DISTRICT COURT, DENVER COUNTY, COLORADO 1437 Bannock Street Denver, CO 80202 ERIC COOMER, Ph.D., Plaintiff vs. DONALD J. TRUMP FOR PRESIDENT, INC., et al., Defendants	DATE FILED: September 17, 2021 8:12 PM FILING ID: E9E5DD591D201 CASE NUMBER: 2020CV34319
Attorneys for Plaintiff Charles J. Cain, No. 51020 <u>ccain@cstrial.com</u> Steve Skarnulis, No. 21PHV6401 <u>skarnulis@cstrial.com</u> Bradley A. Kloewer, No. 50565 <u>bkloewer@cstrial.com</u> Zachary H. Bowman, No. 21PHV6676 <u>zbowman@cstrial.com</u> CAIN & SKARNULIS PLLC P. O. Box 1064 Salida, Colorado 81201 719-530-3011/512-477-5011 (Fax) Thomas M. Rogers III, No. 28809 <u>trey@rklawpc.com</u> Mark Grueskin, No. 14621 <u>mark@rklawpc.com</u> Andrew E. Ho, No. 40381 <u>andrew@rklawpc.com</u> RechtKornfeld PC 1600 Stout Street, Suite 1400 Denver, Colorado 80202 <u>303-573-1900/303-446-9400 (Fax)</u>	Case Number: 2020cv034319 Division Courtroom: 409
EXHIBIT	`J-1

1			
2	DISTRICT CO	JURT	
3	DENVER COUNTY,	COLORADO	
4			
5	ERIC COOMER, Ph.D.,)	
	Plaintiff,)	
б)	
	vs.)Case Number	
7)2020CV34319	
	DONALD J. TRUMP FOR)	
8	PRESIDENT, INC., SIDNEY)	
	POWELL, SIDNEY POWELL,)	
9	P.C., RUDOLPH GIULIANI,)	
	JOSEPH OLTMANN, FEC)	
10	UNITED, SHUFFLING)	
	MADNESS MEDIA, INC. dba)	
11	CONSERVATIVE DAILY,)	
	JAMES HOFT, TGP)	
12	COMMUNICATIONS LLC dba)	
	THE GATEWAY PUNDIT,)	
13	MICHELLE MALKIN, ERIC)	
	METAXAS, CHANEL RION,)	
14	HERRING NETWORKS, INC.)	
	dba ONE AMERICA NEWS)	
15	NETWORK, and NEWSMAX)	
	MEDIA, INC.,)	
16	Defendants.)	
)	
17			
18			
19	VIDEOTAPED DEPOS	SITION OF	
20	RUDOLPH GIU	JLIANI	
21	New York, New	w York	
22	Saturday, August	t 14, 2021	
23			
24	Reported By:		
25	CATHI IRISH, RPR, CRR, CI	LVS	
			Page 1

1			1	
2			2	A P P E A R A N C E S (via Zoom):
3			3	
4			4	RECHT KORNFELD, PC
5			5	Attorneys for Plaintiff
6			6	1600 Stout Street
7			7	Suite 100
8	August 14, 2021		8	Denver, Colorado 80202
9	9:25 a.m.		9	BY: THOMAS M. ROGERS III (TREY), ESQ.
10	<i>9.23</i> a.m.			B1. HOMAS M. KOGEKS III (TKE1), ESQ.
	Videotopod deposition of DUDOL DI		10	
11	Videotaped deposition of RUDOLPH		11	ARRINGTON LAW FIRM
12	GIULIANI, held at the offices of		12	Attorneys for Defendant Sidney Powell
13	Giuliani Partners, 445 Park Avenue,		13	& Sidney Powell, P.C.
14	Suite 1801, New York, New York, befo		14	3801 East Florida Avenue
15	Cathi Irish, a Registered Professional		15	Suite 830
16	Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter,		16	Denver, Colorado 80210
17	and Notary Public of the State of		17	BY: BARRY ARRINGTON, ESQ.
18	New York.		18	
19			19	
20			20	
21			21	
22			22	
23			23	
24			24	
25			25	
	Ι	Page 2		Page 4
1				
1			1	
2	A P P E A R A N C E S:		2	A P P E A R A N C E S (via Zoom cont'd):
3			3	
4	CAIN & SKARNULIS PLLC		4	THE HALL LAW OFFICE, LLC
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff		5	Attorneys for Defendants
6	P.O. Box 1064		6	Joseph Oltmann, FEC United and
7	Salida, Colorado 81201		7	Shuffling Madness Media, Inc.
8	BY: CHARLES J. CAIN, ESQ.		8	dba Conservative Daily
9	STEVE SKARNULIS, ESQ.		9	P.O. BOX 2251
10	BRAD KLOEWER, ESQ.		10	Loveland, Colorado 80539
11	ZACH BOWMAN, ESQ.		11	BY: ANDREA M. HALL, ESQ.
12			12	INGRID J. DeFRANCO, ESQ.
13	JACKSON KELLY PLLC		13	
14	Attorneys for Defendant		14	LAW OFFICES OF RANDY B. CORPORON, P.C.
15	Donald J. Trump for President, Inc.		15	Attorneys for Defendants James Hoft
16	1099 18th Street, Suite 2150		15	and TGP COMMUNICATIONS, LLC
17	Denver, Colorado 80202		10 17	dba THE GATEWAY PUNDIT
17	BY: JOHN ZAKHEM, ESQ.			
	DI. JUIIN ZAKITENI, ESQ.		18	2821 South Parker Road
19	CAMADA & SIDLEV LLD		19 20	Suite 555
20	CAMARA & SIBLEY, LLP		20	Aurora, Colorado 80014
21	Attorneys for Defendant		21	BY: RANDY B. CORPORON, ESQ.
22	Rudolph Giuliani		22	
23	1108 Lavaca Street, Suite 110263		23	
24	Austin, Texas 78701		24	
25	BY: JOE SIBLEY, ESQ.		25	
25		Page 3	25	Page 5

2 (Pages 2 - 5)

1		1	
2	A P P E A R A N C E S (via Zoom cont'd):	2	ALSO PRESENT:
3		3	ROBERT BENIMOFF, videographer
4	PATTERSON RIPPLINGER, P.C.	4	
5	Attorneys for Defendant	5	APPEARING VIA ZOOM:
6	Michelle Malkin	6	REBECCA DOMINGUEZ,
7	5613 DTC Parkway	7	Veritext Case Manager
8	Suite 400	8	SIDNEY POWELL
9	Greenwood Village, Colorado 80111	9	CHANEL RION
10	BY: GORDON A. QUEENAN, ESQ.	10	
11		11	
12	GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP	12	
13	Attorneys for Defendant Eric Metaxas	13	
14	555 Seventeenth Street	14	
15	Suite 3400	15	
16	Denver, Colorado 80202	16	
17	BY: MARGARET BOEHMER, ESQ.	17	
18	THOMAS B. QUINN, ESQ.	18	
19		19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	
25	Page 6	25	Page 8
	1 age 0		
1		1	
2	A P P E A R A N C E S (via Zoom cont'd):	2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning.
3		3	We're now going on the record at
4	LATHROP GPM LLP	4	approximately 9:25 a.m. on August 14,
5	Attorneys for Defendant Chanel Rion	5	2021.
6	and Herring Networks, Inc.	6	Please note that the microphones
7	dba One America News Network	7	are sensitive and may pick up
8	1515 Wynkoop Street	8	whispering, private conversations and
9	Suite 600	9	cellular interference. Please turn
10	Denver, Colorado 80202	10	off all cell phones or place them away
11	BY: BRAD JOHNSON, ESQ.	11	from the microphones as they can
12	STEPHEN K. DEXTER, ESQ.	12	interfere with the deposition audio.
13		13	Audio and video recording will
14	DYMOND • REAGOR, PLLC	14	continue to take place until all
15	Attorneys for Defending the Republic	15	parties agree to go off the record.
16	8400 East Prentice Avenue	16	This is media unit 1 of the video
17	Suite 1040	17	recorded deposition of Rudolph
18	Greenwood Village, Colorado 80111	18	Giuliani in the matter of Eric Coomer
19	BY: MICHAEL W. REAGOR, ESQ.	19	versus Donald J. Trump for President,
20	CHRISTOPHER SEERVELD, ESQ.	20	Inc., et al., filed in the District
21	ABBIE FRYE, ESQ.	21	Court, Denver County, Colorado, Case
22		22	Number 2020CV34319.
23		23	This deposition is held at
24		24	Giuliani Partners located at 445 Park
25	Dece 7	25	Avenue, New York.
	Page 7		Page 9

3 (Pages 6 - 9)

1 1 Republic. 3 representing Veritext and I am the 3 MS. DOMINGUEZ: Andrea Hall. 4 videographer. The court reporter is 4 Mr. Barry Arrington. Mr. Brad 6 1 am not authorized to administer 6 Christopher, could you please let 7 an oath. 1 am not related to any 7 me know which firm you're with and 8 party in this action, nor an I 8 9 MR. REAGOR: Chris Seerveld is an 10 Conneel and all present in the 10 MR. REAGOR: Chris Seerveld is an 11 room and everyone attending remotely 11 MR. SIBLEY: Brad Johnson is 12 will now state their appearances and 12 MR. JOHNSON: I am attorney for 13 affiliations for the record, and if 13 MR. JOHNSON: I am attorney for 14 there are any objections to 14 Sorry, there's a lot of lawyers. I 16 time of your appearance brainning with 16 Sorry, there's a lot of lawyers. I 15 MR. CAIN: In the room, my name 18 All right, go abead. 16 Mr. Zach Bowman. 21 THE WITNESS: Representing?				
3representing Veritext and I am the videographer. The court reporter is Cathi fish representing Veritext. S Cathi fish representing Veritext. a no ath. I am not related to any party in this action, nor am I a noath. I am not related to any party in this action, nor am I manuel authorized to administer financially interested in the outcome. Image: Interested in the outcome. mense and all present in the there are any objections to there are any objections to is charlie Cain. My team is with me, there are any objections to is charlie Cain. My team is with me, is charlie Cain. My team is with me, and there cain. My team is with me, the noticing attorney.MR. SIBLEY: Okay, got i. Sorry, there's a lot of lawyers. I can't keep up with everyone. at KEBLEY: Okay, got i. Sorry, there's a lot of lawyers. I can't keep up with everyone.1Mr. RACAIN: In the room, my name is Charlie Cain. My team is with me, objection to the proceeding blog with objection to the proceeding blog with so Charlie Cain. My team is with me, adoing the deposition, I want to make it reper 1012Mr. StBLEY: Joe Sibley for Rudy addition remotely in the case or parties in the deposition runet proceedings. Page 1011clear that the only remote court reporting service who is administering the remote proceedings. Page 1012clear that the only remote court reporting service who is the case, and I would like to ask our court reporting service who is administering the remote proceedings. Fage 1011The motive site are here. rup administering the remote proceedings. Fage 1011The case and I would like to ask our court sporting who is here?2	1		$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	
4 videographer. The court reporter is 4 Mr. Barry Arrington. Mr. Brad 5 Cathi Irish representing Veritext. 5 Johnson, Ms. Chanel Rion. 6 Tam not authorized to administer 6 Christopher, could you please let 7 an oath. I am not related to any 7 me know which firm you're with and 9 party in this action, on am I 8 9 9 financially interested in the outcome. 9 MR. REAGOR: Chris Seerveld is an 10 Counsel and all present in the 10 MR. SIBLEY: Brad Johnson is 12 will now state their appearances and 12 Who is Brad Johnson? 13 affiliations for the record, and if 13 MR. SIBLEY: I and all orayyers. I 16 time of your appearance beginning with 16 Sorry, there's a lot of lawyers. I 17 the noticing attorney. 17 can't keep up with everyone. 1 18 MR. CAIN: In the room, my name 8 All right, go abead. 1 20 Mr. Zach Bowman. 21 Mr. Zach Bowman. 21 MR. SIBLEY: Socy Sibley for Rudy 22 21 Mr. Salt Kloewer, M	1		1	
5 Cathi Trish representing Verifiest. 5 Johnson, Ms. Chanel Rion. 6 Tam not authorized to any 6 Christopher, could you please let 7 an oath. I am not related to any 7 me know which firm you're with and 8 party in this action, nor am I 8 your full name? 9 financially interested in the outcome. 9 MR. REAGOR: Chris Seerveld is an attorney for Defending the Republic. 11 room and everyone attending remotely 11 MR. SIBLEY: Brad Johnson? 12 will now state their appearances and 12 who is Brad Johnson? 13 affiliations for the record, and if 13 MR. JOHNSON: I am attorney for 14 there are any objections to 14 OAN and Rion. 15 proceeding, please state them at the 15 MR. SIBLEY: Okay, got it. 16 time of your appearance beginning with 16 Sorry, there's a lot of lawyers. I 17 the noticing attorney. 17 can't keep up with everyone. All right, go ahead. 19 is Charlie Cain. My team is with me, 19 MK. REAGOR: Chris Seersenting? 21 Mr. Zach Bowman. 21 THE WITNESS: Representing? 22 MR. SIBLEY: Is and Kloewer, Mr. Steve Skarnulis. 0 <t< td=""><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	1			
6 I am not authorized to administer 6 Christopher, could you please let me know which firm you're with and your full name? 9 party in this action, nor am I 8 your full name? 9 financially interested in the outcome. 9 MR. REAGOR: Chris Seerveld is an attorney for Defending the Republic. 10 Counsel and all present in the 10 MR. SIBLEY: Brad Johnson? 12 will now state their appearances and 12 MR. SIBLEY: Brad Johnson? 13 affiliations for the record, and if 13 MR. JOHNSON: I am attorney for 14 there are any objections to 14 OAN and Rion. 15 procceding, please state them at the 15 Sorry, there's a lot of lawyers. I 16 time of your appearance beginning with 16 Sorry, there's a lot of lawyers. I 17 the noticing attorney. 17 can't keep up with everyone. 18 MR. KAIN: In the room, my name 18 All right, go abead. 20 Mr. SIBLEY: Joe Sibley for Rudy 22 MR. SIBLEY: Okay, Greg, could 23 Giuliani, and I don't have an 23 Oltmann. 24 24 objection to the proceedi		÷		
7 an oath. I am not related to any party in this action, nor am I 7 me know which firm you're with and your full name? 8 party in this action, nor am I 8 your full name? 9 financially interested in the outcome. 0 MR. REAGOR: Chris Seerveld is an attorney for Defending the Republic. 11 room and everyone attending remotely 11 MR. REAGOR: Chris Seerveld is an attorney for Defending the Republic. 13 affiliations for the record, and if 13 MR. SIBLEY: Brad Johnson is 14 there are any objections to 14 OAN and Rion. 15 proceeding, please state them at the 16 5 Sorry, there's a lot of lawyers. I 16 time of your appearance beginning with 16 16 Sorry, there's a lot of lawyers. I 18 MR. CAIN: In the room, my name 18 All right, go ahead. 19 is Charlie Cain. My team is with me, 21 19 Wr. Brad Kloewer, Mr. Steve Skarnulis, 21 Oltmann. 21 MR. SIBLEY: Joe Sibley for Rudy 23 Giuliani, and I don't have an 23 Oltmann. Oltmann. 22 the deposition, I want to make it 24 objection to the proceeding bu efore 24 MR. SLDLY: Oltmann, that's 25 Okay, I'm going to go	1			
8 party in this action, nor am I 8 your full name? 9 financially interested in the outcome. 9 MR. REAGOR: Chris Seerveld is an attorney for Defending the Republic. 11 room and everyone attending remotely 11 MR. SIBLEY: Brad Johnson is 12 will now state their appearances and 12 who is Brad Johnson? 13 affiliations for the record, and if 13 MR. JOHNSON: I am attorney for 14 there are any objections to 14 OAN and Rion. 15 proceeding, please state them at the 15 Sorry, there's a lot of lawyers. I 16 time of your appearance beginning with 17 can't keep up with everyone. 18 MR. CAIN: In the room, my name 18 All right, go ahead. 19 is Charlie Cain. My team is with me, 19 MS. HALL: And Rebecca, Ingrid is 20 Mr. Brad Kloewer, Mr. Steve Skarnulis, 20 With my office as well. 21 21 Giuliani, and I don't have an 23 Oltmann. 23 Oltmann. 22 clear that the only remote 2 You're on mute. 34 attorneys in the case on a store 36			1	
9 financially interested in the outcome. 9 MR. REAGOR: Chris Seerveld is an atomey for Defending the Republic. 10 Counsel and all present in the 10 atomey for Defending the Republic. 12 will now state their appearances and 12 MR. SIBLEY: Brad Johnson is 13 affiliations for the record, and if 13 MR. JOHNSON: I am atorney for 14 there are any objections to 14 OAN and Rion. 15 proceeding, please state them at the 15 Sorry, there's a lot of lawyers. I 16 time of your appearance beginning with 16 Sorry, there's a lot of lawyers. I 18 MR. CAIN: In the room, my name 18 All right, go ahead. with my office as well. 21 Mr. Zach Bowman. 21 THE WITNESS: Representing? Oltmann. 23 Giuliani, and I don't have an 23 Oltmann. Oltmann. 24 objection to the proceeding but before 24 MS. DOMINGUEZ: Okay, Greg, could You're on mute. 3 participants should either be 3 Okay, I'm going to go ahead and 4 attorneys in the case or parties in 4 Know which firm, you're with and	1	•		
10 Counsel and all present in the 10 attorney for Defending the Republic. 11 room and everyone attending remotely 11 MR. SIBLEY: Brad Johnson is - 13 affiliations for the record, and if 13 MR. SIBLEY: Brad Johnson is - 14 there are any objections to 14 OAN and Rion. 15 proceeding, please state them at the 16 Sorry, there's a lot of lawyers. I 16 time of your appearance beginning with 16 Sorry, there's a lot of lawyers. I 17 the noticing attorney. 17 cart keep up with everyone. 18 MR. CAIN: In the room, my name 18 All right, go ahead. 19 is Charlic Cain. My team is with me, 19 MS. HALL: And Rebecca, Ingrid is 20 Mr. Brad Kloewer, Mr. Steve Skarnulis, 20 With my office as well. 21 Mr. SIBLEY: Joe Sibley for Rudy 22 MR. SIDLEY: Oltmann, that's 23 Giuliani, and I don't have an 23 Oltmann. 24 MS. DOMINGUEZ: Okay, Greg, could you please let me know who you are? 25 the deposition, I want to make it 25 Gordon, could you pleaselet me		- ·		•
11 room and everyone attending remotely 11 MR. SIBLEY: Brad Johnson is 12 will now state their appearances and 12 who is Brad Johnson? 12 affiliations for the record, and if 13 MR. JOHNSON: I am attorney for 14 there are any objections to 14 MR. JOHNSON: I am attorney for 15 proceeding, please state them at the 15 MR. JOHNSON: I am attorney for 16 time of your appearance beginning with 16 Sorry, there's a lot of lawyers. I 17 the noticing attorney. 17 can't keep up with everyone. 18 MR. CALN: In the room, my name 18 All right, go ahead. 20 Mr. Brad Kloewer, Mr. Steve Skamulis, 20 with my office as well. 21 Mr. SIBLEY: Joe Sibley for Rudy 22 MR. SIBLEY: Oltmann, that's 23 Giuliani, and I don't have an 23 Oltmann. 21 24 objection to the proceeding but before 24 MS. DOMINGUEZ: Okay, Greg, could 25 the deposition, I want to make it 25 You're on mute. 2 opticinants should either be 3 Okay, I'm going to go ahead and </td <td>1</td> <td>•</td> <td>-</td> <td></td>	1	•	-	
12 will now state their appearances and 12 who is Brad Johnson? 13 affiliations for the record, and if 13 MR. JOHNSON: I am attorney for 14 there are any objections to 14 OAN and Rion. 15 proceeding, please state them at the 15 MR. SIBLEY: Okay, got it. 16 time of your appearance beginning with 16 Sorry, there's a lot of lawyers. I 17 the noticing attorney. 17 can't keep up with everyone. 18 18 MR. CAIN: In the room, my name 18 All right, go ahead. 19 is Charlie Cain. My team is with me, 19 MS. HALL: And Rebecca, Ingrid is 20 Mr. Brad Kloewer, Mr. Steve Skarnulis, 20 with my office as well. 21 21 MR. SIBLEY: Joe Sibley for Rudy 22 MR. SIBLEY: Oltmann, that's OItmann. 22 MR. SIBLEY: Interocecing but before 24 MS. DOMINGUEZ: Okay, Greg, could You're on mute. 3 participants should either be 3 Okay, I'm going to go ahead and put him in the waiting room right now. 4 attorneys in the case or parties in 4 Gordon, could you please let wow. </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
13 affiliations for the record, and if 13 MR. JOHNSON: I am attorney for 14 there are any objections to 14 OAN and Rion. 15 proceeding, please state them at the 15 MR. SIBLEY: Okay, got it. 16 time of your appearance beginning with 16 Sorry, there's a lot of lawyers. I 17 the noticing attorney. 17 can't keep up with everyone. 18 MR. CAIN: In the room, my name 18 All right, go ahead. 20 Mr. Brad Kloewer, Mr. Steve Skarnulis, 20 with my office as well. 21 Mr. Stal LEY: Joe Sibley for Rudy 22 MR. SIBLEY: Oltmann, that's 23 Giuliani, and I don't have an 23 Oltmann. 24 objection to the proceeding but before 24 MS. DOMINGUEZ: Okay, Greg, could 25 the deposition, I want to make it 2 You're on mute. Gordon, could you please let me know whoy ou are? 21 2 clear that the only remote 3 Okay, I'm going to go ahead and put him in the waiting room right now. 3 6 court reporting service who is 6 Know which firm you're with and your full name, please? 4				MR. SIBLEY: Brad Johnson is
14there are any objections to14OAN and Rion.15proceeding, please state them at the15MR. SIBLEY: Okay, got it.16time of your appearance beginning with16Sorry, there's a lot of lawyers. I17the noticing attorney.17can't keep up with everyone.18MR. CAIN: In the room, my name18All right, go ahead.19is Charlie Cain. My team is with me,20Mr. Brad Kloewer, Mr. Steve Skarnulis,20Mr. Brad Kloewer, Mr. Steve Skarnulis,2021Mr. Zach Bowman.21THE WITNESS: Representing?22MR. SIBLEY: Joe Sibley for Rudy2336Giuliani, and I don't have an2323Goluiani, and I don't have an2324objection to the proceeding but before2425the deposition, I want to make it2026reage and I would like to ask our527fut attorneys in the case or parties in44attorneys in the case or parties in45the case, and I would like to ask our56court reporting service who is67administering the remote proceedings88that only the proper parties are here.1010that only the proper parties are here.1111MR. ZAKHEM: John Zakhem for the1412Trump campaign.1213THE WITNESS: Rudolph W.1314Giuliani.1415MR. SIBLEY: Can			1	who is Brad Johnson?
15 proceeding, please state them at the time of your appearance beginning with 17 15 MR. SIBLEY: Okay, got it. Sorry, there's a lot of lawyers. I 17 the noticing attorney. 17 can't keep up with everyone. 18 MR. CAIN: In the room, my name 18 All right, go ahead. 19 is Charlie Cain. My team is with me, 20 19 Mr. Brad Kloewer, Mr. Steve Skarnulis, 20 20 20 Mr. SIBLEY: Joe Sibley for Rudy 22 21 THE WITNESS: Representing? 23 Giuliani, and I don't have an 24 21 THE WITNESS: Representing? 24 objection to the proceeding but before 25 24 MS. DOMINGUEZ: Okay, Greg, could 25 24 objection to the proceeding but before 25 24 MS. DOMINGUEZ: Okay, Greg, could 25 26 clear that the only remote 3 3 OKay, I'm going to go ahead and 4 4 attorneys in the case or parties in 4 4 14 New which firm you're with and your 5 7 full name, please? 7 full name, please? 8 to verify who's been let into the 9 8 MR. QUEENAN: It's in the chat. 11 17 17 MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext 16 10 represent M		affiliations for the record, and if	13	MR. JOHNSON: I am attorney for
16 time of your appearance beginning with 16 Sorry, there's a lot of lawyers. I 17 the noticing attorney. 17 can't keep up with everyone. 18 MR. CAIN: In the room, my name 18 19 is Charlie Cain. My team is with me, 19 MR. Stalk loewer, Mr. Steve Skarnulis, 20 20 Mr. Brad Kloewer, Mr. Steve Skarnulis, 20 with my office as well. 21 Mr. Zach Bowman. 21 THE WITNESS: Representing? 23 Giuliani, and I don't have an 23 Oltmann. 24 objection to the proceeding but before 24 MS. DOMINGUEZ: Okay, Greg, could 25 the deposition, I want to make it 25 You're on mute. 7 26 clear that the only remote 2 You're on mute. 0 0kay, I'm going to go ahead and 3 participants should either be 3 Okay, I'm going to go ahead and put him in the waiting room right now. 5 the case, and I would like to ask our 6 Know which firm you're with and your 6 court reporting service who is 6 Know which firm you're with and your 7 <t< td=""><td>14</td><td>there are any objections to</td><td>14</td><td>OAN and Rion.</td></t<>	14	there are any objections to	14	OAN and Rion.
17 the noticing attorney. 17 can't keep up with everyone. 18 MR. CAIN: In the room, my name 18 All right, go ahead. 19 is Charlie Cain. My team is with me, 19 MR. SIBLEY: Joe Sibley of Rudy 21 Mr. Zach Bowman. 21 THE WITNESS: Representing? 22 MR. SIBLEY: Joe Sibley of Rudy 22 MR. SIBLEY: Oltmann, that's 23 Giuliani, and I don't have an 23 Oltmann. 24 objection to the proceeding but before 24 Oltmann. 25 the deposition, I want to make it 25 Oltmann. 26 clear that the only remote 21 You're on mute. 3 participants should either be 3 Okay, I'm going to go ahead and put him in the waiting room right now. 5 the case, and I would like to ask our 5 Gordon, could you please let me that only the proper parties are here. 10 10 that anoly the proper parties are here. 10 Mr. QUEENAN. It's in the chat. 11 MR. ZAKHEM: John Zakhem for the 11 Mr. Corporon, he's with his own 13 THE WITNESS: Rudolph W. 13 Fird. Stephen	15	proceeding, please state them at the	15	MR. SIBLEY: Okay, got it.
18 MR, CAIN: In the room, my name 18 All right, go ahead. 19 is Charlie Cain. My team is with me, 19 MS. HALL: And Rebecca, Ingrid is 20 Mr. Brad Kloewer, Mr. Steve Skamulis, 20 with my office as well. 21 Mr. Zach Bowman. 21 THE WITNESS: Representing? 22 MR. SIBLEY: Joe Sibley for Rudy 22 MR. SIBLEY: Oltmann, that's 23 Giuliani, and I don't have an 23 Oltmann. 24 objection to the proceeding but before 24 MS. DOMINGUEZ: Okay, Greg, could 25 the deposition, I want to make it 25 You're on mute. 26 clear that the only remote 2 You're on mute. 3 participants should either be 3 Okay, I'm going to go ahead and 4 attorneys in the case or parties in 4 put him in the waiting room right now. 5 the case, and I would like to ask our 5 Gordon, could you please let me 6 court reporting service who is 6 know which firm you're with and your 7 administering the remote proceedings 7 full name, please? It's in the chat.	16	time of your appearance beginning with	16	Sorry, there's a lot of lawyers. I
19is Charlie Cain. My team is with me, Mr. Brad Kloewer, Mr. Steve Skarnulis, 2019MS. HALL: And Rebecca, Ingrid is with my office as well.21Mr. Zach Bowman.21THE WITNESS: Representing? 2321MR. SIBLEY: Joe Sibley for Rudy 2323Giuliani, and I don't have an objection to the proceeding but before Page 102324objection to the proceeding but before 2524MR. SIBLEY: Oltmann, that's Oltmann.25the deposition, I want to make it participants should either be the case, and I would like to ask our 524You're on mute. Okay, I'm going to go ahead and put him in the waiting room right now. Gordon, could you please let me the case, and I would like to ask our administering the remote proceedings 84With my office as weilt. You're on mute.7administering the remote proceedings 46Know which firm you're with and your full name, please?7full name, please?18to verify who's been let into the 489deposition remotely so we can ensure 4910that only the proper parties are here. 111111MR. ZAKHEM: John Zakhen for the 131112MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext 161513THE WITNESS: Rudolph W. 131314Giuliani. 141415MS. DOMINGUEZ: We've got 1716to verify who is here? 161617MS. DOMINGUEZ: We've got 1718Ms. Andrea Hall. Abbie Frye. 18 <t< td=""><td>17</td><td>the noticing attorney.</td><td>17</td><td>can't keep up with everyone.</td></t<>	17	the noticing attorney.	17	can't keep up with everyone.
19is Charlie Cain. My team is with me, Mr. Brad Kloewer, Mr. Steve Skarnulis, 2019MS. HALL: And Rebecca, Ingrid is with my office as well.21Mr. Zach Bowman.21THE WITNESS: Representing? 2321MR. SIBLEY: Joe Sibley for Rudy 2323Giuliani, and I don't have an objection to the proceeding but before Page 102324objection to the proceeding but before 2524MR. SIBLEY: Oltmann, that's Oltmann.25the deposition, I want to make it participants should either be the case, and I would like to ask our 524You're on mute. Okay, I'm going to go ahead and put him in the waiting room right now. Gordon, could you please let me the case, and I would like to ask our administering the remote proceedings 84With my office as weilt. You're on mute.7administering the remote proceedings 46Know which firm you're with and your full name, please?7full name, please?18to verify who's been let into the 489deposition remotely so we can ensure 4910that only the proper parties are here. 111111MR. ZAKHEM: John Zakhen for the 131112MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext 161513THE WITNESS: Rudolph W. 131314Giuliani. 141415MS. DOMINGUEZ: We've got 1716to verify who is here? 161617MS. DOMINGUEZ: We've got 1718Ms. Andrea Hall. Abbie Frye. 18 <t< td=""><td>18</td><td>MR. CAIN: In the room, my name</td><td>18</td><td>All right, go ahead.</td></t<>	18	MR. CAIN: In the room, my name	18	All right, go ahead.
21Mr. Zach Bowman.21THÉ WITNESS: Representing?22MR. SIBLEY: Joe Sibley for Rudy23MR. SIBLEY: Oltmann, that's23Giuliani, and I don't have an23Oltmann.24objection to the proceeding but before24MS. DOMINGUEZ: Okay, Greg, could25the deposition, I want to make it25MS. DOMINGUEZ: Okay, Greg, could26clear that the only remote2You're on mute.3participants should either be3Okay, I'm going to go ahead and4attorneys in the case or parties in4put him in the waiting room right now.5the case, and I would like to ask our6Gordon, could you please let me6court reporting service who is6know which firm you're with and your7administering the remote proceedings7full name, please?8to verify who's been let into the9I's Patterson Rippling and I10that only the proper parties are here.10represent Michelle Malkin.11MR. ZAKHEM: John Zakhem for the11MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.13THE WITNESS: Rudolph W.13firm.14Giuliani.14Sidney, I believe that's Sidney15MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext16Stephen Dexter is with Herring16to verify who is here?16Stephen Dexter is with Herring17MS. DOMINGUEZ: We've got17Networks. he represents Herring18Ms. Andrea Hall. Abbie Frye.18Ne	19		19	MS. HALL: And Rebecca, Ingrid is
22MR. SIBLEY: Joe Sibley for Rudy Giuliani, and I don't have an objection to the proceeding but before the deposition, I want to make it22MR. SIBLEY: Oltmann, that's Oltmann.24objection to the proceeding but before the deposition, I want to make it page 1023MS. DOMINGUEZ: Okay, Greg, could you please let me know who you are? Page 12112clear that the only remote attorneys in the case or parties in the case, and I would like to ask our ocurt reporting service who is to verify who's been let into the deposition remotely so we can ensure deposition remotely so we can ensure7MR. QUENNAN: It's in the chat. It's Patterson Rippling and I represent Michelle Malkin.11MR. ZAKHEM: John Zakhem for the IT11MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.12Trump campaign. MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext If14Sidney, I believe that's Sidney16to verify who is here? MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext MR. SIBLEY: Abbie Frye.16Stephen Dexter is with Herring MR. SIBLEY: Yes. Abbie, can you please let us know which firm you rewith?2223MR. REAGOR: This is Michael Reagor for Defending the Republic. Z423Margaret Boehmer. He and I are counsel for Eric Metaxas. Z424Reagor for Defending the Republic. Z423Margaret Boehmer. He and I are counsel for Eric Metaxas.	20	Mr. Brad Kloewer, Mr. Steve Skarnulis,	20	with my office as well.
22MR. SIBLEY: Joe Sibley for Rudy Giuliani, and I don't have an objection to the proceeding but before the deposition, I want to make it22MR. SIBLEY: Oltmann, that's Oltmann.24objection to the proceeding but before the deposition, I want to make it page 1023MS. DOMINGUEZ: Okay, Greg, could you please let me know who you are? Page 12112clear that the only remote attorneys in the case or parties in the case, and I would like to ask our ocurt reporting service who is to verify who's been let into the deposition remotely so we can ensure deposition remotely so we can ensure7MR. QUENNAN: It's in the chat. It's Patterson Rippling and I represent Michelle Malkin.11MR. ZAKHEM: John Zakhem for the IT11MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.12Trump campaign. MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext If14Sidney, I believe that's Sidney16to verify who is here? MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext MR. SIBLEY: Abbie Frye.16Stephen Dexter is with Herring MR. SIBLEY: Yes. Abbie, can you please let us know which firm you rewith?2223MR. REAGOR: This is Michael Reagor for Defending the Republic. Z423Margaret Boehmer. He and I are counsel for Eric Metaxas. Z424Reagor for Defending the Republic. Z423Margaret Boehmer. He and I are counsel for Eric Metaxas.	21		21	
23 Giuliani, and I don't have an objection to the proceeding but before the deposition, I want to make it Page 10 23 Oltmann. 24 objection to the proceeding but before the deposition, I want to make it Page 10 24 MS. DOMINGUEZ: Okay, Greg, could you please let me know who you are? Page 12 1 1 25 You're on mute. 26 Page 10 Page 12 1 2 clear that the only remote attorneys in the case or parties in the case, and I would like to ask our court reporting service who is 3 Okay, I'm going to go ahead and put him in the waiting room right now. 5 the case, and I would like to ask our court reporting service who is 6 Gordon, could you please let me know which firm you're with and your full name, please? 10 that only the proper parties are here. 10 represent Michelle Malkin. 11 MR. ZAKHEM: John Zakhem for the 11 MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you. 12 Trump campaign. 13 firm. 13 THE WITNESS: Rudolph W. 13 firm. 14 Giuliani. 14 Sidney, I believe that's Sidney 15 MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext 15 Powell. 16 to verify who is here? 16 Stephen Dexter is with Herring<	22	MR. SIBLEY: Joe Sibley for Rudy	22	
24 objection to the proceeding but before the deposition, I want to make it 24 MS. DOMINGUEZ: Okay, Greg, could you please let me know who you are? Page 12 1 1 1 2 You're on mute. 3 participants should either be 3 Okay, I'm going to go ahead and put him in the waiting room right now. 5 the case, and I would like to ask our 5 Gordon, could you please let me 6 court reporting service who is 6 know which firm you're with and your 7 administering the remote proceedings 7 full name, please? 8 to verify who's been let into the 8 MR. QUEENAN: It's in the chat. 10 that only the proper parties are here. 10 represent Michelle Malkin. 11 MR. ZAKHEM: John Zakhem for the 11 MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you. 12 Trump campaign. 12 Mr. Corporon, he's with his own 13 THE WITNESS: Rudolph W. 13 firm. 14 Giuliani. 14 Sidney, I believe that's Sidney 15 MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext 15 Powell. 16 to verify who is here? 16 Stephen Dexter is w	1	• •	1	
25 the deposition, I want to make it 25 you please let me know who you are? 1 1 1 Page 10 Page 12 1 2 clear that the only remote 3 Okay, I'm going to go ahead and 3 participants should either be 3 Okay, I'm going to go ahead and 4 attorneys in the case or parties in 4 put him in the waiting room right now. 5 the case, and I would like to ask our 5 Gordon, could you please let me 6 court reporting service who is 6 know which firm you're with and your 7 administering the remote proceedings 7 full name, please? 8 to verify who's been let into the 8 MR. QUEENAN: It's in the chat. 9 deposition remotely so we can ensure 9 It's Patterson Rippling and I 10 that only the proper parties are here. 10 mr. Corporon, he's with his own 13 THE WITNESS: Rudolph W. 13 firm. 14 Giuliani. 14 Sidney, I believe that's Sidney 15 MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext 15 Powell. 16	1		1	
Page 10Page 10112clear that the only remote3participants should either be4attorneys in the case or parties in4attorneys in the case or parties in5the case, and I would like to ask our6court reporting service who is7administering the remote proceedings8to verify who's been let into the9deposition remotely so we can ensure10that only the proper parties are here.11MR. ZAKHEM: John Zakhem for the11MR. ZAKHEM: John Zakhem for the11MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext15MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext16to verify who is here?17MS. DOMINGUEZ: We've got18Ms. Andrea Hall. Abbie Frye.19MR. SIBLEY: Abbie Frye?19MR. SIBLEY: Abbie Frye?19MR. SIBLEY: Abbie Frye?20MS. DOMINGUEZ: Yes. Abbie, can21you please let us know which firm22You're with?23MR. REAGOR: This is Michael24Reagor for Defending the Republic.25Abbie is an attorney for Defending the26MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.	1			
2clear that the only remote2You're on mute.3participants should either be3Okay, I'm going to go ahead and4attorneys in the case or parties in4put him in the waiting room right now.5the case, and I would like to ask our5Gordon, could you please let me6court reporting service who is6know which firm you're with and your7administering the remote proceedings7full name, please?8to verify who's been let into the8MR. QUEENAN: It's in the chat.9deposition remotely so we can ensure9It's Patterson Rippling and I10that only the proper parties are here.10represent Michelle Malkin.11MR. ZAKHEM: John Zakhem for the11MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.12Trump campaign.12Mr. Corporon, he's with his own13THE WITNESS: Rudolph W.13firm.14Giuliani.14Sidney, I believe that's Sidney15MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext15Powell.16to verify who is here?16Stephen Dexter is with Herring17MS. DOMINGUEZ: We've got17Networks.19MR. SIBLEY: Abbie Frye?19Mr. Tom Quinn. Mr. Quinn, which20MS. DOMINGUEZ: Yes. Abbie, can20firm are you with, please?21you please let us know which firm21MS. BOEHMER: Tom is with Gordon22MR. REAGOR: This is Michael23Margaret Boehmer. He and I are<				
2clear that the only remote2You're on mute.3participants should either be3Okay, I'm going to go ahead and4attorneys in the case or parties in4put him in the waiting room right now.5the case, and I would like to ask our5Gordon, could you please let me6court reporting service who is6know which firm you're with and your7administering the remote proceedings7full name, please?8to verify who's been let into the8MR. QUEENAN: It's in the chat.9deposition remotely so we can ensure9It's Patterson Rippling and I10that only the proper parties are here.10represent Michelle Malkin.11MR. ZAKHEM: John Zakhem for the11MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.12Trump campaign.12Mr. Corporon, he's with his own13THE WITNESS: Rudolph W.13firm.14Giuliani.14Sidney, I believe that's Sidney15MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext15Powell.16to verify who is here?16Stephen Dexter is with Herring17MS. DOMINGUEZ: We've got17Networks.19MR. SIBLEY: Abbie Frye?19Mr. Tom Quinn. Mr. Quinn, which20MS. DOMINGUEZ: Yes. Abbie, can20firm are you with, please?21you please let us know which firm21MS. BOEHMER: Tom is with Gordon22MR. REAGOR: This is Michael23Margaret Boehmer. He and I are<	1		1	
3participants should either be attorneys in the case or parties in the case, and I would like to ask our court reporting service who is3Okay, I'm going to go ahead and put him in the waiting room right now.5the case, and I would like to ask our court reporting service who is5Gordon, could you please let me know which firm you're with and your full name, please?7administering the remote proceedings to verify who's been let into the8MR. QUEENAN: It's in the chat.9deposition remotely so we can ensure deposition remotely so we can ensure9It's Patterson Rippling and I10that only the proper parties are here.10MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.11MR. ZAKHEM: John Zakhem for the ITrump campaign.11MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.13THE WITNESS: Rudolph W.13firm.14Giuliani.14Sidney, I believe that's Sidney15MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext to verify who is here?16Stephen Dexter is with Herring17MS. DOMINGUEZ: We've got17Networks, he represents Herring18Ms. Andrea Hall. Abbie Frye.18Networks.19MR. SIBLEY: Abbie Frye?20Mr. Tom Quinn. Mr. Quinn, which20MS. DOMINGUEZ: Yes. Abbie, can you please let us know which firm 		clear that the only remote	1	Vou're on mute
4attorneys in the case or parties in the case, and I would like to ask our court reporting service who is4put him in the waiting room right now. Gordon, could you please let me know which firm you're with and your7administering the remote proceedings administering the remote proceedings deposition remotely so we can ensure deposition remotely so we can ensure deposition remotely so we can ensure6know which firm you're with and your10that only the proper parties are here. that only the proper parties are here.10represent Michelle Malkin.11MR. ZAKHEM: John Zakhem for the that only the proper parties. Trump campaign.12Mr. Corporon, he's with his own13THE WITNESS: Rudolph W.13firm.14Giuliani.14Sidney, I believe that's Sidney15MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext15Powell.16to verify who is here?16Stephen Dexter is with Herring17MS. DOMINGUEZ: We've got17Networks.18Ms. Andrea Hall. Abbie Frye.18Networks.19MR. SIBLEY: Abbie Frye?19Mr. Tom Quinn. Mr. Quinn, which20you please let us know which firm you're with?22Rees Scully Mansukhani. This is23MR. REAGOR: This is Michael23Margaret Boehmer. He and I are24Reagor for Defending the Republic.24counsel for Eric Metaxas.25Abbie is an attorney for Defending the25MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.			1	
5the case, and I would like to ask our5Gordon, could you please let me6court reporting service who is6know which firm you're with and your7administering the remote proceedings7full name, please?8to verify who's been let into the8MR. QUEENAN: It's in the chat.9deposition remotely so we can ensure9It's Patterson Rippling and I10that only the proper parties are here.10represent Michelle Malkin.11MR. ZAKHEM: John Zakhem for the11MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.12Trump campaign.12Mr. Corporon, he's with his own13THE WITNESS: Rudolph W.13firm.14Giuliani.14Sidney, I believe that's Sidney15MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext15Powell.16to verify who is here?16Stephen Dexter is with Herring17MS. DOMINGUEZ: We've got17Networks.18Ms. Andrea Hall. Abbie Frye.18Networks.19MR. SIBLEY: Abbie Frye?19Mr. Tom Quinn. Mr. Quinn, which20you please let us know which firm21MS. BOEHMER: Tom is with Gordon21you please let us know which firm22Rees Scully Mansukhani. This is23MR. REAGOR: This is Michael23Margaret Boehmer. He and I are24Reagor for Defending the Republic.24counsel for Eric Metaxas.25Abbie is an attorney for Defending the25MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you. </td <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	1			
6court reporting service who is6know which firm you're with and your7administering the remote proceedings7full name, please?8to verify who's been let into the8MR. QUEENAN: It's in the chat.9deposition remotely so we can ensure9It's Patterson Rippling and I10that only the proper parties are here.10represent Michelle Malkin.11MR. ZAKHEM: John Zakhem for the11MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.12Trump campaign.12Mr. Corporon, he's with his own13THE WITNESS: Rudolph W.13firm.14Giuliani.14Sidney, I believe that's Sidney15MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext15Powell.16to verify who is here?16Stephen Dexter is with Herring17MS. DOMINGUEZ: We've got17Networks, he represents Herring18Ms. Andrea Hall. Abbie Frye.18Networks.19MR. SIBLEY: Abbie Frye?19Mr. Tom Quinn. Mr. Quinn, which20you please let us know which firm21MS. BOEHMER: Tom is with Gordon22you're with?22Rees Scully Mansukhani. This is23MR. REAGOR: This is Michael23Margaret Boehmer. He and I are24Reagor for Defending the Republic.24counsel for Eric Metaxas.25Abbie is an attorney for Defending the25MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.	1	•		
7administering the remote proceedings7full name, please?8to verify who's been let into the8MR. QUEENAN: It's in the chat.9deposition remotely so we can ensure9It's Patterson Rippling and I10that only the proper parties are here.10represent Michelle Malkin.11MR. ZAKHEM: John Zakhem for the11MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.12Trump campaign.12Mr. Corporon, he's with his own13THE WITNESS: Rudolph W.13firm.14Giuliani.14Sidney, I believe that's Sidney15MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext15Powell.16to verify who is here?16Stephen Dexter is with Herring17MS. DOMINGUEZ: We've got17Networks, he represents Herring18Ms. Andrea Hall. Abbie Frye.18Networks.19MR. SIBLEY: Abbie Frye?19Mr. Tom Quinn. Mr. Quinn, which20you please let us know which firm21MS. BOEHMER: Tom is with Gordon22you're with?22Rees Scully Mansukhani. This is23MR. REAGOR: This is Michael23Margaret Boehmer. He and I are24Reagor for Defending the Republic.24counsel for Eric Metaxas.25Abbie is an attorney for Defending the25MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.				
8to verify who's been let into the deposition remotely so we can ensure8MR. QUEENAN: It's in the chat.9deposition remotely so we can ensure9It's Patterson Rippling and I10that only the proper parties are here.10represent Michelle Malkin.11MR. ZAKHEM: John Zakhem for the11MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.12Trump campaign.12Mr. Corporon, he's with his own13THE WITNESS: Rudolph W.13firm.14Giuliani.14Sidney, I believe that's Sidney15MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext15Powell.16to verify who is here?16Stephen Dexter is with Herring17MS. DOMINGUEZ: We've got17Networks, he represents Herring18Ms. Andrea Hall. Abbie Frye.18Networks.19MR. SIBLEY: Abbie Frye?19Mr. Tom Quinn. Mr. Quinn, which20MS. DOMINGUEZ: Yes. Abbie, can20firm are you with, please?21you please let us know which firm21MS. BOEHMER: Tom is with Gordon22you're with?22Rees Scully Mansukhani. This is23MR. REAGOR: This is Michael23Margaret Boehmer. He and I are24Reagor for Defending the Republic.24counsel for Eric Metaxas.25Abbie is an attorney for Defending the25MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.			1	
9deposition remotely so we can ensure9It's Patterson Rippling and I10that only the proper parties are here.10represent Michelle Malkin.11MR. ZAKHEM: John Zakhem for the11MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.12Trump campaign.12Mr. Corporon, he's with his own13THE WITNESS: Rudolph W.13firm.14Giuliani.14Sidney, I believe that's Sidney15MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext15Powell.16to verify who is here?16Stephen Dexter is with Herring17MS. DOMINGUEZ: We've got17Networks, he represents Herring18Ms. Andrea Hall. Abbie Frye.18Networks.19MR. SIBLEY: Abbie Frye?19Mr. Tom Quinn. Mr. Quinn, which20MS. DOMINGUEZ: Yes. Abbie, can20firm are you with, please?21you please let us know which firm21MS. BOEHMER: Tom is with Gordon22you're with?22Rees Scully Mansukhani. This is23MR. REAGOR: This is Michael23Margaret Boehmer. He and I are24Reagor for Defending the Republic.24counsel for Eric Metaxas.25Abbie is an attorney for Defending the25MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.		e 1 e		· 1
10that only the proper parties are here.10represent Michelle Malkin.11MR. ZAKHEM: John Zakhem for the11MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.12Trump campaign.12Mr. Corporon, he's with his own13THE WITNESS: Rudolph W.13firm.14Giuliani.14Sidney, I believe that's Sidney15MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext15Powell.16to verify who is here?16Stephen Dexter is with Herring17MS. DOMINGUEZ: We've got17Networks, he represents Herring18Ms. Andrea Hall. Abbie Frye.18Networks.19MR. SIBLEY: Abbie Frye?19Mr. Tom Quinn. Mr. Quinn, which20MS. DOMINGUEZ: Yes. Abbie, can20firm are you with, please?21you please let us know which firm21MS. BOEHMER: Tom is with Gordon22you're with?22Rees Scully Mansukhani. This is23MR. REAGOR: This is Michael23Margaret Boehmer. He and I are24Reagor for Defending the Republic.24counsel for Eric Metaxas.25Abbie is an attorney for Defending the25MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.		•		-
11MR. ZAKHEM: John Zakhem for the11MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.12Trump campaign.12Mr. Corporon, he's with his own13THE WITNESS: Rudolph W.13firm.14Giuliani.14Sidney, I believe that's Sidney15MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext15Powell.16to verify who is here?16Stephen Dexter is with Herring17MS. DOMINGUEZ: We've got17Networks, he represents Herring18Ms. Andrea Hall. Abbie Frye.18Networks.19MR. SIBLEY: Abbie Frye?19Mr. Tom Quinn. Mr. Quinn, which20MS. DOMINGUEZ: Yes. Abbie, can20firm are you with, please?21you please let us know which firm21MS. BOEHMER: Tom is with Gordon22you're with?22Rees Scully Mansukhani. This is23MR. REAGOR: This is Michael23Margaret Boehmer. He and I are24Reagor for Defending the Republic.24counsel for Eric Metaxas.25Abbie is an attorney for Defending the25MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.		· ·		
12Trump campaign.12Mr. Corporon, he's with his own13THE WITNESS: Rudolph W.13firm.14Giuliani.14Sidney, I believe that's Sidney15MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext15Powell.16to verify who is here?16Stephen Dexter is with Herring17MS. DOMINGUEZ: We've got17Networks, he represents Herring18Ms. Andrea Hall. Abbie Frye.18Networks.19MR. SIBLEY: Abbie Frye?19Mr. Tom Quinn. Mr. Quinn, which20MS. DOMINGUEZ: Yes. Abbie, can20firm are you with, please?21you please let us know which firm21MS. BOEHMER: Tom is with Gordon22you're with?22Rees Scully Mansukhani. This is23MR. REAGOR: This is Michael23Margaret Boehmer. He and I are24Reagor for Defending the Republic.24counsel for Eric Metaxas.25Abbie is an attorney for Defending the25MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.				-
13THE WITNESS: Rudolph W.13firm.14Giuliani.14Sidney, I believe that's Sidney15MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext15Powell.16to verify who is here?16Stephen Dexter is with Herring17MS. DOMINGUEZ: We've got17Networks, he represents Herring18Ms. Andrea Hall. Abbie Frye.18Networks.19MR. SIBLEY: Abbie Frye?19Mr. Tom Quinn. Mr. Quinn, which20MS. DOMINGUEZ: Yes. Abbie, can20firm are you with, please?21you please let us know which firm21MS. BOEHMER: Tom is with Gordon22you're with?22Rees Scully Mansukhani. This is23MR. REAGOR: This is Michael23Margaret Boehmer. He and I are24Reagor for Defending the Republic.24counsel for Eric Metaxas.25Abbie is an attorney for Defending the25MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.	1			•
14Giuliani.14Sidney, I believe that's Sidney15MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext15Powell.16to verify who is here?16Stephen Dexter is with Herring17MS. DOMINGUEZ: We've got17Networks, he represents Herring18Ms. Andrea Hall. Abbie Frye.18Networks.19MR. SIBLEY: Abbie Frye?19Mr. Tom Quinn. Mr. Quinn, which20MS. DOMINGUEZ: Yes. Abbie, can20firm are you with, please?21you please let us know which firm21MS. BOEHMER: Tom is with Gordon22you're with?22Rees Scully Mansukhani. This is23MR. REAGOR: This is Michael23Margaret Boehmer. He and I are24Reagor for Defending the Republic.24counsel for Eric Metaxas.25Abbie is an attorney for Defending the25MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.	1	1 1 0		-
15MR. SIBLEY: Can we get Veritext15Powell.16to verify who is here?16Stephen Dexter is with Herring17MS. DOMINGUEZ: We've got17Networks, he represents Herring18Ms. Andrea Hall. Abbie Frye.18Networks.19MR. SIBLEY: Abbie Frye?19Mr. Tom Quinn. Mr. Quinn, which20MS. DOMINGUEZ: Yes. Abbie, can20firm are you with, please?21you please let us know which firm21MS. BOEHMER: Tom is with Gordon22you're with?22Rees Scully Mansukhani. This is23MR. REAGOR: This is Michael23Margaret Boehmer. He and I are24Reagor for Defending the Republic.24counsel for Eric Metaxas.25Abbie is an attorney for Defending the25MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.		-		
16to verify who is here?16Stephen Dexter is with Herring17MS. DOMINGUEZ: We've got17Networks, he represents Herring18Ms. Andrea Hall. Abbie Frye.18Networks.19MR. SIBLEY: Abbie Frye?19Mr. Tom Quinn. Mr. Quinn, which20MS. DOMINGUEZ: Yes. Abbie, can20firm are you with, please?21you please let us know which firm21MS. BOEHMER: Tom is with Gordon22you're with?22Rees Scully Mansukhani. This is23MR. REAGOR: This is Michael23Margaret Boehmer. He and I are24Reagor for Defending the Republic.24counsel for Eric Metaxas.25Abbie is an attorney for Defending the25MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.	1			• •
17MS. DOMINGUEZ: We've got17Networks, he represents Herring18Ms. Andrea Hall. Abbie Frye.18Networks.19MR. SIBLEY: Abbie Frye?19Mr. Tom Quinn. Mr. Quinn, which20MS. DOMINGUEZ: Yes. Abbie, can20firm are you with, please?21you please let us know which firm21MS. BOEHMER: Tom is with Gordon22you're with?22Rees Scully Mansukhani. This is23MR. REAGOR: This is Michael23Margaret Boehmer. He and I are24Reagor for Defending the Republic.24counsel for Eric Metaxas.25Abbie is an attorney for Defending the25MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.		-		
18Ms. Andrea Hall. Abbie Frye.18Networks.19MR. SIBLEY: Abbie Frye?19Mr. Tom Quinn. Mr. Quinn, which20MS. DOMINGUEZ: Yes. Abbie, can20firm are you with, please?21you please let us know which firm21MS. BOEHMER: Tom is with Gordon22you're with?22Rees Scully Mansukhani. This is23MR. REAGOR: This is Michael23Margaret Boehmer. He and I are24Reagor for Defending the Republic.24counsel for Eric Metaxas.25Abbie is an attorney for Defending the25MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.		-		
19MR. SIBLEY: Abbie Frye?19Mr. Tom Quinn. Mr. Quinn, which20MS. DOMINGUEZ: Yes. Abbie, can20firm are you with, please?21you please let us know which firm21MS. BOEHMER: Tom is with Gordon22you're with?22Rees Scully Mansukhani. This is23MR. REAGOR: This is Michael23Margaret Boehmer. He and I are24Reagor for Defending the Republic.24counsel for Eric Metaxas.25Abbie is an attorney for Defending the25MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.		e	1	
20MS. DOMINGUEZ: Yes. Abbie, can20firm are you with, please?21you please let us know which firm21MS. BOEHMER: Tom is with Gordon22you're with?22Rees Scully Mansukhani. This is23MR. REAGOR: This is Michael23Margaret Boehmer. He and I are24Reagor for Defending the Republic.24counsel for Eric Metaxas.25Abbie is an attorney for Defending the25MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.	1	•		
21you please let us know which firm21MS. BOEHMER: Tom is with Gordon22you're with?22Rees Scully Mansukhani. This is23MR. REAGOR: This is Michael23Margaret Boehmer. He and I are24Reagor for Defending the Republic.24counsel for Eric Metaxas.25Abbie is an attorney for Defending the25MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.				
22you're with?22Rees Scully Mansukhani. This is23MR. REAGOR: This is Michael23Margaret Boehmer. He and I are24Reagor for Defending the Republic.24counsel for Eric Metaxas.25Abbie is an attorney for Defending the25MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.	1			
23MR. REAGOR: This is Michael23Margaret Boehmer. He and I are24Reagor for Defending the Republic.24counsel for Eric Metaxas.25Abbie is an attorney for Defending the25MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.			1	
24Reagor for Defending the Republic.24counsel for Eric Metaxas.25Abbie is an attorney for Defending the25MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.		•		-
25 Abbie is an attorney for Defending the 25 MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.	1			-
	1	• • •		
Page 11 Page 13	1/15			
	23	•	25	-

