of interested parties will be heard concerning:

Joint public hearing for public comments - House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee

Location: Elizabeth City State University

K.E. White Center

1704 Weeksville Rd.

Elizabeth City, NC 27909

Hearing starts at 5:00 pm, in-person sign up to speak begins at 4:00 pm

Link for on-line sign up to speak prior to the meeting: <https://www.ncleg.gov/requesttospeak/47>

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street |
Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/15/2021 11:05:08 AM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Wednesday, September 22, 2021 at 3:00 PM (Joint) (Public Hearing)
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
NOTICE OF JOINT PUBLIC HEARING
2021-2022 SESSION**

The **House Committee on Redistricting** will **JOINTLY** hold a **Public Hearing**.

DAY & DATE: Wednesday, September 22, 2021

TIME: 3:00 PM

LOCATION: Central Piedmont Comm. College

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

The views of interested parties will be heard concerning:

Joint public hearing for public comments - House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee

Location: Central Piedmont Community College

Harris Conference Center

3216 CPCC Harris Campus Dr.

Charlotte, NC 28208

The hearing is slated to begin at 3:00 pm, with the facility open to the public at 2:00 pm.

<https://www.ncleg.gov/requesttospeak/54>

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street |
Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/12/2021 3:25:07 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Wednesday, October 13, 2021 at 9:00 AM
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: Wednesday, October 13, 2021

TIME: 9:00 AM

LOCATION: 643 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Pursuant to House Rule 28.2, a WebEx link will be provided to Committee Members and staff the day of the meeting. A link to the Audio/Video livestream will be posted on the NCGA Legislative Calendar: <https://www.ncleg.gov/LegislativeCalendar>.

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 11/1/2021 3:25:07 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Monday, November 1, 2021 at 5:00 PM - UPDATED #3
Attachments: Update meeting on calendar.ics

Updated #3

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: Monday, November 1, 2021

TIME: 5:00 PM

LOCATION: 643 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

The following bills will be considered:

BILL NO.	SHORT TITLE	SPONSORS
HB 976	House Redistricting Plan 2021.	Representative D. Hall

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/21/2021 2:30:10 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Tuesday, September 28, 2021 at 4:00 PM (Joint) (Public Hearing)
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
NOTICE OF JOINT PUBLIC HEARING
2021-2022 SESSION**

The **House Committee on Redistricting** will **JOINTLY** hold a **Public Hearing**.

DAY & DATE: Tuesday, September 28, 2021

TIME: 4:00 PM

LOCATION: UNC-Pembroke

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

The views of interested parties will be heard concerning:

Joint public hearing for public comments - House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee

Location: UNC Pembroke

Office for Regional Inn

115 Livermore Dr.

Pembroke, NC 28372

Link for public speaker sign-up: <https://www.ncleg.gov/requesttospeak/56>

Hearing starts at 4:00 pm, doors open and in-person sign up to speak begins at 3:00 pm. Online sign-up will close 4 hours prior to the meeting start time.

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street |
Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 11/3/2021 4:15:07 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Thursday, November 4, 2021 at 9:00 AM
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: Thursday, November 4, 2021

TIME: 9:00 AM

LOCATION: 643 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: MAP DRAWING

Pursuant to House Rule 28.2, a WebEx link will be provided to Committee Members and staff the day of the meeting. A link to the Audio/Video livestream will be posted on the NCGA Legislative Calendar: <https://www.ncleg.gov/LegislativeCalendar>.

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street |
Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 11/2/2021 7:15:08 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Wednesday, November 3, 2021 at 3:00 PM
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: Wednesday, November 3, 2021

TIME: 3:00 PM

LOCATION: 1228/1327 LB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Pursuant to House Rule 28.2, a WebEx link will be provided to Committee Members and staff the day of the meeting. A link to the Audio/Video livestream will be posted on the NCGA Legislative Calendar:
<https://www.ncleg.gov/LegislativeCalendar>.

The following bills will be considered:

BILL NO.	SHORT TITLE	SPONSORS
SB 740	Congressional Redistricting Plan 2021/CST-13.	Senator Hise Senator Daniel Senator Newton

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Lucy K. Harrill (Committee Assistant) at lucy.harrill@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/14/2021 3:40:07 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Tuesday, September 21, 2021 at 5:00 PM (Joint) (Public Hearing)
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
NOTICE OF JOINT PUBLIC HEARING
2021-2022 SESSION**

The **House Committee on Redistricting** will **JOINTLY** hold a **Public Hearing**.

DAY & DATE: Tuesday, September 21, 2021

TIME: 5:00 PM

LOCATION: Western Carolina University

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

The views of interested parties will be heard concerning:

Joint public hearing for public comments - House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee

Location: Western Carolina University

Health & Human Sciences Building

3971 Little Savannah Rd.

Cullowhee, NC 28723

The hearing is slated to begin at 5:00 pm, with the facility open to the public at 4:00 pm.

<https://www.ncleg.gov/requesttospeak/53>

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street |
Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/29/2021 5:25:07 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Monday, November 1, 2021 at 9AM-1PM
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: Monday, November 1, 2021

TIME: 9AM-1PM

LOCATION: 643 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Pursuant to House Rule 28.2, a WebEx link will be provided to Committee Members and staff the day of the meeting. A link to the Audio/Video livestream will be posted on the NCGA Legislative Calendar: <https://www.ncleg.gov/LegislativeCalendar>.

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 11/1/2021 9:25:08 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Tuesday, November 2, 2021 at 9AM-12:30PM
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: Tuesday, November 2, 2021

TIME: 9AM-12:30PM

LOCATION: 643 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Pursuant to House Rule 28.2, a WebEx link will be provided to Committee Members and staff the day of the meeting. A link to the Audio/Video livestream will be posted on the NCGA Legislative Calendar: <https://www.ncleg.gov/LegislativeCalendar>.

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/10/2021 9:55:07 AM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Wednesday, September 15, 2021 at 6:00 PM (Joint) (Public Hearing)
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
NOTICE OF JOINT PUBLIC HEARING
2021-2022 SESSION**

The **House Committee on Redistricting** will **JOINTLY** hold a **Public Hearing**.

DAY & DATE: Wednesday, September 15, 2021

TIME: 6:00 PM

LOCATION: Durham Tech

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

The views of interested parties will be heard concerning:

Joint public hearing for public comments - House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee

Location: Durham Technical Community College

Main Campus, Building 5

1613 Lawson St.

Durham, NC 27703

The hearing is slated to begin at 6:00 pm, with the facility open to the public at 5:00 pm.

The on-line sign up link is: <https://www.ncleg.gov/requesttospeak/48>

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street |
Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/9/2021 9:55:08 AM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Tuesday, September 14, 2021 at 4:00 PM (Joint) (Public Hearing)
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
NOTICE OF JOINT PUBLIC HEARING
2021-2022 SESSION**

The **House Committee on Redistricting** will **JOINTLY** hold a **Public Hearing**.

DAY & DATE: Tuesday, September 14, 2021

TIME: 4:00 PM

LOCATION: Forsyth Technical Comm College

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

The views of interested parties will be heard concerning:

Joint public hearing for public comments - House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee

Location: Forsyth Community College

Strickland Auditorium, Robert L. Strickland Center

1615 Miller St.

Winston Salem, NC 27103

Hearing starts at 4:00 pm, in-person sign up to speak begins at 3:00 pm

Link for on-line sign up to speak prior to the meeting: <https://www.ncleg.gov/requesttospeak/46>

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street |
Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 11/2/2021 6:00:14 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Wednesday, November 3, 2021 at 9:00 AM
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: Wednesday, November 3, 2021

TIME: 9:00 AM

LOCATION: 643 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: MAP DRAWING

Pursuant to House Rule 28.2, a WebEx link will be provided to Committee Members and staff the day of the meeting. A link to the Audio/Video livestream will be posted on the NCGA Legislative Calendar: <https://www.ncleg.gov/LegislativeCalendar>.

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street |
Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

Sent: 8/9/2021 10:18:38 AM -0400

Subject:

Redistricting this wk:

County level data on the 12th

Let the process speak for itself

Demi Dowdy

Director of Communications

Office of Speaker Moore

From: "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/22/2021 11:28:56 PM -0400
To: HSE - House Members <HSE-HouseMembers@ncleg.gov>
CC: @House/Legislative Assistants; "Martin Brock (Police, Chief)" <Martin.Brock@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Redistricting Public Comment

Members,

Chairman Hall asked that I share the redistricting public comment schedule for next week:

1. Monday October 25, 2021 at 3:00pm in the Legislative Building Auditorium
 - a. Remote Cite Locations:
 - i. UNC Wilmington: Lumina Theater, 615 Hamilton Drive, Wilmington, NC 28403
 - ii. Caldwell County: Broyhill Center, 1913 Hickory Blvd, Lenoir, NC 28645
2. Monday October 25, 2021 at 5:30pm in LOB 643
3. Tuesday October 26th, 2021 at 3:00pm in the Legislative Building Auditorium
 - a. Remote Cite Locations:
 - i. Pitt County: Auditorium, East Carolina Heart Institute, ECU Health Science Campus, 115 Heart Drive, Greenville, NC 27834
 - ii. Mecklenburg County: Harris Conference Center, 3216 CPCC Harris Campus Dr., Charlotte, NC 28208
4. Tuesday October 26th, 2021 at 5:30pm in LOB 643

[Here](#) is the sign up link for Monday at 3pm, and the other hearing sign up links can be found on the same page. Also, if you are going to attend one of the remote cite locations, please let Chief Brock know so that he can make the appropriate arrangements.

As a reminder, LOB 643 will continue to remain open all of next week if you would like to work on maps. On Monday and Tuesday, drawing will be from 9am-2:30pm to accommodate the public comment hearings.

Please let Chairman Hall know if you have any questions. I hope you have a great weekend!

Respectfully,

Dylan

Dylan R. Reel

House Rules Committee Counsel

Office of Rules Chairman Destin C. Hall | 919.733.5931

2306 Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27603

From: "James White (House Principal Clerk)" <James.White@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/15/2021 3:04:30 PM -0400
To: HSE - House Members <HSE-HouseMembers@ncleg.gov>
CC: HSE - House Legislative Assistants <HSE-HouseLegislativeAssistants@ncleg.gov>; HSE - House Principal Clerks Office <HSE-HousePrincipalClerksOffice@ncleg.gov>; HSE - Speaker Moore <Tim.Moore.Office@ncleg.gov>; "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; "Martin Brock (Police, Chief)" <Martin.Brock@ncleg.gov>; "Kory Goldsmith (Bill Drafting, Director)" <Kory.Goldsmith@ncleg.gov>; "Larry Elliott (House Sgt. At Arms)" <Larry.Elliott@ncleg.gov>; "Jeffrey Hudson (Legislative Analysis, Director)" <Jeffrey.Hudson@ncleg.gov>; "David Capen (Policy Director, Majority Leader Bell's Office)" <David.Capen@ncleg.gov>; "Todd Barlow (Rep. Robert Reives)" <Todd.Barlow@ncleg.gov>
Subject: House Schedule next week

Members, the Speaker requested I update you on the schedule for next week:

Voting Session on Wednesday only (tentative 1pm but subject to time change)

Redistricting Meeting on Thursday (time TBD)

Thank you,

James

James White
House Principal Clerk

From: Office of Speaker Tim Moore <tim.moore+ncleg.gov@ccsend.com>
Sent: 11/2/2021 1:58:07 PM -0400
To: "Demi Dowdy (Dir. of Communications, Speaker Moore's Office)"
<Demi.Dowdy@ncleg.gov>
Subject: House Speaker Tim Moore Responds to Governor's Veto of
Emergency Powers Bill

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Contact: [Demi Dowdy](#)

Monday, November 1, 2021


NC House Votes to Approve 2021 Redistricting Plan

Raleigh, NC— Today the North Carolina House of Representatives voted to approve H 976, the "House Redistricting Plan 2021," an act to realign North Carolina House of Representatives districts following the return of the 2020 Federal Census.

NC House Speaker Tim Moore said, "**The redistricting process has been the most transparent in the history of our state, and I am confident that the districts that have been drawn are constitutional in every respect.**"

###

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27601 | 919-733-4111
www.SpeakerMoore.com



Speaker Tim Moore | 16 W. Jones St. #2304 , Raleigh, NC 27601

[Unsubscribe {recipient's email}](#)

[Update Profile](#) | [Constant Contact Data Notice](#)

Sent by tim.moore@ncleg.gov powered by

Try email marketing for free today!

THIS IS A TEST EMAIL ONLY.

This email was sent by the author for the sole purpose of testing a draft message. If you believe you have received the message in error, please contact the author by replying to this message. Constant Contact takes reports of abuse very seriously. If you wish to report abuse, please forward this message to abuse@constantcontact.com.

From: Office of Speaker Tim Moore <tim.moore+ncleg.gov@ccsend.com>
Sent: 11/2/2021 3:03:19 PM -0400
To: "Demi Dowdy (Dir. of Communications, Speaker Moore's Office)"
<Demi.Dowdy@ncleg.gov>
Subject: NC House Votes to Approve 2021 Redistricting Plan

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Contact: [Demi Dowdy](#)

Monday, November 1, 2021


NC House Votes to Approve 2021 Redistricting Plan

Raleigh, NC— Today the North Carolina House of Representatives voted to approve H 976, the "House Redistricting Plan 2021," an act to realign North Carolina House of Representatives districts following the return of the 2020 Federal Census.

NC House Speaker Tim Moore said, "**The redistricting process has been the most transparent in the history of our state, and I am confident that the districts that have been drawn are constitutional in every respect.**"

###

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27601 | 919-733-4111
www.SpeakerMoore.com



Speaker Tim Moore | 16 W. Jones St. #2304 , Raleigh, NC 27601

[Unsubscribe {recipient's email}](#)

[Update Profile](#) | [Constant Contact Data Notice](#)

Sent by tim.moore@ncleg.gov powered by

Try email marketing for free today!

THIS IS A TEST EMAIL ONLY.

This email was sent by the author for the sole purpose of testing a draft message. If you believe you have received the message in error, please contact the author by replying to this message. Constant Contact takes reports of abuse very seriously. If you wish to report abuse, please forward this message to abuse@constantcontact.com.

From: Office of Speaker Tim Moore <tim.moore+ncleg.gov@ccsend.com>
Sent: 11/2/2021 3:04:46 PM -0400
To: "Demi Dowdy (Dir. of Communications, Speaker Moore's Office)"
<Demi.Dowdy@ncleg.gov>
Subject: NC House Votes to Approve 2021 Redistricting Plan

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Contact: Demi Dowdy

Monday, November 2, 2021


NC House Votes to Approve 2021 Redistricting Plan

Raleigh, NC— Today the North Carolina House of Representatives voted to approve H 976, the "House Redistricting Plan 2021," an act to realign North Carolina House of Representatives districts following the return of the 2020 Federal Census.

NC House Speaker Tim Moore said, "**The redistricting process has been the most transparent in the history of our state, and I am confident that the districts that have been drawn are constitutional in every respect.**"

###

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27601 | 919-733-4111
www.SpeakerMoore.com



Speaker Tim Moore | 16 W. Jones St. #2304 , Raleigh, NC 27601

[Unsubscribe {recipient's email}](#)

[Update Profile](#) | [Constant Contact Data Notice](#)


Sent by tim.moore@ncleg.gov powered by

Try email marketing for free today!

THIS IS A TEST EMAIL ONLY.

This email was sent by the author for the sole purpose of testing a draft message. If you believe you have received the message in error, please contact the author by replying to this message. Constant Contact takes reports of abuse very seriously. If you wish to report abuse, please forward this message to abuse@constantcontact.com.

From: Office of Speaker Tim Moore <tim.moore+ncleg.gov@ccsend.com>
Sent: 11/2/2021 5:33:58 PM -0400
To: "Demi Dowdy (Dir. of Communications, Speaker Moore's Office)"
<Demi.Dowdy@ncleg.gov>
Subject: NC House Votes to Approve 2021 Redistricting Plan



FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Contact: [Demi Dowdy](#)

Tuesday, November 2, 2021

NC House Votes to Approve 2021 Redistricting Plan

Raleigh, NC— Today the North Carolina House of Representatives voted to approve H 976, the "House Redistricting Plan 2021."

The purpose of H 976 is to "realign North Carolina House of Representatives districts following the return of the 2020 Federal Census."

NC House Speaker Tim Moore said, "**The redistricting process has been the most transparent in the history of our state, and I am confident**

that the districts that have been drawn are constitutional in every respect."

###

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27601 | 919-733-4111
www.SpeakerMoore.com

Speaker Tim Moore | 16 W. Jones St. #2304 , Raleigh, NC 27601

[Unsubscribe demi.dowdy@ncleg.gov](mailto:demi.dowdy@ncleg.gov)

[Update Profile](#) | [Constant Contact Data Notice](#)

Sent by tim.moore@ncleg.gov powered by

Try email marketing for free today!

From: Office of Speaker Tim Moore <tim.moore+ncleg.gov@ccsend.com>
Sent: 11/4/2021 11:37:14 AM -0400
To: "Demi Dowdy (Dir. of Communications, Speaker Moore's Office)"
<Demi.Dowdy@ncleg.gov>
Subject: NEWS: NC House Gives Approval to New Maps

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Contact: Demi Dowdy

Thursday, November 4, 2021

NC House Gives Approval to Senate Maps, Congressional Maps


Raleigh, NC—Today the North Carolina House of Representatives have approved both the Senate redistricting plan and Congressional redistricting plan.

NC House Speaker Tim Moore said, **"I am confident that the House and Senate have approved redistricting plans that include maps that are constitutional in every respect."**

He continued, **"This redistricting process has provided the public with an unprecedented view into the process. In fact, not only did we hold hearings for public comments before and after maps had been drawn, but every single map was drawn in public view."**

###

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27601 | 919-733-4111
www.SpeakerMoore.com



Speaker Tim Moore | 16 W. Jones St. #2304 , Raleigh, NC 27601

[Unsubscribe {recipient's email}](#)

[Update Profile](#) | [Constant Contact Data Notice](#)

Sent by tim.moore@ncleg.gov powered by

Try email marketing for free today!

THIS IS A TEST EMAIL ONLY.

This email was sent by the author for the sole purpose of testing a draft message. If you believe you have received the message in error, please contact the author by replying to this message. Constant Contact takes reports of abuse very seriously. If you wish to report abuse, please forward this message to abuse@constantcontact.com.

From: Office of Speaker Tim Moore <tim.moore+ncleg.gov@ccsend.com>
Sent: 11/4/2021 12:15:27 PM -0400
To: "Demi Dowdy (Dir. of Communications, Speaker Moore's Office)"
<Demi.Dowdy@ncleg.gov>
Subject: NEWS: NC House Gives Approval to New Maps

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Contact: Demi Dowdy

Thursday, November 4, 2021

NC House Gives Approval to Senate Maps, Congressional Maps


Raleigh, NC—Today the North Carolina House of Representatives have approved both Senate Bill 739, the Senate redistricting plan and Senate Bill 740, the Congressional redistricting plan.

NC House Speaker Tim Moore said, **“I am confident that the House and Senate have approved redistricting plans that include maps that are constitutional in every respect.”**

He continued, **“This redistricting process has provided the public with an unprecedented view into the process. In fact, not only did we hold hearings for public comments before and after maps had been drawn, but every single map was drawn in public view.”**

###

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27601 | 919-733-4111
www.SpeakerMoore.com



Speaker Tim Moore | 16 W. Jones St. #2304 , Raleigh, NC 27601

[Unsubscribe {recipient's email}](#)

[Update Profile](#) | [Constant Contact Data Notice](#)


Sent by tim.moore@ncleg.gov powered by

Try email marketing for free today!

THIS IS A TEST EMAIL ONLY.

This email was sent by the author for the sole purpose of testing a draft message. If you believe you have received the message in error, please contact the author by replying to this message. Constant Contact takes reports of abuse very seriously. If you wish to report abuse, please forward this message to abuse@constantcontact.com.

From: Office of Speaker Tim Moore <tim.moore+ncleg.gov@ccsend.com>
Sent: 11/4/2021 12:24:40 PM -0400
To: "Demi Dowdy (Dir. of Communications, Speaker Moore's Office)"
<Demi.Dowdy@ncleg.gov>
Subject: NEWS: NC House Gives Approval to New Senate, Congressional Maps



FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Contact: [Demi Dowdy](#)

Thursday, November 4, 2021

NC House Gives Approval to Senate Maps, Congressional Maps

Raleigh, NC—Today the North Carolina House of Representatives has approved both Senate Bill 739, the [Senate redistricting plan](#) and Senate Bill 740, the [Congressional redistricting plan](#).

NC House Speaker Tim Moore said, **“I am confident that the House and Senate have approved redistricting plans that include maps that are constitutional in every respect.”**

He continued, "**This redistricting process has provided the public with an unprecedented view into the process. In fact, not only did we hold hearings for public comments before and after maps had been drawn, but every single map was drawn in public view.**"

###

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27601 | 919-733-4111
www.SpeakerMoore.com

Speaker Tim Moore | 16 W. Jones St. #2304 , Raleigh, NC 27601

[Unsubscribe demi.dowdy@ncleg.gov](mailto:demi.dowdy@ncleg.gov)

[Update Profile](#) | [Constant Contact Data Notice](#)

Sent by tim.moore@ncleg.gov powered by

Try email marketing for free today!

From: "Dan Gurley (Speaker Moore's Office)" <EXCHANGELABS/EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/RECIPIENTS/0999BC0E91264E12B3686310DDF6ED2 D-DAN GURLEY>
Sent: 11/22/2021 2:35:09 PM -0500
To: gmurphy005@gmail.com
Subject: FW: FW: Map request - urgent
Attachments: SL2021-174_Congress_Onslow_Split_30x36.pdf

Dr. Murphy,

Attached is the Onslow County map you requested. I'm sorry it took this long – it shouldn't have. That said, I believe this has all the information you'll need.

Please let me know if I can further assist you.

DG

From: Paul Andrews <Paul.Andrews@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 2:05 PM
To: Raleigh Myers <Raleigh.Myers@ncleg.gov>; Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis) <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>; Dan Gurley (Speaker Moore's Office) <Dan.Gurley@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: Map request - urgent

Hi Dan,

Here is the map depicting the Congressional district split in Onslow County with VTDs, municipalities, and streets. It is 30x36 inches.

If you would like a hard copy, I can have one quickly available.

Thanks.

Paul R. Andrews

GIS Specialist

NC General Assembly

(919) 301-1364

paul.andrews@ncleg.gov

From: Raleigh Myers <Raleigh.Myers@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 1:11 PM
To: Paul Andrews <Paul.Andrews@ncleg.gov>
Subject: FW: Map request - urgent

From: Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis) <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 1:10 PM
To: Raleigh Myers <Raleigh.Myers@ncleg.gov>
Subject: FW: Map request - urgent

From: Dan Gurley (Speaker Moore's Office) <Dan.Gurley@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:09 AM
To: Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis) <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Map request - urgent

Jessica,

Can you please call me ASAP? We have an urgent request from Congressman Greg Murphy regarding the split in Onslow County. Because of the District line it appears he must relocate his congressional district office. My direct office extension is 6-3110 and my mobile is 919-747-1178. Thank you very much.

DG

Clarior Hinc Honos,


Dan Gurley, Deputy Chief of Staff
Office of Speaker Tim Moore

919-733-3451

dan.gurley@ncleg.net



S.L. 2021-174, Congressional Districts - Onslow County



- Interstate
- River
- County
- Highway
- Lake
- Municipality
- + + + Railroad
- District
- VTD



Note that districts are constructed using census geography. Census geography matches up with decennial census population counts, upon which district plans must be based. This map overlays district boundaries on non-census base maps. This approach provides a visually appealing map, though features from different sources do not always align perfectly. To resolve very specific boundary questions, it is often necessary to refer to the census base geography with which the districts were originally drawn.

From: Paul Andrews <Paul.Andrews@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 11/22/2021 2:05:02 PM -0500
To: Raleigh Myers <Raleigh.Myers@ncleg.gov>; "Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)" <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>; "Dan Gurley (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Dan.Gurley@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: RE: Map request - urgent
Attachments: SL2021-174_Congress_Onslow_Split_30x36.pdf

Hi Dan,

Here is the map depicting the Congressional district split in Onslow County with VTDS, municipalities, and streets. It is 30x36 inches.

If you would like a hard copy, I can have one quickly available.

Thanks.

Paul R. Andrews

GIS Specialist

NC General Assembly

(919) 301-1364

paul.andrews@ncleg.gov

From: Raleigh Myers <Raleigh.Myers@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 1:11 PM
To: Paul Andrews <Paul.Andrews@ncleg.gov>
Subject: FW: Map request - urgent

From: Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis) <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 1:10 PM
To: Raleigh Myers <Raleigh.Myers@ncleg.gov>
Subject: FW: Map request - urgent

From: Dan Gurley (Speaker Moore's Office) <Dan.Gurley@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:09 AM
To: Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis) <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Map request - urgent

Jessica,

Can you please call me ASAP? We have an urgent request from Congressman Greg Murphy regarding the split in Onslow County. Because of the District line it appears he must relocate his congressional district office. My direct office extension is 6-3110 and my mobile is 919-747-1178. Thank you very much.

DG

Clarior Hinc Honos,

Dan Gurley, Deputy Chief of Staff


Office of Speaker Tim Moore

919-733-3451

dan.gurley@ncleg.net



S.L. 2021-174, Congressional Districts - Onslow County



- Interstate
- River
- County
- Highway
- Lake
- Municipality
- Railroad
- District
- VTD



Note that districts are constructed using census geography. Census geography matches up with decennial census population counts, upon which district plans must be based. This map overlays district boundaries on non-census base maps. This approach provides a visually appealing map, though features from different sources do not always align perfectly. To resolve very specific boundary questions, it is often necessary to refer to the census base geography with which the districts were originally drawn.

From: "Mark Shiver (Speaker's Office)" <Mark.Shiver@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/24/2021 10:54:46 AM -0400
To: HSE - House Republican Members <HSE-HouseRepublicanMembers@ncleg.gov>
CC: HSE - House Republican LAs <HSE-HouseRepublicanLAs@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Suggested Facebook Share - Speaker Moore on Redistricting Meetings

Good morning, everyone,

Speaker Moore has posted on his Facebook about the redistricting public hearings.

This is a great post to share and mention the fact that this is an extremely transparent redistricting process.

Here is what his post looks like on **Facebook**:

NC Speaker Tim Moore
September 22 at 3:01 PM · 🌐

There have been ten hearings across the state to hear the public's comments on the redistricting process.

More info on the remaining three hearings and how to register to speak: <https://ncleg.gov/Redistricting>

NCLEG.GOV
Redistricting - North Carolina General Assembly
Redistricting is the process of drawing the districts from which public officials are elected. Once elected, the districts define who those...

Mark Shiver 1 Comment

Like Comment Share

Most Relevant ▾

Write a comment...

I used this comment when I shared the Speaker's post on my Facebook:

"The Republican-led NC General Assembly is conducting the most

transparent redistricting process. You are welcome to let your voice be heard."

If you'd like to share Speaker Moore's **Facebook** post, here's how:

Click

here: <https://www.facebook.com/689108077854712/posts/4199967860102032/?d=n>

- Then click "Share to Newsfeed" (Be sure to check the box that says "Include Original Post")
- Insert your above comment or your own thoughts where it says, "What's on your mind" if you like.
- Post
- You can also "Share to your story" and is a good idea to do both

As always, if you need any assistance or have any questions, please let me know.

Mark Shiver

Communications Liaison for the House Republican Caucus

919-539-2317 – Mobile

From: "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 8/27/2021 1:09:25 PM -0400
To: "Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office)" <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)" <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>; Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; "Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)" <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>; "Hillary Woodard (Legislative Analysis)" <Hillary.Woodard@ncleg.gov>; "Sarah Pilon (Legislative Analysis)" <Sarah.Pilon@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Re: Re: Potential Location and Dates -- September Public Hearings Agree with Nathan.

Neal Inman
Chief of Staff, Office of Speaker Moore

From: Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office) <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 27, 2021 12:35:42 PM
To: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>; Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office) <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall) <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office) <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>
Cc: Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis) <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>; Hillary Woodard (Legislative Analysis) <Hillary.Woodard@ncleg.gov>; Sarah Pilon (Legislative Analysis) <Sarah.Pilon@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Re: Potential Location and Dates -- September Public Hearings

I haven't heard from anyone with issues with this these proposed dates and times. I believe it is okay to proceed and contact the locations to verify if those dates and times work. Thank you.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 26, 2021 12:34 PM
To: Rep. Destin Hall; Sen. Paul Newton; Sen. Ralph Hise; Sen. Warren Daniel; Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office); Brent Woodcox; Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office); Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall); Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)
Cc: Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis); Hillary Woodard (Legislative Analysis); Sarah Pilon (Legislative Analysis)
Subject: Potential Location and Dates -- September Public Hearings

All,

We have taken a stab at a date, location, and start time for each of the 13 counties in which public hearing are proposed to be held by the House Redistricting Committee and the Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee in September 2021. We understood from Nathan that a varied start time was desired, so we have proposed a start time for each location. We have not yet contacted any of the proposed locations to confirm and secure the proposed date and time. Please let us know if this proposal is agreeable, and we will begin the process of making those contacts to secure the location. If any alterations are desired, please let us know that as well.

Thanks,
Erika C

***Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578***

From: "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/24/2021 5:10:11 PM -0400
To: "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Fwd: Short get together, please

Neal Inman
Chief of Staff, Office of Speaker Moore

From: Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office) <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Friday, September 24, 2021 3:54:40 PM
To: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>; Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>
Cc: Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall) <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office) <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton) <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office) <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office) <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis) <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: Short get together, please

Erika,

We've had a chance to talk internally. We would like for you and your team to go ahead and verify the county grouping options produced by Duke University: <https://sites.duke.edu/quantifyinggerrymandering/files/2021/08/countyClusters2020.pdf>. On Tuesday, October 5 the Senate would like you to present the county grouping options to the Senate Redistricting Committee – and we would like members to be able to begin drawing maps soon thereafter (that week, if can get everything set up) using any constitutionally compliant county grouping option.

I believe the House has the same or a similar request, but I'll defer to them to respond to you with their instructions.

Happy to discuss further next week. Thanks in advance and have a good weekend.

Nathan

From: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 22, 2021 10:36 AM
To: Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>
Cc: Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall) <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office) <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton) <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>; Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office) <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office) <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office)

<Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)
<Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Short get together, please

Redistricting Chairs,

As we are coming to a close of the September public hearing cycle, could schedule a time to all gather together to talk about a few logistical matters for the next phase? Could we shoot for sometime next Wednesday morning, September 29Th?

Thanks,
Erika C

***Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578***

From: "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 11/2/2021 11:51:02 AM -0400
To: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)" <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>;
"Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Re: Re: Senate is in Recess
No. It will not meet.

Neal Inman
Chief of Staff, Office of Speaker Moore

From: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 9:34:48 AM
To: Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office) <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall) <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>
Subject: FW: Senate is in Recess

Should we anticipate that the House Redistricting Committee will meet this evening? Just wanted to start gathering the appropriate folks, if we should be planning on a House meeting after the House receives the bill, if passed by the Senate this evening.

Thanks,
E

From: Senate Notification <Senate.FTR@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 02, 2021 9:33 AM
To: @All Exchange Users <Allexchangeusers@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Senate is in Recess

The Senate recessed Tuesday, November 2, 2021, at 9:31 a.m., subject to the standard stipulations set forth in Senate Rule 24.1, to reconvene at 5:00 p.m.

From: "Rachel Hammond (Legislative Analysis)"
<Rachel.Hammond@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 1/29/2021 9:58:10 AM -0500
To: Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>
CC: Rep. Tim Moore <Tim.Moore@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Phil Berger
<Phil.Berger@ncleg.gov>; "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's
Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; "Brian Fork (President Pro
Tem's Office)" <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; Paul Coble
<Paul.Coble@ncleg.gov>; "Jeffrey Hudson (Legislative Analysis,
Director)" <Jeffrey.Hudson@ncleg.gov>; "Erika Churchill
(Legislative Analysis)" <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>; "Jessica
Sammons (Legislative Analysis)"
<Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>; "Sarah Pilon (Legislative
Analysis)" <Sarah.Pilon@ncleg.gov>; "Hillary Woodard
(Legislative Analysis)" <Hillary.Woodard@ncleg.gov>; "Christine
Halladay (Legislative Analysis/Library)"
<Christine.Halladay@ncleg.gov>
Subject: House Redistricting Committee
Attachments: H Redistricting Rep D Hall.pdf

Under the direction of Mr. Jeff Hudson, attached please find the 2021 staff assignment letter for the House Redistricting Committee.

Rachel L. Hammond, Legislative Secretary

Legislative Analysis Division, North Carolina General Assembly

Room 545, Legislative Office Bldg., Raleigh, NC 27603-5925

Telephone (919) 733-2578

rachel.hammond@ncleg.net





NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
Legislative Services Office

Paul Y. Coble, Legislative Services Officer

Legislative Analysis Division
300 N. Salisbury Street, Suite 545
Raleigh, NC 27603-5925
Tel. 919-733-2578 Fax 919-715-5460

Jeff Hudson
Director

January 28, 2021

The Honorable Destin Hall, Chair
House Redistricting Committee
North Carolina House of Representatives
16 W. Jones Street, Room 2301
Raleigh, NC 27601


Dear Representative Hall:

Congratulations on your appointment as Chair of the House Redistricting Reform Committee.

I have asked Ms. Erika Churchill, Ms. Jessica Sammons, Ms. Sarah Pilon, and Ms. Hillary Woodard of the Legislative Analysis Division to serve as staff to this Committee. At the direction of the Chair, the staff will aid in all aspects of the Committee's work and will attend the meetings at the Committee's scheduled meeting time.

I have asked Ms. Churchill to serve as the coordinating staff person (point person) for the Committee staff's efforts. The role of the coordinating staff will be to serve as the primary contact for the Committee Chair and Committee Clerk to use in arranging Committee meetings and identifying bills to be considered. If you are unable to reach Ms. Churchill at anytime, please feel free to contact any other Committee staff member for assistance. Should you wish to contact any Legislative Analysis Division staff member, they may be reached by telephone at (919) 733-2578.

My best wishes to you and the Committee in its work. If I may be of any service to you or the Committee, please contact me.

Yours truly,

Jeff Hudson
Director

JH: rlh

cc: Hon. Tim Moore, Speaker
Hon. Phil Berger, President Pro Tempore
Mr. Neal Inman
Mr. Brian Fork
Mr. Paul Coble

Ms. Erika Churchill
Ms. Jessica Sammons
Ms. Sarah Pilon
Ms. Hillary Woodard
Ms. Christine Halladay

From: "Rachel Hammond (Legislative Analysis)"
<Rachel.Hammond@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 2/1/2021 3:54:52 PM -0500
To: @House/Redistricting
CC: "Jeffrey Hudson (Legislative Analysis, Director)"
<Jeffrey.Hudson@ncleg.gov>
Subject: House Redistricting Committee
Attachments: Redistricting Memo.pdf

Under the direction of Mr. Jeff Hudson, attached please find the 2021 committee staff memo for the House Redistricting Committee.

Rachel L. Hammond, Legislative Secretary

Legislative Analysis Division, North Carolina General Assembly

Room 545, Legislative Office Bldg., Raleigh, NC 27603-5925

Telephone (919) 733-2578

rachel.hammond@ncleg.net





NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
Legislative Services Office

Paul Y. Coble, Legislative Services Officer

Legislative Analysis Division
300 N. Salisbury Street, Suite 545
Raleigh, NC 27603-5925
Tel. 919-733-2578

Jeff Hudson
Director

February 1, 2021

TO: Members of the House Redistricting Committee

FROM: Jeff Hudson, Director, Legislative Analysis Division

RE: Committee Staff

This is to advise you that the following individuals have been assigned to staff the House Redistricting Committee.

- Erika Churchill – Legislative Analysis Division (Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov)
- Jessica Sammons – Legislative Analysis Division (Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov)
- Sarah Pilon – Legislative Analysis Division (Sarah.Pilon@ncleg.gov)
- Hillary Woodard – Legislative Analysis Division (Hillary.Woodard@ncleg.gov)

The staff will aid in all aspects of the Committee's work and will attend meetings at the Committee's scheduled meeting time. The committee staff work primarily at the direction of the Committee Chair providing services such as assisting the Chair with parliamentary questions; reviewing bills for format, content, constitutionality, and conflicts with other laws; preparing bill summaries for bills that are scheduled to be heard in committee; and, at the direction of the Chair, answering questions or explaining bills or amendments in committee.

These individuals are also available to assist you as committee members and/or bill sponsors with any questions you may have regarding bills being heard in committee. In addition, staff can prepare amendments or proposed committee substitutes upon the request of any member of the committee.

Please feel free to contact any of these individuals by email or by phone at 919-733-2578.

Also, please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions or if there are other ways that we can be of service.

From: Paul Coble <Paul.Coble@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 4/5/2021 9:18:23 AM -0400
To: "Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>
Subject: FW: FW: Update regarding delivery of the 2020 Census P.L. 94-171 Redistricting Data in August and September; discontinuation of the September embargo
Attachments: Census Redistricting Data Official Recipients Letter_04_01_2021.pdf

FYI. Latest from the Census on delivery of data.

From: DIR Redistricting Data Office (CENSUS/DIR) <dir.redistricting.data.office@census.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 01, 2021 3:07 PM
To: DIR Redistricting Data Office (CENSUS/DIR) <dir.redistricting.data.office@census.gov>
Cc: CRVRDO FTE List <crvrdo.fte.list@census.gov>
Subject: Fw: Update regarding delivery of the 2020 Census P.L. 94-171 Redistricting Data in August and September; discontinuation of the September embargo

Dear Official Recipients of the 2020 Census P.L. 94-171 Redistricting Data,

The Census Bureau announced on February 12, through a [blog](#) and a [press release](#), a revised timeline for producing and delivering high-quality redistricting data products to the states. Establishing a date against which states could rely on to receive their redistricting data required the Census Bureau to identify exactly which activities need to be completed and in what sequence, as well as setting the time and resources needed for each of these steps. Based on this review, we determined it will take until September 30, 2021, for us to complete and deliver the full set of planned redistricting data products.

We also recognize that delivery in September may cause hardship for states with earlier deadlines. Accordingly, we continued to evaluate our planned data processing, looking for ways to provide data for states that need redistricting data earlier. Through this reevaluation, we

announced on [March 15, 2021](#), that we will provide the states with our legacy format summary files in mid-to-late August, currently scheduling for the third week of August. While we had intended to provide the legacy format summary files with the final 2020 Census redistricting data, we determined that many states will be able to use these legacy files in August without waiting for the September delivery. The legacy format files will have identical data to the files that we will deliver in September. They will have been fully reviewed and subject to the same exacting quality assurance processes. The only drawback to using the legacy format summary files is that they will require additional handling and software to make the data easily accessible. We expect that many states will elect to use the August delivery because they have used similar products in the past.

Legacy Format Summary Files:

- Published to the web in mid-to-late August.
- Available to the states and the public.
- Identical data to the materials and tools provided in the September delivery.
- Fully reviewed and subject to the same exacting quality assurance processes.

In September, we will provide states the remainder of the planned data products/tools. DVDs and flash drives will be provided to official state recipients, those required by law. The states and the public will also receive access to the data through our data.census.gov Data Explorer platform.

- The DVDs and flash drives contain an integrated software browsing tool that allows intuitive browsing of the data. They also contain a simple custom extraction menu that allows for the extraction of large datasets from the device. Those extractions can then be imported easily into a Geographic Information System or database.
- The Data Explorer web tool at data.census.gov is our online data browsing tool for both the official recipients and the public. Users of the Data Explorer platform can access many different census datasets, including the redistricting data. The Data Explorer has custom filters that allow the user to filter on those geographic and characteristic data for which they are interested. For example, a state could filter the data and easily identify the

number of voting-age residents by race or ethnicity in each and every block within a census tract, county, or even for the entire state. They can then view, map, and download these datasets once they have set the filters with their choices.

This dual release of data, in August and September, has made for one additional alteration to our data release plan. Our original plan had all three of these methods available simultaneously in late September. As part of that plan, we built in an ability for you, as an official recipient, to access our data.census.gov platform a day before the public through a data embargo. Now, with the release of the summary file data a month in advance, this provision no longer makes sense and so has been removed.

To assist states with the August delivery, we recently posted a [legacy format summary file of the prototype redistricting data tabulations](#) released from the 2018 End-to-End Census Test in Providence County, RI. These files are in the format that states can expect when they receive the 2020 redistricting data. We also posted the 2020 technical documentation that will accompany the 2020 redistricting data. We are also continuing to identify support documentation and tools that may assist some data users in the use of these files. They will be added to the website as they are developed. I encourage you, your staff, or your legislative support team to review the prototype in preparation for the August publication of the 2020 Census P.L. 94-171 Legacy Format Summary Files.

Sincerely,

James Whitehorne

James Whitehorne, Chief

Redistricting & Voting Rights Data Office/ADDC/HQ

U.S. Census Bureau

O: 301-763-4039 | M: 202-263-9144

[@uscensusbureau|2020census.gov](https://census.gov)

04/01/2021

Dear Official Recipients of the 2020 Census P.L. 94-171 Redistricting Data,

The Census Bureau announced on February 12, through a [blog](#) and a [press release](#), a revised timeline for producing and delivering high-quality redistricting data products to the states. Establishing a date against which states could rely on to receive their redistricting data required the Census Bureau to identify exactly which activities need to be completed and in what sequence, as well as setting the time and resources needed for each of these steps. Based on this review, we determined it will take until September 30, 2021, for us to complete and deliver the full set of planned redistricting data products.

We also recognize that delivery in September may cause hardship for states with earlier deadlines. Accordingly, we continued to evaluate our planned data processing, looking for ways to provide data for states that need redistricting data earlier. Through this reevaluation, we announced on [March 15, 2021](#), that we will provide the states with our legacy format summary files in mid-to-late August, currently scheduling for the third week of August. While we had intended to provide the legacy format summary files with the final 2020 Census redistricting data, we determined that many states will be able to use these legacy files in August without waiting for the September delivery. The legacy format files will have identical data to the files that we will deliver in September. They will have been fully reviewed and subject to the same exacting quality assurance processes. The only drawback to using the legacy format summary files is that they will require additional handling and software to make the data easily accessible. We expect that many states will elect to use the August delivery because they have used similar products in the past.

Legacy Format Summary Files:

- Published to the web in mid-to-late August.
- Available to the states and the public.
- Identical data to the materials and tools provided in the September delivery.
- Fully reviewed and subject to the same exacting quality assurance processes.

In September, we will provide states the remainder of the planned data products/tools. DVDs and flash drives will be provided to official state recipients, those required by law. The states and the public will also receive access to the data through our data.census.gov Data Explorer platform.


- The DVDs and flash drives contain an integrated software browsing tool that allows intuitive browsing of the data. They also contain a simple custom extraction menu that allows for the extraction of large datasets from the device. Those extractions can then be imported easily into a Geographic Information System or database.
- The Data Explorer web tool at data.census.gov is our online data browsing tool for both the official recipients and the public. Users of the Data Explorer platform can access many different census datasets, including the redistricting data. The Data Explorer has

custom filters that allow the user to filter on those geographic and characteristic data for which they are interested. For example, a state could filter the data and easily identify the number of voting-age residents by race or ethnicity in each and every block within a census tract, county, or even for the entire state. They can then view, map, and download these datasets once they have set the filters with their choices.

This dual release of data, in August and September, has made for one additional alteration to our data release plan. Our original plan had all three of these methods available simultaneously in late September. As part of that plan, we built in an ability for you, as an official recipient, to access our data.census.gov platform a day before the public through a data embargo. Now, with the release of the summary file data a month in advance, this provision no longer makes sense and so has been removed.

To assist states with the August delivery, we recently posted a [legacy format summary file of the prototype redistricting data tabulations](#) released from the 2018 End-to-End Census Test in Providence County, RI. These files are in the format that states can expect when they receive the 2020 redistricting data. We also posted the 2020 technical documentation that will accompany the 2020 redistricting data. We are also continuing to identify support documentation and tools that may assist some data user in the use of these files. They will be added to the website as they are developed. I encourage you, your staff, or your legislative support team to review the prototype in preparation for the August publication of the 2020 Census P.L. 94-171 Legacy Format Summary Files.

Sincerely,



James Whitehorne

Chief, Census Redistricting & Voting Rights Data Office

From: "Chris Pittman (Policy Advisor, Speaker Moore's Office)"
<EXCHANGELABS/EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP
(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/RECIPIENTS/93E0782BECCB4439AC8E137012C178D
B-CHRIS PITTM>
Sent: 11/30/2021 1:57:23 PM -0500
To: Paul Andrews <Paul.Andrews@ncleg.gov>
CC: Raleigh Myers <Raleigh.Myers@ncleg.gov>; Justin Flint
<Justin.Flint@ncleg.gov>; "Trafton Dinwiddie (Speaker Moore's Office)"
<Trafton.Dinwiddie@ncleg.gov>; "Cory Bryson (Policy Advisor, Speaker Moore's
Office)" <Cory.Bryson@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: RE: Maps ready for pickup

Hey all –

Thanks a ton for your help with the other maps. Speaker Moore has asked if we could get 3 copies of the whole county map of Rutherford with the house districts, and 3 copies of the whole county map of Cleveland with the districts? Would it be possible to do this today?

Thanks again for your help.

Best,

Chris

From: Paul Andrews <Paul.Andrews@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 11:00 AM
To: Chris Pittman (Policy Advisor, Speaker Moore's Office)
<Christopher.Pittman@ncleg.gov>
Cc: Raleigh Myers <Raleigh.Myers@ncleg.gov>; Justin Flint
<Justin.Flint@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Maps ready for pickup

Hi Chris,

The 13 maps that Speaker Moore ordered are ready for pickup in LOB Room 213B. If I am not in my office, either Raleigh or Justin can also get them for you.

Thanks very much.

Paul R. Andrews

GIS Specialist

NC General Assembly

(919) 301-1364

paul.andrews@ncleg.gov

From: Paul Andrews <Paul.Andrews@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 11/30/2021 10:59:52 AM -0500
To: "Chris Pittman (Policy Advisor, Speaker Moore's Office)"
<Christopher.Pittman@ncleg.gov>
CC: Raleigh Myers <Raleigh.Myers@ncleg.gov>; Justin Flint
<Justin.Flint@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Maps ready for pickup

Hi Chris,

The 13 maps that Speaker Moore ordered are ready for pickup in LOB Room 213B. If I am not in my office, either Raleigh or Justin can also get them for you.

Thanks very much.

Paul R. Andrews

GIS Specialist

NC General Assembly

(919) 301-1364

paul.andrews@ncleg.gov

From: Raleigh Myers <Raleigh.Myers@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 11/30/2021 2:03:23 PM -0500
To: "Chris Pittman (Policy Advisor, Speaker Moore's Office)" <Christopher.Pittman@ncleg.gov>; Paul Andrews <Paul.Andrews@ncleg.gov>
CC: Justin Flint <Justin.Flint@ncleg.gov>; "Trafton Dinwiddie (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Trafton.Dinwiddie@ncleg.gov>; "Cory Bryson (Policy Advisor, Speaker Moore's Office)" <Cory.Bryson@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: RE: Maps ready for pickup

Chris,

We may be able to produce these two maps today, but I'll stop short of guaranteeing it. We'll give it a try!

Raleigh

From: Chris Pittman (Policy Advisor, Speaker Moore's Office) <Christopher.Pittman@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 1:57 PM
To: Paul Andrews <Paul.Andrews@ncleg.gov>
Cc: Raleigh Myers <Raleigh.Myers@ncleg.gov>; Justin Flint <Justin.Flint@ncleg.gov>; Trafton Dinwiddie (Speaker Moore's Office) <Trafton.Dinwiddie@ncleg.gov>; Cory Bryson (Policy Advisor, Speaker Moore's Office) <Cory.Bryson@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: Maps ready for pickup

Hey all -

Thanks a ton for your help with the other maps. Speaker Moore has asked if we could get 3 copies of the whole county map of Rutherford with the house districts, and 3 copies of the whole county map of Cleveland with the districts? Would it be possible to do this today?

Thanks again for your help.

Best,

Chris

From: Paul Andrews <Paul.Andrews@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 11:00 AM
To: Chris Pittman (Policy Advisor, Speaker Moore's Office)
<Christopher.Pittman@ncleg.gov>
Cc: Raleigh Myers <Raleigh.Myers@ncleg.gov>; Justin Flint
<Justin.Flint@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Maps ready for pickup

Hi Chris,

The 13 maps that Speaker Moore ordered are ready for pickup in LOB Room 213B. If I am not in my office, either Raleigh or Justin can also get them for you.

Thanks very much.

Paul R. Andrews

GIS Specialist

NC General Assembly

(919) 301-1364

paul.andrews@ncleg.gov

From: Raleigh Myers <Raleigh.Myers@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 11/30/2021 4:01:21 PM -0500
To: "Chris Pittman (Policy Advisor, Speaker Moore's Office)" <Christopher.Pittman@ncleg.gov>; Paul Andrews <Paul.Andrews@ncleg.gov>
CC: Justin Flint <Justin.Flint@ncleg.gov>; "Trafton Dinwiddie (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Trafton.Dinwiddie@ncleg.gov>; "Cory Bryson (Policy Advisor, Speaker Moore's Office)" <Cory.Bryson@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: RE: Maps ready for pickup

The maps are printed.

--Raleigh Myers

From: Chris Pittman (Policy Advisor, Speaker Moore's Office) <Christopher.Pittman@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 1:57 PM
To: Paul Andrews <Paul.Andrews@ncleg.gov>
Cc: Raleigh Myers <Raleigh.Myers@ncleg.gov>; Justin Flint <Justin.Flint@ncleg.gov>; Trafton Dinwiddie (Speaker Moore's Office) <Trafton.Dinwiddie@ncleg.gov>; Cory Bryson (Policy Advisor, Speaker Moore's Office) <Cory.Bryson@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: Maps ready for pickup

Hey all –

Thanks a ton for your help with the other maps. Speaker Moore has asked if we could get 3 copies of the whole county map of Rutherford with the house districts, and 3 copies of the whole county map of Cleveland with the districts? Would it be possible to do this today?

Thanks again for your help.

Best,

Chris

From: Paul Andrews <Paul.Andrews@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 11:00 AM

To: Chris Pittman (Policy Advisor, Speaker Moore's Office)
<Christopher.Pittman@ncleg.gov>
Cc: Raleigh Myers <Raleigh.Myers@ncleg.gov>; Justin Flint
<Justin.Flint@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Maps ready for pickup

Hi Chris,

The 13 maps that Speaker Moore ordered are ready for pickup in LOB Room 213B. If I am not in my office, either Raleigh or Justin can also get them for you.

Thanks very much.

Paul R. Andrews

GIS Specialist

NC General Assembly

(919) 301-1364

paul.andrews@ncleg.gov

From: Raleigh Myers <Raleigh.Myers@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 11/30/2021 4:10:15 PM -0500
To: "Chris Pittman (Policy Advisor, Speaker Moore's Office)"
<Christopher.Pittman@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: RE: Maps ready for pickup

Chris,

If you notice anything isn't right with the maps or need anything else, please don't hesitate to let me know.

Raleigh Myers

From: Chris Pittman (Policy Advisor, Speaker Moore's Office)
<Christopher.Pittman@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 1:57 PM
To: Paul Andrews <Paul.Andrews@ncleg.gov>
Cc: Raleigh Myers <Raleigh.Myers@ncleg.gov>; Justin Flint
<Justin.Flint@ncleg.gov>; Trafton Dinwiddie (Speaker Moore's Office)
<Trafton.Dinwiddie@ncleg.gov>; Cory Bryson (Policy Advisor, Speaker Moore's
Office) <Cory.Bryson@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: Maps ready for pickup

Hey all –

Thanks a ton for your help with the other maps. Speaker Moore has asked if we could get 3 copies of the whole county map of Rutherford with the house districts, and 3 copies of the whole county map of Cleveland with the districts? Would it be possible to do this today?

Thanks again for your help.

Best,

Chris

From: Paul Andrews <Paul.Andrews@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 11:00 AM
To: Chris Pittman (Policy Advisor, Speaker Moore's Office)

<Christopher.Pittman@ncleg.gov>

Cc: Raleigh Myers <Raleigh.Myers@ncleg.gov>; Justin Flint

<Justin.Flint@ncleg.gov>

Subject: Maps ready for pickup

Hi Chris,

The 13 maps that Speaker Moore ordered are ready for pickup in LOB Room 213B. If I am not in my office, either Raleigh or Justin can also get them for you.

Thanks very much.

Paul R. Andrews

GIS Specialist

NC General Assembly

(919) 301-1364

paul.andrews@ncleg.gov

From: Paul Coble <Paul.Coble@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 7/8/2021 9:26:42 AM -0400
To: "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>
Subject: FW: FW: Redistricting Data Program Feedback Opportunity (Geographic Operations) - NCSL Salt Lake City Redistricting Seminar

[Do you have a member attending this?](#)

From: DIR Redistricting Data Office (CENSUS/DIR) <dir.redistricting.data.office@census.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 07, 2021 1:01 PM
To: DIR Redistricting Data Office (CENSUS/DIR) <dir.redistricting.data.office@census.gov>
Cc: CRVRDO FTE List <crrvdo.fte.list@census.gov>
Subject: Redistricting Data Program Feedback Opportunity (Geographic Operations) - NCSL Salt Lake City Redistricting Seminar

Good afternoon official and technical redistricting data program liaisons,

For those of you who are attending the National Conference of State Legislatures' Redistricting Seminar in Salt Lake City next week, we will be conducting a feedback session regarding the geographic portion of the 2020 Census Redistricting Data Program (RDP). There will be other opportunities for feedback, but we wanted to make sure that those of you attending this conference know that this session is occurring.

Wednesday July 14 - 3:45-5 p.m.: Option B: Census Redistricting Data Program Evaluation | Primary Topic: "Geography"

In this session, census redistricting program liaisons and other users of census redistricting geographic data are invited to come talk through the strengths and weaknesses of the already-completed geographic definition and delivery of geographic materials from the 2020 census. Feedback on the 2020 redistricting data program's geographic operations will be used in the formulation of the 2030 census redistricting data program. Feedback on other aspects of the program is welcome, too.

We look forward to hearing from you at this session or in the future as we begin to assess the 2020 Redistricting Data Program and begin our planning for the 2030 Census RDP. We will be holding a similar session regarding the redistricting data itself at the NCSL meeting in

Tampa Florida in early November.

Best Regards

James

James Whitehorne, Chief

Redistricting & Voting Rights Data Office/ADDC/HQ

U.S. Census Bureau

O: 301-763-4039 | M: 202-263-9144

[census.gov](https://www.census.gov) | [@uscensusbureau](https://twitter.com/uscensusbureau)

Appointment Title:	Joint Redistricting
Organizer:	Sam Hayes (Speaker Moore's Office)
Location:	544 LOB
Start Time:	8/10/2021 8:30:00 AM -0400
End Time:	8/10/2021 10:30:00 AM -0400
Reminder Time:	N/A
Reminder Set:	false
Duration:	2 hours
Is Recurring:	false
Reccurance Type:	Not
Reccurance Pattern:	
Response Status:	0
Busy Status:	Busy

Appointment Title:	Joint Redistricting
Organizer:	Sam Hayes (Speaker Moore's Office)
Location:	544 LOB
Start Time:	8/9/2021 3:30:00 PM -0400
End Time:	8/9/2021 4:30:00 PM -0400
Reminder Time:	N/A
Reminder Set:	false
Duration:	1 hours
Is Recurring:	false
Reccurance Type:	Not
Reccurance Pattern:	
Response Status:	0
Busy Status:	Busy

Appointment Title:	Joint Redistricting
Organizer:	Sam Hayes (Speaker Moore's Office)
Location:	643 LOB
Start Time:	8/18/2021 9:00:00 AM -0400
End Time:	8/18/2021 10:00:00 AM -0400
Reminder Time:	N/A
Reminder Set:	false
Duration:	1 hours
Is Recurring:	false
Reccurance Type:	Not
Reccurance Pattern:	
Response Status:	0
Busy Status:	Busy

Appointment Title: Joint Redistricting
Organizer: Sam Hayes (Speaker Moore's Office)
Location: 544 LOB
Start Time: 8/12/2021 8:30:00 AM -0400
End Time: 8/12/2021 10:30:00 AM -0400
Reminder Time: N/A
Reminder Set: false
Duration: 2 hours
Is Recurring: false
Reccurance Type: Not
Reccurance Pattern:
Response Status: 0
Busy Status: Busy

Appointment Title: House Redistricting
Organizer: Sam Hayes (Speaker Moore's Office)
Location: 643 LOB
Start Time: 10/5/2021 2:00:00 PM -0400
End Time: 10/5/2021 3:00:00 PM -0400
Reminder Time: N/A
Reminder Set: false
Duration: 1 hours
Is Recurring: false
Reccurance Type: Not
Reccurance Pattern:
Response Status: 0
Busy Status: Busy

Appointment Title:	Redistricting Committee
Organizer:	Sam Hayes (Speaker Moore's Office)
Location:	643 LOB
Start Time:	11/3/2021 3:00:00 PM -0400
End Time:	11/3/2021 4:00:00 PM -0400
Reminder Time:	N/A
Reminder Set:	false
Duration:	1 hours
Is Recurring:	false
Reccurance Type:	Not
Reccurance Pattern:	
Response Status:	0
Busy Status:	Busy

Appointment Title: Joint Redistricting
Organizer: Sam Hayes (Speaker Moore's Office)
Location: 544 LOB
Start Time: 8/5/2021 2:00:00 PM -0400
End Time: 8/5/2021 3:00:00 PM -0400
Reminder Time: N/A
Reminder Set: false
Duration: 1 hours
Is Recurring: false
Reccurance Pattern:
Response Status: 1
Busy Status: Busy

From: Sen. Ben Clark <Ben.Clark@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 8/10/2021 3:02:20 PM -0400
To: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)" <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>;
HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>;
SEN - Senate Redistricting & Elections <SEN-SenateRedistrictingElections@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: RE: Audio files of prior meetings posted

Erika,

When will receive a copy of redistricting comments that have been posted to the portal as of the close of business today?

Ben Clark, Senator

NC Senate District 21

NCGA Legislative Building: Rm 1117

Office Phone: (919) 733-9349

From: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2021 2:58 PM
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>; SEN - Senate Redistricting & Elections <SEN-SenateRedistrictingElections@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Audio files of prior meetings posted

Members,

Below are is the link to the audio files from the House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee. As meetings occur, the audio files will be uploaded and made available to the public on the committee pages for each of the two standing committees. Video recordings of the meetings will also be posted, however those may take up to a day due to the process to record and store those files for the record.

<https://www.ncleg.gov/Documents/493#Audio>

Please let me know if there are any questions.

Erika C

Erika Churchill

Staff Attorney, Legislative Analysis Division

North Carolina General Assembly

300 N. Salisbury St, Suite 500B

Raleigh, NC 27603

(919)733-2578

From: Sen. Ben Clark <Ben.Clark@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 8/10/2021 3:32:14 PM -0400
To: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)" <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>; HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>; SEN - Senate Redistricting & Elections <SEN-SenateRedistrictingElections@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: RE: Audio files of prior meetings posted

Erika,

Have the committee chairs made a decision regarding my request for a postponement of Thursday's committee meeting so that members will have the opportunity to review in earnest the inputs provided by our citizens who chose to provide comments to the criteria?

Given that legislative staff will not likely have the legislative systems ready for members to actually begin the process of drawing maps until September 2, 2021(which is three weeks after the receipt of the census redistricting data) there is plenty of time for us to take a couple of extra days to review the inputs and vote on criteria.

Ben Clark, Senator

NC Senate District 21

NCGA Legislative Building: Rm 1117

Office Phone: (919) 733-9349

From: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2021 3:09 PM
To: Sen. Ben Clark <Ben.Clark@ncleg.gov>; HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>; SEN - Senate Redistricting & Elections <SEN-SenateRedistrictingElections@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: Audio files of prior meetings posted

Senator Clark,

You must be a mind reader – you knew exactly what was coming next!

Attached please find the public comments to date, through 3:00 pm today. A report will be sent as of 3:00 pm tomorrow and Thursday, then each week on Thursday afternoon.

Thanks,

Erika C

From: Sen. Ben Clark <Ben.Clark@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2021 3:02 PM
To: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>; HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>; SEN - Senate Redistricting & Elections <SEN-SenateRedistrictingElections@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: Audio files of prior meetings posted

Erika,

When will receive a copy of redistricting comments that have been posted to the portal as of the close of business today?

Ben Clark, Senator

[NC Senate District 21](#)

NCGA Legislative Building: Rm 1117

Office Phone: (919) 733-9349

From: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2021 2:58 PM
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>; SEN - Senate Redistricting & Elections <SEN-SenateRedistrictingElections@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Audio files of prior meetings posted

Members,

Below are is the link to the audio files from the House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee. As meetings occur, the audio files will be uploaded and made available to the public on the committee pages for each of the two standing committees. Video recordings of the meetings will also be posted, however those may take up to a day due to the process to record and store those files for the record.

<https://www.ncleg.gov/Documents/493#Audio>

Please let me know if there are any questions.

Erika C

Erika Churchill
Staff Attorney, Legislative Analysis Division
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury St, Suite 500B
Raleigh, NC 27603
(919)733-2578

From: Paul Coble <Paul.Coble@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 8/12/2021 1:54:11 PM -0400
To: Raleigh Myers <Raleigh.Myers@ncleg.gov>; "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; "Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)" <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Subject: FW: FW: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files in Legacy Format now available on the Census Bureau's FTP website

From: DIR Redistricting Data Office (CENSUS/DIR) <dir.redistricting.data.office@census.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 12, 2021 1:21 PM
To: DIR Redistricting Data Office (CENSUS/DIR) <dir.redistricting.data.office@census.gov>
Subject: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files in Legacy Format now available on the Census Bureau's FTP website

On behalf of the U.S. Census Bureau Acting Director, Dr. Ron Jarmin, I am writing this email to inform you that the 2020 Census State Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files in the Legacy Format are now available for download on the Census Bureau's File Transfer Protocol (FTP) website. You can access the files directly via the following link: https://www2.census.gov/programs-surveys/decennial/2020/data/01-Redistricting_File--PL_94-171/.

As a reminder, the Census Bureau has produced and released redistricting data in the legacy format for at least the past two decennial census cycles (2000 and 2010). The 2020 Census Legacy Format Summary Files:

- Contain identical data to the files we will deliver in September.
- Have been fully reviewed and subject to the same quality assurance processes.
- Require additional handling and software to properly extract the data of interest.
- Are comprised of four pipe-delimited text files, including a geoheader and three data segment files (featuring the six P.L. 94-171 tables).

We have published support materials, including header record fields and definitions, a Microsoft Access table shell, instructions on how to use the table shells, SAS and R data import scripts, and a video tutorial on how to import and query the data. You can now access the official data, the support materials, and the geographic support

products all from the same webpage. To access the data, click on the following link - [Decennial Census P.L. 94-171 Redistricting Data Summary Files](#) and scroll down to the "2020" tab."

We will provide the redistricting data on removable media (DVDs and flash drives) with easier-to-use data extraction tools to the official state recipients and release the data on the Census Data Explorer platform (data.census.gov) by September 30, 2021.

Please direct questions on the redistricting data files to the Census Redistricting and Voting Rights Data Office at 301-763-4039 or rdo@census.gov.

Sincerely,

James Whitehorne

Chief, Census Redistricting and Voting Rights Data Office

<http://www.census.gov/rdo>

Redistricting & Voting Rights Data Office/ADDC/HQ

U.S. Census Bureau

O: 301-763-4039

[@uscensusbureau](http://census.gov)

From: "Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton)"
<Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 8/12/2021 10:21:47 AM -0400
To: "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Fwd: Alternative amendment - post map drawing policy
Attachments: Post Map Drawing Policy.Amendmentv2.Marcus.pdf

André Béliveau
Sent from my iPhone

From: Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton) <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 12, 2021 10:13 AM
To: Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office); Brent Woodcox; Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office); Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office)
Subject: Fwd: Alternative amendment - post map drawing policy

André Béliveau
Sent from my iPhone

From: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 12, 2021 10:11 AM
To: Rep. Destin Hall; Sen. Paul Newton; Sen. Ralph Hise; Sen. Warren Daniel
Cc: Sen. Natasha Marcus; Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis); Hillary Woodard (Legislative Analysis); Sarah Pilon (Legislative Analysis)
Subject: Alternative amendment - post map drawing policy

Chairs,

Senator Marcus has authorized me to share the attached draft amendment with you.

Thanks,
Erika C

Erika Churchill
Staff Attorney, Legislative Analysis Division
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury St, Suite 500B
Raleigh, NC 27603
(919)733-2578

Joint Meeting of Committees

August 12, 2021

House Committee on Redistricting
Senate Committee on Redistricting and Elections

Offered by:
Senator Marcus

Pass: _____

Fail: _____

Amendment to Proposed Criteria

Post Map Drawing Policy. Partisan data and election results shall be used only for the purpose of analyzing proposed maps to confirm whether the map disproportionately advantages a candidate or political party.

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)"
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 8/12/2021 2:47:52 PM -0400
To: Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton
<Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise
<Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel
<Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office)"
<Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; "Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's
Office)" <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox
<Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; "Joshua Yost (President Pro
Tem's Office)" <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; "Dylan Reel (Rep.
Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; "Neal Inman (Speaker
Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; "Andrew Stiffel (Sen.
Paul Newton)" <Andrew.Stiffel@ncleg.gov>; "Andy Perrigo (Sen.
Warren Daniel)" <Andy.Perrigo@ncleg.gov>; "Chandra C. Reed
(Rep. Destin Hall)" <Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>; "Jessica
Sammons (Legislative Analysis)"
<Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>; "Hillary Woodard (Legislative
Analysis)" <Hillary.Woodard@ncleg.gov>; "Sarah Pilon
(Legislative Analysis)" <Sarah.Pilon@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Engrossed Adopted Criteria
Attachments: Criteria.adopted.8.12.pdf

Chairs,

Attached please find the engrossed criteria adopted by both the House Redistricting Committee and the Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee earlier today.

Please let us know if there are any questions or concerns,

Erika C

Erika Churchill

Staff Attorney, Legislative Analysis Division

North Carolina General Assembly

300 N. Salisbury St, Suite 500B

Raleigh, NC 27603

(919)733-2578

Joint Meeting of Committees

August 12, 2021

House Committee on Redistricting
Senate Committee on Redistricting and Elections

Criteria Adopted by the Committees

- **Equal Population.** The Committees will use the 2020 federal decennial census data as the sole basis of population for the establishment of districts in the 2021 Congressional, House, and Senate plans. The number of persons in each legislative district shall be within plus or minus 5% of the ideal district population, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census. The number of persons in each congressional district shall be as nearly as equal as practicable, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census.
- **Contiguity.** No point contiguity shall be permitted in any 2021 Congressional, House, and Senate plan. Congressional, House, and Senate districts shall be comprised of contiguous territory. Contiguity by water is sufficient.
- **Counties, Groupings, and Traversals.** The Committees shall draw legislative districts within county groupings as required by *Stephenson v. Bartlett*, 355 N.C. 354, 562 S.E.2d 377 (2002) (*Stephenson I*), *Stephenson v. Bartlett*, 357 N.C. 301, 582 S.E.2d 247 (2003) (*Stephenson II*), *Dickson v. Rucho*, 367 N.C. 542, 766 S.E.2d 238 (2014) (*Dickson I*) and *Dickson v. Rucho*, 368 N.C. 481, 781 S.E. 2d 460 (2015) (*Dickson II*). Within county groupings, county lines shall not be traversed except as authorized by *Stephenson I*, *Stephenson II*, *Dickson I*, and *Dickson II*.

Division of counties in the 2021 Congressional plan shall only be made for reasons of equalizing population and consideration of double bunking. If a county is of sufficient population size to contain an entire congressional district within the county's boundaries, the Committees shall construct a district entirely within that county.

- **Racial Data.** Data identifying the race of individuals or voters *shall not* be used in the construction or consideration of districts in the 2021 Congressional, House, and Senate plans. The Committees will draw districts that comply with the Voting Rights Act.
- **VTDs.** Voting districts ("VTDs") should be split only when necessary.
- **Compactness.** The Committees shall make reasonable efforts to draw legislative districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans that are compact. In doing so, the Committee may use as a guide the minimum Reock ("dispersion") and Polsby-Popper ("perimeter") scores identified by Richard H. Pildes and Richard G. Neimi in *Expressive Harms, "Bizarre Districts," and Voting Rights: Evaluating Election-District Appearances After Shaw v. Reno*, 92 Mich. L. Rev. 483 (1993).
- **Municipal Boundaries.** The Committees may consider municipal boundaries when drawing districts in the 2021 Congressional, House, and Senate plans.

Joint Meeting of Committees

August 12, 2021

House Committee on Redistricting

Senate Committee on Redistricting and Elections

- **Election Data.** Partisan considerations and election results data *shall not* be used in the drawing of districts in the 2021 Congressional, House, and Senate plans.
- **Member Residence.** Member residence may be considered in the formation of legislative and congressional districts.
- **Community Consideration.** So long as a plan complies with the foregoing criteria, local knowledge of the character of communities and connections between communities may be considered in the formation of legislative and congressional districts.

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)" <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 8/10/2021 3:40:46 PM -0400
To: Sen. Ben Clark <Ben.Clark@ncleg.gov>; HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>; SEN - Senate Redistricting & Elections <SEN-SenateRedistrictingElections@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: RE: Audio files of prior meetings posted

Senator Clark,

The date and time of the next meeting is a question for the chairs. We stand ready to assist you, whenever the meeting regarding criteria occurs.

Thanks,
Erika C

From: Sen. Ben Clark <Ben.Clark@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2021 3:32 PM
To: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>; HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>; SEN - Senate Redistricting & Elections <SEN-SenateRedistrictingElections@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: Audio files of prior meetings posted

Erika,

Have the committee chairs made a decision regarding my request for a postponement of Thursday's committee meeting so that members will have the opportunity to review in earnest the inputs provided by our citizens who chose to provide comments to the criteria?

Given that legislative staff will not likely have the legislative systems ready for members to actually begin the process of drawing maps until September 2, 2021(which is three weeks after the receipt of the census redistricting data) there is plenty of time for us to take a couple of extra days to review the inputs and vote on criteria.

Ben Clark, Senator

NC Senate District 21

NCGA Legislative Building: Rm 1117

Office Phone: (919) 733-9349

From: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2021 3:09 PM
To: Sen. Ben Clark <Ben.Clark@ncleg.gov>; HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>; SEN - Senate Redistricting & Elections <SEN-SenateRedistrictingElections@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: Audio files of prior meetings posted

Senator Clark,

You must be a mind reader – you knew exactly what was coming next!

Attached please find the public comments to date, through 3:00 pm today. A report will be sent as of 3:00 pm tomorrow and Thursday, then each week on Thursday afternoon.

Thanks,

Erika C

From: Sen. Ben Clark <Ben.Clark@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2021 3:02 PM
To: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>; HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>; SEN - Senate Redistricting & Elections <SEN-SenateRedistrictingElections@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: Audio files of prior meetings posted

Erika,

When will receive a copy of redistricting comments that have been posted to the portal as of the close of business today?

Ben Clark, Senator

NC Senate District 21

NCGA Legislative Building: Rm 1117

Office Phone: (919) 733-9349

From: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2021 2:58 PM
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>; SEN - Senate Redistricting & Elections <SEN-SenateRedistrictingElections@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Audio files of prior meetings posted

Members,

Below are is the link to the audio files from the House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee. As meetings occur, the audio files will be uploaded and made available to the public on the committee pages for each of the two standing committees. Video recordings of the meetings will also be posted, however those may take up to a day due to the process to record and store those files for the record.

<https://www.ncleg.gov/Documents/493#Audio>

Please let me know if there are any questions.

Erika C

Erika Churchill

Staff Attorney, Legislative Analysis Division

North Carolina General Assembly

300 N. Salisbury St, Suite 500B

Raleigh, NC 27603

(919)733-2578

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)" <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 8/10/2021 2:57:53 PM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>;
SEN - Senate Redistricting & Elections <SEN-SenateRedistrictingElections@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Audio files of prior meetings posted

Members,

Below are is the link to the audio files from the House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee. As meetings occur, the audio files will be uploaded and made available to the public on the committee pages for each of the two standing committees. Video recordings of the meetings will also be posted, however those may take up to a day due to the process to record and store those files for the record.

<https://www.ncleg.gov/Documents/493#Audio>

Please let me know if there are any questions.

Erika C

Erika Churchill
Staff Attorney, Legislative Analysis Division
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury St, Suite 500B
Raleigh, NC 27603
(919)733-2578

From: "Andrew Stiffel (Sen. Paul Newton)" <Andrew.Stiffel@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 8/9/2021 2:55:00 PM -0400
To: SEN - Senate Redistricting & Elections <SEN-SenateRedistrictingElections@ncleg.gov>; HSE - House Select Redistricting <HSE-HouseSelectRedistricting@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Committee Document
Attachments: 2021 Joint Redistricting Committee Plan Proposed Criteria.pdf
Members of the Joint Redistricting Committee,

Attached is the 2021 Joint Redistricting Committee Proposed Criteria from the Joint Redistricting Committee Chairs.

Thanks,

Andrew Stiffel II

Legislative Assistant - Office of Senator Paul Newton
North Carolina Senate - 36th District
Office: 919-733-7223

2021 JOINT REDISTRICTING COMMITTEE PROPOSED CRITERIA

- Equal Population. The Committees will use the 2020 federal decennial census data as the sole basis of population for the establishment of districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans. The number of persons in each legislative district shall be within plus or minus 5 percent of the ideal district population, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census. The number of persons in each congressional district shall be as nearly as equal as practicable, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census.
- Contiguity. Legislative and congressional districts shall be comprised of contiguous territory. Contiguity by water is sufficient.
- Counties, Groupings and Traversals. The Committees shall draw legislative districts within county groupings as required by *Stephenson v. Bartlett*, 355 N.C. 354, 562 S.E. 2d 377 (2002) (*Stephenson I*), *Stephenson v. Bartlett*, 357 N.C. 301, 582 S.E.2d 247 (2003) (*Stephenson II*), *Dickson v. Rucho*, 367 N.C. 542, 766 S.E.2d 238 (2014) (*Dickson I*) and *Dickson v. Rucho*, 368 N.C. 481, 781 S.E.2d 460 (2015) (*Dickson II*). Within county groupings, county lines shall not be traversed except as authorized by *Stephenson I*, *Stephenson II*, *Dickson I*, and *Dickson II*.

Division of counties in the 2021 Congressional plan shall only be made for reasons of equalizing population and consideration of double bunking. If a county is of sufficient population size to contain an entire congressional district within the county's boundaries, the Committees shall construct a district entirely within that county.

- Racial Data. Data identifying the race of individuals or voters *shall not* be used in the construction or consideration of districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans.
- VTDs. Voting districts ("VTDs") should be split only when necessary.
- Compactness. The Committees shall make reasonable efforts to draw legislative districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans that are compact. In doing so, the Committee may use as a guide the minimum Reock ("dispersion") and Polsby-Popper ("perimeter") scores identified by Richard H. Pildes and Richard G. Neimi in *Expressive Harms, "Bizarre Districts," and Voting Rights: Evaluating Election-District Appearances After Shaw v. Reno*, 92 Mich. L. Rev. 483 (1993).
- Municipal Boundaries. The Committees may consider municipal boundaries when drawing districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans.
- Election Data. Partisan considerations and election results data *shall not* be used in the drawing of districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans.

- Member Residence. Member residence may be considered in the formation of legislative and congressional districts.
- Community Consideration. So long as a plan complies with the foregoing criteria, local knowledge of the character of communities and connections between communities may be considered in the formation of legislative and congressional districts.

From: "Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)"
<Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 8/17/2021 7:18:41 PM -0400
To: Rep. Pricey Harrison <Pricey.Harrison@ncleg.gov>; Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; "Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office)" <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; "Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; "Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)" <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>; "Hillary Woodard (Legislative Analysis)" <Hillary.Woodard@ncleg.gov>; "Sarah Pilon (Legislative Analysis)" <Sarah.Pilon@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Redistricting Proposals from Rep. Harrison
Attachments: Harrison.ProposedTimeline.v2.pdf;
Criteria.Harrison.Amendv6.pdf

Co-chairs,

Representative Harrison asked me to share the attached proposals with you regarding the redistricting process and schedule.

Thank you,

Jessica

Jessica Sammons

Staff Attorney, Legislative Analysis Division

NC General Assembly

Legislative Office Building

300 N. Salisbury Street, Suite 500

Raleigh, NC 27603-5925

(919) 733-2578

Joint Meeting of Committees
August 18, 2021
House Committee on Redistricting
Senate Committee on Redistricting and Elections

Offered by:
Representative Harrison

Pass: _____

Fail: _____

Proposed Redistricting Schedule

August 25: The Committees post a schedule for the first round of public hearings to be conducted throughout the State to the NCGA Redistricting webpage.

September 2: The legacy format data processed by General Assembly central staff is made available to the public on the NCGA Redistricting webpage.

September 8-15: The first round of public hearings are conducted throughout the State.

September 27: The Committees post a schedule for the second round of public hearings to be conducted throughout the State to the NCGA Redistricting webpage.

September 30: The initial draft maps are released on the NCGA Redistricting webpage.

October 4-13: The second round of public hearings are conducted throughout the State.

October 22: The final proposed maps are released on the NCGA Redistricting webpage, and the Committees notice the bills containing the proposed maps for a Committee hearing.

October 28: No later than this date, the Committee work on the bills containing the proposed maps is complete and the bills are reported out of the Committees.

Offered By: Representative Harrison

Signature: _____

Joint Meeting of Committees

August 18, 2021

House Committee on Redistricting
Senate Committee on Redistricting and Elections

Offered by:
Representative Harrison

Pass: _____

Fail: _____

Proposed Redistricting Process

1. **Start the Redistricting Process Immediately Upon Legacy Data Release.** The Committees should begin the redistricting process by utilizing the Legacy Format Summary File of P.L. 94-171 data. General Assembly central staff should start processing the legacy format data immediately upon release of that data by the U.S. Census Bureau.
2. **Provide Redistricting Information on the NCGA Website.** To facilitate public comment and participation, the General Assembly should maintain the existing redistricting webpage, clearly bookmarked from the home page of the NCGA website, containing all redistricting information in one location, including the following: meeting notices, livestream links, draft maps and any related data and information, and a public comment portal. This webpage should also include an up-to-date posting of the public comments received via the public comment portal.
3. **Permit Written and Oral Public Comment.** The Committees should ensure all North Carolinians have an opportunity to provide public comment to the members of the Committees regarding redistricting. The Committees should receive public comment in accordance with the following:
 - a. Through a public comment portal, email, and the U.S. Postal Service. Information about how North Carolinians can submit public input should be provided contemporaneously with any Redistricting committee meeting notices.
 - b. Before any draft maps are drawn and before final proposed maps are voted on by the Committees.
4. **Ensure Quality Video and Audio Broadcast in Public Meetings.** The Committees should strive to ensure that video and audio of Committee meetings related to map drawing are timestamped and of a quality such that the public can view relevant details of the proposed maps and hear relevant discussion. Committee notices should include a contact phone number for those observing the process to report technical issues. The Committees should halt map drawing until any technical issues that prevent public observation are resolved.
5. **Hold Accessible Public Hearings Throughout the State.** The Committees should provide live in-person hearings in areas throughout the State for community members to provide live testimony. In scheduling the public hearings, the Committees should comply with the following:
 - a. The Committees should conduct at least thirteen hearings, accounting for one from each of the 2019 Congressional districts. Consideration should be given to locations and facilities that are accessible by public transport and to those with disabilities.
 - b. The Committees should provide remote options for viewing public hearings and for providing public comment where it is technologically feasible to do so.
 - c. The Committees should endeavor to post a full schedule of public hearings at the beginning of the redistricting process, and in any event provide at least two weeks' notice of any public hearing on redistricting. Public hearings should not be scheduled during or near public holidays, such as Labor Day.

Joint Meeting of Committees

August 18, 2021

House Committee on Redistricting
Senate Committee on Redistricting and Elections

6. **Disclose All Third Parties Involved in Redistricting.** The Committees should immediately disclose all consultants and counsel to members and committees of either house of the General Assembly who are paid by State funds who will be participating in the redistricting process. Such disclosure should occur within 24 hours of adoption of this criteria or engagement, whichever occurs first.
7. **Committee Consideration of Maps.** The Committees should consider only maps that comply with all of the following:
 - a. Any criteria, systems, or data used in developing the map was disclosed to the public in advance of its use in a manner that allows the public to have a reasonable and adequate opportunity to view the information.
 - b. The map was released online for public comment, and the public had adequate time to review the map and to submit public comment on the map before it is considered by the Committee or revised by the Committee.
 - c. The map was drawn in the public view, including a live-stream of the drawing.
 - d. Written documentation justifying the districts chosen was released online with the map for public viewing.
8. **Disclose Initial Draft Maps.** After receiving and incorporating public comment, draft maps should be released online for additional public comment within 30 days of when the Committees begin drawing maps.
9. **Submit Final Proposed Maps to the General Assembly.** The final proposed maps should be publicly released online no later than 21 days after the draft maps are released. The Committees should deliver the final proposed bill containing the map to the appropriate Chamber within 10 days of the release of the final proposed maps.

Offered By: Representative Harrison

Signature: _____

From: Rep. Pricey Harrison <Pricey.Harrison@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 8/17/2021 5:28:31 PM -0400
To: Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; "Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Fwd: Public participation/transparency proposal for Wednesday's meeting.
Attachments: Criteria.Harrison.Amendv4.pdf
Mr Chairs,

Attached is a proposal for public participation and transparency in the Redistricting process. I am sorry for the delay; I requested it early last week and it still needs a few minor tweaks, but thought I should send it off since the changes I have requested are minor (and in order to add to the productivity of tomorrow's meeting).

The proposal is based on the August 2, 2021 letter we received from the advocates, as well as commentary from the public.

Also, here is an actual calendar based on the proposal:

September 2 - Processed and tabulated data made available to the public

September 8 -15 / FIRST round of public hearings (Schedule announced by August 25)

September 30 - Disclose Initial Draft Maps

October 8-13 - SECOND round of public hearings (Schedule announced by Sept. 27)

October 18 - submit final proposed to the NCGA

October 28th approval

Thanks,

Pricey Harrison

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)" <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Date: August 17, 2021 at 10:14:54 AM EDT
To: pricey harrison <priceyharrison@me.com>, "Jessica Sammons (Legislative

Analysis)" <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>

Cc: "Rep. Pricey Harrison" <Pricey.Harrison@ncleg.gov>, "Mary Lee (Rep. Pricey Harrison)" <Mary.Lee@ncleg.gov>, "Todd Barlow (Rep. Robert Reives)" <Todd.Barlow@ncleg.gov>, Frederick Aikens <teddya1986@gmail.com>

Subject: RE: Public participation/transparency proposal for Wednesday's meeting.

□

Representative Harrison,

Here's where our brains went trying to convert the letter to a proposed process for the Committees' consideration. We opted to remove the specific calendar dates in 2021, so that it is a little easier to convert to other things in the future. And, to make it easier to discuss. We're happy to develop a 2021 calendar, based on the timing of this proposal, if that is something you'd like to attach to the proposal.

It's still be edited by us, so if you see typos, we'd love a heads up! And, if our brains went a different direction of where you'd like to be, please yell out and we'll correct course.

E

From: pricey harrison <priceyharrison@me.com>

Sent: Monday, August 16, 2021 3:04 PM

To: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>; Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis) <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>

Cc: Rep. Pricey Harrison <Pricey.Harrison@ncleg.gov>; Mary Lee (Rep. Pricey Harrison) <Mary.Lee@ncleg.gov>; Todd Barlow (Rep. Robert Reives) <Todd.Barlow@ncleg.gov>; Frederick Aikens <teddya1986@gmail.com>

Subject: Public participation/transparency proposal for Wednesday's meeting.

Hey folks

I have tried to distill the recommendations from the August 2 advocates' letter about public participation and transparency. Hopefully you all can help me turn it into something presentable? Erika, I know you mentioned that there might be issues with livestreaming, but I cannot recall what they are.

Thanks

Pricey

Timing:

*Release processed block data for community use by Sept 2 (letter calls for August 21, but I am adding 3 weeks to the 8/12 release that Chair Hall expected you will need). Make this Legacy Format processed data available to Map users within 5 business days of its release. The NCGA should do the same and make processed and tabulated data available to the public on a state government redistricting website within the same timeline.

*Disclose Initial Draft maps by Sept 23 (?)/Sept 29 (?) for public input. Still finalizing that proposed date.

*Submit final proposed maps to House and Senate by 10/14 (3 weeks after 9/23) or 10/20 (3 weeks after 9/29), and the Legislature should vote on them within 10 days to give adequate time for potential candidates to familiarize themselves with the new district and allow time for any challenges to be adjudicated.

Providing genuine and meaningful Opportunities for Public Comment

- Establish a simple and easily accessible state government website that includes all redistricting information in one location, including meeting notices and livestream links, draft maps and related data and information, and a public comment portal. Make public comments available to the public (I understand there are security concerns, so maybe just include name of commenter and county?). Advocates suggest a simple authentication process such as a reCAPTCHA checkbox to prevent fraudulent activity.
- Hold at least 13 live in-person accessible public hearings across the State before and after the maps are drawn at convenient venues and times in major metropolitan areas and rural hubs. Facilities should be accessible by public transport and to those with disabilities. Every hearing should be live streamed and allow for real-time citizen participation for those unable to physically attend - especially in light of the on-going COVID virus spread. Advocates also suggest a contact for technical assistance.
- Public hearings should be held *before* draft maps are drawn to insure that community concerns and input can be taken into consideration
- Public hearings should be held *after* draft maps are released - providing adequate time for public review and comment
- Post full schedule of public hearings with no less than a two week notice before any hearing date.
- Provide procedures for receiving written input via a public comment portal, e mail, and USPS in addition to that conveyed orally during live hearings. Information about how North Carolinians can submit public comment should be provided contemporaneously with meeting notices.
-

Ensure Transparency Throughout the Redistricting Process

(I recall Chair Hall indicated we could deal with this later as we get closer to actual map drawing but I think public participation and transparency are inextricably linked.)

*Disclose all criteria, systems, and data used in drawing the maps. Advocates suggest that such information be disclosed in advance of its use

*Perform all map drawing and revising in public view. Maps shall not be removed from the meeting room during the drawing or revising process except for secure storage. Final maps should be accompanied by a written justification for the district chosen

*Ensure quality video and audio broadcast in public meetings, and map drawing should pause until any technical issues are resolved.

*Disclose all third parties engaged in redistricting, all consultants, attorneys, or other third parties who will be participating in the redistricting process.

Joint Meeting of Committees

August ____, 2021

House Committee on Redistricting
Senate Committee on Redistricting and Elections

Offered by:
Representative Harrison

Pass: _____

Fail: _____

Proposed Redistricting Process

1. Start the Redistricting Process Immediately Upon Legacy Data Release. The Committees should begin the redistricting process by utilizing the Legacy Format Summary File of P.L. 94-171 data. General Assembly central staff should start processing the legacy format data immediately upon release of that data by the U.S. Census Bureau.
2. Provide Redistricting Information on the NCGA webpage. To facilitate public comment and participation, the General Assembly should establish a website that includes all redistricting information in one location, including the following: meeting notices, livestream links, draft maps and any related data and information, and a public comment portal.
3. Permit Written and Oral Public Comment. The Committees should ensure all North Carolinians have an opportunity to provide public comment to the members of the Committees regarding redistricting by providing for public input to be received in accordance with the following:
 - a. Through a public comment portal, email, and the U.S. Postal Service. Information about how North Carolinians can submit public input should be provided contemporaneously with any Redistricting committee meeting notices.
 - b. Before any draft maps are drawn and before final proposed maps are voted on by the Committees.
4. Ensure Quality Video and Audio Broadcast in Public Meetings. The Committees should strive to ensure that video and audio of Committee meetings related to map drawing are timestamped and of a quality such that the public can view relevant details of the proposed maps and hear relevant discussion. Committee notices should include a contact phone number for those observing the process to report technical issues. The Committees should halt map drawing until any technical issues that prevent public observation are resolved.
5. Hold Accessible Public Hearings Throughout the State. The Committees should provide live in-person hearings in areas throughout the State for community members to provide live testimony. In scheduling the public hearings, the Committees should comply with the following:
 - a. The Committees should conduct at least thirteen hearings, accounting for one from each of the 2019 Congressional districts. Consideration should be given to locations and facilities that are accessible by public transport and to those with disabilities.
 - b. The Committees should attempt to provide remote options for viewing public hearings and providing public comment.
 - c. The Committees should endeavor to post a full schedule of public hearings at the beginning of the redistricting process, and in any event provide at least two weeks' notice of any public hearing on redistricting. Public hearings should not be scheduled during or near public holidays, such as Labor Day.

Joint Meeting of Committees

August ____, 2021

House Committee on Redistricting
Senate Committee on Redistricting and Elections

6. Disclose All Third Parties Involved in Redistricting. The Committees should immediately disclose all consultants and counsel to members and committees of either house of the General Assembly who are paid by State funds who will be participating in the redistricting process. Such disclosure should occur within 24 hours of adoption of this criteria or engagement, whichever occurs first.
7. Committee Consideration of Maps. The Committees should consider only maps that comply with all of the following:
 - a. Any criteria, systems, or data used in developing the map was disclosed to the public in advance of its use in a manner that allows the public to have a reasonable and adequate opportunity to view the information.
 - b. The map was released online for public comment, and the public had adequate time to review the map and submit public comment on the map before it is considered by the Committee or revised by the Committee.
 - c. The map was drawn in the public view, including a live-stream of the drawing.
 - d. Written documentation justifying the districts chosen was released online with the map for public viewing.
8. Disclose Initial Draft Maps. After receiving and incorporating public comment, draft maps should be released online for additional public comment within 30 days of when the Committees begin drawing maps.
9. Submit Final Proposed Maps to the General Assembly. The final proposed maps should be publicly released online no later than 21 days after the draft maps are released. The Committees should deliver the final proposed bill containing the map to the appropriate Chamber within 10 days of the release of the final proposed maps.

Offered By: Representative Harrison

Signature: _____

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)"
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 8/19/2021 5:29:39 PM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>; SEN - Senate Redistricting & Elections <SEN-SenateRedistrictingElections@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Public Comments, week of 8/12/21-8/19/21
Attachments: Public Comment 8.19.21.pdf

Members,

Attached please the public comments submitted during the past week.

Thank you,

Erika C

Erika Churchill
Staff Attorney, Legislative Analysis Division
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury St, Suite 500B
Raleigh, NC 27603
(919)733-2578

2021 Redistricting Public Comments Public Comments Report - LINC

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/12/2021 03:44 PM	Mrs Laura BALLANCE	private citizen	The time has come to end gerrymandering. Redistricting should be managed by an independent commission, not whichever political party happens to be in power. Redistricting is a joke such as it currently occurs.
08/12/2021 04:30 PM	John Pinter		The redistricting process has been tainted for years by organizations that have unparalleled wealth and an agenda that disregards the public wellbeing, while favoring narrow self-serving political and economic agendas. A more diverse makeup of the commission, and a vastly more transparent discourse of participants' arguments, and of public input, will be essential to reaching for fair, balanced representation.
08/12/2021 07:22 PM	Ms. Christine Hale	citizen of North Carolina	Please take appropriate measures to ensure a fair and inclusive redistricting process. Do it right, do it once, and stop spending millions in legal fees defending inappropriate, biased redistricting. Appropriate measures include implementing a redistricting timeline that allows informed and intelligent public comment--if you want people to believe in the integrity of the election process, you must provide them meaningful ways to understand and contribute the design and maintenance of that process. Appropriate measures also include ensure transparency throughout the redistricting process because secrecy and shenanigans breed cynicism and contempt for governmental process and the rule of law. Disclose all criteria, systems, and data used in drawing maps. Perform all map drawing and revising in public view. Ensure quality video and audio broadcast in public meetings. And disclose all third parties engaged in redistricting. Thank you for your close attention to a fair, transparent, and effective redistricting process.
08/13/2021 01:06 AM	Douglas Chin		If partisan advantage will be considered as a drafting criterion, that criterion should be publicly declared as was Rep. Lewis did with the 2016 map.
08/13/2021 06:15 AM	Ms Angie Aurand		I believe in fair redistricting maps for both parties. Will of the people should choose our leaders not elected officials choose their voters.
08/13/2021 07:43 AM	Bob Thomason		I am happy to see that race, partisan data and past election results will not be used in redistricting since it clearly has been in the past. People don't vote because they have no faith in the process. Gerrymandered districts have been a major cause of this distrust. It's time to introduce fairness back into the process. Let the voters choose their leaders - not the other way around.
08/13/2021 08:45 AM	Mrs Virginia Hallet		We are a state known for our passion and loyalty to our sports teams. Surely the values of fair play that we expect from those who provide us with entertainment and leisure should also apply to how we protect something so grave and precious as the most basic element of our democracy-- the right to vote? Surely when I tell my elementary-aged children about the great blessing that we enjoy, to live in a democratic country where elections are not rigged pieces of theatre, where those in power cannot subject the people to their personal wills and whims, I should not have to qualify my pride and gratitude with the acknowledgement that our districting maps are shameless pieces of partisan manipulation? To the Republicans who currently enjoy a majority in our state legislature: if you can't win without cheating, then perhaps you need to go back to the board, and have a hard look at your game plan.
08/13/2021 09:02 AM	Robert Allen		As the NCGA begins the redistricting process in light of the 2020 census results, I strongly urge the GA to set up a non-partisan, independent commission to redraw the districts. Having the Republican-controlled GA will result in districts that favor Republicans and the winner of the primary essentially wins the actual Congressional election. The same would be true in those that are skewed Democrat. this whole not in also wold apply if the Democrats controlled. A fair and independent redistricting is necessary to avoid this likely outcome.
08/13/2021 09:15 AM	Mrs Violet Perry	Concerned Citizen	It is imperative that our district maps are drawn in such a way as to provide fair and balanced representation for each district. To do otherwise is immoral and does not serve the interests of the people. People over Power !
08/13/2021 09:28 AM	Christine Mayfield		Redistricting is a major task that will affect all North Carolinians for years to come. It is imperative that steps are taken to ensure equal access to voting for all citizens, paying special attention to communities who have had less access in the past. I urge you to reconsider the rule that says racial information cannot be used. Instead, use that information, along with genuine and accessible public meetings throughout the state and avenues for public comment, to ensure

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			that district lines will allow fair access to voting and representation. Also, please adhere to high standards of transparency at every step of the way. Our state, like others across the nation, faces unprecedented challenges. All citizens deserve the right to vote and the knowledge that their district representatives will represent them fairly. Thank you.
08/13/2021 09:30 AM	Ms Michele Seagraves		Redistricting should occur with transparency and ample notice for the public to comment and provide input. This should not occur behind closed doors. Contiguous territory within county groupings should be used; dividing cities, municipal boundaries and counties should not occur. The results of the 2020 Federal census should be taken into account and each legislative district being as equal in population as is possible. Election and racial data should NOT be used when drawing districts. The cornerstone of our democracy depends on fairness in districting and one person = one vote. Map drawing to favor one party or rural areas over cities (or the reverse) is not acceptable. Thank you
08/13/2021 09:56 AM	Mrs. Leigh Wilson		This process needs to be one of complete transparency, informing the public and allowing their input. Political gain must not be the goal and, frankly, is disgusting and a discredit to the whole process. Neighborhoods should not be split. Your constituents are watching. Keep it fair. Do it with full integrity and in the spirit of a free democracy.
08/13/2021 11:36 AM	Mr Bert Milburn	Retired	The constitution grants each state the ability to apportion the state population in to EQUAL representative districts, not politically advantageous, gerrymandered districts. I am appalled at North Carolina's recent past history of doing just this and now it seems you, our legislature, intends to do it again to retain power and control in an unfair and unintended, non-constitutional method. If our districts are not created in a compact, set of districts, with only actual population taken into consideration, it will be to the detriment of us all and gradually to those who steal authority with this method. For those of you I suspect use gerrymandering to stay in control I suggest to actually reform your party platform to reflect the will and needs of our citizens then you can hope to win a fair number of supporter to remain an elected representative, WITHOUT resorting to unfair and unconstitutional gerrymandering. There is no honor in cheating or bending the intent of or laws and constitutional intent. Yes, this has happened on both political sides over the years but never with the broad initiation of paid, known and unknown interest groups to grab and hold power while the actual populace has moved in another direction. Do the right thing and redistributing into compact, non-political, congressional districts, for the first time in many years and let our government represent us more accurately allowing politicians to represent our wishes NOT stack populations in political disingenuous districts. Does honor mean anything to you. It does to me and all of us and we can clearly see when someone avoids it such as in past redistrictings. Again, do the right thing, it's way past time. This decision reflects on your entire philosophy and we are watching.
08/13/2021 12:08 PM	Larry Pulley		In NC we need fairly drawn non-partisan voting districts. We're tired of seeing non competitive districts whereby Democratic leaning voters are crammed into as few districts as possible so Republicans can control more districts and thus the legislative agenda. Enough is enough. I certainly don't support districts drawn with the express intent of maintaining power in the hands of the few to the exclusion of the majority. It's time for the NCGA to have representation that reflects the diversity of our population and puts people over corporate profits.
08/13/2021 12:28 PM	Dr. John L. Godwin	the Masonboro area community of New Hanover	As a North Carolinian concerned with the environment, the impact of global climate change, and the maintenance of biological diversity in our forests, rivers and the oceans. And also as an American concerned with human rights for all, including African Americans, women, working class whites, and also religious and journalistic freedom, I protest against the outrageous use of gerrymandering by politically partisan far right groups in the North Carolina General Assembly. They have created arbitrary, partisan-compacted congressional and state legislative districts at many areas across the state, cutting communities apart, uprooting longstanding constituencies, and depriving natural communities made up of the people who live in North Carolina's towns, villages, cities and counties from the ability to further their own community interests and defend themselves from indiscriminate assaults on their liberties, their livelihood as well as the health and future of their offspring. These outrages in our recent history have created districts drawn both for partisan and exploitative purposes to empower an unreasoning wealth that is actually hostile to what is best for our communities, our county governments, as well as the state of North Carolina as a whole. The 11th congressional

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>district for example created a legislative monstrosity responsive to the interests only of the cash that has been used to finance the campaigns of those outsiders who bought their way into power in this state. N.C. House District 20 in New Hanover was clearly block cut and shifted for the maintenance of a corrupt incumbency that has scorned the environment and remained indifferent to the rights and liberties that are enshrined in our constitution and belong to the heritage of every true American. Yet these are only limited examples of that perfidy that has been brought about by the most irresponsible leadership we have seen yet in this state and elsewhere across the nation. Partisan gerrymandering is an outrageous wrong that has already wrought a terrible legacy of pollution, environmental degradation, and the numerous injustices and social inequities so visible in our time. Legislative districts both for state and national offices should be cut in a manner that enables the people who live in North Carolina's communities, its neighborhoods, villages, towns, cities and counties, to receive the fair and natural representation that would belong to them were they able to elect the leaders whom they know and trust. Real representatives do not contrive districts in order select the voters. They represent the voters who rightfully belong to the communities and the constituencies where they actually live. Thank you for considering my views.</p>
08/13/2021 03:23 PM	David Horney		<p>As of January 23, 2021, according to the State Board of Elections, voters registered as Democrats made up the largest share of overall registered voters in North Carolina with 35.3%. Unaffiliated voters (I am one) followed with a 33.3% share while Republicans' share was 30.7%. Please keep these numbers in mind as you draw lines and try to show some fairness to all voters in the Old North State. Thank you for your work in our legislature.</p>
08/13/2021 06:29 PM	Caroline Cave		<p>As a tax-paying resident, I have the right to have my voice heard through my vote. Draw the districts fairly this time, so that we don't end up back in court, embarrassed and shamed by the rest of the country, please.</p>
08/14/2021 08:10 AM	Mr. Bruce Samoville	Year	<p>Over this couuntry Republicans have used gerrmandering during this process. Do not change districts.</p>
08/14/2021 09:15 AM	No Gerrymandering Jenna Parks		<p>Your voters are watching and expect fair districts. Please be decent humans.</p>
08/14/2021 10:27 AM	Cynthia van Duin		<p>I want fair common sense redistributing maps. I don't want to look at the district map of NC and see art or a Rorschach test. Everyone here deserves representation that is real and votes that matter.</p>
08/14/2021 10:28 AM	Karen Meilleur		<p>It is an absolute shame how much manipulation conservatives have done in redrawing districts in the past. My hope is that younger politicians, less entangled with the corruption of the past, get involved and voted in.</p>
08/14/2021 10:28 AM	Karen Meilleur		<p>It is an absolute shame how much manipulation conservatives have done in redrawing districts in the past. My hope is that younger politicians, less entangled with the corruption of the past, get involved and voted in.</p>
08/14/2021 03:08 PM	Mr. Tom Murdock	retired	<p>Our goal must be to have every vote count equally. An outside agency with agreed upon rules is most likely to make that happen.</p>
08/14/2021 05:20 PM	James Schall		<p>Please do not create gerrymandered districts. Our overall representative percentage for the state should reflect the will of the voters not the will the of party in charge of redistricting.</p>
08/14/2021 07:12 PM	Dr. Melinda Pankratz		<p>In a fifty-fifty state we should have fifty-gusty representation. Draw fair maps.</p>
08/15/2021 09:47 AM	Ms Jo Nicholas	League of Women Voters of N.C.	<p>On behalf of the League of Women Voters of North Carolina we urge the commission to accept some of our points from the letter that was written with our fellow organizations. We need to have a transparent redistricting process with lots of public opportunities to view maps, share their comments and work with the commission. Maps need to be visible and meetings need to be public for everyone to be involved in the process. We look forward to working with you on this endeavor and making our maps fair and equitable for all the people in North Carolina.</p>
08/15/2021 01:06 PM	Ms. Jennifer Marshall	tax paying citizen.	<p>I expect fair play in elections and for far too long the new republican party has been more than satisfied with cheating and then having the gall to act like they really won. These less than ethical folks then go on to enact some of the most</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/15/2021 01:42 PM	Joshua Craddock		<p>harmful and worthless policies in the so called civilized world. All while acting entitled to be in their position or capable of any level of governance. Cases in point? Spraying actual pig crap on citizens of this State and acting like it's fertilizer and beneficial... gentlemen... it's raining pig crap. Our water (in pipes and out), our energy program which could be world renowned... the lithium for solar panels is in our freaking state??!!, Nonsense bathroom bills that make us a world class laughing stock, no transportation plans, no trains, no help for each other or the poor- still no Medicaid that would cost nothing and we're losing hospitals, 35th in education but no taxes on corporate entities. It's penny wise, pound foolish and quite frankly embarrassing. Outlandish buffoons who rig elections, get caught rigging and keep acting like winners... is there a word for that? George Orwell sums it up nicely in Animal Farm- and I don't want to live in a State so poor in Education that it's natural citizens can't be convinced the science is real and vaccines help. Death by stupid, selfish inconsideration on a biblical level is enough for me. I've called NC home for several decades. And I'm leaving. I will not have my property taxes, general taxes or income taxes go to a State this backwards. I don't expect folks with so much larceny in their hearts that they can feed at the public trough WHILE ACTIVELY HARMING THAT PUBLIC to understand ethics. But cheating is not winning no matter how you draw it up. Make the maps fair you chickens... but you already know you are neither moral nor the majority. I'd love to see politics become actual Statesmanship... or Statespersonship, whatever. The actual corruption that has passed for Fair And Free Elections and political processes in this State is just beyond supporting. Thanks for the advanced degree, I'll go earn and pay taxes somewhere more aligned with an advanced society instead of the fucktangle of crazed shit weasels our Legislature has become. It's shameful.</p> <p>Hello,</p> <p>I believe it would be a good idea if you allowed the public to submit Redistricting Maps. I believe this would help the public have more faith in the whole process of redistricting.</p> <p>Also, I would love to be able to be part of the process. I is a long life dream of mine to work in the government and politic. Unfortunately, because of life I was not able to afford school to go see this dream come true. If you have an question for me I can be reached at jacraddock88@gmail.com.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
08/15/2021 03:00 PM	Paul Baerman		<p>Voters choose their representatives, not the other way around.</p> <p>After North Carolina's history of contested congressional maps drawn to favor one political party over another, I would hope that this round goes differently. These new maps should be drawn to reflect the ACTUAL will of the people of North Carolina, not just whichever party happens to be in the majority at the time. Communities should not be divided nor their voting power diluted by gerrymandering. There are methodologies for redistricting available that make fair representation possible and the Legislature should adopt one of them.</p> <p>The process of re-districting should be open to the public with in-person hearings, or streamed online using something like ZOOM so the public can have input. The Legislature, for its part, must hear and respond to the input received at these meetings. Full transparency should be enhanced by having any and all meetings and discussions regarding redistricting open to the public.</p>
08/15/2021 05:49 PM	LaWana Mayfield	Black Political Caucus	<p>Please ensure Virtual Hearings are available for ALL residents & ensure the Voting Right Act is adhered to, this will help for a Fair & Equitable redrawing of the maps.</p>
08/16/2021 10:38 AM	Karen Juntilla		<p>I am so worried about gerrymandering. I am mad that our state has not adopted a neutral body to draw the redistricting lines. Other states have done it, so what is our state afraid of? Under the past republican leadership, districts have been drawn in a biased manner, which has been proven in the courts. Do what is FAIR and JUST.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/16/2021 11:23 AM	Dr. Marian Swinker		Please draw the districts to be as consistent with fairness and equity as possible to avoid subsequent judicial reviews and delays in implementation and voting. North Carolina need not be known as a national leader in Gerrymandering. If voting doesn't represent the voice of the people, it is not really a democracy.
08/16/2021 11:33 AM	Ms. Nancy Delia		I encourage the members to avoid political gerrymandering when redrawing district lines. It is wrong and unfair. I would like to think that the redistricting committee holds itself to higher standards.
08/16/2021 11:58 AM	Stephen Ginn	Retired	MY hope is that the committee will draw Fair and Just districts. with no jerryandering.
08/16/2021 12:50 PM	Doris Conner	Retired Federal	As a voter, member of AARP, NARFE, and NC Sheriffs Association I respectfully request you to end all gerrymandering.
08/16/2021 01:12 PM	Mrs. Nancy Hawley	Democratic Wi	Please honor our constitution and draw our districts so that all citizens are represented to the fullest extent possible. Our constitution and Bill Of Rights guarantees representation for all not just the wealthy and powerful with means. If we do not draw our districts to represent those that are marginalized why should we ever expect them to invest in our state and country. Taxation without representation was the catalyst that resulted in the founding of America. Have we not learned anything from our past mistakes or do we just no longer care about all of our citizens and believe in democracy? Your redistricting decisions will reflect your motives
08/16/2021 01:22 PM	Nancy Hawley	Democratic Women of Wilson County	If you dilute the power of the marginalized, you assure their lack of investment in our state and country. The promise of our country is that all our citizens have equal representation. You know how to do fairly. I nor anyone has to tell you how it should be done. I sincerely hope you have the courage and patriotism to do it as fairly as possible.
08/16/2021 01:38 PM	Dr. Kavita Wissmann		Please draw the districts so they do not favor a political party. The public is tired of gerrymandering by either party. The process should also involve public input which the legislature should not disregard. All map drawings, including related meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed. This process is important for our democracy and should be done correctly. Thank you.
08/16/2021 01:55 PM	Sarah Harris		Redistricting should be as transparent and non-partisan as possible. This should include a third party, unaffiliated group to draw the maps.
08/16/2021 01:58 PM	Marilee Spell Szczerbala		Gerrymandering must go. How can I trust a representative who supports such blatant manipulation? I can't.
08/16/2021 02:01 PM	Independent Redistricting Jesse Patton		It's common sense to use an independent non-partisan process for redistricting. To do otherwise is political corruption and a bastardization of the democratic foundations of the Country. I will vote against anyone who does not support Independent Redistricting.
08/16/2021 02:04 PM	Mrs Gail Farinella		Redistricting must be done by an independent panel, not by partisans picking their voters.
08/16/2021 02:04 PM	Senate for Lenoir County Arnold Smith		Who is the Senator for Lenoir County? And who can he be contacted.
08/16/2021 02:12 PM	Mrs. Nancy Winne		With NC history revealing blatant intentional gerrymandering, clearly intended to disadvantage certain populations and to favor specific partisan politics, it is imperative we have non partisan independent redistricting. The census and populace density must be major factors. Diversity within each district can help spread benefits to those with less power, influence, resources, and money. It will balance both tax burden and allocation of tax monies to effect more equitable opportunity. Stewardship of our resources and people encourage the benefits of community in a more equitable way.
08/16/2021 02:34 PM	Ronald Swanstrom	Private Citizen	Please end gerrymandering. The goal of redistricting cannot be to favor the election of one party over another. This is not democracy but rather corruption by those making the rules. Create voting districts where elections will be

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			contested so that issues are discussed and decided by all of the electorate. It is possible to create gerrymandered districts using all sorts of tools. Test proposed districts for voting outcomes and reject schemes that fail to maximize the number of closely contested districts. Give back our democracy. You were elected to lead, not corrupt the election process.
08/16/2021 02:42 PM	Ms. Diane Chadwell	Resident	I want the redistricting process to be done by an independent, nonpartisan group of responsible people who will only take into account the population.
08/16/2021 02:46 PM	Ms Patricia Hudson		I strongly feel that North Carolina needs an independent, non partisan and fair redistricting process which ensures that districts are competitive. We need voters selecting politicians. Redistricting backed by nonpartisan statistical analysis will encourage engagement by all voters and encourage moderation with both parties. Fair redistricting is essential for our democracy and for the success of North Carolina.
08/16/2021 03:15 PM	Mr William Blakefield		Let's have fair non-partisan redistricting for a change.
08/16/2021 03:16 PM	Ms Anne Horton		Redistricting should be done on a non-partisan basis. Every vote deserves the chance to have equal weight. Politicians should not be choosing their own voters and protecting incumbancy is anti-democratic. Allow a non-partisan board to draw district lines that will provide a real voice to all NC voters
08/16/2021 04:02 PM	Evelyn Maben-Hall	AMPameria.org	Please protect the rights of the people to have fair elections, by drawing fair and equitable redistricting maps.
08/16/2021 04:33 PM	Iliana Santillan	El Pueblo	My name is Iliana Santillan, I am the Executive Director for the nonprofit, El Pueblo. I live and work in Raleigh, NC. Through my organization, we envision a just society that values equity, dignity, and respect of all people and work closely with the Latinx community. Fair redistricting is important to me, as a community leader, because no matter our color, background or zip code, voters should pick their leaders, not the other way around. The redistricting process should give communities fair and accurate representation and the resources they need to thrive. As such, I believe that the criteria that should be implemented for the redistricting process must be equitable, transparent, and inclusive of community voices. To increase public input for the process moving forward, there must be more public comment periods, town halls, and open meetings to encourage and facilitate community participation in the process. Language access must also be a priority in this process and so communication materials should be made available in Spanish to the public and also the Spanish language press.
08/16/2021 05:26 PM	James Bradford		When politicians draw maps, it is highly unlikely they will consider what the citizens want, rather they only consider their political ambitions. They are voted in to enact the will of the people. The people should determine the map split.
08/16/2021 05:32 PM	Wanda Wynne		Please erase the past gerrymandering and draw the map with localized districts where the people in each district have common concerns.
08/16/2021 06:41 PM	Karen McGrew		I am a long time resident of North Carolina, and I request an end to gerrymandering of voting districts. Every resident should have a chance for their vote to count. Politicians should not be choosing whose votes count, the voters should be choosing the politicians. So draw the district lines fairly! The NC gerrymandered district maps were a national embarrassment. Enough!
08/16/2021 08:21 PM	Ann Vernon		Draw fair maps, quit wasting taxpayer money over poorly drawn maps. If a candidate can't win without gerrymandering they don't deserve a seat. Thank you to those members with integrity doing the right thing.
08/16/2021 09:48 PM	Amanda Riddle		Making this a nonpartisan issue with a no polo so group will be so much better for all in NC.
08/16/2021 11:42 PM	Mr. Donald Rumph	Citizen	To the GOP Members of the Redistricting Committee - I know that you are desperate to win the next few elections, but remember that we citizens who do not agree with either party doing Partisan Gerrymandering will not agree with you either. We may not be able to do anything about it now. But we will remember who was involved in this childish behavior, and it is childish. Like picking the tallest or strongest schoolmate to be on your team. You may be popular with your

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>fellow party members, but we out number you and our day will come. And if the Democrats try this same ploy, I will send them a very similar message. Here is an idea, why don't you try making the districts as even as you can and let us decide who wins or loses.</p> <p>Thanks and see you at the polls.</p>
08/17/2021 03:59 AM	Stop Gerrymandering Fabian Rogers		<p>Gerrymandering is wrong and you know that in your heart. It's cheating, and we can all agree that cheating is wrong. Things are changing quickly and that scares some who fear they will lose power or majority. Well, I say to you, God put you in that position to test you. The world is changing and will continue to change. Those who were last will be first and those who were first will be last. Exercise your power, but remember America is watching, your kids are watching. The world is watching and God above is watching.</p>
08/17/2021 07:35 AM	Marcy Halsted		<p>It is in the best interest of all North Carolinians that the districts be determined by an impartial and fair system and not by partisan efforts. It is time to do the right thing and set up an independent committee to remove the unfair system of gerrymandering.</p>
08/17/2021 08:59 AM	Mary Susan Sewell		<p>For me, what is most important about a public comment process is notice. Notice needs to be early, clear, and specific. Early because we don't all listen to the same radio station or watch the same news program anymore. It takes days for news to filter out to all the people interested. Even with your wonderful subscription email system, I must be checking my email all day and not accidentally skipping over your one email to me to get your notice if you don't give it time to be repeated by others. Having notice early allows for Rep Hawkins to tweet it, for political parties to include it in their emails, for news to cover it in different places. Notice early in the week gives it the whole week to circulate. Including a weekend gives people time to research (when we have other jobs to do during the week). I recommend a two-week notice given on a Monday. Clarity of notice means you remind us of where we are in the redistricting process so comments can be focused. It also means you include information on how to get relevant materials. When you wanted comments about criteria, our comments were more focused when we could see the proposed criteria document the committee was working from. Being specific includes public access to sufficient information to make an informed comment. When you publish proposed maps with appropriate scorings for compactness, I have better information to focus comments appropriately. We should all have the same information so we can communicate clearly.</p> <p>The second most important thing about a public comment process is access. This includes access for commenters to appear in public, access to easy tools to make electronic comments, and access to the public comments by the public so we can see what you are seeing and hear what you are hearing. This gives good ideas time and space to percolate up to your attention. Access includes public comment opportunities across the state, perhaps even one hearing in every Congressional district? Travel is hard for many people and even more difficult in covid times. Day and evening options spread the opportunity further. Access includes organizing the public comments so they are easy to digest by the committee members. I strongly urge you to have topic heading options in your online portal or suggest folks use a topic option in their heading. Or perhaps you already have a system? All public comments deserve your attention. Access also means public comments on proposed maps as well as the final ones.</p>
08/17/2021 11:34 AM	Lynn Schartz		<p>I want fair non-partisan, non-racial redistricting that fairly gives political representation that results in the fact that NC is a purple state. The GOP run legislature should turn over redistricting to a non-partisan committee and not do it themselves. I am sick of GOP partisan and racial gerrymandering from the 2010 census as the Court indicated in their finding of "surgical precision" in the gerrymandering of North Carolina after the 2010 census. We are watching you. It's about democracy, not keeping power in GOP hands!</p>
08/17/2021 12:37 PM	Fair Maps Lisanne Lombardo	None - Citizen Voter	<p>I am a NC Citizen Voter. I support fair redistricting without party slant. The polarization in our politics starts here. If we want to be less divided, let us have an independent commission that fairly restricts.</p>
08/17/2021 12:43 PM	Carole Wegner		<p>Redistributing should be based on non-partisan data analytics. Voters should select their leaders, not the other way around. The more Republicans do this sort of thing, the more obvious it is that they have an agenda and policies that are unpopular with the majority so the only way to win is to gerrymander. How about offering up some good policies?</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			Please fix this or get out of the way.
08/17/2021 12:43 PM	Carole Wegner		Redistributing should be based on non-partisan data analytics. Voters should select their leaders, not the other way around. The more Republicans do this sort of thing, the more obvious it is that they have an agenda and policies that are unpopular with the majority so the only way to win is to gerrymander. How about offering up some good policies? Please fix this or get out of the way.
08/17/2021 12:58 PM	Kathy Valley		Democracy is for all. Redistricting should be transparent. It should represent the wishes of the majority of North Carolinians, not just a few. Regardless of party, every representative should want fair elections, where every vote counts
08/17/2021 01:58 PM	Mrs. Carol Weaver	Private citizen	We must have public hearings, at least one in every one of our 13 existing districts, both in-person and accessible virtually, before the drawing of maps begins so there is an opportunity for the public to state their priorities for redistricting. Provide adequate notice of such meetings, i.e., at least two weeks prior, but more ideally, all scheduled and publicized at the beginning and throughout the process.
08/17/2021 02:31 PM	Dr. John Lingle		That statewide poll [Public Policy Polling] finds 72% of Democrats, 59% of Republicans and 63% of unaffiliated North Carolina voters say ending gerrymandering is a key issue for them. If you believe in a representative democracy, if you wasn't support what a majority of North Carolinians want, I urge to to create an open, transparent process that involves members from all sides of the aisle. I believe that in a democracy, people should choose their representatives, not vice versa. Thank you.
08/17/2021 03:07 PM	Herbert Pearthree		Please make fair and equal maps for redistricting. Gerrymandering maps only add to the distrust of our political systems. Fair and balanced districts will represent the population and give us a voice in government, which is what our democracy is supposed to be about. Be OUR representatives.
08/17/2021 03:08 PM	Dilip Bhatia		Please make redistricting as transparent as possible and make it fair. Voters should choose their elected officials, not the other way around.
08/17/2021 03:08 PM	Ms. Kimberly Jordan		I whole-heartedly support fair maps that ensure every North Carolinian has a voice in their government. Please end unethical gerrymandering.
08/17/2021 03:09 PM	Mark Levi		Redistricting should not be partisan. Obviously either side that's in power will exploit it to stay in power. I would encourage both sides to find a way to take it out of the hands of politicians and place it in a non partisan commission or panel that will keep districts as even and neutral as possible so we can get a chance to elect our leaders.
08/17/2021 03:15 PM	Mrs. Geraldine Young	Registered voter	I have often wondered why our State legislature is Republican. The triangle area of NC appears to be comprised of moderate democrats as well as moderate independents. Please redistrict showing our true makeup.
08/17/2021 03:24 PM	Ms. Madeline Yun		If you are in favor of fair and free elections, you shouldn't be gerrymandering your own state. Both parties need to have common sense about this.
08/17/2021 03:24 PM	Mr Pat Vescio		Districts must be drawn fairly and reasonably unless we really want to become like autocracies around the world. We almost became one last January 6!
08/17/2021 03:31 PM	Retired Architect Vincent Spaulding, R.A.	GHW Memorial Health and Education Center	It is important that the redistricting process be transparent and fair: The process should be based on a scientific approach that will insure that all sectors of the voting districts are fairly represented without gamesmanship and trickery. I close by saying, anything otherwise is disgraceful.
08/17/2021 03:31 PM	Dr. Rachael Wooten	Rachael H. Wooten PA	I URGE you to draw fair maps that give representation and voice to all the people of North Carolina. The time to end partisan gerrymandering is over. No one benefits when untold thousands of people actually do NOT have a vote, because the outcome of the contest was decided in the map drawing process. Particularly in 2021, when the computer modeling tools can be precisely used to either serve all the people, or serve a very few who want to stay in power. Our people, our planet, our communities, deserve fair maps, deserve the right to vote for the persons of their choice, and actually deserve a shot at a better life where their interests have been fully represented.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/17/2021 03:32 PM	Mr. Derek Caldwell		The redistricting process should be done without regard to political party nor should protecting incumbents be a consideration. That may be a long held practice but it should not be continued. Democracy requires that all people have an equal voice in choosing our government and the people should chose their representatives not the other way around. It may be too late for this cycle, but North Carolina should adopt a non-partisan redistricting commission model.
08/17/2021 03:32 PM	Mr. Derek Caldwell		The redistricting process should be done without regard to political party nor should protecting incumbents be a consideration. That may be a long held practice but it should not be continued. Democracy requires that all people have an equal voice in choosing our government and the people should chose their representatives not the other way around. It may be too late for this cycle, but North Carolina should adopt a non-partisan redistricting commission model.
08/17/2021 03:32 PM	Mr. Derek Caldwell		The redistricting process should be done without regard to political party nor should protecting incumbents be a consideration. That may be a long held practice but it should not be continued. Democracy requires that all people have an equal voice in choosing our government and the people should chose their representatives not the other way around. It may be too late for this cycle, but North Carolina should adopt a non-partisan redistricting commission model.
08/17/2021 03:36 PM	Elaine Baker		I do not have the solution to the problem, but I do feel strongly that redistricting should reflect a balance in the demographics of the citizens being served. I remember years ago looking at voter maps and being very confused by the jagged and odd shaped districts. Since then I have learned that districting is not just a matter of evenly dividing the numbers per representative, and that is something that is beyond my ability to address. I do believe that trying to make certain areas reflect political party affiliation is wrong, no matter which party is favored. Draw maps that are inclusive with criteria concerning socio-economic status, types of housing, educational level, and other such elements that would be a balanced representation, as much as possible, especially in more densely populated areas of the state.
08/17/2021 03:43 PM	Jessica Taylor		Redistricting is very vulnerable to gerrymandering. Gerrymandering is the intentional manipulation of the redistricting process by the people in political power to keep or change political power. Racial Gerrymandering is the intentional manipulation of the redistricting process to reduce the political power of a certain racial group. The Voting Rights Act of 1965 protected voters against racial gerrymandering by requiring states to prove their changes to voting systems, including redistricting, do not have a discriminatory effect. It is imperative that the committee makes sure they are above board and do not fall into the scope of gerrymandering. Gerrymandering is wrong and redistricting should be done lightly and remain conscious of this and make sure they are not making this happen.
08/17/2021 03:44 PM	Ms. Theresa Joan Rosenberg	self	* Establish a nonpartisan Redistricting Commission with five main principles: 1. Maintain a role for the legislature in the process, such as naming some commissioners. 2. Include citizens and/or impartial experts as commission members. 3. Set strict rules for the commission's work that a) rule out partisan data and objectives and b) use voting rules that force consensus. 4. Provide for extensive citizen participation and transparency. 5. Make the maps final on the commission's vote, without further action by the legislature.
08/17/2021 03:44 PM	Robert Wilke	Christ The King Lutheran Church	Redistricting should be done by a nonpartisan, independent council without regard to the party affiliation of voters. Districts should be required to be contiguous and simple shapes. It is wrong to have the legislature involved in the process. Even if not corrupt it will always appear to be corrupt!
08/17/2021 03:51 PM	Eileen Breckstein		Gerrymandering is so Destructive, destroying our TRUST. NC is the worst of all. Please stop this HORRID action.
08/17/2021 03:55 PM	Emily Ethridge		Voters must have the power to chose their representative elected officials. Gerrymandering deprives citizens of that rightful power. We need to have independent redistricting processes to ensure no one party has undue control. I also ask that you make this process fully transparent to the public. Thank you.
08/17/2021	Theodore		Gerrymandering undermines representative democracy. I will only support candidates who promote the anti-

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
04:05 PM	Simons		gerrymandering provisions of H.R. 1, the "For the People" act.
08/17/2021 04:07 PM	Mr. Thomas M Hadley	Retired	Please follow the 13 principles proposed by the Democrats on the committee. Do NOT waste millions of our tax dollars by drawing unfair districts and then fighting to keep them in court.
08/17/2021 04:31 PM	Dr. PAUL KIM		Free and fair maps should be implemented straightaway and allowing for transparency in the process. Also allowing all voters to the ballot box without any intimidation. Remember the Preamble of Our Constitution: We the People, that applies to states well as country.
08/17/2021 04:39 PM	Maggie Scroggs		North Carolina's state legislative maps are an example of unconstitutional partisan gerrymandering. They need to be redrawn fairly - NOW. Stop wasting public money fighting to enforce unfair voting practices.
08/17/2021 04:46 PM	Dr. David McMahon		<p>Redistricting and concomitant gerrymandering has been part of North Carolina's history since earliest days. Politicians of every stripe have used it as a means to cement their hold on power, to the detriment of the people. A completely independent, nonpartisan commission, answering only to the people, and overseen by the courts is the only way for the people to be able to select their representatives, rather than the politicians selecting their constituents.</p> <p>We wasted much time and money in court over the past decade because those who drew the districts failed in their duty to the people and put their own self interest first. Don't do that to us again.</p>
08/17/2021 04:46 PM	Dr. Samuel Brewer	Retired	Redistricting should be done bipartisan by computer.
08/17/2021 04:53 PM	Christopher Schonwalder	NC Citizen	Delineating voting districts to favor specific candidates is a despicable practice. Let's do everything we can to make our elections fair, transparent and without cleaver manipulation. They used to be that way when we had more scrupulous politicians. Please, move us back to those more cooperative and fair days in America. No more gerrymandering.
08/17/2021 04:54 PM	Mrs Nancy Merrick	self	<p>I am writing to urge you to make the upcoming redistricting process timely, fair, and transparent. The previous process was flawed and extremely costly to tax payers due to the multiple law suits that resulted.</p> <p>Improvements to the process should include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All map drawing discussions and meetings should be conducted in public and live streamed • All data, systems and criteria used to draw the maps should be available for public review and comment • Draft maps should be available to the public for comment • No maps may be removed from the meeting room during drawing and revisions and all comments must be audible to observers • Written justification for final maps must be provided • The map making process should be videotaped and timestamped for public review • Any consultants or other third parties used in the process should be disclosed immediately and introduced at public meetings • Public meetings should be held across the state, publicized well in advance and virtual attendance options must be offered <p>Most importantly, the maps must not be drawn to advantage a political party or an individual and no political data should be used in the process.</p> <p>If the process is conducted in a fair and open manner, then North Carolinians can have confidence that the new voting districts are not gerrymandered and that they will have fair representation for all elections. This is essential for faith in our democracy.</p> <p>I urge you to commit to making this redistricting process fair, open and inclusive of public comments.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/17/2021 04:58 PM	Jane Benbow		Thank you Nancy Merrick Cary NC 27513
08/17/2021 04:59 PM	Katherine Saunders		PLEASE do fair drawing by reasonable population divide and NOT for one party or the other to win. Represent all of us.
08/17/2021 05:00 PM	James Grady		Stop gerrymandering districts. Form an independent, public, and non-partisan commission to draw maps that are fair for all!
08/17/2021 05:04 PM	Mr Barry Jones		I feel voter rights and election reforms are the most important issues for our state legislature. Stopping gerrymandering should be the top issue to reform. The general public has lost trust in government because it feels like voters don't matter to the government, staying in office is more important to elected officials. There needs to be an apolitical neutral organization that picks districts. This would save taxpayers the expense of the perpetual litigious proceedings.
08/17/2021 05:08 PM	Mrs. Julie Cooper		<p>Good afternoon Members of the Redistricting Committee,</p> <p>I am respectfully requesting that you implement public hearings which provide the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> *Public comment hearings scheduled and publicized which provide at least two weeks notice. Many interested people work and need to request time off work. *Meeting locations throughout the state so that citizens in the east, west, south and north parts of the state will be within driving distance of the locations. *Varied meeting times outside of normal business hours so that people who work can comment without missing work. *A robust system for collecting written comments that includes the U.S. Postal Service, email and this portal. *All necessary COVID protocols to ensure the safety of you, your staff and the public. *If possible, a remote video option so those who are not able to attend in person can watch and comment remotely. <p>This is a topic that is very important to me and I hope that you will make every effort to hear from North Carolinians across the state. You can help protect our democracy.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
08/17/2021 05:09 PM	Mrs Barbara Stokes		<p>Democrats had control of NC for over 50 years and gerrymandered districts to their liking so that Dems would remain in power.</p> <p>GOP does not suppress votes. They want fair and fraud free elections.</p> <p>We the people voted for voter ids, but Liberal Democrat Cooper vetoed the measure. Dems don't want voter ID because it will prevent fraudulent ballots, double votes, illegal votes. I have no problem with GOP legislature redistricting in NC</p>
08/17/2021 05:09 PM	Ms Emily Keel	womens international league for peace and freedom of the triangl	<p>It is important to hold enough opportunities for public comment that all feel there is safe opportunity to be heard. Having 60 hearings or more as done in 2011 is a goal. The notice of hearing should be made at least 2 weeks in advance and should be before and after maps are designed. Comments should be accepted online, by email, by US mail and in person with Covid protocols upheld for live meetings. All the redistricting info should be easily accessible online at a dedicated website and not the NCLeg.gov pages. Please make this amenable to public comment!</p>
08/17/2021 05:20 PM	Darla Knopp		To eliminate all presence or even appearance of gerrymandering in the redistricting process I wish to suggest that an algorithm be used instead of a human committee to make the divisions determined only by an absolute mathematical model based purely on a grid overlay on the North Carolina map. There should be no consideration of racial makeup nor

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/17/2021 05:21 PM	Hari Patel		geopolitical boundries of county or local government. Use of such a process would ensure fairly established voting districts.
08/17/2021 05:28 PM	Karen Hiser		<p>I overwhelmingly support a process that will implement fair maps and ensure every North Carolinian has a voice in their government. Please end gerrymandered maps that have cost the tax paper millions of dollars over the past decade.</p> <p>Please have the courage that you will win my vote because you have a candidate that is honorable. Please earn my vote. Please don't cheat me and rig the process!</p> <p>Thank you for taking comments on this important topic. Public input on our redistricting maps is of vital importance. We need in-person hearings across the state, virtual hearings, and the opportunity to provide written input. All events and deadlines should have two weeks notice to allow people the opportunity to participate. Events and forums need to be accessible to people from all walks of life, including those who have little time off work, may not have access to a computer with broadband for participation in virtual forums, may not have the time or resources to travel, may rely on public transportation, and/or may have disabilities. Provisions need to be made for real-time, verbal input outside of normal working hours. Written comments need to be accepted throughout the process via email, portal, US mail.</p> <p>In 2011, we had more than 60 public input forums; that should be our minimum standard for this round.</p> <p>All submissions need to become part of the public record and need to be easily accessible to all interested parties. Verbal input should be transcribed and similarly accessible.</p> <p>Thank you for your attention to this critical matter!</p>
08/17/2021 05:30 PM	Mrs. Sherri Dillon		I sincerely hope that our representatives in the state legislature remember that they are to represent ALL NC voters and not only those of your party. I implore you to work out fair districts, not those that benefit only one party.
08/17/2021 05:30 PM	Mrs Rebecca Burmester	Private citizen	<p>Our state is politically polarized despite the fact that the vast majority of voters fall in the somewhat conservative to somewhat liberal space. Redistricting in a nonpartisan manner would make possible the election of moderate candidates rather than extreme conservatives or extreme liberals. Competitive districts would result in candidates having to address issues of concern to middle of the road citizens. Districts need to be compact geographically and they need to be respectful of neighborhoods of shared interests.</p> <p>There needs to be ample opportunity for voters to comment on the process and possible maps before everything is decided.</p>
08/17/2021 05:38 PM	Julie Nye		<p>I am concerned that the redistricting process may not be not transparent nor accessible to voters across North Carolina. I urge the committee to post notice of all hearings at least 2 weeks in advance, and to schedule meetings across the state at times and locations that would allow all residents to participate. In-person hearings must be held outside of standard working hours and in ADA-compliant locations that are accessible by any available local public transportation. Opportunities for remote participation should be offered to ensure safety during the pandemic. I further ask that all materials -- schedules, announcements, meeting transcripts, proposed maps, etc. -- be posted promptly on a widely accessible website where all interested parties can access, and submit comments.</p>
08/17/2021 05:47 PM	Mrs Barbara Glatthorn		<p>As someone who attended a public redistributing meeting last go-round (Washington/Beaufort Co), I strongly request major improvements in facilitating these meetings. Meetings need to be accessible in all counties in smaller, easily travelable central locations to make sure all residents of the state have access. Meeting rooms need to be large spaces that accommodate large groups (auditoriums vs classrooms), and signage needs to be ample from roadway to designated meeting space. It is difficult for me to comprehend that intelligent leaders and their aides would not know how to organize and execute such a meeting with efficiency. Please do a better job this time. Your competency rating hangs in the balance.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/17/2021 05:51 PM	GARY FOUREMAN	private citizen	All opportunities for public comments on proceedings and issues to redistricting should be afforded the public, including in-person and written comments with multiple methods provided for written comments. In addition, all redistricting information, including that pertaining to public hearings, should be centralized and posted to an easily accessible redistricting website and not obscured by use of confusing and limited sites as the committee pages on the nclg.gov site. Also reports should be created by this committee on the public input received complete with transcribed copies of the hearings and public input, and corresponding online comment submissions. These reports should be routinely uploaded to the above mentioned redistricting website.
08/17/2021 05:52 PM	Kristine Garrity		Notice of at least two weeks should be provided for any in person public hearing. Public hearings should be held at various locations in North Carolina and not just in Raleigh. An online comment portal should be available for North Carolinians who can not attend in person meetings.
08/17/2021 05:53 PM	Dr. Peter Anderson	N/A	It's been 56 years since the last Voting Rights Act was passed. Since then, crucial parts of it have been struck down or taken away. That's why we need a new Voting Rights Act. These are some essential components: end gerrymandering; restore the full strength of the Voting Rights Act; fight Citizens United by passing the Disclose Act. Thank you.
08/17/2021 05:56 PM	Kaaren Haldeman		It is time for the voters to choose our elected officials, not the other way around. It is time for legislators to preserve, rather than dismantle, core democratic values and practices such as our inalienable right to vote and our expectation that our representatives will truly represent their full constituencies. We have had enough partisan politics and we have zero tolerance for any lawmaker seeking to limit, suppress or eliminate the voices of people of color, young people, college students, and others. As a decades-long NC voter, I fully support independent redistricting. It's time to eliminate racial and partisan gerrymanders once and for all.
08/17/2021 06:00 PM	Ms. Elli Klein	n/a	I urge you to respect our democracy by setting up transparent opportunities for the public to know about and affect the restricting process. Partisan politics should have little impact on this process. In-person hearings should be held across the state both before and after maps are proposed, and in sufficient locations that they are accessible to all North Carolinians who wish to take part. In 2011, according to the published schedule, more than 60 public hearings were held, and the current process should reach that same standard at a minimum. And notices of the public meetings should be timely so the public can participate and run across all types of media.
08/17/2021 06:09 PM	David Brannigan		Gerrymandering is a pernicious process that may be an old disease, but has been steadily increasing in brazen usage. Attempts to establish minority rule and thwart the democratic process fly in the face of the most fundamental pillars of our nation and must be soundly condemned by all patriotic Americans.
08/17/2021 06:10 PM	Ms Sandra Mayo	None	It is ridiculous for politicians to do the redistricting, This should be done in a completely unbiased manner using mathematics not politics.
08/17/2021 06:28 PM	Michael McGuire	Retired now but was Georgetown University	Wiley, I'm afraid I have nothing useful/rationale to provide you. The Republicans in North Carolina suffer from the same toxic combination of myopia, greed and self-interest (rather than public-interest in all its complexity) as the national Republican party. I think Democrats in this state and for the most part nationally are willing if not eager to endorse policies that support fairness and the Common Good, a construct that is on the verge of political extinction in the "every person for himself" ethos of these times, at least the red ones. Keep fighting the good fight for us, Wiley!
08/17/2021 06:32 PM	Dr. Pam Schwingl	Friends Committee on North Carolina Legislation (FCNCL)	We at Friends Committee on North Carolina Legislation are requesting the NCGA that the following should be considered in crafting guidelines for public comment on redistricting: 1. Two or more weeks should allowed for in-person public hearings. 2. Opportunities should be provided for all North Carolinians to submit public comments, including people with demanding schedules, and those without broadband internet. Ideally, in-person hearings should be held outside of standard working hours and in ADA-compliant locations that are accessible by any available local public transportation. 3. In light of rising cases of Delta Covid-19, efforts should be made to protect the public: requiring masks, providing

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/17/2021 06:38 PM	Ms Lesley Wischmann		<p>sanitation, and providing remote options for participation.</p> <p>4. Written public comments should be accepted via online comment portal, email, and U.S. Postal Service mail, and all should remain available without interruption until maps are passed by the legislature.</p> <p>5. In-person hearings should be held across the state both before and after maps are proposed, and in sufficient locations that they are accessible to all North Carolinians who wish to take part. In 2011, according to the published schedule, more than 60 public hearings were held, and the current process should reach that same standard at a minimum.</p>
08/17/2021 06:38 PM	Ms Lesley Wischmann		<p>I am writing to express my deep concern about the need to provide the public with ample and fair opportunity to offer robust comments as the redistricting process goes along. This means that there must be adequate notice of the issues. At least two weeks should be provided so that people can arrange schedules to appear in person to either testify or listen to what the committee has to say at a public hearing. In addition, it's very important that these hearings and opportunities to comment in person be held at a reasonable time that will not exclude a large part of the public. The need to meet ADA accessibility standards at all hearings should go without saying. In addition, you need to ensure that people have every opportunity to provide written comments, by standard mail, by email, by comment portal and even by voice mail, if possible. You have to remain aware that, even in this day and age, there are still many people without easy access to adequate broadband so it is not sufficient to simply offer electronic means for offering comments. Public hearings, both before you do any map-drawing and after you have drawn draft maps, are very important so that people can see and discuss what is being considered. In addition, it's critical that you hold these hearings across all parts of our large and diverse state. When you limit these hearings to Raleigh, you are de facto limiting the number and type of people who can participate and, therefore, the input you will receive. To draw fair maps that serve our state, you must get out into the far corners of our state and listen to what the citizens think and need. I appreciate your work and hope that these comments will help you structure a fair process to serve all North Carolinians.</p>
08/17/2021 06:48 PM	Mr Mark Rodin	Resident at Overture Cary, newly appointed Wake County election official, former election official and precinct judge in Durham for 12 years	<p>The fair way is to be nonpartisan. If you look at the Census information thoroughly the percentage of Caucasian residents has decreased five percent and more residents are living in urban areas. Please do not redistrict in a way which causes litigation. Do redistricting fairly and campaign honestly, making your strongest case to the public. Please do not gerrymander.</p>
08/17/2021 07:04 PM	Mackenzie DeNardis		<p>You must do the right thing and support a process that will implement fair maps and ensure every North Carolinian has a voice in their government. The very meaning and foundation of a democracy is for voters to choose their elected officials, not the other way around. Kindly stop wasting precious resources redrawing maps that take away our rights as citizens of this state. Do the right thing.</p>
08/17/2021 07:13 PM	Kate D Torrey	N/A	<p>It is imperative that public comments be scheduled in such a way that ALL interested members of the public be able to participate: those with and those without broadband access; those whose work hours are "standard" and those whose work hours include weekends, holidays, and the hours outside of 9 am to 5 pm; those who can travel and those who would need to rely on the USPS to convey their thoughts. Further, there must be adequate publicity about how to make a public comment, what the word limits, deadlines, and means of communicating comments are. And that all such comments are read, acknowledged, made part of the public record, as well as being considered by the relevant public officials.</p>
08/17/2021 07:26 PM	Eileen Stephens		<p>Dear Committee member:</p> <p>It is absolutely IMPERATIVE for the functioning of our democracy that EVERY CITIZEN OF THE STATE OF NORTH</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>CAROLINA have ample safe opportunities to learn about and comment on the redistricting plans.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - At least two weeks should be provided for any in-person public hearing to ensure the opportunity for interested citizens to participate. Hearings should not be scheduled during or adjacent to public holidays. - All North Carolinians should be given REASONABLE OPPORTUNITIES to submit their comments. This includes low-wage workers with demanding schedules, people with disabilities, people without broadband internet access, and others who are typically excluded. Therefore, in-person hearings should be held outside of standard working hours and in ADA-compliant locations that are accessible by local public transportation. - Given the delta variant, mask mandates, adequate sanitation measures and opportunities for online participation should be required for each and every hearing. - Written public comments should be accepted via multiple methods, including an online comment portal, email, and U.S. Postal Service mail. All of these comment options should remain available without interruption until maps are passed by the legislature. - In-person hearings should be held at numerous locations across the state, both before and after maps are proposed. Choice and quantity of locations should be such that EACH AND EVERY North Carolinian who wishes to take part can do so, with REASONABLE effort. If multiple rounds of mapdrafting occur, then multiple rounds of in-person and online hearings should occur, all in compliance with the above guidelines. <p>Thank you for your consideration. Eileen Stephens Wilmington NC</p>
08/17/2021 07:32 PM	Mr THOMAS J MUNN		<p>I would like to see a more fair and balanced redistricting based upon population percentages rather than political affiliation. Both the Democrats and Republicans have Miss used this feature too many times. I believe in an algorithmic Process that would resist party or individual affiliations to use it to their advantage.</p>
08/17/2021 07:39 PM	Ms Andrea Hill		<p>Hello. I'm writing to request that straight forward nonpartisan districts that reflect the VOTERS are drawn. It's way past time for voters to pick their representatives, not representatives picking voters. Use of a nonpartisan group to do this would be the smart choice and go a long way to bridging the political divides.</p>
08/17/2021 07:39 PM	JOHN DORSEY	Roanoke Park - Five Point Neighborhood Association	<p>A fair redistricting process cannot and must not be one held behind closed doors and sprung on the public as a fait accompli, as the first step in setting criteria seems to have been. It requires robust, accessible opportunities for public input to vet the criteria and evaluate our individual representatives commitment to truly representative districts at all levels of government. At a minimum,, these hearings should include (1) ample notice of at least two weeks for any in-person public hearing; (2) should be held outside of standard working hours, in ADA-compliant locations and accessible by local public transportation; (3) comply with COVID 19 safe requirements; (4) allow for written comments via multiple methods, including an online portal, email, and U.S.P.S.; (5) held across the state both before and after maps are proposed, and in at least the number as held in 2011; (6) All redistricting information should be centralized and posted to an easily accessible website; (7) and it should include all public input received, transcribed copies of the hearings and public input and online comment submissions.</p>
08/17/2021 08:08 PM	Sharif Deveaux		<p>The redistricting process should allow for citizens to choose their representatives and not an exercise in ensuring partisan control of the legislature or congress. The districts should reflect natural geographic boundaries and not artificial voting blocks designed to split neighbors and neighborhoods to prevent the will of the people from being adequately represented. It is the height of hypocrisy to reject reasonable redistricting changes to guarantee fair voting while at the same time complaining about voting fraud which doesn't exist and integrity in the system which is diminished precisely by this partisan redistricting process.</p>
08/17/2021	Mr James		<p>I cannot urge our state legislators strongly enough to end North Carolina's long, costly practice of race-based and</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08:12 PM	Brunson		ethnic-based gerrymandering. Enact nonpartisan, neutral redistricting reform now! Thank you.
08/17/2021 08:39 PM	Ms Emily Cox	Sister Scene Creative Justice Community	<p>Gerrymandering started a long time ago, but now is the time to lay it to rest.</p> <p>I moved to the Durham area from Louisiana in 1993 and started working with people in schools, non-profits, the mental health care, and faith communities around the Triangle as a concerned citizen, artist, and social justice activist, and social worker. I still see a deep lack of inclusivity and transparency in government and a need for a more effective invitation into civic engagement for youth and families.</p> <p>The people of NC deserve to have a legislature that includes their voices, their eyes and ears on the scene for the drawing of our congressional and state legislative maps. Our elections are at the root of our government and infrastructure. And citizen engagement is key to making advances for NC. Please post an official multi-venue hearing, asap, to allow all of NC the chance to participate both online and in person. The SisterScene will invite constituents to show up.</p> <p>With the Sisterscene Creative Justice Community, my daughter Marie (UNC, class of 2022) and I, Marie's classmate Brianna Pinto (now NWSL league player and a voice for race, gender and pay equity) and her mother Meleata Pinto are dedicated to INVITING grandmothers, mothers, daughters, the LGBTQ+ community and People of Color, Black, brown and native to join with white people to demonstrate our pride and concern for our state--across the true cross-section of what NC looks like.</p> <p>We are COUNTING ON the NCLeg to set up public hearings across the state both before and after redistricting maps are proposed, in enough locations that all NC has an opportunity to participate. In-person hearings must be held outside M-F, 9-5 and with one week of advanced-notice. Opportunities for virtual and online comments must also be widely available. We want to help.</p> <p>There's no excuse to rush or leave the people out of the process.</p> <p>With a reasonable expectation of inclusivity, we are, Emily Cox with the founding team of the Sister Scene Creative Justice Community, Brianna Pinto, Marie Cox-McMahon and Meleata Pinto</p>
08/17/2021 09:08 PM	Crystal Edmonds		<p>I ask that the House Redistricting Committee and the Senate Redistricting and Election Committee take into account the accessibility issues for North Carolina citizens when planning and hosting public forum comments. With my medical condition I am not always able to travel. I request that comments be accepted via mail; in doing so, the public hearing notice should be at least two weeks. Additionally, I request that the public hearing be accessible via Zoom or Facebook Live so that I am able to attend virtually as an option to being in person, especially with the state's COVID 19 cases increasing. Thank you.</p>
08/17/2021 09:09 PM	Nicholas Borisow		<p>Gerrymandering has created, and will continue to drive North Carolina toward policies, including sustainable and resilience to climate change, support for our schools, and representation of the majority of North Carolina's citizens being ignored. Accept the redistricting amendments of a jointly-supported congress, and stop creating a weaker North Carolina through gerrymandering.</p>
08/17/2021 09:11 PM	Dr. Diane Hourigan		<p>It is extremely important to have safe public hearings concerning redistricting. It is imperative that at least two weeks' notice is given before any hearings and they should be easily accessible to all North Carolinians, whether in person or virtually. To allow the greatest number of people to attend, they should be held outside normal business hours, and written public comments should be allowed by an online comment portal, U.S. Postal Service mail, and email. A minimum of sixty in person hearings should be held throughout the state so that people from all over the state have an opportunity to attend. All redistricting information should be located in an easily accessible web site which currently is</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			not the case. The legislative staff should create a report on the public input received, with transcribed copies of the hearings and public input and corresponding online comment submissions. This should be uploaded to the redistricting website which needs to be created. This must be a more transparent and accessible process than we have had in the past. The people of North Carolina deserve better!
08/17/2021 09:14 PM	Paul Santrock		I am very disgusted with all of talk and court cases over gerrymandering! These court cases are very expensive to conduct and a complete waste of taxpayers dollars. Let's get it done right this time! I wholeheartedly support simplistic, straightforward and fair district maps for all elected offices.
08/17/2021 09:35 PM	Ms, Naomi Avissar		I support a process that will implement fair maps and ensure every North Carolinian has a voice in their government. Please accept the 13 amendments proposed by the Democrats last Thursdsy.
08/17/2021 09:38 PM	Linda Archer		I would like to request that you make sure to have public hearings on the redistricting maps in all parts of the state and in accessible places that can be easily made safe in this time of pandemic.
08/17/2021 10:21 PM	Marlene Pratto		I hope the redistricting process will be open, fair and transparent. It would be good to call in a couple of mathematicians to consult with the elected officials. Work well and positively together. All candidates deserve fair districts so that their ideas and actions will elect them, not the number of people belonging to one party or the other.
			Sincerely, Marlene Pratto
08/17/2021 10:38 PM	Jack Hafeli		It is imperative that the redistricting process be open and transparent, with frequent public hearings both in-person and virtual, with ample advanced notice and easy on-line access to meeting notes and minutes.
08/17/2021 10:39 PM	Todd Kesselring		Please make sure the public has ample opportunity to submit input and review proposed maps in this redistricting process. In 2011 60 public hearings were held and certainly no fewer should be held in this cycle. Also be sure that the public is not limited by geographic distance, access to broadband, working hours, or disability. Timing and variety of options for input are vital. Also adequate notice of meetings and information on substance of meetings is vital. Legislative staff should collect a report for the public of the content of public comments throughout this cycle.
08/17/2021 11:04 PM	Leona Whichard		The General Assembly must provide live in-person hearings in major metropolitan areas AND rural hubs throughout the state in order to provide genuine opportunities for community member to give comments in person. The number of hearing sites should increase from the seven utilized in 2018 to thirteen, accounting for each of the current Congressional districts. Legislators should prioritize locations and facilities that are accessible by public transport and to those with disabilities. In light of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, lawmakers must also provide virtual options for viewing public hearings and providing public comment
			The General Assembly should post a full schedule of public hearings at the beginning of the redistricting process and provide at least two weeks' notice of any public hearing on redistricting to ensure those wishing to participate have a genuine opportunity to do so. Hearings should not be scheduled during or near public holidays, such as Labor Day.
08/17/2021 11:21 PM	David Moore		The people of North Carolina deserve a fair and representative election, not one rigged to elect the same old incumbents. More and more voters are aware of the games that are being played and are fed up with it. We need more democracy not less.
08/17/2021 11:30 PM	Dennis K Williams	Cumberland County Citizen	Hey NC GOP.....STOP GERRYMANDERING !!!
08/18/2021 03:23 AM	Ms. Jane Watson	self	Our democracy is imperiled, largely because of gerrymandering and the Republican actions disclosed in the book, "Ratf**ked". Of course many millions of tax-payer dollars, obvious and hidden, have been squandered in efforts to implement, in spite of constitutional safeguards, the consequent and grossly unfair maps generated by the NCGA. Gerrymandering cheats voters of both/all parties. Worse, by big use of big data and disingenuous political manuevers and arguments, it is a virulent stealth-attack on our democracy at all levels of government. What is the State of North

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/18/2021 04:22 AM	Jo Ann Dempsey		<p>Carolina or the United States of America if not democratic republics? Are you really so attached to the power you are able to wield that you will forsake your state, your country, and democracy itself? I implore you to legislate in ways that produce fair maps and ensure every North Carolinian has a voice in his/her/their government.</p> <p>Please allow safe and accessible public hearings with ample two week notice so that anyone who wants to attend can attend. Opportunities should be provided for all North Carolinians to submit public comments. This includes low-wage workers with demanding schedules, people with disabilities, people without broadband internet access, and others who are typically excluded when public comment opportunities are limited in scope. In-person hearings should be held outside of standard working hours and in ADA-compliant locations that are accessible by any available local public transportation. In addition, in light of rising COVID-19 case numbers across the state, efforts should be made to protect the public through the use of masks and sanitation measures and by providing remote options for participation at every public hearing. Written public comments should be accepted via multiple methods, including an online comment portal, email, and U.S. Postal Service mail. All of these comment options should remain available without interruption until maps are passed by the legislature.</p> <p>In-person hearings should be held across the state both before and after maps are proposed, and in sufficient locations that they are accessible to all North Carolinians who wish to take part. In 2011, according to the published schedule, more than 60 public hearings were held and the current process should reach that same standard at a minimum. All redistricting information, including that pertaining to public hearings, should be centralized and posted to an easily accessible website. The current use of committee pages on the ncleg.gov site does not meet this standard.</p> <p>Accessibility of the Public Record Legislative staff should create a report on the public input received, with transcribed copies of the hearings and public input and corresponding online comment submissions. This report should be routinely uploaded to the above mentioned redistricting website. All redistricting information, including that pertaining to public hearings, should be centralized and posted to an easily accessible website.</p>
08/18/2021 05:09 AM	Dr Diane Beckman		<p>We're counting on you to fight hard for fair redistricting and elections in NC. Keep up the good work.</p>
08/18/2021 06:56 AM	Ms M C Brock	LWV	<p>Thank you for having today's meeting (08-17-2021) on audio and video. I have elderly friends who have compromised immune systems. This is the only way in which man y can participate.</p> <p>I support the positions of the State League of Women Voters on redistricting. Above all the process must be done with integrity and transparency. Please honor your oath of office, too.</p> <p>Martha. C Brock</p>
08/18/2021 07:12 AM	Dr. Paul Schlosser		<p>I am writing to ask that you make the redistricting process as transparent as possible, including open public meetings where NC residents, voters, can view and comment on planned voting districts. Thank you.</p>
08/18/2021 07:32 AM	KC Wettroth		<p>We, the people, out here in the Real World, are Terrified about the erosion of voting rights across this country right now. I don't use the word "terrified" lightly. I was young when the Voting Rights Act of 1965 was passed and have enjoyed a life where voting has been evolving toward an easier, more equitable process. But gerrymandering districts to increase one's power is so obviously cheating the process, a regression of our rights as citizens. It is a blatant power grab and renders us, the voting public, basically powerless to fight. All one has to do is look at a map of gerrymandered districts to see what is happening and how ridiculously obvious the grab for power is. I overwhelmingly support a process that will implement fair maps.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/18/2021 08:15 AM	Kathy Dick		I think that the redistricting maps should be made by an independent non-partisan commission, not politicians. We need to stop wasting taxpayers' money and make this process work for the PEOPLE, not the politicians!
08/18/2021 08:40 AM	Mrs Barbara Biddle		Please avoid gerrymandering and align the districts according to who lives there not whose votes you want, hence the gerrymandering. Thanks for all you do to represent your constituents.
08/18/2021 09:17 AM	Marjory Some		As a registered NC voter since 2001, I strongly support a process that will implement fair maps and ensure every North Carolinian has a voice in their government. Voters should choose their elected officials, not the other way around. Stop the gerrymandering!!!
08/18/2021 09:40 AM	Pastor William Lucas	First Chronicles Community Church	<p>North Carolina Public Hearing Recommendations</p> <p>Notice of Hearings</p> <p>Ample notice of at least two weeks should be provided for any in-person public hearing to ensure that any individual who wishes to participate has the opportunity to do so. Hearings should not be scheduled during or adjacent to public holidays.</p> <p>Public Hearings Accessibility</p> <p>Opportunities should be provided for all North Carolinians to submit public comments. This includes low-wage workers with demanding schedules, people with disabilities, people without broadband internet access, and others who are typically excluded when public comment opportunities are limited in scope. In-person hearings should be held outside of standard working hours and in ADA-compliant locations that are accessible by any available local public transportation.</p> <p>COVID-19 Safety</p> <p>In addition, in light of rising COVID-19 case numbers across the state, efforts should be made to protect the public through the use of masks and sanitation measures and by providing remote options for participation at every public hearing.</p> <p>Written Public Comments</p> <p>Written public comments should be accepted via multiple methods, including an online comment portal, email, and U.S. Postal Service mail. All of these comment options should remain available without interruption until maps are passed by the legislature.</p> <p>In-Person Public Hearings</p> <p>In-person hearings should be held across the state both before and after maps are proposed, and in sufficient locations that they are accessible to all North Carolinians who wish to take part. In 2011, according to the published schedule, more than 60 public hearings were held, and the current process should reach that same standard at a minimum.</p> <p>Access to Information</p> <p>All redistricting information, including that pertaining to public hearings, should be centralized and posted to an easily accessible website. The current use of committee pages on the nclg.gov site does not meet this standard.</p> <p>Accessibility of the Public Record</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			Legislative staff should create a report on the public input received, with transcribed copies of the hearings and public input and corresponding online comment submissions. This report should be routinely uploaded to the above mentioned redistricting website.
08/18/2021 10:00 AM	Mr. Mike Jennings	Self	I applaud the efforts to schedule public hearings around the state. I encourage the General Assembly to not only conduct public hearings before maps are drawn, but after they are drawn. I recognize that this will be a monumental effort, but if drawn properly, the maps will be in effect for the next decade, so it is an important undertaking.
08/18/2021 10:18 AM	Ms Deborah Miller		The NC Leg must conduct open, fair, transparent commissions throughout the state to endure all voters' are heard. Great wisdom is called for. Use he power you have to be benevolent leaders.
08/18/2021 10:23 AM	Ms Elizabeth Coulter	member of the public	<p>Good morning.</p> <p>I am writing this morning to urge the members of this redistricting committee to commit to a strong pubic partnership in the process of drawing our state's maps. It is very important to me that the members of the public be allowed to participate in a robust schedule of public hearings that are widely accessible to everyone. This includes folks who work around the clock and do not enjoy bankers' hours or who may have mobility issues. It is also important to me that these hearings be Covid safe, of course. I also believe that many people will take advantage of a public comments portal across numerous avenues: USPS snail mail, email and an on-line public comments portal such as this one. I believe that measures such as these strengthen the confidence of the public in their elected officials. Something that is sorely needed across our state.</p> <p>Thank you.</p> <p>Elizabeth Coulter</p>
08/18/2021 10:28 AM	Carol Cross		I do not think that politicians OF WHICHEVER PARTY should be in charge of designing the districts in which they run. There is a clear conflict of interest between looking out for their own electoral advantages and fairly allowing the votes of each citizen to count equally. I believe electoral maps should be developed by an independent agency, either governmental or non-partisan civic group such as the League of Women Voters. Since we aren't there yet, I urge the legislature to submit any electoral maps they develop to groups such as the League of Women Voters or Common Cause or other such groups for their feedback and to address any concerns they raise about the impacts those maps might have in minimizing significant population's impacts (political, racial, generational, etc.).
08/18/2021 10:49 AM	Mrs. Michelle Blumenthal		We need accessible opportunities for public input in order for the redistricting process to be fair. If you are a patriotic American, then please support democracy and fair redistricting by providing multiple and varied opportunities for public input, and by being transparent about the criteria and guidelines being used to draw new districts. Thank you.
08/18/2021 11:04 AM	Sandra Mowell		The redistricting process for North Carolina has been lacking fairness and transparency. I would like the process to be fair, but if you can't bring yourselves to be fair, please be transparent in the process. The citizens of NC should be able to see how you are gaming the process for yourselves instead of for us. We should be able to pick our representatives, our incumbents should not have the advantage of cherry picking their voters. If you are afraid to draw the lines in a fair manner keeping communities together, then the least you can do is be upfront and open about what you are doing. Don't pretend you are trying to be fair when you have no intention of leveling the playing field so that we might have some real competition for public offices in NC.
08/18/2021 11:19 AM	Mr. Jeffery and Pamela Mastin		We support fair and balanced congressional districts and vehemently oppose gerrymandering in any form and will financially support legal action if necessary to prevent it! Do the right thing and make it far and balanced! We're watching and waiting to see what you do!
08/18/2021 11:29 AM	Mrs Nancy Moxley	myself	I think it is very important to have an in-person opportunity for the public to comment on redistricting priorities in every one of the 13 NC Congressional Districts. This is in agreement with the proposal put forward by the League of Women Voters of NC, along with many other organizations. I definitely hope to see a public comment session in Congressional

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/18/2021 01:59 PM	Dr Brenda Fairfax	Districe #2, preferably in Wake County.	As a citizen of North Carolina, I am always eager to stay abreast of the latest changes in management, technology and operations as relates to the rights of all citizens of this state involving our voting rights. It is unfathomable to think in the year 2021, that a majority of the leaders that were voted in office to serve the citizens in North Carolina consistently places higher priority on personal desires than on the oath they took when they were voted in their current positions. A real leader that truly represents the people of North Carolina puts his/her personal agenda aside, and definitely displays the interests of the citizens. Their performance in fulfilling their duties to the citizens of North Carolina is below standard, and the inappropriate behavior displayed is disheartening. All citizens should be given ample notification of at least two weeks for public hearings ensuring that any one that wants to participate has an opportunity. These hearings should not be schedule during or adjacent to public holidays when several people may be away on vacation. All North Carolinians should be given the opportunity to provide public comments to include: low-wage workers with demanding schedules, people with disabilities, people without broadband internet access, and others that are typically excluded when public comments are limited in scope. Due to COVID, an even if we were not faced with this pandemic, all in-person hearings should be held outside of standard working hours and in ADA-compliant locations that are accessible by local public transportation. It is important to have an American Sign Language interpreter available at all public hearings also. Masks should be mandated to protect the public at these public hearings. Written public comments should be accepted in all forms: email, online comment portal, and through the United States Postal Service, and without interruption until maps are passed by the legislature. We definitely need access to all redistricting information pertaining to public hearings. This information should be posted to an easily accessible website and it should be centralized. Unfortunately, the ncleg.gov website is not satisfactory. What happened to the transcribed copies of the hearings and public input, as well as corresponding outline comment submissions? This resort should be created and routinely uploaded to the redistricting website. Certainly hope these recommendations will be implemented immediately.
08/18/2021 02:30 PM	Ruth Jordan	NC citizens want a fair and transparent redistributing process so that citizens can choose their representatives, not the other way around. On Thursday, Democrats offered 13 common-sense amendments to make the redistricting process more transparent and fair for North Carolinians but only one was allowed by the Republican majority. We would like for the NC House and Senate to allow all 13 of these common-sense amendments in order to protect our precious democracy's most basic right, the right to vote, and to make voting as inclusive and easy as possible.	
08/18/2021 05:29 PM	Sarah Seeley	I live in Cabarrus County NC. I feel like both District 8 and 9 are too large and encompass large swaths of land. I believe that our districts should not be so large in nature. I would implore you to redraw the maps in a non-partisan way.	
08/18/2021 05:49 PM	Mrs. Margaret Wittke	I support TRANSPARENCY in this redistricting process.	
08/19/2021 07:50 AM	Ms Laurie O'Loughlin	Redistricting needs to be fair and equitable and not to advantage one party over another. It would be just amazing if that could actually be accomplished, so that the percentage of voters in one party or another would be commensurate with the percentage of total voters in the state. That is the correct way to draw districts. Gerrymandering must end.	
08/19/2021 09:30 AM	Mr Clarence Wright	Why is there "no" forum scheduled for Guilford County, the third most populated county in the state? Fix this now!	
08/19/2021 09:37 AM	Ms Lesley Wischmann	Since moving to the Topsail Island area in 2014, I have been shocked to learn that, due to the county groupings designated during the 2010 redistricting process, Topsail Island has been split in two when it comes to representation. As a result, it's unnecessarily difficult to advocate for sensible policy changes we need to adapt to the rapid growth taking place in this area and the strains that is creating on our built and natural environment and our infrastructure. The census maps released last week show this rapid growth in stark outline. Onslow, Pender and New Hanover counties have each posted significant growth, while the surrounding rural counties have shown no growth or have lost population. Therefore, I am writing to strongly encourage you to group Onslow with Pender and New Hanover counties	

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			so that our municipalities and communities of interest can be kept whole during redistricting.
			Please don't split my town (Holly Ridge) in half again! Please don't split my zip code (28445) in half again! Please don't split my island (Topsail) in half again.
			Keep Onslow, Pender & New Hanover counties together so that we can better address the issues of rapid growth and development that affect all of our residents!
08/19/2021 01:47 PM	Sterling Bowen		If the legislature cannot come up with a bipartisan means for drawing legislative districts, they should outsource this process to a non-partisan third-party
08/19/2021 01:53 PM	Mrs Jill Mertens		The last round of map- building and legislation was an embarrassment to our great state. This year we need a fair and transparent mapping process with more time allowed for public review and feedback. Will you commit to a fair and transparent process?
			In order to get started, citizens need to understand and agree on the criteria used to define the new maps. Racial data, partisan considerations and elections results are NOT valid criteria!!! I think a fair set of criteria should include:
			<p>1. Equal Population.</p> <p>The Committees will use the 2020 federal decennial census data as the sole basis of population for the establishment of districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans. The number of persons in each legislative district shall be within plus or minus 5 percent of the ideal district population, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census. The number of persons in each congressional district shall be as nearly as equal as practicable, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census.</p>
			<p>2. Contiguity.</p> <p>Legislative and congressional districts shall be comprised of contiguous territory. Contiguity by water is sufficient.</p>
			<p>3. Compactness.</p> <p>The Committees shall make reasonable efforts to draw legislative districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans that are compact. In doing so, the Committee may use as a guide the minimum Reock ("dispersion") and Polsby-Popper ("perimeter") scores identified by Richard H. Pildes and Richard G. Neimi in Expressive Harms, "Bizarre Districts," and Voting Rights: Evaluating Election-District Appearances After Shaw v. Reno, 92 Mich. L. Rev. 483 (1993).</p>
			<p>4. Counties, Groupings and Traversals.</p> <p>The Committees shall draw legislative districts within county groupings as required by Stephenson v. Bartlett, 355 N.C. 354, 562 S.E. 2d 377 (2002) (Stephenson I), Stephenson v. Bartlett, 357 N.C. 301, 582 S.E.2d 247 (2003) (Stephenson II), Dickson v. Rucho, 367 N.C. 542, 766 S.E.2d 238 (2014) (Dickson I) and Dickson v. Rucho, 368 N.C. 481, 781 S.E.2d 460 (2015) (Dickson II). Within county groupings, county lines shall not be traversed except as authorized by Stephenson I, Stephenson II, Dickson I, and Dickson II. Division of counties in the 2021 Congressional plan shall only be made for reasons of equalizing population and consideration of double bunking. If a county is of sufficient population size to contain an entire congressional district within the county's boundaries, the Committees shall construct a district entirely within that county.</p>
			Please commit, to a fair and transparent mapping process and share the criteria you are using to compile your maps ASAP.
			Thank you,

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/19/2021 01:53 PM	Mrs Jill Mertens	Jill Mertens	<p>The last round of map- building and legislation was an embarrassment to our great state. This year we need a fair and transparent mapping process with more time allowed for public review and feedback. Will you commit to a fair and transparent process?</p> <p>In order to get started, citizens need to understand and agree on the criteria used to define the new maps. Racial data, partisan considerations and elections results are NOT valid criteria!!! I think a fair set of criteria should include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Equal Population. The Committees will use the 2020 federal decennial census data as the sole basis of population for the establishment of districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans. The number of persons in each legislative district shall be within plus or minus 5 percent of the ideal district population, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census. The number of persons in each congressional district shall be as nearly as equal as practicable, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census. 2. Contiguity. Legislative and congressional districts shall be comprised of contiguous territory. Contiguity by water is sufficient. 3. Compactness. The Committees shall make reasonable efforts to draw legislative districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans that are compact. In doing so, the Committee may use as a guide the minimum Reock ("dispersion") and Polsby-Popper ("perimeter") scores identified by Richard H. Pildes and Richard G. Neimi in Expressive Harms, "Bizarre Districts," and Voting Rights: Evaluating Election-District Appearances After Shaw v. Reno, 92 Mich. L. Rev. 483 (1993). 4. Counties, Groupings and Traversals. The Committees shall draw legislative districts within county groupings as required by Stephenson v. Bartlett, 355 N.C. 354, 562 S.E. 2d 377 (2002) (Stephenson I), Stephenson v. Bartlett, 357 N.C. 301, 582 S.E.2d 247 (2003) (Stephenson II), Dickson v. Rucho, 367 N.C. 542, 766 S.E.2d 238 (2014) (Dickson I) and Dickson v. Rucho, 368 N.C. 481, 781 S.E.2d 460 (2015) (Dickson II). Within county groupings, county lines shall not be traversed except as authorized by Stephenson I, Stephenson II, Dickson I, and Dickson II. Division of counties in the 2021 Congressional plan shall only be made for reasons of equalizing population and consideration of double bunking. If a county is of sufficient population size to contain an entire congressional district within the county's boundaries, the Committees shall construct a district entirely within that county. <p>Please commit, to a fair and transparent mapping process and share the criteria you are using to compile your maps ASAP.</p> <p>Thank you, Jill Mertens</p>
08/19/2021 01:53 PM	Mrs Jill Mertens		<p>The last round of map- building and legislation was an embarrassment to our great state. This year we need a fair and transparent mapping process with more time allowed for public review and feedback. Will you commit to a fair and transparent process?</p> <p>In order to get started, citizens need to understand and agree on the criteria used to define the new maps. Racial data, partisan considerations and elections results are NOT valid criteria!!! I think a fair set of criteria should include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Equal Population. The Committees will use the 2020 federal decennial census data as the sole basis of population for the establishment

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/19/2021 01:53 PM	Mrs Jill Mertens		<p>of districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans. The number of persons in each legislative district shall be within plus or minus 5 percent of the ideal district population, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census. The number of persons in each congressional district shall be as nearly as equal as practicable, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census.</p> <p>2. Contiguity. Legislative and congressional districts shall be comprised of contiguous territory. Contiguity by water is sufficient.</p> <p>3. Compactness. The Committees shall make reasonable efforts to draw legislative districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans that are compact. In doing so, the Committee may use as a guide the minimum Reock ("dispersion") and Polsby-Popper ("perimeter") scores identified by Richard H. Pildes and Richard G. Neimi in Expressive Harms, "Bizarre Districts," and Voting Rights: Evaluating Election-District Appearances After Shaw v. Reno, 92 Mich. L. Rev. 483 (1993).</p> <p>4. Counties, Groupings and Traversals. The Committees shall draw legislative districts within county groupings as required by Stephenson v. Bartlett, 355 N.C. 354, 562 S.E. 2d 377 (2002) (Stephenson I), Stephenson v. Bartlett, 357 N.C. 301, 582 S.E.2d 247 (2003) (Stephenson II), Dickson v. Rucho, 367 N.C. 542, 766 S.E.2d 238 (2014) (Dickson I) and Dickson v. Rucho, 368 N.C. 481, 781 S.E.2d 460 (2015) (Dickson II). Within county groupings, county lines shall not be traversed except as authorized by Stephenson I, Stephenson II, Dickson I, and Dickson II. Division of counties in the 2021 Congressional plan shall only be made for reasons of equalizing population and consideration of double bunking. If a county is of sufficient population size to contain an entire congressional district within the county's boundaries, the Committees shall construct a district entirely within that county.</p> <p>Please commit, to a fair and transparent mapping process and share the criteria you are using to compile your maps ASAP.</p> <p>Thank you, Jill Mertens</p> <p>The last round of map- building and legislation was an embarrassment to our great state. This year we need a fair and transparent mapping process with more time allowed for public review and feedback. Will you commit to a fair and transparent process?</p> <p>In order to get started, citizens need to understand and agree on the criteria used to define the new maps. Racial data, partisan considerations and elections results are NOT valid criteria!!! I think a fair set of criteria should include:</p> <p>1. Equal Population. The Committees will use the 2020 federal decennial census data as the sole basis of population for the establishment of districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans. The number of persons in each legislative district shall be within plus or minus 5 percent of the ideal district population, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census. The number of persons in each congressional district shall be as nearly as equal as practicable, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census.</p> <p>2. Contiguity. Legislative and congressional districts shall be comprised of contiguous territory. Contiguity by water is sufficient.</p> <p>3. Compactness. The Committees shall make reasonable efforts to draw legislative districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>Senate plans that are compact. In doing so, the Committee may use as a guide the minimum Reock ("dispersion") and Polsby-Popper ("perimeter") scores identified by Richard H. Pildes and Richard G. Neimi in Expressive Harms, "Bizarre Districts," and Voting Rights: Evaluating Election-District Appearances After Shaw v. Reno, 92 Mich. L. Rev. 483 (1993).</p> <p>4. Counties, Groupings and Traversals. The Committees shall draw legislative districts within county groupings as required by Stephenson v. Bartlett, 355 N.C. 354, 562 S.E. 2d 377 (2002) (Stephenson I), Stephenson v. Bartlett, 357 N.C. 301, 582 S.E.2d 247 (2003) (Stephenson II), Dickson v. Rucho, 367 N.C. 542, 766 S.E.2d 238 (2014) (Dickson I) and Dickson v. Rucho, 368 N.C. 481, 781 S.E.2d 460 (2015) (Dickson II). Within county groupings, county lines shall not be traversed except as authorized by Stephenson I, Stephenson II, Dickson I, and Dickson II. Division of counties in the 2021 Congressional plan shall only be made for reasons of equalizing population and consideration of double bunking. If a county is of sufficient population size to contain an entire congressional district within the county's boundaries, the Committees shall construct a district entirely within that county.</p> <p>Please commit, to a fair and transparent mapping process and share the criteria you are using to compile your maps ASAP.</p> <p>Thank you, Jill Mertens</p>

08/19/2021 01:53 PM Mrs Jill Mertens

The last round of map- building and legislation was an embarrassment to our great state. This year we need a fair and transparent mapping process with more time allowed for public review and feedback. Will you commit to a fair and transparent process?

In order to get started, citizens need to understand and agree on the criteria used to define the new maps. Racial data, partisan considerations and elections results are NOT valid criteria!!! I think a fair set of criteria should include:

1. Equal Population.
The Committees will use the 2020 federal decennial census data as the sole basis of population for the establishment of districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans. The number of persons in each legislative district shall be within plus or minus 5 percent of the ideal district population, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census. The number of persons in each congressional district shall be as nearly as equal as practicable, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census.
2. Contiguity.
Legislative and congressional districts shall be comprised of contiguous territory. Contiguity by water is sufficient.
3. Compactness.
The Committees shall make reasonable efforts to draw legislative districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans that are compact. In doing so, the Committee may use as a guide the minimum Reock ("dispersion") and Polsby-Popper ("perimeter") scores identified by Richard H. Pildes and Richard G. Neimi in Expressive Harms, "Bizarre Districts," and Voting Rights: Evaluating Election-District Appearances After Shaw v. Reno, 92 Mich. L. Rev. 483 (1993).
4. Counties, Groupings and Traversals.
The Committees shall draw legislative districts within county groupings as required by Stephenson v. Bartlett, 355 N.C. 354, 562 S.E. 2d 377 (2002) (Stephenson I), Stephenson v. Bartlett, 357 N.C. 301, 582 S.E.2d 247 (2003) (Stephenson II), Dickson v. Rucho, 367 N.C. 542, 766 S.E.2d 238 (2014) (Dickson I) and Dickson v. Rucho, 368 N.C. 481, 781 S.E.2d 460 (2015) (Dickson II). Within county groupings, county lines shall not be traversed except as authorized by Stephenson I, Stephenson II, Dickson I, and Dickson II. Division of counties in the 2021 Congressional plan shall only be made for

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/19/2021 01:53 PM	Mrs Jill Mertens		<p>reasons of equalizing population and consideration of double bunking. If a county is of sufficient population size to contain an entire congressional district within the county's boundaries, the Committees shall construct a district entirely within that county.</p> <p>Please commit, to a fair and transparent mapping process and share the criteria you are using to compile your maps ASAP.</p> <p>Thank you, Jill Mertens</p> <p>The last round of map- building and legislation was an embarrassment to our great state. This year we need a fair and transparent mapping process with more time allowed for public review and feedback. Will you commit to a fair and transparent process?</p> <p>In order to get started, citizens need to understand and agree on the criteria used to define the new maps. Racial data, partisan considerations and elections results are NOT valid criteria!!! I think a fair set of criteria should include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Equal Population. The Committees will use the 2020 federal decennial census data as the sole basis of population for the establishment of districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans. The number of persons in each legislative district shall be within plus or minus 5 percent of the ideal district population, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census. The number of persons in each congressional district shall be as nearly as equal as practicable, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census. 2. Contiguity. Legislative and congressional districts shall be comprised of contiguous territory. Contiguity by water is sufficient. 3. Compactness. The Committees shall make reasonable efforts to draw legislative districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans that are compact. In doing so, the Committee may use as a guide the minimum Reock ("dispersion") and Polsby-Popper ("perimeter") scores identified by Richard H. Pildes and Richard G. Neimi in Expressive Harms, "Bizarre Districts," and Voting Rights: Evaluating Election-District Appearances After Shaw v. Reno, 92 Mich. L. Rev. 483 (1993). 4. Counties, Groupings and Traversals. The Committees shall draw legislative districts within county groupings as required by Stephenson v. Bartlett, 355 N.C. 354, 562 S.E. 2d 377 (2002) (Stephenson I), Stephenson v. Bartlett, 357 N.C. 301, 582 S.E.2d 247 (2003) (Stephenson II), Dickson v. Rucho, 367 N.C. 542, 766 S.E.2d 238 (2014) (Dickson I) and Dickson v. Rucho, 368 N.C. 481, 781 S.E.2d 460 (2015) (Dickson II). Within county groupings, county lines shall not be traversed except as authorized by Stephenson I, Stephenson II, Dickson I, and Dickson II. Division of counties in the 2021 Congressional plan shall only be made for reasons of equalizing population and consideration of double bunking. If a county is of sufficient population size to contain an entire congressional district within the county's boundaries, the Committees shall construct a district entirely within that county. <p>Please commit, to a fair and transparent mapping process and share the criteria you are using to compile your maps ASAP.</p> <p>Thank you, Jill Mertens</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/19/2021 01:53 PM	Mrs Jill Mertens		<p>The last round of map- building and legislation was an embarrassment to our great state. This year we need a fair and transparent mapping process with more time allowed for public review and feedback. Will you commit to a fair and transparent process?</p> <p>In order to get started, citizens need to understand and agree on the criteria used to define the new maps. Racial data, partisan considerations and elections results are NOT valid criteria!!! I think a fair set of criteria should include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Equal Population. The Committees will use the 2020 federal decennial census data as the sole basis of population for the establishment of districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans. The number of persons in each legislative district shall be within plus or minus 5 percent of the ideal district population, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census. The number of persons in each congressional district shall be as nearly as equal as practicable, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census. 2. Contiguity. Legislative and congressional districts shall be comprised of contiguous territory. Contiguity by water is sufficient. 3. Compactness. The Committees shall make reasonable efforts to draw legislative districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans that are compact. In doing so, the Committee may use as a guide the minimum Reock ("dispersion") and Polsby-Popper ("perimeter") scores identified by Richard H. Pildes and Richard G. Neimi in Expressive Harms, "Bizarre Districts," and Voting Rights: Evaluating Election-District Appearances After Shaw v. Reno, 92 Mich. L. Rev. 483 (1993). 4. Counties, Groupings and Traversals. The Committees shall draw legislative districts within county groupings as required by Stephenson v. Bartlett, 355 N.C. 354, 562 S.E. 2d 377 (2002) (Stephenson I), Stephenson v. Bartlett, 357 N.C. 301, 582 S.E.2d 247 (2003) (Stephenson II), Dickson v. Rucho, 367 N.C. 542, 766 S.E.2d 238 (2014) (Dickson I) and Dickson v. Rucho, 368 N.C. 481, 781 S.E.2d 460 (2015) (Dickson II). Within county groupings, county lines shall not be traversed except as authorized by Stephenson I, Stephenson II, Dickson I, and Dickson II. Division of counties in the 2021 Congressional plan shall only be made for reasons of equalizing population and consideration of double bunking. If a county is of sufficient population size to contain an entire congressional district within the county's boundaries, the Committees shall construct a district entirely within that county. <p>Please commit, to a fair and transparent mapping process and share the criteria you are using to compile your maps ASAP.</p> <p>Thank you, Jill Mertens</p>
08/19/2021 01:53 PM	Mrs Jill Mertens		<p>The last round of map- building and legislation was an embarrassment to our great state. This year we need a fair and transparent mapping process with more time allowed for public review and feedback. Will you commit to a fair and transparent process?</p> <p>In order to get started, citizens need to understand and agree on the criteria used to define the new maps. Racial data, partisan considerations and elections results are NOT valid criteria!!! I think a fair set of criteria should include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Equal Population. The Committees will use the 2020 federal decennial census data as the sole basis of population for the establishment of districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans. The number of persons in each legislative district shall be within plus or minus 5 percent of the ideal district population, as determined under the most recent federal decennial

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/19/2021 01:53 PM	Mrs Jill Mertens		<p>census. The number of persons in each congressional district shall be as nearly as equal as practicable, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census.</p> <p>2. Contiguity. Legislative and congressional districts shall be comprised of contiguous territory. Contiguity by water is sufficient.</p> <p>3. Compactness. The Committees shall make reasonable efforts to draw legislative districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans that are compact. In doing so, the Committee may use as a guide the minimum Reock ("dispersion") and Polsby-Popper ("perimeter") scores identified by Richard H. Pildes and Richard G. Neimi in Expressive Harms, "Bizarre Districts," and Voting Rights: Evaluating Election-District Appearances After Shaw v. Reno, 92 Mich. L. Rev. 483 (1993).</p> <p>4. Counties, Groupings and Traversals. The Committees shall draw legislative districts within county groupings as required by Stephenson v. Bartlett, 355 N.C. 354, 562 S.E. 2d 377 (2002) (Stephenson I), Stephenson v. Bartlett, 357 N.C. 301, 582 S.E.2d 247 (2003) (Stephenson II), Dickson v. Rucho, 367 N.C. 542, 766 S.E.2d 238 (2014) (Dickson I) and Dickson v. Rucho, 368 N.C. 481, 781 S.E.2d 460 (2015) (Dickson II). Within county groupings, county lines shall not be traversed except as authorized by Stephenson I, Stephenson II, Dickson I, and Dickson II. Division of counties in the 2021 Congressional plan shall only be made for reasons of equalizing population and consideration of double bunking. If a county is of sufficient population size to contain an entire congressional district within the county's boundaries, the Committees shall construct a district entirely within that county.</p> <p>Please commit, to a fair and transparent mapping process and share the criteria you are using to compile your maps ASAP.</p> <p>Thank you, Jill Mertens</p>
			<p>The last round of map- building and legislation was an embarrassment to our great state. This year we need a fair and transparent mapping process with more time allowed for public review and feedback. Will you commit to a fair and transparent process?</p> <p>In order to get started, citizens need to understand and agree on the criteria used to define the new maps. Racial data, partisan considerations and elections results are NOT valid criteria!!! I think a fair set of criteria should include:</p> <p>1. Equal Population. The Committees will use the 2020 federal decennial census data as the sole basis of population for the establishment of districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans. The number of persons in each legislative district shall be within plus or minus 5 percent of the ideal district population, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census. The number of persons in each congressional district shall be as nearly as equal as practicable, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census.</p> <p>2. Contiguity. Legislative and congressional districts shall be comprised of contiguous territory. Contiguity by water is sufficient.</p> <p>3. Compactness. The Committees shall make reasonable efforts to draw legislative districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans that are compact. In doing so, the Committee may use as a guide the minimum Reock ("dispersion") and Polsby-Popper ("perimeter") scores identified by Richard H. Pildes and Richard G. Neimi in Expressive Harms, "Bizarre</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>Districts," and Voting Rights: Evaluating Election-District Appearances After Shaw v. Reno, 92 Mich. L. Rev. 483 (1993).</p> <p>4. Counties, Groupings and Traversals. The Committees shall draw legislative districts within county groupings as required by Stephenson v. Bartlett, 355 N.C. 354, 562 S.E. 2d 377 (2002) (Stephenson I), Stephenson v. Bartlett, 357 N.C. 301, 582 S.E.2d 247 (2003) (Stephenson II), Dickson v. Rucho, 367 N.C. 542, 766 S.E.2d 238 (2014) (Dickson I) and Dickson v. Rucho, 368 N.C. 481, 781 S.E.2d 460 (2015) (Dickson II). Within county groupings, county lines shall not be traversed except as authorized by Stephenson I, Stephenson II, Dickson I, and Dickson II. Division of counties in the 2021 Congressional plan shall only be made for reasons of equalizing population and consideration of double bunking. If a county is of sufficient population size to contain an entire congressional district within the county's boundaries, the Committees shall construct a district entirely within that county.</p> <p>Please commit, to a fair and transparent mapping process and share the criteria you are using to compile your maps ASAP.</p> <p>Thank you, Jill Mertens</p>
08/19/2021 01:53 PM	Mrs Jill Mertens		<p>The last round of map- building and legislation was an embarrassment to our great state. This year we need a fair and transparent mapping process with more time allowed for public review and feedback. Will you commit to a fair and transparent process?</p> <p>In order to get started, citizens need to understand and agree on the criteria used to define the new maps. Racial data, partisan considerations and elections results are NOT valid criteria!!! I think a fair set of criteria should include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Equal Population. The Committees will use the 2020 federal decennial census data as the sole basis of population for the establishment of districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans. The number of persons in each legislative district shall be within plus or minus 5 percent of the ideal district population, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census. The number of persons in each congressional district shall be as nearly as equal as practicable, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census. 2. Contiguity. Legislative and congressional districts shall be comprised of contiguous territory. Contiguity by water is sufficient. 3. Compactness. The Committees shall make reasonable efforts to draw legislative districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans that are compact. In doing so, the Committee may use as a guide the minimum Reock ("dispersion") and Polsby-Popper ("perimeter") scores identified by Richard H. Pildes and Richard G. Neimi in Expressive Harms, "Bizarre Districts," and Voting Rights: Evaluating Election-District Appearances After Shaw v. Reno, 92 Mich. L. Rev. 483 (1993). 4. Counties, Groupings and Traversals. The Committees shall draw legislative districts within county groupings as required by Stephenson v. Bartlett, 355 N.C. 354, 562 S.E. 2d 377 (2002) (Stephenson I), Stephenson v. Bartlett, 357 N.C. 301, 582 S.E.2d 247 (2003) (Stephenson II), Dickson v. Rucho, 367 N.C. 542, 766 S.E.2d 238 (2014) (Dickson I) and Dickson v. Rucho, 368 N.C. 481, 781 S.E.2d 460 (2015) (Dickson II). Within county groupings, county lines shall not be traversed except as authorized by Stephenson I, Stephenson II, Dickson I, and Dickson II. Division of counties in the 2021 Congressional plan shall only be made for reasons of equalizing population and consideration of double bunking. If a county is of sufficient population size to contain an entire congressional district within the county's boundaries, the Committees shall construct a district entirely

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/19/2021 01:53 PM	Mrs Jill Mertens		<p>within that county.</p> <p>Please commit, to a fair and transparent mapping process and share the criteria you are using to compile your maps ASAP.</p> <p>Thank you, Jill Mertens</p> <p>The last round of map- building and legislation was an embarrassment to our great state. This year we need a fair and transparent mapping process with more time allowed for public review and feedback. Will you commit to a fair and transparent process?</p>
08/19/2021 01:53 PM	Mrs Jill Mertens		<p>In order to get started, citizens need to understand and agree on the criteria used to define the new maps. Racial data, partisan considerations and elections results are NOT valid criteria!!! I think a fair set of criteria should include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Equal Population. The Committees will use the 2020 federal decennial census data as the sole basis of population for the establishment of districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans. The number of persons in each legislative district shall be within plus or minus 5 percent of the ideal district population, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census. The number of persons in each congressional district shall be as nearly as equal as practicable, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census. 2. Contiguity. Legislative and congressional districts shall be comprised of contiguous territory. Contiguity by water is sufficient. 3. Compactness. The Committees shall make reasonable efforts to draw legislative districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans that are compact. In doing so, the Committee may use as a guide the minimum Reock ("dispersion") and Polsby-Popper ("perimeter") scores identified by Richard H. Pildes and Richard G. Neimi in Expressive Harms, "Bizarre Districts," and Voting Rights: Evaluating Election-District Appearances After Shaw v. Reno, 92 Mich. L. Rev. 483 (1993). 4. Counties, Groupings and Traversals. The Committees shall draw legislative districts within county groupings as required by Stephenson v. Bartlett, 355 N.C. 354, 562 S.E. 2d 377 (2002) (Stephenson I), Stephenson v. Bartlett, 357 N.C. 301, 582 S.E.2d 247 (2003) (Stephenson II), Dickson v. Rucho, 367 N.C. 542, 766 S.E.2d 238 (2014) (Dickson I) and Dickson v. Rucho, 368 N.C. 481, 781 S.E.2d 460 (2015) (Dickson II). Within county groupings, county lines shall not be traversed except as authorized by Stephenson I, Stephenson II, Dickson I, and Dickson II. Division of counties in the 2021 Congressional plan shall only be made for reasons of equalizing population and consideration of double bunking. If a county is of sufficient population size to contain an entire congressional district within the county's boundaries, the Committees shall construct a district entirely within that county. <p>Please commit, to a fair and transparent mapping process and share the criteria you are using to compile your maps ASAP.</p> <p>Thank you, Jill Mertens</p> <p>The last round of map- building and legislation was an embarrassment to our great state. This year we need a fair and transparent mapping process with more time allowed for public review and feedback. Will you commit to a fair and</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/19/2021 01:53 PM	Mrs Jill Mertens		<p>transparent process?</p> <p>In order to get started, citizens need to understand and agree on the criteria used to define the new maps. Racial data, partisan considerations and elections results are NOT valid criteria!!! I think a fair set of criteria should include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Equal Population. The Committees will use the 2020 federal decennial census data as the sole basis of population for the establishment of districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans. The number of persons in each legislative district shall be within plus or minus 5 percent of the ideal district population, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census. The number of persons in each congressional district shall be as nearly as equal as practicable, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census. 2. Contiguity. Legislative and congressional districts shall be comprised of contiguous territory. Contiguity by water is sufficient. 3. Compactness. The Committees shall make reasonable efforts to draw legislative districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans that are compact. In doing so, the Committee may use as a guide the minimum Reock ("dispersion") and Polsby-Popper ("perimeter") scores identified by Richard H. Pildes and Richard G. Neimi in Expressive Harms, "Bizarre Districts," and Voting Rights: Evaluating Election-District Appearances After Shaw v. Reno, 92 Mich. L. Rev. 483 (1993). 4. Counties, Groupings and Traversals. The Committees shall draw legislative districts within county groupings as required by Stephenson v. Bartlett, 355 N.C. 354, 562 S.E. 2d 377 (2002) (Stephenson I), Stephenson v. Bartlett, 357 N.C. 301, 582 S.E.2d 247 (2003) (Stephenson II), Dickson v. Rucho, 367 N.C. 542, 766 S.E.2d 238 (2014) (Dickson I) and Dickson v. Rucho, 368 N.C. 481, 781 S.E.2d 460 (2015) (Dickson II). Within county groupings, county lines shall not be traversed except as authorized by Stephenson I, Stephenson II, Dickson I, and Dickson II. Division of counties in the 2021 Congressional plan shall only be made for reasons of equalizing population and consideration of double bunking. If a county is of sufficient population size to contain an entire congressional district within the county's boundaries, the Committees shall construct a district entirely within that county. <p>Please commit, to a fair and transparent mapping process and share the criteria you are using to compile your maps ASAP.</p> <p>Thank you, Jill Mertens</p> <p>The last round of map- building and legislation was an embarrassment to our great state. This year we need a fair and transparent mapping process with more time allowed for public review and feedback. Will you commit to a fair and transparent process?</p> <p>In order to get started, citizens need to understand and agree on the criteria used to define the new maps. Racial data, partisan considerations and elections results are NOT valid criteria!!! I think a fair set of criteria should include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Equal Population. The Committees will use the 2020 federal decennial census data as the sole basis of population for the establishment of districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans. The number of persons in each legislative district shall be within plus or minus 5 percent of the ideal district population, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census. The number of persons in each congressional district shall be as nearly as equal as practicable, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/19/2021 01:53 PM	Mrs Jill Mertens		<p>2. Contiguity. Legislative and congressional districts shall be comprised of contiguous territory. Contiguity by water is sufficient.</p> <p>3. Compactness. The Committees shall make reasonable efforts to draw legislative districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans that are compact. In doing so, the Committee may use as a guide the minimum Reock ("dispersion") and Polsby-Popper ("perimeter") scores identified by Richard H. Pildes and Richard G. Neimi in Expressive Harms, "Bizarre Districts," and Voting Rights: Evaluating Election-District Appearances After Shaw v. Reno, 92 Mich. L. Rev. 483 (1993).</p> <p>4. Counties, Groupings and Traversals. The Committees shall draw legislative districts within county groupings as required by Stephenson v. Bartlett, 355 N.C. 354, 562 S.E. 2d 377 (2002) (Stephenson I), Stephenson v. Bartlett, 357 N.C. 301, 582 S.E.2d 247 (2003) (Stephenson II), Dickson v. Rucho, 367 N.C. 542, 766 S.E.2d 238 (2014) (Dickson I) and Dickson v. Rucho, 368 N.C. 481, 781 S.E.2d 460 (2015) (Dickson II). Within county groupings, county lines shall not be traversed except as authorized by Stephenson I, Stephenson II, Dickson I, and Dickson II. Division of counties in the 2021 Congressional plan shall only be made for reasons of equalizing population and consideration of double bunking. If a county is of sufficient population size to contain an entire congressional district within the county's boundaries, the Committees shall construct a district entirely within that county.</p> <p>Please commit, to a fair and transparent mapping process and share the criteria you are using to compile your maps ASAP.</p> <p>Thank you, Jill Mertens</p> <p>The last round of map- building and legislation was an embarrassment to our great state. This year we need a fair and transparent mapping process with more time allowed for public review and feedback. Will you commit to a fair and transparent process?</p> <p>In order to get started, citizens need to understand and agree on the criteria used to define the new maps. Racial data, partisan considerations and elections results are NOT valid criteria!!! I think a fair set of criteria should include:</p> <p>1. Equal Population. The Committees will use the 2020 federal decennial census data as the sole basis of population for the establishment of districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans. The number of persons in each legislative district shall be within plus or minus 5 percent of the ideal district population, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census. The number of persons in each congressional district shall be as nearly as equal as practicable, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census.</p> <p>2. Contiguity. Legislative and congressional districts shall be comprised of contiguous territory. Contiguity by water is sufficient.</p> <p>3. Compactness. The Committees shall make reasonable efforts to draw legislative districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans that are compact. In doing so, the Committee may use as a guide the minimum Reock ("dispersion") and Polsby-Popper ("perimeter") scores identified by Richard H. Pildes and Richard G. Neimi in Expressive Harms, "Bizarre Districts," and Voting Rights: Evaluating Election-District Appearances After Shaw v. Reno, 92 Mich. L. Rev. 483 (1993).</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/19/2021 01:53 PM	Mrs Jill Mertens		<p>4. Counties, Groupings and Traversals. The Committees shall draw legislative districts within county groupings as required by Stephenson v. Bartlett, 355 N.C. 354, 562 S.E. 2d 377 (2002) (Stephenson I), Stephenson v. Bartlett, 357 N.C. 301, 582 S.E.2d 247 (2003) (Stephenson II), Dickson v. Rucho, 367 N.C. 542, 766 S.E.2d 238 (2014) (Dickson I) and Dickson v. Rucho, 368 N.C. 481, 781 S.E.2d 460 (2015) (Dickson II). Within county groupings, county lines shall not be traversed except as authorized by Stephenson I, Stephenson II, Dickson I, and Dickson II. Division of counties in the 2021 Congressional plan shall only be made for reasons of equalizing population and consideration of double bunking. If a county is of sufficient population size to contain an entire congressional district within the county's boundaries, the Committees shall construct a district entirely within that county.</p> <p>Please commit, to a fair and transparent mapping process and share the criteria you are using to compile your maps ASAP.</p> <p>Thank you, Jill Mertens</p> <p>The last round of map- building and legislation was an embarrassment to our great state. This year we need a fair and transparent mapping process with more time allowed for public review and feedback. Will you commit to a fair and transparent process?</p> <p>In order to get started, citizens need to understand and agree on the criteria used to define the new maps. Racial data, partisan considerations and elections results are NOT valid criteria!!! I think a fair set of criteria should include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Equal Population. The Committees will use the 2020 federal decennial census data as the sole basis of population for the establishment of districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans. The number of persons in each legislative district shall be within plus or minus 5 percent of the ideal district population, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census. The number of persons in each congressional district shall be as nearly as equal as practicable, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census. 2. Contiguity. Legislative and congressional districts shall be comprised of contiguous territory. Contiguity by water is sufficient. 3. Compactness. The Committees shall make reasonable efforts to draw legislative districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans that are compact. In doing so, the Committee may use as a guide the minimum Reock ("dispersion") and Polsby-Popper ("perimeter") scores identified by Richard H. Pildes and Richard G. Neimi in Expressive Harms, "Bizarre Districts," and Voting Rights: Evaluating Election-District Appearances After Shaw v. Reno, 92 Mich. L. Rev. 483 (1993). 4. Counties, Groupings and Traversals. The Committees shall draw legislative districts within county groupings as required by Stephenson v. Bartlett, 355 N.C. 354, 562 S.E. 2d 377 (2002) (Stephenson I), Stephenson v. Bartlett, 357 N.C. 301, 582 S.E.2d 247 (2003) (Stephenson II), Dickson v. Rucho, 367 N.C. 542, 766 S.E.2d 238 (2014) (Dickson I) and Dickson v. Rucho, 368 N.C. 481, 781 S.E.2d 460 (2015) (Dickson II). Within county groupings, county lines shall not be traversed except as authorized by Stephenson I, Stephenson II, Dickson I, and Dickson II. Division of counties in the 2021 Congressional plan shall only be made for reasons of equalizing population and consideration of double bunking. If a county is of sufficient population size to contain an entire congressional district within the county's boundaries, the Committees shall construct a district entirely within that county.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/19/2021 01:53 PM	Mrs Jill Mertens		<p>Please commit, to a fair and transparent mapping process and share the criteria you are using to compile your maps ASAP.</p> <p>Thank you, Jill Mertens</p> <p>The last round of map- building and legislation was an embarrassment to our great state. This year we need a fair and transparent mapping process with more time allowed for public review and feedback. Will you commit to a fair and transparent process?</p> <p>In order to get started, citizens need to understand and agree on the criteria used to define the new maps. Racial data, partisan considerations and elections results are NOT valid criteria!!! I think a fair set of criteria should include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Equal Population. The Committees will use the 2020 federal decennial census data as the sole basis of population for the establishment of districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans. The number of persons in each legislative district shall be within plus or minus 5 percent of the ideal district population, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census. The number of persons in each congressional district shall be as nearly as equal as practicable, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census. 2. Contiguity. Legislative and congressional districts shall be comprised of contiguous territory. Contiguity by water is sufficient. 3. Compactness. The Committees shall make reasonable efforts to draw legislative districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans that are compact. In doing so, the Committee may use as a guide the minimum Reock ("dispersion") and Polsby-Popper ("perimeter") scores identified by Richard H. Pildes and Richard G. Neimi in Expressive Harms, "Bizarre Districts," and Voting Rights: Evaluating Election-District Appearances After Shaw v. Reno, 92 Mich. L. Rev. 483 (1993). 4. Counties, Groupings and Traversals. The Committees shall draw legislative districts within county groupings as required by Stephenson v. Bartlett, 355 N.C. 354, 562 S.E. 2d 377 (2002) (Stephenson I), Stephenson v. Bartlett, 357 N.C. 301, 582 S.E.2d 247 (2003) (Stephenson II), Dickson v. Rucho, 367 N.C. 542, 766 S.E.2d 238 (2014) (Dickson I) and Dickson v. Rucho, 368 N.C. 481, 781 S.E.2d 460 (2015) (Dickson II). Within county groupings, county lines shall not be traversed except as authorized by Stephenson I, Stephenson II, Dickson I, and Dickson II. Division of counties in the 2021 Congressional plan shall only be made for reasons of equalizing population and consideration of double bunking. If a county is of sufficient population size to contain an entire congressional district within the county's boundaries, the Committees shall construct a district entirely within that county. <p>Please commit, to a fair and transparent mapping process and share the criteria you are using to compile your maps ASAP.</p> <p>Thank you, Jill Mertens</p> <p>The last round of map- building and legislation was an embarrassment to our great state. This year we need a fair and transparent mapping process with more time allowed for public review and feedback. Will you commit to a fair and transparent process?</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>In order to get started, citizens need to understand and agree on the criteria used to define the new maps. Racial data, partisan considerations and elections results are NOT valid criteria!!! I think a fair set of criteria should include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><p>1. Equal Population. The Committees will use the 2020 federal decennial census data as the sole basis of population for the establishment of districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans. The number of persons in each legislative district shall be within plus or minus 5 percent of the ideal district population, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census. The number of persons in each congressional district shall be as nearly as equal as practicable, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census.</p><p>2. Contiguity. Legislative and congressional districts shall be comprised of contiguous territory. Contiguity by water is sufficient.</p><p>3. Compactness. The Committees shall make reasonable efforts to draw legislative districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans that are compact. In doing so, the Committee may use as a guide the minimum Reock ("dispersion") and Polsby-Popper ("perimeter") scores identified by Richard H. Pildes and Richard G. Neimi in Expressive Harms, "Bizarre Districts," and Voting Rights: Evaluating Election-District Appearances After Shaw v. Reno, 92 Mich. L. Rev. 483 (1993).</p><p>4. Counties, Groupings and Traversals. The Committees shall draw legislative districts within county groupings as required by Stephenson v. Bartlett, 355 N.C. 354, 562 S.E. 2d 377 (2002) (Stephenson I), Stephenson v. Bartlett, 357 N.C. 301, 582 S.E.2d 247 (2003) (Stephenson II), Dickson v. Rucho, 367 N.C. 542, 766 S.E.2d 238 (2014) (Dickson I) and Dickson v. Rucho, 368 N.C. 481, 781 S.E.2d 460 (2015) (Dickson II). Within county groupings, county lines shall not be traversed except as authorized by Stephenson I, Stephenson II, Dickson I, and Dickson II. Division of counties in the 2021 Congressional plan shall only be made for reasons of equalizing population and consideration of double bunking. If a county is of sufficient population size to contain an entire congressional district within the county's boundaries, the Committees shall construct a district entirely within that county.</p> <p>Please commit, to a fair and transparent mapping process and share the criteria you are using to compile your maps ASAP.</p> <p>Thank you, Jill Mertens</p>

From: "Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office)" <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 8/27/2021 12:35:42 PM -0400
To: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)" <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>; Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; "Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)" <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>; "Hillary Woodard (Legislative Analysis)" <Hillary.Woodard@ncleg.gov>; "Sarah Pilon (Legislative Analysis)" <Sarah.Pilon@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Re: Re: Potential Location and Dates -- September Public Hearings
I haven't heard from anyone with issues with this these proposed dates and times. I believe it is okay to proceed and contact the locations to verify if those dates and times work. Thank you.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 26, 2021 12:34 PM
To: Rep. Destin Hall; Sen. Paul Newton; Sen. Ralph Hise; Sen. Warren Daniel; Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office); Brent Woodcox; Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office); Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall); Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)
Cc: Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis); Hillary Woodard (Legislative Analysis); Sarah Pilon (Legislative Analysis)
Subject: Potential Location and Dates -- September Public Hearings

All,

We have taken a stab at a date, location, and start time for each of the 13 counties in which public hearing are proposed to be held by the House Redistricting Committee and the Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee in September 2021. We understood from Nathan that a varied start time was desired, so we have proposed a start time for each location. We have not yet contacted any of the proposed locations to confirm and secure the proposed date and time. Please let us know if this proposal is agreeable, and we will begin the process of making those contacts to secure the location. If any alterations are desired, please let us know that as well.

Thanks,
Erika C

***Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578***

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)" <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 8/27/2021 1:08:55 PM -0400
To: "Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office)" <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; "Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; "Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton)" <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)" <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>; "Hillary Woodard (Legislative Analysis)" <Hillary.Woodard@ncleg.gov>; "Sarah Pilon (Legislative Analysis)" <Sarah.Pilon@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: RE: Potential Location and Dates -- September Public Hearings

We'll start making those contacts. We'll be in touch early next week if we find any adjustments are needed. Thanks!

From: Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office) <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 27, 2021 12:36 PM
To: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>; Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office) <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall) <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office) <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>
Cc: Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis) <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>; Hillary Woodard (Legislative Analysis) <Hillary.Woodard@ncleg.gov>; Sarah Pilon (Legislative Analysis) <Sarah.Pilon@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Re: Potential Location and Dates -- September Public Hearings

I haven't heard from anyone with issues with this these proposed dates and times. I believe it is okay to proceed and contact the locations to verify if those dates and times work. Thank you.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 26, 2021 12:34 PM
To: Rep. Destin Hall; Sen. Paul Newton; Sen. Ralph Hise; Sen. Warren Daniel; Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office); Brent Woodcox; Joshua Yost (President

Pro Tem's Office); Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall); Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)

Cc: Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis); Hillary Woodard (Legislative Analysis); Sarah Pilon (Legislative Analysis)

Subject: Potential Location and Dates -- September Public Hearings

All,

We have taken a stab at a date, location, and start time for each of the 13 counties in which public hearing are proposed to be held by the House Redistricting Committee and the Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee in September 2021. We understood from Nathan that a varied start time was desired, so we have proposed a start time for each location. We have not yet contacted any of the proposed locations to confirm and secure the proposed date and time. Please let us know if this proposal is agreeable, and we will begin the process of making those contacts to secure the location. If any alterations are desired, please let us know that as well.

Thanks,
Erika C

Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)"
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 8/26/2021 12:34:24 PM -0400
To: Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton
<Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise
<Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel
<Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; "Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro
Tem's Office)" <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox
<Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; "Joshua Yost (President Pro
Tem's Office)" <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; "Dylan Reel (Rep.
Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; "Neal Inman (Speaker
Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)"
<Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>; "Hillary Woodard (Legislative
Analysis)" <Hillary.Woodard@ncleg.gov>; "Sarah Pilon
(Legislative Analysis)" <Sarah.Pilon@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Potential Location and Dates -- September Public Hearings
Attachments: Potential Location and Date.pdf

All,

We have taken a stab at a date, location, and start time for each of the 13 counties in which public hearing are proposed to be held by the House Redistricting Committee and the Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee in September 2021. We understood from Nathan that a varied start time was desired, so we have proposed a start time for each location. We have not yet contacted any of the proposed locations to confirm and secure the proposed date and time. Please let us know if this proposal is agreeable, and we will begin the process of making those contacts to secure the location. If any alterations are desired, please let us know that as well.

Thanks,
Erika C

Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578

Proposed Counties in which to Conduct Public Hearings

September 2021

Alamance	Thursday, September 16th
Caldwell	Wednesday, September 8th
Cumberland	Thursday, September 30th
Durham	Wednesday, September 15th
Forsyth	Tuesday, September 14th
Iredell	Thursday, September 9th
Jackson	Tuesday, September 21st
Mecklenburg	Wednesday, September 22nd
Nash	Wednesday, September 15th
New Hanover	Wednesday, September 29th
Pasquotank	Tuesday, September 14th
Pitt	Thursday, September 16th
Robeson	Tuesday, September 28th

Proposed Weekly Schedule of Public Hearings

Week of September 6:

- Wednesday, September 8 – Caldwell Community College and Technical Institute (6:00 pm)
- Thursday, September 9 – Mitchell Community College, Iredell County Campus (3:00 pm)

Week of September 13:

- Tuesday, September 14 – Forsyth Technical Community College (4:00 pm) and Elizabeth City State University (5:00 pm)
- Wednesday, September 15 – Durham Technical Community (6:00 pm) and Nash Community College (5:00 pm)
- Thursday, September 16 – Alamance Community College (5:00 pm) and Pitt Community College (3:00 pm)

Week of September 20:

- Tuesday, September 21 – Western Carolina University (5:00 pm)
- Wednesday, September 22 – Central Piedmont Community College (3:00 pm)

Week of September 27:

- Tuesday, September 28 – UNC-Pembroke (4:00 pm)
- Wednesday, September 29 – UNC-Wilmington (5:00 pm)
- Thursday, September 30 – Fayetteville Technical Community College (6:00 pm)

From: Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/1/2021 5:33:36 PM -0400
To: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)" <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>; "Andy Perrigo (Sen. Warren Daniel)" <Andy.Perrigo@ncleg.gov>; Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; "Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office)" <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; "Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; "Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; "Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton)" <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)" <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: RE: Public Hearing Schedule

tomorrow

Sincerely,

Senator Warren Daniel

District 46 Avery, Burke, Caldwell Counties

Legislative Office Building Room 627

919-715-7823

[Visit my Member Page at NCLeg.net](#)

From: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 01, 2021 5:28 PM
To: Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Andy Perrigo (Sen. Warren Daniel) <Andy.Perrigo@ncleg.gov>; Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall) <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)

<Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office)
<Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>;
Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office) <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; Brian Fork
(President Pro Tem's Office) <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul
Newton) <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>

Cc: Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis) <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>

Subject: RE: Public Hearing Schedule

With that, are the chairs ready to notice the respective meetings for next
Wednesday? We're putting the finishing touches on the on-line sign up portal, and
should be ready shortly, if the chairs are. Or it can be ready first thing in the
morning. What is the preference?

From: Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, September 01, 2021 5:26 PM

To: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>; Andy
Perrigo (Sen. Warren Daniel) <Andy.Perrigo@ncleg.gov>; Rep. Destin Hall
<Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul
Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)
<Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)
<Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office)
<Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>;
Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office) <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; Brian Fork
(President Pro Tem's Office) <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul
Newton) <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>

Cc: Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis) <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>

Subject: RE: Public Hearing Schedule

The press release already went out.

Sincerely,

Senator Warren Daniel

District 46 Avery, Burke, Caldwell Counties

Legislative Office Building Room 627

919-715-7823

[Visit my Member Page at NCLeg.net](#)

From: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 01, 2021 5:12 PM
To: Andy Perrigo (Sen. Warren Daniel) <Andy.Perrigo@ncleg.gov>; Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall) <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office) <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office) <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office) <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office) <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton) <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>
Cc: Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis) <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: Public Hearing Schedule

Andy,

Until the House and Senate chairs have all approved that document, you might want to hold up on going public with it.

From: Andy Perrigo (Sen. Warren Daniel) <Andy.Perrigo@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 01, 2021 5:09 PM
To: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>; Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall) <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office) <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office) <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office) <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office) <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton) <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>
Cc: Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis) <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: Public Hearing Schedule

I put the document in the Senate R and E document folder "General Redistricting Information."

From: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 01, 2021 4:16 PM
To: Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall) <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office) <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office) <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office) <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office) <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton) <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>
Cc: Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis) <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Public Hearing Schedule

All,

We now have confirmation from all the locations for the attached dates and times. We have taken the liberty of including information for the public about sign-up at the bottom of the schedule, in anticipation of this being posted on-line.

We are in the process of developing the on-line sign up portal for the Caldwell County hearing next Wednesday. Once that link is ready, we will contact the committee clerks with that information for the committee notice.

Please let us know if there are any changes or adjustments needed.

Thanks,
Erika C

Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)" <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/1/2021 5:12:29 PM -0400
To: "Andy Perrigo (Sen. Warren Daniel)" <Andy.Perrigo@ncleg.gov>; Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; "Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office)" <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; "Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; "Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; "Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton)" <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)" <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: RE: Public Hearing Schedule

Andy,

Until the House and Senate chairs have all approved that document, you might want to hold up on going public with it.

From: Andy Perrigo (Sen. Warren Daniel) <Andy.Perrigo@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 01, 2021 5:09 PM
To: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>; Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall) <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office) <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office) <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office) <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office) <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton) <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>
Cc: Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis) <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: Public Hearing Schedule

I put the document in the Senate R and E document folder "General Redistricting Information."

From: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 01, 2021 4:16 PM

To: Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall) <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office) <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office) <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office) <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office) <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton) <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>
Cc: Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis) <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Public Hearing Schedule

All,

We now have confirmation from all the locations for the attached dates and times. We have taken the liberty of including information for the public about sign-up at the bottom of the schedule, in anticipation of this being posted on-line.

We are in the process of developing the on-line sign up portal for the Caldwell County hearing next Wednesday. Once that link is ready, we will contact the committee clerks with that information for the committee notice.

Please let us know if there are any changes or adjustments needed.

Thanks,
Erika C

Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578

From: "Andy Perrigo (Sen. Warren Daniel)" <Andy.Perrigo@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/1/2021 5:08:50 PM -0400
To: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)" <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>; Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; "Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office)" <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; "Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; "Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; "Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton)" <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)" <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: RE: Public Hearing Schedule

I put the document in the Senate R and E document folder "General Redistricting Information. "

From: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 01, 2021 4:16 PM
To: Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall) <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office) <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office) <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office) <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office) <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton) <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>
Cc: Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis) <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Public Hearing Schedule

All,

We now have confirmation from all the locations for the attached dates and times. We have taken the liberty of including information for the public about sign-up at the bottom of the schedule, in anticipation of this being posted on-line.

We are in the process of developing the on-line sign up portal for the Caldwell County hearing next Wednesday. Once that link is ready, we will contact the

committee clerks with that information for the committee notice.

Please let us know if there are any changes or adjustments needed.

Thanks,
Erika C

Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578

From: Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/1/2021 5:25:47 PM -0400
To: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)" <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>; "Andy Perrigo (Sen. Warren Daniel)" <Andy.Perrigo@ncleg.gov>; Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; "Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office)" <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; "Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; "Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; "Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton)" <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)" <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: RE: Public Hearing Schedule

The press release already went out.

Sincerely,

Senator Warren Daniel

District 46 Avery, Burke, Caldwell Counties

Legislative Office Building Room 627

919-715-7823

[Visit my Member Page at NCLeg.net](#)

From: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 01, 2021 5:12 PM
To: Andy Perrigo (Sen. Warren Daniel) <Andy.Perrigo@ncleg.gov>; Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall) <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)

<Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office)
<Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>;
Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office) <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; Brian Fork
(President Pro Tem's Office) <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul
Newton) <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>

Cc: Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis) <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>

Subject: RE: Public Hearing Schedule

Andy,

Until the House and Senate chairs have all approved that document, you might want to hold up on going public with it.

From: Andy Perrigo (Sen. Warren Daniel) <Andy.Perrigo@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 01, 2021 5:09 PM
To: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>; Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall) <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office) <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office) <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office) <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office) <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton) <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>
Cc: Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis) <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: Public Hearing Schedule

I put the document in the Senate R and E document folder "General Redistricting Information. "

From: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 01, 2021 4:16 PM
To: Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall) <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office) <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office) <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office) <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office) <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul

Newton) <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>

Cc: Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis) <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>

Subject: Public Hearing Schedule

All,

We now have confirmation from all the locations for the attached dates and times. We have taken the liberty of including information for the public about sign-up at the bottom of the schedule, in anticipation of this being posted on-line.

We are in the process of developing the on-line sign up portal for the Caldwell County hearing next Wednesday. Once that link is ready, we will contact the committee clerks with that information for the committee notice.

Please let us know if there are any changes or adjustments needed.

Thanks,
Erika C

Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)" <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/1/2021 5:28:19 PM -0400
To: Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; "Andy Perrigo (Sen. Warren Daniel)" <Andy.Perrigo@ncleg.gov>; Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; "Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office)" <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; "Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; "Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; "Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton)" <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)" <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: RE: Public Hearing Schedule

With that, are the chairs ready to notice the respective meetings for next Wednesday? We're putting the finishing touches on the on-line sign up portal, and should be ready shortly, if the chairs are. Or it can be ready first thing in the morning. What is the preference?

From: Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 01, 2021 5:26 PM
To: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>; Andy Perrigo (Sen. Warren Daniel) <Andy.Perrigo@ncleg.gov>; Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall) <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office) <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office) <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office) <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office) <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton) <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>
Cc: Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis) <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: Public Hearing Schedule

The press release already went out.

Sincerely,

Senator Warren Daniel

District 46 Avery, Burke, Caldwell Counties

Legislative Office Building Room 627

919-715-7823

[Visit my Member Page at NCLeg.net](#)

From: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 01, 2021 5:12 PM
To: Andy Perrigo (Sen. Warren Daniel) <Andy.Perrigo@ncleg.gov>; Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall) <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office) <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office) <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office) <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office) <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton) <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>
Cc: Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis) <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: Public Hearing Schedule

Andy,

Until the House and Senate chairs have all approved that document, you might want to hold up on going public with it.

From: Andy Perrigo (Sen. Warren Daniel) <Andy.Perrigo@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 01, 2021 5:09 PM
To: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>; Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall) <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office) <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office) <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office) <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office) <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton) <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>
Cc: Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis) <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>

Subject: RE: Public Hearing Schedule

I put the document in the Senate R and E document folder "General Redistricting Information."

From: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 01, 2021 4:16 PM
To: Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall) <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office) <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office) <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office) <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office) <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton) <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>
Cc: Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis) <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Public Hearing Schedule

All,

We now have confirmation from all the locations for the attached dates and times. We have taken the liberty of including information for the public about sign-up at the bottom of the schedule, in anticipation of this being posted on-line.

We are in the process of developing the on-line sign up portal for the Caldwell County hearing next Wednesday. Once that link is ready, we will contact the committee clerks with that information for the committee notice.

Please let us know if there are any changes or adjustments needed.

Thanks,
Erika C

Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly

300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/2/2021 11:50:07 AM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Wednesday, September 8, 2021 at 6:00 PM - UPDATED #1 (Joint)
Attachments: Update meeting on calendar.ics

Updated #1: Address and on-line sign up added

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
JOINT COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet **JOINTLY** as follows:

DAY & DATE: Wednesday, September 8, 2021

TIME: 6:00 PM

LOCATION: Caldwell Community College-

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Joint public hearing for public comments - House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee

Location: Caldwell County Community College and Technical Institute

Broyhill Center

1913 Hickory Blvd.

Lenoir, NC 28645

<https://www.ncleg.gov/requesttospeak/45>

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street |
Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/2/2021 8:50:07 AM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Wednesday, September 8, 2021 at 6:00 PM (Joint)
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
JOINT COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet **JOINTLY** as follows:

DAY & DATE: Wednesday, September 8, 2021

TIME: 6:00 PM

LOCATION: Caldwell Community College

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Joint public hearing for public comments - House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee

Location: Caldwell County Community College and Technical Institute

More details to follow, an updated notice will be sent.

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street |
Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: "Jeffrey Hudson (Legislative Analysis, Director)"
<Jeffrey.Hudson@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/2/2021 11:27:08 AM -0400
To: "Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>;
"Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Redistricting/Standing Committee Schedule

Brian and Neal,

I got the schedule for the redistricting public hearings for this month – hearings on most Tuesdays, Wednesdays, and Thursdays each week. Given that the LAD staff who will be supporting/attending these public hearings also staff the policy committees, which are still meeting, do y'all have a sense of if/when the policy committees might be winding down? We'll try to accommodate the double duty, but it will be challenging if the policy committees continue meeting at the pace they have for the last few weeks.

Thanks,

Jeff

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)" <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/8/2021 8:46:26 AM -0400
To: "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>;
"Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Jeffrey Hudson (Legislative Analysis, Director)"
<Jeffrey.Hudson@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Redistricting public hearings and substantive work - help, please

Neal and Dylan,

As you know, the House Redistricting Committee, jointly with the Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee, is embarking on a series of public hearings to be held across the State. Next week, there are 6 hearings scheduled over three days (Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday). In trying to get the right staff in the correct locations for next week, we need a little help and guidance with respect to what substantive work you expect the House to undertake next week. It would be most helpful to have an idea of which standing committees, other than House Redistricting, you anticipate will meet next week and any major bills that you anticipate the House will take up on the floor. That would assist us in trying to keep pertinent staff to those functions in Raleigh, and others to staff the public hearings around the State.

Obviously, we will not share with anyone outside the committee staff involved, nor hold you to your statements! We know things do change, but would like to try to accommodate both functions being undertaken by the chamber next week.

Thanks,
E

Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)"
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/2/2021 5:51:13 PM -0400
To: SEN - Senate Redistricting & Elections <SEN-SenateRedistrictingElections@ncleg.gov>; HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Public Comment, 9/2/21
Attachments: Public Comment 9.2.21.pdf

Attached please find the public comments submitted between 8/19/21 at 3:00 pm and today at 3:00 pm. Please let me know if there are any questions or concerns.

Thanks
Erika C

Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578

2021 Redistricting Public Comments Public Comments Report - LINC

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/19/2021 04:43 PM	M D. Thalheimer		Dear Committee Members, With regards to US congressional districts please consider the following: 1.Divide the state into a number of at large districts, perhaps 2 with 4 seats and 2 with 3. 2.Use ranked choice voting for US house seats.
08/19/2021 08:57 PM	Mr James Higgins	Cresswind Neighborhood - District 99	Requests the area between 1-485 and Mecklenburg County and Cabarrus County be resigned from Representative Nasif Majeed to Representative Wayne Sasser.
08/19/2021 10:39 PM	Deborah Boyce	District 99 cresswind neighbor hood	I request that area between 1. -485 and Mecklenburg/ Cabarrus County boundary be resigned from representative Nasil Maheed to Representative Wayne Sasser
08/19/2021 11:32 PM	Emily Larsen		The entire redistricting process should be transparent with plenty of time for public involvement and input. All maps/ revisions/commentary should be drawn/discussed in public view w/ live streaming. Maps shouldn't be drawn to advantage a political party or individual. Third parties should be disclosed and introduced at public meetings. Public meetings should be held across the state w/ virtual attendance option. Your constituents are watching and we expect our representatives to carry out a fair and honest redistricting process.
08/20/2021 07:45 AM	Mr LW Davis	Cresswind Neighborhood - District 99	I request the area between I-485 and the Mecklenburg/Cabarrus County boundry be resigned from Representative Nasif Majeed to Representative Wayne Sasser.
08/20/2021 07:48 AM	Mrs. Linda Davis	Cresswind Neighborhood - District 99	I request the area between I-485 and the Mecklenburg/Cabarrus County boundary be resigned from Representative Nasif Majeed to Representative Wayne Sasser.
08/20/2021 09:27 AM	MR JIM TUCKER	CRESSWIND NEIGHBORHOOD- DISTRICT 99	I REQUEST THE AREA BETWEEN I-485 AND THE MECKLENBURG/CARARRUS COUNTY BOUDRY BE RESIGNED FROM REPRESENTATIVE NASIF MAJEED TO REPRESENTATIVE WAYNE SASSER
08/20/2021 09:31 AM	MRS DEBORAH TUCKER	CRESSWIND NEIGHBORHOOD - DISTRICT 99	I REQUEST THE AREA BETWEEN I-485 AND THE MECKLENBURG/CABARRUS COUNTY BOUDRY BE RESIGNED FROM REPRESENTATIVE NASIF MAJEED TO REPRESENTATIVE WAYNE SASSER.
08/20/2021 09:38 AM	Carol Teitler		I request the area between I-485 and the Mecklenburg/Cabarrus County boudry be resigned from Representative Nasif Majeed to Representative Wayne Sasser.
08/20/2021 09:39 AM	Irwin Teitler		I request the area between I-485 and the Mecklenburg/Cabarrus County boudry be resigned from Representative Nasif Majeed to Representative Wayne Sasser.
08/20/2021 09:45 AM	MRS Jill Mertens		I know redistricting is underway but I am unable to find any documentation online regarding criteria, timeline or a schedule for public hearings. I respectfully request more proactive communication regarding this important process.
08/20/2021 01:16 PM	Steve Adams	- None -	We need an independent commission to draw districts. The district commission should be a respected accounting firm that has no political bias.
08/20/2021 03:15 PM	Dr Donna Lanclos		I would like to register my opposition to gerrymandered maps of NC voting districts. Non-partisan district mapping should be the norm, and we should have voting districts that reflect the shape of the communities in which we live, not attempts to connect single voting blocks in a distorted shape that yields a single-party voting district.
08/20/2021 04:08 PM	Lisa Higgins	Cresswind NH99	- I request the area between I-485 and the Mecklenburg/Cabarrus County boudry be resigned from Representative Nasif Majeed to Representative Wayne Sasser.
08/20/2021 05:41 PM	D. Miller		The proposed/planned process is inequitable in several ways: 1. Hearings are spaced out such that some may be unable to attend in person;

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>2. There is no option for virtual attendance;</p> <p>3. No ADA accommodations are planned.</p> <p>Unacceptable!</p>
08/20/2021 06:56 PM	Charles Nicosia	Cresswind Neighborhood District 99	I request that the area between I 485 at the Albemarle exit and the Mecklenburg/ Cabarrus County boundary be reassigned from Rep Nasir Majeed to Rep Wayne Sasser.
08/20/2021 09:25 PM	Melissa Nicosia	Cresswind neighborhood - District 99	I request the area between I-485 and the Mecklenburg/Cabarrus County boundary be reassigned from Representative Nasif Majeed to Representative Wayne Sasser.
08/20/2021 10:35 PM	Mr Kirk Reid		<p>Public hearings must include options to participate virtually online, accessible to disabled and handicapped persons, within a reasonable driving distance not more than 1hr to/from the hearings location , and accommodate for language differences (i.e. Spanish, Mandarin/Cantonese). Drafts of the redistricting maps need to be available before and after for public hearings scheduled. A fair assessment of determining public hearing locations need to be determined using Metropolitan/Micropolitan Statistical Areas that have one urban core area meeting relevant population thresholds (at least a minimum of 50,000)and adjacent territory or counties that have a high degree of social and economic integration with the core. For example, Guilford County which has been subject to racial and partisan gerrymandering and targeted for map manipulation in the recent past does not have a scheduled accessible public hearing with a population that exceeds 500,000 , with the city of Greensboro with approximately 291,000 and High Point with approximately 112,000.</p> <p>Therefore more public hearing locations need to be made available for additional voices to be heard in the communities who know best where to draw district lines.</p> <p>Thank you for your time and consideration.</p>
08/21/2021 12:22 AM	Mr KC Green		Republican politicians are keenly aware of their diminishing voter base. As a result, they will continue their quest to disenfranchise voters by gerrymandering any which they can. It's disgusting and I hope there are enough sensible voters, in their gerrymandered districts, who will vote these bastards out.
08/21/2021 08:46 AM	Ryland Bowman		I am writing to support a fair, non-partisan approach to redistricting. In particular, I think that keeping communities together is very important and essential to NC's success in the decades to come, both economically and otherwise. Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party; otherwise citizens have effectively been stripped of their fundamental right to vote. The process should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature to be responsive to those comments. All map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed. It is crucial that the public have complete confidence in the process and the outcome, so that voters can decide who wins seats based on who can appeal to the most voters, not who was able to contort the districts beyond recognition to ensure minority control.
08/21/2021 08:58 AM	Mr. & Mrs. Sammy Burr	Cresswind	Please consider redistricting our neighborhood, Cresswind Charlotte, to a Representative who is more closely aligned with our beliefs & goals. Wayne Sasser would be our choice. Thank you.
08/21/2021 09:08 AM	Mary Ann DAlessio	Cresswind neighborhood- District 99	I request the area between I-485 and the Mechlenburg/CabarrusCounty boundary be resigned from Representative Nasif Majeed to Representative Wayne Sasser.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/21/2021 10:51 AM	Dr. Richard Honeycutt	EDC Sound Services	My home and business are in Davidson County, which has suffered from the blatant gerrymandering that resulted in District 13! There should be a public meeting on redistricting in Davidson County as well. It is my understanding that the same concerns apply to Guilford and Cumberland Counties. Also, hearings in multiple counties need to be held after the maps are drawn!
08/21/2021 03:35 PM	John Turbeville	Cresswind. Neighborhood-District 99	I request the area between I-485 and the Mecklenburg/Cabarrus County boundary be resigned from Representative Nasif Majeed to Representative Wayne Sasser.
08/21/2021 05:12 PM	Sharon Withrow	League of Women Voters Asheville-Buncombe County	<p>I am a member of the League of Women Voters of Asheville-Buncombe County, and I am writing today with concerns about your proposed schedule for public hearings on redistricting. My concerns are three-fold.</p> <p>1) Holding in-person public hearings in only 10 of North Carolina's 100 counties is insufficient. In western North Carolina, there are no hearings being held in Buncombe County, the population center of the region. From Asheville, it takes 1 hour to drive to Jackson County and 1 hour to drive to Caldwell County, the closest proposed public hearing sites. This effectively makes these meetings inaccessible to working people and caregivers in Buncombe County, as well as working people and caregivers in Madison, Yancey, Polk, Transylvania, Cherokee, Graham, and Clay Counties.</p> <p>In addition to adding additional sites throughout the state for public hearings, in-person hearings should be held outside of standard working hours and in ADA-compliant locations that are accessible by any available local public transportation.</p> <p>2) There are no proposed virtual meetings. Given the rising number of COVID cases throughout the state, this seems especially irresponsible. Virtual meetings allow more members of the public to have input, including people with disabilities, parents and caregivers, and those with compromised immune systems or others who cannot risk COVID exposure. Given the low vaccination rates in many of the counties where in-person hearings are currently exposed, this is particularly important.</p> <p>3) There should be both virtual and in-person hearings AFTER the proposed redistricting maps have been released as well as before.</p> <p>As you all know, redistricting is one of the most important jobs of the General Assembly, and it only occurs once a decade. Collecting input from a broad range of citizens is vital, both to the redistricting process and to North Carolinians' trust in their state government.</p> <p>Regards, Sharon Withrow 37 Yale St Asheville, NC 28806</p>
08/21/2021 09:03 PM	Dr. Timothy Lindeman	New Garden Friends Meeting	Public input makes maps better. That's why it's critical that public hearings occur in accessible locations across the state, with virtual options, both before and after draft maps are released. Communities know where it makes sense to draw district lines. The Redistricting Committee should want that information to draw maps that work for the people of North Carolina. The districts that are drawn in the coming weeks will shape our democracy for the next decade. We deserve and demand a voice in that process.
08/21/2021 10:58 PM	Ms Cheryl Tung		I am writing to request Wake County be added to the list of proposed public hearing sites for the 2021 redistricting round. Wake County is North Carolina's most populous county and contains the largest school district in this state. The population has grown by 25% in the last decade. Its 1.129+ million people deserve a chance for their voices to be heard.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>In addition, I ask that you increase the number of hearings across the state so that more citizens have the opportunity to participate. I would also request public hearings be scheduled before AND after maps are drawn to provide opportunities for communities of interest public testimony, as well as feedback on the voting maps that will affect citizens' lives over the next decade.</p> <p>If it is indeed the desire of NCGA to be transparent and open in this year's redistricting process, then implementing more opportunities for public participation is your obligation to the citizens of North Carolina.</p>
08/22/2021 07:09 AM	Kathy Rhodarmer	NC Citizen	<p>If I had my wish, I would like to see gerrymandering completely done away with! But! Since gerrymandering is still with us, I think it's only fair, and appropriate that the lines be drawn in the same manner, and with the same intent as the democrats have drawn their districts lines in NC for over a hundred years. Sort of like Mell Watt's 12th district lines were drawn in previous redistricting years. Did the democrats care about fairness and equality for republican voters then? Oh h*** NO!! So until gerrymandering is totally done away with, the party in power should take lessons from the previous party, which is now in the minority on how they drew their lines. Draw the lines with gusto, and with the lessons, and examples of the previous parties redistricting lines. Thank you for your time. For what good this will do.</p>
08/22/2021 09:41 AM	Ms Linda Kane	Retired	<p>After what seemed to be an open and transparent beginning the redistricting committee seems to have turned back to its old ways. There are too few places for public hearing and the places that have been most troublesome in the past have been omitted. There is inadequate ability to attend virtually and no accommodation for language differences or disabilities. A fair and transparent approach to redistricting will negate the need for lawsuits after the fact. Continued attempts to limit input sets up the basis for those lawsuits and waste of taxpayer money spent just to make the system fair. Please open the system to as many citizens as possible.</p>
08/22/2021 10:42 AM	Ms. Lesley Wischmann		<p>I am writing in response to your proposed public hearing schedule. My first concern with the schedule as proposed is simply that you are providing so few public oppotunities to hear from the committee and offer comments. Wide swaths of the state's public will be effectively shut out of this process simply because of the distance they would have to travel to attend one of these very few hearings. Not only should the committee want to hear from the public but the committee should want to be able to soeak with the public and explain their process and thinking so that, hopefully, we won't spend another decade and millions more of the public's tax dollars in endless litigation. I am also concerned that you appear to be planning hearings only BEFORE any maps are available. I believe it is very important to gather input before you draw your first set of maps but equally important that you give the public a chance to comment on your draft maps. The way you are currently proposing to schedule your hearings the public will only be able to comment in generalities because there will be no specifics available. Hopefully, it also goes without saying that your public hearings must be held in ADA-accessible spaces at a readonable hour (evening) that will allow for the greatest participation. Thank you.</p>
08/22/2021 11:15 AM	Mr. R. J. Schultz		<p>For the sake of transparency, fairness and confidence in our voting system, public hearings across NC must be held before AND after the draft maps have been drawn, as proposed by Rep. Pricey Harrison. If there is to be only one option, public hearings should occur AFTER draft maps have been released.</p>
08/22/2021 12:00 PM	Elizabeth Fensin		<p>Please hold more hearings before and after the maps are drawn— including in the state's largest county (Wake). Please make public comments accessible to the public since they are public record.</p> <p>If these maps are to last for the next 10 years, they need to be hashed out in full view of the citizens they affect. Thank you!</p>
08/22/2021 12:42 PM	Dr. Arielle Emmett	Arielle Emmett & Associates LLC	<p>Redistricting needs to fairly represent communities that share common bonds and interests. The Hispanic community in Western North Carolina, an estimated 12,000, where I now live, is spread out in four different towns and several zip codes...East Flat Rock, Edneyville, Etowa and Mills River. Blue Ridge Community College, with campuses in Hendersonville and Transylvania counties, are split into different districts. Western North Carolinians don't want a</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>single college and all its students represented by two state senators, nor should ethnic and communities of color be racially gerrymandered and marginalized to diminish the power of their votes. We have serious issues with approximately half million uninsured working adults without health care in NC who need expanded Medicaid coverage and access to hospitals and birthing centers that will otherwise shut down. We have LatinX residents who work hard in our community doing agricultural, child care and construction jobs, yet still live in fear of being hunted down and deported by ICE and our local accessories . We have public schools and teachers who are pitifully underfunded. The obsession that North Carolina Republican legislators have demonstrated to gerrymander communities out of their rightful voices is a painful reminder of how partisanship today overtakes any commitment to fairness, compromise or effective and democratic governing. Let's have a reassessment of power in these redistricting talks. The skulduggery involved in map drawing in NC must come to an end. -- Arielle Emmett, Ph.D., Board of Directors, American Society of Journalists & AUthors</p>
08/22/2021 12:49 PM	Dr. Laurence Kirsch		<p>We need districts that are determined by the geographic distribution of communities, not by politicians whose main interest is in their own re-election. Specifically, we need districts that are as geographically compact as possible: no salamanders. The mathematics for determining such districts are well defined, and the necessary data and computational power are available. In words, the goal is to distribute population (or voters, if you prefer) among districts in a way that minimizes the total distance (in miles) among persons within a district. In mathematical terms, there is an objective function and some constraints. The objective function is calculated as: $\sum \text{over districts } d \text{ of } \{ \sum \text{over persons } i \text{ in district } d \text{ of } [\sum \text{over all persons } j \text{ other than } i \text{ of } (\text{the distance in miles from person } i \text{ to person } j)] \}$. The constraints are that the number of persons within each district be essentially the same (within a tolerance of, for example, 1% or less) as the number of persons in all other districts. To a non-mathematician, this might sound complicated; but it is in fact trivial. If you need a longer explanation, or formal mathematics, please feel free to contact me at Laurence.Kirsch@yahoo.com.</p>
08/22/2021 04:05 PM	Mrs. Paula Jennings	Private citizen	<p>I am writing to ask for public hearings with plenty of advance notice after the new maps are drawn. Because of the many gerrymandered maps drawn in the last 10 years, the wasting of taxpayer dollars (over \$10 million) I in defending these distorted maps, and the lack of faith that fair maps will be drawn this time around, we need more transparency and time to review what is drawn. In 2011 here were more public hearings than what is proposed now, as well. Why is that? This process is too important to give the public anything but your best effort in the facilitation of fairness and integrity.</p>
08/22/2021 04:24 PM	Elizabeth Smith		<p>I live in rural Lenoir County. It makes no sense to put parts of our county in multiple districts. If we have to be with another county, that's fine but for goodness sake keep all of our County together in what ever district assigned.</p>
08/22/2021 04:54 PM	David Schumacher		<p>I have the following recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Notice of Hearings - Ample notice of at least two weeks should be provided for any in-person public hearing to ensure that any individual who wishes to participate has the opportunity to do so. Hearings should not be scheduled during or adjacent to public holidays. 2) Public Hearings Accessibility - Opportunities should be provided for all North Carolina citizens to submit public comments. This includes low-wage workers with demanding schedules, people with disabilities, people without broadband internet access, and others who are typically excluded when public comment opportunities are limited in scope. In-person hearings should be held outside of standard working hours and in ADA-compliant locations that are accessible by any available local public transportation. 3) COVID-19 Safety - In light of rising COVID-19 case numbers across the state, efforts should be made to protect the public through the use of masks and sanitation measures and by providing remote options for participation at every public hearing. 4) Written Public Comments - Written public comments should be accepted via multiple methods, including an online comment portal, email, and U.S. Postal Service mail. All of these comment options should remain available without

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>interruption until maps are passed by the legislature.</p> <p>5) In-Person Public Hearings - In-person hearings should be held across the state both before and after maps are proposed, and in sufficient locations that they are accessible to all North Carolina citizens who wish to take part. In 2011, according to the published schedule, more than 60 public hearings were held, and the current process should reach that same standard at a minimum.</p> <p>6) Access to Information - All redistricting information, including that pertaining to public hearings, should be centralized and posted to an easily accessible website. The current use of committee pages on the ncleg.gov site does not meet this standard.</p> <p>7) Accessibility of the Public Record - Legislative staff should create a report on the public input received, with transcribed copies of the hearings and public input and corresponding online comment submissions. This report should be routinely uploaded to the above mentioned redistricting website.</p>
08/22/2021 05:05 PM	Nancy Nutt		<p>More opportunities for public comment both before and after proposed lines are drawn up should be provided. The numbers and locations are inadequate. There are no locations in Wake County. Please rectify this so that citizens can comment and be made aware of the redistricting proposals.</p>
08/23/2021 09:25 AM	Mrs Betsy Webster		<p>Public input makes redistricting maps better.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> .a redistricting timeline with public comments before and after draft maps .increased transparency throughout the redistricting process .make this years redistricting process transparent, participatory, and accessible to all North Carolinians should be lawmakers priority
08/23/2021 10:09 AM	Debi Little	Cresswind neighborhood, District 99	<p>My district seems to always be gerrymandered. It is awkward and unpleasant for voters.</p> <p>I request that the area between I-485 and Mecklenburg/Cararrus county be resigned from Nasir Majeed to Representative Wayne Sasser.</p>
08/23/2021 10:14 AM	Michael Sloop	Retired	<p>I live in the Cresswind community in 28215 zip code. I would like to be represented by those that cover Mint Hill. Since we live just on the border and actually consider ourselves Mint Hill.</p>
08/23/2021 11:07 AM	Ms Martha Shafer		<p>My name is Martha Shafer. I live in Guilford County, and I'm a member of the League of Women Voters of the Piedmont Triad and am a volunteer with All On The Line.</p>
			<p>My comments relate to the Congressional Map. I believe the cities of Greensboro, High Point and Winston Salem, which anchor the area known as the Piedmont Triad should be kept together in a Congressional district for a number of reasons.</p> <p>We share an airport, an economic development region, TV & radio stations as well as a weekly newspaper. We contain large academic health care organizations: Wake Forest Baptist Health (which has a hospital in High Point) and Cone Health.</p> <p>We are rich in higher education institutions including High Point University, NC A&T, Wake Forest University, UNCG, & GTCC, to name just a few.</p> <p>Residents commute between these cities, working in one, living in another. We visit cultural sites and go to events throughout the 3 cities. For example, Triad Stage has locations in Winston and Greensboro, bringing regional theatre to both cities. Old Salem is a historic cultural destination for all our families. Greensboro's network of watershed trails are enjoyed by everyone in the area as is the Coltrane Festival in High Point.</p> <p>Growth industries in the Triad include distribution, logistics, & aviation which attract new families throughout the area. In addition to the special needs we have due to our employment base and culture, we face challenges experienced by</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>other urban areas: poverty, food insecurity and affordable housing.</p> <p>The Triad benefits when we can advocate together to a single representative to address our distinct needs.</p> <p>Guilford County has a long history of being split by congressional districts. Frankly, at times it was extremely confusing for residents to know who their representative was. In addition to being confusing, it was ineffective. The last time we were split between congressional districts (13 & 6) many residents in our urban and suburban areas felt our concerns were not heard at all by either representative. No amount of phone calls, meetings or emails to our representatives produced results. They were attentive to the voices of the constituents in the rural areas, but not to us. We were frustrated because our needs were ignored.</p> <p>The current congressional map - the one in place right now - does not split Guilford County and keeps Greensboro, High Point and Winston Salem together. It's great! Our voices are finally being heard. In conclusion, please continue to keep Greensboro, High Point and Winston Salem, the anchors of the Piedmont Triad region, in one district so we can elect a representative who will continue to respond to our needs. We are recognized as a distinct area in the state, and our congressional map should continue to reflect that.</p> <p>Thank you for reading my comment, and for attending to this important work. Martha Shafer</p>
08/23/2021 11:10 AM	Jean Emery	LWV Moore County, NC	<p>The League of Women Voters of Moore County believes that creating Fair Districts is fundamental to our democracy and is crucial in upholding public confidence in our system of government; therefore, the redistricting process must be open and transparent to the voters so that all community members have the opportunity to observe, comment and potentially influence the outcome. To this end, the General Assembly should implement the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Disclose the criteria, systems and data used in drawing the maps • Draw and revise maps in public view • Enable public consumption by broadcasting public meetings • Disclose any third parties engaged in the redistricting process <p>We encourage public participation in the redistricting process. Our democracy depends on it.</p>
08/23/2021 11:49 AM	Ms. Paula Baumann		<p>After watching several of the Joint Redistricting committee meetings it is evident to me that the Republicans are committed to maintaining the gerrymander. Voter input AFTER maps are drawn is CRITICAL to fair voting maps. CHANGING maps based on that input is essential to a fair process which Republicans appear to care little about. Input from a few Republican counties is NOT REAL INPUT. The gobilligook about 10 year old video etc. was designed to diffuse the concern. The Republicans and their staff should have included the largest counties in the state including Wake. Every meeting solidifies that Republicans gerrymander to keep hold of their corrupt power by fake transparency. Their counties are losing people whose young people are educated and moving to the suburbs and cities. Hold input meetings after the maps are drawn in those locals.</p>
08/23/2021 11:58 AM	Dr. Suzanne Fisher		<p>The redistricting process has major implications for all the citizens of North Carolina. The addition of a Congressional District (it is not a surprise that more people want to live in our wonderful state) makes the effort particularly challenging. We need this process to be fair, transparent, timely and inclusive. I am deeply concerned that the proposed plans for public meetings do not meet these expectations.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scheduling meetings in only 10% of the counties in North Carolina is completely inadequate. Most citizens will have to travel a considerable distance to one of these meetings, taking up time and incurring fuel costs at a time when gas prices keep rising. Those who work, have caregiving responsibilities, limited financial means are going to be unlikely to participate. • Having only public meetings, not virtual events, is a deeply flawed approach. As Covid cases and deaths are continuing to rise in North Carolina, citizens should not have to choose between their concerns for the health of

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/23/2021 12:04 PM	Ms Martha Shafer		<p>themselves and their families and their desire to comment on the redistricting process. Not having virtual meetings disadvantages those who have (dis)abilities or health problems that make it difficult or inadvisable to go to public gatherings. Adding virtual meetings would allow more people to meaningfully comment on the redistricting process and would be far less costly than increasing the number of in-person meetings.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meetings should be scheduled before and after proposed maps are shared. Citizens need to be able to comment on all aspects of the process. <p>As an active member of the League of Women Voters, I will be following this process closely and hope that changes in the plans for meetings will be adjusted.</p>
08/23/2021 12:04 PM	Ms Martha Shafer		<p>Redistricting Committee Members,</p> <p>Please make in person public hearings more accessible. The current list doesn't include enough counties, including mine, Guilford. We're the 3rd most populous county in the state, and some people who may want to be involved don't have the time or transportation to drive outside of the county. Hearings should be held after regular working hours, in ADA compliant settings so low-wage workers and people with disabilities can go.</p> <p>I participated in a public comment session in Guilford County related to maps in the past which was very well attended by the public. It was held on the campus of GTCC, which had an overflow room that was needed because there were so many participants. We have plenty of facilities in Guilford County that would work for this purpose and there is a clear interest of our residents to be involved.</p> <p>Please keep participants safe by requiring masks at the hearings, especially in light of the Delta variant's easy transmissibility. At least 2 weeks notice should be given, so people can make plans to attend.</p> <p>Lastly, public comments should be solicited both before and after maps are proposed. It is vitally important for you to hear our reactions and to consider making changes before the maps are finalized. These maps will impact the ability of voters to be well represented for the next decade. We absolutely need adequate opportunities to comment after maps are proposed. Residents know our communities well and have something of value to bring to this process. Please respect that.</p> <p>Thank you, Martha Shafer</p>
08/23/2021 12:23 PM	Sandra Hutchinson		<p>I am following the Redistricting process closely -- or trying to. It is very difficult to follow, to understand, and to participate in as a concerned citizen. You would think this is by design.</p> <p>I am concerned there are so few public hearings scheduled. I'm concerned that there doesn't appear to be a plan for there to be a way people can attend public hearing virtually, and make comment in real time. Everyone else is using Zoom, can't your committee? What about people who can't travel or who are concerned about going out because of COVID.</p> <p>I think there should be a way for the public to have input and after maps are released, but before they are voted upon. I wish I could see the comments that have been made at this portal. No need in me beating a dead horse, but how would I know?</p> <p>Please make it easier to follow your work. . . it should not have to be a full time job to get information and find out what was decided or done at the last meeting.</p>
08/23/2021 01:30 PM	Ms Roberta Madden	League of Women Voters	<p>I have been watching the redistricting process from afar (Black Mountain) and am concerned that it may not be as transparent and inclusive as it should be. Please do whatever possible to make the redistricting fair and open. Thank you.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/23/2021 01:39 PM	Ruth O'Donnell	--None--	Please schedule more public meetings for discussion of the redistricting process. Ten meetings is inadequate and, more than being inadequate, the schedule gives the impression that you don't really want to hear from voters but you are doing a few meetings because you have to. Meetings should be held after the draft maps are published, not just before. You're going to end up in court again if you pursue the current plan for public involvement.
08/23/2021 03:29 PM	Ann Torok		I live in Moore County, which has been split up in the current legislative maps. Splitting up of counties should be avoided in the drawing of legislative districts. I am asking that a redistricting public hearing be in or near Moore County. Or better still, that public hearings be available over the internet, with access for all citizens to testify, including us in Moore County. Thank you, Ann Torok, Southern Pines, Moore County, NC
08/23/2021 04:47 PM	Ms. Mary Goodkind		This issue is near and dear to my heart. I want every vote to count. I hope you will ensure the redistricting process is as fair and transparent as possible. This isn't time for a power grab. Don't carve up communities and campuses so that district lines are confusing and people feel that their votes don't count. I know this is a complex process but as a voter I am watching and listening. Please keep us informed and give us a chance to give feedback on both the process and the results.
08/23/2021 04:52 PM	Natalie Heling	League of Women Voters	Fair redistricting is crucially important to our democracy. The current plan does not provide nearly enough opportunity for public input. Please do the right thing, and allow for ample public comment in all areas of the state.
08/23/2021 04:55 PM	Mr. Tom Murdock	retired	Our governments will not run satisfactorily without fair representation. The public needs to participate in the process by listening to proposals before and statements after the maps are drawn. Let's develop a redistricting process that includes public debate and results in maps people will buy into.
08/23/2021 05:17 PM	Mrs. Carol Weaver		There are too few sites around the state to provide a venue for public comment on the drawing of fair maps. The sites chosen are not representative of the state in that urban communities are not adequately covered. Public meetings need to be held both before and after maps are drawn. There should be options for virtual attendance and participation. Show us all the comments posted to this portal. It's all about transparency.
08/23/2021 05:20 PM	Mr. Tom E Bowers	LWV CharMeck	Democracy requires each voter to get one vote without game-playing with boundaries.
08/23/2021 05:28 PM	Sheila Fellerath		I am very concerned about the scarcity of public hearings as currently planned, as well as the fact that hearings will not be conducted both before and after the proposed maps have been drawn. Please revisit your plan and find meaningful ways to involve the public in this important process.
08/23/2021 05:46 PM	Thomas Balmat		No public hearing in Wake County? It is North Carolina's most populated county and the seat of state government. How can this be? More voting citizens could attend by holding hearings in populated and engaged locations. Don't we want participation in our democracy?
08/23/2021 05:50 PM	Thomas Balmat		No public hearings after draft district maps have been released? How can this be? If proposed maps are unavailable during all hearings, how is the public to hold informed debate? Isn't informed debate a foundational element of a healthy democracy?
08/23/2021 05:50 PM	Mrs Nancy Merrick	self	I am dismayed that the Redistricting Committee has set such limited options for public hearings on the proposed new voting districts. With only 10 scheduled hearings widely dispersed across our large state, and no hearing planned for Wake County, the most populous county in our state, this is woefully inadequate to gauge public opinion. Importantly, hearings must also be scheduled for AFTER the proposed plans are drawn to receive further public comment. The Committee must also give adequate notice to the public on their timeline for the redistricting process. Options for videoconferences must also be provided due to travel issues for many, as well as Covid safety concerns. An improved NCGA website for the redistricting process should be implemented immediately. These issues must be addressed for citizens to have any confidence that the redistricting process is being conducted in a fair and transparent manner. Anything less will result in the same flawed, costly redistricting plans that were ultimately judged illegal throughout the previous decade.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/23/2021 05:53 PM	Mrs Marcey Katzman	LWVMV	Redistricting fairly in a nonpartisan way is essential to maintaining our democracy while insuring everyone's vote counts equally. This is the most important responsibility you have. This keeps America great.
08/23/2021 06:10 PM	Ms. Isabel Geffner		<p>To the Redistricting Committee:</p> <p>I am writing to urge you to ensure that there are ample, safe opportunities for every person in our state who desires to provide you with the responses, perspectives, and information that is meaningful to them -- your constituents, the people who elect you into office, the taxpayers who fund your positions -- as you develop fair maps for the state of North Carolina.</p> <p>I am especially urging you to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide ample notice of at least two weeks for any in-person public hearing to ensure that any individual who wishes to participate has the opportunity to do so. Hearings should not be scheduled during or adjacent to public holidays. 2. In light of rising COVID-19 case numbers across the state, efforts should be made to protect the public through the use of masks and sanitation measures and by providing remote options for participation at every public hearing. <p>DO THE RIGHT THING by the people you represent.</p> <p>Sincerely, Isabel Geffner</p>
08/23/2021 06:17 PM	Gail Whitmire	Concerned Citizens	The current districts do not need to be redefined!!
08/23/2021 06:18 PM	Mr. Douglas Davis		An independent commission should be appointed and the districts should be drawn based strictly on population dispersion without regard to race or ethnicity. Republicans have proven that they cannot be trusted to be honest about anything. They certainly can't be trusted with determining who represents North Carolina in Congress.
08/23/2021 06:20 PM	Mrs Deborah Fulghieri		I am aghast at the constant efforts (approved by the two full-time lobbyists assigned to the NC statehouse by the NRA) to eliminate the few reasonable regulations on gun purchasing and ownership. I have been to the statehouse numerous times, to protest eliminating pistol permits, and allowing students on college campuses to carry guns. I do this because another mom was shot to death in front of my children's elementary school here in 2012, and because a professor friend in another state was threatened by a disgruntled, armed student in front of his class. Why should we law-abiding citizens be under threat from armed lawbreakers? Why do elected officials flirt with endangering us all? I want to be represented in this state, not shunted off to a gerrymandered corner. I want to know what is happening with redistricting in advance of changes, especially since for years and years the incumbents have cut out citizens like myself.
08/23/2021 06:32 PM	Mrs Mary Taibl		One person one vote must be a reality in a democracy. We want everyone's vote to count and politicians to stand for more than their party or their self interest. We want transparency in the process.
08/23/2021 06:40 PM	Sarah Carter		<p>Dear Legislators,</p> <p>I am concerned that only 10 meetings for public input have been scheduled for the redistricting process. Furthermore, there is currently no public input scheduled for after the draft plan is in place. This is an important process for everyone and doing it with integrity and transparency could begin to heal our divisions. Please be responsive to request for real and meaningful public input in this process. Please outline a more comprehensive plan for public inputs and the timeline for coming up with redistricting maps.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/23/2021 06:59 PM	priscilla rebillard		<p>Thank you, Sarah Carter</p> <p>As a citizen of New Hanover County, NC, I respectfully request that you reconsider the opportunities for public input into the redistricting maps AFTER they are put together.</p>
08/23/2021 07:56 PM	Dr. Linda Traum	NA	<p>I am writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed plan for the timing and locations of public hearings on the redistricting process. This plan was reviewed by the NC House and Senate Redistricting Committees on 8/18/2021. My concerns are as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li> <p>The Redistricting Committees have scheduled only 10 meetings and will not provide sufficient opportunities for public input.</p> <p>North Carolina citizens need more meetings scheduled, and specifically, hearings must be scheduled in northeastern NC, Guilford, and Wake Counties.</p> <li> <p>The Redistricting Committees have not identified a plan for public input after draft maps are released. This will limit public input on draft maps. This process is not transparent.</p> <p>North Carolina citizens need to have a plan that will allow them to review draft maps, ask questions and provide feedback.</p> <li> <p>The “public” portal does not allow the public to review comments that have been submitted via the portal. This is another example of a lack of transparency in the process.</p> <p>North Carolina citizens need access to the comments submitted through the public portal.</p> <li> <p>Finally, the Redistricting Committees have not identified a timeline for the redistricting process. We need to know the timeline to keep up with information released, and we need to have sufficient advance notice of meetings.</p> <p>North Carolina citizens need a clear timeline for the redistricting process.</p> <p>I appreciate your help in engaging the North Carolina citizens in the Redistricting Process.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Linda C. Traum, Ph.D.</p>
08/23/2021 08:14 PM	Mrs Mary Taibl		<p>Release a schedule for pubic hearings in enough locations to be reasonably accessible to all citizens with sufficient notice. Hold a second set of pubic hearings with reasonable accessibility and sufficient notice after proposed maps are developed and with time to consider public comment before maps are finalized.</p>
08/23/2021 08:32 PM	Ms. Mary Cox		<p>I am concerned that only 10 meetings on redistricting are scheduled, that there is no timeline for the process and no schedule for the meetings, and that they are too widely distributed to provide opportunities for public input throughtout the state. I am also concerned that there is no plan for public input on draft maps after they are released. These maps will determine whether or not the people of North Carolina will have fair and balanced representation at all levels of government for the next decade; we need to have a voice in this process!</p>
08/23/2021 08:57 PM	Ms Patti Rogers		<p>Public hearings must be held across the state before and AFTER draft maps are released. There are currently no plans for public hearings around the state AFTER maps have been released. This is unacceptable. The public must have</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			opportunities to weigh in on the proposed maps. The public should have an opportunity to call or Zoom to give oral comments. This will more citizens to participate. The public should be able to see the comments that have been submitted in the portal. The General Assembly must share a comprehensive redistricting timeline. Currently, limited information is given and with little notice of meetings. Plus the NCGA's redistricting website must be improved so that the public can find information and easily understand the process.
08/23/2021 10:59 PM	Ms Marlyn Miller		Please reconsider and let the public have input after the draft maps are drawn. Offering input, then disallowing input is like never allowing input at all. It cancels.
08/24/2021 07:37 AM	Dr. Lea Williams	Retired higher education administrator	Please schedule more public hearings across the state. Having hearings in 10 counties is inadequate for wide public input. Have an option to call in or join the hearings by videoconferencing. Make sure you schedule hearing after the proposed maps are drawn. I would like to see the comments submitted in the portal. What is the redistricting timeline? Please provide a comprehensive timeline to the public.
08/24/2021 09:36 AM	Dr Denise Baker	Retired	I am a resident of Greensboro and Guilford county. I urge that the new redistricting effort keep Greensboro, High Point, and Winston-Salem in the same district as they are now. These three cities comprise the Piedmont Triad, a nationally recognized metropolitan region. Citizens of these three cities and the rapidly developing areas surrounding them regularly travel within the Triad for employment, medical, educational and commercial opportunities. The three cities constitute an identifiable urban culture and values different from surrounding rural counties. For these citizens to achieve fair representation, their votes most not be diluted by combination with rural voters from surrounding counties whose experiences and interests are very different. One member of Congress cannot adequately represent constituents with divergent experiences and concerns. It's not fair to either the metropolitan or rural voters. I urge the committee to fulfill its constitutional responsibility to give all constituents an opportunity to be represented in fairly drawn districts.
08/24/2021 09:50 AM	James D'Alessio	Cresswind Neighborhood - District 99	I request the area between I-485 and the Mecklenburg/Cabarrus County boundry be resigned from Representative Nasif Majeed to Representative Wayne Sasser
08/24/2021 10:00 AM	David Smith	None	Redistricting determines whether we have an actual two party system functioning. There need to be at least 75 comment sessions open to the public before AND after the newly proposed districts.
08/24/2021 10:38 AM	ms nancy moore		we are asking for FAIR redistricting. Thank you.
08/24/2021 10:42 AM	Stefanie Mendell	Retired	We need public hearings both BEFORE and AFTER maps are drawn. We need more oppotunities for public input. We need to protect democracy above partisan political interests. Please do the right thing.
08/24/2021 10:44 AM	Mrs. Evelyn Coltman		Redistricting needs to be delegated to a non-partisan body. There needs to be numerous public hearings prior to the final redistricting determination. Redistricting does not need to be done behind closed doors and without adequate means for the public to comment.
08/24/2021 10:45 AM	Mr Geoff Dunkak	Individual	North Carolina needs a fair, citizen-based, non-partisan redistricting process to ensure that all districts have an element of competition to eliminate the extreme politicians of any party.
08/24/2021 10:46 AM	ms valerie rabeler		The initial proposal for public hearings is inadequate. The people of this state, the WHOLE state, deserve an equal role in determining what the next decade's voting districts look like.
08/24/2021 10:46 AM	Patricia Beaman		I feel that your initial proposal for public hearings is inadequate and that the people of this state -- no matter our color, background or what part of North Carolina we call home -- deserve an equal role in determining what the next decade's voting districts look like.
08/24/2021 10:47 AM	Mr Gordon CH Drake	Resident	Given what is going on nationally with the GOP and restrictive voting measures being put in place in multiple states I completely expect the North Carolina legislature to fail miserably regarding fairness and providing fair districts and easy access to the ballot box. It is a sad state of affairs when one of the two major parties in the United States of

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			America can only limit voters access in order to maintain power at any cost. We Citizens implore you to be fair in any redistricting you do although we are not holding out hope that that will be the case.
08/24/2021 10:48 AM	Karen Hiser		The currently proposed schedule for public hearings is woefully insufficient. We have 100 counties, and you are planning for hearings in only 10 in advance of the draft maps, and only one session in Raleigh after the maps are drafted. This leaves out massive swaths of our citizens and huge numbers of your own constituents. It's unacceptable. I look forward to seeing a revised schedule that makes it easy for everyone to participate in this important process.
08/24/2021 10:49 AM	Robin Wolfe		I believe there are not enough proposed hearings scheduled about new redistricting maps. Everyone should have a chance for their voice to be heard and that means several hearings for each district. We want fair maps drawn in our state so the voices of all citizens are given equal weight.
08/24/2021 10:50 AM	Ms Nancy Zora		The initial proposal for redistricting is inadequate and harmful to our communities of color. A new, inclusive proposal needs to be put forth!
08/24/2021 10:50 AM	Mr Larry Hannon		A true democracy requires fair and impartial districts - please insure that happens!
08/24/2021 10:51 AM	Dr John Staatz	Private citizen	I strongly encourage you to schedule at least 13 public meetings before the initial maps are drawn and another 13 meetings after they are drawn and before any action is taken to finalize them. North Carolina has long been characterized by very gerrymandered districts, and it is time that we have districts that truly allow citizens to pick their own representatives rather than the other way around. Our record on the design of our past district boundaries is a national embarrassment. Thank you.
08/24/2021 10:51 AM	Mr. Anthony Bond		Hello, Only thirteen public hearings on redistricting is not a sufficient amount to be inclusive of all voters in the state. Please consider increasing the dates and locations to make it fair for everyone to attend. Thank you
08/24/2021 10:52 AM	Ms Marni Goldshlag		It is imperative that the General Assembly allow for way more than the minimal number of public hearings they are currently specifying. There should be at least 10-12 public hearings before draft maps are drawn and more after. The public, no matter their party affiliation, region of the state, etc. needs to be able to contribute to this effort. Voices must be heard. Also - due to the pandemic, there must be virtual means of participating.
08/24/2021 10:52 AM	Meko Mccarthy	Little Listeners	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Criteria should Spell out specifics of when it is necessary to divide a VTD (precinct) - they should Specify that cities/municipalities NOT be divided if the population is less than ideal size of a legislative or congressional district - They should make sure that all final maps still comply with the Voting Rights Act - they should say that they will disclose the results of each day's work in a machine-readable format so everyone can see what's going on at the end of each day.
08/24/2021 10:52 AM	M/M David Scott		All votes should count equally. Gerrymandering is undemocratic. Redistrict fairly!
08/24/2021 10:53 AM	Mary Fox	USA, N.C. and Guilford County Citizen	I grew up in a rural county, Surry and have lived in Guilford County for 37 years. There is a difference between the needs of rural and urban counties, and those differences should be represented in our governments: national, state, and local. For example, Guilford County finally has a congressional district that encompasses the urban areas which need representation without the conflicting demands of outlying rural areas in the various surrounding counties. Let's keep it that way. After the last census a state legislative district in Greensboro was redrawn so that we had a rural representative from an outlying county. No representation for us in that. Districts need to be drawn so the interests and

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			needs of the varied areas are logically and fairly represented. Let's do it right this time.
08/24/2021 10:53 AM	Ms. Aiden Carson		Please expand the redistricting hearing schedule to include more of our counties. The closest hearing to me is in Jackson County and I would have to drive for hours over a mountain pass in order to attend. At the very least please accept online statements. Also, please schedule hearings for AFTER the maps are drawn.
08/24/2021 10:53 AM	Ms. Shannon Lovec		Your initial proposal for public hearings is not enough. The people of this state deserve a significant role in deciding what the districts will look like. There are approximately 10.5 million people in this state and your plan is to schedule one public hearing for in-person comment after maps are released??
08/24/2021 10:54 AM	William Carley		There must be more than one public hearing on the matter of redistricting. We must have fairness and transparency in this process.
08/24/2021 10:55 AM	Ms. Jane Goldthwait	Not Employed	Dear Redistricting Committee Members: Please have open and transparent hearings and presentations. ALL North Carolinians need to see maps and comment, be able to say and write comments at times they personally can do so, have audio-visual recordings need to be in good quality, and have news reporters of all types including small independent ones at hearings.
08/24/2021 10:55 AM	Becky Harper		The citizens of NC deserve maximum input on the new election district maps that will be drawn and adopted. Please plan for well-publicized public hearings when the proposed maps are out and we have time to review them and make constructive comments. There should be hearings around the state to allow for maximum participation by NC citizens.....and the legislature should be open to making changes in response to input from communities and citizens!!
08/24/2021 10:55 AM	Ms Stephanie Biziewski	None	The initial proposal for public hearings on redistricting is inadequate. The people of this state -- no matter our color, background, or what part of North Carolina we call home -- deserve an equal role in determining what the next decade's voting districts look like. You must take this seriously and NOT limit or restrict public hearings on redistricting in any way.
08/24/2021 10:56 AM	Mrs Cynthia A Ambrogne-O'Toole		Hearings should be accessible to all. Hold them statewide with remote access and publicize dates well in advance. A fair, equitable, accessible to process is a must. NO to any gerrymandering!
08/24/2021 10:59 AM	Ms Lenore Martin		The very limited public meeting schedule you have proposed will not be sufficient to realistically give people input into the redistributing process. Since both parties in NC have gerrymandered to their benefit, we need a neutral party to help decide.
08/24/2021 11:00 AM	Public Hearings Desiree Clemons		Fair elections are a cornerstone of our democracy. Help make sure we leave a strong healthy democracy for our children's futures in NC by holding more hearings (including multiple in high population counties like Wake) to get public input from people across the state to ensure we have districts that reflect the state. Thank you!
08/24/2021 11:00 AM	Carol Hamlin		The current proposal for public hearings is grossly inadequate. We must have at least a dozen public hearings before the lines are drawn and just as many after the proposed lines are drawn for our voting districts. Anything else denies the citizenry of making their voices heard. This is IMPORTANT.
08/24/2021 11:00 AM	Mr Thomas Bailey		I request that the legislature turn over a new leaf and draw fair and equitable district maps this time around. I would like a legislature going forward that represent all the people of North Carolina and not one with ridiculous slivers and wedges of the population that will have to be continually contested in court.
08/24/2021 11:01 AM	Kathleen Klesh		Redistricting needs to be fair and open. The latter objective can only be met if the public (i.e., the governed, the voters of the state) has an opportunity to publicly comment on revised districts. Limiting public comment to one hearing in Raleigh does not meet that objective. Please schedule a number of public hearings now and after revision. Transparency, inclusion and really listening to your constituents is the only way our democracy will be sustainable. Thank you.
08/24/2021	Ruth Spaulding		As the North Carolina General Assembly prepares to redistrict our state's Congressional, State House, and State Senate

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
11:02 AM			districts, I urge you to use a fair, transparent, timely, and inclusive process in which all North Carolinians have a reasonable opportunity to participate.
			Fair and inclusive redistricting is fundamental to maintain a fully representative democracy, and a transparent process is crucial to upholding public confidence in our system of government. Inclusivity ensures that all community members have a genuine opportunity to view this process and be heard, regardless of race, ability, or socioeconomic status. An accessible process is particularly crucial for historically-disenfranchised communities, such as our state's communities of color, which have been the targets of recent gerrymanders. A truly participatory and transparent redistricting process will contribute to better maps and engage citizens and improve public trust and confidence in our democracy.
			Redistricting should NOT be about what this means to the political parties ability to maintain or garnish power. It should only be interested in ensuring that all people have an equal opportunity to have their voices heard and their votes count.
			Thank you for your service.
08/24/2021 11:05 AM	JOE LOGAN	RETIRED	PAST TIME FOR AN INDEPENDENT, NON PARTISAN COMMISSION DO HANDLE THIS AND TO DO IT TRANSPARENTLY.
08/24/2021 11:06 AM	Ms. Lynne Kane	NC resident	Redistricting in NC is even more important than in some other states because of NC's history of extremely skewed districting. Every County in NC should have an opportunity to view draft Redistricting maps sufficiently ahead of the acceptance to truly influence fairer district maps in NC, so that one person-one vote applies. The current schedule does not provide enough time ahead for all in NC to comment on draft Redistricting maps.
08/24/2021 11:07 AM	Louis Reyes	American citizen	American citizen, I repeating the lie that the elections were stolen this is creating a platform for Republicans To Rig the elections in their favor. This to me is not democracy this to me is not America ,The entire world is watching us and we are failing big Time
08/24/2021 11:09 AM	David Anderson		I'm hearing that the Committee is anticipating extremely reduced opportunity for public input about it's redistricting plans, including only one (!) opportunity after the plan is drawn. I find this un-democratic and purposefully stifling, and implore the Committee to open its doors to people of the state so as to let their voices be heard.
08/24/2021 11:15 AM	Mrs. Anne Craig		I support having numerous public hearings on redistricting in various parts of the state in order to make them as accessible as possible to the citizens of North Carolina.
08/24/2021 11:16 AM	Josh G		The public needs a voice and actual say in the redistricting process. We, the people, deserve more input into the policies made by our so-called "representatives" that have a tangible and lasting impact on our lives and livelihoods.
08/24/2021 11:16 AM	Ms. Mary Moretz	Retired	It is vital that redistricting be handled in a manner that insures the principal of one person, one vote. Gerrymandering is morally wrong regardless of which political party practices it, and both have. I am a retired educator. I lied to my students when I told them that every vote counts. Gerrymandering insures that my lesson was based on a lie because of deliberate, dishonest redistricting.
			I be of you, please let us be a true democracy. Citizens will vote for the party that best advances their concerns. You don't have to cheat to win.
08/24/2021 11:16 AM	Elizabeth Kawabata		The initial proposal for public hearings on redistricting is inadequate for the size of NC. All people of this state, regardless of what part of North Carolina they're from, deserve an equal role in determining what the next decade's voting districts look like. Please make public hearings convenient for all citizens of NC.
08/24/2021 11:18 AM	Tom and Linda Vickery	Common Cause NC	The GA proposal for public hearings is not equitable for all the counties in our state. One public hearing in Raleigh? Please, GA think of all those people who support the work of NC and its citizens. Please determine to have 13 public

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>hearings prior to drawing the maps and 13 hearings after the initial maps have been proposed. All North Carolinians must have a chance to have their input into what Our State Representatives create as a district map for voting. Remember the Rights stated in Sec. 2. and the vow you took when you assumed your office. Many citizens are watching you and we organize and rally voters.</p> <p>Sec. 2. Sovereignty of the people.</p> <p>All political power is vested in and derived from the people; all government of right originates from the people, is founded upon their will only, and is instituted solely for the good of the whole.</p> <p>Respectfully, Tom and Linda Vickery</p>
08/24/2021 11:19 AM	Dr Mark McClure		<p>Honorable redistricting committee members,</p> <p>I beseech you to increase the number of public hearings to allow the voices of North Carolinians across the state to be heard. I propose at least a dozen public hearings across the state before the maps are drawn and a dozen public hearings after the maps have been drawn. Thank you for your consideration.</p> <p>Sincerely, Dr Mark McClure</p>
08/24/2021 11:19 AM	Mrs. Joan Brannon		<p>As I understand it, there may be only one public hearing in Raleigh AFTER draft maps have been released--after initial maps are made public the citizens of NC may only have one opportunity for in-person public comment, and that would likely be held in Raleigh.</p> <p>This is much too little and much too late: (1)hearings should be held BEFORE the maps are released and (2) provisions must in place to accept input from all the citizens who want to comment but who can't go to Raleigh even were we not still in the throes of a pandemic!</p> <p>These maps will determine what the next decade's voting districts will look like. This is an issue that affects ALL North Carolinians, regardless of "race, creed, or color" or what part of the state they reside in. All citizens of the state all deserve to have an equal opportunity to have their voices heard in determining them.</p>
08/24/2021 11:24 AM	Ms. Vickie Atkinson	citizen	<p>The initial proposal for public hearings is inadequate. There should be many more convenient opportunities - in person and virtual - for people from North Carolina to hear what others think and to have input into how redistricting is done. North Carolina is a diverse state - rural and urban - and has a diverse population. ALL people - no matter our color, race, ethic origin or political beliefs should have the opportunity to influence what the next decade's voting districts look like. There also should be many opportunities - in person in various locations across the state and online - for people to respond and have input into the draft districts that are created. We the people should have major influence as to how these districts are drawn. As a citizen of NC, political gerrymandering infuriates me. Let's do something more honorable and ethical in this state.</p>
08/24/2021 11:24 AM	LINDA ROMEO		<p>This is in reference to the meeting held on August 18, 2021, by the NC House and Senate Committees on Redistricting and Elections, during which a tentative public hearing schedule was put forth. The proposals for effecting these public hearings are sorely lacking in transparency and don't provide sufficient opportunities for public input.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meetings are currently proposed for only 10 out of 100 North Carolina counties; this list excludes large, heavily populated areas, such as northeastern North Carolina and large population centers in Guilford and Wake Counties. To be fair and equitable, meetings must be held in every county. • The General Assembly must share a comprehensive redistricting timeline with the public. Currently information is being released sporadically and with insufficient advance notice of meetings. Public meetings must be widely publicized at least two weeks in advance to give the public the opportunity to participate. • Public meetings should be available not only in-person, but also with call-in and video conference options. This is important for those who are unable to travel to the meeting venue or who are concerned about COVID. • The public must be able to weigh in on draft maps. Currently there are no plans for public hearings around the state

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			AFTER the maps are issued. Public meetings must be held across the state both BEFORE and AFTER draft maps are released. Without this option, we will be vulnerable to partisan gerrymandering and the damage it will do to North Carolina and our country. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The public should be able to view all of the comments that have been entered on the portal.
08/24/2021 11:26 AM	Mr John Suddath	Common Cause	If the NCGA wants to restore public confidence in the elections, then the process must be open and available to everyone. If one party "rigs the election" (as has been claimed by some Republicans), then public input is mandatory. It isn't about winning elections in perpetuity, it's about governing with confidence and responsibility.
08/24/2021 11:30 AM	Mr. ROBERT CHERNIAK	retire	I would like you to know that your initial proposal for public hearings is inadequate and that the people of this state -- no matter our color, background or what part of North Carolina we call home -- deserve an equal role in determining what the next decade's voting districts look like. At least 13 public hearings should be held before maps are drawn AND an additional 13 public hearings once initial district maps are made public would be transparent and consistent with citizen input.
08/24/2021 11:30 AM	Mr Barry Anderson		Redistricting must be non-partisan to avoid gerrymandering, distorting level competition between parties and candidates. It is time to establish fair redistricting that finally puts fairness in voting above politics. There are ways and we lack only the courage and will to secure democracy for all citizens.
08/24/2021 11:30 AM	Mrs Brooke Johnson Suiter		Please allow for virtual online meetings for citizen comments and input regarding proposed Re-districting esp. AFTER we have seen draft maps. Democracy demands open meetings and easy public access in order to flourish. I am a retired teacher and a native Tar Heel. Take pride in doing what is right in the right way.
08/24/2021 11:33 AM	Mrs. Goldie Stetten		Your initial proposal for the amount of hearings on this issue is completely inadequate.
08/24/2021 11:34 AM	Ms Deidre Duffy		The public needs to have ample opportunities to weigh in on redistributing. We should have at least 15 hearings in the larger areas and 10 in smaller ones.
08/24/2021 11:34 AM	Ms Deidre Duffy		The public needs to have ample opportunities to weigh in on redistributing. We should have at least 15 hearings in the larger areas and 10 in smaller ones.
08/24/2021 11:42 AM	Ms Jin Adams Parker		Your current opportunities for public input are severely insufficient. Please add to them.
08/24/2021 11:43 AM	Mrs Rebecca Burmester	Private citizen	Realizing that including public input is time consuming, I never the less urge that there be extensive state wide opportunity for citizen input both prior to drawing the legislative districts and after the plan is drawn. The State of NC is much more than Raleigh so please hold state wide regional meetings.
08/24/2021 11:43 AM	Public input on re-dist Marcia Schwartz		Please add more public input before and after maps are drawn. We care about this process please make it open and transparent
08/24/2021 11:46 AM	Mr. George Czerw	None	I firmly believe that public input on redistricting, from the citizens of this state, is vital to ensuring we have districts that reflect the makeup of the state and protects our communities from being carved up just to preserve power for certain politicians. Unfortunately, the initial proposal from the General Assembly's redistricting leadership falls well short of what I believe is needed for a truly participatory redistricting process that meaningfully involves the people of North Carolina. The proposal calls for public hearings before maps are drawn in only 10 of our counties: Caldwell, Durham, Forsyth, Jackson, Mecklenburg, Nash, New Hanover, Pasquotank, Pitt and Robeson. Obviously that proposal leaves out large parts of the state and makes no mention of any remote meeting options. What is even more troubling to me, is that the redistricting leadership has indicated that there may be only one public hearing in Raleigh after the draft maps have been released, which means that the public would only have one opportunity for in person public comment at a hearing which would likely be held at the General Assembly in Raleigh. I

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			believe that holding only one public hearing after the draft maps have been drawn would be grossly insufficient. This initial proposal for public hearings is shameful and inadequate. The people of this state, regardless of our color, background or place of North Carolina residence, deserve an equal role in determining what the next decade's voting districts will look like.
			It is far past the time that the politicians in North Carolina start working for the best interests of the citizens of this state rather than their own political self interest.
08/24/2021 11:48 AM	Donald MacKinnon		The Triad area of NC thinks and functions as a community. Greensboro, Winston-Salem, and High Point fit together both geographically and as part of our common approaches to so many issues that concern all citizens of the Triad. During redistricting, the current composition of U.S. Congressional District 6 must be kept whole because it best serves the needs of this region of NC. Any attempt to resurrect the racial gerrymandering of the past and rip these communities apart is unacceptable--unacceptable legally, unacceptable constitutionally, unacceptable morally, unacceptable for anyone who claims to be a Christian--and so legal action will necessarily follow. Please take every action you can to ensure that a compact District 6 that represents all of the Triad remains a fact of NC political life.
08/24/2021 11:50 AM	Roland Wood		Please draw the redistricting map fairly, hopefully in squares or rectangles, so that all votes will count!
08/24/2021 11:53 AM	Sheila Burns		Your proposal for public comments on redistricting is totally inadequate. Residents of all counties should be able to comment both before and after you submit your maps. This capability needs to be available remotely given the rise in covid numbers in the state.
08/24/2021 11:55 AM	Ms Betty Jane Lazo		We need more openness in our government. Voting is Democracy and interference in that is extremely undemocratic!
08/24/2021 11:55 AM	ms Jacqueline Knable		I write as an individual citizen of No. Carolina whose vote counts as much as any Governor, Senator, Representative, rich man or poor. Or it SHOULD, if the Constitution was allowed to function! One person, one vote! Don't complicate a simple process by denying every citizen the right to have his or her vote count in order to keep your pals in. You are not being clever, you are being CORRUPT.
08/24/2021 11:58 AM	Mr Robert Campbell	Citizen	You MUST have more public input and transparency! ONE hearing is not enough. The NCGA has repeatedly been found to be untrustworthy with the task of laying out districts and you need to take the step of having MORE public input rather than less to even begin to restore any faith in this system. Follow the guidance provided by Common Cause, INCREASE the number of public hearings and opportunities for input NOW!
08/24/2021 12:02 PM	8285861078 Joan Parks		As a voting citizen of North Carolina I feel that is necessary to have true transparency and ample opportunity for input. The current proposal for only one open meeting after the maps have been proposed does not allow for citizen input. Proposed maps must be presented with time allowed for citizens to review and have input prior to any final approval. Withholding complete details of map configuration is evidence of continued gerrymandering.
08/24/2021 12:04 PM	Marlene Goland	Mrs.	I am writing to express how important the redistricting process is for fair elections in our State. Due to the importance of this issue and it's impact on fair elections in this state I implore you to go beyond the one public hearing that you have announced prior to drawing of the new maps and the one hearing that you are proposing post map drawing. I also believe that these public hearings need to be open and accessible to the entire state, not just the counties you have delineated thus far. The way all districts are drawn impacts elections across the state and therefore people across the state should be included. I would also ask that you hold these hearings in district groups by proximity of a grouping of counties together. Thank you.
08/24/2021 12:08 PM	ERICA LIEBERMAN		Your current proposal for limited public hearings on redistricting plans are seriously inadequate--especially after draft maps are released. I support Common Cause NC's call for 13 public hearings before maps are drawn AND an additional

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			13 hearings once initial district maps are made public. The people of North Carolina need a voice in determining their own voting districts.
08/24/2021 12:08 PM	Ms Annette Cass	Hayes Barton United Methodist Church	- Wake County needs to be included as a public hearing site - Most importantly: hearings have only been scheduled prior to maps being released, which prevents the public from providing meaningful feedback on maps once they have been drawn.
08/24/2021 12:09 PM	mrs Anne Piervincenzi		Only one public hearing after draft maps are drawn would be grossly insufficient. Let the people you represent speak -- we deserve that.
08/24/2021 12:10 PM	James Grady		The initial proposal for public hearings on redistricting is inadequate. Stop gerrymandering our districts! The people of this state -- no matter our color, background or what part of North Carolina we call home -- deserve an equal role in determining what the next decade's voting districts will be.
08/24/2021 12:11 PM	Ms Anne Hummel	Common Cause volunteer	I am writing to express my concern that your proposal for public hearings across the state is inadequate because you specify hearings in only 10 locations, leaving a large part of the state where citizens living in those areas will not be able to give input. Having only one in-person hearing in Raleigh after the maps are drawn is definitely insufficient. I agree with Common Cause that there should be at least 13 public hearings before maps are drawn AND an additional 13 public hearings once the initial maps are made public.
08/24/2021 12:11 PM	Ms. Janice Berger		Any redistricting should be done according to population and not according to political affiliation. It should also be done by an independent group.
08/24/2021 12:12 PM	Cory Hunter		I believe in an open and fair redistricting plan. Keep neighborhoods in tact. Voters select politicians at the polls. Politicians should not be able to select their voters. Transparency is a must for this process, I am happy to have the chance to voice my opinion and hope that elections can be decided on the merits, not on gerrymandered districts. Thank you!
08/24/2021 12:22 PM	Ms. Jane Rockwell		It is vital that all citizens of our state are represented in the redistricting plans. I had hoped that NC would stand apart from those states that are so obviously undermining democracy and basic civil rights. Schedule ample public hearings before and after lines are drawn and provide opportunities for our input. These underhanded methods to limit access hurt us all. Do better.
08/24/2021 12:28 PM	Mr Fred Martin	- Select -	Your initial proposal for public hearings is inadequate and that the people of this state -- no matter our color, background or what part of North Carolina we call home -- deserve an equal role in determining what the next decade's voting districts
08/24/2021 12:32 PM	Mrs. Mary McKeown	Citizen/voter/tax payer	I fervently hope you will end all attempts of gerrymandering and give every eligible voter a fair and equal chance to vote for his/her preferred candidates, without rigging the elections by "cherry picking" the districts to slant towards YOUR preference. Thank you and I am hoping for HONEST efforts on your part to create fair elections in every district. Sincerely, Mary M. McKeown Black Mountain
08/24/2021 12:38 PM	Carol Thompson		Choosing 10 counties for public hearings about redistricting is totally inadequate. That leaves out 90 counties that should have a say. All of North Carolina deserves an equal role in determining what the next decade's voting districts look like. One meeting in Raleigh after the maps are drawn is ridiculous. At least 13 public hearings before maps are drawn plus an additional 13 public hearings once initial district maps are made public. This is only fair to let the people see and comment on maps. Voting in fairly drawn districts is our right. Maps should be drawn by a nonpartisan official and not by the Republican party.
08/24/2021 12:41 PM	Mr. Sean Ross		If you truly want to be representative of your constituents and be part of a fair governmental process then you will have a considerable amount of meetings about redrawing district maps, far more than one are needed. And the only reason for

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/24/2021 12:43 PM	Mr. Frank Portone		you not to want to hold more is because you are either hiding something or are trying to get away with something not right before anyone catches on to what you are doing. Do the right thing and don't try and cheat the people of NC.
08/24/2021 12:45 PM	Paul & Debbie Graham		We need much more public input before and after initial maps are drawn.
08/24/2021 12:46 PM	Mr Michael Lewandowski		No matter our color, background or what part of North Carolina we call home -- deserve an equal role in determining what the next decade's voting districts look like.
08/24/2021 12:48 PM	More public comment April Harris		There should be more opportunities for public comments on the redistricting maps. For example, only one opportunity in Wake County is insufficient for the public to be involved in the process.
08/24/2021 12:52 PM	Mr. Mark Granville		Given the importance to the governance of North Carolina the next decade, I believe the citizens all across the state should have ample opportunities to contribute input to the redistricting process, before the maps are drawn, and equal opportunities to provide feedback on the proposed maps once they have been drawn. In a representative democracy, it's essential the redrawn districts represent all North Carolinians in a fair way that allows communities of interest to have a wholistic voice in electing their representatives in both the House and Senate. Therefore, my respectful request is the review process follows the recommendations of several nonpartisan organizations: 13 opportunities around the state to receive input before the maps are drawn, and 13 reviews to receive feedback once the maps are drawn. Sincerely, Mark Granville
08/24/2021 12:52 PM	Mr. C. Warren Pope		Punlic input on redistricting is totally inadequate in the current plan. Voters should pick their representatives, not the other way around. Make redistricting by non-partisan commission, not the NCGA!
08/24/2021 12:57 PM	Ms Karen D. Dorr		As a constituent of NC, I urge you to have at least 13 public hearings before maps are drawn AND an additional 13 public hearings once initial district maps are made public. The citizens of this state must have ample time to express their views. Thank you for upholding our democratic processes and for providing access to all.
08/24/2021 12:59 PM	Mr Everette Hartzog		Make our democracy work better. Do a non partisan redistricting. When the dominant party does the redistricting, the votes of the opposite party members are diluted. The best way for a fair redistricting process is an independent redistricting committee. There should certainly be several redistricting hearings around the state. Citizens have a right to be heard, and for their votes to count.
08/24/2021 01:00 PM	Elections Worker Comments Robert Waldrop	Chatham County BOE	You (our legislature) has failed in this process fir many, many years while both Republicans and Democrats were in power. It is time to do the right thing for the voters and all the people in our state, not just for the party in power as has been done in the past and it appears may happen again. Do not continue to allow partisan politics rule. Let the people speak by holding more than 10 public hearings across the state before maps are drawn, more than one hearing after maps are drawn and allow a remote access option.
08/24/2021 01:00 PM	Carolina Candelaria		Having only a few public hearings is NOT adequate for public input into the redistricting process. There must be public hearings scheduled in every county in the state, and multiple hearings for public input in multiple sites across the state after first draft of new districts is proposed by the legislature, to allow adequate public comment and input into the proposed maps. Thank You
08/24/2021	Ms. Henrietta	None	I strongly support opportunities for voters to voice their opinions about redistricting. This is a democracy and the

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
01:01 PM	Jenrette		people need to speak for their positions. Wake County needs to be heard before redistricting actions are taken. Listening to the public before any action may avoid a lot of time and money spent in resolving issues in the courts otherwise.
08/24/2021 01:09 PM	Independent districting Alexis Gordon		It is paramount that redistricting be carried out by a non-partisan third party organization. I'm tired of NC being a literal textbook gerrymander example. An independently mapped set of districts would bring trust back to the voting system in NC.
08/24/2021 01:13 PM	Mr Drew Langsner		Dear Legislators and Committee Members- In order to have fairly drawn new districts there must be public hearings held throughout the state. Both before draft versions are drawn and after, for public comment. This includes the more rural counties. We live in Madison County. Attending a hearing in Buncombe is realistic. Having only one hearing in Raleigh does not qualify as a fair procedure.
08/24/2021 01:16 PM	Dale Romano	none	Voting and free speech are inexorably entwined, with voting being the substantiated proof that the voice of the people is heard. This is what makes us Americans and sets us apart from many places in the world. Undermining the right to vote in any way is the manifesto of usurpers of our Constitutional Rights. The insidious use of gerrymandering is the sharpest tool in the box of weapons for the protection of those guilty of suborning human rights in the pursuit of their personal gains. One meeting is NOT enough for the public to be heard as you well know, at least show a faint modicum of concern for the dearest values of this country by pretending you give a damn for more than getting your hands on our tax dollars.
08/24/2021 01:16 PM	R.J. Schultz		For the sake of transparency, fairness and confidence in our voting system, public hearings across North Carolina must be held before AND after the draft maps have been drawn. The current plan calls for holding 10 hearings across the state before the maps are drafted - leaving out large portions of the state - with no suggestion of remote options to participate, and potentially only one hearing, in Raleigh, once the initial draft maps have been made public. That's unacceptable. The current plan is not in keeping with transparent and fair election procedures that make it easy for all voters to participate, and if more opportunities are not made available for public comment, confidence in the process will be undermined. Common Cause of North Carolina has proposed, and I support a call for 13 hearings across the state BEFORE the maps are drawn, and 13 hearings across the state AFTER draft maps have been released.
08/24/2021 01:20 PM	Mr. John Balla		The initial proposal for public hearings on redistricting is grossly inadequate. To only have in-person hearings in Raleigh at the state legislature without any remote access accommodations or alternate sites throughout the state completely disregards the equal stake that all North Carolinians have in the drawing of the districts of our state.
08/24/2021 01:21 PM	Ethan Brown		Your initial proposal for public hearings is inadequate and that the people of this state -- no matter our color, background or what part of North Carolina we call home -- deserve an equal role in determining what the next decade's voting districts look like.
08/24/2021 01:26 PM	Ms Brenda McGee	North Carolina Voter	Please provide opportunity for public feedback and comments on your redistricting plan. It is important that ALL areas of the state have opportunities to review the plan and give you feedback. We need more hearings BEFORE you formalize your plan and then multiple hearings spread over the state AFTER the plan is developed. What you have proposed is woefully inadequate. I live in Craven County. When is our opportunity to speak out?
08/24/2021 01:31 PM	Ms. Karen Nyers		Your initial proposal for public hearings is inadequate and that the people of this state -- no matter our color, background or what part of North Carolina we call home -- deserve an equal role in determining what the next decade's voting districts look like. Such blatant disregard for fairness is not acceptable. Please do the right thing and have at least 13 public hearings before maps are drawn AND an additional 13 public hearings once initial district maps are made public. You may be afraid of voters, but voters are not afraid of you.
08/24/2021 01:32 PM	Mr. Andrew Hefner		The N.C. Legislature has a moral and legal duty to conduct all redistricting activities in an impartial and transparent manner. Further, the citizen's right to see and comment on the proposed maps is paramount. Holding one public

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			meeting on one date in Raleigh, to allow comment on the proposed changes is not inclusive, participatory or fair.
			Public comment meetings should be held regionally and on multiple dates to allow the citizenry to truly participate in this enormously important process. Anything less than this, smacks of the "old boy network" sitting around with lobbyists and donors, cutting backroom deals, and disregarding their duties to the citizens who elected them.
			Please bring integrity back to this process. Thank you, Andrew Hefner
08/24/2021 01:36 PM	Mr. Larry Mason		Redistricting that the public sees is fair and not gerrymandered is vital to good government in N.C. The public needs every opportunity to participate in the process via hearings both before and after versions of the proposed districts are made public. If you value democracy and good government you will make sure the public can be assured that no bias was a factor in the process of creating the districts.
08/24/2021 01:42 PM	Mrs. Sharon Lewis		We North Carolinians must be able to choose our elected officials, not the other way around--NO GERRYMANDERING --rather, appoint an independent commission to draw our new districts. We can no longer tolerate that Democratic candidates get more votes than Republican candidates but get far fewer representatives--THAT'S NOT DEMOCRACY, THAT'S AUTHORITARIANISM. Thank you.
08/24/2021 01:46 PM	Mr. Eric Greene		The initial proposal for public hearings on redistricting is inadequate. The people of this state -- no matter our color, background or what part of North Carolina we call home -- deserve an equal role in determining what the next decade's voting districts look like.
08/24/2021 01:53 PM	Dr. Kenneth Friedman	409 Longleaf Drive	Voting in North Carolina should be unimpeded by disingenuous partisan sleight of hand. An early component of establishing fair access to the ballot box for all is an open and transparent schedule of public hearings all across North Carolina. Such hearings should be held before the draft maps have been drawn to garner input from the citizenry. Such hearings should occur after the draft maps are drawn as well, so the public can evaluate how well the legislature listened. The current plan of 10 hearings across the state before the maps are drafted is inadequate. Large portions of the state are left out, nor are options for remote participation evident. It also looks like only one hearing could be held in Raleigh, and that only after the draft maps have been drawn. This is unacceptable in that transparent redistricting procedures are lacking, thanks to a limited options for public input. More opportunities for public comment are needed to bolster confidence in the process. Common Cause of North Carolina has proposed, and I support a call for 13 hearings across the state BEFORE the maps are drawn, and 13 hearings across the state AFTER draft maps have been released.
08/24/2021 01:55 PM	Mrs Karen Devine		I have read that there will be limited public hearings before the maps are drawn and only 1 public hearing I. raleigh after they are drawn.
			This process affects every voter in the state and I believe it deserves discussion. 1 public hearing after the redistricting is ABSOLUTELY NOT ENOUGH! Please represent the people and get their input on this important matter. We care about our towns, our counties, our state. We deserve to understand and agree to the process.
			Please also have a meeting I. Each county to let the people be heard. Make sure these meeting are broadcast on local news, social media and to all the city councils so they can insure their constituents know.
			Thank you,
			Karen Devine
08/24/2021 02:00 PM	Nancy Moxley		There needs to be at least one public forum for the purpose of receiving public input in each Congressional district before and AFTER initial maps are drawn and redistricting committee members need to hear the comments. The Wake County forums need to be in addition to regularly scheduled Legislative sessions during daytime hours.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/24/2021 02:01 PM	Mr. Elliot Galdy		Come on, General Assembly. Are we really going to keep going down this path of partisan gerrymandering? Enough, already! I'm old enough to remember civics class in elementary school. We were taught that the voters choose their representatives, not the other way around. So if elementary school-age kids can understand that, why can't you supposed grown-ups? Let's have meetings across the state, both before and after maps are drawn to allow WE THE PEOPLE a fair and reasonable opportunity to review and comment. And then may the best party win.
08/24/2021 02:18 PM	Ms. Deborah Dobson		North Carolina residents have been short-changed too often regarding the issue of voting redistricting. There should be enough time for them to give their input to ensure fair and balanced voting throughout the state.
08/24/2021 02:20 PM	Redistricting Jeffrey Linn	Private citizen. Caldwell County	Initially a district should look like a rectangle. Adjustments would then be made to include the requisite number of people. The initial boundaries should be based on criteria agreed upon by a bipartisan group.
08/24/2021 02:21 PM	Mr. Raymond Bowman	N/A	You are not doing your job if you only have one meeting for public input on Redistricting maps for North Carolina. Everyone in the state deserves to be heard concerning their voting rights! If this is the best you can do I ask that you resign and let someone who will be fair to the voter's of North Carolina do this important job!!!
08/24/2021 02:22 PM	Mrs Deanna Wilson		I urge you to take politics out of redistricting and not favor one party or the other, to allow all counties to have the opportunity to give their input, and to have multiple followup opportunities for residents of NC to weigh in. We must avoid the disrespectful, and frankly, embarrassing, fiasco we had the last time lines were moved. The votes of ALL NC residents matter. Thanks you.
08/24/2021 02:23 PM	Ms. Mary Goodkind		I sincerely hope you will expand the opportunities for the public to see and comment on your drafts as you make progress. We don't want to just be a rubber stamp. We want to have our voices heard and our concerns & ideas truly considered.
08/24/2021 02:23 PM	Mrs Bonnie Westbrook	retired	<p>The initial proposal from the General Assembly's redistricting leadership falls is grossly inadequate - not what is needed for a fair and impartial redistricting process that provides ample input from the people of North Carolina. Proposing to have public hearings before drawing map only in 10 counties leaves out Brunswick County where I live, as well as other large parts of the state. I also believe that you should provide well-advertised options for remote input. Furthermore, proposing only one public hearing in Raleigh after draft maps have been released is also vastly inadequate. This is especially worrying since prior redistricting efforts have grossly gerrymandered districts into non-sensical shapes, mainly to preserve power for certain politicians. This is wrong - we need independent redistricting to ensure we have districts that truly reflect the diversity of our state and make sure that the voters select the politicians, not the other way around.</p> <p>I agree with Common Cause NC's call to have at least 13 public hearings before maps are drawn AND an additional 13 public hearings once initial district maps are made public. I strongly agree with them that the people of this state -- no matter their color, background or what part of North Carolina they come from -- deserve an equal role in determining what the next decade's voting districts will look like. So I call on your committee to do the right thing and amend the redistricting process to be inclusive and non-partisan!</p>
08/24/2021 02:24 PM	Ms. Andrea Reimers		Only one public hearing (offered only in Raleigh) after draft maps are drawn is insufficient. North Carolinians should have around ten to thirteen opportunities to offer comment before and after draft maps are drawn--and these opportunities should be more accessible to people all around the state. We deserve a fair and equal role in determining what the next decade's voting districts look like.
08/24/2021 02:31 PM	Dr. Betsy Bennett	citizen	Please create many more opportunities for public input on redistricting plans. We need multiple options in Raleigh and throughout the state. Current plans for just a few counties are insufficient. Plus, we need remote options for the safety and accessibility of all. Thank you.
08/24/2021 02:32 PM	Mrs Lessie Evans		We need 13 public hearings before the redistricting maps are done so you all can hear from us, the voters and more importantly you definitely need at least 13 hearings after the maps are developed so that we the voters have a voice in the final redistricting. I hope you all agree with me and others voters on the need for you all to hear our thoughts and

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/24/2021 02:33 PM	Mr Bert Milburn	Retired	concerns. Thank you, Lessie Powell Evans
08/24/2021 02:35 PM	Mrs. Catherine Denham		I am very concerned that there is only limited, one I believe, comment meeting open to the public and allowing public input, AFTER redistricting maps are drawn. This is an extremely important process and new districts must be compact and based solely on total population, regardless of political affiliation. It is fine, even important, to consider ethnic make up in this process and equally important to never again pack districts of color into single gerrymandered districts. I would like to see several proposed maps and have the opportunity to comment on each and support one.
08/24/2021 02:39 PM	Mark Ulrey		<p>The initial proposal from the General Assembly's redistricting leadership falls well short of what's needed for a truly participatory redistricting process that meaningfully involves the people of North Carolina. Their proposal calls for public hearings before maps are drawn in only 10 counties: Caldwell, Durham, Forsyth, Jackson, Mecklenburg, Nash, New Hanover, Pasquotank, Pitt and Robeson. This proposal leaves out large parts of the state and makes no mention of remote options.</p> <p>More troubling is that these proposals indicate there may be only one public hearing in Raleigh after draft maps have been released, in effect saying that after initial maps are made public, there is proposed only one opportunity for in person public comment and that would likely be held at the General Assembly in Raleigh.</p> <p>Bottomline, one public hearing after draft maps are drawn would be grossly insufficient.</p> <p>To ensure that districting maps are to be drawn fairly, at least 13 public hearings are needed before maps are drawn AND an additional 13 public hearings once initial district maps are made public.</p> <p>Thank you for your careful consideration of and response to this more comprehensive proposal.</p> <p>Mark Ulrey New Bern, NC</p>
08/24/2021 02:41 PM	Ms. Elizabeth Axtell		More public hearings are needed!
08/24/2021 02:43 PM	Terrance Bryant		Your initial purpose for public hearing is inadequate and that the pople of this state no matter our color, background or wht part of North Carolina we call home deserve an equal role in determining the next decade's voting districts look like.
08/24/2021 02:50 PM	Catherine Marie		The initial proposal for public hearings is inadequate. Tthe people of this state deserve an equal role in determining what the next decade's voting districts look like.
08/24/2021 02:54 PM	Mr Peter van Dorsten	Instead Park UCC	The plan announced is so short of the due diligence required that it calls into question, once again, the legitimacy of the GOP led process. Limiting public input and participation to the bare minimum is the MO for abuse of power. When will we ever have elected officials who put the public interest first? Please involve members of the public in all counties before and after the maps have been drawn. Use the expertise of non-partisan experts in suggesting fair maps and critiquing proposed maps. Let's show the world we believe in fair maps, fair elections, and a truly representative

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/24/2021 02:54 PM	Ms. Karen Copeland	Private citizen	<p>democracy</p> <p>I strongly urge you to form districts in contiguous areas. Counties should never be divided and no weight should be given to political affiliation.</p>
08/24/2021 02:58 PM	Mr. John White	None	<p>To the House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee:</p> <p>First, thank you for your service.</p> <p>I do not understand why there are so few "pre" hearings. I am truly dismayed that the two most populous counties are not represented. As a resident of Wake County, do you expect me to drive to another county to provide my in-person comments. Why have you excluded the top two counties?</p> <p>Having only one "post" hearing is an insult to our democracy. What is your rationale? Clearly, you do not want inputs from the public. First, the Democrats gerrymandered without transparency. Then Tte NC GOP raised gerrymandering to a true "art and science," using the most sophisticated software and databases.</p> <p>Your lack of transparency is an invitation to more lawsuits -- to the detriment of the NC GOP. As a citizen, I want to know what changes are being made, who is making changes, and who benefits from the gerrymander. A repeat of the past process is not transparency.</p> <p>I appeal to your values for democracy -- that every vote should count, gerrymandering should be suppressed and transparency should bring a strong, bright light on redistricting.</p> <p>Most sincerely,</p> <p>John White Raleigh, NC</p>
08/24/2021 03:02 PM	Dr. Robin August		<p>I am deeply concerned that there are no public meetings being held in Buncombe County, nor a number of other counties for redistricting maps. I am also concerned that, as I understand, the meetings are not being held remotely. Given the Covid situation, and the fact that I am at high risk as well as others, I believe all of the above mentioned, deprives me and other citizens of have the right to participation in the process. I will pursue this further, if necessary. Please respond to these concerns.</p> <p>Dr. Robin August</p>
08/24/2021 03:06 PM	Kristin Trangsrud		<p>The GA proposal for public hearings is being rushed at the expense of a true functioning democracy. North Carolinians across the state of every background deserve an equal role in shaping our voting districts. Let's hear from the people. We need more time and more public hearings!</p>
08/24/2021 03:10 PM	Donald Lauria		<p>The initial proposal from the General Assembly re redistricting is short of what's needed for a truly participatory redistricting process</p> <p>The proposal for public hearings before maps are drawn in Caldwell, Durham, Forsyth, Jackson, Mecklenburg, Nash, New Hanover, Pasquotank, Pitt and Robeson counties omits large parts of the state</p> <p>It is troubling that there may be only one public hearing in Raleigh after draft maps have been released... only one opportunity for in-person public comment</p> <p>I support the call for at least 13 public hearings before maps are drawn... AND an additional 13 public hearings once initial district maps are made public.</p> <p>The people of NC deserve an equal role in determining what the next decade's voting districts look like.</p>
08/24/2021	Mr. Jim Smith		<p>The opportunity for public comments on the process that have been proposed to date are totally inadequate,</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
03:16 PM			particularly having only one hearing, in Raleigh, after draft maps have been released. All North Carolinians deserve the opportunity to have input regarding the process and the maps. I support Common Cause and others proposal for at least 13 public hearings before maps are drawn AND an additional 13 public hearings once initial district maps are made public.
08/24/2021 03:28 PM	Cathy Buckley		Please hold public hearings virtually, to allow those who travel. And please hold more than ten, if they are in person. so that no citizen has to travel more than 35 miles to testify. Thank you for your consideration.
08/24/2021 03:32 PM	Mr. Larry Reed	N/A	It is critical for the GA to hold dozens of statewide public hearings before and after redistributing maps are drawn for our state. The GA has embarrassed our state in the past by its racial and partisan gerrymandering. The GA must be more transparent and responsive to the will of the people for fair elections. Sleazy gerrymandering must not be in our future.
08/24/2021 03:35 PM	Juliann Francis	private citizen	Redistricting should be left solely up to a nonpartisan or bipartisan committee and politics should be removed from the equation as much as is feasible. Gerrymandering is bringing down our democracy.
08/24/2021 03:35 PM	Mr. William Blaine		I find it totally amazing that redistricting maps can be redrawn with only ten pre-draft public meetings and one post draft meeting. I endorse and concur with Common Cause NC and its allies that at least 13 pre- and 13 post- draft public hearings should be held on the redistricting maps. This is much too important an issue to be handled so cavalierly .
08/24/2021 03:36 PM	Dr Steven Justus		The opportunities for public input into the redistricting process are so few that it would seem that public input is not welcomed . The powerful party-centric interest group running this process should listen. Here are suggestions to improve the redistricting process in North Carolina. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There should be more public hearings across the state. Currently, hearings are only planned in 10 counties. These locations are spread too far across NC to provide enough opportunities for public participation. • Public hearings must be held across the state before and AFTER draft maps are released. It is unacceptable that there are currently no plans for public hearings around the state AFTER maps have been released. The public must have opportunities to weigh in on the proposed maps. • The public should have an opportunity to call or videoconference into meetings to give oral comments. This will allow citizens who can't travel or who have safety concerns due to COVID to participate. • The public should be able to see the comments that have been submitted in the portal. • The General Assembly must share a comprehensive redistricting timeline. • The NCGA's redistricting website must be improved so that the public can find information and easily understand the process.
08/24/2021 03:40 PM	Mrs. Susan Jezorek		I am disturbed by the current plan to only have 10 public meetings before the draft maps are drawn and especially disappointed that there is not a hearing scheduled for Guilford County. Also I believe there should be opportunity for public comments on the draft maps before the final maps are drawn up. The lack of transparency in the process of redistricting makes it appear that public input is not really desired by our Legislators. I hope that you will review the process and allow for more public input on this important issue. Thank you. Sincerely, Susan
08/24/2021 03:41 PM	Dr Gerald Surh	NC State University (ret.)	This is to let you know that your initial proposal for public hearings is inadequate and that the people of this state of all colors and ethnic backgrounds deserve a much more equal role than you are proposing for what the new voting districts will look like.
08/24/2021 03:52 PM	Dr Lawrence Morse	league of women voters, guilford anti	For too many years we have seen district redrawing by the party in power only to have them undone when the power shifts to the other party, all the while a considerable number of public, i.e. taxpayer dollars are spent in court. It is time

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
		racism alliance	for both parties to agree to a district drawing process that: 1) is done without party affiliation data; 2) is expected to be compact; 3) does not have new district boundaries that cut through judicial lines as well as other entities as when a line was drawn through the NCA&T campus. The basic approach should not be founded in desire for party power but rather a fundamental commitment to democracy where in the end, each vote is equally weighted.
08/24/2021 03:54 PM	Dr. Dwight Morris		Redistricting should be by an independent group.
08/24/2021 04:02 PM	John Chase		We ned at least twenty public hearings before initial maps are drawn and at least twenty public hearings after initial maps are drawn.
08/24/2021 04:10 PM	John Allis		No public hearings are scheduled for Wake County, with about 10% of the state's population. This is unacceptable. Furthermore, there should be hearings both before districts are drawn and after the first round of drawing the districts. Public input is necessary (but not sufficient in itself) to assure fair drawing of the districts, both federal and state.
08/24/2021 04:11 PM	Public hearings on maps Lois Nilsen		Per the plan for public hearings, a remote option is needed for all public hearings. Also at least a dozen public hearings across the state are needed after the initial maps are drafted along with remote options.
08/24/2021 04:14 PM	Mr William Hunter	Retired	The initial proposal for public hearings is inadequate. The people of this state -- no matter our color, background or what part of North Carolina we call home -- deserve an equal role in determining what the next decade's voting districts look like. We need fair maps - someday the shoe will be on the other foot.
08/24/2021 04:34 PM	Lee Lumpkin		The initial proposal for public hearings is inadequate and that the people of this state -- no matter our color, background or what part of North Carolina we call home -- deserve an equal role in determining what the next decade's voting districts look like.
08/24/2021 04:39 PM	Betsey Granda	Common Cause	Your initial proposal for public hearings is inadequate. The people of this state -- no matter our color, background or what part of North Carolina we call home -- deserve an equal role in determining what the next decade's voting districts look like.
08/24/2021 04:45 PM	Mr Douglas Neely	n/a	It is my understanding that there will me minimal (perhaps only 1) public meetings post drawing of draft redistricting maps. The redistricting process is one of the most significant elements of a functioning democracy and warrants extensive opportunities for public input. Assuming you want your constituents to have faith/buy-in to the election process, I strongly urge you to take extra effort to be transparent and fair as well as expand the opportunity for public comment.
08/24/2021 04:54 PM	Mr David Ruden	self	<p>Non-partisan redistricting is a critical need if one believes in Democracy. Partisan redistricting does not help one party or the other. It destroys Democracy for both parties... either now, or later.</p> <p>Partisan redistricting can not be held by any one party forever. Just as Democrats damaged Republicans' voting before, and Republicans have been damaging Democrats' votes lately, BOTH parties suffer under the abomination of partisan anti-Democracy redistricting. I strongly urge decisive movement in favor of Democracy and away from partisan districting. Involving public feedback in sufficient back and forth (1 meeting isn't), is a part of that movement. Please do be heros of American Democracy and increase the number of public hearings.</p>
08/24/2021 05:12 PM	Dr. Scott Denham	Davidson College	<p>Dear members of the House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee, I urge you to increase the number of public hearings to allow more North Carolinians to participate in the process of their own governance. I especially urge you to have an equal number of public hearings after the draft redistricting maps are drawn. The single hearing in Raleigh now is inadequate .</p> <p>Even better, you would choose an independent, non-partisan, third-party redistricting process, which is inherently more equitable for us voters, so many of whom have been disenfranchised by NC's history of gerrymandering and crack/</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>stack/pack districts over so many years. You have the opportunity to do the right thing for NC citizens and stop decades of disenfranchisement. Thank you.</p> <p>Scott Denham Davidson, NC</p>
08/24/2021 05:23 PM	Mr. Kurt Arehart	Private citizen	<p>US NC District 4 Comment</p> <p>My name is Kurt Arehart, and I have lived in the north of Raleigh at 4720 All Points View Way for over 15 years. I recently used a precinct mapping tool to design a US congressional district based upon 2020 census data that conforms to my sense of my Community Of Interest. I included both Raleigh and Durham, and would have gone on to Chapel Hill, but that would exceed the district population limit of 745,641. Raleigh and Durham are closely linked metropolitan areas with similar demographics. Many workers commute from one city to the other, as do shoppers and folks just out for an evening's entertainment. The political mixes are similar, with more than 70% of voters having a Democratic partisan lean. I know that a US House Member representing such a district would be highly responsive to my leading concerns, including fair voting access, gun control, environmental action, improved health insurance access and equal treatment for all. Following the 2010 Census, redistricting was marked by extreme gerrymandering, such that I was in US NC District 13, which came to be represented by George Holding (R). The shape of this District 13 in no way represented a "Community Of Interest". It was clearly designed to split off significant chunks of Raleigh and outweigh it with vast swaths of rural, GOP leaning territory. In my 30 years living in Raleigh I have rarely or never visited most of the small towns covered in this District 13. Certainly this is not my community of interest.</p> <p>US NC District 13, as enacted in 2011, used in 2012 and 2014 elections. Because of this gerrymandered District 13, George Holding won the seat in 2012 and held it until 2017. During this time my interests were flatly ignored because George Holding did not need to please the voters of Raleigh to hold his seat. He won reelection in 2014. The court-ordered redrawing of NC districts struck down this gerrymandered District 13, and George Holding did not run for reelection. He knew it was impossible for him to hold his seat with a fairly drawn map. I ask that the current redistricting process respect the Community Of Interest that is Raleigh / Durham.</p>
08/24/2021 05:40 PM	Michael Shor		<p>Please add more public hearings on the proposals both before and after a plan is finally proposed. Maximum involvement of affected voters is critical!</p>
08/24/2021 05:40 PM	Ms Sarah Workman		<p>Dear Committee Members,</p> <p>Redistributing will determine how we, as North Carolina citizens, get to vote within our communities and reflect our state. Your initial proposal for public hearings is inadequate. North Carolinas deserve an equal role in determining what the next decade's voting districts look like. One opportunity for in person public comment held at the General Assembly in Raleigh is not adequate for participatory representation. Please provide, at least, regional public hearings before maps are drawn and additional public hearings once initial district maps are made public. This is a fair approach. One restricted opportunity is unjust and, frankly, lazy. Kindly make the process open not widely to the citizens you represent.</p>
08/24/2021 06:48 PM	Mrs Gwen Straub		<p>The citizens of North Carolina want fairness and equality in Redistricting. We deserve a Redistricting Committee composed of an equal number of Republicans and Democrats no matter which party holds the majority in the State Houses.</p>
08/24/2021	Mr Trey		<p>The General Assembly needs to allow for more public hearings than they currently are specifying. There should be at</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
07:11 PM	Kennedy		least 10-12 public hearings before the draft maps are even drawn, and then to have more after the drafts are done. The general public, without regard for party affiliation, or what geographic area of the state they live in, or any other distinctions, needs to be able to weigh in to this effort. ALL voices should have the opportunity to be heard and given as many opportunities to participate as possible to encourage the participation around various persons schedules of work, family, or medical events. This includes allowing for VIRTUAL participation due to limitations with the Delta strain of CoVid.
08/24/2021 07:37 PM	Lucy McCarl		Please approach redistricting by drawing districts that have economic, geographic, and cultural cohesiveness. Please no more elongated, gerrymandered districts that on their face look contrived to give one party a distinct advantage. We've been through enough court battles. Lead with integrity and be fair to all citizens of the State. Be Statesmen.
08/24/2021 07:47 PM	Pat Levitin	Pat Levitin	I hope that with redistricting you keep Guilford County with Greensboro and High Point and also Winston-Salem. together as District 6. Prior to 2020 we were split up all over the place. Ev en NC A&T was divided in half literally - an absolute mess and confusion. Please I beg you to keep us together since our interests are so similar with economy, housing, education, diverse. make up, etc. Thank you. I vote in every election and primary so this is very important to me.
08/24/2021 07:58 PM	Mrs. Laura Heitz		I reside in Buncombe county. We need the legislature to consider the opinions of the general public with respect to redistricting. Please expand the number of public meetings. One public meeting is an insult. We deserve to be treated with respect.
08/24/2021 08:00 PM	Mr. Eddie Bowman	N/A	Not giving every voter in North Carolina the opportunity to see the Redistricting Maps for the state and the county they live in is unconstitutional and illegal.If you can't do the job and be fair about it you should resign!!
08/24/2021 09:51 PM	Daniel Klein		Gerrymandering of voting districts is a major threat to our democracy. Voters should be electing our Government leaders, our leader should not Selecting their voters. Please make our redistricting process totally open and transparent to all of our voters.
08/24/2021 10:25 PM	Mr Charles Senf	Urchins Orange Trust	Sunshine - do it in the sunshine. Announce meeting schedules. Post meeting agends a week in advance. Open meetings for public comments. Hold Publi Town Hall Meetings in every tenth county's population center. Hold these town hall meetings before the proposed maps are drawn. Clearly state the objectives and criteria used to create the map(s). Clearly delineate and specify the input data and sources to be used. Allow sufficient time after the proposed map(s) are completed to hold Public Hearings in every tenth NC County and be sure to have a majority of committee members present at these hearings.
08/24/2021 10:30 PM	Ms Carla Smith		The citizens of the state of North Carolina deserve a MINIMUM of 13 public hearings both BEFORE as well as AFTER maps are redrawn. The gerrymandering has to stop. Everyone is equal in this country and trying to pad one party above another is discriminatory. Maps and public hearings should be public with hearings broadcasted via Zoom or YouTube and a similar open forum such as this for input from those who signed into the meeting ONLINE. In this day of an ongoing pandemic - making access to the citizens to our elected officials should be EASIER not harder. I'm a cancer survivor with chronic illnesses and fully vaccinated. I would love to attend in person, but in today's political and divisive climate it is not safe. A mask. A vaccine. And common sense is not gonna kill you. Ignorance will.
08/24/2021 10:59 PM	Jeanne Supin		Redistricting should be completed by an independent, nonpartisan body with full public transparency and accountability. But you know that already. How any gerrymandering legislators can sleep at night or look their loved ones in the eyes when they know they can only win elections by cheating is beyond me. But good luck with that.
08/25/2021 06:50 AM	T L Pendleton		This is the same old stuff from the big city liberals. Senator Natasha Marcus is an amazing hypocrite. She was the one that had the amendment to forbid double bunking. She is always worried about herself, but tries to pass it off as being about "democracy." I have also watched Senator Ben Clark. Everyone knows he does not live in the district he represents, and he does nothing but make race baiting comments. The Democrats already have the lawsuit teed up,

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/25/2021 07:54 AM	Kevin DeBruhl		<p>and it is likely already written. We are sick of the law suits...and that Pricey Harrison...she wants to talk about the money the state has spent on lawsuits, but it is because of liberal ideologues like her that file them! it is funny 10 million is a lot of money in one argument, then they turn around and want to spend 50 million on something and act like it is nothing. I am soooo sick of them.</p> <p>House Redistricting Committee members, North Carolina should take a leadership stance and redistrict in a non-politicized manner. Lines should not be drawn to favor one party over the other no matter what practices were done previously. Just because it can be done does not mean it should be. Please use a non-partisan map to given every citizen in NC an equal voice.</p>
08/25/2021 08:30 AM	Dr. Diane Nelson		<p>the NCGA Redistricting Committees should have TWO sets of statewide public hearings: ONE before maps are drawn, ONE AFTER map drafts have been shared.</p> <p>If there is ONLY one set of public hearings, they should occur AFTER the draft maps have been shared with the public. It is ridiculous that you are proposing only ONE set of public hearings, when you held FOUR rounds of public hearings in 36 counties during the 2011 redistricting process. Those 2011 hearings occurred BEFORE and AFTER draft maps were shared with the public.</p> <p>Please don't make our state a laughing stock for the overt attempts to limit democracy. North Carolina deserves better. And if you don't think you can win without these patently illegal and immoral shenanigans then maybe you should change parties.</p> <p>Sincerely, Diane</p>
08/25/2021 08:35 AM	Ms Beverly Hanly	LWVODC,LWVNC	<p>No,no,no. This is not how we do it. We, the people, required a second view of an amended proposal.</p>
08/25/2021 08:48 AM	Mrs Jeanne Stancil		<p>One public hearing does not reflect a goal. nor an intention of drawing fair maps. You are elected by the people and are, in a democracy, answerable to the people. Your actions reflect an intention to do away with free and fair elections.</p>
08/25/2021 08:59 AM	Catherine Shoemaker		<p>the NCGA Redistricting Committees should have TWO sets of statewide public hearings: ONE before maps are drawn, ONE AFTER map drafts have been shared.</p> <p>If there is ONLY one set of public hearings, they should occur AFTER the draft maps have been shared with the public. It is ridiculous that you are proposing only ONE set of public hearings, when you held FOUR rounds of public hearings in 36 counties during the 2011 redistricting process. Those 2011 hearings occurred BEFORE and AFTER draft maps were shared with the public.</p> <p>As Jo Nicholas, President of the NC League of Women Voters said in their email below:</p> <p>“The proposed [2021 NCGA public hearing] plan calls for far fewer public hearings than were provided in 2011 when 62 hearings in 36 counties were convened before and after maps were drafted. With communications technology making the sharing of information easier, we should be increasing transparency, not moving backward.”</p> <p>Months ago Ms. Bremer took this information off of the NCGA website which shows that during the 2011 redistricting process, there were FOUR rounds of public hearings with 36 counties participating and 1-4 hearings occurred in each county. During some of those hearings, the public WAS responding to the drafts of maps for the US House of Representatives, the NCGA Senate, and the NCGA House. Ms. Bremer determined that by looking at the histories of the bills (SB 453/Congressional map, SB 455/NCGA Senate map, HB 937/NCGA House map) that documented WHEN the various draft maps were released.</p>
08/25/2021 09:25 AM	Mrs. Cheryl McGraw	citizen	<p>Please have more public comment session, before and after the initial maps are presented. This should be a transparent and inclusive process to give</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
	McGraw		North Carolinians a fair voice in their government. NO GERRYMANDERING!
08/25/2021 09:44 AM	Fair Districting Process Blair Justice		The plan for public comment currently proposed is woefully inadequate! It is imperative that more public hearings be held, both before and after the map is drawn!
08/25/2021 09:48 AM	Joan Colburn		The entire state of NC needs to have public hearings BEFORE and after the new maps are drawn.
08/25/2021 10:00 AM	Ms. Doris Hinson		It is vital that voting be open and fair, not designed to favor one party or another. It seems that the best way to handle this is to have an independent commission that is not swayed by party politics to perform this task. Government is supposed to work for the good of the people, not for the good of one party or the other, and in recent years that has definitely not been the case.
08/25/2021 10:06 AM	Mrs. Clarice Reber	private citizen	<p>To the NC House and Senate Redistricting Committees:</p> <p>The NC Redistricting process needs more public access and input.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There is no plan for public comment after the draft maps are released. This should be the time when the public should have the MOST access to input. 2. There are only 10 meetings scheduled, none of which are in Wake County, the largest county in the state, Guilford County, another large population area, nor in Northeastern NC which is a long way from anywhere else. The hearings must be spread so that the public has a reasonable chance to comment. 3. We should be given access to these meetings for observations AND oral comments via digital conferencing platforms both visually and auditorily. We don't all have good access to the internet, so a phone option is important as well as a visual Zoom-like option. 4. The public needs better access to the redistricting process. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> A. We need access to all public comments on the portal. B. Share a comprehensive timeline on the redistricting process so that we know what will happen when in a timely manner. Right now, the information is released with no predictability and with insufficient notice of meetings. C. Improve the web site please, so we can find information easily. We shouldn't need to spend an inordinate time searching for the information we seek. <p>Thank you for this opportunity to comment. Please make further comment more accessible, more frequent, and especially after the draft map is released.</p>
08/25/2021 10:36 AM	Walter Saffell		There needs to be total transparency in all our Government policies. Everyone who votes should have all the information is out to the public. All the behind the scenes decisions should stop completely. Politicians are suppose to only be doing our will. If you don't listen to everyone you should resign. Get someone in there that listens. This starts with redistricting which is partisan BS.
08/25/2021 10:36 AM	Lita Stum		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There should be more public hearings across the state. Wake County is now the largest county in the state, and has no public hearing scheduled. Currently, hearings are only planned in 10 counties. These locations are spread too far across NC to provide enough opportunities for public participation. • Public hearings must be held across the state before and AFTER draft maps are released. There are currently no plans for public hearings around the state AFTER maps have been released. This is unacceptable. The public must have opportunities to weigh in on the proposed maps. • The public should have an opportunity to call or videoconference into meetings to give oral comments. This will allow citizens who can't travel or who have safety concerns due to COVID to participate.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The public should be able to see the comments that have been submitted in the portal. There are at least 290 comments in the portal, and these are part of the public record. • The General Assembly must share a comprehensive redistricting timeline. Currently, information comes out in sporadically and with insufficient notice of meetings. • The NCGA's redistricting website must be improved so that the public can find information and easily understand the process.
08/25/2021 10:39 AM	MS Vera Baker		Small towns are not the same as big cities. We deserve to be heard and represented. It is not a one size fits all solution. I may not live in a big city, but I am a taxpayer and I deserve to be heard.
08/25/2021 11:09 AM	Marilyn Dicks		What do I know about re-districting could be held in a thimble, however I do know that our rural district in Wayne County will continue to grow at a very fast pace. This growth will be related to the illegal immigrant population. Also I wish to maintain my 2 NC representative John Bell and Sen. Perry as they are 2 of the hardest workers in NC.
08/25/2021 11:17 AM	Mrs Cindy Hardy	Wayne County GOP	Small towns and big cities are 2 different towns. We want to keep our same Senator and Representative because they are helping our small towns and rural communities. They know our needs. And it is ABSOLUTELY not fair for 2 counties to have 12 of our 50 senators!
08/25/2021 11:35 AM	Dr. Patrick Hemming		<p>Dear Redistricting Committee,</p> <p>I am pleased that you are taking some steps toward openness and transparency in the redistricting process. I believe that you can do even better. I hope that you will expand open meetings to 13 counties prior to drawing of maps, as well as an equal number of meetings after initial maps are drawn. Voters and communities deserve representation that is commensurate to their presence in our state. Please open up the process further.</p> <p>Thank you for considering this request. I will be following the process closely.</p> <p>Patrick Hemming, resident of Chapel Hill</p>
08/25/2021 11:36 AM	Mrs Anna Fesmire		I support the request made by Common Cause for 13 public hearings and an additional 13 public hearings once the initial maps are put forth. Redistricting is too important a subject to be given short shrift.
08/25/2021 11:44 AM	Mrs Theresa Lawlis	none	I am simply writing to ask that those who are redistricting our state be fair. NC has had some of the most outrageous looking voting districts ever seen, and it is truly embarrassing to see that. Look at the previous images of the 12th and 114th Congressional District map. Voters are supposed to be selecting those who represent them, not the other way around. Both democrats and republicans should put forth the best policies in order to secure votes. Let our state demonstrate integrity and legitimacy in drawing up voting districts.
08/25/2021 11:47 AM	Mr Greg Hamby	The Cypress Moon Inn	<p>Greetings, Redistricting needs ot be done in a fair way. It is time to end the practice of Gerrymandering by racial and political parameters..</p> <p>That is a racist thing and needs to be relagated to the trash heap of history. North Carolina neds to embrace the inevitable future.</p> <p>Regards, Greg Hamby</p>
08/25/2021 12:03 PM	Rev. Dr. Aloha Smith		North Carolina lawmakers and influencers seem to want NC to remain a state of disgrace. We can do better than this and ameliorate our state's reputation by being transparent to the public and with the public with redistricting map information. By having only 10 places for people to get what they need to know and to voice their concerns, these decisions are showing bad faith and a continued commitment to unfair governing practices. Please reform yourselves!
08/25/2021 12:14 PM	Donna Mergliano		Transparency is critical to fair redistricting. Thus far, the limited schedule of hearings both before and after adoption of maps leaves a great deal to be desired. Please schedule more public hearings and offer more on line options.
08/25/2021 12:23 PM	J Paris		The NCGA Redistricting Committees should have TWO sets of statewide public hearings: ONE before maps are drawn, ONE AFTER map drafts have been shared. If there is ONLY one set of public hearings, they should occur AFTER the

