Traffic Safety Facts

2019 Data

DUI/ DRIVER'S LICENSE CHECK POINT AHEAD

July 2021

DOT HS 813 120



In this fact sheet for 2019 the information is presented as follows.

- <u>Overview</u>
- Drivers
- Children
- · Crash Characteristics
- · Time of Day and Day of Week
- State
- Economic Cost for All Traffic Crashes
- Important Safety Reminders

Alcohol-Impaired Driving

Drivers are considered to be alcohol-impaired when their blood alcohol concentrations (BACs) are .08 grams per deciliter (g/dL) or higher. Thus, any fatal crash involving a driver with a BAC of .08 g/dL or higher is considered to be an alcohol-impaired-driving crash, and fatalities occurring in those crashes are considered to be alcohol-impaired-driving fatalities. The term "drunk driving" is used instead of alcohol-impaired driving in some other NHTSA communication and material. The term "driver" refers to the operator of any motor vehicle, including a motorcycle.

Estimates of alcohol-impaired driving are generated using BAC values reported to the Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS) and BAC values imputed when they are not reported. In this fact sheet NHTSA uses the term "alcohol-impaired" in evaluating the FARS statistics. In all cases throughout this fact sheet, use of the term does not indicate that a crash or a fatality was caused by alcohol impairment, only that an alcohol-impaired driver was involved in the crash. This report also includes BACs of .00 g/dL (no alcohol), .01+ g/dL, and .15+ g/dL solely for comparison purposes.

Key Findings

- In 2019 there were 10,142 fatalities in motor vehicle traffic crashes in which at least one driver was alcohol-impaired. This totaled 28 percent of all traffic fatalities for the year.
- Fatalities in alcohol-impaired-driving crashes decreased by 5.3 percent (10,710 to 10,142 fatalities) from 2018 to 2019.
- An average of 1 alcohol-impaireddriving fatality occurred every 52 minutes in 2019.
- The 21- to 24-year-old age group had the highest percentage (27%) of alcoholimpaired drivers involved in fatal crashes compared to other age groups in 2019.
- In 2019 there were 4 male alcoholimpaired drivers involved for every female alcohol-impaired driver involved. When compared to all drivers involved in fatal crashes, there were 3 male drivers for every female driver.

- The percentage of alcohol-impaired drivers involved in fatal crashes in 2019 was the highest for motorcycle riders (29%), compared to drivers of passenger cars (20%), light trucks (19%), and large trucks (2%).
- Of the 1,053 traffic fatalities in 2019 among children 14 and younger, 19 percent (204) occurred in alcohol-impaireddriving crashes.
- In 2019, among the 10,142 alcoholimpaired-driving fatalities, 68 percent (6,872) were in crashes in which at least one driver had a BAC of .15 g/dL or higher.
- The rate of alcohol impairment among drivers involved in fatal crashes in 2019 was 3.3 times higher at night than during the day.



U.S. Department of Transportation

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

1200 New Jersey Avenue SE Washington, DC 20590 This fact sheet contains information on fatal motor vehicle traffic crashes based on data from the Fatality Analysis Reporting System. Refer to the end of this publication for more information on FARS.

A motor vehicle traffic crash is defined as an incident that involved one or more motor vehicles in transport that originated on a public trafficway, such as a road or highway. Crashes that occurred on private property, including parking lots and driveways, are excluded. The terms "motor vehicle traffic crash" and "traffic crash" are used interchangeably.

Overview

All 50 States, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico have set a threshold making it illegal to drive with a BAC of .08 g/dL or higher. **Note:** Utah set a lower threshold of .05 g/dL or higher that went into effect on December 30, 2018. In addition, people under 21 are legally prohibited from drinking alcohol (except in Puerto Rico where the legal drinking age is 18). Operating a

commercial vehicle at a BAC of .04 g/dL or above is a violation of Federal regulations and may result in criminal charges.

In 2019 there were 10,142 people killed in alcohol-impaired-driving crashes, an average of 1 alcohol-impaired-driving fatality every 52 minutes. These alcohol-impaired-driving fatalities accounted for 28 percent of all motor vehicle traffic fatalities in the United States in 2019.

Fatalities in alcohol-impaired-driving crashes decreased by 5.3 percent (10,710 to 10,142 fatalities) from 2018 to 2019. Alcohol-impaired-driving fatalities in the past 10 years have stayed roughly the same from 10,136 in 2010 to 10,142 in 2019. The national rate of alcohol-impaired-driving fatalities in motor vehicle crashes in 2019 was 0.31 per 100 million vehicle miles traveled (VMT), down from 0.33 in 2018. The alcohol-impaired-driving fatality rate in the past 10 years has declined by 9 percent, from 0.34 in 2010 to 0.31 in 2019. Figure 1 presents the fatality numbers and rates for the past decade.

Figure 1 Fatalities and Fatality Rate per 100 Million VMT in Alcohol-Impaired-Driving Crashes, 2010–2019



Sources: FARS 2010-2018 Final File, 2019 Annual Report File (ARF); VMT - FHWA

Of the 10,142 people who died in alcohol-impaired-driving crashes in 2019, there were 6,370 drivers (63%) who were alcohol-impaired. The remaining fatalities consisted of 1,347 passengers riding with alcohol-impaired drivers (13%), 1,455 occupants of other vehicles (14%), and 970 nonoccupants (10%). The distribution of fatalities in these crashes by role is shown in Table 1.

Table 1

Fatalities in Alcohol-Impaired-Driving Crashes, by Role, 2019

Role	Number	Percent
Alcohol-Impaired Drivers	6,370	63%
Passengers Riding With Alcohol-Impaired Drivers	1,347	13%
Subtotal	7,717	76%
Occupants of Other Vehicles	1,455	14%
Nonoccupants (pedestrians/pedalcyclists/other)	970	10%
Total Alcohol-Impaired-Driving Fatalities	10,142	100%

Drivers

Table 2 provides information on alcohol-impaired drivers involved (killed or survived) in fatal crashes by the age of the driver as well as sex and vehicle type. In fatal crashes in 2019, the highest percentage of alcohol-impaired drivers was for 21-to 24-year-old drivers (27%), followed by 25- to 34-year-old drivers (25%). The 10-year comparison of alcohol-impaired drivers involved increased for older drivers when compared to younger drivers.

