IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

NAVY SEAL 1, United States Navy, NAVY SEAL 2, United States Navy, SENIOR CHIEF PETTY OFFICER, United States Navy, CHAPLAIN, United States Navy, NAVY EOD OFFICER, United States Navy, COMMANDER SURFACE WARFARE OFFICER, United States Navy, NAVY CHIEF WARRANT OFFICER, United States Navy Reserve, COLONEL FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT OFFICER. United States Marine Corps, LIEUTENANT COLONEL 1, United States Marine Corps, LIEUTENANT COLONEL 2. United States Marine Corps, RESERVE LIEUTENANT COLONEL, United States Marine Corps, MAJOR, United States Marine Corps, CAPTAIN, United States Mariné Corps, CAPTAIN 2, United States Marine Corps, CAPTAIN 3, United States Marine Corps, FIRST LIEUTENANT, United States Marine Corps, SECÓND LIEUTENANT, United States Marine Corps, CHIEF WARRANT OFFICER 3, United States Marine Corps, LANCE CORPORAL 1, United States Marine Corps, LANCE CORPORAL 2, United States Marine Corps, MAJOR, United States Air Force, CHAPLAIN, United States Air Force, RESERVE LIEUTENANT COLONEL 1 United States Air Force, RESERVE LIEUTENANT COLONEL 2. United States Air Force, MASTER SERGEANT SERE SPECIALIST, United States Air Force, TECHNICAL SERGEANT, United States Air Force, CADET, United States Air Force Academy, COLONEL, United States Army, ARMY RANGER, United States Army, NATIONAL GUARDSMAN, Virginia Army National Guard, PILOT, United States Coast

Civil Docket 8:21-cv-02429-SDM-TGW Guard, LCDR PILOT, United States Coast Guard, LIEUTENANT, United States Coast Guard, MANAGEMENT AND PROGRAM ANALYST, Citizenship and Immigration Services, Department of Homeland Security, STATE DEPARTMENT EMPLOYEE 1, and FEDERAL CIVILIAN CONTRACTOR EMPLOYER, for themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

LLOYD AUSTIN, in his official capacity as Secretary of the United States Department of Defense. CHRISTINE WORMUTH, in her official capacity as Secretary of the United States Army, CARLOS DEL TORO, in his official capacity as Secretary of the United States Navy, GEN. DAVID H. BERGER, in his official capacity as Commandant of the United States Marine Corps, FRANK KENDALL, in his official capacity as Secretary of the United States Air Force, ALEJANDRO MAYORKAS, in his official capacity as Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security, ROBIN CARNAHAN, in her official capacity as Administrator of the United States General Services Administration, KIRAN AHUJA, in her official capacity as Director of the United States Office of Personnel Management, LESLEY A. FIELD, in her official capacity as Acting Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy, Office of Management and Budget, and MATHEW C. BLUM, in his official capacity as Chair of the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council,

Defendants.

Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing

Heard in Courtroom 15A
Sam M. Gibbons United States Courthouse
801 North Florida Avenue
Tampa, Florida 33602
Thursday - March 10, 2022
10:22 a.m. - 11:25 a.m.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEVEN D. MERRYDAY UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

REBECCA M. SABO, RMR, CRR Federal Official Court Reporter Sam M. Gibbons United States Courthouse 801 North Florida Avenue, Room 1221 Tampa, Florida 33602 Rebecca_Sabo@flmd.uscourts.gov (406) 855-6410

Proceedings recorded by machine shorthand Transcript produced by computer-assisted transcription

APPEARANCES

PRESENT ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS:

Mathew D. Staver
Daniel Joseph Schmid
Horatio G. Mihet
Roger K. Gannam
LIBERTY COUNSEL
P.O. Box 540774
Orlando, Florida 32854-0774

PRESENT ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS:

Amy Powell
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE - CIVIL
150 Fayetteville Street, Suite 2100
Raleigh, North Carolina 27601

Catherine Yang DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE - CIVIL 1100 L St. NW Washington, DC 20005

INDEX

WITNESSES CALLED BY THE PLAINTIFF:	PAGE
COMMANDER SURFACE WARFARE OFFICER	
UNITED STATES NAVY Direct Examination By Mr. Gannam	7
Cross-Examination By Ms. Powell	33
Redirect Examination By Mr. Gannam	50
Reporter's Certificate	53

	b
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(Open court.)
3	MR. STAVER: Okay. Very good.
4	With that in mind, we would like to just proceed with
5	the first witness
6	THE COURT: You may.
7	MR. STAVER: which would be the Commander.
8	THE COURT: You may.
9	Good morning, sir. Let me ask you to pause and raise
10	your right hand.
11	COMMANDER SURFACE WARFARE OFFICER, UNITED STATES NAVY,
12	having been sworn or affirmed under oath, was examined and
13	testified as follows:
14	THE COURT: State your name, please.
15	Are you the Lieutenant Commander who is referred to
16	in the complaint in this action?
17	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
18	THE COURT: Please have a seat in the witness stand,
19	make yourself comfortable. We need to attach that microphone
20	with which I think you're familiar.
21	And I'll recognize Mr. Gannam for his direct
22	examination.
23	MR. GANNAM: Thank you, Your Honor.
24	May it please the Court.
25	111
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

10:23:11AM **1**

10:23:11AM 2

10:23:11AM

10:23:16AM

10:23:21AM

10:23:27AM

10:23:30AM

10:23:34AM 8

10:23:37AM

10:23:42AM **1**(

10:23:45AM 11

10:23:48AM 12

10:23:49AM 13

10:23:53AM 14

10:23:58AM 15

10:23:59AM 16

10:24:00AM 17

10:24:02AM 18

10:24:04AM 19

10:24:08AM 20

10:24:13AM 21

10:24:18AM 22

10:24:22AM 23

10:24:25AM 24

10:24:29AM **25**

///

3

4

5

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. GANNAM:

- Q. Commander, will you please just state again for the record that you are in fact the Navy Commander Surface Warfare Officer proceeding under pseudonym in this case?
- A. I am the Navy Commander.
- Q. And, Commander, are you aware of a preliminary injunction order that was entered by this Court on February 18 essentially prohibiting the Navy from taking any adverse action against you as a result of your unvaccinated status?
- A. Yes, sir.
- **Q**. And are you aware that also on February 28th the defendants filed an emergency motion in this court to stay that preliminary injunction order?
- A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Have you read that motion to stay?
- A. I have.
- Q. I'm going to refer to a few portions of it.

On page 1, and this is, for the record, Document 118, page 1, about three-quarters of the way down, it reads, "The Order is an extraordinary intrusion upon the inner workings of the military that presents a direct and imminent threat to national security during a global military crisis, and it indefinitely sidelines a Navy warship."

10:24:31AM

1

2 |

3 |

4 II

10:24:34AM

10:24:35AM

10:24:38AM

5 10:24:40AM

6 II 10:24:42AM

10:24:46AM

10:24:50AM

10:24:55AM

10:24:58AM 10

11 10:25:02AM

10:25:03AM 12

10:25:06AM 13

10:25:08AM 14

16

10:25:19AM 17

10:25:22AM 18

10:25:24AM 19

10:25:31AM 21

10:25:36AM 23

10:25:43AM **24**

10:25:47AM **25**

Had you read that statement in the motion?

- Yes, sir, I did. Α.
- And did you understand that warship to be referring to the Q. destroyer that you command?
- Α. Yes, sir.
- I also want to refer to page 16 of the same document, Q. Document 118, about halfway down it reads, "By forcing the Navy to keep in place a commander of a destroyer who has lost the trust of his superior officers and the Navy at large, this Order effectively places a multi-billion dollar guided missile destroyer out of commission."

Do you remember reading that statement in the motion?

- Yes, sir, I do. Α.
- On February 28th, when the defendants filed this motion Q. 10:25:12AM 15 stating that your destroyer was indefinitely sidelined and effectively out of commission, where were you?
 - Α. I was out at sea.
 - Q. How were you out at sea, Commander?
- Α. I was commanding my warship on a two-week underway period 10:25:28AM 20 conducting training exercises.
- Q. And can you explain what kind of training exercises you 10:25:35AM 22 were performing?
 - Yes, sir. Specifically my ship is in our training cycle, Α. and we have basic mission areas that we need to conduct training, assessments, and certifications on to get us ready.

1 10:25:53AM 10:25:56AM 2 3 10:25:58AM 4 10:26:01AM 5 10:26:05AM 6 10:26:08AM 7 10:26:12AM 8 10:26:16AM 10:26:19AM 9 10:26:23AM 10 11 10:26:27AM 12 10:26:30AM 10:26:32AM 13 10:26:36AM 10:26:41AM 15 10:26:43AM 16 10:26:47AM 17 18 10:26:52AM 10:26:55AM 19 10:26:59AM 20 10:27:02AM 21 10:27:08AM 22 10:27:11AM 23 10:27:15AM **24**

10:27:20AM 25

Most recently, my ship came out of a maintenance availability last year, we came out of a shipyard. When you come out of a shipyard, you also have to do some engineering light-off assessments, dock trials, crew certification. We fast cruise to make sure the ship is qualified, watch bills, and ready to conduct sea trials, which was generally the first underway period that the ship has since preceding the shipyard availability window. We conducted that in December.

We closed out our maintenance phase window in that time frame and started our basic phase training cycle; it's generally about six months. We started that in January and it will go into July.

Once our ship finishes that basic phase training cycle, we start moving into integrative and advanced phases where we integrate with other assets, working with, you know, a strike group so we can, you know, certify to go on deployment.

My ship right now is in that basic window where we're working on our basic certifications. Basic certifications are not warfare specific. They include basic things that a warship needs to do, like seamanship, navigation, damage control, engineering, aviation, and communications. This past two-week underway, we were specifically doing engineering training and certifications to make sure we knew how to do engineering evolutions, drills, and combat main space fire.