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/25/2021 12:24 PM	Dr. Niranjana Parthasarathi, M.D.		<p>draft maps have been shared with the public. It is ridiculous that you are proposing only ONE set of public hearings, when you held FOUR rounds of public hearings in 36 counties during the 2011 redistricting process. Those 2011 hearings occurred BEFORE and AFTER draft maps were shared with the public.</p>
08/25/2021 12:24 PM	Dr. Niranjana Parthasarathi, M.D.		<p>As a citizen with legitimate concerns about maintaining a representative democracy, I am alarmed by the insufficient and restricted opportunities for public comment and discussion of proposed redistricting in our state. It is shameful that only ten of 100 counties have hearings, and that some districts which have been adversely affected in the past have no opportunity to express their views. These meetings will be inaccessible to the vast majority of our citizens, due to the limited locations and dates.</p> <p>I urge the committee to please expand the number of meetings so that redistricting plans can more fairly represent the needs of the people who are being represented. Limiting meetings essentially promotes voter suppression, which is contrary to the principles our great democracy is based upon.</p>
08/25/2021 12:48 PM	Mrs. Joan Poole	Citizen of North Carolina	<p>The redistricting plan as presented is totally inadequate. All citizens of this state, regardless of color, creed, gender choice, educational level etc. deserve to be able to give their views. The plan does not allow this to happen, citizens in many areas of the state have been completely left out. If the process is to be democratic at all it must be reconsidered.</p>
08/25/2021 12:51 PM	Donna Lingle Ray	NC Federation of Republican Women	<p>It is very important to keep our same Representative and Senator because they are helping our small towns which are not the same as big cities!! And if two counties have 12 of our 50 Senators the rural counties will not have an equal voice!!</p>
08/25/2021 12:56 PM	Dr. KAREN HODGES		<p>The practice of gerrymandering, which has been so extreme and persistent in North Carolina, has undermined faith in government to a dangerous degree. This has got to stop. Please remember that, if it can be used as a tool in your hands, it can also be used by the opposition when there are shifts in power. But whoever uses it, it confers unfair advantage and destabilizes our democracy.</p>
08/25/2021 12:59 PM	mr Robert Lamb	Retired	<p>The structure of our current redistricting process is driving our government into a single party system. It must be restructured to eliminate this fatal flaw.</p>
08/25/2021 01:09 PM	mrs LAURA BALLANCE		<p>Dear Lawmaker:</p> <p>The NC General Assembly's Joint Redistricting Committee has proposed that only 10 of 100 counties (Caldwell, Jackson, Mecklenburg, Nash, Pasquotank, Pitt, Durham, Forsyth, New Hanover, Robeson) will host in-person public hearings on potential state legislative and Congressional districts in September – and they've only guaranteed hearings BEFORE maps are even drawn.</p> <p>They've said they plan to make their final decisions in the coming days on where and when I can speak about my own voting districts.</p> <p>As your constituent, I deserve better. I'm asking for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - More hearings, in more places, with more notice BEFORE and AFTER maps are drawn - Language and virtual access to allow for more and safer public input - All comments provided be made available to the public - More transparency about the redistricting timeline and process <p>As your constituent can I count on you to do everything you can fight for fair map-making?</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			Sincerely, Laura Ballance
08/25/2021 01:30 PM	MS Betty Lawrence		I wish I were shocked to see the Committee's proposed public hearing schedule. So few locations, so few hearings, skipping population centers, and most of all, taking public comment before there are any maps to comment on. It is very difficult to expect anything but the worst in this process. I will participate to the best of my ability. I just wish there were reasons to believe that I, or any NC constituent, would have any real input. Please have more public hearings in more places, after there are proposed maps to give the public something to evaluate. Thanks.
08/25/2021 01:30 PM	DeAnne Zaeske	League of Women Voters	It is critical that voters in NC have fair and equal representation in the voting process! That means voting districts are created fairly for EVERYONE. There should be no partisanship in creating voting districts. All residents should be able to voice their concerns about decisions on access, availability, rules and restrictions. It is a fundamental right of every US citizen and critical to the success of our state and country! Please represent all of us not just a chosen few!
08/25/2021 01:51 PM	Mrs. Johnette Fields		The public is still not comfortable with transparency from those who are in charge of redistricting. Show us what's going on by providing more public meetings, more virtual meetings, and more ways to comment on the process. Show us all voters will be in a district that allows all votes to actually count.
08/25/2021 01:58 PM	Dr. John Lingle		Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and opportunities for the public to comment. Nothing is more important to democracy than fair and full representation. To help assure a representative process, I request that: 1) the number of public hearings will be increased beyond 10 and that any geographical areas with 100,000 residents have a public testimony site, and that all citizens have a site within an hour's drive. 2) the location of all testimony sites be quickly published so citizens can make plans to attend, rather than having to make last-minute arrangements. 3) citizens are afforded adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. 4) To ensure public safety, virtual public hearings are held to protect citizens who may be immunocompromised who wish to comment.
08/25/2021 02:04 PM	Dr Marsha Hammond	Licensed Psychologist	The League of Women Voters/Common Cause/NAACP letter to you outlines everything that should be considered. No secret whispered meetings and dragging off of Maps as in 2019, please. We want transparency & we want to be fully involved. It's OUR government.
08/25/2021 02:05 PM	Dr Sondra Stein		I am concerned that the Committee does not really understand the purpose of public comments: they are to ensure that the maps lawmakers draw preserve communities of interest as well as counties, and that they facilitate rather than obstruct the voices of the voters. To this end, public hearings must enable maximum participation across the state, both before maps are drawn and after the first drafts are done. To enable maximum participation, public hearings must be offered both virtually and in person, and must be accessible to folks for whom English is not a first language. Since we have 100 counties in NC, 10 public hearings before maps are drawn does not begin to meet the need for public input into this critical process.
08/25/2021 02:11 PM	Marla West		Use racial data in the redistricting process and be sure to hold public hearings both before and after maps are drawn, hearings before maps are drawn is inadequate as well as only holding hearing in 10% of districts, expand the hearing process.
08/25/2021 02:13 PM	Ms Deborah Beroth	None	We in Wake County deserve to be heard. We should have a public hearing before the maps are drawn and after and it should be online as well as in person. We should be given advance notice when it will be held. Thank you Deborah Beroth
08/25/2021 02:16 PM	Judith Bellin	retired	I am greatly concerned about the fairness of the redistricting process. It is vital that residents of North Carolina residents be able to voice their concerns in person, and with adequate time for proper and timely presentation. The NC General Assembly's Joint Redistricting Committee has proposed that only 10 of 100 counties (Caldwell,

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>Jackson, Mecklenburg, Nash, Pasquotank, Pitt, Durham, Forsyth, New Hanover, Robeson) will host in-person public hearings on potential state legislative and Congressional districts in September – and they’ve only guaranteed hearings BEFORE maps are even drawn. That seems useless how can one comment on an event that has not taken place?</p> <p>North Carolinians need:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - More hearings, in more places, with more notice BEFORE and AFTER maps are drawn - Language and virtual access to allow for more and safer public input - All comments provided must be made available to the public - More transparency about the redistricting timeline and process.
08/25/2021 02:22 PM	Mr Forney Renfro	Retired	<p>Would like to receive updates on redistricting progress. I am a registered voter (Ashe County). Transparency in government is VERY important to me. Please send updates to trenfro@msn.com.</p>
08/25/2021 02:22 PM	Public hearings Deb Duoree	Christ the King Lutheran Church	<p>There should be more public hearings across the state. Wake County is now the largest county in the state, and has no public hearing scheduled. Currently, hearings are only planned in 10 counties. These locations are spread too far across NC to provide enough opportunities for public participation. Do you represent the people of NC? If so then we need to be able to share our stories with you. We need representation! Taxation without representation is wrong.</p> <p>The public should have an opportunity to call or videoconference into meetings to give oral comments. This will allow citizens who can’t travel or who have safety concerns due to COVID to participate-</p> <p>Public hearings must be held across the state before and AFTER draft maps are released. There are currently no plans for public hearings around the state AFTER maps have been released. This is unacceptable. The public must have opportunities to weigh in on the process.</p> <p>The public should be able to see the comments that have been submitted in the portal. There are at least 290 comments in the portal, and these are part of the public record.</p> <p>The NCGA’s redistricting website must be improved so that the public can find information</p>
08/25/2021 02:48 PM	Ms. Mary Goodkind		<p>Your initial plan is totally inadequate. Citizens deserve at least one meeting in every county and to have the option to participate online in order to protect their health. Citizens deserve to see the proposals and the final version BEFORE you vote on it. Don't throw us crumbs. That is no way to protect our democracy.</p>
08/25/2021 02:50 PM	Dr. Pamela J Culp		<p>I am a life long North Carolinian. Accessible equitable voting is at the heart of democracy. Both political parties have misused their offices to gerrymander. The NCGA Joint Redistricting Committee is proposing in person hearings in only 10% of NC counties!!! There is no hearing in Buncombe County where I live. Worst there are No current hearings or means for public input AFTER the maps are revealed. Listen to your constituents. We deserve better representation than this. North Carolinians elected you to office. Please represent us and listen to all North Carolinians not the select few. We deserve better than this. We deserve a democratic State! Please represent all of your constituents. Thank you.</p>
08/25/2021 02:51 PM	Miriam Smith- DeCoster		<p>The structure of public comments as it stands is completely ridiculous. It's absurd to not have a virtual option to participate in a pandemic. It's absurd to have just a few locations, requiring the public to take significant time to travel to participate. It's also completely absurd to not schedule hearings for after the drawings of the maps. Please do better by North Carolinians.</p>
08/25/2021 02:56 PM	Dr. Sarah Nielsen		<p>Thank you for the opportunity to register my thoughts on this process. In general, I believe the current plans are too restrictive. We should have as many hearings as possible that reach as many people as possible, regardless of distance, accessibility, etc. Please consider the following to broaden the scope of the hearings: provide virtual options (we are in a pandemic and are increasingly reliant upon digital technology, let's use it!), broad the geographical offerings to include</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>more counties in the state, and please consider increasing the accessibility to allow as many of our citizens as possible to attend and participate. Thank you.</p> <p>Best, Sarah Nielsen</p>
08/25/2021 02:57 PM	Mrs. Sarah Hearn-vonFoers	Greensboro Symphony Guild	This plan, as announced, is again an obvious attempt to restrict input instead of gaining input from all Guilford County residents. Unless all diverse peoples are represented, no result will be accurate or acceptable.
08/25/2021 03:06 PM	Dr. Jesse Ullery		Please follow the recommendations of Democracy NC.
08/25/2021 03:07 PM	Mrs. Elizabeth Carlson		<p>Dear Redistricting Commitees Members::</p> <p>I'm a NC resident writing you to ask for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - More hearings, in more places, with more notice BEFORE and AFTER maps are drawn - Language and virtual access to allow for more and safer public input - All comments provided be made available to the public - More transparency about the redistricting timeline and process
08/25/2021 03:08 PM	Ellen Van Velsor		As I understand it, there are several problems with the way the redistricting committee is going about its work. (1) lack of accommodation of the disabled and for language differences means many voices will be excluded from the process (2) choosing only a few sites, geographically too distant for many with no provision for digital access again limits the majority of North Carolinians from being heard or educated about what's being proposed (3) hearings should be held in more than just 10% of our counties. This is an important issue and should be done with utmost concern for widespread inclusion and as much as possible with guarantees that the results will be fair and equitable for all.
08/25/2021 03:16 PM	Janice Stevenson		Please give people plenty of notice and time to comment on redistricting plans since it will be another 10 years before we get another chance. Thank you.
08/25/2021 03:24 PM	Mr Michael Eisenberg	Concerned Citizen	<p>It's way past time to draw maps that actually let We The People pick out representatives. There should be no way that dark money is allowed to determine who draws maps where the legislature is allowed to draw maps that are not competitive and in essence allows the representatives to choose their constituents. That's not how democracy works.</p> <p>The process should be transparent. To have comments allowed in 10 of 100 counties is in now way acceptable. The legislature should make it easy not difficult for the public to weigh in.</p> <p>I'm calling on the legislature to stop these charades and make these maps the fairest we've ever has.</p>
08/25/2021 03:45 PM	Deborah McGivern		If I understand what has been proposed it appears that there are only 10 public hearings and none are in heavily populated areas. 10 is less than what was held in 2011, are we going backwards in government transparency? The first hearing scheduled for the week of Sept 6th which is very short notice with no additional details provided. There does not appear to be any option for remote access. In light of the recent influx of COVID cases remote options are needed to protect the communities while still allowing for public input. I urge you to expand the hearing sites and provide remote access to public hearings.
08/25/2021 04:06 PM	Ms Tara Blomquist	Indivisible GUILFORD County	If any changes are being made to the maps for GUILFORD County we need a comment town hall first.
08/25/2021 04:14 PM	M Wooley		I encourage you to let them know that their initial proposal for public hearings is inadequate and that the people of this state -- no matter our color, background or what part of North Carolina we call home -- deserve an equal role in determining what the next decade's voting districts look like.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/25/2021 04:25 PM	Martha Whitney		I am concerned about the redistricting process and want it to be fair and inclusive. Please consider: - More hearings, in more places, with more notice BEFORE and AFTER maps are drawn - Language and virtual access to allow for more and safer public input - All comments provided be made available to the public - More transparency about the redistricting timeline and process
08/25/2021 04:37 PM	Dr. Sue Hidore		Your initial proposal for public hearings is inadequate and the people of this state -- no matter our color, background or what part of North Carolina we call home -- deserve an equal role in determining what the next decade's voting districts look like. Their must be adequate time and locations for all to comment and make our valued input in the process of redistricting in our state.
08/25/2021 05:09 PM	Mary L ou Campbell		I believe the Redistricting Committee should have public hearings before and after lines are drawn. We especially need hearings in Guilford, Forsyth, and Alamance Counties. These counties include a large population in our state and share environmental, educational and cultural interests.
08/25/2021 05:09 PM	Mary L ou Campbell		I believe the Redistricting Committee should have public hearings before and after lines are drawn. We especially need hearings in Guilford, Forsyth, and Alamance Counties. These counties include a large population in our state and share environmental, educational and cultural interests.
08/25/2021 05:26 PM	mr jeff eichinger		Public comment needs to be done throughout the state. One public comment session in Raleigh is too difficult for most of to go to. Or is that the point?
08/25/2021 05:50 PM	Mr. Robert Scot Pope		It is imperative that public citizens are allowed to and invited to provide input into the current redistricting process.
08/25/2021 06:14 PM	Dr. Larry King		Multiple public hearings before the maps a drawn are important. But very important is to have multiple hearings AFTER the maps are drawn. Please add hearing statewide after the maps are drawn.
08/25/2021 06:17 PM	Mr. Gary Boos	Citizen	I read that the committee drawing the new district boundaries will listen to comments from the public before drawing the boundaries. However, after they are drawn there be no public input about the new maps. So, the Republican dominated committee drawing the new districts, which will strongly influence who gets elected for the next 10 years, will be presented and we are supposed to silently and politely accept whatever degree of Gerrymandering, incumbent protection, community dividing, distortions the committee passed. These are the actions of rulers who are out to protect their power and not the actions of legislators who are supposed to act in the best interest of the people of NC. This is why so many people hate politicians and is a reason why the sale of firearms has done so well over the past 18 months.
08/25/2021 06:34 PM	CJ Marchant		Redistricting should be done by a non-partisan committee. The lines should not be drawn by the party in the majority. Please vote to establish a non-partisan committee to do the redistricting.
08/25/2021 07:17 PM	Peggy Shelton	N/a	Comments should be allowed from all 100 counties since 10 is clearly unfair. We vote in all of them so should have the right to comment. Make it easier to vote, not more difficult so people can't get to polls. Do not continue to try and pass dishonest legislation re voting rights. Follow our Constitution which you pledge when you are sworn into office.
08/25/2021 07:38 PM	Raishawn Brown		I demand that the maps are redistricted in such a way to prevent it from favoring one party over the other, to prevent representatives from choosing their voters, the redistricting committee needs to be bipartisan and the meetings made accessible to the public
08/25/2021 07:40 PM	Ms. Susan B. McClanahan		There are MANY problems with the majority's August 18th public hearing proposal. It... • Does not offer ANY public hearings in major population centers like Buncombe, Cumberland, Guilford and Wake counties. These heavily populated areas are the EASIEST to gerrymander, and thus they especially require public hearings. Perhaps Wake should have more than ONE public hearing site since it is now the state's most populous county, and it has suffered from extreme gerrymandering in the past. In 2011, the NCGA held THREE public hearings in

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>Buncombe, Jackson and Watauga Counties and FOUR public hearings in EACH of these counties: Cumberland, Guilford, Hertford, Mecklenburg, New Hanover and Wake. Those hearings were held BEFORE AND AFTER draft maps were released. In 2021, we should have at least TWO public hearings in each of the above locations: one hearing BEFORE drafts, and one hearing AFTER map drafts have been released.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not offer ANY public hearings in the major rural hubs in Western NC, Northeastern NC, and the Sandhills. In 2011, the NCGA held public hearings in the following counties: Hertford (4 hearings), Jackson (3 hearings), Pitt(2 hearings), Watauga (3 hearings). In 2021, I suggest that those 4 counties have TWO public hearings each; one hearing BEFORE draft maps, and one hearing AFTER the draft maps are released. Lacks any details on where, when, at what time, and in what languages public hearings will be held; Omits any options to offer public hearings AFTER draft maps are revealed to the public. In 2019, candidate Ricky Hurtado's home was drawn OUT of HD 62 in Alamance in the final maps that were released on a FRIDAY, and the ONLY public hearing was then held in RALEIGH at the NCGA on the following MONDAY. Even though this gerrymander was pointed out at that public hearing, legislators were not required to respond to the allegation. NO legislator EVER provided a justification for excluding candidate Hurtado from this district. We MUST have public hearings available AFTER the draft maps are shared so that voters can bring attention to such gerrymanders. And legislators must be required to respond to allegations with justifications. The public must be given two week's advance notice ahead of public hearings so that folks can get off of work to attend. <p>North Carolina voters deserve BETTER! Please provide</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - More hearings, in more places, with more notice BEFORE and AFTER maps are drawn - Language and virtual access to allow for more and safer public input as the COVID Delta Variant continues to expand. - All comments shared on the NCGA Redistricting portal should be made available to the public. - More transparency about the redistricting timeline and process. <p>It is not okay for the majority to ignore our voices any longer.</p>
08/25/2021 07:59 PM	Dr. Jennifer Bremer		<p>We need more hearing sites and hearings after maps are released as well as before. Technologies for remote meetings have obviously expanded greatly since 2011 and there is no excuse for limited the number of hearings to only 10 across our 100 counties. Hearings after the maps are released are much more important than hearings beforehand, moreover. Hearings following the drawing of new maps should be prioritized.</p>
08/25/2021 08:41 PM	Fair redistricting Kay Sanders	Pennybyrn Retirement Community	<p>Let the facts of of the census guide the redistricting and not the temptation to skew the facts to benefit one side or the other. My hope is that you will be honest enough to do this. Neither the Republicans or the Democrats should be benefited from the redistricting effort but since the Republicans control the House and Senate, it seems more likely that the Republicans will do what they can to benefit themselves. Our population is almost 50-50. (It has been not quite, I know.)</p> <p>My eyes are wide open.</p>
08/25/2021 08:50 PM	Julie Mooney		<p>To whom it may concern:</p> <p>The number of counties that he NC General Assembly's Joint Redistricting Committee has proposed for hearings on potential state legislative and Congressional districts is far too low. I urge you to set additional sites for public hearings. Further, as of now, hearings will be held before maps are drawn without the potential to comment about proposed maps. This is wrong. Please expand the number of towns and counties for hearings and also schedule some for after maps have been proposed. Thank you.</p> <p>Julie Mooney</p>
08/25/2021 09:02 PM	Lois Roewade	individual	<p>Surely you will have more than one public hearing AFTER the maps are drawn!! And hearings in many different areas. To do anything less would be an absolute travesty and incredibly disrespectful to the citizens of North Carolina. We have begged, pleaded, implored your Committee to allow sufficient time for citizen input and response to the process and its product.</p>
08/25/2021	Susan Cohen		<p>The public must see and comment on your proposed redistricting maps both before AND after these maps are</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
10:25 PM			developed and made public. Public hearings must be held widely, across the state, near every population center & they must be streamed live, with sufficient information and lead time so the public can make plans to attend in person or virtually. Written questions and comments must be responded to. This is the only way democracy can be successful.
08/25/2021 11:35 PM	Carol Young	- Select -	Redistricting must be fair to everyone. Representative democracy deserves nothing less. Enough of these tortured gerrymandered districts created purely for political gain.
08/26/2021 07:19 AM	Ms Edith Simpson	Common Cause	<p>The proposed single public comment hearing is totally insufficient to enable all NC citizens to provide input on this important issue. We have a constitutional right to free and fair elections, and we deserve a say in insuring that our rights are upheld.</p> <p>I strongly support multiple hearings both before and after the new initial district maps are drawn. These hearings should be held at locations throughout the state, including at least one in Western NC, and remote hearings should also be an option. Many of us do not have the time or ability to travel many miles to Raleigh to have our voices heard, and during the pandemic, we deserve safe options as well. Thank you.</p>
08/26/2021 07:45 AM	Ms Ilene Freedman		<p>Please ensure that sufficient public forums are scheduled and advise me what the dates are and times. This process is vital to free and fair elections.</p> <p>Zip code 27703</p>
08/26/2021 08:24 AM	JoAnn Isler		Guilford County deserves a hearing. Do not split up the county again when you decide on the new districts. We demand fair voting districts!
08/26/2021 08:31 AM	Linda Archer		I feel it is very important to not split cities or counties into separate districts when that is at all possible. I am from Guilford County and we have been split before to the detriment of our county's interest.
08/26/2021 08:48 AM	Carol Provost		Redistricting needs to change. Politicians, of either party, should not be drawing the maps. We need to have an independent committee making this happen. Be fair to all!
08/26/2021 09:00 AM	dr claudia Koonz	Duke History Department	<p>Avoid an avalanche of negative national press coverage by two pro-democracy decisions.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • INCLUDE population centers like Orange (where I live) Buncombe, Cumberland, Guilford and Wake counties and major rural hubs in Western NC, Northeastern NC, and the Sandhills. • Announce when your constituents can express feedback AFTER draft maps are revealed or provide comments to the public.
08/26/2021 09:16 AM	Mrs. Janice Henson		Redistricting in North Carolina should be done in a transparent way by a nonpartisan committee. As long as this continues to be done in secret by either party, the outcome will be subject to suspicion. For a number of years many in North Carolina have not had true representation because of partisan gerrymandering, as was admitted to by a former member of the legislature. I implore the present General Assembly to bring this process into the light with the use of a nonpartisan committee.
08/26/2021 09:39 AM	Mrs. Ashley Heath		<p>Section 3: "No county shall be divided in the formation of a senate district;"</p> <p>Section 5: "No county shall be divided in the formation of a representative district;"</p> <p>We want to keep our same Representative and Senator because they are helping our small towns!</p> <p>Small towns are not the same as big cities. One size does not fit all!</p> <p>Redistricting should be done with full integrity and in the spirit of a free democracy. 24% of the Senate should not come from TWO Counties when NC has 100 counties! It should be fair. There should not be total control or more control by certain counties over others. This should be taken into consideration as it will be detrimental to us all. Then gradually to those who steal authority with this</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/26/2021 09:49 AM	Laura Gonzo		<p>method.</p> <p>Thank you for your close attention to a fair, transparent, and effective redistricting process. Thank you for taking the time to read and investigate this matter of redistricting. It is very important for us!</p>
08/26/2021 10:10 AM	Dr. Caroline Swartz		<p>There are several issues that merit comment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The Joint House and Senate Redistricting Committee plan for receiving public input is inadequate. The committee has proposed hearings in just ten of 100 counties. This is a clear effort to eliminate voices. This is especially true for areas historically targeted for map manipulation, including Guilford County. - Additionally, the proposed hearings are restrictive in the following ways: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * They are spread out, requiring long drives for many * There are no virtual options for participating. * There is no requirement for ADA compliance. * There is no accommodation for language differences. - The Committee also has neglected to schedule hearings for after maps are drawn. - There is some concern that they may try to split Guilford County in the Congressional map, as has happened before. <p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. • Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. • Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. • Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. • Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines <p>Regards, Caroline Swartz</p>
08/26/2021 10:12 AM	Jennie Clifton		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive.</p> <p>Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend.</p> <p>Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published.</p> <p>Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment.</p> <p>Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p>
08/26/2021 10:12 AM	Brittany Worsham		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/26/2021 10:13 AM	Kelley Brake		<p>Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p> <p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p>
08/26/2021 10:14 AM	Nancy Popkin		<p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p> <p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p>
08/26/2021 10:14 AM	Margaret Goode		<p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p> <p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p>
08/26/2021 10:16 AM	Dr. Mary Muchane		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I respectfully request that the Committee:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. • Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. • Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. • Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. • Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines
08/26/2021	Ms Pamela		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
10:20 AM	Dykstra		<p>To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. 2. Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. 3. Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. 4. Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. 5. Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines
08/26/2021 10:21 AM	Katherine Bersch		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment.</p> <p>To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p>
08/26/2021 10:24 AM	Owen Mundy		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment.</p> <p>To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries **as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines**</p>
08/26/2021 10:33 AM	Jennifer Pruitt		<p>I live in Huntersville and feel strongly our North Mecklenburg zone needs to stay with Mecklenburg county.</p> <p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment.</p> <p>To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. • Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. • Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. • Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. • Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines
08/26/2021 10:40 AM	Ms. Robin Hammond		<p>The proposal for public hearings on redistricting is inadequate. All the people of NC -- no matter our color, background or what part of North Carolina we call home -- deserve an equal role in determining what the next decade's voting districts look like. Make the process fair and make the maps fair.</p>
08/26/2021 10:41 AM	josh worsham		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment.</p> <p>To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
	RS Roberts		<p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p>
08/26/2021 10:53 AM	RS Roberts		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. - Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. -Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. -Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. -Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines
08/26/2021 10:59 AM	Briana Brough		<p>The redistricting public hearing schedule proposed by this committee is unacceptable and offensive. Residents of North Carolina have crucial information about how their communities should be represented that the committee needs in order to draw maps that reflect our diverse communities and result in proportional representation for the people of our state.</p> <p>I urge the Joint House and Senate Redistricting Committee to hold hearings in every county with more than 100,000 residents and add additional sites to ensure that no one has to drive more than an hour to attend a hearing. Advance notice of when hearings will be held should also be provided. The in-person hearings offered should also honor covid-19 safety protocols and provide virtual options for participation. In addition, all records of public comments must be made easily accessible on the legislative website.</p>
08/26/2021 11:10 AM	Laura Wenzel		<p>Implementing these reforms would help ensure that we have a truly transparent map-drawing process this year.</p> <p>Dear Committee Members, As a community organiser and non-profit director, i have worked for decades to help North Carolinians become more fully engaged in and contribute positively to our state. On thing that I've noticed over this period is that the more I have sought out the opinions and participation of community members, the more successful the results of our programs. Therefore I urge you, in the name of a strong and cohesive North Carolina, to expand the redistricting hearings to more locations, particularly those that are underserved and less engaged, and to hold hearings on the maps that are drafted following the initial hearings. Thank you.</p>
08/26/2021 11:15 AM	Stephen Gibbons		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. 2. Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. 3. Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>4. Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment.</p> <p>5. Regarding Mecklenburg County (my county of residence) observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p> <p>Thank you,</p> <p>Stephen J. Gibbons Davidson, NC</p>
08/26/2021 11:23 AM	Melody Crowder-Meyer		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration.</p>
08/26/2021 11:24 AM	Valerie Gibbons		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p>
08/26/2021 11:29 AM	Mrs. Candice Greig	Resident	<p>Hi my name is Candice Greig and a long time resident of High Point in Guilford County. When High Point comes to mind many think of the High Point Furniture Market. The H.P. market is indeed a high profile, very successful integral part of our city. But there is also a large population with poverty, food and housing insecurity. I believe High Point and Greensboro share these same challenges. I want the two cities to be in one district to better represent the population. These concerns should be important for the representation of the congressional district.</p> <p>Thank you</p>
08/26/2021 11:30 AM	Mr Ronald Potts	Cornelius resident	<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/26/2021 11:31 AM	Motria Procyk		<p>Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p> <p>I was extremely concerned to learn that North Mecklenburg County may be placed with Iredell County as part of the redistributing process. As a resident of North Mecklenburg, I DO NOT want this to happen. The mere fact that we are called North Mecklenburg should affirm our placement in Mecklenburg county. Also, please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p>
08/26/2021 11:38 AM	Emmie Hancock		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. • Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. • Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. • Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. • Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines
08/26/2021 11:51 AM	Melissa Zacharias		<p>Greetings,</p> <p>I am a small business owner, teacher, and mother from Guilford County, and I think we have a great opportunity to ensure that our community is authentically represented in government. Keeping Guilford County as a whole within a district would maintain the integrity of this community and go a long way to make our government more representative of our community.</p> <p>When my family moved to North Carolina more than ten years ago, I did not realize how tightly knit these supposedly separate cities were. I live in High Point. I work in Greensboro. I play in Winston Salem. So many people I have met since joining this community have similar stories.</p> <p>In the past, indeed in North Carolina's very recent past, when communities are split that should be whole, the lines are often drawn in ways that put the community members at a disadvantage. They are drawn along lines of race, income, language, and power. This kind of divisiveness can do real, impactful damage to the individuals living in a community, the community at large, and even the integrity of our democracy.</p> <p>I ask you to not only keep Guilford County whole as district lines are adjusted, but to raise the level of transparency about the redistricting process and the access that the people of North Carolina have to information and input in this process. There need to be a greater number of hearings, both in person and online, scheduled with enough time for regular citizens to view and study the proposed redistricting maps, with ample time and opportunity for discourse. When we restrict access to information and the ability to give input, we draw another kind of line, a line between the</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>people and their government, which challenges the very premise of American Democracy.</p> <p>Please approach the redistricting process with the utmost integrity and openness. This issue affects our representation in every sense, and I urge the redistricting committee and all involved to take it seriously.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Melissa Zacharias High Point, NC MACZacharias@gmail.com</p>
08/26/2021 12:10 PM	Linda Steber		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines Please take partisan politics out of these redistricting meetings. Give the majority a voice.</p>
08/26/2021 12:14 PM	Mrs. Nancy Osborn		<p>There is no reason Davidson should be aligned with Iredell County. This can only look like political maneuvering. Let's return to thinking of the good for ALL American citizens, and not special interests of a few.</p>
08/26/2021 12:19 PM	Rea Wright		<p>I am respectfully writing to ask that you please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public and folks like me to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. -Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. -Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. -Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. -Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines <p>Many thanks.</p>
08/26/2021 12:20 PM	Glennette Papovich		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/26/2021 12:21 PM	Michelle Blumenthal		<p>Thank you, in advance, for listening to citizens of North Carolina. Please be patriots and hear our voices.</p> <p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. - Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. - Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. - Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. - Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines.
08/26/2021 12:44 PM	Mr. Mrs. Charles and Barbara Kelly	Retired	<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive.</p> <p>Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend.</p> <p>Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published.</p> <p>Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment.</p> <p>Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines.</p> <p>Please manage things in such a way that that a smaller group is not "swallowed up" in a larger group with opposing views to accomplish the agenda of the larger group.</p>
08/26/2021 12:48 PM	Barbara Randolph	private citizen	<p>I am taking the time to urgently request that you increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. 2) Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. 3) Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. 4) Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. <p>Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines.</p> <p>Regards, Barbara</p>
08/26/2021 01:44 PM	Douglas Meyer		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive.</p> <p>Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend.</p> <p>Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines
08/26/2021 01:50 PM	candace frame		Please setup additional public hearings to review your draft redistricting maps before they are finalized. I would like the chance to weigh in, after you provide your rationale for the new map. The hearing should be accessible for videoconference for those of us who can't attend in person with plenty of notice. Other states are taking this much more seriously and trying to remove the partisan politics from the process. One would think NC is a state which cares about the best interests of its residents.
08/26/2021 01:56 PM	Mrs. Cary Johnston	None	We in Davidson are part of Meck County, NOT part of a more rural nearby county! Please keep us as part of the county in which we live and work. Thank you.
08/26/2021 02:11 PM	Mrs. millie johnson		To make sure redistricting is fair let computers do it. No politics.
08/26/2021 02:29 PM	Sally Trask		Dear Redistricting committees, I am writing to ask you to please make this process of redistricting FAIR! We need lots of time for public comments as well as plenty of time to see the maps once published. I also ask for you to observe the county line boundaries as stated in the redistricting guidelines. Mecklenburg county DOES NOT want to be lumped with Iredell and shame on you if you do this! Please remember that you represent all the voters in this state and the process should be nonpartisan so that each voter feels that his/her vote truly counts. Thank you for being a responsive and FAIR committee.
08/26/2021 02:31 PM	Mr. Christopher Hudson	Law Offices of Christopher A. Hudson	The Gen. Assembly's initial proposal for public comment is so inadequate as to be a joke. Please provide for comment period and forums around the state so that citizens in all 100 counties can have a realistic prospect for input as this legislature tries to throw off the yoke of being the most-found-guilty-of-racial-gerrymandering state in the U.S. Or do you feel it's desirable to trigger more litigation and bi-partisan rancor at your machinations?
08/26/2021 02:39 PM	Ms. Cynthia Strain		Voters should select their representatives, NOT the other way around. Fair voting maps will give voters more confidence that their votes actually count. I am counting on you to provide a redistricting process that is fair and nonpartisan. So many people feel like gerrymandering has taken away their right to fair representation. It has also given North Carolina a bad name!
08/26/2021 03:01 PM	Mrs Toni Youngblood	Resident of Davidson, NC.	Please increase the transparency of the redistributing process and make sure there is an opportunity for the public to comment. Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they published. Please respect the boundaries of Mecklenburg County as stated in the Redistricting Guidelines.
08/26/2021 03:04 PM	Terry Landers		Senators and Representatives on the Redistricting Committees: The proposed public hearing schedule is WOEFULLY LACKING. Strong requests have been made for transparency in the process and opportunities for adequate public input to and review of draft maps. Providing just 10 public input sessions leaves a great deal of our diverse state without input. TWO sets of interactive public sessions are needed: one NOW to get public input, so that input can actually feed into the map drawing process – otherwise we are sure to hear that it is too late to incorporate the public's input. A second round of interactive public sessions must be held after draft maps are drawn, so that the public can see if their input was taken into consideration, and if not, to hear from the committee why not. As with all public sessions these should be recorded, observable by the public and, to decrease travel burdens, the possibility for some totally virtual sessions could be entertained – as long as the public has the same ability to interact with the committee members.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>The districts that are drawn will determine IF NC provides FAIR REPRESENTATION for our residents for the next decade:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Sufficient opportunities for the public to participate and be heard are essential to the process. - Adequate advanced notice for meetings and the process by which one can participate must be provided. - The public should be able to view all other public comments. <p>Best regards, Terry Landers Chatham County</p>
08/26/2021 03:05 PM	Dr. Nancy MacLean	Duke University	<p>Only one public hearing after draft maps are drawn would be grossly insufficient. We need fair maps that let NC voters choose our elected officials fairly, not those in office drawing lines to stay in office if they do not deserve re-election. We need at least ten hearings so that citizens can be heard and our concerns addressed in designing voting districts. As a US historian I can say that our nation's founders would be appalled by the notion of elected officials designing districts to defy the will of the voters. Please do the ethical thing and allow the people to be heard!</p>
08/26/2021 03:16 PM	Terri Katz		<p>When it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines. North Mecklenburg should remain in the same district it is in now, not be pushed out to Iredell County. I am a resident of Davidson and do not think it is in our best interests to be redistricted into Iredell.</p> <p>Additionally, I hope you will make the redistricting process as transparent and accessible to residents as possible including increased public hearings and virtual options for those who are immunocompromised and infirm.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
08/26/2021 03:30 PM	Mr. Ervin S. Duggan		<p>As a resident of Mecklenburg County, I strongly urge that you adhere to the Redistricting Guidelines and observe county lines as you consider future districts. Mecklenburg, a complex urbanized and growing area, needs legislator focused on its needs, not distracted by concerns across county lines. Thank you for being motivated by good government rather than partisan politics.</p>
08/26/2021 03:40 PM	Leslie Cosentine		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. <p>Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p>
08/26/2021 03:41 PM	Alice Sudduth		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. <p>Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/26/2021 03:44 PM	Ms Lacy Dick		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p>
08/26/2021 04:04 PM	Mr. Tom E Bowers	LWV CharMeck	Democracy depends on one vote for every eligible voter. Gerrymandering undermines that principle of democracy.
08/26/2021 04:11 PM	Redistricting Regina Souhrada	Voter	<p>Make redistricting NC more available to the citizens of NC!</p> <p>Last week, the General Assembly in Raleigh continued to move forward with the 2021 redistricting process by talking about what a series of public hearings on new maps might look like.</p> <p>As you and I both know, public input from people across the state is vital to ensuring we have districts that reflect the state and protect communities from being carved up to preserve power for certain politicians.</p> <p>Unfortunately, the initial proposal from the General Assembly's redistricting leadership falls well short of what's needed for a truly participatory redistricting process that meaningfully involves the people of North Carolina. The proposal calls for public hearings before maps are drawn in 10 counties: Caldwell, Durham, Forsyth, Jackson, Mecklenburg, Nash, New Hanover, Pasquotank, Pitt and Robeson. That obviously leaves out large parts of the state and made no mention of remote options.</p> <p>What's more troubling is that its indicated there may be only one public hearing in Raleigh after draft maps have been released. Yes, as it stands now, they're saying that after initial maps are made public they may only have one opportunity for in person public comment and that would likely be held at the General Assembly in Raleigh.</p> <p>Only one public hearing after draft maps are drawn would be grossly insufficient.</p> <p>There should be at least 13 public hearings before maps are drawn AND an additional 13 public hearings once initial district maps are made public.</p> <p>The initial proposal for public hearings is inadequate and the people of this state -- no matter our color, background or what part of North Carolina we call home -- deserve an equal role in determining what the next decade's voting districts look like.</p>
08/26/2021 04:13 PM	Mr. and Mrs. James and Sandra Welch	Unity in Community-- North Mecklenberg County	<p>Dear House and Senate Redistricting Committee members:</p> <p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. • Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. • Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. • Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/26/2021 04:40 PM	Ana Rodriguez		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines <p>It is absolutely crucial to democracy to conduct a redistricting process that is transparent, accessible, fair, and fully representative. So, I would like to politely ask for a transparent process and one that allows opportunities for public input.</p> <p>To help assure a representative process, I request that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) the number of public hearings will be increased beyond 10 and that any geographical areas with 100,000 residents have a public testimony site, and that all citizens have a site within an hour's drive. 2) the location of all testimony sites be quickly published so citizens can make plans to attend, rather than having to make last-minute arrangements. 3) citizens are afforded adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. 4) to ensure public safety, virtual public hearings are held to protect citizens who may be immunocompromised who wish to comment. 5) opportunities are provided after maps are drawn for public comment. <p>The redistricting process is far too important to be rushed and doing so without full transparency and representation would be disparaging to the constituents you were elected to represent.</p> <p>Thank you for you time, Ana</p>
08/26/2021 04:54 PM	cheryl simpson	UIC	<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive.</p> <p>Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend.</p> <p>Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published.</p> <p>Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment.</p> <p>Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p>
08/26/2021 04:57 PM	Ms. Betty Gunz		<p>The eyes of North Carolina are upon you. You must resist the urge to pick voters for candidates, gerrymandering, and support our democracy by drawing district lines in a non-partisan way. You can have gerrymandering or democracy. You can't have both. Choose carefully. Thank you.</p>
08/26/2021 05:53 PM	Mr. Joseph Papovich	resident	<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive.</p> <p>Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend.</p> <p>Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published.</p> <p>Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment.</p> <p>Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p>
08/26/2021 06:37 PM	Dr. ALAN SINGERMAN	Retired	<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
	Stella Stroud	- Select -	<p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive.</p> <p>Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend.</p> <p>Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published.</p> <p>Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment.</p> <p>Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p>
08/26/2021 08:01 PM	Stella Stroud	- Select -	<p>I live in Davidson and I have read that it is possible that North Mecklenburg County could be lumped in with Iredell County. When it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines. I do not wish to be included in Iredell County!</p>
08/26/2021 08:13 PM	Ms Caryanne Melton		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p>
	Mrs Geneva Bosak		<p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive.</p> <p>Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend.</p> <p>Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published.</p> <p>Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment.</p> <p>Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p>
08/26/2021 08:39 PM	Mrs Geneva Bosak		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I ask the Committee:</p>
	cheryl simpson	UIC	<p>-Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive.</p> <p>Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend.</p> <p>-Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published.</p> <p>-Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment.</p> <p>-Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines.</p> <p>Please keep in mind that gerrymandering actually hurts everyone.</p>
08/26/2021 10:22 PM	cheryl simpson	UIC	<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p>
	Phili[Telfer	Christ the King Lutheran Church	<p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive.</p> <p>Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend.</p> <p>Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published.</p> <p>Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment.</p> <p>Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p>
08/26/2021 10:34 PM	Phili[Telfer	Christ the King Lutheran Church	<p>I have several concerns about the fairness and transparency of the redistricting process now being considered. It is unfathomable that the scheduled meetings for public input as new maps are being prepared does not include a meeting in Wake County, now the state's most populous county.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/27/2021 07:56 AM	Ms. Veronique Singerman	None	<p>Meetings for public comment should be held after draft maps are prepared. So far, none is scheduled. Comments submitted on the online portal must be made accessible for public review to promote understanding of the public's views and so legislators may be held accountable for their response to the public.</p> <p>Please review the process and correct these flaws.</p>
08/27/2021 08:52 AM	Lisa Behrens		<p>I fully support and urge you to adopt the recommendation made by Common Cause about redistricting hearings. It's critical – that in a democracy – we allow everyone an equitable opportunity to comment during this process. "Common Cause NC and our allies have called for at least 13 public hearings before maps are drawn AND an additional 13 public hearings once initial district maps are made public." Thank you.</p>
08/27/2021 09:26 AM	Mr. James Simpson	UiC	<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p>
08/27/2021 09:28 AM	Mr. James Simpson	UiC	<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p>
08/27/2021 09:28 AM	Thomas Schiaffo		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/27/2021 09:35 AM	Gary Kenton		<p>Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p>
08/27/2021 10:17 AM	Dr. Cindy Elmore	self	<p>It's tragic to see Democracy die in North Carolina.</p> <p>The public wants to see a fair process by an independent, nonpartisan body. The two political parties have NOT shown AT ALL that being fair is what they will do. The public really doesn't believe this is possible. What IS fair is taking it out of the hands of either party. In the meantime, the fact that you haven't provided details on your public hearings, have left out key areas of the state for hearings, and have not provided any means for public input on the draft maps once drawn (as drafts), does not provide confidence that you're listening to us.</p>
08/27/2021 10:22 AM	Mrs MaryJane Selgrade	Christ The King Lutheran Church, Cary	<p>As a person of faith, I think it is important that every person in this State has fair representation and that redistricting needs to meet that goal. I have the following comments on the process so far: 1)The schedule of meetings to gather public input as new maps are being prepared must be broadened to include a meeting in Wake County, the state's most populous. 2) Further meetings where public comment is solicited must be held after draft maps are prepared. So far, none is scheduled. 3) Comments submitted via the online portal must be made accessible for public review to promote understanding of what's at stake and so legislators may be held accountable for their responses. Our democracy depends on fair redistricting. Gerrymandering to promote the interests of one political party is a danger to us all.</p>
08/27/2021 10:43 AM	carrie mann		<p>I'm writing today because you hold power in a redistricting process that will shape my life and the fate of my community for the next decade.</p> <p>Now that census data have been released, I am asking that you make sure the North Carolina General Assembly hosts a fair, inclusive, timely, and transparent process for shaping our state's legislative and Congressional districts. This process would include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Providing a longer redistricting timeline that ensures informed public comment at every step of the process, both before and after draft maps are drawn; - Meaningful public comment opportunities, including in-person hearings throughout the state, with options for written public comment for those unable to make in-person meetings amid the lingering COVID-19 threat; and - More transparency throughout the redistricting process by broadcasting public hearings and making all proposed maps fully accessible to the public. <p>Can I count on you to take these steps?</p>
08/27/2021 11:05 AM	Mrs Barbara Biddle	Christ the King Lutheran church member	<p>I really think there should be more than just 10 meeting places where folks can go to discuss this publically before you vote on this. Having these places more centrally located than they now are would be a great asset.</p>
08/27/2021 11:50 AM	Ms. Heidi Fields	personal	<p>We have been a state that is terrible with redistricting. It is important to be that the process that you are embarking on is fair, clear and transparent with opportunities for citizens to offer input. I expect you as my representative to do your best to redistrict in the most fair way possible.</p>
08/27/2021 12:03 PM	Joy Button		<p>Greetings: I understand there will be no opportunity for the public to view and comment on your Redistricting draft(s) prior to legislators voting on them. This is wrong. Redistricting directly impacts all your constituents, and if you truly represent</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>them, you will allow them to view and comment prior to voting. Please do the right thing and provide time for the public to view and comment on your Redistricting drafts prior to your vote. Thank you.</p> <p>Joy Button</p>
08/27/2021 12:27 PM	7048922872 Mary Mitchell		<p>I request that the Committee:</p> <p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive.</p> <p>Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend.</p> <p>Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published.</p> <p>Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment.</p> <p>Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p>
08/27/2021 12:36 PM	Barbaraq Olson	LWV	<p>There should definitely be an opportunity for citizens of Wake County - the state's most populous - to have a public meeting concerning the redistricting issue. Another should be held after the districts are presented, but before they are voted on. All citizens deserve an easily accessible opportunity to provide input!</p>
08/27/2021 02:04 PM	Ms. Karen Hall	Voter	<p>As a voter in this great state it is imperative our voices, questions and opinions are not ignored or denied access to discussion on redistricting laws. There must be multiple town hall meetings scheduled to welcome voters and answer concerns and questions. Transparency is currently lacking in this and other decisions impacting our voting rights and access. The redistricting discussion must be guided by non-partisan participants and demonstrate and assure voters that diversity and access to vote in all communities represent the people's best interest. Voting rights cannot be based on one political parties oversight. Therefore, I encourage numerous public meetings occur to allow us, the voters be heard.</p>
08/27/2021 02:09 PM	Lisa Jewel		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and opportunities for the public to comment. Nothing is more important to democracy than fair and full representation.</p> <p>To help assure a representative process, I request that:</p> <p>the number of public hearings will be increased beyond 10 and that any geographical areas with 100,000 residents have a public testimony site, and that all citizens have a site within an hour's drive.</p> <p>the location of all testimony sites be quickly published so citizens can make plans to attend, rather than having to make last-minute arrangements.</p> <p>citizens are afforded adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published.</p> <p>to ensure public safety, virtual public hearings are held to protect citizens who may be immunocompromised who wish to comment.</p> <p>opportunities are provided after maps are drawn for public comment.</p>
08/27/2021 03:24 PM	M. Ostrom		<p>Enough with the politics - keep the redistricting transparent and ensure your constituents have a voice in the process. The NCGA Redistricting Committees should have TWO sets of statewide public hearings: ONE before maps are drawn, ONE AFTER map drafts have been shared. If there is ONLY one set of public hearings, they should occur AFTER the draft maps have been shared with the public.</p> <p>It is ridiculous that you are proposing only ONE set of public hearings, when you held FOUR rounds of public hearings in 36 counties during the prior redistricting. Do the right thing for ALL citizens of the state.</p>
08/27/2021 03:32 PM	Ms. Joan Walsh	NC Stop Torture Now	<p>We must have a level playing field for voting in NC. The Republican-dominated NCGA has played politics with redistricting for far too long now. This round of redistricting should be done by a non-partisan, above-board, highly qualified organization, not by legislators. Thank you.</p>
08/27/2021 04:45 PM	Alison Bradley		<p>Please make this process more transparent and equitable by increasing the number and accessibility of public comment sites. Please also include virtual/online opportunities for public discussion and comment, in light of the ongoing pandemic.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/27/2021 05:29 PM	Janet Werner		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and opportunities for the public to comment. Nothing is more important to democracy than fair and full representation.</p> <p>To help assure a representative process, I request that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the number of public hearings will be increased beyond 10 and that any geographical areas with 100,000 residents have a public testimony site, and that all citizens have a site within an hour's drive. - the location of all testimony sites be quickly published so citizens can make plans to attend, rather than having to make last-minute arrangements. - citizens are afforded adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. - to ensure public safety, virtual public hearings are held to protect citizens who may be immunocompromised who wish to comment. - opportunities are provided after maps are drawn for public comment.
08/27/2021 08:17 PM	Elaine Carmann		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and opportunities for the public to comment. Nothing is more important to democracy than fair and full representation.</p> <p>To help assure a representative process, I request that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the number of public hearings will be increased beyond 10 and that any geographical areas with 100,000 residents have a public testimony site, and that all citizens have a site within an hour's drive. the location of all testimony sites be quickly published so citizens can make plans to attend, rather than having to make last-minute arrangements. citizens are afforded adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. to ensure public safety, virtual public hearings are held to protect citizens who may be immunocompromised who wish to comment. opportunities are provided after maps are drawn for public comment.
08/27/2021 08:17 PM	Mr. Charles Shackelford	Private Citizen	<p>I currently reside in the 1st US Congressional district, the 7th NC Senate district and 10th NC House district. In 2010 Republicans engaged in unprecedented gerrymandering packing Democrats and Black voters into a few districts, winning a historic number of seats in state legislatures nationwide. Subsequently from 2013 to 2018 Republicans had a supermajority in the NC legislature but in 2017 the SCOTUS ordered the legislature to redraw the districts that discriminated against Black voters. In response, former state Rep. David Lewis, a corrupt partisan Republican who has since resigned in disgrace, headed a redistricting fiasco which resulted in 10 Republicans elected to 13 districts, even though the Democrats got more total votes. The subsequent legal battles resulted in the September 2019 ruling that extreme gerrymandering violates the state constitution. The redistricting maps used in the 2020 election are better, but marginally so. Obviously legislatures have no business drawing up congressional districting maps, and although in the past Democratic legislators have engaged in similar misconduct, the recent Republican gerrymandering is far worse. The Republican Party is engaged currently nationally in blatant voter suppression with illegitimate audits and legislation to prohibit large numbers of people to vote, their behavior is an affront to any semblance of democracy.</p> <p>Although I live in a mostly rural area, it encompasses a diverse population not adequately represented by my state senator and representative. In fact they routinely engage in legislative attempts to undermine many efforts on behalf of the public health and economic well being of most of their constituency, such as refusing to support Medicaid expansion or public health initiatives like supporting and encouraging vaccinations to protect their constituents during this ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, of which they continue to be dismissive. The horrific effects of Climate Change directly affect us all, especially with massive flooding from unprecedented weather events, and we need representation which acknowledges the facts established by scientific consensus. Instead, we have representation for special corporate interests in these matters, especially massive factory farm operations whose operators for twenty years have refused to engage in recommended measures to deal with open waste retainment ponds, routinely spilling into our wetlands and bays with toxicity. The origins of the 2010 SARS pandemic attributed to Mexico actually originated in a Sampson County factory farm. Massive fish kills are somewhat routine, endangering our coastal resources and</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>residents. The interests of the Chinese business consortium which owns Smithfield Farms, and other such large scale operations, should not be prioritized over those of most people, some of whom legislatively were denied the right to even sue if sickened. Chemically intensive farming in a system of crop monoculture is literally depleting our soil of its life, and is unsustainable according to many experts. Ecologically my home is a semblance of the pastoral landscape I remember from my youth, and with clearcutting on a massive scale flooding will only increase.</p> <p>As a rural citizen I recognize that good government will help create solutions, including sustainable, "Green" technological solutions in agriculture and manufacturing, but such good government is elusive in our current system of representation. Our towns are dying for lack of investment with fleeing residents. Our family farmers and organic farmers are imperiled. We need an infusion of capital and jobs paying living wages. We need affordable healthcare. I do not think that there is a problem with considering our state's overall population in redistricting plans, and I welcome it. I have been told that Mecklenburg and Wake County will each have six Senate Districts when the new maps are drawn. That is fine by me. I have family and friends in those districts and when I lived in Charlotte, as they now, I always considered the interests of those of my fellow North Carolinians living in rural areas. State Republicans have created a false narrative that somehow fair representation based on actual populations is a negative factor for we citizens of rural areas. Nothing could be further from the truth. We look to our diverse and economically vibrant population centers for innovative jobs being created statewide and their contribution to our state's tax revenues far exceeds those from the rural areas. There is not, and should not, be a false narrative of East of 95 vs. West of 95 etc. or City vs. Rural in some type of competition. Senator Jim Perry States "We are having fewer 'natural increases' in population (Less babies) and more 'net migration' (more folks moving from other places)." The implication that people moving into our state with their resources, skills and capital are somehow detrimental to our people is insulting. This comment clearly illustrates the current tyranny of the minority party dominating our state's majority populace. Every scientific and equitable means should be employed to fairly draw new redistricting maps bereft of the partisan fanaticism and affront to democracy we have endured for far too long under Republican dominance.</p>
08/27/2021 08:30 PM	Steven Ford	Christ the King Lutheran Church, Cary	<p>1) It is essential, both as a matter of common fairness and to comply with state and federal constitutions, that districts be drawn so as to give each citizen a vote that carries approximately equal weight. In other words, no packing and/or cracking as voters are parceled out.</p> <p>2) Public input must be solicited where people live. Surely a meeting to gather public comments on the redistricting process must be held in Wake County, our state's largest by population.</p> <p>3) For the concept of public involvement to have any meaning, citizens must have chances to review proposed district maps after they've been drafted. Your schedule must be adjusted accordingly.</p> <p>4) Public comments on this portal should not be treated as though they had been dropped into a black hole. They should be available for public review in real time -- as they are submitted. Transparency with respect to engaged citizens' views helps inform the electorate about the factors at stake in redistricting. And like it or not, it helps ensure that legislators can be held accountable for how they respond, or don't respond, to public opinion in this critical area. Thanks for your consideration.</p>
08/27/2021 11:48 PM	Mrs. Kathy Kelly	Concerned Voter	<p>To the members of the NC General Assembly. Redistricting maps affects me, my children, my family, my church my community and my state. So let the voters speak. We didnt elect you all to do what y'all want to do behind closed doors, we elected y'all to do what is best for your constituents. Enough of the bull already. Please give voters adequate time, to voice their opinions on redistricting maps before maps are drawn and after they are drawn.</p>
08/28/2021 10:36 AM	Ms Martha Roblee	on behalf of myself as a voter residing in Scotland County	<p>Currently, hearings are only planned in 10 counties, not including Scotland County, my home county. AND there are currently no plans for public hearings around the state after maps have been released. I strongly encourage NC lawmakers to implement an open, transparent and accountable process. NC has an inherently flawed redistricting process because legislators are allowed to draw their own lines. This is a major conflict of interest contributing to partisan gridlock and preventing voters from holding lawmakers accountable. To counter this inherent flaw lawmakers must conduct robust outreach efforts to the public by increasing the number of public hearing sites, giving the public</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>two weeks notice, and convening public hearings after the maps are drawn. Taxpayers spent nearly \$11 million over the last decade in litigation costs to defend illegal maps after the 2010 census. Let's not waste additional taxpayer dollars.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration of my views on redistricting.</p> <p>Regards, Martha Roblee</p>
08/28/2021 11:01 AM	The Rev. Rachel Haynes	Retired Episcopal priest	I would like to keep the present boundaries for our district, as they best reflect who we are, and provide adequate opportunities for our citizens to express their opinions, and to vote in elections with equal opportunities. Any form of gerrymandering is repugnant to me as a citizen and voter, and I will oppose it strongly!
08/28/2021 11:51 AM	Karen Asche	Unity & Community	Redistricting needs to aim at giving every citizen equal voice in choosing government representatives, not serving the interests of republicans.
08/28/2021 12:26 PM	Judy Packer	I am a citizen	<p>Please consider the well-reasoned arguments of the League of Women Voters. Please consider all North Carolina citizens as human beings worthy of representation. If you can get past self-serving political motivation, you might be able to sleep better at night.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please schedule more opportunities for public input. Ten meetings is too few, and too widely distributed. Especially – hearings should be offered in Wake and Guilford Counties and in northeastern NC, in addition to your present plans. • Please include a plan for public input around the state AFTER draft maps are released. We, the public, should have the opportunity to observe, and offer input, on draft maps. • We, the public, should have access to comments that are been submitted via the public portal. This way, you, the legislators, may be held accountable for your responses. Imagine being held accountable! • What is the timeline for the redistricting process? Information that is released sporadically, as well as little advance notice of meetings, lessens the opportunity for people you represent to offer valuable input.
08/28/2021 01:46 PM	Elizabeth Sorrell		We need a fair, open and transparent process for redistricting. What is happening here in NC is not fair or open. I request more time for public input and more meetings to review proposed maps. 10 meetings for the entire state is not acceptable.
08/28/2021 02:42 PM	Martha Peck		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive.</p> <p>Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend.</p> <p>Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published.</p> <p>Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment.</p> <p>Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p>
08/28/2021 03:43 PM	Marlene Pratto		Guilford County should not be divided and split to join with portions of other counties. We have two cities in our county, but they run together in so many places and ways so the county should stay whole. However, in this part of the state it would make good sense to include all or part of Forsyth county since the urban areas have common needs and goals. We all three share an airport. Areas should not be split so that neighbors are two sides of a small street are in different districts. That makes little sense. There should not be pockets cut out of one area and attached to another more distant area. Remember mathematicians have worked on redistricting in fair and contiguous districts. It would be good to call

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/28/2021 06:14 PM	Need fair maps Joseph Tanzini		<p>upon them. Be fair.. we should choose for whom we vote, not the GA choosing the people who vote for them. The same goes for other offices. We choose.</p> <p>Redistricting needs to be done by a nonpartisan group with open and ample review and comment by all.</p>
08/28/2021 06:44 PM	Jane Cain		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p>
08/29/2021 11:47 AM	3304610629 Virginia Pett		<p>It is crucially important to end partisan gerrymandering of voting districts. When a map of districts is proposed, the public should be able to comment on whether the districts are fairly designed. There are experts on designing districts to afford power to all voters. These experts should be part of the map design process and they should be consulted when a proposed map is ready.</p>
08/29/2021 12:26 PM	Rose MacDonald	Caldwell County NAACP, Caldwell County Democratic Party, League of Women Voters of Catawba Valley	<p>I am writing to demand more transparency to the redistricting process and fair redistricting maps. In 2011, there were 64 public meetings BEFORE the maps were drawn. After the maps were drawn, there were public hearings held in Raleigh. Currently, the NCGA Redistricting Committee has ONLY 10 public hearings set in 10 counties, and there are still NO DATES and NO SITES POSTED. The only timeline is the week in which these 10 meetings will be held. The first public hearing is next week in Caldwell County. How can people make plans to go if they don't know which day or exactly where it will be held? THIS IS UNACCEPTABLE. The public is entitled to have access to meetings that are NO MORE than an hour's drive away. There should be more meetings held ACROSS THE STATE.</p> <p>MORE IMPORTANTLY, there should be meetings held AFTER the maps are drawn so the public can weigh in. The 2011 maps were found to be racist by the North Carolina Supreme Court. It is imperative that there is transparency in how these maps will be drawn. I do not want more racist maps created, I want maps that are equitable and fair for ALL, not just one party or financial class of people.</p> <p>North Carolinians should also have access to the public meetings via video conferencing. Those who can not travel, or do not have cars, or access to public transportation, or have COVID safety issues should be able to make comments via a video conference. I am a home care nurse for medically fragile children. I want to attend the meeting next week in Caldwell, but I could put my client at risk by being in a room where many people in my county do not believe in masking or in the vaccination. There is currently a critical surge in cases here and all surrounding hospitals are full.</p> <p>North Carolinians should be able to read ALL of the 290 comments on the NCGA portal, as it is a public record. Lastly, please make the NCGA's redistricting website easier for the public to navigate. The public deserves to be able to find information and understand the process. TRANSPARENCY is essential.</p> <p>Thank you, Rose Anna MacDonald, RN Member of: Caldwell County NAACP Caldwell County Democratic Party League of Women Voters of Catawba Valley</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/29/2021 03:55 PM	Professor Lloyd Chambless		It is hard to imagine that the founders of our country or state had any interest in their planning for future redistricting that there would be any consideration of preserving an incumbent's seat. Nor is the public interested in incumbent protection. Such consideration should be dropped from the process.
08/29/2021 05:11 PM	Dr. Jim Dudley	Not Employed	Please hold ENOUGH public hearings on the maps that you propose so that there are ample opportunities for people to have adequate input. How many hearings?? I suggest that you agree to the approximate number of public hearings requested by Common Cause. Thank you.
08/29/2021 10:06 PM	Alice Wiemers		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive.</p> <p>Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend.</p> <p>Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published.</p> <p>Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment.</p> <p>Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p>
08/30/2021 07:03 AM	Mr. Rigel Crockett		Please don't gerrymander our districts; it's undemocratic and it's bad for the State and the Country.
08/30/2021 07:19 AM	Redistricting Transparenc Mary Elizabeth Voss		<p>I am writing to request an increased and timely redistricting process and that the Committee allows adequate time for public input.</p> <p>For a truly representative process, I ask the committee to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Have more hearings than 10 2. For counties with more than 100 have public hearing sites within an hours drive 3. Provide notification of the sites in adequate time for attendees to plan to attend. 4. Allow time for comments once sites are identified 5. Schedule simultaneous virtual hearing to respect health department guidelines. 6. For Mecklenburg County please observe County boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines <p>Thank you for reading my request. I look forward to a completely transparent process in North Carolina.</p>
08/30/2021 10:20 AM	Dr. Peter Hess	Davidson College (Professor Emeritus)	In a just society, there would be no gerrymandering of state legislative districts. Bipartisan or nonpartisan commissions would draw up the districts, which would then be approved by the state legislatures and governors. North Carolina should strive to be a just society.
08/30/2021 10:46 AM	Linda Kane		<p>I am asking the Joint Redistricting Committee to look carefully at the article which appeared in the August 20, 2021 editions of The News and Observer. A North Carolina person won a national redistricting contest with a map that meets all the criteria for a good map for North Carolina. It makes so much sense and should be considered carefully as maps are drawn.https://www.newsobserver.com/opinion/article253584314.html</p> <p>Please do the right thing and redistrict and don't gerrymander. Thank you.</p>
08/30/2021 11:33 AM	Rev. Athena Thomasson- Bless	Christ the King Lutheran Church	Hello, I am a pastor at Christ the King Lutheran Church in Cary, NC and I would like to express some concerns about the current redistricting process as it stand. First of all, the schedule of meetings to gather public input as new maps are being prepared must be broadened to include a meeting in Wake County, the state's most populous. Further, meetings where public comment is solicited must also be held after draft maps are prepared. So far, none is scheduled this is

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>problematic and reflects a lack of concern for the voice of constituents. Lastly, Comments submitted via the online portal must be made accessible for public review to promote understanding of what's at stake and so legislators can be held accountable for their responses. As a faith leader, I believe everyone is created in God's image and it is important that everyone has a voice in this process so it can be fair and equitable. Please take the above steps to ensure that your constituents have a voice.</p>
08/30/2021 01:04 PM	Natalie Heling		<p>I encourage you to increase transparency and include more opportunities for public comment in the upcoming redistricting process. All citizens deserve the opportunity to express their views about this very important issue.</p>
08/30/2021 01:20 PM	Mr. David Charters	Christ the King Lutheran Church	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The schedule of meetings to gather public input as new maps are being prepared must be broadened to include a meeting in Wake County, the state's most populous. 2. Further meetings where public comment is solicited must be held after draft maps are prepared. So far, none is scheduled. 3. Comments submitted via the online portal must be made accessible for public review to promote understanding of what's at stake and so legislators can be held accountable for their responses.
08/30/2021 01:47 PM	Mr Jeffrey Boyd		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and opportunities for the public to comment. Nothing is more important to democracy than fair and full representation. To help assure a representative process, I request that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) the number of public hearings will be increased beyond 10 and that any geographical areas with 100,000 residents have a public testimony site, and that all citizens have a site within an hour's drive. 2) the location of all testimony sites be quickly published so citizens can make plans to attend, rather than having to make last-minute arrangements. 3) citizens are afforded adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. 4) to ensure public safety, virtual public hearings are held to protect citizens who may be immunocompromised who wish to comment. <p>opportunities are provided after maps are drawn for public comment.</p>
08/30/2021 02:28 PM	jeanie welch	n/a	<p>Please be fair and transparent in redistricting. Please follow the redistricting guidelines for Mecklenburg County. Please have more than 10 listening sessions and have them in other cities besides Raleigh. Online sessions would also be advantageous to concerned citizens. We need a deliberate and well-thought out process to ensure effective government in the future.</p>
08/30/2021 02:52 PM	Prof. Rose Stremmlau		<p>Good afternoon:</p> <p>As a resident of north Mecklenburg Co., I am reaching out to express my opposition to the inclusion of this part of the county with Iredell. We are distinctly different communities demographically and deserve representation that reflects that. In addition, I ask that you increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. This includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. • Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. • Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. • Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. <p>Sincerely, Prof. Rose Stremmlau</p>
08/30/2021	Ms. Jeanne	Christ the King	<p>I am a member of Christ the King Lutheran Church and a citizen who votes in every local, state, and national election in</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
03:58 PM	Ford	Lutheran Church, Cary NC	my state. With the threat of anti-democratic efforts by Republican-led state legislatures across the nation to suppress the vote, especially among minority groups, we must ensure that voting is free, open and fair to all citizens in order to preserve our very democracy. To ensure free, open, and fair elections in NC, I am asking that the re-districting in our state adhere to the following procedures: 1. The schedule of meetings to gather public input as new maps are being prepared must be broadened to include a meeting in Wake County, the state's most populous county; 2. Further meetings where public comment is solicited must be held after draft maps are prepared. So far, none is scheduled; and 3. Comments submitted via the online portal must be made accessible for public review to promote understanding of what's at stake and so legislators can be held accountable for their responses. Thank you, Jeanne B. Ford
08/30/2021 03:58 PM	Ms. Jeanne Ford	Christ the King Lutheran Church, Cary NC	I am a member of Christ the King Lutheran Church and a citizen who votes in every local, state, and national election in my state. With the threat of anti-democratic efforts by Republican-led state legislatures across the nation to suppress the vote, especially among minority groups, we must ensure that voting is free, open and fair to all citizens in order to preserve our very democracy. To ensure free, open, and fair elections in NC, I am asking that the re-districting in our state adhere to the following procedures: 1. The schedule of meetings to gather public input as new maps are being prepared must be broadened to include a meeting in Wake County, the state's most populous county; 2. Further meetings where public comment is solicited must be held after draft maps are prepared. So far, none is scheduled; and 3. Comments submitted via the online portal must be made accessible for public review to promote understanding of what's at stake and so legislators can be held accountable for their responses. Thank you, Jeanne B. Ford
08/30/2021 04:32 PM	Sabuha Burns		Your process for hearing from constituents about your Redistricting efforts are so limited and so obviously biased it's SHAMEFUL If you weren't trying to continue to surgically gerrymander NC, you would sincerely request feedback and make it possible for everyone in the state to respond easily and after the potential maps are drawn. So tired of your shenanigans.
08/30/2021 04:34 PM	Patricia Levitin	NC resident	Please do fair maps and not the surgical precision you all did in 2010. We need to stop voter suppression and make the redrawing of maps into communities of interest. I live in Guilford County so I am begging you to keep our county together.
08/30/2021 04:43 PM	Kathy Wheeler		I am requesting that the NCGA redistricting committees hold more than just 10 public hearings around the state. Having so few hearings in a state with 100 counties denies the majority of NC voters the opportunity to comment on the critical redistricting process. Also, every hearing should also offer virtual participation.
08/30/2021 07:46 PM	Mrs. Linda Harper	Liberty First of Wayne County	I believe District 1 is right for Wayne County. These counties have similar interests and objectives. It is better for all of us to be in one district. I believe the districts across the state are drawn the best for each one. I don't believe our districts need to be redrawn. I believe a little tweaking of the defense could save them in the courts.
08/30/2021 07:51 PM	Mrs. Linda Harper	Liberty First of Wayne County	Those TWO Counties CANNOT have 12 of our 50 Senators!!! THAT IS NOT FAIR! We want to keep our same Representative and Senator because they are helping our small towns! Small towns are not the same as big cities. One size does not fit all! We need accessible opportunities for public input for the redistricting process to be fair.
08/30/2021 08:06 PM	Dr. Robert Kline		Ending blatant gerrymandering should be an utmost priority for every legislator in the NC General Assembly. Recent past and current "laws" that empower legislators to choose their voters, not the converse, make a mockery of all notions of democracy. A NONPARTISAN commission has been amply demonstrated in other states to fairly carve out representative districts. North Carolina GA must rectify the current disgraceful winner take all policy.
08/30/2021	Mrs. Jo Adams		As this redistricting plan takes shape, please keep these thoughts in mind :

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08:27 PM			We need more time and more locations to voice our thoughts concerning this important action. Please observe the county boundaries as outlined in the Redistricting guidelines. It only makes sense.
08/30/2021 10:57 PM	Debra Seltzer LeWinter		I want to make sure that districts are formed in a non-partisan way. They should be based solely on location and numbers, and there should be no locations with gerimandering. Thank you.
08/31/2021 07:13 AM	Ms Susan Steffe	League of Women Voters	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There should be more public hearings across the state <p>Currently, hearings are only planned in 10 counties. These locations are spread too far across NC to provide enough opportunities for public participation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public hearings must be held across the state before and AFTER draft maps are released. There are currently no plans for public hearings around the state AFTER maps have been released. This is unacceptable. The public must have opportunities to weigh in on the proposed maps. • The public should have an opportunity to call or videoconference into meetings to give oral comments. This will allow citizens who can't travel or who have safety concerns due to COVID to participate. • The public should be able to see the comments that have been submitted in the portal. • The General Assembly should share a comprehensive redistricting timetable • The NCGA's redistricting website must be improved so that the public can find information and easily understand the process.
08/31/2021 08:06 AM	Robert Blumenthal		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. • Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. • Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. • Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. • Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines
08/31/2021 08:29 AM	Mrs Virginia Hultquist	First Lutheran Church	<p>I believe an independent commission is just and fair to citizens of NC. To deny this is to deny equal representation under the constitution.</p> <p>This is not scorch and burn game. These are real lives that are impacted.</p>
08/31/2021 08:29 AM	Mrs Virginia Hultquist	First Lutheran Church	<p>I believe an independent commission is just and fair to citizens of NC. To deny this is to deny equal representation under the constitution.</p> <p>This is not scorch and burn game. These are real lives that are impacted.</p>
08/31/2021 09:00 AM	Varona Wynn	North Mecklenburg Communities United	<p>Please, give all citizens more than 10 open hearings in which they can review all preliminary and final NC redistricting maps of concern to them.. Please, allow ample time for virtual meetings as well. I also ask that all those working on redistricting be committed to making all districts ones where honest people who will work to meet the needs of their constituents are very likely to be elected.</p>
08/31/2021 09:06 AM	Ms. Mary Wessling		<p>- This committee has proposed hearings in just ten of 100 counties. This is a clear effort to eliminate voices. This is especially true for areas historically targeted for map manipulation, including Guilford County.</p> <p>- Additionally, the proposed hearings are restrictive in the following ways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * They are spread out, requiring long drives for many * There are no virtual options for participating. * There is no requirement for ADA compliance.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/31/2021 09:35 AM	Jack Bengtsson	n/a	<p>* There is no accommodation for language differences.</p> <p>- The Committee also has neglected to schedule hearings for after maps are drawn.</p> <p>- There is some concern that they may try to split Guilford County in the Congressional map, as has happened before.</p>
08/31/2021 09:35 AM	Jack Bengtsson	n/a	<p>Dear Committee members,</p> <p>Attached is a link to a Congressional Redistricting Plan. Before I comment further, I want you to know that I have no political affiliation, so there's no political bias in this plan. Here is a short description of the plan. First of all, Republicans will continue to have the upper hand with regard to seats that lean Republican. However, I think there may be some increase in the number of competitive districts in this plan. Several districts retain much of their current shape in this plan, albeit not exact. Even though I have them numbered differently, those districts would equate to current districts 1, 12, 9, 11, 8, and 3. This plan is also incumbent friendly for the most part. The only exceptions to that, assuming no one retires, would be current District 11 where Foxx and Cawthorne would be thrown into the same district. And current District 1 where Greenville would be included, in it's entirety, within District 1, more than likely, putting Butterfield and Murphy in the same district. Of course, if you moved a couple precincts around Murphy could probably stay in his own district. So, you'll either have two, or three open districts as a result, all Republican.</p> <p>Under this plan, according to the way I have them numbered, the Representatives would fall in to the districts as follows: 1) Butterfield & Murphy?; 2) Adams; 3) Bishop; 4) Ross; 5) Rouzer; 6) Manning; 7) Price; 8) Open; 9) Foxx & Cawthorne; 10) McHenry; 11) Budd; 12) Hudson; 13) Open; 14) Open, or Murphy after making some minor adjustments. The story behind this is, I was just messing around on Dave's Redistricting app one day, and as there had been some legal issues associated with North Carolina's redistricting plans over the last 10 years, I thought I'd take a look and create a plan for the heck of it. So, as it already exists, I might as well share it. Perhaps it will give you some ideas and, hopefully, North Carolina won't have to go through the same type of legal wrangling going forward as it did during the last decade.</p> <p>As I said, at the beginning, there is no political bias on my part. This is based solely on population, geography, with allowances for minority representation.</p> <p>Good Luck</p> <p>https://davesredistricting.org/join/b1f5c69d-a710-4131-b64a-0fa530a83958</p>
08/31/2021 09:40 AM	Where are the Proposed Ma Charles Hayworth	D for NC	<p>I am unable to view the proposed maps easily to conduct a reasonable feedback assessment with my neighbors and friends. Where are the maps? Where in Wake County will the new lines be drawn? Where is the proposed congressional district going to be located?</p> <p>I am confident that a ton of misinformation is making its way through media. The legislature needs to make this an easier platform for citizens and their candidates to view and provide feedback.</p>
08/31/2021 11:43 AM	Fair redistricting Pam Eveland		<p>I would like to know who is responsible for redistricting and how is it insured to be a fair representation of the voters? I hope it is not the fox guarding the henhouse kind of situation where the people making the decisions are actually the ones to benefit from drawing the map to win elections. I think the process should be more transparent.</p>
08/31/2021 04:46 PM	Mr Freddie E Click		<p>I reside in Greensboro North district! My community borders The National Historical Battleground Park, Natural Science center, Small Community Parks, and a City Park where people gather, hike, walk, exercise their pets, boat, and picnic. Tourist attractions and wild life (deer) that need to be protected from new business development. My community has Greensboro Day School, Several Elementary, Child Development, Senior Living, Medical Facilities, and major shopping areas. Two lakes are part of our watershed that need to be protected. I have lived here since 1978!</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/01/2021 02:20 PM	Ms Pamela Harting		I want fair and impartial voting and do not want HB 805, SB 326, SB 724, or SB 725 passed into law.
09/01/2021 06:46 PM	Dr. Pam Schwingl	Friends Committee on North Carolina Legislation (FCNCL)	<p>Dear Committee,</p> <p>We have noted that your redistricting proposal 1) disregards details on where, when, at what time, and in what languages public hearings will be held;</p> <p>2) excludes population centers like Buncombe, Cumberland, Guilford and Wake counties and major rural hubs in Western NC, Northeastern NC, and the Sandhills (many of which have grown considerably since the last census and therefore need to have scheduled input); and</p> <p>omits any options to take public input AFTER draft maps are revealed or provide comments to the public. These are important omissions and we respectfully request that you provide timely details about public hearings; expand the number of public hearing sites; and provide options for receiving input AFTER draft maps are presented.</p> <p>Thank you</p>
09/01/2021 06:52 PM	Mr. Frank Lenoir	Catawba County Republican Party	<p>Voters are tired of being reclassified for political purposes. I've been shifted from one district to another a couple of times, causing me to have to find another polling place and to join a different district. Please spend our precious public funds on something more worthwhile, such as real infrastructure improvements and refunding law enforcement agencies.</p>
09/01/2021 07:03 PM	Clayton Ballentine		<p>Redistricting has been a constant, never-ending battle in the State of North Carolina, with numerous lawsuits on the topic over just the past decade and even more when looking back at the time Democrats were drawing the maps. This battle has been a massive burden on taxpayer dollars and has only caused increased voter confusion across the state. Over the past 10 years alone, the Winston-Salem Journal has reported that our State has had to spend roughly \$7 million dollars defending lawsuits attacking the legislature's redistricting efforts. These blatantly partisan attacks need to stop and I hope that the adopted process and resulting maps drawn by the General Assembly this year will stand without challenge.</p> <p>The criteria that the General Assembly has put forward this year are fair and direct. It follows the most recent court-ordered redistricting process. That process was widely acclaimed as the most fair and transparent redistricting process ever in North Carolina by Democrats, Republicans, and really, everyone.</p> <p>While all of the proposed criteria are important, there are several that really demonstrate the legislature's commitment to fairness. First, the General Assembly plans to keep most communities together, including, to the extent possible, following county and municipal boundaries. Second, the General Assembly has committed that "[d]ata identifying the race of individuals or voters shall not be used in the construction or consideration of districts." Third, the General Assembly has also committed that "[p]artisan considerations and election results data shall not be used in the drawing of districts."</p> <p>I commend the legislature for their efforts and pray that the new districts will not fall victim to a wave of legal challenges.</p>
09/01/2021 07:55 PM	Ms. Susan Mazzella	American born citizen, registered voter	<p>Lines for EVERY voting district should be drawn to include the most diverse socioeconomic conditions possible given the county being divided. No reflection of past voting results should be used to predetermine lines. It should be a blind process based on equal numbers of voters per district.</p>
09/01/2021 09:02 PM	Donald Kirkland		<p>Keep NC House of representatives District 5 as is, Hertford, Gates and Pasquotank counties. As for county redistricting, Hertford County has 3 districts. Once it's been figured out with census data, should make the rule, must live in the district running for and only those voters living in that district can vote for that candidate. As it is,now, if a county commissioner runs for district 1, the entire county can vote for that candidate. That is grossly wrong and needs to be corrected so those who vote for a candidate from their district are the one's who decide on that districts</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			commissioner. Town/City Councils/Mayors all need to be changed to partisan races. People need to see who (R) or (D) (U/I) they are voting for.
09/01/2021 09:45 PM	Mr Harold Mendelson	Moore County GOP	Please redistricting Moore County back as the entire county must be part of a single district. I also suggest any current county split over more than one district be place in a single district.
09/01/2021 11:03 PM	Dr. Ralph Carter		Please, allow the diligent efforts of our legislative bodies to stand. Quit the incessant and taxpayer-funded legal battles. Let that money go to fund many more urgent needs.
09/02/2021 08:13 AM	Mrs Anna Ginn		The General Assembly has been working on keeping redistricting fair and transparent. We need to stop spending millions of dollars in lawsuits. This wastes the taxpayers money. Race should not be a factor in the redistricting process and communities should be kept together. As much as possible.
09/02/2021 08:28 AM	Redistricting Joe Pomykacz	Citizen	I am so tired of the back-and-forth of political gerrymandering. From what I can tell the proposal being submitted is fair for the citizens of North Carolina and not necessarily the politicians. Please put it into this confusion and except the proposal as presented. Thank you
09/02/2021 08:33 AM	Chairman Deanne Brown	Montgomery County Republican Party	For years, Montgomery County has been neglected as an afterthought when it comes to our representation. Our current House Representative actually respects our input and helps out citizens. I respectfully request that we be allowed to keep Representative Ben Moss.
09/02/2021 09:00 AM	Jennifer Parsons		Redistricting has been a constant, never-ending battle in the State of North Carolina, with numerous lawsuits on the topic over just the past decade and even more when looking back at the time Democrats were drawing the maps. This battle has been a massive burden on taxpayer dollars and has only caused increased voter confusion across the state. Over the past 10 years alone, the Winston-Salem Journal has reported that our State has had to spend roughly \$7 million dollars defending lawsuits attacking the legislature's redistricting efforts. These blatantly partisan attacks need to stop and I hope that the adopted process and resulting maps drawn by the General Assembly this year will stand without challenge.
09/02/2021 09:01 AM	Mr. Paul Parsons		While all of the proposed criteria are important, there are several that really demonstrate the legislature's commitment to fairness. First, the General Assembly plans to keep most communities together, including, to the extent possible, following county and municipal boundaries. Second, the General Assembly has committed that "[d]ata identifying the race of individuals or voters shall not be used in the construction or consideration of districts." Third, the General Assembly has also committed that "[p]artisan considerations and election results data shall not be used in the drawing of districts. I commend the legislature for their efforts and pray that the new districts will not fall victim to a wave of legal challenges.
09/02/2021 09:05 AM	Kathryn Lawler		Redistricting is a complicated and difficult process. The legislature works hard to make it as fair as possible. Please don't let it fall once again into the "political infighting black hole" and tie up time and resources with more legal fights. Perhaps we could begin to work together in this time of so many other problems.
09/02/2021 09:18 AM	Michele Russo		Redistricting has been a constant, never-ending battle in the State of North Carolina, with numerous lawsuits on the topic over just the past decade and even more when looking back at the time Democrats were drawing the maps. This battle has been a massive burden on taxpayer dollars and has only caused increased voter confusion across the state. Over the past 10 years alone, the Winston-Salem Journal has reported that our State has had to spend roughly \$7 million dollars defending lawsuits attacking the legislature's redistricting efforts. These blatantly partisan attacks need to stop and I hope that the adopted process and resulting maps drawn by the General Assembly this year will stand without challenge. The criteria that the General Assembly has put forward this year are fair and direct. It follows the most recent court-ordered redistricting process. That process was widely acclaimed as the most fair and transparent redistricting process

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>ever in North Carolina by Democrats, Republicans, and really, everyone.</p> <p>While all of the proposed criteria are important, there are several that really demonstrate the legislature's commitment to fairness. First, the General Assembly plans to keep most communities together, including, to the extent possible, following county and municipal boundaries. Second, the General Assembly has committed that "[d]ata identifying the race of individuals or voters shall not be used in the construction or consideration of districts." Third, the General Assembly has also committed that "[p]artisan considerations and election results data shall not be used in the drawing of districts."</p> <p>I commend the legislature for their efforts and pray that the new districts will not fall victim to a wave of legal challenges.</p>
09/02/2021 10:03 AM	Stacey Kerney		<p>For the first time in my life time, we are now getting proper representation in rural North Carolina communities. Voters are electing individuals who were involved in rural communities before seeking elected positions which has allowed them to speak directly and with knowledge about the specific needs within rural communities. In my county (Montgomery) we have felt overlooked and that our state representatives were not seeking out solutions that impacted the needs of our rural area. We now have someone in office that is making strides with our county and rural communities in mind, we do not wish to lose the opportunity to have this direct voice from our county, our district and our small rural part of the state.</p>
09/02/2021 11:29 AM	Mr. Lawrence Shaheen		<p>Redistricting has been a constant, never-ending battle in the State of North Carolina, with numerous lawsuits on the topic over just the past decade and even more when looking back at the time Democrats were drawing the maps. This battle has been a massive burden on taxpayer dollars and has only caused increased voter confusion across the state. Over the past 10 years alone, the Winston-Salem Journal has reported that our State has had to spend roughly \$7 million dollars defending lawsuits attacking the legislature's redistricting efforts. These blatantly partisan attacks need to stop and I hope that the adopted process and resulting maps drawn by the General Assembly this year will stand without challenge.</p> <p>The criteria that the General Assembly has put forward this year are fair and direct. It follows the most recent court-ordered redistricting process. That process was widely acclaimed as the most fair and transparent redistricting process ever in North Carolina by Democrats, Republicans, and really, everyone.</p> <p>While all of the proposed criteria are important, there are several that really demonstrate the legislature's commitment to fairness. First, the General Assembly plans to keep most communities together, including, to the extent possible, following county and municipal boundaries. Second, the General Assembly has committed that "[d]ata identifying the race of individuals or voters shall not be used in the construction or consideration of districts." Third, the General Assembly has also committed that "[p]artisan considerations and election results data shall not be used in the drawing of districts."</p> <p>I commend the legislature for their efforts and pray that the new districts will not fall victim to a wave of legal challenges.</p>
09/02/2021 11:38 AM	Rufus Jenkins		<p>The criteria that the General Assembly has put forward this year are fair and direct. It follows the most recent court-ordered redistricting process. That process was widely acclaimed as the most fair and transparent redistricting process ever in North Carolina by Democrats, Republicans, and really, everyone.</p>
09/02/2021	Political Action	NAACP	<p>In a democracy, the voters are supposed to elect their representatives. Our current reapportionment system turns this</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
11:44 AM	Committe Don Chiariello		principle upside down. Now, our elected officials, in an overtly political manner, select their voters by drawing district lines to keep themselves in power. Not very democratic . Not at all ethical, nor moral. It should be illegal, like stealing. If the legislature had any confidence in it's own wisdom, it would permanently ban partisan gerrymandering
09/02/2021 11:51 AM	Kathy Bussell		<p>"Redistricting has been a constant, never-ending battle in the State of North Carolina, with numerous lawsuits on the topic over just the past decade and even more when looking back at the time Democrats were drawing the maps. This battle has been a massive burden on taxpayer dollars and has only caused increased voter confusion across the state. Over the past 10 years alone, the Winston-Salem Journal has reported that our State has had to spend roughly \$7 million dollars defending lawsuits attacking the legislature's redistricting efforts. These blatantly partisan attacks need to stop and I hope that the adopted process and resulting maps drawn by the General Assembly this year will stand without challenge.</p> <p>The criteria that the General Assembly has put forward this year are fair and direct. It follows the most recent court-ordered redistricting process. That process was widely acclaimed as the most fair and transparent redistricting process ever in North Carolina by Democrats, Republicans, and really, everyone.</p> <p>While all of the proposed criteria are important, there are several that really demonstrate the legislature's commitment to fairness. First, the General Assembly plans to keep most communities together, including, to the extent possible, following county and municipal boundaries. Second, the General Assembly has committed that "data identifying the race of individuals or voters shall not be used in the construction or consideration of districts." Third, the General Assembly has also committed that "partisan considerations and election results data shall not be used in the drawing of districts."</p> <p>I commend the legislature for their efforts and pray that the new districts will not fall victim to a wave of legal challenges."</p>
09/02/2021 12:37 PM	Jason Dilday		<p>Redistricting has been a constant, never-ending battle in the State of North Carolina, with numerous lawsuits on the topic over just the past decade and even more when looking back at the time Democrats were drawing the maps. This battle has been a massive burden on taxpayer dollars and has only caused increased voter confusion across the state. Over the past 10 years alone, the Winston-Salem Journal has reported that our State has had to spend roughly \$7 million dollars defending lawsuits attacking the legislature's redistricting efforts. These blatantly partisan attacks need to stop and I hope that the adopted process and resulting maps drawn by the General Assembly this year will stand without challenge.</p> <p>The criteria that the General Assembly has put forward this year are fair and direct. It follows the most recent court-ordered redistricting process. That process was widely acclaimed as the most fair and transparent redistricting process ever in North Carolina by Democrats, Republicans, and really, everyone.</p> <p>While all of the proposed criteria are important, there are several that really demonstrate the legislature's commitment to fairness. First, the General Assembly plans to keep most communities together, including, to the extent possible, following county and municipal boundaries. Second, the General Assembly has committed that "[d]ata identifying the race of individuals or voters shall not be used in the construction or consideration of districts." Third, the General Assembly has also committed that "[p]artisan considerations and election results data shall not be used in the drawing of districts."</p> <p>I commend the legislature for their efforts and pray that the new districts will not fall victim to a wave of legal challenges.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/02/2021 01:58 PM	Mr Lawrence Blank	Brunswick County GOP	Please retain present maps
09/02/2021 02:21 PM	Mary Anne Lauer		<p>"Redistricting has been a constant, never-ending battle in the State of North Carolina, with numerous lawsuits on the topic over just the past decade and even more when looking back at the time Democrats were drawing the maps. This battle has been a massive burden on taxpayer dollars and has only caused increased voter confusion across the state. Over the past 10 years alone, the Winston-Salem Journal has reported that our State has had to spend roughly \$7 million dollars defending lawsuits attacking the legislature's redistricting efforts. These blatantly partisan attacks need to stop and I hope that the adopted process and resulting maps drawn by the General Assembly this year will stand without challenge.</p> <p>The criteria that the General Assembly has put forward this year are fair and direct. It follows the most recent court-ordered redistricting process. That process was widely acclaimed as the most fair and transparent redistricting process ever in North Carolina by Democrats, Republicans, and really, everyone.</p> <p>While all of the proposed criteria are important, there are several that really demonstrate the legislature's commitment to fairness. First, the General Assembly plans to keep most communities together, including, to the extent possible, following county and municipal boundaries. Second, the General Assembly has committed that "[d]ata identifying the race of individuals or voters shall not be used in the construction or consideration of districts." Third, the General Assembly has also committed that "[p]artisan considerations and election results data shall not be used in the drawing of districts."</p> <p>I commend the legislature for their efforts and pray that the new districts will not fall victim to a wave of legal challenges."</p>
09/02/2021 02:23 PM	Pilar Page	Retired	<p>I would like to urge lawmakers and outside organizations to let the redistricting efforts and maps drawn by the General Assembly this year to stand without challenge. The criteria that the General Assembly will be using are fair and follow the most recent court-ordered redistricting process. Republicans, Democrats and everyone involved have already said that the process is the most fair and transparent redistricting process ever in North Carolina.</p> <p>I agree with the criteria adopted by the General Assembly and hope that when the lines are redrawn, they will be accepted by all parties. Extended legal battles are costly and do not serve the public well.</p>

From: "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/8/2021 10:57:17 AM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Livestreaming and Recordings Clarifications

Members,

The public comment hearings will not be livestreamed on the NCLEG website. However, recordings of the meetings will be posted on the website.

There is a sign-up link on the website to speak.

Link for public speaker sign-up:

<https://www.ncleg.gov/requesttospeak/45>

Best,

Chandra Reed

Clerk Assistant | Rep. Destin Hall | 919.301.1638

1429 Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27603

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)"
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/10/2021 10:31:45 AM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>; SEN - Senate Redistricting & Elections <SEN-SenateRedistrictingElections@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Public Comments, week of 9/2/21 - 9/9/21
Attachments: Public Comment 9.9.21.pdf

Members,

Attached please find the comments submitted the of September 2 – 9, 2021.

Thank you,

Erika C

***Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578***

2021 Redistricting Public Comments Public Comments Report - LINC

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/02/2021 03:12 PM	Mr. Russell Vasant	NC General jural Assembly	We demand Redistricting be non-partisan, free of racial demographics, based strictly on population and maintaining community /county identities as much as possible.
09/02/2021 03:22 PM	Good for North Carolina Regina Paquette	Moore Republicans Women's Club	I congratulations our legislatures on a job well done. Redistricting is necessary to keep up with population changes. Do not spend a lot of tax payers money due to the democratic party members trying to hold off this passage. Be strong and make sure to Redistrict as the population grown directs.
09/02/2021 03:22 PM	Vincent Russo		<p>Redistricting has been a constant, never-ending battle in the State of North Carolina, with numerous lawsuits on the topic over just the past decade and even more when looking back at the time Democrats were drawing the maps. This battle has been a massive burden on taxpayer dollars and has only caused increased voter confusion across the state. Over the past 10 years alone, the Winston-Salem Journal has reported that our State has had to spend roughly \$7 million dollars defending lawsuits attacking the legislature's redistricting efforts. These blatantly partisan attacks need to stop and I hope that the adopted process and resulting maps drawn by the General Assembly this year will stand without challenge.</p> <p>The criteria that the General Assembly has put forward this year are fair and direct. It follows the most recent court-ordered redistricting process. That process was widely acclaimed as the most fair and transparent redistricting process ever in North Carolina by Democrats, Republicans, and really, everyone.</p> <p>While all of the proposed criteria are important, there are several that really demonstrate the legislature's commitment to fairness. First, the General Assembly plans to keep most communities together, including, to the extent possible, following county and municipal boundaries. Second, the General Assembly has committed that "[d]ata identifying the race of individuals or voters shall not be used in the construction or consideration of districts." Third, the General Assembly has also committed that "[p]artisan considerations and election results data shall not be used in the drawing of districts."</p> <p>I commend the legislature for their efforts and pray that the new districts will not fall victim to a wave of legal challenges.</p>
09/02/2021 04:09 PM	Eileen Giglio		<p>Please do not continue fighting over this issue! Redistricting has been a constant, never-ending battle in the State of North Carolina, with numerous lawsuits on the topic over just the past decade and even more when looking back at the time Democrats were drawing the maps. This battle has been a massive burden on taxpayer dollars and has only caused increased voter confusion across the state. Over the past 10 years alone, the Winston-Salem Journal has reported that our State has had to spend roughly \$7 million dollars defending lawsuits attacking the legislature's redistricting efforts. These blatantly partisan attacks need to stop and I hope that the adopted process and resulting maps drawn by the General Assembly this year will stand without challenge.</p> <p>The criteria that the General Assembly has put forward this year are fair and direct. It follows the most recent court-ordered redistricting process. That process was widely acclaimed as the most fair and transparent redistricting process ever in North Carolina by Democrats, Republicans, and really, everyone,</p> <p>Thank you,</p>
09/02/2021 06:04 PM	Mrs. Claudette Russell		<p>To the Legislature,</p> <p>Redistricting has been a constant, never-ending battle in the State of North Carolina, with numerous lawsuits on the topic over just the past decade and even more when looking back at the time Democrats were drawing the maps. This battle has been a massive burden on taxpayer dollars and has only caused increased voter confusion across the state. Over the past 10 years alone, the Winston-Salem Journal has reported that our State has had to spend roughly \$7 million dollars defending lawsuits attacking the legislature's redistricting efforts. These blatantly partisan attacks need to stop and I hope that the adopted process and resulting maps drawn by the General Assembly this year will stand without challenge.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>The criteria that the General Assembly has put forward this year are fair and direct. It follows the most recent court-ordered redistricting process. That process was widely acclaimed as the most fair and transparent redistricting process ever in North Carolina by Democrats, Republicans, and really, everyone.</p> <p>While all of the proposed criteria are important, there are several that really demonstrate the legislature's commitment to fairness. First, the General Assembly plans to keep most communities together, including, to the extent possible, following county and municipal boundaries. Second, the General Assembly has committed that "[d]ata identifying the race of individuals or voters shall not be used in the construction or consideration of districts." Third, the General Assembly has also committed that "[p]artisan considerations and election results data shall not be used in the drawing of districts.</p> <p>I commend the legislature for their efforts and pray that the new districts will not fall victim to a wave of legal challenges."</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Claudette Russell, Montgomery County Resident</p>
09/03/2021 09:34 AM	Mrs. Teresa Paterson		<p>It seems the redistricting maps drawn this far are considered fair and direct. Keeping communities and counties together without discrimination and to avoid partisan attacks is important. Partisan considerations and election results-which have been questionable-should not be used in the drawing of districts. I imagine the effort to do so in an equitable way takes wisdom, especially so the redistricting won't cost tax payers money in legal challenges. Your efforts are important to al of us.</p>
09/03/2021 10:08 AM	Mr. William Yoder	WRYWARE LLC	<p>Dear Republicans--please remember that redistricting is supposed to be a BI-PARTISAN effort. If you carve up the maps to promote only your own causes (voting restrictions, anti-choice, and anti-social services), you will be doing a grave disservice to the people of North Carolina and to your own legacy. Sincerely, William Yoder</p>
09/03/2021 11:25 AM	Mrs Margaret Smetana	self	<p>Redistricting in the past has been a real battle. Winston-Salem Journal reported NC spent about \$7 million (that's my tax dollars) defending lawsuits attacking the General Assembly's redistricting. This year's redistricting seems reasonable It pretty much follows the most recent court-ordered redistricting.process. Democrats, Republicans, and others thought the process was the most transparent and fair ever.</p> <p>The General Assembly keeps most communities together, following municipal and county boundaries. Districts are not drawn with partisan consideration.</p> <p>Don't waste my money. As is said: "Perfect is the enemy of good." Do not waste my money. I hope that the courts will dismiss frivolous lawsuits straight-away. Do not waste my tax money, and your tax money, on nit-picking lawsuits. Consider if a reasonable, knowledgeable person would consider the redistricting plan reasonable.</p> <p>Do not let outside interests, who are intent on fomenting problems and bankrupting the State of NC, badger, badger, badger the process or the outcome. George Soros and others have lots of money they can use to sew discord into NC and all other states. Forces are trying to overwhelm the system, all our systems, to throw us into chaos, the easier to turn us into a socialist system with them on the top, because they know better than us what we need. The importance of the individual or individuals working together to form new districts - they want to stamp out. Don't you think that we, the people, are good enough to rule ourselves and to choose those legislators to draw up districts? I'll admit we are not perfect, but if we make a mistake, we can correct it. It is better than giving up our power to others who have their own agenda, not our best interest, in mind.</p>
09/03/2021 12:16 PM	Mrs valerie rabeler		<p>Redistricting needs to occur in such a way as to make every vote count, not in such a way as to make votes not count!</p>
09/03/2021 12:19 PM	Dr Christopher Paradise		<p>Redistricting in North Carolina needs to be done by a non-partisan group. Gerrymandering is wrong. If republicans cannot win in a fair election, they should not be allowed to resort to cheating (gerrymandering), but should instead adjust their</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/03/2021 12:20 PM	Mrs Brooke Johnson Suiter		<p>POLICIES in accordance with popular opinion. As it stands, GOP policies are unpopular, harmful, and exclusionary.</p> <p>Please ensure fair and balanced districts that do not disenfranchise any citizen. Our voting rights should be protected by all of us and I urge that you do NOT favor any party, race, religion or ethnic group. Re-read our founding documents to be Re-inspired to do your part in a way that your grandchildren can be proud of your good work.</p>
09/03/2021 12:20 PM	Carol Gearhart		<p>We the people deserve fair representation. I ask you to engage a nonpartisan professional to design a statewide redistricting plan.</p>
09/03/2021 12:23 PM	Mr Rich Boulter	Appalachian Drywall Systems, Inc	<p>It is imperative that the redistricting process is opens and fair. That the districts based on the 2020 Census are created in a nonpartisan manner and not gerrymandered, but rather that they are created so that the voters get to pick the candidates rather than the other way around. Democracy only works when voters have a REAL choice and their votes can truly count; districts based on population and geography rather than political affiliation will go a long way towards that end and in the long run will benefit voters and politicians of both parties by helping to restore our faith in the system and our government.</p>
09/03/2021 12:30 PM	Faith in Elections Ariana Nester		<p>Restoring faith in our elections starts with restoring faith in unbiased redistricting. I firmly believe the only unbiased way to create districts is through the use of nonpartisan committees outside of the legislature, short of that, making a commitment to creating competitive districts so that all voices are heard with equal weight is necessary.</p>
09/03/2021 12:33 PM	MR. MICHAEL SHELL	VOTER	<p>MY HOPE IS THAT YOU BASE YOUR REDISTRICTING FORMULA ON COUNTY LINES INSTEAD OF GERRYMANDERED POLITICAL REASONS.</p>
09/03/2021 01:07 PM	Joanne Levitan		<p>For far too long in North Carolina, certain politicians have put their own self-interest ahead of what's best for the people of our state when it comes to redistricting. The result has been racist and partisan gerrymandering dividing communities and undermining our right to choose who will represent us in government.</p>
09/03/2021 01:08 PM	Ms Mary Robinette		<p>I demand a fairer, more inclusive process in 2021 that protects our communities and gives us a true voice in our elections.</p> <p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive.</p> <p>Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend.</p> <p>Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published.</p> <p>Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment.</p> <p>Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p>
09/03/2021 01:29 PM	Ms. Lenore Madeleine		<p>Your criteria for NC district map drawing are commendable. But unless *all* map drawing meetings are live streamed in a reliable and easily accessible way, no one will believe you adhered to your own criteria.</p> <p>Since a 2019 court order barred the General Assembly from revising districts outside of public view, lack of live streaming (especially in the age of Covid) looks very suspect.</p> <p>Why let the ghost of Thomas Hofeller haunt you.....unless you want to deal with lawsuits and court orders similar to those that came in his troubled wake?</p> <p>Please put fairness above partisan politics. https://www.newsobserver.com/opinion/article253584314.html</p>
09/03/2021 02:34 PM	mary parks	---	<p>What happened to "Government of the people, by the people", etc.???? Why can we not have a meeting to consider redistricting in Buncombe County--all 100 counties! This is hijacking our Constitutional rights. How dare you?!?!?</p>
09/03/2021 03:11 PM	Sherry Brown	Moore County Republicans Woman	<p>I support the redistricting plan as fair and is impartial.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/03/2021 03:17 PM	Dr. Judith Bellin	retired	<p>The NC General Assembly Joint Redistricting Committee's latest redistricting public hearing proposal as of Sept. 1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Disregards key details on how public hearings will be held; -Excludes major population centers like Buncombe, Guilford, and Wake counties and major rural hubs throughout the state; and -Omits any options to take public input AFTER draft maps are revealed or provide comments to the public. <p>Lawmakers posted this information without notice, a committee meeting, or a vote, and buried it on the NCGA's website, ahead of a holiday weekend a mere week before hearings are to begin.</p> <p>NC voters deserve better. I trust you will provide for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - More notice and transparency about the redistricting timeline and process - More hearings across the state BEFORE and AFTER state and Congressional maps are drawn - Language and virtual access to allow for more and safer public input - All comments provided to lawmakers must be available to the public
09/03/2021 03:27 PM	Kathleen Fleming		<p>It is time for redistricting to be done by a non-partisan commission composed of an odd number of people for a fixed term and portions of the commission should be replaced on a rotating basis TBD. If you really want to make it fair, people should apply, be vetted for their ability to meet the appointment requirements, AND for their record of impartiality. We make a big deal in this country of American Democracy, but it is not without it's flaws, including partisanship and corruption. Maybe then, neither Party can continue the abuses that have occurred for centuries. It is obvious the current system is not working. One can hope.</p>
09/03/2021 03:40 PM	Eleanor Collins		<p>impartial, non-partisan. give minorities a voice when possible.</p>
09/03/2021 05:12 PM	Mr Geoff Dunkak	Home	<p>Redistributing should be conducted by a non partisan committee. Anything else produces skewed results designed to subvert the common good.</p>
09/03/2021 05:14 PM	Mr. Tom E Bowers	LWVCharMeck	<p>Democracy depends on one person one vote. Money is not speech and it denigrates the one person one vote democracy concept.</p>
09/03/2021 05:35 PM	Caryn Gibson		<p>Draw districts that resemble squares or rectangles and are based on how many people are within them. Decisions shouldn't be based on parties or incumbents.</p>
09/03/2021 08:18 PM	Mr. Thomas Hill	citizen of NC (Gates County)	<p>https://scontent-iad3-2.xx.fbcdn.net/v/t1.6435-9/227277731_2349161551884781_4696886184148832082_n.jpg?_nc_cat=102&_nc_rgb565=1&ccb=1-5&_nc_sid=8bfeb9&_nc_ohc=qVjtH_xprulAX9rvuyG&_nc_ht=scontent-iad3-2.xx&oh=5cc99fcb00a6872b86cc471877726840&oe=615756DF</p> <p>https://scontent-iad3-2.xx.fbcdn.net/v/t1.6435-9/233041197_2349161488551454_2437969044826557436_n.jpg?_nc_cat=107&ccb=1-5&_nc_sid=8bfeb9&_nc_ohc=Syad3N6XPwMAX-5j9q1&_nc_ht=scontent-iad3-2.xx&oh=59ed6df87de7e543328e295756747e7c&oe=61591153</p> <p>https://scontent-iad3-2.xx.fbcdn.net/v/t1.6435-9/233686982_2349161438551459_7748366877233899631_n.jpg?_nc_cat=108&_nc_rgb565=1&ccb=1-5&_nc_sid=8bfeb9&_nc_ohc=XylwFGdUTYUAX-tiGrp&_nc_ht=scontent-iad3-2.xx&oh=a14d444e65ddef9179a2682f2544d8f4&oe=6156D266</p> <p>These are three maps for CD-1 and CD-3. in CD-1 one of the maps above has northern and eastern Durham, the other map divides Wilson County and keeps others as a whole. (if the population is off, (not by much) but... you can take some of Pitt County and put a portion back into the 3rd. Again the above are three maps. The "Thompson Plan".</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			As far as the state sen.22 cut off south 85 and add the southern tip of Vance county (a new Sen. 22) Sen. 1. Add Martin County to it.
09/03/2021 09:59 PM	ms terry wilson		NO Gerrymandering!!! Draw straight lines - be fair to both sides. Remember what you do - may be done to you at a later date. Play fair. If one side pushes to far - there is always push back.
09/03/2021 11:09 PM	Mr Boyd Miller	none	Please have more meetings so that everyone can be heard and listen to all sides. America is only great when all seen as a part of this country.
09/04/2021 12:08 AM	Thelma Floyd		I ask that you have an independent group to redraw the NC maps to make voting fairer to everyone involved. Please make the process as fair as possible.
09/04/2021 08:26 AM	Mr. Dennis Tharrington	NC Resident	Gerrymandering has been used by both political parties for generations. It is not an acceptable tool for a truly democratic government. It is one used to harbor political power. Our state has changed much over my lifetime. There are now residents from other parts of the country and world, but they are all part of what we call democracy. I believe the "meetings" now proposed seek to eliminate the participation of areas that are largely Democratic. Most of the meetings are being held in rural counties where Republicans are generally in control. This is an effort to control the process. We need to change it. As I understand it, artificial intelligence programs can draw districts that are not gerrymandered, that are not fair. Perhaps a group of "non-partisan" members could investigate this proposition and recommend that process as a way to eliminate the political partisanship that is now apparent in the process.
09/04/2021 09:29 AM	MS Patty Wander		Please make NC's redistricting a model for the nation - fair and evenhanded. We so much need good governance.
09/04/2021 11:06 AM	Ellen Willcox		Communities of Interest are important even if they cross county lines, like mine does: https://www.representable.org/submission/97316ba8-4875-4afa-b69a-778291bcb966
			It is also important that our districts be drawn in a way that allows voters' voices to be heard. In 2020, NC voters were split almost 50/50 between voting Democrat and Republican. Fair maps would reflect this split in the parties of the state legislators and members of the House of Representatives.
09/04/2021 11:50 AM	Kelly Suttles		The General Assembly's initial proposal for public hearings is inadequate. The people of this state -- no matter our color, background or what part of North Carolina we call home -- deserve an equal role in determining what the next decade's voting districts look like. Guilford County and Buncombe County at the very least should be included in public hearings.
09/04/2021 03:49 PM	Mr. Herman Lankford	none	The only fair approach to drawing districts is to stop gerrymandering by putting the task in the hands of a politically neutral commission charged with drawing districts according to geographic and population considerations. There are good examples available from other states. Fair and sensible drawing of districts cannot be complicated in this computer age if and when the motives are fair, non-partisan, and sensible. Gerrymandering is decidedly undemocratic in a nation that purports to be a representative democracy. As a veteran of the armed services, I resent the idea of defending a supposed representative democracy which is decidedly not.
09/04/2021 04:05 PM	Drs. Dennis and Kathryn Welch	Carolina Preserve	The currently proposed redistricting process and hearings are woefully inadequate, impeding most North Carolinians from a fair and inclusive community redistricting process. Whereas in 2011 NC lawmakers proposed 62 hearings in 36 counties (before and after maps were drafted), in 2021 the current proposal would allow in-person public hearings in only 13 of 100 counties BEFORE maps are revealed. The proposal actually leaves out the counties of Buncombe, Gaston, Guilford, Union, and Wake, as well as major rural hubs. My wife and I are residents of Carolina Preserve in northeast Chatham County. As a large retirement community, CP has special needs and concerns, among which the most pressing are:

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Social Security, whose financial position needs shoring up by raising significantly or by eliminating the current cap (\$142,800) beyond which individuals pay NO additional SS taxes. According to a Trustees' report on August 31, 2021, SS's reserves for Old Age and Survivors Insurance will be depleted by 2033. • Medicare Part A, whose financial position needs shoring up by 2026, according of the same Trustees' report, or provider and insurer payments would have to be cut by 9 percent to bring spending in line with revenue. • Ambulance service, which used to be operative from Cary and was usually prompt but is now controlled by Wake County, whose resources are at present stretched (especially because of Covid 19) and therefore less likely to be prompt. Sometime in 2023 a new fire station is supposed to open on O'Kelly Chapel Road, adjacent to Carolina Preserve. That station will be in Chatham County, which is very large and has far fewer resources than Wake has. <p>Because Carolina Preserve is a community of over 1000 homes and several closely shared interests and concerns (as listed above), please keep it together in one district, preferably in Wake County.</p> <p>Thank you for your attention and service.</p> <p>Dennis M. Welch, Ph.D.</p> <p>Kathryn A. Welch, Ph.D.</p>
09/04/2021 05:18 PM	Ursula Seidu		We will not allow you to short change us or do anything that will silence black voices! We see and understand the nefarious activities that have been going on especially since the presidential of 2020! We will not be silenced! For hundreds of years we have been maligned and mistreated we are finished with those times, those laws meant to silence us and the mistreatment of our people! Evil will not win!
09/04/2021 06:05 PM	Stephen Jenks		Under the current districting, Republicans and Democrats each have had about 50% of the vote in Congressional elections. However, the actual distribution of seats means that North Carolina does not have one person, one vote. Rather, if you're a Democrat, you get approximately .7 vote. This clearly violates the Constitution and must not be perpetuated in 2022.
09/05/2021 01:42 AM	Mr. C. Warren Pope		Lawmakers should not choose their voters via Gerrymandering! voters should choose their rightful representatives in a representative democracy. That is why redistricting should be done by by-partisan commission, not the Legislature!
09/05/2021 09:34 AM	mr Rob Axtell		No more racial gerrymandering. No more political games. Draw maps that represent the population of NC. NC is a purple state. We have a diverse population that deserves a voice. Having one sided maps will result in more lawsuits, stress and anger. Draw fair maps. No more lies.
09/05/2021 10:26 AM	Cheating gerrymandering Lisa Tucker		As an independent I'm disgusted by this blatant power grab by the GOP. We need non partisan voting areas that don't split up votes by race as current gerrymandering has allowed. I swear on all I love I will vote against you if you can't learn to stop cheating!
09/05/2021 10:42 AM	Charlotte McRanie		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There should be more public hearings across the state. Currently, hearings are only planned in 10 counties. 2. Public hearings must also be held across the state AFTER draft maps are released. The public must have opportunities to weigh in on the proposed maps.
09/05/2021 11:04 AM	Constituent Based Redistr James Quaintance		<p>In reference to the upcoming redistricting plan, it is not the purpose of legislative districts to elect one person or even one party in perpetuity. The people choose their own representatives. The representatives do not get to choose their constituency. This is how the US Constitution is written and the foundation upon which our government and country rest. Districts should not be based upon party lines. Please do not take them into account went drawing the new redistributing plan.</p> <p>I will use my vote to fight those representatives who support unfair districting practices, be they from the left or the right, and</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/05/2021 12:32 PM	Mrs Carole Schaefer		will encourage everyone I know to do the same.
09/05/2021 05:53 PM	Janet Thew		<p>NC has gone through a vicious cycle of district maps drawn that proved to be gerrymandering, racially biased by our Courts. The 14th Amendment should not be disregarded in any way. The state pays for these unnecessary lawsuits from our tax money. Citizens should have the power to demand fair and equal maps that offer Justice in voting. It is long past time the majority party complies with the 14th Amendment and stops dominating this process to the detriment of the People they supposedly represent. I call for Fair Maps Now.</p> <p>There should be more public hearings across the state. Currently, hearings are only planned in 10 counties. These locations are spread too far across the state to provide enough opportunities for public participation.</p> <p>Public hearings must be held across the state before and after draft maps are released. There are currently no plans for public hearings around the state after maps have been released. This is unacceptable. The public must have opportunities to weigh in on the proposed maps.</p> <p>The public should have an opportunity to call or videoconference into meetings to give oral comments. This will allow citizens who can't travel or who have safety concerns due to COVID to participate.</p> <p>The public should be able to see the comments that have been submitted in the portal.</p>
09/06/2021 08:43 AM	Mrs. Sarah Petersen		<p>Public hearings must be held across the state before and after draft maps are released. There are currently no plans for public hearings around the state after maps have been released. This is unacceptable. The public must have opportunities to weigh in on the proposed maps. Citizens need an opportunity to understand the concepts and priorities for the maps as well as a chance to provide feedback in ample time for revisions to be made if necessary.</p>
09/06/2021 08:48 AM	Donna Oliver		<p>I am writing with concerns about the redistricting process. Specifically there should be more public hearings across the state. I am alarmed some hearings are not near urban centers. There should be enough hearings near population centers and rural areas so working citizens can easily participate. These public hearings should occur before and after the maps are released. With the ongoing pandemic citizens should have in person and virtual opportunities to participate. Please post all the public comments in an easy to find manner. Thank you.</p>
09/06/2021 11:51 AM	Jeanne King		<p>Hello. My name is Jeanne King and I am a resident of the Greensboro community.</p> <p>My comments relate to the Congressional map. I believe the anchor cities of the Piedmont Triad area – Greensboro, High Point and Winston-Salem – should be kept together as one Congressional district. My reasons are many but I will highlight a few below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The regional area shares an airport and an economic growth council that serves all the communities anchored by the ones listed above. 2. The area is rich in higher education institutions such as NC A & T, UNC-G, Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem State University, and High Point University. 3. Residents commute to the cities for work, cultural enrichment/festivals, shopping, shopping, and outdoor activities that are linked via extended greenways. 4. We have the PART system that allows residents to commute to communities in the region without having to rely on personal transportation and reduce pollution. 5. Growth industries in the individual communities work together to attract new sectors that will benefit all in the area. <p>The greater community benefits together. Please keep us together so we can address our individual needs as one.</p> <p>Guilford County has a long history of being split and frankly it is quite confusing, and ineffective. We need to know our voices</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>of all the constituents are being heard.</p> <p>I do appreciate your time and for considering my comments.</p> <p>Kindest regards, Jeanne King</p>
09/06/2021 03:05 PM	Dr Adrienne Ferriss		<p>All citizens of North Carolina should be able to comment on redistricting proposals before and after they are drawn. Many of our citizens do not have reasonable access to computers and/or transportation to reach the VERY limited public meetings.</p> <p>This smacks of disenfranchisement from the get go. Meetings should be held in every county at times that working people can attend, and public transportation made available to be able to attend those meetings.</p>
09/06/2021 04:26 PM	Patricia McCall		<p>I support districts being determined by population rather than the partisan need for gerrymandering in order to rig an election.</p>
09/06/2021 05:24 PM	Terry Landers		<p>Redistricting Committee Members:</p> <p>I have been observing the public hearings. So far the strong impression I am left with is that the party in power has pre-determined how things will be done prior to each meeting and are providing LIP-SERVICE to a transparent, non-partisan process incorporating public input. It appears that the public input has been ignored.</p> <p>Map drawing criteria should drive the outcome of such fair maps ... NOT the wishes or ambitions of individual lawmakers. All aspects of map drawing should be done completely transparently in full audio and video "view" of the public – no backroom or "corner" drawing. Sufficient time should be allocated for public review, input and response to proposed maps.</p> <p>The Republican Chairs proposed vague map drawing criteria such as "make reasonable efforts" and "may consider"; Requests to make such criteria more specific were rejected; Not accepting changes to the criteria were justified by falling back to – we did it this way in the past; Refusal to set priorities amongst the criteria: criteria that are constitutional will take precedence [this much is a good thing], otherwise there are no priorities ... they are "harmonizing" the criteria. In other words, one can point to whatever criteria suits a specific goal at the time.</p> <p>The best criteria is "Partisan considerations and election results data shall not be used in the drawing of districts" – which sounds good – but turns out to only mean that partisan data will not be loaded on the machines where the maps will be drawn. The Chair opened one session proudly stating that this is the first time lawmakers are voluntarily [without court order] eliminating use of partisan election data. He immediately added: he wanted the public and committee members to be aware, through the criteria that has been proposed, of the "commitment of the Chairs to making significant and reasonable efforts to ATTEMPT to LIMIT the partisan consideration and election results data from being used in the drawing of these maps." In other words, Republicans will only use election data when it benefits them!!</p> <p>Vague, non-prioritized criteria sets the stage for "anything goes"!</p> <p>NC maps should never be drawn to achieve a pre-set political outcome. In the long run we will all benefit from a fair, aboveboard, process that results in districts that reflect the makeup of the residents of NC and make sure that the residents of NC will be fairly represented at the state and federal levels for the next 10 years. Let's do it right the 1st time and avoid the wasted time & millions of taxpayers' dollars that were spent over the last decade in litigation – taxpayers' dollars that should have been used to the benefit of NC residents.</p> <p>Unfortunately, it is not possible for us to have an independent commission drawing our maps this time -- PLEASE ACT LIKE</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>an INDEPENDENT COMMISSION and produce maps that make sure ALL NC residents are FAIRLY REPRESENTED!!</p> <p>Respectfully, Terry Landers Chatham County</p>
09/07/2021 05:56 AM	Ms. Doni Juarez		<p>Redistricting has been a constant, never-ending battle in the State of North Carolina, with numerous lawsuits on the topic over just the past decade and even more when looking back at the time Democrats were drawing the maps. This battle has been a massive burden on taxpayer dollars and has only caused increased voter confusion across the state. Over the past 10 years alone, the Winston-Salem Journal has reported that our State has had to spend roughly \$7 million dollars defending lawsuits attacking the legislature's redistricting efforts. These blatantly partisan attacks need to stop and I hope that the adopted process and resulting maps drawn by the General Assembly this year will stand without challenge.</p> <p>The criteria that the General Assembly has put forward this year are fair and direct. It follows the most recent court-ordered redistricting process. That process was widely acclaimed as the most fair and transparent redistricting process ever in North Carolina by Democrats, Republicans, and really, everyone.</p> <p>While all of the proposed criteria are important, there are several that really demonstrate the legislature's commitment to fairness. First, the General Assembly plans to keep most communities together, including, to the extent possible, following county and municipal boundaries. Second, the General Assembly has committed that "[d]ata identifying the race of individuals or voters shall not be used in the construction or consideration of districts." Third, the General Assembly has also committed that "[p]artisan considerations and election results data shall not be used in the drawing of districts."</p> <p>I commend the legislature for their efforts and pray that the new districts will not fall victim to a wave of legal challenges.</p>
09/07/2021 09:07 AM	ms Patricia Forrest	unorganized	<p>Take the humans out of the equation by using IBM's Watson—it could be expensive but it would be a fair way, considering the political hate that is here!</p>
09/07/2021 09:23 AM	Judy Lotas	League of Women Voters of Dare County	<p>It seems the only fair way of deciding which districts are which is to have an outside, independent citizens' group to suss out details and make a recommendation. Why this has ever been left to political parties to decide is way beyond me.</p>
09/07/2021 09:56 AM	2021 Redistricting Nel Frangis	NA	<p>"Redistricting has been a constant, never-ending battle in the State of North Carolina, with numerous lawsuits on the topic over just the past decade and even more when looking back at the time Democrats were drawing the maps. This battle has been a massive burden on taxpayer dollars and has only caused increased voter confusion across the state. Over the past 10 years alone, the Winston-Salem Journal has reported that our State has had to spend roughly \$7 million dollars defending lawsuits attacking the legislature's redistricting efforts. These blatantly partisan attacks need to stop and I hope that the adopted process and resulting maps drawn by the General Assembly this year will stand without challenge.</p> <p>The criteria that the General Assembly has put forward this year are fair and direct. It follows the most recent court-ordered redistricting process. That process was widely acclaimed as the most fair and transparent redistricting process ever in North Carolina by Democrats, Republicans, and really, everyone.</p> <p>While all of the proposed criteria are important, there are several that really demonstrate the legislature's commitment to fairness. First, the General Assembly plans to keep most communities together, including, to the extent possible, following county and municipal boundaries. Second, the General Assembly has committed that "[d]ata identifying the race of individuals or voters shall not be used in the construction or consideration of districts." Third, the General Assembly has also committed that "[p]artisan considerations and election results data shall not be used in the drawing of districts."</p> <p>I commend the legislature for their efforts and pray that the new districts will not fall victim to a wave of legal challenges."</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			Kindest regards, Nel Frangis
09/07/2021 10:02 AM	Christy Thompson		The public as a right to be heard about voting redistricting. Having only 13 public hearings is a sham. No public hearings after the maps are drawn? This is still the US, isn't it? Republicans keep screaming that the Democrats are trying to take our rights away. This is what is taking our rights away! The voters have a say in this. We demand to have our voices heard and to make sure that fair, accurate maps are drawn. Thank you.
09/07/2021 10:08 AM	Kristina King		The General Assembly has the power to stuff members of the minority party into a few voting districts and otherwise to spread out minority voters in a way that makes their votes count less. Each of these methods results in diluting votes for the minority party and artificially raising the strength of votes for the majority party. This is called GERRYMANDING – and it has been declared unconstitutional by our own state courts. Furthermore, it is morally wrong and anti-democratic. All redistricting should be done by a nonpartisan entity - preferably a computer program; and the entire process should be transparent and open to the public.
09/07/2021 10:36 AM	Mrs. Sherry Tow		As a constituent, I am asking you NOT to gerrymander our beautiful State! Please stop radical, political support of laws that divide and HARM us! Do what is FAIR and JUST, not what is directed by political power and greed! As a moderate, I ask you to consider everyone in NC, not just a portion of those who are too far to the right!
			Thank you, Sherry Tow
09/07/2021 10:41 AM	Ms Linda Sutton		Dear Committee Chairs, and Members, I am writing to let you know how very disappointed I am in the number of public hearings you have outlined. Even more disappointing is the hear that you will not allow any public comments after the maps have been drawn. This is not how a democracy functions. Were the various committee members consulted and allowed to suggest locations and the number of hearings? Your failure to provide the majority of citizens in this state the opportunity to participate in this critical decision making process which will govern our lives for a decade, is absolutely appalling. North Carolina must do better. The Committee chairs must do better. What about this process is of, by and for the people? Your lack of caring and concern for the citizens of NC is growing, and is being noticed by the voters, so do the right thing and change direction now. Thank you.
09/07/2021 11:15 AM	William Shubert		I am against allowing partisan redrawing of district maps with the goal of favoring one party over the other. The people should pick their leaders and not the other way around.
09/07/2021 11:54 AM	Mrs. Dorothy Williams		Like most North Carolinians, I am weary of the constant fighting and bickering among members of the General Assembly - those people tasked to provide processes for citizens of our state to participate in this democracy. We are weary of decades of adjusting voting districts to favor one political party or the other, one race or the other, or whatever reason the majority political party deems worthy for that decade. Voting districts need to be adjusted at the conclusion of the decennial census based on population only so that each district is made up of the same (as closely as possible) number of citizens of voting age as all other districts. The group charged with adjusting the districts should not be the body that will ultimately benefit or suffer from the outcome but rather a body of independent individuals dedicated to a system of fair voting opportunity for all citizens of North Carolina.
09/07/2021 01:09 PM	David Preston	Voter	Your duty is to follow the Constitution when districts are drawn. It would be an important, democratic addition to the process if the GA made as many districts as possible competitive. Some years an R would win, and some years a D. Overall, it would reduce extremist views and encourage all sides to work together to get things done for all citizens. It is imperative that the counter-productive politics of recent years be brought under control for our democracy and our future.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/07/2021 01:52 PM	Director Willard Bass	IDR, Inc dba Freedom Tree at IDR	I plan to speak at this public hearing for Redistricting!
09/07/2021 03:46 PM	Laura Wojcik		<p>Please take the following community of interest into account when redistricting: healthcare and environmental concerns affecting the Asheville-Hendersonville corridor (areas within Buncombe and Henderson counties most affected by this is indicated on map which can be found at: https://www.representable.org/submission/613362ac-eefb-4086-83bc-7c2c717004f0).</p> <p>These issues have - and will continue to - affect an increasing number of residents over time and will require greater advocacy from our elected officials.</p>
09/07/2021 04:46 PM	Mrs. Carolyn R. Hines		<p>My name is Carolyn Hines, and I'm a lifelong resident of Greensboro in Guilford County. I'm retired now, but during my working career I was a public relations manager for a textile company.</p> <p>Today I'm writing about the proposed NC congressional map. I believe the Triad's major cities of Greensboro, High Point and Winston Salem should be kept together in one, single congressional district for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -We share economic development efforts and media outlets as well as an international airport. The largest newspapers in both Greensboro and Winston-Salem are owned by the same company and share many of the same articles and editorials. -The Triad area also features a wealth of higher education institutions including High Point University, NC A&T, Bennett College, Winston-Salem State, Salem College, Wake Forest University, UNCG, Guilford College, Greensboro College & GTCC. These institutions would benefit from remaining in the same congressional district. -This is also true for the two large academic health care organizations we share: Atrium Health: Wake Forest Baptist (which has a hospital in High Point) and Cone Health. -Residents often commute among the three major Triad cities. Many people work in one area and live in another. We attend cultural, academic and outdoor events throughout the three cities as well. -With the decline of textiles and tobacco, Triad growth industries now include distribution, logistics, & aviation, all of which are attracting new families throughout the area. -The Triad faces similar challenges experienced by other urban areas: poverty, food insecurity and affordable housing as well as the special needs we have because of our former traditional employment base and culture. Since we have so many things in common, the three Triad cities benefit most when we can communicate together with a single representative to address our needs. <p>The area in which I live in Guilford County, in particular, has a long history of its congressional districts being split. One recent example was in 2016 when the Greensboro campus at NC A&T was split into two congressional districts. Greensboro residents were very confused by this and often had to look up the name of their congressional representative to find out who it was.</p> <p>In addition to being confusing, it wasn't effective. The last time the Triad was split between congressional districts (Districts 13 & 6), many Triad residents felt that our concerns were not really heard by either representative. The two representatives were attentive to the voices of constituents in the rural areas but not to us. We were frustrated because our urban needs were being ignored.</p> <p>The current NC congressional map does not split Guilford County and keeps Greensboro, High Point and Winston Salem together. It's been very effective because our voices are finally being heard. In your redistricting deliberations, please continue to keep Greensboro, High Point and Winston Salem, the largest cities of the Piedmont Triad, in one district so we can elect a representative who will continue to represent us and the area in which we live. The state of North Carolina recognizes the Triad as a distinct area in our state. It's REALLY important to us that our congressional map continue to reflect that!</p> <p>Thank you for considering my comment in your deliberations and for doing this important work.</p> <p>Carolyn R. Hines</p>
09/07/2021 04:48 PM	A Williams		<p>NC has embarrassed itself time and again using party politics and race to draw election districts. In this day and age, we should be using a computer algorithm with 1 parameter: population.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			This should be the only parameter used to determine districts. To use any other parameter is to fail the people of NC.
09/07/2021 05:10 PM	Kimberly Daniel		The districts should be drawn fairly, following existing boundaries. There is no reasonable explanation for a district drawn to snake through the boundaries of other districts. Your actions are being watched, and history will judge you.
09/07/2021 05:16 PM	Kenneth Fleming		Thank you for your commitment to transparency. Please, please, please make this a fair process and keep NC from being in the spotlight for the wrong reasons. Let's give every eligible voter equal representation, especially people that have been historically marginalized in the South, including the rural, white voters. Lift every voice and vote!
09/07/2021 05:33 PM	Anna Lyn Purugganan		Redistricting should be assigned to a non-partisan committee or have equal representation of Republicans, Democrats and Independents. If not, it is just a perpetual cheating.
09/07/2021 06:01 PM	Mr. Kenneth Brantley	Retired	House District 2 is being more and more influenced by the increase of population in the Creedmore and Stem areas. The area of Granville Co. north of Stem and Oxford are more rural and hold to more rural values and ideas, as does the Roxboro area of Person Co. With this population increase comes a great difference of ideals and opinions between the rural areas and the more developed areas and appear to be taking the voice away from the lesser populated rural area north. Maybe it is time to cut that area off of the District 2 map and add it the districts to the south which hold more like minded individuals.
09/07/2021 06:56 PM	Mr. Ben Jeuck		Gerrymandering is equivalent to admitting one cannot win in democratic elections without extreme levels of legally-dubious to fully fraudulent manipulation of district boundaries. It's the loser's last bastion for stealing an election that they do not otherwise rightfully deserve. It is Anti-American, Anti-Democracy, and the antithesis of political process and progress. Those who choose to walk this path of deception, we are watching. Do not expect us to vote in your favor. And shame on you for your abuses of power. Enjoy finding ways to explain that behavior before St. Peter when the time has come.
09/07/2021 07:01 PM	Mr. BRUCE CONNER	N/A	I live in Durham, NC and am writing to (1) object to the lack of transparency in your process, (2) lack of broad opportunity for public comment throughout the state on both your process (e.g., criteria) and on the maps once you propose them, (3) the lack of using racial data as part of your criteria and (4) the subordination of communities of interest to other criteria. You seem to be scheduling few public comment meetings compared to previous cycles, "burying" announcements of them instead of having many comment sessions that are both widely publicized and easily accessible to citizens in different geographies and at times that are convenient for working people. It appears there will be very little opportunity for citizens to provide feedback at length once proposed districts are published. You have apparently not guaranteed that all public comments will be part of the public record, nor are you providing Internet feeds of your public comment hearings. Without taking race into consideration, I do not understand how you can comply with the Federal Voting Rights Act, and its requirements to have some districts with majorities of larger minority groups. Finally, I fear that the subordination of communities of interest to other criteria will invite "cracking" of such communities to dilute their influence and representation. Thank you for your kind attention.
09/07/2021 07:20 PM	Justin Green		Draw them fairly. A grid based on latitude and longitude parameters that take population into account. Use an algorithm that isn't political. Stop cheating and ruining our democracy.
09/07/2021 07:30 PM	Donna Wooster		Please use math professors and statisticians, not politicians and party leaders. This should be as objective as possible. Neither party should be influencing this process
09/07/2021 07:57 PM	Evan Peterson		I am concerned about gerrymandering and Democrats being underrepresented again. I implore the committees to draw fair maps in terms of seats per votes.
09/07/2021 08:39 PM	Mr. John White	Retired	Will North Carolina again be the most gerrymandered state in the Union? With a reduced number of public hearings, the Committee creates an image of smoke-filled backrooms—government at its worse. Clearly, the Committee and General Assembly need to take steps for transparency. I observed the most recent redistricting—from a distance. Adding large screens for members of the public to see, clearly, what is happening, is a start.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>I propose steps that do not require significant resources, but which communicate the process in a documented fashion.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Create a web page(s) to provide information on the redistricting process. 2. Publicize the web page. 3. The information desired for the web page is as follows: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> A. Provide the specific instructions for the individual running software as to how lines should be drawn—resulting in the initial model run—before any changes or revisions. B. Provide a map of the initial model run results, showing boundaries for each district prior to any changes. This request covers all of the redistricting—US Congress, General Assembly and any other redistricting. C. Create a "change log." At a minimum, the log would describe any change made to the initial run (how the boundary line(s) was moved), the requestor (member of General Assembly), the incumbent(s) impacted by such change, the date and time of such change. 4. Maintain the historical information for at least five years. <p>With the implementation of these steps, the General Assembly will provide transparency on the redistricting process—and move North Carolina to the forefront of fair redistricting.</p> <p>Thank you for your service to North Carolina.</p> <p>Respectfully,</p> <p>John J. White Raleigh, NC</p>
09/07/2021 09:30 PM	Kevan Woodson	Retired	<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive. Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p>
09/07/2021 10:10 PM	Melissa Patton		<p>As a resident of Caldwell county I would like to keep our county as a district. Breaking up our county confuses voters.</p>
09/07/2021 10:11 PM	Objective Re-Districting Jody Anderson		<p>Remove politicians from the equation, you can't expect the redistricting to be objective when you have politicians with their best interests in mind doing the job.</p>
09/07/2021 10:53 PM	Ms Shelby Powell		<p>I urge you to use a nonpartisan, unbiased computer program to draw district lines. North Carolinians are tired of our districting process being used in partisan political maneuvering. There is no reason this can't be done digitally using the newest census data.</p>
09/07/2021 10:59 PM	Denise Whitlow		<p>I would like to see districts that fairly represent our actual geographic population, without stretching and rigging lines for political advantage. I'm tired of all the cheating, secret meetings, squiggly lines, and subversive efforts to circumvent the voting power of some residents and enhance the value of others, in order to skew the outcome of our elections.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			Gerrymandering is election fraud. I hope all committee members will take their role seriously and work together with integrity. It's time.
09/07/2021 11:04 PM	Kerry Guist		I want to make sure that the districts are drawn fairly. A district shouldn't be filled with just one big, urban city and no smaller, rural towns. It should consist of both. This way would be more fair to both Democrats and Republicans.
09/07/2021 11:23 PM	Lacey Hollowell		Redistricting should be as fair and unbiased as possible to ensure that every voice in every district counts. With NC's political reputation already smeared by past instances of gerrymandering, we MUST get this right. I challenge every single legislator to uphold our state motto—to BE fair and just rather than to merely SEEM fair and just.
09/08/2021 12:27 AM	Mr Eric Pulvino	N/A	I would prefer districts that look like a checkerboard from above. I think it is a gross abuse of power to look and see where people have tried to redraw districts to shape the outcome of an election. It is deeply disturbing that this is not illegal and makes me sick to my stomach that elected officials could do this in good conscience. Fair elections are the bedrock of democracy and they start with fair districts which don't take politics into consideration in their drawing.
09/08/2021 12:27 AM	Mr Eric Pulvino	N/A	I would prefer districts that look like a checkerboard from above. I think it is a gross abuse of power to look and see where people have tried to redraw districts to shape the outcome of an election. It is deeply disturbing that this is not illegal and makes me sick to my stomach that elected officials could do this in good conscience. Fair elections are the bedrock of democracy and they start with fair districts which don't take politics into consideration in their drawing.
09/08/2021 12:28 AM	Ms. Renee Barton		I here by request that the new district maps are fair in both political parties and not gerry maddered favoring Republicans as majority, but mutual fairness and collaborations so all NC voters have proper representation and not just based on rural and suburban and urban as past. Let there be equality and voters decide by honesty and integrity and not political grabs as a majority in powers. Its time for real changes in North Carolina from the past 11 years majority and how that was accomplished to begin with!! Fairness and equality 2021 maps!!!!
09/08/2021 07:53 AM	Deborah Saunders		The people of NC deserve a fair representation of the electorate. I don't see why it is so hard. Let a computer create districts according to population regardless of party. What's the use of having a democracy if we aren't allowed to vote fairly. Power corrupts absolutely.
09/08/2021 08:15 AM	Mr. Gerald Hieronymus	retired	Please place our town of Mt Hill in one district. Presently its in both District 9 and 12. I'm in 12 , my neighbor across the creek is in 9. WE have nothing in common with Charlotte district 12.
09/08/2021 08:58 AM	Marshall Massengill		I urge you to support redistricting reform. Specifically, I urge you to support an independent commission to draw district lines through a process that uses objective criteria. Legislators and political parties should not be allowed to draw their own district lines. I believe that, in so doing, politics becomes more divided, special interests get more influence, and my vote matters less. An independent commission will give legitimacy to the process and ensure all voters are represented fairly. I urge you to support redistricting reform.
09/08/2021 09:07 AM	Mr. David Potenziani		Redistricting should result in a non-partisan drawing of all boundaries where neither party is favored overall. Our state is almost evening divided by partisan affiliation. In the spirit of one-person, one-vote, the district lines should result in a balance between the parties. The point of this exercise is to give all NC voters an equal voice in electing their government leaders.
09/08/2021 09:25 AM	Dr. Lynn Lyle		As a Christian and a 36-year long resident NC. I have very serious concerns about the General Assembly plans for redistricting criteria and public hearings scheduling. First, racial data will not be used as a criteria in the redistricting process. It will make it impossible to know if proposed districts are in compliance with the Voting Rights Act. I consider this to be undemocratic and the optics make the General Assembly appear racist. Secondly, holding hearings in only 10% of the counties in the state does not allow large numbers of citizens to easily participate. Having only in-person hearings during the ongoing pandemic forces citizens to choose between attending and their consideration of their own health and that of others. Individuals with mobility or transportation difficulties will be restricted in their attendance. Hearings should be held before and after proposed maps are published.
09/08/2021	Mr. Ryan		Please no crazy maps like in 2010. Don't make our state an example of horrible gerrymandering. Have you tried using an

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09:39 AM	Marlow		algorithm that isn't a corrupt piece of shit like the members of past redistricting committees?
09/08/2021 09:45 AM	Mrs Rebecca Burmester	Citizen	Let North Carolina become a leader for nonpartisan redistricting with public input and transparency. Several organizations have outlined important practices for the process. The League of Women Voters and Common Cause are just two.
09/08/2021 09:47 AM	Carol Lenhardt		Recent attempts to limit voting rights across the United States is unsettling. In North Carolina, with a recent history of legislative attempts to limit voting by minority populations through illegal maps and voter id requirements, it is time that the General Assembly draw maps that are non-partisan, fair and representative of all citizens of North Carolina. With millions of dollars recently lost in litigation to defend the challenges to the hyper partisan and illegal maps drawn by the legislature, it is time for the General Assembly to do what is right for the citizens of this state. May you have the courage to be on the right side of history and use your office to protect democracy, not destroy it.
09/08/2021 09:53 AM	Mrs. Patricia Gregg		I need help with this issue since 1991 someone being stealing my motor -vehicles-I go over to North Carolina Veteran ADM.- and Mecklenburg County-Civil Court-nothing been done but the Vehicle-locked inside there-vacate Garage-on my 3rd one where can I get some answers for the return of my vehicles-paid in full too-theirs are hassle-free-these companies-where does the Bill start-for hassle free return of my vehicles. Sincerely Your, Patricia Ann Gregg
09/08/2021 09:55 AM	Mr. Jason Mac Entee	n/a	We (unfortunately) have a two-party system. In statewide elections, we in NC consistently vote 50/50 +/- 4% for those two parties. It should be your duty to create districts which represent that reality and results in 50% R and 50% D representation +/- 1 seat. I think anything else will be viewed as a violation of your oath and responsibility. NC is made up of bipartisan people who work together for our common good every day, and our legislature should reflect that. A super majority of one party or the other is clearly undemocratic in NC.
09/08/2021 10:00 AM	Jeff Sturkey	Active Voter	Dear General Assembly, For once, let's try to draw district lines honestly, fairly and without regard to incumbency or cronyism. Let's have neutral but interested parties draw the districts. Let's not use this situation to advance or improve any individual's or party's advantage. Be fair. Be nice, and for once, look out for the interests of ALL North Carolinians, not just your buddies in the Legislature Building. This will be difficult and not everyone will be happy with the result....BUT.....Let's end the times when judges describe North Carolina districts as "surgically drawn" to exclude some residents or to promote one party over another. Do it properly this time.
09/08/2021 10:11 AM	Dr. Janet Thatcher		Please have transparency and more meetings regarding the redistricting. Thank you. Janet A. Thatcher, PhD
09/08/2021 10:17 AM	Cody Leach		Please add Proportionality to the list of criteria utilized to draw the districting maps. The current criteria creates a mismatch of seats generated from the map to the total voter roles and provides uneven/unfair representation. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Proportional_representation
09/08/2021 10:19 AM	Mr. Ivan Browning		Divide the state's population by the number of districts. Then draw district maps to contain the number of people that each district should contain. Do not manipulate boundary lines to make any district "Republican" or "Democratic". And do not take into consideration current districts that have proven problematic in court due to racial or partisan gerrymandering. Districts should be regular in shape without tendrils shooting out to capture pockets of voters who have previously voted one way or another.
09/08/2021 10:36 AM	Mrs. Patricia Gregg		Ever since-ten years-09-07-21-man -job too- age (16)-made the Aggravated Sexual Assault-in a unwanted Marriage-woman-been stealing my identification-\$30,000-Victim Compensation-application-North Carolina Public Safety-only help-Veteran Office-one there-11-13-2013-2nd-cracked Hip-woman-I want a room-get the Social Security check-no help-medical bills-prescriptions-stopped-then job-tax school training-City Bus incident for the 3rd-time-and on jobs-where is he at-Bill-help.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			Sincerely Yours,
			Patricia Ann Gregg
09/08/2021 10:43 AM	Dr. Jeff Braden		It is time to end the folly of legislators choosing their voters. Please put a nonpartisan commission together to create compact, meaningful, and representative districts in our state!
			Thank you for your service to our community. JPB
09/08/2021 11:08 AM	Mrs. Holton Lemaster	UNC-Chapel Hill	Districts should be drawn by a neutral, apolitical third party and NOT by any political party in North Carolina. PLEASE stop with all of the grandstanding and strawman nonsense and let NC have representation that actually matches the population.
09/08/2021 11:29 AM	Mr Edward Granger		You have a duty to make the districts fair and representative of the population. Our janky districts are a bad faith interpretation of districting. It's a slap in the face to our founding fathers and anyone who has dedicated their life in service to this country.
09/08/2021 11:39 AM	Mrs. Anne Craig		Redistricting designs should keep communities together so that they can advocate for better policies together. Politicians should not be picking their voters, the voters should be electing the politicians they feel will best serve and advocate for their communities. Cities should all be in one district, not split. Communities are experiencing destructive weather events and socio/economic challenges that may be unique to them and they should be able to elect representatives who will work to help solve their challenges and promote a decent quality of life.
09/08/2021 11:57 AM	Mr Daniel Jeffries		Please do the right thing. Citizens of North Carolina deserve to chose who represents them, rather than the legislature choosing who they can vote for. Draw along county lines and let people have an honest say in their government.
			This is for the people. Do the right thing.
09/08/2021 11:59 AM	Jarvis Washington- Jackson		I appreciate the transparency that has been taken place during this redistricting process. What I would like to also see is that there is a unbiased approach to the lines that are being drawn. For far too long there has been so much Gerrymandering on either side of the political spectrum and what would be nice is to see the congressional members of the North Carolina house and senate take this in this in a non partisan type of approach. Let's try not to have the politicians choosing their voters and let the voters choose the politician.
09/08/2021 12:17 PM	Mrs Kay Zimmerman	retired educator, member of League of Women Voters of the Piedmont Triad, member Work for Democracy, volunteer	Please allow NC Congressional District -06 to remain as it is currently. It comprises the cities of Greensboro, High Point, and Winston-Salem, which make up the Piedmont Triad. Our inhabitants travel and work all across the district. We are part of the same economic region, sharing an airport, cultural and educational institutions, and 2 large hospital systems. We have experienced recent growth in logistics and distribution industries. We are home to large universities. We also have similar urban challenges: affordable housing, the need to eliminate food deserts, and healthcare for the poor, which we did not feel were addressed - or even heard - by our representatives when we were divided into 2 districts prior to the last redistricting. Our district has a good balance of urban/rural areas, but our industries, institutions, and our needs are most typical of urban areas. I ask that you please allow NC-06 Congressional District to remain as it is currently. Thank you for your attention.
09/08/2021 12:18 PM	Rose-Marie Vieira		Dear Senators, The North Carolina General Assembly must help residents understand the redistricting process. We cannot expect North Carolinians to meaningfully participate in public hearings if they are unfamiliar with the redistricting process. That is why I am calling on you to ensure a fair, inclusive, and transparent redistricting process for 2021.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>In 2011, the North Carolina legislature held public hearings at 63 sites across the state. There were a total of 41 hearing sites in 36 counties -- including 24 counties previously covered by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act.</p> <p>On September 1, a list of 13 public hearings was released to the public. This means certain communities will have only 13 opportunities to speak directly to policymakers about how maps should be drawn in 2021. Shamefully, this list was released to the public before being seen -- much less approved -- by you and your fellow members of the Redistricting and Elections Committees. This lack of transparency is unacceptable.</p> <p>COVID-19 continues to surge across our state. The legislature must respond by offering remote access for all public hearings. These remote options must allow for individuals to meaningfully engage and participate in the same way as those who attend in person. Recent innovations in online meetings have provided the technology to make this possible. Public hearings should be live-streamed to allow for North Carolinians to view in real-time.</p> <p>In-person public hearing sites should be accessible by public transport and easily used by people with disabilities. For both in-person and remote attendees, Spanish language and sign language interpretation should be offered and advertised in advance of each hearing. Testimony given at public hearings should be recorded and accessible via the NCGA redistricting website. Additionally, all public comments made via the online comment portal or hotline should be immediately released to the public.</p> <p>As a North Carolina voter, can I count on you to ensure we have fair, inclusive, and transparent redistricting public comment hearings?</p>
09/08/2021 12:24 PM	Mr. Michael Morse		<p>Please stop all efforts to use gerrymandering in the redrawing of voting districts in NC. Make the process completely open and equitable for all citizens regardless of politics and free of partisan efforts. It's a sad day in NC for a court ruling to be needed to force the issue. Even the locations and times selected for the upcoming public hearings for comments have a strong partisan bias to them. SHAME! Please do your jobs and represent all citizens in NC and not just the ones whose opinions you personally favor. As a person born and raised in NC, I am ashamed at the state of politics here. Please work together with all of the publicly elected representatives for the betterment of all NC citizens. If you can do this, thank you.</p>
09/08/2021 12:40 PM	Sally Lawing		<p>I'm a resident of Summerfield, NC. My small town is split by 2 NC House Districts in the current map, and I'm writing to make the point that if our municipality needs to be split by any map, House, Senate or Congressional, that it needs to be split differently than it is now.</p> <p>Summerfield has 4 voting precincts and is roughly divided by Highway 220 that runs North/ South. The side of Summerfield that is west of Highway 220 is a distinct community of interest, and has concerns that differ from the side on the east side of Highway 220.</p> <p>The west side of Summerfield has much older schools, whose buildings need many repairs and upgrades. The west side is dealing with the possibility of a large new housing and retail development that may bring in municipal water from Greensboro along with a new tax district to pay for it. The west side may be impacted by a downtown overlay district in its planning, which may change housing density there. The west side is the site of a newly planned large public park that will include equestrian trails, which will bring visitors to the area and will impact the community.</p> <p>The side of Summerfield that is east of Highway 220 does not face those issues, as it has newer schools, and is not in the possible new special tax district. It will not contain any of the new large development or be impacted by the new park.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>The current NC House map does not keep Summerfield whole. It cuts one Summerfield precinct out (one that is on the west side of Hwy 220) and groups it with HD57. The rest of Summerfield is in HD62. I think the entire area of Summerfield that is to the west of Highway 220 should be kept together as a community of interest, for the reasons stated above.</p>
			<p>It would be preferable to keep all of Summerfield together in all maps, so our representatives can have a better chance of knowing our community and being able to advocate for us, but if Summerfield needs to be split, please keep the west side of Summerfield together as a community of interest.</p>
			<p>Thank you.</p>
09/08/2021 12:57 PM	Mrs Virginia Lewis	private citizen-NC registered voter	<p>Please stop gerrymandering. Give all NC citizens the ability to conveniently vote whether in person or by mail using the same absentee ballot process that was used in the November 2020 election.</p> <p>Give all voters the opportunity to voice thier concerns-- before maps are redrawn and after so that your redistricting committee can demonstrate total transparency in your dealings with ALL NC voters.</p>
09/08/2021 01:26 PM	Ms. Karen Copeland	Micah 6.8 Team at Highland United Methodist Church, Raleigh	<p>It is necessary to draw new districts because the population of NC has increased significantly. It is most important that the new lines be drawn in a non-partisan and logical way. Districts should include entire counties rather than picking out pieces from several non-contiguous areas and putting them together in order to give advantage to one party or the other. The right to have free and fair elections is essential to our democracy. It is not democratic to have one party redraw the districts to give them an unfair advantage. As an example, I have observed the Republicans putting two large counties into one district, which effectively cut a very competent Democratic public office holder. Separately, the counties could be represented by two fine public servants, but combining the two counties eliminated one of them. This is neither fair or democratic. The process should be carried out by an objective party.</p>
09/08/2021 01:27 PM	Debbi Schwartz		<p>Please note that you are holding the hearing for Durham County on September 15, which is Yom Kippur, the holiest day in the Jewish year.</p>
09/08/2021 01:43 PM	Ms Diane Arbour		<p>We all know that both Democrats and Republican can not resist taking advantage of their power when they are the majority. They all want to leverage their power to make certain they can remain in power. There are many ways in which they can do this. We now have the opportunity to remove one of those power grabbing moves by making the redistricting process totally non-partisan. District redrawing should be done by totally non-partisan groups who will not consider political party, race, or any discriminating factors. Let's make our elections fair and let EVERY vote count!</p>
09/08/2021 01:51 PM	Mrs Patricia Levitin		<p>Please keep District 6 together and not gerrymandering</p>
09/08/2021 02:44 PM	joanne comito		<p>Please stop with the gerrymandering! Asheville represented by a far right instigator of insurrection and ignorance? Disgraceful.</p>
09/08/2021 03:08 PM	Dan Mosca		<p>Would like to learn more about redistricting for all NC House, Senate and Congressional Districts.</p>
09/08/2021 03:10 PM	Ms Fran Golden		<p>We must preserve fair districts so that both parties have an equal chance at attracting eligible voters. It is completely unfair to gerrymander districts to the point that only one party is able to attract voters. Please don't do this again! Thank you for your attention.</p>
09/08/2021 03:10 PM	Mr Timothy Thomason		<p>Isn't it about time to end these partisan gerrymandered districts, and go back to letting the people choose their representation rather than these representatives choosing their voters.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>This is the essence of what's wrong with our country today, and the extreme divisiveness, it's really got to end. United we stand Divided we fall.....</p>
09/08/2021 03:12 PM	Rev. Craig Schaub	Parkway United Church of Christ	<p>I'm concerned that there was only a short time to sign up to give testimony in tonight's hearing in Caldwell County and there is still no place to sign up for speaking at Tuesday's hearing in Forsyth County. This process should be easy and transparent, and, frankly, it's anything but that. Let the people participate!</p>
09/08/2021 03:13 PM	Pat Levitin		<p>Please demo not gerrymander the districts and keep Guilford County together like we have now- District 6. Please do not split up our county.</p>
09/08/2021 03:18 PM	Mr. James McKeny		<p>All districts in the State of North Carolina should be drawn based solely upon population regardless of race or political affiliation. Anything contrary to that is both un-American and should be viewed as anti-democracy.</p>
09/08/2021 03:23 PM	Karen Forster	Retired educator	<p>Please keep the Sixth Congressional District boundaries relatively intact (as they were drawn for the 2020 election). The way the boundaries were drawn prior to 2020 did not make sense.</p>
09/08/2021 03:25 PM	Ms Gloria Good		<p>In the 2020 election, a 25-year-old demagogue with literally no experience beat an adult with a military background and a solid resume. I would call that a "safe" Republican seat.</p> <p>Is gerrymandering good for Republicans? It certainly isn't good for Rep. Madison Cawthorn's constituents. He bragged that his staff was dedicated "around comms rather than legislation." Unfortunately, he is actually bad at "comms." I would prefer legislation to "cry more, libs" tweets and maybe another seditious insurrection.</p> <p>Is gerrymandering good for the Republican party? I doubt a smart and competent party would choose to have Madison Cawthorn become its de facto face. Gerrymandering causes extremes. When one party has a monopoly, in its thoughtless quest for power that party eventually overreaches and destroys its own power. So, no, it's not good for the Republican party.</p> <p>Obviously, I don't think it's good for the country, either. But I thought an appeal to your self-interest might work better than an appeal for democracy.</p>
09/08/2021 03:32 PM	Mrs Beverly McCabe		<p>Shame on the Republican legislators in North Carolina!</p>
09/08/2021 03:45 PM	Matt Smither		<p>Enough with the partisan redistricting. It's time for voters to chose their representatives, not the other way around. I'm a lifelong North Carolinian and have supported members of both the Republican and Democratic parties. I am tired of this habitual fight between the parties. Stop partisan gerrymandering and move redistricting to a non-partisan commission. Please, make North Carolina a place that is not run by either Democrats or Republicans, but a place where all of us as residents have a fair and equal representation.</p>
09/08/2021 03:56 PM	Ms Linda Sutton		<p>When will the portal be open to sign up to speak at the Forsyth public hearing?</p>
09/08/2021 04:02 PM	Rev. David Partington	Retired Presbyterian, PC(USA) pastor from First Presbyterian and Westminister Presbyterian Churches, Greensboro, NC	<p>The 2021 Redistricting procedure in our state is an opportunity for North Carolinians to know that every consideration for justice and equal opporunity for all authorized citizens to vote will be a top priority. May North Carolina shine forth as a state with voting districts that are devoid of any gerrymandering, racial prejudice or unfair partisan politics. This is an opportunity for us to LET OUR LIGHT SHINE!</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/08/2021 04:02 PM	Rev. David Partington	Retired Presbyterian, PC(USA) pastor from First Presbyterian and Westminister Presbyterian Churches, Greensboro, NC	The 2021 Redistricting procedure in our state is an opportunity for North Carolinians to know that every consideration for justice and equal opportunity for all authorized citizens to vote will be a top priority. May North Carolina shine forth as a state with voting districts that are devoid of any gerrymandering, racial prejudice or unfair partisan politics. This is an opportunity for us to LET OUR LIGHT SHINE!
09/08/2021 04:03 PM	Dr Christine Fearing	Retired	Redistricting only benefits the Republicans; not the general public. It must be stopped.
09/08/2021 04:12 PM	Mrs Ursula Wuerth		With your history of unconstitutional gerrymandering we have reason to be very concerned how you will handle redistricting this time. I hope that you will be fair and just so that we, as NCcitizens can be confident that you will be impartial and not biased as to your own political orientation.
09/08/2021 04:12 PM	Dr Minta Phillips	N/A	<p>After the Census Bureau released detailed population and demographic data from the 2020 census recently, states and local governments begin the once-a-decade process of drawing new voting district boundaries known as redistricting. And gerrymandering – when those boundaries are drawn with the intention of influencing who gets elected – is likely the result.</p> <p>The current redistricting cycle will be the first since the Supreme Court’s 2019 ruling that gerrymandering for party advantage cannot be challenged in federal court, which has set the stage for perhaps the most ominous round of map drawing in the country’s history.</p> <p>Here are six things to know about partisan gerrymandering and how it impacts our democracy.</p> <p>Gerrymandering is deeply undemocratic. Every 10 years, North Carolina redraws our legislative and congressional district lines following the census. Because communities change, redistricting is critical to our democracy: maps must be redrawn to ensure that districts are equally populated, comply with laws such as the Voting Rights Act, and are otherwise representative of a state’s population. Done right, redistricting is a chance to create maps that, in the words of John Adams, are an “exact portrait, a miniature” of the people as a whole.</p> <p>But sometimes the process is used to draw maps that put a thumb on the scale to manufacture election outcomes that are detached from the preferences of voters. Rather than voters choosing their representatives, gerrymandering empowers politicians to choose their voters. This tends to occur especially when line-drawing is left to legislatures and one political party controls the process, as has become increasingly common. When that happens, partisan concerns take precedence over all else. That produces maps where electoral results are virtually guaranteed even in years where the party drawing maps has a bad year.</p> <p>There are multiple ways to gerrymander. While legislative and congressional district shapes may look wildly different from state to state, most attempts to gerrymander can best be understood through the lens of two basic techniques: cracking and packing.</p> <p>Cracking splits groups of people with similar characteristics, such as voters of the same party affiliation, across multiple districts. With their voting strength divided, these groups struggle to elect their preferred candidates in any of the districts. Packing is the opposite of cracking: map drawers cram certain groups of voters into as few districts as possible. In these</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>few districts, the “packed” groups are likely to elect their preferred candidates, but the groups’ voting strength is weakened everywhere else.</p>
			<p>Gerrymandering has a real impact on the balance of power in Congress and many state legislatures. In 2010, Republicans – in an effort to control the drawing of congressional maps – forged a campaign to win majorities in as many state legislatures as possible. It was wildly successful, giving them control over the drawing of 213 congressional districts. The redrawing of maps that followed produced some of the most extreme gerrymanders in history. In battleground Pennsylvania, for example, the congressional map gave Republicans a virtual lock on 13 of the state’s 18 congressional districts, even in elections where Democrats won the majority of the statewide congressional vote.</p>
			<p>Nationally, extreme partisan bias in congressional maps gave Republicans a net 16 to 17 seat advantage for most of last decade. Michigan, North Carolina, and Pennsylvania alone – the three states with the worst gerrymanders in the last redistricting cycle – accounted for 7 to 10 extra Republican seats in the House.</p>
			<p>On the state level, gerrymandering has also led to significant partisan bias in maps. For example, in 2018, Democrats in Wisconsin won every statewide office and a majority of the statewide vote, but thanks to gerrymandering, won only 36 of the 99 seats in the state assembly.</p>
			<p>Though Republicans were the primary beneficiaries of gerrymandering last decade, Democrats have also used redistricting for partisan ends: in Maryland, for instance, Democrats used control over map-drawing to eliminate one of the state’s Republican congressional districts.</p>
			<p>Regardless of which party is responsible for gerrymandering, it is ultimately the public who loses out. Rigged maps make elections less competitive, in turn making even more Americans feel like their votes don’t matter.</p>
			<p>Gerrymandering affects all Americans, but its most significant costs are borne by communities of color. Residential segregation and racially polarized voting patterns, especially in southern states, mean that targeting communities of color can be an effective tool for creating advantages for the party that controls redistricting. This is true regardless of whether it is Democrats or Republicans drawing the maps.</p>
			<p>The Supreme Court’s 2019 decision in <i>Rucho v. Common Cause</i> greenlighting partisan gerrymandering has made things worse. The Voting Rights Act and the Constitution prohibit racial discrimination in redistricting. But because there often is correlation between party preference and race, <i>Rucho</i> opens the door for Republican-controlled states to defend racially discriminatory maps on grounds that they were permissibly discriminating against Democrats rather than impermissibly discriminating against Black, Latino, or Asian voters.</p>
			<p>Targeting the political power of communities of color is also often a key element of partisan gerrymandering. This is especially the case in the South, where white Democrats are a comparatively small part of the electorate and often live, problematically from the standpoint of a gerrymanderer, very close to white Republicans. Even with slicing and dicing, discriminating against white Democrats only moves the political dial so much. Because of residential segregation, it is much easier for map drawers to pack or crack communities of color to achieve maximum political advantage.</p>
			<p>Gerrymandering is getting worse. Gerrymandering is a political tactic nearly as old as the United States. In designing Virginia’s very first congressional map, Patrick Henry attempted to draw district boundaries that would block his rival, James Madison, from winning a seat. But gerrymandering has also changed dramatically since the founding: today, intricate computer algorithms and sophisticated data about voters allow map drawers to game redistricting on a massive scale with surgical precision. Where</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/08/2021 04:12 PM	Dr Minta Phillips	N/A	<p>gerrymanderers once had to pick from a few maps drawn by hand, they now can create and pick from thousands of computer-generated maps.</p> <p>Gerrymandering also looks likely to get worse because the legal framework governing redistricting has not kept up with demographic changes. Before, most people of color in the country's metro areas lived in highly segregated cities. Today, however, a majority of Black, Latino, and Asian Americans live in diverse suburbs. This change has given rise to powerful new multiracial voting coalitions outside cities such as Atlanta, Dallas, and Houston that have won or come close to winning power. Yet the Supreme Court has not granted these multiracial coalition districts the same legal protections as majority-minority districts, making them a key target for dismantling by partisan map drawers.</p> <p>We must stand for true democratic fair representation. Thank you.</p> <p>After the Census Bureau released detailed population and demographic data from the 2020 census recently, states and local governments begin the once-a-decade process of drawing new voting district boundaries known as redistricting. And gerrymandering – when those boundaries are drawn with the intention of influencing who gets elected – is likely the result.</p> <p>The current redistricting cycle will be the first since the Supreme Court's 2019 ruling that gerrymandering for party advantage cannot be challenged in federal court, which has set the stage for perhaps the most ominous round of map drawing in the country's history.</p> <p>Here are six things to know about partisan gerrymandering and how it impacts our democracy.</p> <p>Gerrymandering is deeply undemocratic. Every 10 years, North Carolina redraws our legislative and congressional district lines following the census. Because communities change, redistricting is critical to our democracy: maps must be redrawn to ensure that districts are equally populated, comply with laws such as the Voting Rights Act, and are otherwise representative of a state's population. Done right, redistricting is a chance to create maps that, in the words of John Adams, are an "exact portrait, a miniature" of the people as a whole.</p> <p>But sometimes the process is used to draw maps that put a thumb on the scale to manufacture election outcomes that are detached from the preferences of voters. Rather than voters choosing their representatives, gerrymandering empowers politicians to choose their voters. This tends to occur especially when line-drawing is left to legislatures and one political party controls the process, as has become increasingly common. When that happens, partisan concerns take precedence over all else. That produces maps where electoral results are virtually guaranteed even in years where the party drawing maps has a bad year.</p> <p>There are multiple ways to gerrymander. While legislative and congressional district shapes may look wildly different from state to state, most attempts to gerrymander can best be understood through the lens of two basic techniques: cracking and packing.</p> <p>Cracking splits groups of people with similar characteristics, such as voters of the same party affiliation, across multiple districts. With their voting strength divided, these groups struggle to elect their preferred candidates in any of the districts. Packing is the opposite of cracking: map drawers cram certain groups of voters into as few districts as possible. In these few districts, the "packed" groups are likely to elect their preferred candidates, but the groups' voting strength is weakened everywhere else.</p> <p>Gerrymandering has a real impact on the balance of power in Congress and many state legislatures. In 2010, Republicans – in an effort to control the drawing of congressional maps – forged a campaign to win majorities in</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
----------------	------	--------------	----------

as many state legislatures as possible. It was wildly successful, giving them control over the drawing of 213 congressional districts. The redrawing of maps that followed produced some of the most extreme gerrymanders in history. In battleground Pennsylvania, for example, the congressional map gave Republicans a virtual lock on 13 of the state's 18 congressional districts, even in elections where Democrats won the majority of the statewide congressional vote.

Nationally, extreme partisan bias in congressional maps gave Republicans a net 16 to 17 seat advantage for most of last decade. Michigan, North Carolina, and Pennsylvania alone – the three states with the worst gerrymanders in the last redistricting cycle – accounted for 7 to 10 extra Republican seats in the House.

On the state level, gerrymandering has also led to significant partisan bias in maps. For example, in 2018, Democrats in Wisconsin won every statewide office and a majority of the statewide vote, but thanks to gerrymandering, won only 36 of the 99 seats in the state assembly.

Though Republicans were the primary beneficiaries of gerrymandering last decade, Democrats have also used redistricting for partisan ends: in Maryland, for instance, Democrats used control over map-drawing to eliminate one of the state's Republican congressional districts.

Regardless of which party is responsible for gerrymandering, it is ultimately the public who loses out. Rigged maps make elections less competitive, in turn making even more Americans feel like their votes don't matter.

Gerrymandering affects all Americans, but its most significant costs are borne by communities of color. Residential segregation and racially polarized voting patterns, especially in southern states, mean that targeting communities of color can be an effective tool for creating advantages for the party that controls redistricting. This is true regardless of whether it is Democrats or Republicans drawing the maps.

The Supreme Court's 2019 decision in *Rucho v. Common Cause* greenlighting partisan gerrymandering has made things worse. The Voting Rights Act and the Constitution prohibit racial discrimination in redistricting. But because there often is correlation between party preference and race, *Rucho* opens the door for Republican-controlled states to defend racially discriminatory maps on grounds that they were permissibly discriminating against Democrats rather than impermissibly discriminating against Black, Latino, or Asian voters.

Targeting the political power of communities of color is also often a key element of partisan gerrymandering. This is especially the case in the South, where white Democrats are a comparatively small part of the electorate and often live, problematically from the standpoint of a gerrymanderer, very close to white Republicans. Even with slicing and dicing, discriminating against white Democrats only moves the political dial so much. Because of residential segregation, it is much easier for map drawers to pack or crack communities of color to achieve maximum political advantage.

Gerrymandering is getting worse.

Gerrymandering is a political tactic nearly as old as the United States. In designing Virginia's very first congressional map, Patrick Henry attempted to draw district boundaries that would block his rival, James Madison, from winning a seat. But gerrymandering has also changed dramatically since the founding: today, intricate computer algorithms and sophisticated data about voters allow map drawers to game redistricting on a massive scale with surgical precision. Where gerrymanderers once had to pick from a few maps drawn by hand, they now can create and pick from thousands of computer-generated maps.

Gerrymandering also looks likely to get worse because the legal framework governing redistricting has not kept up with demographic changes. Before, most people of color in the country's metro areas lived in highly segregated cities. Today,

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>however, a majority of Black, Latino, and Asian Americans live in diverse suburbs. This change has given rise to powerful new multiracial voting coalitions outside cities such as Atlanta, Dallas, and Houston that have won or come close to winning power. Yet the Supreme Court has not granted these multiracial coalition districts the same legal protections as majority-minority districts, making them a key target for dismantling by partisan map drawers.</p>
09/08/2021 04:14 PM	Wendy Greene		<p>We must stand for true democratic fair representation. Thank you.</p> <p>Please draw fair maps that represent the voices of all the people in North Carolina. "Safe" districts aren't good for either party. These safe districts lead to more radical members of each party winning the primary and thus the seat. Most NC folks are middle of the road people leaning a bit left or right. We deserve a government that represents this. You don't have to do a lot of work to make this happen. Lots of folks have looked into ways to evaluate maps and determine how fair they are. The Princeton Gerrymandering project is a great resource! Use it. https://gerrymander.princeton.edu/</p>
09/08/2021 04:22 PM	Ms. Mary Moretz	Retired	<p>North Carolina has several requirements regarding redistricting. While they may need to be strengthened, the overriding issue is "will our State Legislators cleverly work to abide by the letter of the law but not the spirit of the law?"</p> <p>Gerrymandering is the result of not going by the spirit of the law, and gerrymandering is arrogance on steroids. When it is practiced, and it has been practiced by both major political parties, it is saying that the people in power know better than the little people, i.e., the voter.</p> <p>It is true that we little people, or the voters, get it wrong on occasion, but we hurriedly correct our mistake.</p> <p>Gerrymandering is dishonest. To use an old-fashioned term, it is a sin. It breaks the commandment of "thou shalt not steal." Many of us would like to see North Carolina move toward having a non-partisan commission draw the new Legislative and Congressional districts using proven criteria for drawing the lines.</p>
09/08/2021 04:35 PM	Mr Randall Kaplan	Capsule Group, LLC	<p>The current 6th District is perfect from the perspective of having all of Guilford County and Kernersville and the City of Winston Salem. This is the core of the Piedmont and has similar urban issues and economic challenges. If any change is contemplated, please do not break up Guilford County. The needs of the county are aligned and we need a representative that will represent the county's unique needs and interests. The needs differ dramatically from those of rural counties or other cities in the research triangle like Durham. Greensboro, High Point, and the county were the hub of manufacturing for NC, and have been working to reinvent themselves since many of the factories closed in the early 2000's. They are also urban and have distinct urban issues.</p> <p>It is in the best interests of the citizens of NC to leave the 6th District as is or to at a minimum keep Guilford county within the same district.</p>
09/08/2021 04:39 PM	Ms. Caren Rabinowitz		<p>I am very disturbed that redistricting will be in the hands of the Republican dominated legislature. They will gerrymander districts to make sure no Democrat can be elected.</p>
09/08/2021 04:55 PM	Ms Michelle Belanger	citizen	<p>I urge, and challenge, you to hold more public meetings and create opportunities for your constituents to participate and have input throughout this process of drawing and adjusting our voting districts. The number and location of meetings on the schedule at this point are far less than adequate, and there are no options available for input after the initial maps are drawn. If you care about all the people in this state and wish to represent those both inside and outside your party, you can show it by being willing to listen to people throughout this process and take seriously their concerns.</p>
09/08/2021 05:17 PM	Mrs. Cama Merritt	I live at Arbor Acres Retirement Community	<p>Redistricting seems to invite drawing the lines to benefit the party in control of drawing the districts with results that stretch out districts in odd shapes. I recommend that the lines be drawn by a panel that is chosen in a way to include only nonpartisan experts who have the goal of avoiding splitting towns and counties where possible.</p>
09/08/2021 05:28 PM	3365806245 Jackie Wilson		<p>Plans should be non-partisan. They should define an integral space. Gerrymandering must end.</p>
09/08/2021 06:51 PM	Mrs Carol Weaver	Private citizen	<p>Is it true that there is no opportunity for the public to participate virtually in the September Redistricting hearings? If that is the case, you should already have noticed, after wasting \$10.79 of taxpayers' dollars, that drawing maps in the dark harms</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/08/2021 07:06 PM	Ms Pamela Dykstra		NC voters. Have you noticed that NC is still not observing CDC guidelines as recommended; hence, many need a virtual option.
09/08/2021 07:06 PM	Ms Pamela Dykstra		As you redistrict, please review districts and where necessary redraw them in order to address any changes in population concentration.
09/08/2021 07:20 PM	Mrs. Barbara Farrell		Please do not gerrymander because it undermines democracy. It maximizes the effect of supporters' votes and minimizes the effect of opponents' votes. America is in the throws of divisiveness. Please step forward and begin to minimize the polarization.
09/08/2021 07:20 PM	Mrs. Barbara Farrell		<p>As a longtime Summerfield resident, public school elementary school librarian and mother of four, I am writing to ask for a different configuration of the NC House map for Guilford County that will result in better representation of my community in NW Guilford County. The current NC House map has my Summerfield neighborhood, which is right off Highway 220 near the intersection with Hwy 150, drawn in a district (HD62) that also contains a long slice of High Point which extends close to the southernmost boundary of Guilford County. This House district not only splits up the town of Summerfield, but it also draws us into a district much of which does not share common interests, traits or problems.</p>
09/08/2021 07:20 PM	Mrs. Barbara Farrell		<p>My community, and those of my neighbors, largely revolves around the public schools in the NW and the commercial establishments along Highway 220, extending into Greensboro, where many Summerfield residents work. From the standpoint of a relatively small House district, which should include about 80,000 people, I have much more in common with other residents who live along Highway 220, including those who live in Greensboro. We shop, eat, buy groceries, worship and attend cultural events largely in Greensboro. We are frustrated by the same construction projects along Highway 220 and appreciate the road improvements when they are complete. We use the parks and trails in Greensboro as well as those in our small town. We share much more in common with other residents along the 220 corridor than we do with anyone in High Point. Some people consider Summerfield to be a "bedroom community" to Greensboro.</p>
09/08/2021 07:20 PM	Mrs. Barbara Farrell		<p>High Point residents have very distinct needs and interests from Summerfield residents. They have the impact of the twice yearly International Home Furnishings Market. They likely don't shop, travel or do business along the NW portion of Highway 220. They have distinct challenges with their public schools that we don't have in our community.</p>
09/08/2021 07:20 PM	Mrs. Barbara Farrell		<p>Please reconsider the NC House map for Guilford County, so that towns like Summerfield are kept whole and are contained in a NC House district with other residents who live, work and play up and down the Highway 220 corridor.</p>
09/08/2021 07:21 PM	Mr Carlos Mir		<p>Thank you for your time and consideration,</p>
09/08/2021 07:21 PM	Mr Carlos Mir		<p>Barbara Farrell</p>
09/08/2021 07:21 PM	Mr Carlos Mir		<p>We live in a world of many people with many ideas. Our strength should lie in including all our neighbors in selecting our representatives. Our country faces many challenges, but we can weather them better together as complete citizens than of serfs of a landed class.</p>
09/08/2021 07:28 PM	Randall Byers		<p>My name is Randy Byers and i live in Greensboro NC. We live in one of the worst gerrymandered districts in the country. I fail to see how you can view yourselves as American. Democracy depends upon votes counting equally. GOP efforts to undermine democracy and the American way are at an all time high. Dont be a tool. What am i saying? You cant help yourself. Let me help you.</p>
09/08/2021 07:44 PM	Ms. Lynne Walter MSW		<p>To the Redistricting Committee,</p>
09/08/2021 07:44 PM	Ms. Lynne Walter MSW		<p>As a Wake County resident, I am utterly dismayed that no redistricting public hearings are taking place in Wake County. Well over 1.1 million people live in Wake County, yet there is no redistricting public hearing here. This is also unfair to neighboring</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>counties, like Johnston and Franklin, who instead of driving to Wake County for a hearing, will also now have to drive farther to make their voices heard.</p> <p>Furthermore, I am perplexed why, when North Carolina has 100 counties, there are only 13 public hearings. I also also perplexed as to why, in 2021 when we have all been participating in virtual meeting and events and video conferences (and when, in fact, the NCGA itself met virtually for months and months), that virtual attendance and participation is also not an option. Such an important process must be as accessible as possible to the people of North Carolina. I strongly urge the Redistricting Committee to hold more public hearings, to have each public hearing also have a virtual attendance and participation option, and to let the people of North Carolina make their voices heard.</p>
09/08/2021 07:52 PM	Independent Commission Ian Fraher		Stop with politicians choosing their voters. Redistricting should be in the care of people who do not seek power for themselves. Districts should be centered around concentrations of the population. Neighbors and folks who shop at the same grocery store or watch the same local news station should also share the same representatives. But will any changes to the redistricting process be made in our state? Doubtful, for power corrupts.
09/08/2021 08:14 PM	Jesus Christ		Destin Hall has a tiny penis. Tinier than Tim Moore's
09/08/2021 08:32 PM	Mr. Robert Fulkerson	Citizen of North Carolina	The Fox should not build the hen house. The history of both Republicans and Democrats proves that they are incapable of creating fair and just districts. An independent commission, such as those used in Michigan, Hawaii, or Colorado, has the best chance of creating non-partisan district boundaries, and the best chance of benefiting the people of the state rather than providing political advantage.
09/08/2021 08:34 PM	Audra Killingsworth		I am asking for a nonpartisan redistricting process for our entire state. Our residents deserve to be accurately represented.
09/08/2021 09:04 PM	Linda Furr	NC Retirement Centers	Extremely important issue.
09/08/2021 09:13 PM	Mrs. Michele Ciulla-Strange		<p>North Carolina has a history of gerrymandering, unlawfully drawn maps, and a concerted effort to "win" elections at any cost.</p> <p>As a voter, my confidence is low that the maps will be drawn fairly, but my hope is high that you will stop trying to silence the majority.</p>
09/08/2021 09:59 PM	Ms. Carol Orticari	Tetired	They are stealing our governmental rights. Vote them out!
09/08/2021 10:36 PM	Mr. Donald Rumph	Retired	Well, here we go again. Much like grade school, the bigger kids are picking thr team. The smaller, less athletic kids always are the other team.It really is no different than this state's and nation's biggest flaw - PARTISAN GERRYMANDERING. And it has to be stopped, and soon. Neither political party should be allowed to stoop to such a childish level. It is the VOTERS who are supposed to pick the winners. The politicians don't pick the winner. The voting public does pick the winner. If it doesn't work out, next election someone else gets the job.
09/08/2021 11:06 PM	Mr. Michel Bennett	USN Retired	Please explain why the district has to change. It galls me to see what is supposed to be intelligent people trying to cut people out of their vote. This will work agents you.
09/09/2021 01:37 AM	Mr Roger Pociask		I live in the area outside of Winston Salem in Davidson County but I have a Winston Salem mailing address. My election districts are gerrymandered to the point of ridiculous racist division. Please for once, can we come together in community to do the right thing and represent people honestly and fairly. Will the corrupt power grabs ever come to an end? Will the shallow politics of rage which arise from a lack of substance but which give a short lived high ever be countered with sanity? Has our government any dignity? You all know the truth. What will you do? Please take the path of honor. Why am I not holding my breath?
09/09/2021	Stephen Graf		I am a farmer that lives in Cedar Grove NC. Over the last decade or so I have noticed that my state representatives have not

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
05:50 AM			<p>shared many of my values or priorities. I can't help but wonder if that is due to how they got elected. Gerrymandering is a disgraceful and antidemocratic. I have been embarrassed for north Carolina as its leaders have debased themselves in pursuit of their political greed. And I have been disheartened to see how little regard they have for what was supposed to be our great experiment in democracy.</p> <p>You have another opportunity to make things right. I encourage you to work for the benefit of all North Carolinians, not for yourself. In the long run, you have no political future if America and North Carolina are wrecked by your greed. Do something to make the world a better place. Allow the voting districts of North Carolina to reflect the people that live in our state.</p>
09/09/2021 06:21 AM	David HILL		<p>Please conduct fair and transparent redistricting this year. Communities should not be split for political advantage, Redistricting has been a partisan process for far too long. The current process is subject to constant legal challenges due to the partisan nature of the process. We can do better. Take it away from the General Assembly and give it to an independent commission, via the Fair Maps Act. NC citizens need input in every step of the redistricting process whenever it occurs. Thank you.</p>
09/09/2021 06:49 AM	Ms Judy White		<p>Since 2010 when republicans won majority in North Carolina they have taken a sledge hammer to democratic districts. Groups like Alec (Art Pope, Koch brothers,Heritage House,etc.) continue to fund the republican politicians to destroy fair and balanced districts. This has got to stop or our democracy will continue to decline and the extreme right people (QAnon, oath keepers and proud boys) will win elections.</p>
09/09/2021 07:56 AM	Mrs. Lynn Fountain	n/a	<p>To be FAIR to ALL, STOP, THINK AND CONSIDER. You have a VERY IMPORTANT JOB. Fairness ground rules!</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NO "packing" 2. NO "cracking" 3. DO increase District SIZE (reducing number of districts) 4. Go to Multi-member districts 5. Establish Ranked Priority Voting (already used in two recent elections). <p>THE VOTERS WILL THANK YOU because this is most fair to all voters regardless of affiliation. Thank you for the opportunity to be part of this critical process.</p>
09/09/2021 08:26 AM	Redistributing meetings Elizabeth Weinschel		<p>I live in Buncombe County, in Leicester which is close to Ashville. I wish to know where is the closest public meeting that I can attend in person? It seems Buncombe County was conveniently ignored.</p>
09/09/2021 08:52 AM	Honorable Joseph E. Turner		<p>Districts should be roughly equal, compact and and follow along neighborhood, geographic or existing political lines</p>
09/09/2021 09:01 AM	Britany Eiseler		<p>I am a resident of High Point who has been active as a volunteer in several campaigns for public office. It is a concern to me that the NC Senate maps over the last decade have either cracked or packed the neighborhoods of predominantly Black residents of High Point. The current NC Senate map cracks the city of High Point, and draws many Black voters into a district with rural Randolph County. These High Point voters are a minority in the district and their voices are not heard in matters like closing the Medicaid coverage gap, increasing the minimum wage, and increasing resources for our public schools.</p> <p>In previous NC Senate maps, areas with a high concentration of Black voters in High Point were drawn into a Senate seat along with Greensboro voters, separating them from the rest of High Point. High Point needs to be kept whole in a NC Senate district so its needs can be centered, properly represented and attended to in the Legislature. It feels like it is not a coincidence that these Black voters keep being drawn out of a district with other High Point residents.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>High Point is the home of the High Point Furniture Market, which brings benefits as well as challenges. High Point has severe hunger and housing insecurity. Because our city extends into 4 counties we essentially compete for public school students, putting extra pressure on our schools.</p> <p>We need one State Senator for High Point to represent all of us in the General Assembly. Not only do we need someone to champion the needs of the High Point Furniture Market, we need someone who will show attention and concern for the residents whose voices have gone unheard in the past because they've been districted with Randolph County or Greensboro residents.</p> <p>High Point is big enough to be an anchor of a Senate district that will keep High Point whole.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
09/09/2021 09:10 AM	Anna Reilly	voter and community volunteer	<p>I am a resident of Winston Salem. Our community is a text book example of the racists and economic consequences of Red-lining and Redistricting at every level of government. Winston Salem is diverse urban community already overly governed by all white County Commission - most of its members live outside our community in the rural suburbs, and they do not represent the interests of Winston Salem. However, our current congressional district is much more representative of our community's demographic and forward thinking DNA. As a purple state, we need our federal representation to better reflect the facts on the ground. And as a southern state which lead the pack is virtually ever metric before 2010- (education achievement, economic development, health care, we have suffered a sad and devastating decline at the hands of the legislature that drew the lines in 2010. We cannot allow them to exercise their "surgical precision" this time around.</p>
09/09/2021 09:45 AM	Robby Dunnagan		<p>I am of the strong opinion that redistricting should be a non- partisan activity. The focus should be on keeping counties/ neighborhoods together as much as possible within the guidelines of creating districts with equal populations. This really should not be too difficult, if these are truly the objectives.</p>
09/09/2021 10:28 AM	Cornelia Maxted		<p>I support fair redistricting and easy access to voting for all citizens of NC.</p>
09/09/2021 12:33 PM	Mayor Leonard Filyaw	Mayor Town Of Teachey N.C.	<p>Redistricting must be done in fair manner. not to exclude any american or diminish persons of color as in past Jim crow days in American history.</p>
09/09/2021 12:41 PM	Mr Jon Russell		<p>While I applaud the attempts to make this round of redistricting more "open", we all know what's going to happen: the party in power is going to draw a map that entrenches their power for the next decade. They're going to make themselves unaccountable to the people by drawing maps that make elections uncompetitive. And then they'll get sued over some obscure criterion or accused of not following the voting rights act.</p> <p>There's another way: instead of gerrymandering the maps to be rigidly uncompetitive, gerrymander them to be as competitive as possible! Make each district, on both the federal and state level, as close to 50/50 as you can make them. While a few districts will need to be "packed" for one party or the other, most will have near 50/50 constituents. Not only would this get rid of all pesky election complaints, it would also make politicians' conduct reflective of the popular will, and would also make voters' votes count more than ever. Truly there would be no reason not to vote!</p>
09/09/2021 01:06 PM	Ms Judy Baker	none	<p>The redistricting in this state so far has been abysmal. I am a total advocate for an independent entity to draw district lines with no party affiliation involved and drawn along geographical lines that make sense.</p>
09/09/2021 02:04 PM	Ms. (former Mayor) Lois M Winstead	Roxboro/ Person County Citizen	<p>Historically, most of our traffic for work or pleasure, entertainment, education or shopping,etc. is within the Durham /Wake/ Orange County Triangle area. We subscribe to their TV & Newspapers & have family and friends in the area. We are members of the Triangle area EDC. Our young people attend the Universities in the Triangle like UNC CH, NC STATE, NC CENTRAL, SHAW, MEREDITH & Peace Williams & Campbell, etc...For years, We in Person County have been forgotten except to toss around from one end of the State all the way to the other - the Tennessee line. as nameless numbers in various Congressional Districts. Please place Person County in the Triangle Congressional District where we live</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			and work and vote. Thank you. Lois M. Winstead

From: "Ann Raeford (Rep. Kelly Alexander)" <Ann.Raeford@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/20/2021 8:35:30 PM -0400
To: "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Address for Public Hearing

Hi Neal, I trust all is well. Do you have the addresses for the Redistricting Public Hearing. I know I had it, but I must have deleted it. Can you help?

Ann Raeford
Legislative Assistant
Rep. Kelly Alexander, Jr.
919-733-5778
Room 404
kelly.alexander@ncleg.gov

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)"
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/20/2021 12:24:33 PM -0400
To: "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)"
<Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>; "Andrew Stiffel (Sen. Paul
Newton)" <Andrew.Stiffel@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; "Neal
Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>;
"Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office)"
<Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; "Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's
Office)" <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; "Brian Fork (President
Pro Tem's Office)" <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; "Andre Beliveau
(Sen. Paul Newton)" <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>; "Andy
Perrigo (Sen. Warren Daniel)" <Andy.Perrigo@ncleg.gov>;
"Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)"
<Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Durham County Public Hearing 9/15/21
Attachments: Durham.9.15.21.Speakers.pdf; Durham.online.9.15.21.pdf;
Durham.915.21.Attendance.pdf; Durham.MacKinnon2.2021-09-
16 Presentation Charts.pdf; Durham.MacKinnon3.2021-09-14
New NC H60 and H62.xlsx; Durham.MacKinnon1.2012-09-16
Comments at public hearing_Alamance.pdf

Senators

- Senator Murdock
- Senator Newton
- Senator Nickel
- Senator Sanderson
- Senator Woodard

House Members

- Representative Alston
- Representative Ball
- Representative Dahle
- Representative Garrison
- Representative Gill
- Representative Harrison
- Representative Hawkins
- Representative Jones
- Representative Morey
- Representative Reives
- Representative Torbett, chairing

A gentleman, Dan MacKinnon, also submitted comments via thumb drive; three

documents are attached.

E

Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578

Public Hearing Site

Durham Tech

Public Hearing Date

9.15.21

SPEAKER SHEET

Please print your name below if you wish to comment during the public hearing.

	NAME	ORGANIZATION (optional)
1	Arleza Summs McMillan	Retired
2	Veronica G. Robinson	Durham voter
3	Annette Rice	Durham voter / retired
4	Tom Rokeake	Orange Co. Voter
5	Laura G. Hennessy	Durham NC

	NAME	ORGANIZATION (optional)
6	Angel James-Valdez ✓	
7	Gary Fournery ✓	S&L
8	Jill Mertens ✓	N/A
9	Professor Dawn Frederes ✓	Speak ACADAMIZATION + THE OXFORD METHOD
10	Nicholas Graber-brupp ✓	
11	Kimmshere Buns ✓	

Public Hearing Site

Durham Tech

Public Hearing Date

1.15.21

NAME	ORGANIZATION (optional)
12 NIDA Accram ✓	
13 JOHN BAKER ✓	
14 David Dixon ✓	Durham County Democratic Party
15	
16	
17	

Public Hearing Site _____

Public Hearing Date _____

	NAME	ORGANIZATION (optional)
18	Caitlin Metzger ✓ metzger	
19	Brenda Pollard ✓	
20		
21		
22		
23		

Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College) Public Comment Sign-ups - LINC

Date Submitted	Name	Email	Organization	Category	Time/Location	Topic
09/10/2021 10:58 AM	Gino Nuzzolillo	g.nuzzo123@gmail.com	Durham resident	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/10/2021 01:42 PM	Vicki Parker	vlparker@ncbusinesscouncil.org	North Carolina Business Council	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/10/2021 04:35 PM	Elizabeth Sbrocco	ladybluedevil@gmail.com	None	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/10/2021 09:50 PM	Jennifer Bremer	Bremer.jennifer@gmail.com	self	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/11/2021 12:54 PM	Susan B. McClanahan	susanbmccclanahan@gmail.com	NC citizen	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/11/2021 03:25 PM	William Lucas	wl0230898@gmail.com	All On The Line	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/12/2021 10:49 AM	Anjail Ahmad	anjailpoet@aol.com	NA	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/12/2021	Ryan Jenkins	covingtongrey@gmail.com	Progressive	Redistricting Joint	9/15/2021 6:00	Redistricting Joint

Date Submitted	Name	Email	Organization	Category	Time/Location	Topic
12:12 PM			Caucus, Durham County	Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/12/2021 12:27 PM	John Hollingsworth	iamjohnhollingsworth@gmail.com	motivated citizen	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/12/2021 03:54 PM	Nancy MacLean	nm71@duke.edu	Duke University	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/12/2021 05:19 PM	Diane Hourigan	dianehdds@cs.com	None	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/12/2021 09:49 PM	Gary Whitford	whitford1@aol.com	none	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/12/2021 10:04 PM	James Ramsay	Jramsaync@gmail.com	Individual	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/13/2021 09:49 AM	Jenn Alexander	Jenn.Arsenault.alexander@gmail.com	N/A	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/13/2021 11:33 AM	Nathan Click	north-carolina@standuprepublic.com	Stand Up Republic	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)

Date Submitted	Name	Email	Organization	Category	Time/Location	Topic
09/13/2021 01:24 PM	Aylett Colston	aylettcolston@gmail.com	Raleigh-Apex NAACP	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/13/2021 01:25 PM	Gerald Givens	geraldgivensjr@gmail.com	President, Raleigh-Apex NAACP	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/13/2021 02:04 PM	Gregory Herschlag	gjh@math.duke.edu	Duke University	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/13/2021 02:51 PM	claudia Koonz	ckoonz@duke.edu	Duke History Department	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/13/2021 10:07 PM	Leona Whichard	lpwhichard@bellsouth.net	LWVODC	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/14/2021 07:41 AM	Ivan Almonte	ivalpi13@gmail.com	Durham Rapid Response	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/14/2021 10:27 AM	Melanie Feinberg	dizzy@stanfordalumni.org	n/a	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/14/2021 10:28 AM	Jason Turner	jturner@nonzerosums.com	n/a	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)

Date Submitted	Name	Email	Organization	Category	Time/Location	Topic
09/14/2021 10:34 AM	Shalondra Greenlee	shalondra.r.greenlee@gmail.com	The Greenlee Group	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/14/2021 12:42 PM	Adam Kridler	adam.kridler@gmail.com	N/A	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/14/2021 12:57 PM	Kate Fellman	katefellmann@gmail.com	You Can Vote	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/14/2021 07:12 PM	Nimasheena Burns	burnsnn@gmail.com	Durham County Commission	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/14/2021 07:29 PM	Anderson Clayton	andersonbclayton@gmail.com	Person County Democratic Party	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/14/2021 10:26 PM	Thomas Jeffries	Tljeffries@yahoo.com	Wake County citizen and small Business owner	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/14/2021 10:28 PM	Gary Whitford	garyontheright@aol.com	CORE	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/15/2021 07:31 AM	Aliana Ramos	Aliana.ramos@gmail.com	None	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)

Date Submitted	Name	Email	Organization	Category	Time/Location	Topic
09/15/2021 07:53 AM	Beverly Kawalec	bevkawalec@nc.rr.com	none	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/15/2021 08:38 AM	Floyd McKissick	fmckissick@gmail.com	None	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/15/2021 08:44 AM	Patricia Karim Obregon Lozano	Karim-jdn@hotmail.com	Rapid Response	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/15/2021 11:31 AM	Kyle Brazile	kyle@nccounts.org	NC Counts Coalition	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/15/2021 12:00 PM	Renee Miller	renee@milleracademync.org	Western Wake Republican Club	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/15/2021 12:02 PM	Matt Hughes	matt.hughes@hillsboroughnc.gov	Self	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/15/2021 12:31 PM	David Dixon	dhfdixon@gmail.com	Durham County Democratic Party	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/15/2021 12:40 PM	Lee Mortimer	leemort1@yahoo.com	NA	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)

Date Submitted	Name	Email	Organization	Category	Time/Location	Topic
09/15/2021 12:46 PM	Karen Ziegler	ziegl001@gmail.com	Tuesdays with Tillis Indivisible	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/15/2021 12:54 PM	Laura Eugenia Henaine Bravo	laurahenainebravo@gmail.com	APL	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/15/2021 01:18 PM	Claire Paulson	claire.b.paulson@gmail.com	n/a	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/15/2021 01:29 PM	John Leonard PhD	johnleonardnc@gmail.com	NO LABELS Problem Solvers NC, Common Cause NC	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/15/2021 01:40 PM	Joe Capowski	capowski@email.unc.edu	UNC retired faculty	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)
09/15/2021 01:52 PM	T. Anthony Spearman	Tant98@gmail.com	NC NAACP	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)	9/15/2021 6:00 PM (Durham Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Durham Technical Community College)

VISITOR REGISTRATION SHEET

Joint Senate and House Committee on Redistricting

September 15, 2021

Name of Committee

Date

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW AND RETURN TO COMMITTEE CLERK

NAME	FIRM OR AGENCY AND ADDRESS
Jennifer Premier	League of Women Voters self
Sharon Roggenbuck	
Gino Nuzzolillo	g.nuzzol23@gmail.com
Ricky Leung	
Maria Montesinos	Comite Popular Somos Raleigh
Yolanda Zavala	Comite Popular Somos Raleigh
Ernesto	comite popular Somos Raleigh
Marcitza Henriquez	Comite popular Somos Raleigh
JOE CAPOWSKI	UNC - RETIRED
Izzi Hernandez-Cruz	OSBM

NCGA



NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY

Joint Committee On Redistricting And Elections

Durham Technical Community College

September 15, 2021 - 9:00 PM

PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW

NAME	FIRM OR AGENCY
DeDreana Freeman	City of Durham
Gino Nazzolillo	Self
Aliana Ramos	Self
Rudy Triplett	Self
Jessica Sullivan	Self
Frank diLustro	Self
Briana Braugh	All on the Line
Aylett Colston	Raleigh-Apex NAACP
Gerald Givens Jr	Raleigh-Apex NAACP
Adam Kridler	Self
Diane Hourigan	Self
Dorothy Tucker	Self
Claudia KOONZ	Self
JAMES Ramsay	Self
Bobbie Schultz	Self
Mary Helen Jones	Spectrum News
Lindsay Bohan	Self
Shalondra R. Greenlee	The Greenlee Group
Veronica G Robinson	Self
Annette Rice	Self

NCGA



NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY

Joint Committee On Redistricting And Elections

Durham Technical Community College

September 15, 2021 - 9:00 PM

PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW

NAME	FIRM OR AGENCY
Rusty Jacobs	WUNC
Patricia Rokoske	Carol Woodson CH!
Jenise Busch	—
Tom Rokoske	—
Kyle Brazile	NC Courts Coalition
Tom Johnson	NIA
Leona Whichard	LWV ODC
Gary Whitford	CORE
Ivan Almonte	
Laura Eugenia	
Carida Johnson	
Tyler Dukos	N+U
Tyler Dage	Common Cause NC
Karen Nijgh	
JOHN LEONARD	COMMON CAUSE NC
Leventis Pedro	
THOMAS DEFFRIES	—
T. Anthony Spearman	NC NPAEP
Beverly Kawalec	—
Jason Turner	—

Joint Committee On Redistricting And Elections

Durham Technical Community College

September 15, 2021 - 9:00 PM

PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW

NAME	FIRM OR AGENCY
Angel Jaimes - Valdez	
QUINLAN, MARGARET	
SHARMA, SREENATH.	
Diana Young - Biva	
Elizabeth Sbrocco	
My West	None
Carolyn & Gene Cobb	"
David Eckerman	"
Kate Killman	
Lee Mortimer	None
Ryan Jones	
Gary Foureman	none
Sarah Mattingly	None
NATHAN CLICK	
Darin Wick	None
Jim MERTENS	N/A
Jenna Alexander	N/A
Melanie Feinberg	
Will Doran	N/A
Rep. Terry Garrison	

NCCGA



NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY

Joint Committee On Redistricting And Elections

Durham Technical Community College

September 15, 2021 - 9:00 PM

PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW

NAME	FIRM OR AGENCY
<i>[Signature]</i>	
<i>[Signature]</i>	
ERIC HOGRE	
<i>[Signature]</i>	
PROF DAVID FURQUE	
MAL WATLINGTON	
FRANK B. MCKISSACK JR	
Ismael Rodriguez	
<i>[Signature]</i>	
<i>[Signature]</i>	
<i>[Signature]</i>	
Page Potter	
Nimisha Bums	
LORI MELIERE	
JOE MELIERE	
Sara Mannare	
Clayton Brooks	
Caroline TerVO	
Marina Leone	Duke Law
Anderson Clayton	

NCGA



NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY

Joint Committee On Redistricting And Elections

Durham Technical Community College

September 15, 2021 - 9:00 PM

PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW

NAME	FIRM OR AGENCY
Rathy Malloy	(none)
Dawn Paffenroth	
Susan B. McClanahan	NC citizen
David Larson	NSJ
Jo Ann Amey	—
Herb Amey	
Kyipyas Paing	—
Taylor Knoodl	Dem NC
Crystal Price	CBS 17
Shelia A. Huggins	
Kathleen Robota	Formed Justice
Vicki Parker	NC Business Council
Sherley Dunham	Spectrum News
Nicholas Graber-Grace	NCAB
Lucol Moreno	Democracy NC
JOEL COLONEN	A/A
William Lucas	ADTL
Ingrid Conley	
Brian Kennedy	None
Brianna H. Kennedy	None

NCGA



NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY


Joint Committee On Redistricting And Elections

Durham Technical Community College


September 15, 2021 - 9:00 PM

PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW

NAME	FIRM OR AGENCY
Pauline Englot	
Angeli que Stallings	
Noan Whitfield	
ALAN GRIER	
John Hollingsworth	
Karen Hill	
NIDA ALLAM	
Zach Funky	
Gisele Crawford	
Tim Over	
Brenda Kowertson	
Pital M. H	
Claire Paulson	
Daniel Thompson	
Joseph Dir	
John Tarantino	
Irene Lawrence	
David Dixon	
Caitlin Metzger	
Rev. Rachel H. Green	



Current



District	Pop	Ideal	Dev	White	Black	Hisp	Asian	White %	Black %	Hisp %	Asian %
H60	89,093	86,996	2,097	29,745	36,224	13,853	7,410	33.39%	40.66%	15.55%	8.32%
H62	85,392	86,996	-1,604	47,834	20,705	7,019	7,431	56.02%	24.25%	8.22%	8.70%

New

DISTRICT	Block	BlockGroup	Tract	County	Population	White	Black	Hispanic Or Asian	
H62		37081016	37081016	37081	2767	2072	160	217	223
H60		37081014	37081014	37081	2111	897	519	522	155
H62		37081014	37081014	37081	1421	810	391	109	99
H60		37081014	37081014	37081	1402	842	314	152	71
H62		37081016	37081016	37081	1209	383	624	121	27
H62		37081016	37081016	37081	1123	580	325	189	16
H62		37081014	37081014	37081	1086	322	462	235	54
H60		37081016	37081016	37081	1266	652	381	118	84
H60		37081016	37081016	37081	2407	1420	478	199	208
H62		37081016	37081016	37081	1172	721	171	88	164
H62			37081016	37081	5221	3600	764	295	425
H62			37081016	37081	4557	2542	723	265	885
H62			37081016	37081	4650	2311	777	450	938
H60			37081016	37081	5450	2430	1760	561	517
H60			37081016	37081	2496	1884	335	89	83
H62			37081016	37081	4496	2516	959	314	597
H62			37081016	37081	1972	1637	108	63	88
H60			37081016	37081	6553	4199	1074	685	443
H62			37081016	37081	5239	2460	1661	431	518
H60			37081012	37081	2698	1227	836	418	146
H60			37081012	37081	3914	1001	1578	729	525
H60			37081012	37081	3475	639	1768	718	259
H60			37081012	37081	3806	791	1798	838	319
H60			37081012	37081	2504	420	1179	851	71
H60			37081012	37081	3855	685	2155	852	138
H60			37081012	37081	3219	606	1199	517	808
H62			37081016	37081	4228	2145	1154	361	452
H62			37081016	37081	1770	631	873	166	27
H62			37081016	37081	5733	2256	2409	626	282
H62			37081016	37081	5480	2239	2098	516	507
H60			37081014	37081	2427	596	1170	536	97
H62			37081014	37081	6129	3649	1454	502	361
H62			37081013	37081	4123	3066	509	221	223
H62			37081014	37081	3038	1744	655	338	212
H62			37081016	37081	3380	2513	374	198	222
H60			37081014	37081	1225	523	228	374	89
H60			37081014	37081	2943	610	1119	821	401
H60			37081014	37081	4043	633	2246	749	392
H60			37081013	37081	4111	1481	1681	682	175
H60			37081013	37081	4425	477	3291	463	59
H60			37081013	37081	3977	553	2659	525	151
H60			37081013	37081	4668	3265	762	379	99
H60			37081014	37081	4692	1367	1388	768	1151
H60			37081014	37081	3435	568	1863	510	362
H62			37081014	37081	3974	1857	1369	402	239
H60			37081014	37081	5480	1540	2896	567	366
H62			37081016	37081	3688	2683	459	215	212
H60			37081014	37081	2511	439	1547	230	241
H62			37081016	37081	3484	2419	504	186	252

H62

37081016:37081

5452

2678

1722

511

408

KEEPING HIGH POINT WHOLE

Good afternoon. I'm Don MacKinnon from High Point. With a population of 114,000, High Point is the ninth largest city in North Carolina. In spite of our many challenges, most residents feel that High Point feels like a city and functions like a city. But the redistricting of the past has slashed our city to pieces. As if we did not matter.

I'm here to ask you to keep High Point whole during each step of the redistricting process. Today I'll focus on House seats 60 and 62.

Here is the current map. The ideal population for each House seat is about 87,000, which means High Point cannot constitute one district. But how a city is split lies at the heart of any redistricting effort, anywhere in the country.

High Point is 45.5% White, 54.5% non-White. This map has produced a Black rep in H60 to the south and a White rep in H62 to the north. Both are residents of High Point. But at what cost? What's the problem with this map?

It's not compact. Most important, it pairs High Point voters in H62 with voters in northern Guilford County. In fact, 59% of registered voters in H62 live outside High Point. High Point shares a natural affinity with Greensboro. That anyone in northern Guilford County would have a good understanding of High Point's needs is doubtful. But if H62 stands as it is, we face the possibility of an incumbent who, at some future date, knows nothing about us.

We can do better: this map drawn with Maptitude for Redistricting software. It emphasizes keeping High Point whole: High Point represents about 2/3 of the two districts combined. And we have paired High Point only with voters who live close to our borders.

In conclusion, this new map will probably still elect a Black rep from H60 and a White rep from H62, just as now. The difference? Two districts which offer our residents fairer and more effective representation. Because the districts will be more compact, because voters will be closer to their representatives.

Donald MacKinnon
3648 Village Springs Dr
High Point, NC 27265
(336) 870-3553
mackinnondm@msn.com
NCGA Redistricting Committee
Comments at public hearing, 9/16/21

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)" <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/22/2021 10:35:57 AM -0400
To: Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; "Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton)" <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>; "Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office)" <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; "Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; "Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; "Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)" <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Short get together, please

Redistricting Chairs,

As we are coming to a close of the September public hearing cycle, could schedule a time to all gather together to talk about a few logistical matters for the next phase? Could we shoot for sometime next Wednesday morning, September 29Th?

Thanks,
Erika C

Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 8/3/2021 6:45:08 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Thursday, August 5, 2021 at 2:00 PM (Joint)
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
JOINT COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet **JOINTLY** as follows:

DAY & DATE: Thursday, August 5, 2021

TIME: 2:00 PM

LOCATION: 544 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Discussion of 2021 Redistricting Process.

This will be a joint hearing with the Senate.

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street |
Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 8/5/2021 3:55:21 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Tuesday, August 10, 2021 at 8:30 AM (Joint)
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
JOINT COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet **JOINTLY** as follows:

DAY & DATE: Tuesday, August 10, 2021

TIME: 8:30 AM

LOCATION: 544 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street |
Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)"
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/23/2021 4:02:52 PM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>; SEN - Senate Redistricting & Elections <SEN-SenateRedistrictingElections@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Public Comments, 9/16-9/23/21
Attachments: Public Comment 9.23.21.pdf

All,

Attached please find the written public comments submitted through the NCGA web portal between 9/16/21 and 9/23/21.

Please let me know if there are any questions or concerns.

Thank you,

Erika C

***Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578***

2021 Redistricting Public Comments Public Comments Report - LINC

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/16/2021 03:01 PM	Bettie Liebzeit		A democracy relies on all votes counting in an equitable way. When the North Carolina General Assembly and the United States Congressional districts are drawn in a manner in which the districts are gerrymandered in order to ensure that a political party retains control of those districts, the will of the people is distorted. I am encouraging the House and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committees to use non-partisan information and procedures to ensure that these districts are drawn in an equitable manner. You are all aware of multiple examples of gerrymandering-- including those examples challenged in courts. The best practice would be for North Carolina to have an independent commission submit recommendations for equitable districts. And for the committees to accept those recommendations.
09/16/2021 03:02 PM	Mrs. Jane Hite		I am a resident and voter in Buncombe County, North Carolina. Please keep Warren Wilson College, Swannanoa, North Carolina in the same Federal congressional district, and same North Carolina senate and house districts. I am requesting the same for University of North Carolina, Asheville. Both these communities of higher learning maintain housing for non-local students. In the past some colleges and universities have been split into different voting districts. Please show these young people we value their participation in our democracy by keeping their educational communities whole. Thank you.
09/16/2021 03:24 PM	HILARY CHIZ	resident of Asheville	In addition to the in-person hearings being held now, more should be held across the state after maps are proposed to give citizens a chance to give input on draft maps. All hearings should be in locations that are accessible to all North Carolinians who wish to take part and should also be virtual. As a minimum, hearings should be held in every county with more than 100,000 residents and additional sites should be added to ensure that no one has to drive more than an hour to attend a hearing.
09/16/2021 03:40 PM	Ms Brenda McGee	North Carolina Voter	Please make your plans fair and reasonable. Partisan gerrymandering does not lead to fair representation. Keep spheres of influence together so that they can advocate effectively for their needs. Districts should make sense and be representative.....not drawn to take away the influence from any group. Please do better.
09/16/2021 03:54 PM	Maryanne Shanahan		I am a resident of the precinct of West Burlington, in Burlington, Alamance County. Burlington is a diverse city in a diverse county made up of neighborhoods and communities that rely on one another for support and who share common social, cultural, racial, economic, geographic, or other concerns. These communities have similar legislative interests as well, and that means we can benefit from common representation in government. Please keep my city together inside one House District. Please do not divide our neighborhoods. If divided, it will lose the strength of the neighborhood, of community. It will be fractured. It will foster dysfunction within the community and take away our strength as a community and as voters. It will dilute our voting power and make it difficult to advocate for our own needs.
09/16/2021 04:04 PM	Mr. William Allen		<p>The damaging effects of gerrymandering are seen with increased polarization in government and extreme policies that ignore the will of voters. Black and brown voters have especially been hurt by gerrymandered districts that undermine their voice in our democracy.</p> <p>The courts have made it clear that gerrymandering is unconstitutional in North Carolina, and the public overwhelmingly wants nonpartisan redistricting. To avoid illegal map-rigging, the redistricting process in 2021 must be nonpartisan, with full transparency and robust public input – and be completely free from gerrymandering.</p> <p>There are a variety of nonpartisan redistricting models working in other states. Let's learn from them and create a system that best serves North Carolina. It's time to establish fair redistricting that finally puts people above politics and create a system that best serves North Carolina.</p>
09/16/2021 04:05 PM	Ms Bridget Tarrant		Please consider keeping counties within the same district. If you need to divide a county due to its large population, try to do so and still keep the county divided into the least # of districts as possible. Ex: New Hanover County is currently represented in the NCGA House by 3 different representatives, some reps only cover a small portion of the county, dividing NHC among 2 reps would be best if you are unable to keep NHC within 1 district. Thank you

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/16/2021 04:18 PM	Millicent Bowman		<p>As a native North Carolinian, I am a proponent of fair and equitable for all North Carolinians. The upcoming redistricting is an opportunity to put aside partisan power grabs and restore confidence in our democracy. In this critical time of political polarization, I urge you to rise above voting along party lines and do the right thing. Please consider what is best for all of the citizens of our state. I dream of leaving North Carolina a better place for my children and grandchildren.</p> <p>Sincerely, Millicent Bowman Greensboro</p>
09/16/2021 04:34 PM	Ethan Brown		Redistricting should be independent and not under the control of the legislature. Repeatedly, the general assembly has proven itself unwilling to do the right thing.
09/16/2021 04:34 PM	Marcia Richmond		Shouldn't a city the size of High Point be together as a whole with representation by 1? I think it's time that we be unified for the best results.
09/16/2021 04:47 PM	Elizabeth Hitt		<p>I live in a rural part of southern Alamance county and I would hope that my community would be allowed to remain intact. In the southern part of the county we're dealing with horrible internet access and the ramifications of our county's failure to deal with any real land use planning and/or zoning. Oposition to the Snow Camp mine has been strong, more than 200 people showing up to a meeting . People are very concerned about their drinking water sources being contaminated or destroyed by the mine company's blasting. There are no municipal water lines down here. We are united by our desire to be able to drink water from our wells. People in the northeastern part of the county are concerned about the MVP gas line that threatens their wells and their safety. Many people in Burlington are threatened by the long ignored polution from Western Electric plant. Please don't just chop up our communities. We need to have districts that make sense to the communities. Shared school districts, land use issues in common, service or neglect from public utilities, emergency services, etc. A voice alone has no power, it takes a community to be heard. Please respect our Alamance county communities by keeping them strong and intact.</p>
09/16/2021 05:09 PM	Mr Omega and Brenda Wilson	West End Revitalization Association (WERA)	<p>We live in Mebane, NC which is split by Alamance County and Orange County. Our address is in the Alamance County side. Alamance County is in more than one district, and those districts mapping, and numbers have been changing over the last several elections. Federal court rulings supported by the NAACP is expected to result even more redrawing of maps and possible number assignment for fair and equity. This constant churning results in demolished voter turnouts because voter precinct confusion, where to drop off mail-in ballots, or not mailing in ballots. People have stopped us on our two-mile exercise walks to ask where they vote this year, what district are we in this year. This churning burns voting enthusiasm. The Alamance County current district maps dilutes and divides the voting strength of Black, Indigenous, and Latinx communities voting power even more. Thus, it dilutes and divides the candidates that can be elected to represent their communities' interests in public education, public health, environmental safety, environmental justice, civil rights, housing, women's right, infrastructure upgrades, and toxic waste sites clean-up and regulations. We need fairness and equity in NC redistricting of Alamance County. The West End Revitalization Association (WERA) has been addressing many of these issues for three decades with federal complaints – see weblink at www.weranc.org</p>
09/16/2021 05:31 PM	Peg Parham		<p>It is time for the partisan drawing of lines for redistricting to end. Districts should be square regardless of numbers of partisans, not shaped like pretzels for one party's advantage. I realize that both parties have manipulated by drawing crazy lines. It's time for that to stop now. It is WE THE PEOPLE you were elected to serve, not a particular party. If a party can not win based on its policies, the people are saying they don't agree. Consider changing your policies to better match what WE THE PEOPLE believe in. Drawing partisan redistricting lines is a form of trying to manipulate elections & both parties are supposed to be against that. It's in our Constitution. Please for once consider the desires and needs of the people, not your party.</p>
09/16/2021	John RICE		Dear Legislators:

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
05:48 PM			<p>With an approximate 50/50 Democrat/Republican voter split in NC, it seems like the elected officials would reflect that in our representation on both the state and the federal level. However this is not a fact, regardless of which party is in power. It is long past due to remove politicians from the process of redistricting. The time has come to eliminate partisan gerrymandering.</p>
09/16/2021 06:31 PM	Mrs. Cassandra Mehlhop		<p>Gerrymandering does nothing but cause citizens to feel powerless in a country where every citizen, per the United States Constitution, has the right to have a voice. The residents of Pitt County deserve to have their rights prioritized over politics. The practice of gerrymandering in North Carolina has been obvious in its attempt to manipulate votes in order to push an agenda that does not serve the majority of its residents.</p> <p>I urge the North Carolina General Assembly to make sure that districts are drawn to be compact, and so they do not split up precincts. Having been a poll worker, this will go a long way to avoid confusion among voters. Confusion that is generated intentionally is not acceptable.</p> <p>When it comes establishing rules for society, Philosopher John Rawls' "veil of ignorance" principle should always apply. He suggested that when designing rules for people of all different perspectives, the lawmaker should always design the rule so that no matter which side of it an individual might find themselves on, the rule would be deemed fair. Our legislature seems to be incapable of this, as evidenced by a decade of gerrymandering in our state. This is an unacceptable abuse of power. Our senators and representatives are elected FOR and BY us. We can no longer accept any attempts at redistricting that are not clear, fair, and ethical.</p> <p>Therefore, I urge the General Assembly to proceed with these hearings, but to hold them at various days and times that will make them available to all members of the community. Three o'clock in the afternoon is notably a bad time for most working people and many parents. Furthermore, it is imperative that the redistricting process is 100% transparent. All North Carolina citizens should be entitled to have a voice without it being manipulated for political gain, or for the obvious suppression of certain populations.</p>
09/16/2021 06:50 PM	Mrs. Susan Moore		<p>Redistricting must be a non-partisan process. The history of racism in our NC makes us especially aware of how elections can be engineered. Districts should respect community boundaries. They should not look like snakes or lizards. Cherry picking voters is not democracy. I expect my representatives to work for my vote, not assume it.</p>
09/16/2021 07:30 PM	Theodore Figura		<p>I would like to strongly urge that the Guidelines adopted on August 12, 2021 by the NC House Committee on Redistricting and the Senate Committee on Redistricting and Elections be strictly followed in drawing district boundaries for the North Carolina House and Senate General Assembly and for the U.S. House of Representatives. Particularly important is that the guideline prohibiting the use of election data and partisan considerations be used in the determination of the composition of these districts. The axiom that voters choose their representatives NOT that elected officials choose their voters should be the first guiding principle in the redistricting process. The redistricting committee needs to keep well in mind the numerous court reversals of unconstitutionally drawn voting districts that followed the reverse of that principle and present a district map that will not invite a court challenge from disenfranchised voters.</p> <p>Secondly, while the guidelines state that member residence MAY be considered in drawing district lines, the redistricting committee should NOT place a high priority on protecting incumbency. The priority should be given to drawing voting districts that are fair and impartial. Ideally, this will result in a maximization of competitive districts. Thirdly, except when fulfilling the dictate of equal population size would make it impossible, homogenous communities, such as university campuses, neighborhoods and cities, should not be divided by voting district lines. Where cities must be split, this should be done in such a way that as much of the entirety of a city is in a single voting district as possible.</p> <p>The implications of these recommendations for Buncombe County, where I reside, would be that the current NC House district boundaries be kept as close as possible to their current configuration and that any changes to that</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/16/2021 10:17 PM	Bettie Archibald		<p>configuration necessitated by population adjustments be designed to group coherent communities of interest (but NOT partisan voting interest) together. Buncombe County boundaries should NOT be drawn in an attempt to change election outcomes.</p> <p>Finally, I urge that the redistricting process be conducted in such a way as to be clear and transparent and that maximum—not the bare minimum—public input be solicited. This includes hearings before and after maps are drafted to occur at least in every county with a population in excess of 100,000.</p> <p>Thank you for this opportunity to comment.</p>
09/16/2021 11:17 PM	Brittney Cartner	No	<p>I am concerned that of 100 counties in our state, why are there only 14 meetings being held? Will we be shown the maps and have a chance to vote on them before they are approved? Please have more meetings at places that are easy to get too as far as distance and that the buildings are easily assessible especially for the handicap. The meetings are being held at a time when most people are still at work, please schedule them at a more convenient time.</p> <p>I also needed to add, we need to have a chain of custody for the disabled or elderly that can do mail in ballots along with serial numbers on the one ballot for that one registered voter. The only ones that should be allowed to take and drop off should be a family member, close friend, or neighbor if no family or friend available. It should be certified mailed and fees waived for simple fact that the disabled and elderly are on fixed incomes and do not need to pay to vote PERIOD. As to the comment on making serial numbered ballots for all registered voters this should be implemented immediately and NO MORE VOTER MACHINES, THEY HAVE NO VOTER INTEGRITY OR VOTER TRUST!!) IT SHOULD BE DONE BY THE PEOPLE OF THE DISTRICT FROM BOTH PARTY SIDES WITH CHECKS AND BALANCES IN PLACE FOR ALL during the process.</p>
09/16/2021 11:45 PM	Ms Happy Rieth	Individual	<p>My name is Happy Rieth. I've been in the electronics industry for almost 40 years in Greensboro, where I've lived and worked since coming to attend college at UNCG in 1977. I'm writing to ask you to keep Greensboro whole in the Congressional map that you are formulating. When Greensboro was split into 2 different Congressional districts, the result was frustration and confusion for its citizens. I am concerned about clean, safe water, and protecting our natural resources. During the split of the districts, representatives were not responsive to the many people in Guilford County with these concerns, nor did they take steps to address the climate crisis. The Congressional district we have now is much better, where our community is heard by our representative. I feel that my concerns are shared by our Congresswoman. The Piedmont Triad is recognized as a distinct region in our state - we even have a name, reflected in our shared airport. Please do not break up our city and county; we need continuity in the responsive representation that we currently have.</p> <p>Thank you for your work. Please exercise your duty to all the people of North Carolina by drawing fair maps that keep communities like mine together, rather than being beholden to the desires of a political party.</p>
09/17/2021 06:31 AM	Mrs. Susan Presson	Creation Care Alliance	<p>Please draw fair redistricting lines so that everyone's vote counts.</p> <p>Do not split up colleges/universities or communities of color. I understand that both Democrats and Republicans have drawn district maps that ensured their own party's election. Maps should not be partisan.</p> <p>In the last redistricting, it has wasted time and money in litigation to be more fair.</p> <p>Please set up an independent body to draw redistricting maps or at the very least, make these maps fair for us all.</p>
09/17/2021 09:17 AM	Dr. John Lingle	Voting Precinct 206, Davidson NC	<p>My name is John Lingle. I've been a resident of Davidson for the past 21 years. I first visited the town in the 1940s when visiting my Grandparents and later attended Davidson College. In 2000 I relocated to Davidson to retire. I want to urge the committee to make the redistricting process more transparent and to keep the town of Davidson within a Mecklenburg County Political District.</p> <p>I believe Davidson's strong links to Mecklenburg county and the town of Huntersville over the years has created a strong 'community of interest.' For example, my church, like many others in town, has multiple tutoring and support programs for Huntersville elementary and other CMS public schools. In addition, our town library is part of the North</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>Mecklenburg library system. Davidson is further linked to Huntersville medically. Recently, two of Davidson's healthcare facilities -- the one at Ada Jenkins and Atrium Urgent Care facility -- were relocated to Huntersville. Finally, since many in our community commute to Charlotte, many Davidson residents shop extensively in Huntersville as well as frequent the restaurants.</p> <p>Each of these interconnections obviously are impacted by the NC state legislature. If Davidson were suddenly in a district outside of Mecklenburg county, it would hurt Davidson's ability to have its community of interest fairly representative.</p> <p>Finally, I urge you to make the redistricting process more transparent and inclusive.</p> <p>The 2011 redistricting had many flaws that cost NC citizens national prestige and many precious tax dollars lost to continuous litigation. Making the process more transparent and inclusive could help avoid this.</p> <p>First, all North Carolinians need a reasonable opportunity to participate. This would require more public hearings and virtual access for immunocompromised individuals. In 2011 there were 63 public hearings, but this round only 14 are scheduled in spite of increased state population. Only one, inconveniently-scheduled, meeting has been announced to allow comments about the draft maps. Most citizens will not be able to travel to Raleigh to participate in this single hearing.</p> <p>It may be too late to fix the confusion surrounding the first 13 meetings with their late notifications, incomplete addresses and no virtual access. It is not too late to schedule more meetings to allow people around the state to review and comment on draft maps. Please take steps to make this happen</p>
09/17/2021 09:55 AM	Mrs Michele Woodhouse	NC11 GOP	NC redistricting must keep our districts continuous and aligned. We need to strive to not split counties or voting precincts, as this is very challenging from an organization standpoint.
09/17/2021 10:41 AM	Fayetteville State Map Aaron Wrighton	Campus Vote Project	Fayetteville State University Community of Interest (COI) Map: https://districtr.org/COI/48387
09/17/2021 11:16 AM	Dr. Arielle Emmett	Arielle Emmett & Assoc LLC & Asheville Citizen-Times	<p>Let's dismantle power and partisanship in redistricting talks -- Published in Asheville-Citizen Times August 29, 2021</p> <p>Your Turn</p> <p>Arielle Emmett Guest columnist</p> <p>As a new resident of Western North Carolina, I didn't count on the continuing appetite here for winner-take-all partisanship -- in particular, its effects on policy decisions, education, voting rights, and the health and quality of life for all residents.</p> <p>In this moment, the fight is about GOP attempts to skew state district election maps in favor of Republicans. This is a grand Carolinian tradition; since 2010, when the GOP won a supermajority in the state, district voting maps were drawn in Raleigh that exemplified the most extreme gerrymandering in the country.</p> <p>Although North Carolina's courts in 2019 ordered the legislature to draw fairer election districts, little has changed. For example, in the 2020 state house elections, Democrats got 49% of the votes but claimed only 42.5 percent of the seats despite achieving voter margins of more than 20% in three quarters of the elections. The majority of votes in 12 congressional districts combined were for Democrats, but due to district maps, Republicans won</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>eight seats . New census data showing a rapid influx of voters into North Carolina’s growing cities may make it harder for any party to steamroll a gerrymandered agenda. However, official map makers continue to target, with rifle shot accuracy, communities of color, especially African Americans, Latino Americans, along with more liberal voters in cities, towns and college communities.</p> <p>These trends are deeply disturbing in a state – and country – that desperately needs to pull together. We need to cooperate across party lines to tackle enormous problems of climate change, killer viruses and cancer, educational opportunity, racial bias, immigration reform, and income inequality. Instead, what we have today is small-minded bickering, attention to wedge issues (e.g., guns – to issue permits or not) and back-room power grabs that erode attention away from the real needs of our state.</p> <p>What are these needs? I’ve spent the past several months in conversation with local constituents in Henderson and Transylvania counties. For example, Sam Edney, a retired Transylvania County businessman, former Republican who ran for the General Assembly in 2020 as a Democrat, says job loss from rural plant closures has produced an immediate need for expanded Medicaid coverage.</p> <p>'Medicaid would support rural hospitals and would bring billions of federal dollars to the state,' he began. 'When the Affordable Care Act (ACA) came along, it left a gap for working people who couldn't qualify for traditional Medicaid but also couldn't get adequate subsidies for public health insurance.'</p> <p>Seven hospitals and a birthing center at WNC have already closed because Medicaid dollars aren't reaching them.</p> <p>'In Transylvania, people who live in Balsam Grove have to travel 2 hours to get to Mission Health in Asheville; we've had babies born in ambulances,' Edney continued. Ironically, 'tax payers in NC could accept Medicaid expansion for zero tax dollars; and some 40,000 to 60,000 new health care jobs would be created.' The state GOP has refused to expand Medicaid which would provide health care for up to 600,000 uninsured working people.</p> <p>Education is a pressing issue. North Carolina public schools, for example, received a C- grade and a score of 72 out of a possible 100 in the 2019 Quality Counts report released by</p> <p>Education Week . Thanks to progressive defunding of public education by a legislature determined to support charter schools, the state now ranks 39th in expenditures per student in fall enrollment. Add to this dismal teacher pay, which now ranks 33rd nationally, hardly enough to attract or keep the best teachers in our state.</p> <p>Perhaps our General Assembly leaders don't realize this, but almost half of North Carolina's children live in poor or low-income households and 20% live in poverty according to ncchild.org.</p> <p>Do we throw them away? Do we write them off as 'undesirables' that have no purpose in learning or making life better in the next generation? Less than half of the state's eligible children, for example, are being served in pre-K programs. Sixty one percent of black children, and nearly 70% of Hispanic kids, reside in poor or low-income homes. And children of color are at least</p> <p>10% less likely to have internet access at home to complete school assignments.[4]</p> <p>It's not enough for any political party to maintain the status quo while exhibiting such a short-sighted record of performance. In 2021, let's dismantle power and partisanship in the North Carolina redistricting talks. Communities of</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>shared interests need to be counted, to speak up, and to fight in courts and in online forums for their rights. We need democracy, a choir of multiple voices – liberal, moderate and conservative – in which voters, not lawmakers, decide how they are fairly represented, and by whom.</p> <p>Arielle Emmett, Ph.D., is a U.S. Fulbright scholar who served in Kenya and Indonesia. She lives in Hendersonville.</p>
09/17/2021 11:26 AM	Munsie Davis		<p>I urge both committees to put partisan politics aside and organize districts fairly and sanely. In my humble opinion, voting districts should be organized around areas that have common infrastructure, school systems, employment centers, and retail centers. This would encourage more public participation in the democratic process because people would be able to see that their vote at the local level has an impact on the issues where they live. I am attentively watching this process of redistricting.</p>
09/17/2021 11:40 AM	Retired Catholic Priest Michael Shugrue		<p>I am hoping that this process will not be utilizing racial data and that proposed maps will be presented to the general public (100 countries) before and after composition.</p>
09/17/2021 12:04 PM	Elon University COI Map Aaron Wrighton	Campus Vote Project	<p>Elon University (COI) Community of Interest Map: https://districtr.org/COI/48443</p>
09/17/2021 12:17 PM	Mr. Paul Herbert		<p>As a Mecklenburg County (Charlotte) native and a happy transplant to Davidson for over 15 years, it is critical that any redistricting process be fair and transparent. We urge the Committee to keep Davidson within a Mecklenburg county political district given its integral ties to Huntersville and Davidson's collective community interests which impact healthcare, education, libraries, transportation, cultural amenities, shopping and economic development. These collective interests are 100% part of the fabric of life that Davidson residents have come to depend upon. Any political redistricting setting Davidson apart from Mecklenburg County stands to unfairly disrupt and diminish our fair representation and our quality of life.</p>
09/17/2021 12:18 PM	Dr Joseph Trask	retired	<p>Good afternoon, My name is Joseph Trask. I've been a resident of Davidson for the past 10 years. I first visited the town in the early 1970's when I attended a Davidson College graduation. I subsequently attended and graduated from Davidson College in 1979. In 2010 I returned to live in Davidson and practice medicine in Huntersville with Novant Health. I want to urge the committee to make the redistricting process more transparent and to keep the town of Davidson within a Mecklenburg County Political District.</p> <p>Davidson' has strong links to Mecklenburg county and the town of Huntersville which has created a strong 'community of interest.' For example, Davidson churches have multiple tutoring and support programs for Huntersville elementary and other CMS public schools. In addition, our town library is part of the North Mecklenburg library system. Davidson is further linked to Huntersville medically. For example, my practice was located in Huntersville with Novant before I retired in October 2020 and subsequently through my current volunteering at Lake Norman Community Clinic in Huntersville. I have students from Davidson College who participate with me in the clinic to learn about the practice of medicine as well as to provide translation skills. Recently, two of Davidson's healthcare facilities, Ada Jenkins and Atrium Urgent Care facility, were relocated to Huntersville. Our citizens receive the majority of their Health care through Atrium and Novant in Mecklenburg County. This will further increase with the opening of Atrium's new inpatient facility in Cornelius on Statesville Road. Many in our community commute to Charlotte and Davidson residents shop extensively in Huntersville and Cornelius as well as frequent the restaurants.</p> <p>Each of these interconnections obviously are impacted by the NC state legislature. If Davidson were suddenly in a district outside of Mecklenburg county, it would hurt Davidson's ability to have its community of interest fairly representative. Finally, I urge you to make the redistricting process more transparent and inclusive. The 2011</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>redistricting had many flaws that cost NC citizens national prestige and many precious tax dollars lost to continuous litigation. Making the process more transparent and inclusive could help avoid this. All North Carolinians need a reasonable opportunity to participate. This would require more public hearings and virtual access for immunocompromised individuals. In 2011 there were 63 public hearings, but this round only 14 are scheduled in spite of increased state population. Only one, inconveniently-scheduled, meeting has been announced to allow comments about the draft maps. Most citizens will not be able to travel to Raleigh to participate in this single hearing.</p> <p>It may be too late to fix the confusion surrounding the first 13 meetings with their late notifications, incomplete addresses and no virtual access. It is not too late to schedule more meetings to allow people around the state to review and comment on draft maps. Please take steps to make this happen. Thank you for your consideration.</p> <p>Sincerely, Joseph L. Trask, MD 103 Caldwell Lane Davidson N.C. 704-255-6495</p>
09/17/2021 12:23 PM	Ms. Jeanie Welch	Democrats of North Mecklenburg and Precinct 240	<p>Redistricting is a great opportunity to add a new congressional seat. Please keep in mind the need for transparency and inclusivity so that every vote will count. Also, please respect county boundaries so that entire counties can be kept within the same congressional and state legislative districts. Thank you for this opportunity to present my views for your consideration.</p>
09/17/2021 01:37 PM	Ms. Kate Fellman	You Can Vote	<p>I am disappointed that there are no maps to look at prior to the hearings. I ask that we have another chance to be heard after the maps are released. As one of North Carolina's over 2.4 Million unaffiliated voters, I urge you not to take party affiliation into account when drawing maps. Unaffiliated voters are the second largest set of registered voters in the state, and are on track to be the "majority" party as new registrants are deliberately choosing not to be affiliated with either party.</p> <p>Many voters believe that their representatives are not responsive to the members of the opposite party or to independents. And many unaffiliated voters do not participate in Partisan Primary elections, which is often where the elections are decided in NC due to the current maps. Did you know that 94% of NC voters under 40 have never voted in a mid-term primary?</p> <p>Because elections are noncompetitive, potential voters rightly believe the system has been rigged against them to minimize the impact of their votes. Non-voters are not apathetic, as many believe. They are astutely aware that the system is not designed to include them.</p> <p>North Carolina citizens deserve fair representation and this starts with a fair playing field. Party affiliation of the electorate should not be considered when dividing our communities and your constituents into districts. NC deserves an independent process that lets the voters pick their representatives, not the other way around. Nobody knows our communities better than the people who live in them. They want a transparent process they can trust, where communities remain whole & where voters have an equal voice.</p>
09/17/2021 01:44 PM	Rev. Charles Smith	NCConference, The United Methodist Church	<p>Minimize breaking up counties into more than one District; use common sense in drawing District lines instead of trying to advantage a party; each district insofar as possible should have the same number of residents.</p>
09/17/2021 01:44 PM	Barton College COI Map Aaron Wrighton	Campus Vote Project	<p>Barton College (COI) Map: https://districtr.org/COI/48504</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/17/2021 02:31 PM	Campbell University COI Aaron Wrighton	Campus Vote Project	Campbell University (COI) Community of Interest Map: https://districtr.org/COI/48542
09/17/2021 02:42 PM	Nancy Henderson- James		I support: Compact, contiguous districts; Racial fairness in redistricting (no dilution of power); Preservation of communities (keep together communities that share historical, cultural, economic interest) No partisan advantage to one political party; Preservation of geographic boundaries
09/17/2021 03:21 PM	COA E.C. COI Map Aaron Wrighton	Campus Vote Project	College of the Albemarle, Elizabeth City (COI) Community of Interests Map: https://districtr.org/COI/48576
09/17/2021 03:59 PM	Tracy Arbors	Private Citizen and Voter	I believe there is a logical way to draw districts. Lines should be drawn along major roads, rivers and zip codes. Zip codes should not be divided. In addition, districts should have regular sides when drawn on a map – not jagged edges that turn in on themselves. For voting, the number of poll locations should be proportional to the population.
09/17/2021 04:34 PM	Debra Kaufman	self	It is essential that districts be drawn fairly by an impartial nonpartisan committee based on population. We must stop gerrymandered districts! – citizens should feel they are honestly represented when they vote.
09/18/2021 01:02 AM	Mrs. Sheila Beaudry	self	It is important to keep Lee County and Chatham County as whole counties. The current configuration has divided us and put our largest city and the county seat in separate districts. It puts our County Commissioners into different Congressional Districts. We need to be put back into the same district. This tears our community apart when we should be pulling together for common goals for the whole county.
09/18/2021 10:33 AM	Morse Kalt		Please draw lines that will keep Chatham County in a single congressional and state legislative district.
09/18/2021 12:00 PM	Tom Watson	retired	My name is Tom Watson. I moved to Davidson in 2014, together with my wife, to start The Cedars Davidson Bed & Breakfast. We operated this traditional B&B successfully in Davidson until age and COVID-19 persuaded us to retire in 2020. Before moving to Davidson, we lived in the University City area of Charlotte; I lived there from 1985 onward. We are members of St. Mark's Episcopal Church in Huntersville. My wife is very active in the Flyin' Lions culinary ministry at St. Mark's Episcopal Church and I serve on the Board of Historic Rosedale, a Federalist era house situated on 8 acres of woods and garden on North Tryon St. in Charlotte. Our shopping, church and social connections all face toward Davidson, Huntersville and ultimately, Charlotte. These towns and city define our sphere of interest. We have only minimal connections with Mooresville. It only makes sense to us to remain within a Mecklenburg County political district. North Carolina has suffered from too many needless divisions and suspicions. Please make the redistricting process fair, open and transparent to all.
09/18/2021 12:02 PM	Dr. Heather Ferguson		Gerrymandering is simply WRONG. By isolating people of color, indigenous people, and the poor—we perpetuate the injustices of our past. We have an opportunity to make a turn towards a more just system for ALL Americans. DO THE RIGHT THING! Keep Winston-Salem and Forsyth county WHOLE! Stop the gerrymandering for good!
09/18/2021 12:13 PM	Martha Bulen		HENDERSONVILLE SURROUNDINGS – A COMMUNITY OF COMMON INTERESTS My name is Martha Bulen. I reside in Etowah in western Henderson County, N. Carolina. Our county is currently split into two voting districts. It is in the best interest of the residents of Etowah, Flat Rock, and East Flat Rock that these suburban towns be in the same district as the City of Hendersonville so that our common interests are represented in the NC House and Senate. For years the Hendersonville area has been a cultural center known for entertainment, arts, and education. It is home to Blue Ridge Community College located in East Flat Rock. In addition there are five high schools in the city. A number of students from these schools attend early college classes at the College. However it is located in a different

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			voting district from the schools. These inter-related institutions should have the same representative.
			The Arts, Theater, and restaurants are located primarily in the City of Hendersonville, which is also the centrally located seat of government. However, the historic internationally known Flat Rock Play House and some of the art galleries are in a different voting district since the county is divided. A number of museums downtown, attract visitors from all over the county, including geology, history, Model Railroad and children's museums. Hendersonville also is home to other attractions that draw from the above suburbs include the Labor Day Weekend Apple Festival and the Memorial Day Weekend Garden Festival. These common interests and attractions need to be consolidated in order to have their collective interests represented.
			Hendersonville is the focus for healthcare for the entire county. Across the area, residents are employed and utilize the services of Pardee hospital. This is especially important for the many retired citizens who live within the city, but also for those residents who come from Etowah, Flat Rock, and East Flat Rock. County public transportation delivers patients to and from the hospital and medical appointments, including from nursing homes. It is essential that these unified services have unified representation in the same political district.
			In summary, the area surrounding the City of Hendersonville is a unified educational, environmental, and economical community with common attractions, interests, and concerns. As such, the city and its suburbs should be in an undivided voting district in order to assure these are fairly represented in the N. Carolina House and Senate. Since it appears that Henderson County's population requires that it be divided, voters would be better represented if the south county rural area and the southwest natural geographic area below the French Broad River be in one district, and that the more populated towns of Etowah, Flat Rock, and East Flat Rock be consolidated.
09/18/2021 01:21 PM	Patty Courtright		Please create opportunities for public comment, both in person and online, after the maps are drafted. There should be at least 15 locations for in-person comments -- all the locations currently available for comments in September as well as in-person sessions in Wake, Edgecombe, Buncombe, Watauga and Moore counties. Also, this portal should remain open throughout the process.
09/18/2021 01:37 PM	Ms. Betty Gunz		It is urgent that all politicians, regardless of party, step up and draw fair and impartial maps. Votes should reflect the will of voters, not the results politicians want them to show. No gerrymandering! Gerrymandering is unpatriotic. Fair maps are essential to our democracy's functioning. Thank you.
09/18/2021 01:38 PM	Marion Harrison	Jackson County Democratic Party	Traditionally redistricting is a tool used to limit voting. How can Americans disparage attempts at voter suppression in other countries when we allow this to happen here? If our voting process is compared with what is going on in Putin's Russia, how do we look to other nations? Let us encourage voting, not discourage it.
09/18/2021 01:39 PM	Ms Dawn Blobaum	Retired	Good afternoon, I have been a resident of Davidson since 1997 and I encourage you to retain Davidson in a Mecklenburg County political district. The seven local governments in Mecklenburg County work together on county-wide issues and shouldn't be separated by political boundaries.
			Most importantly, I want to urge the committee to make the redistricting process impartial, transparent, and inclusive.
			The 2011 redistricting process had many flaws that cost NC national prestige and resulted in ongoing litigation which costs needed tax dollars. An impartial mapping process will avoid these issues.
			All North Carolinians need a reasonable opportunity to participate. This would require more public hearings and virtual access for those who can't easily travel. In 2011 there were 63 public hearings, but this year only 14 are scheduled in spite of increased state population. And only one meeting has been announced to allow comments about the draft maps. Most citizens will not be able to

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			travel to Raleigh to participate in this single hearing.
			It may be too late to fix the confusion surrounding the first 13 meetings with their late notifications, incomplete addresses and no virtual access. It is not too late to schedule more meetings to allow people around the state to review and comment on draft maps.
			Please upgrade the process to allow citizens a voice. Thank you, Dawn A Blobaum AIA
09/18/2021 02:21 PM	Ms Lenore Jackson		Why won't our elected officials in wake county face their constituents and discuss this important Matter
09/18/2021 04:38 PM	Mr Lewis Cox	Private Individual	The only fair districting is non-partisan!!! Prior and recent GOP attempts to draw districts have been called down by the courts as being unfair (and racist) by using surgical precision to draw districts in such a way as to assure GOP control of the districts!
			Draw the districts in a non-partisan fair manner!!!!
09/18/2021 05:07 PM	Dr. Denita Dowell-Reavis	Individual	Since 2013 when the Excellent Public Schools Act went into effect, the denigration of public schools has multiplied exponentially. Driven by a Republican-dominated general assembly, the Act brought more testing with fewer teaching assistants and put performance bonuses in place for teachers and principals, along with several other items. Since, reading scores have gone down and there's no incentive for high quality staff to work at struggling schools; they'll get no bonus. Had the districts not been gerrymandered to favor the Republicans we might have more even-handed legislation. Eight years later, educators are still fighting for each student, not just the ones at schools that perform well. The state Republicans have expanded the number of charter schools instead of keeping a cap. In charters all teachers don't have to be licensed and the schools don't have to provide transportation or lunch. It's pretty easy to figure out who those schools can cater to. The legislature needs political districts that are based fairly on population and geography and not stacked with lawmakers who have an agenda against public education.
09/18/2021 06:42 PM	Catherine Dallas		To whom it may concern: Please keep the 6th district as is and do not split up the Triad into new districts. Winston-Salem, High Point, and Greensboro are already so interconnected as a metropolitan region that they even have their own moniker, the aforementioned "Triad". It doesn't make sense to split these cities up into different districts that are lumped with other parts of the state that have fewer connections to the cities individually than these three cities do with one another. As a Winston native and resident, I don't see much in common with Rockingham County, for ex. - a county that has been mentioned in combining into a district with much of Winston's Forsyth County.
			Regards,
			Catherine
09/18/2021 08:50 PM	Mrs Claudia Nix		I believe it is extremely important to have a non political committee doing the redistricting. We need fair and non political voting. No party should be determining the redistricting. Please help to keep our voting fair.
09/18/2021 10:48 PM	TiaJat TiaJat	TiaJat	Hello dear!! my name Sara!! I love sex. Here are my erotic photos - tinyurl.com/ydrh3t6j
09/19/2021 07:56 AM	Mr William Speier		We the people are expecting fair and equitable redistributing with No political party bias involved in the process! Thanks for reading. William Speier

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/19/2021 08:51 AM	Jennifer Knox		<p>Thank you for allowing online submissions for public comment as I and many others cannot attend the in person meeting. It is imperative that districts be drawn fairly to allow voters to elect legislators. Legislators should not select their voters. Legislative districts should not divide neighborhoods or college campuses; dividing communities into separate districts creates undue confusion and difficulties in properly voting. A representative democracy requires voter participation, and a process which prevents citizens from properly exercising such a fundamental right as voting has no place in our state or country. The consequences of drawing partisan maps with almost surgical precision resulted in an expensive legal battle that besmirched our state's standing. Voters expect fair maps which comply with the law and enable widespread participation in the electoral process. Neighbors should vote in the same district. Redistricting should be a transparent process so voters can provide oversight and input. Thank you for time and effort.</p>
09/19/2021 08:54 AM	Mr. Tommy Yarbrough	N/A	<p>There are those in local, state and federal government as well, Who believe they can twist voter laws and rights anyway they wish to suit themselves while depriving others of their civil rights to speak their minds and vote how they choose within their own districts. It is an out rage to think these public servants believe that they are allowed to deprive others of their constitutional rights and break the law in broad daylight as they choose, As a means to an end of the own liking. This country was founded on the principles of freedom, justice and liberty. I voter suppression and gerrymandering it's not freedom. It's akin to Communism or the Jim Crow laws. It is a seed that if allowed to be planted and germinate, that should be dug up by its roots to wither away and die!! It is also something that if allowed to get out of hand and out of control, would obviously then be blamed on the moderates and the left as is everything that backfires against those who attempt to disrupt and suppress the voting rights system That was said in place by our forefathers over two centuries ago.</p>
09/19/2021 09:20 AM	Mr. Floyd Adsit		<p>For many years, my county of Granville has been without effective representation in Washington because our county's needs are politically insignificant compared to those of the large urban counties with whom we share a congressional district. This must end. Granville County is better represented as part of a congressional district of similar rural counties and that is what we must have.</p>
09/19/2021 09:59 AM	Dr. Verna Case		<p>Good day. My name is Verna Case. I've been a resident of Davidson for the past 47 years and recently retired from Davidson College after 45 years of service. I want to urge the committee to make the redistricting process more transparent and to keep the town of Davidson within a Mecklenburg County Political District.</p> <p>I believe Davidson's strong links to Mecklenburg county and the other north Mecklenburg towns is critical to the provision of services at this end of the county. The town of Davidson and Davidson College has long had strong links to all the surrounding communities, in addition to the city of Charlotte. Many Davidson students volunteer as tutors at Mecklenburg county schools and serve as translators at healthcare institutions. Our faculty, in addition to living in Davidson, live in other north Mecklenburg towns and volunteer with community-based organizations throughout Mecklenburg County. The aforementioned beneficial relationships, as well as many other critical, long-standing relationships between businesses and organizations in Davidson and the other areas of Mecklenburg county, will be negatively impacted if the NC state legislature decides to place the town of Davidson in a district outside of Mecklenburg county.</p> <p>I urge you to make the redistricting process more transparent and inclusive. The 2011 redistricting had many flaws that cost NC citizens national prestige and many precious tax dollars lost to continuous litigation. Making the process more transparent and inclusive could help avoid this.</p> <p>First, all North Carolinians need a reasonable opportunity to participate. This would require more public hearings and virtual access for immunocompromised individuals. In 2011 there were 63 public hearings, but this round only 14 are scheduled in spite of increased state population. Only one, inconveniently-scheduled, meeting has been announced to allow comments about the draft maps. Most citizens will not be able to travel to Raleigh to participate in this single</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>hearing.</p> <p>It may be too late to fix the confusion surrounding the first 13 meetings with their late notifications, incomplete addresses and no virtual access. It is not too late to schedule more meetings to allow people around the state to review and comment on draft maps. Please take steps to make this happen.</p> <p>Thank you so much for your help on this important task. Feel free to contact me with any questions.</p> <p>Yours truly, Verna Case 704-892-4165 (Phone)</p>
09/19/2021 10:04 AM	Nicole Martinez		<p>Draw maps that allow voters to choose who their representative are -- and not ones that allow legislators to pick who they want to represent in elections that are pre-determined as to who will win. North Carolina is a 50-50 state, and fair maps should include competitive districts that would mean either party could win, just like it is with statewide elections.</p>
09/19/2021 10:05 AM	Mr. John current gerrymandering Maps are unconstitutional races in evil current time current NASCAR unco Dreyfors	The Forest Foundation, Inc.	<p>Current maps are unconstitutional, a violation and f human rights, racist and Evil! Stop unjust gerrymandering and Institute a nonpartisan system of creating voting maps.</p>
09/19/2021 11:28 AM	Jeffrey Marcus		<p>The re-districting process has been grossly abused to create political advantages at the cost of disenfranchising voters and deepening polarization of our political culture. While the current system is an advantage to the party in power, it is to the detriment of voters and our democracy.</p> <p>I personally feel disenfranchised because my district has been drawn such that my vote doesn't matter. In my Republican-dominated district, Congressional and state legislative races are largely decided in the primary. Since primary voters in each party tend to be more extreme, both sides play to the fringes of their base and we end up with politicians who are more extreme and have no incentive to collaborate or compromise with the other side. We end up with a situation where ~50% of the statewide electorate yields >75% of the elected officials, and that is simply not fair, producing a government that is not representative of the people. Gerrymandering of districts is a bad way to structure political races, no matter who is in charge.</p> <p>The best solution would be to appoint a non-partisan group to draw districts based on strict criteria for compactness of districts with no consideration of economic, racial, or party affiliation data. If the Republican majority insists on perpetuating the deeply flawed party-in-power driven process, it should still use transparent criteria that avoid even the appearance of trying to leverage a political advantage. The Republicans in charge of the process have very little reservoir of trust, after they have clearly demonstrated their intent to squeeze every political advantage from the process and their previous efforts have been revealed by the courts to be racist and extremely political.</p> <p>If lawmakers would like to earn back trust in the political system, they absolutely have to stop gerrymandering for either party. Gerrymandering is the largest electoral fraud that there is because it predetermines elections and makes voting essentially meaningless.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/19/2021 11:55 AM	Dr. Gary Smith	2712 Lochmore Dr, Raleigh, NC	Thank you for your consideration of this important issue.
09/19/2021 11:55 AM	Dr. Gary Smith	2712 Lochmore Dr, Raleigh, NC	Please ensure that districts are compact and are not shaped by partisan or race exclusion reasons. Please take into account the public feedback. Please ensure all votes are empowered.
09/19/2021 01:43 PM	Jane EG Smith		<p>When I was young, I was in awe of the US system of democracy. The idea that each person's life matters and that their opinions and wisdom are important to the community was in accord with what I learned in Sunday School. As I matured, I learned about the imperfections in our system, but those made me honor the ideals behind our democracy no less. As an adult I have chosen to be a local precinct official, serving as chief judge in my precinct. It is an honor to implement the laws so that each person's right to vote is upheld. I don't need to (or want to) know how they vote; what matters is that I respect their role as citizen.</p> <p>The decennial redistricting effort is at the heart of ensuring that each citizen's vote is honored no less and no more than any other citizen's. As members of the NC General Assembly, you have the difficult privilege of redrawing boundaries to reflect our changing population densities. Where there have been past errors of drawing districts to suit a party or a prejudice, you are in the position to set that aside and think of one thing only - how do I draw the lines so that each citizen's voice is heard?</p> <p>I wish North Carolina had a nonpartisan group drawing our districts, not because I expect you would personally wish to disenfranchise anyone, but because the forces arrayed to convince any human to seek party or personal gain must be very hard to resist and require bravery most of us - if facing re-election - could not muster.</p> <p>Yet I must ask you to do just that. Draw lines that give each North Carolina voter their say - a say equal to their neighbors'. Draw not Republican or Democratic districts, but districts of voters who will choose their own representatives in competitive races.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
09/19/2021 01:58 PM	Evelyn Lyles		<p>I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the 2021 North Carolina redistricting process. However, I am disturbed by the fact that there is no public hearing in Asheville, the largest town in western North Carolina. This certainly sends a message of lack of serious commitment to an open and transparent process.</p> <p>I urge you to make the remainder of this process completely transparent and fair, with abundant communication to and input from the voters of NC.</p> <p>To this end, you should create a redistricting website where you will make preliminary census data available. Then publicize on the website both the initial draft maps and the final map proposals.</p> <p>The final maps should be enacted in a timely manner so candidates can file for December and any challenges can be made .</p> <p>Finally you should disclose all systems and information used to draw and revise the maps. All thirds parties used by the General Assembly for redistricting should be disclosed. All map drawing and revision should be done publicly with quality video and audio.</p> <p>The voters of North Carolina deserve open and fair redistricting that will avoid costly legal challenges and confusion.</p> <p>Thank you!</p>
09/19/2021 02:49 PM	Ellen Boyd	Citizen of NC	<p>Now is the time for public input on redistricting. We do not want to have legal tie ups like those that occurred after the 2010 census. It is wasteful to spend 10 years rectifying the blatantly gerrymandered redistricting lines. I live in WNC and see there is only one public forum which is to be held in Jackson County. The preliminary redistricting lines should be presented and drawn at public meetings. Having an open forum and more local meetings provides an opportunity</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/19/2021 02:53 PM	John Quinn	Citizen of Davidson (Mecklenburg County)	<p>to instill trust in the process.</p> <p>Good day.</p> <p>My name is John Quinn. I have been a resident of Cornelius and Davidson, North Carolina for the past 20 years. I want to urge the committee to make the redistricting process more transparent and to keep the town of Davidson within a Mecklenburg County Political District.</p> <p>I believe Davidson's strong links to Mecklenburg County and the towns of Huntersville (and Cornelius) over the years has created a strong 'community of interest.' For example, many of our area non-profits have North Mecklenburg as their service areas. Research on community needs is done with our three connected towns together since we are part of the same county. As we look at community challenges, such as the bi-partisan agreement on the need for more affordable housing, we need regional solutions that involve our adjacent three towns. My personal economic activity is centered in our three-town area. Only in very rare circumstances do I venture to Mooresville. Our traffic patterns allow for non-interstate road travel between our 3 towns. A trip to Mooresville is inconvenient, involves crowded interstate, and wastes time and fuel. Mooresville and Davidson are in different orbits.</p> <p>Personally, I live on the south side of Davidson. Across the street from our neighborhood is Huntersville. Mooresville and Iredell County are a world away, and Huntersville and Cornelius are seamlessly adjacent. We are an integrated multi-town region. We are part of Mecklenburg County and vote as residents for our representatives there. Splitting a town off as a district to an alignment with a town in another county is an unnatural alignment and will make addressing regional issues and voting fragmented and harder to solve effectively.</p> <p>Davidson is further linked to Huntersville medically. Recently, two of Davidson's healthcare facilities -- the one at Ada Jenkins and Atrium Urgent Care facility -- were relocated to Huntersville. Finally, since many in our community commute to Charlotte, many Davidson residents shop extensively in Huntersville as well as frequent the restaurants.</p> <p>Each of these interconnections obviously is impacted by the NC state legislature. If Davidson were suddenly in a district outside of Mecklenburg County, it would hurt Davidson's ability to have its community of interest fairly represented.</p> <p>I urge you to make the redistricting process more transparent and inclusive, and subject to a bipartisan and unbiased rationale. Making the process more transparent and inclusive could help avoid wasteful litigation and inefficient communication of issues to our state representatives and senators. All North Carolinians need a reasonable opportunity to participate.</p> <p>Lastly, I urge you to create more opportunities to seek public input to the current map drawing process. It is not too late to schedule more meetings (in person and virtual) to allow people around the state to review and comment on draft maps. Please take steps to make this happen.</p> <p>Thank you so much for your help on this important task. Feel free to contact me with any questions.</p> <p>John E Quinn Davidson (Mecklenburg County), NC</p>
09/19/2021 03:17 PM	Mrs. Beth Quinn	Davidson, NC resident	<p>Hello. My name is Beth Quinn. I moved to different states 13 times as a child and teenager. During my married life of 42 years, I have moved about half that much but lived in three different countries. So when it came time to choose where we would live during our retirement we were experienced and thoughtful about our options. We could live</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>anywhere in the U.S. and we confidently chose the Cornelius/Davidson, North Carolina area. It had the values and the quality of life we were searching for and we knew the community there was progressive and forward-thinking.</p> <p>Therefore, I am very concerned about the possibility that our neighborhood may be moved out of Mecklenburg County into a neighboring county which couldn't be more different than ours – different industries, interests, more rural and conservative. In all the ways that count most, any of the neighboring counties would definitely NOT represent what is important to us in Mecklenburg County. In addition, our three town communities – Huntersville, Cornelius and Davidson are a close-knit North Mecklenburg area where we have collaborative efforts for our non-profits, our plans for inclusion and diversity and an integrated child care/healthcare network. To split us up would destroy our many, many years of working together and greatly hinder what we've built together.</p> <p>I am also extremely disappointed in our state's redistricting process as a whole and how backward it makes us look nationally and internationally. Gerrymandering and voter restrictions are done for unfair political reasons and greatly interfere with our democracy where all votes must be encouraged for the best representation. We must have a pledge that voting legislature be more transparent and inclusive to build trust in our state and polish the stain that we have created in our recent unlawful redistricting.</p> <p>I don't want to regret our decision that we made 20 years ago to live in Davidson, NC. We've already lost much but it's not too late to get back the stellar reputation our state once had.</p> <p>Thank you for your attention to this very important matter.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Beth</p>
09/19/2021 03:21 PM	Dr. Laura Clark		<p>The Redistricting Committees must act so that all North Carolinians have a voice in how they are governed, and that their districts are not so gerrymandered that some votes are useless and some count a lot more than others. There are non-partisan, mathematical models as to how to do this and to draw compact districts. The expertise is out there, if the committees can rise above partisanship to act as stewards of democracy.</p>
09/19/2021 04:05 PM	Ms. Janice Shaffer		<p>I'm in NC Congressional District 06. Until a court ruled my district must be redrawn, I spent most of the last decade in one of the districts thought to be drawn with "surgical precision" to be racist. Fortunately when the district was redrawn before the 2018 election and Mark Walker decided not to run because he couldn't win in a fairly drawn district, I was able to vote for Kathy Manning. Since both NC Senators are Republican, I have had no representative in Congress for about eight years. I certainly want to retain Kathy Manning as my Congressional representative.</p> <p>I prefer an independent firm, vetted for objectivity, draw the voting districts for Congress for the next ten years. I truly believe in a two-party system if the two parties act like adults and compromise. But since I suspect NC Republicans want to cheat as they have in the recent past and will not use an impartial, outside firm, I would like to see racist and partisanship kept to a minimum. Districts must be drawn fairly and honestly to ensure every voter has a chance for as equal representation as possible.</p> <p>It's very sad and disturbing to witness the extreme partisanship fostered by the Republican Party, nationally and statewide. The only way to help mitigate some of this divisive approach is to draw maps which will reflect more of the state population split, somewhat close to 50% for each party, to provide and foster an environment where politicians will compromise more frequently for the good of NC citizens. Sincerely, Janice Shaffer</p>
09/19/2021	Vickie Atkinson		<p>I am a resident of Chatham County. I strongly believe that Chatham County should be kept whole in the redistricting</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
04:05 PM			process. I identify with the entire County - those are my boundaries. I also firmly believe that there should be hearings and opportunities for public comments and feedback after the new maps are drawn. You should solicit input as to what citizens think about what you have proposed.
09/19/2021 09:57 PM	THOMAS SULLIVAN	retired	I voted in the 2016 primary in NC-10. By November, I was voting in NC-11 ... without moving. In the interval, the district line flipped over my house -- about a mile -- from the ridgetop to the west to the ridgetop to the east. Because of ongoing legal battles over what courts repeatedly ruled were illegally drawn districts and because of lawmakers' recalcitrance about complying with court orders, confusion reigned in far more neighborhoods than mine for nearly a decade. Mapmakers in 2011 drew what they thought they could get away with, and they did for nearly a full census cycle -- as they intended. They gamed the system. Good-faith governance this is not. Do better.
09/20/2021 08:30 AM	Ms. Deborah Dobson		North Carolina residents must have equitable and fair voting standards, which include equitable and fair voting districts.
09/20/2021 09:34 AM	Cindy Schmidt		The NC Constitution says no county shall be divided in the formation of a district. Follow the Constitution, not just when it pleases you, but all the time, or change it. We are a democracy which includes the rule of law. Not the rule of law sometimes. If you can't figure out how to follow the Constitution, resign and let those who have the ability, do it.
09/20/2021 09:39 AM	Stephen Krall		I just wanted to comment that the redistricting process, by the law, is the sole purview of the legislative branch of North Carolina government. This is not a judicial role, nor is it an "independent commission" responsibility. It is also important to recognize that any organization that presents itself as "nonpartisan" is likely not non-partisan. Thanks - Steve
09/20/2021 10:10 AM	Bruce Frost		Gerrymandering has caused grave problems in the quality of education and poorly qualifies W.N.C legislators in especially the 11th district.
09/20/2021 10:37 AM	Frank Peterson	individual	Gentlemen and Ladies: Inasmuch as the maps can be drawn purely by computer to conform to the four overarching redistricting interests (compactness, equality in population, contiguity, and preservation of political subdivisions), establish these drawn districts as the baseline. Then shift the burden to the legislature to rationally explain any changes to the drawn maps. Note that the legislature might then nonetheless screw the maps up royally, but at least they would confess, or display, the motives and interests at play. Frank Peterson
09/20/2021 10:44 AM	Bruce Mulkey		I believe that congressional districts should be drawn by an independent commission, not by the politicians who stand to benefit from the way they are drawn.
09/20/2021 10:45 AM	Vincent Winegardner		I am an independent voter who has lost faith in the redistricting process in NC. I am very concerned about gerrymandering in North Carolina. It would be a much fairer process to have an independent organization determine voting districts. NC is a Purple State with Republican's dominating the process. I am very concerned that the Republicans in the redistricting process have lost sight of our democratic values. Assuming we do not have an independent redistricting process, I will support Democrats financially (over \$2000 last year) and in any other way that I can to put our democracy first and give me better options on election day.
09/20/2021 10:47 AM	MRS. EOWYN TILLEY		I have had it with both parties gerrymandering our voting boundaries. I am now an independent and will only vote for those who work to STOP gerrymandering of our voting boundaries! Enough with the nasty politics and inability to compromise to represent ALL citizens in our state!
09/20/2021 10:53 AM	Ms. Diana Gallagher		Please get this right and guarded against frivolous lawsuits that tie it up for 2022 elections. We've had enough of this foolishness.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/20/2021 10:53 AM	Matt Steen		Get it done this time.
09/20/2021 11:09 AM	Dr Herb Lamb		Please draw legislative maps that are fair to all North Carolinians. The best way to do that is to turn the process over to a nonpartisan commission. Short of that, please strive to have maps that will result in representation that reflects the will of the electorate, not the preferences of the current ruling party for power for themselves.
09/20/2021 11:09 AM	Alice Berry		Redistricting is an imperative. Please act on it as soon as possible.
09/20/2021 11:15 AM	Marcia Bromberg	Retired	Please stop with the gerrymandering of any sort, be it partisan, economic, religious, racial or otherwise. Districts, if even necessary, should be compact, and not sprawling in shape. Districts should represent a geographic area in a balanced and unbiased way, and not be a weird shape carved out as a way to turn them into a tool to favor a particular party and predetermine the outcome of voting. Gerrymandering is unfair and denies citizens their right to have one vote for one person, where each vote carries equal weight. Everyone's vote should count equally, but it doesn't when things are gerrymandered. In national elections, the unfairness of gerrymandering becomes magnified, and we wind up with a Congress that does not accurately represent the people of our state. Politicians should win or lose by the total number of equally weighted votes they receive, not by gerrymandering. Gerrymandering is cheating.
09/20/2021 11:54 AM	Mrs. Sharon Lewis		It is critical for our democracy the elections remain as open, free and fair as possible. Creating voting districts is one key two that happening. I implore members of this committee to avoid partisan politics in reorganizing districts for voting. Districts should be as compact as reasonable, not spread out to exclude or include partisan aims. By the same token districts should be as diverse as possible--across party lines, race and age. I understand that North Carolina is relatively balanced in party/non-party registration. Districts should reflect this. Thank you for taking your role seriously and working to ensure voter integrity.
09/20/2021 12:02 PM	Dr. Gerard Stamm		I'm disappointed and angry but not surprised that our Republican-controlled State Legislature would reduce the number of public meetings about redistricting to a fraction of the number offered last time, which tells me and all thinking North Carolinians that you're doing the bare minimum to include the public's input. I predict your redistricting will be partisanly gerrymandered in your favor, you'll be sued, and redistricting finished under court order to produce fairer districts. North Carolinians deserve an independent commission to draw our districts.
09/20/2021 12:12 PM	Amy Tracey		I am Gerard Stamm from Candler North Carolina. I want to post the most important issue for me personally and broadly for my community: that is, to have fair and truly representational elections. However, as all of us should know, this is becoming harder and harder whenever partisan redistricting rolls around. Let's face it, those in power don't like to share their power and they will do anything possible to retain that power. Gerrymandering, the partisan process of drawing voting districts, is the most sure way of keeping that political power but also not being responsive to the people. How undemocratic! If redistricting is not conducted in an impartial, non-partisan way then such platforms for public input turn out to be meaningless. Worse, they turn out to be window dressing and something the party in power can disingenuously point to as an "open and transparent" process. Nonetheless, I will take Senator Chuck Edwards at his word when he says public input is "a historical process" to which "the public should contribute" because of our "important roll". Doggonit, we would not need a platform like this if the process was already fair in the first place. But since this is the process allowed us, please take the voices of your electorate to heart, let us comment again after the districting lines have been drawn, let us have continued access to all the comments, and, above all, ultimately change the system of redistricting to where it is totally honest and fair. Thank you.
09/20/2021 12:12 PM	Amy Tracey		Please fix our gerrymandered state. Redistricting should be done by an independent-non partisan group using modern, transparent standards like this one: https://www.brown.edu/news/2017-11-07/redistricting We *do* have the ability to make the districts fair and non partisan. It benefits both parties to do this, in the long term.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/20/2021 12:12 PM	HILARY CHIZ		<p>Please, please give our state a fair system.</p> <p>The citizens - all the citizens - of western North Carolina deserve fair and equitable districts that reflect our population. As we vote, we should have full confidence in our votes counting, in our votes mattering, and in our votes truly looking like who we are. Please consider all constituents as this process takes place, so that our confidence in our elected officials and our electoral process continues to be strong and positive.</p>
09/20/2021 12:32 PM	MS Nancy Waldrop		<p>Non partisan redistricting has been a major concern for me since 2009. A non partisan redistricting committee is the only way to even hope fair redistricting occurs. If done by legislators, the perception will always be the districts serve their purposes, not the citizens. The citizens of this state need to know they select their representatives; not the representatives selecting their voters. We are a country of majority rule. Citizens should be able to feel this is how they are represented; not by a minority politically outmaneuvering the majority. IF we are not to have the new districts drawn by a non partisan/ non legislator committee, it would be my hope it will still be done in a fair way. It is incumbent on you to see that happens.</p>
09/20/2021 12:45 PM	Kerry Levin	none	<p>I strongly believe the NC Legislature should use an independent redistricting process and not rely on any legislators (or political party) to design the districts. Redistricting should not be a political process. A political redistricting process is bound to disproportionately have a negative impact on those who do not support the party doing the redistricting.</p>
09/20/2021 01:08 PM	Supervisor Nancy Carter	Mecklenburg Soil and Water Conservation District	<p>Thanks for hearing us; we hope there'll be more forums after maps are created so we can make specific remarks. We find compelling: respecting natural divides (neighborhoods, precincts/districts for local gov't), especially areas with common interests where state & national act (public transit & transportation issues, failing schools, food deserts, common health issues, economic development). I'm an informed official (CLT City Council, I served as Redistricting Chair & spoke to the NC Board of Elections in RAL on this, + Meck. Soil & Water Conservation Dist., 23 years/9 terms + state & national boards & committees, like NLC's democratic Governance). We need areas where electeds fairly & effectively represent folk they serve where a fair balance of opportunity to be elected & represented is preserved.</p>
09/20/2021 01:18 PM	Lord John Maitland		<p>Redistricting for any position should be done in as compact a geographic manner as possible. It should also reflect the constituencies that are present. There are a multitude of ways in which to fo this. Some are completely mathematical and can easily be done with software that is proven. A truly bipartisan committee can also work. As can a truly non partisan committee.</p> <p>Gerrymandering has been going on for decades. This practice must end immediately. If not, a reckoning will occur at future elections.</p>
09/20/2021 01:42 PM	Patrick Frank	Democratic Party (moderate)	<p>Districts must be drawn in such a way that neither Party are given an unjust advantage. Our democratic process is threatened if we permit either side to engage in self-serving decision-making with respect to the drawing of Districts, in NC and other states.</p>
09/20/2021 02:08 PM	Lynda Miller		<p>Districts should be made by county if at all possible - there are many more common interests shared county-wide. For example only Buncombe County and other adjoining western counties should be included in District 11, not the eastern area over the mountains towards Gastonia.</p>
09/20/2021 02:11 PM	Ms Judith Sielaff	NC voter	<p>I ask that you consider more equitable distribution of districts based on actual populations rather than political populations. Gerrymandering for solely political purposes is a disservice and a denial of equality to people within gerrymandered district borders</p>
09/20/2021 02:28 PM	Paulette KeffasChassin		<p>I reside in in Cornelius North Carolina, NC Senate district 41, US Congressional district 12 which will be the focus of my comments. According to the Charlotte Observer (May 2,2021) , Dallas Woodhouse, a former GOP officer, reported that the Republican party would gerrymander new Congressional districts to create a 10-4 advantage for the House of Representatives.</p> <p>I hope that is not true!</p> <p>I urge the NC General Assembly redistricting committees to instead draw districts which reflect the will of the people.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>Districts which correspond closely to the statewide preferences of the voters which would mean potential for seven Democratic representatives and seven Republican.</p> <p>Why do I say that?</p> <p>In both the 2018 (Dems 1,801,061 vs Rep 1,704,931) and 2020 (Dems 2,660,535 vs. Rep., 2,631,336) Congressional elections, Democrats got well over half of the total votes, but Republicans won 8 of the 13 seats! So the MINORITY PARTY is exercising MAJORITY POWER. That's undemocratic!</p> <p>Similarly, Biden got 48.6%, Trump 49.9%; Tillis got 49% and Cunningham 47%. North Carolina is NOW a true 50/50 state and our representation in Washington should reflect that. Please draw our 14 Congressional districts to reflect today's preferences of the voters.</p> <p>Re: my Senate district 41, due to litigation in 2018, it is a much more compact and reasonable district and the current lines serve our community well and should be maintained. Please do NOT redraw district 41 to what it used to be: a truly gerrymandered salamander (2018 Map).</p> <p>Please end time consuming and expensive litigation surrounding North Carolina redistricting and create fair, competitive districts meaning either party could win. Districts must reflect the preferences of today's NC voters.</p> <p>Thank you for doing this important work.</p>
09/20/2021 02:34 PM	Robert Bannerman		<p>I live in Supply, NC, just outside Holden Beach. In 2020 my neighborhood was included in a newly drawn state House District 19, which includes certain coastal communities on both sides of the Cape Fear River in two counties -- Brunswick and New Hanover. This new map does not reflect the reality of the strong border that this river represents. The fact is that all of the coastal communities in Brunswick County, from Southport and Oak Island to Sunset Beach and Calabash, are very much part of one community, while beach communities in New Hanover County are part of a very different community centered around the city of Wilmington. Citizens of the Brunswick County coastal community rarely, if ever, travel to, shop at or otherwise have anything to do with the coastal communities in New Hanover County. To get from one side of the Cape Fear River, for example from where I live in Holden Beach to Carolina Beach in New Hanover County, takes over an hour. In seven years of living here I have only been there once. I do most my shopping, recreation, socializing, etc. on this side of the Cape Fear River, as do most of my fellow citizens in coastal Brunswick County. I respectfully urge you to consider a new map that takes account of the practical realities mentioned above and does not lump together communities separated by the Cape Fear River. Thank you for your consideration.</p>
09/20/2021 02:51 PM	Ann Sink		<p>I support COMPACT, CONTIGUOUS DISTRICTS. District should be a single, unbroken shape.</p> <p>I support RACIAL FAIRNESS IN REDISTRICTING. Maps should not dilute the power of minority voters to elect candidates of their choice.</p> <p>I support PRESERVATION OF COMMUNITIES. Districts should keep communities together that share historical, cultural, or economic interests.</p> <p>I support NO ADVANTAGE TO ONE POLITICAL PARTY. Maps must not give partisan advantage to one party over another, or to specific candidates or incumbents.</p>
09/20/2021 03:13 PM	Mr. Jack Unruh		<p>Please stop all gerrymandering!! Gerrymandering is wrong when either Democrats or Republicans do it. Please give us fair electoral maps so that we can say that we as citizens of North Carolina live in a true democracy. What we have previously had is NOT a democracy!!</p>
09/20/2021 03:15 PM	Mrs. Barbara Sharpe-Unruh		<p>Please give us non-partisan electoral maps for North Carolina!! North Carolina deserves a real democracy and gerrymandering, regardless of which party does it, does not give us true and fair elections.</p>
09/20/2021 03:18 PM	Dr. KAREN HODGES		<p>Ever since I and my family moved to Charlotte in 1997, we have lived in a district quite obviously drawn to keep progressive voters and voters of color in one restricted block. Although our representatives have mostly used their voices to further our needs and preferences, it is obvious that their influence is greatly diminished by the effects of gerrymandering, and I have often found myself writing letters to representatives in nearby districts who were clearly in a better position to promote our interests. But why would they listen to someone like me who is not actually a</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/20/2021 03:24 PM	Jill S		<p>constituent? This year, as we observe, yet again, the seeming inability of Congress to act in ways that reflect the will of the people, we have begun to fear that trust in government has eroded too far to support democratic institutions. Redistricting has always been an important process, always used and abused to further partisan ends, but current technology has brought it to a new and truly unmanageable level. This year it is especially critical that redistricting be carried out in a fair manner. The people will not put up with inadequate representation forever.</p> <p>I am concerned that the redistricting process will be gerrymandered to favor the political right to maintain power, rather than let the voters choose who they want to represent them. If districts are drawn fairly, then the public can elect representatives who reflect the views of the population as a whole. The redistricting process should be transparent and conducted by NON-political groups. Voting should be made easier and not contain methods to suppress minorities, college students, the disabled, and of those who are registered as independents or democrats. I do not want to see a repeat of the 4th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals, which found that the restrictions enacted by the NC republican-controlled legislature were intended to “target African-Americans with almost surgical precision.” Remember--</p> <p>In the 2018 North Carolina Senate election, Democrats received 50.5 percent of the vote, but Republicans won 57.9 percent of the seats.</p> <p>In the 2018 North Carolina House of Representatives election, Democrats received 51.2 percent of the vote, but Republicans won 54.2 percent of the seats.</p> <p>Please ensure FAIR redistricting so everyone has equal access to the ballot.</p>
09/20/2021 03:30 PM	Kiele Goins		<p>I am a young voter in my 20's. For the few elections I have gotten to participate in, I have felt as if my vote does not count. This is because, cities, of which the majority of people live in; which support the economy of this state; and are the main draw for people relocating have been divided up so heavily as to dilute the power of urban voters on both a national and state-wide scale. My representative is Dan Bishop. He is highly conservative, but my corner of of Charlotte is much more moderate/left-leaning. Yet, I am representated by someone who doesn't share my values of voting rights, clean energy, or vaccines manadates to end the Covid pandemic. Destricting in the past has ensured I have no power or say in my government. Effectively, one political party gets to elect itself permanent rulers and my voice does not matter. Please redraw districts so that those of us with more moderate/left views are actually included in public decision making. Please include Mecklenburg county in a district where we will be representated in Congress. Keep my community whole.</p>
09/20/2021 03:34 PM	Ms Sally Trask		<p>My name is Sally Trask and I have been a resident of Davidson for the past 10 years. My husband and children all attended Davidson College and we have strong ties to the town of Davidson and the surrounding communities in Mecklenburg county.</p> <p>Davidson not only has strong links to Mecklenburg county but also to the town of Huntersville. There is a strong and interconnected “community of interest”. My church supports programs for Huntersville, Cornelius, and other CMS public schools. In addition, our town library is part of the North Mecklenburg library system. Davidson is further linked to Huntersville medically. Recently, two of Davidson's healthcare facilities -- the one at Ada Jenkins and Atrium Urgent Care facility -- were relocated to Huntersville. Finally, since many in our community commute to Charlotte, many Davidson residents shop extensively in Huntersville as well as frequent the restaurants.</p> <p>The NC state legislature definitely impacts these interconnections. If Davidson were suddenly in a district outside of Mecklenburg county, it would hurt Davidson’s ability to have its community of interest fairly represented.</p> <p>Finally and importantly, I urge you to make the redistricting process more transparent and inclusive. The 2011 redistricting cost NC citizens national prestige and many precious tax dollars that were lost to continuous litigation. Making the process more transparent and inclusive could help avoid this.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>First, all North Carolinians need a reasonable opportunity to participate. This would require more public hearings and virtual access for immuno-compromised individuals. In 2011 there were 63 public hearings, but this round only 14 are scheduled in spite of increased state population. Only one, inconveniently scheduled, meeting has been announced to allow comments about the draft maps. Most citizens will not be able to travel to Raleigh to participate in this single hearing.</p> <p>It may be too late to fix the confusion surrounding the first 13 meetings with their late notifications, incomplete addresses and no virtual access. It is not too late to schedule more meetings to allow people around the state to review and comment on draft maps. Please take steps to make this happen. I appreciate your help and willingness to listen to all voters.</p>
09/20/2021 03:36 PM	Mrs Roberta Powers		<p>Ever since Mr. Gerry drew a district resembling a salamander, gerrymandering has been a scourge, whether the districts were drawn by Republicans or Democrats. The only way for districts to be fairly drawn is by an independent, impartial entity, not by legislators. Voters deserve to choose their legislators, not the other way around.</p>
09/20/2021 03:58 PM	Mr Brian Kennedy	none	<p>I am writing to urge the committee to make a new district map that is fair and without political gerrymandering. It would be really nice to not see this plan dragged through the courts for years by making it reasonable and fair from the beginning.</p>
09/20/2021 04:03 PM	Mr. Cabot Thunem	Private Citizen, Retired	<p>Why not start with the program developed by Thomas Hofeller that won a national prize for redistricting. https://www.newsobserver.com/opinion/article253584314.html</p> <p>Nobody admits supporting Gerrymandering. Even my farthest right friends say they do not want to see districting maps; even when those maps give them a significant advantage. Even if you supposedly have to draw lines that break up communities. Do Not Gerrymander!</p>
09/20/2021 04:03 PM	Aaron Littlefield	Citizen of Jackson County	<p>As far as practicable, it would be appreciated if the the Western most Counties of Cherokee, Graham, Clay, Swain, Jackson, Haywood, Macon, Transylvania,, Madison, Mitchell, Yancey and Avery remain within a single congressional district, and undivided.</p> <p>These counties have all are mountainous, rural communities with similar needs and culture. No county mentioned herein is larger than 65,000 residents. The total population of the above counties is 354,158, as per the 2020 census.</p> <p>The addition of Buncombe County and Henderson County, while much larger in population, would bring the total District population to 739,891.</p> <p>NC's population per the 2020 Census is 10,439,388. A 14 way split would yield districts that have a population of 745,671 people after rounding. This leaves a gap of 5,780 after rounding.</p> <p>By uniting these 15 counties, a compact district that does not divide counties or community groups can be formed that needs only a few census tracts to be incorporated from a neighboring county in order to balance out to the proper number. The end result would be a coherent Western NC Congressional district that has both a populous county that leans Republican and a populous county that leans Democratic. It would result in keeping intact 15 mountain counties without unnecessary divisions. It would provide a clearly delineated districts with little confusion as to where our representation started and ended.</p> <p>Finally, it would make dividing the remaining 13 districts easier as they needn't carve out long, unpopulated rural tracts of land in Western NC.</p>
09/20/2021	Ms Julie Boyce-	Private citizen	<p>I feel very strongly that redistricting by the political parties has done a disservice to the voting public . Each party</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
04:22 PM	Hutzel		draws lines that favor their party. We need a citizen committee that will draw lines that serve the people and would require that the political leaders must answer to voters from each party to be re-elected. Only with this kind of system will the voters have a chance to guide policy and laws that affects their lives and some of the polarization of our people can be corrected.
09/20/2021 04:24 PM	Patricia McCall		I will not have faith in the objectivity of the redistricting results as long as it is partisan. Should always be done by an independent nonpartisan committee based on some established criteria.
09/20/2021 04:25 PM	Tricia Shapiro		<p>I live in the Spring Creek district of Madison County, currently in the NC-11 congressional district. Our family farm and off-farm business both rely on connections to customers, markets, and services in Asheville and Buncombe County, as do we ourselves personally. Greater Asheville encompasses a large outlying rural area, where many residents visit the city monthly, weekly, or more often for essential services (buying and selling goods and services, accessing medical care, and more) as well as for cultural and social activities.</p> <p>I'm writing today to urge those who are drawing new congressional and state legislative district maps to prioritize a) not dividing my own Madison County, b) not dividing Asheville and/or Buncombe County, which we consider our community as well, and c) ensuring that congressional district mapping reflects the important economic, cultural, and other community relationships that connect a wide rural area around Asheville with the city itself.</p> <p>I'm also writing to protest that not one of the in-person redistricting hearings is taking place in Asheville, the major city and population center in the western part of NC. Worse, not a single public hearing is scheduled to be held AFTER draft maps have been made public. We need better information to inform our comments, and the folks drawing the maps need to hear from constituents about their specific plans.</p>
09/20/2021 04:30 PM	Mr James A Clark Jr		I would like an independent committee to re work the political maps for fair drawing of the districts
09/20/2021 04:57 PM	Mr. Martin Hutzel		We must put an end to gerrymandering. The idea that politicians should choose their voters instead of voters choosing their representatives should be abhorrent to anyone who cares about democracy. A non-partisan committee should do the redistricting, not the party in power.
09/20/2021 04:58 PM	Mr Henry Doar	Private Citizen	I am appalled and have been for years that whenever there is a general election, Republicans always win an overwhelming majority of the seats in both the NC Legislature and US Congress! Of course, the reason is the disgraceful ways that this state has been gerrymandered to favor the election of Republicans to the State Legislature to ensure their legislative power! It is a disgraceful practice no matter which party is doing it! With NC now turning purple and more Democrats coming to the state, why is it that the district lines have been drawn to disenfranchise a number of black people and other people of color, as well as some white democrats? I do not understand why the NC Legislature would not want to empower ALL voters in the state with fair redistricting established by an independent commission. Taking the politics out of the equation is the only way, in my mind, that this can be done fairly and equitably for all NC voters. It is time to establish a NC Redistricting Commission with an equal number of Republicans and Democrats and an independent Judge as the presiding officer. Our democracy should not be run by a minority of the populace. Form an Independent Redistricting Commission NOW!
09/20/2021 05:04 PM	Ms Cecile Medford		I am asking, or rather demanding you to DISCONTINUE the "practice of splitting" Counties. Allow the "PEOPLE" to vote for the person of THEIR CHOICE, not the party on the ballot.
09/20/2021 05:22 PM	Jo Cloniger	Retired Charlotte Mecklenburg Principal	Greetings Committee Members Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you this afternoon. My name is Jo Cloniger and I have lived in Davidson, Precinct 206, for 15 years and I have also been fortunate to have lived in Cornelius and Huntersville. I attend Inclusion Community Church, I am an active member in Precinct 206, I am a Poll Watcher and I am an advocate for fair and affordable housing and racial equity. For 25 years I worked for Charlotte Mecklenburg students as a teacher, assistant principal and principal. I am a parent and grandparent to 6 grandchildren. In my days in education and as a volunteer now I have always believed in doing what is Right and Best for children and

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			adults. I am here today to talk about the redistricting process and what is Right and Best for current voters and the young people who will have the opportunity to vote in 10 years. The redistricting process needs to be transparent, it needs to provide the public with access to the draft maps before the hearings so the public knows what it commenting on and then publicly post the maps after the hearings as well, it needs to provide access to public hearings held in the evening for those who work and can't attend during the day, it needs to publish hearing locations with room numbers, it needs to share the redistricting criteria online as is done in Texas, it needs to provide the opportunity for virtual public testimony during pandemics as is done in Texas, it needs to allow for 4 minute public testimony as is done in Texas, and it needs to offer more locations for the public hearings especially in large counties like Raleigh. We need Public Hearings to be what is Right and Best for ALL.
09/20/2021 05:23 PM	Ms. Katharine Cannon		Redistricting must reflect the party affiliations of the population of the state. It is unconscionable that this state is almost evenly split on party lines and yet 10 of the 13 representatives were Republican until the last election, and it is still unbalanced at 8 to 5. Furthermore, Black Mountain must be in the same district as the rest of Buncombe County. Chuck Edwards does not represent this town.
09/20/2021 05:52 PM	M Woolley		District lines need to partisan and not left up to the ruling party to map out while "in power" to stay "in power". Every voice is part of our democracy.
09/20/2021 06:00 PM	Deanna Wilson	Unity in Community North Mecklenburg	Redistributing MUST be made by impartial means. The strength of our state and our nation depends on the give-and-take as well as the oversight of a 2 part system. Our representatives need to represent the residents to of our state equally, not just those who agree with their ideology.
09/20/2021 06:15 PM	Ms Rebecca Reid	Unitarian Universalist Fellowship of Hendersonville	It is imperative that fair maps be drawn in redistricting that truly reflect the population served by our representatives. This should not be done by party line as we know that can switch depending on the party in power. Do the right thing by insuring that every vote counts through a fair map plan.
09/20/2021 06:52 PM	Ms Tara Ewald		<p>My name is Tara Ewald and I live in Davidson, NC in NC Senate District 41.</p> <p>Davidson is strongly linked to Mecklenburg County. If Davidson were suddenly in a congressional district outside of Mecklenburg County, it would hurt Davidson's ability to have its community of interest represented fairly, as our schools, libraries, parks and other public funding need to be compactly bundled with those of our neighbors here, as opposed to being hastily swept into a neighboring county with differing priorities and communities.</p> <p>In addition, please make the redistricting process more transparent and inclusive.</p>
09/20/2021 07:19 PM	Mrs. Frances Smith		<p>I live in Candler, a short toke over the County line. Candler is NOT Enka or Pisgah. New homes are being built; and new businesses are opening. In a few years, Smokey Park Ave, Rt 19/23, will look more like the early Southside. Our views and needs more aligned with West Asheville/Leicester. Fletcher, which is presently included in this District has entirely different needs/problems, etc., and is too far away. Just because we're right across the Countyline, does not mean we are rural.</p> <p>On a separate issue, our present Representative, Brian Turner, is doing an excellent job serving his District. Our present Congressman has gone rogue, as far as representing this District.</p> <p>Thank you for your efforts in fairness and transparency.</p> <p>Frances L Smith</p>
09/20/2021 07:21 PM	Ms Julie Frey	NC resident	I want to choose my representatives. I do not want them to choose me by gerrymandering voting districts.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/20/2021 07:27 PM	Mts Meko McCarthy	WZA Consultant	<p>My name is Meko McCarthy I am a lifelong resident of the West Side of Charlotte, [add whatever you want of your personal story]</p> <p>I am here today to share with you information about my community, and ask that you respect us and keep us whole in any new districts that you draw.</p> <p>The area I am talking about today includes the West Blvd area (Bethel), Remont, Willmore, Spruce St, Merrimon), Clinton Park, Barringer, Stuart Drive, Brookhill and Southside Homes neighborhoods. [include a sentence about what these areas have in common] In the years I have lived here, my community has been marginalized, lied to, intentionally under-resourced, and excluded from decisions about growth and development that affect us directly.</p> <p>Where we used to have a local market where the owner knew us by name and would extend credit when someone needed it, we now have a food desert. We had recreation, including game rooms, clubs, and a center with a pool that was accessible to the entire community. A few years back, they closed that rec center and put in a YMCA. They said they were going to upgrade, but what they did is remove. The YMCA is not accessible to my community. You have to be a member, and it's not affordable for most people who live in my community. This happens over and over again to us. We're sold a bill of goods, and then they don't deliver.</p> <p>We need representation - at every level that is going to put OUR interests first. We need affordable housing and development neighborhood-centered vs. politically, economic and development centered.</p> <p>We need representation that will be accountable to US. I am asking the committee to keep the West Side Community, including the West Blvd area (Bethel), Remont, Willmore, Spruce St, Merrimen), Clanton Park, Barringer, Stuart Drive, Brookhill and Southside Homes neighborhoods, together inside any district that you draw, from state house to state senate to congressional districts. Do not divide this community. That would dilute our voting power, cause confusion during election time, and make it harder for us to advocate for the kinds of resources that serve our needs.</p> <p>Thank you for your time.</p>
09/20/2021 07:32 PM	Ms. Jacquelyn Doyle		I would like to see a fair, unbiased process that will ensure lines are drawn appropriately.
09/20/2021 07:46 PM	Mr. Ronald Hollmeier	myself	1)The location the Committee has selected for the Public Comment meeting has apparently been selected to minimize participation of people living in the 11th district. 2) The proposed redistricting maps are either not available or difficult to obtain thereby making the Comment Hearing a sham.
09/20/2021 07:55 PM	Rev. David Buck	Retired Rector, St. Alban's Episcopal Church, Davidson, NC.	My name is David Buck. I served as Priest in Charge at St. Alban's Episcopal Church, Davidson, NC, 2007-2017. During my last few years as a minister, I became increasingly heartbroken at the polarization in our country, seen occasionally in my parish. I would like to plead with the House & Senate Redistricting Committee members to be absolutely fair in your work. It is way past time to become TRUE patriots and cease the polarizing decisions that deliberately favor either the Republican or Democratic party. We will watch what you do and not be silent when we detect favoritism.
09/20/2021 09:03 PM	Dr. Carol Martin		<p>Redistricting for political gain is outrageous. Our Democracy was NOT established to create false narratives from those who keep their power by CHEATING. Keep politics out of redistricting and put in opportunities for those long without a voice to be heard! Use people who swear on our Constitution to choose Democracy over party on independent teams to redraw districts to support 'We thePeople' not the politicians who profit from gerrymandering! Democrats, Republicans, Independents must step back and choose country over party!</p> <p>History will remember what each of you chose to do in this, our country's most vulnerable time.</p>
09/20/2021 09:23 PM	Ms. Maryanne R. Rackoff	Neighbor	Do NOT ignore the US Supreme Court decision which found the prior districting obviously discriminatory. Many of you are lawyers and are sworn to uphold the US Constitution. Follow your oath.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/20/2021 09:29 PM	Ms. Gail Chauncey	Charlotte - 51st Precinct	<p>There should be many more hearings on this issue with a summary of the comments made available to those who request such.</p> <p>I am a voter declaring her opposition to North Carolina's extreme gerrymandering processes. A Pennsylvania pastor, who has taken a public stand, says: gerrymandering "demoralizes and disenfranchises voters, fragments communities, contributes to the hyperpolarization and ideological segregation of our politics, and undermines trust in government." Moreover, manipulating voting outcomes is offensive to the citizens of this state. It is time to end the insidious practice of mapping to steal political power. The North Carolina redistricting process should be non-partisan, transparent and constitutionally correct.</p> <p>Thank you, Gail Chauncey - 28209</p>
09/20/2021 09:46 PM	Ms. Emily Urbanek		<p>My question to the legislators is: why can't you base state and national legislative districts off of school districts, which someone else already had to work to define? Or base them on any other reasonably defined municipal area? We in the South Charlotte/Pineville area are sick of trying to figure out which district we're in this time around. It makes one feel as though one's vote does not matter. We also rely more on communication from FORMER representatives like Jeff Jackson, Natasha Marcus and Alma Adams than from current reps who appear to follow the party line and not care about our interests.</p>
09/20/2021 10:16 PM	Carolyn Cagle	Jackson County constituent	<p>First, I'd like to thank you for your time and encourage each of you to do your best to assure that we, as constituents, are heard and our concerns considered in your endeavors to create equal and fair districts for WNC.</p> <p>I'd like to respectfully and specifically address NC House redistricting and make suggestions as to how WNC counties can be grouped to best represent constituents who likely have common interests and needs. My suggestion is to group whole counties. Since county governments address the needs of all constituents in each county, it seems grouping whole counties is the best way to more easily and fairly represent voters and help with similar needs within these groupings.</p> <p>Here are the 3 groupings:</p> <p>The most western grouping is Cherokee, Clay, Graham and Macon whole counties</p> <p>The next grouping is the whole of Swain, Jackson and Transylvania counties</p> <p>This leaves the whole of Haywood and Madison counties for the last grouping.</p> <p>This approach would place neighboring counties together, provide good logistics and easier communication between legislators and constituents. This is, as I'm sure we all agree, the primary goal of having elected representation in the democracy we know as America.</p> <p>Thank you again for your time and for considering my suggestions.</p>
09/20/2021 10:16 PM	Linda Tatsapaugh		<p>This redistricting process is critical to all legislation going forward and should be done with the highest integrity. Preferably this would be by a nonpartisan commission. With that not being the case, I implore legislators to follow the spirit as well as the wording of the guidelines set forth. North Carolina is evenly split between the two major parties, and similar representation must be a possibility. Neither party should draw districts specifically for their advantage. Most districts should end up being competitive. Republicans spent much time and money trying to manipulate districts last time and lost; do better this time.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			I disagree with drawing districts in such a way as to preserve incumbents. It is by chance, in a sense, who is incumbent now, and one person should not influence the shape of a district that may last far past their tenure.
			Once districts have been drawn, there must be public hearings, with information easily accessible, so the voting public can weigh in on the results.
09/20/2021 10:53 PM	Mr Michael Pellegrini	Independent Voter and Private Citizen	<p>Dear Committee Members of both Houses:</p> <p>Thank you all for your service to our State. It is greatly appreciated by so many, including me. I am a private citizen and registered as an Independent Voter. I understand you all are affiliated with a party, yet according to the BOE statistics there are 2,429,561 unaffiliated voters in this State. About equal to the Democrats and Republicans. Yet each time redistricting rolls around, it seems every district is painted either Blue or Red by the Assembly or a Judge. Why can't there be an equal mix of the three constituencies or independent voter majority district? We should stop thinking along party lines or being loyal to a political party and consider the people and the common good of the State of North Carolina and the United States of America.</p> <p>Let us end this rancor and division that party absolutists and ideologists have placed upon us. Give us back citizen representatives and not party representatives at all levels of Government. Thank you and God bless you all as you do the work of the People.</p> <p>Regards, Michael Pellegrini Citizen of Raleigh in the Great State of North Carolina</p>
09/20/2021 11:32 PM	Ms. Mary Longstreth		Please, vote to establish NC as a state fair, democratic, easy for all citizens to vote without party-based discrimination. Disallow politically based redistricting gerrymandering !
09/20/2021 11:35 PM	Ms Sandra Bernard	AAUW	No gerrymandering
09/20/2021 11:44 PM	LaDean Peterson		I urge the legislators to create a fair, logical map which is created in a reasonable manner based on population using a model such as that created by Durham resident Nathaniel Fischer
09/20/2021 11:44 PM	LaDean Peterson		I urge the legislators to create a fair, logical map which is created in a reasonable manner based on population using a model such as that created by Durham resident Nathaniel Fischer
09/21/2021 05:36 AM	Ms. Karen Mallam		In your redistricting, keep Chatham County whole; don't split it.
09/21/2021 07:15 AM	Ms Jody Smith		<p>Dear Redistricting Committee Members,</p> <p>I have the following comments regarding the redistricting process:</p> <p>There should be more public hearings across the state. Currently, hearings are only planned in 10 counties. These locations are spread too far across NC to provide enough opportunities for public participation.</p> <p>Public hearings must be held across the state before and AFTER draft maps are released. There are currently no plans for public hearings around the state AFTER maps have been released. This is unacceptable. The public must have opportunities to weigh in on the proposed maps.</p> <p>The public should have an opportunity to call or videoconference into meetings to give oral comments. This will allow</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/21/2021 07:50 AM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	<p>citizens who can't travel or who have safety concerns due to COVID to participate.</p> <p>The public should be able to see the comments that have been submitted in the portal; these are part of the public record.</p> <p>The General Assembly must share a comprehensive redistricting timeline. Currently, information comes out sporadically and with insufficient notice of meetings.</p> <p>The NCGA's redistricting website must be improved so that the public can find information and easily understand the process.</p> <p>Sincerely, Jody Smith</p>
09/21/2021 07:50 AM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	<p>My name is Paige Anderholm. I am a recent graduate of Appalachian State University. I have lived and worked in the community of Boone for seven years. I am also a Redistricting Fellow with Campus Vote Project, an organization that is empowering college students to have a voice in their state's redistricting process. As a community, we are fortunate that we are not split within different districts. I am here on behalf of my campus and my community to ask you to keep this in mind as you redraw Congressional District 5, State Senate District 45, and State House District 93.</p> <p>Appalachian State University and the Boone community is nestled within a valley in the High Country. It is a place where you can literally walk uphill both ways, in the snow, to class. We sit in the upper corner of the state, near both the Virginia and Tennessee state lines. And while the area is predominantly white, we have a growing Hispanic community that is increasingly represented in a number of new small businesses. We champion local agriculture by frequenting the year-round Farmers' Market and the High Country Food Hub. We protect our rivers and our trails with the help of organizations like the Watauga Riverkeeper. Simply, we are very much tied to the mountains we live in.</p> <p>Boone and the surrounding area serves as a hub for growth in the High Country. It is filled with students, young families, and lots of new out-of-state transplants. Many students and local residents live in places vulnerable to flooding and in old houses that cannot cope with the rising temperatures. We are going through growing pains and need to be able to count on a representative who listens to our voices and understands our needs. We draw as many tourists from out of state, in particular Florida, as we have residents. In 2019, the area saw a 13.4% growth in tourism's occupancy tax, bringing in nearly \$5 million in revenue. Between the tourists and the new out-of-state residents, this small mountain community is getting bigger and bigger. But the growth is not all positive: Housing is expensive and out of reach for many of the long-time residents, 25.3% of whom live below the poverty line. This is also an issue for the student population, as most App students live off of campus. Appalachian State and the Boone community often have struggled in recent years over who should count as a member and who thus gets a voice. But ultimately, our community must remain together through the redistricting process. A shared political voice will be essential to building our growing community in a way that works for everyone who lives here. Because our community is diverse in its ideals, this is a non-partisan matter.</p>
09/21/2021 08:28 AM	Mrs Elaine Bagley	Retired Teacher	<p>These are just some of the reasons why our representation must be maintained. Thank you for your time today and have a great rest of your day.</p> <p>My Map of Appalachian State's Community of Interest: https://districtr.org/COI/47745</p> <p>We want fair maps drawn at the beginning, so that NC does not have to go through the expense and time of litigation as has happened in the past. We have clear direction from the Courts on what is permissible and what is not. Please adhere to those directions.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>Do not draw districts to favor the party in power, in this case the GOP. NC is an evenly divided Republican/Democratic state, and candidates from both parties should have an equal chance at winning. We want competitive districts, in which candidates will want to run, where we can debate different policies, and people will want to vote because the result is not predetermined.</p> <p>Please ensure that NC has more hearings across the state as the redistricting process moves forward, preferably more than in one location per Congressional district. I live in Asheville, which is large enough to have it's own meeting. There could be another meeting or two further west, convenient for those smaller towns in the 11th. In short, we want input into the process so that NC can benefit from fair maps and promote democracy.</p>
09/21/2021 08:43 AM	Mr Jeff Jennings		I strongly request that you support non-partisan redistricting efforts already underway in NC. The use of advanced computation to draw complex and inelegant boundaries is proven to erode democracy by making elections less competitive.
09/21/2021 08:50 AM	Jeanine Helms		I believe that electoral districts should be drawn fairly, impartially, and transparently. Communities must be protected and not split up to keep certain politicians in power. All North Carolinians must be fairly represented. Please hold more public meetings in more locations to gather voter input. Take moral leadership on this issue and end North Carolina's sad legacy of gerrymandering.
09/21/2021 08:55 AM	Equitable representation Doug Vanluvender	Senior democrats	Draw district lines without regard for party affiliation. Thank you for your hard work
09/21/2021 09:06 AM	Mrs. Leanne Cunningham		<p>To whom it may concern:</p> <p>I am Leanne Cunningham, resident of the Town of Black Mountain. We are a small community and suffer from occasional flooding of the feeder streams for the Swannanoa River so we are interested in mitigating the effects of climate change. We are located in the Eastern most section of Buncombe County, near I-40 at the top of Old Fort Mountain. Our small town has unique needs ranging from attracting sustainable businesses and industry and balancing this with the large amount tourism that currently drive our economy. We need to remain in the same district as Asheville because many of us work, shop, and participate in civic work in the Asheville area. If we are split, then we will basically be without any representation in Congress. That happened when we were placed in the 10th district and we do not want that to be repeated. I want to see districts divided based on population numbers and natural boundaries and without any protections for incumbents or any political party. They should be divided neatly, fairly, and keeping towns, cities, and college campuses within the same boundaries.</p> <p>I am ready for North Carolina to stop looking stupid in the eyes of the nation and finally do what is right and decent for ALL residents. We should set an example for other states when we redistrict fairly.</p> <p>Thank you for allowing me to express my views and I appreciate your consideration.</p> <p>Sincerely, Leanne Cunningham Black Mountain Resident</p>
09/21/2021 09:29 AM	Ms. Susan Sunflower	NAACP, W. Carolina Botanical Club, NC Native Plant	<p>To the 2021 NC Redistricting Committee * 21 September 2021 via the internet ...</p> <p>I'm Susan Sunflower, resident of Transylvania County since 2007. I live up a 2 mile mountain road, in a glorious native plant garden. I am happily active in Transylvania NAACP, Moving to Conservers, W. Carolina Botanical Club, NC Native</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
		Soc, Moving to Conservers	<p>Plant Society and others.</p> <p>My basic premise re voting is each adult gets to vote, no restrictions. Setting equitable vote districts is a major part of this civil right, the basic part of an inclusive, fair, state-wide policy and practice. This includes, among other points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> . Divide the geography fairly, contiguously, without funny hooks for current incumbents. Things change in all our lives and it's our job to constructively adapt for the greater good. CAVEAT - link geography with resources, so no district has them all. This is especially obvious in school district inclusion/exclusion, resources being based on local taxes. Equity counts! . Keep neighbors w/ neighbors: for example, place Polk in NC 48 with Henderson and Transylvania, all of which are much more similar in population and needs. . Keep river basins/watersheds and transportation corridors together - especially as climate change causes increasing damage, we need governmental & emergency response units able to act as one and quickly. This is another category of 'communities of interest'. . Precincts count too. I live out #178, Rosmam (on Middle Fork Fr. Broad) but vote 1/2 hr away at Conestee West Gate. Rosman is river and road flow logical. . Divide the population equally with no bias toward lumping people of any color together - no majority white especially. Nor districts of mostly Repubs nor Demos: fair mixes of electors are more likely to give balanced legislators so we could get on with governance instead of screaming and stalling. Remember the greater good principal, please, not party politics! . Support People of Color communities. Here in the mountains, such communities are significantly smaller than in large urban areas and so are often overlooked, possibly deliberately. Until we truly learn that human skin color is merely pigmentation, not race, this must be considered and accommodated. . Don't split up university or college campuses - these must be kept as integral communities <p>NEXT STEPS: ZOOM the rest of current hearings! INCLUDE ZOOM in all future ones as well !!!</p> <p>We NC citizens need - ANS DESERVE - a couple of weeks to respond the the coming draft redistricted maps. The short deadlines so far used in this process look like flagrant disregard for reasonable timelines and due dates. Then you/committee need to do a further review of our critique and suggestions of those new maps. And then, after fair input-time and review, publish final drafts.</p> <p>Inclusion is part of the democratic process, so please step up and do your part!</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Susan Sunflower ssflower2@gmail 41 Pine Rd, Rosman (physical) 102 College Station, Brevard 28712 (postal)</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/21/2021 09:57 AM	Ms. Margaret Gallagher	MCDP	<p>Redistricting has become such a fraught political issue, I'm afraid voters and their rights are given short shrift. There are so many problems facing the citizens of North Carolina, and citizens of the US, and of the world right now. There is such an urgency to do all we can to honor and protect democracy here at home, to find answers and a new way forward to protect our state, our nation, and our planet from the horrors of climate change. There is no time for petty politics. Can we not find common ground where Democrats, Independents and Republicans can come together to work for the greater good? Drawing district lines so that a minority is in charge is not what this country is about. It is certainly not what this country needs. Can we not put our better selves forward, for the good of all?</p> <p>Margaret Gallagher</p>
09/21/2021 10:25 AM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	<p>I am submitting a map of Belmont Abbey College as an area of interest. It must remain together as the districts are redrawn.</p> <p>Belmont Abbey is a small school originally founded by Benedictine monks and it is the only college in North Carolina that is affiliated with the Catholic Church. These ties and its small student-body size of 1,500 means that its physical community is especially important. Campus sits along the busy I-85 highway.</p>
09/21/2021 10:26 AM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	<p>I am submitting a map of Davidson College as an area of interest. It must remain together as the districts are redrawn.</p> <p>Davidson is a small private liberal arts school that is affiliated with the Presbyterian Church. Approximately 93% of its 1,983 students live on campus, which makes maintaining its representation important. It is just off of I-77, north of Charlotte.</p>
09/21/2021 10:32 AM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	<p>I am submitting a map of Duke University as an area of interest. Its campus and its surrounding community must be brought together as the districts are redrawn. The State House and State Senate districts currently cut this community of interest in two.</p> <p>Duke University is an elite private research university. Its campus of more than 15,000 students sprawls through the western portion of Durham and it is positioned between I-85 and I-40, just northwest of Raleigh.</p>
09/21/2021 10:33 AM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	<p>I am submitting a map of Guilford College as an area of interest. It must remain together as the districts are redrawn.</p> <p>Guilford is a small liberal arts school founded by Quakers. It has an enrollment of 1,400 students. It sits in an area of Greensboro that is quickly developing and encroaching upon Guilford's quiet campus.</p>
09/21/2021 10:36 AM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	<p>I am submitting a map of Lees-McRae College as an area of interest. It must remain together as the districts are redrawn.</p> <p>Lees-McRae is a very small private college affiliated with the Presbyterian Church. With only 952 students, the college sits in the small one stop-light town of Banner Elk. Its west of Boone and it is the highest college or university east of the Mississippi River at 3,720 feet. Like Appalachian State University students, the students of Lees-McRae need a voice as they face rising housing costs and a decrease in availability due to an increase of tourists in the area.</p>
09/21/2021 10:49 AM	Sue Gist		<p>I highly recommend that the practice of splitting counties be discontinued. For example, I live in Haywood County which is split between the east and west. NC 118 encompasses most of East Haywood and NC 119 is center and West Haywood. Is the split based on politics because there doesn't appear to be any other reason. The practice must be stopped.</p>
09/21/2021 11:05 AM	Tammy Herrmann		<p>Between 2011 and 2020, the NC General Assembly (Republicans) spent \$10.79 million in taxpayer dollars defending redistricting lawsuits. I am tired of this as this money could be well spent on education, health care or a number of other priorities. Draw fair maps that represent ALL North Carolinians.</p>
09/21/2021 11:24 AM	Nancy Larkin	Madison County Democrats	<p>It is time to draw fair maps so they represent the wishes of ALL North Carolinians!</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/21/2021 11:24 AM	3VC Karen Smith	NC 11 Democrats	<p>My name is Karen Smith, Third Vice Chair, District 11 Democrats, and Chair of Union Precinct in Macon County.</p> <p>I would like to voice my concern over the hearing schedule which has limited opportunities for comment and for not having a virtual option in a surging pandemic.</p> <p>I respectfully request the committee refrain from splitting counties or urban centers. Rutherford County has been split between US District 5 and 11. With regard to NC House boundaries, Haywood County is in a situation where a part of their County that includes Waynesville is in NC House district 119 instead of in 118 with the rest of the county. Cluster Graham with Swain and Jackson in NC House district 119 and put the whole of Waynesville in 118.</p> <p>As far as NC Senate boundaries are concerned Buncombe has historically been vulnerable to a carve out in the east and north to weaken the Democrat majority of the county. Do not place a boundary that dissects any of our urban areas in Western North Carolina. NC Senate District 47 should encompass Mitchell, Yancey and Madison, where as Polk shares much more in common with Henderson, and Transylvania in District 48.</p> <p>Our rural western counties share many common interests and our urban centers are critical to these surrounding counties. NC11 is 5th among state districts in income below poverty line and first in residents aged 65 and older. Both populations need access to the types of services affecting fixed and low-income populations. We are tied to Asheville for healthcare which is under assault by for-profit entities rendering our rural areas at life threatening risk due to the lack of emergent care and OB/GYN services. Affordable housing shortages and costs have skyrocketed in recent years driving many who work in Asheville to surrounding counties.</p> <p>Farming and tourism both require clean water, and a climate that permits the production of food and the enjoyment of visitors to our beautiful National Park and Forests. One in three Macon County children are food insecure. We are concerned about how our community will deal with extreme heat as the climate changes. Keeping the mountain watersheds within our boundaries will ensure our state House and Senate representatives can be accountable to our voters on clean water concerns, climate issues, as well as disaster response. Our district voting power should not be diluted or dissected. Our elected leaders must be accountable to the voters in Western North Carolina.</p> <p>Thank you for your attention to these concerns.</p> <p>REF: Congressional Redistricting Criteria and Considerations (Feb 25, 2021) https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/IN/IN11618</p>
09/21/2021 11:31 AM	Mr. Scott Dunham		<p>Redistricting should not be a partisan issue - at least not if your primary allegiance is to democracy instead of ideology. The whole point of having elections is to determine the will of the voters as accurately as possible. The whole point of partisan gerrymandering is to prevent that. I don't care which side is doing it - trying to pre-rig elections to a predetermined outcome is wrong. Political representatives should not be in charge of deciding who their voters are: voters should be picking their representatives in a fair and unbiased process. Do the right thing, politicians - many states can provide examples of non-partisan redistricting for you to use. Every ten years it won't hurt you to put the interests of the voters ahead of your own. If you want to win your elections, do it on the basis of better ideas and policies that attract votes, not because you figured out a way to cheat.</p>
09/21/2021 11:31 AM	Mr John Fisher		<p>The only criteria for redistricting should be population based on the most recent decennial census. No other demographic data should be used: partisan or racial, and as the NC Constitution requires, the districts should be contiguous and with no county splits. The redistricting process should be public and transparent.</p>
09/21/2021 11:32 AM	Tanya Chartier		<p>My name is Tanya Chartier. I am a resident of Davidson, NC, having moved here in 2002 when my husband took a job teaching at Davidson College. We love the community and being a part of Mecklenburg County. I'm writing to ask the</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>committee to make the redistricting process more transparent and to keep Davidson within a Mecklenburg County Political District.</p> <p>Davidson's identity within Mecklenburg County is strong and something I am proud of and want to continue into the future. We are linked to Huntersville and Charlotte in the community and appreciate the ties that exist within the governmental structures. I am a reading specialist and regularly see the strength of impact that being part of the Mecklenburg County Library system has for the children and youth with whom I work.</p> <p>Additionally, I am affiliated with a youth organization that is based in Charlotte and membership lines are drawn according to county in which one resides. The relationships that I've built with others in Mecklenburg County are important and redistricting would have consequential impact for me personally if Davidson were to suddenly be in a district outside of Mecklenburg County.</p> <p>Please be transparent and communicative about the redistricting process so that we can have fair representation.</p>
09/21/2021 11:34 AM	Mr. David SmithVoting		<p>Voting needs to be fair to have a functioning democracy. With this in mind do not gerrymand. Publish and widely publicize the proposed new districts before they are voted into law. Then hold hearings throughout the state for public comments. Vote on the new districts after the hearings. Thank you for allowing me to comment.</p>
09/21/2021 11:43 AM	Mrs. Judy Smith	None	<p>A nonpartisan committee should be established to draw up district lines without regard to political party input. The committee should be made up of willing citizens who are not elected or appointed officials but who are knowable of government and what a democracy entails.. To have districts already known to be one party or another with no chance of change defeats the purpose of elections. Greedy politicians must not be the ones to decide how people vote. Congressmen are actually more important as representatives than are Senators because Congressmen speak for a more localized population. They should actually know how their constituents think and what they want, regardless of party affiliation and that is how they should vote on issues presented to them in Congress.. If a Republican representative comes from a gerrymandered district in his party's favor, will he even listen to the other viewpoint? No. That is what happens when politicians draw up new district lines every year.</p>
09/21/2021 11:47 AM	Ms Margaret Davis	Mothers Work	<p>NC Voters deserve fair district maps (US House, NC House and NC Senate) so that they can elect officials who are accountable to them in their communities - rather than have officials rig the maps so that they pick their voters and unfairly influence election outcomes. We need to end gerrymandering to allow for representative government, by and for the people.</p>
09/21/2021 12:17 PM	Jeannette Cabanis-Brewin		<p>As a resident of NC 11, I have often been frustrated/perplexed by the way the district is formed. I really think that it is time for NC and other states to stop even considering how people vote when determining the shape of a district. What is more important, and what would make it possible for us to unite around common issues instead of having this D vs. R horse-race mentality, is if voting districts were based on common interests: the economic, environmental, geographic, historical and cultural realities that form the basis of our daily lives. For example, For example, I said that as our district's major commercial center, airport hub, and health/hospital center, Asheville needs to be in the 11th in its entirety--not just part of it, so the recent change was good, and it needs to stay this way. In general, it makes more sense to keep county boundaries the same as voting districts. How does it benefit citizen's to have their voting impact split when it comes to local, state, and national issues? Other types of districting--judicial, for example--follows county boundaries. Taking as an example, health care issues: the VA hospital and Mission Hospital system serve all 7 western counties, as well as the counties immediately around Buncombe, and as these entities are affected by policy decisions made at the Federal level, residents of these counties have to be able to vote as a bloc.</p> <p>Environmentally it's obvious why mountain counties ought to vote as a bloc, as environment is a key tourism resource</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/21/2021 12:17 PM	Richard Hicks		<p>for us, and that's our biggest industry, The counties north and west of Asheville have virtually nothing in common environmentally, historically, or economically with Polk and Rutherford. It would make more sense to include all the Appalachian counties (Watauga, Ashe) instead for this reason.</p>
09/21/2021 12:18 PM	Ms. Mary Goodkind		<p>I am writing to encourage the Committee to draw the proposed new legislative and congressional maps in a manner that will result in fair and equitable redistricting. For the sake of our democracy, it is imperative that the voters choose their representatives, rather than the other way around. For too long, North Carolina's political districts have unfairly divided the electorate along racial and political lines. This must be corrected, and the Committee has the mandate - and the power - to begin the process of making the necessary corrections. I urge the Committee and the General Assembly to consider the principles of fairness, equity, and the good of all of the people of our State as you go about your work. Thank you for your thoughtful consideration of my comments.</p>
09/21/2021 12:19 PM	Charles Palmer		<p>I am a voter in Buncombe County. I hope you will allow for another period of public input after preliminary maps are drawn so that the voters most affected by changes will have a chance to communicate with you. I hope you will encourage young voters by making maps on college campuses make sense. I hope you will honor rural and poor communities where transportation to voting locations is more of a challenge. I know this is a challenging process with a lot of competing interests but I hope you will keep in the forefront the need for all voters to have their vote matter. That will make or break our democracy which is precious.</p> <p>North Carolina is in trouble. Our democracy has been disabled by severe partisan gerrymandering and our state governance is suffering greatly. In order for our system to work at its best, politicians and political parties must be able to be held accountable by the voters. Data from the 2016 and 2020 elections show clearly that gerrymandering has skewed the results of our elections in a way that makes it impossible for the general voting public to hold our elected officials accountable for good governance. As a result, North Carolina has had divided and dysfunctional government for over a decade.</p> <p>We must solve this problem through our current redistricting process and allowing the same legislature who disabled our democracy in the first place to draw district lines based on the 2020 census is unfair and guaranteed to continue to hurt our state's governance. We need a bi or non partisan commission set up to redistrict. The data is clear that our state districts are unfairly rigged to produce specific partisan results and the only way we can get our democracy back is to take the job of redistricting out of the hands of the very politicians who made it this way in the first place and who stand to gain from rigging the game in their favor again.</p> <p>The following statewide total vote percentage data from the 2020 Election are compiled from election results published on Ballotpedia:</p> <p>President Republican 50.7% Democrat 49.3% Senate Republican 50.9% Democrat 49.1% Governor Republican 47.7% Democrat 52.3%</p> <p>These data clearly show that on a state-wide basis, North Carolina is essentially a 50-50 state between Republicans and Democrats with Republicans having a 1-2 percentage point advantage. Republicans won the 2020 Presidential and Senate races by slim percentage margins but Democrats won the 2020 Governorship by 4.5 percentage points.</p> <p>Redistricting won't affect statewide races, but in a 50-50 state, we see the effect of the severe partisan gerrymandering on our Congressional Representation, and our representation in the North Carolina General Assembly.</p> <p>Here are the vote totals from the same 2020 election adding all the votes from all the Congressional districts state-wide.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
		State-wide Congress Republicans 50.3% Democrats 49.7%	<p>Despite the close state-wide vote totals (which mirror the presidential and senate votes), because of gerrymandering, the North Carolina Congressional delegation ended up with 9 Republicans and 4 Democrats. Republicans received barely 50% of the vote but that netted them 69.2% of the Congressional Representation. Representation nearly 20 points higher than the actual vote total. This is a direct result of gerrymandering and unfair districts and the data shows that clearly.</p> <p>In Congressional races, winning candidates received an average percent of total district vote of 64.8% (almost 30 point advantage for winner). Races with that kind of margin, were never in doubt. 11 of the 13 Congressional races in the 2020 election (85%) ended up with a spread of more than 10 percentage points. This means that 85% of all congressional races were actually decided in the partisan primary and the opponents in the general election had no chance of winning. These districts were decided by partisan gerrymandering and therefore democracy did not exist in these districts.</p> <p>Here is the breakdown of the 2020 North Carolina State Senate races adding all the districts together for statewide result.</p>
		State-Wide State Senate Republicans 51.0% Democrats 49.0%	<p>Despite the close state-wide vote totals (which mirror the presidential and senate votes), because of gerrymandering, the North Carolina State Senate delegation ended up with 28 Republicans and 22 Democrats. Republicans received 51% of the vote but that netted them 56% of the State Senate Representation. Representation 5 percentage points higher than the actual statewide vote total. This is a direct result of gerrymandering and unfair districts.</p> <p>In NC State Senate races, winning candidates received an average percent of total district vote of 63.6% (a 27+% point advantage for the winner). Races with that kind of margin, were never in doubt. 42 of the 50 State Senate races in the 2020 election (84%) ended up with a percentage spread of more than 10 points. This means that 84% of all NC State Senate races were actually decided in the partisan primary and the opponents in the general election had no chance of winning. These districts were decided by partisan gerrymandering, not by fair, democratic elections.</p>
		State-wide State House Republicans 51.6% Democrats 48.4%	<p>Despite the close state-wide vote totals (slightly higher percentage than the presidential and senate votes for Republicans), because of gerrymandering, the North Carolina State House delegation ended up with 74 Republicans and 46 Democrats. Republicans received 51.6% of the vote but that netted them 61.7% of the State House Representation. Representation over 10 percentage points higher than the actual statewide vote total. This is a direct result of gerrymandering and unfair districts.</p> <p>In NC State House races, winning candidates received an average percent of total district vote of 66.4% (a 32+% point advantage for winner). Races with that kind of margin, were never in doubt. 98 of the 120 State Senate races in the 2020 election (81.7%) ended up with a percentage spread of more than 10 points. This means that 84% of all NC State Senate races were actually decided in the partisan primary and the opponents in the general election had no chance of winning. These districts were decided by partisan gerrymandering, not by fair, democratic elections.</p> <p>In every statewide election, the vote totals were very close to 50-50 with a slight edge to Republicans, but in every case where districts were drawn within the state, the Republican party ended up with 5 to 20 percentage points higher than</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>they earned at the ballot box. The only explanation for this is that the districts were gerrymandered to give them that advantage. This erases the votes of thousands of voters in North Carolina and disables our democratic process. With this kind of rigged game, our political process is disabled and stagnated. The results in the 2016 election show an even worse pattern of over representation in comparison to actual vote totals.</p> <p>It doesn't matter which political party is in charge. What matters is that our leaders can be held accountable by the people through the democratic process. The Republicans in the General Assembly have spent 10 years defending their severe partisan gerrymandering in court and there is no evidence that they will work to solve the problem they created and have worked so tirelessly over a decade to keep in place.</p> <p>We need free and fair elections. We cannot let politicians redistrict our state who can personally benefit from rigging the system in this way. They have already done it, they will continue to do it, and it is a serious detriment to our state for it to continue.</p> <p>Don't let our system get rigged! We need a bi or non partisan redistricting committee to produce fair districts. We will all benefit from a working democracy.</p> <p>Charles Palmer 23 Spring Lake Drive Mills River, NC 28759</p>
09/21/2021 12:26 PM	Master Jackson Crickenberger		<p>Will Wake County or more specifically Raleigh residents have an opportunity to hear from and address public officials regarding redistricting? I know there was a hearing in Durham but let's be real. No one is getting from Raleigh to Durham by 6:00 during rush hour.</p>
			<p>Thank You</p>
09/21/2021 12:37 PM	Dr. Jessamyn Bowling		<p>I would like to urge the Committees to provide transparency and to seek fairness in the map-drawing process. Our community's abilities to collectively provide their voice should be upheld.</p>
09/21/2021 01:06 PM	Aylett Colston	Raleigh-Apex branch of NAACP	<p>Wake county is now well over 1 million people, so well by necessity be divided into more than one voting district for Congress and state legislature.</p> <p>Because of the history of racially discriminatory electoral practices in North Carolina, the NCGA should conduct a racially polarized voting study and use racial data in an open and transparent way in order to ensure that minority voters have the opportunity to elect representatives of their choice when drawing voting districts.</p> <p>Federal courts have told the NCGA that when it comes to ensuring that members of a minority group subject to past discrimination are treated fairly, the NCGA <i>must</i> use racial data.</p> <p>The Courts told the NCGA, in NC v. Covington – a case unanimously upheld by the US Supreme Court – that legislators should “consider the impact of a districting plan on minority groups, including groups of voters previously subject to race-based discrimination. And . . . rely on race-conscious districting to protect the interests of members of minority groups subject to past discrimination.”</p> <p>The Covington case is one of four cases where courts found that the NCGA had enacted racially discriminatory electoral practices since 2013; clearly a history of past discrimination has been established.</p> <p>Black voters should not be packed unnecessarily into majority minority districts, lessening their overall voting power across the state; nor should communities with a large number of black voters are be cracked into several districts</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			unnecessarily and in ways that do not reflect other natural boundaries communities of interest.
			College campuses should not be split into multiple voting districts. Particularly the campuses of Saint Augustine's and Shaw University should not be divided up.
			In order to communities of interest as intact as possible, North Carolinians should have ample opportunity to review and comment on draft maps after they have been proposed. North Carolinians are experts on their own communities.
09/21/2021 01:09 PM	Ms Karen Hawk	NC Resident, Indivisible Highlands	<p>Thank you for taking comments</p> <p>The process demands fairness for determining Congressional and State official election districts in NC. A fair process starts with adopting a non-partisan redistricting commission .</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Schedule hearings before and after map drawing. <p>I read that Chairman Hise on August 18,2021, stated that the committee does not plan to hold statewide public hearings AFTER maps are released to the public. In 2011, after draft maps were released, public hearings were held in 19 sites. North Carolinians know their communities best and our feedback to legislators is invaluable.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2)Establish a website for all Redistricting info. <p>All Redistricting information, including that pertaining to public hearings. should be posted in a centralized and easily accessible website. The current use of committee pages on ncleg.gov does not meet the standard required (see TX, VA, CA for website examples).</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3) Allow Voters to choose their representatives, not representatives choosing who they wish to represent. <p>NC is a 50/50 state and fair maps must include competitive districts, districts where either party could win- as happens in statewide elections.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4)Must insure compliance with the Voting Rights Act. 5) Communities of Interest should not be split between districts. <p>(Such as colleges, universities, small cities and other communities of common interest.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6) Reallocate prisoners district/s from where census says they reside (the prison), to their last known address prior to incarceration. <p>Sincerely, Karen Hawk</p>
09/21/2021 01:27 PM	Ms Colleen Borst		Please stop partizan redistricting and create a fair, logical method based on information that can be used from now on.
09/21/2021 01:42 PM	Mr. Blair Pollock		<p>Honorable Legislators:</p> <p>Please do the pending redistributing with an eye towards rebuilding faith in American Democracy by creating a non partisan group to give you proposed districts that could be far more competitive and representative of the people in geographically contiguous areas that already are recognizable with existing common interests , infrastructure etc</p> <p>Other states have used this non partisan approach with apparent success. I hope you will consider any strategy that gives voters and now-discouraged voters reason to again believe that their vote matters</p> <p>Thank you for doing the hard and perhaps "risky" work of a real redistricting.</p> <p>Blair Pollock</p>
09/21/2021 01:47 PM	Sue Gist		I live in Haywood County. The county is split into two districts. However, the concerns and needs of the people in Haywood County are similar in nature. The East part is NC 118 while the center and West part of the county is NC 119. The county should be one district. I urge the committee to correct this situation and unify Haywood County.
09/21/2021 02:17 PM	K. C. Rodgers	Horse Shoe Precinct Democrats Co- Chair in	Consider a bi-partisan commission determined to give each eligible NC voter an equal voice in all elections. Districts should be compact with no divisions of small towns and cities and no split college campus. Let candidates win or lose based on issues, not geography. Thank you.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/21/2021 02:25 PM	Deborah Holden	Henderson County	North Carolina is a very purple state with half of the population voting Republican and half Democratic. We need to have that same fairness in our legislative bodies. Gerrymandering is an unfair practice which robs citizens of their voices. I live in Buncombe Co. which is routinely denied a voice in the US House of Representatives. It is very important that our electors represent all of the constituents. Instead we have representation that speaks only to the extreme right. If district lines were more fairly drawn, I believe we would have a more balanced approach and less partisanship. Middle of the road politics serves everyone well. Neither far left nor far right allows for compromise and good government that acts in its citizens best interest.
09/21/2021 02:26 PM	Ms Patricia Salomon		Voting districts should be configured so voters can choose their candidates not so candidates can choose their voters. It is dishonest and immoral to design districts that do the latter. I am looking forward to fair districts designed in good faith.
09/21/2021 02:48 PM	Dr Robert Bryant	Private Citizen	It's critical that NC redistricting fairly apportion Communities with like needs. NC11 has been drawn to maximize right-wing power, unfortunately leading to election of an ineffective demagog as our representative in Washington. This is causing harm to the liberal cities NC11 and means I have no say in matters before the US House. Democrats must stand strong to protect our Democracy. It is bad that the Brennan Center lists NC as one of the 4 states that are playing power politics with reapportionment. Let's fix things and get off that list. R Bryant, Asheville.
09/21/2021 02:53 PM	Dr. Caroline Swartz		<p>I have been a resident of Huntersville for the past 16 years. I taught at UNC Charlotte for 14 of those years. When it comes to defining community, Huntersville has a strong orientation to both the northern part of Charlotte and the remaining northern part of Mecklenburg county. Shopping and recreation extends across town and city borders. School districts are integrated. We are part of a whole that should not be divided during redistricting.</p> <p>To be fair, redistricting must be judged by the outcome. North Carolina voters are nearly evenly split between the parties, yet the results of the elections overwhelmingly favor Republicans even when turnout is comparable. That cannot be considered fair.</p> <p>I urge you to be pragmatic for the benefit of all of North Carolina's citizens. Attorneys are wealthy enough. We do not need redistricting maps that take precious funds that could be used for schools and spend those funds instead defending maps.</p> <p>Further, uncertainty about redistricting has a chilling effect on potential candidates. I know one Congressional candidate who has stopped raising money and campaigning for 2022 because this person cannot, in good conscience, spend money to campaign when the campaign activities might not even be in the right district.</p>
09/21/2021 02:59 PM	Mr. Marshall Montgomery	Individual	<p>I am counting on you to do the right thing.</p> <p>Caroline Swartz</p> <p>We need fair and balanced redistributing, not the type given to us by the criminally convicted Rep. David Lewis. His efforts were judged by the 4th Circuit Court of Appeals to be done with surgical precision to design districts to minimize the impact of people of color and maximize the chances of Republicans to win seats beyond their gross registrations. It is past time to stop the lies and manipulation and restore a sense of fairness. Voters should be able to choose their representatives, not politicians with questionable motives to maintain power.</p>
09/21/2021 03:07 PM	Carole Stevens		Since I have moved to North Carolina in 2018 I have been a member of two different congressional districts without changing my address. In order for all the people of Western North Carolina to be represented I want to see districts that align with geography and not ideology. Please do not split Asheville or attempt to split college campuses in the