4 (Pages 10 - 13)

1		1	GIULIANI
2	And Trey Rogers, can you please	2	is the oldest member of our firm.
3	let me know which firm you're with?	3	MR. SKARNULIS: Thanks, Charlie.
4	MR. ROGERS: Thomas Rogers with	4	BY MR. CAIN:
5	the Recht Kornfeld firm representing	5	Q. Mr. Zach Bowman is sitting next
6	the plaintiff.	6	to him to his right. Mr. Bowman was just
7	MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you. And	7	unanimously voted as a new partner in the
8	thank you, Mr. Johnson, for your chat.	8	law firm.
9	Everyone is accounted for.	9	A. Congratulations, Mr. Bowman.
10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Is there	10	MR. BOWMAN: Thank you.
11	anyone else or is that it?	11	BY MR. CAIN:
12	MS. DOMINGUEZ: That is it.	12	Q. So I wanted to make that
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will the court	13	announcement. Congratulations.
14	reporter please swear in the witness?	14	And then you know Mr. Zakhem who
15	RUDOLPH GIULANI, called	15	is in the corner and your counsel, and
16	as a witness, having been duly sworn	16	then our court reporter, Cathi, and the
17	by a Notary Public, was examined and	17	videographer.
18	testified as follows:	18	A. Who is the daughter-in-law of one
19	EXAMINATION	19	of the Yankees' greatest pitchers and one
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 20 \end{vmatrix}$	BY MR. CAIN:	$\frac{1}{20}$	of the greatest pitchers of all time about
20	Q. State your full name, please.	20	whom I'm going to tell you a story off the
21 22	A. Rudolph W. Giuliani,	$\frac{21}{22}$	record later.
$\frac{22}{23}$	G-I-U-L-I-A-N-I. Rudolph William	23	Q. Let's do this, Mr. Giuliani.
23	Giuliani.	23	Let's boogie because we only have about
25	Q. Good morning. How are you doing?	25	three hours on the record pursuant to the
25	Q. Good morning. How are you doing: Page 14	25	Page 16
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	A. I'm doing fine.	2	court order.
2 3	A. I'm doing fine.Q. Thank you for accommodating us on	2 3	court order. A. I'm ready to go.
2 3 4	A. I'm doing fine.Q. Thank you for accommodating us on a Saturday morning.	2 3 4	court order. A. I'm ready to go. Q. You signed an affidavit, I guess
2 3 4 5	A. I'm doing fine.Q. Thank you for accommodating us ona Saturday morning.A. Thank you for doing it on a	2 3 4 5	court order.A. I'm ready to go.Q. You signed an affidavit, I guess technically it's a declaration in this
2 3 4 5 6	A. I'm doing fine.Q. Thank you for accommodating us ona Saturday morning.A. Thank you for doing it on aSaturday.	2 3 4	court order.A. I'm ready to go.Q. You signed an affidavit, I guess technically it's a declaration in this case in support of your anti-SLAPP motion.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. I'm doing fine. Q. Thank you for accommodating us on a Saturday morning. A. Thank you for doing it on a Saturday. Q. Let me set the scene for those 	2 3 4 5 6 7	court order.A. I'm ready to go.Q. You signed an affidavit, I guess technically it's a declaration in this case in support of your anti-SLAPP motion.Are you aware of that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. I'm doing fine. Q. Thank you for accommodating us on a Saturday morning. A. Thank you for doing it on a Saturday. Q. Let me set the scene for those that aren't here with us. We're in your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 court order. A. I'm ready to go. Q. You signed an affidavit, I guess technically it's a declaration in this case in support of your anti-SLAPP motion. Are you aware of that? A. I do recall signing it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I'm doing fine. Q. Thank you for accommodating us on a Saturday morning. A. Thank you for doing it on a Saturday. Q. Let me set the scene for those that aren't here with us. We're in your office; correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 court order. A. I'm ready to go. Q. You signed an affidavit, I guess technically it's a declaration in this case in support of your anti-SLAPP motion. Are you aware of that? A. I do recall signing it. Q. Let's talk about it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. I'm doing fine. Q. Thank you for accommodating us on a Saturday morning. A. Thank you for doing it on a Saturday. Q. Let me set the scene for those that aren't here with us. We're in your office; correct? A. Right. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 court order. A. I'm ready to go. Q. You signed an affidavit, I guess technically it's a declaration in this case in support of your anti-SLAPP motion. Are you aware of that? A. I do recall signing it. Q. Let's talk about it. A. Probably would have thought it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. I'm doing fine. Q. Thank you for accommodating us on a Saturday morning. A. Thank you for doing it on a Saturday. Q. Let me set the scene for those that aren't here with us. We're in your office; correct? A. Right. Q. It's about 104 degrees in the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 court order. A. I'm ready to go. Q. You signed an affidavit, I guess technically it's a declaration in this case in support of your anti-SLAPP motion. Are you aware of that? A. I do recall signing it. Q. Let's talk about it. A. Probably would have thought it was an affidavit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. I'm doing fine. Q. Thank you for accommodating us on a Saturday morning. A. Thank you for doing it on a Saturday. Q. Let me set the scene for those that aren't here with us. We're in your office; correct? A. Right. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 court order. A. I'm ready to go. Q. You signed an affidavit, I guess technically it's a declaration in this case in support of your anti-SLAPP motion. Are you aware of that? A. I do recall signing it. Q. Let's talk about it. A. Probably would have thought it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. I'm doing fine. Q. Thank you for accommodating us on a Saturday morning. A. Thank you for doing it on a Saturday. Q. Let me set the scene for those that aren't here with us. We're in your office; correct? A. Right. Q. It's about 104 degrees in the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 court order. A. I'm ready to go. Q. You signed an affidavit, I guess technically it's a declaration in this case in support of your anti-SLAPP motion. Are you aware of that? A. I do recall signing it. Q. Let's talk about it. A. Probably would have thought it was an affidavit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. I'm doing fine. Q. Thank you for accommodating us on a Saturday morning. A. Thank you for doing it on a Saturday. Q. Let me set the scene for those that aren't here with us. We're in your office; correct? A. Right. Q. It's about 104 degrees in the conference room, give or take. A. We're going to see who faints first. You think you might? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 court order. A. I'm ready to go. Q. You signed an affidavit, I guess technically it's a declaration in this case in support of your anti-SLAPP motion. Are you aware of that? A. I do recall signing it. Q. Let's talk about it. A. Probably would have thought it was an affidavit. Q. Well, they seem to be going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. I'm doing fine. Q. Thank you for accommodating us on a Saturday morning. A. Thank you for doing it on a Saturday. Q. Let me set the scene for those that aren't here with us. We're in your office; correct? A. Right. Q. It's about 104 degrees in the conference room, give or take. A. We're going to see who faints 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 court order. A. I'm ready to go. Q. You signed an affidavit, I guess technically it's a declaration in this case in support of your anti-SLAPP motion. Are you aware of that? A. I do recall signing it. Q. Let's talk about it. A. Probably would have thought it was an affidavit. Q. Well, they seem to be going to declarations in the COVID period, and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. I'm doing fine. Q. Thank you for accommodating us on a Saturday morning. A. Thank you for doing it on a Saturday. Q. Let me set the scene for those that aren't here with us. We're in your office; correct? A. Right. Q. It's about 104 degrees in the conference room, give or take. A. We're going to see who faints first. You think you might? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 court order. A. I'm ready to go. Q. You signed an affidavit, I guess technically it's a declaration in this case in support of your anti-SLAPP motion. Are you aware of that? A. I do recall signing it. Q. Let's talk about it. A. Probably would have thought it was an affidavit. Q. Well, they seem to be going to declarations in the COVID period, and I know you've been a lawyer for a long time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I'm doing fine. Q. Thank you for accommodating us on a Saturday morning. A. Thank you for doing it on a Saturday. Q. Let me set the scene for those that aren't here with us. We're in your office; correct? A. Right. Q. It's about 104 degrees in the conference room, give or take. A. We're going to see who faints first. You think you might? Q. We said our names earlier but I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 court order. A. I'm ready to go. Q. You signed an affidavit, I guess technically it's a declaration in this case in support of your anti-SLAPP motion. Are you aware of that? A. I do recall signing it. Q. Let's talk about it. A. Probably would have thought it was an affidavit. Q. Well, they seem to be going to declarations in the COVID period, and I know you've been a lawyer for a long time but let's do our best not to speak over
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I'm doing fine. Q. Thank you for accommodating us on a Saturday morning. A. Thank you for doing it on a Saturday. Q. Let me set the scene for those that aren't here with us. We're in your office; correct? A. Right. Q. It's about 104 degrees in the conference room, give or take. A. We're going to see who faints first. You think you might? Q. We said our names earlier but I wanted you to know who was here for us. A. Please. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 court order. A. I'm ready to go. Q. You signed an affidavit, I guess technically it's a declaration in this case in support of your anti-SLAPP motion. Are you aware of that? A. I do recall signing it. Q. Let's talk about it. A. Probably would have thought it was an affidavit. Q. Well, they seem to be going to declarations in the COVID period, and I know you've been a lawyer for a long time but let's do our best not to speak over each other so that we don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. I'm doing fine. Q. Thank you for accommodating us on a Saturday morning. A. Thank you for doing it on a Saturday. Q. Let me set the scene for those that aren't here with us. We're in your office; correct? A. Right. Q. It's about 104 degrees in the conference room, give or take. A. We're going to see who faints first. You think you might? Q. We said our names earlier but I wanted you to know who was here for us. A. Please. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 court order. A. I'm ready to go. Q. You signed an affidavit, I guess technically it's a declaration in this case in support of your anti-SLAPP motion. Are you aware of that? A. I do recall signing it. Q. Let's talk about it. A. Probably would have thought it was an affidavit. Q. Well, they seem to be going to declarations in the COVID period, and I know you've been a lawyer for a long time but let's do our best not to speak over each other so that we don't A. We'll do what we can.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I'm doing fine. Q. Thank you for accommodating us on a Saturday morning. A. Thank you for doing it on a Saturday. Q. Let me set the scene for those that aren't here with us. We're in your office; correct? A. Right. Q. It's about 104 degrees in the conference room, give or take. A. We're going to see who faints first. You think you might? Q. We said our names earlier but I wanted you to know who was here for us. A. Please. Q. My name is Charlie Cain. Next to me is Brad Kloewer. He's from Colorado. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 court order. A. I'm ready to go. Q. You signed an affidavit, I guess technically it's a declaration in this case in support of your anti-SLAPP motion. Are you aware of that? A. I do recall signing it. Q. Let's talk about it. A. Probably would have thought it was an affidavit. Q. Well, they seem to be going to declarations in the COVID period, and I know you've been a lawyer for a long time but let's do our best not to speak over each other so that we don't A. We'll do what we can. Q interfere with the court reporter.
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\\end{array} $	 A. I'm doing fine. Q. Thank you for accommodating us on a Saturday morning. A. Thank you for doing it on a Saturday. Q. Let me set the scene for those that aren't here with us. We're in your office; correct? A. Right. Q. It's about 104 degrees in the conference room, give or take. A. We're going to see who faints first. You think you might? Q. We said our names earlier but I wanted you to know who was here for us. A. Please. Q. My name is Charlie Cain. Next to me is Brad Kloewer. He's from Colorado. He heads up our Colorado office. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 court order. A. I'm ready to go. Q. You signed an affidavit, I guess technically it's a declaration in this case in support of your anti-SLAPP motion. Are you aware of that? A. I do recall signing it. Q. Let's talk about it. A. Probably would have thought it was an affidavit. Q. Well, they seem to be going to declarations in the COVID period, and I know you've been a lawyer for a long time but let's do our best not to speak over each other so that we don't A. We'll do what we can. Q interfere with the court reporter. (Exhibit 98, declaration, marked
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	 A. I'm doing fine. Q. Thank you for accommodating us on a Saturday morning. A. Thank you for doing it on a Saturday. Q. Let me set the scene for those that aren't here with us. We're in your office; correct? A. Right. Q. It's about 104 degrees in the conference room, give or take. A. We're going to see who faints first. You think you might? Q. We said our names earlier but I wanted you to know who was here for us. A. Please. Q. My name is Charlie Cain. Next to me is Brad Kloewer. He's from Colorado. He heads up our Colorado office. A. Are you from Colorado? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 court order. A. I'm ready to go. Q. You signed an affidavit, I guess technically it's a declaration in this case in support of your anti-SLAPP motion. Are you aware of that? A. I do recall signing it. Q. Let's talk about it. A. Probably would have thought it was an affidavit. Q. Well, they seem to be going to declarations in the COVID period, and I know you've been a lawyer for a long time but let's do our best not to speak over each other so that we don't A. We'll do what we can. Q interfere with the court reporter. (Exhibit 98, declaration, marked for identification.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I'm doing fine. Q. Thank you for accommodating us on a Saturday morning. A. Thank you for doing it on a Saturday. Q. Let me set the scene for those that aren't here with us. We're in your office; correct? A. Right. Q. It's about 104 degrees in the conference room, give or take. A. We're going to see who faints first. You think you might? Q. We said our names earlier but I wanted you to know who was here for us. A. Please. Q. My name is Charlie Cain. Next to me is Brad Kloewer. He's from Colorado. He heads up our Colorado office. A. Are you from Colorado? Q. I am. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	court order. A. I'm ready to go. Q. You signed an affidavit, I guess technically it's a declaration in this case in support of your anti-SLAPP motion. Are you aware of that? A. I do recall signing it. Q. Let's talk about it. A. Probably would have thought it was an affidavit. Q. Well, they seem to be going to declarations in the COVID period, and I know you've been a lawyer for a long time but let's do our best not to speak over each other so that we don't A. We'll do what we can. Q interfere with the court reporter. (Exhibit 98, declaration, marked for identification.) MR. CAIN: Here's a copy of
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 A. I'm doing fine. Q. Thank you for accommodating us on a Saturday morning. A. Thank you for doing it on a Saturday. Q. Let me set the scene for those that aren't here with us. We're in your office; correct? A. Right. Q. It's about 104 degrees in the conference room, give or take. A. We're going to see who faints first. You think you might? Q. We said our names earlier but I wanted you to know who was here for us. A. Please. Q. My name is Charlie Cain. Next to me is Brad Kloewer. He's from Colorado. He heads up our Colorado office. A. Are you from Colorado? Q. I am. A. Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 court order. A. I'm ready to go. Q. You signed an affidavit, I guess technically it's a declaration in this case in support of your anti-SLAPP motion. Are you aware of that? A. I do recall signing it. Q. Let's talk about it. A. Probably would have thought it was an affidavit. Q. Well, they seem to be going to declarations in the COVID period, and I know you've been a lawyer for a long time but let's do our best not to speak over each other so that we don't A. We'll do what we can. Q interfere with the court reporter. (Exhibit 98, declaration, marked for identification.) MR. CAIN: Here's a copy of Exhibit 98, and for the crew that's on
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 A. I'm doing fine. Q. Thank you for accommodating us on a Saturday morning. A. Thank you for doing it on a Saturday. Q. Let me set the scene for those that aren't here with us. We're in your office; correct? A. Right. Q. It's about 104 degrees in the conference room, give or take. A. We're going to see who faints first. You think you might? Q. We said our names earlier but I wanted you to know who was here for us. A. Please. Q. My name is Charlie Cain. Next to me is Brad Kloewer. He's from Colorado. He heads up our Colorado office. A. Are you from Colorado? Q. I am. Q. Originally from Austin, Texas. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 court order. A. I'm ready to go. Q. You signed an affidavit, I guess technically it's a declaration in this case in support of your anti-SLAPP motion. Are you aware of that? A. I do recall signing it. Q. Let's talk about it. A. Probably would have thought it was an affidavit. Q. Well, they seem to be going to declarations in the COVID period, and I know you've been a lawyer for a long time but let's do our best not to speak over each other so that we don't A. We'll do what we can. Q interfere with the court reporter. (Exhibit 98, declaration, marked for identification.) MR. CAIN: Here's a copy of Exhibit 98, and for the crew that's on Zoom, I'm going to load as best I can
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 A. I'm doing fine. Q. Thank you for accommodating us on a Saturday morning. A. Thank you for doing it on a Saturday. Q. Let me set the scene for those that aren't here with us. We're in your office; correct? A. Right. Q. It's about 104 degrees in the conference room, give or take. A. We're going to see who faints first. You think you might? Q. We said our names earlier but I wanted you to know who was here for us. A. Please. Q. My name is Charlie Cain. Next to me is Brad Kloewer. He's from Colorado. He heads up our Colorado office. A. Are you from Colorado? Q. I am. A. Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 court order. A. I'm ready to go. Q. You signed an affidavit, I guess technically it's a declaration in this case in support of your anti-SLAPP motion. Are you aware of that? A. I do recall signing it. Q. Let's talk about it. A. Probably would have thought it was an affidavit. Q. Well, they seem to be going to declarations in the COVID period, and I know you've been a lawyer for a long time but let's do our best not to speak over each other so that we don't A. We'll do what we can. Q interfere with the court reporter. (Exhibit 98, declaration, marked for identification.) MR. CAIN: Here's a copy of Exhibit 98, and for the crew that's on