The percentages of alcohol-impaired drivers involved in fatal crashes in 2019 were 21 percent among males and 14 percent among females. In 2019 there were 4 male alcohol-impaired

drivers involved for every female alcohol-impaired driver involved (7,580 versus 1,845). When compared to all drivers involved in fatal crashes, there were 3 male drivers for every female driver.

The percentages of alcohol-impaired drivers involved in fatal crashes in 2019 by vehicle type were 29 percent for motorcycle operators, 20 percent for drivers of passenger cars, and 19 percent for drivers of light trucks (21% for drivers of pickups, 18% for drivers of SUVs, and 12% for drivers of vans). The percentage of alcohol-impaired drivers in fatal crashes was the lowest for drivers of large trucks (2%).

Table 2

Alcohol-Impaired Drivers Involved in Fatal Crashes, by Age Group, Sex, and Vehicle Type, 2010 and 2019

Drivers		2010			Change in Percentage			
Involved in	BAC=.08+ g/dL				BAC:	=.08+ g/dL	With BAC=.08+ g/dL	
Fatal Crashes	Fatal Crashes Total Drivers		Percentage of Total	Total Drivers	Number	Percentage of Total	2010 and 2019	
Total*	44,599	9,598	22%	50,930	9,598	19%	-3%	
Age Group								
15-20	4,603	796	17%	3,968	600	15%	-2%	
21-24	4,608	1,547	34%	4,590	1,226	27%	-7%	
25-34	8,567	2,573	30%	10,507	2,659	25%	-5%	
35-44	7,333	1,828	25%	8,301	1,812	22%	-3%	
45-54	7,517	1,579	21%	7,532	1,369	18%	-3%	
55-64	5,577	764	14%	7,166	1,100	15%	+1%	
65-74	2,902	223	8%	4,404	459	10%	+2%	
75+	2,688	112	4%	3,229	190	6%	+2%	
				Sex				
Male	32,079	7,697	24%	36,935	7,580 21%		-3%	
Female	11,859	1,746	15%	12,884	1,845	14%	-1%	
			Vehi	icle Type				
Passenger Car	17,710	4,164	24%	19,469	3,975	20%	-4%	
Light Truck**	17,385	3,752	22%	19,704	3,731	19%	-3%	
-Pickup	8,196	2,041	25%	8,519	1,828	21%	-4%	
-SUV	6,761	1,423	21%	9,033	1,637	18%	-3%	
-Van	2,405	286	12%	2,018	238	12%	0%	
Large Truck	3,456	51	1%	4,949	98	2%	+1%	
Motorcycle	4,647	1,280	28%	5,111	1,466	29%	+1%	

Source: FARS 2010 Final File, 2019 ARF

In 2019 there were 4,740 passenger vehicle drivers killed who were alcohol-impaired (passenger vehicles include passenger cars as well as light trucks such as pickups, SUVs, and vans with gross vehicle weight ratings of 10,000 pounds or less). Of these driver fatalities for whom restraint use was known, 63 percent were unrestrained. Based on known restraint use, 52 percent of passenger vehicle drivers killed who had BACs of .01 to .07 g/dL were unrestrained, 38 percent of passenger vehicle drivers killed who had no alcohol (.00 g/dL) were


unrestrained, and 64 percent of passenger vehicle drivers who had BACs of .15 g/dL or higher were unrestrained.

Figure 2 shows information on the driving record of drivers in fatal crashes in 2019 at different BAC levels. There was little difference by BAC level in the percentage of drivers with previously recorded crashes. Alcohol-impaired drivers involved in fatal crashes were 4 times more likely to have prior DWI convictions than were drivers with no alcohol (8% and 2%, respectively).

^{*}Includes unknown age, unknown sex, and other/unknown vehicle type.

^{**}Includes other/unknown light-truck vehicle types.

Figure 2
Previous 5-Year Driving Records of Drivers Involved in Fatal Crashes, by BAC, 2019




Source: FARS 2019 ARF

Note: Excludes all drivers with previous records that were unknown.

While a BAC of .08 g/dL is considered to be impaired in all States, the large majority of drivers in fatal crashes with any measurable alcohol had levels far higher. Eighty-four percent (9,598) of the 11,449 drivers with BACs of .01 g/dL or higher who were involved in fatal crashes in 2019 also had BAC levels at or above .08 g/dL, and 56 percent (6,398) also had BAC levels at or above .15 g/dL.

In 2019 among the 10,142 alcohol-impaired-driving fatalities, 68 percent (6,872) were in crashes in which at least one driver in the crash had a BAC of .15 g/dL or higher. Figure 3 presents the distribution of BACs for those drivers with any alcohol in their systems. The most frequently recorded BACs among drinking drivers in fatal crashes were tied at .16 and .17 g/dL.

Figure 3
Distribution of BACs for Drivers With BACs of .01 g/dL or Higher Involved in Fatal Crashes, 2019



Children

A total of 1,053 children 14 and younger were killed in motor vehicle traffic crashes in 2019. Of these 1,053 fatalities, 204 children (19%) died in alcohol-impaired-driving crashes. Of these 204 child deaths:

- 109 (53%) were passengers of vehicles with alcoholimpaired drivers;
- 60 (29%) were occupants of other vehicles;
- 31 (15%) were nonoccupants (pedestrians, pedalcyclists, or other nonoccupants); and
- 4 (2%) were child drivers.