We did that all successfully. We finished that actually a

10:27:24AM

10:27:28AM

10:27:31AM

10:27:35AM

4 II

7

5 10:27:37AM

10:27:42AM 6 II

10:27:45AM

8 10:27:46AM

10:27:50AM

10:27:54AM 10

11 II 10:27:57AM

12 10:28:01AM

13 II 10:28:03AM

10:28:04AM **14**

10:28:06AM 15

10:28:10AM 17

10:28:11AM 18

10:28:14AM 19

10:28:21AM **20**

10:28:23AM 21

10:28:25AM **22**

10:28:32AM 23

10:28:36AM **24**

10:28:38AM 25

day early over that two-week period, and we were moving very well in accordance of the assessment team that was onboard to evaluate us. Most ships, and this is from the assessor's point of view that I got, don't always finish that on time. have to, you know, continue, you know, doing these evolutions and drills, you know, later underways which kind of prolong their training time.

My ship was able to do that a day early. I try to move forward and ask for to finish our certification altogether, we didn't get approval to do that due to the inspection team's shore leadership management, but that's okay. My ship celebrated that victory for getting through our engineering drills and certifications that we were required to complete in that window.

- And were you in command of the ship throughout this Q. 10:28:09AM 16 training exercise?
 - Α. Yes, sir, I was.
 - Q. And were you in command of the ship -- or strike that.

The completion of the training exercise successfully was all under your command, correct?

- Α. That is correct.
- Has the training schedule of your ship continued on the Q. same schedule as was in place prior to this Court entering its preliminary injunction?
- Α. Yes, sir, for the most part. You know, if things do

10:28:42AM 10:28:45AM 2 | 10:28:47AM 4 10:28:51AM 5 10:28:55AM 10:28:59AM 6 II 10:29:01AM 8 **II** 10:29:05AM 10:29:06AM 10:29:09AM 10 10:29:12AM **11** 12 10:29:15AM 13 | 10:29:19AM 10:29:23AM 10:29:27AM 15 16 10:29:35AM 17 18 10:29:39AM 10:29:42AM 19 10:29:49AM **20** 10:29:51AM 21 10:29:53AM 22 10:29:56AM 23 10:30:00AM 24 10:30:04AM 25

change by, you know, training teams or schedule changes or whatnot, you know, as long as we do it within our windows, we are fine. And I say that under the auspices of, you know, last time I testified in our January underway, our schedule was impacted slightly by the weather and so we had to pull into port and adjust our schedule for what training and certifications we did, but we still completed them within our allotted time.

- Q. Has any aspect of your ship's training qualifications schedule been impacted by your vaccine status?
- A. No, sir, it has not.
- **Q**. So is the work that you're doing for these training qualifications, is it different from if your -- for example, your ship was tasked with a combat mission?
- A. Yes. So while we're in the basic phase training cycle, it's kind of divided in half. You have Tier 1 certifications, which are the non-warfare specific ones as previously mentioned, and you have Tier 2 certifications, which go into warfare specific areas, things like air warfare, surface warfare, anti-submarine warfare, electronic warfare, so on and so forth.

As a result of the USS Fitzgerald and John S. McCain collisions back in 2017, the surface fleet and/or the Navy adjusted the training cycle to make sure that, hey, we will not task ships with missions unless they have met their basic

10:30:09AM

10:30:12AM

2

4

5

6

10:30:14AM

10:30:17AM

10:30:18AM

10:30:21AM

10:30:25AM

10:30:28AM

10:30:31AM

10:30:34AM 10

11 10:30:38AM

12 10:30:41AM

10:30:43AM 13

14 10:30:47AM

10:30:47AM 15

16

10:30:55AM 17

10:30:58AM 19

10:31:00AM 20

10:31:05AM 21

10:31:09AM 22

10:31:12AM 23

10:31:16AM 24

program certifications, and those are those Tier 1 certifications that I mentioned earlier, the seamanship, navigation, damage control, engineering, aviation, and communications.

My ship is still moving through those between now and the end of April, so I would not be tasked to do any missions until after we have met those minimum training requirements to proceed forward. We have to get through our training certifications right now to be able to do that. We don't take ships that are, you know, in a shipyard or don't have the proficiency or haven't been trained right to go out and do missions that they're not properly certified to do. And my ship is in that window right now while we're doing those things as we speak.

- And is your ship on schedule to complete its necessary Q. training qualifications, that is, the schedule established by the Navy prior to the Court entering its preliminary 10:30:58AM 18 injunction?
 - Α. Yes, sir, we are.
 - Now, when you go underway, or go out to sea on your ship, Q. do you -- are there certain COVID protocols that impact, you know, what you have to do, for example, before you leave for a trip?
 - Not necessarily before I leave anymore. And the COVID Α. policy seems to be changing, you know, every month in terms of

10:31:23AM 2 10:31:25AM 3 10:31:28AM 4 10:31:33AM 5 10:31:37AM 10:31:41AM 10:31:45AM 10:31:48AM 10:31:50AM 10:31:54AM 10 11 10:32:02AM 12 10:32:05AM 10:32:09AM 13 14 10:32:12AM 10:32:18AM 15 10:32:21AM 16 10:32:23AM 17 10:32:25AM 18 10:32:26AM 19 10:32:27AM 20 10:32:28AM 21 10:32:30AM 22 10:32:32AM 23 10:32:35AM 24

10:32:37AM 25

how we adapt and overcome.

I will tell you, you know, last -- or excuse me, in January, the standard operational guidance for COVID policy was released by the Navy, and it established conditions, for example, for mask wear underway, in which, for the first ten days underway, everyone has to wear masks in the conduct of our duties as long as it doesn't impact the operations, and there are some exceptions out there that I can make for, you know, during flight operations, for example. They make exceptions that, you know, if 75 percent of the eligible population that has been boosted, has their booster shot, then the crew can relax mask and you won't have to wear your mask underway.

That is an example of, you know, COVID protocols that we still have in place. And most recently, last week, I think, the indoor mask policy changed based on community level of transmission.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. It changed based on?

THE WITNESS: (No oral response.)

THE COURT: I didn't hear what you said --

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: -- because your voice was a little soft.

Based on what?

THE WITNESS: Community level of transmission.

THE COURT: Got it. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: So there's a website on the CDC that

10:32:40AM

10:32:44AM

2

3

4

5

10:32:46AM

10:32:48AM

10:32:51AM

10:32:55AM

10:32:59AM

10:33:01AM

10:33:06AM

10:33:09AM 10

11 10:33:15AM

12

10:33:23AM 13

14 10:33:25AM

10:33:26AM 15

10:33:31AM 16

10:33:38AM 17

18 10:33:45AM

10:33:50AM 19

10:33:54AM **20**

10:33:58AM 21

10:34:01AM 22

10:34:02AM 23

10:34:02AM **24**

10:34:04AM **25**

1 lists certain areas by county and gives their level of transmission, low, medium, or high.

THE COURT: Yes.

THE WITNESS: And the DoD policy is for -- if you're in areas of a low or medium level of transmission, indoor masks are not required at indoor facilities. If it is high, then you are required to wear that indoors. So right now, certainly in Norfolk, indoor mask policy for my ship is relaxed because Norfolk's level of transmission is low.

- (By Mr. Gannam) Have any COVID-related policies specific to you because of your vaccination status impacted the ability of your ship to complete its training qualifications or any other tasks assigned to it?
- No. sir. Α.
- I'm going to refer again to the motion filed by the defendants, specifically the attached declarations. So at Document 118-4, which is the Admiral Gilday declaration. 0npage 5, at the bottom, in paragraph 8, it reads, "The effectiveness of mitigation measures is extremely limited on ships where Sailors must live, work, eat, and sleep in close proximity to other Sailors."

Had you seen that statement?

- Yes, sir. Α.
- Do you agree that's generally true? Q.
- Yes, sir. Α.

10:34:05AM

10:34:10AM

2 |

4

8

10:34:14AM

10:34:16AM

10:34:16AM 5

10:34:20AM

10:34:23AM

10:34:24AM

10:34:25AM 9

10:34:27AM 10

10:34:31AM **11**

10:34:35AM 12

10:34:38AM 13

10:34:42AM **14**

10:34:42AM 15

10:34:45AM 16

10:34:49AM 17

10:34:54AM 18

10:34:57AM 19

10:35:01AM 20

10:35:08AM 21

10:35:11AM 22

10:35:15AM 23

10:35:19AM 24

40.05.00AM 25

- Q. When you are underway for a training qualification mission, do sailors have to live, work, eat, and sleep in close proximity to other sailors?
- A. Yes, they do.
- Q. Is that close proximity any different when you're doing a training qualification as opposed to when you're underway for a combat mission?
- A. No, sir, it does not.
- Q. The second sentence of that paragraph reads, "Ships typically have limited space to quarantine Sailors from the rest of the crew, if such facilities exist at all."

You already testified that you have a specific berthing area that you've established for quarantining anyone who tests positive, correct?

A. That is correct. So all ships are supposed to have what's called a quarantine or isolation instruction. And this actually predated COVID, but it's obviously adapted for COVID, such that if we do have somebody who develops symptoms underway and gets tested and it comes up positive that we would put them in isolation. The SOG 5.0, the standard operating guidance for COVID that was released in January, even allows ships to execute that ROM isolation period afloat, which is good, it makes us more adaptable. We're moving with the policy, and we can do that, we can execute that with our isolation protocols that we have onboard our Navy vessels.

10:35:25AM 10:35:30AM 10:35:34AM 4 10:35:39AM 5 10:35:40AM 10:35:43AM 10:35:50AM 10:35:54AM 10:35:58AM 10:36:03AM 10 11 10:36:04AM 12 10:36:07AM 10:36:10AM 13 10:36:14AM 10:36:18AM 15 16 10:36:21AM 10:36:23AM 17 18 10:36:26AM

10:36:27AM 19

10:36:34AM 20

10:36:37AM 21

10:36:41AM 22

10:36:45AM 23

10:36:48AM 24

10:36:52AM **25**

Q. And is there any -- is the limited space available to quarantine sailors on your ship any more limited when you are doing a training qualification exercise as opposed to being on a combat mission?