5 (Pages 14 - 17)

1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	looking at to the extent that they are	2	paragraph 3 by saying at some point during
3	new. We've already preloaded a	3	our legal team's investigation, I'll stop
4	handful of those documents.	4	there.
5	BY MR. CAIN:	5	When you're referring to your
6	Q. Mr. Giuliani, what is Exhibit 98?	6	legal team's investigation, who are you
7	A. This is my affidavit and my	7	referring to?
8	signature at the bottom there.	8	A. I'm referring to the lawyers that
9	Q. And you filed this in support of	9	were working on the all of the
10	your motion to dismiss this case; right?	10	allegations of election fraud that had
11	A. Yes, I believe that's what it	11	come to our attention, both the group that
12	says.	12	was specially put together as counsel to
13	Q. And in doing so, I take it you	13	the president, as well as the lawyers from
14	have tried to be as thorough as possible	14	the campaign who were assisting us.
15	in explaining to the court your	15	Q. Okay. Let's
16	investigation relating to Dr. Coomer, my	16	A. So I thought of them as divided
17	client?	17	somewhat into two groups that worked
18	MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.	18	together but were still two separate
19	BY MR. CAIN:	19	groups that did different things as well.
20	Q. Is that a fair statement?	20	Q. The crew that you mentioned that
21	MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.	21	was counsel to the president, who was on
22	THE WITNESS: I related what I	22	that team?
23	recall as accurately as I could.	23	A. Why don't we say counsel to the
24	BY MR. CAIN:	24	president personally. On that team,
25	Q. And you stated as much as you	25	myself, Jenna Ellis, Sidney Powell, Joseph
	Page 18		Page 20
1	CHILLANH		
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$		1 2	
2	could recall as accurately as you could		diGenova, Victoria Toensing. I'm not sure
2 3	could recall as accurately as you could about your investigation into Dr. Coomer?	2	diGenova, Victoria Toensing. I'm not sure at that point if Christina Bobb was or
2 3 4	could recall as accurately as you could about your investigation into Dr. Coomer? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.	2 3 4	diGenova, Victoria Toensing. I'm not sure at that point if Christina Bobb was or wasn't. She may have been. And I'm
2 3 4 5	could recall as accurately as you could about your investigation into Dr. Coomer?	2 3	diGenova, Victoria Toensing. I'm not sure at that point if Christina Bobb was or
2 3 4 5 6	could recall as accurately as you could about your investigation into Dr. Coomer? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. CAIN:	2 3 4 5 6	diGenova, Victoria Toensing. I'm not sure at that point if Christina Bobb was or wasn't. She may have been. And I'm missing one I know. Boris. Q. Boris?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 could recall as accurately as you could about your investigation into Dr. Coomer? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Turn to page 2 of your affidavit. 	2 3 4 5	 diGenova, Victoria Toensing. I'm not sure at that point if Christina Bobb was or wasn't. She may have been. And I'm missing one I know. Boris. Q. Boris? A. Boris Boris, Boris, Boris, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 could recall as accurately as you could about your investigation into Dr. Coomer? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Turn to page 2 of your affidavit. Looks to me like you've got eight 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 diGenova, Victoria Toensing. I'm not sure at that point if Christina Bobb was or wasn't. She may have been. And I'm missing one I know. Boris. Q. Boris? A. Boris Boris, Boris, Boris, I can't remember his last name. Boris.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 could recall as accurately as you could about your investigation into Dr. Coomer? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Turn to page 2 of your affidavit. Looks to me like you've got eight paragraphs in this affidavit. The second 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 diGenova, Victoria Toensing. I'm not sure at that point if Christina Bobb was or wasn't. She may have been. And I'm missing one I know. Boris. Q. Boris? A. Boris Boris, Boris, Boris, I can't remember his last name. Boris. I'll get you his name.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 could recall as accurately as you could about your investigation into Dr. Coomer? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Turn to page 2 of your affidavit. Looks to me like you've got eight paragraphs in this affidavit. The second paragraph talks about your status with the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 diGenova, Victoria Toensing. I'm not sure at that point if Christina Bobb was or wasn't. She may have been. And I'm missing one I know. Boris. Q. Boris? A. Boris Boris, Boris, Boris, I can't remember his last name. Boris. I'll get you his name. Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 could recall as accurately as you could about your investigation into Dr. Coomer? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Turn to page 2 of your affidavit. Looks to me like you've got eight paragraphs in this affidavit. The second paragraph talks about your status with the Trump campaign. I want to focus your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 diGenova, Victoria Toensing. I'm not sure at that point if Christina Bobb was or wasn't. She may have been. And I'm missing one I know. Boris. Q. Boris? A. Boris Boris, Boris, Boris, I can't remember his last name. Boris. I'll get you his name. Q. Okay. A. His status was I should
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 could recall as accurately as you could about your investigation into Dr. Coomer? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Turn to page 2 of your affidavit. Looks to me like you've got eight paragraphs in this affidavit. The second paragraph talks about your status with the Trump campaign. I want to focus your attention on paragraphs 3 and 4. And as I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 diGenova, Victoria Toensing. I'm not sure at that point if Christina Bobb was or wasn't. She may have been. And I'm missing one I know. Boris. Q. Boris? A. Boris Boris, Boris, Boris, I can't remember his last name. Boris. I'll get you his name. Q. Okay. A. His status was I should explain this so it doesn't create any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 could recall as accurately as you could about your investigation into Dr. Coomer? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Turn to page 2 of your affidavit. Looks to me like you've got eight paragraphs in this affidavit. The second paragraph talks about your status with the Trump campaign. I want to focus your attention on paragraphs 3 and 4. And as I read your affidavit, paragraph 3 and 4 is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 diGenova, Victoria Toensing. I'm not sure at that point if Christina Bobb was or wasn't. She may have been. And I'm missing one I know. Boris. Q. Boris? A. Boris Boris, Boris, Boris, I can't remember his last name. Boris. I'll get you his name. Q. Okay. A. His status was I should explain this so it doesn't create any confusion. The original press release
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 could recall as accurately as you could about your investigation into Dr. Coomer? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Turn to page 2 of your affidavit. Looks to me like you've got eight paragraphs in this affidavit. The second paragraph talks about your status with the Trump campaign. I want to focus your attention on paragraphs 3 and 4. And as I read your affidavit, paragraph 3 and 4 is really where you kind of outline the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 diGenova, Victoria Toensing. I'm not sure at that point if Christina Bobb was or wasn't. She may have been. And I'm missing one I know. Boris. Q. Boris? A. Boris Boris, Boris, Boris, I can't remember his last name. Boris. I'll get you his name. Q. Okay. A. His status was I should explain this so it doesn't create any confusion. The original press release that was put out, Boris's name was not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 could recall as accurately as you could about your investigation into Dr. Coomer? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Turn to page 2 of your affidavit. Looks to me like you've got eight paragraphs in this affidavit. The second paragraph talks about your status with the Trump campaign. I want to focus your attention on paragraphs 3 and 4. And as I read your affidavit, paragraph 3 and 4 is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 diGenova, Victoria Toensing. I'm not sure at that point if Christina Bobb was or wasn't. She may have been. And I'm missing one I know. Boris. Q. Boris? A. Boris Boris, Boris, Boris, I can't remember his last name. Boris. I'll get you his name. Q. Okay. A. His status was I should explain this so it doesn't create any confusion. The original press release
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 could recall as accurately as you could about your investigation into Dr. Coomer? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Turn to page 2 of your affidavit. Looks to me like you've got eight paragraphs in this affidavit. The second paragraph talks about your status with the Trump campaign. I want to focus your attention on paragraphs 3 and 4. And as I read your affidavit, paragraph 3 and 4 is really where you kind of outline the investigation into Dr. Coomer. Is that a fair statement? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 diGenova, Victoria Toensing. I'm not sure at that point if Christina Bobb was or wasn't. She may have been. And I'm missing one I know. Boris. Q. Boris? A. Boris Boris, Boris, Boris, I can't remember his last name. Boris. I'll get you his name. Q. Okay. A. His status was I should explain this so it doesn't create any confusion. The original press release that was put out, Boris's name was not included, although it was included in the document that was sent over to the White
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 could recall as accurately as you could about your investigation into Dr. Coomer? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Turn to page 2 of your affidavit. Looks to me like you've got eight paragraphs in this affidavit. The second paragraph talks about your status with the Trump campaign. I want to focus your attention on paragraphs 3 and 4. And as I read your affidavit, paragraph 3 and 4 is really where you kind of outline the investigation into Dr. Coomer. Is that a fair statement? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 diGenova, Victoria Toensing. I'm not sure at that point if Christina Bobb was or wasn't. She may have been. And I'm missing one I know. Boris. Q. Boris? A. Boris Boris, Boris, Boris, I can't remember his last name. Boris. I'll get you his name. Q. Okay. A. His status was I should explain this so it doesn't create any confusion. The original press release that was put out, Boris's name was not included, although it was included in the document that was sent over to the White House. His name was excluded because the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 could recall as accurately as you could about your investigation into Dr. Coomer? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Turn to page 2 of your affidavit. Looks to me like you've got eight paragraphs in this affidavit. The second paragraph talks about your status with the Trump campaign. I want to focus your attention on paragraphs 3 and 4. And as I read your affidavit, paragraph 3 and 4 is really where you kind of outline the investigation into Dr. Coomer. Is that a fair statement? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Let me just read 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	diGenova, Victoria Toensing. I'm not sure at that point if Christina Bobb was or wasn't. She may have been. And I'm missing one I know. Boris. Q. Boris? A. Boris Boris, Boris, Boris, I can't remember his last name. Boris. I'll get you his name. Q. Okay. A. His status was I should explain this so it doesn't create any confusion. The original press release that was put out, Boris's name was not included, although it was included in the document that was sent over to the White House. His name was excluded because the president's staff did not think Boris was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 could recall as accurately as you could about your investigation into Dr. Coomer? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Turn to page 2 of your affidavit. Looks to me like you've got eight paragraphs in this affidavit. The second paragraph talks about your status with the Trump campaign. I want to focus your attention on paragraphs 3 and 4. And as I read your affidavit, paragraph 3 and 4 is really where you kind of outline the investigation into Dr. Coomer. Is that a fair statement? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Let me just read it. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	diGenova, Victoria Toensing. I'm not sure at that point if Christina Bobb was or wasn't. She may have been. And I'm missing one I know. Boris. Q. Boris? A. Boris Boris, Boris, Boris, I can't remember his last name. Boris. I'll get you his name. Q. Okay. A. His status was I should explain this so it doesn't create any confusion. The original press release that was put out, Boris's name was not included, although it was included in the document that was sent over to the White House. His name was excluded because the president's staff did not think Boris was a lawyer. It turned out that Boris was a
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	could recall as accurately as you could about your investigation into Dr. Coomer? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Turn to page 2 of your affidavit. Looks to me like you've got eight paragraphs in this affidavit. The second paragraph talks about your status with the Trump campaign. I want to focus your attention on paragraphs 3 and 4. And as I read your affidavit, paragraph 3 and 4 is really where you kind of outline the investigation into Dr. Coomer. Is that a fair statement? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Let me just read it. (Witness perusing document.)	$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ \end{array} $	 diGenova, Victoria Toensing. I'm not sure at that point if Christina Bobb was or wasn't. She may have been. And I'm missing one I know. Boris. Q. Boris? A. Boris Boris, Boris, Boris, I can't remember his last name. Boris. I'll get you his name. Q. Okay. A. His status was I should explain this so it doesn't create any confusion. The original press release that was put out, Boris's name was not included, although it was included in the document that was sent over to the White House. His name was excluded because the president's staff did not think Boris was a lawyer. It turned out that Boris was a lawyer and therefore we included his name,
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	could recall as accurately as you could about your investigation into Dr. Coomer? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Turn to page 2 of your affidavit. Looks to me like you've got eight paragraphs in this affidavit. The second paragraph talks about your status with the Trump campaign. I want to focus your attention on paragraphs 3 and 4. And as I read your affidavit, paragraph 3 and 4 is really where you kind of outline the investigation into Dr. Coomer. Is that a fair statement? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Let me just read it. (Witness perusing document.) I would say it's a very brief	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 diGenova, Victoria Toensing. I'm not sure at that point if Christina Bobb was or wasn't. She may have been. And I'm missing one I know. Boris. Q. Boris? A. Boris Boris, Boris, Boris, I can't remember his last name. Boris. I'll get you his name. Q. Okay. A. His status was I should explain this so it doesn't create any confusion. The original press release that was put out, Boris's name was not included, although it was included in the document that was sent over to the White House. His name was excluded because the president's staff did not think Boris was a lawyer. It turned out that Boris was a lawyer and therefore we included his name, and so there are some press releases that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	could recall as accurately as you could about your investigation into Dr. Coomer? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Turn to page 2 of your affidavit. Looks to me like you've got eight paragraphs in this affidavit. The second paragraph talks about your status with the Trump campaign. I want to focus your attention on paragraphs 3 and 4. And as I read your affidavit, paragraph 3 and 4 is really where you kind of outline the investigation into Dr. Coomer. Is that a fair statement? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Let me just read it. (Witness perusing document.) I would say it's a very brief outline, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	diGenova, Victoria Toensing. I'm not sure at that point if Christina Bobb was or wasn't. She may have been. And I'm missing one I know. Boris. Q. Boris? A. Boris Boris, Boris, Boris, I can't remember his last name. Boris. I'll get you his name. Q. Okay. A. His status was I should explain this so it doesn't create any confusion. The original press release that was put out, Boris's name was not included, although it was included in the document that was sent over to the White House. His name was excluded because the president's staff did not think Boris was a lawyer. It turned out that Boris was a lawyer and therefore we included his name, and so there are some press releases that have Boris's name and some that do not.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	could recall as accurately as you could about your investigation into Dr. Coomer? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Turn to page 2 of your affidavit. Looks to me like you've got eight paragraphs in this affidavit. The second paragraph talks about your status with the Trump campaign. I want to focus your attention on paragraphs 3 and 4. And as I read your affidavit, paragraph 3 and 4 is really where you kind of outline the investigation into Dr. Coomer. Is that a fair statement? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Let me just read it. (Witness perusing document.) I would say it's a very brief outline, yes. BY MR. CAIN:	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \end{array}$	diGenova, Victoria Toensing. I'm not sure at that point if Christina Bobb was or wasn't. She may have been. And I'm missing one I know. Boris. Q. Boris? A. Boris Boris, Boris, Boris, I can't remember his last name. Boris. I'll get you his name. Q. Okay. A. His status was I should explain this so it doesn't create any confusion. The original press release that was put out, Boris's name was not included, although it was included in the document that was sent over to the White House. His name was excluded because the president's staff did not think Boris was a lawyer. It turned out that Boris was a lawyer and therefore we included his name, and so there are some press releases that have Boris's name and some that do not. Boris Epstein, Boris Epstein.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	could recall as accurately as you could about your investigation into Dr. Coomer? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Turn to page 2 of your affidavit. Looks to me like you've got eight paragraphs in this affidavit. The second paragraph talks about your status with the Trump campaign. I want to focus your attention on paragraphs 3 and 4. And as I read your affidavit, paragraph 3 and 4 is really where you kind of outline the investigation into Dr. Coomer. Is that a fair statement? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Let me just read it. (Witness perusing document.) I would say it's a very brief outline, yes. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Let's put some meat on the bones	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 \end{array}$	 diGenova, Victoria Toensing. I'm not sure at that point if Christina Bobb was or wasn't. She may have been. And I'm missing one I know. Boris. Q. Boris? A. Boris Boris, Boris, Boris, I can't remember his last name. Boris. I'll get you his name. Q. Okay. A. His status was I should explain this so it doesn't create any confusion. The original press release that was put out, Boris's name was not included, although it was included in the document that was sent over to the White House. His name was excluded because the president's staff did not think Boris was a lawyer. It turned out that Boris was a lawyer and therefore we included his name, and so there are some press releases that have Boris's name and some that do not. Boris Epstein, Boris Epstein. Q. How about Mr. Eastman, was he
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	could recall as accurately as you could about your investigation into Dr. Coomer? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Turn to page 2 of your affidavit. Looks to me like you've got eight paragraphs in this affidavit. The second paragraph talks about your status with the Trump campaign. I want to focus your attention on paragraphs 3 and 4. And as I read your affidavit, paragraph 3 and 4 is really where you kind of outline the investigation into Dr. Coomer. Is that a fair statement? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Let me just read it. (Witness perusing document.) I would say it's a very brief outline, yes. BY MR. CAIN:	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	diGenova, Victoria Toensing. I'm not sure at that point if Christina Bobb was or wasn't. She may have been. And I'm missing one I know. Boris. Q. Boris? A. Boris Boris, Boris, Boris, I can't remember his last name. Boris. I'll get you his name. Q. Okay. A. His status was I should explain this so it doesn't create any confusion. The original press release that was put out, Boris's name was not included, although it was included in the document that was sent over to the White House. His name was excluded because the president's staff did not think Boris was a lawyer. It turned out that Boris was a lawyer and therefore we included his name, and so there are some press releases that have Boris's name and some that do not. Boris Epstein, Boris Epstein.

^{6 (}Pages 18 - 21)

1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$		$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	don't know.
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	A. At this point Mr. Eastman was not	23	
3	a member of the team. $(2 - At some point was he^2)$	4	Q. Well, when you strike that.
4	Q. At some point was he?		How about the Trump campaign?
5	A. He was, yes.	5	The list of folks that you just gave me as
6	Q. When?	6	personal counsel to President Trump, how
7	A. Remind me of the date. If we're	7	many of them, if any, were also counsel to
8	talking about at the time that we held the	8	the campaign?
9	press conference in which Mr. Coomer was	9	A. I don't know. I don't know, I
10	mentioned a few times, I do not believe	10	couldn't identify. I was, but I couldn't
11	that Mr. Eastman was a member of the team.	11	identify any others that were.
12	I don't know exactly when he became a	12	Q. Well, I think
13 14	member of the team but my best	13	A. Excuse me. It could be that
	recollection would be sometime in December.	14	Jenna Ellis had previously been counsel to
15		15	the campaign and therefore that status may
16	Q. Do you know the circumstances	16	have just that status may have taken
17	surrounding why he came on the team in	17	over. Sidney Powell had not been, Boris
18	December?	18	had not been, Joe and Vicki Toensing had
19	A. I do.	19 20	not been so the only one that might apply to is Jenna Ellis.
20 21	Q. What are they?	20	
	A. Constitutional issues, very	$\frac{21}{22}$	Q. Can you think of a roster
22 23	specific advice about the Constitution of	22	somewhere that you saw that identified the
23	the United States. He was consulted along with a number of other I don't know the	23	legal team, whether they are in the
24		24	capacity as the president's lawyers or in the campaign?
23	right way to describe this but along with Page 22	23	Page 24
1		1	
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	several other constitutional experts.	2	A. Oh, you mean like one that says
2 3	several other constitutional experts. Q. The group that you identified, I	2 3	A. Oh, you mean like one that says they are the president's lawyers but not
2 3 4	several other constitutional experts. Q. The group that you identified, I want to make it crystal clear on the	2 3 4	A. Oh, you mean like one that says they are the president's lawyers but not the campaign?
2 3 4 5	several other constitutional experts. Q. The group that you identified, I want to make it crystal clear on the record, are you saying they were attorneys	2 3 4 5	A. Oh, you mean like one that says they are the president's lawyers but not the campaign?Q. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	several other constitutional experts. Q. The group that you identified, I want to make it crystal clear on the record, are you saying they were attorneys for Donald Trump personally or for the	2 3 4 5 6	A. Oh, you mean like one that says they are the president's lawyers but not the campaign?Q. Yes.A. No. What I can remember is
2 3 4 5 6 7	several other constitutional experts. Q. The group that you identified, I want to make it crystal clear on the record, are you saying they were attorneys for Donald Trump personally or for the Trump campaign or both?	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Oh, you mean like one that says they are the president's lawyers but not the campaign?Q. Yes.A. No. What I can remember is seeing documents and it could be the same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	several other constitutional experts. Q. The group that you identified, I want to make it crystal clear on the record, are you saying they were attorneys for Donald Trump personally or for the Trump campaign or both? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Oh, you mean like one that says they are the president's lawyers but not the campaign?Q. Yes.A. No. What I can remember is seeing documents and it could be the same document we produced a lot in which the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	several other constitutional experts. Q. The group that you identified, I want to make it crystal clear on the record, are you saying they were attorneys for Donald Trump personally or for the Trump campaign or both? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: My understanding of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Oh, you mean like one that says they are the president's lawyers but not the campaign? Q. Yes. A. No. What I can remember is seeing documents and it could be the same document we produced a lot in which the president's legal team was listed, and as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	several other constitutional experts. Q. The group that you identified, I want to make it crystal clear on the record, are you saying they were attorneys for Donald Trump personally or for the Trump campaign or both? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: My understanding of it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Oh, you mean like one that says they are the president's lawyers but not the campaign? Q. Yes. A. No. What I can remember is seeing documents and it could be the same document we produced a lot in which the president's legal team was listed, and as I said, there are two versions of it, one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	several other constitutional experts. Q. The group that you identified, I want to make it crystal clear on the record, are you saying they were attorneys for Donald Trump personally or for the Trump campaign or both? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: My understanding of it? BY MR. CAIN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Oh, you mean like one that says they are the president's lawyers but not the campaign? Q. Yes. A. No. What I can remember is seeing documents and it could be the same document we produced a lot in which the president's legal team was listed, and as I said, there are two versions of it, one with Boris and one without Boris.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 several other constitutional experts. Q. The group that you identified, I want to make it crystal clear on the record, are you saying they were attorneys for Donald Trump personally or for the Trump campaign or both? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: My understanding of it? BY MR. CAIN: Q. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Oh, you mean like one that says they are the president's lawyers but not the campaign? Q. Yes. A. No. What I can remember is seeing documents and it could be the same document we produced a lot in which the president's legal team was listed, and as I said, there are two versions of it, one with Boris and one without Boris. Q. So you've stated you were at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	several other constitutional experts. Q. The group that you identified, I want to make it crystal clear on the record, are you saying they were attorneys for Donald Trump personally or for the Trump campaign or both? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: My understanding of it? BY MR. CAIN: Q. Yes. A. They were retained in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Oh, you mean like one that says they are the president's lawyers but not the campaign? Q. Yes. A. No. What I can remember is seeing documents and it could be the same document we produced a lot in which the president's legal team was listed, and as I said, there are two versions of it, one with Boris and one without Boris. Q. So you've stated you were at least at one point an attorney for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 several other constitutional experts. Q. The group that you identified, I want to make it crystal clear on the record, are you saying they were attorneys for Donald Trump personally or for the Trump campaign or both? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: My understanding of it? BY MR. CAIN: Q. Yes. A. They were retained in the president's personal capacity, represented 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Oh, you mean like one that says they are the president's lawyers but not the campaign? Q. Yes. A. No. What I can remember is seeing documents and it could be the same document we produced a lot in which the president's legal team was listed, and as I said, there are two versions of it, one with Boris and one without Boris. Q. So you've stated you were at least at one point an attorney for the campaign; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 several other constitutional experts. Q. The group that you identified, I want to make it crystal clear on the record, are you saying they were attorneys for Donald Trump personally or for the Trump campaign or both? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: My understanding of it? BY MR. CAIN: Q. Yes. A. They were retained in the president's personal capacity, represented him as Donald Trump, the individual which 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Oh, you mean like one that says they are the president's lawyers but not the campaign? Q. Yes. A. No. What I can remember is seeing documents and it could be the same document we produced a lot in which the president's legal team was listed, and as I said, there are two versions of it, one with Boris and one without Boris. Q. So you've stated you were at least at one point an attorney for the campaign; correct? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 several other constitutional experts. Q. The group that you identified, I want to make it crystal clear on the record, are you saying they were attorneys for Donald Trump personally or for the Trump campaign or both? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: My understanding of it? BY MR. CAIN: Q. Yes. A. They were retained in the president's personal capacity, represented him as Donald Trump, the individual which we had become quite familiar with because 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Oh, you mean like one that says they are the president's lawyers but not the campaign? Q. Yes. A. No. What I can remember is seeing documents and it could be the same document we produced a lot in which the president's legal team was listed, and as I said, there are two versions of it, one with Boris and one without Boris. Q. So you've stated you were at least at one point an attorney for the campaign; correct? A. Correct. Q. And you've stated that Ms. Ellis
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 several other constitutional experts. Q. The group that you identified, I want to make it crystal clear on the record, are you saying they were attorneys for Donald Trump personally or for the Trump campaign or both? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: My understanding of it? BY MR. CAIN: Q. Yes. A. They were retained in the president's personal capacity, represented him as Donald Trump, the individual which we had become quite familiar with because of the impeachment proceedings where he 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Oh, you mean like one that says they are the president's lawyers but not the campaign? Q. Yes. A. No. What I can remember is seeing documents and it could be the same document we produced a lot in which the president's legal team was listed, and as I said, there are two versions of it, one with Boris and one without Boris. Q. So you've stated you were at least at one point an attorney for the campaign; correct? A. Correct. Q. And you've stated that Ms. Ellis was also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 several other constitutional experts. Q. The group that you identified, I want to make it crystal clear on the record, are you saying they were attorneys for Donald Trump personally or for the Trump campaign or both? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: My understanding of it? BY MR. CAIN: Q. Yes. A. They were retained in the president's personal capacity, represented him as Donald Trump, the individual which we had become quite familiar with because of the impeachment proceedings where he had government counsel and private 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Oh, you mean like one that says they are the president's lawyers but not the campaign? Q. Yes. A. No. What I can remember is seeing documents and it could be the same document we produced a lot in which the president's legal team was listed, and as I said, there are two versions of it, one with Boris and one without Boris. Q. So you've stated you were at least at one point an attorney for the campaign; correct? A. Correct. Q. And you've stated that Ms. Ellis was also A. No, I'm saying I believe she was.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 several other constitutional experts. Q. The group that you identified, I want to make it crystal clear on the record, are you saying they were attorneys for Donald Trump personally or for the Trump campaign or both? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: My understanding of it? BY MR. CAIN: Q. Yes. A. They were retained in the president's personal capacity, represented him as Donald Trump, the individual which we had become quite familiar with because of the impeachment proceedings where he had government counsel and private counsel, as most presidents do. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Oh, you mean like one that says they are the president's lawyers but not the campaign? Q. Yes. A. No. What I can remember is seeing documents and it could be the same document we produced a lot in which the president's legal team was listed, and as I said, there are two versions of it, one with Boris and one without Boris. Q. So you've stated you were at least at one point an attorney for the campaign; correct? A. Correct. Q. And you've stated that Ms. Ellis was also A. No, I'm saying I believe she was. I'm not absolutely certain of that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 several other constitutional experts. Q. The group that you identified, I want to make it crystal clear on the record, are you saying they were attorneys for Donald Trump personally or for the Trump campaign or both? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: My understanding of it? BY MR. CAIN: Q. Yes. A. They were retained in the president's personal capacity, represented him as Donald Trump, the individual which we had become quite familiar with because of the impeachment proceedings where he had government counsel and private counsel, as most presidents do. Q. And is there anything that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Oh, you mean like one that says they are the president's lawyers but not the campaign? Q. Yes. A. No. What I can remember is seeing documents and it could be the same document we produced a lot in which the president's legal team was listed, and as I said, there are two versions of it, one with Boris and one without Boris. Q. So you've stated you were at least at one point an attorney for the campaign; correct? A. Correct. Q. And you've stated that Ms. Ellis was also A. No, I'm saying I believe she was. I'm not absolutely certain of that. Q. Well, when you began the press
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 several other constitutional experts. Q. The group that you identified, I want to make it crystal clear on the record, are you saying they were attorneys for Donald Trump personally or for the Trump campaign or both? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: My understanding of it? BY MR. CAIN: Q. Yes. A. They were retained in the president's personal capacity, represented him as Donald Trump, the individual which we had become quite familiar with because of the impeachment proceedings where he had government counsel and private counsel, as most presidents do. Q. And is there anything that reflects their retention in that capacity? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Oh, you mean like one that says they are the president's lawyers but not the campaign? Q. Yes. A. No. What I can remember is seeing documents and it could be the same document we produced a lot in which the president's legal team was listed, and as I said, there are two versions of it, one with Boris and one without Boris. Q. So you've stated you were at least at one point an attorney for the campaign; correct? A. Correct. Q. And you've stated that Ms. Ellis was also A. No, I'm saying I believe she was. I'm not absolutely certain of that. Q. Well, when you began the press conference on November 19th, you stated,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 several other constitutional experts. Q. The group that you identified, I want to make it crystal clear on the record, are you saying they were attorneys for Donald Trump personally or for the Trump campaign or both? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: My understanding of it? BY MR. CAIN: Q. Yes. A. They were retained in the president's personal capacity, represented him as Donald Trump, the individual which we had become quite familiar with because of the impeachment proceedings where he had government counsel and private counsel, as most presidents do. Q. And is there anything that reflects their retention in that capacity? A. Certainly the press release from 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Oh, you mean like one that says they are the president's lawyers but not the campaign? Q. Yes. A. No. What I can remember is seeing documents and it could be the same document we produced a lot in which the president's legal team was listed, and as I said, there are two versions of it, one with Boris and one without Boris. Q. So you've stated you were at least at one point an attorney for the campaign; correct? A. Correct. Q. And you've stated that Ms. Ellis was also A. No, I'm saying I believe she was. I'm not absolutely certain of that. Q. Well, when you began the press conference on November 19th, you stated, quote, "This is a representative of our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 several other constitutional experts. Q. The group that you identified, I want to make it crystal clear on the record, are you saying they were attorneys for Donald Trump personally or for the Trump campaign or both? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: My understanding of it? BY MR. CAIN: Q. Yes. A. They were retained in the president's personal capacity, represented him as Donald Trump, the individual which we had become quite familiar with because of the impeachment proceedings where he had government counsel and private counsel, as most presidents do. Q. And is there anything that reflects their retention in that capacity? A. Certainly the press release from the president, several. I've seen 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Oh, you mean like one that says they are the president's lawyers but not the campaign? Q. Yes. A. No. What I can remember is seeing documents and it could be the same document we produced a lot in which the president's legal team was listed, and as I said, there are two versions of it, one with Boris and one without Boris. Q. So you've stated you were at least at one point an attorney for the campaign; correct? A. Correct. Q. And you've stated that Ms. Ellis was also A. No, I'm saying I believe she was. I'm not absolutely certain of that. Q. Well, when you began the press conference on November 19th, you stated, quote, "This is a representative of our legal team. We're representing President
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 several other constitutional experts. Q. The group that you identified, I want to make it crystal clear on the record, are you saying they were attorneys for Donald Trump personally or for the Trump campaign or both? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: My understanding of it? BY MR. CAIN: Q. Yes. A. They were retained in the president's personal capacity, represented him as Donald Trump, the individual which we had become quite familiar with because of the impeachment proceedings where he had government counsel and private counsel, as most presidents do. Q. And is there anything that reflects their retention in that capacity? A. Certainly the press release from the president, several. I've seen internal memos, particularly when they 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Oh, you mean like one that says they are the president's lawyers but not the campaign? Q. Yes. A. No. What I can remember is seeing documents and it could be the same document we produced a lot in which the president's legal team was listed, and as I said, there are two versions of it, one with Boris and one without Boris. Q. So you've stated you were at least at one point an attorney for the campaign; correct? A. Correct. Q. And you've stated that Ms. Ellis was also A. No, I'm saying I believe she was. I'm not absolutely certain of that. Q. Well, when you began the press conference on November 19th, you stated, quote, "This is a representative of our legal team. We're representing the Trump and we're representing the Trump
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 several other constitutional experts. Q. The group that you identified, I want to make it crystal clear on the record, are you saying they were attorneys for Donald Trump personally or for the Trump campaign or both? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: My understanding of it? BY MR. CAIN: Q. Yes. A. They were retained in the president's personal capacity, represented him as Donald Trump, the individual which we had become quite familiar with because of the impeachment proceedings where he had government counsel and private counsel, as most presidents do. Q. And is there anything that reflects their retention in that capacity? A. Certainly the press release from the president, several. I've seen 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Oh, you mean like one that says they are the president's lawyers but not the campaign? Q. Yes. A. No. What I can remember is seeing documents and it could be the same document we produced a lot in which the president's legal team was listed, and as I said, there are two versions of it, one with Boris and one without Boris. Q. So you've stated you were at least at one point an attorney for the campaign; correct? A. Correct. Q. And you've stated that Ms. Ellis was also A. No, I'm saying I believe she was. I'm not absolutely certain of that. Q. Well, when you began the press conference on November 19th, you stated, quote, "This is a representative of our legal team. We're representing President

