Case 20-11247-MFW Doc 52-3 Filed 10/21/21 Page 27 of 268

Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County Trial Division - Civil TRIAL WORK SHEET

Judge's Name:	Jud	ge's I.D.:	Signature:	7	
PAULA PATRICK	J519		4	D-Offalah	
Caption: GRADY VS THE HERTZ CO	TION ETAL	Case Type MISC SUMMON	Program: MAJOR JURY- EXPEDITED		
Court Term and Number: #1511-03380	If Conso	olidated, Court T	erm and Number:		
Trial Date:	Total Aı	mount:	Number of Days:	Disposition Date:	Date Sheet Prepared:
07-SEP-2017 Non-Jury	\$100,00	0.00	7	15-SEP-2017	18-SEP-2017
Jury Verdict in favor of Plaint \$62,500 for the claim of Intent Malicious Prosecution.	-	, ,		·	
		(NC) X			
Default Judgment/Court Ordered		X Jury Verdict for Plaintiff		Other (explain)	
Directed Verdict Discontinuance Ordered		Jury Verdict for Defendant Mistrial		Grady Vs The Hertz Corp-WSJVP	
Transferred to binding		Hung Jury		3/8/8/	
arbitration		Non-Pros entered			
Finding for Defendant (Non-Jury)		Non-Suit entered		1511033800	00331
Finding for Plaintiff (Non-Jury)		Settled prior to assignment for trial (Team Leaders, only)			
Damages Assessed				DOCK	ETED
Judgment entered by agreement		Settled after assignment for trial prior to jury selection after jury sworn		COMPLEX LIT CENTER	
Judgment entered				SEP 1.9 2017	
Judgment satisfied				J. STEWART	
				0. O I L	*****

KELLY A. GRADY

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

V.

NOVEMBER TERM, 2015

THE HERTZ CORPORATION;

NO.: 3380

HERTZ RENT-A-CAR PHILADELPHIA

INTL. AIRPORT;

JOHN DOE(s)

VERDICT SHEET

INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

Question #1

Based upon the evidence presented in this case, do you find that the Plaintiff, Kelly A. Grady, has proven that it is more likely than not that Defendant, The Hertz Corporation, intentionally or recklessly conducted itself in an extreme and outrageous manner toward Plaintiff?

Yes: _ _ No: ___

If the answer is Yes, continue to Question #2.

If the answer is No, Plaintiff has not proven her claim for intentional infliction of emotional distress and cannot recover. You must find in favor of the Defendant for this claim. Continue to Ouestion #3.

Question #2

If, and only if, you have answered Yes to Question 1, state the amount of damages, if any, sustained by Plaintiff.

Continue to Question #3.

MALICIOUS PROSECUTION

Question #3

Based upon the evidence presented in this case, do you find that the Plaintiff, Kelly A. Grady, has proven that it is more likely than not that Defendant, The Hertz Corporation, instituted and/or continued criminal proceedings of said claim without probable cause, against Plaintiff for the theft of its rental vehicle?

If the answer is Yes, continue to Question #4.

If the answer is No, Plaintiff has not proven her claim for malicious prosecution and cannot recover. You must find in favor of the Defendant for this claim. Continue to Question #5.

Question #4

If, and only if, you have answered Yes to Questions 3, state the amount of damages, if any, sustained by Plaintiff.

Continue to Question #5.

FALSE IMPRISONMENT

Question #5

Based upon the evidence presented in this case, do you find that the Plaintiff, Kelly A. Grady, proved her claim for false imprisonment?

If the answer is Yes, continue to Question #6.

If the answer is No, Plaintiff has no valid claim for false imprisonment and cannot recover. You must find in favor of the Defendant for this claim. You have reached a verdict.

Case 20-11247-MFW Doc 52-3 Filed 10/21/21 Page 30 of 268

Ω	estion	#6
ιπ	iesuon	H

Based upon the evidence presented do you find her claim for false imprisonment was filed within the statute of limitations?

Yes:____ No:____

Question #7

If, and only if, you have answered Yes to Question 5 and 6 state the amount of damages, if any, sustained by Plaintiff.

NA

You have reached a verdict.

9/15/2017 DATE