A. The ability to isolate people I don't think is more limited due to training. We are able to execute that now, we did execute it last month when I had a three-week scheduled underway period, had to pull in for the weather and then get back underway again. I had folks that did test positive during that period coming back from the holidays, you know, that were delayed. We were able to ROM COVID-positive cases onboard the ship with permission of my commodore, with the permission that is laid out in that SOG guidance. We had about eight males and two females in those isolation areas and were able to execute this under Navy guidance effectively.

So to answer your question, does it, you know, change right now? No, we're still able to execute that even while we're doing our training missions.

Q. I'm going to refer now to Document 118-6, which is Admiral Dwyer's declaration attached to the stay motion. On page 3 of that document, in paragraph 6, it reads, "The environment in which the Navy -- in which Navy personnel operate at sea - in close quarters for extended periods of time in spaces without the availability of exterior ventilation (for example, inside surface ships, submarines and aircraft) - renders our Sailors

10:36:57AM

10:37:02AM

2 |

3

4

6 II

10:37:02AM

10:37:04AM

5 10:37:05AM

10:37:11AM

10:37:14AM

10:37:17AM

10:37:20AM

10:37:23AM 10

11 10:37:28AM

12 10:37:31AM

10:37:33AM **13**

14 10:37:39AM

10:37:44AM 16

10:37:45AM 17

10:37:48AM 18

10:37:52AM 19

10:37:54AM 20

10:38:03AM 21

10:38:08AM 22

10:38:09AM 23

10:38:13AM **24**

10:38:16AM **25**

susceptible to contagious respiratory diseases such as COVID-19."

Have you seen that statement before?

- I believe I did read that. Α.
- And do you generally agree with that statement? Q.
- I mean, I think on a warship, yes, we are confined with all those limitations. I would say that also it doesn't matter whether we're doing a training certification or, you know, underway deployment either.
- So are the quarters any closer on an underway deployment Q. as compared to doing training qualifications?
- Α. No, sir. It's the same.
- When the government said on February 28th that your Q. destroyer was indefinitely sidelined, do you believe that's an 10:37:43AM 15 | accurate statement?
 - No, sir, I do not. Α.
 - And when the government said on February 28th that your Q. destroyer was effectively out of commission, do you believe that's an accurate statement?
 - No, sir, I do not. Α.
 - Several -- there have been several allusions in the Q. various declarations and the motion to the concept of good order and discipline. Can you sort of explain for the Court what is good order and discipline and why is that important in the Navv?

10:38:16AM 10:38:20AM 2 | 10:38:23AM 4 10:38:27AM 5 10:38:31AM 6 10:38:34AM 7 10:38:38AM 8 10:38:39AM 10:38:42AM 10 10:38:46AM 11 10:38:49AM 12 10:38:54AM 10:38:58AM 13 14 10:39:03AM 15 16 10:39:10AM 10:39:13AM 17 18 10:39:16AM 10:39:19AM 19 20 10:39:22AM 21 10:39:26AM 10:39:29AM 22 10:39:32AM 23 10:39:34AM **24**

10:39:35AM 25

A. So good order and discipline is, you know, our requirement under Navy regulations that, you know, I am to maintain both as a commanding officer and as a service to ensure the proper operations for what we do. It does talk about, you know, following orders and the importance of that. It also talks about the moral integrity that we're supposed to establish in the profession of arms.

It is a good thing to have good order and discipline, and I agree with that. The problem that I have with, you know, throwing out good order and discipline, even in this case, is it becomes a catchall for anything where -- goes against, you know, an established or initial policy.

We are historically repeating bad patterns in our history for discriminatory acts. For example, if you go back into history and look at the integration of people of color into the military service, and whether they were segregated or integrated, the push of not doing that was because it was contrary to good order and discipline.

It's the same thing that was repeated when, you know, gender was an issue and trying to integrate women with men in military service, whether your troops are on the ground or on the ship or submarine or whatever the case may be, you don't want to do this because it's contrary to good order and discipline.

The same thing was repeated again in like the '80s and

10:39:39AM 10:39:43AM 2 10:39:45AM 4 10:39:50AM 5 10:39:52AM 6 10:39:56AM 10:40:00AM 10:40:04AM 10:40:07AM 10:40:11AM 10 11 10:40:17AM 12 10:40:20AM 10:40:23AM 13 10:40:26AM 10:40:30AM 15 10:40:33AM 16 10:40:37AM 17 18 10:40:44AM 10:40:49AM 19 10:40:54AM 20 10:40:59AM 21 10:41:03AM 22 10:41:06AM 23 10:41:10AM 24 10:41:14AM 25

'90s when sexual orientation of the people in the military became an issue and they the established policies like "don't ask, don't tell." If you do, your sexual orientation now is going to be contrary to good order and discipline because we can't have folks serving together with contrary points of view on that. Even most recently, today, in the past five years, the topic of, you know, transgender or your gender identity is a topic of, you know, hey, how are we going to implement this or execute this to not affect good order and discipline?

The Navy has undergone, and I assume the military at large, this, you know, idea of, how do we get better at diversity and inclusion, and we do training on, like, things that you're not supposed to discriminate against. You're not supposed to discriminate against race, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, so on and so forth, but one of those is also religion. It's founded in our Constitution.

I'm here today because the military is not executing this policy while respecting the constitutional freedoms laid out in the First Amendment or RFRA. I should not be the one standing here to say that today; generals and admirals, the executives in our service, should be here to say that to the politics, to the bureaucracy, to their decision-making. It should also not be my junior sailors or the hundreds of thousands of military servicemen out there to say, "Hey, I have a religious objection to this. Why is no one not speaking out that we can do this

10:41:17AM 2 10:41:22AM 3 10:41:24AM 4 10:41:28AM 5 10:41:31AM 6 10:41:35AM 10:41:39AM 10:41:40AM 10:41:44AM 9 10:41:47AM 10 11 10:41:51AM 10:41:55AM 12 13 10:41:59AM 10:42:04AM 10:42:08AM 15 16 10:42:14AM 17 18 10:42:18AM 10:42:23AM 19 10:42:27AM **20** 10:42:31AM 21 10:42:35AM 22 10:42:37AM 23

10:42:41AM **24**

and still do the job, the mission?" That's for me to do when my superiors will not.

I understand that I took an oath to the Constitution, that is what my oath is, and it's different than the enlisted oath, which is to follow orders. Every general on flag takes the same oath as me, to uphold the Constitution, to bear true faith and allegiance to the Constitution and the country whose course it directs. That requires that I know the Constitution.

Our religious freedoms are being attacked. And when I read the declaration that talks about, you know, there are no less restrictive means other than vaccination, and they use examples in there such as, you know, the port entry requirements such as the pre-ROM deployment sequester. Those are less restrictive means in and of themselves.

Your Honor, I did that last year when we did a deployment. My ship, regardless of vaccination status, is that was a policy, and we did a pre-deployment ROM. We all, the day after Christmas, had to report to a hotel and test in prior to going there. And when we tested out, we were allowed to go back in a bubble transport back to the ship deemed clean. And that policy has shifted obviously over the past year and a half, and it's, you know, somewhere in the degree of vaccinated personnel do not have to execute that ROM sequester. At one period of time unvaccinated did. If the ability for me to go on deployment is dependent upon whether or not I have to do a

10:42:48AM 10:42:52AM 3 10:42:57AM 4 10:42:58AM 5 10:43:01AM 10:43:04AM 10:43:08AM 10:43:14AM 10:43:17AM 10:43:19AM 10 11 10:43:22AM 12 10:43:24AM 10:43:28AM 13 10:43:32AM 15 16 10:43:41AM 17 10:43:44AM 18 10:43:47AM 19 10:43:50AM 20 10:43:52AM 21 10:43:56AM 22

10:43:59AM 23

10:44:02AM **24**

10:44:06AM 25

pre-deployment ROM sequester that is a less restrictive means than me getting a vaccine that I have a religious objection to, then I would execute that.

The port of entry requirements, it's also laid out there, that says my vaccination is going to affect that is confusing to me, and I don't understand how they're saying that. Other nations cannot tell our ship what to do as a sovereign-immune vessel in terms of the interworkings of our ship. They can't tell what our sailors can do onboard the ship or what we have to do. They don't review or medical records; we don't give that stuff up.

They can put restrictions on if people go out in town on liberty or official business, that is true. But if I'm an unvaccinated sailor and the choice is, hey, when you pull into a port, if you're unvaccinated, you can't go out in town because that's what the host country requirements are, right when I step off that vessel, okay, I can stay on the ship. That is my home, that's where I live, that is a less restrictive means, and I would do that. We have done that over the past two years.

I did a seven-month deployment in 2020 during the COVID pandemic, we were not allowed to pull in anywhere. I did another deployment in 2021 for 60 days, we pulled in seven places -- around seven places in the Caribbean and we were not allowed to leave the pier, and this was regardless of

10:44:09AM 10:44:12AM 10:44:16AM 4 10:44:20AM 5 10:44:24AM 6 10:44:25AM 7 10:44:29AM 10:44:32AM 10:44:37AM 10:44:40AM 10 11 10:44:48AM 12 10:44:53AM 10:44:57AM 13 10:44:59AM 10:45:03AM 15 10:45:07AM 16 10:45:11AM 17 18 10:45:17AM 10:45:21AM **19** 10:45:25AM **20** 10:45:29AM 21 10:45:31AM 22 10:45:34AM 23 10:45:38AM **24** 10:45:44AM 25

vaccination status. The one time we were able to go on the pier was in Guantanamo, and that's a U.S. base so we could do that. The point is that is a less restrictive means to taking the vaccine if you have a religious objection to it. We have demonstrated resiliency and adaptability during the COVID pandemic to execute our mission, and we can do that.