7 (Pages 22 - 25)

1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	and then Jenna Ellis will follow me."	2	the campaign, go tell them you're in
3	So at the time of the press	3	charge.
4	conference, it's fair to say that you	4	MR. SIBLEY: I just want to
5	identified both Sidney Powell and Jenna	5	instruct the witness, don't reveal any
6	Ellis as representatives of the campaign?	6	attorney/client privileged
7	MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.	7	communications. So if it relates to
8	THE WITNESS: Well, if I did, I	8	legal advice, don't disclose it. If
9	should correct that. I probably	9	it relates to logistics
10	should have stopped short with Jenna	10	THE WITNESS: This is a statement
11	Ellis. I do not believe that Sidney	11	he made.
12	Powell was ever counsel to the	12	MR. SIBLEY: It doesn't matter if
13	campaign.	13	he made the statement. Don't disclose
14	BY MR. CAIN:	14	it if it's attorney/client privilege,
15	Q. Then why did you say she was?	15	only if it relates to the logistics of
16	A. I don't know. Maybe just	16	how you were retained and became
17	speaking too loosely, too generally, maybe	17	attorney.
18	I hadn't thought about the technical	18	THE WITNESS: I will be very
19	distinction at the time but I have no	19	careful. He said go over and tell
20	reason to believe she was counsel to the	20	them you're in charge, it's got to be
21	campaign.	21	straightened out. And I said,
22	Q. Do you know whether the	22	Mr. President, it would help if you
23	campaign	23	called over and made that point also,
24	A. I never saw her on a list. The	24	and he said I will, and that was the
25	thing I'm relying on is the list that I	25	conversation with the president
	Page 26		Page 28
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	saw and her name was never on that list.	2	without going into the rest of the
3	Q. Do you know if she was ever paid	3	conversation which I believe would be
4	to represent the campaign?	4	privileged.
5	A. I do not.	5	BY MR. CAIN:
6	Q. Were you ever paid to represent	6	Q. Okay. Who did you take over for?
7	the campaign?	7	A. Well, I certainly took over for
8	A. I was not. I was reimbursed for	8	the chief counsel.
9	my expenses.	9	Q. Which was?
10	Q. Why were you representing the	10	A. Justin Clark. It's a little
11	campaign for no compensation?	11	ambiguous as to whether or not the
12	A. The president the president	12	president was saying I was taking over the
13	ordered me to do it.	13	campaign or I was taking over the legal
14	Q. When did that occur?	14	aspect of the campaign.
15	A. The election was January 3rd. If	15	Q. Who was the director of the
16	you don't mind, I'll recreate. The	16	A. Bill Stepien was the director of
17	election was January 3rd. That would have	17	the campaign. Coincidentally Bill worked
18	been on the 4th or the 5th.	18	on my campaign. I knew Bill quite well.
19		19	And so I interpreted it as I'm taking over
	Q. I think you misspoke.		(1 - 1 - 1) D(11) $(1 - 1)$
20	A. Did I say January? November 3rd.	20	the legal, Bill is still running the
20 21	A. Did I say January? November 3rd. November 4th and November 5th we're	20 21	campaign.
20 21 22	A. Did I say January? November 3rd. November 4th and November 5th we're talking about. Either on the 4th or the	20 21 22	campaign. Q. Okay. Did you physically move
20 21 22 23	A. Did I say January? November 3rd. November 4th and November 5th we're talking about. Either on the 4th or the 5th, I believe it was the 4th, the	20 21 22 23	campaign. Q. Okay. Did you physically move into the campaign offices for a period of
20 21 22 23 24	A. Did I say January? November 3rd. November 4th and November 5th we're talking about. Either on the 4th or the 5th, I believe it was the 4th, the president said in the Oval Office, I want	20 21 22 23 24	campaign. Q. Okay. Did you physically move into the campaign offices for a period of time?
20 21 22 23	A. Did I say January? November 3rd. November 4th and November 5th we're talking about. Either on the 4th or the 5th, I believe it was the 4th, the	20 21 22 23	campaign. Q. Okay. Did you physically move into the campaign offices for a period of

^{8 (}Pages 26 - 29)

-			
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	moved in there and I also had a separate	2	MR. CAIN: He's mic'd up so you
3	office, both.	3	can't do this here.
4	Q. As far as the legal team that	4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Does counsel
5	moved in there with you, let's see if we	5	agree to go off the record?
6	can talk about that.	6	MR. CAIN: Yes.
7	Who was at the campaign	7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
8	headquarters with you working as part of	8	record at approximately 9:50.
9	the legal team?	9	(Witness conferring with
10	A. Jenna Ellis virtually all the	10	Counsel.)
11	time. She was probably there the most	11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on
12	often. Joe and Vicki Toensing a little	12	the record at approximately 9:54 a.m.
13	less but they are very way beyond 9 to 5.	13	MR. CAIN: Counsel, are you
14	Boris tended to operate out of his own	14	asserting a privilege on the answer to
15	office but came there three, four times a	15	that question?
16	day. Then we were quickly joined by	16	MR. SIBLEY: No, sir.
17	Christina Bobb, and I think by the time of	17	BY MR. CAIN:
18	the press conference she was already part	17	
			Q. All right.
19	of the had been approved as part of the	19	A. So the only way I would be able
20	legal team.	20	to answer that question correctly is if
21	Those would be the lawyers. We	21	you showed me a list of the people in the
22	acquired very quickly some paralegals and	22	communications office and then that would
23	assistants and some researchers but I	23	refresh my recollection as to who the
24	didn't keep track of that. Boris and	24	person selected, but I don't recall
25	Jenna did.	25	exactly who was selected, and the part
	Page 30		Page 32
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	Q. Gotcha.	2	that's privileged is there was
3	A. I knew who they were but most of	3	considerable conversation about that part
4	them I didn't hire. They did. I just	4	that is privileged.
5	approved it.	5	Q. Well, I didn't come with a handy
6	Q. Do you know when you moved in	6	dandy org chart of the campaign so I can't
7	physically who the director of	7	help you there. The name Jim Murtaugh
8	communications was for the campaign?	8	comes to mind.
9	A. Oh, sure. The director of	9	A. Jim was not given to us as the
10	communications was a friend of mine. It	10	director of communications for our team,
11	was oh, I better be sure. I assume the		
	was on, I benef be sure. I assume the	11	no, but he was one of the people in that
12		11 12	no, but he was one of the people in that large group of people that was a spokesman
12 13	director maybe you'd better refresh my		large group of people that was a spokesman
13	director maybe you'd better refresh my recollection. I don't want to misspeak as	12 13	large group of people that was a spokesman for the campaign and the election effort
13 14	director maybe you'd better refresh my recollection. I don't want to misspeak as to who the official director of	12 13 14	large group of people that was a spokesman for the campaign and the election effort but not the one assigned to us.
13 14 15	director maybe you'd better refresh my recollection. I don't want to misspeak as to who the official director of communications was.	12 13 14 15	large group of people that was a spokesman for the campaign and the election effort but not the one assigned to us. Q. Okay. Who was assigned to you?
13 14 15 16	director maybe you'd better refresh my recollection. I don't want to misspeak as to who the official director of communications was. Q. That's why we're asking.	12 13 14 15 16	large group of people that was a spokesman for the campaign and the election effort but not the one assigned to us. Q. Okay. Who was assigned to you? A. That's the problem, okay.
13 14 15 16 17	director maybe you'd better refresh my recollection. I don't want to misspeak as to who the official director of communications was.Q. That's why we're asking.A. Oh, you don't know either. There	12 13 14 15 16 17	large group of people that was a spokesmanfor the campaign and the election effortbut not the one assigned to us.Q. Okay. Who was assigned to you?A. That's the problem, okay.Q. Okay. Well, we'll
13 14 15 16 17 18	director maybe you'd better refresh my recollection. I don't want to misspeak as to who the official director of communications was.Q. That's why we're asking.A. Oh, you don't know either. There seems to have been a lot of directors of	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 large group of people that was a spokesman for the campaign and the election effort but not the one assigned to us. Q. Okay. Who was assigned to you? A. That's the problem, okay. Q. Okay. Well, we'll A. I can get it for you during the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	director maybe you'd better refresh my recollection. I don't want to misspeak as to who the official director of communications was.Q. That's why we're asking.A. Oh, you don't know either. There seems to have been a lot of directors of communications.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 large group of people that was a spokesman for the campaign and the election effort but not the one assigned to us. Q. Okay. Who was assigned to you? A. That's the problem, okay. Q. Okay. Well, we'll A. I can get it for you during the break by just making a call.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	director maybe you'd better refresh my recollection. I don't want to misspeak as to who the official director of communications was.Q. That's why we're asking.A. Oh, you don't know either. There seems to have been a lot of directors of communications.Is it all right if I consult with	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 large group of people that was a spokesman for the campaign and the election effort but not the one assigned to us. Q. Okay. Who was assigned to you? A. That's the problem, okay. Q. Okay. Well, we'll A. I can get it for you during the break by just making a call. Q. We'll do that at a break.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	director maybe you'd better refresh my recollection. I don't want to misspeak as to who the official director of communications was.Q. That's why we're asking.A. Oh, you don't know either. There seems to have been a lot of directors of communications.Is it all right if I consult with my counsel? Is it possible?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 large group of people that was a spokesman for the campaign and the election effort but not the one assigned to us. Q. Okay. Who was assigned to you? A. That's the problem, okay. Q. Okay. Well, we'll A. I can get it for you during the break by just making a call. Q. We'll do that at a break. A. It's not a big deal. I just want
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 director maybe you'd better refresh my recollection. I don't want to misspeak as to who the official director of communications was. Q. That's why we're asking. A. Oh, you don't know either. There seems to have been a lot of directors of communications. Is it all right if I consult with my counsel? Is it possible? MR. SIBLEY: Unless it's 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 large group of people that was a spokesman for the campaign and the election effort but not the one assigned to us. Q. Okay. Who was assigned to you? A. That's the problem, okay. Q. Okay. Well, we'll A. I can get it for you during the break by just making a call. Q. We'll do that at a break. A. It's not a big deal. I just want to be accurate because of the sensitivity
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	director maybe you'd better refresh my recollection. I don't want to misspeak as to who the official director of communications was. Q. That's why we're asking. A. Oh, you don't know either. There seems to have been a lot of directors of communications. Is it all right if I consult with my counsel? Is it possible? MR. SIBLEY: Unless it's privileged, Rudy	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 large group of people that was a spokesman for the campaign and the election effort but not the one assigned to us. Q. Okay. Who was assigned to you? A. That's the problem, okay. Q. Okay. Well, we'll A. I can get it for you during the break by just making a call. Q. We'll do that at a break. A. It's not a big deal. I just want to be accurate because of the sensitivity of the conversation.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	director maybe you'd better refresh my recollection. I don't want to misspeak as to who the official director of communications was. Q. That's why we're asking. A. Oh, you don't know either. There seems to have been a lot of directors of communications. Is it all right if I consult with my counsel? Is it possible? MR. SIBLEY: Unless it's privileged, Rudy THE WITNESS: It's possibly an	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 large group of people that was a spokesman for the campaign and the election effort but not the one assigned to us. Q. Okay. Who was assigned to you? A. That's the problem, okay. Q. Okay. Well, we'll A. I can get it for you during the break by just making a call. Q. We'll do that at a break. A. It's not a big deal. I just want to be accurate because of the sensitivity of the conversation. Q. I understand completely.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	director maybe you'd better refresh my recollection. I don't want to misspeak as to who the official director of communications was. Q. That's why we're asking. A. Oh, you don't know either. There seems to have been a lot of directors of communications. Is it all right if I consult with my counsel? Is it possible? MR. SIBLEY: Unless it's privileged, Rudy THE WITNESS: It's possibly an issue of privilege.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 large group of people that was a spokesman for the campaign and the election effort but not the one assigned to us. Q. Okay. Who was assigned to you? A. That's the problem, okay. Q. Okay. Well, we'll A. I can get it for you during the break by just making a call. Q. We'll do that at a break. A. It's not a big deal. I just want to be accurate because of the sensitivity of the conversation. Q. I understand completely. A. All right.
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	director maybe you'd better refresh my recollection. I don't want to misspeak as to who the official director of communications was. Q. That's why we're asking. A. Oh, you don't know either. There seems to have been a lot of directors of communications. Is it all right if I consult with my counsel? Is it possible? MR. SIBLEY: Unless it's privileged, Rudy THE WITNESS: It's possibly an	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 large group of people that was a spokesman for the campaign and the election effort but not the one assigned to us. Q. Okay. Who was assigned to you? A. That's the problem, okay. Q. Okay. Well, we'll A. I can get it for you during the break by just making a call. Q. We'll do that at a break. A. It's not a big deal. I just want to be accurate because of the sensitivity of the conversation. Q. I understand completely.

9 (Pages 30 - 33)

1			
	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	Q. So let's do this, I guess. Let's	2	when you got this information, where you
3	talk through your affidavit a little bit	3	were and when this was and who gave it to
4	more and then we can go on to another	4	you? That's a three-part question but if
5	topic.	5	you're okay with it, I'll
6	All right, so when we went down	6	A. I'll try. The answer is I'm not
7	this rabbit trail, we were talking about	7	exactly sure. The conversation took place
8	who the legal team was that you referred	8	maybe two or three times. There was
9	to. In paragraph 3, and I'll just read it	9	definitely a conversation in the campaign
10	for the record since it's short, you say,	10	headquarters conference room about it.
11	"At some point during our legal team's	11	Could have been one also in my own office.
12	investigation into the election (which	12	Q. Is that the one this office
13	included voting security issues with	13	we're sitting in?
14	Dominion and Dominion's history with	14	A. No, no, the office I had in
15	Smartmatic) we" I assume you're	15	Washington. Maybe I should describe the
16	referring to the team there.	16	setup.
17	A. Yes, sir.	17	Q. Let's do that.
18	Q "became aware of media reports	18	A. So I have been representing the
19	circulating regarding Coomer and	19	president for a year and a half as his
20	allegations that he had been overheard	20	counsel for the various impeachments, as
21	telling a radical leftist group words to	21	his personal counsel with Jay Sekulow and
22	the effect that he had ensured that Trump	22	I had an office then in Jay's office on
23	would lose the election."	23	the Hill. I also had my own office which
24	All right, let's talk about that	24	I set up at the Trump Hotel so that sort
25	sentence.	25	of became my Washington office. So when I
	Page 34		Page 36
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	You say that we, meaning the	2	took over this role, I originally was just
3	team, became aware of media reports.	3	going to continue as his personal lawyer
4	Mr. Giuliani, which media reports are you	4	like I had been before so I set up my
5	talking about?	5	office at the Trump Hotel again. So that
6	A. They would be online online	6	was one office, and then the other was
6 7	A. They would be online online publications that someone else on the team	6 7	was one office, and then the other was when he told me to go over to the campaign
			when he told me to go over to the campaign office, the campaign office so I would
7	publications that someone else on the team	7	when he told me to go over to the campaign
7 8	publications that someone else on the team would bring to me and show me and say	7 8	when he told me to go over to the campaign office, the campaign office so I would have meetings in both places. Eventually the Trump Hotel, we
7 8 9	publications that someone else on the team would bring to me and show me and say this is probably the first time I heard	7 8 9	when he told me to go over to the campaign office, the campaign office so I would have meetings in both places.
7 8 9 10	publications that someone else on the team would bring to me and show me and say this is probably the first time I heard Mr. Coomer's name that this gentleman	7 8 9 10	when he told me to go over to the campaign office, the campaign office so I would have meetings in both places. Eventually the Trump Hotel, we
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	publications that someone else on the team would bring to me and show me and say this is probably the first time I heard Mr. Coomer's name that this gentleman named Eric Coomer is it says in this article that he had a relationship with Antifa and according to the report, he had	7 8 9 10 11	when he told me to go over to the campaign office, the campaign office so I would have meetings in both places. Eventually the Trump Hotel, we moved to the Oriental, Mandarin Oriental Hotel and got a suite of offices there and toward the very end, we moved for a short
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	publications that someone else on the team would bring to me and show me and say this is probably the first time I heard Mr. Coomer's name that this gentleman named Eric Coomer is it says in this article that he had a relationship with Antifa and according to the report, he had overheard, and at this point it might have	7 8 9 10 11 12	when he told me to go over to the campaign office, the campaign office so I would have meetings in both places. Eventually the Trump Hotel, we moved to the Oriental, Mandarin Oriental Hotel and got a suite of offices there and
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	publications that someone else on the team would bring to me and show me and say this is probably the first time I heard Mr. Coomer's name that this gentleman named Eric Coomer is it says in this article that he had a relationship with Antifa and according to the report, he had overheard, and at this point it might have been hazier than it eventually became,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	when he told me to go over to the campaign office, the campaign office so I would have meetings in both places. Eventually the Trump Hotel, we moved to the Oriental, Mandarin Oriental Hotel and got a suite of offices there and toward the very end, we moved for a short
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	publications that someone else on the team would bring to me and show me and say this is probably the first time I heard Mr. Coomer's name that this gentleman named Eric Coomer is it says in this article that he had a relationship with Antifa and according to the report, he had overheard, and at this point it might have been hazier than it eventually became, that he had said something about ensuring	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	when he told me to go over to the campaign office, the campaign office so I would have meetings in both places. Eventually the Trump Hotel, we moved to the Oriental, Mandarin Oriental Hotel and got a suite of offices there and toward the very end, we moved for a short period to the I've forgotten, it was in town, it was an old established Washington hotel on Pennsylvania Avenue. That was
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	publications that someone else on the team would bring to me and show me and say this is probably the first time I heard Mr. Coomer's name that this gentleman named Eric Coomer is it says in this article that he had a relationship with Antifa and according to the report, he had overheard, and at this point it might have been hazier than it eventually became, that he had said something about ensuring that Trump would be assuring these	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	when he told me to go over to the campaign office, the campaign office so I would have meetings in both places. Eventually the Trump Hotel, we moved to the Oriental, Mandarin Oriental Hotel and got a suite of offices there and toward the very end, we moved for a short period to the I've forgotten, it was in town, it was an old established Washington hotel on Pennsylvania Avenue. That was just a short time, we were there maybe
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	publications that someone else on the team would bring to me and show me and say this is probably the first time I heard Mr. Coomer's name that this gentleman named Eric Coomer is it says in this article that he had a relationship with Antifa and according to the report, he had overheard, and at this point it might have been hazier than it eventually became, that he had said something about ensuring that Trump would be assuring these people that Trump would be defeated before	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	when he told me to go over to the campaign office, the campaign office so I would have meetings in both places. Eventually the Trump Hotel, we moved to the Oriental, Mandarin Oriental Hotel and got a suite of offices there and toward the very end, we moved for a short period to the I've forgotten, it was in town, it was an old established Washington hotel on Pennsylvania Avenue. That was just a short time, we were there maybe three weeks, four weeks. Most of the time
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	publications that someone else on the team would bring to me and show me and say this is probably the first time I heard Mr. Coomer's name that this gentleman named Eric Coomer is it says in this article that he had a relationship with Antifa and according to the report, he had overheard, and at this point it might have been hazier than it eventually became, that he had said something about ensuring that Trump would be assuring these people that Trump would be defeated before it was a statement made before the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	when he told me to go over to the campaign office, the campaign office so I would have meetings in both places. Eventually the Trump Hotel, we moved to the Oriental, Mandarin Oriental Hotel and got a suite of offices there and toward the very end, we moved for a short period to the I've forgotten, it was in town, it was an old established Washington hotel on Pennsylvania Avenue. That was just a short time, we were there maybe three weeks, four weeks. Most of the time we were at the Trump Hotel or the Mandarin
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	publications that someone else on the team would bring to me and show me and say this is probably the first time I heard Mr. Coomer's name that this gentleman named Eric Coomer is it says in this article that he had a relationship with Antifa and according to the report, he had overheard, and at this point it might have been hazier than it eventually became, that he had said something about ensuring that Trump would be assuring these people that Trump would be defeated before it was a statement made before the election according to the way it was	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	when he told me to go over to the campaign office, the campaign office so I would have meetings in both places. Eventually the Trump Hotel, we moved to the Oriental, Mandarin Oriental Hotel and got a suite of offices there and toward the very end, we moved for a short period to the I've forgotten, it was in town, it was an old established Washington hotel on Pennsylvania Avenue. That was just a short time, we were there maybe three weeks, four weeks. Most of the time we were at the Trump Hotel or the Mandarin Oriental.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	publications that someone else on the team would bring to me and show me and say this is probably the first time I heard Mr. Coomer's name that this gentleman named Eric Coomer is it says in this article that he had a relationship with Antifa and according to the report, he had overheard, and at this point it might have been hazier than it eventually became, that he had said something about ensuring that Trump would be assuring these people that Trump would be defeated before it was a statement made before the election according to the way it was related to me.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 when he told me to go over to the campaign office, the campaign office so I would have meetings in both places. Eventually the Trump Hotel, we moved to the Oriental, Mandarin Oriental Hotel and got a suite of offices there and toward the very end, we moved for a short period to the I've forgotten, it was in town, it was an old established Washington hotel on Pennsylvania Avenue. That was just a short time, we were there maybe three weeks, four weeks. Most of the time we were at the Trump Hotel or the Mandarin Oriental. Q. Well, let's bookend your
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	publications that someone else on the team would bring to me and show me and say this is probably the first time I heard Mr. Coomer's name that this gentleman named Eric Coomer is it says in this article that he had a relationship with Antifa and according to the report, he had overheard, and at this point it might have been hazier than it eventually became, that he had said something about ensuring that Trump would be assuring these people that Trump would be defeated before it was a statement made before the election according to the way it was related to me. Q. Okay. Let's try to go back in	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 when he told me to go over to the campaign office, the campaign office so I would have meetings in both places. Eventually the Trump Hotel, we moved to the Oriental, Mandarin Oriental Hotel and got a suite of offices there and toward the very end, we moved for a short period to the I've forgotten, it was in town, it was an old established Washington hotel on Pennsylvania Avenue. That was just a short time, we were there maybe three weeks, four weeks. Most of the time we were at the Trump Hotel or the Mandarin Oriental. Q. Well, let's bookend your representation times because you said in
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	publications that someone else on the team would bring to me and show me and say this is probably the first time I heard Mr. Coomer's name that this gentleman named Eric Coomer is it says in this article that he had a relationship with Antifa and according to the report, he had overheard, and at this point it might have been hazier than it eventually became, that he had said something about ensuring that Trump would be assuring these people that Trump would be defeated before it was a statement made before the election according to the way it was related to me.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 when he told me to go over to the campaign office, the campaign office so I would have meetings in both places. Eventually the Trump Hotel, we moved to the Oriental, Mandarin Oriental Hotel and got a suite of offices there and toward the very end, we moved for a short period to the I've forgotten, it was in town, it was an old established Washington hotel on Pennsylvania Avenue. That was just a short time, we were there maybe three weeks, four weeks. Most of the time we were at the Trump Hotel or the Mandarin Oriental. Q. Well, let's bookend your representation times because you said in the first part of your response that you
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	publications that someone else on the team would bring to me and show me and say this is probably the first time I heard Mr. Coomer's name that this gentleman named Eric Coomer is it says in this article that he had a relationship with Antifa and according to the report, he had overheard, and at this point it might have been hazier than it eventually became, that he had said something about ensuring that Trump would be assuring these people that Trump would be defeated before it was a statement made before the election according to the way it was related to me. Q. Okay. Let's try to go back in your mind's eye and recall the specific events.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 when he told me to go over to the campaign office, the campaign office so I would have meetings in both places. Eventually the Trump Hotel, we moved to the Oriental, Mandarin Oriental Hotel and got a suite of offices there and toward the very end, we moved for a short period to the I've forgotten, it was in town, it was an old established Washington hotel on Pennsylvania Avenue. That was just a short time, we were there maybe three weeks, four weeks. Most of the time we were at the Trump Hotel or the Mandarin Oriental. Q. Well, let's bookend your representation times because you said in the first part of your response that you had started to represent President Trump
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	publications that someone else on the team would bring to me and show me and say this is probably the first time I heard Mr. Coomer's name that this gentleman named Eric Coomer is it says in this article that he had a relationship with Antifa and according to the report, he had overheard, and at this point it might have been hazier than it eventually became, that he had said something about ensuring that Trump would be assuring these people that Trump would be defeated before it was a statement made before the election according to the way it was related to me. Q. Okay. Let's try to go back in your mind's eye and recall the specific	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 when he told me to go over to the campaign office, the campaign office so I would have meetings in both places. Eventually the Trump Hotel, we moved to the Oriental, Mandarin Oriental Hotel and got a suite of offices there and toward the very end, we moved for a short period to the I've forgotten, it was in town, it was an old established Washington hotel on Pennsylvania Avenue. That was just a short time, we were there maybe three weeks, four weeks. Most of the time we were at the Trump Hotel or the Mandarin Oriental. Q. Well, let's bookend your representation times because you said in the first part of your response that you

10 (Pages 34 - 37)