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/21/2021 03:13 PM	Barbara Randolph		redistricting process. Do not create districts that are so gerrymandered that they are featured in books and television as national embarrassments, as in 2010. Thank you.
			<p>Good afternoon and my name is Barbara Randolph. I moved to Davidson in 2017 after living abroad most of my life. My love for the town and its' vibrant and caring community has only grown. That same spirit of community engagement inspired me, early on, to give as much as I could to various organizations and causes. Much of the work is accomplished however when groups band together and similar concerns are shared by the three towns of Davidson, Huntersville, and Cornelius. Many pressing problems are shared by all three. For many of those same problems, a fight to solve one, would be a viable solution for the other two. Many organizations on the front lines of helping vulnerable populations actually work across the boundaries of these three townships.</p>
			<p>I want to urge the committee to make the redistricting process more transparent and to keep the town of Davidson within a Mecklenburg County Political District. The NC state legislature can impact these interconnections. If Davidson were suddenly in a district outside of Mecklenburg county, it would hurt Davidson's ability to have its community of interest fairly representative. We are not outliers.</p>
			<p>I also urge you to make the redistricting process more transparent and inclusive.</p>
			<p>The 2011 redistricting had many flaws that cost NC citizens national prestige and many precious tax dollars lost to continuous litigation. Making the process more transparent and inclusive could help avoid this.</p>
			<p>First, all North Carolinians need a reasonable opportunity to participate. This would require more public hearings and virtual access for immunocompromised individuals. In 2011 there were 63 public hearings, but this round only 14 are scheduled in spite of increased state population. Only one, inconveniently-scheduled, meeting has been announced to allow comments about the draft maps. Most citizens will not be able to travel to Raleigh to participate in this single hearing.</p>
			<p>It may be too late to fix the confusion surrounding the first 13 meetings with their late notifications, incomplete addresses and no virtual access. It is not too late to schedule more meetings to allow people around the state to review and comment on draft maps. Please take steps to make this happen!</p>
09/21/2021 03:15 PM	Mr Colin Tobias		<p>When it come to redistricting I hope the legislature will have district lines drawn without regard to party affiliation or past voting. Will make compact, contiguous districts that have no split precincts, towns, or communities of interest. I also expect to see maps that comply with existing court rulings to avoid costly litigation. Anything less than this is unacceptable!</p>
			<p>Thank you</p>
09/21/2021 03:34 PM	Anne Rayfield		<p>Be fair with redistricting! We are watching! I live in northern Gaston County. Congress:CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 5 NC Senate:NC SENATE DISTRICT 43 NC House:NC HOUSE DISTRICT 110 Thank you!</p>
09/21/2021 03:37 PM	DEM SEC Member William Frykberg	Rutherford County Democratic Party	<p>The new legislative districts need to be compact and contiguous. Currently the 112th Assembly District includes Rutherford County and a few precincts in Burke County. Rutherford and Burke Counties are separated by a huge State Park (South Mountain State Park) and a State Forest area. Roads between the counties are few and far between. It would be much more logical to put Polk and Rutherford Counties in 1 District. The area shares a community College (Isothermal Community College) , A Multi County Health Dept, and a MultiCounty Planning District. The area is tied together by an excellent Road System.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>The 47th Senate District extends from Tryon to Spruce Pines to Hot Springs. Polk, Rutherford, McDowell, Yancey, Mitchell and Madison Counties are in this district. This is a district that was designed to be Republican forever. In times past Rutherford and Cleveland Counties were together as one Senate District. This should happen again.</p> <p>At the Congressional Level Rutherford County is split in two. The western side including Rutherfordton, Lake Lure and Chimney Rock are in the 11th District while Forest City and Spindale are in the 5th. This 5th Congressional District extends almost to Winston Salem and guarantees no Democrat will ever represent the area. Surely we can do better than that.</p> <p>I look forward to you making positive Change to create compact contiguous districts which share common interests.</p> <p>William Frykberg Rutherford County Democratic Party SEC Member</p>
09/21/2021 03:51 PM	Suzanne Hair		I am not able to attend the meeting, but feel strongly about redistricting. We must have an independent redistricting process; a non partisan system that ensures the lines are drawn fairly and districts represent the communities they serve. I recommend we follow the Iowa model for our redistricting.
09/21/2021 03:56 PM	Mrs. Kathy Fruge	- None -	My name is Kathy Fruge. I live in High Point (Guilford County) and am a registered voter in CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 6, NC SENATE DISTRICT 27, and NC HOUSE DISTRICT 62. I live in the Gables development off of Skeet Club Road, close to the Johnston Street intersection. My development and the adjoining neighborhoods have diverse populations in terms of race, age, and political affiliation, and we deserve to be in districts that are reflective of that diversity. We are concerned about the same issues as those of other similar communities across the state: containing the COVID-19 pandemic, fair immigration policies, climate change, affordable housing, affordable child care, affordable education and training, affordable healthcare, and healthy, affordable food, to name a few. In addition, our community is highly impacted by High Point University and the nearby hospital and healthcare facilities. For all of these reasons, political maps should be drawn to be reflective of the community.
09/21/2021 03:57 PM	Stuart Wactlar	Retired	<p>I advocate for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - district lines that should be drawn without regard to party affiliation or past voting - contiguous districts that should be grouped together by a logical, physical view rather than a political view - no split precincts, towns, or communities so voters are grouped together that have similar concerns for fair representation - maps must comply with existing court rulings to avoid waste of time and resources due to costly, time consuming litigation
09/21/2021 04:13 PM	Ms Linda Perdue		Redistricting should be done by a bipartisan group. This way voting will be fair for all North Carolinians. Please be fair to all voters and the constitution
09/21/2021 04:41 PM	Redistributing comment Donna stewart	Chatham County Republican Executive Cmte	<p>As you consider redistricting the State of NC, please remember that some counties, like Chatham, have a small population of about 75,000 people. A democratic republic needs information and education to survive and operate in its best form. When small counties are redistricted into two parts, it is difficult to reach all your people with adequate information about their candidates. Often , part of a county becomes a step child because it includes so few precincts. This is a NON-PARTISAN issue. Please consider this issue carefully before you give us all new district homes. Thank you.</p> <p>Donns Stewart</p>
09/21/2021 04:43 PM	Mary Elizabeth Voss		<p>Hi,</p> <p>My name is Mary Elizabeth Voss, but I use Liz. I have been a resident of Mecklenburg County for 10 years. Previously, while living in Rochester, NY for 15 years and before that in Connecticut, I have worked for more than 30 years with</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>various organizations fighting for fair elections. Since moving to Mecklenburg County, I have continued this work for at least 9 years.</p> <p>I believe the strong links between Huntersville, Cornelius, and Davidson are a community. Both Davidson and Cornelius are linked to Huntersville by the strong presence of the area's major medical organizations. Novant and Atrium provide services in each of the three communities with both the Novant Hospital in Huntersville and Atrium Urgent Care used by most.</p> <p>It is difficult to make intelligent comments about any maps as there are neither draft maps nor clear criteria provided for the maps that are proposed for these communities. I strongly support maintaining the original map of Mecklenburg County; that all of Huntersville, Cornelius, and Davidson remain within the confines of Mecklenburg and are not redrawn into any other County. As I have lived in each town, I know and rely on the strong sense of community linking them all. In addition, I understand NC is claiming race is not a criteria, but I am concerned that race may be involved when the final maps are drawn. That is not acceptable.</p> <p>I hope you will schedule future meetings to allow people to review and comment on the draft maps. Once we have a chance to review the proposed maps, we will be able to make helpful, reasonable suggestions. In short, please make the process more transparent, inclusive, and fair so the process does not create a need for litigation.</p> <p>Thank you, Mary Elizabeth Voss</p>
09/21/2021 04:55 PM	Sylvia Casey	Mecklenburg County	I am writing to request that Mecklenburg County not be redrawn. Please keep my county whole inside congressional district 12.
09/21/2021 04:59 PM	Mr Brian Smith		We would very much like to see an independent organization draw the districts in as compact fashion as possible. We firmly believe that gerrymandering is one of the most anti-democratic hack jobs that is currently being used to allow politicians to choose their own voters and feel that is totally wrong and unfair to constituents.
09/21/2021 05:08 PM	Mr. David Rhodes		Make the district more competitive and reflective of its population make up.
09/21/2021 05:12 PM	Dalton Lanier		<p>North Carolina should consider redistricting counties by Interstate Travel and Local Highways. Many times counties are tied to districts that are not completely representative of the same members in the community. By using this data voters are put into a fairer district that is more reflect to the region has a whole, such as the Triangle or Triad. For an example, Counties like Davidson, should be included with other larger counties like Forsyth and Guilford, as they do not frequent counties like Caswell and Person as much, even though they share the same district and Representative. I believe this method would give North Carolina the best representation possible, decrease the partisan gerrymandering, and be an example for other states to follow.</p> <p>Thank You</p>
09/21/2021 05:16 PM	Rebecca Morris		I expect the NCGA to create FAIR maps and I call on you to ensure that this year's redistricting process is also transparent, timely and inclusive. Our communities deserve to have the power to choose their own representatives based on that communities needs and concerns. No political party should be able to choose their own constituents. It is critical that you ensure that no gerrymandering occurs during this process, our democracy's survival depends on it. I think a non-partisan third party organization should be responsible for or have oversight of the redistricting process.
09/21/2021 05:25 PM	Ms. Delores Johnson Hurt		After close to 60 years of voting, it saddens and enrages me to see partisan legislators make it harder for me to elect representatives who reflect my views and those of half of the voters in North Carolina. Gerrymandering has essentially made me voiceless and voteless and I hope that before long this system of malpractice will be destroyed in favor of

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/21/2021 06:01 PM	Dr. Ruth Ault	Retired from Davidson College	<p>one that fairly represents a democratic good for all people and not a few.</p> <p>I have been a Davidson resident for 42 years, coming here first to be a professor at Davidson College and now, living here in my retirement. Virtually all of my activities and interests are focused within Mecklenburg County, from volunteering at the Davidson branch of the Charlotte Mecklenburg Library, to attending book clubs at the Cornelius, Huntersville, and Davidson branches of the library. I attend performances of the Charlotte Symphony Orchestra and am a member of their Board of Trustees. I visit Charlotte museums and the Raptor Center in Huntersville. All of my doctors and dentists are in Huntersville or Charlotte. When I travel, I use the Charlotte Douglas airport. Thus, as a voter, I would like to be able to influence directly the decisions that are made within my county of residence. I urge you keep Davidson within a Mecklenburg County legislative district.</p>
09/21/2021 06:22 PM	Mrs Jodie Rippy	Independent voice	<p>There is no need to redistrict. Everyone knows why they want to do it The way it stands now is lawful and just . There is no need to change</p>
09/21/2021 06:55 PM	Mr. Tarik Kiley	N/A	<p>When redistricting, please consider the diversity of our community, and the need for diverse people to have representation. Also, work to be inclusive. The interest of the entire community are greater than that of any political party or entrenched interests.</p>
09/21/2021 07:08 PM	Gino Nuzzolillo		<p>Good evening, members of the Joint Redistricting Committee.</p> <p>My name is Gino Nuzzolillo, and I've been a resident of Durham for the last 5 years, during which I've seen increasing demand for representation that can help address resource inequities and meet head-on the housing, healthcare, and infrastructure challenges my growing region faces. I'm here today to demand a fair, timely, inclusive, and transparent redistricting process – a process we have not yet received – to get us the very representation we deserve. I also request that Durham County be kept whole in any congressional maps, and that Durham be grouped with counties that have similar issues, not packed in with places as far as Elizabeth City – two regions with very different issues.</p> <p>I work everyday with dedicated North Carolinians who want a better future for their communities and votes that matter. They've done the work the NCGA would not to educate their neighbors about the importance of this year's redistricting cycle. I understand their anger at what's already becoming a sham process, designed to make it as difficult as possible for North Carolinians to take part.</p> <p>So far, we've witnessed your Committee decide redistricting criteria in less than 72 hours with little notice for the one early morning public hearing in Raleigh. Your committee then slashed the number of public hearings this year to 13, a marked decrease since 2011 with no hearings in the state's two most populous counties; thus far you have provided no livestream or video conferencing from hearings – an option even Texas has provided to their residents – nor language interpretation options. Add to that, you have even refused to guarantee hearings after maps are revealed. North Carolina Deserves Better.</p> <p>The data to draw maps has been available for more than a month – under the current timeline, draft maps could easily be available in the coming weeks. The Committee should release member maps and hold more hearings across the state in September and October. These hearings should be accessible to every North Carolinian, which means language access, the ability to livestream testimony, and the ability to provide testimony via video conference. For in person hearings, the Joint Committees ought to provide PPE AT ALL HEARINGS and hold them at times that are convenient for working people. All aspects of the public hearing – including recordings and testimony given – should be made publicly available.</p> <p>This is the bare minimum that North Carolinians deserve. I urge you to do better. Thank you for your time.</p>
09/21/2021	President Collette	African American	<p>? My name is Collette Alston, I live in Precinct 102 within Mecklenburg County and I am the President of the African</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
07:38 PM	Alston	Caucus of Mecklenburg County	<p>American Caucus of Mecklenburg County.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ? The main challenges that the African American Caucus experiences within the Black community of Mecklenburg County are: ? Access to Healthcare ? Housing Affordability ? Criminal Justice Reform ? Charlotte-Mecklenburg School System ? Voter Education <p>This last point – Voter Education – ties back directly to each of these issues. Your precinct number determines which hospitals, urgent care facilities, and pharmacies are in your area. Your precinct number is indicative of if your area has affordable housing or not. Your precinct number is the tie to the number of Black bodies in the jails and prisons in your County and State. Your precinct number determines if you are in a school district with less-than adequate educational enforcements and standards. For all of the representatives in the majority of the elected offices on our ballots here in Mecklenburg County (and all counties throughout the United States of America), your precinct is tied to your representative. These representatives are tied to districts. The determination of these districts is why we are here today. Districts must be drawn that are compact and do not split precincts. Districts should share similarity in area, for example, a City/Town District should be similar to a County District, a State Senate District, a State House District, a U.S. Congressional District, a State Superior Court District, a District Attorney District...you get the picture. Smaller cities and towns should not be divided to protect incumbents or any particular political party. On behalf of the African American Caucus of Mecklenburg County, I thank this Committee for your attention.</p>
09/21/2021 07:47 PM	MS CYNTHIA STRAIN		<p>NC has been mocked because we are so gerrymandered. It's not only embarrassing, it's unfair to NC voters. NC has as many Democrats as Republicans, yet gerrymandering has been devastating to Democrats, who hold far few seats in the NC and US legislatures.</p> <p>Maps need to make districts competitive, not protect incumbents or one party over another. Districts should not divide communities. Our mountain counties and cities should be represented together and not "cracked" or "packed."</p> <p>Republicans spent \$10,000,000 of TAXPAYER money to defend their gerrymandered maps, which were determined to be unconstitutional. What a waste of our money! The new maps should follow the guidelines of the court and prevent such unnecessary, expensive litigation.</p> <p>More hearings need to be held after maps are proposed so that citizens have a chance to give input on draft maps. The locations must be accessible to all North Carolinians who wish to take part. They should also be virtual.</p> <p>Thank you for taking my comments.</p>
09/21/2021 07:59 PM	Rosalind Blackwell		<p>The new state legislative district should include all of Moore County, plus the northern end of Cumberland County. One Congressional District should include all of Moore County and the district should be rooted in the population where we live.</p>
09/21/2021 08:02 PM	Ms. Barbara Proffitt	Mecklenburg County Precinct 218	<p>I'm Chair of Matthews Precinct 218. I have two requests.</p> <p>First, I ask that you keep all of Matthews in a single Congressional District. Many work, shop, and play together in this area. We have similar interests, and we don't want our votes diluted as they are now.</p> <p>Matthews precincts 217 and 218 are currently split and contorted between Congressional Districts 9 and 12. In addition, Precincts 136, 215, and 233 are in CD 9, and precinct 216 is in CD 12. Not only do the splits dilute our votes, but it also makes our grassroots engagement within Matthews more complicated than it should be. We should be able to advocate together to one representative.</p> <p>My public testimony will include a map of the six precincts that constitute Matthews. You will note the splits in precincts 217 and 218.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/21/2021 08:27 PM	Dr. Harlow Snyder	Davidson College	<p>Second, I plead with you to draw North Carolina districts that make sense to the people who live in them. Draw the districts competitive enough so that every citizen has an incentive to vote. It is an insult to our Democracy to have districts where citizens know that their vote is wasted.</p> <p>Such an un-gerrymandered NC map has been drawn by Nathaniel Fischer, a UNC graduate and North Carolina resident. He estimates that his map would likely favor Democrats in five districts and Republicans in seven, with two as true swing districts. His map won its category in a national contest sponsored by the Princeton Gerrymandering Project, a Princeton University-based effort to promote fair redistricting.</p> <p>In my public testimony I will have a Raleigh News&Observer opinion article that includes his map. The map is neither gerrymandered nor contorted and it would serve the voters, not politicians.</p> <p>Thank you for the opportunity to express my concerns. Respectfully, Barbara Proffitt proffbb@gmail.com 704-996-8123</p>
09/21/2021 08:42 PM	Mr K Doyle George	Attorney-At-Law	<p>My wife and I have lived in Davidson for 24 years where we've raised three children. I'm writing to ask that as much as possible, the tri-city area of Huntersville, Cornelius, and Davidson be kept as a political unit. Multiple networks connect these towns, in particular, the Charlotte Mecklenburg School district: our kids went to JM Alexander, North Meck and to Hough High. Sociologically and geographically, we are all exurbs of Charlotte; our vectors here all point south, towards the city; this aligns us. Historically too, Mooresville is a separate and different place, with its own distinct history; ours here in Mecklenburg county is more closely tied with Charlotte. I respectfully urge that you keep the three towns together and observe the line between Mecklenburg and Iredell counties, as redistricting guidelines suggest. Thank you.</p> <p>My name is K. Doyle George, and since July, 1998 I have lived at 3214 Springs Farm Ln, Charlotte, NC 28226. I live in the southeast area of Charlotte, 1/2 mile north of Pineville-Matthews Road (Rt. 51) in the 9th Congressional District, and my comments relate to the boundaries of that district.</p> <p>The criteria adopted by the House Committee on Redistricting/ Senate Committee on Redistricting and Elections on August 12, 202 state that "local knowledge of the character of communities and connections between communities may be considered in the formation of legislative and congressional districts."</p> <p>Accordingly, this portion of southeast Charlotte extending to the boundary of Union county should be included within the 12th Congressional District which comprises most of the City of Charlotte. It should be beyond contention that southeast Charlotte which includes two major employment centers, South Park and Ballantyne, shares cultural, historical, demographic and economic interests with that portion of the 12th Congressional District which includes Myers Park and the remainder of Charlotte.</p> <p>Based on a recent regional transportation study called "Connect Beyond" conducted jointly by the Centralina Regional Council and the Charlotte Area Transit System (CATS) high capacity transit corridors were identified in a two-state 12 county area. The counties adjacent to Mecklenburg county were Union and Anson counties to the east, Cabarrus county to the northeast, Iredell county to the north and Gaston and Lincoln counties to the west. The City of Charlotte and these counties share the need for a regional transportation network. The economic viability of this area depends on the development of a regional transit plan connecting these counties. By contrast, the 9th Congressional District which was redrawn in February 2016, includes Richmond, Scotland, and Robeson counties, and parts of Cumberland and Bladen counties, none of which counties are included in the regional transportation plan. There is little correlation between the transportation needs of these counties, including other economic interests, and the City of Charlotte.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>The 2020 US Census established a population limit of 745,670 for congressional districts. Since the population of Charlotte is 874,579, and the population of Mecklenburg is 1,115,482 it will be necessary to assign voters to other congressional districts such as the 5th or 8th districts. Alternatively, northern portions of the City of Charlotte and Mecklenburg county could be assigned to the 10th congressional district.</p> <p>One final comment. After the last decennial census, in a speech to the Federalist Society in Charlotte, Ruth Samuelson who at the time was the republican whip in the House of Representatives, responded to a question from me about bills pending in the House regarding gerrymandering with the following answer, "to the victor belong the spoils." I had no further comment. Ruth was a fellow Rotarian for whom I had great respect, and I had warned her that I would ask that question. It is my sincere hope that our republican controlled legislature will exercise restraint in developing these new maps to create a sense of fairness in the voting process and eliminate the cynicism that typically results from gerrymandering.</p>
09/21/2021 08:44 PM	Stella Stroud	- Select -	<p>I was born and raised in Davidson, and except for the 20 years my husband served in the military, I have lived here all my life. My family all went to schools in Davidson and Huntersville, and I currently work in Charlotte. My connection is with Mecklenburg County and I do not want my town to be grouped any other way. Please keep Davidson in a district in Mecklenburg County. Thank you!</p>
09/21/2021 08:44 PM	Veleria Levy		<p>I read an article and the writer hit the nail on the head. Thank you Billy Corriher from Facing South! "Democratic candidates in the past decade have often received more total votes for the North Carolina legislature, only to see the GOP maintain control. In 2019, North Carolina courts ordered the legislature to draw fairer election districts, holding out the potential for voters – not lawmakers – to decide which party would control the General Assembly. But in the 2020 election, the new districts resulted in a similar, though smaller, disparity between total votes and seats won.</p> <p>In elections for the state House, Democrats got 49% of the votes but won only 42.5% of the seats, according to WRAL. More than three-quarters of the elections were landslides in which the winning margin was more than 20 percentage points. In state Senate races, Democrats got 49% of the vote and only 44% of the seats.</p> <p>In 12 of the state's 13 congressional districts Democratic candidates got well over half of the total votes, but Republicans won eight of the seats. In the 2010 elections, Republicans won a historic number of seats in state legislatures across the country. This allowed them to control the post-census redistricting in key swing states, including North Carolina. They drew maps that packed Democrats and Black voters into a few districts. Thanks to technological innovation, the districts were more severely gerrymandered than any districts in modern history."</p>
09/21/2021 09:04 PM	Ms. C.J. Breland	none	<p>Good evening. My name is CJ Breland. My husband and I have lived in Asheville for 21 years. I retired from teaching high school two years ago. We vote in every election.</p> <p>It is clear that Congressional District 11 will need to be redrawn based on growth reflected in the 2020 census, and I believe that the majority of people in Western North Carolina will be better served if the counties of Polk, McDowell, and the portion of Rutherford are removed, and Watauga is added to District 11.</p> <p>Watauga County shares our topography, environmental concerns, and the joys and stresses of tourism. We not only share the beauty of our mountains, but also the challenges of maintaining mountain roads and other infrastructure in a changing climate. Watauga shares our dilemmas about how to deal with increasing tourism without destroying the natural beauty tourists come to enjoy.</p> <p>In addition, Appalachian State University is located in Watauga County. District 5, Watauga's current district, has many fine community colleges, but App State is the only four-year public university. In District 11, ASU would join UNCA and Western—augmenting the voices of those advocating for four-year colleges and the students who attend them. Subtracting Polk, McDowell, and the current sliver of Rutherford County, then adding Watauga, gives District 11 the ideal population for a Congressional District. (I did the math.) I request that you consider this option.</p> <p>Also, we drove more than an hour to get to this meeting. I urge you to schedule virtual meetings so that more people in North Carolina will have the opportunity to express their opinions to you out loud. Thank you.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/21/2021 09:21 PM	Teresa Rowell		As an 11 year resident of Rowan County, I've lived through several "flavors" of districts in my time here. I prefer to keep towns together, not split down the middle, assign folks based on head count/residency and not party affiliation. (we've all been to court enough times this last decade to know what I'm referring to). Please don't split college campuses down the middle.
09/21/2021 09:41 PM	Theresa Savery	Union County Democratic Party and myself	I'm submitting my comments about the redistricting of NC because I feel it is my civic duty to participate in our government in many levels. I vote in every election I can and the system should be designed so that everyone's vote counts equally and fairly. I live in a rural part of Union County, therefore, I am not eligible to vote in the municipal elections, but I do vote in every county, statewide, congressional, and national election and I have done so for most of my life since I was 18 years old in all of the different states I have lived in. The main goal of electoral maps must be the distribution of voters in a fair and equitable manner so the voters can really vote for their representation and the elected officials have to continue to earn their votes. With this goal in mind I have the following specific comments for you to consider when drawing the new maps. 1. Districts must be drawn so they are compact and fairly distribute voters from Unaffiliated, Libertarian, Republican, Democratic and other parties so precincts are not split and so that the voters decide who represents them. 2. Smaller cities and towns must not be split up between different districts to protect an incumbent or help a particular political party. 3. Use well-known boundaries like county lines, rivers, or mountain ranges to create the borders between the districts. 4. Keep counties as whole as possible to make up the districts based on the populations and keep a fair distribution of the different political party affiliations. Thank you for considering my input to the redistricting process. I appreciate it. Theresa Savery
09/21/2021 09:43 PM	Ms Suzanne Elsberry	The League of Women Voters Mecklenburg County	An astonishing 87% of our districts being redrawn are non-competitive: the incumbents are shielded from accountability and can't be voted out no matter how deserving they are. To continue this insidious manipulation of our voting maps will endanger voter's choices for another decade! Redistricting affects issues we care about: Education, Healthcare, Environment and Jobs. Please put an end to Gerrymandering and make our electoral maps fair and honest. We cannot have democracy AND gerrymandering...choose democracy!
09/21/2021 09:57 PM	Ms Virginia Sullivan	MCDP	I think that, insofar as is possible, each Congressional district should reflect the percentage of registered voters in the state . So, if the registrations are 33% Democrat, 33% Republican, 34% Unaffiliated, each district should reflect that, keeping districts contiguous as much as possible .
09/21/2021 09:57 PM	Mrs Maryann Pitman	Please Select	I am tired of watching the majority use gerrymandering to hang on to its power. This is the real road to autocracy. NC is a purple state not a red state. Representation should demonstrate that and it doesn't because of Republican failure to act in good faith. Another reason to never again vote for the Republicans. I used to until you quit believing in the Republic. Way to turn NC Blue.
09/21/2021 10:04 PM	Mrs. Allison Allen-Mongo	Young Democrats of North Carolina	Hello, I am the Executive Vice President of the Young Democrats of North Carolina. The people who live in my community include young professionals and families outside of uptown Charlotte. In prior maps, my community was divided which made it hard to advocate for the community's needs. District lines should drawn to be compact and not split precincts. Other factors should not be the basis of transparency and fairness. Thank you for your time!
09/21/2021 10:07 PM	Regina Anderson		Please let voters decide, in a fairly drawn district, who they want to represent them. Ideas and policies should compete in the marketplace of ideas and we should be free to express our preferences and not be shut out by having politicians choose the voters they want. Don't embarrass NC further. Redistricting fairly. We are a purple state, let us debate civilly and fairly.
09/21/2021 10:40 PM	Mrs. Megan Dunn	Candlewyck Homes Association	I am a resident of South Charlotte near the Arboretum shopping center in Mecklenburg County. The outcome of redistricting will impact representation in my community, which is a part of NC's 9th Congressional District unlike the rest of Mecklenburg County. I value democracy and ask for transparency and fairness in the map drawing process. Thank you.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/22/2021 04:07 AM	Dr. Deborah Norton		Please create districts in a fair and non-partisan manner, that allow each citizen's vote to count equally. Please do not blunt the voice of people and communities by gerrymandering. Please create districts that will hold up under legal scrutiny. Thank you.
09/22/2021 04:16 AM	Mr Stephen Hayleck		Please respect jurisdictional county and city lines when redistricting North Carolina. Our current representation in Washington does NOT represent the voters on NC.
09/22/2021 07:28 AM	Linda Devore	Self	Fayetteville/Cumberland County is the largest metro area in the state that is not represented in Congress by someone from our area. We are currently an intact county within one Congressional district for the first time in decades, however, we are represented by a person living in the Charlotte metro area--three hours away. Because there is more significant population growth in other metro areas, the 2nd, 4th, 7th, 8th, 9th, and 13th will need to cover less geographic area, and by shifting the growth districts in the eastern and central part of the state, a new 14th can and should be created in the Sandhills. 330,000 people in Cumberland should form the nucleus of a new congressional district. Cumberland, Harnett, Hoke, Moore, Robeson, Bladen, Sampson. Drawing voters from the current 7th, 8th, 9th, and 13th.
09/22/2021 07:34 AM	Mrs Betsy Webster		My voting district changes with the wind of gerrymandering. Voting precincts should NOT be politically drawn and changed by the political party in power.
09/22/2021 07:52 AM	Mr George W Benson		I feel the best way to redistrict is to hand it over to a nonpartisan committee For way to many years each side has gerrymandered. Nonpartisan is the only fair way.
09/22/2021 09:27 AM	Ann Young		Thank you for this opportunity to comment on the 2021 NC redistricting process. I am disappointed by inadequacy of public hearings on this critical action, including the lack of a hearing in Asheville. Please assure an open and transparent process going forward, including creation of a website to make preliminary census data available and publicize initial draft maps and final map proposals. Final maps should be adopted in time to allow any challenges and timely December candidate filings. Transparency includes disclosing all systems, information and third party involvement in creation of the maps and sharing this information publicly with effective video and audio support. NC and its voters deserve open and fair redistricting and confidence its outcome. Thank you.
09/22/2021 09:45 AM	Ms Mena Kates	community member	I urge you to vote in favor of the Freedom to Vote Act. You were elected to represent ALL the citizens of Buncombe County and North Carolina. Your constituency is diverse, and yet we are all one. We share schools, public resources, health care systems, shopping, our environment, libraries, affordable housing, better hourly wages and are genuinely concerned about each other's lives. Please do not divide us, by untenable voting districts. You are here for ALL OF US, not just some of us.
09/22/2021 10:36 AM	Stephen Smith		Democracy does not flourish but dies when gerrymandering districts along political party and racial lines occurs. Redistricting should be done in a scientific, fair, and non-partisan way by a non-partisan group. Let the voters decide in mostly competitive districts!
09/22/2021 10:45 AM	Ms. Josephine Corro		I am a Chatham County resident. I am asking the legislature to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. Please do not to split either House district 54 and Senate district 23 which both encompass the entirety of Chatham. This meets the requirement that districts be compact and not benefit a single group. I also request that public hearings be conducted after maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established.
09/22/2021 10:46 AM	Mr Robert Thornton	Weaverville Democratic Party	I am a retired engineer and project manager moving to Asheville from Knoxville to work for Square D Company in 1984. The location of the hearings (the STREET ADDRESS, BUILDING NUMBER/NAME, and ROOM NUMBER/NAME) should be published, at the least on the sign-up web page to speak and other official announcements and public

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>communications specific to the hearing. Never having been to Cullowhee or to WCU I ended up on top of the mountain at the Jackson County Airport. I finally returned to the WNC Clinic where there was NO INDICATION OF THE HEARING OUTSIDE, ON THE DOORS, OR INSIDE. When a staffer recognized that I was out of place and she directed me to the correct floor. This goes to the transparency and credibility of the process! It gives the impression that the Committee is not sincere in learning the public's opinion.</p> <p>North Carolina has become the POSTER CHILD FOR ILLEGAL GERRYMANDERING! Problems stemming from gerrymandering of the past have created great distrust of the General Assembly's ability to act fairly. That has led to a public posture of "suing first and asking questions later". Transparency is the most important factor in your success.</p> <p>To reduce the number of hearings from over 50 to 13, before and after the maps are drawn, is shameful on the face of it! It only adds to the distrust.</p> <p>The legislature is at a clear disadvantage! Not only do you have to contend with the COVID viruses but you are in the midst of a broadband revolution mandated and expedited by the virus. Broadband IS changing the character of our rural areas, education statewide, transportation (UPS, FedEx, and USPS) and commerce. Please consider it's impact to your districts.</p> <p>Certainly, some provision for attendance at these and other hearings such as this must be made to include live streaming over the Internet. However since broadband is far from complete, consider televising the hearings on UNC-TV and allowing comment by telephone.</p> <p>Keep the counties whole no matter what else you do, especially in Western Carolina. Some districts such as Wake and Mecklenburg may best be grouped by SMSA and include surrounding counties.</p> <p>Lastly, I miss people with integrity who could work well with others for the good of the state like Bill Friday, Sam Ervin, Terry Sanford, and Jim Hunt! Please measure yourself in the shadows of the great statesmen and North Carolina leaders. That will take you much farther than the political games of the past decade.</p> <p>"Chuck" Thornton Robert E Thornton, Jr 1 West Cove St Weaverville, NC</p>
09/22/2021 10:46 AM	David Delaney		DO NOT SPLIT House district 54 or Senate district 23 during this redistricting process. I am a Chatham County resident who wants to see our entire county kept together in these current districts. The current districts fulfill the constitutional goals of having compact areas that do not split groups. There is no real need to split HD54 or SD 23, and doing so brings significant disadvantages to the people of Chatham County. Thank you.
09/22/2021 10:48 AM	Lisa Allen		Please keep Chatham County Whole. I am a Chatham County resident and I am asking the legislator to keep the county House district 54 and Senate district 23 as Chatham. Please do not split these districts. Also, please conduct public hearings after maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when boundaries are established. Thank you.
09/22/2021 10:49 AM	Dr. Peter Wherry	Mayfield Memorial Missionary Baptist Church	Articles of political faith among national and state Republicans include notions such as: freedom, personal liberty, limited government and electoral integrity. It is ironic that in electoral redistricting, those ideals do not apply to people of color (especially African Americans) and women. While African American representation in both the NC House and Senate approximately resemble the state in this area (24% and 20% respectively), what is now missing is doing away with the social engineering of gerrymandering, so that the crass political tactics of "packing" and "cracking" are consigned to the scrap heap of our checkered racial history. This will allow worthy African American candidates to

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>compete on a level playing field. Congresswoman Alma Adams and Congressman G.K. Butterfield have both demonstrated that the political fortunes of people from every culture (Not race. There is only one; the human race) rise when the best people have an equitable chance to give their service. Both have won decisively in districts which were majority White, and which had been, to coin a phrase, "un-gerrymandered." Why? Because they both excel in the things that matter; patriotism, integrity, skill, policy "chops," and constituent services. These things know no party or color. It's high time Republicans auger to what they claim to believe in (freedom, personal liberty, limited government) for everyone, not just Republicans, and allow non-partisan redistricting Boards to re-draw maps based on data, not dictums of political expediency. Dr. Adams and Rep. Butterfield, along with others, have shown that when competing in an equitable environment, African American candidates serve We the People with excellence. It's time to stop letting politicians pick their constituents and go back to allowing the People to choose their Public Servants. (Dr. Peter M. Wherry, voter in the 12th Congressional District)</p>
09/22/2021 10:55 AM	Kelley Knight		Keep Chatham whole please
09/22/2021 10:57 AM	Dr Gina Donato		<p>As a Chatham County resident, I ask that the legislature KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. Please do NOT split either House district 54 or Senate district 23, both of which encompass the entirety of Chatham. As I know it, district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. I also ask that after maps are drawn, please allow public hearings so the public can have some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established.</p>
09/22/2021 11:04 AM	Mr Larry Tollen		<p>I am a Chatham County resident and am concerned that during re-districting Chatham County could potentially be divided into two separate voting districts. I believe this would be a mistake and would like to see Chatham County kept whole and intact, I do not want to see House District 54 and Senate district 23 split. The NC constitution is clear that district maps should be compact and not to the benefit of one party or another and I believe that splitting Chatham County up will in fact benefit one party over another. I would also request that public hearing be held after the maps are drawn so that we the Public have some input once district boundaries have been established. Thank you in advance for your consideration.</p>
09/22/2021 11:09 AM	Dr. William Porter	None	<p>I live on Dartmouth Place in Charlotte. Districts 12, 37, and 102. Please draw the new districts in an impartial, politically neutral fashion, giving equal representation to Democrats and Republicans. Thank you. William G. Porter</p>
09/22/2021 11:09 AM	Ms. Janice Parker		<p>I have lived in Chatham County for over 5 years, and I respectfully request that you maintain Chatham County in its entirety in any redistricting of electoral maps. Chatham, my home now, is a compact county with active county organizations. Both House District 54 and Senate district 23 contain the entire county. Partitioning of Chatham into other districts would decrease county spirit, to the detriment of citizen engagement within our community.</p>
09/22/2021 11:22 AM	Lori Olivet		<p>I am a Chatham County resident and am asking the legislature to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. Please do NOT split either House district 54 and Senate district 23 which both encompass the entirety of Chatham. There is a Constitutional argument that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. Also, I request that public hearings be conducted after maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established.</p>
09/22/2021 11:26 AM	Melody Crowder-Meyer		<p>Hi. I'm Melody Crowder-Meyer, a resident of Davidson - a town in Mecklenberg County - where I live with my husband and 2 children. I am requesting the the committee please keep the town of Davidson within a Mecklenberg County District.</p> <p>My family interacts with other towns in Mecklenberg County regularly - going to events, shopping, visiting parks and public libraries, and the like in Huntersville, Charlotte, and other towns. My school-aged child is happily part of the CMS public school system, and we love frequently visiting libraries not only in Davidson (part of the North Mecklenberg library system) but also in nearby towns. Our healthcare providers are almost universally located in Huntersville - in fact, that's where my baby was recently born - and for many other regular needs (which are more frequent these days</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			with a new baby at home), we make the quick drive to Huntersville.
			These interconnections between our home in Davidson and ways our lives intersect with nearby towns, are significantly affected by the NC state legislature. Dropping Davidson into a district outside of Mecklenberg county, separated from towns like Huntersville, would make it much more challenging for me to communicate with my state legislator about the needs of my community - which includes not only Davidson, but surrounding towns where we play at the playground, read books at the library, and access medical care. Thank you for your consideration.
09/22/2021 11:31 AM	gwen mcwilliams	Briar chapel Democrats	As Chatham county resident I am asking the Legislature to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE!!! The current arrangement of the district map meets the Constitutional argument that district maps should be compact and NOT benefit a single group-- DO NOT split House district 54 and Senate district23-they both encompass all of Chatham County--Also public hearings should be conducted AFTER maps are drawn so public has some input BEFORE real boundaries are drawn! Thank You for listening
09/22/2021 11:32 AM	Ms Mary Kerlin		PLEASE KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE.
09/22/2021 11:33 AM	Ms Nancy Strickland		I am a Chatham County resident and I am asking the legislature to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. I request that you do not to split House district 54 and that you do not split Senate district 23, both of which encompass the entirety of Chatham. District maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. I also request that public hearings be conducted after maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established. Thank you.
09/22/2021 11:33 AM	Elizabeth Kawabata		I am a Chatham County resident and request that as you draw the new redistricting maps to please KEEP CHATHAM COUNTY WHOLE. Please do not split House district 54 and Senate district 23 which both encompass the entirety of Chatham. There is a Constitutional argument that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. Also, please conduct public hearings after maps are drawn, so that the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established. With much appreciation, Elizabeth Kawabata
09/22/2021 11:38 AM	Lucille Howard		<p>For 50 years I have been a member of a non-partisan organization that seeks to protect democracy. And during most of those 50 years, I have seen our democracy in North Carolina eroded as a result of unfair voting maps, no matter how much and how passionately the public speaks against it.</p> <p>Gerrymandering prevents the public from full participation in democracy and is wrong.</p> <p>It's time to begin a new era of non-partisan map drawing. It's time to design voting maps that are compact and contiguous without using political data or partisan objectives. And when those maps are drafted, it will be time to hear from your many citizens across the state, not just the lobbyists who can travel to Raleigh. You will need more than one hearing to do that.</p> <p>Districts have been drawn in the past to protect incumbents and divide communities of interest. Public voices in large majorities cry out for huge state revenues to be used for unmet needs such as adequate education. But proposals haven't been supported because too many legislators can vote against the interests of their constituents with little fear of being replaced. I want to choose my representatives. I don't want a very few legislators working behind closed doors deciding who my representatives should be.</p> <p>You have the power to change this process. I urge you and your colleagues to seize the opportunity to do so to restore public confidence in our system of government.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/22/2021 11:39 AM	Elliott Willingham	Concerned Citizen	Please stop making maps that dilute and stratify the community and state. Gerrymandering for the benefit of one party is not the best way to serve the state and the issues that the future brings.
09/22/2021 11:42 AM	Mrs. Peggy Curlin		Please keep districts contiguous and compact by population numbers not political registration. Follow natural boundaries. No weird snakey districts.
09/22/2021 11:49 AM	Ms Elaine Deck		I request of all publicly elected officials to respect the diversity of political thought and preferences then select fair and impartial persons to draw appropriate electoral districts irrespective of political party affiliation. Let our citizens have equal access to selecting representatives without partial gerrymandering.
09/22/2021 11:54 AM	Dr. Daniel Perlmutter	myself, Jackson County, local Democratic Organization	I want to make the point that our local academic institutions should be left intact and untouched by political redistricting. They may be essential in problem-solving as we deal with the coming impacts of climate change. Higher education and research institutions may find themselves either poorly represented or otherwise hampered in the General Assembly with divided representation. Thus Asheville and UNCA shouldn't be parceled out to different districts. Nor should WCU in Jackson County. We have a ten year interval until the next census and redistricting. I worry that we will be heavily impacted by environmental refugee immigration and more directly by a "funneling" of severe storms up along the southern Appalachian Mountains. Keep these counties and centers of essential expertise intact.
09/22/2021 12:03 PM	Sandi Friedman		Keep Chatham Whole
09/22/2021 12:07 PM	Ms. Marian Olson		I live in Chatham County and I urge the legislature to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. Do not split either House district 54 or Senate district 23 which both encompass the entirety of Chatham. District maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. Also, I would like to have public hearings conducted after maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established.
09/22/2021 12:08 PM	Jeff Thomas		Keep Chatham Whole!!!!
09/22/2021 12:09 PM	Ms. Kamaria Lawrence		<p>My name is Kamaria E. Lawrence. I have lived in Charlotte since 1983, I I am a graduate of East Mecklenburg high school and later the UNC system. I have Been employed by the city of Charlotte, CMS, and several Advocacy Organizations. I live in the historic McCrory Heights there's so much of my communities history has been made Cara I worship at friendship missionary Baptist Church where I was baptized as a child. I am a member of one of the world's largest Greek letter organization founded by college educated Black women. Out of all these affiliations I am most proud of being a Charlottean. This is my home! It is clear that my district lines have been drawn with strategic precision as many have stated. Politicians should not be selecting the voters; voters should be selecting the politicians!</p> <p>Charlotte is my home. I live in the historic McCrory Heights neighborhood. I am truly disappointed and angry at intentionally confusing and unethical methods used to publicize today's meeting. As a person with a compromised immune system who also works a full-time job, the time of this meeting, location, and poor publicity of this meeting are unacceptable. Sharing the hearing location was relayed roughly two weeks ago online only sharing CPCC. This phenomenal community college has seven different campuses. A week ago the actual location was shared as the Harris Campus. This is not a Center City location. I feel safely going out on a limb and saying many people were not even aware the Harris campus location even existed.</p> <p>My complaint is about the process being used. It is not transparent. We are not being shown the maps that will be drawn and given an opportunity to comment before they are accepted or rejected. This is not how you include the public in this process. What community members who are not elected officials have been asked to help draw these lines? What community members have been given clear information about these meetings? Why have the number of meetings basically been cut in half in comparison to the previous Redistricting process? Why is this meeting today at</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>3:00 PM when so many people in this community work full-time jobs? Caldwell, Durham, and Cumberland counties were each scheduled a 6:00PM meeting. Why would anyone think it made sense to schedule a 3:00 PM meeting on a Wednesday out by the airport to encourage a large attendance of diverse citizens? I have been a registered and active voter since the age of 18 and I will remember and share with other members of my communities which are each a community of interest how this process was conducted. We have elected you to serve our state but, you truly cannot say that this process is doing that assignment.</p>
09/22/2021 12:13 PM	Mrs. Regina Boyd		<p>After looking over the meeting locations for public comments and information across North Carolina, my lack of support for state government in North Carolina continues. As a lifelong North Carolinian having been born in the foothills, attended NC State University and then worked for the University and state government before moving to my husband's farm in Haywood County, I have seen and know of much of our state. I also see how "Raleigh" operates with planning and purpose for any event. Basically the piedmont is all that really counts for economy, politics, citizen welfare and in this case representation of the people for ensuring democracy. One meeting in western North Carolina and it took place in Sylva. Murphy to Sylva is still an hour and a half away. One participant spoke about a district that spans 4 hours of travel. Obviously, we in western North Carolina understand being forgotten...it just happened with the decimating floods of Cruso and Canton here in Haywood. Weeks passed before hope of federal aid, which must be put through by state leadership, became reality. Come tonight, our temperatures drop near 40 and now our homeless population has many new members. What of Pamlico County or Hyde ? They are often equally forgotten on the far east of our state, but even eastern North Carolina has more options for these meetings than western North Carolina. As usual, "Raleigh" thinks Hickory is far west, sometimes Asheville for workshops, meetings and such. This time I assume we should be thankful for something all the way in Sylva. It may seem petty, but this same philosophy is what is the greatest concern of the mountain people -- in terms of how the new districts will be drawn. Arguments will abound over race, pockets of political parties in urban and suburban areas and western NC will be clumped in a vast cluster of people out of synch with metro-life; probably with still a split county or two just to add insult to injury. I would urge members to take particular interest in the views of state legislators from the area, but even they seem out of touch with the dying backbone of what was once the toughest of the state, but are now just the forgotten and bitter.</p>
09/22/2021 12:20 PM	Roger Waldon		<p>I am unable to attend the public meetings that have been set up this month in my area of North Carolina, opportunities for public comments on the 2021 Redistricting initiative. Thank you for providing this opportunity to provide written comments.</p> <p>I live in Cornelius, NC. I have observed past redistricting actions in North Carolina, most recently following the availability of 2010 Census information. Some of those redistricting actions concerned me greatly, particularly the odd configurations of multiple districts that would subsequently contain irregularly shaped portions of many otherwise distinct city and county geographies, with the result of concentrating pockets of one party's voters all together in one irregularly-shaped district and resulting in multiple other districts with majority voters registered with the other party. The outcome was a set of Congressional Districts that straddled many disparate communities and jurisdictions, greatly reducing opportunities for Districts that reflected community identity and culture, and which produced fragmented results.</p> <p>I urge the House Redistricting Committee and the Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee to place value on community identity when re-drawing our district boundaries now in 2021. I live in the northern section of Mecklenburg County, and hope that my new Congressional District boundaries will reflect the sense of cohesiveness and commonality that ties together multiple jurisdictions in this geographical area. Thank you for your work and your attention to these issues.</p>
09/22/2021 12:24 PM	Ms Judith Predmore		<p>Districts should be drawn by independent commissions, NOT politicians. Voters should choose their politicians, POLITICIANS SHOULD NOT CHOOSE THEIR VOTERS!!! Are you going to draw the new maps discriminating against African American voters WITH SURGICAL PRECISION again??? How are these districts going to stand up in court?</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/22/2021 12:27 PM	Cynthia Wallace	New Rural Project	<p>You don't have a good record with the courts...</p> <p>I'm Cynthia Wallace. I live in Southeast Charlotte. I'm the Executive Director of the New Rural Project. We support Union, Anson, Richmond, Scotland, Robeson, Hoke and Moore counties. Our goal is to work to reduce the barriers to civic engagement with rural residents. I am an advocating for the Joint Redistricting Committee to offer a public hearing schedule after maps are released to the public for people in rural places and Richmond County in particular. The closest public meeting to them during this pre-map drawing time is at least one hour east or west of them. This creates a barrier to their participation and other rural community members like them in this important effort that will define our districts for the next decade. Richmond County doesn't have public transportation, and they have some of the highest poverty and unemployment rates of the 100 NC counties. We all want maximum engagement between our residents and elected officials, and their voices deserve to be heard. I urge you all to have a public meeting in Richmond County after the maps are drawn. This would be more accessible to people in Anson and Scotland counties as well. They know their communities best and would be able to provide the most helpful and impactful feedback to legislators after viewing draft maps. In addition to a public meeting occurring in Richmond after the maps are drawn, I urge you to offer live streaming and give people the opportunity to speak both on-line and over the phone due to broadband deficits. Our democracy only works when public speaking opportunities are accessible for all, and a full cross-section of voices are heard.</p>
09/22/2021 12:40 PM	Deborah Givens	N/A	<p>I am a Chatham County resident. I am asking the legislature to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. Please DO NOT split either House district 54 or Senate district 23. There is a Constitutional argument that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. I request that public hearings be conducted after maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established.</p>
09/22/2021 12:54 PM	Karen Asche		<p>Any redistricting that gives one political party or white people an advantage is against having a democratic government. It's unAmerican and unacceptable.</p>
09/22/2021 01:03 PM	Wayne Pein		<p>Drawing redistricting lines with the intent of influencing who gets elected is called gerrymandering, and is deeply undemocratic. Gerrymandering is politicians choosing their voters rather than voters choosing their politician.</p> <p>When redistricting is done by the legislature, there is only one practical way to ensure the districts are fair and represent the people. Technology and data must be used to quantify any technology driven gerrymandering.</p> <p>To that end, Duke University has a team of mathematicians that should be employed to examine our districting and the results made public. https://sites.duke.edu/quantifyinggerrymandering/quantifying-gerrymandering/</p> <p>The are other teams such as at Tufts University that also provide non-partisan mathematical analysis. https://mggg.org ? Regards, Wayne Pein Chapel Hill, NC</p>
09/22/2021 01:06 PM	Mrs. Louise Woods	Central United Methodist; CharlotteEAST Education Committee, LWV Ed. Com.	<p>As residents of Charlotte who were unfairly and terribly redistricted in the 2010 plan--where the Legislature intentionally "packed" minority voters and democrats by splitting our neighborhoods (including Coventry Woods, our neighborhood) and communities-- we were appalled! We need to see that this year our districts are drawn fairly and impartially, to assure fair representation for all of our voters. You know how to make this happen. Please resist more partisan politics, put the east side of Charlotte together when at all possible, and most of all, create fair districts where all votes count! Do not "stack" and "pack" districts! Do not waste taxpayers money in courts because you</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/22/2021 01:06 PM	Sharlynn Ryan		gerrymandered! Do not, once again, embarrass our state--but show how it can be fairly done. Let's do this right! Dick and Louise Woods, Charlotte, NC 28212
09/22/2021 01:07 PM	Catherine Whitmire		<p>I am greatly opposed to any redistricting that will weaken Democrat presence.</p> <p>My name is Catherine V. Whitmire. I live in the South Park area of Charlotte in the Foxcroft East neighborhood. I am represented by Dan Bishop in the 9th congressional district. This district, as currently drawn, does not represent the community of which I am a part of. It cuts my representative, and thusly me, off from the real life, day to day concerns that affect me and my community. My church, my volunteer work, my children's schools, and many friends are all in another district. The 9th district as drawn extends all the way through Rockingham to Fayetteville. Lovely places and people, but not center city Charlotte life. How can Dan Bishop realistically live in Charlotte near me, and know what's going on out there?</p> <p>Furthermore, As the maps are drawn. I can never vote in a Primary. Never. My vote is one of the many that simply do not count. My teenage children (17 and 19) are disillusioned by this sad fact. My elderly parents routinely state that it is a waste of time for them to vote. This is not democracy at work. Please change the maps to reflect the actual communities that they support.</p>
09/22/2021 01:10 PM	Jeremiah Ryan		I am strongly opposed to any redistricting that will lessen Democratic presence and we need to undo the damage done by Phil Berger.
09/22/2021 01:20 PM	Allen Todd		The redistricting process this year should be a transparent process preformed by a non-partisan group. The resulting districts should be geographically logical so that a representative's district is not splitting towns, counties, universities, etc if possible. The embarrassment of the last redistricting and the millions of dollars wasted on defending that process should be avoided at all costs.
09/22/2021 01:25 PM	Terry Landers		<p>I am aware that the map drawing process is complicated ... and appreciate the VERBAL COMMITMENT to TRANSPARENCY and providing the PUBLIC the opportunity to give the committee INPUT. Please SHOW US VIA ACTIONS that the commitment is real and that the input will be considered!</p> <p>PLEASE SHARE THE MAP WORK THAT IS PROCEEDING.</p> <p>I feel certain that work has been underway on map drawing. In fact articles have already been written stating that my current Senator in Chatham County will no longer be our Senator.</p> <p>In light of the commitment for TRANSPARENCY ... please</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SHARE with the pubic ALL that is proceeding on the map drawing front. 2. Make available all of the COUNTY-CLUSTERS that are under consideration. It is appropriate that we the public have an opportunity to review them and provide feedback to the committee. 3. As DRAFT MAPS are seriously considered, please release the SHAPE FILES, in real time, so that we the public can literally see the maps as they evolve. <p>Thank you Terry Landers Chatham County</p>
09/22/2021 01:29 PM	Kathleen Dygert		Gerrymandering must not be allowed to give any political or other group of people an advantage. Redistricting must be done fairly. To do other wise is clearly cheating!
09/22/2021	Dr. John Kuykendall	Retired	Public Comment on Redistricting in North Carolina

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
01:33 PM			<p>My name is John Kuykendall. I am 83 years old. I spent the first eighteen years of my life on a farm in Mecklenburg County about four miles from the South Carolina border. I have also spent 43 years of my life in the Town of Davidson, moving here to stay in 1984.</p> <p>During those years, I have watched with pleasure as this little town has become more and more a part of the life of Mecklenburg County. The College which I served as President in the 1980s and '90s has benefitted significantly from its location in this County.</p> <p>Even more significantly there has been a growing connectivity between the Town of Davidson and the remarkable variety of providers of our essential county-wide services: our public safety, our public services (water and sewage), our public schools, our public library, our health care services (both public and private), to say nothing of our growing private business and financial connections. All of the governmental resources in our town have been integrated into the remarkable development of the county in which I was born and raised.</p> <p>I am writing to respectfully request that the Town of Davidson not be cut off from the rest of Mecklenburg County in the process of redistricting. I am aware of some of the constraints on the decisions to be made, but I also am aware that there are several imaginative ways to devise a system of districts which would leave Davidson as an integral part of this County in which we have so many existing connections. To do otherwise would have the potential for serious inequities in preserving essential governmental ties which have been fostered over so many years.</p>
09/22/2021 01:34 PM	Ms. Rhona Polonsky	self	<p>Dear House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee,</p> <p>Please hear my voice. Voting is a way for my voice to be heard. I am a voter in Buncombe County. The people I rub shoulders with daily are part of a tourist industry who share healthcare providers, we recreate in our green spaces and in our mountains, we care about our clean air and the environment. When lines are drawn for maximum partisan advantage, my voice and the voices of my neighbors are diminished and it means more polarization.</p> <p>The League of Women Voters (LWV) state:</p> <p>"Fair redistricting is fundamental to our representative democracy, and a transparent process is crucial to upholding public confidence in our system of government. But for this process to be truly fair and transparent it must be inclusive such as all community members have a genuine opportunity to view this process and be heard regardless of race, ability or socioeconomic status." The LWV continues with timeline that I support. REMEMBER: voting gives everyone a voice and redistricting unfairly is a way of silencing that voice! It is undemocratic and just not fair. I would call it cheating!</p> <p>Do what is right and redistrict fairly.</p> <p>Yours in democracy, Rhona Polonsky</p>
09/22/2021 01:43 PM	Dr Sharon Segal	Private Citizen	<p>The ability for EVERYONE to vote, where ALL votes count, is very important to me. I AM PASSIONATELY AGAINST GERRYMANDERING. When districts are drawn that clearly favor one political party or candidate, it discourages voting. You must draw districts that are geographically mappable and make sense.</p> <p>My community, Asheville (and Buncombe county generally), is a holistic community of individuals with diverse socioeconomic, demographic, and political, characteristics, and we must keep it together as a voting district. We share healthcare facilities, schools, parks, greenways, and hiking trails. Our religious congregations draw members from all over WNC. Our local farmers supply the public via farmers markets and sale of their goods to local restaurants and stores. The proper use and care of all of these things is the concern and responsibility of our entire community and it goes way beyond partisan differences. Further, our community is extremely dependent on tourism, and we must work together to ensure that decisions concerning tourism benefit ALL of Asheville.</p> <p>I am deeply concerned that the current drawing of districts is not going to be a transparent and fair process. The House and Senate committees responsible for redistricting should offer public hearings AFTER maps are drawn. I am also asking that it be possible for people to give testimony virtually rather than only in person. There are many of us</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/22/2021 01:46 PM	Mr. Dennis Grills		<p>that are concerned about traveling during these challenging, pandemic times.</p> <p>I trust that all of you on the House and Senate redistricting committees will do all that you can to make sure that my concerns are addressed and redistricting is done fairly and absent of any partisan influence. It is very important to me!</p> <p>My name is Dennis R. Grills and I live at 2525 Lynbridge Drive in south Charlotte. I am located off of Providence road (state highway 16) 1 ½ miles north of state highway 51 (Pineville-Matthews road). This part of Charlotte is assigned to the 9th Congressional District that extends east as far as Robeson County and parts of Bladen County. My comments relate to the boundaries of that district.</p> <p>The criteria adopted by the House Committee on Redistricting/ Senate Committee on Redistricting and Elections on August 12, 2021 state that "local knowledge of the character of communities and connections between communities may be considered in the formation of legislative and congressional districts."</p> <p>I first moved into the south Charlotte area in 1979. At that time, the area was a mix of suburban developments and rural farms. The SouthPark area in south Charlotte was becoming an economic and job center. That growth continues today. Around 2005, development began on the Ballantyne area. Since its inception, Ballantyne has also become an economic and job center. The growth of SouthPark and Ballantyne as economic and job centers has the South Charlotte area rapidly changing from a suburban environment to almost an urban environment. There can be no question that the south Charlotte area's character and connections lie with the City of Charlotte and our area should be in the 12th Congressional District.</p> <p>The 9th Congressional District encompasses all or parts of Union, Richmond, Scotland, Anson, Hoke, Robeson and Bladen counties. None of these counties are facing the challenges of urbanization like Charlotte and its surrounding areas. These counties remain mostly rural and agricultural focused. The south Charlotte area has no commonality with the remaining parts of the 9th Congressional District.</p> <p>The population of the City of Charlotte (874,579) and Mecklenburg County (1,115,482) exceed the population limit of 745,670 for congressional districts requiring some of the residents to be in different congressional districts. To meet the population limit for the 12th District with the addition of south Charlotte, portion of the 12th District north of I-485 could be moved to either the 8th or 10th Congressional District. Similarly, the portion of the 12th District that is east of I-485 on the eastern edge of the district could be moved either to the 8th or 9th Congressional district.</p>
09/22/2021 01:53 PM	Stacey Donelan		<p>Hello,</p> <p>I am a Chatham County resident. I understand that there are some redistricting issues currently being discussed in the NC legislature that could impact Chatham County.</p> <p>I am wholeheartedly opposed to the multiple efforts in past years to gerrymander NC voting districts.</p> <p>I request that the legislature acts to "KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE". Please do not split either House district 54 or Senate district 23 which both encompass the entirety of Chatham County. The current Chatham County district lines satisfy the Constitutional argument that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group.</p> <p>Too often, the public is burdened with after-the-fact declarations of district boundaries being set. Therefore, I also request that public hearings be conducted after maps are drawn but not yet enacted, so that the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established.</p> <p>Thank you for your time and please KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE by preserving the House district 54 or Senate district 23 boundaries.</p> <p>Stacey Donelan</p>
09/22/2021 01:55 PM	Ms Makini Johnson		<p>Please guarantee that the redistricting map is fair and equal, based on the number of people in each district not party affiliation</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/22/2021 01:56 PM	Patty Courtright		Thank you for holding the public forums in September. I'm asking that you allow the public to fully observe the map redrawing process and that you make the full data files available to the public. This entire process needs to be completely transparent. Thank you.
09/22/2021 01:58 PM	Chris McClanahan		Honorable legislators - I have but a short comment to make. "It's not illegal." That was the answer given when a legislator was asked about the blatant partisan gerrymandering that took place during the last major redrawing of lines. My family was directly impacted when our neighborhood was cut out of both the city of Charlotte and Mecklenburg County and placed in a district that extends all the way to Fayetteville. Our "district" crossed multiple county lines, city lines, and natural boundaries. "It's not illegal" is not a goal, nor should it be a guiding principle for our elected officials. When I discussed the matter with my sons, one of them asked "Wait, how can that NOT be illegal?" The only answer I could give was that our original body politic was based on a group of neighbors upholding intellectually honest norms...but that these norms have eroded over the years and plunged us into the morass that our politics have become. You as legislators have the moral obligation to draw boundaries that are: competitive, representative, and do not discriminate. Legislators should not choose their constituents. "It's not illegal" cannot be your answer, but I wonder how you answer questions from your own children.
09/22/2021 02:01 PM	Beth Sartori		Election districts should serve communities, not politicians. Districts should be drawn through a transparent process to represent voters not the whims of a political party and gerrymandering should no longer exist. Voting rights for all should be top priority!
09/22/2021 02:05 PM	Deborah Robbins		I am a Chatham County resident and am asking the legislature to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. Please do not to split either House district 54 and Senate district 23 which both encompass the entirety of Chatham. District maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. Also, I would like to request that public hearings be conducted after maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established.
09/22/2021 02:07 PM	Mrs. Wanda Durham		I am a Chatham County resident asking the legislature to please keep Chatham County WHOLE. Do Not split either House District 54 or Senate District 23, which both cover the entirety of Chatham county. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham Meets the constitutional requirement that district maps should be Compact and NOT benefit a single group. Thank you.
09/22/2021 02:13 PM	Jenny Mercer		My name is Jenny Mercer. I have lived in Asheville, NC for 34 years. I have worked as an educator for all of that time. What I've learned from living here is that the residents of Buncombe County and Asheville are linded as one interrelated community. We rub shoulders with each other via our tourist economy, our main health care systems, and our excellent educational facilities, UNC-Asheville, Warren Wilson College and A-B-Tech. Our county farmers provide food for our markets and our restaurants, and our hospitality industry depends on the same things that we all need to live here safely and properly. We recreate together in our parks and greenways. We also share the same concerns in a tourist based economy: low wages, expensive and limited housing, health concerns, and environmental health. Our community is a whole, and is best served by keeping us in one district so we can be well represented in Raleigh and Washington. Please do not divide us or link us to eastern NC where our concerns are different. Thank you for listening. Jenny Mercer
09/22/2021 02:16 PM	Lisa Ellsworth		I am aware that drawing maps for political gain is not a new issue - both parties have done it for decades. I am empathetic to the enormity of the task before you and the pressures that you must be under. It is an impossible situation to to ask those who are most affected by the district changes to make those changes. That is why I fully support Independent Redistricting and I hope one day soon we can make that a reality. In the meantime, I ask each of you to struggle mightily to resist partisan temptation as best you can. It leads, among other things, to super-safe districts where the elections are decided in the primaries - so whichever primary candidate can fire up the base more effectively, often with extreme rhetoric, wins. That sets off a chain of events, discouraging the reasonable debate and

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/22/2021 02:26 PM	Mr. Joseph Papovich		<p>compromise that ultimately leads to better, more informed public policy and good governance. The foundation of our democracy needs fixing and you all are entrusted to apply the mortar. I hope we can rise above party politics, with an understanding that this work is bigger than the current state of affairs, and must be done with courage and integrity. Thanks to each of you for your public service.</p> <p>My name is Joseph Papovich. I've been a resident of the town of Davidson for 5 years. I urge that you make the redistricting process more transparent. Most importantly, I urge that you keep the town of Davidson within the Mecklenburg county district. Davidson has strong links to Mecklenburg county , particularly the other North Mecklenburg towns of Huntersville and Cornelius. The three towns have created and work together as a strong "community of interest" in which we live and work together. There are multiple examples of this. The three town's elected and appointed officials collaborate regularly on issues of shared interest. My church, Davidson College Presbyterian Church, like many others in town, participates in multiple support programs for Huntersville and other local CMS schools. All three towns educate their children together at Hough High School. Our town library is part of the Mecklenburg library system. We have established the North Mecklenburg Economic Mobility Commission and the North Mecklenburg Unity of Community. We three towns do things together and should remain that way for political purposes. Each of these interconnections form the backbone of our North Mecklenbury community.</p> <p>If the legislature now breaks Davidson out of this three-town community of interest, I submit that damage will be done to all three towns.</p> <p>The 2011 redistricting had many flaws that cost NC citizens national prestige and many tax dollars were lost to the resulting litigation. Making the process more transparent and inclusive could help avoid this. North Carolinians need a reasonable opportunity to participate. This would require much better access. In 2011 there were 63 public hearings. This time only 14 have been scheduled despite our increased population. Only one inconveniently scheduled meeting has been announced to receive inputs as to draft maps. Most citizens will not be able to travel to Raleigh to participate in this single hearing.</p> <p>It may be too late to fix the confusion surrounding the first 13 meetings with this late notification, incomplete addresses and no virtual access. It is not too late to schedule more meetings to allow people around the state to review and comment on draft maps. Please take steps to make this happen.</p> <p>Thank you for your help on this important matter.</p>
09/22/2021 02:33 PM	Dr. Shane Elder		<p>To preserve the form of democracy as we have known it in the United States of America since the founding of the country; to have a government of the people, by the people, and for the people; to ensure that the representatives of the people are truly a reflection of the people; it is imperative that voting precincts and districts are delineated not to reflect socio-economic status or voting preferences and activity, but rather to reflect a broad and balanced demographic according to proximity, within a border drawn up dispassionately and disinterestedly. There should be no bizarre shapes or designs on the voting district map, each district must reflect the true demographic diversity that exists within consistently sized and shaped boundaries.</p> <p>It is ridiculous that any country or locality that claims to be democratic would have voting districts that are not consistently shaped and sized according to geography and mathematics. It is ridiculous that even in the 21st century we are having debates about serpentine districts shaped by Byzantine criteria determined by the gilded interests of the few.</p> <p>I ask earnestly, as a native son of North Carolina, that you act decisively now to secure voting districts that are not designed to institute minority rule, no matter which political party will benefit. Instead, I ask that you guarantee that for now and in the future, our voting districts will allow for representation of all and not just the powerful few.</p>
09/22/2021	Jean Holstein		<p>I am a full time Chatham County resident. Please do not split House district 54 or Senate district 23, which both</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
02:38 PM			encompass the entirety of Chatham. We need our representatives to represent us and not gerrymandered for political reasons.
09/22/2021 02:38 PM	Ms Nancy B Wiggins		Because the USA is a Federal Republic where physical and fiscal federalism are key economic and social components for transferring vital services to citizens in an equitable fashion. While Gomillion v Lightfoot made Gerrymandering illegal particularly to make certain racial groups compromised vis-a-vis equitable rights, the same principle should extend to partisan Gerrymandering thus making it illegal also. Congressional Districts should be formulated on the bases of equal sized population in all state districts with congruent interests. For instance, the NC 9 th District includes a modern urban edge city, Ballantyne, in the portion that is in Mecklenburg while the rest of the district is made up of large agrarian areas and small towns from Union County (where Marshville is the Turkey Capital of NC . If the District was properly formed the urban area would be in an urban district while the remainder of the current district would be included into a rural district. Thus the citizens would have a better opportunity to receive appropriate goods, services and financial allocations congruent for their needs. Please Redistrict NC Congressional Districts based on these physical & fiscal criteria .
09/22/2021 02:42 PM	Linda Linfors		I am a resident of Matthews and wish to encourage the Redistricting Committee to continue to link Matthews in with the majority of Mecklenburg County. I feel the needs addressed such as transportation, zoning of major roads and urban life is much more aligned with the "big city" of Charlotte than the rural fringe. I would also like these maps to be entrusted to an independent commission with an approval/denial vote by the legislature (such as the proposal from the NC Justice Center) be the operating standard.
09/22/2021 02:47 PM	Ms. Elaine Jones	None	Good afternoon, It is time to draw district maps in a fair and unbiased manner. Stop picking your voters and let the voters choose the best candidates based on your ideas. Stop with suppressing the vote of marginalized people. What are you so afraid of that you have to gerrymander districts to keep the current GOP in power. I am an independent voter who has voted in both GOP/Democrat primaries. I am looking for leaders interested in the public good, not fear mongering. Let the voters choose...let all the voters choose.
09/22/2021 03:29 PM	Mrs. Jennifer Coss		I live in Precinct 110 in Mecklenburg county and am writing to request that all of our elected representatives please work to draw district lines without regard to party affiliation or past voting! We need to see transparency in your dialogue and methodology in drawing the lines in order to trust that you are working for fair elections in our great state of NC. Please vote against "splitting" precincts & towns, and most importantly, no more shenanigans of drawing bizarre shapes! We need you to be LEADERS who will comply with the law (that means the court rulings too) AND the spirit of the law to protect the voices of the many marginalized people in NC. Please do not further embarrass the People of NC by making us look backwards with more gerrymandering. We are tired of it.
09/22/2021 03:36 PM	Gastonia City Council Mem Robert Kellogg	City council Gastonia	I would like to urge the committee to keep the City of Gastonia intact in future line drawings. Our population is becoming more diverse and the 80,000 residents of Gastonia should not have their voices or votes diluted by lines that cut out their collective votes. Thank you.
09/22/2021 03:44 PM	Mr. Richard Edfeldt	None	Please stop the political maneuvering and power plays in practicing gerrymandering. Districts shouldn't look like animals with legs and tails in order to pack or dilute an area of one party over another. It seems the easiest way would to go by counties or clusters of counties.
09/22/2021 03:53 PM	Ms Carolyn De Mirjian		Please help stop gerrymandering and voter surpression.
09/22/2021 04:01 PM	Mr. Steve Copulsky		The redistricting should be non-partisan and not favor either Democrats or Republicans.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/22/2021 04:03 PM	Dr. Terri Watt	League of Women Voters Moore County	After the maps are released we would like more opportunity to comment on the maps in case they are not rendered fairly.
09/22/2021 04:03 PM	Mr Everette Hartzog		<p>Redistricting should be done with the aim to make democracy work better. Voters should feel that their vote counts. Gerrymandering defeats these purposes. If some peoples votes only count half as much as others-which can happen with dishonest gerrymandering- some people are going to feel cheated out of the full value of their vote. This feeds the growing feeling of disengagement of people from the governing community.</p> <p>Nonpartisan redistricting commission bills have been introduced before to the NC General Assembly. Have any of them been allowed on the Floor? These bills outline the need to have compact districts, ignoring political party affiliations, and having representation from both major political parties and unaffiliated voters. The bills go into much detail on ways to avoid chicanery and have a fair and honest redistricting process. Take a look at it.</p>
09/22/2021 04:13 PM	Kelly Suttles		<p>In the redistricting process I want to see districts that are geographically contiguous that do not divide communities. To the extent possible based on the population of the districts, cities and towns should not be divided and particular communities of interest should be kept together. A community of interest is defined as an area with recognized similarities of interests, including but not limited to economic, social, cultural, geographic, or historic identities. An example would be the Hayti community in Durham. Neighborhoods such as this should be kept together as one voting district.</p> <p>Charlotte may be the only city that is too large to fit in one U.S. congressional district and may have to be split. However, I do not believe there is any good reason to split other cities in this state. This should hold true for NC House districts as well. I know Burlington, Greensboro, High Point, Asheville, and Durham are split into two or more districts. This can't all be based on population and instead seeks to dilute the votes of those cities.</p> <p>I live in Hillsborough (Orange County) and I don't think Chapel Hill (and surrounding areas) should be carved out of Orange County, while the rest of Orange County is merged with Caswell County. It should either stand alone as one county including Chapel Hill or it would be more geographically contiguous to merge Orange and Alamance or Orange and Durham Counties. Those are just a few of the changes I would like to see, but the bottom line is communities should not be arbitrarily divided into districts to suit the politicians. County and city/township and other community/ neighborhood lines should be respected as much as possible.</p>
09/22/2021 04:16 PM	Mr Richard Boulter		<p>I realize that for the Republican party and its members, nothing is more important than maintaining and securing power at every level of government; and as Jan. 6 taught us, through any means necessary. But if the members of this committee have any decency left in them, any love of country, belief in democracy as defined in the Constitution, then the members of this committee with undo the damage done by previous redistricting plans, particularly the 2010 redistricting and put together fair maps that return the power of elections back to the voters. I know that this goes against what most of you stand for these days, and that it would require more backbone than the typical politician has. But you have a opportunity that is rare in this world, an opportunity of historical proportions, where you can change the trajectory of our election system and return it to something closer to what the founding fathers had in mind, and very possibly help preserve if not save our republic. Please consider the long term affects of your actions and put the good of our great state and country ahead of your ambitions and your party's ambitions.</p>
09/22/2021 04:39 PM	Maureen Charde		<p>My husband and I moved to Davidson to be closer to our children and grandchildren. I am impressed by the sense of community that exists in our town, and quickly felt at home here.</p> <p>Davidson shares strong economic and social ties with our neighbors in Huntersville and Cornelius. Many of our doctors and emergency care facilities are located in Huntersville. In addition we participate in the same school system (CMS) and library network (CML) . The transportation issues in the northern part of Mecklenburg County also bring us together as a larger community with common issues.</p> <p>All of North Mecklenburg county is a community with common interests. For this reason I am requesting that you follow County lines in your new redistricting map and leave the town of Davidson within Mecklenburg County.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/22/2021 04:53 PM	John Drake	Private citizen	<p>I would also encourage you to make the redistricting map process fully transparent and allow more public input on the maps before they are finalized.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration, Maureen Charde</p>
09/22/2021 05:01 PM	Dr. Linda Traum	League of Women Voters Charlotte-Mecklenburg	<p>Please post maps for review. These were not available. It would be helpful if you also posted maps of the difference between existing districts and a "impartial" map such as Nathaniel Fischer's as reported in the NewsObserver.</p> <p>My name is Dr. Linda C. Traum and I live in Charlotte, NC. I am a retired professor of Early Childhood Education and a member of the League of Women Voters of Charlotte-Mecklenburg.</p> <p>I have concerns regarding the process of redistricting in 2021-2022 and the potential for negative impacts on the education of children and youth if the legislature engages in gerrymandering.</p> <p>The redistricting process has the potential to influence many aspects of life in North Carolina, in both positive and negative ways. When the practice of drawing electoral district lines to favor one political party, individual, or constituency over another, the principle of one voice, one vote is not achieved.</p> <p>A 2020 report from the Center for American Progress stated that "... gerrymandering has real-world consequences across all the issues that voters care about. One of these consequences could be an inadequate education for all students, especially low-income students." *</p> <p>In 2019, the NC Senate budget included across-the-board cuts to programs targeted at children, including early education and child care, and they did not agree with the Governor's proposal of a 9 % increase to teacher pay and investments in school construction.</p> <p>The Annie E. Casey Foundation 2021 Kids Count Profile for NC found the following pre-pandemic educational outcomes **: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 56% of 3 and 4-year-old children are not enrolled in pre-school (2017 – 2019), • 64% are below proficient 4th grade reading achievement levels (2019), and • 63 % of 8th graders scored below proficiency in math (2019) </p> <p>Although funding is not the sole influence on the above outcomes, it is reasonable to conclude that it is a factor.</p> <p>Voters must have a fair, open process to choose their politicians. I am asking the North Carolina General Assembly to ensure the redistricting process is fully transparent by creating and following rules that will engage the public through observation of map-making.</p> <p>*How Partisan Gerrymandering Hurts Kids</p> <p>By Alex Tausanovitch, Steven Jessen-Howard, Jessica Yin, and Justin Schweitzer</p> <p>5/28/2020</p> <p>https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/democracy/reports/2020/05/28/485495/partisan-gerrymandering-hurts-</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>kids/</p> <p>** 2021 Kids Count Data Book Interactive: Education (North Carolina)</p> <p>By the Annie E. Casey Foundation</p> <p>June 21, 2021</p> <p>https://www.aecf.org/interactive/databook?d=ed&l=37</p>
09/22/2021 05:06 PM	Keep Chatham Whole Laurie Leichman		I am a resident of Chatham County and I am writing to ask the Legislature to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. When revisiting district lines, do not to split either House district 54 or Senate district 23, both of which encompass the entirety of Chatham. This request is consistent with Constitutional arguments that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. I further request that public hearings be conducted after proposed maps are drawn, so the public has some input into redistricting when real boundaries are established. Thank you.
09/22/2021 05:11 PM	Ms Carol Roberts	Independent	Keep Chatham whole please
09/22/2021 05:15 PM	Mrs Elizabeth Gilson		Do not split the county of Chatham, now comprised of house district 54 and Senate district 23. We currently meet compact district that does not benefit a single group. Splitting Chatham County with Lee and Randolph Counties will violate this condition. Thank you.
09/22/2021 05:22 PM	Dr Araminta Johnston		Eventually Democrats will take over the General Assembly again. I'm a yellow dog Democrat, but I still want you Republicans to create a non-partisan redistricting group. I'm tired of gerrymandering, whether it's done by Republicans or Democrats!
09/22/2021 05:27 PM	William Carroll	Pines resident	<p>My name is William Carroll. I came to Davidson as a student at the College in 1963 and have been a home owner and resident here for the past 35 years. I urge the committee to make the redistricting process as transparent as possible and to keep the town of Davidson where it has always been for North Carolina House and Senate seats within the Mecklenburg County Political District.</p> <p>I urge you to use the redistricting process to bring communities together, not tear them apart. Davidson has strong links to Mecklenburg county which is our local county government. Our school system, our library system and our health care system are all integrally related to Mecklenburg county. Each of these interconnections would be negatively impacted by any decision by the NC state legislature to splinter Davidson off into another county and raise questions of constitutional unfairness. If Davidson were suddenly in a district outside of Mecklenburg county, it would hurt Davidson's ability to have its community of interest fairly represented. It would not serve our history and not serve the civic pride of our community.</p> <p>I urge you to make the redistricting process transparent and inclusive. Err on the side of fairness and keep us out of court battles that make the state look bad. Once maps are proposed give the public plenty of time for input. Indicate what community interest is being served in any particular map configuration so that the public will have a chance to ascertain and understand the reasoning behind the proposed shape of each district and for confidence in the General Assembly doing business of behalf of all of us strengthened.</p> <p>Thank you for giving me the opportunity to share my thoughts.</p>
09/22/2021 05:48 PM	Ms. Marjorie Storch	citizen	My name is Margie Storch. I live in precinct 85 in the Stonehaven Neighborhood in southeast Charlotte.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>First of all, new districts should not be designed by politicians who have a compelling interest in protecting their re-election and their party's political power. This process should be assigned to an independent nonpartisan commission following fair criteria.</p> <p>Voters need an inclusive, open process that adheres to common sense guidelines free of partisan motivations;</p> <p>Voters need transparency with multiple opportunities for public feedback along the process;</p> <p>Voters want compact districts that do not split precincts, communities, cities and counties with shared interests;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - For example, in a populous county like Mecklenburg, why split off the southeast section of Charlotte into a separate Congressional district? Wouldn't it make more sense to keep the city of Charlotte intact and split off smaller towns in the northern section of Mecklenburg into another Congressional district, if needed? - When choosing precincts along district boundaries, consider which precincts feed into the same schools and consider grouping those precincts together as those residents share an educational community. <p>Voters want Legislators to listen to – and be responsive to – public input in how district lines are drawn;</p> <p>Voters want an initial plan publicly shared online and additional hearings held across the state after the first draft is created and before it is finalized to solicit additional citizen input; and</p> <p>In the end, the proportion of districts leaning Democratic or Republican or competitive should fairly reflect the proportion of voters' registration statewide: Democratic, Republican, Unaffiliated, etc.</p> <p>Polls show over 65% of North Carolinians feel ending gerrymandering in NC is an important voting issue - including 59% of Republicans!</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
09/22/2021 06:01 PM	Stacie de Jongh		Please consider redrawing district maps in an effort to equalize all districts in our city, not just wealthy ones. We cannot grow in a humane and productive way if we do not consider ALL people in our community.
09/22/2021 06:33 PM	Nancy Jennings	Retired	Please leave Chatham County whole for both houses. It doesn't need to be redistricted and I am fully satisfied with the current district.
09/22/2021 06:42 PM	Rachel Poller		<p>Good evening,</p> <p>While I wasn't able to attend last evening's session at Western Carolina, I did want to make some comments in addition to those I submitted via the portal several weeks ago. I have 3 requests for after the maps are drafted: 1) Numerous meetings should be held again across the state after the maps are drawn so that the public may have input on the proposed maps themselves. How can we make specific comments regarding the maps without seeing them first? 2) Comments made in the portal should be easily viewed by the public so that everyone may know what comments/suggestions are made. 3) Public meetings held across the state should provide for call-in or video conferencing so that folks with concerns about Covid or who are unable to travel the distance required may literally be heard! Thank you so much. Rachel Poller</p>
09/22/2021 06:44 PM	Mrs Barb Kaser		Keep Chatham whole do not split the districts.
09/22/2021	Donna Mergliano		I strongly urge you to continue to consider Chatham County a community of interest and keep it intact during the

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
06:45 PM			redistricting process. As a seven year resident of the county, I have followed its growth and waste water treatment issues fairly closely. Chatham County is working hard to ensure economic opportunities for the whole county and to enable growth and, thereby, economic improvement. Connecting Chatham County with smaller counties that are not dealing with fast moving development would certainly dilute the county's efforts. Secondly, I urge you to schedule about 20 hearings after the maps have been proposed so that North Carolinians all over the state, including the larger metropolitan areas which did not have hearings in the map preparation period. Please also plan on increased transparency being sure to stream proceedings and clearly identify all people active in making changes to the maps.
09/22/2021 07:03 PM	EN Philip Bisesi	PSR, PENC, ASHRAE, Sierra Club, many more	I'll live to 100 or die hiking unless Duke Eney's pollution kills me first . We must have renewable energy by 2035!
09/22/2021 07:23 PM	Ian Kubly		This state cannot withstand anymore gerrymandering. Please help us.
09/22/2021 07:25 PM	Mrs. Rebecca Nimmons	n/a	I'm from NC, now in WA. But I watch what my home state is doing. And the GOP in NC makes me infinitely ashamed to be from NC because the GOP is doing very, very, very shameful, undemocratic things to the state and its citizens, esp. when it comes to voting. Our democracy depends on free and open and unfettered ability to speak and vote freely. The GOP has gone over the cliff with its legislation against women and diversity. We will continue to have problems in our society as long as there is discrimination and oppression and subversion and blindness to truth. Wake up, GOP! Power is on the side of truth and justice in the end, not power plays!!!!
09/22/2021 08:29 PM	Micah McLain		It's important that we get fair, fully representative districts this time, to avoid the legal quagmire caused by the last decade's remap and also to be sure that all North Carolinians have a full voice in their governments.
09/22/2021 08:52 PM	Ms. Gail Woods	retired	Keep Chatham WHOLE! I've lived in NC all my life. Born in Durham I've lived in worked in Charlotte and Greensboro where I raised 2 children. I chose Chatham County for my retirement in great part because of its sense of community. I have found it to be a place where people from all over the globe have come to live with people whose families have been for 100s of years. Please KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE!
09/22/2021 09:01 PM	Mrs. Mary Dowd	Mary Dowd Bears	I would like to see the redistricting done in a non partisan fashion. It is past time to stop gerrymandering districts and silencing voters voices and choices.
09/22/2021 09:06 PM	Nicole D Peterson		<p>Fair maps mean:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ? End Gerrymandering. ? Voters should pick representatives, not the other way around. ? The people want Nonpartisan Redistricting Commissions to draw maps. ? Lawmakers need to schedule public hearings AFTER maps are drafted for feedback. ? Increased accessibility to Redistricting Public Hearings. ? More transparency & access to information during the map-drawing process including how the maps were drawn ? Compact, contiguous districts - no split precincts, towns, or communities of interest.
09/22/2021 09:06 PM	cinda chima		<p>My name is Cinda S Chima. I live in Asheville in Buncombe County. I'm a relative newcomer, having lived here for a little over two years. When I first arrived, it was difficult to identify my elected representatives, because it turned out the district boundary ran right down the middle of my street! When discussing common issues with neighbors, I was never sure if we were even in the same congressional district. Not long after, a court case dictated that the boundaries be changed, and we are finally all in the same district. For now.</p> <p>Asheville's socio-economic needs are significantly different than in some surrounding counties. Our city was hit hard by the pandemic, because so much of our economy is driven by tourism, restaurants, and our vibrant music scene. Small businesses were hurt badly, and many of those thrown out of work had to leave town, because housing costs</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/22/2021 09:48 PM	Janene Donovan	Asheville citizen	<p>here are the highest in the state. Now our businesses are having trouble finding workers. There is an urgent need for affordable housing and services so that workers can afford to live and work in Asheville. We need representation that can speak for us. All of Buncombe County should be in the same congressional district, and state legislative districts should be as cohesive as possible.</p>
09/22/2021 10:21 PM	Mrs Meko McCarthy	WZA Consultant	<p>I am in favor of transparent and fair redistricting. The people should be able to elect their representatives fairly but now it is being divided so that the party in power is able to keep control easily. Fair redistricting will help to get the Publics trust back so we can all move forward and get progress on some of the issues that are facing us today.</p> <p>My name is Meko McCarthy I am a lifelong resident of the West Side of Charlotte, I have seen my community go through dramatic changes. Some for the good / some where bad.</p> <p>I am here today to share with you information about my community, and ask that you respect us and keep us whole in any new districts that you draw.</p> <p>The area I am talking about today includes the West Blvd area like skyview Bethel, Remont, Wilmore, Spruce St, Merrimen, Clanton Park, Barringer, Stuart Drive, Manchester, fieldcrest, Graymont, Brookhill community and Southside Homes neighborhood.</p> <p>They all went through gentrification. Black owned Residence have been priced out In the years</p> <p>My community has been marginalized, lied to, intentionally under-resourced, and excluded from decisions about growth and development that affect us directly.</p> <p>We used to have a local market where the owner knew us by name and would extend credit when someone needed it,</p> <p>Now have thing. We had recreation center that including game rooms, learning center, a pool that was accessible to the entire community. Several years ago</p> <p>They closed that rec center it's a sports academy and put YMCA that is members base only and base on income. When we were told they was going to upgrade, but didn't.</p> <p>This happens over and over again to us. We're sold a bill of goods, and then they don't deliver. We need representation - at every level that is going to put OUR interests first.</p> <p>We need affordable housing and development neighborhood-centered vs. politically, economic and development centered.</p> <p>We need representation that will be accountable to US. I am asking the committee to keep the West Side Community, including the West Blvd area (Bethel), Remont, Willmore, Spruce St, Merrimen), Clanton Park, Barringer, Stuart Drive, Brookhill and Southside Homes neighborhoods and others I've mentioned previously, together inside any district that you draw, from state house to state senate to congressional districts. Do not divide this community. That would dilute our voting power, cause</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>confusion during election time, and make it harder for us to advocate for the kinds of resources that serve our needs.</p> <p>Thank you for your time.</p>
09/22/2021 10:31 PM	Rachel Miller		<p>Redistrict like EVERY voice matters. It should be non-partisan and free from oppressive measures. We want to be heard. We want our voices to matter. Stop the minority from controlling the voice of the constituents. We want a true democracy free from unfair redistricting practices. Thank you.</p>
09/22/2021 11:01 PM	Roger Scott	N/A	<p>I am opposed to the General Assembly having the authority to draw legislative maps at all. I would prefer H426 become law. As it is, I object to the General Assembly proposing or adopting gerrymandered maps that are certain to result in lawsuits. It is the tax dollars of constituents like me that are WASTED in all these lawsuits. I realize that any map adopted will be scrutinized and may result in limited legal challenges. But to blatantly invite substantial & costly legal challenges is a reprehensible waste this State's resources. Draw fair maps and let the voters decide.</p>
09/22/2021 11:02 PM	Mrs Sharon OKelley		<p>We need to have fair and equal voting districts drawn by an impartial committee. No more geremanding! Elected officials should be elected by the people fairly and not because lines have been drawn to make it unequal for one political party to gain power!</p>
09/22/2021 11:22 PM	Ms Barbara Benson		<p>In a democracy of the people, by the people, for the people, elections are for the people to choose their representatives. The legislature is not supposed to cheat and stack the deck in their favor by gerrymandering. Please have a fair and transparent redistricting that allows for free and fair elections. Let the people speak.</p>
09/23/2021 12:27 AM	Mrs Meko Mccarthy	WZA Consultant	<p>My name is Meko McCarthy I am a lifelong resident of the West Side of Charlotte, I have seen my community go through dramatic changes. Some for the good / some where bad.</p> <p>I am here today to share with you information about my community, and ask that you respect us and keep us whole in any new districts that you draw.</p> <p>The area I am talking about today includes the West Blvd area like skyview Bethel, Remont, Wilmore, Spruce St, Merrimen, Clanton Park, Barringer, Stuart Drive, Manchester, fieldcrest, Graymont, Brookhill community and Southside Homes neighborhood.</p> <p>They all went through gentrification. Black owned Residence have been priced out In the years</p> <p>My community has been marginalized, lied to, intentionally under-resourced, and excluded from decisions about growth and development that affect us directly.</p> <p>We used to have a local market where the owner knew us by name and would extend credit when someone needed it,</p> <p>Now have thing. We had recreation center that including game rooms, learning center, a pool that was accessible to the entire community. Several years ago</p> <p>They closed that rec center it's a sports academy and put YMCA that is members base only and base on income. When we were told they was going to upgrade, but didn't.</p> <p>This happens over and over again to us. We're sold a bill of goods, and then they don't deliver.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
----------------	------	--------------	----------

We need representation - at every level that is going to put OUR interests first.

We need affordable housing and development neighborhood-centered vs. politically, economic and development centered.

We need representation that will be accountable to US. I am asking the committee to keep the West Side Community, including the West Blvd area (Bethel), Remont, Willmore, Spruce St, Merrimen), Clanton Park, Barringer, Stuart Drive, Brookhill and Southside Homes neighborhoods and others I've mentioned previously, together inside any district that you draw, from state house to state senate to congressional districts. Do not divide this community. That would dilute our voting power, cause confusion during election time, and make it harder for us to advocate for the kinds of resources that serve our needs.

Thank you for your time.

From: "Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office)" <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/24/2021 3:54:40 PM -0400
To: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)" <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>; Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; "Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton)" <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; "Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; "Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; "Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)" <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: RE: Short get together, please

Erika,

We've had a chance to talk internally. We would like for you and your team to go ahead and verify the county grouping options produced by Duke University: <https://sites.duke.edu/quantifyinggerrymandering/files/2021/08/countyClusters2020.pdf>. On Tuesday, October 5 the Senate would like you to present the county grouping options to the Senate Redistricting Committee – and we would like members to be able to begin drawing maps soon thereafter (that week, if can get everything set up) using any constitutionally compliant county grouping option.

I believe the House has the same or a similar request, but I'll defer to them to respond to you with their instructions.

Happy to discuss further next week. Thanks in advance and have a good weekend.

Nathan

From: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 22, 2021 10:36 AM
To: Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>
Cc: Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall) <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office) <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton) <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>; Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office) <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office) <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office) <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)

<Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>

Subject: Short get together, please

Redistricting Chairs,

As we are coming to a close of the September public hearing cycle, could schedule a time to all gather together to talk about a few logistical matters for the next phase? Could we shoot for sometime next Wednesday morning, September 29Th?

Thanks,
Erika C

Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578

From: "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/23/2021 4:15:41 PM -0400
To: "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Fwd: Inquiry
Attachments: NC House Members 2021 (SL2019-220) 19x36 insets.pdf; House_2020_Pct_AboveBelow_Ideal.pdf; House2020 - StatPack.pdf; Congress 2021 Members_19x36 insets.pdf; Cong_2020_Pct_AboveBelow_Ideal.pdf; Congress2020 - StatPack.pdf

Dylan R. Reel

House Rules Committee Counsel
Office of Rules Chairman Destin C. Hall | [919.715.3015](tel:919.715.3015)
2306 Legislative Building | [16 West Jones Street](https://www.ncleg.gov/locations/16-West-Jones-Street) | Raleigh, NC 27603

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)" <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Date: September 23, 2021 at 12:53:10 PM EDT
To: "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>
Cc: "Rep. Destin Hall" <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: Inquiry

□As requested. Please note we provided two different maps – one with the 2020 election district and one with a graded coloration scheme for percentage population change within that 2020 election district. For the one with the graded coloration scheme, we opted not to include members residency. If you would like that added to that particular map, just let me know.

E

From: Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall) <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Monday, September 20, 2021 5:06 PM
To: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Cc: Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: Inquiry

Erika,

We are requesting the latter—we want y'all to go ahead and load that onto one terminal for this purpose. Thanks.

Respectfully,

Dylan

Dylan R. Reel

House Rules Committee Counsel
Office of Rules Chairman Destin C. Hall | 919.733.5931
2306 Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27603

From: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov<mailto:Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>>
Sent: Monday, September 20, 2021 4:51 PM
To: Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)
<Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov<mailto:Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>>
Cc: Rep. Destin Hall
<Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov<mailto:Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>>
Subject: RE: Inquiry

Representative Hall and Dylan,

We're happy to prepare those documents. Would you like those to be the first order of business when the 2020 Census information is loaded into the Maptitude drawing system for use by the members and public? Or are you requesting that we central staff go ahead and load that onto one terminal for this purpose?

Thanks,
Erika C

From: Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)
<Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov<mailto:Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>>
Sent: Monday, September 20, 2021 4:40 PM
To: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov<mailto:Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>>
Cc: Rep. Destin Hall
<Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov<mailto:Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>>
Subject: Inquiry

Erika,

Chairman Hall would like you and the redistricting central staff team to create the following:

1. A Congressional "stat pack" with the updated 2020 census data and 10X36 map that has on the incumbency layer
 - * Do NOT include any of the data that would conflict with the criteria for the 2021 draw
2. A State House "stat pack" with the updated 2020 census data and a 10X36 map that has the incumbency layer
 - * Do NOT include any of the data that would conflict with the criteria for the 2021 draw







Respectfully,

Dylan

Dylan R. Reel


House Rules Committee Counsel
Office of Rules Chairman Destin C. Hall | 919.733.5931
2306 Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27603

NC House Members 2021



North Carolina 2020 House Districts


Deviation from the Ideal Population based on the 2020 Census



County

Percent Above or Below 2020 Ideal

- 20% to 50% above
- 10% to 20% above
- 5% to 10% above
- 0% to 5% above
- 0% to -5% below
- 5% to -10% below
- 10% to -15% below
- 15% to -25% below



Population Deviation Report

District Plan: House2020

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
1	1	86,995	69,250	-17,745	-20.40%
2	1	86,995	83,769	-3,226	-3.71%
3	1	86,995	73,887	-13,108	-15.07%
4	1	86,995	74,502	-12,493	-14.36%
5	1	86,995	72,598	-14,397	-16.55%
6	1	86,995	81,880	-5,115	-5.88%
7	1	86,995	84,336	-2,659	-3.06%
8	1	86,995	80,097	-6,898	-7.93%
9	1	86,995	73,795	-13,200	-15.17%
10	1	86,995	82,903	-4,092	-4.70%
11	1	86,995	90,800	3,805	4.37%
12	1	86,995	71,473	-15,522	-17.84%
13	1	86,995	76,858	-10,137	-11.65%
14	1	86,995	86,538	-457	-0.53%
15	1	86,995	92,251	5,256	6.04%
16	1	86,995	86,677	-318	-0.37%
17	1	86,995	98,474	11,479	13.20%
18	1	86,995	83,793	-3,202	-3.68%
19	1	86,995	94,372	7,377	8.48%
20	1	86,995	85,756	-1,239	-1.42%
21	1	86,995	77,819	-9,176	-10.55%
22	1	86,995	74,156	-12,839	-14.76%
23	1	86,995	70,931	-16,064	-18.47%
24	1	86,995	78,784	-8,211	-9.44%
25	1	86,995	79,207	-7,788	-8.95%
26	1	86,995	118,916	31,921	36.69%
27	1	86,995	66,093	-20,902	-24.03%
28	1	86,995	94,571	7,576	8.71%
29	1	86,995	93,532	6,537	7.51%
30	1	86,995	97,186	10,191	11.71%
31	1	86,995	111,521	24,526	28.19%
32	1	86,995	77,540	-9,455	-10.87%
33	1	86,995	94,150	7,155	8.22%
34	1	86,995	89,516	2,521	2.90%
35	1	86,995	107,662	20,667	23.76%
36	1	86,995	96,778	9,783	11.25%
37	1	86,995	124,459	37,464	43.06%
38	1	86,995	93,793	6,798	7.81%
39	1	86,995	106,498	19,503	22.42%
40	1	86,995	98,194	11,199	12.87%
41	1	86,995	130,024	43,029	49.46%
42	1	86,995	85,537	-1,458	-1.68%
43	1	86,995	78,699	-8,296	-9.54%
44	1	86,995	83,353	-3,642	-4.19%

Population Deviation Report

District Plan: House2020

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
45	1	86,995	87,139	144	0.17%
46	1	86,995	70,050	-16,945	-19.48%
47	1	86,995	70,629	-16,366	-18.81%
48	1	86,995	86,256	-739	-0.85%
49	1	86,995	97,536	10,541	12.12%
50	1	86,995	88,705	1,710	1.97%
51	1	86,995	97,770	10,775	12.39%
52	1	86,995	88,139	1,144	1.32%
53	1	86,995	93,143	6,148	7.07%
54	1	86,995	98,879	11,884	13.66%
55	1	86,995	73,976	-13,019	-14.97%
56	1	86,995	82,727	-4,268	-4.91%
57	1	86,995	90,615	3,620	4.16%
58	1	86,995	87,458	463	0.53%
59	1	86,995	95,113	8,118	9.33%
60	1	86,995	89,016	2,021	2.32%
61	1	86,995	86,871	-124	-0.14%
62	1	86,995	92,226	5,231	6.01%
63	1	86,995	85,448	-1,547	-1.78%
64	1	86,995	85,967	-1,028	-1.18%
65	1	86,995	81,546	-5,449	-6.26%
66	1	86,995	77,768	-9,227	-10.61%
67	1	86,995	86,888	-107	-0.12%
68	1	86,995	101,167	14,172	16.29%
69	1	86,995	85,179	-1,816	-2.09%
70	1	86,995	78,536	-8,459	-9.72%
71	1	86,995	81,654	-5,341	-6.14%
72	1	86,995	85,013	-1,982	-2.28%
73	1	86,995	77,134	-9,861	-11.34%
74	1	86,995	91,726	4,731	5.44%
75	1	86,995	84,277	-2,718	-3.12%
76	1	86,995	87,730	735	0.84%
77	1	86,995	87,496	501	0.58%
78	1	86,995	77,223	-9,772	-11.23%
79	1	86,995	71,485	-15,510	-17.83%
80	1	86,995	83,785	-3,210	-3.69%
81	1	86,995	85,145	-1,850	-2.13%
82	1	86,995	102,107	15,112	17.37%
83	1	86,995	104,603	17,608	20.24%
84	1	86,995	81,781	-5,214	-5.99%
85	1	86,995	77,287	-9,708	-11.16%
86	1	86,995	76,959	-10,036	-11.54%
87	1	86,995	80,652	-6,343	-7.29%
88	1	86,995	99,273	12,278	14.11%

Population Deviation Report

District Plan: House2020

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
89	1	86,995	80,115	-6,880	-7.91%
90	1	86,995	79,437	-7,558	-8.69%
91	1	86,995	78,279	-8,716	-10.02%
92	1	86,995	103,229	16,234	18.66%
93	1	86,995	80,663	-6,332	-7.28%
94	1	86,995	81,014	-5,981	-6.88%
95	1	86,995	104,912	17,917	20.60%
96	1	86,995	80,495	-6,500	-7.47%
97	1	86,995	86,810	-185	-0.21%
98	1	86,995	104,798	17,803	20.46%
99	1	86,995	90,735	3,740	4.30%
100	1	86,995	82,510	-4,485	-5.16%
101	1	86,995	89,013	2,018	2.32%
102	1	86,995	90,638	3,643	4.19%
103	1	86,995	87,202	207	0.24%
104	1	86,995	82,606	-4,389	-5.05%
105	1	86,995	92,400	5,405	6.21%
106	1	86,995	99,617	12,622	14.51%
107	1	86,995	93,461	6,466	7.43%
108	1	86,995	83,912	-3,083	-3.54%
109	1	86,995	88,784	1,789	2.06%
110	1	86,995	77,182	-9,813	-11.28%
111	1	86,995	77,584	-9,411	-10.82%
112	1	86,995	75,055	-11,940	-13.72%
113	1	86,995	82,493	-4,502	-5.18%
114	1	86,995	89,378	2,383	2.74%
115	1	86,995	84,781	-2,214	-2.54%
116	1	86,995	95,293	8,298	9.54%
117	1	86,995	86,102	-893	-1.03%
118	1	86,995	79,261	-7,734	-8.89%
119	1	86,995	79,717	-7,278	-8.37%
120	1	86,995	84,907	-2,088	-2.40%
Totals:	120		10,439,388		

County - District Report

District Plan: House2020

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Alamance	63	171,415	85,448	85,448	49.85 %	100.00 %
	64	171,415	85,967	85,967	50.15 %	100.00 %
Alexander	94	36,444	81,014	36,444	100.00 %	44.98 %
Alleghany	90	10,888	79,437	10,888	100.00 %	13.71 %
Anson	55	22,055	73,976	22,055	100.00 %	29.81 %
Ashe	93	26,577	80,663	26,577	100.00 %	32.95 %
Avery	85	17,806	77,287	17,806	100.00 %	23.04 %
Beaufort	79	44,652	71,485	44,652	100.00 %	62.46 %
Bertie	1	17,934	69,250	17,934	100.00 %	25.90 %
Bladen	22	29,606	74,156	29,606	100.00 %	39.92 %
Brunswick	17	136,693	98,474	98,474	72.04 %	100.00 %
	19	136,693	94,372	38,219	27.96 %	40.50 %
Buncombe	114	269,452	89,378	89,378	33.17 %	100.00 %
	115	269,452	84,781	84,781	31.46 %	100.00 %
	116	269,452	95,293	95,293	35.37 %	100.00 %
Burke	86	87,570	76,959	76,959	87.88 %	100.00 %
	112	87,570	75,055	10,611	12.12 %	14.14 %
Cabarrus	67	225,804	86,888	19,094	8.46 %	21.98 %
	82	225,804	102,107	102,107	45.22 %	100.00 %
	83	225,804	104,603	104,603	46.32 %	100.00 %
Caldwell	87	80,652	80,652	80,652	100.00 %	100.00 %
Camden	1	10,355	69,250	10,355	100.00 %	14.95 %
Carteret	13	67,686	76,858	67,686	100.00 %	88.07 %
Caswell	50	22,736	88,705	22,736	100.00 %	25.63 %
Catawba	89	160,610	80,115	80,115	49.88 %	100.00 %
	96	160,610	80,495	80,495	50.12 %	100.00 %
Chatham	54	76,285	98,879	76,285	100.00 %	77.15 %
Cherokee	120	28,774	84,907	28,774	100.00 %	33.89 %
Chowan	1	13,708	69,250	13,708	100.00 %	19.79 %
Clay	120	11,089	84,907	11,089	100.00 %	13.06 %
Cleveland	110	99,519	77,182	21,935	22.04 %	28.42 %
	111	99,519	77,584	77,584	77.96 %	100.00 %
Columbus	16	50,623	86,677	26,474	52.30 %	30.54 %
	46	50,623	70,050	24,149	47.70 %	34.47 %
Craven	3	100,720	73,887	73,887	73.36 %	100.00 %
	79	100,720	71,485	26,833	26.64 %	37.54 %
Cumberland	42	334,728	85,537	85,537	25.55 %	100.00 %
	43	334,728	78,699	78,699	23.51 %	100.00 %
	44	334,728	83,353	83,353	24.90 %	100.00 %
	45	334,728	87,139	87,139	26.03 %	100.00 %
Currituck	6	28,100	81,880	28,100	100.00 %	34.32 %
Dare	6	36,915	81,880	36,915	100.00 %	45.08 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File - North Carolina

Printed 9/22/2021 [G20-CntyDist]

County - District Report

District Plan: House2020

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Davidson	80	168,930	83,785	83,785	49.60 %	100.00 %
	81	168,930	85,145	85,145	50.40 %	100.00 %
Davie	77	42,712	87,496	42,712	100.00 %	48.82 %
Duplin	4	48,715	74,502	48,715	100.00 %	65.39 %
Durham	29	324,833	93,532	93,532	28.79 %	100.00 %
	30	324,833	97,186	97,186	29.92 %	100.00 %
	31	324,833	111,521	111,521	34.33 %	100.00 %
	54	324,833	98,879	22,594	6.96 %	22.85 %
Edgecombe	23	48,900	70,931	48,900	100.00 %	68.94 %
Forsyth	71	382,590	81,654	81,654	21.34 %	100.00 %
	72	382,590	85,013	85,013	22.22 %	100.00 %
	73	382,590	77,134	39,920	10.43 %	51.75 %
	74	382,590	91,726	91,726	23.98 %	100.00 %
	75	382,590	84,277	84,277	22.03 %	100.00 %
Franklin	7	68,573	84,336	68,573	100.00 %	81.31 %
Gaston	108	227,943	83,912	83,912	36.81 %	100.00 %
	109	227,943	88,784	88,784	38.95 %	100.00 %
	110	227,943	77,182	55,247	24.24 %	71.58 %
Gates	5	10,478	72,598	10,478	100.00 %	14.43 %
Graham	120	8,030	84,907	8,030	100.00 %	9.46 %
Granville	2	60,992	83,769	44,672	73.24 %	53.33 %
	32	60,992	77,540	16,320	26.76 %	21.05 %
Greene	10	20,451	82,903	20,451	100.00 %	24.67 %
Guilford	57	541,299	90,615	90,615	16.74 %	100.00 %
	58	541,299	87,458	87,458	16.16 %	100.00 %
	59	541,299	95,113	95,113	17.57 %	100.00 %
	60	541,299	89,016	89,016	16.44 %	100.00 %
	61	541,299	86,871	86,871	16.05 %	100.00 %
	62	541,299	92,226	92,226	17.04 %	100.00 %
Halifax	27	48,622	66,093	48,622	100.00 %	73.57 %
Harnett	28	133,568	94,571	5,940	4.45 %	6.28 %
	51	133,568	97,770	34,485	25.82 %	35.27 %
	53	133,568	93,143	93,143	69.73 %	100.00 %
Haywood	118	62,089	79,261	39,598	63.78 %	49.96 %
	119	62,089	79,717	22,491	36.22 %	28.21 %
Henderson	113	116,281	82,493	30,179	25.95 %	36.58 %
	117	116,281	86,102	86,102	74.05 %	100.00 %
Hertford	5	21,552	72,598	21,552	100.00 %	29.69 %
Hoke	48	52,082	86,256	52,082	100.00 %	60.38 %
Hyde	6	4,589	81,880	4,589	100.00 %	5.60 %
Iredell	84	186,693	81,781	81,781	43.81 %	100.00 %
	95	186,693	104,912	104,912	56.19 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File - North Carolina

Printed 9/22/2021 [G20-CntyDist]

County - District Report

District Plan: House2020

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Jackson	119	43,109	79,717	43,109	100.00 %	54.08 %
Johnston	10	215,999	82,903	8,452	3.91 %	10.20 %
	26	215,999	118,916	118,916	55.05 %	100.00 %
	28	215,999	94,571	88,631	41.03 %	93.72 %
Jones	13	9,172	76,858	9,172	100.00 %	11.93 %
Lee	51	63,285	97,770	63,285	100.00 %	64.73 %
Lenoir	12	55,122	71,473	55,122	100.00 %	77.12 %
Lincoln	97	86,810	86,810	86,810	100.00 %	100.00 %
Macon	120	37,014	84,907	37,014	100.00 %	43.59 %
Madison	118	21,193	79,261	21,193	100.00 %	26.74 %
Martin	23	22,031	70,931	22,031	100.00 %	31.06 %
McDowell	85	44,578	77,287	44,578	100.00 %	57.68 %
Mecklenburg	88	1,115,482	99,273	99,273	8.90 %	100.00 %
	92	1,115,482	103,229	103,229	9.25 %	100.00 %
	98	1,115,482	104,798	104,798	9.39 %	100.00 %
	99	1,115,482	90,735	90,735	8.13 %	100.00 %
	100	1,115,482	82,510	82,510	7.40 %	100.00 %
	101	1,115,482	89,013	89,013	7.98 %	100.00 %
	102	1,115,482	90,638	90,638	8.13 %	100.00 %
	103	1,115,482	87,202	87,202	7.82 %	100.00 %
	104	1,115,482	82,606	82,606	7.41 %	100.00 %
	105	1,115,482	92,400	92,400	8.28 %	100.00 %
	106	1,115,482	99,617	99,617	8.93 %	100.00 %
107	1,115,482	93,461	93,461	8.38 %	100.00 %	
Mitchell	85	14,903	77,287	14,903	100.00 %	19.28 %
Montgomery	66	25,751	77,768	25,751	100.00 %	33.11 %
Moore	52	99,727	88,139	88,139	88.38 %	100.00 %
	78	99,727	77,223	11,588	11.62 %	15.01 %
Nash	7	94,970	84,336	15,763	16.60 %	18.69 %
	25	94,970	79,207	79,207	83.40 %	100.00 %
New Hanover	18	225,702	83,793	83,793	37.13 %	100.00 %
	19	225,702	94,372	56,153	24.88 %	59.50 %
	20	225,702	85,756	85,756	38.00 %	100.00 %
Northampton	27	17,471	66,093	17,471	100.00 %	26.43 %
Onslow	4	204,576	74,502	25,787	12.61 %	34.61 %
	14	204,576	86,538	86,538	42.30 %	100.00 %
	15	204,576	92,251	92,251	45.09 %	100.00 %
Orange	50	148,696	88,705	65,969	44.37 %	74.37 %
	56	148,696	82,727	82,727	55.63 %	100.00 %
Pamlico	6	12,276	81,880	12,276	100.00 %	14.99 %
Pasquotank	5	40,568	72,598	40,568	100.00 %	55.88 %
Pender	16	60,203	86,677	60,203	100.00 %	69.46 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File - North Carolina

Printed 9/22/2021 [G20-CntyDist]

County - District Report**District Plan: House2020**

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Perquimans	1	13,005	69,250	13,005	100.00 %	18.78 %
Person	2	39,097	83,769	39,097	100.00 %	46.67 %
Pitt	8	170,243	80,097	80,097	47.05 %	100.00 %
	9	170,243	73,795	73,795	43.35 %	100.00 %
	12	170,243	71,473	16,351	9.60 %	22.88 %
Polk	113	19,328	82,493	19,328	100.00 %	23.43 %
Randolph	70	144,171	78,536	78,536	54.47 %	100.00 %
	78	144,171	77,223	65,635	45.53 %	84.99 %
Richmond	66	42,946	77,768	42,946	100.00 %	55.22 %
Robeson	46	116,530	70,050	45,901	39.39 %	65.53 %
	47	116,530	70,629	70,629	60.61 %	100.00 %
Rockingham	65	91,096	81,546	81,546	89.52 %	100.00 %
	91	91,096	78,279	9,550	10.48 %	12.20 %
Rowan	67	146,875	86,888	14,361	9.78 %	16.53 %
	76	146,875	87,730	87,730	59.73 %	100.00 %
	77	146,875	87,496	44,784	30.49 %	51.18 %
Rutherford	112	64,444	75,055	64,444	100.00 %	85.86 %
Sampson	21	59,036	77,819	14,486	24.54 %	18.61 %
	22	59,036	74,156	44,550	75.46 %	60.08 %
Scotland	48	34,174	86,256	34,174	100.00 %	39.62 %
Stanly	66	62,504	77,768	9,071	14.51 %	11.66 %
	67	62,504	86,888	53,433	85.49 %	61.50 %
Stokes	91	44,520	78,279	44,520	100.00 %	56.87 %
Surry	90	71,359	79,437	47,150	66.07 %	59.36 %
	91	71,359	78,279	24,209	33.93 %	30.93 %
Swain	119	14,117	79,717	14,117	100.00 %	17.71 %
Transylvania	113	32,986	82,493	32,986	100.00 %	39.99 %
Tyrrell	1	3,245	69,250	3,245	100.00 %	4.69 %
Union	55	238,267	73,976	51,921	21.79 %	70.19 %
	68	238,267	101,167	101,167	42.46 %	100.00 %
	69	238,267	85,179	85,179	35.75 %	100.00 %
Vance	32	42,578	77,540	42,578	100.00 %	54.91 %

County - District Report

District Plan: House2020

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Wake	11	1,129,410	90,800	90,800	8.04 %	100.00 %
	33	1,129,410	94,150	94,150	8.34 %	100.00 %
	34	1,129,410	89,516	89,516	7.93 %	100.00 %
	35	1,129,410	107,662	107,662	9.53 %	100.00 %
	36	1,129,410	96,778	96,778	8.57 %	100.00 %
	37	1,129,410	124,459	124,459	11.02 %	100.00 %
	38	1,129,410	93,793	93,793	8.30 %	100.00 %
	39	1,129,410	106,498	106,498	9.43 %	100.00 %
	40	1,129,410	98,194	98,194	8.69 %	100.00 %
	41	1,129,410	130,024	130,024	11.51 %	100.00 %
	49	1,129,410	97,536	97,536	8.64 %	100.00 %
Warren	32	18,642	77,540	18,642	100.00 %	24.04 %
Washington	1	11,003	69,250	11,003	100.00 %	15.89 %
Watauga	93	54,086	80,663	54,086	100.00 %	67.05 %
Wayne	10	117,333	82,903	54,000	46.02 %	65.14 %
	21	117,333	77,819	63,333	53.98 %	81.39 %
Wilkes	90	65,969	79,437	21,399	32.44 %	26.94 %
	94	65,969	81,014	44,570	67.56 %	55.02 %
Wilson	24	78,784	78,784	78,784	100.00 %	100.00 %
Yadkin	73	37,214	77,134	37,214	100.00 %	48.25 %
Yancey	118	18,470	79,261	18,470	100.00 %	23.30 %
Total:				10,439,388		

Number of split counties: 39

Display: all counties

District - County Report

District Plan: House2020

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
1	Bertie	69,250	17,934	17,934	25.90 %	100.00 %
	Camden	69,250	10,355	10,355	14.95 %	100.00 %
	Chowan	69,250	13,708	13,708	19.79 %	100.00 %
	Perquimans	69,250	13,005	13,005	18.78 %	100.00 %
	Tyrrell	69,250	3,245	3,245	4.69 %	100.00 %
	Washington	69,250	11,003	11,003	15.89 %	100.00 %
2	Granville	83,769	60,992	44,672	53.33 %	73.24 %
	Person	83,769	39,097	39,097	46.67 %	100.00 %
3	Craven	73,887	100,720	73,887	100.00 %	73.36 %
4	Duplin	74,502	48,715	48,715	65.39 %	100.00 %
	Onslow	74,502	204,576	25,787	34.61 %	12.61 %
5	Gates	72,598	10,478	10,478	14.43 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	72,598	21,552	21,552	29.69 %	100.00 %
	Pasquotank	72,598	40,568	40,568	55.88 %	100.00 %
6	Currituck	81,880	28,100	28,100	34.32 %	100.00 %
	Dare	81,880	36,915	36,915	45.08 %	100.00 %
	Hyde	81,880	4,589	4,589	5.60 %	100.00 %
	Pamlico	81,880	12,276	12,276	14.99 %	100.00 %
7	Franklin	84,336	68,573	68,573	81.31 %	100.00 %
	Nash	84,336	94,970	15,763	18.69 %	16.60 %
8	Pitt	80,097	170,243	80,097	100.00 %	47.05 %
9	Pitt	73,795	170,243	73,795	100.00 %	43.35 %
10	Greene	82,903	20,451	20,451	24.67 %	100.00 %
	Johnston	82,903	215,999	8,452	10.20 %	3.91 %
	Wayne	82,903	117,333	54,000	65.14 %	46.02 %
11	Wake	90,800	1,129,410	90,800	100.00 %	8.04 %
12	Lenoir	71,473	55,122	55,122	77.12 %	100.00 %
	Pitt	71,473	170,243	16,351	22.88 %	9.60 %
13	Carteret	76,858	67,686	67,686	88.07 %	100.00 %
	Jones	76,858	9,172	9,172	11.93 %	100.00 %
14	Onslow	86,538	204,576	86,538	100.00 %	42.30 %
15	Onslow	92,251	204,576	92,251	100.00 %	45.09 %
16	Columbus	86,677	50,623	26,474	30.54 %	52.30 %
	Pender	86,677	60,203	60,203	69.46 %	100.00 %
17	Brunswick	98,474	136,693	98,474	100.00 %	72.04 %
18	New Hanover	83,793	225,702	83,793	100.00 %	37.13 %
19	Brunswick	94,372	136,693	38,219	40.50 %	27.96 %
	New Hanover	94,372	225,702	56,153	59.50 %	24.88 %
20	New Hanover	85,756	225,702	85,756	100.00 %	38.00 %
21	Sampson	77,819	59,036	14,486	18.61 %	24.54 %
	Wayne	77,819	117,333	63,333	81.39 %	53.98 %

District - County Report

District Plan: House2020

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
22	Bladen	74,156	29,606	29,606	39.92 %	100.00 %
	Sampson	74,156	59,036	44,550	60.08 %	75.46 %
23	Edgecombe	70,931	48,900	48,900	68.94 %	100.00 %
	Martin	70,931	22,031	22,031	31.06 %	100.00 %
24	Wilson	78,784	78,784	78,784	100.00 %	100.00 %
25	Nash	79,207	94,970	79,207	100.00 %	83.40 %
26	Johnston	118,916	215,999	118,916	100.00 %	55.05 %
27	Halifax	66,093	48,622	48,622	73.57 %	100.00 %
	Northampton	66,093	17,471	17,471	26.43 %	100.00 %
28	Harnett	94,571	133,568	5,940	6.28 %	4.45 %
	Johnston	94,571	215,999	88,631	93.72 %	41.03 %
29	Durham	93,532	324,833	93,532	100.00 %	28.79 %
30	Durham	97,186	324,833	97,186	100.00 %	29.92 %
31	Durham	111,521	324,833	111,521	100.00 %	34.33 %
32	Granville	77,540	60,992	16,320	21.05 %	26.76 %
	Vance	77,540	42,578	42,578	54.91 %	100.00 %
	Warren	77,540	18,642	18,642	24.04 %	100.00 %
33	Wake	94,150	1,129,410	94,150	100.00 %	8.34 %
34	Wake	89,516	1,129,410	89,516	100.00 %	7.93 %
35	Wake	107,662	1,129,410	107,662	100.00 %	9.53 %
36	Wake	96,778	1,129,410	96,778	100.00 %	8.57 %
37	Wake	124,459	1,129,410	124,459	100.00 %	11.02 %
38	Wake	93,793	1,129,410	93,793	100.00 %	8.30 %
39	Wake	106,498	1,129,410	106,498	100.00 %	9.43 %
40	Wake	98,194	1,129,410	98,194	100.00 %	8.69 %
41	Wake	130,024	1,129,410	130,024	100.00 %	11.51 %
42	Cumberland	85,537	334,728	85,537	100.00 %	25.55 %
43	Cumberland	78,699	334,728	78,699	100.00 %	23.51 %
44	Cumberland	83,353	334,728	83,353	100.00 %	24.90 %
45	Cumberland	87,139	334,728	87,139	100.00 %	26.03 %
46	Columbus	70,050	50,623	24,149	34.47 %	47.70 %
	Robeson	70,050	116,530	45,901	65.53 %	39.39 %
47	Robeson	70,629	116,530	70,629	100.00 %	60.61 %
48	Hoke	86,256	52,082	52,082	60.38 %	100.00 %
	Scotland	86,256	34,174	34,174	39.62 %	100.00 %
49	Wake	97,536	1,129,410	97,536	100.00 %	8.64 %
50	Caswell	88,705	22,736	22,736	25.63 %	100.00 %
	Orange	88,705	148,696	65,969	74.37 %	44.37 %
51	Harnett	97,770	133,568	34,485	35.27 %	25.82 %
	Lee	97,770	63,285	63,285	64.73 %	100.00 %
52	Moore	88,139	99,727	88,139	100.00 %	88.38 %
53	Harnett	93,143	133,568	93,143	100.00 %	69.73 %

District - County Report

District Plan: House2020

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
54	Chatham	98,879	76,285	76,285	77.15 %	100.00 %
	Durham	98,879	324,833	22,594	22.85 %	6.96 %
55	Anson	73,976	22,055	22,055	29.81 %	100.00 %
	Union	73,976	238,267	51,921	70.19 %	21.79 %
56	Orange	82,727	148,696	82,727	100.00 %	55.63 %
57	Guilford	90,615	541,299	90,615	100.00 %	16.74 %
58	Guilford	87,458	541,299	87,458	100.00 %	16.16 %
59	Guilford	95,113	541,299	95,113	100.00 %	17.57 %
60	Guilford	89,016	541,299	89,016	100.00 %	16.44 %
61	Guilford	86,871	541,299	86,871	100.00 %	16.05 %
62	Guilford	92,226	541,299	92,226	100.00 %	17.04 %
63	Alamance	85,448	171,415	85,448	100.00 %	49.85 %
64	Alamance	85,967	171,415	85,967	100.00 %	50.15 %
65	Rockingham	81,546	91,096	81,546	100.00 %	89.52 %
66	Montgomery	77,768	25,751	25,751	33.11 %	100.00 %
	Richmond	77,768	42,946	42,946	55.22 %	100.00 %
	Stanly	77,768	62,504	9,071	11.66 %	14.51 %
67	Cabarrus	86,888	225,804	19,094	21.98 %	8.46 %
	Rowan	86,888	146,875	14,361	16.53 %	9.78 %
	Stanly	86,888	62,504	53,433	61.50 %	85.49 %
68	Union	101,167	238,267	101,167	100.00 %	42.46 %
69	Union	85,179	238,267	85,179	100.00 %	35.75 %
70	Randolph	78,536	144,171	78,536	100.00 %	54.47 %
71	Forsyth	81,654	382,590	81,654	100.00 %	21.34 %
72	Forsyth	85,013	382,590	85,013	100.00 %	22.22 %
73	Forsyth	77,134	382,590	39,920	51.75 %	10.43 %
	Yadkin	77,134	37,214	37,214	48.25 %	100.00 %
74	Forsyth	91,726	382,590	91,726	100.00 %	23.98 %
75	Forsyth	84,277	382,590	84,277	100.00 %	22.03 %
76	Rowan	87,730	146,875	87,730	100.00 %	59.73 %
77	Davie	87,496	42,712	42,712	48.82 %	100.00 %
	Rowan	87,496	146,875	44,784	51.18 %	30.49 %
78	Moore	77,223	99,727	11,588	15.01 %	11.62 %
	Randolph	77,223	144,171	65,635	84.99 %	45.53 %
79	Beaufort	71,485	44,652	44,652	62.46 %	100.00 %
	Craven	71,485	100,720	26,833	37.54 %	26.64 %
80	Davidson	83,785	168,930	83,785	100.00 %	49.60 %
81	Davidson	85,145	168,930	85,145	100.00 %	50.40 %
82	Cabarrus	102,107	225,804	102,107	100.00 %	45.22 %
83	Cabarrus	104,603	225,804	104,603	100.00 %	46.32 %
84	Iredell	81,781	186,693	81,781	100.00 %	43.81 %

District - County Report

District Plan: House2020

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
85	Avery	77,287	17,806	17,806	23.04 %	100.00 %
	McDowell	77,287	44,578	44,578	57.68 %	100.00 %
	Mitchell	77,287	14,903	14,903	19.28 %	100.00 %
86	Burke	76,959	87,570	76,959	100.00 %	87.88 %
87	Caldwell	80,652	80,652	80,652	100.00 %	100.00 %
88	Mecklenburg	99,273	1,115,482	99,273	100.00 %	8.90 %
89	Catawba	80,115	160,610	80,115	100.00 %	49.88 %
90	Alleghany	79,437	10,888	10,888	13.71 %	100.00 %
	Surry	79,437	71,359	47,150	59.36 %	66.07 %
	Wilkes	79,437	65,969	21,399	26.94 %	32.44 %
91	Rockingham	78,279	91,096	9,550	12.20 %	10.48 %
	Stokes	78,279	44,520	44,520	56.87 %	100.00 %
	Surry	78,279	71,359	24,209	30.93 %	33.93 %
92	Mecklenburg	103,229	1,115,482	103,229	100.00 %	9.25 %
93	Ashe	80,663	26,577	26,577	32.95 %	100.00 %
	Watauga	80,663	54,086	54,086	67.05 %	100.00 %
94	Alexander	81,014	36,444	36,444	44.98 %	100.00 %
	Wilkes	81,014	65,969	44,570	55.02 %	67.56 %
95	Iredell	104,912	186,693	104,912	100.00 %	56.19 %
96	Catawba	80,495	160,610	80,495	100.00 %	50.12 %
97	Lincoln	86,810	86,810	86,810	100.00 %	100.00 %
98	Mecklenburg	104,798	1,115,482	104,798	100.00 %	9.39 %
99	Mecklenburg	90,735	1,115,482	90,735	100.00 %	8.13 %
100	Mecklenburg	82,510	1,115,482	82,510	100.00 %	7.40 %
101	Mecklenburg	89,013	1,115,482	89,013	100.00 %	7.98 %
102	Mecklenburg	90,638	1,115,482	90,638	100.00 %	8.13 %
103	Mecklenburg	87,202	1,115,482	87,202	100.00 %	7.82 %
104	Mecklenburg	82,606	1,115,482	82,606	100.00 %	7.41 %
105	Mecklenburg	92,400	1,115,482	92,400	100.00 %	8.28 %
106	Mecklenburg	99,617	1,115,482	99,617	100.00 %	8.93 %
107	Mecklenburg	93,461	1,115,482	93,461	100.00 %	8.38 %
108	Gaston	83,912	227,943	83,912	100.00 %	36.81 %
109	Gaston	88,784	227,943	88,784	100.00 %	38.95 %
110	Cleveland	77,182	99,519	21,935	28.42 %	22.04 %
	Gaston	77,182	227,943	55,247	71.58 %	24.24 %
111	Cleveland	77,584	99,519	77,584	100.00 %	77.96 %
112	Burke	75,055	87,570	10,611	14.14 %	12.12 %
	Rutherford	75,055	64,444	64,444	85.86 %	100.00 %
113	Henderson	82,493	116,281	30,179	36.58 %	25.95 %
	Polk	82,493	19,328	19,328	23.43 %	100.00 %
	Transylvania	82,493	32,986	32,986	39.99 %	100.00 %
114	Buncombe	89,378	269,452	89,378	100.00 %	33.17 %

District - County Report

District Plan: House2020

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
115	Buncombe	84,781	269,452	84,781	100.00 %	31.46 %
116	Buncombe	95,293	269,452	95,293	100.00 %	35.37 %
117	Henderson	86,102	116,281	86,102	100.00 %	74.05 %
118	Haywood	79,261	62,089	39,598	49.96 %	63.78 %
	Madison	79,261	21,193	21,193	26.74 %	100.00 %
	Yancey	79,261	18,470	18,470	23.30 %	100.00 %
119	Haywood	79,717	62,089	22,491	28.21 %	36.22 %
	Jackson	79,717	43,109	43,109	54.08 %	100.00 %
	Swain	79,717	14,117	14,117	17.71 %	100.00 %
120	Cherokee	84,907	28,774	28,774	33.89 %	100.00 %
	Clay	84,907	11,089	11,089	13.06 %	100.00 %
	Graham	84,907	8,030	8,030	9.46 %	100.00 %
	Macon	84,907	37,014	37,014	43.59 %	100.00 %
Total:				10,439,388		

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Aberdeen	52	8,516	88,139	8,516	100.00 %	9.66 %
Ahoskie	5	4,891	72,598	4,891	100.00 %	6.74 %
Alamance	64	988	85,967	988	100.00 %	1.15 %
Albemarle	66	16,432	77,768	3,062	18.63 %	3.94 %
	67	16,432	86,888	13,370	81.37 %	15.39 %
Alliance	6	733	81,880	733	100.00 %	0.90 %
Andrews	120	1,667	84,907	1,667	100.00 %	1.96 %
Angier	28	5,265	94,571	4,597	87.31 %	4.86 %
	37	5,265	124,459	556	10.56 %	0.45 %
	53	5,265	93,143	112	2.13 %	0.12 %
Ansonville	55	440	73,976	440	100.00 %	0.59 %
Apex	36	58,780	96,778	9,782	16.64 %	10.11 %
	37	58,780	124,459	17,739	30.18 %	14.25 %
	41	58,780	130,024	31,259	53.18 %	24.04 %
Arapahoe	6	416	81,880	416	100.00 %	0.51 %
Archdale	60	11,907	89,016	380	3.19 %	0.43 %
	70	11,907	78,536	11,527	96.81 %	14.68 %
Archer Lodge	26	4,797	118,916	4,797	100.00 %	4.03 %
Asheboro	70	27,156	78,536	22,928	84.43 %	29.19 %
	78	27,156	77,223	4,228	15.57 %	5.48 %
Asheville	114	94,589	89,378	24,614	26.02 %	27.54 %
	115	94,589	84,781	28,870	30.52 %	34.05 %
	116	94,589	95,293	41,105	43.46 %	43.14 %
Askewville	1	184	69,250	184	100.00 %	0.27 %
Atkinson	16	296	86,677	296	100.00 %	0.34 %
Atlantic Beach	13	1,364	76,858	1,364	100.00 %	1.77 %
Aulander	1	763	69,250	763	100.00 %	1.10 %
Aurora	79	455	71,485	455	100.00 %	0.64 %
Autoryville	22	167	74,156	167	100.00 %	0.23 %
Ayden	12	4,977	71,473	4,977	100.00 %	6.96 %
Badin	66	2,024	77,768	2,024	100.00 %	2.60 %
Bailey	7	568	84,336	568	100.00 %	0.67 %
Bakersville	85	450	77,287	450	100.00 %	0.58 %
Bald Head Island	19	268	94,372	268	100.00 %	0.28 %
Banner Elk	85	1,049	77,287	1,049	100.00 %	1.36 %
Bath	79	245	71,485	245	100.00 %	0.34 %
Bayboro	6	1,161	81,880	1,161	100.00 %	1.42 %
Bear Grass	23	89	70,931	89	100.00 %	0.13 %
Beaufort	13	4,464	76,858	4,464	100.00 %	5.81 %
Beech Mountain	85	675	77,287	62	9.19 %	0.08 %
	93	675	80,663	613	90.81 %	0.76 %
Belhaven	79	1,410	71,485	1,410	100.00 %	1.97 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Belmont	108	15,010	83,912	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	109	15,010	88,784	15,010	100.00 %	16.91 %
Belville	17	2,406	98,474	2,406	100.00 %	2.44 %
Belwood	110	857	77,182	857	100.00 %	1.11 %
Benson	28	3,967	94,571	3,967	100.00 %	4.19 %
	53	3,967	93,143	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Bermuda Run	77	3,120	87,496	3,120	100.00 %	3.57 %
Bessemer City	110	5,428	77,182	5,428	100.00 %	7.03 %
Bethania	73	344	77,134	344	100.00 %	0.45 %
	74	344	91,726	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Bethel	8	1,373	80,097	1,373	100.00 %	1.71 %
Beulaville	4	1,116	74,502	1,116	100.00 %	1.50 %
Biltmore Forest	116	1,409	95,293	1,409	100.00 %	1.48 %
Biscoe	66	1,848	77,768	1,848	100.00 %	2.38 %
Black Creek	24	692	78,784	692	100.00 %	0.88 %
Black Mountain	115	8,426	84,781	8,426	100.00 %	9.94 %
Bladenboro	22	1,648	74,156	1,648	100.00 %	2.22 %
Blowing Rock	87	1,376	80,652	91	6.61 %	0.11 %
	93	1,376	80,663	1,285	93.39 %	1.59 %
Boardman	46	166	70,050	166	100.00 %	0.24 %
Bogue	13	695	76,858	695	100.00 %	0.90 %
Boiling Spring Lakes	17	5,943	98,474	5,943	100.00 %	6.04 %
Boiling Springs	111	4,615	77,584	4,615	100.00 %	5.95 %
Bolivia	17	149	98,474	149	100.00 %	0.15 %
Bolton	16	519	86,677	519	100.00 %	0.60 %
Boone	93	19,092	80,663	19,092	100.00 %	23.67 %
Boonville	73	1,185	77,134	1,185	100.00 %	1.54 %
Bostic	112	355	75,055	355	100.00 %	0.47 %
Brevard	113	7,744	82,493	7,744	100.00 %	9.39 %
Bridgeton	79	349	71,485	349	100.00 %	0.49 %
Broadway	51	1,267	97,770	1,267	100.00 %	1.30 %
	53	1,267	93,143	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Brookford	89	442	80,115	377	85.29 %	0.47 %
	96	442	80,495	65	14.71 %	0.08 %
Brunswick	16	973	86,677	973	100.00 %	1.12 %
Bryson City	119	1,558	79,717	1,558	100.00 %	1.95 %
Bunn	7	327	84,336	327	100.00 %	0.39 %
Burgaw	16	3,088	86,677	3,088	100.00 %	3.56 %
Burlington	59	57,303	95,113	1,822	3.18 %	1.92 %
	63	57,303	85,448	28,702	50.09 %	33.59 %
	64	57,303	85,967	26,779	46.73 %	31.15 %
Burnsville	118	1,614	79,261	1,614	100.00 %	2.04 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Butner	2	8,397	83,769	8,397	100.00 %	10.02 %
Cajah's Mountain	87	2,722	80,652	2,722	100.00 %	3.37 %
Calabash	17	2,011	98,474	2,011	100.00 %	2.04 %
Calypso	4	327	74,502	327	100.00 %	0.44 %
Cameron	52	244	88,139	244	100.00 %	0.28 %
Candor	52	813	88,139	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	66	813	77,768	813	100.00 %	1.05 %
Canton	118	4,422	79,261	4,422	100.00 %	5.58 %
Cape Carteret	13	2,224	76,858	2,224	100.00 %	2.89 %
Carolina Beach	19	6,564	94,372	6,564	100.00 %	6.96 %
Carolina Shores	17	4,588	98,474	4,588	100.00 %	4.66 %
Carrboro	50	21,295	88,705	174	0.82 %	0.20 %
	56	21,295	82,727	21,121	99.18 %	25.53 %
Carthage	52	2,775	88,139	28	1.01 %	0.03 %
	78	2,775	77,223	2,747	98.99 %	3.56 %
Cary	11	174,721	90,800	30,014	17.18 %	33.06 %
	36	174,721	96,778	44,524	25.48 %	46.01 %
	40	174,721	98,194	4,476	2.56 %	4.56 %
	41	174,721	130,024	75,992	43.49 %	58.44 %
	49	174,721	97,536	16,006	9.16 %	16.41 %
	54	174,721	98,879	3,709	2.12 %	3.75 %
Casar	110	305	77,182	305	100.00 %	0.40 %
Castalia	25	264	79,207	264	100.00 %	0.33 %
Caswell Beach	19	395	94,372	395	100.00 %	0.42 %
Catawba	89	702	80,115	702	100.00 %	0.88 %
Cedar Point	13	1,764	76,858	1,764	100.00 %	2.30 %
Cedar Rock	87	301	80,652	301	100.00 %	0.37 %
Cerro Gordo	46	131	70,050	131	100.00 %	0.19 %
Chadbourn	16	1,574	86,677	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	46	1,574	70,050	1,574	100.00 %	2.25 %
Chapel Hill	29	61,960	93,532	2,322	3.75 %	2.48 %
	50	61,960	88,705	2,897	4.68 %	3.27 %
	54	61,960	98,879	584	0.94 %	0.59 %
	56	61,960	82,727	56,157	90.63 %	67.88 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Charlotte	88	874,579	99,273	99,273	11.35 %	100.00 %
	92	874,579	103,229	81,841	9.36 %	79.28 %
	98	874,579	104,798	4	0.00 %	0.00 %
	99	874,579	90,735	54,304	6.21 %	59.85 %
	100	874,579	82,510	82,510	9.43 %	100.00 %
	101	874,579	89,013	70,953	8.11 %	79.71 %
	102	874,579	90,638	90,638	10.36 %	100.00 %
	103	874,579	87,202	52,640	6.02 %	60.37 %
	104	874,579	82,606	82,606	9.45 %	100.00 %
	105	874,579	92,400	77,734	8.89 %	84.13 %
	106	874,579	99,617	95,427	10.91 %	95.79 %
107	874,579	93,461	86,649	9.91 %	92.71 %	
Cherryville	110	6,078	77,182	6,078	100.00 %	7.87 %
Chimney Rock Village	112	140	75,055	140	100.00 %	0.19 %
China Grove	76	4,434	87,730	4,372	98.60 %	4.98 %
	77	4,434	87,496	62	1.40 %	0.07 %
Chocowinity	79	722	71,485	722	100.00 %	1.01 %
Claremont	89	1,692	80,115	1,692	100.00 %	2.11 %
Clarkton	22	614	74,156	614	100.00 %	0.83 %
Clayton	26	26,307	118,916	26,307	100.00 %	22.12 %
	33	26,307	94,150	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	39	26,307	106,498	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Clemmons	74	21,163	91,726	21,163	100.00 %	23.07 %
Cleveland	77	846	87,496	846	100.00 %	0.97 %
Clinton	21	8,383	77,819	96	1.15 %	0.12 %
	22	8,383	74,156	8,287	98.85 %	11.18 %
Clyde	118	1,368	79,261	1,368	100.00 %	1.73 %
Coats	53	2,155	93,143	2,155	100.00 %	2.31 %
Cofield	5	267	72,598	267	100.00 %	0.37 %
Colerain	1	217	69,250	217	100.00 %	0.31 %
Columbia	1	610	69,250	610	100.00 %	0.88 %
Columbus	113	1,060	82,493	1,060	100.00 %	1.28 %
Como	5	67	72,598	67	100.00 %	0.09 %
Concord	67	105,240	86,888	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	82	105,240	102,107	41,536	39.47 %	40.68 %
	83	105,240	104,603	63,704	60.53 %	60.90 %
Conetoe	23	198	70,931	198	100.00 %	0.28 %
Connelly Springs	86	1,529	76,959	1,529	100.00 %	1.99 %
Conover	89	8,421	80,115	424	5.04 %	0.53 %
	96	8,421	80,495	7,997	94.96 %	9.93 %
Conway	27	752	66,093	752	100.00 %	1.14 %
Cooleemee	77	940	87,496	940	100.00 %	1.07 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Cornelius	98	31,412	104,798	31,412	100.00 %	29.97 %
Cove City	79	378	71,485	378	100.00 %	0.53 %
Cramerton	109	5,296	88,784	5,296	100.00 %	5.97 %
Creedmoor	2	4,866	83,769	4,866	100.00 %	5.81 %
Creswell	1	207	69,250	207	100.00 %	0.30 %
Crossnore	85	143	77,287	143	100.00 %	0.19 %
Dallas	108	5,927	83,912	5,927	100.00 %	7.06 %
Danbury	91	189	78,279	189	100.00 %	0.24 %
Davidson	95	15,106	104,912	378	2.50 %	0.36 %
	98	15,106	104,798	14,728	97.50 %	14.05 %
Dellview	110	6	77,182	6	100.00 %	0.01 %
Denton	80	1,494	83,785	1,494	100.00 %	1.78 %
Dillsboro	119	213	79,717	213	100.00 %	0.27 %
Dobbins Heights	66	687	77,768	687	100.00 %	0.88 %
Dobson	90	1,462	79,437	1,313	89.81 %	1.65 %
	91	1,462	78,279	149	10.19 %	0.19 %
Dortches	25	1,082	79,207	1,082	100.00 %	1.37 %
Dover	79	349	71,485	349	100.00 %	0.49 %
Drexel	86	1,760	76,959	1,760	100.00 %	2.29 %
Dublin	22	267	74,156	267	100.00 %	0.36 %
Duck	6	742	81,880	742	100.00 %	0.91 %
Dunn	53	8,446	93,143	8,446	100.00 %	9.07 %
Durham	29	283,506	93,532	90,603	31.96 %	96.87 %
	30	283,506	97,186	72,899	25.71 %	75.01 %
	31	283,506	111,521	99,968	35.26 %	89.64 %
	40	283,506	98,194	269	0.09 %	0.27 %
	50	283,506	88,705	144	0.05 %	0.16 %
	54	283,506	98,879	19,623	6.92 %	19.85 %
Earl	111	198	77,584	198	100.00 %	0.26 %
East Arcadia	22	418	74,156	418	100.00 %	0.56 %
East Bend	73	634	77,134	634	100.00 %	0.82 %
East Laurinburg	48	234	86,256	234	100.00 %	0.27 %
Eastover	43	3,656	78,699	3,656	100.00 %	4.65 %
East Spencer	76	1,567	87,730	1,567	100.00 %	1.79 %
Eden	65	15,421	81,546	15,421	100.00 %	18.91 %
Edenton	1	4,460	69,250	4,460	100.00 %	6.44 %
Elizabeth City	1	18,631	69,250	38	0.20 %	0.05 %
	5	18,631	72,598	18,593	99.80 %	25.61 %
Elizabethtown	22	3,296	74,156	3,296	100.00 %	4.44 %
Elkin	90	4,122	79,437	4,049	98.23 %	5.10 %
	94	4,122	81,014	73	1.77 %	0.09 %
Elk Park	85	542	77,287	542	100.00 %	0.70 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Ellenboro	112	723	75,055	723	100.00 %	0.96 %
Ellerbe	66	864	77,768	864	100.00 %	1.11 %
Elm City	24	1,218	78,784	1,218	100.00 %	1.55 %
	25	1,218	79,207	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Elon	64	11,336	85,967	11,336	100.00 %	13.19 %
Emerald Isle	13	3,847	76,858	3,847	100.00 %	5.01 %
Enfield	27	1,865	66,093	1,865	100.00 %	2.82 %
Erwin	53	4,542	93,143	4,542	100.00 %	4.88 %
Eureka	10	214	82,903	214	100.00 %	0.26 %
Everetts	23	150	70,931	150	100.00 %	0.21 %
Fair Bluff	46	709	70,050	709	100.00 %	1.01 %
Fairmont	47	2,191	70,629	2,191	100.00 %	3.10 %
Fairview	69	3,456	85,179	3,456	100.00 %	4.06 %
Faison	4	784	74,502	784	100.00 %	1.05 %
	21	784	77,819	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Faith	76	819	87,730	819	100.00 %	0.93 %
Falcon	22	324	74,156	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	43	324	78,699	324	100.00 %	0.41 %
Falkland	8	47	80,097	47	100.00 %	0.06 %
Fallston	110	627	77,182	627	100.00 %	0.81 %
Farmville	8	4,461	80,097	4,461	100.00 %	5.57 %
Fayetteville	42	208,501	85,537	65,401	31.37 %	76.46 %
	43	208,501	78,699	42,118	20.20 %	53.52 %
	44	208,501	83,353	83,349	39.98 %	100.00 %
	45	208,501	87,139	17,633	8.46 %	20.24 %
Flat Rock	113	3,486	82,493	3,486	100.00 %	4.23 %
Fletcher	117	7,987	86,102	7,987	100.00 %	9.28 %
Fontana Dam	120	13	84,907	13	100.00 %	0.02 %
Forest City	112	7,377	75,055	7,377	100.00 %	9.83 %
Forest Hills	119	303	79,717	303	100.00 %	0.38 %
Fountain	8	385	80,097	385	100.00 %	0.48 %
Four Oaks	28	2,158	94,571	2,158	100.00 %	2.28 %
Foxfire	52	1,288	88,139	1,288	100.00 %	1.46 %
Franklin	120	4,175	84,907	4,175	100.00 %	4.92 %
Franklinton	7	2,456	84,336	2,456	100.00 %	2.91 %
Franklinville	78	1,197	77,223	1,197	100.00 %	1.55 %
Fremont	10	1,196	82,903	1,196	100.00 %	1.44 %
Fuquay-Varina	36	34,152	96,778	2,401	7.03 %	2.48 %
	37	34,152	124,459	31,751	92.97 %	25.51 %
	53	34,152	93,143	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Gamewell	87	3,702	80,652	3,702	100.00 %	4.59 %
Garland	22	595	74,156	595	100.00 %	0.80 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Garner	11	31,159	90,800	490	1.57 %	0.54 %
	33	31,159	94,150	27,831	89.32 %	29.56 %
	36	31,159	96,778	2,838	9.11 %	2.93 %
Garysburg	27	904	66,093	904	100.00 %	1.37 %
Gaston	27	1,008	66,093	1,008	100.00 %	1.53 %
Gastonia	108	80,411	83,912	23,860	29.67 %	28.43 %
	109	80,411	88,784	42,129	52.39 %	47.45 %
	110	80,411	77,182	14,422	17.94 %	18.69 %
Gatesville	5	267	72,598	267	100.00 %	0.37 %
Gibson	48	449	86,256	449	100.00 %	0.52 %
Gibsonville	59	8,920	95,113	4,642	52.04 %	4.88 %
	64	8,920	85,967	4,278	47.96 %	4.98 %
Glen Alpine	86	1,529	76,959	1,529	100.00 %	1.99 %
Godwin	43	128	78,699	128	100.00 %	0.16 %
Goldsboro	10	33,657	82,903	1,524	4.53 %	1.84 %
	21	33,657	77,819	32,133	95.47 %	41.29 %
Goldston	54	234	98,879	234	100.00 %	0.24 %
Graham	63	17,157	85,448	17,157	100.00 %	20.08 %
	64	17,157	85,967	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Grandfather Village	85	95	77,287	95	100.00 %	0.12 %
Granite Falls	87	4,965	80,652	4,965	100.00 %	6.16 %
Granite Quarry	76	2,984	87,730	2,984	100.00 %	3.40 %
Grantsboro	6	692	81,880	692	100.00 %	0.85 %
Greenevers	4	567	74,502	567	100.00 %	0.76 %
Green Level	63	3,152	85,448	3,152	100.00 %	3.69 %
Greensboro	57	299,035	90,615	83,540	27.94 %	92.19 %
	58	299,035	87,458	83,305	27.86 %	95.25 %
	59	299,035	95,113	18,596	6.22 %	19.55 %
	60	299,035	89,016	8,835	2.95 %	9.93 %
	61	299,035	86,871	86,871	29.05 %	100.00 %
	62	299,035	92,226	17,888	5.98 %	19.40 %
Greenville	8	87,521	80,097	39,156	44.74 %	48.89 %
	9	87,521	73,795	48,365	55.26 %	65.54 %
	12	87,521	71,473	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Grifton	12	2,448	71,473	2,448	100.00 %	3.43 %
Grimesland	9	386	73,795	386	100.00 %	0.52 %
Grover	111	802	77,584	802	100.00 %	1.03 %
Halifax	27	170	66,093	170	100.00 %	0.26 %
Hamilton	23	306	70,931	306	100.00 %	0.43 %
Hamlet	66	6,025	77,768	6,025	100.00 %	7.75 %
Harmony	84	543	81,781	543	100.00 %	0.66 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Harrells	4	160	74,502	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	22	160	74,156	160	100.00 %	0.22 %
Harrellsville	5	85	72,598	85	100.00 %	0.12 %
Harrisburg	83	18,967	104,603	18,967	100.00 %	18.13 %
Hassell	23	49	70,931	49	100.00 %	0.07 %
Havelock	3	16,621	73,887	16,621	100.00 %	22.50 %
Haw River	63	2,252	85,448	2,252	100.00 %	2.64 %
Hayesville	120	461	84,907	461	100.00 %	0.54 %
Hemby Bridge	69	1,614	85,179	1,614	100.00 %	1.89 %
Henderson	32	15,060	77,540	15,060	100.00 %	19.42 %
Hendersonville	113	15,137	82,493	623	4.12 %	0.76 %
	117	15,137	86,102	14,514	95.88 %	16.86 %
Hertford	1	1,934	69,250	1,934	100.00 %	2.79 %
Hickory	86	43,490	76,959	79	0.18 %	0.10 %
	87	43,490	80,652	32	0.07 %	0.04 %
	89	43,490	80,115	5,524	12.70 %	6.90 %
	96	43,490	80,495	37,855	87.04 %	47.03 %
Highlands	119	1,072	79,717	12	1.12 %	0.02 %
	120	1,072	84,907	1,060	98.88 %	1.25 %
High Point	60	114,059	89,016	63,386	55.57 %	71.21 %
	62	114,059	92,226	43,935	38.52 %	47.64 %
	70	114,059	78,536	8	0.01 %	0.01 %
	75	114,059	84,277	84	0.07 %	0.10 %
	80	114,059	83,785	6,646	5.83 %	7.93 %
High Shoals	110	595	77,182	595	100.00 %	0.77 %
Hildebran	86	1,679	76,959	1,679	100.00 %	2.18 %
Hillsborough	50	9,660	88,705	9,660	100.00 %	10.89 %
Hobgood	27	268	66,093	268	100.00 %	0.41 %
Hoffman	66	418	77,768	418	100.00 %	0.54 %
Holden Beach	19	921	94,372	921	100.00 %	0.98 %
Holly Ridge	15	4,171	92,251	4,171	100.00 %	4.52 %
Holly Springs	36	41,239	96,778	4,132	10.02 %	4.27 %
	37	41,239	124,459	37,101	89.97 %	29.81 %
	41	41,239	130,024	6	0.01 %	0.00 %
Hookerton	10	413	82,903	413	100.00 %	0.50 %
Hope Mills	45	17,808	87,139	17,808	100.00 %	20.44 %
Hot Springs	118	520	79,261	520	100.00 %	0.66 %
Hudson	87	3,780	80,652	3,780	100.00 %	4.69 %
Huntersville	98	61,376	104,798	55,483	90.40 %	52.94 %
	101	61,376	89,013	5,893	9.60 %	6.62 %
Indian Beach	13	223	76,858	223	100.00 %	0.29 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Indian Trail	68	39,997	101,167	17,412	43.53 %	17.21 %
	69	39,997	85,179	22,585	56.47 %	26.51 %
Jackson	27	430	66,093	430	100.00 %	0.65 %
Jacksonville	14	72,723	86,538	28,456	39.13 %	32.88 %
	15	72,723	92,251	44,267	60.87 %	47.99 %
Jamestown	60	3,668	89,016	3,668	100.00 %	4.12 %
Jamesville	23	424	70,931	424	100.00 %	0.60 %
Jefferson	93	1,622	80,663	1,622	100.00 %	2.01 %
Jonesville	73	2,308	77,134	2,308	100.00 %	2.99 %
Kannapolis	77	53,114	87,496	10,268	19.33 %	11.74 %
	82	53,114	102,107	42,846	80.67 %	41.96 %
Kelford	1	203	69,250	203	100.00 %	0.29 %
Kenansville	4	770	74,502	770	100.00 %	1.03 %
Kenly	24	1,491	78,784	198	13.28 %	0.25 %
	28	1,491	94,571	1,293	86.72 %	1.37 %
Kernersville	62	26,449	92,226	502	1.90 %	0.54 %
	71	26,449	81,654	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	75	26,449	84,277	25,947	98.10 %	30.79 %
Kill Devil Hills	6	7,656	81,880	7,656	100.00 %	9.35 %
King	73	7,197	77,134	591	8.21 %	0.77 %
	91	7,197	78,279	6,606	91.79 %	8.44 %
Kings Mountain	110	11,142	77,182	1,118	10.03 %	1.45 %
	111	11,142	77,584	10,024	89.97 %	12.92 %
Kingstown	110	656	77,182	656	100.00 %	0.85 %
Kinston	12	19,900	71,473	19,900	100.00 %	27.84 %
Kittrell	32	132	77,540	132	100.00 %	0.17 %
Kitty Hawk	6	3,689	81,880	3,689	100.00 %	4.51 %
Knightdale	39	19,435	106,498	19,435	100.00 %	18.25 %
Kure Beach	19	2,191	94,372	2,191	100.00 %	2.32 %
La Grange	12	2,595	71,473	2,595	100.00 %	3.63 %
Lake Lure	112	1,365	75,055	1,365	100.00 %	1.82 %
Lake Park	69	3,269	85,179	3,269	100.00 %	3.84 %
Lake Santeetlah	120	38	84,907	38	100.00 %	0.04 %
Lake Waccamaw	16	1,296	86,677	1,296	100.00 %	1.50 %
Landis	76	3,690	87,730	11	0.30 %	0.01 %
	77	3,690	87,496	3,679	99.70 %	4.20 %
Lansing	93	126	80,663	126	100.00 %	0.16 %
Lasker	27	64	66,093	64	100.00 %	0.10 %
Lattimore	111	406	77,584	406	100.00 %	0.52 %
Laurel Park	113	2,250	82,493	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	117	2,250	86,102	2,250	100.00 %	2.61 %
Laurinburg	48	14,978	86,256	14,978	100.00 %	17.36 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Lawndale	111	570	77,584	570	100.00 %	0.73 %
Leggett	23	37	70,931	37	100.00 %	0.05 %
Leland	17	22,908	98,474	22,908	100.00 %	23.26 %
Lenoir	87	18,352	80,652	18,352	100.00 %	22.75 %
Lewiston Woodville	1	426	69,250	426	100.00 %	0.62 %
Lewisville	74	13,381	91,726	13,381	100.00 %	14.59 %
Lexington	80	19,632	83,785	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	81	19,632	85,145	19,632	100.00 %	23.06 %
Liberty	78	2,655	77,223	2,655	100.00 %	3.44 %
Lilesville	55	395	73,976	395	100.00 %	0.53 %
Lillington	53	4,735	93,143	4,735	100.00 %	5.08 %
Lincolnton	97	11,091	86,810	11,091	100.00 %	12.78 %
Linden	43	136	78,699	136	100.00 %	0.17 %
Littleton	27	559	66,093	559	100.00 %	0.85 %
Locust	67	4,537	86,888	4,537	100.00 %	5.22 %
Long View	86	5,088	76,959	735	14.45 %	0.96 %
	96	5,088	80,495	4,353	85.55 %	5.41 %
Louisburg	7	3,064	84,336	3,064	100.00 %	3.63 %
Love Valley	84	154	81,781	154	100.00 %	0.19 %
Lowell	108	3,654	83,912	3,654	100.00 %	4.35 %
	109	3,654	88,784	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Lucama	24	1,036	78,784	1,036	100.00 %	1.31 %
Lumber Bridge	46	82	70,050	82	100.00 %	0.12 %
Lumberton	47	19,025	70,629	19,025	100.00 %	26.94 %
McAdenville	109	890	88,784	890	100.00 %	1.00 %
Macclesfield	23	413	70,931	413	100.00 %	0.58 %
McDonald	47	94	70,629	94	100.00 %	0.13 %
McFarlan	55	94	73,976	94	100.00 %	0.13 %
Macon	32	110	77,540	110	100.00 %	0.14 %
Madison	91	2,129	78,279	2,129	100.00 %	2.72 %
Maggie Valley	118	1,687	79,261	1,587	94.07 %	2.00 %
	119	1,687	79,717	100	5.93 %	0.13 %
Magnolia	4	831	74,502	831	100.00 %	1.12 %
Maiden	89	3,736	80,115	3,736	100.00 %	4.66 %
	97	3,736	86,810	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Manteo	6	1,600	81,880	1,600	100.00 %	1.95 %
Marietta	46	111	70,050	111	100.00 %	0.16 %
Marion	85	7,717	77,287	7,717	100.00 %	9.98 %
Marshall	118	777	79,261	777	100.00 %	0.98 %
Mars Hill	118	2,007	79,261	2,007	100.00 %	2.53 %
Marshville	55	2,522	73,976	2,522	100.00 %	3.41 %
Marvin	68	6,358	101,167	6,358	100.00 %	6.28 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Matthews	103	29,435	87,202	29,435	100.00 %	33.75 %
Maxton	46	2,110	70,050	1,902	90.14 %	2.72 %
	48	2,110	86,256	208	9.86 %	0.24 %
Mayodan	91	2,418	78,279	2,418	100.00 %	3.09 %
Maysville	13	818	76,858	818	100.00 %	1.06 %
Mebane	50	17,797	88,705	3,171	17.82 %	3.57 %
	63	17,797	85,448	14,626	82.18 %	17.12 %
Mesic	6	144	81,880	144	100.00 %	0.18 %
Micro	28	458	94,571	458	100.00 %	0.48 %
Middleburg	32	101	77,540	101	100.00 %	0.13 %
Middlesex	7	912	84,336	912	100.00 %	1.08 %
Midland	67	4,684	86,888	4,680	99.91 %	5.39 %
	83	4,684	104,603	4	0.09 %	0.00 %
	99	4,684	90,735	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Midway	80	4,742	83,785	3,469	73.15 %	4.14 %
	81	4,742	85,145	1,273	26.85 %	1.50 %
Mills River	113	7,078	82,493	305	4.31 %	0.37 %
	117	7,078	86,102	6,773	95.69 %	7.87 %
Milton	50	155	88,705	155	100.00 %	0.17 %
Mineral Springs	55	3,159	73,976	47	1.49 %	0.06 %
	68	3,159	101,167	3,112	98.51 %	3.08 %
Minnesott Beach	6	530	81,880	530	100.00 %	0.65 %
Mint Hill	69	26,450	85,179	6	0.02 %	0.01 %
	99	26,450	90,735	22,445	84.86 %	24.74 %
	103	26,450	87,202	3,999	15.12 %	4.59 %
Misenheimer	67	650	86,888	650	100.00 %	0.75 %
Mocksville	77	5,900	87,496	5,900	100.00 %	6.74 %
Momeyer	25	277	79,207	277	100.00 %	0.35 %
Monroe	55	34,562	73,976	12,650	36.60 %	17.10 %
	68	34,562	101,167	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	69	34,562	85,179	21,912	63.40 %	25.72 %
Montreat	115	901	84,781	901	100.00 %	1.06 %
Mooresboro	111	293	77,584	293	100.00 %	0.38 %
Mooresville	95	50,193	104,912	50,193	100.00 %	47.84 %
Morehead City	13	9,556	76,858	9,556	100.00 %	12.43 %
Morganton	86	17,474	76,959	17,474	100.00 %	22.71 %
Morrisville	31	29,630	111,521	207	0.70 %	0.19 %
	40	29,630	98,194	15,141	51.10 %	15.42 %
	41	29,630	130,024	14,282	48.20 %	10.98 %
Morven	55	329	73,976	329	100.00 %	0.44 %
Mount Airy	90	10,676	79,437	10,676	100.00 %	13.44 %
Mount Gilead	66	1,171	77,768	1,171	100.00 %	1.51 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Mount Holly	108	17,703	83,912	17,084	96.50 %	20.36 %
	109	17,703	88,784	619	3.50 %	0.70 %
Mount Olive	4	4,198	74,502	5	0.12 %	0.01 %
	21	4,198	77,819	4,193	99.88 %	5.39 %
Mount Pleasant	67	1,671	86,888	1,671	100.00 %	1.92 %
Murfreesboro	5	2,619	72,598	2,619	100.00 %	3.61 %
Murphy	120	1,608	84,907	1,608	100.00 %	1.89 %
Nags Head	6	3,168	81,880	3,168	100.00 %	3.87 %
Nashville	25	5,632	79,207	5,632	100.00 %	7.11 %
Navassa	17	1,367	98,474	1,367	100.00 %	1.39 %
New Bern	3	31,291	73,887	28,522	91.15 %	38.60 %
	79	31,291	71,485	2,769	8.85 %	3.87 %
Newland	85	715	77,287	715	100.00 %	0.93 %
New London	67	607	86,888	607	100.00 %	0.70 %
Newport	13	4,364	76,858	4,364	100.00 %	5.68 %
Newton	89	13,148	80,115	13,148	100.00 %	16.41 %
	96	13,148	80,495	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Newton Grove	21	585	77,819	585	100.00 %	0.75 %
Norlina	32	920	77,540	920	100.00 %	1.19 %
Norman	66	100	77,768	100	100.00 %	0.13 %
North Topsail Beach	15	1,005	92,251	1,005	100.00 %	1.09 %
Northwest	17	703	98,474	703	100.00 %	0.71 %
North Wilkesboro	90	4,382	79,437	11	0.25 %	0.01 %
	94	4,382	81,014	4,371	99.75 %	5.40 %
Norwood	67	2,367	86,888	2,367	100.00 %	2.72 %
Oakboro	67	2,128	86,888	2,128	100.00 %	2.45 %
Oak City	23	266	70,931	266	100.00 %	0.38 %
Oak Island	19	8,396	94,372	8,396	100.00 %	8.90 %
Oak Ridge	62	7,474	92,226	7,474	100.00 %	8.10 %
Ocean Isle Beach	17	867	98,474	867	100.00 %	0.88 %
Old Fort	85	811	77,287	811	100.00 %	1.05 %
Oriental	6	880	81,880	880	100.00 %	1.07 %
Orrum	47	59	70,629	59	100.00 %	0.08 %
Ossipee	64	536	85,967	536	100.00 %	0.62 %
Oxford	2	8,628	83,769	363	4.21 %	0.43 %
	32	8,628	77,540	8,265	95.79 %	10.66 %
Pantego	79	164	71,485	164	100.00 %	0.23 %
Parkton	46	504	70,050	504	100.00 %	0.72 %
Parmele	23	243	70,931	243	100.00 %	0.34 %
Patterson Springs	111	571	77,584	571	100.00 %	0.74 %
Peachland	55	390	73,976	390	100.00 %	0.53 %
Peletier	13	769	76,858	769	100.00 %	1.00 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Pembroke	47	2,823	70,629	2,823	100.00 %	4.00 %
Pikeville	10	712	82,903	712	100.00 %	0.86 %
Pilot Mountain	91	1,440	78,279	1,440	100.00 %	1.84 %
Pinebluff	52	1,473	88,139	1,473	100.00 %	1.67 %
Pinehurst	52	17,581	88,139	17,581	100.00 %	19.95 %
Pine Knoll Shores	13	1,388	76,858	1,388	100.00 %	1.81 %
Pine Level	28	2,046	94,571	2,046	100.00 %	2.16 %
Pinetops	23	1,200	70,931	1,200	100.00 %	1.69 %
Pineville	105	10,602	92,400	10,602	100.00 %	11.47 %
Pink Hill	12	451	71,473	451	100.00 %	0.63 %
Pittsboro	54	4,537	98,879	4,537	100.00 %	4.59 %
Pleasant Garden	59	5,000	95,113	5,000	100.00 %	5.26 %
Plymouth	1	3,320	69,250	3,320	100.00 %	4.79 %
Polkton	55	2,250	73,976	2,250	100.00 %	3.04 %
Polkville	110	516	77,182	516	100.00 %	0.67 %
Pollocksville	13	268	76,858	268	100.00 %	0.35 %
Powellsville	1	189	69,250	189	100.00 %	0.27 %
Princeton	10	1,315	82,903	1,315	100.00 %	1.59 %
Princeville	23	1,254	70,931	1,254	100.00 %	1.77 %
Proctorville	47	121	70,629	121	100.00 %	0.17 %
Raeford	48	4,559	86,256	4,559	100.00 %	5.29 %
Raleigh	11	467,665	90,800	55,212	11.81 %	60.81 %
	31	467,665	111,521	1,559	0.33 %	1.40 %
	33	467,665	94,150	49,576	10.60 %	52.66 %
	34	467,665	89,516	78,239	16.73 %	87.40 %
	35	467,665	107,662	35,885	7.67 %	33.33 %
	38	467,665	93,793	91,741	19.62 %	97.81 %
	39	467,665	106,498	39,300	8.40 %	36.90 %
	40	467,665	98,194	36,487	7.80 %	37.16 %
49	467,665	97,536	79,666	17.03 %	81.68 %	
Ramseur	78	1,774	77,223	1,774	100.00 %	2.30 %
Randleman	70	4,595	78,536	4,595	100.00 %	5.85 %
Ranlo	108	4,511	83,912	4,511	100.00 %	5.38 %
Raynham	47	60	70,629	60	100.00 %	0.08 %
Red Cross	67	762	86,888	762	100.00 %	0.88 %
Red Oak	25	3,342	79,207	3,342	100.00 %	4.22 %
Red Springs	46	3,087	70,050	3,087	100.00 %	4.41 %
	48	3,087	86,256	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Reidsville	65	14,583	81,546	14,583	100.00 %	17.88 %
Rennert	46	275	70,050	275	100.00 %	0.39 %
Rhodhiss	86	997	76,959	639	64.09 %	0.83 %
	87	997	80,652	358	35.91 %	0.44 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Richfield	67	582	86,888	582	100.00 %	0.67 %
Richlands	4	2,287	74,502	2,287	100.00 %	3.07 %
Rich Square	27	894	66,093	894	100.00 %	1.35 %
River Bend	3	2,902	73,887	2,902	100.00 %	3.93 %
Roanoke Rapids	27	15,229	66,093	15,229	100.00 %	23.04 %
Robbins	52	1,168	88,139	1,148	98.29 %	1.30 %
	78	1,168	77,223	20	1.71 %	0.03 %
Robbinsville	120	597	84,907	597	100.00 %	0.70 %
Robersonville	23	1,269	70,931	1,269	100.00 %	1.79 %
Rockingham	66	9,243	77,768	9,243	100.00 %	11.89 %
Rockwell	67	2,302	86,888	2,302	100.00 %	2.65 %
Rocky Mount	23	54,341	70,931	15,414	28.37 %	21.73 %
	25	54,341	79,207	38,927	71.63 %	49.15 %
Rolesville	35	9,475	107,662	9,475	100.00 %	8.80 %
Ronda	90	438	79,437	438	100.00 %	0.55 %
Roper	1	485	69,250	485	100.00 %	0.70 %
Roseboro	22	1,163	74,156	1,163	100.00 %	1.57 %
Rose Hill	4	1,371	74,502	1,371	100.00 %	1.84 %
Rosman	113	701	82,493	701	100.00 %	0.85 %
Rowland	46	885	70,050	885	100.00 %	1.26 %
Roxboro	2	8,134	83,769	8,134	100.00 %	9.71 %
Roxobel	1	187	69,250	187	100.00 %	0.27 %
Rural Hall	73	3,351	77,134	3,351	100.00 %	4.34 %
Ruth	112	347	75,055	347	100.00 %	0.46 %
Rutherford College	86	1,226	76,959	1,226	100.00 %	1.59 %
	87	1,226	80,652	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Rutherfordton	112	3,640	75,055	3,640	100.00 %	4.85 %
St. Helena	16	417	86,677	417	100.00 %	0.48 %
St. James	19	6,529	94,372	6,529	100.00 %	6.92 %
St. Pauls	46	2,045	70,050	2,045	100.00 %	2.92 %
Salemburg	22	457	74,156	457	100.00 %	0.62 %
Salisbury	76	35,540	87,730	35,540	100.00 %	40.51 %
Saluda	113	631	82,493	631	100.00 %	0.76 %
Sandy Creek	17	248	98,474	248	100.00 %	0.25 %
Sandyfield	16	430	86,677	430	100.00 %	0.50 %
Sanford	51	30,261	97,770	30,261	100.00 %	30.95 %
Saratoga	24	353	78,784	353	100.00 %	0.45 %
Sawmills	87	5,020	80,652	5,020	100.00 %	6.22 %
Scotland Neck	27	1,640	66,093	1,640	100.00 %	2.48 %
Seaboard	27	542	66,093	542	100.00 %	0.82 %
Seagrove	78	235	77,223	235	100.00 %	0.30 %
Sedalia	59	676	95,113	676	100.00 %	0.71 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Selma	28	6,317	94,571	6,317	100.00 %	6.68 %
Seven Devils	85	313	77,287	38	12.14 %	0.05 %
	93	313	80,663	275	87.86 %	0.34 %
Seven Springs	10	55	82,903	55	100.00 %	0.07 %
Severn	27	191	66,093	191	100.00 %	0.29 %
Shallotte	17	4,185	98,474	4,185	100.00 %	4.25 %
Sharpsburg	23	1,697	70,931	215	12.67 %	0.30 %
	24	1,697	78,784	421	24.81 %	0.53 %
	25	1,697	79,207	1,061	62.52 %	1.34 %
Shelby	111	21,918	77,584	21,918	100.00 %	28.25 %
Siler City	54	7,702	98,879	7,702	100.00 %	7.79 %
Simpson	9	390	73,795	390	100.00 %	0.53 %
Sims	24	275	78,784	275	100.00 %	0.35 %
Smithfield	26	11,292	118,916	3,194	28.29 %	2.69 %
	28	11,292	94,571	8,098	71.71 %	8.56 %
Snow Hill	10	1,481	82,903	1,481	100.00 %	1.79 %
Southern Pines	52	15,545	88,139	15,545	100.00 %	17.64 %
Southern Shores	6	3,090	81,880	3,090	100.00 %	3.77 %
Southport	19	3,971	94,372	3,971	100.00 %	4.21 %
Sparta	90	1,834	79,437	1,834	100.00 %	2.31 %
Speed	23	63	70,931	63	100.00 %	0.09 %
Spencer	76	3,308	87,730	3,308	100.00 %	3.77 %
Spencer Mountain	108	0	83,912	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Spindale	112	4,225	75,055	4,225	100.00 %	5.63 %
Spring Hope	25	1,309	79,207	1,309	100.00 %	1.65 %
Spring Lake	42	11,660	85,537	11,660	100.00 %	13.63 %
Spruce Pine	85	2,194	77,287	2,194	100.00 %	2.84 %
Staley	78	397	77,223	397	100.00 %	0.51 %
Stallings	68	16,112	101,167	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	69	16,112	85,179	15,728	97.62 %	18.46 %
	99	16,112	90,735	373	2.32 %	0.41 %
	103	16,112	87,202	11	0.07 %	0.01 %
Stanfield	67	1,585	86,888	1,585	100.00 %	1.82 %
Stanley	108	3,963	83,912	3,946	99.57 %	4.70 %
	110	3,963	77,182	17	0.43 %	0.02 %
Stantonsburg	24	762	78,784	762	100.00 %	0.97 %
Star	66	806	77,768	806	100.00 %	1.04 %
Statesville	84	28,419	81,781	23,430	82.44 %	28.65 %
	95	28,419	104,912	4,989	17.56 %	4.76 %
Stedman	43	1,277	78,699	1,277	100.00 %	1.62 %
Stem	2	960	83,769	960	100.00 %	1.15 %
Stokesdale	62	5,924	92,226	5,924	100.00 %	6.42 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Stoneville	65	1,308	81,546	1,308	100.00 %	1.60 %
Stonewall	6	214	81,880	214	100.00 %	0.26 %
Stovall	32	324	77,540	324	100.00 %	0.42 %
Sugar Mountain	85	371	77,287	371	100.00 %	0.48 %
Summerfield	57	10,951	90,615	746	6.81 %	0.82 %
	59	10,951	95,113	2,509	22.91 %	2.64 %
	62	10,951	92,226	7,696	70.28 %	8.34 %
Sunset Beach	17	4,175	98,474	4,175	100.00 %	4.24 %
Surf City	15	3,867	92,251	334	8.64 %	0.36 %
	16	3,867	86,677	3,533	91.36 %	4.08 %
Swansboro	14	3,744	86,538	3,744	100.00 %	4.33 %
Swepsonville	63	2,445	85,448	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	64	2,445	85,967	2,445	100.00 %	2.84 %
Sylva	119	2,578	79,717	2,578	100.00 %	3.23 %
Tabor City	46	3,781	70,050	3,781	100.00 %	5.40 %
Tarboro	23	10,721	70,931	10,721	100.00 %	15.11 %
Tar Heel	22	90	74,156	90	100.00 %	0.12 %
Taylorsville	94	2,320	81,014	2,320	100.00 %	2.86 %
Taylortown	52	634	88,139	634	100.00 %	0.72 %
Teachey	4	448	74,502	448	100.00 %	0.60 %
Thomasville	70	27,183	78,536	521	1.92 %	0.66 %
	80	27,183	83,785	25,647	94.35 %	30.61 %
	81	27,183	85,145	1,015	3.73 %	1.19 %
Tobaccoville	73	2,578	77,134	2,578	100.00 %	3.34 %
	91	2,578	78,279	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Topsail Beach	16	461	86,677	461	100.00 %	0.53 %
Trenton	13	238	76,858	238	100.00 %	0.31 %
Trent Woods	3	4,074	73,887	4,074	100.00 %	5.51 %
Trinity	70	7,006	78,536	7,006	100.00 %	8.92 %
Troutman	84	3,698	81,781	2,811	76.01 %	3.44 %
	95	3,698	104,912	887	23.99 %	0.85 %
Troy	66	2,850	77,768	2,850	100.00 %	3.66 %
Tryon	113	1,562	82,493	1,562	100.00 %	1.89 %
Turkey	22	213	74,156	213	100.00 %	0.29 %
Unionville	69	6,643	85,179	6,643	100.00 %	7.80 %
Valdese	86	4,689	76,959	4,689	100.00 %	6.09 %
Vanceboro	79	869	71,485	869	100.00 %	1.22 %
Vandemere	6	246	81,880	246	100.00 %	0.30 %
Varnamtown	19	525	94,372	525	100.00 %	0.56 %
Vass	52	952	88,139	952	100.00 %	1.08 %
Waco	110	310	77,182	310	100.00 %	0.40 %
Wade	43	638	78,699	638	100.00 %	0.81 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Wadesboro	55	5,008	73,976	5,008	100.00 %	6.77 %
Wagram	48	615	86,256	615	100.00 %	0.71 %
Wake Forest	7	47,601	84,336	1,504	3.16 %	1.78 %
	35	47,601	107,662	39,218	82.39 %	36.43 %
	40	47,601	98,194	6,879	14.45 %	7.01 %
Walkertown	71	5,692	81,654	3,176	55.80 %	3.89 %
	75	5,692	84,277	2,516	44.20 %	2.99 %
Wallace	4	3,413	74,502	3,413	100.00 %	4.58 %
	16	3,413	86,677	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Wallburg	80	3,051	83,785	3,051	100.00 %	3.64 %
Walnut Cove	91	1,586	78,279	1,586	100.00 %	2.03 %
Walnut Creek	10	1,084	82,903	1,084	100.00 %	1.31 %
Walstonburg	10	193	82,903	193	100.00 %	0.23 %
Warrenton	32	851	77,540	851	100.00 %	1.10 %
Warsaw	4	2,733	74,502	2,733	100.00 %	3.67 %
Washington	79	9,875	71,485	9,875	100.00 %	13.81 %
Washington Park	79	392	71,485	392	100.00 %	0.55 %
Watha	16	181	86,677	181	100.00 %	0.21 %
Waxhaw	68	20,534	101,167	20,534	100.00 %	20.30 %
Waynesville	119	10,140	79,717	10,140	100.00 %	12.72 %
Weaverville	114	4,567	89,378	4,567	100.00 %	5.11 %
Webster	119	372	79,717	372	100.00 %	0.47 %
Weddington	68	13,181	101,167	13,172	99.93 %	13.02 %
	69	13,181	85,179	4	0.03 %	0.00 %
	103	13,181	87,202	5	0.04 %	0.01 %
Weldon	27	1,444	66,093	1,444	100.00 %	2.18 %
Wendell	39	9,793	106,498	9,793	100.00 %	9.20 %
Wentworth	65	2,662	81,546	2,662	100.00 %	3.26 %
Wesley Chapel	55	8,681	73,976	1,168	13.45 %	1.58 %
	68	8,681	101,167	7,513	86.55 %	7.43 %
West Jefferson	93	1,279	80,663	1,279	100.00 %	1.59 %
Whispering Pines	52	4,987	88,139	4,987	100.00 %	5.66 %
Whitakers	23	627	70,931	290	46.25 %	0.41 %
	25	627	79,207	337	53.75 %	0.43 %
White Lake	22	843	74,156	843	100.00 %	1.14 %
Whiteville	16	4,766	86,677	4,766	100.00 %	5.50 %
Whitsett	59	584	95,113	584	100.00 %	0.61 %
Wilkesboro	90	3,687	79,437	10	0.27 %	0.01 %
	94	3,687	81,014	3,677	99.73 %	4.54 %
Williamston	23	5,248	70,931	5,248	100.00 %	7.40 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Wilmington	18	115,451	83,793	41,228	35.71 %	49.20 %
	19	115,451	94,372	20,249	17.54 %	21.46 %
	20	115,451	85,756	53,974	46.75 %	62.94 %
Wilson	24	47,851	78,784	47,851	100.00 %	60.74 %
Wilson's Mills	26	2,534	118,916	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	28	2,534	94,571	2,534	100.00 %	2.68 %
Windsor	1	3,582	69,250	3,582	100.00 %	5.17 %
Winfall	1	555	69,250	555	100.00 %	0.80 %
Wingate	55	4,055	73,976	4,055	100.00 %	5.48 %
Winston-Salem	71	249,545	81,654	74,411	29.82 %	91.13 %
	72	249,545	85,013	85,013	34.07 %	100.00 %
	73	249,545	77,134	25,055	10.04 %	32.48 %
	74	249,545	91,726	42,191	16.91 %	46.00 %
	75	249,545	84,277	22,875	9.17 %	27.14 %
Winterville	8	10,462	80,097	10,462	100.00 %	13.06 %
Winton	5	629	72,598	629	100.00 %	0.87 %
Woodfin	114	7,936	89,378	7,936	100.00 %	8.88 %
Woodland	27	557	66,093	557	100.00 %	0.84 %
Wrightsville Beach	20	2,473	85,756	2,473	100.00 %	2.88 %
Yadkinville	73	2,995	77,134	2,995	100.00 %	3.88 %
Yanceyville	50	1,937	88,705	1,937	100.00 %	2.18 %
Youngsville	7	2,016	84,336	2,016	100.00 %	2.39 %
Zebulon	26	6,903	118,916	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	35	6,903	107,662	2,235	32.38 %	2.08 %
	39	6,903	106,498	4,668	67.62 %	4.38 %
Total:				6,017,605		

Number of split municipalities: 108

Display: all municipalities

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Aberdeen	52	8,516	88,139	8,516	100.00 %	9.66 %
Ahoskie	5	4,891	72,598	4,891	100.00 %	6.74 %
Alamance	64	988	85,967	988	100.00 %	1.15 %
Albemarle	66	16,432	77,768	3,062	18.63 %	3.94 %
	67	16,432	86,888	13,370	81.37 %	15.39 %
Alliance	6	733	81,880	733	100.00 %	0.90 %
Andrews	120	1,667	84,907	1,667	100.00 %	1.96 %
Angier (Harnett)	28	4,709	94,571	4,597	97.62 %	4.86 %
	53	4,709	93,143	112	2.38 %	0.12 %
Angier (Wake)	37	556	124,459	556	100.00 %	0.45 %
Ansonville	55	440	73,976	440	100.00 %	0.59 %
Apex	36	58,780	96,778	9,782	16.64 %	10.11 %
	37	58,780	124,459	17,739	30.18 %	14.25 %
	41	58,780	130,024	31,259	53.18 %	24.04 %
Arapahoe	6	416	81,880	416	100.00 %	0.51 %
Archdale (Guilford)	60	380	89,016	380	100.00 %	0.43 %
Archdale (Randolph)	70	11,527	78,536	11,527	100.00 %	14.68 %
Archer Lodge	26	4,797	118,916	4,797	100.00 %	4.03 %
Asheboro	70	27,156	78,536	22,928	84.43 %	29.19 %
	78	27,156	77,223	4,228	15.57 %	5.48 %
Asheville	114	94,589	89,378	24,614	26.02 %	27.54 %
	115	94,589	84,781	28,870	30.52 %	34.05 %
	116	94,589	95,293	41,105	43.46 %	43.14 %
Askewville	1	184	69,250	184	100.00 %	0.27 %
Atkinson	16	296	86,677	296	100.00 %	0.34 %
Atlantic Beach	13	1,364	76,858	1,364	100.00 %	1.77 %
Aulander	1	763	69,250	763	100.00 %	1.10 %
Aurora	79	455	71,485	455	100.00 %	0.64 %
Autoryville	22	167	74,156	167	100.00 %	0.23 %
Ayden	12	4,977	71,473	4,977	100.00 %	6.96 %
Badin	66	2,024	77,768	2,024	100.00 %	2.60 %
Bailey	7	568	84,336	568	100.00 %	0.67 %
Bakersville	85	450	77,287	450	100.00 %	0.58 %
Bald Head Island	19	268	94,372	268	100.00 %	0.28 %
Banner Elk	85	1,049	77,287	1,049	100.00 %	1.36 %
Bath	79	245	71,485	245	100.00 %	0.34 %
Bayboro	6	1,161	81,880	1,161	100.00 %	1.42 %
Bear Grass	23	89	70,931	89	100.00 %	0.13 %
Beaufort	13	4,464	76,858	4,464	100.00 %	5.81 %
Beech Mountain (Avery)	85	62	77,287	62	100.00 %	0.08 %
Beech Mountain (Watauga)	93	613	80,663	613	100.00 %	0.76 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Belhaven	79	1,410	71,485	1,410	100.00 %	1.97 %
Belmont	108	15,010	83,912	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	109	15,010	88,784	15,010	100.00 %	16.91 %
Belville	17	2,406	98,474	2,406	100.00 %	2.44 %
Belwood	110	857	77,182	857	100.00 %	1.11 %
Benson (Harnett)	53	0	93,143	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Benson (Johnston)	28	3,967	94,571	3,967	100.00 %	4.19 %
Bermuda Run	77	3,120	87,496	3,120	100.00 %	3.57 %
Bessemer City	110	5,428	77,182	5,428	100.00 %	7.03 %
Bethania	73	344	77,134	344	100.00 %	0.45 %
	74	344	91,726	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Bethel	8	1,373	80,097	1,373	100.00 %	1.71 %
Beulaville	4	1,116	74,502	1,116	100.00 %	1.50 %
Biltmore Forest	116	1,409	95,293	1,409	100.00 %	1.48 %
Biscoe	66	1,848	77,768	1,848	100.00 %	2.38 %
Black Creek	24	692	78,784	692	100.00 %	0.88 %
Black Mountain	115	8,426	84,781	8,426	100.00 %	9.94 %
Bladenboro	22	1,648	74,156	1,648	100.00 %	2.22 %
Blowing Rock (Caldwell)	87	91	80,652	91	100.00 %	0.11 %
Blowing Rock (Watauga)	93	1,285	80,663	1,285	100.00 %	1.59 %
Boardman	46	166	70,050	166	100.00 %	0.24 %
Bogue	13	695	76,858	695	100.00 %	0.90 %
Boiling Spring Lakes	17	5,943	98,474	5,943	100.00 %	6.04 %
Boiling Springs	111	4,615	77,584	4,615	100.00 %	5.95 %
Bolivia	17	149	98,474	149	100.00 %	0.15 %
Bolton	16	519	86,677	519	100.00 %	0.60 %
Boone	93	19,092	80,663	19,092	100.00 %	23.67 %
Boonville	73	1,185	77,134	1,185	100.00 %	1.54 %
Bostic	112	355	75,055	355	100.00 %	0.47 %
Brevard	113	7,744	82,493	7,744	100.00 %	9.39 %
Bridgeton	79	349	71,485	349	100.00 %	0.49 %
Broadway (Harnett)	53	0	93,143	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Broadway (Lee)	51	1,267	97,770	1,267	100.00 %	1.30 %
Brookford	89	442	80,115	377	85.29 %	0.47 %
	96	442	80,495	65	14.71 %	0.08 %
Brunswick	16	973	86,677	973	100.00 %	1.12 %
Bryson City	119	1,558	79,717	1,558	100.00 %	1.95 %
Bunn	7	327	84,336	327	100.00 %	0.39 %
Burgaw	16	3,088	86,677	3,088	100.00 %	3.56 %
Burlington (Alamance)	63	55,481	85,448	28,702	51.73 %	33.59 %
	64	55,481	85,967	26,779	48.27 %	31.15 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Burlington (Guilford)	59	1,822	95,113	1,822	100.00 %	1.92 %
Burnsville	118	1,614	79,261	1,614	100.00 %	2.04 %
Butner	2	8,397	83,769	8,397	100.00 %	10.02 %
Cajah's Mountain	87	2,722	80,652	2,722	100.00 %	3.37 %
Calabash	17	2,011	98,474	2,011	100.00 %	2.04 %
Calypso	4	327	74,502	327	100.00 %	0.44 %
Cameron	52	244	88,139	244	100.00 %	0.28 %
Candor (Montgomery)	66	813	77,768	813	100.00 %	1.05 %
Candor (Moore)	52	0	88,139	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Canton	118	4,422	79,261	4,422	100.00 %	5.58 %
Cape Carteret	13	2,224	76,858	2,224	100.00 %	2.89 %
Carolina Beach	19	6,564	94,372	6,564	100.00 %	6.96 %
Carolina Shores	17	4,588	98,474	4,588	100.00 %	4.66 %
Carrboro	50	21,295	88,705	174	0.82 %	0.20 %
	56	21,295	82,727	21,121	99.18 %	25.53 %
Carthage	52	2,775	88,139	28	1.01 %	0.03 %
	78	2,775	77,223	2,747	98.99 %	3.56 %
Cary (Chatham)	54	3,709	98,879	3,709	100.00 %	3.75 %
Cary (Wake)	11	171,012	90,800	30,014	17.55 %	33.06 %
	36	171,012	96,778	44,524	26.04 %	46.01 %
	40	171,012	98,194	4,476	2.62 %	4.56 %
	41	171,012	130,024	75,992	44.44 %	58.44 %
	49	171,012	97,536	16,006	9.36 %	16.41 %
Casar	110	305	77,182	305	100.00 %	0.40 %
Castalia	25	264	79,207	264	100.00 %	0.33 %
Caswell Beach	19	395	94,372	395	100.00 %	0.42 %
Catawba	89	702	80,115	702	100.00 %	0.88 %
Cedar Point	13	1,764	76,858	1,764	100.00 %	2.30 %
Cedar Rock	87	301	80,652	301	100.00 %	0.37 %
Cerro Gordo	46	131	70,050	131	100.00 %	0.19 %
Chadbourn	16	1,574	86,677	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	46	1,574	70,050	1,574	100.00 %	2.25 %
Chapel Hill (Durham)	29	2,906	93,532	2,322	79.90 %	2.48 %
	54	2,906	98,879	584	20.10 %	0.59 %
Chapel Hill (Orange)	50	59,054	88,705	2,897	4.91 %	3.27 %
	56	59,054	82,727	56,157	95.09 %	67.88 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Charlotte	88	874,579	99,273	99,273	11.35 %	100.00 %
	92	874,579	103,229	81,841	9.36 %	79.28 %
	98	874,579	104,798	4	0.00 %	0.00 %
	99	874,579	90,735	54,304	6.21 %	59.85 %
	100	874,579	82,510	82,510	9.43 %	100.00 %
	101	874,579	89,013	70,953	8.11 %	79.71 %
	102	874,579	90,638	90,638	10.36 %	100.00 %
	103	874,579	87,202	52,640	6.02 %	60.37 %
	104	874,579	82,606	82,606	9.45 %	100.00 %
	105	874,579	92,400	77,734	8.89 %	84.13 %
	106	874,579	99,617	95,427	10.91 %	95.79 %
107	874,579	93,461	86,649	9.91 %	92.71 %	
Cherryville	110	6,078	77,182	6,078	100.00 %	7.87 %
Chimney Rock Village	112	140	75,055	140	100.00 %	0.19 %
China Grove	76	4,434	87,730	4,372	98.60 %	4.98 %
	77	4,434	87,496	62	1.40 %	0.07 %
Chocowinity	79	722	71,485	722	100.00 %	1.01 %
Claremont	89	1,692	80,115	1,692	100.00 %	2.11 %
Clarkton	22	614	74,156	614	100.00 %	0.83 %
Clayton (Johnston)	26	26,307	118,916	26,307	100.00 %	22.12 %
Clayton (Wake)	33	0	94,150	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	39	0	106,498	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Clemmons	74	21,163	91,726	21,163	100.00 %	23.07 %
Cleveland	77	846	87,496	846	100.00 %	0.97 %
Clinton	21	8,383	77,819	96	1.15 %	0.12 %
	22	8,383	74,156	8,287	98.85 %	11.18 %
Clyde	118	1,368	79,261	1,368	100.00 %	1.73 %
Coats	53	2,155	93,143	2,155	100.00 %	2.31 %
Cofield	5	267	72,598	267	100.00 %	0.37 %
Colerain	1	217	69,250	217	100.00 %	0.31 %
Columbia	1	610	69,250	610	100.00 %	0.88 %
Columbus	113	1,060	82,493	1,060	100.00 %	1.28 %
Como	5	67	72,598	67	100.00 %	0.09 %
Concord	67	105,240	86,888	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	82	105,240	102,107	41,536	39.47 %	40.68 %
	83	105,240	104,603	63,704	60.53 %	60.90 %
Conetoe	23	198	70,931	198	100.00 %	0.28 %
Connelly Springs	86	1,529	76,959	1,529	100.00 %	1.99 %
Conover	89	8,421	80,115	424	5.04 %	0.53 %
	96	8,421	80,495	7,997	94.96 %	9.93 %
Conway	27	752	66,093	752	100.00 %	1.14 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Cooleemee	77	940	87,496	940	100.00 %	1.07 %
Cornelius	98	31,412	104,798	31,412	100.00 %	29.97 %
Cove City	79	378	71,485	378	100.00 %	0.53 %
Cramerton	109	5,296	88,784	5,296	100.00 %	5.97 %
Creedmoor	2	4,866	83,769	4,866	100.00 %	5.81 %
Creswell	1	207	69,250	207	100.00 %	0.30 %
Crossnore	85	143	77,287	143	100.00 %	0.19 %
Dallas	108	5,927	83,912	5,927	100.00 %	7.06 %
Danbury	91	189	78,279	189	100.00 %	0.24 %
Davidson (Iredell)	95	378	104,912	378	100.00 %	0.36 %
Davidson (Mecklenburg)	98	14,728	104,798	14,728	100.00 %	14.05 %
Dellview	110	6	77,182	6	100.00 %	0.01 %
Denton	80	1,494	83,785	1,494	100.00 %	1.78 %
Dillsboro	119	213	79,717	213	100.00 %	0.27 %
Dobbins Heights	66	687	77,768	687	100.00 %	0.88 %
Dobson	90	1,462	79,437	1,313	89.81 %	1.65 %
	91	1,462	78,279	149	10.19 %	0.19 %
Dortches	25	1,082	79,207	1,082	100.00 %	1.37 %
Dover	79	349	71,485	349	100.00 %	0.49 %
Drexel	86	1,760	76,959	1,760	100.00 %	2.29 %
Dublin	22	267	74,156	267	100.00 %	0.36 %
Duck	6	742	81,880	742	100.00 %	0.91 %
Dunn	53	8,446	93,143	8,446	100.00 %	9.07 %
Durham (Durham)	29	283,093	93,532	90,603	32.00 %	96.87 %
	30	283,093	97,186	72,899	25.75 %	75.01 %
	31	283,093	111,521	99,968	35.31 %	89.64 %
	54	283,093	98,879	19,623	6.93 %	19.85 %
Durham (Orange)	50	144	88,705	144	100.00 %	0.16 %
Durham (Wake)	40	269	98,194	269	100.00 %	0.27 %
Earl	111	198	77,584	198	100.00 %	0.26 %
East Arcadia	22	418	74,156	418	100.00 %	0.56 %
East Bend	73	634	77,134	634	100.00 %	0.82 %
East Laurinburg	48	234	86,256	234	100.00 %	0.27 %
East Spencer	76	1,567	87,730	1,567	100.00 %	1.79 %
Eastover	43	3,656	78,699	3,656	100.00 %	4.65 %
Eden	65	15,421	81,546	15,421	100.00 %	18.91 %
Edenton	1	4,460	69,250	4,460	100.00 %	6.44 %
Elizabeth City (Camden)	1	38	69,250	38	100.00 %	0.05 %
Elizabeth City (Pasquotank)	5	18,593	72,598	18,593	100.00 %	25.61 %
Elizabethtown	22	3,296	74,156	3,296	100.00 %	4.44 %
Elk Park	85	542	77,287	542	100.00 %	0.70 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Elkin (Surry)	90	4,049	79,437	4,049	100.00 %	5.10 %
Elkin (Wilkes)	94	73	81,014	73	100.00 %	0.09 %
Ellenboro	112	723	75,055	723	100.00 %	0.96 %
Ellerbe	66	864	77,768	864	100.00 %	1.11 %
Elm City (Nash)	25	0	79,207	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Elm City (Wilson)	24	1,218	78,784	1,218	100.00 %	1.55 %
Elon	64	11,336	85,967	11,336	100.00 %	13.19 %
Emerald Isle	13	3,847	76,858	3,847	100.00 %	5.01 %
Enfield	27	1,865	66,093	1,865	100.00 %	2.82 %
Erwin	53	4,542	93,143	4,542	100.00 %	4.88 %
Eureka	10	214	82,903	214	100.00 %	0.26 %
Everetts	23	150	70,931	150	100.00 %	0.21 %
Fair Bluff	46	709	70,050	709	100.00 %	1.01 %
Fairmont	47	2,191	70,629	2,191	100.00 %	3.10 %
Fairview	69	3,456	85,179	3,456	100.00 %	4.06 %
Faison (Duplin)	4	784	74,502	784	100.00 %	1.05 %
Faison (Sampson)	21	0	77,819	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Faith	76	819	87,730	819	100.00 %	0.93 %
Falcon (Cumberland)	43	324	78,699	324	100.00 %	0.41 %
Falcon (Sampson)	22	0	74,156	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Falkland	8	47	80,097	47	100.00 %	0.06 %
Fallston	110	627	77,182	627	100.00 %	0.81 %
Farmville	8	4,461	80,097	4,461	100.00 %	5.57 %
Fayetteville	42	208,501	85,537	65,401	31.37 %	76.46 %
	43	208,501	78,699	42,118	20.20 %	53.52 %
	44	208,501	83,353	83,349	39.98 %	100.00 %
	45	208,501	87,139	17,633	8.46 %	20.24 %
Flat Rock	113	3,486	82,493	3,486	100.00 %	4.23 %
Fletcher	117	7,987	86,102	7,987	100.00 %	9.28 %
Fontana Dam	120	13	84,907	13	100.00 %	0.02 %
Forest City	112	7,377	75,055	7,377	100.00 %	9.83 %
Forest Hills	119	303	79,717	303	100.00 %	0.38 %
Fountain	8	385	80,097	385	100.00 %	0.48 %
Four Oaks	28	2,158	94,571	2,158	100.00 %	2.28 %
Foxfire	52	1,288	88,139	1,288	100.00 %	1.46 %
Franklin	120	4,175	84,907	4,175	100.00 %	4.92 %
Franklinton	7	2,456	84,336	2,456	100.00 %	2.91 %
Franklinville	78	1,197	77,223	1,197	100.00 %	1.55 %
Fremont	10	1,196	82,903	1,196	100.00 %	1.44 %
Fuquay-Varina (Harnett)	53	0	93,143	0	0.00 %	0.00 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	36	34,152	96,778	2,401	7.03 %	2.48 %
	37	34,152	124,459	31,751	92.97 %	25.51 %
Gamewell	87	3,702	80,652	3,702	100.00 %	4.59 %
Garland	22	595	74,156	595	100.00 %	0.80 %
Garner	11	31,159	90,800	490	1.57 %	0.54 %
	33	31,159	94,150	27,831	89.32 %	29.56 %
	36	31,159	96,778	2,838	9.11 %	2.93 %
Garysburg	27	904	66,093	904	100.00 %	1.37 %
Gaston	27	1,008	66,093	1,008	100.00 %	1.53 %
Gastonia	108	80,411	83,912	23,860	29.67 %	28.43 %
	109	80,411	88,784	42,129	52.39 %	47.45 %
	110	80,411	77,182	14,422	17.94 %	18.69 %
Gatesville	5	267	72,598	267	100.00 %	0.37 %
Gibson	48	449	86,256	449	100.00 %	0.52 %
Gibsonville (Alamance)	64	4,278	85,967	4,278	100.00 %	4.98 %
Gibsonville (Guilford)	59	4,642	95,113	4,642	100.00 %	4.88 %
Glen Alpine	86	1,529	76,959	1,529	100.00 %	1.99 %
Godwin	43	128	78,699	128	100.00 %	0.16 %
Goldsboro	10	33,657	82,903	1,524	4.53 %	1.84 %
	21	33,657	77,819	32,133	95.47 %	41.29 %
Goldston	54	234	98,879	234	100.00 %	0.24 %
Graham	63	17,157	85,448	17,157	100.00 %	20.08 %
	64	17,157	85,967	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Grandfather Village	85	95	77,287	95	100.00 %	0.12 %
Granite Falls	87	4,965	80,652	4,965	100.00 %	6.16 %
Granite Quarry	76	2,984	87,730	2,984	100.00 %	3.40 %
Grantsboro	6	692	81,880	692	100.00 %	0.85 %
Green Level	63	3,152	85,448	3,152	100.00 %	3.69 %
Greenevers	4	567	74,502	567	100.00 %	0.76 %
Greensboro	57	299,035	90,615	83,540	27.94 %	92.19 %
	58	299,035	87,458	83,305	27.86 %	95.25 %
	59	299,035	95,113	18,596	6.22 %	19.55 %
	60	299,035	89,016	8,835	2.95 %	9.93 %
	61	299,035	86,871	86,871	29.05 %	100.00 %
	62	299,035	92,226	17,888	5.98 %	19.40 %
Greenville	8	87,521	80,097	39,156	44.74 %	48.89 %
	9	87,521	73,795	48,365	55.26 %	65.54 %
	12	87,521	71,473	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Grifton (Lenoir)	12	147	71,473	147	100.00 %	0.21 %
Grifton (Pitt)	12	2,301	71,473	2,301	100.00 %	3.22 %
Grimesland	9	386	73,795	386	100.00 %	0.52 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Grover	111	802	77,584	802	100.00 %	1.03 %
Halifax	27	170	66,093	170	100.00 %	0.26 %
Hamilton	23	306	70,931	306	100.00 %	0.43 %
Hamlet	66	6,025	77,768	6,025	100.00 %	7.75 %
Harmony	84	543	81,781	543	100.00 %	0.66 %
Harrells (Duplin)	4	0	74,502	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Harrells (Sampson)	22	160	74,156	160	100.00 %	0.22 %
Harrellsville	5	85	72,598	85	100.00 %	0.12 %
Harrisburg	83	18,967	104,603	18,967	100.00 %	18.13 %
Hassell	23	49	70,931	49	100.00 %	0.07 %
Havelock	3	16,621	73,887	16,621	100.00 %	22.50 %
Haw River	63	2,252	85,448	2,252	100.00 %	2.64 %
Hayesville	120	461	84,907	461	100.00 %	0.54 %
Hemby Bridge	69	1,614	85,179	1,614	100.00 %	1.89 %
Henderson	32	15,060	77,540	15,060	100.00 %	19.42 %
Hendersonville	113	15,137	82,493	623	4.12 %	0.76 %
	117	15,137	86,102	14,514	95.88 %	16.86 %
Hertford	1	1,934	69,250	1,934	100.00 %	2.79 %
Hickory (Burke)	86	79	76,959	79	100.00 %	0.10 %
Hickory (Caldwell)	87	32	80,652	32	100.00 %	0.04 %
Hickory (Catawba)	89	43,379	80,115	5,524	12.73 %	6.90 %
	96	43,379	80,495	37,855	87.27 %	47.03 %
High Point (Davidson)	80	6,646	83,785	6,646	100.00 %	7.93 %
High Point (Forsyth)	75	84	84,277	84	100.00 %	0.10 %
High Point (Guilford)	60	107,321	89,016	63,386	59.06 %	71.21 %
	62	107,321	92,226	43,935	40.94 %	47.64 %
High Point (Randolph)	70	8	78,536	8	100.00 %	0.01 %
High Shoals	110	595	77,182	595	100.00 %	0.77 %
Highlands (Jackson)	119	12	79,717	12	100.00 %	0.02 %
Highlands (Macon)	120	1,060	84,907	1,060	100.00 %	1.25 %
Hildebran	86	1,679	76,959	1,679	100.00 %	2.18 %
Hillsborough	50	9,660	88,705	9,660	100.00 %	10.89 %
Hobgood	27	268	66,093	268	100.00 %	0.41 %
Hoffman	66	418	77,768	418	100.00 %	0.54 %
Holden Beach	19	921	94,372	921	100.00 %	0.98 %
Holly Ridge	15	4,171	92,251	4,171	100.00 %	4.52 %
Holly Springs	36	41,239	96,778	4,132	10.02 %	4.27 %
	37	41,239	124,459	37,101	89.97 %	29.81 %
	41	41,239	130,024	6	0.01 %	0.00 %
Hookerton	10	413	82,903	413	100.00 %	0.50 %
Hope Mills	45	17,808	87,139	17,808	100.00 %	20.44 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Hot Springs	118	520	79,261	520	100.00 %	0.66 %
Hudson	87	3,780	80,652	3,780	100.00 %	4.69 %
Huntersville	98	61,376	104,798	55,483	90.40 %	52.94 %
	101	61,376	89,013	5,893	9.60 %	6.62 %
Indian Beach	13	223	76,858	223	100.00 %	0.29 %
Indian Trail	68	39,997	101,167	17,412	43.53 %	17.21 %
	69	39,997	85,179	22,585	56.47 %	26.51 %
Jackson	27	430	66,093	430	100.00 %	0.65 %
Jacksonville	14	72,723	86,538	28,456	39.13 %	32.88 %
	15	72,723	92,251	44,267	60.87 %	47.99 %
Jamestown	60	3,668	89,016	3,668	100.00 %	4.12 %
Jamesville	23	424	70,931	424	100.00 %	0.60 %
Jefferson	93	1,622	80,663	1,622	100.00 %	2.01 %
Jonesville	73	2,308	77,134	2,308	100.00 %	2.99 %
Kannapolis (Cabarrus)	82	42,846	102,107	42,846	100.00 %	41.96 %
Kannapolis (Rowan)	77	10,268	87,496	10,268	100.00 %	11.74 %
Kelford	1	203	69,250	203	100.00 %	0.29 %
Kenansville	4	770	74,502	770	100.00 %	1.03 %
Kenly (Johnston)	28	1,293	94,571	1,293	100.00 %	1.37 %
Kenly (Wilson)	24	198	78,784	198	100.00 %	0.25 %
Kernersville (Forsyth)	71	25,947	81,654	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	75	25,947	84,277	25,947	100.00 %	30.79 %
Kernersville (Guilford)	62	502	92,226	502	100.00 %	0.54 %
Kill Devil Hills	6	7,656	81,880	7,656	100.00 %	9.35 %
King (Forsyth)	73	591	77,134	591	100.00 %	0.77 %
King (Stokes)	91	6,606	78,279	6,606	100.00 %	8.44 %
Kings Mountain (Cleveland)	110	10,032	77,182	8	0.08 %	0.01 %
	111	10,032	77,584	10,024	99.92 %	12.92 %
Kings Mountain (Gaston)	110	1,110	77,182	1,110	100.00 %	1.44 %
Kingstown	110	656	77,182	656	100.00 %	0.85 %
Kinston	12	19,900	71,473	19,900	100.00 %	27.84 %
Kittrell	32	132	77,540	132	100.00 %	0.17 %
Kitty Hawk	6	3,689	81,880	3,689	100.00 %	4.51 %
Knightdale	39	19,435	106,498	19,435	100.00 %	18.25 %
Kure Beach	19	2,191	94,372	2,191	100.00 %	2.32 %
La Grange	12	2,595	71,473	2,595	100.00 %	3.63 %
Lake Lure	112	1,365	75,055	1,365	100.00 %	1.82 %
Lake Park	69	3,269	85,179	3,269	100.00 %	3.84 %
Lake Santeetlah	120	38	84,907	38	100.00 %	0.04 %
Lake Waccamaw	16	1,296	86,677	1,296	100.00 %	1.50 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Landis	76	3,690	87,730	11	0.30 %	0.01 %
	77	3,690	87,496	3,679	99.70 %	4.20 %
Lansing	93	126	80,663	126	100.00 %	0.16 %
Lasker	27	64	66,093	64	100.00 %	0.10 %
Lattimore	111	406	77,584	406	100.00 %	0.52 %
Laurel Park	113	2,250	82,493	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	117	2,250	86,102	2,250	100.00 %	2.61 %
Laurinburg	48	14,978	86,256	14,978	100.00 %	17.36 %
Lawndale	111	570	77,584	570	100.00 %	0.73 %
Leggett	23	37	70,931	37	100.00 %	0.05 %
Leland	17	22,908	98,474	22,908	100.00 %	23.26 %
Lenoir	87	18,352	80,652	18,352	100.00 %	22.75 %
Lewiston Woodville	1	426	69,250	426	100.00 %	0.62 %
Lewisville	74	13,381	91,726	13,381	100.00 %	14.59 %
Lexington	80	19,632	83,785	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	81	19,632	85,145	19,632	100.00 %	23.06 %
Liberty	78	2,655	77,223	2,655	100.00 %	3.44 %
Lilesville	55	395	73,976	395	100.00 %	0.53 %
Lillington	53	4,735	93,143	4,735	100.00 %	5.08 %
Lincolnton	97	11,091	86,810	11,091	100.00 %	12.78 %
Linden	43	136	78,699	136	100.00 %	0.17 %
Littleton	27	559	66,093	559	100.00 %	0.85 %
Locust (Cabarrus)	67	541	86,888	541	100.00 %	0.62 %
Locust (Stanly)	67	3,996	86,888	3,996	100.00 %	4.60 %
Long View (Burke)	86	735	76,959	735	100.00 %	0.96 %
Long View (Catawba)	96	4,353	80,495	4,353	100.00 %	5.41 %
Louisburg	7	3,064	84,336	3,064	100.00 %	3.63 %
Love Valley	84	154	81,781	154	100.00 %	0.19 %
Lowell	108	3,654	83,912	3,654	100.00 %	4.35 %
	109	3,654	88,784	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Lucama	24	1,036	78,784	1,036	100.00 %	1.31 %
Lumber Bridge	46	82	70,050	82	100.00 %	0.12 %
Lumberton	47	19,025	70,629	19,025	100.00 %	26.94 %
Macclesfield	23	413	70,931	413	100.00 %	0.58 %
Macon	32	110	77,540	110	100.00 %	0.14 %
Madison	91	2,129	78,279	2,129	100.00 %	2.72 %
Maggie Valley	118	1,687	79,261	1,587	94.07 %	2.00 %
	119	1,687	79,717	100	5.93 %	0.13 %
Magnolia	4	831	74,502	831	100.00 %	1.12 %
Maiden (Catawba)	89	3,736	80,115	3,736	100.00 %	4.66 %
Maiden (Lincoln)	97	0	86,810	0	0.00 %	0.00 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Manteo	6	1,600	81,880	1,600	100.00 %	1.95 %
Marietta	46	111	70,050	111	100.00 %	0.16 %
Marion	85	7,717	77,287	7,717	100.00 %	9.98 %
Mars Hill	118	2,007	79,261	2,007	100.00 %	2.53 %
Marshall	118	777	79,261	777	100.00 %	0.98 %
Marshville	55	2,522	73,976	2,522	100.00 %	3.41 %
Marvin	68	6,358	101,167	6,358	100.00 %	6.28 %
Matthews	103	29,435	87,202	29,435	100.00 %	33.75 %
Maxton (Robeson)	46	1,902	70,050	1,902	100.00 %	2.72 %
Maxton (Scotland)	48	208	86,256	208	100.00 %	0.24 %
Mayodan	91	2,418	78,279	2,418	100.00 %	3.09 %
Maysville	13	818	76,858	818	100.00 %	1.06 %
McAdenville	109	890	88,784	890	100.00 %	1.00 %
McDonald	47	94	70,629	94	100.00 %	0.13 %
McFarlan	55	94	73,976	94	100.00 %	0.13 %
Mebane (Alamance)	63	14,626	85,448	14,626	100.00 %	17.12 %
Mebane (Orange)	50	3,171	88,705	3,171	100.00 %	3.57 %
Mesic	6	144	81,880	144	100.00 %	0.18 %
Micro	28	458	94,571	458	100.00 %	0.48 %
Middleburg	32	101	77,540	101	100.00 %	0.13 %
Middlesex	7	912	84,336	912	100.00 %	1.08 %
Midland (Cabarrus)	67	4,684	86,888	4,680	99.91 %	5.39 %
	83	4,684	104,603	4	0.09 %	0.00 %
Midland (Mecklenburg)	99	0	90,735	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Midway	80	4,742	83,785	3,469	73.15 %	4.14 %
	81	4,742	85,145	1,273	26.85 %	1.50 %
Mills River	113	7,078	82,493	305	4.31 %	0.37 %
	117	7,078	86,102	6,773	95.69 %	7.87 %
Milton	50	155	88,705	155	100.00 %	0.17 %
Mineral Springs	55	3,159	73,976	47	1.49 %	0.06 %
	68	3,159	101,167	3,112	98.51 %	3.08 %
Minnesott Beach	6	530	81,880	530	100.00 %	0.65 %
Mint Hill (Mecklenburg)	99	26,444	90,735	22,445	84.88 %	24.74 %
	103	26,444	87,202	3,999	15.12 %	4.59 %
Mint Hill (Union)	69	6	85,179	6	100.00 %	0.01 %
Misenheimer	67	650	86,888	650	100.00 %	0.75 %
Mocksville	77	5,900	87,496	5,900	100.00 %	6.74 %
Momeyer	25	277	79,207	277	100.00 %	0.35 %
Monroe	55	34,562	73,976	12,650	36.60 %	17.10 %
	68	34,562	101,167	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	69	34,562	85,179	21,912	63.40 %	25.72 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Montreat	115	901	84,781	901	100.00 %	1.06 %
Moorestboro	111	293	77,584	293	100.00 %	0.38 %
Moorestville	95	50,193	104,912	50,193	100.00 %	47.84 %
Morehead City	13	9,556	76,858	9,556	100.00 %	12.43 %
Morganton	86	17,474	76,959	17,474	100.00 %	22.71 %
Morrisville (Durham)	31	207	111,521	207	100.00 %	0.19 %
Morrisville (Wake)	40	29,423	98,194	15,141	51.46 %	15.42 %
	41	29,423	130,024	14,282	48.54 %	10.98 %
Morven	55	329	73,976	329	100.00 %	0.44 %
Mount Airy	90	10,676	79,437	10,676	100.00 %	13.44 %
Mount Gilead	66	1,171	77,768	1,171	100.00 %	1.51 %
Mount Holly	108	17,703	83,912	17,084	96.50 %	20.36 %
	109	17,703	88,784	619	3.50 %	0.70 %
Mount Olive (Duplin)	4	5	74,502	5	100.00 %	0.01 %
Mount Olive (Wayne)	21	4,193	77,819	4,193	100.00 %	5.39 %
Mount Pleasant	67	1,671	86,888	1,671	100.00 %	1.92 %
Murfreesboro	5	2,619	72,598	2,619	100.00 %	3.61 %
Murphy	120	1,608	84,907	1,608	100.00 %	1.89 %
Nags Head	6	3,168	81,880	3,168	100.00 %	3.87 %
Nashville	25	5,632	79,207	5,632	100.00 %	7.11 %
Navassa	17	1,367	98,474	1,367	100.00 %	1.39 %
New Bern	3	31,291	73,887	28,522	91.15 %	38.60 %
	79	31,291	71,485	2,769	8.85 %	3.87 %
New London	67	607	86,888	607	100.00 %	0.70 %
Newland	85	715	77,287	715	100.00 %	0.93 %
Newport	13	4,364	76,858	4,364	100.00 %	5.68 %
Newton	89	13,148	80,115	13,148	100.00 %	16.41 %
	96	13,148	80,495	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Newton Grove	21	585	77,819	585	100.00 %	0.75 %
Norlina	32	920	77,540	920	100.00 %	1.19 %
Norman	66	100	77,768	100	100.00 %	0.13 %
North Topsail Beach	15	1,005	92,251	1,005	100.00 %	1.09 %
North Wilkesboro	90	4,382	79,437	11	0.25 %	0.01 %
	94	4,382	81,014	4,371	99.75 %	5.40 %
Northwest	17	703	98,474	703	100.00 %	0.71 %
Norwood	67	2,367	86,888	2,367	100.00 %	2.72 %
Oak City	23	266	70,931	266	100.00 %	0.38 %
Oak Island	19	8,396	94,372	8,396	100.00 %	8.90 %
Oak Ridge	62	7,474	92,226	7,474	100.00 %	8.10 %
Oakboro	67	2,128	86,888	2,128	100.00 %	2.45 %
Ocean Isle Beach	17	867	98,474	867	100.00 %	0.88 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Old Fort	85	811	77,287	811	100.00 %	1.05 %
Oriental	6	880	81,880	880	100.00 %	1.07 %
Orrum	47	59	70,629	59	100.00 %	0.08 %
Ossipee	64	536	85,967	536	100.00 %	0.62 %
Oxford	2	8,628	83,769	363	4.21 %	0.43 %
	32	8,628	77,540	8,265	95.79 %	10.66 %
Pantego	79	164	71,485	164	100.00 %	0.23 %
Parkton	46	504	70,050	504	100.00 %	0.72 %
Parmele	23	243	70,931	243	100.00 %	0.34 %
Patterson Springs	111	571	77,584	571	100.00 %	0.74 %
Peachland	55	390	73,976	390	100.00 %	0.53 %
Peletier	13	769	76,858	769	100.00 %	1.00 %
Pembroke	47	2,823	70,629	2,823	100.00 %	4.00 %
Pikeville	10	712	82,903	712	100.00 %	0.86 %
Pilot Mountain	91	1,440	78,279	1,440	100.00 %	1.84 %
Pine Knoll Shores	13	1,388	76,858	1,388	100.00 %	1.81 %
Pine Level	28	2,046	94,571	2,046	100.00 %	2.16 %
Pinebluff	52	1,473	88,139	1,473	100.00 %	1.67 %
Pinehurst	52	17,581	88,139	17,581	100.00 %	19.95 %
Pinetops	23	1,200	70,931	1,200	100.00 %	1.69 %
Pineville	105	10,602	92,400	10,602	100.00 %	11.47 %
Pink Hill	12	451	71,473	451	100.00 %	0.63 %
Pittsboro	54	4,537	98,879	4,537	100.00 %	4.59 %
Pleasant Garden	59	5,000	95,113	5,000	100.00 %	5.26 %
Plymouth	1	3,320	69,250	3,320	100.00 %	4.79 %
Polkton	55	2,250	73,976	2,250	100.00 %	3.04 %
Polkville	110	516	77,182	516	100.00 %	0.67 %
Pollocksville	13	268	76,858	268	100.00 %	0.35 %
Powellsville	1	189	69,250	189	100.00 %	0.27 %
Princeton	10	1,315	82,903	1,315	100.00 %	1.59 %
Princeville	23	1,254	70,931	1,254	100.00 %	1.77 %
Proctorville	47	121	70,629	121	100.00 %	0.17 %
Raeford	48	4,559	86,256	4,559	100.00 %	5.29 %
Raleigh (Durham)	31	1,559	111,521	1,559	100.00 %	1.40 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Raleigh (Wake)	11	466,106	90,800	55,212	11.85 %	60.81 %
	33	466,106	94,150	49,576	10.64 %	52.66 %
	34	466,106	89,516	78,239	16.79 %	87.40 %
	35	466,106	107,662	35,885	7.70 %	33.33 %
	38	466,106	93,793	91,741	19.68 %	97.81 %
	39	466,106	106,498	39,300	8.43 %	36.90 %
	40	466,106	98,194	36,487	7.83 %	37.16 %
	49	466,106	97,536	79,666	17.09 %	81.68 %
Ramseur	78	1,774	77,223	1,774	100.00 %	2.30 %
Randleman	70	4,595	78,536	4,595	100.00 %	5.85 %
Ranlo	108	4,511	83,912	4,511	100.00 %	5.38 %
Raynham	47	60	70,629	60	100.00 %	0.08 %
Red Cross	67	762	86,888	762	100.00 %	0.88 %
Red Oak	25	3,342	79,207	3,342	100.00 %	4.22 %
Red Springs (Hoke)	48	0	86,256	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Red Springs (Robeson)	46	3,087	70,050	3,087	100.00 %	4.41 %
Reidsville	65	14,583	81,546	14,583	100.00 %	17.88 %
Rennert	46	275	70,050	275	100.00 %	0.39 %
Rhodhiss (Burke)	86	639	76,959	639	100.00 %	0.83 %
Rhodhiss (Caldwell)	87	358	80,652	358	100.00 %	0.44 %
Rich Square	27	894	66,093	894	100.00 %	1.35 %
Richfield	67	582	86,888	582	100.00 %	0.67 %
Richlands	4	2,287	74,502	2,287	100.00 %	3.07 %
River Bend	3	2,902	73,887	2,902	100.00 %	3.93 %
Roanoke Rapids	27	15,229	66,093	15,229	100.00 %	23.04 %
Robbins	52	1,168	88,139	1,148	98.29 %	1.30 %
	78	1,168	77,223	20	1.71 %	0.03 %
Robbinsville	120	597	84,907	597	100.00 %	0.70 %
Robersonville	23	1,269	70,931	1,269	100.00 %	1.79 %
Rockingham	66	9,243	77,768	9,243	100.00 %	11.89 %
Rockwell	67	2,302	86,888	2,302	100.00 %	2.65 %
Rocky Mount (Edgecombe)	23	15,414	70,931	15,414	100.00 %	21.73 %
Rocky Mount (Nash)	25	38,927	79,207	38,927	100.00 %	49.15 %
Rolesville	35	9,475	107,662	9,475	100.00 %	8.80 %
Ronda	90	438	79,437	438	100.00 %	0.55 %
Roper	1	485	69,250	485	100.00 %	0.70 %
Rose Hill	4	1,371	74,502	1,371	100.00 %	1.84 %
Roseboro	22	1,163	74,156	1,163	100.00 %	1.57 %
Rosman	113	701	82,493	701	100.00 %	0.85 %
Rowland	46	885	70,050	885	100.00 %	1.26 %
Roxboro	2	8,134	83,769	8,134	100.00 %	9.71 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Roxobel	1	187	69,250	187	100.00 %	0.27 %
Rural Hall	73	3,351	77,134	3,351	100.00 %	4.34 %
Ruth	112	347	75,055	347	100.00 %	0.46 %
Rutherford College (Burke)	86	1,226	76,959	1,226	100.00 %	1.59 %
Rutherford College (Caldwell)	87	0	80,652	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Rutherfordton	112	3,640	75,055	3,640	100.00 %	4.85 %
Salemburg	22	457	74,156	457	100.00 %	0.62 %
Salisbury	76	35,540	87,730	35,540	100.00 %	40.51 %
Saluda (Henderson)	113	11	82,493	11	100.00 %	0.01 %
Saluda (Polk)	113	620	82,493	620	100.00 %	0.75 %
Sandy Creek	17	248	98,474	248	100.00 %	0.25 %
Sandyfield	16	430	86,677	430	100.00 %	0.50 %
Sanford	51	30,261	97,770	30,261	100.00 %	30.95 %
Saratoga	24	353	78,784	353	100.00 %	0.45 %
Sawmills	87	5,020	80,652	5,020	100.00 %	6.22 %
Scotland Neck	27	1,640	66,093	1,640	100.00 %	2.48 %
Seaboard	27	542	66,093	542	100.00 %	0.82 %
Seagrove	78	235	77,223	235	100.00 %	0.30 %
Sedalia	59	676	95,113	676	100.00 %	0.71 %
Selma	28	6,317	94,571	6,317	100.00 %	6.68 %
Seven Devils (Avery)	85	38	77,287	38	100.00 %	0.05 %
Seven Devils (Watauga)	93	275	80,663	275	100.00 %	0.34 %
Seven Springs	10	55	82,903	55	100.00 %	0.07 %
Severn	27	191	66,093	191	100.00 %	0.29 %
Shallotte	17	4,185	98,474	4,185	100.00 %	4.25 %
Sharpsburg (Edgecombe)	23	215	70,931	215	100.00 %	0.30 %
Sharpsburg (Nash)	25	1,061	79,207	1,061	100.00 %	1.34 %
Sharpsburg (Wilson)	24	421	78,784	421	100.00 %	0.53 %
Shelby	111	21,918	77,584	21,918	100.00 %	28.25 %
Siler City	54	7,702	98,879	7,702	100.00 %	7.79 %
Simpson	9	390	73,795	390	100.00 %	0.53 %
Sims	24	275	78,784	275	100.00 %	0.35 %
Smithfield	26	11,292	118,916	3,194	28.29 %	2.69 %
	28	11,292	94,571	8,098	71.71 %	8.56 %
Snow Hill	10	1,481	82,903	1,481	100.00 %	1.79 %
Southern Pines	52	15,545	88,139	15,545	100.00 %	17.64 %
Southern Shores	6	3,090	81,880	3,090	100.00 %	3.77 %
Southport	19	3,971	94,372	3,971	100.00 %	4.21 %
Sparta	90	1,834	79,437	1,834	100.00 %	2.31 %
Speed	23	63	70,931	63	100.00 %	0.09 %
Spencer	76	3,308	87,730	3,308	100.00 %	3.77 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Spencer Mountain	108	0	83,912	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Spindale	112	4,225	75,055	4,225	100.00 %	5.63 %
Spring Hope	25	1,309	79,207	1,309	100.00 %	1.65 %
Spring Lake	42	11,660	85,537	11,660	100.00 %	13.63 %
Spruce Pine	85	2,194	77,287	2,194	100.00 %	2.84 %
St. Helena	16	417	86,677	417	100.00 %	0.48 %
St. James	19	6,529	94,372	6,529	100.00 %	6.92 %
St. Pauls	46	2,045	70,050	2,045	100.00 %	2.92 %
Staley	78	397	77,223	397	100.00 %	0.51 %
Stallings (Mecklenburg)	99	384	90,735	373	97.14 %	0.41 %
	103	384	87,202	11	2.86 %	0.01 %
Stallings (Union)	68	15,728	101,167	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	69	15,728	85,179	15,728	100.00 %	18.46 %
Stanfield	67	1,585	86,888	1,585	100.00 %	1.82 %
Stanley	108	3,963	83,912	3,946	99.57 %	4.70 %
	110	3,963	77,182	17	0.43 %	0.02 %
Stantonsburg	24	762	78,784	762	100.00 %	0.97 %
Star	66	806	77,768	806	100.00 %	1.04 %
Statesville	84	28,419	81,781	23,430	82.44 %	28.65 %
	95	28,419	104,912	4,989	17.56 %	4.76 %
Stedman	43	1,277	78,699	1,277	100.00 %	1.62 %
Stem	2	960	83,769	960	100.00 %	1.15 %
Stokesdale	62	5,924	92,226	5,924	100.00 %	6.42 %
Stoneville	65	1,308	81,546	1,308	100.00 %	1.60 %
Stonewall	6	214	81,880	214	100.00 %	0.26 %
Stovall	32	324	77,540	324	100.00 %	0.42 %
Sugar Mountain	85	371	77,287	371	100.00 %	0.48 %
Summerfield	57	10,951	90,615	746	6.81 %	0.82 %
	59	10,951	95,113	2,509	22.91 %	2.64 %
	62	10,951	92,226	7,696	70.28 %	8.34 %
Sunset Beach	17	4,175	98,474	4,175	100.00 %	4.24 %
Surf City (Onslow)	15	334	92,251	334	100.00 %	0.36 %
Surf City (Pender)	16	3,533	86,677	3,533	100.00 %	4.08 %
Swansboro	14	3,744	86,538	3,744	100.00 %	4.33 %
Sweepsonville	63	2,445	85,448	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	64	2,445	85,967	2,445	100.00 %	2.84 %
Sylva	119	2,578	79,717	2,578	100.00 %	3.23 %
Tabor City	46	3,781	70,050	3,781	100.00 %	5.40 %
Tar Heel	22	90	74,156	90	100.00 %	0.12 %
Tarboro	23	10,721	70,931	10,721	100.00 %	15.11 %
Taylorsville	94	2,320	81,014	2,320	100.00 %	2.86 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Taylortown	52	634	88,139	634	100.00 %	0.72 %
Teachey	4	448	74,502	448	100.00 %	0.60 %
Thomasville (Davidson)	80	26,662	83,785	25,647	96.19 %	30.61 %
	81	26,662	85,145	1,015	3.81 %	1.19 %
Thomasville (Randolph)	70	521	78,536	521	100.00 %	0.66 %
Tobaccoville (Forsyth)	73	2,578	77,134	2,578	100.00 %	3.34 %
Tobaccoville (Stokes)	91	0	78,279	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Topsail Beach	16	461	86,677	461	100.00 %	0.53 %
Trent Woods	3	4,074	73,887	4,074	100.00 %	5.51 %
Trenton	13	238	76,858	238	100.00 %	0.31 %
Trinity	70	7,006	78,536	7,006	100.00 %	8.92 %
Troutman	84	3,698	81,781	2,811	76.01 %	3.44 %
	95	3,698	104,912	887	23.99 %	0.85 %
Troy	66	2,850	77,768	2,850	100.00 %	3.66 %
Tryon	113	1,562	82,493	1,562	100.00 %	1.89 %
Turkey	22	213	74,156	213	100.00 %	0.29 %
Unionville	69	6,643	85,179	6,643	100.00 %	7.80 %
Valdese	86	4,689	76,959	4,689	100.00 %	6.09 %
Vanceboro	79	869	71,485	869	100.00 %	1.22 %
Vandemere	6	246	81,880	246	100.00 %	0.30 %
Varnantown	19	525	94,372	525	100.00 %	0.56 %
Vass	52	952	88,139	952	100.00 %	1.08 %
Waco	110	310	77,182	310	100.00 %	0.40 %
Wade	43	638	78,699	638	100.00 %	0.81 %
Wadesboro	55	5,008	73,976	5,008	100.00 %	6.77 %
Wagram	48	615	86,256	615	100.00 %	0.71 %
Wake Forest (Franklin)	7	1,504	84,336	1,504	100.00 %	1.78 %
Wake Forest (Wake)	35	46,097	107,662	39,218	85.08 %	36.43 %
	40	46,097	98,194	6,879	14.92 %	7.01 %
Walkertown	71	5,692	81,654	3,176	55.80 %	3.89 %
	75	5,692	84,277	2,516	44.20 %	2.99 %
Wallace (Duplin)	4	3,413	74,502	3,413	100.00 %	4.58 %
Wallace (Pender)	16	0	86,677	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Wallburg	80	3,051	83,785	3,051	100.00 %	3.64 %
Walnut Cove	91	1,586	78,279	1,586	100.00 %	2.03 %
Walnut Creek	10	1,084	82,903	1,084	100.00 %	1.31 %
Walstonburg	10	193	82,903	193	100.00 %	0.23 %
Warrenton	32	851	77,540	851	100.00 %	1.10 %
Warsaw	4	2,733	74,502	2,733	100.00 %	3.67 %
Washington	79	9,875	71,485	9,875	100.00 %	13.81 %
Washington Park	79	392	71,485	392	100.00 %	0.55 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Watha	16	181	86,677	181	100.00 %	0.21 %
Waxhaw	68	20,534	101,167	20,534	100.00 %	20.30 %
Waynesville	119	10,140	79,717	10,140	100.00 %	12.72 %
Weaverville	114	4,567	89,378	4,567	100.00 %	5.11 %
Webster	119	372	79,717	372	100.00 %	0.47 %
Weddington (Mecklenburg)	103	5	87,202	5	100.00 %	0.01 %
Weddington (Union)	68	13,176	101,167	13,172	99.97 %	13.02 %
	69	13,176	85,179	4	0.03 %	0.00 %
Weldon	27	1,444	66,093	1,444	100.00 %	2.18 %
Wendell	39	9,793	106,498	9,793	100.00 %	9.20 %
Wentworth	65	2,662	81,546	2,662	100.00 %	3.26 %
Wesley Chapel	55	8,681	73,976	1,168	13.45 %	1.58 %
	68	8,681	101,167	7,513	86.55 %	7.43 %
West Jefferson	93	1,279	80,663	1,279	100.00 %	1.59 %
Whispering Pines	52	4,987	88,139	4,987	100.00 %	5.66 %
Whitakers (Edgecombe)	23	290	70,931	290	100.00 %	0.41 %
Whitakers (Nash)	25	337	79,207	337	100.00 %	0.43 %
White Lake	22	843	74,156	843	100.00 %	1.14 %
Whiteville	16	4,766	86,677	4,766	100.00 %	5.50 %
Whitsett	59	584	95,113	584	100.00 %	0.61 %
Wilkesboro	90	3,687	79,437	10	0.27 %	0.01 %
	94	3,687	81,014	3,677	99.73 %	4.54 %
Williamston	23	5,248	70,931	5,248	100.00 %	7.40 %
Wilmington	18	115,451	83,793	41,228	35.71 %	49.20 %
	19	115,451	94,372	20,249	17.54 %	21.46 %
	20	115,451	85,756	53,974	46.75 %	62.94 %
Wilson	24	47,851	78,784	47,851	100.00 %	60.74 %
Wilson's Mills	26	2,534	118,916	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	28	2,534	94,571	2,534	100.00 %	2.68 %
Windsor	1	3,582	69,250	3,582	100.00 %	5.17 %
Winfall	1	555	69,250	555	100.00 %	0.80 %
Wingate	55	4,055	73,976	4,055	100.00 %	5.48 %
Winston-Salem	71	249,545	81,654	74,411	29.82 %	91.13 %
	72	249,545	85,013	85,013	34.07 %	100.00 %
	73	249,545	77,134	25,055	10.04 %	32.48 %
	74	249,545	91,726	42,191	16.91 %	46.00 %
	75	249,545	84,277	22,875	9.17 %	27.14 %
Winterville	8	10,462	80,097	10,462	100.00 %	13.06 %
Winton	5	629	72,598	629	100.00 %	0.87 %
Woodfin	114	7,936	89,378	7,936	100.00 %	8.88 %
Woodland	27	557	66,093	557	100.00 %	0.84 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: House2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Wrightsville Beach	20	2,473	85,756	2,473	100.00 %	2.88 %
Yadkinville	73	2,995	77,134	2,995	100.00 %	3.88 %
Yanceyville	50	1,937	88,705	1,937	100.00 %	2.18 %
Youngsville	7	2,016	84,336	2,016	100.00 %	2.39 %
Zebulon (Johnston)	26	0	118,916	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Zebulon (Wake)	35	6,903	107,662	2,235	32.38 %	2.08 %
	39	6,903	106,498	4,668	67.62 %	4.38 %
Total:				6,017,605		

Number of municipalities split within counties: 77

Display: all municipalities

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: House2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
1	Askeville	69,250	184	184	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Aulander	69,250	763	763	1.10 %	100.00 %
	Colerain	69,250	217	217	0.31 %	100.00 %
	Columbia	69,250	610	610	0.88 %	100.00 %
	Creswell	69,250	207	207	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Edenton	69,250	4,460	4,460	6.44 %	100.00 %
	Elizabeth City (Camden)	69,250	38	38	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	69,250	1,934	1,934	2.79 %	100.00 %
	Kelford	69,250	203	203	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Lewiston Woodville	69,250	426	426	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Plymouth	69,250	3,320	3,320	4.79 %	100.00 %
	Powellsville	69,250	189	189	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Roper	69,250	485	485	0.70 %	100.00 %
	Roxobel	69,250	187	187	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Windsor	69,250	3,582	3,582	5.17 %	100.00 %
Winfall	69,250	555	555	0.80 %	100.00 %	
2	Butner	83,769	8,397	8,397	10.02 %	100.00 %
	Creedmoor	83,769	4,866	4,866	5.81 %	100.00 %
	Oxford	83,769	8,628	363	0.43 %	4.21 %
	Roxboro	83,769	8,134	8,134	9.71 %	100.00 %
	Stem	83,769	960	960	1.15 %	100.00 %
3	Havelock	73,887	16,621	16,621	22.50 %	100.00 %
	New Bern	73,887	31,291	28,522	38.60 %	91.15 %
	River Bend	73,887	2,902	2,902	3.93 %	100.00 %
	Trent Woods	73,887	4,074	4,074	5.51 %	100.00 %
4	Beulaville	74,502	1,116	1,116	1.50 %	100.00 %
	Calypso	74,502	327	327	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Faison (Duplin)	74,502	784	784	1.05 %	100.00 %
	Greenevers	74,502	567	567	0.76 %	100.00 %
	Harrells (Duplin)	74,502	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Kenansville	74,502	770	770	1.03 %	100.00 %
	Magnolia	74,502	831	831	1.12 %	100.00 %
	Mount Olive (Duplin)	74,502	5	5	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Richlands	74,502	2,287	2,287	3.07 %	100.00 %
	Rose Hill	74,502	1,371	1,371	1.84 %	100.00 %
	Teachey	74,502	448	448	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Wallace (Duplin)	74,502	3,413	3,413	4.58 %	100.00 %
	Warsaw	74,502	2,733	2,733	3.67 %	100.00 %
5	Ahoskie	72,598	4,891	4,891	6.74 %	100.00 %
	Cofield	72,598	267	267	0.37 %	100.00 %
	Como	72,598	67	67	0.09 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: House2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
5	Elizabeth City (Pasquotank)	72,598	18,593	18,593	25.61 %	100.00 %
	Gatesville	72,598	267	267	0.37 %	100.00 %
	Harrellsville	72,598	85	85	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Murfreesboro	72,598	2,619	2,619	3.61 %	100.00 %
	Winton	72,598	629	629	0.87 %	100.00 %
6	Alliance	81,880	733	733	0.90 %	100.00 %
	Arapahoe	81,880	416	416	0.51 %	100.00 %
	Bayboro	81,880	1,161	1,161	1.42 %	100.00 %
	Duck	81,880	742	742	0.91 %	100.00 %
	Grantsboro	81,880	692	692	0.85 %	100.00 %
	Kill Devil Hills	81,880	7,656	7,656	9.35 %	100.00 %
	Kitty Hawk	81,880	3,689	3,689	4.51 %	100.00 %
	Manteo	81,880	1,600	1,600	1.95 %	100.00 %
	Mesic	81,880	144	144	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Minnesott Beach	81,880	530	530	0.65 %	100.00 %
	Nags Head	81,880	3,168	3,168	3.87 %	100.00 %
	Oriental	81,880	880	880	1.07 %	100.00 %
	Southern Shores	81,880	3,090	3,090	3.77 %	100.00 %
	Stonewall	81,880	214	214	0.26 %	100.00 %
Vandemere	81,880	246	246	0.30 %	100.00 %	
7	Bailey	84,336	568	568	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Bunn	84,336	327	327	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Franklinton	84,336	2,456	2,456	2.91 %	100.00 %
	Louisburg	84,336	3,064	3,064	3.63 %	100.00 %
	Middlesex	84,336	912	912	1.08 %	100.00 %
	Wake Forest (Franklin)	84,336	1,504	1,504	1.78 %	100.00 %
	Youngsville	84,336	2,016	2,016	2.39 %	100.00 %
8	Bethel	80,097	1,373	1,373	1.71 %	100.00 %
	Falkland	80,097	47	47	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Farmville	80,097	4,461	4,461	5.57 %	100.00 %
	Fountain	80,097	385	385	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Greenville	80,097	87,521	39,156	48.89 %	44.74 %
	Winterville	80,097	10,462	10,462	13.06 %	100.00 %
9	Greenville	73,795	87,521	48,365	65.54 %	55.26 %
	Grimesland	73,795	386	386	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Simpson	73,795	390	390	0.53 %	100.00 %
10	Eureka	82,903	214	214	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Fremont	82,903	1,196	1,196	1.44 %	100.00 %
	Goldsboro	82,903	33,657	1,524	1.84 %	4.53 %
	Hookerton	82,903	413	413	0.50 %	100.00 %
	Pikeville	82,903	712	712	0.86 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: House2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
10	Princeton	82,903	1,315	1,315	1.59 %	100.00 %
	Seven Springs	82,903	55	55	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Snow Hill	82,903	1,481	1,481	1.79 %	100.00 %
	Walnut Creek	82,903	1,084	1,084	1.31 %	100.00 %
	Walstonburg	82,903	193	193	0.23 %	100.00 %
11	Cary (Wake)	90,800	171,012	30,014	33.06 %	17.55 %
	Garner	90,800	31,159	490	0.54 %	1.57 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	90,800	466,106	55,212	60.81 %	11.85 %
12	Ayden	71,473	4,977	4,977	6.96 %	100.00 %
	Greenville	71,473	87,521	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Grifton (Lenoir)	71,473	147	147	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Grifton (Pitt)	71,473	2,301	2,301	3.22 %	100.00 %
	Kinston	71,473	19,900	19,900	27.84 %	100.00 %
	La Grange	71,473	2,595	2,595	3.63 %	100.00 %
	Pink Hill	71,473	451	451	0.63 %	100.00 %
13	Atlantic Beach	76,858	1,364	1,364	1.77 %	100.00 %
	Beaufort	76,858	4,464	4,464	5.81 %	100.00 %
	Bogue	76,858	695	695	0.90 %	100.00 %
	Cape Carteret	76,858	2,224	2,224	2.89 %	100.00 %
	Cedar Point	76,858	1,764	1,764	2.30 %	100.00 %
	Emerald Isle	76,858	3,847	3,847	5.01 %	100.00 %
	Indian Beach	76,858	223	223	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Maysville	76,858	818	818	1.06 %	100.00 %
	Morehead City	76,858	9,556	9,556	12.43 %	100.00 %
	Newport	76,858	4,364	4,364	5.68 %	100.00 %
	Peletier	76,858	769	769	1.00 %	100.00 %
	Pine Knoll Shores	76,858	1,388	1,388	1.81 %	100.00 %
	Pollocksville	76,858	268	268	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Trenton	76,858	238	238	0.31 %	100.00 %
14	Jacksonville	86,538	72,723	28,456	32.88 %	39.13 %
	Swansboro	86,538	3,744	3,744	4.33 %	100.00 %
15	Holly Ridge	92,251	4,171	4,171	4.52 %	100.00 %
	Jacksonville	92,251	72,723	44,267	47.99 %	60.87 %
	North Topsail Beach	92,251	1,005	1,005	1.09 %	100.00 %
	Surf City (Onslow)	92,251	334	334	0.36 %	100.00 %
16	Atkinson	86,677	296	296	0.34 %	100.00 %
	Bolton	86,677	519	519	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Brunswick	86,677	973	973	1.12 %	100.00 %
	Burgaw	86,677	3,088	3,088	3.56 %	100.00 %
	Chadbourn	86,677	1,574	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Lake Waccamaw	86,677	1,296	1,296	1.50 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: House2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
16	Sandyfield	86,677	430	430	0.50 %	100.00 %
	St. Helena	86,677	417	417	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Surf City (Pender)	86,677	3,533	3,533	4.08 %	100.00 %
	Topsail Beach	86,677	461	461	0.53 %	100.00 %
	Wallace (Pender)	86,677	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Watha	86,677	181	181	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Whiteville	86,677	4,766	4,766	5.50 %	100.00 %
17	Belville	98,474	2,406	2,406	2.44 %	100.00 %
	Boiling Spring Lakes	98,474	5,943	5,943	6.04 %	100.00 %
	Bolivia	98,474	149	149	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Calabash	98,474	2,011	2,011	2.04 %	100.00 %
	Carolina Shores	98,474	4,588	4,588	4.66 %	100.00 %
	Leland	98,474	22,908	22,908	23.26 %	100.00 %
	Navassa	98,474	1,367	1,367	1.39 %	100.00 %
	Northwest	98,474	703	703	0.71 %	100.00 %
	Ocean Isle Beach	98,474	867	867	0.88 %	100.00 %
	Sandy Creek	98,474	248	248	0.25 %	100.00 %
	Shallotte	98,474	4,185	4,185	4.25 %	100.00 %
	Sunset Beach	98,474	4,175	4,175	4.24 %	100.00 %
18	Wilmington	83,793	115,451	41,228	49.20 %	35.71 %
19	Bald Head Island	94,372	268	268	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Carolina Beach	94,372	6,564	6,564	6.96 %	100.00 %
	Caswell Beach	94,372	395	395	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Holden Beach	94,372	921	921	0.98 %	100.00 %
	Kure Beach	94,372	2,191	2,191	2.32 %	100.00 %
	Oak Island	94,372	8,396	8,396	8.90 %	100.00 %
	Southport	94,372	3,971	3,971	4.21 %	100.00 %
	St. James	94,372	6,529	6,529	6.92 %	100.00 %
	Varnamtown	94,372	525	525	0.56 %	100.00 %
	Wilmington	94,372	115,451	20,249	21.46 %	17.54 %
20	Wilmington	85,756	115,451	53,974	62.94 %	46.75 %
	Wrightsville Beach	85,756	2,473	2,473	2.88 %	100.00 %
21	Clinton	77,819	8,383	96	0.12 %	1.15 %
	Faison (Sampson)	77,819	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Goldsboro	77,819	33,657	32,133	41.29 %	95.47 %
	Mount Olive (Wayne)	77,819	4,193	4,193	5.39 %	100.00 %
	Newton Grove	77,819	585	585	0.75 %	100.00 %
22	Autryville	74,156	167	167	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Bladenboro	74,156	1,648	1,648	2.22 %	100.00 %
	Clarkton	74,156	614	614	0.83 %	100.00 %
	Clinton	74,156	8,383	8,287	11.18 %	98.85 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: House2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
22	Dublin	74,156	267	267	0.36 %	100.00 %
	East Arcadia	74,156	418	418	0.56 %	100.00 %
	Elizabethtown	74,156	3,296	3,296	4.44 %	100.00 %
	Falcon (Sampson)	74,156	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Garland	74,156	595	595	0.80 %	100.00 %
	Harrells (Sampson)	74,156	160	160	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Roseboro	74,156	1,163	1,163	1.57 %	100.00 %
	Salemburg	74,156	457	457	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Tar Heel	74,156	90	90	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Turkey	74,156	213	213	0.29 %	100.00 %
	White Lake	74,156	843	843	1.14 %	100.00 %
23	Bear Grass	70,931	89	89	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Conetoe	70,931	198	198	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Everetts	70,931	150	150	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Hamilton	70,931	306	306	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Hassell	70,931	49	49	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Jamesville	70,931	424	424	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Leggett	70,931	37	37	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Macclesfield	70,931	413	413	0.58 %	100.00 %
	Oak City	70,931	266	266	0.38 %	100.00 %
	Parmele	70,931	243	243	0.34 %	100.00 %
	Pinetops	70,931	1,200	1,200	1.69 %	100.00 %
	Princeville	70,931	1,254	1,254	1.77 %	100.00 %
	Robersonville	70,931	1,269	1,269	1.79 %	100.00 %
	Rocky Mount (Edgecombe)	70,931	15,414	15,414	21.73 %	100.00 %
	Sharpsburg (Edgecombe)	70,931	215	215	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Speed	70,931	63	63	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Tarboro	70,931	10,721	10,721	15.11 %	100.00 %
Whitakers (Edgecombe)	70,931	290	290	0.41 %	100.00 %	
Williamston	70,931	5,248	5,248	7.40 %	100.00 %	
24	Black Creek	78,784	692	692	0.88 %	100.00 %
	Elm City (Wilson)	78,784	1,218	1,218	1.55 %	100.00 %
	Kenly (Wilson)	78,784	198	198	0.25 %	100.00 %
	Lucama	78,784	1,036	1,036	1.31 %	100.00 %
	Saratoga	78,784	353	353	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Sharpsburg (Wilson)	78,784	421	421	0.53 %	100.00 %
	Sims	78,784	275	275	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Stantonsburg	78,784	762	762	0.97 %	100.00 %
	Wilson	78,784	47,851	47,851	60.74 %	100.00 %
25	Castalia	79,207	264	264	0.33 %	100.00 %
	Dortches	79,207	1,082	1,082	1.37 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: House2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
25	Elm City (Nash)	79,207	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Momeyer	79,207	277	277	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Nashville	79,207	5,632	5,632	7.11 %	100.00 %
	Red Oak	79,207	3,342	3,342	4.22 %	100.00 %
	Rocky Mount (Nash)	79,207	38,927	38,927	49.15 %	100.00 %
	Sharpsburg (Nash)	79,207	1,061	1,061	1.34 %	100.00 %
	Spring Hope	79,207	1,309	1,309	1.65 %	100.00 %
	Whitakers (Nash)	79,207	337	337	0.43 %	100.00 %
26	Archer Lodge	118,916	4,797	4,797	4.03 %	100.00 %
	Clayton (Johnston)	118,916	26,307	26,307	22.12 %	100.00 %
	Smithfield	118,916	11,292	3,194	2.69 %	28.29 %
	Wilson's Mills	118,916	2,534	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Zebulon (Johnston)	118,916	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
27	Conway	66,093	752	752	1.14 %	100.00 %
	Enfield	66,093	1,865	1,865	2.82 %	100.00 %
	Garysburg	66,093	904	904	1.37 %	100.00 %
	Gaston	66,093	1,008	1,008	1.53 %	100.00 %
	Halifax	66,093	170	170	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Hobgood	66,093	268	268	0.41 %	100.00 %
	Jackson	66,093	430	430	0.65 %	100.00 %
	Lasker	66,093	64	64	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Littleton	66,093	559	559	0.85 %	100.00 %
	Rich Square	66,093	894	894	1.35 %	100.00 %
	Roanoke Rapids	66,093	15,229	15,229	23.04 %	100.00 %
	Scotland Neck	66,093	1,640	1,640	2.48 %	100.00 %
	Seaboard	66,093	542	542	0.82 %	100.00 %
	Severn	66,093	191	191	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Weldon	66,093	1,444	1,444	2.18 %	100.00 %
Woodland	66,093	557	557	0.84 %	100.00 %	
28	Angier (Harnett)	94,571	4,709	4,597	4.86 %	97.62 %
	Benson (Johnston)	94,571	3,967	3,967	4.19 %	100.00 %
	Four Oaks	94,571	2,158	2,158	2.28 %	100.00 %
	Kenly (Johnston)	94,571	1,293	1,293	1.37 %	100.00 %
	Micro	94,571	458	458	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Pine Level	94,571	2,046	2,046	2.16 %	100.00 %
	Selma	94,571	6,317	6,317	6.68 %	100.00 %
	Smithfield	94,571	11,292	8,098	8.56 %	71.71 %
	Wilson's Mills	94,571	2,534	2,534	2.68 %	100.00 %
29	Chapel Hill (Durham)	93,532	2,906	2,322	2.48 %	79.90 %
	Durham (Durham)	93,532	283,093	90,603	96.87 %	32.00 %
30	Durham (Durham)	97,186	283,093	72,899	75.01 %	25.75 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: House2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
31	Durham (Durham)	111,521	283,093	99,968	89.64 %	35.31 %
	Morrisville (Durham)	111,521	207	207	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Durham)	111,521	1,559	1,559	1.40 %	100.00 %
32	Henderson	77,540	15,060	15,060	19.42 %	100.00 %
	Kittrell	77,540	132	132	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Macon	77,540	110	110	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Middleburg	77,540	101	101	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Norlina	77,540	920	920	1.19 %	100.00 %
	Oxford	77,540	8,628	8,265	10.66 %	95.79 %
	Stovall	77,540	324	324	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Warrenton	77,540	851	851	1.10 %	100.00 %
33	Clayton (Wake)	94,150	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Garner	94,150	31,159	27,831	29.56 %	89.32 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	94,150	466,106	49,576	52.66 %	10.64 %
34	Raleigh (Wake)	89,516	466,106	78,239	87.40 %	16.79 %
35	Raleigh (Wake)	107,662	466,106	35,885	33.33 %	7.70 %
	Rolesville	107,662	9,475	9,475	8.80 %	100.00 %
	Wake Forest (Wake)	107,662	46,097	39,218	36.43 %	85.08 %
	Zebulon (Wake)	107,662	6,903	2,235	2.08 %	32.38 %
36	Apex	96,778	58,780	9,782	10.11 %	16.64 %
	Cary (Wake)	96,778	171,012	44,524	46.01 %	26.04 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	96,778	34,152	2,401	2.48 %	7.03 %
	Garner	96,778	31,159	2,838	2.93 %	9.11 %
	Holly Springs	96,778	41,239	4,132	4.27 %	10.02 %
37	Angier (Wake)	124,459	556	556	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Apex	124,459	58,780	17,739	14.25 %	30.18 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	124,459	34,152	31,751	25.51 %	92.97 %
	Holly Springs	124,459	41,239	37,101	29.81 %	89.97 %
38	Raleigh (Wake)	93,793	466,106	91,741	97.81 %	19.68 %
39	Clayton (Wake)	106,498	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Knightdale	106,498	19,435	19,435	18.25 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	106,498	466,106	39,300	36.90 %	8.43 %
	Wendell	106,498	9,793	9,793	9.20 %	100.00 %
	Zebulon (Wake)	106,498	6,903	4,668	4.38 %	67.62 %
40	Cary (Wake)	98,194	171,012	4,476	4.56 %	2.62 %
	Durham (Wake)	98,194	269	269	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Morrisville (Wake)	98,194	29,423	15,141	15.42 %	51.46 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	98,194	466,106	36,487	37.16 %	7.83 %
	Wake Forest (Wake)	98,194	46,097	6,879	7.01 %	14.92 %
41	Apex	130,024	58,780	31,259	24.04 %	53.18 %
	Cary (Wake)	130,024	171,012	75,992	58.44 %	44.44 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: House2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
41	Holly Springs	130,024	41,239	6	0.00 %	0.01 %
	Morrisville (Wake)	130,024	29,423	14,282	10.98 %	48.54 %
42	Fayetteville	85,537	208,501	65,401	76.46 %	31.37 %
	Spring Lake	85,537	11,660	11,660	13.63 %	100.00 %
43	Eastover	78,699	3,656	3,656	4.65 %	100.00 %
	Falcon (Cumberland)	78,699	324	324	0.41 %	100.00 %
	Fayetteville	78,699	208,501	42,118	53.52 %	20.20 %
	Godwin	78,699	128	128	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Linden	78,699	136	136	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Stedman	78,699	1,277	1,277	1.62 %	100.00 %
	Wade	78,699	638	638	0.81 %	100.00 %
44	Fayetteville	83,353	208,501	83,349	100.00 %	39.98 %
45	Fayetteville	87,139	208,501	17,633	20.24 %	8.46 %
	Hope Mills	87,139	17,808	17,808	20.44 %	100.00 %
46	Boardman	70,050	166	166	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Cerro Gordo	70,050	131	131	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Chadbourn	70,050	1,574	1,574	2.25 %	100.00 %
	Fair Bluff	70,050	709	709	1.01 %	100.00 %
	Lumber Bridge	70,050	82	82	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Marietta	70,050	111	111	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Maxton (Robeson)	70,050	1,902	1,902	2.72 %	100.00 %
	Parkton	70,050	504	504	0.72 %	100.00 %
	Red Springs (Robeson)	70,050	3,087	3,087	4.41 %	100.00 %
	Rennert	70,050	275	275	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Rowland	70,050	885	885	1.26 %	100.00 %
	St. Pauls	70,050	2,045	2,045	2.92 %	100.00 %
47	Tabor City	70,050	3,781	3,781	5.40 %	100.00 %
	Fairmont	70,629	2,191	2,191	3.10 %	100.00 %
	Lumberton	70,629	19,025	19,025	26.94 %	100.00 %
	McDonald	70,629	94	94	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Orrum	70,629	59	59	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Pembroke	70,629	2,823	2,823	4.00 %	100.00 %
	Proctorville	70,629	121	121	0.17 %	100.00 %
48	Raynham	70,629	60	60	0.08 %	100.00 %
	East Laurinburg	86,256	234	234	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Gibson	86,256	449	449	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Laurinburg	86,256	14,978	14,978	17.36 %	100.00 %
	Maxton (Scotland)	86,256	208	208	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Raeford	86,256	4,559	4,559	5.29 %	100.00 %
	Red Springs (Hoke)	86,256	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Wagram	86,256	615	615	0.71 %	100.00 %	

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: House2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
49	Cary (Wake)	97,536	171,012	16,006	16.41 %	9.36 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	97,536	466,106	79,666	81.68 %	17.09 %
50	Carrboro	88,705	21,295	174	0.20 %	0.82 %
	Chapel Hill (Orange)	88,705	59,054	2,897	3.27 %	4.91 %
	Durham (Orange)	88,705	144	144	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Hillsborough	88,705	9,660	9,660	10.89 %	100.00 %
	Mebane (Orange)	88,705	3,171	3,171	3.57 %	100.00 %
	Milton	88,705	155	155	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Yanceyville	88,705	1,937	1,937	2.18 %	100.00 %
51	Broadway (Lee)	97,770	1,267	1,267	1.30 %	100.00 %
	Sanford	97,770	30,261	30,261	30.95 %	100.00 %
52	Aberdeen	88,139	8,516	8,516	9.66 %	100.00 %
	Cameron	88,139	244	244	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Candor (Moore)	88,139	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Carthage	88,139	2,775	28	0.03 %	1.01 %
	Foxfire	88,139	1,288	1,288	1.46 %	100.00 %
	Pinebluff	88,139	1,473	1,473	1.67 %	100.00 %
	Pinehurst	88,139	17,581	17,581	19.95 %	100.00 %
	Robbins	88,139	1,168	1,148	1.30 %	98.29 %
	Southern Pines	88,139	15,545	15,545	17.64 %	100.00 %
	Taylortown	88,139	634	634	0.72 %	100.00 %
	Vass	88,139	952	952	1.08 %	100.00 %
	Whispering Pines	88,139	4,987	4,987	5.66 %	100.00 %
53	Angier (Harnett)	93,143	4,709	112	0.12 %	2.38 %
	Benson (Harnett)	93,143	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Broadway (Harnett)	93,143	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Coats	93,143	2,155	2,155	2.31 %	100.00 %
	Dunn	93,143	8,446	8,446	9.07 %	100.00 %
	Erwin	93,143	4,542	4,542	4.88 %	100.00 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Harnett)	93,143	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Lillington	93,143	4,735	4,735	5.08 %	100.00 %
54	Cary (Chatham)	98,879	3,709	3,709	3.75 %	100.00 %
	Chapel Hill (Durham)	98,879	2,906	584	0.59 %	20.10 %
	Durham (Durham)	98,879	283,093	19,623	19.85 %	6.93 %
	Goldston	98,879	234	234	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Pittsboro	98,879	4,537	4,537	4.59 %	100.00 %
	Siler City	98,879	7,702	7,702	7.79 %	100.00 %
55	Ansonville	73,976	440	440	0.59 %	100.00 %
	Lilesville	73,976	395	395	0.53 %	100.00 %
	Marshville	73,976	2,522	2,522	3.41 %	100.00 %
	McFarlan	73,976	94	94	0.13 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: House2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
55	Mineral Springs	73,976	3,159	47	0.06 %	1.49 %
	Monroe	73,976	34,562	12,650	17.10 %	36.60 %
	Morven	73,976	329	329	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Peachland	73,976	390	390	0.53 %	100.00 %
	Polkton	73,976	2,250	2,250	3.04 %	100.00 %
	Wadesboro	73,976	5,008	5,008	6.77 %	100.00 %
	Wesley Chapel	73,976	8,681	1,168	1.58 %	13.45 %
	Wingate	73,976	4,055	4,055	5.48 %	100.00 %
56	Carrboro	82,727	21,295	21,121	25.53 %	99.18 %
	Chapel Hill (Orange)	82,727	59,054	56,157	67.88 %	95.09 %
57	Greensboro	90,615	299,035	83,540	92.19 %	27.94 %
	Summerfield	90,615	10,951	746	0.82 %	6.81 %
58	Greensboro	87,458	299,035	83,305	95.25 %	27.86 %
59	Burlington (Guilford)	95,113	1,822	1,822	1.92 %	100.00 %
	Gibsonville (Guilford)	95,113	4,642	4,642	4.88 %	100.00 %
	Greensboro	95,113	299,035	18,596	19.55 %	6.22 %
	Pleasant Garden	95,113	5,000	5,000	5.26 %	100.00 %
	Sedalia	95,113	676	676	0.71 %	100.00 %
	Summerfield	95,113	10,951	2,509	2.64 %	22.91 %
	Whitsett	95,113	584	584	0.61 %	100.00 %
60	Archdale (Guilford)	89,016	380	380	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Greensboro	89,016	299,035	8,835	9.93 %	2.95 %
	High Point (Guilford)	89,016	107,321	63,386	71.21 %	59.06 %
	Jamestown	89,016	3,668	3,668	4.12 %	100.00 %
61	Greensboro	86,871	299,035	86,871	100.00 %	29.05 %
62	Greensboro	92,226	299,035	17,888	19.40 %	5.98 %
	High Point (Guilford)	92,226	107,321	43,935	47.64 %	40.94 %
	Kernersville (Guilford)	92,226	502	502	0.54 %	100.00 %
	Oak Ridge	92,226	7,474	7,474	8.10 %	100.00 %
	Stokesdale	92,226	5,924	5,924	6.42 %	100.00 %
	Summerfield	92,226	10,951	7,696	8.34 %	70.28 %
63	Burlington (Alamance)	85,448	55,481	28,702	33.59 %	51.73 %
	Graham	85,448	17,157	17,157	20.08 %	100.00 %
	Green Level	85,448	3,152	3,152	3.69 %	100.00 %
	Haw River	85,448	2,252	2,252	2.64 %	100.00 %
	Mebane (Alamance)	85,448	14,626	14,626	17.12 %	100.00 %
	Swepsonville	85,448	2,445	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
64	Alamance	85,967	988	988	1.15 %	100.00 %
	Burlington (Alamance)	85,967	55,481	26,779	31.15 %	48.27 %
	Elon	85,967	11,336	11,336	13.19 %	100.00 %
	Gibsonville (Alamance)	85,967	4,278	4,278	4.98 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: House2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
64	Graham	85,967	17,157	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Ossipee	85,967	536	536	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Swepsonville	85,967	2,445	2,445	2.84 %	100.00 %
65	Eden	81,546	15,421	15,421	18.91 %	100.00 %
	Reidsville	81,546	14,583	14,583	17.88 %	100.00 %
	Stoneville	81,546	1,308	1,308	1.60 %	100.00 %
	Wentworth	81,546	2,662	2,662	3.26 %	100.00 %
66	Albemarle	77,768	16,432	3,062	3.94 %	18.63 %
	Badin	77,768	2,024	2,024	2.60 %	100.00 %
	Biscoe	77,768	1,848	1,848	2.38 %	100.00 %
	Candor (Montgomery)	77,768	813	813	1.05 %	100.00 %
	Dobbins Heights	77,768	687	687	0.88 %	100.00 %
	Ellerbe	77,768	864	864	1.11 %	100.00 %
	Hamlet	77,768	6,025	6,025	7.75 %	100.00 %
	Hoffman	77,768	418	418	0.54 %	100.00 %
	Mount Gilead	77,768	1,171	1,171	1.51 %	100.00 %
	Norman	77,768	100	100	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Rockingham	77,768	9,243	9,243	11.89 %	100.00 %
	Star	77,768	806	806	1.04 %	100.00 %
Troy	77,768	2,850	2,850	3.66 %	100.00 %	
67	Albemarle	86,888	16,432	13,370	15.39 %	81.37 %
	Concord	86,888	105,240	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Locust (Cabarrus)	86,888	541	541	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Locust (Stanly)	86,888	3,996	3,996	4.60 %	100.00 %
	Midland (Cabarrus)	86,888	4,684	4,680	5.39 %	99.91 %
	Misenheimer	86,888	650	650	0.75 %	100.00 %
	Mount Pleasant	86,888	1,671	1,671	1.92 %	100.00 %
	New London	86,888	607	607	0.70 %	100.00 %
	Norwood	86,888	2,367	2,367	2.72 %	100.00 %
	Oakboro	86,888	2,128	2,128	2.45 %	100.00 %
	Red Cross	86,888	762	762	0.88 %	100.00 %
	Richfield	86,888	582	582	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Rockwell	86,888	2,302	2,302	2.65 %	100.00 %
Stanfield	86,888	1,585	1,585	1.82 %	100.00 %	
68	Indian Trail	101,167	39,997	17,412	17.21 %	43.53 %
	Marvin	101,167	6,358	6,358	6.28 %	100.00 %
	Mineral Springs	101,167	3,159	3,112	3.08 %	98.51 %
	Monroe	101,167	34,562	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Stallings (Union)	101,167	15,728	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Waxhaw	101,167	20,534	20,534	20.30 %	100.00 %
	Weddington (Union)	101,167	13,176	13,172	13.02 %	99.97 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: House2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
68	Wesley Chapel	101,167	8,681	7,513	7.43 %	86.55 %
69	Fairview	85,179	3,456	3,456	4.06 %	100.00 %
	Hemby Bridge	85,179	1,614	1,614	1.89 %	100.00 %
	Indian Trail	85,179	39,997	22,585	26.51 %	56.47 %
	Lake Park	85,179	3,269	3,269	3.84 %	100.00 %
	Mint Hill (Union)	85,179	6	6	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Monroe	85,179	34,562	21,912	25.72 %	63.40 %
	Stallings (Union)	85,179	15,728	15,728	18.46 %	100.00 %
	Unionville	85,179	6,643	6,643	7.80 %	100.00 %
	Weddington (Union)	85,179	13,176	4	0.00 %	0.03 %
70	Archdale (Randolph)	78,536	11,527	11,527	14.68 %	100.00 %
	Asheboro	78,536	27,156	22,928	29.19 %	84.43 %
	High Point (Randolph)	78,536	8	8	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Randleman	78,536	4,595	4,595	5.85 %	100.00 %
	Thomasville (Randolph)	78,536	521	521	0.66 %	100.00 %
	Trinity	78,536	7,006	7,006	8.92 %	100.00 %
71	Kernersville (Forsyth)	81,654	25,947	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Walkertown	81,654	5,692	3,176	3.89 %	55.80 %
	Winston-Salem	81,654	249,545	74,411	91.13 %	29.82 %
72	Winston-Salem	85,013	249,545	85,013	100.00 %	34.07 %
73	Bethania	77,134	344	344	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Boonville	77,134	1,185	1,185	1.54 %	100.00 %
	East Bend	77,134	634	634	0.82 %	100.00 %
	Jonesville	77,134	2,308	2,308	2.99 %	100.00 %
	King (Forsyth)	77,134	591	591	0.77 %	100.00 %
	Rural Hall	77,134	3,351	3,351	4.34 %	100.00 %
	Tobaccoville (Forsyth)	77,134	2,578	2,578	3.34 %	100.00 %
	Winston-Salem	77,134	249,545	25,055	32.48 %	10.04 %
	Yadkinville	77,134	2,995	2,995	3.88 %	100.00 %
74	Bethania	91,726	344	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Clemmons	91,726	21,163	21,163	23.07 %	100.00 %
	Lewisville	91,726	13,381	13,381	14.59 %	100.00 %
	Winston-Salem	91,726	249,545	42,191	46.00 %	16.91 %
75	High Point (Forsyth)	84,277	84	84	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Kernersville (Forsyth)	84,277	25,947	25,947	30.79 %	100.00 %
	Walkertown	84,277	5,692	2,516	2.99 %	44.20 %
	Winston-Salem	84,277	249,545	22,875	27.14 %	9.17 %
76	China Grove	87,730	4,434	4,372	4.98 %	98.60 %
	East Spencer	87,730	1,567	1,567	1.79 %	100.00 %
	Faith	87,730	819	819	0.93 %	100.00 %
	Granite Quarry	87,730	2,984	2,984	3.40 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: House2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
76	Landis	87,730	3,690	11	0.01 %	0.30 %
	Salisbury	87,730	35,540	35,540	40.51 %	100.00 %
	Spencer	87,730	3,308	3,308	3.77 %	100.00 %
77	Bermuda Run	87,496	3,120	3,120	3.57 %	100.00 %
	China Grove	87,496	4,434	62	0.07 %	1.40 %
	Cleveland	87,496	846	846	0.97 %	100.00 %
	Cooleemee	87,496	940	940	1.07 %	100.00 %
	Kannapolis (Rowan)	87,496	10,268	10,268	11.74 %	100.00 %
	Landis	87,496	3,690	3,679	4.20 %	99.70 %
	Mocksville	87,496	5,900	5,900	6.74 %	100.00 %
78	Asheboro	77,223	27,156	4,228	5.48 %	15.57 %
	Carthage	77,223	2,775	2,747	3.56 %	98.99 %
	Franklinville	77,223	1,197	1,197	1.55 %	100.00 %
	Liberty	77,223	2,655	2,655	3.44 %	100.00 %
	Ramseur	77,223	1,774	1,774	2.30 %	100.00 %
	Robbins	77,223	1,168	20	0.03 %	1.71 %
	Seagrove	77,223	235	235	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Staley	77,223	397	397	0.51 %	100.00 %
79	Aurora	71,485	455	455	0.64 %	100.00 %
	Bath	71,485	245	245	0.34 %	100.00 %
	Belhaven	71,485	1,410	1,410	1.97 %	100.00 %
	Bridgeton	71,485	349	349	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Chocowinity	71,485	722	722	1.01 %	100.00 %
	Cove City	71,485	378	378	0.53 %	100.00 %
	Dover	71,485	349	349	0.49 %	100.00 %
	New Bern	71,485	31,291	2,769	3.87 %	8.85 %
	Pantego	71,485	164	164	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Vanceboro	71,485	869	869	1.22 %	100.00 %
	Washington	71,485	9,875	9,875	13.81 %	100.00 %
	Washington Park	71,485	392	392	0.55 %	100.00 %
80	Denton	83,785	1,494	1,494	1.78 %	100.00 %
	High Point (Davidson)	83,785	6,646	6,646	7.93 %	100.00 %
	Lexington	83,785	19,632	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Midway	83,785	4,742	3,469	4.14 %	73.15 %
	Thomasville (Davidson)	83,785	26,662	25,647	30.61 %	96.19 %
	Wallburg	83,785	3,051	3,051	3.64 %	100.00 %
81	Lexington	85,145	19,632	19,632	23.06 %	100.00 %
	Midway	85,145	4,742	1,273	1.50 %	26.85 %
	Thomasville (Davidson)	85,145	26,662	1,015	1.19 %	3.81 %
82	Concord	102,107	105,240	41,536	40.68 %	39.47 %
	Kannapolis (Cabarrus)	102,107	42,846	42,846	41.96 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: House2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
83	Concord	104,603	105,240	63,704	60.90 %	60.53 %
	Harrisburg	104,603	18,967	18,967	18.13 %	100.00 %
	Midland (Cabarrus)	104,603	4,684	4	0.00 %	0.09 %
84	Harmony	81,781	543	543	0.66 %	100.00 %
	Love Valley	81,781	154	154	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Statesville	81,781	28,419	23,430	28.65 %	82.44 %
	Troutman	81,781	3,698	2,811	3.44 %	76.01 %
85	Bakersville	77,287	450	450	0.58 %	100.00 %
	Banner Elk	77,287	1,049	1,049	1.36 %	100.00 %
	Beech Mountain (Avery)	77,287	62	62	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Crossnore	77,287	143	143	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Elk Park	77,287	542	542	0.70 %	100.00 %
	Grandfather Village	77,287	95	95	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Marion	77,287	7,717	7,717	9.98 %	100.00 %
	Newland	77,287	715	715	0.93 %	100.00 %
	Old Fort	77,287	811	811	1.05 %	100.00 %
	Seven Devils (Avery)	77,287	38	38	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Spruce Pine	77,287	2,194	2,194	2.84 %	100.00 %
	Sugar Mountain	77,287	371	371	0.48 %	100.00 %
86	Connelly Springs	76,959	1,529	1,529	1.99 %	100.00 %
	Drexel	76,959	1,760	1,760	2.29 %	100.00 %
	Glen Alpine	76,959	1,529	1,529	1.99 %	100.00 %
	Hickory (Burke)	76,959	79	79	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Hildebran	76,959	1,679	1,679	2.18 %	100.00 %
	Long View (Burke)	76,959	735	735	0.96 %	100.00 %
	Morganton	76,959	17,474	17,474	22.71 %	100.00 %
	Rhodhiss (Burke)	76,959	639	639	0.83 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford College (Burke)	76,959	1,226	1,226	1.59 %	100.00 %
Valdese	76,959	4,689	4,689	6.09 %	100.00 %	
87	Blowing Rock (Caldwell)	80,652	91	91	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Cajah's Mountain	80,652	2,722	2,722	3.37 %	100.00 %
	Cedar Rock	80,652	301	301	0.37 %	100.00 %
	Gamewell	80,652	3,702	3,702	4.59 %	100.00 %
	Granite Falls	80,652	4,965	4,965	6.16 %	100.00 %
	Hickory (Caldwell)	80,652	32	32	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Hudson	80,652	3,780	3,780	4.69 %	100.00 %
	Lenoir	80,652	18,352	18,352	22.75 %	100.00 %
	Rhodhiss (Caldwell)	80,652	358	358	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford College (Caldwell)	80,652	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Sawmills	80,652	5,020	5,020	6.22 %	100.00 %	
88	Charlotte	99,273	874,579	99,273	100.00 %	11.35 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: House2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
89	Brookford	80,115	442	377	0.47 %	85.29 %
	Catawba	80,115	702	702	0.88 %	100.00 %
	Claremont	80,115	1,692	1,692	2.11 %	100.00 %
	Conover	80,115	8,421	424	0.53 %	5.04 %
	Hickory (Catawba)	80,115	43,379	5,524	6.90 %	12.73 %
	Maiden (Catawba)	80,115	3,736	3,736	4.66 %	100.00 %
	Newton	80,115	13,148	13,148	16.41 %	100.00 %
90	Dobson	79,437	1,462	1,313	1.65 %	89.81 %
	Elkin (Surry)	79,437	4,049	4,049	5.10 %	100.00 %
	Mount Airy	79,437	10,676	10,676	13.44 %	100.00 %
	North Wilkesboro	79,437	4,382	11	0.01 %	0.25 %
	Ronda	79,437	438	438	0.55 %	100.00 %
	Sparta	79,437	1,834	1,834	2.31 %	100.00 %
	Wilkesboro	79,437	3,687	10	0.01 %	0.27 %
91	Danbury	78,279	189	189	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Dobson	78,279	1,462	149	0.19 %	10.19 %
	King (Stokes)	78,279	6,606	6,606	8.44 %	100.00 %
	Madison	78,279	2,129	2,129	2.72 %	100.00 %
	Mayodan	78,279	2,418	2,418	3.09 %	100.00 %
	Pilot Mountain	78,279	1,440	1,440	1.84 %	100.00 %
	Tobaccoville (Stokes)	78,279	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Walnut Cove	78,279	1,586	1,586	2.03 %	100.00 %
92	Charlotte	103,229	874,579	81,841	79.28 %	9.36 %
93	Beech Mountain (Watauga)	80,663	613	613	0.76 %	100.00 %
	Blowing Rock (Watauga)	80,663	1,285	1,285	1.59 %	100.00 %
	Boone	80,663	19,092	19,092	23.67 %	100.00 %
	Jefferson	80,663	1,622	1,622	2.01 %	100.00 %
	Lansing	80,663	126	126	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Seven Devils (Watauga)	80,663	275	275	0.34 %	100.00 %
	West Jefferson	80,663	1,279	1,279	1.59 %	100.00 %
94	Elkin (Wilkes)	81,014	73	73	0.09 %	100.00 %
	North Wilkesboro	81,014	4,382	4,371	5.40 %	99.75 %
	Taylorsville	81,014	2,320	2,320	2.86 %	100.00 %
	Wilkesboro	81,014	3,687	3,677	4.54 %	99.73 %
95	Davidson (Iredell)	104,912	378	378	0.36 %	100.00 %
	Mooreville	104,912	50,193	50,193	47.84 %	100.00 %
	Statesville	104,912	28,419	4,989	4.76 %	17.56 %
	Troutman	104,912	3,698	887	0.85 %	23.99 %
96	Brookford	80,495	442	65	0.08 %	14.71 %
	Conover	80,495	8,421	7,997	9.93 %	94.96 %
	Hickory (Catawba)	80,495	43,379	37,855	47.03 %	87.27 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: House2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
96	Long View (Catawba)	80,495	4,353	4,353	5.41 %	100.00 %
	Newton	80,495	13,148	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
97	Lincolnton	86,810	11,091	11,091	12.78 %	100.00 %
	Maiden (Lincoln)	86,810	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
98	Charlotte	104,798	874,579	4	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Cornelius	104,798	31,412	31,412	29.97 %	100.00 %
	Davidson (Mecklenburg)	104,798	14,728	14,728	14.05 %	100.00 %
	Huntersville	104,798	61,376	55,483	52.94 %	90.40 %
99	Charlotte	90,735	874,579	54,304	59.85 %	6.21 %
	Midland (Mecklenburg)	90,735	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Mint Hill (Mecklenburg)	90,735	26,444	22,445	24.74 %	84.88 %
	Stallings (Mecklenburg)	90,735	384	373	0.41 %	97.14 %
100	Charlotte	82,510	874,579	82,510	100.00 %	9.43 %
101	Charlotte	89,013	874,579	70,953	79.71 %	8.11 %
	Huntersville	89,013	61,376	5,893	6.62 %	9.60 %
102	Charlotte	90,638	874,579	90,638	100.00 %	10.36 %
103	Charlotte	87,202	874,579	52,640	60.37 %	6.02 %
	Matthews	87,202	29,435	29,435	33.75 %	100.00 %
	Mint Hill (Mecklenburg)	87,202	26,444	3,999	4.59 %	15.12 %
	Stallings (Mecklenburg)	87,202	384	11	0.01 %	2.86 %
	Weddington (Mecklenburg)	87,202	5	5	0.01 %	100.00 %
104	Charlotte	82,606	874,579	82,606	100.00 %	9.45 %
105	Charlotte	92,400	874,579	77,734	84.13 %	8.89 %
	Pineville	92,400	10,602	10,602	11.47 %	100.00 %
106	Charlotte	99,617	874,579	95,427	95.79 %	10.91 %
107	Charlotte	93,461	874,579	86,649	92.71 %	9.91 %
108	Belmont	83,912	15,010	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Dallas	83,912	5,927	5,927	7.06 %	100.00 %
	Gastonia	83,912	80,411	23,860	28.43 %	29.67 %
	Lowell	83,912	3,654	3,654	4.35 %	100.00 %
	Mount Holly	83,912	17,703	17,084	20.36 %	96.50 %
	Ranlo	83,912	4,511	4,511	5.38 %	100.00 %
	Spencer Mountain	83,912	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Stanley	83,912	3,963	3,946	4.70 %	99.57 %
109	Belmont	88,784	15,010	15,010	16.91 %	100.00 %
	Cramerton	88,784	5,296	5,296	5.97 %	100.00 %
	Gastonia	88,784	80,411	42,129	47.45 %	52.39 %
	Lowell	88,784	3,654	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	McAdenville	88,784	890	890	1.00 %	100.00 %
	Mount Holly	88,784	17,703	619	0.70 %	3.50 %
110	Belwood	77,182	857	857	1.11 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: House2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
110	Bessemer City	77,182	5,428	5,428	7.03 %	100.00 %
	Casar	77,182	305	305	0.40 %	100.00 %
	Cherryville	77,182	6,078	6,078	7.87 %	100.00 %
	Dellview	77,182	6	6	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Fallston	77,182	627	627	0.81 %	100.00 %
	Gastonia	77,182	80,411	14,422	18.69 %	17.94 %
	High Shoals	77,182	595	595	0.77 %	100.00 %
	Kings Mountain (Cleveland)	77,182	10,032	8	0.01 %	0.08 %
	Kings Mountain (Gaston)	77,182	1,110	1,110	1.44 %	100.00 %
	Kingstown	77,182	656	656	0.85 %	100.00 %
	Polkville	77,182	516	516	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Stanley	77,182	3,963	17	0.02 %	0.43 %
	Waco	77,182	310	310	0.40 %	100.00 %
111	Boiling Springs	77,584	4,615	4,615	5.95 %	100.00 %
	Earl	77,584	198	198	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Grover	77,584	802	802	1.03 %	100.00 %
	Kings Mountain (Cleveland)	77,584	10,032	10,024	12.92 %	99.92 %
	Lattimore	77,584	406	406	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Lawndale	77,584	570	570	0.73 %	100.00 %
	Moorestown	77,584	293	293	0.38 %	100.00 %
	Patterson Springs	77,584	571	571	0.74 %	100.00 %
	Shelby	77,584	21,918	21,918	28.25 %	100.00 %
112	Bostic	75,055	355	355	0.47 %	100.00 %
	Chimney Rock Village	75,055	140	140	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Ellenboro	75,055	723	723	0.96 %	100.00 %
	Forest City	75,055	7,377	7,377	9.83 %	100.00 %
	Lake Lure	75,055	1,365	1,365	1.82 %	100.00 %
	Ruth	75,055	347	347	0.46 %	100.00 %
	Rutherfordton	75,055	3,640	3,640	4.85 %	100.00 %
	Spindale	75,055	4,225	4,225	5.63 %	100.00 %
113	Brevard	82,493	7,744	7,744	9.39 %	100.00 %
	Columbus	82,493	1,060	1,060	1.28 %	100.00 %
	Flat Rock	82,493	3,486	3,486	4.23 %	100.00 %
	Hendersonville	82,493	15,137	623	0.76 %	4.12 %
	Laurel Park	82,493	2,250	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Mills River	82,493	7,078	305	0.37 %	4.31 %
	Rosman	82,493	701	701	0.85 %	100.00 %
	Saluda (Henderson)	82,493	11	11	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Saluda (Polk)	82,493	620	620	0.75 %	100.00 %
	Tryon	82,493	1,562	1,562	1.89 %	100.00 %
114	Asheville	89,378	94,589	24,614	27.54 %	26.02 %

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: House2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
114	Weaverville	89,378	4,567	4,567	5.11 %	100.00 %
	Woodfin	89,378	7,936	7,936	8.88 %	100.00 %
115	Asheville	84,781	94,589	28,870	34.05 %	30.52 %
	Black Mountain	84,781	8,426	8,426	9.94 %	100.00 %
	Montreat	84,781	901	901	1.06 %	100.00 %
116	Asheville	95,293	94,589	41,105	43.14 %	43.46 %
	Biltmore Forest	95,293	1,409	1,409	1.48 %	100.00 %
117	Fletcher	86,102	7,987	7,987	9.28 %	100.00 %
	Hendersonville	86,102	15,137	14,514	16.86 %	95.88 %
	Laurel Park	86,102	2,250	2,250	2.61 %	100.00 %
	Mills River	86,102	7,078	6,773	7.87 %	95.69 %
118	Burnsville	79,261	1,614	1,614	2.04 %	100.00 %
	Canton	79,261	4,422	4,422	5.58 %	100.00 %
	Clyde	79,261	1,368	1,368	1.73 %	100.00 %
	Hot Springs	79,261	520	520	0.66 %	100.00 %
	Maggie Valley	79,261	1,687	1,587	2.00 %	94.07 %
	Mars Hill	79,261	2,007	2,007	2.53 %	100.00 %
	Marshall	79,261	777	777	0.98 %	100.00 %
119	Bryson City	79,717	1,558	1,558	1.95 %	100.00 %
	Dillsboro	79,717	213	213	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Forest Hills	79,717	303	303	0.38 %	100.00 %
	Highlands (Jackson)	79,717	12	12	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Maggie Valley	79,717	1,687	100	0.13 %	5.93 %
	Sylva	79,717	2,578	2,578	3.23 %	100.00 %
	Waynesville	79,717	10,140	10,140	12.72 %	100.00 %
	Webster	79,717	372	372	0.47 %	100.00 %
120	Andrews	84,907	1,667	1,667	1.96 %	100.00 %
	Fontana Dam	84,907	13	13	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Franklin	84,907	4,175	4,175	4.92 %	100.00 %
	Hayesville	84,907	461	461	0.54 %	100.00 %
	Highlands (Macon)	84,907	1,060	1,060	1.25 %	100.00 %
	Lake Santeetlah	84,907	38	38	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Murphy	84,907	1,608	1,608	1.89 %	100.00 %
	Robbinsville	84,907	597	597	0.70 %	100.00 %
Total:				6,017,605		

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report**District Plan: House2020**

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
1	Bertie	12	0
	Camden	3	0
	Chowan	6	0
	Perquimans	7	0
	Tyrrell	6	0
	Washington	6	0
2	Granville	7	2
	Person	11	0
3	Craven	12	2
4	Duplin	19	0
	Onslow	4	0
5	Gates	6	0
	Hertford	13	0
	Pasquotank	9	0
6	Currituck	11	0
	Dare	16	0
	Hyde	7	0
	Pamlico	10	0
7	Franklin	18	0
	Nash	4	1
8	Pitt	18	1
9	Pitt	16	2
10	Greene	10	0
	Johnston	2	1
	Wayne	10	1
11	Wake	14	5
12	Lenoir	22	0
	Pitt	4	1
13	Carteret	28	0
	Jones	7	0
14	Onslow	8	2
15	Onslow	10	2
16	Columbus	14	1
	Pender	20	0
17	Brunswick	15	3
18	New Hanover	16	1
19	Brunswick	7	3
	New Hanover	10	0
20	New Hanover	16	1
21	Sampson	5	1
	Wayne	17	1
22	Bladen	17	0
	Sampson	17	1

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Based on TIGER 2020 VTDs

[G20-VTD-Sbd] - Generated 9/22/2021

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report**District Plan: House2020**

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
23	Edgecombe	21	0
	Martin	13	0
24	Wilson	24	0
25	Nash	19	1
26	Johnston	16	1
27	Halifax	23	0
	Northampton	13	0
28	Harnett	0	1
	Johnston	16	2
29	Durham	21	1
30	Durham	16	0
31	Durham	16	1
32	Granville	6	2
	Vance	12	0
	Warren	14	0
33	Wake	18	2
34	Wake	26	1
35	Wake	17	4
36	Wake	15	5
37	Wake	14	4
38	Wake	17	0
39	Wake	14	1
40	Wake	11	8
41	Wake	12	9
42	Cumberland	13	1
43	Cumberland	25	3
44	Cumberland	17	3
45	Cumberland	15	5
46	Columbus	11	1
	Robeson	16	0
47	Robeson	23	0
48	Hoke	15	0
	Scotland	7	0
49	Wake	22	9
50	Caswell	9	0
	Orange	17	2
51	Harnett	1	1
	Lee	10	0
52	Moore	22	2
53	Harnett	10	2
54	Chatham	18	0
	Durham	3	0

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Based on TIGER 2020 VTDs

[G20-VTD-SbD] - Generated 9/22/2021

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report**District Plan: House2020**

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
55	Anson	9	0
	Union	15	0
56	Orange	22	2
57	Guilford	27	0
58	Guilford	22	2
59	Guilford	25	1
60	Guilford	25	4
61	Guilford	32	1
62	Guilford	29	2
63	Alamance	18	1
64	Alamance	18	1
65	Rockingham	13	1
66	Montgomery	14	0
	Richmond	16	0
	Stanly	4	0
67	Cabarrus	4	0
	Rowan	5	0
	Stanly	18	0
68	Union	17	1
69	Union	19	1
70	Randolph	10	0
71	Forsyth	19	1
72	Forsyth	30	1
73	Forsyth	10	1
	Yadkin	12	0
74	Forsyth	21	0
75	Forsyth	19	1
76	Rowan	23	1
77	Davie	14	0
	Rowan	12	1
78	Moore	2	2
	Randolph	12	0
79	Beaufort	21	0
	Craven	7	2
80	Davidson	21	1
81	Davidson	21	1
82	Cabarrus	16	1
83	Cabarrus	19	1
84	Iredell	15	5
85	Avery	19	0
	McDowell	17	0
	Mitchell	9	0
86	Burke	27	1

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Based on TIGER 2020 VTDs

[G20-VTD-SbD] - Generated 9/22/2021

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report**District Plan: House2020**

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
87	Caldwell	20	0
88	Mecklenburg	23	0
89	Catawba	20	0
90	Alleghany	4	0
	Surry	13	1
	Wilkes	10	3
91	Rockingham	1	1
	Stokes	18	0
	Surry	10	1
92	Mecklenburg	13	0
93	Ashe	17	0
	Watauga	20	0
94	Alexander	10	0
	Wilkes	14	3
95	Iredell	9	5
96	Catawba	20	0
97	Lincoln	23	0
98	Mecklenburg	12	0
99	Mecklenburg	16	0
100	Mecklenburg	21	0
101	Mecklenburg	12	2
102	Mecklenburg	19	0
103	Mecklenburg	17	0
104	Mecklenburg	24	0
105	Mecklenburg	14	0
106	Mecklenburg	11	1
107	Mecklenburg	10	3
108	Gaston	17	1
109	Gaston	16	0
110	Cleveland	7	0
	Gaston	12	1
111	Cleveland	14	0
112	Burke	5	1
	Rutherford	17	0
113	Henderson	10	1
	Polk	7	0
	Transylvania	15	0
114	Buncombe	24	1
115	Buncombe	31	0
116	Buncombe	23	1
117	Henderson	23	1

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: House2020

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
118	Haywood	18	1
	Madison	12	0
	Yancey	11	0
119	Haywood	10	1
	Jackson	13	0
	Swain	5	0
120	Cherokee	16	0
	Clay	9	0
	Graham	4	0
	Macon	15	0
Total:		2,581	85

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report**District Plan: House2020**

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Alamance	36	1
Alexander	10	0
Alleghany	4	0
Anson	9	0
Ashe	17	0
Avery	19	0
Beaufort	21	0
Bertie	12	0
Bladen	17	0
Brunswick	22	3
Buncombe	78	1
Burke	32	1
Cabarrus	39	1
Caldwell	20	0
Camden	3	0
Carteret	28	0
Caswell	9	0
Catawba	40	0
Chatham	18	0
Cherokee	16	0
Chowan	6	0
Clay	9	0
Cleveland	21	0
Columbus	25	1
Craven	19	2
Cumberland	70	6
Currituck	11	0
Dare	16	0
Davidson	42	1
Davie	14	0
Duplin	19	0
Durham	56	1
Edgecombe	21	0
Forsyth	99	2
Franklin	18	0
Gaston	45	1
Gates	6	0
Graham	4	0
Granville	13	2
Greene	10	0
Guilford	160	5
Halifax	23	0
Harnett	11	2

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Based on TIGER 2020 VTDs

[G20-VTD-Sbc] - Generated 9/22/2021

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report**District Plan: House2020**

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Haywood	28	1
Henderson	33	1
Hertford	13	0
Hoke	15	0
Hyde	7	0
Iredell	24	5
Jackson	13	0
Johnston	34	2
Jones	7	0
Lee	10	0
Lenoir	22	0
Lincoln	23	0
Macon	15	0
Madison	12	0
Martin	13	0
McDowell	17	0
Mecklenburg	192	3
Mitchell	9	0
Montgomery	14	0
Moore	24	2
Nash	23	1
New Hanover	42	1
Northampton	13	0
Onslow	22	2
Orange	39	2
Pamlico	10	0
Pasquotank	9	0
Pender	20	0
Perquimans	7	0
Person	11	0
Pitt	38	2
Polk	7	0
Randolph	22	0
Richmond	16	0
Robeson	39	0
Rockingham	14	1
Rowan	40	1
Rutherford	17	0
Sampson	22	1
Scotland	7	0
Stanly	22	0
Stokes	18	0
Surry	23	1

District plan definition file: 'House2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:05 AM

Based on TIGER 2020 VTDs

[G20-VTD-Sbc] - Generated 9/22/2021

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: House2020

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Swain	5	0
Transylvania	15	0
Tyrrell	6	0
Union	51	1
Vance	12	0
Wake	180	24
Warren	14	0
Washington	6	0
Watauga	20	0
Wayne	27	1
Wilkes	24	3
Wilson	24	0
Yadkin	12	0
Yancey	11	0
Totals:	2,581	85

Split VTD Detail Report

District Plan: House2020

County	VTD	District	Total VTD Population	VTD Pop in District	Percent of VTD Pop in District
Alamance	103	63	4,617	4,210	91.18 %
		64	4,617	407	8.82 %
Brunswick	007	17	4,116	0	0.00 %
		19	4,116	4,116	100.00 %
	015	17	8,144	8,144	100.00 %
		19	8,144	0	0.00 %
	10B	17	5,896	0	0.00 %
		19	5,896	5,896	100.00 %
Buncombe	43.2	114	2,838	2,825	99.54 %
		116	2,838	13	0.46 %
Burke	036	86	2,646	2,646	100.00 %
		112	2,646	0	0.00 %
Cabarrus	12-13	82	8,006	5,372	67.10 %
		83	8,006	2,634	32.90 %
Columbus	P24	16	2,786	0	0.00 %
		46	2,786	2,786	100.00 %
Craven	CLR4	3	3,021	1,489	49.29 %
		79	3,021	1,532	50.71 %
	N4	3	7,914	5,445	68.80 %
		79	7,914	2,469	31.20 %
Cumberland	AH49	44	5,381	5,357	99.55 %
		45	5,381	24	0.45 %
	CC01	43	3,736	3,736	100.00 %
		45	3,736	0	0.00 %
	CL57-1	42	4,586	0	0.00 %
		44	4,586	4,586	100.00 %
	G3C	43	2,048	0	0.00 %
		45	2,048	2,048	100.00 %
	G4B	43	2,684	2,684	100.00 %
		45	2,684	0	0.00 %
G8A	44	5,969	80	1.34 %	
	45	5,969	5,889	98.66 %	
Davidson	058	80	4,578	0	0.00 %
		81	4,578	4,578	100.00 %
Durham	34-2	29	8,371	5,929	70.83 %
		31	8,371	2,442	29.17 %
Forsyth	033	73	4,360	4,303	98.69 %
		75	4,360	57	1.31 %
	902	71	2,835	0	0.00 %
		72	2,835	2,835	100.00 %
Gaston	039	108	5,326	2,477	46.51 %
		110	5,326	2,849	53.49 %

Split VTD Detail Report

District Plan: House2020

County	VTD	District	Total VTD Population	VTD Pop in District	Percent of VTD Pop in District
Granville	CORI	2	3,321	3,321	100.00 %
		32	3,321	0	0.00 %
	SOOX	2	2,455	220	8.96 %
		32	2,455	2,235	91.04 %
Guilford	G61	58	3,717	3,711	99.84 %
		60	3,717	6	0.16 %
	G72	59	4,141	0	0.00 %
		61	4,141	4,141	100.00 %
	H18	60	3,255	3,255	100.00 %
		62	3,255	0	0.00 %
	JAM2	58	2,368	0	0.00 %
		60	2,368	2,368	100.00 %
	SDRI	60	8,139	0	0.00 %
		62	8,139	8,139	100.00 %
Harnett	PR07	51	24,663	19,358	78.49 %
		53	24,663	5,305	21.51 %
	PR08	28	11,588	5,940	51.26 %
		53	11,588	5,648	48.74 %
Haywood	IH	118	4,834	4,213	87.15 %
		119	4,834	621	12.85 %
Henderson	SB	113	4,535	0	0.00 %
		117	4,535	4,535	100.00 %
Iredell	BA	84	7,790	10	0.13 %
		95	7,790	7,780	99.87 %
	CH-A	84	3,552	3,552	100.00 %
		95	3,552	0	0.00 %
	FT	84	10,027	10,020	99.93 %
		95	10,027	7	0.07 %
	ST1	84	6,181	6,146	99.43 %
		95	6,181	35	0.57 %
ST6	84	6,768	0	0.00 %	
	95	6,768	6,768	100.00 %	
Johnston	PR04	10	1,980	450	22.73 %
		28	1,980	1,530	77.27 %
	PR26	26	4,391	238	5.42 %
		28	4,391	4,153	94.58 %
Mecklenburg	025	101	2,987	0	0.00 %
		107	2,987	2,987	100.00 %
	211	101	16,442	2,981	18.13 %
		107	16,442	13,461	81.87 %
	214	106	5,108	0	0.00 %
		107	5,108	5,108	100.00 %

Split VTD Detail Report

District Plan: House2020

County	VTD	District	Total VTD Population	VTD Pop in District	Percent of VTD Pop in District
Moore	RBN	52	2,590	2,307	89.07 %
		78	2,590	283	10.93 %
	WEM	52	2,798	1,682	60.11 %
		78	2,798	1,116	39.89 %
Nash	P03A	7	2,932	66	2.25 %
		25	2,932	2,866	97.75 %
New Hanover	H05	18	4,590	4,590	100.00 %
		20	4,590	0	0.00 %
Onslow	BM08	14	12,241	12,241	100.00 %
		15	12,241	0	0.00 %
	NE22A	14	8,051	8,051	100.00 %
		15	8,051	0	0.00 %
Orange	RE	50	4,796	3,360	70.06 %
		56	4,796	1,436	29.94 %
	SJ	50	3,702	3,702	100.00 %
		56	3,702	0	0.00 %
Pitt	1512A	8	9,256	9,256	100.00 %
		9	9,256	0	0.00 %
	1513B	9	4,008	1,229	30.66 %
		12	4,008	2,779	69.34 %
Rockingham	HU	65	6,386	6,157	96.41 %
		91	6,386	229	3.59 %
Rowan	19A	76	6,329	0	0.00 %
		77	6,329	6,329	100.00 %
Sampson	CLEA	21	4,468	2,477	55.44 %
		22	4,468	1,991	44.56 %
Surry	029	90	5,254	5,221	99.37 %
		91	5,254	33	0.63 %
Union	040	68	5,535	5,535	100.00 %
		69	5,535	0	0.00 %
Wake	01-10	34	2,013	2,013	100.00 %
		49	2,013	0	0.00 %
	01-31	11	8,971	8,971	100.00 %
		49	8,971	0	0.00 %
	03-00	37	10,670	340	3.19 %
		41	10,670	10,330	96.81 %
	04-05	11	5,069	0	0.00 %
		49	5,069	5,069	100.00 %
	04-08	41	3,941	0	0.00 %
		49	3,941	3,941	100.00 %
	04-09	41	4,005	4,005	100.00 %
		49	4,005	0	0.00 %

Split VTD Detail Report

District Plan: House2020

County	VTD	District	Total VTD Population	VTD Pop in District	Percent of VTD Pop in District
Wake	04-16	11	2,773	105	3.79 %
		36	2,773	2,668	96.21 %
	04-18	41	4,551	1,502	33.00 %
		49	4,551	3,049	67.00 %
	04-19	36	2,449	2,372	96.86 %
		41	2,449	77	3.14 %
	05-03	40	8,411	0	0.00 %
		41	8,411	8,411	100.00 %
	05-07	40	14,766	9,603	65.03 %
		41	14,766	5,163	34.97 %
	07-10	40	7,926	3,131	39.50 %
		49	7,926	4,795	60.50 %
	08-02	40	5,529	3,531	63.86 %
		49	5,529	1,998	36.14 %
	08-10	40	4,203	1,297	30.86 %
		49	4,203	2,906	69.14 %
	10-02	35	7,693	933	12.13 %
		39	7,693	6,760	87.87 %
	15-01	33	6,482	19	0.29 %
		37	6,482	6,463	99.71 %
	15-02	33	9,513	3,044	32.00 %
		36	9,513	6,469	68.00 %
	18-04	11	6,758	3,576	52.92 %
		36	6,758	3,182	47.08 %
	18-08	11	6,727	3,094	45.99 %
		36	6,727	3,633	54.01 %
	19-03	35	5,124	0	0.00 %
		40	5,124	5,124	100.00 %
	19-05	35	4,530	0	0.00 %
		40	4,530	4,530	100.00 %
	19-15	35	4,425	4,406	99.57 %
		40	4,425	19	0.43 %
20-06A	37	8,740	6,715	76.83 %	
	41	8,740	2,025	23.17 %	
20-08	37	7,596	5,608	73.83 %	
	41	7,596	1,988	26.17 %	
Wayne	009	10	4,875	4,100	84.10 %
		21	4,875	775	15.90 %
Wilkes	102	90	2,147	4	0.19 %
		94	2,147	2,143	99.81 %
	109	90	1,101	1,101	100.00 %
		94	1,101	0	0.00 %

Split VTD Detail Report

District Plan: House2020






County	VTD	District	Total VTD Population	VTD Pop in District	Percent of VTD Pop in District
Wilkes	122	90	1,027	0	0.00 %
		94	1,027	1,027	100.00 %
Total:				483,051	

Number of split VTDs: 85

2021 NC Congressional Delegation


Districts

1	8	County
2	9	VTD
3	10	Insets only
4	11	Incumbent by Party
5	12	• Democrat
6	13	• Republican
7		




North Carolina 2020 Congressional Districts

Deviation from the Ideal Population based on the 2020 Census



	County
Percent Above or Below 2020 Ideal	
	10% to 15% above
	5% to 10% above
	0% to 5% above
	0% to -5% below
	-5% to -10% below
	-14.39% below



Produced by the NC General Assembly, Information Systems Division, 08/17/21. Based on districts defined in Session Law 2019-249, which were used for the 2020 election.