The Navy has policies right now in place where we set accommodations in place just by policy. The standard operating guidance that was just released in January did that when it talked about the mask wear guidance underway. So if 25 percent of the eligible population is not boosted, 75 percent are, like that's an accommodation. The whole ship can now relax mask if 75 percent of the boosted population has met that requirement, and it's not based on your medical or religious exemptions for that other 25 percent, that's an accommodation.

The recent indoor mask guidance, that's an accommodation. The fact that I can isolate people who are COVID-positive regardless of their vaccination status underway and still execute my mission is an accommodation. It isn't based on religious objection, it's based on the fact that you are COVID-positive. And for me to be able to execute my mission, if you are only isolated for five days and I'm out at sea for longer than that, I can still do that with minimum impact. That's a good thing. But I accommodated vaccinated sailors in that process as well, and I did. Every person that I ROM'd on

10:45:49AM 1
10:45:50AM 2
10:45:54AM 3
10:45:55AM 4
10:45:58AM 5
10:46:01AM 6
10:46:05AM 7

10:46:10AM 9

10:46:08AM

10:46:18AM 11
10:46:21AM 12

10:46:24AM 13

10:46:28AM

10:46:31AM **15**

10:46:37AM 16

10:46:41AM **17**

10:46:43AM 18

10:46:49AM 19

10:46:52AM **20**

10:46:55AM **21**

10:46:59AM 22

10:47:02AM 23

10:47:05AM 24

10:47:09AM 2

onboard my ship underway in January, it was about ten sailors, they were all vaccinated sailors that were COVID-positive.

That's okay.

There are other examples out there where we make accommodations. I mean the flu shot, I think I testified last month, is another example of that. The flu shot policy that we release every October-November time frame says, hey, everyone is supposed to go do that, get evaluated by your medical provider. The minimum requirement is 90 percent. What is that 10 percent delta based off of? I don't know that it's based off of religion or anything else, it's by policy.

So if I have people that don't get the flu shot but the rest of my ship is 90 percent or higher, we move forward. We don't go after to separate them, we don't kick them off the ship. Whether the people come and go or my flu shot inventory expires and I have people that come and go, as long as I'm above 90 percent, there is no question. That's okay.

The same should be true with the COVID policy. And we are going out after people and separating people and removing them from their job and making blanket policy statements to say they can't execute their mission without respect to their religious objections, which is required by law and enshrined in our Constitution, and that is wrong.

Q. Let me ask you this, Commander. Has your vaccination status in any way undermined the good order and discipline on

10:47:12AM

10:47:13AM

2 |

3 **II**

4

5

6 II

7

10:47:17AM

10:47:19AM

10:47:22AM

10:47:27AM

10:47:30AM

10:47:32AM 8

10:47:35AM

10:47:37AM 10

10:47:40AM 11

10:47:43AM 12

10:47:46AM 13

10:47:49AM **14**

10:47:52AM 15

10:47:53AM 16

10:47:56AM **17**

10:47:59AM 18

10:48:01AM 19

10:48:05AM 20

10:48:09AM 21

10:48:13AM 22

10:48:17AM 23

10:48:19AM **24**

10:48:21AM **25**

your destroyer?

A. I do not think so. I would say no.

Q. And how do you know?

objectives, we are doing that.

A. My ship is performing everything that they need to do.

They are doing extremely well. As we go through our basic phase training cycle, in terms of meeting our mission

Just this week, I talked about engineering when we were underway, we're finishing out our damage control certifications. I was there for the first two days, we were -- it's a five-day event, we were on track to finish it on Wednesday. My ship didn't need me there on Wednesday to execute that, and they did, and they completed it, so now we are damage control certified as we move out of the basic phase, and that's a good thing.

The things that my ship are supposed to be doing, they are doing well. I'm not saying we're perfect, because there are obviously personnel, equipment issues, maintenance issues, and training things that every ship does differently, but in terms of meeting our mission objectives, we are doing well.

- **Q**. And in your last training exercise that you just returned from, were there any superior officers along the way with you who gave you feedback about that particular mission?
- A. Yes, there was.
- Q. And who was that?

10:48:23AM 10:48:28AM 2 10:48:32AM 4 10:48:36AM 5 10:48:41AM 6 10:48:42AM 7 10:48:45AM 10:48:47AM 10:48:52AM 10:48:56AM 10 11 10:49:00AM 12 10:49:04AM 10:49:04AM 13 10:49:07AM 10:49:11AM 15 16 10:49:17AM **17** 18 10:49:20AM 10:49:24AM 19 10:49:27AM **20** 10:49:31AM 21 10:49:35AM 22 10:49:38AM 23 10:49:41AM 24

10:49:45AM 25

A. So my deputy commodore was sent to observe our two-week underway period. He and another member of his staff, who is an engineering readiness assessor, which is the purpose of our underway, was there, and the deputy commodore was there, purportedly, to observe me and make sure that the ship was doing all right.

A welcoming to the crew, he observed our training, he observed our evolutions, talked to me, gave me daily updates, provided recommendations on how we can do better, which I welcome. I always want to know how we can be better. And as a post commanding guy, he has a good perspective how we can do that. That's a part of his job.

So after the end of those two weeks, he sat down with me and my executive officer the night before we pulled in and gave us the download for his observations, and he did say we had a very successful underway. He was very pleased that the ship did more than just the engineering assessments that we were required to do. We obviously did other things along the way; we did electronic warfare training, combat systems training. We had a very successful refueling at sea, which is a high risk evolution. It was our second one of the year.

And so the focus of, hey, you're not solely focused on engineering, but the rest of the ship is still doing stuff in operations, which you need to do to train to go into battle and/or deploy, the ship was doing that and he was pleased with

10:49:47AM

10:49:48AM

10:49:50AM

10:49:54AM

10:49:56AM

10:50:00AM

10:50:04AM

10:50:09AM

10:50:15AM

10:50:21AM 10

10:50:27AM **11**

10:50:31AM 12

10:50:35AM 13

10:50:37AM 14

10:50:41AM 15

10:50:44AM **16**

10:50:47AM 17

10:50:47AM 18

10:50:55AM 19

10:50:57AM **20**

10:51:02AM 21

10:51:05AM 22

10:51:09AM 23

10:51:14AM **24**

10:51:18AM **25**

that.

1 II

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

So in addition to some other recommendations for improvement, at the end of that brief, to me and XO, he said, "I am going to go back and report that your ship is safe and ready to execute the basic phase. There was nothing that happened where I needed to intervene or had concerns on your ability to command."

- Q. Let me ask you about your appearance here today. How did you obtain permission this time to come down and testify?
- A. Yes, sir. So I got back from our underway period on late Friday afternoon, didn't get home until Friday night, was informed by the legal team that -- of the subpoena desiring my presence here.
- I -- first thing Monday morning coming into work, I let my chain of command know, "Hey, these are my intentions." Based on the discussions with my JAG or whatnot, he said, "Hey, because you have a subpoena, this might be permissive TAD." I let my supervisor know that I intend to do permissive TAD.
- Q. What is permissive TAD? What does that mean?
- A. So instead of taking leave where I use my entitled leave days, it would be, you know, effectively like a no-cost orders to come down and execute this legal matter.

I provided a travel risk assessment and a recommended ROM for my return. I let my supervisor know, based on all the change in guidance, this COVID risk assessment or travel risk

10:51:23AM 10:51:28AM 2 10:51:31AM 4 10:51:34AM 5 10:51:40AM 6 10:51:40AM 10:51:45AM 10:51:49AM 10:51:54AM 10:51:58AM 10 11 10:52:03AM 12 10:52:06AM 10:52:09AM 13 14 10:52:13AM 10:52:16AM **15** 16 17 10:52:25AM 18 10:52:30AM 10:52:35AM 19 10:52:37AM **20**

10:52:40AM 21

10:52:43AM **22**

10:52:46AM 23

10:52:51AM **24**

assessment is not a requirement, it is discretionary at the commander. I presume, obviously, from last month, that he wanted to see that, so I gave it. I recommended a three-day ROM on my return based on the conditions, and then sent that off.

Over the course of those two days, I got a lot of questions or pushback on, you know, why permissive leave? Is this required to be funded? Why are you taking leave? Your leave is starting -- or your absence from the ship is starting to impact readiness. Why are you subpoenaed? When I sent the subpoena, it was, your lawyer sent the subpoena, not the judge. I don't know if there's a difference to that, I don't know why. There was a lot of push back and forth to be able to do that.

The frustrating part is, you know, after sending all that stuff off, to include my, you know, leave chit request, which is abnormal, all of our COs only email him, but he wanted to see that, what exact location I was staying, the exact fly times. So probably, like, after 15 emails of doing all this stuff, he finally said, "Leave is approved."

However, I'm still here today and I don't know what my ROM requirements will be upon my return. I will assume, in discussion with him, that if he hasn't decided that I will do the five-day ROM. By Navy policy, five days is only required if you test positive for COVID. I tested prior to executing my travel and it was negative, and I will test when I get back as

10:52:59AM

10:52:59AM

10:53:04AM

10:53:09AM

10:53:12AM

10:53:15AM

10:53:19AM

10:53:23AM

10:53:26AM 9

10:53:29AM

11 10:53:33AM

10:53:35AM 12

10:53:36AM 13

10:53:40AM 14

16

10:53:52AM 17

10:53:54AM 18

10:53:54AM 19

10:53:57AM 20

10:53:57AM 21

10:53:59AM 22

10:54:03AM 23

10:54:08AM **24**

10:54:12AM **25**

well.