1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	A. Sure, it was in April, May 2019.	2	remember learning about Dr. Coomer before
3	Q. Okay. When was the last time	3	you went to the press conference on
4	that you performed legal services for	4	November 19th. What we were talking about
5	either President Trump in his personal	5	was the online material or media reports
6	capacity or the campaign?	6	as you say in your affidavit excuse me,
7	A. Probably February.	7	declaration, and then we started talking
8	Q. Of this year?	8	about office space.
9	A. Um-hum.	9	A. Where it was, yeah.
10	Q. Is that a yes?	10	Q. So can you think of,
11	A. Possibly March, February or	11	Mr. Giuliani, as you sit here, what media
12	March.	12	reports you remember seeing, either an
13	Q. Of this year?	13	article or a media, any kind of statement?
14	A. I'm sorry, yes, this year.	14	A. I can remember seeing what I
15	Q. You said um-hum which can be	15	would call online media, meaning
16	ambiguous.	16	meaning not the Washington Post or The New
17	A. I'm sorry, I didn't mean to.	17	York Times or the New York Post or NBC,
18	Q. That's all right.	18	CBS. More like not necessarily them
19	A. I just can't I can't I	19	but more like The Daily Caller or that
20	would interpret that to mean he asked me	20	category, Breitbart. So it was brought to
21	legal questions and I gave him advice.	21	my attention that there was a media
22	Last time I can remember doing that, the	22	report, maybe two, that there was a guy
23	latest would be March of this year.	23	who worked for Dominion that was had a
24	Q. Okay. So here's what I'm the	24	history of being very anti-Trump and that
25	object of the	25	in a conversation that was overheard with
	Page 38		Page 40
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
		-	
2	A. May I say, I still give him	2	Antifa members, he made this statement
1	political advice so a week ago I met with		before the election that the election was
2	political advice so a week ago I met with him and we discussed politics. So	2	
2 3	political advice so a week ago I met with	2 3	before the election that the election was
2 3 4	political advice so a week ago I met with him and we discussed politics. So sometimes it's hard to know which hat I'm wearing but I don't think I've worn the	2 3 4	before the election that the election was fixed, and I said have we run it down and
2 3 4 5 6 7	political advice so a week ago I met with him and we discussed politics. So sometimes it's hard to know which hat I'm	2 3 4 5	before the election that the election was fixed, and I said have we run it down and the answer was no, but I'll get back to
2 3 4 5 6	political advice so a week ago I met with him and we discussed politics. So sometimes it's hard to know which hat I'm wearing but I don't think I've worn the	2 3 4 5 6	before the election that the election was fixed, and I said have we run it down and the answer was no, but I'll get back to you.
2 3 4 5 6 7	political advice so a week ago I met with him and we discussed politics. So sometimes it's hard to know which hat I'm wearing but I don't think I've worn the legal hat since March of 2019. Q. Before I get off of office space, I wasn't anticipating that line of	2 3 4 5 6 7	before the election that the election was fixed, and I said have we run it down and the answer was no, but I'll get back to you. Q. Who was supposed to get back to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	political advice so a week ago I met with him and we discussed politics. So sometimes it's hard to know which hat I'm wearing but I don't think I've worn the legal hat since March of 2019. Q. Before I get off of office space, I wasn't anticipating that line of questioning, did you have offices at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	before the election that the election was fixed, and I said have we run it down and the answer was no, but I'll get back to you.Q. Who was supposed to get back to you?A. Phil Waldron was the one who was supposed to get back to me. That
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	political advice so a week ago I met with him and we discussed politics. So sometimes it's hard to know which hat I'm wearing but I don't think I've worn the legal hat since March of 2019. Q. Before I get off of office space, I wasn't anticipating that line of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 before the election that the election was fixed, and I said have we run it down and the answer was no, but I'll get back to you. Q. Who was supposed to get back to you? A. Phil Waldron was the one who was supposed to get back to me. That conversation I just described could have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 political advice so a week ago I met with him and we discussed politics. So sometimes it's hard to know which hat I'm wearing but I don't think I've worn the legal hat since March of 2019. Q. Before I get off of office space, I wasn't anticipating that line of questioning, did you have offices at the Willard Hotel? A. That was it, the Willard Hotel, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 before the election that the election was fixed, and I said have we run it down and the answer was no, but I'll get back to you. Q. Who was supposed to get back to you? A. Phil Waldron was the one who was supposed to get back to me. That conversation I just described could have been with Phil or one of his people and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 political advice so a week ago I met with him and we discussed politics. So sometimes it's hard to know which hat I'm wearing but I don't think I've worn the legal hat since March of 2019. Q. Before I get off of office space, I wasn't anticipating that line of questioning, did you have offices at the Willard Hotel? A. That was it, the Willard Hotel, yes, and that was we actually for a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 before the election that the election was fixed, and I said have we run it down and the answer was no, but I'll get back to you. Q. Who was supposed to get back to you? A. Phil Waldron was the one who was supposed to get back to me. That conversation I just described could have been with Phil or one of his people and I told them give Phil the action to do it,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 political advice so a week ago I met with him and we discussed politics. So sometimes it's hard to know which hat I'm wearing but I don't think I've worn the legal hat since March of 2019. Q. Before I get off of office space, I wasn't anticipating that line of questioning, did you have offices at the Willard Hotel? A. That was it, the Willard Hotel, yes, and that was we actually for a short time were at the Willard Hotel for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 before the election that the election was fixed, and I said have we run it down and the answer was no, but I'll get back to you. Q. Who was supposed to get back to you? A. Phil Waldron was the one who was supposed to get back to me. That conversation I just described could have been with Phil or one of his people and I told them give Phil the action to do it, but I definitely discussed it during that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	political advice so a week ago I met with him and we discussed politics. So sometimes it's hard to know which hat I'm wearing but I don't think I've worn the legal hat since March of 2019. Q. Before I get off of office space, I wasn't anticipating that line of questioning, did you have offices at the Willard Hotel? A. That was it, the Willard Hotel, yes, and that was we actually for a short time were at the Willard Hotel for some logistical reason but at the end of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 before the election that the election was fixed, and I said have we run it down and the answer was no, but I'll get back to you. Q. Who was supposed to get back to you? A. Phil Waldron was the one who was supposed to get back to me. That conversation I just described could have been with Phil or one of his people and I told them give Phil the action to do it, but I definitely discussed it during that very brief period with Phil and then one
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	 political advice so a week ago I met with him and we discussed politics. So sometimes it's hard to know which hat I'm wearing but I don't think I've worn the legal hat since March of 2019. Q. Before I get off of office space, I wasn't anticipating that line of questioning, did you have offices at the Willard Hotel? A. That was it, the Willard Hotel, yes, and that was we actually for a short time were at the Willard Hotel for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 before the election that the election was fixed, and I said have we run it down and the answer was no, but I'll get back to you. Q. Who was supposed to get back to you? A. Phil Waldron was the one who was supposed to get back to me. That conversation I just described could have been with Phil or one of his people and I told them give Phil the action to do it, but I definitely discussed it during that very brief period with Phil and then one of the people who worked for Phil. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 political advice so a week ago I met with him and we discussed politics. So sometimes it's hard to know which hat I'm wearing but I don't think I've worn the legal hat since March of 2019. Q. Before I get off of office space, I wasn't anticipating that line of questioning, did you have offices at the Willard Hotel? A. That was it, the Willard Hotel, yes, and that was we actually for a short time were at the Willard Hotel for some logistical reason but at the end of our representation, as we were getting closer to the inauguration, I believe it 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 before the election that the election was fixed, and I said have we run it down and the answer was no, but I'll get back to you. Q. Who was supposed to get back to you? A. Phil Waldron was the one who was supposed to get back to me. That conversation I just described could have been with Phil or one of his people and I told them give Phil the action to do it, but I definitely discussed it during that very brief period with Phil and then one
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	political advice so a week ago I met with him and we discussed politics. So sometimes it's hard to know which hat I'm wearing but I don't think I've worn the legal hat since March of 2019. Q. Before I get off of office space, I wasn't anticipating that line of questioning, did you have offices at the Willard Hotel? A. That was it, the Willard Hotel, yes, and that was we actually for a short time were at the Willard Hotel for some logistical reason but at the end of our representation, as we were getting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 before the election that the election was fixed, and I said have we run it down and the answer was no, but I'll get back to you. Q. Who was supposed to get back to you? A. Phil Waldron was the one who was supposed to get back to me. That conversation I just described could have been with Phil or one of his people and I told them give Phil the action to do it, but I definitely discussed it during that very brief period with Phil and then one of the people who worked for Phil. I
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array} $	 political advice so a week ago I met with him and we discussed politics. So sometimes it's hard to know which hat I'm wearing but I don't think I've worn the legal hat since March of 2019. Q. Before I get off of office space, I wasn't anticipating that line of questioning, did you have offices at the Willard Hotel? A. That was it, the Willard Hotel, yes, and that was we actually for a short time were at the Willard Hotel for some logistical reason but at the end of our representation, as we were getting closer to the inauguration, I believe it 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	before the election that the election was fixed, and I said have we run it down and the answer was no, but I'll get back to you. Q. Who was supposed to get back to you? A. Phil Waldron was the one who was supposed to get back to me. That conversation I just described could have been with Phil or one of his people and I told them give Phil the action to do it, but I definitely discussed it during that very brief period with Phil and then one of the people who worked for Phil. I don't remember which one.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	political advice so a week ago I met with him and we discussed politics. So sometimes it's hard to know which hat I'm wearing but I don't think I've worn the legal hat since March of 2019. Q. Before I get off of office space, I wasn't anticipating that line of questioning, did you have offices at the Willard Hotel? A. That was it, the Willard Hotel, yes, and that was we actually for a short time were at the Willard Hotel for some logistical reason but at the end of our representation, as we were getting closer to the inauguration, I believe it was sometime after Christmas we moved to the Willard Hotel. We moved from the Mandarin Oriental to the Willard Hotel,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 before the election that the election was fixed, and I said have we run it down and the answer was no, but I'll get back to you. Q. Who was supposed to get back to you? A. Phil Waldron was the one who was supposed to get back to me. That conversation I just described could have been with Phil or one of his people and I told them give Phil the action to do it, but I definitely discussed it during that very brief period with Phil and then one of the people who worked for Phil. I don't remember which one. Q. I see. Did he get back to you?
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array} $	political advice so a week ago I met with him and we discussed politics. So sometimes it's hard to know which hat I'm wearing but I don't think I've worn the legal hat since March of 2019. Q. Before I get off of office space, I wasn't anticipating that line of questioning, did you have offices at the Willard Hotel? A. That was it, the Willard Hotel, yes, and that was we actually for a short time were at the Willard Hotel for some logistical reason but at the end of our representation, as we were getting closer to the inauguration, I believe it was sometime after Christmas we moved to the Willard Hotel. We moved from the	$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ \end{array} $	 before the election that the election was fixed, and I said have we run it down and the answer was no, but I'll get back to you. Q. Who was supposed to get back to you? A. Phil Waldron was the one who was supposed to get back to me. That conversation I just described could have been with Phil or one of his people and I told them give Phil the action to do it, but I definitely discussed it during that very brief period with Phil and then one of the people who worked for Phil. I don't remember which one. Q. I see. Did he get back to you?
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	political advice so a week ago I met with him and we discussed politics. So sometimes it's hard to know which hat I'm wearing but I don't think I've worn the legal hat since March of 2019. Q. Before I get off of office space, I wasn't anticipating that line of questioning, did you have offices at the Willard Hotel? A. That was it, the Willard Hotel, yes, and that was we actually for a short time were at the Willard Hotel for some logistical reason but at the end of our representation, as we were getting closer to the inauguration, I believe it was sometime after Christmas we moved to the Willard Hotel. We moved from the Mandarin Oriental to the Willard Hotel,	$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ \end{array} $	 before the election that the election was fixed, and I said have we run it down and the answer was no, but I'll get back to you. Q. Who was supposed to get back to you? A. Phil Waldron was the one who was supposed to get back to me. That conversation I just described could have been with Phil or one of his people and I told them give Phil the action to do it, but I definitely discussed it during that very brief period with Phil and then one of the people who worked for Phil. I don't remember which one. Q. I see. Did he get back to you with?
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	political advice so a week ago I met with him and we discussed politics. So sometimes it's hard to know which hat I'm wearing but I don't think I've worn the legal hat since March of 2019. Q. Before I get off of office space, I wasn't anticipating that line of questioning, did you have offices at the Willard Hotel? A. That was it, the Willard Hotel, yes, and that was we actually for a short time were at the Willard Hotel for some logistical reason but at the end of our representation, as we were getting closer to the inauguration, I believe it was sometime after Christmas we moved to the Willard Hotel. We moved from the Mandarin Oriental to the Willard Hotel, and a lot of this got determined based on	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \end{array}$	 before the election that the election was fixed, and I said have we run it down and the answer was no, but I'll get back to you. Q. Who was supposed to get back to you? A. Phil Waldron was the one who was supposed to get back to me. That conversation I just described could have been with Phil or one of his people and I told them give Phil the action to do it, but I definitely discussed it during that very brief period with Phil and then one of the people who worked for Phil. I don't remember which one. Q. I see. Did he get back to you? A. He did, yeah. Q. What did he get back to you with? A. I can't tell you the timing for sure, how fast it was or whatever, this was not by any means the focus what I was
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 political advice so a week ago I met with him and we discussed politics. So sometimes it's hard to know which hat I'm wearing but I don't think I've worn the legal hat since March of 2019. Q. Before I get off of office space, I wasn't anticipating that line of questioning, did you have offices at the Willard Hotel? A. That was it, the Willard Hotel, yes, and that was we actually for a short time were at the Willard Hotel for some logistical reason but at the end of our representation, as we were getting closer to the inauguration, I believe it was sometime after Christmas we moved to the Willard Hotel. We moved from the Mandarin Oriental to the Willard Hotel, and a lot of this got determined based on COVID. Q. So let me restate what I was about to say. The object of my line of 	$2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 $	 before the election that the election was fixed, and I said have we run it down and the answer was no, but I'll get back to you. Q. Who was supposed to get back to you? A. Phil Waldron was the one who was supposed to get back to me. That conversation I just described could have been with Phil or one of his people and I told them give Phil the action to do it, but I definitely discussed it during that very brief period with Phil and then one of the people who worked for Phil. I don't remember which one. Q. I see. Did he get back to you with? A. I can't tell you the timing for sure, how fast it was or whatever, this was not by any means the focus what I was doing at the time. I was focusing really
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 political advice so a week ago I met with him and we discussed politics. So sometimes it's hard to know which hat I'm wearing but I don't think I've worn the legal hat since March of 2019. Q. Before I get off of office space, I wasn't anticipating that line of questioning, did you have offices at the Willard Hotel? A. That was it, the Willard Hotel, yes, and that was we actually for a short time were at the Willard Hotel for some logistical reason but at the end of our representation, as we were getting closer to the inauguration, I believe it was sometime after Christmas we moved to the Willard Hotel. We moved from the Mandarin Oriental to the Willard Hotel, and a lot of this got determined based on COVID. Q. So let me restate what I was about to say. The object of my line of questioning is to find out what you 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 before the election that the election was fixed, and I said have we run it down and the answer was no, but I'll get back to you. Q. Who was supposed to get back to you? A. Phil Waldron was the one who was supposed to get back to me. That conversation I just described could have been with Phil or one of his people and I told them give Phil the action to do it, but I definitely discussed it during that very brief period with Phil and then one of the people who worked for Phil. I don't remember which one. Q. I see. Did he get back to you? A. He did, yeah. Q. What did he get back to you with? A. I can't tell you the timing for sure, how fast it was or whatever, this was not by any means the focus what I was doing at the time. I was focusing really on the Philadelphia case and on the
$2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 $	 political advice so a week ago I met with him and we discussed politics. So sometimes it's hard to know which hat I'm wearing but I don't think I've worn the legal hat since March of 2019. Q. Before I get off of office space, I wasn't anticipating that line of questioning, did you have offices at the Willard Hotel? A. That was it, the Willard Hotel, yes, and that was we actually for a short time were at the Willard Hotel for some logistical reason but at the end of our representation, as we were getting closer to the inauguration, I believe it was sometime after Christmas we moved to the Willard Hotel. We moved from the Mandarin Oriental to the Willard Hotel, and a lot of this got determined based on COVID. Q. So let me restate what I was about to say. The object of my line of 	$2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 $	 before the election that the election was fixed, and I said have we run it down and the answer was no, but I'll get back to you. Q. Who was supposed to get back to you? A. Phil Waldron was the one who was supposed to get back to me. That conversation I just described could have been with Phil or one of his people and I told them give Phil the action to do it, but I definitely discussed it during that very brief period with Phil and then one of the people who worked for Phil. I don't remember which one. Q. I see. Did he get back to you with? A. I can't tell you the timing for sure, how fast it was or whatever, this was not by any means the focus what I was doing at the time. I was focusing really

^{11 (}Pages 38 - 41)

1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	Michigan case because I was writing,	2	exactly when it was. Maybe in the day
3	working with Phil Hearn on the draft of	3	that he talked to me about this, this took
4	that case so this was like an interruption	4	up maybe four minutes of the 20 hours I
5	to what I was doing, just so you	5	was working.
6	appreciate that it wasn't main point of	6	So before we get to the point
7	what I was doing.	7	that I made the statement, he and several
8	He would come back to me at	8	others, people who work with him,
9	various times with many, many things and	9	combination of at least him, another
10	in it he said he said at some point	10	person, could have been a third person
11	Coomer came up, he said we have a we've	11	that worked for him, on my side maybe
12	got this there's a witness now, there's	12	Bernie Kerik could be part of this, would
13	a witness now that says there's an	13	have been somebody like Bernie Kerik.
14	identifiable witness who says this	14	Q. Last name?
15	conversation took place before the	15	A. K-E-R-I-K. He was the former
16	election. He said this guy Coomer	16	New York City police commissioner and he
17	sometimes I go and look myself online when	17	was working as part of the investigatory
18	stuff comes up. This time I didn't have	18	team because from the time this first
19	the time to do it. I was virtually	19	started until now, the team had expanded
20	working 22 hours a day. But he had. He	20	quite a bit, not with regard to lawyers
21	said this guy, you're not going to find	21	but with regard to paralegals and
22	anything right now because they took down	22	investigators.
23	a lot of his media, a lot of his social	23	Q. All right, Mr. Giuliani, you
24	media stuff, and it looks like Dominion is	24	mentioned, I just want to make sure I got
25	trying to hide him but either he or he	25	the last name, Phil Waldron is the
	Page 42		Page 44
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	said some people captured a good deal of	2	A. Colonel Phil Waldron,
3	what he had been posting and it's awful.	3	W-A-L-D-R-O-N.
4	He said there's really all kinds of crazy	4	Q. And that's the four-minute
5	S-H-I-T. I said like what? Things like	5	conversation that you described?
6	he has to be removed, things suggesting	6	A. Roughly.
7	he's crazy. I said any violence? He said	7	Q. And he is with a group called
8	you'd have to really interpret that, you	8	ASOG; right?
9	would know that better than I would.	9	A. Yes, yes, that's right.
10	I have been a United States	10	Q. And since we're talking about
11	attorney, Associate Attorney General and I	11	time, how much Coomer time do you think
12	did a fair number of threats on the	12	you actually spent?
13	president cases, meaning I handled the	13	A. If I was going to bill it?
14	Hinckley case.	14	Q. Yeah, if you had to send a bill,
15	And I said okay, see if you can	15	I know you didn't send a bill to the
16	get me something. We'll take a look at it	16	campaign but if you had an invoice for
17	but he said I think the guy is gone now, I	17	Coomer time, how much Coomer time do you
18	think they are burying him. By burying	18	think you had?
1.1.0	him, he didn't mean killing him.	19	A. Before the press conference, gosh
19		00	almighty, I bet it's not an hour. You
19 20	Q. I get your point.	20	aninghty, i bet its not an nour. Tou
20 21	A. He meant putting him under the	21	would have to do one of those things
20 21 22			
20 21 22 23	A. He meant putting him under the rug, and I'll see what I can get you. I should emphasize this was a	21	would have to do one of those things lawyers hate to do, you would have to take like three minutes here, two minutes here,
20 21 22 23 24	 A. He meant putting him under the rug, and I'll see what I can get you. I should emphasize this was a very, very small part of the conversation 	21 22 23 24	would have to do one of those things lawyers hate to do, you would have to take like three minutes here, two minutes here, five minutes here, two minutes here and
20 21 22 23	A. He meant putting him under the rug, and I'll see what I can get you. I should emphasize this was a	21 22 23	would have to do one of those things lawyers hate to do, you would have to take like three minutes here, two minutes here,