Population Deviation Report**District Plan: Congress2020**

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
1	1	803,030	687,507	-115,523	-14.39%
2	1	803,030	912,226	109,196	13.60%
3	1	803,030	745,810	-57,220	-7.13%
4	1	803,030	877,475	74,445	9.27%
5	1	803,030	747,588	-55,442	-6.90%
6	1	803,030	807,200	4,170	0.52%
7	1	803,030	823,916	20,886	2.60%
8	1	803,030	821,133	18,103	2.25%
9	1	803,030	786,276	-16,754	-2.09%
10	1	803,030	776,331	-26,699	-3.32%
11	1	803,030	782,246	-20,784	-2.59%
12	1	803,030	899,859	96,829	12.06%
13	1	803,030	771,821	-31,209	-3.89%
Totals:	13		10,439,388		

County - District Report**District Plan: Congress2020**

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Alamance	13	171,415	771,821	171,415	100.00 %	22.21 %
Alexander	5	36,444	747,588	36,444	100.00 %	4.87 %
Alleghany	5	10,888	747,588	10,888	100.00 %	1.46 %
Anson	9	22,055	786,276	22,055	100.00 %	2.80 %
Ashe	5	26,577	747,588	26,577	100.00 %	3.56 %
Avery	11	17,806	782,246	17,806	100.00 %	2.28 %
Beaufort	3	44,652	745,810	44,652	100.00 %	5.99 %
Bertie	1	17,934	687,507	17,934	100.00 %	2.61 %
Bladen	7	29,606	823,916	29,606	100.00 %	3.59 %
Brunswick	7	136,693	823,916	136,693	100.00 %	16.59 %
Buncombe	11	269,452	782,246	269,452	100.00 %	34.45 %
Burke	5	87,570	747,588	87,570	100.00 %	11.71 %
Cabarrus	8	225,804	821,133	225,804	100.00 %	27.50 %
Caldwell	5	80,652	747,588	80,652	100.00 %	10.79 %
Camden	3	10,355	745,810	10,355	100.00 %	1.39 %
Carteret	3	67,686	745,810	67,686	100.00 %	9.08 %
Caswell	13	22,736	771,821	22,736	100.00 %	2.95 %
Catawba	5	160,610	747,588	16,523	10.29 %	2.21 %
	10	160,610	776,331	144,087	89.71 %	18.56 %
Chatham	4	76,285	877,475	56,118	73.56 %	6.40 %
	13	76,285	771,821	20,167	26.44 %	2.61 %
Cherokee	11	28,774	782,246	28,774	100.00 %	3.68 %
Chowan	3	13,708	745,810	13,708	100.00 %	1.84 %
Clay	11	11,089	782,246	11,089	100.00 %	1.42 %
Cleveland	5	99,519	747,588	99,519	100.00 %	13.31 %
Columbus	7	50,623	823,916	50,623	100.00 %	6.14 %
Craven	3	100,720	745,810	100,720	100.00 %	13.50 %
Cumberland	8	334,728	821,133	334,728	100.00 %	40.76 %
Currituck	3	28,100	745,810	28,100	100.00 %	3.77 %
Dare	3	36,915	745,810	36,915	100.00 %	4.95 %
Davidson	13	168,930	771,821	168,930	100.00 %	21.89 %
Davie	13	42,712	771,821	42,712	100.00 %	5.53 %
Duplin	3	48,715	745,810	48,715	100.00 %	6.53 %
Durham	4	324,833	877,475	324,833	100.00 %	37.02 %
Edgecombe	1	48,900	687,507	48,900	100.00 %	7.11 %
Forsyth	6	382,590	807,200	265,901	69.50 %	32.94 %
	10	382,590	776,331	116,689	30.50 %	15.03 %
Franklin	4	68,573	877,475	68,573	100.00 %	7.81 %
Gaston	5	227,943	747,588	227,943	100.00 %	30.49 %
Gates	1	10,478	687,507	10,478	100.00 %	1.52 %
Graham	11	8,030	782,246	8,030	100.00 %	1.03 %
Granville	4	60,992	877,475	60,992	100.00 %	6.95 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File - North Carolina

Printed 9/22/2021 [G20-CntyDist]

County - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Greene	1	20,451	687,507	20,451	100.00 %	2.97 %
Guilford	6	541,299	807,200	541,299	100.00 %	67.06 %
Halifax	1	48,622	687,507	48,622	100.00 %	7.07 %
Harnett	7	133,568	823,916	46,054	34.48 %	5.59 %
	8	133,568	821,133	87,514	65.52 %	10.66 %
Haywood	11	62,089	782,246	62,089	100.00 %	7.94 %
Henderson	11	116,281	782,246	116,281	100.00 %	14.87 %
Hertford	1	21,552	687,507	21,552	100.00 %	3.13 %
Hoke	9	52,082	786,276	52,082	100.00 %	6.62 %
Hyde	3	4,589	745,810	4,589	100.00 %	0.62 %
Iredell	10	186,693	776,331	184,556	98.86 %	23.77 %
	13	186,693	771,821	2,137	1.14 %	0.28 %
Jackson	11	43,109	782,246	43,109	100.00 %	5.51 %
Johnston	7	215,999	823,916	215,999	100.00 %	26.22 %
Jones	3	9,172	745,810	9,172	100.00 %	1.23 %
Lee	8	63,285	821,133	49,704	78.54 %	6.05 %
	13	63,285	771,821	13,581	21.46 %	1.76 %
Lenoir	3	55,122	745,810	55,122	100.00 %	7.39 %
Lincoln	10	86,810	776,331	86,810	100.00 %	11.18 %
Macon	11	37,014	782,246	37,014	100.00 %	4.73 %
Madison	11	21,193	782,246	21,193	100.00 %	2.71 %
Martin	1	22,031	687,507	22,031	100.00 %	3.20 %
McDowell	11	44,578	782,246	44,578	100.00 %	5.70 %
Mecklenburg	9	1,115,482	786,276	215,623	19.33 %	27.42 %
	12	1,115,482	899,859	899,859	80.67 %	100.00 %
Mitchell	11	14,903	782,246	14,903	100.00 %	1.91 %
Montgomery	8	25,751	821,133	25,751	100.00 %	3.14 %
Moore	8	99,727	821,133	35,128	35.22 %	4.28 %
	9	99,727	786,276	64,599	64.78 %	8.22 %
Nash	1	94,970	687,507	94,970	100.00 %	13.81 %
New Hanover	7	225,702	823,916	225,702	100.00 %	27.39 %
Northampton	1	17,471	687,507	17,471	100.00 %	2.54 %
Onslow	3	204,576	745,810	204,576	100.00 %	27.43 %
Orange	4	148,696	877,475	148,696	100.00 %	16.95 %
Pamlico	3	12,276	745,810	12,276	100.00 %	1.65 %
Pasquotank	3	40,568	745,810	40,568	100.00 %	5.44 %
Pender	7	60,203	823,916	60,203	100.00 %	7.31 %
Perquimans	3	13,005	745,810	13,005	100.00 %	1.74 %
Person	13	39,097	771,821	39,097	100.00 %	5.07 %
Pitt	1	170,243	687,507	117,837	69.22 %	17.14 %
	3	170,243	745,810	52,406	30.78 %	7.03 %
Polk	11	19,328	782,246	19,328	100.00 %	2.47 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File - North Carolina

Printed 9/22/2021 [G20-CntyDist]

County - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Randolph	13	144,171	771,821	144,171	100.00 %	18.68 %
Richmond	9	42,946	786,276	42,946	100.00 %	5.46 %
Robeson	9	116,530	786,276	116,530	100.00 %	14.82 %
Rockingham	10	91,096	776,331	91,096	100.00 %	11.73 %
Rowan	13	146,875	771,821	146,875	100.00 %	19.03 %
Rutherford	5	64,444	747,588	41,417	64.27 %	5.54 %
	11	64,444	782,246	23,027	35.73 %	2.94 %
Sampson	7	59,036	823,916	59,036	100.00 %	7.17 %
Scotland	9	34,174	786,276	34,174	100.00 %	4.35 %
Stanly	8	62,504	821,133	62,504	100.00 %	7.61 %
Stokes	10	44,520	776,331	44,520	100.00 %	5.73 %
Surry	10	71,359	776,331	71,359	100.00 %	9.19 %
Swain	11	14,117	782,246	14,117	100.00 %	1.80 %
Transylvania	11	32,986	782,246	32,986	100.00 %	4.22 %
Tyrrell	3	3,245	745,810	3,245	100.00 %	0.44 %
Union	9	238,267	786,276	238,267	100.00 %	30.30 %
Vance	1	42,578	687,507	41,499	97.47 %	6.04 %
	4	42,578	877,475	1,079	2.53 %	0.12 %
Wake	2	1,129,410	912,226	912,226	80.77 %	100.00 %
	4	1,129,410	877,475	217,184	19.23 %	24.75 %
Warren	1	18,642	687,507	18,642	100.00 %	2.71 %
Washington	1	11,003	687,507	11,003	100.00 %	1.60 %
Watauga	5	54,086	747,588	54,086	100.00 %	7.23 %
Wayne	1	117,333	687,507	117,333	100.00 %	17.07 %
Wilkes	5	65,969	747,588	65,969	100.00 %	8.82 %
Wilson	1	78,784	687,507	78,784	100.00 %	11.46 %
Yadkin	10	37,214	776,331	37,214	100.00 %	4.79 %
Yancey	11	18,470	782,246	18,470	100.00 %	2.36 %
Total:				10,439,388		

Number of split counties: 12

Display: all counties

District - County Report

District Plan: Congress2020

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
1	Bertie	687,507	17,934	17,934	2.61 %	100.00 %
	Edgecombe	687,507	48,900	48,900	7.11 %	100.00 %
	Gates	687,507	10,478	10,478	1.52 %	100.00 %
	Greene	687,507	20,451	20,451	2.97 %	100.00 %
	Halifax	687,507	48,622	48,622	7.07 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	687,507	21,552	21,552	3.13 %	100.00 %
	Martin	687,507	22,031	22,031	3.20 %	100.00 %
	Nash	687,507	94,970	94,970	13.81 %	100.00 %
	Northampton	687,507	17,471	17,471	2.54 %	100.00 %
	Pitt	687,507	170,243	117,837	17.14 %	69.22 %
	Vance	687,507	42,578	41,499	6.04 %	97.47 %
	Warren	687,507	18,642	18,642	2.71 %	100.00 %
	Washington	687,507	11,003	11,003	1.60 %	100.00 %
	Wayne	687,507	117,333	117,333	17.07 %	100.00 %
Wilson	687,507	78,784	78,784	11.46 %	100.00 %	
2	Wake	912,226	1,129,410	912,226	100.00 %	80.77 %
3	Beaufort	745,810	44,652	44,652	5.99 %	100.00 %
	Camden	745,810	10,355	10,355	1.39 %	100.00 %
	Carteret	745,810	67,686	67,686	9.08 %	100.00 %
	Chowan	745,810	13,708	13,708	1.84 %	100.00 %
	Craven	745,810	100,720	100,720	13.50 %	100.00 %
	Currituck	745,810	28,100	28,100	3.77 %	100.00 %
	Dare	745,810	36,915	36,915	4.95 %	100.00 %
	Duplin	745,810	48,715	48,715	6.53 %	100.00 %
	Hyde	745,810	4,589	4,589	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Jones	745,810	9,172	9,172	1.23 %	100.00 %
	Lenoir	745,810	55,122	55,122	7.39 %	100.00 %
	Onslow	745,810	204,576	204,576	27.43 %	100.00 %
	Pamlico	745,810	12,276	12,276	1.65 %	100.00 %
	Pasquotank	745,810	40,568	40,568	5.44 %	100.00 %
	Perquimans	745,810	13,005	13,005	1.74 %	100.00 %
	Pitt	745,810	170,243	52,406	7.03 %	30.78 %
	Tyrrell	745,810	3,245	3,245	0.44 %	100.00 %
4	Chatham	877,475	76,285	56,118	6.40 %	73.56 %
	Durham	877,475	324,833	324,833	37.02 %	100.00 %
	Franklin	877,475	68,573	68,573	7.81 %	100.00 %
	Granville	877,475	60,992	60,992	6.95 %	100.00 %
	Orange	877,475	148,696	148,696	16.95 %	100.00 %
	Vance	877,475	42,578	1,079	0.12 %	2.53 %
	Wake	877,475	1,129,410	217,184	24.75 %	19.23 %

District - County Report

District Plan: Congress2020

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
5	Alexander	747,588	36,444	36,444	4.87 %	100.00 %
	Alleghany	747,588	10,888	10,888	1.46 %	100.00 %
	Ashe	747,588	26,577	26,577	3.56 %	100.00 %
	Burke	747,588	87,570	87,570	11.71 %	100.00 %
	Caldwell	747,588	80,652	80,652	10.79 %	100.00 %
	Catawba	747,588	160,610	16,523	2.21 %	10.29 %
	Cleveland	747,588	99,519	99,519	13.31 %	100.00 %
	Gaston	747,588	227,943	227,943	30.49 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford	747,588	64,444	41,417	5.54 %	64.27 %
	Watauga	747,588	54,086	54,086	7.23 %	100.00 %
	Wilkes	747,588	65,969	65,969	8.82 %	100.00 %
6	Forsyth	807,200	382,590	265,901	32.94 %	69.50 %
	Guilford	807,200	541,299	541,299	67.06 %	100.00 %
7	Bladen	823,916	29,606	29,606	3.59 %	100.00 %
	Brunswick	823,916	136,693	136,693	16.59 %	100.00 %
	Columbus	823,916	50,623	50,623	6.14 %	100.00 %
	Harnett	823,916	133,568	46,054	5.59 %	34.48 %
	Johnston	823,916	215,999	215,999	26.22 %	100.00 %
	New Hanover	823,916	225,702	225,702	27.39 %	100.00 %
	Pender	823,916	60,203	60,203	7.31 %	100.00 %
	Sampson	823,916	59,036	59,036	7.17 %	100.00 %
8	Cabarrus	821,133	225,804	225,804	27.50 %	100.00 %
	Cumberland	821,133	334,728	334,728	40.76 %	100.00 %
	Harnett	821,133	133,568	87,514	10.66 %	65.52 %
	Lee	821,133	63,285	49,704	6.05 %	78.54 %
	Montgomery	821,133	25,751	25,751	3.14 %	100.00 %
	Moore	821,133	99,727	35,128	4.28 %	35.22 %
	Stanly	821,133	62,504	62,504	7.61 %	100.00 %
9	Anson	786,276	22,055	22,055	2.80 %	100.00 %
	Hoke	786,276	52,082	52,082	6.62 %	100.00 %
	Mecklenburg	786,276	1,115,482	215,623	27.42 %	19.33 %
	Moore	786,276	99,727	64,599	8.22 %	64.78 %
	Richmond	786,276	42,946	42,946	5.46 %	100.00 %
	Robeson	786,276	116,530	116,530	14.82 %	100.00 %
	Scotland	786,276	34,174	34,174	4.35 %	100.00 %
	Union	786,276	238,267	238,267	30.30 %	100.00 %

District - County Report

District Plan: Congress2020

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
10	Catawba	776,331	160,610	144,087	18.56 %	89.71 %
	Forsyth	776,331	382,590	116,689	15.03 %	30.50 %
	Iredell	776,331	186,693	184,556	23.77 %	98.86 %
	Lincoln	776,331	86,810	86,810	11.18 %	100.00 %
	Rockingham	776,331	91,096	91,096	11.73 %	100.00 %
	Stokes	776,331	44,520	44,520	5.73 %	100.00 %
	Surry	776,331	71,359	71,359	9.19 %	100.00 %
	Yadkin	776,331	37,214	37,214	4.79 %	100.00 %
11	Avery	782,246	17,806	17,806	2.28 %	100.00 %
	Buncombe	782,246	269,452	269,452	34.45 %	100.00 %
	Cherokee	782,246	28,774	28,774	3.68 %	100.00 %
	Clay	782,246	11,089	11,089	1.42 %	100.00 %
	Graham	782,246	8,030	8,030	1.03 %	100.00 %
	Haywood	782,246	62,089	62,089	7.94 %	100.00 %
	Henderson	782,246	116,281	116,281	14.87 %	100.00 %
	Jackson	782,246	43,109	43,109	5.51 %	100.00 %
	Macon	782,246	37,014	37,014	4.73 %	100.00 %
	Madison	782,246	21,193	21,193	2.71 %	100.00 %
	McDowell	782,246	44,578	44,578	5.70 %	100.00 %
	Mitchell	782,246	14,903	14,903	1.91 %	100.00 %
	Polk	782,246	19,328	19,328	2.47 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford	782,246	64,444	23,027	2.94 %	35.73 %
	Swain	782,246	14,117	14,117	1.80 %	100.00 %
	Transylvania	782,246	32,986	32,986	4.22 %	100.00 %
Yancey	782,246	18,470	18,470	2.36 %	100.00 %	
12	Mecklenburg	899,859	1,115,482	899,859	100.00 %	80.67 %
13	Alamance	771,821	171,415	171,415	22.21 %	100.00 %
	Caswell	771,821	22,736	22,736	2.95 %	100.00 %
	Chatham	771,821	76,285	20,167	2.61 %	26.44 %
	Davidson	771,821	168,930	168,930	21.89 %	100.00 %
	Davie	771,821	42,712	42,712	5.53 %	100.00 %
	Iredell	771,821	186,693	2,137	0.28 %	1.14 %
	Lee	771,821	63,285	13,581	1.76 %	21.46 %
	Person	771,821	39,097	39,097	5.07 %	100.00 %
	Randolph	771,821	144,171	144,171	18.68 %	100.00 %
	Rowan	771,821	146,875	146,875	19.03 %	100.00 %
Total:				10,439,388		

Municipality - District Report**District Plan: Congress2020**

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Aberdeen	9	8,516	786,276	8,516	100.00 %	1.08 %
Ahoskie	1	4,891	687,507	4,891	100.00 %	0.71 %
Alamance	13	988	771,821	988	100.00 %	0.13 %
Albemarle	8	16,432	821,133	16,432	100.00 %	2.00 %
Alliance	3	733	745,810	733	100.00 %	0.10 %
Andrews	11	1,667	782,246	1,667	100.00 %	0.21 %
Angier	2	5,265	912,226	556	10.56 %	0.06 %
	7	5,265	823,916	4,709	89.44 %	0.57 %
Ansonville	9	440	786,276	440	100.00 %	0.06 %
Apex	2	58,780	912,226	58,780	100.00 %	6.44 %
Arapahoe	3	416	745,810	416	100.00 %	0.06 %
Archdale	6	11,907	807,200	380	3.19 %	0.05 %
	13	11,907	771,821	11,527	96.81 %	1.49 %
Archer Lodge	7	4,797	823,916	4,797	100.00 %	0.58 %
Asheboro	13	27,156	771,821	27,156	100.00 %	3.52 %
Asheville	11	94,589	782,246	94,589	100.00 %	12.09 %
Askewville	1	184	687,507	184	100.00 %	0.03 %
Atkinson	7	296	823,916	296	100.00 %	0.04 %
Atlantic Beach	3	1,364	745,810	1,364	100.00 %	0.18 %
Aulander	1	763	687,507	763	100.00 %	0.11 %
Aurora	3	455	745,810	455	100.00 %	0.06 %
Autryville	7	167	823,916	167	100.00 %	0.02 %
Ayden	3	4,977	745,810	4,977	100.00 %	0.67 %
Badin	8	2,024	821,133	2,024	100.00 %	0.25 %
Bailey	1	568	687,507	568	100.00 %	0.08 %
Bakersville	11	450	782,246	450	100.00 %	0.06 %
Bald Head Island	7	268	823,916	268	100.00 %	0.03 %
Banner Elk	11	1,049	782,246	1,049	100.00 %	0.13 %
Bath	3	245	745,810	245	100.00 %	0.03 %
Bayboro	3	1,161	745,810	1,161	100.00 %	0.16 %
Bear Grass	1	89	687,507	89	100.00 %	0.01 %
Beaufort	3	4,464	745,810	4,464	100.00 %	0.60 %
Beech Mountain	5	675	747,588	613	90.81 %	0.08 %
	11	675	782,246	62	9.19 %	0.01 %
Belhaven	3	1,410	745,810	1,410	100.00 %	0.19 %
Belmont	5	15,010	747,588	15,010	100.00 %	2.01 %
Belville	7	2,406	823,916	2,406	100.00 %	0.29 %
Belwood	5	857	747,588	857	100.00 %	0.11 %
Benson	7	3,967	823,916	3,967	100.00 %	0.48 %
Bermuda Run	13	3,120	771,821	3,120	100.00 %	0.40 %
Bessemer City	5	5,428	747,588	5,428	100.00 %	0.73 %
Bethania	10	344	776,331	344	100.00 %	0.04 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Bethel	1	1,373	687,507	1,373	100.00 %	0.20 %
Beulaville	3	1,116	745,810	1,116	100.00 %	0.15 %
Biltmore Forest	11	1,409	782,246	1,409	100.00 %	0.18 %
Biscoe	8	1,848	821,133	1,848	100.00 %	0.23 %
Black Creek	1	692	687,507	692	100.00 %	0.10 %
Black Mountain	11	8,426	782,246	8,426	100.00 %	1.08 %
Bladenboro	7	1,648	823,916	1,648	100.00 %	0.20 %
Blowing Rock	5	1,376	747,588	1,376	100.00 %	0.18 %
Boardman	7	166	823,916	166	100.00 %	0.02 %
Bogue	3	695	745,810	695	100.00 %	0.09 %
Boiling Spring Lakes	7	5,943	823,916	5,943	100.00 %	0.72 %
Boiling Springs	5	4,615	747,588	4,615	100.00 %	0.62 %
Bolivia	7	149	823,916	149	100.00 %	0.02 %
Bolton	7	519	823,916	519	100.00 %	0.06 %
Boone	5	19,092	747,588	19,092	100.00 %	2.55 %
Boonville	10	1,185	776,331	1,185	100.00 %	0.15 %
Bostic	5	355	747,588	355	100.00 %	0.05 %
Brevard	11	7,744	782,246	7,744	100.00 %	0.99 %
Bridgeton	3	349	745,810	349	100.00 %	0.05 %
Broadway	8	1,267	821,133	1,267	100.00 %	0.15 %
Brookford	10	442	776,331	442	100.00 %	0.06 %
Brunswick	7	973	823,916	973	100.00 %	0.12 %
Bryson City	11	1,558	782,246	1,558	100.00 %	0.20 %
Bunn	4	327	877,475	327	100.00 %	0.04 %
Burgaw	7	3,088	823,916	3,088	100.00 %	0.37 %
Burlington	6	57,303	807,200	1,822	3.18 %	0.23 %
	13	57,303	771,821	55,481	96.82 %	7.19 %
Burnsville	11	1,614	782,246	1,614	100.00 %	0.21 %
Butner	4	8,397	877,475	8,397	100.00 %	0.96 %
Cajah's Mountain	5	2,722	747,588	2,722	100.00 %	0.36 %
Calabash	7	2,011	823,916	2,011	100.00 %	0.24 %
Calypso	3	327	745,810	327	100.00 %	0.04 %
Cameron	8	244	821,133	244	100.00 %	0.03 %
Candor	8	813	821,133	813	100.00 %	0.10 %
Canton	11	4,422	782,246	4,422	100.00 %	0.57 %
Cape Carteret	3	2,224	745,810	2,224	100.00 %	0.30 %
Carolina Beach	7	6,564	823,916	6,564	100.00 %	0.80 %
Carolina Shores	7	4,588	823,916	4,588	100.00 %	0.56 %
Carrboro	4	21,295	877,475	21,295	100.00 %	2.43 %
Carthage	8	2,775	821,133	2,760	99.46 %	0.34 %
	9	2,775	786,276	15	0.54 %	0.00 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Cary	2	174,721	912,226	171,012	97.88 %	18.75 %
	4	174,721	877,475	3,709	2.12 %	0.42 %
Casar	5	305	747,588	305	100.00 %	0.04 %
Castalia	1	264	687,507	264	100.00 %	0.04 %
Caswell Beach	7	395	823,916	395	100.00 %	0.05 %
Catawba	10	702	776,331	702	100.00 %	0.09 %
Cedar Point	3	1,764	745,810	1,764	100.00 %	0.24 %
Cedar Rock	5	301	747,588	301	100.00 %	0.04 %
Cerro Gordo	7	131	823,916	131	100.00 %	0.02 %
Chadbourn	7	1,574	823,916	1,574	100.00 %	0.19 %
Chapel Hill	4	61,960	877,475	61,960	100.00 %	7.06 %
Charlotte	9	874,579	786,276	185,565	21.22 %	23.60 %
	12	874,579	899,859	689,014	78.78 %	76.57 %
Cherryville	5	6,078	747,588	6,078	100.00 %	0.81 %
Chimney Rock Village	11	140	782,246	140	100.00 %	0.02 %
China Grove	13	4,434	771,821	4,434	100.00 %	0.57 %
Chocowinity	3	722	745,810	722	100.00 %	0.10 %
Claremont	10	1,692	776,331	1,692	100.00 %	0.22 %
Clarkton	7	614	823,916	614	100.00 %	0.07 %
Clayton	2	26,307	912,226	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	7	26,307	823,916	26,307	100.00 %	3.19 %
Clemmons	10	21,163	776,331	21,163	100.00 %	2.73 %
Cleveland	13	846	771,821	846	100.00 %	0.11 %
Clinton	7	8,383	823,916	8,383	100.00 %	1.02 %
Clyde	11	1,368	782,246	1,368	100.00 %	0.17 %
Coats	7	2,155	823,916	2,155	100.00 %	0.26 %
Cofield	1	267	687,507	267	100.00 %	0.04 %
Colerain	1	217	687,507	217	100.00 %	0.03 %
Columbia	3	610	745,810	610	100.00 %	0.08 %
Columbus	11	1,060	782,246	1,060	100.00 %	0.14 %
Como	1	67	687,507	67	100.00 %	0.01 %
Concord	8	105,240	821,133	105,240	100.00 %	12.82 %
Conetoe	1	198	687,507	198	100.00 %	0.03 %
Connelly Springs	5	1,529	747,588	1,529	100.00 %	0.20 %
Conover	10	8,421	776,331	8,421	100.00 %	1.08 %
Conway	1	752	687,507	752	100.00 %	0.11 %
Cooleemee	13	940	771,821	940	100.00 %	0.12 %
Cornelius	12	31,412	899,859	31,412	100.00 %	3.49 %
Cove City	3	378	745,810	378	100.00 %	0.05 %
Cramerton	5	5,296	747,588	5,296	100.00 %	0.71 %
Creedmoor	4	4,866	877,475	4,866	100.00 %	0.55 %
Creswell	1	207	687,507	207	100.00 %	0.03 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Crossnore	11	143	782,246	143	100.00 %	0.02 %
Dallas	5	5,927	747,588	5,927	100.00 %	0.79 %
Danbury	10	189	776,331	189	100.00 %	0.02 %
Davidson	10	15,106	776,331	378	2.50 %	0.05 %
	12	15,106	899,859	14,728	97.50 %	1.64 %
Dellview	5	6	747,588	6	100.00 %	0.00 %
Denton	13	1,494	771,821	1,494	100.00 %	0.19 %
Dillsboro	11	213	782,246	213	100.00 %	0.03 %
Dobbins Heights	9	687	786,276	687	100.00 %	0.09 %
Dobson	10	1,462	776,331	1,462	100.00 %	0.19 %
Dortches	1	1,082	687,507	1,082	100.00 %	0.16 %
Dover	3	349	745,810	349	100.00 %	0.05 %
Drexel	5	1,760	747,588	1,760	100.00 %	0.24 %
Dublin	7	267	823,916	267	100.00 %	0.03 %
Duck	3	742	745,810	742	100.00 %	0.10 %
Dunn	7	8,446	823,916	8,446	100.00 %	1.03 %
Durham	2	283,506	912,226	269	0.09 %	0.03 %
	4	283,506	877,475	283,237	99.91 %	32.28 %
Earl	5	198	747,588	198	100.00 %	0.03 %
East Arcadia	7	418	823,916	418	100.00 %	0.05 %
East Bend	10	634	776,331	634	100.00 %	0.08 %
East Laurinburg	9	234	786,276	234	100.00 %	0.03 %
Eastover	8	3,656	821,133	3,656	100.00 %	0.45 %
East Spencer	13	1,567	771,821	1,567	100.00 %	0.20 %
Eden	10	15,421	776,331	15,421	100.00 %	1.99 %
Edenton	3	4,460	745,810	4,460	100.00 %	0.60 %
Elizabeth City	3	18,631	745,810	18,631	100.00 %	2.50 %
Elizabethtown	7	3,296	823,916	3,296	100.00 %	0.40 %
Elkin	5	4,122	747,588	73	1.77 %	0.01 %
	10	4,122	776,331	4,049	98.23 %	0.52 %
Elk Park	11	542	782,246	542	100.00 %	0.07 %
Ellenboro	5	723	747,588	723	100.00 %	0.10 %
Ellerbe	9	864	786,276	864	100.00 %	0.11 %
Elm City	1	1,218	687,507	1,218	100.00 %	0.18 %
Elon	13	11,336	771,821	11,336	100.00 %	1.47 %
Emerald Isle	3	3,847	745,810	3,847	100.00 %	0.52 %
Enfield	1	1,865	687,507	1,865	100.00 %	0.27 %
Erwin	7	4,542	823,916	4,542	100.00 %	0.55 %
	8	4,542	821,133	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Eureka	1	214	687,507	214	100.00 %	0.03 %
Everetts	1	150	687,507	150	100.00 %	0.02 %
Fair Bluff	7	709	823,916	709	100.00 %	0.09 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Fairmont	9	2,191	786,276	2,191	100.00 %	0.28 %
Fairview	9	3,456	786,276	3,456	100.00 %	0.44 %
Faison	3	784	745,810	784	100.00 %	0.11 %
	7	784	823,916	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Faith	13	819	771,821	819	100.00 %	0.11 %
Falcon	7	324	823,916	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	8	324	821,133	324	100.00 %	0.04 %
Falkland	1	47	687,507	47	100.00 %	0.01 %
Fallston	5	627	747,588	627	100.00 %	0.08 %
Farmville	1	4,461	687,507	4,461	100.00 %	0.65 %
Fayetteville	8	208,501	821,133	208,501	100.00 %	25.39 %
Flat Rock	11	3,486	782,246	3,486	100.00 %	0.45 %
Fletcher	11	7,987	782,246	7,987	100.00 %	1.02 %
Fontana Dam	11	13	782,246	13	100.00 %	0.00 %
Forest City	5	7,377	747,588	7,377	100.00 %	0.99 %
Forest Hills	11	303	782,246	303	100.00 %	0.04 %
Fountain	1	385	687,507	385	100.00 %	0.06 %
Four Oaks	7	2,158	823,916	2,158	100.00 %	0.26 %
Foxfire	9	1,288	786,276	1,288	100.00 %	0.16 %
Franklin	11	4,175	782,246	4,175	100.00 %	0.53 %
Franklinton	4	2,456	877,475	2,456	100.00 %	0.28 %
Franklinville	13	1,197	771,821	1,197	100.00 %	0.16 %
Fremont	1	1,196	687,507	1,196	100.00 %	0.17 %
Fuquay-Varina	2	34,152	912,226	34,152	100.00 %	3.74 %
	8	34,152	821,133	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Gamewell	5	3,702	747,588	3,702	100.00 %	0.50 %
Garland	7	595	823,916	595	100.00 %	0.07 %
Garner	2	31,159	912,226	31,159	100.00 %	3.42 %
Garysburg	1	904	687,507	904	100.00 %	0.13 %
Gaston	1	1,008	687,507	1,008	100.00 %	0.15 %
Gastonia	5	80,411	747,588	80,411	100.00 %	10.76 %
Gatesville	1	267	687,507	267	100.00 %	0.04 %
Gibson	9	449	786,276	449	100.00 %	0.06 %
Gibsonville	6	8,920	807,200	4,642	52.04 %	0.58 %
	13	8,920	771,821	4,278	47.96 %	0.55 %
Glen Alpine	5	1,529	747,588	1,529	100.00 %	0.20 %
Godwin	8	128	821,133	128	100.00 %	0.02 %
Goldsboro	1	33,657	687,507	33,657	100.00 %	4.90 %
Goldston	13	234	771,821	234	100.00 %	0.03 %
Graham	13	17,157	771,821	17,157	100.00 %	2.22 %
Grandfather Village	11	95	782,246	95	100.00 %	0.01 %
Granite Falls	5	4,965	747,588	4,965	100.00 %	0.66 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Granite Quarry	13	2,984	771,821	2,984	100.00 %	0.39 %
Grantsboro	3	692	745,810	692	100.00 %	0.09 %
Greenevers	3	567	745,810	567	100.00 %	0.08 %
Green Level	13	3,152	771,821	3,152	100.00 %	0.41 %
Greensboro	6	299,035	807,200	299,035	100.00 %	37.05 %
Greenville	1	87,521	687,507	62,010	70.85 %	9.02 %
	3	87,521	745,810	25,511	29.15 %	3.42 %
Grifton	3	2,448	745,810	2,448	100.00 %	0.33 %
Grimesland	1	386	687,507	386	100.00 %	0.06 %
Grover	5	802	747,588	802	100.00 %	0.11 %
Halifax	1	170	687,507	170	100.00 %	0.02 %
Hamilton	1	306	687,507	306	100.00 %	0.04 %
Hamlet	9	6,025	786,276	6,025	100.00 %	0.77 %
Harmony	10	543	776,331	543	100.00 %	0.07 %
Harrells	3	160	745,810	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	7	160	823,916	160	100.00 %	0.02 %
Harrellsville	1	85	687,507	85	100.00 %	0.01 %
Harrisburg	8	18,967	821,133	18,967	100.00 %	2.31 %
Hassell	1	49	687,507	49	100.00 %	0.01 %
Havelock	3	16,621	745,810	16,621	100.00 %	2.23 %
Haw River	13	2,252	771,821	2,252	100.00 %	0.29 %
Hayesville	11	461	782,246	461	100.00 %	0.06 %
Hemby Bridge	9	1,614	786,276	1,614	100.00 %	0.21 %
Henderson	1	15,060	687,507	15,060	100.00 %	2.19 %
Hendersonville	11	15,137	782,246	15,137	100.00 %	1.94 %
Hertford	3	1,934	745,810	1,934	100.00 %	0.26 %
Hickory	5	43,490	747,588	12,066	27.74 %	1.61 %
	10	43,490	776,331	31,424	72.26 %	4.05 %
Highlands	11	1,072	782,246	1,072	100.00 %	0.14 %
High Point	6	114,059	807,200	107,405	94.17 %	13.31 %
	13	114,059	771,821	6,654	5.83 %	0.86 %
High Shoals	5	595	747,588	595	100.00 %	0.08 %
Hildebran	5	1,679	747,588	1,679	100.00 %	0.22 %
Hillsborough	4	9,660	877,475	9,660	100.00 %	1.10 %
Hobgood	1	268	687,507	268	100.00 %	0.04 %
Hoffman	9	418	786,276	418	100.00 %	0.05 %
Holden Beach	7	921	823,916	921	100.00 %	0.11 %
Holly Ridge	3	4,171	745,810	4,171	100.00 %	0.56 %
Holly Springs	2	41,239	912,226	41,239	100.00 %	4.52 %
Hookerton	1	413	687,507	413	100.00 %	0.06 %
Hope Mills	8	17,808	821,133	17,808	100.00 %	2.17 %
Hot Springs	11	520	782,246	520	100.00 %	0.07 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Hudson	5	3,780	747,588	3,780	100.00 %	0.51 %
Huntersville	12	61,376	899,859	61,376	100.00 %	6.82 %
Indian Beach	3	223	745,810	223	100.00 %	0.03 %
Indian Trail	9	39,997	786,276	39,997	100.00 %	5.09 %
Jackson	1	430	687,507	430	100.00 %	0.06 %
Jacksonville	3	72,723	745,810	72,723	100.00 %	9.75 %
Jamestown	6	3,668	807,200	3,668	100.00 %	0.45 %
Jamesville	1	424	687,507	424	100.00 %	0.06 %
Jefferson	5	1,622	747,588	1,622	100.00 %	0.22 %
Jonesville	10	2,308	776,331	2,308	100.00 %	0.30 %
Kannapolis	8	53,114	821,133	42,846	80.67 %	5.22 %
	13	53,114	771,821	10,268	19.33 %	1.33 %
Kelford	1	203	687,507	203	100.00 %	0.03 %
Kenansville	3	770	745,810	770	100.00 %	0.10 %
Kenly	1	1,491	687,507	198	13.28 %	0.03 %
	7	1,491	823,916	1,293	86.72 %	0.16 %
Kernersville	6	26,449	807,200	23,805	90.00 %	2.95 %
	10	26,449	776,331	2,644	10.00 %	0.34 %
Kill Devil Hills	3	7,656	745,810	7,656	100.00 %	1.03 %
King	10	7,197	776,331	7,197	100.00 %	0.93 %
Kings Mountain	5	11,142	747,588	11,142	100.00 %	1.49 %
Kingstown	5	656	747,588	656	100.00 %	0.09 %
Kinston	3	19,900	745,810	19,900	100.00 %	2.67 %
Kittrell	1	132	687,507	132	100.00 %	0.02 %
Kitty Hawk	3	3,689	745,810	3,689	100.00 %	0.49 %
Knightdale	2	19,435	912,226	12,978	66.78 %	1.42 %
	4	19,435	877,475	6,457	33.22 %	0.74 %
Kure Beach	7	2,191	823,916	2,191	100.00 %	0.27 %
La Grange	3	2,595	745,810	2,595	100.00 %	0.35 %
Lake Lure	11	1,365	782,246	1,365	100.00 %	0.17 %
Lake Park	9	3,269	786,276	3,269	100.00 %	0.42 %
Lake Santeetlah	11	38	782,246	38	100.00 %	0.00 %
Lake Waccamaw	7	1,296	823,916	1,296	100.00 %	0.16 %
Landis	13	3,690	771,821	3,690	100.00 %	0.48 %
Lansing	5	126	747,588	126	100.00 %	0.02 %
Lasker	1	64	687,507	64	100.00 %	0.01 %
Lattimore	5	406	747,588	406	100.00 %	0.05 %
Laurel Park	11	2,250	782,246	2,250	100.00 %	0.29 %
Laurinburg	9	14,978	786,276	14,978	100.00 %	1.90 %
Lawndale	5	570	747,588	570	100.00 %	0.08 %
Leggett	1	37	687,507	37	100.00 %	0.01 %
Leland	7	22,908	823,916	22,908	100.00 %	2.78 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Municipality - District Report**District Plan: Congress2020**

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Lenoir	5	18,352	747,588	18,352	100.00 %	2.45 %
Lewiston Woodville	1	426	687,507	426	100.00 %	0.06 %
Lewisville	10	13,381	776,331	13,381	100.00 %	1.72 %
Lexington	13	19,632	771,821	19,632	100.00 %	2.54 %
Liberty	13	2,655	771,821	2,655	100.00 %	0.34 %
Lilesville	9	395	786,276	395	100.00 %	0.05 %
Lillington	7	4,735	823,916	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	8	4,735	821,133	4,735	100.00 %	0.58 %
Lincolnton	10	11,091	776,331	11,091	100.00 %	1.43 %
Linden	8	136	821,133	136	100.00 %	0.02 %
Littleton	1	559	687,507	559	100.00 %	0.08 %
Locust	8	4,537	821,133	4,537	100.00 %	0.55 %
Long View	5	5,088	747,588	735	14.45 %	0.10 %
	10	5,088	776,331	4,353	85.55 %	0.56 %
Louisburg	4	3,064	877,475	3,064	100.00 %	0.35 %
Love Valley	10	154	776,331	154	100.00 %	0.02 %
Lowell	5	3,654	747,588	3,654	100.00 %	0.49 %
Lucama	1	1,036	687,507	1,036	100.00 %	0.15 %
Lumber Bridge	9	82	786,276	82	100.00 %	0.01 %
Lumberton	9	19,025	786,276	19,025	100.00 %	2.42 %
McAdenville	5	890	747,588	890	100.00 %	0.12 %
Macclesfield	1	413	687,507	413	100.00 %	0.06 %
McDonald	9	94	786,276	94	100.00 %	0.01 %
McFarlan	9	94	786,276	94	100.00 %	0.01 %
Macon	1	110	687,507	110	100.00 %	0.02 %
Madison	10	2,129	776,331	2,129	100.00 %	0.27 %
Maggie Valley	11	1,687	782,246	1,687	100.00 %	0.22 %
Magnolia	3	831	745,810	831	100.00 %	0.11 %
Maiden	10	3,736	776,331	3,736	100.00 %	0.48 %
Manteo	3	1,600	745,810	1,600	100.00 %	0.21 %
Marietta	9	111	786,276	111	100.00 %	0.01 %
Marion	11	7,717	782,246	7,717	100.00 %	0.99 %
Marshall	11	777	782,246	777	100.00 %	0.10 %
Mars Hill	11	2,007	782,246	2,007	100.00 %	0.26 %
Marshville	9	2,522	786,276	2,522	100.00 %	0.32 %
Marvin	9	6,358	786,276	6,358	100.00 %	0.81 %
Matthews	9	29,435	786,276	17,885	60.76 %	2.27 %
	12	29,435	899,859	11,550	39.24 %	1.28 %
Maxton	9	2,110	786,276	2,110	100.00 %	0.27 %
Mayodan	10	2,418	776,331	2,418	100.00 %	0.31 %
Maysville	3	818	745,810	818	100.00 %	0.11 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Mebane	4	17,797	877,475	3,171	17.82 %	0.36 %
	13	17,797	771,821	14,626	82.18 %	1.89 %
Mesic	3	144	745,810	144	100.00 %	0.02 %
Micro	7	458	823,916	458	100.00 %	0.06 %
Middleburg	1	101	687,507	101	100.00 %	0.01 %
Middlesex	1	912	687,507	912	100.00 %	0.13 %
Midland	8	4,684	821,133	4,684	100.00 %	0.57 %
	12	4,684	899,859	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Midway	13	4,742	771,821	4,742	100.00 %	0.61 %
Mills River	11	7,078	782,246	7,078	100.00 %	0.90 %
Milton	13	155	771,821	155	100.00 %	0.02 %
Mineral Springs	9	3,159	786,276	3,159	100.00 %	0.40 %
Minnesott Beach	3	530	745,810	530	100.00 %	0.07 %
Mint Hill	9	26,450	786,276	6	0.02 %	0.00 %
	12	26,450	899,859	26,444	99.98 %	2.94 %
Misenheimer	8	650	821,133	650	100.00 %	0.08 %
Mocksville	13	5,900	771,821	5,900	100.00 %	0.76 %
Momeyer	1	277	687,507	277	100.00 %	0.04 %
Monroe	9	34,562	786,276	34,562	100.00 %	4.40 %
Montreat	11	901	782,246	901	100.00 %	0.12 %
Mooresboro	5	293	747,588	293	100.00 %	0.04 %
Mooresville	10	50,193	776,331	49,900	99.42 %	6.43 %
	13	50,193	771,821	293	0.58 %	0.04 %
Morehead City	3	9,556	745,810	9,556	100.00 %	1.28 %
Morganton	5	17,474	747,588	17,474	100.00 %	2.34 %
Morrisville	2	29,630	912,226	29,423	99.30 %	3.23 %
	4	29,630	877,475	207	0.70 %	0.02 %
Morven	9	329	786,276	329	100.00 %	0.04 %
Mount Airy	10	10,676	776,331	10,676	100.00 %	1.38 %
Mount Gilead	8	1,171	821,133	1,171	100.00 %	0.14 %
Mount Holly	5	17,703	747,588	17,703	100.00 %	2.37 %
Mount Olive	1	4,198	687,507	4,193	99.88 %	0.61 %
	3	4,198	745,810	5	0.12 %	0.00 %
Mount Pleasant	8	1,671	821,133	1,671	100.00 %	0.20 %
Murfreesboro	1	2,619	687,507	2,619	100.00 %	0.38 %
Murphy	11	1,608	782,246	1,608	100.00 %	0.21 %
Nags Head	3	3,168	745,810	3,168	100.00 %	0.42 %
Nashville	1	5,632	687,507	5,632	100.00 %	0.82 %
Navassa	7	1,367	823,916	1,367	100.00 %	0.17 %
New Bern	3	31,291	745,810	31,291	100.00 %	4.20 %
Newland	11	715	782,246	715	100.00 %	0.09 %
New London	8	607	821,133	607	100.00 %	0.07 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Newport	3	4,364	745,810	4,364	100.00 %	0.59 %
Newton	10	13,148	776,331	13,148	100.00 %	1.69 %
Newton Grove	7	585	823,916	585	100.00 %	0.07 %
Norlina	1	920	687,507	920	100.00 %	0.13 %
Norman	9	100	786,276	100	100.00 %	0.01 %
North Topsail Beach	3	1,005	745,810	1,005	100.00 %	0.13 %
Northwest	7	703	823,916	703	100.00 %	0.09 %
North Wilkesboro	5	4,382	747,588	4,382	100.00 %	0.59 %
Norwood	8	2,367	821,133	2,367	100.00 %	0.29 %
Oakboro	8	2,128	821,133	2,128	100.00 %	0.26 %
Oak City	1	266	687,507	266	100.00 %	0.04 %
Oak Island	7	8,396	823,916	8,396	100.00 %	1.02 %
Oak Ridge	6	7,474	807,200	7,474	100.00 %	0.93 %
Ocean Isle Beach	7	867	823,916	867	100.00 %	0.11 %
Old Fort	11	811	782,246	811	100.00 %	0.10 %
Oriental	3	880	745,810	880	100.00 %	0.12 %
Orrum	9	59	786,276	59	100.00 %	0.01 %
Ossipee	13	536	771,821	536	100.00 %	0.07 %
Oxford	4	8,628	877,475	8,628	100.00 %	0.98 %
Pantego	3	164	745,810	164	100.00 %	0.02 %
Parkton	9	504	786,276	504	100.00 %	0.06 %
Parmele	1	243	687,507	243	100.00 %	0.04 %
Patterson Springs	5	571	747,588	571	100.00 %	0.08 %
Peachland	9	390	786,276	390	100.00 %	0.05 %
Peletier	3	769	745,810	769	100.00 %	0.10 %
Pembroke	9	2,823	786,276	2,823	100.00 %	0.36 %
Pikeville	1	712	687,507	712	100.00 %	0.10 %
Pilot Mountain	10	1,440	776,331	1,440	100.00 %	0.19 %
Pinebluff	9	1,473	786,276	1,473	100.00 %	0.19 %
Pinehurst	9	17,581	786,276	17,581	100.00 %	2.24 %
Pine Knoll Shores	3	1,388	745,810	1,388	100.00 %	0.19 %
Pine Level	7	2,046	823,916	2,046	100.00 %	0.25 %
Pinetops	1	1,200	687,507	1,200	100.00 %	0.17 %
Pineville	9	10,602	786,276	6,981	65.85 %	0.89 %
	12	10,602	899,859	3,621	34.15 %	0.40 %
Pink Hill	3	451	745,810	451	100.00 %	0.06 %
Pittsboro	4	4,537	877,475	4,537	100.00 %	0.52 %
Pleasant Garden	6	5,000	807,200	5,000	100.00 %	0.62 %
Plymouth	1	3,320	687,507	3,320	100.00 %	0.48 %
Polkton	9	2,250	786,276	2,250	100.00 %	0.29 %
Polkville	5	516	747,588	516	100.00 %	0.07 %
Pollocksville	3	268	745,810	268	100.00 %	0.04 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Powellsville	1	189	687,507	189	100.00 %	0.03 %
Princeton	7	1,315	823,916	1,315	100.00 %	0.16 %
Princeville	1	1,254	687,507	1,254	100.00 %	0.18 %
Proctorville	9	121	786,276	121	100.00 %	0.02 %
Raeford	9	4,559	786,276	4,559	100.00 %	0.58 %
Raleigh	2	467,665	912,226	392,229	83.87 %	43.00 %
	4	467,665	877,475	75,436	16.13 %	8.60 %
Ramseur	13	1,774	771,821	1,774	100.00 %	0.23 %
Randleman	13	4,595	771,821	4,595	100.00 %	0.60 %
Ranlo	5	4,511	747,588	4,511	100.00 %	0.60 %
Raynham	9	60	786,276	60	100.00 %	0.01 %
Red Cross	8	762	821,133	762	100.00 %	0.09 %
Red Oak	1	3,342	687,507	3,342	100.00 %	0.49 %
Red Springs	9	3,087	786,276	3,087	100.00 %	0.39 %
Reidsville	10	14,583	776,331	14,583	100.00 %	1.88 %
Rennert	9	275	786,276	275	100.00 %	0.03 %
Rhodhiss	5	997	747,588	997	100.00 %	0.13 %
Richfield	8	582	821,133	582	100.00 %	0.07 %
Richlands	3	2,287	745,810	2,287	100.00 %	0.31 %
Rich Square	1	894	687,507	894	100.00 %	0.13 %
River Bend	3	2,902	745,810	2,902	100.00 %	0.39 %
Roanoke Rapids	1	15,229	687,507	15,229	100.00 %	2.22 %
Robbins	8	1,168	821,133	1,168	100.00 %	0.14 %
Robbinsville	11	597	782,246	597	100.00 %	0.08 %
Robersonville	1	1,269	687,507	1,269	100.00 %	0.18 %
Rockingham	9	9,243	786,276	9,243	100.00 %	1.18 %
Rockwell	13	2,302	771,821	2,302	100.00 %	0.30 %
Rocky Mount	1	54,341	687,507	54,341	100.00 %	7.90 %
Rolesville	4	9,475	877,475	9,475	100.00 %	1.08 %
Ronda	5	438	747,588	438	100.00 %	0.06 %
Roper	1	485	687,507	485	100.00 %	0.07 %
Roseboro	7	1,163	823,916	1,163	100.00 %	0.14 %
Rose Hill	3	1,371	745,810	1,371	100.00 %	0.18 %
Rosman	11	701	782,246	701	100.00 %	0.09 %
Rowland	9	885	786,276	885	100.00 %	0.11 %
Roxboro	13	8,134	771,821	8,134	100.00 %	1.05 %
Roxobel	1	187	687,507	187	100.00 %	0.03 %
Rural Hall	10	3,351	776,331	3,351	100.00 %	0.43 %
Ruth	11	347	782,246	347	100.00 %	0.04 %
Rutherford College	5	1,226	747,588	1,226	100.00 %	0.16 %
Rutherfordton	5	3,640	747,588	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	11	3,640	782,246	3,640	100.00 %	0.47 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
St. Helena	7	417	823,916	417	100.00 %	0.05 %
St. James	7	6,529	823,916	6,529	100.00 %	0.79 %
St. Pauls	9	2,045	786,276	2,045	100.00 %	0.26 %
Salemburg	7	457	823,916	457	100.00 %	0.06 %
Salisbury	13	35,540	771,821	35,540	100.00 %	4.60 %
Saluda	11	631	782,246	631	100.00 %	0.08 %
Sandy Creek	7	248	823,916	248	100.00 %	0.03 %
Sandyfield	7	430	823,916	430	100.00 %	0.05 %
Sanford	8	30,261	821,133	21,908	72.40 %	2.67 %
	13	30,261	771,821	8,353	27.60 %	1.08 %
Saratoga	1	353	687,507	353	100.00 %	0.05 %
Sawmills	5	5,020	747,588	5,020	100.00 %	0.67 %
Scotland Neck	1	1,640	687,507	1,640	100.00 %	0.24 %
Seaboard	1	542	687,507	542	100.00 %	0.08 %
Seagrove	13	235	771,821	235	100.00 %	0.03 %
Sedalia	6	676	807,200	676	100.00 %	0.08 %
Selma	7	6,317	823,916	6,317	100.00 %	0.77 %
Seven Devils	5	313	747,588	275	87.86 %	0.04 %
	11	313	782,246	38	12.14 %	0.00 %
Seven Springs	1	55	687,507	55	100.00 %	0.01 %
Severn	1	191	687,507	191	100.00 %	0.03 %
Shallotte	7	4,185	823,916	4,185	100.00 %	0.51 %
Sharpsburg	1	1,697	687,507	1,697	100.00 %	0.25 %
Shelby	5	21,918	747,588	21,918	100.00 %	2.93 %
Siler City	4	7,702	877,475	1,141	14.81 %	0.13 %
	13	7,702	771,821	6,561	85.19 %	0.85 %
Simpson	1	390	687,507	390	100.00 %	0.06 %
Sims	1	275	687,507	275	100.00 %	0.04 %
Smithfield	7	11,292	823,916	11,292	100.00 %	1.37 %
Snow Hill	1	1,481	687,507	1,481	100.00 %	0.22 %
Southern Pines	9	15,545	786,276	15,545	100.00 %	1.98 %
Southern Shores	3	3,090	745,810	3,090	100.00 %	0.41 %
Southport	7	3,971	823,916	3,971	100.00 %	0.48 %
Sparta	5	1,834	747,588	1,834	100.00 %	0.25 %
Speed	1	63	687,507	63	100.00 %	0.01 %
Spencer	13	3,308	771,821	3,308	100.00 %	0.43 %
Spencer Mountain	5	0	747,588	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Spindale	5	4,225	747,588	2,387	56.50 %	0.32 %
	11	4,225	782,246	1,838	43.50 %	0.23 %
Spring Hope	1	1,309	687,507	1,309	100.00 %	0.19 %
Spring Lake	8	11,660	821,133	11,660	100.00 %	1.42 %
Spruce Pine	11	2,194	782,246	2,194	100.00 %	0.28 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Staley	13	397	771,821	397	100.00 %	0.05 %
Stallings	9	16,112	786,276	15,739	97.68 %	2.00 %
	12	16,112	899,859	373	2.32 %	0.04 %
Stanfield	8	1,585	821,133	1,585	100.00 %	0.19 %
Stanley	5	3,963	747,588	3,963	100.00 %	0.53 %
Stantonsburg	1	762	687,507	762	100.00 %	0.11 %
Star	8	806	821,133	806	100.00 %	0.10 %
Statesville	10	28,419	776,331	28,419	100.00 %	3.66 %
Stedman	8	1,277	821,133	1,277	100.00 %	0.16 %
Stem	4	960	877,475	960	100.00 %	0.11 %
Stokesdale	6	5,924	807,200	5,924	100.00 %	0.73 %
Stoneville	10	1,308	776,331	1,308	100.00 %	0.17 %
Stonewall	3	214	745,810	214	100.00 %	0.03 %
Stovall	4	324	877,475	324	100.00 %	0.04 %
Sugar Mountain	11	371	782,246	371	100.00 %	0.05 %
Summerfield	6	10,951	807,200	10,951	100.00 %	1.36 %
Sunset Beach	7	4,175	823,916	4,175	100.00 %	0.51 %
Surf City	3	3,867	745,810	334	8.64 %	0.04 %
	7	3,867	823,916	3,533	91.36 %	0.43 %
Swansboro	3	3,744	745,810	3,744	100.00 %	0.50 %
Sweptonville	13	2,445	771,821	2,445	100.00 %	0.32 %
Sylva	11	2,578	782,246	2,578	100.00 %	0.33 %
Tabor City	7	3,781	823,916	3,781	100.00 %	0.46 %
Tarboro	1	10,721	687,507	10,721	100.00 %	1.56 %
Tar Heel	7	90	823,916	90	100.00 %	0.01 %
Taylorsville	5	2,320	747,588	2,320	100.00 %	0.31 %
Taylortown	9	634	786,276	634	100.00 %	0.08 %
Teachey	3	448	745,810	448	100.00 %	0.06 %
Thomasville	13	27,183	771,821	27,183	100.00 %	3.52 %
Tobaccoville	10	2,578	776,331	2,578	100.00 %	0.33 %
Topsail Beach	7	461	823,916	461	100.00 %	0.06 %
Trenton	3	238	745,810	238	100.00 %	0.03 %
Trent Woods	3	4,074	745,810	4,074	100.00 %	0.55 %
Trinity	13	7,006	771,821	7,006	100.00 %	0.91 %
Troutman	10	3,698	776,331	3,698	100.00 %	0.48 %
Troy	8	2,850	821,133	2,850	100.00 %	0.35 %
Tryon	11	1,562	782,246	1,562	100.00 %	0.20 %
Turkey	7	213	823,916	213	100.00 %	0.03 %
Unionville	9	6,643	786,276	6,643	100.00 %	0.84 %
Valdese	5	4,689	747,588	4,689	100.00 %	0.63 %
Vanceboro	3	869	745,810	869	100.00 %	0.12 %
Vandemere	3	246	745,810	246	100.00 %	0.03 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Varnantown	7	525	823,916	525	100.00 %	0.06 %
Vass	8	952	821,133	952	100.00 %	0.12 %
Waco	5	310	747,588	310	100.00 %	0.04 %
Wade	8	638	821,133	638	100.00 %	0.08 %
Wadesboro	9	5,008	786,276	5,008	100.00 %	0.64 %
Wagram	9	615	786,276	615	100.00 %	0.08 %
Wake Forest	4	47,601	877,475	47,601	100.00 %	5.42 %
Walkertown	6	5,692	807,200	3,329	58.49 %	0.41 %
	10	5,692	776,331	2,363	41.51 %	0.30 %
Wallace	3	3,413	745,810	3,413	100.00 %	0.46 %
	7	3,413	823,916	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Wallburg	13	3,051	771,821	3,051	100.00 %	0.40 %
Walnut Cove	10	1,586	776,331	1,586	100.00 %	0.20 %
Walnut Creek	1	1,084	687,507	1,084	100.00 %	0.16 %
Walstonburg	1	193	687,507	193	100.00 %	0.03 %
Warrenton	1	851	687,507	851	100.00 %	0.12 %
Warsaw	3	2,733	745,810	2,733	100.00 %	0.37 %
Washington	3	9,875	745,810	9,875	100.00 %	1.32 %
Washington Park	3	392	745,810	392	100.00 %	0.05 %
Watha	7	181	823,916	181	100.00 %	0.02 %
Waxhaw	9	20,534	786,276	20,534	100.00 %	2.61 %
Waynesville	11	10,140	782,246	10,140	100.00 %	1.30 %
Weaverville	11	4,567	782,246	4,567	100.00 %	0.58 %
Webster	11	372	782,246	372	100.00 %	0.05 %
Weddington	9	13,181	786,276	13,181	100.00 %	1.68 %
Weldon	1	1,444	687,507	1,444	100.00 %	0.21 %
Wendell	2	9,793	912,226	6,605	67.45 %	0.72 %
	4	9,793	877,475	3,188	32.55 %	0.36 %
Wentworth	10	2,662	776,331	2,662	100.00 %	0.34 %
Wesley Chapel	9	8,681	786,276	8,681	100.00 %	1.10 %
West Jefferson	5	1,279	747,588	1,279	100.00 %	0.17 %
Whispering Pines	8	4,987	821,133	3,363	67.44 %	0.41 %
	9	4,987	786,276	1,624	32.56 %	0.21 %
Whitakers	1	627	687,507	627	100.00 %	0.09 %
White Lake	7	843	823,916	843	100.00 %	0.10 %
Whiteville	7	4,766	823,916	4,766	100.00 %	0.58 %
Whitsett	6	584	807,200	584	100.00 %	0.07 %
Wilkesboro	5	3,687	747,588	3,687	100.00 %	0.49 %
Williamston	1	5,248	687,507	5,248	100.00 %	0.76 %
Wilmington	7	115,451	823,916	115,451	100.00 %	14.01 %
Wilson	1	47,851	687,507	47,851	100.00 %	6.96 %
Wilson's Mills	7	2,534	823,916	2,534	100.00 %	0.31 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Windsor	1	3,582	687,507	3,582	100.00 %	0.52 %
Winfall	3	555	745,810	555	100.00 %	0.07 %
Wingate	9	4,055	786,276	4,055	100.00 %	0.52 %
Winston-Salem	6	249,545	807,200	217,946	87.34 %	27.00 %
	10	249,545	776,331	31,599	12.66 %	4.07 %
Winterville	1	10,462	687,507	10,462	100.00 %	1.52 %
	3	10,462	745,810	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Winton	1	629	687,507	629	100.00 %	0.09 %
Woodfin	11	7,936	782,246	7,936	100.00 %	1.01 %
Woodland	1	557	687,507	557	100.00 %	0.08 %
Wrightsville Beach	7	2,473	823,916	2,473	100.00 %	0.30 %
Yadkinville	10	2,995	776,331	2,995	100.00 %	0.39 %
Yanceyville	13	1,937	771,821	1,937	100.00 %	0.25 %
Youngsville	4	2,016	877,475	2,016	100.00 %	0.23 %
Zebulon	4	6,903	877,475	6,903	100.00 %	0.79 %
	7	6,903	823,916	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Total:				6,017,605		

Number of split municipalities: 49

Display: all municipalities

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Aberdeen	9	8,516	786,276	8,516	100.00 %	1.08 %
Ahoskie	1	4,891	687,507	4,891	100.00 %	0.71 %
Alamance	13	988	771,821	988	100.00 %	0.13 %
Albemarle	8	16,432	821,133	16,432	100.00 %	2.00 %
Alliance	3	733	745,810	733	100.00 %	0.10 %
Andrews	11	1,667	782,246	1,667	100.00 %	0.21 %
Angier (Harnett)	7	4,709	823,916	4,709	100.00 %	0.57 %
Angier (Wake)	2	556	912,226	556	100.00 %	0.06 %
Ansonville	9	440	786,276	440	100.00 %	0.06 %
Apex	2	58,780	912,226	58,780	100.00 %	6.44 %
Arapahoe	3	416	745,810	416	100.00 %	0.06 %
Archdale (Guilford)	6	380	807,200	380	100.00 %	0.05 %
Archdale (Randolph)	13	11,527	771,821	11,527	100.00 %	1.49 %
Archer Lodge	7	4,797	823,916	4,797	100.00 %	0.58 %
Asheboro	13	27,156	771,821	27,156	100.00 %	3.52 %
Asheville	11	94,589	782,246	94,589	100.00 %	12.09 %
Askewville	1	184	687,507	184	100.00 %	0.03 %
Atkinson	7	296	823,916	296	100.00 %	0.04 %
Atlantic Beach	3	1,364	745,810	1,364	100.00 %	0.18 %
Aulander	1	763	687,507	763	100.00 %	0.11 %
Aurora	3	455	745,810	455	100.00 %	0.06 %
Autryville	7	167	823,916	167	100.00 %	0.02 %
Ayden	3	4,977	745,810	4,977	100.00 %	0.67 %
Badin	8	2,024	821,133	2,024	100.00 %	0.25 %
Bailey	1	568	687,507	568	100.00 %	0.08 %
Bakersville	11	450	782,246	450	100.00 %	0.06 %
Bald Head Island	7	268	823,916	268	100.00 %	0.03 %
Banner Elk	11	1,049	782,246	1,049	100.00 %	0.13 %
Bath	3	245	745,810	245	100.00 %	0.03 %
Bayboro	3	1,161	745,810	1,161	100.00 %	0.16 %
Bear Grass	1	89	687,507	89	100.00 %	0.01 %
Beaufort	3	4,464	745,810	4,464	100.00 %	0.60 %
Beech Mountain (Avery)	11	62	782,246	62	100.00 %	0.01 %
Beech Mountain (Watauga)	5	613	747,588	613	100.00 %	0.08 %
Belhaven	3	1,410	745,810	1,410	100.00 %	0.19 %
Belmont	5	15,010	747,588	15,010	100.00 %	2.01 %
Belville	7	2,406	823,916	2,406	100.00 %	0.29 %
Belwood	5	857	747,588	857	100.00 %	0.11 %
Benson (Harnett)	7	0	823,916	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Benson (Johnston)	7	3,967	823,916	3,967	100.00 %	0.48 %
Bermuda Run	13	3,120	771,821	3,120	100.00 %	0.40 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Bessemer City	5	5,428	747,588	5,428	100.00 %	0.73 %
Bethania	10	344	776,331	344	100.00 %	0.04 %
Bethel	1	1,373	687,507	1,373	100.00 %	0.20 %
Beulaville	3	1,116	745,810	1,116	100.00 %	0.15 %
Biltmore Forest	11	1,409	782,246	1,409	100.00 %	0.18 %
Biscoe	8	1,848	821,133	1,848	100.00 %	0.23 %
Black Creek	1	692	687,507	692	100.00 %	0.10 %
Black Mountain	11	8,426	782,246	8,426	100.00 %	1.08 %
Bladenboro	7	1,648	823,916	1,648	100.00 %	0.20 %
Blowing Rock (Caldwell)	5	91	747,588	91	100.00 %	0.01 %
Blowing Rock (Watauga)	5	1,285	747,588	1,285	100.00 %	0.17 %
Boardman	7	166	823,916	166	100.00 %	0.02 %
Bogue	3	695	745,810	695	100.00 %	0.09 %
Boiling Spring Lakes	7	5,943	823,916	5,943	100.00 %	0.72 %
Boiling Springs	5	4,615	747,588	4,615	100.00 %	0.62 %
Bolivia	7	149	823,916	149	100.00 %	0.02 %
Bolton	7	519	823,916	519	100.00 %	0.06 %
Boone	5	19,092	747,588	19,092	100.00 %	2.55 %
Boonville	10	1,185	776,331	1,185	100.00 %	0.15 %
Bostic	5	355	747,588	355	100.00 %	0.05 %
Brevard	11	7,744	782,246	7,744	100.00 %	0.99 %
Bridgeton	3	349	745,810	349	100.00 %	0.05 %
Broadway (Harnett)	8	0	821,133	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Broadway (Lee)	8	1,267	821,133	1,267	100.00 %	0.15 %
Brookford	10	442	776,331	442	100.00 %	0.06 %
Brunswick	7	973	823,916	973	100.00 %	0.12 %
Bryson City	11	1,558	782,246	1,558	100.00 %	0.20 %
Bunn	4	327	877,475	327	100.00 %	0.04 %
Burgaw	7	3,088	823,916	3,088	100.00 %	0.37 %
Burlington (Alamance)	13	55,481	771,821	55,481	100.00 %	7.19 %
Burlington (Guilford)	6	1,822	807,200	1,822	100.00 %	0.23 %
Burnsville	11	1,614	782,246	1,614	100.00 %	0.21 %
Butner	4	8,397	877,475	8,397	100.00 %	0.96 %
Cajah's Mountain	5	2,722	747,588	2,722	100.00 %	0.36 %
Calabash	7	2,011	823,916	2,011	100.00 %	0.24 %
Calypso	3	327	745,810	327	100.00 %	0.04 %
Cameron	8	244	821,133	244	100.00 %	0.03 %
Candor (Montgomery)	8	813	821,133	813	100.00 %	0.10 %
Candor (Moore)	8	0	821,133	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Canton	11	4,422	782,246	4,422	100.00 %	0.57 %
Cape Carteret	3	2,224	745,810	2,224	100.00 %	0.30 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Carolina Beach	7	6,564	823,916	6,564	100.00 %	0.80 %
Carolina Shores	7	4,588	823,916	4,588	100.00 %	0.56 %
Carrboro	4	21,295	877,475	21,295	100.00 %	2.43 %
Carthage	8	2,775	821,133	2,760	99.46 %	0.34 %
	9	2,775	786,276	15	0.54 %	0.00 %
Cary (Chatham)	4	3,709	877,475	3,709	100.00 %	0.42 %
Cary (Wake)	2	171,012	912,226	171,012	100.00 %	18.75 %
Casar	5	305	747,588	305	100.00 %	0.04 %
Castalia	1	264	687,507	264	100.00 %	0.04 %
Caswell Beach	7	395	823,916	395	100.00 %	0.05 %
Catawba	10	702	776,331	702	100.00 %	0.09 %
Cedar Point	3	1,764	745,810	1,764	100.00 %	0.24 %
Cedar Rock	5	301	747,588	301	100.00 %	0.04 %
Cerro Gordo	7	131	823,916	131	100.00 %	0.02 %
Chadbourn	7	1,574	823,916	1,574	100.00 %	0.19 %
Chapel Hill (Durham)	4	2,906	877,475	2,906	100.00 %	0.33 %
Chapel Hill (Orange)	4	59,054	877,475	59,054	100.00 %	6.73 %
Charlotte	9	874,579	786,276	185,565	21.22 %	23.60 %
	12	874,579	899,859	689,014	78.78 %	76.57 %
Cherryville	5	6,078	747,588	6,078	100.00 %	0.81 %
Chimney Rock Village	11	140	782,246	140	100.00 %	0.02 %
China Grove	13	4,434	771,821	4,434	100.00 %	0.57 %
Chocowinity	3	722	745,810	722	100.00 %	0.10 %
Claremont	10	1,692	776,331	1,692	100.00 %	0.22 %
Clarkton	7	614	823,916	614	100.00 %	0.07 %
Clayton (Johnston)	7	26,307	823,916	26,307	100.00 %	3.19 %
Clayton (Wake)	2	0	912,226	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Clemmons	10	21,163	776,331	21,163	100.00 %	2.73 %
Cleveland	13	846	771,821	846	100.00 %	0.11 %
Clinton	7	8,383	823,916	8,383	100.00 %	1.02 %
Clyde	11	1,368	782,246	1,368	100.00 %	0.17 %
Coats	7	2,155	823,916	2,155	100.00 %	0.26 %
Cofield	1	267	687,507	267	100.00 %	0.04 %
Colerain	1	217	687,507	217	100.00 %	0.03 %
Columbia	3	610	745,810	610	100.00 %	0.08 %
Columbus	11	1,060	782,246	1,060	100.00 %	0.14 %
Como	1	67	687,507	67	100.00 %	0.01 %
Concord	8	105,240	821,133	105,240	100.00 %	12.82 %
Conetoe	1	198	687,507	198	100.00 %	0.03 %
Connelly Springs	5	1,529	747,588	1,529	100.00 %	0.20 %
Conover	10	8,421	776,331	8,421	100.00 %	1.08 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality by County - District Report**District Plan: Congress2020**

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Conway	1	752	687,507	752	100.00 %	0.11 %
Cooleemee	13	940	771,821	940	100.00 %	0.12 %
Cornelius	12	31,412	899,859	31,412	100.00 %	3.49 %
Cove City	3	378	745,810	378	100.00 %	0.05 %
Cramerton	5	5,296	747,588	5,296	100.00 %	0.71 %
Creedmoor	4	4,866	877,475	4,866	100.00 %	0.55 %
Creswell	1	207	687,507	207	100.00 %	0.03 %
Crossnore	11	143	782,246	143	100.00 %	0.02 %
Dallas	5	5,927	747,588	5,927	100.00 %	0.79 %
Danbury	10	189	776,331	189	100.00 %	0.02 %
Davidson (Iredell)	10	378	776,331	378	100.00 %	0.05 %
Davidson (Mecklenburg)	12	14,728	899,859	14,728	100.00 %	1.64 %
Dellview	5	6	747,588	6	100.00 %	0.00 %
Denton	13	1,494	771,821	1,494	100.00 %	0.19 %
Dillsboro	11	213	782,246	213	100.00 %	0.03 %
Dobbins Heights	9	687	786,276	687	100.00 %	0.09 %
Dobson	10	1,462	776,331	1,462	100.00 %	0.19 %
Dortches	1	1,082	687,507	1,082	100.00 %	0.16 %
Dover	3	349	745,810	349	100.00 %	0.05 %
Drexel	5	1,760	747,588	1,760	100.00 %	0.24 %
Dublin	7	267	823,916	267	100.00 %	0.03 %
Duck	3	742	745,810	742	100.00 %	0.10 %
Dunn	7	8,446	823,916	8,446	100.00 %	1.03 %
Durham (Durham)	4	283,093	877,475	283,093	100.00 %	32.26 %
Durham (Orange)	4	144	877,475	144	100.00 %	0.02 %
Durham (Wake)	2	269	912,226	269	100.00 %	0.03 %
Earl	5	198	747,588	198	100.00 %	0.03 %
East Arcadia	7	418	823,916	418	100.00 %	0.05 %
East Bend	10	634	776,331	634	100.00 %	0.08 %
East Laurinburg	9	234	786,276	234	100.00 %	0.03 %
East Spencer	13	1,567	771,821	1,567	100.00 %	0.20 %
Eastover	8	3,656	821,133	3,656	100.00 %	0.45 %
Eden	10	15,421	776,331	15,421	100.00 %	1.99 %
Edenton	3	4,460	745,810	4,460	100.00 %	0.60 %
Elizabeth City (Camden)	3	38	745,810	38	100.00 %	0.01 %
Elizabeth City (Pasquotank)	3	18,593	745,810	18,593	100.00 %	2.49 %
Elizabethtown	7	3,296	823,916	3,296	100.00 %	0.40 %
Elk Park	11	542	782,246	542	100.00 %	0.07 %
Elkin (Surry)	10	4,049	776,331	4,049	100.00 %	0.52 %
Elkin (Wilkes)	5	73	747,588	73	100.00 %	0.01 %
Ellenboro	5	723	747,588	723	100.00 %	0.10 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Ellerbe	9	864	786,276	864	100.00 %	0.11 %
Elm City (Nash)	1	0	687,507	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Elm City (Wilson)	1	1,218	687,507	1,218	100.00 %	0.18 %
Elon	13	11,336	771,821	11,336	100.00 %	1.47 %
Emerald Isle	3	3,847	745,810	3,847	100.00 %	0.52 %
Enfield	1	1,865	687,507	1,865	100.00 %	0.27 %
Erwin	7	4,542	823,916	4,542	100.00 %	0.55 %
	8	4,542	821,133	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Eureka	1	214	687,507	214	100.00 %	0.03 %
Everetts	1	150	687,507	150	100.00 %	0.02 %
Fair Bluff	7	709	823,916	709	100.00 %	0.09 %
Fairmont	9	2,191	786,276	2,191	100.00 %	0.28 %
Fairview	9	3,456	786,276	3,456	100.00 %	0.44 %
Faison (Duplin)	3	784	745,810	784	100.00 %	0.11 %
Faison (Sampson)	7	0	823,916	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Faith	13	819	771,821	819	100.00 %	0.11 %
Falcon (Cumberland)	8	324	821,133	324	100.00 %	0.04 %
Falcon (Sampson)	7	0	823,916	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Falkland	1	47	687,507	47	100.00 %	0.01 %
Fallston	5	627	747,588	627	100.00 %	0.08 %
Farmville	1	4,461	687,507	4,461	100.00 %	0.65 %
Fayetteville	8	208,501	821,133	208,501	100.00 %	25.39 %
Flat Rock	11	3,486	782,246	3,486	100.00 %	0.45 %
Fletcher	11	7,987	782,246	7,987	100.00 %	1.02 %
Fontana Dam	11	13	782,246	13	100.00 %	0.00 %
Forest City	5	7,377	747,588	7,377	100.00 %	0.99 %
Forest Hills	11	303	782,246	303	100.00 %	0.04 %
Fountain	1	385	687,507	385	100.00 %	0.06 %
Four Oaks	7	2,158	823,916	2,158	100.00 %	0.26 %
Foxfire	9	1,288	786,276	1,288	100.00 %	0.16 %
Franklin	11	4,175	782,246	4,175	100.00 %	0.53 %
Franklinton	4	2,456	877,475	2,456	100.00 %	0.28 %
Franklinville	13	1,197	771,821	1,197	100.00 %	0.16 %
Fremont	1	1,196	687,507	1,196	100.00 %	0.17 %
Fuquay-Varina (Harnett)	8	0	821,133	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	2	34,152	912,226	34,152	100.00 %	3.74 %
Gamewell	5	3,702	747,588	3,702	100.00 %	0.50 %
Garland	7	595	823,916	595	100.00 %	0.07 %
Garner	2	31,159	912,226	31,159	100.00 %	3.42 %
Garysburg	1	904	687,507	904	100.00 %	0.13 %
Gaston	1	1,008	687,507	1,008	100.00 %	0.15 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Gastonia	5	80,411	747,588	80,411	100.00 %	10.76 %
Gatesville	1	267	687,507	267	100.00 %	0.04 %
Gibson	9	449	786,276	449	100.00 %	0.06 %
Gibsonville (Alamance)	13	4,278	771,821	4,278	100.00 %	0.55 %
Gibsonville (Guilford)	6	4,642	807,200	4,642	100.00 %	0.58 %
Glen Alpine	5	1,529	747,588	1,529	100.00 %	0.20 %
Godwin	8	128	821,133	128	100.00 %	0.02 %
Goldsboro	1	33,657	687,507	33,657	100.00 %	4.90 %
Goldston	13	234	771,821	234	100.00 %	0.03 %
Graham	13	17,157	771,821	17,157	100.00 %	2.22 %
Grandfather Village	11	95	782,246	95	100.00 %	0.01 %
Granite Falls	5	4,965	747,588	4,965	100.00 %	0.66 %
Granite Quarry	13	2,984	771,821	2,984	100.00 %	0.39 %
Grantsboro	3	692	745,810	692	100.00 %	0.09 %
Green Level	13	3,152	771,821	3,152	100.00 %	0.41 %
Greenevers	3	567	745,810	567	100.00 %	0.08 %
Greensboro	6	299,035	807,200	299,035	100.00 %	37.05 %
Greenville	1	87,521	687,507	62,010	70.85 %	9.02 %
	3	87,521	745,810	25,511	29.15 %	3.42 %
Grifton (Lenoir)	3	147	745,810	147	100.00 %	0.02 %
Grifton (Pitt)	3	2,301	745,810	2,301	100.00 %	0.31 %
Grimesland	1	386	687,507	386	100.00 %	0.06 %
Grover	5	802	747,588	802	100.00 %	0.11 %
Halifax	1	170	687,507	170	100.00 %	0.02 %
Hamilton	1	306	687,507	306	100.00 %	0.04 %
Hamlet	9	6,025	786,276	6,025	100.00 %	0.77 %
Harmony	10	543	776,331	543	100.00 %	0.07 %
Harrells (Duplin)	3	0	745,810	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Harrells (Sampson)	7	160	823,916	160	100.00 %	0.02 %
Harrellsville	1	85	687,507	85	100.00 %	0.01 %
Harrisburg	8	18,967	821,133	18,967	100.00 %	2.31 %
Hassell	1	49	687,507	49	100.00 %	0.01 %
Havelock	3	16,621	745,810	16,621	100.00 %	2.23 %
Haw River	13	2,252	771,821	2,252	100.00 %	0.29 %
Hayesville	11	461	782,246	461	100.00 %	0.06 %
Hemby Bridge	9	1,614	786,276	1,614	100.00 %	0.21 %
Henderson	1	15,060	687,507	15,060	100.00 %	2.19 %
Hendersonville	11	15,137	782,246	15,137	100.00 %	1.94 %
Hertford	3	1,934	745,810	1,934	100.00 %	0.26 %
Hickory (Burke)	5	79	747,588	79	100.00 %	0.01 %
Hickory (Caldwell)	5	32	747,588	32	100.00 %	0.00 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Hickory (Catawba)	5	43,379	747,588	11,955	27.56 %	1.60 %
	10	43,379	776,331	31,424	72.44 %	4.05 %
High Point (Davidson)	13	6,646	771,821	6,646	100.00 %	0.86 %
High Point (Forsyth)	6	84	807,200	84	100.00 %	0.01 %
High Point (Guilford)	6	107,321	807,200	107,321	100.00 %	13.30 %
High Point (Randolph)	13	8	771,821	8	100.00 %	0.00 %
High Shoals	5	595	747,588	595	100.00 %	0.08 %
Highlands (Jackson)	11	12	782,246	12	100.00 %	0.00 %
Highlands (Macon)	11	1,060	782,246	1,060	100.00 %	0.14 %
Hildebran	5	1,679	747,588	1,679	100.00 %	0.22 %
Hillsborough	4	9,660	877,475	9,660	100.00 %	1.10 %
Hobgood	1	268	687,507	268	100.00 %	0.04 %
Hoffman	9	418	786,276	418	100.00 %	0.05 %
Holden Beach	7	921	823,916	921	100.00 %	0.11 %
Holly Ridge	3	4,171	745,810	4,171	100.00 %	0.56 %
Holly Springs	2	41,239	912,226	41,239	100.00 %	4.52 %
Hookerton	1	413	687,507	413	100.00 %	0.06 %
Hope Mills	8	17,808	821,133	17,808	100.00 %	2.17 %
Hot Springs	11	520	782,246	520	100.00 %	0.07 %
Hudson	5	3,780	747,588	3,780	100.00 %	0.51 %
Huntersville	12	61,376	899,859	61,376	100.00 %	6.82 %
Indian Beach	3	223	745,810	223	100.00 %	0.03 %
Indian Trail	9	39,997	786,276	39,997	100.00 %	5.09 %
Jackson	1	430	687,507	430	100.00 %	0.06 %
Jacksonville	3	72,723	745,810	72,723	100.00 %	9.75 %
Jamestown	6	3,668	807,200	3,668	100.00 %	0.45 %
Jamesville	1	424	687,507	424	100.00 %	0.06 %
Jefferson	5	1,622	747,588	1,622	100.00 %	0.22 %
Jonesville	10	2,308	776,331	2,308	100.00 %	0.30 %
Kannapolis (Cabarrus)	8	42,846	821,133	42,846	100.00 %	5.22 %
Kannapolis (Rowan)	13	10,268	771,821	10,268	100.00 %	1.33 %
Kelford	1	203	687,507	203	100.00 %	0.03 %
Kenansville	3	770	745,810	770	100.00 %	0.10 %
Kenly (Johnston)	7	1,293	823,916	1,293	100.00 %	0.16 %
Kenly (Wilson)	1	198	687,507	198	100.00 %	0.03 %
Kernersville (Forsyth)	6	25,947	807,200	23,303	89.81 %	2.89 %
	10	25,947	776,331	2,644	10.19 %	0.34 %
Kernersville (Guilford)	6	502	807,200	502	100.00 %	0.06 %
Kill Devil Hills	3	7,656	745,810	7,656	100.00 %	1.03 %
King (Forsyth)	10	591	776,331	591	100.00 %	0.08 %
King (Stokes)	10	6,606	776,331	6,606	100.00 %	0.85 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Kings Mountain (Cleveland)	5	10,032	747,588	10,032	100.00 %	1.34 %
Kings Mountain (Gaston)	5	1,110	747,588	1,110	100.00 %	0.15 %
Kingstown	5	656	747,588	656	100.00 %	0.09 %
Kinston	3	19,900	745,810	19,900	100.00 %	2.67 %
Kittrell	1	132	687,507	132	100.00 %	0.02 %
Kitty Hawk	3	3,689	745,810	3,689	100.00 %	0.49 %
Knightdale	2	19,435	912,226	12,978	66.78 %	1.42 %
	4	19,435	877,475	6,457	33.22 %	0.74 %
Kure Beach	7	2,191	823,916	2,191	100.00 %	0.27 %
La Grange	3	2,595	745,810	2,595	100.00 %	0.35 %
Lake Lure	11	1,365	782,246	1,365	100.00 %	0.17 %
Lake Park	9	3,269	786,276	3,269	100.00 %	0.42 %
Lake Santeetlah	11	38	782,246	38	100.00 %	0.00 %
Lake Waccamaw	7	1,296	823,916	1,296	100.00 %	0.16 %
Landis	13	3,690	771,821	3,690	100.00 %	0.48 %
Lansing	5	126	747,588	126	100.00 %	0.02 %
Lasker	1	64	687,507	64	100.00 %	0.01 %
Lattimore	5	406	747,588	406	100.00 %	0.05 %
Laurel Park	11	2,250	782,246	2,250	100.00 %	0.29 %
Laurinburg	9	14,978	786,276	14,978	100.00 %	1.90 %
Lawndale	5	570	747,588	570	100.00 %	0.08 %
Leggett	1	37	687,507	37	100.00 %	0.01 %
Leland	7	22,908	823,916	22,908	100.00 %	2.78 %
Lenoir	5	18,352	747,588	18,352	100.00 %	2.45 %
Lewiston Woodville	1	426	687,507	426	100.00 %	0.06 %
Lewisville	10	13,381	776,331	13,381	100.00 %	1.72 %
Lexington	13	19,632	771,821	19,632	100.00 %	2.54 %
Liberty	13	2,655	771,821	2,655	100.00 %	0.34 %
Lilesville	9	395	786,276	395	100.00 %	0.05 %
Lillington	7	4,735	823,916	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	8	4,735	821,133	4,735	100.00 %	0.58 %
Lincolnton	10	11,091	776,331	11,091	100.00 %	1.43 %
Linden	8	136	821,133	136	100.00 %	0.02 %
Littleton	1	559	687,507	559	100.00 %	0.08 %
Locust (Cabarrus)	8	541	821,133	541	100.00 %	0.07 %
Locust (Stanly)	8	3,996	821,133	3,996	100.00 %	0.49 %
Long View (Burke)	5	735	747,588	735	100.00 %	0.10 %
Long View (Catawba)	10	4,353	776,331	4,353	100.00 %	0.56 %
Louisburg	4	3,064	877,475	3,064	100.00 %	0.35 %
Love Valley	10	154	776,331	154	100.00 %	0.02 %
Lowell	5	3,654	747,588	3,654	100.00 %	0.49 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Lucama	1	1,036	687,507	1,036	100.00 %	0.15 %
Lumber Bridge	9	82	786,276	82	100.00 %	0.01 %
Lumberton	9	19,025	786,276	19,025	100.00 %	2.42 %
Macclesfield	1	413	687,507	413	100.00 %	0.06 %
Macon	1	110	687,507	110	100.00 %	0.02 %
Madison	10	2,129	776,331	2,129	100.00 %	0.27 %
Maggie Valley	11	1,687	782,246	1,687	100.00 %	0.22 %
Magnolia	3	831	745,810	831	100.00 %	0.11 %
Maiden (Catawba)	10	3,736	776,331	3,736	100.00 %	0.48 %
Maiden (Lincoln)	10	0	776,331	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Manteo	3	1,600	745,810	1,600	100.00 %	0.21 %
Marietta	9	111	786,276	111	100.00 %	0.01 %
Marion	11	7,717	782,246	7,717	100.00 %	0.99 %
Mars Hill	11	2,007	782,246	2,007	100.00 %	0.26 %
Marshall	11	777	782,246	777	100.00 %	0.10 %
Marshville	9	2,522	786,276	2,522	100.00 %	0.32 %
Marvin	9	6,358	786,276	6,358	100.00 %	0.81 %
Matthews	9	29,435	786,276	17,885	60.76 %	2.27 %
	12	29,435	899,859	11,550	39.24 %	1.28 %
Maxton (Robeson)	9	1,902	786,276	1,902	100.00 %	0.24 %
Maxton (Scotland)	9	208	786,276	208	100.00 %	0.03 %
Mayodan	10	2,418	776,331	2,418	100.00 %	0.31 %
Maysville	3	818	745,810	818	100.00 %	0.11 %
McAdenville	5	890	747,588	890	100.00 %	0.12 %
McDonald	9	94	786,276	94	100.00 %	0.01 %
McFarlan	9	94	786,276	94	100.00 %	0.01 %
Mebane (Alamance)	13	14,626	771,821	14,626	100.00 %	1.89 %
Mebane (Orange)	4	3,171	877,475	3,171	100.00 %	0.36 %
Mesic	3	144	745,810	144	100.00 %	0.02 %
Micro	7	458	823,916	458	100.00 %	0.06 %
Middleburg	1	101	687,507	101	100.00 %	0.01 %
Middlesex	1	912	687,507	912	100.00 %	0.13 %
Midland (Cabarrus)	8	4,684	821,133	4,684	100.00 %	0.57 %
Midland (Mecklenburg)	12	0	899,859	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Midway	13	4,742	771,821	4,742	100.00 %	0.61 %
Mills River	11	7,078	782,246	7,078	100.00 %	0.90 %
Milton	13	155	771,821	155	100.00 %	0.02 %
Mineral Springs	9	3,159	786,276	3,159	100.00 %	0.40 %
Minnesott Beach	3	530	745,810	530	100.00 %	0.07 %
Mint Hill (Mecklenburg)	12	26,444	899,859	26,444	100.00 %	2.94 %
Mint Hill (Union)	9	6	786,276	6	100.00 %	0.00 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Misenheimer	8	650	821,133	650	100.00 %	0.08 %
Mocksville	13	5,900	771,821	5,900	100.00 %	0.76 %
Momeyer	1	277	687,507	277	100.00 %	0.04 %
Monroe	9	34,562	786,276	34,562	100.00 %	4.40 %
Montreat	11	901	782,246	901	100.00 %	0.12 %
Moorestboro	5	293	747,588	293	100.00 %	0.04 %
Mooresville	10	50,193	776,331	49,900	99.42 %	6.43 %
	13	50,193	771,821	293	0.58 %	0.04 %
Morehead City	3	9,556	745,810	9,556	100.00 %	1.28 %
Morganton	5	17,474	747,588	17,474	100.00 %	2.34 %
Morrisville (Durham)	4	207	877,475	207	100.00 %	0.02 %
Morrisville (Wake)	2	29,423	912,226	29,423	100.00 %	3.23 %
Morven	9	329	786,276	329	100.00 %	0.04 %
Mount Airy	10	10,676	776,331	10,676	100.00 %	1.38 %
Mount Gilead	8	1,171	821,133	1,171	100.00 %	0.14 %
Mount Holly	5	17,703	747,588	17,703	100.00 %	2.37 %
Mount Olive (Duplin)	3	5	745,810	5	100.00 %	0.00 %
Mount Olive (Wayne)	1	4,193	687,507	4,193	100.00 %	0.61 %
Mount Pleasant	8	1,671	821,133	1,671	100.00 %	0.20 %
Murfreesboro	1	2,619	687,507	2,619	100.00 %	0.38 %
Murphy	11	1,608	782,246	1,608	100.00 %	0.21 %
Nags Head	3	3,168	745,810	3,168	100.00 %	0.42 %
Nashville	1	5,632	687,507	5,632	100.00 %	0.82 %
Navassa	7	1,367	823,916	1,367	100.00 %	0.17 %
New Bern	3	31,291	745,810	31,291	100.00 %	4.20 %
New London	8	607	821,133	607	100.00 %	0.07 %
Newland	11	715	782,246	715	100.00 %	0.09 %
Newport	3	4,364	745,810	4,364	100.00 %	0.59 %
Newton	10	13,148	776,331	13,148	100.00 %	1.69 %
Newton Grove	7	585	823,916	585	100.00 %	0.07 %
Norlina	1	920	687,507	920	100.00 %	0.13 %
Norman	9	100	786,276	100	100.00 %	0.01 %
North Topsail Beach	3	1,005	745,810	1,005	100.00 %	0.13 %
North Wilkesboro	5	4,382	747,588	4,382	100.00 %	0.59 %
Northwest	7	703	823,916	703	100.00 %	0.09 %
Norwood	8	2,367	821,133	2,367	100.00 %	0.29 %
Oak City	1	266	687,507	266	100.00 %	0.04 %
Oak Island	7	8,396	823,916	8,396	100.00 %	1.02 %
Oak Ridge	6	7,474	807,200	7,474	100.00 %	0.93 %
Oakboro	8	2,128	821,133	2,128	100.00 %	0.26 %
Ocean Isle Beach	7	867	823,916	867	100.00 %	0.11 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Old Fort	11	811	782,246	811	100.00 %	0.10 %
Oriental	3	880	745,810	880	100.00 %	0.12 %
Orrum	9	59	786,276	59	100.00 %	0.01 %
Ossipee	13	536	771,821	536	100.00 %	0.07 %
Oxford	4	8,628	877,475	8,628	100.00 %	0.98 %
Pantego	3	164	745,810	164	100.00 %	0.02 %
Parkton	9	504	786,276	504	100.00 %	0.06 %
Parmele	1	243	687,507	243	100.00 %	0.04 %
Patterson Springs	5	571	747,588	571	100.00 %	0.08 %
Peachland	9	390	786,276	390	100.00 %	0.05 %
Peletier	3	769	745,810	769	100.00 %	0.10 %
Pembroke	9	2,823	786,276	2,823	100.00 %	0.36 %
Pikeville	1	712	687,507	712	100.00 %	0.10 %
Pilot Mountain	10	1,440	776,331	1,440	100.00 %	0.19 %
Pine Knoll Shores	3	1,388	745,810	1,388	100.00 %	0.19 %
Pine Level	7	2,046	823,916	2,046	100.00 %	0.25 %
Pinebluff	9	1,473	786,276	1,473	100.00 %	0.19 %
Pinehurst	9	17,581	786,276	17,581	100.00 %	2.24 %
Pinetops	1	1,200	687,507	1,200	100.00 %	0.17 %
Pineville	9	10,602	786,276	6,981	65.85 %	0.89 %
	12	10,602	899,859	3,621	34.15 %	0.40 %
Pink Hill	3	451	745,810	451	100.00 %	0.06 %
Pittsboro	4	4,537	877,475	4,537	100.00 %	0.52 %
Pleasant Garden	6	5,000	807,200	5,000	100.00 %	0.62 %
Plymouth	1	3,320	687,507	3,320	100.00 %	0.48 %
Polkton	9	2,250	786,276	2,250	100.00 %	0.29 %
Polkville	5	516	747,588	516	100.00 %	0.07 %
Pollocksville	3	268	745,810	268	100.00 %	0.04 %
Powellsville	1	189	687,507	189	100.00 %	0.03 %
Princeton	7	1,315	823,916	1,315	100.00 %	0.16 %
Princeville	1	1,254	687,507	1,254	100.00 %	0.18 %
Proctorville	9	121	786,276	121	100.00 %	0.02 %
Raeford	9	4,559	786,276	4,559	100.00 %	0.58 %
Raleigh (Durham)	4	1,559	877,475	1,559	100.00 %	0.18 %
Raleigh (Wake)	2	466,106	912,226	392,229	84.15 %	43.00 %
	4	466,106	877,475	73,877	15.85 %	8.42 %
Ramseur	13	1,774	771,821	1,774	100.00 %	0.23 %
Randleman	13	4,595	771,821	4,595	100.00 %	0.60 %
Ranlo	5	4,511	747,588	4,511	100.00 %	0.60 %
Raynham	9	60	786,276	60	100.00 %	0.01 %
Red Cross	8	762	821,133	762	100.00 %	0.09 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Red Oak	1	3,342	687,507	3,342	100.00 %	0.49 %
Red Springs (Hoke)	9	0	786,276	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Red Springs (Robeson)	9	3,087	786,276	3,087	100.00 %	0.39 %
Reidsville	10	14,583	776,331	14,583	100.00 %	1.88 %
Rennert	9	275	786,276	275	100.00 %	0.03 %
Rhodhiss (Burke)	5	639	747,588	639	100.00 %	0.09 %
Rhodhiss (Caldwell)	5	358	747,588	358	100.00 %	0.05 %
Rich Square	1	894	687,507	894	100.00 %	0.13 %
Richfield	8	582	821,133	582	100.00 %	0.07 %
Richlands	3	2,287	745,810	2,287	100.00 %	0.31 %
River Bend	3	2,902	745,810	2,902	100.00 %	0.39 %
Roanoke Rapids	1	15,229	687,507	15,229	100.00 %	2.22 %
Robbins	8	1,168	821,133	1,168	100.00 %	0.14 %
Robbinsville	11	597	782,246	597	100.00 %	0.08 %
Robersonville	1	1,269	687,507	1,269	100.00 %	0.18 %
Rockingham	9	9,243	786,276	9,243	100.00 %	1.18 %
Rockwell	13	2,302	771,821	2,302	100.00 %	0.30 %
Rocky Mount (Edgecombe)	1	15,414	687,507	15,414	100.00 %	2.24 %
Rocky Mount (Nash)	1	38,927	687,507	38,927	100.00 %	5.66 %
Rolesville	4	9,475	877,475	9,475	100.00 %	1.08 %
Ronda	5	438	747,588	438	100.00 %	0.06 %
Roper	1	485	687,507	485	100.00 %	0.07 %
Rose Hill	3	1,371	745,810	1,371	100.00 %	0.18 %
Roseboro	7	1,163	823,916	1,163	100.00 %	0.14 %
Rosman	11	701	782,246	701	100.00 %	0.09 %
Rowland	9	885	786,276	885	100.00 %	0.11 %
Roxboro	13	8,134	771,821	8,134	100.00 %	1.05 %
Roxobel	1	187	687,507	187	100.00 %	0.03 %
Rural Hall	10	3,351	776,331	3,351	100.00 %	0.43 %
Ruth	11	347	782,246	347	100.00 %	0.04 %
Rutherford College (Burke)	5	1,226	747,588	1,226	100.00 %	0.16 %
Rutherford College (Caldwell)	5	0	747,588	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Rutherfordton	5	3,640	747,588	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	11	3,640	782,246	3,640	100.00 %	0.47 %
Salemburg	7	457	823,916	457	100.00 %	0.06 %
Salisbury	13	35,540	771,821	35,540	100.00 %	4.60 %
Saluda (Henderson)	11	11	782,246	11	100.00 %	0.00 %
Saluda (Polk)	11	620	782,246	620	100.00 %	0.08 %
Sandy Creek	7	248	823,916	248	100.00 %	0.03 %
Sandyfield	7	430	823,916	430	100.00 %	0.05 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Sanford	8	30,261	821,133	21,908	72.40 %	2.67 %
	13	30,261	771,821	8,353	27.60 %	1.08 %
Saratoga	1	353	687,507	353	100.00 %	0.05 %
Sawmills	5	5,020	747,588	5,020	100.00 %	0.67 %
Scotland Neck	1	1,640	687,507	1,640	100.00 %	0.24 %
Seaboard	1	542	687,507	542	100.00 %	0.08 %
Seagrove	13	235	771,821	235	100.00 %	0.03 %
Sedalia	6	676	807,200	676	100.00 %	0.08 %
Selma	7	6,317	823,916	6,317	100.00 %	0.77 %
Seven Devils (Avery)	11	38	782,246	38	100.00 %	0.00 %
Seven Devils (Watauga)	5	275	747,588	275	100.00 %	0.04 %
Seven Springs	1	55	687,507	55	100.00 %	0.01 %
Severn	1	191	687,507	191	100.00 %	0.03 %
Shallotte	7	4,185	823,916	4,185	100.00 %	0.51 %
Sharpsburg (Edgecombe)	1	215	687,507	215	100.00 %	0.03 %
Sharpsburg (Nash)	1	1,061	687,507	1,061	100.00 %	0.15 %
Sharpsburg (Wilson)	1	421	687,507	421	100.00 %	0.06 %
Shelby	5	21,918	747,588	21,918	100.00 %	2.93 %
Siler City	4	7,702	877,475	1,141	14.81 %	0.13 %
	13	7,702	771,821	6,561	85.19 %	0.85 %
Simpson	1	390	687,507	390	100.00 %	0.06 %
Sims	1	275	687,507	275	100.00 %	0.04 %
Smithfield	7	11,292	823,916	11,292	100.00 %	1.37 %
Snow Hill	1	1,481	687,507	1,481	100.00 %	0.22 %
Southern Pines	9	15,545	786,276	15,545	100.00 %	1.98 %
Southern Shores	3	3,090	745,810	3,090	100.00 %	0.41 %
Southport	7	3,971	823,916	3,971	100.00 %	0.48 %
Sparta	5	1,834	747,588	1,834	100.00 %	0.25 %
Speed	1	63	687,507	63	100.00 %	0.01 %
Spencer	13	3,308	771,821	3,308	100.00 %	0.43 %
Spencer Mountain	5	0	747,588	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Spindale	5	4,225	747,588	2,387	56.50 %	0.32 %
	11	4,225	782,246	1,838	43.50 %	0.23 %
Spring Hope	1	1,309	687,507	1,309	100.00 %	0.19 %
Spring Lake	8	11,660	821,133	11,660	100.00 %	1.42 %
Spruce Pine	11	2,194	782,246	2,194	100.00 %	0.28 %
St. Helena	7	417	823,916	417	100.00 %	0.05 %
St. James	7	6,529	823,916	6,529	100.00 %	0.79 %
St. Pauls	9	2,045	786,276	2,045	100.00 %	0.26 %
Staley	13	397	771,821	397	100.00 %	0.05 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Stallings (Mecklenburg)	9	384	786,276	11	2.86 %	0.00 %
	12	384	899,859	373	97.14 %	0.04 %
Stallings (Union)	9	15,728	786,276	15,728	100.00 %	2.00 %
Stanfield	8	1,585	821,133	1,585	100.00 %	0.19 %
Stanley	5	3,963	747,588	3,963	100.00 %	0.53 %
Stantonsburg	1	762	687,507	762	100.00 %	0.11 %
Star	8	806	821,133	806	100.00 %	0.10 %
Statesville	10	28,419	776,331	28,419	100.00 %	3.66 %
Stedman	8	1,277	821,133	1,277	100.00 %	0.16 %
Stem	4	960	877,475	960	100.00 %	0.11 %
Stokesdale	6	5,924	807,200	5,924	100.00 %	0.73 %
Stoneville	10	1,308	776,331	1,308	100.00 %	0.17 %
Stonewall	3	214	745,810	214	100.00 %	0.03 %
Stovall	4	324	877,475	324	100.00 %	0.04 %
Sugar Mountain	11	371	782,246	371	100.00 %	0.05 %
Summerfield	6	10,951	807,200	10,951	100.00 %	1.36 %
Sunset Beach	7	4,175	823,916	4,175	100.00 %	0.51 %
Surf City (Onslow)	3	334	745,810	334	100.00 %	0.04 %
Surf City (Pender)	7	3,533	823,916	3,533	100.00 %	0.43 %
Swansboro	3	3,744	745,810	3,744	100.00 %	0.50 %
Sweepsonville	13	2,445	771,821	2,445	100.00 %	0.32 %
Sylva	11	2,578	782,246	2,578	100.00 %	0.33 %
Tabor City	7	3,781	823,916	3,781	100.00 %	0.46 %
Tar Heel	7	90	823,916	90	100.00 %	0.01 %
Tarboro	1	10,721	687,507	10,721	100.00 %	1.56 %
Taylorville	5	2,320	747,588	2,320	100.00 %	0.31 %
Taylorstown	9	634	786,276	634	100.00 %	0.08 %
Teachey	3	448	745,810	448	100.00 %	0.06 %
Thomasville (Davidson)	13	26,662	771,821	26,662	100.00 %	3.45 %
Thomasville (Randolph)	13	521	771,821	521	100.00 %	0.07 %
Tobaccoville (Forsyth)	10	2,578	776,331	2,578	100.00 %	0.33 %
Tobaccoville (Stokes)	10	0	776,331	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Topsail Beach	7	461	823,916	461	100.00 %	0.06 %
Trent Woods	3	4,074	745,810	4,074	100.00 %	0.55 %
Trenton	3	238	745,810	238	100.00 %	0.03 %
Trinity	13	7,006	771,821	7,006	100.00 %	0.91 %
Troutman	10	3,698	776,331	3,698	100.00 %	0.48 %
Troy	8	2,850	821,133	2,850	100.00 %	0.35 %
Tryon	11	1,562	782,246	1,562	100.00 %	0.20 %
Turkey	7	213	823,916	213	100.00 %	0.03 %
Unionville	9	6,643	786,276	6,643	100.00 %	0.84 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Valdese	5	4,689	747,588	4,689	100.00 %	0.63 %
Vanceboro	3	869	745,810	869	100.00 %	0.12 %
Vandemere	3	246	745,810	246	100.00 %	0.03 %
Varnamtown	7	525	823,916	525	100.00 %	0.06 %
Vass	8	952	821,133	952	100.00 %	0.12 %
Waco	5	310	747,588	310	100.00 %	0.04 %
Wade	8	638	821,133	638	100.00 %	0.08 %
Wadesboro	9	5,008	786,276	5,008	100.00 %	0.64 %
Wagram	9	615	786,276	615	100.00 %	0.08 %
Wake Forest (Franklin)	4	1,504	877,475	1,504	100.00 %	0.17 %
Wake Forest (Wake)	4	46,097	877,475	46,097	100.00 %	5.25 %
Walkertown	6	5,692	807,200	3,329	58.49 %	0.41 %
	10	5,692	776,331	2,363	41.51 %	0.30 %
Wallace (Duplin)	3	3,413	745,810	3,413	100.00 %	0.46 %
Wallace (Pender)	7	0	823,916	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Wallburg	13	3,051	771,821	3,051	100.00 %	0.40 %
Walnut Cove	10	1,586	776,331	1,586	100.00 %	0.20 %
Walnut Creek	1	1,084	687,507	1,084	100.00 %	0.16 %
Walstonburg	1	193	687,507	193	100.00 %	0.03 %
Warrenton	1	851	687,507	851	100.00 %	0.12 %
Warsaw	3	2,733	745,810	2,733	100.00 %	0.37 %
Washington	3	9,875	745,810	9,875	100.00 %	1.32 %
Washington Park	3	392	745,810	392	100.00 %	0.05 %
Watha	7	181	823,916	181	100.00 %	0.02 %
Waxhaw	9	20,534	786,276	20,534	100.00 %	2.61 %
Waynesville	11	10,140	782,246	10,140	100.00 %	1.30 %
Weaverville	11	4,567	782,246	4,567	100.00 %	0.58 %
Webster	11	372	782,246	372	100.00 %	0.05 %
Weddington (Mecklenburg)	9	5	786,276	5	100.00 %	0.00 %
Weddington (Union)	9	13,176	786,276	13,176	100.00 %	1.68 %
Weldon	1	1,444	687,507	1,444	100.00 %	0.21 %
Wendell	2	9,793	912,226	6,605	67.45 %	0.72 %
	4	9,793	877,475	3,188	32.55 %	0.36 %
Wentworth	10	2,662	776,331	2,662	100.00 %	0.34 %
Wesley Chapel	9	8,681	786,276	8,681	100.00 %	1.10 %
West Jefferson	5	1,279	747,588	1,279	100.00 %	0.17 %
Whispering Pines	8	4,987	821,133	3,363	67.44 %	0.41 %
	9	4,987	786,276	1,624	32.56 %	0.21 %
Whitakers (Edgecombe)	1	290	687,507	290	100.00 %	0.04 %
Whitakers (Nash)	1	337	687,507	337	100.00 %	0.05 %
White Lake	7	843	823,916	843	100.00 %	0.10 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Whiteville	7	4,766	823,916	4,766	100.00 %	0.58 %
Whitsett	6	584	807,200	584	100.00 %	0.07 %
Wilkesboro	5	3,687	747,588	3,687	100.00 %	0.49 %
Williamston	1	5,248	687,507	5,248	100.00 %	0.76 %
Wilmington	7	115,451	823,916	115,451	100.00 %	14.01 %
Wilson	1	47,851	687,507	47,851	100.00 %	6.96 %
Wilson's Mills	7	2,534	823,916	2,534	100.00 %	0.31 %
Windsor	1	3,582	687,507	3,582	100.00 %	0.52 %
Winfall	3	555	745,810	555	100.00 %	0.07 %
Wingate	9	4,055	786,276	4,055	100.00 %	0.52 %
Winston-Salem	6	249,545	807,200	217,946	87.34 %	27.00 %
	10	249,545	776,331	31,599	12.66 %	4.07 %
Winterville	1	10,462	687,507	10,462	100.00 %	1.52 %
	3	10,462	745,810	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Winton	1	629	687,507	629	100.00 %	0.09 %
Woodfin	11	7,936	782,246	7,936	100.00 %	1.01 %
Woodland	1	557	687,507	557	100.00 %	0.08 %
Wrightsville Beach	7	2,473	823,916	2,473	100.00 %	0.30 %
Yadkinville	10	2,995	776,331	2,995	100.00 %	0.39 %
Yanceyville	13	1,937	771,821	1,937	100.00 %	0.25 %
Youngsville	4	2,016	877,475	2,016	100.00 %	0.23 %
Zebulon (Johnston)	7	0	823,916	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Zebulon (Wake)	4	6,903	877,475	6,903	100.00 %	0.79 %
Total:				6,017,605		

Number of municipalities split within counties: 22

Display: all municipalities

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: Congress2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
1	Ahoskie	687,507	4,891	4,891	0.71 %	100.00 %
	Askewville	687,507	184	184	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Aulander	687,507	763	763	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Bailey	687,507	568	568	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Bear Grass	687,507	89	89	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Bethel	687,507	1,373	1,373	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Black Creek	687,507	692	692	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Castalia	687,507	264	264	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Cofield	687,507	267	267	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Colerain	687,507	217	217	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Como	687,507	67	67	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Conetoe	687,507	198	198	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Conway	687,507	752	752	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Creswell	687,507	207	207	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Dortches	687,507	1,082	1,082	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Elm City (Nash)	687,507	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Elm City (Wilson)	687,507	1,218	1,218	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Enfield	687,507	1,865	1,865	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Eureka	687,507	214	214	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Everetts	687,507	150	150	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Falkland	687,507	47	47	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Farmville	687,507	4,461	4,461	0.65 %	100.00 %
	Fountain	687,507	385	385	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Fremont	687,507	1,196	1,196	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Garysburg	687,507	904	904	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Gaston	687,507	1,008	1,008	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Gatesville	687,507	267	267	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Goldsboro	687,507	33,657	33,657	4.90 %	100.00 %
	Greenville	687,507	87,521	62,010	9.02 %	70.85 %
	Grimesland	687,507	386	386	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Halifax	687,507	170	170	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Hamilton	687,507	306	306	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Harrellsville	687,507	85	85	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Hassell	687,507	49	49	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Henderson	687,507	15,060	15,060	2.19 %	100.00 %
	Hobgood	687,507	268	268	0.04 %	100.00 %
Hookerton	687,507	413	413	0.06 %	100.00 %	
Jackson	687,507	430	430	0.06 %	100.00 %	
Jamesville	687,507	424	424	0.06 %	100.00 %	
Kelford	687,507	203	203	0.03 %	100.00 %	
Kenly (Wilson)	687,507	198	198	0.03 %	100.00 %	

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: Congress2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
1	Kittrell	687,507	132	132	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Lasker	687,507	64	64	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Leggett	687,507	37	37	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Lewiston Woodville	687,507	426	426	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Littleton	687,507	559	559	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Lucama	687,507	1,036	1,036	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Macclesfield	687,507	413	413	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Macon	687,507	110	110	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Middleburg	687,507	101	101	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Middlesex	687,507	912	912	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Momeyer	687,507	277	277	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Mount Olive (Wayne)	687,507	4,193	4,193	0.61 %	100.00 %
	Murfreesboro	687,507	2,619	2,619	0.38 %	100.00 %
	Nashville	687,507	5,632	5,632	0.82 %	100.00 %
	Norlina	687,507	920	920	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Oak City	687,507	266	266	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Parmele	687,507	243	243	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Pikeville	687,507	712	712	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Pinetops	687,507	1,200	1,200	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Plymouth	687,507	3,320	3,320	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Powellsville	687,507	189	189	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Princeville	687,507	1,254	1,254	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Red Oak	687,507	3,342	3,342	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Rich Square	687,507	894	894	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Roanoke Rapids	687,507	15,229	15,229	2.22 %	100.00 %
	Robersonville	687,507	1,269	1,269	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Rocky Mount (Edgecombe)	687,507	15,414	15,414	2.24 %	100.00 %
	Rocky Mount (Nash)	687,507	38,927	38,927	5.66 %	100.00 %
	Roper	687,507	485	485	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Roxobel	687,507	187	187	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Saratoga	687,507	353	353	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Scotland Neck	687,507	1,640	1,640	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Seaboard	687,507	542	542	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Seven Springs	687,507	55	55	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Severn	687,507	191	191	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Sharpsburg (Edgecombe)	687,507	215	215	0.03 %	100.00 %
Sharpsburg (Nash)	687,507	1,061	1,061	0.15 %	100.00 %	
Sharpsburg (Wilson)	687,507	421	421	0.06 %	100.00 %	
Simpson	687,507	390	390	0.06 %	100.00 %	
Sims	687,507	275	275	0.04 %	100.00 %	
Snow Hill	687,507	1,481	1,481	0.22 %	100.00 %	

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: Congress2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
1	Speed	687,507	63	63	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Spring Hope	687,507	1,309	1,309	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Stantonsburg	687,507	762	762	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Tarboro	687,507	10,721	10,721	1.56 %	100.00 %
	Walnut Creek	687,507	1,084	1,084	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Walstonburg	687,507	193	193	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Warrenton	687,507	851	851	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Weldon	687,507	1,444	1,444	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Whitakers (Edgecombe)	687,507	290	290	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Whitakers (Nash)	687,507	337	337	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Williamston	687,507	5,248	5,248	0.76 %	100.00 %
	Wilson	687,507	47,851	47,851	6.96 %	100.00 %
	Windsor	687,507	3,582	3,582	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Winterville	687,507	10,462	10,462	1.52 %	100.00 %
	Winton	687,507	629	629	0.09 %	100.00 %
Woodland	687,507	557	557	0.08 %	100.00 %	
2	Angier (Wake)	912,226	556	556	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Apex	912,226	58,780	58,780	6.44 %	100.00 %
	Cary (Wake)	912,226	171,012	171,012	18.75 %	100.00 %
	Clayton (Wake)	912,226	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Durham (Wake)	912,226	269	269	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	912,226	34,152	34,152	3.74 %	100.00 %
	Garner	912,226	31,159	31,159	3.42 %	100.00 %
	Holly Springs	912,226	41,239	41,239	4.52 %	100.00 %
	Knightdale	912,226	19,435	12,978	1.42 %	66.78 %
	Morrisville (Wake)	912,226	29,423	29,423	3.23 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	912,226	466,106	392,229	43.00 %	84.15 %
	Wendell	912,226	9,793	6,605	0.72 %	67.45 %
3	Alliance	745,810	733	733	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Arapahoe	745,810	416	416	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Atlantic Beach	745,810	1,364	1,364	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Aurora	745,810	455	455	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Ayden	745,810	4,977	4,977	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Bath	745,810	245	245	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Bayboro	745,810	1,161	1,161	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Beaufort	745,810	4,464	4,464	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Belhaven	745,810	1,410	1,410	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Beulaville	745,810	1,116	1,116	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Bogue	745,810	695	695	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Bridgeton	745,810	349	349	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Calypso	745,810	327	327	0.04 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: Congress2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
3	Cape Carteret	745,810	2,224	2,224	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Cedar Point	745,810	1,764	1,764	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Chocowinity	745,810	722	722	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Columbia	745,810	610	610	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Cove City	745,810	378	378	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Dover	745,810	349	349	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Duck	745,810	742	742	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Edenton	745,810	4,460	4,460	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Elizabeth City (Camden)	745,810	38	38	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Elizabeth City (Pasquotank)	745,810	18,593	18,593	2.49 %	100.00 %
	Emerald Isle	745,810	3,847	3,847	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Faison (Duplin)	745,810	784	784	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Grantsboro	745,810	692	692	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Greenevers	745,810	567	567	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Greenville	745,810	87,521	25,511	3.42 %	29.15 %
	Grifton (Lenoir)	745,810	147	147	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Grifton (Pitt)	745,810	2,301	2,301	0.31 %	100.00 %
	Harrells (Duplin)	745,810	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Havelock	745,810	16,621	16,621	2.23 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	745,810	1,934	1,934	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Holly Ridge	745,810	4,171	4,171	0.56 %	100.00 %
	Indian Beach	745,810	223	223	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Jacksonville	745,810	72,723	72,723	9.75 %	100.00 %
	Kenansville	745,810	770	770	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Kill Devil Hills	745,810	7,656	7,656	1.03 %	100.00 %
	Kinston	745,810	19,900	19,900	2.67 %	100.00 %
	Kitty Hawk	745,810	3,689	3,689	0.49 %	100.00 %
	La Grange	745,810	2,595	2,595	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Magnolia	745,810	831	831	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Manteo	745,810	1,600	1,600	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Maysville	745,810	818	818	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Mesic	745,810	144	144	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Minnesott Beach	745,810	530	530	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Morehead City	745,810	9,556	9,556	1.28 %	100.00 %
Mount Olive (Duplin)	745,810	5	5	0.00 %	100.00 %	
Nags Head	745,810	3,168	3,168	0.42 %	100.00 %	
New Bern	745,810	31,291	31,291	4.20 %	100.00 %	
Newport	745,810	4,364	4,364	0.59 %	100.00 %	
North Topsail Beach	745,810	1,005	1,005	0.13 %	100.00 %	
Oriental	745,810	880	880	0.12 %	100.00 %	
Pantego	745,810	164	164	0.02 %	100.00 %	

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: Congress2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
3	Peletier	745,810	769	769	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Pine Knoll Shores	745,810	1,388	1,388	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Pink Hill	745,810	451	451	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Pollocksville	745,810	268	268	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Richlands	745,810	2,287	2,287	0.31 %	100.00 %
	River Bend	745,810	2,902	2,902	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Rose Hill	745,810	1,371	1,371	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Southern Shores	745,810	3,090	3,090	0.41 %	100.00 %
	Stonewall	745,810	214	214	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Surf City (Onslow)	745,810	334	334	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Swansboro	745,810	3,744	3,744	0.50 %	100.00 %
	Teachey	745,810	448	448	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Trent Woods	745,810	4,074	4,074	0.55 %	100.00 %
	Trenton	745,810	238	238	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Vanceboro	745,810	869	869	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Vandemere	745,810	246	246	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Wallace (Duplin)	745,810	3,413	3,413	0.46 %	100.00 %
	Warsaw	745,810	2,733	2,733	0.37 %	100.00 %
	Washington	745,810	9,875	9,875	1.32 %	100.00 %
	Washington Park	745,810	392	392	0.05 %	100.00 %
Winfall	745,810	555	555	0.07 %	100.00 %	
Winterville	745,810	10,462	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
4	Bunn	877,475	327	327	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Butner	877,475	8,397	8,397	0.96 %	100.00 %
	Carrboro	877,475	21,295	21,295	2.43 %	100.00 %
	Cary (Chatham)	877,475	3,709	3,709	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Chapel Hill (Durham)	877,475	2,906	2,906	0.33 %	100.00 %
	Chapel Hill (Orange)	877,475	59,054	59,054	6.73 %	100.00 %
	Creedmoor	877,475	4,866	4,866	0.55 %	100.00 %
	Durham (Durham)	877,475	283,093	283,093	32.26 %	100.00 %
	Durham (Orange)	877,475	144	144	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Franklinton	877,475	2,456	2,456	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Hillsborough	877,475	9,660	9,660	1.10 %	100.00 %
	Knightdale	877,475	19,435	6,457	0.74 %	33.22 %
	Louisburg	877,475	3,064	3,064	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Mebane (Orange)	877,475	3,171	3,171	0.36 %	100.00 %
	Morrisville (Durham)	877,475	207	207	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Oxford	877,475	8,628	8,628	0.98 %	100.00 %
	Pittsboro	877,475	4,537	4,537	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Durham)	877,475	1,559	1,559	0.18 %	100.00 %
Raleigh (Wake)	877,475	466,106	73,877	8.42 %	15.85 %	

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: Congress2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
4	Rolesville	877,475	9,475	9,475	1.08 %	100.00 %
	Siler City	877,475	7,702	1,141	0.13 %	14.81 %
	Stem	877,475	960	960	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Stovall	877,475	324	324	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Wake Forest (Franklin)	877,475	1,504	1,504	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Wake Forest (Wake)	877,475	46,097	46,097	5.25 %	100.00 %
	Wendell	877,475	9,793	3,188	0.36 %	32.55 %
	Youngsville	877,475	2,016	2,016	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Zebulon (Wake)	877,475	6,903	6,903	0.79 %	100.00 %
5	Beech Mountain (Watauga)	747,588	613	613	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Belmont	747,588	15,010	15,010	2.01 %	100.00 %
	Belwood	747,588	857	857	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Bessemer City	747,588	5,428	5,428	0.73 %	100.00 %
	Blowing Rock (Caldwell)	747,588	91	91	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Blowing Rock (Watauga)	747,588	1,285	1,285	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Boiling Springs	747,588	4,615	4,615	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Boone	747,588	19,092	19,092	2.55 %	100.00 %
	Bostic	747,588	355	355	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Cajah's Mountain	747,588	2,722	2,722	0.36 %	100.00 %
	Casar	747,588	305	305	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Cedar Rock	747,588	301	301	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Cherryville	747,588	6,078	6,078	0.81 %	100.00 %
	Connelly Springs	747,588	1,529	1,529	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Cramerton	747,588	5,296	5,296	0.71 %	100.00 %
	Dallas	747,588	5,927	5,927	0.79 %	100.00 %
	Dellview	747,588	6	6	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Drexel	747,588	1,760	1,760	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Earl	747,588	198	198	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Elkin (Wilkes)	747,588	73	73	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Ellenboro	747,588	723	723	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Fallston	747,588	627	627	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Forest City	747,588	7,377	7,377	0.99 %	100.00 %
	Gamewell	747,588	3,702	3,702	0.50 %	100.00 %
	Gastonia	747,588	80,411	80,411	10.76 %	100.00 %
	Glen Alpine	747,588	1,529	1,529	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Granite Falls	747,588	4,965	4,965	0.66 %	100.00 %
	Grover	747,588	802	802	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Hickory (Burke)	747,588	79	79	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Hickory (Caldwell)	747,588	32	32	0.00 %	100.00 %
Hickory (Catawba)	747,588	43,379	11,955	1.60 %	27.56 %	
High Shoals	747,588	595	595	0.08 %	100.00 %	

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: Congress2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
5	Hildebran	747,588	1,679	1,679	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Hudson	747,588	3,780	3,780	0.51 %	100.00 %
	Jefferson	747,588	1,622	1,622	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Kings Mountain (Cleveland)	747,588	10,032	10,032	1.34 %	100.00 %
	Kings Mountain (Gaston)	747,588	1,110	1,110	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Kingstown	747,588	656	656	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Lansing	747,588	126	126	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Lattimore	747,588	406	406	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Lawndale	747,588	570	570	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Lenoir	747,588	18,352	18,352	2.45 %	100.00 %
	Long View (Burke)	747,588	735	735	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Lowell	747,588	3,654	3,654	0.49 %	100.00 %
	McAdenville	747,588	890	890	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Moorestown	747,588	293	293	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Morganton	747,588	17,474	17,474	2.34 %	100.00 %
	Mount Holly	747,588	17,703	17,703	2.37 %	100.00 %
	North Wilkesboro	747,588	4,382	4,382	0.59 %	100.00 %
	Patterson Springs	747,588	571	571	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Polkville	747,588	516	516	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Ranlo	747,588	4,511	4,511	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Rhodhiss (Burke)	747,588	639	639	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Rhodhiss (Caldwell)	747,588	358	358	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Ronda	747,588	438	438	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford College (Burke)	747,588	1,226	1,226	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford College (Caldwell)	747,588	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Rutherfordton	747,588	3,640	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Sawmills	747,588	5,020	5,020	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Seven Devils (Watauga)	747,588	275	275	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Shelby	747,588	21,918	21,918	2.93 %	100.00 %
	Sparta	747,588	1,834	1,834	0.25 %	100.00 %
	Spencer Mountain	747,588	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Spindale	747,588	4,225	2,387	0.32 %	56.50 %
	Stanley	747,588	3,963	3,963	0.53 %	100.00 %
Taylorsville	747,588	2,320	2,320	0.31 %	100.00 %	
Valdese	747,588	4,689	4,689	0.63 %	100.00 %	
Waco	747,588	310	310	0.04 %	100.00 %	
West Jefferson	747,588	1,279	1,279	0.17 %	100.00 %	
Wilkesboro	747,588	3,687	3,687	0.49 %	100.00 %	
6	Archdale (Guilford)	807,200	380	380	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Burlington (Guilford)	807,200	1,822	1,822	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Gibsonville (Guilford)	807,200	4,642	4,642	0.58 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: Congress2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
6	Greensboro	807,200	299,035	299,035	37.05 %	100.00 %
	High Point (Forsyth)	807,200	84	84	0.01 %	100.00 %
	High Point (Guilford)	807,200	107,321	107,321	13.30 %	100.00 %
	Jamestown	807,200	3,668	3,668	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Kernersville (Forsyth)	807,200	25,947	23,303	2.89 %	89.81 %
	Kernersville (Guilford)	807,200	502	502	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Oak Ridge	807,200	7,474	7,474	0.93 %	100.00 %
	Pleasant Garden	807,200	5,000	5,000	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Sedalia	807,200	676	676	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Stokesdale	807,200	5,924	5,924	0.73 %	100.00 %
	Summerfield	807,200	10,951	10,951	1.36 %	100.00 %
	Walkertown	807,200	5,692	3,329	0.41 %	58.49 %
	Whitsett	807,200	584	584	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Winston-Salem	807,200	249,545	217,946	27.00 %	87.34 %
7	Angier (Harnett)	823,916	4,709	4,709	0.57 %	100.00 %
	Archer Lodge	823,916	4,797	4,797	0.58 %	100.00 %
	Atkinson	823,916	296	296	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Autryville	823,916	167	167	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Bald Head Island	823,916	268	268	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Belville	823,916	2,406	2,406	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Benson (Harnett)	823,916	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Benson (Johnston)	823,916	3,967	3,967	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Bladenboro	823,916	1,648	1,648	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Boardman	823,916	166	166	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Boiling Spring Lakes	823,916	5,943	5,943	0.72 %	100.00 %
	Bolivia	823,916	149	149	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Bolton	823,916	519	519	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Brunswick	823,916	973	973	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Burgaw	823,916	3,088	3,088	0.37 %	100.00 %
	Calabash	823,916	2,011	2,011	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Carolina Beach	823,916	6,564	6,564	0.80 %	100.00 %
	Carolina Shores	823,916	4,588	4,588	0.56 %	100.00 %
	Caswell Beach	823,916	395	395	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Cerro Gordo	823,916	131	131	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Chadbourn	823,916	1,574	1,574	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Clarkton	823,916	614	614	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Clayton (Johnston)	823,916	26,307	26,307	3.19 %	100.00 %
	Clinton	823,916	8,383	8,383	1.02 %	100.00 %
Coats	823,916	2,155	2,155	0.26 %	100.00 %	
Dublin	823,916	267	267	0.03 %	100.00 %	
Dunn	823,916	8,446	8,446	1.03 %	100.00 %	

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: Congress2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
7	East Arcadia	823,916	418	418	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Elizabethtown	823,916	3,296	3,296	0.40 %	100.00 %
	Erwin	823,916	4,542	4,542	0.55 %	100.00 %
	Fair Bluff	823,916	709	709	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Faison (Sampson)	823,916	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Falcon (Sampson)	823,916	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Four Oaks	823,916	2,158	2,158	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Garland	823,916	595	595	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Harrells (Sampson)	823,916	160	160	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Holden Beach	823,916	921	921	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Kenly (Johnston)	823,916	1,293	1,293	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Kure Beach	823,916	2,191	2,191	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Lake Waccamaw	823,916	1,296	1,296	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Leland	823,916	22,908	22,908	2.78 %	100.00 %
	Lillington	823,916	4,735	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Micro	823,916	458	458	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Navassa	823,916	1,367	1,367	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Newton Grove	823,916	585	585	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Northwest	823,916	703	703	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Oak Island	823,916	8,396	8,396	1.02 %	100.00 %
	Ocean Isle Beach	823,916	867	867	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Pine Level	823,916	2,046	2,046	0.25 %	100.00 %
	Princeton	823,916	1,315	1,315	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Roseboro	823,916	1,163	1,163	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Salemburg	823,916	457	457	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Sandy Creek	823,916	248	248	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Sandyfield	823,916	430	430	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Selma	823,916	6,317	6,317	0.77 %	100.00 %
	Shallotte	823,916	4,185	4,185	0.51 %	100.00 %
	Smithfield	823,916	11,292	11,292	1.37 %	100.00 %
	Southport	823,916	3,971	3,971	0.48 %	100.00 %
	St. Helena	823,916	417	417	0.05 %	100.00 %
	St. James	823,916	6,529	6,529	0.79 %	100.00 %
	Sunset Beach	823,916	4,175	4,175	0.51 %	100.00 %
Surf City (Pender)	823,916	3,533	3,533	0.43 %	100.00 %	
Tabor City	823,916	3,781	3,781	0.46 %	100.00 %	
Tar Heel	823,916	90	90	0.01 %	100.00 %	
Topsail Beach	823,916	461	461	0.06 %	100.00 %	
Turkey	823,916	213	213	0.03 %	100.00 %	
Varnamtown	823,916	525	525	0.06 %	100.00 %	
Wallace (Pender)	823,916	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: Congress2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
7	Watha	823,916	181	181	0.02 %	100.00 %
	White Lake	823,916	843	843	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Whiteville	823,916	4,766	4,766	0.58 %	100.00 %
	Wilmington	823,916	115,451	115,451	14.01 %	100.00 %
	Wilson's Mills	823,916	2,534	2,534	0.31 %	100.00 %
	Wrightsville Beach	823,916	2,473	2,473	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Zebulon (Johnston)	823,916	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
8	Albemarle	821,133	16,432	16,432	2.00 %	100.00 %
	Badin	821,133	2,024	2,024	0.25 %	100.00 %
	Biscoe	821,133	1,848	1,848	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Broadway (Harnett)	821,133	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Broadway (Lee)	821,133	1,267	1,267	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Cameron	821,133	244	244	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Candor (Montgomery)	821,133	813	813	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Candor (Moore)	821,133	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Carthage	821,133	2,775	2,760	0.34 %	99.46 %
	Concord	821,133	105,240	105,240	12.82 %	100.00 %
	Eastover	821,133	3,656	3,656	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Erwin	821,133	4,542	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Falcon (Cumberland)	821,133	324	324	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Fayetteville	821,133	208,501	208,501	25.39 %	100.00 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Harnett)	821,133	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Godwin	821,133	128	128	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Harrisburg	821,133	18,967	18,967	2.31 %	100.00 %
	Hope Mills	821,133	17,808	17,808	2.17 %	100.00 %
	Kannapolis (Cabarrus)	821,133	42,846	42,846	5.22 %	100.00 %
	Lillington	821,133	4,735	4,735	0.58 %	100.00 %
	Linden	821,133	136	136	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Locust (Cabarrus)	821,133	541	541	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Locust (Stanly)	821,133	3,996	3,996	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Midland (Cabarrus)	821,133	4,684	4,684	0.57 %	100.00 %
	Misenheimer	821,133	650	650	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Mount Gilead	821,133	1,171	1,171	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Mount Pleasant	821,133	1,671	1,671	0.20 %	100.00 %
	New London	821,133	607	607	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Norwood	821,133	2,367	2,367	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Oakboro	821,133	2,128	2,128	0.26 %	100.00 %
Red Cross	821,133	762	762	0.09 %	100.00 %	
Richfield	821,133	582	582	0.07 %	100.00 %	
Robbins	821,133	1,168	1,168	0.14 %	100.00 %	
Sanford	821,133	30,261	21,908	2.67 %	72.40 %	

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: Congress2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
8	Spring Lake	821,133	11,660	11,660	1.42 %	100.00 %
	Stanfield	821,133	1,585	1,585	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Star	821,133	806	806	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Stedman	821,133	1,277	1,277	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Troy	821,133	2,850	2,850	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Vass	821,133	952	952	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Wade	821,133	638	638	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Whispering Pines	821,133	4,987	3,363	0.41 %	67.44 %
9	Aberdeen	786,276	8,516	8,516	1.08 %	100.00 %
	Ansonville	786,276	440	440	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Carthage	786,276	2,775	15	0.00 %	0.54 %
	Charlotte	786,276	874,579	185,565	23.60 %	21.22 %
	Dobbins Heights	786,276	687	687	0.09 %	100.00 %
	East Laurinburg	786,276	234	234	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Ellerbe	786,276	864	864	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Fairmont	786,276	2,191	2,191	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Fairview	786,276	3,456	3,456	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Foxfire	786,276	1,288	1,288	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Gibson	786,276	449	449	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Hamlet	786,276	6,025	6,025	0.77 %	100.00 %
	Hemby Bridge	786,276	1,614	1,614	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Hoffman	786,276	418	418	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Indian Trail	786,276	39,997	39,997	5.09 %	100.00 %
	Lake Park	786,276	3,269	3,269	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Laurinburg	786,276	14,978	14,978	1.90 %	100.00 %
	Lilesville	786,276	395	395	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Lumber Bridge	786,276	82	82	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Lumberton	786,276	19,025	19,025	2.42 %	100.00 %
	Marietta	786,276	111	111	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Marshville	786,276	2,522	2,522	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Marvin	786,276	6,358	6,358	0.81 %	100.00 %
	Matthews	786,276	29,435	17,885	2.27 %	60.76 %
	Maxton (Robeson)	786,276	1,902	1,902	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Maxton (Scotland)	786,276	208	208	0.03 %	100.00 %
	McDonald	786,276	94	94	0.01 %	100.00 %
	McFarlan	786,276	94	94	0.01 %	100.00 %
Mineral Springs	786,276	3,159	3,159	0.40 %	100.00 %	
Mint Hill (Union)	786,276	6	6	0.00 %	100.00 %	
Monroe	786,276	34,562	34,562	4.40 %	100.00 %	
Morven	786,276	329	329	0.04 %	100.00 %	
Norman	786,276	100	100	0.01 %	100.00 %	

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: Congress2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
9	Orrum	786,276	59	59	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Parkton	786,276	504	504	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Peachland	786,276	390	390	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Pembroke	786,276	2,823	2,823	0.36 %	100.00 %
	Pinebluff	786,276	1,473	1,473	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Pinehurst	786,276	17,581	17,581	2.24 %	100.00 %
	Pineville	786,276	10,602	6,981	0.89 %	65.85 %
	Polkton	786,276	2,250	2,250	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Proctorville	786,276	121	121	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Raeford	786,276	4,559	4,559	0.58 %	100.00 %
	Raynham	786,276	60	60	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Red Springs (Hoke)	786,276	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Red Springs (Robeson)	786,276	3,087	3,087	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Rennert	786,276	275	275	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Rockingham	786,276	9,243	9,243	1.18 %	100.00 %
	Rowland	786,276	885	885	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Southern Pines	786,276	15,545	15,545	1.98 %	100.00 %
	St. Pauls	786,276	2,045	2,045	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Stallings (Mecklenburg)	786,276	384	11	0.00 %	2.86 %
	Stallings (Union)	786,276	15,728	15,728	2.00 %	100.00 %
	Taylortown	786,276	634	634	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Unionville	786,276	6,643	6,643	0.84 %	100.00 %
	Wadesboro	786,276	5,008	5,008	0.64 %	100.00 %
	Wagram	786,276	615	615	0.08 %	100.00 %
Waxhaw	786,276	20,534	20,534	2.61 %	100.00 %	
Weddington (Mecklenburg)	786,276	5	5	0.00 %	100.00 %	
Weddington (Union)	786,276	13,176	13,176	1.68 %	100.00 %	
Wesley Chapel	786,276	8,681	8,681	1.10 %	100.00 %	
Whispering Pines	786,276	4,987	1,624	0.21 %	32.56 %	
Wingate	786,276	4,055	4,055	0.52 %	100.00 %	
10	Bethania	776,331	344	344	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Boonville	776,331	1,185	1,185	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Brookford	776,331	442	442	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Catawba	776,331	702	702	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Claremont	776,331	1,692	1,692	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Clemmons	776,331	21,163	21,163	2.73 %	100.00 %
	Conover	776,331	8,421	8,421	1.08 %	100.00 %
	Danbury	776,331	189	189	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Davidson (Iredell)	776,331	378	378	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Dobson	776,331	1,462	1,462	0.19 %	100.00 %
	East Bend	776,331	634	634	0.08 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: Congress2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
10	Eden	776,331	15,421	15,421	1.99 %	100.00 %
	Elkin (Surry)	776,331	4,049	4,049	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Harmony	776,331	543	543	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Hickory (Catawba)	776,331	43,379	31,424	4.05 %	72.44 %
	Jonesville	776,331	2,308	2,308	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Kernersville (Forsyth)	776,331	25,947	2,644	0.34 %	10.19 %
	King (Forsyth)	776,331	591	591	0.08 %	100.00 %
	King (Stokes)	776,331	6,606	6,606	0.85 %	100.00 %
	Lewisville	776,331	13,381	13,381	1.72 %	100.00 %
	Lincolnton	776,331	11,091	11,091	1.43 %	100.00 %
	Long View (Catawba)	776,331	4,353	4,353	0.56 %	100.00 %
	Love Valley	776,331	154	154	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Madison	776,331	2,129	2,129	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Maiden (Catawba)	776,331	3,736	3,736	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Maiden (Lincoln)	776,331	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Mayodan	776,331	2,418	2,418	0.31 %	100.00 %
	Mooresville	776,331	50,193	49,900	6.43 %	99.42 %
	Mount Airy	776,331	10,676	10,676	1.38 %	100.00 %
	Newton	776,331	13,148	13,148	1.69 %	100.00 %
	Pilot Mountain	776,331	1,440	1,440	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Reidsville	776,331	14,583	14,583	1.88 %	100.00 %
	Rural Hall	776,331	3,351	3,351	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Statesville	776,331	28,419	28,419	3.66 %	100.00 %
	Stoneville	776,331	1,308	1,308	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Tobaccoville (Forsyth)	776,331	2,578	2,578	0.33 %	100.00 %
	Tobaccoville (Stokes)	776,331	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Troutman	776,331	3,698	3,698	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Walkertown	776,331	5,692	2,363	0.30 %	41.51 %
Walnut Cove	776,331	1,586	1,586	0.20 %	100.00 %	
Wentworth	776,331	2,662	2,662	0.34 %	100.00 %	
Winston-Salem	776,331	249,545	31,599	4.07 %	12.66 %	
Yadkinville	776,331	2,995	2,995	0.39 %	100.00 %	
11	Andrews	782,246	1,667	1,667	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Asheville	782,246	94,589	94,589	12.09 %	100.00 %
	Bakersville	782,246	450	450	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Banner Elk	782,246	1,049	1,049	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Beech Mountain (Avery)	782,246	62	62	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Biltmore Forest	782,246	1,409	1,409	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Black Mountain	782,246	8,426	8,426	1.08 %	100.00 %
	Brevard	782,246	7,744	7,744	0.99 %	100.00 %
	Bryson City	782,246	1,558	1,558	0.20 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: Congress2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
11	Burnsville	782,246	1,614	1,614	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Canton	782,246	4,422	4,422	0.57 %	100.00 %
	Chimney Rock Village	782,246	140	140	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Clyde	782,246	1,368	1,368	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Columbus	782,246	1,060	1,060	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Crossnore	782,246	143	143	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Dillsboro	782,246	213	213	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Elk Park	782,246	542	542	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Flat Rock	782,246	3,486	3,486	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Fletcher	782,246	7,987	7,987	1.02 %	100.00 %
	Fontana Dam	782,246	13	13	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Forest Hills	782,246	303	303	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Franklin	782,246	4,175	4,175	0.53 %	100.00 %
	Grandfather Village	782,246	95	95	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Hayesville	782,246	461	461	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Hendersonville	782,246	15,137	15,137	1.94 %	100.00 %
	Highlands (Jackson)	782,246	12	12	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Highlands (Macon)	782,246	1,060	1,060	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Hot Springs	782,246	520	520	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Lake Lure	782,246	1,365	1,365	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Lake Santeetlah	782,246	38	38	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Laurel Park	782,246	2,250	2,250	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Maggie Valley	782,246	1,687	1,687	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Marion	782,246	7,717	7,717	0.99 %	100.00 %
	Mars Hill	782,246	2,007	2,007	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Marshall	782,246	777	777	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Mills River	782,246	7,078	7,078	0.90 %	100.00 %
	Montreat	782,246	901	901	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Murphy	782,246	1,608	1,608	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Newland	782,246	715	715	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Old Fort	782,246	811	811	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Robbinsville	782,246	597	597	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Rosman	782,246	701	701	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Ruth	782,246	347	347	0.04 %	100.00 %
Rutherfordton	782,246	3,640	3,640	0.47 %	100.00 %	
Saluda (Henderson)	782,246	11	11	0.00 %	100.00 %	
Saluda (Polk)	782,246	620	620	0.08 %	100.00 %	
Seven Devils (Avery)	782,246	38	38	0.00 %	100.00 %	
Spindale	782,246	4,225	1,838	0.23 %	43.50 %	
Spruce Pine	782,246	2,194	2,194	0.28 %	100.00 %	
Sugar Mountain	782,246	371	371	0.05 %	100.00 %	

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: Congress2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
11	Sylva	782,246	2,578	2,578	0.33 %	100.00 %
	Tryon	782,246	1,562	1,562	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Waynesville	782,246	10,140	10,140	1.30 %	100.00 %
	Weaverville	782,246	4,567	4,567	0.58 %	100.00 %
	Webster	782,246	372	372	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Woodfin	782,246	7,936	7,936	1.01 %	100.00 %
12	Charlotte	899,859	874,579	689,014	76.57 %	78.78 %
	Cornelius	899,859	31,412	31,412	3.49 %	100.00 %
	Davidson (Mecklenburg)	899,859	14,728	14,728	1.64 %	100.00 %
	Huntersville	899,859	61,376	61,376	6.82 %	100.00 %
	Matthews	899,859	29,435	11,550	1.28 %	39.24 %
	Midland (Mecklenburg)	899,859	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Mint Hill (Mecklenburg)	899,859	26,444	26,444	2.94 %	100.00 %
	Pineville	899,859	10,602	3,621	0.40 %	34.15 %
	Stallings (Mecklenburg)	899,859	384	373	0.04 %	97.14 %
13	Alamance	771,821	988	988	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Archdale (Randolph)	771,821	11,527	11,527	1.49 %	100.00 %
	Asheboro	771,821	27,156	27,156	3.52 %	100.00 %
	Bermuda Run	771,821	3,120	3,120	0.40 %	100.00 %
	Burlington (Alamance)	771,821	55,481	55,481	7.19 %	100.00 %
	China Grove	771,821	4,434	4,434	0.57 %	100.00 %
	Cleveland	771,821	846	846	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Cooleemee	771,821	940	940	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Denton	771,821	1,494	1,494	0.19 %	100.00 %
	East Spencer	771,821	1,567	1,567	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Elon	771,821	11,336	11,336	1.47 %	100.00 %
	Faith	771,821	819	819	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Franklinville	771,821	1,197	1,197	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Gibsonville (Alamance)	771,821	4,278	4,278	0.55 %	100.00 %
	Goldston	771,821	234	234	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Graham	771,821	17,157	17,157	2.22 %	100.00 %
	Granite Quarry	771,821	2,984	2,984	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Green Level	771,821	3,152	3,152	0.41 %	100.00 %
	Haw River	771,821	2,252	2,252	0.29 %	100.00 %
	High Point (Davidson)	771,821	6,646	6,646	0.86 %	100.00 %
	High Point (Randolph)	771,821	8	8	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Kannapolis (Rowan)	771,821	10,268	10,268	1.33 %	100.00 %
	Landis	771,821	3,690	3,690	0.48 %	100.00 %
Lexington	771,821	19,632	19,632	2.54 %	100.00 %	
Liberty	771,821	2,655	2,655	0.34 %	100.00 %	
Mebane (Alamance)	771,821	14,626	14,626	1.89 %	100.00 %	

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 9/22/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: Congress2020

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
13	Midway	771,821	4,742	4,742	0.61 %	100.00 %
	Milton	771,821	155	155	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Mocksville	771,821	5,900	5,900	0.76 %	100.00 %
	Mooreville	771,821	50,193	293	0.04 %	0.58 %
	Ossipee	771,821	536	536	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Ramseur	771,821	1,774	1,774	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Randleman	771,821	4,595	4,595	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Rockwell	771,821	2,302	2,302	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Roxboro	771,821	8,134	8,134	1.05 %	100.00 %
	Salisbury	771,821	35,540	35,540	4.60 %	100.00 %
	Sanford	771,821	30,261	8,353	1.08 %	27.60 %
	Seagrove	771,821	235	235	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Siler City	771,821	7,702	6,561	0.85 %	85.19 %
	Spencer	771,821	3,308	3,308	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Staley	771,821	397	397	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Swepsonville	771,821	2,445	2,445	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Thomasville (Davidson)	771,821	26,662	26,662	3.45 %	100.00 %
	Thomasville (Randolph)	771,821	521	521	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Trinity	771,821	7,006	7,006	0.91 %	100.00 %
	Wallburg	771,821	3,051	3,051	0.40 %	100.00 %
Yanceyville	771,821	1,937	1,937	0.25 %	100.00 %	
Total:				6,017,605		

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report**District Plan: Congress2020**

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
1	Bertie	12	0
	Edgecombe	21	0
	Gates	6	0
	Greene	10	0
	Halifax	23	0
	Hertford	13	0
	Martin	13	0
	Nash	24	0
	Northampton	13	0
	Pitt	25	4
	Vance	11	1
	Warren	14	0
	Washington	6	0
	Wayne	28	0
Wilson	24	0	
2	Wake	164	3
3	Beaufort	21	0
	Camden	3	0
	Carteret	28	0
	Chowan	6	0
	Craven	21	0
	Currituck	11	0
	Dare	16	0
	Duplin	19	0
	Hyde	7	0
	Jones	7	0
	Lenoir	22	0
	Onslow	24	0
	Pamlico	10	0
	Pasquotank	9	0
	Perquimans	7	0
	Pitt	11	4
Tyrrell	6	0	
4	Chatham	11	1
	Durham	57	0
	Franklin	18	0
	Granville	15	0
	Orange	41	0
	Vance	0	1
	Wake	37	3

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report**District Plan: Congress2020**

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
5	Alexander	10	0
	Alleghany	4	0
	Ashe	17	0
	Burke	33	0
	Caldwell	20	0
	Catawba	3	4
	Cleveland	21	0
	Gaston	46	0
	Rutherford	9	1
	Watauga	20	0
	Wilkes	27	0
6	Forsyth	73	1
	Guilford	165	0
7	Bladen	17	0
	Brunswick	25	0
	Columbus	26	0
	Harnett	5	1
	Johnston	36	0
	New Hanover	43	0
	Pender	20	0
	Sampson	23	0
8	Cabarrus	40	0
	Cumberland	76	0
	Harnett	7	1
	Lee	7	1
	Montgomery	14	0
	Moore	8	2
	Stanly	22	0
9	Anson	9	0
	Hoke	15	0
	Mecklenburg	44	2
	Moore	16	2
	Richmond	16	0
	Robeson	39	0
	Scotland	7	0
	Union	52	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: Congress2020

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
10	Catawba	33	4
	Forsyth	27	1
	Iredell	28	1
	Lincoln	23	0
	Rockingham	15	0
	Stokes	18	0
	Surry	24	0
	Yadkin	12	0
11	Avery	19	0
	Buncombe	79	0
	Cherokee	16	0
	Clay	9	0
	Graham	4	0
	Haywood	29	0
	Henderson	34	0
	Jackson	13	0
	Macon	15	0
	Madison	12	0
	McDowell	17	0
	Mitchell	9	0
	Polk	7	0
	Rutherford	7	1
	Swain	5	0
Transylvania	15	0	
Yancey	11	0	
12	Mecklenburg	149	2
13	Alamance	37	0
	Caswell	9	0
	Chatham	6	1
	Davidson	43	0
	Davie	14	0
	Iredell	0	1
	Lee	2	1
	Person	11	0
	Randolph	22	0
	Rowan	41	0
Total:		2,644	22

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report**District Plan: Congress2020**

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Alamance	37	0
Alexander	10	0
Alleghany	4	0
Anson	9	0
Ashe	17	0
Avery	19	0
Beaufort	21	0
Bertie	12	0
Bladen	17	0
Brunswick	25	0
Buncombe	79	0
Burke	33	0
Cabarrus	40	0
Caldwell	20	0
Camden	3	0
Carteret	28	0
Caswell	9	0
Catawba	36	4
Chatham	17	1
Cherokee	16	0
Chowan	6	0
Clay	9	0
Cleveland	21	0
Columbus	26	0
Craven	21	0
Cumberland	76	0
Currituck	11	0
Dare	16	0
Davidson	43	0
Davie	14	0
Duplin	19	0
Durham	57	0
Edgecombe	21	0
Forsyth	100	1
Franklin	18	0
Gaston	46	0
Gates	6	0
Graham	4	0
Granville	15	0
Greene	10	0
Guilford	165	0
Halifax	23	0
Harnett	12	1

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Based on TIGER 2020 VTDs

[G20-VTD-Sbc] - Generated 9/22/2021

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report**District Plan: Congress2020**

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Haywood	29	0
Henderson	34	0
Hertford	13	0
Hoke	15	0
Hyde	7	0
Iredell	28	1
Jackson	13	0
Johnston	36	0
Jones	7	0
Lee	9	1
Lenoir	22	0
Lincoln	23	0
Macon	15	0
Madison	12	0
Martin	13	0
McDowell	17	0
Mecklenburg	193	2
Mitchell	9	0
Montgomery	14	0
Moore	24	2
Nash	24	0
New Hanover	43	0
Northampton	13	0
Onslow	24	0
Orange	41	0
Pamlico	10	0
Pasquotank	9	0
Pender	20	0
Perquimans	7	0
Person	11	0
Pitt	36	4
Polk	7	0
Randolph	22	0
Richmond	16	0
Robeson	39	0
Rockingham	15	0
Rowan	41	0
Rutherford	16	1
Sampson	23	0
Scotland	7	0
Stanly	22	0
Stokes	18	0
Surry	24	0

District plan definition file: 'Congress2020.csv', modified 5/26/2021 9:10 AM

Based on TIGER 2020 VTDs

[G20-VTD-Sbc] - Generated 9/22/2021

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report**District Plan: Congress2020**

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Swain	5	0
Transylvania	15	0
Tyrrell	6	0
Union	52	0
Vance	11	1
Wake	201	3
Warren	14	0
Washington	6	0
Watauga	20	0
Wayne	28	0
Wilkes	27	0
Wilson	24	0
Yadkin	12	0
Yancey	11	0
Totals:	2,644	22

Split VTD Detail Report

District Plan: Congress2020

County	VTD	District	Total VTD Population	VTD Pop in District	Percent of VTD Pop in District
Catawba	026	5	2,414	2,401	99.46 %
		10	2,414	13	0.54 %
	029	5	6,691	4,090	61.13 %
		10	6,691	2,601	38.87 %
	030	5	6,482	168	2.59 %
		10	6,482	6,314	97.41 %
	037	5	4,097	158	3.86 %
		10	4,097	3,939	96.14 %
Chatham	ESC114	4	8,108	3,001	37.01 %
		13	8,108	5,107	62.99 %
Forsyth	062	6	2,441	225	9.22 %
		10	2,441	2,216	90.78 %
Harnett	PR32	7	8,227	5,426	65.95 %
		8	8,227	2,801	34.05 %
Iredell	CC3	10	14,084	11,947	84.83 %
		13	14,084	2,137	15.17 %
Lee	C2	8	6,044	3,529	58.39 %
		13	6,044	2,515	41.61 %
Mecklenburg	217	9	4,488	3,550	79.10 %
		12	4,488	938	20.90 %
	218	9	3,814	83	2.18 %
		12	3,814	3,731	97.82 %
Moore	EUR-WP	8	6,226	4,348	69.84 %
		9	6,226	1,878	30.16 %
	EWD	8	2,198	3	0.14 %
		9	2,198	2,195	99.86 %
Pitt	1102A	1	4,436	2,687	60.57 %
		3	4,436	1,749	39.43 %
	1403A1	1	4,769	4,769	100.00 %
		3	4,769	0	0.00 %
	1512A	1	9,256	9,256	100.00 %
		3	9,256	0	0.00 %
	601	1	7,075	0	0.00 %
		3	7,075	7,075	100.00 %
Rutherford	030	5	5,238	3,394	64.80 %
		11	5,238	1,844	35.20 %
Vance	KITT	1	5,045	3,966	78.61 %
		4	5,045	1,079	21.39 %
Wake	09-02	2	6,424	0	0.00 %
		4	6,424	6,424	100.00 %
	10-03	2	4,691	4,645	99.02 %
		4	4,691	46	0.98 %

Split VTD Detail Report

District Plan: Congress2020

County	VTD	District	Total VTD Population	VTD Pop in District	Percent of VTD Pop in District
Wake	10-04	2	12,838	12,838	100.00 %
		4	12,838	0	0.00 %
Total:				135,086	

Number of split VTDs: 22

From: "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/27/2021 10:27:44 AM -0400
To: "Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office)" <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)" <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>; Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; "Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton)" <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; "Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; "Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; "Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)" <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: RE: Short get together, please

Erika,

Please do the same for the House per Chairman Hall.

Respectfully,

Dylan

Dylan R. Reel

House Rules Committee Counsel

Office of Rules Chairman Destin C. Hall |919.733.5931

2306 Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27603

From: Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office) <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Friday, September 24, 2021 3:55 PM
To: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>; Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>
Cc: Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall) <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office) <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton) <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office) <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office) <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis) <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>

Subject: RE: Short get together, please

Erika,

We've had a chance to talk internally. We would like for you and your team to go ahead and verify the county grouping options produced by Duke University: <https://sites.duke.edu/quantifyinggerrymandering/files/2021/08/countyClusters2020.pdf>. On Tuesday, October 5 the Senate would like you to present the county grouping options to the Senate Redistricting Committee – and we would like members to be able to begin drawing maps soon thereafter (that week, if can get everything set up) using any constitutionally compliant county grouping option.

I believe the House has the same or a similar request, but I'll defer to them to respond to you with their instructions.

Happy to discuss further next week. Thanks in advance and have a good weekend.

Nathan

From: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 22, 2021 10:36 AM
To: Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>
Cc: Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall) <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office) <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton) <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>; Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office) <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office) <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office) <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis) <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Short get together, please

Redistricting Chairs,

As we are coming to a close of the September public hearing cycle, could schedule a time to all gather together to talk about a few logistical matters for the next phase? Could we shoot for sometime next Wednesday morning, September 29Th?

Thanks,
Erika C

Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)"
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/30/2021 1:09:18 PM -0400
To: "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)"
<Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>; "Andrew Stiffel (Sen. Paul
Newton)" <Andrew.Stiffel@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton)"
<Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>; "Andy Perrigo (Sen. Warren
Daniel)" <Andy.Perrigo@ncleg.gov>; "Brian Fork (President Pro
Tem's Office)" <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox
<Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; "Joshua Yost (President Pro
Tem's Office)" <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; "Nathan Babcock
(Senate Pro Tem's Office)" <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>;
"Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)"
<Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>; "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)"
<Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's
Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Robeson County Public Hearing, 9/28/21
Attachments: Robeson.9.28.21.Attendance.pdf;
Robeson.9.28.21.Speakers.pdf; Robeson.online.9.28.21.pdf

Senators

Britt

Clark

Ford

Representatives

Jones, B. – chairing

Boles

Graham

Penny

Pierce

Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney

North Carolina General Assembly

300 N. Salisbury Street

Raleigh, North Carolina 27603

919-733-2578



Joint Committee on Redistricting and Elections Meeting

UNC Pembroke

Tuesday September 28, 2021 3:00 PM

House Sergeant-At-Arms

TOM TERRY

Senate Sergeant-At-Arms

SHEREE HEDRICK

General Assembly Police Officer

SGT. KANE

OFF. JONES



Visitor Sign-in

Joint Committee on Redistricting and Elections Meeting

UNC Pembroke

Tuesday September 28, 2021 3:00 PM

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW AND RETURN TO COMMITTEE CLERK

<u>NAME</u>	<u>FIRM OR AGENCY / BILL No.</u>
Tim HEATH	ROBESON DEMOCRATIC PARTY
Aja Bullock	DEMOCRACY NC
LARRY TOWNSEND	R.RBOC
Briana Brugh	AOTL NC
Bonnie Matthews	Personal
Eva Patterson Heath	Red Springs Precinct
Bobbie Richardson	Woods, NC / Lenoir County
Bob Phillips	Common Cause NC
Kathy Phillips	" "
Elma Patterson	Commissioner Town of Red Springs
Enid Begay	Personal
CARA PEARSON	Fds Dem Party



Visitor Sign-in

Joint Committee on Redistricting and Elections Meeting

UNC Pembroke

Tuesday September 28, 2021 3:00 PM

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW AND RETURN TO COMMITTEE CLERK

<u>NAME</u>	<u>FIRM OR AGENCY / BILL No.</u>
Christina Davis McLaughlin	Hoke County Dem
Traci Davis	9th Congressional District
Manuel Mejia Diaz	Democracy NC
Mary Storms	LWV - Sandhills MAL
Mary Jane Richardson	Robeson Co. Democratic Party
Deborah Lohler	
Chris Maples	UNCP
Wendy Bridgen	
G.L. Bridgen	
Wesley Alderman	UNCP Alpha Phi Alpha
Joao Matula	LWVNC
John O'Connell	UNCP



Visitor Sign-in

Joint Committee on Redistricting and Elections Meeting

UNC Pembroke

Tuesday September 28, 2021 3:00 PM

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW AND RETURN TO COMMITTEE CLERK

<u>NAME</u>	<u>FIRM OR AGENCY / BILL No.</u>
Caroline Supter	Town of Red Springs Town Commission
Melissa Schaub	
Nakya Smith	Black Voters Matter
Mike Deau	
Keith Graham	Crowd Fellow
Sam Hauser	UNCP
Cass Barnetto	UNCP
Helen McMillan	self (district voter)
Brenda Murphy	
Jerry Stephens	NAACP
Earlene C. Louy	AAA - Agency



Visitor Sign-in

Joint Committee on Redistricting and Elections Meeting

UNC Pembroke

Tuesday September 28, 2021 3:00 PM

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW AND RETURN TO COMMITTEE CLERK

<u>NAME</u>	<u>FIRM OR AGENCY / BILL No.</u>
Ann E Ford	—
Val Applewhite	NC Courts
Shirlee Sanderson	LWMA
Gary L Locklear	Self
Monica D. Green	
Sybil Zamm	Self
Joseph Bambley	Self

Public Hearing Site MCCP
Public Hearing Date 9.28.21

SPEAKER SHEET

Please print your name below if you wish to comment during the public hearing.

	NAME	ORGANIZATION (optional)
1	Heath Tim HEATH	
2	Heath Mary Storms	
3	Heath Christina Davis McLesey	
4	Heath Cara Pearson	
5		

Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Pembroke) Public Comment Sign-ups - LINC

Date Submitted	Name	Email	Organization	Category	Time/Location	Topic
09/22/2021 10:45 AM	Crystal Gwendo	Tgwendo@greenelamp.org	Greene Lamp Community Action	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Pembroke)	9/28/2021 4:00 PM (UNC-Pembroke)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Pembroke)
09/24/2021 12:07 PM	Manuel Mejía Diaz	Manuel@democracync.org	Democracy NC	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Pembroke)	9/28/2021 4:00 PM (UNC-Pembroke)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Pembroke)
09/24/2021 04:25 PM	Caroline Sumpter	Csumpter82@yahoo.com	Town of Red Springs	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Pembroke)	9/28/2021 4:00 PM (UNC-Pembroke)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Pembroke)
09/27/2021 10:50 AM	Ann Torok	anntorok463@gmail.com	none	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Pembroke)	9/28/2021 4:00 PM (UNC-Pembroke)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Pembroke)
09/27/2021 12:49 PM	James Davis	jimdavis236@gmail.com	Hoke County Reentry	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Pembroke)	9/28/2021 4:00 PM (UNC-Pembroke)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Pembroke)
09/28/2021 07:04 AM	Gary Locklear	locklearlaw@gmail.com	speaking for similarly situated and concerned citizens	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Pembroke)	9/28/2021 4:00 PM (UNC-Pembroke)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Pembroke)
09/28/2021 07:58 AM	Wesley Alderman Alderman	wesalderman42@gmail.com	Alpha Phi Alpha Fraternity Inc.	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Pembroke)	9/28/2021 4:00 PM (UNC-Pembroke)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Pembroke)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/30/2021 4:15:09 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Tuesday, October 5, 2021 at 2:00 PM
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: Tuesday, October 5, 2021

TIME: 2:00 PM

LOCATION: 643 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street |
Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)"
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/1/2021 11:06:38 AM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>; SEN - Senate Redistricting & Elections <SEN-SenateRedistrictingElections@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Public Comments, week of 9/23/21-9/30/21
Attachments: Public Comment 9.30.21.pdf

Members,

Attached please find the comments submitted via the on-line portal during the time period of 3:00 pm 9/23/21 to 3:00 pm 9/30/21.

Thank you,

Erika C

***Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578***

2021 Redistricting Public Comments Public Comments Report - LINC

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/23/2021 03:15 PM	Ryan Simpson		Make North Carolina's districts fair, and free from crooked, partisan gerrymandering!
09/23/2021 03:35 PM	Ms. Chelsea Monfort		My community area is Brier Creek, Bright Woods, and parts of Lynn Road. It is bound by roads, highway, and parts of Umstead State Park. The people who live in this area are working families whose children attend public schools together. We need local access to affordable housing, healthy affordable food. In previous maps my community was divided which made it difficult to advocate for our community's needs. We should be kept whole inside any legislative or congressional districts.
09/23/2021 03:39 PM	9195421424 Lee McLean		I am a retiree living in Chatham County and am writing to request that you leave Chatham County as a whole, contiguous district. I have lived here through past redistricting in which we suddenly had a new US Representative who didn't seem to know (or care) anything about our part of the County. Our current state representative and senator are very committed to this community; as is our original and current US Representative (Price).
09/23/2021 04:00 PM	Susan Zellers		I am a Chatham County resident and I am asking the legislature to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. Do not split either House district 54 and Senate district 23, which both encompass the entirety of Chatham. There is a Constitutional argument that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. Please hold public hearings after maps are drawn so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established.
09/23/2021 04:02 PM	Mr Hugh Young	None	My wife and I are residents of Chatham County. Please keep Chatham County whole. Thank you.
09/23/2021 04:13 PM	Mr Ron Katz	Carolina Jews for Justice - West and the League of Women Voters Asheville Buncombe (these are my affiliations, but I am only speaking for myself)	Thank you for the opportunity to share my thoughts and concerns. As a resident of South Asheville, I feel it is very important that our part of the city stay together with the rest of Asheville into one NC Senate district. We have common interests and concerns, and it is important that we have one Senate member that can hear that concern. I also ask that the General Assembly not repeat its action in 2011 of dividing Asheville and Buncombe County into 2 congressional districts. That has since been corrected, and I hope we don't go backwards. Finally, I am concerned about two critical process issues. First, I believe it is very important for NC citizens to have an opportunity to voice their opinions and concerns after the maps are drafted. At this point, I am not aware of any public hearings that have been scheduled AFTER maps are drawn. Second, I have not heard how long this public portal will be available for people to share their opinions. I ask the Joint Committee to keep it open and available to our citizenry until the maps have been approved by the NC General Assembly.
09/23/2021 04:35 PM	Neil Pedersen	None	I am a resident of Chatham County and am asking you to KEEP CHATHAM COUNTY WHOLE when drafting new redistricting maps. The entirety of Chatham County is now included in both House district 54 and Senate district 23. Ideally district lines should not split counties up unless their size requires it. There is a Constitutional argument that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. I also request that public hearings be conducted after maps are drawn and still under review, so that the public has some input into the legislative redistricting maps before boundaries are finalized. Thank you for considering this request.
09/23/2021 04:38 PM	Beverly Dugan	Chatham County Resident	I am a Chatham County resident. I am asking the legislature to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. Do not split House district 54 and Senate district 23 which both encompass the entirety of Chatham. There is a Constitutional argument that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. Also, I request that public hearings be conducted after initial maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established.
09/23/2021 04:46 PM	Ms. Mary R. Lindsey	n/a	I am a long-time (70 years) resident of Chatham County and am asking that Chatham County be kept WHOLE. Please do not split either House District 54 or Senate District 23. I am also requesting that public hearings be held after the maps are

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			drawn so the public can have some input into the redistricting. Thank you for your consideration.
09/23/2021 04:53 PM	Mr. Keith Johnson	citizenry	I am a Chatham County resident and want to clearly request that Chatham County remain whole in any future redistricting efforts. I also request that public hearings be held after the proposed redistricting maps are introduced so that citizens have the opportunity to comment on them. Thank you
09/23/2021 04:56 PM	Ms. Roberta Gardner		I request that the North Carolina Legislature preserve county boundary integrity. We should not divide a county into separate legislative or congressional districts. Such divisions also divide neighbors and negatively impact citizens' voice in government. As a resident of Chatham County, I feel that previous legislative boundaries have further sharpened partisan division, rather than unite us.
09/23/2021 04:58 PM	Ms. Eileen McCorry		I am a resident of Chatham County. Right now House district 54 and Senate district 23 both encompass the entirety of Chatham. I am asking the legislature to keep Chatham whole. I believe that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. I am also, requesting that public hearings be conducted after maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established.
09/23/2021 05:38 PM	Ms Mary Scoggin	Indivisible of Moore County	As an Unaffiliated regular voter, I don't appreciate being lopped off of District 8 to become a part of District 9. I do not approve of Dan Bishop who is our representative. He was the author of the infamous "Bathroom Bill" that caused NC to lose tons of revenue from ventures and sports events that withdrew that we had hosted for years. We will never get some of these events back in our State. Bishop does nothing to help the people of my area. He caused Governor to lose his re-election bid. McCrorry losing became an asset for NC as our former AG Roy Cooper became our Governor. He has led us through this coronavirus pandemic and protected our citizens. Republicans are no longer working for the residents of NC. They are voting against most of the items that we agree are urgently needed to improve our State. Gerrymandering has ruined our State and divided us too much for our own safety. Redistricting now is only going to get worse as you no longer listen to the voters or care where we are as you spin the wheel for your own profit. If you can't work for the people that elected you, what good are you?
09/23/2021 05:47 PM	mr. WILLIAM SADLER	personal card	Gentlemen/Mesdames - I am a CHATHAM COUNTY RESIDENT. IN THE FORTHCOMING REDISTRICTING PLEASE KEEP CHATHAM COUNTY WHOLE AND DO NOT DIVIDE THE COUNTY. DISTRICT MAPS SHOULD BE COMPACT AND NOT DRAWN TO BENEFIT A SINGLE GROUP - WHETHER DEMS, REPS, BLACKS, HISPANICS, WHITES. THE COUNTY PRESENTLY BENEFITS FROM BEING UNITED AND WHOLE, NOT SPLINTERED. ALSO, PLEASE ALLOW FOR PUBLIC HEARINGS WHEN LINES ARE DRAWN BY LEGISLATORS. THAT'S DEMOCRACY. THANK YOU.
09/23/2021 05:48 PM	Lee Lumpkin	Citizen	For too long, gerrymandering has been used to deprive North Carolinians of the most fundamental of their constitutional rights: the right to participate equally in the political process and to choose their representatives. Recent testimonials from residents across our state emphasize that North Carolinians want and need lawmakers that represent them. Rather than attempting to inhibit voting, North Carolina should be encouraging participation in the political process.
09/23/2021 05:56 PM	Robin Lyons		I am a Chatham County resident and am asking the legislature KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. Please do not to split either House district 54 or Senate district 23 which both encompass the entirety of Chatham. Please keep Chatham whole!
09/23/2021 06:50 PM	Ms Arja Spence	N/A	Why do you want waste time and money on redistricting? The current districting works well. Keep Chatham County whole! I strongly disagree with your plans to mess up with the current system. It reeks of power grabbing. I am in Independent voter and have always voted for the best man, whether he/she is a Republican or a Democrat,
09/23/2021 06:52 PM	Rosalyn Darling		I am a resident of Chatham County. I respectfully request that you maintain the current district lines for our county. Our county was split during a previous redistricting initiative, and we were placed with other counties, whose residents were different from us demographically and socially. Please keep us with our neighbors who share our interests. Thank you.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/23/2021 07:19 PM	Dr. Erika Lindemann		I have been a Chatham County resident for over 40 years and am proud to live in a place that is diverse—racially, economically, culturally, and politically. We are proud of our elected leaders, who understand the needs of our citizens and consistently have represented us well. I urge you not to split either Senate District 23 or House District 54. The current district lines have worked well and do not need to be changed. Please KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE so that North Carolina's district maps remain compact, respect county boundaries, and avoid benefitting, by design, a particular group. I also request that, after these maps are drawn, public hearings be scheduled so that residents have an opportunity to offer comment. Voters deserve the chance to provide input for such a significant process. Thank you.
09/23/2021 07:35 PM	Mrs. Ameer Stewart	None	I ask that Chatham County be left whole. Our citizens in Chatham have little in common with Lee County and nearly nothing in common with Alamance or Randolph. Trust me, I'm from Alamance and lived in Randolph for 32 yrs. one of the prerequisites is that there should be common interests.
09/23/2021 07:39 PM	Mary McPherson		I do not support the redistricting Chatham County. We are not in favor of adding the other counties that are being considered apart of the current area. Our community is diverse and well represented. Keep Chatham Whole.
09/23/2021 07:40 PM	Nancy Frazier		I feel that Chatham Co.should be kept together in one district. I am happy with our current district and my representation.
09/23/2021 07:42 PM	Jillian Geneve		I am a Chatham County resident, writing to request that you keep Chatham whole. It is extremely important to NOT split House district 54 and Senate district 23. Also, I am requesting that public hearings be conducted AFTER maps are drawn. Thank you.
09/23/2021 07:49 PM	Patricia Brown		I am writing to ask that the legislature keep Chatham County whole and not split either House District 54 or Senate District 23. Currently, these districts both encompass the entirety of Chatham. I'm asking that this remain the case. Under current law, district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. I would also request that public hearings be conducted after maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established. Thank you for your consideration.
09/23/2021 07:50 PM	Mr. Joshua Cohen		Keep Chatham Whole I am writing to request that there is no split to House district 54 and Senate district 23 which both encompass the entirety of Chatham. District maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. Public hearings be conducted after maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established. Please don't gerrymander.
09/23/2021 08:13 PM	Ms Sue Burke	Non	Keep Chatham County WHOLE!!! Do not split house district 54 and Senate district 23. We urge you to have public hearings regarding this redistributing process AFTER maps are drawn.
09/23/2021 08:14 PM	Dr Karol Harshaw-Ellis		I am a Chatham county resident. It is important not to split either House district 54 and Senate district 23 which both encompass the entirety of Chatham. This is to help better represent the county as a whole and not to only benefit a single group. We must be careful that we do not perpetuate redlining.
09/23/2021 08:29 PM	Stephen Elder		Here is a novel idea: how about ceasing to serve self-interest or partisan interests, and begin serving the citizens of Chatham County, the overwhelming majority of which do not want to see the county gerrymandered.
09/23/2021 08:32 PM	Rosalind Rodman	Democratic Party	I feel very strongly thst Chatham Coinity be kspt untouched and whole. It definitely should not be divided im any way. Thank you.
09/23/2021 08:36 PM	Darren Legge		Hello, I live in the Ridgefield Park / Briarcliff neighborhood in the Town of Chapel Hill, and our neighborhood is split between Orange County and Durham County. The neighborhood boundaries are far more relevant for our daily lives than the county boundaries. Our community is cohesive and should be treated as such. While I don't want this to come at the expense of other populations, I want to make sure our neighborhood is represented properly in Congressional districts. I

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			believe the same should be true for all such communities--we should be counted in a way that's consistent with our community identity and not broken up along arbitrary lines. Thank you for considering.
09/23/2021 08:44 PM	William Ilgen	Retored. not applicable	As a resident of Chatham County, I respectfully ask that you keep our county whole by not splitting either House district 54 or Senate district 23. We need a measure of stability in the midst of seemingly dizzying changes in our voting patterns. The constitutional requirement for compactness has already been met here. It should be kept that way. I also respectfully request that, when public hearings are eventually called, that these be held only after the maps are drawn, so that the public can have adequate time for comment before boundaries are established. As a citizen, I join with others in our county asking you to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE!
09/23/2021 08:53 PM	Rosalind Rodman	Democratic Party	Please leave NC Senate District 23 and House District 54 untouched and whole ---- not split in any way. Each works as is and should not be changed at all. Thank you very much.
09/23/2021 09:02 PM	Mr. Richard Dietz		Kathie Obradovich wrote an article dated 7/5/2019 in the Des Moines Register describing Iowa's redistricting approach: Legislative staff draw nonpartisan districts. They have done this since the 1980s. They get two shots, that must be voted up or down by the Legislature and approved/vetoed by the Governor. Then the Iowa Supreme Court would get the final drawing. This has apparently worked successfully.
09/23/2021 09:03 PM	Mr Mark Sonnentag		Leave Chatham and orange counties alone
09/23/2021 09:07 PM	Mr. Stanley Henshaw		In drawing senate and house districts, please do not divide up Chatham County. Note that the state constitution says, "No county shall be divided in the formation of a senate district" and "No county shall be divided in the formation of a representative district". Dividing up counties more than necessary will invite lawsuits.
09/23/2021 09:18 PM	KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE Madeleine Crockett		I am a Chatham County resident and asking the legislature to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. Please do not split either House district 54 and Senate district 23 which both encompass the entirety of Chatham. We know that by law, district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. Also, please schedule public hearings after maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established.
			Thank you for your attention to this matter.
09/23/2021 09:18 PM	Christine Fernsler		Please keep Chatham County whole through the redistributing process. This serves our county best and makes advocacy for county wide issues more likely to be effectively handled by our state representatives.
09/23/2021 09:42 PM	Mrs. Lani Chaves	Self-Employed	I live in Chatham County. As a resident I am making my voice heard this evening to ask my legislature to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. DO NOT SPLIT either House district 54 OR Senate district 23 which both encompass the entirety of Chatham. There is a Constitutional argument that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. Also, I am requesting public hearings be conducted after maps are drawn, so I and other citizens have an opportunity to give input and maintain oversight of our government's actions. I demand to ne able to give some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established. My legislators represent me and if you are NOT DOING SO CORRECTLY I WANT TO LET YOU KNOW.
09/23/2021 10:01 PM	Ms Martha McKinney	Retired	The House and Senate Redistricting Committees need to let NC residents know who is drawing the maps on behalf of the committees' leadership. What steps are the committees taking to ensure that the maps drawn meet the criteria adopted by the committees? What monitoring procedures are in place to ensure that partisan considerations and elections data are not used?
09/23/2021 10:03 PM	Michael Dillon	Retired	Chatham County is large enough to have its own representatives in our state government. Do not split up the county and divide its common interests among other counties. That is a clear example of gerrymandering.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/23/2021 10:28 PM	Pauline Moreau	Resident of Chatham Co	Do not split either House district #54 or Senate district #23 which both encompass the entirety of Chatham
09/23/2021 10:28 PM	Dr. Judith Ferster		Chatham County has a spirit and an integrity. It should not be broken up or paired with another county for the purposes of redistricting. Keep it whole. Judith Ferster
09/23/2021 10:32 PM	9199337263 Dona Fountoukidis		I am a resident of Chatham County and am writing to request that you keep Chatham County whole. Please do not split House District 54 and Senate District 23. These districts are compact and don't benefit any single group. I also request that you hold public hearings after the maps are drawn so that the public can offer input into the Legislative redistricting. Thank you.
09/23/2021 11:02 PM	Ms Karen Allen-Howard		Please keep Chatham whole in this redistricting process with regard to both house district 54 and senate district 23. There is legal precedent for retaining the integrity of the districts and we urge you to follow that precedent.
09/24/2021 03:34 AM	Dr Charles Waldren	Retired	There is no reason besides politics to split Chatham County as proposed. Leave alone.
09/24/2021 06:34 AM	Mrs. Marylou Lofrese		Please keep Chatham WHole.
09/24/2021 06:55 AM	TiaJat TiaJat	TiaJat	heey dear.. Im looking a man... I love oral sex! Write me - is.gd/xjVanL
09/24/2021 07:17 AM	Tamara Morris		The maps for NC voting districts need to be drawn in a nonpartisan way to truly represent all the people. Gerrymandering to aid any one political party is immoral and unacceptable.
09/24/2021 08:18 AM	Roxanne Kelly		I am a Chatham County resident and strongly object to breaking up Chatham County by the proposed redistricting. I do NOT support the splitting of House District 54 nor Senate District 23. This is obviously an attempt to continue gerrymandering the State of North Carolina to promote conservative causes. In addition, proposing these changes without having maps drawn and available for public perusal is especially insulting and should not be allowed.
09/24/2021 09:07 AM	Lisa Parker		Please be fair and ethical and ensure representation from the most vulnerable in our communities. Please do the right thing.
09/24/2021 09:15 AM	Dr. Daniel Fountain		Partisan gerrymandering harms our communities by muting the voices and votes of the electorate. In doing so it creates distrust in our political institutions and weakens the social fabric that binds us together. I have twice experienced being an irrelevant voter in North Carolina districts where my household was lumped into a district to split up voters for partisan advantage. The first was to secure a Democratic Party advantage and the second was to secure a Republican Party benefit. Both left me, a registered independent, frustrated and disconnected from making a meaningful contribution to community decision-making as neither representative even bothered to send me campaign materials nor responded to communications because they assumed I was not relevant to their reelection because of the district I lived in. No matter who has done this in the past it needs to stop. Draw our state districts in a way that reflects the population of coherent regions and stop drawing them in a way that intends a political outcome. Only dictators predetermine the outcome of elections. WE the PEOPLE are supposed to choose our elected officials. It is not the other way around.
09/24/2021 09:17 AM	John Mueller		Make the maps fair. Please remember that the people choose the political representative not the political representative chooses the people.
09/24/2021 09:24 AM	Mr Kicab Castaneda-Mendez		Please do not divide Chatham County or combine it with other counties. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets the Constitutional requirement that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group.
09/24/2021 09:26 AM	Mrs Lee DeAlberdi		Do NOT divide up Chatham County in a way that subverts the candidates representation of our populous. Be FAIR! No sleight of hand tricks that do not reflect our choice of representatives.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/24/2021 09:30 AM	LCDR Robert Miller		I strongly encourage you to draw these maps in a non-partisan way. A non-partisan commission would be the best option, but we're too late for that. By drawing maps to further a partisan advantage that doesn't represent the views of the state, you may solidify your power, but you do so at the cost of further undermining the public's confidence in our democracy. National politics are a mess. Let's not make state politics a mess too. Please, do what is right.
09/24/2021 09:31 AM	Marilyn Cain		My comments concern Buncombe County in NC. My request is to keep Buncombe County as one district. We are a cohesive group with common interests and concerns.
09/24/2021 09:38 AM	Mr Gordon Drake	Homeowner	To Whom, The constant whiplash of gerrymandering is an absurd practice and as most of us are acutely aware, racist in nature. Stop the constant redistricting and separation of neighbors for Political gain, just stop.
09/24/2021 09:40 AM	Marion Horton		I believe Chatham County districts should remain as is.
09/24/2021 09:42 AM	Dr. Mary DeShazer		Please stop gerrymandering. The Winston-Salem community should not be divided into new, arbitrary, and capricious districts for partisan purposes.
09/24/2021 09:47 AM	Dr. Claudia C Prose		Each person's vote should count. Redistricting can help ensure this basic American right, or can stay with ensuring entrenched power and money by making partisan maps. We, the people, should have fair representation.
09/24/2021 09:48 AM	Carolyn Darden	retired	In this world of technology, why not use that technology? - Establish criteria that should be used to create districts. - Get criteria approved by the judiciary. - Set up a computer model to develop districts based on the approved criteria. - When there is a new census, feed the data to the program. New districts established.
09/24/2021 09:54 AM	Dr. Margaret Sowerwine		I hope this process will be nonpolitical and stay within socioeconomic boundaries as much as possible. I live in Rocky Mount, in Edgecombe County, just outside the city limits. Splitting the Nash and Edgecombe parts of the city should not be considered. Keep Edgecombe and Nash Counties together as much as possible, and with Wilson as a tri-county area. Thank you. Thank you.
09/24/2021 09:55 AM	Ms Lorna Chafe		I live in Chatham County and I ask you to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. Counties should be compact, and their boundaries should not be altered to favor one group. We like our representatives and we want to keep our present boundaries. Thanks so much for your service!
09/24/2021 10:00 AM	Gary Blank		KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. Do not to split either House district 54 and Senate district 23 which both encompass the entirety of Chatham.
09/24/2021 10:02 AM	Mr. Chester Bowen		Keep Chatham Whole, do not split House district 54 and Senate District 23, and have public hearings after maps are drawn.
09/24/2021 10:11 AM	Mr Erik Legg		Dear Committee Members - I am a husband and father of two rural Orange County children. We have seen and been affected by gerrymandering during our 15 years of happy living here. Through the 2010s our congressional district (4th) included Fayetteville - 135 miles from our home; part of the abomination that was the 2, 4 12 & 13th districting. While I am pleased by the pressure from the courts to draw straighter lines that respect counties, I am sad that our elected officials cannot uphold their sworn duty to execute the intent of the Constitution, including the definition of a representative republic. I ask that the Committees leading the redistricting set aside their party interests and draw truly representative, non-partisan, color-blind districts using publicly accepted formulas and tools. The recommendation of the Special Master would be an ideal starting point. All North Carolinians, including my children, deserve accurate representation and

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/24/2021 10:11 AM	Mr Erik Legg		<p>freedom from eternal partisan litigation. Thank you for doing the people's work. Sincerely, Erik Legg</p> <p>Dear Committee Members - I am a husband and father of two rural Orange County children. We have seen and been affected by gerrymandering during our 15 years of happy living here. Through the 2010s our congressional district (4th) included Fayetteville - 135 miles from our home; part of the abomination that was the 2, 4 12 & 13th districting. While I am pleased by the pressure from the courts to draw straighter lines that respect counties, I am sad that our elected officials cannot uphold their sworn duty to execute the intent of the Constitution, including the definition of a representative republic. I ask that the Committees leading the redistricting set aside their party interests and draw truly representative, non-partisan, color-blind districts using publicly accepted formulas and tools. The recommendation of the Special Master would be an ideal starting point. All North Carolinians, including my children, deserve accurate representation and freedom from eternal partisan litigation. Thank you for doing the people's work. Sincerely, Erik Legg</p>
09/24/2021 10:24 AM	Jane Harris		<p>Please, please, schedule at least as many public hearings AFTER the maps are drawn as you have had before. Citizens need to have the opportunity to provide input before you finalize the maps. Let's prevent the litigation and associated costs to the state seen in the last decade. Rather, in the next decade, let's go forth together, working in good faith on behalf of the good of all NC citizens.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
09/24/2021 10:25 AM	Martha Harris		<p>My name is Martha Harris and I live in Hampstead.</p> <p>I am writing to support fair redistricting in North Carolina. I am by no means an expert on gerrymandering - but I watched a film "Slay the Dragon" last year and it made a lasting impression. It was all about how a group of people in Michigan changed the state policies so that a bipartisan group of citizens drew the district lines - not politicians. Their main point was the the people should chose their elected officials - not the other way around.</p> <p>Fair voting is the most precious right that we - as Americans - have. Packing and cracking break communities part make it almost impossible for the true will of the people to be known. Politicians - of both parties - have fallen into the trap of drawing lines that are helpful to their reelection bids - without regard as to what their actions do to our communities.</p> <p>If nothing else - COVID has shown us that the health of a community is directly related to a common bond between its members. If you were to split up Hampstead from Holly Ridge or Topsail Island - people that share the same issues would not have the same representatives - so our voices would be diminished. Our area is growing so fast - there are unique issues that need to be addressed by one person who really cares and can be held responsible for their votes.</p> <p>My faith in government has lessened in the past few years. Please use this opportunity to prove to the citizens of North Carolina that you care more about us than an outdated, rigged system. We are counting on you to do the right thing.</p> <p>Sincerely, Martha Harris 137 Cove Side Lane Hampstead, NC 28443 martha.home17@gmail.com</p>
09/24/2021 10:25 AM	Michael Sheehan		<p>Public comments leading up to the work on redistricting are important. Public comments on actual proposed redistricting plans are essential.</p>
09/24/2021 10:28 AM	Mr. Chris Kaman		<p>I am a Chatham County resident. I am asking the legislature to split neither House District 54 nor Senate District 23 which both encompass the entirety of Chatham. There is a Constitutional argument that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>I am also requesting that public hearings be conducted after maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established.</p> <p>Thanks for this opportunity to express an opinion regarding the 2021 redistricting!</p>
09/24/2021 10:32 AM	Gayle Bedard		Please don't split our communities for partisan advantage.
09/24/2021 10:37 AM	Hope Warshaw		<p>Thank you for allowing public comments. I am a resident of Buncombe County. I moved to Asheville 4 1/2 years ago. My husband and I are delighted to live in Buncombe County. It is imperative that those in charge of redistricting take a non-political approach to redistricting and provide for proper representation among citizens of NC. Please work to keep Buncombe County together. Do not divide the county. In Buncombe County we share healthcare systems, educational institutions (UNCA, ABTech), county facilities and public works. Tourism binds us together as well. In your work make the districts geographically mappable and treat them holistically. Create districts in ways that are optimal for the citizens of NC. Do not create districts based on what is best for politicians. Thank you!</p>
09/24/2021 10:47 AM	Mr. Elliot Galdy	Elliot Galdy	<p>Ladies and Gentlemen of the North Carolina General Assembly,</p> <p>I am begging and pleading with you to not continue to go down the road of partisan gerrymandering, as has been the case for so long under both parties. We are better than that. The country, and our state, is so divided along partisan lines and this will only exacerbate the rift. If one sides ideas and policies are better, or more popular (there's a difference) than the other's, let the voters decide in fair, non-partisan elections. We've only got one North Carolina and one United States. Let's make sure they stay "united."</p> <p>Respectfully, Elliot Galdy 739 Lorentello Circle Hillsborough, NC 27278</p>
09/24/2021 10:47 AM	Patricia Levitin	Indivisible Guilford County	Please keep District 6 in tact. In 2018 it was District 13 and largely gerrymandered. Finally in 2019 for the 2020 election we finally kept Guilford County and part of Forsyth together - common community of interests. Please do not go back to gerrymandering. Please keep District 6 the same.
09/24/2021 10:56 AM	Anthony Carroll		<p>I live in Chatham County and am glad that both House district 54 and Senate district 23 include all of our county. This should not be changed in the current redistricting process. Chatham is a diverse, rapidly growing county with unique conditions and challenges. As such, it is important that we have a representative in each chamber who understands and is sensitive to our county and its concerns. Dividing our county among multiple districts would impair our representation and lacks any policy justification. Continuing to treating it as a whole is consistent with the constitutional requirements of compactness and not benefiting any particular group, given our county's diversity.</p> <p>I also request that there be opportunity for public comment after proposed maps are drawn and before they are finalized. Thank you.</p>
09/24/2021 11:08 AM	Stephanie Ganey		As a citizen of North Carolina and being cognizant of the fact that we're a diverse state, my desire is that districts be created along natural, logical, and reasonable boundaries, regardless of what the population ultimately looks like within those lines. This process would force politicians to represent all the people within those districts, not just the people they've sectioned out to look and think like them.
09/24/2021 11:08 AM	Mr Ron Katz	Carolina Jews for Justice - West and	Thank you for the opportunity to share my thoughts and concerns. This opinion is focused on congressional districts for our state. Former Rep. David Lewis who was one of the leads for redistricting in 2011 was quoted as saying, "I propose

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
		the League of Women Voters Asheville Buncombe (these are my affiliations, but I am only speaking for myself)	that we draw the maps to give a partisan advantage to 10 Republicans and three Democrats, because I do not believe it's possible to draw a map with 11 Republicans and two Democrats." That kind of cynical approach to drawing maps should not be acceptable by either political party. A recent contest for drawing congressional maps was won by a UNC graduate in our state that resulted in 6 districts leaning Republican, 5 leaning Democrat, and 2 as toss ups based on 13 congressional seats. If he can draw a map like that, where winners are not pre-determined by how the maps are drawn, I would hope this Joint Committee would do something similar.
09/24/2021 11:10 AM	Charles Hildenbrand	Retired	The 2021 Redistricting needs to be designed to support voters by keeping their communities together. No more meandering districts that serve politicians not voters.
09/24/2021 11:13 AM	Ms. Teresa Mikan		I am a Chatham County resident and I'm asking the legislature to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. I am opposed to the splitting of House district 54 and Senate district 23 which both encompass the entirety of Chatham. There is a Constitutional argument that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. I am also requesting that public hearings be conducted after maps are drawn so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established.
09/24/2021 11:16 AM	Ms Patricia Walker		The foundation of our democracy is the concept of "one man (or woman), one vote." The only way to achieve that is to ensure through non-partisan redistricting that EVERY man or woman has an equal opportunity to have their voice heard. That means that we cannot divide districts and communities with (as the courts put it in their decision against the State's 2010 redistricting) "with surgical precision." There is a fair and equitable way to redistrict so that everyone - regardless of race, ethnicity or level of wealth - has an equal voice. I urge the the House and Senate to establish a non-partisan redistricting committee which will give every North Carolina voter an equal voice. Not to do so is to undermine our democracy and disgrace us for generations to come.
09/24/2021 11:18 AM	mrs marianne apple	resident of Chatham Co.	Keeping Chatham Whole is vital. Don't split House district 54 and Senate district 23. These are Chatham Co.! Chatham Co residents form a unique mix of people. Please insure that public hearings are held after the maps are redrawn. We need transparency to allow public input into the redistricting process.
09/24/2021 11:28 AM	dr james lofrese	retired	Keep Chatham as is!!!!!!
09/24/2021 11:30 AM	Mr Thomas Liebtag		I am a a Chatham County resident I am asking the legislature to keep Chatham County whole within one district. Do not split either House district 54 or Senate district 23 which both encompass the entirety of Chatham. District maps should be compact, contiguous and should not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. Please hold public hearings after maps are drafted, so the public has input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established.
09/24/2021 11:33 AM	Dr. Phil Morse	Professor Emeritus, State University of New York at Fredonia	I am a Chatham County resident and am requesting that you KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. Do not split House district 54 or Senate district 23. Please have a public hearing after maps are drawn so that the public has some input.
09/24/2021 11:33 AM	Ms Nancy Myers	Chatham co. Resident	Keep Chatham co. Whole
09/24/2021 11:35 AM	Diane Ursano	Citizen	As a resident of Chatham County, I am writing to urge you to Keep Chatham Whole. Do not split House district 54 and Senate district 23 which currently encompass the entirety of Chatham. The current configuration is not compact and does not befit a single group, fitting a Constitutional requirement. Our voting population is representative of the major parties, and (as recently as a few years ago) one or two "other" small parties, as well as Unaffiliated voters. Moreover, the current

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			arrangement of district lines also meets that requirement. Please delay public hearings on this matter until after the legislative maps are re-drawn. I would like to be able to be a part of the discussion of redistricting AFTER the real boundaries are established.
09/24/2021 11:51 AM	Mr. David Kahler	Bradford Place, Fearington Village 27312	Please retain Chatham County as a single entity.
09/24/2021 11:52 AM	Solveig Viste		As an ordinary voter, I am surprised that the meetings are so far from me: Wilmington, Pembroke, Fayetteville are all some distance from where I live, in Cary, and take place at times when I would have to take off work to attend. I do not see any way of attending virtually, and the recordings of the meetings are not promptly appearing. This is not keeping the public informed nor is it offering me a real chance to express my concerns. By the time I hear anything, it will be past time to have my say. By making it hard to attend, it seems you do not really want a public input, diverse or otherwise.
09/24/2021 11:56 AM	Dr. Hannah Andrews	Private citizen, resident of Carolina Meadows CCRC, active voter.	Please keep Chatham County whole as you consider the new maps for redistricting.
09/24/2021 12:02 PM	Mr. James Walters	Fearington Village Resident	Chatham County should not be divided as you plan your redistricting strategy. The county is a unified whole politically, culturally, and economically. As such, it should vote as a single unit. Thank you!
09/24/2021 12:21 PM	LYNDA LUCAS		PLEASE KEEP CHATHAM COUNTY WHOLE!! No redistricting please.
09/24/2021 12:34 PM	Ms. Pamela Nicholson		I moved from CA to NC about 6 years ago. I live in Chatham County. I understand that Chatham is very diverse in many ways but I think it is very important to keep it whole when redistricting is done. Once maps are redrawn, I think it is important to have public hearings (in-person if safe) and/or via various media formats.
09/24/2021 12:40 PM	Kate P		I think it is in the GOP's best interest to create independent redistricting now while the state is purple so when it turns blue, they will be protected against the democrats gerrymandering. I would like to think that we are above gerrymandering, but history tells a different story.
09/24/2021 12:42 PM	Ms Dale Colson		I urge the NC legislature to NOT redistrict Chatham County.
09/24/2021 12:57 PM	Maria Tadd		I live in Chatham County and am requesting that you please keep Chatham whole. Thank you.
09/24/2021 01:05 PM	Dr. D. Byron May		I strongly urge the North Carolina Legislature to keep county boundary integrity. Do not break a county into separate legislative or congressional districts. This approach would only serve to divide neighbors and weakens the impact of a citizens voice in our government. Particularly, in Chatham County, do not split it into various districts. Your previous legislative boundaries have enhanced a partisan divide between separate parts of the county and I implore you to not to continue this.
09/24/2021 01:21 PM	Barbara Rounds	1368 Fearington Post, Pittsboro, NC 27312	Attn: To Our Legislature Please keep Chatham County whole - you have the power to make a difference. Just do it! B. Rounds
09/24/2021 01:24 PM	Mr. Lawrence Newlin		As residents of Chatham County we are well represented by Representative Reives and Senator Foushee. Dividing up Chatham County in the course of redistricting would potentially stack the cards against their respective reelection efforts. We have been through this in the courts before. In 2019 the Wake County Superior Court found: "The 2017 enacted maps,

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/24/2021 01:25 PM	Ms. Barbara Stalzer	Select One	<p>as drawn, do not permit voters to freely choose their representative, but rather representatives are choosing voters based upon sophisticated partisan sorting." Precedent was further established in the 2017 U.S. Supreme Court ruling regarding racially motivated gerrymandering in North Carolina's Congressional districts. Both Representative Reives and Senator Foushee are African-American. There is no need to give the division of the county further consideration, and there is definitely no need to fool around with the excellent representation that the citizens of Chatham County enjoy with these leaders of high integrity, responsiveness, and leadership.</p> <p>Thank you in advance or abiding by the State and U.S. Constitution and keeping Chatham County whole.</p>
09/24/2021 01:35 PM	Ann Richardson		<p>I am a resident of Buncombe County living in the northern part of the county just outside of Weaverville. I moved here from Atlanta in 2017 to be near my sister and her family after many decades in Atlanta. My precinct has been affected by several redistrictings, including the state house and state senate, and county commission. It has been a little confusing. Additionally, we were without any representation at all in the 11th Congressional district for several months, and we effectively have none now.</p> <p>I am writing to make you aware of what I have seen that makes putting all of Buncombe into one Congressional district, as well as what affects the smaller areas of representation. Specifically, for the Congressional district maps, Buncombe County has a strong tourist economy, as the area provides wonderful art, hiking and outdoor experiences, a beautiful setting, great restaurants, Biltmore House, and craft breweries. These are all provided by small and dedicated businesses. In a wider area, there is of course still lots of hiking and other outdoor experiences, but there is a strong local food community, of those who produce it, from pasture raised meat, to cheese, all kinds of veggies, fruit, as well as crafts. These are all small businesses with very hard working owners and employees who love what they do in this area, and love their customers. And there is a lot of fiber animal farming-- sheep, llamas, alpacas, some goats-- and cottage fiber and craft businesses. All of these locally grown, and shared products are very special to this area and add to its appeal. These are interests that should be addressed appropriately in a redistricting plan to avoid splitting the communities up indiscriminately. Commonality of interests should have a unity of representation.</p> <p>I am a longtime resident of Chatham County. I strongly support KEEPING CHATHAM WHOLE! Do not split House District 54 or Senate District 23. Both districts encompass the entirety of Chatham Co. District maps should be compact and should not benefit a single group. Our current map represents all our Chatham citizens fairly.</p> <p>Please conduct public hearings after the maps are drawn, so the public aka voters can have some input into the Legislative redistricting.</p> <p>Regards, Ann Richardson Pittsboro</p>
09/24/2021 01:36 PM	Sylvester Taylor	Sylvester Taylor	<p>My name is Sylvester Taylor. I'm a native North Carolinian and a long time Greensboro resident. I worked at the Center for Creative Leadership in a variety of roles for over 30 years and now work as a consultant. I am motivated to write to you because I want to stress the importance of keeping Greensboro in one single Congressional district in the maps you are drawing. Greensboro has been split by Congressional districts in the last decade, and it resulted in confusion and in poor representation. My concerns, such as income inequality, mass incarceration and strong protections for the rights of marginalized people were not well represented when our city was split. In contrast, the current Congressional district map has resulted in representation that is much more responsive to my concerns. Please keep Greensboro whole in one Congressional District in the new map.</p> <p>I have a comment about my NC House District as well, HD62. Guilford County's House districts are drawn in a random fashion, and don't group communities who have shared interests together. For example, my district, HD62, includes a good deal of southwestern High Point, which my neighborhood in the NW part of Guilford County has very little to do with. From the standpoint of a Congressional District, which is quite large, it works fine. But for a much smaller NC House district, my neighborhood, which is in the precinct SF4, should be grouped with neighborhoods oriented toward Greensboro, where we</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			shop, take our kids to schools and do most of our commerce. At the level of state policy, I'm concerned about poor pay for public school employees, the inadequate number of school support personnel like nurses and counselors, and about the failure to close the Medicaid coverage gap. My representative, who is based in High Point, is not responsive to these concerns. Please draw Guilford County NC House maps that are more compact and that keep communities with shared interests together. That would mean redrawing HD62 to exclude High Point, among others.
			Thank you for taking public comments. I think it's important that you hear from residents, and believe you need to schedule more hearings after the maps are drafted.
09/24/2021 01:37 PM	ms. Anne Geer	personal	I am writing to request that you NOT split my county - Chatham County - in the new redistricting plan. I understand that district maps should be compact and not benefit any group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets those requirements. I am in a part of Chatham that has been redistricted MANY times over the years and it is difficult for people to keep up with their representatives. Please keep the county intact in the new plan.
09/24/2021 01:45 PM	Cecilia Gavin		I am urging our elected officials in NC to create maps from a non-partisan perspective, no more packing and cracking our communities for political gain, NO MORE gerrymandering. Let's keep our democracy. Thank you.
09/24/2021 01:46 PM	mr George Cohen		Please be advised, my family and I do not see any need for a change in our district as it now stands nor would any change be desired. To change our district from its current structure would most certainly influence our future votes.
09/24/2021 01:49 PM	Brian Quinby		Please be fair and inclusive when exercising this responsibility of your office. Please do not weave advantages for political parties into our districts.
09/24/2021 01:50 PM	Jim Clotfelter		Please respond to public requests that communities not be divided and that non-partisan goals be the overriding purpose of Congressional & state legislative redistricting. Elections should fairly reflect the views of the voters, not be engineered by incumbents to help them keep their seats.
09/24/2021 01:55 PM	Ed Haffer		Please keep Chatham County WHOLE.
09/24/2021 02:17 PM	Betty Cohen		KEEP CHATHAM COUNTY WHOLENO REDISTRICTING!
09/24/2021 02:22 PM	Gayle Grigson	Mom/retiree/ nurse	Please leave Chatham County as it is - together / whole. I don't need to be grouped with my cousin who lives almost in Virginia ! I don't want our community divided as it has been in the past. Please leave Chatham together!!
09/24/2021 02:28 PM	Ms Jennifer Young	Chatham County registered voting citizen	I have been a Chatham County resident for 15 years and would like to comment with my strong conviction that Chatham County, NC be kept WHOLE. and not split either House District 54 or Senate District 23. I also request that there be put forward public hearings, with enough notification for citizen responses, after the maps are drawn, so the public has input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established. I personally feel that redistricting is a ruse and that where people live and vote is WHERE the district lines ought be drawn. Chatham County is fairly represented by both political parties. Leave well enough alone.
09/24/2021 02:49 PM	Mr. Ed Block	Retired	I'm a Chatham County resident and I'm requesting the legislature keep Chatham whole and not split either House district 54 or Senate district 23 which both currently encompass the entire county. The current arrangement of district lines meets the Constitutional requirement that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. Additionally, Chatham should remain in the same federal legislative district as Orange County, as both share many demographic characteristics. Finally, I request that public hearings be conducted AFTER maps are drawn which will allow the public some input when the real boundaries are established.
09/24/2021 02:58 PM	Mr. Gary Simpson	Chatham County citizen	As a Chatham County resident I am asking the legislature to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. Do not split either House district 54 or Senate district 23, which both encompass the entirety of Chatham. Constitutionally, district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. Chatham should remain in the same federal legislative district as Orange County, as both share many demographic

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			characteristics. I also request that public hearings be conducted after maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established.
09/24/2021 03:00 PM	Mr Leonard Kreisman	Citizen	Can you make sure that Chatham county is kept whole by any new redistricting plan.
09/24/2021 03:14 PM	Ms. Laurane Mendelsohn		I am a Chatham County resident. Please keep Chatham county whole and do not divide it into sub regions to be distributed to other non-Chatham counties. Please hold public hearing after the maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established. THank you.
09/24/2021 03:18 PM	Mrs JoAnn Pitz	Retired from UNCCH	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This state has a long history of finding itself in lawsuits after redistricting. We spent millions to fight about a map we all knew was illegal for the last ten years. • When districts are fair, they assure that voters have the chance to elect politicians and the politicians picking their voters.
09/24/2021 03:23 PM	Mr. Richard Andrews	Chatham County resident	<p>To the North Carolina General Assembly:</p> <p>I am a Chatham County resident, and I strongly request that in your redistricting process, you keep Chatham County whole, and not split either House district 54 or Senate district 23, each of which currently encompasses the entirety of Chatham.</p> <p>The North Carolina Constitution requires that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. Chatham also has a rapidly growing population, which argues against combining it with other nearby counties. It is compact and coherent in its own right, and deserves to remain so.</p> <p>I also request that public hearings be conducted after maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are proposed.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Richard Andrews 125 Oak Lane Chatham County Andrews298@gmail.com 919-929-2988</p>
09/24/2021 03:34 PM	Linda Bowen		<p>KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE:</p> <p>I am a long-time resident of Chatham County and I request the NC Legislature to keep Chatham Whole. Do not split either House District 54 or Senate District 23, which both encompass the entirety of Chatham. The current district lines meet the Constitutional Requirement that District Maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. Any attempt by the NC Legislature to split Chatham Count in order to give political advantages to a single political party will be viewed as a violation of the Constitutional Requirement and an affront to Chatham County and all its citizens.</p> <p>PUBLIC HEARINGS: I also request that public hearings be conducted AFTER maps are drawn, so we have some input into the Legislative redistricting when REAL boundaries are established. Avoidance of timely public hearings will be viewed as a lack of transparency on the part of the Legislature. Please keep the NC electorate informed as you are considering these issues that directly impact each of us.</p> <p>Thank you for your public service to all NC residents. We appreciate all that you do.</p> <p>Sincerely, Linda C. Bowen, Ph.D., CPA</p>
09/24/2021	Mary Anderson		As a Chatham County resident I am writing to ask that you do not divide Chatham County in the redistricting process --

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
03:36 PM			keep Chatham County whole. Please don't split House District 54 or Senate District 23. District maps should not be redefined in an effort to benefit either political party. I urge you to hold public hearings and listen to voters' comments after the new district maps are drafted.
09/24/2021 03:44 PM	Mrs Ruth Schwenke	Carolina Meadows Resident Assoc	Please do not split Chatham County into two districts for either state offices or national representatives. The last time this was done it was corrected by the courts.
09/24/2021 04:50 PM	Mr. ROBERT CHERNIAK	retire	<p>I am a Chatham County resident and requesting that the legislature to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE.</p> <p>I request that the Lesislature not split either House district 54 or Senate district 23 which both encompass the entirety of Chatham. There is a Constitutional argument that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement.</p> <p>I would like public hearings be conducted after the maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established.</p> <p>Thank you</p>
09/24/2021 04:53 PM	Deborah Gregson	Citizen of Guilford County North Carolina United States of America Still	<p>Congressional District 6 was redrawn recently. If one looks at it on the map it looks stupid. There's no other explanation for it. It puts rural, suburban, and urban people in two different counties spread over 40 miles apart from each other into one district. The worst part is that people who were like-minded and communities that were well represented for many years are now grouped together with ones of opposing views who outnumber them. This means they will never be represented again politically.</p> <p>Tough shit, you say? In this political age, one should feel they at least have an opportunity to ve heard by their representative. In this last election, there wasn't even a serious political adversary to run against the Democratic candidate because the GOP knew there was no chance of garnering enough votes to make the campaign worthwhile.</p> <p>Designing a district should at least make people feel they have a chance at electing the candidate of their choice, otherwise, we live in a totalitarian regime. Please redraw the district so there's a fair chance for either side to win or design two districts so both sides win and we cancel each other out. Feeling like there's no hope is not only depressing and hopeless but is creating an atmosphere of divisiveness.</p>
09/24/2021 04:56 PM	Nancy Miller	retired	Although my address is "Chapel Hill", I live in Chatham County (27517-8529). The entire county is represented in NC House District 54 and NC Senate District 23. Please do not change this. The diversity has been working really well. There seems to be a balance of groups, allowing all to be heard and concerns addressed. Do not divide the County. After district maps have been drawn, it would be beneficial to have hearings to get public input on the boundaries.
09/24/2021 05:05 PM	Ms Linda Cotton		Please do not break up Chatham County and "Keep Chatham Whole." We are a geographic unit. we share similar concerns. we need to be repered as a block. and besides, the new maps haven't even been drawn.
09/24/2021 05:05 PM	Dr. Stephen Norton		<p>Please keep Chatham whole. Don't split or modify House District 54 or Senate District 23.</p> <p>Sincerely, Stephen Norton and Renee Halberg 44 Laurel Grove Ct, Chapel Hill, NC 27517 (we are residents of Chatham County)</p>
09/24/2021 05:06 PM	George Greger-Holt	Chatham County Citizen	Please work to keep Chatham County in House district 54 and Senate district 23 in its entirety. Splitting the county only causes confusion. Please conduct public hearings AFTER the maps are drawn so that citizens can see the actual boundaries and have an opportunity to comment. Thank You.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/24/2021 05:14 PM	Ms. Martha Stucker	Carolina Meadows	Please keep Chatham Co. whole. House District 54 and Senate District 23 need to be continued whole as our representatives know us and can respond to our needs easily when they do not have to travel to other counties or learn the needs of those counties.
09/24/2021 05:20 PM	Debbie Kinert		Keep Chatham County together.
09/24/2021 05:40 PM	Mary Elizabeth Voss		<p>I attended the meeting on Wednesday, September 22. It was both helpful and hopeful to hear so many stand up to request open and transparency when drawing the maps. As a result of a comment from one attendee, I must respond. It was the comment that Davidson and Cornelius and united with Mooresville by Lake Norman.</p> <p>In my 11 years in North Carolina, I am the rare person who has lived in each of the towns in the North Mecklenburg community of Davidson, Cornelius, and Huntersville. They are not only united geographically but economically, health care, and personal relationships.</p> <p>In addition, I worked in Mooresville for a period of time. There simply aren't any relationships with Davidson, Cornelius, or Huntersville; neither economic, health care, religion, or personal relationships. If anything the bridge over Lake Norman adds to the division.</p> <p>I write in hopes that my voice will be heard, that the towns of North Mecklenburg be left as a whole.</p> <p>Thank you. Liz</p>
09/24/2021 05:44 PM	Ms Mary Ellen Sweeney		Please KEEP CHATHAM COUNTY WHOLE!!! DO NOT DIVIDE US!
09/24/2021 05:48 PM	Mrs Valerie Whitfield		Please, lines should be drawn Fairly! Why any citizen would have to actually request this Right, is beyond me. Voting is my right as an American citizen and is the right of ALL Americans. It's Not up to you to decide. It's up to me and my fellow Americans to decide. Please RESPECT all our Rights
09/24/2021 06:09 PM	Randolph Peacock	Private Citizen	<p>My name is Randolph Peacock and I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the current redistricting process in North Carolina. I have been a resident of Huntersville, NC for 16 years and have been active in neighborhood associations and public service organizations most of that time. Though I have also lived in other areas of Mecklenburg County (i.e., Charlotte, Pineville, Matthews), I have found Northern Mecklenburg County to be especially cohesive in addressing issues ranging from our schools to light rail service. In particular, the Towns of Davidson, Cornelius and Huntersville have seemed to come together more so than other towns in the county in addressing common issues that affect us all. It is for this reason that I am appealing to those leading the redistricting process to keep the Towns of Davidson, Cornelius and Huntersville together in the same State House and Senate Districts. To split us could serve to compromise our bonds that we believe quite strongly allow us to work together when facing much larger political forces. Being in possible competing districts could create a chilling effect between our citizens, which we understand the redistricting guidelines wish to avoid. We recognize that a top priority for redistricting is the need to define districts with equal populations in accordance with the Equal Protection Clause of the 14th Amendment to the US Constitution. If the variance between districts in our area exceeds allowable limits, we would encourage those defining the districts to combine unincorporated areas of Mecklenburg County with those in surrounding counties instead.</p> <p>Thank you for your kind consideration of my comments.</p>
09/24/2021 06:21 PM	Hoyt Taylor		Keep Chatham Whole!!! Work for the good of the people of this county, state, and nation, not for the good of a particular party. Allow democracy to work.
09/24/2021 06:36 PM	Ms. Nancy Jacobs	Chatham County	Chatham County has nearly enough residents to become it's own district. Soon we will have more than enough, and you need to have all voting districts as dcompact as possible. I do not want our county divided up and combined with any

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			other counties. I want it to stay whole.
09/24/2021 07:03 PM	Mary Ann Woehrel		Please don't split up Chatham County, we do fine by ourselves. We know how to all work together to get the best results for the residents of our county. Redistributing may be good for other places, but it's totally unnecessary here.
09/24/2021 07:10 PM	Ron Osborne	Self	As someone who has been both a registered Democrat as well as a registered Republican, I urge the legislature to adopt the use of a nonpartisan, independent commission to determine all voting districts. Despite opinions to the contrary, such a commission is workable, and entirely possible to achieve. It is time that the politicians quit picking their voters rather than the voters picking their representatives in manner that is equitable and fair to all persons. Regardless of political persuasion, the history and practice of gerrymandering is a blot upon our democracy. You, the legislature, have not just the opportunity, but the moral duty to prevent that unfortunate and shameful tradition from continuing. Do you have the integrity and courage to do so?
09/24/2021 07:41 PM	Mrs. Joanna Migliore		"I urge the North Carolina Legislature to keep county boundary integrity: do not break a county into separate legislative or congressional districts. That only serves to divide neighbors and weakens the impact of a citizens voice in our government. Particularly, in Chatham County, do not split it into various districts. Your previous legislative boundaries have enhanced a partisan divide between separate parts of the county."
09/24/2021 07:59 PM	Ms Nancy Stewart		I am very disappointed in the redistricting hearings. I could not attend the only hearing in my district because it was scheduled during the workday in a very remote location. Why wasn't the meeting live streamed or available via Zoom? Equally important, why weren't proposed maps available for the public to comment on as they were in 2011? How am I supposed to comment if I don't know what is being proposed? North Carolina is the most gerrymandered state in the nation, and it appears this committee wants to keep it that way.
09/24/2021 08:50 PM	Ms Maureen O'Rourke	Chatham County Democrats	What are you so afraid of? Winning at all costs matters because whiteness matters. Wanting to divide helps the racists to stay in power. Such cowards. Win At All Cost—Voting is a constitutional right and tampering with this right by redistricting only proves how scared and frightened Republicans are. Shame on you to deliberately decide to make it difficult rather than easier for people to vote. Republicans intent on redistricting have no respect for the Constitution or for themselves. Sincerely, Maureen O'Rourke
09/24/2021 10:30 PM	Mr. Bert Bowe		9/24/21 As a Chatham County resident I believe strongly that Chatham should be kept whole in the redistricting process for both the House and Senate seats. Previous redistricting splitting up Chatham (or other counties for that matter) that doesn't keep it/them compact did not make sense. I also want to see public comment periods after the proposals are made - this can only result in a better product.
09/25/2021 05:23 AM	Use a non- partisan panel Heather McKenzie		The people of NC deserve representation that is aligned with the population. We all just want to have good and safe lives. We need lawmakers that are focused on that, not power and political games. We must have a non-partisan panel of experts to create our districts. Please do right by us and stop playing games with our lives and our district lines. Thank you.
09/25/2021 07:20 AM	Christina McKeon		I have been a Chatham County resident for 16 years and request that you KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. Please do not split either House district 54 or Senate district 23, which both encompass the entirety of Chatham. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets the requirement for district maps to be compact and not benefit a single group. Also, please allow public hearings to be conducted after maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established. Thank you!

Please put in a comment regarding KEEPING CHATHAM WHOLE in the public comment section of the NC Legislature's

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>web page: https://ncleg.gov/requestforcomments/38</p> <p>As you know, Robert Reives (House district 54) serves ALL of Chatham and a small portion of Durham county.</p> <p>Valery Foushee (Senate district 23) serves ALL of Chatham and Orange counties.</p> <p>WE DON'T WANT TO LOSE EITHER ONE!</p>
09/25/2021 07:25 AM	KR Harrold		Each county should whole and completely be counted . A city should be counted in its entirety according to its city limits. The dissecting.practice of a major city should Not be based on splitting racial or political voting to suit your party. It should be left as an entire city within it's legal boundaries. The redistricting is not a politician's personal bag of potato chips . The state should be divided in fairness according to population and keeping counties and cities Intact.
09/25/2021 08:05 AM	Holly Torrey		"I urge the North Carolina Legislature to keep county boundary integrity: do not break a county into separate legislative or congressional districts. That only serves to divide neighbors and weakens the impact of a citizens voice in our government. Particularly, in Chatham County, do not split it into various districts. Your previous legislative boundaries have enhanced a partisan divide between separate parts of the county."
09/25/2021 08:07 AM	Ms. brenda mccall		Do not split up our voting districts in order to create political outcomes which do not represent the true intent of the voters.
09/25/2021 08:10 AM	Mrs Shannon Bueker		<p>I am a resident of Chatham county since 1993. KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE!! Don't split the county. Do not split House District 54 and Senate District 23!! Please and thank you!</p> <p>Shannon Bueker 167 Eddie Perry road, Pittsboro</p>
09/25/2021 08:26 AM	C Barbour		I am very concerned about partisan redistricting. I believe a functioning democracy should provide fair and equal representation. There is recent history of malfeasance in the state of North Carolina. In this past election cycle, my local community was affected by a change in districting. Personally, I believe our state is a purple state and should at least represent that more closely in order to serve all citizens' needs and interests more productively.
09/25/2021 08:48 AM	"Mr." Albert Cooke	Albert Cooke	<p>Keep Chatham Whole. I am a resident and registered voter in Chatham County. As the Legislature considers redistricting, I would like to request Chatham County remain as a whole. Please do not divide us. I think the state constitution requires compact districts that do not favor any particular group. To that end I would also suggest that redistricting should be done without reference to demographic data, especially race and/or political party affiliation. Given the recent efforts at "surgical precision," I would suggest that referring to data about race and political affiliation by persons responsible for redistricting should be a felony.</p> <p>Please make all efforts to Keep Chatham Whole and not split House Districts 54 or Senate District 23 both of which include all of Chatham County.</p> <p>Furthermore, we would like to have public hearings after proposed maps are created. The public voices should be heard regarding proposed redistricting boundaries.</p> <p>Thank you for all your work, on behalf of the citizens of North Carolina.</p>
09/25/2021 08:58 AM	Keep Chatham County WHOLE Emily Moose		Hello I am Chatham County resident asking the legislature to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. Communities should be functionally represented as a community, not splintered and divided to benefit someone else. Please do not split either House district 54 or Senate district 23 which both encompass the entirety of Chatham. There is a Constitutional argument

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. Also, public hearings should be conducted after maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established. Thank you.
09/25/2021 09:06 AM	Ms Katherine Hare		KEEP Chatham County whole. Please do not split House District 54 and Senate District 23. Thank you.
09/25/2021 09:18 AM	Mary Honeycutt	Retired	It is past time to take redistricting out of partisan politics and appointment a non-partisan commission to draw district maps. I am a resident of Chatham County and we have been chopped up multiple times. I live between Pittsboro and Siler City. The entire county is my community and I would like to see it kept intact in districts, especially in the NC State Senate and House. Please add hearings, including one in Chatham, following the release of the draft maps. Thank You.
09/25/2021 10:09 AM	Mrs. Kristin Wing-Coleman		Please keep communities with the same interests together. Gerrymandering does not serve our democracy.
09/25/2021 10:12 AM	Mr. Robert Moxley	Self	Please have more widespread public hearings after maps are drawn.
09/25/2021 10:23 AM	Mr. Richard Strowd		It is important to draw redistricting maps fairly. The districts should reflect the diversity of the population and be proportional. They should not be drawn to unfairly encapsulate and thus disenfranchise blocks of voters - especially not disenfranchising minority voters. Thank you.
09/25/2021 10:26 AM	Mr Charles Newell Jr		I know redistricting has hit communities of color hardest. However, it has also harmed all Democrats living in Greensboro, NC. Greensboro is predominantly Democratic, yet we have not had a representative in Congress for the past 10 years due to gerrymandering by the dominant Republican Party in the state house. North Carolina consistently has a near 50/50 split in Presidential elections, but only 3 Representatives are Democrats and 10 are Republicans. How fair is that?
09/25/2021 10:33 AM	B Jackson	none	It is vital to our country that redistricting be fair and honest. Districts need to be drawn per population, not party.
09/25/2021 10:34 AM	Susan Andresen	Naacp Brevard	I urged you to make The hearings and gerrymandering accessible to all people. That would mean having alternative language available Spanish perhaps, and it would mean situating these hearings in places where people can get to them via public transportation. In addition having a hearings at 5 PM Does not really make them accessible to working people. A day time hour around lunchtime and an evening our allowing time for people to get to the location would be ideal. In the interest of democracy and fairness to all I urge you to change the structure of this process. Thank you.I would ask, what is the goal here?
09/25/2021 10:38 AM	Ms Mary Conner		When districts are drawn, they should reflect the population that lives in each area rather than being drawn to protect a representative's ability to get re-elected. There are currently many districts that are drawn which limit the ability for voices/ opinions to be heard. Allow ALL voices to be heard and to have representation instead of making sure that one party can maintain control!
09/25/2021 10:41 AM	Mr. John Paschal	Citizen of NC	All redistricting should be based on NON-PARTISAN data --- districts drawn to be compact, demographically equal (as far as possible), and equally distributed as per population. Any map that protects any sitting political figure is not acceptable. (The Republican Party has achieved a reputation that is anti- democratic and anti- American. Wake up and realize that! The fact that it has been done in past by another party in "power" does not fly these days.
09/25/2021	Md Karen		Gerrymandered districts deny voters the opportunity to elect candidates of their choice. In North Carolina, this form of

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
10:43 AM	Packard		voter suppression deprived Black and Brown voters from having a voice in our democracy. Don't do this! Please be fair.
09/25/2021 10:53 AM	Rick Bueker		Keep Chatham whole in the redistricting process. As a long time resident, I have been forced into districts with Greensboro and Fayetteville. These representatives did nothing for Chatham. We are clearly part of the Triangle and that is where our interests are best represented,
09/25/2021 11:16 AM	Shannon Wylam		Gerrymandering is WRONG no matter which political party does it. It is time to do away with the practice and establish fair and non partisan voting districts. Do what is best for the people of the state.
09/25/2021 11:20 AM	Mr. Michael Burnham	Retired	Keep Greensboro NC in the same district.
09/25/2021 11:39 AM	ms June Linhart	none	I want my voice to be heard & a representative to speak for me.
09/25/2021 11:50 AM	mrs kay tiddy	private citizen and naacp	What are the republicans trying to do??? I was a lifelong republican until 2016 and i can not believe what they are doing to our government! FREE AND FAIR ELECTIONS IS AN AMERICAN RIGHT!!
09/25/2021 11:56 AM	Lil Royal		I have been a resident of Chatham County for 44 years. Keep Chatham Whole! I respectfully request that House District 54 and Senate District 23 remain intact. I also request that you hold more public hearings after the redistricting process has redrawn boundaries. Thank you for your consideration.
09/25/2021 12:00 PM	Mrs. Cheryl McGraw	citizen	Please allow public input into this process and the ultimate in transparency so all are invested and can trust that the best job has been done to ensure that districts are not gerrymandered to disenfranchise either party's voters. This will ensure that some level of compromise and civility will be restored to our democratic process.
09/25/2021 12:13 PM	Mr. John Thomas	Writer/Self-employed	I am extremely disturbed about any and all gerrymandering, redistricting, and other forms of electioneering sabotage by GOP and rightwing minions in North Carolina. So far (in the four years I've been here), that has guided my voting record and political activity. I will continue to monitor this activity, which I consider criminal behavior.
09/25/2021 12:15 PM	Dr. Marcia Herman-Giddens		I have been a resident of Chatham county for almost 30 years. Please do not to split either House district 54 and Senate district 23 both of which encompass the entirety of Chatham. There is a Constitutional argument that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. I trust you to do the right thing. Thank you.
09/25/2021 12:15 PM	Mr Kelly Horn		Please redistrict so that each district represents a fair voting percentage based on the 2020 census and not some political gerrymandering misrepresentation. Thank you.
09/25/2021 12:26 PM	Mr. Robert (Bob) Williams	individual, Asheboro, N. C.	Districts should be fairly drawn in compliance with the laws and court cases resulting in the ability for voters in related communities to select their representatives and senators rather the office holders selecting the voters of their choice.
09/25/2021 12:49 PM	xxx xxx		I urge the legislature to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. and not split either House district 54 and Senate district 23 which both encompass the entirety of Chatham. There is a Constitutional argument that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. I also request that public hearings be conducted after maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established.
09/25/2021 12:57 PM	Mr. Ronald Coble	Disgusted Private Citizen	There is only one job for this committee to accomplish ASAP: DO NOT ALLOW THE REPUBLICAN PARTY TO CONTROL THE REDISTRICTING OF THE STATE OF NC. Pretty simple task,,,,,,DO IT!!! Ron Coble Mint Hill, NC

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/25/2021 01:43 PM	Delia DeCourcy		<p>I am a voting citizen of Chatham County asking that you keep the voting district whole—don't split House district 54 or Senate district 23, which both encompass the entirety of Chatham. There is a Constitutional argument that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. I also request that public hearings be conducted after maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration.</p>
09/25/2021 01:57 PM	Joe Mottola	Citizen of Chatham County	Leave Chatham whole
09/25/2021 02:08 PM	Brittany Sandefur		I am a Chatham County resident and implore you to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. I request that you do not split our house district 54 or Senate district 23. Our current district is not compact and does not benefit a single group.
09/25/2021 02:25 PM	Christina Peterson		I am a 73-year-old homeowner who lives in Guilford County in a diverse, semi-rural neighborhood. My district, according to the courts, was previously gerrymandered by the Republican-controlled state legislature in order to racially target voters and rob us of our constitutionally guaranteed voices in the way we are governed. As a former Republican voter, I don't have much hope that the current incarnation of the legislature - still led by Berger - will do any better. I would remind those in charge of the current redistricting, however, that you swore an oath to the state constitution, that we, the voters of all colors and affiliations, are watching, and that we stand ready to support the same organizations that took you to court and exposed your repellant and racist opportunism the last time you tried to silence us. Do right this time.
09/25/2021 02:40 PM	Hannah Neukrug		I am a resident of the U.S. House District 4, NC House District 56, and NC Senate District 23. I am writing to request that the NC redistricting process be more transparent, accessible, thoughtful, and equitable - providing fair and just representation to residents who have been historically disenfranchised by voting in our state.
09/25/2021 03:02 PM	Cornelia Maxted		I am another voter in favor of fair elections through non-partisan drawing of district lines.
09/25/2021 03:10 PM	Mr Dwayne Dvoracek		<p>I've been a resident & registered, unaffiliated voter of NC since 2008. It's probably to late to enact & craft a non-partisan or bipartisan redistricting commission BUT it is NEVER to late for these Committees to cease the blatant gerrymandering that I witnessed in NC during 2011. It is further substantiated by Rep. David Lewis' 2016 statement, "I propose that we draw the maps to give a partisan advantage to 10 Republicans and three Democrats, because I do not believe it's possible to draw a map with 11 Republicans and two Democrats." Probably THE MOST disturbing fact is that as of July 2020 registered GOP voters comprised only ~30% of NC voters yet they control ~77% of our Representatives & 100% of our Senators!</p> <p>There has never been a better case for abolishing this blatant sacrilege of the US Constitution which was drafted with the premise of ONE voter, ONE vote NOT this gerrymandered, disgusting excuse for fair, nonpartisan electoral districts.</p> <p>I want a non-partisan redistricting commission or at the very least a bipartisan one.</p> <p>Dwayne Dvoracek 110 Grayson Drive Salisbury, NC 28147-8109</p>
09/25/2021 03:15 PM	William Reddy		Redistricting should not be a political football. Gerrymandered districts lead to elections where the decision is really made in the primary, and the most motivated voters in primaries are generally not the middle-of-the-spectrum people we need to get out of our national deadlock. Please make sure our NC districts will yield real electoral give-and-take—not in the primary, but in the election where the people express their choice.
09/25/2021 03:15 PM	Ms. Henrietta Jenrette	None	I expect the Committees to redistrict in a way that evens the percent of the vote in each district so that overall one party does not have an advantage over the other just because of redistricting in a partisan way. Redistrict so that elections are

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/25/2021 03:35 PM	Judith Bonner	UU	won by policies and character and not tricky districts. Please use objective criteria not partisanship when deciding districts. Thank you.
09/25/2021 04:00 PM	Raymond PHILIPPS	Voter	Please keep Chatham county whole in the redistricting.
09/25/2021 04:10 PM	Ms. Shirlee Miller		I want to live in a state where the population is represented fairly. Without one political party having to cheat to win elections.
09/25/2021 04:23 PM	Mr. Greg Lemons		Any attempts by the GOP to manipulate the districts to undermine the voting abilities of their opponents will only future serve to embolden and enrage those opponents. We will not sit by and watch monetary or racial entitlements replace the fair balance of human rights and justice in this state....or this country. Period. Spoken as a formerly independent, white male voter.
09/25/2021 04:34 PM	Gale Yeager	NONE	I have 3 requests related to Redistricting: 1) Please KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE; and 2) Do not split House District 54 or Senate District 23. Both districts currently encompass ALL of Chatham County and I believe that they meet the Constitutional requirement for "compact" districts that do NOT benefit a single group. 3) Also, please be sure to conduct public hearings after the district lines are drawn to provide an opportunity for public input into the Legislative process when the actual boundaries are established.
09/25/2021 04:59 PM	William Tarpley		Please, I explore you , to keep our Chatham county whole. House district 54 and Senate district 23 should not be altered when districts are redrawn. Thank you for your consideration
09/25/2021 05:06 PM	Mr. Robert Brown		Ensuring fair representation and elections are fundamental to our democracy. Fair district maps allow us to choose our representatives, not the other way around.
09/25/2021 05:28 PM	Mr. Thomas Fehsenfeld	None	<p>During redistricting, the temptation is strong to create as many safe seats for the majority party as possible—and legislators often give in to the temptation. Both Democrats and Republicans have used redistricting to slant the field in their party's favor. I urge you to break with the past, to fight the temptation, and to draw as many competitive districts as possible.</p> <p>Primary elections generally determine the successful candidates in safe districts. Since primaries draw the most extreme voters of each party, we get extreme candidates. Primary voters in safe districts do not have to think strategically about which candidate will attract the most independent voters and moderates from the other party because the general election results are baked in with the district lines. Moderate and independent voters do not have a genuine choice and extreme primary voters become the puppet masters of their elected officials</p> <p>Americans like strong competition. Every time we trade in a car, we get more features because dozens of companies are competing for our business. Electronics manufacturers compete to give us better phones and televisions each year. Drug makers compete to give us new vaccines and cures. And we all admire athletic coaches who compete with new strategies to win. Not only does competition give us more choices—it has the side-effect of making companies and coaches smarter.</p> <p>With competitive districts, moderate and independent voters would have more genuine choices. Legislators could cut the puppet strings that tie them to primary voters. Rather than stoking outrage to turn out base voters, the political parties could focus on finding new policies to bring independents and moderate partisans to their side. As it does in all fields, genuine competition would make voters, legislators, and parties smarter.</p>
			Competition is a scary thing—you can lose. However, just as in sports, there is always another season in politics. The losing party can regroup, learn from its mistakes, create better policies, and reach out to groups that didn't support them in the

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>last election. In the long run, competitive districts would make our politics better. It would make parties smarter. It would deliver more value to us, the voters.</p>
			<p>This is America. We are a competitive people. How would we feel if we could only buy General Motors cars in our county and people in the next county could only buy Fords? Isn't it time we embraced honest competition in redistricting instead of searching for safe seats?</p>
09/25/2021 06:47 PM	Connie Lui		<p>Please keep Chatham County whole. That is the only way our needs will be accounted for and resolved</p>
09/25/2021 08:09 PM	Dr. Thomas Harbaugh		<p>My current representative does not represent my views. Re districting needs to be based on population and not on political affiliation. I realize that my views may not be in line with the majority of Western NC residents but it is important that this district not be drawn on the basis of political party, as it once was.</p>
09/26/2021 01:50 AM	Mr Christopher Loftis (Ret)	N/A USN	<p>Our Republic is at hazard. V Putin's stooge attacked American Institutions from the start, and Kevin McCarthy continues on with the onslaught. Idiots refuse to be vaccinated despite being confronted by a deadly virus. And the Right, realizing that their failed policies will never get them elected, decide to cheat by gerrymandering the electorate. Free and fair elections should be our hallmark, yet greed and the lust for power are wrongfully mocking our system of governance. There really should be a law... Oh, but to reinstitute the Rule of Law!</p>
09/26/2021 02:19 AM	Ms. Mary Palmer		<p>I have lived in Hendersonville, NC, for 28 years. I moved here in 1993 to be closer to my brother and his family, who live in Mills River. I graduated from Warren Wilson College in Swannanoa, class of '77. I worked for the Henderson County Dept. of Social Services for 14 years, as a clerk in Child Protective Services, then held various administrative assistant positions in other companies until health problems forced me to retire disabled in 2018. This is an expensive place to live. Cost of living is high, while wages and pensions are low. I've struggled to make ends meet ever since moving here. It's a beautiful place, but city and county are kinder to wealthy retirees and tourists than to working people and families. Affordable housing is difficult to find for most of us. I stayed to be near my family and could not now afford to move in any event. I don't belong to any political party; both Democrats and Republicans have been disappointments. Nor do I belong to any church - I believe strongly in the separation of church and state, which ensures equality to everyone, of any or no religion. I vote at every opportunity, in local, state and national elections. There is far too much gerrymandering in favor of one political party in this state - the Republican Party. Neither party should be given an edge through districting. Since the leadership in North Carolina claims it believes in fair, open elections and in preserving democracy, the state should be redistricted fairly and reasonably, so that everyone has an equal vote and voice. Keep communities together in one district so we can advocate for better policies together, without regard to economic status, religion, or party affiliation. I have not seen the reigning GOP show much sincere concern for its constituents - they appear to be solely concerned with maintaining their personal power and wealth, instead of doing what's best for all people in the state. This partisan gerrymandering should not be allowed to continue, for the sake and well-being of everyone.</p>
09/26/2021 06:57 AM	Julie Cooper		<p>I feel strongly that our maps should be drawn to keep "like areas" together. One example is that all of Guilford County should be kept with the city of Greensboro. The communities in Guilford County including the neighborhoods of Ridgewood, Northern Estates, the Meadows, Henson Forest, and Mitchell's Landing plus many more and the towns of Summerfield and Oak Ridge are a few miles from Greensboro and are bedroom communities for Greensboro.</p> <p>When I first moved to Guilford County in the early 2000s, my state senator lived near me in Guilford County. He was responsive to my emails and phone calls and understood the needs of our community. He attended local parades and held community meetings and his family lived here. When the maps were redrawn, my state senator was in Rockingham County and he never once responded to my emails or phone calls. I never saw my new state senator at an event. My new state senator lived in a city I have never visited many miles from my neighborhood and I am quite certain he has not spent much time in Greensboro or Guilford County. His votes did not reflect my views or community and he never cared to hear about them, which was evident when he never responded to my comments. Because this new district so heavily favored</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>his political party, my state senator did not appear to be concerned about representing me, my family or my community because it was virtually impossible for him to lose his seat.</p> <p>There is no reason to combine Guilford County with neighboring counties. Even though Guilford County may look more rural, it is very much a part of the greater Greensboro community and has very little to do with other counties. I work in downtown Greensboro and my children attended schools in Greensboro. The vast majority of Guilford County residents do the same. Children in Guilford County attend magnet schools, charter schools, private schools and universities in Greensboro. Every weekend, we attend baseball games or performing arts events or enjoying dining out in Greensboro.</p> <p>Also, one of the proposed criteria for drawing maps is the home address of the incumbent. This is of no relevance to the representation of our communities. If our state senator is in our district because the districts in the past have been drawn to favor the incumbent, that further perpetuates the misrepresentation of the communities. Why would I still want to be represented by someone in Rockingham County or High Point just because that is the way it was completed last time?</p> <p>Finally, I am concerned that we are being asked to submit comments before we have had an opportunity to view the proposed maps. Constituents should have been given an opportunity to view maps prior to this public comment process. Please do the right thing and stand up for democracy. If you are the best candidate and are doing the best for your constituency, you do not need to draw the maps in your favor to retain your seat.</p>
09/26/2021 07:20 AM	Scott Cooper		<p>Thank you for accepting these comments about mapping.</p> <p>For the senate district, please do not pair Guilford County with neighboring counties. Guilford County is a vibrant part of Greensboro. I work in downtown Greensboro and all three of my children attended school in Greensboro. We lived two miles outside of Greensboro city limits and had a Greensboro mailing address but our senate district stretched into Rockingham County. Although Guilford County may appear rural and therefore similar to neighboring counties, we are a suburb of Greensboro. Rural counties are very different from this highly developed part of Guilford County and our senator needs to be from Greensboro or Guilford County.</p> <p>For our House district, we have a similar issue of being paired with areas with dissimilar interests. Although our House representation is within Guilford County, the district includes very rural areas that stretch far east and south in Guilford County. Northern Guilford County is home to many bedroom communities that have different needs and interests than an emu farm or other agricultural-based businesses. One is not better than the other, but they are vastly different needs.</p> <p>Furthermore, Greensboro has different issues than our neighboring city of High Point. High Point deserves a voice and so does Greensboro, but we will have different perspectives, concerns and needs. Keeping like communities together will ensure that every constituent will have a voice in the legislature.</p>
09/26/2021 07:51 AM	Mr. Boyce Booth	retired	<p>Leave partisanship out of the picture ! Redistrict by county lines.</p>
09/26/2021 09:16 AM	Michael Hobbs		<p>I am a Chatham County resident and I am requesting that the General Assembly keep Chatham County whole, that you do not split either House District 54 and Senate District 23. The current district lines meet constitutional requirement that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. I also request that public hearings be conducted after maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established.</p>
09/26/2021 09:20 AM	Ms. Catherine Carter	All categories	<p>I'm writing to request that the NC GA reconsider the process by which it is accepting public comments regarding the upcoming redistricting. Specifically,</p> <p>1) I would very much like to see the redistricting done not by legislators, but by a non-partisan independent commission. North Carolina has a long history of gerrymandering, first by Democrats, then by Republicans—to the point where we're</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>now a national byword in gerrymandering. This is a national embarrassment for the state, no matter who does it, and our history indicates that we can't trust legislators from either party to redistrict fairly. Both Democrats and Republicans have had multiple chances to show that they can do this well. They haven't taken it.</p> <p>2) The quickest way to make this happen is for the legislature to pass House Bill 437, the Fair Maps Act. But for that bill, or any of the half-dozen like it which have been filed this year, to have a chance of passing, they'd have to come up for a vote. So I formally request that HB 437 be brought to a vote sometime in the next few weeks.</p> <p>3) In common with most North Carolinians, I'm opposed to the maps which have led to districts being represented by people who would never have been elected if the districts hadn't been elaborately redrawn to facilitate that very outcome—both in terms of Congressional districts and state legislative districts. For instance, with regard to the eleventh Congressional district, I don't want to see any more maps resembling the 2013 decision which split Buncombe County between the 10th and 11th districts.</p> <p>4) The 2019 iteration of the map was preferable to the 2013 version with regard to the 11th district, where I vote. However, the new map, which some Republicans have admitted in public is still explicitly intended to dilute the votes of Democrats, is also still gerrymandered along racial lines, even though the Supreme Court has drawn an artificial distinction between "gerrymandering by race" and "gerrymandering for partisan purposes." We all know that gerrymandering for Republican partisan purposes is also, de facto, gerrymandering against Black North Carolinians' votes, so let's stop talking as though it weren't, okay? Specifically, I don't want to see any more maps splitting largely Black cities and institutions with the effect of dividing their votes. Splitting NC A&T and UNC-A right down the middle was unacceptable.</p> <p>5) For this reason, I disagree with Republican legislators' decision not to consider data on the race and ethnicity of voters. This supposedly color blind approach frees the committee to make decisions which still dilute the impact of Black North Carolinians' votes while claiming it didn't do anything of the kind. If we can't have an independent commission draw the new maps, then at least the committee should consider race and ethnicity so as to avoid violating any provisions of the Voting Rights Act.</p> <p>6) Finally, reducing the number of public hearings from 64 the last time around to 10 this time around reads as a blatant attempt to discourage public comment. It is a slap in the face to all voters. Scheduling NO public hearings once the maps are drawn is even more dismissive and contemptuous; the committee might just as well say that after the barest possible show of seeking public input, it plans to gerrymander the hell out of NC anyway...and then let the taxpayers cover the cost of the inevitable lawsuits. This is despicable. If the committee really has any intention of listening at all to public input, then it should at least quadruple the number of public hearings, hold them at time when working North Carolinians can get there, advertise them widely, and hold a second round of hearings AFTER we see the actual maps. Otherwise...well, otherwise, the committee could just own this, and say out loud what its actions say already: that its members really don't care what we think, that its plans are already made, and that nothing we say is going to disrupt them. That would save us all a lot of time and energy, and provide a much more honest basis for the ensuing lawsuits.</p> <p>Thank you for considering, or, perhaps, pretending to consider, my input.</p>
09/26/2021 09:38 AM	Mr Kicab Castaneda- Mendez		<p>Redistricting must meet the Constitutional requirement of not favoring any party. Gerrymandered districts deny voters the opportunity to elect candidates of their choice. In North Carolina, this form of voter suppression deprived Black and Brown voters from having a voice in our democracy. Please stop wasting tax payer money on lawsuits resulting from unconstitutional redistricting.</p>
09/26/2021 09:39 AM	JUDITH WEST		<p>You folks should be ashamed of yourselves for the way you are going about getting public comments about redistricting. Your motives are pretty darned transparent!</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/26/2021 10:11 AM	Mrs Sharon Cole		I am a Chatham County resident and I am asking the legislature to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE! I request they not split house district 54 and Senate district 23. There is a Constitutional argument that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. Please have public hearings after maps are drawn so that the public has some input into Legislative redistricting when boundaries are established.
09/26/2021 10:15 AM	Mr Jeff Cole		I am a Chatham County resident and I am asking the legislature to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE! I request they not split house district 54 and Senate district 23. There is a Constitutional argument that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. Please have public hearings after maps are drawn so that the public has some input into Legislative redistricting when boundaries are established.
09/26/2021 11:44 AM	Do the Right Thing Thomas Seaver	Private Citizen	It is time to do the right thing. Establish an independent commission to complete the redistricting process NOW and for years to come. Set the example for the rest of the nation instead of doing it the way it has always been done. DO THE RIGHT THING!
09/26/2021 11:51 AM	Kathleen Bruegging		I am a Chatham County resident who is passionate about keeping Democracy alive in support of our US Constitution created by our founding fathers. Pleading to our legislators to STOP voting redistricting and KEEP Chatham County WHOLE by keeping our NC Senate District 23 and House district 54 in it's current arrangement of district maps it is serving our county very well. Thank you for honoring the requests of your voters.
09/26/2021 12:44 PM	Dr. Audrey Heining-Boynton		KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. I am requesting you NOT split either House district 54 and Senate district 23 which both encompass the entirety of Chatham. There is a Constitutional argument that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. I also request that public hearings be conducted after maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established.
09/26/2021 12:58 PM	Ms Pamela Winkler		<p>The public should have an opportunity to call or videoconference into meetings to give oral comments. This will allow citizens who can't travel or who have safety concerns due to COVID to participate.</p> <p>Public hearings must be held across the state before and AFTER draft maps are released. There are currently no plans for public hearings around the state AFTER maps have been released. This is unacceptable. The public must have opportunities to weigh in on the proposed maps.</p> <p>The citizens of North Carolina deserve fair, non-political district maps.</p>
09/26/2021 01:47 PM	David Piet	Intl. Population & Health Services	Leave Chatham County alone and stop the Republican power grab.
09/26/2021 02:53 PM	Gillian Rogers		I am a Chatham County resident and I would like you to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. Please do not split either House District 54 or Senate District 23. I request that public hearings be held after maps are drawn so that voters have input into the legislative redistricting. I am very concerned about proposed changes to the grouping of counties.
09/26/2021 02:55 PM	Eugene Rogers		I am a Chatham County resident and I ask that you KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. Do not split House District 54 or Senate District 23! Please schedule public hearings after maps are drawn so that residents can have some input into redistricting.
09/26/2021 03:06 PM	6097310433 Amy Medford		<p>Hello, my name is Amy Medford from Weaverville, NC, and I am testifying on why the 2021 redistricting process needs to be fair and transparent."</p> <p>Put into your own words how map manipulation hurts your life & communities</p> <p>"Purposefully breaking up communities takes away the community's ability to come together and advocate for important issues. "For example, here in Buncombe County we are artificially broken up into multiple congressional districts extending in every direction. This means that</p> <p>no congressperson is fully committed to listening to our concerns. I care about issues like voting rights, environment,</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			education and to stop gerrymandering. Thank you
09/26/2021 03:28 PM	Gary Anderson		I am a Chatham county resident. Please keep Chatham whole in the re-districting process. This is very important for our sense of community here in Chatham. Thank you for your consideration.
09/26/2021 03:42 PM	Mr Matthew Markie	Chatham County voter	I am a Chatham County resident and ask that the legislature to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. Please do NOT SPLIT House district 54 and Senate district 23 which include all of Chatham County . I understand that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group and the currently defined district lines for Chatham are in accordance with that requirement. I would also request public hearings be held after maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the redistricting after the final boundaries are established.
09/26/2021 04:09 PM	Dee Stover		Cheating to win...how pathetic !
09/26/2021 04:15 PM	Mr William Danielson	Unaffiliated North Carolina Resident	Redistricting needs to be fair, non partisan and transparent. Representation should be based on population - - PERIOD. It is totally unethical to have one district cover a small population while another district has twice as many constituents - - that is so biased. Stop injecting toxic politics into running our government.
09/26/2021 04:47 PM	mr Z. Vijay Director		Communities deserve to have representatives who truly represent THEM!
09/26/2021 07:53 PM	Dr. James Rice		I have been a resident of North Carolina for 36 years, and have become increasingly appalled at the way our redistricting process has been exploited to take away our constitutional right to equal representation by gerrymandering districts so that electoral outcomes cannot be proportionally representative of our voters' wishes. I respectfully - but FORCEFULLY - ask to end political considerations in setting district boundaries and instead form the most compact districts feasible based simply on the number of registered voters. Voters should chose their representatives in government - not the other way around!
09/26/2021 08:43 PM	Ms Lanese Turner		Keep Chatham Whole! As a Chatham County resident I am asking the legislature to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. I would like to request that you DON'T split either House district 54 or Senate district 23 which both encompass the entirety of Chatham. There is a Constitutional argument that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. Also, I would like to request that public hearings be conducted after maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established.
09/26/2021 09:02 PM	Michele McIntosh		My name is Michele McIntosh and I live in Northeast Raleigh: an area bounded by the 440 Beltline, Atlantic Avenue, Spring Forest Road, the Neuse River, and New Bern Avenue. My community straddles Capital Boulevard (US-1/401), where small local restaurants and grocery stores represent and serve the diverse immigrant population here. Our community gathers at the Green Road Community Library, Marsh Creek Community Center, and Buffalo Road Athletic Park. Our community is a part of Raleigh, and should be kept intact and included as a part of Raleigh for the US House map. I was shocked when previous US House maps forced out our Representative and pushed us into the district that includes Chapel Hill and Durham. The quickest drive from my house in Northeast Raleigh to the more Western Cities of Chapel Hill and Durham crosses Raleigh first, before getting to those two cities. I want my community to be represented by a person who is focused on the city we live in. Please keep our community mapped with the City of Raleigh for the US House map.
09/26/2021 10:27 PM	Miriam Angress		I love the city I live in (Durham, North Carolina), and I've lived here for four decades, since I was seventeen. I would hate to see the city broken up by redistricting in the new state maps. Please keep the city and county of Durham whole inside a

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
----------------	------	--------------	----------

congressional district. Everything from the rural buffer between Durham and Chapel Hill, to the restaurants, farmer's market, and ballpark downtown, to my home in the Rockwood neighborhood, to my relative in East Durham, are all parts of the city I value and want to protect. I want to be able to vote on issues affecting all of these areas. Also, I have social and work networks of people who live in Durham-- a number of people at my job; friends who have a farm in the rural buffer; restaurant owners, artists, and business owners I've known for many years-- who feel the same and would be affected if the city and county gets fragmented.

09/26/2021 11:46 PM Ms. Beverly Bland None

I am a resident of Chatham County near Pittsboro, and I am asking to KEEP CHATHAM AS A WHOLE ... NOT splitting either House District 54 or Senate District 23. We are a large, rural, & less populated county & it will be difficult if not impossible to get services, grants, improvements needed for the county when it is split into different districts. Often families, extended relatives, and friends live in the 3 towns/communities in Chatham & will be competing for the same funds or services. It is especially harmful to divide a rural, mostly agricultural, & sparsely populated county such as Chatham. I respectfully request that we have additional public hearings or time for further comments AFTER maps are drawn & the public has a chance to see the real boundary lines. Thank you.

+++++

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
----------------	------	--------------	----------

+++++

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
----------------	------	--------------	----------

+			
---	--	--	--

09/27/2021 07:23 AM	P Arcari		Don't split our districts/communities for partisan advantage- please.
09/27/2021 07:33 AM	Dr James Doyle	Kleanbus Ltd	Please STOP GERIMANDERING! It makes our democracy weaker and disenfranchises voters: we all know this so please stop doing it.
09/27/2021	Dr. Sonia		Redistricting should not be a process controlled by either party. It should be handled by a non-partisan commission or

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08:06 AM	Archer-Capuzzo		similar non-partisan group. To respect the true will of voters, we should not be shoved into districts that silence voices across our state. Please do the right thing, rather than the easy, self-serving thing, and come up with a bipartisan solution to the current redistricting process, which is a disaster.
09/27/2021 08:53 AM	Cambria Nielsen		<p>My name is Cambria Melton Nielsen, and since 1973 my family has lived in the Town of Davidson in Mecklenburg County. Davidson is my "community of interest."</p> <p>The Town of Davidson is connected to all Mecklenburg County entities, including Charlotte Mecklenburg Schools, Charlotte Mecklenburg Police, Charlotte Mecklenburg Library, Mecklenburg County Park and Rec, Charlotte Water, Mecklenburg County scouting organizations, Mecklenburg County sports organizations, Charlotte Area Transit System, and the Mecklenburg County Board of Elections. The Town of Davidson has always been included in voting districts that are located fully in Mecklenburg County.</p> <p>Although Davidson is the northernmost town in Mecklenburg County and touches the Iredell County line, it is NOT involved with Iredell County in any meaningful way. If Davidson were suddenly in a district outside of Mecklenburg County, it would hurt Davidson's ability to have its community of interest fairly represented. I urge the committee to keep the Town of Davidson within a Mecklenburg County legislative district.</p>
09/27/2021 08:53 AM	Maureen Johnson	League of Women Voters	It could be so simple and FAIR. 1. Decide the # of districts 2. Divide the state voter population by the number of districts. 3. Draw vertical lines across the state, placing equal numbers of voters in each district. Done. Change only after each 10 year census. Not only fair, saves much money and vitriol!
09/27/2021 09:10 AM	Mrs.. Louise Masurat		I am a resident of Chatham County, and I would like to urgently request that you not break up Chatham County. I believe that the current maps conform to all legal requirements. Please do not split either House district 54 and Senate district 23 which both encompass the entirety of Chatham. There is a Constitutional argument that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. I also request that public hearings be conducted after maps are drawn, so the public has some input
09/27/2021 09:12 AM	Mr. Timolthy Novak	None	Plain & simple - redistricting should only be done by an independent commission with no stake in the outcome/results.
09/27/2021 09:19 AM	Jane Ridlon	Retired	I am very concerned about the process used to draw maps. I am writing to request that all data, formulas, measures, requirements and rules used to draw maps as well as the maps themselves are fully revealed to the public online before use. The names of all third parties involved in redistricting should also be made public.
09/27/2021 09:48 AM	Evelyn Vesta-Arnold	LWVMC	I urge you as our legislators, theoretically the voice of the people, to create fair maps so that, indeed, all North Carolinians have a voice in NC government decision-making. Thank you????
09/27/2021 10:02 AM	President Mary Nettles	Chatham Community NAACP	I am a Chatham County resident and ask that you keep us whole when you draw your new maps. Please do not split House District 54 or Senate District 23. I have experience Chatham being split between the 6th and 4th district and back together then split between 2nd and 4th district and then back together now split between 13th and 4 district. We are a rural county I asked: PLEASE DO NOT SPLIT US!!!
09/27/2021 10:06 AM	Dawn Erickson		<p>The right to vote is one of the most important parts of being a citizen and should be given to all who meet the basic criteria to be a voter. Voting should be simple, fair, and non-discriminatory to anyone eligible to vote. Redistricting should not be used to alter outcomes of votes. Citizens should have the opportunity to review redistricting maps and the ability to voice concerns through public hearings.</p> <p>Communication is necessary throughout the process to ensure public involvement. Disclosure of third parties involved in redistricting and the systems including data used to draw maps should be transparent.</p> <p>The US prides itself on being a democratic nation and seeks to teach other nations to be democratic. North Carolina</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/27/2021 10:06 AM	Ms Sherie Ryan-Bailey		<p>should set an example for how to best provide citizens their right to vote.</p> <p>It is important that our redistricting process and maps reflect the makeup of our state and are fair to all North Carolina residents. The court cases the past several years have demonstrated the need to be equitable and fair to all. Drawing fair districts will save money and remove the expenditure needed to defend unfair districts.</p> <p>I ask that the legislature work to keep counties and cities together. Splitting up counties leads to confusion and barriers to participation. For example, Asheville was looped into Gastonia's district which split Asheville and Buncombe county and diminished our voice in a district that was predominately more of a Charlotte suburb.</p> <p>There needs to be a transparent process where citizens have access to the maps and have the opportunity to comment and respond. In that vein, I request that third parties not be involved, that every attempt is made to meet accessibility issues so all can participate, and that a second phase of public hearings take place once the proposed districts have been drawn. It is impossible to comment on districts that we have not seen.</p> <p>We deserve proportional outcomes. The packing and cracking of districts in the past may solidify the power of a particular party, but it does not serve the citizens of the state and does not strengthen our democratic system of government. Please put the citizens of North Carolina-all of us-at the forefront of the process.</p>
09/27/2021 10:11 AM	SCOTT KITCHEN	- Select -	<p>NC Republicans are wrong and retain political offices because of gerrymandering. Shame of you.</p> <p>The North Carolina Joint Redistricting Committee is tasked with drawing new district maps for the state legislature and the federal House of Representatives based on the 2020 census. Redistricting affects all of us and we need fair maps that do not favor one party. On Tuesday, September 21st, members of the Transylvania NAACP spoke at Western Carolina University at the only public hearing in the 11th Congressional District regarding the redistricting process.</p> <p>NAACP representatives Rev. Jacqueline Jenkins and Kathleen Barnes highlighted problems with the hearing process:</p> <p>The reduction from 60 hearings for public comments in 2011 to only 13 hearings in 2021 The intentional inaccessibility of the hearing (including the remote location with no access via public transportation, the lack of signage directing the public to the meeting, no live streaming or Zoom access, no sign language or Spanish language interpreters provided, scheduling the meeting for 5 p.m. during the work week) Unlike the 2011 hearings, the were NO MAPS available for the public to comment on!</p> <p>In short, the hearings were a sham intended to give the appearance of public input.</p>
09/27/2021 10:14 AM	Sandy Irving		<p>I call on the Joint Redistricting Committee to offer a robust public hearing schedule after maps are released to the public. North Carolinians know their communities best and can provide the most useful and important feedback to legislators .</p>
09/27/2021 10:16 AM	Ms. Cynthia Eckroth	None	<p>Please do not split up Chatham County; keep it whole. Do not split House District 54 and Senate District 23. And allow the public to have input to the maps that are drawn up before they are made into law.</p> <p>In drawing up voting maps, we need to look at what is being done in Michigan where politics do not dictate where the voting districts are drawn.</p>
09/27/2021 10:37 AM	Dr. Jean Templeton	Self	<p>Please keep Chatham County whole.</p>
09/27/2021	Mrs. Marie		<p>As a Chatham County resident, I strongly urge that Chatham County be kept in one congressional district,</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
10:54 AM	Lauria		
09/27/2021 11:00 AM	John Bedard		It is absolutely critical that the redistricting process be done in a fair and impartial way to guarantee that the residents of North Carolina have their votes and legislators properly represented. I implore our state legislators to do the right thing.
09/27/2021 11:07 AM	Mrs Evelyn Barrow	Gotham county resident	Keep. Nathan county WHOLE . Do NoT split it into districts .
09/27/2021 11:38 AM	Ms. Daphne Hill	Chatham County citizen	<p>I am requesting that, when redistricting, Chatham County be kept whole. Please do not split either House District 54 or Senate District 23, which currently encompass all of Chatham. This follows the Constitutional argument that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham County meets that requirement.</p> <p>I am also requesting that, once the newly proposed maps are drawn, public hearings be held so that citizens can provide input on redistricting based on the proposed boundaries. Furthermore, I request that public hearings be held after the drawing on proposed maps. This will allow citizens an opportunity to provide input on redistricting based on the proposed boundaries.</p> <p>In short, please do not leave me and other affected citizens out of the process of determining our futures. Let us have our rightful voice in the election process. Thank you.</p>
09/27/2021 11:42 AM	Mrs Marcey Katzman	League of Women Voters of Moore County	Drawing fair districts - districts that are equal in size/population, that are not determined by political preferences or not packed with reliable voters, districts where minorities are not marginalized - protects and preserves our democracy. We want to make sure that the constituency picks the legislatures. If districts are not drawn fairly, the politicians end up picking their constituents. This is your once in ten year chance to guarantee our democratic government locally, in the state and the nation. Please do so. And make sure you are transparent, to be held accountable to those who elect you. Thank you
09/27/2021 11:42 AM	Renee Ertischek	Private citizen	We need to designate legitimate boundaries, not based upon trying to win an election. Preferably the redistricting should be completed by a non-partisan committee. The boundaries must be achieved in a non-political manner based upon population and not politics. We need to set an example for other countries. I live in a beach community along the shore, near the Cape Fear River and downtown Wilmington and yet our district includes an area with which we have nothing in common. Our area has a mixed ethnic population and that should be reflected in our district.
09/27/2021 12:10 PM	Edith Salmony	A VOTER	I am a resident of Chatham County. I write to ask you to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. I have practiced law in the 18th judicial district (Orange & Chatham counties) for since the 1980s. It is clear to me that Chatham citizens concerns and political philosophies are more in line with Orange county citizens than those of Lee, Moore, or Randolph. Redistricting Chatham to join those counties is a clear example of political gerrymandering. See Shaw v. Reno, 509 U.S. 630 (1993). Best regards, Edith R. Salmony
09/27/2021 12:24 PM	Tom Brimberry		Fewest possible districts in a city and metro region for focused representation.
09/27/2021 12:38 PM	mrs Annette Cannon		To whom it may concern: My husband and I are Chatham county residents who want to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE!!!! We both do not want House district 54 or senate district 23 to be divided. Please keep things as they are!!!! Thank you, Annette and Paul Cannon
09/27/2021 12:45 PM	Christine Mayfield		Please keep at the forefront of your thoughts the urgent need to ensure that all voters—especially those from historically underrepresented communities—have equitable access to voting and to having their votes count. Please listen to the comments of voters who have encountered roadblocks in the past. If building a stronger democracy is truly the goal, attention must be paid to these voters. Thank you.
09/27/2021 01:48 PM	David Scott	personal	I am a resident of Chatham County and I live near Pittsboro. I am asking you to KEEP CHATHAM COUNTY WHOLE in the current redistricting process. Chatham is large geographically, agricultural, and less populated in our western half. It will

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			be difficult, if not impossible, to get needed services, grants, or improvements for the county as a whole if it is split into different districts. We are one large community. I also hope that we have an opportunity to provide public comments AFTER the new maps are made available.
09/27/2021 02:10 PM	Ms. Bonnie McCarthy		I am a resident of Chatham County and it has come to my attention that there is a possibility in the process of redistricting, Chatham County would be broken up and either Senate district 23 or House district 54 would be split. I respectfully request that this does not happen. There is a Constitutional argument that district maps be compact and not benefit a single group. As Chatham County district lines are drawn now, we meet this requirement. In addition, I would like to make a request that public hearings be held after the maps are drawn with sufficient time for the voters to weigh in and voice their opinions. Thank you.
09/27/2021 02:28 PM	Mr Larry Widman		My expectation is that redistricting be done in an unbiased manner and along county lines and equivalent populations. I would recommend using redistricting software for a more balanced and equitable approach. https://ballotpedia.org/Redistricting_apps_and_software_available_for_the_2020_cycle
09/27/2021 02:54 PM	NANCY BENJAMIN		It is essential that you draw districts fair to both parties to ensure democracy in elections.
09/27/2021 03:04 PM	Jane Hilliard	NAACP	Please allow a better way for us to see (maps) and understand (more possible ways to communicate back and forth than the few meeting you held) so we can work together to make this process more fair. Both Democrats and Republicans have done a poor job of including both sides when doing redistricting in the past. Please do not continue this biased history. Thanks for reading this. Jane Hilliard Independent Voter Status
09/27/2021 03:05 PM	Gregory Lilly	non-affiliated, just a normal retired person	Let's return to the simple principle of democracy: voters choose their elected representatives. No More Gerrymandering. Do not allow politicians to choose their voters. Instead maintain community integrity. For example, don't "divvy up" Alamance County so that one political party can maintain their political power.
09/27/2021 03:06 PM	Ms Jan Wauben		It is time to setup districts that fully represent all citizens and allow fair and honest elections. Allow the true will of the voters to be heard not gerrymandered to favor the minority.
09/27/2021 03:22 PM	Ms Pamela Bloom	Citizen voter	I am commenting on NC House 76, NC Senate 33, and US Congressional 13. I must first say that I believe that an independent redistricting commission is what we need in NC and every state to eliminate the realities of gerrymandering and suppressing the voice of the people.. That also means the legislature stays out of "fixing" any independent commission decisions once made. Some of our current legislators have proposed such pseudo independent plans that they could then gerrymander. For my immediate community, I like that Salisbury, Spencer, and East Spencer aren't split into different districts. We share too many of the same services, history, and community ties; libraries, colleges, shopping areas, schools, job locations, cultural events and historic sites, museums, parks, natural areas, etc. We need to share the same representatives who will work to serve us and to hear our needs, whatever district the current backroom negotiations create in 2021.
09/27/2021 03:31 PM	Mr Sh Murphy		Let's pick our representatives, NOT allowing our reps pick their constituents.
09/27/2021 03:44 PM	Ms. Lucia Eckroth	self	Keep voting maps whole in Chatham County. Also I want access and input into the voting maps before they become law in the state of North Carolina. It is time that cheating to win at all cost stop forever in North Carolina. Let's create a bipartisan panel of citizens within the state to create a fair map. Michigan is a perfect state to follow in creating voting maps. It is time to stop cheating, lying and stealing elections because of changing the voting laws and creating maps to serve one party. It is time to live your integrity and truly serve the public as an elected public servant to the citizens of North Carolina. May you have compassion to create with integrity.
09/27/2021 04:15 PM	Stacia Cooper		I am a Chatham County resident. I am ask that the legislature KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. I request that the legislature not split House district 54 or Senate district 23 (both encompass the entirety of Chatham). There is a Constitutional argument

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/27/2021 04:31 PM	Mr. Rob Senn		that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. As a Chatham County resident, I request that public hearings be conducted after maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established.
09/27/2021 04:47 PM	Ms. Janice Woychik		I am a registered Democrat, but one of the things I want most out of politics is a Republican party that can be counted on as a good-faith partner in democracy to serve as a counterbalance for the Democratic party. Because our state's districts have been skewed to favor the Republican majority, this leads to less competitive elections, and more extremism on both sides. I can think of no better way to return some sanity and stability to our politics than non-partisan, fair district maps. Heck, given fair maps and enough time for things to cool off, I might even consider voting for a Republican--but given the way our politics are manipulated today, that's hardly possible for me.
09/27/2021 05:51 PM	Deborah Exum	Not applicable	<p>As new maps and districts are being re-drawn this year, I am requesting that North Carolina (NC) voters be afforded the opportunity to have a transparent, fair, and reformed process. We the voters deserve to witness not only the map/district drawing process but also to be assured the process is free from private back room conferences or side chats with special interest groups. In addition, we deserve to have a open and accountable process with sufficient times for hearings/participation which affords time for public comments as needed.</p> <p>For many years, NC voters have been subject to a unfair process perceived as hand picking or stacking the deck aimed to manipulate the voting / redrawing maps in order to maintain control or job security. As a result, this process have unfairly divided communities and voters that have no voice or representation. Likewise, this process creates a conflict of interest and impression that loyalty is afforded only to certain special interest groups or voters. Additionally, this promotes the lingering atmosphere for bad policies/laws, gridlock, and lack of interest in working across the aisle. Therefore, in fairness to all NC voters, please consider reforming the NC map drawing/redistricting process.</p>
09/27/2021 05:55 PM	Joanne Hershfield		I am a resident of Chatham County and I write to request that the North Carolina legislature keep Chatham County whole. Specifically, I request that they not split either House district 54 or Senate district 23 which both encompass the entirety of Chatham. There is no logical reason why Chatham County should be split into multiple districts. Secondly, please make sure that public hearings are conducted after maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established.
09/27/2021 06:05 PM	Ms Linda Sutton		Dear Committee Members, I am writing to express my concerns over the redistricting process. The public need a transparent process, with many more opportunities for in-person comments and the ability to view the hearings online. I have heard that there will NOT be public comments after the maps have been drawn which is a slap in the face of the voters of this state. I hope that this is not true and that you will make sure that these maps and deliberations are transparent and are not subjected to the type of maps drawn by Hofeller and the many lawsuits as the last redistricting process. Thank you for your consideration.
09/27/2021 06:40 PM	Bob Rudder		The General Assembly should be commended for banning the use of No racial or political data. Banning the use of that data helps control against gerrymandering. I think it is a strong step to improving the process by Not unnecessarily dividing counties in congressional districts. This helps keep our basic political units together for representation and prevents districts from taking some of the extremely odd shapes they have taken in the past.
09/27/2021 07:06 PM	Alfred Guillemette	N/A	"LET THEM VOTE", stop playing games!!
09/27/2021 07:56 PM	Cheryl Adams		The General Assembly needs to work toward an independent redistricting commission approach. I know that will not happen this cycle, but I am asking the Republican Majority currently in place to redraw the districts NOT based along racial

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/27/2021 08:27 PM	Gary Ander		<p>lines or to gain a partisan advantage. We the people have paid for all of the lawsuits filed against the state in the last 10 years for the blatant racial and partisan gerrymandering that took place. If the districts were drawn in a non-partisan, non-racially biased manner from the beginning, it would result in not only fairer elections where everyone's vote is respected, it would prevent a repeat the lawsuit fiascos of the last 1 years. If you cannot win fairly in this state, you need to change your platform to attract more voters, not rig the system in your favor disregarding the will of the people. I hope the Republican majority in this state will start to respect the rights of voters by drawing non-partisan, non-racially gerrymanders maps.</p> <p>I am respectfully requesting that in the future and hopefully even in 2021-2022 redistricting is done fairly, unbiasedly by a nonpartisan committee. As noted by the committee chaired by former UNC President, Tom Ross, this can and should be done to make this process more democratic and allow the votes of ALL voters to count. The historical gerrymandering that has taken placer in NC by both parties compromises our democracy. Continuing to pursue this historical precedent creates an autocracy not a democracy. Let the voters elect their representatives, rather than the representatives choosing their voters.</p>
09/27/2021 08:31 PM	Mr William Johnston		<p>Thank you for your time. I ask that districts represent the people and our state, as a whole. Gerrymandering to secure a seat for any particular group should be abhorred. I also ask that you try to follow existing borders and try to keep districts compact as possible and borders along rather than through neighborhoods, cities, or counties.</p>
09/27/2021 08:41 PM	Lucy Krueger		<p>I am a rising senior at UNCW and I care a lot about my voice and the voices of my peers. District W24, where UNCW is located, should not be split when drawing the district maps. It would negatively impact the students who are a community of interest and want to be kept whole. Voting is already confusing enough for college students, with odd on-campus addresses, frequent moving, and a general lack of information. Splitting up the UNCW precinct would just cause greater confusion and it would weaken our voices.</p>
09/27/2021 08:43 PM	Apostle Edward Allen	Ambassadors Cathedral Inc.	<p>Why are we fighting this fight over our election system? Is it because those with large money and white realize we are not the country bumpkins they portray the population has become. Take the money and those with perceived power out of this process. Our populations are diverse with widely held views of fairness but with a fantastic level of civility and education. We are looking at those election and elected officials who are not listening or "thinking business as usual." Please have the correct census numbers when making the correct determination for correct redistricting. This pastor is paying attention to any and all movements of this group whoever it comprises.</p>
09/27/2021 09:08 PM	Solveig Viste		<p>I would like for the data and mathematics to heavily influence the maps, and the public should get a chance to comment on the maps the legislature comes up with.</p>
09/27/2021 09:22 PM	Donna Kaye	--None--	<p>I strongly encourage this House and Senate Redistricting Committees to provide the same number of public meetings and length of public comment period once the draft maps are produced. Communities of Interest can be difficult to express in the abstract and it is more productive to be able to comment once a draft map is on paper.</p>
09/27/2021 10:31 PM	Jesse Eversole	Citizen	<p>The complexity of our redistricting challenge that attempts to achieve the lofty goal of "Fair" representation in our representative democracy is non-trivial. How do we define "Fair"? A Google search provides more literature than one can consume on this topic. My background in data science has led me to find that many mathematicians have studied this subject and I must admit that my background does not remotely approach that of the mathematicians I've read about. That said, I do feel a need to make some simple statements about this problem from the perspective of public transparency.</p> <p>We currently live in a world of data science where no data set is too big to analyze with algorithms. All algorithms have an objective. There is a wealth of public data available to use toward an objective of redistricting. The question is what is the objective? The answer to that question which took the State of North Carolina to court was, "using Gerrymandering, maximize the number of US Representatives from a political party in North Carolina sends to Washington". There are also significant considerations for state legislature elections as well that can have the effect of locking in a political party at multiple layers (Federal, State, Local).</p> <p>When I look at this problem my first suggestion is to do the following toward the quest for "Fairness" in redistricting. Modern redistricting requires the use of algorithms to achieve optimal objectives. The outcome is public following</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>redistricting, but the algorithms are opaque. In the interest of public service and fairness I recommend that any algorithms used to accomplish redistricting be made public.</p> <p>Since it is a government function it is in the interest of the public for there to be no proprietary trade secrets for algorithms used for redistricting by any political party. That extends to any private company contracted to develop algorithms. This is akin to the federal government's access to patent intellectual property. If you get paid to develop algorithms for political purposes you much publish your algorithms to the public. In this way there should be clear paths from the algorithms back to the design of the redistricting plan and provide transparency on the objective of the redistricting architects.</p> <p>"Fair" is an objective. "Maximization of party representatives" is an objective. Court cases result in rule changes to provide a landscape for drawing maps as outcomes become more optimal resulting in terms like disenfranchised voters surface. If you make the algorithms public for review then you can likely reverse engineer the intent of the algorithms. If their intent was to be "Fair" you will see that. There are many brilliant minds in our country that would be happy to contribute to a public project for open source algorithms for redistricting that have a well defined objective.</p>
09/27/2021 11:07 PM	Rosewk Rosewk	Rosewk	<p>Hey baby.. Im looking a man!!!</p> <p>I love sex. Write me - bit.ly/3u9TBWT</p>
09/28/2021 12:01 AM	Terry Mahaffey		<p>I currently serve on the Apex Town Council, but I submit my comment as a citizen. Apex has historically been divided up into at least three NC House districts. I would like to see that end, so Apex can best be represented by our "own" representative. Apex's population has grown to be a significant portion of the ideal district size (60k residents vs 85k ideal size), the balance of which could be made up by adding in unincorporated areas of Wake county, a portion of Cary to our north or west, or a portion of Holly Springs to the south. So please keep the "municipal boundaries" criteria top of mind when creating districts in Wake county, and Keep Apex Whole.</p>
09/28/2021 07:24 AM	Samantha Turner	NC Poor People's Campaign	<p>We will not accept maps redrawn for the purpose of a political hijack. Fair maps and transparency are essential to a government representative of its constituents.</p>
09/28/2021 07:25 AM	Ms. Khem Irby		<p>Representation matters to me and my family. The opportunity to redistrict is to serve fairly and elect fairly those who care about everyone and not self or party interests. Don't take away the power of our vote. Let's not continue to be known as the state that used a scalpel to draw our lines with such precision that crippled the voices of the Black community. Fair lines, fair representation.</p>
09/28/2021 08:20 AM	Karen Ziegler		<p>Please have hearings after the map drawing and respond to community feedback.</p> <p>Please make this map drawing transparent. We want to see the maps as they are drawn.</p> <p>I live in Durham NC, which has a rich history and culture of its own.</p> <p>Please keep Durham county whole.</p>
09/28/2021 08:27 AM	Dr. Nancy Seamans		<p>I am an unaffiliated North Carolina voter; I vote for individuals, not parties. I feel strongly that our democracy is founded on every person's right to vote and for that vote to be counted. That results in a government that represents the will of the people. Districts must be drawn in a way that does NOT allow for manipulation of our representative form of government. Please be non-partisan in drawing the new voting districts for the State of North Carolina!</p>
09/28/2021 09:14 AM	Chatham County Christopher Bell		<p>Chatham County , where I reside, should remain a single district and not be divided up with other counties. This is consistent with the Constitutional mandate favoring single, whole districts for counties.</p>
09/28/2021 09:26 AM	mr steven leibowitz		<p>As a Chatham County residents, I am asking the legislature to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE.</p> <p>Do NOT split House district 54 or Senate district 23, both of which encompass the entirety of Chatham county. In a democracy, district maps should not benefit a single group, but the scheme to attach Chatham to Lee, Randolph and Moore counties would benefit only the Republicans.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			In addition, public hearings should be conducted AFTER maps are drawn, so the public has the opportunity to have input when real boundaries are set up.
09/28/2021 10:20 AM	Mr. James Pittman	Lumberton Precinct #1	1. Districts must be drawn that are compact and do not split precincts. 2. Communities of interest around Lumberton and other towns should not be divided to protect incumbents or a particular political party.
09/28/2021 10:29 AM	Mr. Mark Crouter	Career Path Coaching, LLC	I am a Chatham County resident and I want the legislature to KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE. The redistricting plan should not divide Chatham county, which is currently represented as a whole in House district 54 and Senate district 2 . The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham County fully meets the Constitutional requirement that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. In addition, I request that public hearings be conducted after maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when proposed boundaries are established.
09/28/2021 11:52 AM	Nancy ODonohue		HD19 is divided by the Cape Fear river. The bridge is at the northern tip of the New Hanover County side of district. It would have been logical to include the area of Brunswick county adjacent to the bridge and most accessible to downtown Wilmington in HD19.
09/28/2021 11:53 AM	Karen White	n/a	Instead the map was drawn to include the distant southern most portion of Brunswick County. While HD19 looks contiguous from an aerial view it is not. It was drawn to divide the greater Wilmington community and dilutes our vote. I am a resident and voter from Wilmington, NC. It is my experience that our congressional district, as currently drawn, inaccurately and unfairly skews our votes. Our current representatives are so confident in their ability to get re-elected that they only follow the perceived values of the far right, which does not represent the values of any of my friends, neighbors or colleagues. Our congressional representative has only visited the coastal area once, and even then he didn't speak in Wilmington or New Hanover County. He is overly focused on the industrial farm industry and has little regard for our needs, because he doesn't need our votes. Please remove the partisanship from the district mapping. It's the only way we can operate as a true democracy.
09/28/2021 12:02 PM	Ms. Marsha Stickford	Democracy NC and Wake Co League of Women Voters	Redistricting should be done in the most visible, non-partisan and transparent way possible. Many states are using processes that reaffirm the role of fair access to voting in the health of our Democracy. We are fortunate in North Carolina to have a pool of active and informed voters and many non-partisan, non-profit organizations who have done the research and have the capacity to educate both Legislators and the Public about how to have an inclusive and transparent process. This provides many benefits and avoids the pitfalls that both parties have created in past redistricting processes, pitfalls that have resulted in creating a lack of trust in election processes and time and a great expense in lawsuits that result from bad-faith redistricting processes. Please avoid repeating this unfortunate and unnecessary outcome by: Scheduling hearings both before and after maps are released to the public. Immediately establishing a website for all redistricting information Widely advertising public hearings in order to give the public enough time to prepare. Ensuring hearings are safe and accessible to all. Providing remote participation for all public hearings for those who are unable to attend in person. Making video and notes of public hearings available online for public viewing. Disclosing all criteria, systems, and data used in drawing maps. Performing all map drawing and revising in public view. Ensuring quality video and audio broadcast in public meetings. Disclosing all third parties engaged in redistricting. The General Assembly should seek to at least match the efforts in other states to provide meaningful public involvement in the redistricting process. Even Alabama and Mississippi have more robust public hearings schedules.
			Groups that have expertise in assisting the development of a fair and open process and promoting public involvement

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/28/2021 12:10 PM	Annie Lang		<p>include: Common Cause NC, NC League of Women Voters, Democracy NC, and NAACP of North Carolina.</p> <p>Dear Redistricting Committee members: I would strongly recommend that the NC House and Senate carefully examine and consider implementing the approach Michigan has taken to redistricting. It is citizen-led and non-political. I heard about it on the PBS Newshour, which did a story on it: https://www.pbs.org/newshour/show/in-michigan-an-effort-to-take-politics-out-of-redistricting Thank you! Annie Lang 919 881-8520 Raleigh</p>
09/28/2021 12:29 PM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	<p>I am submitting a map of High Point University as an area of interest. Its campus and its surrounding community must be brought together as the districts are redrawn. The State Senate district currently cut this community of interest in two.</p> <p>High Point University is a private liberal arts university. It is affiliated with the United Methodist Church. With less than 5,000 students, and a large out-of-state population, its physical campus is very important. It's student population lives in the area that extends to Cook Street, along Grant Street and North Centennial Street, Montlieu Avenue, E Lexington Avenue, and up to Guyer Street. https://districtr.org/COI/55100</p>
09/28/2021 12:42 PM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	<p>I am submitting a map of North Carolina State University as an area of interest. Its campus and its surrounding community must be brought together as the districts are redrawn. The State House and State Senate districts currently cut this community of interest in two.</p> <p>North Carolina State University is the largest public school in the Carolinas with more than 23,000 undergraduates alone. Its campus and the area where students live is large. It stretches from Wade Avenue in Method all the way down to Tryon Road in Orchards. https://districtr.org/COI/55116</p>
09/28/2021 12:57 PM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	<p>I am submitting a map of Meredith College as an area of interest. Its campus and its surrounding community must be brought together as the districts are redrawn. The State House and State Senate districts currently cut this community of interest in two.</p> <p>Meredith College is a small women's liberal arts school. With only 1,500 undergraduates enrolled, its student population is often intertwined with NC State's population. Its students live in the area that extends to Blue Ridge Road and Faircloth Street. https://districtr.org/COI/55124</p>
09/28/2021 01:19 PM	Lori McDaniel		<p>My name is Lori McDaniel, and I have lived in the center of Raleigh at 703 West Lane Street for over 15 years. I recently used a precinct mapping tool to design a US congressional district that would accurately and fairly represent me and my Community of Interest. Based upon 2020 census data, it would make sense to include both Raleigh and Durham in a US congressional district. Raleigh and Durham are closely linked metropolitan areas with similar demographics. Many workers commute from one city to the other, as do those who enjoy dining, shopping and entertainment. The political mixes are similar, with more than 70% of voters having a Democratic partisan lean.</p> <p>Believing in our democratic system, I know that a US House Member truly representing such a district would be highly responsive to my concerns, including fair voting access, reproductive rights, gun control, environmental action and equal treatment for all.</p> <p>Following the 2010 Census, redistricting was marked by extreme gerrymandering, such that I was in a very oddly shaped US NC District 4, which came to be represented by David Price (D). The shape of this District 4 in no way represented my</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>sense of a "Community Of Interest". It was clearly designed to divide Raleigh and outweigh it with vast swaths of rural, GOP leaning territory. With Chapel Hill in the district, David Price was able to win the seat, which I favored, but Representative Price was compromised by representing a very divided district. In my 30 years living in Raleigh, I have rarely or never visited most of the small towns covered in this District 4. Certainly the district as it was drawn did not reflect my community of interest.</p> <p>Because of this gerrymandered District 4, David Price's capacity to represent me was compromised, leaving my interests less clearly heard. I ask that the current redistricting process respect the Community Of Interest that is Raleigh/Durham.</p>
09/28/2021 01:23 PM	Mike and Lynn Hancock		<p>As residents of Moore County and Pinehurst, we currently are voters in Congressional District 9. We would like the following to be considered when drawing the new Congressional District maps:</p> <p>1) Moore County should not be split. Currently the Eastwood Precinct has only 3 voters in CD #8, with the remainder in CD #9. Additionally, the Eureka-Whispering Pines precinct has 75% of voters in #8 and the rest in #9. This makes no sense, and is confusing to voters.</p> <p>2) The Sandhills region should have a compact, contiguous Congressional District. Moore, Cumberland, Scotland, and Hoke Counties should not be split and should be part of a Congressional District rooted in the Sandhills.</p> <p>3) The state should be divided by clean county lines into 9 compact and geo-cultural hubs, with 7 Congressional Districts in the west and 7 in the east to provide for equitable Congressional Districts across the state.</p>
09/28/2021 01:45 PM	CHRISTINA Davis-McCoy	Hoke County Democratic Party, NC9th Congressional District Officer, Hoke County Branch NAACP	<p>I have lived in the Sandhills region for the past 27 years in the community of Hoke county. During this time, I have witnessed and experienced the redistricting several times that has had significant impact on the voting strength of this region. As this redistricting consideration is underway, I would strongly submit my interest and advocacy for our District to remain a distinct Sandhills construct comprised of Moore, Hoke, Scotland, and Robeson counties. These counties share similar histories, and as well evidence related rural characteristics in transition.</p>
09/28/2021 01:59 PM	Ms Pamela Dodge		<p>I am a registered voter in Moore County. In the new congressional map, the counties forming the senate districts-Moore, Cumberland, Scotland, Hoke, and Robeson should serve as the foundation of a congressional district set on the Sandhills and not be split. Do not crack the Sandhills!</p>
09/28/2021 02:27 PM	Ms. Natosha Tew		<p>We applauded the openness and transparency of the General Assembly in the commitment to drawing maps during open redistricting committee meetings. We ask that you seriously consider all public input that is received during these hearings when making decisions on changes.</p> <p>The General Assembly should be applauded for banning the use of racial or political data: Banning the use of that data helps control against gerrymandering. The strict adherence to the Stephenson criteria and respect for the North Carolina Constitutions' whole county provision is another strong step to create fair and legal districts. We think it is an excellent step to improving the process by not unnecessarily dividing counties in congressional districts: This helps keeps our basic political units together for representation and prevents districts from taking some of the extremely odd shapes they have taken in the past. As for communities of interest, please keep in mind that there is not a common understanding of what a community of interest is, so a community of interest is in the eye of the beholder. It is appropriate that claims of communities of interest are only considered after other redistricting criteria have been satisfied and not dominate the process.</p> <p>Thank you for your time and consideration.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/28/2021 03:02 PM	Mr William Moore	Brunswick County Republican Party	I would urge the redistricting committee to consider two items while they draw the new lines. 1. Try to keep Counties together, split counties cause many unnecessary issues. Secondly, try to not mix rural and urban areas within a district. Due to the size of the cities, the people in the urban areas will have little or no say in the election process.
09/28/2021 03:02 PM	Dr. Leslie Allison	Retired UNC faculty member	Thank you for taking public comments. I am strongly opposed to gerrymandering and in support of computational redistricting algorithm approaches that produce multiple options for possible district maps that most fairly represent the "purple" NC electorate. North Carolina has already used this approach to generate district map options, one of which was then chosen using a state lottery machine. See for example, "Gerrymandering and Computational Redistricting" at https://redistrict.science/ . Voters should choose their legislators, not the other way around. The Republican led NC Legislature has a nationally shameful history of "surgically precise" partisan gerrymandering that lands the state in court time and time again, a waste of time and taxpayer dollars. They cannot be trusted to complete this process in a manner that produces fair outcomes.
09/28/2021 03:21 PM	Ms Elizabeth Harrington		Since I believe it is nearly impossible for members of ANY political party to craft a map that is fair and balanced, I am disappointed that we do not have a non-partisan committee undertaking the task of redistricting. I have held this position for many years—when the Democrats were the majority party, and I continue to hold it now that the Republicans are the majority. Since there is not a non-partisan redistricting committee this year, I ask that the committee take particular care to keep communities of interest together wherever possible—no splitting neighborhoods, and that you resist the temptation to “pack” the districts for party advantage (for either party). I believe that packing districts for one party or the other creates deeper and more extreme divides by allowing the candidates to choose their voters instead of the voters choosing their representatives.
09/28/2021 03:57 PM	Mr Don Branch		The criteria that the General Assembly has put forward this year are fair and direct. It follows the most recent court-ordered redistricting process. That process was widely acclaimed as the most fair and transparent redistricting process ever in North Carolina by Democrats, Republicans, and really, everyone. While all of the proposed criteria are important, there are several that really demonstrate the legislature’s commitment to fairness. First, the General Assembly plans to keep most communities together, including, to the extent possible, following county and municipal boundaries. Second, the General Assembly has committed that “[d]ata identifying the race of individuals or voters shall not be used in the construction or consideration of districts.” Third, the General Assembly has also committed that “[p]artisan considerations and election results data shall not be used in the drawing of districts.” I commend the legislature for their efforts and pray that the new districts will not fall victim to a wave of legal challenges.
09/28/2021 04:20 PM	Mr. Howard Fifer		Please let every vote in Chatham County be in the same election district. We are a community whose voice should not be fragmented. This will only dilute the voice of the people of color in our community.
09/28/2021 04:24 PM	Ms. Marlene Greer		I moved to NC in 2011 just in time to witness the debacle of the last NC redistricting. I came from Calif. where the Democratic Party in power, through redistricting, did away with the district my longtime Republican congressman represented. Then I find in NC, Republicans intentionally creating as many “safe” districts for Republican candidates as possible. I’m fed up with this cheating to win. We teach our children it is not right to cheat, and this is what adults do? I suggest you look at the demographics in NC. Non-party/unaffiliated voters are the second largest block of voters after registered Democrats. It appears many of us are fed up. Here is what I would like to see done to create a fair and impartial redistricting process and map: 1) Redistricting should be done by an independent commission (retired judges would be ideal); 2) Political data cannot be used in determining the districts; 3) The Commission gives the completed, proposed redistricting map to legislatures and they are only allowed a Yes/No vote. They cannot make any changes. The map must pass by supermajority. For a supermajority No vote, there must be given a legal reason, meaning it does not comply with a Fed/State law. A NO vote by the legislature for a legal reason would be submitted to the district/superior court for judicial review. If the review indicates it does not meet a specific legal criteria, then the Commission must redo the map and resubmit fixing ONLY the item(s) in question. Same Yes/No vote applies. If, however, the judicial review says the new map does in fact comply with all laws, then it becomes the new district map.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/28/2021 05:16 PM	Dr. Christine Ganis	League of Women Voters, American Association of University Women, and Community Blueprint of Greater Ft. Bragg (charter member)	<p>Please consider going the independent commission route to provide us with fair maps and avoid further litigation. We need competitive districts! That's what democracy is all about. Thank you for your time.</p> <p>I am an 11 year resident of Moore County, returning after a 40 year career as a mental health provider in my native state of PA. After graduating from NCState, I went on to pursue 2 Masters degrees and a doctorate in psychology, pursuing service first in another state hospital founded by Dorothea Dix, and then a 25 year private practice, seeing individuals, families, service men and women, and teens, many with eating disorders and trauma. I was saddened to see the sorry state of mental health care here on my return. There seemed to be little regard for the growing disparity between populations of "have's" and "have nots." Waiting lists were widespread, and there was a dearth of healthcare providers, especially those in the behavioral care areas. A full 1/3 of prison/jail inmates had co-occurring mental health issues, and the rural population was experiencing widespread unemployment, lack of transportation, and widespread substance use, and the corresponding treatment to the later was "lock them up." Then Covid 19 hit 1 1/2 years ago.</p> <p>I have come to see the human problems of mental health in NC through the lens of "intersectionality." There is no one issue driving the misery that we see, but 4 long years of harsh measures from the federal government on down to the General Assembly. All need to show some accountability. We are a 4/5 rural state, driven by policies and biases long ago outdated, but still embraced by leaders who seem to thrive on blocking each other from effective and caring governance. Instead of working to solve the roots of poverty - better education, better environmental protections for the public, and especially for children, denial and obfuscation seem to block common sense solutions and most of all working together.</p> <p>So as we pivot to redraw the maps, let's put the old rancor aside, and think as statesmen and women. Let's think of future generations of a system where the party in power (currently Republicans) do not work to cancel out the roughly 50/50 electorate we have on each side of the aisle. Instead, let's work to level the playing field for the average taxpayer in NC, and not attempt to pay back the "fat cats" who wish to compete for power, as if this were some kind of dystopian game. We are always stronger together, and stronger with cooperation than competition. We are smarter than this in this age.</p> <p>Directives: Moore County should not be split. The current system does not recognize us for the geo-cultural hub that we are - the Sandhills Region. We should have our own member of Congress, and be compact and contiguous, just like the 8 other regional hubs across the state. Anything less disrespects us as voters with common interests and opportunity to select our own representation in Congress and the state General Assembly. Eastwood should not be split. Eureka-Whispering Pines should not be split. Our impact should not be lessened by partisan interests. Finally, our testimony, both written and in person, should be recognized by incorporating them into at least another set of second-order hearings. After all, we are in a pandemic, and in my case, either of the sites are over 1 hour away.</p> <p>Finally, not enough appreciation is shown for the hard work that you do on our behalf. Please accept my sincere thank you for all you are doing, I trust in earnest.</p> <p>Dr. Christine C. Ganis, Psychologist</p>
09/28/2021 05:17 PM	CJ Froese	UNCW You Can Vote	<p>I am writing as a student of UNCW in demand of fair and transparent redistricting. Separating communities harms their ability to self-advocate and indicates that their representatives do not care about their needs. People should choose their representatives, not the other way around.</p> <p>Redistricting must be more transparent. Initial draft maps must be disclosed by September 15th and public comment should be allowed before this time period. Public hearings must be more accessible; the UNCW hearing's location was only disclosed at the last minute which jeopardizes the public's ability to attend. Lastly, all map drawing and redistricting must be done in public view.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/28/2021 05:24 PM	Ms Phyllis Kenny	N/A	<p>Thank you.</p> <p>Gentlemen/Madam: Moore County Precincts should not be split between 2 Congressional districts. Currently Eastwood Precinct 3 voters in CD 08 and the remainder of the precinct is in CD 09. There are 9 identifiable Geo-cultural regional hubs in North Carolina and 8 Of those hubs have a resident member of congress except the region surrounding Fayetteville. The NC Sandhills is a vital community that must have a compact contiguous Congressional District. Respectfully submitted Phyllis A Kenny</p>
09/28/2021 05:42 PM	Barbara Welanetz	Durham resident, retired	<p>re: NC House District 30, where I reside at 2612 W. Knox St, Durham: This district boundary is part of a "cracked" Durham map, and does not encompass a whole community. The southern part of District 30 should, with parts of 29,31, and 50, encompass the Duke university and healthcare campuses in the western side of downtown and near-downtown Durham. Duke University and the related Duke Healthcare system is the major employer in this area, and totally defines and dominates the western side of Durham into the downtown district, as evidenced by retail and transportation patterns. The small commercial centers along Erwin and Hillsborough Road, Ninth Street and Broad Street, the Geer Street entertainment district, downtown, American Tobacco center, all serve the Duke communities and campuses. The Duke institutions and surrounding residential and retail areas should be drawn as one or two districts, rather than being split into 4 or 5 districts encompassing more rural and smaller communities to the north and south. For example, in the southern end of District 30 where I live, a huge number of residents work at the university, one of its research labs, or healthcare facilities--and yet we are not grouped with these institutions who are in walking distance of us. Instead we are part of a district including Bahama and other rural districts to the far north, with whom we have little in common.</p> <p>Similarly, for NC Senate District 22, we are again linked to rural communities to the north instead of grouped with the downtown district 20 or the district to the west, encompassing more of the Duke community and/or blending into Chapel Hill, with which we have much more in common. The 15-501 corridor connects the Chapel Hill/UNC and Duke communities much more tightly than the north/south orientations of the current districts, which again link rural communities to portions of the academic and cultural centers. The rural communities to the north and south of near-downtown Chapel Hill and Durham have more in common with each other than with portions of near-downtown and downtown Chapel Hill and Durham.</p>
09/28/2021 06:05 PM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	<p>I am submitting a map of UNC-Chapel Hill as an area of interest. It must remain together as the districts are redrawn. UNC-Chapel Hill is the flagship university of the UNC public school system. Though it is a public school, it is fairly prestigious and has almost 20,000 undergraduates and some 10,000 graduate students. Its campus is in Chapel Hill but its students also live in the neighboring Carrboro as well and up to Homestead Road in Glen Heights. https://district.org/COI/55315</p>
09/28/2021 06:15 PM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	<p>I am submitting a map of UNC-Greensboro as an area of interest. Its campus and its surrounding community must be brought together as the districts are redrawn. The State House district currently cut this community of interest in two. UNC-Greensboro is a public research university and is a part of the UNC school system. Its an urban campus, located in downtown Greensboro with almost 20,000 undergraduates. Its students live in the neighborhoods of Lindley Park, Glenwood, and Piedmont Heights. https://district.org/COI/55319</p>
09/28/2021 07:19 PM	Mr Jamie Campbell		<p>Please make districts based on non-partisan divisions.</p>
09/28/2021 07:55 PM	Rosemary Thorn		<p>Keep Chatham Whole As a 37 year resident of Chatham County, I am surprised to learn that the redistributing committee is considering merging Chatham with two adjoining counties. Since Chatham as a whole meets the requirements of a compact community representing many different groups, I am concerned about the reasons that this district would be split out. I am requesting</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>that senate district 23 and House district 54 remain intact as our elected representatives serve the entirety of Chatham County. I would hope that you would conduct public hearings after the maps are drawn to allow for further input.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration.</p>
09/28/2021 08:39 PM	Elliothr Elliothr	Elliothr	<p>If you desire to treat ED properly, you will need this innovative treatment. It has helped over 99% of guys to retain their sexual living in just couple hours. Start being healthy immediately and buy this drug using a private promo code. CLICK HERE >> bit.ly/3EW0C2I</p>
09/28/2021 09:31 PM	Mr. Justin Noll	self	<p>In the last decade or so, it seems that gerrymandering has been put on steroids and is getting completely out of control. With all the computer data available for the next round of redistricting, I fear that the process will become completely crazy. If I could, I would institute a federal law that requires districts to be divided in a reasonable manner, following town/city or county lines wherever possible.</p>
09/28/2021 09:40 PM	Lindalh Lindalh	Lindalh	<p>hallo baby.. Im looking a lover!!! I want sex! Write me - tinyurl.com/yzuaz7m9</p>
09/28/2021 09:59 PM	Andrew Stern		<p>Two issues that the Committees need to consider: First, there need to be several public hearings after the maps are drawn, where the public can give input via Zoom, in person, or online. Having a process that only allows for input before the product is produced is not only foolish, it makes no common sense. You have "heard" the comments before the maps have been drawn via 13 public hearings and this portal. Now, we need to know whether you actually "listened." Once you draw the maps, if they have not already been drawn, the public should be able to comment as to whether they were heard. It would be like creating a cake recipe for an important event (and no event is more important than a fair voting process)--first you get input from many chefs and bakers, then produce the cake. Would any reasonable person then not test the cake and get input from others before serving it at the important event? Absolutely not, as the folks responsible for the cake, you would not want get input from others before serving it and see if you listened to the suggestions. Creating the maps and then voting on them with only one public hearing would be like serving the cake with only 1 person (or group of people who created the recipe) deciding all is good. NO! Any good chef/baker would get input from several cake testers. The map drawers should do the same.</p> <p>Second, communities of interest should remain together, as much as possible. For U.S. Congressional redistricting, the cities of Greensboro, High Point, and Winston Salem should be in one district, as they form a community of interest, formally identified as the Triad. Due to district population requirements, this means that either part of Guilford or Forsyth county will likely need to be split. (It is already approved criteria to break up counties as little as possible--Division of counties in the 2021 Congressional plan shall only be made for reasons of equalizing population and consideration of double bunking. If a county is of sufficient population size to contain an entire congressional district within the county's boundaries, the Committees shall construct a district entirely within that county.) Based on this criteria, either Guilford County or Forsyth should remain whole, and based on the importance of communities of interest remaining together, the only way that both objectives can be met, is to keep Guilford County whole (including Greensboro and High Point) and then moving into Forsyth County to include the cities of Winston Salem and Kernersville. Keeping the Triad together is important for economic growth considerations and the fact that the 3 major cities within the Triad perform better as a region economically when they cooperate rather than compete in job development and job growth. We do not want to return to before the 2019 court mandated redistricting where Guilford County was split down the middle in the middle of NC A&T University which was found to be an unconstitutional gerrymander. If the legislature attempts that, it will just lead to another court case that the legislature will lose and cost NC taxpayers a significant amount of wasted money.</p> <p>Thank you for the opportunity to make these comments.</p>
09/29/2021 05:57 AM	Dr. Stephen Campbell		<p>I suggest that the Assembly create a NON-PARTISAN commission as other states have done to address their redistricting needs (cf https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Redistricting_commission and https://www.ncsl.org/research/redistricting/redistricting-commissions-congressional-plans.aspx). I think that taking this step might reduce friction between Assembly members as well as between the Assembly and members of the voting public.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/29/2021 07:15 AM	Johnston County Kendally Carlson	Self	As one of the fastest growing counties in North Carolina, Johnston County's demographics are changing. With the growth we are having, the our needs no longer align with the surrounding rural counties. Johnston County needs representation that is representative of the urban, populated county it is becoming, to help grow it properly. For this reason, I believe that Johnston County should not be split between districts, it should remain as a whole in the new maps. I am also asking that there be at least one public hearing on the maps once they are drawn, this will affect our state for years to come and the public has the right to a voice. Thank you, Kendally Carlson Clayton N.C
09/29/2021 07:50 AM	Mrs. Kathleen Roll		Please make voting fair and equal for everyone.
09/29/2021 10:16 AM	Nancy Hillmer	Chatham County Citizen	Please keep House District 54 and Senate 23 whole in all of Chatham County. We are a diverse county and meet redistricting guidelines already. Please schedule a public hearing after maps are drawn. Thank You Nancy Hillmer, Silk Hope
09/29/2021 10:18 AM	Todd Defren		Keep Chatham County whole! Please conduct public hearings during and after this process. Lines should not be drawn to help either political party.
09/29/2021 10:34 AM	Dr Maxine McCall	Citizen	Please know the redistricting is uncalled for. I am vehemently against any change.
09/29/2021 10:54 AM	Ms. Henrietta Coursey		I am writing to urge the committees to maintain the current district lines for Congressional District 4 and Congressional District 2 in Wake County. Both these districts were recently re-mapped to bring about fairness in my community of Wake Forest and other areas in Wake County that experienced unfairness due gerrymandering. Even though improvements can be made, I don't think the improvements will be fair and may result in the continuation of gerrymandering/unfair maps. Both congressional districts (4 and 2) need to be kept in place and not changed again to dislocate more voters and create barriers to their constitutional rights to vote. All actions of these committees need to be open and transparent with no decisions made behind closed doors, without due representation of both major parties and transparent outcomes of the process need to be clearly shared with all North Carolinians.
09/29/2021 10:59 AM	Mrs. Cynthia L. Jackson		My husband and I live at 820 Finnbar Dr. in Chatham County. I am asking that our congressional and state legislative districts be drawn in a non-partisan way and NOT to the advantage of one political party. I am asking that Chatham County NOT be split between congressional and state legislative districts. It is difficult to advocate for the needs of our community when our voting power is divided between districts. I am an advocate for affordable housing and health care for everyone in my county. Thank you for your attention and consideration in this important endeavor. Cynthia L. Jackson cjxon125@gmail.com
09/29/2021 11:08 AM	Olivia Steed	Durham City and County Member	My first statement is that I wish that an education session to give everyone the current maps and how to interpret them is important. Saying that I imagine having supplemental documentation is important (where universities are, ethnic and racial communities, and income level areas). If this redistricting process takes all of these things to account it should be very easy to educate and/or distribute resources. The hearings are last minute, early in the morning, and there's not multiple hearing opportunities. There should be a minimum of 20- 25 hearings. No live stream options not remote participation options, only a Youtube recording which I found out about through other organizations. Language interpreters are not available unless community generated. Many

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>people found out about the public comment meeting/town hall on the day of.</p> <p>University communities shouldn't be split to disappear into the interests of other communities. The same should not happen to low income communities. The interests of historically black areas and communities shouldn't be split either. Yet the maps also shouldn't take a ridiculously large area and reduce the voice of multiple communities of people of color into one.</p>
09/29/2021 11:10 AM	Mrs. Janet Kowal	retired	<p>Please keep Chatham County whole. Please do not split either House district 54 or Senate district 23. District maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. Additionally, I request that public hearings be held after maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established. Thank you.</p>
09/29/2021 11:17 AM	Caryn Gibson		<p>Both major parties must be willing to be fair and just. Doing anything else is shortsighted and endangers our way of life.</p>
09/29/2021 11:20 AM	mrs. elizabeth cook	Chatham County resident of 20 years+	<p>We moved to Chatham County from out-of-state 20 years ago and have been pleased with some of North Carolina legislature attempts at fairness. Please continue that effort by "keeping Chatham Whole". We hope you will not splinter either House District 54 or Senate District 23. I am told there is constitutional reasoning to support keeping districts compact, as they are now.</p> <p>Re public comment period, it only makes sense to hold these after the maps are drawn, so folks know what to comment on.</p> <p>Thank you for considering my comments. Elizabeth Cook, Galloway Ridge D303 Pittsboro, NC 27312</p>
09/29/2021 12:06 PM	Pastor Keith Graham	Bladen County Resident	<p>Requesting to give public comments at Redistricting Committee meeting in Wilmington NC. Good afternoon,</p> <p>Thank you for allowing me to speak. My name is Keith Graham and I'm a Retired 22 years of service AF Veteran and now a local preacher in Bladen County. What happens to Bladen County concerns me at a personal level as I consider it my and my family's home.</p> <p>I'd like to emphasize things that I'm sure everyone here has heard multiple times in the last 10 years. I'm asking for transparency in the redistricting process and I'm specifically asking for communities of interest to be kept together. Bladen County as a whole IS a community of interest due to our cultural uniqueness. It should not be split when drawing federal congressional maps. I also emphasize that state legislative maps should be compact and keep communities of interest in mind as well.</p> <p>I also urge legislators to place Bladen County in a federal district with our Southeastern sister counties. Right now, we are placed in a good district with our neighboring Columbus County. But in the past redistricting cycle we were split in half. With the Congressional District 9 that went straight thru the heart of Elizabethtown. That caused a lot of confusion for our population of family members that were voting in two different races.</p> <p>Apart from these asks, I want to highlight how disappointed people across the Southeast are to the current redistricting process. We don't have enough public hearings, these hearings are not being advertised enough, and I fear online public comments may be ignored. Most concerning of all is that the public cannot make comments after maps are finalized. The people of North Carolina should be able to respond to the final maps as we have a controversial history with districts. I'd hope State leaders would've learn from the past 10 years. Bad maps will likely lead to litigation that will take money and resources away from other pressing matters across our state. This is why I wish redistricting would be done by a nonpartisan redistricting committee. North Carolinians are paying attention to what you're doing and we will continue to demand better from our leaders.</p> <p>Thank you</p>
09/29/2021	Mrs Whitney	Jackson County	<p>Hello, after attending the redistricting hearing at Western Carolina University, I would like to reiterate the suggestion to</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
12:51 PM	Kreiling	Democratic Party	keep counties in western North Carolina whole when drawing maps. In addition, I think it is very important that the maps are made public for comment before finalization. Lastly, during this pandemic, it seems like a no-brainer that there would have been a virtual option for people to attend these hearings. It is very disappointing that that option was not available. Thank you.
09/29/2021 01:10 PM	Richard Poole	New Hanover County Democratic Party	<p>Comments On Redistricting In New Hanover County</p> <p>New Hanover Senate and House Districts were found to be extreme partisan gerrymanders by a three judge panel in Common Cause v Lewis, Superior Court Division, 18 CVS 014001 (September 3, 2019). The 2021 redistricting should not repeat the partisan manipulation of the 2017 redistricting.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The City of Wilmington should be kept whole in our Senate District. Common Cause v Lewis found that the maps split Wilmington Precincts W29 and W03 between Senate District 9 (a New Hanover District) and Senate 8, a heavily Republican District mostly in Brunswick, Bladen and Pender counties that had little in common with urban Wilmington precincts. The court found that the "intent and effect" of including voters in the "Wilmington notch" was to waste their votes by placing them in a noncompetitive Republican district. The Court held that the districts were an extreme partisan gerrymander and ordered that the districts be redrawn. 2. UNCW's precinct W24 should not be cracked and split when drawing State Legislative maps for the House or Senate. Common Cause v Lewis also found that the districting of New Hanover House districts was an extreme partisan gerrymander. The 2017 redistricting split W24, the precinct containing the UNCW campus between two house districts. The UNCW Precinct is a community of interest encompassing students who live on or near campus, and should be kept whole. Splitting Precinct W24 caused confusion among UNCW voters, and weakened their representation in the NC House. Precinct W24 was reunited in a single House district after the Common Cause v Lewis decision. That gerrymander should not be repeated. 3. House District 19 or a similar successor district should not join Southern New Hanover County to Northeast Brunswick County. HD 19 is split by the Cape Fear river, and getting from one side to the other by car requires a detour through HD 18 to reach a bridge in downtown Wilmington. (The alternatives are boat, helicopter or an unreliable Ferry.) The travel distances are such that a candidate on one side of the river will often spend more time in transit than they will spend at an event on the other side. Similarly, members of the community who seek to visit the other side will encounter substantial travel distances. The two parts of the district are not a community of interest because a natural boundary impedes travel between them. 4. No municipalities should be cracked and split when drawing Maps. In addition to Wilmington, New Hanover contains several beach Municipalities. Municipalities are communities of interest and should be kept whole. 5. To the extent possible, precincts should not be split. Splitting precincts causes voter confusion and election day headaches for poll workers. We believe that precincts are also communities of interest on election day, when precinct voters look for transparent and clear voting rules.
09/29/2021 01:16 PM	Helene Kelly		Gerrymandering by any political party is a terrible thing to do to any voter in our democratic USA. We as voters don't really have our vote count when redistributing manipulates the lines in selfishly draw them to serve their party only. Nonpartisan redistributing has occurred in 14 states and it should also be in NC. Are you going to support the people or merely your party?
09/29/2021 01:23 PM	Sharon Valentine	Lower Cape Fear League of Women Voters	I have lived in NC since 1972 when county lines were the districts. I have seen the worst of gerrymandering over the years and it does not own a party label. It is both parties neither able to detach itself from this petrie dish of corruption and stealing a truly contested vote

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/29/2021 01:25 PM	Aubrea Ashe	League of Women Voters	<p>from the public. I lived in district 8 & 9 that put neighborhoods in Fayetteville as part of a Charlotte district. Nothing in common. Roucho had promised to appoint a nonpartisan committee to redraw the lines did not have the integrity to follow through. I have made comments now, my third redistricting meeting. Get back to Communities of Interest and stop the sabatage of democracy. Get a nonpartisan committee appointed to redraw the districts</p>
09/29/2021 01:28 PM	John Ashe		<p>Redistricting in a way that bunches together opposition votes is a fundamentally undemocratic process. Robbing people of a meaningful vote runs contrary to the ideals of America. I would also like to point out that, in the advent of the digital age, those who gerrymander will not be able to wash their hands of it like they did in the past. These decisions will forever mar their political career and will be a simple Google search away.</p>
09/29/2021 01:56 PM	Patience Vanderbush		<p>Please add multiple hearings around the state AFTER draft maps are released. Only then can we assess and respond to the appropriateness of the proposed electoral districts.</p>
09/29/2021 02:06 PM	Mr Michael Opiela		<p>Please try to keep counties together and avoid mixing urban and rural areas in the same district.</p>
09/29/2021 02:23 PM	redistricting concerns Chris Holman	private citizen	<p>lets keep county lines intact, do not district over a county line, drawing in a community not associated with a larger county. Let the county reflect its unique character. Do not a urban location with a mall rural area, rarely do they reflect the same interest, the large voting block of an urban voting block can reduce the representation of the rural area, leaving them underserved. Stop political actions and look to serve the community.</p>
09/29/2021 02:46 PM	Ms Ellen Haragan		<p>New Hanover County is a municipality that should be kept together!</p>
09/29/2021 02:48 PM	Mrs. Anna Tresidder		<p>Try as much as possible not to split counties as they form districts. Again, as much as possible not to mix Urban and rural in the same district as the numbers of the city voters will far outweigh rural areas thus taking away their ability to support candidates that reflect their views</p>
09/29/2021 03:07 PM	Mr. Arthur Kreiss		<p>To Whom It May Concern; With regard to the redistricting currently being reviewed in the State, both my wife and I urge you to consider the following requests;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Please try as much as possible not to split counties as they presently form districts. <li>2. Again, as much as feasible, please not to mix Urban and Rural in the same district as the numbers of city voters will far outweigh rural areas thus taking away their ability to support candidates that reflect their views. <p>Thank you for your consideration. Arthur Kreiss Leland, NC 28451</p>
09/29/2021 03:10 PM	Mrs. Mary Clement		<p>Please make every effort possible NOT to split counties. Also, do NOT mix rural and urban districts. Every voice should be heard! Thank you.</p>
09/29/2021 03:23 PM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	<p>I am submitting a map of Brevard College as an area of interest. It must remain together as the districts are redrawn. Brevard College is a small, experiential liberal arts school in the southern mountains of North Carolina. With only 700 students, its community is tight-knit and important to the small town it finds its home in. https://district.org/COI/55815</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/29/2021 03:36 PM	Ms. Rayna Young		<p>Hello,</p> <p>My name is Rayna Young, I am a college student at UNC-Chapel Hill and a permanent resident of North Carolina for over 15 years. During my time at UNC, I have seen the dichotomy of wealth and opportunity of the students and season-ticket holders of the sports games, and some of the struggles of the unstably housed all in the same area. As an upperclassman looking to potentially live off-campus, this gap is widened: There are high-rise apartments with lots of amenities for college students but are very expensive, and houses with more affordable property values that are in the middle of once inveterate communities, like Northside, which has older residents with deep ties to the Civil Rights Movement in North Carolina. The variety of life experience and cultural background makes Chapel Hill a rich community to be a part of.</p> <p>The relationship between the campus community and long standing residents was tested repeatedly during the COVID-19 pandemic. However, there were also communities of students checking on their neighbors who were concerned about going to the store, and learning about the history of Chapel Hill outside of the athletic legacy. For these reasons, I believe it is imperative to include the residential communities around UNC's campus in the same district. Keeping the area together will ensure a shared responsibility that goes beyond the 4 years at Carolina, but a commitment to investing in the people who have built up and maintained the area in order for it to become what it is today.</p>
09/29/2021 03:37 PM	COL (Ret) Robert Scharling		Please attempt not to split counties as they form districts and, as much as possible, do not mix urban and rural elements in the same district as the overwhelming number of city voters will far outweigh the voters in rural areas, thus taking away the ability of rural voters to support candidates who reflect their concerns and viewpoints.
09/29/2021 03:38 PM	Mr. Frank Steele		I am requesting when you redistrict that you not split counties and mix urban and rural districts into one district.
09/29/2021 03:51 PM	Jessica DeGolyer		I am a resident of Leland and believe my congressional district should only contain areas within Brunswick County. New Hanover and Brunswick counties are two separate communities of interest that should not be combined especially downtown Wilmington and Leland. Downtown Wilmington should be within the districts with all of New Hanover and should not be lumped in with my community of interest in Leland.
09/29/2021 04:15 PM	John Whiteman	Chatham Couty Resident	Keep all of Chatham County together in both House and Senate.
09/29/2021 04:43 PM	Peggy Shelton		Guilford County has been bypassed clearly in order to keep people from being heard and the maps are drawn to favor Republicans. Both of these are to allow Republicans to make it more difficult for Democrats to vote and have easy access to vote.
09/29/2021 04:47 PM	Mrs Sherri Dillon	None	Please work as though it was not your party in power and you want to make the districts representative of the people there and not how to Gerry-rig a district to deliver votes.
09/29/2021 04:57 PM	President Queen Degraphenreid	North Carolina Coalition - National Council of Negro Women, Inc.	I have been engaged in voting rights and social justice issues since I became a NC citizen in 1991, some 30 years ago. North Carolina has been involved in some of voter injustice during most of that that time period. North Carolina citizens have stood strong to protect voting rights and to ensure that all citizens are treated fairly in the voting and election process. It's time to get it right.
09/29/2021 05:07 PM	Ms. Ethelyn Baker		As one who has voted in every local, state and federal election since 1956, I strongly urge you to be fair in redrawing the NC maps. I encourage you to draw the maps so that they will correctly reflect racial diversity as well as equal and fair representation in Congress and the NC Legislature. In other words, I implore you to avoid any form of gerrymandering.
09/29/2021 05:49 PM	Elizabeth Hazelton		<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/29/2021 06:07 PM	Maria Quintero		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend. Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published. Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment. Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines.
09/29/2021 06:09 PM	Maria Quintero		<p>The Hispanic/Latinx community in North Carolina has grown so much and we do a lot of the jobs that no other community wants to do. We share a lot of traditions which are very important for our families and kids. For a Hispanic/Latinx members the family comes first, When we migrated to the USA, we want to engage and be part of the whole community and at the same time, we would like to be closed to the rest of the Hispanic members of the community because as a immigrants, we find strength, support and solidarity within our "paisanos". Please, keep our communities together, because together we all are better, Thank you so much for taking the time to read my message.</p>
09/29/2021 06:14 PM	Maria Quintero		<p>Hola, soy Maru Quintero, venezolana de nacimiento y estadounidense por adopción. Vivo en Durham desde hace 4 años y he visto como ha crecido la comunidad hispana en el estado. Somos un comunidad trabajadora y que siempre tiene como norte a la familia. Compartimos muchas tradiciones y ellas son muy importantes porque son parte de nuestra cultura y queremos preservarla mientras nos integramos a la cultura estadounidense. Ser inmigrante no es fácil pero si estamos cerca de nuestra comunidad, podemos compartir y crecer juntos para apoyarnos, ayudarnos y solo quien es migrante, sabe lo duro que es. Vinimos a este país al cual queremos y respetamos buscando mejores oportunidades para nuestros hijos y los educamos con valores. Por favor, les pido que hagan una distribución de distritos justa donde nuestra comunidad hispana se vea representada y unida. Muchas gracias por su atención.</p>
09/29/2021 06:40 PM	Bettezq Bettezq	Bettezq	<p>Hello !!! Im looking a man!! I love oral sex! Write me - bit.ly/3CFLPXE</p>
09/29/2021 07:58 PM	Ms. Josephine Corro		<p>The public must have the opportunity to see the redistricting maps and to comment/advise prior to the legislature making a final decision.</p>
09/29/2021 08:23 PM	Elizabeth Potter		<p>I live in Durham, around 10 minutes from downtown, and this comment relates to my current Congressional district, NC 04. The current (as of 2021) configuration of NC 04 makes sense, and I ask that it be retained to the extent possible. The 2021 map of NC 04 includes all of Durham county and all of Orange county, which makes sense because so many people live in Durham and work in Chapel Hill, and vice versa. The two communities are becoming increasingly connected and are increasingly one social and economic unit. Also, the current map of NC 04 includes all of Granville county, and again, many Granville residents work in Durham; the same is true of the portion of Chatham county that includes Pittsboro, which at this point is becoming a satellite community of Chapel Hill. If population growth requires that NC 04 be enlarged, the county that I would like to see included is Person, since the relationship of Roxboro to Durham is similar to the relationship of Durham to Oxford.</p>
09/29/2021 08:52 PM	Dr. Richard Fernsler	retired	<p>As a resident of Chatham County, I ask that House district 54 and Senate district 23 not be split in the new redistricting plan, and that public hearings on this issue be held before the redistricting plan is voted on. Thank you for your consideration.</p>
09/29/2021 10:33 PM	Mrs Georgana Kicinski		<p>The southern end of Granville County has had the most population growth. The census do not show it because it was done before Amberleaf was finished.</p>
09/29/2021	Ms Patricia		<p>Please make reasonable districts that make sense. Please do not gerrymander districts.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
10:37 PM	Eargle		
09/29/2021 11:00 PM	Stephanie Watson	naacp	Harnett County has one minority district and it appears to be endangered by this new attempt to Gerrymander it and to make it harder for our citizens to have fair and free elections and true representation in this County, this State and this Nation for at least ten years. This county has been growing and has a diverse population and is a blend of minorities and persons who will be crushed if there is not fairness in the drawing of these maps. The maps need not to divide the Districts as to confuse voters nor make it harder to have their polling places known.
09/30/2021 08:21 AM	Teresa Criser	personal	<p>Despite multiple attempts by the Republican party to demonstrate fraud in the 2020 election, no credible problems have been found, yet Republican lead states want to put multiple ways to restrict Democratic votes in play. Gerrymandering during redistricting is one of the despicable tools used.</p> <p>According to voter registrations in NC, the Democratic and Republican registrations are roughly equivalent in numbers, leaning somewhat Democratic. Equal numbers of us are unaffiliated. YET OUR NUMBERS OF BOTH FEDERAL AND STATE LEGISLATORS ARE MUCH GREATER REPUBLICAN DUE TO GERRYMANDERING. This does not represent NC voters fairly.</p> <p>Enough already. The majority of North Carolinians must be allowed to make our leadership choices by our votes, in fair districts and fair elections. The will of the majority must rule in the USA.</p> <p>To quote NC Democratic Party Labor Caucus president Herb Harton: "The citizens of North Carolina want an electoral process where they can fairly choose representatives from their area to represent them. They don't want their votes nullified by sectioning them off into areas with the sole intent of disenfranchising voters."</p> <p>Thank you, Teresa Criser</p>
09/30/2021 08:27 AM	Mrs Susan Moody		It is my hope that, as district lines are redrawn, consideration be given to the specifics of the area - the needs of each area. For example: Urban areas dont have the same needs as suburban/rural areas.
09/30/2021 09:02 AM	Maryq4 Maryq4	Maryq4	heey dear! Im looking a lover! I want sex! Write me - tinyurl.com/ye7wkvzo
09/30/2021 09:07 AM	Jennifer McEntire		Challenges for my area--North Durham County--are: 1) environmental protections, in particular as these relate to the Eno River and tributaries; 2) crime escalation and the need for greater police presence as well as prevention/diversion programs for at-risk youth; 3) extreme litter problems coupled with inadequate greenway and roadside maintenance. The Eno River area needs a redistricting plan that brings together people and representation that share these concerns. We need better environmental protections/redistricting plans, more police and diversion programs and an effective litter remediation plan. Thank you.
09/30/2021 09:24 AM	Dr. Sandra Deegan		<p>I am a Chatham County resident and registered voter. I am asking that any redistricting keep Chatham whole and not split HD 54 and SD 23, since these encompass the entirety of Chatham. All of us in Chatham cherish the rural character of our county, and this binds us together.</p> <p>I would also insist that we have multiple widespread public hearings AFTER the maps are drawn so that the people can give input as to the effect of those maps on their lives.</p>
09/30/2021 09:33 AM	Mr. Johm Guardalabene		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 .Try as much as possible not to split counties as they form districts 2. Again, as much as possible not to mix Urban and rural in the same district as the numbers of city voters will far outweigh rural areas thus taking away their ability to support candidates that reflect their views
09/30/2021 10:12 AM	Dr. Geraldine Gannon		I am a registered voter and a Chatham County resident. I am requesting that redistricting will keep Chatham County whole and not split off House District 54 and Senate District 23. We in Chatham County are proud of our mixed rural and urban character and want redistricting to reflect that.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			I would also ask that there be public hearings AFTER the maps are drawn so that we the public can have input.
09/30/2021 10:13 AM	Redistriction Committee Mariam J Bond	Resident New Hanover	Please do not gerrymander our districts nullifying our votes!
09/30/2021 10:22 AM	Brigitta Byrd		My name is Brigitta Byrd and I live in house district 59. This area encompasses Woodlea and Industrial avenue's in the the oldest part of the city of Southeast Greensboro. I am requesting that maps be drawn so that districts must be adjoining and does not break up neighborhoods. Right now, my district has been gerrymandered so that my representative has areas that are over 20 miles away in the county. This does not properly represent the issues that we have in the city and goes to further dilute our voices. Please make maps fair and equitable for all constituents as maps are being redrawn. Thanks for your time and consideration.
09/30/2021 10:56 AM	Mrs Shelby Whitfield	Private citizen	Redistricting needs to be fair & balanced for both parties & not favoring one over the other.
09/30/2021 11:17 AM	Megan Bailey		As you consider redistricting, I hope that this committee prioritizes creating fair district boundaries that are representative of the people who live in the communities. Redistricting has historically resulted in gerrymandering, and drawing district lines strategically to silence minority voices. I urge this committee to examine the redistricting process through a racial justice lens and engage in a fair, transparent process that reflects the communities you serve.
09/30/2021 12:15 PM	Emeritus Professor Victor Schoenbach	UNC Gillings School of Global Public Health (retired)	<p>Differences of opinion and perspective are natural in human society. When a collective decision-making process, e.g., a committee, is effective, those differences can lead to decisions informed by a broader awareness of issues and evidence.</p> <p>Although consensus decision-making may be ideal, as a practical matter it is typically necessary to make decisions based on a majority of the votes of representatives. Those decisions are likely to produce better outcomes when representatives have ample relevant information, opportunity for consultation, discussion, and reflection. However, the decisions of representatives who do not in fact represent the people affected by those decisions are more likely to result in conflict and friction, reducing the efficiency, effectiveness, and quality of societal function.</p> <p>While there is likely no perfect approach to legislative districting, manipulation of district lines to achieve partisan goals - gerrymandering - harms representative government by weakening trust and introducing disconnects between the desires of the population and the actions of government. Please give our state the best chance for a harmonious future by restraining the impulse for partisan districting.</p> <p>Democrats have now paid for their short-sightedness in not embracing nonpartisan districting when they controlled the General Assembly. Republicans have the opportunity to set North Carolina on a brighter path by embracing nonpartisan districting for the coming decades.</p> <p>Thank you for this opportunity to express my perspective.</p>
09/30/2021 12:15 PM	Emeritus Professor Victor Schoenbach	UNC Gillings School of Global Public Health (retired)	<p>Differences of opinion and perspective are natural in human society. When a collective decision-making process, e.g., a committee, is effective, those differences can lead to decisions informed by a broader awareness of issues and evidence.</p> <p>Although consensus decision-making may be ideal, as a practical matter it is typically necessary to make decisions based on a majority of the votes of representatives. Those decisions are likely to produce better outcomes when representatives have ample relevant information, opportunity for consultation, discussion, and reflection. However, the decisions of representatives who do not in fact represent the people affected by those decisions are more likely to result in conflict and friction, reducing the efficiency, effectiveness, and quality of societal function.</p> <p>While there is likely no perfect approach to legislative districting, manipulation of district lines to achieve partisan goals -</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>gerrymandering - harms representative government by weakening trust and introducing disconnects between the desires of the population and the actions of government. Please give our state the best chance for a harmonious future by restraining the impulse for partisan districting.</p> <p>Democrats have now paid for their short-sightedness in not embracing nonpartisan districting when they controlled the General Assembly. Republicans have the opportunity to set North Carolina on a brighter path by embracing nonpartisan districting for the coming decades.</p> <p>Thank you for this opportunity to express my perspective.</p>
09/30/2021 12:30 PM	Barbara Goldberg		<p>2. Keep Chatham County whole. Do not draw district lines through the county.</p> <p>2. We need to have hearings and a chance to comment after the maps are drawn.</p>
09/30/2021 01:14 PM	Gretchen Levine	none	<p>I am very concerned about the process of redrawing districts and maps for voting. I want to know my vote counts. It is the foundation of a democratic society. If maps are not drawn fairly NC taxpayers will once again waste millions of dollars on litigation. Please ensure that maps are drawn in a transparent manner with public input. We can learn from our past mistakes and move past this issue so that the party in charge does not exert an unfair advantage.</p> <p>https://www.wral.com/news/state/nccapitol/video/17346839/</p>
09/30/2021 01:29 PM	Mr. Maurice Holland, Jr.	Chair, Moore County Democratic Party	<p>Moore County Specifics</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Moore County precincts should not be split between 2 Congressional Districts (see attached map) · currently Eastwood Precinct has 3 voters in CD 08 and the remainder of the precinct is in CD 09 · currently Eureka-Whispering Pines Precinct has 25% of the registered voters in CD 09 and 75% in CD 08 to the extent that neighbors on the same street are in different Congressional Districts <p>There are 9 identifiable Geo-cultural regional hubs in North Carolina and 8 of those hubs have a resident member of Congress except the region surrounding Fayetteville. The NC Sandhills is a vital community that must have a compact contiguous Congressional District.</p> <p>Currently Mecklenburg County has 6 districts that terminate within a 20-mile radius of the intersection of Trade & Tryon Streets in Uptown Charlotte</p> <p>1. In the new congressional map, no county should be split more than once. In the current congressional map, no county is split more than once. This practice should be carried forward into the new congressional map. When counties are split more than once, it is often a sign of gerrymandering.</p> <p>2. In the new congressional map, a district shall be formed which is rooted within the Sandhills. In the formation of this district, the sandhills belt consisting of Scotland, Hoke, and Cumberland counties shall not be separated from one another or split. Cracking the Sandhills region by splitting it up denies its citizens the ability to elect a candidate of choice.</p> <p>3. In the new congressional map, to ensure fair distribution of districts across the state, the state should be divided into a western and eastern section containing equal populations. Seven congressional districts shall lie in the west and seven shall lie in the east. The current congressional map fails to provide for a fair distribution of congressional districts across the state. To ensure that this failure is not repeated in the new congressional map, the state should be divided into a western and eastern section containing equal populations.</p> <p>4. In the new congressional map, regional integrity should be a prominent feature. Congressional districts should be compact and rooted within the major geo-cultural regions of the state. Our current congressional map does not conform to this principle well. It is constructed in such a way that six of the districts have sections that lie within twenty miles of the heart of Mecklenburg County yet terminate far outside of that area in other regions of the state.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>Don't crack the Sandhills!</p> <p>5. In the new congressional map, a district should be formed that contains each of the four majority-minority counties that lie in the heart of the Sandhills region; Scotland, Hoke, Cumberland, and Robeson. These counties should not be separated from one another or split. The combined total population for these counties is 538,234. Cracking the Sandhills region by splitting it up denies its citizens the ability to elect a candidate of choice.</p> <p>6. In the new congressional map, the counties from which the senate districts in the NC Sandhills are formed (Moore, Cumberland, Scotland, Hoke, and Robeson) should also serve as the foundation of a congressional district rooted in the Sandhills and not be split. There are two county groupings for state senate districts that lie wholly within the Sandhills Region; Moore and Cumberland Counties, which contain two senatorial districts; and Hoke, Scotland, and Robeson Counties which contain 1 senate district. Each of these five counties (Moore, Cumberland, Scotland, Hoke, and Robeson) should be part of a congressional district rooted in the Sandhills and not be split.</p> <p>7. In the Senate map for Moore and Cumberland County, the City of Fayetteville should be the only municipality that is split.</p> <p>8. In the senate map for Moore and Cumberland County, the following precincts, which comprise a military community of interest, shall be grouped together and not split; Manchester-G11, Springlake-3, and Westarea-G2E-1.</p> <p>9. In the senate map for Moore and Cumberland County, the following precincts, which comprise a Fayetteville State University community of interest, shall be grouped together and not split; Cross Creek 13, Cross Creek 16, and Cross Creek 05.</p> <p>10. Although the committee's criteria states that election data and racial data shall not be used in the construction of congressional and legislative maps, such data should be used for the purpose of analysis after the maps have been posted on the public portal for public review. The committee shall have a copy of the analysis posted on the public portal within 24 hours of the map being posted.</p> <p>11. Any congressional, state house, or state senate map that is to be considered for a vote on the floor of a chamber of the legislature must first be submitted to the redistricting and elections committee and posted on the General Assembly public portal at least five days before the map is considered for vote on a chamber floor of the General Assembly</p>
09/30/2021 02:04 PM	Jackie Taylor		<p>I'd like to see the area I live (Vander) in the same house & senate district as Hope Mills. Stedman (& surrounding areas like Vander, Beaver Dam, Cedar Creek) & Hope Mills are extremely similar in many ways. They are both the largest incorporated towns in Cumberland, both in southern Cumberland county, both have similar needs in terms of housing, infrastructure & economic development. Another similarity is the Gen X issue in both places. Because of this huge issue that continues to get worse, we need one house rep and one house Senator to represent us on this issue. This is very important & should not be over looked.</p>
09/30/2021 02:05 PM	Bridgette Agbozo		<p>This is a public comment for the NC Senate redistricting process. My name is Bridgette and I grew up in the western part of Greensboro, North Carolina (currently District 28). I believe District 28 should maintain most of it's shape with it widened in a couple places. The New Garden areas with the Target (on Highwoods Boulevard), Costco (on West Wendover Avenue), Lowes' Home Improvement (on Battleground Avenue), Post office (on West Market Street) should stay together. The people who live in that area go to the same schools, libraries, greenways, recreation centers, voting locations, and even donut shops. We are impacted by the traffic and emergencies all along West Friendly Avenue. We are particularly impacted by the development being done along that corridor and unwanted changes in zoning. We want to be able to be represented</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			as the community we are in spirit and geolocation. Going further, District 28 should include the portion of Fleming Road that is south of Bryan Blvd in district 27. I would also like to see the southern border that hugs West Elmsley Drive to extend south to hug I-85.
09/30/2021 02:06 PM	Bridgette Agbozo		This is a public comment for the US House redistricting process. My name is Bridgette and I believe Greensboro, Oak Ridge, High Point, and Summerfield should be kept together as we also share major roads and highways that take us to shared stores, an international airport, and cultural events.
09/30/2021 02:06 PM	Bridgette Agbozo		This is a public comment for the NC House redistricting process. I am a proud Greensboro lover and grew up, attended school, and worked across the city. I believe that the current map needs revisions. One such revision is to expand the district to include the southwest portion that meets up to West Friendly Avenue and Holden Road. The community that lives in that area shares schools, parks, local stores, and emergency services. We all visit the Friendly Shopping Center and most of us visit the Sunset Hills neighborhood in the next district during the Christmas season. I would also like to see the triangle formed by West Market Street, Walker Avenue, and South Holden Road included in District 58.
09/30/2021 02:07 PM	Bridgette Agbozo		This is a public comment for the NC House redistricting process. I have been connected to Alamance County for several years. I have personally lived and worked in the area and have loved ones who also live in the area. I worked across the county and partnered with local organizations to address food insecurity. From my personal and professional experiences, I believe that the area south of Church Street and east of Huffman Mill Road should be kept together. I think communities who use the LINK transportation network have a vested interest in staying together as well.
09/30/2021 02:07 PM	Bridgette Agbozo		This is a public comment for the US House redistricting process. The district should include Alamance and Caswell, and the northern portion of Chatham county. Those in Alamance county tend to have much closer ties within these three counties than they do with Randolph, Davidson, Rowan, Davie, or Lee counties. If anything, those in Alamance tend to have connections with Guilford, Caswell, Orange, and Chatham counties.
09/30/2021 02:09 PM	Bridgette Agbozo		<p>This is a public comment for the overall redistricting process. I am disappointed by the lack of transparency and accessibility with the redistricting process. I would have liked to see current representatives make visible and nonvisible earnest efforts to actually make themselves available to constituents instead of cherry picking who they listen to. I would have also liked to see a concerted effort to reach people who are not typically represented in the redistricting process. Given that redistricting is a typical part of the political process on a predictable schedule, there was more than enough time to coordinate advertising strategies. Elected and appointed officials could have used this opportunity to loop more of their constituents in to hear what matters to all the people they are supposed to represent and advocate for. Both traditional and non-traditional platforms (e.g., state and local news, digital campaigns on social media, tv commercials, billboards, etc) could have been used.</p> <p>For the feedback session that did happen, I am appalled by the inconsistent scheduling and booking of spaces. There should be at least 100 sessions (one in each county) to have an open, honest, and valuable dialogue about what will affect our state for the next decade. The sessions that did happen were haphazardly scheduled and had last minute changes (without ample notification). They happened during the day when most people are in school or working, thus shutting out a significant section of North Carolinians.</p> <p>There should also be independent commissions to suggest fair, equitable maps for our state, especially given the sordid history of gerrymandering. Given the current global pandemic, there can and should be more ways to plug in with technology. There should be a way to upload proposed maps on this form, for example. There should be video conferencing, as has been the case for the many General Assembly meetings in the past year or so. There has not been true transparency and I am disappointed in those who feign that this is the most transparent the process has ever been. The bar was impeccably low before. I expect more. I want to hear justifications for why maps are drawn the way they are. I would like there to be ample time for constituents, a balanced group of policy analysts, academic partners, nonprofit organizations, faith communities, and independent commissions to review proposed maps before they are approved.</p>
09/30/2021	Mrs. Joyce	None	The Sandhills needs a District to represent us. We share schools, health care and other similarities. We are familiar with

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
02:14 PM	Tucker		our leaders in our immediate area. We don't have a District rooted with areas in proximity to one another. We don't want our area to stretch 100s of miles.
09/30/2021 02:25 PM	David Wiggins		<p>My name is David Wiggins. I am a retired geographer with the U.S. Census Bureau with 36 years' experience with census geography, including the Redistricting Data Program.</p> <p>I encourage not splitting precincts for state legislative districts but understand the need to split precincts for congressional districts due to the lower population variation requirements.</p> <p>Census Blocks generally follow easily identifiable, existing, visible physical features (roads, railroads, streams, etc.) and other types of tabulation boundaries (such as corporate limits). But sometimes we made mistakes, and nonexistent features appear on maps and become block boundaries. When an erroneous, nonexistent feature is deleted, the line remains as an imaginary block boundary running through space.</p> <p>After the 2010 redistricting, Mecklenburg County had a legislative district boundary that followed a nonexistent power line through a neighborhood and a one following a superseded township line, and Wake County had a boundary that followed a nonexistent stream through an apartment complex.</p> <p>If you use census block boundaries to split precincts, I encourage you to review those block boundaries to make sure they actually exist and result in good, identifiable representative district boundaries.</p> <p>Additionally, if precincts are split, consider splitting them in unincorporated areas rather than splitting them within municipalities.</p>

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/6/2021 2:45:10 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Thursday, October 7, 2021 at 9:00 AM
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: Thursday, October 7, 2021

TIME: 9:00 AM

LOCATION: 643 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Pursuant to House Rule 28.2, a WebEx link will be provided to Committee Members and staff the day of the meeting. A link to the Audio/Video livestream will be posted on the NCGA Legislative Calendar: <https://www.ncleg.gov/LegislativeCalendar>.

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/21/2021 5:15:25 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Tuesday, October 26, 2021 at 3:00 PM - UPDATED #2 (Joint)
Attachments: Update meeting on calendar.ics

Updated #2: CORRECTED LINK

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
JOINT COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet **JOINTLY** as follows:

DAY & DATE: Tuesday, October 26, 2021

TIME: 3:00 PM

LOCATION: Auditorium LB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Public comment hearing on Senate and House Legislative Maps.

Remote sites at East Carolina University and at Central Piedmont Community College will be available.

Each participant will be asked to select a location where they will be speaking.

<https://www.ncleg.gov/requesttospeak/62>

Raleigh: Auditorium, Legislative Building, 16 W Jones Street, Raleigh, NC 27601

Pitt County: Auditorium, East Carolina Heart Institute, ECU Health Science Campus, 115 Heart Drive, Greenville, NC 27834

Mecklenburg County: Harris Conference Center, 3216 CPCC Harris Campus Dr, Charlotte 28208

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street |
Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/21/2021 5:15:44 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Monday, October 25, 2021 at 5:30 PM - UPDATED #2 (Joint)
Attachments: Update meeting on calendar.ics

Updated #2: UPDATED ROOM

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
JOINT COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet **JOINTLY** as follows:

DAY & DATE: Monday, October 25, 2021

TIME: 5:30 PM

LOCATION: 643 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Speaker Sign-up link: <https://www.ncleg.gov/requesttospeak/61>

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/7/2021 8:56:18 AM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>
Subject: webex

Your upcoming virtual committee meeting on House Committee on Redistricting **October 7 at 9:00 AM** can be accessed from the following link:

<https://ncleg.webex.com/meet/LOB643>

A few friendly reminders:

- Your virtual meeting should be available at least 10 minutes prior to your scheduled meeting time to address any technical problems.
- It is **very important** that this link not be shared. Anyone with the link can access your meeting and there is a limit of the number of participants.
- Non-committee members, staff, and members of the public should plan to listen to the meeting through the audio stream link available on <https://www.ncleg.gov/audio> or watch the meeting live stream at <https://www.ncleg.gov/video>
- Once the meeting is called to order, the meeting will be locked to prevent additional participants from joining automatically. This is for the security of your meeting. Once the meeting is locked, late joining participants will be entered in to a "lobby" until approved to join by the meeting host.
- More information about virtual committee meetings, installing the application, and other ways to work remote with NCGA resources is available at <https://remote.ncleg.gov>

If you have any technical difficulties while trying to access your meeting or during the meeting itself, please contact ISD Support by one of the following methods:

- Email: ISDSupport@ncleg.gov
- Web: <https://isdsupport.ncleg.gov>

Phone: (919) 715-7825

From: "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/7/2021 3:19:02 PM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>
Subject: webex 9AM Friday, October 8

Your upcoming virtual committee meeting on House Committee on Redistricting can be accessed from the following link:

<https://ncleg.webex.com/meet/LOB643>

A few friendly reminders:

- Your virtual meeting should be available at least 10 minutes prior to your scheduled meeting time to address any technical problems.
- It is **very important** that this link not be shared. Anyone with the link can access your meeting and there is a limit of the number of participants.
- Non-committee members, staff, and members of the public should plan to listen to the meeting through the audio stream link available on <https://www.ncleg.gov/audio> or watch the meeting live stream at <https://www.ncleg.gov/video>
- Once the meeting is called to order, the meeting will be locked to prevent additional participants from joining automatically. This is for the security of your meeting. Once the meeting is locked, late joining participants will be entered in to a "lobby" until approved to join by the meeting host.
- More information about virtual committee meetings, installing the application, and other ways to work remote with NCGA resources is available at <https://remote.ncleg.gov>

If you have any technical difficulties while trying to access your meeting or during the meeting itself, please contact ISD Support by one of the following methods:

- Email: ISDSupport@ncleg.gov
- Web: <https://isdsupport.ncleg.gov>

Phone: (919) 715-7825

Best,

Chandra Reed

Clerk Assistant | Rep. Destin Hall | 919.301.1638

1429 Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27603

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)"
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/7/2021 3:20:55 PM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>; SEN - Senate Redistricting & Elections <SEN-SenateRedistrictingElections@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Public Comments, week of 9/30/21 - 10/07/21
Attachments: Public Comment 10.07.21.pdf

All,

Attached please find the public comments submitted via the on-line portal for the week of September 30 – October 7.

Erika C

***Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578***

2021 Redistricting Public Comments Public Comments Report - LINC

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/30/2021 03:10 PM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	<p>I am submitting a map of Salem College as an area of interest. It must remain together as the districts are redrawn. Salem College is a small, private women's liberal arts school. Home to just over 600 students, its historic campus is a quiet sanctuary in Old Salem separate from the bustle of the growing Winston-Salem. https://districtr.org/COI/55828</p>
09/30/2021 03:15 PM	Mr Guy Beaumont		<p>My name is Guy Beaumont. I've been a resident of Davidson for the past eight years. In 2013, I relocated to Davidson to retire. I want to urge the committee to make the redistricting process more transparent and to keep the town of Davidson within a Mecklenburg County political district.</p> <p>I believe Davidson's strong links to Mecklenburg County and the towns of Cornelius and Huntersville over the years has created a strong "community of interest." For example, my Church, like many others in town, has multiple support programs for residents of Davidson, Cornelius, and Huntersville. In addition, our town library is part of the Mecklenburg County library system. Davidson is further linked to Cornelius and Huntersville medically. Recently, two of Davidson's healthcare facilities – the one at Ada Jenkins and an Atrium Urgent Care facility – were relocated to Huntersville. Finally, many Davidson residents shop extensively in Cornelius and Huntersville as well as frequent their restaurants.</p> <p>Each of these interconnections obviously are impacted by the NC state legislature. If Davidson were suddenly in a district outside of Mecklenburg County, it would hurt Davidson's ability to have its community of interest be fairly representative.</p> <p>Finally, I urge you to make the redistricting process more transparent and inclusive.</p> <p>The 2011 redistricting had many flaws that cost NC citizens many precious tax dollars lost to continuous litigation. Making the process more transparent and inclusive could help avoid this.</p> <p>First, all North Carolinians need reasonable opportunity to participate. This would require more public hearings. In 2011 there were 63 public hearings, but in this round only 14 are scheduled in spite of increased state population. Only one, inconveniently scheduled meeting, has been announced to allow comments about the draft maps. Most citizens will not be able to travel to Raleigh to participate in this single session and it is impossible to comment on the maps until there are mapping criteria and until the draft maps actually exist.</p> <p>I also would like to comment that our public comments do not appear to be public at all. The public should be able to see all public comments as they are posted.</p> <p>It may be too late to fix the confusion surrounding the first 13 meetings with their late notifications, incomplete addresses, and no virtual access. It is not too late to schedule more meetings to allow people around the state to review and comment on the draft maps. Please take steps to make this happen.</p> <p>Thank you for your important help on this important task.</p>
09/30/2021 03:45 PM	Mr. Michael McCaskill	Cumberland County Resident	<p>As a 32 year resident of Cumberland County, I wish to express my concern regarding House and Senate Redistricting in my area. It is essential that residents of Cumberland County, specifically those that live in the Hope Mills and Stedman areas, have a representative voice to listen to the citizens and voters of these areas. More importantly, it is essential that our House and Senatorial representatives understand the local interests of its citizens in these areas. Redistricting has me greatly concerned that the voice of those in my area will fall on deaf ears and minimal understanding of issues that pertain to local taxpayers and residents. My current representative fully understands the needs of his constituents and is concerned that he is able to fully represent our needs. Under potential redistricting plans, I'm greatly concerned that this will not necessarily be the case for our local citizens if changes occur. My Concerns include:</p> <p>-During my lifetime (born in Hope Mills in 1956 and a Cumberland County resident until I went away for many years in the Navy), I've seen many changes occur within this area, and not necessarily for the better. As county residents living in essentially rural areas and not in the high density areas of the this region, we often feel as if our needs aren't met as</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>much as those living within the more urban areas. Our State Representative is our lifeline for information and in having a voice that represents us. John Szoka has done this for our community and we believe strongly we have a representative voice that fully grasps the problems within the area. He has been a champion for positive change for us and we must continue to have his voice to share our concerns.</p> <p>-While US Congressional legislatures speak of infrastructure and economic development, our NC Representative is cognizant and fully aware of the essential needs in our area to ensure continued housing developments do not encroach into the community without necessary planning for growth in highway construction, schools to support the significant growth, keeping unwanted annexation from creeping into the area and being overtaken by the City of Fayetteville which looks at urban needs rather than the needs of more rural areas. Knowing our current representative lives in this rural area, he understands fully what is occurring with this encroachment and listens as citizens approach him for help to ensure the integrity of our community remains intact. Knowing that Hope Mills and Stedman areas are the largest incorporated towns in the area, our current representative understands the rural community and the unique needs of our residents. We must ensure we have one NC House and one NC Senate member represent our area instead of splitting this county.</p> <p>-The City of Fayetteville currently controls municipal water issues and directs the extension and expansion of water distribution in the community. Considering water issues have been a longterm problem within our community, one NC legislative representative and one NC Senator are essential to ensuring the problems that we have had with Gen X pollution that has seeped into underground water tables are resolved. Political representatives that have not been part of the background, studies and lawsuits, we believe, would not fairly represent the interests of our county representatives. The learning curve on these issues would be steep and residents would essentially feel as if they are starting all over again with new voices that may be attuned to the needs of other counties or areas that are considered to be more urban. We do NOT need to split our area interests with those of the City of Fayetteville and its urban citizens.</p> <p>While growth in the area is important and most likely a given predicament, ensuring the community voice of our citizens is heard, must be considered. Having a singular voice with a NC Representative and NC Senator would go a long ways toward ensuring the rural taxpayers and residents are heard. We need a dedicated representative that is responsive to common area needs.</p>

09/30/2021 03:51 PM Susan Beaumont

I want to urge the committee to make the redistricting process more transparent and to keep the town of Davidson within a Mecklenburg County political district.

I believe Davidson's strong links to Mecklenburg County and the towns of Cornelius and Huntersville over the years has created a strong "community of interest." For example, my Church, like many others in town, has multiple support programs for residents of Davidson, Cornelius, and Huntersville. In addition, our town library, is part of the Mecklenburg County library system. Davidson is further linked to Cornelius and Huntersville medically. Recently, two of Davidson's healthcare facilities – the one at Ada Jenkins and an Atrium Urgent Care facility – were relocated to Huntersville. Finally, many Davidson residents shop extensively in Cornelius and Huntersville as well as frequent their restaurants.

Each of these interconnections obviously are impacted by the NC state legislature. If Davidson were suddenly in a district outside of Mecklenburg County, it would hurt Davidson's ability to have its community of interest be fairly representative.

Finally, I urge you to make the redistricting process more transparent and inclusive.

The 2011 redistricting had many flaws that cost NC citizens many precious tax dollars lost to continuous litigation. Making the process more transparent and inclusive could help avoid this.