2

4

5

- So your commander has not yet given you an answer on what Q. your ROM requirement will be when you return from this trip?
- Α. Correct. There is no final answer. He said it will be five days unless I -- after reviewing my recommendation, which he wants to pass along with the medical community to make sure it's in line, to go earlier, but he has not deemed the official five, three, or zero for ROM days.
- And is it also true, then, that you are unable to tell Q. your XO, for example, or other department heads when you'll be back because you're waiting on this decision from your commander?
- That's correct. Α.
- Argument was made a few minutes ago about what happened on Q. 10:53:43AM 15 your last trip, this dispute over when you let your XO know that you were traveling out of area. Do you recall that argument earlier today?
 - Α. Yes, sir, I do.
 - And you recall discussing that at the last hearing on this Q. matter?
 - T do. Α.
 - Let me ask you to just remind the Court, when did you Q. first communicate that you were traveling out of area, or taking leave out of area, to your crew?
 - To my crew or to my XO? Α.

10:54:15AM

1 Ⅱ

2

4

10:54:16AM

10:54:16AM

10:54:19AM

10:54:23AM **5**

10:54:26AM 6

10:54:32AM

10:54:36AM 8

10:54:36AM 9

10:54:38AM 10

10:54:41AM **11**

10:54:43AM 12

10:54:45AM 13

10:54:50AM **14**

10:54:52AM **15**

10:54:56AM **16**

10:54:59AM 17

10:55:02AM 18

10:55:05AM 19

10:55:09AM 20

10:55:13AM 21

10:55:16AM 22

10:55:19AM 23

10:55:25AM **24**

10:55:30AM 25

Q. To your crew.

A. Okay.

Q. Well, to your XO, if there's a difference.

A. Okay. So some of that confusion comes into play based on the preceding Thursday, where I had to report in to my supervisor because I did not get the vaccine when I went to Navy Medical Center Portsmouth, and I reported back to my commodore. It was also around the time that the injunction was placed.

I asked then that, you know, "Hey, it's been a very emotional, stressful time, I do need to take leave the following week. I would like, you know, a day or two to spend time with the family." He said, "Of course. You know, policy, send me an email, we'll work on it from there." And at that time I was going to take local leave the following week to spend time with my family. There's -- that was conveyed.

Over the weekend is when I, you know, had the correspondence with the legal team that said, "Hey, there's a hearing. Are you in port, and are you available to come?" I said, "I am in port, I could conceivably come. I need to figure this out with my family," which my wife was supportive of, and so we decided that, hey, let's do this.

So that Monday morning I made the preparations to, you know, come down here for that hearing, submitted my request in NSIPS. At the department head meeting that I had that

10:55:32AM 2 10:55:36AM 3 10:55:39AM 4 10:55:42AM 5 10:55:46AM 6 10:55:49AM 7 10:55:53AM 8 10:55:56AM 10:55:56AM 10:55:59AM 10 11 10:56:03AM 12 10:56:05AM 13 10:56:08AM 14 10:56:12AM 10:56:13AM 15 10:56:13AM 16 10:56:17AM 17 18 10:56:21AM 10:56:24AM 19 10:56:27AM **20** 10:56:31AM 21 10:56:34AM 22 10:56:37AM 23 10:56:41AM 24

10:56:42AM 25

afternoon, which is -- we normally do on Monday afternoon, we generally have an hour, hour and a half department head meeting. I had six department heads, and my executive officer and command master chief usually attend those. We talk about various things, each department provides updates, talk about the ship, what's coming up next, taskers that need to go out or whatnot.

So over that hour-, hour-and-a-half-long meeting, I did mention that, "Hey, for my leave this week, I will be out of area, I will not be available, get the CO leave message ready," and then I continued on with, you know, multiple other things. I cannot attest, because I did not specifically look at my executive officer or specifically tasked him, I just said it openly, passing in the group in that hour-and-a-half-long conversation.

It is true that I did not make it a point to talk about Tampa or the legal case. I did not want to, and frankly I think that's, you know, contrary to good order and discipline, because I don't want my subordinates to be privy to the personal legal cases I'm involved that would also detract from their mission, or what's my boss involved in, what's going to happen to him. So I did feel that was necessary to protect them from that, that does not mean that I didn't say I was going out of the area.

The next morning -- or the next day, you know, at some

10:56:46AM 10:56:50AM 2 3 10:56:55AM 4 10:56:58AM 5 10:57:02AM 10:57:04AM 10:57:07AM 10:57:12AM 10:57:12AM 10:57:14AM 10 11 10:57:17AM 12 10:57:20AM 13 10:57:22AM 14 10:57:27AM 10:57:31AM **15** 16 10:57:35AM 17 18 10:57:40AM 10:57:43AM 19 10:57:46AM 20 10:57:50AM 21 10:57:53AM 22 10:57:57AM 23 10:58:01AM 24

10:58:04AM 25

point the XO came in when we were having updates, and I pulled him in and shut the door and I told my XO, "Hey, when you go in and look at my leave chit, you're going to see that it's in Tampa, Florida. I am going to go handle legal matters. I don't want to share this with the rest of the crew, I don't want that to be a distraction, but you need to know that." He acknowledged. His declaration does say that he didn't know about out of area going to Tampa for the purpose of that case; that is true for Tuesday. Whether or not he heard me say out of area or not on Monday, I don't know the relevance of that. It's not misleading in my opinion.

But the point that I would make also to the Court and others is there is no negative feeling that I have towards my XO. I do not have a loss of trust with my XO. He's a very good naval officer and he should be the one to relieve me next when it comes time. We have a fleet-up policy where the XO relieves the CO when their time comes.

If you were to bring him in or make a declaration and/or make a statement to the Court, he would attest to the integrity or the character or the success of our ship, I have no doubt about that. I do think it was some undue influence on him or partial information given to him to make that declaration that doesn't have the full scope of the details, and I don't fault him for that. He is a good officer.

Q. You mentioned a CO's leave message. Can you explain what

10:58:09AM

10:58:11AM

2

4 II

10:58:16AM

10:58:20AM

10:58:24AM **5**

10:58:29AM

10:58:33AM

10:58:36AM

10:58:39AM

10:58:43AM 10

10:58:45AM 11

10:58:48AM 12

10:58:51AM **13**

10:58:54AM **1**4

10:58:58AM 15

10:59:03AM 16

10:59:03AM 17

10:59:04AM 18

10:59:08AM 19

10:59:12AM 20

10:59:15AM 21

10:59:16AM 22

10:59:20AM 23

10:59:24AM **24**

10:59:29AM **25**

that is?

- A. A CO's leave message is generally something that you send out the day before a CO goes on leave, it generally lets the chain of command and the other commands out there know that the CO is not going to be available generally due to, you know, being on leave out of area. If I were to go on leave and be local, I wouldn't need to send that message, because if something came up and I needed to cancel my leave, I would just drive into the ship. Obviously I can't do that when I am out of the area.
- **Q**. So would there be any reason to issue a CO's leave message if you were to be in the area and available?
- A. No, sir, there's no requirement to do that.
- Q. And you testified a moment ago that you directed that a CO's leave message be prepared at the Monday briefing with your department heads, correct?
- A. I did.
- Q. Was a CO's leave message prepared?
- A. There was. It was routed to me the next morning, maybe around lunchtime or so, I initialed it and it went out sometime after lunch that day.
- Q. And can you think of any reason why a CO's leave message would have been issued if you hadn't asked for one to be issued in that briefing?
- A. I think generally me or the XO would have that done. So

10:59:32AM 10:59:35AM 2 |

10:59:39AM

10:59:40AM

4

5

10:59:44AM

6 10:59:47AM

7 10:59:51AM

8 10:59:53AM

10:59:54AM 9

10:59:58AM 10

10:59:58AM 11

11:00:00AM 12

11:00:10AM 13

11:00:10AM **14**

11:01:11AM 15

11:01:16AM 17

11:01:17AM 18

11:01:22AM 19

11:01:30AM 21

11:01:33AM 22

11:01:36AM 23

11:01:42AM **24**

11:01:45AM 25

1 if it had not already been done, when I talked to my XO the next morning, he would have made sure, "Hey, are we tracking this message? It needs to go out today," so he's my back-up as the second in command. But, no, otherwise for them to be able to release that, they would have to know that I'm going out of area.

MR. GANNAM: No further questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.

Ms. Powell, have you cross-examination for this

witness?

MS. POWELL: I do.

THE COURT: You're recognized for that purpose.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. POWELL:

Commander, you testified the ship was underway in just the Q. 11:01:14AM 16 past few weeks, correct?

> Α. Yes.

Q. And when was that approximately? Do you recall the dates?

Α. I think it was the 22nd of February, and we returned this 11:01:25AM 20 past Friday on the 4th of March. I believe those are the dates. Roughly about 11 or 12 days.

> So that was the first underway period since the issuance Q. of the injunction in this case?

Yes, I think so. Α.

Sorry, I didn't mean to make that complicated. I think Q.

11:01:48AM

11:01:49AM

2

3

4

11:01:51AM

11:01:53AM

11:01:55AM **5**

11:01:59AM 6

11:02:02AM

11:02:05AM

11:02:08AM

11:02:12AM 10

11:02:15AM **11**

11:02:19AM 12

11:02:20AM 13

11:02:26AM 14

11:02:31AM 15

11:02:33AM **16**

11:02:37AM 17

11:02:40AM 18

11:02:43AM 19

11:02:46AM **20**

11:02:50AM 21

11:02:54AM 22

11:02:58AM 23

11:02:59AM **24**

11:03:03AM **25**

that's adequate in the record.

And the purpose of the underway was to complete the certification, correct?

- A. Not complete the certification, but there are certifications we get before the entire certification. So next week we'll actually close out our last portion of the engineering certifications. But we are doing a training in certification of Events 3 and 4, which have certain milestones, in those were certifications for evolutions -- engineering evolutions, certification for engineering drills, and certification for fighting and main space fire drill for the ship.
- Q. You have read two -- or have you read the two previous declarations that Captain Brandon submitted in this matter?
- A. The last time I read any of the declarations provided by him, I think was the court hearing last time. I vaguely remember the first one, I do not remember the second one.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall him discussing a loss of trust and confidence in you?
- A. If that was in the declaration, then yes.
- Q. Do you recall him stating that he was already mitigating the risk of having you in command of the ship at sea?
- A. I'd have to read that again.
- Q. By placing extra supervision onboard?
- A. Okay.