			~~~~~
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	Q. Right.	2	doing and learning about Dr. Coomer before
3	A. It wasn't like a sustained	3	the press conference?
4	15-minute conversation until we get right	4	A. No. We talked about everything I
5	up to the time of the press conference.	5	learned before we actually got to the
6	Q. So again, we got far down the	6	point of preparing for the press
7	road because I was asking you about media	7	conference.
8	reports and I think you've described what	8	Q. Okay. So finish the rest of the
9	you remember about the media reports you	9	story then for us.
10	saw; right?	10	A. So after being alerted to the
11	A. They are not like a big distinct	11	fact that there were these news reports,
12	recollection. I recall better being told	12	Phil, some of his staff and at some point
13	than actually seeing.	13	Sidney Powell let's separate.
14	Q. Right.	14	Phil and his staff are almost
15	A. I remember being told. He was	15	interchangeable in terms of what they said
16	holding a piece of paper. I'm not even	16	to me. They had more detail about what it
17	sure I read it. He held a piece of paper	17	was. It was a conversation that a
18	and he recited it to me. I may have read	18	gentleman had listened into, had a
19	it.	19	purported to have a tape of some, if not
20	Q. And the "he" is Mr. Waldron?	20	all, of the conversation. I don't know if
21	A. Mr. Waldron, accompanied by one	21	it's a complete recording or an excerpt
22	of his aides, I think, and he made that	22	recording and in the conversation, Coomer
$\frac{22}{23}$	statement I told you and he said it, it's	23	says something to the effect of don't
23	being covered in the media, definitely	23	worry, the election against F-ing Trump is
25	referred to one, he may have referred to	25	fixed, taken care of, we figured it out,
25	Page 46	25	Page 48
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	two.	2	words to that effect.
3	Q. Do you have any Coomer time on	3	He said that there's a person who
4	your hypothetical bill post the November	4	taped this, a person who had additional
5	19th press release?	5	information about meetings of this group
6	A. Sure. Again very small amount.	6	in which this was discussed. And there
7	Q. Okay.	7	were a couple of couple of witnesses, I
8	A. Coomer's name would come up	8	believe he said this this is a faint
9	occasionally, sure.	9	recollection rather than a solid one. I
10	Q. Can you estimate what your bill	10	think he said there were some people who
11	would be?	11	could corroborate that.
12	A. Gosh almighty, I can't. We would	12	I said what does that mean,
13	have to go through it separately. I'm	13	people who helped him with the logistics
14	pretty comfortable with pre pre press	14	of this and getting this done. He didn't
15	conference we spent, if we include	15	say it, I imagine what he meant by that
16	preparing for the press conference, the	16	was getting wired, getting into the
17	max would be about an hour and a half in	17	meeting, getting into the telephone
18			conversation, wiring it. That's I think
	different segments, maybe two.	18	conversation, writing it. That's I think
19	different segments, maybe two. O. Is it fair to say that you were	18 19	-
19 20	Q. Is it fair to say that you were	19	that's what he meant. And I said how many
20	Q. Is it fair to say that you were prior to the press conference, the	19 20	that's what he meant. And I said how many people have heard this and he said I don't
20 21	Q. Is it fair to say that you were prior to the press conference, the majority of your time by far was taken up	19 20 21	that's what he meant. And I said how many people have heard this and he said I don't know. More than one but I don't think
20 21 22	Q. Is it fair to say that you were prior to the press conference, the majority of your time by far was taken up by issues other than Dr. Eric Coomer?	19 20 21 22	that's what he meant. And I said how many people have heard this and he said I don't know. More than one but I don't think it's permeated.
20 21 22 23	<ul><li>Q. Is it fair to say that you were</li><li> prior to the press conference, the</li><li>majority of your time by far was taken up</li><li>by issues other than Dr. Eric Coomer?</li><li>A. Oh, absolutely.</li></ul>	19 20 21 22 23	<ul><li>that's what he meant. And I said how many people have heard this and he said I don't know. More than one but I don't think it's permeated.</li><li>Q. And you're using pronouns. I</li></ul>
20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Is it fair to say that you were</li> <li> prior to the press conference, the majority of your time by far was taken up by issues other than Dr. Eric Coomer?</li> <li>A. Oh, absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Now, in terms of have we</li> </ul>	19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul><li>that's what he meant. And I said how many people have heard this and he said I don't know. More than one but I don't think it's permeated.</li><li>Q. And you're using pronouns. I want to make sure that</li></ul>
20 21 22 23	<ul><li>Q. Is it fair to say that you were</li><li> prior to the press conference, the</li><li>majority of your time by far was taken up</li><li>by issues other than Dr. Eric Coomer?</li><li>A. Oh, absolutely.</li></ul>	19 20 21 22 23	<ul><li>that's what he meant. And I said how many people have heard this and he said I don't know. More than one but I don't think it's permeated.</li><li>Q. And you're using pronouns. I</li></ul>

13 (Pages 46 - 49)

1       GIULLANI       1       GIULLANI         2       Q. You said he       2       2       Yet's and his staff told me that this         3       A very controversial now.       3       gentleman had wired a telephone call with         4       Q. Itake your point. In this       4       Antifa pcople, ritifa pcople or it included         6       telling you this information.       7       call, he had asid don't worry, we fixed         8       Q. That's what I thought you meant.       8       the election against F-ing Trump. Made a         9       A. Every once in a while somebody       9       couple of other derogatory comments about         10       else would interrupt for phil, but 1       10       Trump that can't recall right now, and         11       always took it as coming from Phil. If       11       he said that do don't dory, we fixed         14       a good deal of the social postings that       15       had been taken down and he had copies,         15       that's not right or that's not orrect.       17       me, and I rad through right or that's not orrect.         15       that's not'fab tor that's not orrect.       17       me, and I rad through right or that's not orrect.         16       something in front of Phil and he'd say       16       paper copies of them and he showed them to				
3 $\hat{A}$ 3gentleman had wired a telephone call with4Q. Itake your point. In this4Antifa people, I don't know if it was5respect, "he" meant whom? You said he was6Antifa people, I don't know if it was6telling you this information.6Antifa people, that during the telephone7A. He was Phil.7call, he had said don't worry, we fixed8Q. That's what I thought you meant.8the election against F-ing Trump. Made a9A. Every once in a while somebody9couple of other derogatory comments about10else wold interrupt for Phil, but I10Trump that I can't recall right now, and11always took it as coming from Phil. If11he as someone else had been able to13no reason to believe Phil wasn't13recapture, I don't know if it was all but14supporting it. There were times, not in14a good deal of the social postings that15this case, when somebody would say15had been taken down and he had copies,16something in front of Phil, and he'd say16paper copies of them and he showed them tor,17that's hot correct.17me, and I read through them very, very18Q. Was Phil working with Josh18quickly, and they sort of fit into a29genteman.22personal tawer, largely because I had21with Russ. I don't know about the other21president for quite some time as his29genteman.<	1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
4Q. Itake your point. In this4Antifa people. I don't know if i was5respect, "he" meant whom? You said he was5exclusively Antifa people or it included7A. He was Phil.7call, he had said don't worry, we fixed8Q. That's what I thought you meant.8the election agains F-ing Trump. Made a9A. Every once in a while somebody9couple of other derogatory comments about10else would interrupt for Phil, but I10Trump that I can't recall right now, and11always took it as coming from Phil. If11he said that he also had found or either12the person said it in front of Phil al had's 412he or someone else had been able to13no reason to believe Phil and he'd say15had been taken down and he showed them to14supporting it. There were times, not in14a good deal of the social postings of people.15this case, when somebody would say15had been taken down and he showed them to16something in front of Phil and he'd say16category oscial postings of people.20A. I know he was doing some work20And I have been dealing with this for the21with Russ, I don't know adout the other21president for quite some time as his22gencollection of the22frum, and kind of separating out the ones23Q. All right. So have you completed23scrice wan't taking scriously nough, so24talkey pricence with both Reagan and32rege so<	2	Q. You said he	2	let's say his staff told me that this
5       respect, "he" mean whom? You said he was       5       exclusively Antifa people or it included         6       telling you this information.       6       Antifa people, that during the telephone         7       A. He was Phil.       7       Call, he had said don't worry, we fixed         8       Q. That's what I though you meant.       9       couple of other derogatory comments about         10       else would interrupt for Phil, but I       10       Trump that I can't recall right now, and         11       always took it as coming from Phil. If       11       he so is able able no else had been able to         13       no reason to believe Phil wasn't       13       recapture, I don't know if it was all but         14       supporting it. There were times, not in       14       a good deal of the social postings that         15       this case, when somebody would say       16       had been taken down and he had copies,         16       something in front of Phil, losh       19       quickly, and they sort of fit into a         17       that's not right or that's not correct.       17       me, and I read through them very, very         18       quickly, and they sort of fit into a       10       president for quite some time as his         20       A. I know he was doing som work       20       And I have theen dali	3	A very controversial now.	3	gentleman had wired a telephone call with
6telling you this information.6Antifa people, that during the telephone7A. He was Phil.7call, he had said don't worry, we fixed8Q. That's what I thought you meant.8the election against F-ing Trump. Made a9A. Every once in a while somebody9couple of other derogatory comments about10else would interrupt for Phil, but I10Trump that I can't recall right now, and11always took it as coming from Phil. If11he said that he also had found or either12the person said it in front of Phil, I had12he or someone else had been able to13no reason to believe Phil wasn't13recapture, I don't know it it was all but14supporting it. There were times, not in14agood deal of the social postings that15this case, when somebody would say15had been taken down and he had coepies,16something in front of Phil and he'd sayfo paper copies of them and he showed them to17that's not right or that's not correct.1718Q. Was Phil working with Josh1321with Russ. I don't know about the other2022gentleman.2123gentleman.2224gout recollection of the2325A. No, he showed me - he then2426that experience with both Reagan and27showed me either in that meeting or one252soude meither in that meeting or one253very sh	4	Q. I take your point. In this	4	
7A. He was Phil.7call, he had said don't worry, we fixed8Q. That's what I thought you meant.7call, he had said don't worry, we fixed10else would interrupt for Phil, but I1010couple of other derogatory comments about11always took it as coming from Phil. I had1110Trump that I can't recall right now, and11always took it as coming from Phil. I had11he or someone else had been able to13no reason to believe Phil wasn't13recapture, I don't know if it was all but14supporting it. There were times, not in14a good deal of the social postings that15this case, when somebody would say15had been taken down and he had copies,16something in front of Phil and he'd say16paper copies of them and he showed them to17that's not correct.7ma, have been dealing with this for the19Metritt or Russ Ramsland at that point?20And I have been dealing with this for the21your recollection of the21president for quite some time as his22genteman.22personal lawyer, largely because I had23Q. All right. So have you completed24that experience with both Reagan and24your recollection of the25scrice wasn't taking seriously enough, so25that were fixed.1GIULIANI2schowed me either in that meeting or one2scrice wasn't taking seriously enough, so14tak very fast	5	respect, "he" meant whom? You said he was	5	exclusively Antifa people or it included
8       Q. That's what I thought you meant.       8       the election against F-ing Trump. Made a         9       A. Every once in a while somebody       couple of other derogatory comments about         10       else would interrupt for Phil, It I       11         11       always took it as coming from Phil. If       11         12       the person said it in front of Phil, Ihad       12         13       no reason to believe Phil warsin       13       recapture, I don't know if it was all but         14       supporting it. There were times, not in       14       a good deal of the social postings that         15       this case, when somebody would say       15       had been taken down and he had copies,         16       someting in front of Phil and he'd say       17       me, and I read through them very, very         18       Q. Was Phil working with Josh       18       quickly, and they sort of fit into a         19       Meritt or Russ Ramsland at that point?       20       And I have been dealing with hir for the         21       with Russ. I don't know about the other       21       president for quite some time as his         22       geneteman.       22       personal lawyer, largely because I had         23       Q. All right. So have you completed       23       that experience with both Reagan and </td <td>6</td> <td>telling you this information.</td> <td>6</td> <td>Antifa people, that during the telephone</td>	6	telling you this information.	6	Antifa people, that during the telephone
9Å. Every once in a while somebody 109couple of other derogatory comments about 1110else would interrupt for Phil, but I 110Trump that I can't recall right now, and 111always took it as coming from Phil. If 1111he said that he also had found or either 112the person said it in front of Phil, I had 1112he or someone else had been able to 113no reason to believe Phil wasn't 1313recapture, I don't know if it was all but 1414supporting it. There were times, not in 14a good deal of the social postings that 1515this case, when somebody would say 1515had been taken down and he had copies, 1616something in front of Phil and he'd say 1616mapper copies of them and he showed them to 1717that's not correct. 17may and that's not correct. 18quickly, and threy sort of fit into a 2018Q. Was Phil working with Josh 1918quickly, and they sort of fit into a 2121Marritt or Russ Ramsland at that point? 20And I have been dealing with this for the 2122personal lawyer, largely because I had 212023Q. All right. So have you completed 242424your recollection of the - 222525that were bad and ones that maybe the Secret 326somed me either in that meeting or one very shortly slow me down. I tend to 327tend full the solution did' 428some conclus	7	A. He was Phil.	7	call, he had said don't worry, we fixed
10else would interrupt for Phil, but I10Trump that I can't recall right now, and11always took it as coming from Phil. If11he said that he also had found or either12the person said it in front of Phil, I had11he said that he also had found or either13no reason to believe Phil wasn't13recapture, I don't know if it was all but14supporting it. There were times, not in14a good deal of the social postings that15that sease, when somebody would say15had been taken down and he had copies,16something in front of Phil and he'd say16paper copies of them and he showed them to17that's not right or that's not correct.17me, and I read through them very, very18Q. Was Phil working with Josh18quickly, and they sort of fit into a19Merritt or Russ Ramsland at that point?19category of social postings of people.20A. I know he was doing some work20And I have been dealing with this for the21gentleman.22personal lawyer, largely because I had23Q. All right. So have you completed23that experience with both Reagan and24your recollection of the24Trump, and kind of separating out the ones25A. No, he showed me he then78226ot numpose, I assure you, If you just5secret duem and they concerned me. They5not on purpose, I assure you, If you just6go like that or put up a card and say slow<	8	Q. That's what I thought you meant.	8	the election against F-ing Trump. Made a
11       always took it as coming from Phil. If       11       he said that he also had found or either         12       the person said it in front of Phil, I had       12       he or someone else had been able to         13       no reason to believe Phil wan't       13       recarute, I don't know if it was all but         14       supporting it. There were times, not in       14       a good deal of the social postings that         15       this case, when somebody would say       15       had been taken down and he had copies,         15       or reason sail at it hat point?       paper copies of them and he showed them to         16       with Russ. I don't know about he other       paper copies of them and he showed them to         17       that's not right or that's not correct.       17       me, and I read through them very, very         18       Q. Was Phil working with Josh       18       quickly, and they sort of fi into a         19       Merritt or Russ Ramsland at that point?       20       And I have been dealing with this for the         21       With Russ. I don't know about he other       21       president for quite some time as his         22       personal lawyer, largely because I had       23       that experience with both Reagan and         23       Q. All right. So have you completed       24       Trump, and kind of sep	9	A. Every once in a while somebody	9	couple of other derogatory comments about
12       the person said it in front of Phil, I had       12       he or someone else had been able to         13       no reason to believe Phil warnt       13       recapture, I don't know if it was all but         14       supporting it. There were times, not in       15       this case, when somebody would say       15         15       this case, when somebody would say       16       something in front of Phil and he'd say       16         16       something in front of Phil and he'd say       16       paper copies of them and he showed them to         17       that's not right or that's not correct.       17       me, and I read through them very, very         18       Q. Was Phil working with Josh       18       quickly, and they sort of fit into a         19       Merritt or Russ Ramsland at that point?       19       category of social postings of people.         20       A. I know he was doing some work       20       And I have been dealing with this for the         21       gentleman.       22       personal lawyer, largely because I had         23       quickly, and they sort of fit into a       12         24       Your recollection of the       23       that experience with both Reagan and         23       your recollection of the       25       that were bad and ones that maybe the Secret <td>10</td> <td>else would interrupt for Phil, but I</td> <td>10</td> <td>Trump that I can't recall right now, and</td>	10	else would interrupt for Phil, but I	10	Trump that I can't recall right now, and
13       no reason to believe Phil wasn't       13       recapture, I don't know if it was all but         14       supporting it. There were times, not in       14       a good deal of the social postings that         15       this case, when somebody would say       15       hab been taken down and he had copies,         16       something in front of Phil and he'd say       16       paper copies of them and he showed them to         17       that's not right or that's not correct.       17       me, and I read through them very, very         18       Q. Was Phil working with Josh       19       eategory of social postings of people.         20       A. I know he was doing some work       20       And I have been dealing with this for the         21       persional lawyer, largely because I had       22       personal lawyer, largely because I had         23       Q. All right. So have you completed       24       Trump, and kind of separating out the ones         24       your recollection of the       23       that experience with both Reagan and         24       your recollection of the       25       that were bad and ones that maybe the Secret         35       not on purpose, I assure you. If you just       for IO uparsand we down. I tend to       16       Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so         4       talk very fa	11		11	he said that he also had found or either
14supporting it. There were times, not in 1514a good deal of the social postings that had been taken down and he had copies, paper copies of them and he had copies, and l'ad her des had here down and he had copies, and l'ad here be dealing with this for the paper copies of hem and he had copies, and l'ad have been dealing with this for the paper copies of hem and he had copies, and l'ad have been dealing with this for the paper copies of hem and he had copies, and l'ad have been dealing with this for the and l'ad have been dealing with this for the and l'ad have ben dealing with	12	the person said it in front of Phil, I had	12	he or someone else had been able to
15       this case, when somebody would say       15       had been taken down and he had copies,         16       something in front of Phil and he'd say       16         17       that's not right or that's not correct.       17         18       Q. Was Phil working with Josh       18       quickly, and they sort of fit into a         19       Merritt or Russ Ramsland at that point?       19       category of social postings of people.         20       A. I know he was doing some work       20       And I have been dealing with this for the         21       with Russ. I don't know about the other       21       president for quite some time as his         22       gentleman.       22       personal lawyer, largely because I had         23       Q. All right. So have you completed       24       trump, and kind of separating out the ones         24       your recollection of the       25       that experience with both Reagan and         24       your recollection of the       25       that experience with both Reagan and         25       talk very fast. I am from New York. It's       1       GIULIANI       2         2       showed me either in that meeting or one       3       Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so       4       I read them and they concerned me. They         5		no reason to believe Phil wasn't	13	recapture, I don't know if it was all but
16       something in front of Phil and he'd say       16       paper copies of them and he showed them to         17       that's not right or that's not correct.       17       me, and I read through them very, very         18       Q. Was Phil working with Josh       18       quickly, and they sort of fit into a         19       Merritt or Russ Ramsland at that point?       19       category of social postings of people.         20       A. I know he was doing some work       20       And I have been dealing with this for the         21       with Russ. I don't know about the other       21       personal lawyer, largely because I had         23       Q. All right. So have you completed       23       that experience with both Reagan and         24       your recollection of the       24       Trump, and kind of separating out the ones         25       A. No, he showed me he then       25       stat were bad and ones that the were         26       not on purpose, I assure you. If you just       5       sectious and the ones that maybe the Secret         3       go like that or put up a card and say slow       7       And I fai originally from Texas.       7         8       Q. And I'm originally from Texas.       8       some conclusory comment like this guy's       9         9       A. So you talk real slow. <t< td=""><td>14</td><td>supporting it. There were times, not in</td><td>14</td><td></td></t<>	14	supporting it. There were times, not in	14	
17       that's not right or that's not correct.       17       me, and I read through them very, very         18       Q. Was Phil working with Josh       19         19       Merritt or Russ Ramsland at that point?       19       category of social postings of people.         20       A. I know he was doing some work       20       And I have been dealing with this for the         21       with Russ. I don't know about the other       21       president for quite some time as his         22       gentleman.       22       personal lawyer, largely because I had         23       Q. All right. So have you completed       23       that experience with both Reagan and         24       Trump, and kind of separating out the ones       24         25       A. No, he showed me he then       25         26       And Fast L am from New York. It's       5         2       showed me either in that meeting or one       2         3       very shortly slow me down. I tend to       3       Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so         4       talk very fast. I am from New York. It's       5       seemed to be over the top, they are very         3       O. And I'm originally from Texas.       9       go ta real groblem, this guy's got a real         4       O. U know, you and Houston didn't	15	this case, when somebody would say	15	had been taken down and he had copies,
18       Q. Was Phil working with Josh       18       quickly, and they sort of fit into a         19       Merritt or Russ Ramsland at that point?       20       A. I know he was doing some work       20         20       A. I know he was doing some work       20       And I have been dealing with his for the         21       with Russ. I don't know about the other       21       president for quite some time as his         22       quickly, and they sort of fit into a       20         23       Q. All right. So have you completed       20         24       your recollection of the       22         25       A. No, he showed me he then       22         26       Figure 30       24         27       GIULIANI       1       GIULIANI         2       stowed me either in that meeting or one       2       serious and the ones that maybe the Secret         3       sery shortly slow me down. I tend to       4       I read them and they concerned me. They         5       not on purpose, I assure you. If you just       5       seemed to be over the top, they are very         6       Q. And I'm originally from Texas.       9       got a real problem, this guy's got a real         10       Q. I know, you and Houston       10       head problem. He seems obsessed with <td>16</td> <td>something in front of Phil and he'd say</td> <td>16</td> <td>paper copies of them and he showed them to</td>	16	something in front of Phil and he'd say	16	paper copies of them and he showed them to
19       Merritt or Russ Ramsland at that point?       19       category of social postings of people.         20       A. Iknow he was doing some work       20       And I have been dealing with this for the         21       with Russ. I don't know about the other       21       president for quite some time as his         23       Q. All right. So have you completed       20       And I have been dealing with this for the         23       Q. All right. So have you completed       20       that experience with both Reagan and         24       your recollection of the       25       that experience with both Reagan and         25       A. No, he showed me he then       25       that were bad and ones that the were         25       not on purpose, I assure you. If you just       5       service wasn't taking seriously enough, so         4       talk very fast. I am from New York. It's       4       I read them and they concerned me. They         5       not on purpose, I assure you. If you just       5       seemed to be over the top, they are very         6       Q. And I'm originally from Texas.       9       go ta real problem, this guy's got a real         10       Q. It's a dangerous       10       head problem. He seems obsessed with         11       A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani       11       Trump. It's neve	17	that's not right or that's not correct.	17	me, and I read through them very, very
20       A. I know he was doing some work       20       And I have been dealing with this for the         21       with Russ. I don't know about the other       22       personal lawyer, largely because I had         23       Q. All right. So have you completed       22       personal lawyer, largely because I had         24       your recollection of the       24       Trump, and kind of separating out the ones         25       A. No, he showed me he then       24       Trump, and kind of separating out the ones         25       A. No, he showed me he then       25       that experience with both Reagan and         26       very shortly slow me down. I tend to       3       eserious and the ones that maybe the Secret         27       service wasn't taking seriously enough, so       4       I read them and they concerned me. They         5       not on purpose, I assure you. If you just       6       service wasn't taking seriously enough, so         6       go like that or put up a card and say slow       7       And I saidI probably made         8       Q. And I'm originally from Texas.       9       got a real problem, this guy's got a real         10       Q. It's a dangerous       10       head problem, theseems obsessed with         11       A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani       17       Trump, It's ne	18	Q. Was Phil working with Josh	18	quickly, and they sort of fit into a
21       with Russ. I don't know about the other       21       president for quite some time as his         22       gentleman.       22       personal lawyer, largely because I had         23       Q. All right. So have you completed       23       that experience with both Reagan and         24       your recollection of the       24       Trump, and kind of separating out the ones         25       A. No, he showed me he then       26       Trump, and kind of separating out the ones         26       recollection of the       24       Trump, and kind of separating out the ones         26       set solution of the       24       Trump, and kind of separating out the ones         27       diate sperice with both Reagan and       23       that experience with both Reagan and         28       O. No, he showed me he then       26       that experience with both Reagan and         28       Very shortly slow me down. I tend to       3       Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so         4       talk very fast. I am from New York. It's       4       I read them and they concerned me. They         5       not on purpose, I assure you. If you just       6       service wasn't taking seriously enough, so         6       Q. And I'm originally from Texas.       9       got a real problem. He seems obseesed with	19	Merritt or Russ Ramsland at that point?	19	category of social postings of people.
22       gentleman.       22       personal lawyer, largely because I had         23       Q. All right. So have you completed       your recollection of the       23         24       your recollection of the       23       Tump, and kind of separating out the ones         25       A. No, he showed me he then       25       Tump, and kind of separating out the ones         25       A. No, he showed me he then       26       Tump, and kind of separating out the ones         26       recollection of the       25       Tump, and kind of separating out the ones         26       very shortly slow me down. I tend to       4       talk very fast. I am from New York. It's       5         3       not on purpose, I assure you. If you just       5       secret wasn't taking seriously enough, so       4       I read them and they concerned me. They         3       go like that or put up a card and say slow       6       and I'm originally from Texas.       9       go ta real problem. He seems obsessed with         11       A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani       11       Trump, It's never good.       12         12       for 10 years and we needed an interpreter.       12       They may have said do you think         13       Q. I know, you and Houston didn't       14       I said it's close to the line but I don't	20	A. I know he was doing some work	20	And I have been dealing with this for the
<ul> <li>Q. All right. So have you completed your recollection of the</li> <li>A. No, he showed me he then Page 50</li> <li>GIULIANI</li> <li>showed me either in that meeting or one very shortly slow me down. I tend to talk very fast. I am from New York. It's 5 not on purpose, I assure you. If you just 6 go like that or put up a card and say slow 7 down.</li> <li>Q. And I'm originally from Texas.</li> <li>Q. And I'm originally from Texas.</li> <li>A. So you talk real slow.</li> <li>Q. It's a dangerous</li> <li>I. A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani</li> <li>Q. It's a dangerous</li> <li>I. A. Well, Houston Houston.</li> <li>G. A. Well, Houston Houston.</li> <li>G. Let's focus back on the case.</li> <li>M. Well, Houston Houston.</li> <li>G. Let's focus back on the case.</li> <li>T. A. Okay, we'll try.</li> <li>Q. Litterrupted you when you were</li> <li>responding to the question which was what</li> <li>Chorner prior to the press conference?</li> <li>A. So there was a point, either</li> <li>M. So there was a point, either</li> <li>M.</li></ul>	21	with Russ. I don't know about the other	21	president for quite some time as his
24       your recollection of the       24       Trump, and kind of separating out the ones         25       A. No, he showed me he then       Page 50       Page 50         1       GIULIANI       1       GIULIANI         2       showed me either in that meeting or one       3       very shortly slow me down. I tend to         3       very shortly slow me down. I tend to       4       talk very fast. I am from New York. It's       5         5       not on purpose, I assure you. If you just       6       Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so         4       I read them and they concerned me. They       5       seemed to be over the top, they are very         6       go like that or put up a card and say slow       6       nasty, they are very mean.         7       And I'm originally from Texas.       9       go ta real problem, this guy's got a real         10       Q. It's a dangerous       10       head problem. He seems obsessed with         11       A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani       11       Trump, and kind of separating out the ones         12       for 10 years and we needed an interpreter.       12       They may have said do you think         13       Q. I know, you and Houston didn't       14       is aid i'r close to the line but I don't         14       <	22	gentleman.	22	personal lawyer, largely because I had
25       A. No, he showed me he then       25       that were bad and ones that the were       Page 50         21       GIULIANI       25       that were bad and ones that the were       Page 52         1       GIULIANI       2       serious and the ones that maybe the Secret         3       very shortly slow me down. I tend to       3       Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so         4       talk very fast. I am from New York. It's       5       not on purpose, I assure you. If you just       5       seemed to be over the top, they are very         6       go like that or put up a card and say slow       7       And I said I probably made         8       Q. And I'm originally from Texas.       9       got a real problem, this guy's got a real         10       Q. It's a dangerous       10       head problem. He seems obsessed with         11       A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani       10       head problem. He seems obsessed with         11       A. Was part of Bracewell Giuliani       11       Trump. It's never good.         12       They may have said do you think       13       this amounts to a threat on the president?         14       mix, I don't think.       14       I said ir's close to the line but I don't         15       A. Well, Houston Houston.       16		Q. All right. So have you completed		
Page 50Page 521GIULIANI1GIULIANI2showed me either in that meeting or one2serious and the ones that maybe the Secret3very shortly slow me down. I tend to3Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so4talk very fast. I am from New York. It's4I read them and they concerned me. They5not on purpose, I assure you. If you just5seemed to be over the top, they are very6go like that or put up a card and say slow6nasty, they are very mean.7down.7And I said I probably made8Q. And I'm originally from Texas.8some conclusory comment like this guy's9A. So you talk real slow.9got a real problem, this guy's got a real10Q. It's a dangerous10head problem. He seems obsessed with11A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani11Trump. It's never good.12They may have said do you think13this amounts to a threat on the president?14mix, I don't think.14I said it's close to the line but I don't15A. Well, Houston Houston.15think I don't know. I don't know their16Q. Let's focus back on the case.16standard tends to change based on how19responding to the question which was what19close are we to an attempt or an20else do you recall learning about20assassination. The closer we are to an21Dr. Coomer prior to the press conference?21	24	your recollection of the		
1GIULIANI1GIULIANI2showed me either in that meeting or oneserious and the ones that maybe the Secret3very shortly slow me down. I tend toserious and the ones that maybe the Secret4talk very fast. I am from New York. It'sI read them and they concerned me. They5not on purpose, I assure you. If you justService wasn't taking seriously enough, so6use that or put up a card and say slowI read them and they concerned me. They7down.And I'm originally from Texas.some conclusory comment like this guy's9A. So you talk real slow.got a real problem, this guy's got a real10Q. It's a dangerous10head problem. He seems obsessed with11A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani11Trump. It's never good.12for 10 years and we needed an interpreter.12They may have said do you think13Q. I know, you and Houston didn't13this amounts to a threat on the president?14mix, I don't think.14I said it's close to the line but I don't15A. Well, Houston Houston.16Q. I interrupted you when you were19responding to the question which was what10close are we to an attempt or an20A. So there was a point, either20assassination. The closer we are to an21Dr. Coomer prior to the press conference?21anything will be read as serious. The22A. So there was a point, either22anything will be read as serious. The<	25		25	
2showed me either in that meeting or one3very shortly slow me down. I tend to4talk very fast. I am from New York. It's5not on purpose, I assure you. If you just6go like that or put up a card and say slow7down.7down.8Q. And I'm originally from Texas.9A. So you talk real slow.10Q. It's a dangerous11A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani12for 10 years and we needed an interpreter.13Q. I know, you and Houston didn't14mix, I don't think.15A. Well, Houston Houston.16Q. Let's focus back on the case.17A. Okay, we'll try.18Q. I interrupted you when you were19responding to the question which was what20else do you recall learning about21Dr. Coomer prior to the press conference?22A. So there was a point, either23during this meeting or maybe there were24two or three meetings leading up to the25press conference in which Phil and his		Page 50		Page 52
2showed me either in that meeting or one2serious and the ones that maybe the Secret3very shortly slow me down. I tend to3Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so4talk very fast. I am from New York. It's3Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so5not on purpose, I assure you. If you just6Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so6go like that or put up a card and say slow7And I said I probably made7And I'm originally from Texas.9some conclusory comment like this guy's9A. So you talk real slow.9got a real problem, this guy's got a real10Q. It's a dangerous10head problem. He seems obsessed with11A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani11Trump. It's never good.12for 10 years and we needed an interpreter.12They may have said do you think13Q. I know, you and Houston didn't13this amounts to a threat on the president?14mix, I don't think.14I said it's close to the line but I don't15A. Well, Houston Houston.15think I don't know. I don't know their18Q. I interrupted you when you were19close are we to an attempt or an19responding to the question which was what20assassination. The closer we are to an21Dr. Coomer prior to the press conference?21attempt or an assassination, almost23during this meeting or maybe there were23further we get out, it becomes less rigid. <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
3very shortly slow me down. I tend to3Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so4talk very fast. I am from New York. It's3I read them and they concerned me. They5not on purpose, I assure you. If you just5seemed to be over the top, they are very6go like that or put up a card and say slow6nasty, they are very mean.7And I said I probably made8Q. And I'm originally from Texas.9some conclusory comment like this guy's9A. So you talk real slow.9got a real problem, this guy's got a real10Q. It's a dangerous10head problem. He seems obsessed with11A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani11Trump. It's never good.12They may have said do you think1113Q. I know, you and Houston didn't1314mix, I don't think.14I said it's close to the line but I don't15A. Well, Houston Houston.15think I don't know. I don't know their16Q. Let's focus back on the case.16stand in that. There would be a period of17A. Okay, we'll try.17time in which this probably would. The18Q. I interrupted you when you were18standard tends to change based on how19responding to the question which was what20assassination. The closer we are to an21Dr. Coomer prior to the press conference?21attempt or an assassination, almost23during this meeting or maybe there were22	1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
4talk very fast. I am from New York. It's4I read them and they concerned me. They5not on purpose, I assure you. If you just5seemed to be over the top, they are very6go like that or put up a card and say slow7And I said I probably made8Q. And I'm originally from Texas.8some conclusory comment like this guy's9A. So you talk real slow.9go t a real problem, this guy's got a real10Q. It's a dangerous10head problem. He seems obsessed with11A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani11Trump. It's never good.12for 10 years and we needed an interpreter.12They may have said do you think13Q. I know, you and Houston didn't13this amounts to a threat on the president?14mix, I don't think.14I said it's close to the line but I don't15A. Well, Houston Houston.15think I don't know. I don't know their16Q. Let's focus back on the case.16stand in that. There would be a period of17A. Okay, we'll try.18standard tends to change based on how19responding to the question which was what19close are we to an attempt or an21Dr. Coomer prior to the press conference?21attempt or an assassination. The closer we are to an22A. So there was a point, either22further we get out, it becomes less rigid.24two or three meetings leading up to the24I had no idea how they were interpreting	1			
5not on purpose, I assure you. If you just 65seemed to be over the top, they are very nasty, they are very mean.6go like that or put up a card and say slow down.7And I said I probably made7down.7And I said I probably made8Q. And I'm originally from Texas.8some conclusory comment like this guy's9A. So you talk real slow.9go t a real problem, this guy's got a real10Q. It's a dangerous10head problem. He seems obsessed with11A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani11Trump. It's never good.12for 10 years and we needed an interpreter.12They may have said do you think13Q. I know, you and Houston didn't13this amounts to a threat on the president?14mix, I don't think.14I said it's close to the line but I don't15A. Well, Houston Houston.15think I don't know. I don't know their16Q. Let's focus back on the case.16stand in that. There would be a period of17A. Okay, we'll try.17time in which this probably would. The18Q. I interrupted you when you were18scanaer we to an attempt or an21Dr. Coomer prior to the press conference?2122A. So there was a point, either22anything will be read as serious. The23during this meeting or maybe there were23further we get out, it becomes less rigid.24two or three meetings leading up to the24	2	showed me either in that meeting or one	2	serious and the ones that maybe the Secret
6go like that or put up a card and say slow down.6nasty, they are very mean.7down.7And I said I probably made8Q. And I'm originally from Texas.8some conclusory comment like this guy's9A. So you talk real slow.9got a real problem, this guy's got a real10Q. It's a dangerous10head problem. He seems obsessed with11A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani11Trump. It's never good.12for 10 years and we needed an interpreter.12They may have said do you think13Q. I know, you and Houston didn't13this amounts to a threat on the president?14mix, I don't think.14I said it's close to the line but I don't15A. Well, Houston Houston.15think I don't know. I don't know their16Q. Let's focus back on the case.16stand in that. There would be a period of17A. Okay, we'll try.17time in which this probably would. The18Q. I interrupted you when you were18standard tends to change based on how19responding to the question which was what19close are we to an attempt or an20A. So there was a point, either22anything will be read as serious. The21two or three meetings leading up to the24I had no idea how they were interpreting25press conference in which Phil and his25it at this time. Could somebody have	2 3	showed me either in that meeting or one very shortly slow me down. I tend to	2 3	serious and the ones that maybe the Secret Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so
7down.7And I said I probably made8Q. And I'm originally from Texas.8some conclusory comment like this guy's9A. So you talk real slow.9got a real problem, this guy's got a real10Q. It's a dangerous10head problem. He seems obsessed with11A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani11Trump. It's never good.12for 10 years and we needed an interpreter.12They may have said do you think13Q. I know, you and Houston didn't13this amounts to a threat on the president?14mix, I don't think.14I said it's close to the line but I don't15A. Well, Houston Houston.15think I don't know. I don't know their16Q. Let's focus back on the case.16stand in that. There would be a period of17A. Okay, we'll try.17time in which this probably would. The18Q. I interrupted you when you were18standard tends to change based on how19responding to the question which was what20assassination. The closer we are to an21Dr. Coomer prior to the press conference?21attempt or an assassination, almost22A. So there was a point, either22further we get out, it becomes less rigid.24two or three meetings leading up to the24I had no idea how they were interpreting25press conference in which Phil and his25it at this time. Could somebody have	2 3 4	showed me either in that meeting or one very shortly slow me down. I tend to talk very fast. I am from New York. It's	2 3 4	serious and the ones that maybe the Secret Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so I read them and they concerned me. They