First, all North Carolinians need reasonable opportunity to participate. This would require more public hearings. In 2011 there were 63 public hearings, but in this round only 14 are scheduled in spite of increased state population.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/30/2021 04:02 PM	Carrie Mann	Friends of the Earth	<p>Only one, inconveniently scheduled meeting, has been announced to allow comments about the draft maps. Most citizens will not be able to travel to Raleigh to participate in this single session and it is impossible to comment on the maps until there are mapping criteria and until the draft maps actually exist.</p> <p>I also would like to comment that our public comments do not appear to be public at all. The public should be able to see all public comments as they are posted.</p> <p>It may be too late to fix the confusion surrounding the first 13 meetings with their late notifications, incomplete addresses, and no virtual access. It is not too late to schedule more meetings to allow people around the state to review and comment on draft maps. Please take steps to make this happen.</p> <p>Thank you for your important help on this important task.</p>
09/30/2021 04:02 PM	Carrie Mann	Friends of the Earth	<p>On behalf of Friends of the Earth, I am submitting 503 signatures from our members and activists in support of the following public comment: The NC General Assembly Joint Redistricting Committee's latest redistricting public hearing proposal as of Sept. 1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Disregards key details on how public hearings will be held; -Excludes major population centers like Buncombe, Guilford, and Wake counties and major rural hubs throughout the state; and -Omits any options to take public input AFTER draft maps are revealed or provide comments to the public. <p>Lawmakers posted this information without notice, a committee meeting, or a vote, and buried it on the NCGA's website, ahead of a holiday weekend a mere week before hearings are to begin.</p> <p>As your constituent, I deserve better. I'm asking for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - More notice and transparency about the redistricting timeline and process; - More hearings across the state BEFORE and AFTER state and Congressional maps are drawn; - Language and virtual access to allow for more and safer public input; and - All comments provided to lawmakers also be made available to the public. <p>As your constituent can I count on you to do everything you can fight for open, fair, and transparent map-making?</p> <p>Sincerely, Friends of the Earth members and activists listed here: http://foe.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Fall-2021-Commerce-City-Council-Brief69.docx</p>
09/30/2021 05:26 PM	Mr. Dan Kneller		<p>Redistricting doesn't need to become a partisan conflict. The legal battles that ensue produce nothing positive and only end up costing a lot of money. It appears that the plan that the General Assembly suggested follows the court ordered process and demonstrates fairness. It would be very desirable to keep communities together. Additionally, race should not be used as a consideration in the process nor should prior election results be considered.</p> <p>I urge the legislature to follow these guidelines.</p>
09/30/2021 06:15 PM	Rita Salain		<p>Hello:</p> <p>Re-districting is important for all of us. Districts must be drawn fairly and with sense. Gerrymandering is a hateful practice designed only to benefit one party over another or one candidate over another. The House of Representatives is designed to have the US people represented, as fairly as possible and as sensibly as possible, to assure one person one vote. To have districts drawn in such a way to make a mockery of this essential way of representing the people in their government is just wrong. Please do the transparent, right thing.</p>
09/30/2021	Madison		<p>The process of redrawing the maps in NC is a long and tedious one, but it is important nonetheless. It will dictate the</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
06:26 PM	Hranek		<p>next decade of politics in NC and can reshape the way people think about and interact with the elections in the state. So, I implore you -- the map drawers -- to be aware of a few key issues that are on the map. The first is to keep cities like Asheville and Raleigh together, not separated into many different districts. This is important in keeping those communities united and is beneficial to the many citizens of those cities. I speak from experience that the Asheville community is more closely tied to the going ons in the Western part of the state and not with what is happening in the Charlotte-Mecklenburg area. It would be nice if those citizens could be a part of it within the political system as well. The second is to keep the districts closer together. By this I mean to do away with the oddly shaped districts that appear to be cut down in the middle of the state. These districts have local communities and peoples that could want different things or just want to feel as though they are connected to the cities and towns closer to them. The maps in North Carolina have for too long been dictated by a politician's hand, carving up the state in order to better benefit one party over the other, it is time now to focus on community building instead.</p>
09/30/2021 06:26 PM	Mrs. Eva Patterson Heath	Araminta Ross Legacy Circle	<p>I'm Eva Patterson Heath. I live in Red Springs in Robeson County. I represent Araminta Ross Legacy Circle, the Robeson County Democratic Party and its African American Caucus and the Red Springs Precinct.</p> <p>I'm here today to demand fair districts. Fair districts that are free of gerrymandered poisons. Fair districts where the citizens are given an opportunity to elect our representatives and not where the representatives select their voters. Fair districts where my vote carries the same weight as your vote. Fair districts that are the cornerstone of our democracy.</p> <p>Districts that are similar in nature, that are close in proximity to each other, that share similar concerns, beliefs, customs and histories. Fair districts that are culturally balanced, and that may even be natural sports rivals.</p> <p>The Sandhills Cluster of Cumberland, Hoke, Robeson, Scotland, and Richmond are counties that answer those concerns.</p> <p>It is important representatives that your work is transparent, that it makes common sense and is free of biases that might protect your position. It is important that your work represent the will of the people and that it serves the best interest of all the people. It is important that your work protects democracy and free and fair elections.</p> <p>A man dies when he refuses to stand up for that which is right. A man dies when he refuses to stand up for justice. A man dies when he refuses to take a stand for that which is true." -Martin Luther King Jr.</p> <p>Thank you for your time.</p>
09/30/2021 06:56 PM	Mr. Brian O'Grady	Save Our Sandhills	<p>Redistricting</p> <p>A strong democracy requires balanced districts that are not drawn to the advantage or disadvantage of any group. Democracy is people working together to govern themselves. Good ideas will become laws because most people will vote for them.</p> <p>Democracy goes off track when parties stack the deck and gerrymandering is stacking the deck to stay in power. Towns must not be split up into separate voting districts for the purpose of limiting the number congressional representatives from one party. Legislatures should not be able to select their representatives. Furthermore, gerrymandering disheartens voters in some unbalanced districts where no opposition candidate runs for office. Fewer people vote when they feel their vote won't count. This weakens democracy.</p> <p>In addition to serving to disenfranchise voters the law suits that invariably result from unfair voting maps cost the state many millions of dollars to defend.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>Also, voters should be allowed to comment after the proposed maps are created and distributed in order to address specific instances of district lines that separate communities.</p>
			<p>Finally, in this period in which Covid 19 cases are high and make in person redistricting meetings unnecessarily dangerous it is unacceptable that the state did not provide a livestream in which voters could comment.</p>
			<p>Sincerely, Brian O'Grady 450 N Ashe St. Southern Pines, NC 28387 Moore County</p>
09/30/2021 08:44 PM	Ms. Sandra Krakowiak		<p>I would like to see the City of Asheville not split up. There are many common interests, cultural, economic and community. It is home to many artists,musicians,craftspeople. Many small businesses dependent on tourism, and environmental issues impact the area due to flooding. Affordable housing for those who live and work here. We need representation that reflects the character of this vibrant and beautiful place.</p>
09/30/2021 08:44 PM	Ms. Sandra Krakowiak		<p>I would like to see the City of Asheville not split up. There are many common interests, cultural, economic and community. It is home to many artists,musicians,craftspeople. Many small businesses dependent on tourism, and environmental issues impact the area due to flooding. Affordable housing for those who live and work here. We need representation that reflects the character of this vibrant and beautiful place.</p>
09/30/2021 10:03 PM	Mr Dennis Burns	Advisory Board, Common Cause NC	<p>After 13 public hearings from Caldwell to Cumberland, the message from citizens is very clear, whether they be Republican, Democratic or Unaffiliated: Do not use the new district voting maps to split our communities! As with the previous census maps, the designs of the last decade's districts divided dozens of cities from the East to the West. Obvious defined communities like the NC A & T campus and the City of Fayetteville were carved up and then rejected by both Federal and State Courts. Do not repeat this splitting process or North Carolina citizens will reject the districts leading to another 10 years of political disruption. Thank you for your attention</p>
09/30/2021 10:52 PM	Alla Sirelkhatim		<p>Hello! I am a resident of Guilford County and I am writing on behalf of the minority population that makes up a big chunk of the county. As a resident who has lived their entire life in this county I have witnessed just how wonderful the minority population here is, and I would love it if I could also witness positive changes made to better the lives of said community here. For example, the the Guilford County Schools system is severely underfunded. The school buildings are facing severe maintenance issues, schools do not have enough supplies for their students, we are even facing staffing issues in positions such as bus drivers and nutrition workers (lunchroom). These issues all have a negative impact on the student's abilities to learn, which can in turn negatively these students futures.</p>
			<p>Looking at the current district maps, I've noticed that Guilford County has been split up into Districts 24, 26, 27, and 28. This splitting of Guilford County is not conducive for allowing the residents voices to be heard when electing officials; the voices and votes of Guilford County are being diluted. Any chance of Guilford County residents electing officials that would be able to fix the issues that plague the community are lessened heavily because of how the maps are drawn. In this new redistricting cycle, I am asking you to please keep my community, Guilford County, together so that our voices can heard when we advocate for solutions to issues that my community faces.</p>
09/30/2021 11:11 PM	Kerry Cantrell		<p>Hello, I would like to submit support for keeping Chatham County whole. As a Chatham resident, it is important that our</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			districts, specifically House district 54 and Senate district 23 are not split and continue to encompass the entirety of Chatham. Also, I request that public hearings be conducted after maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislative redistricting when real boundaries are established.
09/30/2021 11:43 PM	Mrs Linda Levy	League of women Voters of Charlotte- Mecklenburg	I urge you to create districts that are fair and not gerrymandered and to enable the general public to see the maps once they are created.
10/01/2021 12:14 AM	Nawal N'Garnim		Having been a proud resident of North Carolina since I began college, my hope for my community and broader state is that we are able to have fair voting districts that accurately represent the needs of their people. I am fortunate to live in a state with so many people of different backgrounds and cultures, and the district lines should represent everyone's best interests fairly. The act of splitting up districts into awkward pieces that are meant to specifically benefit a political party and take away power from one's vote is unconstitutional. Being a part of one of the country's most gerrymandered states, this needs to change. I would like to propose that more attention be paid to North Carolina's cities and areas with greater minority populations such as Charlotte and Durham to make sure the district lines are inclusive and representative of communities and their needs.
10/01/2021 03:48 AM	Mrs Jean Byrd		I am a voter in house district 59. I am requesting that any district I would be assigned to not be gerimandered in such a way that my votes and concerns can be too easily ignored and overlooked by my Representative.
10/01/2021 06:34 AM	Mr. Charlie Raynal	None	Please make districts fair and convenient for encouragement to all citizens to vote.
10/01/2021 08:40 AM	Chatham county commission Franklin Gomez	Chatham	DO NOT SPLIT EITHER HOUSE DISTRICT 54 OR SENATE DSTRICT 23 as both encompass the entirety of Chatham and meet required guidelines redistricting. Also applicable with the congressional seat for district 4. I request a public hearing AFTER the maps are drawn, so that public input is based on real boundaries.
10/01/2021 08:52 AM	Mr. Sam Martin		After a lengthy history of both parties choosing their voters through the process of gerrymandering, it is imperative that North Carolina establish an Independent Congressional and Legislative Redistricting Commission to draw fair maps for fair representation. The advances in technology and data should offer an opportunity for fair representation, not a cudgel that wields overbearing power to those interested in exploiting their power. North Carolina is a 50-50 state with three groups (Democrats, Unaffiliated, Republican) having input. Absolute rule by a minority party is neither democratic nor the intention of those who established our democracy. The time has come to establish an independent commission with input from all three groups, with oversight not linked to undue influence of the body it is tasked with drawing borders. Following the Golden Rule should always be the goal of public service, not the Rule of Gold.
10/01/2021 09:09 AM	Jeannine Sato	citizen	I would like to see redistricting handled purely on scientific data and NOT by the hands of partisan elected officials of any party as it is a conflict of interest that undermines the fairness of elections, and undermines public trust, and undermines the democratic process. There are easy ways to use mathematical algorithms to fairly redistrict based on population data alone.
10/01/2021 09:09 AM	Donna Mergliano		Redistricting must be a transparent process. Please schedule numerous public hearings throughout the state, this time including more major metropolitan areas, for people to comment on the proposed maps.
10/01/2021 09:12 AM	Mr Chris Weaver	PCGOP	I would urge the committee to do two things. Stop mixing rural areas with urban centers and to stop cutting up counties. I understand the process I know it's not easy, however mixing rural interest with urban interest only leaves the rural counties out in the cold. We have been this way for sometime, it needs to end. We have absolutely nothing in common. Our forest and farmlands are not made of concrete and curbing. We like our police and we do not tolerate mobs on 4 wheelers Terrorizing our roads . I would ask the committee to separate person and Granville county from Durham and

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
		Private	employed this thought to all other rural counties in the state Who need their representation separate from that of urban mazes.
10/01/2021 09:22 AM	Redistricting District22 Carla Cox		Stop the lumping in of Person county with Durham County when redistricting this is abhorrent. Mike Woodard and Mr. Yarborough explain this please.. We didn't ask for it and do not want it. This is the third time You have drawn lines. Why does Eric Holder and his lawyers have anything to do with this? Please we want your answers loud and clear. Concerned Person County family. Carla S. Cox
10/01/2021 09:41 AM	Tamarie Macon		As you know, fair redistricting is critical. I ask that you develop clear and transparent rules to guide map-drawers to ensure a fair redistricting process. An independent commission would be best to ensure minimal politicization. If you decide to engage in the redistricting process yourselves, we demand public transparency and accountability in the redistricting process. For example, you could pass laws that require redistricting committee meetings to have advanced notice and open to the public, hold hearings specifically for public input, provide maps to available for public comment/input, provide public access to census data and allow the public to submit candidate maps, and require maps to be evaluated against impartial criteria. Thank you for representing me and doing what is right.
10/01/2021 10:02 AM	Aaron Simmons		Why is Person County part of North Durham? Redistrict to show true representation of Person.
10/01/2021 10:35 AM	Zachary Witus		To the members of the Committee: My name is Zak Witus and I live in the South French Broad neighborhood of Asheville. I haven't lived in Asheville very long, but over the past six months I've been getting involved with the communities in this city, particularly among people here seeking justice for themselves, their environment, and their neighbors. Walking around South French Broad neighborhood and talking with the other residents, I've seen first hand how the Black citizens of this city have been confined to just a few sections of Asheville. Black people have been highly concentrated in the public housing complexes and their immediate surroundings, suggesting to me that segregation never really ended in North Carolina, even as it officially became illegal. I understand that past redistricting efforts in this state have sought to divide communities of color, such as the ones residing in Asheville, so as to dilute their political power, specifically at the ballot box. This is not my personal opinion, but rather the considered view of the NC Supreme Court. It is imperative that my Black neighbors not be further disenfranchised by our electoral system. Redistricting must seek to empower my neighbors, not further marginalize them. Will this Committee commit to a fair, equitable redistricting process that aims to protect the enfranchisement of Black voters and all voters? Sincerely, Zak Witus
10/01/2021 10:47 AM	Rebecca Zerkin		To the The House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee, I live in Southern Village, a family neighborhood in the southern part of Chapel Hill, bounded by 15-501 S, Culbreth Rd, Dogwood Acres Dr, and Smith Level Rd, north of the Chatham County border. Southern Village's "downtown" is a social and commercial hub for our precinct (Dogwood Acres) and much of Chapel Hill and Carrboro. Our neighborhood is tightly connected to our town of Chapel Hill and neighboring Carrboro. We share a public school

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>system and a transit system for which Southern Village is an important hub. UNC Medical Center and UNC-Chapel Hill are major employers of our neighbors in all parts of Chapel Hill-Carrboro, and UNC students are important economic drivers and valued community members of both towns. Chapel Hill and Carrboro residents, including UNC students, have a shared interest in climate resilience (eg, funding solutions to local flooding that impacts many housing units, and phasing out the local coal-fired power plant at the border of the two downtowns) and new affordable housing.</p> <p>The towns of Chapel Hill and Carrboro in their entirety, including my neighborhood of Southern Village and the Dogwood Acres precinct, should be kept together in state House, state Senate, and Congressional districts as a community of interest.</p> <p>I urge the committee to allow another period of public comment and open hearings after the new proposed maps have been drawn.</p> <p>Thank you, Rebecca Zerkin Chapel Hill</p>
10/01/2021 10:53 AM	Mr. Dylan Bucey	Democracy NC	<p>I have lived in North Carolina for nearly my entire life. In that time, I have lived in Charlotte, Boone, and (most recently) Chapel Hill. In all my time living here, I have experienced something vastly different than what current district maps have long presented North Carolina as. The way maps are currently drawn have made legislative elections appear as though Republicans control North Carolina's politics by a wide margin. This, however, does not speak to the ideological diversity that I and many other North Carolinians have seen over recent years. Even in general elections, it is evident that North Carolina is far more contentious than what the current districts represent.</p> <p>The problem that plagues North Carolina politics is one that must be addressed. Partisan packing of districts within North Carolina has been a problem that both sides have abused, leaving many voters feeling voiceless in a state that should embrace its political competitiveness rather than trying to silence it. It has gotten to the point where some of our previous district lines have become as infamous as the very district for which the term gerrymandering is named after. I urge those in charge of these redistricting efforts to draw maps not based on what would benefit the party to which you represent, but rather ones that will make North Carolinian's feel power in their votes.</p>
10/01/2021 11:45 AM	Lori Olivet		<p>Please schedule multiple opportunities for public comment after the new maps have been proposed.</p>
10/01/2021 12:05 PM	Jason Rolan		<p>As a resident of Person county, I am tired of being lumped in with Durham county. The residents of Person county have their voices essentially silenced, as the only thing that determines outcome is what Durham does in voting for representatives. In the 2020 election, Granville and Person counties both voted for Rick Padgett (51% and 56% respectively), but Durham's 37,659 votes for Sen. Woodard (72%) negated the will of Person and Granville counties. The Durham residents comprise 50.01% of District 22, thus silencing our voices if we are not a Durham resident. Granville and Person counties as well as adjacent counties (Caswell and Vance) are rural, while Durham is urban. I would suggest you consult your own data before the redistricting to ensure the rural counties have a voice. https://files.nc.gov/ncosbm/documents/files/2018ACSNC.pdf</p>
10/01/2021 12:55 PM	Ms Cordelia Kates	Retired	<p>Restricting is just a way to push people of color into certain neighborhoods very like the redlining of the past</p>
10/01/2021 01:09 PM	three asks Jennifer Sperry		<p>It is imperative that</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. drafts of the maps be posted BEFORE finalization 2 a second round of Public hearings for comment are necessary, 3 we expect to see a congressional option similar to Nate Fischer's prizewinning entry in the contest run by the Princeton Gerrymandering Project.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
10/01/2021 01:10 PM	Doug Sparks	none	<p>THE ONLY REASON TO DRAW CROOKED DISTRICT BOUNDARIES IS TO ACCOMPLISH A POLITICAL PURPOSE. IT IS A DISSERVICE TO THE PEOPLE</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Using any racial, ethnic, or ancestral distinctions is an unethical attempt to make that person “more significant” or “less significant”. Categorizing and labeling people further polarizes and fractures our divided nation. The term “minority district” should be obscene. If anyone suggested that only a white candidate can properly represent a white voter, they would be shamed and disgraced. It is also a racist viewpoint that minorities will only vote for minority candidates. <li>2. Any voter can vote for any candidate. Therefore, any boundary based on party registration is an invalid criterion. It is a simple process to change one’s party affiliation at any time; it is not an inherent quality. Values and beliefs are what define us, not our designation at the courthouse. <li>3. Districts should be assigned to people, not voters. In the 2020 election, a closely contested presidential year, only about half North Carolinians cast a ballot. That fact alone should disqualify political consideration for district maps. Our elected officials have the duty to represent all their residents, not just those who are on some partisan list. <li>4. Every proposed district map will contain many different kinds of Americans. That reflects a reality that we all live in. Boundary lines cannot change that reality. Isn’t this a basic American value that we hold sacred? <p>Doug Sparks 828-209-7342 chappedmind@juno.com</p>
10/01/2021 01:26 PM	Diana Guerra	Democracy NC	<p>As a young adult in college, i've been exposed to a great deal of new information pertaining to redistricting. One of the pieces of information consists of access to the ballot, specifically the right to have that ballot casted as well as counted. However, there are several restrictions and barriers such as voter registration restrictions, felony disfranchisement, voter ID laws, voter purges, and physical limits to the actual ballot box that make it near to impossible for certain individuals in this nation to have access to the right to vote. Not only this, but there are political tactics such as gerrymandering, cracking, and packing that makes it even more difficult. I am aware that relative action through legal standards governing redistricting have occurred such as protections through federal laws and within the NC constitution, but I am left wondering– what more can and should be done? As a Latinx woman, it is increasingly concerning to me as I read and learn more information about the facts and statistics of redistricting and the barriers that members of marginalized communities encounter. My biggest concern is what is being done to ensure that districts are not being drawn unfairly in efforts to push the packing and cracking tactics. Who is drawing this districts, and how is it determined WHO does draw them? Do they have to meet a certain criteria? If we look at the trends in redistricting litigation, we see an increase in such tactics. Therefore, it is alarming and important for individuals such as me to feel reassured that such political tactics are no longer utilized to ensure that everyone, and not just certain individuals in our community, do in fact have the right to vote as well as the necessary access to do so.</p>
10/01/2021 04:27 PM	Barbara Faison	Sampson County Democratic Women	<p>Hi, I am Barbara A. Faison of Sampson County. coming to make it known that my precinct , city council member and county commissioner district maybe affect by the drawing of new maps. Bias should not be used in decisions like this. Politics and personal interests are not tools to be used. Lets draw fair maps that have interests for all parties</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
10/01/2021 05:22 PM	Sondra Robinson	All On The Line	<p>equally. According to the formula that I know, each district must have a certain number of people living in that area. We don't want to see areas cut, reshaped and paste together. We can do better than this. We will let the public know what you did to get to our goal. Thank you for allowing me to voice my concerns in what is to take place soon. The public will be watching and so will we.</p>
10/01/2021 07:00 PM	Joan Roberson		<p>I am concerned about the redistricting of our state to unfairly advantage one political party. One of the founding principles of our country was liberty. Favoring one party over another in a district ensures one party's candidate always wins in those districts. On the other side of that coin, the freedom of the other party's voters to know that their vote counts, is stripped away. Statistically speaking, the party gerrymandered out of that district's voting population will not win. This is disenfranchisement of all the voters who's party was gerrymandered out of that district. Their votes essentially DO NOT COUNT. When this happens the candidates of the party the district was gerrymandered for are pushed to the extreme in order to win. We see that in Madison Cawthorn, in the western part of NC. Fairness is what compelled me to speak here today. If we look at the 2020 election in NC in the 2 races that the entire state voted in, the presidential race and the race for governor, we basically see a purple state. Donald Trump received 74,483 more votes than Joe Biden or 1.4% more of the total votes cast. Roy Cooper received approximately 248,000 more votes than his competitor or less than 5% more than Dan Forest. If I were an outside observer, with no other data about the state than the above, I would come to the conclusion that North Carolina is fairly equally split between the Democratic and Republican parties. However, when drilling down a bit further to the US House, NC Senate and NC House incumbent maps they are pretty much red, meaning Republican politicians hold a large majority of these offices. There can only be one reason for this - district maps drawn with surgical precision to give one party the advantage.</p> <p>Redistricting with each census offers us the opportunity every 10 years to draw district lines based on population changes. When either party tries to take advantage of this to redistrict to ensure their candidate wins we all lose. The best, most recent evidence of this was in 2010 when the Republican party via REDMAP or Redistricting Majority Project worked to solicit donations to help ensure the NC, Florida, and Wisconsin legislatures, among others, were controlled by Republicans. This allowed Republicans to redistrict these states so that even though the Democrats won the White House and the Senate in 2012, and had a 1.4 million vote majority for House candidates, they walked away from the election as the minority party in the House with 33 seats less than the Republicans.</p> <p>One way to start to rebuild trust with the voting public is to ensure districts are fairly drawn to reflect the state wide proportions of Democratic and Republican vote tallies based on the last election. Then hold a fair election and the politician with the most votes in the data driven, logically, fairly, and non-rigged, or gerrymandered, districts wins. We have amended the constitution to protect the rights of minorities and women and to ensure their right to vote. Yet we have not turned into a socialist state - the rallying cry of those who are afraid of each and every vote counting. We are a pluralistic, diverse society and it is high time we act like it and redistrict fairly to ensure that every vote counts.</p>
10/01/2021 07:09 PM	Amy Estrada	DemNC	<p>Why is Granville County grouped with different counties for each of our elected officials?</p>
10/01/2021 07:09 PM	Amy Estrada	DemNC	<p>Hello, I am a student at UNC Chapel Hill and I am registered to vote in this town. Though I am only in Chapel Hill for a short amount of time, the issues that my community faces are clear and concerning. Inaccessible and unaffordable housing is not a problem that is unique to students, but also to those native to Chapel Hill. The communities surrounding the university will stay in Chapel Hill long after my peers and I have moved on in our careers, so the outrageous prices of the houses in the area affect these communities the most. The housing in this area is far too high for the subpar condition the houses are often in. For students, this high price for housing will result in putting increasing pressure on people who are often reliant on financial aid and do not have the extra money to spend on high rent. More importantly, for those who are permanent residents of Chapel Hill, the expensive housing will result in hundreds of families being unable to make rent on houses that have been in their families for generations. It is vitally important for the North Carolina General Assembly to keep our neighborhoods in mind when redrawing district lines. We must keep this community together in order to combat the rising cost of housing and to make sure</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			that the houses that our residents turn into homes are beautiful and comforting. Keeping this community together is not only the most beneficial for those who live in Chapel Hill, but also for the revenue and reputation of the university which calls Chapel Hill home and which brings profit to all of North Carolina. Redistricting in this way is necessary to transform Chapel Hill, and North Carolina for that matter, into a place that others are proud to call home, even if only for a short time.
10/01/2021 09:13 PM	Heather Rodin		I am a Chatham County resident and urge you to keep Chatham County Whole. This means not splitting House district 54 or Senate district 23, as each off these districts includes all of Chatham County. It is important that districts be compact and do not favor one group over another. This is true of the current lines. In addition, It is extremely important to hold public hearings after the maps are drawn so that there can be meaningful public input before the final boundaries are established.
10/02/2021 12:25 AM	Reneetc Reneetc	Reneetc	Try Your luck and win a FREE \$500 or \$1000 voucher! - tinyurl.com/yjk26rpf
10/02/2021 01:22 AM	Hannant Hannant	Hannant	Hello dear!! my name Elizabeth! I dream of hard sex. Write me on this site - is.gd/VTOEp2
10/02/2021 01:43 AM	Morgan Randell	self	Please don't gerrymander. Keep Chatham county voting as a block.
10/02/2021 09:56 AM	Keith Cooley		Rural Person Co and any other counties that are rural have no business being lumped into the cities like Durham as their prospective are totally different from where we are. Stop this madness.
10/02/2021 10:12 AM	PAUL Taylor		Public hearing talking point Paul Taylor Fayetteville Cumberland County Precinct West area one Retired soilder Hew 13:3 For rulers hold no terror for those who do right, but for those who do wrong. Do you want to be free from fear of the one in authority? Then do what is right and you will be commended. We need representation from the Sandhills to be in Washington DC and to represent us The line should be drawn by a independent group We have Fort Bragg right here which represent Scotland, Hope, Cumberland and Harnett County and we should have representation from this area and map drawn for the sand hills We have large group of demargline folks Map should be fair and transparent not dividing any Precinct
10/02/2021 10:18 AM	Professor Rud Turnbull	retired	I am a resident of Chatham County and am familiar with the urbanizing north end and the rural south end. I strongly favor keeping Ch. Co. as a single district in NC redistricting. Orange County/Chapel Hill has a much different approach to housing and development. Were Ch. Co. north end put with Orange Co., the quasi-rural and wholly rural mix of land uses in the county will be lost .
10/02/2021 11:55 AM	Craig Fairbrother		I am a Chatham County resident and I am asking that Chatham County be kept whole when redistricting. The current districting is in line with the constitutional argument that district maps should be compact and not beneficial to any specific group. I would also like to insure that public hearings be held so that citizens are kept informed and have an opportunity to respond to the proposed redistricting.
10/02/2021 12:02 PM	Linda Smith		Keep Chatham Co. whole. Do not split House District 54 and Senate District 23. Splitting Chatham clearly would be a partisan ploy to benefit Republicans. Also, when the plan is done, it is important to hold public hearings allowing

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
10/02/2021 12:26 PM	Dr. Cathy Hamilton	Retired Director of Leadership and Civic Engagement, UNCG	<p>public input.</p> <p>My entire career in higher education has focused on preparing the next generation of voters to value the unique opportunities and challenges of living in a vibrant and diverse democracy. I have discouraged cheap cynicism and encouraged active participation in our democratic processes to ensure every American has an equal voice in our government. With the redistricting process in full swing, there is no more important example of how important local leadership, decisions and policy impact the health of our democracy.</p> <p>I ask that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the redistricting process be just, equitable and inclusive to negate the cynical treatment of our treasured democratic right to have our voices heard, • the maps be drawn in a transparent and public manner and hearings are available before, during and after the drawing of proposed maps, • public hearings are held throughout the state when most people can attend, in highly populated areas as well rural counties, and pertinent to the specific region's impact. <p>Through my work with higher education, I have witnessed the harm of district maps that divide populations that share much in common in order to dilute the power of a specific population's perspective within a district. In particular, the division of the campus of NC A&T in previous district mapping indicated profound disrespect for student voices. Greensboro, Winston-Salem and High Point represent powerful communities of higher education and their voters are indicative of some of the best of what this state has invested in education.</p> <p>I ask that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the maps proportionally represent the populations living within regional districts, • student voters are not disenfranchised by dividing campuses or higher education communities into separate districts • public hearings take place following the proposed district maps <p>Respectfully submitted by Dr. Cathy H. Hamilton Retired Director of the Office of Leadership and Civic Engagement, UNCG</p>
10/02/2021 06:02 PM	Robert and Patricia Biggers	Chatham County resident	<p>Please keep Chatham County whole and not divided by redistricting. Neither House District 54 nor Senate District 23 should be divided. I believe the only reason for dividing the county would be to benefit the Republican Party and that is both unconstitutional and, honestly, morally wrong. We would like very much to be shown the proposed changes BEFORE a public hearing. Thank you for putting the law and the wishes of the citizens ahead of party politics.</p>
10/02/2021 07:05 PM	Ms Kathy Reaves		<p>I live in rural Person County. Do not redistrict me in Durham county.I and my relatives do not want to be in redistrict 22.I want to be With my county.D o not use rural people to fit your agenda</p>
10/02/2021 07:16 PM	Sam Zahran		<p>On September 30, 2021, I attended the Joint Public Hearing for Public Comments - House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections at Fayetteville Technical Community College. The speakers provided a wealth of information while sharing their opinions with other attendees and the State Assembly representatives. I left the meeting convinced more than ever that, in the larger civics context, all voters need to have faith in the electoral system, especially since many events of the 2020 presidential election left millions of Americans doubtful about the protection of their voting rights and wishes, as evidenced by the ongoing litigation and investigations in multiple states.</p> <p>Restoring faith in the ballot box begins at the local and state level, especially with this kind of redistricting effort in North Carolina. So I hope that those in the State Assembly charged with drawing 2021 voting maps will bear in mind how crucial their actions are in helping to restore voter confidence.</p> <p>As a reflection of the federalist system of voting established by Madison and others who framed the Constitution to avoid a tyranny of the majority, the 2021 redistricting maps must reflect the needs not only of all North Carolinians but also the land they live on: Their counties, cities, and towns.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>The public hearing on redistricting in Fayetteville on September 30 provided a number of suggestions that I hope the map framers strongly consider, including non-partisanship; non-partitioned counties, cities, and towns when possible; and the creation of a 14th Congressional District consisting of Cumberland County and surrounding Sandhills counties.</p> <p>I also hope that, if time allows, you will have additional joint public hearings for comments about the maps once they are drawn.</p>
10/02/2021 07:29 PM	Redistricting Bobby Rivers	Nc Retiree	<p>I have been a Republican since President Ford. I am mad as hell and finding it hard to support most of the Republican government. You just don't care or give a damn. Only time we hear from you is when you want our vote or money. You have proof this over and over. Even with all the Democrates are doing they still take the time to help somebody. You are reaching out on this redistricting. But what happen to the budget. The seniors and Nc retirees were left out. The senate Republican push to help democrated magority departments. Teachers. Department of correction but did nt give Nc retirees anything. One important Republican senator stated retirees didn't need any money. They don't work anyway. But a democrated governor on his budget plan recommended raises bonus and a cost of living. How can you ask for donations or support from people who are living off less than twenty thousand dollars a year. Where are they going get the money from without hurting their family or themselves</p>
10/02/2021 08:12 PM	Mrs Linda Levy	League of Women Voters of CharlotteMecklenburg	<p>Draw maps that are fair and equitable , giving equal opportunities for a North Carolinians to participate in government and have their voice heard.</p> <p>After the maps are drawn hold several public hearings for comments around North Carolina.</p>
10/03/2021 06:31 AM	Ron Skow	WCGOP	<p>I received an in person briefing on the redistricting plan. I like it.</p>
10/03/2021 08:03 AM	Dr. Laura Ucik		<p>I am a physician who lives in Franklin County and works in Vance County. It is of utmost importance to me that our districts reflect my community and out needs, rather than the needs of partisan leaders. I am writing to urge you to create fair districts that reflect actual living community boundaries rather than political boundaries. I believe a non-partisan group should draw these maps to allow all of us in North Carolina fair representation as we work together to build a stronger state. Thank you.</p>
10/03/2021 10:31 AM	Dr Jennifer Knoepp	Franklin First United Methodist Church-- Social Justice Committee	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Congressional districts should be drawn with attention to both the physiographic and socioeconomic complexity of the state. For example the needs of mountain counties have similar issues of low wages compared to cost of living due to tourists based economy. This situation is similar to the coast but not the middle of the state. 2. Also, the overall redistricting plan should include a second comment period as soon as a draft version of the map is available. This will assure the public that the input being provided during this early comment period is being included in the maps. This additional opportunity for input is critical to the transparency of the process and public faith in the fairness of the final map. Faith in the fairness of the maps is critical so that all citizens feel they are equally represented and that their voices are heard both legislatively and in elections.
10/03/2021 01:09 PM	Ms Linda Kane	reitred	<p>I am a resident of Fairview in Buncombe County. I would like to request that all of Buncombe County, together with Henderson and Haywood Counties be combined for the US congressional district. These are the western Carolina counties that have the largest municipalities which constitute a community of interest. With respect to eh NC Senate District, I would like to have the City of Asheville and as much of Buncombe County as possible in one district. The remainder could be combined with Henderson, especially the parts around Hendersonville, which is also a community of interest. The NC House districts passed muster in the last round of litigation so I think that they should stay configured approximately as-is, making accommodation for changes in population locations. Thank you for your consideration of these points. Linda Connor Kane</p>
10/03/2021 01:09 PM	Mikele Quinlan		<p>At Thursday night's public redistricting forum in Fayetteville, NC there were two speakers who advocated for changes to House District 45 and House District 43 that were so based in subterfuge and misinformation that they must be refuted. To follow their suggestion would be to do the exact opposite of what the overwhelming majority of those who</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>spoke were asking you to do. Namely, to keep communities together.</p> <p>The speakers' first fallacy is to attempt to give the impression that Stedman and Hope Mills are somehow adjacent to each other. They most decidedly are not. Hope Mills and Stedman are separated by the Cape Fear River and there is no direct route between them. The driving distance from Stedman to Hope Mills is 18.3 miles. Fayetteville is actually closer to Stedman at 11.4 miles and lies virtually in between them on any route that links the two.</p> <p>The second misleading statement is that they should be linked because "They are the two largest municipalities in that part of the county." This one is laughable! Hope Mills might be logically referred to as a "municipality" since it is a suburb of Fayetteville with contiguous borders and a population of 17,800. It is a congested urban area that grew by 23.5% between 2010 and 2020. Stedman is a small farming community that is more than 10 miles away from any other named population area. Its population has been virtually unchanged over the past 10 years and is currently 1,280.</p> <p>The next attempt to mislead is the statement that they somehow share common interests. These two communities and their concerns couldn't be much farther apart. As previously stated, Hope Mills is a congested urban area where infrastructure, public safety and urban planning are at the forefront. Stedman, on the other hand, is a quiet little rural community where almost everybody knows everyone else. They have a wonderful community with a great quality of life, and they want to protect that.</p> <p>The final issue that was mentioned was GenX contamination. Hope Mills is on city water and sewer as is most of the population in District 45. GenX is predominately a ground water and well problem. Most of the area affected by GenX contamination is EAST of the river in District 43 where people are on wells and septic tanks. The only area of District 45 where this is a problem is Greys Creek, a somewhat remote area of housing developments in the southern corner of District 45. If you really think it would be advantageous to have only one voice advocating for these folks rather than two, put Grey's Creek in 43 with the rest of them. It's right there next to it.</p> <p>In closing let me say that Stedman is and always has been aligned socially and geographically with the other communities east of the river. It shares parks and recreation functions, EMT services and the community schools with Eastover, Wade, Falcon and Godwin who are all east of the river. One of the most common statements made last night by far was "Don't split up our communities. Keep neighbors together." If you go through with the proposal of putting Stedman into House 45 that will be exactly what you are doing. That will not be in the best interest of not only Stedman but all the other townships east of the river that depend on the coalition that these communities have built up with one another over the decades. People will ask why you did it.</p>
10/03/2021 02:00 PM	Ann Ray		<p>Thank you for the opportunity to comment on redistributing. I am a resident of Fairview in Buncombe County. My request is to have all of Buncombe, Henderson and Haywood Counties combined for the US congressional district. These western Carolina counties have the largest municipalities constituting a community of interest. Regarding the NC Senate District, I would like having the City of Asheville and as much of Buncombe County as possible in one district. The remainder could be combined with Henderson, especially the parts around Hendersonville, which would also be a community of interest. The NC House districts were supported in the last round of litigation so I think they should stay configured approximately as-is, making accommodation for changes in population locations. Thank you for your consideration. Ann Ray</p>
10/03/2021 09:25 PM	Michael Nutt		<p>North Carolina's history of redistricting shenanigans is shameful, and especially the last decade in which Republicans elected through illegal districts enacted controversial law after controversial law. Lawmakers shouldn't subvert the democratic process in order to enact their policies. Our state could be a leader, showing the country that our lawmakers are happy to empower its voters through fair districts. Or will we again be the state that has the most gerrymandered districts? Help restore faith in our government and do the right thing.</p>
10/03/2021 09:26 PM	Ms Susan Leonhart	n/a	<p>Redistricting has been a constant, never-ending battle in the State of North Carolina, with numerous lawsuits on the topic over just the past decade and even more when looking back at the time Democrats were drawing the maps. This battle has been a massive burden on taxpayer dollars and has only caused increased voter confusion across the state. Over the past 10 years alone, the Winston-Salem Journal has reported that our State has had to spend roughly</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>\$7 million dollars defending lawsuits attacking the legislature's redistricting efforts. These blatantly partisan attacks need to stop and I hope that the adopted process and resulting maps drawn by the General Assembly this year will stand without challenge.</p> <p>The criteria that the General Assembly has put forward this year are fair and direct. It follows the most recent court-ordered redistricting process. That process was widely acclaimed as the most fair and transparent redistricting process ever in North Carolina by Democrats, Republicans, and really, everyone.</p> <p>While all of the proposed criteria are important, there are several that really demonstrate the legislature's commitment to fairness. First, the General Assembly plans to keep most communities together, including, to the extent possible, following county and municipal boundaries. Second, the General Assembly has committed that "[d]ata identifying the race of individuals or voters shall not be used in the construction or consideration of districts." Third, the General Assembly has also committed that "[p]artisan considerations and election results data shall not be used in the drawing of districts."</p> <p>I commend the legislature for their efforts and pray that the new districts will not fall victim to a wave of legal challenges.</p>
10/04/2021 10:38 AM	Dr Cathy Williams	Duke University	I strongly encourage legislators of both parties to create fair and non-partisan districts that reflect the diversity of this wonderful state. Gerrymandered districts are an affront to the people of the state and encourage division and lack of faith in the fairness of our political system. Please do what is right, not just what is in the best interest of your particular party.
10/04/2021 10:45 AM	Nora Dickson	UNC Chapel Hill	I am a senior at UNC Chapel Hill studying political science. As much as the Carolina community has become home to me, my real home lies in Waynesville, NC. The 11th Congressional District has been one of the most gerrymandered seats in NC. I am asking to keep Buncombe and Haywood counties paired together in the 2021 redistricting maps. The addition of a new 14th district in NC will drastically change the makeup of all the districts in NC. If Buncombe County is split again or taken away from the 11th district, the voters are not choosing their representatives, but the representatives are choosing for us. Extreme partisanship and gerrymandering in the 11th district will prevent voices from being heard. As Buncombe County becomes more populated, many people are living in Haywood County and commuting to Asheville for work. These two counties are becoming more intertwined than ever before. A split between these two counties would be separating two like-minded communities and giving way to extreme partisanship that is not representative of democracy.
10/04/2021 12:02 PM	Scott Andrews		<p>If a district is reliably "red" or "blue," it's not a fair district. Partisan gerrymandering - constructing false-fair districts - undermines the aspirations of our nation's founders. If you respect their legacy and value the sacrifices made to protect it, voting fairness is essential - regardless of one's political inclinations.</p> <p>Acquiesce to your highest ideals for America. Don't be sleazy, please.</p>
10/04/2021 12:19 PM	Ms. Margaret Villani	Self	<p>Guilford County, in its entirety, must be in the same congressional district. It must have a congressional representative that responds to the needs of its constituency. Not only should eligible voters be able to vote, but their vote must bear some weight.</p> <p>For over 30 years, my father mourned the death of his brother, who died in WWII at the battle of St. Lo. My uncle fought for democracy and decency not oligarchy and white supremacy. Other service men and women have died for democracy in the decades since. Thanks to gerrymandering, public opinion makes no impact on public policy and voting in most districts is little more than a ritual. Our country is now an oligarchy with big money pulling the strings.</p> <p>The former 13th district, making its way from Greensboro to Charlotte, was one of the most gerrymandered districts</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>in the country. Splitting A&T University into two different districts was an obvious attempt to dilute the vote of those attending the A & T University, a historically Afro-American college.</p> <p>Drawing district lines that assure a particular party of political control insulates representatives from the needs of the working class. It allows representatives to put the wants of the wealthy and privileged ahead of the needs of the working class without electoral consequences.</p> <p>Do the right thing. Keep Guilford whole.</p>
10/04/2021 12:34 PM	Amyhe Amyhe	Amyhe	<p>hello !! Im looking a lover!! I dream of hard sex! Write me - bit.ly/2XNWtgn</p>
10/04/2021 03:27 PM	Ms. Kathleen Johnson	--None--	<p>The communities of Winston Salem, Greensboro and High Point form an area known as the "Piedmont Triad" – a three city region with very much in common. For many years, these communities were split apart for Congressional elections due to redistricting that combined rural areas – having certain priorities and concerns - with these cities - having very different needs for representation.</p> <p>Fortunately, that split was corrected in 2020, resulting in a newly defined 6th district that included these three closely aligned communities. The combination of these three cities as a single district should remain so during the 2021 redistricting process. The Triad functions as a geographic, economic and cultural entity. The communities share business, educational and environmental interests, including an airport, and include a diverse group of residents from many socio-economic strata, all working and interacting together. Personally, I view these neighboring cities as part of my community where I shop, dine, attend cultural events, and where many of my acquaintances work or go to school.</p> <p>As a retiree and Winston-Salem resident, I value the benefits of having these close cities represented in Congress by a single Congress person. As an integrated community that works together due to shared interests, the Piedmont Triad should remain as one Congressional district.</p>
10/04/2021 03:42 PM	Peter Levitin	community	<p>Please keep District 6 together since Guilford County and part of Forsyth County are communities of interest. Please do not add Rockingham- our community in Guilford has nothing in common with Rockingham</p>
10/04/2021 04:25 PM	Elizabeth Leonard		<p>I am a resident of Fairview in Buncombe County. I would like to request that all of Buncombe County, together with Henderson and Haywood Counties be combined for the US congressional district. These are the western Carolina counties that have the largest municipalities which constitute a community of interest. With respect to the NC Senate District, I would like to have the City of Asheville and as much of Buncombe County as possible in one district. The remainder could be combined with Henderson, especially the parts around Hendersonville, which would also be a community of interest. The NC House districts passed muster in the last round of litigation so I think that they should stay configured approximately as-is, making accommodation for changes in population locations. Thank you for your consideration of these points. E. W. Leonard</p>
10/04/2021 05:21 PM	Redistrict North Carolina Alice Hart		<p>I am a resident of Fletcher in Buncombe County. In the past I have been concerned when districts have been set up that don't observe natural boundaries such as counties as an example. I request that all of Buncombe, Henderson and Haywood Counties be combined for the US congressional district. The three western North Carolina countries have the largest municipalities which constitute a community of interest. With respect to the NC Senate District, I recommend that the City of Asheville and as much as possible in Buncombe County be in one district. The remainder can be combined with Henderson, especially parts around Hendersonville constituting a community of interest. The NC House districts passed muster during the last round of litigation. These districts should stay configured as determined with some accommodations in changes in population locations. I appreciate your consideration. It is important that those of us in these areas have this opportunity to share our views. Thank you.</p>
10/04/2021 06:16 PM	Mary Cardello		<p>Dear House and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committees: Please prevent gerry-mandering our legislative districts. You alone are responsible for defending our precious right to vote for our elected officials. If gerry-</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
10/04/2021 06:30 PM	Ann DeMaine		mandering by any political party is allowed to continue on this disastrous path, only you elected officials will be able to pick your voters. And, then you will be responsible for the ultimate decline in this great United States of America. There are states that have started petitions to remove the ability of officials to "gerrymander." Perhaps it's time for the people to stand up and insist on this!
10/04/2021 06:52 PM	Mr John White		<p>Since NC is a very "purple" state, I want to make sure that the outcome of the new Congressional districts and the new NC House and Senate districts reflect a balance of voter leanings. For example, the 14 Congressional districts should be designed to have the potential to elect 7 Republicans and 7 Democrats. The state districts should result in a similar balance.</p> <p>I am a lifelong citizen of North Carolina. I have grown increasingly concerned with the impact of gerrymandered congressional districts on the policies and programs enacted or not enacted in my state by the NCGA which does not reflect the will of most NC citizens. This holds true for NC's US congressional districts as well.</p> <p>As a consequence, the poor and less-well-off suffer for need of adequate medical care, public education services and programs, and reliable access to the Internet.</p> <p>Our districts should be established by a bi- or non-partisan commission of professionals rather than by the NCGA in order to regain a government of the people, by the people, and for the people.</p> <p>Thank you, John D White High Point, NC</p>
10/04/2021 07:12 PM	Ms. Betty Gunz	N/A	Redistricting has long been a process whose outcome depended on its benefit to the ones doing the redistricting. It is long past time to get impartial people to draw fair maps. That's the way that best supports our democracy. No rigged maps. Thank you.
10/04/2021 07:13 PM	Mr Joseph Ferguson	City of Fayetteville N.C.	Hurricane Mathew was a disaster for the city. We need to stay united. We have a history of weather disaster here in the city. Don't redistrict us into an area that does not remember the flooding of past years.
10/04/2021 07:24 PM	Denise Williams		<p>Please consider the following items when considering redistricting:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Please do not split counties. <li>2. I am in a more rural area and prefer to vote in such an area so that my views are fairly reflected. If mixed with urban areas, I feel that my views will be overshadowed by those in a dissimilar environment.
10/04/2021 07:27 PM	Dr Robin Lively Summers	Indivisible	<p>,My community has a large tourism industry and we are struggling to accommodate that industry and still maintain our beautiful environment.</p> <p>My community has a lot of outdoor activities, such as hunting, fishing, boating and hiking. We are a destination for both tourists and people looking for a beautiful place to live. The city of Asheville needs to be taken as a whole and not broken up to dilute our votes.</p>
10/04/2021 08:19 PM	Wayne Hale		Please do not split Guilford County up so that we are combined with rural precincts with whom we do not share priority issues.
10/04/2021 08:23 PM	Executive David Dalton	Piedmont Progressive Action and 4 Positive Choices	Don't split/crack communities of interest. But make District boundaries and County boundaries contiguous for both administrative purposes and to avoid confusion.
10/04/2021 09:35 PM	Mrs Deborah Turrentine		Request to keep Chatham County whole. I am a resident of Chatham County. The current district lines are compact and do not benefit a single group. Also, after drawing proposed maps, allow public hearings to provide input on proposed boundaries. Thank you!

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
10/05/2021 03:37 AM	Ms Michelle Carr	Myself	The Republicans are undermining our democracy. Please save our seats institutions from corrupt practices!
10/05/2021 06:29 AM	Mr. John Bromer		We need fair, clear, and transparent standards for redistricting. No more partisan gerrymandering! Your party preferences must not impair ANYONE'S right to vote.
10/05/2021 07:42 AM	Katherine Bellows		Voting maps in a true democracy reflect the honest political will of the people. Designing the maps to skew elections is dishonest, undemocratic, and demonstrates unfair privilege.
10/05/2021 09:51 AM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	<p>I am submitting a map of UNC-Asheville as an area of interest. Its campus and its surrounding community must be brought together as the districts are redrawn. The State House district currently cuts this community of interest in two.</p> <p>UNC-Asheville is a public liberal arts school nestled within the city of Asheville. It has roughly 3,500 students enrolled and it is the only designated liberal arts institution in the UNC system. Its students live in the surrounding Woolsey and Montford Hills neighborhoods, down along Hillside Street up to Lookout Road.</p> <p>https://districtr.org/COI/58817</p>
10/05/2021 10:06 AM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	<p>I am submitting a map of UNC-Wilmington as an area of interest. Its campus and its surrounding community must be brought together as the districts are redrawn. The State House district currently cuts this community of interest in two. UNC-Wilmington is a public research university in the UNC system. It has about 18,000 undergraduate and graduate students enrolled. Its beachside location is a strong attractor to its students. Students live primarily in the College Acres, Devon Park, and Piney Woods neighborhoods but also live in parts of Oakcrest, Bradley Park, and Seagate stopping at Oleander Drive.</p> <p>https://districtr.org/COI/58826</p>
10/05/2021 10:07 AM	Mellicent Blythe		I am a NC citizen and voter writing to call for fair, non-partisan redistricting maps and a transparent, inclusive, and accessible process for gathering public comment. Voting is our fundamental civil right. Electoral districts should not be weaponized to allow either party to manipulate or undermine our votes and our voices. Public hearings should be held across the state at a variety of locations and times, accessible virtually, well-publicized, recorded, and held both before and after maps are drafted. Maps themselves should be drawn in public and by a non-partisan organization. Please protect our rights and remember your oaths of office as you proceed. Thank you.
10/05/2021 11:22 AM	Mrs. Sally Sherwood		Impartial redistricting is essential. We need non-partisan, ethical behavior to lead the way. Please demonstrate to everyone that North Carolina is fearless when it comes to being fair. Make our children proud. Thank you.
10/05/2021 12:44 PM	Mr. William J Powers	private citizen	<p>On January 6th, there was an attempt to overthrow the Presidential election. Fuel for the effort was partisan re-districting. Voting districts assembled by political affiliation to allow the minority to rule. Such a skewed situation allowed those same people to fail to understand that when the whole state votes, the majority is much more likely to win. Not "having their way" meant people looked for a reason why and decided the election was rigged. We saw the rest.</p> <p>For the sake of having a representative government, we need competitive districts. All voting districts of NC could and should be constructed so people win on competence, issue and character. Failure to assemble such districts can only lead to worse outcomes than Jan 6th.</p> <p>I implore you to think of what life will be if more than half of us are constantly denied our voice.</p>
10/05/2021 12:44 PM	Mrs Keaton Hill		<p>I am a resident of Asheville, NC and an active community member. I want to be kept in the same district as my neighbors, as we share an interest in what happens in our community. If you divide us through unethical districting, we will not be as effective in advocating for the needs of our children in their schools through COVID, for our neighbors experiencing homeless in the housing pandemic, and for the rights of workers facing unliveable wages and being pushed out of our city.</p> <p>Over the last four weeks, as hundreds of our North Carolina neighbors have packed 13 public redistricting hearings</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>held by the NC Legislature's Joint Redistricting Committee, we have demanded a transparent, fair, and inclusive redistricting process.</p> <p>I want the NC Legislature to correct the previous cycles of redistricting which have denied residents accountable representation & essential resources, and divided their neighborhoods at the expense of political gain. And the way to do better is to give residents access to meaningfully engage with the map drawing process ahead.</p> <p>I want my community together in one district so we can advocate for better policies together, policies that affect us here, where in our neighborhoods. This can't happen without a public redistricting process where public comment is taken into consideration when drawing maps.</p>
10/05/2021 01:00 PM	Ms Adelina Kelly		<p>KEEP CHATHAM WHOLE! As you know, both Robert Reives (House District 54 and Valery Foushee (Senate District 23)serve all of Chatham County. I request that Chatham County NOT be split in either the House District 54 and the Senate District 23 which both encompass the entirety of Chatham County. There is a Constitutional argument that district maps should be compact and not benefit a single group. The current arrangement of district lines for Chatham meets that requirement. Also, I request that public hearings be conducted AFTER maps are drawn, so the public has some input into the Legislature redistricting when real boundaries are established.</p>
10/05/2021 01:17 PM	Catherine Lacienski		<p>I request that new maps be drawn in a way that reflects partisan fairness in addition to considering traditional redistricting criteria such as compactness and political boundaries. All citizens of NC are entitled to true representative government without gerrymandering.</p>
10/05/2021 01:37 PM	Mrs CARRIE SIOBERG		<p>Do not waste time or money redistricting without independent supervision. We absolutely cannot trust that the same lawmakers won't gerrymander districts again.</p>
10/05/2021 01:40 PM	Pam Malek		<p>Keep Chatham whole. Please do not split either House district 54 or Senate district 23.</p>
10/05/2021 02:45 PM	Mrs. Elizabeth Boulter		<p>The voting districts in North Carolina are severely gerrymandered and the representatives that are elected often do not truly represent the values of constituents in the districts for this reason. I think that the district lines in North Carolina should be redrawn so that they more accurately represent the populations that they are supposed to serve. I would like to see our representatives more accurately represent us, the constituents in each district, as well. Districts in our state and everywhere in the U.S. should allow voters to choose their representatives, rather than allowing the politicians to choose their voters, which is how things are operating at present. This is particularly evident in my own District 11, where we have an inexperienced and unqualified young man whose lack of integrity and lack of experience are now well-known all over the country. He should never should have won the right to represent my district and if the district was not so severely and obviously gerrymandered, he wouldn't have.</p>
10/05/2021 03:14 PM	Vice Chr. SERENA GAYNOR	Blounts Creek Democratic aprecinct	<p>Blounts Creek was one of the communities(precinct) rezoned. This redistricting made our vote much less likely to have any positive results as it was out in a republican majority district. The democratic votes in this community is tremendously overshadowed. We were previously represented by Congressman Butterfield. Currently we feel we have no representation. This area was cut into different areas to eliminate the strength of the democratic vote. The district was cut in such a manner that it is hard to identify currently precincts. Obviously it was dismantled to eliminate the power of our vote.</p>
10/05/2021 03:14 PM	Vice Chr. SERENA GAYNOR	Blounts Creek Democratic aprecinct	<p>Blounts Creek was one of the communities(precinct) rezoned. This redistricting made our vote much less likely to have any positive results as it was out in a republican majority district. The democratic votes in this community is tremendously overshadowed. We were previously represented by Congressman Butterfield. Currently we feel we have no representation. This area was cut into different areas to eliminate the strength of the democratic vote. The district was cut in such a manner that it is hard to identify currently precincts. Obviously it was dismantled to eliminate the power of our vote.</p>
10/05/2021	Mrs. Marcia	citizen	<p>I would like the redistricting to be done in an open, fair to all, manner, with out political consideration. There are</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
03:46 PM	Welsh		programs that are available to make this possible. Can't we in NC be at the forefront in these matters, rather than , once again, having to challenge the biased redistricting that has gone on in the past???
10/05/2021 04:36 PM	Mr. Andrew Lindsay	Andrew W. Lindsay Jr	I'm not in favor of adding another precinct in District A. This doesn't help us at all in District A to get more county commissioners. Instead why don't you add 61000 people to District A so we can have three commissioners.
10/05/2021 05:00 PM	Dr Kathy Gallucci		<p>I viewed the public hearings in Alamance and Forsyth County recorded on YouTube since there was no hearing in my county (Guilford) and I was unable to attend a hearing in another county. There were only hearings in 10 of the 100 NC counties. Some speakers traveled to these hearings from other counties, which was inconvenient to them, but other voters did not have an opportunity to express their views in person because of travel or time limitations. the schedule of hearings did not provide adequate opportunity for voters across the state to exercise their rights.</p> <p>I agree with many of the comments expressed in those hearings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The scheduled hearings did not provide adequate opportunities for voters across the state to exercise their rights. • Gerrymandering has been common in NC in the past, perpetrated by both parties. Not only is it unfair for representatives to pick their voters (instead of voters choosing their reps), but taxpayer money is also wasted on litigation that could be avoided if fair maps are drawn. • Gerrymandering also leads to voter disillusionment in the political system, with a reduction in voter turnout. Each vote should count. • The process of creating districts must be transparent and fair. An independent committee may be the best way to keep bias out of the process. • The voting power of any ethnicity, party, or community should not be diluted by redistricting. • The common good of the voters must be the top priority. • There must be a comment period after the maps are redrawn for voters to express their views on the new maps. <p>Thank you. Kathy Gallucci</p>
10/05/2021 05:02 PM	Stephen Kelly		<p>I attended an excellent two-day conference at Duke University last week that examined redistricting here in North Carolina, and learned that only nine public hearings were scheduled to gather public input before the new maps are drawn. Several of these hearings took place when it was difficult for working people to attend, and the location was sometimes changed at the last minuted, as occurred in Pembroke. Meaningful public scrutiny of this process will be critical to avoid the kind of gerrymandering that has plagued this process over the last decade.</p> <p>The speakers at Duke, who included representatives from Common Cause, the League of Women Voters, and the Southern Coalition for Social Justice, also noted that only one public hearing is now scheduled to review the maps that the General Assembly will finally produce. For the reasons noted above, I think this is inadequate. I urge that several hearings be scheduled on the proposed map, spaced out in time and place, to give the public the opportunity to study it and express concerns. North Carolina has a poor track record on drawing fair maps. We need as much transparency and public oversight as possible.</p> <p>Thank you for noting my concerns.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Stephen R. Kelly Durham, NC</p>
10/05/2021 05:49 PM	Melanie Bayani		I am requesting an independent, bipartisan committee draw district lines. No more shady redistricting to win elections. NC was made an embarrassment with the redistricting with "surgical precision" based on racial

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			assumptions. For shame. We demand better from our elected officials.
10/05/2021 06:10 PM	Mrs Patricia Homan Kennard	Warren County GOP	Please support the Thompson Plan for our District 1
10/05/2021 06:12 PM	Mrs Patricia Homan Kennard	Warren County GOP	Please support the Thompson Plan for District 1
10/05/2021 09:32 PM	Sarah Carmichael		<p>Hello, I'm an unaffiliated voter in Ashe County and I am frustrated and worried that the outcome of elections in my district could be predetermined by gerrymandering unless maps are updated to be totally non-partisan and pass muster by an independent redistricting commission. I would like to request that our future maps are not tied to incumbents (i.e. already gerrymandered) and that we don't have any weird-shaped nonsense districts that favor one party over another.</p> <p>Thank you. Sarah Carmichael Creston, NC</p>
10/05/2021 09:44 PM	Justin Fry		<p>I assume that prior to getting into politics you despised the concept of gerrymandering and how it soiled the wonderful democracy our founding fathers had in mind. And now you are taking part in it. If you still have any integrity in you, pass legislation to ban gerrymandering and require redistricting by non-partisan entities. If I am wrong about your thoughts on gerrymandering, then it's time you get out of politics so that we can find someone to better represent us. I will be glad to help you in that latter endeavor next election cycle.</p>
10/06/2021 12:16 AM	Ms. Gail Mason		<p>As a resident of Mecklenburg County, NC for over 40 years, I can appreciate being in an area where I am represented in the State House, State Senate, and US House of Representatives by persons of color. As a person of color myself this means a great deal. The areas of State House District 99, State Senate District 40, and US House 12th District should remain intact so as to reflect appropriate and desired representation for the citizens inhabiting said districts. Local accessibility to many grocery stores, elementary, middle, and high schools, along with a robust community of small businesses factors into maintaining representation serving this area well.</p>
10/06/2021 05:40 AM	Mrs. Virginia Condrey		<p>Thank you for requesting public input. I am a resident of Chatham County. I believe that the current district map for Chatham meets the needs of our county as it currently stands. Please keep Chatham as a whole district. We are a diverse group of citizens that thrives in the knowledge and acceptance of that diversity. I implore you to not split our county as I believe that would change and divide our communities in ways that would be detrimental.</p> <p>Once you have prepared redistricting maps, please hold public hearings to ensure that the people continue to have input. This is vital to maintaining a transparent, equitable system. Thank you for your consideration.</p>
10/06/2021 08:13 AM	B Perkins	NC Voters	<p>"Justice will not be served until those who are unaffected are as outraged as those who are." (Benjamin Franklin) I wish NC's fascist/racist "representatives" were capable of exhibiting a shred of decency and honor. I was a Republican most of my life, but these past few years have taught me that I have too much dignity and respect for myself and my neighbors to continue. Instead, I will be prioritizing my votes for a candidate's stand on issues that affect the poor and oppressed. This means I will often be voting against my own personal interests and instead be voting for the greater good. Wake up! We're all in this together!</p>
10/06/2021 11:56 AM	Ms. Tracy Nash	LWV Piedmont Triad	<p>The only way to ensure that our elected officials reflect the community they serve is to draw fair maps. You are challenged to use a nonpartisan non-discriminatory method to draw the new district maps, which should be compact,</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			contiguous, and equal in population. If you draw unfair maps, then those who represent the voters are choosing who votes for them instead of voters choosing who represents them.
10/06/2021 12:02 PM	Ms. Brooke Adams	Reproductive Rights Coalition	Redistricting needs to be done by a neutral, non-partisan group. Giving the right to draw districts to whichever party holds the majority is giving the fox the right to guard the henhouse. I don't care which party is, the end result is always going to be suspect to the public. We need to strengthen public confidence (and therefore participation in our election system.
10/06/2021 02:18 PM	Stephanie Herweyer	private citizen	I would like to see Northern Orange County in a separate district than Southern Orange County (Chapel Hill) and Durham as the community's values and concerns differ greatly. It would be better aligned with Alamance County. My family's concerns are never in alignment with our current representative.
10/06/2021 02:21 PM	Michael Minor		With voting rights being eroded by many state legislatures and by multiple levels of courts, North Carolina needs to step up and be a leader in showing that fair maps can be drawn, allowing every person's vote to count the same as any other.
10/06/2021 02:39 PM	Mr Nick Strange		I noticed the other day a NC license plate that says "First in Freedom". It got me to think about the redistricting that's gone on for the last 10 years, and how gerrymandered our state is. Is it truly freedom when the party in charge of redistricting says "if we could draw 10 republican districts instead of 9, we would"? So let's get this straight. The party in charge wants to disenfranchise over half the voting population so it can be in charge. I don't call this freedom, I call it the tyranny of the minority. So let's have fair and representative districts for State and Federal elections. Not districts that select their voters. Thank you, Nick Strange
10/06/2021 03:17 PM	Mrs. Carol Weaver		During the presentations of maps developed by Duke in the Senate and the House, it appears the majority party has decided to disregard the requirements of the Voting Rights Act. That sets up the likelihood of litigation. You spent \$10.79 million taxpayer dollars defending maps drawn illegally in the last decade, and now it looks like you're determined to do it again.
10/06/2021 03:18 PM	Mr. Ted Lockamon	Citizen	Please make sure you are doing this fairly and thereby ensure every vote counts and you are not subverting the will of the people.
10/06/2021 08:31 PM	Ms. Mary Babcock		As you start drawing the new maps for legislative and Congressional districts, please be sure that they are fair, specifically ensure that the new delegations generally reflect how the people of the state actually vote. The people should be deciding who will represent them rather than having legislators pick their voters if democracy is to survive and thrive in NC and the US. Also, please respect county and neighborhood boundaries in drawing the new district lines. Thank you very much for your service and for ensuring that NC is a representative democracy where the will of the people is truly represented.
10/06/2021 08:44 PM	Kim DeVNe		Is it true that a car I g out out of Cleveland county is to elect Tim Moore to the House of Representatives? Also I object to splitting up Charlotte, Asheville and Raleigh. How do u justify this map as being anything other than partisan. Do better .
10/06/2021 08:47 PM	Kim DeVane		Hasn't Tim Moore and and his dad Rick Moore feloned and grifterd enough ?
10/06/2021 09:43 PM	Betsy Munroe	Retired	It makes no sense to me that the governing party decides the districting map. Why doesn't the map stay the same unless there has been tremendous population growth - it's a waste of time and funds. A retired state supreme court

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
10/06/2021 11:15 PM	Carrie Palmer		judge suggested that retired judges do districting maps country-wide. That seems like a great, impartial, fair way to do this task. Please consider this idea. Redistricting has to change nation-wide, the current method is not good nor fair. Thank you for your consideration.
10/07/2021 05:44 AM	Valerie Grasso		<p>QUICK ACTION! Leave a PUBLIC COMMENT regarding redistricting! Only takes a moment! Here are my suggestions for making the process more fair:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -all maps/revisions/commentary drawn/discussed in public view w/ live streaming -maps shouldn't be drawn to advantage a political party or individual -third parties should be disclosed and introduced at public meetings -public meetings should be held across the state w/ virtual attendance option
10/07/2021 05:49 AM	David McCracken		<p>The upcoming distribution / re-districting of seats for the House of Representatives to the United States Congress should be completed using whole counties only.</p> <p>The current districts violate that principle in EVERY one of the 13 representatives. That construct obviates common sense as a citizen attempts to determine his or her elected officials to effectively represent our system of federalism. "We, the People" should be able to easily identify our governmental representatives at local, county, state and federal levels by simple association with where we decide to live.</p> <p>For example, the plat for my current home clearly indicates I live in Franklin County, despite having an address in Wake Forest. Hence, I do not vote in Wake Forest city elections. My 'local' government officials are from Franklin County.</p> <p>However, at state levels I have a hodgepodge of district distributions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) my House representative in the NC General Assembly covers two counties ~ Franklin and Nash; for me it is simple, but for citizens of Nash they are split between two NC House districts. b) b) my Senate representative is also split between two counties ~ Franklin and Wake, with Franklin being the more simplistic (given that Wake is represented by five separate senators). <p>At the federal representation the current district distribution continues the insanity: my US House representative has a district that covers three 'whole' counties and three 'partial' counties. That is the most complex distribution within the current congressional map.</p> <p>Population fluctuations within North Carolina are constant, and a re-districting every ten years based upon the federal census will never achieve exact parity among the respective districts. The citizen should have confidence that living within a specific county should result in that county being within a defined governmental jurisdiction. A citizen should not have to conduct extensive research to determine who represents them within any state or federal election.</p>
10/07/2021 09:53 AM	Dr Thomas Young		<p>I was thinking more about redistricting and after hearing some recent discussions I came to realize that it is not just about fairness and having a legislature represent all the voters. It is not just about having Blacks and Latinos represented, It is also about losing the center. As a left-leaning centrist, it has become apparent that if districts are constructed to be havens for one party or the other, then more candidates on the extremes will continue to be elected. If our elected officials only need to play to their base and just vehemently oppose those on the other side, then nothing gets done and both centrist Democrats and Republicans lose their voices. They are forced to swallow hard to make choices when no compromises come out of the legislative process. It is very disheartening. Please create districts that can govern for the common good of all.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
10/07/2021 02:44 PM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	<p>I am reaching out today to ask that you push for the Joint Redistricting Committee to add online public hearings before and after drafted maps are released. It is unacceptable that only 13 hearings were held in 10 of our state's 100 counties. It ensures that this redistricting process is inaccessible to the average North Carolinian and those without transportation are left completely out of the important process. This lack of physical hearings was made worse by the timing of the hearings: most were at or before 5pm. This makes the hearings totally inaccessible to those who were unable to take off work in the middle of the day. As COVID-19 continues to fill up NC's ICUs, this physicality was also a safety concern.</p> <p>Adding online hearings would safely and efficiently open up the redistricting process to NC residents. The move to include more voices in the process would embrace the democratic spirit and make our state's process more transparent and our maps more fair. This is beneficial for you, too, as transparency prompts constituents to place more trust in their representatives.</p> <p>In the same spirit, I would also like to request that the comments and maps submitted through this portal be made public on the same webpage where the audio and videos of the 13 public hearings are posted.</p>
10/07/2021 02:45 PM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	<p>I am reaching out today to ask that you consider the importance of keeping together the state's many college and university communities throughout the redistricting process. North Carolina has more than 80 institutions of higher learning and continuing education with more than 500,000 people enrolled. These institutions provide opportunities to a variety of NC residents to grow, learn, and contribute to the success of our state. Ensuring the best education of our residents attracts companies and new people to our state. They provide jobs, futures, and, most importantly, they provide community. Historically, those in charge of the state's map drawing have failed to consider these places important enough to warrant remaining intact at best. At worst, these communities have been viewed with fear and purposely split apart as was the case at NC A&T University this past decade. But to fulfill our American democratic ideals and the promises of the 26th Amendment, students and their opinions should be embraced. To do this means the communities they live, work, frequent local businesses, recreate, and pay taxes in must be kept together. Representation is the foundation of democracy and NC students deserve fair representation.</p>
10/07/2021 02:50 PM	Paul Koning	Campus Vote Project	<p>I am strongly in favor of the eventual establishment of an independent, nonpartisan redistricting commission for NC. However, because this is unlikely to happen any time soon, I would implore the representatives working on this task to act with the common good in mind. In a state that is evenly distributed across the political spectrum, our representation should likewise be evenly distributed. This is only right. Therefore, I ask you to do the right thing. Gerrymandering has no place in a representative democracy.</p>
10/07/2021 02:56 PM	North Carolina Aaron Wrighton	Campus Vote Project	<p>There is an issue with opportunities to attend and to publicly testify for the drawing of maps during the redistricting process in North Carolina. It is very unclear when and where the chance to even give a public testimony is. The North Carolina redistricting process also seems to not be very transparent. It's unclear when certain steps of the process begin and end. This is important because it complicates the ability for public input and allows for gerrymandering to occur. In order for all communities in all 13 districts to properly be represented, people must have better opportunities to give testimony or speak on the makeup of their community. North Carolina can secure a more fair redistricting process by being transparent with information and dates and times hearings occur. As well as allowing and considering individual testimonies from members of various communities about the drawing of their communities within each district. This can be done easily by letting individuals attend online hearings before and after maps are drawn.</p>
10/07/2021 02:57 PM	ECSU Aaron Wrighton	Campus Vote Project	<p>Elizabeth City State University is one hbcu out of 12 hbcus in the entire state. There is a tight knit community among these hbcus as well as Elizabeth City State. It's vital that ECSU as a community is represented properly and that the community is recognized. ECSU in the past has faced attempts at delegitimization of it being a community. The city, let alone the county, does not particularly view ECSU as its own community with residents that have unique concerns that the city should consider. ECSU also confronted attempts to prevent students from voting in local elections. This</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
----------------	------	--------------	----------

is why it's vital for ECSU to be heard and recognized during the redistricting process. It must be assured that ECSU can't be overlooked in terms of drawing up districts if suppression of the community is to be prevented.

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/11/2021 3:15:14 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Tuesday, October 12, 2021 at 9:00 AM
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: Tuesday, October 12, 2021

TIME: 9:00 AM

LOCATION: 643 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Pursuant to House Rule 28.2, a WebEx link will be provided to Committee Members and staff the day of the meeting. A link to the Audio/Video livestream will be posted on the NCGA Legislative Calendar: <https://www.ncleg.gov/LegislativeCalendar>.

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)"
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/11/2021 11:18:54 AM -0400
To: "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)"
<Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>; "Andrew Stiffel (Sen. Paul
Newton)" <Andrew.Stiffel@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; "Neal
Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>;
"Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton)"
<Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>; "Andy Perrigo (Sen. Warren
Daniel)" <Andy.Perrigo@ncleg.gov>; "Brian Fork (President Pro
Tem's Office)" <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox
<Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; "Joshua Yost (President Pro
Tem's Office)" <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; "Nathan Babcock
(Senate Pro Tem's Office)" <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>;
"Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)"
<Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Cumberland County Public Hearing - 9/30/21
Attachments: Cumberland.9.30.21.Speakers.pdf; Cumberland.McSurely.doc;
Cumberland.online.9.30.21.pdf;
Cumberland.Unknown.9.30.21.pdf

All,

Attached please find the documents associated with the public hearing in Cumberland County on 9/30/21. With the documents, you will find two comments submitted by the public. One, we do not have a name of the individual or individuals that submitted the comment.

Senators

Clark

deViere

Perry, Senate Chair

Representatives

Boles

Cooper-Suggs

Lucas

Pierce

Richardson

Szoka, House Chair

Wheatley

Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578

Public Hearing Site Fayetteville Tech.

Public Hearing Date 9.30.21

SPEAKER SHEET

Please print your name below if you wish to comment during the public hearing.

	NAME	ORGANIZATION (optional)
1	Paul L Taylor	
2	NAKRYA SMITH	
3		
4	John Smith	
5	Linda Devore	
	Shu V. Blackwell	

Public Hearing Site Fayetteville Tech.

Public Hearing Date 9.30.21

	NAME	ORGANIZATION (optional)
6		
7	Shirley Cohen	
8	DAVID L MANN	CROSS CREEK @ CHAIR
9		
10		
11		

Public Hearing Site Fayetteville Tech.

Public Hearing Date 9.30.21

	NAME	ORGANIZATION (optional)
6	<i>Scottys Curben, Jr</i>	
7	<i>Val Applewhite</i>	
8		
9		
10		
11		

Public Hearing Site Fayetteville Tech.

Public Hearing Date 9.30.21

SPEAKER SHEET

Please print your name below if you wish to comment during the public hearing.

	NAME	ORGANIZATION (optional)
1	Briana Brough	AOTL NC
2	Monica DeAngelis	
3		
4	Chillerio Hurst	
4	Larman Rodriguez	Justice
5	Charles Carter	Common Cause NC

Al McSurely's Column in Wilmington Journal #34 September 23, 2021

Read *Hofeller Files* before Testifying at Redistricting Hearings

All NC Civil Rights activists should be studying the racist Republican gerrymandering tricks of packing and cracking in North Carolina's state Senate and House Districts, not to mention our 14 U.S. Congressional Districts. Common Cause, the Southern Coalition for Economic Justice, and the All On the Line ("AOTL") Anti-Gerrymander Project of former President Obama and his Attorney General, Eric Holder. Check out all their materials on line before you demand your right to give input against Republican Gerrymandering schemes in 2021-2.

I suggest you also study the ugly history of the ***Hofeller Files***, a set of hard-drives stored next to Thomas Hofeller's laptop compute in his home office containing damning evidence of his 40 years of intentional racial discrimination against state and national Electorates of Color focused on the southern states, and North Carolina in particular. As you recall, I was part of the legal team that challenged the intentional racism in the North Carolina's General Assembly's persistent reliance on Hofeller's racist formulas, that the 4th Circuit Court found to discriminate against Black voters with "surgical precision."

*When the hard drives were found by Hofeller's widow and daughter, his heirs, after his death a couple of years ago, and given to Common Cause, the **New York Times**, and other institutions with a long history of in saving and strengthen our Democracy. The exposed decades of the conscious use of a far-right wing Republican employee who had developed racially discriminatory formulas to intentionally suppress Black and Brown Voting Power.*

In fact, during the last 40 years--the last four census-redistricting decades-- we should remind ourselves of the intentional race discrimination of two Toms—Thom Tillis and Hofeller, who joined forces to swing at least five U.S. Congressional seats in North Carolina to the Republican Party, and swung the narrow, but workable Democratic Majority on Jones Street to a strong Republican Majority in the General Assembly that remains today. Hofeller and Tillis worked hand-in-hand conflating *non-white* voters with *Democratic Party* voters, plowing NC's rich soil of Jim Crow which was fertilized daily by racist tweets and policies over the last five years.

In 2020 I believe Senator Tillis engaged in a Republican National Committee's scam by secretly funding a Democratic candidate in the Democratic Primary to create racial division and bad blood, in the Democrats effort to beat Tillis in the General Election. These tactics violate the Federal Election Commission laws. Tillis' participation is currently being examined by the Senate Ethics Committee. But I digress. Let us return to the Tillis-Hofeller Team, that the good ol' Democratic Party of North Carolina had been cleverly coopted by Richard Nixon's camouflaged racism and hatred of Jewish people during his six years in the White House. Democracy was saved when some of Nixon's hit-men were caught in the Democratic Party National Headquarters at the Watergate apartments.

After the burglars were spotted by an alert Black security guard, the strong bi-partisan Judges and U.S. Congress cut through Nixon's Big Lie. long televised set of public Hearings chaired by N.C. Senator Sam Erwin (Dem.) and Vice-Chaired by Tennessee Senator Howard Baker. (Rep) Several weeks of millions of people watching daytime TV led to the revelation that Nixon had kept tapes of all his conversations, and these tapes, like Hofeller's hard-drives, changed history. Nixon's tapes revealed a bitter man who hated Black people and Jews. By the time impeaching was on the table, a large majority of voters had turned against him. The Senate, which has the last say on removing the President as we know, told him to resign or be removed. Nixon resigned, but he he left millions of far-right, racist financial backers to nurture the mass

base of white males who Nixon had re-settled through his southern strategy. When the far-right financiers pooled their money they were brought together behind a TV salesman, Ronald Reagan, Not only did they give Reagan unlimited financial backing, they provided him with Nixon's propaganda hit-men, such as Paul Manafort, Lee Atwater, Roger Stone, and others far-right activists. Perhaps most important was the address lists of the racist vote that George Wallace, Nixon, and Goldwater had been developing since the 1950's.

By 2080, when Reagan beat Carter, the Democratic Party had become the home of non-white voters, mobilized by the marches and martyrs of the Southern Freedom Movement, and new tools for the U.S. Department of Justice and the new Office of Economic Opportunity to use to provide tools to empower Black and Brown voting power, particularly in the south and northern cities with large minority concentrations.

High Tech Racist Redistricting: While Federal tools helped support and organize massive changes in race relations across the nation, many whites were led by Republican propagandists to KKK tactics of intimidating and terrorizing non-white voters. In California Thomas Hofeller was employed by the new Reagan administration. Hofeller sold his knowledge and Gerrymander Formulas to the highest bidder "seeking to gain legislative strength through the redistricting process." Hofeller's was a student of the ten year census cycle, and knew how to apply his far-right politics and IT skills. He sold his knowledge and formula as a package deal, like a traveling medicine man. He demanded well-paying contracts from taxpayers of color from State politicians, as his medicine violated their voting rights. Hofeller became director of the House Subcommittee on the Census staff, and he also worked for a staff member of the Committee on House Oversight during the Reagan and GHW Bush years. Between censuses, Republicans kept him downlow as the Party's IT Director until the next census rolled around in 90.

A decade later, July 1999, GW Bush ran against Al Gore. Republicans assigned Hofeller to be the Party's redistricting director. Many believed the election would be won or lost in Florida where the Republican candidate's brother, Jeb, was Governor. Republicans who were willing to wink at racist rhetoric and tactics were dispatched to Florida. Hofeller's detailed data were used to focus subtle and not-so-subtle intimidation tactics on swing counties and precincts. The intersection of **Census** and **Presidential elections** occurs when Presidential elections occur in years that end in Zero. *Hofeller's Files* could be tapped for precincts packed with Democratic Party voters. His files were invaluable in targeting counties and precincts for multiple lawsuits. A young Republican who many of us got to know from his Senate Hearing performance, was there, experiencing the art of fusing lawsuits and threats of violence against threats of violence while in Florida.

Hofeller's Files also were in high demand in the second largest Southern State, Texas, where the Republican Party organized b the same Bushes had become dependent upon openly racist appeals to the dwindling white electorate. Hofeller made a deal with the Texas Legislature Republican majority. As they did earlier this year, Democratic State Legislators drove to New Mexico, and Federal Marshalls were sent to bring them back to vote on the Hofeller Gerrymandering Plan. The Republican National Committee's website in 2002 described how the *Hofeller Files* helped suppress the number of votes by the most loyal Democratic Party voters-- Black and Brown.

"Even though all redistricting takes place at the state level, the Republican National Committee (RNC) has played a pivotal role, providing expert technical, political and legal support to all GOP stakeholders. Before line drawing even began, the RNC invested millions of dollars supporting the election of legislative and statewide candidates whose positions were critical to control the redistricting process. Education and training were provided to hundreds of prospective GOP participants."

"In a May 2000 PowerPoint presentation to the National Conference of State Legislatures titled "What I've Learned About Redistricting--The Hard Way," Dr. Hofeller, then the Republican's Redistricting Expert, laid out the rules to his upcoming redistricting fight. On a slide called: "On Politics & Coalition Building," Hofeller wrote: "Just like 19th Century British Foreign Policy, we

have No permanent allies, No permanent enemies, Only permanent interests. Self interest binds. Honor doesn't." Hofeller concluded with these "Don'ts:

Don't enlighten the innocent!
Don't reveal more than necessary."
Don't be afraid to dazzle them with science.
Don't confuse them--unless that's intended.

Hofeller, the King on Jones Street (HKonJ)

Back in the day, Republican Governors like Holshouser and Martin were often more anti-racism than many good ol' boy Democrats. But the Nixon-Reagan-Bush steady use of racist code words and actions had developed a critical mass of white voters who thought, if not spoke, the N-word their grand daddies always used, the North Carolina Republican Party believed they could sell the Hofeller Files to their slim majority at the General Assembly.

After a few years of getting regular paychecks from the work defending itself from the lawyers of the NC NAACP, Advancement Project, and other skilled voting rights lawyers representing the Democratic Party, the League of Women Voters, and Young Voters, Thomas and Kathleen Hofeller decided to buy a \$339,000 house near Jones Street. Hofeller used a laptop computer, but he relied heavily on saving his hard drives regularly, putting them in waterproof plastic bags for ready reference. He worked at his home until he died in 2018. These Hard Drives were part of his widow and daughter inherited which were published by the NYTimes a few days later that exposed the long history of the Hofeller Hustle, including the hottest legal issue of the day, whether the Census Bureau should include a Citizenship Question on the 2020 census?

David Daley's book "*Ratf**ked*" is a good account of the Hofeller Hustle. Daley once said, "Tom Hofeller invented modern redistricting," Daley said that Hofeller "understood before anyone the importance of state legislatures and the possibilities for long-term Republican control if the party owned every seat at the table when it came time to redistrict."

A year before his death and life-time of hustling, Hofeller was put under oath in a federal lawsuit challenging his North Carolina House maps. Plaintiffs' lawyer asked him what instructions state Republicans gave him? He said "none."

"There were no instructions given to you in writing?" he was asked. "No," Hofeller said. "There's no paper trail against which we can evaluate your description of the instructions?"

"I don't believe so, no," he said.

"But you advised them not to give you instructions in writing, to do so orally?" "I don't recollect that," Hofeller said.

When his daughter discovered his Hard Drives in May 2019, it immediately became clear to Common Cause and the *New York Times* that Hofeller was quarterbacking the Trump Administration's effort to include a Citizenship Question on last year's census, which everyone knew would result in a devastating undercount of Latinos in the Census which just came out. Please read the *New York Times* Front Page Article by Michael Wines on May 30, 2019.

Google "Deceased G.O.P. Strategist's Hard Drives Reveal New Details on the Census Citizenship Question"

Al McSurely, North Carolina Civil Rights Lawyer.

Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College) Public Comment Sign-ups - LINC

Date Submitted	Name	Email	Organization	Category	Time/Location	Topic
09/23/2021 01:26 PM	Jo Nicholas	nicholas@lwwnc.org	League of Women Voters of N.C.	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/23/2021 07:56 PM	KURT AREHART	klarehart@gmail.com	Private citizen	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/24/2021 01:08 PM	Kathy Greggs	greggskathy@yahoo.com	Fayetteville Police Accountability Community Taskforce	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/24/2021 05:13 PM	Barbara Faison	barbfa557@gmail.com	Nfdw	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/24/2021 05:15 PM	Derick Smith	dksmithncat@gmail.com	North Carolina Poor People's Campaign	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/24/2021 08:48 PM	Shawn Taylor	Shawntaylor25@yahoo.com	Na	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)

Date Submitted	Name	Email	Organization	Category	Time/Location	Topic
09/24/2021 09:55 PM	Veronica Jones	jonesglobalfoundationusa@gmail.com	Jones Global Foundation	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/25/2021 07:51 AM	Carole Samperton	Csamperton@nc.rr.com	CCRWC	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/25/2021 01:07 PM	Amanda Smith Williams	amandaw67@hotmail.com	Not Applicable	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/25/2021 06:20 PM	Elizabeth Manley	emanley@nc.rr.com	Self	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/27/2021 06:28 AM	Rodney Anderson	roanderson33@gmail.com	Citizen, Veteran, Entrepreneur	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/27/2021 03:35 PM	Michael Chandler	mchandler@dogwoodcapital.org	Individual	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/27/2021 04:15 PM	Nathan Church	nchurch@cumberlandhealthnet.org	CumberlandHealthNet	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)

Date Submitted	Name	Email	Organization	Category	Time/Location	Topic
				Community College)	College)	Community College)
09/27/2021 05:52 PM	Rebekah Brock	round2realestate@gmail.com	Harnett County Democratic Party	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/27/2021 06:49 PM	CARMELA MCKELLER	cmckeller@hotmail.com	Citizen of Cumberland County	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/27/2021 07:52 PM	Sharon Johnson	heq57@aol.com	Cumberland County Democratic Party	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/28/2021 02:30 PM	Altonflats Altonflats	m.simov@denis.enersets.com	Altonflats	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/28/2021 04:07 PM	Brian O'Grady	brianogrady360@gmail.com	Save Our Sandhills	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/28/2021 05:49 PM	Kathy Greggs	fayettevillepact@gmail.com	Fayetteville Police Accountability Community Taskforce	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/28/2021 07:35 PM	Manuel Mejía Díaz	Manuel@democracync.org	Democracy NC	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting

Date Submitted	Name	Email	Organization	Category	Time/Location	Topic
				(Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Technical Community College)	(Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/28/2021 10:44 PM	DennisStold DennisStold	i.saretelli@makao.efastes.com	DennisStold	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/28/2021 10:44 PM	AnthonyKit AnthonyKit	s.anikov@a.avalins.com	AnthonyKit	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/28/2021 10:44 PM	Jerryces Jerryces	f.kukushkin@den.efastes.com	Jerryces	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/29/2021 04:03 AM	BobbieWoumb BobbieWoumb	m.fedotkin@a.avalins.com	BobbieWoumb	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/29/2021 08:04 AM	Gary Maher	garywmaher@yahoo.com	North Carolina/ Cumberland County Democratic Party	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/29/2021 01:14 PM	Devon Newton	Devonnewton@ymail.com	None	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)

Date Submitted	Name	Email	Organization	Category	Time/Location	Topic
09/29/2021 01:40 PM	Ms. Susan J. Thompson	Defmotgpm57@gmail.com	Sampson County Resident	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/29/2021 02:09 PM	James Womack	james.k.womack@gmail.com	Lee County	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/29/2021 05:31 PM	Frank McNeill	fmcneill@mcneilloilandpropane.com	Moore County	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/29/2021 06:37 PM	Lee Spruill	vleespruill@gmail.com	NA	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/29/2021 07:15 PM	Michael Jackson	action06@bellsouth.net	Alpha Phi Alpha Fraternity Chapter in Cumberland County	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/29/2021 11:01 PM	Joseph Brubaker	jb2638@gmail.com	SandHoke	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/30/2021 08:26 AM	Robert Taber	robtaber@gmail.com	Fayetteville State University	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)

Date Submitted	Name	Email	Organization	Category	Time/Location	Topic
				Community College)	College)	Community College)
09/30/2021 08:29 AM	Marlando Pridgen	mr.pridgen@gmail.com	Nonpolitical	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/30/2021 08:36 AM	Sarah Taber	sartaber@gmail.com	self/citizen	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/30/2021 08:48 AM	Roberta Waddle	rwaddle@nc.rr.com	na	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/30/2021 10:14 AM	Floyd Wicker	thepeoplesfellowship2198@yahoo.com	The People's Fellowship of North Carolina	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/30/2021 11:20 AM	Ted Mohn	tmohn@aol.com	None	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/30/2021 11:38 AM	Larry Wright	healthelandmin@aol.com	City Council Member	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/30/2021 12:13 PM	OLinda Watkins	odw3220@gmail.com	Moore County NAACP	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting

Date Submitted	Name	Email	Organization	Category	Time/Location	Topic
				(Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Technical Community College)	(Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/30/2021 12:15 PM	Alan McSurely, Esq.	lawyers@mcsurely.com	Moore County NAACP and NC NAACP	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/30/2021 01:20 PM	Irene Grimes	irene-grimes@hotmail.com	N/A	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/30/2021 01:23 PM	Charles Allen	greenbiz@aol.com	citizen	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)
09/30/2021 01:49 PM	Charles McKellar	mckellaraf@aol.com	Cumberland County Democratic Party	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	9/30/2021 6:00 PM (Fayetteville Technical Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Fayetteville Technical Community College)

NCGA Public Redistricting Hearing
Fayetteville Technical Community College
Tony Rand Student Center – 2220 Hull Road, Fayetteville, NC
Thursday, September 20, 2021 – 6-9 p.m.

The portion of southern Cumberland County that borders Bladen County is all very similar in terms of farming and increasing numbers of rural suburban housing developments.

- The two largest incorporated towns in Cumberland County, Hope Mills and Stedman, are both in southern Cumberland County. They have similar infrastructure needs, similar economic development concerns, and similar issues with the rural suburban housing developments creeping into their surrounding areas.
- The City of Fayetteville has attempted to encroach into southern Cumberland County in the past by annexation and other coercive actions. Common sense indicates that it would be beneficial to have one NC House Representative and one NC Senator who would represent these residents' concerns in southern Cumberland County, particularly if these residents in the urbanized parts of the County are not being represented by the City of Fayetteville.
- The Gen-X pollution from the Chemours Company site located in southern Cumberland County, has affected many of the communities in the southern part of Cumberland County. Thus, having one NC House Representative and one NC Senator to represent the residents in this area on this matter, as well as other water issues that have for a long time been a divisive issue, is very important to these communities. It is particularly important since the City of Fayetteville controls municipal water that extends, or can be expanded, into these communities. These residents need someone who will represent them who will not be divided between their interests and the City of Fayetteville.

Therefore, it is strongly recommended that the redrawing of the NC House and Senate Districts for the whole southern part of Cumberland County, which includes the towns of Hope Mills and Stedman, be in the same District for this area to have dedicated representation which would be responsive to these residents' common needs.

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)"
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/11/2021 11:18:57 AM -0400
To: "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)"
<Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>; "Andrew Stiffel (Sen. Paul
Newton)" <Andrew.Stiffel@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton)"
<Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>; "Andy Perrigo (Sen. Warren
Daniel)" <Andy.Perrigo@ncleg.gov>; "Brian Fork (President Pro
Tem's Office)" <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox
<Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; "Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro
Tem's Office)" <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; "Joshua Yost
(President Pro Tem's Office)" <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; "Dylan
Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; "Neal Inman
(Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>
Subject: New Hanover County Public Hearing - 9/29/21
Attachments: New Hanover.9.29.21.compilation of speakers.pdf; New
Hanover.online.9.29.21.pdf; New
Hanover.9.29.21.Attendance.pdf

All,

Attached please find the documents associated with the public hearing in New Hanover County last week, 9/29/21. The speaker sheet from the site is in the hands of Senator Rabon who chaired. We have asked his office to help us retrieve that. In the meantime, we have a hand written compilation from the Sgt At Arms.

Senators

Rabon, Chairing

Representatives

Butler

Carney

Miller

Smith, Carson

Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578



Kaylee Hoyle - self

Ronald Sparks - +

Frank Williams - -

Nick Craig - -

Will Knecht - -

Mike Hannett

Phillip White - - Wilmington City Coen

Annie Thi - Pres Student Soc Work U Can Vote

Dorian Cronatic - NHACP

Salor Jones - -

Bobby Rudder - citizen

John Meyer - -

Cristo Salazar - El Pueblo

Hollis Briggs - MLK

Lucy Krueger

Bill Moore - Bus Rep Party

Clair Stone

Keith Graham



Eilken Stevensph -

Tyler Falton -

Maria Garcia-Ortega UNCW

Angelica Wimer

Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington) Public Comment Sign-ups - LINC

Date Submitted	Name	Email	Organization	Category	Time/Location	Topic
09/22/2021 02:38 PM	Joanne Levitan	jolev125@gmail.com	Representing myself as a private citizen	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/22/2021 03:38 PM	Esther Murphy	edm1958@gmail.com	NHCDP Precinct H08	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/22/2021 03:54 PM	Ronald Sparks	Sparksrw@rocketmail.com	Sparks Engineering PLLC	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/22/2021 04:11 PM	Theodore Fuller	ted.fuller@vt.edu	None	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/22/2021 06:53 PM	David Smith	davesomaticsunglasses@gmail.com	N/A	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/23/2021 06:08 AM	FREDERICK BINGHAM	binghamrutledge@hotmail.com	myself	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/23/2021 06:29 AM	Mark Judson	judsonforcongress@gmail.com	Mark Judson - Candidate for US House District 7	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/23/2021 10:06 AM	SUE MARSH	sue.carol.marsh@gmail.com	SELF	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/23/2021 10:31 AM	Lesley Wischmann	lesleywisch@gmail.com	Self	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/23/2021 11:20 AM	Sonya Bennetone-Patrick	sbennetone@gmail.com	NCDP c	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/23/2021 12:10 PM	Richard Poole	rapoole2000@yahoo.com	New Hanover County Democratic Party	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/23/2021 12:54 PM	Barbara Garrow	bagarrow@hotmail.com	No organization	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)

Date Submitted	Name	Email	Organization	Category	Time/Location	Topic
09/23/2021 08:19 PM	Sonya Bennetone-Patrick	ncdpcongressional7thdistrict@gmail.com	Congressional 7th District NCDP	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/24/2021 12:44 AM	Monica DeAngelis	aardvarkthecat@yahoo.com	Democratic Women of Harnett Co.	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/25/2021 05:07 PM	Marcia Morgan	m2doc@aol.com	Citizen	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/25/2021 08:41 PM	Herb Harton	maguspics@aol.com	NCDP Labor Caucus & Southeastern NC Central Labor Council	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/25/2021 08:59 PM	Jake Smith	jakeli8765@ymail.com	None	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/26/2021 12:31 PM	Marlene Barney	msmwb1@gmail.com	League of Women Voters of the Lower Cape Fear	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/26/2021 10:06 PM	Lawrence Jansen	Larryjansen828@gmail.com	Citizen	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/27/2021 09:47 AM	Kathryn Hedgepeth	hedgепeths2@al.com	LWV Lower Cape Fear	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/27/2021 07:46 PM	Marla Barthen	marla@lwvlcf.org	League of Women Voters of the Lower Cape Fear	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/27/2021 09:18 PM	Alex Urban	aurban@amexcannc.org	AMEXCAN	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/28/2021 12:31 PM	deAndré Corniffe	PrecinctW15@outlook.com	Cape Fear Peninsula	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/28/2021 12:54 PM	DEBORAH MAXWELL	msddmaxsell@gmail.com	New Hanover County NAACP/NC COUNTS	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/28/2021 01:42 PM	hunter moon	huntermoon1@gmail.com	Me	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting

Date Submitted	Name	Email	Organization	Category	Time/Location	Topic
				(UNC-Wilmington)	Wilmington)	(UNC-Wilmington)
09/28/2021 04:00 PM	Rodney Moore	moore904@gmail.com	Moore Consulting Group	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/28/2021 04:49 PM	Jim Nesbit	jnesbit716@gmail.com	Citizen	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/28/2021 07:34 PM	Manuel Mejía Diaz	Manuel@democracync.org	Democracy NC	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/28/2021 07:47 PM	Isaiah Johnson	ikejohnson@ec.rr.com	Onslow County Democratic Party	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/29/2021 08:58 AM	Rebecca Bond	bondbeckyb@aol.com	none	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/29/2021 10:30 AM	Michael Rush	mrush47@hotmail.com	Brunswick County Democratic Party	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)
09/29/2021 10:40 AM	David Smudski	dsmudski@nc.rr.com	Brunswick GOP	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)	9/29/2021 5:00 PM (UNC-Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (UNC-Wilmington)



Visitor Sign-in

New Hanover County

Redistricting Meeting

September 29, 2021 5:00 PM Lumina Theater

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW AND RETURN TO COMMITTEE CLERK

<u>NAME</u>	<u>FIRM OR AGENCY / BILL No.</u>
ROBERT A. STEWART	SELF
Sue Marsh	Self
Pam Leonard	self
Dan Leonard	self
Angelica Ulmer	self
NAKIYA Smith	Black Voters Matter
Lucy Krueger	self
Tyler Fulton	League of Women Voters
Matthew Embosky	self
JOANNE LEBITAN	SELF
MIKE RUSH	Brunswick County Dem. Party



Visitor Sign-in

New Hanover County

Redistricting Meeting

September 29, 2021 5:00 PM Lumina Theater

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW AND RETURN TO COMMITTEE CLERK

<u>NAME</u>	<u>FIRM OR AGENCY / BILL No.</u>
Mania Garcia-Ortega	self
Mark Lanier	UNCW
Mike Hartnett	self
Mia Bach	self
Kate Tellman	self
Briana Brough	AOTC NC
Rebecca Bond	self
Tony Barway	self
Mason McVeety	self / UNCW
Manuel Mejia Diaz	Democracy NC
Philip White	self



Visitor Sign-in

New Hanover County

Redistricting Meeting

September 29, 2021 5:00 PM Lumina Theater

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW AND RETURN TO COMMITTEE CLERK

<u>NAME</u>	<u>FIRM OR AGENCY / BILL No.</u>
Sonya Bennetou-Patrick	
Kerb Harton	
Marlene Barney	LWV of the Lower Cape Fear
Deborah Dicks Maxwell	NHC NAACP/NCCoord
Rebecca Philpott	
Richard Poole	New Hanover County Dem Party
Aja Bullock	Democracy NC
Nadine Gibson	UNCW
Dave Smith	
Marla Barthen	LWV of the lower Cape fear
McLennan Raczewski	



Visitor Sign-in

New Hanover County

Redistricting Meeting

September 29, 2021 5:00 PM Lumina Theater

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW AND RETURN TO COMMITTEE CLERK

<u>NAME</u>	<u>FIRM OR AGENCY / BILL No.</u>
Theodore Fulb	
Barbara A. Carrow	NA
Bill Moore	Brunswick ct
Judy B. Smith	NA
John W. Shady	
Bob Phillips	Common Cause NC
Claire Stone	
Nancy O'Donohue	
M. P. DeL	
Margaret Hickman	Wilm.



Visitor Sign-in

New Hanover County

Redistricting Meeting

September 29, 2021 5:00 PM Lumina Theater

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW AND RETURN TO COMMITTEE CLERK

<u>NAME</u>	<u>FIRM OR AGENCY / BILL No.</u>
JKKland	
D Calvin	
Hannah O'Brien	
Carolyn Bronson	
Kaylee Hoyte	
Frederick Bingham	
David Smudski	
AARON KWB	
Erykah Arvizu	
Kerth Graham	
Sarah Kate Brinson	



Visitor Sign-in

New Hanover County

Redistricting Meeting

September 29, 2021 5:00 PM Lumina Theater

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW AND RETURN TO COMMITTEE CLERK

<u>NAME</u>	<u>FIRM OR AGENCY / BILL No.</u>
Helen Brownson	
C. Dwyer Johnson	
Kathryn Hedgpeth	
Dr. Bobbie Richardson	NCDP, Chair
Kawion Oheal	
J. J. [unclear]	
Fisher Brinson	
Zach Lemons	
Cheyenne Gardner	
James Morarty	
Eileen Stephens	



Visitor Sign-in

New Hanover County

Redistricting Meeting

September 29, 2021 5:00 PM Lumina Theater

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW AND RETURN TO COMMITTEE CLERK

<u>NAME</u>	<u>FIRM OR AGENCY / BILL No.</u>
Debbie Love	NHCGOP
Jeremy Lee	
Brianne Binford	
Justina Guardino	
Caroline Bunc	
Donna Moriarty	
Lillian Beecroft	
Lesly Wiseman	
Margaret Mathews	
James Nestor	NHC DEM
Will Knecht	NHC GOP



Visitor Sign-in

New Hanover County

Redistricting Meeting

September 29, 2021 5:00 PM Lumina Theater

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW AND RETURN TO COMMITTEE CLERK

<u>NAME</u>	<u>FIRM OR AGENCY / BILL No.</u>
Ed Walsh SR	
Jacqueline Walsh	
Kyle Lewis	
MARCIA MORGAN	
Jake Smith	
Matt Bennett	WWAY
Dorian Cothran	NAACT
JIM FLEISLE	
ROBERT W. MOORE	
Alyssa Buoniconiti	
Bobby Rudder	



Visitor Sign-in

New Hanover County

Redistricting Meeting

September 29, 2021 5:00 PM Lumina Theater

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW AND RETURN TO COMMITTEE CLERK

<u>NAME</u>	<u>FIRM OR AGENCY / BILL No.</u>
NICK Craun	
Lawrence Jansen	
Frank Williams	
Ed Walsh	
Hunter Moon	
Madeleine Henbey	
Ellen HARRIS	
SAILOR JONES	
GIRARD Newkirk	
Angelique Rodriguez	
Cristo Salazar	



Visitor Sign-in

New Hanover County

Redistricting Meeting

September 29, 2021 5:00 PM Lumina Theater

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW AND RETURN TO COMMITTEE CLERK

<u>NAME</u>	<u>FIRM OR AGENCY / BILL No.</u>
<i>[Signature]</i>	
<i>[Signature]</i>	
Auan Lykins	
Maria Mayorga	
Karina Noyola	El Pueblo inc.
Annie Thi	
Huber Suarez	El pueblo Inc.
BRADFORD HAWKING	—
Roberta Williams	
deAndre Corn. He	Cape Fear Peninsula
<i>[Signature]</i>	



Visitor Sign-in

New Hanover County

Redistricting Meeting

September 29, 2021 5:00 PM Lumina Theater

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW AND RETURN TO COMMITTEE CLERK

<u>NAME</u>	<u>FIRM OR AGENCY / BILL No.</u>
Iliana Samhila	El Pueblo
Hollis Briggs Jr	Self
Kathy Chenoweth	Self
Jessica Meyer	Self
Lucia L. Hill	Self
Deborah	Self
Kathy Cozza	Self
BRIAN CLARK	Self

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/14/2021 2:10:08 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Friday, October 15, 2021 at 9:00 AM
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: Friday, October 15, 2021

TIME: 9:00 AM

LOCATION: 643 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Pursuant to House Rule 28.2, a WebEx link will be provided to Committee Members and staff the day of the meeting. A link to the Audio/Video livestream will be posted on the NCGA Legislative Calendar: <https://www.ncleg.gov/LegislativeCalendar>.

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)"
<Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/18/2021 8:48:50 AM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>
Subject: 643 LOB Webex link
Attachments: House Committee on Redistricting Agenda for 10-18-21 9_00 AM.pdf

Your upcoming virtual committee meeting on House Committee on Redistricting can be accessed from the following link:

<https://ncleg.webex.com/meet/LOB643>

A few friendly reminders:

- Your virtual meeting should be available at least 10 minutes prior to your scheduled meeting time to address any technical problems.
- It is **very important** that this link not be shared. Anyone with the link can access your meeting and there is a limit of the number of participants.
- Non-committee members, staff, and members of the public should plan to listen to the meeting through the audio stream link available on <https://www.ncleg.gov/audio> or watch the meeting live stream at <https://www.ncleg.gov/video>
- Once the meeting is called to order, the meeting will be locked to prevent additional participants from joining automatically. This is for the security of your meeting. Once the meeting is locked, late joining participants will be entered in to a "lobby" until approved to join by the meeting host.
- More information about virtual committee meetings, installing the application, and other ways to work remote with NCGA resources is available at <https://remote.ncleg.gov>

If you have any technical difficulties while trying to access your meeting or during the meeting itself, please contact ISD Support by one of the following methods:

- Email: ISDSupport@ncleg.gov
- Web: <https://isdsupport.ncleg.gov>

Phone: (919) 715-7825

Best,

Chandra Reed

Clerk Assistant | Rep. Destin Hall | 919.301.1638

1429 Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27603



**House Committee on Redistricting
Monday, October 18, 2021 at 9:00 AM
643 Legislative Office Building**

AGENDA

Welcome and Opening Remarks

Introduction of Sergeant-at-Arms

Presentations

Other Business

Adjournment

From: "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)"
<Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/19/2021 8:42:09 AM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Webex link 9AM
Attachments: House Committee on Redistricting Agenda for 10-19-21 9_00 AM.pdf

Your upcoming virtual committee meeting on House Committee on Redistricting can be accessed from the following link:

<https://ncleg.webex.com/meet/LOB643>

A few friendly reminders:

- Your virtual meeting should be available at least 10 minutes prior to your scheduled meeting time to address any technical problems.
- It is **very important** that this link not be shared. Anyone with the link can access your meeting and there is a limit of the number of participants.
- Non-committee members, staff, and members of the public should plan to listen to the meeting through the audio stream link available on <https://www.ncleg.gov/audio> or watch the meeting live stream at <https://www.ncleg.gov/video>
- Once the meeting is called to order, the meeting will be locked to prevent additional participants from joining automatically. This is for the security of your meeting. Once the meeting is locked, late joining participants will be entered in to a "lobby" until approved to join by the meeting host.
- More information about virtual committee meetings, installing the application, and other ways to work remote with NCGA resources is available at <https://remote.ncleg.gov>

If you have any technical difficulties while trying to access your meeting or during the meeting itself, please contact ISD Support by one of the following methods:

- Email: ISDSupport@ncleg.gov
- Web: <https://isdsupport.ncleg.gov>

Phone: (919) 715-7825

Best,

Chandra Reed

Clerk Assistant | Rep. Destin Hall | 919.301.1638

1429 Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27603



**House Committee on Redistricting
Tuesday, October 19, 2021 at 9:00 AM
643 Legislative Office Building**

AGENDA

Welcome and Opening Remarks

Introduction of Sergeant-at-Arms

Presentations

Other Business

Adjournment

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 8/12/2021 4:30:09 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Wednesday, August 18, 2021 at 9:00 AM (Joint)
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
JOINT COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet **JOINTLY** as follows:

DAY & DATE: Wednesday, August 18, 2021

TIME: 9:00 AM

LOCATION: 643 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Discussion of public hearing

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street |
Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)"
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 8/12/2021 3:49:11 PM -0400
To: SEN - Senate Redistricting & Elections <SEN-SenateRedistrictingElections@ncleg.gov>; HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Public Comments, 8.12.21
Attachments: Public Comment 8.12.21.pdf

All,

Attached please find the public comments submitted between 3:00 pm yesterday and 3:00 pm today.

Thank you,

Erika C

Erika Churchill
Staff Attorney, Legislative Analysis Division
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury St, Suite 500B
Raleigh, NC 27603
(919)733-2578

2021 Redistricting Public Comments Public Comments Report - LINC

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 03:02 PM	Dr. Pamela Schwingl	Friends Committee on North Carolina Legislation (FCNCL)	<p>My name is Pamela Schwingl, PhD. and I live in Cedar Grove, NC and am a member of the policy committee of the Friends Committee on North Carolina Legislation (FCNCL.org). Our organization supports electoral reform, led by the Religious Society of Friends' (Quaker) testimonies of Equality and Integrity and in support of our minute on anti-racism. In this capacity we would like to make two comments and ask the committee to amend their proposed redistricting criteria – deleting one criteria, and adding another.</p> <p>First, while you are not allowing racial and partisan data as inputs into the map-making software, this does not prohibit your knowledge of racial and partisan makeup of the districts to influence the map drawing. As an example, including “consideration of member residence” in the criteria actually works against this illusory impartial provision, as it is likely to bake-in partisan gains from the racial and partisan gerrymandering that has occurred since 2010. Our ask is for you to remove “Consideration of Member Residence” from the criteria.</p> <p>Second, because our organization supports the values of equality and integrity, inclusion of the principal of proportionality in the redistricting criteria is important to us. In Ohio, for example, for state legislative districts, favoring an incumbent or party is prohibited, and their criteria insist that any partisan lean of state legislative districts should be proportional to the statewide preferences of Ohio voters. In North Carolina, we know that the preference of voters is about evenly divided, yet we have a serious imbalance in representation in the state House and Senate. We ask that criteria be included that would address this imbalance in state districts and reflect the proportion of voters statewide.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration.</p> <p>Pam Schwingl On behalf of the NC Quaker lobby, Friends Committee on NC Legislation (FCNCL)</p>
08/11/2021 03:05 PM	Mr JOHN HAFELI		<p>Voting rights are foundational to maintaining our republic, our experiment in democracy. Denying or disenfranchising any single person of that right for any reason is simply un-American. For these reasons, It is SO important that we get the decennial redistricting right. My thoughts on the recently published criteria follow.</p> <p>The needs of each community differ, this goes without saying. Respecting Communities of Interest is critically important to get representative maps in NC. Community considerations should be a high priority in this process, not last, as proposed.</p> <p>Congressional and state districts should fairly represent the people in our state and what they want. To do this, the General Assembly should use a proportionality criteria like that used in Ohio.</p> <p>Also, how will you ensure and improve upon transparency in this process? Th NC General Assembly has a terrible reputation for its opaque, smoke-filled room processes. Put an end to this. Expose the entire process to the public; hold multiple public forums, both in-person and virtual, allowing for citizen input; do not rush the process unnecessarily simply to meet deadlines that were established before the pandemic delayed the Census data collection.</p> <p>Thank you for listening.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 03:15 PM	Jonathan Holt		<p>We need redistricting that is fair, non-partisan and completely transparent. The recent court-ordered redistricting efforts were a step in the right direction but they were not nearly enough to restore trust in North Carolina's political systems. Secret, partisan, computer-generated gerrymandering is corrosive and immoral, whether or not there is racist intent. Given our state's history on this issue, the whole world will be watching what legislators do in response to the latest census data. But the greatest impact of unfair or non-transparent redistricting will be on our own populace (including those who consider themselves in favor of a partisan tilt), because it will alienate and polarise rather than bringing our state together behind our common civic duties and shared opportunities to grow, to learn and to thrive. Ultimately we need an independent redistricting commission that takes the process out of the hands of either party. Failing that, it is imperative that the process be open, transparent and bipartisan from beginning to end. "What we can get away with" is not an acceptable standard anymore. Please check your agendas and party affiliations at the door and do not embarrass us yet again.</p>
08/11/2021 03:27 PM	Jay Kennett		<p>I live in the city of Burlington and for the last ten years the shape of my Congressional district has changed in every election, and frequently my NC house and Senate districts have changed. At one point I was in a different Congressional District than my neighbors a block away. I support nonpartisan and non gerrymandered drawing of our districts. Particularly it is important that the process be transparent with the public posting of prospective maps with time for comments and feedback. Now is the opportunity to show, that you as you have promised to do, you are elected to represent all the people and demonstrate this through assuring that those voices and votes are important.</p>
08/11/2021 03:30 PM	Dr. Patricia Sawin		<p>As I voter I take my responsibility seriously to become well informed and to make careful decisions about the candidate to vote for. I expect you to respect me and to make my vote count by drawing fair (not gerrymandered) districts in which there can be real competition and candidates have to be clear with voters about their plans and values.</p>
08/11/2021 03:30 PM	Jennifer Grosswald		<p>I request that the General Assembly adopt a fair, transparent and inclusive redistricting process with a reasonable timeline allowing all North Carolinians to have an opportunity to participate, specifically:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Immediately after the August 16 data release, start the redistricting process. 2. By August 21, release processed block data for community use. 3. By September 15, disclose initial draft maps. 4. By October 6, submit final proposed maps to the General Assembly. 5. Provide a simple state government website for all redistricting information. 6. Allow public comment before initial draft maps are drawn. 7. Allow public access and comment on draft and revised maps. 8. Permit written and oral public comment. 9. Hold accessible public hearings throughout the state.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 03:31 PM	Mr. Thomas Davis	Unaffiliated Voters	<p>10. Provide two weeks-notice of public hearings.</p> <p>11. Disclose all criteria systems and data used in drawing maps.</p> <p>12. Perform all map drawing and revising in public view.</p> <p>13. Ensure quality video and audio broadcast in public meetings.</p> <p>14. Disclose all third parties engaged in redistricting.</p> <p>Such a redistricting process will contribute to better maps, engage all NC citizens, and improve public trust in our democracy.</p>
08/11/2021 03:31 PM	Dr. Philip Lawless	Retired Physicist	<p>As a citizen and voter here in North Carolina, for decades we have watched gerrymandering as a robust agenda denying many voters their constitutional rights under the constitution to have fair and free elections. Republicans are terrified of having fair elections so they continue to gerrymander the boundaries for voting blocks that favor republicans. Why not draw straight lines as opposed to "snake lines" that travel all over a map. But then, there are snakes in the General Assembly dictating where lines will be drawn. Retrumplicans are scared that they might lose the House and Senate in a fair election process. Sick of you people and your limited minds.</p> <p>Ten years ago, I watched as the General Assembly redrew the election maps in a most appalling way. I looked into the problem of evaluating measures of compactness which our constitution does explicitly require to be considered. It became clear as more and more adjustments were made to each draft that the compactness was being taken away deliberately. There was never any attempt to use compactness as a goal and as a result, North Carolina had some of the least compact districts in the nation.</p> <p>I certainly hold hope that the redistricting exercise facing us will be done with more fairness and respect than occurred the last time.</p>
08/11/2021 03:36 PM	Ms. Jeanne Doom	Myself	<p>My name is Jeanne Doom and I live in Thomasville, NC.</p> <p>It boils down to this: Voters don't matter in North Carolina. The people are roughly split with half Republicans and right leaning Unaffiliated voters and half Democrats and left leaning Unaffiliated voters in this state. Yet we have 10 Republican members of the US house of Representatives and 3 Democratic. It has been that way since I moved here. Why? Because our State House Gerrymandered the districts to cram as many Democrats into as few districts as possible leaving the rest the congressional districts to be pretty solidly Republican.</p> <p>Instead of following the same path, we should adopt criteria that give us a chance to have competitive elections. Democrats and Republicans should have an equal chance to win in Congressional Districts. Districts might change parties over time. People would feel that their vote counts. They matter.</p> <p>I do Voter Registration at community events several times a year. The most frequent response I get from folks is that their vote here doesn't count. Why should they bother to register? This is what people see in Davidson County. This is the main discussion when voting is discussed. This is not healthy for our democracy. It does not bode well for our</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 03:36 PM	Dr. Robin August		<p>children, grandchildren and those beyond to thrive in a healthy democracy. Please stand up for what you know is right: Create Competitive Districts.</p> <p>Since it has just been a little over 24 hours since you have released redistricting info and you have given almost no time for citizens of North Carolina to review, it is impossible to believe that many of the Legislators have the intention to gerrymander districts once again! We will once again experience corruption and immorality by those who are supposed to fairly represent its citizens. If you had given your citizens the appropriate amount of time to make comments, I wouldn't be so upset but this appears to be another scam.</p> <p>PLEASE EXPLAIN TO ME WHY THERE HAS NOT BEEN GIVEN APPROPRIATE TIME TO EVALUATE WHETHER YOUR REDISTRICTING IS FAIR AND IMPARTIAL!!</p> <p>I expect a clear answer to this.</p>
08/11/2021 03:39 PM	Katherine DuMont		<p>Until NC can remove politics from the redistricting process by initiating a "Voters not Politicians" commission--I implore you to employ fairness in your deliberations. Fairness above all else.</p>
08/11/2021 03:42 PM	Dr. David Sokal	Retired	<p>With North Carolina's recent history of gerrymandering congressional maps, I hope that this round goes differently. These new maps should be drawn to reflect the actual will of the people of North Carolina, not just whichever party happens to be in the majority at the time. Communities should not be divided with their voting power diluted by gerrymandering. The voters should choose their representatives, not the other way around. There are methodologies for redistricting available that make fair representation possible and the Legislature should adopt one of them.</p> <p>Full transparency of the process should be enhanced by having any and all meetings and discussions regarding redistricting open to the public and/or live-streamed over the Internet.</p>
08/11/2021 03:43 PM	Dr. Kathryn Pollak		<p>To Whom It May Concern:</p> <p>I am writing to ask that a non-partisan body draw the new district maps. This way we can insure that neither party gets an advantage in how the districts are drawn. Thank you for considering.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Dr. Kathryn Pollak</p>
08/11/2021 03:51 PM	Linda Crivaro		<p>When voting on the redistricting criteria, please be fair and transparent. Please allow for public access to the map drawing process.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
08/11/2021 03:52 PM	Ms B Sheila Clendenning		<p>I suggest the Redistricting and Elections Committees create an Advisory Commission. I recommend the Advisory Commission members be selected after the model specified by SB 673 (2019-2020), for a North Carolina Citizens Redistricting Commission. I recommend this Advisory Commission be empowered to host twenty sessions for citizen comments. I recommend said Commission be supported by a stipend of \$1,200 each month that the Advisory Commission meets as well as travel and subsistence. The Advisory Commission should take as its initial objectives for redistricting criteria the following, to be</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 03:53 PM	Sr Julián A JIMENEZ	Asociación Dominicana	<p>supplemented by its conclusions as to relevant public comment:</p> <p>(1) legislative districts must comply with Sections 3 and 5 of Article II of the North Carolina Constitution;</p> <p>(2) Congressional districts must minimize the number of split counties, municipalities, and other communities of interest (precincts must not be split except as necessary to comply with federal law and districts must be contiguous);</p> <p>(3) the ideal population for a district is the number of members in a plan divided by the population of the State as reported by the decennial census (the population for a legislative district must be within 5% of the ideal population for that district, which congressional districts must each have a population that is as nearly equal as practicable to the ideal population, but in all cases within 0.1% of the ideal population for that district;</p> <p>(4) legislative and congressional districts must comply with the following: State and federal law; districts must be composed of convenient contiguous territory; areas which meet only at the points of adjoining corners are not contiguous; to the extent practicable, districts must be compact and districts should not bypass nearby communities for more distant communities; and the Commission must not consider electoral results, political considerations, or incumbency in the preparation of districts.</p> <p>I urge the Redistricting Committees to recommend repeal of GS 120-133 concerning the confidentiality of redistricting communications.</p>
08/11/2021 03:53 PM	Mr. BRUCE CONNER	N/A	<p>I live in Durham, NC, and am particularly interested in seeing the redistricting creates congressional, state house, state senate, and local districts that overall are not skewed to partisan advantage, and that incentivize representatives to represent the interests both of their districts and of the state citizenry as a whole.</p> <p>I would propose the following changes to the proposed principles</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There should be no "packing" and no "cracking" of areas of which disproportionately favors any one party in order to gain partisan advantage in the overall party makeup of the

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 03:55 PM	Dr Sondra Stein		<p>U.S. house delegation, the state house and senate, or local legislative and judicial districts</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The "Racial Data" principle should be amended or replaced by a principle that includes the necessity of using such data to comply with federal voting rights requirements • One principle should favor the preservation of communities of interest in districts beyond formal political subdivisions. These could include colleges and universities, neighborhoods, etc. <p>As you develop and agree to criteria for drawing new state and Congressional maps for North Carolina, I urge you to keep foremost in your minds that we voters want to be the ones who choose our representatives; we do not want our representatives to choose us. The redrawing of districts should be about democracy, not party politics.</p> <p>Districts should be drawn so that they do not favor one political party over another. Nor should districts capriciously divide communities of interest, whether they be neighborhoods, racial or ethnic groups, or college campuses. Sentiment expressed in the past by those drawing the maps has been blatantly partisan. Our new maps should result in representation that reflects the will of the people, not the will of whichever party is in power.</p> <p>Finally, the Redistricting Committee should assure that voters have maximal opportunities to express their concerns about maps in their districts throughout the entire process, before map drawing begins and after maps are drawn, through in-person and virtual public hearings (to assure safety during the pandemic) and through online public comments. Those community voter concerns should guide the decisions of both the Committee and the legislature as they develop and finalize maps..</p>
08/11/2021 03:58 PM	Mary McInerney		<p>I live in High Point and own my own home. I am a retired graphic artist.</p> <p>I am tired of districts being squished and squashed to give advantage to one party over another. Bulletin: Neither party is exactly a gift package, but griping that both sides gerrymander to their own advantage is ridiculous. ("But Billy does it!" didn't work with your mother 30 years ago and it doesn't work now.)</p> <p>Redrawing by total population, contiguity, and municipal boundaries make sense.</p> <p>The criteria listed seem logical, EXCEPT "Member Residence". First, it is not explained, and if it means a district will be drawn so that a current legislator's residence will be included, that defeats a good portion of the redistricting. Voting residents are bounced around without a word (We were forced to trade in Alma Adams for Ted Budd in the 13th district-whose idea was **THAT**?)</p> <p>The point of redistricting is to let like-minded people vote for like-minded people and that is more likely to happen if the lines are drawn by the first three criteria.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 04:00 PM	Marie Hopkins		<p>We won't have an opportunity to get it right for another 10 years. Don't mess it up. Please.</p> <p>People should choose their politicians, not the other way around. Our gerrymandering has made us the laughing stock of the U.S. FIX IT. Voting rights are fundamental rights. Protect them. Do your job. Redistrict CORRECTLY.</p>
08/11/2021 04:01 PM	Prentice Weldon	Davidson County voter	<p>I taught social studies in the public schools for over 30 years. It is clear that gerrymandering is an unfair technique by one dominant party to keep control of a district. It is done to maintain control of the district. the districts should be redrawn as population shifts or increases...not to skew the results. NC has had years of Republican gerrymandered districts. Review the court cases of 2019 which was a "357 page decision that the state's legislative districts violated the NC constitution."</p> <p>"The 2017 Enacted Maps, as drawn, do not permit voters to freely choose their representative but rather representatives are choosing voters...."</p>
08/11/2021 04:09 PM	Mr. Donald Harland	Hospital nurse	<p>Congressional districts should not be drawn to favor any political party. Different communities have different needs, and respecting Communities of Interest is important to get maps that represent our state. Community considerations should be a high priority in this process rather than last. I believe that our districts should represent the people in our state and what they want fairly. To do this, the General Assembly should use a proportionality criteria like that used in Ohio. Here are some ideas from other states that help insure fairness:</p> <p>Incumbency Protection (Ohio): The place of residence of any incumbent or political candidate shall not be considered in the creation of a map. Districts shall not be drawn for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against an incumbent, political candidate, or political party.</p> <p>Competitiveness (Colorado): For purposes of this subsection (3), "competitive" means having a reasonable potential for party affiliation of the district's representative to change at least once between decennial censuses. Competitiveness may be measured by factors such as a proposed district's past election results, proposed district's political party registration data, and evidence-based analyses"</p> <p>Proportionality (Ohio): The statewide proportion of districts whose voters, based on statewide state and federal partisan general election results during the last ten years, favor each political party shall correspond closely to the statewide preferences of the voters.</p> <p>Also, there is no need for districts to have to have equal populations within 5%. Congressional boundaries should be determined by geological boundaries. Municipal boundaries should not be be considered. Boundaries should make it easy for people to vote and not favor any political party. Each congressional district should allow for multiple</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 04:09 PM	Mrs Carole Schaefer		<p>polling places.</p> <p>The only way this should go through is if every person in NC has equal access and ability to vote without any barriers. That includes the disabled, seniors, minorities and rural voters. Gerrymandered districts were designed to segregate minority voters. This is unconstitutional and must not continue in any form. Please do the right thing and stop the discriminatory practices now.</p>
08/11/2021 04:15 PM	Barbara Stanwick		<p>I don't understand why districts are not just existing counties. You already have government offices within county seats. Cluster 2 or 3 adjacent counties together and use the lines that are already drawn. The gerrymandering is ridiculous.</p>
08/11/2021 04:17 PM	Reverend Nestor Gomez		<p>My name is Pr. Nestor Gomez and I live in Wake County. I do a lot of work in the Latino community and am the co-founder of a community-based organization named Comunidad Vida Nueva NC. I am concerned about the redistricting criteria that specifically excludes consideration of racial data. I am a father and have had the unique experience of one of my children attending a high school in northern Wake County with a predominantly white, affluent student body. Another of my children has the experience of attending a high school in southern Wake County with a student body that was majority people of color and working class. The difference between the resources and quality of education between the two schools could not be more stark with clear advantages for the school with the majority white student body. If the legislature excludes racial data from consideration, they will be excluding both the history and the current reality of our communities. They can not prevent future discrimination and disenfranchisement if they do not take racial and ethnic data into account to ensure fair districts. In addition, I suggest that the public comment and public hearing schedule be adjusted to provide advance notice and convenient timing so that working people and parents are able to participate in the creation of fair districts. Thank you for your time and consideration.</p>
08/11/2021 04:18 PM	Cathy Buckley		<p>Please ensure an open process, where all discussions are live-streamed and the reasoning behind all decisions are both explained publicly and subject to public input.</p> <p>Please draw districts that honor community boundaries and that are not biased to help a political party.</p> <p>Please have the draft plans reviewed by non-partisan political scientists, their reviews to be shared with the public.</p>
08/11/2021 04:21 PM	Lou Harned		<p>Hello!</p> <p>My name is Lou Harned from Guilford County.</p> <p>Many people recall when the legislature drew new lines through the middle of A & T University to create a new district.</p> <p>That redistricting not only divided a well-defined community of interest: it also diluted the power of Guilford County voters.</p> <p>I propose we include the following three criteria for redistricting:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. District lines should respect Communities Of Interest with shared social, ethnic, economic or cultural interests and also those that are linked by common transportation.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
	Rev. Craig Schaub	Parkway United Church of Christ	<p>2. A District must not be drawn for the purpose of protecting or defending an incumbent.</p> <p>3. A District must not dilute voting strength of racial or language minority populations.</p> <p>As leaders, please promote balance and fairness with this process.</p> <p>Thank you very much -</p>
08/11/2021 04:24 PM	Rev. Craig Schaub	Parkway United Church of Christ	<p>Our state's districting has been so overwhelmed by judicial fights, let's create a fair process to begin with this time. I am concerned about more clarity in regard to the "Community Considerations" aspect of the districting criteria that will be used so that it does not become a cloak for partisan jockeying. The process itself must have full transparency before the voters, with public input along the way. We should not ignore the approaches of states that have minimized legal challenge.</p>
08/11/2021 04:28 PM	JESSICA COATES		<p>This must all be transparent and open to public viewing (probably virtually). No maps should be drawn to favor either party. Base them on population. Please let constituents attend meetings throughout the state to weigh in - with a virtual option.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
08/11/2021 04:31 PM	tanya meyer		<p>the founders would agree that an independent Redistricting Committee would be an important start to maintaining our democratic republic. The US was founded on this. We must conserve our republic.</p>
08/11/2021 04:32 PM	Jessica Sullivan		<p>When considering criteria for this redistricting cycle, the General Assembly should take communities of interest into account. These communities are groups that share histories, priorities, or institutional affiliations. For example, college and university campuses should be considered communities of interest and kept together, not divided between districts. Prioritizing the integrity of communities of interest enables them to elect representatives who best align with their specific needs.</p>
08/11/2021 04:32 PM	Mrs AnnMarie Clark		<p>My name is AnnMarie Clark and I live on High Rock Lake in Davidson County. I have been a North Carolina resident since 2013. I am writing regarding the redistricting criteria because fair and equitable representation at the local, state, and federal levels is the minimum of what citizens should be provided by their government. I have concerns that the criteria proposed will not achieve that fair and equitable representation for all citizens.</p> <p>I strongly encourage the preservation of all municipal boundaries, unless a justified case can be documented for doing otherwise. Preserving communities of interest encourages unity among citizens and makes it easier for people to engage constructively with their friends and neighbors in civic issues of interest. It is imperative that communities are encouraged to work together to achieve goals and work with trusted local representatives.</p> <p>The time for protecting incumbent elected officials has certainly passed. I am hoping that you will ensure that we can return to the time of having voters pick their representatives rather than having districts drawn where representatives pick their voters. Our state and federal elected officials need to be representative of all citizens of North Carolina. In our county, all County Commissioners are considered "At Large" positions. This precludes</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 04:32 PM	Linda Duda		concentrated pockets of voters from having representation that represents their values. This is the fair playing field I hope you are trying to achieve as the new district lines are drawn.
			I would also hope that the process for redistricting will involve meaningful public input, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and that the legislature will be responsive to those comments.
			Thank you for being open to comment from citizens which this activity will greatly affect. AnnMarie Clark 144 Riverside Court Lexington NC 27292 clarkamc5@aol.com 571-426-5242
08/11/2021 04:32 PM	Linda Duda		At present, our NC Senate District 20 encompasses a wide variety of communities which have an exceedingly wide range of needs/interests. Our county, Durham, has very different needs from both Granville and Person counties. While we are becoming a much more urban community with development, affordable housing, and infrastructure needs, Granville and Person have more significant rural concerns. Reconsidering this county grouping is of utmost importance. I am also concerned about the boundaries created by our NC House District 30. Given the tremendous increase in the number of people moving to our area, it will be quite important to consider the current needs of those in District 30. Again, grouping will play a key role. We do not want polarized districts throughout NC. We want a fair, transparent process that truly engages in creating equitable, balanced districts. Thank you, Linda Duda
08/11/2021 04:32 PM	Greg Stewart		North Carolina is a purple state. Republicans make up the smallest number of registered voters in our state, following Democrats and Unaffiliateds, in that order. A North Carolina Republican majority in the NC House, Senate, and in Congress does not represent the citizens of North Carolina.
			End gerrymandering in North Carolina by allowing district planning by an independent and non-partisan committee or a computer program such as B-Districting. Packing and cracking what would otherwise be Democratic districts is unconstitutional.
			Incumbency should not be a consideration when drawing districts! Politicians should not be allowed to pick their voters. Republicans are stealing elections and the majority of North Carolinians are getting really pissed off about it!
08/11/2021 04:32 PM	Eleanor Collins		Let's have fair districts. Let's put an end to gerrymandering. Would it be possible to have an outside, non-partisan group do this?
08/11/2021 04:35 PM	Kate Dennstaedt		Redistricting should not be done by politicians, any politician from any party. We should use an independent commission for both congressional and state legislative districts.
08/11/2021 04:42 PM	Helen Evans		On redistricting, eliminate closed-door sessions that plagued NCGA in the past 10 years. Provide a redistricting timeline that ensures public comment at every step of the process,

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>including public comment solicited before and after draft maps are drawn; In-person hearings throughout the state, with options for providing written public comment as well</p> <p>Broadcasting public hearings and making all proposed maps fully accessible to the public.</p>
08/11/2021 04:43 PM	Thomas Fehsenfeld	Retired	<p>At 72 years old, I have seen dozens of changes in party control, both at the executive and legislative levels. (And America always survived.) That is the natural order of events in a competitive democracy when both parties judge the voters' mood and present their best ideas. I hope you will strive to create fair districts so we can have a government dialog of ideas and values instead of whether the majority party tipped the scales in its favor.</p>
08/11/2021 04:43 PM	Mrs Leslie Carey		<p>You cannot break up counties.</p>
08/11/2021 04:55 PM	Dr. Jennifer Bremer	(representing myself)	<p>The following improvements to the draft criteria are necessary to ensure maps providing accountability and transparency to NC's voters:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contiguity: a better criterion for contiguity would be: "Legislative and congressional districts shall be comprised of contiguous territory. Contiguity by water is sufficient, with the exception that plots of land connected only by a stream or river flowing upstream or downstream from one to the other shall not be considered contiguous. Every part of a district should in principle be accessible by land from every other part without passing through another district." • County groupings: a better criterion for the second paragraph under County Groupings would be: "Division of counties in the 2021 Congressional plan shall only be made for reasons of equalizing population. If a county is of sufficient population size to contain an entire congressional district within the county's boundaries, the Committees shall construct a district entirely within that county, except that no district may lie wholly within another district." • Racial data: a better criterion for the use of racial data would be: "Racial data shall be used only to determine whether a majority-minority district must be drawn to enable a minority population that is large enough to form the majority in a district to elect the candidates of their choice, in accordance with the Voting Rights Act." The VRA remains the law of the land, despite recent court cases. Moreover, experienced legislators know very well where the concentrations of minority populations (and partisan supporters) are concentrated, so formally excluding racial data is not a meaningful procedure. It just enables those drawing the map to consider race when they want to do so and ignore it otherwise. • A better criterion for VTD splits would be: "Voting districts ('VTDs') should be split only when necessary to meet district population requirements." • Compactness: A totally different standard is needed. The standard stated for compactness is unacceptable. It's past time for Rep. Lewis's little joke to go in the trash. The committee and staff should consult with recognized academic experts in the field, such as Dr. Jonathan Mattingly at Duke, Dr. Moon Duchin at Tufts, Dr. Nate Persily at Stanford, or Dr. Sam Wang at Princeton, to select an appropriate cutoff for Polsby-Popper and Reock and/or to adopt alternative and/or supplemental measure(s) as recommended by these experts. With regard to the article cited, Professor Pildes, the primary author of this article, confirmed to me some time ago that there is no normative standard in his article; the only standard included was for the purpose of selecting the very least compact districts in the country, an exercise which hopefully does not reflect the intention of the

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 04:56 PM	Barbara St Hilaire		<p>NCGA at this time. That standard, a score of 10 out of 100 for the two measures cited, is not suitable as a standard by which to draw districts. (Anyone wishing to read this article can find it here: https://repository.law.umich.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=3199&context=mlr)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Municipal boundaries: A better criterion for municipal boundaries would be: "The Committees shall [may] consider municipal boundaries when drawing districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans and should avoid splitting municipalities unless strictly necessary to comply with district population limits. If a municipality crosses a county cluster boundary, the smaller part(s) should wherever possible be included in a single district together with the larger part(s) for purposes of better representation." • Election data: A better criterion for the use of election data would be: Partisan considerations and election results data shall not be used in the drawing of districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans except where necessary to determine the need for and to draw majority-minority districts or preference/coalition districts to protect the rights of minority populations large enough to form the majority in a district normally to be able to elect the candidates of their choice, as required by the Voting Rights Act. The VRA remains the law of the land. • Member residence: A better criterion for the use of member residence data would be: Member residence may be considered in the formation of legislative and congressional districts only for the purpose of avoiding the drawing of two incumbents into the same district. Member residence shall not otherwise be considered in drawing districts. • Community consideration: A better criterion for community consideration would be: In the formation of legislative and congressional districts, geographically proximate communities whose residents share interests that may be affected by legislation shall be kept together wherever possible in order to achieve better representation of such shared interests. Such interests may include economic, social, historical, cultural, ethnic, environmental, or other interests but do not include voting patterns nor support for or membership in a particular political party. • Additional criteria needed: A growing body of technical literature provides new methods to assess district maps so as to ensure that they reflect the underlying political geography of the state rather than excessively partisan objectives. These techniques, developed in part by North Carolina researchers (notably Duke's Dr. Jonathan Mattingly) should be used by the legislature to produce maps that are not statistical outliers. The maps chosen should each provide a range of districts reflecting North Carolina's political geography, as shown by parameters closely adhering to the mean of the distribution of the ensemble of maps generated using the adopted set of criteria (ideally after resolving the bias inherent in the proposed set of criteria introduced into the committee) and assessed using recent statewide election returns. <p>Fair districting is important for everyone's voice to be heard equally. North Carolina should have representation that is equal to our votes and not distorted by gerrymandering, which makes some votes "weigh less" than others. I am concerned that "contiguity by water" is unfair, as rivers and streams can run a long and winding way and contradict the goal of compactness and keeping towns and counties together as a district. It is important that my vote and my neighbor's vote in the same municipality or county, are represented in the same district rather than the arbitrary divisions which currently separate us. I am asking the Committee to view redistricting in a non-partisan way, to make districts fair such that either a Democrat or a Republican could equally win an area by popular vote within that</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 04:58 PM	Michael Wood		<p>larger community. Voting is core to our democracy, and I am hopeful all of our elected officials understand the importance of us remaining a democracy. Thank you.</p> <p>Hello,</p> <p>My main concern with redistricting is the use of partisan bias to undermine the fundamental democratic principal of "one voice one vote." This cause should clearly be appreciated by democrats and republicans alike. If party x's policies and ideology are really so fantastic, then they should win votes without the tactics of despots.</p> <p>Redistricting rules should include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Districts can only be disconnected in the case of offshore islands - No district can have a compactness measure less than half of the state of North Carolina's compactness, measured both by Reock and Polsby-Popper compactness - Any district that had wasted votes (Stephanopoulos and McGhee 2015) above 2 seats for congressional plans and 8% for state house plans must be re-drawn so that its Reock compactness is in the top 10% of the districts in the state, and the Polsby-Popper compactness is in the top 10% of districts in the state <p>Thank you.</p> <p>Michael Wood Sanford, NC</p>
08/11/2021 05:00 PM	Rachel Gallagher		<p>I am Rachel Gallagher from Guilford County. Fair redistributing is important for me to ensure that all North Carolinians feel that they have a voice in our legislative bodies. Democracy works best when all voters participate and fair districts help engage voters. We are supposed to be a nation by the people, which means voters should be choosing their representatives, not representatives choosing their voters. To that end, I do NOT think the place of residence of any incumbent should be considered in the redistributing process. Districts should not be drawn for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against an incumbent, political candidate, or political party. Also, I believe that districts should represent the people in our state and what they want fairly. To do this, the General Assembly should use a proportionality criteria like that used in Ohio. Please make the compactness of districts and keeping communities of interest together a higher priority.</p>
08/11/2021 05:00 PM	Lawrence Jansen		<p>My name is Lawrence Jansen and I live in Holly Ridge, Onslow County, District 13. I write to encourage establishing fair, rational district boundaries, and emphasize the priority of the following criteria.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Keep municipalities together. I live just off Topsail Island, midpoint between Jacksonville and Wilmington, just north of the Pender County line. Along this stretch of the coast, the division of districts along the county boundaries splits the towns of Holly Ridge and Surf City between Districts 13 and 7. Topsail Island, and wholly discrete community, is similarly divided. Divided as we are, 2. Include communities of interest together in the same district. The sphere of my regular life activities extends from Jacksonville to Wilmington, and over to Topsail Island, which

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>describes a coastal community. Our interests, economy, and challenges of growth differ from those of the interior agricultural communities currently included in our district. Recognizing the common interests with each community will encourage constructive advocacy from each community.</p> <p>3. Commit to conducting the Committee's deliberations, findings, and actions open and public. Technology today easily facilitates participation of interested citizens in person and remotely. Meetings and hearings should be open and live streamed online. Maps, findings, and proposed revisions should be made easily accessible from the Committee's web site. The schedule of your activities should allow sufficient time for citizens to study and to respond informedly.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Lawrence Jansen 102 Mardella Way Holly Ridge, NC 28445 larryjansen828@gmail.com</p>
08/11/2021 05:01 PM	Rev. AA Lloyd		<p>One of my highest values is Fairness. The gerrymandering we have had in NC is highly unfair. What justification can you give for splitting a college campus in half to make two districts, unless it is to dilute a likely liberal vote? Legislators should not get to choose their voters, but vice versa. Naturally congregated communities should be kept together in the same district. If a large city has more population than would be equal to other districts, it makes sense to split it, but only in a way that creates reasonably equal representation. The USA has noble ideals, but we have yet to live up to them. Winning through manipulation of power is NOT the American way!</p>
08/11/2021 05:01 PM	Theodora Devereux		<p>Please make our districts fair. Use mathematical models. Keep counties together as much as possible. No snake or iguana shaped districts this time. This should be done by a nonpartisan committee.</p>
08/11/2021 05:03 PM	Dr Terry Wall	Retired from school teaching and, later, student services at NC State University	<p>Our state's lawmakers would be wise to consult their own hearts and souls to draft homegrown solutions from everyday North Carolinians. The public input that they have received contains common sense. We, their constituents, desire that the outcome of work on redistricting makes our state stand out from others as a wise and caring place to cast our votes. I write to request that each of our public servants remember that one of the principles to which they are sworn is to serve the public. May they create a bill for enlightened problem-solving that will create districts that stand through history as examples of fairness.</p>
08/11/2021 05:08 PM	Ralph Besser		<p>We need a fair, transparent, timely, and inclusive process in which all North Carolinians have a reasonable opportunity to participate.</p>
08/11/2021 05:12 PM	Dr Joseph Tanzini		<p>Drawing districts needs to be done by an independent nonpartisan group. We need to be able to fairly pick our representatives.</p>
08/11/2021 05:14 PM	PAT BRYANT	state of nc retired	<p>this process should be handled by an independent commission or body after so many failed attempts and disaster needs to be fair</p>
08/11/2021 05:19 PM	Mr. Kerry Bird	Triangle Native American Society	<p>Voting and having a voice in what happens here in North Carolina is important to all of us. It should not be limited to those with easy access to polling sites. Equal access and</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 05:22 PM	Scott Windham		<p>extended days and hours for voting will give everyone an opportunity to participate. American Indians did not always have the right to vote. We have a higher level of military service than many ethnic groups. We cherish our rights of freedom and representation. Please protect our rights to participate fairly and equally in our electoral process without barriers success as limiting early voting, voting by mailing, or other limitations on absentee voting.</p>
08/11/2021 05:28 PM	Susan Coe		<p>When drawing voting maps, please strive for contiguous districts of equal population size. Please do NOT consider racial, partisan, or other demographic characteristics. Our state and our democracy need a level playing field for all candidates for public office.</p> <p>I'd like to see districts that are compact (not gerrymandered), not obviously partisan, don't cut cities and counties in half to skew results, and that are likely to produce representation that is indicative of the political balance of the state. By that, I mean, not what is in place now that was openly drawn to produce a result that is 11-2 (for House districts) for the Republicans despite there being more Democrats and independents in the state.</p>
08/11/2021 05:31 PM	Ricky Leung	North Carolina Asian Americans Together	<p>My name is Ricky Leung, a current resident of Durham, North Carolina, who has lived in the Tar Heel state for the majority of my life. I am submitting public comments on behalf of North Carolina Asian Americans Together (NCAAT) as the organization's Senior Director of Programs.</p> <p>NCAAT is the state's first pan-Asian organization established specifically to ensure that Asian American communities' voices are heard in all levels of government. Amid the rise of anti-Asian rhetoric over the past year, we know through community conversations that Asian Americans in North Carolina feel less represented as a whole. This is why it is critically important to ensure that the redistricting process is fair, transparent, and inclusive of our communities. This is also why we are particularly concerned about the criteria proposed to ignore racial data during the redistricting process.</p> <p>Not taking into account racial and ethnic data in the redistricting process ignores the history and current reality of discrimination that Asian Americans, immigrants, and communities of color face. This will have a significant impact and will continue to exacerbate feelings of under-representation among Asian American communities</p> <p>We are encouraged that "community consideration" is listed as a criterion for redistricting. However, we are also concerned that the process being used is not a transparent and inclusive one that supports this listed criterion. We hope to see "community consideration" more emphasized in the redistricting process, specifically to also include: more advance notice for public hearings; different times for hearings when working people can attend; more public, direct, and concert efforts to solicit public comment and input from Asian American communities and other communities of color; interpretation of hearings into languages other than English and the opportunity to testify in languages other than English; and public notices shared widely in the ethnic in-language media. Thank you.</p>
08/11/2021 05:32 PM	ms Victoria ruiz	none	<p>Districts should be drawn fairly, not along part lines. The needs of the people should be the first criteria</p>
08/11/2021 05:32 PM	Rev. Carter Heyward	Transylvania NAACP	<p>We implore you -- for the sake of good government, strong democracy, human decency, and common sense -- to draw districts lines without regard for partisanship, race, religion,</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>class, gender or any other marker of our divisions. It is your sworn duty to empower the people of this state to come together in a spirit of unity and common cause. Just and fair redistricting will move us a long way toward that goal.</p> <p>To do this, all redistricting must be done on the basis of the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communities must be kept together. • Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party. • The process should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature should be responsive to those comments. • All map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed. <p>Be assured that you will have our hearty appreciation if you are faithful to these guidelines.</p> <p>Executive Committee of the Transylvania NAACP</p>
08/11/2021 05:34 PM	Mr. Kevin Daniels		Though race is not to be considered in district re-drawing, why isn't political affiliation also to be ignored? If partisan gerrymandering is the source of all the court cases and bickering, then just eliminate it from consideration.
08/11/2021 05:42 PM	Ms.	Isabel Singer	League of Women Voters
			You have a very important process in your hands and it is important to get it right the first time. I agree with all the points in the letter sent to you by the various organizations and especially emphasize the need for transparency in all parts of the process. Redistricting requires that all can see each part of the process and realize that it is fair and not tainted with political leanings. Thank you for doing your best in this time of upheaval. Isabel Singer
08/11/2021 05:43 PM	Martha Zeigler		n/a retired
			Many pundits have identified gerrymandered voting maps as a major cause of political gridlock as usually only the extreme ends of the political spectrum get into office. If you truly love this state and country, then please put the welfare of the community above your compulsion to win no matter what the cost to others and design a nonpartisan aggregation of citizen with similar interests which need representation in a transparent, well-communicated manner. Remember, what goes around comes around.
08/11/2021 05:50 PM	Redistricting in NC Martha Williams		Democratic Women of Davidson County
			I ask for consideration on prohibition on favoring or disfavoring a political party and proportionality.
08/11/2021 05:53 PM	Mrs Sharon Lotz		
			This whole process should NOT be in the hands of legislators!! It should be done by an independent firm that has the expertise to make all districts fair.
08/11/2021 05:58 PM	Mary Susan Sewell		
			The criteria for compactness asks too little of this committee. The study quoted is describing the WORST situations – the least compact districts. That means that this criteria wording basically says that NC will not draw the most horrible districts as measured by compactness. I think NC deserves a much higher bar – that we want all our districts to be in the top 40% of compactness score. At least.
			The criteria for communities of interest is written to basically ignore citizen input by qualifying it with the phrase “So long as the plan complies with the foregoing criteria.” Citizen input offers valuable local knowledge that this committee would not otherwise

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 06:00 PM	Virginia Mischen		<p>have. This criteria should be combined with municipal boundaries and worded that the committee "will" instead of "may" consider both municipal boundaries and communities of interest.</p> <p>As a registered NC voter, I strongly believe that district maps should be drawn fairly and in a process designed to be as independent, nonpartisan, transparent, and participatory as possible. I'm strongly opposed to any maps that would produce gerrymandered districts which would favor one party over any other or preserve the seat of any incumbent legislator. Thank you.</p>
08/11/2021 06:03 PM	Suzanne Tinsley		<p>I am not a politician, I am a voter. I am tired of North Carolina projecting a negative image because our legislators continue to force the outcomes of elections by dividing counties and municipalities for their own political advantage. Redistricting for one party's gain breaks the trust politicians want their constituents have have in them, because it shows they do not trust their constituents to be able to make informed decisions. Indeed, it appears those running for or holding office do not trust their own abilities to appeal to their communities without stacking the deck. We need fair and unbiased distracting in North Carolina.</p>
08/11/2021 06:05 PM	Mrs. Jesse Kaufmann	none	<p>I am ashamed and appalled by our Republican-led State Legislature who is once again in the process of curtailing voting access and skewing elections with careful gerrymandering practices. You are not even trying to slip it by. The blueprint of actions you are taking are well documented in the recent Jane Mayer article in The New Yorker 8/9/21 as well as the funders & funders from on high, same ol' same ol...Koch brothers, Art Pope, and a whole bunch of conservative led corporation heads who want to squeeze every last Dollar out of hard working folks of every color, race, and job description without doing a thing for public health, public schooling, childcare, fair wages, improving climate change practices, prison reform, and ending discrimination of every sort. You are a sad lot of pirates. We need FAIR DISTRICTING not the garbage you are putting forward. STOP THE STEAL & REPRESSION OF VOTES. Use rules of re-districting that are fair. Thank you for taking my comments.</p>
08/11/2021 06:14 PM	Mr. Glenn Shorkey	CDTalent Enterprises	<p>It's my understanding that SCOTUS sent districting/gerrymandering like NC is saddled with back to states. KNOWING the current division was done by someone who, when asked how they could apportion voting districts so that almost without exception, Repubs would hold 10-3 edge forever, they said, "I couldn't figure out how to make it 11-2." THATS NOT RIGHT! They've also submitted 2 "redrawn" maps with the same lousy apportionment, which is NOT what redrawing was supposed to alleviate.</p> <p>If necessary, bring in outsiders that can and will draw reasonable districts that reflect the population's vote, which I believe was 55% Democratic. The person who gets proper division of the state legislature on policy and other matters would be appreciated, and Gov. Cooper would be able to actually govern more effectively. Right now, the states with Repubs holding all the power are getting hammered (FL, TX as examples) by COVID. Lets get the representation NC voters deserve by stopping this gerrymandered bs.</p>
08/11/2021 06:16 PM	Ms Pat Levitin	Indivisible Guilford County	<p>please do not protect the incumbents and also we must protect communities of interest. Last time NC A&T was split - that is crazy</p>
08/11/2021 06:17 PM	Let Voters Choose Jose Acebo IV		<p>LET VOTERS CHOOSE their elected officials instead of elected officials choosing their voters. North Carolina is notorious for gerrymandering. Please end this gerrymandering with an independent redistricting commission tasked with letting voters choose their</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 06:21 PM	Laura Link	USA	<p>elected officials instead of elected officials choosing their voters.</p> <p>North Carolina needs independent redistricting. Neither party can be trusted to oversee the process, the temptation to rig the system is too great. Please create a nonpartisan system.</p>
08/11/2021 06:21 PM	Lyn McCoy	USA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I urge that the wording state that incumbents shall not be protected. In a fair democratic election, incumbents should not have extra protection; they already have incumbent status, which is enough of a leg up. 2. Communities of interest must be protected from being divided. It's clear what they are, A & T University being one example.
08/11/2021 06:22 PM	Tamela Wells		<p>Thank you for taking my comment regarding redistricting. I feel strongly that districts should be compact and comprised of contiguous territory. I also believe that information about race of voters or individuals in a district NOT be considered when drawing districts. Fair districts are critical to the preservation of democracy.</p>
08/11/2021 06:28 PM	Mr. Booker Freeman	Citizen	<p>What a shameful abuse of trust and power. End gerrymandering now and let the people have an honest voice.</p>
08/11/2021 06:29 PM	Mr Bob Kellogg	none	<p>Precision gerrymandering is a slap in the face of a representative democracy. I would like to see North Carolina counties form district boundaries because county lines are not likely to be "adjusted" to suit any political party. Districts could be multiple counties but they must be composed of contiguous counties. This may mean that a populous liberal county would dominate a less populous conservative county (and vice versa) if they are in the same district but this reflects who we are and where we live. We are free to move to where we want to live but politicians should not be free to change our districts to suit an ideology. No system is perfect and there may be problems with my suggestion but it will be better than what we have now and voters and politicians alike will always know that there are stricter and obvious limitations to district boundaries. For example: NC has a population of about 10,000,000 and with 11 districts that is about 909,000 people in a district and since populous counties (Mecklenburg, Wake) already have over 1,000,000 there would be a need for more districts. If politicians and the public really want to get rid of gerrymandering we can find a solution. Do we have to have 11 districts? If we are serious about getting rid of gerrymandering we can fine a way.</p>
08/11/2021 06:45 PM	Suzy Geronimo	Fuerza y unión múltiple	<p>My name is Suzy Geronimo. I live in Vance County Henderson County NC and I write on behalf of my organization Fuerza and Union Multiple FUM. I would like to share comments on the redistricting criteria and process, although I must admit that it has been difficult for me to understand and have complete clarity due to the lack of proper handling with technology. The explanations were excellent and the speakers caught my full attention, at times many things in English affected somewhat my fast interpreting process. From what I understand, it appears that the criteria do not take into account all the specific racial groups in North Carolina and the history of discrimination that we have experienced continues and we must act for our rights and reach out to more communities. It is very important that this process prioritizes the needs and connections between local communities that have suffered discrimination, including us who still experience human rights violations. The connections of these local communities and the prevention of future discrimination should be a higher priority in the criteria. Additionally, the process should be improved to support community members who want to provide input on the redistricting process. Community members should be given more time to prepare testimonials and / or public comments. Information should be shared and publicized widely in the many</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 06:53 PM	Jane Harris		different languages ??spoken in North Carolina and not just English. The majority of the interpreters support the process
08/11/2021 06:53 PM	Jane Harris		I think it is crucial that you NOT take into account incumbency in drawing the new districts. If you do, it could lead to unbalanced districts, regardless of party. This, in turn, will perpetuate the current conflictual state of affairs.
08/11/2021 06:57 PM	Dr Liz Bolton		I believe you should change your language from "may" to "shall" or "will." If you want your constituents to believe that you are acting on their behalf and in good faith, you must commit yourself to whatever criteria you decide upon.
08/11/2021 06:57 PM	Dr Liz Bolton		Please use a neutral computer algorithm to perform redistricting in a non-gerrymandered, bipartisan way representative of the state's overall demographics.
08/11/2021 07:02 PM	Mr Jesse Sookne		I am very concerned about the redistricting process beginning now in the state legislature. I am concerned that many of the failures of past redistricting processes will be repeated. I believe a fair redistricting process must:
08/11/2021 07:02 PM	Mr Jesse Sookne		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Keep communities together in a district * Not create districts that are drawn to unduly favor any political party * Include meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments. The legislature must be responsive to those comments. * Have no map drawing or revisions done in secret. All redistricting activity, including related discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed. <p>Gerrymandering is a scourge on our democracy. It undermines the will of the people and erodes public trust in elected officials, including members of the legislature. I hope that you will take these comments to heart, along with those of other citizens of North Carolina who care about the fairness of this process and the health of the democratic institutions in our state, and proceed with a fair and open redistricting process.</p>
08/11/2021 07:07 PM	Susan Ward		Use the "Define and Combine" strategy developed by Kevin DeLuca and Max Palmer. It's the equivalent of letting your brother cut the last brownie into two pieces but you get to pick which piece you want. Both sides are pushed to be as equal as possible. This gerrymandering crap has to stop and the public, not politicians, need to be involved.
08/11/2021 07:08 PM	Dr James Norris	Several: Justice Collective (of Winston-Salem), Poor Peoples Campaign, Hispanic League, Unitarian Universalist Fellowship (of W-S)	Fair and Just District Lines are fundamental for a Sound Democracy. In past years, district lines have been drawn so as to separate communities of interest (e.g. the splitting of the campus of Historically Black Colleges and Universities, such as North Carolina Agricultural and Technical university and Winston-State University. On the other extreme, individual ribbon-shaped long curving districts have been formed to group predominantly African Americans into a single district- thus taking away their influence in all surrounding areas, and much less proportional Black representation in the legislature. An unbiased group needs to form the districts to make them fair! Also, Often communities of interest are splintered so as to get little or no representation. America says its a democracy, and without fair districts, it is impossible to have a democracy!!
08/11/2021 07:10 PM	Donna Scheidt		Greetings. We are Donna Scheidt and Robert Frederick, residents of Jamestown, North Carolina.
08/11/2021 07:10 PM	Donna Scheidt		We are providing public comment today because we have lived the consequences of

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 07:30 PM	Emily Sharpe	Town of Elon Board of Aldermen	<p>gerrymandering. In recent years, the lines for the state and Congressional districts in which we live changed as the result of courts deciding that these districts had been illegally drawn, using problematic racial and partisan data.</p> <p>One major drawback to living in a gerrymandered district is confusion around who represents you, particularly when your representative changes as the result of a court remedy. Another issue is having a representative who understands the needs and interests of your community. Representatives have to be quick studies of communities that might be otherwise unfamiliar to them when the lines drawn do not significantly prioritize community consideration.</p> <p>Jamestown as a municipality has a strong sense of community. It is why we moved here and one of the features attractive to many of its residents. We support municipal boundaries as an important factor in helping to determine fair and meaningful maps and would like to see this criterion prioritized.</p> <p>Jamestown's sense of itself as a community is in many ways tied to its municipal boundaries. Yet there are features of the community that exceed these, attributes that most anybody living there would point to as part of who we are, including Guilford Technical Community College and the Cedarwood neighborhood. Who a community considers itself to be is also an important consideration and should be prioritized.</p> <p>Communities are also defined with respect to other communities with which they are connected. Jamestown, though preserving a small-town feel, is very much a part of the larger, urban context of High Point and Greensboro. Residents frequently work, pray, and play in these neighboring communities. Issues of development and growth also mean that the municipalities must work together and coordinate. When drawing maps, it would be helpful to keep these community connections in mind rather than sweeping Jamestown into a more rural context in a distinct county.</p> <p>We appreciate the opportunity to provide public input before the map-drawing process and thank you for sharing the proposed criteria of the Joint Redistricting Committee. We hope that you will consider our feedback on the proposed criteria in the effort to draw fair and meaningful maps. We thank you for your efforts and look forward to further opportunities to review and provide feedback on the maps as they are drawn.</p>
08/11/2021 07:31 PM	Katherine Ponder		<p>No more gerrymandered districts please. Every district should be drawn in a fair manner that encourages competition on the issues. NC is tired of politicians who pick their voters, discriminate against people of color, and make voting for candidates more difficult. I want to see fairness and common sense in redistricting reform, nonpolitical gamesmanship.</p>
08/11/2021 07:39 PM	Dr Margaret Quinlan		<p>Please keep our elections fair and representative of the people's choices.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>Impartial and fair redistricting SHOULD: 1-Keep communities together 2-not favor a political party 3- involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature should be responsive to those comments 4-And all map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed</p> <p>An impartial algorithm would be worth considering.</p>
08/11/2021 07:41 PM	Sara Elizabeth Carr		<p>Voting districts need to reflect all North Carolinians equally, and no one party or group should ever be able to assume the power to skew the votes in an attempt to give one party advantage over another. Gerrymandering is a deliberate attempt to disenfranchise a targeted group of voters. The only way that the votes of all voters will truly and equally count is via independent redistricting. Gerrymandering is an assault on democracy's existence.</p>
08/11/2021 07:54 PM	Danette Sumerford		<p>As a voter whose family has a history of military service, I hold voting as core to our freedom and citizenship. I believe districts should represent the people and what they want fairly. I want my vote, and everyone else's vote, to matter. So that that voters choose their elected representatives, rather than the reverse, redistricting decisions should not use the residence of an incumbent or political candidate in the creation of a map. Districts should not be drawn for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against an incumbent, political candidate, or political party.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
08/11/2021 07:56 PM	Mrs. Win Farin	Private citizen	<p>It is absolutely essential that redistricting be done in a transparent, public and fair manner. Racial data, partisan considerations and election results have no place in the determination of Congressional districts. We voters will be watching as this process plays out!!</p>
08/11/2021 07:56 PM	Jessica Sangutei		<p>We should have an independent redistricting committee. We should not have this process led by the majority party as this skews the redistricting priorities. After past redistricting by the Republican leadership has ended up needing intervention by the Supreme Court to promote fairness, it would be extremely important to make this an independent, non-partisan project so that voters' voices and NC communities are truly represented by the vote.</p>
08/11/2021 08:01 PM	Susan Lang		<p>This must be done by a nonpartisan group, preferably from out of state that is unfamiliar with the political demographics and can draw a map solely by geography.</p>
08/11/2021 08:03 PM	Maxine Dalton	Self	<p>This time around I will ask for the same criteria I asked for 10 years ago. My first choice... an independent bi partisan non political commission. My second choice, an honest bipartisan effort to draw up truly competitive districts. I don't know how many more years we have before democracy collapses under the weight of cynical partisan power driven gerrymandering. Not much longer. Hate has been turned loose in our country.</p>
08/11/2021 08:11 PM	Dr. Lori Grifo		<p>I am strongly opposed to redistricting any area in order to achieve a political outcome. Let our voices be heard in the area in which we live.</p>
08/11/2021 08:20 PM	Michele Kingsley		<p>Give the voters a real opportunity to elect the representative of their choice. Make districts fair for everyone!</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 08:20 PM	Brooks Dareff		<p>My name is Brooks Dareff, I live in Chapel Hill, and I am interested in seeing redistricting that results in state and federal representation that proportionally reflects statewide party affiliation. This is a criteria that Ohio, for example, calls proportionality, and I think it should be a North Carolina criteria, and one that is highly ranked.</p> <p>For example, North Carolina is roughly divided evenly between registered Democrats, Republicans, and independent voters, with independent voters splitting fairly evenly between the two parties. So redistricting proportionality would, in turn, dictate that our Congressional delegation, state House, and state Senate would be about evenly balanced. With proportionality as a high criteria, the relative fairness of the current criteria could be rightly judged by electoral outcomes.</p> <p>Rather than disregard election data, the map drawers may need to consider it, if they are to effectively reverse the gerrymandering of the past, and pave the way for equitable electoral outcomes.</p>
08/11/2021 08:24 PM	Mrs Johanna Manasse		<p>From: Johanna Manasse (jvm795@gmail.com) Date: Wednesday, August 11, 2021 Subject: Commenting on Redistricting Criteria</p> <p>Dear Committee Members,</p> <p>My name is Johanna Manasse and I reside in beautiful Weaverville, NC. I am writing about the redistricting criteria you are establishing. I believe all North Carolinians deserve fair, equitable and honest representation at the local, state and national level. I moved to North Carolina in 2015 and have watched our state be the subject of countless national commentary, especially around the endless litigation regarding our state and national districts. Now we (the state and all its residents) are charged with redistricting and your proposed criteria are unsatisfactory.</p> <p>Your proposed criteria makes respecting municipal boundaries optional, at best. Further, you barely acknowledge the concept of preserving communities of interest. I fear that once again we will end up with municipalities split into different districts which hampers both local development and addressing issues that affect the community as a whole. Similarly, communities of interest are just that—communities—and need the ability to govern for their common good and be ensured of equitable representation at the state and national levels. I encourage you to include criteria that do NOT split municipalities and communities of interest.</p> <p>Further, given the inequitable representation we have endured for the past 20 years, it is time to remove any criteria that protect incumbents. I believe that those who represent us honorably and honestly can be elected within their residency, without the committee drawing lines to ensure their comfortably remaining in their elected offices.</p> <p>I further trust that all subsequent actions with redistricting will allow for ample public commentary with sufficient timelines and that transparency in all actions becomes the norm. Thank you.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 08:24 PM	End gerrymandering John Buzzell		Congressional districts must be drawn without political bias, ensuring that voting blocs aren't carved up to achieve partisan outcomes. This should be a non-partisan activity to ensure fairness and to represent the communities as they are, not as politicians would have them vote.
08/11/2021 08:50 PM	Charles Copeland		-all maps/revisions/commentary drawn/discussed in public view w/ live streaming -maps shouldn't be drawn to advantage a political party or individual -third parties should be disclosed and introduced at public meetings -public meetings should be held across the state w/ virtual attendance option
08/11/2021 08:53 PM	Municipal boundaries Nancy Moxley	Resident of Wake County, you can vote, league of women voters - speaking for myself	The proposed criteria saying municipal boundaries may be considered is not helpful. That criteria should be amended to say municipalities should be kept while as much as possible to assume their common interest can be represented by an identified member of the NC House.
08/11/2021 08:54 PM	Mr John Dorsey	Roanoke Park Neighborhood and Five Points Area	My neighbors and I, all part of the Roanoke Park - 5 Points neighborhood, implore the state legislators to draw the new congressional and state districts in a manner which honors our many efforts at outreach and community building which we have all been striving to achieve in our local communities. These communities are comprised on people of many persuasions, incomes and interests. We have found ways to bridge these differences to get things done. But we are thwarted in these efforts when our political boundaries are drawn in ways to divide us for your interests in being elected rather than helping us to more easily work with our closes neighbors to build communities and find solutions to our common and then bring them to our legislators when the state has a role to play in bringing them to fruition. And we will only know that you have done so if your work is transparent, fully explained and open to input to those of us who will otherwise feel trapped into districts for your interests and not those of our neighborhoods and communities.
08/11/2021 08:54 PM	Tracylee Cicero		Please do not drive lines that create an advantage for any particular political party. That practice is decidedly undemocratic and dishonest. Thank you.
08/11/2021 09:00 PM	Seeroy Coopergone		I have read many of these public comments. How Is it possible that so many people are ignorant of our laws? The GA can't make every district a 50/50 district...it would require GERRYMANDERING! All of you Democrats like to live in about 28 counties, with your real population centers in Wake, Orange, Durham, Mecklenburg, etc. Don't you understand that 40% of the vote in Wake County is Republican? Do you know how many Republican Senators there are in Wake? Zero. There is only one House Rep. I have no representation!!!! Your idiotic theories about this being a 50/50 state, so "half of the Senators and half of the Representatives should be Democrats" demonstrates your lack of knowledge. I am absolutely sick of you regurgitating your same old tired lies about Gerrymandering this and gerrymandering that...DAN BLUE even commented last year on that being the most transparent process he had seen...so many positive Democrat comments. I bet the lawsuit is already written now!
08/11/2021 09:05 PM	Mr Stephen Weissman	None	Lines should not be drawn to confine all voters in one party to one district.
08/11/2021 09:14 PM	Dr. Jennifer Bremer	Retired	We would greatly appreciate it if you would commit to releasing the shapefiles along with the pdf/image and statpack (etc.) for any map publicly released as part of the redistricting process. This should include at minimum any map that is going to be considered by one of the committees, maps released for public hearings, maps adopted in committee or by

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 09:17 PM	Mrs. Tonya Lanier	Davidson County Democratic Party	<p>the House or Senate, etc. If it would be possible to release shapefiles at COB each day during the actual redrawing, that would also be greatly appreciated. Thanks!</p> <p>I hope and pray that civility and common sense will be used. As a citizen of this great state and county so much is going on that is unfair and just. Please, please think of what's right for all people.</p>
08/11/2021 09:18 PM	Ms. Susan B. McClanahan		<p>On August 2, 2021 a Common Cause NC coalition of 11 NC groups sent a letter to Sen. Berger, Rep. Moore, the Co-Chairs of the NC Senate and NC House Redistricting Committees. Copies were also sent to Members of the House and Senate redistricting committees, as well as to Sen. Dan Blue and Rep. Robert Reives. This document contained recommendations that would insure "a fair, transparent, timely, and inclusive [redistricting] process in which all North Carolinians [would] have a reasonable opportunity to participate." I believe that every one of their recommendations should be implemented so that for the first time EVER, the people of North Carolina will have the chance to provide meaningful input into OUR redistricting process.</p> <p>In this comment, I especially want to focus on what I witnessed during the 2019 NC redistricting process:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The maps for the next decade must not be drawn to advantage a political party or an individual. Political data -- including but NOT limited to voter registration, voting records, and incumbent addresses -- should NOT be considered when drawing maps. I would add that CANDIDATE addresses must not be used. <p>An example: When the new court-ordered maps for 2020 were drawn, the final maps were released on a Friday, and ONE public hearing was held in Raleigh on the following Monday morning.</p> <p>The public needs at minimum a two week notice BEFORE a public hearing is held. We need 13 public hearings so that people ACROSS the state are able to participate in this process.</p> <p>Incumbent addresses and candidate addresses should not be used when legislators draw new maps. On that Friday in 2019, candidate Ricky Hurtado discovered that his new home had been drawn OUT of Alamance House district 63. His home was ONE street away from Rep. Stephen Ross' home. I was signed up to speak at the Monday Public Hearing, and I clearly stated that Ross' opponent had been drawn OUT of the district. There was NO response to this from any committee member at ANY time. Mr. Hurtado had to move to a new residence WITHIN the new district in order to run. As it turned out, he won that NC House seat. NO candidate should have to move in order to continue his or her candidacy.</p> <p>It is my understanding that Rep. Nelson Dollar drew THREE of his opponents out of "HIS" Wake County district on three different occasions during the last decade.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Did legislators even READ the public comments that were made on the public portal in 2019? We had no proof that they did so. Legislators should read and RESPOND to public comments, especially when communities of interest are involved. Thus draft maps, their

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 09:20 PM	Andrew Stern		<p>revisions, and final maps should be accompanied by written justifications for the districts chosen.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. The NCGA should receive public input BEFORE draft maps are drawn. 4. The NCGA should provide the public at least two weeks to review draft maps and submit public comment BEFORE the draft maps are revised. 5. ALL map drawing and revisions, including all discussins and meetings, should be performed in the public view, and live-streamed with ample audio so that in-person observers and online observers can HEAR what is being said by participants. 6. Maps should NOT be removed from the meeting room during the drawing or revising process. It is WRONG for legislators and/or their staff to disappear with maps and then return with suggested revisions. 7. Legislators use delaying tactics to wait until the last minute to show their final maps, so that there is no time to object. They must provide GENUINE and MEANINGFUL opportunities for PUBLIC COMMENT all along the way. And they must respond to requests made by communities of interest. NC Courts should call attention to legislative timing, and invoke consequences when delaying tactics are used. 8. Please keep this portal OPEN until the redistricting process is completed. <p>Thank you.</p>
08/11/2021 09:20 PM	Democracy Needs Fair Maps Emily Moose		<p>Criteria to include in redistricting plans: 1) Where incumbents live should not be considered in drawing new district lines.(Voters should choose their representatives, not representatives choosing their voters!) 2)Data on party affiliation of voting age population should not be considered or looked at in drawing the district lines. 3)Communities of interest should be considered and preferably kept together in drawing district lines (there should never be a repeat of splitting a university campus, as was done with respect to Congressional districts on the NC A&T campus. That was ludicrous, totally partisan, and the epitome of a partisan and racial gerrymander--could there be a better example of cracking?)</p> <p>As a native North Carolinian and someone who cares deeply about the future of this state I thank you for the opportunity to comment. In finalizing the criteria to be used in redistricting. I urge you above all else to select criteria that collectively ensures fairness from any perspective regardless of party, based on the principle that when splitting a cookie, one kid cuts and the other chooses. North Carolina is a purple state with changing demographics, and due to gerrymandering, our representation has not reflected the evolving voice of our people. Regarding the proposed criteria I ask you to: 1) Define and seek public input on the term "necessary" in the Racial Data criteria; 2) De-prioritize Member Residence to below Community Consideration (which should be higher); 3) Consider how the voting rights act is impacted by the racial data criterion; 4) Be clear about when/if it makes sense to divide precincts, cities or other municipalities (and seek public input on this); 5) Dramatically improve transparency and public engagement in this</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>process. This incredibly short timeline for the limited public input accepted is absurd for such an important issue, and I hope you will afford more sufficient opportunities for public input going forward.</p> <p>Looking at other states trying to solve these problems (for example, Ohio) I would also ask you to use proportionality as a key indicator of whether or not maps serve a representative democracy, which I hope is the goal of this process. Our representation in state and federal government should reflect how our people vote, proportionally.</p> <p>Public trust in the democratic process is a direct result of fair representation, and without it everyone might as well go home.</p> <p>With best wishes for this effort, Emily Moose</p>
08/11/2021 09:28 PM	Cathi Mowery		I do not support redistricting known as Gerry mandering. Please be fair & logic in drawing the districting lines.
08/11/2021 09:28 PM	Linda Spallone		I would like to see more input from the voters with open releases of map changes as each stage of the process moves along . I would like to see maps drawn up by a nonpartisan group since both parties will abuse the redistricting process.
08/11/2021 09:30 PM	Mr. Curt Devereux		For Congressional Districts high priority should be given to building from whole Counties. Where Counties must be split priority should be given to include all residents in a City or other incorporated area in a single district.
08/11/2021 09:33 PM	Dena Papazoglou		<p>As a concerned NC citizen, I have been dismayed about the unfair, illegally gerrymandered maps that our state has been using for elections for the last decade. As you draw the new maps for the next decade, I urge you to follow the guidelines set forth by groups of non-partisan election experts. I will be watching and expecting the process to be carried out efficiently after the Census data are released. I also expect the legislature to follow a transparent process in which the public is freely able to observe and comment (including remote options with clear audio and video). Fair maps will help protect voting rights and the basic tenets of democracy for years to come. I have personally seen the irreparable harm that is done - from refusal to provide access to federally-funded health care to deepening poverty to chronically under-funded schools to devastating environmental damage - when elected officials are not accountable to serving their constituents because the map ensures that they cannot lose their seat. In NC, our democratic values and integrity demand much better for our citizens.</p>
08/11/2021 09:42 PM	1 ' onEvent=X159365980Y6_2Z John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:42 PM	1 John ' onEvent=X159365980Y8_2Z	1	1
08/11/2021 09:42 PM	1 " onEvent=X159365980Y6_2Z John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:42 PM	1 John " onEvent=X159365980Y8_2Z	1	1
08/11/2021	1 John	1	1

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09:42 PM	javascript:qxss(X159365980Y8_2Z);		
08/11/2021 09:42 PM	1 javascript:qxss(X159365980Y6_2Z); John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:43 PM	1 'onEvent=X159365980Y6_2Z John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:43 PM	1 John 'onEvent=X159365980Y8_2Z	1	1
08/11/2021 09:43 PM	1 John "onEvent=X159365980Y8_2Z	1	1
08/11/2021 09:43 PM	1 "onEvent=X159365980Y6_2Z John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:43 PM	1 <script a=4>qssv9V15y4n=7</script> John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:43 PM	1 John <script a=4>qssw1318P3e=7</script>	1	1
08/11/2021 09:43 PM	1 %3cscript z%3e_q(y)%3c/script%3e John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:43 PM	1 John %3cscript z%3e_q(y)%3c/script%3e	1	1
08/11/2021 09:44 PM	1 q Qualys_resp_hdr_injection: Vulnerable John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:44 PM	1 John q Qualys_resp_hdr_injection: Vulnerable	1	1
08/11/2021 09:44 PM	1 q Qualys_resp_hdr_injection: Vulnerable John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:44 PM	1 John q Qualys_resp_hdr_injection: Vulnerable	1	1
08/11/2021 09:44 PM	1 John ;--	1	1
08/11/2021 09:44 PM	1 ;-- John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:44 PM	1 # John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:44 PM	1 John #	1	1
08/11/2021 09:44 PM	1 /* John	1	1

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 09:44 PM	1 John /*	1	1
08/11/2021 09:46 PM	1 `` John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:46 PM	1 John ``	1	1
08/11/2021 09:46 PM	1 , John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:46 PM	1 John ,	1	1
08/11/2021 09:46 PM	1 (John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:46 PM	1 John (1	1
08/11/2021 09:47 PM	1 1e309 John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:47 PM	1 John 1e309	1	1
08/11/2021 09:47 PM	1  John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:47 PM	1 John 	1	1
08/11/2021 09:47 PM	1 ./.././.././.././../etc/passwd John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:47 PM	1 John ./.././.././.././../etc/passwd	1	1
08/11/2021 09:47 PM	1 John ./.././.././.././../etc/passwd 	1	1
08/11/2021 09:47 PM	1 .././.././.././../etc/passwd  John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:47 PM	1 John .././.././.././../etc/passwd 	1	1
08/11/2021 09:47 PM	1 ./.././.././.././../etc/passwd  John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:47 PM	1 John //..//..//..//..//..//etc/passwd 	1	1
08/11/2021 09:47 PM	1 John //..//..//..//..//..//etc/passwd 	1	1
08/11/2021 09:47 PM	1 //....//....//....//....//....//etc/passwd  John	1	1

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 09:47 PM	1 John //....//....//....//....//....//....//....// etc/passwd	1	1
08/11/2021 09:47 PM	1 ../..//..//..//..//Windows/System32/ drivers/etc/hosts John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:47 PM	1 John ../..//..//..//..//Windows/ System32/drivers/etc/hosts	1	1
08/11/2021 09:47 PM	1 ../..//..//..//..//Windows/System32/ drivers/etc/hosts John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:47 PM	1 John ../..//..//..//..//Windows/ System32/drivers/etc/hosts	1	1
08/11/2021 09:48 PM	1 a(){phpinfo(); function a John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:48 PM	1 John a(){phpinfo(); function a	1	1
08/11/2021 09:48 PM	1 netstat -an John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:48 PM	1 John netstat -an	1	1
08/11/2021 09:48 PM	1 http://rfitest/ John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:48 PM	1 John http://rfitest/	1	1
08/11/2021 09:48 PM	1 javascript:qxss(X159365980Y6_2Z); John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:48 PM	1 John javascript:qxss(X159365980Y8_2Z);	1	1
08/11/2021 09:48 PM	1 ";(function(){qxss4iZ412uu});/**/" John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:48 PM	1 John ";(function(){xss960Cv0});/ **/"	1	1
08/11/2021 09:48 PM	1 ");(function(){qxssg5yVo6yL});/**/" John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:48 PM	1 John ");(function(){qxsszDP6z7x7});/ **/"	1	1
08/11/2021 09:48 PM	1 qualys(aqxss33J93EAz)xyz John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:48 PM	1 John qualys(aqxssWOZa5o75)xyz	1	1
08/11/2021 09:48 PM	1 John '(function(){qxss5ZUqyj0y});/ **/'	1	1

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 09:48 PM	M. P.		<p>Comments regarding changes necessary to the redistricting process and criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -The information including the location of the drawn maps and the language in the criteria need to be ADA compliant and otherwise accessible to the people of North Carolina. -Lack of notice and time to process and evaluate the criteria needs to be addressed. -Ignoring "race" as a factor seems to ignore that housing is highly racialized in districts as well as the Voting Rights Act so that communities of color could elect representatives that they choose. -The use of imprecise language in the criteria such as "may consider" allows for a vast array of interpretation, thereby, lessening the force of the criteria and making the process much less transparent . -The criterion of "Community Consideration" should be raised in the sequence of priority, expressly, directly below "Counties, Groupings, and Traversals" and before "Racial Data."
08/11/2021 09:48 PM	1 ';(function(){qxssObU8fb0n});/**/ John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:49 PM	1 John 9;(function(){qxss09w12eY});//	1	1
08/11/2021 09:49 PM	1 9;(function(){qxss5CbE1h4P});// John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:49 PM	1 9;(function(){qxssbQNLOSjJ});// John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:49 PM	1 John 9;(function(){qxss43b23VQL});//	1	1
08/11/2021 09:49 PM	1 */;(function(){qxssc2928okk});/* John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:49 PM	1 John */;(function(){qxss80WTh3uw});/*	1	1
08/11/2021 09:49 PM	1 '-qxssbq57hL2y()-' John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:49 PM	1 John '-qxssn0fiR17S()-'	1	1
08/11/2021 09:49 PM	1 "-qxssN70p6unz()-" John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:49 PM	1 John "-qxss35KgUduR()-"	1	1
08/11/2021 09:49 PM	1 {23.0231*213.759}\${23.0231*213.759}{{=23.0231*213.759}} John	1	1
08/11/2021	1 John	1	1

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09:49 PM	{23.0231*213.759}\$ {23.0231*213.759} {23.0231*213.759}}		
08/11/2021 09:49 PM	1 (23.0231*213.759) John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:49 PM	1 John (23.0231*213.759)	1	1
08/11/2021 09:49 PM	1 http://169.254.169.254/latest/meta-data/ John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:49 PM	1 John http://169.254.169.254/latest/meta-data/	1	1
08/11/2021 09:51 PM	1 aaaa&ping -n 92 localhost& John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:51 PM	1 John aaaa&ping -n 92 localhost&	1	1
08/11/2021 09:51 PM	1 ping -c2 -i91 localhost John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:51 PM	1 John ping -c2 -i91 localhost	1	1
08/11/2021 09:51 PM	1 ping -c2 -i91 localhost John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:51 PM	1 John ping -c2 -i91 localhost	1	1
08/11/2021 09:51 PM	1 ping -c2 -i91 localhost John	1	1
08/11/2021 09:51 PM	1 John ping -c2 -i91 localhost	1	1
08/11/2021 09:58 PM	Mrs. Maytée Sanz	Indivisible NC District 09	<p>My name is Maytée Sanz and I am a resident of Charlotte, North Carolina. I am submitting comments on behalf of Indivisible NC District 9, a community group striving to strengthen democracy through direct action to educate and engage community members in District 9 and the greater Charlotte area.</p> <p>I am submitting comments today on behalf of my group because it is our role as community leaders and members to inform you, our elected officials, what our communities need.</p> <p>As the democratic process takes place it is imperative that its process is transparent, fair and engaging with citizens to ensure all voices are heard and considered. COVID has delayed the Census data release as well as it did last year, but we have to be aware that not everyone can drive 3-4 hours to Raleigh at a drop of a hat to provide public comments.</p> <p>Our state is diverse, with a wide variety of ethnicities, cultures and religions. For those whose English is not the primary language it can be daunting to navigate without adequate language interpretation support and translation in hearings as well as with online tools.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>Let's keep this in mind while we navigate the redistricting process.</p> <p>I believe that the usage of member residence in the formation of districts should not occur. This gives the opportunity of incumbents choosing their districts and is an inherent conflict of interest for legislators, current elected officials and disfavors the changing demographics of the district in which a member resides.</p> <p>Truly take into consideration communities of interest. Community consideration should be the norm. Full stop. No one knows their communities better than those who reside in them. Ask and truly listen to what the people have to say.</p> <p>Redistricting has an incredible impact on the communities we serve and we want to ensure that the process is sufficiently accountable to voters, open, transparent and allows public engagement.</p>
08/11/2021 10:01 PM	Lisa Neylan	--None--	Trust is everything. Don't toy with voter trust. Let's have a democracy that functions because it is legitimate. Don't Gerrymander if you love this country.
08/11/2021 10:02 PM	REV. Victor Russell	PERSONAL, NO ORGANIATIONAL STRUCTURE	<p>I am a retired military officer (United States Marine Corps), with full 100% military disability. I am also a Proud American of African Descent. If this proposed state legislation is designed to discourage and/or prevent men like me or people like me from voting;then have the courtesy to say so. Do not be a maximum hypocrite; don't tell lies, just state your overall true intentions. I fought, enemies of the United States of America; do I now have to fight Domestic enemies of our beloved National Constitution? Either you support our beloved constitution or you are a true enemy of the United States of America. Republicans, come on out of the closet and show your true cards! Voting is one of our Basic Rights; protected by our Constitution. Republicans, the Civil War is over. the last election was an honest one; yet it was not what your hypocritical desired! Why are you self righteous hypocrites so fearful?</p>
08/11/2021 10:10 PM	Ms Hilda Liegl	Citizen	<p>Pls consider allowing the dreamers to remain in the USA They live here and came as children. Majority have assimilated as American. They identify as American. They need special status. They are productive people and will make a positive addition to our country. It's hard to find good workers people say. Dreamers are the people you need. Thank you.</p>
08/11/2021 10:18 PM	Mr. Michael Merenstein	Alliance of Moral Progressives	<p>Hello. My name is Michael Merenstein. I earned a Masters Degree in Applied Political Science and am a Florida Barred attorney and student of Constitutional law. I am a resident of Charlotte in the Mountain Lake Community. I have had a lifetime love affair with the principles of self governance and democracy. District lines ought to be drawn to reflect the will of the people expressed by their votes and not confer political advantage to one party or group over another which is an anathema to our republic and the principles for which it stands. Lines ought to be drawn of roughly equal population, of contiguous territories and in accordance with Stephenson v. Bartlett, 355 N.C. 354, 562 S.E. 2d 377 (20020). Any political advantage conferred by gerrymandering will be remembered in infamy even if they evade judicial review.</p>
08/11/2021 10:21 PM	Ms Carol Samsky		<p>It is critical that the public has an opportunity to comment on the redistricting process, the methodology, and the initial maps before decisions are finalized. Until we have non-partisan, objective systems in place, the electorate will feel manipulated and the process</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
	Nonpartisan Redistricting Jacob Lanahan	Voter	will be considered undemocratic. Please give NC voters a chance to be involved; anything that the committees can do to make their work more transparent will help in this matter. Thank you.
08/11/2021 10:26 PM	Mrs Sarah Wall		A nonpartisan committee should be used for the redistricting process. Voters should pick their representatives. Representatives should not pick their Voters.
08/11/2021 10:33 PM	Mrs. Melinda Thompson-King		Redistricting should absolutely be taken away from the abusive party in power and left in the far more capable hands of a panel of judges. No legislature should have this responsibility of choosing their voters.
08/11/2021 10:34 PM	Mrs. Melinda Thompson-King		It is vitally important the redistricting is done in a fair and unbiased way. Historically, redistricting has negatively impacted people of color and the Republican party has intentionally created districts that minimize our voice in the election process. Gerrymandering is an intentional act on behalf of one party and socioeconomic group that benefit when POC and low-income families are silenced. Redistricting has to consider the impact of all voters in the State of NC.
08/11/2021 10:39 PM	Ms. Catherine Schaller	Concerned Citizen	<p>Dear members of the Redistricting Committees in the NC House and Senate:I write with concern for fairness and transparency in the final selection of redistricting maps criteria and the eventual process of drafting of the maps themselves.</p> <p>As a resident of Asheville, I am especially concerned about the criteria of municipal boundaries for the new Congressional map. We struggled with a divided city and county during previous elections, and it was confusing for everyone. My own address fell just 60 feet from the dividing line between the US Congressional Districts 10 and 11. I spend a good deal of time trying to inform and help voters, so I can tell you firsthand how overwhelming this arbitrary splitting of our city became. People hardly knew where to turn. We have common interests Asheville, and we deserve to have some cohesiveness by keeping the entire city – and hopefully Buncombe County, as well – in the same district. This concern applies across the state, of course.</p> <p>Secondly, I request you consider carefully the proportionality criteria. Our North Carolina was deprived of appropriate representation, with ten US Representatives from one party and just three from the other party, when statewide we divide much more equally in registered voters from both parties. North Carolina was so lopsided as to become a laughingstock across the nation, with hardly an equal. Please do not use election data to stack the deck against either party – with such “surgical precision,” as was done a few years ago.</p> <p>I wish to address the process that will soon occur for the drafting the actual maps – for US Congress, NC House and NC Senate. Please encourage citizens to be involved in this important step that so affects all of us.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There must be a timeline that will allow citizens to be informed and provide public comment. • A clear government website with all the redistricting information is needed. • Of course, we need public comment period before the initial maps are prepared and when the draft of revised maps occur. • Please arrange well-publicized hearings across the state, with adequate notice before

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 10:56 PM	Alysia Savoy	Alysia Savoy - Swannanoa, NC	<p>the hearings and adequate space and time for those who wish to testify.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It will be important for citizens to know the criteria and the data you use in the drafting. • All of this must be done in public view – in the moment and then with video and audio for broadcast and electronic access later. • Beyond knowing the members of the House and Senate Redistricting Committees, the public should know what other parties are involved in the redistricting maps. <p>I am grateful for the opportunity to provide my point of view, and I appreciate you public service.</p> <p>Many of the current districting maps do not accurately represent the communities or voting habits of the areas where they exist. Stretched and non-contiguous boundary lines are currently drawn to inaccurately weight results in favor of one political party, rather than encompassing the full electorate. This disproportionately affects black and brown voters, minimizing the possibility of fair representation for everyone - especially those who are often most impacted by legislation and public policy.</p> <p>Proposed criteria that should be included are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Racial Data - Racial data should be used in a way that monitors/eliminates the dividing of black and brown communities. If a district line is clearly breaking up communities and minimizing their voting impact, it is valuable information that can be relied on to redraw a line that offers fair representation. • Contiguity - Districts are not representations of a community if they are specially drawn to include or exclude populations. This is clearly seen in district maps where lines are drawn to include areas that are otherwise not geographically connected to the rest of the district. This can divide and bury votes that would have otherwise been a majority in a fair district or include votes from a populace that is not a part of the same community. <p>Proposed criteria that should NOT be included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Counties, Groupings and Traversals. - The court cases referenced in this criteria refer back to existing NC State redistricting laws that are being challenged. Because a rule is currently in place is not in-and-of-itself justification for its inclusion in the defining criteria. If the current laws function to favor partisan gerrymandering, they need to be reconsidered. <p>Summary statement:</p> <p>All of the criteria being considered must be in the best interest of fair elections. If the entire population is not accurately represented, then it directly undermines the freedom that we value. If one of us is not free, then none of us are free. All of the criteria must represent the highest standards of fair democracy. If not, we are not serving one another or ourselves.</p>
08/11/2021 10:56 PM	Mr. Charles Rawls	none	<p>After reading over the 2021 JOINT REDISTRICTING COMMITTEE PROPOSED CRITERIA I would like to thank your Committee for the work you have put in to come up with your criteria. I have a question and a couple of suggestions which are based in my desire for</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>fairness and the need to avoid partisan favor. I dropped my Party affiliation in 2018 and am now registered as an Independent, in part out of frustration with the hyper-partisan state of politics and the history of Gerrymandering by both Parties. While there is much in your proposed criteria that appears to favor fairness, the devil, as they say, is in the details. First, I would ask a question concerning the consideration of municipal boundaries, as your language is not clear to me. When you wrote that the " Committees may consider municipal boundaries when drawing districts" does that mean that efforts should be made to keep municipalities in single districts whenever possible or that division of districts could result in dividing municipalities without consequence in the re-apportionment process? As a Buncombe County voter, I have had to contend with my County being divided between Congressional districts, as well as the City of Asheville when I lived there. It is confusing for voters and was clearly done in an attempt to dilute the power of voters in Buncombe County. The new map should allow for this County to remain whole as part of a single Congressional District as it was for the great part of my 70 year life. I would also like to suggest that we learn a couple of lessons from Ohio. First, in regard to the drawing of districts to protect incumbents Ohio wisely changed their process to include this provision: The place of residence of any incumbent or political candidate shall not be considered in the creation of a map. Districts shall not be drawn for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against an incumbent, political candidate, or political party. NC should adopt this provision along with the following affecting proportionality, also from Ohio: The statewide proportion of districts whose voters, based on statewide state and federal partisan general election results during the last ten years, favor each political party shall correspond closely to the statewide preferences of the voters. Your work on redistricting should have this goal in mind - that the voters should be able to choose their own representatives rather than allowing representatives to choose their voters. Thank you for considering my comments.</p>
08/11/2021 10:56 PM	Mrs. Peggy Ferebee		<p>New districts should be drawn without any concern for protecting incumbents or candidates. Communities of interest should be kept together where ever possible. Districts should be even, compact and contiguous. The redistricting process should be open and allow for public input, with hearings around the state, with sufficient time for comments. Most importantly it should allow all voters equal impact on an election. rather than one group greater influence because of partisan gerrymandered districts.</p>
08/11/2021 11:05 PM	Ms Cheryl DeMaio		<p>Regarding redistricting in North Carolina, please provide a transparent process that allows for public comment each step of the way in designing new districts.</p>
08/11/2021 11:24 PM	Mrs Eva Jones		<p>North Carolina needs to utilize the independent redistricting commission approach. Both Democrats and Republicans have proven themselves incapable of drawing districts without purposefully using the process to their own advantage. Independent commission are well-proven to be the only truly neutral approach to drawing the lines fairly.</p>
08/11/2021 11:39 PM	Marya Ilgen-Lieth		<p>It is critical that our electoral districts be drawn fairly and impartially. This time, we must avoid the racial and overly partisan gerrymandering that has caused past maps to be rejected, time after time. Racial data should be used to the extent that it ensures compliance with the Voting Rights Act. Protecting incumbents should not be a consideration in drawing these maps. Please protect democracy in our state!</p>
08/11/2021 11:43 PM	Mrs. Holly Wood		<p>I implore the redistricting committee to draw NC maps fairly, without regard to political affiliation or race.... not only because it is the right thing to do for our democracy, but</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 11:57 PM	Christina Grimes		<p>because it will save our state tens of thousands of dollars in lawsuits if districts are drawn otherwise. Aren't we tired of this battle?</p> <p>I'm a resident of Apex asking you to please be transparent and fair in the redistricting process. North Carolina has sadly become known throughout the US as one of the most gerrymandered states in the country. You have the power to overcome such a terrible reputation and to set a good example of fairness and equal representation. We are a purple state, and I love that about North Carolina, but our legislature does not reflect that balance. Please allow the redistricting process to be publicly viewed, and allow sufficient time for public comment. Keep communities of interest together, and don't try to protect Republican incumbents or target Democratic ones. In fact, totally ignore political party and results of past elections. Let's avoid any more lawsuits. Thank you.</p>
08/12/2021 12:12 AM	Dr. Beulah Mitchell	West Boone Precinct	<p>A quote from page 162 of Our Time Is Now written by Stacey Abrams. "The grasp for power should surprise no one who pays attention to the nightly news, but the methodical and vicious nature in if Hofeller's advice (aggregating and maintaining Republican dominance in state and federal politics regardless of the will of the people) might. He served as a national guru on redistricting, the process by which congressional lines, state legislative districts, and local political maps are drawn. For the Republicans, he was a savant on the art of gerrymandering: twisting and turning those geographic lines into unbeatable political districts for Republican candidates." Here we see the results of a master class taught by Hoefeller to lawmakers and lobbyist. Legal tactics and statistical data have been utilized in such a way "to block efforts to increase civic participation by people of color."</p>
08/12/2021 03:23 AM	Ms. Stacey Carless	NC Counts Coalition	<p>My name is Stacey Carless and I am a resident of Raleigh, North Carolina. I am submitting comments on behalf of NC Counts Coalition as the organization's Executive Director. NC Counts Coalition is a nonpartisan, nonprofit 501(c)(3) organization committed to building a healthy, just, and equitable North Carolina through cross-sector partnerships that advance systemic solutions for communities facing systemic barriers, including BIPOC communities, LGBTQ+, low wealth, immigrant, and other communities. Our organization served as a hub to facilitate cross-sector partnerships and coordination among government, planning and community organizations, service providers, businesses and others to support a complete and accurate 2020 Census count for North Carolina. We are submitting comments today because it is our role as community leaders and members to inform you, our elected officials, of what our communities need.</p> <p>We respectfully request that you consider the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The usage of member residence in the formation of districts should not occur and using this information is an inherent conflict of interest for legislators. This could create opportunities for legislators to inappropriately favor incumbents and current elected officials, and may disfavor the changing demographics of the district in which a member resides. There should be no consideration of the resident location of a member. 2) There should be a higher priority on communities of interest. Community consideration should be required as maps are drawn. It is not enough to be a "may be considered", the language should be changed to "shall be considered". 3) The provision that "racial data identifying the race of individuals or voters shall not be

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/12/2021 04:52 AM	Backfire Douglas Saul	League of Women Voters	<p>used in construction or consideration of districts for 2021 Congressional, House and Senate Plans” should be omitted. This criteria was not used in the drawing of districts in 2019. Furthermore, this criteria does not state whether the race data will be uploaded into the system being used to create maps in North Carolina. The inability to use this data means there will be no consideration given to historically disenfranchised communities whose districts may be inadvertently or unfairly drawn by race. While race should not be used to unfairly gerrymander districts, especially as a mechanism to suppress political power and voices, this data could be used to ensure that race has not been used inadvertently or purposefully to dilute or harm communities of color. If the data is omitted we will not be able to ensure that maps drawn are truly fair.</p> <p>As the process of democracy takes its course, it is critical that those in power understand the importance of transparency and fair opportunities to engage with citizens to ensure all voices are heard. It is imperative that the proper due diligence takes place to ensure a transparent process. For example, many people struggled to engage in the public hearing and public comment process regarding redistricting criteria. This was due to insufficient communication and last minute changes. We understand that things change and adjustments must be made, however adjustments cannot lead to the exclusion of voices that want to participate in the process. Advance notice of public hearing times and the number of slots available for public comments is necessary for public engagement. It is important to acknowledge that your constituents work, have families, and obligations, and adequate notice would allow citizens time to make necessary arrangements to engage in this democratic process. Public hearings at early morning hours, such as 8:00 AM, create barriers for citizens traveling from the various corners of our state when their homes are more than 4 or 5 hours away and there is no virtual option. Public hearings that fail to offer language interpretation and translation also create barriers for engagement among citizens whose primary language is not English. North Carolina’s greatness is rooted in its diversity and our democracy calls for the inclusivity of all citizens in the political process. We encourage you to meet people where they are when planning opportunities for civic engagement.</p> <p>In conclusion, redistricting has an incredible impact on the communities we serve and we want to ensure that the process is sufficiently open, transparent and accountable to voters, allowing public engagement. This will make our communities whole and deliver resources our schools, families and residents need for a decade to come.</p>
08/12/2021 06:52 AM	Rev. Beth McKee-Huger	League of Women Voters	<p>The ironic thing about partisan gerrymandering, which indisputably you will attempt as you have always done & not draw compact, data-blind districts that considers nothing more than the quantity of people in them, makes me personally more partisan against your efforts, because I would like to publically go on record as supporting democracy, majority rule, and opposing the tyranny of a powerful elite.</p> <p>I strongly urge House, Senate, and Congressional districts be established with equal population but without consideration of partisan history. Current (and past) redistricting conducted on a partisan basis has taken away elected officials' accountability to constituents. If I live in a district where my party is in the majority, my "representative" has no need to listen to me because the seat is assured; if my party is in the minority, my voice certainly doesn't count.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/12/2021 06:59 AM	Mr. Michael Morgan	Total Home Maintenance	I urge you not to carve voting districts by race, residence of incumbents (or potential challengers) or other factors that predetermine election outcomes. Voters should decide each election based on candidates and policies, not on gerrymandered districts. Thank you.
08/12/2021 07:01 AM	J S		<p>There are approximately 10.5 million people in NC. The goal is 10 districts of approximately 1 million people each, (or 20 districts containing half a million people.) Start on either end of the state. Create a 'balloon' emerging along the state boundary that swells until it encompasses approximately 1 million people. Use city & county boundaries and prominent highways as voting district boundaries. Continue moving along the state line, creating new 'balloons' until the entire state is segregated into 10, (or 20,) districts. The number of persons in each legislative district shall be within plus or minus 5 percent of the ideal district population. The number of persons in each congressional district shall be as nearly equal as practicable.</p> <p>Districts shall be comprised of contiguous territory. If a county is of sufficient population size to contain an entire congressional district within the county's boundaries, the Committees shall construct a district entirely within that county.</p> <p>Data identifying the race of individuals or voters shall not be used in the construction or consideration of districts.</p> <p>Partisan considerations and election results data shall not be used in the drawing of districts.</p> <p>Member residence SHOULD NOT be considered in the formation of legislative and congressional districts.</p> <p>The place of residence of any incumbent or political candidate shall not be considered in the creation of a map. Districts shall not be drawn for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against an incumbent, political candidate, or political party.</p>
08/12/2021 07:01 AM	Samantha Turner	NC Poor People's Campaign	<p>Keeping communities together</p> <p>Districts MUST NOT be drawn to unduly favor a political party</p> <p>The process should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature to be responsive to those comments</p> <p>And all map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed</p> <p>NON-partisan people should be in charge of the process</p>
08/12/2021 07:04 AM	Dr. Nicole Peterson		<p>We the People, demand transparency and accountability in this process.</p> <p>I encourage you to adopt the guidelines suggested by the Southern Coalition if organizations, with an immediate start to the process and with multiple opportunities for community feedback. Here are the suggestions in full:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Start the Redistricting Process Immediately After the August 16 Data Release. North Carolina should begin the redistricting process by utilizing the Legacy Format Summary File of P.L. 94-171 data available on August 16. We expect all major vendors, and the vast majority of states, to utilize this data. There is no reason North Carolina needs to wait until the September 30 release of this same data in an alternative format: General Assembly staff processed and publicly released legacy format data last cycle and should do so again this cycle.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>2. Release Processed Block Data for Community Use by August 21.</p> <p>3. Disclose Initial Draft Maps by September 15.</p> <p>4. Submit Final Proposed Maps to the General Assembly by October 6.</p> <p>The final proposed maps should be publicly released online 21 days after the draft maps. The General Assembly should then be required to vote on these plans within 10 days—that is, enacted maps should be in place no later than October 16, 2021. This timeline will ensure that potential candidates can familiarize themselves with new districts before filing, and allow any challenges to be adjudicated before the December candidate filing deadline.</p>
			<p>Public participation:</p> <p>1. Provide a Simple State Government Website For All Redistricting Information.</p> <p>To facilitate public comment and participation, North Carolina should establish a simple and easily-accessible state government website that includes all redistricting information in one location, including meeting notices and livestream links, draft maps and related data and information, and a public comment portal like that required by other states. To prevent any fraudulent activity, the public comment should have a simple authentication process, such as a reCAPTCHA checkbox.</p> <p>2. Allow Public Comment Before Initial Draft Maps Are Drawn.</p> <p>The General Assembly should receive public input before draft maps are drawn. This earlier input will ensure community input and concerns can be taken into consideration in drawing draft maps.</p> <p>3. Allow Public Access and Comment on Draft and Revised Maps.</p> <p>The General Assembly should release draft maps online for public comment, and should provide the public adequate time to review the draft maps and submit public comment before draft maps are revised.</p> <p>4. Permit Written and Oral Public Comment.</p> <p>The General Assembly should ensure all North Carolinians, including low-wage workers with demanding work schedules, have an opportunity to provide public comment by providing procedures for receiving written input via a public comment portal, email, and USPS in addition to that conveyed orally during live hearings. Information about how North Carolinians can submit public comment should be provided contemporaneously with meeting notices.</p> <p>5. Hold Accessible Public Hearings Throughout the State.</p> <p>The General Assembly must provide live in-person hearings in major metropolitan areas and rural hubs throughout the state in order to provide genuine opportunities for community members to provide live testimony. North Carolina should increase the number of hearing sites from the seven utilized in 2018 to thirteen, accounting for each of the current Congressional districts. Legislators should also prioritize locations and facilities that are accessible by public transport and to those with disabilities. In light of the ongoing COVID-19 realities, lawmakers must also provide remote options for viewing public hearings and providing public comment, and post a contact for those requiring technical assistance in accessing hearings remotely.</p> <p>6. Provide Two Weeks' Notice of Public Hearings.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/12/2021 07:06 AM	Medora Burke-Scoll	Alamance Burlington Association Of Educators	<p>Finally, the General Assembly should ensure transparency by implementing the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Disclose All Criteria, Systems, and Data Used In Drawing Maps. Any criteria, system, or data used to develop draft maps should be publicly disclosed online before it is applied in drawing or revising any maps. This information should be disclosed to the public in advance of its use such that the public has a reasonable and adequate opportunity to view the information before it is used. 2. Perform All Map Drawing and Revising in Public View. All map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be performed in the public view and live-streamed. An explanation made available to the public should accompany any changes or revisions to draft maps, and all conversations or commentary by those engaged in the map-drawing process during any revisions should be audible to public observers. Maps should not be removed from the meeting room during the drawing or revising process except for secure storage. Final maps should be accompanied by a written justification for the districts chosen. 3. Ensure Quality Video and Audio Broadcast in Public Meetings. All video related to map-drawing provided for public consumption should be timestamped and of a quality such that the public can view all relevant details of the proposed maps. Hearing notices should include a contact phone number for those observing the process to report technical issues. Should technical issues arise that prevent public observation, map drawing or revising should halt until those issues are resolved. 4. Disclose All Third Parties Engaged in Redistricting. The General Assembly should immediately disclose all consultants, attorneys, or other third parties who will be participating in the redistricting process, to the extent already known, and going forward disclose additional participants within 24 hours of engagement. Participants should be introduced during public meetings if they are substantively involved in the process. This disclosure will further public confidence by ensuring that there are no conflicts of interest and that the key players are known to the public. The public should know who is participating in redistricting and why. <p>Thank you for your commitment to fair redistricting processes.</p> <p>Source: https://southerncoalition.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/NC-Redistricting-Demands-Letter_FINAL-1.pdf</p>
08/12/2021 07:19 AM	Daniel Estby		<p>Redistricting should represent and amplify the will of the voters, and not create an artificially advantage for one political party over the other. Land doesn't vote, people do. If</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/12/2021 07:21 AM	Ms Barbara Campbell	- Select -	this state is 50/50 R vs D (or Independents leaning one way or another) then that is what the redistributing should reflect. Enough of the partisan shenanigans!
			<p>I am hopeful that you will consider all the information in a strictly non-partisan way and adhere to these principles:</p> <p>A redistricting timeline that ensures informed and impactful public comment at every step of the process, including public comment solicited before and after draft maps are drawn;</p> <p>Recommendations for meaningful public comment opportunities, including in-person hearings throughout the state, with options for providing written public comment as well; and</p> <p>Increased transparency throughout the redistricting process through broadcasting public hearings and making all proposed maps fully accessible to the public.</p>
08/12/2021 07:57 AM	Dr. Harlow Snyder	Precinct 206 Democrats	<p>If implemented as written, the proposed criteria would be helpful in creating fair districts. My concerns are about transparency in the process. I would urge that the criteria include some statement about transparency and about timelines.</p> <p>I would like to go on record as stating that this whole process should be entrusted to a non-partisan or bi-partisan commission, not to the political party in power.</p>
08/12/2021 08:15 AM	Kathryn Booe		I am pleased to see that the guidelines for creating districts according to the recent census are NOT determined by race or political party.
08/12/2021 08:22 AM	No gerrymandering! Rana Jackson		Redistricting has become a GOP strategy to win elections and should Be illegal! The GOP is stripping away voter rights on all counts. End Gerrymandering now! While you are at it get corporate money out of politics.
08/12/2021 08:22 AM	Ben Morris		I believe each district should be drawn fairly by a non-partisan, independent committee using software verified to create districts that accurately represent their locales. Multiple districts could be drawn to pick from.
08/12/2021 08:24 AM	Lariza Garzon	EFWM	<p>My name is Lariza Garzon, and I am the Executive Director of the Episcopal Farm Worker Ministry (EFWM). Our Ministry works with agricultural workers (farmworkers, meat processing plant workers, and workers from nurseries, packing houses and poultry, swine, or livestock farms) and immigrant families in Eastern rural NC, coordinating direct services, leadership programs, community education programs, and advocacy in support of the community. We are submitting comments today about the redistricting process out of concern for our community.</p> <p>We are concerned about redistricting criteria that does not consider racial data. We are concerned about marginalized communities that live in districts that could have been drawn to disenfranchise some racial groups. If racial data is omitted, we will not be able to correct these mistakes from the past. We need to ensure that the maps drawn now, are truly fair so that the voices of our community will be heard.</p> <p>We ask that the process of redistricting is transparent and done in a way that will allow our community to participate and engage. We ask for advanced notice for public hearings, that take place at reasonable schedules for public participation, and with accommodations for</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>the diverse population that lives in NC.</p> <p>Finally, we are concerned about the criteria that states that the residency of incumbents will be prioritized in the redistricting process over the local communities. There should be a higher priority on communities of interest. Community consideration should be required as maps are drawn.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Lariza Garzon Episcopal Farmworker Ministry</p>
08/12/2021 08:32 AM	Elizabeth Young	Retired	<p>I am Elizabeth Young, Carrboro NC</p> <p>I care about redistricting and the fairness of district proportion in North Carolina.</p> <p>I would like you to use this criteria: proportionality criteria, such as in Ohio: The statewide proportion of districts whose voters, based on statewide state and federal partisan general election results during the last ten years, favor each political party shall correspond closely to the statewide preferences of the voters.</p> <p>I also want the committee to add this criteria, as in California: Community of interest - a community of interest is a contiguous population which shares common social and economic interests that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation. And communities of interest shall not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.</p> <p>Thank you</p>
08/12/2021 08:39 AM	No way California expert Christine Adams		Absolutely not using an "expert" from California to address NC concerns. Use TWO local bipartisan experts to draw lines or LEAVE THEM ALONE until you can find two!
08/12/2021 08:42 AM	Adrienne Lallo		I teach civics. It's important to me that what I tell my students about the way our government is intended to work is reflected in reality. Please draw district lines that reflect the way N. Carolinians actually live instead of grouping us to maximize the advantage of one party over another.
08/12/2021 08:52 AM	Cynthia Henderson		Please redistrict without biases that favor those drawing the maps while disenfranchising everyone else. Protect the ideals of democracy!
08/12/2021 09:05 AM	Rosemary Peduzzi	- None -	It is imperative that redistricting maps are drawn fairly and without political bias. Gerrymandering is an assault on democracy! Maps that favor one political party over another belong in the dark ages. So do the politicians who create them. Please do not go there!
08/12/2021 09:08 AM	Ban Gerrymandering India Mackinson		<p>Hello, I support a fair redistricting process that does not include demographic and partisan data. I also support the recommendations delivered to lawmakers from the Southern Coalition for Social Justice, which includes the following:</p> <p>* A redistricting timeline that ensures informed and impactful public comment at every step of the process, including public comment solicited before and after draft maps are drawn;</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/12/2021 09:25 AM	Jenny Kotora-Lynch		<p>* Recommendations for meaningful public comment opportunities, including in-person hearings throughout the state, with options for providing written public comment as well; and</p> <p>* Increased transparency throughout the redistricting process through broadcasting public hearings and making all proposed maps fully accessible to the public.</p> <p>I moved to Apex resident 36 years and have lived in the same house ever since, so I've seen lots of NC politics. When I first got interested in redistricting matters, I was upset that Democrats were rigging the maps in their favor. For the past ten years I've been upset that Republicans are rigging the maps in their favor. We all know that rigging the maps is unfair no matter who does it, and it's time to stop.</p> <p>The absolute least we can ask of you is to set up a fair, transparent, and inclusive process as detailed in the August 2nd letter from eleven voting rights organizations. Let me add this:</p> <p>Don't skimp on gathering public comment across the state. Yes, time constraints are tight. But you don't have to plan a traveling road show for the whole committee each time you hold a public meeting. You have members from all over who need to visit their constituents. Can't they hold individual meetings in their districts, live-streamed and recorded for the public record? Schedule those meetings by next week and publicize them on all your platforms so people can plan for them.</p> <p>Make it easy for people to get their comments to you. You can't wait till the last minute to notify people of a meeting and then expect to hear from a broad cross-section of the public. Today is a good example: we heard about the meeting last Friday afternoon, with the promise that the meeting would run until all attendees had a chance to speak. Then on Monday at 4PM we were told that a maximum of 60 speakers are allowed; online signup was not possible till almost 5PM. Hardly much lead time there. No time to get the word out at all. This committee can do better.</p> <p>The comments we make here today and via the portal or emails or snail mails become a part of the public record. So give us the chance to see each other's comments as your mapping proceeds. We're the voters: we need to know how you grind the sausage and draw the maps, and what is the input that drives your decisions? Who are you listening to? With all committee meetings live-streamed, especially as the Delta variant keeps more of us avoiding indoor crowds, you can't gauge the interest in committee business by counting the people who sign in at the door. Are you keeping track of the numbers who listen by phone or who stream the meetings online? I'm willing to bet there's a way. Make that count public, too. Let us know how many fellow-citizens are making those comments, how many are attending the meetings, how many are watching you.</p> <p>It all comes down to trust. We'll never all agree on the maps, but we ought to be able to trust you to listen to us and to do your drawing in the light of day—or at least the lights of the committee room, where everyone can see.</p> <p>As for the criteria, please don't play the games with incumbent residences. Drawing maps to protect your own jobs may be time-honored practice, but it's a way of keeping the status quo—even after years of being told by the courts that your majorities had been gained through unlawful means. Of course you all know each others' addresses, but have the decency to take this off the list as one of the criteria by which you justify district lines. I'm sick and tired of my own district being drawn and re-drawn down to the street level to include or exclude a candidate.</p> <p>I'm not a redistricting maven who can quote statistics and methods of measurement. But</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/12/2021 09:31 AM	Ms Loretta Martin	Self	<p>I've lived here long enough for my nose to recognize the whiff of gerrymandering, and right now it's seeping past all the good words from the chairs about a process for the people. Put a stop to it now. Listen to the public and draw maps to serve us, not yourselves.</p> <p>My name is Loretta Martin from Thomasville in Davidson County</p> <p>Congressional Districts should be drawn using a process that is inclusive, fair, and transparent to assure representation in government for all North Carolina citizens.</p> <p>In your process for this current redistricting in North Carolina, I would strongly suggest that you adhere to "Communities of Interest" as a basic consideration in determining where lines will be drawn.</p> <p>As currently drawn in Congressional District 13, one of our Thomasville precincts was separated from other Thomasville precincts for the House District boundaries. This put that one precinct in with all Lexington precincts. It currently is listed in NC House District 81 instead of 80. People were confused when they received a ballot that indicated to them that they were living in Lexington. Voters there don't know why they are in the Lexington House District while they work, live, and vote in Thomasville.</p> <p>I suggest Communities of Interest as a high priority criteria for drawing lines.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration.</p>
08/12/2021 09:37 AM	Dr Jean Parks		<p>I urge you to include three criteria in drawing district boundaries:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1-preserving communities of historic or ethnic identities- so that their input does not get diluted among several districts 2-proportionality- so that the percentage of voters for one party is reflected in in the approximate percentage of that party's representatives in the state legislature and the House of Representatives 3-compactness- so there aren't appendages to districts just to incorporate certain voters or incumbents <p>Sincerely, Jean Parks</p>
08/12/2021 09:42 AM	Amanda Murphy		<p>It is important to me that racism is not a part of voting in NC. Districts need to be drawn so the power of black voters is not compromised. Racial data should comply with the Voting Rights Act.</p>
08/12/2021 09:43 AM	Gerald Werhan	Retired	<p>There are approximately 10.5 million people in NC. The goal is 10 districts of approximately 1 million people each, (or 20 districts containing half a million people.) Start on either end of the state. Create a 'balloon' emerging along the state boundary that swells until it encompasses approximately 1 million people. Use city & county boundaries and prominent highways as voting district boundaries. Continue moving along the state line,</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/12/2021 09:59 AM	Melissa Engler		<p>creating new 'balloons' until the entire state is segregated into 10, (or 20,) districts.</p> <p>The number of persons in each legislative district shall be within plus or minus 5 percent of the ideal district population. The number of persons in each congressional district shall be as nearly equal as practicable.</p> <p>Districts shall be comprised of contiguous territory. If a county is of sufficient population size to contain an entire congressional district within the county's boundaries, the Committees shall construct a district entirely within that county.</p> <p>Data identifying the race of individuals or voters shall not be used in the construction or consideration of districts.</p> <p>Partisan considerations and election results data shall not be used in the drawing of districts.</p> <p>Member residence SHOULD NOT be considered in the formation of legislative and congressional districts.</p> <p>The place of residence of any incumbent or political candidate shall not be considered in the creation of a map. Districts shall not be drawn for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against an incumbent, political candidate, or political party.</p>
08/12/2021 10:03 AM	Barbara Carter		<p>I am writing in regards to my concerns regarding fair districts in NC. I am concerned that Election Data is not higher on the list. It seems that Partisan considerations and election results data have been used in the past to group people together from very different communities to blunt the voting power of specific groups for political gain by those in power drawing the maps. This is not right and not fair. I would like to see the concern that "partisan considerations and election results data shall not be used in the drawing of districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans" to be taken seriously. Thank you</p> <p>I am requesting that criteria for drawing district maps include: Districts must be compact Districts must be contiguous Districts keep communities whole, especially obvious ones such as cities and college campuses Districts do not split precincts Redrawing does not allow the use of political data or partisan objectives except where needed to comply with federal law Prohibits consideration of incumbency</p>
08/12/2021 10:04 AM	Chanelle James		<p>I am highly concerned about the redistricting process in North Carolina. The criteria being suggested by The House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee is not enough to ensure that districts are fair. The premise that race does not matter as a part of criteria hurts people of color in our state and limits the impact of our vote. There is no requirement that the criteria be created without regard to race and this practice is not right or fair for North Carolina. Racial equity is an important criteria for districts drawn in North Carolina and when districts are cracked and packed as a result of</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/12/2021 10:05 AM	Ben Goldberg		<p>this of the use of this criteria it has a direct impact on me and my community. Race blind criteria has been shown in court cases to not be fair. The moral thing to do is not to draw districts so that you preserve the power of elected officials. The moral thing to do is to preserve the rights of people who live in North Carolina. Maps should not be drawn in a way that is not in the best interest of the voter and minority voters have had to spend to much time recovering from the impact of district drawn in a way that dilutes the impact of their vote on purpose. The Criteria used for the maps needs to be more clear so that everyone understands the criteria in the same way. Community input regarding the maps should not only be included in the criteria but high on the list of priorities. This whole process has been rushed in a way that keeps citizens from effectively participating. After all it is the voice of the citizens that should matter most. The committee has done little and not enough to make sure they have heard from people like me. It is not fair that people of color are not able to have the ear of elected officials in a process like redistricting, that means so much in determining how our government will be constructed. I would like to request that you consider the following criteria as a part of what is used to draw NC House, Senate and Congressional district plans.:</p> <p>Communities of Interest are essential and should make it to the top of the criteria list. Other states have successfully used communities of interest to draw maps. Do not draw lines based on the interest to protect incumbents. When you do this you take a way the power of citizens to hold elected official responsible for what they do. Proportionality matters: The statewide proportion of districts should correspond closely to the statewide preferences of the voters. This helps to ensure that the will of the people is properly reflected. Legislatures should not treat us like they know what is better for us than we know for ourselves. No (or limit) partisan gerrymandering - Partisan gerrymandering hurts the ability of North Carolinians to have advocate for their own needs based on important issues because the needs of political parties are respected before the actual needs of the people.</p>
08/12/2021 10:05 AM	Ben Goldberg		<p>My name is Ben, and I live in Durham. I'm writing this comment because I think it's extremely important that we have fair redistricting maps -- it's not okay to have congressional maps intentionally drawn to give one party more seats.</p> <p>To avoid partisan gerrymandering, I think districts should be drawn using proportional representation, so that the number of Democratic/Republican districts is proportional to the general election results from the past 10 years, like how it's done in Ohio. I also think that communities should not be broken up, and so I think the criteria around community consideration should be moved up to not be the last item in the criteria and should have stronger language, like "shall use" instead of "may be considered."</p> <p>I also think that the final maps should be subject to a public comment so that people can share their input on the maps.</p> <p>It's not fair to voters and communities to gerrymander maps so that one party has more seats.</p>
08/12/2021 10:08 AM	Sarah Atwood		<p>Redistricting criteria should be fair, clearly-worded, and not used simply to maintain incumbent advantage.</p>
08/12/2021	Laura Parker		<p>The goal for redistricting maps should be that there are no automatic partisan outcomes</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
10:20 AM			based on the maps. If automatic partisan outcomes are impossible to eliminate, the goal for redistricting maps should include balancing the partisan automatic outcomes between our two major political parties.
08/12/2021 10:23 AM	Dr. Robin Livley SUMmers	IndvisibleAVL	It is vitally important that we construct fair and balanced maps. These maps must be drawn to benefit the communities they represent not any political agenda, incumbent or party. Maps must consider dispersion and perimeter to ensure that communities are maintained and not carved up to undermine their influence. Maps should use data to ensure compliance with the Voting Rights Act. Finally, there needs to be a level of transparency that allows for easy access and understanding of the district lines and the reasons for the maps' configurations.
08/12/2021 10:31 AM	Jessica Leeds		Please pass the rules to make redistribution criteria fairer to the communities. Election data should not be used to establish districts.
08/12/2021 10:33 AM	Reverend Glencie Rhedrick	SpeakingintheKeyofWE	Fair democracy is dependent upon doing what is right and fair for the entire community and not for just a few. As elected officials the one responsibility you have is to represent all of the people for the greater good of the entire state of North Carolina.
08/12/2021 10:48 AM	Mr Louis Giglio	Unaffiliated	For our county's experiment with democracy to survive, the vote of each citizen of North Carolina MUST count in electing representatives to the Federal Legislature. So called 'politically safe districts' undermines the democratic ideal!
08/12/2021 10:52 AM	Mrs. Barbara Robinson	American Association of University Women	Redistricting maps should NOT be drawn by any politically connected or private citizen living in the area being drawn. Criteria for the redraw should be publicized BEFORE it is done, and public comment time allowed. Please listen to your constituents and agree to the recommendations in the letter sent by many public groups to promote fair and unbiased maps in all of North Carolina.
08/12/2021 10:58 AM	Kelly Hofstatter	Dilworth United Methodist Church	It is corrupt for politicians to be able to determine the outcome of elections by drawing maps that will elect them into office. I am incensed that these individuals do not appreciate my intellect and decision making in choosing who represents me. Regardless of which party is doing the gerrymandering it is high time that it be ended. The effort to end gerrymandering is a bipartisan issue as a the Carolina Forward poll indicates. In April 2021, this poll showed that 65% of North Carolinians say ending gerrymandering is an important issue (72% Democrats, 59% Republicans, 63% Unaffiliated). I am advocating for a fair and transparent process of redrawing maps by an independent commission.
08/12/2021 11:14 AM	Vickie Johnson	Private citizen	As you begin the process of redistricting, my hope is that the process will be fair and transparent to all the citizens of NC. In a democracy the voters choose the politicians; the politicians don't choose the voters. Please support and strengthen our representative democracy and prove that you are worthy of public trust. We rely on you to do right.
08/12/2021 11:19 AM	Stop the steal! aka:gerry Cathy Hegyi	Voting resident who's VERY concerned	Dear Senate and House members: All of you KNOW rigging an election to benefit one party over another is wrong and immoral. And yet, it continues! Is this truly what you want the state of North Carolina best known for? "We can't win with honesty and respect for ALL Carolinians, so we'll cheat"? We WILL fight for free, equal representation and remember who betrayed our trust. And, so you know, I'm an independent because I believe anyone can have a good idea, not just one party or the other!
08/12/2021 11:22 AM	Ms. Rachel Campbell		It is time for Fair Redistricting and stop this Pollicization of Our Votes. We The People Deserve No Less. Let's do what is right and put Country over Politics.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/12/2021 11:23 AM	Dr. Sam Anderson		I want fair redistricting that at every step includes meaningful input from North Carolinians. I especially want to see maps that pass a statistical heuristic for fairness. They exist, are readily available, and improve the fairness of districts for all residents.
08/12/2021 11:29 AM	ms barbara clendenning		<p>I request you</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> –Provide a Simple State Government Website For All Redistricting Information. To facilitate public comment and participation, North Carolina should establish a simple and easily-accessible state government website that includes all redistricting information in one location, including meeting notices and livestream links, draft maps and related data and information, and a public comment portal like that required by other states.³ To prevent any fraudulent activity, the public comment should have a simple authentication process, such as a reCAPTCHA checkbox. – Allow Public Comment Before Initial Draft Maps Are Drawn. The General Assembly should receive public input before draft maps are drawn. This earlier input will ensure community input and concerns can be taken into consideration in drawing draft maps. – Allow Public Access and Comment on Draft and Revised Maps. The General Assembly should release draft maps online for public comment, and should provide the public adequate time to review the draft maps and submit public comment before draft maps are revised
08/12/2021 11:34 AM	Mary Carrigan		This process needs to be open as to criteria selected. There must be ample notice and time for comments at each stage. And, please make this a bi partisan effort. I am so tired of self interest dominating governmental process.
08/12/2021 11:39 AM	Clare Jordan		<p>I request that the General Assembly adopt a fair, transparent and inclusive redistricting process with a reasonable timeline allowing all North Carolinians to have an opportunity to participate, specifically:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Immediately after the August 16 data release, start the redistricting process. 2. By August 21, release processed block data for community use. 3. By September 15, disclose initial draft maps. 4. By October 6, submit final proposed maps to the General Assembly. 5. Provide a simple state government website for all redistricting information. 6. Allow public comment before initial draft maps are drawn. 7. Allow public access and comment on draft and revised maps. 8. Permit written and oral public comment. 9. Hold accessible public hearings throughout the state. 10. Provide two weeks-notice of public hearings. 11. Disclose all criteria systems and data used in drawing maps. 12. Perform all map drawing and revising in public view. 13. Ensure quality video and audio broadcast in public meetings. 14. Disclose all third parties engaged in redistricting. <p>Such a redistricting process will contribute to better maps, engage all NC citizens, and improve public trust in our democracy.</p>
08/12/2021 11:43 AM	Thomas DeAntonio	Down at the Coast	Please end Gerrymandering so that all voices can be heard equally.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/12/2021 12:18 PM	Dr Sherene Min		<p>For the next round of redistricting, it would be greatly preferred that the NCGA not rack up costly legal bills again and fight their Republican-led extreme gerrymandering again. I hope that the Republican leadership takes heed of direction from the settled legal fights and applies more reasonable maps to the state. Instead of trying to redistrict your way into power again, Republicans, how about coming up with real policy decisions that people want? Based on the 2018 elections when Dems could talk to voters face to face, your policies were not as popular. Please create fair maps so NC voices can be heard and policies that people want, like Medicaid expansion and better investments in schools, can be passed. Also, fair redistricting would allow the NCGA and Congressional representatives from NC to look more like the state. We are not a state predominantly of white men and a singular view from 1 group is fraught. We need to see more gender and racial diversity in NCGA that reflects the richness of various voices in the state. Currently, only the Democrats seem to reflect that diversity. I want a healthy 2 party system in the state so that real debate can happen, rather than 1 party that tips the scales in their favor based on essentially rigging the system.</p>
08/12/2021 12:47 PM	Christine Smith		<p>Hello,</p> <p>My name is Christine and I live in Durham, NC. I've lived in North Carolina since 1991 and over the 30 years I have experienced the consequences of the racist gerrymandering in our state. For example, because of the racist gerrymandering in North Carolina we have had less Democrats holding U.S. House seats compared to the larger share of Democrats in the statewide popular vote in both the 2016 and 2018 elections. Fair redistricting is important to me because we need our elected officials to better represent the votes of everyone in our communities.</p> <p>You all have an opportunity and responsibility to bring forth a more fair and better representative map through redistricting the House, Senate, and Congressional districts for ALL the North Carolinians you serve. Unfortunately, the redistricting criteria you have proposed falls short of your duty in the following ways.</p> <p>Racial Data must be considered to not dilute votes of Black, Indigenous, Latinx, Asian, and other people of color (BIPOC) in our communities. Racial data should be used to protect the votes of BIPOC communities.</p> <p>Proportionality must be included in redistricting criteria and be . The districts should represent the people in our state and what they want fairly. To do this, the General Assembly should use a proportionality criteria like that used in Ohio.</p> <p>Community Consideration criteria needs to be required of the redistricting. No one knows their community better than the people who live there. Since the redistricting will affect us the most, our voices should be heard and taken into account in the redistricting.</p> <p>Racial Data, Proportionality, and Community consideration should all be at least in the top 5 criteria if not higher.</p> <p>Our future and the lives of generations after us here in North Carolina depend on the next 10 years of our elected officials taking effective action on our economy, on climate change,</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>and on social justice. You all are capable of redistricting our state to do just that by using Racial Data to protect the votes of BIPOC communities, using Proportionality like in Ohio to better represent how we vote in NC, and uplifting Community Considerations.</p> <p>We need you, our elected officials, to serve us, your constituents, to the best of your ability by redistricting our state fairly and to better represent everyone. Thank you for serving all of us.</p> <p>Warmly, Christine</p>
08/12/2021 12:52 PM	Dr Marsha Hammond	Licensed Psychologist	<p>Senator Blue & Senator Hawkins concerns Re: communities such as NC State U being split in half between districts are being dismissed. Relatedly, WHY is ASHEVILLE split in half between NC10 & NC11?</p>
08/12/2021 12:52 PM	MRS. ROSEMARY TANN	N/A	<p>The number of persons in each legislative district shall be within plus or minus 5 percent of the ideal district population. The number of persons in each congressional district shall be as nearly equal as practicable.</p> <p>Districts shall be comprised of contiguous territory. If a county is of sufficient population size to contain an entire congressional district within the county's boundaries, the Committees shall construct a district entirely within that county.</p> <p>Data identifying the race of individuals or voters shall not be used in the construction or consideration of districts.</p> <p>Partisan considerations and election results data shall not be used in the drawing of districts.</p> <p>Member residence SHOULD NOT be considered in the formation of legislative and congressional districts.</p> <p>The place of residence of any incumbent or political candidate shall not be considered in the creation of a map. Districts shall not be drawn for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against an incumbent, political candidate, or political party.</p>
08/12/2021 01:57 PM	MS MaryAnn Osterbrink	n/a	<p>This criminal prevention of voting has got to end; using fair boundaries cannot be this hard even though 'neighborhoods' change other entities do not (as geographical and geopolitical - counties, towns). Morals vs greed seems to be the ongoing issue tied up in the tight ball of classist & racist economics.</p>
08/12/2021 02:02 PM	Ms. Eileen Carroll	NC citizen & voter	<p>I think it's very important to re-district by neighborhoods & communities. I get together with my neighbors of all political spectrums to discuss various political views. This helps me understand my neighbors viewpoint outside of my own helps me to be open-minded to other ideas. We are successful in the gathering because we are neighbors and trust one another in a close knit community. If redistricting is not community based the it dilutes the communities goals and objectives. I would like to know when pubic meetings will be help so my neighbors and I may attend. This is very import to us - Thank you - Eileen Carroll</p>
08/12/2021	Mary sutherland		<p>Redistricting must be done on a non-partianship basis. No crazily shaped districts</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
----------------	------	--------------	----------

02:15 PM			designed to minimize certain voices. Everyone deserves to exercise their right to vote and have their voices heard.
----------	--	--	---

From: "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)"
<Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 8/18/2021 9:32:04 AM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>; SEN - Senate Redistricting & Elections <SEN-SenateRedistrictingElections@ncleg.gov>
Subject: handouts
Attachments: NC CoPop_B&W_11x17.pdf;
2020_District_Pop_Variances_for_Clustering_landscape.pdf

Best,




Chandra Reed

Clerk Assistant | Rep. Destin Hall | 919.301.1638

1429 Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27603

NORTH CAROLINA COUNTIES

WITH 2020 COUNTY POPULATION



Produced by the NC General Assembly, Information Systems Division, August 17, 2021.



2020 District Population Ranges for County Grouping

Members	House Plan			Members	Senate Plan			Members
	5% Variance Under	Ideal Population	5% Variance Over		5% Variance Under	Ideal Population	5% Variance Over	
1	82,645	86,995	91,345	1	198,348	208,788	219,227	1
2	165,290	173,990	182,689	2	396,697	417,576	438,454	2
3	247,935	260,985	274,034	3	595,045	626,363	657,681	3
4	330,581	347,980	365,379	4	793,393	835,151	876,909	4
5	413,226	434,975	456,723	5	991,742	1,043,939	1,096,136	5
6	495,871	521,969	548,068	6	1,190,090	1,252,727	1,315,363	6
7	578,516	608,964	639,413	7	1,388,439	1,461,514	1,534,590	7
8	661,161	695,959	730,757	8	1,586,787	1,670,302	1,753,817	8
9	743,806	782,954	822,102	9	1,785,135	1,879,090	1,973,044	9
10	826,452	869,949	913,446	10	1,983,484	2,087,878	2,192,271	10
11	909,097	956,944	1,004,791	11	2,181,832	2,296,665	2,411,499	11
12	991,742	1,043,939	1,096,136	12	2,380,180	2,505,453	2,630,726	12
13	1,074,387	1,130,934	1,187,480	13	2,578,529	2,714,241	2,849,953	13

2020 Total Population by County

Alamance	171,415
Alexander	36,444
Alleghany	10,888
Anson	22,055
Ashe	26,577
Avery	17,806
Beaufort	44,652
Bertie	17,934
Bladen	29,606
Brunswick	136,693
Buncombe	269,452
Burke	87,570
Cabarrus	225,804
Caldwell	80,652
Camden	10,355
Carteret	67,686
Caswell	22,736
Catawba	160,610
Chatham	76,285
Cherokee	28,774
Chowan	13,708
Clay	11,089
Cleveland	99,519
Columbus	50,623
Craven	100,720

Cumberland	334,728
Currituck	28,100
Dare	36,915
Davidson	168,930
Davie	42,712
Duplin	48,715
Durham	324,833
Edgecombe	48,900
Forsyth	382,590
Franklin	68,573
Gaston	227,943
Gates	10,478
Graham	8,030
Granville	60,992
Greene	20,451
Guilford	541,299
Halifax	48,622
Harnett	133,568
Haywood	62,089
Henderson	116,281
Hertford	21,552
Hoke	52,082
Hyde	4,589
Iredell	186,693
Jackson	43,109

Johnston	215,999
Jones	9,172
Lee	63,285
Lenoir	55,122
Lincoln	86,810
McDowell	44,578
Macon	37,014
Madison	21,193
Martin	22,031
Mecklenburg	1,115,482
Mitchell	14,903
Montgomery	25,751
Moore	99,727
Nash	94,970
New Hanover	225,702
Northampton	17,471
Onslow	204,576
Orange	148,696
Pamlico	12,276
Pasquotank	40,568
Pender	60,203
Perquimans	13,005
Person	39,097
Pitt	170,243
Polk	19,328

Randolph	144,171
Richmond	42,946
Robeson	116,530
Rockingham	91,096
Rowan	146,875
Rutherford	64,444
Sampson	59,036
Scotland	34,174
Stanly	62,504
Stokes	44,520
Surry	71,359
Swain	14,117
Transylvania	32,986
Tyrrell	3,245
Union	238,267
Vance	42,578
Wake	1,129,410
Warren	18,642
Washington	11,003
Watauga	54,086
Wayne	117,333
Wilkes	65,969
Wilson	78,784
Yadkin	37,214
Yancey	18,470

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)"
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/2/2021 11:14:46 AM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-
HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)"
<Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>; "Ann Luck (House Legislative
Assistant Director)" <Ann.Luck@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Public Hearing Schedule for September 2021
Attachments: Public Hearing Schedule.pdf

All,

Chairman Hall asked by to provide the attached copy of the Public Hearing Schedule for the month of September 2021 to the Committee. Please let me know if there are any questions or concerns.

Thank you,

Erika C

Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578

Joint Public Hearing Schedule

September 1, 2021

House Committee on Redistricting
Senate Committee on Redistricting and Elections

Wednesday, September 8 – Caldwell Community College and Technical Institute, hearing starts at 6:00 pm

Tuesday, September 14 –

- Forsyth Technical Community College, hearing starts at 4:00 pm
- Elizabeth City State University, hearing starts at 5:00 pm

Wednesday, September 15 –

- Durham Technical Community, hearing starts at 6:00 pm
- Nash Community College, hearing starts at 5:00 pm

Thursday, September 16 –

- Alamance Community College, hearing starts at 5:00 pm
- Pitt Community College, hearing starts at 3:00 pm

Tuesday, September 21 – Western Carolina University, hearing starts at 5:00 pm

Wednesday, September 22 – Central Piedmont Community College, hearing starts at 3:00 pm

Thursday, September 23 – Mitchell Community College, Iredell County Campus, hearing starts at 3:00 pm

Tuesday, September 28 – UNC-Pembroke, hearing starts at 4:00 pm

Wednesday, September 29 – UNC-Wilmington, hearing starts at 5:00 pm

Thursday, September 30 – Fayetteville Technical Community College, hearing starts at 6:00 pm

Members of the public may sign up to speak on site at each hearing site, starting one hour prior to the start of the public hearing. Members of the public may also sign up on-line to speak at the public hearing; on-line sign up will close four (4) hours prior to the start of the public hearing. The committee meeting notice for each public hearing will include the name and address of the location and provide a link to the on-line sign up for that public hearing.

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/3/2021 12:20:13 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Wednesday, September 8, 2021 at 6:00 PM - UPDATED #2 (Joint) (Public Hearing)
Attachments: Update meeting on calendar.ics

Updated #2: Public Hearing

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
NOTICE OF JOINT PUBLIC HEARING
2021-2022 SESSION**

The **House Committee on Redistricting** will **JOINTLY** hold a **Public Hearing**.

DAY & DATE: Wednesday, September 8, 2021

TIME: 6:00 PM

LOCATION: Caldwell Community College-

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

The views of interested parties will be heard concerning:

Joint public hearing for public comments - House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee

Location: Caldwell County Community College and Technical Institute

Broyhill Center

1913 Hickory Blvd.

Lenoir, NC 28645

<https://www.ncleg.gov/requesttospeak/45>

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street |
Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)"
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 8/4/2021 6:12:35 PM -0400
To: Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; "Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office)" <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)" <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>; "Sarah Pilon (Legislative Analysis)" <Sarah.Pilon@ncleg.gov>; "Hillary Woodard (Legislative Analysis)" <Hillary.Woodard@ncleg.gov>; "Seth McFarland (ISD)" <Seth.McFarland@ncleg.gov>; "Peter Capriglione (ISD, Director)" <Peter.Capriglione@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Policies on public access, Web, etc.
Attachments: Public access agreement 2021v3.pdf; ISD policies 2021v3.pdf

All,

Attached please find the updates ISD policies for 2021 regarding Maptitude access, posting of plans to the Web, and use of staff. Most of the changes from 2011 are grammatical and a result of combining the policies into one, hopefully easier to use, document.

Substantive changes are in two areas. The first is the merging the public access terminals with the member access terminals. At this time, the plan is to have two terminals that can be used for either purpose, rather than one dedicated to each use. Hopefully, this should allow a little more flexibility in scheduling the requested appointments if there is a higher demand for one purpose over the other at any single point in time. If at any time changes are needed to this process, please let me know. The second is the posting of plans to web. At the end of the 2011 decade, the committee clerks were posting committee materials to the NCGA committee webpage, as many other NCGA standing committees do. For the documents associated with the chamber floor debates, ISD continued to post those documents associated with the underlying bill before the chamber. This draft updates that process to reflect the committee clerks handling committee documents and ISD staff handling the documents associated with the central staff maintained Bill Status page.

Both documents need to be transferred to the appropriate letterhead prior to distribution in committee. But I thought this might be easier for comments prior to finalization.

Let me know of any desired changes.

Erika C

Erika Churchill
Staff Attorney, Legislative Analysis Division
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury St, Suite 500B
Raleigh, NC 27603
(919)733-2578

**NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
MAPPING AND REDISTRICTING INFORMATION SYSTEM
PUBLIC USER ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I understand that the North Carolina General Assembly's Mapping and Redistricting Information System constitutes a public depository of redistricting plans and any data associated with them. I understand that any information I enter into this system, including alternative redistricting plans, reports, data inquiries, and maps are public records pursuant to Chapter 132 of the North Carolina General Statutes. As such, they are not confidential records and may be inspected by anyone upon proper request to the North Carolina General Assembly, custodian of these records.

I acknowledge all of the following:

1. I have been offered a copy of Chapter 132 of the North Carolina General Statutes concerning the public records laws of the State.
2. I understand it is my responsibility to make and keep a copy of any plans and associated reports created by me if I desire to have that information for my further use.
3. I will utilize the naming conventions for plans and reports to avoid duplication of names in the public record.

Signature

Date

Printed Name: _____

Address: _____

Telephone Number: _____

Received by: _____

Public Access

1. Information Systems Division (ISD) will provide at least one public access room where the general public and members may build their own district plans.
2. The public access room hours are 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, by appointment only.
3. An ISD staff member will be available to provide technical assistance for operating software and hardware only. ISD staff does not provide plan design or legal advice to the general public, members, or member's staff.
4. Appointments must be scheduled and confirmed in advance. Members may request appointments outside the general hours, subject to staff availability.
5. A user may only reserve one timeslot and that appointment must be used or cancelled before another timeslot is reserved.
6. Workstations may be reserved for a period of up to three hours.
7. All plans created by the general public on the public access workstations will be considered public information. All plans created on the workstations will be archived on legislative data network storage devices.
8. A color printer will be available for users to produce hard copies of the plans and associated reports created by that user. Custom and large-format maps of publicly created plans will be treated like any other external map request to ISD, with applicable associated copying costs.
9. A directory on the local workstation will be provided for storage of district plans, reports, etc. Public users are encouraged to bring a flash drive, so that upon completion of the session, the public user can save any plans created and associated reports. Following the session, the local output directory will be purged in preparation for the next session. If a public user wishes to continue working on a plan created in an earlier session, it is the responsibility of the public user to bring any previously saved work to the session, as ISD will not be responsible for managing public user files.

Public Comment Input

1. Upon instruction by the redistricting committee chairs, ISD will provide a comment form on the General Assembly's website for the purpose of soliciting public input on the topic of redistricting, in the format and for the duration instructed.
2. Committee staff will provide a report to the chairs and members of the redistricting committee(s) of each chamber, containing the comments submitted, as instructed by the chair(s) of that committee.

Posting of Plans on the Web Site

1. Proposed district plans and the related statistical material before a redistricting committee shall be posted on the Committee's NCGA webpage, by the committee clerk(s) in the discretion of the redistricting committee chair(s).

2. Proposed district plans and the related statistical material heard on the chamber floor will be posted on the NCGA website by ISD within 24 hours of receipt by ISD. Subsequent materials will be posted as time permits.
3. The NCGA website will be the primary means of distribution for bills, maps, and statistics on statewide plans considered by the General Assembly.
4. Requests for additional information on these plans should be directed in writing, including by email, to ISD.
5. Cost of reproduction fees will apply to materials generated for external clients.

Support of Central Legislative Staff

1. ISD will provide hardware, software, training, and technical support to assigned central staff.
2. Central staff will be responsible for generating their own maps, reports, and datasets.
3. Color copiers will be made available for central staff to print and copy maps, including large format maps.
4. The materials production role of ISD will be limited to specialized items that cannot be generated by central legislative staff due to software limitations.

Confidentiality of Plans

1. For information on a non-public district plan, individuals must contact either the plan author, or a system user to which the author has granted access, to determine if that information may be released in accordance with Article 17 of Chapter 120 of the General Statutes or other applicable law.
2. Plan authors may request materials from ISD that cannot be generated by the plan author due to hardware or software limitations. Such requests must be made in writing, including email, by the plan author.
3. Access to ISD printer areas and the legislative print shop is restricted to central legislative staff to ensure plan confidentiality.

Plan Imports

1. Plan files from external sources can be imported, provided those files are submitted in a delimited text format, each line consisting of a block key followed by a district number. Block keys must be in the format state-county-tract-block (e.g. 370156501002100).
2. All media received from external sources will be subject to a virus scan. Detection of a virus will warrant rejection of the submitted item.

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)"
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>

Sent: 8/5/2021 6:17:18 PM -0400

To: "Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton)"
<Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>; "Andy Perrigo (Sen. Warren Daniel)" <Andy.Perrigo@ncleg.gov>; "Angela Ford (Sen. Carl Ford)" <Angela.Ford@ncleg.gov>; "Bonnie McNeil (Sen. Dan Blue)" <Bonnie.McNeil@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; "Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; "Christopher Stock (Sen. Brent Jackson)" <Christopher.Stock@ncleg.gov>; "Corneisha Mitchell (Sen. Paul Lowe)" <Corneisha.Mitchell@ncleg.gov>; "Debbie Lown (Sen. Joyce Krawiec)" <Debbie.Lown@ncleg.gov>; "Dion Clark (Sen. Ben Clark)" <Dion.Clark@ncleg.gov>; "Edwin Woodard (Sen. Don Davis)" <Edwin.Woodard@ncleg.gov>; "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)" <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>; "Heather Millett (Sen. Chuck Edwards)" <Jessie.Frank@ncleg.gov>; "Hillary Woodard (Legislative Analysis)" <Hillary.Woodard@ncleg.gov>; "Jessica Bolin (Sen. Natasha Marcus)" <Jessica.Bolin@ncleg.gov>; "Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)" <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>; "Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; "Julie Bradburn (Senate Rules Committee)" <Julie.Bradburn@ncleg.gov>; "Kolt Ulm (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Kolt.Ulm@ncleg.gov>; "Leigh Ann Biddix (Sen. Jim Perry)" <LeighAnn.Biddix@ncleg.gov>; "Lorie Byrd (Sen. Kathy Harrington)" <Lorie.Byrd@ncleg.gov>; "Michael Cullen (Sen. Wiley Nickel)" <Michael.Cullen@ncleg.gov>; "Paula Fields (Sen. Bill Rabon)" <Paula.Fields@ncleg.gov>; "Sarah Pilon (Legislative Analysis)" <Sarah.Pilon@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ben Clark <Ben.Clark@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Bill Rabon <Bill.Rabon@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Brent Jackson <Brent.Jackson@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Carl Ford <Carl.Ford@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Chuck Edwards <Chuck.Edwards@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Dan Blue <Dan.Blue@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Don Davis <Don.Davis@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Jim Perry <Jim.Perry@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Joyce Krawiec <Joyce.Krawiec@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Kathy Harrington <Kathy.Harrington@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Natasha Marcus <Natasha.Marcus@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Lowe <Paul.Lowe@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Wiley Nickel <Wiley.Nickel@ncleg.gov>; "Shelly Carver (Sen. Bill Rabon)" <Shelly.Carver@ncleg.gov>; "Susan Fanning (Sen. Ralph Hise)" <Susan.Fanning@ncleg.gov>; HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>

CC: "Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)" <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>; "Hillary Woodard (Legislative Analysis)" <Hillary.Woodard@ncleg.gov>; "Sarah Pilon (Legislative Analysis)" <Sarah.Pilon@ncleg.gov>; "Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; Brent

Subject:
Attachments:

Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; "Nathan Babcock
(Senate Pro Tem's Office)" <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>;
"Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>
2019 Redistricting Criteria
Common Cause II criteria 2019.pdf; 2019 Congressional
Criteria.pdf; S511 v1.pdf; S581v1.pdf

Members,

As discussed in today's joint meeting, attached please find the criteria from the 2019 Congressional redistricting, and the September 2019 legislative redistricting. The September 2019 legislative redistricting criteria is an excerpt, on pages 354 -355, of the Common Cause v Lewis order issued September 3, 2019. If anyone would like a copy of the entire opinion, please let me know.

Also attached are the two 2021 Regular Session bills mentioned by Senator Clark.

Thanks,
Erika C

Erika Churchill
Staff Attorney, Legislative Analysis Division
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury St, Suite 500B
Raleigh, NC 27603
(919)733-2578

- a) Alamance-Guilford-Randolph (except that Senate Districts 24 and 28 shall not be redrawn, and any portions of Senate District 27 added by the *Covington* Special Master shall not be altered)
 - b) Bladen-Brunswick-New Hanover-Pender
 - c) Buncombe-Henderson-Transylvania
 - d) Davie-Forsyth
 - e) Duplin-Harnett-Johnston-Lee-Nash-Sampson
 - f) Franklin-Wake
 - g) Mecklenburg
4. The Court will afford the General Assembly two weeks from the date of this Order, namely through September 18, 2019, to enact Remedial Maps for the House and Senate legislative districts for the 2020 election (hereinafter “Remedial Maps”) in conformity with this Order.
5. Except as otherwise noted in this Order, the following criteria shall exclusively govern the redrawing of districts in the House and Senate county groupings set forth above:
- a. Equal Population. The mapmakers shall use the 2010 federal decennial census data as the sole basis of population for drawing legislative districts in the Remedial Maps. The number of persons in each legislative district shall comply with the +/- 5 percent population deviation standard established by *Stephenson v. Bartlett*, 355 N.C. 354, 562 S.E. 2d 377 (2002).
 - b. Contiguity. Legislative districts shall be comprised of contiguous territory. Contiguity by water is sufficient.
 - c. County Groupings and Traversals. The mapmakers shall draw legislative districts in the Remedial Maps within county groupings as required by *Stephenson v. Bartlett*, 355 N.C. 354, 562 S.E. 2d 377 (2002) (*Stephenson I*), *Stephenson v. Bartlett*, 357 N.C. 301, 582 S.E.2d 247 (2003) (*Stephenson II*), *Dickson v. Rucho*, 367 N.C. 542, 766 S.E.2d 238 (2014) (*Dickson I*) and *Dickson v. Rucho*, 368 N.C. 481, 781 S.E.2d 460 (2015) (*Dickson II*). Within county groupings, county lines shall not be traversed except as authorized by *Stephenson I*, *Stephenson II*, *Dickson I*, and *Dickson II*. The county groupings utilized in the 2017 House and Senate Maps shall be utilized in the Remedial Maps.

- d. Compactness. The mapmakers shall make reasonable efforts to draw legislative districts in the Remedial Maps that improve the compactness of the districts when compared to districts in place prior to the 2017 Enacted Legislative Maps. In doing so, the mapmaker may use as a guide the minimum Reock (“dispersion”) and Polsby-Popper (“perimeter”) scores identified by Richard H. Pildes and Richard G. Neimi in *Expressive Harms, “Bizarre Districts,” and Voting Rights: Evaluating Election-District Appearances After Shaw v. Reno*, 92 Mich. L. Rev. 483 (1993).
 - e. Fewer Split Precincts. The mapmakers shall make reasonable efforts to draw legislative districts in the Remedial Maps that split fewer precincts when compared to districts in place prior to the 2017 Enacted Legislative Maps.
 - f. Municipal Boundaries. The mapmakers may consider municipal boundaries when drawing legislative districts in the Remedial Maps.
 - g. Incumbency Protection. The mapmakers may take reasonable efforts to not pair incumbents unduly in the same election district.
 - h. Election Data. Partisan considerations and election results data shall not be used in the drawing of legislative districts in the Remedial Maps.
6. In redrawing the relevant districts in the Remedial Maps, the invalidated 2017 districts may not be used as a starting point for drawing new districts, and no effort may be made to preserve the cores of invalidated 2017 districts.
 7. Any Remedial Maps must comply with the VRA and other federal requirements concerning the racial composition of districts. Within 14 days of this Order, all parties may submit briefing, which may attach expert analysis, on whether the *Gingles* factors are met in particular counties and county groupings and/or the minimum BVAP needed in particular counties and county groupings for African Americans to be able to elect candidates of their choice to the General Assembly. Any such submission by Legislative Defendants is subject to the limitations set forth in subparagraphs (a) and (b) immediately below.
 - a) If Legislative Defendants assert that the *Gingles* factors are met in any counties or county groupings, they shall not only provide evidentiary support for that assertion, but shall also show good cause why they did not compile such evidence during the 2017 redistricting process and shall show good cause why they should not be held judicially estopped from

2019 CONGRESSIONAL PLAN CRITERIA

- Equal Population. The Committee will use the 2010 federal decennial census data as the sole basis of population for the establishment of districts in the 2019 Congressional Plan. The number of persons in each congressional district shall be as nearly as equal as practicable, as determined under the most recent federal decennial census.
- Contiguity. Congressional districts shall be comprised of contiguous territory. Contiguity by water is sufficient.
- Data. Data identifying the race of individuals or voters shall *not* be used in the construction or consideration of districts in the 2019 Congressional Plan. Voting districts (“VTDs”) should be split only when necessary to comply with the zero deviation population requirements.
- Compactness. In light of the *Harris* court’s criticism of the compactness of the First and Twelfth Districts, the Committee shall make reasonable efforts to construct districts in the 2019 Congressional Plan that maintain or improve the compactness of the current enacted plan and keep as many or more counties and VTDs whole as compared to the current enacted plan. Division of counties shall only be made for reasons of equalizing population and consideration of double bunking.
- Double Bunking. Candidates for Congress are not required by law to reside in a district they seek to represent. However, reasonable efforts shall be made to ensure that incumbent members of Congress are not paired with another incumbent in one of the new districts constructed in the 2019 Congressional Plan.
- Election Data. Partisan considerations and election results data *shall not* be used in the drawing of legislative districts in the 2019 Congressional Plan.

1 Whereas, in 2019, a team of mathematicians sponsored by Duke University made
2 publicly available computer code that can be used to generate the total set of optimum cluster
3 maps; and

4 Whereas, the General Assembly must choose one cluster map for each chamber from
5 among multiple options for the purpose of decennially revising districts for the Senate and the
6 House of Representatives of the General Assembly; and

7 Whereas, the selection of a cluster map for revising districts for the Senate and the
8 House of Representatives of the General Assembly has the potential to influence the partisan
9 distribution of future General Assemblies; and

10 Whereas, objective criteria need to be established and used for selecting one optimum
11 cluster map for each chamber of the General Assembly from among the several options; Now,
12 therefore,

13 The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

14 **SECTION 1.** For purposes of this act, the following definitions shall apply:

- 15 (1) Census Bureau. – The United States Bureau of the Census.
- 16 (2) Cluster. – A grouping of one or more counties that is capable of containing a
17 whole number of legislative districts.
- 18 (3) Cluster code. – A shorthand cluster descriptor consisting of four numbers and
19 a colon (##:##). The first two spaces indicate the number of districts that can
20 be contained in a cluster. The last two spaces indicate the number of counties
21 that form a cluster.
- 22 (4) Cluster count. – The number of unique clusters in a cluster map.
- 23 (5) Cluster map. – A statewide map depicting a complete set of clusters for use in
24 revising districts for the Senate or the House of Representatives of the General
25 Assembly.
- 26 (6) Cluster map set. – The total collection of optimum cluster maps for
27 consideration prior to revising districts for the Senate and the House of
28 Representatives of the General Assembly.
- 29 (7) Data from the 2020 decennial census. – Population data needed for legislative
30 redistricting that the Census Bureau is required to provide to the State under
31 P.L. 94-171, including the population of the State and population amounts for
32 each county therein.
- 33 (8) Ideal cluster population. – The number determined by multiplying the ideal
34 district population by the number of whole districts that can be contained in a
35 cluster.
- 36 (9) Ideal district population. – The number determined by dividing the number of
37 members in a plan into the population of the State as reported in the 2020
38 decennial census.
- 39 (10) Monocluster (MC). – A cluster that contains a single district of the Senate or
40 the House of Representatives of the General Assembly and is within five
41 percent (5%) of its ideal cluster population. In a monocluster, the geographic
42 boundary of the cluster and the district that it supports are the same. Thus, all
43 measurable attributes, e.g., compactness and political performance, for the
44 cluster and the district it contains are the same.
- 45 (11) Optimum cluster map. – A cluster map that meets the requirements of Sections
46 3(3) and 5(3) of Article II of the Constitution of North Carolina, as interpreted
47 by the Supreme Court of North Carolina, including the requirement that no
48 cluster within the map may deviate from the ideal cluster population by more
49 or less than five percent (5%).
- 50 (12) Plan. – A plan for revising districts for the Senate or the House of
51 Representatives of the General Assembly.

1 (13) Polycluster (PC). – A cluster that contains two or more districts of the Senate
2 or the House of Representatives of the General Assembly and is within five
3 percent (5%) of its ideal cluster population. In a polycluster, the geographic
4 boundary of the cluster and the boundaries of the districts contained therein
5 are not the same. All measurable attributes of a polycluster, e.g., compactness
6 and political performance, may differ from those of the districts contained
7 therein.

8 (14) Super cluster. – A collection of clusters formed from a subset of counties in
9 which the counties can be combined in alternate ways to form one or more
10 complete sets of county clusters. A super cluster may consist of monoclusters,
11 polyclusters, or both.

12 **SECTION 2.** For the purpose of establishing cluster maps to serve as the basis for
13 the apportionment of seats in the Senate and the House of Representatives of the General
14 Assembly following the return of the 2020 decennial census, in order to nominate and elect
15 members of the two chambers, the following process shall be used:

16 (1) Within 14 days of the date on which the Census Bureau provides data from
17 the 2020 decennial census to the State, the Legislative Services Officer shall
18 provide that data to the State Board of Elections. From that data, the State
19 Board shall develop a cluster map set. The State Board may, in its discretion,
20 delegate all or a portion of the responsibility of developing a cluster map set
21 to the Department of Mathematics at Duke University or the School of
22 Government at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.

23 (2) Within 28 days of the date on which the Census Bureau provides data from
24 the 2020 decennial census to the State, the Executive Director of the State
25 Board of Elections (Executive Director) shall provide the cluster map set,
26 obtained pursuant to subdivision (1) of this section, to the President Pro
27 Tempore of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, the
28 Principal Clerk of the Senate, and the Principal Clerk of the House of
29 Representatives.

30 (3) Within 29 days of the date on which the Census Bureau provides data from
31 the 2020 decennial census to the State, the Principal Clerk of the Senate and
32 the Principal Clerk of the House of Representatives shall make available the
33 cluster map set to the members of the Senate and the House of
34 Representatives, including the chairs of any standing or select redistricting
35 committee.

36 (4) Within 30 days of the date on which the Census Bureau provides data from
37 the 2020 decennial census to the State, the chair or chairs of any committee
38 tasked with reapportioning districts for the Senate or the House of
39 Representatives shall post the cluster map set on the website for the General
40 Assembly for comment and review by the public. Comments shall be received
41 for a period of at least five days, and the committee or committees shall take
42 no action during that time.

43 (5) Within 37 days of the date on which the Census Bureau provides data from
44 the 2020 decennial census to the State, all committees tasked with
45 reapportioning districts for the Senate and the House of Representatives of the
46 General Assembly shall meet jointly for the purpose of receiving in-person
47 public comments regarding the selection of a cluster map from the cluster map
48 set provided by the State Board of Elections.

49 (6) When selecting a cluster map, no committee tasked with reapportioning
50 districts for the Senate and the House of Representatives of the General
51 Assembly shall consider the following factors:

- 1 a. Incumbency protection. – The committee or committees shall not
2 make any effort to avoid pairing an incumbent member of the Senate
3 or the House of Representatives with another incumbent when
4 selecting a cluster map.
- 5 b. Partisan advantage. – The committee or committees shall not make
6 any effort to favor one political party over another when selecting a
7 cluster map.
- 8 (7) The Executive Director shall ensure that each cluster map in the cluster map
9 set provided to the General Assembly pursuant to subdivision (2) of this
10 section includes the following:
- 11 a. A notes section on each cluster map that identifies the total number of
12 clusters, monoclusters, and polyclusters. Cluster maps shall be
13 provided in Portable Document Format.
- 14 b. Shapefiles and block assignment files for each cluster map.
- 15 c. A table for each cluster map that contains the following data in
16 separate columns:
- 17 1. An identifying number for the cluster map.
18 2. An identifier for each cluster.
19 3. A list of all counties in each cluster.
20 4. The cluster code for each cluster.
21 5. The population of each cluster.
22 6. The percentage by which the cluster varies from its ideal
23 cluster population.

24 **SECTION 3.** This act is effective when it becomes law.

**GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA
SESSION 2021**

S

1

SENATE BILL 581

Short Title: Redistricting Criteria for 2021. (Public)

Sponsors: Senators Clark and Fitch (Primary Sponsors).

Referred to: Rules and Operations of the Senate

April 7, 2021

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED
AN ACT TO ESTABLISH CRITERIA FOR LEGISLATIVE AND CONGRESSIONAL
REDISTRICTING FOLLOWING THE RETURN OF THE 2020 DECENNIAL CENSUS.

Whereas, following the receipt on March 2, 2011, of population data from the 2010 decennial census pursuant to P.L. 94-171 (2010 Redistricting Data File), the General Assembly realigned districts for the following bodies on the following dates:

- (1) House of Representatives of the United States Congress on July 28, 2011, in S.L. 2011-403, as amended by S.L. 2011-414, hereinafter referred to as Senate Bill 453.
- (2) North Carolina Senate on July 27, 2011, in S.L. 2011-402, as amended by S.L. 2011-413, hereinafter referred to as Senate Bill 455.
- (3) North Carolina House of Representatives on July 28, 2011, in S.L. 2011-404, as amended by S.L. 2011-416, hereinafter referred to as House Bill 937; and

Whereas, on February 5, 2016, the United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina held in *Harris v. McCrory*, 159 F. Supp. 3d 600, that Senate Bill 453 was an unconstitutional racial gerrymander; and

Whereas, on February 19, 2016, the General Assembly enacted a remedial plan for congressional districts in S.L. 2016-1, hereinafter referred to as Senate Bill 2; and

Whereas, on October 28, 2019, a three-judge panel of the superior court division of the General Court of Justice in *Harper v. Lewis*, 19 CVS 012667, concluded that the congressional districts enacted in Senate Bill 2 were unconstitutional extreme partisan gerrymanders and enjoined the State from holding elections under those districts; and

Whereas, on November 15, 2019, the General Assembly enacted a remedial plan for congressional districts for the 2020 general election in S.L. 2019-249, hereinafter referred to as House Bill 1029; and

Whereas, on August 11, 2016, the United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina held in *Covington v. North Carolina*, 316 F.R.D. 117, aff'd, 137 S. Ct. 2211, that portions of Senate Bill 455 and House Bill 937 were unconstitutional racial gerrymanders; and

Whereas, on August 31, 2017, the General Assembly enacted remedial plans for legislative districts for use beginning with the 2018 general election in S.L. 2017-208, hereinafter referred to as House Bill 927, and S.L. 2017-207, hereinafter referred to as Senate Bill 691; and

Whereas, on January 21, 2018, the United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina held in *Covington v. North Carolina*, 283 F. Supp. 3d 410, aff'd in part and rev'd in part, 138 S. Ct. 2548, that certain districts realigned in House Bill 927 and Senate Bill



1 691 continued to be unconstitutional racial gerrymanders and instituted its own remedial districts
2 for use beginning with the 2018 general election; and

3 Whereas, on November 2, 2018, a three-judge panel of the superior court division of
4 the General Court of Justice in NAACP v. Lewis, 18 CVS 002322, held that certain districts
5 realigned by the General Assembly in 2017 violated the North Carolina Constitution's prohibition
6 against mid-decade redistricting; and

7 Whereas, on September 3, 2019, a three-judge panel of the superior court division of
8 the General Court of Justice in Common Cause v. Lewis, 18 CVS 014001, held that additional
9 portions of House Bill 927 and Senate Bill 691 were unconstitutional partisan gerrymanders; and

10 Whereas, on September 17, 2019, the General Assembly enacted remedial plans for
11 legislative districts for use in the 2020 general election in S.L. 2019-220, hereinafter referred to
12 as House Bill 1020, and S.L. 2019-219, hereinafter referred to as Senate Bill 692; and

13 Whereas, on October 28, 2019, the three-judge panel of the superior court division of
14 the General Court of Justice approved the remedial maps for use in the 2020 general election;
15 and

16 Whereas, every congressional and legislative election conducted in the State of North
17 Carolina during the 2010 decade was conducted with the use of unconstitutional congressional
18 and legislating districting plans that contained either racial gerrymanders, partisan gerrymanders,
19 or both; and

20 Whereas, it is the intent of the General Assembly to avoid racial and partisan
21 gerrymanders in future congressional and legislative districts; Now, therefore,
22 The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

23 **SECTION 1.** Following the return of the 2020 federal decennial census, for the
24 purpose of revising districts and the apportionment among those districts of members of the
25 Senate and the House of Representatives of the General Assembly and the House of
26 Representatives of the United States Congress, the following requirements shall apply:

27 (1) Baseline criteria. – Baseline criteria, as defined below, shall have priority over
28 any other redistricting criteria. For purposes of this act, baseline criteria refers
29 to all of the following, in order of priority:

30 a. Equal population. – Each member of each body identified above shall
31 represent, as nearly as may be, an equal number of inhabitants. The
32 ideal population for a district is the population of the State, as reported
33 by the 2020 federal decennial census, divided by the number of
34 members in a plan for one of the bodies identified above.

35 b. Population deviation. – For purposes of this act, "total population
36 deviation" refers to the difference between the population of the most
37 populous district and the least populous district, and "population
38 deviation from ideal" refers to the difference between the actual
39 population of a district and the ideal population for that district.
40 Population deviations for each body identified above shall be as
41 follows:

42 1. Congress. – Population deviation from ideal shall be zero or
43 one person, unless a higher deviation is necessary to achieve
44 or optimize a compelling State interest associated with the
45 baseline criteria.

46 2. North Carolina Senate and House of Representatives. – Total
47 population deviation shall not exceed ten percent (10%).
48 Population deviation from ideal shall not exceed five percent
49 (5%), in accordance with *Stephenson v. Bartlett*, 355 N.C. 354,
50 562 S.E.2d 377 (2002).

- 1 c. Contiguity. – All districts shall be contiguous. Contiguity by water is
2 sufficient. To the extent practicable, areas within a district should be
3 easily accessible to one another without requiring travel through
4 another district.
- 5 d. County groupings. – Legislative districts shall be drawn within county
6 groups as required by *Stephenson v. Bartlett*, 355 N.C. 354, 562 S.E.2d
7 377 (2002), *Stephenson v. Bartlett*, 357 N.C. 301, 582 S.E.2d 247
8 (2003), *Dickson v. Rucho*, 367 N.C. 542, 766 S.E.2d 238 (2014), and
9 *Dickson v. Rucho*, 368 N.C. 481, 781 S.E.2d 460 (2015). Within
10 county groupings, county lines shall not be crossed except as
11 authorized by the cases identified in this sub-subdivision.
- 12 e. Political boundaries. – All districts shall minimize the number of split
13 precincts and municipalities.
- 14 f. Communities of interest. – All districts shall minimize the number of
15 split communities of interest. For purposes of this act, "communities
16 of interest" are geographically contiguous areas of cohesive
17 populations of people that share common social, cultural, and
18 economic interests that should be included within a single district for
19 purposes of their effective, fair, and equitable representation. A
20 community of interest does not include a community based on political
21 affiliation or relationships with a political party, elected official, or
22 candidate for office. Public and private institutions of higher education
23 that offer a postsecondary degree, as defined in G.S. 116-15(a2)(1),
24 and have a residential campus, including off-site housing near the
25 campus, constitute communities of interest.
- 26 g. Compactness. – Reasonable efforts shall be made to ensure that all
27 districts are compact. The following measures shall be used for
28 assessing compactness:
- 29 1. The number of cut edges in a plan, as described in
30 Recombination, A family of Markov chains for redistricting by
31 Daryl DeFord, Moon Duchin, and Justin Solomon in an article
32 published on March 27, 2020, and available at
33 <https://mggg.org/uploads/ReCom.pdf>.
- 34 2. Reock, i.e., dispersion, and Polsby-Popper, i.e., perimeter,
35 assessments.
- 36 (2) Candidate considerations. – No effort shall be made to create a district
37 favorable or unfavorable to any candidate.
- 38 (3) Partisan advantage. – No effort shall be made to maintain or establish an
39 electoral advantage for any party in any plan. Based on an outlier analysis
40 conducted in accordance with subdivision (6) of this section, except as
41 necessary to comply with State and federal law, a plan shall not advantage a
42 political party beyond the most common seat distribution for that plan, except
43 as follows:
- 44 a. For a congressional plan, by no more than one district.
- 45 b. For a plan for the North Carolina Senate, by no more than two districts.
- 46 c. For a plan for the North Carolina House of Representatives, by no
47 more than three districts.
- 48 (4) Partisan election data. – Election results data may only be used as part of an
49 ensemble analysis of an entire plan, including an outlier analysis, as provided
50 in subdivision (6) of this section. Election results data shall not be used in
51 order to provide any party a disproportionate number of seats in a plan, and a

- 1 composite index of election results shall not be used. Only election results data
2 from elections for the following offices occurring in and after the year 2016
3 shall be considered:
- 4 a. All offices of the Council of State.
 - 5 b. President of the United States.
 - 6 c. United States Senate.
- 7 (5) Partisan analysis. – To add context and validity to the outlier analysis
8 performed pursuant to subdivision (6) of this section, the third-party
9 consultant identified in subdivision (6) of this section shall produce
10 rank-ordered marginal histograms that show typical vote fractions of all
11 districts in each plan from the district that favors each political party the most
12 to the district that favors each political party the least. Additionally, all plans
13 shall be evaluated based on elections from each general election in at least the
14 previous 10 years for each of the offices identified in sub-subdivisions a., b.,
15 and c. of subdivision (4) of this section with different statewide vote counts.
16 To the extent possible, the information produced pursuant to this subdivision
17 shall comport with the methodology discussed in the article Quantifying
18 Gerrymandering in North Carolina by Gregory Herschlag, Han Sung Kang,
19 Justin Luo, Christy Vaughn Graves, Sachet Bangia, Robert Ravier, and
20 Jonathan C. Mattingly, published in volume 7, issue 1, of the 2020 edition of
21 the journal Statistics and Public Policy.
- 22 (6) Outlier analysis. – All districting plans shall be subjected to an analysis of
23 their probable partisan effects prior to their adoption by any committee of the
24 General Assembly or enactment by the General Assembly. This process shall
25 be performed by a third-party consultant. The third-party consultant shall
26 produce at least all of the following:
- 27 a. An ensemble of at least 20,000 alternative plans that meet the
28 requirements of this section. Election data shall not be used in the
29 construction of the ensemble.
 - 30 b. An analysis of the plans using a method for which the consultant shall
31 provide a detailed description.
 - 32 c. Evidence that the number of plans drawn for the analysis is sufficient
33 for the statistics and diagrams presented to have stabilized.
 - 34 d. Evidence that choices made in generating the plans are consistent with
35 the policy priorities specified in this section and do not affect
36 qualitative outcomes.
- 37 (7) Summary metrics. – The following summary metrics shall be used as part of
38 the outlier analysis described in subdivision (6) of this section:
- 39 a. Declination. – The method developed by Gregory S. Warrington to
40 identify possible partisan gerrymanders by analyzing voter
41 distributions.
 - 42 b. Gerrymandering index. – The method developed by Jonathan
43 Mattingly to quantify and provide relative context for packing and
44 cracking in districting plans by measuring how individual districts
45 deviate from an expected percentage of partisan voters.
- 46 (8) Consultant disclosure. – Notwithstanding any other provision of law, if any
47 member, committee, officer, or employee of the General Assembly hires or
48 consults with any person or entity not employed by the General Assembly
49 regarding the realignment of districts for any plan, all related information is
50 no longer confidential and is a public record. The member, committee, officer,
51 or employee of the General Assembly shall publish the name of the person or

- 1 entity and all communications with that person or entity within 24 hours of
- 2 hiring that person or entity and receiving any communication from that person
- 3 or entity.
- 4 (9) Map source disclosure. – If any member, committee, officer, or employee of
- 5 the General Assembly receives a plan to realign districts from any person or
- 6 entity that is not a member of or employed by the General Assembly, the
- 7 member, committee, officer, or employee shall publish the plan and the name
- 8 of the person or entity that provided the plan within 24 hours of receipt.
- 9 (10) Privileged relationship disclosure. – Notwithstanding any other provision of
- 10 law, including G.S. 120-133(b), any attorney-client privilege, confidentiality,
- 11 or other privilege that may exist between any member, committee, officer, or
- 12 employee of the General Assembly and any person or entity, including any
- 13 attorney, regarding the realignment of districts pursuant to this act shall
- 14 dissolve upon the act establishing the relevant district plan becoming law.
- 15 **SECTION 2.** This act is effective when it becomes law.

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)"
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 8/10/2021 3:08:37 PM -0400
To: Sen. Ben Clark <Ben.Clark@ncleg.gov>; HSE - House
Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>; SEN -
Senate Redistricting & Elections <SEN-
SenateRedistrictingElections@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: RE: Audio files of prior meetings posted
Attachments: Public Comment 8.10.21.pdf

Senator Clark,

You must be a mind reader – you knew exactly what was coming next!

Attached please find the public comments to date, through 3:00 pm today. A report will be sent as of 3:00 pm tomorrow and Thursday, then each week on Thursday afternoon.

Thanks,

Erika C

From: Sen. Ben Clark <Ben.Clark@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2021 3:02 PM
To: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>; HSE -
House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>; SEN - Senate
Redistricting & Elections <SEN-SenateRedistrictingElections@ncleg.gov>
Subject: RE: Audio files of prior meetings posted

Erika,

When will receive a copy of redistricting comments that have been posted to the portal as of the close of business today?

Ben Clark, Senator

NC Senate District 21

NCGA Legislative Building: Rm 1117

Office Phone: (919) 733-9349

From: Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis) <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2021 2:58 PM
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>; SEN - Senate Redistricting & Elections <SEN-SenateRedistrictingElections@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Audio files of prior meetings posted

Members,

Below are is the link to the audio files from the House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee. As meetings occur, the audio files will be uploaded and made available to the public on the committee pages for each of the two standing committees. Video recordings of the meetings will also be posted, however those may take up to a day due to the process to record and store those files for the record.

<https://www.ncleg.gov/Documents/493#Audio>

Please let me know if there are any questions.

Erika C

Erika Churchill
Staff Attorney, Legislative Analysis Division
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury St, Suite 500B
Raleigh, NC 27603
(919)733-2578

Redistricting Public Comments Public Comments Report - LINC

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/05/2021 04:07 PM	James Rozier		As someone who has lived in the Charlotte Metro area (CMA) since 2002, it's ridiculous to only be allowed a state legislator that speaks for most of Mecklenburg County when a sizeable amount of people participate in the Charlotte economy and culture but only live right outside the county. Political ideology does not end at the county line. Most of the population located in the subdivisions of the CMA (e.g. Belmont and Gastonia) do not have the same values or concerns as their district "neighbors" such as Boone. The same goes for Concord and Fayetteville, as well as Matthews and Rockingham. District boundaries should be set, not according to the state legislature, where there is a conflict of interest, but by a separate committee such as a Citizens' commission or a panel of civil servants. Too long have both parties tried to redraw the district maps to suit their party's needs (i.e. gerrymandering). It's time to end this game and figure out a better way to set these maps without having to argue about this every two to four years.
08/05/2021 04:10 PM	Andrew Willard		Can y'all reverse gerrymander so we can ram Medicaid expansion and education funding down conservatives' throats' the way they did with tax cuts for businesses and confederate statues?? Honestly if NC isn't in the national news for being explicitly racist in this process I'll be pleased.
08/05/2021 04:26 PM	Don't Gerrymander Rob Mitchell		Seriously, don't gerrymander.
08/05/2021 04:59 PM	Ms. Joanne Kline		In looking at the proposed redistricting maps, it is clearly gerrymandered with the intent to provide one side with an unfair advantage. We should not have to provide education to our representatives on how this disenfranchises voters. It is inappropriate and flies entirely in the face of the purpose of an electoral process to create a situation where representatives choose their voters. The electoral process should be fairly employed to allow voters to choose their representatives. No side should engage in egregious gerrymandering of this sort, Republican OR Democrat. The only fair solution is an independent redistricting commission appointed with an equal balance of political affiliation.
08/05/2021 05:49 PM	Mr TodD Stiefel	n/a	In 2010, you created districts that were intentionally biased against people of color. The modern Jim Crow was admitted by your internal documents and found to be factual in court. Please do not do it again. Please create districts that are the best for the communities you serve, not best for you or your political parties. You should not use race as a factor in redistricting, nor political party. The districts should be fair, not biased on any metric. Further, you should not use other data to estimate where racial minorities live, such as economic data. Thank you. Todd Stiefel Raleigh, NC
08/05/2021 06:09 PM	Mr Dave Horsman		I believe voters want and deserve a process that allows them to choose their representatives, in competitive districts that are not gerrymandered to favor one party or individual politicians. It's also pretty asinine that only one political party is at the helm of gerrymandering and voter restrictions. If you care about this state, country and the right of citizens to have a vote then please do the right thing.
08/05/2021 06:10 PM	Ms. Betty Gunz	Private citizen	The redistricting process has to be fair and transparent. No rigging the vote. Act like the good citizens you are and make our democracy stronger by making our districting as fair as is possible.
08/05/2021 06:31 PM	Mrs Carol Weaver	Private citizen	I request that the redistricting process includes a timeline that ensures informed and impactful public comment every step of the way, including public comment solicited before and after draft maps are drawn; recommendations for

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>meaningful public comment opportunities, including in-person hearings throughout the state, with options for providing written public comment as well and sharing this input with the public; and Increased transparency throughout the redistricting process through broadcasting public hearings and making all proposed maps fully accessible to the public. Your process in 2019 was more transparent than earlier map-drawing, but still not good enough. We want to ensure that voters choose their legislators and that lawmakers don't get to choose their voters.</p>
08/05/2021 07:59 PM	Souvik Roychowdhury		The redistricting should be left to a non-partisan redistricting commission, away from the influence of state politicians.
08/05/2021 08:46 PM	Samantha Peterson		Please stop gerrymandering. It undermines our democracy. You know this, and yet you continue to do so in a sickening pursuit of more power. You insist it's to ensure all votes count equally, but the reality is your constant redistricting based on previous election results proves you are intentionally working to make the vote of people who live in more populated and educated areas count less than people in rural communities. It's hijacking our system of governance and you should be ashamed of the process.
08/05/2021 09:56 PM	Steve Adams	- None -	Why aren't districts drawn by an outside, independent firm? Like an accounting firm? Why let either party gerrymander districts?
08/05/2021 10:52 PM	Katherine Parker		I am not the best person to explain why redistricting is important to democracy. But I do understand and have seen the effects that gerrymandering has had in this country. To have equal and better representation in politics, this is a must.
08/06/2021 07:24 AM	Mrs. Jane Green		The districting maps of the last decade have sought and resulted in a shameful bias against many citizens in our state. An equally grievous result has been the encouragement of political polarization in our state. Both political parties need to recognize that our democracy works best for ALL the people of our state when our legislators have the MOST INCENTIVE TO NEGOTIATE AND COMPROMISE. Thus, I strongly urge this Committee to redistrict in such a way as to optimize the compactness of all districts, rather than the squiggles and bulges that now exist in order to favor disproportionately one party over the other. Computer algorithms can assist in this method and produce much less bias. Then the way to win elections would be to take political positions that appeal to the greatest number of voters, rather than allowing a party choosing its voters.
08/06/2021 08:32 AM	Thomas Holderness		please create districts according to natural boundaries instead of gerrymandering them to favor a particular party. when districts are contested, politicians have to be more responsive to their constituents and the whole state is better served
08/06/2021 09:18 AM	Nancy Kuykendall		Both parties, Dems and Republicans, have used this process over the years to give themselves an advantage with voters. It is time to STOP this disgraceful activity and do what it RIGHT. Use the census.
08/06/2021 09:20 AM	Please don't gerrymander M. A Wertheimer		Please do not gerrymander our districts. Instead, provide the most fair and democratic electoral representation for all by resisting the urge to use partisan political motivations as a basis for drawing our electoral maps.
08/06/2021 09:26 AM	We need fair maps Alice Wiemers		<p>Dear Committee Members,</p> <p>I am writing to urge you to engage in a transparent and fair redistricting process, in which clear criteria are used and that result in maps that are not tainted by extreme partisan manipulation. I am an educator and routinely engage with and register new voters. The number one concern that young voters have right now is the fairness of the process and the question of whether their vote will count. You have the opportunity to restore people's faith in our democratic process by ensuring that their votes count.</p> <p>Respectfully, Alice Wiemers</p>
08/06/2021 09:36 AM	Anne Hill		Republicans in the North Carolina General Assembly have egregiously rigged the state legislative district lines to guarantee that their party will control both chambers of the General Assembly regardless of how the people of North Carolina vote. Tim Moore called the 2011 redistricting as a "model that other states will follow". We know it is

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/06/2021 09:39 AM	Allen Thomas		gerrymandering "with surgical precision" as the courts say. These districts turned into salamander shapes when the GOP took control. Tim Moore and Phil Berger should not touch these maps. They are not up to the task and are the reason this is happening because of 10 + years of gerrymandering by their own hands.
08/06/2021 09:48 AM	George Bang	Wake	Please keep Hoke County whole in both the House and Senate map. I request that we only have 1 State Senator and 1 State Rep represent us in Raleigh. For almost a hundred years, democrats gerrymandered to their heart's content. Then they started losing elections. The consequence: republicans did the same thing to them. Couldn't happen to a nicer bunch of people. Start focusing on peoples' real needs, treat the voters like adults instead of whatever woke agenda item is the flavor of the month in leftie town.
08/06/2021 09:57 AM	Jason Sullivan		The <u>only</u> way for fair maps to happen in North Carolina is for them to be drawn algorithmically with no opportunity for the usual "horse trading" that goes on between the parties. Republicans have been the main driver of this recently, but historically Democrats (at least in this state) have also played a part in gerrymandering. I was particularly upset with the inability of Democrats to hold the line on this on the last map redrawing. Get people out of the process completely. Dr. John Mattingly at Duke has been working on this since 2017, and it's ridiculous and offensive that we're still resorting to hand-drawn, partisan-tuned maps. I understand the desire to give some advantage to the historically marginalized communities, and while it's probably possible to weight an algorithmically drawn map to do that, the GOP has poisoned the very concept of that with their near-endless whining about non-existent oppression of conservatives. We know how to do this without people pushing their partisan agendas into it, and it's long past time we did it.
08/06/2021 10:05 AM	Dr. PAUL KIM		Make the maps as fair and equal as possible so that the people of North Carolina will decide who gets elected or not.
08/06/2021 10:15 AM	Mrs. Pam Cable		Do WHATEVER IT TAKES to make voting FAIR FOR ALL!!! It's a well known fact Republicans have become notorious since Trump for their lies, and their bigotry. Trying to reason with the party of Trump is like talking to walls. They care NOTHING for Americans as a whole, only THEMSELVES!!! So, DO WHATEVER IT TAKES to make voting fair. Keep America Trump-free. DO WHATEVER IT TAKES!!!
08/06/2021 10:19 AM	Dr Kaaren Haldeman	Citizen	NC has suffered enough from illegal maps that have skewed our near 50-50 state toward the extreme right. We the people of NC deserve to be represented fully by our lawmakers and for our maps to highlight rather than suppress our diverse cultural and political electorate. It's time for democracy and democratic principles of fair and accurate representation to become part of our state's legacy. We can be a model for not only the South, but the entire nation. Fair maps and independent redistricting are long overdue, no matter your party or lack thereof.
08/06/2021 10:19 AM	Mr Joe Schneider		If districts were not gerrymandered it would force representatives to appeal to the middle, where most Americans are politically. Such representatives would be more willing to compromise for the good of the country, rather than fear losing their jobs to wing nuts.
08/06/2021 10:41 AM	Adam Novak		The legislature's discretion in the selection of district designs should be minimized. A strict mathematical standard should be adopted to constrain district shape, and districts should be optimized mathematically for equal populations subject to that constraint, using a randomized process that is allowed to be run only once. Perhaps the district map should be constrained to be a Voronoi diagram, or a recursive partition of the state at particular latitudes and longitudes. Just letting the legislature scribble all over the state as they see fit is a recipe for disaster.
08/06/2021 10:44 AM	Julie Jarvis		The gerrymandering has gone on long enough. It is time to have a bipartisan outside group create the maps that truly represent the diverse nature of the citizens of our state fairly and honestly. If you have to gerrymander districts to actually win an election, you might want to reconsider running for office in the first place, since you don't represent the will of the people.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/06/2021 11:00 AM	Kimberly Jordan		I am a resident of Cary, NC. I graduated from Cary High School in 1981, and live on Arlington Ridge today. Please consider your personal integrity, (and by this I am referring to the health of your soul,) as you navigate the process of redistricting. Gerrymandering is corrupt. Thank you.
08/06/2021 11:22 AM	ms valerie rabeler		I believe redistricting should be done only by non-partisan or large bipartisan committee.
08/06/2021 11:40 AM	Pastor Lillie Threadgill		I have witnessed a lot of dirty play over the years in the political system so that certain ones can get the edge over another. The redistricting maps that were drawn up made sure that certain people could get into office for political control. We all need to be treated fairly regardless of our ethnicity and financial backgrounds but down through the years things have gotten worst instead of better. I have always believed in fairness for all people because that is the right thing to do, as the bible says "Do unto others as you would have them do unto you." We should all love our brothers and sisters as much as we love ourselves.
08/06/2021 11:51 AM	David Schulman		PLEASE MAKE IT FAIR. If you need to cheat to win, maybe you shouldn't be in any context at all.
08/06/2021 11:57 AM	Shaun Pollenz		FFS, please stop making our elections unfair. We deserve the opportunity to let ideas win, not political parties. With fair maps, maybe we can actually learn to value one another again, and remember how to work together for the common good. I hope and pray y'all will respect the citizens of NC and draw us maps that fairly reflect our political diversity. Thank you.
08/06/2021 12:24 PM	Jovita Mask		Ok, put your politics aside and do the right thing. Step up and be the first to use common sense and fairness to all to set the districts...someone has to be first to break this cycle of "do unto other before they can do unto you". You know in your reasonable mind that gerrymandering is a downward spiral with each party taking revenge when they can. I would be writing this regardless of the "party in power". As an individual you have the opportunity to rise above and set new standards. As a voter, I am really tired of adults acting like children and blaming others for their actions. So as you move forward please think about what you are doing and set districts that are based on logic, fairness and not emotion or desire to "win". It is your choice but we all have to live with the results. Thank you.
08/06/2021 12:29 PM	Dylan Cannon		I firmly believe that constituents should pick our representatives, not the other way around. If a politician doesn't think they can win an election based on their ideas, maybe they need to work on their ideas. It is criminal 54% of the popular vote got less than 45% representation. If you have to cheat to win, you don't deserve to win PERIOD.
08/06/2021 12:38 PM	Ruth Bromer		North Carolina is a 50-50 state. That means that our districts need to be balanced between Republicans and Democrats. Gerrymandering is totally unacceptable. The citizens must be able to choose their representatives, not the other way around. Our Legislature needs to represent all of the citizens of North Carolina, not just half of our citizens. Please determine the districts in a fair way. DO NOT GERRYMANDER the districts. You represent the whole state, not just the Republicans.
08/06/2021 01:04 PM	mr ricky daniels	american sociological association	will this give less authority to your Arch-Enemy the Clinton Library?
08/06/2021 01:53 PM	Mr. Mike Jennings	Self	During the last decade, the General Assembly spent \$10.79 million, defending unfair legislative and congressional maps. That is money and time that could have been better spent on education, protecting the environment, public safety and the like. Please, have enough confidence that your actions and policies will be attractive enough to the citizens of North Carolina that they will vote for you. Please don't rig the maps to favor one party over the other. Please adopt the transparency recommendations contained in the letters you have received from the League of Women Voters and other organizations. This time around, please be fair. We need to have trust in our government. Creating fair maps is a first and critical step. Mike Jennings
08/06/2021	Mitchell Siegel		This may be novel but lines for districts should be based on county borders...period. Population centers in our state

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
02:06 PM			are where people choose to live not where people choose to be aligned to a particular political party. Fair and HONEST representation is never possible when political bent is forced upon the population and citizenry. If an elected official can't or won't uphold his/her oath to honestly represent this state, then PLEASE don't run for public office.
08/06/2021 02:07 PM	President Carol Geer	League of Women Voters of Carteret County	<p>Dear House and Senate Redistricting Committee-</p> <p>First of all on behalf of the League of Women Voters of Carteret County thank you for this opportunity to offer our comments. As you are well aware, fair redistricting is fundamental for our representative democracy and a transparent process is crucial to upholding public confidence in our system of government. We ask the committee to ensure transparency throughout the complete process. The NCGA can benefit from transparency by engaging the public in the redistricting process. As new maps are being drawn this year we are asking that you support transparency measures above and beyond what occurred during last year's court-mandated drawing. The public needs to witness not only the map drawing but also know that this process was free from private side-chats and backroom meetings. In addition we must have public hearings across the state where citizens can truly participate in the redistricting process with ample opportunities for meaningful public comment. Only then will the citizens of this state trust that you are all working effectively on their behalf.</p> <p>We request that you all adopt these best practices throughout the entire redistricting process. Our citizens deserve nothing less.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Carol Geer</p>
08/06/2021 02:14 PM	Ms. Paula Baumann		Please create voting districts that keep precincts intact; that are compact & contiguous; that maintain municipal & county boundaries. Please DO NOT use any political data such as incumbent addresses, voting history or party affiliation. The businesses that are relocating to NC are our future. They are watching our process for redistricting and voting rights. I want them to know that their future will be found in a state with fair maps that yield fair votes.
08/06/2021 02:19 PM	Mr Thomas Bailey	Citizen	Please do not create gerrymandered voting maps again and force us to go through lawsuit after lawsuit to make them halfway fair. I hope you have learned a lesson.
08/06/2021 02:25 PM	Ms. Sharon Withrow	League of Women Voters	<p>I am a member of the League of Women Voters of Asheville-Buncombe County. As the General Assembly goes through its redistricting process this year, the League is asking for a transparent process that allows for public input. Fair, clear, and measurable criteria should guide redistricting, and districts need to be compact, contiguous, and avoid splitting cities and counties.</p> <p>Voters need to have confidence that their vote counts and that their representatives are behaving fairly and honestly. Allowing citizens to have public input on redistricting and a transparent redistricting process that includes clear and measurable criteria and that does not "crack" communities for partisan gain are two important ways to achieve this.</p> <p>Moreover, a transparent process with clear and measurable criteria will help the state avoid more costly lawsuits. From 2011-2019, our General Assembly spent \$10.79 million dollars defending its maps in court, many of which were overturned. That money could have been spent on other priorities in our state.</p>
08/06/2021 02:31 PM	Michael Ping	N/A	<p>Thank you for your service and for your commitment to the people of North Carolina.</p> <p>Do not use geocoded voter registration data! The whole purpose of the census is redistricting.</p>
08/06/2021	Dr. Phyllis	League of Women	The League of Women Voters and 10 other organizations have sent a letter to the General Assembly, asking for

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
03:01 PM	Demko	Voters of North Carolina	<p>meaningful opportunities for public input as well as genuine transparency as they do the work of drawing district maps. We believe our representatives should hear from us BEFORE the maps are drawn, so that community concerns can be taken into consideration. Hearings should be scheduled right away, to be held live all across the state – with ample notice and on schedules that fit the lives of working people. These should be streamed in an accessible format. In addition, this committee should be working NOW on setting up a website that will include all redistricting information in one location and a public portal for receiving written comment. The draft maps should be released online for public comment with adequate time for review.</p> <p>The way those in power carve up our communities unfairly in order to stay in power is the biggest threat to maintaining our democracy. Legislators escape accountability when they fix themselves in safe seats where no one can hope to beat them; then they do what they want and don't have to listen to the voters in their district. The General Assembly will make decisions in the next few months that will affect our rights and resources for the next ten years. This time around North Carolinians expect nothing less than a fair and open process. It's time for the mapmakers to pay more than lip service to the principles of open government.</p>
08/06/2021 03:01 PM	Dr. Denise Flack-Rothman		Please establish a nonpartisan redistricting committee that creates districts based on geography not on gerrymandering. Please give back to us, the people, our democratic voice!
08/06/2021 03:20 PM	Katherine Wulff		We DEMAND fair, honest and straightforward district maps. Stop the gerrymandering NOW.
08/06/2021 03:38 PM	Shannon Halbur	LWV	I want fair maps. I want and expect the process to be fair, transparent and open to the public throughout. It is not too much to expect from those elected to our legislature openness, fairness and honesty. If you are not open you cannot be honest.
08/06/2021 03:43 PM	Shannon Halbur	LWV Wake	The people should choose their legislators not the other way round. Our founding fathers never meant for the legislators to choose their constituents. At least not in the history I was taught in school.
08/06/2021 03:53 PM	Mrs Chris Wieland		We need an impartial panel to do redistricting. Y'all have lost your minds if you think creating your own districts is a good thing.
08/06/2021 03:54 PM	Ms Elizabeth Garber		I'm hoping that vote by mail will be as available as it was in the 2020 election cycle. We seniors cannot always know what our situations will be in 2022/2024 elections and we must be able to vote. Also do not change the process of the electors using the real results of the election. It is not right for the electors to have the option of changing the outcome without PROOF of fraud. The suggestion of fraud cannot be the basis of any appeal to change results. This country has a history of free and fair elections and this must be maintained.
08/06/2021 04:03 PM	Philip Higgs		<p>We are living in a great democracy that requires equal representation for all of its citizens. Artificial manipulations to give one minority group outsized representation in our government is not fair to its citizens and ultimately may lead to the failure of democracy.</p> <p>I urge the formation of an independent commission to perform the upcoming and future redistricting function to provide fair and equal representation.</p>
08/06/2021 04:44 PM	Mrs Nina Goody		In your process of redistricting in my community, I want fairness, transparency, an end to Critical Race Theory in our schools, an end to Vaccine mandates and passports.
08/06/2021 04:49 PM	Jan Cullinan		Please form fair & reasonable districts not based on political party, skin color, etc.
08/06/2021 04:50 PM	Nicole Kirch		I want a non-partisan fair districts, with a transparent redistricting process. There needs to be a community inclusive process. I believe gerrymandering has to end.
08/06/2021	Professor Skylor	Fayetteville State	Republican's lust for power is out of control with the gerrymandering of congressional districts that don't properly

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
05:04 PM	Swann	University	represent the population of this state. It's time for reforms in redistricting to better address a more accurate representation of North Carolina's voting population. Public service was never intended to be a life long career. Gerrymandering only serves to protect the power of dirty politicians that are too ignorant to run on actual policy rather than underhandedly rigging the system to retain control when they don't truly have the support of the people.
08/06/2021 05:07 PM	Gary Kenton	Greenboro Justice Coalition	We want fair maps, and the only way to get those is if they are drawn by an independent commission. Too bad North Carolina doesn't want fairness.
08/06/2021 05:26 PM	Mrs Betsy Webster		My NC district is gerrymandered every time a boundary is moved. It's been like that forever. Please consider non partisan district boundary's
08/06/2021 06:15 PM	Ms. Judy Lotas	LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS	Responsibility for fair redistricting should be the People's responsibility. An independent commission comprised of NC citizens in all their wonderful diversity, should be set up. The commission would be a jury of sorts, listening to facts, seeing evidence and coming to a sober agreement that avoids hyper-partisanship. Fairness breeds trust.
08/06/2021 06:18 PM	Sherry Kinlaw		Maps shouldbe redrawn to ensure all votes are counted and voters have easy access to voting. I would love for every NC citizen to be mailed a ballot for every election. Gerrymandering is threatening our democracy.
08/06/2021 06:28 PM	Jo Ann Mount		I am very concerned that NC draw fair, nonpartisan congressional districts. It is time to allow the voters to select our representatives, not the other way around. I urge you to do the right thing!
08/06/2021 06:41 PM	Aviva Enoch	Aviva Enoch	I think it is absolutely necessary to do redistricting in an objective and non-partisan way, using neutral professional outside sources. This is the only way to ensure that the districts are fair, and that our state representatives are truly "representative" of the people of our state.
08/06/2021 09:03 PM	Kenille Baumgardner		The amazing gerrymandering patterns must stop. Democrats and Republicans should not be involved but maps should be drawn according to population and by people that have no "dog" in the fight.
08/06/2021 10:18 PM	L Lundgren		Regarding upcoming redistricting activities, we want FAIR maps, a FAIR and equitable process, and absolute TRANSPARENCY that is open to the public throughout.
08/06/2021 10:57 PM	Peri Alletto	NCAE	The new Congressional District should be one held by democratic since the data shows people moved on reflect it. The rural counties have more say North Carolina in Congress than urban the and suburbs. There should be no packing amd cracking to dillute the votes of subburbs amd urban areas in the state to give conservatives more votes. It mskes no srnse that Democrats have more votes it should reflect it with 7 Blue and 7 Red districts.
08/06/2021 11:11 PM	Ms Ivy Brezina		I am recommending that an independent redistricting commission be created and that we take politicians out of the redistricting process. An equitable process should follow these principles: continuity - a district remain connected, compactness - a district is not drawn in an odd shape, and equitable population - all districts be as nearly equal in population as practicable.
08/07/2021 12:35 AM	michael weinstein		There is no doubt that gerrymandering is the greatest perversion of the voting process in modern times and has resulted in governments that do not represent the people's will. Thus, it is a frightening threat to democracy. Anthony Kennedy gave clear guidelines regarding what would be necessary to rule gerrymandering unconstitutional, and that requirement is fulfilled by the voting efficiency gap test, which is admirably non-partisan and unfudgeable. Let's use this test to ensure that federal, state, and local governments represent our people.
08/07/2021 07:26 AM	Mr. Maurice York	Retired	Redistricting should be undertaken by an independent commission, to avoid gerrymandering such as North Carolina currently experiences. Although overall vote totals for the two major parties are generally very close, as a result of gerrymandering, one party consistently maintains a majority in the General Assembly and in our Congressional delegation. This must stop, as it essentially disenfranchises many voters.
08/07/2021 07:29 AM	Mr. Jacob B		The current districts for Forsyth County adopted by HB 1020 (2019) are significantly flawed. The original maps which were drawn for the legislation make much more sense but were only changed based on where incumbents live. House District 75 should lose voting precinct 042, and 043 to House District 71 as was proposed in 2019. District 71 should lose voting precinct 82 and 83 to House District 75 also as originally proposed in 2019.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/07/2021 09:57 AM	ms Marilyn Campbell		I do hope all State Senators on the committee will read, if they haven't already, "Indecent Assembly" by Gene R. Nichol, Boyd Tinsley Distinguished Professor of Law at the University of North Carolina. Prof. Nichol, editorial commentator and law professor, has also written other books, including "The Faces of Poverty in North Carolina: Stories from our Invisible Citizens". If we, as citizens living in North Carolina, are to understand our recent history, I think it is imperative that we all read "Indecent Assembly".
08/07/2021 10:04 AM	William Hunter	Retired	Our democracy is on the brink. We need fair elections. Let voters decide their representative. Develop new districts through an independent commission.
08/07/2021 10:27 AM	Sue Engelhardt	League of Women Voters Northeastern North Carolina (LWVNENC)	I am the President of LWVNENC and we sponsored a Lunch and Learn Forum on Redistricting in Elizabeth City on 7/24 which was very well attended. We also worked with the Pasquotank County Board of Commissioners to issue a resolution on Redistricting which they signed and published on 6/21. We are currently working with the Elizabeth City Board of Commissioners to issue something similar. The Pasquotank Resolution states in part: "Be it further resolved that the Pasquotank County Board of Commissioners encourages the NC General Assembly to adopt procedures for the 2021 legislative and congressional redistricting embodying the above principles, processes and measures in order to secure our resident citizens' right to participate fully and effectively in the 2021 redistricting process and to strengthen their representation in these elected bodies." The Pasquotank has committed to 3 public hearing. If you are interested in a copy of the Resolution, please contact me via my email: jae1dae@verizon.net and I will send to you. It should also be posted on the Board's website.
08/07/2021 11:27 AM	John Doe	USA	Y'all should set up the districts so that each election comes down to the wire! That would garner greater public interest & greater voter turnout. (I know the R's don't want greater turnout but it would still be better.)
08/07/2021 11:54 AM	Richard Helgans	Citizen	Voting rights are essential to our democracy. Gerrymandering is a barrier to democracy. We need to insure that every citizen has a right to vote and that our votes count. We need voting districts that are fair. To that end we need to have non-partisan experts draw our voting maps.
08/07/2021 11:59 AM	Ms. Penelope Schmitt		It is long past time that the districting process be taken from partisan hands and carried out by a nonpartisan body. A pilot program a few years ago demonstrated this was eminently possible for our state. No more cracking and stacking, No more nonsensical Gerrymanders, please! Let our state be a beacon for the right of every qualified citizen to vote, and for every vote to count equitably. Don't let democracy die here!
08/07/2021 12:03 PM	Mr. Henry Trufan	None	Gerrymandering should be outlawed! Draw district lines that make elections fair and honest. People are tired of the outrageous and divisive politics that are so common these days. Looking at district lines it becomes very apparent that special interests drew them up. Our government was intended for the benefit of all the people not just the powerful few. Please bring fairness back to government.
08/07/2021 12:29 PM	Donald MacKinnon	High Point Electoral Reform LLC, High Point NAACP	I reside at 3648 Village Springs Dr, High Point, NC 27265. High Point is the ninth largest city in North Carolina. Our voice must be respected. But for too long, the redistricting process has undermined our city's very integrity. Map drawers have sliced our city into pieces and/or have paired our voters with faraway populations who have no understanding of or interest in our needs. Through this flawed process, map drawers have deprived High Point voters of effective and fair representation on the Guilford County Boards of Commissioners and Education and within the North Carolina General Assembly. As you proceed to set criteria for this year's redistricting, I ask that one of them be: To the extent practicable, the geographic integrity of the City of High Point shall be respected in a manner that minimizes its division. Thank you.
08/07/2021 12:32 PM	Dr. Shelley Allen		Be honest, be patriotic Americans who actually support democracy, and end gerrymandering. Political parties should win on their ideas, not by cheating through gerrymandering.
08/07/2021	Ms. Marjorie		Voters need an inclusive, open process that adheres to common sense guidelines free of partisan motivations; and

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
12:34 PM	Storch		<p>Voters need transparency, in an open door manner with opportunities for public dialogue and feedback, rather than behind closed doors; and</p> <p>Voters want compact districts that do not split communities with shared interests;</p> <p>Voters want meaningful hearings in communities throughout the state (at least 20 public meetings – 10 before the plan is drawn and 10 after an initial plan is created but before it is finalized); and</p> <p>Voters want Legislators to listen to – and be responsive to – public input in how the district lines are drawn; and</p> <p>Voters want the protections of the Federal Voting Rights Act of 1965.</p>
08/07/2021 12:36 PM	Stephanie Jones		<p>I urge you all to resist the temptation to take into account political affiliations and things such as race and gender of voters while doing your work. I believe our state and nation and my local community are all best served by emphasizing shared community and life interests associated with geography (flood plains vs hill country vs urban regions) and industry (tourism vs manufacturing vs agriculture). Our elected representatives can better serve if they can focus on common needs of all their constituents rather than feeling pressure to cater to the large portion at the expense of the equally valid needs of smaller portion.</p>
08/07/2021 12:58 PM	Martha Mulford		<p>Please provide transparency in the redistricting process, with legislators required to explain why a district is drawn a certain way, and allow public feedback before adopting the new maps. Of course, fairness should be emphasized - refrain from gerrymandering!</p>
08/07/2021 01:03 PM	Ms. Amy Zinn		<p>Hire an independent group to make sure districts are fairly drawn. When 41% of NC registered voters are Republican and 43% are registered Democrats, 10 out of 13 US House Representatives should NOT be Republican. C'mon man! That isn't even getting into the NC Gen Legislature, which is just as biased, if not more. HIRE AN INDEPENDENT NON-PARTY AFFILIATED GROUP to draw the district lines, and then have a panel of at least 3 state (maybe federal?) judges approve the plan as fair and unbiased.</p> <p>https://www.pewforum.org/religious-landscape-study/state/north-carolina/party-affiliation/</p>
08/07/2021 01:29 PM	Gerrymandering April Wyche		<p>Redistrict based on population not party affiliation and draw lines that ensure voters are choosing their reps rather than reps choosing their voters. It's time to stop gerrymandering districts; it's wrong.</p>
08/07/2021 02:15 PM	Mrs Katherine Blakefield		<p>Please come up with a plan for fair non- partisan districting . It is essential to our Democracy.</p>
08/07/2021 03:56 PM	Catherine Stadelman		<p>Loss of public trust in elections begins with partisan redistricting. Please use a redistricting process that is nonpartisan, open/transparent, and participatory to draw new districts that accurately reflect the community's composition. Encourage citizen participation in redistricting and use this input to strengthen community representation. In drawing districts, do not consider voting patterns, party registration information, or incumbents' residential addresses. Use only that demographic information that is necessary to ensure reasonable minority participation without packing or cracking. These and other such techniques are shameful and should not be used by any self-respecting person in any party.</p> <p>Restoring public confidence in our election system is more important than the re-election of any person or the establishment/maintenance of power by any political party.</p>
08/07/2021 04:23 PM	Rachel Poller		<p>Dear Legislators:</p> <p>In this time of widespread distrust of government and polarization, it's more important than ever that every precaution and steps are taken to rebuild trust among citizens and voters. Please, then, make sure that the redistricting process is not rushed (given the constraints around arrival of census data, as much advance work as possible should already be well underway; "we don't have enough time to do this right and to allow for public input" is not an acceptable excuse), is as transparent as possible allowing for the public both to see and hear legislators at work the entire time that maps are being drawn, and allows for meaningful, easily accessible, and timely public input. The maps may not be perfect and probably won't satisfy everyone, but there's no reason why everyone shouldn't be satisfied with the process and proud of how NC legislators operate. Thank you for listening as well as for your time and hard work.</p> <p>Rachel Poller</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/07/2021 08:56 PM	Mr Mark Taylor	citizen	No gerrymandering. Fairness for true democracy. Nonpartisan committee makes supreme sense for true democracy.
08/08/2021 07:54 AM	Mr. James Lyon	Self	I implore the acting bodies presiding over redistricting in our state to adopt a fair and balanced map. It is vital to our democracy and it's continuation that no one party is given an unfair advantage of the other.
08/08/2021 08:18 AM	Sharon Tabor		No Gerrymandering. Take a ruler, draw the state into equal portions based on population and accept the results.
08/08/2021 08:24 AM	Michelle Tennant	Flat Rock, NC	Please stop the gerrymandering.
08/08/2021 10:47 AM	Kathy Wheeler		All North Carolinians are looking to YOU, members of the redistricting committees, to ensure FAIR drawing of maps which represent ALL North Carolinians. We hope that you make the process TRULY transparent, with our being able to observe and offer comments throughout the process. We expect MULTIPLE ways we can comment, across the WHOLE state. We expect you to leave party affiliation behind, as you look at redrawing maps. Please don't make taxpayers foot a huge bill for law suits to challenge unfair maps - Do this right, the first time!
08/08/2021 10:59 AM	9th District Chair James Davis	9th Congressional District	Please provide the recent results of the redistricting committees meeting
08/08/2021 11:04 AM	9th District Chair James Davis	9th Congressional District, North Carolina Democratic Party	Please provide the results of the recent redistricting committees this week. We are interested in knowing the potential configuration of the 9th District.
08/08/2021 11:39 AM	Mrs Martha McCormick		I believe that the redistricting of North Carolina must be completed in a fair and transparent manner. It is important that every voter in North Carolina have confidence in the process and that they believe in the equitable and IMPARTIAL mapping of new districts. There should be NO partisan considerations - lines should be drawn with logical and reasonable boundaries. There should be an independent non-partisan commission to draw the district maps. Also, the public should be able to verify, comment, and rebut the proposed voting districts. This is imperative to future of our democratic republic which demands a fair, honest, uncompromized, and unencumbered electorate. You must do this for our great State of North Carolina. You must do this to assure future elections. You must do this to avoid expensive legal suits against an unfair and partisan redistricting. Thank you.
08/08/2021 11:48 AM	Mr James McCormick		I believe that the redistricting process should be conducted in a non-partisan manner. There should be an independent commission to study the population distribution and then remap each district in a fair and equitable method that is completely without biases for voter party registrations. It must be completely transparent. There must be adequate time for citizens to study, comment, rebut the proposed districts before they are established permanently. This is for the future of our great state of North Carolina. We must continue to support all our voting populace by protecting communities, by assuring equitable mapping, and by allowing the voters to elect their representatives rather than the representatives cherry-picking their voters. This is the only way to maintain confidence in our system of democracy and the integrity of our republic.
08/08/2021 12:06 PM	Barbara Grady	Retired	The voting citizens of North Carolina want fair maps and honest elections. Gerrymandering for political or racial bias is not going to promote trust in our government or confidence in our election results. Please end biases in redistricting and stop generating safe seats for incumbents. Fair maps generate trust in the process—which appears to be in short supply right now.
08/08/2021 12:07 PM	Ms Linda Kane		Please be sure that the redistricting process that has started will: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Have a timeline that allows citizens to have the opportunity for informed and impactful public comment on the criteria and the actual maps as drawn.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Provide a simple government website to get all redistricting information. 3. Allow public comment before the initial maps are drawn and the draft and revised maps. 4. Hold hearings throughout the state. 5. Provide adequate notice before hearings. 6. Disclose all criteria, systems and data used in drawing the maps. 7. Perform all map drawing and revising in public view, with quality video and audio broadcast of such meetings. 8. Disclose all third parties engaged in redistricting. <p>It is critical that all North Carolina citizens can have faith that our system is fair and equitable and these actions are a start in assuring that. Thank you.</p>
08/08/2021 12:08 PM	Ms Robbin Nolan		<p>Hire an independent group to make sure districts are fairly drawn. 41% of NC registered voters are Republican and 43% are registered, Democrats. 10 out of 13 US House Representatives should NOT be Republican. HIRE AN INDEPENDENT NON-PARTY AFFILIATED GROUP to draw the district lines, and then have a panel of at least 3 state or federal judges approve the plan as fair and unbiased.</p> <p>https://www.pewforum.org/religious-landscape-study/state/north-carolina/party-affiliation/</p>
08/08/2021 12:11 PM	Ms Sheila Clendenning		<p>Voters must always choose their representatives, not the other way around.</p> <p>Districts must be geographically sensible and drawn by an absolutely NON-PARTISAN entity.</p> <p>We are watching carefully.</p> <p>Do the RIGHT thing.</p>
08/08/2021 12:37 PM	Mrs. Sylvie Horvath	Citizen of NC and US	<p>Trust in our government is eroding and divisiveness is growing because people feel they aren't fairly represented in what is supposed to be a representational government. One of the worst practices causing this mistrust is gerrymandering, regardless of which party does it. Our state government should disclose all criteria, systems and data used in drawing the maps and perform all map drawing and revising in public view, with quality video and audio broadcast of such meetings. Computer technology has brought us into a new era where third parties may interfere in the process by providing information leading to extreme gerrymandering and unequal representation in our government.</p> <p>perform all map drawing and revising in public view, with quality video and audio broadcast of such meetings.</p>
08/08/2021 01:08 PM	Karen Smith	Self Employed	<p>I do not believe it is possible anymore for either party to fairly draw districts in NC. Therefore I support an independent professional redistricting commission answerable to the public using statistical methodologies to determine the boundaries of NC's congressional districts. I believe there needs to be specific and sufficiently significant criminal sanctions for misconduct within this commission. The financial and affiliation records of commission members must be transparent.</p>
08/08/2021	Karen Hiser		<p>Thank you for taking public comments on this important topic. I would like to see the NCGA eliminate incumbency</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
01:17 PM			protection as an allowable criteria for drawing voting districts. Allowing sitting legislators to choose precincts for their new district is like letting a student grade their own term paper. It's impossible for humans to not have bias towards one's own success. Voters should choose their legislators, not the other way around. Thank you!
08/08/2021 01:34 PM	Kathryn Liss		I believe that non partisan maps are vital for democracy. Districts should make geographic sense, not be gerrymandered.
08/08/2021 01:36 PM	Ms. Joy Haldeman-Englert		<p>On Monday August 2nd a coalition of voting rights organizations submitted a letter to NC legislators regarding the upcoming redistricting process. These groups included Common Cause North Carolina, North Carolina NAACP, League of Women Voters of North Carolina, Democracy North Carolina, NC Counts Coalition, Southern Coalition for Social Justice, Carolina Jews for Justice, Asociacion de Dominicanos de Carolina del Norte, El Pueblo Inc., Disability Rights North Carolina, and the NC Justice Center</p> <p>As a North Carolinian who cares deeply about voting rights and is very aware of North Carolina's history of discriminatory redistricting practices, I am very invested in the upcoming redistricting process. I agree completely with and am grateful for the recommendations made in the above mentioned letter about how our legislators can support a fair, inclusive, timely, and transparent process during this redistricting cycle.</p> <p>I ask that NC Legislators incorporate these recommendations, in letter in spirit, at every step in the process.</p> <p>Sincerely, Joy Haldeman-Englert Black Mountain, NC 28711</p>
08/08/2021 02:02 PM	Ms Donna Manders		Redistricting must be done solely by population without regard to voter registration, party affiliation, race, district voting history or any polling data.
08/08/2021 02:23 PM	ms marynelle smith		<p>The maps must not be drawn to advantage a political party or an individual. Political data—including but not limited to voter registration, voting records, and incumbent addresses—should not be considered when drawing maps.</p> <p>The entire proceeding should be completely transparent, live streamed with audio that can be heard and understood.</p>
08/08/2021 02:47 PM	Mrs. Kay Zimmerman	Private citizen, member of League of Women Voters	NC needs a clear, fair, and transparent redistricting process. It should be done by an independent party, not the political parties who might have a stake in the outcome, but since that is not happening, districts must respect communities and not divide them in arbitrary ways. At the very least maps should not benefit any one political party, and voter registration and similar data should never be used. The process should be public in all respects: committee work, map drawing, all conversations, and all data and criteria shared. Maps should be accompanied by explanations and reasons for the drawing of districts. Finally, the process should include public meetings across the state and include rural areas and communities of color. These must be publicized well in advance and include virtual attendance as well. Thank you for allowing public comments on this crucial matter.
08/08/2021 02:56 PM	Mr. Mark Granville	--select an item--	<p>The time for redistricting to be done by an independent commission is long past - it is absolutely undemocratic to have districts drawn by politicians of any party. There is time to implement a map drawing commission before the primaries if you move on this now. The consequence of not doing so will be another decade of legal challenges and district changes, and huge expenses incurred for legal fees by North Carolina unnecessarily.</p> <p>After the trials of 2018, it's clear extreme partisan gerrymandering is a violation of NC's constitution - please don't repeat this practice that allows politicians to pick their voters, versus the other way around!!</p> <p>Sincerely, Mark Granville</p>
08/08/2021	Dr. Margaret		North Carolina urgently needs an unbiased, non-political entity to establish its voting districts. It is time to end

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
03:34 PM	Salinger		gerrymandering and associated legal battles. Let's give democracy a chance in NC.
08/08/2021 03:57 PM	tl lansdell		Compactness refers to the general principle that the constituents within a district should live as near to one another as practicable. every district should represent compactness first and whenever possible communities of interest should not be interrupted. drawing lines along major thoroughfares are suggested starting points to create more consistent districts as total populations grow.
08/08/2021 04:11 PM	Andrea Manus		No more gerrymandering!! I want fair redistricting.
08/08/2021 04:44 PM	Dr. Victoria Lyall		NC state residents deserve a FAIR redistricting plan that makes their votes count.
08/08/2021 04:53 PM	Mrs Ebone Baker	LWVPT	As citizens, we must have the ability to vote out politicians who don't represent our interests. When maps are drawn unfairly, politicians from both parties feel very "safe" in their districts, and have less motivation to listen to their constituents.
08/08/2021 05:18 PM	Elizabeth Mosley		North Carolina has a shameful history of gerrymandering, manipulating district lines with near "surgical precision" to disenfranchise voters. North Carolina must adopt an independent redistricting commission. Until that time, redistricting committees must commit to the following criteria: a prohibition on favoring or disfavoring any incumbent, candidate, or party; a commitment to competitive districts that avoid "safe" districts for a particular party; and proportionality, so that our districts correspond to the statewide preferences of voters. In a state with an electorate of 36% registered Democrats, 33% unaffiliated, and 30% Republicans, we should have roughly the same number of Democratic and Republican Representatives in Congress. Voters should get to pick our representatives. Right now, it's the other way around. That has to end.
08/08/2021 05:29 PM	Mrs Carol McLoughlin		I feel very strongly that the districts must be drawn up in a non-partisan manner. An independent panel should be named to oversee this project. If maps are once again drawn to overwhelmingly secure Republican candidates regardless of the relatively equal distribution of Democratic and Republican citizens in this state, that would demonstrate the Republican's own conviction that they cannot win without cheating - how embarrassing for them! But more to the point, gerrymandering this result would be an outrage that must be corrected, and would warrant citizen protest and/or legal action until a fair and equitable districting map is achieved. Please do the right thing, the honest and honorable thing, and have these maps drawn up through a fair and unbiased process.
08/08/2021 05:37 PM	2ndVP & Legislative Chair Lynndora Thompson	Democratic Women of Cumberland County	As citizens, we must have the ability to vote out politicians who don't represent our interests. When maps are drawn unfairly, politicians from both parties feel very "safe" in their districts, and have less motivation to listen to their constituents.
08/08/2021 05:57 PM	Mrs. Virginia Perry		Please create district maps that lead to better community representation. I would like to see a process that prohibits the consideration of voting patterns, party registration information and incumbent's residential addresses. This process must be in full cooperation with the Voting Rights Act of 1965 and ensure full and fair representation of Black and Brown people. Citizens must have timely access to maps, be allowed to review and openly participate to be confident in a fair and unbiased process. Thank you for your thoughtful, hard work on this critically important redistricting work.
08/08/2021 06:08 PM	Dr Steve Woodsmall		It is past time for fair elections. Voters should choose their representatives, not the other way around. For once do the right thing and get rid of gerrymandered congressional districts. It's the right thing to do
08/08/2021 06:33 PM	Ms. Beth Cessna	citizen	As people elected to office to represent the population in the state of North Carolina, it is your duty, your responsibility to make decisions that are truly representative of the population of the state. Your process should be fair to all the people of the state of North Carolina - not just perceived to be fair by members of only one party; the process should be communicated to all such that every individual can, with ease, understand the steps in the process; the redistricting process and progress should take place through successive rounds of meaningful public input; the process should be

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			transparent and open for comment and adjustment. It is a lot to ask. But it is your duty to act ethically as you represent the citizens of North Carolina. Thank you.
08/08/2021 06:40 PM	Councilman Tony Moore	Town of Winterville	Our Town of Winterville should not be divided into two House Districts!
08/08/2021 07:25 PM	Roxanne Chesnes		PLEASE, DEAR GOD, Give us fair distracting so our voices can be heard and we can flush ourselves of the likes of Madison Cawthorn. Who only won from cheating and lying.l
08/08/2021 08:05 PM	Lynn Bennett	Retired	The most important part of redistricting is that it be fair, that it reflect the population /composition of the district, that it be non-partisan, and that ALL people in the district be counted.
08/08/2021 08:24 PM	Ms. Joyce Pollack		NC deserves redistricting done by a fair and impartial body with no partisan influence. We've had enough of weirdly drawn districts designed specifically to promote the election of one party's members over the other.
08/08/2021 08:51 PM	Mrs Susan Summerlin		Partisan Gerrymandering must end. Redraw the districts based on population numbers, not political party. We are getting to the point of taxation without representation. And we are not happy.
08/08/2021 09:06 PM	Stefanie Mendell		Please do the right thing and draw fair, objective maps so that voters can actually choose our representatives rather than the other way around. There have been too many attacks on our democracy recently. Play fair!
08/08/2021 09:36 PM	Dr. Jean Tillman	Retired from Gardner-Webb University	Please do the right thing and draw these maps fairly. This partisan tug of war has to stop to strengthen the integrity of our political system. We need to be able to elect people based on their policy platform, personal ethics and abilities, not party. Try to get us back to sensible maps that everyone can understand as fair. Please correct this festering problem of gerrymandered maps. Thank you for standing for the right thing for our common good.
08/08/2021 09:52 PM	Leila Goodwin		My input is to support the very detailed comments you received in a letter dated Aug. 2, 2021, from a collection of 11 agencies including among others COMMON CAUSE NORTH CAROLINA and NORTH CAROLINA NAACP. I can't say it better than they did or add any detail. This SHOULD NOT be a partisan process. There an abundance of transparency, along with opportunity for public input, so that we, the public, can meaningfully participate in the process.
08/08/2021 09:57 PM	Linda Kim		I believe a non-partisan expert group should draw these maps so that we don't have districts cracked and stacked to advantage one political party of the other. Districts should be about common interests among citizens who live in a certain area, not about whether they are registered democrat or republican.
08/08/2021 10:19 PM	Ms. Helen Livingston		A fair, transparent, timely, and inclusive process in which all North Carolinians have a reasonable opportunity to participate is essential to our democracy. Please facilitate NC's full participation in elections.
08/08/2021 10:28 PM	Priscilla Rebillard		We must have fair maps. It is incumbent upon you to engage citizens in this process and to make it transparent. Please start the process immediately after August 16th, release information to the public and hold public hearings throughout the state before submitting the redrawn maps in October.
08/08/2021 10:32 PM	Andrew Magill		I'll never forget watching a live TEDx talk on gerrymandering in Greensboro in 2017 by a republican former judge. He stressed how horribly gerrymandered our state is and how many bipartisan task forces have proposed fair districts that we have needed for so long. I am a born and bred tar heel and while it is shameful how much our state has gerrymandered out certain populations' voices it is exciting to see this opportunity to set a positive example for other states and give everyone in our state to participate fairly in the democratic process. I am a registered independent and have voted for both parties before. This is about democracy and fairness. Let's end gerrymandering and create fair districts.
08/08/2021 10:46 PM	Marla West		Please use Fair maps as well as an open process in redistricting
08/08/2021 11:17 PM	Judith Hoffman		The drawing of district maps MUST be done this year with full transparency and wide opportunities for public input. Anything less will be a travesty for everyone who cares about fairness which should be of paramount interest to every

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/09/2021 12:33 AM	Mrs Rebecca Burmester	Private citizen	<p>NC citizen.</p> <p>Redistricting is very important to a functioning democracy. I urge you to take the opportunity to lead the nation by having an open, by partisan process with ample opportunity for public participation online. I firmly believe our State would benefit greatly from having compact districts with more nearly balanced registered party membership. Thank you for considering my concerns.</p>
08/09/2021 02:02 AM	Mr. Gary Boos	Citizen	<p>This week the NC Legislature is drawing district maps for the NC State House, NC State Senate and US House. I've read (no surprise) they have had meetings with no audio for the public to hear. See Common Cause v Lewis , September 3, 2019, court ruling (paragraph 174 p. 350) the map drawing must be "...in full public view...". Further in the DEGREE (starting p 352) the Court ORDERS [their bolded word] 18 actions. Action #5h is "Election Data. Partisan considerations and election results data shall not be used in the drawing of legislative districts in the remedial maps".</p> <p>Only being allowed to watch the meetings (some are in rooms the public is not allowed to enter) is like watching a football game where you see the offense and defense huddle but can't hear what they say. For football games and legislature meetings in rooms where we can't hear what they say is obviously in violation of both the "... full public view..." ruling and, almost certainly, in violation of ORDER 5h (page 354). One dictionary has the definition of "view" as a. To look at, examine. Merriam Webster: " the act of seeing or examining" . If we can't all hear what is being said that prevents us from "examining".</p> <p>Can't the legislature be stopped, or their maps ruled invalid (because they were created in violation of court ORDERS)? Is an Emergency court ruling needed? Also note that the NC courts have written that they understand the importance of map drawings, date of primaries, and General elections and Gerrymandering. Today, with the Census data being available and districts being drawn for the next 10 years won't the courts realize that meeting their own ORDERS is critical? Isn't it critical enough that extra time be allotted (probably 2 - 3 weeks and with a "referee" (see ORDER 14)) that would be needed to review and reject the maps drawn in violation of court ORDERS? Then new maps can be drawn in less than 1 week , under review of a "referee".</p> <p>I understand the Ruling applied to the NC Legislature maps drawn in 2019 but the current efforts must meet the 2019 ORDERS don't they? If it was ruled that using election data in map drawing is illegal in 2019, isn't it illegal to use election data in map drawing today - and tomorrow?</p>
08/09/2021 03:46 AM	Ann Brady		<p>PLEASE keep the process as free of politics as possible. The result should be fair to all of us. None of us should feel that we are dumped into a voting district in order to dilute our voice. Keep communities together and use independent and/or bipartisan groups to determine new district lines.</p>
08/09/2021 05:37 AM	Mr Stephen Roberts	Self	<p>Equal representation, not qerrymandered, is necessary for democracy</p>
08/09/2021 07:00 AM	9198299480 Karen Garr		<p>I urge you to develop a map that is impartial and fair, one that ensures that voters are represented equitably, a map that values voting rights over party politics. Thank you.</p>
08/09/2021 07:10 AM	Dr. Joel Gallagher		<p>Dear Members of the NCGA,</p> <p>I am writing regarding the ongoing redistricting process. Like the majority of North Carolina residents, I believe that gerrymandering warps the idea of Democracy and leads to a more dysfunctional system overall. The creation of highly partisan districts waters down the vote of those on the completely opposing political spectrum as well as the moderates within the district, alienating entire swaths of voters. Highly partisan districts lead to members of the NCGA that literally do not have to listen to large portions of their district. In the words of Charles Blow: "Voter apathy is a civic</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			abdication." Democracy works best when all voters participate, and fair districts help to engage all voters.
			It is important to make maps based on Communities of Interest, such as municipalities or major landmarks or institutions (such as universities). Please pay attention to and incorporate natural landmarks, such as city or county limits. In the past, there has been blatant gerrymandering to split such communities, which decreases the power of certain voters. On a similar note, compactness if also pivotal in creating districts with a sense of shared ideals. I also urge you to submit fair maps based on districts that reflect the requirements of the Voting Rights Act and the Equal Protection Clause of the 14th Amendment to protect the BIPOC communities. This is essential in allowing their vote to count through the election of candidates of their choice, and in the end illustrating the true will of the people. Finally, I urge you to make this a transparent process and involve all stakeholders.
08/09/2021 08:55 AM	Nicole Powell		As we prepare to redraw maps in this incredible state, I would like to remind you that the people are entitled to elect their representatives, and that they representatives should not be drawing maps to remain in office. North Carolina is full of people of EVERY walk of life, it is only fair that all of us get our shot to vote for elected leaders that we believe will represent us with integrity. I hope that as you all being to draw maps you focus on population numbers rather than party affiliation. Thank you so much for your work in this process, Nicole
08/09/2021 09:24 AM	Ms Beverl Larson	American Association of University Woman - Charlotte	Our state needs a fair, transparent, timely, and inclusive process in which all North Carolinians have a reasonable opportunity to participate in redistricting.
08/09/2021 09:25 AM	Robert Blumenthal		I am in favor of NON-PARTISAN re-districting. The gerrymandering employed by both parties in power has made a mockery of democracy. Please ... for once in your life ... do the right thing and end gerrymandering once and for all. Thank you.
08/09/2021 09:25 AM	Mrs Belinda Briggs		I believe it's important that redistricting is transparent and highly available to the public via open meetings and live online viewing. I also believe it's important that communities and neighborhoods are not divided by redistricting. Issues often impact neighborhoods locally and we diminish the ability of a neighborhood to have power over these impacts when we divide their voice by dividing their vote.
08/09/2021 09:28 AM	Mr Joseph Ferguson		Please don't move polling places! It is difficult to vote already. I stood in line over four hours in 2020 to vote. The central voting location was shut down.
08/09/2021 09:34 AM	Mrs Joyce Veit		You must be fair when considering redistricting changes . The people of North Carolina are watching . We will respond with our voices & our votes .
08/09/2021 09:36 AM	Linda Bossert		Dear legislators, I firmly believe that the criteria for the current redistricting process must include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Keeping communities together • Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party • A redistricting timeline that ensures informed and impactful public comment at every step of the process, including public comment solicited before and after draft maps are drawn. This should include meaningful public comment opportunities, including both at in person public hearings throughout the state, as well as options for providing written public comment, with the legislature to be responsive to those comments. • Increased transparency throughout the redistricting process. All map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed, making all proposed maps fully accessible to the public.
			Respectfully, Linda Bossert