Crash Characteristics

Figure 4 displays information about the setting surrounding alcohol-impaired drivers involved (killed or survived) in fatal crashes in 2019 including month, land use, weather,

light condition, and functional system.¹ In 2019 based on known crash characteristic values of alcohol-impaired drivers involved in fatal crashes:

- More occurred in September (9.5%) and July (9.4%) than the other months;
- 56 percent occurred in urban areas and 44 percent occurred in rural areas;
- 90 percent occurred in clear/cloudy conditions compared to 8 percent in rainy conditions and 2 percent in other conditions:
- 68 percent occurred in the dark compared to 27 percent in daylight, 3 percent in dusk, and 1 percent in dawn; and
- 87 percent occurred on non-interstate roads compared to 13 percent on interstate roads.

Figure 4
Percentage of Alcohol-Impaired Drivers Involved in Fatal Crashes in 2019, by Month, Land Use, Weather, Light Condition, and Functional System¹



Source: FARS 2019 ARF

Notes: Unknowns were removed before calculating percentages. Percentages may not add up to 100 percent due to individual rounding.

¹ Definitions for different functional system can be found at www.fhwa.dot.gov/planning/processes/statewide/related/highway_functional_classifications/fcauab.pdf

Time of Day and Day of Week

Table 3 presents information on drivers involved (killed or survived) in fatal crashes in 2010 and 2019 by time of day and day of week, as well as single-vehicle and multiple-vehicle crash data. In 2019:

- The rate of alcohol impairment among drivers involved in fatal crashes was 3.3 times higher at night than during the day (30% versus 9%, respectively);
- 31 percent of all drivers involved in single-vehicle fatal crashes were alcohol-impaired, compared to 12 percent in multiple-vehicle fatal crashes; and

■ 14 percent of all drivers involved in fatal crashes during the week were alcohol-impaired, compared to 26 percent on weekends.

The biggest drop was alcohol-impaired drivers involved in single-vehicle nighttime crashes from 48 percent in 2010 to 39 percent in 2019 (9% difference). The second biggest drop was for weekend nighttime crashes from 41 percent in 2010 to 33 percent in 2019 (8% difference).

Table 3
Alcohol-Impaired Drivers Involved in Fatal Crashes, by Crash Type, Time of Day, and Day of Week, 2010 and 2019

		2010							
Drivers Involved in	BAC=		=.08+ g/dL		BAC=	=.08+ g/dL	Change in Percentage With BAC=.08+ g/dL		
Fatal Crashes Total Drivers		Number	Percentage of Total	Total Drivers	Number	Percentage of Total			
Total*	44,599	9,598	22%	50,930	9,598	19%	-3%		
	Crash Type and Time of Day								
Single-Vehicle*	18,143	6,394	35%	18,840	5,763	31%	-4%		
Daytime	7,289	1,203	17%	7,516	1,318	18%	+1%		
Nighttime	10,657	5,095	48%	11,099	4,343	39%	-9%		
Multiple-Vehicle*	26,456	3,204	12%	32,090	3,836	12%	0%		
Daytime	16,551	836	5%	19,269	1,103	6%	+1%		
Nighttime	9,884	2,366	24%	12,775	2,729	21%	-3%		
			Tim	e of Day					
Daytime	23,840	2,039	9%	26,785	2,421	9%	0%		
Nighttime	20,541	7,461	36%	23,874	7,072	30%	-6%		
			Day of Week	and Time of Day	y .				
Weekday*	27,134	4,244	16%	31,503	4,489	14%	-2%		
Daytime	17,445	1,213	7%	19,923	1,522	8%	+1%		
Nighttime	9,611	2,997	31%	11,465	2,926	26%	-5%		
Weekend*	17,411	5,332	31%	19,344	5,085	26%	-5%		
Daytime	6,395	826	13%	6,862	899	13%	0%		
Nighttime	10,930	4,464	41%	12,409	4,146	33%	-8%		

Source: FARS 2010 Final File, 2019 ARF

*Includes drivers involved in fatal crashes when time of day was unknown.

Daytime -6 a.m. to 5:59 p.m. Nighttime -6 p.m. to 5:59 a.m.

Weekday - Monday 6 a.m. to Friday 5:59 p.m.

Weekend - Friday 6 p.m. to Monday 5:59 a.m.

State

Figure 5 contains a color-coded map of the percentage of alcohol-impaired-driving fatalities by State in 2019. Table 4 shows traffic fatalities by State and the highest driver BAC in the crashes in 2019.

- Alcohol-impaired-driving fatalities were highest in Texas (1,332), followed by California (949) and Florida (790), and lowest in the District of Columbia (6).
- The percentage of alcohol-impaired-driving fatalities among total traffic fatalities in States ranged from a high of 44 percent (Rhode Island) to a low of 16 percent (Utah), compared to the national average of 28 percent as shown in Figure 5.
- The percentage of fatalities in crashes involving a driver with a BAC of .15 g/dL or higher ranged from a high of 33 percent (Rhode Island) to a low of 11 percent (Utah), compared to the national average of 19 percent.