- 11:03:05AM
- 11:03:08AM

2 |

4

5

- 11:03:11AM
- 11:03:13AM
- 11:03:15AM
- 6 II 11:03:19AM
- 11:03:19AM
- 11:03:22AM
- 11:03:24AM 9
- 11:03:26AM 10
- 11:03:27AM 11
- 11:03:29AM 12
- 11:03:31AM 13
- 11:03:34AM **14**
- 11:03:37AM 15
- 11:03:42AM 16
- 11:03:50AM 18
- 11:03:54AM 19
- 11:03:57AM 20
- 11:03:58AM 21
- 11:04:00AM 22
- 11:04:04AM 23
- 11:04:08AM **24**
- 11:04:15AM 25

- Normally the Navy can trust commanders to command their open ships, right? They're expected to operate with a certain amount of independence?
- Α. Should, yes.
- But on your recent underway, Captain Aldridge was onboard, Q. correct?
- That is correct. He was the deputy commodore that I was Α. referring to earlier that did come underway with us, yes.
- Q. He is senior in rank to you?
- Yes, ma'am. Α.
- And senior in position as well? Q.
- Α. Yes, ma'am.
- And he was on the ship for the entire underway period? Q.
- That is correct. Α.
- You previously mentioned that -- or I'm sorry. You as the commanding officer need to stay current on Navy policy and 11:03:46AM 17 | regulations in general, correct?
 - To stay current on policy? I mean, yes, every time a Α. policy comes out, you know, we're supposed to read it and make sure we understand it, yes.
 - Q. Sure.
 - So you know what the Navy regulations are?
 - As a general statement, yes. Α.
 - Are you familiar with the concept of a senior officer Q. present? Is that a phrase you have heard before?

- 11:04:17AM
- 11:04:22AM

2

3 |

- 11:04:22AM
- 11:04:23AM 4
- 11:04:25AM 5
- 11:04:26AM 6
- 11:04:27AM
- 11:04:30AM 8
- 11:04:37AM
- 11:04:42AM 10
- 11:04:45AM **11**
- 11:04:47AM 12
- 11:04:52AM 13
- 11:04:56AM **1**4
- 11:04:59AM 15
- 11:05:02AM **16**
- 11:05:05AM 17
- 11:05:08AM 18
- 11:05:12AM 19
- 11:05:12AM 20
- 11:05:17AM 21
- 11:05:17AM 22
- 11:05:23AM 23
- 11:05:24AM **24**
- 11:05:26AM **25**

- A. Senior officer present? I think so. Like SOPA, Senior Officer Present Afloat.
- Q. Yes.
- A. Is that what you're referring to?
- Q. Yes.
- A. Okay.
- Q. And what does that mean in your words?
- A. So, for example, my commodore is the commander of our destroyer squadron, and we have four ships out of Norfolk based in that squadron, two other ships in Florida that are under his operational control, if not administrative.

So if he, for example, were to embark on our ship, we would have a pennant for him as a Senior Officer Present Afloat when we're pulled into port on the pier. Right? The senior, you know, ship on that pier would deem the pier responsibilities, watch-standing requirements, et cetera. And if you're underway and embarked with other ships, the commanding control would generally go with the senior officer present.

- **Q**. Okay. So they have responsibilities as senior officer present?
- A. So if -- I don't want to misconstrue that. If they are in command, yes.
- Q. And if they're not in command?
- A. So you can have riders that are on your ship that are

11:05:29AM

11:05:32AM

2

3

4

5

6 II

7 II

8

11:05:32AM

11:05:35AM

11:05:41AM

11:05:47AM

11:05:47AM

11:05:48AM

11:05:51AM

11:05:54AM 10

11 11:05:57AM

12 11:05:59AM

11:06:04AM 13

11:06:04AM 14

11:06:07AM 16

11:06:08AM 17

11:06:11AM 18

11:06:13AM 19

11:06:16AM 20

11:06:19AM 21

11:06:22AM **22**

11:06:24AM 23

11:06:24AM **24**

11:06:24AM **25**

senior officers to you but they're not in command.

Q. Understood.

In that situation, are you aware that the senior officer is required to assume command if in his or her judgment the exercise of authority is otherwise necessary?

- Α. I think so.
- Q. Okay.
- So I will tell you, when I was told that the deputy was Α. coming to get underway, it was not disclosed to me the In fact, I just got an email that said, "Please purposes. confirm that you know that the deputy commodore and my engineering senior chief petty officer are getting underway next week."

"Roger, sir. I understand they're coming to get underway 11:06:06AM 15 | with us."

> I have no problem with people coming to get underway. did ask the deputy, when he came aboard my ship that day, "Hey, is your purpose here to relieve me?" and he said, "No."

"What is your purpose here?" and he did say that he was here to observe me and make sure the ship was safe for I understand that my commodore wants to have that operations. backup.

- Understood. Q.
- Α. Yes.
- Q. So you would agree with the statement that in that

- 11:06:26AM
- 11:06:29AM

2 |

3

4 II

5

6 II

- 11:06:31AM
- 11:06:32AM
- 11:06:35AM
- 11:06:46AM
- 11:06:50AM
- 11:06:54AM
- 11:06:59AM
- 11:07:03AM 10
- 11:07:06AM **11**
- 11:07:12AM 12
- 11:07:15AM 13
- 11:07:17AM **14**
- 11:07:19AM **15**
- 11:07:21AM 16
- 11:07:25AM 17
- 11:07:25AM 18
- 11:07:29AM 19
- 11:07:30AM 20
- 11:07:33AM 21
- 11:07:35AM 22
- 11:07:37AM 23
- 11:07:41AM **24**
- 11:07:41AM 25

- position he could intervene if there was reason to do so in his judgment and it was necessary?
- A. Sure.
- Q. And you understood that was why he was there?
- A. Yes.
- Q. At your last hearing, you testified that you informed your XO and department heads at a meeting on Monday the 9th that you were leaving the area. And that is your testimony again today?
- A. In that meeting, that hour and a half meeting that we had, I did make that as a passing statement, that for my leave I'll be out of area and unavailable, get the CO leave message ready. I did not look at my XO and specifically task him. I did not have an individual conversation with my XO. It was general words I put out to him.
- Q. Well, is it -- well, I don't want you to speculate.
- You have read the declaration that your XO signed as well, correct?
 - A. Yes. I remember reading it the day of that it was brought in.
 - **Q**. Right. And he says he was not told you were leaving the area at that meeting?
 - A. If you could bring the declaration, I would rather read it with you so I make sure that I don't misunderstand.
 - Q. Sure.
 - MS. POWELL: I think I've got the redacted version

1 11:07:44AM 2 11:07:46AM 3 11:08:18AM 4 11:08:19AM 5 11:08:23AM 6 11:08:27AM 7 11:08:38AM 8 **II** 11:08:49AM 11:08:51AM 9 II 11:08:51AM 10 11:08:55AM 11 11:09:01AM 12 11:09:03AM 13 14 11:09:05AM 11:09:09AM 15 16 11:09:15AM 17 18 11:09:18AM 11:09:21AM 19 11:09:25AM **20** 11:09:28AM 21 11:09:31AM 22 11:09:35AM 23 11:09:39AM **24** 11:09:44AM 25

with me. Is that okay?

MR. STAVER: Sure.

MS. POWELL: May I approach?

THE COURT: You may.

MS. POWELL: Would you like a copy?

THE COURT: No, that's fine.

Q. (By Ms. Powell) Paragraph 3, the third sentence.

A. "Monday" -- do you want me to read that?

Q. Sure.

A. "Monday, February 7th, 2022, Plaintiff Navy Commander did not tell me he was going -- leaving the local area on leave."

Q. So at the very least, your XO does not recall the statement that you made at that meeting?

A. I don't know if he means that I did not look at him one on one and have a conversation, "Hey, XO, I'm going out of area on leave." As I previously stated, I said it in the meeting in the group. I don't know, if you were to ask him, "Hey, what else did your commanding officer say at that meeting?" if he would also be able to attest to all of those things. I don't know. But whether or not he heard specifically that I was going out of area on leave or not, I do not fault him for remembering everything, nor am I going to, you know, say that, you know, he is out of line. I said it to a group. This reads as if I had a conversation with him, and I did not have a conversation specifically with him. I said it to a group.

11:09:48AM

11:09:50AM

11:09:54AM

11:09:56AM

4

5

6

8

11:09:59AM

11:10:02AM

11:10:04AM

11:10:06AM

11:10:08AM

11:10:16AM 10

11:10:17AM **11**

11:10:20AM 12

11:10:22AM 13

11:10:26AM 1

11:10:31AM 15

11:10:33AM **16**

11:10:37AM 17

11:10:40AM 18

11:10:43AM 19

11:10:47AM 20

11:10:50AM 21

11:10:53AM 22

11:10:57AM 23

11:11:04AM 24

11:11:07AM 25

- Q. Well, it doesn't say a conversation specifically with him. It says he did not tell me he was leaving the local area on leave in a group or otherwise, correct?
- A. I agree that he did not tell me he was leaving the local area to be there.
- Q. Okay. And yet paragraph 4 goes on to say that he spoke with the other department heads about that meeting as well, correct?
- A. Paragraph 4. Okay. Are you asking me to read that, ma'am?
- Q. I'll read it. The second sentence begins -- well, no, I'll read all of it; how's that.