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/09/2021 09:37 AM	Clarice Reber	Citizen of North Carolina	<p>Wake Forest</p> <p>As a citizen of North Carolina, I am concerned about the redistricting process that will occur soon. Our past has been littered with lost court cases demonstrating that North Carolina's redistricting process has been flawed, indeed unconstitutional, recently. Therefore, I am asking that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Transparency in the process should be of high priority. All map drawing, revisions, and discussions be audible and made available to the public real time and on line for later viewing. Many people are not available to listen real time. Videos should be timestamped and of good quality so we can see the maps under discussion clearly. Maps should not be removed from the meeting room during the drawing or revision process. Proposed maps should have written as well as oral justification. And, hearing notices should have a phone number in order for the public to report technical difficulties. If technical difficulties arise that prevent public viewing, map drawing and revisions should stop until the public can clearly observe the process. 2) Maps should reflect natural geographical, municipal/county, and population groupings. For example, don't draw a line down the middle of a smallish town, campus or natural neighborhood. They should NOT be drawn for political advantage to a person or party. Political data—including but not restricted to voter registration, voting records and incumbent addresses—should not be used when drawing maps. 3) All data, criteria and computer systems used in drawing the maps should be made available to the public for review, use, and comment. 4) All third parties such as consultants, attorneys or others should be disclosed as soon as possible, no later than 24 hours of their inclusion in the process. They should be introduced at the public meetings. 5) The committee must hold public meetings across the state and accessible to all. They should be scheduled in advance, widely publicized for a minimum of 2 weeks prior. Meetings should be in areas representative of all North Carolina citizens, urban, rural, communities of color or of other minority groups. We shouldn't have to drive 23 hours to get to a hearing.
08/09/2021 09:38 AM	Gail Neely		<p>We want an end to Gerrymandering. We want FAIR maps for honest elections. No more "Safe Seats" for incumbents.</p>
08/09/2021 09:39 AM	Elliott Feldman		<p>I would like to see the NCGA avoid partisan gerrymandering. Congressional and State legislative districts should be drawn so that they can reasonably be expected to result in outcomes roughly proportional to the vote for each party. In NC, where Republicans and Democrats each command roughly 50% of the vote, Congressional redistricting should result in districts which favor one party over the another by only a one or two districts. Assuming 14 Congressional Districts, I would expect a 8-6 breakdown as a worst case scenario. Don't forget that virtually all growth in NC is in the state's metropolitan areas and redistricting should recognize that fact and avoid cracking and packing.</p>
08/09/2021 09:43 AM	Kathleen Miritello		<p>To make this process as fair and transparent as possible, please ensure that all third parties involved in redistricting be disclosed publicly so people become aware who is involved and whether there are any potential conflicts of interest.</p>
08/09/2021 09:44 AM	Ms Sarah Workman		<p>Honorable General Assembly members,</p> <p>Voting is a service to our government and communities where we live. Districts for voting need to be representative of the resident citizens. As a North Carolinian, I believe the current process for redistricting is best held with public input and the maps drawn up with informed citizens present.</p> <p>Redistricting maps should be made using in person public hearings and include online public comments,. The district maps, keeping communities together, must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party.</p> <p>Please develop voting district maps that represent communities, not political parties, in a just and fair process.</p>
08/09/2021 09:46 AM	Mr. Peter van Dorsten	Umstead Park United Church of Christ	<p>The practice of drawing districts to grossly advantage one political party over another, depriving voters of fair representation, must end in NC. Since we now have the tools to do this with "surgical precision" as a recent court ruling pointed out, we must take great care in how this process proceeds. We need a process that will: (1) Keep communities together, by for instance not splitting a college campus in two; (2) Draw districts to unduly favor a political party, by for instance not carefully crafting "safe" districts for any legislator where competitive options exist. We need fair not</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			rigged elections; (3) Involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, including input from experts in the science of drawing districts that will provide objective ratings of fairness for any districts drawn; (4) Demonstrate that the legislature is responsive to public comment by not going through the motions of accepting public input and then delivering a predetermined result; (5) Conduct all map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, in the public view and live-streamed; (6) Put NC at the forefront of states which foster competitive contests through fair districts and reduce the toxic partisanship that plagues our state and our nation.
08/09/2021 09:53 AM	Mrs. Cheryl Rotatori		Please ensure redistricting is fair, keeping communities together, and not changing for political purposes. Too much gerrymandering is negatively affecting our democracy.
08/09/2021 09:53 AM	Dr. Richard Honeycutt	EDC Sound Services	Redistricting must be fair, and not weighted in favor of any political party, race, or ethnicity! The gerrymandered atrocity that I now live in (27295-1932) -- and all the ones like it -- like must be redrawn with these criteria in mind.
08/09/2021 09:55 AM	Warren Darrell		Please do not use political "Gerrymandering" to determine electoral district boundaries. Our area, New Hanover County, should be intact and combined only with other adjacent areas of similar physical, social, and economic characteristics. Do not determine district boundaries in consideration of achieving victories for a political party. Gerrymandering is a disgraceful assault on democracy. Thank you.
08/09/2021 09:56 AM	Ms. Deborah Dobson	N/A	As a NC resident for eight years, I have been appalled at how this state has maintained partisan strength by its redistricting. This is not how a democracy operates; everyone, in all communities, should be equally and fairly represented. I hope you do the right thing in the future.
08/09/2021 10:01 AM	Mrs. Michelle Blumenthal		Patriots who believe in democracy should agree that we need FAIR district maps so that EVERY vote counts EQUALLY. Gerrymandering is unfair, no matter which party is doing it. (I know both parties have done it, and both parties should be ashamed.) Districts need to be compact and contiguous and abide by state and federal law. Elected officials should not be choosing their voters. Voters need to be able to choose their elected officials. We need elected officials who represent fair districts. Please be patriots and draw fair districts.
08/09/2021 10:02 AM	Professor Maria A Salgado		It is of paramount importance to redistrict in a fair, objective manner. No gerrymandering or partisan concerns should enter into redistricting. It needs to be a fair and transparent affair.
08/09/2021 10:03 AM	S M		Let the VOTERS choose, NOT the politicians!
08/09/2021 10:09 AM	Joe Grady		I believe a leading cause of the political stalemate and polarization within North Carolina is the highly partisan redistricting process that has been used for the last 30+ years. Both parties have been complicit, using it to their advantage when in power and decrying its effects when out of power, while conveniently changing their views when the power shifts. It is time to put an end to this. I urge you to put in place a non-partisan process that draws districts that are free of party bias. I believe this would help the political discussion in North Carolina. Candidates would have to compete on ideas that have broad based support, rather than catering to the extremes of each party. It would mean the electorate would have a chance to truly choose their representatives, rather than the legislature coopting that choice by drawing safe districts for their own. Thank you for your attention to this incredibly important issue.
08/09/2021 10:12 AM	Mr. Paul Brotherton		Establish an independent redistricting commission Ban consideration of party affiliation and voting records Maintain communities of interest and neighborhoods Require transparency and public input
08/09/2021 10:13 AM	Bruce Frey		The redistricting process should be conducted in a non-partisan fashion just as we expect election officials to preserve the integrity of elections in a non-partisan manner. Anything less weakens our democracy.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/09/2021 10:15 AM	Sherry Tow		<p>As one of your constituents, I am asking you to be FAIR in your assessment of redistricting. Gerrymandering harm's both Republicans and Democrats! What we must "not forget" is we are all Americans! Our polarized country only hurts us. We are a great country. Let us show fellow Americans and the world, NC is a FAIR State!</p> <p>Thank you for allowing me to express my opinion!</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Sherry Tow</p>
08/09/2021 10:17 AM	Mr Stephen Weissman	What does this mean?	Communities should not be sliced up to favor one party or another
08/09/2021 10:20 AM	Ms Linda Hardy		It is time for the state legislature to make a stand for democracy, not party or individual interests. One person, one vote. Draw the lines to give every voter an equal say in the governing of this state and this country. Draw the lines in fairness to the citizens who voted for you and for the ones who didn't too.
08/09/2021 10:20 AM	Mrs Diane Weinstein	League of Women Voters	<p>I hope consideration will be paid to the State of Maine's approach to the electoral college. This is basically one person-one vote.</p> <p>Its proportioning the electoral college number to the votes of the citizens. It is, in y mind, a fair and equitable accounting of the populous vote</p>
08/09/2021 10:28 AM	Jonathan Buchan		<p>I write to urge that, as you and your committees begin the 2021 redistricting process, you commit to ensure: (1) that the process be fair, transparent and inclusive, and (2) that the results are Senate and House Districts and Congressional districts that are properly drawn to reflect the voting public of this state. I urge you to reject this state's history of partisan gerrymandering engineered by Democrats and by Republicans for many decades.</p> <p>It is time to stop allowing the political party that happens to have political power at the moment create unfair, unrepresentative and cynically designed districts. (The variant is equally bad: legislators of both parties, often operating in secret, cooperating to carve up safe districts for each party's incumbents.)</p> <p>Many citizens' organizations – including Common Cause of North Carolina and the League of Women Voters of North Carolina – which support such an open process and such a proper result have recently written you a detailed, well-reasoned letter urging specific elements of a proper process with the goal of permitting North Carolina voters to actually choose their elected representatives. Too often, our General Assembly has done the opposite: legislators choosing the voters the legislators want to then select them.</p> <p>As the three-judge panel ruled in this state's most recent redistricting litigation, such partisan-focused gerrymandering is a stark violation of the North Carolina Constitution.</p> <p>I hope you will avoid such expensive, protracted, and unnecessary litigation this time by drawing fair and reasonable districts that reflect the actual citizenry of this state. Such a result will enhance the confidence our citizens have in our democratic system, and I urge you to take this positive approach, rejecting the cynical partisan gerrymandering of the past.</p>
08/09/2021 10:29 AM	Ms Meta Coaxum		<p>Transparency and the opportunity for meaningful public input is critical to the process.</p> <p>Keeping communities together</p> <p>Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party</p> <p>The process should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature to be responsive to those comments</p> <p>And all map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/09/2021 10:37 AM	Karen Youket	unaffiliated voter	<p>public view and live-streamed</p> <p>Redistricting should be done by a non-partisan committee. Neighborhoods and counties should not be carved up into different districts. Everything should be transparent and what's fair for the people, not biased towards a particular party.</p>
08/09/2021 10:38 AM	Stephen Schechter		<p>Jonathan Mattingly of Duke and others have produced random ways of districting North Carolina subject to traditional districting criteria (equal population, compact districts, respect for city and county borders, obey the Voting Rights Act, respect for communities of interest where they can be identified, etc.). They find that the typical districting has a certain number of safe Republican districts, a certain number of safe Democratic districts, and a certain number of competitive districts.</p> <p>These number for 2020 census data are not yet available. They will be produced for State House, State Senate, and Congressional districtings once 2020 census data is released.</p> <p>There is nothing wrong with having some safe districts for both parties. A 55-45 or even 60-40 wave election should not produce a legislature that is all Democratic or all Republican.</p> <p>The key issue in redistricting is what to do about the competitive districts. I know of four approaches.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 2010 NC Republican approach: Convert them to safe Republican districts by siphoning off Democratic voters to districts that are already safe Democratic. 2. 2019 NC Legislature approach: Convert some to Republican districts and some to Democratic districts. Apparently politicians of both parties hate competitive elections. 3. Arizona approach: Make the small number of competitive districts even more competitive by moving some voters around. Safe districts remain safe. This approach is intended to produce a legislature whose composition is responsive to changing voter preferences without being overly responsive. 4. California approach: Completely ignore election and registration data. Use traditional districting criteria only. The California approach actually produces many competitive districts, as we have seen in the 2018 and 2020 elections. <p>Whatever approach you use to redistrict, the key issue in judging your work is whether the number of safe Republican, safe Democratic, and competitive districts is the same as it is in typical simulations. That is the result you should aim for.</p> <p>Stephen Schechter Raleigh, NC steveschechter2@gmail.com</p>
08/09/2021 10:39 AM	Mr. Anthony Bond		<p>Hello,</p> <p>Hello I am a resident of New Bern, a United States Air Force veteran and a college graduate. I also suffer from a form of blood cancer known as chronic lymphocytic leukemia.</p> <p>The past presidential election I was extremely concerned for my health. I was worried that standing in line to vote would cause me to catch the Coronavirus. My oncologist told me that if I were to contract the coronavirus my chances of surviving it were not good.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>This was the first time in my life that I ever voted by mail in ballot. I found it extremely helpful and I believe it was totally secure. I do not believe North Carolina needs to reform voting. The big lie is exactly what it is a big lie. Donald Trump lost the election fair and square. This is still a democracy and I am still a veteran. I took a vote to defend the Constitution. I take that seriously and you should too!</p> <p>Protect our democracy and make it easier for people to vote not harder!</p> <p>Sincerely, Anthony Bond</p>
08/09/2021 10:44 AM	ms Jacqueline Knable		<p>You are NOT God! Let the People speak! Not listening to your constituents is a dereliction of duty and will be illegal! But of course that's what you are afraid of isn't it!</p>
08/09/2021 10:46 AM	Sharon Bushnell		<p>I strongly support that a nonpartisan group propose new voting redistricts. Thank you. Sharon Bushnell</p>
08/09/2021 10:47 AM	Charles Korte	--None--	<p>I live in Raleigh and urge the General Assembly to draw new district lines that do not give an advantage to any particular political party - no more gerry mandering!!</p>
08/09/2021 10:48 AM	Ms Lois Roewade	individual	<p>If the Committee requests and imports maps from outside the legislature, the source of those maps, the individuals, company, and or organization involved in the creation of those maps and why they were requested must be made public. Also, the requested and imported maps must be made available in a timely manner for citizens to review and comment on. Please, no backroom, midnight maneuvers this time around.</p>
08/09/2021 10:51 AM	Anne Sayers	Pinebrook Farms	<p>Please consider these criteria as you choose those to be used to Guide the NC Redistricting process. 1. Keep Communities together, 2. Assure that public input in person and online happens, 3. Districts should not be drawn to favor either political party, 4. All map drawing, revisions and discussions should be one in public and live streamed. Thank you for seeking statewide input into the very important process!</p>
08/09/2021 10:53 AM	Karen Macklin		<p>Thank you for considering my comments. I have read and agree with the ideas submitted on August 2 by numerous groups, including Common Cause. I hope that you will implement a redistricting timeline that allows for informed public comment, provide real opportunities for public comment, and ensure transparency in the redistricting process.</p>
08/09/2021 10:53 AM	Mr Mike Solomonides	Self	<p>Shortest path compact redistricting!</p>
08/09/2021 11:02 AM	ms Jacqueline Knable		<p>In a democracy voting is a fair, open and inclusive process. The voters pick the candidates that they think will best reflect their needs and wishes. Democracy works! Think I move to one!</p>
08/09/2021 11:12 AM	Dr Gary Smith		<p>In the redistricting process:. Keep communities together.</p> <p>Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party.</p> <p>The process should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature to be responsive to those comments.</p> <p>All map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			view and live-streamed.
08/09/2021 11:21 AM	Mr. Jason Remington		The gerrymandering of districts in this country and imparticular the State of North Carolina is destroying the democracy of which this country was founded. When one party must win 55% of the vote to gain equal representation in the State Legislature, democracy has failed. We have a chance to save democracy. We need fair play. We need real democracy.
08/09/2021 11:23 AM	Ms. Mary Goodkind	citizen	I would hope the process for redistricting would keep communities together so they are truly represented and not splintered. Obviously, the districts should not surgically target specific groups of voters or skew results so races aren't competitive. As a voter the most important thing for me is to feel that my vote counts for something and that my representatives care about the issues my community faces. I hope this process will be visible and transparent. Thank you.
08/09/2021 11:24 AM	Eugenia Ombach		Hi there. I'd like the committee to focus on the following while working on redistricting: (1) districts must not be drawn so that one political party is disproportionately favored over another; (2) the process should involve meaningful public input throughout, allowing for in-person and online options, and the legislature should take those comments into account; (3) all map drawing/revisions and redistricting discussions/meetings should be done in the public view and live-streamed. Thank you!
08/09/2021 11:30 AM	Monica Cox		Creating fair districts in the state of North Carolina is necessary and overdue. The redistricting process used in 2019 was flawed and must be improved for meaningful transparency. The maps must not be drawn to advantage a political party or an individual. Political data—including but not limited to voter registration, voting records, and incumbent addresses—should not be considered when drawing maps. The process should be transparent to the public with explanations for revisions to drawn maps given and all meetings, discussion, and justifications accessible to the public. Public meetings should also be scheduled well in advance, widely publicized, and include communities of color and rural areas. Virtual attendance options must also be provided for accessibility and COVID safety. Thank you.
08/09/2021 11:32 AM	Ms Ann Twiggs		NC needs fair, nonpartisan and transparent redistricting in order for we, the people, to have faith in our democracy. We need 10 or more PUBLIC hearings before maps are drawn. The hearings should be all over the state and at a time that allows working people to speak - nights and weekends. The maps themselves should be drawn in public. with one district being drawn at a time. All work should occur in public view. Citizens should be able to hear what is being said and be able to follow the legislators work. Citizens should be able to comment on the map drawing in real time. There should be 10 or more public hearings around the state following the map drawing.
08/09/2021 11:36 AM	Mr. Walt Dietrich		Districts should be drawn fairly with no gerrymandering based on party considerations such as we have in U.S. House of Representative District 8 and many others districts drawn several years ago. Democracy is supposed to represent all people not over balanced to favor one party or another. This Nation has become split and no way to working a problem using compromise to solve it.
08/09/2021 11:39 AM	Redistricting Sharon Janeczko		Redistricting should only be allowed in areas where there is a substantial shift in population. It should be for the sole purpose of insuring that a jurisdiction can accommodate and guarantee the voting rights of the residents. Political affiliation should have no place in the process.
08/09/2021 11:40 AM	Mrs. Elizabeth Carlson		I request that the General Assembly adopt a fair, transparent and inclusive redistricting process with a reasonable timeline allowing all North Carolinians to have an opportunity to participate, specifically: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Immediately after the August 16 data release, start the redistricting process. 2. By August 21, release processed block data for community use. 3. By September 15, disclose initial draft maps. 4. By October 6, submit final proposed maps to the General Assembly. 5. Provide a simple state government website for all redistricting information.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. Allow public comment before initial draft maps are drawn. 7. Allow public access and comment on draft and revised maps. 8. Permit written and oral public comment. 9. Hold accessible public hearings throughout the state. 10. Provide two weeks notice of public hearings. 11. Disclose all criteria systems and data used in drawing maps. 12. Perform all map drawing and revising in public view. 13. Ensure quality video and audio broadcast in public meetings. 14. Disclose all third parties engaged in redistricting.
			<p>Such a redistricting process will contribute to better maps, engage all NC citizens, and improve public trust in our democracy.</p>
08/09/2021 11:41 AM	William Satterwhite	None.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Try to be fair and balance between R and D voters. In the future the circumstances may be different. Both parties may have either an advantage or not. 2. Try to keep communities, particularly small towns like mine (Rutherford College in Burke County) in one district. 3. I would prefer an outside consultant draw the maps first. Using non-partisan data from the Census record. 4. Let there be lots of community/citizen input. Let the meetings of committees be open and broadcasts. 5. Just TRY to be FAIR.
08/09/2021 11:48 AM	Mr. C. Warren Pope		<p>Redistricting must be done by non-partisan commission to avoid distortion due to gerrymandering, as has occurred in the past. Republicans even have ALEC-supplied software to facilitate partisan gerrymandering.</p>
08/09/2021 11:49 AM	Ryan Johnson		<p>Dear Committee ,</p> <p>I hope when you begin your work on creating new districts, you will follow the best practices below for the good of North Carolina.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Keeping communities together 2. Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party 3. The process should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature to be responsive to those comments 4. And all map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed
08/09/2021 11:57 AM	Danielle Koonce	N/A	<p>I urge you to draw maps that do not split neighborhoods down the middle separating neighbors and communities who are united due to their proximity to one another and the fact that they represent the same school and often county districts. It is difficult to promote change when your district has been carved up blindly and out of partisan greed rather than actually considering the needs of the people. Representatives should actually represent solidified districts and not partisan-favored districts. It is sad that we do not have an independent redistricting committee. The GOP has shown over and over again that when matters are left up to them, issues of race (with precision), maintaining GOP-controlled districts over serving the people of the districts, and avoiding bipartisan support is the recipe for disastrous redistricting which in the past has been struck down by federal courts. Please consider the people, the communities, and the most common sense methodology in redistricting NC. The greed, selfishness, and racial overtones of NC's redistricting process historically and recently is insulting and quite hypocritical to the message that the GOP has been sending that systematic racism doesn't exist. Since systematic racism doesn't exist and everyone has an equal shot according to many members of the NC GOP, DO NOT USE RACE TO REDISTRICKT. DO NOT USE MAPS THAT SHOW WHERE BLACK AND BROWN PEOPLE RESIDE TO REDISTRICKT. PUT YOUR MONEY AND YOUR VOTES WHERE YOUR MOUTH IS AND ALLOW THE PEOPLE TO BE REPRESENTED BY THEIR BEST INTEREST AND NOT A SMALL MINORITY INTEREST who is determined to seize and maintain power to the detriment of its own party and its future generations.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/09/2021 11:57 AM	Ms. Terry Landers		<p>My name is Terry Landers, I have been a resident of Chatham county since 2005. I have watched the painful battles over maps since the prior redrawing based on the 2010 census. I realize that drawing maps is difficult ... I have tried it myself ... but it is critically important that the resulting maps are fair and actually reflect the makeup of the citizens of NC.</p> <p>Let's do it right the 1st time and avoid the wasted time & millions of taxpayers' dollars that were spent over the last decade in litigation – taxpayers' dollars that should have been used to the benefit of NC residents.</p> <p>All aspects of map drawing should be done completely transparently in full audio and video "view" of the public – no backroom or "corner" drawing. Sufficient time should be allocated for public review, input and response to proposed maps.</p> <p>The map drawing criteria approved should drive the outcome of such fair maps ... NOT the wishes or ambitions of individual lawmakers. I appreciate the opportunity to provide my input on criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- A substantially equal number of residents per district -- RACIAL and PARTISAN GERRYMANDERING should be TOTALLY BANNED. <p>Strictly avoid cracking and packing to dilute the votes of minority or partisan groups</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Districts should be geographically contiguous -- Districts should attempt whenever possible to keep together communities of interest. <p>To achieve this it will be critically important to provide opportunities to hear from and listen to the members of those communities. Note that a community of interest for map drawing strictly EXCLUDES political affiliation/ relationships in any form.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Political subdivisions [counties, municipalities etc.] should be kept whole within a district, unless a division is necessary to maintain compliance with higher priority criteria. -- The use of PARTISAN DATA, either directly or indirectly, should be totally BANNED. <p>I hope to never again hear that NC maps were drawn to achieve a pre-set political outcome ... we should be better than that. In the long run we will all benefit from a fair, aboveboard, process that results in districts that reflect the makeup of the residents NC.</p>
08/09/2021 11:58 AM	Mr. Zed V. Director		<p>It's time for North Carolina to really have FAIR Elections- that's what elections are for. Can't we finally bring NC into the 20th Century! (not a typo!)</p>
08/09/2021 12:10 PM	Laura Wrenn		<p>District maps should be drawn fairly to represent communities. The process needs public input and access. The process must be transparent and available for public viewing via zoom. Gerrymandering is toxic for democracy.</p>
08/09/2021 12:13 PM	Ms. Elizabeth Leonard	none	<p>I want fair districts drawn by a non-partisan organization. I want voters to select their representatives, NOT political parties to select their voters! The process needs:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To have a timeline that allows citizens to have the opportunity for informed and impactful public comment on the criteria and the actual maps as drawn. 2. To provide a simple government website to get all redistricting information. 3. To allow public comment before the initial maps are drawn and the draft and revised maps. 4. To hold hearings throughout the state. 5. To provide adequate notice before hearings. 6. To disclose all criteria, systems and data used in drawing the maps. 7. To perform all map drawing and revising in public view, with quality video and audio broadcast of such meetings. 8. To disclose all third parties engaged in redistricting.
08/09/2021	Ann Lenhardt		<p>North Carolina voters are equal before the law and deserve equal opportunity to vote and and equal representation as</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
12:15 PM			<p>well. The advent of computer driven data collection and analysis has made it possible for partisan interests to gerrymander North Carolina voters out of relevance, allowing the party in power to choose its voters in order to insure continued dominance without any requirements to deliver on the promises made to voters. As the state legislature embarks on yet another redistricting effort, please remember that the voters in NC are riled up, upset, and expecting better behavior and intentions from the legislature this year. Faith in governance is at an all time low precisely because the party in power has failed to deliver a responsive legislature., preferring to insulate itself from the consequences of bad faith governance by making voters irrelevant. This is your opportunity to establish third party, non-partisan redistricting commissions and to build back the faith that voters so desperately need. The GOP is especially damaged after the Trump administration, January 6 insurrection, and GOP media driven hate-filled culture wars. The GOP didn't even bother to develop a platform in 2020, and does not deserve to represent voters in any race if it can't even say what it wants to do with the power it seeks. It is time for the GOP to turn its back on Trumpism and rank partisanship for the purposes of power only. Create fair districts and embrace the voters and our democracy again.</p>
08/09/2021 12:20 PM	Dr. Eric Carlson		<p>Gerrymandering is outrageous, and causes enormous problems for our democracy. It tends to favor the party in power, but it also tends to lead to politics of the extremes, where politicians need to pander to the most extreme elements of their party. It makes it difficult to get rid of poor politicians, even corrupt ones, since the districts tend to be gerrymander to preserve the politician's positions. Stop gerrymandering now!</p>
08/09/2021 12:24 PM	Craig Landwehr		<p>I am very concerned about the potential for continued gerrymandering. Please work to ensure truly competitive districts.</p>
08/09/2021 12:24 PM	Laura Lyons		<p>I request that the General Assembly adopt a fair, transparent and inclusive redistricting process with a reasonable timeline allowing all North Carolinians to have an opportunity to participate, specifically:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Immediately after the August 16 data release, start the redistricting process. 2. By August 21, release processed block data for community use. 3. By September 15, disclose initial draft maps. 4. By October 6, submit final proposed maps to the General Assembly. 5. Provide a simple state government website for all redistricting information. 6. Allow public comment before initial draft maps are drawn. 7. Allow public access and comment on draft and revised maps. 8. Permit written and oral public comment. 9. Hold accessible public hearings throughout the state. 10. Provide two weeks-notice of public hearings. 11. Disclose all criteria systems and data used in drawing maps. 12. Perform all map drawing and revising in public view. 13. Ensure quality video and audio broadcast in public meetings.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/09/2021 12:33 PM	Mrs Linda Levy	Carolina Jews for Justice	<p>14.Disclose all third parties engaged in redistricting.</p> <p>Such a redistricting process will contribute to better maps, engage all NC citizens, and improve public trust in our democracy.</p> <p>Criteria should include open, transparent hearings, maps should not be based on politics, keep communities of interest together. the goal should be he establishment of an independent redistricting commission, via a constitutional amendment!</p>
08/09/2021 12:34 PM	Ms Diane M Robertson	Self Employed	<p>I have lived in North Carolina since 1991 and have experienced an erosion of confidence in our representative bodies fueled by extreme gerrymandering of political districts which leads to an the electoral outcome that is less representative of the population that it is charged govern.</p> <p>You will no doubt receive many comments along the lines of mine as we must create a process that will encourage the citizenry to engage and trust public officials. Our democracy is on a precipice and faith in good governance is a requirement if we are to succeed as a democratic republic.</p> <p>I request that the General Assembly adopt a fair, transparent and inclusive redistricting process with a reasonable timeline allowing all North Carolinians to have an opportunity to participate, specifically:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Immediately after the August 16 data release, start the redistricting process. 2. By August 21, release processed block data for community use. 3. By September 15, disclose initial draft maps. 4. By October 6, submit final proposed maps to the General Assembly. 5. Provide a simple state government website for all redistricting information. 6. Allow public comment before initial draft maps are drawn. 7. Allow public access and comment on draft and revised maps. 8. Permit written and oral public comment. 9. Hold accessible public hearings throughout the state. 10.Provide two weeks-notice of public hearings. 11.Disclose all criteria systems and data used in drawing maps. 12.Perform all map drawing and revising in public view. 13.Ensure quality video and audio broadcast in public meetings. 14.Disclose all third parties engaged in redistricting. <p>Such a redistricting process will contribute to better maps, engage all NC citizens, and improve public trust in our democracy.</p> <p>Diane Robertson, Carrboro, North Carolina</p>
08/09/2021 12:35 PM	Dr Mark McClure		<p>I implore the legislators that are drawing up new redistricting maps to avoid disrupting communities with the new boundary lines, to not create districts that favor any particular political party, and to be transparent by live streaming all deliberations and proposals.</p> <p>Thank you, Mark McClure,MD</p>
08/09/2021 12:35 PM	Gretchen Levine	none	<p>The maps must not be drawn to advantage a political party or an individual. Political data—including but not limited to voter registration, voting records, and incumbent addresses—should not be considered when drawing maps</p>
08/09/2021 12:38 PM	Michelle Weitzman	Citizen of North Carolina	<p>Fair is Fair.....there is no need for drawing districts at all for any reason. People who vote in an area should be given the opportunity to vote their conscience. In order for the voting process to be realistic and accurate voting should happen as a geographical location, not lines drawn for certain counties and parts of counties because there are more registered citizens in a particular party. I strongly urge you to support the below. Being transparent including public</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>hearing and comment is essential for democracy and should be adhered to. Communities should be kept together. Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party. The process should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature should be responsive to those comments. All map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed.</p>
08/09/2021 12:38 PM	HILARY CHIZ		<p>Please do everything in your power to prevent redistricting/ gerrymandering that favors a particular party or person. Our districts should be near-sacrosanct, and only unforeseen events - rapid population growth, maybe - should result in newly-drawn districts.</p>
08/09/2021 12:40 PM	Dr. David Baker		<p>We are watching this process and urge you to draw districts to fairly reflect partisan breakdowns in the state. The gerrymandering that happened after 2010 denied many in the state a voice.</p>
08/09/2021 12:41 PM	Cheryl Jennings		<p>Districts need to be drawn through bipartisan committees.</p>
08/09/2021 12:41 PM	Mrs. Sarah J Cohen		<p>As the legislature debates next steps for redistricting, I hope you will keep the following principals in mind: - Communities should be kept together. - Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party. - The process should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature should be responsive to those comments. - All map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed.</p>
08/09/2021 12:42 PM	Zak Witus		<p>Dear Committee members,</p> <p>The following criteria are key components of a fair, inclusive, and transparent process:</p> <p>Communities should be kept together.</p> <p>Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party.</p> <p>The process should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature should be responsive to those comments.</p> <p>All map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed.</p> <p>Thank you in advance for drawing fair districts.</p> <p>Sincerely, Zak Witus</p>
08/09/2021 12:44 PM	Jody Anderson		<p>I would ask that redistricting be done in an objective, non-partisan manner that truly represents North Carolinians. NC is a truly diverse state and the current maps are not representative of all of NC. State legislators should not be in charge of redistricting, this has been the case in the past and it has proven to not work as our current representatives do not truly represent the NC population. NC is split almost 50/50 amongst political parties however republicans control 10 congressional districts compared to 3 by democrats all due to partisan gerrymandering. As our elected officials have clearly failed to draw maps in a fair manner, I would request a bi-partisan, objective redistricting process</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/09/2021 12:46 PM	Ms Sister Mary Schmuck RSM	Sisters of Mercy of the Americas	<p>not carried out by state legislature.</p> <p>the criteria should include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Keeping communities together • Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party • The process should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature to be responsive to those comments • And all map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed
08/09/2021 12:47 PM	Mrs. Joyce Romm		There should be no restrictions placed on communities to favor any political party.
08/09/2021 12:50 PM	Mr. Erik Legg		<p>Hon. General Assembly members. As a citizen of NC and a firm believer in representative democracy, I ask that this body define voting precincts that respect all North Carolinians and their right to representation. The guidelines for creating fair districts are well known and the Special Master has provided you an excellent footprint. Further, the processes proposed in H437 offer a sound path towards the equal representation that you have sworn to uphold. So please, set aside your party work for a few days and do the people's work.</p>
08/09/2021 12:51 PM	Etan Gumerman	citizen	<p>This should be run by a non-partisan group. If not, there needs to be clear rules in order to avoid a process that favors particular individuals or parties. Incumbances should not be extra-privaleged . Keep in mind this process should serve the people's interests not the professional politician's.</p>
08/09/2021 12:55 PM	Mrs Myra Schoen	Carolina Jews for Justice	<p>I believe each district should be of comparable size regarding equal population numbers. In other words, if there are 25,000 people in one zone, there should be 25,000 in each zone, regardless of party affiliation. There should be no cherry-picking to gather one party members' to disadvantage another party. All districts or zones should encompass equal numbers of voters.</p>
08/09/2021 01:00 PM	Barb Jackson		<p>I am an independent voter - I know both sides are guilty of gerrymandering. PLEASE let's once and for all draw the maps in a fair manner so our votes count and our voices are heard. In order to achieve this, we need the entire process to be open and transparent and to allow for meaningful public impact at all stages of the process. Besides being the right thing to do, whoever works for fair districts will likely gain a lot of "swing" voters and independents. Please do all you can to help NC become less divided by partisan politics, and a better place for all citizens and a healthy democracy. Thank you.</p>
08/09/2021 01:02 PM	Mrs Angie Collins	Human Being	<p>Sure hope the republicans don't put us in court again, wasting hordes of taxpayer dollars to be told, once again, what they drew is racist and too political. This has happened time after time.</p> <p>How am I represented by David Rouzer when I live in Angier? I'm not represented at all. How is the town of Angier represented when it's divided into two different districts?? We're not being represented either.</p> <p>Republicans only strive to represent themselves regardless of what's good and fair for the people.</p> <p>How about towns and neighborhoods stay united?? How is it fair for anyone when one side of the street is in one district while the other side is in another?? Tell me, how does funding work with this kind of gerrymandering.</p> <p>I remember David Lewis who had to resign in disgrace. He drew lines that the court squashed for being racist.</p> <p>Republicans are too partisan to do anything good for the whole of the people. You only serve republicans but you take taxes from democrats too. We DEMAND representation. NO TAXATION WITHOUT REPRESENTATION.</p> <p>How about dems just stop paying taxes?? I think that's fair if you continue to gerrymander like we don't exist.</p> <p>We've taken enough of you republican traitors. We will take no more.</p>
08/09/2021 01:05 PM	Mr. Galen Howlett		<p>It is very important to me as a citizen and resident of North Carolina that the redistricting process being undergone reflect the communities of our state and be drawn fairly and impartially based on census data. I do not believe districts should be drawn with political favor towards any group. I advocate for an independent body or commission to oversee or approve of the redistricting process that is separate from the political parties that govern the state. Please, at the</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>least, ensure that all action taking with regard to districting the state of North Carolina be done in full transparency for the public. This is a foundation of our democracy and government and I hope our elected officials take it upon themselves to ensure our nation maintains the values of our republic. It is very important that redistricting be done in fairness and accuracy to our population.</p>
08/09/2021 01:06 PM	Mr Guru Guruparan		<p>Please draw districts such that they are competitive - please ensure that the districts are not lopsided</p>
08/09/2021 01:11 PM	Ms Tara Blomquist	Indivisible GUILFORD County	<p>I asking those in a position to influence the states maps for the next decade to use fair equitable methods to determine the districts based on the latest census. It can no longer be a partisan advantage game. Take this chance to correct a decades long mistake.</p>
08/09/2021 01:16 PM	Andrea Cash		<p>Please make the redistricting process more timely, fair, and transparent! The citizens of North Carolina deserve that. The redistricting process used in 2019 was flawed and must be improved for meaningful transparency. Improvements should include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- All map drawing and revisions, including all discussions and meetings, should be performed in the public view and live-streamed. -- Draft maps and revisions to draft maps should be accompanied by an explanation available to the public. --All conversations or commentary by those engaged in the map-drawing process should be audible to public observers. -- Maps should not be removed from the meeting room during the drawing or revising process. --Final maps should be accompanied by a written justification for the districts chosen. --All video related to map-drawing provided for public consumption should be timestamped and of a quality such that the public can view all relevant details of the proposed maps. -- Hearing notices should include a contact phone number for those observing the process to report technical issues. Should technical issues arise that prevent public observation, map drawing or revising should stop until the issues are resolved. -- The maps must not be drawn to advantage a political party or an individual. Political data—including but not limited to voter registration, voting records, and incumbent addresses—should not be considered when drawing maps. --All data, systems, and criteria used in drawing maps should be disclosed and available for public review and comment. -- Any third parties such as attorneys, consultants, or others should be disclosed within 24 hours of their engagement and introduced at public meetings. -- The committee must commit to holding public meetings across the state. Meetings should be scheduled well in advance, widely publicized, and include communities of color and rural areas. Virtual attendance options must be provided. <p>This should not be a back-door process. You have such an important responsibility to honor the will of the people – your constituents – and allow for more, not less, civic engagement.</p> <p>Thank you, Andrea Cash</p>
08/09/2021 01:18 PM	Mr Thomas Trescone		<p>Stop excluding people of color with your blatant redistricting and stealing of the right to vote!!!</p>
08/09/2021 01:33 PM	Sean McNeal		<p>In 2019, a court required the General Assembly to draw remedial maps in “full public view” and barred legislators from undertaking “any steps to draw or revise the new districts outside of public view.”⁴ Notwithstanding these requirements, there were</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>several issues in 2019, including legislators and participants moving maps in and out of the meeting room during the redistricting process, holding conversations relevant to the redistricting process away from microphones, and failing to respond to public commentary. The public also experienced significant difficulty connecting to audio and video broadcasts that allowed for a detailed observation of the process. A truly transparent process must be used in 2021. Anything less will only serve to degrade public confidence in our state's redistricting.</p> <p>Accordingly, the General Assembly should ensure transparency by implementing the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Disclose All Criteria, Systems, and Data Used In Drawing Maps. Any criteria, system, or data used to develop draft maps should be publicly disclosed online before it is applied in drawing or revising any maps. This information should be disclosed to the public in advance of its use such that the public has a reasonable and adequate opportunity to view the information before it is used. 2. Perform All Map Drawing and Revising in Public View. All map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be performed in the public view and live-streamed. An explanation made available to the public should accompany any changes or revisions to draft maps, and all conversations or commentary by those engaged in the map-drawing process during any revisions should be audible to public observers. Maps should not be removed from the meeting room during the drawing or revising process except for secure storage. Final maps should be accompanied by a written justification for the districts chosen. 3. Ensure Quality Video and Audio Broadcast in Public Meetings. All video related to map-drawing provided for public consumption should be timestamped and of a quality such that the public can view all relevant details of the proposed maps. Hearing notices should include a contact phone number for those observing the process to report technical issues. Should technical issues arise that prevent public observation, map drawing or revising should halt until those issues are resolved. 4. Disclose All Third Parties Engaged in Redistricting. The General Assembly should immediately disclose all consultants, attorneys, or other third parties who will be participating in the redistricting process, to the extent already known, and going forward disclose additional participants within 24 hours of engagement. Participants should be introduced during public meetings if they are substantively involved in the process. This disclosure will further public confidence by ensuring that there are no conflicts of interest and that the key players are known to the public <p>We all know the NCGOP will never let this happen tho because they care more about short-term power than actually having a stronger Democracy. But there will be hundreds if not thousands of pleas like mine in the public record that</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			will shame them for all time
08/09/2021 01:36 PM	Donna Hughes		Do what's right, dammit. For the first time in your miserable lives, do what's RIGHT. Please provide a website where we can review all redistricting information. Also, I would like public hearings on all redistricting plans -- and these hearings should be publicized in advance, Thank you.
08/09/2021 01:38 PM	Mr Kenny Dalsheimer	Self	<p>Dear House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee,</p> <p>I am writing as a proud North Carolinian and a passionate citizen for democracy and TRUE representative democracy. I am appalled at how gerrymandering distorts our democracy and how BOTH political parties have used this to undermine the will of voters. I request that you include the following criteria in future redistricting in our state:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Don't draw unnatural lines that break apart communities. Keep communities together. - Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party, that is EITHER PARTY. - The public must have ample opportunity for input throughout this process. The input must be inperson, virtual, and online. And it is incumbent upon you to respect the voice and input from NC citizens. - your work must be transparent and open. All map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, must be open, live streamed and free for the public to see and hear. <p>Thanks for your consideration on this very important issue. Sincerely, Kenny Dalsheimer Durham County</p>
08/09/2021 01:40 PM	Mrs. Linda Wolf		<p>People in the same community should be voting together. We can't have districts drawn by the political party in power, or it's just a giant game of ping pong as parties come and go in power. It will never be fair or accurate this way. The general public should have clear information and opportunities for input at every turn of the process of drawing districts--no mysteries! And the legislature should be accountable and responsive to the public's input. Everything should happen out in the open, with the public invited to witness, and live-streamed for those who can't be there in person.</p>
08/09/2021 01:55 PM	Ms. Jane Kestenbaum		<p>Dear Committee, I am an attorney in Durham writing to request that the redistricting process be transparent and fair. The maps should not be drawn to advantage a political party. Political data should NOT be considered when drawing the maps. All data and criteria used in drawing the maps should be disclosed and available for public review and comment. Final maps should be accompanied by a written justification for the districts chosen. Please also commit to holding public meetings across the state that are well advertised and widely publicized in advance. Thank you for your time and consideration of these important requests.</p>
08/09/2021 02:00 PM	Colleen Watson		<p>District maps should not be drawn by those who control the state legislature. The process should be fair and completely non-partisan to avoid gerrymandering. Periods for public access and comment should be available throughout the process.</p>
08/09/2021 02:07 PM	Annie Caulkins		<p>As you consider the way in which districts should be divided, I urge you to consider and address the following concerns:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is important to keep communities together so representatives can represent an entire community, not a piece here and there. That way the representative can be more in tune with the community's needs. • Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party. This is critical to furthering and supporting our democracy. When lines are drawn to favor one party over another, citizens lose. • The process should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>online public comments, and the legislature to be responsive to those comments.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed. Transparency is important to ensure ethical processes are followed and to ensure public support. <p>Thank you for considering my input. Sincerely, Annie Caulkins, Hillsborough, NC</p>
08/09/2021 02:14 PM	Mr. Sam Hausfather		<p>The key components of a fair, inclusive, and transparent redistricting process should include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Communities should be kept together. - Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party. - The process should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature should be responsive to those comments. - All map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed. <p>I hope the NC General Assembly acts accordingly. Thank you.</p>
08/09/2021 02:17 PM	Ms. Joyce Young	No organization, retired medical transcriptionist	<p>Please adopt redistricting criteria that will lead to a fair, inclusive and transparent process. It is important to keep communities together. A particular political party should not be unduly favored when drawing districts. There should be meaningful public involvement all during the process, both in-person public hearings and online public comments, which is given careful consideration by the legislature. All map drawing and revisions made, including discussions and meetings for those, should be made public and live streamed.</p>
08/09/2021 02:20 PM	MS Kathleen Barnes		<p>Please adopt all of the provisions of SB 673 governing the redistricting maps for 2021. It is shameful that you have foreshortened the public comment phase. That does not bode well for my certainty that you will develop fair maps. It is your responsibility as elected officials to keep the process transparent and fair. That is how we restore public confidence in the electoral process. That is democracy.</p>
08/09/2021 02:21 PM	Mr. Andrew Silver	Carolina Jews for Justice and others	<p>The most important safeguard for ensuring that districts are fairly drawn is that no data on political affiliation or voting records be allowed. The only purpose for using such data is to gerrymander the districts so that many districts are either safely Republican or safely Democrat. This makes the general election pointless and makes the primary elections decisive. Having representatives elected essentially by a single party promotes extremism so that politics have become more partisan, with the two parties unable to work together. Representatives in seats safe from challenge by the other party are able to ignore their constituents, and the legislatures are, because of gerrymandering, unresponsive to the public interest.</p>
08/09/2021 02:21 PM	Dr. Carole Levy		<p>Please do not redistrict in a partisan manner. All citizens should have equal representation. Please do your duty as an elected official and redistrict fairly!</p>
08/09/2021 02:32 PM	Trish Townsend		<p>The redistricting process in NC should be transparent, inclusive, accessible, and justly representative for ALL North Carolinians . These committees have the opportunity to set a new precedent for generations to come to draw up just and fair divisions that truly allow the people to choose their candidates in elections rather than the other way around. The redistricting process in recent years is a stain on the history of our state. The highest courts of the land have said NC's redistricting process used restrictions targeting African-American voters "with almost surgical precision" and used "unconstitutional racial gerrymanders" where "race predominated in drawing the districts". Our state motto is "to be rather than to seem". I call on you to use the redistricting process as a way to BE fair, just, and inclusive for all state residents to be represented equally rather than just seem to be doing your duty. Thank you!</p>
08/09/2021 02:35 PM	Susan Stinson		<p>1. Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party: I think that a vibrant democracy is facilitated by having few if any districts so one-sided that whoever wins the primary is assured of re-election. After the election, the winner should have a political interest in listening to all of his or her constituents—those on the right, the left, and in the middle. I think this would reduce extremism on both sides and facilitate members of the General Assembly working</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>together.</p> <p>2. Communities should not be divided in a way that reduces the opportunity of minorities of all sorts to have a voice in governance. The line down the middle of minority campuses like NC A& T was an especially egregious example; This should be avoided in the future.</p> <p>3. Transparency: The process should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature should be responsive to those comments. Further, all map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed.</p>
08/09/2021 02:37 PM	MS SUSAN LEFLER	DEMOCRACY PROJECT OF TRANSYLVANIA	<p>We are trying to inspire our local middle school students to study the US Constitution and understand the responsibility of citizens to be informed about our history and the issues confronting us and to vote for educated, responsible public officials to represent them. We urge them to vote and to believe in the power of their vote in a functioning democracy. Gerrymandering undermines democracy in fatal ways by skewing the vote to favor a political party and/or a racially or economically dominant group. Gerrymandering for these reasons should be illegal. It works against fair and transparent and responsive government.</p>
08/09/2021 02:37 PM	Dr. Catherine Anne Walsh	none	<p>I am writing to urge you to undo the current district maps for North Carolina NOW. As the judges who heard the case against the current gerrymandering stated: "It is not the free will of the People that is ascertained through extreme partisan gerrymandering. Rather, it is the carefully crafted will of the map drawer that predominates." Please, allow the voices of North Carolinians be heard clearly and not manipulated by whomever the current party in power is. Set up independent commission made up of NC citizens, not elected officials, to redraw the districting maps in North Carolina. Thank you.</p>
08/09/2021 02:38 PM	Mrs. Janis Silverman		<p>I am in NC District 3. I am aware of the blatant redistricting in this district, the ballot tampering in the former election, and the total manipulation of our votes. Even after court orders to fix the situation, the Assembly was permitted to draw the boundaries.</p> <p>This is all corrupt and must be changed by Assembly or court order.</p> <p>I am a regular voter and do not want my vote to be tampered with.</p>
08/09/2021 02:39 PM	Dr Herbert Baum		<p>I believe the following criteria should be used when redistricting:</p> <p>Communities should be kept together.</p> <p>Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party.</p> <p>The process should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature should be responsive to those comments.</p> <p>All map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed.</p>
08/09/2021 02:48 PM	Jane Harris		<p>I believe that every North Carolinian deserves to have their vote count equally. In order for this to occur, I believe districts should be balanced so that they do not intentionally favor or disfavor any political party. If a district is majority liberal, then the votes of conservatives in that district do not count. This discourages conservatives from voting or running for office. Further, there is no incentive for a liberal candidate or representative/senator to entertain alternate points of view in their district or in the state assembly. If our state assembly can't work together, I fear for the future of our state. We face multiple pressing issues: health care, lack of adequate income, and depredation of our environment.</p> <p>I look forward to watching the process, reading the results, and I hope for written justification for the districts selected. Thank you for your work.</p>
08/09/2021	Mrs Carol Nutt		<p>I am writing to convey the need for a fair, transparent, timely, and inclusive process in which all North Carolinians have</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
02:50 PM			<p>a reasonable opportunity to participate. for this process to be truly fair and transparent, it must be inclusive such that all community members have a genuine opportunity to view this process and be heard, regardless of race, ability, or socioeconomic status. An accessible process is particularly crucial for historically-disenfranchised communities, such as our state's communities of color, which have been the targets of recent gerrymanders. A truly participatory and transparent redistricting process will contribute to better maps and engage citizens and improve public trust and confidence in our democracy. Accordingly, I have outlined requirements for an inclusive redistricting process. As I understand the best practices.</p> <p>North Carolina's redistricting process must follow a timeline that allows for meaningful public comment, irrespective of the delays in the release of census data. While the delay in release of the census data has altered the typical timeline, North Carolina can and should establish a timeline that allows for robust public input and, if needed, post-enactment dispute resolution. The Census Bureau currently anticipates releasing block-level census data in legacy format on August 16, 2021. New maps must be in place before December 17, 2021, when candidates seeking federal or state party primary nominations must file their notice of candidacy.</p> <p>To ensure a meaningful opportunity for public comment within this window of time, North Carolina's redistricting should adhere to the following timeline:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Start the Redistricting Process Immediately After the August 16 Data Release. 2. Release Processed Block Data for Community Use by August 21 3. Disclose Initial Draft Maps by September 15. 4. Submit Final Proposed Maps to the General Assembly by October 6. <p>To ensure meaningful public comment this cycle, the General Assembly should ensure community engagement adheres to the following guidelines:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide a Simple State Government Website For All Redistricting Information 2. Allow Public Comment Before Initial Draft Maps Are Drawn. 3. Allow Public Access and Comment on Draft and Revised Maps. 4. Permit Written and Oral Public Comment. 5. Hold Accessible Public Hearings Throughout the State. 6. Provide Two Weeks' Notice of Public Hearings. <p>The General Assembly should ensure transparency by implementing the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Disclose All Criteria, Systems, and Data Used In Drawing Maps. 2. Perform All Map Drawing and Revising in Public View 3. Ensure Quality Video and Audio Broadcast in Public Meetings. 4. Disclose All Third Parties Engaged in Redistricting. <p>Gentlemen, the districts you draw this year will shape North Carolinians' lives and communities for the next decade. Only with these successive rounds of meaningful public input can the people of North Carolina view the 2021 redistricting process as one that is fair, inclusive, and transparent. Taking these steps will not only send an important message that this year's North Carolina maps are the most inclusive and representative in history, but also set a precedent for the rest of the country.</p>
08/09/2021 02:50 PM	Mrs Erin Petmezas		<p>North Carolina is roughly even between Democrats and Republicans but Republicans are very disproportionately represented in our representatives. Representatives should be based on population. More densely populated areas should have more representatives so that the balance of total representatives should reflect the voters in NC.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/09/2021 02:52 PM	Mr. Boyce Booth	retired	I heard about gerrymandering left and right for years. Being told it balances out. But that is no way to run a country or fair government. We need districts drawn without regard for present day political parties. As a suggestion: drawn by complete county lines. They should not be determined by which party is favored.
08/09/2021 02:58 PM	mary parks		We need: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To have a timeline that allows citizens to have the opportunity for informed and impactful public comment on the criteria and the actual maps as drawn. 2. To provide a simple government website to get all redistricting information. 3. To allow public comment before the initial maps are drawn and the draft and revised maps. 4. To hold hearings throughout the state. 5. To provide adequate notice before hearings. 6. To disclose all criteria, systems and data used in drawing the maps. 7. To perform all map drawing and revising in public view, with quality video and audio broadcast of such meetings. 8. To disclose all third parties engaged in redistricting.
08/09/2021 03:00 PM	Carolyn Guckert	--select an item--	Redistricting must be FAIR. I am embarrassed that NC has been in the national spotlight in the past b/c the process was not honest. Let's do it right this time so we can all be proud. Do not break up naturally occurring communities for partisan gain. DO make the process completely open and transparent, allowing the public to be part of it. We vote you into office; we deserve a seat at the table. Redistricting should allow the voters to choose their representatives, not the politicians to choose the voters. Thank you for a concerned citizen.
08/09/2021 03:21 PM	Bobbi Mullins		As an unaffiliated voter in Wake County, I am very concerned that gerrymandering will continue to occur resulting in unfair representation. ALL people who take the time and make an effort to vote should be represented equally. One person's voice should not be more important than any other person's voice, and our elected officials should respect that. To that end, I am in support of maps that do not advantage a political party or individual (like an incumbent). Political data should not be considered when drawing maps even though it has in the past. All criteria used in drawing maps should be disclosed to the public. Maps should not be drawn in secret or behind closed doors. I appreciate the availability to listen online to the meetings and to comment online as well. Voters such as myself are watching, and we expect those in power to do the right thing and act with integrity. Please put aside partisanship and create fair maps for everyone regardless of their politics.
08/09/2021 03:21 PM	Dr. Mindy Oshrain		As the NC Legislature begins the process of redrawing districts, criteria should be about community, not politics. Communities should be kept together. Districts should not be drawn to favor one political party over another. I would hope that the NC Legislature would have full transparency around the re-drawing of districts, including hearings involving the public, taking into account public comment and all map drawing taking place in public and live streamed. Due to COVID, I am aware that it may be difficult for citizens to attend in person; I do hope that the Legislature will make certain that the public is involved.
08/09/2021 03:25 PM	Catherine Marie		I believe that the following points should be followed in order to have fair and equitable redistricting. Keeping communities together is important. Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party. The process should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature to be responsive to those comments. All map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed.
08/09/2021 03:25 PM	Mrs. Cheryl McGraw	citizen	The process should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature to be responsive to those comments Keeping communities together should have a high priority Districts must NOT be drawn to unduly favor a political party And all map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/09/2021 03:30 PM	Mr Paul Weichselbaum		public view and live-streamed Redistricting needs to be done in a neutral manner that doesn't favor either political party in at least three fifths of the districts for each position. Generally following county lines and established neighborhoods to facilitate relevant debate about local conditions as an essential part of informed representation.
08/09/2021 03:30 PM	Mr Paul Weichselbaum		Redistricting needs to be done in a neutral manner that doesn't favor either political party in at least three fifths of the districts for each position. Generally following county lines and established neighborhoods to facilitate relevant debate about local conditions as an essential part of informed representation.
08/09/2021 03:30 PM	Mr Paul Weichselbaum		Redistricting needs to be done in a neutral manner that doesn't favor either political party in at least three fifths of the districts for each position. Generally following county lines and established neighborhoods to facilitate relevant debate about local conditions as an essential part of informed representation.
08/09/2021 03:35 PM	Cole Parke-West	Carolina Jews for Justice	In designing the redistricting process this year, I urge you to integrate the following priorities: - Communities should be kept together. - Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party. - The process should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature should be responsive to those comments. - All map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed. Thank you, Cole Parke-West
08/09/2021 03:38 PM	L Dark		Good morning: I request a FAIR and reasonable process for re-districting of the state. For the last 10+ years, my community was thrown into the gerrymandered district of Ms. Fox, Watauga County, on the border of Tennessee. While a beautiful scenic area, I live nowhere near there; reside in an urban area next to Greensboro in the "Triad". Finally the courts released us from the 5th and we are now in alignment with Greensboro. That gerrymander was purposely done to water down my vote. While my hopes are not high for objectivity from this Legislative session due to past unfair practices (such as meeting at night behind closed doors), please understand that a majority of people are very aware of legislators' goals - disenfranchising minorities, poor, disabled, and young people. Some will do whatever possible to restrict our votes.Just remember: "the arc of the universe is long---->>> but it bends toward Justice". The country is "watching"
08/09/2021 03:53 PM	Dr Herb Lamb	none	It is in the best interests of state to have all map drawing and revisions conducted in the public view and through media such as live-streaming. Do the right thing and make this hearing public. Thank you,
08/09/2021 03:54 PM	Kayla Forrest		It seems like we've been in a never-ending cycle of drawing and redrawing districts as a result of the blatant gerrymandering that persists. It's time to do the right thing, set politics aside, and draw reasonable districts that are not thinly veiled attempts to control our elections. As a constituent, I am sick and tired of seeing these partisan antics interfere with democracy. It's high time for you to do better.
08/09/2021 03:54 PM	Candace Carraway		Transparency and fairness will ensure that the public has been served by the redistricting process. We need to make it easy for members of the public to comment on boundaries; we need the politicians to refrain from drawing lines that assure favoritism to any party. Public hearings are needed in every Congressional district of the state. The criteria for boundaries should include keeping communities together, and assuring that the boundaries do not disproportionately and negatively impact the clout of voters of color. We should not repeat that chapter in our history.
08/09/2021	Ms Barbara St	private citizen	Please draw districts fairly, not splitting cities or counties. Please consider a non-partisan effort so the votes and

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
04:00 PM	Hilaire		<p>voices of all citizens within North Carolina are heard equally and are not gerrymandered to squash their vote. It is disheartening to have my vote corralled into a district with whom I have little in common while my neighbor on the next street has a vote merged with a completely separate district. Thank you.</p>
08/09/2021 04:05 PM	Tamika Walker Kelly	North Carolina Association of Educators	<p>Members of the House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee:</p> <p>The most sacred principal of American democracy is that fair elections are supposed to allow the voters to choose their representatives, but North Carolinians have long been denied true choice because elected officials have historically been able to draw district lines to choose their voters. With the opportunity before us to again undertake this exercise so critical to our democracy, we must not repeat the mistakes of the past.</p> <p>The public face of partisan redistricting is the absurdly comical district maps that look like inkblot tests run amok and make it difficult for many citizens to even figure out who represents them. The more sinister side of partisan redistricting is its use as a weapon to enforce party discipline among rank-and-file members. The very threat of redistricting can make a legislator more receptive to party leadership than to the will of his or her own constituents.</p> <p>Redistricting should encourage community cohesion, rather than division. Done poorly, redistricting often divides communities of interest, making it difficult for them to find representatives to champion their causes and advocate for them. It can also make it difficult for legislators to serve their constituents since it may be difficult for them to travel easily throughout the district.</p> <p>Unfair redistricting creates "safe districts" which result in uncontested or uncompetitive elections, leading to reduced voter interest and turnout. The creation of "safe districts" usually results in the elections of candidates who are at the extreme edges of their party. When the only competition is the primary election, candidates are most interested in keeping the party faithful happy and have little reason to compromise for the common good.</p> <p>We can all agree on the merits of requiring districts to be contiguous, compact and more equal in population, but as long as those districts can be gerrymandered to favor or punish a particular political party or incumbent, they will never be fair. And as long as legislators are drawing the district lines, the impulse to gerrymander will be irresistible.</p> <p>We elect representatives to engage each other on substantive policy disagreements, even on controversial matters. But in the redistricting process, legislators target each other's territory in ways uniquely perceived as vicious personal attacks. As a result, redistricting battles provoke an unparalleled hostile atmosphere among incumbents, which may poison the well for later productive policy discussions.</p> <p>Since 2011, the voters of this state have been subjected to a dizzying succession of litigation over North Carolina's legislative and Congressional districts in state and federal courts. We implore this General Assembly not to repeat the mistakes of the past. Only a fair, transparent, and equitable process will do as we together plot the course of our state for the next decade of growth, opportunity, and prosperity for all North Carolinians.</p>
08/09/2021 04:12 PM	Mr. Dan Neil		<p>End partisan, racial, and economic gerrymandering,</p>
08/09/2021	Bernard		<p>I urge you to adopt the provisions of SB673 as the process of redistricting is fundamental to our democracy, and public</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
04:14 PM	Groseclose		<p>confidence in the electoral process must be restored. I encourage in the process that you:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Not divide communities. o Draw districts so as not to unduly favor any political party. o Involve meaningful public input in the process, and be responsive to comments. o Ensure all discussions and/or meetings for drawing or revising maps are transparent to the public. <p>Thank you.</p>
08/09/2021 04:20 PM	Ward Lenz		<p>Please fulfil the promise GOP members made to us in the 2000s where, if they got in power, they would make districting fair. In the proposed criteria, at no point is there even a premise to make districts fair to the voters and that the NCGA would be representative of the population. The final criteria on the list effectively gives the committee free reign to use partisan data to select voters, rather than having voters select members of the NCGA.</p> <p>GOP votes received compared to representation in 2020 election: U.S. House - GOP votes 49.7% - GOP seats received 61.5% NC House - GOP votes 50.8% - GOP seats received 58.0% NC Senate - GOP votes 50.4% - GOP seats received 57.1%</p> <p>Let the voters speak.</p>
08/09/2021 04:22 PM	rachel zingone		<p>I'm calling on the Redistricting Committee to hold accessible, in-person hearings in EVERY district in North Carolina. In order for this process to be truly fair and transparent, it must be inclusive in a way that all community members have a genuine opportunity to view this process and be heard. The people's voice is what democracy is all about.</p>
08/09/2021 04:24 PM	Ms. Helen Wolfson	self	<p>While you consider the redistricting criteria for North Carolina's new maps, I urge you to keep foremost in your minds that we the voters want to choose our representatives; we do not want our representatives to choose us. The redrawing of districts should be about democracy, not party politics.</p> <p>Districts should be drawn so that they do not favor one political party over another. Nor should districts capriciously divide communities. Sentiment expressed in the past by those drawing the maps has been blatantly partisan. Our new maps should be expected to produce representation that reflects the will of the people, not the will of whichever party is in power.</p> <p>Public input should be paramount throughout the entire process through both in-person public hearings and online public comments. And, the legislature should heed and respond to these comments.</p> <p>The entire process should be transparent: drawing and revision of maps, discussions of the maps and the process, and meetings regarding the process and the maps should be open to the public and live-streamed.</p>
08/09/2021 04:26 PM	Mx. Meredith Burns		<p>It is imperative we draw districts in a way that is FAIR and NONPARTISAN. Redistricting needs a transparent timeline that allows for marginalized communities to provide feedback. This needs to be done in a genuine, authentic, and visible way so that folks are actually able to review and provide that feedback—not just nominally saying there are opportunities. This is important for our democracy and for the rights of our most marginalized and often silenced communities.</p>
08/09/2021 04:31 PM	Mr. Peter Latham	private citizen	<p>It is absolutely essential that careful scrutiny be applied to the redistricting process to avoid gerrymandering. Fair redistricting is a public priority to avoid giving any party unfair political advantage.</p>
08/09/2021 04:37 PM	Ms Ellen Adelman		<p>I am very concerned that the process for redistricting will not be open, transparent and non-partisan. Redistricting MUST be open and transparent so citizens can not only see everything that is discussed, decided and voted on, but can contribute through active participation in the process (not just submitting comments that will be ignored). This process MUST result in equitable and fair districts that truly represent the voters. Redistricting must result in true one-</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/09/2021 04:39 PM	Mr Eric Thomas		<p>person-one-vote districts, and not districts where elected officials involved in redistricting have chosen their voters (as was the case in the last couple of redistricting cycles. North Carolina has more people registered as either Democrat or Independent, and the new districts need to reflect that, and not have an situation where the overwhelming majority of districts represent a minority of the state's population. Nor should the districts split precincts, or end up with strange shapes just so certain precincts/cities/towns are included in a specific district. Political gerrymandering is just as evil as racial gerrymandering; both should be illegal.</p> <p>After North Carolina's recent history of congtested congressional maps, drawn with the express purpose of favoring one political party over another, I would hope that this round goes differently. These new maps should be drawn to reflect the actual will of the people of North Carolina, not just whichever party happens to be in the majority at the time. Communities should not be divided with their voting power diluted by gerrymandering. The voters should choose their representatives, not the other way around. There are methodologies for redistricting available that make fair representation possible and the Legislature should adopt one of them.</p> <p>The entire process of re-districting should be open to the public with in-person hearings, if possible. If in-person hearings are not possible due to COVID, the meetings should be streamed online using something like ZOOM so that the public can have input into the process. The Legislature should hear and respond to the input received at these meetings. Full transparency of the process should be enhanced by having any and all meetings and discussions regarding redistricting open to the public and live-streamed over the Internet.</p>
08/09/2021 04:43 PM	Mr. Robert Williams	personal from Asheboro, N. C.	Please make the redistricting process fair , transparent and open while joining geographic communities together and not favoring one race or one party cover another. Please follow past court rulings to protect democracy.
08/09/2021 04:44 PM	Mildred Hoover		I am against gerrymandering that will be one of the most insidious forms of voter suppression that is being done by our state's Republican controlled legislature,
08/09/2021 04:49 PM	Ms Carol George		<p>I would like the redistricting process to provid meaningful public input at every step of the process. This includes providing in person public hearings and online public comments throughout the redistricting process. Ensuring any and all map drawing and revisions are done in the public view (including public access in person and live streamed processes).</p> <p>Keeping communities of interest together.</p> <p>Guaranteeing that maps are not drawn to unduly favor a political party.</p> <p>North Carolinians deserve a democracy that works for the people, and this begins with a fair, transparent, and inclusive redistricting process.</p>
08/09/2021 04:52 PM	Adrienne Hollifield		<p>I am appalled at the way both parties have treated redistricting, using it as a way to get the most votes for their party and causes. Redistricting should be fair and drawn by either a non partisan group or a group that is committed to seeing that there is no particular advantage to either party. The maps should be open to the public for perusal and commenting. And communities should be kept together. A number of years ago, my district was drawn in a shape that didn't have connection between the people and communities that live in it. I live in the Broad River section of Black Mountain, and I was in the same district as people in Shelby, well out of the mountain area I live in. That is poor redistricting. Also, when I see people running for election unopposed and am told that the way the map is created, it gives advantage to one party so completely that the other party doesn't even bother to run, that is poor redistricting. You are grown people and you know right from wrong. If you don't, you shouldn't be representing us. Maps without guile and favoritism, open to the public for comment, should be the aim in creating maps according to the new census. Do your job and do it right.</p>
08/09/2021 04:56 PM	Joseph Huberman		Fair voting is the foundation of our democracy. The voting districts should be fair and reflect the makeup of the electorate. We should have a non-partisan redistricting commission to keep it fair.
08/09/2021	Amee Stewart	None	1. Redistributing should be done by an independent non-partition committee not R's or D's in the General Assembly.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
05:06 PM			<p>2. The districts should be compact and drawn without consideration of incumbency or political leaning.</p> <p>3. Citizens must be able to pick their representatives not the other way around.</p> <p>Im really sick of this back room scheming and drawing of these maps. It's been done by both parties and needs to END NOW!!! Im sick of people being disenfranchised for any any reason. If you believe in democracy then you know that democracy works best when all citizens vote. Do the right thing fir a change.</p>
08/09/2021 05:08 PM	Dr Andree Allen	Retired	<p>Redistricting should be done to ensure that the voters choose their candidates, NOT the other way around as it is currently the case.</p> <p>No more districts that look like tentacles.</p>
08/09/2021 05:08 PM	Ms. Theresa Dillingham		<p>Redistricting must be based solely on numbers from the census – no gerrymandering!! Fair and square!</p>
08/09/2021 05:09 PM	Ms Laurie Fox		<p>"As the NC Legislature begins the process of redrawing districts, criteria should be about community, not politics. NC communities should be kept together. Districts should not be drawn to favor one political party over another. I urge the NC Legislature to redraw districts with full transparency, public hearings, addressing public comments and that all map drawing taking place in public and live streamed. Given the COVID pandemic, I further urge the Legislature to make certain that the public has the opportunity to be involved VIRTUALLY as well as in-person.</p>
08/09/2021 05:10 PM	Mrs. Katherine Winslow		<p>I am writing you to endorse an open process for redistricting legislative and congressional districts in North Carolina. I am a mother and a grandmother and I have lived in Tarboro, NC for over 40 years. Although I am now retired after a career which included about 10 years in banking and over 30 years in higher education, I am active in my community. I have voted at every election for at least the past 30 years, and believe it is important for the people of North Carolina to have faith in the voting process, which means they trust that voting districts are fair and inclusive. It is critical, therefore, that NC establish a transparent process for redistricting that is fair, participatory, and accessible to all citizens of North Carolina. Such a process would:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Follow a timeline that allows for meaningful public input and, if needed, post-enactment dispute resolution. This means getting a timely start to the process and making draft maps available for public comment, including adequate time for members of the public to review the maps. 2. Public comment opportunities should include in-person hearings broadcast through public media as well as opportunities for written public comment. This means scheduling public hearings throughout NC, with at least two weeks notice to the public of all hearings. 3. The General Assembly should also assure the process is transparent by disclosing to the public all systems, criteria, and data that will be used in drawing maps. A transparent process would ensure that all drawing and revision of maps would be done in public view and live streamed, and media should provide audio and video of sufficient quality to allow the public to see and hear the maps and the discussions. Any changes or revisions to maps should be explained to the public, and final maps should include written justifications for the districts chosen. 4. All individuals and groups participating directly or as consultants in this process should be disclosed to the public.
08/09/2021 05:13 PM	Ed Hoefle		<p>It is important that redistricting reflect the community as a whole so that their representation is not diminished.</p> <p>Keeping communities together</p> <p>Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party</p> <p>The process should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature should be responsive to those comments</p> <p>And all map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed</p>
08/09/2021 05:21 PM	Donna Kaye	--None--	<p>I strongly recommend that there be a bipartisan or nonpartisan approach to redistricting. The Republicans, rightly so, did not like it when the Democrats participated in partisan gerrymandering. And now the Republicans, who are in the majority, have an opportunity to do the moral and ethical thing to show that the cycle of partisan gerrymandering stops with them. This is the way we can have a true representative democracy.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/09/2021 05:22 PM	MR Edward Bassett	None	<p>Comment on creating fair districts Redistricting</p> <p>I strongly support a redistricting plan that when considered on a statewide basis, the redistricting should not unduly favor or disfavor any political party or racial groups. Please strictly avoid cracking and packing to dilute the votes of minority or partisan groups.</p> <p>The process of creating fair voting districts. All map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed.</p>
08/09/2021 05:26 PM	Ms. Helen Gray	N/A	<p>As a resident of North Carolina, I've felt disenfranchised by a redistricting process that has not been fair or transparent in the past. It is extremely frustrating and demoralizing to me to feel that when I write or phone my legislators, I'm reaching only people who already agree with my position because district maps have placed me in a ghetto of sorts. I urge members of the Committees on Redistricting to work earnestly to make sure that this is no longer the case in the future. Maps should not be drawn to advantage one politician or party; political data such as voter registration, voting records, and incumbent addresses should not be considered when maps are drawn. Furthermore, the process should be fully accessible to the public: all map drawing and revisions, discussions and meetings should be available for public view both in person and via the Web; maps should not be removed from the meeting room during the drawing or revising process, and all conversations and comments by those engaged in this process should be audible to public observers--hearing notices should include a contact phone number so that observers can report technical problems; if technical problems prevent public observation, map drawing or revising should stop until they are solved; all video related to map-drawing should be timestamped and clear enough so that the public can view all relevant details of the proposed maps. Draft maps and revisions should be accompanied by an explanation available to the public; final maps should be accompanied by a written justification for the districts chosen. All data, systems, and criteria used in drawing maps should be disclosed and available for public review and comment; any third parties involved in the process such as attorneys and consultants should be disclosed within 24 hours of their engagement and introduced at public meetings. Such meetings--scheduled well in advance and widely publicized--should be held across the state in rural as well as urban areas, including communities of color. These measures will move North Carolina away from the unfair practices of the past, encouraging public participation in the political process, increasing public confidence in our democracy, and providing a model for other states to follow.</p>
08/09/2021 05:28 PM	Jacob Smith		<p>Wake County has grown substantially over the last decade, so much that many of the current State House districts that reside in Wake County are severely overpopulated. This means that it will be necessary to draw an additional 12th seat in Wake County. I suggest drawing this new district around part of Cary and Morrisville that would create a sort of Asian opportunity district. A district in this area could be around 30% Asian, making it a one of a kind in North Carolina. Minority representation is important and we have the perfect chance to hopefully create one more opportunity.</p>
08/09/2021 05:34 PM	Susan Cohen		<p>The redrawing of districts should be about democracy, not party politics. Our new maps should produce representation that reflects the will of the people, not the will of whichever party is in power. The entire process should be transparent; the meetings regarding the process & the maps should open to the public & live-streamed. The legislature should heed & respond to the public comments.</p>
08/09/2021 05:50 PM	Mr Micah McLain		<p>Please do everything your power to ensure redistricting is non-partisan and as fair as possible for all NC residents. In a real democracy, voters choose their representatives, not the other way around.</p>
08/09/2021 06:07 PM	Mr. Robert Brown		<p>"The districts drawn by the North Carolina General Assembly in 2021 will shape North Carolinians' lives and communities for the next decade. Instead of traveling thousands of miles to adopt a national agenda meant to erode certain people's political power, our state's lawmakers should be seeking homegrown solutions from everyday North Carolinians. Our recommendations provide a blueprint for meaningful public input which will make our 2021 redistricting process fair, inclusive, timely, and transparent. Taking these steps will not only ensure North Carolina's</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/09/2021 06:20 PM	Ms Jennifer Bennington	N/A	legislative and congressional maps are the most inclusive and representative in history, but they could also set a generation-defining precedent for the rest of the country.” Voting maps should represent the diverse political and racial demographics in North Carolina. They should NOT be drawn to consolidate or shift power. Our country works best when we work together to solve problems, and when voters feel empowered through their elected representatives. Warping this representation to disempower members of any party, racial, or socioeconomic group gives rise to anger, disenfranchisement, chaos, and violence. Riots are the language of the unheard. We have seen both sides riot because they believe their country is letting them down. They don't believe they're being heard. Continuing to gerrymander the vote fuels the fires of anger and division. It must stop. I am writing this as an American, a North Carolinian. I don't wish favorable drawing for either side of the aisle. I want fair maps. Fair districts. I want our elected officials to win based on what they have to offer the voters, regardless of party. It will benefit North Carolina as a whole, as we work together on a positive, productive, prosperous, and equitable future for all.
08/09/2021 06:44 PM	Mrs. Carol Weaver		Remarks regarding proposed criteria: Municipal boundaries shall be considered with the objective of keeping the entire municipality within one district. Member residence should not be considered at all. Characters of community and connections between communities shall, not may be considered.
08/09/2021 06:45 PM	Janet Ganoung	Carolina Jews for Justice - Greater Charlotte	In a healthy democracy, elections are held to choose officials who will be responsive to, reflective of and representative of the communities they serve. Democracy dictates that voters have the right to choose their elected officials and not the other way around. To that end, EVERY single vote must count. Gerrymandering, regardless of who initiates it, is counter to democracy. To accomplish fair elections, we must have a fair process for drawing district maps, with the goal of keeping communities together. I believe strongly that the redistricting process: -needs to be fully open and transparent -cannot unduly favor any political party -needs to involve meaningful public input, engaging the very people whom our leaders serve -should be conducted by an independent redistricting commission. Please consider these comments as you develop the upcoming redistricting process. Please create a process that allows our elections to be of, for and by the people. Please preserve the democracy we all deserve. Many thanks.
08/09/2021 06:45 PM	Ms Marian Dessent		Providing meaningful public input at every step of the process. This includes providing in person public hearings and online public comments throughout the redistricting process. Ensuring any and all map drawing and revisions are done in the public view (including public access in person and live streamed processes). Keeping communities of interest together. Guaranteeing that maps are not drawn to unduly favor a political party.
08/09/2021 06:51 PM	Mrs. Mary Wilson		Please see that the redistricting is fair, not gerrymandered. Gerrymandering accomplishes nothing except spawn legal challenges that waste tax payer money. If you can't win fairly, then maybe you should change the way that you play the game. Everyone deserves a fair vote. Everyone's vote should count. Please do your job. See that redistricting is fair. If not, prepare to be voted out as the taxpayers are made aware of how much money is wasted on your trying to cheat.
08/09/2021 06:51 PM	Matthew Neill		All map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed. The process should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, including both in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature must be responsive to those comments. Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party. Communities must not be divided.
08/09/2021 06:55 PM	Ms Merrilee Jacobson		Redistricting should be a fair and open process. I'm counting on you to make that happen.
08/09/2021 06:55 PM	FRED MARTINIV		Keeping communities together Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/09/2021 06:56 PM	Marcia Mandel		<p>The process should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature to be responsive to those comments</p> <p>And all map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed</p>
08/09/2021 07:13 PM	Mr Joel Mauger	Citizen of the US; Resident of NC	<p>Communities should be kept together. Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party. The process should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature should be responsive to those comments. All map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed.</p> <p>Dear NC Legislative Redistricting Committees,</p> <p>The criteria below must be at the heart of the redistricting process before it begins. Otherwise, the citizens of NC will be presented with yet another unconstitutional farce orchestrated by the Republican legislative majority - a majority that has no interest in democracy, that pursues non-existent claims of voter fraud in a strictly partisan matter, that promotes and endorses the lies of Donald Trump, that has abandoned cooperative governance in pursuit of a dictatorship and that has no regard for the people and communities of NC.</p> <p>Redistricting Organizational Priorities</p> <p>Guaranteeing that maps are not drawn behind closed doors to unduly favor a political party.</p> <p>Providing meaningful public input at every step of the process via in person public hearings and online public comments throughout the redistricting process.</p> <p>Ensuring any and all map drawing and revisions are done in the public view (open to the public and on-line).</p> <p>Keeping communities of interest together.</p> <p>Joel Mauger Raleigh NC</p>
08/09/2021 07:14 PM	Mr Lawrence Nilles, Jr	N/A	<p>We need fair non-gerrymandered voting districts. Now is the time for computers (programmed without party preferences) to do the unbiased task at hand!!!!</p>
08/09/2021 07:24 PM	Dr. Peggy Johnston		<p>I moved back to NC 5 years ago from CA. In CA we voted to have an impartial redistricting done. It was well done. Came here and was disgusted to see how much NC had changed. My vote doesn't count for much living here NC with the worst gerrymandering ever. Please make fair districts so all our votes count.</p>
08/09/2021 07:33 PM	Dr Claudia Koonz	History Department, Duke University	<p>Dear Legislators, if you hope to be reelected, you will guarantee that Guarantee that maps are not drawn to unduly favor a political party. We have nationally known specialists like Duke mathematician Jonathan Mattingly to consult. https://sites.duke.edu/quantifyinggerrymandering/author/0297691/ ONLY TRANSPARENCY WILL SUSTAIN CONFIDENCE IN THE PROCESS. OUR DEMOCRACY IS IN YOUR HANDS.</p>
08/09/2021 07:33 PM	Aylett Colston	North Carolina Citizen	<p>I request that the NCGA: 1) conduct an open and transparent redistricting process enabling full public consideration of and comment on the drawing of voting district lines; 2) draw district lines according to impartial redistricting criteria that exclude the use of partisan data (and that do not allow using the address of incumbents); and 3) conduct themselves with demonstrable integrity and utmost honesty, including upholding the spirit of open meetings provisions (not just the bare technical minimum).</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/09/2021 07:33 PM	Ms Deborah Cooper	Transylvania County NAACP	<p>The redistricting process should include public hearings across North Carolina before maps are drawn. Holding meetings after elected officials have already made their decisions does not show respect for the people of North Carolina.</p> <p>Public input should be taken seriously, and its impact should be demonstrable. Redistricting committee records, redistricting data, and computer software should be available to the public. Public hearings should be held across the state with significant advance notice. Meetings should be scheduled well in advance, widely publicized, and include communities of color and rural areas. Every single inhabitant of North Carolina will be affected by new voting district maps, so there should be adequate hearings in accessible locations in every region of the state.</p> <p>Any third parties such as attorneys, consultants, or others should be disclosed within 24 hours of their engagement and introduced at public meetings.</p> <p>Prior to adopting final redistricting plans, the NCGA should respond in writing to issues raised in public comments (by either establishing a threshold number of people who raise an issue, or some other objective criteria) and such written responses become part of the legislative record related to district maps. The NCGA should issue public reports after drawing the redistricting plans for state legislative or congressional districts, explaining their decisions.</p> <p>Criteria NC redistricting should comply with all US and NC laws, and the NCGA should also draw districts based on the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • District boundaries shall respect Communities of Interest to the extent practicable. • The place of residence of any incumbent or political candidate shall NOT be considered in the creation of a map. • Districts shall not be drawn for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against an incumbent, political candidate, or political party. • Voter registration, voting records, and incumbent addresses should NOT be considered when drawing maps. • To the extent practicable, district lines shall use visible geographic features, city, town and county boundaries, and undivided census tracts. • Districts should NOT be established with the major purpose of diluting the voting strength of any person, or group, including any political party. • Compactness should be considered, but should not be prioritized over other criteria such as community consideration and Communities of Interest. <p>As used herein, a "Community of Interest" is a contiguous population which shares common social and economic interests that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation. Examples of such shared interests are those common to an urban area, a rural area, an industrial area, or an agricultural area, and those common to areas in which the people share similar living standards, use the same transportation facilities, have similar work opportunities, or have access to the same media of communication relevant to the election process. Communities of Interest shall not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.</p>
08/09/2021 07:35 PM	Ms Elizabeth Wilkerson		<p>We need fair maps that draw the state's voting districts free from political influence. Redistricting should be done by an independent commission comprised of everyday North Carolinians, not politicians with an agenda. Voters should choose their representatives instead of politicians choosing their voters.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/09/2021 07:36 PM	Miss Susan Sasser		We need fair, nonpartisan redistricting in North Carolina. Senate Bill 673 would provide a clear, fair, and democratic division of our state into competitive districts. I support SB 673. You should too.
08/09/2021 07:40 PM	Lynn Gorges		I think Craven County should not be divided up. We shouldn't be sharing a representative with Wayne and Lenore Counties. John Bell is a nice man but we need to be represented by someone who lives in Craven County.
08/09/2021 07:40 PM	Deirdre Marano	Marano family	I would like Lewisville middle school district line to shift closer to Vienna elementary and Reagan high school in Forsyth county. The children are mixed with a large community of future west Forsyth high school students. They will know less of their peers as they enter high school. Also, the line cuts right through a neighborhood. Half the neighborhood kids will attend Jefferson middle and the other half Lewisville middle. We have a chance to bring neighborhood kids together giving them a stronger support system, their neighbors, rather than dividing them. I ask you to consider moving the line closer to the Vienna elementary and Reagan high school. Thank you for your time and consideration. Best, Deirdre Marano
08/09/2021 07:42 PM	Edward Law		Redistricting should be done by a bipartisan committee
08/09/2021 07:43 PM	Ms. Mary Longstreth		I want a redistricting honest bipartisan (equally balanced) , ideally to include one third of each for committee membership: Independents, Republicans, & Democrats. I support the Common Cause criteria. Get gerrymandering outlawed by the Gen. Assembly ! Thank you. ML
08/09/2021 07:43 PM	Ms. Mary Longstreth		I want a redistricting honest bipartisan (equally balanced) , ideally to include one third of each for committee membership: Independents, Republicans, & Democrats. I support the Common Cause criteria. Get gerrymandering outlawed by the Gen. Assembly ! Thank you. ML
08/09/2021 07:51 PM	Dr. Melissa McLeod	Retired	Redistricting should be done by a impartial group of people including Jonathan Mattingly, a mathematician at Duke, so voters can be assured that their vote truly will count
08/09/2021 07:55 PM	Mrs Cheryl Haislar		Redistricting = gerrymandering Please adopt all provisions of SB673. Keep the process transparent and fair.
08/09/2021 07:59 PM	Kim Coram		Wow. Why make it so hard to hear from those you represent? You can win fairly. All this shows your lack of confidence. Sad
08/09/2021 08:00 PM	Mr. Jeffrey Ganoung	Carolina Jews for Justice - Greater Charlotte	We must have a healthy Democracy if we wish our country to continue this great 232 year American Experiment. Elections should be held to choose officials who will respond to the needs of the people, and be representative of the communities they serve. Elected officials should NOT be allowed to choose their voters; Democracy dictates that voters have the right to choose their elected officials. Every single vote must count. Gerrymandering, regardless of who initiates it, is counter to democracy. To accomplish fair elections, we must have a fair process for drawing district maps, with the goal of keeping communities together. The redistricting process: - Cannot unduly favor any one party - Needs to be fully open and transparent - Must involve meaningful public input, engaging the very people whom our leaders serve - And most important of all, should be conducted by an independent redistricting commission. I respectfully ask that you consider these comments as you develop the upcoming redistricting process. Please create a process that allows our elections to be of, for and by the people. Please preserve the democracy we all deserve.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/09/2021 08:01 PM	Ms. Randee Gordon		<p>Thank you. - Jeff Ganoung</p> <p>The following criteria as key components of a fair, inclusive, and transparent process: Communities should be kept together. Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party. The process should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature should be responsive to those comments. All map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed.</p>
08/09/2021 08:01 PM	Karen Hiser		<p>Thank you for taking public input on this important topic! After reviewing the currently proposed redistricting criteria, I have several suggestions:</p> <p>(1) I would like to see a high-priority criteria added for voter proportionality, yielding a proportion of partisan representation in the Legislature and Congress that closely correlates to the statewide preferences of voters. I fundamentally believe that if our elected officials more closely reflect the statewide will of the voters, we will have less divisiveness and make more progress for our state.</p> <p>(2) I am dismayed that Community Consideration is dead last on the list, and especially that this critical criteria is lower priority than Member Residence. Isn't keeping my community intact so that our voice can be heard more important than protecting incumbents? In addition to making Community Consideration a higher priority, I would like to see an explicit prohibition on favoring or disfavoring an incumbent, candidate, or party.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration. I look forward to seeing great progress for our wonderful state!</p> <p>Kind regards, Karen Hiser</p>
08/09/2021 08:02 PM	Loretta Wertheimer		<p>I am looking to the Redistricting Committee to define a system that will lead to compact, equitable districts that do not favor either political party. I am strongly against the type of partisan gerrymandering that exists now.</p>
08/09/2021 08:06 PM	Mr Dennis Burns		<p>I live in North Ridge in Raleigh and I urge the Committee to adopt FAIR and open criteria that will be compliant with the North Carolina Constitution and not divide our Neighborhood again. The 2011 process was not compliant as a result, districts had to be redrawn under Court guidance so we had FOUR different NC Representatives in the past 10 years! Also, as one of the 34% of voters in the State who are unaffiliated, the criteria and process must avoid any partisan information in setting district lines...do not split our neighborhood to meet the parties' goals. We appreciate your service and dedication but please have fair criteria, numerous opportunities for public input and an open transparent process like that mandated by the Courts in 2019.</p>
08/09/2021 08:06 PM	Ron Katz	Carolina Jews for Justice - West and the Interfaith Initiative for Social Justice	<p>I believe it is very important that there be a fair and transparent process. That has not been the case historically in our state. I ask that the Joint Committee do all that it can to 1) Keep communities together. 2) Not have districts drawn to unduly favor a political party. 3) Have a process that should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature should be responsive to those comments. 4) Draw all maps and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, in the public view and live-streamed.</p> <p>Thanks for your consideration.</p>
08/09/2021 08:09 PM	Helen Wood		<p>I urge the committee to consider a proportionality criterion, the way Ohio has. This criterion would call for districts to be drawn so that the NC Congressional delegation would fairly represent our citizens' political values. Rather than the lopsided, 10-3 (R-D) delegation, we should have one that is more nearly 7-7. NC elections have shown that voters have</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>been roughly split 50-50 Republican-Democrat. This is the ESSENCE of fair redistricting, as I think we all intuitively understand.</p> <p>I can (and do) live with my US Congressman who opposes the kind of infrastructure aid for that addresses climate change. What's frustrating is to see so many of the delegation ignore the significant numbers of us NC voters who believe it is necessary for the state, and for the nation. Fair district maps would make for better discussion, and better outcomes I believe.</p>
08/09/2021 08:16 PM	Dr. Jeffrey Collins		<p>The NCGA's redistricting process should be totally transparent resulting in fairly drawn districts. The process should NOT be rushed or done in secrecy if the new districts are to be widely accepted and not resulting in lengthy court challenges due to partisan gerrymandering. Given the local availability of nationally-known mathematician Johnathan Mattingly (Duke Univ.), with extensive experience in the redistricting process, the NCGA should utilize Dr. Mattingly's expertise to ensure that the newly drawn districts are fair and reasonable.</p>
08/09/2021 08:19 PM	Emily Kujawa		<p>My name is Emily Kujawa, and I am a resident of Asheville. My U.S. Congressional district has the dubious distinction of being one of the most gerrymandered in the nation. The Gerrymander 5K, featured on National Public Radio in 2017, followed part of the boundary of my district and wound circuitously through the west side of Asheville. My neighbors and I have acutely felt the effects of these convoluted district boundaries that advantage one political party over another. Asheville has been parceled out across multiple districts in the most blatant way, diluting my voice and the voices of my fellow Ashevilleans for years. Our votes do not count as much as other residents of western North Carolina.</p> <p>I strongly support including preserving municipal boundaries as a redistricting criterium. The proposed language for this criterium should be strengthened from "may" to "should." It is also essential to add "preserving communities of interest" as a criterium. Whether these communities are municipalities such as my own, or other communities with shared infrastructure, governance, or other shared characteristics, dividing communities of interest into multiple districts disadvantages their voice and interests. California offers an excellent example of clear, specific language for preserving communities of interest that North Carolina could—and should—use as a model.</p> <p>I am also strongly opposed to considering member residence (i.e., incumbent addresses) within the redistricting process. Let's give each candidate a fair shot at making the case to the constituents in new districts why they should elect them as their representative, rather than effectively grandfather incumbents in through unearned advantage. History should not give one candidate an unfair advantage with a new constituency, regardless of political party.</p> <p>Thank you for considering my comments.</p>
08/09/2021 08:22 PM	Mrs. Sharron Parker		<p>Please can we just have fair, transparent (ideally no-partisan) redistricting rules?</p>
08/09/2021 08:28 PM	Bob Leker		<p>Below are some general comments. I am dismayed at recent work done by partisan legislators that obviously enhance their ability to get elected while making sure the opposing party voters will be packed and stacked in a way that dilutes their voting power.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Communities should be kept together. - Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party. - The process should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature should be responsive to those comments. - All map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed.
08/09/2021 08:32 PM	The Rev, Diane Livingston		<p>Please adopt all of the provisions of SB 673 governing the redistricting maps for 2021. It is shameful that you have foreshortened the public comment phase. That does not bode well for my certainty that you will develop fair maps. It is</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/09/2021 08:41 PM	Mrs. Helen Voris		your responsibility as elected officials to keep the process transparent and fair. That is how we restore public confidence in the electoral process. That is democracy.
08/09/2021 08:48 PM	Ms Elodie Bentley		Please adopt all of the provisions of SB 673 governing the redistricting maps for 2021. As elected officials your responsibility is to ensure that every citizen is able to vote in free and fair elections, and that their votes will not be nullified by district maps that obscure the true intent of the voting population they purport to represent. Nothing less than perpetuation of our democracy is at stake, and this will not happen if gerrymandered districts destroy public confidence in the electoral process. The fact that you have shortened the public comment phase is shameful!
08/09/2021 08:50 PM	Nancy Rusk	Guilford County Indivisible	<p>As a North Carolina voter I am concerned that a proposed criteria for redrawing the district lines does not take into consideration racial data. Without this data the particular needs of these communities may go unattended, are erased, and indeed services may even be lost. We have seen this when rural areas have been split resulting in the loss of needed hospitals. Here in Greensboro we saw this when the lines were redrawn splitting A and T University, a historic Black institution, in half. "Until Republican redistricting in 2016, the campus and its 10,000 students were packed into the 12th Congressional District represented by Democratic Rep. Alma Adams, an African-American alum of North Carolina A&T, but now it's split between two white male Republicans: Reps. Mark Walker of the Sixth District and Ted Budd of the 13th."https://www.vox.com/policy-and-politics/2018/5/8/17271766/north-carolina-gerrymandering-2018-midterms-partisan-redistricting</p> <p>As a resident of Greensboro we have black communities that are virtual food deserts due to poor representation. The needs of our communities of color need to be clear. This is achieved through keeping our communities intact. Ohio uses this criteria successfully when they are redrawing lines. By keeping our communities, whether people of color, or of religious beliefs such as Jewish and Muslim communities, as well as our rural communities intact, well publicized Public Meetings will draw voters with similar vested interests who can then articulate their needs and hopes. In sum, drawing fair representative District maps assists in guaranteeing that special populations especially People of Color are served best by a fair and democratic process of representation.</p>
08/09/2021 08:52 PM	8288858494 Allen Delzell		<p>Laws and regulations for voting require fairness and representation for all citizens of North Carolina. As elected officials, do what is right for all citizens of our great state. If you vote to enhance only the Republicans, you will bring partisan shame to our state.</p> <p>Allen and Linda Delzell—retired doctor and flight attendant who want to keep NC a democracy. Thank You.</p>
08/09/2021 09:02 PM	Dr Peter Orton		<p>Redistricting must be done by non-partisan means. It is outrageous and undemocratic for those with a majority in the state legislature — whether Republican or Democratic— to be able to design districts such that they are able, simply because of redistricting, to retain their legislative power.</p> <p>Partisan redistricting subverts the very essence of representative democracy.</p>
08/09/2021 09:06 PM	Dr. Caroline Swartz		<p>There are several principles that I believe should guide your work in redistricting.</p> <p>First, a fair balance of major and minor parties and Independent voters is essential to each district. No lines should be drawn to favor one party over another.</p> <p>Second, it is essential that communities be kept together.</p> <p>Third, the work you do should be transparent and public. Drafts of proposals should be made public at pre-determined dates and provisions should be made for collecting and incorporating public feedback.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			Dr. Caroline Swartz
08/09/2021 09:08 PM	Dr. Charles Lefler	private Medical Practice	It is crucial to the survival of our democracy in North Carolina that voters select their representatives not the other way around. We need impartial districting if for no other reason than the political party in power in our legislature will not always be there and then the unfairness of the current legislative" efforts at redistricting" will very likely find themselves receiving payback. Please draw the new districts without political bias.
08/09/2021 09:16 PM	Ms Julia Harrison	retired	Please consider non-partisan districting based on population and diversity - Our elected official need to represent all of us
08/09/2021 09:25 PM	Mrs Rosalynd Storer		I'M ASKING RESPECTFULLY TO ADAPT THE PROVISIONS OF SB673 GOVERNING THE REDISTRICTING MAPS FOR 2021. WE NEED TRANSPARENCY IN OUR ELECTORAL VOTING PROCESS. THIS IS CALLED DEMOCRACY.
08/09/2021 09:39 PM	Mrs. Evelyn Coltman		This letter is written to request that when redistricting is considered, please be fair and equitable about how voting districts are divided. Gerrymandering for political advantage should be illegal. Counties and communities, when possible, should be in the same voting district. I live in Haywood County, yet I am not able to vote for many of the candidates because the other half of the county has a different ballot. Seems like a crazy arrangement, and it is.
08/09/2021 09:45 PM	Richard Tucker	Constituent living in Brevard	I'm not sure exactly why I do not trust the North Carolina legislature to deal fairly with redistricting, but I strongly suspect that it goes beyond keeping one's party in power. It seems obvious that the current efforts in this country are to suppress voting by people who are disadvantaged in some way (including by not being white) and that is NOT the kind of state (or country) of which I am proud to be a member. I am a retired, white, Baptist minister, and the kind of state I want will make voting easy for ALL CITIZENS, and give ALL CITIZENS fair representation in the political process. Please support all provisions of S673 as they pertain to redistricting. If we lose fairness, we lose one of our most sacred values as a state.
08/09/2021 09:47 PM	Margaret T MacCary		Dear Elected Official, I urge you to adopt all of the provisions of SB 673 which govern the redistricting maps for 2021. I do not understand why you have foreshortened the public comment phase of the process. Hearing the voices of the people is essential to our democratic system. As an elected official you have a responsibility to make certain the districting map of North Carolina is a fair one for everyone. Have faith in the people and keep the process transparent and fair! Adopt SB 673.
08/09/2021 09:50 PM	lewyl cockerham		Both major political parties in North Carolina, Democrat and Republican, have a long history of gerrymandering district maps. Just because there is a long history of gerrymandering doesn't make it right. Please adopt all of the provisions of SB 673 governing the redistricting maps for 2021. It is shameful that you have foreshortened the public comment phase. That does not bode well for my certainty that you will develop fair maps. It is your responsibility as elected officials to keep the process transparent and fair. That is how we restore public confidence in the electoral process. That is democracy.
08/09/2021 09:54 PM	Dr James Shaw	Transylvania county physician	Please adopt the provisions of SB 673 governing the 2021 redistricting maps. A foreshortened public comment phase serves only those who would silence the public by diluting their voice. There must be fair maps and proportionate representation. The only transparency here is a transparent attempt to silence the voices you would not hear. Everyone seems to be clamoring for fair elections free of fraud and that begins with making sure everyone's voice is heard. Please restore public confidence in the voting process for all! That is you sacred duty to democracy!
08/09/2021 10:01 PM	Ms Cheryl DeMaio		No political party should be responsible for drawing new districts. A nonpartisan group should draw so the citizens select their representatives.
08/09/2021 10:04 PM	The Rev Bill Livingston		I write to request support for all of the provisions of SB 673 governing the redistricting maps for 2021. The fact that you have so severely limited opportunity for the public comment phase of the redistricting process leaves me to believe you want no public comment. Thus, this also leaves me to question the fairness of the maps being developed. Both nationally and in NC we need to assure everything about elections are honest, fair and respects the right of every

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/09/2021 10:06 PM	Nina Shippen		voter. This should include you, as our elected officials, assuring our redistricting process is transparent and fair and void of any gerrymandering by either party.
08/09/2021 10:08 PM	Dr Lynn Langmeyer		By shortening the public comment period to tomorrow morning I think that you are trying to take away the ability of the citizens of North Carolina to have fair representation, achieved by an open, rational election process and fair, impartial electoral maps. Though I have absolutely no confidence in you, I am nevertheless writing to say that I want you to approve all of the provisions of Senate Bill 673. I want an independent, impartial North Carolina Redistricting Commission to determine voting districts, not a self-interested General Assembly whose members want to stay in power until they find something better to do. This is so typical - the Republicans try to bring down the country with false claims about illegal elections, while perverting the election process to guarantee that they will stay in power.
08/09/2021 10:08 PM	Mr. Hugh Giblin	Ethical Humanist Society	Let's do it fairly. Don't do gerrymandering. Be real politicians not partisans.
08/09/2021 10:13 PM	lauren agrella- sevilla		Please adopt all of the provisions of SB 673 governing the redistricting maps for 2021. Gerrymandering undermines democracy in fatal ways by skewing the vote to favor a political party and/or a racially or economically dominant group. Gerrymandering for these reasons should be illegal. It works against fair and transparent and responsive government. We owe it to every single voter in this state to draw fair district maps.
08/09/2021 10:16 PM	Ms. Laura Wojcik		I am deeply disappointed that you have foreshortened the public comment phase. That does not bode well for my certainty that you will develop fair maps. It is your responsibility as elected officials to keep the process transparent and fair. That is how we restore public confidence in the electoral process. That is democracy. I am writing to ask that you PROVIDE SPECIFICITY to the criteria you plan to use for the 2021 redistricting, as well as RECONSIDER THE PRIORITY & INCLUSION of criteria so as to better ensure a fair and democratic apportionment. As currently written, the parameters associated with criteria are vague (for example, 'reasonable efforts made'). Not only will that lead to a high degree of subjectivity [when precision is required for this type of task], it will also likely cause delays in finalizing the redistricting [which we can ill afford given the delayed release of the 2020 census inputs]. As an analytics professional, I've seen firsthand the danger of adopting a 'garbage-in, garbage-out' approach. If the criteria's specific parameters not clearly articulated and agreed upon in advance, you will be inviting disputes and challenges. I've seen wonderful examples of clearly articulated criteria in other states and encourage you to follow suit (examples: 'incumbency protection' in Ohio, 'communities of interest' in California). FURTHERMORE, the criteria appears to focus on tactical considerations while omitting strategies that provide for a fair electoral process. For example, the following do not appear to be included in the currently proposed criteria: - compliance with the Voting Rights Act - preservation of communities of interest - protecting proportionality and election competitiveness - prohibition of favoring/disfavoring political parties and incumbents (in fact, you indicate you are open to dividing counties in the case of double-bunking. While that may sound like a valid reason for an incumbent who wishes to retain his/her seat, is NOT a valid reason for VOTERS who simply seek to elect someone who will represent their interests). These STRATEGIC considerations should be of the HIGHEST priority, above tactical considerations such as county

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/09/2021 10:19 PM	Ms. Andrea Reimers		<p>groupings or municipal boundaries.</p> <p>THANK YOU for affording me the opportunity to comment, and I hope you will take it to heart. Please continue to allow the citizens of this state to have a say in how our voices will be heard over the next 10 years.</p> <p>Regarding redistricting, I believe the following is important:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -We should keep communities together. -Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party. -The process should involve public input during each step of the process, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature should be responsive to those comments. -All map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed.
08/09/2021 10:28 PM	Mr Arthur Starling		<p>I am completely dissatisfied with how both parties have drawn districts for years, really decades. Lately it's gotten ridiculously worse.</p> <p>Districts should be drawn such that elections yield a representative view of the state to the legislatures (both in the NC legislature and in Congress), not to ensure each party is safe in their respective districts when the general election comes. Both parties are guilty. Both parties need to take responsibility. The time to fix it is now.</p> <p>In the 2020 election, which was not an outlier, the results of the votes were as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - US House: Democrats 2,660,535 (49.96%) vs Republicans 2,631,336 (49.41%) - NC House: Republicans 2,632,672 (49.99%) vs Democrats 2,583,773 (49.06%) - NC Senate: Republicans 2,682,645 (50.78%) vs Democrats 2,530,188 (47.89%) <p>But because of gerrymandered districts intended to protect the parties from having to fight in competitive races, the seats ended up like this:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - US House (NC): Republicans 8 (62%) vs. Democrats 5 (32%) - NC House: Republicans 69 (57.5%) vs. Democrats 51 (42.5%) - NC Senate: Republicans 29 (58%) vs. Democrats 21 (42%) <p>So despite the fact that Democratic candidates got MORE VOTES for the US House seats, Republicans got 8 seats compared to Democrats 5 seats. I don't know of anyone who thinks that the party getting fewer overall votes overall should get an overwhelming majority of representation in the Congress. They shouldn't even get a majority at all. But Republicans in the state legislature have purposefully, carefully, and skillfully drawn maps for the sole purpose of protecting their party's strength. They have perfected the art of choosing their voters rather than empowering their voters to choose the people and ideas that best represent the state. And with a margin of less than one percent of total votes in the NC House and less than three percent in the NC Senate, Republicans drew maps giving themselves a seat margin of 15% in the NC House and 16% in the NC Senate. That is one version of tyranny - ensuring that no matter how hard your opponents try, no matter how good their ideas are, no matter how much turnout they generate on election day, they can never obtain equal representation in the legislature, even when they get more votes overall.</p> <p>The 2020 election was not an anomaly; it was part of an ongoing trend of the last several elections. For several years now, the vote for these three races has been nearly evenly split or even more Democratic votes than Republican, always with either a Republican majority or a Republican super-majority. This is not how it is supposed to work and you all know it. How you can sleep at night is beyond me.</p> <p>You are not about representing North Carolina values, or North Carolina ideas, or North Carolina needs. You are</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>protecting the party's strength while worsening the partisan divide. This is unacceptable.</p> <p>Protection of party strength is an unacceptable goal when drawing districts. It must end. Fair districts are demanded. I demand them. My neighbors across the state demand them. The only people opposed to fair districts are those looking to protect their party's strength because they fear they can't win in a fair election.</p> <p>Draw fair maps. Make districts competitive. Win with ideas, not by choosing your voters.</p> <p>It's time.</p>
08/09/2021 10:29 PM	David Sloan		Fair elections are the most fundamental aspect of a democracy. I urge you to place that value above politics.
08/09/2021 10:30 PM	Ms. Susan Green	Private citizen	The most important criterion for redistricting is that districts must be drawn in a way that does not advantage either political party. The process should be public and should allow public input that the legislature will be open to incorporating. Making sure the process and the outcome appear fair to all is necessary to ensure faith in our political system.
08/09/2021 10:36 PM	Ms Jane Taylor	individual	<p>I'm concerned about redistricting because my current district, NC09, is highly distorted. It goes from nearly uptown Charlotte to the suburbs, then south, then east though mostly farm areas, through Lumbee Indian land, ending near Fayetteville and southern farmland. !!! Where is the center? What is the community? It seems like a perfect example of gerrymandering. What I suggest....</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1). Different communities have different needs. Communities of Interest should be given a high priority to get maps that truly represent our state. 2) To do this, the General Assembly should use a proportionality criteria similar to what is done in Ohio.
08/09/2021 10:50 PM	Mrs Suzanne Barrett	citizen of NC	I urge you to adopt all of the provisions of SB 673 governing the redistricting maps for 2021. The provisions related to a wide variety of people being appointed to the commission - not just representatives of the two major parties - are very important. Its members should be trying to insure that all citizen's votes count rather than districts serving partisan ends. It is disturbing that you have foreshortened the public comment phase for criteria setting up electoral districts. It is important for democracy that the process be transparent and fair with adequate time for citizens to have input. Democracy depends on free and fair elections with districts being contiguous, compact, and including the best input from a variety of citizens.
08/09/2021 10:51 PM	Lucille Howard		<p>I appeal to you to use a process to draw districts for Congress, State House and State Senate that is fair, transparent, timely and inclusive.</p> <p>Too many NC citizens for years have cast votes in districts that are totally unfair due to unequal population, unreasonable associations and ludicrous configurations. Please remedy these shortcomings in districts drawn from the 2020 census. One morning to hear citizens speak and a two-day window for writing public comments hardly meets basic principles of devising redistricting criteria. It's past time to have FAIR DISTRICTS in North Carolina.</p>
08/09/2021 10:52 PM	Mr Steven Hall	US citizen	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Top priority for redistricting, must be to reflect a good faith attempt to equalize the voting "voice" of all citizens. 2. The process should encourage meaningful public input and be live streamed in all phases of the process. 3. The bottom line objective should be to rekindle the public's faith in the viability of our democratic system of governance.
08/09/2021 10:56 PM	Mr. Bob Rymer	None	As a North Carolinian and resident of Buncombe county I wish to express my great concerns regarding the redistricting that is being developed in North Carolina. In addition to the proposed criteria that the Joint House and Senate Committee developed thus far I am urging that you keep communities together, communities that have similar sets of interests and concerns, same media options.I also ask that the districts not be drawn in such a way as to cause one political party to have unfair advantage. Thank you for your work to draw fair maps for all North Carolinians.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/09/2021 11:00 PM	Mrs Kathy Stilwell		As you are well aware, fair redistricting is fundamental to our representative democracy, and a transparent process is crucial to upholding public confidence in our system of government. But for this process to be truly fair and transparent, it must be inclusive such that all community members have a genuine opportunity to view this process and be heard, regardless of race, ability, or socioeconomic status. An accessible process is particularly crucial for historically-disenfranchised communities, such as our state's communities of color, which have been the targets of recent gerrymanders. A truly participatory and transparent redistricting process will contribute to better maps and engage citizens and improve public trust and confidence in our democracy.
08/09/2021 11:10 PM	Dr Carter Heyward	NAACP & Episcopal Church	Non partisan redistricting will go far in bringing us together as neighbors and friends in NC. Please, in the name of all that is good and right, lay aside partisanship and be guided by basic fairness, decency , common sense, and wisdom.
08/09/2021 11:11 PM	Dr. John Edward Barrett		Please adopt all of the provisions of SB 673 governing the redistricting maps for 2021. It is important for our Democracy that this process be transparent and non partisan. I am sorry you have shortened the public comment time. By adopting the guidelines in SB 673, the drawing of district maps will be more fair to all voters. Compact, contiguous districts that are closely aligned with city and county units will represent the variety of of people who live in an area better representing NC than our current district maps. Stand up for Democracy.
08/09/2021 11:24 PM	Betsy Alexander		Redistricting should reflect our communities without regard for race, class, gender, religion or political affiliation
08/09/2021 11:25 PM	Gordon and Martha McKinney	None	<p>We appreciate the opportunity to comment on the NC General Assembly's criteria and process for drawing new congressional and state legislative district boundaries. Given North Carolina's long history of litigation over redistricting and recent national controversies about election fairness, it is essential that 2021 redistricting be conducted fairly and openly with ample opportunity for public review and comment.</p> <p>We urge you to adopt redistricting criteria that ensure racial and partisan fairness. See for example the Brennan Center for Justice's report – Creating Strong Rules for Drawing Maps. https://www.scribd.com/document/405069730/Creating-Strong-Rules-for-Drawing-Maps#download&from_embed</p> <p>Criteria also should be established to ensure that any data sources used to supplement federal decennial census data are accurate, unbiased, and timely. These criteria should prohibit the use of prior election results, party registration data, or other voter preference information to generate the district maps.</p> <p>The League of Women Voters and ten other nonpartisan organizations have proposed specific guidelines for making the redistricting process transparent and inclusive. We hope you will give serious consideration to their recommendations.</p>
08/09/2021 11:32 PM	Ms Jane Yokoyama		<p>I am a resident in Western North Carolina who cares deeply about fair maps and the voting process. I have gone to Raleigh to express my views about redistricting. I have driven two hours to attend the closest public hearing near my residence to hear public testimony about redistricting. I also volunteer for the local board of elections to help those who were unable to travel to polling locations due to COVID restrictions.</p> <p>Thank you for the opportunity to express my views on the current criteria proposed by the committee chairs. I support county groupings. I was happy that maps redrawn for the 2020 election put all of Buncombe County in the same district.</p> <p>I support "Community Consideration" that explicitly excludes political considerations. What is meant by "Community Consideration" should be defined. The "Communities" should be provided fair, timely, and easily accessible opportunities for public comment. This includes a state government website for all redistricting information. Public comments should also be allowed before initial maps are drawn. To ensure transparency, the General Assembly should disclose all criteria and data used in drawing maps. They should also disclose all third parties involved in the redistricting (e.g. consultants, attorneys).</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			North Carolinians are counting on you to draw maps that are fair, inclusive and transparent, with genuine community input.
08/09/2021 11:38 PM	Mr Loy Coffee	retired	A true democracy should insure that no favoritism to any individual or organization is applied where redistricting is concerned.
08/10/2021 12:15 AM	Dr. Cheryl Stallings		Racial and partisan gerrymandering needs to stop in North Carolina. These discriminatory practices have gone on for far too long. It's time for an independent redistricting commission. ...starting right now.
08/10/2021 12:33 AM	Mr. Christopher Berg	Henderson County NAACP Branch #5477	<p>I request the Redistricting and Elections Committees propose to require the creation of an Advisory Commission. I recommend the Advisory Commission members be selected after the model specified by SB 673 (2019-2020), for a North Carolina Citizens Redistricting Commission. I recommend this Advisory Commission be empowered to host twenty sessions for citizen comments. I recommend said Commission be supported by a stipend of \$1,200 each month that the Advisory Commission meets as well as travel and subsistence. The Advisory Commission should take as its initial objectives for redistricting criteria the following, to be supplemented by its conclusions as to relevant public comment:</p> <p>(1) legislative districts must comply with Sections 3 and 5 of Article II of the North Carolina Constitution; (2) Congressional districts must minimize the number of split counties, municipalities, and other communities of interest (precincts must not be split except as necessary to comply with federal law and districts must be contiguous); (3) the ideal population for a district is the number of members in a plan divided by the population of the State as reported by the decennial census (the population for a legislative district must be within 5% of the ideal population for that district, which congressional districts must each have a population that is as nearly equal as practicable to the ideal population, but in all cases within 0.1% of the ideal population for that district; (4) legislative and congressional districts must comply with the following: State and federal law; districts must be composed of convenient contiguous territory; areas which meet only at the points of adjoining corners are not contiguous; to the extent practicable, districts must be compact and districts should not bypass nearby communities for more distant communities; and the Commission must not consider electoral results, political considerations, or incumbency in the preparation of districts. I urge the Redistricting Committees to recommend repeal of GS 120-133 concerning the confidentiality of redistricting communications.</p>
08/10/2021 12:33 AM	Dr. and Mrs. Thomas and Winnie Leiendecker		<p>We feel that it is very important for this year's redistricting to be done in a way that is fair, inclusive, and transparent. To assure fairness, districts should never be made to favor a political party. To be inclusive, the redistricting process should allow the public to participate and share ideas, both at in person public meetings and through online comments that can be viewed publicly. It is important for these ideas to be heard and acknowledged. To assure transparency, the redistricting meetings, including map drawing and revisions, should be done in the public view and live-streamed. We live in Guilford County. We feel strongly that we want our county and state to be known in our country for working diligently to assure that the districts have been drawn in a way that is fair, inclusive, and transparent.</p>
08/10/2021 01:04 AM	Reverend Jacqueline Jenkins	NAACP - Transylvania County Branch	<p>I am sending this almost at the last minute...because you have drastically reduced the period for public comments in reference to the redistricting process. That singular action makes it clear that this is not going to be a fair and transparent on the part of the present elected officials. How can you claim to be carrying out your responsibilities to draw fair, equalitarian maps that take into consideration the interests and welfare of whole communities? I fear that Gerrymandering will, again, be the "name of the game" in this process. Not a fair, democratic process but a commitment to gerrymandering that will reflect the power interests of those elected to the task. I insist that you, each of you, are responsible for restoring the public's confidence in government. You can do this by adopting all the provisions of SB 673 that govern the redistricting process. Don't make your constituents ashamed of you.</p>
08/10/2021 01:13 AM	Lynn Archbold		Redistributing needs to be done by a non-partisan group following formulas that are fair and non biased. No More Gerrymandering!
08/10/2021 01:15 AM	Mrs. Gina Collias	Attorney	As a taxpayer and citizen of North Carolina for most of my entire life, I demand that the North Carolina Legislature appoint an Independent Redistricting Commission equally consisting of at least three Independents, three Republicans,

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/10/2021 01:47 AM	Dr. Kevin Bissonnette		<p>and three Democrats to recommend the drawing of our voting maps. Please put the best interests of the people of North Carolina above your party politics, and the only fair way to draw these maps without bias is with an Independent Redistricting Commission. To do otherwise is to continue the unequal and underhanded power grab that is a slight of hand. It is time to end the obvious intra-party favors, the cracking, and packing. Be better.</p> <p>Fair redistricting allows for a representative vote from all areas.....a foundation on which our is built.</p>
08/10/2021 05:05 AM	Mr. Dennis Desmond		<p>Dear Sirs:</p> <p>As the North Carolina General Assembly prepares to redistrict our state’s Congressional, State House, and State Senate districts, I write to convey the need for a fair, transparent, timely, and inclusive process in which all North Carolinians have a reasonable opportunity to participate.</p> <p>Fair redistricting is fundamental to our representative democracy, and a transparent process is crucial to upholding public confidence in our system of government. But for this process to be truly fair and transparent, it must be inclusive such that all community members have a genuine opportunity to view this process and be heard. A truly participatory and transparent redistricting process will contribute to better maps and engage citizens and improve public trust and confidence in our democracy. Gerrymandering must end.</p> <p>Accordingly, I fully support the requirements and guidelines for an inclusive redistricting process that have been proposed by the League of Women Voters of North Carolina and other groups in their letter of August 2, 2021 . In summary, these include:</p> <p>A. Implement a Redistricting Timeline That Allows Informed and Impactful Public Comment</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Start the Redistricting Process Immediately After the August 16 Census Data Release. North Carolina should begin the redistricting process by utilizing the Legacy Format Summary File of P.L. 94-171 data available on August 16. 2. Release Processed Block Data for Community Use by August 21. 3. Disclose Initial Draft Maps by September 15. 4. Submit Final Proposed Maps to the General Assembly by October 6. <p>B. Provide Genuine and Meaningful Opportunities for Public Comment These opportunities must be genuine such that public comment is utilized in the map-drawing process.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide a Simple State Government Website For All Redistricting Information. This website should include all redistricting information in one location, including meeting notices and livestream links, draft maps and related data and information, and a public comment portal like that required by other states. 2. Allow Public Comment Before Initial Draft Maps Are Drawn. This earlier input will ensure community input and concerns can be taken into consideration in drawing draft maps. 3. Allow Public Access and Comment on Draft and Revised Maps. The General Assembly should release draft maps online for public comment, and should provide the public adequate

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/10/2021 05:26 AM	Eda Edney		<p>time to review the draft maps and submit public comment before draft maps are revised.</p> <p>4. Permit Written and Oral Public Comment. The General Assembly should provide procedures for receiving written input via a public comment portal, email, and USPS in addition to that conveyed orally during live hearings.</p> <p>5. Hold Accessible Public Hearings Throughout the State. North Carolina should increase the number of hearing sites from the seven utilized in 2018 to thirteen, accounting for each of the current Congressional districts.</p> <p>6. Provide Two Weeks' Notice of Public Hearings. Hearings should not be scheduled during or near public holidays, such as the Labor Day holiday.</p> <p>C. Ensure Transparency throughout the Redistricting Process</p> <p>1. Disclose All Criteria, Systems, and Data Used In Drawing Maps. Any criteria, system, or data used to develop draft maps should be publicly disclosed online before it is applied in drawing or revising any maps.</p> <p>2. Perform All Map Drawing and Revising in Public View. An explanation made available to the public should accompany any changes or revisions to draft maps, and all conversations or commentary by those engaged in the map-drawing process during any revisions should be audible to public observers. Maps should not be removed from the meeting room during the drawing or revising process except for secure storage. Final maps should be accompanied by a written justification for the districts chosen.</p> <p>3. Ensure Quality Video and Audio Broadcast in Public Meetings. All video related to map-drawing provided for public consumption should be timestamped and of a quality such that the public can view all relevant details of the proposed maps. Hearing notices should include a contact phone number for those observing the process to report technical issues. Should technical issues arise that prevent public observation, map drawing or revising should halt until those issues are resolved.</p> <p>4. Disclose All Third Parties Engaged in Redistricting. The General Assembly should immediately disclose all consultants, attorneys, or other third parties who will be participating in the redistricting process, to the extent already known, and going forward disclose additional participants within 24 hours of engagement. Participants should be introduced during public meetings if they are substantively involved in the process. This disclosure will further public confidence by ensuring that there are no conflicts of interest and that the key players are known to the public.</p> <p>The districts that will be drawn this year will shape North Carolinians' lives and communities for the next decade. Do it right. Ensure a fair, transparent, timely, and inclusive process in which all North Carolinians have a reasonable opportunity to participate.</p> <p>Since I am a member of the NC public, please email me an explanation, why do I only have until 8:30 tomorrow morning to submit comments, when SB 673 says "the Commission shall make resources available ... to understand the process and submit comments"? Why am I permitted such a short time to comment, before your process has even begun? (SB 673 also says, the Commission shall "do all it can to facilitate the ability of the public to provide substantive comments on any proposed plan".) How can I submit comments after tomorrow morning? Please email</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/10/2021 05:27 AM	Carol Wilson	Self	<p>me answers to these questions. dedaedney@gmail.com</p> <p>Redistricting Committee, With prayer and supplication I request that the General Assembly adopt a fair, transparent and inclusive redistricting process with a reasonable timeline allowing all North Carolinians to have an opportunity to participate, specifically:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Immediately after the August 16 data release, start the redistricting process. 2. By August 21, release processed block data for community use. 3. By September 15, disclose initial draft maps. 4. By October 6, submit final proposed maps to the General Assembly. 5. Provide a simple state government website for all redistricting information. 6. Allow public comment before initial draft maps are drawn. 7. Allow public access and comment on draft and revised maps. 8. Permit written and oral public comment. 9. Hold accessible public hearings throughout the state. 10. Provide two weeks-notice of public hearings. 11. Disclose all criteria systems and data used in drawing maps. 12. Perform all map drawing and revising in public view. 13. Ensure quality video and audio broadcast in public meetings. 14. Disclose all third parties engaged in redistricting. <p>Such a redistricting process will contribute to better maps, engage all NC citizens, and improve public trust in our democracy.</p>
08/10/2021 05:45 AM	Joanna Bliss		<p>Please adopt all of the provisions of SB 673 governing the redistricting maps for 2021. I am concerned that you have foreshortened the public comment phase. That does not bode well for my certainty that you will develop fair maps. It is your responsibility as elected officials to keep the process transparent and fair. That is how we restore public confidence in the electoral process. That is democracy.</p>
08/10/2021 06:12 AM	Ms Sally Stoehr	Sally Stoehr	<p>Partisan Gerrymandering must end. State congressional districts must be redrawn by a non-partisan group so that each person's vote contributes to the fair selection of representatives within the state that truly reflect the will of the people. Current maps do not accomplish this. Instead, a super-majority of one party controls the state legislature, even though votes for representatives often favored another party. The NC constitution proclaims One person, One Vote. Gerrymandering is unconstitutional.</p>
08/10/2021 06:18 AM	Ms BRIDGET J DUNFORD		<p>Be ethical in your redistricting of voter maps. Each person in NC, that is capable of voting, needs their vote to count as equal as everyone else. Please do not gerrymandering districts. Please do not limit our voting ability and Rights.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/10/2021 06:24 AM	Ms. Keisha Dobie	NC Crowd Academy	<p>I am concerned that the proposed criteria restrict some of the protections that the VRA considered. Further research of other states reveal that other criteria should be utilized when redistricting communities. I am a proponent of these other criteria especially in the Congressional and Senate districts where we have a 10-4 standing of Republican representatives. That does not even attempt to balance the representation and presents the Republicans of having a 2 to 1 majority. That is evidence of protecting interests and not looking out for the well-being of the citizens of North Carolina.</p> <p>I propose that additional criteria be considered beyond what has been proposed. For example, the preservation of communities of interest. This might be implied in maintaining municipal boundaries and VTDs or county groupings, but does not say specifically. I would like to see the criteria of prohibition on favoring or disfavoring an incumbent or candidate as a part of the redistricting process. In a state with changing demographics, our current Congressional representatives do not reflect the diversity of gender, ethnicity, race, age, or culture. Is that how we want people to view the state of North Carolina, as one that still regards a specific "standard image" of power and control. The greatest concern after reviewing the 2010 maps is to place a redistricting rule on gerrymandering. Explicitly say in the criteria, "no partisan gerrymandering or favoring/disfavoring a political party". Don't be vague and respond as Chairman Daniels stated several times yesterday with "as necessary". Provide a transparent process and say what you will or will not permit. These maps have to govern the state until the year 2031. Hopefully we will all be alive to take part in the next redistricting process, but life is unpredictable. Do the right thing when you have the opportunity to do so.</p> <p>Finally, your timelines are frightening and appear to be disingenuous. You have only provided a 24-hour space for public comment on the rules of redistricting. Only permitting 30 people to speak in-person for two minutes is not a fair process. You do not take into consideration those state citizens that live outside of Raleigh/Wake counties, those who work, those who are marginalized, those without technology (to provide online comments), those without transportation, etc. Basically, those "without" are unable to share and offer input into the process. Just witnessing your process here brings me great concern about what you will do to show the public proposed maps in enough time before they are finalized.</p> <p>Do the right thing, include additional criteria to ensure a fair process to drawing maps and lead with transparency, honesty, and clarity. This is the least of what you owe the great citizens of North Carolina.</p>
08/10/2021 06:36 AM	Dr. Kristine Stiles	Duke University	WE DEMAND FAIRNESS AND EQUALITY. EVERYONE KNOWS VERY WELL THAT REDISTRICTING IS A METHOD TO REWRITE DISTRICTS TO DISADVANTAGE AFRICAN AMERICANS. THIS PLAN IS TRANSPARENTLY RACIST.
08/10/2021 06:56 AM	Lois Ballen		As a local citizen in Durham, I demand that we have transparency & fairness in districting. Every vote should count and they should count equally. You can consult nationally know experts like mathematician Johnathan Mattingly at Duke to learn how to draw districts that are fair to all citizens.
08/10/2021 07:15 AM	Robert Woolf		The Assembly should create a nonpartisan redistricting committee to remove politics from designing districts. Failing to do so would show which representatives want to politicize redistricting.
08/10/2021 07:15 AM	Mrs Laura Rain Tree	Unaffiliated registered voter from Transylvania County, NC	I am urgently calling on the Redistricting Committee to hold accessible, in-person hearings in every district in North Carolina, especially in rural and often underserved areas, to make sure that citizens throughout the state have a chance to make their voices heard.
08/10/2021 07:24 AM	Amy Parker		Please adopt all of the provisions of SB 673 governing the redistricting maps for 2021. It says "the Commission shall make resources available...to understand the process and submit comments" and the Commission shall "do what it can to facilitate the ability of the public to provide substantive comments on any proposed plan". Why am I permitted such a short time to comment , when the process hasn't even begun? It is shameful that you have foreshortened the public comment phase. This gives me doubts that you will develop fair maps. It is your responsibility as elected

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			officials to keep the process transparent and fair. That is how we restore public confidence in the electoral process. That is democracy.
08/10/2021 07:42 AM	Mr John Boylston		Please assure that redistricting will proceed in a fair and public manner.
08/10/2021 07:44 AM	Tami Clayton		I am a resident of North Carolina and I want it's citizens to represented fairly. North Carolina has a terrible track record of gerrymandering and that is not fair to the voters. Voters are supposed to choose their representatives, not the other way around.
08/10/2021 07:56 AM	Tracey Powell		As the redistricting process gets underway, I want to express my concern as a citizen of Wake County and a resident of North Carolina for more than 50 years. It is crucial that North Carolina enact a fair and transparent redistricting process. I want to live in a state that fairly elects our representatives rather than living in a state that gerrymanders the districts so that the representatives choose who they want to represent. It is political corruption at its worst, and North Carolina needs to lead the way by forming a truly transparent process with all voices being heard equally. Without a fair and transparent process we ceases to be a representative democracy and we will lose public confidence in our system of government. It is imperative that ALL community members have a genuine opportunity not only to view this process but to be heard. All North Carolinians must be welcome to be a part of this process without regard to race, ability, socioeconomic status, religion, sexual orientation, political party, or any other construct that has been used to put people into groups. Thank you for the opportunity to express my opinion.
08/10/2021 07:59 AM	Ms. Tracy Harris	Concerned Citizen	With Ft Bragg being one of the largest military installations in the Country, please explain why this region of the state does not have its own congressional seat?
08/10/2021 08:05 AM	Laura Carter		The criteria for redistricting in NC should be set up with a timeline and protocols that allow for meaningful public comment, is transparent and accessible for all communities to view and hear the process, including the disclosure of all criteria, systems and data being used in drawing maps, as well as third parties involved. It is vital to building back the trust in our democracy that these maps be drawn with no advantage to a political party or individual. Therefore political data should not be used in the process.
08/10/2021 08:06 AM	Elizabeth Oliver		I want our new maps to be drawn by an impartial 3rd party and for the process to be completely transparent. This is the ONLY fair way for it to be implemented.
08/10/2021 08:06 AM	Mrs Erin Petmezas		North Carolina is 41% Republican, 17% Unaffiliated and 43% Democrat. Then why are there so many more Republican politicians representing North Carolinians? Democrats tend to live in more densely populated cities and Republicans spread out more in rural areas. As a result of this and gerrymandering, Republicans have very disproportionate power in NC. Land doesn't vote. People vote. The districts and representatives should reflect the overall composition of voters in NC. North Carolinians deserve fair maps and representation!
08/10/2021 08:06 AM	Elaine Jacobs		<p>Dear Legislators,</p> <p>I am writing to request the North Carolina General Assembly embark on redistricting by implementing a fair, transparent, timely, and inclusive process in which all North Carolinians have a reasonable opportunity to participate.</p> <p>A participatory and transparent redistricting process will ensure fair maps are drawn as well as citizens, and improve public trust and confidence in our democracy. I ask that you incorporate the following into the process:</p> <p>1) Create a fair and informed timeline that initiates the process as soon as census data is released currently expected to be around August 16. Make this data available to the public within 5 days of its release. Incorporate public comment before drafting maps and release draft maps by September 15. Incorporate further public comment into final maps and</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>release within 21 days of the draft maps or around the first week in October. Then the general assembly should vote on final maps within 10 days so that enacted maps are in place by the middle of October. This timeline is needed to ensure that all candidates are familiar with the new districts before the December filing deadline.</p> <p>2) Provide opportunities for written and oral public comment via a state website. The website should contain all of the information in a clear and easy to read format. Comments should be encouraged at every step of the process. In addition, public meetings should be held throughout the state to allow the public to be educated and provide input. These meetings must be advertised at least 2 weeks prior. I would suggest sending an email or letter to every registered voter in the state.</p> <p>3) The General Assembly must ensure transparency by providing all data to the public, making sure the public has audio and video access to all redistricting meetings, only allowing redrawing of maps at public hearings, and disclosing the involvement of all third parties. Final maps should be required to have a written justification for the districts chosen.</p> <p>Thank you for the opportunity to comment and I look forward to engaging in the process.</p> <p>Sincerely, Elaine P Jacobs</p>
08/10/2021 08:09 AM	Kimberly Dunbar		<p>I urge you to adopt all of the provisions of SB 673 governing the redistricting maps for 2021. I am deeply concerned about the short public comment phase for this bill, and I'm worried that fair maps won't be developed. As elected officials, you must keep the process transparent and fair so that public confidence in the electoral process can be restored. We say we are a democracy, and we must act like it. Thank you.</p>
08/10/2021 08:17 AM	Desmond Duncker		<p>Please ensure that fair maps are created so the true will of the people is reflected at elections. noone's vote should be negated by a partisan redrawing of maps to favor one party or another. But communities should be kept together and their votes should reflect the will of the community. Please approve all provisions of SB 673 to ensure a fair and open redistricting of any maps. Thank You.</p>
08/10/2021 08:18 AM	Dr. Elizabeth Sbrocco		<p>As a North Carolina resident and data scientist, I am asking that legislators prioritize traditional redistricting criteria over the unpairing of double-bunked incumbents. In 2019, legislators chose to prioritize Congressional candidates over the needs of the people when redrawing NC8 and NC9. The most compact way to divide these districts would have been an east/west split, creating one district close to the Charlotte metro area, and one district close to the Fayetteville metro area. Instead, legislators drew a north/south division in order to avoid double-bunking incumbents. This resulted in districts that were *half* as compact as they could have been based on Reock scores. In fact, in an analysis where I drew nearly 1000 statistically random district plans for NC8 and NC9, I found that all possible plans that protected these incumbents from a double-bunking had lower Reock scores than any plan that did not protect the incumbents. This analysis is pinned to the top of my Twitter page if you would like to learn more (@ejsbrocco).</p> <p>Drawing districts that are substantially less compact and split communities in ways that don't make sense in order to accommodate politicians deprives the people of the fair maps that they deserve. District residents deserve to be in compact districts that preserve communities and to have representatives who are part of that community. Drawing substantially less compact districts than necessary is especially egregious in Congressional maps because candidates are not legally required to live in the district they represent.</p> <p>I ask the committee to please write rules that specifically spell out the extent to which traditional redistricting criteria (especially compactness) can be bent in order to unpair double-bunked incumbents. If you can eyeball a substantially more compact district than the one you've drawn to protect incumbents, then you have done a disservice to the people</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/10/2021 08:25 AM	Lydia Kwee		<p>of your state.</p> <p>I would like to request that the standards around considering member residence and double bunking be explicitly spelled out in the redistricting criteria. We saw in the last round that considering incumbency led to some strenuously non-compact districts (most notably 8 and 9). Without clear criteria prioritizing compact maps and intact counties over incumbency protection, it seems likely that this pattern will be perpetuated. Considering member residences, and trying to prevent double-bunking, will by definition lead to maps that are relatively similar to current maps. I believe our goal should be fair maps for all of NC, and while I feel for representatives that might be put in a tough electoral position, I'm much more concerned about fair representation for all North Carolinians. Spelling out the criteria explicitly will either make the goal clear, or hold our maps to a more democratic standard. Thank you.</p>
08/10/2021 08:26 AM	Fred Stevens		<p>Please help make America a multi racial democracy as was envisioned by its founders.</p>
08/10/2021 08:29 AM	Kurtis Konrad		<p>I applaud the committee's commitment to redistricting without the overt use of racial or partisan data. However, I fear that this statement does not go far enough to protect against racial or partisan gerrymandering. In econometrics, we often discuss a statistical method known as the method of instrumental variables. The essence of this methodology is that instead of using our data of interest (ie race), we use data that we know is correlated with it to achieve the same results.</p> <p>Therefore, I believe an additional criterion should be added to the proposed list. Namely, socio-economic data should not be used when constructing or considering the district plans. This criterion would help in the construction of fair districts and provide further protection against any claims of racial or partisan gerrymandering.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration, and again, I applaud the committee's efforts toward fair and sensible redistricting. We are most certainly moving in the right direction!</p>
08/10/2021 08:38 AM	Dr. Barbara Guise		<p>I believe redistricting should be done by an independent panel in order to make districts drawn fairly and with sense, not political agendas. The gerrymandering has got to stop. It's not good for our state or our country.</p>
08/10/2021 08:39 AM	Linda Archer		<p>It is most important that the criteria for drawing our new maps not be to the benefit of one party or the other. The first criteria should be to keep communities, whether a college or university, city or county intact whenever possible. The whole process should be open to the public and have a goal of getting new districts out in a timely manner. Millions of taxpayer dollars have been spent in court cases over the last 20 years. Fair maps will allow the us to use that money for better things.</p>
08/10/2021 08:39 AM	Jon Legakes	Inter Faith Council	<p>Keep communities whole, NO crazy districts favoring one party , must have public input before final drawing , do all in public view not like sneaky rats</p>
08/10/2021 08:40 AM	Dayton VanHouten		<p>In light of North Carolina's troubled redistricting history, the redistricting process must be transparent and certified fair by an independent expert review.</p>
08/10/2021 08:41 AM	Debbie Moose		<p>A fair and transparent redistricting process is fundamental to our democracy. You should offer ample opportunity for informed and meaningful public comment and not just pay lip service to the idea. Allow public comment before maps are drawn and release draft maps with plenty of time for public comment. Schedule comment opportunities so that those who work during the day, may have transportation issues or physical impairments are included in the process. Also, increase the number of statewide hearing sites from 7 to 13, and provide at least 2 weeks' notice of all hearings. Draw the maps with full transparency: what criteria are being used, how the data is being used, any third-parties involved, etc. And NO behind-doors drawing or covering computer screens so those viewing online can't see what you're doing.</p>
08/10/2021 08:43 AM	Ms Cathy Cole		<p>Fair maps are vital to our democracy and I wish the following comments to be taken into account.</p> <p>Fair maps keepcommunities together</p> <p>Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party</p> <p>The process should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/10/2021 08:55 AM	Dr BRIAN JACOBS	self	public comments, and the legislature should be responsive to those comments And all map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed
08/10/2021 09:11 AM	Mark Uebel	self	As you work through the process of redistrict mapping for the state of North Carolina, I would like to be kept in the loop at key decision points and provided ample opportunity to review proposed criteria for mapping and draft district boundaries. The redistricting and election committees should make every effort to make this an inclusive process through timely and transparent communication to the general public and ideally establish a website where interested residents can sign up to receive updates on the process and submit comments.
08/10/2021 09:18 AM	Mrs Jean Alsup	League of Women Voters of the Piedmont Triad	I hope the the upcoming redistricting efforts will seek to establish an electorate based on the actual voting population, rather than establish a partisan gerrymandered representation. If one party or the other does a poor job, the people need to decide the adjustments that need to be made, not the incumbents. As such, any criteria similar to the 2016 Contingent Congressional Plan stated objective of "Partisan Advantage" need to be abolished. This anti-democratic criteria is actually very sad and disheartening to read. It states "The partisan makeup of the congressional delegation under the enacted plan is 10 Republicans and 3 democrats. The Committee shall make reasonable efforts to construct districts in the 2016 Contingent Congressional Plan to maintain the current partisan makeup of North Carolina's congressional delegation." Such criteria are not democracy in action. They are the exact opposite. Any outcome that does not reflect the proportional will of the people is in fact non-democratic. Furthermore, such vague and unmeasurable criteria such as the currently proposed "Member residence may be considered in the formation of legislative and congressional districts" should not be included, as they are again a partisan and incumbent consideration. In summary, the goal of any elected official is to serve the people, not to protect their incumbency by any means necessary; only by doing the former should they advocate to be re-elected. I request that the General Assembly adopt a fair, transparent and inclusive redistricting process with a reasonable timeline allowing all North Carolinians to have an opportunity to participate, specifically: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Immediately after the August 16 data release, start the redistricting process. 2. By August 21, release processed block data for community use. 3. By September 15, disclose initial draft maps. 4. By October 6, submit final proposed maps to the General Assembly. 5. Provide a simple state government website for all redistricting information. 6. Allow public comment before initial draft maps are drawn. 7. Allow public access and comment on draft and revised maps. 8. Permit written and oral public comment. 9. Hold accessible public hearings throughout the state. 10. Provide two weeks-notice of public hearings. 11. Disclose all criteria systems and data used in drawing maps. 12. Perform all map drawing and revising in public view. 13. Ensure quality video and audio broadcast in public meetings. 14. Disclose all third parties engaged in redistricting. Such a redistricting process will contribute to better maps, engage all NC citizens, and improve public trust in our democracy.
08/10/2021 09:20 AM	Katherine Neale	self	As the NC Legislature begins the process of redrawing districts, criteria should be about fairness and community, not politics. Communities should be kept together. Districts should not be drawn to favor one political party over another. I expect that the NC Legislature will have full transparency around the re-drawing of districts, including hearings involving the public, taking into account public comment and all map drawing taking place in public and live streamed. Due to COVID, I am aware that it may be difficult for citizens to attend in person; I do hope that the Legislature will make certain that the public is involved. Gerrymandering can bite either party in a sensitive area. To avoid such pain, just do the right thing.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/10/2021 09:21 AM	Ken Parker	none	The Republicans rightly criticized past Democratic gerrymandering. If it was wrong for the Democrats to do it, will the Republicans continue a practice they believe is wrong?
08/10/2021 09:24 AM	Matthew Kitchen	- Select -	Please adopt all of the provisions of SB 673 governing the redistricting maps for 2021. It is shameful that you have foreshortened the public comment phase. That does not bode well for my certainty that you will develop fair maps. It is your responsibility as elected officials to keep the process transparent and fair. That is how we restore public confidence in the electoral process. That is democracy.
08/10/2021 09:26 AM	Ms Susan Sunflower	Individual 80 year-old US citizen, united with others to ensure fair and equitable 1 person-1 vote for all US Americans of voting age	<p>Dear Committee Membes:</p> <p>I urgently ask you to take a non-partisan, inclusive position on the CRITERIA for Redistricting NC to accommodate another US House District. This will influence our NC government, a well. We are privileged to have thoughtful people of many persuasions here, and we must honor them all. These issues are of national concern - please take advice from other states working to expand our voter roles and accessibility to the ballot box, such as Ohio.</p> <p>Necessary Criteria:</p> <p>Proportional Representation, so House and Senate need to discuss and govern</p> <p>Compact districts, no jiggles to include current Senate nor House incumbents nor partisan groupings</p> <p>Community consideration and intent</p> <p>In NC, the best guidance so far is in SB 673. Please follow these guidelines to ensure a better NC.</p> <p>Thank you, Susan Sunflower</p>
08/10/2021 09:28 AM	Stephen Fox		<p>My name is Stephen Fox and I'm a resident of Wake County. Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on the process and criteria for redistricting.</p> <p>The redistricting process should be transparent. All participants in the process should be identified, including consultants and contractors. Any software (algorithms, programs, products) used in the map generation process should be disclosed. All information sources and input parameters used in the map generation process should be clearly documented and disclosed. Audio and video documentation of the proceedings should be made available to the public in real-time. The redistricting schedule should include ample time for public review, comment and revision before the maps are finalized.</p> <p>The redistricting criteria should require districts that are contiguous and have similar shape and population size. Political, racial, and economic demographic data should not be used as input to the map generation process. Government subdivisions (counties, municipalities, etc.) should be kept whole within a district where possible. The map generation process should not advantage incumbent members or any political party in any way.</p>
08/10/2021 09:34 AM	Renee Sekel		<p>Good morning,</p> <p>I am writing to urge this committee to set redistricting guidelines that give all NV voters a meaningful chance for their vote to count.</p> <p>That means you should eliminate any consideration of where incumbents live in making new maps. No legislator deserves a safe seat carved out for them; each one should have to earn the voters' trust in every election.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/10/2021 09:37 AM	Homer Ray	Private citizen	<p>And above all, the redistricting process should actively strive to be non-partisan. It's not enough to pretend y'all don't know exactly how to "pack and crack" districts. You should be able to prove that the districts you draw have no more partisan advantage than would be expected due to the natural distribution of voters.</p>
08/10/2021 09:38 AM	Steven Laning	Private citizen	<p>I believe the State of North Carolina should have a nonpartisan board that draws the district maps instead of the political party that happens to be in power at the time. Gerrymandering has been done by both parties for years in our State and in all instances dilutes the power of voters to express their will and hold political leaders accountable at the ballot box.</p> <p>For instance what do the citizens of the 9th Congressional district (including Robeson and Bladen Counties) have in common with the citizens of Mecklenburg County, other than residing in N.C.? Gerrymandering!</p> <p>"The district's current boundaries were redrawn in February 2016 after a U.S. District Court overturned the existing boundaries because of politically directed gerrymandering that suppressed minority representation."</p> <p>How in North Carolina can one party receive about 50% of the the popular vote but control about 75% of the seats? Gerrymandering.</p> <p>Other states have adopted other ways to draw district maps that have resulted in a much fairer representation of the will of the people and the ballots that they cast. Politicians drawing district maps have no incentive to make it fair, only to stay in power.</p> <p>Our voting system is under attack in many ways and stacking the deck by gerrymandering makes it easier to pass more restrictive voting laws which takes the power of one person/one vote and diminishes it perhaps even overriding it.</p> <p>Proposals and new laws promoting "election integrity" passed by bodies that do not represent the popular vote are just a smoke screen, by which the party in power can retain power at all costs.</p> <p>Thanks to gerrymandering in North Carolina we have the minority governing the majority.</p> <p>At this time in our history it is even more important that all citizens have the right to vote and have confidence that the system is fair and insulated from undue interference from the politicians in power to mess with the count, the election and the results.</p> <p>North Carolina deserves better than what the citizens of Georgia recently went through in 2020.</p> <p>These upcoming maps will control voting in North Carolina for 10 years. Republicans, Democrats and Independents owe it to us, the citizens to make it fair and let fall where falls.</p> <p>North Carolina has been held up in the public eye and the courts for gerrymandering long enough!</p> <p>Please make fair.</p>
08/10/2021 09:52 AM	Ms Amy Hanson	none	<p>I implore you to please not gerrymander the voting districts. The heart of our country is in equality under the law, which means that each of our votes should count the same as the other. That does not happen with unfair voting maps. It needs to stop by both parties. Unbiased voting districts are causing the death of our democracy.</p> <p>Do the right thing this time around. Make fair districts, and make the process open to the public, and in a timely fashion so that we don't have to spend taxpayer money in court litigating this over and over and over again.</p>
08/10/2021 09:57 AM	Claire Stone	NA	<p>Please use a process for drawing the new district maps that gives people a real chance to see what is going on and let you know what we think about your proposals. I am a voter in Rockingham County, and I am asking you to allow people enough time to see the information you are proposing, time to find the portals or in-person schedule that allows us to give you comments, and enough time to get our comments in. The day before the speakers period during this cycle it was announced that a sign-up would be necessary and a limit of speakers was imposed. I was unable to find the link for the sign-up. You had your first meeting on Thursday, discussed redistricting criteria Monday, are seeking</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>public comment for 4 business days, and then you will finalize the criteria for the process on Thursday. You are demonstrating that you do not intend the process to be fair and transparent and your actions exclude voters from the process. At this point in the process the NCGA has also excluded people who have disabilities, people who do not have access to internet, and people who do not have access to transportation.</p> <p>Regarding this redistricting cycle, I am requesting that you please:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -post General Assembly draft maps online within 30 days of when Legacy Format Data is available -allow for, and respond to, public comment before, during, and after the map drawing process -when drawing the maps, please keep communities together, and do not favor political party or incumbency -when you make public announcement of meetings, at the same time allow public comment through an accessible portal, email, voicemail, and regular mail -process and publicly release the Legacy Format Summary File data by August 16th -release the Processed Block data for community use by August 21st -submit final proposed maps to the General Assembly by October 6th and vote on these by October 16th -hold at least 13 public hearings in accessible locations in the current 13 Congressional Districts—posting an overall schedule and notice within 2 weeks -disclose the source of criteria, systems and data you are using, and disclose all third parties you are including in the process -MOST IMPORTANT: all revisions, discussions, and meetings should be in public view and live streamed (with clear audio and video), not behind closed doors <p>Thank you</p>
08/10/2021 10:02 AM	Robert McClanahan		<p>We now know that, according to the NC Supreme Court, maps drawn with partisan data violates our constitutional mandate for free elections. It is time for politicians to stop choosing their voters and let the voters choose their representatives. Both Sen. Berger and Rep. Moore sponsored Redistricting reform legislation prior to their party attaining a majority, so why isn't their opposition to reform now the height of hypocrisy?</p>
08/10/2021 10:03 AM	Justin King		<p>As a citizen of Johnston County, I respectfully request the following for considerations during the upcoming redistricting process:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> *The greater Clayton, NC community precincts remain as one N.C. House district, including the Flowers Plantation precinct (29B). *That all of Johnston County be included in one N.C. Senate district. *That all of Johnston County be incorporated into one U.S. House district.
08/10/2021 10:09 AM	Ms Linda Hansma		<p>As a citizen of North Carolina, I want to see the drawing of districts that truly represent the diverse population of our state. The redistricting process used in 2019 was flawed and must be improved. I believe that the following improvement should include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Keeping communities together • Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party • The process should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature to be responsive to those comments • And all map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed <p>This is an opportunity for the Legislature to in a bipartisan manner to develop a redistricting process that is fair, transparent and inclusive.</p> <p>Sincerely, Linda Hansma</p>
08/10/2021 10:11 AM	Dr Andrea Biondi		<p>As a North Carolina citizen and voter, I write to convey the need for a fair, transparent, timely, and inclusive process in which all North Carolinians have a reasonable opportunity to participate. As you are well aware, fair redistricting is fundamental to our representative democracy, and a transparent process is crucial to upholding public confidence in</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>our system of government. But for this process to be truly fair and transparent, it must be inclusive such that all community members have a genuine opportunity to view this process and be heard, regardless of race, ability, or socioeconomic status. An accessible process is particularly crucial for historically-disenfranchised communities, such as our state's communities of color, which have been the targets of recent gerrymanders. A truly participatory and transparent redistricting process will contribute to better maps and engage citizens and improve public trust and confidence in our democracy.</p> <p>With that in mind, I would like you take the following steps to ensure a more equitable redistricting process:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * First, Implement a Redistricting Timeline That Allows Informed and Impactful Public Comment. This means that you should start the redistricting process immediately after the block-level census data is released on August 16. New maps must be in place by December 17, 2021, which means that we are working with a very short window. *This processed block data should also be made available to the public within a timely fashion. According to estimates, the General Assembly could easily make this data available by August 21. * I also want you to make initial draft maps available by September 15 for additional public comment, which is 30 days after the block-level census data is released. * The final proposed maps should be made available no later than October 6, with a ten-day window for public comment before a vote on October 15. This would give candidates time to familiarize themselves with the new districts before the December filing deadline. <p>Most crucially, the NCGA should provide genuine and meaningful opportunities for public comment. This can be done by providing a simple one-stop state government website for all redistricting information. As it currently stands, it is often difficult for the public to find information about redistricting. This would mean placing all redistricting information in one location, including meeting notices and livestream links, draft maps and related data and information, and a public comment portal like that required by other states. To prevent any fraudulent activity, the public comment should have a simple authentication process, such as a reCAPTCHA checkbox. The public should be allowed to comment throughout the process, including before maps are drawn and when draft maps are first made available. Waiting until final maps have been drawn is not helpful.</p> <p>It is also crucial to make the commenting process as accessible as possible. You should allow both written and verbal comments, which should be given equal weight. Finally, the redistricting committee should hold hearings across the state. Not everyone can easily make the trip to Raleigh to have their voices heard. I would recommend holding thirteen hearings, one for each of the current Congressional districts. these hearings should be held in both urban and rural locations throughout the state in locations that are accessible for people with disabilities and, where possible, for people without access to cars.</p> <p>Finally, I want you to disclose all criteria, systems, and data being used to draw maps. These maps should be drawn in public, with functioning audio and video streams. These maps will have a huge impact on our state and country for the next decade, and it is absolutely vital that you draw these maps in a fair and transparent manner.</p>
08/10/2021 10:20 AM	Mrs. Cynthia Simpson	Taxpayer and voter	Can you just make the districts fair? Please let voters decide your fate rather than you deciding who you want to vote for you.
08/10/2021 10:35 AM	Katelin Kaiser		Dear Committee Members, Thank you for considering and reading my comment on the 2021 proposed redistricting criteria. I have concerns about the proposed criteria as member residence is prioritized before community consideration. Members of the NCGA, and the members of the Joint Redistricting Committee, work for the people of North Carolina. Therefore, when redistricting impacts the next 10 years, the people of North Carolina, not the interests of politicians and their donors should not

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>take priority over the people, their voices, and local knowledge. The community consideration criteria should not be listed last, but at least be in the top five criteria. Moreover, the racial data criteria, that data identifying the race of individuals or voters shall not be used, is inconsistent with the Voting Rights Act of 1965. When drawing districts, race cannot be the predominant factor, but it can be a consideration as we need race equity within redistricting. Race-blind drawing, in reality, is not race-blind, as many members of the NCGA are intimately familiar with where Black & Brown populations reside in North Carolina. Black & Brown communities have been historically silenced through redistricting and as such, they have not received accountability from the NCGA or received the economic power and racial justice within their communities and throughout the state. Therefore, it is essential the NCGA conduct a racially polarized analysis of the state to ensure North Carolina's maps are fair, transparent, and inclusive. Thank you!</p>
08/10/2021 10:37 AM	Ms Michelle Belanger		<p>Please involve the public in this process. Why do you have only one public hearing/opportunity for in-person comment? Plenty of people would like to be able to to speak that can't come to Raleigh on one particular weekday. Please make this option available/accessible to more people.</p>
08/10/2021 10:37 AM	Ms. Margaret Nordstrom	Retired	<p>I demand transparency, and fairness in defining our Congressional districts. I request the legislature use nationally-respected experts, such as Jonathan Mattingly of Duke University to participate in this very important process. Thank you.</p>
08/10/2021 10:42 AM	Wendy Nelson		<p>Every North Carolinian should have the right to vote and have their voices heard. It is your responsibility to make voting easily available to all North Carolinians. Fair redistricting is imperative. Voters should be able to choose their representatives, not representatives choose their voters.</p>
08/10/2021 10:43 AM	Mrs. Johnette Fields		<p>I am pleased that we are beginning the process of redistricting. I have had concerns in the past that districts were drawn in a way that might actually mean that I could choose to vote or not...but the result was predetermined whether or not I voted. That's a terrible feeling. No citizen should feel their opinion is unimportant. Now I am asking that redistricting be done in such a way that no political data is used to draw lines and that citizens are sure that elections are fair and not predetermined. Please make sure that the new maps are drawn fairly and in plain sight of the public. Make sure that all voters have confidence that their votes count. Our democracy depends on it and I am depending on you to make it so.</p>
08/10/2021 10:50 AM	Mr George Elam	DemocracyNC	<p>The time for an independent commission to draw districts is now. Fair elections are what people want. People are tired of the same old battles to tip elections by packing voters. If districts were drawn fairly, candidates would concentrate on talking to more people on important issues. The candidates platforms would guide the outcome. This is what democracy needs to flourish. Thank You for letting people comment.</p>
08/10/2021 10:57 AM	Dr. Nathan Bullock		<p>To maintain the integrity of our state's office holders and the nature of free and fair elections, it is of the utmost importance that redistricting be done by a method that considers population alone to create the most compact districts possible for all levels of government. The committees must commit to this approach or outsource the job to a non-partisan group who can use GIS and the Census Data to deliver results sans gerrymandering. NC must move beyond such pitiful attempts to influence the outcome of elections so that every district has the potential for being a competitive race. The majority's attempts to mitigate their prior losses in this manner is disappointing and will only lead to further backlash and deepen the resentment that citizens have for them in the long term. Voter participation will increase when voters are able to shake off the cynicism of gerrymandered districts and find that their voice and vote are crucial for all levels of government.</p>
08/10/2021 11:08 AM	Dan Besse		<p>The districts should be drawn without reference to partisan affiliation or other criteria such as partisan voting patterns which serve as an indicator of partisan identity. The districts should be as compact as possible, and where possible should avoid dividing jurisdictional communities of interest. In general, a guiding principle of this process should be to favor competitive elections so as to allow voters to choose their representatives in meaningful general elections, rather than allowing politicians to choose their voters by drawing districts which are intended to favor one party over another.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/10/2021 11:08 AM	Ms Elizabeth Coulter	member of the public	<p>Good morning.</p> <p>My name is Elizabeth Coulter and I live in Stallings, NC. This little town is located in the NW corner of Union County.</p> <p>I am interested in fairly drawn maps precisely because they will be broadly perceived as being fair. If, we, as residents of this state, want: less angry constituents, less polarization, less friction in the governance process AND more community, more trust in government, more faith in elected officials; then the process for drawing maps and the maps themselves MUST be perceived as fair. With NO clear advantage to one side or group over another. This is simple playground logic understood by everyone from the age of 3 up. This should be easily adoptable by adults.</p> <p>The two proposed criteria that are of the most interest to me are one that is required by our state constitution, Contiguity, and one that currently is not, proportionality.</p> <p>In my area of Union County 3 or 4 precincts have been drawn into Cabarrus County disrupting the contiguity of a municipal boundary AND lumping together different communities of interest. Here in Union we are no longer an agricultural area having long ago been paved over by urban sprawl. This process continues unabated and as a result our interests do not align with our more agricultural neighbors in Cabarrus County. They are more likely to be concerned about pesticide regulation, farm financing, crop insurance rates and the like. Here in Union we have serious infrastructure concerns because our roads are overburdened, our flood plains are being built upon and our community amenities are almost non-existent. Therefore, I would like to see the boundary between Union and Cabarrus Counties restored in the new maps.</p> <p>The second criteria that I am interested in is Proportionality. This criteria is used in other states and I think it would be of tremendous value to NC. Because we are a purple state that is more or less 50% republican and 50% democratic it seems to make some sense to use the partisan state and federal election data to inform the drawing of our state's district maps. Why? For all of the same reasons I mentioned earlier. Fairness matters. I want a return to basic good governance. I want elected officials who care about their constituents concerns and are NOT so afraid of their personal safety that they refuse to hold public events or town halls. I want a return to fact based arguments and debates so we can tackle the challenges our state will face in the coming years. We can't achieve any of this without fairly drawn maps. I am sure this is not controversial and that we can put our heads together and do what is best for our state.</p> <p>Thank you for taking public comments.</p> <p>Sincerely, Elizabeth Coulter</p>
08/10/2021 11:09 AM	Rosalie Dominik	no affiliation	<p>I hope that everyone who takes an oath of office in North Carolina can agree that it would be inappropriate to manipulate the boundaries of districts to favor any one party.</p> <p>Unfortunately, the criteria presented to date are not specific enough to ensure that doesn't happen.</p> <p>Given our state's history of blatant partisan gerrymandering, the criteria need to be made more specific to help avoid ending up with districts that favor any one party.</p> <p>What they most lack is a clear, quantitative approach for assessing whether a map unfairly favors one party over another.</p> <p>Have you requested that the nonpartisan Quantifying Gerrymandering group at Duke University (or another nonpartisan</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			group with similar expertise) critique the proposed redistricting plan?
			Thorough critiques by independent experts should be commissioned by the state and shared (in their entirety) with the public before the plan is finalized and again after the plan is implemented.
08/10/2021 11:11 AM	Ms. Patricia Deck		<p>Gentlemen, the districts you draw this year will shape North Carolinians' lives and communities for the next decade. Only with these successive rounds of meaningful public input can the people of North Carolina view the 2021 redistricting process as one that is fair, inclusive, and transparent. Taking these steps will not only send an important message that this year's North Carolina maps are the most inclusive and representative in history, but also set a precedent for the rest of the country.</p> <p>I totally agree with and support the above statement sent earlier from a coalition of concerned citizen groups and organizations. For years NC citizens have been asking both Democrats and Republicans to draw "Fair Maps" when redistricting. Now is your opportunity to be known for the Legislators who believed it is the right of every citizens to have the opportunity to vote without any hindrance so that every vote counts. Rise above partisan political pressures and Make us Proud!</p> <p>Thank you for the work you do each day on our behalf.</p>
08/10/2021 11:18 AM	Ann Huessener		<p>I believe and request that redistricting this year and all years in the future be done by an independent redistricting commission, just like the commission used in California. Redistricting should not be rushed through, should not be partisan, and should be transparent and fair to all. This I demand from those involved. Thank you.</p>
08/10/2021 11:23 AM	Patty Courtright		<p>I am a longtime resident of North Carolina and appreciate the important work you're about to undertake in redrawing the district maps for our state. I strongly believe that our districts should fairly represent -- and reflect -- all the people of North Carolina. To accomplish this, the NC General Assembly should use a proportionality criterion like that used in Ohio. Further, it is important to keep communities together. In addition, the districts should not favor or disfavor an incumbent, and they absolutely should not favor one political party over another. The process should involve meaningful public input at every step, with legislators being responsive to citizens' comments. Finally, drawing and revising the maps should be done in the public view and live-streamed, including all the related redistricting discussions and meetings. Thank you for gathering public input in this crucial matter affecting every corner of our state and for your work on behalf of North Carolina's citizens.</p>
08/10/2021 11:34 AM	Selene Friend		<p>I believe the criteria should include:</p> <p>Keeping communities together Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party The process should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature to be responsive to those comments And all map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed.</p>
08/10/2021 12:10 PM	Joyce Townson		<p>PLEASE make redistricting fair and nonpartisan! Let the voters choose their representatives rather than the other way around.</p>
08/10/2021 12:16 PM	Mr Geoffrey Bullock		<p>I continue to be extremely distraught in our state's inability to draw districts that are consistent with the concepts of equal and fair representation, upon which both our national and state Constitutions depend upon to be functional. It is inherently contradictory to have either of the competing factions in a political process involved in setting the rules and guidelines and expect fair and unbiased outcomes. And it is ridiculous that we taxpayers have to keep needlessly spending vast amounts of the state's monies going to court over unconstitutional redistricting.</p>
			<p>I have no faith in the current GOP to act in good faith in this process... and certainly the Dems put their thumb on the scale when they were able to do so. It's time to have a process in which citizens of either political persuasion (and</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			independents) can believe they are electing officials who are representing them and their geographical location.
08/10/2021 12:18 PM	Teacher Asst Judy Godbout	UCPS	We keep doing the same thing, the same way, with the same people and expect something different. Gerrymandering sets the odds for/against certain people and areas to tip the scale for a certain group. ENOUGH!! New maps have to be drawn by an independent group that has no personal interest in the outcome
08/10/2021 12:18 PM	Dr. Richard Courtright	Retired North Carolina educator	Regarding your efforts to equitably and justly redrawing the district map of our state, thank you for your thoughtful service. As a resident of NC for over 60 years, I urge you to base the decisions about the placement of lines most certainly on equal population, contiguity and counties, of course. In addition, a proportionality criteria (Ohio has a great example) should be applied as a high priority criteria, and there should be NO partiality/preference given to protect any incumbent. This should be a "clean slate" procedure. Finally, I urge you to support making the discussions public through live streaming and eliminate the suspicions and uncertainty that arise over the impression left with you constituents about "smoke-filled back room" decisions. The debate and the decisions must be open to examination by all of the voters. I would like to see our state's process and results become a model for the rest of the nation.
08/10/2021 12:23 PM	Dr Margaret Wiener		Redistricting needs to be done by an impartial, non-partisan committee, using scientific techniques. Politicians should not choose their voters. That is voting fraud.
08/10/2021 12:23 PM	MS Linda Insley	retired	Each and every one of you has an obligation to make sure every citizen in North Carolina is represented fairly. To "mess" with maps for a political agenda is neither ethical nor democratic!!
08/10/2021 12:28 PM	Donna Mergliano	Chatham County resident	I strongly urge that all map drawing and related discussions be conducted in full public view; that schedules for draft and final maps include adequate time for public review and comment; that no political data, including incumbency, be used in structuring maps; that districts be as compact as possible; that existing communities be preserved whenever possible.
08/10/2021 12:32 PM	John S		The past and current Legislative leadership has shown that they can't be trusted to redraw fair legislative districts in N.C. This important process must be completed by a fair and unbiased organization so that all North Carolinians have a voice in our elections.
08/10/2021 12:34 PM	Mr. Todd Dickinson	personal	Districts must be drawn by non-political qualified EXPERTS, please.
08/10/2021 12:36 PM	Lee Lumpkin	None	The most important issue in redistricting is the fair, non-partisan assignment of districts to protect the voting rights of the most citizens. We have a duty to our republic to allow each voter to freely and fairly make their voice.
08/10/2021 12:36 PM	Ms. Barbara Levy		1. The redistricting must be fair and transparent. 2. Every step must be seen and reviewed by the public. 3. The districts must NOT be partisan It is imperative that every vote have equal weight when it is counted.
08/10/2021 12:40 PM	Hannah Ardyson		North Carolina needs to enact a fair, bipartisan, process for redistricting. It is unconscionable that our districts are now drawn so that we can have an election in which over half the votes go to one party, but only 4 out of 13 districts elect representatives from that party. If our democracy is to survive, we need to have fair districts that allow the people to elect leaders who represent their views. North Carolina needs a transparent redistricting process that allows public input and uses agreed-upon criteria (such as contiguity, consistency with natural and political boundaries, and compactness) to draw fair districts, not a partisan process that allows the party currently in power to pick their voters and maximize their wins, regardless of the will of the people of North Carolina.
08/10/2021 12:43 PM	Ms kathleen davidson		For me this is the most crucial issue before us because it affects ALL the others and because the right to vote and have our votes matter is the essence of democracy. Current districts are often ridiculous, as was the case in my former home. With two polling places within 5 minutes of my address, I had to drive close a half hour because I was at one corner of an oddly shaped elongated district and the polling place was at another corner. I am more concerned about

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/10/2021 12:52 PM	Dr. James Rogerson	Democratic Party	the people who can't drive such a distance to vote. Please, change this!
08/10/2021 12:53 PM	Dr Andy Jackson	John Locke Foundation	<p>I believe that it is time for both parties to put the interest of the state first. If extreme partisan gerrymandering continues, all North Carolina people will lose faith in the entire government of our state. The results will be tragic.</p> <p>Redistricting in North Carolina is already restrained by the North Carolina Constitution, the US Constitution, the Voting Rights Act, and related court cases.</p> <p>As the General Assembly considers additional criteria for redistricting this year, legislators should set the following standards when drawing official district maps to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Forbidding the use of voter registration data, • Forbidding the use of past election results, and • Forbidding the use of racial data. <p>That data should not be used for any purpose when drawing maps, except possibly for post hoc checking for compliance with the Voting Rights Act. So, I am grateful that the joint redistricting committee's proposed criteria include a ban on using political and racial data for redistricting.</p> <p>The proposed criteria include consideration of incumbents' addresses. If incumbents' addresses are included in the final list of redistricting criteria, that consideration should be secondary to other criteria, particularly compactness.</p> <p>That would leave us with two major criteria for redistricting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Making districts reasonably compact, consistent with other standards and North Carolina's geography, and • Preserving communities of interest <p>Preserving communities of interest is generally accomplished by not splitting political units such as counties, voting districts, and (where practical) municipalities. Beyond that, claims of communities of interest are often in the eye of the beholder and those claims of communities of interest, of which you will hear a lot over the next couple of months, should be considered within the context of forming compact districts that preserve political boundaries.</p> <p>Beyond that, official map drawing should be done in the open as was done in 2019.</p>
08/10/2021 12:54 PM	MRS. ROSEMARY TANN	Retired	<p>The Criteria should include:</p> <p>Providing meaningful public input at every step of the process. This includes providing in person public hearings and online public comments throughout the redistricting process.</p> <p>Ensuring any and all map drawing and revisions are done in the public view (including public access in person and live streamed processes).</p> <p>Keeping communities of interest together.</p> <p>Guaranteeing that maps are not drawn to unduly favor a political party</p>
08/10/2021 12:56 PM	Dianna Wynn		<p>North Carolina voters want a much more transparent process and an end to gerrymandering. The 2019 redistricting process is being touted as wholly transparent. It was not. I was in the room at the legislature observing most of that process. The current process already is not allowing for enough public input. A more transparent process would include: (1) Live-streaming of all map drawing with the video and audio properly synced and the map drawers clearly identified; (2) A written explanation accompanying draft maps presented to the public for comment; (3) A prohibition against legislators removing or bringing maps or notes into the map-drawing room; (4) A prohibition against the use of map drawers' personal phones, laptops, and other electronic devices in the room during map drawing except for authorized computer terminals that the public may view; (4) A written explanation justifying the boundaries of each district to accompany any final maps. The process of determining the criteria and the map-drawing process could have</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>begun months ago which would have afforded the public more time to make plans to attend hearings and respond to their elected officials. A more transparent process that allows for full public input should include public hearings across the state before and after map drawing as well as more advance notice prior to committee meetings. When drawing maps, legislators should NOT include incumbent protection as a criterion, should NOT use partisan political information, and should NOT disadvantage communities of color or other communities of interest. The voters do NOT want maps drawn by politicians driven by self-interest. North Carolina voters want a fair and impartial approach to drawing our voting maps.</p>
08/10/2021 12:57 PM	Mr Chad Conville		<p>It is important for districts to be created by an independent nonpartisan group to ensure that districts are drawn fairly and eliminating the ability to gerrymander and tilt favor to either party.</p>
08/10/2021 01:04 PM	Ms Iris Carman		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Keep communities together • Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party • The process must involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature to be responsive to those comments • And all map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed <p>Your job is to work for all people! Creating systems and processes that favor one group does not create equity. Transparency is required for a clear understanding for people regarding the policies and laws that are being created and enacted that effect them.</p>
08/10/2021 01:11 PM	Mr. Matthew Clements	Citizen	<p>Dear Gerrymanderers:</p> <p>We have no faith in the bi-partisan redistricting system. It has been proven beyond a doubt that both legacy parties are more than happy to pack and crack districts creating no incentive for politicians to compete for voters with common sense approaches. Politicians should NEVER be allowed to select their voters. We are watching. The only way to break the cycle of the current dominant party attempting to rig the system is to take the high ground and create fair districts for citizens to have their voices heard by the politicians who want to serve them. Not, the current system where the races are guaranteed and the ohly input the politicians want is campaign donations and bills from special interests to put a thumb on the scale of governance, creating and choosing winners and losers in the State of North Carolina. "Esse Quam Videri"</p>
08/10/2021 01:12 PM	Mr Wiley Post	Tax Paying Citizen	<p>Our state is diverse and expanding. The new districts should show this, not additional Republican seats because you just chop up Charlotte-Mecklenburg to dilute the Democratic vote.</p>
08/10/2021 01:25 PM	Ms Caro McFadyen	Retired	<p>I urge you to undertake any redistricting plan with full fairness and transparency. In today's sharply divided times, let's be determined to be inclusive and upfront in all aspects of this process.</p>
08/10/2021 01:35 PM	Mr Geoffrey Wilson		<p>Gerrymandered districts fundamentally disenfranchise voters based on their previous voting trends -- and it should stop. Please use nonpartisan criteria to create balanced districts. In districts with near 50-50 R/D split, the winning candidates are much less extreme and gravitate to the political center, which would serve North Carolina much better than the current hyper-partisan legislature and delegation.</p>
08/10/2021 01:50 PM	Dr. Adriane Lentz-Smith		<p>I am writing to express my concerns over the haste and opacity of the General Assembly's redistricting plans. This is a complex and vital process, too important to the functioning of a healthy democracy to get caught up in partisan gamesmanship.</p> <p>North Carolina has a dismal, oft-tragic, track record when it comes to excluding voters for the sake of one party's power. I had thought we had left those dark days behind us, but I am less sure of that after the shenanigans of the last decade and the intensified focus on partisan gerrymandering.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/10/2021 01:55 PM	Eileen Stephens		<p>I ask that the General Assembly embrace a more open and fair process, guided by experts such as Duke mathematician and NC native Jonathan Mattingly, rather than following the dictates of ideologues and hacks. Please remember that the long-term health of the democratic process is more important than short-term wins for individuals or parties. Your responsibility is to all North Carolinians, present and future.</p> <p>Sincerely, Adriane Lentz-Smith</p> <p>The redistricting plan should be developed by an *independent* *non-partisan* commission.</p> <p>The Commission should comprise nine members who:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reflect the diversity of the state 2. May be a retired state judge or a federal judge who served in NC; 3. Have never held partisan public office; and 4. Have not changed political party affiliation in the five years immediately preceding appointment 5. Have pledged in writing that during service on the commission and for 5+ years afterward he/she will not seek, accept or hold -- a public office, officer position in a political party or role as compensated lobbyist. <p>3 of the members should be Republican, 3 should be Democrat, and 3 should be independent.</p> <p>The Commission should:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Develop the plan based on the most recent decennial census. 2. Draw districts in compliance with the United States Constitution and the federal Voting Rights Act (42 U.S.C. §1973 et seq). 3. Decide whether to draw single member districts, multi-member districts with proportional voting systems, or some combination thereof. If necessary, a Citizens' Assembly should study the issue and make a recommendation to the Commission. 4. Hold at least three public hearings throughout the state for receiving and considering proposed redistricting plans and public comment. 5. Forward the plan to the Speaker of the House and the President of the Senate, with the legislation necessary to effectuate the plan. 6. If the plan is not approved by a constitutional majority of either house, prepare a second plan addressing the reasons cited by the legislature's clerk within 21 days <p>The House and Senate should:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Vote on the plan expeditiously, but within 7 days after receipt of the plan is made available to members of both houses. The plan shall be voted on using a procedure allowing no amendments, other than those of a purely corrective nature. 2. Transmit to the Commission, via the appropriate clerk, the reasons why the plan was rejected, within 1 day. 3. Vote on the amended plan expeditiously complying with the same requirements under point 1. <p>The Commission should not consider the following data in creating the plan:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Party registration 2. Addresses 3. Historical election returns <p>Ranked in order of priority, plan development criteria include: REPRESENTATIVENESS</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Each district should include as equal a population per representative as possible. Racial groups and other communities of interest (i.e., communities defined by similarities in social, cultural, ethnic, and economic interest, school districts, and other formal relationships between municipalities) should be able to elect representatives in proportion to their percentage of the voting age population. <p>COMPETITIVENESS</p> <p>CONTIGUITY/COMPACTNESS</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Each district should be as contiguous and compact as possible. A contiguous area of population should not be bypassed to incorporate an area of population more distant. District boundaries shall conform to existing legislative boundaries and preserve as many whole counties and cities as possible.
08/10/2021 01:56 PM	Kathryn Crowe		It is essential that a bi-partisan committee form the new districts. The gerrymandering must stop.
08/10/2021 02:04 PM	Jaclyn Walters	NA	I live in Chatham County. Districts should be geographically sensible so that elected representatives can represent their communities without having to travel long distances to meet constituents, investigate issues, and hear concerns. Districts should be based on population data and respect existing community boundaries. I favor an independent commission that includes citizens. Regardless of which body is conducting the redistricting, the process should be nonpartisan, should solicit public comments, should be transparent from beginning to end, and should be conducted with respect for all citizens, not a particular political party.
08/10/2021 02:08 PM	PAULA BREEN		<p>Criteria for the work of the House and Senate Standing Committees on Redistricting should reflect the following values:</p> <p>Districts should not favor or disadvantage any individual or any partisan group and not drawn to protect incumbents.</p> <p>Committee discussion, debate and any consultation and decision making should be done in full public view.</p> <p>Tools exist to evaluate the fairness of district maps. This Technology should be used to remove any partisan bias from mapping.</p> <p>Unfair maps are costly. History shows that when maps are manipulated in ways that disadvantage (disenfranchise) citizens, the voters will fight in the courts to assure that each vote counts equally. In the last decade, the legislature spent \$10 million of state funds due to litigation over unfair districts.</p> <p>We applaud the legislature's citizen portal for voters to submit comments and will be sure to use it. You have in your hands a precious responsibility that will impact the integrity of our democracy. We are counting on you.</p>
08/10/2021 02:09 PM	Cynthia Nelson		Make sure that you use accurate data to create these maps. I live in an area that you decided to gerrymander because we didn't vote the way you wanted us to. Make fair and reasonable maps. Be honest and just about this whole situation
08/10/2021 02:25 PM	Ellen Kay Endriss		<p>Fair redistricting is fundamental to our representative democracy, and a transparent process is crucial to upholding public confidence in our system of government. I ask you to implement a redistricting timeline that allows informed and impactful public comment, provide genuine and meaningful opportunities for public comment, and ensure transparency throughout the redistricting process.</p> <p>In order to accomplish that, please ensure that North Carolina:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Starts the redistricting process immediately after the August 16 data release.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Releases processed block data for community use by August 21. 3. Discloses initial draft maps by September 15. 4. Submits final proposed maps to the General Assembly by October 6. 5. Provides a simple state government website for all redistricting information. 6. Allows public comment before initial draft maps are drawn. 7. Allows public access and comment on draft and revised maps. 8. Permits written and oral public comment. 9. Holds accessible public hearings throughout the state. 10. Provides two weeks' notice of public hearings. 11. Discloses all criteria, systems, and data used in drawing maps. 12. Performs all map drawing and revising in public view. 13. Ensures quality video and audio broadcast in public meetings. 14. Discloses all third parties engaged in redistricting. <p>Each one of these requests is important to ensure North Carolina voters can be confident in the redistricting process. Thank you for ensuring each of them are met.</p>
08/10/2021 02:30 PM	Ms Kristin Bedell		<p>Please redistrict using a fair, nonpartisan approach. Gerrymandering is antithetical to a functioning democracy. Voters should be able to choose their representatives, not the other way around. When the conclusion is foregone, ideas for improving policy stagnate, discourse cheapens, and we end up with personality cults in lieu of public service.</p>
08/10/2021 02:35 PM	Mrs. JoEllen Mason	N/A	<p>I am firmly opposed to our state legislature drawing new election districts based on partisan bias. I don't think elections should be rigged to favor one party over the other. It should be a fair fight. Districts should be drawn in a way that allow for fair and equal representation. Districts should NEVER be drawn to group all people of color and commonly disadvantaged groups into one district thereby watering down their representation in our state government. I am SO VERY TIRED of the heavily gerrymandered maps that have been created over and over by our legislature, subjecting our state to endless & expensive court battles. Taxpayer dollars should NOT be spent on lawyers and court-related expenses for the purpose of defending racially or politically gerrymandered maps, regardless of which party they favor. I prefer to have a non-partisan group draw a fair and balanced map that will assure equal representation of all citizens.</p>
08/10/2021 02:40 PM	Dr Karen Oles		<p>In our country, to preserve and improve upon our democratic principles, every citizen must have a right to vote and equal access to the elective system and, the system should be impartial, nonpolitical and report election results fairly and accurately.</p> <p>My name is Karen Oles; I live in Winston-Salem. My best teacher ever was my civics teacher. That means I feel very strongly about protecting our democratic republic.</p> <p>The process of redistricting should be transparent, should invite public comments at all stages, and should provide forums for public hearings. I am a member of the League of Women Voters and they have sent you a much more detailed letter on these topics.</p> <p>In redistricting, please avoid partisan gerrymandering where it has been said "the politicians pick the voters rather than the other way around." Keep communities of interest together so they can elect persons who are representative of their culture and particular interests. Avoid drawing districts to protect incumbents.</p> <p>You can work for good (in my view, democracy) or evil (autocracy). We will be watching you,</p>
08/10/2021 02:40 PM	Michael Kapp		<p>The public increasingly mistrusts the government officials when it comes to gerrymandering the district map. The recent maneuvers by the NC GOP are embarrassing to our great state. It is imperative to remove the ability of elected officials to manipulate the district maps. Let an impartial 3rd party create them.</p>
08/10/2021 02:46 PM	Cindy Elmore		<p>The public is just SO, SO tired of gerrymandering. No matter which party does it is wrong, wrong, wrong and simply undemocratic. Put this into the hands of a nonpartisan commission. It's fair to you, it's fair to the other party, and it is EMINENTLY fair to us, the people you work for. If you use this process to just benefit yourself again and shortchange</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/10/2021 02:53 PM	ms Barbra Roberman	- Select -	<p>the public, you know it will result in yet another lawsuit. You're costing North Carolina taxpayers millions of dollars. Don't you care about this? Don't you care about your oath of office to work for all of us?</p> <p>As the NC Legislature begins the process of redrawing districts, criteria should be about community, not politics. Communities should be kept together. Districts should not be drawn to favor one political party over another. I would hope that the NC Legislature would have full transparency around the re-drawing of districts, including hearings involving the public, taking into account public comment and all map drawing taking place in public and live streamed. Due to COVID, I am aware that it may be difficult for citizens to attend in person; I do hope that the Legislature will make certain that the public is involved</p>
08/10/2021 02:59 PM	Lois Nilsen		<p>For this redistributing process that is so important to our democracy, that must buoy the trust that has been eroding over this issue in the past, I ask for two common sense things: that the process be transparent, and that the process adopt sound criteria.</p> <p>Transparent:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A website that gives daily updates 2. An online comment portal that keeps comments public 3. Audio and visual presentation of all meeting available to the general public, with legislators present clearly identified. 4. Advance notice of all hearings, of all map making, of all votes <p>Criteria</p> <p>Clear and prioritized rules / criteria for the maps should be published and available, so that any citizen can weigh the proposed maps against the criteria.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To make the maps fair, find ways to publicly demonstrate that lawmakers are not drawing districts for their own and their party's benefit. Partisan gerrymandering needs to be explicitly sanctioned, and impartiality be made a value baked into the process. 2. The Brennan Center for Justice published criteria for drawing voting maps. District lines should follow community lines whenever possible. Communities of interest should not be split, especially communities of color. 3. Districts should be compact, and not spread out in strange elongated ways.

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)"
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 8/11/2021 6:02:17 PM -0400
To: SEN - Senate Redistricting & Elections <SEN-SenateRedistrictingElections@ncleg.gov>; HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Public Comments as of 3:00 pm, 8/11/21
Attachments: Public Comment 8.11.21.pdf

Erika Churchill
Staff Attorney, Legislative Analysis Division
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury St, Suite 500B
Raleigh, NC 27603
(919)733-2578

2021 Redistricting Public Comments Public Comments Report - LINC

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/10/2021 03:07 PM	Sarah Van de Berg		Maps should be drawn by an independent party to be as fair as possible, not to favor one party over another, for all 100 NC districts.
08/10/2021 03:21 PM	Karen Antle		Voting is supposed to represent the will of the people. NC's extreme gerrymandering, which has been repeatedly condemned by our courts, has left us an increasingly radical general assembly. Minority rule can no longer be tolerated. Fix our districts so that it reflects the will of the people.
08/10/2021 03:24 PM	Ruth Ray		Democracy happens when constituents are free to elect the candidate who best represents their values. If elected representatives are allowed to make their own voting districts so they dictate who gets elected, that is no longer democracy. Voters of this State want democracy. Districts should be drawn by an independent redistricting committee so everyone's vote counts.
08/10/2021 03:36 PM	Ms Elizabeth Norval	Unitarian Universalist Justice Ministry of North Carolina	I want to have confidence that the maps are fair. Grouping people so that only one opinion is ever heard is not fair. I would like our elections to be competitive, as defined in the state of Colorado. " For purposes of this subsection (3) competitiveness means having a reasonable potential for party affiliation of the districts representative to change at least once between decennial censuses. Competitiveness may be measured by factors such as a proposed district's past election results, proposed district's political party registration data, and evidence-based analyses." I advocate that we consider this when redistributing. I also like what Ohio has done. They say: "The place of residence of any incumbent or political candidate shall not be considered in the creation of a map. Districts shall not be drawn for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against an incumbent, political candidate, or political party." Let's have fair maps, drawn up by independent individuals, not members of political parties. This will engender confidence in the electoral process. This is likely to result in a more involved electorate, who will, in turn, take a greater interest in current events, if they know their voice is heard.
08/10/2021 03:37 PM	Mrs Nancy Tunnessen		Wouldn't this be a perfect time for North Carolina to become a model of fairness in redistricting for the country? Please, this time, lets have transparency, logic and reason involved as new lines are drawn throughout the state. There are many models and experts available to help create equitable voting districts. Please consider using all the resources available to you to create our new districts.
08/10/2021 03:40 PM	Ms Martha Shafer		My name is Martha Royal Shafer, a resident of Guilford County. Please accept my comments regarding redistricting criteria. Member Residence: I support the inclusion of criteria related to this topic, but believe the criteria should explicitly state that maps shall neither favor nor disfavor an incumbent or candidate. Because North Carolina's maps have been gerrymandered in the past, and because incumbents were protected even in remedial redraws, partisan advantage has been "baked in." To correct for this inequity, we need to start with a clean slate and draw maps that ignore member and candidate residence. I recommend the criteria used in Ohio: "The place of residence of any incumbent or political candidate shall not be considered in the creation of a map. Districts shall not be drawn for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against an incumbent, political candidate, or political party." The fact that incumbents were protected in the past does not make it right to do it again. Community Consideration: I support this as a criteria, but feel strongly that it needs to be much higher in priority and should be mandatory, not optional. I suggest placing it above the compactness criteria, because a real community should be valued more than a shape. The criteria should say that communities of interest "shall" be considered rather than "may" be considered in the formation of districts. In Guilford County, I have seen communities unnecessarily divided by Congressional maps, such as the campus of NC A&T University and the City of Greensboro. I've seen the City of High Point unnecessarily divided by the NC Senate map. This is not a trivial matter, nor are these communities "in the eye of the beholder." They have distinct concerns and needs, and the effectiveness of their representation is hampered when they

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>cannot speak with one voice. Would it be fair for some members of your household to be represented by one elected official, while others are represented by someone else? That is essentially what happens when communities of interest are not kept whole in a district. As written, the criteria pays lip service to keeping communities of interest together, while allowing partisan goals to possibly take precedence. I recommend California's criteria for communities of interest because it does a job of defining the term and prioritizing it.</p> <p>Therefore, please modify the criteria you have put forward to show increased attention and priority to communities of interest, because they are the building blocks of democracy. Also, don't protect incumbent members: be brave and eliminate any chance that Member Residence will be considered in the criteria. Lastly, many of the criteria are so vague as to be almost meaningless. When the word "may" is used in a criteria, strike it and substitute detailed language that explains "when and why" an exception would be made. This kind of detail is what would be expected in a well-run business and is certainly possible. Otherwise, the criteria are a list of topics that look good on the surface, but have significant loopholes, allowing them to be ignored when convenient.</p> <p>Redistricting is important to me because district maps have made some members unaccountable. Their districts are so safe and uncompetitive that they don't have to listen to all their constituents. The party composition of our Legislature doesn't resemble North Carolina's electorate, putting some representatives out of step with the mainstream. Our state needs policies, like Medicaid expansion, that help regular working people. It seems that representatives have become more attentive to forces driven by ideology, rather than the real needs of most North Carolinians. Specific and fair redistricting criteria can start to fix that.</p> <p>Thank you for undertaking this important work.</p>
08/10/2021 03:44 PM	Eileen Marshall	NAACP	<p>I am writing to urge you to adopt all of the provisions of SB 673 governing the redistricting maps for 2021. The fact that you have shortened the public comment period is suspicious. You represent every single person in North Carolina. It is your responsibility as elected officials to keep the process transparent and fair. How else can the public have confidence in the process? We are paying close attention.</p>
08/10/2021 03:54 PM	Mr. Neil Brown	N/A	<p>I request the Redistricting and Elections Committees propose to require the creation of an Advisory Commission. I recommend the Advisory Commission members be selected after the model specified by SB 673 (2019-2020), for a North Carolina Citizens Redistricting Commission. I recommend this Advisory Commission be empowered to host twenty sessions for citizen comments. I recommend said Commission be supported by a stipend of \$1,200 each month that the Advisory Commission meets as well as travel and subsistence. The Advisory Commission should take as its initial objectives for redistricting criteria the following, to be supplemented by its conclusions as to relevant public comment:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) legislative districts must comply with Sections 3 and 5 of Article II of the North Carolina Constitution; (2) Congressional districts must minimize the number of split counties, municipalities, and other communities of interest (precincts must not be split except as necessary to comply with federal law and districts must be contiguous); (3) the ideal population for a district is the number of members in a plan divided by the population of the State as reported by the decennial census (the population for a legislative district must be within 5% of the ideal population for that district, which congressional districts must each have a population that is as nearly equal as practicable to the ideal population, but in all cases within 0.1% of the ideal population for that district; (4) legislative and congressional districts must comply with the following: State and federal law; districts must be composed of convenient contiguous territory; areas which meet only at the points of adjoining corners are not contiguous; to the extent practicable, districts must be compact and districts should not bypass nearby communities for more distant communities; and the Commission must not consider electoral results, political considerations, or incumbency in the preparation of districts. <p>I urge the Redistricting Committees to recommend repeal of GS 120-133 concerning the confidentiality of redistricting</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/10/2021 03:54 PM	Dr Magaretha Herman		communications. My name is Magaretha Herman and I've been a resident of North Carolina since 1972 and have lived in Orange or Durham Counties. I am a homeowner in Durham NC. I object to considering a Congressional or state legislator's residence as a criterion for redistricting and ask you to instead make clear in the list of criteria for redrawing districts that the place of residence of any incumbent or candidate NOT be considered. Districts should not be drawn to either favor or discriminate against an incumbent, political candidate, or political party.
08/10/2021 04:08 PM	Ms. Janice Parker		Dear legislators in the NCGA. My name is Janice Parker and my zip code is 27516. I am commenting on the criteria for redistricting in North Carolina, which you will vote on this week, Thursday, Aug. 12. I deeply revere the ideals of our democracy, and two requisites for democracy are citizen participation and fair elections. Both of these ideals require competitive districts which do not favor any candidate, incumbent or party. Some states have as a criterion for forming districts a requirement of competitiveness. This means that there is a reasonable potential for either candidate or party to win. When districts are not competitive, citizens reasonably feel that their participation doesn't count, and democracy lessens. The other criterion that I hope you vote for is compactness. When geographical districts are malformed into bizarre shapes that favor one party or candidate, it once again becomes clear to voters that the outcome is gerrymandered, and their motivation to participate is diminished. Obviously, for democracy to flourish, districting must be fair. The duty of the General Assembly is to promote democracy, not one-party rule.
08/10/2021 04:15 PM	Marla Bollak		I can't understand why this needs to be said. Districts should be fairly drawn, not gerrymandered. Stop undermining the will of the people.
08/10/2021 04:16 PM	Michele Nix		Please draw the Districts, both Legislative and Congressional, based upon the NC Constitution of keeping Counties intact where and when possible. Where and when it is not possible due to geographic and political issues, then draw them to favor Republicans, as is your duty when you were elected by those majorities in our State and your Districts.
08/10/2021 04:21 PM	Wendy Kadens		Please ensure that the redistributing process is fair, logical and not based on race. Be ethical on your approach to preserving democracy for all voters. Thank you.
08/10/2021 04:24 PM	Beth Harper		Hello, My name is Beth Harper, and I live in Winston Salem. I believe a democracy works best when the government truly represents its people--redistricting is an opportunity to make that happen. I'm writing to ask that the committee consider including a criterion like Ohio's Proportionality Criterion (which says the statewide proportion of districts whose voters, based on statewide state and federal partisan general election results during the last ten years, favor each political party shall correspond closely to the statewide preferences of the voters). I believe this means that our state government and federal congressional representatives should be as balanced between the parties as our state voters are. Our government should look and vote like the citizens it represents. Second I'm concerned that if race is not considered in any way, minority voters may lose their voice. I am not sure the best way to manage this situation, but it is important that the new districts don't divide communities of color so that their voting power is diminished. It may help to move Community Considerations up as a priority to make sure that communities of color get representation in their government. A democratic government should represent its people--I ask that this committee keep that goal in mind as they move through this process.
08/10/2021 04:25 PM	Mark Granville	--select an item--	Having reviewed the proposed criteria, and researched other state practices, I recommend adding two as top priority criteria: 1. Resulting districts are proportional to the recent presidential vote. We just saw the state vote essentially 50/50 for either party; therefore in fairness to the population, NC's redrawn districts should reflect closely this split - half the districts favoring Republicans, and the other half favoring Democrats for - for Congressional, and state House and Senate. To do otherwise is clearly a rigging the vote in favor of one set of candidates.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>2. Resulting districts should be competitive. So drawn in a manner that has the minority party the possibility to win in future election cycles, based on changes in the make up of the electorate.</p> <p>Not following these criteria will fail to achieve the state constitution language to ensure all votes are treated equally, versus having been manipulated to produce "Packed and Cracked" districts.</p> <p>One last observation - announcing the criteria on Monday and voting to finalize on Thursday is a grossly insufficient period to allow public comment and makes the process fairness suspect.</p> <p>Regards, Mark Granville</p>
08/10/2021 04:25 PM	Kristine Garrity		<p>Member residence should not be considered in the formation of legislative and congressional districts. Consideration of member residence is detrimental to having fair elections. It presents the opportunity to favor or discriminate against a political candidate, an incumbent, or political party.</p>
08/10/2021 04:30 PM	Martha Whitney		<p>As the North Carolina General Assembly prepares to redistrict our state's Congressional, State House, and State Senate districts, write to convey the need for a fair, transparent, timely, and inclusive process in which all North Carolinians have a reasonable opportunity to participate.</p> <p>As you are well aware, fair redistricting is fundamental to our representative democracy, and a transparent process is crucial to upholding public confidence in our system of government. But for this process to be truly fair and transparent, it must be inclusive such that all community members have a genuine opportunity to view this process and be heard, regardless of race, ability, or socioeconomic status. An accessible process is particularly crucial for historically-disenfranchised communities, such as our state's communities of color, which have been the targets of recent gerrymanders. A truly participatory and transparent redistricting process will contribute to better maps and engage citizens and improve public trust and confidence in our democracy. Accordingly, we have outlined requirements for an inclusive redistricting process. We based these guidelines on our collective advocacy for the right to fair representation for North Carolinians. These guidelines are also informed by past redistricting experiences and best practices implemented in North Carolina and throughout the United States.</p> <p>Implement a Redistricting Timeline That Allows Informed and Impactful Public Comment</p> <p>North Carolina's redistricting process must follow a timeline that allows for meaningful public comment, irrespective of the delays in the release of census data. While the delay in release of the census data has altered the typical timeline, North Carolina can and should establish a timeline that allows for robust public input and, if needed, post-enactment dispute resolution.</p> <p>The Census Bureau currently anticipates releasing block-level census data in legacy format on August 16, 2021.¹ New maps must be in place before December 17, 2021, when candidates seeking federal or state party primary nominations must file their notice of candidacy.² To ensure a meaningful opportunity for public comment within this window of time, North Carolina's redistricting should adhere to the following timeline:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Start the Redistricting Process Immediately After the August 16 Data Release. North Carolina should begin the redistricting process by utilizing the Legacy Format Summary File of P.L. 94-171 data available on August 16. We expect all major vendors, and the vast majority of states, to utilize this data. There is no reason North Carolina needs to wait until the September 30 release of this same data in an alternative format: General Assembly staff processed and publicly released legacy format data last cycle and should do so again this cycle. 2. Release Processed Block Data for Community Use by August 21. Major vendors expect to process the Legacy Format data and make this processed data available to users within five business days of its release. The General Assembly staff should do the same, and make processed and tabulated data available to the public on a state government redistricting website within this same timeline. <p>See 2020 Census results, U.S. CENSUS BUREAU, https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/decennial-census/decade/2020/2020-census-results.html (Last revised June 23, 2021).² See N.C. Gen. Stat. § 163-106.2.</p> <p>2</p> <p>3. Disclose Initial Draft Maps by September 15.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>After receiving and incorporating public comment, as discussed below, draft maps should be released online for additional public comment within 30 days of when the Legacy Format data becomes available.</p> <p>4. Submit Final Proposed Map to the General Assembly by October 6.</p> <p>The final proposed maps should be publicly released online 21 days after the draft maps. The General Assembly should then be required to vote on these plans within 10 days—that is, enacted maps should be in place no later than October 16, 2021. This timeline will ensure that potential candidates can familiarize themselves with new districts before filing, and allow any challenges to be adjudicated before the December candidate filing deadline.</p> <p>Provide Genuine and Meaningful Opportunities for Public Comment</p> <p>North Carolina must afford fair opportunities for all North Carolinians to provide public input in the redistricting process. Furthermore, the General Assembly’s commitment to hearing public comment must be more than lip service: these opportunities must be genuine such that public comment is utilized in the map- drawing process. To ensure meaningful public comment this cycle, the General Assembly should ensure community engagement adheres to the following guidelines:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide a Simple State Government Website For All Redistricting Information. To facilitate public comment and participation, North Carolina should establish a simple and easily-accessible state government website that includes all redistricting information in one location, including meeting notices and livestream links, draft maps and related data and information, and a public comment portal like that required by other states.³ To prevent any fraudulent activity, the public comment should have a simple authentication process, such as a reCAPTCHA checkbox. 2. Allow Public Comment Before Initial Draft Maps Are Drawn. The General Assembly should receive public input before draft maps are drawn. This earlier input will ensure community input and concerns can be taken into consideration in drawing draft maps. 3. Allow Public Access and Comment on Draft and Revised Maps. The General Assembly should release draft maps online for public comment, and should provide the public adequate time to review the draft maps and submit public comment before draft maps are revised. ³ See, e.g., Missouri Senate, Bill Summary: SB 213, http://senate.mo.gov/19info/BTS_Web/Bill.aspx?SessionType=R&BillID=1065216 (requiring a “Redistricting Public Comment Portal”). ³ 4. Permit Written and Oral Public Comment. The General Assembly should ensure all North Carolinians, including low- wage workers with demanding work schedules, have an opportunity to provide public comment by providing procedures for receiving written input via a public comment portal, email, and USPS in addition to that conveyed orally during live hearings. Information about how North Carolinians can submit public comment should be provided contemporaneously with meeting notices. 5. Hold Accessible Public Hearings Throughout the State. The General Assembly must provide live in-person hearings in major metropolitan areas and rural hubs throughout the state in order to provide genuine opportunities for community members to provide live testimony. North Carolina should increase the number of hearing sites from the seven utilized in 2018 to thirteen, accounting for each of the current Congressional districts. Legislators should also prioritize locations and facilities that are accessible by public transport and to those with disabilities. In light of the ongoing COVID-19 realities, lawmakers must also provide remote options for viewing public hearings and providing public comment, and post a contact for those requiring technical assistance in accessing hearings remotely. 6. Provide Two Weeks’ Notice of Public Hearings. The General Assembly should endeavor to post a full schedule of public hearings at the beginning of the redistricting process, and in any event provide at least two weeks’ notice of any public hearing on redistricting to ensure those wishing to participate have a genuine opportunity to do so. Hearings should not be scheduled during or near public holidays, such as the Labor Day holiday. <p>Ensure Transparency throughout the Redistricting Process</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/10/2021 04:33 PM	Anne Dailey		<p>In 2019, a court required the General Assembly to draw remedial maps in “full public view” and barred legislators from undertaking “any steps to draw or revise the new districts outside of public view.”⁴ Notwithstanding these require</p> <p>As North Carolina prepares to redraw its districts please understand that voters are sick & tired of partisan redistricting regardless of which party it benefits. The country is watching the NC legislature to see if it can rise to the challenge of creating fair and balanced districts that actually allow voters to choose their representatives. Can the legislature show that NC is ready to move into the 21st century attracting the kinds of businesses & workers that will provide a robust economy going forward? Please do; we the voters aren't willing to put up with representatives that protect themselves at the expense of everything else.</p>
08/10/2021 04:34 PM	Mrs Norene Quinn		<p>In the USA we the people pick those we want to represent us. The legislature redistributing means you pick your voters, which defeats the whole purpose of voting. Nonpartisan or nothing! Stop gerrymandering!</p>
08/10/2021 04:41 PM	Dr Douglas Longman	None	<p>The people should choose their representatives, not the other way around. Non partisan, independent redistricting is a MUST</p>
08/10/2021 04:50 PM	Marguerite Most		<p>I write in support of elections in which every vote counts, and no vote is diluted. The right to cast a vote that counts must be available for every voter, regardless of party affiliation, or place of residence.</p> <p>Over the past decade, gerrymandering has led to maps that lock in a majority of seats for one party, even in districts where voters identify with and are evenly split between two parties. In some parts of the state gerrymandering has diluted votes by specifically targeting and dividing communities. Splitting the campus at A&T University in Greensboro is an outrageous example of an attempt to divide and dilute the votes of a single community. The 2019 redistricting process cost taxpayers many thousands of dollars as a Republican-controlled committee and lawyers representing the Republican-controlled General Assembly sought to defend targeted districts in Greensboro and elsewhere.</p> <p>Over the past decade gerrymandering has given us maps that lock in seats for one party even when voters have been evenly split between the 2 parties. Maps drawn to dilute votes and disenfranchise citizens are a simple power grab. They have no place in a democracy. The maps have made a mockery of elections for North Carolina voters, and a mockery of the process to observers outside North Carolina.</p> <p>The new maps should be drawn without consideration of data such as voter registration, voting records, and racial identify, and without intentional splitting of communities. Map drawing and revisions, including discussions and meetings, should be open to the public and live-streamed. Final maps, drafts, and revisions should include written public records setting out criteria and explaining decisions.</p> <p>I look forward to a transparent process in which all legislators work responsibly, and draw maps that will reflect the voting choices of citizens across North Carolina. Thank you.</p>
08/10/2021 04:53 PM	Ms. Lesley Wischmann		<p>My name is Lesley Wischmann and I live in Holly Ridge, just off Topsail Island. I am writing regarding the redistricting criteria because I believe all North Carolinians deserve fair, equitable and attentive representation at the local, state and federal levels. Since moving to North Carolina in 2014, I have seen too much division, anger, confusion as well as endless litigation regarding our state and national districts. I fear your criteria as proposed will give us another decade of the same.</p> <p>Your proposed criteria makes respecting municipal boundaries optional, at best. Moreover, you've made preserving communities of interest a very low priority. Under these criteria, it's likely that, once again, the communities of Holly Ridge and Topsail Island will be split in half. This makes it extremely difficult for our communities to address serious issues caused by extremely rapid growth and the resulting strain on our environment, both natural and built. Those of us who live in the 28445 zip code don't even share one set of representatives. In fact, if you live in 28445 and don't know the other four digits of your zip code, it's very likely that the THOMAS system will direct you to the wrong congressperson. Do you know</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/10/2021 04:53 PM	Ms. Lesley Wischmann		<p>your 9-digit zip code? Moreover, if you want to engage with your friends or neighbors on an issue of public policy, you'd better carry a detailed redistricting map because, otherwise, you'll never know who represents them. This is the kind of chaos that is created by splitting municipalities and communities of interest.</p> <p>I strongly encourage you to preserve all municipal boundaries, unless significant reasons can be documented for doing otherwise. Additionally, I ask that you elevate preserving communities of interest to encourage more unity among our citizens and to make it easier for people to engage constructively with their friends and neighbors in an inclusive and productive civic life. With so many people feeling isolated and losing faith in our government at all levels, your choices can help promote a positive environment in which our communities are respected and encouraged to work together or you can create more division and antagonism. I hope you will choose the former.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Lesley Wischmann 102 Mardella Way Holly Ridge, NC 28445 lesleywisch@gmail.com 307-399-8805</p>
			<p>My name is Lesley Wischmann and I live in Holly Ridge, just off Topsail Island. I am writing regarding the redistricting criteria because I believe all North Carolinians deserve fair, equitable and attentive representation at the local, state and federal levels. Since moving to North Carolina in 2014, I have seen too much division, anger, confusion as well as endless litigation regarding our state and national districts. I fear your criteria as proposed will give us another decade of the same.</p> <p>Your proposed criteria makes respecting municipal boundaries optional, at best. Moreover, you've made preserving communities of interest a very low priority. Under these criteria, it's likely that, once again, the communities of Holly Ridge and Topsail Island will be split in half. This makes it extremely difficult for our communities to address serious issues caused by extremely rapid growth and the resulting strain on our environment, both natural and built. Those of us who live in the 28445 zip code don't even share one set of representatives. In fact, if you live in 28445 and don't know the other four digits of your zip code, it's very likely that the THOMAS system will direct you to the wrong congressperson. Do you know your 9-digit zip code? Moreover, if you want to engage with your friends or neighbors on an issue of public policy, you'd better carry a detailed redistricting map because, otherwise, you'll never know who represents them. This is the kind of chaos that is created by splitting municipalities and communities of interest.</p> <p>I strongly encourage you to preserve all municipal boundaries, unless significant reasons can be documented for doing otherwise. Additionally, I ask that you elevate preserving communities of interest to encourage more unity among our citizens and to make it easier for people to engage constructively with their friends and neighbors in an inclusive and productive civic life. With so many people feeling isolated and losing faith in our government at all levels, your choices can help promote a positive environment in which our communities are respected and encouraged to work together or you can create more division and antagonism. I hope you will choose the former.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Lesley Wischmann 102 Mardella Way Holly Ridge, NC 28445 lesleywisch@gmail.com 307-399-8805</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/10/2021 04:53 PM	Ms. Lesley Wischmann		<p>My name is Lesley Wischmann and I live in Holly Ridge, just off Topsail Island. I am writing regarding the redistricting criteria because I believe all North Carolinians deserve fair, equitable and attentive representation at the local, state and federal levels. Since moving to North Carolina in 2014, I have seen too much division, anger, confusion as well as endless litigation regarding our state and national districts. I fear your criteria as proposed will give us another decade of the same.</p> <p>Your proposed criteria makes respecting municipal boundaries optional, at best. Moreover, you've made preserving communities of interest a very low priority. Under these criteria, it's likely that, once again, the communities of Holly Ridge and Topsail Island will be split in half. This makes it extremely difficult for our communities to address serious issues caused by extremely rapid growth and the resulting strain on our environment, both natural and built. Those of us who live in the 28445 zip code don't even share one set of representatives. In fact, if you live in 28445 and don't know the other four digits of your zip code, it's very likely that the THOMAS system will direct you to the wrong congressperson. Do you know your 9-digit zip code? Moreover, if you want to engage with your friends or neighbors on an issue of public policy, you'd better carry a detailed redistricting map because, otherwise, you'll never know who represents them. This is the kind of chaos that is created by splitting municipalities and communities of interest.</p> <p>I strongly encourage you to preserve all municipal boundaries, unless significant reasons can be documented for doing otherwise. Additionally, I ask that you elevate preserving communities of interest to encourage more unity among our citizens and to make it easier for people to engage constructively with their friends and neighbors in an inclusive and productive civic life. With so many people feeling isolated and losing faith in our government at all levels, your choices can help promote a positive environment in which our communities are respected and encouraged to work together or you can create more division and antagonism. I hope you will choose the former.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Lesley Wischmann 102 Mardella Way Holly Ridge, NC 28445 lesleywisch@gmail.com 307-399-8805</p>
08/10/2021 05:09 PM	Karen Skelton		<p>My name is Karen Skelton and I'm a resident of Guilford County. For several years I have followed the embarrassing court challenges to North Carolina's discriminatory map drawing practices. These cases cost the state greatly – in terms of money, and reputation. So I'm paying very close attention to this process, and want to make sure the process is done fairly, and transparently.</p> <p>I've read through your eight criteria for map drawing, just published yesterday. I think something's missing: there's no prohibition against partisan gerrymandering. Do you really want to risk another 350-page ruling that determines you gerrymandered against Democratic voters "with surgical precision"? Please, let's not go there again. And let's get it right the first time. I urge you to include a prohibition on favoring or disfavoring a political party as part of the criteria for the upcoming redistricting process.</p> <p>Because our votes matter. Because integrity matters.</p>
08/10/2021 05:17 PM	Roger Smith	Not Applicable	Let's keep it fair and above board, i.e., based non-partisan objectivity and facts.
08/10/2021 05:43 PM	Joy Bunch	Citizen	<p>I would first like to say I wish this was being done by an independent commissions. Some items I would like you to keep in mind: Keeping communities together, districts that make sense as communities, as opposed to districts that wander down</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>highways-like our current system. Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party The process should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature should be responsive to those comments And all map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed</p> <p>Thank you for taking the time to read my comments. Please take this task seriously and as fairly as possible for the future of our purple state.</p>
08/10/2021 05:51 PM	George Pressly		<p>State needs a non partisan commission. Our representatives must reflect the states population. That's the meaning and definition of "representative". Having partisans draw districts will always give you partisan districts. D&R representatives should be the same as states party affiliation.</p>
08/10/2021 06:03 PM	Ashley Montague	wake co.	<p>Pleas let's make this process fair and transparent and end gerrymandering once and for all in NC. We know that both parties have gerrymandered in the past. I would like to see a redistricting process that: Keeps communities together</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where districts are not drawn to unduly favor any political party • The process should involve public input at every step , both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature to be responsive to those comments • All map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed <p>Thank you for your attention to this important process in our democracy.</p>
08/10/2021 06:19 PM	Robin Kent		<p>Our state legislature and US congressional representatives should reflect the electorate. Our new maps should be drawn by an independent expert no by the NCGOP</p>
08/10/2021 06:29 PM	Ms Catherine Keller		<p>I live in Henderson County in the town of Hendersonville. I am concerned that redistricting doesn't take into consideration the need to keep Communities of like people together. Districts should represent the people that live in them, not created to circumvent the needs of the Community by slicing its residents into other Districts that have different needs. In the end the Districts will even themselves out and guarantee true representation for everyone regardless of Political Affiliations.</p>
08/10/2021 06:43 PM	Stephanie Brown		<p>While you consider the redistricting criteria for North Carolina's new district maps, please remember that we the voters want to choose our representatives; we do not want our representatives to choose us. The redrawing of districts should be about democracy, not party politics.</p> <p>Districts should be drawn so that they do not favor one political party over another. Nor should districts capriciously divide communities. Sentiment expressed in the past by those drawing the maps has been blatantly partisan. Our new maps should produce representation that reflects the will of the people, not the will of whichever party is in power. This goes equally for Democrats and Republicans.</p> <p>Public input is essential throughout the entire process through both in-person public hearings and online public comments. The legislature should heed and respond to these comments.</p> <p>The entire process should be transparent: drawing and revision of maps, discussions of the maps and the process, and meetings regarding the process and the maps should be open to the public and live-streamed. Due to COVID, it may be difficult for citizens to attend in person; I do hope that the Legislature will make certain that the public is involved.</p>
08/10/2021 07:19 PM	Irene Zhang		<p>We should pass the For the People Act. I have grown up in NC and the amount of gerrymandering in this state is embarrassing. We're the example in every government textbook as to what bad gerrymandering looks like in a state. It's</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			2021- we have the technology to draw up maps that are more impartial and unbiased by human opinion. This would be way easier than hand-drawing them and would also be much more fair for the people.
08/10/2021 07:35 PM	Mr Omega and Brenda Wilson	West End Revitalization Association WERA	Republican redistricting still have adverse impacts for Black, Indigenous and Latinx voters in the Mebane-NC area of Alamance County and Orange County. Other areas of NC also seek court solutions to this systemic party discrimination against people of color voting power.
08/10/2021 07:50 PM	Mr. William Yoder	WRYWARE LLC	In your re-districting work, please (1) involve the public as much as possible (for example, map drawings and proposals should be available to the public,) (2) be fair so that the new districts won't be formed on the basis of voting records or racial profiles. amd (3) keep local communities together. Thank you for listening. --Bill Yoder
08/10/2021 08:06 PM	Ms Barbara DANTONIO	wayne County Democratic Women	The main thing I ask is fairness. The last time redistricting was done it was not fair. The legislature needs to make each district fair and not be greedy. Playing fair gives you credibility. It shows you are looking out for all people in your district and other districts. You should not cherry pick your voters, they should pick you.
08/10/2021 08:07 PM	Ceil Sanow	--None--	The redistricting process needs to disclose to the general public the criteria, systems and data used in drawing the maps. This process should be conducted by equal parts Democrats and Republicans. Hold hearings throughout the state to allow public comment before the initial maps are drawn as well as the draft and revised maps.
08/10/2021 08:08 PM	Mrs. Julie Cooper		My name is Julie Ann Cooper and I live in Greensboro, NC. I am concerned about the proposed criteria for redistricting. 1) Prohibition on favoring or disfavoring a political party should be added and be the top criteria. Currently, even though the Republican party is the minority party in N.C., they hold a majority in both the House and Senate because they drew their own maps to favor their own party. The Democrats did the same when they held a majority. This hurts the good citizens of N.C. when issues that are supported or not supported by the majority of voters are not reflected in the legislators' actions. Our elected officials should be there to represent our interests and be accountable for their votes. Because of the political gerrymandering, too many elected officials do not fear being defeated at the polls and therefore, are not responsive to all of their constituents. 2) "Member residence may be considered in the formation of legislative and congressional districts." This should be changed to "Member residence may not be considered in the formation of legislative and congressional districts." This criteria as proposed protects incumbents and allows incumbents to choose their constituents as opposed to constituents choosing their elected officials. An incumbent's address should be irrelevant to the mapping because previous maps were drawn to assure their re-election. 2)"Compactness. The Committees shall make reasonable efforts to draw legislative districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans that are compact. In doing so, the Committee may use as a guide the minimum Reock..." This is important so districts are not drawn that separate areas such as NC A&T. The language should be more forceful and read, "The Committees shall draw legislative districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans that are compact. In doing so, the Committee will use as a guide the minimum Reock..." 4) "Community Consideration. So long as a plan complies with the foregoing criteria, local knowledge of the character of communities and connections between communities may be considered in the formation of legislative and congressional districts." This should be the second or third highest priority. When I was a resident of Guilford County in the early 2000s, my district was redrawn and included Rockingham County. When the Rockingham County Senator won, he never once responded to my phone calls or emails. He never campaigned or visited my area of the county. My previous Senator was very responsive. Because my new Senator was in a protected district where he was guaranteed to win, he did not have to be responsive and he was re-elected every election cycle. He did not know my community and showed no interest in learning about it. He did not represent us. Also, Greensboro has some very definitive neighborhoods, which may center around a church or school. These are often the basis of a community, certainly well before many of these other criteria.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/10/2021 09:16 PM	Ellen Kay Endriss		<p>The tactic of breaking apart communities hurts our democracy and leaves many without representation. I care about this issue passionately because it is the basis of our democracy. I may not agree with all of my neighbors but they should all be heard and represented. Please help us strengthen our democracy and government. After all, in the future you may be in the minority party and you would appreciate the opportunity to run in a fair district where the best candidate wins.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration.</p>
08/10/2021 09:25 PM	Deborah Minor		<p>I am adding to my earlier request. Please add the following criterion: Proportionality. This means having the number of representatives reflect the partisan preferences of voters. (The Ohio legislature adopted this criterion.)</p> <p>Hello, my name is Deborah Minor and I am a resident of Chatham County, NC. I am concerned about the Criteria that will be used to compile district maps for the purpose of redistricting in North Carolina this year. I feel that many times, the unique needs of our state communities have been overlooked. The last Redistricting Committee had an explicit criteria that should never be used again; that Criteria was to draw maps for Partisan Advantage. Fair District Maps is a basic right for all NC Voters!</p> <p>Instead, the Criteria that should be considered are Equal population and Contiguity, but with no racial data or political party information used with this Criteria. There should also be no consideration for Incumbent Protection to prevent, again, partisan gerrymandering.</p> <p>Another Criteria should be Compactness so that districts never become long and serpent shaped, making it difficult for residents to get to specific polls because of distance. One more Criteria that should be considered is Communities of Interest which would preserve the local integrity of a community.</p> <p>Thank you for listening to my request and I sincerely hope that you will consider the suggestions that I have made.</p>
08/10/2021 09:31 PM	Solveig Viste		I would like politics out of redistricting. Voters should choose their representatives, not the other way round.
08/10/2021 09:39 PM	Mrs. Marietta Law	AAUW	I strongly support the need for a fair, transparent, timely and inclusive process for drawing Congressional, State House and State Senate districts
08/10/2021 09:43 PM	Vonda Reives	Lee County Democratic Party	We, the People, demand open, inclusive and fair redistricting at all levels!
08/10/2021 09:48 PM	Mr. Joseph Cribb	Citizen	Please use a fair standard that uses established county and municipal boundaries primarily. Then subdivide by street grid or other established community boundary. Avoid using property values, incomes, race, pass voting records or voter registration lists. For voting to be legitimate it must be as fair and impartial as possible otherwise the results, no matter the candidate or political party, will be viewed as unacceptable and illegitimate. Without an acceptance of the results our democracy cannot be sustained and it will lead to chaos and conflict.
08/10/2021 09:50 PM	Paula Shelton	self	Please do not split municipalities Respect communities of interest Create county groupings that do not split municipalities or communities of interest
08/10/2021 09:50 PM	Dr. Kathleen Malley		Our state has a deep history of inequity in the way that the districts were drawn. We need a non-partisan, independent group to redraw the maps this time. Thank you
08/10/2021 09:57 PM	Janice Cullinan		Fair redistricting criteria is very important to me as a concerned voter as it should be for all voters - not a partisan exercise. My main reason for commenting is that our districts have often been unfairly drawn in the past. Districts should be equal in

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			population, compact and not split or gerrymandered to favor or discriminate against an incumbent, a political candidate, or a political party. The finalized criteria should be specific and direct - state what they will and won't do. They should not include vague descriptions such as: "when necessary," "reasonable efforts" or "may be considered." I want the committee to take my suggestions seriously and to create districts as fair and equitable as possible. Thank you for your attention.
08/10/2021 10:00 PM	Mrs Beverly Bard		A non-partisan committee needs to do fair redistricting.
08/10/2021 10:03 PM	Dr. Diane Hourigan		<p>I am Diane Hourigan and reside in Durham, North Carolina. I am very concerned about redistricting because I have lived here under gerrymandered districts and am appalled at the level of precision used to draw those districts unfairly. It is embarrassing to live in a state that has made gerrymandering a science and it would be nice to have districts drawn fairly, with the good of the people the prime consideration, rather than the officials picking who they want in their districts. I know it is possible to have fairly drawn districts as that was demonstrated by a Duke University professor and his students. The difference in the election outcomes was eye opening to say the least and I don't think I need to mention that testimony was important in having the maps declared illegal!</p> <p>I am particularly concerned about the member residence criterion. That reeks of drawing the district based on who is in office and protecting their incumbency, rather than drawing a district of citizens with similar concerns and challenges and letting them elect their legislator. It negates an incumbent from having to serve their electorate and work to gain their vote. I want you to NOT use member residence as a criterion and I want you to push community consideration higher in priority. It should not be the last criterion.</p> <p>Additionally, since districts should represent the people and what they want in a fair manner, I want you to add proportionality as found in Ohio and put it at the top of your list of criteria.</p> <p>I feel these changes are critical in attempting to keep voting fair for everyone and to restore faith in our democracy.</p>
08/10/2021 10:06 PM	Dr. Andrea Archibald		It is vital that FAIR and equal districts are drawn. Gerrymandering based on party and/or race is having devastating consequences in this state and across the country. Fair representation for every person in this state should be the goal.
08/10/2021 10:24 PM	Cheryl Tung		Addressing redistricting criteria, consideration for communities of interest should be elevated to a higher position while incumbency should be removed from the criteria, as incumbency leads to less competitive elections and provides politicians less incentive to listen to their constituents. I would encourage this committee to follow Ohio's lead with regard to proportionality, ensuring that districts are politically competitive and more in line with the statewide preferences of North Carolina voters, something which is sorely lacking in the maps of the previous decade. The majority of legislation that comes from this state legislature does NOT reflect the preferences of the majority of the state's voters. Additionally, please schedule public hearings across the state with ADEQUATE ADVANCE NOTICE so that people have opportunities to provide input re: communities of interest and maps. Notice of less than 72 hours is NOT advance notice. Thank you.
08/10/2021 10:52 PM	Brad Hessel		<p>With respect to what, please follow the strictures outlined in our state constitution: make the districts equal in terms of the number of voters, keep them contiguous and compact, and don't split up counties between different districts (except where there are too many voters in a county to fit into a single district, of course).</p> <p>With respect to how, please implement the same process y'all used in 2019, which was the most transparent and inclusive in the three decades I have lived in North Carolina.</p>
08/10/2021 11:20 PM	7046650833 Laura Fitzpatrick		Please consider using an outside contractor to determine the districts instead of partisan "gerrymandering".
08/10/2021 11:49 PM	Terri Ring		I want to see a more transparent process for redistricting in 2021: Map-drawing, meetings and discussions accessible to the public via video, with clear audio. Maps should not be taken from the room. Data methods, software, etc. should be public record. Districts should be drawn by population, NOT partisan advantage, previous voting information or incumbent protection. NC voters should choose our representatives-politicians should not be choosing their voters!
08/10/2021	Ms. Gisele		Please create as many competitive districts as possible. Please be transparent about the rationale for proposed districts.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
11:54 PM	Crawford		If the votes cast across the state are close to 50% for a particular party, the number of seats that party wins should also be close to 50%.
08/11/2021 12:59 AM	Alan Buis		<p>To Whom It May Concern:</p> <p>North Carolina isn't a red or a blue state, and every member of the NCGA knows this. It's very much a purple state. As such, its legislative and Congressional district lines should reflect our diverse populace, not the whims of politicians of EITHER party seeking to maintain or gain power and to disenfranchise voters of other political persuasions.</p> <p>Redistricting does not belong in the hands of politicians, period. It should be the sole work of an independent redistricting commission. Anything less is tantamount to a fox in the henhouse. North Carolinians deserve better, and we won't stand for political shenanigans. Establish an independent redistricting commission asap, so that ALL our citizens have an equal and fair voice in our collective shared future. Division drags us all down.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Alan Buis Chapel Hill</p>
08/11/2021 01:15 AM	Mrs DonnaMarie Woodson	Chair Precinct 135 Mecklenburg County	Our upcoming redistricting is critical to the progress of North Carolina. Raleigh Republicans and their map-manipulating consultants will move to pass maps that help them take back a super-majority in the NCGA. If they get their way, we would return to complete Republican control in North Carolina. The Governor's veto won't matter and Republicans won't have to negotiate with Democrats about anything. We'll be subjected to anti-science, backward, and harmful policies. North Carolinians deserve clear guidelines on how districts can be drawn and tested to make sure partisanship doesn't result in maps that vary widely from what a nonpartisan panel would draw. We need to ensure the public gets to see and comment on maps before they are finalized. I want a process that allows me to choose my representatives, in competitive districts that are not gerrymandered to favor one party or individual politician. This is an all-hands-on-deck moment and we must stay vigilant. The next decade in North Carolina depends on it.
08/11/2021 03:57 AM	Kathleen allen	The Forest at Duke	I am upset about partisan redistributing in NC and the abrupt manner in which it has been put forward. You clearly do not represent the informed people of our state. The right to vote is basic. The fight to have votes fairly tallied is basic.
08/11/2021 07:05 AM	Dr. Judith Hoffman		Gerrymandering needs to stop. Each of us should have the right to have our vote count. Our democracy is being threatened by the lack of bipartisanship!
08/11/2021 07:21 AM	Mr Jeff Baker	Citizen of Asheville	The criteria for redistricting must strongly step away from the partizan criteria that has affected the maps through the years--please let North Carolina set an example and specify a non-partizan, independent committee that will issue maps that are fair and not bias toward one party or the other. Please support this democracy with fair maps and criteria that support them.
08/11/2021 07:24 AM	Sandra Mikush		<p>I request that the General Assembly adopt a fair, transparent and inclusive redistricting process with a reasonable timeline allowing all North Carolinians to have an opportunity to participate, specifically:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Immediately after the August 16 data release, start the redistricting process. <li>2. By August 21, release processed block data for community use. <li>3. By September 15, disclose initial draft maps. <li>4. By October 6, submit final proposed maps to the General Assembly. <li>5. Provide a simple state government website for all redistricting information.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. Allow public comment before initial draft maps are drawn. 7. Allow public access and comment on draft and revised maps. 8. Permit written and oral public comment. 9. Hold accessible public hearings throughout the state. 10. Provide two weeks-notice of public hearings. 11. Disclose all criteria systems and data used in drawing maps. 12. Perform all map drawing and revising in public view. 13. Ensure quality video and audio broadcast in public meetings. 14. Disclose all third parties engaged in redistricting.
			<p>Such a redistricting process will contribute to better maps, engage all NC citizens, and improve public trust in our democracy.</p>
08/11/2021 07:27 AM	Mrs. Mary Davis	Retired	<p>Districts should be drawn so that communities and counties are not split. That means no snakes so that Virginia Fox represents Davidson County. They should not be partisan. Voters should be picking their representatives and not politicians picking their voters. A non-partisan group should be drawing the maps. Several years ago 5th graders were given the criteria number of voters plus keeping them together. They drew great maps.</p>
08/11/2021 07:34 AM	Ms Faith Rossell		<p>Please stop the unfair redistricting in our state. It's not fair and it's not democratic.</p>
08/11/2021 07:45 AM	Kathie Kline		<p>I urge you to draw fair district maps, without considering race, partisan data, or past election results when drawing them. This is your opportunity to demonstrate integrity and fairness on behalf of all voters in North Carolina. We are counting on you!</p>
08/11/2021 07:50 AM	Mrs. Sharon Lewis		<p>As a citizen of the Old North State I petition the House- Senate- and Elections Committees to draw our new district maps in ways that allow us citizens to choose our politicians and NOT so our politicians can choose their voters. The historical record shows that Democratic candidates received far more votes than Republican candidates in the last election, yet due to partisan and racial gerrymandering (the latter found to be intentional and illegal by the NCSC) far more Republicans than Democrats won seats. That is anti-democratic (and anti-Democratic) and a travesty. Do North Carolinians get to choose between democracy and authoritarianism OR will a power-hungry few get to choose for us?</p>
08/11/2021 07:51 AM	7047931434 Melissa Young		<p>All drawings and proposed revisions should be done in public. Legislature must listen and be responsive to public input. Districts must NOT be so gerrymandered that one political party is favored over another or that communities don't participate together. Legislature knows what is right, fair and good, and should call on their better angels when making these decisions.</p>
08/11/2021 08:00 AM	Brenda McGee	North Carolina Voter	<p>Please vote for fair, transparent and non partisan districts. Keep communities with common interests together. Designing districts to minimize the impact of the votes of minority groups is unfair and should not be tolerated in our state.</p>
08/11/2021 08:16 AM	Ms. Mary Farmer		<p>Appoint a non partisan redistributing committee. This is too important to keep playing party politics.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 08:43 AM	Mr. Kenneth Slankard	Retired U.S Army	The maps should represent the majority of voters in this state. NC will continue to lag behind due to a minority rule in the legislature if the playing field isn't level. We have a Democratic Governor because the majority of voters in this state are Democrats. Enough of the unfair gerrymandering. I want to see a state government representative of the majority.
08/11/2021 08:43 AM	Paulette KeffasChassin		While a basic democratic tenet is "One Person, One Vote," that is not the case in North Carolina. While one party has won more votes in 2018 and 2020, the MINORITY party has won more seats! And, you know the reason is political gerrymandering. I strongly urge the General Assembly to abide by the will of the voters and draw fair, nonpartisan maps which reflect our wishes for representation. Votes have been 50/50 DEM/REP so districts, both for NC House, Senate and Congress. For example, 14 Congressional seats = 7 Dem Candidates/7 Republican. Stop the politics, please and draw FAIR maps which reflect your constituents. We'll be watching. Thank you.
08/11/2021 08:44 AM	Susan Lang		We need maps drawn by nonpartisan groups. This gerrymandering handpicked voting groups needs to end.
08/11/2021 09:17 AM	Gerrymandering robs us Mark Halverson		We would prefer that the district boundaries were created fairly, rather than the way they have been rigged the past decade or so. Let us move closer to democracy rather than farther from it.
08/11/2021 09:56 AM	Vanessa Hall		'Member Residence'. This sounds like code for considering an incumbent's district. However, the districts have been found to be gerrymandered by federal courts. Thus, more clear language and ultimately there should be no consideration of incumbents' current districts.
08/11/2021 10:05 AM	Mrs Pat Smith	North Carolina Federation of Republican Women	I am so hopeful that the General Assembly can work together to design a fair and equitable map for the Redistricting of our wonderful state. We are so proud that NC has grown so much that we have one more delegate in Congress. It is very important that we follow the laws and keep each county as a whole in the same Congressional District, as this is so crucial in local governmental processes. It is very unfortunate that some use the derogatory term "Gerrymandering" for the required and lawful process of updating congressional representation. The public will be very disappointed if this process is delayed by frivolous lawsuits.
08/11/2021 10:14 AM	Elizabeth Heafield		Cities and towns and communities should be left in the same district and not separated to mathematically create gerrymandered majority Republican and Democratic districts. Representatives in gerrymandered districts tend to not compromise on any legislation. Also, why are attempts to restrict democracy and our right to vote being buried in the budget proposals?
08/11/2021 10:18 AM	Vanessa Cowie		I respectfully ask for the gold standard for redistricting be applied to the maps for 2021; that is, adopting the provisions of SB 673. I have never endorsed drawing maps to favor one political party over another, nor to favor an incumbent over a challenger, not even when the party or representative I approved of would have benefitted. Impartial districting is essential to a real democracy, and our system must avoid not only unjust maps, but even the appearance of unjust mapping. Voting maps must be drawn fairly and impartially, even if all the provisions of SB 673 are not adopted, although the independent commission seems to me to be the best way to ensure impartiality and fairness. In any case, I am disappointed in the extremely short period and short notice given for gathering public comments. We the People are watching and evaluating the trustworthiness of our representatives. I call on each of you to carry out redistricting with honor and equity.
08/11/2021 10:19 AM	Susan Blount		1. First and foremost - no more gerrymandering! Such an embarrassment for NC to be known nationally for its expertise in this practice. That means no use of racial and partisan data in manipulating maps to achieve partisan gains. 2. Avoid incumbent protection - This will inevitably take on a partisan nature 3. Proportionality - The partisan mix of NC congressional representatives should not be so out of line with the statewide partisan preferences of voters. That is, no more 10+3 mix of NC congressional seats in a state with a far more balanced

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>mix.</p> <p>4. Please institute a formal process for evaluating approved maps for racial and partisan biases.</p> <p>Please do right by North Carolina and democracy.</p> <p>A concerned NC citizen and voter, Susan Blount</p>
08/11/2021 10:38 AM	ms Melissa Lomax	welcome wagon	Gerrymandering is unacceptable. Redistricting should be a nonpartisan process! I want my vote to reflect my voice and a fairly drawn district!
08/11/2021 10:41 AM	Claudia Lange		<p>First and foremost, the NCGA must give the public a fair chance to see, read, understand, and comment on all aspects the redistricting process. Often, meetings are rushed and scheduled with little notice and at times when working people cannot attend. This makes it almost impossible for people to understand exactly what they are being asked to comment on, vote on, or in this case, accept as fair and equitable for the next decade. This must stop.</p> <p>True transparency and participation for those most affected by redistricting are foundation of our democracy which must be preserved throughout every step this process. From the criteria, data, and systems used in drawing the maps to performing all map drawing in public view, to ensuring plenty of notice (at least two weeks) of public hearings - these are the minimum steps necessary for true public participation to occur. The public experienced significant difficulty connecting to audio and video broadcasts that allowed for a detailed observation of the process. A truly transparent process must be used in 2021. Anything less will only serve to degrade public confidence in our state's redistricting. The technology is available to accomplish this goal of true transparency. The political will must be there also. Citizens are demanding it.</p> <p>For the last 10 years, our maps have been the subject of lawsuits that have undermined the public trust in our redistricting system. We have been in the national and local spotlight for extreme racial and partisan jerryandering. The federal and state courts have spoken on this. Now, the people of North Carolina are speaking and we are demanding fair maps as rendered through a fully open and transparent process. The time for extremism is over.</p>
08/11/2021 10:42 AM	Ms. Patricia Endsley	myself ; All On the Line - North Carolina	<p>I have several concerns / suggestions for the proposed redistricting criteria released Monday August 9, 2021.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) North Carolinians have not had adequate time to review and comment on the proposed redistricting criteria. We had less than a day (~ 16 hours) to review, prepare and attend the meeting for public comments and then about 48 hours to review and submit written comments. Since this will impact elections for the next 10 years it is critical that we have adequate time to review. 2) Replace vague wording with definitive wording. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Wording like "may be considered" (municipal boundaries, member residence, community consideration) b. VTD's- define or provide criteria for when it would be necessary to split c. Compactness - what would be considered a "reasonable effort"? 3) Community consideration is the lowest of the priorities. Like communities should be able to vote together on issues and for people who represent their interests. 4) Racial data should be included in redistricting to avoid voter suppression. <p>Thank you for your consideration of these comments.</p>
08/11/2021 10:42 AM	Sharon Welch	Citizen	All district lines should be drawn by both parties as well as unaffiliated. NOT republicans only
08/11/2021 10:46 AM	Mrs Victoria Weeke		I now live in District 13. The district covers several counties instead of grouping them together. I don't feel like I have adequate representation. The needs of this community are not being met because we have lost "community" by not

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 10:56 AM	Pastor William Lucas	All On The Line	<p>keeping geographical areas together. Please draw fair maps that truly represent us instead of yourselves.</p> <p>How Long?</p> <p>How long will legislative bodies in the United States suppress communities of color through discriminatory and restrictive policies simply because of the hue of their skin?</p> <p>I am a proud North Carolinian. My family has lived and worked in this state for over 5 generations. We have served this "great state" as soldiers, educators, farmers, truck drivers, mechanics, ship builders, business owners, attorneys, and preachers. I have some family members who are die heart Carolina, ECU, Duke, NC A&T, NCCU, Shaw, Fayetteville State, NCCU and State fans. In other words, my family and millions of other families of color love this state!!! However, in many ways North Carolina has not loved us back!!!</p> <p>We have been drugged up, locked up, locked out, and suppressed for so long that it has almost destroyed America!!! Legislators what you have to realize is you cannot destroy the minority community without destroying yourselves. We built America. We were the free labor for over 400 years!!! Former National Security Advisor, Condoleezza Rice advised us years ago that they were having a hard time finding qualified young people to serve in the military and this was a threat to our National Security. Have you been to a restaurant lately? How was the service? If you want high quality employees then you have to support and build up the minority community because the minority community is "your community." In the words of Dr. King, "we rise or fall together." The fate of this state and nation are in your hands.</p> <p>How can you help?</p> <p>You can help making the voting process in North Carolina as fair and inclusive as possible. There are several examples of how this has been done in other states and it is my hope that we can implement some of these techniques in North Carolina. For instance, I think we should use the "community of interest" model in drawing our maps. The community's input should be one of the major factors in determining how to draw our 2021 maps.</p> <p>Thanks for your consideration Blessings and Peace, Pastor William V. Lucas</p>
08/11/2021 10:57 AM	Martha Harris		<p>My name is Martha Harris and I live in Hampstead.</p> <p>I am writing to support fair redistricting in North Carolina. I am by no means an expert on gerrymandering - but I watched a film "Slay the Dragon" last year and it made a lasting impression. It was all about how a group of people in Michigan changed the state policies so that a bipartisan group of citizens drew the district lines - not politicians. Their main point was the the people should chose their elected officials - not the other way around.</p> <p>Fair voting is the most precious right that we - as Americans - have. Packing and cracking break communities part make it almost impossible for the true will of the people to be known. Politicians - of both parties - have fallen into the trap of drawing lines that are helpful to their reelection bids - without regard as to what their actions do to our communities.</p> <p>If nothing else - COVID has shown us that the health of a community is directly related to a common bond between its members. If you were to split up Hampstead from Holly Ridge or Topsail Island - people that share the same issues would not have the same representatives - so our voices would be diminished. Our area is growing so fast - there are unique issues that need to be addressed by one person who really cares and can be held responsible for their votes.</p> <p>My faith in government has lessened in the past few years. Please use this opportunity to prove to the citizens of North Carolina that you care more about us than an outdated, rigged system. We are counting on you to do the right thing.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			Sincerely, Martha Harris 137 Cove Side Lane Hampstead, NC 28443 martha.home17@gmail.com
08/11/2021 10:58 AM	Jill Moore		I am writing to voice my opinion on redistricting of North Carolina counties. I am very much against this biased practice & as a tax paying citizen would appreciate your consideration.
08/11/2021 11:00 AM	Ms. Kate Fellman	You Can Vote	I respectfully request that partisan considerations and election results data not be used in the drawing of districts in the 2021 Congressional, House and Senate plans. North Carolina's number of unaffiliated voters and those who choose "not to choose" a party and are marked as unaffiliated automatically is growing substantially every year. For the past 7 years my organization has registered and educated thousands of voters across the state. There is a growing sentiment from voters that political parties are broken. Voters tell us that they would like more choices. Currently 33.88% of all registered voters in NC are Unaffiliated. These voters deserve choices and deserve a fair process that does not include partisan or election result data to determine their representation.
08/11/2021 11:00 AM	Annie Taft		Stop the gerrymandering. It is destroying the integrity of our elections. Draw FAIR districts.
08/11/2021 11:08 AM	Ms Carolina Candelaria		<p>1) I think the criteria under MEMBER RESIDENCE should be placed above all others and wording changed as follows: The place of residence of any incumbent or political candidate MAY NOT be considered in the creation of a map. Districts should not be drawn for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against an incumbent, political candidate, or political party. Because it is you incumbents who will be drawing the maps, it is necessary to codify this principal in the criteria to help your members to decide boundaries in a fair way, unbiased by their natural self interest in reelection.</p> <p>2) The wording under MUNICIPAL BOUNDARIES should be changed to read that they MUST be considered when forming districts. The people in my town have many communal interests which should be considered.</p> <p>I am relying on this committee to establish these criteria to help me get representation in our legislature. The way it is now many members have NO accountability and never need to listen or respond to the needs of any of the people to still be continually reelected. I am counting on you and your oath to protect and defend our democracy by correcting any past shortcomings in giving all NC citizens equal representation through your drawing of fair impartial maps, in a transparent process. Thank you for your service in this most important matter.</p>
08/11/2021 11:13 AM	Attorney John Stutt		<p>Sincerely, Carolina Candelaria</p> <p>Please adopt the Joint Redistricting Committee Proposed Criteria.</p> <p>Voters need to know the elections are FAIR and not rigged by any one party.</p> <p>149 W. Parkfield Court, Racine WI 53402</p>
08/11/2021 11:15 AM	Ms. Marilyn Clayton	UU Justice NC	Please have a bipartisan taskforce oversee the redistricting. It's time for NC to stop being the gerrymandering capital of the nation.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 11:24 AM	Mary Markham		Please draw fair voting maps. They are essential for democracy to survive.
08/11/2021 11:26 AM	Mr. Theodore Fuller		As the North Carolina General Assembly prepares to redistrict our state's Congressional, State House, and State Senate districts, I am writing to convey the need for a fair, transparent, timely, and inclusive process in which all North Carolinians have a reasonable opportunity to participate. Here are some basic guidelines that should be followed: 1. Implement a Redistricting Timeline That Allows Informed and Impactful Public Comment 2. Provide Genuine and Meaningful Opportunities for Public Comment 3. Ensure Transparency throughout the Redistricting Process Thanks. Theodore Fuller
08/11/2021 11:31 AM	Mary Westbrook		Partisan considerations should not be used in the drawing of districts.
08/11/2021 11:32 AM	Deborah Beroth	None	Dear legislators, please make the redistricting process fair. Make the districts compact and keep communities together. we have similar concerns in our communities. Use the census data to make it fair. If you are doing your job you will get re-elected. We should choose our representatives, you should not choose us. Please do what's right. Thank you Deborah Beroth
08/11/2021 11:34 AM	Reverend Susan Gladin		It is beyond time to be sure that our neighbors of color are no longer excluded; that lines are not drawn to put democratic candidates' homes in red districts (see former maps) and to insure that every vote counts, not just republican votes.
08/11/2021 11:35 AM	Holbert Myers		I support the three key points made in the joint partners' letter of 8/2 and the published criteria for determining NC's new districts.
08/11/2021 11:36 AM	Margaret Mathews		My name is Margaret Mathews and I live in Holly Ridge, across the sound from Topsail Island. I live on the far western side of Onslow County, shop in Pender County, and visit doctors in Onslow and Pender. I enjoy theater events in New Hanover County, and have visited beaches all along the NC coast. I've lived here since 2015 and have been interested in the redistricting issue for a few years. I don't understand protecting incumbents - why should anyone not compete for their seat? It's a race, not an automatic first place win. Though I understand the urge to draw districts that give partisan advantage to your team, is that the way we should play? Districts should represent ALL the people living there. Competitive districts would mean we don't have predetermined outcomes, and I think that's the beauty of our system. We all get to put in our 2 cents when we compete on a level, fair playing field. Thanks for your time. Margaret Mathews 102 Mardella Way Holly Ridge NC 28445 307-760-2545
08/11/2021 11:37 AM	Janet Gauss	private citizen	I am writing to urge the NC Legislature to draw equitable maps that will allow the people to choose their representatives rather than politicians to choose their voters. For our Democracy to survive every citizen's voice must be heard and their vote must count. Please allow the following guidelines to steer the process:

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
----------------	------	--------------	----------

1. Immediately after the August 16 data release, start the redistricting process.
2. By August 21, release processed block data for community use.
3. By September 15, disclose initial draft maps.
4. By October 6, submit final proposed maps to the General Assembly.
5. Provide a simple state government website for all redistricting information.
6. Allow public comment before initial draft maps are drawn.
7. Allow public access and comment on draft and revised maps.
8. Permit written and oral public comment.
9. Hold accessible public hearings throughout the state.
10. Provide two weeks-notice of public hearings.
11. Disclose all criteria systems and data used in drawing maps.
12. Perform all map drawing and revising in public view.
13. Ensure quality video and audio broadcast in public meetings.
14. Disclose all third parties engaged in redistricting.

Such a redistricting process will contribute to better maps, engage all NC citizens, and improve public trust in our democracy.

Points 11, 12, and 14 are particularly important due to the partisan nature of the last redistricting efforts which resulted in court cases and the redrawing of maps. Use of an independent, non-partisan panel of demographers would be the fairest way to ensure maps are justly drawn and allow all voters representation in our government.

Thank you for your work on behalf of all the voters of North Carolina.

Janet Gauss

08/11/2021 11:42 AM Ms KAREN HILL none

As a concerned resident of Durham, NC, I am upset by the improper redistricting process in this state. Since there is a long history of partisan redistricting efforts in NC, we need to insist on transparency and public access to all stages of the map-drawing process. The Redistricting Committee should draw no maps to benefit any political party or individual. All communities of interest need to be considered in the formation of these maps. Any hearings to inform the public should have sufficient advance notice, whether held in person or virtually.

I live in the Heritage Heights neighborhood of North Durham. Our property borders the beautiful Eno River State Park.

Thank you to all of you for your hard work and public service!

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 11:44 AM	Kimberly Scholl	<p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Karen Hill, Retired Nurse 4519 Reigal Wood Rd. Durham, NC 27712</p>	<p>Dear Honorable Sirs and Madams,</p> <p>My name is Kimberly Thornton Scholl. I'm a resident of Winston-Salem. As a person of faith, I know that every person's voice is important. I know, too, that in the state of Esse Quam Videri, we must act clearly and consistently to honor and support the rights of our citizens. Because the redistricting language to be established directly affects the administration of a Constitutional right, it must be specific and explicitly fair to be correct.</p> <p>Honestly, I'm concerned about the vagueness of the language that is currently proposed, and the vast loopholes for mischief it allows. I wouldn't sign a contract for repair work on my home with such language. And voting is infinitely more important.</p> <p>Salient to me are the criteria stating that no racial or election data may be considered. I'm aware that savvy legislators know who voted for them, and how demographic specifics are distributed within their districts.</p> <p>In effect, these criteria do not prevent legislators from silently using the extensive knowledge within their own heads, but they can strongly prevent legislators from stating outright why a proposed district might be unfair. I believe these two criteria would give Committee members free license to silently discriminate. That should never be allowable.</p> <p>As a remedy, I can suggest a balance of two criteria, one from California and one from Ohio. The first part of the equation, from California, is respect for Communities of Interest. It's worth noting that there this criterion is prioritized only after Equal Population, Contiguity, and Compliance with the Voting Rights Act:</p> <p>"The geographic integrity of any city, county, city and county, local neighborhood, or local community of interest shall be respected in a manner that minimizes their division to the extent possible without violating the requirements of any of the preceding subdivisions. A community of interest is a contiguous population which shares common social and economic interests that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation. Examples of such shared interests are those common to an urban area, a rural area, an industrial area, or an agricultural area, and those common to areas in which the people share similar living standards, use the same transportation facilities, have similar work opportunities, or have access to the same media of communication relevant to the election process. Communities of interest shall not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates."</p> <p>The second part of the equation, from Ohio, is Proportionality:</p> <p>"The statewide proportion of districts whose voters, based on statewide state and federal partisan general election results during the last ten years, favor each political party shall correspond closely to the statewide preferences of the voters. "</p> <p>As a citizen and North Carolina native, I respectfully ask you to ensure that our redistricting criteria support the creation of districts that shine as an example of fairness and equity, a beacon that will allow us to attract and retain talent within our businesses and communities, and will make us a better, stronger, more just state. I urge you to make these changes to the proposed redistricting criteria to help make that happen. May God bless you all.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 11:45 AM	Ms Megan Colucci		I would very much like the discussion concerning redistricting in North Carolina to be extended. This is a subject that will effect every life in North Carolina for the next ten years. Something that important should not be fast tracked by the majority party just because they have to power to do so. North Carolina is a very divers state and all aspects of the populace should be given ample time to express their concerns. If this is not done, a serious miscarriage of justice results. Please extend the period of time that constituents may comment on this process to let their voices be heard.
08/11/2021 11:48 AM	Ms. Margaret Meyer	Private Citizen	<p>I strongly believe the gerrymandering that has taken place across our country (on both sides of the political aisle) has had an ill-effect on our country's democracy as a whole. I hope that North Carolina will take this chance to right this ship. I support the League of Women Voters' efforts to correct current issues in the redistricting process:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o All map drawing and revisions, including all discussions and meetings, should be performed in the public view and live-streamed. o Draft maps and revisions to draft maps should be accompanied by an explanation available to the public. o All conversations or commentary by those engaged in the map-drawing process should be audible to public observers. o Maps should not be removed from the meeting room during the drawing or revising process. o Final maps should be accompanied by a written justification for the districts chosen. o All video related to map-drawing provided for public consumption should be timestamped and of a quality such that the public can view all relevant details of the proposed maps. o Hearing notices should include a contact phone number for those observing the process to report technical issues. Should technical issues arise that prevent public observation, map drawing or revising should stop until the issues are resolved. <p>Thank you for your consideration in making our redistricting process work for all legal North Carolina voters.</p>
08/11/2021 11:49 AM	Dr Judith Ruderman	retired	This plan must be equitable and just, not subject to self-serving partisanship and being thrown out by the courts. We have a nationally-acknowledged expert in these matters close at hand at Duke University, Jonathan Mattingly, and I suggest that you consult him and involve him in this process.
08/11/2021 11:52 AM	Fair, clear criteria Andrew Slaughter	N/A	<p>I am asking our legislators to use the 2020 Census data to reflect accurate , fair and clearly- worded criteria in drawing new districts. Our districts should fairly and accurately represent the voters who live there, not to solidify a partisan legislative advantage or agenda. Districts should not be split in ways that do not make sense in order to preserve incumbents or to retain the same makeup of legislators from the previous years. District residents need to have representatives who are part of their communities.</p> <p>For example, having several districts that all converge near or around Mecklenburg county and then branch out to far corners of the state does not make any kind of sense and is only used to solidify uncompetitive partisan districts and protect incumbents.</p> <p>New criteria needs to avoid this and spell out clearly how it will better represent the people who live there.</p>
08/11/2021 12:02 PM	KAREN METZGUER		<p>Dear members of the committee,</p> <p>I have reviewed your document 2021 JOINT REDISTRICTING COMMITTEE PROPOSED CRITERIA and find it lacks a commitment to meaningful public comment. Please take immediate action to assure the process happens in the light of day, that you share the data and criteria you used to draft the maps, and that you solicit public comment widely allowing for virtual and written comment as we work hard to assure people stay healthy and safe in this time of increasing infections and hospitalizations from COVID 19. Thank you.</p>
08/11/2021 12:02 PM	Ms Megan Colucci		I am very concerned that the House and Senate committees have not been completely transparent concerning their intentions in the redistricting process of representing municipalities. The current language from the legislation states that municipalities "may" be split. The constituents of all of North Carolina need to know that the legislators will keep all municipalities intact to ensure an equitable process in all elections. When splitting occurs, the majority of people effected are those in communities of interest, thus diluting the power of their voting bloc. That is the only purpose for this unjust

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 12:02 PM	Homer Ashby		practice. The majority leadership needs to stop artificially creating power bases that support their candidates which, in turn, secures their hold on power. If they cannot win with equitably draw districts, then they do not deserve to serve the people in that area. Cheating to get and maintain power is unjust and should stop immediately.
08/11/2021 12:07 PM	Gayley Crockett		I support the Joint Redistricting Committee Plan Proposed Criteria to be used in redistricting by the North Carolina General Assembly. The criteria of equal population, contiguity, data identifying race not being used, compactness, not using election data regarding party affiliation, and county lines not being traversed are crucial ingredients to help insure just elections.
08/11/2021 12:08 PM	Mrs Allison Binder		The NC Legislature is starting a process of redrawing districts that should reflect community, not politics. Communities should be kept together and districts should NOT be drawn to favor one political party over another. Full transparency around the re-drawing of districts is essential, including hearings involving the public, taking into account public comment and all map drawing in public view. The Legislature should make certain that the public is involved. "
08/11/2021 12:11 PM	Lindsey Shawver		Given history, much prefer there be no politician involved in drawing or re-drawing the new district maps for North Carolina.
08/11/2021 12:14 PM	Dr. Donell Kerns		Redistricting should not unfairly weight the votes of certain North Carolinians. All voters should have their votes counted equally to ensure fair election process, and no undue discounting of the votes of minorities or those in low vs. high income areas.
08/11/2021 12:14 PM	Dr. Donell Kerns		North Carolinians need fair redistricting, not the Republican agenda of disenfranchising black and brown voters. The timeline must ensure informed public comment at every step of the process, including public comment solicited before and after draft maps are drawn. There must be meaningful public comment opportunities, including in-person hearings throughout the state, with options for providing written public comment as well. Creating transparency through broadcasting public hearings and making all proposed maps fully accessible to the public is essential. Democracy is dependent on fair maps and voting rights - without them, there is no true democracy.
08/11/2021 12:19 PM	Sara White	Individual	North Carolina has a chance to make history by creating voting maps that are designed to support one person one vote. The new maps should be based on census figures as reported by the Census Bureau. Race, party affiliation, voting history and residence of incumbents should not be considered when drawing district lines. District compactness, contiguity, cohesion of counties, and grouping areas of common interest are important. You have the opportunity to make this an open process available to scrutiny by the public. Our state has been gerrymandered for as long as I can remember. Here is the opportunity to fix that and save millions in tax dollars spent on lawsuits challenging our districting.
08/11/2021 12:19 PM	Todd Kesselring		<p>The Olympics in Tokyo displayed remarkable athletes doing amazing things under some very strict rules tightly enforced by experienced judges. Working under strict rules can lead people to dig deeper, work harder, and achieve amazing results. Many of these victories in sport involved teamwork as well. Redistricting criteria should clearly state what rules the map drawers will follow. For example, VTDs (precincts) should be kept whole whenever possible, and the criteria should clearly state under which circumstances they will be split.</p> <p>While VTDs or Census blocks could be used for redistricting, a more perfect set of rules and a grander sort of teamwork would include local people taking these Census blocks or voting districts and assembling them into a larger shape that is the community they recognize around them. The redistricting committee could then take these shapes and assemble them into the various districts, making sure to keep these communities intact, only adding one community to another as needed to create larger districts. Local people could still recognize their community within each of these ever growing shapes as State House Districts, State Senate Districts, and Congressional Districts are created.</p> <p>In addition to this localized community input, there are other considerations that would help the committee maintain communities. These would include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Keep municipalities intact if their population is small enough to be contained in a single district.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>2. Keep college campuses intact. 3. Keep voting districts intact. 4. Keep individual unincorporated residential developments intact. 5. When county populations are too large to avoid splitting counties, municipal boundaries, large water bodies with their surrounding communities, school districts, hospital service areas, electrical cooperative service areas, and other distinct geographic entities should be kept intact.</p> <p>Opportunities for local input on communities of interest need to happen soon.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration.</p> <p>Todd Kesselring Pittsboro, Chatham County</p>
08/11/2021 12:32 PM	Chris Walters	Retired	<p>Keep communities together Districts must not be drawn to unduly favor a political party The process should involve meaningful public input every step of the way, both at in person public hearings and online public comments, and the legislature to be responsive to those comments And all map drawing and revisions, including related redistricting discussions and meetings, should be done in the public view and live-streamed</p>
08/11/2021 12:34 PM	Ms. griselda Alonso	Mujerxs Organizando Oportunidades Notables	<p>My name is Griselda Alonso. I live in Wake county and I write on behalf of a grassroots organization called Mujerxs Organizando Oportunidades Notables (MOON). North Carolina is one of the most rigged states in the nation and has been home to a decade of redistricting litigation. We hope that the legislature will make its work transparent without benefiting any party or incumbent. Instead, the focus should be on the benefit of the community that they claim to represent. Specifically, the criteria of considering local communities should be a higher priority and they should consider the specific needs of communities of color who have suffered from racism and discrimination.</p>
08/11/2021 12:36 PM	Dr. Andra Wilkinson		<p>A democracy means voters should choose their reps, not the other way around. We ensure this through fair districts.</p>
08/11/2021 12:42 PM	Mr. Gary Steele	Citizen	<p>My father risked his life in WWII and in Vietnam defending our democracy. He was not risking it for a system where politicians pick their voters. Competition of ideas in the public square has been the heart of democracy at it's best since the ancient Greeks invented it. Turning our legislative and congressional districts into primary-only contests results in division and gridlock. "Divide and conquer" has been a maxim in war and dictatorial rule since the Roman empire, and yet we divide ourselves, making us weaker relative to our authoritarian enemies. Districts should be drawn using, in order, city boundaries, county boundaries, major rivers, and major highways as dividing lines so that communities are represented, not divided up so their votes do not count. Districts should combine communities in the same MSAs or economic areas, not use boundaries that only contain roads or low population areas to connect geographically distant and unrelated communities as was done in the past. I firmly support the Voting Rights Act, and I believe experience has shown that minority voters have more representation and influence in Congress and the legislature in 3 districts with 50% minority voters than in one with 90% and two with 30%. Having districts span distant communities and media markets also makes it harder for challengers to campaign, reducing competition.</p>
08/11/2021 12:45 PM	Briana Brough		<p>My name is Briana Brough. I am submitting this comment in my capacity as a resident of Durham, a lifelong North Carolinian and a mother of two children currently attending public school in North Carolina. I believe that the people of North Carolina deserve a democracy that works for everyone, and the only way we get that is to draw political maps with the intention of providing equal and proportional representation to our state's many dynamic and diverse communities. We need a government that is dedicated to solving the challenges that our state faces currently and will face in the coming</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>decade. This committee is charged with drawing maps that allow residents of North Carolina to choose their representatives (not the other way around). I do not think that the proposed redistricting criteria will sufficiently constrain the people charged with drawing our maps from allowing their personal interests to influence their decisions regarding this awesome responsibility. I would like to see the following adjustments made:</p> <p>Keep communities whole whenever possible. Precincts, municipalities and other communities of interest should only be split when necessary to achieve equal population among districts. The circumstances under which the committee will split precincts, municipalities or communities of interest should be clearly spelled out in the criteria.</p> <p>Use racial data - to ensure equitable representation. In the past, racial data has been used to gerrymander Black voters "with surgical precision". In a state with the political geography and racial history of North Carolina, ignoring race entirely will not result in equitable and fair representation. Redistricting criteria should ensure that our new districts comply with the Voting Rights Act because that is the right thing to do for the people of our state.</p> <p>Tell us exactly how you will ensure transparency. Most citizens cannot tune in to the map drawing process during the work day. The Committee should release reports in a machine-readable format at the end of each day of map drawing so anyone watching the process can see what happened that day. That's what real transparency looks like.</p> <p>I believe that the proposed criteria can be improved in these was and I hope the committee will take seriously all the feedback from citizens who have cared enough to provide it. Thank you for your consideration.</p>
08/11/2021 12:47 PM	Fair Districting Maps Ken Nussbaum	Registered NC Voter	<p>It's unbelievable to me that the party of "integrity" and "personal responsibility," etc, would have to be coerced and begged to even have the appearance of doing the right thing. Instead, the Republican Party is hell-bent on using every trick to cheat their way to unfair representation. I urge everyone to treat this process with fairness. If your ideas are too unpopular to win on their merits, maybe consider that instead of cherry-picking your voters to ensure a fraudulent outcome that defies the actual will of the general public.</p>
08/11/2021 01:00 PM	Dr. Kersten Biehn		<p>I am hoping that the Redistricting Committees will confirm all of the proposed guidelines in the 2021 Joint Redistricting Committee Proposed Criteria except the last bullet point, and that they will then exercise their responsibilities with fidelity. I also want to express the hope that the last item in the Proposed Criteria, listed as "Community Consideration," be made more specific with regard to whom and in what manner "local knowledge of the character of communities" will be established. For that final bullet point, I would add that all determinations regarding the "character of communities and connections between communities" be made readily available to the public with full transparency and ample time for commentary. Thank you.</p>
08/11/2021 01:01 PM	Fair maps Alex Patti		<p>Good afternoon,</p> <p>My message is short: the new district maps should be fairly drawn, preferably under the purview of an independent, non-partisan commission. This means there should be no tricks and traps used to gerrymander districts that favor political parties. This state found itself in the courts because of it and the deliberate attempt to suppress votes via gerrymandering is as unconstitutional as it comes. Free, fair, and secure elections begin with maps that accurately represent the people.</p> <p>No partisan gerrymandering! Fair maps for all North Carolinians!</p> <p>Best regards, Alex Patti Southern Pines, NC</p>
08/11/2021 01:04 PM	Kenille Baumgardner		<p>No more crazy quilt patterned districts, Districts should be drawn by nonpartisan parties and by population only.</p>
08/11/2021 01:14 PM	Ms Emily Keel	womens international	<p>I am from Martin County and am very anxious about the lack of representation of voters seen through the state over the past decade. I see the packing of voters of color into US District 1 knowing the idea is to limit them to very few reps. Race</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
		league for peace and freedom of the triangle	<p>must not be used to limit representation but to create proportional opportunity to elect a person to reflect needs of the communities of color. I do not want to see districts drawn in preposterous formations to protect an incumbent's seat no matter the person or to eliminate an incumbent. It is absolutely necessary and obvious that the preferences of voters in recent elections should be reflected in the drawing. Completely unethical that Dems could have so many more voters and yet be drawn into many more R districts. This will only result in illegally elected people and multiple lawsuits once again. Do not put us through that. Keeping cities whole protects their common interests and should be a priority for drawing.</p>
08/11/2021 01:15 PM	Althea Richardson-Tucker		<p>My name is Althea Richardson-Tucker and I reside in Waxhaw, Union County. It is important that we have fair redistricting regardless of your political affiliation. Districts that allow one party to have more votes than the other party is not fair. It causes polarization of our citizens in our state and country where citizens no longer believe that their vote counts.</p> <p>Although all the criteria you have proposed appears to be fair, upon a careful review they are vague. It does not provide specifics for the public to keep you accountable for your decision. These criteria are based on your discretion.</p> <p>For example, Equal Population is a criteria, but how do you define "as nearly as equal as practicable." What parameters/factors will be used to help determine what is considered "as nearly as equal as practicable. Using this term makes it subjective and discretionary on those who are creating the districts.</p> <p>Racial Data is not a criteria to be used in the construction of the districts, however you also state that voting districts should be split when necessary. What factors will you be using to determine when it is necessary to split districts. Some form of racial consideration should be considered as the state has a history of suppressing the Black vote. As such Community Consideration should not be the last criteria to be considered in the construction of the districts.</p> <p>I implore you to be fair in the construction of the Senate and House districts and review your criteria to provide more specific parameters to construct the districts.</p>
08/11/2021 01:21 PM	Mrs. Erin Isgett		<p>We know that NC has a history of gerrymandering that has been described by judges as "target[ing] African Americans with almost surgical precision." It is key that this redistricting project remain nonpartisan and be done with the utmost integrity. We must do better than has been done even as recently as 2019 with the remedial maps, where transparency and public involvement were jeopardized again and again.</p> <p>We need a redistricting timeline that provides ample opportunities for public comment throughout the process. Online commenting allows for people all over the state to participate, and should be made simple, along with written public comments. In addition, opportunities for oral, in-person comments should be made throughout the state to maximize opportunities for participation. Adequate notice should be given for these public hearings. Public comments should be heard before maps are drawn, as well as after draft and revised maps have been made.</p> <p>All information and criteria used in drawing maps should be disclosed publicly, and all map drawing and revising should be done publicly. Any outside individuals or groups that are participating in the process should be disclosed publicly as well.</p> <p>All of these steps will help ensure truly representative maps and a transparent process that can be viewed with significantly more trust by North Carolinians. Let's create a new standard for redistricting and give NC a new reputation as being a leader in our nation when it comes to legislative integrity.</p>
08/11/2021 01:23 PM	Jim Saintsing		<p>The redistricting criteria must include proportionality of votes among the parties in recent elections. The mapmaking programs are sophisticated enough, and getting more so, that any number of proxy criteria can and will be used by the majority to perpetuate their own majority power. The only way to compensate for this temptation is to explicitly recognize voter preferences for party, so that every voter has a fair chance to have their preferred party proportionally represented in NC's Congressional delegation and in the General Assembly. It's illogical and unfair for the General Assembly to relentlessly</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 01:28 PM	James Schmitt	voter	partisanize every public body, including the courts and local boards, and then pretend that partisan preference is not a criterion in redistricting. Diluting the power of the party not in power has been a criterion in fact for redistricting, and the practice must be ended by expressly adopting proportionality as a criterion.
08/11/2021 01:38 PM	Ann Morris		<p>As an unaffiliated voter, I feel that all actions taken by any group to increase the impact/power of their votes negatively impacts the value of my vote, thereby infringing on my constitutional rights. The drawing of voting district maps must be done in a true nonpartisan way to meet the constitutional requirements for free elections.</p> <p>Hello, My name is Ann Morris and I live in Greensboro. I am writing today as a concerned citizen to offer comments on the proposed criteria for redistricting. I have two primary concerns about the way the criteria are currently written -- that they favor incumbents and that they do not give enough weight to the importance of community. First, the criteria that allows consideration of members' place of residence is intrinsically pro-incumbent, which is not fair. Districts should not be drawn to protect incumbents (or to exclude challengers); this is "the fox guarding the henhouse." I support a provision similar to that used in Ohio, which states explicitly that residence cannot be considered in the drawing of maps.</p> <p>Second, I believe that community should be a stronger priority in considering district lines. I have lived in Greensboro most of my life and have seen my congressional district drawn along an interstate line to include parts of Charlotte, and one of my local universities (A&T) split in half. Such districts are anti-democratic and discourage citizen participation and elected official accountability. People live in communities that are defined by shared interests, and those communities should be honored when drawing district lines. I would like to see this criteria strengthened by changing the word "may" to "shall" and by giving community higher priority. Keeping communities of interest whole should be the foundation of redistricting, not an optional consideration when other factors, including partisanship, allow it. Thank you for considering my comments and for your work. Sincerely, Ann Morris</p>
08/11/2021 01:39 PM	Ms Bunny Simoneau		<p>I am aware that NC has been gerrymandered to favor one party (surgical precision), and I believe that party has not been representing the common welfare of ALL of its citizens. (Medicaid expansion). I believe in majority rule and the rule of law. Voting districts should be drawn so they are fair to everyone and not so they look like snakes favoring certain classes of voters. Each district should be approximately the same shape, and contain approximately the same number of people.</p> <p>With respect to Racial Data shall not be used? The VRA of 1965 protected minority voting rights by not allowing dilution within voting districts, so obviously racial data was used then. I think that in order to protect minority voters, they should be identified and their VOICES should be fairly heard.</p> <p>The criteria being used seems to me to be intentionally vague giving legislators wide latitude in making determinations.</p>
08/11/2021 01:44 PM	Ms. Janet Blanchard		<p>I want North Carolina to be known as the gold standard for voters' rights and fair representation instead of one of the most partisan-gerrymandered states in the country. Aren't we all tired of wasting taxpayer funds on lawsuits for something we can fix? Let's do it right this time.</p> <p>I want to see voting districts that result in proportional representation of voters within a geographic area with common interest. City versus rural truly need different representation for the interests of each; let's stop using one to dilute the other and give each fair representation. I want to see all races of people fairly represented. So instead of pretending we are color blind (because we aren't), let's build fair districts with stronger representation for all voting citizens. It's really possible to do this, and NC will be a far better place to live for all of us.</p>
08/11/2021 01:54 PM	Jacqueline Barker	Wake County resident	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) all maps/revisions/commentary drawn/discussed in public view w/ live streaming 2) maps shouldn't be drawn to advantage a political party or individual 3) third parties should be disclosed and introduced at public meetings 4) public meetings should be held across the state w/ virtual attendance option
08/11/2021	Dr. caryn m hertz	UNC Chapel Hill	I strongly believe electoral districts should be drawn by non-partisan knowledgeable citizens. I feel entirely disenfranchised

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
02:01 PM			as a result of "pack and crack" district lines that have resulted in unbalanced and unrepresentative districts in our state. Citizens should choose their representative, not representatives choose their citizens. Please establish a system that addresses this problem now and in the future. Thank you. t
08/11/2021 02:03 PM	Dr Peter Gal		I am hoping the NC General Assembly will vote for FAIR districts that represent the various communities of interest in the larger population, and one that avoids partisan gerrymandering and incumbent protection. Everyone's vote should count -equally. Please protect the ideal of a democratic republic. This has practical implications for everything in our society and you have a great public trust. We are watching.
08/11/2021 02:04 PM	Ms Bernadette Fedziuk		I live in Davidson County NC. Since moving here 30 years ago I have witnessed the Republican power grab in this state largely through partisan gerrymandering. There are more registered Democrats in this state but strangely Republicans continue to gain seats in local and state elections. It is time for independent citizen commission for drawing fair and equitable maps that reflect actual communities. We need maps that actually represent our state. The General Assembly should use proportionality criteria as used in other states.
08/11/2021 02:04 PM	Ms Debra Clinton		What ever you do get non partisan group to draw lines
08/11/2021 02:06 PM	Wilma Pinter		Fair, inclusive voting districts are essential to our democracy!
08/11/2021 02:08 PM	Mrs. Kitty Kelly		I hope that you will conduct the redistricting in a fair and equitable manner that accounts for community needs. I have been represented over the past several years by politicians who do not live near me, who do not know our needs and frankly do not even make an attempt to understand our needs. I have not felt that my voice has been heard at all by both State and Federal elected officials. Thank you.
08/11/2021 02:10 PM	Mr. William Nesmith		After North Carolina's recent history of contested congressional maps, drawn with the express purpose of favoring one political party over another, I hope this round goes differently. These new maps should be drawn to reflect the actual will of the people of North Carolina, not just whichever party happens to be in the majority at the time. Communities should not be divided with their voting power diluted by gerrymandering. The voters should choose their representatives, not the other way around. There are methodologies for redistricting available that make fair representation possible and the Legislature should adopt one of them. The entire process of redistricting should be open to the public with in-person hearings, if possible. If in-person hearings are not possible due to COVID, the meetings should be streamed online using something like ZOOM so that the public can have input into the process. The Legislature should hear and respond to the input received at these meetings. Full transparency of the process should be enhanced by having any and all meetings and discussions regarding redistricting open to the public and live-streamed over the Internet.
08/11/2021 02:11 PM	Rebecca Zerkin		Decisions made by the NC House and Senate directly impact my family and - all North Carolinians - on a daily basis. But our current district maps yield legislative bodies that do not represent what a majority of North Carolinians desperately want, such as fully funding schools and keeping our water clean, to name just two out of many issues on which there is a severe disconnect between your legislation and our concerns. I urge you to address this disconnect by adding and prioritizing "proportionality" in the map-drawing criteria you adopt. The overall partisan balance of the NC House, NC Senate, and our delegation to the U.S. Congress should closely correspond to the statewide preferences of the voters. In addition, please do not allow consideration of member residence in drawing the new maps as that can only serve to "bake in" the same disconnect we have with the current maps.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 02:13 PM	Dr. Abigail Hoffman		<p>Thank you, Rebecca Zerkin Chapel Hill, NC</p> <p>Please use transparency practices such as involving organizations and citizens during the entire redistricting process. Organizations focused on voting rights as well as citizens should have an opportunity to review maps and criteria used to draw the maps, and provide feedback about those maps and criteria used to draw districts. In addition, I sincerely hope your committee with use non-partisan and transparent criteria as maps are drawn. As a citizen of North Carolina, I want my vote to matter and I want to have more trust in the government institutions than I currently have. I know that in order for citizens to be engaged and active, they have to believe the institution and the people who occupy offices within that institution are trustworthy beyond looking out for their own best interest and self aggrandizement. One way for you to begin to repair the damage of the last 20 years is to adopt transparent and non-partisan practices while maps are being drawn up and in order to draw those maps up. I want to be able to believe that North Carolina politicians are responsive to their constituents. The only way that can happen is with fair, non-partisan, and transparent district maps. If you are willing to put democracy before your own power, comfort, or the power of your party, then you will adopt transparent, non-partisan practices while district maps are being drawn. Transparent practices means public hearings with public comment, map-making done in public with rules and improved public observation of map-making. These practices will will create better maps and lead to greater public trust and accountability in our public governing institutions.</p> <p>If you only support the practices that were in place during last year's court-mandated map drawing, then please know you are choosing power over democracy and self-aggrandizement over the public good. In history books that will be noted next to your names and your family will have to come to terms with that piece of their ancestral history. In other words, this is bigger than you or me. Do the the democratic thing and adopt transparent and non-partisan criteria and map drawing procedures for redistricting.</p>
08/11/2021 02:14 PM	Harold Garrison		<p>Redistricting must be carried out with no regard for party affiliation, voting history, incumbent residential status or other partisan data. It must be non-partisan and accomplished based only on the raw data and those parameters required be federal and state law. I have read the "2021 Joint Redistricting Committee Proposed Criteria" document and find that it is an excellent start. Best wishes for a (relatively) peaceful and successful redistricting process.</p>
08/11/2021 02:14 PM	joanne comito		<p>Please think about the actual community that will be represented and take into account the fact that constituents deserve to be represented fairly in Congress. I live in Madison Cawthorne's district, a travesty really, since he represents absolutely nothing about the values of this area. Our districts should represent the people of our state accurately and what they want fairly. To do this, the General Assembly should use a proportionality criteria like that used in Ohio. Thank you.</p>
08/11/2021 02:15 PM	Dr Charles Brown		<p>The upcoming redrawing of districts is an opportunity for North Carolina to become a model for fair districting where voting districts are based on proportional representation of voters within a geographic area with common interests, rather than being the poster child for partisan gerrymandering designed solely to protect or increase the influence of the current majority party. Do what's right for ALL citizens of NC and avoid wasting money on the inevitable lawsuits and losing income from businesses that prefer to locate in a state with just and equitable representation.</p>
08/11/2021 02:22 PM	Mr. Todd Patton		<p>Redistricting should be done on a nonpartisan basis with the lines drawn by a panel of retired judges with equal numbers of Democrats, Independents, and Republicans - not by legislators choosing their own voters.</p> <p>In regard to the draft rules proposed for redistricting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I oppose taking into consideration the location of the homes of incumbents. This is a nod toward the current maps and an effort to protect incumbents of both parties. This should not be a consideration at all. - I oppose the 5% +/- standard for creating "equal" districts. A 5% difference means as much as a 75,000 voter difference

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>from the smallest to largest US House seat, 21,000 for a NC Senate seat, and 8,750 for a NC House seat. You have the tools to make districts far more equal than that. The maximum difference should be set much lower at just 1 or 2 %. The 5% +/- standard will result in unequal representation.</p>
08/11/2021 02:24 PM	Ms Megan Colucci		<p>I am very concerned that the House and Senate committees will continue their unjust practice of disrespecting communities of interest by drawing districts that divide their population in areas of high concentration. This unjust practice artificially creates power bases to support the candidates of the party that drew the lines. What is the current majority party afraid of that they have to cheat to win? Let the lines depict equitable districting where all candidates have an equal opportunity to win, and the races are no predetermined because one party had a partisan advantage over the other.</p>
08/11/2021 02:29 PM	Rev Karen Ziegler		<p>The proposed criteria seem mostly good, although I do not think that the residences of current members should be part of the criteria. Reason why: North Carolina is currently one of the most gerrymandered states in the country, and we now have a majority in the NCGA which is nearly all white men and whose extreme views are only shared by 1/3 of the population of our state. This is why we don't trust you to draw fair maps. But in this time of attack on the very foundation of our democracy, I am hoping you really mean it when you say you will not take race or partisan considerations into considerations. If you really act on these intentions, we will end up with an NCGA which is half Democrat and half Republican, one which would truly represent the people of North Carolina. Please. It is never too late to do the right thing.</p>
08/11/2021 02:42 PM	Ms Myra Fuller	Buncombe County concerned citizen	<p>When considering the Criteria for Redistricting the State of North Carolina, I would like you to create Districts that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Reflect the Partisan Make-up of State elections over the last 10 year period, * are Compact and Contiguous and that respect County and Municipality Boundaries, * Do not favor or discriminate against Incumbent Candidates or Political Parties
08/11/2021 02:46 PM	Mr. Earl Sachais		<p>As a taxpayer and a voter in North Carolina, I urge our Legislators to redistrict fairly and stay away from the Republican attempt to take away free elections and turn our Country into a dictatorship as the Trump Republican Party seems to want to do. PRESERVE OUR DEMOCRACY!!!!!!!</p>
08/11/2021 02:50 PM	MS. Jayne Abbot	Private Citizen of North Caroline	<p>North Carolina is known nationwide as one of the most partisan-gerrymandered states in the country. Let's take this opportunity to create fair and equitable voting districts that listens and responds to all of North Carolinians and is not stuck in a partisan abyss that is not representative of this State.</p> <p>Our voting districts need to represent all voters within a geographic area with common interests. Districts should not be set up to represent rural interests over city interests, or vice versa. Again, geographic areas with common interests is the goal. An example is District 10, which stretches from the suburbs of Charlotte deep into the mountains of Western NC including literally splitting a college campus in half. WNC and Charlotte have far different interests and this is a stark example of diluted representation. All races of people need to be fairly represented as well and not diluted for partisan favor. Let's build fair districts with stronger representation for all voting citizens, not purposely diluted representation to uplift one political party over the other. North Carolina's deserve fair voting districts and representation.</p>
08/11/2021 02:56 PM	Dr. Lekha Shupeck		<p>My name is Lekha Shupeck. I am currently employed by All On The Line as their North Carolina State Director. However, I am making this comment in my capacity as a private citizen – no one at my organization has had any part in the writing of this comment or has had access to any draft or copy of it.</p> <p>The redistricting criteria proposed by the chairs of the join Senate and House Redistricting Committee are wholly inadequate to the task at hand. In fact, they create very few meaningful constraints on map drawing at all, and do no work to contribute towards a fair outcome.</p> <p>I will deal with criteria in what I believe should be the order of priority.</p> <p>First, Equal Population is a standard criterion, and the language here is also standard with regard to the relevant legal</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
----------------	------	--------------	----------

precedents. I see no need to alter it.

Contiguity. While contiguity is an essential criterion derived from our state constitution and further refined by the Stephenson v. Bartlett case, which says that point contiguity is not sufficient, I believe it could be improved. I do not believe that contiguity by water is sufficient. Pure contiguity by water creates situations such as in the 2020 House District 19, where people residing in the part of the district in southern New Hanover County are forced to travel through districts 17 and 18 to reach the portion of district 19 that is in Brunswick County. Therefore, this criterion should state that Contiguity by water is only sufficient if there is a method of transport over that body of water (e.g. a bridge or ferry) that does not require travel through another district.

Counties, Groupings and Traversals. This criterion is necessitated by the Stephenson decision. While I believe the way the county groupings have been implemented is in violation of the Voting Rights Act, it is at this time still considered to be good law. That being said, I would remove the part of this criterion that includes “double bunking” as a justification for county division. I believe member residence should never be considered when drawing districts.

In the current proposed criteria “Community Considerations,” is pointedly placed last in priority. I believe that this kind of consideration should be much higher in priority, right behind the three preceding criteria I have dealt with. These community considerations should be the most important factor in map drawing, because they result from the information we receive from ordinary citizens’ input into the process, and their feelings about how they would be best represented.

Our criteria should also contain a fuller definition of communities of interest, akin to what is used in other states.

I also believe that municipalities should be dealt with in the same place, as this is the primary political division by which most people identify themselves. Thus, the geographic integrity of any city, local neighborhood, or local community of interest should be respected in a manner that minimizes their division to the extent possible without violating the requirements of any of the preceding criteria.

I also believe, in light of our history of racial gerrymandering, a criterion should exist which states that districts will comply with the VRA and that any minority opportunity district that is created must meet the analytical and other requirements outlined in Gingles v. Thornburg and other cases. In 2011 this by then well-established analysis was not done, and so-called “VRA” districts were created simply by packing as many Black voters into a single district as possible. These districts were then overturned in Covington because they failed to conduct any sort of analysis to show to justify their use of race. So while compliance with the VRA is important to include as a criterion, it should be made clear that minority opportunity districts should only be created in line with the rigorous standards established by Gingles and other cases.

I believe the next criterion that is essential to a fair redistricting process in North Carolina this year is an absolute prohibition on the consideration of incumbent addresses in the drawing of districts as well as a prohibition on the creation of districts that disproportionately favor or disfavor an incumbent, political candidate, or political party. North Carolina has become notorious for being one of the most gerrymandered states in our nation over the past decade. Nothing less than a total prohibition on the creation of districts which provide a partisan advantage is acceptable.

In contrast to the above, the current proposed criteria which prohibit the use of racial or election data in the drawing of districts are not well tailored to solving the problem of racial or partisan gerrymandering. In practice I believe they amount to little more than preventing election or racial data from being uploaded into the software which legislators and the public can use to draw maps at the public computer terminals. However, this is blocking off only one line of input for that information and has little relevance to whether or not outcomes are truly fair.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08/11/2021 02:59 PM	Citizen B Pfaff	Salisbury Indivisible	<p>We all know that legislators, by virtue of running for elections every two years, are eminently familiar with both the partisan and racial makeup of the areas within their district. This information cannot be magically removed from their heads in order to prevent its influence. Furthermore, the rules presented at the committee meeting on August 5, 2021 stated that “plan files from external sources can be imported.” Obviously, there is no way to limit the use of racial or election data for a plan that was drawn elsewhere and subsequently uploaded. Therefore, the prohibitions on election and racial data do not provide a substantial barrier to racial and partisan gerrymandering.</p> <p>These prohibitions also raise the question of how racial and partisan gerrymandering can be identified and the interests of voters of color protected when the data is not available to do the kind of analysis that would be needed. In light of all of these concerns I believe these prohibitions are at best useless and at worst actively obstruct the creation of fair maps.</p> <p>The current criterion named “VTDs” says only “Voting districts (“VTDs”) should be split only when necessary” without defining under what conditions those splits may be necessary. This is frankly so vague as to be absurd and meaningless. I believe that this criterion should come after Municipalities and Communities of Interest, VRA Compliance, Prohibition on favoring or disfavoring an incumbent, candidate or party, and that it should read thus: “To the extent to which this does not conflict with the criteria above, the geographic integrity of Voting districts (“VTDs”) should be preserved.”</p> <p>Finally, I will address compactness. Compactness has gotten a lot of attention in North Carolina over the past decade, probably because the 2011 maps in particular were notoriously, garishly non-compact. In fact, the average Polsby-Popper score for the 2011 congressional map was only 0.12 – absolutely dismal.</p> <p>However, the focus on compactness is, I believe, misplaced. The 2016 congressional map was 0.25, a massive improvement over 2011. However, it still maintained the same partisan imbalance as the 2011 maps – both maps had 10 safe Republican and 3 safe Democratic seats. Considering that the map redrawn in 2016 was redrawn again in 2019 in the context of a partisan gerrymandering suit, I believe compactness has little to no impact on partisan fairness. Beyond that it can provide an excuse to cut apart communities, cities, and precincts if prioritized highly. For this reason I believe that compactness should be the lowest priority criterion, and state that a lower limit of 0.2 should be set for Reock and Polsby-Popper scores per district, only to the extent that this does not interfere with higher ranked criteria.</p>
			<p>Undue everything you did to cheat and make advantages where you had none before. The Republican party no longer represents the other party of our democracy. You must be replaced but you can start by making right what you did wrong before you go.</p>

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 8/9/2021 1:40:08 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Monday, August 9, 2021 at 3:30 PM - UPDATED #1 (Joint)
Attachments: Update meeting on calendar.ics

Updated #1: Time Change

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
JOINT COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet **JOINTLY** as follows:

DAY & DATE: Monday, August 9, 2021

TIME: 3:30 PM

LOCATION: 544 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Discussion Only.

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 8/11/2021 11:00:08 AM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Thursday, August 12, 2021 at 8:30 AM (Joint)
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
JOINT COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet **JOINTLY** as follows:

DAY & DATE: Thursday, August 12, 2021

TIME: 8:30 AM

LOCATION: 544 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street |
Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 8/5/2021 3:50:07 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Monday, August 9, 2021 at 3:00 PM (Joint)
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
JOINT COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet **JOINTLY** as follows:

DAY & DATE: Monday, August 9, 2021

TIME: 3:00 PM

LOCATION: 544 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Discussion Only.

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street |
Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/20/2021 12:40:07 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Thursday, October 21, 2021 at 9:00 AM
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: Thursday, October 21, 2021

TIME: 9:00 AM

LOCATION: 1228/1327 LB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Pursuant to House Rule 28.2, a WebEx link will be provided to Committee Members and staff the day of the meeting. A link to the Audio/Video livestream will be posted on the NCGA Legislative Calendar: <https://www.ncleg.gov/LegislativeCalendar>.

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)"
<Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/22/2021 8:28:21 AM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Webex 9AM
Attachments: House Committee on Redistricting Agenda for 10-22-21 9_00 AM.pdf

Your upcoming virtual committee meeting on House Committee on Redistricting can be accessed from the following link:

<https://ncleg.webex.com/meet/LOB643>

A few friendly reminders:

- Your virtual meeting should be available at least 10 minutes prior to your scheduled meeting time to address any technical problems.
- It is **very important** that this link not be shared. Anyone with the link can access your meeting and there is a limit of the number of participants.
- Non-committee members, staff, and members of the public should plan to listen to the meeting through the audio stream link available on <https://www.ncleg.gov/audio> or watch the meeting live stream at <https://www.ncleg.gov/video>
- Once the meeting is called to order, the meeting will be locked to prevent additional participants from joining automatically. This is for the security of your meeting. Once the meeting is locked, late joining participants will be entered in to a "lobby" until approved to join by the meeting host.
- More information about virtual committee meetings, installing the application, and other ways to work remote with NCGA resources is available at <https://remote.ncleg.gov>

If you have any technical difficulties while trying to access your meeting or during the meeting itself, please contact ISD Support by one of the following methods:

- Email: ISDSupport@ncleg.gov
- Web: <https://isdsupport.ncleg.gov>

Phone: (919) 715-7825

Best,

Chandra Reed

Clerk Assistant | Rep. Destin Hall | 919.301.1638

1429 Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27603



**House Committee on Redistricting
Friday, October 22, 2021 at 9:00 AM
643 Legislative Office Building**

AGENDA

Welcome and Opening Remarks

Introduction of Sergeant-at-Arms

Presentations

Other Business

Adjournment

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/22/2021 3:50:24 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Tuesday, October 26, 2021 at 9:00 AM - 2:30 PM
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: Tuesday, October 26, 2021

TIME: 9:00 AM - 2:30 PM

LOCATION: 643 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Pursuant to House Rule 28.2, a WebEx link will be provided to Committee Members and staff the day of the meeting. A link to the Audio/Video livestream will be posted on the NCGA Legislative Calendar: <https://www.ncleg.gov/LegislativeCalendar>.

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/22/2021 3:55:08 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Friday, October 29, 2021 at 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: Friday, October 29, 2021

TIME: 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM

LOCATION: 643 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Pursuant to House Rule 28.2, a WebEx link will be provided to Committee Members and staff the day of the meeting. A link to the Audio/Video livestream will be posted on the NCGA Legislative Calendar: <https://www.ncleg.gov/LegislativeCalendar>.

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/22/2021 3:55:41 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Thursday, October 28, 2021 at 9:00 AM
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: Thursday, October 28, 2021

TIME: 9:00 AM

LOCATION: 643 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Pursuant to House Rule 28.2, a WebEx link will be provided to Committee Members and staff the day of the meeting. A link to the Audio/Video livestream will be posted on the NCGA Legislative Calendar: <https://www.ncleg.gov/LegislativeCalendar>.

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.





Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)"
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/22/2021 1:33:34 PM -0400
To: Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>; "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)"
<Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: HBK-11, House plan
Attachments: HBK-11_19x36.pdf; HBK-11_11x17.pdf; HBK-11 - StatPack.pdf; HBK-11.csv

For posting, as completed and renumbered last evening. E

Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578

HBK-11




Counties
District



NC General Assembly, October 22, 2021.