Figure 5
Percentage of Alcohol-Impaired-Driving Fatalities, by State, 2019




Table 4 Traffic Fatalities, by State and Highest Driver BAC in the Crash, 2019

State Patalities Number Percent	Trainer atairtie	Total		BAC=.00 g/dL)				(BAC= 08+ n/dl)	Alcohol-Impaired (BAC=.15+ g/d	
Alabama	State							· ,	•	Percent
Alaska 67 41 61% 25 37% 22 33% 12 Arizona 881 670 68% 390 32% 260 27% 176 Arkansas 505 347 69% 157 31% 128 25% 89 California 3,006 2,473 68% 1,123 31% 949 26% 630 California 3,006 2,473 68% 1,123 31% 949 26% 630 California 3,006 2,473 68% 1,123 31% 949 26% 630 California 3,006 2,473 68% 1,123 31% 949 26% 630 California 4,124 1,1										20%
Arizona 981 670 68% 309 32% 260 27% 176 Arkansas 505 347 69% 157 31% 128 25% 89 California 3,606 2,473 69% 11,23 31% 949 26% 630 Colorado 596 395 66% 200 34% 164 27% 121 Connecticut 249 139 56% 109 44% 94 38% 61 Delaware 132 97 73% 35 27% 31 24% 24 Delaware 132 97 73% 35 27% 31 24% 24 Delaware 132 97 73% 35 27% 31 24% 24 Delaware 132 97 73% 35 27% 31 24% 24 Delaware 132 97 73% 35 27% 31 24% 24 Delaware 14 108 62 57% 45 25% 529 Celorgia 1,491 1,066 71% 425 28% 353 24% 224 Hawaii 108 62 57% 45 45% 365 34% 224 Hawaii 108 62 57% 45 45% 36 34% 20 Idaho 224 143 64% 81 36% 68 30% 51 Illinois 1,009 640 63% 368 36% 314 31% 213 Indiana 809 576 71% 233 22% 210 26% 145 Illinois 1,009 576 71% 233 22% 210 26% 145 Illinois 1,009 45 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25										18%
Arkansas 505 347 69% 157 31% 128 25% 89 California 3,606 2,473 60% 1,123 31% 949 26% 630 Colorado 596 395 66% 200 34% 164 27% 121 Connecticut 249 139 56% 109 44% 94 38% 61 Delaware 132 97 73% 35 27% 31 24% 24 District of Columbia 23 15 67% 8 33% 6 26% 5 Elorida 5 Elorida 3,183 2,258 71% 916 29% 790 25% 529 Georgia 1,491 1,066 71% 425 28% 353 24% 224 Hawaii 108 62 57% 45 42% 366 30% 51 Hillinois 1,009 640 63% 368 36% 314 31% 213 Indiana 809 576 771% 233 29% 210 26% 353 24% 213 Indiana 809 576 771% 233 29% 210 26% 145 100a 336 212 63% 124 37% 100 30% 67 Kansas 411 311 76% 100 24% 91 22% 59 Kentucky 732 552 75% 179 24% 150 20% 106 Louisiana 727 473 65% 254 36% 36% 36% 36% 344 34% 34% 346 36% 36% 344 34% 348										18%
California 3,606 2,473 69% 1,123 31% 949 26% 690 Colorado 596 395 66% 200 34% 164 27% 121 Connecticut 249 139 56% 109 44% 94 38% 61 Delaware 132 97 73% 35 27% 31 24% 24 District of Columbia 23 15 67% 8 33% 6 26% 5 Florida 3,183 2,258 71% 916 29% 790 25% 529 Georgia 1,491 1,066 2 57% 45 42% 36 34% 20 Hawaii 108 62 57% 45 42% 36 34% 20 Idaho 224 143 64% 81 36% 36% 314 31% 21 16 10% 10 10 10<										18%
Colorado 5-96 395 66% 200 34% 164 27% 121 Connecticut 249 139 56% 109 44% 94 38% 661 Delavare 132 97 73% 35 27% 31 24% 24 District of Columbia 23 15 67% 8 33% 6 26% 5 Florida 3,183 2,258 71% 916 29% 790 25% 529 Georgia 1,491 1,066 71% 425 28% 353 24% 224 Hawaii 108 62 57% 45 42% 36 34% 224 Hawaii 108 62 57% 45 42% 36 34% 224 Hawaii 109 640 63% 368 36% 68 30% 61 Illinois 1,009 640 63% 368 36% 314 31% 213 Indiana 809 576 71% 233 29% 210 26% 145 Iowa 336 212 63% 124 37% 100 30% 67 Kansas 411 311 76% 100 24% 91 22% 59 Kentucky 732 552 75% 179 24% 510 20% 106 Louisiana 727 473 65% 254 35% 220 30% 144 Maine 157 99 63% 57 36% 50 32% 36 Maryland 521 332 64% 189 36% 167 32% 112 Minssouri 880 598 681 69% 303 31% 261 26% 169 Minnesota 364 262 72% 102 28% 86 24% 58 Minssissippi 643 443 69% 199 31% 170 26% 118 Mississippi 643 443 69% 199 31% 66 36% 50 Nevrada 304 191 63% 113 37% 92 30% 66 Nev Hampshire 101 55 54% 46 46% 40 40% 29 New Herspy 559 391 70% 167 30% 228 28% 170 North Carolina 1,373 1,002 73% 368 27% 323 24% 215 North Carolina 1,373 1,002 73% 368 27% 323 24% 215 North Dakota 100 67 67% 330 33% 285 28% 170 North Carolina 1,373 1,002 73% 368 27% 323 24% 215 North Dakota 100 67 67% 330 33% 285 28% 197 North Dakota 100 67 67% 330 33% 286 28% 197 North Carolina 1,373 1,002 73% 368 27% 323 24% 215 North Dakota 100 67 67% 330 33% 285 28% 197 North Carolina 1,001 671 67% 330 33% 285 28% 197 North Carolina 1,001 671 67% 330 33%					1					17%
Connecticut										20%
Delaware										25%
District of Columbia 23										18%
Florida										21%
Georgia 1,491 1,066 71% 425 28% 353 24% 224 144 148 62 57% 445 42% 36 34% 20 16aho 224 143 64% 81 38% 688 30% 51 18 18 18 18 18 18 18										17%
Hawaii										15%
Idaho										18%
Illinois										23%
Indiana										21%