"I asked today" -- so the day this was signed. "I asked all the department heads who are other officers supervising personnel responsible for different functions on the ship when they became aware that Plaintiff Navy Commander was leaving the local area on leave. The combat systems officer became aware that Plaintiff was leaving the local area on midday Tuesday, February 8th, 2022, when Plaintiff Navy Commander asked him for a COVID mitigation worksheet. No other department heads were aware that Plaintiff was leaving the local area before midday on Tuesday."

Now, is that -- that suggests that he believes that none of the other department heads remembered this conversation you supposedly had with them.

1 11:11:09AM 11:11:11AM 2 3 11:11:16AM 4 11:11:20AM 5 11:11:23AM 6 11:11:29AM 7 11:11:32AM 11:11:33AM 11:11:37AM 11:11:39AM 10 11 11:11:43AM 12 11:11:45AM 13 11:11:48AM 14 11:11:51AM 11:11:53AM 15 16 11:11:56AM 11:12:06AM 17 18 11:12:08AM 11:12:10AM 19 11:12:10AM 20 11:12:13AM 21 11:12:14AM 22 | Q. 11:12:18AM 23 11:12:18AM **24**

MR. GANNAM: Your Honor, I object to the requirement for speculation. On its face, there's no possible way that the commander could know what happened in this conversation that apparently occurred the day that he was testifying. We further object to the admissibility of anything in paragraph 4 as hearsay or on top of hearsay.

We have no objection to the government asking the commander questions about this or if he agrees to any of these statements or knows about them, but we object to the admissibility as the truth of anything in paragraph 4.

MS. POWELL: Rules of evidence are somewhat relaxed at these preliminary proceedings. I certainly acknowledge this is hearsay, Your Honor, and I'm interested in what the commander's explanation is at this point.

THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead, Ms. Powell.

- Q. (By Ms. Powell) Does it change your testimony that apparently the other department heads also don't remember that conversation the way you do?
- A. No, it doesn't.
- Q. Do you think they're mistaken as well?
- A. I don't know.
- **Q**. Do you think your XO is lying about the conversation he had?
- A. I do not think my XO is a liar. I do not know if he heard it when I said it on Monday. The point of me talking to him

11:12:25AM 11:12:31AM 11:12:35AM 4 11:12:39AM 5 11:12:42AM 6 11:12:45AM 11:12:50AM 11:12:54AM 11:12:57AM 10 11:12:58AM 11 11:13:02AM 12 11:13:05AM 13 11:13:09AM 11:13:11AM 11:13:14AM 15 16 11:13:22AM 17 18 11:13:22AM 11:13:25AM 19 20 11:13:33AM 21 11:13:37AM 22 11:13:42AM 23 11:13:47AM 24

about Tampa is correct; it did not occur until Tuesday. I did not tell any of my department heads where I was going or what I was doing. It is my job to protect them from that and establish good order and discipline on my ship. I do not think it a good practice to share my personal legal matters to my ship that impact my ability to carry on my service or conduct my ability to command. That, in and of itself, is contrary to good order and discipline and it would be a distraction. All they needed to know was that I was going out of area.

The tasker to get a CO leave message did come out of that conversation. Whether or not they remember this or the other things that I said that day over the context or the course of all the meetings and stuff that we have, I don't know. You would have to call each one of them up here and state that. If there's a question as to my integrity, you would have to call them up here and say that, and I have no problem with that.

- Q. Commander, you previously expressed a concern that the declaration might have been the result of undue influence. Do you have any specific reason to believe that there was undue influence on your executive officer?
- A. I don't think it is appropriate for my case here to speak on the religious freedoms as associated with this case and the vaccine mandate, is appropriate to go under me to my subordinates and speak to my integrity or, you know, misleading of information, as it's saying here, specifically as in terms

11:13:53AM

11:13:57AM

2 |

4 II

11:13:57AM

11:13:59AM

5 11:14:02AM

6 11:14:06AM

11:14:09AM

11:14:11AM

11:14:15AM 9

11:14:15AM 10

11 **I** 11:14:20AM

12

11:14:28AM 13

14

11:14:35AM **15**

11:14:37AM **16**

11:14:41AM 17

11:14:44AM 18

11:14:45AM 19 A.

11:14:50AM 20 Q.

11:14:50AM 21

11:14:52AM **22**

11:14:53AM 23

11:15:04AM **24**

11:15:08AM 25

1 to when I was going out of leave and what they know or didn't know.

- Do you have any reason to think that someone asked him to Q. lie or mislead?
- I don't think my XO is lying.
- Or you speculated that there might have been undue influence. I'm just asking whether you have any -- anyone told you that was the case or if you have other specific evidence of it.
- I think the act of going to my XO to provide a declaration Α. on one particular subject matter that is in question and doesn't provide a recourse for what else you knew or the full context of that is -- in my opinion, that is undue command If you wanted him to provide a full statement on influence. everything that he knew, or my integrity, or character, or the good order and discipline on my ship, that's not provided here. It's one specific question that they went after.
- Correct. Q.
- I don't think he had the full context of this either.
- Okay.
- Does that answer your question, ma'am? llΑ.
 - Q. Yes, I think it does.

Prior to the last hearing, you did -- you testified that you did eventually submit the travel risk assessment that's required, correct?

- 11:15:09AM
- 11:15:12AM

4 II

- 3 11:15:12AM
- 11:15:16AM
- 5 11:15:21AM
- 11:15:23AM 6 II
- 11:15:27AM
- 8 11:15:28AM
- 11:15:30AM 9
- 11:15:33AM 10
- 11:15:36AM **11**
- 11:15:40AM 12
- 11:15:43AM 13
- 11:15:44AM **14**
- 11:15:46AM **15**
- 11:15:48AM **16**
- 11:15:52AM **17**
- 11:15:54AM 18
- 11:15:57AM 19
- 11:16:01AM 20
- 11:16:01AM 21
- 11:16:06AM 22
- 11:16:10AM 23

- Ma'am, are you referring to this week or the last one? Α.
- The last one. Q.
- The last one, yes. The commodore had called me, because Α. he saw the leave message. I can't remember if it was late on the ship and I was still there, you know, working through things, somewhere around 5 or 6 o'clock, he called and had that conversation, yes, ma'am.
- So it was submitted after he confronted you about it? Q.
- I submitted it after having the conversation, walking Α. through the worksheet with him on it, yes.
- This particular county you were traveling to was Q. considered a high risk COVID area at the time, correct?
- I believe so, but I don't know --Α.
- It is currently, correct? Q.
- Yes, ma'am. Α. Yes.
- And I think at the last hearing, and please correct me if Q. I'm wrong, I think you conceded that you probably should have done the risk mitigation plan sooner?
- Yes, I conceded that I probably should have said to him on Α. Monday --
- Q. Because it needed his approval?
- Yes. The COVID travel risk assessment in and of itself is Α. not directive. It's not required by Navy policy, it's at 11:16:14AM 24 commander's discretion. My point in speaking to the commodore, is like, "Yes, sir, I realize me being unvaccinated and high

11:16:21AM

11:16:24AM 2

11:16:27AM

11:16:30AM

4

11:16:36AM **5**

11:16:38AM 6

11:16:41AM

11:16:44AM 8

11:17:00AM

11:17:03AM 10

11:17:07AM 11

11:17:07AM 12

11:17:08AM 13

11:17:12AM 14

11:17:13AM 15

11:17:13AM **16**

11:17:17AM **17**

11:17:19AM 18

11:17:23AM 19

11:17:29AM **20**

11:17:32AM 21

11:17:36AM 22

11:17:40AM 23

11:17:44AM 24

11:17:48AM 25

risk, I should have provided to you more time to make that determination." To say that I didn't meet a requirement, I don't know if I agree with that or where that's written that that is required, unless my commodore said, "I want to see that so I can make a determination for your ROM." Yes.

- Q. The policy applicable to sailors aboard your ship requires it to be done prior to requesting leave, correct?
- A. If they are going out-of-area leave, yes, ma'am.
- **Q**. For this hearing, you submitted your leave request and travel mitigation plan ahead of time, correct, before taking leave?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- **Q**. And in that you proposed a three-day restriction of movement?
- A. I did.
- Q. Despite the fact this county is a high risk area and you're attending indoor gatherings?
- A. I did. In consult with my IDC, my independent duty corpsman, and the Navy policy for executing a ROM is not specific to the community level of transmission. It actually says the ROM is required if you are COVID-positive. One, I am not COVID-positive and I tested negative, and, two, the ROM is at the discretion of the commander based on all the facts. You can implement mitigations and not do a ROM, such as no ROM is required after the return of your travel, wear an N95 mask. If

11:17:52AM

11:17:56AM

11:17:56AM **3**

2 |

4

5

11:17:56AM

11:18:02AM

11:18:05AM 6

11:18:08AM

11:18:12AM

11:18:16AM

11:18:19AM 10

11:18:22AM **11**

11:18:23AM 12

11:18:26AM 13

11:18:31AM 14

11:18:34AM 15

11:18:38AM **16**

11:18:41AM **17**

11:18:43AM 18

11:18:46AM 19

11:18:49AM 20

11:18:54AM 21

11:18:58AM 22

11:19:02AM 23

11:19:05AM 24

11:19:10AM 25

you develop symptoms, get a test, et cetera. We decided three days --

Q. So --

- A. -- because -- if I can continue. My IDC, based on the CDC guidance that, you know, symptoms -- if you were to be exposed, symptoms generally develop within 48 to 72 hours following that. So if I did this travel, based on the interactions that I had with people, and I returned to Norfolk, after 48 to 72 hours, if you don't have any symptoms, that would be a sufficient ROM and you could come back and we could do the test and clear.
- **Q**. But the CDC guidance applicable to unvaccinated travelers specifically recommends a five-day quarantine, correct?
- A. I don't know if that's what the CDC says. I know what the CDC puts out before the Navy can execute, and the Navy has to evaluate that and apply it to the Navy based on, you know, operational guidance, ships, buildings, et cetera.
- **Q**. The guidance you provided to your own sailors provides for a five-day quarantine, does it not?
- A. That guidance was written in May of 2021, and the policy for COVID and the CDC has changed multiple times over since May of 2021. It was actually signed by my predecessor. I would say that it's somewhat out-of-date. But, yes, we did that five a-day ROM also based on medical guidelines then, and I can't remember what the five days was for, but that --