HBK-11



Legend

- District
- County



Population Deviation Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
1	1	86,995	84,330	-2,665	-3.06%
2	1	86,995	82,953	-4,042	-4.65%
3	1	86,995	83,163	-3,832	-4.40%
4	1	86,995	83,307	-3,688	-4.24%
5	1	86,995	88,865	1,870	2.15%
6	1	86,995	85,099	-1,896	-2.18%
7	1	86,995	84,450	-2,545	-2.93%
8	1	86,995	85,793	-1,202	-1.38%
9	1	86,995	84,980	-2,015	-2.32%
10	1	86,995	84,156	-2,839	-3.26%
11	1	86,995	84,155	-2,840	-3.26%
12	1	86,995	84,745	-2,250	-2.59%
13	1	86,995	84,735	-2,260	-2.60%
14	1	86,995	86,534	-461	-0.53%
15	1	86,995	87,220	225	0.26%
16	1	86,995	90,677	3,682	4.23%
17	1	86,995	85,692	-1,303	-1.50%
18	1	86,995	89,809	2,814	3.23%
19	1	86,995	91,245	4,250	4.89%
20	1	86,995	89,763	2,768	3.18%
21	1	86,995	91,041	4,046	4.65%
22	1	86,995	90,346	3,351	3.85%
23	1	86,995	83,445	-3,550	-4.08%
24	1	86,995	83,708	-3,287	-3.78%
25	1	86,995	82,938	-4,057	-4.66%
26	1	86,995	82,956	-4,039	-4.64%
27	1	86,995	83,297	-3,698	-4.25%
28	1	86,995	85,537	-1,458	-1.68%
29	1	86,995	87,332	337	0.39%
30	1	86,995	86,899	-96	-0.11%
31	1	86,995	85,389	-1,606	-1.85%
32	1	86,995	89,947	2,952	3.39%
33	1	86,995	83,510	-3,485	-4.01%
34	1	86,995	88,633	1,638	1.88%
35	1	86,995	88,374	1,379	1.59%
36	1	86,995	83,175	-3,820	-4.39%
37	1	86,995	86,157	-838	-0.96%
38	1	86,995	89,887	2,892	3.32%
39	1	86,995	90,166	3,171	3.65%
40	1	86,995	90,867	3,872	4.45%
41	1	86,995	86,179	-816	-0.94%
42	1	86,995	86,298	-697	-0.80%
43	1	86,995	83,049	-3,946	-4.54%
44	1	86,995	83,679	-3,316	-3.81%

Population Deviation Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
45	1	86,995	83,189	-3,806	-4.37%
46	1	86,995	90,164	3,169	3.64%
47	1	86,995	88,226	1,231	1.42%
48	1	86,995	91,290	4,295	4.94%
49	1	86,995	91,212	4,217	4.85%
50	1	86,995	91,165	4,170	4.79%
51	1	86,995	90,263	3,268	3.76%
52	1	86,995	86,087	-908	-1.04%
53	1	86,995	85,345	-1,650	-1.90%
54	1	86,995	86,399	-596	-0.69%
55	1	86,995	85,016	-1,979	-2.27%
56	1	86,995	91,189	4,194	4.82%
57	1	86,995	83,073	-3,922	-4.51%
58	1	86,995	86,256	-739	-0.85%
59	1	86,995	84,383	-2,612	-3.00%
60	1	86,995	83,038	-3,957	-4.55%
61	1	86,995	84,731	-2,264	-2.60%
62	1	86,995	90,361	3,366	3.87%
63	1	86,995	90,615	3,620	4.16%
64	1	86,995	90,201	3,206	3.69%
65	1	86,995	90,808	3,813	4.38%
66	1	86,995	89,735	2,740	3.15%
67	1	86,995	89,579	2,584	2.97%
68	1	86,995	91,096	4,101	4.71%
69	1	86,995	86,210	-785	-0.90%
70	1	86,995	84,857	-2,138	-2.46%
71	1	86,995	86,949	-46	-0.05%
72	1	86,995	84,874	-2,121	-2.44%
73	1	86,995	84,220	-2,775	-3.19%
74	1	86,995	84,066	-2,929	-3.37%
75	1	86,995	84,864	-2,131	-2.45%
76	1	86,995	88,255	1,260	1.45%
77	1	86,995	87,005	10	0.01%
78	1	86,995	88,138	1,143	1.31%
79	1	86,995	85,179	-1,816	-2.09%
80	1	86,995	90,854	3,859	4.44%
81	1	86,995	90,566	3,571	4.10%
82	1	86,995	90,742	3,747	4.31%
83	1	86,995	89,815	2,820	3.24%
84	1	86,995	90,628	3,633	4.18%
85	1	86,995	82,937	-4,058	-4.66%
86	1	86,995	90,835	3,840	4.41%
87	1	86,995	86,773	-222	-0.26%
88	1	86,995	85,366	-1,629	-1.87%

Population Deviation Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
89	1	86,995	86,827	-168	-0.19%
90	1	86,995	88,237	1,242	1.43%
91	1	86,995	86,426	-569	-0.65%
92	1	86,995	82,824	-4,171	-4.79%
93	1	86,995	86,179	-816	-0.94%
94	1	86,995	82,834	-4,161	-4.78%
95	1	86,995	85,031	-1,964	-2.26%
96	1	86,995	82,806	-4,189	-4.82%
97	1	86,995	87,197	202	0.23%
98	1	86,995	86,520	-475	-0.55%
99	1	86,995	85,822	-1,173	-1.35%
100	1	86,995	87,132	137	0.16%
101	1	86,995	87,647	652	0.75%
102	1	86,995	87,762	767	0.88%
103	1	86,995	86,263	-732	-0.84%
104	1	86,995	88,397	1,402	1.61%
105	1	86,995	89,894	2,899	3.33%
106	1	86,995	86,810	-185	-0.21%
107	1	86,995	85,577	-1,418	-1.63%
108	1	86,995	89,587	2,592	2.98%
109	1	86,995	87,570	575	0.66%
110	1	86,995	85,758	-1,237	-1.42%
111	1	86,995	86,445	-550	-0.63%
112	1	86,995	90,863	3,868	4.45%
113	1	86,995	90,893	3,898	4.48%
114	1	86,995	89,200	2,205	2.53%
115	1	86,995	90,262	3,267	3.76%
116	1	86,995	89,685	2,690	3.09%
117	1	86,995	89,505	2,510	2.89%
118	1	86,995	83,282	-3,713	-4.27%
119	1	86,995	90,212	3,217	3.70%
120	1	86,995	84,907	-2,088	-2.40%
Totals:	120		10,439,388		

County - District Report**District Plan: HBK-11**

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Alamance	54	171,415	86,399	86,399	50.40 %	100.00 %
	55	171,415	85,016	85,016	49.60 %	100.00 %
Alexander	86	36,444	90,835	36,444	100.00 %	40.12 %
Alleghany	111	10,888	86,445	10,888	100.00 %	12.60 %
Anson	77	22,055	87,005	22,055	100.00 %	25.35 %
Ashe	111	26,577	86,445	26,577	100.00 %	30.74 %
Avery	112	17,806	90,863	17,806	100.00 %	19.60 %
Beaufort	3	44,652	83,163	44,652	100.00 %	53.69 %
Bertie	5	17,934	88,865	17,934	100.00 %	20.18 %
Bladen	18	29,606	89,809	29,606	100.00 %	32.97 %
Brunswick	20	136,693	89,763	89,763	65.67 %	100.00 %
	21	136,693	91,041	46,930	34.33 %	51.55 %
Buncombe	115	269,452	90,262	90,262	33.50 %	100.00 %
	116	269,452	89,685	89,685	33.28 %	100.00 %
	117	269,452	89,505	89,505	33.22 %	100.00 %
Burke	109	87,570	87,570	87,570	100.00 %	100.00 %
Cabarrus	80	225,804	90,854	90,854	40.24 %	100.00 %
	81	225,804	90,566	90,566	40.11 %	100.00 %
	82	225,804	90,742	44,384	19.66 %	48.91 %
Caldwell	110	80,652	85,758	80,652	100.00 %	94.05 %
Camden	2	10,355	82,953	10,355	100.00 %	12.48 %
Carteret	4	67,686	83,307	67,686	100.00 %	81.25 %
Caswell	53	22,736	85,345	22,736	100.00 %	26.64 %
Catawba	107	160,610	85,577	71,023	44.22 %	82.99 %
	108	160,610	89,587	89,587	55.78 %	100.00 %
Chatham	56	76,285	91,189	76,285	100.00 %	83.66 %
Cherokee	120	28,774	84,907	28,774	100.00 %	33.89 %
Chowan	1	13,708	84,330	13,708	100.00 %	16.26 %
Clay	120	11,089	84,907	11,089	100.00 %	13.06 %
Cleveland	104	99,519	88,397	34,479	34.65 %	39.00 %
	105	99,519	89,894	65,040	65.35 %	72.35 %
Columbus	23	50,623	83,445	50,623	100.00 %	60.67 %
Craven	4	100,720	83,307	15,621	15.51 %	18.75 %
	6	100,720	85,099	85,099	84.49 %	100.00 %
Cumberland	25	334,728	82,938	82,938	24.78 %	100.00 %
	26	334,728	82,956	82,956	24.78 %	100.00 %
	27	334,728	83,297	83,297	24.88 %	100.00 %
	28	334,728	85,537	85,537	25.55 %	100.00 %
Currituck	1	28,100	84,330	28,100	100.00 %	33.32 %
Dare	1	36,915	84,330	15,269	41.36 %	18.11 %
	3	36,915	83,163	21,646	58.64 %	26.03 %

County - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Davidson	74	168,930	84,066	84,066	49.76 %	100.00 %
	75	168,930	84,864	84,864	50.24 %	100.00 %
Davie	84	42,712	90,628	42,712	100.00 %	47.13 %
Duplin	11	48,715	84,155	48,715	100.00 %	57.89 %
Durham	48	324,833	91,290	91,290	28.10 %	100.00 %
	49	324,833	91,212	91,212	28.08 %	100.00 %
	50	324,833	91,165	91,165	28.07 %	100.00 %
	51	324,833	90,263	51,166	15.75 %	56.69 %
Edgecombe	5	48,900	88,865	48,900	100.00 %	55.03 %
Forsyth	69	382,590	86,210	41,690	10.90 %	48.36 %
	70	382,590	84,857	84,857	22.18 %	100.00 %
	71	382,590	86,949	86,949	22.73 %	100.00 %
	72	382,590	84,874	84,874	22.18 %	100.00 %
	73	382,590	84,220	84,220	22.01 %	100.00 %
Franklin	33	68,573	83,510	68,573	100.00 %	82.11 %
Gaston	102	227,943	87,762	87,762	38.50 %	100.00 %
	103	227,943	86,263	86,263	37.84 %	100.00 %
	104	227,943	88,397	53,918	23.65 %	61.00 %
Gates	2	10,478	82,953	10,478	100.00 %	12.63 %
Graham	120	8,030	84,907	8,030	100.00 %	9.46 %
Granville	33	60,992	83,510	14,937	24.49 %	17.89 %
	34	60,992	88,633	46,055	75.51 %	51.96 %
Greene	12	20,451	84,745	20,451	100.00 %	24.13 %
Guilford	62	541,299	90,361	90,361	16.69 %	100.00 %
	63	541,299	90,615	90,615	16.74 %	100.00 %
	64	541,299	90,201	90,201	16.66 %	100.00 %
	65	541,299	90,808	90,808	16.78 %	100.00 %
	66	541,299	89,735	89,735	16.58 %	100.00 %
	67	541,299	89,579	89,579	16.55 %	100.00 %
Halifax	13	48,622	84,735	48,622	100.00 %	57.38 %
Harnett	29	133,568	87,332	87,332	65.38 %	100.00 %
	30	133,568	86,899	46,236	34.62 %	53.21 %
Haywood	118	62,089	83,282	62,089	100.00 %	74.55 %
Henderson	113	116,281	90,893	27,081	23.29 %	29.79 %
	114	116,281	89,200	89,200	76.71 %	100.00 %
Hertford	2	21,552	82,953	21,552	100.00 %	25.98 %
Hoke	58	52,082	86,256	52,082	100.00 %	60.38 %
Hyde	3	4,589	83,163	4,589	100.00 %	5.52 %
Iredell	87	186,693	86,773	86,773	46.48 %	100.00 %
	88	186,693	85,366	85,366	45.73 %	100.00 %
	107	186,693	85,577	14,554	7.80 %	17.01 %
Jackson	119	43,109	90,212	43,109	100.00 %	47.79 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File - North Carolina

Printed 10/21/2021 [G20-CntyDist]

County - District Report**District Plan: HBK-11**

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Johnston	30	215,999	86,899	40,663	18.83 %	46.79 %
	31	215,999	85,389	85,389	39.53 %	100.00 %
	32	215,999	89,947	89,947	41.64 %	100.00 %
Jones	12	9,172	84,745	9,172	100.00 %	10.82 %
Lee	57	63,285	83,073	63,285	100.00 %	76.18 %
Lenoir	12	55,122	84,745	55,122	100.00 %	65.04 %
Lincoln	106	86,810	86,810	86,810	100.00 %	100.00 %
Macon	120	37,014	84,907	37,014	100.00 %	43.59 %
Madison	118	21,193	83,282	21,193	100.00 %	25.45 %
Martin	5	22,031	88,865	22,031	100.00 %	24.79 %
McDowell	112	44,578	90,863	39,684	89.02 %	43.67 %
	113	44,578	90,893	4,894	10.98 %	5.38 %
Mecklenburg	89	1,115,482	86,827	86,827	7.78 %	100.00 %
	90	1,115,482	88,237	88,237	7.91 %	100.00 %
	91	1,115,482	86,426	86,426	7.75 %	100.00 %
	92	1,115,482	82,824	82,824	7.42 %	100.00 %
	93	1,115,482	86,179	86,179	7.73 %	100.00 %
	94	1,115,482	82,834	82,834	7.43 %	100.00 %
	95	1,115,482	85,031	85,031	7.62 %	100.00 %
	96	1,115,482	82,806	82,806	7.42 %	100.00 %
	97	1,115,482	87,197	87,197	7.82 %	100.00 %
	98	1,115,482	86,520	86,520	7.76 %	100.00 %
	99	1,115,482	85,822	85,822	7.69 %	100.00 %
	100	1,115,482	87,132	87,132	7.81 %	100.00 %
101	1,115,482	87,647	87,647	7.86 %	100.00 %	
Mitchell	112	14,903	90,863	14,903	100.00 %	16.40 %
Montgomery	76	25,751	88,255	25,751	100.00 %	29.18 %
Moore	57	99,727	83,073	19,788	19.84 %	23.82 %
	59	99,727	84,383	41,437	41.55 %	49.11 %
	60	99,727	83,038	38,502	38.61 %	46.37 %
Nash	14	94,970	86,534	86,534	91.12 %	100.00 %
	15	94,970	87,220	8,436	8.88 %	9.67 %
New Hanover	19	225,702	91,245	91,245	40.43 %	100.00 %
	21	225,702	91,041	44,111	19.54 %	48.45 %
	22	225,702	90,346	90,346	40.03 %	100.00 %
Northampton	13	17,471	84,735	17,471	100.00 %	20.62 %
Onslow	9	204,576	84,980	84,980	41.54 %	100.00 %
	10	204,576	84,156	84,156	41.14 %	100.00 %
	11	204,576	84,155	35,440	17.32 %	42.11 %
Orange	52	148,696	86,087	86,087	57.89 %	100.00 %
	53	148,696	85,345	62,609	42.11 %	73.36 %
Pamlico	3	12,276	83,163	12,276	100.00 %	14.76 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File - North Carolina

Printed 10/21/2021 [G20-CntyDist]

County - District Report**District Plan: HBK-11**

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Pasquotank	2	40,568	82,953	40,568	100.00 %	48.90 %
Pender	18	60,203	89,809	60,203	100.00 %	67.03 %
Perquimans	1	13,005	84,330	13,005	100.00 %	15.42 %
Person	51	39,097	90,263	39,097	100.00 %	43.31 %
Pitt	7	170,243	84,450	84,450	49.61 %	100.00 %
	8	170,243	85,793	85,793	50.39 %	100.00 %
Polk	113	19,328	90,893	19,328	100.00 %	21.26 %
Randolph	56	144,171	91,189	14,904	10.34 %	16.34 %
	60	144,171	83,038	44,536	30.89 %	53.63 %
	61	144,171	84,731	84,731	58.77 %	100.00 %
Richmond	59	42,946	84,383	42,946	100.00 %	50.89 %
Robeson	23	116,530	83,445	32,822	28.17 %	39.33 %
	24	116,530	83,708	83,708	71.83 %	100.00 %
Rockingham	68	91,096	91,096	91,096	100.00 %	100.00 %
Rowan	82	146,875	90,742	46,358	31.56 %	51.09 %
	83	146,875	89,815	89,815	61.15 %	100.00 %
	84	146,875	90,628	10,702	7.29 %	11.81 %
Rutherford	105	64,444	89,894	24,854	38.57 %	27.65 %
	113	64,444	90,893	39,590	61.43 %	43.56 %
Sampson	16	59,036	90,677	59,036	100.00 %	65.11 %
Scotland	58	34,174	86,256	34,174	100.00 %	39.62 %
Stanly	76	62,504	88,255	62,504	100.00 %	70.82 %
Stokes	69	44,520	86,210	44,520	100.00 %	51.64 %
Surry	85	71,359	82,937	71,359	100.00 %	86.04 %
Swain	119	14,117	90,212	14,117	100.00 %	15.65 %
Transylvania	119	32,986	90,212	32,986	100.00 %	36.56 %
Tyrrell	1	3,245	84,330	3,245	100.00 %	3.85 %
Union	77	238,267	87,005	64,950	27.26 %	74.65 %
	78	238,267	88,138	88,138	36.99 %	100.00 %
	79	238,267	85,179	85,179	35.75 %	100.00 %
Vance	34	42,578	88,633	42,578	100.00 %	48.04 %

County - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Wake	35	1,129,410	88,374	88,374	7.82 %	100.00 %
	36	1,129,410	83,175	83,175	7.36 %	100.00 %
	37	1,129,410	86,157	86,157	7.63 %	100.00 %
	38	1,129,410	89,887	89,887	7.96 %	100.00 %
	39	1,129,410	90,166	90,166	7.98 %	100.00 %
	40	1,129,410	90,867	90,867	8.05 %	100.00 %
	41	1,129,410	86,179	86,179	7.63 %	100.00 %
	42	1,129,410	86,298	86,298	7.64 %	100.00 %
	43	1,129,410	83,049	83,049	7.35 %	100.00 %
	44	1,129,410	83,679	83,679	7.41 %	100.00 %
	45	1,129,410	83,189	83,189	7.37 %	100.00 %
	46	1,129,410	90,164	90,164	7.98 %	100.00 %
	47	1,129,410	88,226	88,226	7.81 %	100.00 %
Warren	13	18,642	84,735	18,642	100.00 %	22.00 %
Washington	1	11,003	84,330	11,003	100.00 %	13.05 %
Watauga	110	54,086	85,758	5,106	9.44 %	5.95 %
	111	54,086	86,445	48,980	90.56 %	56.66 %
Wayne	16	117,333	90,677	31,641	26.97 %	34.89 %
	17	117,333	85,692	85,692	73.03 %	100.00 %
Wilkes	85	65,969	82,937	11,578	17.55 %	13.96 %
	86	65,969	90,835	54,391	82.45 %	59.88 %
Wilson	15	78,784	87,220	78,784	100.00 %	90.33 %
Yadkin	84	37,214	90,628	37,214	100.00 %	41.06 %
Yancey	112	18,470	90,863	18,470	100.00 %	20.33 %
Total:				10,439,388		

Number of split counties: 36

Display: all counties

District - County Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
1	Chowan	84,330	13,708	13,708	16.26 %	100.00 %
	Currituck	84,330	28,100	28,100	33.32 %	100.00 %
	Dare	84,330	36,915	15,269	18.11 %	41.36 %
	Perquimans	84,330	13,005	13,005	15.42 %	100.00 %
	Tyrrell	84,330	3,245	3,245	3.85 %	100.00 %
	Washington	84,330	11,003	11,003	13.05 %	100.00 %
2	Camden	82,953	10,355	10,355	12.48 %	100.00 %
	Gates	82,953	10,478	10,478	12.63 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	82,953	21,552	21,552	25.98 %	100.00 %
	Pasquotank	82,953	40,568	40,568	48.90 %	100.00 %
3	Beaufort	83,163	44,652	44,652	53.69 %	100.00 %
	Dare	83,163	36,915	21,646	26.03 %	58.64 %
	Hyde	83,163	4,589	4,589	5.52 %	100.00 %
	Pamlico	83,163	12,276	12,276	14.76 %	100.00 %
4	Carteret	83,307	67,686	67,686	81.25 %	100.00 %
	Craven	83,307	100,720	15,621	18.75 %	15.51 %
5	Bertie	88,865	17,934	17,934	20.18 %	100.00 %
	Edgecombe	88,865	48,900	48,900	55.03 %	100.00 %
	Martin	88,865	22,031	22,031	24.79 %	100.00 %
6	Craven	85,099	100,720	85,099	100.00 %	84.49 %
7	Pitt	84,450	170,243	84,450	100.00 %	49.61 %
8	Pitt	85,793	170,243	85,793	100.00 %	50.39 %
9	Onslow	84,980	204,576	84,980	100.00 %	41.54 %
10	Onslow	84,156	204,576	84,156	100.00 %	41.14 %
11	Duplin	84,155	48,715	48,715	57.89 %	100.00 %
	Onslow	84,155	204,576	35,440	42.11 %	17.32 %
12	Greene	84,745	20,451	20,451	24.13 %	100.00 %
	Jones	84,745	9,172	9,172	10.82 %	100.00 %
	Lenoir	84,745	55,122	55,122	65.04 %	100.00 %
13	Halifax	84,735	48,622	48,622	57.38 %	100.00 %
	Northampton	84,735	17,471	17,471	20.62 %	100.00 %
	Warren	84,735	18,642	18,642	22.00 %	100.00 %
14	Nash	86,534	94,970	86,534	100.00 %	91.12 %
15	Nash	87,220	94,970	8,436	9.67 %	8.88 %
	Wilson	87,220	78,784	78,784	90.33 %	100.00 %
16	Sampson	90,677	59,036	59,036	65.11 %	100.00 %
	Wayne	90,677	117,333	31,641	34.89 %	26.97 %
17	Wayne	85,692	117,333	85,692	100.00 %	73.03 %
18	Bladen	89,809	29,606	29,606	32.97 %	100.00 %
	Pender	89,809	60,203	60,203	67.03 %	100.00 %
19	New Hanover	91,245	225,702	91,245	100.00 %	40.43 %
20	Brunswick	89,763	136,693	89,763	100.00 %	65.67 %

District - County Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
21	Brunswick	91,041	136,693	46,930	51.55 %	34.33 %
	New Hanover	91,041	225,702	44,111	48.45 %	19.54 %
22	New Hanover	90,346	225,702	90,346	100.00 %	40.03 %
23	Columbus	83,445	50,623	50,623	60.67 %	100.00 %
	Robeson	83,445	116,530	32,822	39.33 %	28.17 %
24	Robeson	83,708	116,530	83,708	100.00 %	71.83 %
25	Cumberland	82,938	334,728	82,938	100.00 %	24.78 %
26	Cumberland	82,956	334,728	82,956	100.00 %	24.78 %
27	Cumberland	83,297	334,728	83,297	100.00 %	24.88 %
28	Cumberland	85,537	334,728	85,537	100.00 %	25.55 %
29	Harnett	87,332	133,568	87,332	100.00 %	65.38 %
30	Harnett	86,899	133,568	46,236	53.21 %	34.62 %
	Johnston	86,899	215,999	40,663	46.79 %	18.83 %
31	Johnston	85,389	215,999	85,389	100.00 %	39.53 %
32	Johnston	89,947	215,999	89,947	100.00 %	41.64 %
33	Franklin	83,510	68,573	68,573	82.11 %	100.00 %
	Granville	83,510	60,992	14,937	17.89 %	24.49 %
34	Granville	88,633	60,992	46,055	51.96 %	75.51 %
	Vance	88,633	42,578	42,578	48.04 %	100.00 %
35	Wake	88,374	1,129,410	88,374	100.00 %	7.82 %
36	Wake	83,175	1,129,410	83,175	100.00 %	7.36 %
37	Wake	86,157	1,129,410	86,157	100.00 %	7.63 %
38	Wake	89,887	1,129,410	89,887	100.00 %	7.96 %
39	Wake	90,166	1,129,410	90,166	100.00 %	7.98 %
40	Wake	90,867	1,129,410	90,867	100.00 %	8.05 %
41	Wake	86,179	1,129,410	86,179	100.00 %	7.63 %
42	Wake	86,298	1,129,410	86,298	100.00 %	7.64 %
43	Wake	83,049	1,129,410	83,049	100.00 %	7.35 %
44	Wake	83,679	1,129,410	83,679	100.00 %	7.41 %
45	Wake	83,189	1,129,410	83,189	100.00 %	7.37 %
46	Wake	90,164	1,129,410	90,164	100.00 %	7.98 %
47	Wake	88,226	1,129,410	88,226	100.00 %	7.81 %
48	Durham	91,290	324,833	91,290	100.00 %	28.10 %
49	Durham	91,212	324,833	91,212	100.00 %	28.08 %
50	Durham	91,165	324,833	91,165	100.00 %	28.07 %
51	Durham	90,263	324,833	51,166	56.69 %	15.75 %
	Person	90,263	39,097	39,097	43.31 %	100.00 %
52	Orange	86,087	148,696	86,087	100.00 %	57.89 %
53	Caswell	85,345	22,736	22,736	26.64 %	100.00 %
	Orange	85,345	148,696	62,609	73.36 %	42.11 %
54	Alamance	86,399	171,415	86,399	100.00 %	50.40 %
55	Alamance	85,016	171,415	85,016	100.00 %	49.60 %

District - County Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
56	Chatham	91,189	76,285	76,285	83.66 %	100.00 %
	Randolph	91,189	144,171	14,904	16.34 %	10.34 %
57	Lee	83,073	63,285	63,285	76.18 %	100.00 %
	Moore	83,073	99,727	19,788	23.82 %	19.84 %
58	Hoke	86,256	52,082	52,082	60.38 %	100.00 %
	Scotland	86,256	34,174	34,174	39.62 %	100.00 %
59	Moore	84,383	99,727	41,437	49.11 %	41.55 %
	Richmond	84,383	42,946	42,946	50.89 %	100.00 %
60	Moore	83,038	99,727	38,502	46.37 %	38.61 %
	Randolph	83,038	144,171	44,536	53.63 %	30.89 %
61	Randolph	84,731	144,171	84,731	100.00 %	58.77 %
62	Guilford	90,361	541,299	90,361	100.00 %	16.69 %
63	Guilford	90,615	541,299	90,615	100.00 %	16.74 %
64	Guilford	90,201	541,299	90,201	100.00 %	16.66 %
65	Guilford	90,808	541,299	90,808	100.00 %	16.78 %
66	Guilford	89,735	541,299	89,735	100.00 %	16.58 %
67	Guilford	89,579	541,299	89,579	100.00 %	16.55 %
68	Rockingham	91,096	91,096	91,096	100.00 %	100.00 %
69	Forsyth	86,210	382,590	41,690	48.36 %	10.90 %
	Stokes	86,210	44,520	44,520	51.64 %	100.00 %
70	Forsyth	84,857	382,590	84,857	100.00 %	22.18 %
71	Forsyth	86,949	382,590	86,949	100.00 %	22.73 %
72	Forsyth	84,874	382,590	84,874	100.00 %	22.18 %
73	Forsyth	84,220	382,590	84,220	100.00 %	22.01 %
74	Davidson	84,066	168,930	84,066	100.00 %	49.76 %
75	Davidson	84,864	168,930	84,864	100.00 %	50.24 %
76	Montgomery	88,255	25,751	25,751	29.18 %	100.00 %
	Stanly	88,255	62,504	62,504	70.82 %	100.00 %
77	Anson	87,005	22,055	22,055	25.35 %	100.00 %
	Union	87,005	238,267	64,950	74.65 %	27.26 %
78	Union	88,138	238,267	88,138	100.00 %	36.99 %
79	Union	85,179	238,267	85,179	100.00 %	35.75 %
80	Cabarrus	90,854	225,804	90,854	100.00 %	40.24 %
81	Cabarrus	90,566	225,804	90,566	100.00 %	40.11 %
82	Cabarrus	90,742	225,804	44,384	48.91 %	19.66 %
	Rowan	90,742	146,875	46,358	51.09 %	31.56 %
83	Rowan	89,815	146,875	89,815	100.00 %	61.15 %
84	Davie	90,628	42,712	42,712	47.13 %	100.00 %
	Rowan	90,628	146,875	10,702	11.81 %	7.29 %
	Yadkin	90,628	37,214	37,214	41.06 %	100.00 %
85	Surry	82,937	71,359	71,359	86.04 %	100.00 %
	Wilkes	82,937	65,969	11,578	13.96 %	17.55 %

District - County Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
86	Alexander	90,835	36,444	36,444	40.12 %	100.00 %
	Wilkes	90,835	65,969	54,391	59.88 %	82.45 %
87	Iredell	86,773	186,693	86,773	100.00 %	46.48 %
88	Iredell	85,366	186,693	85,366	100.00 %	45.73 %
89	Mecklenburg	86,827	1,115,482	86,827	100.00 %	7.78 %
90	Mecklenburg	88,237	1,115,482	88,237	100.00 %	7.91 %
91	Mecklenburg	86,426	1,115,482	86,426	100.00 %	7.75 %
92	Mecklenburg	82,824	1,115,482	82,824	100.00 %	7.42 %
93	Mecklenburg	86,179	1,115,482	86,179	100.00 %	7.73 %
94	Mecklenburg	82,834	1,115,482	82,834	100.00 %	7.43 %
95	Mecklenburg	85,031	1,115,482	85,031	100.00 %	7.62 %
96	Mecklenburg	82,806	1,115,482	82,806	100.00 %	7.42 %
97	Mecklenburg	87,197	1,115,482	87,197	100.00 %	7.82 %
98	Mecklenburg	86,520	1,115,482	86,520	100.00 %	7.76 %
99	Mecklenburg	85,822	1,115,482	85,822	100.00 %	7.69 %
100	Mecklenburg	87,132	1,115,482	87,132	100.00 %	7.81 %
101	Mecklenburg	87,647	1,115,482	87,647	100.00 %	7.86 %
102	Gaston	87,762	227,943	87,762	100.00 %	38.50 %
103	Gaston	86,263	227,943	86,263	100.00 %	37.84 %
104	Cleveland	88,397	99,519	34,479	39.00 %	34.65 %
	Gaston	88,397	227,943	53,918	61.00 %	23.65 %
105	Cleveland	89,894	99,519	65,040	72.35 %	65.35 %
	Rutherford	89,894	64,444	24,854	27.65 %	38.57 %
106	Lincoln	86,810	86,810	86,810	100.00 %	100.00 %
107	Catawba	85,577	160,610	71,023	82.99 %	44.22 %
	Iredell	85,577	186,693	14,554	17.01 %	7.80 %
108	Catawba	89,587	160,610	89,587	100.00 %	55.78 %
109	Burke	87,570	87,570	87,570	100.00 %	100.00 %
110	Caldwell	85,758	80,652	80,652	94.05 %	100.00 %
	Watauga	85,758	54,086	5,106	5.95 %	9.44 %
111	Alleghany	86,445	10,888	10,888	12.60 %	100.00 %
	Ashe	86,445	26,577	26,577	30.74 %	100.00 %
	Watauga	86,445	54,086	48,980	56.66 %	90.56 %
112	Avery	90,863	17,806	17,806	19.60 %	100.00 %
	McDowell	90,863	44,578	39,684	43.67 %	89.02 %
	Mitchell	90,863	14,903	14,903	16.40 %	100.00 %
	Yancey	90,863	18,470	18,470	20.33 %	100.00 %
113	Henderson	90,893	116,281	27,081	29.79 %	23.29 %
	McDowell	90,893	44,578	4,894	5.38 %	10.98 %
	Polk	90,893	19,328	19,328	21.26 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford	90,893	64,444	39,590	43.56 %	61.43 %
114	Henderson	89,200	116,281	89,200	100.00 %	76.71 %

District - County Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
115	Buncombe	90,262	269,452	90,262	100.00 %	33.50 %
116	Buncombe	89,685	269,452	89,685	100.00 %	33.28 %
117	Buncombe	89,505	269,452	89,505	100.00 %	33.22 %
118	Haywood	83,282	62,089	62,089	74.55 %	100.00 %
	Madison	83,282	21,193	21,193	25.45 %	100.00 %
119	Jackson	90,212	43,109	43,109	47.79 %	100.00 %
	Swain	90,212	14,117	14,117	15.65 %	100.00 %
	Transylvania	90,212	32,986	32,986	36.56 %	100.00 %
120	Cherokee	84,907	28,774	28,774	33.89 %	100.00 %
	Clay	84,907	11,089	11,089	13.06 %	100.00 %
	Graham	84,907	8,030	8,030	9.46 %	100.00 %
	Macon	84,907	37,014	37,014	43.59 %	100.00 %
Total:				10,439,388		

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Aberdeen	59	8,516	84,383	8,516	100.00 %	10.09 %
	60	8,516	83,038	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Ahoskie	2	4,891	82,953	4,891	100.00 %	5.90 %
Alamance	55	988	85,016	988	100.00 %	1.16 %
Albemarle	76	16,432	88,255	16,432	100.00 %	18.62 %
Alliance	3	733	83,163	733	100.00 %	0.88 %
Andrews	120	1,667	84,907	1,667	100.00 %	1.96 %
Angier	29	5,265	87,332	4,709	89.44 %	5.39 %
	40	5,265	90,867	556	10.56 %	0.61 %
Ansonville	77	440	87,005	440	100.00 %	0.51 %
Apex	38	58,780	89,887	381	0.65 %	0.42 %
	39	58,780	90,166	57,843	98.41 %	64.15 %
	41	58,780	86,179	556	0.95 %	0.65 %
	42	58,780	86,298	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Arapahoe	3	416	83,163	416	100.00 %	0.50 %
Archdale	61	11,907	84,731	11,527	96.81 %	13.60 %
	66	11,907	89,735	380	3.19 %	0.42 %
Archer Lodge	32	4,797	89,947	4,797	100.00 %	5.33 %
Asheboro	60	27,156	83,038	1,266	4.66 %	1.52 %
	61	27,156	84,731	25,890	95.34 %	30.56 %
Asheville	115	94,589	90,262	29,236	30.91 %	32.39 %
	116	94,589	89,685	52,596	55.60 %	58.65 %
	117	94,589	89,505	12,757	13.49 %	14.25 %
Askewville	5	184	88,865	184	100.00 %	0.21 %
Atkinson	18	296	89,809	296	100.00 %	0.33 %
Atlantic Beach	4	1,364	83,307	1,364	100.00 %	1.64 %
Aulander	5	763	88,865	763	100.00 %	0.86 %
Aurora	3	455	83,163	455	100.00 %	0.55 %
Autryville	16	167	90,677	167	100.00 %	0.18 %
Ayden	7	4,977	84,450	4,977	100.00 %	5.89 %
Badin	76	2,024	88,255	2,024	100.00 %	2.29 %
Bailey	15	568	87,220	568	100.00 %	0.65 %
Bakersville	112	450	90,863	450	100.00 %	0.50 %
Bald Head Island	21	268	91,041	268	100.00 %	0.29 %
Banner Elk	112	1,049	90,863	1,049	100.00 %	1.15 %
Bath	3	245	83,163	245	100.00 %	0.29 %
Bayboro	3	1,161	83,163	1,161	100.00 %	1.40 %
Bear Grass	5	89	88,865	89	100.00 %	0.10 %
Beaufort	4	4,464	83,307	4,464	100.00 %	5.36 %
Beech Mountain	111	675	86,445	613	90.81 %	0.71 %
	112	675	90,863	62	9.19 %	0.07 %
Belhaven	3	1,410	83,163	1,410	100.00 %	1.70 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Belmont	102	15,010	87,762	13,142	87.55 %	14.97 %
	103	15,010	86,263	1,868	12.45 %	2.17 %
Belville	20	2,406	89,763	2,406	100.00 %	2.68 %
Belwood	104	857	88,397	857	100.00 %	0.97 %
Benson	30	3,967	86,899	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	31	3,967	85,389	3,967	100.00 %	4.65 %
Bermuda Run	84	3,120	90,628	3,120	100.00 %	3.44 %
Bessemer City	104	5,428	88,397	5,428	100.00 %	6.14 %
Bethania	69	344	86,210	344	100.00 %	0.40 %
	70	344	84,857	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Bethel	8	1,373	85,793	1,373	100.00 %	1.60 %
Beulaville	11	1,116	84,155	1,116	100.00 %	1.33 %
Biltmore Forest	117	1,409	89,505	1,409	100.00 %	1.57 %
Biscoe	76	1,848	88,255	1,848	100.00 %	2.09 %
Black Creek	15	692	87,220	692	100.00 %	0.79 %
Black Mountain	115	8,426	90,262	8,426	100.00 %	9.34 %
Bladenboro	18	1,648	89,809	1,648	100.00 %	1.84 %
Blowing Rock	110	1,376	85,758	96	6.98 %	0.11 %
	111	1,376	86,445	1,280	93.02 %	1.48 %
Boardman	23	166	83,445	166	100.00 %	0.20 %
Bogue	4	695	83,307	695	100.00 %	0.83 %
Boiling Spring Lakes	21	5,943	91,041	5,943	100.00 %	6.53 %
Boiling Springs	105	4,615	89,894	4,615	100.00 %	5.13 %
Bolivia	21	149	91,041	149	100.00 %	0.16 %
Bolton	23	519	83,445	519	100.00 %	0.62 %
Boone	110	19,092	85,758	595	3.12 %	0.69 %
	111	19,092	86,445	18,497	96.88 %	21.40 %
Boonville	84	1,185	90,628	1,185	100.00 %	1.31 %
Bostic	105	355	89,894	355	100.00 %	0.39 %
Brevard	119	7,744	90,212	7,744	100.00 %	8.58 %
Bridgeton	6	349	85,099	349	100.00 %	0.41 %
Broadway	29	1,267	87,332	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	57	1,267	83,073	1,267	100.00 %	1.53 %
Brookford	108	442	89,587	442	100.00 %	0.49 %
Brunswick	23	973	83,445	973	100.00 %	1.17 %
Bryson City	119	1,558	90,212	1,558	100.00 %	1.73 %
Bunn	33	327	83,510	327	100.00 %	0.39 %
Burgaw	18	3,088	89,809	3,088	100.00 %	3.44 %
Burlington	54	57,303	86,399	25,917	45.23 %	30.00 %
	55	57,303	85,016	29,564	51.59 %	34.77 %
	62	57,303	90,361	1,822	3.18 %	2.02 %
Burnsville	112	1,614	90,863	1,614	100.00 %	1.78 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Butner	34	8,397	88,633	8,397	100.00 %	9.47 %
Cajah's Mountain	110	2,722	85,758	2,722	100.00 %	3.17 %
Calabash	20	2,011	89,763	2,011	100.00 %	2.24 %
Calypso	11	327	84,155	327	100.00 %	0.39 %
Cameron	57	244	83,073	244	100.00 %	0.29 %
Candor	60	813	83,038	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	76	813	88,255	813	100.00 %	0.92 %
Canton	118	4,422	83,282	4,422	100.00 %	5.31 %
Cape Carteret	4	2,224	83,307	2,224	100.00 %	2.67 %
Carolina Beach	21	6,564	91,041	6,564	100.00 %	7.21 %
Carolina Shores	20	4,588	89,763	4,588	100.00 %	5.11 %
Carrboro	52	21,295	86,087	21,121	99.18 %	24.53 %
	53	21,295	85,345	174	0.82 %	0.20 %
Carthage	57	2,775	83,073	2,747	98.99 %	3.31 %
	59	2,775	84,383	28	1.01 %	0.03 %
Cary	37	174,721	86,157	20,767	11.89 %	24.10 %
	38	174,721	89,887	74,074	42.40 %	82.41 %
	39	174,721	90,166	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	40	174,721	90,867	2,012	1.15 %	2.21 %
	41	174,721	86,179	30,622	17.53 %	35.53 %
	42	174,721	86,298	43,537	24.92 %	50.45 %
	56	174,721	91,189	3,709	2.12 %	4.07 %
Casar	104	305	88,397	305	100.00 %	0.35 %
Castalia	14	264	86,534	264	100.00 %	0.31 %
Caswell Beach	21	395	91,041	395	100.00 %	0.43 %
Catawba	107	702	85,577	702	100.00 %	0.82 %
Cedar Point	4	1,764	83,307	1,764	100.00 %	2.12 %
Cedar Rock	110	301	85,758	301	100.00 %	0.35 %
Cerro Gordo	23	131	83,445	131	100.00 %	0.16 %
Chadbourn	23	1,574	83,445	1,574	100.00 %	1.89 %
Chapel Hill	49	61,960	91,212	2,906	4.69 %	3.19 %
	52	61,960	86,087	59,054	95.31 %	68.60 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Charlotte	90	874,579	88,237	67,298	7.69 %	76.27 %
	91	874,579	86,426	64,526	7.38 %	74.66 %
	92	874,579	82,824	79,717	9.11 %	96.25 %
	93	874,579	86,179	86,179	9.85 %	100.00 %
	94	874,579	82,834	82,834	9.47 %	100.00 %
	95	874,579	85,031	63,762	7.29 %	74.99 %
	96	874,579	82,806	82,687	9.45 %	99.86 %
	97	874,579	87,197	87,197	9.97 %	100.00 %
	98	874,579	86,520	86,520	9.89 %	100.00 %
	99	874,579	85,822	71,156	8.14 %	82.91 %
	100	874,579	87,132	23,590	2.70 %	27.07 %
101	874,579	87,647	79,113	9.05 %	90.26 %	
Cherryville	104	6,078	88,397	6,078	100.00 %	6.88 %
Chimney Rock Village	113	140	90,893	140	100.00 %	0.15 %
China Grove	82	4,434	90,742	4,434	100.00 %	4.89 %
Chocowinity	3	722	83,163	722	100.00 %	0.87 %
Claremont	107	1,692	85,577	1,692	100.00 %	1.98 %
Clarkton	18	614	89,809	614	100.00 %	0.68 %
Clayton	32	26,307	89,947	26,307	100.00 %	29.25 %
	46	26,307	90,164	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	47	26,307	88,226	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Clemmons	70	21,163	84,857	21,163	100.00 %	24.94 %
Cleveland	84	846	90,628	846	100.00 %	0.93 %
Clinton	16	8,383	90,677	8,383	100.00 %	9.24 %
Clyde	118	1,368	83,282	1,368	100.00 %	1.64 %
Coats	30	2,155	86,899	2,155	100.00 %	2.48 %
Cofield	2	267	82,953	267	100.00 %	0.32 %
Colerain	5	217	88,865	217	100.00 %	0.24 %
Columbia	1	610	84,330	610	100.00 %	0.72 %
Columbus	113	1,060	90,893	1,060	100.00 %	1.17 %
Como	2	67	82,953	67	100.00 %	0.08 %
Concord	80	105,240	90,854	35,012	33.27 %	38.54 %
	81	105,240	90,566	46,158	43.86 %	50.97 %
	82	105,240	90,742	24,070	22.87 %	26.53 %
Conetoe	5	198	88,865	198	100.00 %	0.22 %
Connelly Springs	109	1,529	87,570	1,529	100.00 %	1.75 %
Conover	107	8,421	85,577	424	5.04 %	0.50 %
	108	8,421	89,587	7,997	94.96 %	8.93 %
Conway	13	752	84,735	752	100.00 %	0.89 %
Cooleemee	84	940	90,628	940	100.00 %	1.04 %
Cornelius	89	31,412	86,827	31,412	100.00 %	36.18 %
Cove City	6	378	85,099	378	100.00 %	0.44 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Cramerton	102	5,296	87,762	5,200	98.19 %	5.93 %
	103	5,296	86,263	96	1.81 %	0.11 %
Creedmoor	33	4,866	83,510	2,065	42.44 %	2.47 %
	34	4,866	88,633	2,801	57.56 %	3.16 %
Creswell	1	207	84,330	207	100.00 %	0.25 %
Crossnore	112	143	90,863	143	100.00 %	0.16 %
Dallas	104	5,927	88,397	5,927	100.00 %	6.70 %
Danbury	69	189	86,210	189	100.00 %	0.22 %
Davidson	88	15,106	85,366	378	2.50 %	0.44 %
	89	15,106	86,827	14,728	97.50 %	16.96 %
Dellview	104	6	88,397	6	100.00 %	0.01 %
Denton	75	1,494	84,864	1,494	100.00 %	1.76 %
Dillsboro	119	213	90,212	213	100.00 %	0.24 %
Dobbins Heights	59	687	84,383	687	100.00 %	0.81 %
Dobson	85	1,462	82,937	1,462	100.00 %	1.76 %
Dortches	14	1,082	86,534	1,082	100.00 %	1.25 %
Dover	6	349	85,099	349	100.00 %	0.41 %
Drexel	109	1,760	87,570	1,760	100.00 %	2.01 %
Dublin	18	267	89,809	267	100.00 %	0.30 %
Duck	1	742	84,330	742	100.00 %	0.88 %
Dunn	30	8,446	86,899	8,446	100.00 %	9.72 %
Durham	36	283,506	83,175	269	0.09 %	0.32 %
	37	283,506	86,157	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	48	283,506	91,290	81,669	28.81 %	89.46 %
	49	283,506	91,212	87,035	30.70 %	95.42 %
	50	283,506	91,165	89,671	31.63 %	98.36 %
	51	283,506	90,263	24,718	8.72 %	27.38 %
	53	283,506	85,345	144	0.05 %	0.17 %
Earl	105	198	89,894	198	100.00 %	0.22 %
East Arcadia	18	418	89,809	418	100.00 %	0.47 %
East Bend	84	634	90,628	634	100.00 %	0.70 %
East Laurinburg	58	234	86,256	234	100.00 %	0.27 %
Eastover	26	3,656	82,956	3,656	100.00 %	4.41 %
East Spencer	83	1,567	89,815	1,567	100.00 %	1.74 %
Eden	68	15,421	91,096	15,421	100.00 %	16.93 %
Edenton	1	4,460	84,330	4,460	100.00 %	5.29 %
Elizabeth City	2	18,631	82,953	18,631	100.00 %	22.46 %
Elizabethtown	18	3,296	89,809	3,296	100.00 %	3.67 %
Elkin	85	4,122	82,937	4,122	100.00 %	4.97 %
Elk Park	112	542	90,863	542	100.00 %	0.60 %
Ellenboro	105	723	89,894	723	100.00 %	0.80 %
Ellerbe	59	864	84,383	864	100.00 %	1.02 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Elm City	14	1,218	86,534	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	15	1,218	87,220	1,218	100.00 %	1.40 %
Elon	55	11,336	85,016	11,336	100.00 %	13.33 %
Emerald Isle	4	3,847	83,307	3,847	100.00 %	4.62 %
Enfield	13	1,865	84,735	1,865	100.00 %	2.20 %
Erwin	30	4,542	86,899	4,542	100.00 %	5.23 %
Eureka	16	214	90,677	214	100.00 %	0.24 %
Everetts	5	150	88,865	150	100.00 %	0.17 %
Fair Bluff	23	709	83,445	709	100.00 %	0.85 %
Fairmont	23	2,191	83,445	2,191	100.00 %	2.63 %
	24	2,191	83,708	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Fairview	79	3,456	85,179	3,456	100.00 %	4.06 %
Faison	11	784	84,155	784	100.00 %	0.93 %
	16	784	90,677	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Faith	83	819	89,815	819	100.00 %	0.91 %
Falcon	16	324	90,677	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	26	324	82,956	324	100.00 %	0.39 %
Falkland	8	47	85,793	47	100.00 %	0.05 %
Fallston	104	627	88,397	627	100.00 %	0.71 %
Farmville	8	4,461	85,793	4,461	100.00 %	5.20 %
Fayetteville	25	208,501	82,938	15,275	7.33 %	18.42 %
	26	208,501	82,956	44,532	21.36 %	53.68 %
	27	208,501	83,297	83,293	39.95 %	100.00 %
	28	208,501	85,537	65,401	31.37 %	76.46 %
Flat Rock	113	3,486	90,893	3,486	100.00 %	3.84 %
Fletcher	114	7,987	89,200	7,987	100.00 %	8.95 %
Fontana Dam	120	13	84,907	13	100.00 %	0.02 %
Forest City	105	7,377	89,894	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	113	7,377	90,893	7,377	100.00 %	8.12 %
Forest Hills	119	303	90,212	303	100.00 %	0.34 %
Fountain	8	385	85,793	385	100.00 %	0.45 %
Four Oaks	31	2,158	85,389	2,158	100.00 %	2.53 %
Foxfire	59	1,288	84,383	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	60	1,288	83,038	1,288	100.00 %	1.55 %
Franklin	120	4,175	84,907	4,175	100.00 %	4.92 %
Franklinton	33	2,456	83,510	2,456	100.00 %	2.94 %
Franklinville	60	1,197	83,038	1,197	100.00 %	1.44 %
Fremont	16	1,196	90,677	1,196	100.00 %	1.32 %
Fuquay-Varina	29	34,152	87,332	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	39	34,152	90,166	16	0.05 %	0.02 %
	40	34,152	90,867	34,106	99.87 %	37.53 %
	41	34,152	86,179	30	0.09 %	0.03 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Gamewell	110	3,702	85,758	3,702	100.00 %	4.32 %
Garland	16	595	90,677	595	100.00 %	0.66 %
Garner	40	31,159	90,867	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	41	31,159	86,179	11,789	37.83 %	13.68 %
	43	31,159	83,049	14	0.04 %	0.02 %
	47	31,159	88,226	19,356	62.12 %	21.94 %
Garysburg	13	904	84,735	904	100.00 %	1.07 %
Gaston	13	1,008	84,735	1,008	100.00 %	1.19 %
Gastonia	102	80,411	87,762	44,448	55.28 %	50.65 %
	103	80,411	86,263	28,480	35.42 %	33.02 %
	104	80,411	88,397	7,483	9.31 %	8.47 %
Gatesville	2	267	82,953	267	100.00 %	0.32 %
Gibson	58	449	86,256	449	100.00 %	0.52 %
Gibsonville	55	8,920	85,016	4,278	47.96 %	5.03 %
	62	8,920	90,361	4,642	52.04 %	5.14 %
Glen Alpine	109	1,529	87,570	1,529	100.00 %	1.75 %
Godwin	26	128	82,956	128	100.00 %	0.15 %
Goldsboro	16	33,657	90,677	1	0.00 %	0.00 %
	17	33,657	85,692	33,656	100.00 %	39.28 %
Goldston	56	234	91,189	234	100.00 %	0.26 %
Graham	54	17,157	86,399	17,157	100.00 %	19.86 %
Grandfather Village	112	95	90,863	95	100.00 %	0.10 %
Granite Falls	110	4,965	85,758	4,965	100.00 %	5.79 %
Granite Quarry	83	2,984	89,815	2,984	100.00 %	3.32 %
Grantsboro	3	692	83,163	692	100.00 %	0.83 %
Greenevers	11	567	84,155	567	100.00 %	0.67 %
Green Level	54	3,152	86,399	3,152	100.00 %	3.65 %
Greensboro	62	299,035	90,361	13,852	4.63 %	15.33 %
	63	299,035	90,615	83,540	27.94 %	92.19 %
	64	299,035	90,201	90,201	30.16 %	100.00 %
	65	299,035	90,808	84,725	28.33 %	93.30 %
	66	299,035	89,735	8,829	2.95 %	9.84 %
	67	299,035	89,579	17,888	5.98 %	19.97 %
Greenville	7	87,521	84,450	34,640	39.58 %	41.02 %
	8	87,521	85,793	52,881	60.42 %	61.64 %
Grifton	7	2,448	84,450	2,301	94.00 %	2.72 %
	12	2,448	84,745	147	6.00 %	0.17 %
Grimesland	7	386	84,450	386	100.00 %	0.46 %
Grover	105	802	89,894	802	100.00 %	0.89 %
Halifax	13	170	84,735	170	100.00 %	0.20 %
Hamilton	5	306	88,865	306	100.00 %	0.34 %
Hamlet	59	6,025	84,383	6,025	100.00 %	7.14 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Harmony	87	543	86,773	543	100.00 %	0.63 %
Harrells	11	160	84,155	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	16	160	90,677	160	100.00 %	0.18 %
Harrellsville	2	85	82,953	85	100.00 %	0.10 %
Harrisburg	80	18,967	90,854	18,967	100.00 %	20.88 %
Hassell	5	49	88,865	49	100.00 %	0.06 %
Havelock	4	16,621	83,307	10,635	63.99 %	12.77 %
	6	16,621	85,099	5,986	36.01 %	7.03 %
Haw River	54	2,252	86,399	2,252	100.00 %	2.61 %
Hayesville	120	461	84,907	461	100.00 %	0.54 %
Hemby Bridge	79	1,614	85,179	1,614	100.00 %	1.89 %
Henderson	34	15,060	88,633	15,060	100.00 %	16.99 %
Hendersonville	113	15,137	90,893	623	4.12 %	0.69 %
	114	15,137	89,200	14,514	95.88 %	16.27 %
Hertford	1	1,934	84,330	1,934	100.00 %	2.29 %
Hickory	107	43,490	85,577	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	108	43,490	89,587	43,379	99.74 %	48.42 %
	109	43,490	87,570	79	0.18 %	0.09 %
	110	43,490	85,758	32	0.07 %	0.04 %
Highlands	119	1,072	90,212	12	1.12 %	0.01 %
	120	1,072	84,907	1,060	98.88 %	1.25 %
High Point	61	114,059	84,731	8	0.01 %	0.01 %
	66	114,059	89,735	66,033	57.89 %	73.59 %
	67	114,059	89,579	41,288	36.20 %	46.09 %
	73	114,059	84,220	84	0.07 %	0.10 %
	75	114,059	84,864	6,646	5.83 %	7.83 %
High Shoals	104	595	88,397	595	100.00 %	0.67 %
Hildebran	109	1,679	87,570	1,679	100.00 %	1.92 %
Hillsborough	53	9,660	85,345	9,660	100.00 %	11.32 %
Hobgood	13	268	84,735	268	100.00 %	0.32 %
Hoffman	59	418	84,383	418	100.00 %	0.50 %
Holden Beach	20	921	89,763	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	21	921	91,041	921	100.00 %	1.01 %
Holly Ridge	9	4,171	84,980	4,171	100.00 %	4.91 %
Holly Springs	39	41,239	90,166	17,734	43.00 %	19.67 %
	40	41,239	90,867	11,613	28.16 %	12.78 %
	41	41,239	86,179	11,892	28.84 %	13.80 %
Hookerton	12	413	84,745	413	100.00 %	0.49 %
Hope Mills	25	17,808	82,938	17,744	99.64 %	21.39 %
	26	17,808	82,956	64	0.36 %	0.08 %
Hot Springs	118	520	83,282	520	100.00 %	0.62 %
Hudson	110	3,780	85,758	3,780	100.00 %	4.41 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Huntersville	89	61,376	86,827	38,677	63.02 %	44.54 %
	90	61,376	88,237	16,806	27.38 %	19.05 %
	91	61,376	86,426	5,893	9.60 %	6.82 %
Indian Beach	4	223	83,307	223	100.00 %	0.27 %
Indian Trail	77	39,997	87,005	2,376	5.94 %	2.73 %
	78	39,997	88,138	15,036	37.59 %	17.06 %
	79	39,997	85,179	22,585	56.47 %	26.51 %
Jackson	13	430	84,735	430	100.00 %	0.51 %
Jacksonville	9	72,723	84,980	36,996	50.87 %	43.53 %
	10	72,723	84,156	35,727	49.13 %	42.45 %
	11	72,723	84,155	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Jamestown	66	3,668	89,735	3,668	100.00 %	4.09 %
Jamesville	5	424	88,865	424	100.00 %	0.48 %
Jefferson	111	1,622	86,445	1,622	100.00 %	1.88 %
Jonesville	84	2,308	90,628	2,308	100.00 %	2.55 %
Kannapolis	81	53,114	90,566	33,907	63.84 %	37.44 %
	82	53,114	90,742	19,207	36.16 %	21.17 %
Kelford	5	203	88,865	203	100.00 %	0.23 %
Kenansville	11	770	84,155	770	100.00 %	0.91 %
Kenly	15	1,491	87,220	198	13.28 %	0.23 %
	31	1,491	85,389	1,293	86.72 %	1.51 %
Kernersville	67	26,449	89,579	502	1.90 %	0.56 %
	72	26,449	84,874	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	73	26,449	84,220	25,947	98.10 %	30.81 %
Kill Devil Hills	1	7,656	84,330	7,118	92.97 %	8.44 %
	3	7,656	83,163	538	7.03 %	0.65 %
King	69	7,197	86,210	7,197	100.00 %	8.35 %
Kings Mountain	104	11,142	88,397	1,118	10.03 %	1.26 %
	105	11,142	89,894	10,024	89.97 %	11.15 %
Kingstown	104	656	88,397	656	100.00 %	0.74 %
Kinston	12	19,900	84,745	19,900	100.00 %	23.48 %
Kittrell	34	132	88,633	132	100.00 %	0.15 %
Kitty Hawk	1	3,689	84,330	3,689	100.00 %	4.37 %
Knightdale	46	19,435	90,164	19,435	100.00 %	21.56 %
	47	19,435	88,226	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Kure Beach	21	2,191	91,041	2,191	100.00 %	2.41 %
La Grange	12	2,595	84,745	2,595	100.00 %	3.06 %
Lake Lure	113	1,365	90,893	1,365	100.00 %	1.50 %
Lake Park	79	3,269	85,179	3,269	100.00 %	3.84 %
Lake Santeetlah	120	38	84,907	38	100.00 %	0.04 %
Lake Waccamaw	23	1,296	83,445	1,296	100.00 %	1.55 %
Landis	82	3,690	90,742	3,690	100.00 %	4.07 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Lansing	111	126	86,445	126	100.00 %	0.15 %
Lasker	13	64	84,735	64	100.00 %	0.08 %
Lattimore	105	406	89,894	406	100.00 %	0.45 %
Laurel Park	113	2,250	90,893	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	114	2,250	89,200	2,250	100.00 %	2.52 %
Laurinburg	58	14,978	86,256	14,978	100.00 %	17.36 %
Lawndale	104	570	88,397	570	100.00 %	0.64 %
Leggett	5	37	88,865	37	100.00 %	0.04 %
Leland	20	22,908	89,763	22,908	100.00 %	25.52 %
Lenoir	110	18,352	85,758	18,352	100.00 %	21.40 %
Lewiston Woodville	5	426	88,865	426	100.00 %	0.48 %
Lewisville	70	13,381	84,857	13,381	100.00 %	15.77 %
Lexington	74	19,632	84,066	19,632	100.00 %	23.35 %
	75	19,632	84,864	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Liberty	56	2,655	91,189	2,655	100.00 %	2.91 %
Lilesville	77	395	87,005	395	100.00 %	0.45 %
Lillington	29	4,735	87,332	882	18.63 %	1.01 %
	30	4,735	86,899	3,853	81.37 %	4.43 %
Lincolnton	106	11,091	86,810	11,091	100.00 %	12.78 %
Linden	26	136	82,956	136	100.00 %	0.16 %
Littleton	13	559	84,735	559	100.00 %	0.66 %
Locust	76	4,537	88,255	3,996	88.08 %	4.53 %
	80	4,537	90,854	541	11.92 %	0.60 %
Long View	108	5,088	89,587	4,353	85.55 %	4.86 %
	109	5,088	87,570	735	14.45 %	0.84 %
Louisburg	33	3,064	83,510	3,064	100.00 %	3.67 %
Love Valley	87	154	86,773	154	100.00 %	0.18 %
Lowell	102	3,654	87,762	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	103	3,654	86,263	3,654	100.00 %	4.24 %
Lucama	15	1,036	87,220	1,036	100.00 %	1.19 %
Lumber Bridge	24	82	83,708	82	100.00 %	0.10 %
Lumberton	23	19,025	83,445	350	1.84 %	0.42 %
	24	19,025	83,708	18,675	98.16 %	22.31 %
McAdenville	103	890	86,263	890	100.00 %	1.03 %
Macclesfield	5	413	88,865	413	100.00 %	0.46 %
McDonald	23	94	83,445	94	100.00 %	0.11 %
McFarlan	77	94	87,005	94	100.00 %	0.11 %
Macon	13	110	84,735	110	100.00 %	0.13 %
Madison	68	2,129	91,096	2,129	100.00 %	2.34 %
Maggie Valley	118	1,687	83,282	1,687	100.00 %	2.03 %
Magnolia	11	831	84,155	831	100.00 %	0.99 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Maiden	106	3,736	86,810	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	107	3,736	85,577	3,736	100.00 %	4.37 %
Manteo	3	1,600	83,163	1,600	100.00 %	1.92 %
Marietta	23	111	83,445	111	100.00 %	0.13 %
Marion	112	7,717	90,863	7,717	100.00 %	8.49 %
Marshall	118	777	83,282	777	100.00 %	0.93 %
Mars Hill	118	2,007	83,282	2,007	100.00 %	2.41 %
Marshville	77	2,522	87,005	2,522	100.00 %	2.90 %
Marvin	78	6,358	88,138	6,358	100.00 %	7.21 %
Matthews	100	29,435	87,132	29,435	100.00 %	33.78 %
Maxton	23	2,110	83,445	1,902	90.14 %	2.28 %
	58	2,110	86,256	208	9.86 %	0.24 %
Mayodan	68	2,418	91,096	2,418	100.00 %	2.65 %
Maysville	12	818	84,745	818	100.00 %	0.97 %
Mebane	53	17,797	85,345	3,171	17.82 %	3.72 %
	54	17,797	86,399	14,626	82.18 %	16.93 %
Mesic	3	144	83,163	144	100.00 %	0.17 %
Micro	31	458	85,389	458	100.00 %	0.54 %
Middleburg	34	101	88,633	101	100.00 %	0.11 %
Middlesex	15	912	87,220	912	100.00 %	1.05 %
Midland	80	4,684	90,854	4,684	100.00 %	5.16 %
	100	4,684	87,132	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Midway	74	4,742	84,066	1,273	26.85 %	1.51 %
	75	4,742	84,864	3,469	73.15 %	4.09 %
Mills River	113	7,078	90,893	305	4.31 %	0.34 %
	114	7,078	89,200	6,773	95.69 %	7.59 %
Milton	53	155	85,345	155	100.00 %	0.18 %
Mineral Springs	77	3,159	87,005	2,293	72.59 %	2.64 %
	78	3,159	88,138	866	27.41 %	0.98 %
Minnesott Beach	3	530	83,163	530	100.00 %	0.64 %
Mint Hill	79	26,450	85,179	6	0.02 %	0.01 %
	100	26,450	87,132	26,444	99.98 %	30.35 %
	101	26,450	87,647	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Misenheimer	76	650	88,255	650	100.00 %	0.74 %
Mocksville	84	5,900	90,628	5,900	100.00 %	6.51 %
Momeyer	14	277	86,534	277	100.00 %	0.32 %
Monroe	77	34,562	87,005	12,650	36.60 %	14.54 %
	79	34,562	85,179	21,912	63.40 %	25.72 %
Montreat	115	901	90,262	901	100.00 %	1.00 %
Mooresboro	105	293	89,894	293	100.00 %	0.33 %
Mooresville	87	50,193	86,773	205	0.41 %	0.24 %
	88	50,193	85,366	49,988	99.59 %	58.56 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Morehead City	4	9,556	83,307	9,556	100.00 %	11.47 %
Morganton	109	17,474	87,570	17,474	100.00 %	19.95 %
Morrisville	37	29,630	86,157	15,184	51.25 %	17.62 %
	38	29,630	89,887	14,239	48.06 %	15.84 %
	42	29,630	86,298	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	48	29,630	91,290	207	0.70 %	0.23 %
Morven	77	329	87,005	329	100.00 %	0.38 %
Mount Airy	85	10,676	82,937	10,676	100.00 %	12.87 %
Mount Giload	76	1,171	88,255	1,171	100.00 %	1.33 %
Mount Holly	103	17,703	86,263	17,703	100.00 %	20.52 %
Mount Olive	11	4,198	84,155	5	0.12 %	0.01 %
	17	4,198	85,692	4,193	99.88 %	4.89 %
Mount Pleasant	80	1,671	90,854	1,671	100.00 %	1.84 %
Murfreesboro	2	2,619	82,953	2,619	100.00 %	3.16 %
Murphy	120	1,608	84,907	1,608	100.00 %	1.89 %
Nags Head	3	3,168	83,163	3,168	100.00 %	3.81 %
Nashville	14	5,632	86,534	5,632	100.00 %	6.51 %
Navassa	20	1,367	89,763	1,367	100.00 %	1.52 %
New Bern	6	31,291	85,099	31,291	100.00 %	36.77 %
Newland	112	715	90,863	715	100.00 %	0.79 %
New London	76	607	88,255	607	100.00 %	0.69 %
Newport	4	4,364	83,307	4,364	100.00 %	5.24 %
Newton	107	13,148	85,577	13,148	100.00 %	15.36 %
	108	13,148	89,587	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Newton Grove	16	585	90,677	585	100.00 %	0.65 %
Norlina	13	920	84,735	920	100.00 %	1.09 %
Norman	59	100	84,383	100	100.00 %	0.12 %
North Topsail Beach	9	1,005	84,980	1,005	100.00 %	1.18 %
Northwest	20	703	89,763	703	100.00 %	0.78 %
North Wilkesboro	86	4,382	90,835	4,382	100.00 %	4.82 %
Norwood	76	2,367	88,255	2,367	100.00 %	2.68 %
Oakboro	76	2,128	88,255	2,128	100.00 %	2.41 %
Oak City	5	266	88,865	266	100.00 %	0.30 %
Oak Island	21	8,396	91,041	8,396	100.00 %	9.22 %
Oak Ridge	67	7,474	89,579	7,474	100.00 %	8.34 %
Ocean Isle Beach	20	867	89,763	867	100.00 %	0.97 %
Old Fort	112	811	90,863	811	100.00 %	0.89 %
Oriental	3	880	83,163	880	100.00 %	1.06 %
Orrum	23	59	83,445	59	100.00 %	0.07 %
Ossipee	55	536	85,016	536	100.00 %	0.63 %
Oxford	34	8,628	88,633	8,628	100.00 %	9.73 %
Pantego	3	164	83,163	164	100.00 %	0.20 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Parkton	24	504	83,708	504	100.00 %	0.60 %
Parmele	5	243	88,865	243	100.00 %	0.27 %
Patterson Springs	105	571	89,894	571	100.00 %	0.64 %
Peachland	77	390	87,005	390	100.00 %	0.45 %
Peletier	4	769	83,307	769	100.00 %	0.92 %
Pembroke	24	2,823	83,708	2,823	100.00 %	3.37 %
Pikeville	16	712	90,677	712	100.00 %	0.79 %
Pilot Mountain	85	1,440	82,937	1,440	100.00 %	1.74 %
Pinebluff	59	1,473	84,383	1,473	100.00 %	1.75 %
	59	17,581	84,383	8	0.05 %	0.01 %
Pinehurst	60	17,581	83,038	17,573	99.95 %	21.16 %
	4	1,388	83,307	1,388	100.00 %	1.67 %
Pine Knoll Shores	4	1,388	83,307	1,388	100.00 %	1.67 %
Pine Level	31	2,046	85,389	2,046	100.00 %	2.40 %
Pinetops	5	1,200	88,865	1,200	100.00 %	1.35 %
Pineville	96	10,602	82,806	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	99	10,602	85,822	10,602	100.00 %	12.35 %
Pink Hill	12	451	84,745	451	100.00 %	0.53 %
Pittsboro	56	4,537	91,189	4,537	100.00 %	4.98 %
Pleasant Garden	62	5,000	90,361	5,000	100.00 %	5.53 %
Plymouth	1	3,320	84,330	3,320	100.00 %	3.94 %
Polkton	77	2,250	87,005	2,250	100.00 %	2.59 %
Polkville	104	516	88,397	516	100.00 %	0.58 %
Pollocksville	12	268	84,745	268	100.00 %	0.32 %
Powellsville	5	189	88,865	189	100.00 %	0.21 %
Princeton	31	1,315	85,389	1,315	100.00 %	1.54 %
Princeville	5	1,254	88,865	1,254	100.00 %	1.41 %
Proctorville	23	121	83,445	121	100.00 %	0.15 %
Raeford	58	4,559	86,256	4,559	100.00 %	5.29 %
Raleigh	35	467,665	88,374	6,171	1.32 %	6.98 %
	36	467,665	83,175	57,345	12.26 %	68.94 %
	37	467,665	86,157	47,783	10.22 %	55.46 %
	41	467,665	86,179	13	0.00 %	0.02 %
	42	467,665	86,298	40,792	8.72 %	47.27 %
	43	467,665	83,049	82,480	17.64 %	99.31 %
	44	467,665	83,679	83,503	17.86 %	99.79 %
	45	467,665	83,189	78,168	16.71 %	93.96 %
	46	467,665	90,164	13,011	2.78 %	14.43 %
	47	467,665	88,226	56,840	12.15 %	64.43 %
	48	467,665	91,290	233	0.05 %	0.26 %
51	467,665	90,263	1,326	0.28 %	1.47 %	
Ramseur	60	1,774	83,038	1,774	100.00 %	2.14 %
Randleman	61	4,595	84,731	4,595	100.00 %	5.42 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Ranlo	103	4,511	86,263	4,500	99.76 %	5.22 %
	104	4,511	88,397	11	0.24 %	0.01 %
Raynham	23	60	83,445	60	100.00 %	0.07 %
Red Cross	76	762	88,255	762	100.00 %	0.86 %
Red Oak	14	3,342	86,534	3,342	100.00 %	3.86 %
Red Springs	24	3,087	83,708	3,087	100.00 %	3.69 %
	58	3,087	86,256	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Reidsville	68	14,583	91,096	14,583	100.00 %	16.01 %
Rennert	24	275	83,708	275	100.00 %	0.33 %
Rhodhiss	109	997	87,570	639	64.09 %	0.73 %
	110	997	85,758	358	35.91 %	0.42 %
Richfield	76	582	88,255	582	100.00 %	0.66 %
Richlands	11	2,287	84,155	2,287	100.00 %	2.72 %
Rich Square	13	894	84,735	894	100.00 %	1.06 %
River Bend	6	2,902	85,099	2,902	100.00 %	3.41 %
Roanoke Rapids	13	15,229	84,735	15,229	100.00 %	17.97 %
Robbins	60	1,168	83,038	1,168	100.00 %	1.41 %
Robbinsville	120	597	84,907	597	100.00 %	0.70 %
Robersonville	5	1,269	88,865	1,269	100.00 %	1.43 %
Rockingham	59	9,243	84,383	9,243	100.00 %	10.95 %
Rockwell	83	2,302	89,815	2,302	100.00 %	2.56 %
Rocky Mount	5	54,341	88,865	15,414	28.37 %	17.35 %
	14	54,341	86,534	38,927	71.63 %	44.98 %
Rolesville	35	9,475	88,374	9,467	99.92 %	10.71 %
	46	9,475	90,164	8	0.08 %	0.01 %
Ronda	85	438	82,937	438	100.00 %	0.53 %
Roper	1	485	84,330	485	100.00 %	0.58 %
Roseboro	16	1,163	90,677	1,163	100.00 %	1.28 %
Rose Hill	11	1,371	84,155	1,371	100.00 %	1.63 %
Rosman	119	701	90,212	701	100.00 %	0.78 %
Rowland	23	885	83,445	885	100.00 %	1.06 %
Roxboro	51	8,134	90,263	8,134	100.00 %	9.01 %
Roxobel	5	187	88,865	187	100.00 %	0.21 %
Rural Hall	69	3,351	86,210	3,351	100.00 %	3.89 %
Ruth	113	347	90,893	347	100.00 %	0.38 %
Rutherford College	109	1,226	87,570	1,226	100.00 %	1.40 %
	110	1,226	85,758	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Rutherfordton	113	3,640	90,893	3,640	100.00 %	4.00 %
St. Helena	18	417	89,809	417	100.00 %	0.46 %
St. James	21	6,529	91,041	6,529	100.00 %	7.17 %
St. Pauls	24	2,045	83,708	2,045	100.00 %	2.44 %
Salemburg	16	457	90,677	457	100.00 %	0.50 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Salisbury	83	35,540	89,815	35,540	100.00 %	39.57 %
Saluda	113	631	90,893	631	100.00 %	0.69 %
Sandy Creek	20	248	89,763	248	100.00 %	0.28 %
Sandyfield	23	430	83,445	430	100.00 %	0.52 %
Sanford	57	30,261	83,073	30,261	100.00 %	36.43 %
Saratoga	15	353	87,220	353	100.00 %	0.40 %
Sawmills	110	5,020	85,758	5,020	100.00 %	5.85 %
Scotland Neck	13	1,640	84,735	1,640	100.00 %	1.94 %
Seaboard	13	542	84,735	542	100.00 %	0.64 %
Seagrove	60	235	83,038	235	100.00 %	0.28 %
Sedalia	62	676	90,361	676	100.00 %	0.75 %
Selma	31	6,317	85,389	6,317	100.00 %	7.40 %
Seven Devils	111	313	86,445	275	87.86 %	0.32 %
	112	313	90,863	38	12.14 %	0.04 %
Seven Springs	17	55	85,692	55	100.00 %	0.06 %
Severn	13	191	84,735	191	100.00 %	0.23 %
Shallotte	20	4,185	89,763	4,185	100.00 %	4.66 %
Sharpsburg	5	1,697	88,865	215	12.67 %	0.24 %
	14	1,697	86,534	1,061	62.52 %	1.23 %
	15	1,697	87,220	421	24.81 %	0.48 %
Shelby	104	21,918	88,397	4,409	20.12 %	4.99 %
	105	21,918	89,894	17,509	79.88 %	19.48 %
Siler City	56	7,702	91,189	7,702	100.00 %	8.45 %
Simpson	7	390	84,450	390	100.00 %	0.46 %
Sims	15	275	87,220	275	100.00 %	0.32 %
Smithfield	31	11,292	85,389	11,292	100.00 %	13.22 %
Snow Hill	12	1,481	84,745	1,481	100.00 %	1.75 %
Southern Pines	59	15,545	84,383	15,545	100.00 %	18.42 %
	60	15,545	83,038	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Southern Shores	1	3,090	84,330	3,090	100.00 %	3.66 %
Southport	21	3,971	91,041	3,971	100.00 %	4.36 %
Sparta	111	1,834	86,445	1,834	100.00 %	2.12 %
Speed	5	63	88,865	63	100.00 %	0.07 %
Spencer	83	3,308	89,815	3,308	100.00 %	3.68 %
Spencer Mountain	103	0	86,263	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Spindale	113	4,225	90,893	4,225	100.00 %	4.65 %
Spring Hope	14	1,309	86,534	1,309	100.00 %	1.51 %
Spring Lake	28	11,660	85,537	11,660	100.00 %	13.63 %
Spruce Pine	112	2,194	90,863	2,194	100.00 %	2.41 %
Staley	56	397	91,189	397	100.00 %	0.44 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Stallings	78	16,112	88,138	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	79	16,112	85,179	15,728	97.62 %	18.46 %
	100	16,112	87,132	384	2.38 %	0.44 %
Stanfield	76	1,585	88,255	1,585	100.00 %	1.80 %
Stanley	103	3,963	86,263	3,963	100.00 %	4.59 %
Stantonsburg	15	762	87,220	762	100.00 %	0.87 %
Star	76	806	88,255	806	100.00 %	0.91 %
Statesville	87	28,419	86,773	28,415	99.99 %	32.75 %
	107	28,419	85,577	4	0.01 %	0.00 %
Stedman	26	1,277	82,956	1,277	100.00 %	1.54 %
Stem	34	960	88,633	960	100.00 %	1.08 %
Stokesdale	67	5,924	89,579	5,924	100.00 %	6.61 %
Stoneville	68	1,308	91,096	1,308	100.00 %	1.44 %
Stonewall	3	214	83,163	214	100.00 %	0.26 %
Stovall	34	324	88,633	324	100.00 %	0.37 %
Sugar Mountain	112	371	90,863	371	100.00 %	0.41 %
Summerfield	62	10,951	90,361	2,509	22.91 %	2.78 %
	63	10,951	90,615	746	6.81 %	0.82 %
	67	10,951	89,579	7,696	70.28 %	8.59 %
Sunset Beach	20	4,175	89,763	4,175	100.00 %	4.65 %
Surf City	9	3,867	84,980	334	8.64 %	0.39 %
	18	3,867	89,809	3,533	91.36 %	3.93 %
Swansboro	10	3,744	84,156	3,744	100.00 %	4.45 %
Sweepsonville	54	2,445	86,399	2,445	100.00 %	2.83 %
Sylva	119	2,578	90,212	2,578	100.00 %	2.86 %
Tabor City	23	3,781	83,445	3,781	100.00 %	4.53 %
Tarboro	5	10,721	88,865	10,721	100.00 %	12.06 %
Tar Heel	18	90	89,809	90	100.00 %	0.10 %
Taylorsville	86	2,320	90,835	2,320	100.00 %	2.55 %
Taylortown	59	634	84,383	4	0.63 %	0.00 %
	60	634	83,038	630	99.37 %	0.76 %
Teachey	11	448	84,155	448	100.00 %	0.53 %
Thomasville	61	27,183	84,731	521	1.92 %	0.61 %
	75	27,183	84,864	26,662	98.08 %	31.42 %
Tobaccoville	69	2,578	86,210	1,754	68.04 %	2.03 %
	70	2,578	84,857	824	31.96 %	0.97 %
Topsail Beach	18	461	89,809	461	100.00 %	0.51 %
Trenton	12	238	84,745	238	100.00 %	0.28 %
Trent Woods	6	4,074	85,099	4,074	100.00 %	4.79 %
Trinity	61	7,006	84,731	7,006	100.00 %	8.27 %
Troutman	87	3,698	86,773	885	23.93 %	1.02 %
	107	3,698	85,577	2,813	76.07 %	3.29 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Troy	76	2,850	88,255	2,850	100.00 %	3.23 %
Tryon	113	1,562	90,893	1,562	100.00 %	1.72 %
Turkey	16	213	90,677	213	100.00 %	0.23 %
Unionville	79	6,643	85,179	6,643	100.00 %	7.80 %
Valdese	109	4,689	87,570	4,689	100.00 %	5.35 %
Vanceboro	6	869	85,099	869	100.00 %	1.02 %
Vandemere	3	246	83,163	246	100.00 %	0.30 %
Varnamtown	21	525	91,041	525	100.00 %	0.58 %
Vass	57	952	83,073	952	100.00 %	1.15 %
Waco	104	310	88,397	310	100.00 %	0.35 %
Wade	26	638	82,956	638	100.00 %	0.77 %
Wadesboro	77	5,008	87,005	5,008	100.00 %	5.76 %
Wagram	58	615	86,256	615	100.00 %	0.71 %
Wake Forest	33	47,601	83,510	1,504	3.16 %	1.80 %
	35	47,601	88,374	46,097	96.84 %	52.16 %
	45	47,601	83,189	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Walkertown	72	5,692	84,874	3,176	55.80 %	3.74 %
	73	5,692	84,220	2,516	44.20 %	2.99 %
Wallace	11	3,413	84,155	3,413	100.00 %	4.06 %
	18	3,413	89,809	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Wallburg	75	3,051	84,864	3,051	100.00 %	3.60 %
Walnut Cove	69	1,586	86,210	1,586	100.00 %	1.84 %
Walnut Creek	17	1,084	85,692	1,084	100.00 %	1.26 %
Walstonburg	12	193	84,745	193	100.00 %	0.23 %
Warrenton	13	851	84,735	851	100.00 %	1.00 %
Warsaw	11	2,733	84,155	2,733	100.00 %	3.25 %
Washington	3	9,875	83,163	9,875	100.00 %	11.87 %
Washington Park	3	392	83,163	392	100.00 %	0.47 %
Watha	18	181	89,809	181	100.00 %	0.20 %
Waxhaw	77	20,534	87,005	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	78	20,534	88,138	20,534	100.00 %	23.30 %
Waynesville	118	10,140	83,282	10,140	100.00 %	12.18 %
Weaverville	116	4,567	89,685	4,567	100.00 %	5.09 %
Webster	119	372	90,212	372	100.00 %	0.41 %
Weddington	78	13,181	88,138	13,172	99.93 %	14.94 %
	79	13,181	85,179	4	0.03 %	0.00 %
	100	13,181	87,132	5	0.04 %	0.01 %
Weldon	13	1,444	84,735	1,444	100.00 %	1.70 %
Wendell	46	9,793	90,164	9,793	100.00 %	10.86 %
Wentworth	68	2,662	91,096	2,662	100.00 %	2.92 %
Wesley Chapel	77	8,681	87,005	3,868	44.56 %	4.45 %
	78	8,681	88,138	4,813	55.44 %	5.46 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
West Jefferson	111	1,279	86,445	1,279	100.00 %	1.48 %
Whispering Pines	59	4,987	84,383	4,987	100.00 %	5.91 %
Whitakers	5	627	88,865	290	46.25 %	0.33 %
	14	627	86,534	337	53.75 %	0.39 %
White Lake	18	843	89,809	843	100.00 %	0.94 %
Whiteville	23	4,766	83,445	4,766	100.00 %	5.71 %
Whitsett	62	584	90,361	584	100.00 %	0.65 %
Wilkesboro	86	3,687	90,835	3,687	100.00 %	4.06 %
Williamston	5	5,248	88,865	5,248	100.00 %	5.91 %
Wilmington	19	115,451	91,245	48,680	42.17 %	53.35 %
	21	115,451	91,041	8,207	7.11 %	9.01 %
	22	115,451	90,346	58,564	50.73 %	64.82 %
Wilson	15	47,851	87,220	47,851	100.00 %	54.86 %
Wilson's Mills	31	2,534	85,389	2,534	100.00 %	2.97 %
	32	2,534	89,947	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Windsor	5	3,582	88,865	3,582	100.00 %	4.03 %
Winfall	1	555	84,330	555	100.00 %	0.66 %
Wingate	77	4,055	87,005	4,055	100.00 %	4.66 %
Winston-Salem	69	249,545	86,210	29,820	11.95 %	34.59 %
	70	249,545	84,857	32,409	12.99 %	38.19 %
	71	249,545	86,949	86,867	34.81 %	99.91 %
	72	249,545	84,874	77,631	31.11 %	91.47 %
	73	249,545	84,220	22,818	9.14 %	27.09 %
Winterville	7	10,462	84,450	10,418	99.58 %	12.34 %
	8	10,462	85,793	44	0.42 %	0.05 %
Winton	2	629	82,953	629	100.00 %	0.76 %
Woodfin	116	7,936	89,685	7,648	96.37 %	8.53 %
	117	7,936	89,505	288	3.63 %	0.32 %
Woodland	13	557	84,735	557	100.00 %	0.66 %
Wrightsville Beach	22	2,473	90,346	2,473	100.00 %	2.74 %
Yadkinville	84	2,995	90,628	2,995	100.00 %	3.30 %
Yanceyville	53	1,937	85,345	1,937	100.00 %	2.27 %
Youngsville	33	2,016	83,510	2,016	100.00 %	2.41 %
Zebulon	32	6,903	89,947	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	46	6,903	90,164	6,903	100.00 %	7.66 %
Total:				6,017,605		

Number of split municipalities: 114

Display: all municipalities

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Aberdeen	59	8,516	84,383	8,516	100.00 %	10.09 %
	60	8,516	83,038	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Ahoskie	2	4,891	82,953	4,891	100.00 %	5.90 %
Alamance	55	988	85,016	988	100.00 %	1.16 %
Albemarle	76	16,432	88,255	16,432	100.00 %	18.62 %
Alliance	3	733	83,163	733	100.00 %	0.88 %
Andrews	120	1,667	84,907	1,667	100.00 %	1.96 %
Angier (Harnett)	29	4,709	87,332	4,709	100.00 %	5.39 %
Angier (Wake)	40	556	90,867	556	100.00 %	0.61 %
Ansonville	77	440	87,005	440	100.00 %	0.51 %
Apex	38	58,780	89,887	381	0.65 %	0.42 %
	39	58,780	90,166	57,843	98.41 %	64.15 %
	41	58,780	86,179	556	0.95 %	0.65 %
	42	58,780	86,298	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Arapahoe	3	416	83,163	416	100.00 %	0.50 %
Archdale (Guilford)	66	380	89,735	380	100.00 %	0.42 %
Archdale (Randolph)	61	11,527	84,731	11,527	100.00 %	13.60 %
Archer Lodge	32	4,797	89,947	4,797	100.00 %	5.33 %
Asheboro	60	27,156	83,038	1,266	4.66 %	1.52 %
	61	27,156	84,731	25,890	95.34 %	30.56 %
Asheville	115	94,589	90,262	29,236	30.91 %	32.39 %
	116	94,589	89,685	52,596	55.60 %	58.65 %
	117	94,589	89,505	12,757	13.49 %	14.25 %
Askewville	5	184	88,865	184	100.00 %	0.21 %
Atkinson	18	296	89,809	296	100.00 %	0.33 %
Atlantic Beach	4	1,364	83,307	1,364	100.00 %	1.64 %
Aulander	5	763	88,865	763	100.00 %	0.86 %
Aurora	3	455	83,163	455	100.00 %	0.55 %
Autoryville	16	167	90,677	167	100.00 %	0.18 %
Ayden	7	4,977	84,450	4,977	100.00 %	5.89 %
Badin	76	2,024	88,255	2,024	100.00 %	2.29 %
Bailey	15	568	87,220	568	100.00 %	0.65 %
Bakersville	112	450	90,863	450	100.00 %	0.50 %
Bald Head Island	21	268	91,041	268	100.00 %	0.29 %
Banner Elk	112	1,049	90,863	1,049	100.00 %	1.15 %
Bath	3	245	83,163	245	100.00 %	0.29 %
Bayboro	3	1,161	83,163	1,161	100.00 %	1.40 %
Bear Grass	5	89	88,865	89	100.00 %	0.10 %
Beaufort	4	4,464	83,307	4,464	100.00 %	5.36 %
Beech Mountain (Avery)	112	62	90,863	62	100.00 %	0.07 %
Beech Mountain (Watauga)	111	613	86,445	613	100.00 %	0.71 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Belhaven	3	1,410	83,163	1,410	100.00 %	1.70 %
Belmont	102	15,010	87,762	13,142	87.55 %	14.97 %
	103	15,010	86,263	1,868	12.45 %	2.17 %
Belville	20	2,406	89,763	2,406	100.00 %	2.68 %
Belwood	104	857	88,397	857	100.00 %	0.97 %
Benson (Harnett)	30	0	86,899	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Benson (Johnston)	31	3,967	85,389	3,967	100.00 %	4.65 %
Bermuda Run	84	3,120	90,628	3,120	100.00 %	3.44 %
Bessemer City	104	5,428	88,397	5,428	100.00 %	6.14 %
Bethania	69	344	86,210	344	100.00 %	0.40 %
	70	344	84,857	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Bethel	8	1,373	85,793	1,373	100.00 %	1.60 %
Beulaville	11	1,116	84,155	1,116	100.00 %	1.33 %
Biltmore Forest	117	1,409	89,505	1,409	100.00 %	1.57 %
Biscoe	76	1,848	88,255	1,848	100.00 %	2.09 %
Black Creek	15	692	87,220	692	100.00 %	0.79 %
Black Mountain	115	8,426	90,262	8,426	100.00 %	9.34 %
Bladenboro	18	1,648	89,809	1,648	100.00 %	1.84 %
Blowing Rock (Caldwell)	110	91	85,758	91	100.00 %	0.11 %
Blowing Rock (Watauga)	110	1,285	85,758	5	0.39 %	0.01 %
	111	1,285	86,445	1,280	99.61 %	1.48 %
Boardman	23	166	83,445	166	100.00 %	0.20 %
Bogue	4	695	83,307	695	100.00 %	0.83 %
Boiling Spring Lakes	21	5,943	91,041	5,943	100.00 %	6.53 %
Boiling Springs	105	4,615	89,894	4,615	100.00 %	5.13 %
Bolivia	21	149	91,041	149	100.00 %	0.16 %
Bolton	23	519	83,445	519	100.00 %	0.62 %
Boone	110	19,092	85,758	595	3.12 %	0.69 %
	111	19,092	86,445	18,497	96.88 %	21.40 %
Boonville	84	1,185	90,628	1,185	100.00 %	1.31 %
Bostic	105	355	89,894	355	100.00 %	0.39 %
Brevard	119	7,744	90,212	7,744	100.00 %	8.58 %
Bridgeton	6	349	85,099	349	100.00 %	0.41 %
Broadway (Harnett)	29	0	87,332	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Broadway (Lee)	57	1,267	83,073	1,267	100.00 %	1.53 %
Brookford	108	442	89,587	442	100.00 %	0.49 %
Brunswick	23	973	83,445	973	100.00 %	1.17 %
Bryson City	119	1,558	90,212	1,558	100.00 %	1.73 %
Bunn	33	327	83,510	327	100.00 %	0.39 %
Burgaw	18	3,088	89,809	3,088	100.00 %	3.44 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Burlington (Alamance)	54	55,481	86,399	25,917	46.71 %	30.00 %
	55	55,481	85,016	29,564	53.29 %	34.77 %
Burlington (Guilford)	62	1,822	90,361	1,822	100.00 %	2.02 %
Burnsville	112	1,614	90,863	1,614	100.00 %	1.78 %
Butner	34	8,397	88,633	8,397	100.00 %	9.47 %
Cajah's Mountain	110	2,722	85,758	2,722	100.00 %	3.17 %
Calabash	20	2,011	89,763	2,011	100.00 %	2.24 %
Calypso	11	327	84,155	327	100.00 %	0.39 %
Cameron	57	244	83,073	244	100.00 %	0.29 %
Candor (Montgomery)	76	813	88,255	813	100.00 %	0.92 %
Candor (Moore)	60	0	83,038	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Canton	118	4,422	83,282	4,422	100.00 %	5.31 %
Cape Carteret	4	2,224	83,307	2,224	100.00 %	2.67 %
Carolina Beach	21	6,564	91,041	6,564	100.00 %	7.21 %
Carolina Shores	20	4,588	89,763	4,588	100.00 %	5.11 %
Carrboro	52	21,295	86,087	21,121	99.18 %	24.53 %
	53	21,295	85,345	174	0.82 %	0.20 %
Carthage	57	2,775	83,073	2,747	98.99 %	3.31 %
	59	2,775	84,383	28	1.01 %	0.03 %
Cary (Chatham)	56	3,709	91,189	3,709	100.00 %	4.07 %
Cary (Wake)	37	171,012	86,157	20,767	12.14 %	24.10 %
	38	171,012	89,887	74,074	43.32 %	82.41 %
	39	171,012	90,166	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	40	171,012	90,867	2,012	1.18 %	2.21 %
	41	171,012	86,179	30,622	17.91 %	35.53 %
	42	171,012	86,298	43,537	25.46 %	50.45 %
Casar	104	305	88,397	305	100.00 %	0.35 %
Castalia	14	264	86,534	264	100.00 %	0.31 %
Caswell Beach	21	395	91,041	395	100.00 %	0.43 %
Catawba	107	702	85,577	702	100.00 %	0.82 %
Cedar Point	4	1,764	83,307	1,764	100.00 %	2.12 %
Cedar Rock	110	301	85,758	301	100.00 %	0.35 %
Cerro Gordo	23	131	83,445	131	100.00 %	0.16 %
Chadbourn	23	1,574	83,445	1,574	100.00 %	1.89 %
Chapel Hill (Durham)	49	2,906	91,212	2,906	100.00 %	3.19 %
Chapel Hill (Orange)	52	59,054	86,087	59,054	100.00 %	68.60 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Charlotte	90	874,579	88,237	67,298	7.69 %	76.27 %
	91	874,579	86,426	64,526	7.38 %	74.66 %
	92	874,579	82,824	79,717	9.11 %	96.25 %
	93	874,579	86,179	86,179	9.85 %	100.00 %
	94	874,579	82,834	82,834	9.47 %	100.00 %
	95	874,579	85,031	63,762	7.29 %	74.99 %
	96	874,579	82,806	82,687	9.45 %	99.86 %
	97	874,579	87,197	87,197	9.97 %	100.00 %
	98	874,579	86,520	86,520	9.89 %	100.00 %
	99	874,579	85,822	71,156	8.14 %	82.91 %
	100	874,579	87,132	23,590	2.70 %	27.07 %
101	874,579	87,647	79,113	9.05 %	90.26 %	
Cherryville	104	6,078	88,397	6,078	100.00 %	6.88 %
Chimney Rock Village	113	140	90,893	140	100.00 %	0.15 %
China Grove	82	4,434	90,742	4,434	100.00 %	4.89 %
Chocowinity	3	722	83,163	722	100.00 %	0.87 %
Claremont	107	1,692	85,577	1,692	100.00 %	1.98 %
Clarkton	18	614	89,809	614	100.00 %	0.68 %
Clayton (Johnston)	32	26,307	89,947	26,307	100.00 %	29.25 %
Clayton (Wake)	46	0	90,164	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	47	0	88,226	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Clemmons	70	21,163	84,857	21,163	100.00 %	24.94 %
Cleveland	84	846	90,628	846	100.00 %	0.93 %
Clinton	16	8,383	90,677	8,383	100.00 %	9.24 %
Clyde	118	1,368	83,282	1,368	100.00 %	1.64 %
Coats	30	2,155	86,899	2,155	100.00 %	2.48 %
Cofield	2	267	82,953	267	100.00 %	0.32 %
Colerain	5	217	88,865	217	100.00 %	0.24 %
Columbia	1	610	84,330	610	100.00 %	0.72 %
Columbus	113	1,060	90,893	1,060	100.00 %	1.17 %
Como	2	67	82,953	67	100.00 %	0.08 %
Concord	80	105,240	90,854	35,012	33.27 %	38.54 %
	81	105,240	90,566	46,158	43.86 %	50.97 %
	82	105,240	90,742	24,070	22.87 %	26.53 %
Conetoe	5	198	88,865	198	100.00 %	0.22 %
Connelly Springs	109	1,529	87,570	1,529	100.00 %	1.75 %
Conover	107	8,421	85,577	424	5.04 %	0.50 %
	108	8,421	89,587	7,997	94.96 %	8.93 %
Conway	13	752	84,735	752	100.00 %	0.89 %
Cooleemee	84	940	90,628	940	100.00 %	1.04 %
Cornelius	89	31,412	86,827	31,412	100.00 %	36.18 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Cove City	6	378	85,099	378	100.00 %	0.44 %
Cramerton	102	5,296	87,762	5,200	98.19 %	5.93 %
	103	5,296	86,263	96	1.81 %	0.11 %
Creedmoor	33	4,866	83,510	2,065	42.44 %	2.47 %
	34	4,866	88,633	2,801	57.56 %	3.16 %
Creswell	1	207	84,330	207	100.00 %	0.25 %
Crossnore	112	143	90,863	143	100.00 %	0.16 %
Dallas	104	5,927	88,397	5,927	100.00 %	6.70 %
Danbury	69	189	86,210	189	100.00 %	0.22 %
Davidson (Iredell)	88	378	85,366	378	100.00 %	0.44 %
Davidson (Mecklenburg)	89	14,728	86,827	14,728	100.00 %	16.96 %
Dellview	104	6	88,397	6	100.00 %	0.01 %
Denton	75	1,494	84,864	1,494	100.00 %	1.76 %
Dillsboro	119	213	90,212	213	100.00 %	0.24 %
Dobbins Heights	59	687	84,383	687	100.00 %	0.81 %
Dobson	85	1,462	82,937	1,462	100.00 %	1.76 %
Dortches	14	1,082	86,534	1,082	100.00 %	1.25 %
Dover	6	349	85,099	349	100.00 %	0.41 %
Drexel	109	1,760	87,570	1,760	100.00 %	2.01 %
Dublin	18	267	89,809	267	100.00 %	0.30 %
Duck	1	742	84,330	742	100.00 %	0.88 %
Dunn	30	8,446	86,899	8,446	100.00 %	9.72 %
Durham (Durham)	48	283,093	91,290	81,669	28.85 %	89.46 %
	49	283,093	91,212	87,035	30.74 %	95.42 %
	50	283,093	91,165	89,671	31.68 %	98.36 %
	51	283,093	90,263	24,718	8.73 %	27.38 %
Durham (Orange)	53	144	85,345	144	100.00 %	0.17 %
Durham (Wake)	36	269	83,175	269	100.00 %	0.32 %
	37	269	86,157	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Earl	105	198	89,894	198	100.00 %	0.22 %
East Arcadia	18	418	89,809	418	100.00 %	0.47 %
East Bend	84	634	90,628	634	100.00 %	0.70 %
East Laurinburg	58	234	86,256	234	100.00 %	0.27 %
East Spencer	83	1,567	89,815	1,567	100.00 %	1.74 %
Eastover	26	3,656	82,956	3,656	100.00 %	4.41 %
Eden	68	15,421	91,096	15,421	100.00 %	16.93 %
Edenton	1	4,460	84,330	4,460	100.00 %	5.29 %
Elizabeth City (Camden)	2	38	82,953	38	100.00 %	0.05 %
Elizabeth City (Pasquotank)	2	18,593	82,953	18,593	100.00 %	22.41 %
Elizabethtown	18	3,296	89,809	3,296	100.00 %	3.67 %
Elk Park	112	542	90,863	542	100.00 %	0.60 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Elkin (Surry)	85	4,049	82,937	4,049	100.00 %	4.88 %
Elkin (Wilkes)	85	73	82,937	73	100.00 %	0.09 %
Ellenboro	105	723	89,894	723	100.00 %	0.80 %
Ellerbe	59	864	84,383	864	100.00 %	1.02 %
Elm City (Nash)	14	0	86,534	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Elm City (Wilson)	15	1,218	87,220	1,218	100.00 %	1.40 %
Elon	55	11,336	85,016	11,336	100.00 %	13.33 %
Emerald Isle	4	3,847	83,307	3,847	100.00 %	4.62 %
Enfield	13	1,865	84,735	1,865	100.00 %	2.20 %
Erwin	30	4,542	86,899	4,542	100.00 %	5.23 %
Eureka	16	214	90,677	214	100.00 %	0.24 %
Everetts	5	150	88,865	150	100.00 %	0.17 %
Fair Bluff	23	709	83,445	709	100.00 %	0.85 %
Fairmont	23	2,191	83,445	2,191	100.00 %	2.63 %
	24	2,191	83,708	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Fairview	79	3,456	85,179	3,456	100.00 %	4.06 %
Faison (Duplin)	11	784	84,155	784	100.00 %	0.93 %
Faison (Sampson)	16	0	90,677	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Faith	83	819	89,815	819	100.00 %	0.91 %
Falcon (Cumberland)	26	324	82,956	324	100.00 %	0.39 %
Falcon (Sampson)	16	0	90,677	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Falkland	8	47	85,793	47	100.00 %	0.05 %
Fallston	104	627	88,397	627	100.00 %	0.71 %
Farmville	8	4,461	85,793	4,461	100.00 %	5.20 %
Fayetteville	25	208,501	82,938	15,275	7.33 %	18.42 %
	26	208,501	82,956	44,532	21.36 %	53.68 %
	27	208,501	83,297	83,293	39.95 %	100.00 %
	28	208,501	85,537	65,401	31.37 %	76.46 %
Flat Rock	113	3,486	90,893	3,486	100.00 %	3.84 %
Fletcher	114	7,987	89,200	7,987	100.00 %	8.95 %
Fontana Dam	120	13	84,907	13	100.00 %	0.02 %
Forest City	105	7,377	89,894	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	113	7,377	90,893	7,377	100.00 %	8.12 %
Forest Hills	119	303	90,212	303	100.00 %	0.34 %
Fountain	8	385	85,793	385	100.00 %	0.45 %
Four Oaks	31	2,158	85,389	2,158	100.00 %	2.53 %
Foxfire	59	1,288	84,383	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	60	1,288	83,038	1,288	100.00 %	1.55 %
Franklin	120	4,175	84,907	4,175	100.00 %	4.92 %
Franklinton	33	2,456	83,510	2,456	100.00 %	2.94 %
Franklinville	60	1,197	83,038	1,197	100.00 %	1.44 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Fremont	16	1,196	90,677	1,196	100.00 %	1.32 %
Fuquay-Varina (Harnett)	29	0	87,332	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	39	34,152	90,166	16	0.05 %	0.02 %
	40	34,152	90,867	34,106	99.87 %	37.53 %
	41	34,152	86,179	30	0.09 %	0.03 %
Gamewell	110	3,702	85,758	3,702	100.00 %	4.32 %
Garland	16	595	90,677	595	100.00 %	0.66 %
Garner	40	31,159	90,867	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	41	31,159	86,179	11,789	37.83 %	13.68 %
	43	31,159	83,049	14	0.04 %	0.02 %
	47	31,159	88,226	19,356	62.12 %	21.94 %
Garysburg	13	904	84,735	904	100.00 %	1.07 %
Gaston	13	1,008	84,735	1,008	100.00 %	1.19 %
Gastonia	102	80,411	87,762	44,448	55.28 %	50.65 %
	103	80,411	86,263	28,480	35.42 %	33.02 %
	104	80,411	88,397	7,483	9.31 %	8.47 %
Gatesville	2	267	82,953	267	100.00 %	0.32 %
Gibson	58	449	86,256	449	100.00 %	0.52 %
Gibsonville (Alamance)	55	4,278	85,016	4,278	100.00 %	5.03 %
Gibsonville (Guilford)	62	4,642	90,361	4,642	100.00 %	5.14 %
Glen Alpine	109	1,529	87,570	1,529	100.00 %	1.75 %
Godwin	26	128	82,956	128	100.00 %	0.15 %
Goldsboro	16	33,657	90,677	1	0.00 %	0.00 %
	17	33,657	85,692	33,656	100.00 %	39.28 %
Goldston	56	234	91,189	234	100.00 %	0.26 %
Graham	54	17,157	86,399	17,157	100.00 %	19.86 %
Grandfather Village	112	95	90,863	95	100.00 %	0.10 %
Granite Falls	110	4,965	85,758	4,965	100.00 %	5.79 %
Granite Quarry	83	2,984	89,815	2,984	100.00 %	3.32 %
Grantsboro	3	692	83,163	692	100.00 %	0.83 %
Green Level	54	3,152	86,399	3,152	100.00 %	3.65 %
Greenevers	11	567	84,155	567	100.00 %	0.67 %
Greensboro	62	299,035	90,361	13,852	4.63 %	15.33 %
	63	299,035	90,615	83,540	27.94 %	92.19 %
	64	299,035	90,201	90,201	30.16 %	100.00 %
	65	299,035	90,808	84,725	28.33 %	93.30 %
	66	299,035	89,735	8,829	2.95 %	9.84 %
	67	299,035	89,579	17,888	5.98 %	19.97 %
Greenville	7	87,521	84,450	34,640	39.58 %	41.02 %
	8	87,521	85,793	52,881	60.42 %	61.64 %
Grifton (Lenoir)	12	147	84,745	147	100.00 %	0.17 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Grifton (Pitt)	7	2,301	84,450	2,301	100.00 %	2.72 %
Grimesland	7	386	84,450	386	100.00 %	0.46 %
Grover	105	802	89,894	802	100.00 %	0.89 %
Halifax	13	170	84,735	170	100.00 %	0.20 %
Hamilton	5	306	88,865	306	100.00 %	0.34 %
Hamlet	59	6,025	84,383	6,025	100.00 %	7.14 %
Harmony	87	543	86,773	543	100.00 %	0.63 %
Harrells (Duplin)	11	0	84,155	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Harrells (Sampson)	16	160	90,677	160	100.00 %	0.18 %
Harrellsville	2	85	82,953	85	100.00 %	0.10 %
Harrisburg	80	18,967	90,854	18,967	100.00 %	20.88 %
Hassell	5	49	88,865	49	100.00 %	0.06 %
Havelock	4	16,621	83,307	10,635	63.99 %	12.77 %
	6	16,621	85,099	5,986	36.01 %	7.03 %
Haw River	54	2,252	86,399	2,252	100.00 %	2.61 %
Hayesville	120	461	84,907	461	100.00 %	0.54 %
Hemby Bridge	79	1,614	85,179	1,614	100.00 %	1.89 %
Henderson	34	15,060	88,633	15,060	100.00 %	16.99 %
Hendersonville	113	15,137	90,893	623	4.12 %	0.69 %
	114	15,137	89,200	14,514	95.88 %	16.27 %
Hertford	1	1,934	84,330	1,934	100.00 %	2.29 %
Hickory (Burke)	109	79	87,570	79	100.00 %	0.09 %
Hickory (Caldwell)	110	32	85,758	32	100.00 %	0.04 %
Hickory (Catawba)	107	43,379	85,577	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	108	43,379	89,587	43,379	100.00 %	48.42 %
High Point (Davidson)	75	6,646	84,864	6,646	100.00 %	7.83 %
High Point (Forsyth)	73	84	84,220	84	100.00 %	0.10 %
High Point (Guilford)	66	107,321	89,735	66,033	61.53 %	73.59 %
	67	107,321	89,579	41,288	38.47 %	46.09 %
High Point (Randolph)	61	8	84,731	8	100.00 %	0.01 %
High Shoals	104	595	88,397	595	100.00 %	0.67 %
Highlands (Jackson)	119	12	90,212	12	100.00 %	0.01 %
Highlands (Macon)	120	1,060	84,907	1,060	100.00 %	1.25 %
Hildebran	109	1,679	87,570	1,679	100.00 %	1.92 %
Hillsborough	53	9,660	85,345	9,660	100.00 %	11.32 %
Hobgood	13	268	84,735	268	100.00 %	0.32 %
Hoffman	59	418	84,383	418	100.00 %	0.50 %
Holden Beach	20	921	89,763	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	21	921	91,041	921	100.00 %	1.01 %
Holly Ridge	9	4,171	84,980	4,171	100.00 %	4.91 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Holly Springs	39	41,239	90,166	17,734	43.00 %	19.67 %
	40	41,239	90,867	11,613	28.16 %	12.78 %
	41	41,239	86,179	11,892	28.84 %	13.80 %
Hookerton	12	413	84,745	413	100.00 %	0.49 %
Hope Mills	25	17,808	82,938	17,744	99.64 %	21.39 %
	26	17,808	82,956	64	0.36 %	0.08 %
Hot Springs	118	520	83,282	520	100.00 %	0.62 %
Hudson	110	3,780	85,758	3,780	100.00 %	4.41 %
Huntersville	89	61,376	86,827	38,677	63.02 %	44.54 %
	90	61,376	88,237	16,806	27.38 %	19.05 %
	91	61,376	86,426	5,893	9.60 %	6.82 %
Indian Beach	4	223	83,307	223	100.00 %	0.27 %
Indian Trail	77	39,997	87,005	2,376	5.94 %	2.73 %
	78	39,997	88,138	15,036	37.59 %	17.06 %
	79	39,997	85,179	22,585	56.47 %	26.51 %
Jackson	13	430	84,735	430	100.00 %	0.51 %
Jacksonville	9	72,723	84,980	36,996	50.87 %	43.53 %
	10	72,723	84,156	35,727	49.13 %	42.45 %
	11	72,723	84,155	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Jamestown	66	3,668	89,735	3,668	100.00 %	4.09 %
Jamesville	5	424	88,865	424	100.00 %	0.48 %
Jefferson	111	1,622	86,445	1,622	100.00 %	1.88 %
Jonesville	84	2,308	90,628	2,308	100.00 %	2.55 %
Kannapolis (Cabarrus)	81	42,846	90,566	33,907	79.14 %	37.44 %
	82	42,846	90,742	8,939	20.86 %	9.85 %
Kannapolis (Rowan)	82	10,268	90,742	10,268	100.00 %	11.32 %
Kelford	5	203	88,865	203	100.00 %	0.23 %
Kenansville	11	770	84,155	770	100.00 %	0.91 %
Kenly (Johnston)	31	1,293	85,389	1,293	100.00 %	1.51 %
Kenly (Wilson)	15	198	87,220	198	100.00 %	0.23 %
Kernersville (Forsyth)	72	25,947	84,874	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	73	25,947	84,220	25,947	100.00 %	30.81 %
Kernersville (Guilford)	67	502	89,579	502	100.00 %	0.56 %
Kill Devil Hills	1	7,656	84,330	7,118	92.97 %	8.44 %
	3	7,656	83,163	538	7.03 %	0.65 %
King (Forsyth)	69	591	86,210	591	100.00 %	0.69 %
King (Stokes)	69	6,606	86,210	6,606	100.00 %	7.66 %
Kings Mountain (Cleveland)	104	10,032	88,397	8	0.08 %	0.01 %
	105	10,032	89,894	10,024	99.92 %	11.15 %
Kings Mountain (Gaston)	104	1,110	88,397	1,110	100.00 %	1.26 %
Kingstown	104	656	88,397	656	100.00 %	0.74 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Kinston	12	19,900	84,745	19,900	100.00 %	23.48 %
Kittrell	34	132	88,633	132	100.00 %	0.15 %
Kitty Hawk	1	3,689	84,330	3,689	100.00 %	4.37 %
Knightdale	46	19,435	90,164	19,435	100.00 %	21.56 %
	47	19,435	88,226	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Kure Beach	21	2,191	91,041	2,191	100.00 %	2.41 %
La Grange	12	2,595	84,745	2,595	100.00 %	3.06 %
Lake Lure	113	1,365	90,893	1,365	100.00 %	1.50 %
Lake Park	79	3,269	85,179	3,269	100.00 %	3.84 %
Lake Santeetlah	120	38	84,907	38	100.00 %	0.04 %
Lake Waccamaw	23	1,296	83,445	1,296	100.00 %	1.55 %
Landis	82	3,690	90,742	3,690	100.00 %	4.07 %
Lansing	111	126	86,445	126	100.00 %	0.15 %
Lasker	13	64	84,735	64	100.00 %	0.08 %
Lattimore	105	406	89,894	406	100.00 %	0.45 %
Laurel Park	113	2,250	90,893	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	114	2,250	89,200	2,250	100.00 %	2.52 %
Laurinburg	58	14,978	86,256	14,978	100.00 %	17.36 %
Lawndale	104	570	88,397	570	100.00 %	0.64 %
Leggett	5	37	88,865	37	100.00 %	0.04 %
Leland	20	22,908	89,763	22,908	100.00 %	25.52 %
Lenoir	110	18,352	85,758	18,352	100.00 %	21.40 %
Lewiston Woodville	5	426	88,865	426	100.00 %	0.48 %
Lewisville	70	13,381	84,857	13,381	100.00 %	15.77 %
Lexington	74	19,632	84,066	19,632	100.00 %	23.35 %
	75	19,632	84,864	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Liberty	56	2,655	91,189	2,655	100.00 %	2.91 %
Lilesville	77	395	87,005	395	100.00 %	0.45 %
Lillington	29	4,735	87,332	882	18.63 %	1.01 %
	30	4,735	86,899	3,853	81.37 %	4.43 %
Lincolnton	106	11,091	86,810	11,091	100.00 %	12.78 %
Linden	26	136	82,956	136	100.00 %	0.16 %
Littleton	13	559	84,735	559	100.00 %	0.66 %
Locust (Cabarrus)	80	541	90,854	541	100.00 %	0.60 %
Locust (Stanly)	76	3,996	88,255	3,996	100.00 %	4.53 %
Long View (Burke)	109	735	87,570	735	100.00 %	0.84 %
Long View (Catawba)	108	4,353	89,587	4,353	100.00 %	4.86 %
Louisburg	33	3,064	83,510	3,064	100.00 %	3.67 %
Love Valley	87	154	86,773	154	100.00 %	0.18 %
Lowell	102	3,654	87,762	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	103	3,654	86,263	3,654	100.00 %	4.24 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Lucama	15	1,036	87,220	1,036	100.00 %	1.19 %
Lumber Bridge	24	82	83,708	82	100.00 %	0.10 %
Lumberton	23	19,025	83,445	350	1.84 %	0.42 %
	24	19,025	83,708	18,675	98.16 %	22.31 %
Macclesfield	5	413	88,865	413	100.00 %	0.46 %
Macon	13	110	84,735	110	100.00 %	0.13 %
Madison	68	2,129	91,096	2,129	100.00 %	2.34 %
Maggie Valley	118	1,687	83,282	1,687	100.00 %	2.03 %
Magnolia	11	831	84,155	831	100.00 %	0.99 %
Maiden (Catawba)	107	3,736	85,577	3,736	100.00 %	4.37 %
Maiden (Lincoln)	106	0	86,810	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Manteo	3	1,600	83,163	1,600	100.00 %	1.92 %
Marietta	23	111	83,445	111	100.00 %	0.13 %
Marion	112	7,717	90,863	7,717	100.00 %	8.49 %
Mars Hill	118	2,007	83,282	2,007	100.00 %	2.41 %
Marshall	118	777	83,282	777	100.00 %	0.93 %
Marshville	77	2,522	87,005	2,522	100.00 %	2.90 %
Marvin	78	6,358	88,138	6,358	100.00 %	7.21 %
Matthews	100	29,435	87,132	29,435	100.00 %	33.78 %
Maxton (Robeson)	23	1,902	83,445	1,902	100.00 %	2.28 %
Maxton (Scotland)	58	208	86,256	208	100.00 %	0.24 %
Mayodan	68	2,418	91,096	2,418	100.00 %	2.65 %
Maysville	12	818	84,745	818	100.00 %	0.97 %
McAdenville	103	890	86,263	890	100.00 %	1.03 %
McDonald	23	94	83,445	94	100.00 %	0.11 %
McFarlan	77	94	87,005	94	100.00 %	0.11 %
Mebane (Alamance)	54	14,626	86,399	14,626	100.00 %	16.93 %
Mebane (Orange)	53	3,171	85,345	3,171	100.00 %	3.72 %
Mesic	3	144	83,163	144	100.00 %	0.17 %
Micro	31	458	85,389	458	100.00 %	0.54 %
Middleburg	34	101	88,633	101	100.00 %	0.11 %
Middlesex	15	912	87,220	912	100.00 %	1.05 %
Midland (Cabarrus)	80	4,684	90,854	4,684	100.00 %	5.16 %
Midland (Mecklenburg)	100	0	87,132	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Midway	74	4,742	84,066	1,273	26.85 %	1.51 %
	75	4,742	84,864	3,469	73.15 %	4.09 %
Mills River	113	7,078	90,893	305	4.31 %	0.34 %
	114	7,078	89,200	6,773	95.69 %	7.59 %
Milton	53	155	85,345	155	100.00 %	0.18 %
Mineral Springs	77	3,159	87,005	2,293	72.59 %	2.64 %
	78	3,159	88,138	866	27.41 %	0.98 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Minnesott Beach	3	530	83,163	530	100.00 %	0.64 %
Mint Hill (Mecklenburg)	100	26,444	87,132	26,444	100.00 %	30.35 %
	101	26,444	87,647	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Mint Hill (Union)	79	6	85,179	6	100.00 %	0.01 %
Misenheimer	76	650	88,255	650	100.00 %	0.74 %
Mocksville	84	5,900	90,628	5,900	100.00 %	6.51 %
Momeyer	14	277	86,534	277	100.00 %	0.32 %
Monroe	77	34,562	87,005	12,650	36.60 %	14.54 %
	79	34,562	85,179	21,912	63.40 %	25.72 %
Montreat	115	901	90,262	901	100.00 %	1.00 %
Mooresboro	105	293	89,894	293	100.00 %	0.33 %
Mooresville	87	50,193	86,773	205	0.41 %	0.24 %
	88	50,193	85,366	49,988	99.59 %	58.56 %
Morehead City	4	9,556	83,307	9,556	100.00 %	11.47 %
Morganton	109	17,474	87,570	17,474	100.00 %	19.95 %
Morrisville (Durham)	48	207	91,290	207	100.00 %	0.23 %
Morrisville (Wake)	37	29,423	86,157	15,184	51.61 %	17.62 %
	38	29,423	89,887	14,239	48.39 %	15.84 %
	42	29,423	86,298	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Morven	77	329	87,005	329	100.00 %	0.38 %
Mount Airy	85	10,676	82,937	10,676	100.00 %	12.87 %
Mount Gilead	76	1,171	88,255	1,171	100.00 %	1.33 %
Mount Holly	103	17,703	86,263	17,703	100.00 %	20.52 %
Mount Olive (Duplin)	11	5	84,155	5	100.00 %	0.01 %
Mount Olive (Wayne)	17	4,193	85,692	4,193	100.00 %	4.89 %
Mount Pleasant	80	1,671	90,854	1,671	100.00 %	1.84 %
Murfreesboro	2	2,619	82,953	2,619	100.00 %	3.16 %
Murphy	120	1,608	84,907	1,608	100.00 %	1.89 %
Nags Head	3	3,168	83,163	3,168	100.00 %	3.81 %
Nashville	14	5,632	86,534	5,632	100.00 %	6.51 %
Navassa	20	1,367	89,763	1,367	100.00 %	1.52 %
New Bern	6	31,291	85,099	31,291	100.00 %	36.77 %
New London	76	607	88,255	607	100.00 %	0.69 %
Newland	112	715	90,863	715	100.00 %	0.79 %
Newport	4	4,364	83,307	4,364	100.00 %	5.24 %
Newton	107	13,148	85,577	13,148	100.00 %	15.36 %
	108	13,148	89,587	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Newton Grove	16	585	90,677	585	100.00 %	0.65 %
Norlina	13	920	84,735	920	100.00 %	1.09 %
Norman	59	100	84,383	100	100.00 %	0.12 %
North Topsail Beach	9	1,005	84,980	1,005	100.00 %	1.18 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
North Wilkesboro	86	4,382	90,835	4,382	100.00 %	4.82 %
Northwest	20	703	89,763	703	100.00 %	0.78 %
Norwood	76	2,367	88,255	2,367	100.00 %	2.68 %
Oak City	5	266	88,865	266	100.00 %	0.30 %
Oak Island	21	8,396	91,041	8,396	100.00 %	9.22 %
Oak Ridge	67	7,474	89,579	7,474	100.00 %	8.34 %
Oakboro	76	2,128	88,255	2,128	100.00 %	2.41 %
Ocean Isle Beach	20	867	89,763	867	100.00 %	0.97 %
Old Fort	112	811	90,863	811	100.00 %	0.89 %
Oriental	3	880	83,163	880	100.00 %	1.06 %
Orrum	23	59	83,445	59	100.00 %	0.07 %
Ossipee	55	536	85,016	536	100.00 %	0.63 %
Oxford	34	8,628	88,633	8,628	100.00 %	9.73 %
Pantego	3	164	83,163	164	100.00 %	0.20 %
Parkton	24	504	83,708	504	100.00 %	0.60 %
Parmele	5	243	88,865	243	100.00 %	0.27 %
Patterson Springs	105	571	89,894	571	100.00 %	0.64 %
Peachland	77	390	87,005	390	100.00 %	0.45 %
Peletier	4	769	83,307	769	100.00 %	0.92 %
Pembroke	24	2,823	83,708	2,823	100.00 %	3.37 %
Pikeville	16	712	90,677	712	100.00 %	0.79 %
Pilot Mountain	85	1,440	82,937	1,440	100.00 %	1.74 %
Pine Knoll Shores	4	1,388	83,307	1,388	100.00 %	1.67 %
Pine Level	31	2,046	85,389	2,046	100.00 %	2.40 %
Pinebluff	59	1,473	84,383	1,473	100.00 %	1.75 %
Pinehurst	59	17,581	84,383	8	0.05 %	0.01 %
	60	17,581	83,038	17,573	99.95 %	21.16 %
Pinetops	5	1,200	88,865	1,200	100.00 %	1.35 %
Pineville	96	10,602	82,806	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	99	10,602	85,822	10,602	100.00 %	12.35 %
Pink Hill	12	451	84,745	451	100.00 %	0.53 %
Pittsboro	56	4,537	91,189	4,537	100.00 %	4.98 %
Pleasant Garden	62	5,000	90,361	5,000	100.00 %	5.53 %
Plymouth	1	3,320	84,330	3,320	100.00 %	3.94 %
Polkton	77	2,250	87,005	2,250	100.00 %	2.59 %
Polkville	104	516	88,397	516	100.00 %	0.58 %
Pollocksville	12	268	84,745	268	100.00 %	0.32 %
Powellsville	5	189	88,865	189	100.00 %	0.21 %
Princeton	31	1,315	85,389	1,315	100.00 %	1.54 %
Princeville	5	1,254	88,865	1,254	100.00 %	1.41 %
Proctorville	23	121	83,445	121	100.00 %	0.15 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Raeford	58	4,559	86,256	4,559	100.00 %	5.29 %
Raleigh (Durham)	48	1,559	91,290	233	14.95 %	0.26 %
	51	1,559	90,263	1,326	85.05 %	1.47 %
Raleigh (Wake)	35	466,106	88,374	6,171	1.32 %	6.98 %
	36	466,106	83,175	57,345	12.30 %	68.94 %
	37	466,106	86,157	47,783	10.25 %	55.46 %
	41	466,106	86,179	13	0.00 %	0.02 %
	42	466,106	86,298	40,792	8.75 %	47.27 %
	43	466,106	83,049	82,480	17.70 %	99.31 %
	44	466,106	83,679	83,503	17.92 %	99.79 %
	45	466,106	83,189	78,168	16.77 %	93.96 %
	46	466,106	90,164	13,011	2.79 %	14.43 %
	47	466,106	88,226	56,840	12.19 %	64.43 %
Ramseur	60	1,774	83,038	1,774	100.00 %	2.14 %
Randleman	61	4,595	84,731	4,595	100.00 %	5.42 %
Ranlo	103	4,511	86,263	4,500	99.76 %	5.22 %
	104	4,511	88,397	11	0.24 %	0.01 %
Raynham	23	60	83,445	60	100.00 %	0.07 %
Red Cross	76	762	88,255	762	100.00 %	0.86 %
Red Oak	14	3,342	86,534	3,342	100.00 %	3.86 %
Red Springs (Hoke)	58	0	86,256	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Red Springs (Robeson)	24	3,087	83,708	3,087	100.00 %	3.69 %
Reidsville	68	14,583	91,096	14,583	100.00 %	16.01 %
Rennert	24	275	83,708	275	100.00 %	0.33 %
Rhodhiss (Burke)	109	639	87,570	639	100.00 %	0.73 %
Rhodhiss (Caldwell)	110	358	85,758	358	100.00 %	0.42 %
Rich Square	13	894	84,735	894	100.00 %	1.06 %
Richfield	76	582	88,255	582	100.00 %	0.66 %
Richlands	11	2,287	84,155	2,287	100.00 %	2.72 %
River Bend	6	2,902	85,099	2,902	100.00 %	3.41 %
Roanoke Rapids	13	15,229	84,735	15,229	100.00 %	17.97 %
Robbins	60	1,168	83,038	1,168	100.00 %	1.41 %
Robbinsville	120	597	84,907	597	100.00 %	0.70 %
Robersonville	5	1,269	88,865	1,269	100.00 %	1.43 %
Rockingham	59	9,243	84,383	9,243	100.00 %	10.95 %
Rockwell	83	2,302	89,815	2,302	100.00 %	2.56 %
Rocky Mount (Edgecombe)	5	15,414	88,865	15,414	100.00 %	17.35 %
Rocky Mount (Nash)	14	38,927	86,534	38,927	100.00 %	44.98 %
Rolesville	35	9,475	88,374	9,467	99.92 %	10.71 %
	46	9,475	90,164	8	0.08 %	0.01 %
Ronda	85	438	82,937	438	100.00 %	0.53 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Roper	1	485	84,330	485	100.00 %	0.58 %
Rose Hill	11	1,371	84,155	1,371	100.00 %	1.63 %
Roseboro	16	1,163	90,677	1,163	100.00 %	1.28 %
Rosman	119	701	90,212	701	100.00 %	0.78 %
Rowland	23	885	83,445	885	100.00 %	1.06 %
Roxboro	51	8,134	90,263	8,134	100.00 %	9.01 %
Roxobel	5	187	88,865	187	100.00 %	0.21 %
Rural Hall	69	3,351	86,210	3,351	100.00 %	3.89 %
Ruth	113	347	90,893	347	100.00 %	0.38 %
Rutherford College (Burke)	109	1,226	87,570	1,226	100.00 %	1.40 %
Rutherford College (Caldwell)	110	0	85,758	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Rutherfordton	113	3,640	90,893	3,640	100.00 %	4.00 %
Salemburg	16	457	90,677	457	100.00 %	0.50 %
Salisbury	83	35,540	89,815	35,540	100.00 %	39.57 %
Saluda (Henderson)	113	11	90,893	11	100.00 %	0.01 %
Saluda (Polk)	113	620	90,893	620	100.00 %	0.68 %
Sandy Creek	20	248	89,763	248	100.00 %	0.28 %
Sandyfield	23	430	83,445	430	100.00 %	0.52 %
Sanford	57	30,261	83,073	30,261	100.00 %	36.43 %
Saratoga	15	353	87,220	353	100.00 %	0.40 %
Sawmills	110	5,020	85,758	5,020	100.00 %	5.85 %
Scotland Neck	13	1,640	84,735	1,640	100.00 %	1.94 %
Seaboard	13	542	84,735	542	100.00 %	0.64 %
Seagrove	60	235	83,038	235	100.00 %	0.28 %
Sedalia	62	676	90,361	676	100.00 %	0.75 %
Selma	31	6,317	85,389	6,317	100.00 %	7.40 %
Seven Devils (Avery)	112	38	90,863	38	100.00 %	0.04 %
Seven Devils (Watauga)	111	275	86,445	275	100.00 %	0.32 %
Seven Springs	17	55	85,692	55	100.00 %	0.06 %
Severn	13	191	84,735	191	100.00 %	0.23 %
Shallotte	20	4,185	89,763	4,185	100.00 %	4.66 %
Sharpsburg (Edgecombe)	5	215	88,865	215	100.00 %	0.24 %
Sharpsburg (Nash)	14	1,061	86,534	1,061	100.00 %	1.23 %
Sharpsburg (Wilson)	15	421	87,220	421	100.00 %	0.48 %
Shelby	104	21,918	88,397	4,409	20.12 %	4.99 %
	105	21,918	89,894	17,509	79.88 %	19.48 %
Siler City	56	7,702	91,189	7,702	100.00 %	8.45 %
Simpson	7	390	84,450	390	100.00 %	0.46 %
Sims	15	275	87,220	275	100.00 %	0.32 %
Smithfield	31	11,292	85,389	11,292	100.00 %	13.22 %
Snow Hill	12	1,481	84,745	1,481	100.00 %	1.75 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Southern Pines	59	15,545	84,383	15,545	100.00 %	18.42 %
	60	15,545	83,038	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Southern Shores	1	3,090	84,330	3,090	100.00 %	3.66 %
Southport	21	3,971	91,041	3,971	100.00 %	4.36 %
Sparta	111	1,834	86,445	1,834	100.00 %	2.12 %
Speed	5	63	88,865	63	100.00 %	0.07 %
Spencer	83	3,308	89,815	3,308	100.00 %	3.68 %
Spencer Mountain	103	0	86,263	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Spindale	113	4,225	90,893	4,225	100.00 %	4.65 %
Spring Hope	14	1,309	86,534	1,309	100.00 %	1.51 %
Spring Lake	28	11,660	85,537	11,660	100.00 %	13.63 %
Spruce Pine	112	2,194	90,863	2,194	100.00 %	2.41 %
St. Helena	18	417	89,809	417	100.00 %	0.46 %
St. James	21	6,529	91,041	6,529	100.00 %	7.17 %
St. Pauls	24	2,045	83,708	2,045	100.00 %	2.44 %
Staley	56	397	91,189	397	100.00 %	0.44 %
Stallings (Mecklenburg)	100	384	87,132	384	100.00 %	0.44 %
Stallings (Union)	78	15,728	88,138	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	79	15,728	85,179	15,728	100.00 %	18.46 %
Stanfield	76	1,585	88,255	1,585	100.00 %	1.80 %
Stanley	103	3,963	86,263	3,963	100.00 %	4.59 %
Stantonsburg	15	762	87,220	762	100.00 %	0.87 %
Star	76	806	88,255	806	100.00 %	0.91 %
Statesville	87	28,419	86,773	28,415	99.99 %	32.75 %
	107	28,419	85,577	4	0.01 %	0.00 %
Stedman	26	1,277	82,956	1,277	100.00 %	1.54 %
Stem	34	960	88,633	960	100.00 %	1.08 %
Stokesdale	67	5,924	89,579	5,924	100.00 %	6.61 %
Stoneville	68	1,308	91,096	1,308	100.00 %	1.44 %
Stonewall	3	214	83,163	214	100.00 %	0.26 %
Stovall	34	324	88,633	324	100.00 %	0.37 %
Sugar Mountain	112	371	90,863	371	100.00 %	0.41 %
Summerfield	62	10,951	90,361	2,509	22.91 %	2.78 %
	63	10,951	90,615	746	6.81 %	0.82 %
	67	10,951	89,579	7,696	70.28 %	8.59 %
Sunset Beach	20	4,175	89,763	4,175	100.00 %	4.65 %
Surf City (Onslow)	9	334	84,980	334	100.00 %	0.39 %
Surf City (Pender)	18	3,533	89,809	3,533	100.00 %	3.93 %
Swansboro	10	3,744	84,156	3,744	100.00 %	4.45 %
Sweepsonville	54	2,445	86,399	2,445	100.00 %	2.83 %
Sylva	119	2,578	90,212	2,578	100.00 %	2.86 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Tabor City	23	3,781	83,445	3,781	100.00 %	4.53 %
Tar Heel	18	90	89,809	90	100.00 %	0.10 %
Tarboro	5	10,721	88,865	10,721	100.00 %	12.06 %
Taylorsville	86	2,320	90,835	2,320	100.00 %	2.55 %
Taylortown	59	634	84,383	4	0.63 %	0.00 %
	60	634	83,038	630	99.37 %	0.76 %
Teachey	11	448	84,155	448	100.00 %	0.53 %
Thomasville (Davidson)	75	26,662	84,864	26,662	100.00 %	31.42 %
Thomasville (Randolph)	61	521	84,731	521	100.00 %	0.61 %
Tobaccoville (Forsyth)	69	2,578	86,210	1,754	68.04 %	2.03 %
	70	2,578	84,857	824	31.96 %	0.97 %
Tobaccoville (Stokes)	69	0	86,210	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Topsail Beach	18	461	89,809	461	100.00 %	0.51 %
Trent Woods	6	4,074	85,099	4,074	100.00 %	4.79 %
Trenton	12	238	84,745	238	100.00 %	0.28 %
Trinity	61	7,006	84,731	7,006	100.00 %	8.27 %
Troutman	87	3,698	86,773	885	23.93 %	1.02 %
	107	3,698	85,577	2,813	76.07 %	3.29 %
Troy	76	2,850	88,255	2,850	100.00 %	3.23 %
Tryon	113	1,562	90,893	1,562	100.00 %	1.72 %
Turkey	16	213	90,677	213	100.00 %	0.23 %
Unionville	79	6,643	85,179	6,643	100.00 %	7.80 %
Valdese	109	4,689	87,570	4,689	100.00 %	5.35 %
Vanceboro	6	869	85,099	869	100.00 %	1.02 %
Vandemere	3	246	83,163	246	100.00 %	0.30 %
Varnantown	21	525	91,041	525	100.00 %	0.58 %
Vass	57	952	83,073	952	100.00 %	1.15 %
Waco	104	310	88,397	310	100.00 %	0.35 %
Wade	26	638	82,956	638	100.00 %	0.77 %
Wadesboro	77	5,008	87,005	5,008	100.00 %	5.76 %
Wagram	58	615	86,256	615	100.00 %	0.71 %
Wake Forest (Franklin)	33	1,504	83,510	1,504	100.00 %	1.80 %
Wake Forest (Wake)	35	46,097	88,374	46,097	100.00 %	52.16 %
	45	46,097	83,189	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Walkertown	72	5,692	84,874	3,176	55.80 %	3.74 %
	73	5,692	84,220	2,516	44.20 %	2.99 %
Wallace (Duplin)	11	3,413	84,155	3,413	100.00 %	4.06 %
Wallace (Pender)	18	0	89,809	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Wallburg	75	3,051	84,864	3,051	100.00 %	3.60 %
Walnut Cove	69	1,586	86,210	1,586	100.00 %	1.84 %
Walnut Creek	17	1,084	85,692	1,084	100.00 %	1.26 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Walstonburg	12	193	84,745	193	100.00 %	0.23 %
Warrenton	13	851	84,735	851	100.00 %	1.00 %
Warsaw	11	2,733	84,155	2,733	100.00 %	3.25 %
Washington	3	9,875	83,163	9,875	100.00 %	11.87 %
Washington Park	3	392	83,163	392	100.00 %	0.47 %
Watha	18	181	89,809	181	100.00 %	0.20 %
Waxhaw	77	20,534	87,005	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	78	20,534	88,138	20,534	100.00 %	23.30 %
Waynesville	118	10,140	83,282	10,140	100.00 %	12.18 %
Weaverville	116	4,567	89,685	4,567	100.00 %	5.09 %
Webster	119	372	90,212	372	100.00 %	0.41 %
Weddington (Mecklenburg)	100	5	87,132	5	100.00 %	0.01 %
Weddington (Union)	78	13,176	88,138	13,172	99.97 %	14.94 %
	79	13,176	85,179	4	0.03 %	0.00 %
Weldon	13	1,444	84,735	1,444	100.00 %	1.70 %
Wendell	46	9,793	90,164	9,793	100.00 %	10.86 %
Wentworth	68	2,662	91,096	2,662	100.00 %	2.92 %
Wesley Chapel	77	8,681	87,005	3,868	44.56 %	4.45 %
	78	8,681	88,138	4,813	55.44 %	5.46 %
West Jefferson	111	1,279	86,445	1,279	100.00 %	1.48 %
Whispering Pines	59	4,987	84,383	4,987	100.00 %	5.91 %
Whitakers (Edgecombe)	5	290	88,865	290	100.00 %	0.33 %
Whitakers (Nash)	14	337	86,534	337	100.00 %	0.39 %
White Lake	18	843	89,809	843	100.00 %	0.94 %
Whiteville	23	4,766	83,445	4,766	100.00 %	5.71 %
Whitsett	62	584	90,361	584	100.00 %	0.65 %
Wilkesboro	86	3,687	90,835	3,687	100.00 %	4.06 %
Williamston	5	5,248	88,865	5,248	100.00 %	5.91 %
Wilmington	19	115,451	91,245	48,680	42.17 %	53.35 %
	21	115,451	91,041	8,207	7.11 %	9.01 %
	22	115,451	90,346	58,564	50.73 %	64.82 %
Wilson	15	47,851	87,220	47,851	100.00 %	54.86 %
Wilson's Mills	31	2,534	85,389	2,534	100.00 %	2.97 %
	32	2,534	89,947	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Windsor	5	3,582	88,865	3,582	100.00 %	4.03 %
Winfall	1	555	84,330	555	100.00 %	0.66 %
Wingate	77	4,055	87,005	4,055	100.00 %	4.66 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Winston-Salem	69	249,545	86,210	29,820	11.95 %	34.59 %
	70	249,545	84,857	32,409	12.99 %	38.19 %
	71	249,545	86,949	86,867	34.81 %	99.91 %
	72	249,545	84,874	77,631	31.11 %	91.47 %
	73	249,545	84,220	22,818	9.14 %	27.09 %
Winterville	7	10,462	84,450	10,418	99.58 %	12.34 %
	8	10,462	85,793	44	0.42 %	0.05 %
Winton	2	629	82,953	629	100.00 %	0.76 %
Woodfin	116	7,936	89,685	7,648	96.37 %	8.53 %
	117	7,936	89,505	288	3.63 %	0.32 %
Woodland	13	557	84,735	557	100.00 %	0.66 %
Wrightsville Beach	22	2,473	90,346	2,473	100.00 %	2.74 %
Yadkinville	84	2,995	90,628	2,995	100.00 %	3.30 %
Yanceyville	53	1,937	85,345	1,937	100.00 %	2.27 %
Youngsville	33	2,016	83,510	2,016	100.00 %	2.41 %
Zebulon (Johnston)	32	0	89,947	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Zebulon (Wake)	46	6,903	90,164	6,903	100.00 %	7.66 %
Total:				6,017,605		

Number of municipalities split within counties: 82

Display: all municipalities

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
1	Columbia	84,330	610	610	0.72 %	100.00 %
	Creswell	84,330	207	207	0.25 %	100.00 %
	Duck	84,330	742	742	0.88 %	100.00 %
	Edenton	84,330	4,460	4,460	5.29 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	84,330	1,934	1,934	2.29 %	100.00 %
	Kill Devil Hills	84,330	7,656	7,118	8.44 %	92.97 %
	Kitty Hawk	84,330	3,689	3,689	4.37 %	100.00 %
	Plymouth	84,330	3,320	3,320	3.94 %	100.00 %
	Roper	84,330	485	485	0.58 %	100.00 %
	Southern Shores	84,330	3,090	3,090	3.66 %	100.00 %
	Winfall	84,330	555	555	0.66 %	100.00 %
2	Ahoskie	82,953	4,891	4,891	5.90 %	100.00 %
	Cofield	82,953	267	267	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Como	82,953	67	67	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Elizabeth City (Camden)	82,953	38	38	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Elizabeth City (Pasquotank)	82,953	18,593	18,593	22.41 %	100.00 %
	Gatesville	82,953	267	267	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Harrellsville	82,953	85	85	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Murfreesboro	82,953	2,619	2,619	3.16 %	100.00 %
	Winton	82,953	629	629	0.76 %	100.00 %
3	Alliance	83,163	733	733	0.88 %	100.00 %
	Arapahoe	83,163	416	416	0.50 %	100.00 %
	Aurora	83,163	455	455	0.55 %	100.00 %
	Bath	83,163	245	245	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Bayboro	83,163	1,161	1,161	1.40 %	100.00 %
	Belhaven	83,163	1,410	1,410	1.70 %	100.00 %
	Chocowinity	83,163	722	722	0.87 %	100.00 %
	Grantsboro	83,163	692	692	0.83 %	100.00 %
	Kill Devil Hills	83,163	7,656	538	0.65 %	7.03 %
	Manteo	83,163	1,600	1,600	1.92 %	100.00 %
	Mesic	83,163	144	144	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Minnesott Beach	83,163	530	530	0.64 %	100.00 %
	Nags Head	83,163	3,168	3,168	3.81 %	100.00 %
	Oriental	83,163	880	880	1.06 %	100.00 %
	Pantego	83,163	164	164	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Stonewall	83,163	214	214	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Vandemere	83,163	246	246	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Washington	83,163	9,875	9,875	11.87 %	100.00 %
Washington Park	83,163	392	392	0.47 %	100.00 %	
4	Atlantic Beach	83,307	1,364	1,364	1.64 %	100.00 %
	Beaufort	83,307	4,464	4,464	5.36 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
4	Bogue	83,307	695	695	0.83 %	100.00 %
	Cape Carteret	83,307	2,224	2,224	2.67 %	100.00 %
	Cedar Point	83,307	1,764	1,764	2.12 %	100.00 %
	Emerald Isle	83,307	3,847	3,847	4.62 %	100.00 %
	Havelock	83,307	16,621	10,635	12.77 %	63.99 %
	Indian Beach	83,307	223	223	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Morehead City	83,307	9,556	9,556	11.47 %	100.00 %
	Newport	83,307	4,364	4,364	5.24 %	100.00 %
	Peletier	83,307	769	769	0.92 %	100.00 %
	Pine Knoll Shores	83,307	1,388	1,388	1.67 %	100.00 %
5	Askeville	88,865	184	184	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Aulander	88,865	763	763	0.86 %	100.00 %
	Bear Grass	88,865	89	89	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Colerain	88,865	217	217	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Conetoe	88,865	198	198	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Everetts	88,865	150	150	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Hamilton	88,865	306	306	0.34 %	100.00 %
	Hassell	88,865	49	49	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Jamesville	88,865	424	424	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Kelford	88,865	203	203	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Leggett	88,865	37	37	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Lewiston Woodville	88,865	426	426	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Macclesfield	88,865	413	413	0.46 %	100.00 %
	Oak City	88,865	266	266	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Parmele	88,865	243	243	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Pinetops	88,865	1,200	1,200	1.35 %	100.00 %
	Powellsville	88,865	189	189	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Princeville	88,865	1,254	1,254	1.41 %	100.00 %
	Robersonville	88,865	1,269	1,269	1.43 %	100.00 %
	Rocky Mount (Edgecombe)	88,865	15,414	15,414	17.35 %	100.00 %
	Roxobel	88,865	187	187	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Sharpsburg (Edgecombe)	88,865	215	215	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Speed	88,865	63	63	0.07 %	100.00 %
Tarboro	88,865	10,721	10,721	12.06 %	100.00 %	
Whitakers (Edgecombe)	88,865	290	290	0.33 %	100.00 %	
Williamston	88,865	5,248	5,248	5.91 %	100.00 %	
Windsor	88,865	3,582	3,582	4.03 %	100.00 %	
6	Bridgeton	85,099	349	349	0.41 %	100.00 %
	Cove City	85,099	378	378	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Dover	85,099	349	349	0.41 %	100.00 %
	Havelock	85,099	16,621	5,986	7.03 %	36.01 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
6	New Bern	85,099	31,291	31,291	36.77 %	100.00 %
	River Bend	85,099	2,902	2,902	3.41 %	100.00 %
	Trent Woods	85,099	4,074	4,074	4.79 %	100.00 %
	Vanceboro	85,099	869	869	1.02 %	100.00 %
7	Ayden	84,450	4,977	4,977	5.89 %	100.00 %
	Greenville	84,450	87,521	34,640	41.02 %	39.58 %
	Grifton (Pitt)	84,450	2,301	2,301	2.72 %	100.00 %
	Grimesland	84,450	386	386	0.46 %	100.00 %
	Simpson	84,450	390	390	0.46 %	100.00 %
	Winterville	84,450	10,462	10,418	12.34 %	99.58 %
8	Bethel	85,793	1,373	1,373	1.60 %	100.00 %
	Falkland	85,793	47	47	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Farmville	85,793	4,461	4,461	5.20 %	100.00 %
	Fountain	85,793	385	385	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Greenville	85,793	87,521	52,881	61.64 %	60.42 %
	Winterville	85,793	10,462	44	0.05 %	0.42 %
9	Holly Ridge	84,980	4,171	4,171	4.91 %	100.00 %
	Jacksonville	84,980	72,723	36,996	43.53 %	50.87 %
	North Topsail Beach	84,980	1,005	1,005	1.18 %	100.00 %
	Surf City (Onslow)	84,980	334	334	0.39 %	100.00 %
10	Jacksonville	84,156	72,723	35,727	42.45 %	49.13 %
	Swansboro	84,156	3,744	3,744	4.45 %	100.00 %
11	Beulaville	84,155	1,116	1,116	1.33 %	100.00 %
	Calypso	84,155	327	327	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Faison (Duplin)	84,155	784	784	0.93 %	100.00 %
	Greenevers	84,155	567	567	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Harrells (Duplin)	84,155	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Jacksonville	84,155	72,723	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Kenansville	84,155	770	770	0.91 %	100.00 %
	Magnolia	84,155	831	831	0.99 %	100.00 %
	Mount Olive (Duplin)	84,155	5	5	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Richlands	84,155	2,287	2,287	2.72 %	100.00 %
	Rose Hill	84,155	1,371	1,371	1.63 %	100.00 %
	Teachey	84,155	448	448	0.53 %	100.00 %
	Wallace (Duplin)	84,155	3,413	3,413	4.06 %	100.00 %
	Warsaw	84,155	2,733	2,733	3.25 %	100.00 %
12	Grifton (Lenoir)	84,745	147	147	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Hookerton	84,745	413	413	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Kinston	84,745	19,900	19,900	23.48 %	100.00 %
	La Grange	84,745	2,595	2,595	3.06 %	100.00 %
	Maysville	84,745	818	818	0.97 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
12	Pink Hill	84,745	451	451	0.53 %	100.00 %
	Pollocksville	84,745	268	268	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Snow Hill	84,745	1,481	1,481	1.75 %	100.00 %
	Trenton	84,745	238	238	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Walstonburg	84,745	193	193	0.23 %	100.00 %
13	Conway	84,735	752	752	0.89 %	100.00 %
	Enfield	84,735	1,865	1,865	2.20 %	100.00 %
	Garysburg	84,735	904	904	1.07 %	100.00 %
	Gaston	84,735	1,008	1,008	1.19 %	100.00 %
	Halifax	84,735	170	170	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Hobgood	84,735	268	268	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Jackson	84,735	430	430	0.51 %	100.00 %
	Lasker	84,735	64	64	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Littleton	84,735	559	559	0.66 %	100.00 %
	Macon	84,735	110	110	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Norlina	84,735	920	920	1.09 %	100.00 %
	Rich Square	84,735	894	894	1.06 %	100.00 %
	Roanoke Rapids	84,735	15,229	15,229	17.97 %	100.00 %
	Scotland Neck	84,735	1,640	1,640	1.94 %	100.00 %
	Seaboard	84,735	542	542	0.64 %	100.00 %
	Severn	84,735	191	191	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Warrenton	84,735	851	851	1.00 %	100.00 %
	Weldon	84,735	1,444	1,444	1.70 %	100.00 %
Woodland	84,735	557	557	0.66 %	100.00 %	
14	Castalia	86,534	264	264	0.31 %	100.00 %
	Dortches	86,534	1,082	1,082	1.25 %	100.00 %
	Elm City (Nash)	86,534	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Momeyer	86,534	277	277	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Nashville	86,534	5,632	5,632	6.51 %	100.00 %
	Red Oak	86,534	3,342	3,342	3.86 %	100.00 %
	Rocky Mount (Nash)	86,534	38,927	38,927	44.98 %	100.00 %
	Sharpsburg (Nash)	86,534	1,061	1,061	1.23 %	100.00 %
	Spring Hope	86,534	1,309	1,309	1.51 %	100.00 %
	Whitakers (Nash)	86,534	337	337	0.39 %	100.00 %
15	Bailey	87,220	568	568	0.65 %	100.00 %
	Black Creek	87,220	692	692	0.79 %	100.00 %
	Elm City (Wilson)	87,220	1,218	1,218	1.40 %	100.00 %
	Kenly (Wilson)	87,220	198	198	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Lucama	87,220	1,036	1,036	1.19 %	100.00 %
	Middlesex	87,220	912	912	1.05 %	100.00 %
	Saratoga	87,220	353	353	0.40 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
15	Sharpsburg (Wilson)	87,220	421	421	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Sims	87,220	275	275	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Stantonsburg	87,220	762	762	0.87 %	100.00 %
	Wilson	87,220	47,851	47,851	54.86 %	100.00 %
16	Autryville	90,677	167	167	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Clinton	90,677	8,383	8,383	9.24 %	100.00 %
	Eureka	90,677	214	214	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Faison (Sampson)	90,677	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Falcon (Sampson)	90,677	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Fremont	90,677	1,196	1,196	1.32 %	100.00 %
	Garland	90,677	595	595	0.66 %	100.00 %
	Goldsboro	90,677	33,657	1	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Harrells (Sampson)	90,677	160	160	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Newton Grove	90,677	585	585	0.65 %	100.00 %
	Pikeville	90,677	712	712	0.79 %	100.00 %
	Roseboro	90,677	1,163	1,163	1.28 %	100.00 %
	Salemburg	90,677	457	457	0.50 %	100.00 %
	Turkey	90,677	213	213	0.23 %	100.00 %
17	Goldsboro	85,692	33,657	33,656	39.28 %	100.00 %
	Mount Olive (Wayne)	85,692	4,193	4,193	4.89 %	100.00 %
	Seven Springs	85,692	55	55	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Walnut Creek	85,692	1,084	1,084	1.26 %	100.00 %
18	Atkinson	89,809	296	296	0.33 %	100.00 %
	Bladenboro	89,809	1,648	1,648	1.84 %	100.00 %
	Burgaw	89,809	3,088	3,088	3.44 %	100.00 %
	Clarkton	89,809	614	614	0.68 %	100.00 %
	Dublin	89,809	267	267	0.30 %	100.00 %
	East Arcadia	89,809	418	418	0.47 %	100.00 %
	Elizabethtown	89,809	3,296	3,296	3.67 %	100.00 %
	St. Helena	89,809	417	417	0.46 %	100.00 %
	Surf City (Pender)	89,809	3,533	3,533	3.93 %	100.00 %
	Tar Heel	89,809	90	90	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Topsail Beach	89,809	461	461	0.51 %	100.00 %
	Wallace (Pender)	89,809	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Watha	89,809	181	181	0.20 %	100.00 %
	White Lake	89,809	843	843	0.94 %	100.00 %
19	Wilmington	91,245	115,451	48,680	53.35 %	42.17 %
20	Belville	89,763	2,406	2,406	2.68 %	100.00 %
	Calabash	89,763	2,011	2,011	2.24 %	100.00 %
	Carolina Shores	89,763	4,588	4,588	5.11 %	100.00 %
	Holden Beach	89,763	921	0	0.00 %	0.00 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
20	Leland	89,763	22,908	22,908	25.52 %	100.00 %
	Navassa	89,763	1,367	1,367	1.52 %	100.00 %
	Northwest	89,763	703	703	0.78 %	100.00 %
	Ocean Isle Beach	89,763	867	867	0.97 %	100.00 %
	Sandy Creek	89,763	248	248	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Shallotte	89,763	4,185	4,185	4.66 %	100.00 %
	Sunset Beach	89,763	4,175	4,175	4.65 %	100.00 %
21	Bald Head Island	91,041	268	268	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Boiling Spring Lakes	91,041	5,943	5,943	6.53 %	100.00 %
	Bolivia	91,041	149	149	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Carolina Beach	91,041	6,564	6,564	7.21 %	100.00 %
	Caswell Beach	91,041	395	395	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Holden Beach	91,041	921	921	1.01 %	100.00 %
	Kure Beach	91,041	2,191	2,191	2.41 %	100.00 %
	Oak Island	91,041	8,396	8,396	9.22 %	100.00 %
	Southport	91,041	3,971	3,971	4.36 %	100.00 %
	St. James	91,041	6,529	6,529	7.17 %	100.00 %
	Varnamtown	91,041	525	525	0.58 %	100.00 %
	Wilmington	91,041	115,451	8,207	9.01 %	7.11 %
22	Wilmington	90,346	115,451	58,564	64.82 %	50.73 %
	Wrightsville Beach	90,346	2,473	2,473	2.74 %	100.00 %
23	Boardman	83,445	166	166	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Bolton	83,445	519	519	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Brunswick	83,445	973	973	1.17 %	100.00 %
	Cerro Gordo	83,445	131	131	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Chadbourn	83,445	1,574	1,574	1.89 %	100.00 %
	Fair Bluff	83,445	709	709	0.85 %	100.00 %
	Fairmont	83,445	2,191	2,191	2.63 %	100.00 %
	Lake Waccamaw	83,445	1,296	1,296	1.55 %	100.00 %
	Lumberton	83,445	19,025	350	0.42 %	1.84 %
	Marietta	83,445	111	111	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Maxton (Robeson)	83,445	1,902	1,902	2.28 %	100.00 %
	McDonald	83,445	94	94	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Orrum	83,445	59	59	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Proctorville	83,445	121	121	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Raynham	83,445	60	60	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Rowland	83,445	885	885	1.06 %	100.00 %
	Sandyfield	83,445	430	430	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Tabor City	83,445	3,781	3,781	4.53 %	100.00 %
Whiteville	83,445	4,766	4,766	5.71 %	100.00 %	
24	Fairmont	83,708	2,191	0	0.00 %	0.00 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
24	Lumber Bridge	83,708	82	82	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Lumberton	83,708	19,025	18,675	22.31 %	98.16 %
	Parkton	83,708	504	504	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Pembroke	83,708	2,823	2,823	3.37 %	100.00 %
	Red Springs (Robeson)	83,708	3,087	3,087	3.69 %	100.00 %
	Rennert	83,708	275	275	0.33 %	100.00 %
	St. Pauls	83,708	2,045	2,045	2.44 %	100.00 %
25	Fayetteville	82,938	208,501	15,275	18.42 %	7.33 %
	Hope Mills	82,938	17,808	17,744	21.39 %	99.64 %
26	Eastover	82,956	3,656	3,656	4.41 %	100.00 %
	Falcon (Cumberland)	82,956	324	324	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Fayetteville	82,956	208,501	44,532	53.68 %	21.36 %
	Godwin	82,956	128	128	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Hope Mills	82,956	17,808	64	0.08 %	0.36 %
	Linden	82,956	136	136	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Stedman	82,956	1,277	1,277	1.54 %	100.00 %
	Wade	82,956	638	638	0.77 %	100.00 %
27	Fayetteville	83,297	208,501	83,293	100.00 %	39.95 %
28	Fayetteville	85,537	208,501	65,401	76.46 %	31.37 %
	Spring Lake	85,537	11,660	11,660	13.63 %	100.00 %
29	Angier (Harnett)	87,332	4,709	4,709	5.39 %	100.00 %
	Broadway (Harnett)	87,332	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Harnett)	87,332	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Lillington	87,332	4,735	882	1.01 %	18.63 %
30	Benson (Harnett)	86,899	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Coats	86,899	2,155	2,155	2.48 %	100.00 %
	Dunn	86,899	8,446	8,446	9.72 %	100.00 %
	Erwin	86,899	4,542	4,542	5.23 %	100.00 %
	Lillington	86,899	4,735	3,853	4.43 %	81.37 %
31	Benson (Johnston)	85,389	3,967	3,967	4.65 %	100.00 %
	Four Oaks	85,389	2,158	2,158	2.53 %	100.00 %
	Kenly (Johnston)	85,389	1,293	1,293	1.51 %	100.00 %
	Micro	85,389	458	458	0.54 %	100.00 %
	Pine Level	85,389	2,046	2,046	2.40 %	100.00 %
	Princeton	85,389	1,315	1,315	1.54 %	100.00 %
	Selma	85,389	6,317	6,317	7.40 %	100.00 %
	Smithfield	85,389	11,292	11,292	13.22 %	100.00 %
	Wilson's Mills	85,389	2,534	2,534	2.97 %	100.00 %
32	Archer Lodge	89,947	4,797	4,797	5.33 %	100.00 %
	Clayton (Johnston)	89,947	26,307	26,307	29.25 %	100.00 %
	Wilson's Mills	89,947	2,534	0	0.00 %	0.00 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
32	Zebulon (Johnston)	89,947	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
33	Bunn	83,510	327	327	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Creedmoor	83,510	4,866	2,065	2.47 %	42.44 %
	Franklinton	83,510	2,456	2,456	2.94 %	100.00 %
	Louisburg	83,510	3,064	3,064	3.67 %	100.00 %
	Wake Forest (Franklin)	83,510	1,504	1,504	1.80 %	100.00 %
	Youngsville	83,510	2,016	2,016	2.41 %	100.00 %
34	Butner	88,633	8,397	8,397	9.47 %	100.00 %
	Creedmoor	88,633	4,866	2,801	3.16 %	57.56 %
	Henderson	88,633	15,060	15,060	16.99 %	100.00 %
	Kittrell	88,633	132	132	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Middleburg	88,633	101	101	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Oxford	88,633	8,628	8,628	9.73 %	100.00 %
	Stem	88,633	960	960	1.08 %	100.00 %
	Stovall	88,633	324	324	0.37 %	100.00 %
35	Raleigh (Wake)	88,374	466,106	6,171	6.98 %	1.32 %
	Rolesville	88,374	9,475	9,467	10.71 %	99.92 %
	Wake Forest (Wake)	88,374	46,097	46,097	52.16 %	100.00 %
36	Durham (Wake)	83,175	269	269	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	83,175	466,106	57,345	68.94 %	12.30 %
37	Cary (Wake)	86,157	171,012	20,767	24.10 %	12.14 %
	Durham (Wake)	86,157	269	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Morrisville (Wake)	86,157	29,423	15,184	17.62 %	51.61 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	86,157	466,106	47,783	55.46 %	10.25 %
38	Apex	89,887	58,780	381	0.42 %	0.65 %
	Cary (Wake)	89,887	171,012	74,074	82.41 %	43.32 %
	Morrisville (Wake)	89,887	29,423	14,239	15.84 %	48.39 %
39	Apex	90,166	58,780	57,843	64.15 %	98.41 %
	Cary (Wake)	90,166	171,012	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	90,166	34,152	16	0.02 %	0.05 %
	Holly Springs	90,166	41,239	17,734	19.67 %	43.00 %
40	Angier (Wake)	90,867	556	556	0.61 %	100.00 %
	Cary (Wake)	90,867	171,012	2,012	2.21 %	1.18 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	90,867	34,152	34,106	37.53 %	99.87 %
	Garner	90,867	31,159	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Holly Springs	90,867	41,239	11,613	12.78 %	28.16 %
41	Apex	86,179	58,780	556	0.65 %	0.95 %
	Cary (Wake)	86,179	171,012	30,622	35.53 %	17.91 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	86,179	34,152	30	0.03 %	0.09 %
	Garner	86,179	31,159	11,789	13.68 %	37.83 %
	Holly Springs	86,179	41,239	11,892	13.80 %	28.84 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
41	Raleigh (Wake)	86,179	466,106	13	0.02 %	0.00 %
42	Apex	86,298	58,780	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Cary (Wake)	86,298	171,012	43,537	50.45 %	25.46 %
	Morrisville (Wake)	86,298	29,423	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	86,298	466,106	40,792	47.27 %	8.75 %
43	Garner	83,049	31,159	14	0.02 %	0.04 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	83,049	466,106	82,480	99.31 %	17.70 %
44	Raleigh (Wake)	83,679	466,106	83,503	99.79 %	17.92 %
45	Raleigh (Wake)	83,189	466,106	78,168	93.96 %	16.77 %
	Wake Forest (Wake)	83,189	46,097	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
46	Clayton (Wake)	90,164	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Knightdale	90,164	19,435	19,435	21.56 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	90,164	466,106	13,011	14.43 %	2.79 %
	Rolesville	90,164	9,475	8	0.01 %	0.08 %
	Wendell	90,164	9,793	9,793	10.86 %	100.00 %
	Zebulon (Wake)	90,164	6,903	6,903	7.66 %	100.00 %
47	Clayton (Wake)	88,226	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Garner	88,226	31,159	19,356	21.94 %	62.12 %
	Knightdale	88,226	19,435	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	88,226	466,106	56,840	64.43 %	12.19 %
48	Durham (Durham)	91,290	283,093	81,669	89.46 %	28.85 %
	Morrisville (Durham)	91,290	207	207	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Durham)	91,290	1,559	233	0.26 %	14.95 %
49	Chapel Hill (Durham)	91,212	2,906	2,906	3.19 %	100.00 %
	Durham (Durham)	91,212	283,093	87,035	95.42 %	30.74 %
50	Durham (Durham)	91,165	283,093	89,671	98.36 %	31.68 %
51	Durham (Durham)	90,263	283,093	24,718	27.38 %	8.73 %
	Raleigh (Durham)	90,263	1,559	1,326	1.47 %	85.05 %
	Roxboro	90,263	8,134	8,134	9.01 %	100.00 %
52	Carrboro	86,087	21,295	21,121	24.53 %	99.18 %
	Chapel Hill (Orange)	86,087	59,054	59,054	68.60 %	100.00 %
53	Carrboro	85,345	21,295	174	0.20 %	0.82 %
	Durham (Orange)	85,345	144	144	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Hillsborough	85,345	9,660	9,660	11.32 %	100.00 %
	Mebane (Orange)	85,345	3,171	3,171	3.72 %	100.00 %
	Milton	85,345	155	155	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Yanceyville	85,345	1,937	1,937	2.27 %	100.00 %
54	Burlington (Alamance)	86,399	55,481	25,917	30.00 %	46.71 %
	Graham	86,399	17,157	17,157	19.86 %	100.00 %
	Green Level	86,399	3,152	3,152	3.65 %	100.00 %
	Haw River	86,399	2,252	2,252	2.61 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
54	Mebane (Alamance)	86,399	14,626	14,626	16.93 %	100.00 %
	Swepsonville	86,399	2,445	2,445	2.83 %	100.00 %
55	Alamance	85,016	988	988	1.16 %	100.00 %
	Burlington (Alamance)	85,016	55,481	29,564	34.77 %	53.29 %
	Elon	85,016	11,336	11,336	13.33 %	100.00 %
	Gibsonville (Alamance)	85,016	4,278	4,278	5.03 %	100.00 %
	Ossipee	85,016	536	536	0.63 %	100.00 %
56	Cary (Chatham)	91,189	3,709	3,709	4.07 %	100.00 %
	Goldston	91,189	234	234	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Liberty	91,189	2,655	2,655	2.91 %	100.00 %
	Pittsboro	91,189	4,537	4,537	4.98 %	100.00 %
	Siler City	91,189	7,702	7,702	8.45 %	100.00 %
	Staley	91,189	397	397	0.44 %	100.00 %
57	Broadway (Lee)	83,073	1,267	1,267	1.53 %	100.00 %
	Cameron	83,073	244	244	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Carthage	83,073	2,775	2,747	3.31 %	98.99 %
	Sanford	83,073	30,261	30,261	36.43 %	100.00 %
	Vass	83,073	952	952	1.15 %	100.00 %
58	East Laurinburg	86,256	234	234	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Gibson	86,256	449	449	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Laurinburg	86,256	14,978	14,978	17.36 %	100.00 %
	Maxton (Scotland)	86,256	208	208	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Raeford	86,256	4,559	4,559	5.29 %	100.00 %
	Red Springs (Hoke)	86,256	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Wagram	86,256	615	615	0.71 %	100.00 %
59	Aberdeen	84,383	8,516	8,516	10.09 %	100.00 %
	Carthage	84,383	2,775	28	0.03 %	1.01 %
	Dobbins Heights	84,383	687	687	0.81 %	100.00 %
	Ellerbe	84,383	864	864	1.02 %	100.00 %
	Foxfire	84,383	1,288	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Hamlet	84,383	6,025	6,025	7.14 %	100.00 %
	Hoffman	84,383	418	418	0.50 %	100.00 %
	Norman	84,383	100	100	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Pinebluff	84,383	1,473	1,473	1.75 %	100.00 %
	Pinehurst	84,383	17,581	8	0.01 %	0.05 %
	Rockingham	84,383	9,243	9,243	10.95 %	100.00 %
	Southern Pines	84,383	15,545	15,545	18.42 %	100.00 %
	Taylortown	84,383	634	4	0.00 %	0.63 %
Whispering Pines	84,383	4,987	4,987	5.91 %	100.00 %	
60	Aberdeen	83,038	8,516	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Asheboro	83,038	27,156	1,266	1.52 %	4.66 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
60	Candor (Moore)	83,038	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Foxfire	83,038	1,288	1,288	1.55 %	100.00 %
	Franklinville	83,038	1,197	1,197	1.44 %	100.00 %
	Pinehurst	83,038	17,581	17,573	21.16 %	99.95 %
	Ramseur	83,038	1,774	1,774	2.14 %	100.00 %
	Robbins	83,038	1,168	1,168	1.41 %	100.00 %
	Seagrove	83,038	235	235	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Southern Pines	83,038	15,545	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Taylortown	83,038	634	630	0.76 %	99.37 %
61	Archdale (Randolph)	84,731	11,527	11,527	13.60 %	100.00 %
	Asheboro	84,731	27,156	25,890	30.56 %	95.34 %
	High Point (Randolph)	84,731	8	8	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Randleman	84,731	4,595	4,595	5.42 %	100.00 %
	Thomasville (Randolph)	84,731	521	521	0.61 %	100.00 %
	Trinity	84,731	7,006	7,006	8.27 %	100.00 %
62	Burlington (Guilford)	90,361	1,822	1,822	2.02 %	100.00 %
	Gibsonville (Guilford)	90,361	4,642	4,642	5.14 %	100.00 %
	Greensboro	90,361	299,035	13,852	15.33 %	4.63 %
	Pleasant Garden	90,361	5,000	5,000	5.53 %	100.00 %
	Sedalia	90,361	676	676	0.75 %	100.00 %
	Summerfield	90,361	10,951	2,509	2.78 %	22.91 %
	Whitsett	90,361	584	584	0.65 %	100.00 %
63	Greensboro	90,615	299,035	83,540	92.19 %	27.94 %
	Summerfield	90,615	10,951	746	0.82 %	6.81 %
64	Greensboro	90,201	299,035	90,201	100.00 %	30.16 %
65	Greensboro	90,808	299,035	84,725	93.30 %	28.33 %
66	Archdale (Guilford)	89,735	380	380	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Greensboro	89,735	299,035	8,829	9.84 %	2.95 %
	High Point (Guilford)	89,735	107,321	66,033	73.59 %	61.53 %
	Jamestown	89,735	3,668	3,668	4.09 %	100.00 %
67	Greensboro	89,579	299,035	17,888	19.97 %	5.98 %
	High Point (Guilford)	89,579	107,321	41,288	46.09 %	38.47 %
	Kernersville (Guilford)	89,579	502	502	0.56 %	100.00 %
	Oak Ridge	89,579	7,474	7,474	8.34 %	100.00 %
	Stokesdale	89,579	5,924	5,924	6.61 %	100.00 %
	Summerfield	89,579	10,951	7,696	8.59 %	70.28 %
68	Eden	91,096	15,421	15,421	16.93 %	100.00 %
	Madison	91,096	2,129	2,129	2.34 %	100.00 %
	Mayodan	91,096	2,418	2,418	2.65 %	100.00 %
	Reidsville	91,096	14,583	14,583	16.01 %	100.00 %
	Stoneville	91,096	1,308	1,308	1.44 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
68	Wentworth	91,096	2,662	2,662	2.92 %	100.00 %
69	Bethania	86,210	344	344	0.40 %	100.00 %
	Danbury	86,210	189	189	0.22 %	100.00 %
	King (Forsyth)	86,210	591	591	0.69 %	100.00 %
	King (Stokes)	86,210	6,606	6,606	7.66 %	100.00 %
	Rural Hall	86,210	3,351	3,351	3.89 %	100.00 %
	Tobaccoville (Forsyth)	86,210	2,578	1,754	2.03 %	68.04 %
	Tobaccoville (Stokes)	86,210	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Walnut Cove	86,210	1,586	1,586	1.84 %	100.00 %
	Winston-Salem	86,210	249,545	29,820	34.59 %	11.95 %
70	Bethania	84,857	344	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Clemmons	84,857	21,163	21,163	24.94 %	100.00 %
	Lewisville	84,857	13,381	13,381	15.77 %	100.00 %
	Tobaccoville (Forsyth)	84,857	2,578	824	0.97 %	31.96 %
	Winston-Salem	84,857	249,545	32,409	38.19 %	12.99 %
71	Winston-Salem	86,949	249,545	86,867	99.91 %	34.81 %
72	Kernersville (Forsyth)	84,874	25,947	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Walkertown	84,874	5,692	3,176	3.74 %	55.80 %
	Winston-Salem	84,874	249,545	77,631	91.47 %	31.11 %
73	High Point (Forsyth)	84,220	84	84	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Kernersville (Forsyth)	84,220	25,947	25,947	30.81 %	100.00 %
	Walkertown	84,220	5,692	2,516	2.99 %	44.20 %
	Winston-Salem	84,220	249,545	22,818	27.09 %	9.14 %
74	Lexington	84,066	19,632	19,632	23.35 %	100.00 %
	Midway	84,066	4,742	1,273	1.51 %	26.85 %
75	Denton	84,864	1,494	1,494	1.76 %	100.00 %
	High Point (Davidson)	84,864	6,646	6,646	7.83 %	100.00 %
	Lexington	84,864	19,632	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Midway	84,864	4,742	3,469	4.09 %	73.15 %
	Thomasville (Davidson)	84,864	26,662	26,662	31.42 %	100.00 %
	Wallburg	84,864	3,051	3,051	3.60 %	100.00 %
76	Albemarle	88,255	16,432	16,432	18.62 %	100.00 %
	Badin	88,255	2,024	2,024	2.29 %	100.00 %
	Biscoe	88,255	1,848	1,848	2.09 %	100.00 %
	Candor (Montgomery)	88,255	813	813	0.92 %	100.00 %
	Locust (Stanly)	88,255	3,996	3,996	4.53 %	100.00 %
	Misenheimer	88,255	650	650	0.74 %	100.00 %
	Mount Gilead	88,255	1,171	1,171	1.33 %	100.00 %
	New London	88,255	607	607	0.69 %	100.00 %
	Norwood	88,255	2,367	2,367	2.68 %	100.00 %
	Oakboro	88,255	2,128	2,128	2.41 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
76	Red Cross	88,255	762	762	0.86 %	100.00 %
	Richfield	88,255	582	582	0.66 %	100.00 %
	Stanfield	88,255	1,585	1,585	1.80 %	100.00 %
	Star	88,255	806	806	0.91 %	100.00 %
	Troy	88,255	2,850	2,850	3.23 %	100.00 %
77	Ansonville	87,005	440	440	0.51 %	100.00 %
	Indian Trail	87,005	39,997	2,376	2.73 %	5.94 %
	Lilesville	87,005	395	395	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Marshville	87,005	2,522	2,522	2.90 %	100.00 %
	McFarlan	87,005	94	94	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Mineral Springs	87,005	3,159	2,293	2.64 %	72.59 %
	Monroe	87,005	34,562	12,650	14.54 %	36.60 %
	Morven	87,005	329	329	0.38 %	100.00 %
	Peachland	87,005	390	390	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Polkton	87,005	2,250	2,250	2.59 %	100.00 %
	Wadesboro	87,005	5,008	5,008	5.76 %	100.00 %
	Waxhaw	87,005	20,534	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Wesley Chapel	87,005	8,681	3,868	4.45 %	44.56 %
Wingate	87,005	4,055	4,055	4.66 %	100.00 %	
78	Indian Trail	88,138	39,997	15,036	17.06 %	37.59 %
	Marvin	88,138	6,358	6,358	7.21 %	100.00 %
	Mineral Springs	88,138	3,159	866	0.98 %	27.41 %
	Stallings (Union)	88,138	15,728	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Waxhaw	88,138	20,534	20,534	23.30 %	100.00 %
	Weddington (Union)	88,138	13,176	13,172	14.94 %	99.97 %
	Wesley Chapel	88,138	8,681	4,813	5.46 %	55.44 %
79	Fairview	85,179	3,456	3,456	4.06 %	100.00 %
	Hemby Bridge	85,179	1,614	1,614	1.89 %	100.00 %
	Indian Trail	85,179	39,997	22,585	26.51 %	56.47 %
	Lake Park	85,179	3,269	3,269	3.84 %	100.00 %
	Mint Hill (Union)	85,179	6	6	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Monroe	85,179	34,562	21,912	25.72 %	63.40 %
	Stallings (Union)	85,179	15,728	15,728	18.46 %	100.00 %
	Unionville	85,179	6,643	6,643	7.80 %	100.00 %
	Weddington (Union)	85,179	13,176	4	0.00 %	0.03 %
80	Concord	90,854	105,240	35,012	38.54 %	33.27 %
	Harrisburg	90,854	18,967	18,967	20.88 %	100.00 %
	Locust (Cabarrus)	90,854	541	541	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Midland (Cabarrus)	90,854	4,684	4,684	5.16 %	100.00 %
	Mount Pleasant	90,854	1,671	1,671	1.84 %	100.00 %
81	Concord	90,566	105,240	46,158	50.97 %	43.86 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
81	Kannapolis (Cabarrus)	90,566	42,846	33,907	37.44 %	79.14 %
82	China Grove	90,742	4,434	4,434	4.89 %	100.00 %
	Concord	90,742	105,240	24,070	26.53 %	22.87 %
	Kannapolis (Cabarrus)	90,742	42,846	8,939	9.85 %	20.86 %
	Kannapolis (Rowan)	90,742	10,268	10,268	11.32 %	100.00 %
	Landis	90,742	3,690	3,690	4.07 %	100.00 %
83	East Spencer	89,815	1,567	1,567	1.74 %	100.00 %
	Faith	89,815	819	819	0.91 %	100.00 %
	Granite Quarry	89,815	2,984	2,984	3.32 %	100.00 %
	Rockwell	89,815	2,302	2,302	2.56 %	100.00 %
	Salisbury	89,815	35,540	35,540	39.57 %	100.00 %
	Spencer	89,815	3,308	3,308	3.68 %	100.00 %
84	Bermuda Run	90,628	3,120	3,120	3.44 %	100.00 %
	Boonville	90,628	1,185	1,185	1.31 %	100.00 %
	Cleveland	90,628	846	846	0.93 %	100.00 %
	Cooleemee	90,628	940	940	1.04 %	100.00 %
	East Bend	90,628	634	634	0.70 %	100.00 %
	Jonesville	90,628	2,308	2,308	2.55 %	100.00 %
	Mocksville	90,628	5,900	5,900	6.51 %	100.00 %
	Yadkinville	90,628	2,995	2,995	3.30 %	100.00 %
85	Dobson	82,937	1,462	1,462	1.76 %	100.00 %
	Elkin (Surry)	82,937	4,049	4,049	4.88 %	100.00 %
	Elkin (Wilkes)	82,937	73	73	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Mount Airy	82,937	10,676	10,676	12.87 %	100.00 %
	Pilot Mountain	82,937	1,440	1,440	1.74 %	100.00 %
	Ronda	82,937	438	438	0.53 %	100.00 %
86	North Wilkesboro	90,835	4,382	4,382	4.82 %	100.00 %
	Taylorsville	90,835	2,320	2,320	2.55 %	100.00 %
	Wilkesboro	90,835	3,687	3,687	4.06 %	100.00 %
87	Harmony	86,773	543	543	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Love Valley	86,773	154	154	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Mooresville	86,773	50,193	205	0.24 %	0.41 %
	Statesville	86,773	28,419	28,415	32.75 %	99.99 %
	Troutman	86,773	3,698	885	1.02 %	23.93 %
88	Davidson (Iredell)	85,366	378	378	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Mooresville	85,366	50,193	49,988	58.56 %	99.59 %
89	Cornelius	86,827	31,412	31,412	36.18 %	100.00 %
	Davidson (Mecklenburg)	86,827	14,728	14,728	16.96 %	100.00 %
	Huntersville	86,827	61,376	38,677	44.54 %	63.02 %
90	Charlotte	88,237	874,579	67,298	76.27 %	7.69 %
	Huntersville	88,237	61,376	16,806	19.05 %	27.38 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
91	Charlotte	86,426	874,579	64,526	74.66 %	7.38 %
	Huntersville	86,426	61,376	5,893	6.82 %	9.60 %
92	Charlotte	82,824	874,579	79,717	96.25 %	9.11 %
93	Charlotte	86,179	874,579	86,179	100.00 %	9.85 %
94	Charlotte	82,834	874,579	82,834	100.00 %	9.47 %
95	Charlotte	85,031	874,579	63,762	74.99 %	7.29 %
96	Charlotte	82,806	874,579	82,687	99.86 %	9.45 %
	Pineville	82,806	10,602	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
97	Charlotte	87,197	874,579	87,197	100.00 %	9.97 %
98	Charlotte	86,520	874,579	86,520	100.00 %	9.89 %
99	Charlotte	85,822	874,579	71,156	82.91 %	8.14 %
	Pineville	85,822	10,602	10,602	12.35 %	100.00 %
100	Charlotte	87,132	874,579	23,590	27.07 %	2.70 %
	Matthews	87,132	29,435	29,435	33.78 %	100.00 %
	Midland (Mecklenburg)	87,132	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Mint Hill (Mecklenburg)	87,132	26,444	26,444	30.35 %	100.00 %
	Stallings (Mecklenburg)	87,132	384	384	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Weddington (Mecklenburg)	87,132	5	5	0.01 %	100.00 %
101	Charlotte	87,647	874,579	79,113	90.26 %	9.05 %
	Mint Hill (Mecklenburg)	87,647	26,444	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
102	Belmont	87,762	15,010	13,142	14.97 %	87.55 %
	Cramerton	87,762	5,296	5,200	5.93 %	98.19 %
	Gastonia	87,762	80,411	44,448	50.65 %	55.28 %
	Lowell	87,762	3,654	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
103	Belmont	86,263	15,010	1,868	2.17 %	12.45 %
	Cramerton	86,263	5,296	96	0.11 %	1.81 %
	Gastonia	86,263	80,411	28,480	33.02 %	35.42 %
	Lowell	86,263	3,654	3,654	4.24 %	100.00 %
	McAdenville	86,263	890	890	1.03 %	100.00 %
	Mount Holly	86,263	17,703	17,703	20.52 %	100.00 %
	Ranlo	86,263	4,511	4,500	5.22 %	99.76 %
	Spencer Mountain	86,263	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Stanley	86,263	3,963	3,963	4.59 %	100.00 %
104	Belwood	88,397	857	857	0.97 %	100.00 %
	Bessemer City	88,397	5,428	5,428	6.14 %	100.00 %
	Casar	88,397	305	305	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Cherryville	88,397	6,078	6,078	6.88 %	100.00 %
	Dallas	88,397	5,927	5,927	6.70 %	100.00 %
	Dellview	88,397	6	6	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Fallston	88,397	627	627	0.71 %	100.00 %
	Gastonia	88,397	80,411	7,483	8.47 %	9.31 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
104	High Shoals	88,397	595	595	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Kings Mountain (Cleveland)	88,397	10,032	8	0.01 %	0.08 %
	Kings Mountain (Gaston)	88,397	1,110	1,110	1.26 %	100.00 %
	Kingstown	88,397	656	656	0.74 %	100.00 %
	Lawndale	88,397	570	570	0.64 %	100.00 %
	Polkville	88,397	516	516	0.58 %	100.00 %
	Ranlo	88,397	4,511	11	0.01 %	0.24 %
	Shelby	88,397	21,918	4,409	4.99 %	20.12 %
	Waco	88,397	310	310	0.35 %	100.00 %
105	Boiling Springs	89,894	4,615	4,615	5.13 %	100.00 %
	Bostic	89,894	355	355	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Earl	89,894	198	198	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Ellenboro	89,894	723	723	0.80 %	100.00 %
	Forest City	89,894	7,377	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Grover	89,894	802	802	0.89 %	100.00 %
	Kings Mountain (Cleveland)	89,894	10,032	10,024	11.15 %	99.92 %
	Lattimore	89,894	406	406	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Mooreboro	89,894	293	293	0.33 %	100.00 %
	Patterson Springs	89,894	571	571	0.64 %	100.00 %
	Shelby	89,894	21,918	17,509	19.48 %	79.88 %
106	Lincolnton	86,810	11,091	11,091	12.78 %	100.00 %
	Maiden (Lincoln)	86,810	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
107	Catawba	85,577	702	702	0.82 %	100.00 %
	Claremont	85,577	1,692	1,692	1.98 %	100.00 %
	Conover	85,577	8,421	424	0.50 %	5.04 %
	Hickory (Catawba)	85,577	43,379	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Maiden (Catawba)	85,577	3,736	3,736	4.37 %	100.00 %
	Newton	85,577	13,148	13,148	15.36 %	100.00 %
	Statesville	85,577	28,419	4	0.00 %	0.01 %
	Troutman	85,577	3,698	2,813	3.29 %	76.07 %
108	Brookford	89,587	442	442	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Conover	89,587	8,421	7,997	8.93 %	94.96 %
	Hickory (Catawba)	89,587	43,379	43,379	48.42 %	100.00 %
	Long View (Catawba)	89,587	4,353	4,353	4.86 %	100.00 %
	Newton	89,587	13,148	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
109	Connelly Springs	87,570	1,529	1,529	1.75 %	100.00 %
	Drexel	87,570	1,760	1,760	2.01 %	100.00 %
	Glen Alpine	87,570	1,529	1,529	1.75 %	100.00 %
	Hickory (Burke)	87,570	79	79	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Hildebran	87,570	1,679	1,679	1.92 %	100.00 %
	Long View (Burke)	87,570	735	735	0.84 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
109	Morganton	87,570	17,474	17,474	19.95 %	100.00 %
	Rhodhiss (Burke)	87,570	639	639	0.73 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford College (Burke)	87,570	1,226	1,226	1.40 %	100.00 %
	Valdese	87,570	4,689	4,689	5.35 %	100.00 %
110	Blowing Rock (Caldwell)	85,758	91	91	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Blowing Rock (Watauga)	85,758	1,285	5	0.01 %	0.39 %
	Boone	85,758	19,092	595	0.69 %	3.12 %
	Cajah's Mountain	85,758	2,722	2,722	3.17 %	100.00 %
	Cedar Rock	85,758	301	301	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Gamewell	85,758	3,702	3,702	4.32 %	100.00 %
	Granite Falls	85,758	4,965	4,965	5.79 %	100.00 %
	Hickory (Caldwell)	85,758	32	32	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Hudson	85,758	3,780	3,780	4.41 %	100.00 %
	Lenoir	85,758	18,352	18,352	21.40 %	100.00 %
	Rhodhiss (Caldwell)	85,758	358	358	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford College (Caldwell)	85,758	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Sawmills	85,758	5,020	5,020	5.85 %	100.00 %
111	Beech Mountain (Watauga)	86,445	613	613	0.71 %	100.00 %
	Blowing Rock (Watauga)	86,445	1,285	1,280	1.48 %	99.61 %
	Boone	86,445	19,092	18,497	21.40 %	96.88 %
	Jefferson	86,445	1,622	1,622	1.88 %	100.00 %
	Lansing	86,445	126	126	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Seven Devils (Watauga)	86,445	275	275	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Sparta	86,445	1,834	1,834	2.12 %	100.00 %
	West Jefferson	86,445	1,279	1,279	1.48 %	100.00 %
112	Bakersville	90,863	450	450	0.50 %	100.00 %
	Banner Elk	90,863	1,049	1,049	1.15 %	100.00 %
	Beech Mountain (Avery)	90,863	62	62	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Burnsville	90,863	1,614	1,614	1.78 %	100.00 %
	Crossnore	90,863	143	143	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Elk Park	90,863	542	542	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Grandfather Village	90,863	95	95	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Marion	90,863	7,717	7,717	8.49 %	100.00 %
	Newland	90,863	715	715	0.79 %	100.00 %
	Old Fort	90,863	811	811	0.89 %	100.00 %
	Seven Devils (Avery)	90,863	38	38	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Spruce Pine	90,863	2,194	2,194	2.41 %	100.00 %
	Sugar Mountain	90,863	371	371	0.41 %	100.00 %
113	Chimney Rock Village	90,893	140	140	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Columbus	90,893	1,060	1,060	1.17 %	100.00 %
	Flat Rock	90,893	3,486	3,486	3.84 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
113	Forest City	90,893	7,377	7,377	8.12 %	100.00 %
	Hendersonville	90,893	15,137	623	0.69 %	4.12 %
	Lake Lure	90,893	1,365	1,365	1.50 %	100.00 %
	Laurel Park	90,893	2,250	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Mills River	90,893	7,078	305	0.34 %	4.31 %
	Ruth	90,893	347	347	0.38 %	100.00 %
	Rutherfordton	90,893	3,640	3,640	4.00 %	100.00 %
	Saluda (Henderson)	90,893	11	11	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Saluda (Polk)	90,893	620	620	0.68 %	100.00 %
	Spindale	90,893	4,225	4,225	4.65 %	100.00 %
	Tryon	90,893	1,562	1,562	1.72 %	100.00 %
114	Fletcher	89,200	7,987	7,987	8.95 %	100.00 %
	Hendersonville	89,200	15,137	14,514	16.27 %	95.88 %
	Laurel Park	89,200	2,250	2,250	2.52 %	100.00 %
	Mills River	89,200	7,078	6,773	7.59 %	95.69 %
115	Asheville	90,262	94,589	29,236	32.39 %	30.91 %
	Black Mountain	90,262	8,426	8,426	9.34 %	100.00 %
	Montreat	90,262	901	901	1.00 %	100.00 %
116	Asheville	89,685	94,589	52,596	58.65 %	55.60 %
	Weaverville	89,685	4,567	4,567	5.09 %	100.00 %
	Woodfin	89,685	7,936	7,648	8.53 %	96.37 %
117	Asheville	89,505	94,589	12,757	14.25 %	13.49 %
	Biltmore Forest	89,505	1,409	1,409	1.57 %	100.00 %
	Woodfin	89,505	7,936	288	0.32 %	3.63 %
118	Canton	83,282	4,422	4,422	5.31 %	100.00 %
	Clyde	83,282	1,368	1,368	1.64 %	100.00 %
	Hot Springs	83,282	520	520	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Maggie Valley	83,282	1,687	1,687	2.03 %	100.00 %
	Mars Hill	83,282	2,007	2,007	2.41 %	100.00 %
	Marshall	83,282	777	777	0.93 %	100.00 %
	Waynesville	83,282	10,140	10,140	12.18 %	100.00 %
119	Brevard	90,212	7,744	7,744	8.58 %	100.00 %
	Bryson City	90,212	1,558	1,558	1.73 %	100.00 %
	Dillsboro	90,212	213	213	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Forest Hills	90,212	303	303	0.34 %	100.00 %
	Highlands (Jackson)	90,212	12	12	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Rosman	90,212	701	701	0.78 %	100.00 %
	Sylva	90,212	2,578	2,578	2.86 %	100.00 %
	Webster	90,212	372	372	0.41 %	100.00 %
120	Andrews	84,907	1,667	1,667	1.96 %	100.00 %
	Fontana Dam	84,907	13	13	0.02 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/21/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
120	Franklin	84,907	4,175	4,175	4.92 %	100.00 %
	Hayesville	84,907	461	461	0.54 %	100.00 %
	Highlands (Macon)	84,907	1,060	1,060	1.25 %	100.00 %
	Lake Santeetlah	84,907	38	38	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Murphy	84,907	1,608	1,608	1.89 %	100.00 %
	Robbinsville	84,907	597	597	0.70 %	100.00 %
Total:				6,017,605		

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
1	Chowan	6	0
	Currituck	11	0
	Dare	3	1
	Perquimans	7	0
	Tyrrell	6	0
	Washington	6	0
2	Camden	3	0
	Gates	6	0
	Hertford	13	0
	Pasquotank	9	0
3	Beaufort	21	0
	Dare	12	1
	Hyde	7	0
	Pamlico	10	0
4	Carteret	28	0
	Craven	1	1
5	Bertie	12	0
	Edgecombe	21	0
	Martin	13	0
6	Craven	19	1
7	Pitt	19	0
8	Pitt	21	0
9	Onslow	9	0
10	Onslow	9	0
11	Duplin	19	0
	Onslow	6	0
12	Greene	10	0
	Jones	7	0
	Lenoir	22	0
13	Halifax	23	0
	Northampton	13	0
	Warren	14	0
14	Nash	22	0
15	Nash	2	0
	Wilson	24	0
16	Sampson	23	0
	Wayne	6	1
17	Wayne	21	1
18	Bladen	17	0
	Pender	20	0
19	New Hanover	19	0
20	Brunswick	14	0

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Based on TIGER 2020 VTDs

[G20-VTD-Sbd] - Generated 10/21/2021

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report**District Plan: HBK-11**

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
21	Brunswick	11	0
	New Hanover	7	0
22	New Hanover	17	0
23	Columbus	26	0
	Robeson	14	0
24	Robeson	25	0
25	Cumberland	16	0
26	Cumberland	28	0
27	Cumberland	19	0
28	Cumberland	13	0
29	Harnett	6	0
30	Harnett	7	0
	Johnston	6	0
31	Johnston	18	0
32	Johnston	12	0
33	Franklin	18	0
	Granville	2	0
34	Granville	13	0
	Vance	12	0
35	Wake	14	0
36	Wake	20	0
37	Wake	15	0
38	Wake	11	0
39	Wake	12	0
40	Wake	12	0
41	Wake	16	0
42	Wake	19	0
43	Wake	19	0
44	Wake	24	0
45	Wake	15	0
46	Wake	14	0
47	Wake	13	0
48	Durham	8	2
49	Durham	21	1
50	Durham	17	1
51	Durham	8	2
	Person	11	0
52	Orange	23	0
53	Caswell	9	0
	Orange	18	0
54	Alamance	19	0
55	Alamance	18	0

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Based on TIGER 2020 VTDs

[G20-VTD-Sbd] - Generated 10/21/2021

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report**District Plan: HBK-11**

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
56	Chatham	18	0
	Randolph	3	0
57	Lee	10	0
	Moore	4	0
58	Hoke	15	0
	Scotland	7	0
59	Moore	10	0
	Richmond	16	0
60	Moore	12	0
	Randolph	8	0
61	Randolph	11	0
62	Guilford	24	0
63	Guilford	27	0
64	Guilford	34	0
65	Guilford	24	0
66	Guilford	27	0
67	Guilford	29	0
68	Rockingham	15	0
69	Forsyth	11	0
	Stokes	18	0
70	Forsyth	19	0
71	Forsyth	32	0
72	Forsyth	20	0
73	Forsyth	19	0
74	Davidson	21	0
75	Davidson	22	0
76	Montgomery	14	0
	Stanly	22	0
77	Anson	9	0
	Union	17	0
78	Union	16	0
79	Union	19	0
80	Cabarrus	15	0
81	Cabarrus	20	0
82	Cabarrus	5	0
	Rowan	11	0
83	Rowan	25	0
84	Davie	14	0
	Rowan	5	0
	Yadkin	12	0
85	Surry	24	0
	Wilkes	6	0

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Based on TIGER 2020 VTDs

[G20-VTD-Sbd] - Generated 10/21/2021

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report**District Plan: HBK-11**

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
86	Alexander	10	0
	Wilkes	21	0
87	Iredell	19	0
88	Iredell	8	0
89	Mecklenburg	10	1
90	Mecklenburg	11	1
91	Mecklenburg	10	0
92	Mecklenburg	10	0
93	Mecklenburg	19	0
94	Mecklenburg	18	0
95	Mecklenburg	9	0
96	Mecklenburg	17	0
97	Mecklenburg	21	0
98	Mecklenburg	26	0
99	Mecklenburg	12	0
100	Mecklenburg	16	0
101	Mecklenburg	15	0
102	Gaston	14	0
103	Gaston	20	0
104	Cleveland	10	0
	Gaston	12	0
105	Cleveland	11	0
	Rutherford	6	0
106	Lincoln	23	0
107	Catawba	17	0
	Iredell	2	0
108	Catawba	23	0
109	Burke	33	0
110	Caldwell	20	0
	Watauga	2	0
111	Alleghany	4	0
	Ashe	17	0
	Watauga	18	0
112	Avery	19	0
	McDowell	15	0
	Mitchell	9	0
	Yancey	11	0
113	Henderson	9	0
	McDowell	2	0
	Polk	7	0
	Rutherford	11	0
114	Henderson	25	0
115	Buncombe	32	0

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Based on TIGER 2020 VTDs

[G20-VTD-Sbd] - Generated 10/21/2021

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
116	Buncombe	29	0
117	Buncombe	18	0
118	Haywood	29	0
	Madison	12	0
119	Jackson	13	0
	Swain	5	0
	Transylvania	15	0
120	Cherokee	16	0
	Clay	9	0
	Graham	4	0
	Macon	15	0
Total:		2,659	7

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HBK-11

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Alamance	37	0
Alexander	10	0
Alleghany	4	0
Anson	9	0
Ashe	17	0
Avery	19	0
Beaufort	21	0
Bertie	12	0
Bladen	17	0
Brunswick	25	0
Buncombe	79	0
Burke	33	0
Cabarrus	40	0
Caldwell	20	0
Camden	3	0
Carteret	28	0
Caswell	9	0
Catawba	40	0
Chatham	18	0
Cherokee	16	0
Chowan	6	0
Clay	9	0
Cleveland	21	0
Columbus	26	0
Craven	20	1
Cumberland	76	0
Currituck	11	0
Dare	15	1
Davidson	43	0
Davie	14	0
Duplin	19	0
Durham	54	3
Edgecombe	21	0
Forsyth	101	0
Franklin	18	0
Gaston	46	0
Gates	6	0
Graham	4	0
Granville	15	0
Greene	10	0
Guilford	165	0
Halifax	23	0
Harnett	13	0

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Based on TIGER 2020 VTDs

[G20-VTD-Sbc] - Generated 10/21/2021

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report**District Plan: HBK-11**

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Haywood	29	0
Henderson	34	0
Hertford	13	0
Hoke	15	0
Hyde	7	0
Iredell	29	0
Jackson	13	0
Johnston	36	0
Jones	7	0
Lee	10	0
Lenoir	22	0
Lincoln	23	0
Macon	15	0
Madison	12	0
Martin	13	0
McDowell	17	0
Mecklenburg	194	1
Mitchell	9	0
Montgomery	14	0
Moore	26	0
Nash	24	0
New Hanover	43	0
Northampton	13	0
Onslow	24	0
Orange	41	0
Pamlico	10	0
Pasquotank	9	0
Pender	20	0
Perquimans	7	0
Person	11	0
Pitt	40	0
Polk	7	0
Randolph	22	0
Richmond	16	0
Robeson	39	0
Rockingham	15	0
Rowan	41	0
Rutherford	17	0
Sampson	23	0
Scotland	7	0
Stanly	22	0
Stokes	18	0
Surry	24	0

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Based on TIGER 2020 VTDs

[G20-VTD-Sbc] - Generated 10/21/2021

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HBK-11

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Swain	5	0
Transylvania	15	0
Tyrrell	6	0
Union	52	0
Vance	12	0
Wake	204	0
Warren	14	0
Washington	6	0
Watauga	20	0
Wayne	27	1
Wilkes	27	0
Wilson	24	0
Yadkin	12	0
Yancey	11	0
Totals:	2,659	7

Split VTD Detail Report

District Plan: HBK-11

County	VTD	District	Total VTD Population	VTD Pop in District	Percent of VTD Pop in District
Craven	002	4	18,203	11,720	64.38 %
		6	18,203	6,483	35.62 %
Dare	KDH	1	7,656	7,118	92.97 %
		3	7,656	538	7.03 %
Durham	014	48	4,535	303	6.68 %
		49	4,535	4,232	93.32 %
	023	50	10,357	8,824	85.20 %
		51	10,357	1,533	14.80 %
	30-2	48	10,654	10,226	95.98 %
		51	10,654	428	4.02 %
Mecklenburg	134	89	11,104	4,537	40.86 %
		90	11,104	6,567	59.14 %
Wayne	009	16	4,875	3,154	64.70 %
		17	4,875	1,721	35.30 %
Total:				67,384	

Number of split VTDs: 7

Incumbent-District Report**District Plan: HBK-11****Residence Set: NC House - 10/01/2021**

Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District	District in this Plan
Adams	James	Republican	96	108
Adcock	Gale	Democratic	41	38
Ager	John	Democratic	115	115
Alexander	Kelly	Democratic	107	90
Alston	Vernetta	Democratic	29	49
Arp	Larry	Republican	69	79
Autry	Johnnie	Democratic	100	97
Baker	Amber	Democratic	72	71
Baker	Kristin	Republican	82	81
Ball	Cynthia	Democratic	49	37
Belk	Mary	Democratic	88	94
Bell	John	Republican	10	16
Blackwell	Hugh	Republican	86	109
Boles	James	Republican	52	59
Bradford	John	Republican	98	89
Brisson	William	Republican	22	18
Brockman	Cecil	Democratic	60	66
Brody	Mark	Republican	55	77
Brown	Terry	Democratic	92	95
Bumgardner	Dana	Republican	109	102
Butler	Deborah	Democratic	18	19
Carney	Becky	Democratic	102	93
Clampitt	James	Republican	119	119
Clemmons	Ashton	Democratic	57	63
Cleveland	George	Republican	14	10
Cooper-Suggs	Linda	Democratic	24	15
Cunningham	Carla	Democratic	106	92
Dahle	Allison	Democratic	11	42
Davis	Robert	Republican	20	22
Dixon	James	Republican	4	11
Elmore	Jeffrey	Republican	94	86
Everitt	Terence	Democratic	35	35
Faircloth	Joseph	Republican	62	67
Farkas	Brian	Democratic	9	7
Fisher	Susan	Democratic	114	116
Gailliard	James	Democratic	25	14
Garrison	Terry	Democratic	32	34
Gill	Rosa	Democratic	33	43
Gillespie	Karl	Republican	120	120
Goodwin	Edward	Republican	1	1
Graham	Charles	Democratic	47	24
Greene	Edwin	Republican	85	112

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Row shading indicates that the district in this plan is shared by more than one incumbent.

[G20-IncDist] - Generated 10/21/2021

Incumbent-District Report**District Plan: HBK-11****Residence Set: NC House - 10/01/2021**

Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District	District in this Plan
Hall	Destin	Republican	87	110
Hall	Kyle	Republican	91	69
Hanig	Robert	Republican	6	1
Hardister	Jonathan	Republican	59	62
Harris	Wesley	Democratic	105	99
Harrison	Mary	Democratic	61	64
Hastings	Kelly	Republican	110	104
Hawkins	Zack	Democratic	31	48
Howard	Julia	Republican	77	84
Humphrey	Thomas	Republican	12	12
Hunt	Rachel	Democratic	103	100
Hunter	Howard	Democratic	5	2
Hurley	Patricia	Republican	70	61
Hurtado	Ricardo	Democratic	63	54
Iler	Francis	Republican	17	20
Insko	Verla	Democratic	56	52
John	Joseph	Democratic	40	36
Johnson	Jake	Republican	113	113
Jones	Abraham	Democratic	38	47
Jones	Brenden	Republican	46	23
Kidwell	Keith	Republican	79	3
Lambeth	Donny	Republican	75	73
Lofton	Brandon	Democratic	104	98
Logan	Carolyn	Democratic	101	91
Lucas	Marvin	Democratic	42	28
Majeed	Nasif	Democratic	99	101
Martin	David	Democratic	34	44
McElraft	Patricia	Republican	13	4
McNeely	Jeffrey	Republican	84	87
McNeill	Allen	Republican	78	60
Meyer	Graig	Democratic	50	53
Miller	Charles	Republican	19	21
Mills	Paul	Republican	95	88
Moffitt	Timothy	Republican	117	114
Moore	Timothy	Republican	111	105
Morey	Marcia	Democratic	30	50
Moss	Ben	Republican	66	59
Paré	Erin	Republican	37	40
Penny	Howard	Republican	53	30
Pickett	Phillip	Republican	93	111
Pierce	Garland	Democratic	48	58
Pittman	Larry	Republican	83	80

District plan definition file: 'HBK-11.csv', modified 10/21/2021 6:56 PM

Row shading indicates that the district in this plan is shared by more than one incumbent.

[G20-IncDist] - Generated 10/21/2021

Incumbent-District Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Residence Set: NC House - 10/01/2021

Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District	District in this Plan
Pless	Steven	Republican	118	118
Potts	Larry	Republican	81	74
Pyrtle	Armor	Republican	65	68
Quick	Amos	Democratic	58	65
Reives	Robert	Democratic	54	56
Richardson	William	Democratic	44	27
Riddell	Dennis	Republican	64	55
Roberson	James	Democratic	39	46
Rogers	David	Republican	112	113
Saine	Jason	Republican	97	106
Sasser	Clayton	Republican	67	76
Sauls	John	Republican	51	57
Setzer	Mitchell	Republican	89	107
Shepard	Phillip	Republican	15	9
Smith	Carson	Republican	16	18
Smith	Kandie	Democratic	8	8
Smith	Raymond	Democratic	21	17
Stevens	Sarah	Republican	90	85
Strickland	Larry	Republican	28	31
Szoka	John	Republican	45	25
Terry	Evelyn	Democratic	71	72
Torbett	John	Republican	108	103
Turner	Brian	Democratic	116	117
Tyson	John	Republican	3	6
von Haefen	Julie	Democratic	36	39
Warren	Harry	Republican	76	83
Watford	Samuel	Republican	80	75
Wheatley	Diane	Republican	43	26
White	Donna	Republican	26	32
Willingham	Shelly	Democratic	23	5
Willis	David	Republican	68	78
Winslow	Matthew	Republican	7	33
Wray	Michael	Democratic	27	13
Yarborough	Lawrence	Republican	2	51
Zachary	Walter	Republican	73	84
Zenger	Jeffrey	Republican	74	70

District-Incumbent Report

District Plan: HBK-11

Residence Set: NC House - 10/01/2021

District in this Plan	Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District
1	Goodwin	Edward	Republican	1
	Hanig	Robert	Republican	6
2	Hunter	Howard	Democratic	5
3	Kidwell	Keith	Republican	79
4	McElraft	Patricia	Republican	13
5	Willingham	Shelly	Democratic	23
6	Tyson	John	Republican	3
7	Farkas	Brian	Democratic	9
8	Smith	Kandie	Democratic	8
9	Shepard	Phillip	Republican	15
10	Cleveland	George	Republican	14
11	Dixon	James	Republican	4
12	Humphrey	Thomas	Republican	12
13	Wray	Michael	Democratic	27
14	Gailliard	James	Democratic	25
15	Cooper-Suggs	Linda	Democratic	24
16	Bell	John	Republican	10
17	Smith	Raymond	Democratic	21
18	Brisson	William	Republican	22
	Smith	Carson	Republican	16
19	Butler	Deborah	Democratic	18
20	Iler	Francis	Republican	17
21	Miller	Charles	Republican	19
22	Davis	Robert	Republican	20
23	Jones	Brenden	Republican	46
24	Graham	Charles	Democratic	47
25	Szoka	John	Republican	45
26	Wheatley	Diane	Republican	43
27	Richardson	William	Democratic	44
28	Lucas	Marvin	Democratic	42
29				
30	Penny	Howard	Republican	53
31	Strickland	Larry	Republican	28
32	White	Donna	Republican	26
33	Winslow	Matthew	Republican	7
34	Garrison	Terry	Democratic	32
35	Everitt	Terence	Democratic	35
36	John	Joseph	Democratic	40
37	Ball	Cynthia	Democratic	49
38	Adcock	Gale	Democratic	41
39	von Haefen	Julie	Democratic	36
40	Paré	Erin	Republican	37
41				

District-Incumbent Report

District Plan: HBK-11**Residence Set: NC House - 10/01/2021**

District in this Plan	Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District
42	Dahle	Allison	Democratic	11
43	Gill	Rosa	Democratic	33
44	Martin	David	Democratic	34
45				
46	Roberson	James	Democratic	39
47	Jones	Abraham	Democratic	38
48	Hawkins	Zack	Democratic	31
49	Alston	Vernetta	Democratic	29
50	Morey	Marcia	Democratic	30
51	Yarborough	Lawrence	Republican	2
52	Insko	Verla	Democratic	56
53	Meyer	Graig	Democratic	50
54	Hurtado	Ricardo	Democratic	63
55	Riddell	Dennis	Republican	64
56	Reives	Robert	Democratic	54
57	Sauls	John	Republican	51
58	Pierce	Garland	Democratic	48
59	Boles	James	Republican	52
	Moss	Ben	Republican	66
60	McNeill	Allen	Republican	78
61	Hurley	Patricia	Republican	70
62	Hardister	Jonathan	Republican	59
63	Clemmons	Ashton	Democratic	57
64	Harrison	Mary	Democratic	61
65	Quick	Amos	Democratic	58
66	Brockman	Cecil	Democratic	60
67	Faircloth	Joseph	Republican	62
68	Pyrtle	Armor	Republican	65
69	Hall	Kyle	Republican	91
70	Zenger	Jeffrey	Republican	74
71	Baker	Amber	Democratic	72
72	Terry	Evelyn	Democratic	71
73	Lambeth	Donny	Republican	75
74	Potts	Larry	Republican	81
75	Watford	Samuel	Republican	80
76	Sasser	Clayton	Republican	67
77	Brody	Mark	Republican	55
78	Willis	David	Republican	68
79	Arp	Larry	Republican	69
80	Pittman	Larry	Republican	83
81	Baker	Kristin	Republican	82
82				
83	Warren	Harry	Republican	76

District-Incumbent Report

District Plan: HBK-11**Residence Set: NC House - 10/01/2021**

District in this Plan	Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District
84	Howard	Julia	Republican	77
	Zachary	Walter	Republican	73
85	Stevens	Sarah	Republican	90
86	Elmore	Jeffrey	Republican	94
87	McNeely	Jeffrey	Republican	84
88	Mills	Paul	Republican	95
89	Bradford	John	Republican	98
90	Alexander	Kelly	Democratic	107
91	Logan	Carolyn	Democratic	101
92	Cunningham	Carla	Democratic	106
93	Carney	Becky	Democratic	102
94	Belk	Mary	Democratic	88
95	Brown	Terry	Democratic	92
96				
97	Autry	Johnnie	Democratic	100
98	Lofton	Brandon	Democratic	104
99	Harris	Wesley	Democratic	105
100	Hunt	Rachel	Democratic	103
101	Majeed	Nasif	Democratic	99
102	Bumgardner	Dana	Republican	109
103	Torbett	John	Republican	108
104	Hastings	Kelly	Republican	110
105	Moore	Timothy	Republican	111
106	Saine	Jason	Republican	97
107	Setzer	Mitchell	Republican	89
108	Adams	James	Republican	96
109	Blackwell	Hugh	Republican	86
110	Hall	Destin	Republican	87
111	Pickett	Phillip	Republican	93
112	Greene	Edwin	Republican	85
113	Johnson	Jake	Republican	113
	Rogers	David	Republican	112
114	Moffitt	Timothy	Republican	117
115	Ager	John	Democratic	115
116	Fisher	Susan	Democratic	114
117	Turner	Brian	Democratic	116
118	Pless	Steven	Republican	118
119	Clampitt	James	Republican	119
120	Gillespie	Karl	Republican	120

From: "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)"
<Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/25/2021 9:39:53 AM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Special Webex link for 5:30PM Monday Redistricting
Attachments: House Committee on Redistricting Agenda for 10-25-21 5_30 PM.pdf

Please be aware that we will not be using the traditional link for members to join the 5:30pm meeting today. Members will all need to join using the following link:

<https://ncleg.webex.com/ncleg/j.php?MTID=me5a9da9b917b0957c4932641b223d8fa>

This will be different than normal webex meetings in that you will not be able to unmute yourselves and the chat has been disabled.

Best,

Chandra Reed

Clerk Assistant | Rep. Destin Hall | 919.301.1638

1429 Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27603



**House Committee on Redistricting
Monday, October 25, 2021 at 5:30 PM
643 Legislative Office Building**

AGENDA

Welcome and Opening Remarks

Introduction of Sergeant-at-Arms

Presentations

Other Business

Adjournment

From: "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)"
<Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/26/2021 8:30:05 AM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Webex 643
Attachments: House Committee on Redistricting Agenda for 10-26-21 - 9_00 AM - 2_30 PM.pdf

Your upcoming virtual committee meeting on House Committee on Redistricting can be accessed from the following link:

<https://ncleg.webex.com/meet/LOB643>

A few friendly reminders:

- Your virtual meeting should be available at least 10 minutes prior to your scheduled meeting time to address any technical problems.
- It is **very important** that this link not be shared. Anyone with the link can access your meeting and there is a limit of the number of participants.
- Non-committee members, staff, and members of the public should plan to listen to the meeting through the audio stream link available on <https://www.ncleg.gov/audio> or watch the meeting live stream at <https://www.ncleg.gov/video>
- Once the meeting is called to order, the meeting will be locked to prevent additional participants from joining automatically. This is for the security of your meeting. Once the meeting is locked, late joining participants will be entered in to a "lobby" until approved to join by the meeting host.
- More information about virtual committee meetings, installing the application, and other ways to work remote with NCGA resources is available at <https://remote.ncleg.gov>

If you have any technical difficulties while trying to access your meeting or during the meeting itself, please contact ISD Support by one of the following methods:

- Email: ISDSupport@ncleg.gov
- Web: <https://isdsupport.ncleg.gov>

Phone: (919) 715-7825

Best,

Chandra Reed

Clerk Assistant | Rep. Destin Hall | 919.301.1638

1429 Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27603



**House Committee on Redistricting
Tuesday, October 26, 2021, 9:00 AM - 2:30 PM
643 Legislative Office Building**

AGENDA

Welcome and Opening Remarks

Introduction of Sergeant-at-Arms

Presentations

Other Business

Adjournment

From: "Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)"
<Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/22/2021 4:11:20 PM -0400
To: Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>; "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)"
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Subject: CBA-2, Congressional plan
Attachments: CBA-2.csv; CBA-2 - StatPack.pdf; CBA-2_11x17.pdf; CBA-2_19x36_insets.pdf

Attached are the maps and documents for CBA-2, for posting.

Thanks,

Jessica

Population Deviation Report**District Plan: CBA-2**

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
1	1	745,671	745,671	0	0.00%
2	1	745,671	745,670	-1	0.00%
3	1	745,671	745,670	-1	0.00%
4	1	745,671	745,671	0	0.00%
5	1	745,671	745,671	0	0.00%
6	1	745,671	745,671	0	0.00%
7	1	745,671	745,670	-1	0.00%
8	1	745,671	745,670	-1	0.00%
9	1	745,671	745,671	0	0.00%
10	1	745,671	745,671	0	0.00%
11	1	745,671	745,670	-1	0.00%
12	1	745,671	745,670	-1	0.00%
13	1	745,671	745,671	0	0.00%
14	1	745,671	745,671	0	0.00%
Totals:	14		10,439,388		

County - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Alamance	8	171,415	745,670	171,415	100.00 %	22.99 %
Alexander	3	36,444	745,670	36,444	100.00 %	4.89 %
Alleghany	4	10,888	745,671	10,888	100.00 %	1.46 %
Anson	6	22,055	745,671	22,055	100.00 %	2.96 %
Ashe	4	26,577	745,671	26,577	100.00 %	3.56 %
Avery	1	17,806	745,671	4,258	23.91 %	0.57 %
	4	17,806	745,671	13,548	76.09 %	1.82 %
Beaufort	12	44,652	745,670	44,652	100.00 %	5.99 %
Bertie	11	17,934	745,670	17,934	100.00 %	2.41 %
Bladen	14	29,606	745,671	29,606	100.00 %	3.97 %
Brunswick	14	136,693	745,671	136,693	100.00 %	18.33 %
Buncombe	1	269,452	745,671	269,452	100.00 %	36.14 %
Burke	2	87,570	745,670	87,570	100.00 %	11.74 %
Cabarrus	3	225,804	745,670	225,804	100.00 %	30.28 %
Caldwell	3	80,652	745,670	80,652	100.00 %	10.82 %
Camden	11	10,355	745,670	10,355	100.00 %	1.39 %
Carteret	12	67,686	745,670	67,686	100.00 %	9.08 %
Caswell	11	22,736	745,670	22,736	100.00 %	3.05 %
Catawba	3	160,610	745,670	160,610	100.00 %	21.54 %
Chatham	7	76,285	745,670	76,285	100.00 %	10.23 %
Cherokee	1	28,774	745,671	28,774	100.00 %	3.86 %
Chowan	11	13,708	745,670	13,708	100.00 %	1.84 %
Clay	1	11,089	745,671	11,089	100.00 %	1.49 %
Cleveland	2	99,519	745,670	99,519	100.00 %	13.35 %
Columbus	14	50,623	745,671	50,623	100.00 %	6.79 %
Craven	12	100,720	745,670	100,720	100.00 %	13.51 %
Cumberland	13	334,728	745,671	278,771	83.28 %	37.39 %
	14	334,728	745,671	55,957	16.72 %	7.50 %
Currituck	11	28,100	745,670	28,100	100.00 %	3.77 %
Dare	12	36,915	745,670	36,915	100.00 %	4.95 %
Davidson	7	168,930	745,670	168,930	100.00 %	22.65 %
Davie	7	42,712	745,670	42,712	100.00 %	5.73 %
Duplin	12	48,715	745,670	37,394	76.76 %	5.01 %
	14	48,715	745,671	11,321	23.24 %	1.52 %
Durham	9	324,833	745,671	324,833	100.00 %	43.56 %
Edgecombe	11	48,900	745,670	48,900	100.00 %	6.56 %
Forsyth	4	382,590	745,671	330,414	86.36 %	44.31 %
	7	382,590	745,670	52,176	13.64 %	7.00 %
Franklin	11	68,573	745,670	68,573	100.00 %	9.20 %
Gaston	2	227,943	745,670	227,943	100.00 %	30.57 %
Gates	11	10,478	745,670	10,478	100.00 %	1.41 %
Graham	1	8,030	745,671	8,030	100.00 %	1.08 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File - North Carolina

Printed 10/14/2021 [G20-CntyDist]

County - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Granville	11	60,992	745,670	60,992	100.00 %	8.18 %
Greene	11	20,451	745,670	20,451	100.00 %	2.74 %
Guilford	7	541,299	745,670	84,441	15.60 %	11.32 %
	8	541,299	745,670	456,858	84.40 %	61.27 %
Halifax	11	48,622	745,670	48,622	100.00 %	6.52 %
Harnett	13	133,568	745,671	133,568	100.00 %	17.91 %
Haywood	1	62,089	745,671	62,089	100.00 %	8.33 %
Henderson	1	116,281	745,671	116,281	100.00 %	15.59 %
Hertford	11	21,552	745,670	21,552	100.00 %	2.89 %
Hoke	6	52,082	745,671	52,082	100.00 %	6.98 %
Hyde	12	4,589	745,670	4,589	100.00 %	0.62 %
Iredell	3	186,693	745,670	186,693	100.00 %	25.04 %
Jackson	1	43,109	745,671	43,109	100.00 %	5.78 %
Johnston	13	215,999	745,671	215,999	100.00 %	28.97 %
Jones	12	9,172	745,670	9,172	100.00 %	1.23 %
Lee	7	63,285	745,670	63,285	100.00 %	8.49 %
Lenoir	12	55,122	745,670	55,122	100.00 %	7.39 %
Lincoln	2	86,810	745,670	86,810	100.00 %	11.64 %
Macon	1	37,014	745,671	37,014	100.00 %	4.96 %
Madison	1	21,193	745,671	21,193	100.00 %	2.84 %
Martin	11	22,031	745,670	22,031	100.00 %	2.95 %
McDowell	1	44,578	745,671	44,578	100.00 %	5.98 %
Mecklenburg	2	1,115,482	745,670	179,384	16.08 %	24.06 %
	5	1,115,482	745,671	745,671	66.85 %	100.00 %
	6	1,115,482	745,671	190,427	17.07 %	25.54 %
Mitchell	1	14,903	745,671	14,903	100.00 %	2.00 %
Montgomery	6	25,751	745,671	25,751	100.00 %	3.45 %
Moore	6	99,727	745,671	77,465	77.68 %	10.39 %
	7	99,727	745,670	22,262	22.32 %	2.99 %
Nash	11	94,970	745,670	94,970	100.00 %	12.74 %
New Hanover	14	225,702	745,671	225,702	100.00 %	30.27 %
Northampton	11	17,471	745,670	17,471	100.00 %	2.34 %
Onslow	12	204,576	745,670	204,576	100.00 %	27.44 %
Orange	8	148,696	745,670	117,397	78.95 %	15.74 %
	9	148,696	745,671	31,299	21.05 %	4.20 %
Pamlico	12	12,276	745,670	12,276	100.00 %	1.65 %
Pasquotank	11	40,568	745,670	40,568	100.00 %	5.44 %
Pender	14	60,203	745,671	60,203	100.00 %	8.07 %
Perquimans	11	13,005	745,670	13,005	100.00 %	1.74 %
Person	9	39,097	745,671	5,800	14.83 %	0.78 %
	11	39,097	745,670	33,297	85.17 %	4.47 %

County - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Pitt	11	170,243	745,670	920	0.54 %	0.12 %
	12	170,243	745,670	169,323	99.46 %	22.71 %
Polk	1	19,328	745,671	19,328	100.00 %	2.59 %
Randolph	7	144,171	745,670	144,171	100.00 %	19.33 %
Richmond	6	42,946	745,671	42,946	100.00 %	5.76 %
Robeson	14	116,530	745,671	116,530	100.00 %	15.63 %
Rockingham	4	91,096	745,671	91,096	100.00 %	12.22 %
Rowan	3	146,875	745,670	55,467	37.76 %	7.44 %
	7	146,875	745,670	91,408	62.24 %	12.26 %
Rutherford	2	64,444	745,670	64,444	100.00 %	8.64 %
Sampson	14	59,036	745,671	59,036	100.00 %	7.92 %
Scotland	6	34,174	745,671	34,174	100.00 %	4.58 %
Stanly	6	62,504	745,671	62,504	100.00 %	8.38 %
Stokes	4	44,520	745,671	44,520	100.00 %	5.97 %
Surry	4	71,359	745,671	71,359	100.00 %	9.57 %
Swain	1	14,117	745,671	14,117	100.00 %	1.89 %
Transylvania	1	32,986	745,671	32,986	100.00 %	4.42 %
Tyrrell	12	3,245	745,670	3,245	100.00 %	0.44 %
Union	6	238,267	745,671	238,267	100.00 %	31.95 %
Vance	11	42,578	745,670	42,578	100.00 %	5.71 %
Wake	9	1,129,410	745,671	383,739	33.98 %	51.46 %
	10	1,129,410	745,671	745,671	66.02 %	100.00 %
Warren	11	18,642	745,670	18,642	100.00 %	2.50 %
Washington	11	11,003	745,670	11,003	100.00 %	1.48 %
Watauga	4	54,086	745,671	54,086	100.00 %	7.25 %
Wayne	13	117,333	745,671	117,333	100.00 %	15.74 %
Wilkes	4	65,969	745,671	65,969	100.00 %	8.85 %
Wilson	11	78,784	745,670	78,784	100.00 %	10.57 %
Yadkin	4	37,214	745,671	37,214	100.00 %	4.99 %
Yancey	1	18,470	745,671	18,470	100.00 %	2.48 %
Total:				10,439,388		

Number of split counties: 12

Display: all counties

District - County Report

District Plan: CBA-2

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
1	Avery	745,671	17,806	4,258	0.57 %	23.91 %
	Buncombe	745,671	269,452	269,452	36.14 %	100.00 %
	Cherokee	745,671	28,774	28,774	3.86 %	100.00 %
	Clay	745,671	11,089	11,089	1.49 %	100.00 %
	Graham	745,671	8,030	8,030	1.08 %	100.00 %
	Haywood	745,671	62,089	62,089	8.33 %	100.00 %
	Henderson	745,671	116,281	116,281	15.59 %	100.00 %
	Jackson	745,671	43,109	43,109	5.78 %	100.00 %
	Macon	745,671	37,014	37,014	4.96 %	100.00 %
	Madison	745,671	21,193	21,193	2.84 %	100.00 %
	McDowell	745,671	44,578	44,578	5.98 %	100.00 %
	Mitchell	745,671	14,903	14,903	2.00 %	100.00 %
	Polk	745,671	19,328	19,328	2.59 %	100.00 %
	Swain	745,671	14,117	14,117	1.89 %	100.00 %
	Transylvania	745,671	32,986	32,986	4.42 %	100.00 %
Yancey	745,671	18,470	18,470	2.48 %	100.00 %	
2	Burke	745,670	87,570	87,570	11.74 %	100.00 %
	Cleveland	745,670	99,519	99,519	13.35 %	100.00 %
	Gaston	745,670	227,943	227,943	30.57 %	100.00 %
	Lincoln	745,670	86,810	86,810	11.64 %	100.00 %
	Mecklenburg	745,670	1,115,482	179,384	24.06 %	16.08 %
	Rutherford	745,670	64,444	64,444	8.64 %	100.00 %
3	Alexander	745,670	36,444	36,444	4.89 %	100.00 %
	Cabarrus	745,670	225,804	225,804	30.28 %	100.00 %
	Caldwell	745,670	80,652	80,652	10.82 %	100.00 %
	Catawba	745,670	160,610	160,610	21.54 %	100.00 %
	Iredell	745,670	186,693	186,693	25.04 %	100.00 %
	Rowan	745,670	146,875	55,467	7.44 %	37.76 %
4	Alleghany	745,671	10,888	10,888	1.46 %	100.00 %
	Ashe	745,671	26,577	26,577	3.56 %	100.00 %
	Avery	745,671	17,806	13,548	1.82 %	76.09 %
	Forsyth	745,671	382,590	330,414	44.31 %	86.36 %
	Rockingham	745,671	91,096	91,096	12.22 %	100.00 %
	Stokes	745,671	44,520	44,520	5.97 %	100.00 %
	Surry	745,671	71,359	71,359	9.57 %	100.00 %
	Watauga	745,671	54,086	54,086	7.25 %	100.00 %
	Wilkes	745,671	65,969	65,969	8.85 %	100.00 %
	Yadkin	745,671	37,214	37,214	4.99 %	100.00 %
5	Mecklenburg	745,671	1,115,482	745,671	100.00 %	66.85 %

District - County Report

District Plan: CBA-2

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
6	Anson	745,671	22,055	22,055	2.96 %	100.00 %
	Hoke	745,671	52,082	52,082	6.98 %	100.00 %
	Mecklenburg	745,671	1,115,482	190,427	25.54 %	17.07 %
	Montgomery	745,671	25,751	25,751	3.45 %	100.00 %
	Moore	745,671	99,727	77,465	10.39 %	77.68 %
	Richmond	745,671	42,946	42,946	5.76 %	100.00 %
	Scotland	745,671	34,174	34,174	4.58 %	100.00 %
	Stanly	745,671	62,504	62,504	8.38 %	100.00 %
	Union	745,671	238,267	238,267	31.95 %	100.00 %
7	Chatham	745,670	76,285	76,285	10.23 %	100.00 %
	Davidson	745,670	168,930	168,930	22.65 %	100.00 %
	Davie	745,670	42,712	42,712	5.73 %	100.00 %
	Forsyth	745,670	382,590	52,176	7.00 %	13.64 %
	Guilford	745,670	541,299	84,441	11.32 %	15.60 %
	Lee	745,670	63,285	63,285	8.49 %	100.00 %
	Moore	745,670	99,727	22,262	2.99 %	22.32 %
	Randolph	745,670	144,171	144,171	19.33 %	100.00 %
	Rowan	745,670	146,875	91,408	12.26 %	62.24 %
8	Alamance	745,670	171,415	171,415	22.99 %	100.00 %
	Guilford	745,670	541,299	456,858	61.27 %	84.40 %
	Orange	745,670	148,696	117,397	15.74 %	78.95 %
9	Durham	745,671	324,833	324,833	43.56 %	100.00 %
	Orange	745,671	148,696	31,299	4.20 %	21.05 %
	Person	745,671	39,097	5,800	0.78 %	14.83 %
	Wake	745,671	1,129,410	383,739	51.46 %	33.98 %
10	Wake	745,671	1,129,410	745,671	100.00 %	66.02 %

District - County Report

District Plan: CBA-2

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
11	Bertie	745,670	17,934	17,934	2.41 %	100.00 %
	Camden	745,670	10,355	10,355	1.39 %	100.00 %
	Caswell	745,670	22,736	22,736	3.05 %	100.00 %
	Chowan	745,670	13,708	13,708	1.84 %	100.00 %
	Currituck	745,670	28,100	28,100	3.77 %	100.00 %
	Edgecombe	745,670	48,900	48,900	6.56 %	100.00 %
	Franklin	745,670	68,573	68,573	9.20 %	100.00 %
	Gates	745,670	10,478	10,478	1.41 %	100.00 %
	Granville	745,670	60,992	60,992	8.18 %	100.00 %
	Greene	745,670	20,451	20,451	2.74 %	100.00 %
	Halifax	745,670	48,622	48,622	6.52 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	745,670	21,552	21,552	2.89 %	100.00 %
	Martin	745,670	22,031	22,031	2.95 %	100.00 %
	Nash	745,670	94,970	94,970	12.74 %	100.00 %
	Northampton	745,670	17,471	17,471	2.34 %	100.00 %
	Pasquotank	745,670	40,568	40,568	5.44 %	100.00 %
	Perquimans	745,670	13,005	13,005	1.74 %	100.00 %
	Person	745,670	39,097	33,297	4.47 %	85.17 %
	Pitt	745,670	170,243	920	0.12 %	0.54 %
	Vance	745,670	42,578	42,578	5.71 %	100.00 %
Warren	745,670	18,642	18,642	2.50 %	100.00 %	
Washington	745,670	11,003	11,003	1.48 %	100.00 %	
Wilson	745,670	78,784	78,784	10.57 %	100.00 %	
12	Beaufort	745,670	44,652	44,652	5.99 %	100.00 %
	Carteret	745,670	67,686	67,686	9.08 %	100.00 %
	Craven	745,670	100,720	100,720	13.51 %	100.00 %
	Dare	745,670	36,915	36,915	4.95 %	100.00 %
	Duplin	745,670	48,715	37,394	5.01 %	76.76 %
	Hyde	745,670	4,589	4,589	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Jones	745,670	9,172	9,172	1.23 %	100.00 %
	Lenoir	745,670	55,122	55,122	7.39 %	100.00 %
	Onslow	745,670	204,576	204,576	27.44 %	100.00 %
	Pamlico	745,670	12,276	12,276	1.65 %	100.00 %
	Pitt	745,670	170,243	169,323	22.71 %	99.46 %
	Tyrrell	745,670	3,245	3,245	0.44 %	100.00 %
13	Cumberland	745,671	334,728	278,771	37.39 %	83.28 %
	Harnett	745,671	133,568	133,568	17.91 %	100.00 %
	Johnston	745,671	215,999	215,999	28.97 %	100.00 %
	Wayne	745,671	117,333	117,333	15.74 %	100.00 %

District - County Report

District Plan: CBA-2

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
14	Bladen	745,671	29,606	29,606	3.97 %	100.00 %
	Brunswick	745,671	136,693	136,693	18.33 %	100.00 %
	Columbus	745,671	50,623	50,623	6.79 %	100.00 %
	Cumberland	745,671	334,728	55,957	7.50 %	16.72 %
	Duplin	745,671	48,715	11,321	1.52 %	23.24 %
	New Hanover	745,671	225,702	225,702	30.27 %	100.00 %
	Pender	745,671	60,203	60,203	8.07 %	100.00 %
	Robeson	745,671	116,530	116,530	15.63 %	100.00 %
	Sampson	745,671	59,036	59,036	7.92 %	100.00 %
Total:				10,439,388		

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Aberdeen	6	8,516	745,671	8,516	100.00 %	1.14 %
Ahoskie	11	4,891	745,670	4,891	100.00 %	0.66 %
Alamance	8	988	745,670	988	100.00 %	0.13 %
Albemarle	6	16,432	745,671	16,432	100.00 %	2.20 %
Alliance	12	733	745,670	733	100.00 %	0.10 %
Andrews	1	1,667	745,671	1,667	100.00 %	0.22 %
Angier	9	5,265	745,671	556	10.56 %	0.07 %
	13	5,265	745,671	4,709	89.44 %	0.63 %
Ansonville	6	440	745,671	440	100.00 %	0.06 %
Apex	9	58,780	745,671	58,780	100.00 %	7.88 %
Arapahoe	12	416	745,670	416	100.00 %	0.06 %
Archdale	7	11,907	745,670	11,657	97.90 %	1.56 %
	8	11,907	745,670	250	2.10 %	0.03 %
Archer Lodge	13	4,797	745,671	4,797	100.00 %	0.64 %
Asheboro	7	27,156	745,670	27,156	100.00 %	3.64 %
Asheville	1	94,589	745,671	94,589	100.00 %	12.69 %
Askewville	11	184	745,670	184	100.00 %	0.02 %
Atkinson	14	296	745,671	296	100.00 %	0.04 %
Atlantic Beach	12	1,364	745,670	1,364	100.00 %	0.18 %
Aulander	11	763	745,670	763	100.00 %	0.10 %
Aurora	12	455	745,670	455	100.00 %	0.06 %
Autryville	14	167	745,671	167	100.00 %	0.02 %
Ayden	12	4,977	745,670	4,977	100.00 %	0.67 %
Badin	6	2,024	745,671	2,024	100.00 %	0.27 %
Bailey	11	568	745,670	568	100.00 %	0.08 %
Bakersville	1	450	745,671	450	100.00 %	0.06 %
Bald Head Island	14	268	745,671	268	100.00 %	0.04 %
Banner Elk	4	1,049	745,671	1,049	100.00 %	0.14 %
Bath	12	245	745,670	245	100.00 %	0.03 %
Bayboro	12	1,161	745,670	1,161	100.00 %	0.16 %
Bear Grass	11	89	745,670	89	100.00 %	0.01 %
Beaufort	12	4,464	745,670	4,464	100.00 %	0.60 %
Beech Mountain	4	675	745,671	675	100.00 %	0.09 %
Belhaven	12	1,410	745,670	1,410	100.00 %	0.19 %
Belmont	2	15,010	745,670	15,010	100.00 %	2.01 %
Belville	14	2,406	745,671	2,406	100.00 %	0.32 %
Belwood	2	857	745,670	857	100.00 %	0.11 %
Benson	13	3,967	745,671	3,967	100.00 %	0.53 %
Bermuda Run	7	3,120	745,670	3,120	100.00 %	0.42 %
Bessemer City	2	5,428	745,670	5,428	100.00 %	0.73 %
Bethania	4	344	745,671	344	100.00 %	0.05 %
Bethel	12	1,373	745,670	1,373	100.00 %	0.18 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Beulaville	12	1,116	745,670	1,116	100.00 %	0.15 %
Biltmore Forest	1	1,409	745,671	1,409	100.00 %	0.19 %
Biscoe	6	1,848	745,671	1,848	100.00 %	0.25 %
Black Creek	11	692	745,670	692	100.00 %	0.09 %
Black Mountain	1	8,426	745,671	8,426	100.00 %	1.13 %
Bladenboro	14	1,648	745,671	1,648	100.00 %	0.22 %
Blowing Rock	3	1,376	745,670	91	6.61 %	0.01 %
	4	1,376	745,671	1,285	93.39 %	0.17 %
Boardman	14	166	745,671	166	100.00 %	0.02 %
Bogue	12	695	745,670	695	100.00 %	0.09 %
Boiling Spring Lakes	14	5,943	745,671	5,943	100.00 %	0.80 %
Boiling Springs	2	4,615	745,670	4,615	100.00 %	0.62 %
Bolivia	14	149	745,671	149	100.00 %	0.02 %
Bolton	14	519	745,671	519	100.00 %	0.07 %
Boone	4	19,092	745,671	19,092	100.00 %	2.56 %
Boonville	4	1,185	745,671	1,185	100.00 %	0.16 %
Bostic	2	355	745,670	355	100.00 %	0.05 %
Brevard	1	7,744	745,671	7,744	100.00 %	1.04 %
Bridgeton	12	349	745,670	349	100.00 %	0.05 %
Broadway	7	1,267	745,670	1,267	100.00 %	0.17 %
	13	1,267	745,671	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Brookford	3	442	745,670	442	100.00 %	0.06 %
Brunswick	14	973	745,671	973	100.00 %	0.13 %
Bryson City	1	1,558	745,671	1,558	100.00 %	0.21 %
Bunn	11	327	745,670	327	100.00 %	0.04 %
Burgaw	14	3,088	745,671	3,088	100.00 %	0.41 %
Burlington	8	57,303	745,670	57,303	100.00 %	7.68 %
Burnsville	1	1,614	745,671	1,614	100.00 %	0.22 %
Butner	11	8,397	745,670	8,397	100.00 %	1.13 %
Cajah's Mountain	3	2,722	745,670	2,722	100.00 %	0.37 %
Calabash	14	2,011	745,671	2,011	100.00 %	0.27 %
Calypso	14	327	745,671	327	100.00 %	0.04 %
Cameron	7	244	745,670	244	100.00 %	0.03 %
Candor	6	813	745,671	813	100.00 %	0.11 %
	7	813	745,670	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Canton	1	4,422	745,671	4,422	100.00 %	0.59 %
Cape Carteret	12	2,224	745,670	2,224	100.00 %	0.30 %
Carolina Beach	14	6,564	745,671	6,564	100.00 %	0.88 %
Carolina Shores	14	4,588	745,671	4,588	100.00 %	0.62 %
Carrboro	8	21,295	745,670	17,399	81.70 %	2.33 %
	9	21,295	745,671	3,896	18.30 %	0.52 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Carthage	6	2,775	745,671	28	1.01 %	0.00 %
	7	2,775	745,670	2,747	98.99 %	0.37 %
Cary	7	174,721	745,670	3,709	2.12 %	0.50 %
	9	174,721	745,671	153,504	87.86 %	20.59 %
	10	174,721	745,671	17,508	10.02 %	2.35 %
Casar	2	305	745,670	305	100.00 %	0.04 %
Castalia	11	264	745,670	264	100.00 %	0.04 %
Caswell Beach	14	395	745,671	395	100.00 %	0.05 %
Catawba	3	702	745,670	702	100.00 %	0.09 %
Cedar Point	12	1,764	745,670	1,764	100.00 %	0.24 %
Cedar Rock	3	301	745,670	301	100.00 %	0.04 %
Cerro Gordo	14	131	745,671	131	100.00 %	0.02 %
Chadbourn	14	1,574	745,671	1,574	100.00 %	0.21 %
Chapel Hill	8	61,960	745,670	32,801	52.94 %	4.40 %
	9	61,960	745,671	29,159	47.06 %	3.91 %
Charlotte	2	874,579	745,670	58,689	6.71 %	7.87 %
	5	874,579	745,671	689,005	78.78 %	92.40 %
	6	874,579	745,671	126,885	14.51 %	17.02 %
Cherryville	2	6,078	745,670	6,078	100.00 %	0.82 %
Chimney Rock Village	2	140	745,670	140	100.00 %	0.02 %
China Grove	3	4,434	745,670	4,434	100.00 %	0.59 %
Chocowinity	12	722	745,670	722	100.00 %	0.10 %
Claremont	3	1,692	745,670	1,692	100.00 %	0.23 %
Clarkton	14	614	745,671	614	100.00 %	0.08 %
Clayton	10	26,307	745,671	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	13	26,307	745,671	26,307	100.00 %	3.53 %
Clemmons	7	21,163	745,670	21,163	100.00 %	2.84 %
Cleveland	3	846	745,670	846	100.00 %	0.11 %
Clinton	14	8,383	745,671	8,383	100.00 %	1.12 %
Clyde	1	1,368	745,671	1,368	100.00 %	0.18 %
Coats	13	2,155	745,671	2,155	100.00 %	0.29 %
Cofield	11	267	745,670	267	100.00 %	0.04 %
Colerain	11	217	745,670	217	100.00 %	0.03 %
Columbia	12	610	745,670	610	100.00 %	0.08 %
Columbus	1	1,060	745,671	1,060	100.00 %	0.14 %
Como	11	67	745,670	67	100.00 %	0.01 %
Concord	3	105,240	745,670	105,240	100.00 %	14.11 %
Conetoe	11	198	745,670	198	100.00 %	0.03 %
Connelly Springs	2	1,529	745,670	1,529	100.00 %	0.21 %
Conover	3	8,421	745,670	8,421	100.00 %	1.13 %
Conway	11	752	745,670	752	100.00 %	0.10 %
Cooleemee	7	940	745,670	940	100.00 %	0.13 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Cornelius	2	31,412	745,670	31,412	100.00 %	4.21 %
Cove City	12	378	745,670	378	100.00 %	0.05 %
Cramerton	2	5,296	745,670	5,296	100.00 %	0.71 %
Creedmoor	11	4,866	745,670	4,866	100.00 %	0.65 %
Creswell	11	207	745,670	207	100.00 %	0.03 %
Crossnore	4	143	745,671	143	100.00 %	0.02 %
Dallas	2	5,927	745,670	5,927	100.00 %	0.79 %
Danbury	4	189	745,671	189	100.00 %	0.03 %
Davidson	2	15,106	745,670	14,728	97.50 %	1.98 %
	3	15,106	745,670	378	2.50 %	0.05 %
Dellview	2	6	745,670	6	100.00 %	0.00 %
Denton	7	1,494	745,670	1,494	100.00 %	0.20 %
Dillsboro	1	213	745,671	213	100.00 %	0.03 %
Dobbins Heights	6	687	745,671	687	100.00 %	0.09 %
Dobson	4	1,462	745,671	1,462	100.00 %	0.20 %
Dortches	11	1,082	745,670	1,082	100.00 %	0.15 %
Dover	12	349	745,670	349	100.00 %	0.05 %
Drexel	2	1,760	745,670	1,760	100.00 %	0.24 %
Dublin	14	267	745,671	267	100.00 %	0.04 %
Duck	12	742	745,670	742	100.00 %	0.10 %
Dunn	13	8,446	745,671	8,446	100.00 %	1.13 %
Durham	8	283,506	745,670	144	0.05 %	0.02 %
	9	283,506	745,671	283,093	99.85 %	37.96 %
	10	283,506	745,671	269	0.09 %	0.04 %
Earl	2	198	745,670	198	100.00 %	0.03 %
East Arcadia	14	418	745,671	418	100.00 %	0.06 %
East Bend	4	634	745,671	634	100.00 %	0.09 %
East Laurinburg	6	234	745,671	234	100.00 %	0.03 %
Eastover	13	3,656	745,671	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	14	3,656	745,671	3,656	100.00 %	0.49 %
East Spencer	7	1,567	745,670	1,567	100.00 %	0.21 %
Eden	4	15,421	745,671	15,421	100.00 %	2.07 %
Edenton	11	4,460	745,670	4,460	100.00 %	0.60 %
Elizabeth City	11	18,631	745,670	18,631	100.00 %	2.50 %
Elizabethtown	14	3,296	745,671	3,296	100.00 %	0.44 %
Elkin	4	4,122	745,671	4,122	100.00 %	0.55 %
Elk Park	4	542	745,671	542	100.00 %	0.07 %
Ellenboro	2	723	745,670	723	100.00 %	0.10 %
Ellerbe	6	864	745,671	864	100.00 %	0.12 %
Elm City	11	1,218	745,670	1,218	100.00 %	0.16 %
Elon	8	11,336	745,670	11,336	100.00 %	1.52 %
Emerald Isle	12	3,847	745,670	3,847	100.00 %	0.52 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Enfield	11	1,865	745,670	1,865	100.00 %	0.25 %
Erwin	13	4,542	745,671	4,542	100.00 %	0.61 %
Eureka	13	214	745,671	214	100.00 %	0.03 %
Everetts	11	150	745,670	150	100.00 %	0.02 %
Fair Bluff	14	709	745,671	709	100.00 %	0.10 %
Fairmont	14	2,191	745,671	2,191	100.00 %	0.29 %
Fairview	6	3,456	745,671	3,456	100.00 %	0.46 %
Faison	14	784	745,671	784	100.00 %	0.11 %
Faith	7	819	745,670	819	100.00 %	0.11 %
Falcon	13	324	745,671	324	100.00 %	0.04 %
	14	324	745,671	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Falkland	12	47	745,670	47	100.00 %	0.01 %
Fallston	2	627	745,670	627	100.00 %	0.08 %
Farmville	12	4,461	745,670	4,461	100.00 %	0.60 %
Fayetteville	13	208,501	745,671	205,005	98.32 %	27.49 %
	14	208,501	745,671	3,496	1.68 %	0.47 %
Flat Rock	1	3,486	745,671	3,486	100.00 %	0.47 %
Fletcher	1	7,987	745,671	7,987	100.00 %	1.07 %
Fontana Dam	1	13	745,671	13	100.00 %	0.00 %
Forest City	2	7,377	745,670	7,377	100.00 %	0.99 %
Forest Hills	1	303	745,671	303	100.00 %	0.04 %
Fountain	11	385	745,670	385	100.00 %	0.05 %
Four Oaks	13	2,158	745,671	2,158	100.00 %	0.29 %
Foxfire	6	1,288	745,671	1,288	100.00 %	0.17 %
Franklin	1	4,175	745,671	4,175	100.00 %	0.56 %
Franklinton	11	2,456	745,670	2,456	100.00 %	0.33 %
Franklinville	7	1,197	745,670	1,197	100.00 %	0.16 %
Fremont	13	1,196	745,671	1,196	100.00 %	0.16 %
Fuquay-Varina	9	34,152	745,671	33,642	98.51 %	4.51 %
	10	34,152	745,671	510	1.49 %	0.07 %
	13	34,152	745,671	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Gamewell	3	3,702	745,670	3,702	100.00 %	0.50 %
Garland	14	595	745,671	595	100.00 %	0.08 %
Garner	9	31,159	745,671	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	10	31,159	745,671	31,159	100.00 %	4.18 %
Garysburg	11	904	745,670	904	100.00 %	0.12 %
Gaston	11	1,008	745,670	1,008	100.00 %	0.14 %
Gastonia	2	80,411	745,670	80,411	100.00 %	10.78 %
Gatesville	11	267	745,670	267	100.00 %	0.04 %
Gibson	6	449	745,671	449	100.00 %	0.06 %
Gibsonville	8	8,920	745,670	8,920	100.00 %	1.20 %
Glen Alpine	2	1,529	745,670	1,529	100.00 %	0.21 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Godwin	13	128	745,671	128	100.00 %	0.02 %
Goldsboro	13	33,657	745,671	33,657	100.00 %	4.51 %
Goldston	7	234	745,670	234	100.00 %	0.03 %
Graham	8	17,157	745,670	17,157	100.00 %	2.30 %
Grandfather Village	4	95	745,671	95	100.00 %	0.01 %
Granite Falls	3	4,965	745,670	4,965	100.00 %	0.67 %
Granite Quarry	7	2,984	745,670	2,984	100.00 %	0.40 %
Grantsboro	12	692	745,670	692	100.00 %	0.09 %
Greenevers	12	567	745,670	567	100.00 %	0.08 %
Green Level	8	3,152	745,670	3,152	100.00 %	0.42 %
Greensboro	8	299,035	745,670	299,035	100.00 %	40.10 %
Greenville	12	87,521	745,670	87,521	100.00 %	11.74 %
Gritton	12	2,448	745,670	2,448	100.00 %	0.33 %
Grimesland	12	386	745,670	386	100.00 %	0.05 %
Grover	2	802	745,670	802	100.00 %	0.11 %
Halifax	11	170	745,670	170	100.00 %	0.02 %
Hamilton	11	306	745,670	306	100.00 %	0.04 %
Hamlet	6	6,025	745,671	6,025	100.00 %	0.81 %
Harmony	3	543	745,670	543	100.00 %	0.07 %
Harrells	12	160	745,670	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	14	160	745,671	160	100.00 %	0.02 %
Harrellsville	11	85	745,670	85	100.00 %	0.01 %
Harrisburg	3	18,967	745,670	18,967	100.00 %	2.54 %
Hassell	11	49	745,670	49	100.00 %	0.01 %
Havelock	12	16,621	745,670	16,621	100.00 %	2.23 %
Haw River	8	2,252	745,670	2,252	100.00 %	0.30 %
Hayesville	1	461	745,671	461	100.00 %	0.06 %
Hemby Bridge	6	1,614	745,671	1,614	100.00 %	0.22 %
Henderson	11	15,060	745,670	15,060	100.00 %	2.02 %
Hendersonville	1	15,137	745,671	15,137	100.00 %	2.03 %
Hertford	11	1,934	745,670	1,934	100.00 %	0.26 %
Hickory	2	43,490	745,670	79	0.18 %	0.01 %
	3	43,490	745,670	43,411	99.82 %	5.82 %
Highlands	1	1,072	745,671	1,072	100.00 %	0.14 %
High Point	4	114,059	745,671	84	0.07 %	0.01 %
	7	114,059	745,670	90,332	79.20 %	12.11 %
	8	114,059	745,670	23,643	20.73 %	3.17 %
High Shoals	2	595	745,670	595	100.00 %	0.08 %
Hildebran	2	1,679	745,670	1,679	100.00 %	0.23 %
Hillsborough	8	9,660	745,670	9,660	100.00 %	1.30 %
Hobgood	11	268	745,670	268	100.00 %	0.04 %
Hoffman	6	418	745,671	418	100.00 %	0.06 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Holden Beach	14	921	745,671	921	100.00 %	0.12 %
Holly Ridge	12	4,171	745,670	4,171	100.00 %	0.56 %
Holly Springs	9	41,239	745,671	41,239	100.00 %	5.53 %
Hookerton	11	413	745,670	413	100.00 %	0.06 %
Hope Mills	13	17,808	745,671	15,900	89.29 %	2.13 %
	14	17,808	745,671	1,908	10.71 %	0.26 %
Hot Springs	1	520	745,671	520	100.00 %	0.07 %
Hudson	3	3,780	745,670	3,780	100.00 %	0.51 %
Huntersville	2	61,376	745,670	61,376	100.00 %	8.23 %
Indian Beach	12	223	745,670	223	100.00 %	0.03 %
Indian Trail	6	39,997	745,671	39,997	100.00 %	5.36 %
Jackson	11	430	745,670	430	100.00 %	0.06 %
Jacksonville	12	72,723	745,670	72,723	100.00 %	9.75 %
Jamestown	7	3,668	745,670	36	0.98 %	0.00 %
	8	3,668	745,670	3,632	99.02 %	0.49 %
Jamesville	11	424	745,670	424	100.00 %	0.06 %
Jefferson	4	1,622	745,671	1,622	100.00 %	0.22 %
Jonesville	4	2,308	745,671	2,308	100.00 %	0.31 %
Kannapolis	3	53,114	745,670	53,114	100.00 %	7.12 %
Kelford	11	203	745,670	203	100.00 %	0.03 %
Kenansville	12	770	745,670	770	100.00 %	0.10 %
Kenly	11	1,491	745,670	198	13.28 %	0.03 %
	13	1,491	745,671	1,293	86.72 %	0.17 %
Kernersville	4	26,449	745,671	25,947	98.10 %	3.48 %
	8	26,449	745,670	502	1.90 %	0.07 %
Kill Devil Hills	12	7,656	745,670	7,656	100.00 %	1.03 %
King	4	7,197	745,671	7,197	100.00 %	0.97 %
Kings Mountain	2	11,142	745,670	11,142	100.00 %	1.49 %
Kingstown	2	656	745,670	656	100.00 %	0.09 %
Kinston	12	19,900	745,670	19,900	100.00 %	2.67 %
Kittrell	11	132	745,670	132	100.00 %	0.02 %
Kitty Hawk	12	3,689	745,670	3,689	100.00 %	0.49 %
Knightdale	10	19,435	745,671	19,435	100.00 %	2.61 %
Kure Beach	14	2,191	745,671	2,191	100.00 %	0.29 %
La Grange	12	2,595	745,670	2,595	100.00 %	0.35 %
Lake Lure	2	1,365	745,670	1,365	100.00 %	0.18 %
Lake Park	6	3,269	745,671	3,269	100.00 %	0.44 %
Lake Santeetlah	1	38	745,671	38	100.00 %	0.01 %
Lake Waccamaw	14	1,296	745,671	1,296	100.00 %	0.17 %
Landis	3	3,690	745,670	3,690	100.00 %	0.49 %
Lansing	4	126	745,671	126	100.00 %	0.02 %
Lasker	11	64	745,670	64	100.00 %	0.01 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Lattimore	2	406	745,670	406	100.00 %	0.05 %
Laurel Park	1	2,250	745,671	2,250	100.00 %	0.30 %
Laurinburg	6	14,978	745,671	14,978	100.00 %	2.01 %
Lawndale	2	570	745,670	570	100.00 %	0.08 %
Leggett	11	37	745,670	37	100.00 %	0.00 %
Leland	14	22,908	745,671	22,908	100.00 %	3.07 %
Lenoir	3	18,352	745,670	18,352	100.00 %	2.46 %
Lewiston Woodville	11	426	745,670	426	100.00 %	0.06 %
Lewisville	4	13,381	745,671	1,653	12.35 %	0.22 %
	7	13,381	745,670	11,728	87.65 %	1.57 %
Lexington	7	19,632	745,670	19,632	100.00 %	2.63 %
Liberty	7	2,655	745,670	2,655	100.00 %	0.36 %
Lilesville	6	395	745,671	395	100.00 %	0.05 %
Lillington	13	4,735	745,671	4,735	100.00 %	0.63 %
Lincolnton	2	11,091	745,670	11,091	100.00 %	1.49 %
Linden	13	136	745,671	136	100.00 %	0.02 %
Littleton	11	559	745,670	559	100.00 %	0.07 %
Locust	3	4,537	745,670	541	11.92 %	0.07 %
	6	4,537	745,671	3,996	88.08 %	0.54 %
Long View	2	5,088	745,670	735	14.45 %	0.10 %
	3	5,088	745,670	4,353	85.55 %	0.58 %
Louisburg	11	3,064	745,670	3,064	100.00 %	0.41 %
Love Valley	3	154	745,670	154	100.00 %	0.02 %
Lowell	2	3,654	745,670	3,654	100.00 %	0.49 %
Lucama	11	1,036	745,670	1,036	100.00 %	0.14 %
Lumber Bridge	14	82	745,671	82	100.00 %	0.01 %
Lumberton	14	19,025	745,671	19,025	100.00 %	2.55 %
McAdenville	2	890	745,670	890	100.00 %	0.12 %
Macclesfield	11	413	745,670	413	100.00 %	0.06 %
McDonald	14	94	745,671	94	100.00 %	0.01 %
McFarlan	6	94	745,671	94	100.00 %	0.01 %
Macon	11	110	745,670	110	100.00 %	0.01 %
Madison	4	2,129	745,671	2,129	100.00 %	0.29 %
Maggie Valley	1	1,687	745,671	1,687	100.00 %	0.23 %
Magnolia	12	831	745,670	1	0.12 %	0.00 %
	14	831	745,671	830	99.88 %	0.11 %
Maiden	2	3,736	745,670	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	3	3,736	745,670	3,736	100.00 %	0.50 %
Manteo	12	1,600	745,670	1,600	100.00 %	0.21 %
Marietta	14	111	745,671	111	100.00 %	0.01 %
Marion	1	7,717	745,671	7,717	100.00 %	1.03 %
Marshall	1	777	745,671	777	100.00 %	0.10 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Mars Hill	1	2,007	745,671	2,007	100.00 %	0.27 %
Marshville	6	2,522	745,671	2,522	100.00 %	0.34 %
Marvin	6	6,358	745,671	6,358	100.00 %	0.85 %
Matthews	6	29,435	745,671	29,435	100.00 %	3.95 %
Maxton	6	2,110	745,671	208	9.86 %	0.03 %
	14	2,110	745,671	1,902	90.14 %	0.26 %
Mayodan	4	2,418	745,671	2,418	100.00 %	0.32 %
Maysville	12	818	745,670	818	100.00 %	0.11 %
Mebane	8	17,797	745,670	17,797	100.00 %	2.39 %
Mesic	12	144	745,670	144	100.00 %	0.02 %
Micro	13	458	745,671	458	100.00 %	0.06 %
Middleburg	11	101	745,670	101	100.00 %	0.01 %
Middlesex	11	912	745,670	912	100.00 %	0.12 %
Midland	3	4,684	745,670	4,684	100.00 %	0.63 %
	6	4,684	745,671	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Midway	7	4,742	745,670	4,742	100.00 %	0.64 %
Mills River	1	7,078	745,671	7,078	100.00 %	0.95 %
Milton	11	155	745,670	155	100.00 %	0.02 %
Mineral Springs	6	3,159	745,671	3,159	100.00 %	0.42 %
Minnesott Beach	12	530	745,670	530	100.00 %	0.07 %
Mint Hill	5	26,450	745,671	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	6	26,450	745,671	26,450	100.00 %	3.55 %
Misenheimer	6	650	745,671	650	100.00 %	0.09 %
Mocksville	7	5,900	745,670	5,900	100.00 %	0.79 %
Momeyer	11	277	745,670	277	100.00 %	0.04 %
Monroe	6	34,562	745,671	34,562	100.00 %	4.64 %
Montreat	1	901	745,671	901	100.00 %	0.12 %
Mooresboro	2	293	745,670	293	100.00 %	0.04 %
Mooresville	3	50,193	745,670	50,193	100.00 %	6.73 %
Morehead City	12	9,556	745,670	9,556	100.00 %	1.28 %
Morganton	2	17,474	745,670	17,474	100.00 %	2.34 %
Morrisville	9	29,630	745,671	29,630	100.00 %	3.97 %
Morven	6	329	745,671	329	100.00 %	0.04 %
Mount Airy	4	10,676	745,671	10,676	100.00 %	1.43 %
Mount Gilead	6	1,171	745,671	1,171	100.00 %	0.16 %
Mount Holly	2	17,703	745,670	17,703	100.00 %	2.37 %
Mount Olive	13	4,198	745,671	4,193	99.88 %	0.56 %
	14	4,198	745,671	5	0.12 %	0.00 %
Mount Pleasant	3	1,671	745,670	1,671	100.00 %	0.22 %
Murfreesboro	11	2,619	745,670	2,619	100.00 %	0.35 %
Murphy	1	1,608	745,671	1,608	100.00 %	0.22 %
Nags Head	12	3,168	745,670	3,168	100.00 %	0.42 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Nashville	11	5,632	745,670	5,632	100.00 %	0.76 %
Navassa	14	1,367	745,671	1,367	100.00 %	0.18 %
New Bern	12	31,291	745,670	31,291	100.00 %	4.20 %
Newland	4	715	745,671	715	100.00 %	0.10 %
New London	6	607	745,671	607	100.00 %	0.08 %
Newport	12	4,364	745,670	4,364	100.00 %	0.59 %
Newton	3	13,148	745,670	13,148	100.00 %	1.76 %
Newton Grove	14	585	745,671	585	100.00 %	0.08 %
Norlina	11	920	745,670	920	100.00 %	0.12 %
Norman	6	100	745,671	100	100.00 %	0.01 %
North Topsail Beach	12	1,005	745,670	1,005	100.00 %	0.13 %
Northwest	14	703	745,671	703	100.00 %	0.09 %
North Wilkesboro	4	4,382	745,671	4,382	100.00 %	0.59 %
Norwood	6	2,367	745,671	2,367	100.00 %	0.32 %
Oakboro	6	2,128	745,671	2,128	100.00 %	0.29 %
Oak City	11	266	745,670	266	100.00 %	0.04 %
Oak Island	14	8,396	745,671	8,396	100.00 %	1.13 %
Oak Ridge	8	7,474	745,670	7,474	100.00 %	1.00 %
Ocean Isle Beach	14	867	745,671	867	100.00 %	0.12 %
Old Fort	1	811	745,671	811	100.00 %	0.11 %
Oriental	12	880	745,670	880	100.00 %	0.12 %
Orrum	14	59	745,671	59	100.00 %	0.01 %
Ossipee	8	536	745,670	536	100.00 %	0.07 %
Oxford	11	8,628	745,670	8,628	100.00 %	1.16 %
Pantego	12	164	745,670	164	100.00 %	0.02 %
Parkton	14	504	745,671	504	100.00 %	0.07 %
Parmele	11	243	745,670	243	100.00 %	0.03 %
Patterson Springs	2	571	745,670	571	100.00 %	0.08 %
Peachland	6	390	745,671	390	100.00 %	0.05 %
Peletier	12	769	745,670	769	100.00 %	0.10 %
Pembroke	14	2,823	745,671	2,823	100.00 %	0.38 %
Pikeville	13	712	745,671	712	100.00 %	0.10 %
Pilot Mountain	4	1,440	745,671	1,440	100.00 %	0.19 %
Pinebluff	6	1,473	745,671	1,473	100.00 %	0.20 %
Pinehurst	6	17,581	745,671	17,581	100.00 %	2.36 %
Pine Knoll Shores	12	1,388	745,670	1,388	100.00 %	0.19 %
Pine Level	13	2,046	745,671	2,046	100.00 %	0.27 %
Pinetops	11	1,200	745,670	1,200	100.00 %	0.16 %
Pineville	5	10,602	745,671	10,602	100.00 %	1.42 %
Pink Hill	12	451	745,670	451	100.00 %	0.06 %
Pittsboro	7	4,537	745,670	4,537	100.00 %	0.61 %
Pleasant Garden	8	5,000	745,670	5,000	100.00 %	0.67 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Plymouth	11	3,320	745,670	3,320	100.00 %	0.45 %
Polkton	6	2,250	745,671	2,250	100.00 %	0.30 %
Polkville	2	516	745,670	516	100.00 %	0.07 %
Pollocksville	12	268	745,670	268	100.00 %	0.04 %
Powellsville	11	189	745,670	189	100.00 %	0.03 %
Princeton	13	1,315	745,671	1,315	100.00 %	0.18 %
Princeville	11	1,254	745,670	1,254	100.00 %	0.17 %
Proctorville	14	121	745,671	121	100.00 %	0.02 %
Raeford	6	4,559	745,671	4,559	100.00 %	0.61 %
Raleigh	9	467,665	745,671	14,203	3.04 %	1.90 %
	10	467,665	745,671	453,462	96.96 %	60.81 %
Ramseur	7	1,774	745,670	1,774	100.00 %	0.24 %
Randleman	7	4,595	745,670	4,595	100.00 %	0.62 %
Ranlo	2	4,511	745,670	4,511	100.00 %	0.60 %
Raynham	14	60	745,671	60	100.00 %	0.01 %
Red Cross	6	762	745,671	762	100.00 %	0.10 %
Red Oak	11	3,342	745,670	3,342	100.00 %	0.45 %
Red Springs	6	3,087	745,671	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	14	3,087	745,671	3,087	100.00 %	0.41 %
Reidsville	4	14,583	745,671	14,583	100.00 %	1.96 %
Rennert	14	275	745,671	275	100.00 %	0.04 %
Rhodhiss	2	997	745,670	639	64.09 %	0.09 %
	3	997	745,670	358	35.91 %	0.05 %
Richfield	6	582	745,671	582	100.00 %	0.08 %
Richlands	12	2,287	745,670	2,287	100.00 %	0.31 %
Rich Square	11	894	745,670	894	100.00 %	0.12 %
River Bend	12	2,902	745,670	2,902	100.00 %	0.39 %
Roanoke Rapids	11	15,229	745,670	15,229	100.00 %	2.04 %
Robbins	7	1,168	745,670	1,168	100.00 %	0.16 %
Robbinsville	1	597	745,671	597	100.00 %	0.08 %
Robersonville	11	1,269	745,670	1,269	100.00 %	0.17 %
Rockingham	6	9,243	745,671	9,243	100.00 %	1.24 %
Rockwell	7	2,302	745,670	2,302	100.00 %	0.31 %
Rocky Mount	11	54,341	745,670	54,341	100.00 %	7.29 %
Rolesville	10	9,475	745,671	9,475	100.00 %	1.27 %
Ronda	4	438	745,671	438	100.00 %	0.06 %
Roper	11	485	745,670	485	100.00 %	0.07 %
Roseboro	14	1,163	745,671	1,163	100.00 %	0.16 %
Rose Hill	12	1,371	745,670	1,371	100.00 %	0.18 %
Rosman	1	701	745,671	701	100.00 %	0.09 %
Rowland	14	885	745,671	885	100.00 %	0.12 %
Roxboro	11	8,134	745,670	8,134	100.00 %	1.09 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Roxobel	11	187	745,670	187	100.00 %	0.03 %
Rural Hall	4	3,351	745,671	3,351	100.00 %	0.45 %
Ruth	2	347	745,670	347	100.00 %	0.05 %
Rutherford College	2	1,226	745,670	1,226	100.00 %	0.16 %
	3	1,226	745,670	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Rutherfordton	2	3,640	745,670	3,640	100.00 %	0.49 %
St. Helena	14	417	745,671	417	100.00 %	0.06 %
St. James	14	6,529	745,671	6,529	100.00 %	0.88 %
St. Pauls	14	2,045	745,671	2,045	100.00 %	0.27 %
Salemburg	14	457	745,671	457	100.00 %	0.06 %
Salisbury	7	35,540	745,670	35,540	100.00 %	4.77 %
Saluda	1	631	745,671	631	100.00 %	0.08 %
Sandy Creek	14	248	745,671	248	100.00 %	0.03 %
Sandyfield	14	430	745,671	430	100.00 %	0.06 %
Sanford	7	30,261	745,670	30,261	100.00 %	4.06 %
Saratoga	11	353	745,670	353	100.00 %	0.05 %
Sawmills	3	5,020	745,670	5,020	100.00 %	0.67 %
Scotland Neck	11	1,640	745,670	1,640	100.00 %	0.22 %
Seaboard	11	542	745,670	542	100.00 %	0.07 %
Seagrove	7	235	745,670	235	100.00 %	0.03 %
Sedalia	8	676	745,670	676	100.00 %	0.09 %
Selma	13	6,317	745,671	6,317	100.00 %	0.85 %
Seven Devils	4	313	745,671	313	100.00 %	0.04 %
Seven Springs	13	55	745,671	55	100.00 %	0.01 %
Severn	11	191	745,670	191	100.00 %	0.03 %
Shallotte	14	4,185	745,671	4,185	100.00 %	0.56 %
Sharpsburg	11	1,697	745,670	1,697	100.00 %	0.23 %
Shelby	2	21,918	745,670	21,918	100.00 %	2.94 %
Siler City	7	7,702	745,670	7,702	100.00 %	1.03 %
Simpson	12	390	745,670	390	100.00 %	0.05 %
Sims	11	275	745,670	275	100.00 %	0.04 %
Smithfield	13	11,292	745,671	11,292	100.00 %	1.51 %
Snow Hill	11	1,481	745,670	1,481	100.00 %	0.20 %
Southern Pines	6	15,545	745,671	15,545	100.00 %	2.08 %
Southern Shores	12	3,090	745,670	3,090	100.00 %	0.41 %
Southport	14	3,971	745,671	3,971	100.00 %	0.53 %
Sparta	4	1,834	745,671	1,834	100.00 %	0.25 %
Speed	11	63	745,670	63	100.00 %	0.01 %
Spencer	7	3,308	745,670	3,308	100.00 %	0.44 %
Spencer Mountain	2	0	745,670	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Spindale	2	4,225	745,670	4,225	100.00 %	0.57 %
Spring Hope	11	1,309	745,670	1,309	100.00 %	0.18 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Spring Lake	13	11,660	745,671	11,660	100.00 %	1.56 %
Spruce Pine	1	2,194	745,671	2,194	100.00 %	0.29 %
Staley	7	397	745,670	397	100.00 %	0.05 %
Stallings	6	16,112	745,671	16,112	100.00 %	2.16 %
Stanfield	6	1,585	745,671	1,585	100.00 %	0.21 %
Stanley	2	3,963	745,670	3,963	100.00 %	0.53 %
Stantonsburg	11	762	745,670	762	100.00 %	0.10 %
Star	6	806	745,671	806	100.00 %	0.11 %
Statesville	3	28,419	745,670	28,419	100.00 %	3.81 %
Stedman	14	1,277	745,671	1,277	100.00 %	0.17 %
Stem	11	960	745,670	960	100.00 %	0.13 %
Stokesdale	8	5,924	745,670	5,924	100.00 %	0.79 %
Stoneville	4	1,308	745,671	1,308	100.00 %	0.18 %
Stonewall	12	214	745,670	214	100.00 %	0.03 %
Stovall	11	324	745,670	324	100.00 %	0.04 %
Sugar Mountain	4	371	745,671	371	100.00 %	0.05 %
Summerfield	8	10,951	745,670	10,951	100.00 %	1.47 %
Sunset Beach	14	4,175	745,671	4,175	100.00 %	0.56 %
Surf City	12	3,867	745,670	334	8.64 %	0.04 %
	14	3,867	745,671	3,533	91.36 %	0.47 %
Swansboro	12	3,744	745,670	3,744	100.00 %	0.50 %
Sweepsonville	8	2,445	745,670	2,445	100.00 %	0.33 %
Sylva	1	2,578	745,671	2,578	100.00 %	0.35 %
Tabor City	14	3,781	745,671	3,781	100.00 %	0.51 %
Tarboro	11	10,721	745,670	10,721	100.00 %	1.44 %
Tar Heel	14	90	745,671	90	100.00 %	0.01 %
Taylorsville	3	2,320	745,670	2,320	100.00 %	0.31 %
Taylortown	6	634	745,671	634	100.00 %	0.09 %
Teachey	12	448	745,670	448	100.00 %	0.06 %
Thomasville	7	27,183	745,670	27,183	100.00 %	3.65 %
Tobaccolville	4	2,578	745,671	2,578	100.00 %	0.35 %
Topsail Beach	14	461	745,671	461	100.00 %	0.06 %
Trenton	12	238	745,670	238	100.00 %	0.03 %
Trent Woods	12	4,074	745,670	4,074	100.00 %	0.55 %
Trinity	7	7,006	745,670	7,006	100.00 %	0.94 %
Troutman	3	3,698	745,670	3,698	100.00 %	0.50 %
Troy	6	2,850	745,671	2,850	100.00 %	0.38 %
Tryon	1	1,562	745,671	1,562	100.00 %	0.21 %
Turkey	14	213	745,671	213	100.00 %	0.03 %
Unionville	6	6,643	745,671	6,643	100.00 %	0.89 %
Valdese	2	4,689	745,670	4,689	100.00 %	0.63 %
Vanceboro	12	869	745,670	869	100.00 %	0.12 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Vandemere	12	246	745,670	246	100.00 %	0.03 %
Varnamtown	14	525	745,671	525	100.00 %	0.07 %
Vass	6	952	745,671	952	100.00 %	0.13 %
Waco	2	310	745,670	310	100.00 %	0.04 %
Wade	13	638	745,671	638	100.00 %	0.09 %
Wadesboro	6	5,008	745,671	5,008	100.00 %	0.67 %
Wagram	6	615	745,671	615	100.00 %	0.08 %
Wake Forest	10	47,601	745,671	46,097	96.84 %	6.18 %
	11	47,601	745,670	1,504	3.16 %	0.20 %
Walkertown	4	5,692	745,671	5,692	100.00 %	0.76 %
Wallace	12	3,413	745,670	3,413	100.00 %	0.46 %
	14	3,413	745,671	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Wallburg	7	3,051	745,670	3,051	100.00 %	0.41 %
Walnut Cove	4	1,586	745,671	1,586	100.00 %	0.21 %
Walnut Creek	13	1,084	745,671	1,084	100.00 %	0.15 %
Walstonburg	11	193	745,670	193	100.00 %	0.03 %
Warrenton	11	851	745,670	851	100.00 %	0.11 %
Warsaw	12	2,733	745,670	5	0.18 %	0.00 %
	14	2,733	745,671	2,728	99.82 %	0.37 %
Washington	12	9,875	745,670	9,875	100.00 %	1.32 %
Washington Park	12	392	745,670	392	100.00 %	0.05 %
Watha	14	181	745,671	181	100.00 %	0.02 %
Waxhaw	6	20,534	745,671	20,534	100.00 %	2.75 %
Waynesville	1	10,140	745,671	10,140	100.00 %	1.36 %
Weaverville	1	4,567	745,671	4,567	100.00 %	0.61 %
Webster	1	372	745,671	372	100.00 %	0.05 %
Weddington	6	13,181	745,671	13,181	100.00 %	1.77 %
Weldon	11	1,444	745,670	1,444	100.00 %	0.19 %
Wendell	10	9,793	745,671	9,793	100.00 %	1.31 %
Wentworth	4	2,662	745,671	2,662	100.00 %	0.36 %
Wesley Chapel	6	8,681	745,671	8,681	100.00 %	1.16 %
West Jefferson	4	1,279	745,671	1,279	100.00 %	0.17 %
Whispering Pines	6	4,987	745,671	4,987	100.00 %	0.67 %
Whitakers	11	627	745,670	627	100.00 %	0.08 %
White Lake	14	843	745,671	843	100.00 %	0.11 %
Whiteville	14	4,766	745,671	4,766	100.00 %	0.64 %
Whitsett	8	584	745,670	584	100.00 %	0.08 %
Wilkesboro	4	3,687	745,671	3,687	100.00 %	0.49 %
Williamston	11	5,248	745,670	5,248	100.00 %	0.70 %
Wilmington	14	115,451	745,671	115,451	100.00 %	15.48 %
Wilson	11	47,851	745,670	47,851	100.00 %	6.42 %
Wilson's Mills	13	2,534	745,671	2,534	100.00 %	0.34 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipality - District Report**District Plan: CBA-2**

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Windsor	11	3,582	745,670	3,582	100.00 %	0.48 %
Winfall	11	555	745,670	555	100.00 %	0.07 %
Wingate	6	4,055	745,671	4,055	100.00 %	0.54 %
Winston-Salem	4	249,545	745,671	240,018	96.18 %	32.19 %
	7	249,545	745,670	9,527	3.82 %	1.28 %
Winterville	12	10,462	745,670	10,462	100.00 %	1.40 %
Winton	11	629	745,670	629	100.00 %	0.08 %
Woodfin	1	7,936	745,671	7,936	100.00 %	1.06 %
Woodland	11	557	745,670	557	100.00 %	0.07 %
Wrightsville Beach	14	2,473	745,671	2,473	100.00 %	0.33 %
Yadkinville	4	2,995	745,671	2,995	100.00 %	0.40 %
Yanceyville	11	1,937	745,670	1,937	100.00 %	0.26 %
Youngsville	11	2,016	745,670	2,016	100.00 %	0.27 %
Zebulon	10	6,903	745,671	6,903	100.00 %	0.93 %
	13	6,903	745,671	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Total:				6,017,605		

Number of split municipalities: 44

Display: all municipalities

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Aberdeen	6	8,516	745,671	8,516	100.00 %	1.14 %
Ahoskie	11	4,891	745,670	4,891	100.00 %	0.66 %
Alamance	8	988	745,670	988	100.00 %	0.13 %
Albemarle	6	16,432	745,671	16,432	100.00 %	2.20 %
Alliance	12	733	745,670	733	100.00 %	0.10 %
Andrews	1	1,667	745,671	1,667	100.00 %	0.22 %
Angier (Harnett)	13	4,709	745,671	4,709	100.00 %	0.63 %
Angier (Wake)	9	556	745,671	556	100.00 %	0.07 %
Ansonville	6	440	745,671	440	100.00 %	0.06 %
Apex	9	58,780	745,671	58,780	100.00 %	7.88 %
Arapahoe	12	416	745,670	416	100.00 %	0.06 %
Archdale (Guilford)	7	380	745,670	130	34.21 %	0.02 %
	8	380	745,670	250	65.79 %	0.03 %
Archdale (Randolph)	7	11,527	745,670	11,527	100.00 %	1.55 %
Archer Lodge	13	4,797	745,671	4,797	100.00 %	0.64 %
Asheboro	7	27,156	745,670	27,156	100.00 %	3.64 %
Asheville	1	94,589	745,671	94,589	100.00 %	12.69 %
Askewville	11	184	745,670	184	100.00 %	0.02 %
Atkinson	14	296	745,671	296	100.00 %	0.04 %
Atlantic Beach	12	1,364	745,670	1,364	100.00 %	0.18 %
Aulander	11	763	745,670	763	100.00 %	0.10 %
Aurora	12	455	745,670	455	100.00 %	0.06 %
Autryville	14	167	745,671	167	100.00 %	0.02 %
Ayden	12	4,977	745,670	4,977	100.00 %	0.67 %
Badin	6	2,024	745,671	2,024	100.00 %	0.27 %
Bailey	11	568	745,670	568	100.00 %	0.08 %
Bakersville	1	450	745,671	450	100.00 %	0.06 %
Bald Head Island	14	268	745,671	268	100.00 %	0.04 %
Banner Elk	4	1,049	745,671	1,049	100.00 %	0.14 %
Bath	12	245	745,670	245	100.00 %	0.03 %
Bayboro	12	1,161	745,670	1,161	100.00 %	0.16 %
Bear Grass	11	89	745,670	89	100.00 %	0.01 %
Beaufort	12	4,464	745,670	4,464	100.00 %	0.60 %
Beech Mountain (Avery)	4	62	745,671	62	100.00 %	0.01 %
Beech Mountain (Watauga)	4	613	745,671	613	100.00 %	0.08 %
Belhaven	12	1,410	745,670	1,410	100.00 %	0.19 %
Belmont	2	15,010	745,670	15,010	100.00 %	2.01 %
Belville	14	2,406	745,671	2,406	100.00 %	0.32 %
Belwood	2	857	745,670	857	100.00 %	0.11 %
Benson (Harnett)	13	0	745,671	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Benson (Johnston)	13	3,967	745,671	3,967	100.00 %	0.53 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Bermuda Run	7	3,120	745,670	3,120	100.00 %	0.42 %
Bessemer City	2	5,428	745,670	5,428	100.00 %	0.73 %
Bethania	4	344	745,671	344	100.00 %	0.05 %
Bethel	12	1,373	745,670	1,373	100.00 %	0.18 %
Beulaville	12	1,116	745,670	1,116	100.00 %	0.15 %
Biltmore Forest	1	1,409	745,671	1,409	100.00 %	0.19 %
Biscoe	6	1,848	745,671	1,848	100.00 %	0.25 %
Black Creek	11	692	745,670	692	100.00 %	0.09 %
Black Mountain	1	8,426	745,671	8,426	100.00 %	1.13 %
Bladenboro	14	1,648	745,671	1,648	100.00 %	0.22 %
Blowing Rock (Caldwell)	3	91	745,670	91	100.00 %	0.01 %
Blowing Rock (Watauga)	4	1,285	745,671	1,285	100.00 %	0.17 %
Boardman	14	166	745,671	166	100.00 %	0.02 %
Bogue	12	695	745,670	695	100.00 %	0.09 %
Boiling Spring Lakes	14	5,943	745,671	5,943	100.00 %	0.80 %
Boiling Springs	2	4,615	745,670	4,615	100.00 %	0.62 %
Bolivia	14	149	745,671	149	100.00 %	0.02 %
Bolton	14	519	745,671	519	100.00 %	0.07 %
Boone	4	19,092	745,671	19,092	100.00 %	2.56 %
Boonville	4	1,185	745,671	1,185	100.00 %	0.16 %
Bostic	2	355	745,670	355	100.00 %	0.05 %
Brevard	1	7,744	745,671	7,744	100.00 %	1.04 %
Bridgeton	12	349	745,670	349	100.00 %	0.05 %
Broadway (Harnett)	13	0	745,671	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Broadway (Lee)	7	1,267	745,670	1,267	100.00 %	0.17 %
Brookford	3	442	745,670	442	100.00 %	0.06 %
Brunswick	14	973	745,671	973	100.00 %	0.13 %
Bryson City	1	1,558	745,671	1,558	100.00 %	0.21 %
Bunn	11	327	745,670	327	100.00 %	0.04 %
Burgaw	14	3,088	745,671	3,088	100.00 %	0.41 %
Burlington (Alamance)	8	55,481	745,670	55,481	100.00 %	7.44 %
Burlington (Guilford)	8	1,822	745,670	1,822	100.00 %	0.24 %
Burnsville	1	1,614	745,671	1,614	100.00 %	0.22 %
Butner	11	8,397	745,670	8,397	100.00 %	1.13 %
Cajah's Mountain	3	2,722	745,670	2,722	100.00 %	0.37 %
Calabash	14	2,011	745,671	2,011	100.00 %	0.27 %
Calypso	14	327	745,671	327	100.00 %	0.04 %
Cameron	7	244	745,670	244	100.00 %	0.03 %
Candor (Montgomery)	6	813	745,671	813	100.00 %	0.11 %
Candor (Moore)	7	0	745,670	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Canton	1	4,422	745,671	4,422	100.00 %	0.59 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Cape Carteret	12	2,224	745,670	2,224	100.00 %	0.30 %
Carolina Beach	14	6,564	745,671	6,564	100.00 %	0.88 %
Carolina Shores	14	4,588	745,671	4,588	100.00 %	0.62 %
Carrboro	8	21,295	745,670	17,399	81.70 %	2.33 %
	9	21,295	745,671	3,896	18.30 %	0.52 %
Carthage	6	2,775	745,671	28	1.01 %	0.00 %
	7	2,775	745,670	2,747	98.99 %	0.37 %
Cary (Chatham)	7	3,709	745,670	3,709	100.00 %	0.50 %
Cary (Wake)	9	171,012	745,671	153,504	89.76 %	20.59 %
	10	171,012	745,671	17,508	10.24 %	2.35 %
Casar	2	305	745,670	305	100.00 %	0.04 %
Castalia	11	264	745,670	264	100.00 %	0.04 %
Caswell Beach	14	395	745,671	395	100.00 %	0.05 %
Catawba	3	702	745,670	702	100.00 %	0.09 %
Cedar Point	12	1,764	745,670	1,764	100.00 %	0.24 %
Cedar Rock	3	301	745,670	301	100.00 %	0.04 %
Cerro Gordo	14	131	745,671	131	100.00 %	0.02 %
Chadbourn	14	1,574	745,671	1,574	100.00 %	0.21 %
Chapel Hill (Durham)	9	2,906	745,671	2,906	100.00 %	0.39 %
Chapel Hill (Orange)	8	59,054	745,670	32,801	55.54 %	4.40 %
	9	59,054	745,671	26,253	44.46 %	3.52 %
Charlotte	2	874,579	745,670	58,689	6.71 %	7.87 %
	5	874,579	745,671	689,005	78.78 %	92.40 %
	6	874,579	745,671	126,885	14.51 %	17.02 %
Cherryville	2	6,078	745,670	6,078	100.00 %	0.82 %
Chimney Rock Village	2	140	745,670	140	100.00 %	0.02 %
China Grove	3	4,434	745,670	4,434	100.00 %	0.59 %
Chocowinity	12	722	745,670	722	100.00 %	0.10 %
Claremont	3	1,692	745,670	1,692	100.00 %	0.23 %
Clarkton	14	614	745,671	614	100.00 %	0.08 %
Clayton (Johnston)	13	26,307	745,671	26,307	100.00 %	3.53 %
Clayton (Wake)	10	0	745,671	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Clemmons	7	21,163	745,670	21,163	100.00 %	2.84 %
Cleveland	3	846	745,670	846	100.00 %	0.11 %
Clinton	14	8,383	745,671	8,383	100.00 %	1.12 %
Clyde	1	1,368	745,671	1,368	100.00 %	0.18 %
Coats	13	2,155	745,671	2,155	100.00 %	0.29 %
Cofield	11	267	745,670	267	100.00 %	0.04 %
Colerain	11	217	745,670	217	100.00 %	0.03 %
Columbia	12	610	745,670	610	100.00 %	0.08 %
Columbus	1	1,060	745,671	1,060	100.00 %	0.14 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Como	11	67	745,670	67	100.00 %	0.01 %
Concord	3	105,240	745,670	105,240	100.00 %	14.11 %
Conetoe	11	198	745,670	198	100.00 %	0.03 %
Connelly Springs	2	1,529	745,670	1,529	100.00 %	0.21 %
Conover	3	8,421	745,670	8,421	100.00 %	1.13 %
Conway	11	752	745,670	752	100.00 %	0.10 %
Cooleemee	7	940	745,670	940	100.00 %	0.13 %
Cornelius	2	31,412	745,670	31,412	100.00 %	4.21 %
Cove City	12	378	745,670	378	100.00 %	0.05 %
Cramerton	2	5,296	745,670	5,296	100.00 %	0.71 %
Creedmoor	11	4,866	745,670	4,866	100.00 %	0.65 %
Creswell	11	207	745,670	207	100.00 %	0.03 %
Crossnore	4	143	745,671	143	100.00 %	0.02 %
Dallas	2	5,927	745,670	5,927	100.00 %	0.79 %
Danbury	4	189	745,671	189	100.00 %	0.03 %
Davidson (Iredell)	3	378	745,670	378	100.00 %	0.05 %
Davidson (Mecklenburg)	2	14,728	745,670	14,728	100.00 %	1.98 %
Dellview	2	6	745,670	6	100.00 %	0.00 %
Denton	7	1,494	745,670	1,494	100.00 %	0.20 %
Dillsboro	1	213	745,671	213	100.00 %	0.03 %
Dobbins Heights	6	687	745,671	687	100.00 %	0.09 %
Dobson	4	1,462	745,671	1,462	100.00 %	0.20 %
Dortches	11	1,082	745,670	1,082	100.00 %	0.15 %
Dover	12	349	745,670	349	100.00 %	0.05 %
Drexel	2	1,760	745,670	1,760	100.00 %	0.24 %
Dublin	14	267	745,671	267	100.00 %	0.04 %
Duck	12	742	745,670	742	100.00 %	0.10 %
Dunn	13	8,446	745,671	8,446	100.00 %	1.13 %
Durham (Durham)	9	283,093	745,671	283,093	100.00 %	37.96 %
Durham (Orange)	8	144	745,670	144	100.00 %	0.02 %
Durham (Wake)	9	269	745,671	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	10	269	745,671	269	100.00 %	0.04 %
Earl	2	198	745,670	198	100.00 %	0.03 %
East Arcadia	14	418	745,671	418	100.00 %	0.06 %
East Bend	4	634	745,671	634	100.00 %	0.09 %
East Laurinburg	6	234	745,671	234	100.00 %	0.03 %
East Spencer	7	1,567	745,670	1,567	100.00 %	0.21 %
Eastover	13	3,656	745,671	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	14	3,656	745,671	3,656	100.00 %	0.49 %
Eden	4	15,421	745,671	15,421	100.00 %	2.07 %
Edenton	11	4,460	745,670	4,460	100.00 %	0.60 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Elizabeth City (Camden)	11	38	745,670	38	100.00 %	0.01 %
Elizabeth City (Pasquotank)	11	18,593	745,670	18,593	100.00 %	2.49 %
Elizabethtown	14	3,296	745,671	3,296	100.00 %	0.44 %
Elk Park	4	542	745,671	542	100.00 %	0.07 %
Elkin (Surry)	4	4,049	745,671	4,049	100.00 %	0.54 %
Elkin (Wilkes)	4	73	745,671	73	100.00 %	0.01 %
Ellenboro	2	723	745,670	723	100.00 %	0.10 %
Ellerbe	6	864	745,671	864	100.00 %	0.12 %
Elm City (Nash)	11	0	745,670	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Elm City (Wilson)	11	1,218	745,670	1,218	100.00 %	0.16 %
Elon	8	11,336	745,670	11,336	100.00 %	1.52 %
Emerald Isle	12	3,847	745,670	3,847	100.00 %	0.52 %
Enfield	11	1,865	745,670	1,865	100.00 %	0.25 %
Erwin	13	4,542	745,671	4,542	100.00 %	0.61 %
Eureka	13	214	745,671	214	100.00 %	0.03 %
Everetts	11	150	745,670	150	100.00 %	0.02 %
Fair Bluff	14	709	745,671	709	100.00 %	0.10 %
Fairmont	14	2,191	745,671	2,191	100.00 %	0.29 %
Fairview	6	3,456	745,671	3,456	100.00 %	0.46 %
Faison (Duplin)	14	784	745,671	784	100.00 %	0.11 %
Faison (Sampson)	14	0	745,671	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Faith	7	819	745,670	819	100.00 %	0.11 %
Falcon (Cumberland)	13	324	745,671	324	100.00 %	0.04 %
Falcon (Sampson)	14	0	745,671	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Falkland	12	47	745,670	47	100.00 %	0.01 %
Fallston	2	627	745,670	627	100.00 %	0.08 %
Farmville	12	4,461	745,670	4,461	100.00 %	0.60 %
Fayetteville	13	208,501	745,671	205,005	98.32 %	27.49 %
	14	208,501	745,671	3,496	1.68 %	0.47 %
Flat Rock	1	3,486	745,671	3,486	100.00 %	0.47 %
Fletcher	1	7,987	745,671	7,987	100.00 %	1.07 %
Fontana Dam	1	13	745,671	13	100.00 %	0.00 %
Forest City	2	7,377	745,670	7,377	100.00 %	0.99 %
Forest Hills	1	303	745,671	303	100.00 %	0.04 %
Fountain	11	385	745,670	385	100.00 %	0.05 %
Four Oaks	13	2,158	745,671	2,158	100.00 %	0.29 %
Foxfire	6	1,288	745,671	1,288	100.00 %	0.17 %
Franklin	1	4,175	745,671	4,175	100.00 %	0.56 %
Franklinton	11	2,456	745,670	2,456	100.00 %	0.33 %
Franklinville	7	1,197	745,670	1,197	100.00 %	0.16 %
Fremont	13	1,196	745,671	1,196	100.00 %	0.16 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Fuquay-Varina (Harnett)	13	0	745,671	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	9	34,152	745,671	33,642	98.51 %	4.51 %
	10	34,152	745,671	510	1.49 %	0.07 %
Gamewell	3	3,702	745,670	3,702	100.00 %	0.50 %
Garland	14	595	745,671	595	100.00 %	0.08 %
Garner	9	31,159	745,671	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	10	31,159	745,671	31,159	100.00 %	4.18 %
Garysburg	11	904	745,670	904	100.00 %	0.12 %
Gaston	11	1,008	745,670	1,008	100.00 %	0.14 %
Gastonia	2	80,411	745,670	80,411	100.00 %	10.78 %
Gatesville	11	267	745,670	267	100.00 %	0.04 %
Gibson	6	449	745,671	449	100.00 %	0.06 %
Gibsonville (Alamance)	8	4,278	745,670	4,278	100.00 %	0.57 %
Gibsonville (Guilford)	8	4,642	745,670	4,642	100.00 %	0.62 %
Glen Alpine	2	1,529	745,670	1,529	100.00 %	0.21 %
Godwin	13	128	745,671	128	100.00 %	0.02 %
Goldsboro	13	33,657	745,671	33,657	100.00 %	4.51 %
Goldston	7	234	745,670	234	100.00 %	0.03 %
Graham	8	17,157	745,670	17,157	100.00 %	2.30 %
Grandfather Village	4	95	745,671	95	100.00 %	0.01 %
Granite Falls	3	4,965	745,670	4,965	100.00 %	0.67 %
Granite Quarry	7	2,984	745,670	2,984	100.00 %	0.40 %
Grantsboro	12	692	745,670	692	100.00 %	0.09 %
Green Level	8	3,152	745,670	3,152	100.00 %	0.42 %
Greenevers	12	567	745,670	567	100.00 %	0.08 %
Greensboro	8	299,035	745,670	299,035	100.00 %	40.10 %
Greenville	12	87,521	745,670	87,521	100.00 %	11.74 %
Grifton (Lenoir)	12	147	745,670	147	100.00 %	0.02 %
Grifton (Pitt)	12	2,301	745,670	2,301	100.00 %	0.31 %
Grimesland	12	386	745,670	386	100.00 %	0.05 %
Grover	2	802	745,670	802	100.00 %	0.11 %
Halifax	11	170	745,670	170	100.00 %	0.02 %
Hamilton	11	306	745,670	306	100.00 %	0.04 %
Hamlet	6	6,025	745,671	6,025	100.00 %	0.81 %
Harmony	3	543	745,670	543	100.00 %	0.07 %
Harrells (Duplin)	12	0	745,670	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Harrells (Sampson)	14	160	745,671	160	100.00 %	0.02 %
Harrellsville	11	85	745,670	85	100.00 %	0.01 %
Harrisburg	3	18,967	745,670	18,967	100.00 %	2.54 %
Hassell	11	49	745,670	49	100.00 %	0.01 %
Havelock	12	16,621	745,670	16,621	100.00 %	2.23 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Haw River	8	2,252	745,670	2,252	100.00 %	0.30 %
Hayesville	1	461	745,671	461	100.00 %	0.06 %
Hemby Bridge	6	1,614	745,671	1,614	100.00 %	0.22 %
Henderson	11	15,060	745,670	15,060	100.00 %	2.02 %
Hendersonville	1	15,137	745,671	15,137	100.00 %	2.03 %
Hertford	11	1,934	745,670	1,934	100.00 %	0.26 %
Hickory (Burke)	2	79	745,670	79	100.00 %	0.01 %
Hickory (Caldwell)	3	32	745,670	32	100.00 %	0.00 %
Hickory (Catawba)	3	43,379	745,670	43,379	100.00 %	5.82 %
High Point (Davidson)	7	6,646	745,670	6,646	100.00 %	0.89 %
High Point (Forsyth)	4	84	745,671	84	100.00 %	0.01 %
High Point (Guilford)	7	107,321	745,670	83,678	77.97 %	11.22 %
	8	107,321	745,670	23,643	22.03 %	3.17 %
High Point (Randolph)	7	8	745,670	8	100.00 %	0.00 %
High Shoals	2	595	745,670	595	100.00 %	0.08 %
Highlands (Jackson)	1	12	745,671	12	100.00 %	0.00 %
Highlands (Macon)	1	1,060	745,671	1,060	100.00 %	0.14 %
Hildebran	2	1,679	745,670	1,679	100.00 %	0.23 %
Hillsborough	8	9,660	745,670	9,660	100.00 %	1.30 %
Hobgood	11	268	745,670	268	100.00 %	0.04 %
Hoffman	6	418	745,671	418	100.00 %	0.06 %
Holden Beach	14	921	745,671	921	100.00 %	0.12 %
Holly Ridge	12	4,171	745,670	4,171	100.00 %	0.56 %
Holly Springs	9	41,239	745,671	41,239	100.00 %	5.53 %
Hookerton	11	413	745,670	413	100.00 %	0.06 %
Hope Mills	13	17,808	745,671	15,900	89.29 %	2.13 %
	14	17,808	745,671	1,908	10.71 %	0.26 %
Hot Springs	1	520	745,671	520	100.00 %	0.07 %
Hudson	3	3,780	745,670	3,780	100.00 %	0.51 %
Huntersville	2	61,376	745,670	61,376	100.00 %	8.23 %
Indian Beach	12	223	745,670	223	100.00 %	0.03 %
Indian Trail	6	39,997	745,671	39,997	100.00 %	5.36 %
Jackson	11	430	745,670	430	100.00 %	0.06 %
Jacksonville	12	72,723	745,670	72,723	100.00 %	9.75 %
Jamestown	7	3,668	745,670	36	0.98 %	0.00 %
	8	3,668	745,670	3,632	99.02 %	0.49 %
Jamesville	11	424	745,670	424	100.00 %	0.06 %
Jefferson	4	1,622	745,671	1,622	100.00 %	0.22 %
Jonesville	4	2,308	745,671	2,308	100.00 %	0.31 %
Kannapolis (Cabarrus)	3	42,846	745,670	42,846	100.00 %	5.75 %
Kannapolis (Rowan)	3	10,268	745,670	10,268	100.00 %	1.38 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Kelford	11	203	745,670	203	100.00 %	0.03 %
Kenansville	12	770	745,670	770	100.00 %	0.10 %
Kenly (Johnston)	13	1,293	745,671	1,293	100.00 %	0.17 %
Kenly (Wilson)	11	198	745,670	198	100.00 %	0.03 %
Kernersville (Forsyth)	4	25,947	745,671	25,947	100.00 %	3.48 %
Kernersville (Guilford)	8	502	745,670	502	100.00 %	0.07 %
Kill Devil Hills	12	7,656	745,670	7,656	100.00 %	1.03 %
King (Forsyth)	4	591	745,671	591	100.00 %	0.08 %
King (Stokes)	4	6,606	745,671	6,606	100.00 %	0.89 %
Kings Mountain (Cleveland)	2	10,032	745,670	10,032	100.00 %	1.35 %
Kings Mountain (Gaston)	2	1,110	745,670	1,110	100.00 %	0.15 %
Kingstown	2	656	745,670	656	100.00 %	0.09 %
Kinston	12	19,900	745,670	19,900	100.00 %	2.67 %
Kittrell	11	132	745,670	132	100.00 %	0.02 %
Kitty Hawk	12	3,689	745,670	3,689	100.00 %	0.49 %
Knightdale	10	19,435	745,671	19,435	100.00 %	2.61 %
Kure Beach	14	2,191	745,671	2,191	100.00 %	0.29 %
La Grange	12	2,595	745,670	2,595	100.00 %	0.35 %
Lake Lure	2	1,365	745,670	1,365	100.00 %	0.18 %
Lake Park	6	3,269	745,671	3,269	100.00 %	0.44 %
Lake Santeetlah	1	38	745,671	38	100.00 %	0.01 %
Lake Waccamaw	14	1,296	745,671	1,296	100.00 %	0.17 %
Landis	3	3,690	745,670	3,690	100.00 %	0.49 %
Lansing	4	126	745,671	126	100.00 %	0.02 %
Lasker	11	64	745,670	64	100.00 %	0.01 %
Lattimore	2	406	745,670	406	100.00 %	0.05 %
Laurel Park	1	2,250	745,671	2,250	100.00 %	0.30 %
Laurinburg	6	14,978	745,671	14,978	100.00 %	2.01 %
Lawndale	2	570	745,670	570	100.00 %	0.08 %
Leggett	11	37	745,670	37	100.00 %	0.00 %
Leland	14	22,908	745,671	22,908	100.00 %	3.07 %
Lenoir	3	18,352	745,670	18,352	100.00 %	2.46 %
Lewiston Woodville	11	426	745,670	426	100.00 %	0.06 %
Lewisville	4	13,381	745,671	1,653	12.35 %	0.22 %
	7	13,381	745,670	11,728	87.65 %	1.57 %
Lexington	7	19,632	745,670	19,632	100.00 %	2.63 %
Liberty	7	2,655	745,670	2,655	100.00 %	0.36 %
Lilesville	6	395	745,671	395	100.00 %	0.05 %
Lillington	13	4,735	745,671	4,735	100.00 %	0.63 %
Lincolnton	2	11,091	745,670	11,091	100.00 %	1.49 %
Linden	13	136	745,671	136	100.00 %	0.02 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Littleton	11	559	745,670	559	100.00 %	0.07 %
Locust (Cabarrus)	3	541	745,670	541	100.00 %	0.07 %
Locust (Stanly)	6	3,996	745,671	3,996	100.00 %	0.54 %
Long View (Burke)	2	735	745,670	735	100.00 %	0.10 %
Long View (Catawba)	3	4,353	745,670	4,353	100.00 %	0.58 %
Louisburg	11	3,064	745,670	3,064	100.00 %	0.41 %
Love Valley	3	154	745,670	154	100.00 %	0.02 %
Lowell	2	3,654	745,670	3,654	100.00 %	0.49 %
Lucama	11	1,036	745,670	1,036	100.00 %	0.14 %
Lumber Bridge	14	82	745,671	82	100.00 %	0.01 %
Lumberton	14	19,025	745,671	19,025	100.00 %	2.55 %
Macclesfield	11	413	745,670	413	100.00 %	0.06 %
Macon	11	110	745,670	110	100.00 %	0.01 %
Madison	4	2,129	745,671	2,129	100.00 %	0.29 %
Maggie Valley	1	1,687	745,671	1,687	100.00 %	0.23 %
Magnolia	12	831	745,670	1	0.12 %	0.00 %
	14	831	745,671	830	99.88 %	0.11 %
Maiden (Catawba)	3	3,736	745,670	3,736	100.00 %	0.50 %
Maiden (Lincoln)	2	0	745,670	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Manteo	12	1,600	745,670	1,600	100.00 %	0.21 %
Marietta	14	111	745,671	111	100.00 %	0.01 %
Marion	1	7,717	745,671	7,717	100.00 %	1.03 %
Mars Hill	1	2,007	745,671	2,007	100.00 %	0.27 %
Marshall	1	777	745,671	777	100.00 %	0.10 %
Marshville	6	2,522	745,671	2,522	100.00 %	0.34 %
Marvin	6	6,358	745,671	6,358	100.00 %	0.85 %
Matthews	6	29,435	745,671	29,435	100.00 %	3.95 %
Maxton (Robeson)	14	1,902	745,671	1,902	100.00 %	0.26 %
Maxton (Scotland)	6	208	745,671	208	100.00 %	0.03 %
Mayodan	4	2,418	745,671	2,418	100.00 %	0.32 %
Maysville	12	818	745,670	818	100.00 %	0.11 %
McAdenville	2	890	745,670	890	100.00 %	0.12 %
McDonald	14	94	745,671	94	100.00 %	0.01 %
McFarlan	6	94	745,671	94	100.00 %	0.01 %
Mebane (Alamance)	8	14,626	745,670	14,626	100.00 %	1.96 %
Mebane (Orange)	8	3,171	745,670	3,171	100.00 %	0.43 %
Mesic	12	144	745,670	144	100.00 %	0.02 %
Micro	13	458	745,671	458	100.00 %	0.06 %
Middleburg	11	101	745,670	101	100.00 %	0.01 %
Middlesex	11	912	745,670	912	100.00 %	0.12 %
Midland (Cabarrus)	3	4,684	745,670	4,684	100.00 %	0.63 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Midland (Mecklenburg)	6	0	745,671	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Midway	7	4,742	745,670	4,742	100.00 %	0.64 %
Mills River	1	7,078	745,671	7,078	100.00 %	0.95 %
Milton	11	155	745,670	155	100.00 %	0.02 %
Mineral Springs	6	3,159	745,671	3,159	100.00 %	0.42 %
Minnesott Beach	12	530	745,670	530	100.00 %	0.07 %
Mint Hill (Mecklenburg)	5	26,444	745,671	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	6	26,444	745,671	26,444	100.00 %	3.55 %
Mint Hill (Union)	6	6	745,671	6	100.00 %	0.00 %
Misenheimer	6	650	745,671	650	100.00 %	0.09 %
Mocksville	7	5,900	745,670	5,900	100.00 %	0.79 %
Momeyer	11	277	745,670	277	100.00 %	0.04 %
Monroe	6	34,562	745,671	34,562	100.00 %	4.64 %
Montreat	1	901	745,671	901	100.00 %	0.12 %
Mooresboro	2	293	745,670	293	100.00 %	0.04 %
Mooresville	3	50,193	745,670	50,193	100.00 %	6.73 %
Morehead City	12	9,556	745,670	9,556	100.00 %	1.28 %
Morganton	2	17,474	745,670	17,474	100.00 %	2.34 %
Morrisville (Durham)	9	207	745,671	207	100.00 %	0.03 %
Morrisville (Wake)	9	29,423	745,671	29,423	100.00 %	3.95 %
Morven	6	329	745,671	329	100.00 %	0.04 %
Mount Airy	4	10,676	745,671	10,676	100.00 %	1.43 %
Mount Gilead	6	1,171	745,671	1,171	100.00 %	0.16 %
Mount Holly	2	17,703	745,670	17,703	100.00 %	2.37 %
Mount Olive (Duplin)	14	5	745,671	5	100.00 %	0.00 %
Mount Olive (Wayne)	13	4,193	745,671	4,193	100.00 %	0.56 %
Mount Pleasant	3	1,671	745,670	1,671	100.00 %	0.22 %
Murfreesboro	11	2,619	745,670	2,619	100.00 %	0.35 %
Murphy	1	1,608	745,671	1,608	100.00 %	0.22 %
Nags Head	12	3,168	745,670	3,168	100.00 %	0.42 %
Nashville	11	5,632	745,670	5,632	100.00 %	0.76 %
Navassa	14	1,367	745,671	1,367	100.00 %	0.18 %
New Bern	12	31,291	745,670	31,291	100.00 %	4.20 %
New London	6	607	745,671	607	100.00 %	0.08 %
Newland	4	715	745,671	715	100.00 %	0.10 %
Newport	12	4,364	745,670	4,364	100.00 %	0.59 %
Newton	3	13,148	745,670	13,148	100.00 %	1.76 %
Newton Grove	14	585	745,671	585	100.00 %	0.08 %
Norlina	11	920	745,670	920	100.00 %	0.12 %
Norman	6	100	745,671	100	100.00 %	0.01 %
North Topsail Beach	12	1,005	745,670	1,005	100.00 %	0.13 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
North Wilkesboro	4	4,382	745,671	4,382	100.00 %	0.59 %
Northwest	14	703	745,671	703	100.00 %	0.09 %
Norwood	6	2,367	745,671	2,367	100.00 %	0.32 %
Oak City	11	266	745,670	266	100.00 %	0.04 %
Oak Island	14	8,396	745,671	8,396	100.00 %	1.13 %
Oak Ridge	8	7,474	745,670	7,474	100.00 %	1.00 %
Oakboro	6	2,128	745,671	2,128	100.00 %	0.29 %
Ocean Isle Beach	14	867	745,671	867	100.00 %	0.12 %
Old Fort	1	811	745,671	811	100.00 %	0.11 %
Oriental	12	880	745,670	880	100.00 %	0.12 %
Orrum	14	59	745,671	59	100.00 %	0.01 %
Ossipee	8	536	745,670	536	100.00 %	0.07 %
Oxford	11	8,628	745,670	8,628	100.00 %	1.16 %
Pantego	12	164	745,670	164	100.00 %	0.02 %
Parkton	14	504	745,671	504	100.00 %	0.07 %
Parmelee	11	243	745,670	243	100.00 %	0.03 %
Patterson Springs	2	571	745,670	571	100.00 %	0.08 %
Peachland	6	390	745,671	390	100.00 %	0.05 %
Peletier	12	769	745,670	769	100.00 %	0.10 %
Pembroke	14	2,823	745,671	2,823	100.00 %	0.38 %
Pikeville	13	712	745,671	712	100.00 %	0.10 %
Pilot Mountain	4	1,440	745,671	1,440	100.00 %	0.19 %
Pine Knoll Shores	12	1,388	745,670	1,388	100.00 %	0.19 %
Pine Level	13	2,046	745,671	2,046	100.00 %	0.27 %
Pinebluff	6	1,473	745,671	1,473	100.00 %	0.20 %
Pinehurst	6	17,581	745,671	17,581	100.00 %	2.36 %
Pinetops	11	1,200	745,670	1,200	100.00 %	0.16 %
Pineville	5	10,602	745,671	10,602	100.00 %	1.42 %
Pink Hill	12	451	745,670	451	100.00 %	0.06 %
Pittsboro	7	4,537	745,670	4,537	100.00 %	0.61 %
Pleasant Garden	8	5,000	745,670	5,000	100.00 %	0.67 %
Plymouth	11	3,320	745,670	3,320	100.00 %	0.45 %
Polkton	6	2,250	745,671	2,250	100.00 %	0.30 %
Polkville	2	516	745,670	516	100.00 %	0.07 %
Pollocksville	12	268	745,670	268	100.00 %	0.04 %
Powellsville	11	189	745,670	189	100.00 %	0.03 %
Princeton	13	1,315	745,671	1,315	100.00 %	0.18 %
Princeville	11	1,254	745,670	1,254	100.00 %	0.17 %
Proctorville	14	121	745,671	121	100.00 %	0.02 %
Raeford	6	4,559	745,671	4,559	100.00 %	0.61 %
Raleigh (Durham)	9	1,559	745,671	1,559	100.00 %	0.21 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Raleigh (Wake)	9	466,106	745,671	12,644	2.71 %	1.70 %
	10	466,106	745,671	453,462	97.29 %	60.81 %
Ramseur	7	1,774	745,670	1,774	100.00 %	0.24 %
Randleman	7	4,595	745,670	4,595	100.00 %	0.62 %
Ranlo	2	4,511	745,670	4,511	100.00 %	0.60 %
Raynham	14	60	745,671	60	100.00 %	0.01 %
Red Cross	6	762	745,671	762	100.00 %	0.10 %
Red Oak	11	3,342	745,670	3,342	100.00 %	0.45 %
Red Springs (Hoke)	6	0	745,671	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Red Springs (Robeson)	14	3,087	745,671	3,087	100.00 %	0.41 %
Reidsville	4	14,583	745,671	14,583	100.00 %	1.96 %
Rennert	14	275	745,671	275	100.00 %	0.04 %
Rhodiss (Burke)	2	639	745,670	639	100.00 %	0.09 %
Rhodiss (Caldwell)	3	358	745,670	358	100.00 %	0.05 %
Rich Square	11	894	745,670	894	100.00 %	0.12 %
Richfield	6	582	745,671	582	100.00 %	0.08 %
Richlands	12	2,287	745,670	2,287	100.00 %	0.31 %
River Bend	12	2,902	745,670	2,902	100.00 %	0.39 %
Roanoke Rapids	11	15,229	745,670	15,229	100.00 %	2.04 %
Robbins	7	1,168	745,670	1,168	100.00 %	0.16 %
Robbinsville	1	597	745,671	597	100.00 %	0.08 %
Robersonville	11	1,269	745,670	1,269	100.00 %	0.17 %
Rockingham	6	9,243	745,671	9,243	100.00 %	1.24 %
Rockwell	7	2,302	745,670	2,302	100.00 %	0.31 %
Rocky Mount (Edgecombe)	11	15,414	745,670	15,414	100.00 %	2.07 %
Rocky Mount (Nash)	11	38,927	745,670	38,927	100.00 %	5.22 %
Rolesville	10	9,475	745,671	9,475	100.00 %	1.27 %
Ronda	4	438	745,671	438	100.00 %	0.06 %
Roper	11	485	745,670	485	100.00 %	0.07 %
Rose Hill	12	1,371	745,670	1,371	100.00 %	0.18 %
Roseboro	14	1,163	745,671	1,163	100.00 %	0.16 %
Rosman	1	701	745,671	701	100.00 %	0.09 %
Rowland	14	885	745,671	885	100.00 %	0.12 %
Roxboro	11	8,134	745,670	8,134	100.00 %	1.09 %
Roxobel	11	187	745,670	187	100.00 %	0.03 %
Rural Hall	4	3,351	745,671	3,351	100.00 %	0.45 %
Ruth	2	347	745,670	347	100.00 %	0.05 %
Rutherford College (Burke)	2	1,226	745,670	1,226	100.00 %	0.16 %
Rutherford College (Caldwell)	3	0	745,670	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Rutherfordton	2	3,640	745,670	3,640	100.00 %	0.49 %
Salemburg	14	457	745,671	457	100.00 %	0.06 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Salisbury	7	35,540	745,670	35,540	100.00 %	4.77 %
Saluda (Henderson)	1	11	745,671	11	100.00 %	0.00 %
Saluda (Polk)	1	620	745,671	620	100.00 %	0.08 %
Sandy Creek	14	248	745,671	248	100.00 %	0.03 %
Sandyfield	14	430	745,671	430	100.00 %	0.06 %
Sanford	7	30,261	745,670	30,261	100.00 %	4.06 %
Saratoga	11	353	745,670	353	100.00 %	0.05 %
Sawmills	3	5,020	745,670	5,020	100.00 %	0.67 %
Scotland Neck	11	1,640	745,670	1,640	100.00 %	0.22 %
Seaboard	11	542	745,670	542	100.00 %	0.07 %
Seagrove	7	235	745,670	235	100.00 %	0.03 %
Sedalia	8	676	745,670	676	100.00 %	0.09 %
Selma	13	6,317	745,671	6,317	100.00 %	0.85 %
Seven Devils (Avery)	4	38	745,671	38	100.00 %	0.01 %
Seven Devils (Watauga)	4	275	745,671	275	100.00 %	0.04 %
Seven Springs	13	55	745,671	55	100.00 %	0.01 %
Severn	11	191	745,670	191	100.00 %	0.03 %
Shallotte	14	4,185	745,671	4,185	100.00 %	0.56 %
Sharpsburg (Edgecombe)	11	215	745,670	215	100.00 %	0.03 %
Sharpsburg (Nash)	11	1,061	745,670	1,061	100.00 %	0.14 %
Sharpsburg (Wilson)	11	421	745,670	421	100.00 %	0.06 %
Shelby	2	21,918	745,670	21,918	100.00 %	2.94 %
Siler City	7	7,702	745,670	7,702	100.00 %	1.03 %
Simpson	12	390	745,670	390	100.00 %	0.05 %
Sims	11	275	745,670	275	100.00 %	0.04 %
Smithfield	13	11,292	745,671	11,292	100.00 %	1.51 %
Snow Hill	11	1,481	745,670	1,481	100.00 %	0.20 %
Southern Pines	6	15,545	745,671	15,545	100.00 %	2.08 %
Southern Shores	12	3,090	745,670	3,090	100.00 %	0.41 %
Southport	14	3,971	745,671	3,971	100.00 %	0.53 %
Sparta	4	1,834	745,671	1,834	100.00 %	0.25 %
Speed	11	63	745,670	63	100.00 %	0.01 %
Spencer	7	3,308	745,670	3,308	100.00 %	0.44 %
Spencer Mountain	2	0	745,670	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Spindale	2	4,225	745,670	4,225	100.00 %	0.57 %
Spring Hope	11	1,309	745,670	1,309	100.00 %	0.18 %
Spring Lake	13	11,660	745,671	11,660	100.00 %	1.56 %
Spruce Pine	1	2,194	745,671	2,194	100.00 %	0.29 %
St. Helena	14	417	745,671	417	100.00 %	0.06 %
St. James	14	6,529	745,671	6,529	100.00 %	0.88 %
St. Pauls	14	2,045	745,671	2,045	100.00 %	0.27 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Staley	7	397	745,670	397	100.00 %	0.05 %
Stallings (Mecklenburg)	6	384	745,671	384	100.00 %	0.05 %
Stallings (Union)	6	15,728	745,671	15,728	100.00 %	2.11 %
Stanfield	6	1,585	745,671	1,585	100.00 %	0.21 %
Stanley	2	3,963	745,670	3,963	100.00 %	0.53 %
Stantonsburg	11	762	745,670	762	100.00 %	0.10 %
Star	6	806	745,671	806	100.00 %	0.11 %
Statesville	3	28,419	745,670	28,419	100.00 %	3.81 %
Stedman	14	1,277	745,671	1,277	100.00 %	0.17 %
Stem	11	960	745,670	960	100.00 %	0.13 %
Stokesdale	8	5,924	745,670	5,924	100.00 %	0.79 %
Stoneville	4	1,308	745,671	1,308	100.00 %	0.18 %
Stonewall	12	214	745,670	214	100.00 %	0.03 %
Stovall	11	324	745,670	324	100.00 %	0.04 %
Sugar Mountain	4	371	745,671	371	100.00 %	0.05 %
Summerfield	8	10,951	745,670	10,951	100.00 %	1.47 %
Sunset Beach	14	4,175	745,671	4,175	100.00 %	0.56 %
Surf City (Onslow)	12	334	745,670	334	100.00 %	0.04 %
Surf City (Pender)	14	3,533	745,671	3,533	100.00 %	0.47 %
Swansboro	12	3,744	745,670	3,744	100.00 %	0.50 %
Sweepsonville	8	2,445	745,670	2,445	100.00 %	0.33 %
Sylva	1	2,578	745,671	2,578	100.00 %	0.35 %
Tabor City	14	3,781	745,671	3,781	100.00 %	0.51 %
Tar Heel	14	90	745,671	90	100.00 %	0.01 %
Tarboro	11	10,721	745,670	10,721	100.00 %	1.44 %
Taylorsville	3	2,320	745,670	2,320	100.00 %	0.31 %
Taylortown	6	634	745,671	634	100.00 %	0.09 %
Teachey	12	448	745,670	448	100.00 %	0.06 %
Thomasville (Davidson)	7	26,662	745,670	26,662	100.00 %	3.58 %
Thomasville (Randolph)	7	521	745,670	521	100.00 %	0.07 %
Tobaccoville (Forsyth)	4	2,578	745,671	2,578	100.00 %	0.35 %
Tobaccoville (Stokes)	4	0	745,671	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Topsail Beach	14	461	745,671	461	100.00 %	0.06 %
Trent Woods	12	4,074	745,670	4,074	100.00 %	0.55 %
Trenton	12	238	745,670	238	100.00 %	0.03 %
Trinity	7	7,006	745,670	7,006	100.00 %	0.94 %
Troutman	3	3,698	745,670	3,698	100.00 %	0.50 %
Troy	6	2,850	745,671	2,850	100.00 %	0.38 %
Tryon	1	1,562	745,671	1,562	100.00 %	0.21 %
Turkey	14	213	745,671	213	100.00 %	0.03 %
Unionville	6	6,643	745,671	6,643	100.00 %	0.89 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Valdese	2	4,689	745,670	4,689	100.00 %	0.63 %
Vanceboro	12	869	745,670	869	100.00 %	0.12 %
Vandemere	12	246	745,670	246	100.00 %	0.03 %
Varnamtown	14	525	745,671	525	100.00 %	0.07 %
Vass	6	952	745,671	952	100.00 %	0.13 %
Waco	2	310	745,670	310	100.00 %	0.04 %
Wade	13	638	745,671	638	100.00 %	0.09 %
Wadesboro	6	5,008	745,671	5,008	100.00 %	0.67 %
Wagram	6	615	745,671	615	100.00 %	0.08 %
Wake Forest (Franklin)	11	1,504	745,670	1,504	100.00 %	0.20 %
Wake Forest (Wake)	10	46,097	745,671	46,097	100.00 %	6.18 %
Walkertown	4	5,692	745,671	5,692	100.00 %	0.76 %
Wallace (Duplin)	12	3,413	745,670	3,413	100.00 %	0.46 %
Wallace (Pender)	14	0	745,671	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Wallburg	7	3,051	745,670	3,051	100.00 %	0.41 %
Walnut Cove	4	1,586	745,671	1,586	100.00 %	0.21 %
Walnut Creek	13	1,084	745,671	1,084	100.00 %	0.15 %
Walstonburg	11	193	745,670	193	100.00 %	0.03 %
Warrenton	11	851	745,670	851	100.00 %	0.11 %
Warsaw	12	2,733	745,670	5	0.18 %	0.00 %
	14	2,733	745,671	2,728	99.82 %	0.37 %
Washington	12	9,875	745,670	9,875	100.00 %	1.32 %
Washington Park	12	392	745,670	392	100.00 %	0.05 %
Watha	14	181	745,671	181	100.00 %	0.02 %
Waxhaw	6	20,534	745,671	20,534	100.00 %	2.75 %
Waynesville	1	10,140	745,671	10,140	100.00 %	1.36 %
Weaverville	1	4,567	745,671	4,567	100.00 %	0.61 %
Webster	1	372	745,671	372	100.00 %	0.05 %
Weddington (Mecklenburg)	6	5	745,671	5	100.00 %	0.00 %
Weddington (Union)	6	13,176	745,671	13,176	100.00 %	1.77 %
Weldon	11	1,444	745,670	1,444	100.00 %	0.19 %
Wendell	10	9,793	745,671	9,793	100.00 %	1.31 %
Wentworth	4	2,662	745,671	2,662	100.00 %	0.36 %
Wesley Chapel	6	8,681	745,671	8,681	100.00 %	1.16 %
West Jefferson	4	1,279	745,671	1,279	100.00 %	0.17 %
Whispering Pines	6	4,987	745,671	4,987	100.00 %	0.67 %
Whitakers (Edgecombe)	11	290	745,670	290	100.00 %	0.04 %
Whitakers (Nash)	11	337	745,670	337	100.00 %	0.05 %
White Lake	14	843	745,671	843	100.00 %	0.11 %
Whiteville	14	4,766	745,671	4,766	100.00 %	0.64 %
Whitsett	8	584	745,670	584	100.00 %	0.08 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Wilkesboro	4	3,687	745,671	3,687	100.00 %	0.49 %
Williamston	11	5,248	745,670	5,248	100.00 %	0.70 %
Wilmington	14	115,451	745,671	115,451	100.00 %	15.48 %
Wilson	11	47,851	745,670	47,851	100.00 %	6.42 %
Wilson's Mills	13	2,534	745,671	2,534	100.00 %	0.34 %
Windsor	11	3,582	745,670	3,582	100.00 %	0.48 %
Winfall	11	555	745,670	555	100.00 %	0.07 %
Wingate	6	4,055	745,671	4,055	100.00 %	0.54 %
Winston-Salem	4	249,545	745,671	240,018	96.18 %	32.19 %
	7	249,545	745,670	9,527	3.82 %	1.28 %
Winterville	12	10,462	745,670	10,462	100.00 %	1.40 %
Winton	11	629	745,670	629	100.00 %	0.08 %
Woodfin	1	7,936	745,671	7,936	100.00 %	1.06 %
Woodland	11	557	745,670	557	100.00 %	0.07 %
Wrightsville Beach	14	2,473	745,671	2,473	100.00 %	0.33 %
Yadkinville	4	2,995	745,671	2,995	100.00 %	0.40 %
Yanceyville	11	1,937	745,670	1,937	100.00 %	0.26 %
Youngsville	11	2,016	745,670	2,016	100.00 %	0.27 %
Zebulon (Johnston)	13	0	745,671	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Zebulon (Wake)	10	6,903	745,671	6,903	100.00 %	0.93 %
Total:				6,017,605		

Number of municipalities split within counties: 20

Display: all municipalities

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: CBA-2

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
1	Andrews	745,671	1,667	1,667	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Asheville	745,671	94,589	94,589	12.69 %	100.00 %
	Bakersville	745,671	450	450	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Biltmore Forest	745,671	1,409	1,409	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Black Mountain	745,671	8,426	8,426	1.13 %	100.00 %
	Brevard	745,671	7,744	7,744	1.04 %	100.00 %
	Bryson City	745,671	1,558	1,558	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Burnsville	745,671	1,614	1,614	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Canton	745,671	4,422	4,422	0.59 %	100.00 %
	Clyde	745,671	1,368	1,368	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Columbus	745,671	1,060	1,060	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Dillsboro	745,671	213	213	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Flat Rock	745,671	3,486	3,486	0.47 %	100.00 %
	Fletcher	745,671	7,987	7,987	1.07 %	100.00 %
	Fontana Dam	745,671	13	13	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Forest Hills	745,671	303	303	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Franklin	745,671	4,175	4,175	0.56 %	100.00 %
	Hayesville	745,671	461	461	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Hendersonville	745,671	15,137	15,137	2.03 %	100.00 %
	Highlands (Jackson)	745,671	12	12	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Highlands (Macon)	745,671	1,060	1,060	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Hot Springs	745,671	520	520	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Lake Santeetlah	745,671	38	38	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Laurel Park	745,671	2,250	2,250	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Maggie Valley	745,671	1,687	1,687	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Marion	745,671	7,717	7,717	1.03 %	100.00 %
	Mars Hill	745,671	2,007	2,007	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Marshall	745,671	777	777	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Mills River	745,671	7,078	7,078	0.95 %	100.00 %
	Montreat	745,671	901	901	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Murphy	745,671	1,608	1,608	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Old Fort	745,671	811	811	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Robbinsville	745,671	597	597	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Rosman	745,671	701	701	0.09 %	100.00 %
Saluda (Henderson)	745,671	11	11	0.00 %	100.00 %	
Saluda (Polk)	745,671	620	620	0.08 %	100.00 %	
Spruce Pine	745,671	2,194	2,194	0.29 %	100.00 %	
Sylva	745,671	2,578	2,578	0.35 %	100.00 %	
Tryon	745,671	1,562	1,562	0.21 %	100.00 %	
Waynesville	745,671	10,140	10,140	1.36 %	100.00 %	
Weaverville	745,671	4,567	4,567	0.61 %	100.00 %	

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: CBA-2

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
1	Webster	745,671	372	372	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Woodfin	745,671	7,936	7,936	1.06 %	100.00 %
2	Belmont	745,670	15,010	15,010	2.01 %	100.00 %
	Belwood	745,670	857	857	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Bessemer City	745,670	5,428	5,428	0.73 %	100.00 %
	Boiling Springs	745,670	4,615	4,615	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Bostic	745,670	355	355	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Casar	745,670	305	305	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Charlotte	745,670	874,579	58,689	7.87 %	6.71 %
	Cherryville	745,670	6,078	6,078	0.82 %	100.00 %
	Chimney Rock Village	745,670	140	140	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Connelly Springs	745,670	1,529	1,529	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Cornelius	745,670	31,412	31,412	4.21 %	100.00 %
	Cramerton	745,670	5,296	5,296	0.71 %	100.00 %
	Dallas	745,670	5,927	5,927	0.79 %	100.00 %
	Davidson (Mecklenburg)	745,670	14,728	14,728	1.98 %	100.00 %
	Dellview	745,670	6	6	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Drexel	745,670	1,760	1,760	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Earl	745,670	198	198	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Ellenboro	745,670	723	723	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Fallston	745,670	627	627	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Forest City	745,670	7,377	7,377	0.99 %	100.00 %
	Gastonia	745,670	80,411	80,411	10.78 %	100.00 %
	Glen Alpine	745,670	1,529	1,529	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Grover	745,670	802	802	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Hickory (Burke)	745,670	79	79	0.01 %	100.00 %
	High Shoals	745,670	595	595	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Hildebran	745,670	1,679	1,679	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Huntersville	745,670	61,376	61,376	8.23 %	100.00 %
	Kings Mountain (Cleveland)	745,670	10,032	10,032	1.35 %	100.00 %
	Kings Mountain (Gaston)	745,670	1,110	1,110	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Kingstown	745,670	656	656	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Lake Lure	745,670	1,365	1,365	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Lattimore	745,670	406	406	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Lawndale	745,670	570	570	0.08 %	100.00 %
Lincolnton	745,670	11,091	11,091	1.49 %	100.00 %	
Long View (Burke)	745,670	735	735	0.10 %	100.00 %	
Lowell	745,670	3,654	3,654	0.49 %	100.00 %	
Maiden (Lincoln)	745,670	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
McAdenville	745,670	890	890	0.12 %	100.00 %	
Mooresboro	745,670	293	293	0.04 %	100.00 %	

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: CBA-2

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
2	Morganton	745,670	17,474	17,474	2.34 %	100.00 %
	Mount Holly	745,670	17,703	17,703	2.37 %	100.00 %
	Patterson Springs	745,670	571	571	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Polkville	745,670	516	516	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Ranlo	745,670	4,511	4,511	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Rhodhiss (Burke)	745,670	639	639	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Ruth	745,670	347	347	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford College (Burke)	745,670	1,226	1,226	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Rutherfordton	745,670	3,640	3,640	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Shelby	745,670	21,918	21,918	2.94 %	100.00 %
	Spencer Mountain	745,670	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Spindale	745,670	4,225	4,225	0.57 %	100.00 %
	Stanley	745,670	3,963	3,963	0.53 %	100.00 %
	Valdese	745,670	4,689	4,689	0.63 %	100.00 %
Waco	745,670	310	310	0.04 %	100.00 %	
3	Blowing Rock (Caldwell)	745,670	91	91	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Brookford	745,670	442	442	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Cajah's Mountain	745,670	2,722	2,722	0.37 %	100.00 %
	Catawba	745,670	702	702	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Cedar Rock	745,670	301	301	0.04 %	100.00 %
	China Grove	745,670	4,434	4,434	0.59 %	100.00 %
	Claremont	745,670	1,692	1,692	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Cleveland	745,670	846	846	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Concord	745,670	105,240	105,240	14.11 %	100.00 %
	Conover	745,670	8,421	8,421	1.13 %	100.00 %
	Davidson (Iredell)	745,670	378	378	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Gamewell	745,670	3,702	3,702	0.50 %	100.00 %
	Granite Falls	745,670	4,965	4,965	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Harmony	745,670	543	543	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Harrisburg	745,670	18,967	18,967	2.54 %	100.00 %
	Hickory (Caldwell)	745,670	32	32	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Hickory (Catawba)	745,670	43,379	43,379	5.82 %	100.00 %
	Hudson	745,670	3,780	3,780	0.51 %	100.00 %
	Kannapolis (Cabarrus)	745,670	42,846	42,846	5.75 %	100.00 %
	Kannapolis (Rowan)	745,670	10,268	10,268	1.38 %	100.00 %
	Landis	745,670	3,690	3,690	0.49 %	100.00 %
Lenoir	745,670	18,352	18,352	2.46 %	100.00 %	
Locust (Cabarrus)	745,670	541	541	0.07 %	100.00 %	
Long View (Catawba)	745,670	4,353	4,353	0.58 %	100.00 %	
Love Valley	745,670	154	154	0.02 %	100.00 %	
Maiden (Catawba)	745,670	3,736	3,736	0.50 %	100.00 %	

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: CBA-2

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
3	Midland (Cabarrus)	745,670	4,684	4,684	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Mooresville	745,670	50,193	50,193	6.73 %	100.00 %
	Mount Pleasant	745,670	1,671	1,671	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Newton	745,670	13,148	13,148	1.76 %	100.00 %
	Rhodhiss (Caldwell)	745,670	358	358	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford College (Caldwell)	745,670	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Sawmills	745,670	5,020	5,020	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Statesville	745,670	28,419	28,419	3.81 %	100.00 %
	Taylorsville	745,670	2,320	2,320	0.31 %	100.00 %
	Troutman	745,670	3,698	3,698	0.50 %	100.00 %
4	Banner Elk	745,671	1,049	1,049	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Beech Mountain (Avery)	745,671	62	62	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Beech Mountain (Watauga)	745,671	613	613	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Bethania	745,671	344	344	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Blowing Rock (Watauga)	745,671	1,285	1,285	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Boone	745,671	19,092	19,092	2.56 %	100.00 %
	Boonville	745,671	1,185	1,185	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Crossnore	745,671	143	143	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Danbury	745,671	189	189	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Dobson	745,671	1,462	1,462	0.20 %	100.00 %
	East Bend	745,671	634	634	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Eden	745,671	15,421	15,421	2.07 %	100.00 %
	Elk Park	745,671	542	542	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Elkin (Surry)	745,671	4,049	4,049	0.54 %	100.00 %
	Elkin (Wilkes)	745,671	73	73	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Grandfather Village	745,671	95	95	0.01 %	100.00 %
	High Point (Forsyth)	745,671	84	84	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Jefferson	745,671	1,622	1,622	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Jonesville	745,671	2,308	2,308	0.31 %	100.00 %
	Kernersville (Forsyth)	745,671	25,947	25,947	3.48 %	100.00 %
	King (Forsyth)	745,671	591	591	0.08 %	100.00 %
	King (Stokes)	745,671	6,606	6,606	0.89 %	100.00 %
	Lansing	745,671	126	126	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Lewisville	745,671	13,381	1,653	0.22 %	12.35 %
	Madison	745,671	2,129	2,129	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Mayodan	745,671	2,418	2,418	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Mount Airy	745,671	10,676	10,676	1.43 %	100.00 %
	Newland	745,671	715	715	0.10 %	100.00 %
North Wilkesboro	745,671	4,382	4,382	0.59 %	100.00 %	
Pilot Mountain	745,671	1,440	1,440	0.19 %	100.00 %	
Reidsville	745,671	14,583	14,583	1.96 %	100.00 %	

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: CBA-2

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
4	Ronda	745,671	438	438	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Rural Hall	745,671	3,351	3,351	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Seven Devils (Avery)	745,671	38	38	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Seven Devils (Watauga)	745,671	275	275	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Sparta	745,671	1,834	1,834	0.25 %	100.00 %
	Stoneville	745,671	1,308	1,308	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Sugar Mountain	745,671	371	371	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Tobaccoville (Forsyth)	745,671	2,578	2,578	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Tobaccoville (Stokes)	745,671	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Walkertown	745,671	5,692	5,692	0.76 %	100.00 %
	Walnut Cove	745,671	1,586	1,586	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Wentworth	745,671	2,662	2,662	0.36 %	100.00 %
	West Jefferson	745,671	1,279	1,279	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Wilkesboro	745,671	3,687	3,687	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Winston-Salem	745,671	249,545	240,018	32.19 %	96.18 %
Yadkinville	745,671	2,995	2,995	0.40 %	100.00 %	
5	Charlotte	745,671	874,579	689,005	92.40 %	78.78 %
	Mint Hill (Mecklenburg)	745,671	26,444	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Pineville	745,671	10,602	10,602	1.42 %	100.00 %
6	Aberdeen	745,671	8,516	8,516	1.14 %	100.00 %
	Albemarle	745,671	16,432	16,432	2.20 %	100.00 %
	Ansonville	745,671	440	440	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Badin	745,671	2,024	2,024	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Biscoe	745,671	1,848	1,848	0.25 %	100.00 %
	Candor (Montgomery)	745,671	813	813	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Carthage	745,671	2,775	28	0.00 %	1.01 %
	Charlotte	745,671	874,579	126,885	17.02 %	14.51 %
	Dobbins Heights	745,671	687	687	0.09 %	100.00 %
	East Laurinburg	745,671	234	234	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Ellerbe	745,671	864	864	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Fairview	745,671	3,456	3,456	0.46 %	100.00 %
	Foxfire	745,671	1,288	1,288	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Gibson	745,671	449	449	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Hamlet	745,671	6,025	6,025	0.81 %	100.00 %
	Hemby Bridge	745,671	1,614	1,614	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Hoffman	745,671	418	418	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Indian Trail	745,671	39,997	39,997	5.36 %	100.00 %
	Lake Park	745,671	3,269	3,269	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Laurinburg	745,671	14,978	14,978	2.01 %	100.00 %
Lilesville	745,671	395	395	0.05 %	100.00 %	
Locust (Stanly)	745,671	3,996	3,996	0.54 %	100.00 %	

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: CBA-2

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
6	Marshville	745,671	2,522	2,522	0.34 %	100.00 %
	Marvin	745,671	6,358	6,358	0.85 %	100.00 %
	Matthews	745,671	29,435	29,435	3.95 %	100.00 %
	Maxton (Scotland)	745,671	208	208	0.03 %	100.00 %
	McFarlan	745,671	94	94	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Midland (Mecklenburg)	745,671	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Mineral Springs	745,671	3,159	3,159	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Mint Hill (Mecklenburg)	745,671	26,444	26,444	3.55 %	100.00 %
	Mint Hill (Union)	745,671	6	6	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Misenheimer	745,671	650	650	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Monroe	745,671	34,562	34,562	4.64 %	100.00 %
	Morven	745,671	329	329	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Mount Gilead	745,671	1,171	1,171	0.16 %	100.00 %
	New London	745,671	607	607	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Norman	745,671	100	100	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Norwood	745,671	2,367	2,367	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Oakboro	745,671	2,128	2,128	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Peachland	745,671	390	390	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Pinebluff	745,671	1,473	1,473	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Pinehurst	745,671	17,581	17,581	2.36 %	100.00 %
	Polkton	745,671	2,250	2,250	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Raeford	745,671	4,559	4,559	0.61 %	100.00 %
	Red Cross	745,671	762	762	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Red Springs (Hoke)	745,671	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Richfield	745,671	582	582	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Rockingham	745,671	9,243	9,243	1.24 %	100.00 %
	Southern Pines	745,671	15,545	15,545	2.08 %	100.00 %
	Stallings (Mecklenburg)	745,671	384	384	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Stallings (Union)	745,671	15,728	15,728	2.11 %	100.00 %
	Stanfield	745,671	1,585	1,585	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Star	745,671	806	806	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Taylortown	745,671	634	634	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Troy	745,671	2,850	2,850	0.38 %	100.00 %
	Unionville	745,671	6,643	6,643	0.89 %	100.00 %
Vass	745,671	952	952	0.13 %	100.00 %	
Wadesboro	745,671	5,008	5,008	0.67 %	100.00 %	
Wagram	745,671	615	615	0.08 %	100.00 %	
Waxhaw	745,671	20,534	20,534	2.75 %	100.00 %	
Weddington (Mecklenburg)	745,671	5	5	0.00 %	100.00 %	
Weddington (Union)	745,671	13,176	13,176	1.77 %	100.00 %	
Wesley Chapel	745,671	8,681	8,681	1.16 %	100.00 %	

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: CBA-2

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
6	Whispering Pines	745,671	4,987	4,987	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Wingate	745,671	4,055	4,055	0.54 %	100.00 %
7	Archdale (Guilford)	745,670	380	130	0.02 %	34.21 %
	Archdale (Randolph)	745,670	11,527	11,527	1.55 %	100.00 %
	Asheboro	745,670	27,156	27,156	3.64 %	100.00 %
	Bermuda Run	745,670	3,120	3,120	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Broadway (Lee)	745,670	1,267	1,267	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Cameron	745,670	244	244	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Candor (Moore)	745,670	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Carthage	745,670	2,775	2,747	0.37 %	98.99 %
	Cary (Chatham)	745,670	3,709	3,709	0.50 %	100.00 %
	Clemmons	745,670	21,163	21,163	2.84 %	100.00 %
	Cooleemee	745,670	940	940	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Denton	745,670	1,494	1,494	0.20 %	100.00 %
	East Spencer	745,670	1,567	1,567	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Faith	745,670	819	819	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Franklinville	745,670	1,197	1,197	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Goldston	745,670	234	234	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Granite Quarry	745,670	2,984	2,984	0.40 %	100.00 %
	High Point (Davidson)	745,670	6,646	6,646	0.89 %	100.00 %
	High Point (Guilford)	745,670	107,321	83,678	11.22 %	77.97 %
	High Point (Randolph)	745,670	8	8	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Jamestown	745,670	3,668	36	0.00 %	0.98 %
	Lewisville	745,670	13,381	11,728	1.57 %	87.65 %
	Lexington	745,670	19,632	19,632	2.63 %	100.00 %
	Liberty	745,670	2,655	2,655	0.36 %	100.00 %
	Midway	745,670	4,742	4,742	0.64 %	100.00 %
	Mocksville	745,670	5,900	5,900	0.79 %	100.00 %
	Pittsboro	745,670	4,537	4,537	0.61 %	100.00 %
	Ramseur	745,670	1,774	1,774	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Randleman	745,670	4,595	4,595	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Robbins	745,670	1,168	1,168	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Rockwell	745,670	2,302	2,302	0.31 %	100.00 %
	Salisbury	745,670	35,540	35,540	4.77 %	100.00 %
	Sanford	745,670	30,261	30,261	4.06 %	100.00 %
Seagrove	745,670	235	235	0.03 %	100.00 %	
Siler City	745,670	7,702	7,702	1.03 %	100.00 %	
Spencer	745,670	3,308	3,308	0.44 %	100.00 %	
Staley	745,670	397	397	0.05 %	100.00 %	
Thomasville (Davidson)	745,670	26,662	26,662	3.58 %	100.00 %	
Thomasville (Randolph)	745,670	521	521	0.07 %	100.00 %	

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: CBA-2

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
7	Trinity	745,670	7,006	7,006	0.94 %	100.00 %
	Wallburg	745,670	3,051	3,051	0.41 %	100.00 %
	Winston-Salem	745,670	249,545	9,527	1.28 %	3.82 %
8	Alamance	745,670	988	988	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Archdale (Guilford)	745,670	380	250	0.03 %	65.79 %
	Burlington (Alamance)	745,670	55,481	55,481	7.44 %	100.00 %
	Burlington (Guilford)	745,670	1,822	1,822	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Carrboro	745,670	21,295	17,399	2.33 %	81.70 %
	Chapel Hill (Orange)	745,670	59,054	32,801	4.40 %	55.54 %
	Durham (Orange)	745,670	144	144	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Elon	745,670	11,336	11,336	1.52 %	100.00 %
	Gibsonville (Alamance)	745,670	4,278	4,278	0.57 %	100.00 %
	Gibsonville (Guilford)	745,670	4,642	4,642	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Graham	745,670	17,157	17,157	2.30 %	100.00 %
	Green Level	745,670	3,152	3,152	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Greensboro	745,670	299,035	299,035	40.10 %	100.00 %
	Haw River	745,670	2,252	2,252	0.30 %	100.00 %
	High Point (Guilford)	745,670	107,321	23,643	3.17 %	22.03 %
	Hillsborough	745,670	9,660	9,660	1.30 %	100.00 %
	Jamestown	745,670	3,668	3,632	0.49 %	99.02 %
	Kernersville (Guilford)	745,670	502	502	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Mebane (Alamance)	745,670	14,626	14,626	1.96 %	100.00 %
	Mebane (Orange)	745,670	3,171	3,171	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Oak Ridge	745,670	7,474	7,474	1.00 %	100.00 %
	Ossipee	745,670	536	536	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Pleasant Garden	745,670	5,000	5,000	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Sedalia	745,670	676	676	0.09 %	100.00 %
Stokesdale	745,670	5,924	5,924	0.79 %	100.00 %	
Summerfield	745,670	10,951	10,951	1.47 %	100.00 %	
Swepsonville	745,670	2,445	2,445	0.33 %	100.00 %	
Whitsett	745,670	584	584	0.08 %	100.00 %	
9	Angier (Wake)	745,671	556	556	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Apex	745,671	58,780	58,780	7.88 %	100.00 %
	Carrboro	745,671	21,295	3,896	0.52 %	18.30 %
	Cary (Wake)	745,671	171,012	153,504	20.59 %	89.76 %
	Chapel Hill (Durham)	745,671	2,906	2,906	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Chapel Hill (Orange)	745,671	59,054	26,253	3.52 %	44.46 %
	Durham (Durham)	745,671	283,093	283,093	37.96 %	100.00 %
	Durham (Wake)	745,671	269	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	745,671	34,152	33,642	4.51 %	98.51 %
	Garner	745,671	31,159	0	0.00 %	0.00 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: CBA-2

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
9	Holly Springs	745,671	41,239	41,239	5.53 %	100.00 %
	Morrisville (Durham)	745,671	207	207	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Morrisville (Wake)	745,671	29,423	29,423	3.95 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Durham)	745,671	1,559	1,559	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	745,671	466,106	12,644	1.70 %	2.71 %
10	Cary (Wake)	745,671	171,012	17,508	2.35 %	10.24 %
	Clayton (Wake)	745,671	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Durham (Wake)	745,671	269	269	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	745,671	34,152	510	0.07 %	1.49 %
	Garner	745,671	31,159	31,159	4.18 %	100.00 %
	Knightdale	745,671	19,435	19,435	2.61 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	745,671	466,106	453,462	60.81 %	97.29 %
	Rolesville	745,671	9,475	9,475	1.27 %	100.00 %
	Wake Forest (Wake)	745,671	46,097	46,097	6.18 %	100.00 %
	Wendell	745,671	9,793	9,793	1.31 %	100.00 %
	Zebulon (Wake)	745,671	6,903	6,903	0.93 %	100.00 %
11	Ahoskie	745,670	4,891	4,891	0.66 %	100.00 %
	Askewville	745,670	184	184	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Aulander	745,670	763	763	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Bailey	745,670	568	568	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Bear Grass	745,670	89	89	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Black Creek	745,670	692	692	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Bunn	745,670	327	327	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Butner	745,670	8,397	8,397	1.13 %	100.00 %
	Castalia	745,670	264	264	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Cofield	745,670	267	267	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Colerain	745,670	217	217	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Como	745,670	67	67	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Conetoe	745,670	198	198	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Conway	745,670	752	752	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Creedmoor	745,670	4,866	4,866	0.65 %	100.00 %
	Creswell	745,670	207	207	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Dortches	745,670	1,082	1,082	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Edenton	745,670	4,460	4,460	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Elizabeth City (Camden)	745,670	38	38	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Elizabeth City (Pasquotank)	745,670	18,593	18,593	2.49 %	100.00 %
	Elm City (Nash)	745,670	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Elm City (Wilson)	745,670	1,218	1,218	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Enfield	745,670	1,865	1,865	0.25 %	100.00 %
Everetts	745,670	150	150	0.02 %	100.00 %	
Fountain	745,670	385	385	0.05 %	100.00 %	

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: CBA-2

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
11	Franklinton	745,670	2,456	2,456	0.33 %	100.00 %
	Garysburg	745,670	904	904	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Gaston	745,670	1,008	1,008	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Gatesville	745,670	267	267	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Halifax	745,670	170	170	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Hamilton	745,670	306	306	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Harrellsville	745,670	85	85	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Hassell	745,670	49	49	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Henderson	745,670	15,060	15,060	2.02 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	745,670	1,934	1,934	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Hobgood	745,670	268	268	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Hookerton	745,670	413	413	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Jackson	745,670	430	430	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Jamesville	745,670	424	424	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Kelford	745,670	203	203	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Kenly (Wilson)	745,670	198	198	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Kittrell	745,670	132	132	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Lasker	745,670	64	64	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Leggett	745,670	37	37	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Lewiston Woodville	745,670	426	426	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Littleton	745,670	559	559	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Louisburg	745,670	3,064	3,064	0.41 %	100.00 %
	Lucama	745,670	1,036	1,036	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Macclesfield	745,670	413	413	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Macon	745,670	110	110	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Middleburg	745,670	101	101	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Middlesex	745,670	912	912	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Milton	745,670	155	155	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Momeyer	745,670	277	277	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Murfreesboro	745,670	2,619	2,619	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Nashville	745,670	5,632	5,632	0.76 %	100.00 %
	Norlina	745,670	920	920	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Oak City	745,670	266	266	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Oxford	745,670	8,628	8,628	1.16 %	100.00 %
Parmele	745,670	243	243	0.03 %	100.00 %	
Pinetops	745,670	1,200	1,200	0.16 %	100.00 %	
Plymouth	745,670	3,320	3,320	0.45 %	100.00 %	
Powellsville	745,670	189	189	0.03 %	100.00 %	
Princeville	745,670	1,254	1,254	0.17 %	100.00 %	
Red Oak	745,670	3,342	3,342	0.45 %	100.00 %	
Rich Square	745,670	894	894	0.12 %	100.00 %	

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: CBA-2

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
11	Roanoke Rapids	745,670	15,229	15,229	2.04 %	100.00 %
	Robersonville	745,670	1,269	1,269	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Rocky Mount (Edgecombe)	745,670	15,414	15,414	2.07 %	100.00 %
	Rocky Mount (Nash)	745,670	38,927	38,927	5.22 %	100.00 %
	Roper	745,670	485	485	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Roxboro	745,670	8,134	8,134	1.09 %	100.00 %
	Roxobel	745,670	187	187	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Saratoga	745,670	353	353	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Scotland Neck	745,670	1,640	1,640	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Seaboard	745,670	542	542	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Severn	745,670	191	191	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Sharpsburg (Edgecombe)	745,670	215	215	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Sharpsburg (Nash)	745,670	1,061	1,061	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Sharpsburg (Wilson)	745,670	421	421	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Sims	745,670	275	275	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Snow Hill	745,670	1,481	1,481	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Speed	745,670	63	63	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Spring Hope	745,670	1,309	1,309	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Stantonsburg	745,670	762	762	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Stem	745,670	960	960	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Stovall	745,670	324	324	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Tarboro	745,670	10,721	10,721	1.44 %	100.00 %
	Wake Forest (Franklin)	745,670	1,504	1,504	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Walstonburg	745,670	193	193	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Warrenton	745,670	851	851	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Weldon	745,670	1,444	1,444	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Whitakers (Edgecombe)	745,670	290	290	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Whitakers (Nash)	745,670	337	337	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Williamston	745,670	5,248	5,248	0.70 %	100.00 %
	Wilson	745,670	47,851	47,851	6.42 %	100.00 %
Windsor	745,670	3,582	3,582	0.48 %	100.00 %	
Winfall	745,670	555	555	0.07 %	100.00 %	
Winton	745,670	629	629	0.08 %	100.00 %	
Woodland	745,670	557	557	0.07 %	100.00 %	
Yanceyville	745,670	1,937	1,937	0.26 %	100.00 %	
Youngsville	745,670	2,016	2,016	0.27 %	100.00 %	
12	Alliance	745,670	733	733	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Arapahoe	745,670	416	416	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Atlantic Beach	745,670	1,364	1,364	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Aurora	745,670	455	455	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Ayden	745,670	4,977	4,977	0.67 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: CBA-2

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
12	Bath	745,670	245	245	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Bayboro	745,670	1,161	1,161	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Beaufort	745,670	4,464	4,464	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Belhaven	745,670	1,410	1,410	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Bethel	745,670	1,373	1,373	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Beulaville	745,670	1,116	1,116	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Bogue	745,670	695	695	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Bridgeton	745,670	349	349	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Cape Carteret	745,670	2,224	2,224	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Cedar Point	745,670	1,764	1,764	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Chocowinity	745,670	722	722	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Columbia	745,670	610	610	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Cove City	745,670	378	378	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Dover	745,670	349	349	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Duck	745,670	742	742	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Emerald Isle	745,670	3,847	3,847	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Falkland	745,670	47	47	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Farmville	745,670	4,461	4,461	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Grantsboro	745,670	692	692	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Greenevers	745,670	567	567	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Greenville	745,670	87,521	87,521	11.74 %	100.00 %
	Grifton (Lenoir)	745,670	147	147	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Grifton (Pitt)	745,670	2,301	2,301	0.31 %	100.00 %
	Grimesland	745,670	386	386	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Harrells (Duplin)	745,670	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Havelock	745,670	16,621	16,621	2.23 %	100.00 %
	Holly Ridge	745,670	4,171	4,171	0.56 %	100.00 %
	Indian Beach	745,670	223	223	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Jacksonville	745,670	72,723	72,723	9.75 %	100.00 %
	Kenansville	745,670	770	770	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Kill Devil Hills	745,670	7,656	7,656	1.03 %	100.00 %
	Kinston	745,670	19,900	19,900	2.67 %	100.00 %
	Kitty Hawk	745,670	3,689	3,689	0.49 %	100.00 %
	La Grange	745,670	2,595	2,595	0.35 %	100.00 %
Magnolia	745,670	831	1	0.00 %	0.12 %	
Manteo	745,670	1,600	1,600	0.21 %	100.00 %	
Maysville	745,670	818	818	0.11 %	100.00 %	
Mesic	745,670	144	144	0.02 %	100.00 %	
Minnesott Beach	745,670	530	530	0.07 %	100.00 %	
Morehead City	745,670	9,556	9,556	1.28 %	100.00 %	
Nags Head	745,670	3,168	3,168	0.42 %	100.00 %	

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: CBA-2

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
12	New Bern	745,670	31,291	31,291	4.20 %	100.00 %
	Newport	745,670	4,364	4,364	0.59 %	100.00 %
	North Topsail Beach	745,670	1,005	1,005	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Oriental	745,670	880	880	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Pantego	745,670	164	164	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Peletier	745,670	769	769	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Pine Knoll Shores	745,670	1,388	1,388	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Pink Hill	745,670	451	451	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Pollocksville	745,670	268	268	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Richlands	745,670	2,287	2,287	0.31 %	100.00 %
	River Bend	745,670	2,902	2,902	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Rose Hill	745,670	1,371	1,371	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Simpson	745,670	390	390	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Southern Shores	745,670	3,090	3,090	0.41 %	100.00 %
	Stonewall	745,670	214	214	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Surf City (Onslow)	745,670	334	334	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Swansboro	745,670	3,744	3,744	0.50 %	100.00 %
	Teachey	745,670	448	448	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Trent Woods	745,670	4,074	4,074	0.55 %	100.00 %
	Trenton	745,670	238	238	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Vanceboro	745,670	869	869	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Vandemere	745,670	246	246	0.03 %	100.00 %
Wallace (Duplin)	745,670	3,413	3,413	0.46 %	100.00 %	
Warsaw	745,670	2,733	5	0.00 %	0.18 %	
Washington	745,670	9,875	9,875	1.32 %	100.00 %	
Washington Park	745,670	392	392	0.05 %	100.00 %	
Winterville	745,670	10,462	10,462	1.40 %	100.00 %	
13	Angier (Harnett)	745,671	4,709	4,709	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Archer Lodge	745,671	4,797	4,797	0.64 %	100.00 %
	Benson (Harnett)	745,671	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Benson (Johnston)	745,671	3,967	3,967	0.53 %	100.00 %
	Broadway (Harnett)	745,671	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Clayton (Johnston)	745,671	26,307	26,307	3.53 %	100.00 %
	Coats	745,671	2,155	2,155	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Dunn	745,671	8,446	8,446	1.13 %	100.00 %
	Eastover	745,671	3,656	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Erwin	745,671	4,542	4,542	0.61 %	100.00 %
	Eureka	745,671	214	214	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Falcon (Cumberland)	745,671	324	324	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Fayetteville	745,671	208,501	205,005	27.49 %	98.32 %
	Four Oaks	745,671	2,158	2,158	0.29 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: CBA-2

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
13	Fremont	745,671	1,196	1,196	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Harnett)	745,671	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Godwin	745,671	128	128	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Goldsboro	745,671	33,657	33,657	4.51 %	100.00 %
	Hope Mills	745,671	17,808	15,900	2.13 %	89.29 %
	Kenly (Johnston)	745,671	1,293	1,293	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Lillington	745,671	4,735	4,735	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Linden	745,671	136	136	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Micro	745,671	458	458	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Mount Olive (Wayne)	745,671	4,193	4,193	0.56 %	100.00 %
	Pikeville	745,671	712	712	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Pine Level	745,671	2,046	2,046	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Princeton	745,671	1,315	1,315	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Selma	745,671	6,317	6,317	0.85 %	100.00 %
	Seven Springs	745,671	55	55	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Smithfield	745,671	11,292	11,292	1.51 %	100.00 %
	Spring Lake	745,671	11,660	11,660	1.56 %	100.00 %
	Wade	745,671	638	638	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Walnut Creek	745,671	1,084	1,084	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Wilson's Mills	745,671	2,534	2,534	0.34 %	100.00 %
Zebulon (Johnston)	745,671	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
14	Atkinson	745,671	296	296	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Autoryville	745,671	167	167	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Bald Head Island	745,671	268	268	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Belville	745,671	2,406	2,406	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Bladenboro	745,671	1,648	1,648	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Boardman	745,671	166	166	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Boiling Spring Lakes	745,671	5,943	5,943	0.80 %	100.00 %
	Bolivia	745,671	149	149	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Bolton	745,671	519	519	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Brunswick	745,671	973	973	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Burgaw	745,671	3,088	3,088	0.41 %	100.00 %
	Calabash	745,671	2,011	2,011	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Calypso	745,671	327	327	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Carolina Beach	745,671	6,564	6,564	0.88 %	100.00 %
	Carolina Shores	745,671	4,588	4,588	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Caswell Beach	745,671	395	395	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Cerro Gordo	745,671	131	131	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Chadbourn	745,671	1,574	1,574	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Clarkton	745,671	614	614	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Clinton	745,671	8,383	8,383	1.12 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: CBA-2

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
14	Dublin	745,671	267	267	0.04 %	100.00 %
	East Arcadia	745,671	418	418	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Eastover	745,671	3,656	3,656	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Elizabethtown	745,671	3,296	3,296	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Fair Bluff	745,671	709	709	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Fairmont	745,671	2,191	2,191	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Faison (Duplin)	745,671	784	784	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Faison (Sampson)	745,671	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Falcon (Sampson)	745,671	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Fayetteville	745,671	208,501	3,496	0.47 %	1.68 %
	Garland	745,671	595	595	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Harrells (Sampson)	745,671	160	160	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Holden Beach	745,671	921	921	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Hope Mills	745,671	17,808	1,908	0.26 %	10.71 %
	Kure Beach	745,671	2,191	2,191	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Lake Waccamaw	745,671	1,296	1,296	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Leland	745,671	22,908	22,908	3.07 %	100.00 %
	Lumber Bridge	745,671	82	82	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Lumberton	745,671	19,025	19,025	2.55 %	100.00 %
	Magnolia	745,671	831	830	0.11 %	99.88 %
	Marietta	745,671	111	111	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Maxton (Robeson)	745,671	1,902	1,902	0.26 %	100.00 %
	McDonald	745,671	94	94	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Mount Olive (Duplin)	745,671	5	5	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Navassa	745,671	1,367	1,367	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Newton Grove	745,671	585	585	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Northwest	745,671	703	703	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Oak Island	745,671	8,396	8,396	1.13 %	100.00 %
	Ocean Isle Beach	745,671	867	867	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Orrum	745,671	59	59	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Parkton	745,671	504	504	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Pembroke	745,671	2,823	2,823	0.38 %	100.00 %
	Proctorville	745,671	121	121	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Raynham	745,671	60	60	0.01 %	100.00 %
Red Springs (Robeson)	745,671	3,087	3,087	0.41 %	100.00 %	
Rennert	745,671	275	275	0.04 %	100.00 %	
Roseboro	745,671	1,163	1,163	0.16 %	100.00 %	
Rowland	745,671	885	885	0.12 %	100.00 %	
Salemburg	745,671	457	457	0.06 %	100.00 %	
Sandy Creek	745,671	248	248	0.03 %	100.00 %	
Sandyfield	745,671	430	430	0.06 %	100.00 %	

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 10/14/2021

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Districts included: All

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: CBA-2

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
14	Shallotte	745,671	4,185	4,185	0.56 %	100.00 %
	Southport	745,671	3,971	3,971	0.53 %	100.00 %
	St. Helena	745,671	417	417	0.06 %	100.00 %
	St. James	745,671	6,529	6,529	0.88 %	100.00 %
	St. Pauls	745,671	2,045	2,045	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Stedman	745,671	1,277	1,277	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Sunset Beach	745,671	4,175	4,175	0.56 %	100.00 %
	Surf City (Pender)	745,671	3,533	3,533	0.47 %	100.00 %
	Tabor City	745,671	3,781	3,781	0.51 %	100.00 %
	Tar Heel	745,671	90	90	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Topsail Beach	745,671	461	461	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Turkey	745,671	213	213	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Varnamtown	745,671	525	525	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Wallace (Pender)	745,671	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Warsaw	745,671	2,733	2,728	0.37 %	99.82 %
	Watha	745,671	181	181	0.02 %	100.00 %
	White Lake	745,671	843	843	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Whiteville	745,671	4,766	4,766	0.64 %	100.00 %
	Wilmington	745,671	115,451	115,451	15.48 %	100.00 %
Wrightsville Beach	745,671	2,473	2,473	0.33 %	100.00 %	
Total:				6,017,605		

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report**District Plan: CBA-2**

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
1	Avery	3	1
	Buncombe	79	0
	Cherokee	16	0
	Clay	9	0
	Graham	4	0
	Haywood	29	0
	Henderson	34	0
	Jackson	13	0
	Macon	15	0
	Madison	12	0
	McDowell	17	0
	Mitchell	9	0
	Polk	7	0
	Swain	5	0
	Transylvania	15	0
Yancey	11	0	
2	Burke	33	0
	Cleveland	21	0
	Gaston	46	0
	Lincoln	23	0
	Mecklenburg	18	1
	Rutherford	17	0
3	Alexander	10	0
	Cabarrus	40	0
	Caldwell	20	0
	Catawba	40	0
	Iredell	29	0
	Rowan	15	1
4	Alleghany	4	0
	Ashe	17	0
	Avery	15	1
	Forsyth	89	1
	Rockingham	15	0
	Stokes	18	0
	Surry	24	0
	Watauga	20	0
	Wilkes	27	0
Yadkin	12	0	
5	Mecklenburg	132	2

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report**District Plan: CBA-2**

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
6	Anson	9	0
	Hoke	15	0
	Mecklenburg	43	1
	Montgomery	14	0
	Moore	20	1
	Richmond	16	0
	Scotland	7	0
	Stanly	22	0
	Union	52	0
7	Chatham	18	0
	Davidson	43	0
	Davie	14	0
	Forsyth	11	1
	Guilford	28	2
	Lee	10	0
	Moore	5	1
	Randolph	22	0
	Rowan	25	1
8	Alamance	37	0
	Guilford	135	2
	Orange	32	1
9	Durham	57	0
	Orange	8	1
	Person	1	1
	Wake	57	1
10	Wake	146	1

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
11	Bertie	12	0
	Camden	3	0
	Caswell	9	0
	Chowan	6	0
	Currituck	11	0
	Edgecombe	21	0
	Franklin	18	0
	Gates	6	0
	Granville	15	0
	Greene	10	0
	Halifax	23	0
	Hertford	13	0
	Martin	13	0
	Nash	24	0
	Northampton	13	0
	Pasquotank	9	0
	Perquimans	7	0
	Person	9	1
	Pitt	0	2
	Vance	12	0
Warren	14	0	
Washington	6	0	
Wilson	24	0	
12	Beaufort	21	0
	Carteret	28	0
	Craven	21	0
	Dare	16	0
	Duplin	14	1
	Hyde	7	0
	Jones	7	0
	Lenoir	22	0
	Onslow	24	0
	Pamlico	10	0
	Pitt	38	2
	Tyrrell	6	0
13	Cumberland	63	1
	Harnett	13	0
	Johnston	36	0
	Wayne	28	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: CBA-2

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
14	Bladen	17	0
	Brunswick	25	0
	Columbus	26	0
	Cumberland	12	1
	Duplin	4	1
	New Hanover	43	0
	Pender	20	0
	Robeson	39	0
	Sampson	23	0
Total:		2,651	15

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: CBA-2

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Alamance	37	0
Alexander	10	0
Alleghany	4	0
Anson	9	0
Ashe	17	0
Avery	18	1
Beaufort	21	0
Bertie	12	0
Bladen	17	0
Brunswick	25	0
Buncombe	79	0
Burke	33	0
Cabarrus	40	0
Caldwell	20	0
Camden	3	0
Carteret	28	0
Caswell	9	0
Catawba	40	0
Chatham	18	0
Cherokee	16	0
Chowan	6	0
Clay	9	0
Cleveland	21	0
Columbus	26	0
Craven	21	0
Cumberland	75	1
Currituck	11	0
Dare	16	0
Davidson	43	0
Davie	14	0
Duplin	18	1
Durham	57	0
Edgecombe	21	0
Forsyth	100	1
Franklin	18	0
Gaston	46	0
Gates	6	0
Graham	4	0
Granville	15	0
Greene	10	0
Guilford	163	2
Halifax	23	0
Harnett	13	0

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Based on TIGER 2020 VTDs

[G20-VTD-Sbc] - Generated 10/14/2021

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report**District Plan: CBA-2**

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Haywood	29	0
Henderson	34	0
Hertford	13	0
Hoke	15	0
Hyde	7	0
Iredell	29	0
Jackson	13	0
Johnston	36	0
Jones	7	0
Lee	10	0
Lenoir	22	0
Lincoln	23	0
Macon	15	0
Madison	12	0
Martin	13	0
McDowell	17	0
Mecklenburg	193	2
Mitchell	9	0
Montgomery	14	0
Moore	25	1
Nash	24	0
New Hanover	43	0
Northampton	13	0
Onslow	24	0
Orange	40	1
Pamlico	10	0
Pasquotank	9	0
Pender	20	0
Perquimans	7	0
Person	10	1
Pitt	38	2
Polk	7	0
Randolph	22	0
Richmond	16	0
Robeson	39	0
Rockingham	15	0
Rowan	40	1
Rutherford	17	0
Sampson	23	0
Scotland	7	0
Stanly	22	0
Stokes	18	0
Surry	24	0

District plan definition file: 'CBA-2.csv', modified 10/14/2021 3:54 PM

Based on TIGER 2020 VTDs

[G20-VTD-Sbc] - Generated 10/14/2021

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report**District Plan: CBA-2**

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Swain	5	0
Transylvania	15	0
Tyrrell	6	0
Union	52	0
Vance	12	0
Wake	203	1
Warren	14	0
Washington	6	0
Watauga	20	0
Wayne	28	0
Wilkes	27	0
Wilson	24	0
Yadkin	12	0
Yancey	11	0
Totals:	2,651	15

Split VTD Detail Report

District Plan: CBA-2

County	VTD	District	Total VTD Population	VTD Pop in District	Percent of VTD Pop in District
Avery	007	1	286	263	91.96 %
		4	286	23	8.04 %
Cumberland	G7B	13	1,718	1,391	80.97 %
		14	1,718	327	19.03 %
Duplin	WOLF	12	2,954	2,891	97.87 %
		14	2,954	63	2.13 %
Forsyth	133	4	8,335	381	4.57 %
		7	8,335	7,954	95.43 %
Guilford	H06	7	4,379	1,682	38.41 %
		8	4,379	2,697	61.59 %
	JAM1	7	3,406	29	0.85 %
		8	3,406	3,377	99.15 %
Mecklenburg	106	5	2,228	1,447	64.95 %
		6	2,228	781	35.05 %
	210	2	9,419	1,854	19.68 %
		5	9,419	7,565	80.32 %
Moore	CAM	6	3,981	344	8.64 %
		7	3,981	3,637	91.36 %
Orange	WD	8	4,206	1,238	29.43 %
		9	4,206	2,968	70.57 %
Person	FLRI	9	7,407	2,492	33.64 %
		11	7,407	4,915	66.36 %
Pitt	800A	11	3,298	0	0.00 %
		12	3,298	3,298	100.00 %
	901	11	1,251	920	73.54 %
		12	1,251	331	26.46 %
Rowan	032	3	2,349	756	32.18 %
		7	2,349	1,593	67.82 %
Wake	07-10	9	7,926	735	9.27 %
		10	7,926	7,191	90.73 %
Total:				63,143	

Number of split VTDs: 15


Incumbent-District Report**District Plan: CBA-2****Residence Set: Congress - 9/22/2021**

Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District	District in this Plan
Adams	Alma	Democratic	12	5
Bishop	Dan	Republican	9	6
Budd	Ted	Republican	13	7
Butterfield	GK	Democratic	1	11
Cawthorn	Madison	Republican	11	1
Foxx	Virginia	Republican	5	4
Hudson	Richard	Republican	8	3
Manning	Kathy	Democratic	6	8
McHenry	Patrick	Republican	10	2
Murphy	Greg	Republican	3	12
Price	David	Democratic	4	9
Ross	Deborah	Democratic	2	10
Rouzer	David	Republican	7	14

District-Incumbent Report**District Plan: CBA-2****Residence Set: Congress - 9/22/2021**


District in this Plan	Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District
1	Cawthorn	Madison	Republican	11
2	McHenry	Patrick	Republican	10
3	Hudson	Richard	Republican	8
4	Foxx	Virginia	Republican	5
5	Adams	Alma	Democratic	12
6	Bishop	Dan	Republican	9
7	Budd	Ted	Republican	13
8	Manning	Kathy	Democratic	6
9	Price	David	Democratic	4
10	Ross	Deborah	Democratic	2
11	Butterfield	GK	Democratic	1
12	Murphy	Greg	Republican	3
13				
14	Rouzer	David	Republican	7

CBA-2



Legend







- District
- County



CBA-2

Districts

1	8	County
2	9	VTD
3	10	Insets only
4	11	
5	12	
6	13	
7	14	



From: "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/26/2021 2:51:55 PM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Special webex 5:30 Redistricting

Please be aware that we will not be using the traditional link for members to join the 5:30pm meeting today. Members will all need to join using the following link:

<https://ncleg.webex.com/ncleg/j.php?MTID=m1eea18a079bb74d47a385330bb987922>

This will be different than normal webex meetings in that you will not be able to unmute yourselves and the chat has been disabled.