Iowa 336 212 63% 124 37% 100 30% 67 Kansas 411 311 76% 100 24% 91 22% 59 Kentucky 732 552 75% 179 24% 150 20% 106 Louisiana 727 473 65% 254 35% 220 30% 144 Maine 157 99 63% 57 36% 50 32% 36 Maryland 521 332 64% 189 36% 167 32% 36 Michigan 985 681 69% 303 31% 261 26% 169 Michigan 985 681 69% 303 31% 261 26% 169 Minnesota 364 262 72% 102 28% 86 24% 58 Missouri 880 598 68% 282 32%										18%
Kansas 411 311 76% 100 24% 91 22% 59 Kentucky 732 552 75% 179 24% 150 20% 106 Louislana 727 473 65% 254 35% 220 30% 144 Maine 157 99 63% 57 36% 50 32% 36 Maryland 521 332 64% 189 36% 167 32% 112 Massachusetts 334 202 61% 129 39% 110 33% 78 Michigan 985 681 69% 303 31% 261 26% 169 Minnesota 364 262 72% 102 28% 86 24% 58 Mississippi 643 443 69% 199 31% 170 26% 118 Mississippi 643 443 69% 199 <										20%
Kentucky 732 552 75% 179 24% 150 20% 106 Louislana 727 473 65% 254 35% 220 30% 144 Maine 157 99 63% 57 36% 50 32% 36 Maryland 521 332 64% 189 36% 167 32% 112 Massachusetts 334 202 61% 129 39% 110 33% 78 Michigan 985 681 69% 303 31% 261 26% 169 Minnesota 364 262 72% 102 28% 86 24% 58 Mississippi 643 443 69% 199 31% 170 26% 118 Missouri 880 598 68% 282 32% 235 27% 154 Montana 184 113 61% 71 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>										
Louislana										14%
Maine 157 99 63% 57 36% 50 32% 36 Maryland 521 332 64% 189 36% 167 32% 112 Massachusetts 334 202 61% 129 39% 110 33% 78 Michigan 985 681 69% 303 31% 261 26% 169 Minnesota 364 262 72% 102 28% 86 24% 58 Mississippi 643 443 69% 199 31% 170 26% 118 Missouri 880 598 68% 282 32% 235 27% 154 Montana 184 113 61% 71 38% 66 36% 50 Nebraska 248 177 71% 71 28% 58 24% 38 New Hampshire 101 55 54% 46 4										14%
Maryland 521 332 64% 189 36% 167 32% 112 Massachusetts 334 202 61% 129 39% 110 33% 78 Michigan 985 681 69% 303 31% 261 26% 169 Minesota 364 262 72% 102 28% 86 24% 58 Missouri 880 598 68% 282 32% 235 27% 154 Montana 184 113 61% 71 38% 66 36% 50 Nebraska 248 177 71% 71 28% 58 24% 38 New dada 304 191 63% 113 37% 92 30% 66 New Hampshire 101 55 54% 46 46% 40 40% 29 New Mexico 424 267 63% 153										20%
Massachusetts 334 202 61% 129 39% 110 33% 78 Michigan 985 681 69% 303 31% 261 26% 169 Minnesota 364 262 72% 102 28% 86 24% 58 Mississippi 643 443 69% 199 31% 170 26% 118 Mississippi 643 443 69% 199 31% 170 26% 118 Mississippi 643 443 69% 199 31% 170 26% 118 Mississippi 643 443 69% 193 31% 170 26% 118 Montana 184 113 61% 71 38% 66 36% 50 Nebraska 248 177 71% 71 83 58 24% 38 Nevadaa 304 191 63% 113										23%
Michigan 985 681 69% 303 31% 261 26% 169 Minnesota 364 262 72% 102 28% 86 24% 58 Mississippi 643 443 69% 199 31% 170 26% 118 Missouri 880 598 68% 282 32% 235 27% 154 Montana 184 113 61% 71 38% 66 36% 50 Nevada 248 177 71% 71 28% 58 24% 38 Nevada 304 191 63% 113 37% 92 30% 66 New Hard 101 55 54% 46 46% 40 40% 29 New Jersey 559 391 70% 167 30% 129 23% 81 New Wars 931 614 66% 315 34%										21%
Minnesota 364 262 72% 102 28% 86 24% 58 Mississippi 643 443 69% 199 31% 170 26% 118 Missouri 880 598 68% 282 32% 235 27% 154 Montana 184 113 61% 71 38% 66 36% 50 Nebraska 248 177 71% 71 28% 58 24% 38 Nevada 304 191 63% 113 37% 92 30% 66 New Hampshire 101 55 54% 46 46% 40 40% 29 New Jersey 559 391 70% 167 30% 129 23% 81 New Jersey 559 391 70% 167 30% 129 31% 89 New York 931 614 66% 315 34										23%
Mississippi 643 443 69% 199 31% 170 26% 118 Missouri 880 598 68% 282 32% 235 27% 154 Montana 184 113 61% 71 38% 66 36% 50 Nebraska 248 177 71% 28% 58 24% 38 Nevada 304 191 63% 113 37% 92 30% 66 New Hampshire 101 55 54% 46 46% 40 40% 29 New Jersey 559 391 70% 167 30% 129 23% 81 New Jersey 559 391 70% 167 30% 129 23% 81 New Jersey 559 391 70% 167 30% 129 23% 81 New Jersey 559 391 10 161 46% <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>17%</td></t<>										17%
Missouri 880 598 68% 282 32% 235 27% 154 Montana 184 113 61% 71 38% 66 36% 50 Nebraska 248 177 71% 71 28% 58 24% 38 Nevadaa 304 191 63% 113 37% 92 30% 66 New Hampshire 101 55 54% 46 46% 40 40% 29 New Jersey 559 391 70% 167 30% 129 23% 81 New York 931 614 66% 315 36% 129 31% 89 New York 931 614 66% 315 34% 262 28% 170 North Dakota 100 52 52% 46 46% 41 41% 32 Ohio 1,153 727 63% 417 36% <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>16%</td>										16%