8Q. And I'm originally from Texas.8some conclusory comment like this guy's9A. So you talk real slow.9got a real problem, this guy's got a real10Q. It's a dangerous10head problem. He seems obsessed with11A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani11Trump. It's never good.12for 10 years and we needed an interpreter.12They may have said do you think13Q. I know, you and Houston didn't13this amounts to a threat on the president?14mix, I don't think.14I said it's close to the line but I don't15A. Well, Houston Houston.15think I don't know. I don't know their16Q. Let's focus back on the case.16stand in that. There would be a period of17A. Okay, we'll try.17time in which this probably would. The18Q. I interrupted you when you were18standard tends to change based on how19responding to the question which was what19close are we to an attempt or an20else do you recall learning about20assassination. The closer we are to an21Dr. Coomer prior to the press conference?21attempt or an assassination, almost22A. So there was a point, either22anything will be read as serious. The23during this meeting or maybe there were23further we get out, it becomes less rigid.24two or three meetings leading up to the24I had no idea how they were interpreting25press confere	2 3 4 5	showed me either in that meeting or one very shortly slow me down. I tend to talk very fast. I am from New York. It's not on purpose, I assure you. If you just	2 3 4 5	serious and the ones that maybe the Secret Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so I read them and they concerned me. They seemed to be over the top, they are very
9A. So you talk real slow.9got a real problem, this guy's got a real10Q. It's a dangerous10head problem. He seems obsessed with11A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani11Trump. It's never good.12for 10 years and we needed an interpreter.11They may have said do you think13Q. I know, you and Houston didn't12They may have said do you think14mix, I don't think.14I said it's close to the line but I don't15A. Well, Houston Houston.15think I don't know. I don't know their16Q. Let's focus back on the case.16stand in that. There would be a period of17A. Okay, we'll try.17time in which this probably would. The18Q. I interrupted you when you were18standard tends to change based on how19responding to the question which was what20assassination. The closer we are to an21Dr. Coomer prior to the press conference?21attempt or an assassination, almost22A. So there was a point, either22anything will be read as serious. The23during this meeting or maybe there were23further we get out, it becomes less rigid.24two or three meetings leading up to the24I had no idea how they were interpreting25press conference in which Phil and his25it at this time. Could somebody have	2 3 4 5 6	showed me either in that meeting or one very shortly slow me down. I tend to talk very fast. I am from New York. It's not on purpose, I assure you. If you just go like that or put up a card and say slow	2 3 4 5 6	serious and the ones that maybe the Secret Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so I read them and they concerned me. They seemed to be over the top, they are very nasty, they are very mean.
10Q. It's a dangerous10head problem. He seems obsessed with11A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani11Trump. It's never good.12for 10 years and we needed an interpreter.12They may have said do you think13Q. I know, you and Houston didn't13this amounts to a threat on the president?14mix, I don't think.14I said it's close to the line but I don't15A. Well, Houston Houston.15think I don't know. I don't know their16Q. Let's focus back on the case.16stand in that. There would be a period of17A. Okay, we'll try.17time in which this probably would. The18Q. I interrupted you when you were18standard tends to change based on how19responding to the question which was what19close are we to an attempt or an20else do you recall learning about20assassination. The closer we are to an21Dr. Coomer prior to the press conference?21attempt or an assassination, almost22A. So there was a point, either22anything will be read as serious. The23during this meeting or maybe there were23further we get out, it becomes less rigid.24two or three meetings leading up to the24I had no idea how they were interpreting25press conference in which Phil and his25it at this time. Could somebody have	2 3 4 5 6 7	showed me either in that meeting or one very shortly slow me down. I tend to talk very fast. I am from New York. It's not on purpose, I assure you. If you just go like that or put up a card and say slow down.	2 3 4 5 6 7	serious and the ones that maybe the Secret Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so I read them and they concerned me. They seemed to be over the top, they are very nasty, they are very mean. And I said I probably made
11A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani11Trump. It's never good.12for 10 years and we needed an interpreter.12They may have said do you think13Q. I know, you and Houston didn't13this amounts to a threat on the president?14mix, I don't think.14I said it's close to the line but I don't15A. Well, Houston Houston.15think I don't know. I don't know their16Q. Let's focus back on the case.16stand in that. There would be a period of17A. Okay, we'll try.17time in which this probably would. The18Q. I interrupted you when you were18standard tends to change based on how19responding to the question which was what19close are we to an attempt or an20assassination. The closer we are to an21attempt or an assassination, almost21Dr. Coomer prior to the press conference?21attempt or an assassination, almost22A. So there was a point, either22anything will be read as serious. The23during this meeting or maybe there were23further we get out, it becomes less rigid.24two or three meetings leading up to the24I had no idea how they were interpreting25press conference in which Phil and his25it at this time. Could somebody have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	showed me either in that meeting or one very shortly slow me down. I tend to talk very fast. I am from New York. It's not on purpose, I assure you. If you just go like that or put up a card and say slow down. Q. And I'm originally from Texas.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	serious and the ones that maybe the Secret Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so I read them and they concerned me. They seemed to be over the top, they are very nasty, they are very mean. And I said I probably made some conclusory comment like this guy's
12for 10 years and we needed an interpreter.12They may have said do you think13Q. I know, you and Houston didn't13this amounts to a threat on the president?14mix, I don't think.14I said it's close to the line but I don't15A. Well, Houston Houston.15think I don't know. I don't know their16Q. Let's focus back on the case.16stand in that. There would be a period of17A. Okay, we'll try.17time in which this probably would. The18Q. I interrupted you when you were18standard tends to change based on how19responding to the question which was what19close are we to an attempt or an20else do you recall learning about20assassination. The closer we are to an21Dr. Coomer prior to the press conference?21attempt or an assassination, almost23during this meeting or maybe there were23further we get out, it becomes less rigid.24two or three meetings leading up to the24I had no idea how they were interpreting25press conference in which Phil and his25it at this time. Could somebody have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	showed me either in that meeting or one very shortly slow me down. I tend to talk very fast. I am from New York. It's not on purpose, I assure you. If you just go like that or put up a card and say slow down. Q. And I'm originally from Texas. A. So you talk real slow.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	serious and the ones that maybe the Secret Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so I read them and they concerned me. They seemed to be over the top, they are very nasty, they are very mean. And I said I probably made some conclusory comment like this guy's got a real problem, this guy's got a real
14mix, I don't think.14I said it's close to the line but I don't15A. Well, Houston Houston.15think I don't know. I don't know their16Q. Let's focus back on the case.16stand in that. There would be a period of17A. Okay, we'll try.16stand in that. There would be a period of18Q. I interrupted you when you were18standard tends to change based on how19responding to the question which was what19close are we to an attempt or an20else do you recall learning about20assassination. The closer we are to an21Dr. Coomer prior to the press conference?21attempt or an assassination, almost22A. So there was a point, either22anything will be read as serious. The23during this meeting or maybe there were23further we get out, it becomes less rigid.24two or three meetings leading up to the24I had no idea how they were interpreting25press conference in which Phil and his25it at this time. Could somebody have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	showed me either in that meeting or one very shortly slow me down. I tend to talk very fast. I am from New York. It's not on purpose, I assure you. If you just go like that or put up a card and say slow down. Q. And I'm originally from Texas. A. So you talk real slow. Q. It's a dangerous	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	serious and the ones that maybe the Secret Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so I read them and they concerned me. They seemed to be over the top, they are very nasty, they are very mean. And I said I probably made some conclusory comment like this guy's got a real problem, this guy's got a real head problem. He seems obsessed with
15A. Well, Houston Houston.15think I don't know. I don't know their16Q. Let's focus back on the case.16stand in that. There would be a period of17A. Okay, we'll try.17time in which this probably would. The18Q. I interrupted you when you were18standard tends to change based on how19responding to the question which was what19close are we to an attempt or an20else do you recall learning about20assassination. The closer we are to an21Dr. Coomer prior to the press conference?21attempt or an assassination, almost22A. So there was a point, either22anything will be read as serious. The23during this meeting or maybe there were23further we get out, it becomes less rigid.24two or three meetings leading up to the24I had no idea how they were interpreting25press conference in which Phil and his25it at this time. Could somebody have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	showed me either in that meeting or one very shortly slow me down. I tend to talk very fast. I am from New York. It's not on purpose, I assure you. If you just go like that or put up a card and say slow down. Q. And I'm originally from Texas. A. So you talk real slow. Q. It's a dangerous A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	serious and the ones that maybe the Secret Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so I read them and they concerned me. They seemed to be over the top, they are very nasty, they are very mean. And I said I probably made some conclusory comment like this guy's got a real problem, this guy's got a real head problem. He seems obsessed with Trump. It's never good.
16Q. Let's focus back on the case.16stand in that. There would be a period of17A. Okay, we'll try.17time in which this probably would. The18Q. I interrupted you when you were18standard tends to change based on how19responding to the question which was what19close are we to an attempt or an20else do you recall learning about20assassination. The closer we are to an21Dr. Coomer prior to the press conference?21attempt or an assassination, almost22A. So there was a point, either22anything will be read as serious. The23during this meeting or maybe there were23further we get out, it becomes less rigid.24two or three meetings leading up to the24I had no idea how they were interpreting25press conference in which Phil and his25it at this time. Could somebody have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>showed me either in that meeting or one very shortly slow me down. I tend to talk very fast. I am from New York. It's not on purpose, I assure you. If you just go like that or put up a card and say slow down.</li> <li>Q. And I'm originally from Texas.</li> <li>A. So you talk real slow.</li> <li>Q. It's a dangerous</li> <li>A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani for 10 years and we needed an interpreter.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	serious and the ones that maybe the Secret Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so I read them and they concerned me. They seemed to be over the top, they are very nasty, they are very mean. And I said I probably made some conclusory comment like this guy's got a real problem, this guy's got a real head problem. He seems obsessed with Trump. It's never good. They may have said do you think
16Q. Let's focus back on the case.16stand in that. There would be a period of17A. Okay, we'll try.17time in which this probably would. The18Q. I interrupted you when you were18standard tends to change based on how19responding to the question which was what19close are we to an attempt or an20else do you recall learning about20assassination. The closer we are to an21Dr. Coomer prior to the press conference?21attempt or an assassination, almost22A. So there was a point, either22anything will be read as serious. The23during this meeting or maybe there were23further we get out, it becomes less rigid.24two or three meetings leading up to the24I had no idea how they were interpreting25press conference in which Phil and his25it at this time. Could somebody have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>showed me either in that meeting or one very shortly slow me down. I tend to talk very fast. I am from New York. It's not on purpose, I assure you. If you just go like that or put up a card and say slow down.</li> <li>Q. And I'm originally from Texas.</li> <li>A. So you talk real slow.</li> <li>Q. It's a dangerous</li> <li>A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani for 10 years and we needed an interpreter.</li> <li>Q. I know, you and Houston didn't</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	serious and the ones that maybe the Secret Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so I read them and they concerned me. They seemed to be over the top, they are very nasty, they are very mean. And I said I probably made some conclusory comment like this guy's got a real problem, this guy's got a real head problem. He seems obsessed with Trump. It's never good. They may have said do you think this amounts to a threat on the president?
17A. Okay, we'll try.17time in which this probably would. The18Q. I interrupted you when you were18standard tends to change based on how19responding to the question which was what19close are we to an attempt or an20else do you recall learning about20assassination. The closer we are to an21Dr. Coomer prior to the press conference?21attempt or an assassination, almost22A. So there was a point, either22anything will be read as serious. The23during this meeting or maybe there were23further we get out, it becomes less rigid.24two or three meetings leading up to the24I had no idea how they were interpreting25press conference in which Phil and his25it at this time. Could somebody have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>showed me either in that meeting or one very shortly slow me down. I tend to talk very fast. I am from New York. It's not on purpose, I assure you. If you just go like that or put up a card and say slow down.</li> <li>Q. And I'm originally from Texas.</li> <li>A. So you talk real slow.</li> <li>Q. It's a dangerous</li> <li>A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani for 10 years and we needed an interpreter.</li> <li>Q. I know, you and Houston didn't mix, I don't think.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	serious and the ones that maybe the Secret Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so I read them and they concerned me. They seemed to be over the top, they are very nasty, they are very mean. And I said I probably made some conclusory comment like this guy's got a real problem, this guy's got a real head problem. He seems obsessed with Trump. It's never good. They may have said do you think this amounts to a threat on the president? I said it's close to the line but I don't
18Q. I interrupted you when you were18standard tends to change based on how19responding to the question which was what19close are we to an attempt or an20else do you recall learning about20assassination. The closer we are to an21Dr. Coomer prior to the press conference?21attempt or an assassination, almost22A. So there was a point, either22anything will be read as serious. The23during this meeting or maybe there were23further we get out, it becomes less rigid.24two or three meetings leading up to the24I had no idea how they were interpreting25press conference in which Phil and his25it at this time. Could somebody have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>showed me either in that meeting or one very shortly slow me down. I tend to talk very fast. I am from New York. It's not on purpose, I assure you. If you just go like that or put up a card and say slow down.</li> <li>Q. And I'm originally from Texas.</li> <li>A. So you talk real slow.</li> <li>Q. It's a dangerous</li> <li>A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani for 10 years and we needed an interpreter.</li> <li>Q. I know, you and Houston didn't mix, I don't think.</li> <li>A. Well, Houston Houston.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	serious and the ones that maybe the Secret Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so I read them and they concerned me. They seemed to be over the top, they are very nasty, they are very mean. And I said I probably made some conclusory comment like this guy's got a real problem, this guy's got a real head problem. He seems obsessed with Trump. It's never good. They may have said do you think this amounts to a threat on the president? I said it's close to the line but I don't think I don't know. I don't know their
19responding to the question which was what 2019close are we to an attempt or an assassination. The closer we are to an 2021Dr. Coomer prior to the press conference? 2221attempt or an assassination, almost 2223A. So there was a point, either 2322anything will be read as serious. The 2324two or three meetings leading up to the 2524I had no idea how they were interpreting 2525press conference in which Phil and his25it at this time. Could somebody have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>showed me either in that meeting or one very shortly slow me down. I tend to talk very fast. I am from New York. It's not on purpose, I assure you. If you just go like that or put up a card and say slow down.</li> <li>Q. And I'm originally from Texas.</li> <li>A. So you talk real slow.</li> <li>Q. It's a dangerous</li> <li>A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani for 10 years and we needed an interpreter.</li> <li>Q. I know, you and Houston didn't mix, I don't think.</li> <li>A. Well, Houston Houston.</li> <li>Q. Let's focus back on the case.</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\end{array} $	serious and the ones that maybe the Secret Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so I read them and they concerned me. They seemed to be over the top, they are very nasty, they are very mean. And I said I probably made some conclusory comment like this guy's got a real problem, this guy's got a real head problem. He seems obsessed with Trump. It's never good. They may have said do you think this amounts to a threat on the president? I said it's close to the line but I don't think I don't know. I don't know their stand in that. There would be a period of
20else do you recall learning about20assassination. The closer we are to an21Dr. Coomer prior to the press conference?21attempt or an assassination, almost22A. So there was a point, either22anything will be read as serious. The23during this meeting or maybe there were23further we get out, it becomes less rigid.24two or three meetings leading up to the24I had no idea how they were interpreting25press conference in which Phil and his25it at this time. Could somebody have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>showed me either in that meeting or one very shortly slow me down. I tend to talk very fast. I am from New York. It's not on purpose, I assure you. If you just go like that or put up a card and say slow down.</li> <li>Q. And I'm originally from Texas.</li> <li>A. So you talk real slow.</li> <li>Q. It's a dangerous</li> <li>A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani for 10 years and we needed an interpreter.</li> <li>Q. I know, you and Houston didn't mix, I don't think.</li> <li>A. Well, Houston Houston.</li> <li>Q. Let's focus back on the case.</li> <li>A. Okay, we'll try.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ \end{array}$	serious and the ones that maybe the Secret Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so I read them and they concerned me. They seemed to be over the top, they are very nasty, they are very mean. And I said I probably made some conclusory comment like this guy's got a real problem, this guy's got a real head problem. He seems obsessed with Trump. It's never good. They may have said do you think this amounts to a threat on the president? I said it's close to the line but I don't think I don't know. I don't know their stand in that. There would be a period of time in which this probably would. The
21Dr. Coomer prior to the press conference?21attempt or an assassination, almost22A. So there was a point, either22anything will be read as serious. The23during this meeting or maybe there were23further we get out, it becomes less rigid.24two or three meetings leading up to the24I had no idea how they were interpreting25press conference in which Phil and his25it at this time. Could somebody have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>showed me either in that meeting or one very shortly slow me down. I tend to talk very fast. I am from New York. It's not on purpose, I assure you. If you just go like that or put up a card and say slow down.</li> <li>Q. And I'm originally from Texas.</li> <li>A. So you talk real slow.</li> <li>Q. It's a dangerous</li> <li>A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani for 10 years and we needed an interpreter.</li> <li>Q. I know, you and Houston didn't mix, I don't think.</li> <li>A. Well, Houston Houston.</li> <li>Q. Let's focus back on the case.</li> <li>A. Okay, we'll try.</li> <li>Q. I interrupted you when you were</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	serious and the ones that maybe the Secret Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so I read them and they concerned me. They seemed to be over the top, they are very nasty, they are very mean. And I said I probably made some conclusory comment like this guy's got a real problem, this guy's got a real head problem. He seems obsessed with Trump. It's never good. They may have said do you think this amounts to a threat on the president? I said it's close to the line but I don't think I don't know. I don't know their stand in that. There would be a period of time in which this probably would. The standard tends to change based on how
22A. So there was a point, either22anything will be read as serious. The23during this meeting or maybe there were23further we get out, it becomes less rigid.24two or three meetings leading up to the24I had no idea how they were interpreting25press conference in which Phil and his25it at this time. Could somebody have	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array} $	<ul> <li>showed me either in that meeting or one very shortly slow me down. I tend to talk very fast. I am from New York. It's not on purpose, I assure you. If you just go like that or put up a card and say slow down.</li> <li>Q. And I'm originally from Texas.</li> <li>A. So you talk real slow.</li> <li>Q. It's a dangerous</li> <li>A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani for 10 years and we needed an interpreter.</li> <li>Q. I know, you and Houston didn't mix, I don't think.</li> <li>A. Well, Houston Houston.</li> <li>Q. Let's focus back on the case.</li> <li>A. Okay, we'll try.</li> <li>Q. I interrupted you when you were responding to the question which was what</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array}$	serious and the ones that maybe the Secret Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so I read them and they concerned me. They seemed to be over the top, they are very nasty, they are very mean. And I said I probably made some conclusory comment like this guy's got a real problem, this guy's got a real head problem. He seems obsessed with Trump. It's never good. They may have said do you think this amounts to a threat on the president? I said it's close to the line but I don't think I don't know. I don't know their stand in that. There would be a period of time in which this probably would. The standard tends to change based on how close are we to an attempt or an
23during this meeting or maybe there were23further we get out, it becomes less rigid.24two or three meetings leading up to the24I had no idea how they were interpreting25press conference in which Phil and his25it at this time. Could somebody have	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	<ul> <li>showed me either in that meeting or one very shortly slow me down. I tend to talk very fast. I am from New York. It's not on purpose, I assure you. If you just go like that or put up a card and say slow down.</li> <li>Q. And I'm originally from Texas.</li> <li>A. So you talk real slow.</li> <li>Q. It's a dangerous</li> <li>A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani for 10 years and we needed an interpreter.</li> <li>Q. I know, you and Houston didn't mix, I don't think.</li> <li>A. Well, Houston Houston.</li> <li>Q. Let's focus back on the case.</li> <li>A. Okay, we'll try.</li> <li>Q. I interrupted you when you were responding to the question which was what else do you recall learning about</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	serious and the ones that maybe the Secret Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so I read them and they concerned me. They seemed to be over the top, they are very nasty, they are very mean. And I said I probably made some conclusory comment like this guy's got a real problem, this guy's got a real head problem. He seems obsessed with Trump. It's never good. They may have said do you think this amounts to a threat on the president? I said it's close to the line but I don't think I don't know. I don't know their stand in that. There would be a period of time in which this probably would. The standard tends to change based on how close are we to an attempt or an assassination. The closer we are to an
24two or three meetings leading up to the press conference in which Phil and his24I had no idea how they were interpreting 2525it at this time. Could somebody have	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	<ul> <li>showed me either in that meeting or one very shortly slow me down. I tend to talk very fast. I am from New York. It's not on purpose, I assure you. If you just go like that or put up a card and say slow down.</li> <li>Q. And I'm originally from Texas.</li> <li>A. So you talk real slow.</li> <li>Q. It's a dangerous</li> <li>A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani for 10 years and we needed an interpreter.</li> <li>Q. I know, you and Houston didn't mix, I don't think.</li> <li>A. Well, Houston Houston.</li> <li>Q. Let's focus back on the case.</li> <li>A. Okay, we'll try.</li> <li>Q. I interrupted you when you were responding to the question which was what else do you recall learning about Dr. Coomer prior to the press conference?</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	serious and the ones that maybe the Secret Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so I read them and they concerned me. They seemed to be over the top, they are very nasty, they are very mean. And I said I probably made some conclusory comment like this guy's got a real problem, this guy's got a real head problem. He seems obsessed with Trump. It's never good. They may have said do you think this amounts to a threat on the president? I said it's close to the line but I don't think I don't know. I don't know their stand in that. There would be a period of time in which this probably would. The standard tends to change based on how close are we to an attempt or an assassination. The closer we are to an attempt or an assassination, almost
25 press conference in which Phil and his 25 it at this time. Could somebody have	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>showed me either in that meeting or one very shortly slow me down. I tend to talk very fast. I am from New York. It's not on purpose, I assure you. If you just go like that or put up a card and say slow down.</li> <li>Q. And I'm originally from Texas.</li> <li>A. So you talk real slow.</li> <li>Q. It's a dangerous</li> <li>A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani for 10 years and we needed an interpreter.</li> <li>Q. I know, you and Houston didn't mix, I don't think.</li> <li>A. Well, Houston Houston.</li> <li>Q. Let's focus back on the case.</li> <li>A. Okay, we'll try.</li> <li>Q. I interrupted you when you were responding to the question which was what else do you recall learning about</li> <li>Dr. Coomer prior to the press conference?</li> <li>A. So there was a point, either</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	serious and the ones that maybe the Secret Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so I read them and they concerned me. They seemed to be over the top, they are very nasty, they are very mean. And I said I probably made some conclusory comment like this guy's got a real problem, this guy's got a real head problem. He seems obsessed with Trump. It's never good. They may have said do you think this amounts to a threat on the president? I said it's close to the line but I don't think I don't know. I don't know their stand in that. There would be a period of time in which this probably would. The standard tends to change based on how close are we to an attempt or an assassination. The closer we are to an attempt or an assassination, almost anything will be read as serious. The
	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>showed me either in that meeting or one very shortly slow me down. I tend to talk very fast. I am from New York. It's not on purpose, I assure you. If you just go like that or put up a card and say slow down.</li> <li>Q. And I'm originally from Texas.</li> <li>A. So you talk real slow.</li> <li>Q. It's a dangerous</li> <li>A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani for 10 years and we needed an interpreter.</li> <li>Q. I know, you and Houston didn't mix, I don't think.</li> <li>A. Well, Houston Houston.</li> <li>Q. Let's focus back on the case.</li> <li>A. Okay, we'll try.</li> <li>Q. I interrupted you when you were responding to the question which was what else do you recall learning about</li> <li>Dr. Coomer prior to the press conference?</li> <li>A. So there was a point, either during this meeting or maybe there were</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	serious and the ones that maybe the Secret Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so I read them and they concerned me. They seemed to be over the top, they are very nasty, they are very mean. And I said I probably made some conclusory comment like this guy's got a real problem, this guy's got a real head problem. He seems obsessed with Trump. It's never good. They may have said do you think this amounts to a threat on the president? I said it's close to the line but I don't think I don't know. I don't know their stand in that. There would be a period of time in which this probably would. The standard tends to change based on how close are we to an attempt or an assassination. The closer we are to an attempt or an assassination, almost anything will be read as serious. The further we get out, it becomes less rigid.
	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>showed me either in that meeting or one very shortly slow me down. I tend to talk very fast. I am from New York. It's not on purpose, I assure you. If you just go like that or put up a card and say slow down.</li> <li>Q. And I'm originally from Texas.</li> <li>A. So you talk real slow.</li> <li>Q. It's a dangerous</li> <li>A. I was part of Bracewell Giuliani for 10 years and we needed an interpreter.</li> <li>Q. I know, you and Houston didn't mix, I don't think.</li> <li>A. Well, Houston Houston.</li> <li>Q. Let's focus back on the case.</li> <li>A. Okay, we'll try.</li> <li>Q. I interrupted you when you were responding to the question which was what else do you recall learning about Dr. Coomer prior to the press conference?</li> <li>A. So there was a point, either during this meeting or maybe there were two or three meetings leading up to the</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	serious and the ones that maybe the Secret Service wasn't taking seriously enough, so I read them and they concerned me. They seemed to be over the top, they are very nasty, they are very mean. And I said I probably made some conclusory comment like this guy's got a real problem, this guy's got a real head problem. He seems obsessed with Trump. It's never good. They may have said do you think this amounts to a threat on the president? I said it's close to the line but I don't think I don't know. I don't know their stand in that. There would be a period of time in which this probably would. The standard tends to change based on how close are we to an attempt or an assassination. The closer we are to an attempt or an assassination, almost anything will be read as serious. The further we get out, it becomes less rigid. I had no idea how they were interpreting

^{14 (}Pages 50 - 53)

1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	interpreted it as we should go visit him	2	A. We're okay with that?
3	and tell him to calm down? Maybe, but in	3	MR. SIBLEY: If you can answer,
4	any event, it showed you that he had an	4	yeah.
5	extreme whoever wrote these things had	5	THE WITNESS: I can answer it.
6	an extreme bias against Donald Trump.	6	Hypothetically. And also this is what
7	Q. And you came to that conclusion	7	I think I would do. You never know
8	during this discussion with Mr. Waldron	8	what you really will do.
9	that you just recounted?	9	BY MR. CAIN:
10	A. Certainly by then. The people I	10	Q. Right.
11	can remember the distinct conversations	11	A. I think what I would have done is
12	about Colonel Waldron are about Coomer	12	I would have initiated a preliminary
13	are Colonel Waldron, his staff, Sidney	13	investigation and tried to find out more
14	Powell, and then conversations with Jane	14	about him and about the people he was
15	and Boris but not any more information	15	with, and usually that culminates, if it
16	from them but conversations assessing what	16	doesn't culminate in an arrest because you
17	we had been told.	17	don't find even more incriminating
18	Q. Okay. So as I'm	18	evidence, it usually culminates in a visit
19	A. Could I get more water? Anybody?	19	with him basically telling him what are
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 20 \end{vmatrix}$	Q. As I'm hearing your testimony, in	20	you doing. If you come to the conclusion
20	terms of eyes on information about	20	that he's spouting off and out of control,
$\frac{21}{22}$	Dr. Coomer, we've got some media reports	22	if he's spouting off and not an imminent
22	that you generally described and then you	22	
23		23 24	threat, it's very, very common for the
24	looked at some Facebook postings that you described?		Secret Service to visit the person, show
23	Page 54	25	him this stuff and say we're looking at Page 56
	1 460 5 1		ruge so
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	A. I don't remember if it was	2	you, it calms them down.
2 3	A. I don't remember if it was Facebook. Those social media posts get	2 3	you, it calms them down. Did this rise to that level? To
2 3 4	A. I don't remember if it was Facebook. Those social media posts get all one to me.	2 3 4	you, it calms them down. Did this rise to that level? To tell you the truth, I can't honestly tell
2 3	<ul><li>A. I don't remember if it was</li><li>Facebook. Those social media posts get all one to me.</li><li>Q. I apologize.</li></ul>	2 3	you, it calms them down. Did this rise to that level? To tell you the truth, I can't honestly tell you. It was right at the here's a real
2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. I don't remember if it was</li> <li>Facebook. Those social media posts get all one to me.</li> <li>Q. I apologize.</li> <li>A. Facebook, Instagram, Twitter.</li> </ul>	2 3 4	you, it calms them down. Did this rise to that level? To tell you the truth, I can't honestly tell you. It was right at the here's a real lawyer's answer. A reasonable Secret
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. I don't remember if it was</li> <li>Facebook. Those social media posts get all one to me.</li> <li>Q. I apologize.</li> <li>A. Facebook, Instagram, Twitter.</li> <li>Q. Social media postings.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	you, it calms them down. Did this rise to that level? To tell you the truth, I can't honestly tell you. It was right at the here's a real lawyer's answer. A reasonable Secret Service agent could refer it or not. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. I don't remember if it was</li> <li>Facebook. Those social media posts get all one to me.</li> <li>Q. I apologize.</li> <li>A. Facebook, Instagram, Twitter.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	you, it calms them down. Did this rise to that level? To tell you the truth, I can't honestly tell you. It was right at the here's a real lawyer's answer. A reasonable Secret
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I don't remember if it was</li> <li>Facebook. Those social media posts get all one to me.</li> <li>Q. I apologize.</li> <li>A. Facebook, Instagram, Twitter.</li> <li>Q. Social media postings.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	you, it calms them down. Did this rise to that level? To tell you the truth, I can't honestly tell you. It was right at the here's a real lawyer's answer. A reasonable Secret Service agent could refer it or not. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. I don't remember if it was</li> <li>Facebook. Those social media posts get all one to me.</li> <li>Q. I apologize.</li> <li>A. Facebook, Instagram, Twitter.</li> <li>Q. Social media postings.</li> <li>A. I think it was Facebook.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you, it calms them down. Did this rise to that level? To tell you the truth, I can't honestly tell you. It was right at the here's a real lawyer's answer. A reasonable Secret Service agent could refer it or not. I wouldn't say that somebody who didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I don't remember if it was</li> <li>Facebook. Those social media posts get all one to me.</li> <li>Q. I apologize.</li> <li>A. Facebook, Instagram, Twitter.</li> <li>Q. Social media postings.</li> <li>A. I think it was Facebook.</li> <li>Q. Anything else that you laid eyes</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you, it calms them down. Did this rise to that level? To tell you the truth, I can't honestly tell you. It was right at the here's a real lawyer's answer. A reasonable Secret Service agent could refer it or not. I wouldn't say that somebody who didn't refer it was acting irresponsibly, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. I don't remember if it was</li> <li>Facebook. Those social media posts get all one to me.</li> <li>Q. I apologize.</li> <li>A. Facebook, Instagram, Twitter.</li> <li>Q. Social media postings.</li> <li>A. I think it was Facebook.</li> <li>Q. Anything else that you laid eyes on?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you, it calms them down. Did this rise to that level? To tell you the truth, I can't honestly tell you. It was right at the here's a real lawyer's answer. A reasonable Secret Service agent could refer it or not. I wouldn't say that somebody who didn't refer it was acting irresponsibly, I wouldn't say that somebody who did. It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. I don't remember if it was</li> <li>Facebook. Those social media posts get all one to me.</li> <li>Q. I apologize.</li> <li>A. Facebook, Instagram, Twitter.</li> <li>Q. Social media postings.</li> <li>A. I think it was Facebook.</li> <li>Q. Anything else that you laid eyes on?</li> <li>A. Right now, I can't recall</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	you, it calms them down. Did this rise to that level? To tell you the truth, I can't honestly tell you. It was right at the here's a real lawyer's answer. A reasonable Secret Service agent could refer it or not. I wouldn't say that somebody who didn't refer it was acting irresponsibly, I wouldn't say that somebody who did. It was almost a gut instinct. I think maybe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. I don't remember if it was</li> <li>Facebook. Those social media posts get all one to me.</li> <li>Q. I apologize.</li> <li>A. Facebook, Instagram, Twitter.</li> <li>Q. Social media postings.</li> <li>A. I think it was Facebook.</li> <li>Q. Anything else that you laid eyes on?</li> <li>A. Right now, I can't recall anything else that I laid eyes on.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you, it calms them down. Did this rise to that level? To tell you the truth, I can't honestly tell you. It was right at the here's a real lawyer's answer. A reasonable Secret Service agent could refer it or not. I wouldn't say that somebody who didn't refer it was acting irresponsibly, I wouldn't say that somebody who did. It was almost a gut instinct. I think maybe what would have gotten me to do it at that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. I don't remember if it was</li> <li>Facebook. Those social media posts get all one to me.</li> <li>Q. I apologize.</li> <li>A. Facebook, Instagram, Twitter.</li> <li>Q. Social media postings.</li> <li>A. I think it was Facebook.</li> <li>Q. Anything else that you laid eyes on?</li> <li>A. Right now, I can't recall anything else that I laid eyes on.</li> <li>Q. So you mentioned obviously you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you, it calms them down. Did this rise to that level? To tell you the truth, I can't honestly tell you. It was right at the here's a real lawyer's answer. A reasonable Secret Service agent could refer it or not. I wouldn't say that somebody who didn't refer it was acting irresponsibly, I wouldn't say that somebody who did. It was almost a gut instinct. I think maybe what would have gotten me to do it at that point was it was a very volatile period we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. I don't remember if it was</li> <li>Facebook. Those social media posts get all one to me.</li> <li>Q. I apologize.</li> <li>A. Facebook, Instagram, Twitter.</li> <li>Q. Social media postings.</li> <li>A. I think it was Facebook.</li> <li>Q. Anything else that you laid eyes on?</li> <li>A. Right now, I can't recall anything else that I laid eyes on.</li> <li>Q. So you mentioned obviously you were a former prosecutor. If you were</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you, it calms them down. Did this rise to that level? To tell you the truth, I can't honestly tell you. It was right at the here's a real lawyer's answer. A reasonable Secret Service agent could refer it or not. I wouldn't say that somebody who didn't refer it was acting irresponsibly, I wouldn't say that somebody who did. It was almost a gut instinct. I think maybe what would have gotten me to do it at that point was it was a very volatile period we were in. There was a lot of anger out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. I don't remember if it was</li> <li>Facebook. Those social media posts get all one to me.</li> <li>Q. I apologize.</li> <li>A. Facebook, Instagram, Twitter.</li> <li>Q. Social media postings.</li> <li>A. I think it was Facebook.</li> <li>Q. Anything else that you laid eyes on?</li> <li>A. Right now, I can't recall anything else that I laid eyes on.</li> <li>Q. So you mentioned obviously you were a former prosecutor. If you were back in the day of prosecuting crimes and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you, it calms them down. Did this rise to that level? To tell you the truth, I can't honestly tell you. It was right at the here's a real lawyer's answer. A reasonable Secret Service agent could refer it or not. I wouldn't say that somebody who didn't refer it was acting irresponsibly, I wouldn't say that somebody who did. It was almost a gut instinct. I think maybe what would have gotten me to do it at that point was it was a very volatile period we were in. There was a lot of anger out there and just exactly the time you don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. I don't remember if it was</li> <li>Facebook. Those social media posts get all one to me.</li> <li>Q. I apologize.</li> <li>A. Facebook, Instagram, Twitter.</li> <li>Q. Social media postings.</li> <li>A. I think it was Facebook.</li> <li>Q. Anything else that you laid eyes on?</li> <li>A. Right now, I can't recall anything else that I laid eyes on.</li> <li>Q. So you mentioned obviously you were a former prosecutor. If you were back in the day of prosecuting crimes and you had this fact scenario come up where</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you, it calms them down. Did this rise to that level? To tell you the truth, I can't honestly tell you. It was right at the here's a real lawyer's answer. A reasonable Secret Service agent could refer it or not. I wouldn't say that somebody who didn't refer it was acting irresponsibly, I wouldn't say that somebody who did. It was almost a gut instinct. I think maybe what would have gotten me to do it at that point was it was a very volatile period we were in. There was a lot of anger out there and just exactly the time you don't want statements like this hanging out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. I don't remember if it was</li> <li>Facebook. Those social media posts get all one to me.</li> <li>Q. I apologize.</li> <li>A. Facebook, Instagram, Twitter.</li> <li>Q. Social media postings.</li> <li>A. I think it was Facebook.</li> <li>Q. Anything else that you laid eyes on?</li> <li>A. Right now, I can't recall anything else that I laid eyes on.</li> <li>Q. So you mentioned obviously you were a former prosecutor. If you were back in the day of prosecuting crimes and you had this fact scenario come up where you had an individual who allegedly made a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you, it calms them down. Did this rise to that level? To tell you the truth, I can't honestly tell you. It was right at the here's a real lawyer's answer. A reasonable Secret Service agent could refer it or not. I wouldn't say that somebody who didn't refer it was acting irresponsibly, I wouldn't say that somebody who did. It was almost a gut instinct. I think maybe what would have gotten me to do it at that point was it was a very volatile period we were in. There was a lot of anger out there and just exactly the time you don't want statements like this hanging out there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. I don't remember if it was</li> <li>Facebook. Those social media posts get all one to me.</li> <li>Q. I apologize.</li> <li>A. Facebook, Instagram, Twitter.</li> <li>Q. Social media postings.</li> <li>A. I think it was Facebook.</li> <li>Q. Anything else that you laid eyes on?</li> <li>A. Right now, I can't recall anything else that I laid eyes on.</li> <li>Q. So you mentioned obviously you were a former prosecutor. If you were back in the day of prosecuting crimes and you had this fact scenario come up where you had an individual who allegedly made a statement on a conference call or some</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>you, it calms them down.</li> <li>Did this rise to that level? To</li> <li>tell you the truth, I can't honestly tell</li> <li>you. It was right at the here's a real</li> <li>lawyer's answer. A reasonable Secret</li> <li>Service agent could refer it or not. I</li> <li>wouldn't say that somebody who didn't</li> <li>refer it was acting irresponsibly, I</li> <li>wouldn't say that somebody who did. It</li> <li>was almost a gut instinct. I think maybe</li> <li>what would have gotten me to do it at that</li> <li>point was it was a very volatile period we</li> <li>were in. There was a lot of anger out</li> <li>there and just exactly the time you don't</li> <li>want statements like this hanging out</li> <li>there.</li> <li>Q. Well, in this context, what you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. I don't remember if it was</li> <li>Facebook. Those social media posts get all one to me.</li> <li>Q. I apologize.</li> <li>A. Facebook, Instagram, Twitter.</li> <li>Q. Social media postings.</li> <li>A. I think it was Facebook.</li> <li>Q. Anything else that you laid eyes on?</li> <li>A. Right now, I can't recall anything else that I laid eyes on.