Best,

Chandra Reed

Clerk Assistant | Rep. Destin Hall | 919.301.1638

1429 Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27603

From: "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)"
<Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/27/2021 8:14:12 AM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Webex 643 9AM-5PM
Attachments: House Committee on Redistricting Agenda for 10-27-21 - 9_00 AM - 5_00 PM.pdf

Your upcoming virtual committee meeting on House Committee on Redistricting can be accessed from the following link:

<https://ncleg.webex.com/meet/LOB643>

A few friendly reminders:

- Your virtual meeting should be available at least 10 minutes prior to your scheduled meeting time to address any technical problems.
- It is **very important** that this link not be shared. Anyone with the link can access your meeting and there is a limit of the number of participants.
- Non-committee members, staff, and members of the public should plan to listen to the meeting through the audio stream link available on <https://www.ncleg.gov/audio> or watch the meeting live stream at <https://www.ncleg.gov/video>
- Once the meeting is called to order, the meeting will be locked to prevent additional participants from joining automatically. This is for the security of your meeting. Once the meeting is locked, late joining participants will be entered in to a "lobby" until approved to join by the meeting host.
- More information about virtual committee meetings, installing the application, and other ways to work remote with NCGA resources is available at <https://remote.ncleg.gov>

If you have any technical difficulties while trying to access your meeting or during the meeting itself, please contact ISD Support by one of the following methods:

- Email: ISDSupport@ncleg.gov
- Web: <https://isdsupport.ncleg.gov>

Phone: (919) 715-7825

Best,

Chandra Reed

Clerk Assistant | Rep. Destin Hall | 919.301.1638

1429 Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27603



**House Committee on Redistricting
Wednesday, October 27, 2021, 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM
643 Legislative Office Building**

AGENDA

Welcome and Opening Remarks

Introduction of Sergeant-at-Arms

Presentations

Other Business

Adjournment

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)"
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/27/2021 12:17:21 PM -0400
To: "Andrew Stiffel (Sen. Paul Newton)"
<Andrew.Stiffel@ncleg.gov>; "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>; "Andy Perrigo (Sen. Warren Daniel)" <Andy.Perrigo@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; "Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; "Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office)" <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; "Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; "Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton)" <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>; "Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)" <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: 10.25.21 In Person hearings, attendance and sign up sheets
Attachments: 10.25.21 In Person.Caldwell.pdf; 10.25.21 In Person Wake.pdf; 10.25.21 In Person.New Hanover.pdf; 10.25.21 In person.Speakers.pdf

Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578

Public Hearing Site Caldwell Community College

Public Hearing Date 10/25/21

ATTENDANCE SHEET

	Name	County of Residence	Organization, if applicable.
1			
2	Melissa Patton	Caldwell	
3	Gymette Ramsay	Caldwell	CCRP
4	Roxan Wetzel	McDowell	McDowell County GOP
5	Rook Wetzel	McDowell	—
6	Lydia Daniel	Burke	Burke GOP
7	Barbara R-Myers	Burke	
8			
9			

VISITOR REGISTRATION SHEET

Room: Auditorium Redistricting

Date: 10/25/2021 3:00pm

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW

NAME	FIRM OR AGENCY AND ADDRESS
Nina Morley Daye	self 1503 Carolina Loop Rd Hillsborough, N.C. 27228
Phyllis Demko	League of Women Voters of NC
Brian J. Mattis	3429 Foothills Ln Raleigh, NC 27610
Dr. Ewen W. Ward	3112 Falconhurst Dr. Wake Forest, NC 27587
SREENATH SHARMA	1918 BEARKLING PLACE CHAPEL HILL, NC 27517
QUILAN, MARGARET	SELF: 1918 BEARKLING PL. CHAPEL HILL, NC 27517
Joel Gallagher	Indivisible Guilford County 206 Westminster Drive, Greensboro NC 27410
Kathy Wheeler	7920 Wind-spray Dr, Summerfield NC 27358
Jim Saintsing	531 Woodvale Dr Greensboro NC 27410
Linda Archer	3505 Santorals Crct Calyon, NC 27235
Vanessa Brown	Self 500 Westminster Dr. #A303 Chapel Hill NC 27516
Devin Carr	Self
Ronald Haus	Self

VISITOR REGISTRATION SHEET

Room: Auditorium Redistricting

Date: 10/25/2021 3:00pm

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW

NAME	FIRM OR AGENCY AND ADDRESS
Gil Pagan	Wake Hispanic GOP
Nancy Moxley	League of Women Voters Wake

New Hanover County Joint Redistricting Meeting

October 25, 2021 3:00 PM NC-**Wilmington**

House Sergeant-At-Arms

**Jonas Cherry
Stafford Young**

Senate Sergeant-At-Arms

**Linda Matthews
Sheree Hedrick**

General Assembly Police Officers

**Sgt. Kane
Officer Jones**



Visitor Sign-in


New Hanover County

Redistricting Meeting

October 25, 2021 3:00 PM NC Wilmington

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW AND RETURN TO COMMITTEE CLERK

<u>NAME</u>	<u>FIRM OR AGENCY / BILL No.</u>
Ed Ablord	
Keith Graham	Crowd Fellow
Jessica DeGolyer	
Eileen Stephens	self
Dorian Crenshaw	NHCDP / NAACP
Luis Aguilar	
Bless Cole	



Visitor Sign-in

New Hanover County

Redistricting Meeting

October 25, 2021 3:00 PM NC Wilmington

VISITORS: PLEASE SIGN IN BELOW AND RETURN TO COMMITTEE CLERK

<u>NAME</u>	<u>FIRM OR AGENCY / BILL No.</u>
Manuel Mejia Diaz	
Mark Lanier	
Alexandra Slom	
Michael Jewel	BCDP
Sonya Patrick	NBLC
NAKYA Smith	Black Voters Matter
Tanner Agil	

Date Submitted	Name	Email	Organization	Time/Location
10/24/2021 16:37	Evelyn Maben-Hall	emabenhall@gmail.com	UCSD	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Caldwell Community College)
10/24/2021 17:40	Michael Kelley	michaekelleyb4@gmail.com	Buncombe County Veterans Treatment Court	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Caldwell Community College)
10/25/2021 11:00	Lynette Ramsey	Ramseyair@att.net	ACT Republican Women	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Caldwell Community College)
10/21/2021 19:12	Tyler Beall	tbeall@aol.com	Self	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/21/2021 19:29	KURT AREHART	klarehart@gmail.com	Private citizen	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/21/2021 19:39	Jenny Kotora Lynch	nalij@nc.rr.com	Individual	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/21/2021 19:48	Susan B. McGlanahan	susanbmclanahan@gmail.com	citizen	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/22/2021 8:12	Kathy Greggs	greggskathy@yahoo.com	Fayetteville PACT	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/22/2021 8:42	Gaithlin Metzger	caitlin.metzger@gmail.com	n/a	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/22/2021 9:25	Diana Young-Patva	dyoungpaiva@mac.com	Self	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/22/2021 9:43	Kathy Wheeler	kwheeler407@gmail.com	Self	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/22/2021 12:09	Francis Sokolowski	frank.soko.87@gmail.com	Registered Voter of Fuquay Varina, NC	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/22/2021 15:40	Andy Jaekson	frank.soko.87@gmail.com	John Locke Foundation	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/22/2021 15:14	Brian Mathis	ajackson@lockehq.org	Mathis for Wake County	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/22/2021 15:17	Alyett Colston	brian@brianjmathis.com	NC Citizen	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/22/2021 15:30	Phyllis Benker	aylettcolston@gmail.com	League of Women Voters of NC	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/22/2021 16:39	Jennifer Bremer	demko@lwvnc.org	Self	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/22/2021 16:48	Lewis Carson	Bremer.jennifer@gmail.com	Citizen	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/23/2021 10:10	Mina Daye	lewis.carson@frontier.com	NC/AE	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/24/2021 5:32	Paul Taylor	ninadaye@gmail.com	National Black Leadership Caucus North Coralli	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/24/2021 9:59	Aaron Hope	lawranc58@gmail.com	Constituent	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/24/2021 11:01	Victoria Shea	a24hope@gmail.com	none	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/24/2021 14:13	Minda Archer	victoria.shea32@yahoo.com	myself	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/24/2021 14:27	Joel Gallagher	llarcher@gmail.com	Indivisible Guilford County	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/24/2021 16:54	Bob Jones	gallagher.joel@gmail.com	None	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/24/2021 17:09	Angelina Echeverria	bob.jones@gmail.com	N/A	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/24/2021 17:35	Andrew Silver	angelina.ech@gmail.com	Carolina Jews for Justice	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/24/2021 17:45	Kristen Hawlik	agsilver@stanfordalumni.org	New North Carolina Project	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/22/2021 12:17	Deborah Maxwell	kristen@newnorthcarolinaproject	New Hanover County NAACP	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/22/2021 13:38	Eileen Stephens	nhcnaacp@gmail.com	Self	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (UNC Wilmington)
10/23/2021 13:15	Michael Rush	eileen60016@gmail.com	Brunswick County Democratic Party	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (UNC Wilmington)
10/23/2021 17:52	JAMES Ablard	mrush47@hotmail.com	NHC Democratic Party	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (UNC Wilmington)
10/24/2021 22:49	Manuel Mejia DA	eablard@gmail.com	None	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (UNC Wilmington)
10/25/2021 0:15	Sonya Bennetone Patrick	Manuelmgmd94@gmail.com	National Black Leadership Caucus	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (UNC Wilmington)
10/25/2021 7:07	Deborah Beroth	sbennetone@gmail.com	None	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (UNC Wilmington)
10/25/2021 8:01	William Farpley	Daberth@gmail.com	Chatham County citizen	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (UNC Wilmington)
		wtbarpley3@gmail.com		

Keith Graham

5
+
CJCS
CJCS

Date Submitted	Name	Email	Organization	Time/Location
10/24/2021 16:37	Evelyn Maben-Hall	emabenhall@gmail.com	UCSD	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Caldwell Community College)
10/24/2021 17:40	Michael Kelley	michaekelleyb4@gmail.com	Buncombe County Veterans Treatment Court	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Caldwell Community College)
10/25/2021 11:00	Lynette Ramsey	Ramseyair@att.net	ACT Republican Women	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Caldwell Community College)
10/21/2021 19:12	Tyler Beall	tbeall@aol.com	Self	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/21/2021 19:29	KURT AREHART	Klarehart@gmail.com	Private citizen	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/21/2021 19:39	Jenny Kotora-Lynch	nalclj@nc.rr.com	Individual	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/21/2021 19:48	Susan B. McClanahan	susanbmccclanahan@gmail.com	citizen	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/22/2021 8:12	Kathy Greggs	greggskathy@yahoo.com	Fayetteville PACT	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/22/2021 8:42	Caitlin Metzguer	caitlin.metzguer@gmail.com	n/a	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/22/2021 9:25	Diana Young-Paiva	dyoungpaiva@mac.com	Self	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/22/2021 9:43	Kathy Wheeler	kwheeler407@gmail.com	Self	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/22/2021 12:09	Francis Sokolowski	frank.soko.87@gmail.com	Registered Voter of Fuquay Varina, NC	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/22/2021 15:40	Andy Jackson	ajackson@lockehq.org	John Locke Foundation	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/22/2021 15:14	Brian Mathis	brian@brianmathis.com	Mathis for Wake County	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/22/2021 15:17	Aylett Colston	aylettcolston@gmail.com	NC Citizen	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/22/2021 15:30	Phyllis Demko	demko@lwnc.org	League of Women Voters of NC	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/22/2021 16:39	Jennifer Bremer	Bremer.jennifer@gmail.com	self	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/22/2021 16:48	Lewis Carson	lewis.carson@frontier.com	Citizen	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/23/2021 10:10	Nina Daye	ninadaye@gmail.com	NCAE	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/24/2021 5:32	Paul Taylor	lawrance58@gmail.com	National Black Leadership Caucus North Coralli	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/24/2021 9:59	Aaron Hope	a24hope@gmail.com	Constituent	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/24/2021 11:01	Victoria Shea	victoria.shea32@yahoo.com	none	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/24/2021 14:13	Linda Archer	llarcher@gmail.com	myself	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/24/2021 14:27	Joel Gallagher	gallagher.joel@gmail.com	Indivisible Guilford County	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/24/2021 16:54	Bob Jones	bob.jones@gmail.com	None	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/24/2021 17:09	Angeline Echeverria	angelina.ech@gmail.com	N/A	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)
10/24/2021 17:35	Andrew Silver	agsilver@stanfordalumni.org	Carolina Jews for Justice	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (UNC Wilmington)
10/24/2021 17:45	Kristen Havlik	kristen@newnorthcarolinaproject	New North Carolina Project	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (UNC Wilmington)
10/22/2021 12:17	Deborah Maxwell	nhcnaacp@gmail.com	New Hanover County NAACP	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (UNC Wilmington)
10/22/2021 13:38	Eileen Stephens	eileen60016@gmail.com	Self	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (UNC Wilmington)
10/23/2021 13:15	Michael Rush	mrush47@hotmail.com	Brunswick County Democratic Party	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (UNC Wilmington)
10/23/2021 17:52	James Ablard	eaablard@gmail.com	NHC Democratic Party	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (UNC Wilmington)
10/24/2021 22:49	Manuel Mejía-Díaz	Manuelmrgmd94@gmail.com	None	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (UNC Wilmington)
10/25/2021 0:15	Sonya Bennetone-Patrick	Sbennetone@gmail.com	National Black Leadership Caucus	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (UNC Wilmington)
10/25/2021 7:07	Deborah Beroth	Daberoth@gmail.com	None	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (UNC Wilmington)
10/25/2021 8:01	William Tarpley	wbtarpley3@gmail.com	Chatham County citizen	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (UNC Wilmington)

Keith Graham

21

22

Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25) Public Comment Sign-ups - LINC

Date Submitted	Name	Email	Organization	Category	Time/Location	Topic
10/21/2021 07:12 PM	Tyler Beall	tbeall@aol.com	Self	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/21/2021 07:29 PM	KURT AREHART	klarehart@gmail.com	Private citizen	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/21/2021 07:39 PM	Jenny Kotora-Lynch	nalcljj@nc.rr.com	individual	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/21/2021 07:48 PM	Susan B. McClanahan	susanbmccclanahan@gmail.com	citizen	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/22/2021 08:12 AM	Kathy Gregg	greggskathy@yahoo.com	Fayetteville PACT	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/22/2021 08:42 AM	Caitlin Metzguer	caitlin.metzguer@gmail.com	n/a	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/22/2021 09:25 AM	Diana Young-Paiva	dyoungpaiva@mac.com	Self	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/22/2021 09:43 AM	Kathy Wheeler	kwheeler407@gmail.com	self	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/22/2021 12:09 PM	Francis Sokolowski	frank.soko.87@gmail.com	Registered Voter of Fuquay Varina, NC	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)

Date Submitted	Name	Email	Organization	Category	Time/Location	Topic
10/22/2021 12:17 PM	Deborah Maxwell	nhcnaacp@gmail.com	New Hanover County NAACP	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (UNC Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/22/2021 12:40 PM	Andy Jackson	ajackson@lockehq.org	John Locke Foundation	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/22/2021 01:38 PM	Eileen Stephens	eileen60016@gmail.com	Self	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (UNC Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/22/2021 03:14 PM	Brian Mathis	brian@brianjmathis.com	Mathis for Wake County	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/22/2021 03:17 PM	Aylett Colston	aylettcolston@gmail.com	NC Citizen	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/22/2021 03:30 PM	Phyllis Demko	demko@lwvnc.org	League of Women Voters of NC	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/22/2021 04:39 PM	Jennifer Bremer	Bremer.jennifer@gmail.com	self	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/22/2021 04:48 PM	Lewis Carson	lewis.carson@frontier.com	Citizen	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/23/2021 10:10 AM	Nina Daye	ninadaye@gmail.com	NCAE	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/23/2021 01:15 PM	Michael Rush	mrush47@hotmail.com	Brunswick County Democratic Party	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (UNC Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/23/2021 05:52 PM	James Ablard	eablard@gmail.com	NHC Democratic Party	Redistricting Joint Public	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (UNC	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting

Date Submitted	Name	Email	Organization	Category	Time/Location	Topic
10/24/2021 05:32 AM	Paul Taylor	lawranc58@gmail.com	National Black Leadership Caucus North Coralina 8th Congressional District	Meeting Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	Wilmington) 10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)	(Monday 10/25) Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/24/2021 09:59 AM	Aaron Hope	a24hope@gmail.com	Constituent	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/24/2021 11:01 AM	Victoria Shea	victoria.shea32@yahoo.com	none	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/24/2021 02:13 PM	Linda Archer	llcarcher@gmail.com	myself	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/24/2021 02:27 PM	Joel Gallagher	gallagher.joel@gmail.com	Indivisible Guilford County	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/24/2021 04:37 PM	Evelyn Maben-Hall	emabenhall@gmail.com	UCSD	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Caldwell Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/24/2021 04:54 PM	Bob Jones	bob.jones@gmail.com	None	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/24/2021 05:09 PM	Angeline Echeverria	angeline.ech@gmail.com	N/A	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/24/2021 05:35 PM	Andrew Silver	agsilver@stanfordalumni.org	Carolina Jews for Justice	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/24/2021 05:40 PM	Michael Kelley	michaelkelleyb4@gmail.com	Buncombe County Veterans Treatment Court	Redistricting Joint Public	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Caldwell)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting

Date Submitted	Name	Email	Organization	Category	Time/Location	Topic
				Meeting	Community College)	(Monday 10/25)
10/24/2021 05:45 PM	Kristen Havlik	kristen@newnorthcarolinaproject.org	New North Carolina Project	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Legislative Building Auditorium)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/24/2021 10:49 PM	Manuel Mejía Díaz	Manuelmgmd94@gmail.com	None	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (UNC Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/25/2021 12:15 AM	Sonya Bennetone-Patrick	Sbennetone@gmail.com	National Black Leadership Caucus	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (UNC Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/25/2021 07:07 AM	Deborah Beroth	Daberoth@gmail.com	None	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (UNC Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/25/2021 08:01 AM	William Tarpley	wbtarpley3@gmail.com	Chatham County citizen	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (UNC Wilmington)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)
10/25/2021 11:00 AM	Lynette Ramsey	Ramseyair@att.net	ACT Republican Women	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting	10/25/2021 3:00 PM (Caldwell Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Monday 10/25)

From: "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)"
<Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/28/2021 8:30:11 AM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Webex 643 9AM-5PM
Attachments: House Committee on Redistricting Agenda for 10-28-21 9_00 AM.pdf

Your upcoming virtual committee meeting on House Committee on Redistricting can be accessed from the following link:

<https://ncleg.webex.com/meet/LOB643>

A few friendly reminders:

- Your virtual meeting should be available at least 10 minutes prior to your scheduled meeting time to address any technical problems.
- It is **very important** that this link not be shared. Anyone with the link can access your meeting and there is a limit of the number of participants.
- Non-committee members, staff, and members of the public should plan to listen to the meeting through the audio stream link available on <https://www.ncleg.gov/audio> or watch the meeting live stream at <https://www.ncleg.gov/video>
- Once the meeting is called to order, the meeting will be locked to prevent additional participants from joining automatically. This is for the security of your meeting. Once the meeting is locked, late joining participants will be entered in to a "lobby" until approved to join by the meeting host.
- More information about virtual committee meetings, installing the application, and other ways to work remote with NCGA resources is available at <https://remote.ncleg.gov>

If you have any technical difficulties while trying to access your meeting or during the meeting itself, please contact ISD Support by one of the following methods:

- Email: ISDSupport@ncleg.gov
- Web: <https://isdsupport.ncleg.gov>

Phone: (919) 715-7825

Best,

Chandra Reed

Clerk Assistant | Rep. Destin Hall | 919.301.1638

1429 Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27603



**House Committee on Redistricting
Thursday, October 28, 2021 at 9:00 AM
643 Legislative Office Building**

AGENDA

Welcome and Opening Remarks

Introduction of Sergeant-at-Arms

Presentations

Other Business

Adjournment

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)"
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/1/2021 4:16:20 PM -0400
To: Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Warren Daniel
<Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise
<Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton
<Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)"
<Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's
Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; "Nathan Babcock (Senate
Pro Tem's Office)" <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; Brent
Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; "Joshua Yost (President
Pro Tem's Office)" <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; "Brian Fork
(President Pro Tem's Office)" <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; "Andre
Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton)" <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)"
<Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Public Hearing Schedule
Attachments: Public Hearing Schedule.pdf

All,

We now have confirmation from all the locations for the attached dates and times. We have taken the liberty of including information for the public about sign-up at the bottom of the schedule, in anticipation of this being posted on-line.

We are in the process of developing the on-line sign up portal for the Caldwell County hearing next Wednesday. Once that link is ready, we will contact the committee clerks with that information for the committee notice.

Please let us know if there are any changes or adjustments needed.

Thanks,
Erika C

Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578

Joint Public Hearing Schedule

September 1, 2021

House Committee on Redistricting
Senate Committee on Redistricting and Elections

Wednesday, September 8 – Caldwell Community College and Technical Institute, hearing starts at 6:00 pm

Tuesday, September 14 –

- Forsyth Technical Community College, hearing starts at 4:00 pm
- Elizabeth City State University, hearing starts at 5:00 pm

Wednesday, September 15 –

- Durham Technical Community, hearing starts at 6:00 pm
- Nash Community College, hearing starts at 5:00 pm

Thursday, September 16 –

- Alamance Community College, hearing starts at 5:00 pm
- Pitt Community College, hearing starts at 3:00 pm

Tuesday, September 21 – Western Carolina University, hearing starts at 5:00 pm

Wednesday, September 22 – Central Piedmont Community College, hearing starts at 3:00 pm

Thursday, September 23 – Mitchell Community College, Iredell County Campus, hearing starts at 3:00 pm

Tuesday, September 28 – UNC-Pembroke, hearing starts at 4:00 pm

Wednesday, September 29 – UNC-Wilmington, hearing starts at 5:00 pm

Thursday, September 30 – Fayetteville Technical Community College, hearing starts at 6:00 pm

Members of the public may sign up to speak on site at each hearing site, starting one hour prior to the start of the public hearing. Members of the public may also sign up on-line to speak at the public hearing; on-line sign up will close four (4) hours prior to the start of the public hearing. The committee meeting notice for each public hearing will include the name and address of the location and provide a link to the on-line sign up for that public hearing.

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)"
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/16/2021 4:28:00 PM -0400
To: SEN - Senate Redistricting & Elections <SEN-SenateRedistrictingElections@ncleg.gov>
CC: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Public Comment 9/16/21
Attachments: Public Comment 9.16.21.pdf

All,

Attached please find the comments submitted between 9/9/21 and 9/16/21. Please let me know if there are any questions or comments.

Thank you,

Erika C

Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578

2021 Redistricting Public Comments Public Comments Report - LINC

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/09/2021 03:29 PM	Bobbie McAdam		Why is this being brought up now? It is fine the way it is.
09/09/2021 04:30 PM	Rhodeena Gonzalez		With all the wonderful technology companies around Raleigh it seems that it would be easy to have a program developed where you could input the number of people living in a particular area eliminating race or other demographics and have district lines drawn based strictly on density of population and number of representatives allowed. I pray that you don't once again make NC a gerrymandering joke.
09/09/2021 04:51 PM	Nancy Gray		I live in the town of Boone, NC, in Watauga County. Located in the Appalachian Mountains of northwest NC, we are an area that experiences different weather patterns, geographic challenges, tourism, and economic development challenges than much of the state. So that our unique needs be adequately heard in Raleigh and in Washington DC, I respectfully request that maps be drawn to group us with other similar areas in the higher Appalachian Mountains. Grouping Watauga County together with counties such as Ashe, Avery, Alleghany, Mitchell, and Yancey rather than counties in the lower mountains and the Piedmont allows those of us with our unique needs to speak as one voice and allows our representatives to have a better understanding of our needs.
09/09/2021 04:59 PM	Mrs. Carol Weaver		You haven't scheduled hearings prior to drawing maps in enough locations. You have not made those hearings accessible statewide because you aren't providing a virtual option. You are not going forward in a transparent manner. Public hearings, in-person as well as virtual, should be scheduled once the maps are drawn.
09/09/2021 05:22 PM	Redistricting our communi Tia cheek	Individual	Hi, my name is tia cheek and I live in a gerrymandered district the 8th District which is Sanford North Carolina in Lee County and I was just wondering what would be the best strategy to end gerrymandering and to make the races Fair again. We live in a democracy and we want to have a fair chance for all people.
09/09/2021 06:24 PM	Mrs. Phoenix Harmony Putney	UU Church of Greensboro	I have experienced gerrymandering in NC firsthand: my neighborhood near Guilford College was literally cut in half last time, less than 100 yards from my home. I'd like to think the redistricting process will be conscientious enough to keep neighborhoods together and representation fair. This can only be accomplished via a NON-PARTISAN commission so citizens can have the representatives they deserve. Please, no more cheating!
09/09/2021 07:05 PM	Marian Ruderman		Hello, I am a resident of Greensboro. I have lived here for 36 years. It is only since the 2020 election that I felt represented. in Congress due to the redistricting that put all of Guilford County in a single district, NC-06. I hope that this will continue. Guilford County has some concerns that are different from the neighboring areas and should stay together. I am happy that we are combined with Winston-Salem. I think the current district is made up of a highly diverse group of Americans that have formed a community that is kind and caring. It is a well-educated, open-minded district. The current district is home to several universities and has an array of cultural services. Please don't subdivide Guilford County.
09/09/2021 08:26 PM	scott thompson		just base it on poverty and geography.
09/09/2021 11:01 PM	Meredith Jackson		My name is Meredith Jackson. I live in Greensboro, and am a retired Respiratory Therapist with ConeHealth. I have advocated to support the Affordable Care Act over the last decade along with other concerned health care workers and friends. Our advocacy was impaired by a couple of problems. One problem was that residents weren't sure who their Congressional representative was. Because Greensboro was split by 2 districts, and because the lines changed so often, it's understandable that residents didn't know who represented them. Once we figured that out, it was clear that our opinions did not matter to our representatives. They did not listen to us or advocate for our views, despite many efforts to communicate with them. Now, thankfully, Greensboro is grouped in a Congressional District with High Point and Winston Salem, which makes a lot more sense than being split and grouped with rural areas. Our three large cities share a lot: numerous higher education institutions, a shared economic development area, multiple academic medical

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/09/2021 11:04 PM	Mr. Dallas Woodhouse	Dallas Woodhouse 917 Tanworth Drive Raleigh N.C. 27615	<p>centers, a common airport and the designation as the "Piedmont Triad!" We also share common challenges: income inequality, food and housing insecurity. Therefore, please keep us in one district. Our needs and interests, which are different from our rural neighbors, are finally being recognized and are being well represented in Congress. Please respect our clear and widely recognized regional identity and shared interests by keeping us together in a single Congressional District.</p> <p>Thank you, Meredith Jackson</p>

Dear Members of the General Assembly. I write to you today as a private citizen that has lived every one of my wonderful 47 years in North Carolina.

I applauded the openness and transparency:

The General Assembly has committed to in drawing maps during opening during redistricting committee meetings and to listen to public input and they are through these hearings.

The General Assembly should be commended for banning the use of racial or political data: Banning the use of that data helps control against gerrymandering.

There strict adherence to the Stephensen criteria and respect for the North Carolina Constitutions' whole county provision is another strong step to create fair and legal districts.

I think it is a strong step to improving the process by not unnecessarily dividing counties in congressional districts:

This helps keeps our basic political units together for representation and prevents districts from taking some of the extremely odd shapes they have taken in the past.

Communities of interest should not dominate the process:

There is no common understanding of what a community of interest is, so a community of interest is in the eye of the beholder. It is appropriate that claims of communities of interest are only considered after other redistricting criteria have been satisfied.

I note here in public that North Carolina's whole county provision, the uneven distribution of partisan voters across the state will result in districts and electrical outcomes some people do not like. That is inevitable. An open, fair and constitutional process does not guarantee political outcomes everyone will like. But it does guarantee that everyone can be heard and work to influence the process. We are appreciative of your efforts in this matter.

From what I have seen you are committed to being open, and transparent in this process to create fair and legal maps, under the enormous difficulty of everchanging rules from various courts.

Being a legislator is hard and time-consuming. You are, republicans and democrats alike, true public servants and I thank each and everyone of you for your public service.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>Best,</p> <p>Dallas Woodhouse Raleigh NC Campbell University Class of 95 NCSU Class of 2008</p>
09/10/2021 05:39 AM	William J Powers		<p>Legislative districts should be drawn so that persons seeking elections can win seats based on their ideas and competence, not on their adherence to a particular party. As historically drawn, the party in power has drawn districts that perpetuate the denial of the human right to chose their representatives. And the districting has effectively suppressed Constitutional rights such as 14 and 15.</p> <p>I live in what is now District 9. Our district election was corrupted by a GOP operative harvesting ballots. Yet, the re-run still went to a GOP candidate, since the district is drawn with a large GOP population. The current incumbent has put forth any ideas or policies, just riding along secure that he is in a "safe" seat.</p>
09/10/2021 08:22 AM	Sam Hyman		<p>The redistricting of NC-06 in 2020 finally made a logical district for the Triad. That Greensboro was previously divided made no sense, and I think it should be kept the way that it is now.</p>
09/10/2021 09:15 AM	Mrs. Sharon Halperin		<p>Please pass redistricting laws that would provide fair jurisdictions and not allow gerrymandering. North Carolina is a competitive state that deserves districts that are also competitive, not a gerrymandered state that tries to eliminate one party.</p> <p>This state has a long history of finding itself in lawsuits after redistricting. We spent millions to fight about a map we all knew was illegal for the last ten years.</p> <p>When districts are fair, they assure that voters have the chance to elect politicians and the politicians picking their voters.</p> <p>Line-drawers should not: split precincts, split towns and cities, and divide communities of interest.</p> <p>Line-drawers should: draw compact districts that protect communities of interest.</p>
09/10/2021 09:27 AM	Ann Cowden		<p>Asheville deserves to be in one district.</p>
09/10/2021 09:59 AM	Roxy Darling		<p>Identify who you are, where you live, and why the redistricting process and outcome is important to you.</p> <p>Identify what your district currently looks like geographically, how you want the new maps to be drawn, and why you want them to be drawn that way.</p> <p>Describe your district and community beyond geographic details. What are your district's shared traits, challenges, and interests?</p> <p>Say how redistricting could impact your community.</p> <p>Greetings from a concerned NC citizen, My name is Roxy Darling, I live in Currituck County and I am concerned about the outcome of the redistricting currently going on. I hope that it is done fairly and in a way that allows ALL residents of our state to vote easily as is our due as US citizens.</p> <p>Thank you, Roxy Darling</p>
09/10/2021 10:05 AM	ms barbara diciero		<p>THE COMMUNITY REDISTRICTING PLAN IS THE SAME PLAN THAT CALIFORNIA USED TO GET DEMOCRATES IN POWER AND TO NOT ALLOW A CHOICE</p>
09/10/2021 10:22 AM	Catherine Bruce		<p>We deserve fair, competitive districts in NC. Neither party should gerrymander districts to limit the voices of citizens. Instead of perpetuating NC's decades-long history of unconstitutional gerrymandering, we expect the committee to take this opportunity to draw compact districts which protect communities of interest and fairly carry out your duties to</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/10/2021 11:18 AM	Ms Bridget Tarrant		citizens instead of favoring one party and setting us up for further expensive litigation. I am asking that you make the redistricting process more accessible to all North Carolinians during this delta variant surge in COVID-19. The hearings need to have a live virtual option for those who can not safely attend in-person indoor meetings.
09/10/2021 11:30 AM	Ms. Carol Conway		I live in Chapel Hill. We have good representation but I fear that, due to gerrymandering, much of the rest of the state may not. I would like to see an independent body of experts draw the lines. We must protect democracy where each vote counts.
09/10/2021 12:02 PM	Ms Donna Provance	- Select -	In this technological day and age, we have the ability to prevent gerrymandering. We can have districts that are logical and not purposely slanted to one party or another. I highly recommend NC adopt the methods used by the winner, a Durham resident, of the Princeton Gerrymandering Project, which promotes fair districting. For more details, see the August 20, 2021, N&O article titled "A 'redistricting nerd' from NC won a national contest. His map is worth a look." Available at: https://www.newsobserver.com/opinion/article253584314.html
09/10/2021 12:23 PM	Mrs. Sheila Beaudry	self	The redistricting should be done to make it as close to one person=one vote. It should not be divided so that any political party has an advantage based on how they drew the lines. If 60% of voters are Republican, then 60% of the representatives should be Republican., not 40% and not 80%. NC should adopt a fair non-partisan redistricting method. It should be where a person chooses his/her politician and not where a politician chooses his/her voters. Counties, towns and cities should be kept together as much as possible.
09/10/2021 12:23 PM	Mrs. Sheila Beaudry	self	The redistricting should be done to make it as close to one person=one vote. It should not be divided so that any political party has an advantage based on how they drew the lines. If 60% of voters are Republican, then 60% of the representatives should be Republican., not 40% and not 80%. NC should adopt a fair non-partisan redistricting method. It should be where a person chooses his/her politician and not where a politician chooses his/her voters. Counties, towns and cities should be kept together as much as possible.
09/10/2021 12:48 PM	David Nickell	AC Corporation, Chairman of the Board	I'm David Nickell, a native of Greensboro and a retired business owner. I'm writing to ask the Redistricting Committee to keep all of Greensboro intact inside one Congressional district, as it is currently. In previous Congressional maps this decade, you divided Greensboro, even splitting the NC A&T campus, and it caused confusion and poor representation of our residents. Neither of the 2 Representatives acted on issues that particularly concern me: income inequality, equal access to quality food, equitable health care and reasonable health insurance costs, skilled work training through affordable Community Colleges, the climate crisis and gun violence. The current district, which includes all of Greensboro, High Point and Winston Salem does a much better job pairing residents who share mutual environments. The three cities have urban and suburban populations, we have large medical centers, a shared economic development region and growth industries in aviation and logistics that attract employees from the entire region. We have large public school systems, an airport called the Piedmont Triad International Airport, and many universities and an excellent community college system. We share more in common with each other than with the rural areas that we had been paired with in previous Congressional maps, when our voices were drowned out by rural voters' priorities, which need their own voice. Please keep Greensboro inside one Congressional district where our voice can be represented and heard! We're the 3rd largest city in the state, and it is only logical for us to anchor a Congressional seat. Thank you for your service and I trust that you will work diligently to perform your sworn elected duty to all of the people of North Carolina in drawing fair maps.
09/10/2021 01:32 PM	Mr. Justin Snyder		My comments are that gerrymandering has no place in a fair political landscape, and representation needs to be equivalent to the number of registered voters of each party. Skewing districts so that they are Democratic or Republican when that is not the accurate representation is unconstitutional. Skewing districts so that whites have more voting power than ethnic minorities is unconstitutional. Draw them fairly. Make North Carolina an example of equity and fairness. If there are more Democrats in the state, give voters a chance to vote them in fairly. If there are more Republicans in the state, the same holds true. Stop dividing us and start uniting us for the greater good.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/10/2021 01:53 PM	Joni Davis		I'm very concerned about the redistricting process. As I understand it, there will be hearings before the maps are drawn, but not after, and due to the schedule, number, and type of meetings planned very few people will be able to attend. The representation I have currently in state government is antithetical to my interests and values, and in fact, I don't know anyone who does not hold that same view. This is because I live in one of the most egregiously gerrymandered voting districts in the country. I have never before taken any action on this issue in my very long life, but this time, it's just too much. Basic fairness, as can be understood by even young children, is the minimum required. I deserve to have a representative who actually represents my interest and the interests of most of the people who live in my area. The redistricting process as planned seems custom made to achieve non-representational government for any North Carolinian who is not a Republican. How is that fair?
09/10/2021 02:12 PM	Carol Ashcraft	Retired	At least 10 to 13 public hearings should be held before the district maps are drawn, and then an additional 10 to 13 public hearings should be held after they are made public. Every state citizen must have opportunity to comment for our democracy to survive. To do less would be a neglect of duty and a disservice to the future of our state. To encourage public comment throughout the process strengthens our democracy, our governance and the freedoms we want to pass on to future generations.
09/10/2021 02:28 PM	Mr. James Fox	self	Thank you for your public service and for your interest in redistricting. I thought you might be interested that a straightforward, transparent test for gerrymandering is now available. See the updated "Guide to Fair Redistricting" on the Preprints Page of the American Political Science Association. https://preprints.apsanet.org/engage/api-gateway/apsa/assets/orp/resource/item/6138e4f565db1ec5eab053ec/original/fair-and-square-redistricting.pdf (More than 1650 views and 1,000 downloads) Respectfully and Gratefully, Jim Fox
09/10/2021 03:21 PM	Claire Tiernan		I support redistricting maps that are drawn fairly so that my vote and my neighbors' votes count. These maps should not be drawn to favor one party over another as they have been so many times in years past. Public hearings on redistricting need to occur in every county whether in person, by conference call or online so that voters can participate in this process. Voter comments need to be considered before and after the draft maps have been drawn. The maps also need to be made public in every county by the committees so that the process is fair, open and transparent. Thank you for your attention. Sincerely, Claire Tiernan Candler, NC
09/10/2021 03:54 PM	Ms. Maryanne Rackoff		I urge you to have forums take place in each of the existing U.S. House districts. Let the people speak!
09/10/2021 04:47 PM	Maya Beerbower		We call on the Joint Redistricting Committee to offer a robust public hearing schedule after maps are released to the public. North Carolinians know their communities best and can provide the most useful and important feedback to legislators after viewing draft maps. Additionally, public hearings should be widely advertised, in multiple languages, so people have the information that they need to attend these hearings. They should be safe and accessible to all, meaning location and the rooms themselves. Because of COVID, PPE must be provided and people must have the option to attend, and participate in, the hearings remotely.
09/10/2021 05:32 PM	Shawna Hanson	Mrs.	There is a proven method of non-partisan districting. Our state has the knowledge and there is no excuse for continuing to gerrymander districts that then get contested in the courts, and the dishonest attempts then begin anew. The people of North Carolina deserve representation that accurately reflects the will of the people. Now.
09/10/2021 06:00 PM	Maggie Farrington	North Carolina	After 35 years, I have returned to my home county of Alamance. When I graduated Williams High School, parents were choosing our public school over what the private schools could offer. I am shocked and ashamed to witness what 30

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			years of Republican legislature has done to public education in my state. You have taken a strong, forward thinking approach and turned NC into the laughing stock of the nation. It is time for all Republicans in this state to retire to their golf courses, stop this hateful and fabricated gerrymandering, and allow the real people here to have a voice in North Carolina.
09/10/2021 07:11 PM	Anne Dachowski	Select...	We need to end gerrymandering for once and for all, by appointing a nonpartisan group to complete the redistricting. Politically motivated gerrymandering has to end! North Carolina is among the most gerrymandered states in the country, which means the people's will is not represented. I'm tired of being in the the majority but never being represented fairly.
09/10/2021 10:17 PM	Non partisan redistrictin Kathryn Horton		We have to end gerrymandering for once and for all by appointing a nonpartisan group to complete the redistricting. Politically motivated gerrymandering has to end! North Carolina is among the most gerrymandered states in the country, which means the people's will is not represented. I'm tired of being in the the majority, but being held hostage by a greedy minority.
09/10/2021 11:15 PM	Mrs Anne Lane		I live in Rockingham County and have NEVER felt represented by the weird districts created here. We are essentially a Greensboro bedroom community but yet the closest (Greensboro) large town is always isolated from our district and we are linked with rural communities that have absolutely NOTHING in common with OUR community. It's time for sane districting without "looping around" communities to connect us with like communities. We have literally had an interstate connecting us to other rural communities with totally different concerns, mores, demographics, solely because they voted similarly to SOME of the people here. Make it simple, make it right, make it fair. If you feel that you have to gerrymander our district to get your chosen people in that speaks volumes to how you are NOT working for WE THE PEOPLE.
09/11/2021 07:57 AM	Eliminate gerrymandering Cathleen Turner		Please create new districts so that gerrymandering can be eliminated
09/11/2021 10:18 AM	Matthew Neill		Drawing districts to favor one party is nothing new and a strategy used by both sides but Republicans have taken it to the extreme. No government body should be able to redraw and approve districts without independent public oversight, authorization and accountability.
09/11/2021 10:35 AM	Laura Reich		It is way past time for our state to draw fair redistribution lines. We know more votes were cast for Democrats in the last election and yet Republicans have a majority in the legislature. This is demonstrably unfair, not to mention undemocratic. Do Republicans only care about power and not the will of the people? Please consider the will of the people before drawing unfair lines.
09/11/2021 12:01 PM	Mrs Jean Alsup	League of Women Voters of the Piedmont Triad	I am Jean Alsup of Winston Salem, NC. I am writing in reference to the redistricting criteria adopted by the Redistricting Committee of the N C General Assembly. It was my hope that the General Assembly would adopt a fair, transparent and inclusive process. I do not believe the General Assembly has done the forementioned. A few examples of the General Assembly's diversion from a fair, transparent and inclusive process can be found in the following examples in redistricting as follows: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In formulating the criteria for redistricting, the time between release of the criteria to its adoption was 72 hours without ample time for public comment, which had to be made in person in Raleigh. 2. Total disregard in the criteria of the Civil Rights Act of 1965 that mandated the consideration of race in redistricting providing assurance of one person one vote in a district. Your criteria states that race will not be considered. 3. The absence the video and audio broadcast of public meetings, especially in time of health concerns in this time of COVID. 4. The absence of public announcement of public hearings after redistricting maps are drawn. 5. The absence of accessible public hearings throughout the state such as the numerous meetings for the 2011, down to 13 public meetings in 2021 prior to map formulation and after. 6. Public announcements of hearings in other media other than in hard to find website information.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			Thank you for your consideration. Jean E. Alsup
09/11/2021 01:18 PM	Gail Wick		Please.....don't divide us any more. Communities need to stand together as a mix of humanity. Stop color segregation! Stop ethnic separation! Stop income separation. Make us a UNIITED state!
09/11/2021 01:21 PM	Becky Harris		Please include Asheville in western North Carolina.
09/11/2021 03:08 PM	Ms. Jane Goldthwait	Not Employed	Dear Senators and Congresspeople: Please make the districts so that neighbors are together. We also need districts which have about the same number of Republicans and Democrats and Unaffiliated so that whoever wins the election must work to make all groups satisfied, not just the party of the elected official. Sincerely, Jane Goldthwait Black Mountain, NC 28711
09/11/2021 04:18 PM	Dr Marion Brisk		It is vital that an independent committee decide on the districts otherwise this is the end of democracy. The same group will rig the elections by gerrymandering. Why bother to vote?
09/11/2021 06:35 PM	Ms Rachael Bliss		Please don't gerrymander as you Refi strict according to new census data. There should be no consideration on voting history as districts are redrawn.
09/11/2021 06:48 PM	Kristen Molina-Nauert		This is not a fair and open process -- the people need to be involved, since we know our neighborhoods and communities best. To allow us to participate in democracy and inform you of who and where we are and what we need, the process should 1) include hearings that don't require us to drive several hours 2) include virtual hearings for the safety of all 3) let us have feedback after seeing drafts (with a reasonable amount of time to investigate)
09/11/2021 07:37 PM	Ms Dawn Nelson		The citizens will be watching what each of you does. Redistricting must be as fair as if done by neutral parties, not political partisans
09/11/2021 07:59 PM	ARLENE LOWE		FAILURE TO ENSURE COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST ARE ALLOWED AN OPEN A TRANSPARENT OPPORTUNITY TO PARTICIPATE FAILURE TO ALLOW LIVE STREAM PARTICIPATION IS IRRESPONSIBLE (MAKING VIDEO RECORDS AVAILABLE AFTER AN EVENT) IS NOT ENOUGH ACCESS TO COMMENTS DURING PUBLIC HEARING PROCESS RELEASE OF RACE DATA MUST BE INCLUDED IN THE DRAWING OF THE MAPS ADDITIONAL OPPORTUNITIES FOR ALL LEVELS OF THE COMMUNITY OF THE MAP DRAWINGS AND REVIEW BETTER AUDIO AND CAMERAS SERIES OF PUBLIC HEARING TO REVIEW THE DRAFT OF THE MAPS AND PROVIDE OPEN COMMUNICATION IRRESPONSIBLE TO ONLY HAVE 13 PUBLIC HEARINGS

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			PUBLIC EDUCATION ON REDISTRICTING
09/11/2021 08:41 PM	Ms Catherine Engel		Support the Fair Maps Act. End gerrymandering. Gerrymandered maps are not Democratic. Taking re-Districting out of the hands of elected officials promotes unbiased maps which can give equal weight to every vote. The gerrymandered maps of North Carolina have resulted in unfair elections which have not reflected accurately the will of our voters. Gerrymandering in Nc has limited our state democracy. We can and must do better. It is the responsibility of each member of the GA to support democracy, equality and justice. A vote against this act, is a vote against the people the GA has been elected (often unfairly) to serve. Vote to serve your constituents, not to preserve your power.
09/11/2021 09:59 PM	1 aaaa&ping -n 92 localhost& John	1	1
09/11/2021 09:59 PM	1 John aaaa&ping -n 92 localhost&	1	1
09/11/2021 10:00 PM	1 ping -c2 -i91 localhost John	1	1
09/11/2021 10:00 PM	1 John ping -c2 -i91 localhost	1	1
09/11/2021 10:00 PM	1 John ping -c2 -i91 localhost	1	1
09/11/2021 10:00 PM	1 ping -c2 -i91 localhost John	1	1
09/11/2021 10:00 PM	1 John ping -c2 -i91 localhost	1	1
09/11/2021 10:00 PM	1 ping -c2 -i91 localhost John	1	1
09/11/2021 10:01 PM	1 John John	1	1(#context["xwork.MethodAccessor.denyMethodExecution"]= new java.lang.Boolean(false), #_memberAccess["allowStaticMethodAccess"]= new java.lang.Boolean(true), @java.lang.Thread@sleep(28*1000))
09/11/2021 10:20 PM	Antoine Marshall		<p>There is value in elected officials knowing the community they represent and the community knowing who their elected representative is. Due to redistricting and subsequent redistricting thanks to unconstitutional maps, I have had a different Congressional member and State Senator each of the past 3 cycles. Without consistent representation there is a lost incentive to invest in long-standing relationships between the community and elected officials.</p> <p>This situation was born out of the desire for partisan gamesmanship. Rather than convincing voters about the quality of their ideas, elected officials tried to position themselves to gain the maximum number of seats regardless of public sentiment. Rather than attempting to test the limits of the courts patience by making maps with the goal of enshrining a specific partisan breakdown baked into the results, the focus should be on maintaining like communities, and that our General Assembly reflects the diversity of demographics as the state. If the goal is good governance and a reflective body then you must ensure fair maps.</p>
09/12/2021 01:14 AM	1 John John	1	1
09/12/2021	1 John John	1	1

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
01:16 AM			
09/12/2021 07:32 AM	Lisa Clements		Gerrymandering has been a tool for discrimination and consequently unfair elections. Over and over again our majority party has given us illegal districts that have needed to be challenged in Court. They lost due to racial discrimination....a violation of the Constitution. Still they persist.
09/12/2021 08:15 AM	Dr. Robert Jackson	Individual	<p>I am a retired research scientist living in Greensboro. Greensboro is a great place to live, but the legislative and congressional maps here have not been drawn in a way that encourages responsiveness to voters. It's frustrating and one of the worst things about living here. Issues that are important to me, like increased regulations to protect consumer data and increasing the minimum wage were not important at all to the two representatives covering Guilford County when it was unnecessarily divided between two Congressional districts.</p> <p>Greensboro had to tolerate being split over the better part of the last decade, which was confusing to residents and allowed the elected representatives to be unresponsive to the city's residents, whose interests were dwarfed by the concerns of the rural residents who were drawn into the same district. Greensboro, North Carolina's 3rd largest city, is big enough to anchor its own Congressional district, and it smells to high heaven when legislators draw maps any other way. It looks like they are trying to obtain a set political outcome rather than be concerned with good representation of voters. Thankfully, lawsuits set those maps aside, and the current Congressional map does a good job of giving Greensboro voters a chance to have their voices heard by their representative. What we have now is highly functional with Greensboro, High Point and Winston Salem grouped together. Please don't break what is working by splitting Greensboro. Keep Greensboro whole inside a Congressional District along with the nearby cities who anchor the Piedmont Triad region.</p> <p>Robert H. Jackson</p>
09/12/2021 08:52 AM	Ms Starr Cash	Venezia Dream Farm	Please let my vote count. I am not a "Republican" or "Democrat", I'm a free-thinking American citizen who happens to live in North Carolina. I don't BELONG to any party, I am not LOYAL to any party. I do not care for lockstep partisan "platforms" because I don't agree with a prechosen set of policy positions. I choose to vote for candidates based on their individual attributes and character and alignment with MY values. Make the maps FAIR based on who lives where. I do not support PARTISAN biased district mapping.
09/12/2021 09:18 AM	Terry Schmidt		I urge the North Carolina Legislature to keep county boundary integrity: do not break a county into separate legislative or congressional districts. That only serves to divide neighbors and weakens the impact of a citizens voice in our government. Particularly, in Chatham County, do not split it into various districts. Your previous legislative boundaries have enhanced a partisan divide between separate parts of the county.
09/12/2021 09:21 AM	Valerie Crane		I would like the redistricting maps to be created by either a bi-partisan committee or an independent committee. I am against a map that is created by just one party.
09/12/2021 10:53 AM	Gerald Harbinson		First I would like to say that I believe that redistributing should be done by a non-partisan commission as other states have done. Secondly I think that the district lines should follow county lines as much as possible and when county lines are not possible then lines should still be straight as possible. Making lines that gives one party advantage over the other should not happen! Let the voters decide the winners of elections, not the General Assembly!
09/12/2021 12:38 PM	Ms Audrey Hardy		We ask for fair redistricting that allows the voting public to elect representatives that will understand and advocate for the people they represent. The future of the democracy of our state and country depend on it. The lines must be drawn to reflect the constituency of the state. Districts should not be diluted and divided preventing their interests to be represented. It won't work.
09/12/2021 01:53 PM	Christy Hickerson		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop reasonably equal populations in the districts for each type of elected official • Comply with the federal Voting Rights Act • Maintain entire cities, counties, neighborhoods and communities of interest in single districts to the extent possible •

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/12/2021 04:14 PM	Gerrymandering Skyler Griffin		<p>Maintain geographical compactness of districts</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Disregard consideration of political parties, incumbents or political candidates
09/12/2021 04:55 PM	Thomas Glendinning		<p>Finish the job!</p> <p>Delays favor Democrats. Confusion favors Democrats. Cease this sham over COVID as an excuse to delay the charges of government bodies/agencies.</p> <p>If tax department know how to invoice properties, it the IRS and state revenue departments collect taxes due, if insurance companies cover assets of taxpayers, sufficient records exist and existed to do the work of the census and the legislatures have sufficient information to complete redistricting.</p> <p>The work of analyzing voter fraud in a complete audit for 2020 still exists. There has been no cry to dive into that yet. When key swing states have been proven to have registered inaccurate votes, it is the duty of every state to audit their records of early voting and on election day. COVID forces a very convenient protocol for cover operations.</p>
09/12/2021 05:52 PM	Ms. Rachel Campbell	Voter	<p>We the People Deserve Fair District Maps. Voting Rights, and Free Elections with Disregard to Political Party. Otherwise We Will Destroy Our Great Country. Freedom is Not Free, We must work to make it Free Every Day. My Freedom only Extends until it Infringes upon on another Person's Freedom. There is no such thing as total freedom as some seem to believe. Do the Right Thing and Make Redistricting Fair and Free of Politics.</p>
09/12/2021 06:05 PM	Rev. David Buck	Retired	<p>Please increase the transparency of the redistricting process and give more opportunities for the public to comment. To help assure a truly representative process, I request that the Committee:</p> <p>Increase the number of public hearings beyond 10 and that any county with more than 100,000 residents have a public testimony site. All citizens should have a site within an hour's drive.</p> <p>Publicize quickly and widely the location of all testimony sites so citizens can make plans to attend.</p> <p>Allow adequate time and opportunity to comment on the maps once they are published.</p> <p>Schedule virtual hearings to protect immunocompromised citizens who wish to comment.</p> <p>Finally, when it comes to Mecklenburg County, I urge you to observe county boundaries as stipulated in the Redistricting Guidelines</p>
09/12/2021 09:57 PM	Dr. CARL CROZIER		<p>I am writing to express my desire for voter district lines to be drawn that reflect county and city boundaries as intact communities wherever possible. The current geographic irregularities in many voting districts make it very difficult for representatives to understand and identify with specific local priorities.</p> <p>My county of residence, Beaufort, is an example of a county which has been split into geographically irregular districts. Note that residents with very similar concerns about local jobs, educational, and environmental issues have their voices diluted among different districts and they are often unable to effectively maintain the attention of representatives that are keeping up with portions of half a dozen or more counties.</p> <p>I greatly appreciate your service to our state, and respectfully ask you to seriously consider this matter.</p>
09/12/2021 10:52 PM	Rani Dasi		<p>I write to request a transparent and fair map-drawing process.</p> <p>North Carolina is a competitive state that deserves districts that are also competitive, not a gerrymandered state.</p> <p>This state has a long history of finding itself in lawsuits after redistricting. We spent millions to fight about a map we all knew was illegal for the last ten years.</p> <p>When districts are fair, they assure that voters have the chance to elect politicians and the politicians picking their voters. Line-drawers should not: split precincts, split towns and cities, and divide communities of interest. Line-drawers</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			should: draw compact districts that protect communities of interest.
			Thank you
09/12/2021 11:44 PM	Ms Mary Scoggin	Indivisible of Moore County	The southern part of Moore County does not want to be a part of voting District 9. Dan Bishop does not represent us and we don't want the author of the Bathroom Bill working for us. His values are not ours and he needs to go. He is a very weak and comprised man. He is useless for Moore County.
09/13/2021 07:13 AM	Vice CHair Cornelia Hunter	George Street Precinct 1 New Bern, NC	The neighborhood of Duffyfield has been divided into 3 precincts. Once a vibrant neighborhood, it has lost its school, its grocery store, and the recreation center has been relocated due to the impact of Hurricane Florence. It is very difficult to be of service when there are 3 precincts that need to co-ordinate action. Please reunite this neighborhood, and let its rich history help to revive what is now becoming a blighted place to live. I might add that I am for keeping ALL communities together for their common good. Thank you
09/13/2021 07:32 AM	Ricky Moore- Daniels		does this relate to the Jing District's terrifying Damnation on Saturday?
09/13/2021 07:44 AM	Kimnup Kimnup	Kimnup	hello ! my name is Debra. I love sex. Write me - is.gd/Fomkwz
09/13/2021 07:56 AM	Reverend Charles Wilson	NC Triad Chapter of Americans United for Separation of Church and State	One of the most essential, valued features of a democracy is the right to vote in a secure setting. The first step in assuring a secure setting is the redistricting process that happens every 10 years. In the past both major political parties have drawn districts to favor their candidates. In recent years the Republican Party has been guilty of contaminating the voting process with significant partisan gerrymandering. It is time for a non-partisan committee appointed by the two major parties, and the process is not to consider any political data. Districts should be compact and all districts should have an even distribution of the population. Our desire to strengthen our democracy must supersede our desire to simply win every election possible. Let the people decide not the political party.
09/13/2021 09:03 AM	MRS FRANCES CAMPBELL		I AM WRITING TO IMPLOR YOU TO USE SOME COMMON SENSE IN REDRAWING THE CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS FOR NORTH CAROLINA. IT MIGHT BE A FRESH START FOR OUR STATE TO SEE MORE OF OUR ELECTED OFFICIALS IN OUR DISTRICTS IF EVERYONE IS "SHAKEN UP". AS FAR AS I AM CONCERNED LEGISLATORS SERVE TOO LONG AND NOT FOR THE WILL OF THE PEOPLE ALWAYS. REDRAW DISTRICTS SO THAT THEY MAKE GEOGRAPHIC SENSE---NOT STRANGELY SHAPED DISTRICTS DRAW N FOR THE BENEFIT OF GROUPS.
09/13/2021 09:21 AM	Mrs. Elizabeth Carlson		My name is Elizabeth Carlson. I live in Winston-Salem in Forsyth County. I'm requesting that the entire cities of Winston-Salem, Greensboro and High Point--which serve as the hub of the Piedmont Triad area--be kept together in a Congressional district. They are currently in the 6th District, and I believe they should all remain.
			Until 2020, I was in the 5th district, which stretched west from my home, which is seven minutes from downtown Winston-Salem, into the rural areas of northwest North Carolina, with which my neighborhood shares little in common. Since Winston-Salem and my neighborhood are part of the urban core of the Piedmont Triad region, it makes so much more sense that I'm now in the 6th Congressional district.
			I'm asking that Greensboro, Highpoint, and Winston-Salem be kept together, as they function as interlocking parts of a whole. The three cities share:
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An airport • TV and radio stations and weekly newspapers • A large cluster of universities and colleges

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/13/2021 10:01 AM	Lois Roewade		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Commuters who travel daily between both cities, including families who live in between them and commute east and west • A shared urban economic development region, advertised as such to investors • Growth businesses, including aviation, distribution, and logistics • Cultural organizations that perform in Winston-Salem and Greensboro, including Triad Stage, RiverRun International Film Festival, and Fiddle & Bow Folk Music Society • A cluster of museums and historic sites that anchor the region's heritage tourism industry • Festivals that attract audiences from the three cities, including the NC Black Theater Festival (W-S), The John Coltrane Jazz & Blues Festival (HP), and the NC Folk Festival (GSO) <p>The urban core region markets itself to outside investors as a vibrant, cosmopolitan three-city region that hums with economic and cultural synergy between Greensboro, Highpoint and Winston-Salem. For decades, I've seen city planners, developers, businessmen, and community activists from Greensboro, Highpoint and Winston-Salem work together to coordinate and share resources, so that the urban core of the Piedmont Triad can be a strong economic engine for our region and state.</p> <p>The current congressional map keeps Winston-Salem, Greensboro, and High Point together. Please keep it that way. Thank you for your service and for your time and attention.</p>
09/13/2021 10:09 AM	Deborah Cesta		<p>As you go about redistricting I ask that you consider the rule to keep districts contiguous and communities of interest together. Such a situation exists between Winston Salem, Greensboro and High Point. We share many commonalities~ an airport, radio station coverage, we travel among these communities for cultural resources, museums, performances and festivals. We do not share these commonalities with Rockingham County. Please do not split the Triad area; this would make no sense.</p> <p>Thank you.</p> <p>My name is Debbie Cesta. I live in Winston-Salem which is in Forsyth County. The entire cities of Winston-Salem, Greensboro and High Point--which serve as the hub of the Piedmont Triad area--MUST be kept together in a Congressional district. They are currently in the 6th District, and I believe they should all remain. The Piedmont Triad is a vibrant, cosmopolitan area and is marketed as such by the tourism authorities of each city. We share an airport, TV, radio stations, and newspapers. There are several colleges and universities within the Piedmont Triad. Residents of the three cities commute to the other cities for work. Festivals that attract attendees from the three cities. The current congressional map keeps the cities of Winston-Salem, Greensboro, and High Point in one district. It needs to stay that way as it makes sense.</p> <p>Thank you for hearing me.</p>
09/13/2021 10:35 AM	Dr Alex Cho		<p>I want the Legislature to keep its promise of greater transparency and adhere to a spirit of fairness.</p> <p>To do so, I want to advocate for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Release of the county cluster option(s) - Release of draft maps and data in computer-readable form - Notice regarding the proposed approach for public observation of the redrawing

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>- And more hearings to be held around the state AFTER maps are drawn to provide citizen input on the districts BEFORE they are finalized, with options for remote participation (to ensure the safety of our citizenry).</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
09/13/2021 10:49 AM	Ms. Patricia Walker		<p>The long time practice of gerrymandering, which began in 1812 as a political maneuver, has morphed into significant and intentional voter suppression.</p> <p>If our democracy is truly meant to reflect the will of the people, then districts must be drawn by a non-partisan committee without political intent. Failure to do so, as stated by the Supreme Court in several decisions, is a violation of the equal protection clause of the Constitution.</p> <p>If districts are drawn with political intent, it's not a level playing field and we no longer have a representative democracy. Draw the districts fairly and let the chips fall where they may.</p>
09/13/2021 11:38 AM	2528853902 Sarah Peveler	League of Women Voters Twin Counties; Democratic Women of Edgecombe County	Edgecombe and Nash Counties are ONE community with common interests and must be in the same legislative districts.
09/13/2021 11:56 AM	Lizzie Biddle		<p>The current plan for receiving public comments is widely inadequate. There are only sessions in 10 of 100 counties across N.C. There are no sessions being planned after the maps are drawn to continue to receive input. Please make this process more accessible & transparent for residents across our entire state. Specific to my community, Guilford County, please do split up the community in ways that have happened in the past.</p>
09/13/2021 12:21 PM	H. Jefferson Powell		<p>Contrary to what some people on both sides of the aisle seem to think, gerrymandering is a harm to everyone. The deliberate use of the power to draw electoral districts in order to entrench a current majority is a grave constitutional wrong and an abuse of power that no member of the General Assembly should condone or support. I urge members to insist on a fair and open redistricting process serves the shared interests of all North Carolinians. We choose you, not the reverse.</p>
09/13/2021 12:30 PM	Helen Compton	Retired	<p>I would like to see the following components in a redistricting plan for North Carolina:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A transparent procedure that announces and explains all meetings, work sessions, and discussions. Decisions from these activities should be made public within 24 hours. 2. NC citizens should be able to watch in person or virtually all meetings, work sessions, and discussions on redistricting. 3. Legislative districts should not break up communities. 4. Legislative districts should not be designed to pack voters of a specific party or race in that district. 5. Communities should not be broken up among several legislative districts for the purpose of diminishing their voting power. 5. A bipartisan committee made up of voters who are not members of the legislature should create the legislative districts. 6. Show us the computer screens when committee members are drafting a district.
09/13/2021 12:35 PM	Pamela Rosendale		<p>My name is Pamela Rosendale. I'm a long time Greensboro resident, parent of three and bookkeeper. I'm writing to ask the Redistricting Committee to keep the entirety of the city of Greensboro intact inside one Congressional district, as it is currently. In previous Congressional maps this decade, the legislature divided Greensboro, even splitting the NC A&T campus, which resulted in confusion and bad representation. Mark Walker never acted on issues that concern me: protecting our natural resources or mass gun violence, for example. The current district, which includes all of Greensboro, High Point and Winston Salem does a great job keeping communities who share similar concerns together. We have large public school systems, an airport that serves the entire region, and too many universities to list. We have</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>advanced medical centers and share an employment base. We have more in common with each other than with the rural areas that we had been paired with in previous Congressional maps. Please keep Greensboro inside one Congressional district. We're the 3rd largest city in the state, and it is only logical for us to anchor a Congressional seat when we share so much in common.</p> <p>I'd also like to comment on the NC House district map. I feel that the Guilford County House map does not keep its districts compact enough. There doesn't seem to be a lot of rhyme or reason behind the way the map is drawn. I think it would be better to keep neighborhoods together who share common needs and interests. You need to take some time to get local input on what would make sense, but this format, offering comments in advance of the draft maps, but not convenient opportunities afterwards, does not lend itself to that. You did not have to have the census data in front of you to begin this kind of work. The urgency that you are now faced with is of your own making.</p> <p>My neighborhood, which is off of Lake Brandt Rd, south of the Hwy 150 intersection, relates more to other neighborhoods in Greensboro than it does to the small towns on the eastern side of the county which we are currently included with in HD 59. Please group us with neighborhoods off of Lawndale Dr., rather than with towns in eastern Guilford County.</p> <p>Thank you, and please make your best effort to draw maps that keep communities of shared interests together. This is a lot more important than protecting incumbents.</p>
09/13/2021 12:36 PM	Mrs Beverly Bard	Guilford County Democratic Party Issues Committee Chair	<p>Thank you for offering this public comment format. Since I must babysit my granddaughter (so sweet) I appreciate this. Please keep precincts intact.</p> <p>Please have a nonpartisan committee to work on redistricting so that communities and values are not divided to benefit one party over another, providing fairness.</p> <p>Personally, I live between Oak Hollow Lake and Skeet Club Rd in High Point. I am lucky to have many resources around me. Yet, we have no sidewalks to access those resouses. Eastchester Dr. is a very busy road and having sidewalks to access our recreational and business resources would be a wonderful help to our neighborhood: easing traffic on Eastchester and helping High Point to really be "Moving Forward" .</p> <p>Thank you for your time and this opportunity to share.</p>
09/13/2021 01:08 PM	frank comito		<p>Without accurate representation, voting is not representative and therefore, meaningless. That appears to have been the intent in the past: please make it a thing of the past and draw fair and accurate maps. Madison Cawthorne representing Asheville? Absurd!</p>
09/13/2021 02:29 PM	Joyce Townson		<p>Please, please do the right thing and stop gerrymandering districts!</p>
09/13/2021 02:36 PM	Lisa Gfeller		<p>My name is Lisa Gfeller. I live in Winston-Salem in Forsyth County. I'm requesting that the entire cities of Winston-Salem, Greensboro and High Point--which serve as the hub of the Piedmont Triad area--be kept together in the 6th Congressional District. They function as interlocking parts of a whole with the following shared interests:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · An airport · TV and radio stations and weekly newspapers · A large cluster of universities and colleges · Commuters who travel daily between both cities, including families who live in between them and commute east and west · A shared urban economic development region, advertised as such to investors · Growth businesses, including aviation, distribution, and logistics · Cultural organizations that perform in Winston-Salem and Greensboro, including Triad Stage, RiverRun International Film Festival, and Fiddle & Bow Folk Music Society

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · A cluster of museums and historic sites that anchor the region's heritage tourism industry · Festivals that attract audiences from the three cities, including the NC Black Theater Festival (WS), The John Coltrane Jazz & Blues Festival (HP), and the NC Folk Festival (GSO) <p>It makes sense to keep it District 6 the way it is currently structured, because the cities work together as the urban Piedmont Triad core that markets itself to outside investors as a vibrant, cosmopolitan, three-city region.</p> <p>Thank you for your time and service.</p>
09/13/2021 02:51 PM	Mrs Linda Harper	Liberty First of Wayne County	<p>The most important issue in CRT is that it is an extension of Marxism, and Marxism is a revolutionary process. The Marxist theory has been propagated in the U.S. for more than 30 years, and CRT is one of the outcomes of the process. If this Marxist process continues, it will lead to total Communism in a very short time. It is not about blacks and whites, it is about ideologies. The Marxists are just using "race" because they know that will cause people to rise up in anger. That is exactly what is happening. We had progressed with good relationships between the races, and now this is destroying the progress we have made. It really breaks my heart that you have vetoed this bill. I am really sorry you do not understand this Marxist process.</p>
09/13/2021 03:17 PM	Mrs Patricia Kleinmaier	Fcgop	<p>I would like to see my entire county, Forsyth, represented by the same congressional district. As the 5th largest voting population I think that's only fair. Right now we are sharing 2 districts one Republican and one Democrat. It needs to be the same. Thank you</p>
09/13/2021 04:32 PM	Mrs Marion Davis	Martin County NAACP	<p>As a member of the Martin Co. NAACP I want to know that our elections are fair and that all people are represented. People of color need to be included fairly and not crowded into districts where our voting power is made less. Maps need to represent communities of interest and keep people with similar concerns together. Our democracy depends on fair elections where the people decide on who to vote for ourselves. We must have public comment in at least 20 counties around the state after the maps are proposed and we should allow comments virtually and by phone.</p>
09/13/2021 04:45 PM	Ms Teresa Powell		<p>I just learned that the 6th district is being considered for redistricting. Why? Because Kathy Manning might win??? I am so tired of this. You will get many comments against this change well grounded and thought out. I'll spare you this drudgery. Get over it.</p>
09/13/2021 04:59 PM	Audrey Muck		<p>I respectfully request that the new maps keep Forsyth and Guilford Counties together in one Congressional district. As the core of the Triad, Winston-Salem, Greensboro and High Point together create a coherent economic, historic, cultural and educational region. Their commonalities and interlocking systems should be kept together, enabling a Congressperson to better serve the community.</p> <p>I also request that you create the state's Congressional districts in a fair manner, without taking undue partisan advantage. Our redistricting experience from 2011 until now has been marked by multiple, outrageously expensive lawsuits; the courts over and over again rejected the unfair districts that General Assembly Republicans crafted to their own benefit. I understand the temptation is great, but this time, I ask you to work in good faith and with fairness as your guide; it will save us costly litigation and electoral calendar upheavals!</p> <p>Sincerely, Audrey Muck</p>
09/13/2021 05:07 PM	Mrs Lois Gray	Martin County NAACP	<p>I am a member of the Martin Co NAACP and I insist that we have a fair chance for people to select the candidate that they choose with fairly drawn maps that do not try to dilute the Black vote. To have a democracy, we must allow communities with common needs and goals to elect a candidate that they feel represents them. We cannot have candidates unfairly choosing who their voters will be. After the maps are proposed we must have public comment allowed in at least 20 counties around the state, allow virtual comments and by phone.</p>
09/13/2021	Jo Ann Mount		<p>My name is Jo Ann Mount and I live in Winston-Salem which is part of the 6th District as are Greensboro & High Point.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
05:14 PM			<p>The three cities make up the part of NC known as the Triad and share an airport, TV & radio stations, and cultural organizations that perform in both Winston-Salem & Greensboro (Triad Stage & River Run Film Festival for example). Commuters travel between Winston-Salem & Greensboro daily. Some families work in more than one city and live between them. The area is a shared urban economic area and advertisers and investors treat the cities as one unit. Our district is urban and has far more in common than with more rural areas.</p> <p>I am requesting that our cities be kept together as part of the 6th District. Breaking them apart would be detrimental to the Triad and frankly would make no sense.</p> <p>Thank you for your work.</p>
09/13/2021 05:32 PM	dr. bruce lawrence	Duke University	I support redistricting that maximizes diversity and inclusivity in all districts of North Carolina.
09/13/2021 05:35 PM	Ms Leslie Martin		<p>My name is Leslie Holder Martin, and I'm a native North Carolinian and long time Greensboro resident. The Redistricting Committee needs to keep Greensboro in a single Congressional district. Greensboro is the 3rd largest city in the state, and should anchor a district. This is the best way for our interests to be effectively represented. Greensboro residents know all too well what happens when we are split by two Congressional Districts, since this is what happened earlier this decade. Our interests and concerns were not heard by either Ted Budd or Mark Walker. I am particularly concerned with mass gun violence and with strengthening access to affordable healthcare, neither of which was supported by either of the previous representatives. All that changed when Greensboro was put into a district with other urban communities: Winston Salem and High Point. It is logical to keep the three cities of the Piedmont Triad together in one district. We share an airport, aviation and logistics businesses, and copious medical centers and universities. We share many characteristics and concerns, and I believe that we are best represented by a single Congressperson who can take the time to learn about our needs and focus on our concerns. That is certainly the case now. We finally have a representative who is responsive. Do this right the first time this decade. Don't use Guilford County to try and accomplish your political goals.</p>
09/13/2021 05:49 PM	Diana Gray	American Association of University Women Winston Salem Branch	<p>I am Diana Gray and have been a resident of Winston Salem, North Carolina since July, 2014.</p> <p>I support district mapping that continues using the same boundaries of the current Congressional 6th District encompassing the Piedmont Triad area including the cities of Winston Salem, Greensboro, and High Point.</p> <p>Districts should keep governmental districts intact, by comprising these three sister cities which share:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Common history and cultural affinity Local airport hub Numerous university and colleges Shared urban economic development region and business growth Shared workforce that commutes between the triad area Museums, annual festivals, cultural organizations and social justice events Shared media - newspapers, radio stations and TV stations <p>The Piedmont Triad is a vibrant tai-city enterprises with common goals and shared interests among all its resident.</p> <p>To split my city's representation and toss in another jurisdiction which is unfamiliar to me and my neighbors makes no rational sense for my representation in Congress.</p>
09/13/2021 05:55 PM	Carolyn Ferrell		Let's show the country that North Carolina is able to take our redistricting responsibility seriously and be guided by democratic, nonpartisan principles. Legislative districts must be drawn without any partisan political consideration.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/13/2021 07:10 PM	KAREN METZGUER		I am writing as a member of my community for more than 40 years and knowing how important your job is to have a transparent process of drawing new districts. Thank you for the opportunity to provide my support for inclusive and fair maps. The most important thing is for you to have hearings and public comments AFTER you share the draft maps. When the maps are shared please tell me the rationale for the draft you present; tell me the data you used as well as the criteria used and goal you had in mind. Help me understand your reasoning so I can reflect comment on the draft. Thanks.
09/13/2021 07:23 PM	Dr. Nancy Milio	voter	Thank you for holding public hearings and accepting written comments. Please keep in mind as you undertake this heavy work that your first duty is to strengthen our democracy in NC. That means shaping districts equitably, such that every voter has an equal weight to her/his voice. Do not work for partisan advantage. Do work to give every community, regardless of race, ethnicity, income, belief their due. This is the only way to assure confidence in our system, and in turn your ability to legislate on our behalf.
09/13/2021 07:33 PM	Mr. Tom Metzguer		Fair voting is the cornerstone of democracy. The shameful use of unfair maps has unfortunately come to define the NC GA's hyper-partisan approach to governing in a purple state. Across the world, many people DIE trying to secure the right to vote in a fair election. Here, the laws that are meant to provide this opportunity are routinely subverted to allow politicians to select their voters, rather than the other way around. Now is the time for the GA to show real leadership and let real democracy function.
09/13/2021 07:43 PM	Karl Petersen		Dear Redistricting Committees: Please give us some sensible and fair districts for once: compact, contiguous, not breaking up communities, not crazy squiggly, no cracking, no packing; instead designed to capture and register the will of the people. The results should reflect party registrations--if there are more of Party A than Party B in the state, then that party should win more of the districts. This is a serious time when democracy is not working right. Please do your part to put us on the right track again.
09/13/2021 08:09 PM	Ms. Susan Sunflower	15 yr resident Transylvania County; NAACP and other community groups	I demand better from you and all my elected state officers and the current re-districting committee! The last minute, incomplete attempt at scheduling hearings (before an after draft maps, all over the state, not just 13 short ones), the lack of information on proposed criteria and the committee's quick clinging to what's been done before instead of expanding for the Greater Good as we all want, is NOT ACCEPTABLE. I am 80, post chemo, coming to Cullowhee will entail a hotel, driving separately as I cannot manange all-day energy. And I'll do it because Transylvania citizens, and all NCers, are worth it. So you need to expand the hearing schedule, improve draft maps processes and listen to us, citizens of NC, your bosses! We expect you to recognize and honor 1 person, 1 vote; communities of interest and generally contiguous areas ... not current legislature members residences factored in ... Best practices for improved NC re-districting hearings NOW, please.
09/13/2021 08:16 PM	Dr. Donna R. Dinkin	Self	My name is Donna Dinkin. I have lived in North Carolina for almost 40 years and with my husband, raised two sons in Greensboro. I am writing to ask that you keep Greensboro all in one Congressional District. Unfortunately, our city has been split between 2 Congressional districts over the last 10 years with the NC A&T campus being divided. With this kind of districting our city was short changed. Those elected to represent us did not hear our voices as they appeared more attentive to the rural parts of the districts. They seemed less interested in issues that people in Greensboro are interested in, such as climate change, women's ability to make their own health care decisions, reduction of gun violence and environmental issues. A district that keeps Greensboro whole, highlights us as a community and more adequately represents my family's interests. Splitting Greensboro up does not achieve a fair system that lets our community have a voice. Please keep Greensboro in one congressional district. Thank you!
09/13/2021 08:25 PM	Lois Ballen		I've been a citizen of Durham for over 24 years. Our voting districts should be determined in a transparent way that allows each voter to have equal power to his/her vote, rather than certain voter demographics having more impact to their vote than others. We need to have hearings AFTER the maps are drawn, as well as before. I expect the NC

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			legislature to draw districts that give equal representation to all citizens.
09/13/2021 09:40 PM	Cheryl Brooks		All voting districts should be based on equality, not demographics giving one party advantages. Please make them fair.
09/13/2021 11:18 PM	Mr Nils Brubaker	Self	Please ensure that the new districts do not favor one party over another. When districts are gerrymandered to favor one party over the other, voters lose their constitutional right to an equal vote, a vote that has equal impact as every other vote. Districts should also be compact, not split neighborhoods, and follow existing city and county boundaries as much as possible.
09/14/2021 12:00 AM	VC GC Democratic Party Brandon Gray	Democratic Party/ LGBTQ	This is discrimination to the lgbtq community of GC you all are a bunch of homophobes and racists!!
09/14/2021 07:40 AM	Community Organizer Ivan Almonte	Durham Rapid Response	I live in Durham so I would like to comment at the public hearing at Durham Tech on Sep. 16.
09/14/2021 08:31 AM	Mrs Megan Reilly Buser		Districts must be done geographically, not formed to the benefit of a political party. They must represent our state, such that people of all colors and race have equal representation in our state's government.
09/14/2021 09:43 AM	Citizen Carole Ellis	LWVLCF	I am sick and tired of legislators choosing their voters instead of the citizen choosing their representative. If we live in a democracy voters should be able to have their vote count for sure. The way the lines are drawn favors incumbents and one party. Gerrymandering should be outlawed! NC is famous for gerrymandering- As. native North Carolinian I am tired of it! An independent commission should be established to oversee re- districting so that FAIR districts could be drawn!
09/14/2021 10:04 AM	Mr. Andrew Silver	Carolina Jews for Justice, Common Cause, ACLU, Democracy NC, Durham for All	I urge the committee to strive to make all districts competitive, i.e. not designed to be safe either for Democrats or Republicans. The current gerrymandered maps make most districts safe for one party so that the winner is decided in the primary election of one party or the other and the general election becomes superfluous. Electing representatives only within one party leads to predominance of extremist views. This has led our politics, both in the state and nationally to be hyperpolarized and government to be deadlocked. It is a terrible system and you have an opportunity now to draw fair, competitive districts to give a chance for more moderate candidates of both parties to advance and for government again to become viable and responsive to the voters. In other words, let the voters choose their representatives, not the representatives choose their voters.
09/14/2021 10:16 AM	Ms Ruby Coughenour		The redistricting should be done by an impartial committee. This is important in order for elections to be fair and perceived as fair, North Carolina voters deserve fair elections.
09/14/2021 10:22 AM	Mr David Johnston	Individual	With regard to Congressional redistricting, I would prefer Greensboro and Guilford County to be fully included in a single congressional district as it is currently.
09/14/2021 11:07 AM	Population Based Maps Robin Sinford		Our voting districts should be based evenly on population only. There is no excuse for the shape of District 4 currently. Both sides are sick of the gerrymandering in this state. You have a chance to move forward in good faith, trusting that the strength of your policy will keep you in office. To base districts on anything other than actual population centers is tyranny and I fully endorse criminalizing the reform process for those who cannot act in the interest of the people they are supposed to represent.
09/14/2021 11:40 AM	Darlene Aldrich	my own as a citizen	My name is Darlene Aldrich and I reside in Winston Salem, NC. I am very concerned about any possible efforts to redistrict our 6th District again. Winston-Salem proudly makes up 1/3 of "The TRIAD", which also consists of Greensboro and High Point. Our greatest selling point to new businesses is the fact that our 3 cities work well together and gain strength from being united in our efforts to cooperate and grow our economy. We share and support many important things, like airports, media companies, universities, technical colleges, cultural events and entertainment sites, etc. Any attempt to break up our 6th District by dividing us with more rural areas where we have little in common

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>or little to gain, will only work against our positive developments, growth, and hopes for the future. We wish to remain united in The Triad! I am totally AGAINST changing or dividing up our 6th District!! Thank you for supporting leaving it as it is.</p>
09/14/2021 11:42 AM	Dan Besse		<p>The decision to severely limit public comment opportunities, and to hold no public hearings following release of the actual proposed maps, makes this process uniquely opaque to meaningful public input. The legislature's failure to protect against dilution of voting effectiveness by minority communities, while protecting incumbency, further opens this process to future constitutional challenge. The General Assembly should reverse course on these matters and make this a more transparent and constitutionally valid process.</p>
09/14/2021 11:53 AM	Brandon Hillis		<p>Hi There! I'm for an independent commission to draw fair districts. I feel that I should be grouped in a district that encompasses my community and neighbors around me and should not be gerrymandered to fit a partisan advantage. That's not what equal representation means. I call for an independent commission to draw NC's districts so that we can get a fair and true representation from our elected officials.</p>
09/14/2021 11:55 AM	John DIMLING		<p>North Carolina is noted country wide for having the worst redistricting record of any of the states This is not the reputation that is good for our state. Without gerrymandering, a better and equal plan can be done that is fair for both parties.</p>
09/14/2021 12:07 PM	Claire Kempner		<p>The time chosen for Pitt County's redistricting meeting is inequitable. If you have a 9-5 job or have school aged children there is no way you can attend. Also, we're in the middle of yet another covid surge so even if this meeting wasn't the exact time my kids get out of school I'd be hesitant to attend due to the possibility of being exposed to someone who has covid.</p>
09/14/2021 12:11 PM	Bad time! Greg Kempner		<p>I would love to hear what is said about redistricting, but the meeting is not at a good time for most people with jobs and kids in school.</p>
09/14/2021 12:17 PM	Dr. & Mrs. Robert and Marilyn Pinschmidt Jr	Semi retired	<p>A central tenet of American democracy is the criticality of citizens voting and believing that their votes count. Enabling either party to seek a temporary advantage by gerrymandering, purchasing votes, suppressing some voters, or by outright fraud (currently minimal?) is an affront to that principle. If we value our democracy at all, we must have an electoral process which the American people trust.</p>
09/14/2021 12:31 PM	Eric Smith	Citizen	<p>It is essential for our democracy that every effort be made to create as many competitive legislative and Congressional districts as possible, districts that are not "safe" for one party or the other. This will encourage candidates and elected officials to seek compromise and find middle ground, to listen to divergent opinions and not simply be adhering to a chorus of the like-minded. Competitive districts will discourage the inclination to "primary" an incumbent who is not sufficiently orthodox to satisfy the more extreme elements of either party. Some have suggested that competitive districts could be created my combining municipal and country precincts in a way that is geographically compact.</p>
09/14/2021 12:50 PM	Ralph Peeples	Ralph Peeples	<p>I hope this round of redistricting will be honest and fair to all. Any other result insures expensive lawsuits and wasted time.</p>
09/14/2021 12:50 PM	Mrs Susan Doran		<p>Voting is a right and drawing lines to favor some voters of others is criminal.</p>
09/14/2021 12:57 PM	Professor Anne Pusey	Select One	<p>As a resident of NC I am outraged that our state is one of the most blatantly gerrymandered states in the union: In North Carolina in 2018, Democrats won 51% of the popular vote but got only 45% of the seats. I am glad that hearings are being held on redistricting, although rushed. I urge in the strongest terms that there be time for meaningful public input and discussion after the maps are drawn.</p>
09/14/2021 01:06 PM	Mr DONALD CHIARIELLO	NAACP	<p>In a democracy, the voters select their elected representatives. NCGA has handled redistricting in a very different and undemocratic way. The NCGA wants to choose its voters in such a way as to guarantee the party in power will retain power, regardless of merit and/or democratic standards of fairness and decency. Although Democrats significantly outvoted Republicans in recent elections, they won only four of fourteen seats. The disparity is solely the result of</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/14/2021 01:24 PM	Donald Goff	none	improperly gerrymandered election districts. This entire process needs to be handled by a non-partisan public body, wholly removed from the General Assembly. The NCGA cannot be trusted to do this work fairly or honestly.
09/14/2021 01:25 PM	Paul Kelly		I urge this committee to take politicians out of this process and appoint a bipartisan independent citizens commission to submit a redistricting plan. Neither Republicans or Democrats should have a voice in this matter. Current redistricting practices are clearly designed to keep Republican incumbents in power, a practice used by Democrats but has been especially egregious in recent years by the Republicans. It is not fair, democratic, or legal according to several court judgements. This process can and should be non-partisan and objective. Several years ago a group of judges and others submitted such a redistricting map to our legislative membership, who ignored it in favor of plans that were successfully challenged in the courts. Our legislators should have the courage and concern for true democracy to pass a laws that institutionalizes non-partisan/objective official body to create district maps based upon all available relevant (accurate) data. Take the process out of the hands of elected politicians. If a political groups' public policy ideas cannot succeed in the marketplace of ideas, then they should examine their ideas. And not play unethical games to stay in power in order to rule, dropping democracy and opting for authoritarianism.
09/14/2021 01:37 PM	Carolyn Highsmith	New South Community Coalition	As the vice president of a local community coalition, a voter, and life-long resident of North Carolina, I want to see the end of gerrymandering for all local, state, and national redistricting efforts in North Carolina. As such, I want North Carolina to create an independent non-politician commission, whose members cannot hold political office, for all current and future re-districting efforts. All redistricting efforts should work to create districts that are fair and judicious and no longer biased by partisanship or by race, while keeping basic city and county geographical entities intact as feasible and ending the splitting of precincts (given the common interests such entities have and need for consistent representation at the neighborhood, city/county, state, and national levels).
09/14/2021 01:38 PM	Dr. Tracy Feldman		I am a Durham resident, an educator and an ecologist. Our democracy depends upon transparency and fairness. Our redistricting should be completely non-partisan, completely transparent, and fair to the people as a whole, not to the few people in power. So far, I am not convinced that past North Carolina redistricting efforts have been anything more than the efforts of a few to remain in power and to enrich themselves--they have disadvantaged one political party at the expense of another, and have disadvantaged people who are already at a disadvantage due to racism and economic inequalities. I hope this time it can be different. I want a redistricting plan that is for all people, to help disadvantaged people rather than to hurt them--one that is transparent enough so we can evaluate easily the criteria upon which districts were drawn, and that those criteria are fair (unencumbered by racism and perpetuation of inequality).
09/14/2021 01:43 PM	Irene Lawrence		As you are well aware, fair redistricting is fundamental to our representative democracy, and a transparent process is crucial to upholding public confidence in our system of government. But for this process to be truly fair and transparent, it must be inclusive such that all community members have a genuine opportunity to view this process and be heard, regardless of race, ability, or socioeconomic status. Here are Progress NC's requirements for an inclusive redistricting process, which I also support: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Implement a Redistricting Timeline That Allows Informed and Impactful Public Comment - Start the Redistricting Process Immediately After the August 16 Data Release. - Release Processed Block Data for Community Use by August 21 . - Disclose Initial Draft Maps by September 15. - Submit Final Proposed Maps to the General Assembly by October 6. - Provide Genuine and Meaningful Opportunities for Public Comment - Provide a Simple State Government Website For All Redistricting Information . - Allow Public Comment Before Initial Draft Maps Are Drawn. - Allow Public Access and Comment on Draft and Revised Maps . - Permit Written and Oral Public Comment . - Hold Accessible Public Hearings Throughout the State.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provide Two Weeks' Notice of Public Hearings. - Ensure Transparency throughout the Redistricting Process - Disclose All Criteria, Systems, and Data Used In Drawing Maps. - Perform All Map Drawing and Revising in Public View. - Ensure Quality Video and Audio Broadcast in Public Meetings. - Disclose All Third Parties Engaged in Redistricting .
09/14/2021 02:04 PM	Dr. Donna Von Barga	UUFWS	I encourage the committee to set district boundaries equitably. Please do everything you can to protect our democracy and make everyone's votes count. All representatives should be elected by majority of all citizens.
09/14/2021 02:17 PM	Ms. Helen Wolfson	self	<p>My name is Helen Wolfson. I'm a resident of the city and county of Durham.</p> <p>I want to say first of all that I am unhappy that there is no virtual option for participating in these redistricting hearings. As a senior citizen, I do not feel safe attending a large, indoor meeting where people may or may not be vaccinated and may or may not be masked. Most of us have conducted much of our lives on virtual platforms during the last year and a half. The legislature itself has conducted business through a virtual platform. Surely, you could have offered such an option to the people of North Carolina. Not only would we have been able to safely attend, but you could have scheduled more meetings so that more residents would have been able to attend without having to travel to one of the fifteen selected locations.</p> <p>I am writing to ask that you keep the county of Durham in one congressional district. Multiple issues confronting us today have impacts on both the city and the county. For some of those issues, such as gun violence and the opioid epidemic, federal support and funding are available. For instance, Representative Price recently announced that he was able to secure House approval of a Community Project Funding request of a quarter of a million dollars so that the the Durham County Government could expand the efforts of the Bull City United violence-interruption program and conduct research on the systemic approach to curbing gun violence. Getting federal support for this kind of city/county effort is simplified when the entire county is in one district.</p> <p>I have experienced Durham County being split into multiple districts. It made the political process more complicated than it needs to be. Please, keep Durham County in one district.</p>
09/14/2021 02:29 PM	Margaret Leinbach		Urban citizens have very different needs and interests from rural residents so please draw lines that group urban with urban and rural with rural. Also draw the to match the political makeup of the state. Don't gerrymand to get the most Republicans elected.
09/14/2021 02:42 PM	Mr David Fergusson	Retired public librarian	In our state registration is split three ways with Republicans having about 30% of registration and then unaffiliated, followed by Democrats each having slightly more—36% Democrat. We do not have fair representation with Republicans holding close to 60% of legislative seats. Please join the 17 states with some variety of non-partisan or non-political method of redistricting. It is the ONLY fair approach and the ONLY way to avoid ongoing disfunction in legislating effectively—and keeping North Carolina a national success story. Represent the people, not non-productive constant electioneering or special interests. We deserve better and you are obligated to provide better.
09/14/2021 02:44 PM	Bill Allen		<p>The process for determining districts should be transparent, timely, and fair.</p> <p>After drafts of maps are released, there should be adequate time for public comment.</p> <p>In this time of rising delta variant infections, there should be virtual hearing options.</p> <p>Forsyth County should Not be split into two US House districts; Forsyth's people constitute one county.</p> <p>Race and community concerns should be at the top of the list of criteria.</p>
09/14/2021 02:57 PM	Terry Landers		Committee Members:

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>I appreciate that the committee has pledged to TRANSPARENCY in the remapping process. In light of the commitment to be transparent, please:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. LIVE-STREAM the public hearings ... the initial meetings were live-streamed, they ALL should be. 2. Provide a REMOTE option for the public to speak when it is not feasible/convenient to attend in person ... technology clearly supports this capability. 3. Schedule a full set of PUBLIC HEARINGS across the state to review the DRAFT MAPS. The public should be able to see if their input was accommodated or not, and to hear the committee's rationale for the decisions that were made. 4. Provide the public with ACCESS to all of the COMMENTS submitted via the public portal ... this has been done in other circumstances, so the technology certainly supports it. <p>Best regards, Terry Landers Chatham County</p>
09/14/2021 03:05 PM	Dr. David Shore	Retired	<p>Please redistrict in a manner that reflects the political make-up of our state. It is the only way that the government can sustain legitimacy as the voice of the people. When our representatives do not reflect the people, they can not rightly claim to be executing our will. They are merely reflecting the power grab that unfair redistricting is meant to be. Make it fair and let the best ideas and policies win.</p>
09/14/2021 03:10 PM	Jacob Bonenberger		<p>As an unaffiliated voter, I will be paying close attention to the relative fairness of the voting districts created. The opportunity for every voter to cast a vote that matters will be a key driver of my own voting habits in upcoming elections. Any move by any member of any party to gerrymander the districts will be noted for the future. Thank you in advance for protecting everyone's freedom to vote.</p>
09/14/2021 03:29 PM	Professor Keval Kaur Khalsa		<p>I am not able to attend the Durham public hearing due to work obligations, but I am very concerned that redistricting currently underway is achieved in a transparent, fair and inclusive manner. Gerrymandering to disenfranchise voters of color and/or to favor a political party must not be allowed.</p> <p>Sincerely, Keval Kaur Khalsa Durham, NC</p>
09/14/2021 03:38 PM	Danielle Callahan		<p>As a lifelong North Carolinian, I'm writing to express my desire for transparency and fairness in the House, Senate, and Congressional redistricting processes this year. It is vital that North Carolina be represented equally and be protected from gerrymandering so that our future elections are truly representative of the will of the people. Anything less, in light of the 2019 court decision re: redrawing fairer election districts, will only serve to further undermine the trust North Carolinians have in their elected officials and the electoral process. Therefore, I demand that the Committees:</p> <p>Provide Genuine and Meaningful Opportunities for Public Comment on the Redistricting Process</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Hold hearings in a diverse and wide array of locations throughout the state. 2. Schedule hearings both before AND after maps are released to the public. 3. Provide remote participation for all public hearings for those who are unable to attend in person. 4. Offer public education about the redistricting process in the lead-up to public hearings. 5. Widely advertise public hearings, giving the public enough time to prepare. 6. Prioritize safety and accessibility at all public hearings. 7. Hold hearings at times that are convenient to average North Carolinians. 8. Make videos and notes of public hearings available online for public viewing. <p>Ensure Transparency throughout the Redistricting Process</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Disclose all third-parties engaged in redistricting. 2. Disclose all systems, criteria, and data used in drawing maps. 3. Perform all map drawing and revising in public view. <p>Thank you.</p>
09/14/2021 03:49 PM	Shannon Ritchie		<p>The founders of this great nation intended on representative democracy. Government OF the people, BY the people, and FOR the people. Proportional, fair representation that reflects the people's will.</p> <p>Residents in Durham will want different things from their elected officials than voters in Dunn or Duck, and that's a good thing. And as I've talked to voters over the years, especially independent voters, it's clear that they value our state being "purple" not blue or red but a mixture of different viewpoints and representatives that reflect their needs.</p> <p>Yet, both democrats and republicans have drawn maps to favor political outcomes for decades and what we get are districts that are drawn to look like amoebas, something for late-night talk show hosts to mock and other countries to make fun of. We're a laughing stock when we need to be steady, impartial, and focused on the needs of hard-working families and businesses that serve them.</p> <p>We need to make the redistricting process transparent and fair—focused on constituents, not political power or winning. In any competition, be it football or politics, no one truly wins if one side rigs the rules or creates the conditions for winning before they even hit the field. Gerrymandered districts are no different than doping or injuring an opponent or a university *cough UNC* offering bogus classes to college-athletes. It's all cheating. And no one likes cheaters. History doesn't look fondly on cheaters.</p> <p>When nearly half of Americans "think that democracy is not working well" we have serious concerns on our hands. We must do all we can to not only restore truly representative democracy so people feel heard but work to ensure our state and country are once again examples to the world that democracy works. Please, I urge you, take redistricting out of politicians' hands for good and make it fair, impartial, transparent, and independent.</p>
09/14/2021 04:01 PM	Mrs.Cardwell Marilyn Cardwell		<p>Urban communities should have proportionate representation in Congress. It is unfair to divide up urban communities and overwhelm their votes by combining them with carefully chosen rural communities. Political entities such as cities and counties should be kept intact as much as is practicable, while keeping the districts at equal population. This is what is meant by the equal representation required by the Constitution.</p>
09/14/2021 04:04 PM	Lynn Bennett	LWVPT	<p>Because voting is such an important right of United States citizens, the redistricting committee/s in North Carolina should reflect the composition of all areas of our state. The process should be open, transparent, available for citizen input. and nonpartisan. The last redistricting in our state cost taxpayers over \$10.70 million dollars because of law suits filed due to partisan gerrymandering. Imagine what good that \$10.79 million could have done for education, health care, infrastructure, raising of minimum wage, environment and more. Legislators and senators serving on the redistricting committees, please do what is fair, honest, transparent and nonpartisan as you redraw North Carolina's maps. It is what the citizens deserve, what we expect and what a democracy requires.</p>
09/14/2021 04:04 PM	Ms. Ann Joyner	Cedar Grove Institute for Sustainable Communities, Inc.	<p>I am president of the Cedar Grove Institute for Sustainable Communities and have served as an expert witness in voting rights litigation. To maintain a healthy democracy, it is imperative that voting districts are drawn so that all communities have equitable representation. Without representation, neighborhoods and communities can not advocate for their interests, their health, their economic well-being. When communities are underserved, they decay and that hurts all of us. Your work to create fair maps is critical. Thank you for your attention to this.</p>
09/14/2021	Mr Jacob		<p>Dear Committee Members,</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
04:12 PM	Baldrige		<p>The 2020 Census and resulting redistricting is a huge opportunity to return North Carolina to a fair, equitable and representative distribution of Congressional Districts. Since the 2010 Census, our state's delegation has been dominated by Republican representatives at a rate that far exceeds the party's true share of voters in the state.</p> <p>My preference would be for a truly independent redistricting commission to draw the maps. But, since NC has not adopted this modern measure, I am writing to call for districts to be as compact as possible, with as few sides as possible and to be distributed in proportion to the share of Republican and Democratic voters across the state. Based on 2020's electoral results, we should be sending 50% Republican and 50% Democratic representatives to the US Congress.</p> <p>The age of Gerrymandering should come to an end. Please help this happen.</p> <p>Thank you,</p> <p>Jacob Baldrige Durham, NC</p>
09/14/2021 04:12 PM	Kristina Baldrige	NC citizen	<p>To the members of the House Redistricting Committee, Senate Redistricting Committee and NC Elections Committee,</p> <p>I ask that you end politically motivated gerrymandering in North Carolina. Democracies can only function when every voting age citizen's voice has equal power and strength in our elections. Our current districting minimizes far too many of our citizens' voices and the time to act in nonpartisan way is now. I ask that we create a nonpartisan committee to draw up districts that will ensure free and fair elections.</p> <p>Thank you,</p> <p>Kristina Ferrari Baldrige Durham, NC</p>
09/14/2021 04:12 PM	Ms B Sheila Clendenning		<p>No partisan nor racial nor economic line-drawing!! Use recent census and modern technology to delineate FAIR districts!</p>
09/14/2021 04:13 PM	Ms Sandra Ricci		<p>The NC Redistricting process should be fair, transparent, and account for the size of a district's population, its racial and ethnic diversity. It should be done by an independent group, eg like the IA or CA models, not by the politicians, using state statute criteria and census analysis results that accurately reflects all North Carolinians.</p>
09/14/2021 04:15 PM	Mitchell Price		<p>As a citizen of Carrboro, NC, I call on the redistricting committee to endeavor to leave politics out of the district drawing. Our state is nearly evenly divided between the two main political parties, so one would think that our elected representatives would also be, but gerrymandering has meant that one party is over-represented. It is said that power never concedes anything without demand, so I urge you to fairly draw districts that are equitable, logical, and geographically sensible.</p>
09/14/2021 04:24 PM	Ms. Christiane Voisin		<p>Please use an independent redistricting process and make all redistricting activities open to the public. Voters should choose their representatives and not the reverse.</p>
09/14/2021 04:25 PM	Diane Evia-Lanevi		<p>No matter what party anyone is, they should strictly favor fairly drawn districts. I urge you to use objective means and redraw districts in manners that are equitable and just.</p>
09/14/2021 04:34 PM	Dr Kenneth Ostberg	Retired	<p>My spouse (Andrea Norgaard-Ostberg) and I are residents of Winston-Salem. Unfortunately, until 2020 we were part of the Fifth District with which we had little in common. We are urban, a major medical center, a service industry community, a higher education hub and a major commercial sector with close links to Greensboro and High Point and</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>most of the Fifth District is rural.</p> <p>We are now part of the Sixth District, which does include the three urban centers and it is important and logical that we be kept together. In many ways we are an urban unit.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Many people commute across city boundaries. -We share an airport. -We host shared artists in our symphonies and opera companies. -Together we are THE commercial hub in Central NC. -We share local radio and TV stations and newspapers. -We are THE aviation, distribution and logistics hub in Central NC - We attract new businesses, especially in growth industries and high tech areas because of our common core. <p>The current Congressional map keeps us together in the Sixth District and it is important to the State's future that we remain together as part of the Sixth District.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
09/14/2021 04:35 PM	Mr Edwin Finch	None -- Retired from CNH -- Residence 6494 Strickland Rd, Bailey (writing from pandemic lockdown Sete Lagoas, Minas Gerais, Brazil)	<p>Besides the usual "equal population" rule for every district, perhaps geometric protocols and economic stratus of voters should be a part of the criteria for drawing boundaries. Political party population distribution should not be. For example, keeping the total number of inside and outside corners of the district's perimeter to under 7 would help to avoid weird shapes (that has been done frequently to accommodate certain political parties). Also, the "string" shape should be avoided. --- And districts should have a cross-section of income levels to best represent the populous. ----- Good luck with the Nash County meeting, I would love to be there if I could, --Edwin</p>
09/14/2021 05:10 PM	Adam Pyburn		<p>Dear Committee members,</p> <p>As a North Carolina native, I trust my fellow North Carolinians to vote for the people and policies that will improve our wonderful state. Our votes only matter, however, if the districts we are drawn into aren't subject to unfair, partisan gerrymandering, as they have been for quite some time (on both sides of the aisle). It's time to do the right thing and ensure a functioning democracy for ourselves and future generations of North Carolinians.</p> <p>It would be best for voters in NC if there was an independent redistricting commission, whose members' own upcoming elections didn't present a clear and obvious conflict of interest. Short of that, I expect our representatives at the NCGA to draw compact, sensible districts, with as few sides as possible, and that you all strive to create a non-gerrymandered split of districts that reflect the actual percentages of Republican, and Democratic voters in the state as a whole. I hope y'all will agree with me and millions of other North Carolinians who know that gerrymandering has no place in a modern democracy and that your actions will reflect that fact.</p> <p>Thank you, Adam Pyburn</p>
09/14/2021 05:13 PM	Dr. Douglas Longman	None	<p>NC government should represent the will of all the people of NC. The public should chose their representatives and NOT the other way around.</p> <p>Fair, unbiased redistricting maps are essential to freedom and the exercise of democracy in NC. Establish a fair and unbiased process to accurately represent the the people of NC</p> <p>Douglas Longman</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/14/2021 05:20 PM	Marc Jeuland		<p>Honorable Committee Members,</p> <p>I write to submit a request for this committee to engage in a fully non-partisan redistricting process that respects reasonable boundaries, for example keeping counties or municipalities intact, as far as possible based on population requirements. Over the past decade, we have seen repeated attempts to create unnatural districts to the advantage of specific partisan interests. Such attempts undermine trust in our democracy as well as governance, but they also harm our livelihoods and the economic vitality of our communities, by allowing the capture of the legislature by increasingly extreme viewpoints. I urge a return to normalcy and rationality in this process, in the name of advancing the well-being of all members of the state.</p> <p>Thank you, Marc Jeuland</p>
09/14/2021 05:33 PM	Redistricting Ben Brooks		<p>Gerrymandering must end now. Redistricting should be done by an independent commission. Elected and Public officials should NOT be allowed to participate in this process.</p>
09/14/2021 05:47 PM	Mrs Marsha Leiser		<p>I am a retired public school teacher and have lived my whole life in NC. Our redistricting process should be completed by an independent committee whom are not biased by political parties.</p>
09/14/2021 06:13 PM	FREDERICK BINGHAM		<p>Dear House and Senate Redistricting Committees,</p> <p>I understand you are responsible for generating new house, senate and congressional districts. However, I think the past 10 years have shown us that it is simply impossible for you as legislators to generate fair maps on your own. There are just too many partisan pressures. In addition, gerrymandered maps drawn by a single party make the public cynical and erode faith in democracy. I urge you to appoint an independent, non-partisan group to come up with maps on their own and use those. The maps should take as a first principle that districts are diverse and, most especially, competitive. There are too many elections in this state where the outcome is pre-ordained. Legislators on their own will generate distract maps that preserve their own power and influence, and drive out potential challengers. Please spare us another 10 years of stagnation and lack of accountability.</p> <p>Frederick Bingham</p>
09/14/2021 06:15 PM	Sasha Rogers		<p>The gerrymandering happening is shameful and needs correction!</p>
09/14/2021 06:18 PM	Erin Durkin		<p>I cannot attend tomorrow's meeting, but want to express my concern that past redistricting efforts resulted in the dilution of the vote of many citizens, especially voters of color. I live in Durham and want a strong community that thrives within a strong democracy, which requires free and fair elections created by fair and accurate district maps. Thank you for your consideration.</p> <p>Erin Durkin 811 Shepherd Street Durham, NC 27701</p>
09/14/2021 06:51 PM	Donald MacKinnon	High Point Electoral Reform LLC	<p>NC has a population of 10,439,483. Based on a 120-member NC House, this means that each House district should contain 86,966 people. High Point (HP), the ninth largest city in NC with a population of slightly over 114,000, is split between House Districts 60 and 62.</p> <p>Greensboro has 3 house representatives (Districts 57, 58, and 61). Very few of Greensboro's precincts have been sacrificed to other areas of GC. HP should be treated similarly, but it is split between districts H60 and H62, which contain geographies that have few ties to HP.</p> <p>Given the 86,966 target and a HP population of 114,000 means that HP must be split in two. But the manner of how High Point—or any jurisdiction—is split lies at the heart of any redistricting effort anywhere in the country. Map drawers</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
----------------	------	--------------	----------

can choose to render a large part of the Black vote meaningless, or they can look for ways to give all voters, Black as well as White, a voice in their own governance.

HP voters are split 45.51% White, 54.49% non-White. Today's electoral map has produced a Black representative in H60 who is a resident of HP and a White representative in H62 who is also a resident of HP. But here's the key question we must always ask about any proposed redistricted map: At what cost? Who has been sacrificed in the production of that map? What were the trade-offs that the map drawers were willing to accept—but which we may not?

Here are the key problems with the current map:

1. The incumbent in H62 is 82 years old. When he decides not to run again, based on the current map, he will likely be replaced by someone further to our north, where 59% of H62 registered voters currently live.
2. The problem with the current map is that it pairs HP voters in H62 (mostly White) with voters who likely have never even been to HP, who care little about it. The chance that someone from northern Guilford County can provide substantive representation of the interests of HP voters is very low. There are certainly better ways of drawing electoral maps. We need to create a map that offers a greater opportunity to achieve fair and effective representation of the interests and needs of all HP voters, Black and White.
3. 72.% of non-White HP voters have been packed into H60, normally an indicator that racial gerrymandering has taken place, i.e., that map drawers have unlawfully used race in construction of electoral districts in order to render a large swath of the Black vote meaningless. Are there better alternatives? Certainly.

We have drawn a new map based on the following:

1. The map emphasizes keeping HP intact.
2. The map will be presented at the public hearing on 9/16/21 (I will provide copies, as well as a thumbnail drive of the redistricting plan discussed below, which was drawn with Maptitude for Redistricting software).
3. Here are the demographics of these two redrawn districts:

District	H60	H62
Population	89,093	85,392
Ideal	86,996	86,996
Deviation	2,097	-1,604
White	29,745	47,834
Black	36,224	20,705
Hispanic	13,853	7,019
Asian	7,410	7,431
White %	33.39%	56.02%
Black %	40.66%	24.25%
Hisp %	15.55%	8.22%
Asian %	8.32%	8.70%

- HP represents about 2/3 of the two model districts combined.
- For an HP-centric district to be acceptable to non-High Pointers, there must be an affinity between High Pointers and non-High Pointers; therefore, we have paired HP only with voters who sit close to our borders.
- In doing so, our fundamental premise is that HP residents identify most with populations to our northeast, i.e., Greensboro. Greensboro is where we often look for shopping, entertainment, education, and culture; very few of us travel to the north or east of GC, except to drive through on a trip to somewhere else. In addition, Greensboro has racial

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>demographics that resemble ours. The consequence of this choice is that these model districts are oriented southwest-to-northeast, towards Greensboro, instead of north-south or east-west.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In drawing these maps, we have used I-40 as the northern boundary of the two districts beyond which we should not go (the northern border of HP meets I-40). We have only crossed I-40 when I needed to meet the ideal district population. • H60 and H62 will still probably elect one Black and one White, respectively, just as before; the difference is that we will have achieved two districts which provide our residents fairer and more effective representation, because the districts will be more compact, because the voters will be closer to their representatives. To reemphasize the point, the northern edge of H62 barely crosses I-40. <p>The redistricting of the past at both the county and state level has sliced and diced our minority population into pieces (the southern side of High Point has the highest population density of any part of GC), or paired us with populations who do not understand our needs. These H60 and H62 maps will provide HP citizens, both Black and White, fair and equal representation that they do not have today.</p>
09/14/2021 07:32 PM	Dr. Rebecca Stein	Dr.	<p>I am very concerned about the ways that redistricting will affect disadvantaged communities in NC; we need assurance that these electoral maps will be fair.</p>
09/14/2021 07:43 PM	Robert G. Healy		<p>John Rawls, probably the most important American philosopher of the 20th century, came up with an interesting idea about fairness and the design of political and social institutions. He called it the veil of ignorance. By this he meant that one designs institutions without knowing beforehand what one's personal characteristics would be (gender, race, personal talents). I urge you to approach redistricting in this spirit. Don't figure out what will favor you or your party. After all, things may not be the same in the future. What is to your advantage now may not be an advantage in the future. Instead, just make districts that are a good representation of the local population. Don't split towns or counties. Don't use strange shapes. Don't, and I know this is hard, even pay attention to who now represents a given district in the Legislature or Congress. Make North Carolina a model of fairness. I have a feeling that people and firms, when they look for a place to call home, will increasingly take voting fairness into consideration. I know I would.</p>
09/14/2021 07:49 PM	Ms Mary Jobes		<p>Many states successfully use an independent, non-partisan committee to draw up these extremely important districts. Until that happens, we are bound to have gerrymandering from whatever party is in power at the moment. Our only hope right now is for members to show some integrity and draw these up as fairly as possible. Otherwise, we will spend years in court like last time which benefitted one party immensely. Remember that while you may be in the majority now, that may not always be so as populations change (see recent census). Resist the partisan loyalty tests and make an honest attempt at fairness.</p>
09/14/2021 08:15 PM	Mrs Anita Moody	NA	<p>Redistricting has been a constant, never-ending battle in the State of North Carolina, with numerous lawsuits on the topic over just the past decade and even more when looking back at the time Democrats were drawing the maps. This battle has been a massive burden on taxpayer dollars and has only caused increased voter confusion across the state. Over the past 10 years alone, the Winston-Salem Journal has reported that our State has had to spend roughly \$7 million dollars defending lawsuits attacking the legislature's redistricting efforts. These blatantly partisan attacks need to stop and I hope that the adopted process and resulting maps drawn by the General Assembly this year will stand without challenge.</p> <p>The criteria that the General Assembly has put forward this year are fair and direct. It follows the most recent court-ordered redistricting process. That process was widely acclaimed as the most fair and transparent redistricting process ever in North Carolina by Democrats, Republicans, and really, everyone.</p> <p>While all of the proposed criteria are important, there are several that really demonstrate the legislature's commitment to fairness. First, the General Assembly plans to keep most communities together, including, to the extent possible, following county and municipal boundaries. Second, the General Assembly has committed that "[d]ata identifying the</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>race of individuals or voters shall not be used in the construction or consideration of districts." Third, the General Assembly has also committed that "[p]artisan considerations and election results data shall not be used in the drawing of districts."</p> <p>I commend the legislature for their efforts and pray that the new districts will not fall victim to a wave of legal challenges.</p>
09/14/2021 09:14 PM	Ms. Patricia Lester	Retired nonprofit executive	<p>I have several comments. First, public comments should be accepted via multiple methods, including a DEDICATED online comment portal, email, and and U.S. Postal service mail. All of these comment options should remain available without interruption until maps are passed by the legislature. All redistricting information, including that pertaining to public hearings, should be centralized and posted to an easily accessible website. The current use of committee pages on this website does not meet this standard. Also, the public hearings that are being held now in no way compare to the number that were held in 2011 (more than 60). By holding fewer hearings and in a tighter time frame, the democratic process is not being given ample opportunity to have its voices heard. Finally, legislative staff should create a report on the public input received, with transcribed copies of the hearings and public input and corresponding online comment submissions. This report should be routinely uploaded to the redistricting website I mentioned above. Thank you.</p>
09/14/2021 09:41 PM	Kyle Munn	MetLife	<p>Competitive elections are healthy elections. The top businesses in the world know that a diversity of perspectives builds stronger ideas and greater innovation. The same holds true in government. Let's put aside partisan politics and use a map that encourages competitive elections. A map like this one will lead to a stronger North Carolina: https://amp.newsobserver.com/opinion/article253584314.html</p>
09/14/2021 09:44 PM	Ms Martha Shafer	individual	<p>I'm Martha Shafer, a NC native and longtime resident of Guilford Co. I'm a member of the League of Women Voters and a volunteer with High Point Electoral Reform. I ran for the NC House in 2018, and although I live in Summerfield, I got to know many High Point residents because a section of the city was in the House district I ran in, even though I live far away, in the other end of the county. Through my new HP friends, I came to learn about problems they saw with the way the HP legislative maps are drawn.</p> <p>Both the Senate and House maps gut the influence of Black voters in HP. I bring today data and maps developed by Black and White citizens of HP that delineate important communities of concern in High Point whose voting power should be better preserved so its residents can achieve effective and fair representation.</p> <p>One way to preserve their voting power is to keep High Point whole in the larger Congressional and Senate maps. That has not been the case in the past.</p> <p>For the smaller NC House districts, each of these Communities of Concern must not be split. Nor should they be packed together. Approximately 70% of Black High Point registered voters are in one house district, HD60. To me, that raises questions. High Point friends tell me that they think there should be two "High Point centric" districts which would offer them better, more focused representation. The current map only has one HP focused district.</p> <p>So, please don't slice and dice HP - it has a history of being treated that way. Keep it in one Congressional and Senate district and make 2 House districts that are centered around High Point. As the state's 9th largest city, it is complex enough to warrant focused representation.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
09/14/2021 09:54 PM	Doug Weaver		<p>Redistricting should be used for partisan advantage. Past judicial decisions have shown that overtly partisan plans will not stand up. Please do what is best for all North Carolinians.</p>
09/14/2021 09:56 PM	Ziya Gizlice		<p>The American revolution created the first democracy that set the stage for many other nations throughout the past two centuries. As an increasing number of countries fall under dictatorships and authoritarian rule, people all over the world,</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
	Ms. Juliette Pahl	none	<p>our allies in particular, look toward American leadership in upholding and promoting democratic principles more than ever. We have to keep setting an example in continuing to improve and perfect our democratic system. In North Carolina and other states at this time, we have an excellent opportunity to set an example of fairness in elections that reflect the will of all people in North Carolina. This can only be done by creating districts in which people have real choices and the opportunity for fair outcomes. Each district needs to be as competitive as possible. This process of redistricting should be carried out or, at minimum, approved by an independent body. The world is watching us! This includes our foes, China, Russia! We can not afford a coup-like process guaranteeing victory for one party in every elections for the next ten years (repeat of the last 10 years) as a façade for 'peaceful transition of power' at this time in history!</p>
09/14/2021 09:57 PM	Ms. Juliette Pahl	none	<p>NCGA is up to its usual tricks by restricting meetings to discuss redistricting to TEN meetings only, NC wide, and missing the major population centers. Too little information for the public and so late as to cripple the process, the controlling Republican party is up to absolutely no good – as per usual! What is it about redistricting that makes your gonads shrink? The notion that black and brown communities may finally own the political rights to make demands that can't be ignored? Well, I am watching closely, and I will call each and every legislator out who interferes and doesn't immediately schedule meetings in each and every county! Additionally, as we still are in a serious epidemic of Covid, each of those meetings MUST be live streamed on television, so that those, without computers, can watch and learn how flagrant partisanship divides us all. Get back to work, NCGA, and this time, do it correctly!</p>
09/14/2021 10:08 PM	Catherine Admay	Sanford School of Public Policy-Duke	<p>The way districts are drawn in our state is utterly shameless. It should not be the case that line drawers are devoted to maximizing officeholders for their party. I read that "Democrats in North Carolina earned 48.3 percent of the total vote cast in House races but appeared to win only three seats; Republicans had 50.4 percent of the vote and won at least nine seats." And "State Representative David Lewis, a Republican redistricting committee leader, openly called the congressional map a "political gerrymander" when it was drafted, and said it would produce 10 Republicans and three Democrats because "I do not believe it's possible to draw a map with 11 Republicans and two Democrats."" Whichever party you vote for, this is deeply inimical to a principle of rough equality among voters in a constitutional democracy. At the minimum we need public comment at every stage of this process. Please serve all the people of our state honorably: esse quam videri!</p>
09/14/2021 10:57 PM	Ann Barnes	Wilson County Democratic Party	<p>The process of redistricting directly affects every citizen of our state. It determines the allocation of political power at every level of government. When conducted fairly , redistricting accurately reflects population changes, racial diversity, and helps to equitably allocate representation. Sadly, North Carolina citizens know all too well what can happen when redistricting becomes gerrymandering, and all semblance of fairness, transparency, and equity is lost. The most recent attempt to gerrymander for political gain occurred as recently as 2019. A panel of three NC judges who were called to rule on gerrymandered maps stated that the maps were such an extreme gerrymander that they violated the state constitution. The judges held that the legislators' partisan intent in drawing these maps, the "surgical precision" used to draw them, and the distinct advantage the maps gave to Republicans violated NC's constitutional protections of free elections, free speech and assembly, as well as equal protection under the law for all citizens .</p> <p>The current redistricting effort provides an opportunity to ensure that the new maps accurately reflect population changes in our state and our growing diversity. Drawn in good faith and showing transparency, these new maps could ensure that NC voters choose their Representatives instead of the Representatives choosing their voters. Those who draw the new maps will determine--for good or ill-- the future of our state for the next ten years. All North Carolina citizens are watching as this important work is done.</p>
09/14/2021 11:07 PM	Mr. William Bush	private individual	<p>NC districts should be as geographically tight as possible, yet having designs that allow all people's votes to count, rather than structuring districts tailored to give one party a large advantage despite a near 50/50 distribution by party registration. You know you have been doing it that way, and you need to stop. Your boy died and his computer files were</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/14/2021 11:37 PM	Celeste Richie		published. We know what you do. Wish I had reason to expect better.
09/15/2021 05:36 AM	Shelby Reap		It is so important for my community of Bragtown and Durham to be kept together in one district to support our ability to work together to make a stronger community. Right now, a lot of folks are having a hard time finding affordable housing or staying in their homes, and we need to be able to organize and advocate for policy change to keep our community intact and thriving.
09/15/2021 07:08 AM	Cyndi Kennedy	Self	Please give us fair, non-partisan, redistricting maps made by an independent redistricting commission based on population not politics.
09/15/2021 07:33 AM	Kathy Wheeler	myself	When Redistricting please keep smaller cities out of the Maps with big cities. For example the city of Raleigh should be it's own district. You should not include Knightdale Fuquay Wendell Zebulun with Raleigh. Have smaller cities even though there will be more and the distance will be larger together. Smaller cities do not have the same values as large cities and then they become disillusioned and frustrated when their representatives don't represent their values.
09/15/2021 07:35 AM	Kathy Wheeler	myself	<p>My name is Kathy Wheeler and I live in Guilford County, in Summerfield. I moved to Guilford County in 1995, working downtown in Greensboro and retiring in 2010. While I reside in Summerfield, I engage in activities and interests and communities across my County, including in Greensboro, Winston Salem and High Point. So, I have a few concerns, as several others have also expressed.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I believe that HP, GBO, and WS should be kept together in the current Congressional District. They share common problems and common characteristics - so much so that our area is know by one name - the Piedmont Triad. Our cities share many of the same problems - housing, food insecurity, transportation issues, to name a few. So it makes sense that the effective way to address problems is collectively, with one representative who can focus just on these issues. This has worked well and should be continued. 2. High Point residents shouldn't be split across NC Senate districts. When they are, their voices and issues are overshadowed by the those of the larger district they're put in. Again, keep communities together, so a state senator can focus on solving the problems of that community - for ALL its residents not just some. 3. It makes sense that all of Summerfield should be put together in an NC House district, with areas close to it that share the same issues (related to schools, transportation, recreation areas, businesses). That way, all residents can have their concerns addressed by a rep, who will focus on those concerns, and not have to pick and choose which part of the district he/she should focus on. Now, part of Summerfield is split off in a district with part of High Point - which has very different needs and concerns. <p>Thank you for receiving my comments.</p>
09/15/2021 08:01 AM	Ms Wynne Cook	None	Please hold multiple hearings ACROSS the whole state to allow public comment on the draft maps when they are drawn. Why not do this? Why not get some good feedback from the people these maps affect? Don't waste taxpayer money on court cases again - let us be part of the input process now.
09/15/2021 08:02 AM	Lucinda Lechleider		It is essential for our democracy to assure that redistricting is done by an outside neutral party to assure that districts are aligned properly and not gerrymandered to give an unfair advantage to either party. Our state has been damaged by the previous practice of republicans making sure they win even when they don't earn the most votes. This must end.
09/15/2021 08:09 AM	Margaret Foster		Greensboro, Winston Salem and High Point form a natural district - sharing an airport, and job and labor markets. This should be considered and preserved during redistricting.
			I am writing in solidarity for the fight for #FairMaps. I am a member of the UNC university system and it is important to keep communities together so we can support each other in the face of natural disaster, sickness, and community strife. Please listen to your constituents' needs and keep them in districts that can seek policies that are supportive for all.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/15/2021 09:26 AM	Mr. Lamar Proctor	American Taxpayer and Your Boss	Dear Elected Officials, This is your moment to establish a truly fair and non-partisan playing field for all elections going forward. I don't care if the Dems did it before or the GOP did it before. We all know now that non-partisan redistricting is the RIGHT THING TO DO!! "The time is always right to do the right thing." We are all Americans. We all love this country. Quit thinking that only your way is right. Have some faith in your fellow human beings. Good things have come from both sides of the aisle. Do the right thing and create a non-partisan redistricting committee that uses the best practices and modern scientific methods to draw fair districts that do not favor either side, districts based on non-partisan variables and pre-existing geographic boundaries. You can do it, and you know it's the right thing to do. Thank you!
09/15/2021 09:49 AM	The Rev. Elmer T. Malone, Jr.		I think that Congressional and N. C. Legislative districts should be based solely on population, rather than on any formula that gives artificial advantage to any group based on race or partisan political party affiliation. Districts should consist of whole, entire counties and be compact, with natural boundaries rather than being drawn in odd and distorted shapes to favor one group or another. Precincts should never be divided, Rural counties, in particular, should not be split into pieces, separating their county seats from the rural precincts. Such unnaturally-drawn districts are confusing and frustrate the will of the people, and this would seem to be un-Constitutional.
09/15/2021 09:54 AM	Ms Marlene Pratto		I and I think many others are eagerly awaiting the maps and I wish you well in your work. After the maps are ready, I think it is very important to have meetings about the maps and many options for commenting. Having one meeting in Raleigh means that many will not have a chance to comment. The lines are drawn for ten years and this is our chance to get fair representation for all. Let's do it.
09/15/2021 10:03 AM	TiaJat TiaJat	TiaJat	hallo baby!! my name Carol! If you want to meet me, I'm here - bit.ly/3nsxppq
09/15/2021 10:34 AM	Mrs Barbara Higgins	Small business owner	The redistricting process must be handled by an independent, non-partisan committee. Otherwise, it will continue to be a farce, just as it has been. Change it to independent or continue to make NC a laughingstock.
09/15/2021 10:49 AM	Marywinne Sherwood		To the Redistricting Committees: Thank you for taking my comments and for holding these hearings. We are at another critical juncture in our democracy where we can enhance democracy by accomplishing the redistricting process in a fair and equitable way. As you know, it is tempting for the party in power, on either side, to draw the lines to favor their own interests in getting themselves elected and re-elected. Gerrymandering of this sort is destructive to our democracy where every person is supposed to have equal say in our government. I urge you, therefore, to appoint a neutral or a balanced commission to accomplish a redistricting map that is as fair and as accurate as is possible. A balanced commission, not necessarily composed of politicians, will have the support of all the people, both Democrats and Republicans. It is the only fair thing to do. Thank you for hearing!
09/15/2021 10:56 AM	Cathi Mowery		I want a fair, independent, non-partisan process for redistricting. Politicians should not be allowed to draw maps for their own districts. We must end gerrymandering!
09/15/2021 11:00 AM	Rev. Dawn Daly-Mack	CoolSpring Fit / Cool Spring Missionary Baptist Church	I live in rural Northeastern North Carolina, in a small town known as Gaston. Gaston, in Northampton County, is the first exit off Interstate 95 when you cross the border into our state. I am an Ordained Minister and a Registered Nurse. I am also a mother, a grandmother, a taxpayer, and a registered voter. I would like to speak on behalf of myself and my neighbors in asking for fair maps and fair representation. According to the most recent Census, the population of North Carolina showed an increase of 10% since 2010. Northampton County, however, showed a decrease of 11.9%. While our state receives one extra Congressional seat because of the increase, there is a possibility that we here in Northeastern North Carolina will not benefit from that.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>Without fair mapping, we stand to not only not gain but possibly to lose! We don't have the numbers that a Wake, or Mecklenburg County have. We also don't have the broadband coverage that our schools and healthcare providers need. There is a tendency for industries to come to our area, that aren't allowed in other area; industries that pollute our air and water with little regard to the people who live here or the environment. With fair representation, we will have more of a voice in what happens here.</p> <p>When I first moved here from Pennsylvania, I was told that there were TWO North Carolinas.... Urban and Rural. I have come to think of North Carolina as home, and I disagree.... there is only one North Carolina and I pray that each and every member of the General Assembly will keep that in mind as they draw the small lines that affect all of our lives in such a big way.</p>
09/15/2021 11:00 AM	Citizen Emerson Chambers	Wilson Chapel FWBC, Disabled American Veteran CMDR Wilson County Ch 8, Volunteer Driver VA/DAV Transportation Network	<p>Redistricting should not be gerrymandered, I am a honorably discharged 20 year U.S. Navy Veteran, I served 13 years as a Federal Law Enforcement Officer. I am an African American Citizen of these United States of America. I should not be under represented in the U. S. Congress due to discriminating gerrymandering, this is UN American and an injustice to the Constitution of the Unites States. Gerrymandering has to stop, else this Great Nation will no longer be the Great Nation that it is. I fear for the future of the United States of America, yet not for myself or my family, because we are Born Again God fearing Christians and God will keep us regardless what those in power do. The decision makers of the new districting maps need all read KJB 2nd Chronicles 7:14, God is watching what we/you do. Emerson Ray Chambers, Wilson N.C. 27893 C-619-392-3396.</p>
09/15/2021 11:04 AM	Ms. Lonna Harkrader		<p>The way voting districts are drawn at present favors Republican legislators. The same is true for US congressional districts. So despite a fairly even number of Democratic and Republican voters in NC, voters do not choose their candidates, candidates choose their voters because of unfairly drawn districts that stack Democratic voters in the same districts rather than allowing them to be evenly represented in most of the districts. This is not fair to voters and does not allow for democracy to flourish in NC. Voters should be encouraged to vote by knowing ahead of time that their candidate has a fair chance to win.</p>
09/15/2021 11:14 AM	Sonja Murray		<p>It is important that we have hearings after the maps are drawn. Holding only one meeting in Raleigh to review the maps after they are draw, will not provide an appropriate amount of citizen's review. These maps will be in effect for 10 years and it is important for citizens to have ample time to react to the maps drawn by the legislature.</p>
09/15/2021 11:26 AM	Ms. Susan Trabka		<p>I'm a retired NC public school Exceptional Children's teacher with 38 years of experience working with preschoolers & children with special needs, including physical, emotional & behavioral disabilities as well as acute & chronic medical conditions. As a hospital school teacher, a major part of my work was collaborating with schools & teachers across NC to provide for the educational needs of these students when they returned to their home communities. This experience highlighted the importance of ensuring that all areas of NC have the representation needed to secure the resources to meet the needs of their children, whether they live in rural, urban, wealthy, or low-income parts of the state. These needs are shared by NC families of all races, incomes, and ability levels, and heightened by the challenges of schooling during the pandemic. So it is vitally important that our redistricting process be fair, transparent, timely & inclusive for all NC communities to support them in working through state & national governments to meet the needs of their children. That is why I whole- heartedly support the Redistricting Demands Letter to members of the NC General Assembly, dated 9/3/2021, which is signed by leaders of Common Cause NC, NC NAACP, League of Women Voters NC, Democracy NC, NC Counts, Southern Coalition for Social Justice, Carolina Jews for Justice, ADORA.NC, EL PUEBLO NC, Disability Rights NC and the NC Justice Center. This letter outlines specific steps to implement a redistricting process that meets the standards of fairness, transparency, timeliness & inclusivity. We will all benefit when fair maps give all NC families equal voices & votes.</p>
09/15/2021	Mrs. Joy Button		<p>It is imperative that your constituents have the opportunity to see your drafts and submit comments. This should be</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
11:31 AM			done with enough time for changes to be made prior to the final vote on a Redistricting map. To do otherwise is ignoring and bulldozing your constituents.
09/15/2021 11:53 AM	Architect Bob Andron	Andron Architects & Associates	At different times in the past, BOTH parties have created a MUTINY against "WE the People" by distorting the district boundaries and size to gain more votes. This by now is totally BEYOND any DOUBT, as per SCOTUS. Is there enough honor still in the hearts of politicians to do the RIGHT THING this time around??
09/15/2021 12:19 PM	Attorney Donald Grimes		Regardless of who does it, redistricting should be guided unerringly by the percentage of registered Democrats, Republicans and independents in the state as a whole. Gerrymandering for disproportionate partisan advantage is undemocratic and unethical and can only exacerbate the distrust, cynicism and divisiveness that is slowly killing our country.
09/15/2021 12:25 PM	Linda Kane		<p>Dear Sen. Edwards, I regret that I am unable to attend the hearing but the attached article suggests a way to redistrict in a manner that would probably be deemed "fair" for all. It meets all the criteria. It results in a 7-5 Republican trending district division with 2 toss-ups. It has won a national contest and would likely stand up against lawsuits. I hope that your committee will consider this nonpartisan option. If you are unable to open this link you can find it on google under "winner of national contest for redistricting". Please consider such a fair option. Linda Connor Kane</p> <p>https://www.newsobserver.com/opinion/article253584314.html</p>
09/15/2021 12:30 PM	Mr. Dwight Jordan		<p>My name is Dwight L. Jordan, I am a resident of North Carolina, County of Nash and Town of Nashville.</p> <p>I am very concerned about what our redistricting process will look like. In the past, the process:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ? has not included enough opportunities for public input ? has not made it clear how input from the public is used, if at all ? has lacked transparency, with the general public and hired consultants making important decisions about maps behind closed doors ? has allowed legislators to draw districts to personally benefit themselves, in other words, legislators picking their voters ? has produced maps that do not fairly represent the communities in our state <p>I would expect you and your fellow legislators to conduct a truly fair process. I would like to see the following changes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ? More public hearings should be held across the state. They should be accessible to people who are mobility, sight, and/or hearing impaired and/or speak languages other than English. ? All comments made at public hearings or online should be transcribed and entered into an online, searchable database that is accessible to the public ? All map drawing must be done over a recorded video livestream with audio of the legislators involved ? The public should have online access to the associated mapping files of any redistricting bill within two hours of filing ? Maps should be drawn by a non-partisan/and or independent coalition <p>I am writing to you about this now because redistricting impacts every important issue in our state. For instance, gerrymandering has meant that our state has failed to make progress in fighting climate change, fair and equitable school funding, no approved state budget, pay equity for teachers and staff, Medicaid Expansion, LGBTQ Rights and Criminal Justice Reform.</p> <p>I want you to know that I will be following the redistricting process closely and I will be holding you and your fellow legislators accountable.</p> <p>Sincerely, Dwight L. Jordan 713 Westview Ct. Nashville, N. C. 27856</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/15/2021 12:38 PM	Dr. Pamela GEORGE	Retired North Carolina teacher	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I support COMPACT, CONTIGUOUS DISTRICTS. District should be a single, unbroken shape. • I support RACIAL FAIRNESS IN REDISTRICTING. Maps should not intend to dilute the power of minority voters elect candidates of their choice. • I support PRESERVATION OF COMMUNITIES. Districts should keep communities together that share historical, cultural, or economic interests. • I support NO ADVANTAGE TO ONE POLITICAL PARTY. Maps must not give partisan advantage to one party over another, or to specific candidates or incumbents. • I support PRESERVATION OF GEOGRAPHIC BOUNDARIES. Districts should try not to split existing city, town, or county boundaries.
09/15/2021 12:48 PM	Ms Carolyn White	--None--	I support representative government and ask that North Carolina's new legislative maps are fair and nonpartisan. Maps should be drawn that consider keeping counties and communities of shared interest intact. In addition, I ask that you make the process transparent and open to the voters. There should be ample time to consider and respond to the new maps, so I encourage you to add more hearings. Please make the districts as fair as possible to ensure that voters have a voice when choosing their representatives.
09/15/2021 12:50 PM	Eric Clonch		My understanding is that NC picked up 1 Congressional district for the upcoming decade. Undoubtedly the majority of the population growth occurred in the urban centers of Mecklenburg County and in the Raleigh MSA. For Western NC, Asheville is the hub, but Asheville should not decide who represents Western NC anymore than New York City should decide who is President of the United States.
09/15/2021 12:58 PM	Victoria Shea		I am writing from Chatham County to make three points: 1. Chatham County should not be split between districts for US Congress or NC General Assembly. 2. Taking public comments before the lines are drawn is fine, but you should take public comments AFTER a plan is drafted. 3. It's pretty clear you are going to do whatever you want to do, regardless of public comments. See you in court.
09/15/2021 01:29 PM	Sharon Paterson		Fairness. That's the main thing I want to communicate to the people in charge of redistricting. When you see a regional map that looks all convoluted, with districts the shape of dragons and spatulas, you know there is unfairness there. Can you breathe some fresh air into North Carolina's governance and fairly set the district lines so that we can have a sense of confidence that our lawmakers have our best interests in mind? Thank you.
09/15/2021 01:40 PM	Mr Thomas Gonzalez	NC Voter	I have lived in NC since 1995. It was very distressing to experience the rejection of North Carolina's congressional map by a US court that declared it unconstitutionally gerrymandered to favor Republican candidates. Please learn from this and apply Duke University's Johnathan Mattingly's test for slanted and clearly undemocratic redistricting and instead choose to properly align with NC state law.
09/15/2021 01:49 PM	Respect municipalities Brian Cross	Iraq Veterans	The city of Monroe gets sliced up amongst 3 different house districts. They deserve a unified voice. Stop cutting up municipalities like pies. The residents deserve one voice.
09/15/2021 01:59 PM	Mr Richard Harkrader	1320 Shepherd	Transparency is very important and request more hearings after maps are proposed
09/15/2021 02:21 PM	Jo Cloniger	Retired Charlotte Mecklenburg Principal	Greetings Committee Members Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you this afternoon. My name is Jo Cloniger and I have lived in Davidson, Precinct 206, for 15 years and I have also been fortunate to have lived in Cornelius and Huntersville. I attend Inclusion Community Church, I am an active member in Precinct 206, I am a Poll Watcher and I am an advocate for fair and affordable housing and racial equity. For 25 years I worked for Charlotte Mecklenburg students as a teacher, assistant principal and principal. I am a parent and grandparent to 6 grandchildren. In my days in education and as a volunteer now I have always believed in doing what is Right and Best for children and adults. I am here today to talk about the redistricting process and what is Right and Best for current voters and the young people who will have the opportunity to vote in 10 years. The redistricting process needs to be transparent, it needs to provide

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>the public with access to the draft maps before the hearings so the public knows what it commenting on and then publicly post the maps after the hearings as well, it needs to provide access to public hearings held in the evening for those who work and can't attend during the day, it needs to publish hearing locations with room numbers, it needs to share the redistricting criteria online as is done in Texas, it needs to provide the opportunity for virtual public testimony during pandemics as is done in Texas, it needs to allow for 4 minute public testimony as is done in Texas, and it needs to offer more locations for the public hearings especially in large counties like Raleigh. We need Public Hearings to be what is Right and Best for ALL.</p>
09/15/2021 02:39 PM	Linda Duda		<p>My Durham community is growing rapidly. There continues to be a tremendous amount of development(of all kinds). We have very specific needs in our community related to infrastructure upgrades, affordable housing, public transportation and health care. My Congressional District 4 extends to the Virginia border and includes several rural communities whose needs are quite different from ours. Our district should include other areas with similar concerns and needs of Durham. Also, NCHouse 30 needs to be redrawn so that the representative can be more effective in addressing the needs of my Durham community. My community has much more in common with downtown Durham than those needs of the rural areas of Durham County. PLEASE focus on drawing fair maps and do so using a transparent process. All North Carolinians deserve this.</p>
09/15/2021 02:44 PM	Aaron Kohrs		<p>I really believe the Hickory metropolitan area should have its own contiguous congressional district. Culturally and economically, this area has common similarities across various smaller communities in the four-county area. I hope there will be an effort to keep most of the Unifour in one district. I would also hope most communities across NC could stay in one district, though I realize the logistical challenge this presents. Ideally, each (metro) community should have its own representative. Thank you.</p>
09/15/2021 02:54 PM	Colleen McGloughlin		<p>I have been a NC resident, primarily in Durham, for 39 years. I am a registered, regular voter. I write today to demand a fair, timely, inclusive, and transparent redistricting process – a process made especially difficult for North Carolinians to participate in – so that our voices can be heard and fairly represented in the General Assembly. Member maps should be released to the public and made easily accessible to ALL NC CITIZENS. Meetings in September and October should be held across the state and made easily accessible as well.</p> <p>North Carolinians should not have to beg for information in order to participate in our communities' current and future welfare. North Carolina deserves and demands a fair, timely, inclusive, and transparent redistricting process. We are paying attention.</p>
09/15/2021 03:03 PM	Ms Bridget Tarrant		<p>Please make the map drawing process transparent: Draw the maps publicly. Request public comment on the maps prior to finalizing them</p>
09/15/2021 03:04 PM	Dale Joyner		<p>Please keep in mind the CITIZENS of this state during redistricting. This state is almost evenly divided, as shown in last year's elections for Governor, Senate, and President. We are basically a 50-50 state. If you gerrymander the congressional districts and the NCGA districts, you are denying people their right to have representatives who support their views. Please don't split cities or counties unless they are large enough to contain a complete district. The population growth has occurred in urban areas, so they should get more representation to reflect that. We don't need another entire decade of lawsuits and uncertainty about our districts. Please do the right thing this time. When Republicans were in the minority, they begged for non-partisan redistricting. Now, it has become undemocratic due to sophisticated computer programs that can split precincts.</p>
09/15/2021 03:14 PM	MaryEllen Cole		<p>Incumbents, or where they reside, should not have an impact on the District Map.</p>
09/15/2021 03:16 PM	Ms Linda Hardy	NC Federation of Business & Professional Women, Inc. - President	<p>I would like to see the various districts chosen without consideration of political parties or race. Let the computers decide the basic lines and just tweek them as needed to keep the county/city lines congruent. Take the politics out of it. I know this will never happen, but why not?</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/15/2021 03:26 PM	Ms. Marni Goldshlag		I am a resident of Northgate Park neighborhood in Durham and I am writing because I believe it is very important to maintain neighborhoods in the same House, Senate and Congressional districts - not to break them up. My neighborhood is quite diverse in terms of race, ethnicity, age, gender, etc. and we take care of one another through a list-serve, a mutual aid organization, a quarterly newsletter in both English and Spanish, etc. We socialize at monthly food truck rodeos and at occasional concerts in the park.. We are a diverse, but cohesive whole. We support the same local small businesses. Other neighborhoods have many of the same attributes. This is why I believe maintaining the integrity of neighborhoods is so important in creating district maps. Thank you.
09/15/2021 03:26 PM	Chuck Catotti		I hope the Legislature will create the new districts in a fair and impartial manner. It is important to communities that districts are compact and especially do not split precincts. Smaller cities and towns should not be divided to protect incumbents or either political party. In the State House grouping, Chatham and Lee counties should be kept whole.
09/15/2021 03:31 PM	Sarah Vrla		It is my sincere hope that districts are chosen with respect to other lines that already exist, such as precincts, towns, counties, etc. Districts should generally be as compact and straightforward as possible, with incumbent protection as a far distant priority that is only considered if it does not require other existing boundaries to be divided. People should pick their representatives, representatives should not pick their voters.
09/15/2021 04:06 PM	Jon Spoon	Chatham County Planning Board	<p>I really hope the NC legislature does not see fit to split Chatham County into multiple districts. There is no purpose other than a craven plan to consolidate more power on a state level. While I understand that whichever party currently holding sway over our legislature has used redistricting for this specific purpose, it ignores the challenges it poses to government on a local level. For one, utilizing whole counties in the redistricting process makes it easier for citizens to understand how their government works. When someone new moves to the county, anyone can tell them who represents them in our legislature. It allows those representatives to bring forth whole-county concerns in their work and work towards policy that can be uniformly implemented on a local level. Finally, it allows county governments to serve their whole populations without artificial divisions drawn to concentrate power on a state level. It is difficult enough to make popular policy on a county-wide level and it is not helped by people feeling as if they are more invested in a state voting district than their actual county.</p> <p>If the districts were drawn fairly in a non-partisan way, it would leave as many counties whole as possible. The benefits in popular comprehension and cohesion would far outweigh any 'benefit' presented by drawing fake lines through counties. Our state is already too evenly divided for its government to function appropriately. Dividing more communities will not prove to be a tipping point for either side, it will only perpetuate the idea that civic involvement is meaningless because the conniving people at the top have designed it to work against regular citizens.</p> <p>I am a civic leader and an independent. I am glad that my entire county is encompassed in a single house voting district because it makes it easy for me to explain how our government works. If you like our representative's views, volunteer to help. If you dislike them, organize against him. That explanation becomes much more difficult when I have to ask for someone's address and hope it is not close enough to the line that I am unsure who their representative even is.</p> <p>Chatham County will soon have a large enough population to have a house district to itself. Do not use this process to create even more division here when we are only now defining our character in the face of tremendous growth from other parts of the country.</p> <p>Thank you, Jon Spoon</p>
09/15/2021 04:06 PM	Jon Spoon	Chatham County Planning Board	I really hope the NC legislature does not see fit to split Chatham County into multiple districts. There is no purpose other than a craven plan to consolidate more power on a state level. While I understand that whichever party currently

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>holding sway over our legislature has used redistricting for this specific purpose, it ignores the challenges it poses to government on a local level. For one, utilizing whole counties in the redistricting process makes it easier for citizens to understand how their government works. When someone new moves to the county, anyone can tell them who represents them in our legislature. It allows those representatives to bring forth whole-county concerns in their work and work towards policy that can be uniformly implemented on a local level. Finally, it allows county governments to serve their whole populations without artificial divisions drawn to concentrate power on a state level. It is difficult enough to make popular policy on a county-wide level and it is not helped by people feeling as if they are more invested in a state voting district than their actual county.</p> <p>If the districts were drawn fairly in a non-partisan way, it would leave as many counties whole as possible. The benefits in popular comprehension and cohesion would far outweigh any 'benefit' presented by drawing fake lines through counties. Our state is already too evenly divided for its government to function appropriately. Dividing more communities will not prove to be a tipping point for either side, it will only perpetuate the idea that civic involvement is meaningless because the conniving people at the top have designed it to work against regular citizens.</p> <p>I am a civic leader and an independent. I am glad that my entire county is encompassed in a single house voting district because it makes it easy for me to explain how our government works. If you like our representative's views, volunteer to help. If you dislike them, organize against him. That explanation becomes much more difficult when I have to ask for someone's address and hope it is not close enough to the line that I am unsure who their representative even is.</p> <p>Chatham County will soon have a large enough population to have a house district to itself. Do not use this process to create even more division here when we are only now defining our character in the face of tremendous growth from other parts of the country.</p> <p>Thank you, Jon Spoon</p>
09/15/2021 04:09 PM	Dr. Doyle Graham	retired	<p>It is important that redistricting maps be drawn by a non-partisan process. Every US citizen must have an equal chance to choose representation, and the make up of legislative and congressional districts should be drawn so that the overall population receives representation proportional to the numbers of each political party in that district.</p>
09/15/2021 04:09 PM	Linda Kaul		<p>To the NC General Assembly,</p> <p>I respectfully request that the legislators produce fair districts in the upcoming redistricting negotiations for our state. Fairly drawn districts an important part of allowing the voices of our state's voters to be heard!</p> <p>Since the public comment sessions have been slashed from 60 to 13, it is of utmost urgency that the process be transparent, honest and non partisan!</p> <p>Thank you</p> <p>Linda Kaul 107 Yeopim Creek Circle Hertford, NC. 27944</p>
09/15/2021 04:09 PM	Ms Marian Place		<p>I support COMPACT, CONTIGUOUS DISTRICTS. District should be a single, unbroken shape. I support RACIAL FAIRNESS IN REDISTRICTING. Maps should not dilute the power of minority voters to elect candidates of their choice. Dear General Assembly: Regarding redistricting:</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/15/2021 04:14 PM	Ms Megan Engel		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I believe redistricting should keep communities together that share historical, cultural, or economic interests. 2. The integrity of existing town, city or county boundaries should not be interfered with. 3. Maps should be impartial, giving no partisan edge to anyone candidate or party. 4. Districts should have one contiguous border - not be split across different geographies. <p>Thank you.</p>
09/15/2021 04:14 PM	Karen Watkins	Yancey County Democrats	We believe redistricting should ALWAYS be done with a bi-partisan or non-partisan group making the decisions affecting our voting districts.
09/15/2021 04:14 PM	Mrs. Peggy Fry	You Can Vote	<p>I want to urge the House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee to COMMIT to a public, open and transparent process in its task of redrawing the voting districts in North Carolina.</p> <p>It should be a sober and conscientious responsibility for each member of these committees, no matter their party affiliations or party loyalties, to ensure that EVERY North Carolina citizen's vote will count equally no matter what county, city or town they reside in. But unfortunately North Carolina has instead become the national poster child for what happens when one party, in this case, the Republican Party, decides that "winning ugly" through extreme racial and partisan gerrymandering is an acceptable cost to our democracy because remaining in power, not the best interests of this state and its citizens, is their top and only priority.</p> <p>I take no pleasure in saying this, because I know that all of us would be better served by a state where both parties respect the concept of "one person, one vote"; where rigorous but respectable debate of the real issues we share and need to address as fellow citizens results finally, in a spirit of cooperation and compromise, finding real, long-lasting solutions that benefit all of us. One-party rule, when it's unfairly attained by gerrymandering and voter suppression tactics will continue to erode our electoral system and discourage and demoralize North Carolina citizens.</p> <p>It is not hard to draw fair district maps: IF that is what your intention is. I would appeal to the Republican Party to realize that every time you use the power you have now, which was attained through less than ethical, legal means, you are putting up a big sign saying "We can't win fairly; we're afraid to meet our political rivals on an even playing field." In other words, with all the power you've accrued in this unsavory manner, it is your party that ends up looking weak. Power for power's sake does corrupt absolutely.</p> <p>But despite the dysfunction of our system, we citizens know we can do better: that's it's a choice. That's why a majority of Democrats, Republicans and Independents (in other words "bipartisan!") are sick and tired of our voting rights and our voting system being corrupted. We want real change; we want fair and above-board redistricting maps drawn. If our politicians can't do it in any honorable or honest fashion, then we need an independent commission that will have the state's citizens' (all of them) best interests at heart. For the sake of the integrity of our system and for the integrity of our state and country, I hope that is what we will receive from these committees.</p> <p>Thank you.</p> <p>Peggy Fry Wilmington, NC</p>
09/15/2021 04:15 PM	Claire Kempner		I cannot attend the meeting because my kid's school dismisses at 3pm. I wouldn't be able to arrive until 3:45 with my 3 children in tow.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			I would like the lines drawn independently. North Carolina's lines were gerrymandered with surgical precision in 2010 and I don't want that happening again.
09/15/2021 04:17 PM	Grace Kirchgessner		I'm a resident of Chapel Hill in Orange county. As a (near) life long resident and graduate of UNC-Chapel Hill, it was not evident to me until I had children in the public schools of the poverty in our community. Affordable housing and food insecurity are increasingly important issues facing our community. As the redistricting maps are being considered, I believe we should minimize dividing towns as well as precincts. This will enable communities to advocate for our shared interests and hopefully have a more productive and effective process. It seems that the current maps have resulted in very polarized districts - far left or far right. With most citizens being moderate, the current maps don't ensure adequate representation. Thank you for taking time to obtain community input.
09/15/2021 04:31 PM	Ernestine Ledbetter		It is my desire that any future redistricting or map drawings be done by an independent agency supported by kay people, representatives from local municipalities, experts from local colleges and universities with the interest of fairness, keeping local municipalities intact, removal of gerrymandering, and giving people a fair chance to influence their government.
09/15/2021 04:33 PM	Mrs Melissa Holmberg		I insist that equitable districts be drawn that truly reflects the diverse population of our great state. The constitutional right of every voter to have an equal vote must be secured. Gerrymandering denies voters the ability to have their vote have an equal impact on every other vote. North Carolinians deserve to have districts drawn that fairly represent everyone ! Thank you for your attention.
09/15/2021 04:44 PM	Melissa Nasea	None	Please draw the district lines as equitably as possible. As much as possible, draw them so that cities and counties are not split. The voters are supposed to choose their legislators and rather than the legislators choosing their voters. The second is gerrymandering and is illegal.
09/15/2021 04:49 PM	Dr Nathaniel Grubbs		For far too long, certain politicians have put their own self-interest ahead of what's best for the people of our state when it comes to redistricting. The result has been racially-motivated gerrymandering that has repeatedly silenced many within our communities. NC is a diverse state, and that diversity should be reflected in our elected public officials. Given the recent history of our state with respect to redistricting, I don't think I can trust partisan politicians to draw our election maps without putting their own interests ahead of those of our voters. Free and fair elections in our state require non-partisan redistricting. I call on you to put redistricting in the hands of a non-partisan, independent commission who will put all North Carolinians ahead of the interests of an elite few.
09/15/2021 04:52 PM	Gracie Kirchgessner	OCDP	Districts should not be split. Also smaller towns shouldn't be able to protect incumbents or certain political parties.
09/15/2021 04:55 PM	MS Elaine Pfaff		Gerrymandering must stop! Please provide fair maps in NC.
09/15/2021 05:00 PM	MR Jacob Baldrige		Dear Committee Members, I am unable to attend tonight's Redistricting Hearing at Durham Technical Community College. This message is to implore the Committee to draw congressional districts that are fair--not to Congresspeople but to the North Carolina voter. Gerrymandering is a time-honored yet despicable method of systematically disenfranchising voters by making districts "safe" for incumbents of one party or another. It is critical -- at this time more than ever before -- that the voices of individual North Carolinians be heard and represented fairly in Congress. Without fair maps, by which I mean compact, competitive and contiguous districts, we

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>will not have the representation that North Carolinians so desperately want and deserve.</p> <p>Thank you,</p> <p>Jacob Baldrige Durham, NC</p>
09/15/2021 05:02 PM	Mr. Mike and Paula Jennings	selves	<p>During the past decade, the General Assembly spent \$10.79 million of our tax money defending unfair legislative and Congressional voting maps. And that was just on legal fees. The maps were determined to be unfair in court case after court case. Finally, in response to a court demand, the General Assembly created maps that were less rigged, but it was so late in the election cycle that the courts allowed them to be used instead of delaying the 2020 election.</p> <p>The General Assembly could have created fair maps in 2011 and concentrated on working for the betterment of the state and its people. Instead, the GA created districts that were designed to elect a majority of Republicans to legislative and Congressional Districts</p> <p>The General Assembly has two choices. Create General Assembly and Congressional districts that are fair and spend your time and our money working for the betterment of the state, or pick your voters and spend the next ten years fighting for indefensible, unfair maps.</p> <p>Please take the high road this time and create fair maps. We don't want to spend our time in the next decade opposing unfair maps. Mike and Paula Jennings Cary, NC</p>
09/15/2021 05:06 PM	Dr. Susan Weaver		<p>We live in Durham, but I am very concerned about the image that North Carolina has about our history of redistricting. Our gerrymandered maps are legendary in national media with strangely drawn districts to crack, pack and stack. Even the Supreme Court has had to intervene with blatantly racist policies. This contemptible behavior makes us all look stupid, greedy, and corrupt. Please work together to give us maps that show pride and integrity. This is critical for good governance, progress, and growth. We face challenges in health, economy, and education and need communities to be drawn together in the same district to solve problems together. What you do is for the sake of democracy and for the sake of our future.</p>
09/15/2021 05:09 PM	Mr Dennis Pagano	private citizen	<p>This issue of redistricting is fundamental to our democracy and should be held to the highest standards possible. I have seen too many times in NC where districts were created that have not passed that standard and in some cases, did not pass a constitutional standard. In that regard, I would like to see districts drawn:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - that are compact. Districts like this confuse votes and split communities. - that do not split precincts which make it harder to administer elections. - that do not divide towns, which has been done to favor specific candidates or parties. - that are drawn by an independent group of individuals rather than by legislators who have a very vested interest in the outcome. <p>These requests would go a long way to assuring that voting districts may be held to the standards I stated above and, more importantly, assure that my one vote is just as important and meaningful as any other vote. This is something that appears not to have been the case in previous redistricting efforts and is just wrong.</p> <p>Thank you for seriously considering my comments and suggestions.</p>
09/15/2021 05:25 PM	Ms. Diana Clark		<p>I would love for the NC General Assembly to put forward an amendment to our state constitution allowing for disinterested, non-partisan actors or organizations to redistrict. Barring that, I beg the committee to avoid</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/15/2021 05:34 PM	Ian Cowie		gerrymandering of any sort. Set aside concerns of race and political party. Use an algorithm to find districts of the correct population size. Then tweak those districts to keep communities such as cities or neighborhoods undivided. Please give the electorate a real choice as to who to vote for, rather than selecting the voters you want to be in each district.
09/15/2021 05:48 PM	Kathy Gregg	Fayetteville Police Accountability Community Taskforce	Fayetteville needs leaders who are for the people. Not having but one public comment shows that the leaders don't need to hear from the people. Not allowing the people from all communities to show how they want their neighborhoods to look, shows no transparency and no responsibility. We are for the people, and the people must decide who they want to serve them without being retaliated against with gentrification ,redlining and manipulation from the people they voted in office. Fix it he problem allow everyone to speak.
09/15/2021 06:19 PM	Dr. Shelley Allen		Please make sure the redistricting is FAIR and keeps our communities together. There should be NO gerrymandering to favor a certain party. The most recent (2020) data (Carolina Forward) shows that Democrats number 2,660,535 (50% of votes cast) and have 5 Congressional seats, while Republicans number 2,631,336 (49.4% of votes cast) and have 8 Congressional seats. This is clearly not fair representation; it is a perversion of democracy and needs to be corrected.
09/15/2021 06:24 PM	Mr. Stefan Walz		As you go about the process of redistricting our state of North Carolina. Be mindful of communities. Be honest with yourselves about the census results. Be mindful of putting people first and setting politics and party affiliation aside. North Carolina has a very bad past with gerrymandering and your constituents are tired of it. Everyone deserves to have a fair and equal representation of their vote. Don't redraw our state to favor a political party. Do the right thing and keep communities together and redraw districts fairly, equitably, and with moral data and statistical input. Thank you, Stefan Walz, 27519.
09/15/2021 06:40 PM	Mrs. Barbara Gregg		I have long been in favor of a bipartisan or nonpartisan redistricting commission, but since that has not come to pass, I urge the committee to make the process transparent, offer more public hearings (I am a senior citizen and cannot travel to the site nearest me), make online comments public, and allow feedback once the maps are drawn. Please draw maps that honor the right of every citizen to have his or her vote count.
09/15/2021 07:10 PM	Becky Mock	Southern Alamance resident - South Thompson Precinct	Redistricting should not be done in secret. Please make all work sessions open to the public with all materials shared at meetings and online for public review. Districts must be drawn that do not split precincts such as our voting area, South Thompson precinct. It's confusing enough to the average person to learn where they are to go vote on Election Day. Split precincts confuse voters if it divides neighborhoods. Splitting districts makes it harder to administer elections. It's unethical to "cut precincts" to benefit certain incumbents or certain political parties. Make it politically neutral and fair. Cities and towns should not be divided and communities of interest, like South Thompson, should not be divided.
09/15/2021 07:27 PM	Mrs Martha Krall		Split precincts confuse voters, make it harder to administer elections, and are often used to benefit certain incumbents or certain political parties. Cities and towns should not be divided unnecessarily to protect incumbents or a particular political party. Districts must be drawn that are compact. Non-compact districts confuse voters, split communities of interest, and are often drawn to benefit certain voters or certain political parties.
09/15/2021 07:32 PM	Carl Shy	retired	My name is Carl Shy. I live at 6626 Bradshaw Quarry Rd, Efland NC 27243. Our home is located in Bingham Township, in the southwestern part of Orange County. The boundaries of my voting districts are impacted by the many neighbors

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>who work at the UNC Hospitals at the UNC campus in Chapel Hill. We should be voting together with neighbors in Hillsborough and Chapel Hill, both of which are sites for our shopping, restaurants, recreation an business.</p> <p>I request that voting districts be drawn without reference to which political party certain areas tend to favor. Rather voting boundaries should be drawn fairly, by keeping contiguous neighborhoods together independent of how any neighborhoods voted in past elections. In particular, voting boundaries should not be drawn so as to keep a particular district safely democratic or safely republican. let the voters chose their elected representatives rather than elected representatives create districts so that their continuance in office is assured; A secure democracy is one in which there are truly competitive elections of candidates in the same voting district.</p>
09/15/2021 07:42 PM	Resident of Kingstown Mary Evans		Due to distance medical issues I would like to attend via zoom.
09/15/2021 07:48 PM	Jill Mertens		<p>I am asking for transparency in the process, people (consultants, etc.) and criteria for our redistricting efforts this year. For transparency, streaming meetings and allowing more public review of criteria and proposed maps is essential.</p> <p>For fairness in the process, the mapping criteria should include (1) maintaining communities of common interest, compactness (no snake- or dragon- shaped districts!) and and adherence to the 1 person, 1 vote principle.</p> <p>The redistricting committee should also consider the maps published in the N&O on August 20. These maps slightly favor Republicans because of the way our population is spread out across the state- not because of political game playing! Appropriate for our “purple” state, these maps are also competitive, allowing our citizens to make their will known on any particular issue.</p> <p>There is nothing more important to our democracy than the redistricting process. Our legislature must employ a fair process that enables our voters to elect politicians- not allow politicians to select voters.</p>
09/15/2021 07:59 PM	mr Robert Gross		<p>I don't have much respect for the process the Republican controlled legislature has presented to us. To them it's a formality.</p> <p>This should be a time when ALL NC voters have serious input into the process of redistricting. This state is evenly split between parties, but you wouldn't know it from the make-up of our legislature, or our representation in the US Congress. Sure, you will pretend to listen to us and then do what is in the interest of your party. Where is is the citizen input reflected?</p>
09/15/2021 09:00 PM	Doris Conner		<p>Every vote should count. It's way past this “how many votes from here” vs “how many votes we can cancel out”.</p> <p>If every vote counted - Donald could have never had been the illegitimate voice he was. We must do better for our future one nation under GOD indivisible with liberty and justice for all. Thank you.</p>
09/15/2021 09:07 PM	Dr. Barbara Clawson		<p>If our democracy is to survive, we must have voting districts that accurately represent the people in them. I have the following concerns:</p> <p>As I look at Congressional District 13 as well as some of the other districts, it seems to me some of the guidelines for forming the districts have been violated. The districts should be compact: District 13 is not nor are some of the other districts. In many cases, countries are in two districts and it seems the districts are drawn to benefit political parties. Districts should be drawn so they do not split precincts. Split precincts confuse voters, make it harder to administer elections, and are often used to benefit certain voters or political parties. For example, Twin Lakes Community is a residential community and part of the new areas are in a different precinct from the rest of the community. We are concerned about access to health care and about legislation that impacts retirement communities. Thus, it would help if we were all in the same precinct.</p> <p>Cities and towns should not be undivided unnecessarily to protect incumbents or a particular political party.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration of these points.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/15/2021 10:02 PM	Ms Sylvia Hapner		I would like you to be fair to all North Carolina residents, not just Republicans. I am at a loss to understand why Republicans are afraid of free and fair elections. Is holding onto power more important to them than a true democracy where we the people get to choose our elected officials fairly rather than our elected officials getting to choose their voters. This is inherently undemocratic and un-American.
09/15/2021 10:12 PM	Charles Everett		In just a few years a section of toll road 540 will interchange with Holly Springs Rd in southern Wake County. The road is under construction now and that section will be completed long before redistricting lines are drawn again in 2030. The large diverging diamond interchange being created with its several banks of stop lights will be a physical barrier that divides the neighborhoods north and south of it and a deterrent to cross over to the other side for shopping, gas, drug stores, cleaners, etc when one can find other places nearby that are less complicated to access. I am requesting that you take that in consideration when you draw the district lines and keep it simple. It seems that North Carolinians are falling behind in healthcare, life expectancy, quality of education in grades k-12, moving families out of poverty and up the economic ladder, infant mortality rate (worse than Cuba's), housing affordability and despite billions of dollars spent on recruiting businesses and reducing the corporate tax rate, and getting high ratings on being a desirable place to do business, we are losing the battle to bring the jobs here to SC, Va and Texas. Therefore for the benefit of the working class and poor who are getting left behind, please give strong consideration to the Fair Maps Act. The people of North Carolina deserve better on these core and essential elements of quality of life.
09/15/2021 10:22 PM	Mr. C. Warren Pope		Current Gerrymandering of state and congressional districts by the NCGA are racially discriminatory and clearly favor the NC Republican party to the extent that a balanced purple state have only a couple of Democratic representatives. This is a direct result of Republican-controlled Gerrymandering using software produced by ALEC and others. This Unamerican and probably Unconstitutional Gerrymandering must end now. Redistrict using a non-partisan committee, equally balanced between Democrats, Independants and Republicans. It is the only fair way to redistrict.
09/15/2021 10:40 PM	Rhoda Davis	N/A	Do not divide Chatham County. It is almost large enough to be its own district now and will continue to grow rapidly as Chatham Park is built out. All districts should be competitive, not gerrymandered to favor one party.
09/15/2021 11:42 PM	Mr. EVELYN WOODSON	GOP Warren County Chair	The North Carolina General Assembly should start playing hardball with these democrats' and set the maps, as per the NC State Constitution, and use every advantage they can to add Republicans to any and every possible office/election.
09/16/2021 12:36 AM	Cheryl Turney		I consider the following factors as very important in creating voting districts: COMPACT, CONTIGUOUS DISTRICTS. District should be a single, unbroken shape. RACIAL FAIRNESS IN REDISTRICTING. Maps should not dilute the power of minority voters to elect candidates of their choice. PRESERVATION OF COMMUNITIES. Districts should keep communities together that share historical, cultural, or economic interests. NO ADVANTAGE TO ONE POLITICAL PARTY. Maps must not give partisan advantage to one party over another, or to specific candidates or incumbents. PRESERVATION OF GEOGRAPHIC BOUNDARIES. Districts should try not to split existing city, town, or county boundaries.
09/16/2021 02:18 AM	miss Rae Copeland	n/a	with redistricting...how much of Winterville will still be a apart of district 8 ?
09/16/2021 05:10 AM	Ms Amy Devereaux		I want my vote to count as well as all of our citizens. That will only happen if districts are made fairly without gerrymandering so that one party has the advantage over the other. Both parties are guilty of this and it is time to STOP. Unbiased individuals only should be included in the process and form these committees to participate in redistricting.
09/16/2021 06:58 AM	Blake Esselstyn		The General Assembly's redistricting web page, in its "Resources" section, prominently features a link to information about a Public Redistricting Terminal, at https://ncleg.gov/Redistricting/PublicTerminal – the page at that URL states "The General Assembly maintains a public redistricting workroom with a dedicated terminal. Anyone may schedule a

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			time to use the facility." I would like the record to reflect that as of Tuesday, September 14, 2021 no such terminal is available. I contacted NCGA staff per the directions on the web page and was told it was not available and that no information was available about when it would be. As such, I was unable to do the work with the Maptitude for Redistricting software and official data that I had been hoping to do.
09/16/2021 07:06 AM	Frances Rampey	Fraybe75	I would Like to see a balanced redistricting, an end to gerrymandering.
09/16/2021 07:31 AM	Mr. David Streifford		I live in Carolina Preserve, a 55+ community of nearly 2,100 residents. Please do not divide or segment this community into two or more districts.
09/16/2021 07:39 AM	Joelle Andrews		You need to hire an independent agency from outside of NC to create maps that take into account multiple levels of information - number of people, race, income, political affiliation, etc. The more diverse a district can be the better.
09/16/2021 08:10 AM	Dr Peter Farrell	self	I hope you will do two things. Do not let elected officials have input on drawing the maps. Second, use mathematical models to actually draw the maps. enough of this gerrymandering.
09/16/2021 08:13 AM	Dr John Staryak		Redistricting should be done by an independent committee. It should be based on population and location without considering ethnicity.
09/16/2021 08:19 AM	Dr. Judith Porter		Please use non-partisan boundaries.
09/16/2021 08:41 AM	Claire Stone	NA	<p>Please consider adding at least two counties to this schedule of hearings: Wake and Guilford. (since the original proposed schedule, you have added locations).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wake and Guilford have been the focus of redistricting litigation. • They are two of the largest counties by population, and adding them was recommended in your redistricting committee meeting. • Many people I know have disabilities and use paratransit. Going outside their county is extremely expensive. Please give as many people as you can the chance to speak with you in person. <p>-Please also add hearings after the proposed maps are drawn, and stipulate that you will be using racial data to analyze the maps after they are drawn. How do you plan to determine if you are complying with the VRA? Thank you</p>
09/16/2021 08:42 AM	Mr Robert Waldrop	Citizen	<p>To the Redistricting Committees,</p> <p>I have worked as a poll worker, a precinct judge and will be a precinct chief judge in the coming elections.</p> <p>I am writing to demand that our districts be created fairly with no undue political influence from either party. Democrats and Republicans have both created gerrymandered districts in the distant and recent past with the attitude "we do it because we can". Districts should be created fairly based on Census data and the results fall where they may.</p> <p>During the elections, I had very few political discussions with my co-workers at the polls but all were civil and with no voters present. In two cases I reviewed the law and asked that a poll worker refrain from making comments regarding current political situations out of respect for the process. Of the 25 or so poll workers I was involved with, I honestly did not know the majority of their political leanings. We worked diligently TOGETHER to be correct and accurate in our process and I am confident we did so. Our votes were all duly counted and fair. Our redistricting process MUST be the same.</p> <p>We in the election process are all under careful scrutiny and should do our best to be honest and to fully represent all citizens. Only this will continue to give us a fair governing process. I worry that the political rancor will infest this</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>process and that either party will try to get an upper hand. Do not let this happen. Keep our elections free and fair.</p> <p>Let's not have any more Representative David Lewis moments.</p> <p>Respectfully, Robert Waldrop Chief Judge, North Williams Precinct, Chatham County</p>
09/16/2021 09:07 AM	Elizabeth Blount		<p>I have a couple of comments concerning the redistricting for North Carolina:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Please provide a map demonstrating the proposed redistricting lines. A visual representation is a great demonstration of what areas will be located in which district. If there is one, please post it for the public to view. 2) Since the Pitt County meeting is scheduled during the time when a great number of people are working, it would be wonderful to stream the meeting and to allow the chat option to be enabled for those watching to provide comments. <p>Thank you for the opportunity to leave my comments.</p>
09/16/2021 09:30 AM	Dr John Leonard PhD	Common Cause NC, Fair Maps NC, NO LABELS NC, Climate Reality Project Durham	<p>As verbally delivered at Durham Tech 9/15/2021: I'm Dr John Leonard, USCG vet, PhD in GIS, Program Manager at IBM, lived in Cary since 1992.</p> <p>I endorse five principles many orgs like Fair Maps NC, Common Cause, NO LABELS NC, and other here seek for drawing our electoral maps:</p> <p>As you know, North Carolina's Constitution requires that US Congress and NC Legislative districts have:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) equal population, 2) be compact and contiguous, all in one geographic piece 3) keep counties whole- minimize crossing community lines <p>Principle 1. Include experts and citizens as members of an impartial review commission, who reflect NC's racial ethnic population and the full spectrum of community diversity</p> <p>Principle 2. Include the legislature in this process- you all approve the experts and commission members</p> <p>Principle 3. Set strict rules for the Re-District Commission work that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. apply constitutional redistricting standards (compact, contiguous, keep local government units, and communities of social economic interest whole) b. DO NOT allow use of party data or partisan objectives c. use voting rules that require transpartisan support for maps <p>Principle 4. Provide for extensive citizen participation and transparency</p> <p>Principle 5. Make NC maps FINAL after non-partisan Commission vote</p> <p>In short, use REGULAR parliamentary ORDER with public input, not biased, partisan special interest back room deals</p> <p>In summary, PLEASE DO NOT draw unfair, gerrymandered, partisan, unrepresentative, un-constitutional Districts!</p> <p>THANK YOU for listening! John N Leonard</p>
09/16/2021 09:38 AM	Mr. Stephen Kokenes		<p>A core principle of representative democracy is that the citizens choose people to represent their interests. Gerrymandering, by creating districts with known partisan affiliations, turns this on its head, by allowing politicians to essentially choose their voters. This creates a situation where ever more extreme policies are promoted in order to appeal to highly partisan primary voters in these monochromatic districts, even though these policies may be unappealing or even harmful to a majority of citizens.</p> <p>Districts should be drawn not by partisan affiliation, race, religion, or any other behavioral or physical trait; districts should be compact, with some allowances for existing political boundaries such as county lines, and should contain equal populations within a small margin. Given the importance of district-drawing to functioning representative democracy, and the temptation of politicians to use it to seize power undemocratically, the responsibility for redistricting should be taken out of the hands of the General Assembly and given to an independent commission of citizens. The commission should be provided with a small technical staff capable of generating maps and data to assist the commission in their decisions. Furthermore, all algorithms used to generate the maps should be made</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/16/2021 09:48 AM	Ms. Peggy Kinney		<p>public, as this will further increase citizens' trust and confidence in the redistricting process and their government.</p> <p>I support COMPACT, CONTIGUOUS DISTRICTS. District should be a single, unbroken shape.</p> <p>I support RACIAL FAIRNESS IN REDISTRICTING. Maps should not dilute the power of minority voters to elect candidates of their choice.</p> <p>I support PRESERVATION OF COMMUNITIES. Districts should keep communities together that share historical, cultural, or economic interests.</p> <p>I support NO ADVANTAGE TO ONE POLITICAL PARTY. Maps must not give partisan advantage to one party over another, or to specific candidates or incumbents.</p> <p>I support PRESERVATION OF GEOGRAPHIC BOUNDARIES. Districts should try not to split existing city, town, or county boundaries.</p>
09/16/2021 10:10 AM	Mr Ted Carr	Retired	Would like to comment at the WCU meeting
09/16/2021 10:28 AM	Karen Macklin		<p>I believe that there should be more public hearings. The proposed schedule includes public hearing locations in just ten of North Carolina's 100 counties, which is far fewer than is needed for adequate public input. The process should include more transparency and more opportunities for adequate review and public input on draft plans. It is my understand that a majority of citizens would like these changes and that the General Assembly should listen to these requests.</p> <p>I also believe that communities of interest should not be separated by the districting process.</p> <p>Thank you for considering my concerns.</p>
09/16/2021 10:44 AM	Todd Altieri		<p>I would like to voice my concerns that Granville county be districting with other like minding rural counties. As long as I have been in the county we have been put with large cities which doesn't allow our voice to be heard in the general assembly. Thank you for helping us to get a true reflection of the county in our representation.</p>
09/16/2021 11:28 AM	Jonathan Warren		<p>Good Morning House Redistricting Committee, Senate Redistricting Committee and Elections Committee.</p> <p>Since 1868, the NC House has had 120 members/districts and the NC Senate has had 50 members/districts. Since 1868. The population of North Carolina in 1870 according to the NC Census was a total of 1,071,361 (White people - 678,470, Black people - 391,650, Indian -1,241). Over the course of the past 153+ years, the NCGA has passed new laws, repealed laws, updated laws, and modified laws. Courts have had their role in NC law as well. Today the population in NC according to the 2020 census has our population at approximately 10,700,000.</p> <p>In North Carolina 1868 how many people were able to vote? Surely not the entirety of the ~1 million people. Women could not vote. Only half of population were eligible. Some with more significant restrictions and hurdles than others. With that said, in total, each House Representative/District would represent approximately 8,928 peoples. Today each NC House district representative has approximately 89,176 people they represent. Each NC Senate representative has approximately 214,000 people they represent. Can 1 person accurately and fairly represent the wishes, desires and concerns of that large of a community? The reasonable and undoubtedly conclusion is NO. Over the past 18 months, I and many others I know, have reached out to their representatives with their concerns about Gov. Cooper and his Covid19 response. The overwhelmingly majority of the time their phone calls and emails fell on silent ears with NO response.</p> <p>If the Republic doesn't grow with the population, then we are stifling our Republic. Smaller district sizes would break up</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>the hyper partisanship monopolies the Republicans and Democrats have on voters. As much as we brag about our diversity (and we should brag), we want to put our voters in 2 boxes. That is Asinine. Voters would be more inclined to vote for someone more authentic from their neighborhood than from someone outside their zip code. Voters would have more confidence in their Representative due to the small proximity of the district; voters would actually see their Representative at the grocery store, the football game, and holiday events. Not just at FUNDRAISER events. A Republic OF the people.</p> <p>James Madison in the Federalist said, "However small the Republic may be, the Representatives must be raised to a certain number, in order to guard against the cabals of a few; and however large it may be, they must be divided to certain number, in order to guard against the confusion of a multitude." North Carolina has guarded against the "confusion of a multitude" for far too long, since 1868. The North Carolina General Assembly should absolutely take the LEAD into making our Republic BETTER and more inclusive.</p> <p>With criticism follows solution. My recommendation to the Committees would be to DOUBLE the amount of Senators to 100; each county shall have 1 Senator. My recommendation to the Committees would be to TRIPLE the amount of House Representatives to 360; each House Member would represent a population of approximately 30,000 citizens. This solution would help end Voter Disenfranchisement and help restore confidence in our elected officials that they do in fact represent the will of their people.</p> <p>The Senate would fairly give appropriate representation and voice to the smaller Rural counties. The House would fairly give appropriate representation to the entirety of the population. They MUST work TOGETHER. Hyper partisanship, legal activists, and lobbyists have more influence over the direction we lead North Carolina than OUR voices. Let us all rise above the poison we all know. Ephesians 6:12 - "For our struggle is not against flesh and blood, but against the rulers, against the authorities, against the powers of this dark world and against the spiritual forces of evil in the heavenly realms."</p>
09/16/2021 11:33 AM	Catherine Biondi		Follow the rules. I want my vote to count as much as the vote of any other North Carolinian.
09/16/2021 11:58 AM	Mrs. Barbara Glatthorn		<p>If we want a democracy, we must have fair maps. Otherwise, we walk our way into a non-representative government. Fair maps are the bottom line of democracy. As a citizen of NC, I expect fair maps: no splitting up nearby towns and cities, no splitting of precincts or natural communities of interest. All these efforts are red flag indicators that maps are being tampered with for the advantage of an individual to retain power or for a political party's benefit. I strongly encourage a nonpartisan committee as the best alternative for keeping the project of redrawing electoral maps on target. The goal is to use census data and the best tools (Duke's Analytics) to produce fair maps for the citizens of North Carolina. Let's do the job right!</p>
09/16/2021 12:00 PM	Janice Dodds	Citizen	<p>In drawing the districts it is important that the district be compact and not split counties and that they reflect partisan fairness. That means that since our state votes in a basic 50/50 split by party we should see that opportunity reflected in the districts. I also request that there be hearings AFTER the proposed districts are drawn. Transparency is needed from beginning to end. Thank you for the opportunity to contribute my comment.</p>
09/16/2021 12:38 PM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	<p>I am a citizen calling upon my elected officials to take their constituents into account. I am incredibly concerned about the lack of transparency in NC's redistricting process. With only 13 in-person meetings held in 10 out of the state's 100 counties, the joint committee is willfully excluding the majority of NC citizens from the redistricting process. The average person will not be able to attend these in-person because they are counties away, during the workday, or right at 5pm. They are not inclusive. I am calling upon my elected representatives to welcome more voices by adding online hearings to the schedule. Online hearings are also absolutely necessary as COVID-19 still rages through the state. Failing to hold online hearings puts the health of NC residents at risk and excludes those who deserve fair and equal representation.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
09/16/2021 01:10 PM	Ms Rosalyn Marhatta		We need public hearings across the state.
09/16/2021 01:20 PM	Ms. CHRISTINE DANELL-TEPPER	Retired	We have a tremendous need for an independent commission for redistricting to insure that every vote really does count. This process has become too partisan, even illegal, so even when a Democratic candidate wins the popular vote, the Republicans end up gaining. If you really want citizens to trust the system, then make it as fair and open as possible.
09/16/2021 01:40 PM	Mr. Ruchir Vora		Hi, Wouldn't it be great if the redistricting process was open, fair, and unbiased? Please consider using unbiased mathematical modeling, coupled with even partisan redistricting committees, with an open, public, and fair process for redistricting. Everyone's votes matter. Thank you, Ruchir Vora
09/16/2021 01:48 PM	sheryl forbis	Church of the Holy Family; Chapel Hill-Carrboro Church Women United; concerned individual	A non-partisan group should be doing the redistricting. Since that is not the case, I will only appeal to the morality of making sure that the peoples' voices be heard and counted through a fair creation of the districts that reflect their will. Let the people/voters choose their representatives. Representatives should not be choosing their voters.
09/16/2021 01:59 PM	Ms. Shantel Hawkins	2nd Vice/Youth Adv. NAACP /NC Licensed School Admin & Teacher and Nov. 2021Candidate for Winterville Town Council	Speaking on behalf of the youth within the district and county who are rarely given the opportunity to use their voices. Redrawing lines do in fact redraw lives. Redistricting means rearranging student's learning settings and peer relationships that have been built. It also means reallocation of funding for the needs of youth and students in schools/ community. This means realignment of lines neighborhoods and community bonds. Our district/county/state region and country are in the midst of tensions and stressors that have not been experienced in decades. The process should be considered to take the many factors into consideration. This should not be business as usual and should be thoroughly transparent. Again, I speak as a result of the youth's voice being muted ...though over the next decade being affected the most.
09/16/2021 02:28 PM	Derrick Bailey		I prefer to eliminate gerrymandering and use county lines instead. Thank you.
09/16/2021 02:44 PM	Ms. Pat Webb		I, like many in our country, are presently questioning if we actually are a democracy. When the intent of legislators or committee members is obviously slanted toward disenfranchising a person's vote in order to slant an election toward their party, then it is apparent that dishonesty, immorality and corruption is what our state and/or country is about. And it is not DEMOCRACY. The corrupt legacy of these individuals will be passed along as their history. Please consider doing what is right and honest for our country. It is desperately needed right now.
09/16/2021 03:00 PM	ECSU Redistricting Aaron Wrighton	Campus Vote Project	Hello, my name is Aaron Wrighton. I have lived and worked in Elizabeth City on Elizabeth City State University's campus for about 5 years. I am also participating in a college student Redistricting Fellowship with Campus Vote Project, an organization that is empowering college students to have a voice in their state's redistricting process. I would very much like to keep my community, ECSU, together and properly represented. Elizabeth City State is a Historically Black College (HBCU) that was founded in 1891. A majority of students who live in this community are minorities. A lot of the students come from low-income homes and communities and Elizabeth City State gives them a chance at education that they otherwise may not have gotten. Every student that stays on campus lives within 4 separate dorms, all of which are co-ed. There are parties, community gatherings, programs, and events. All of these usually consist of contests in which prizes can be won and food as well. There is an area called the Ridley Student Center where a lot of these gatherings happen. With the exception of a few students, the majority of the community has a shared identity within their political ideology. They are definitely more liberal minded and lean towards

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>democratic train of thoughts. There are plenty of school organizations that contribute to the community. There is a bible study, multiple volunteer organizations, LGBTQ organizations, and plenty of services for mental health. There are also jobs that the ECSU provides for its students through work study. This community is a small, yet very hegemonic one. Elizabeth City State University is located in Elizabeth City within Pasquotank County, N.C. ECSU can be found on 1704 Weeksville Road and is cut off at Herrington Road. It is also part of North Carolina's 3rd congressional district. Besides the school itself, there is no significant landmark that would make it easy to identify. However, the campus grounds are themselves full of historical sites. Pathways of the underground railroad ran through where the school is now. The community is not divided, fortunately, by current district lines and we want to keep it that way. It is in the best interests of everyone, our residents and our representatives alike, for our community to be kept together.</p> <p>This community is of importance because it represents a young and often ignored group of people. ECSU residents are citizens of Elizabeth City just like any other group and should be given the right to voice their opinions about their own community. There have been many attempts to silence or ignore residences' voices and votes. This includes denying valid ID's and attempts at claiming misinformation. ECSU serves as an entire community that is not often included in decision making within the city. It is at the very least unfair for this community not to have some autonomy over what happens to them.</p> <p>Elizabeth City Campus District Map: https://districtr.org/COI/47910</p>

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)"
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/20/2021 11:34:21 AM -0400
To: "Andrew Stiffel (Sen. Paul Newton)"
<Andrew.Stiffel@ncleg.gov>; "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)"
<Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)"
<Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; "Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; "Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton)" <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>; "Andy Perrigo (Sen. Warren Daniel)" <Andy.Perrigo@ncleg.gov>; "Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; "Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office)" <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; "Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)" <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Nash County, 9/15/21 Public Hearing info
Attachments: Nash.online.9.15.21.pdf; Nash.9.15.21.Speakers.pdf

Senators

Ford, chairing
Barnes
Crawford
Davis (Don E)

House Members

Wray
Winslow
Cooper-Sugg
Galliard
Willingham
Smith, Kandie
Smith, Raymond

Unfortunately, I did not return with the sheet containing the names of the Sgt. At

Arms in attendance. I apologize.

E

Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578

Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Nash Community College) Public Comment Sign-ups - LINC

Date Submitted	Name	Email	Organization	Category	Time/Location	Topic
09/10/2021 04:22 PM	Lenwood Ward	lenwood_ward@yahoo.com	None	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Nash Community College)	9/15/2021 5:00 PM (Nash Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Nash Community College)
09/10/2021 10:00 PM	Barbara Dantonio	barbdant1966@gmail.com	Wayne County Democratic Women	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Nash Community College)	9/15/2021 5:00 PM (Nash Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Nash Community College)
09/13/2021 04:43 PM	Gary Boos	garyboos02@gmail.com	Citizen	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Nash Community College)	9/15/2021 5:00 PM (Nash Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Nash Community College)
09/13/2021 08:10 PM	Kelby Hicks	kelby@abc2nc.org	A Better Chance A Better Community (ABC2)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Nash Community College)	9/15/2021 5:00 PM (Nash Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Nash Community College)
09/14/2021 01:20 PM	Michael Bell	Mbell81353@gmail.com	Wilson District A.M.E. Zion Church	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Nash Community College)	9/15/2021 5:00 PM (Nash Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Nash Community College)
09/14/2021 03:47 PM	Angeline Echeverria	angeline@ncccounts.org	N/A	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Nash Community College)	9/15/2021 5:00 PM (Nash Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Nash Community College)
09/14/2021 08:29 PM	Caitlin Metzguer	caitlin.metzguer@gmail.com	n/a	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Nash Community College)	9/15/2021 5:00 PM (Nash Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Nash Community College)
09/15/2021 08:03 AM	Gerald Branch	gbranch0758@gmail.com	WTC	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Nash Community College)	9/15/2021 5:00 PM (Nash Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Nash Community College)
09/15/2021 10:10 AM	Max Avent	max.aventc21@gmail.com	Individual	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Nash Community College)	9/15/2021 5:00 PM (Nash Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Nash Community College)
09/15/2021 10:52 AM	Larry Alston	vbalston@hotmail.com	Word Tabernacle	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Nash Community College)	9/15/2021 5:00 PM (Nash Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Nash Community College)
09/15/2021 11:25 AM	Rick Horner	RPHornerNC@Yahoo.com	Citizen	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Nash Community College)	9/15/2021 5:00 PM (Nash Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Nash Community College)
09/15/2021 12:11 PM	Wyatt McGhee	wlmcgheeiv@gmail.com	Citizen	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Nash Community College)	9/15/2021 5:00 PM (Nash Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Nash Community College)

Date Submitted	Name	Email	Organization	Category	Time/Location	Topic
09/15/2021 12:47 PM	Robbie B Davis	rdavis1352@aol.com	Nash County Chair of County Commissioners	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Nash Community College)	9/15/2021 5:00 PM (Nash Community College)	Redistricting Joint Public Meeting (Nash Community College)

Public Hearing Site Nash County
Public Hearing Date 9/15/21

SPEAKER SHEET

Please print your name below if you wish to comment during the public hearing.

	NAME	ORGANIZATION (optional)
1	✓ George D. LEACH	Self
2	✓ Andy Jackson	John Locke
3	Gregory Branch	Eastern AC Word Tabernack Church
4	Barbara Denton	Wayne Co. Democratic Women
5	✓ Pete Armstrong	Citizen

ALONZO VINSKI MEEB AORTO

Public Hearing Site Nash County
Public Hearing Date 9/15/21

	NAME	ORGANIZATION (optional)
6	Melanie Goff Bradley	League of Women Voters Twin Counties
7	Marcus Thompson	Democracy NC
8	Gary Boos	Citizen
9		
10		
11		

Public Hearing Site _____

Public Hearing Date _____

	NAME	ORGANIZATION (optional)
12	Kyle Johnson	
13		CHRISTINA ADAMS
14	TERRY? LAGDON? MIKK LAGDON	ALONZO
15		
16	KATHERINE LEAK	
17	CASONOVA TROCKS SYDNEY LEACH	

Public Hearing Site Nash
 Public Hearing Date 9/15/21

	NAME	ORGANIZATION (optional)
18	Cindy Weeks (sp??)	Approached At End, At offer of chair
19	Gentleman Brooks?	"
20	— Lee	"
21	— Langdon candidate for House	"
22	Jerry (Wyoming native, Nash now)	"
23	Christine Christine Adams Wilson Co.	"

Public Hearing Site Nash

Public Hearing Date 9/15/21

	NAME	ORGANIZATION (optional)
24	Lotzo	"
25	(interpreter) needed; no name given	"
26	Kelby Hicks	"
27	(interpreter - same person as before)	"
28	Nashville	
29		

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/22/2021 2:35:08 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Wednesday, September 29, 2021 at 5:00 PM (Joint) (Public Hearing)
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
NOTICE OF JOINT PUBLIC HEARING
2021-2022 SESSION**

The **House Committee on Redistricting** will **JOINTLY** hold a **Public Hearing**.

DAY & DATE: Wednesday, September 29, 2021

TIME: 5:00 PM

LOCATION: UNC Wilmington

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

The views of interested parties will be heard concerning:

Joint public hearing for public comments - House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee

Location: UNC Wilmington

Lumina Theater, Fisher Student Center

615 Hamilton Dr.

Wilmington, NC 28403

The hearing is scheduled to begin at 5:00 pm, with the room open to the public for in-person speaker sign up at 4:00 pm. Online sign-up will close 4 hours prior to the meeting start time.

The online speaker sign-up portal is live, found here: <https://www.ncleg.gov/requesttospeak/57>

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street |
Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 9/23/2021 2:15:07 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Thursday, September 30, 2021 at 6:00 PM (Joint) (Public Hearing)
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
NOTICE OF JOINT PUBLIC HEARING
2021-2022 SESSION**

The **House Committee on Redistricting** will **JOINTLY** hold a **Public Hearing**.

DAY & DATE: Thursday, September 30, 2021

TIME: 6:00 PM

LOCATION: Fayetteville Tech Comm College

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

The views of interested parties will be heard concerning:

Joint public hearing for public comments - House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee

Location: Fayetteville Technical Community College

Tony Rand Student Center Rooms 9.1 & 9.2

2220 Hull Dr.

Fayetteville, NC 28303

The hearing is slated to begin at 6:00 pm, with the facility open to the public at 5:00 pm. Online sign-up will close 4 hours prior to the meeting start time.

The link for the on-line sign up is live:

<https://www.ncleg.gov/requesttospeak/58>

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street |
Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)"
<Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/12/2021 8:45:46 AM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>
Subject: 9AM Webex link
Attachments: House Committee on Redistricting Agenda for 10-12-21 9_00 AM.pdf

Your upcoming virtual committee meeting on House Committee on Redistricting can be accessed from the following link:

<https://ncleg.webex.com/meet/LOB643>

A few friendly reminders:

- Your virtual meeting should be available at least 10 minutes prior to your scheduled meeting time to address any technical problems.
- It is **very important** that this link not be shared. Anyone with the link can access your meeting and there is a limit of the number of participants.
- Non-committee members, staff, and members of the public should plan to listen to the meeting through the audio stream link available on <https://www.ncleg.gov/audio> or watch the meeting live stream at <https://www.ncleg.gov/video>
- Once the meeting is called to order, the meeting will be locked to prevent additional participants from joining automatically. This is for the security of your meeting. Once the meeting is locked, late joining participants will be entered in to a "lobby" until approved to join by the meeting host.
- More information about virtual committee meetings, installing the application, and other ways to work remote with NCGA resources is available at <https://remote.ncleg.gov>

If you have any technical difficulties while trying to access your meeting or during the meeting itself, please contact ISD Support by one of the following methods:

- Email: ISDSupport@ncleg.gov
- Web: <https://isdsupport.ncleg.gov>

Phone: (919) 715-7825

Best,

Chandra Reed

Clerk Assistant | Rep. Destin Hall | 919.301.1638

1429 Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27603

Best,

Chandra Reed

Clerk Assistant | Rep. Destin Hall | 919.301.1638

1429 Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27603



**House Committee on Redistricting
Tuesday, October 12, 2021 at 9:00 AM
643 Legislative Office Building**

AGENDA

Welcome and Opening Remarks

Introduction of Sergeant-at-Arms

Presentations

Other Business

Adjournment

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/21/2021 10:55:07 AM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Tuesday, October 26, 2021 at 3:00 PM (Joint)
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
JOINT COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet **JOINTLY** as follows:

DAY & DATE: Tuesday, October 26, 2021

TIME: 3:00 PM

LOCATION: NCGA

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Public comment hearing on Senate and House Legislative Maps.

Remote sites at East Carolina University and at Central Piedmont Community College will be available.

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/21/2021 5:15:08 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Tuesday, October 26, 2021 at 5:30 PM - UPDATED #2 (Joint)
Attachments: Update meeting on calendar.ics

Updated #2: UPDATED ROOM

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
JOINT COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet **JOINTLY** as follows:

DAY & DATE: Tuesday, October 26, 2021

TIME: 5:30 PM

LOCATION: 643 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Speaker Sign-up link: <https://www.ncleg.gov/requesttospeak/62>

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/5/2021 4:35:07 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Wednesday, October 6, 2021 at 9:00 AM
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: Wednesday, October 6, 2021

TIME: 9:00 AM

LOCATION: 643 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Pursuant to House Rule 28.2, a WebEx link will be provided to Committee Members and staff the day of the meeting. A link to the Audio/Video livestream will be posted on the NCGA Legislative Calendar: <https://www.ncleg.gov/LegislativeCalendar>.

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/7/2021 3:20:34 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Friday, October 8, 2021 at 9:00 AM
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: Friday, October 8, 2021

TIME: 9:00 AM

LOCATION: 643 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Pursuant to House Rule 28.2, a WebEx link will be provided to Committee Members and staff the day of the meeting. A link to the Audio/Video livestream will be posted on the NCGA Legislative Calendar: <https://www.ncleg.gov/LegislativeCalendar>.

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/8/2021 11:50:07 AM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Monday, October 11, 2021 at 9:00 AM
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: Monday, October 11, 2021

TIME: 9:00 AM

LOCATION: 643 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Pursuant to House Rule 28.2, a WebEx link will be provided to Committee Members and staff the day of the meeting. A link to the Audio/Video livestream will be posted on the NCGA Legislative Calendar: <https://www.ncleg.gov/LegislativeCalendar>.

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)"
<Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/13/2021 6:22:45 AM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Webex 9AM
Attachments: House Committee on Redistricting Agenda for 10-13-21 9_00 AM.pdf

Your upcoming virtual committee meeting on House Committee on Redistricting can be accessed from the following link:

<https://ncleg.webex.com/meet/LOB643>

A few friendly reminders:

- Your virtual meeting should be available at least 10 minutes prior to your scheduled meeting time to address any technical problems.
- It is **very important** that this link not be shared. Anyone with the link can access your meeting and there is a limit of the number of participants.
- Non-committee members, staff, and members of the public should plan to listen to the meeting through the audio stream link available on <https://www.ncleg.gov/audio> or watch the meeting live stream at <https://www.ncleg.gov/video>
- Once the meeting is called to order, the meeting will be locked to prevent additional participants from joining automatically. This is for the security of your meeting. Once the meeting is locked, late joining participants will be entered in to a "lobby" until approved to join by the meeting host.
- More information about virtual committee meetings, installing the application, and other ways to work remote with NCGA resources is available at <https://remote.ncleg.gov>

If you have any technical difficulties while trying to access your meeting or during the meeting itself, please contact ISD Support by one of the following methods:

- Email: ISDSupport@ncleg.gov
- Web: <https://isdsupport.ncleg.gov>

Phone: (919) 715-7825

Best,

Chandra Reed

Clerk Assistant | Rep. Destin Hall | 919.301.1638

1429 Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27603



**House Committee on Redistricting
Wednesday, October 13, 2021 at 9:00 AM
643 Legislative Office Building**

AGENDA

Welcome and Opening Remarks

Introduction of Sergeant-at-Arms

Presentations

Other Business

Adjournment

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/13/2021 2:45:08 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Thursday, October 14, 2021 at 9:00 AM
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: Thursday, October 14, 2021

TIME: 9:00 AM

LOCATION: 643 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Pursuant to House Rule 28.2, a WebEx link will be provided to Committee Members and staff the day of the meeting. A link to the Audio/Video livestream will be posted on the NCGA Legislative Calendar: <https://www.ncleg.gov/LegislativeCalendar>.

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)"
<Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/14/2021 8:37:35 AM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>
Subject: 9AM Webex
Attachments: House Committee on Redistricting Agenda for 10-14-21 9_00 AM.pdf

Your upcoming virtual committee meeting on House Committee on Redistricting can be accessed from the following link:

<https://ncleg.webex.com/meet/LOB643>

A few friendly reminders:

- Your virtual meeting should be available at least 10 minutes prior to your scheduled meeting time to address any technical problems.
- It is **very important** that this link not be shared. Anyone with the link can access your meeting and there is a limit of the number of participants.
- Non-committee members, staff, and members of the public should plan to listen to the meeting through the audio stream link available on <https://www.ncleg.gov/audio> or watch the meeting live stream at <https://www.ncleg.gov/video>
- Once the meeting is called to order, the meeting will be locked to prevent additional participants from joining automatically. This is for the security of your meeting. Once the meeting is locked, late joining participants will be entered in to a "lobby" until approved to join by the meeting host.
- More information about virtual committee meetings, installing the application, and other ways to work remote with NCGA resources is available at <https://remote.ncleg.gov>

If you have any technical difficulties while trying to access your meeting or during the meeting itself, please contact ISD Support by one of the following methods:

- Email: ISDSupport@ncleg.gov
- Web: <https://isdsupport.ncleg.gov>

Phone: (919) 715-7825

Best,

Chandra Reed

Clerk Assistant | Rep. Destin Hall | 919.301.1638

1429 Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27603



**House Committee on Redistricting
Thursday, October 14, 2021 at 9:00 AM
643 Legislative Office Building**

AGENDA

Welcome and Opening Remarks

Introduction of Sergeant-at-Arms

Presentations

Other Business

Adjournment

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/19/2021 12:50:10 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Wednesday, October 20, 2021 at 9:00 AM
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: Wednesday, October 20, 2021

TIME: 9:00 AM

LOCATION: 643 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Pursuant to House Rule 28.2, a WebEx link will be provided to Committee Members and staff the day of the meeting. A link to the Audio/Video livestream will be posted on the NCGA Legislative Calendar: <https://www.ncleg.gov/LegislativeCalendar>.

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/21/2021 11:00:08 AM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Tuesday, October 26, 2021 at 5:30 PM (Joint)
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
JOINT COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet **JOINTLY** as follows:

DAY & DATE: Tuesday, October 26, 2021

TIME: 5:30 PM

LOCATION: Virtual Public Hearing

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Sign-up link will be available on ncleg.gov

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street |
Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)"
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/21/2021 3:57:11 PM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>; SEN - Senate Redistricting & Elections <SEN-SenateRedistrictingElections@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Public Comments, 10-21-21
Attachments: Public Comment 10.21.21.pdf

All,

Attached please find the comments for the period 10/7/21 – 10/21/21.

Thanks,

Erika C

***Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578***

2021 Redistricting Public Comments Public Comments Report - LINC

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
10/07/2021 04:26 PM	Martha Whitney		<p>Our community needs districts that represent who we are and what we need. In order to fulfill the promise to create fair voting maps in North Carolina in an open and transparent way, our redistricting process must include the following;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Twenty-six (26) additional public hearings should occur AFTER the draft maps have been released to the public. Two public hearings should occur in each of the current 13 NC Congressional Districts. Hearings should occur in the highly populated counties of Buncombe, Guilford, and Wake, which had no such hearings in the prior process. 2. The NC primary should be rescheduled to May 2022, instead of occurring on the currently scheduled date of March 8, 2022. This will provide more time for public input. 3. When draft maps are released, there should be a simultaneous release of all data supporting the creation of your proposed maps (including MAP SHAPEFILES) so that a proper analysis of the drafts can be achieved. 4. All public comments on the NCGA ONLINE PUBLIC COMMENT PORTAL should be posted so that the comments are truly public. <p>Failure to perform each of these necessary items will perpetrate the closed-door, secretive and extremely partisan image that has been created by past NCGA practices, resulting in extensive litigation and the erosion of our democratic process in North Carolina. We need fair redistricting for our community to be fairly represented.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration.</p>
10/07/2021 05:21 PM	Jane Pepler		<p>Dear Members of the NC House and Senate Redistricting Committees,</p> <p>I am a citizen voter who despairs of our future. We see the majority party scheming to entrench its ascendancy by disenfranchising the voters it considers undesirable, using secrecy and suddenly-revealed, corrupt gerrymanders. Time and again they plan to make it more difficult for people to vote. They crack and pack districts so those who they do not wish to represent find themselves silenced.</p> <p>Time and time again courts have said these practices are undemocratic and unacceptable, and yet the unfair practices return again and again. Like squirrels trying to get into the birdfeeders, those who seek to dilute the votes of unwanted citizens know if they keep trying they will eventually succeed in killing democracy in North Carolina</p> <p>I am outraged by their planning behind closed doors and emerging with huge documents nobody can get through in the time they permit.</p> <p>We need more public hearings on the map-drawing process in North Carolina. 90 of our counties have no public hearings scheduled. This lack of access is intentional, aimed at preventing those who are always targeted in the map manipulation from having a voice.</p> <p>The Redistricting Committee should have hearings in every county with more than 100,000 residents. Nobody should have to drive an hour to attend a hearing. We're tired of sneak-attack legislation. We need and deserve adequate advance notice before rulings are codified. I hope all records of public comments will be easy to find on the legislative website.</p> <p>Thank you for taking the time to read our comments.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			Graig Meyer Graig.Meyer@ncleg.gov Valerie Foushee Valerie.Foushee@ncleg.gov
10/07/2021 09:12 PM	Mrs Claudia Nix		It is very important to me that redistricting be done by an independent committee rather than a committee of one party or another. Please help us to have a fair and unbiased election.
10/07/2021 09:40 PM	Ms. Suzanne Wittebort		I urge that redistricting be done fairly and impartially, without racial or political bias, to allow for true representation for the people of North Carolina. The future of our democracy relies on this. Thank you.
10/08/2021 01:42 AM	Susan B. McClanahan		During map drawing at the NCGA, copies of the draft maps should NEVER be removed from the committee work room as they were during the redrawing in 2019. When legislators can REMOVE printed maps from the committee room, that allows them to compare the drafts to the work of some unknown outside consultant in a backroom, then return to make changes in the public workroom. Thus in 2019, when Senator Hise left the committee room with printed maps, and came back twenty minutes later with changes to draw, he followed the letter of the law, but NOT THE SPIRIT OF THE NC COURT ORDER. Members of the NC League of Women Voters observed this behavior by Senator Hise MANY times in 2019. I wish that the NC Court had KNOWN about this deception at the time. You are excluding working people from the redistricting process. Public hearings should occur on weekends so that working people can participate. There should be 26 public hearings (2 per each of the current 13 congressional districts) AFTER the NCGA releases their three draft maps so that citizens can RESPOND to the proposed drafts.
10/08/2021 09:26 AM	Mrs dianne sacchetti	Constituent	If you post that there is a redistricting assembly and you are streaming it at 9 you should at least have someone explain to the public what is going on and why no one is speaking.
10/08/2021 10:36 AM	Karen Stewart		Please create districts that represent communities in a fair and impartial way. Please make sure that every vote counts. Please put ensuring that our democracy works ahead of personal interests.
10/08/2021 10:55 AM	Mrs. Barbara Robertson	Home	The process of redistricting in NC is fraught. Whether Republican or Democrat, the party in power at the 10 year mark always gives itself permission to draw maps to assure their win in the next 10 years of elections. What a circus! You KNOW that is wrong. It is particularly foul when you purposefully redistrict to cut out the voices of a large minority group. It is beyond time to legislate redistricting to be done by a group of non-partisans. Truly, the responsibility to take that step is upon the present legislatures shoulders. Truly, the failure to do so is devastating to the fabric of our state and of America as a whole. Those who continue to act in the manner of party self interest, may you rest in Hell for your abject failures as American citizens.
10/08/2021 12:30 PM	Jack Hoile		The election of state representatives may be the most important vote any citizen casts but unfortunately, these elections do not grab the attention of the public like federal elections do. The problem with the current system of redistricting is that it allows politicians of both parties to abuse their authority in my opinion. Redistricting should be led by a citizen commission with representation from the political parties but not controlled by them. Balancing the interests of urban/rural, geography, regional industries is hard enough without partisan games seeking advantages in choosing their voters.
10/08/2021 03:10 PM	Susan B. McClanahan		Dear Members of the NC House and Senate Redistricting Committees, In order for you to fulfill your promise to create fair voting maps in North Carolina in an open and transparent way, our redistricting process must include the following; 1. Twenty-six (26) additional public hearings should occur AFTER the draft maps have been released to the public. Two public hearings should occur in each of the current 13 NC Congressional Districts. Hearings should occur in the highly populated counties of Buncombe, Guilford, and Wake, which had no such hearings in the prior process. 2. The NC primary should be rescheduled to May 2022, instead of occurring on the currently scheduled date of March 8, 2022.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>This will provide more time for public input.</p> <p>3. When draft maps are released, there should be a simultaneous release of all data supporting the creation of your proposed maps (including MAP SHAPEFILES) so that a proper analysis of the drafts can be achieved.</p> <p>4. All public comments on the NCGA ONLINE PUBLIC COMMENT PORTAL should be posted so that the comments are truly public.</p> <p>Failure to perform each of these necessary items will perpetrate the closed-door, secretive and extremely partisan image that has been created by past NCGA practices, resulting in extensive litigation and the erosion of our democratic process in North Carolina.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration.</p> <p>cc: Representative Graig Meyer Senator Valerie Foushee</p>
10/08/2021 03:28 PM	Mrs. Aleta Galusha		I am a citizen of the 9th District. My request is that as these maps are drawn, they be drawn in a non-partisan way. They should be fair. Also, after they are drawn, they should be reviewed by the public and checked for fairness by experts.
10/08/2021 03:38 PM	Ms. Janice Woychik		I urge our committees not to tightly pack districts with one partisan block. What this does is make the primary the de facto election. If a district has a variety of voters, there can be a real competition for approaches. This moves the electorate to the middle, not to extreme ends of issues. This will help us work together as a community.
10/08/2021 04:43 PM	Barbara Jackson		At a time in our history it is imperative that voting be fair, easy, and truly representational. Can we end gerrymandering? Are we evolved or wise enough to do this? I remember working the polls and aiding first time voters. Everyone cheered and it didn't matter for whom they voted. The excitement was generated by them exercising their franchise.
10/08/2021 05:06 PM	Emma0e Emma0e	Emma0e	hello !!! my name Carol!! I dream of hard sex. Write me on this site - bit.ly/2XNbvms
10/08/2021 06:31 PM	Dr. Thomas Altman		It is important to achieve redistricting in a manner that will be fair and equitable and allow voices of all North Carolina voters to be heard. Any gerrymandering that works against this principle should be avoided. Not only would that be unfair, it would also incur unnecessary, added legal costs when the boundaries are challenged in court.
10/08/2021 08:54 PM	Charles Senf	Urchins Orange Trust	<p>I wanted to reach out about my concerns about the lack of public hearings planned for after the draft legislative and congressional district maps are released.</p> <p>Our communities know best when it comes to drawing the next decade of district lines.</p> <p>It appears only one hearing has been scheduled after draft maps are released. The lack of access to public hearings, especially in areas historically targeted for map manipulation denies key voices access to the process.</p> <p>We need public hearings after draft maps are published and easily accessible to every North Carolinian – regardless of whether they live in a large city, small town, or tribal territory.</p> <p>I urge the Joint House and Senate Redistricting Committee to hold hearings in every county that hosted pre-map hearings, and enough additional venues to ensure that no one has to travel more than sixty miles to testify at a public hearing.</p> <p>Advance notice of all scheduled hearings is essential. In-person hearings must honor COVID-19 safety protocols and provide virtual access options for participation. Records of public comments must be made easily accessible on the legislative website.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>Implementing these reforms would help ensure that we have a more transparent map-drawing process this year.</p> <p>Thank you for taking the time to read and seriously consider my concerns about the current state of our redistricting public hearings.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Charles Senf Lenoir, NC 28645</p>
10/08/2021 09:58 PM	Catherine Stadelman		<p>We need to end the cycle of gerrymanders and lawsuits. I strongly believe that an independent, nonpartisan commission is the best way to ensure districts are not rigged to unfairly favor one party or the other. Since NC did not do that, it is up to you to draw maps that will stand up in court. For that to happen you must apply traditional redistricting standards (compact, contiguous, keeping local government units and communities of interest whole) AND not allow the use of partisan data or partisan objectives.</p> <p>Once you have proposed districts you should provide for extensive citizen participation, and listen to what is said!</p>
10/09/2021 09:25 AM	Mrs. Ann Torok		<p>New legislative maps are being drawn now.</p> <p>May I request that public hearings be available after the maps are decided and finalized? Due to covid/delta, please have live streaming available for citizens who are fearful of going out of their homes. Have a way that people can make comments from their computer during these public hearings.</p> <p>The new legislative maps are so important, they have to last the next 10 years. it is important that the maps be fair to all citizens. Thank you for working so hard on this important project.</p>
10/09/2021 01:54 PM	Mr Robert McCormick		<p>Redistricting should group persons together logically and geographically. I was personally embarrassed to see the way my County (Alamance) had been drawn with a narrow strip following highway 70 north and ending in the middle of Burlington as a part of a different voting district. That screams unfairness.</p>
10/09/2021 03:41 PM	Ms Geri Goldstein		<p>While the very real and juicy temptation is for the GOP to redistrict NC to advantage themselves rather than to offer a fair and equitable distribution of leadership and power, I remind them that it wasn't long ago that they were found guilty of redistricting with 'surgical precision' to alienate voters of color. If your current draft districts hold you will again be sued for doing the very same thing. If one political party can't win unless they cheat rather than develop policies that constituents favor, shame on them. Winning by cheating isn't winning, its destroying democracy.</p>
10/09/2021 04:40 PM	Terry Landers		<p>Redistricting Committee Members:</p> <p>I think it is a great idea to initiate the drawing of the NC House and Senate maps by starting with the set of possible county clusters that were generated by the non-partisan Quantifying Gerrymandering group at Duke and by providing access to those options on the committee websites.</p> <p>BUT the non-partisan Quantifying Gerrymandering group freely acknowledges that "The one part of Stephenson v. Bartlett which this analysis does not reflect is compliance with the Voting Rights Act." In addition, "We stress that the county clustering process adds a constraint to the redistricting process. Largely, the county clusters do not determine districts and there is still room for the legislature to draw either fair or gerrymandered maps."</p> <p>WHY HAVE YOU STEADFASTLY REFUSED TO EVALUATE PROPOSED MAPS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH THE VOTING RIGHTS ACT ? You certainly are aware that others WILL do the evaluation. If the maps are compliant, then the step will only strengthen support for the proposed maps. If you proceed with maps that are not compliant, then we will be facing another decade of litigation!</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>Please:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Make sure the proposed maps ARE compliant with the Voting Rights Act. 2. Make the public comments VISIBLE to the entire public. 3. Make SHAPE FILES available to the public along the way, instead of waiting until the end and then declaring that there is no time for review / feedback / modification. <p>Let's do this right the 1st time and avoid the wasted time & millions of taxpayers' dollars that were spent over the last decade in litigation – taxpayers' dollars that should have been used to the benefit of NC residents.</p> <p>Unfortunately, it is not possible for us to have an independent commission drawing our maps this time -- please act like an independent commission and produce maps that make sure all NC residents are fairly represented!!</p> <p>Respectfully, Terry Landers Chatham County</p>
10/09/2021 05:57 PM	Mr. Michael Owen		<p>Please draw districts without racial or partisan political bias. Districts that include people with different viewpoints might create a legislature that respects those differences.</p>
10/09/2021 06:08 PM	H. Michael McQuown		<p>Dear Lawmakers,</p> <p>America is described as a democracy. The right to vote for Americans is a constitutionally guaranteed right.</p> <p>"All men are created equal", in regard to voting, means exactly that--equal representation in our government for all citizens.</p> <p>This cannot happen if legislators are continually allowed to "stack the deck", a practice that I and many other voting Americans consider to be illegal: the stacking-the-deck practice for creating biased voting districts known as gerrymandering.</p> <p>If we are to continue keeping America, as well as ALL our states, a democracy, we must maintain EQUAL voting representation for ALL American citizens!</p> <p>Juggling voting districts in a fascistic , biased manner to allow UN-equal voting representation should be banned, and explicitly illegal.</p> <p>Permanently!</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
10/10/2021 12:51 PM	Ms. Marjorie Storch	citizen	<p>Public comments should be summarized by general suggestions and geographic suggestions and shared with the public along with a first draft of new districts accompanied by additional hearings throughout the state so the public can react to a specific map or maps.</p>
10/10/2021 01:44 PM	Ms Susan Edelstein		<p>Fair districts allow voters to elect candidates who share their values and vote out ones who do not. If lawmakers end up drawing unfair maps, it will mean that even though the lawmakers were properly informed by these public comments, they disregarded this guidance about what fair maps should look like.</p>
10/10/2021 03:57 PM	MR TERRY CONGER	NC RESIDENT	<p>I HAVE FELT FOR MANY YEARS THAT GERRYMANDERING IS A SIGNIFICANT FLAW IN OUR DEMOCRACY. I HAVE A SOLUTION PLAN THAT WILL RESOLVE THE PROBLEM FOREVER. I WILL TRY TO ATTACH THE INFORMATION TO THIS MESSAGE AND IF WILL NOT ATTACH I WLL FAX THE INFORMATION TO YOUR FAX NUMBER.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			I CAN NOT SEND YOU A MAP ;BUT, ONE OF YOUR COMPUTER GUYS CAN DO THAT FOR YOU. GERRYMANDERING IS A DISEASE FOR ALL FIFTY STATES. IT WOULD BE NICE IF NORTH CAROLINA COULD BE THE STATE THAT GAVE US THE SOLUTION.
10/10/2021 04:20 PM	Emily Huling		NC Voters deserve and expect transparent redistricting decisions to assure that all voters are fairly represented. As elected officials, please please make certain decisions and maps are fairly drawn. Thank you!
10/11/2021 05:17 AM	Ismayab Ismayab	Ismayab	heey baby!!! my name Gloria.. Do you want to see a beautiful female body? Here are my erotic photos - is.gd/08V5Ro
10/11/2021 06:26 AM	Rev AnnE O'Neil		Given this state's history with gerrymandering as evidenced by previous court decisions, the only logical thing to do is to hire an independent, non-partisan consultant to draw the new maps for the state. This is reinforced by the fact that issues exist from the mountains to the coast with splitting and packing. To not take this step is to continue to endanger the foundation of democracy, which is much too shaky as it is today already
10/11/2021 08:33 AM	Ms Amelia Poole		Redistributing should reflect the ACTUAL distribution of democrats and republicans, not cheat to favor the minority. If you can't win except by cheating, it's not a win. Change your policies to reflect the will of the constituents!
10/11/2021 09:05 AM	Peter Levitin		Please keep Guilford county while and not dilute it by gerrymandering with Rockingham or others.
10/11/2021 09:06 AM	Sandy Byrne		I was born in the USA and am a resident of Madison County. Please, please, please carry out redistricting in a fair, non-partisan manner. To do anything else is undemocratic and immoral!
10/11/2021 09:07 AM	Pat Levitin		Keeping Guilford county together is a community of interest. Please do NOT gerrymander as we have had in the past. NC does not need to be the poster child for corrupt gerrymandering
10/11/2021 09:17 AM	DONNA DRINNEN		The democratic process of each person having a vote that may decide the outcome of who becomes our elected representative is missing in many entrenched districts in NC. For what it's worth and to those who actually value this process, I support public comments for the drafted redistricting maps before they are voted on by the legislature,
10/11/2021 09:18 AM	Ms. Betty Gunz		With all due respect, shouldn't the outcome of your map drawing more closely reflect the makeup of the voting population? I think NC is about 50% Republicans and 50% Democrats, so a fairly drawn map would not produce a 70/30 divide in legislative seats. We know you are gerrymandering when you produce a map that doesn't elect legislators in a ratio that accurately reflects the voters' preferences.
10/11/2021 09:19 AM	Mr. Claiborne Clark		It seems obvious to me that redistricting is a method of, as has been said, building fences around people's access to democracy. It is a shameful process and one of the more visible components of the struggle for/against systemic racism. We all, all of us American melting pot siblings, still need schooling on working together, collaborating, compromising where we can, and laughing together. Failure to collaborate kills democracy. Bloc voting perpetuates division. My respectful advice: Don't be a bloc head!
10/11/2021 09:30 AM	Sandra Mueller		Please let the voices of the state be heard and draw maps that honor the true state of the communities that we live in. I am watching.
10/11/2021 09:31 AM	Mr S Murphy		Let's stop fighting for MINORITY RULE and pick OUR representatives,.....and NOT allow them to pick their constituents.
10/11/2021 09:51 AM	Mr Philip Keel	N/A	We insist on having public hearings in at least 15 areas of the state after the map drawing has been done. It is only fair to receive public input on the process that affects us so extensively.
10/11/2021 09:54 AM	Mrs Betsy Webster		Stop gerrymandering in NC. District maps should be nonpartisan and transparent
10/11/2021 10:17 AM	Dr Laura Weisberg		I feel strongly that the current methods of drawing districts is distinctly unfair to the citizenry of NC and should be done by an impartial body. The facts are clear that allowing the party in power to draw lines in a way that ensures reelection even though by democratic standards the majority would be electing different representatives is inherently unfair.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
10/11/2021 10:22 AM	Ms. Mary Babcock	Highland UMC	Please schedule public hearings to react to maps currently being drawn before they are adapted. Transparency is critical to the effective functioning of democracy and nothing is more central to democracy than ensure fair elections. Please let the people be heard.
10/11/2021 10:52 AM	Dr. Gillian Grannum	Unaffiliated Registered Voter	Maps should reflect local area density and not some contorted political monstrosity. Upon preliminary map release We the People must be given access to comment, accept and/or reject what's produced.
10/11/2021 11:11 AM	Charles Wilkinson	League of Women Voters, Henderson County, North Carolina	I believe that democracy depends on the informed participation in the voting process by all eligible voters, and that democracy itself is weakened to the extent that voters are impeded from access to the electoral process. Please vote so that all can vote, and so that all votes are equal.
10/11/2021 11:18 AM	Ms Deborah Beroth		Dear legislators, Could we please give everyone a chance to make their vote count. Computer programs can draw these districts in minutes and impartially. The districts should be relatively same size, they should be contiguous, neighborhoods should stay together. We should pick our representatives not the other way around. If you are doing your job, if you are representing the people you will get re-elected. Democracy is fragile let's draw these districts so it represents NC fairly. We are a 50 / 50 state so please draw it that way. Thank you Deborah Beroth
10/11/2021 11:41 AM	Adam Graham-Squire		I would like the Legislature to make sure that in the districts that they create, there be many competitive districts, as opposed to only "safe" districts for Democrats and Republicans as has happened in the past. Having competitive districts is the only way to include moderate voices in the political sphere, which would move democracy in NC forward. I also ask that the legislature have public meetings in various locations around NC to show the maps and take public comment, and then use those public comments to help refine the districts.
10/11/2021 12:00 PM	Evelyn Vest-Arnold		I urge you to draw fair district lines based on equitable opportunity. Thank you
10/11/2021 12:08 PM	Dr. Robert Kastner		I strongly endorse public hearings on any draft redistricting maps prior to any final decision. Gerrymandering is immoral.
10/11/2021 12:08 PM	Mr. C. Warren Pope		Redistricting should be done by a non-partisan commission, not Gerrymandered by the NCGA in secrecy.
10/11/2021 12:53 PM	Linda Greenwell		The redistricting process is so critical for the voters of NC. The process should be: Transparent-the public hearings that have been held did not reflect a desire to be transparent. There were too few hearings and the timing of many of them were not convenient for working voters. A hearing in the middle of the work day sends the message that these are for show not for input. Once the maps have been developed there should be time for the public to review and then hearings held across the state. One hearing in Raleigh that has not yet been scheduled shows that public input is not important. Criteria-Communities of interest should be kept together and the districts should be compact. I live in Catawba County which is split into two Congressional districts. If someone thinks I have more in common with citizens in Mt. Airy and Eden rather than northwest Hickory then their definition of communities of interest and compactness is very different from mine. Political affiliation and protection of incumbents should never be included in the criteria. Gerrymandered maps are simply legal rigging of an election. In addition, tax payer money has been wasted defending past gerrymandered maps in court. There are better uses for this money to support the citizens of NC rather than support elected officials who want to insure their reelection. Lastly, the comments that have been made should be public. I should be able to see what other citizens are saying. Reviewing that and then looking at the maps will be the best way to determine if the legislature reflected the wishes of the citizens or

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			continued gerrymandering to stay in power. Thank you.
10/11/2021 12:54 PM	Daniel McCullough		Gerrymandering should be made illegal as a violation of the principles of democracy. Elected officials should NOT be allowed to choose their electorate. END GERRYMANDERING!
10/11/2021 12:58 PM	Beth Russo		I just want to see fair, NON PARTISAN voting districts. I'd really like to see no attention given to making sure one party is given an undue advantage, and I'd like to see communities kept together (in my last home in West ASheville, our neighborhood was split in half.
10/11/2021 01:03 PM	Mrs. Suzanne Burke	None	Public hearings must be held to review draft maps published. Thus giving we, the people, the opportunity to confirm that these new configurations are fair and equitable to the public as a whole.
10/11/2021 01:24 PM	john Dreyfors	Carolina Biodiesel, LLC	We within our company are disgusted by the General Assemblys Unconstitutional and racist voter supression and gerrymandering. We will move operations if this State continues its march towards authoritarian, single party control and its drive towards racfacism. This State needs every person to be heard and accounted for and our election system reformed to remove money and add fairness and justice to the process. If the GOP can't find the votes, then it needs to change its message and policies so it can. This is a sickness that will destroy our Country!
10/11/2021 01:51 PM	Jennifer Glass		I believe the process of redistricting should be bipartisan or by a 3rd, non-partisan group (see also H437 - Fair Maps Act). I would ask What is our goal of redistricting? Is it to be fair? How would NC politicians even define "fair" (hint: opposite of most politician's natural inclinations)? Is it for each district to accurately represent the will of the majority? Is it for one party to maintain or obtain power regardless of popular vote? The will of the majority would likely be better served if redistricting was not under the power or influence of politicians.
10/11/2021 02:41 PM	Reverend Jacqueline Jenkins	NAACP of Transylvania County	To members of the NC redistricting committee: This process began with a plan to avoid transparency and to reduce input from citizens. Only 13 public hearings in a state with 100 counties; most scheduled on weekdays at times impossible for working people to attend; in some places, unpublicized last minute changes in time or venue; and no plan for sessions for review AFTER maps are re-drawn. This is shameful and a slap in the face of democracy. Do the correct thing: Allow for review sessions after the first effort is completed. Let the PEOPLE decide and not go through - again - an embarrassing situation of being taken to court
10/11/2021 02:58 PM	Chelsea Pemberton		Listen to the voices of people and communities across the state when it comes to drawing new districts this year. Communities must be protected and not split up to keep certain politicians in power. We demand a process that is fair, transparent and inclusive for all North Carolinians.
10/11/2021 02:59 PM	Rev, William Garrard		Please, in redrawing all the district maps keep the integrity of communities together, counties, cities, and towns by keeping them in the same districts. Also, please have many accessible public hearings after the maps are drawn so that we citizens may give our input as to our perception of the fairness of what you are proposing. Thank you.
10/11/2021 03:09 PM	Ms Laura Sell		I urge the redistricting committee to draw fair, competitive maps that allow the voters to choose their representatives and not the other way around. Partisanship should be minimal. North Carolina is a deeply divided state and the US Congressional lines should reflect our nearly even political division. Like-minded communities should be grouped together and counties kept intact whenever possible.
10/11/2021 04:47 PM	MR. Bob Dietz	Homeowner and state resident for 40 years now....	I am demanding that our legislature develop these maps in a fair, fully transparent, and NONpartistan method. We are tired of seeing the unfair methods used in the past, and currently being promoted by this Republican led body.
10/11/2021	Zach Feder		Proportional representation, single statewide constituency

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
07:56 PM			
10/11/2021 08:44 PM	Roger Manus		Please end partisan gerrymandering. Partisan gerrymandering seriously undermines our democracy and faith in our democracy.
10/12/2021 02:35 AM	Ms. Caryl Brt	BrtWorksWood	The Democratic Party represents America. Large majorities favor getting money out of politics (~80%) and ending gerrymandering (~90%). We need maps that represent the people proportionately.
10/12/2021 04:22 AM	Ms Margie Zalesak	Margie E. Zalesak	Use nonpartisan criteria for redistricting. Use independent experts for this. Gerrymandering is an affront to democracy. Republicans have repeatedly tried to gerrymander and have been rebuffed by the courts. Gerrymandering is an unnecessary expense for North Carolinians. Stop it!
10/12/2021 06:19 AM	Ms Stephanie Embry		NC has been subjected to partisan gerrymandering for the past 150 years - first by the Democrats (140 years!!) and now by the Republicans. I ask the General Assembly to please find a better way. While "compact and competitive" is unrealistic for our state (the population has self-sorted in too many areas), it is possible to draw maps that make sense. You did it for 2020! This state leans R, and the most fairly drawn maps will reflect that. You don't need to pack, crack, or use the tricks that Democrats used for those 140 years to keep themselves in control. Please give urban, suburban, and rural North Carolinians the chance to pick our representatives fairly. Do not force us into districts that are a foregone conclusion. I strongly support an independent redistricting committee for this or the next redistricting. Thank you, Stephanie Embry
10/12/2021 06:51 AM	Dewey Botts		Simply put, as a public servant, you should draw our maps so they reflect fair...nonpartisan ...representation. And, your work should be such that you can face the public, your family and your church and know YOU have done a a FAIR and JUST job.
10/12/2021 09:29 AM	Mr Michael Eisenberg		If rumor has it about the current maps being drawn then you've learned nothing from the past. Why do you insist on drawing maps that are so unfair in so many ways.? You know these maps will be challenged in the courts. Why can't you play fair? You know one day you'll again be in the minority and will be crying foul. Instead of wasting tax payer money to defend the unconstitutional maps I can think of a far better place to use the money and that's on educating our children and abiding by the Leandro decision.
10/12/2021 09:32 AM	Dr. Verna L Holoman		My request is that your redistricting plan does not split up Chatham County. Please keep Senate District 23 and House District 54 intact.
10/12/2021 02:00 PM	Ms. Mary Moretz	Retired	Gerrymandering is theft and it destroys the basis of our democracy. Gerrymandering is a SIN, regardless of which party practices it.
10/12/2021 03:07 PM	Ms Rosemary McGee		It's sorrowful that the process used to draw voting districts now, are the same as the prior attempts that had to be managed by the courts and clearly demonstrated that the only objective is to legislate a corrupt, unfair and illegitimate election . Where one party wins and the other(s) can not. Why because of the way the lines were drawn. You are wasting taxpayer dollars on this scheme and UNDERMINING democracy. Both aspects should stop .
10/12/2021 03:08 PM	Betteq2 Betteq2	Betteq2	Hi dear! Im looking a lover!!! I want sex! Here are my photos - bit.ly/3CMGrCd

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
10/12/2021 03:10 PM	Mr. Jonathan Wilson-Hartgrove	St. Johns Missionary Baptist Church	The gerrymanders that were struck down by federal courts after redistricting in 2010 have alerted many North Carolinians to the ways this process is being misused by those who currently hold power. Even after those rulings, an electorate that has twice elected a Democratic governor in this state is represented in the US Congress by 5 Democrats and 8 Republicans. As we add a new Congressional seat and redraw state legislative districts, I hope you will use the data that is available to the committee to draw fair districts that offer equal representation to a state that continues to be closely divided by political party.
10/12/2021 03:14 PM	Christy McNeal		So, we're trading one gerrymandered map for another? It's like the NCGA is oddly acknowledging that the only way to keep a Republican majority is to cheat by diluting blue counties by splitting them and pairing the parts with red counties.
10/12/2021 03:22 PM	Samantha Turner	NC Poor People's Campaign Southern Piedmont Circle	Now that we've been shown the maps, it is our right to respond. As a Charlotte voter, I demand an additional public hearing allowing community feedback before the finalization of these radically gerrymandered proposals.
10/12/2021 03:47 PM	Prof. Maria Salgado		redrawing new districts must be done fairly. No partisan action whether Republican or Democrats must be allowed
10/12/2021 03:58 PM	Karen Floyd		It is imperative to have PUBLIC HEARINGS across the state AFTER WE SEE DRAFT MAPS to give the public an opportunity to react to the maps and address any concerns BEFORE the maps are voted on.
10/12/2021 04:11 PM	Charles Talley		If, there is a God the map will be drawn by geography rather than ideology.
10/12/2021 04:13 PM	Mr. Robert Allen	Retired	Please update our districts based on population and don't gerrymander!
10/12/2021 04:21 PM	Ms Deborah Weisbeker		Voters deserve to be able to have a voice in this process. All voters rights need to be protected.
10/12/2021 07:26 PM	Ms. Janis Williams	Durham Congregations, Associations, and Neighborhoods (CAN)	Durham CAN is working hard to organize faith communities and organizations to advocate for historically marginalized populations. Please keep our community together so that we can band together to work for a better Durham.
10/12/2021 09:19 PM	Lisa Chapman		Redistricting by gerrymandering is picking your voters and not winning voters by sound policies. My suggestion is that the party in power draw lines for 28 congressional districts and the minority party then combines adjoining districts to create 14. The same with state representatives. Helps prevent stacking and cracking.
10/12/2021 11:32 PM	Mrs. Phebe Watson	None	As a NC voter and citizen who was unable to attend any of the redistricting meetings scheduled in the past month, I am grateful that the process of drawing maps will be done in public this year so that I will have a chance to ascertain for myself whether or not the criteria the committee has pledged to use are being followed. While I certainly would have preferred that an independent commission had been set up to draw our new NCGA and Congressional districts, having the committee members indicate what criteria they plan to use and having them allow the public internet access as they undergo the redistricting process are both steps in the right direction. It is my understanding that in the drawing of districts this year equality of population, contiguity, compactness, and municipal boundaries will all be taken into consideration. This should avoid situations like the following which occurred during the last decade -- 1) At one point a neighborhood in Asheville was divided in such a way that residents on one side of a street running through the neighborhood were in the 11th Congressional District while residents living on the other side of the street were in the 10th Congressional District and 2) At least one college campus was split between two districts. I am counting on the redistricting committee to avoid drawing maps which contain such flaws. It is also my understanding that racial data and partisan considerations will NOT be used when drawing our new maps, and I

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>sincerely hope that the committee will make a good faith effort to avoid using racial and political data. Although the practice of the political party in charge of creating districts that would give its candidates an electoral advantage has certainly been used for a couple of centuries by all political parties, it is WRONG and must be avoided if we are to have a democratic system that truly is controlled BY the people and is designed to work FOR the people as the framers of the Constitution fervently hoped.</p> <p>I plan to "tune in" to as much of the redistricting committee's deliberations as possible. Once "draft" maps have been created, I urge members of the committee to schedule meetings across the state at which citizens may make comments regarding those maps. The ONE meeting which has apparently been scheduled is NOT sufficient. While I realize that the time allowed for drawing districts has been truncated this year, this process is far too important to voters to deny them an opportunity to make suggestions for changes. Thank you for providing me with the opportunity to voice my opinions and concerns regarding redistricting.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Phebe Watson -- Retired Public School Teacher, Buncombe County</p>
10/13/2021 05:51 AM	Ms Rosalyn Marhatta		Allow Guilford and other Democrat counties to vote in one block. Do not divide us up.
10/13/2021 09:49 AM	Susan Burkholder		To members of the redistricting committees, it is a most egregious injustice to democracy to have districts determined for partisan gains. Stand up for what is right and true in helping to restore true democratic elections by finally putting behind us gerrymandering and the political power grabbing it represents. As an older white citizen of our country, I stand behind our black and brown citizens who are demanding true justice throughout our political and social institutions. Fair districts and strong protection of voting rights are essential. Do the right.
10/13/2021 11:50 AM	Ms. Mary Price	League of Women Voters of Moore County	Fair Districts are one of the hallmarks of our democracy. Districts need to be draw fairly regardless of the party in power. Obviously that does not happen. I strongly believe redistricting should be removed from party influence and placed in the hands of a bipartisan commission,
10/13/2021 02:55 PM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	I am submitting a map of Alamance Community College as an area of interest. It must remain together as the districts are redrawn. Alamance Community College is located in Graham, just off of I-40. Its campus is along the Haw River. The college serves almost 4,000 students and is part of a statewide system known as industrial education centers. The campus is also right next the Technical College of Alamance. https://districtr.org/COI/63417
10/13/2021 03:28 PM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	I am submitting a map of Asheville-Buncombe Technical Community College as an area of interest. It must remain together as the districts are redrawn. Asheville-Buncombe Technical Community College is a public community college located in Asheville, south of the River Arts District. It serves more than 4,500 student. It is nestled between the French Broad and Swannanoa Rivers. https://districtr.org/COI/63447
10/13/2021 03:40 PM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	I am submitting a map of Bennett College as an area of interest. It must remain together as the districts are redrawn. Bennett College is a private historically black liberal arts college for women in downtown Greensboro. It was originally co-ed, but became a women's college in 1926. As a very small college with less than 500 students, its campus is incredibly important. It is located near another HBCU: NC A&T. https://districtr.org/COI/63459
10/13/2021 03:50 PM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	I am submitting a map of Greensboro College as an area of interest. It must remain together as the districts are redrawn. Greensboro College is a private college in downtown Greensboro. It is affiliated with the United Methodist Church. With a small student body of 700-1,000 students, its campus is very important to its identity. It is near UNC-Greensboro and its students live in the same neighborhoods. https://districtr.org/COI/63470

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
10/13/2021 04:00 PM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	I am submitting a map of Forsyth Technical Community College as an area of interest. It must remain together as the districts are redrawn. Forsyth Technical Community College is a public community college in southern Winston-Salem. It is one of the largest in the North Carolina Community College System, with an enrollment of 11,000 credit students and over 24,000 Corporate and Continuing Education students. It is located along the Silas Creek Parkway and near I-40. https://districtr.org/COI/63474
10/13/2021 04:23 PM	Mr. Peter Latham	League of Women Voters	Please ensure that partisan redistricting activities are not a part of our redistricting effort. They are not acceptable from any perspective.
10/13/2021 05:47 PM	Mr. Sean Gossett		I would like Senator Daniels' map enacted.
10/13/2021 06:11 PM	Madison Gunning		I am submitting a map of Appalachian State University as an area of interest. It must remain together as the districts are redrawn. Appalachian State is a university in Boone, NC. The community is almost 50% students (20,000 students). A lot of students live along west King street and off of the NC Hwy 105 bypass as far as the bus routes will take them, down Poplar Grove, and bamboo road. The community is characterized by small businesses (many of which are owned by Appalachian State alumni). The new Appalachian State college of Health Sciences reaches as far as Watauga Medical Center (not included currently in the shaded university area).
10/13/2021 06:19 PM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	I am submitting a map of Edgecombe Community College as an area of interest. It must remain together as the districts are redrawn. Edgecombe Community College is in Rocky Mount and close to Tarboro. There are more than 1,500 students enrolled. It is close to highway 64. https://districtr.org/COI/63588
10/13/2021 08:18 PM	Mark Kinsey		Please hire an independent entity to complete the redistricting process with absolutely no consideration of any demographic information, including political affiliation. Ideally the independent entity would determine the most neutral way to create new districts and plug the parameters into a computer to create the new districts. For example, one relatively neutral approach might be to determine how many people should be in each district & to bundle adjacent counties together until the desired number of voters is achieved. A computer program would determine which combination would create the most even balance of counties. The only time a county would be split would be if it contained significantly more residents than the desired number, & the split would be determined by completely neutral parameters set out at the beginning. Or another option: Determine the number of districts & simply divide the state with vertical lines to create that number of districts so that each district runs from the top of the state to the bottom of the state, & every district contains exactly the same number of people. Or if that spans too much distance, a similar thing could be accomplished by slicing the state into 1/4 as many districts as are required, using vertical lines with the exact same number of residents in each slice, & then slicing each of those vertical slices into 4 districts, using horizontal lines with the exact same number of residents in each district. The neutral criteria should be determined first--with no talk about demographics or political outcomes--& then a computer would apply the criteria. A neutral redistricting process is crucial for our country to thrive because neutral redistricting creates communities that are more moderate & where everyone's voice is more likely to be heard. In contrast, gerrymandering pushes districts to extreme political positions, making it harder for politicians to work across the aisle & pass needed legislation. Our government has become largely dysfunctional because it's harder for politicians to compromise or assume a moderate stance, which is the most effective stance a politician can take. So on a practical level, a completely neutral redistricting process just enables the state & the nation to function better. On an ideal level, I believe a neutral redistricting process supports democracy. Democracy is morally the best system the world has ever seen. Our nation is hands down better because everyone has a say. The alternative can be seen in countries like North Korea or even Turkey, where dictators & near-dictators suppress their people. It is heartbreaking to see how the United States has inched closer & closer to a system in which those in power choose their voters & not vice versa. Please take the moral high ground & hire an independent entity to complete the redistricting process with absolutely no consideration of any demographic information, including political affiliation. It is the only right thing to do to preserve a healthy democracy.
10/13/2021	Conchita		I am a resident of Forsyth County and although there are so many things that concern me about the redistricting process in

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
08:24 PM	McIver		North Carolina, I would like to focus on two areas. First of all, the low number of public hearings cannot fairly represent the voice of the people throughout the state. While I understand you can choose not to offer more public hearings, however, if this process is to truly be for the good of the people why would you deny that? Lastly, in order for there to be a systemically equitable outcome as a result of this redistricting process, racial data has to be included in the criteria. We live in a racially and culturally diverse state, therefore, to not address race in the redistricting process is doing a grave disservice overall to the people of North Carolina.
10/14/2021 06:34 AM	Patricia and Jim Ludwig		love the Daniel map.
10/14/2021 08:23 AM	Margot7z Margot7z	Margot7z	WiFiBooster Pro provides you max speed with 300Mbps, no delay for playing game, online transfer files and video chat! Easy Plug & Play Installation. Get 50% Off Today! Order Here - is.gd/kOPfxw
10/14/2021 10:22 AM	Professor Asher Hildebrand	Duke University	<p>Members of the House Redistricting Committee and Senate Redistricting and Elections Committee:</p> <p>As a former public servant who now teaches and studies politics, I have been following redistricting in North Carolina for nearly two decades. I have also witnessed personally the effects of extreme partisan gerrymandering as a former staff member for Congressman David Price, whose Fourth District was redrawn three times over the past decade--causing significant confusion and frustration among voters and making the district less competitive politically. This experience has convinced me of the need for a transparent and inclusive redistricting process that produces fair and stable maps, avoiding the uncertainty and distrust created by endless litigation.</p> <p>I applaud your Committees for adopting many of the redistricting criteria and procedures used during the court-ordered redistricting in 2019, including the ban on the use of election data and other "partisan considerations" in the drawing of electoral maps. While I believe additional reforms to the process are essential to ensure equal protection for the political rights of all North Carolinians, the criteria adopted for 2021 are an important step toward greater transparency and accountability.</p> <p>My comment today is straightforward: it is possible for your Committees to approve maps that fulfill all of the adopted criteria while also achieving partisan fairness--and any map that is skewed disproportionately toward one party plainly violates the Committees' ban on "partisan considerations."</p> <p>By "partisan fairness," I do not mean strict proportionality: given the geographical distribution of voters across the state, it is not realistic for the maps to reflect voters' political preferences exactly. Instead, partisan fairness requires that maps be responsive to the will of the voters as it changes from election to election; while more heavily partisan areas will produce more heavily partisan districts, more politically balanced areas should produce more politically balanced districts that can swing between parties as voter sentiment changes.</p> <p>I have prepared two maps for the Committees' consideration that fulfill other criteria (including compactness, respect for political boundaries, and respect for incumbency) while also achieving partisan balance:</p> <p>https://davesredistricting.org/join/819266be-8a90-4c09-8d6a-dc4636a2912e</p> <p>https://davesredistricting.org/join/dc72be50-8f46-42e2-b712-ed3661124545</p> <p>Finally, it is possible to produce maps that achieve partisan fairness without using partisan data in the process. There are various analytical tools available, including the "outlier analysis" method pioneered by Duke mathematicians, that can be used after a map is drawn to provide an objective and incontrovertible measure of whether the map adheres to the adopted criteria without benefiting one party disproportionately--that is, without violating the ban on "partisan considerations". I urge you to use one of these tools to ensure draft maps achieve partisan fairness before they receive a vote.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			Thank you for your consideration.
			Asher D. Hildebrand Associate Professor of the Practice Sanford School of Public Policy, Duke University Durham, NC
10/14/2021 11:09 AM	Patsy Bailey	retired	As a resident of Chatham County for the past 30 years, I would like to express my strong interest that Chatham County not be considered for division for either the senate or house redistricting process. It should remain in its current state as an entire county. I would also like to request that the public have an opportunity to see and comment on the new maps before they become official.
10/14/2021 02:08 PM	Ms. Marilyn Hartman		I am writing to complain about two things: The first is a lack of transparency. Despite words from the Republican leadership extolling the transparency of the process, there are major problems. Although the public can view the drawing of maps, it is not able to determine what criteria the maps are using for particular maps and who is doing the drawing, using what outside information (e.g., from consultants). A second complaint is about the maps themselves. There is lip service to keeping communities of interest and counties undivided but already drafts of maps of Wake, Guilford, and Mecklenberg Counties are splitting the counties more than necessary and mixing outlying rural areas with urban areas with which they may have little in common. It is also difficult for maps drawn by the public to be entered and no evidence so far that they are being used or even looked at. Overall, there is great potential for gerrymandering in ways that take away the voices of many people. When maps are gerrymandered in this way, voters who vote in similar ways are split up to such a degree that they lose power. This is not an argument about partisanship. At its core it is about whether people have the ability to elect officials of their choosing. I am concerned in particular that racial and ethnic groups will have their voices even further reduced in the 2021 redistricting process, and I have neither seen nor heard evidence of an interest in addressing this. In sum - my comments come down to this. Maps should be drawn with clear efforts to protect voices of natural groupings, whether they be urban or rural, or across race and ethnicity, and they should be drawn with public input in the form of community drawn maps and consideration of community comments on draft maps. Any maps that are passed without this are inherently unfair, and therefore undemocratic. And ultimately unconstitutional as well.
10/14/2021 10:02 PM	Juan Euvín		The function of redistricting every 10 years as mandated by the constitution should be carried out by an independent non-partisan agency. Politicians should not get to choose their voting bloc. I am looking at you republicans.
10/15/2021 08:58 AM	Eleanor Collins		Do not divide Moore County. We want fair redistricting.
10/15/2021 09:28 AM	Ms Virginia Minichiello	LWV Moore County	Do not divide Moore County up'; 'offer public hearings after the maps are finalized'; 'have the maps fair to all communities.'
10/15/2021 11:00 AM	Mrs. Tomasita Jacubowitz	N/A	I am a moderate Democrat who has a split voting record for almost 50 years. Most everyone I know has voted similarly. We want people of service and integrity regardless of party. I hate the division and I know it is manufactured to advance archaic and self serving goals. Many of you are purchased by outside entities and are bound to your decision on redistricting because of your financial shackles. Still, even the enslaved have souls, perhaps a sliver of integrity to resist the bind, and come into your fullness.
			What a shining star North Carolina would be to the Nation if we actually came up with fair maps.
10/15/2021 03:02 PM	Terry Landers		Redistricting Committee Members: Although there has been a lot of talk about transparency and providing the public the opportunity to provide input – so far nothing is visible to the public that indicates any input has been considered.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>Why are the PUBLIC COMMENTS HIDDEN from the public? This is NOT transparency.</p> <p>Why is it necessary to watch hours and hours of video to see any intermediate results of the committees maps? This is NOT transparency.</p> <p>Why are maps being drawn that UNNECESSARILY SPLIT the larger counties into more districts than necessary? Why are cities being unnecessarily split? This is NOT listening to public input. This is NOT observing important criteria.</p> <p>What is happening on the US Congressional redistricting front? I can see nothing under the 2021 document area for Congressional districts. The only materials on the website are the 2019 maps reflecting the results of the court ordered re-drawings that were used in the 2020 elections. Is this the starting point? No information is NOT transparency.</p> <p>I find it bizarre that the leadership spends a lot of time patting themselves on the back because they are doing so much that is not "legally required". It is the responsibility of the elected law makers to establish the rules and processes so that the committee produces maps that enable our democracy to work for the people of NC. It is very disturbing that 'doing the right thing', WITHOUT a court case forcing them to, is something to brag about.</p> <p>I'm left with the impression that there is a lot of lip-service to transparency & public input while the real goal is to run out the clock, produce a set of maps with pre-determined outcomes, claim that the maps satisfy the [very vague & non-prioritized] criteria and declare there is no time for modifications.</p> <p>Please prove me wrong!!</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Share the Map Work that is Proceeding, including the shape files. (2) Share all Public Comments with the public. (3) Reflect public input in the drawing of the maps where possible. (4) Schedule sufficient public review sessions after draft maps are available and respond to the input. <p>Respectfully, Terry Landers Chatham County</p>
10/15/2021 05:20 PM	Mrs MELISSA HOLMBERG	Poor Peoples Campaign	I live and pay taxes in Orange County, NC. My hard earned money along with all the hardworking folks in our county fund the legislature. We all deserve to be represented fairly! The more people in a county the more taxes are paid in and our district which has grown significantly in the past few years should be represented fairly! It is quite simple the more populace a county the more taxes paid and more representation in deserved! Thank you for your time.
10/15/2021 06:32 PM	Whitney Nilsen		Do not divide Moore County up, offer public hearings after the maps are finalized and have the maps fair to all communities!
10/15/2021 11:07 PM	Mr James Butler	none, commenting on my own behalf	<p>I come before the assembly with a proposal for a new map, as a avid follower in the redistricting process of states and general news I have known for a while that the assembly has gone through many different proposals for maps. I as such have created my down proposal on the website "Daves Redistricting" which allows the public to create congressional maps, the map I have created keeps counties intact to a high degree, keeps all incumbents who either have announced re-election or are unknown and keeps similar boundaries for the districts as they currently are.</p> <p>if the assembly wishes to see this map I have attached the following link to the file of it on daves redistricting here: https://davesredistricting.org/join/cc522613-f37b-4889-a1ee-01b0cf963046</p> <p>it can easily be exported from daves redistricting to a multitude of different file types for any use the assembly may need, I</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			<p>would love to hear back from the assembly on my proposal and I hope it gives the assembly inspiration for a practical solution to the redistricting process, if any in the assembly wish to contact me directly my email is the following: jamesybutler10@gmail.com</p> <p>Kind regards, Mr James Butler</p>
10/16/2021 12:55 PM	Barbara Dornbush		<p>I want to make four points: 1) Maps should not be drawn to benefit incumbents, 2) Fair maps will decrease costs (I believe \$11 million of taxpayers money was spent on lawsuits last time, 3) Districts should be compact and contiguous and 4) There should be an opportunity to for citizens to provide input on maps after they are drafted and before they are implemented.</p>
10/16/2021 05:32 PM	Lori Mahl		<p>You should be ashamed of yourselves for avoiding holding any public forums regarding redistricting in Raleigh or Greensboro. And one in Charlotte, but in the middle of the day?!</p> <p>Shame on you. North Carolina has been horribly gerrymandered for years. This vicious practice doesn't belong in a democracy, ANYWHERE. Your lack of integrity is beyond comprehension.</p>
10/17/2021 03:36 PM	Mrs Dianne Sacchetti	Constituent	<p>It is essential for the integrity of our democracy, for both Republican and Democratic parties, and most importantly for public trust that we get redistricting correct and that it doesn't yet again come at the costing millions of dollars to North Carolina taxpayers if the maps have been redistricted unfairly for elections. People are to be electing legislators, not legislators. I would propose that you contact some of the universities that are using mathematics to fairly draw maps. There is currently an opensource tool that may be used by the public to hold lawmakers to accountable in drawing the current districts. I hope integrity and morals will guide the process.</p>
10/17/2021 07:15 PM	Ms. Sandra Krakowiak		<p>I would like more public hearings for the redistricting maps after they are drawn. In a democracy we should be able to see them and comment.</p>
10/17/2021 08:20 PM	Rosalyn Sloan		<p>Please draw fair maps that allow genuinely equal representation to all to the greatest extent possible. We see and know what you are doing and it is not fair. A nonpartisan computerized system would be fairest. We expect better from you.</p>
10/18/2021 06:20 AM	Ms Deborah Beroth		<p>Hello, I have tried to watch the live streaming of drawing maps. I couldn't see what they were doing and I could not hear what was being said. Also it kept disconnecting and cutting off. I don't know if that was on my end or the legislature. This was not drawing maps so it is transparent. It is not right.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
10/18/2021 10:09 AM	Dr. Malcolm Doubles	retired	<p>Follow county lines as much as possible, leaving counties as whole units.</p>
10/18/2021 10:09 AM	Dr. Lorri Nandrea		<p>I am writing to urge the North Carolina State Legislature not to approve voting maps that are not graded "A" on Partisan Fairness by the nonpartisan Princeton Gerrymandering Project (https://gerrymander.princeton.edu/reforms/NC).</p> <p>The Princeton Project seeks to understand and eliminate partisan gerrymandering. North Carolina is currently one of the most gerrymandered states in the country. Gerrymandering undermines democracy. It is an attempt to use power to keep power. While less spectacular than the attempted coup in Washington D.C. on January 6, it is similar in method and motivation. Those who vote for a gerrymandered map are voting to subvert the will of the people by rigging elections in favor of one party. Our democracy is endangered by this practice. I urge you to rise above partisan politics and choose a fair map.</p> <p>Thank you, Lorri Nandrea Cary, North Carolina</p>
10/18/2021 10:32 AM	Seth Bernanke		<p>I realize this is falling on deaf ears, as all politicians, no matter what party, strive to maintain their power. However, if districts are drawn that are more competitive, this will force candidates to reach out to the middle of the road and work for consensus. It would go a long way towards easing the polarization that non-competitive districts create, so that candidates can focus on their base without fear of loss.</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
10/18/2021 01:31 PM	Todd Altieri		I support the Senator Warren Daniels version of the redistricting map as it allows those of us in rural areas to have our voices heard. Please move forward with this plan and allow those of us in rural districts to once again have a voice in our legislature.
10/18/2021 04:27 PM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	I am submitting a map of Carolina University as an area of interest. It must remain together as the districts are redrawn. Carolina University, formerly known as Piedmont International, is a small private Christian university in downtown Winston-Salem. With less than 500 students, its intimate campus is very important. https://districtr.org/COI/66013
10/18/2021 04:37 PM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	I am submitting a map of Carteret Community College as an area of interest. It must remain together as the districts are redrawn. Carteret Community College is a public community college in Morehead City to serve the residents of Carteret County. It is one of 58 institutions in the NC Community College System. It has more than 1,100 undergraduate students enrolled and is located along the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway in the Outerbanks. https://districtr.org/COI/66023
10/18/2021 04:45 PM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	I am submitting a map of Carolinas College of Health Sciences as an area of interest. It must remain together as the districts are redrawn. Carolinas College of Health Sciences is a public college focused on the health sciences. It was established and is owned by Atrium Health. It has a physical campus in south Charlotte near Eastover and Myers Park. With almost 500 students, it offers on-campus and online certificates, associate degrees, and bachelor's degrees. https://districtr.org/COI/66027
10/18/2021 05:49 PM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	I am submitting a map of Central Carolina Community College as an area of interest. It must remain together as the districts are redrawn. Central Carolina Community College is a public two-year college in the North Carolina Community College System. It has multiple campuses with a total undergraduate enrollment of more than 3,000 students. It is just off of 421 in Sanford. https://districtr.org/COI/66031
10/18/2021 06:01 PM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	I am submitting a map of Central Piedmont Community College as an area of interest. It must remain together as the districts are redrawn. Central Piedmont Community College is a public community college in the Elizabeth neighborhood of Charlotte. With more than 50,000 students enrolled, it is the second largest community college in the NC system, and the largest in the Charlotte metropolitan area. More specifically, more than 16,000 undergraduates are enrolled and has six campuses in Mecklenburg County. https://districtr.org/COI/66081
10/19/2021 07:24 AM	Juliagn Juliagn	Juliagn	Try Your luck and win a Free \$500 or \$1000 coupon! - bit.ly/3AZn7kx
10/19/2021 11:32 AM	Rachel Phillips		Given North Carolina's recent history with gerrymandering, I am concerned about the fair and equitable creation of these maps. As a citizen of North Carolina, I demand a balanced nonpartisan review of the maps and transparency. Do not split communities. Establish nonpartisan citizen redistricting commissions to approve the maps. If North Carolina's voting districts make headlines once again, let it be for the fair and balanced example they set.
10/20/2021 03:30 PM	Nicoleag Nicoleag	Nicoleag	Hey .. my name Nancy... I love sex. Write me - bit.ly/3CMGoq1
10/20/2021 05:10 PM	Jill Blumenthal		I hope the House and Senate Redistricting Committees work in a nonpartisan manner to create fair maps that avoid gerrymandering. We the people should be picking our representatives, not our representatives picking their voters and districts. Fair voting is the bedrock of our democratic institutions, and the gerrymandering in North Carolina has created a lack of trust in government and our public institutions. I hope voting districts will be compact and make sense in terms of our communities, but they also should be fair and competitive. All voters should feel that their votes matter and count. I hope that the Committees will also recommend that future redistricting efforts should be handled not by politicians but by nonpartisan commissions. There is something disturbing about politicians determining their own districts.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
10/20/2021 07:36 PM	Dr Dennis Hembree		Voting districts must produce fair and competitive elections. NC voters want to choose their representatives rather than have politicians choose their voters.
10/20/2021 09:48 PM	Mr Samuel Gaetz		<p>Dear Senators and Representatives, I am writing to you in regards to redistricting efforts. I understand that some draft maps have now been posted and I was able to locate several of these (though not all of the ones referenced in a News and Observer article today - though I recognize this may be my inability to navigate the website.) But I was also able to find the criteria that were adopted by the joint meeting of committees. And I have an observation that it seems to me some of the draft Congressional maps contradict the adopted criteria under "Counties, Groupings, and Traversals" where it stipulates that,</p> <p>"If a county is of sufficient population size to contain an entire congressional district within the county's boundaries, the Committees shall construct a district entirely within that county."</p> <p>It appears to me at least one of the maps I can see contradict this criteria by splitting up Mecklenburg county unnecessarily.</p> <p>Also, I feel like in many of the maps I'm seeing have some rather odd arrangements - like one (CBK-3?) that stretches a portion of Guilford county all the way out to Watauga county... while I appreciate there are other considerations at play, that seems to defy "compactness" and just common sense. Other than a whole district that is made up of mostly whole counties necessary to make up the required population, I don't see why a district should have to snake through a huge swath of the state like that.</p> <p>I realize these are drafts, but I also appreciate that at least some or all of what is in these drafts is likely to become our new districts. And I would urge you to simplify these maps as much as possible. So far, of these ones I can see, the CBK-4 seems the most sensible, though I'm sure there is still room for improvement.</p> <p>Thank you for your time and consideration, Samuel GAetz</p>
10/21/2021 06:00 AM	David Minsk		Please avoid gerrymandering for many reason - by political party or race. Past gerrymandered districts have made NC look foolish on the national stage and are counter to the free and fair elections.
10/21/2021 06:55 AM	Mr. William Acton, Jr.	N/A	I ask that the Legislature end partisan gerrymandering and provide a redistricting system that is neutral of partisan politics. Political gerrymandering promotes elections of extreme candidates with uncompromising views. Without political gerrymandering, candidates would be more responsive to those with differing views.
10/21/2021 06:55 AM	Patricia Weeks		Redistricting maps need to be drawn in a nonpartisan manner, fairly and impartially. The people, the voters, should choose their representatives and not have them chosen by gerrymandering. Both parties have used the practice of gerrymandering in the past and it's wrong. Everyone knows it's cheating to skew the playing field, to maneuver the results so that one team wins. It's cheating to manipulate redistricting to favor one political party.
10/21/2021 07:14 AM	Keith Gapen		District maps should not be drawn to help one political party over another. Our state is 50/50 split between Republicans and Democrats, so the maps should have districts that are evenly split.
10/21/2021 07:17 AM	Redistricting Busbee Hardy		Redistricting should be based on non-partisan approaches for fair and equal distribution of areas based on our population and NOT PARTISAN. 90% of NC citizens agree that it should not be influenced by one party but based on fair and competitive districts. NO GERRYMANDERING! Be fair, our votes must count, not yours. Thanks for your service.
10/21/2021 07:41 AM	Thomas Oxholm		Both the Democratic and Republican parties over the last 40 years have done a disservice to the people of our state by trying to make voting districts favor their party candidates. Those who participated should be ashamed. Those who now have the opportunity to make things right so that districts are fair and competitive to all should take the time to make this redistricting one that all can be pleased with.
10/21/2021 07:51 AM	Dr Joseph Welker		For years I have been concerned about gerrymandering. While a long tradition in politics, it has reached a level of doing great harm to our democracy. Bottom line, my vote and the votes of many others are not really counted as the maps are manipulated

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			in favor of one party or another. I believe this to be one of the most important issues being faced in our democracy today. It is a foundational issue... because it is about representative democracy.
10/21/2021 07:54 AM	Joseph Hightower		I understand that the Republican Party controls the NC legislature and can shape districts for political advantage. But that doesn't make it right or reflect well on the party. If you truly believe in your policies and positions, then make it a fair fight and choose compact, nonpartisan districts. Change the laws to ensure nonpartisan redistributing in the future. Show NC that you can be successful based on ideas and not political maneuvering.
10/21/2021 08:30 AM	Robert Grove		Stop gerrymandering!!!
10/21/2021 08:30 AM	Michael Moody	None	<p>Elections should be about the people choosing the most qualified candidate not candidates choosing the most qualified district to keep them in office. Please cut out gerrymandered districts. Redraw districts based on population or geographic boundaries alone. Gerrymandered districts have created an increasingly polarized electorate that will only get worse with time. The best candidate with the best ideas is who I want representing me, not some ultra-partisan hack that must bow to special interest and slimy national party leaders. It's time to bring some sensibility back to the election process and it starts with fair and competitive races at all district levels.</p> <p>Please end gerrymandered districts for both sides!</p> <p>Mike Moody New Bern, NC</p>
10/21/2021 08:31 AM	Nancy Walker		<p>Please STOP Gerrymandering! I don't care which party does it. It's wrong to take away the voice of the people. If you want to win, then have good ideas and implement them with integrity.</p> <p>It was wrong when democrats did it and now it's wrong on your watch. Fix this!</p>
10/21/2021 08:43 AM	Ms Victoria Taylor		<p>Dear members of NC Legislature,</p> <p>The only fair, sensible and sustainable way to draw districts is through the use of an independent, non-partisan redistricting commission using a transparent process. I implore you to investigate and employ this method of drawing our districts before it is too late to restore the foundational principal of our democracy - one person - one vote. We all deserve a chance to be represented.</p> <p>Thank you. Vicki Taylor</p>
10/21/2021 08:51 AM	Heather Lyle		Stop gerrymandering voting districts! We voters deserve to be represented fairly.
10/21/2021 08:51 AM	Mr Brian Bissonnette	Private Citizen	I no longer trust the NC General Assembly with respect to their redistricting plans. They have shown themselves over and over again that they will ignore the will of the people and will instead gerrymander our NC districts into self serving (e.g. re-elect themselves) slices of the NC pie. Recent poles have shown that 90% of NC does not wish for either party to re-district themselves to benefit a single party. I think this demonstrates the overall concern we have.
10/21/2021 08:57 AM	Mr. John Levy	individual citizen of Cary NC	I'm in favor of, and strongly recommend an independent organization or agency to draw the lines for the new congressional districts in North Carolina.
10/21/2021 09:01 AM	Mr. MICHAEL CARMAN		WALKING INTO AN ELECTION SITE AND SEEING BOTH UNOPPOSED CANDIDATES AND CANDIDATES WITH NO HOPE OF WINNING PURELY BASED ON STACKING THE DECK VIA GERRYMANDERING IS BOTH UN-DEMOCRATIC AND UN-AMERICA TO MY WAY OF THINKING. I URGE YOU TO RE-DISTRICT FAIRLY AND WITHOUT REGARD TO RACE, POLITICAL AFFILIATION OR DEMOGRAHPHC TENDANCY. LET'S RESTORE A DEMOCRATIC AMERICA.
10/21/2021 09:08 AM	Charles T Barger III	Retired educator and small business owner. Community	<p>I support an end to racial and partisan gerrymandering in NC.Redistricting should be a non-partisan endeavor. An opinion piece in the 10/21/21 edition of The Charlotte Observer sums up my sentiment perfect (along with 90% of NC voters). The article is included below:</p> <p>=====</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
		volunteer.	<p>The one thing we agreed on: End gerrymandering BY GARY PEARCE AND CARTER WRENN</p> <p>As a Democrat and a Republican, a progressive and a conservative, we disagree about most things in politics. But we agree on one thing: gerrymandering is bad for North Carolina and bad for our nation.</p> <p>We're not alone. RepresentUs – a nationwide group of independents, progressives and conservatives fighting corruption in politics – recently did a poll of North Carolinians. The poll found that 90% of voters in the state oppose drawing voting districts to help one political party or certain politicians win elections.</p> <p>Now the N.C. General Assembly is beginning another round of redistricting for congressional and legislative districts. You should pay attention. Because the new maps will have a lot to do with the decisions elected officials make about our future.</p> <p>For many years, we fought on opposite sides of North Carolina's political battles. Gary worked with Democrats like Gov. Jim Hunt and Sen. Terry Sanford. Carter worked for Republicans like Sen. Jesse Helms and President Ronald Reagan.</p> <p>We helped our candidates make their case to the voters. We debated who was right and who was wrong. We gave the voters a choice.</p> <p>Gerrymandering takes away your choice. Politicians – in both parties – manipulate the maps to protect themselves and their own power, not reflect the will of the people.</p> <p>In the last 10 years, North Carolina had to redraw its districts three times because courts ruled that maps were unconstitutional due to racial or partisan gerrymandering. That meant continual and expensive litigation. It confused voters. And it makes all of us more cynical about politics and government.</p> <p>Over the past month, N.C. House and Senate committees held public hearings where people could comment on the new districts that must be redrawn because of the 2020 Census. Overwhelmingly, voters made clear they want fair and competitive districts. They want to pick their representatives, not have politicians pick their voters.</p> <p>The legislature should do what North Carolinians want. We agree with those who spoke up against gerrymandering at the hearings.</p> <p>Gary wants Democrats to win elections. Carter wants Republicans to win. But we want our parties and candidates to win with their ideas and their character, not with dirty political tricks.</p> <p>We agree that gerrymandering is a major problem that undermines the foundations of our democracy. We agree that districts shouldn't be drawn to help one political party, no more than college basketball games should be rigged to favor one team. We agree every North Carolinian's vote should count – Democrats, Republicans and Independents alike.</p> <p>If you agree, make your voice heard. Go to https://www.ncleg.gov/requestforcomments/ so politicians will know what you think.</p> <p>North Carolina has strong organizations leading the push for fair maps. RepresentUs has worked with the Princeton Electoral Innovation Lab to produce a Redistricting Report Card. The report card measures partisan fairness and competitiveness in districts. It looks for compact districts. It exposes any unfair gerrymandered congressional and legislative maps to the bright</p>

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
			and healthy light of public scrutiny. The two of us have had some bare-knuckled political fights over the years. We're joining hands now to support nonpartisan organizations that are working for fair maps and more open government. We all should work together so we all have an equal chance to be heard. Gary Pearce and Carter Wrenn are long-time political consultants in North Carolina. The Charlotte Observer 10/21/21
10/21/2021 09:08 AM	Mr Thom Saunders		Gerrymandering is a major contributor to the divisive, unproductive conflicts in our state and our nation. Please end gerrymandering now.
10/21/2021 09:09 AM	Teresa Mulligan		I feel strongly that to have a true democracy, gerrymandering MUST end...our districts shouldn't favor either party. Please make the districts fair!!!!
10/21/2021 09:26 AM	Robert Dalton		Dear NC General Assembly: Rather engage in partisan-based gerrymandering as both Democrats and more recently Republicans have done, please follow the advice of Gary Pearce and Carter Wrenn as indicated in this piece, https://www.newsobserver.com/opinion/article255145572.html?ac_cid=DM550973&ac_bid=2098282694 . I want to choose my representatives, not have you do so for me. Thank you.
10/21/2021 09:34 AM	Bob Edmundson	Retired Private Citizen	This to request fair and equitable redistricting with NO Gerrymandering. Politics should play NO part in determining voting districts. Candidates for office should run for office based on their record, ideas, ethics and doing what is right and best for the citizens they hope to represent. NO candidate should pick their voters due to their influence over those who determine districts. I would refer a non-partisan group of individuals to determine new voting districts. I think my thinking is shared by the great majority of citizens of NC. Thank you.
10/21/2021 09:35 AM	Ms Paula Breedlove		Gerrymandering is a major problem that undermines our democracy. 90% of the voters in NC oppose skewing districts for the benefit of one party or another (poll by Represent Us). NC already has had 3 redistricting plans ruled unconstitutional. Stop taking away our choices as voters and draw districts so everybody's vote actually counts! Represent us fairly.
10/21/2021 09:35 AM	Ms Bunny Simoneau		End gerrymandering. I want a NC Legislature that represents a MAJORITY of its people. I want a voice, but it has been silenced. I am no longer proud to be a native North Carolinian. Why vote knowing that the outcome of an election has already been determined? The legislators today do and say things that are outright embarrassing. Let ALL North Carolinians chose representatives who will serve responsibly and for the betterment of the state. Currently, they are pushing ideology that serves only well connected donors, while gerrymandering districts to maintain absolute power. The MAJORITY has been silenced.
10/21/2021 09:53 AM	Sean Kane		I would like the legislature and the executive departments to appoint an independent commission to redraw the election districts. It should be more representative of the political affiliations of the people of North Carolina which is approximately 50% Republican and 50% Democrat. If the Republicans are successful in stacking the redistricting in their favor, then this will continue to diminish the confidence people have in the fairness of our elections.
10/21/2021 10:03 AM	Mr Gary Kugler		End gerrymandering now.
10/21/2021 10:04 AM	Mrs Eileen Kugler		End gerrymandering now.
10/21/2021 10:12 AM	Melinda Tomasevich		I urge the House and Senate to put an end to the political gerrymandering that has gone on for years. The voters of NC want fair elections. We want to elect our leaders, not have them chosen for us through districts that are rigged to favor one party or another. This is dirty politics. Please listen to the people of the state and create fair, impartial district maps.
10/21/2021 10:23 AM	Janet Gatzmer		The goal should be the fairest districts possible with no gerrymandering to favor one party over another.

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
10/21/2021 10:35 AM	Carolyn Ferrell		The N.C. General Assembly has a duty and responsibility to ensure the new maps are fair. I agree with NC political consultants Gary Pearce and Carter Wrenn: electoral districts must be fair and competitive. Gerrymandering undermines the foundations of our democracy by taking away the will of the people.
10/21/2021 10:40 AM	John Williams		I oppose gerrymandering and encourage (demand) that we draw fair maps that produce fair and competitive districts!
10/21/2021 10:44 AM	Dr TODD GRIFFITH		<p>Members of the general assembly,</p> <p>I write to urge you to end partisan gerrymandering in North Carolina. This process under the direction of both parties in power across the United States represents the single greatest legislative failure I've seen in our democracy in my 52 years. Legislative entities across this country have caused societal division to a shocking degree. This defeats the purpose of your jobs and is a proximal and real threat to our democracy. You bear responsibility for the divisive and partisan rancor which exists across North Carolina and the nation. You have forgotten that you are representatives of the people collectively, not a loud and insistent minority. I remind you that the majority of those you represent aren't blue or red, but the spectrum of purple.</p> <p>Again, end partisan gerrymandering. It would be a laudable feat for all of you to lead by example; making North Carolina not only first in flight, but first in the right (on this issue).</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration.</p>
10/21/2021 11:04 AM	Phillip Ashley		Districts should be set based on population and use existing county/city boundaries for borders as much as possible. Gerrymandered districts are bad for North Carolina and the entire country.
10/21/2021 11:12 AM	MS Beverly Terry		Please end the practice of redistricting through gerrymandering! I grew up in Illinois where the Democrats have gerrymandered for years and detest the practice. It diminishes individual voting power and favors one party over another. No party should have the ability to "choose its voters"!
10/21/2021 11:20 AM	Evelyn Durmaz		Gerrymandering undermines our democracy. Please ensure that the new voting maps are fair and impartial so that every vote counts. Let candidates from both sides be judged on their ideas and character. Voters should choose their representatives; representatives should not choose their voters.
10/21/2021 11:50 AM	Charles Cooper	Retired Citizen of NC - Born in NC and lifelong resident	We need an independent redistricting commission that neither party controls - time to citizens of our State to be assured of fair districts that allow the public's election will to be properly reflected.
10/21/2021 12:32 PM	Thomas Johnson		As an unaffiliated voter I supported Republican candidates in the NC General Assembly when Democrats were in control. I did so primarily because Republicans (including many who are still in the General Assembly today) were on record as being opposed to gerrymandering. Those Republicans promised to end the practice and utilize a nonpartisan committee to draw voting districts. That has not happened; Republicans that are now in the majority are doing exactly what Democrats did when they were in the majority. Gerrymandering is an unacceptable, undemocratic power grab. It is a reprehensible practice. Keep your promise and end it.....NOW!!! Do it not, and you are just another politician seeking to hold onto power in any way possible. Every poll available indicates that the vast majority of citizens in North Carolina are opposed to political gerrymandering. Why will you not listen to us?
10/21/2021 12:46 PM	Retired executive assista Rosie Booth		I firmly support the creation of an independent commission to redraw congressional districts in NC.
10/21/2021 12:48 PM	Elizabeth Blackmore		Please can we get a non-partisan commission to create the next voting districts map!

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
10/21/2021 12:54 PM	Mr. Wilfred Robin	Retired	I am totally opposed to partisan politicians gerrymandering electoral districts to benefit the party in legislative majority every 10 years. Aka: "Politicians picking their voters instead of voters picking their elected officials." With registered "Unaffiliated", aka "Independents" making up a significant "non-party" it would not be hard to appoint an unbiased (multi/non-partisan) redistricting commission to draw districts that were not biased. Several more advanced states already do this. North Carolina should too. Think of the tax dollars saved on attorneys' fees alone!
10/21/2021 12:59 PM	Mr John Sutherland	Citizen	I think it is wrong to create gerrymanded districts that look like spaghetti so that one party or the other is almost certain to win. Please create compact districts that included whole counties as much as possible. Or if you must split a county, make sure that is part of a compact district.
10/21/2021 01:18 PM	Dr Magaretha Herman		Our electoral districts must be competitive--having "safe" districts for any party is undemocratic. District lines must be compact and communities with common interests mustn't be divided. It's ridiculous that right now NC has almost twice as many Republican as Democratic representatives in Congress, for example, when our statewide electorate is much more evenly divided. NC needs a non-partisan redistricting commission for drawing maps.
10/21/2021 01:21 PM	Joe Duckworth		Every NC vote should count! End gerrymandering!
10/21/2021 01:50 PM	Jennifer Tlusty		Every North Carolinian 's vote should be heard and counted equally. Gerrymandering is wrong and should be prohibited if not illegal!
10/21/2021 02:04 PM	Paige Anderholm	Campus Vote Project	<p>My name is Paige Anderholm. I am a recent graduate of Appalachian State University. I have lived and worked in the community of Boone for seven years. I am also a Redistricting Fellow with Campus Vote Project, an organization that is empowering college students to have a voice in their state's redistricting process. I am fortunate to live in a community of interest that is not currently split by any districts. However, I am here on behalf of the college students and young people of North Carolina whose communities have been split, intentionally or not, leaving them unable to effectively advocate for themselves.</p> <p>North Carolina has more than 80 institutions of higher learning and continuing education with more than 500,000 people enrolled. With 16 public universities, each with its own research profile, this state has become a hub for research and development. In the 2019 fiscal year, the UNC system brought in more than \$1.6 billion in sponsored research funding. That funding produces knowledge—knowledge that has made North Carolina an ideal location for innovative firms and dynamic businesses. University research builds economic prospects, generating startup companies and patents alike. It has helped establish North Carolina as a hub for emerging industries in health care, technology, and advanced engineering. Our students and faculty have helped make North Carolina a national leader in the production, analysis, and preservation of culture and the arts. University research has made North Carolina more bountiful for the agricultural industry and more attractive to the tourists who drive our hospitality and tourism.</p> <p>The almost 250,000 students enrolled in the UNC system deserve full representation as they directly contribute to a thriving North Carolina. For example, the community of Duke University is split into two by the State Senate and State House, despite being a world renowned research university that draws students from 109 different countries. NC State, with more than 30,000 students, is another university that is split by the State Senate and State House. These are just two examples of COIs without fair representation in North Carolina. When students and their communities are split and left without a representative that works for them, they are functionally being taken advantage of by the state. They work, pay taxes, vote, and spend money in these areas. Campuses should be embraced and welcomed into the democratic process.</p>
10/21/2021 02:09 PM	Michael Reader		I oppose gerrymandering. I believe most people in North Carolina oppose gerrymandering. Gerrymandering runs counter to the idea of representative democracy. The maps I've seen so far show that no one responsible for the new redistricting learned anything from the courts throwing out the state's maps before. I'm so sick of this. Do better.
10/21/2021	Professor	UNC (retired)	I just drew a congressional redistricting for N.C. on the legislative public terminal. I also entered it in a contest sponsored by

Date Submitted	Name	Organization	Comments
02:10 PM	Lloyd Chambless		CITIZEN REDISTRICTING NORTH CAROLINA. I am not writing to tout my own map, but to ask the legislature to compare its maps with those that citizens submit. With redistricting tools available on the internet, novices with a bit of computer know-how can produce a fair redistricting map in a few hours, keeping districts contiguous and compact, splitting counties as infrequently as possible to keep the districts nearly equal in sample size, and drawing districts within those counties, Wake and Mecklenburg, that are large enough to contain a district, and ignoring the legislature's desire to protect incumbents. My own approach was to imagine a horizontal line drawn to split the state equally in two parts, and then north-south lines to split the two parts into seven each, thereby arriving at 14 districts. One cannot do this exactly because of the requirements listed above, but it is nevertheless a partisan-neutral general approach, and requires no splitting of Voting Tabulation Districts (VTDs), the census geographic equivalent of county election precincts. Unfortunately, North Carolina takes its one-man one-vote requirement for congressional districts much further than does the U.S. Supreme Court, and much further than its requirements for redistricting of the state legislature chambers, in requiring the 14 districts to be almost exactly equal in size, and this requires the splitting of VTDs, one in each of 13 districts. But I have complied with this rather silly (my opinion!) requirement , so the legislature can also see citizen-drawn maps with this added requirement. Let the legislature explain why its maps are better than the citizen-drawn maps in any other way than partisan or incumbent advantage.
10/21/2021 02:13 PM	Taryn Cullen		It looks like the most recent proposed redistricting maps are heavily gerry-mandered. Clearly we need more guidelines and an impartial board to draw the maps. Politicians should not be able to pick voters. Voters pick Politicians.

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/21/2021 4:10:28 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Monday, October 25, 2021 at 3:00 PM - UPDATED #1 (Joint)
Attachments: Update meeting on calendar.ics

Updated #1: Addresses and Speaker Sign-up Link Added

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
JOINT COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet **JOINTLY** as follows:

**DAY &
DATE:** Monday, October 25, 2021

TIME: 3:00 PM

LOCATION: Auditorium LB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Public comment hearing on Congressional Maps.

Remote sites at UNC Wilmington and at Caldwell Community College will be available.

Each participant will be asked to select a location where they will be speaking.

Raleigh: Auditorium, Legislative Building, 16 W Jones Street, Raleigh, NC 27601

<https://www.ncleg.gov/requesttospeak/59>

UNC-Wilmington: Lumina Theater, 615 Hamilton Drive, Wilmington, NC 28403

<https://www.ncleg.gov/requesttospeak/61>

Caldwell County: Broyhill Center, 1913 Hickory Blvd, Lenoir, NC 28645

<https://www.ncleg.gov/requesttospeak/61>

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/21/2021 4:15:08 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Tuesday, October 26, 2021 at 3:00 PM - UPDATED #1 (Joint)
Attachments: Update meeting on calendar.ics

Updated #1: Addresses and Speaker Sign-up Link Added

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
JOINT COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet **JOINTLY** as follows:

**DAY &
DATE:** Tuesday, October 26, 2021

TIME: 3:00 PM

LOCATION: Auditorium LB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Public comment hearing on Senate and House Legislative Maps.

Remote sites at East Carolina University and at Central Piedmont Community College will be available.

Raleigh: Auditorium, Legislative Building, 16 W Jones Street, Raleigh, NC 27601

<https://www.ncleg.gov/requesttospeak/60>

Pitt County: Auditorium, East Carolina Heart Institute, ECU Health Science Campus, 115 Heart Drive, Greenville, NC 27834

<https://www.ncleg.gov/requesttospeak/62>

Mecklenburg County: Harris Conference Center, 3216 CPCC Harris Campus Dr, Charlotte 28208

<https://www.ncleg.gov/requesttospeak/62>

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street |
Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/21/2021 4:20:07 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Tuesday, October 26, 2021 at 5:30 PM - UPDATED #1 (Joint)
Attachments: Update meeting on calendar.ics

Updated #1

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
JOINT COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet **JOINTLY** as follows:

DAY & DATE: Tuesday, October 26, 2021

TIME: 5:30 PM

LOCATION: Virtual Public Hearing

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Speaker Sign-up link: <https://www.ncleg.gov/requesttospeak/62>

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/14/2021 2:20:07 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Monday, October 18, 2021 at 9:00 AM
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: Monday, October 18, 2021

TIME: 9:00 AM

LOCATION: 643 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Pursuant to House Rule 28.2, a WebEx link will be provided to Committee Members and staff the day of the meeting. A link to the Audio/Video livestream will be posted on the NCGA Legislative Calendar: <https://www.ncleg.gov/LegislativeCalendar>.

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)"
<Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/15/2021 8:29:44 AM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>
Subject: webex 9AM
Attachments: House Committee on Redistricting Agenda for 10-15-21 9_00 AM.pdf

Your upcoming virtual committee meeting on House Committee on Redistricting can be accessed from the following link:

<https://ncleg.webex.com/meet/LOB643>

A few friendly reminders:

- Your virtual meeting should be available at least 10 minutes prior to your scheduled meeting time to address any technical problems.
- It is **very important** that this link not be shared. Anyone with the link can access your meeting and there is a limit of the number of participants.
- Non-committee members, staff, and members of the public should plan to listen to the meeting through the audio stream link available on <https://www.ncleg.gov/audio> or watch the meeting live stream at <https://www.ncleg.gov/video>
- Once the meeting is called to order, the meeting will be locked to prevent additional participants from joining automatically. This is for the security of your meeting. Once the meeting is locked, late joining participants will be entered in to a "lobby" until approved to join by the meeting host.
- More information about virtual committee meetings, installing the application, and other ways to work remote with NCGA resources is available at <https://remote.ncleg.gov>

If you have any technical difficulties while trying to access your meeting or during the meeting itself, please contact ISD Support by one of the following methods:

- Email: ISDSupport@ncleg.gov
- Web: <https://isdsupport.ncleg.gov>

Phone: (919) 715-7825

Best,

Chandra Reed

Clerk Assistant | Rep. Destin Hall | 919.301.1638

1429 Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27603



**House Committee on Redistricting
Friday, October 15, 2021 at 9:00 AM
643 Legislative Office Building**

AGENDA

Welcome and Opening Remarks

Introduction of Sergeant-at-Arms

Presentations

Other Business

Adjournment

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/18/2021 1:40:08 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Tuesday, October 19, 2021 at 9:00 AM
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: Tuesday, October 19, 2021

TIME: 9:00 AM

LOCATION: 643 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Pursuant to House Rule 28.2, a WebEx link will be provided to Committee Members and staff the day of the meeting. A link to the Audio/Video livestream will be posted on the NCGA Legislative Calendar: <https://www.ncleg.gov/LegislativeCalendar>.

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)"
<Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/20/2021 8:42:09 AM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Redistricting 9AM
Attachments: House Committee on Redistricting Agenda for 10-20-21 9_00 AM.pdf

Your upcoming virtual committee meeting on House Committee on Redistricting can be accessed from the following link:

<https://ncleg.webex.com/meet/LOB643>

A few friendly reminders:

- Your virtual meeting should be available at least 10 minutes prior to your scheduled meeting time to address any technical problems.
- It is **very important** that this link not be shared. Anyone with the link can access your meeting and there is a limit of the number of participants.
- Non-committee members, staff, and members of the public should plan to listen to the meeting through the audio stream link available on <https://www.ncleg.gov/audio> or watch the meeting live stream at <https://www.ncleg.gov/video>
- Once the meeting is called to order, the meeting will be locked to prevent additional participants from joining automatically. This is for the security of your meeting. Once the meeting is locked, late joining participants will be entered in to a "lobby" until approved to join by the meeting host.
- More information about virtual committee meetings, installing the application, and other ways to work remote with NCGA resources is available at <https://remote.ncleg.gov>

If you have any technical difficulties while trying to access your meeting or during the meeting itself, please contact ISD Support by one of the following methods:

- Email: ISDSupport@ncleg.gov
- Web: <https://isdsupport.ncleg.gov>

Phone: (919) 715-7825

Best,

Chandra Reed

Clerk Assistant | Rep. Destin Hall | 919.301.1638

1429 Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27603



**House Committee on Redistricting
Wednesday, October 20, 2021 at 9:00 AM
643 Legislative Office Building**

AGENDA

Welcome and Opening Remarks

Introduction of Sergeant-at-Arms

Presentations

Other Business

Adjournment

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/20/2021 12:45:16 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Thursday, October 21, 2021 at 9:00 AM - UPDATED #1
Attachments: Update meeting on calendar.ics

Updated #1: UPDATED ROOM

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: Thursday, October 21, 2021

TIME: 9:00 AM

LOCATION: 643 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Pursuant to House Rule 28.2, a WebEx link will be provided to Committee Members and staff the day of the meeting. A link to the Audio/Video livestream will be posted on the NCGA Legislative Calendar: <https://www.ncleg.gov/LegislativeCalendar>.

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street |
Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)"
<Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/21/2021 8:35:45 AM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Webex 9AM
Attachments: House Committee on Redistricting Agenda for 10-21-21 9_00 AM.pdf

Your upcoming virtual committee meeting on House Committee on Redistricting can be accessed from the following link:

<https://ncleg.webex.com/meet/LOB643>

A few friendly reminders:

- Your virtual meeting should be available at least 10 minutes prior to your scheduled meeting time to address any technical problems.
- It is **very important** that this link not be shared. Anyone with the link can access your meeting and there is a limit of the number of participants.
- Non-committee members, staff, and members of the public should plan to listen to the meeting through the audio stream link available on <https://www.ncleg.gov/audio> or watch the meeting live stream at <https://www.ncleg.gov/video>
- Once the meeting is called to order, the meeting will be locked to prevent additional participants from joining automatically. This is for the security of your meeting. Once the meeting is locked, late joining participants will be entered in to a "lobby" until approved to join by the meeting host.
- More information about virtual committee meetings, installing the application, and other ways to work remote with NCGA resources is available at <https://remote.ncleg.gov>

If you have any technical difficulties while trying to access your meeting or during the meeting itself, please contact ISD Support by one of the following methods:

- Email: ISDSupport@ncleg.gov
- Web: <https://isdsupport.ncleg.gov>

Phone: (919) 715-7825

Best,

Chandra Reed

Clerk Assistant | Rep. Destin Hall | 919.301.1638

1429 Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27603



**House Committee on Redistricting
Thursday, October 21, 2021 at 9:00 AM
643 Legislative Office Building**

AGENDA

Welcome and Opening Remarks

Introduction of Sergeant-at-Arms

Presentations

Other Business

Adjournment

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/21/2021 10:50:11 AM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Monday, October 25, 2021 at 3:00 PM (Joint)
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
JOINT COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet **JOINTLY** as follows:

DAY & DATE: Monday, October 25, 2021

TIME: 3:00 PM

LOCATION: NCGA

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Public comment hearing on Congressional Maps.

Remote sites at UNC Wilmington and at Caldwell Community College will be available.

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/21/2021 10:55:24 AM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Monday, October 25, 2021 at 5:30 PM (Joint)
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
JOINT COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet **JOINTLY** as follows:

DAY & DATE: Monday, October 25, 2021

TIME: 5:30 PM

LOCATION: Virtual Public Hearing

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Sign-up link will be available on ncleg.gov

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street |
Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/21/2021 1:35:07 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Friday, October 22, 2021 at 9:00 AM
Attachments: Add meeting to calendar.ics

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: Friday, October 22, 2021

TIME: 9:00 AM

LOCATION: 643 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Pursuant to House Rule 28.2, a WebEx link will be provided to Committee Members and staff the day of the meeting. A link to the Audio/Video livestream will be posted on the NCGA Legislative Calendar: <https://www.ncleg.gov/LegislativeCalendar>.

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/21/2021 4:10:08 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Monday, October 25, 2021 at 5:30 PM - UPDATED #1 (Joint)
Attachments: Update meeting on calendar.ics

Updated #1: Speaker Sign-up Link Added

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
JOINT COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet **JOINTLY** as follows:

DAY & DATE: Monday, October 25, 2021

TIME: 5:30 PM

LOCATION: Virtual Public Hearing

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Speaker Sign-up link: <https://www.ncleg.gov/requesttospeak/61>

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Chandra C. Reed (Committee Assistant) at chandra.reed@ncleg.gov.

Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)"
<Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/29/2021 8:45:12 AM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Webex 643 9AM-5PM
Attachments: House Committee on Redistricting Agenda for 10-29-21 - 9_00 AM - 5_00 PM.pdf

Your upcoming virtual committee meeting on House Committee on Redistricting can be accessed from the following link:

<https://ncleg.webex.com/meet/LOB643>

A few friendly reminders:

- Your virtual meeting should be available at least 10 minutes prior to your scheduled meeting time to address any technical problems.
- It is **very important** that this link not be shared. Anyone with the link can access your meeting and there is a limit of the number of participants.
- Non-committee members, staff, and members of the public should plan to listen to the meeting through the audio stream link available on <https://www.ncleg.gov/audio> or watch the meeting live stream at <https://www.ncleg.gov/video>
- Once the meeting is called to order, the meeting will be locked to prevent additional participants from joining automatically. This is for the security of your meeting. Once the meeting is locked, late joining participants will be entered in to a "lobby" until approved to join by the meeting host.
- More information about virtual committee meetings, installing the application, and other ways to work remote with NCGA resources is available at <https://remote.ncleg.gov>

If you have any technical difficulties while trying to access your meeting or during the meeting itself, please contact ISD Support by one of the following methods:

- Email: ISDSupport@ncleg.gov
- Web: <https://isdsupport.ncleg.gov>

Phone: (919) 715-7825

Best,

Chandra Reed

Clerk Assistant | Rep. Destin Hall | 919.301.1638

1429 Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27603



**House Committee on Redistricting
Friday, October 29, 2021, 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM
643 Legislative Office Building**

AGENDA

Welcome and Opening Remarks

Introduction of Sergeant-at-Arms

Presentations

Other Business

Adjournment

From: "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 10/31/2021 7:56:23 PM -0400
To: "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Fwd: Requested amendments

Dylan R. Reel

House Rules Committee Counsel
Office of Rules Chairman Destin C. Hall | [919.715.3015](tel:919.715.3015)
2306 Legislative Building | [16 West Jones Street](https://www.ncleg.gov/locations/16-West-Jones-Street) | Raleigh, NC 27603

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>
Date: October 31, 2021 at 7:50:15 PM EDT
To: "Rep. Destin Hall" <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Requested amendments

□

Dylan R. Reel

House Rules Committee Counsel
Office of Rules Chairman Destin C. Hall | [919.715.3015](tel:919.715.3015)
2306 Legislative Building | [16 West Jones Street](https://www.ncleg.gov/locations/16-West-Jones-Street) | Raleigh, NC 27603

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Todd Barlow (Rep. Robert Reives)"
<Todd.Barlow@ncleg.gov>
Date: October 31, 2021 at 5:47:10 PM EDT
To: "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Requested amendments

□

[Download Attachment](#)
[Available until Nov 30, 2021](#)

FYI

I just received and am about to trick or treat. Have not reviewed to make sure they are correct.

Todd

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)"
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Date: October 31, 2021 at 5:22:02 PM EDT
To: "Rep. Robert Reives"
<Robert.Reives@ncleg.gov>, "Todd Barlow (Rep.
Robert Reives)" <Todd.Barlow@ncleg.gov>
Cc: "Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)"
<Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Requested amendments

□ Representative Reives,

Attached please find the documents associated with the 18 requested amendments for HB 976, House Redistricting Plan 2021. Each are prepared against the PCS just distributed to the House Redistricting Committee.

Thank you,
Erika C

Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578

[Click to Download](#)

H976-ACG-15.Printing.pdf
0 bytes

[Click to Download](#)

H976-ACE-52.printing.pdf
0 bytes

[Click to Download](#)

H976-ABA-35.Printing.pdf
0 bytes

[Click to Download](#)

H973-ACH-19.Printing.pdf
0 bytes

[Click to Download](#)

H976-ABK-25.Printing.pdf

0 bytes

[Click to Download](#)

H976-ACH-20.Printing.pdf

0 bytes

[Click to Download](#)

H976-ATU-35.Printing.pdf

0 bytes

[Click to Download](#)

H976-ACH-18.Printing.pdf

0 bytes

[Click to Download](#)

H976-ATU-34.Printing.pdf

0 bytes

[Click to Download](#)

H976-ABA-34.Printing.pdf

0 bytes

[Click to Download](#)

H976-ABA-31.Printing.pdf

0 bytes

[Click to Download](#)

H976-ACG-13.Printing.pdf

0 bytes

[Click to Download](#)

H976-ATU-31.Printing.pdf

0 bytes

[Click to Download](#)

H976-AST-69.Printing.pdf

0 bytes

[Click to Download](#)

H976-ABA-33.Printing.pdf

0 bytes

[Click to Download](#)

H976-ABA-32.Printing.pdf

0 bytes

[Click to Download](#)

H976-ACG-16.Printing.pdf

0 bytes

From: "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 11/1/2021 5:46:58 PM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Webex link

Your upcoming virtual committee meeting on House Committee on Redistricting can be accessed from the following link:

<https://ncleg.webex.com/meet/LOB643>

A few friendly reminders:

- Your virtual meeting should be available at least 10 minutes prior to your scheduled meeting time to address any technical problems.
- It is **very important** that this link not be shared. Anyone with the link can access your meeting and there is a limit of the number of participants.
- Non-committee members, staff, and members of the public should plan to listen to the meeting through the audio stream link available on <https://www.ncleg.gov/audio> or watch the meeting live stream at <https://www.ncleg.gov/video>
- Once the meeting is called to order, the meeting will be locked to prevent additional participants from joining automatically. This is for the security of your meeting. Once the meeting is locked, late joining participants will be entered in to a "lobby" until approved to join by the meeting host.
- More information about virtual committee meetings, installing the application, and other ways to work remote with NCGA resources is available at <https://remote.ncleg.gov>

If you have any technical difficulties while trying to access your meeting or during the meeting itself, please contact ISD Support by one of the following methods:

- Email: ISDSupport@ncleg.gov
- Web: <https://isdsupport.ncleg.gov>

Phone: (919) 715-7825

Best,

Chandra Reed

Clerk Assistant | Rep. Destin Hall | 919.301.1638

1429 Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27603

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)" <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 11/2/2021 9:34:48 AM -0400
To: "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>;
"Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>
Subject: FW: FW: Senate is in Recess

Should we anticipate that the House Redistricting Committee will meet this evening? Just wanted to start gathering the appropriate folks, if we should be planning on a House meeting after the House receives the bill, if passed by the Senate this evening.

Thanks,
E

From: Senate Notification <Senate.FTR@ncleg.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 02, 2021 9:33 AM
To: @All Exchange Users <Allexchangeusers@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Senate is in Recess

The Senate recessed Tuesday, November 2, 2021, at 9:31 a.m., subject to the standard stipulations set forth in Senate Rule 24.1, to reconvene at 5:00 p.m.

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 11/3/2021 9:10:09 AM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Wednesday, November 3, 2021 at 3:00 PM - UPDATED #1
Attachments: Update meeting on calendar.ics

Updated #1: Location Change

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: Wednesday, November 3, 2021

TIME: 3:00 PM

LOCATION: 643 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Pursuant to House Rule 28.2, a WebEx link will be provided to Committee Members and staff the day of the meeting. A link to the Audio/Video livestream will be posted on the NCGA Legislative Calendar:
<https://www.ncleg.gov/LegislativeCalendar>.

The following bills will be considered:

BILL NO.	SHORT TITLE	SPONSORS
SB 740	Congressional Redistricting Plan 2021/CST-13.	Senator Hise Senator Daniel Senator Newton

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Lucy K. Harrill (Committee Assistant) at lucy.harrill@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: NCGA Notifications <Email.Subscriptions@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 11/3/2021 12:20:07 PM -0400
Subject: <NCGA> House Redistricting Meeting Notice for Wednesday, November 3, 2021 at 3:00 PM - UPDATED #2
Attachments: Update meeting on calendar.ics

Updated #2: Bill Added

**NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE
AND
BILL SPONSOR NOTIFICATION
2021-2022 SESSION**

You are hereby notified that the **House Committee on Redistricting** will meet as follows:

DAY & DATE: Wednesday, November 3, 2021

TIME: 3:00 PM

LOCATION: 643 LOB

PRESIDING: Representative Destin Hall, Chair

COMMENTS: Pursuant to House Rule 28.2, a WebEx link will be provided to Committee Members and staff the day of the meeting. A link to the Audio/Video livestream will be posted on the NCGA Legislative Calendar:
<https://www.ncleg.gov/LegislativeCalendar>.

The following bills will be considered:

BILL NO.	SHORT TITLE	SPONSORS
SB 740	Congressional Redistricting Plan 2021/CST-13.	Senator Hise Senator Daniel Senator Newton
SB 739	Senate Redistricting Plan 2021/SBK-7.	Senator Hise

Senator Daniel
Senator Newton

Respectfully,

Representative Destin Hall, Chair

For questions, please contact Lucy K. Harrill (Committee Assistant) at lucy.harrill@ncleg.gov.

[North Carolina General Assembly](#) | Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27601
[Unsubscribe](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)"
<Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 11/3/2021 12:23:54 PM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>
Subject: 643 Webex 3PM
Attachments: House Committee on Redistricting Agenda for 11-3-21 3_00 PM.pdf

Your upcoming virtual committee meeting on House Committee on Redistricting can be accessed from the following link:

<https://ncleg.webex.com/meet/LOB643>

A few friendly reminders:

- Your virtual meeting should be available at least 10 minutes prior to your scheduled meeting time to address any technical problems.
- It is **very important** that this link not be shared. Anyone with the link can access your meeting and there is a limit of the number of participants.
- Non-committee members, staff, and members of the public should plan to listen to the meeting through the audio stream link available on <https://www.ncleg.gov/audio> or watch the meeting live stream at <https://www.ncleg.gov/video>
- Once the meeting is called to order, the meeting will be locked to prevent additional participants from joining automatically. This is for the security of your meeting. Once the meeting is locked, late joining participants will be entered in to a "lobby" until approved to join by the meeting host.
- More information about virtual committee meetings, installing the application, and other ways to work remote with NCGA resources is available at <https://remote.ncleg.gov>

If you have any technical difficulties while trying to access your meeting or during the meeting itself, please contact ISD Support by one of the following methods:

- Email: ISDSupport@ncleg.gov
- Web: <https://isdsupport.ncleg.gov>

Phone: (919) 715-7825

Best,

Chandra Reed

Clerk Assistant | Rep. Destin Hall | 919.301.1638

1429 Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27603



**House Committee on Redistricting
Wednesday, November 3, 2021 at 3:00 PM
643 Legislative Office Building**

AGENDA

Welcome and Opening Remarks

Introduction of Sergeant-at-Arms

Consideration of the following bills:

BILL NO.	SHORT TITLE	SPONSORS
SB 740	Congressional Redistricting Plan 2021/CST-13.	Senator Hise Senator Daniel Senator Newton
SB 739	Senate Redistricting Plan 2021/SBK-7.	Senator Hise Senator Daniel Senator Newton

Presentations

Other Business

Adjournment


From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)"
<Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 11/3/2021 10:05:56 AM -0400
To: "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)"
<Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>; "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)"
<Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's
Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; "Lucy K. Harrill (Rep. Destin
Hall)" <Lucy.Harrill@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)"
<Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: H 976, amendments that passed 11/1/21 in committee
Attachments: H976-AST-71.printing.pdf; H976-ABA-31.Printing.pdf

Chandra,

Just providing the electronic copies of these two amendments that passed in committee Monday night. If you want the soft copies of the others, let me know!


E

Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578



©2021 CALIPER

Description:



Description:



NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
AMENDMENT
House Bill 976

AMENDMENT NO.
(to be filled in by
Principal Clerk)

H976-AST-71 [v.1]

Page 1 of 5

Amends Title [NO]
First Edition

Date ,2021

Representative D. Hall

moves to amend the bill on page 4, lines 43-48, by rewriting those lines to read:

"District 9: Onslow County: VTD CR07, VTD FS16, VTD HR17, VTD JA01, VTD NR02, VTD SF18, VTD TL06, VTD VR15, VTD WN04.

District 10: Onslow County: VTD BC21, VTD BM08, VTD EN03, VTD HM05, VTD HU20, VTD ML23, VTD MT24, VTD NE22A, VTD NE22B, VTD SW19.

District 11: Onslow County: VTD CL10, VTD GB12, VTD HN14, VTD NM13, VTD RL09; Pender County.";

and on page 5, lines 6-33, by rewriting those lines to read:

"District 16: Wayne County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 004, VTD 005, VTD 006, VTD 007, VTD 008, VTD 009, VTD 010, VTD 011, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 016: Block(s) 1910009011000, 1910009011001, 1910009011002, 1910009011003, 1910009011004, 1910009011005, 1910009011006, 1910009011007, 1910009011008, 1910009011009, 1910009011010, 1910009011011, 1910009011012, 1910009011013, 1910009011014, 1910009011015, 1910009011016, 1910009011017, 1910009011018, 1910009011019, 1910009011020, 1910009011045, 1910009013000, 1910009013001, 1910009013002, 1910009013003, 1910009013004, 1910009013005, 1910009013006, 1910009013007, 1910009013008, 1910009013009, 1910009013010, 1910009013011, 1910009013012, 1910009013013, 1910009013014, 1910009013015, 1910009013016, 1910009013017, 1910009013018, 1910009013019, 1910009013020, 1910009013021, 1910009013022, 1910009013023, 1910009013024, 1910009013025, 1910009013026, 1910009013027, 1910009013028, 1910009022008, 1910009022009, 1910009022010, 1910009022011, 1910009023000, 1910009023001, 1910009023002, 1910009023003, 1910009023004, 1910009023005, 1910009023006, 1910009023007, 1910009023008, 1910009023009, 1910009023010, 1910009023011, 1910009023012, 1910009023014, 1910009023017, 1910009023018, 1910009023041; VTD 017, VTD 018, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 023, VTD 029, VTD 1920.

District 17: Duplin County, Wayne County: VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 016: Block(s) 1910009012000, 1910009012001, 1910009012002, 1910009012003, 1910009012004, 1910009012005, 1910009012006, 1910009012007, 1910009021005, 1910009021006, 1910009021007, 1910009021008, 1910009023029, 1910009023030, 1910009023031,



* H 9 7 6 - A S T - 7 1 - V - 1 *

**NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
AMENDMENT
House Bill 976**

AMENDMENT NO. _____
(to be filled in by
Principal Clerk)

H976-AST-71 [v.1]

Page 2 of 5

1 1910009023032, 1910009023033, 1910009023034, 1910009023039; VTD 024, VTD 026,
2 VTD 027, VTD 028, VTD 2530.

3 **District 18:** Bladen County, Sampson County.";
4
5

6 and on page 7, line 20, through page 8, line 41, by rewriting those lines to read:
7

8 **"District 48:** Durham County: VTD 014: Block(s) 0630018024013, 0630018024014,
9 0630018024015, 0630018024016; VTD 018, VTD 019, VTD 031, VTD 033, VTD 052, VTD
10 054, VTD 30-1, VTD 30-2: Block(s) 0630018061000, 0630018061001, 0630018061002,
11 0630018061003, 0630018061004, 0630018061005, 0630018061006, 0630018061007,
12 0630018061008, 0630018061009, 0630018061010, 0630018061011, 0630018061012,
13 0630018061013, 0630018061014, 0630018061015, 0630018061016, 0630018061017,
14 0630018061018, 0630018061019, 0630018061020, 0630018061021, 0630018061022,
15 0630018061023, 0630018061024, 0630018061025, 0630018061026, 0630018061027,
16 0630018061028, 0630018061029, 0630018061030, 0630018061031, 0630018061032,
17 0630018061033, 0630018061034, 0630018061035, 0630018064000, 0630018064001,
18 0630018064002, 0630018064003, 0630018064004, 0630018064005, 0630018064006,
19 0630018064007, 0630018064008, 0630018064009, 0630018064010, 0630018064011,
20 0630018064012, 0630018064013, 0630018064014, 0630018064015, 0630018111000,
21 0630018111001, 0630018111002, 0630018111003, 0630018111004, 0630018111005,
22 0630018111006, 0630018111007, 0630018111008, 0630018112000, 0630018112001,
23 0630018112002, 0630018112003, 0630018112004, 0630018112005, 0630018112006,
24 0630018112007, 0630018113000, 0630018113001, 0630018113002, 0630018114000,
25 0630018114001, 0630018114002, 0630018114003, 0630018114004, 0630018114005,
26 0630018114006, 0630018114007, 0630018115000, 0630018115001, 0630018115002,
27 0630018115003, 0630018115004, 0630018115005, 0630018115006, 0630018115007,
28 0630018115008, 0630018115009, 0630018115010, 0630018115011, 0630018115012,
29 0630018115013, 0630018115014, 0630018116000, 0630018116001, 0630018116002,
30 0630018116003, 0630018116004, 0630018116005, 0630018116006, 0630018116007,
31 0630018116008, 0630018116009; VTD 35.3.

32 **District 49:** Durham County: VTD 009, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 014: Block(s)
33 0630010012000, 0630010012001, 0630010012002, 0630010012003, 0630010012004,
34 0630010012005, 0630010012006, 0630010012007, 0630010012008, 0630010012009,
35 0630010012010, 0630010012011, 0630010012012, 0630010012013, 0630010012014,
36 0630010012015, 0630010012016, 0630010012017, 0630010012018, 0630010012019,
37 0630010012020, 0630010012021, 0630010012022, 0630010012023, 0630010012024,
38 0630010012025, 0630010012026, 0630010012030, 0630010012035, 0630010012036,
39 0630010012037, 0630010012038, 0630010012039, 0630010012040, 0630010013003,
40 0630010013004, 0630010013005, 0630010013006, 0630010013007, 0630010013008,
41 0630010014004, 0630010014005, 0630010014006, 0630010021012, 0630010021013,
42 0630010021014, 0630010021015, 0630011001000, 0630011001001, 0630011001002,
43 0630011001003, 0630011001029, 0630011001030, 0630011001031, 0630011001032,

**NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
AMENDMENT
House Bill 976**

AMENDMENT NO. _____
(to be filled in by
Principal Clerk)

H976-AST-71 [v.1]

Page 3 of 5

1 0630011001033, 0630011001034, 0630011002017, 0630011002018, 0630011002019,
2 0630011002020, 0630018024002, 0630018024003, 0630018024004, 0630018024005,
3 0630018024008, 0630018024009, 0630018024010, 0630018024011, 0630018024012; VTD
4 015, VTD 016, VTD 017, VTD 027, VTD 036, VTD 038, VTD 039, VTD 041, VTD 042,
5 VTD 047, VTD 048, VTD 051, VTD 055-11, VTD 055-49, VTD 34-1, VTD 34-2, VTD 53-1,
6 VTD 53-2.
7 **District 50:** Durham County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 004, VTD 005, VTD 006,
8 VTD 007, VTD 008, VTD 010, VTD 020, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 023: Block(s)
9 0630016014006, 0630016014007, 0630016014008, 0630016014009, 0630016014010,
10 0630016014011, 0630016014012, 0630016014013, 0630016014015, 0630017081000,
11 0630017081001, 0630017081002, 0630017081003, 0630017081004, 0630017081005,
12 0630017081006, 0630017081007, 0630017081008, 0630017081009, 0630017082005,
13 0630017082006, 0630017082007, 0630017082008, 0630017083000, 0630017083001,
14 0630017083002, 0630017083003, 0630017083004, 0630017083005, 0630017083006,
15 0630017083007, 0630017084012, 0630017084014, 0630017084015, 0630017084016,
16 0630017084017, 0630017084018, 0630017084019, 0630017084020, 0630017084021,
17 0630017093004, 0630017093005, 0630017096002, 0630017096003, 0630017096004,
18 0630017096005, 0630017096006, 0630017096007, 0630017096008, 0630017096009,
19 0630017096010, 0630017096011, 0630017096012, 0630017096013, 0630017096014,
20 0630017096015, 0630017096016, 0630017096017, 0630017096018, 0630017096019,
21 0630017096020, 0630017096022, 0630017102000, 0630017102001, 0630017102002,
22 0630017102003, 0630017102004, 0630017102005, 0630017102006, 0630017102007,
23 0630017102008, 0630017102009, 0630017102010, 0630017102011, 0630017102012,
24 0630017103000, 0630017103001, 0630017103002, 0630017103003, 0630017103004,
25 0630017103005, 0630017103006, 0630017103007, 0630017103008, 0630017103009,
26 0630017103010, 0630017103011, 0630017111005; VTD 024, VTD 040, VTD 043, VTD
27 046, VTD 050.
28 **District 51:** Durham County: VTD 023: Block(s) 0630017082000, 0630017082001,
29 0630017082002, 0630017082003, 0630017082004, 0630017082009, 0630017082010,
30 0630017082011, 0630017082012, 0630017082013, 0630017082014, 0630017084001,
31 0630017084002, 0630017084005, 0630017084006, 0630017084007, 0630017084008,
32 0630017084009, 0630017084010, 0630017084013; VTD 025, VTD 026, VTD 028, VTD
33 029, VTD 032, VTD 037, VTD 044, VTD 045, VTD 30-2: Block(s) 0630018062002,
34 0630018062003, 0630018062004, 0630018062005, 0630018062006, 0630018062007,
35 0630018062008, 0630018062015, 0630018062016, 0630018062017, 0630018062018,
36 0630018062019, 0630018062029, 0630018062030, 0630018062031, 0630018062032,
37 0630018111009, 0630018111010, 0630018111011, 0630018111012; Person County.";
38
39 and on page 9, lines 4-5, by rewriting those lines to read:
40 **"District 56:** Chatham County, Randolph County: VTD LB, VTD PR: Block(s) 1510310021005,
41 1510310021030, 1510311011000, 1510311012000, 1510311012001, 1510311021000,
42 1510312001013, 1510312001014, 1510312001015, 1510312001016, 1510312001017,
43 1510312001018, 1510312001019, 1510312001034, 1510312001035, 1510312001036,

**NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
AMENDMENT
House Bill 976**

AMENDMENT NO. _____
(to be filled in by
Principal Clerk)

H976-AST-71 [v.1]

Page 4 of 5

1 1510312001037, 1510312001038, 1510312001039, 1510312001040, 1510312001041,
2 1510312001042, 1510312001043, 1510312001048, 1510312002000, 1510312002001,
3 1510312002002, 1510312002003, 1510312002004, 1510312002005, 1510312002006,
4 1510312002007, 1510312002008, 1510312002009, 1510312002010, 1510312002011,
5 1510312002012, 1510312002013, 1510312002020, 1510313071000, 1510313071001,
6 1510313071002, 1510313071003, 1510313071004, 1510313071005, 1510313071006,
7 1510313071007, 1510313071008, 1510313071009, 1510313071010, 1510313071011,
8 1510313071012, 1510313071013, 1510313071014, 1510313071015, 1510313071016,
9 1510313071017, 1510313071018, 1510313071019, 1510313071020, 1510313071021,
10 1510313071025, 1510313071028, 1510313071029, 1510313071031, 1510313071036,
11 1510313072000, 1510313072012, 1510313072016, 1510313073000, 1510313073001,
12 1510313073002, 1510313073003, 1510313073004, 1510313073005, 1510313073006,
13 1510313073007, 1510313073008, 1510313073009, 1510313073010, 1510313073011,
14 1510313073012, 1510313073013, 1510313073014, 1510313073015, 1510313073016,
15 1510313073017, 1510313073018, 1510313073019, 1510313073020, 1510313073021,
16 1510313073022, 1510313081000, 1510313082000, 1510313082001, 1510313082002,
17 1510313082003, 1510313082004, 1510313082005, 1510313082006, 1510313082007,
18 1510313082008, 1510313082009, 1510313082010, 1510313082011, 1510313082012,
19 1510313082013, 1510313082014; VTD ST.

20 **District 57:** Lee County, Moore County: VTD CAM, VTD CAR, VTD LTR, VTD VSS.";

21

22 and on page 9, lines 7-13, by rewriting those lines to read:

23

24 **"District 59:** Moore County: VTD EAB, VTD EKWD, VTD EUR-WP, VTD EWD, VTD NSP,
25 VTD PBF, VTD PDN, VTD SSP, VTD WAB, VTD WKWD; Richmond County.

26 **District 60:** Moore County: VTD BEN, VTD DHR, VTD PHA1, VTD PHA2, VTD PHB1, VTD
27 PHB2, VTD PHC, VTD RBN, VTD SLS, VTD TLT, VTD WEM, VTD WND; Randolph
28 County: VTD DR, VTD GR, VTD RM, VTD SE, VTD SO, VTD SW, VTD TB, VTD UG.

29 **District 61:** Randolph County: VTD AE, VTD AN, VTD AR, VTD AS, VTD AW, VTD BC,
30 VTD LC, VTD NM, VTD PR: Block(s) 1510311011001, 1510311011002, 1510311011003,
31 1510311011004, 1510311011005, 1510311011006, 1510311011007, 1510311011012,
32 1510311011013, 1510311011016, 1510311011046, 1510313072001, 1510313072002,
33 1510313072003, 1510313072004, 1510313072005, 1510313072006, 1510313072007,
34 1510313072008, 1510313072009, 1510313072010, 1510313072011, 1510313072013,
35 1510313072014, 1510313072015, 1510313081001, 1510313081002, 1510313081003,
36 1510313081008, 1510313081009, 1510313081010, 1510313081011, 1510313081012,
37 1510314013000, 1510314013004, 1510314013005; VTD RN, VTD TR, VTD TT.";

38

39 and on page 10, lines 23-38, by rewriting those lines to read:

40

41 **"District 80:** Cabarrus County: VTD 01-02, VTD 01-04, VTD 01-07, VTD 01-08, VTD 01-10,
42 VTD 01-11, VTD 02-02, VTD 02-03, VTD 08-00, VTD 09-00, VTD 10-00, VTD 11-01,
43 VTD 11-02, VTD 12-06, VTD 12-12.

**NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
AMENDMENT
House Bill 976**

AMENDMENT NO. _____
(to be filled in by
Principal Clerk)

H976-AST-71 [v.1]

Page 5 of 5

- 1 **District 81:** Cabarrus County: VTD 02-01, VTD 02-05, VTD 02-06, VTD 04-01, VTD 04-03,
2 VTD 04-08, VTD 04-11, VTD 04-12, VTD 04-13, VTD 05-00, VTD 06-00, VTD 07-00,
3 VTD 12-03, VTD 12-04, VTD 12-05, VTD 12-08, VTD 12-09, VTD 12-10, VTD 12-11,
4 VTD 12-13.
5 **District 82:** Cabarrus County: VTD 02-07, VTD 02-08, VTD 02-09, VTD 03-00, VTD 04-09;
6 Rowan County: VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 004, VTD 009, VTD 013, VTD 016, VTD 017,
7 VTD 033, VTD 044, VTD 05A, VTD 19A.
8 **District 83:** Rowan County: VTD 001, VTD 008, VTD 010, VTD 011, VTD 012, VTD 015,
9 VTD 018, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 023, VTD 025, VTD 026, VTD 028, VTD 030, VTD
10 031, VTD 034, VTD 035, VTD 036, VTD 038, VTD 039, VTD 040, VTD 041, VTD 042,
11 VTD 045, VTD 14A.
12 **District 84:** Davie County, Rowan County: VTD 007, VTD 024, VTD 027, VTD 029, VTD 032;
13 Yadkin County.".
14

SIGNED _____
Amendment Sponsor

SIGNED _____
Committee Chair if Senate Committee Amendment

ADOPTED _____ FAILED _____ TABLED _____

Population Deviation Report**District Plan: HBKAmend-2**

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
9	1	86,995	87,578	583	0.67%
10	1	86,995	86,538	-457	-0.53%
11	1	86,995	90,663	3,668	4.22%
16	1	86,995	82,953	-4,042	-4.65%
17	1	86,995	83,095	-3,900	-4.48%
18	1	86,995	88,642	1,647	1.89%
48	1	86,995	90,760	3,765	4.33%
49	1	86,995	91,212	4,217	4.85%
50	1	86,995	91,165	4,170	4.79%
51	1	86,995	90,793	3,798	4.37%
56	1	86,995	87,810	815	0.94%
57	1	86,995	83,073	-3,922	-4.51%
59	1	86,995	84,383	-2,612	-3.00%
60	1	86,995	83,038	-3,957	-4.55%
61	1	86,995	88,110	1,115	1.28%
80	1	86,995	90,649	3,654	4.20%
81	1	86,995	90,771	3,776	4.34%
82	1	86,995	90,742	3,747	4.31%
83	1	86,995	89,815	2,820	3.24%
84	1	86,995	90,628	3,633	4.18%
Totals:	20		1,762,418		

User:

Plan Name: HBKAmend-2

Plan Type: House

Measures of Compactness Report

Monday, November 01, 2021

3:45 PM

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.31	0.21
Max	0.59	0.57
Mean	0.43	0.35
Std. Dev.	0.08	0.09

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
9	0.55	0.37
10	0.38	0.29
11	0.41	0.24
16	0.59	0.57
17	0.48	0.45
18	0.53	0.36
48	0.42	0.32
49	0.36	0.33
50	0.38	0.36
51	0.32	0.33
56	0.39	0.33

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.31	0.21
Max	0.59	0.57
Mean	0.43	0.35
Std. Dev.	0.08	0.09

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
57	0.48	0.28
59	0.35	0.21
60	0.32	0.21
61	0.48	0.42
80	0.49	0.42
81	0.31	0.32
82	0.47	0.33
83	0.43	0.50
84	0.41	0.30

Measures of Compactness Summary

Reock The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
Polsby-Popper The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.

Split VTD Detail Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-2


County	VTD	District	Total VTD Population	VTD Pop in District	Percent of VTD Pop in District
Durham	014	48	4,535	303	6.68 %
		49	4,535	4,232	93.32 %
	023	50	10,357	8,824	85.20 %
		51	10,357	1,533	14.80 %
	30-2	48	10,654	9,696	91.01 %
		51	10,654	958	8.99 %
Randolph	PR	56	7,714	4,335	56.20 %
		61	7,714	3,379	43.80 %
Wayne	016	16	3,810	2,818	73.96 %
		17	3,810	992	26.04 %
Total:				37,070	

Number of split VTDs: 5

Incumbent-District Report**District Plan: HBKAmend-2****Residence Set: NC House - 10/01/2021**

Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District	District in this Plan
Alston	Vernetta	Democratic	29	49
Baker	Kristin	Republican	82	81
Bell	John	Republican	10	16
Boles	James	Republican	52	59
Brisson	William	Republican	22	18
Cleveland	George	Republican	14	10
Dixon	James	Republican	4	17
Hawkins	Zack	Democratic	31	48
Howard	Julia	Republican	77	84
Hurley	Patricia	Republican	70	61
McNeill	Allen	Republican	78	60
Morey	Marcia	Democratic	30	50
Moss	Ben	Republican	66	59
Pittman	Larry	Republican	83	81
Reives	Robert	Democratic	54	56
Sauls	John	Republican	51	57
Shepard	Phillip	Republican	15	9
Smith	Carson	Republican	16	11
Smith	Raymond	Democratic	21	16
Warren	Harry	Republican	76	83
Yarborough	Lawrence	Republican	2	51
Zachary	Walter	Republican	73	84

HBVAmend-3



©2021 CALIPER

Description:



NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
AMENDMENT
House Bill 976

AMENDMENT NO. _____
(to be filled in by
Principal Clerk)

H976-ABA-31 [v.1]

Page 1 of 1

Amends Title [NO]
H976-PCS40669-ST-36

Date _____, 2021

Representative _____

- 1 moves to amend the bill on page 9, lines 4-5, by rewriting those lines to read:
2 "District 56: Chatham County, Randolph County: VTD LB, VTD ST.
3 "District 57: Lee County, Moore County: VTD CAM, VTD CAR, VTD LTR, VTD VSS.";
4
5 and on page 9, lines 7-13, by rewriting those lines to read:
6 "District 59: Moore County: VTD EAB, VTD EKWD, VTD EUR-WP, VTD EWD, VTD NSP,
7 VTD PBF, VTD PDN, VTD SSP, VTD WAB, VTD WKWD; Richmond County.
8 "District 60: Moore County: VTD BEN, VTD DHR, VTD PHA1, VTD PHA2, VTD PHB1, VTD
9 PHB2, VTD PHC, VTD RBN, VTD SLS, VTD TLT, VTD WEM, VTD WND; Randolph
10 County: VTD DR, VTD GR, VTD PR, VTD RM, VTD SE, VTD SO, VTD SW, VTD UG.
11 "District 61: Randolph County: VTD AE, VTD AN, VTD AR, VTD AS, VTD AW, VTD BC,
12 VTD LC, VTD NM, VTD RN, VTD TB, VTD TR, VTD TT."
13

SIGNED _____
Amendment Sponsor

SIGNED _____
Committee Chair if Senate Committee Amendment

ADOPTED _____ FAILED _____ TABLED _____



* H 9 7 6 - A B A - 3 1 - V - 1 *

Population Deviation Report

District Plan: HBVAmend-3

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
56	1	86,995	83,475	-3,520	-4.05%
57	1	86,995	83,073	-3,922	-4.51%
59	1	86,995	84,383	-2,612	-3.00%
60	1	86,995	86,365	-630	-0.72%
61	1	86,995	89,118	2,123	2.44%
Totals:	5		426,414		

User:

Plan Name: HBVAmend-3

Plan Type: House

Measures of Compactness Report

Friday, October 29, 2021

10:50 AM

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.34	0.20
Max	0.54	0.54
Mean	0.43	0.32
Std. Dev.	0.09	0.14

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
56	0.46	0.38
57	0.48	0.28
59	0.35	0.21
60	0.34	0.20
61	0.54	0.54

Measures of Compactness Summary

Reock The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
Polsby-Popper The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.

Split VTD Detail Report

District Plan: HBVAmend-3

County	VTD	District	Total VTD Population	VTD Pop in District	Percent of VTD Pop in District
Total:					

Number of split VTDs: 0

District-Incumbent Report**District Plan: HBVAmend-3****Residence Set: NC House - 10/01/2021**

District in this Plan	Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District
56	Reives	Robert	Democratic	54
57	Sauls	John	Republican	51
59	Boles	James	Republican	52
	Moss	Ben	Republican	66
60	McNeill	Allen	Republican	78
61	Hurley	Patricia	Republican	70

From: "Chandra C. Reed (Rep. Destin Hall)"
<Chandra.Reed@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 11/4/2021 8:27:28 AM -0400
To: HSE - House Redistricting <HSE-HouseRedistricting@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Map Drawing 643 Webex
Attachments: House Committee on Redistricting Agenda for 11-4-21 9_00 AM.pdf

Your upcoming virtual committee meeting on House Committee on Redistricting can be accessed from the following link:

<https://ncleg.webex.com/meet/LOB643>

A few friendly reminders:

- Your virtual meeting should be available at least 10 minutes prior to your scheduled meeting time to address any technical problems.
- It is **very important** that this link not be shared. Anyone with the link can access your meeting and there is a limit of the number of participants.
- Non-committee members, staff, and members of the public should plan to listen to the meeting through the audio stream link available on <https://www.ncleg.gov/audio> or watch the meeting live stream at <https://www.ncleg.gov/video>
- Once the meeting is called to order, the meeting will be locked to prevent additional participants from joining automatically. This is for the security of your meeting. Once the meeting is locked, late joining participants will be entered in to a "lobby" until approved to join by the meeting host.
- More information about virtual committee meetings, installing the application, and other ways to work remote with NCGA resources is available at <https://remote.ncleg.gov>

If you have any technical difficulties while trying to access your meeting or during the meeting itself, please contact ISD Support by one of the following methods:

- Email: ISDSupport@ncleg.gov
- Web: <https://isdsupport.ncleg.gov>

Phone: (919) 715-7825

Best,

Chandra Reed

Clerk Assistant | Rep. Destin Hall | 919.301.1638

1429 Legislative Building | 16 West Jones Street | Raleigh, NC 27603



**House Committee on Redistricting
Thursday, November 4, 2021 at 9:00 AM
643 Legislative Office Building**

AGENDA

Welcome and Opening Remarks

Introduction of Sergeant-at-Arms

Presentations

Other Business

Adjournment

From: "Erika Churchill (Legislative Analysis)" <Erika.Churchill@ncleg.gov>
Sent: 11/4/2021 11:29:01 AM -0400
To: Sen. Warren Daniel <Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Ralph Hise <Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov>; Sen. Paul Newton <Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov>; Rep. Destin Hall <Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov>
CC: "Dylan Reel (Rep. Destin Hall)" <Dylan.Reel@ncleg.gov>; "Neal Inman (Speaker Moore's Office)" <Neal.Inman@ncleg.gov>; "Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov>; "Nathan Babcock (Senate Pro Tem's Office)" <Nathan.Babcock@ncleg.gov>; "Joshua Yost (President Pro Tem's Office)" <Joshua.Yost@ncleg.gov>; Brent Woodcox <Brent.Woodcox@ncleg.gov>; "Andre Beliveau (Sen. Paul Newton)" <Andre.Beliveau@ncleg.gov>; "Jessica Sammons (Legislative Analysis)" <Jessica.Sammons@ncleg.gov>
Subject: Public Comment portal for Redistricting

Chairs,

Unless you instruct otherwise, we'll be prepared to close the on-line portal for the public to submit comments related to redistricting as of 3:00 pm today. We'll forward the comments submitted between the last distributed group and the close today.

And, we can reopen at any time, with notice.

Thanks,
Erika C

Erika Churchill, Staff Attorney
North Carolina General Assembly
300 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
919-733-2578