Montana 184 113 61% 71 38% 66 36% 50 Nebraska 248 177 71% 71 28% 58 24% 38 New Ala 304 191 63% 113 37% 92 30% 66 New Hampshire 101 55 54% 46 46% 40 40% 29 New Jersey 559 391 70% 167 30% 129 23% 81 New Mexico 424 267 63% 153 36% 129 31% 89 New York 931 614 66% 315 34% 262 28% 170 North Carolina 1,373 1,002 73% 368 27% 323 24% 215 North Dakota 100 52 52% 46 46% 41 41% 32 Ohio 1,153 727 63% 417										18%
Nebraska 248 177 71% 71 28% 58 24% 38 Nevada 304 191 63% 113 37% 92 30% 66 New Hampshire 101 55 54% 46 46% 40 40% 29 New Jersey 559 391 70% 167 30% 129 23% 81 New Mexico 424 267 63% 153 36% 129 31% 89 New York 931 614 66% 315 34% 262 28% 170 North Carolina 1,373 1,002 73% 368 27% 323 24% 215 North Dakota 100 52 52% 46 46% 41 41% 32 Ohio 1,153 727 63% 417 36% 351 30% 232 Ohio 1,543 727 63% 417										18%
Nevada 304 191 63% 113 37% 92 30% 66 New Hampshire 101 55 54% 46 46% 40 40% 29 New Jersey 559 391 70% 167 30% 129 23% 81 New Mexico 424 267 63% 153 36% 129 31% 89 New York 931 614 66% 315 34% 262 28% 170 North Carolina 1,373 1,002 73% 368 27% 323 24% 215 North Dakota 100 52 52% 46 46% 41 41% 32 Ohio 1,153 727 63% 417 36% 351 30% 232 Oklahoma 640 457 71% 181 28% 154 24% 120 Oregon 489 283 58% 205										27%
New Hampshire 101 55 54% 46 46% 40 40% 29 New Jersey 559 391 70% 167 30% 129 23% 81 New Mexico 424 267 63% 153 36% 129 31% 89 New York 931 614 66% 315 34% 262 28% 170 North Carolina 1,373 1,002 73% 368 27% 323 24% 215 North Dakota 100 52 52% 46 46% 41 41% 32 Ohio 1,153 727 63% 417 36% 351 30% 232 Oklahoma 640 457 71% 181 28% 154 24% 120 Oregon 489 283 58% 205 42% 167 34% 118 Pennsylvania 1,059 712 67% 34					1					15%
New Jersey 559 391 70% 167 30% 129 23% 81 New Mexico 424 267 63% 153 36% 129 31% 89 New York 931 614 66% 315 34% 262 28% 170 North Carolina 1,373 1,002 73% 368 27% 323 24% 215 North Dakota 100 52 52% 46 46% 41 41% 32 Ohio 1,153 727 63% 417 36% 351 30% 232 Oklahoma 640 457 71% 181 28% 154 24% 120 Oregon 489 283 58% 205 42% 167 34% 118 Pennsylvania 1,059 712 67% 347 33% 298 28% 204 Rhode Island 57 27 46% 3										22%
New Mexico 424 267 63% 153 36% 129 31% 89 New York 931 614 66% 315 34% 262 28% 170 North Carolina 1,373 1,002 73% 368 27% 323 24% 215 North Dakota 100 52 52% 46 46% 41 41% 32 Ohio 1,153 727 63% 417 36% 351 30% 232 Oklahoma 640 457 71% 181 28% 154 24% 120 Oregon 489 283 58% 205 42% 167 34% 118 Pennsylvania 1,059 712 67% 347 33% 298 28% 204 Rhode Island 57 27 46% 31 54% 25 44% 19 South Carolina 1,001 671 67% <					1					29%
New York 931 614 66% 315 34% 262 28% 170 North Carolina 1,373 1,002 73% 368 27% 323 24% 215 North Dakota 100 52 52% 46 46% 41 41% 32 Ohio 1,153 727 63% 417 36% 351 30% 232 Oklahoma 640 457 71% 181 28% 154 24% 120 Oregon 489 283 58% 205 42% 167 34% 118 Pennsylvania 1,059 712 67% 347 33% 298 28% 204 Rhode Island 57 27 46% 31 54% 25 44% 19 South Carolina 1,001 671 67% 330 33% 285 28% 197 South Dakota 102 70 69%										14%
North Carolina 1,373 1,002 73% 368 27% 323 24% 215 North Dakota 100 52 52% 46 46% 41 41% 32 Ohio 1,153 727 63% 417 36% 351 30% 232 Oklahoma 640 457 71% 181 28% 154 24% 120 Oregon 489 283 58% 205 42% 167 34% 118 Pennsylvania 1,059 712 67% 347 33% 298 28% 204 Rhode Island 57 27 46% 31 54% 25 44% 19 South Carolina 1,001 671 67% 330 33% 285 28% 197 South Dakota 102 70 69% 32 31% 28 27% 18 Texas 3,615 2,068 57% <										21%
North Dakota 100 52 52% 46 46% 41 41% 32 Ohio 1,153 727 63% 417 36% 351 30% 232 Oklahoma 640 457 71% 181 28% 154 24% 120 Oregon 489 283 58% 205 42% 167 34% 118 Pennsylvania 1,059 712 67% 347 33% 298 28% 204 Rhode Island 57 27 46% 31 54% 25 44% 19 South Carolina 1,001 671 67% 330 33% 285 28% 197 South Dakota 102 70 69% 32 31% 28 27% 18 Tennessee 1,135 800 71% 335 29% 290 26% 201 Texas 3,615 2,068 57% 1,54										18%
Ohio 1,153 727 63% 417 36% 351 30% 232 Oklahoma 640 457 71% 181 28% 154 24% 120 Oregon 489 283 58% 205 42% 167 34% 118 Pennsylvania 1,059 712 67% 347 33% 298 28% 204 Rhode Island 57 27 46% 31 54% 25 44% 19 South Carolina 1,001 671 67% 330 33% 285 28% 197 South Dakota 102 70 69% 32 31% 28 27% 18 Tennessee 1,135 800 71% 335 29% 290 26% 201 Texas 3,615 2,068 57% 1,544 43% 1,332 37% 890 Utah 248 199 80% 49 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>16%</td>										16%
Oklahoma 640 457 71% 181 28% 154 24% 120 Oregon 489 283 58% 205 42% 167 34% 118 Pennsylvania 1,059 712 67% 347 33% 298 28% 204 Rhode Island 57 27 46% 31 54% 25 44% 19 South Carolina 1,001 671 67% 330 33% 285 28% 197 South Dakota 102 70 69% 32 31% 28 27% 18 Tennessee 1,135 800 71% 335 29% 290 26% 201 Texas 3,615 2,068 57% 1,544 43% 1,332 37% 890 Utah 248 199 80% 49 20% 39 16% 26 Vermont 47 33 69% 15										32%