- 11:19:14AM
- 11:19:17AM

5

- 3 | 11:19:17AM
- 11:19:19AM
- 11:19:21AM
- 6 II 11:19:25AM
- 11:19:28AM
- 11:19:29AM
- 11:19:33AM 9
- 11:19:35AM 10
- 11:19:40AM **11**
- 11:19:40AM 12
- 11:19:44AM **14**
- 11:19:47AM 15
- 11:19:48AM 16
- 11:19:51AM 17
- 11:19:53AM 18
- 11:19:58AM 19
- 11:19:59AM **20**
- 11:20:04AM 21
- 11:20:09AM **22**
- 11:20:13AM 23
- 11:20:17AM 24

- Q. But it still does apply to the sailors under your command?
- It does. Α.
- And it is consistent with the current CDC guidance for Q. travel of unvaccinated persons, correct?
- Α. I can't attest to that. I'd have to read what the CDC says for travel of unvaccinated sailors.
- Q. But in any event, you thought you were entitled to special treatment that your crew was not?
- Why is it special treatment? Α. No.
- Because your crew would be required to undergo a five-day Q. quarantine?
- Α. No. I can change and establish that based on the travel 11:19:42AM 13 risk assessments that I got. Most of my crew doesn't get a ROM at all because most of the crew is vaccinated.
 - Q. Correct.

But if they were not, the current policy would provide for a five-day quarantine, would it not?

- It's at my discretion for their ROM. Α.
- Q. Okay.
- The whole travel risk assessment is based on Α. For my crew. commander's evaluation whether the travel is at risk or not. The only requirement is if I had someone who tested positive for COVID, they would be mandated a five-day ROM. I think the Navy policy also says that for foreign travel, so if somebody traveled overseas, whether it's for vacation or to go see

11:20:23AM

11:20:26AM

2 |

4 II

5 II

6 II

11:20:26AM

11:20:31AM

11:20:35AM

11:20:38AM

11:20:39AM

11:20:43AM

11:20:46AM

11:20:49AM 10

11:20:52AM **11**

12 11:20:52AM

11:20:57AM 13

16

11:21:10AM 17

11:21:13AM 18

11:21:13AM 19

11:21:15AM 20

11:21:19AM **21**

11:21:22AM 22

11:21:26AM 23

11:21:26AM **24**

family living somewhere, they would also be mandated a five-day ROM.

- You testified briefly, and I'm honestly not entirely sure Q. I understand the ins and outs here, that you had requested for temporary duty status for this hearing.
- Α. Mm-hmm.
- Q. If that were the case, you would not be taking leave, right? If that would were the case, you would be getting paid by the Navy to pursue your private lawsuit against the Navy?
- That's a negative. No, ma'am. Α.
- Q. Why?
- Because the joint travel regulations do allow permissive Α. TAD. There are provisions for funded government travel and there are provisions that say that this is not government 11:21:03AM 15 | funded travel. At no point -- and the commodore asked me that multiple times if I was asking for funded travel. clearly said, at least three times, I am not asking for funded travel.
 - Q. You are asking to not to take leave.
 - Because there are provisions that allow you to do Α. Yes. something under the obligation of duties that are allowed by Navy policy or DoD policy that you don't have to take leave for.
 - And if you were not taking leave, you'd be receiving your Q. regular salary for pursuing your private lawsuit against the

11:21:32AM

11:21:32AM

11:21:35AM

4 II 11:21:39AM

5 11:21:42AM

11:21:45AM 6

11:21:49AM

11:21:54AM

11:21:57AM

11:22:01AM 10

11 11:22:05AM

12 11:22:09AM

11:22:11AM 13

11:22:14AM **14**

11:22:15AM **15**

11:22:15AM **16**

11:22:16AM **17**

11:22:19AM 18

11:22:26AM **20**

11:22:28AM 21

11:22:30AM **22**

11:22:34AM 23

11:22:37AM **24**

11:22:39AM **25**

Navy.

2 |

- And in conversation with my JAG, you can do Α. permissive TAD when you are a witness -- when you are subpoenaed to witness in court. We have permissive travel all the time for, you know, local TAD stuff, for example, for schools. You have permissive TAD -- and I'm speaking no-cost options here -- for house hunting, for example. Somebody has orders to go somewhere else, they can take no-cost orders to go out and, you know, pursue a future home, where they're going to live if they are moving out of the area. And I did not request funded travel. I said my intentions are to do permissive TAD because I thought there was that provision for me.
- And typically when your TAD -- is that what you called Q. that?
- Temporary assigned duty --Α.
- Q. Okay.
- -- or temporary duty, TAD, TDY. Α.
- You're in some sort of official status when you're on Q. 11:22:21AM 19 that, correct? Sort of acting in an official capacity?
 - Α. I guess you can say that.
 - Q. And this is a personal legal matter; no?
 - So when you do house hunting, you are not acting in an Α. official capacity, you are executing duties that the Navy or government allows you to do for that purpose.
 - Q. Right. But if you're searching for a house in a new

11:22:42AM go to. 11:22:45AM 2 | 11:22:45AM 4 II 11:22:49AM that. 5 11:22:51AM Q. Got it. 6 II 11:22:52AM 7 11:23:00AM THE COURT: You may. 8 11:23:02AM 11:23:13AM 9 Commander Osterhues.) 11:23:16AM 10 11:23:19AM 11 11:23:20AM 12 11:23:23AM 13 you are recognized for that purpose. 14 II 11:23:27AM MR. GANNAM: 11:23:30AM 15 May it please the Court. 11:23:32AM 16 11:23:33AM 17 BY MR. GANNAM: 11:23:33AM 18 11:23:34AM 19 Q. hearing here today? 11:23:38AM 20 11:23:40AM 21 Α. 11:23:41AM 22 Q. 11:23:45AM 23 order that led to the hearing today?

1 location, it's typically one that the Navy has ordered you to For house hunting leave, yes. You have to have orders outside of the area to be able to travel there and execute

MS. POWELL: Can I have just a moment?

(Off-the-record discussion between Ms. Powell and

MS. POWELL: That's all I have, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Powell.

Mr. Gannam, if you have redirect for this witness,

Thank you, Your Honor.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- Did you or the government file the motion that led to the
- I'm sorry, can you say that again?
- Did you file the motion seeking the stay of the Court's
- No, sir. Α.

11:23:47AM **24**

11:23:47AM **25**

Is it your understanding that the defendants, the U.S. Q.

- 11:23:50AM
- 11:23:53AM

4 II

5

6 |

- 11:23:55AM
- 11:23:58AM
- 11:23:58AM
- 11:24:00AM
- 11:24:04AM
- 11:24:07AM
- 11:24:09AM
- 11:24:10AM 10
- 11:24:15AM **11**
- 11:24:19AM **12**
- 11:24:19AM 13
- 11:24:21AM **14**
- 11:24:28AM 15
- 11:24:32AM 16
- 11:24:36AM 17
- 11:24:40AM 18
- 11:24:44AM 19
- 11:24:48AM 20
- 11:24:54AM **21**
- 11:24:59AM **22**
- 11:25:01AM 23
- 11:25:04AM **24**
- 11:25:06AM **25**

- government, filed that motion?
- A. I'm not -- can you say that again?
- Q. Is it your understanding that the defendants in this case --
- THE COURT: I think we can take notice of who filed the motion, Mr. Gannam.
- Q. (By Mr. Gannam) At least you didn't ask for the motion to be filed that led to you being here?
- A. No, sir, I did not.
- **Q**. When you submitted your request for TAD, did you disclose to your commander the reason why you wanted to take that TAD?
- A. I did.
- **Q**. And was it approved?
- A. It was approved Tuesday evening, yes, sir. After multiple RFIs, which is request for information, of the type of travel and the type of leave, what am I doing on leave, where am I staying, my travel risk, whether it's going to be funded or not, there are multiple RFIs after requesting that, yes, sir.
- Q. When you submitted your recommendation for the ROM requirement when you returned from this hearing, did you demand that it only be three days, or merely recommend that?
- A. It was a recommendation, sir.
- Q. And will you comply with whatever ROM requirement is imposed by your commander whenever that's done?
- A. Yes, sir, I will.

In that

11:25:07AM	1	Q. And is that any different from how a sailor under your
11:25:11AM	2	command would be treated when submitting a recommended ROM
11:25:15AM	3	requirement for travelling out of area?
11:25:17AM	4	A. No, it is not.
11:25:20AM	5	Q. When the deputy commodore came on board your ship to
11:25:25AM	6	travel with you on your last exercise, at any point did he
11:25:30AM	7	assume command of your ship?
11:25:31AM	8	A. No, sir, he did not.
11:25:37AM	9	MR. GANNAM: I've no further questions, Your Honor.
11:25:38AM	10	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. In tha
11:25:40AM	11	case, Navy Commander, if you'll remember to let us detach that
11:25:43AM	12	microphone, you may step down, and you're excused with our
11:25:47AM	13	thanks.
11:25:48AM	14	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
11:25:48AM	15	00000
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, REBECCA M. SABO, a Registered Professional Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the proceedings given at the time and place hereinbefore mentioned; that the proceedings were reported by me in machine shorthand and thereafter reduced to typewriting using computer-assisted transcription; that after being reduced to typewriting, a certified copy of the transcript will be filed electronically with the Court.

I further certify that I am not attorney for, nor employed by, nor related to any of the parties or attorneys to this action, nor financially interested in this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand at Billings, Montana, this 13th day of March, 2022.

/s/ Rebecca M. Sabo

Rebecca M. Sabo, RPR, CRR United States Court Reporter