Oregon 489 283 58% 205 42% 167 34% 118 Pennsylvania 1,059 712 67% 347 33% 298 28% 204 Rhode Island 57 27 46% 31 54% 25 44% 19 South Carolina 1,001 671 67% 330 33% 285 28% 197 South Dakota 102 70 69% 32 31% 28 27% 18 Tennessee 1,135 800 71% 335 29% 290 26% 201 Texas 3,615 2,068 57% 1,544 43% 1,332 37% 890 Utah 248 199 80% 49 20% 39 16% 26 Vermont 47 33 69% 15 31% 9 19% 7 Virginia 831 548 66% 283										20%
Pennsylvania 1,059 712 67% 347 33% 298 28% 204 Rhode Island 57 27 46% 31 54% 25 44% 19 South Carolina 1,001 671 67% 330 33% 285 28% 197 South Dakota 102 70 69% 32 31% 28 27% 18 Tennessee 1,135 800 71% 335 29% 290 26% 201 Texas 3,615 2,068 57% 1,544 43% 1,332 37% 890 Utah 248 199 80% 49 20% 39 16% 26 Vermont 47 33 69% 15 31% 9 19% 7 Virginia 831 548 66% 283 34% 236 28% 171 Washington 519 310 60% 209	Oklahoma	640	457	71%	181		154	24%	120	19%
Rhode Island 57 27 46% 31 54% 25 44% 19 South Carolina 1,001 671 67% 330 33% 285 28% 197 South Dakota 102 70 69% 32 31% 28 27% 18 Tennessee 1,135 800 71% 335 29% 290 26% 201 Texas 3,615 2,068 57% 1,544 43% 1,332 37% 890 Utah 248 199 80% 49 20% 39 16% 26 Vermont 47 33 69% 15 31% 9 19% 7 Virginia 831 548 66% 283 34% 236 28% 171 Washington 519 310 60% 209 40% 172 33% 119 West Virginia 260 196 75% 64	Oregon	489	283	58%	205		167		118	24%
South Carolina 1,001 671 67% 330 33% 285 28% 197 South Dakota 102 70 69% 32 31% 28 27% 18 Tennessee 1,135 800 71% 335 29% 290 26% 201 Texas 3,615 2,068 57% 1,544 43% 1,332 37% 890 Utah 248 199 80% 49 20% 39 16% 26 Vermont 47 33 69% 15 31% 9 19% 7 Virginia 831 548 66% 283 34% 236 28% 171 Washington 519 310 60% 209 40% 172 33% 119 West Virginia 260 196 75% 64 25% 56 22% 36 Wisconsin 566 361 64% 205	Pennsylvania	1,059	712	67%	347	33%	298	28%	204	19%
South Dakota 102 70 69% 32 31% 28 27% 18 Tennessee 1,135 800 71% 335 29% 290 26% 201 Texas 3,615 2,068 57% 1,544 43% 1,332 37% 890 Utah 248 199 80% 49 20% 39 16% 26 Vermont 47 33 69% 15 31% 9 19% 7 Virginia 831 548 66% 283 34% 236 28% 171 Washington 519 310 60% 209 40% 172 33% 119 West Virginia 260 196 75% 64 25% 56 22% 36 Wisconsin 566 361 64% 205 36% 183 32% 126	Rhode Island	57	27	46%	31	54%	25	44%	19	33%
Tennessee 1,135 800 71% 335 29% 290 26% 201 Texas 3,615 2,068 57% 1,544 43% 1,332 37% 890 Utah 248 199 80% 49 20% 39 16% 26 Vermont 47 33 69% 15 31% 9 19% 7 Virginia 831 548 66% 283 34% 236 28% 171 Washington 519 310 60% 209 40% 172 33% 119 West Virginia 260 196 75% 64 25% 56 22% 36 Wisconsin 566 361 64% 205 36% 183 32% 126	South Carolina			67%			285		197	20%
Texas 3,615 2,068 57% 1,544 43% 1,332 37% 890 Utah 248 199 80% 49 20% 39 16% 26 Vermont 47 33 69% 15 31% 9 19% 7 Virginia 831 548 66% 283 34% 236 28% 171 Washington 519 310 60% 209 40% 172 33% 119 West Virginia 260 196 75% 64 25% 56 22% 36 Wisconsin 566 361 64% 205 36% 183 32% 126	South Dakota	102	70	69%	32	31%	28	27%	18	18%
Utah 248 199 80% 49 20% 39 16% 26 Vermont 47 33 69% 15 31% 9 19% 7 Virginia 831 548 66% 283 34% 236 28% 171 Washington 519 310 60% 209 40% 172 33% 119 West Virginia 260 196 75% 64 25% 56 22% 36 Wisconsin 566 361 64% 205 36% 183 32% 126	Tennessee	1,135	800	71%	335	29%	290	26%	201	18%
Utah 248 199 80% 49 20% 39 16% 26 Vermont 47 33 69% 15 31% 9 19% 7 Virginia 831 548 66% 283 34% 236 28% 171 Washington 519 310 60% 209 40% 172 33% 119 West Virginia 260 196 75% 64 25% 56 22% 36 Wisconsin 566 361 64% 205 36% 183 32% 126	Texas			57%	1,544	43%	1,332			25%
Vermont 47 33 69% 15 31% 9 19% 7 Virginia 831 548 66% 283 34% 236 28% 171 Washington 519 310 60% 209 40% 172 33% 119 West Virginia 260 196 75% 64 25% 56 22% 36 Wisconsin 566 361 64% 205 36% 183 32% 126	Utah	248	199	80%	49	20%	39	16%	26	11%
Virginia 831 548 66% 283 34% 236 28% 171 Washington 519 310 60% 209 40% 172 33% 119 West Virginia 260 196 75% 64 25% 56 22% 36 Wisconsin 566 361 64% 205 36% 183 32% 126										14%
Washington 519 310 60% 209 40% 172 33% 119 West Virginia 260 196 75% 64 25% 56 22% 36 Wisconsin 566 361 64% 205 36% 183 32% 126									171	21%
West Virginia 260 196 75% 64 25% 56 22% 36 Wisconsin 566 361 64% 205 36% 183 32% 126										23%
Wisconsin 566 361 64% 205 36% 183 32% 126										14%
										22%
Wyoming 147 100 68% 45 30% 36 25% 30		147	100	68%	45	30%	36	25%	30	20%
										19%
										18%

^{*}Includes fatalities in crashes in which there was no driver (includes motorcycle riders) present. Note: Percentages are computed based on unrounded estimates.

Economic Cost for All Traffic Crashes

The estimated economic cost of all motor vehicle traffic crashes in the United States in 2010 (the most recent year for which cost data is available) was \$242 billion, of which \$44 billion resulted from alcohol-impaired crashes (involving alcohol-impaired drivers or alcohol-impaired nonoccupants). Included in the economic costs are:

- Lost productivity,
- Workplace losses,
- Legal and court expenses,
- Medical costs,
- Emergency medical services,
- Insurance administration,
- Congestion, and
- Property damage.

These costs represent the tangible losses that result from motor vehicle traffic crashes. However, in cases of serious injury or death, such costs fail to capture the relatively intangible value of lost quality-of-life that results from these injuries. When quality-of-life valuations are considered, the total value of societal harm from motor vehicle traffic crashes in the United States in 2010 was an estimated \$836 billion, of which \$201.1 billion resulted from alcohol-impaired crashes. For further information on cost estimates, see *The Economic and Societal Impact of Motor Vehicle Crashes*, 2010 (Revised).²

Additional data visualization tools for fact sheets can be found at https://cdan.dot.gov/DataVisualization/
DataVisualization.htm#

Important Safety Reminders

The best way to prevent alcohol-impaired driving, is to never drive after drinking. When your plans involve drinking alcohol, follow these safety tips. Take a taxi or ride-hailing service to your destination to stop yourself from driving home after drinking.

- Always plan your safe ride home before you go out, choose a non-drinking friend as a designated driver.
- If you do drink, call a taxi, a ride-hailing service, or a sober friend to take you home.

Ways to support your friends and family:

If you're hosting a party where alcohol is served, ask your guests to plan ahead and designate a sober driver before they arrive; offer alcohol-free beverages, and make sure all guests get home safely. If someone you know has been drinking, don't let them drive. Take their keys and arrange a sober ride home for them or have them stay for the night.

Ways to protect yourself and others against impaired drivers:

- Always wear your seat belt it's your best defense against impaired drivers.
- If you see an impaired driver on the road, pull over and contact local law enforcement. Your actions could help save someone's life.
- NHTSA's Research and Program Development

² Blincoe, L. J., Miller, T. R., Zaloshnja, E., & Lawrence, B. A. (2014). The economic and societal impact of motor vehicle crashes, 2010 (Revised. Report No. DOT HS 812 013). National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. Available at https://crashstats.nhtsa.dot.gov/Api/Public/ViewPublication/812013

Fatality Analysis Reporting System

FARS contains data on every fatal motor vehicle traffic crash within the 50 States, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico. To be included in FARS, a traffic crash must involve a motor vehicle traveling on a public trafficway that results in the death of a vehicle occupant or a nonoccupant within 30 days of the crash. The Annual Report File (ARF) is the FARS data file associated with the most recent available year, which is subject to change when it is finalized the following year to the final version known as the Final File. The additional time between the ARF and the Final File provides the opportunity for submission of important variable data requiring outside sources, which may lead to changes in the final counts. More information on FARS can be found at www.nhtsa.gov/crash-data-systems/fatality-analysis-reporting-system.

The updated final counts for the previous data year will be reflected with the release of the recent year's ARF. For example, along with the release of the 2019 ARF, the 2018 Final File was released to replace the 2018 ARF. The final fatality count in motor vehicle traffic crashes for 2018 was 36,835, which was updated from 36,560 in the 2018 ARF. The number of alcohol-impaired-driving fatalities from the 2018 Final File was 10,710, which was updated from 10,511 from the 2018 ARF.

The 2016 and 2017 Final Files have been amended, but this amendment did not change the overall number of fatal crashes or fatalities. The number of alcohol-impaired-driving fatalities for 2016 remained the same at 10,967 fatalities. However, the number of alcohol-impaired-driving fatalities from the 2017 amended Final File was 10,880, which was updated from 10,908 from the 2017 Final File.

The suggested APA format citation for this document is:

National Center for Statistics and Analysis. (2021, July). *Alcoholimpaired driving*: 2019 data (Traffic Safety Facts. Report No. DOT HS 813 120). National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

For More Information:

Motor vehicle traffic crash data are available from the National Center for Statistics and Analysis (NCSA), NSA-230. NCSA can be contacted at NCSARequests@dot.gov or 800-934-8517. NCSA programs can be found at www.nhtsa.gov/data. Additional data tools, such as the State Traffic Safety Information (STSI), Fatality and Injury Reporting System Tool (FIRST), and more can be found at https://cdan.nhtsa.gov/. To report a motor vehicle safety-related problem or to inquire about safety information, contact the Vehicle Safety Hotline at 888-327-4236 or www-odi.nhtsa.dot.gov/VehicleComplaint/.

Other fact sheets available from NCSA are Bicyclists and Other Cyclists, Children, Large Trucks, Motorcycles, Occupant Protection in Passenger Vehicles, Older Population, Passenger Vehicles, Pedestrians, Rural/Urban Comparison of Traffic Fatalities, School-Transportation-Related Crashes, Speeding, State Alcohol-Impaired-Driving Estimates, State Traffic Data, Summary of Motor Vehicle Crashes, and Young Drivers. Detailed data on motor vehicle traffic crashes are published annually in Traffic Safety Facts: A Compilation of Motor Vehicle Crash Data. The fact sheets and Traffic Safety Facts annual report can be found at https://crashstats.nhtsa.dot.gov/.