</li> <li>Q. So you mentioned obviously you were a former prosecutor. If you were back in the day of prosecuting crimes and you had this fact scenario come up where you had an individual who allegedly made a statement on a conference call or some sort of similar situation and you had</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>you, it calms them down.</li> <li>Did this rise to that level? To</li> <li>tell you the truth, I can't honestly tell</li> <li>you. It was right at the here's a real</li> <li>lawyer's answer. A reasonable Secret</li> <li>Service agent could refer it or not. I</li> <li>wouldn't say that somebody who didn't</li> <li>refer it was acting irresponsibly, I</li> <li>wouldn't say that somebody who did. It</li> <li>was almost a gut instinct. I think maybe</li> <li>what would have gotten me to do it at that</li> <li>point was it was a very volatile period we</li> <li>were in. There was a lot of anger out</li> <li>there and just exactly the time you don't</li> <li>want statements like this hanging out</li> <li>there.</li> <li>Q. Well, in this context, what you</li> <li>said in the press conference, and we'll</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	<ul> <li>A. I don't remember if it was</li> <li>Facebook. Those social media posts get all one to me.</li> <li>Q. I apologize.</li> <li>A. Facebook, Instagram, Twitter.</li> <li>Q. Social media postings.</li> <li>A. I think it was Facebook.</li> <li>Q. Anything else that you laid eyes on?</li> <li>A. Right now, I can't recall anything else that I laid eyes on.</li> <li>Q. So you mentioned obviously you were a former prosecutor. If you were back in the day of prosecuting crimes and you had this fact scenario come up where you had an individual who allegedly made a statement on a conference call or some sort of similar situation and you had other folks that were listening in on that call, how would you go about actually</li> </ul>	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       3 \\       4 \\       5 \\       6 \\       7 \\       8 \\       9 \\       10 \\       11 \\       12 \\       13 \\       14 \\       15 \\       16 \\       17 \\       18 \\       19 \\       20 \\     \end{array} $	<ul> <li>you, it calms them down.</li> <li>Did this rise to that level? To</li> <li>tell you the truth, I can't honestly tell</li> <li>you. It was right at the here's a real</li> <li>lawyer's answer. A reasonable Secret</li> <li>Service agent could refer it or not. I</li> <li>wouldn't say that somebody who didn't</li> <li>refer it was acting irresponsibly, I</li> <li>wouldn't say that somebody who did. It</li> <li>was almost a gut instinct. I think maybe</li> <li>what would have gotten me to do it at that</li> <li>point was it was a very volatile period we</li> <li>were in. There was a lot of anger out</li> <li>there and just exactly the time you don't</li> <li>want statements like this hanging out</li> <li>there.</li> <li>Q. Well, in this context, what you</li> <li>said in the press conference, and we'll</li> <li>look at it if we have time, you repeated</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	<ul> <li>A. I don't remember if it was</li> <li>Facebook. Those social media posts get all one to me.</li> <li>Q. I apologize.</li> <li>A. Facebook, Instagram, Twitter.</li> <li>Q. Social media postings.</li> <li>A. I think it was Facebook.</li> <li>Q. Anything else that you laid eyes on?</li> <li>A. Right now, I can't recall anything else that I laid eyes on.</li> <li>Q. So you mentioned obviously you were a former prosecutor. If you were back in the day of prosecuting crimes and you had this fact scenario come up where you had an individual who allegedly made a statement on a conference call or some sort of similar situation and you had other folks that were listening in on that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>you, it calms them down.</li> <li>Did this rise to that level? To</li> <li>tell you the truth, I can't honestly tell</li> <li>you. It was right at the here's a real</li> <li>lawyer's answer. A reasonable Secret</li> <li>Service agent could refer it or not. I</li> <li>wouldn't say that somebody who didn't</li> <li>refer it was acting irresponsibly, I</li> <li>wouldn't say that somebody who did. It</li> <li>was almost a gut instinct. I think maybe</li> <li>what would have gotten me to do it at that</li> <li>point was it was a very volatile period we</li> <li>were in. There was a lot of anger out</li> <li>there and just exactly the time you don't</li> <li>want statements like this hanging out</li> <li>there.</li> <li>Q. Well, in this context, what you</li> <li>said in the press conference, and we'll</li> <li>look at it if we have time, you repeated</li> <li>that Dr. Coomer said don't worry about it,</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	<ul> <li>A. I don't remember if it was</li> <li>Facebook. Those social media posts get all one to me.</li> <li>Q. I apologize.</li> <li>A. Facebook, Instagram, Twitter.</li> <li>Q. Social media postings.</li> <li>A. I think it was Facebook.</li> <li>Q. Anything else that you laid eyes on?</li> <li>A. Right now, I can't recall anything else that I laid eyes on.</li> <li>Q. So you mentioned obviously you were a former prosecutor. If you were back in the day of prosecuting crimes and you had this fact scenario come up where you had an individual who allegedly made a statement on a conference call or some sort of similar situation and you had other folks that were listening in on that call, how would you go about actually investigating if that occurred or not?</li> <li>A. First I'd want to hey, this</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>you, it calms them down.</li> <li>Did this rise to that level? To</li> <li>tell you the truth, I can't honestly tell</li> <li>you. It was right at the here's a real</li> <li>lawyer's answer. A reasonable Secret</li> <li>Service agent could refer it or not. I</li> <li>wouldn't say that somebody who didn't</li> <li>refer it was acting irresponsibly, I</li> <li>wouldn't say that somebody who did. It</li> <li>was almost a gut instinct. I think maybe</li> <li>what would have gotten me to do it at that</li> <li>point was it was a very volatile period we</li> <li>were in. There was a lot of anger out</li> <li>there and just exactly the time you don't</li> <li>want statements like this hanging out</li> <li>there.</li> <li>Q. Well, in this context, what you</li> <li>said in the press conference, and we'll</li> <li>look at it if we have time, you repeated</li> <li>that Dr. Coomer said don't worry about it,</li> <li>I fixed the election or I rigged the</li> <li>election; right?</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. I don't remember if it was</li> <li>Facebook. Those social media posts get all one to me.</li> <li>Q. I apologize.</li> <li>A. Facebook, Instagram, Twitter.</li> <li>Q. Social media postings.</li> <li>A. I think it was Facebook.</li> <li>Q. Anything else that you laid eyes on?</li> <li>A. Right now, I can't recall anything else that I laid eyes on.</li> <li>Q. So you mentioned obviously you were a former prosecutor. If you were back in the day of prosecuting crimes and you had this fact scenario come up where you had an individual who allegedly made a statement on a conference call or some sort of similar situation and you had other folks that were listening in on that call, how would you go about actually investigating if that occurred or not?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>you, it calms them down.</li> <li>Did this rise to that level? To</li> <li>tell you the truth, I can't honestly tell</li> <li>you. It was right at the here's a real</li> <li>lawyer's answer. A reasonable Secret</li> <li>Service agent could refer it or not. I</li> <li>wouldn't say that somebody who didn't</li> <li>refer it was acting irresponsibly, I</li> <li>wouldn't say that somebody who did. It</li> <li>was almost a gut instinct. I think maybe</li> <li>what would have gotten me to do it at that</li> <li>point was it was a very volatile period we</li> <li>were in. There was a lot of anger out</li> <li>there and just exactly the time you don't</li> <li>want statements like this hanging out</li> <li>there.</li> <li>Q. Well, in this context, what you</li> <li>said in the press conference, and we'll</li> <li>look at it if we have time, you repeated</li> <li>that Dr. Coomer said don't worry about it,</li> <li>I fixed the election or I rigged the</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. I don't remember if it was</li> <li>Facebook. Those social media posts get all one to me.</li> <li>Q. I apologize.</li> <li>A. Facebook, Instagram, Twitter.</li> <li>Q. Social media postings.</li> <li>A. I think it was Facebook.</li> <li>Q. Anything else that you laid eyes on?</li> <li>A. Right now, I can't recall anything else that I laid eyes on.</li> <li>Q. So you mentioned obviously you were a former prosecutor. If you were back in the day of prosecuting crimes and you had this fact scenario come up where you had an individual who allegedly made a statement on a conference call or some sort of similar situation and you had other folks that were listening in on that call, how would you go about actually investigating if that occurred or not?</li> <li>A. First I'd want to hey, this is this is hypothetical now.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	you, it calms them down. Did this rise to that level? To tell you the truth, I can't honestly tell you. It was right at the here's a real lawyer's answer. A reasonable Secret Service agent could refer it or not. I wouldn't say that somebody who didn't refer it was acting irresponsibly, I wouldn't say that somebody who did. It was almost a gut instinct. I think maybe what would have gotten me to do it at that point was it was a very volatile period we were in. There was a lot of anger out there and just exactly the time you don't want statements like this hanging out there. Q. Well, in this context, what you said in the press conference, and we'll look at it if we have time, you repeated that Dr. Coomer said don't worry about it, I fixed the election or I rigged the election; right? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.

15 (Pages 54 - 57)

1 2			
	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
	MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.	2	jury, subpoenas, 14,000 FBI agents, so
3	THE WITNESS: I believe so. I	3	when you're on the other side of it
4	would have to go back and look, but I	4	where I was, you've got to work with
5	think that's what I said.	5	what you've got.
6	BY MR. CAIN:	6	BY MR. CAIN:
7	Q. Words to that effect?	7	Q. You may not have to compel
8	A. Pretty much. He said other	8	witnesses in this context. Did your
9	things but that's what I remember.	9	team did you instruct your team to do
10	Q. And if, in fact, he had done	10	any of the following, call the source
11	that, if he actually had acted on that,	11	MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.
12	that would have been a crime?	12	Q of the Coomer story?
13	A. Yes, that would if sure, it	13	MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.
14	would have been a crime but the main thing	14	THE WITNESS: My team had spoken
15	is I don't remember I don't remember	15	to the source, I didn't have to do
16	the pronoun here, did I say we, did he say	16	that.
17	we or I. I tend to have thought of it as	17	BY MR. CAIN:
18	we. I mean I never had the notion that	18	Q. Let's talk about that.
19	Coomer all by himself did it. I don't	19	Who at your team spoke to the
20	think anybody all by themselves could do	20	source and who do you understand the
21	it. I thought he was talking about	21	source to be?
22	Dominion did it, his company.	22	A. The person who talked to him for
23	Q. I see.	23	sure was Phil. Others did. I'm not
$\frac{23}{24}$	A. Exactly what role he had, nobody	24	certain if Sidney did or she had someone
25	told me and I don't know. I mean it could	25	else do it but Sidney could have also
25	Page 58	25	Page 60
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$		1 2	spoken to the source.
	have been the spokesman and nothing more		SDOKEII to the source.
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	or it could have been the guy who actually		-
3	or it could have been the guy who actually	3	Q. And who do you understand the
3 4	executed it, I have no idea.	3 4	Q. And who do you understand the source to be?
3 4 5	executed it, I have no idea. Q. But in effect let's drill down	3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. And who do you understand the source to be?</li><li>A. Well, I found out later, I'm not</li></ul>
3 4 5 6	executed it, I have no idea. Q. But in effect let's drill down on this a little bit. In effect, the	3 4 5 6	<ul><li>Q. And who do you understand the source to be?</li><li>A. Well, I found out later, I'm not sure we put a name we must have put a</li></ul>
3 4 5 6 7	executed it, I have no idea. Q. But in effect let's drill down on this a little bit. In effect, the statement that Dr. Coomer supposedly made,	3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>Q. And who do you understand the source to be?</li><li>A. Well, I found out later, I'm not sure we put a name we must have put a name on it at this point but I'm not sure.</li></ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8	executed it, I have no idea. Q. But in effect let's drill down on this a little bit. In effect, the statement that Dr. Coomer supposedly made, if you were going to investigate whether	3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>Q. And who do you understand the source to be?</li><li>A. Well, I found out later, I'm not sure we put a name we must have put a name on it at this point but I'm not sure.</li><li>We found out later his name is Olzheimer</li></ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	executed it, I have no idea. Q. But in effect let's drill down on this a little bit. In effect, the statement that Dr. Coomer supposedly made, if you were going to investigate whether that occurred and whether Dr. Coomer	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. And who do you understand the source to be?</li> <li>A. Well, I found out later, I'm not sure we put a name we must have put a name on it at this point but I'm not sure.</li> <li>We found out later his name is Olzheimer and he had additional information about</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	executed it, I have no idea. Q. But in effect let's drill down on this a little bit. In effect, the statement that Dr. Coomer supposedly made, if you were going to investigate whether that occurred and whether Dr. Coomer confessed to a crime in a conference call	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. And who do you understand the source to be?</li> <li>A. Well, I found out later, I'm not sure we put a name we must have put a name on it at this point but I'm not sure.</li> <li>We found out later his name is Olzheimer and he had additional information about Coomer who I guess for quite some time had</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	executed it, I have no idea. Q. But in effect let's drill down on this a little bit. In effect, the statement that Dr. Coomer supposedly made, if you were going to investigate whether that occurred and whether Dr. Coomer confessed to a crime in a conference call like this, wouldn't you want to find out	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. And who do you understand the source to be?</li> <li>A. Well, I found out later, I'm not sure we put a name we must have put a name on it at this point but I'm not sure.</li> <li>We found out later his name is Olzheimer and he had additional information about Coomer who I guess for quite some time had been a in that hate Trump sort of</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	executed it, I have no idea. Q. But in effect let's drill down on this a little bit. In effect, the statement that Dr. Coomer supposedly made, if you were going to investigate whether that occurred and whether Dr. Coomer confessed to a crime in a conference call like this, wouldn't you want to find out who else was on the call as a	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. And who do you understand the source to be?</li> <li>A. Well, I found out later, I'm not sure we put a name we must have put a name on it at this point but I'm not sure.</li> <li>We found out later his name is Olzheimer and he had additional information about Coomer who I guess for quite some time had been a in that hate Trump sort of movement. Seemed to me it was more</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	executed it, I have no idea. Q. But in effect let's drill down on this a little bit. In effect, the statement that Dr. Coomer supposedly made, if you were going to investigate whether that occurred and whether Dr. Coomer confessed to a crime in a conference call like this, wouldn't you want to find out who else was on the call as a corroborating witness and talk to them?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. And who do you understand the source to be?</li> <li>A. Well, I found out later, I'm not sure we put a name we must have put a name on it at this point but I'm not sure.</li> <li>We found out later his name is Olzheimer and he had additional information about Coomer who I guess for quite some time had been a in that hate Trump sort of movement. Seemed to me it was more emotion and anger than the usual.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	executed it, I have no idea. Q. But in effect let's drill down on this a little bit. In effect, the statement that Dr. Coomer supposedly made, if you were going to investigate whether that occurred and whether Dr. Coomer confessed to a crime in a conference call like this, wouldn't you want to find out who else was on the call as a corroborating witness and talk to them? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. And who do you understand the source to be?</li> <li>A. Well, I found out later, I'm not sure we put a name we must have put a name on it at this point but I'm not sure.</li> <li>We found out later his name is Olzheimer and he had additional information about Coomer who I guess for quite some time had been a in that hate Trump sort of movement. Seemed to me it was more emotion and anger than the usual.</li> <li>Q. Okay. A little aside question.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	executed it, I have no idea. Q. But in effect let's drill down on this a little bit. In effect, the statement that Dr. Coomer supposedly made, if you were going to investigate whether that occurred and whether Dr. Coomer confessed to a crime in a conference call like this, wouldn't you want to find out who else was on the call as a corroborating witness and talk to them? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: In an ideal world,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. And who do you understand the source to be?</li> <li>A. Well, I found out later, I'm not sure we put a name we must have put a name on it at this point but I'm not sure.</li> <li>We found out later his name is Olzheimer and he had additional information about Coomer who I guess for quite some time had been a in that hate Trump sort of movement. Seemed to me it was more emotion and anger than the usual.</li> <li>Q. Okay. A little aside question.</li> <li>Do you know what the relationship between</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	executed it, I have no idea. Q. But in effect let's drill down on this a little bit. In effect, the statement that Dr. Coomer supposedly made, if you were going to investigate whether that occurred and whether Dr. Coomer confessed to a crime in a conference call like this, wouldn't you want to find out who else was on the call as a corroborating witness and talk to them? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: In an ideal world, sure. I couldn't do it but in an	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. And who do you understand the source to be?</li> <li>A. Well, I found out later, I'm not sure we put a name we must have put a name on it at this point but I'm not sure.</li> <li>We found out later his name is Olzheimer and he had additional information about Coomer who I guess for quite some time had been a in that hate Trump sort of movement. Seemed to me it was more emotion and anger than the usual.</li> <li>Q. Okay. A little aside question.</li> <li>Do you know what the relationship between Phil Waldron and the Trump campaign was?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	executed it, I have no idea. Q. But in effect let's drill down on this a little bit. In effect, the statement that Dr. Coomer supposedly made, if you were going to investigate whether that occurred and whether Dr. Coomer confessed to a crime in a conference call like this, wouldn't you want to find out who else was on the call as a corroborating witness and talk to them? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: In an ideal world, sure. I couldn't do it but in an ideal world I would. I didn't have	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. And who do you understand the source to be?</li> <li>A. Well, I found out later, I'm not sure we put a name we must have put a name on it at this point but I'm not sure.</li> <li>We found out later his name is Olzheimer and he had additional information about Coomer who I guess for quite some time had been a in that hate Trump sort of movement. Seemed to me it was more emotion and anger than the usual.</li> <li>Q. Okay. A little aside question.</li> <li>Do you know what the relationship between Phil Waldron and the Trump campaign was?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	executed it, I have no idea. Q. But in effect let's drill down on this a little bit. In effect, the statement that Dr. Coomer supposedly made, if you were going to investigate whether that occurred and whether Dr. Coomer confessed to a crime in a conference call like this, wouldn't you want to find out who else was on the call as a corroborating witness and talk to them? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: In an ideal world, sure. I couldn't do it but in an ideal world I would. I didn't have subpoena power, I didn't have search	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. And who do you understand the source to be?</li> <li>A. Well, I found out later, I'm not sure we put a name we must have put a name on it at this point but I'm not sure.</li> <li>We found out later his name is Olzheimer and he had additional information about Coomer who I guess for quite some time had been a in that hate Trump sort of movement. Seemed to me it was more emotion and anger than the usual.</li> <li>Q. Okay. A little aside question.</li> <li>Do you know what the relationship between Phil Waldron and the Trump campaign was?</li> <li>He was obviously providing information to you as the campaign's lawyer. Was he a</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	executed it, I have no idea. Q. But in effect let's drill down on this a little bit. In effect, the statement that Dr. Coomer supposedly made, if you were going to investigate whether that occurred and whether Dr. Coomer confessed to a crime in a conference call like this, wouldn't you want to find out who else was on the call as a corroborating witness and talk to them? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: In an ideal world, sure. I couldn't do it but in an ideal world I would. I didn't have subpoena power, I didn't have search warrant power. I certainly wasn't	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. And who do you understand the source to be?</li> <li>A. Well, I found out later, I'm not sure we put a name we must have put a name on it at this point but I'm not sure.</li> <li>We found out later his name is Olzheimer and he had additional information about Coomer who I guess for quite some time had been a in that hate Trump sort of movement. Seemed to me it was more emotion and anger than the usual.</li> <li>Q. Okay. A little aside question.</li> <li>Do you know what the relationship between Phil Waldron and the Trump campaign was?</li> <li>He was obviously providing information to you as the campaign's lawyer. Was he a hired consultant, something along those</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	executed it, I have no idea. Q. But in effect let's drill down on this a little bit. In effect, the statement that Dr. Coomer supposedly made, if you were going to investigate whether that occurred and whether Dr. Coomer confessed to a crime in a conference call like this, wouldn't you want to find out who else was on the call as a corroborating witness and talk to them? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: In an ideal world, sure. I couldn't do it but in an ideal world I would. I didn't have subpoena power, I didn't have search warrant power. I certainly wasn't going to talk to him at that point.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. And who do you understand the source to be?</li> <li>A. Well, I found out later, I'm not sure we put a name we must have put a name on it at this point but I'm not sure.</li> <li>We found out later his name is Olzheimer and he had additional information about Coomer who I guess for quite some time had been a in that hate Trump sort of movement. Seemed to me it was more emotion and anger than the usual.</li> <li>Q. Okay. A little aside question.</li> <li>Do you know what the relationship between Phil Waldron and the Trump campaign was?</li> <li>He was obviously providing information to you as the campaign's lawyer. Was he a hired consultant, something along those lines, if you know?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	executed it, I have no idea. Q. But in effect let's drill down on this a little bit. In effect, the statement that Dr. Coomer supposedly made, if you were going to investigate whether that occurred and whether Dr. Coomer confessed to a crime in a conference call like this, wouldn't you want to find out who else was on the call as a corroborating witness and talk to them? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: In an ideal world, sure. I couldn't do it but in an ideal world I would. I didn't have subpoena power, I didn't have search warrant power. I certainly wasn't going to talk to him at that point. There would be no way I could follow	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. And who do you understand the source to be?</li> <li>A. Well, I found out later, I'm not sure we put a name we must have put a name on it at this point but I'm not sure.</li> <li>We found out later his name is Olzheimer and he had additional information about Coomer who I guess for quite some time had been a in that hate Trump sort of movement. Seemed to me it was more emotion and anger than the usual.</li> <li>Q. Okay. A little aside question.</li> <li>Do you know what the relationship between Phil Waldron and the Trump campaign was?</li> <li>He was obviously providing information to you as the campaign's lawyer. Was he a hired consultant, something along those lines, if you know?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	executed it, I have no idea. Q. But in effect let's drill down on this a little bit. In effect, the statement that Dr. Coomer supposedly made, if you were going to investigate whether that occurred and whether Dr. Coomer confessed to a crime in a conference call like this, wouldn't you want to find out who else was on the call as a corroborating witness and talk to them? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: In an ideal world, sure. I couldn't do it but in an ideal world I would. I didn't have subpoena power, I didn't have search warrant power. I certainly wasn't going to talk to him at that point. There would be no way I could follow up on it. You're asking me a	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. And who do you understand the source to be?</li> <li>A. Well, I found out later, I'm not sure we put a name we must have put a name on it at this point but I'm not sure.</li> <li>We found out later his name is Olzheimer and he had additional information about Coomer who I guess for quite some time had been a in that hate Trump sort of movement. Seemed to me it was more emotion and anger than the usual.</li> <li>Q. Okay. A little aside question.</li> <li>Do you know what the relationship between Phil Waldron and the Trump campaign was?</li> <li>He was obviously providing information to you as the campaign's lawyer. Was he a hired consultant, something along those lines, if you know?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I don't know. I</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	executed it, I have no idea. Q. But in effect let's drill down on this a little bit. In effect, the statement that Dr. Coomer supposedly made, if you were going to investigate whether that occurred and whether Dr. Coomer confessed to a crime in a conference call like this, wouldn't you want to find out who else was on the call as a corroborating witness and talk to them? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: In an ideal world, sure. I couldn't do it but in an ideal world I would. I didn't have subpoena power, I didn't have search warrant power. I certainly wasn't going to talk to him at that point. There would be no way I could follow up on it. You're asking me a hypothetical question if I had one of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. And who do you understand the source to be?</li> <li>A. Well, I found out later, I'm not sure we put a name we must have put a name on it at this point but I'm not sure.</li> <li>We found out later his name is Olzheimer and he had additional information about Coomer who I guess for quite some time had been a in that hate Trump sort of movement. Seemed to me it was more emotion and anger than the usual.</li> <li>Q. Okay. A little aside question.</li> <li>Do you know what the relationship between Phil Waldron and the Trump campaign was?</li> <li>He was obviously providing information to you as the campaign's lawyer. Was he a hired consultant, something along those lines, if you know?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I don't know. I don't know his relationship to the</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	executed it, I have no idea. Q. But in effect let's drill down on this a little bit. In effect, the statement that Dr. Coomer supposedly made, if you were going to investigate whether that occurred and whether Dr. Coomer confessed to a crime in a conference call like this, wouldn't you want to find out who else was on the call as a corroborating witness and talk to them? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: In an ideal world, sure. I couldn't do it but in an ideal world I would. I didn't have subpoena power, I didn't have search warrant power. I certainly wasn't going to talk to him at that point. There would be no way I could follow up on it. You're asking me a hypothetical question if I had one of the most powerful positions in	$     \begin{array}{r}       3 \\       4 \\       5 \\       6 \\       7 \\       8 \\       9 \\       10 \\       11 \\       12 \\       13 \\       14 \\       15 \\       16 \\       17 \\       18 \\       19 \\       20 \\       21 \\       22 \\       23 \\       24 \\     \end{array} $	<ul> <li>Q. And who do you understand the source to be?</li> <li>A. Well, I found out later, I'm not sure we put a name we must have put a name on it at this point but I'm not sure.</li> <li>We found out later his name is Olzheimer and he had additional information about Coomer who I guess for quite some time had been a in that hate Trump sort of movement. Seemed to me it was more emotion and anger than the usual.</li> <li>Q. Okay. A little aside question.</li> <li>Do you know what the relationship between Phil Waldron and the Trump campaign was?</li> <li>He was obviously providing information to you as the campaign's lawyer. Was he a hired consultant, something along those lines, if you know?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I don't know. I don't know his relationship to the Trump campaign.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	executed it, I have no idea. Q. But in effect let's drill down on this a little bit. In effect, the statement that Dr. Coomer supposedly made, if you were going to investigate whether that occurred and whether Dr. Coomer confessed to a crime in a conference call like this, wouldn't you want to find out who else was on the call as a corroborating witness and talk to them? MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: In an ideal world, sure. I couldn't do it but in an ideal world I would. I didn't have subpoena power, I didn't have search warrant power. I certainly wasn't going to talk to him at that point. There would be no way I could follow up on it. You're asking me a hypothetical question if I had one of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. And who do you understand the source to be?</li> <li>A. Well, I found out later, I'm not sure we put a name we must have put a name on it at this point but I'm not sure.</li> <li>We found out later his name is Olzheimer and he had additional information about Coomer who I guess for quite some time had been a in that hate Trump sort of movement. Seemed to me it was more emotion and anger than the usual.</li> <li>Q. Okay. A little aside question.</li> <li>Do you know what the relationship between Phil Waldron and the Trump campaign was?</li> <li>He was obviously providing information to you as the campaign's lawyer. Was he a hired consultant, something along those lines, if you know?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I don't know. I don't know his relationship to the</li> </ul>

^{16 (}Pages 58 - 61)

1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	BY MR. CAIN:	2	you put some more meat on the bones there?
3	Q. All right, then let's talk a	3	MR. SIBLEY: Let me stop you
4	little bit more about the investigation	4	Rudy. There's been I think a
5	before the press conference. You now told	5	community of interest privilege
6	us you mentioned Sidney Powell and you	6	asserted by Sidney Powell and I think
7	mentioned Phil Waldron again who was	7	we'll assert the same privilege. You
8	dealing with the source; right?	8	can talk about you can talk about
9	A. (Witness nodded.)	9	things at a very high level without
10	Q. Is that a yes?	10	divulging attorney/client
11	A. Yes.	11	communications and without divulging
12	Q. Obviously Phil Waldron. What did	12	work product communications.
13	you understand Sidney Powell was doing as	13	THE WITNESS: Can I tell him
14	it related to Dr. Coomer?	14	logistically is it covered by the
15	A. Sidney was spending a good deal	15	privilege or not? Can I describe to
16	of her time on the Dominion aspect of the	16	him what Sidney said to me?
17	case so you would have to put Coomer on	17	MR. SIBLEY: No, not if it
18	the Dominion aspect of the case, so I saw	18	pertains to the subject matter of what
19	Sidney as being overall in charge of that.	19	you all were working on.
20	Exactly when you're the supervisor and	20	MR. CAIN: We've been talking
21	you've got a million things going on,	21	about all those things throughout this
22	exactly how deeply you get into this one	22	deposition.
23	as opposed to that one, that I would have	23	MR. SIBLEY: Not what Sidney told
24	to have been there to see, but she seemed	24	him. He just told you what Sidney was
25	to be knowledgeable of all the things Phil	25	in charge of. He hasn't talked about
	Page 62		Page 64
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	was knowledgeable about and she seemed	2	what they talked about.
3	to didn't seem to me this was she	3	BY MR. CAIN:
4	seemed to have appropriately spent some	4	Q. Let me ask I'll ask the
	seemed to have appropriately spent some		
		5	-
56	time on it but certainly not an inordinate time on it.		question. If your lawyer doesn't like it,
5 6	time on it but certainly not an inordinate time on it.	5 6	question. If your lawyer doesn't like it, he can make an objection or assert a
5 6 7	time on it but certainly not an inordinate time on it. Q. She called Dr. Coomer a gnat as	5 6 7	question. If your lawyer doesn't like it, he can make an objection or assert a privilege or make an instruction.
5 6 7 8	time on it but certainly not an inordinate time on it. Q. She called Dr. Coomer a gnat as far as the overall picture in her	5 6	question. If your lawyer doesn't like it, he can make an objection or assert a privilege or make an instruction. When you said she was working on
5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>time on it but certainly not an inordinate time on it.</li><li>Q. She called Dr. Coomer a gnat as far as the overall picture in her testimony.</li></ul>	5 6 7 8	question. If your lawyer doesn't like it, he can make an objection or assert a privilege or make an instruction. When you said she was working on the Dominion aspect of the case, what did
5 6 7 8 9 10	time on it but certainly not an inordinate time on it. Q. She called Dr. Coomer a gnat as far as the overall picture in her testimony. MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.	5 6 7 8 9 10	question. If your lawyer doesn't like it, he can make an objection or assert a privilege or make an instruction. When you said she was working on the Dominion aspect of the case, what did you mean by that?
5 6 7 8 9	time on it but certainly not an inordinate time on it. Q. She called Dr. Coomer a gnat as far as the overall picture in her testimony. MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I know	5 6 7 8 9	question. If your lawyer doesn't like it, he can make an objection or assert a privilege or make an instruction. When you said she was working on the Dominion aspect of the case, what did
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	time on it but certainly not an inordinate time on it. Q. She called Dr. Coomer a gnat as far as the overall picture in her testimony. MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I know what that means.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>question. If your lawyer doesn't like it,</li> <li>he can make an objection or assert a</li> <li>privilege or make an instruction.</li> <li>When you said she was working on</li> <li>the Dominion aspect of the case, what did</li> <li>you mean by that?</li> <li>A. So an investigation like this, a</li> <li>lot of different things come up; correct?</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	time on it but certainly not an inordinate time on it. Q. She called Dr. Coomer a gnat as far as the overall picture in her testimony. MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I know what that means. MR. CAIN: Well, a gnat is a	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>question. If your lawyer doesn't like it,</li> <li>he can make an objection or assert a</li> <li>privilege or make an instruction.</li> <li>When you said she was working on</li> <li>the Dominion aspect of the case, what did</li> <li>you mean by that?</li> <li>A. So an investigation like this, a</li> <li>lot of different things come up; correct?</li> <li>So we've got we had essentially three</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	time on it but certainly not an inordinate time on it. Q. She called Dr. Coomer a gnat as far as the overall picture in her testimony. MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I know what that means. MR. CAIN: Well, a gnat is a little bug.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>question. If your lawyer doesn't like it,</li> <li>he can make an objection or assert a</li> <li>privilege or make an instruction.</li> <li>When you said she was working on</li> <li>the Dominion aspect of the case, what did</li> <li>you mean by that?</li> <li>A. So an investigation like this, a</li> <li>lot of different things come up; correct?</li> <li>So we've got we had essentially three</li> <li>really active supervisors to handle this</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	time on it but certainly not an inordinate time on it. Q. She called Dr. Coomer a gnat as far as the overall picture in her testimony. MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I know what that means. MR. CAIN: Well, a gnat is a	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>question. If your lawyer doesn't like it,</li> <li>he can make an objection or assert a</li> <li>privilege or make an instruction.</li> <li>When you said she was working on</li> <li>the Dominion aspect of the case, what did</li> <li>you mean by that?</li> <li>A. So an investigation like this, a</li> <li>lot of different things come up; correct?</li> <li>So we've got we had essentially three</li> <li>really active supervisors to handle this</li> <li>stuff, me, Jenna, Sidney. Joe and Vicki</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>time on it but certainly not an inordinate time on it.</li> <li>Q. She called Dr. Coomer a gnat as far as the overall picture in her testimony.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I know what that means.</li> <li>MR. CAIN: Well, a gnat is a little bug.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>question. If your lawyer doesn't like it,</li> <li>he can make an objection or assert a</li> <li>privilege or make an instruction.</li> <li>When you said she was working on</li> <li>the Dominion aspect of the case, what did</li> <li>you mean by that?</li> <li>A. So an investigation like this, a</li> <li>lot of different things come up; correct?</li> <li>So we've got we had essentially three</li> <li>really active supervisors to handle this</li> <li>stuff, me, Jenna, Sidney. Joe and Vicki</li> <li>had pretty much taken the burden of</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	time on it but certainly not an inordinate time on it. Q. She called Dr. Coomer a gnat as far as the overall picture in her testimony. MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I know what that means. MR. CAIN: Well, a gnat is a little bug. MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. MR. CAIN: That was her characterization.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>question. If your lawyer doesn't like it,</li> <li>he can make an objection or assert a</li> <li>privilege or make an instruction.</li> <li>When you said she was working on</li> <li>the Dominion aspect of the case, what did</li> <li>you mean by that?</li> <li>A. So an investigation like this, a</li> <li>lot of different things come up; correct?</li> <li>So we've got we had essentially three</li> <li>really active supervisors to handle this</li> <li>stuff, me, Jenna, Sidney. Joe and Vicki</li> <li>had pretty much taken the burden of</li> <li>Pennsylvania off our hands. They were</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	time on it but certainly not an inordinate time on it. Q. She called Dr. Coomer a gnat as far as the overall picture in her testimony. MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I know what that means. MR. CAIN: Well, a gnat is a little bug. MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. MR. CAIN: That was her characterization. MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>question. If your lawyer doesn't like it,</li> <li>he can make an objection or assert a</li> <li>privilege or make an instruction.</li> <li>When you said she was working on</li> <li>the Dominion aspect of the case, what did</li> <li>you mean by that?</li> <li>A. So an investigation like this, a</li> <li>lot of different things come up; correct?</li> <li>So we've got we had essentially three</li> <li>really active supervisors to handle this</li> <li>stuff, me, Jenna, Sidney. Joe and Vicki</li> <li>had pretty much taken the burden of</li> <li>Pennsylvania off our hands. They were</li> <li>watching that like a hawk. Boris was</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	time on it but certainly not an inordinate time on it. Q. She called Dr. Coomer a gnat as far as the overall picture in her testimony. MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I know what that means. MR. CAIN: Well, a gnat is a little bug. MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. MR. CAIN: That was her characterization. MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. BY MR. CAIN:	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>question. If your lawyer doesn't like it,</li> <li>he can make an objection or assert a</li> <li>privilege or make an instruction.</li> <li>When you said she was working on</li> <li>the Dominion aspect of the case, what did</li> <li>you mean by that?</li> <li>A. So an investigation like this, a</li> <li>lot of different things come up; correct?</li> <li>So we've got we had essentially three</li> <li>really active supervisors to handle this</li> <li>stuff, me, Jenna, Sidney. Joe and Vicki</li> <li>had pretty much taken the burden of</li> <li>Pennsylvania off our hands. They were</li> <li>watching that like a hawk. Boris was</li> <li>spending a great deal of time handling</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>time on it but certainly not an inordinate time on it.</li> <li>Q. She called Dr. Coomer a gnat as far as the overall picture in her testimony.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I know what that means.</li> <li>MR. CAIN: Well, a gnat is a little bug.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. CAIN: That was her characterization.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. And I'm not going to say you</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>question. If your lawyer doesn't like it,</li> <li>he can make an objection or assert a</li> <li>privilege or make an instruction.</li> <li>When you said she was working on</li> <li>the Dominion aspect of the case, what did</li> <li>you mean by that?</li> <li>A. So an investigation like this, a</li> <li>lot of different things come up; correct?</li> <li>So we've got we had essentially three</li> <li>really active supervisors to handle this</li> <li>stuff, me, Jenna, Sidney. Joe and Vicki</li> <li>had pretty much taken the burden of</li> <li>Pennsylvania off our hands. They were</li> <li>watching that like a hawk. Boris was</li> <li>spending a great deal of time handling</li> <li>Nevada, Arizona. I was personally dealing</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>time on it but certainly not an inordinate</li> <li>time on it.</li> <li>Q. She called Dr. Coomer a gnat as</li> <li>far as the overall picture in her</li> <li>testimony.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I know</li> <li>what that means.</li> <li>MR. CAIN: Well, a gnat is a</li> <li>little bug.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. CAIN: That was her</li> <li>characterization.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. And I'm not going to say you</li> <li>would characterize him similarly, that's</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>question. If your lawyer doesn't like it,</li> <li>he can make an objection or assert a</li> <li>privilege or make an instruction.</li> <li>When you said she was working on</li> <li>the Dominion aspect of the case, what did</li> <li>you mean by that?</li> <li>A. So an investigation like this, a</li> <li>lot of different things come up; correct?</li> <li>So we've got we had essentially three</li> <li>really active supervisors to handle this</li> <li>stuff, me, Jenna, Sidney. Joe and Vicki</li> <li>had pretty much taken the burden of</li> <li>Pennsylvania off our hands. They were</li> <li>watching that like a hawk. Boris was</li> <li>spending a great deal of time handling</li> <li>Nevada, Arizona. I was personally dealing</li> <li>quite a bit with Wisconsin and then we</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>time on it but certainly not an inordinate</li> <li>time on it.</li> <li>Q. She called Dr. Coomer a gnat as</li> <li>far as the overall picture in her</li> <li>testimony.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I know</li> <li>what that means.</li> <li>MR. CAIN: Well, a gnat is a</li> <li>little bug.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. CAIN: That was her</li> <li>characterization.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. And I'm not going to say you</li> <li>would characterize him similarly, that's</li> <li>not my point. But with respect to her</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>question. If your lawyer doesn't like it,</li> <li>he can make an objection or assert a</li> <li>privilege or make an instruction.</li> <li>When you said she was working on</li> <li>the Dominion aspect of the case, what did</li> <li>you mean by that?</li> <li>A. So an investigation like this, a</li> <li>lot of different things come up; correct?</li> <li>So we've got we had essentially three</li> <li>really active supervisors to handle this</li> <li>stuff, me, Jenna, Sidney. Joe and Vicki</li> <li>had pretty much taken the burden of</li> <li>Pennsylvania off our hands. They were</li> <li>watching that like a hawk. Boris was</li> <li>spending a great deal of time handling</li> <li>Nevada, Arizona. I was personally dealing</li> <li>quite a bit with Wisconsin and then we</li> <li>brought Christina on and she took over a</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>time on it but certainly not an inordinate time on it.</li> <li>Q. She called Dr. Coomer a gnat as far as the overall picture in her testimony.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I know what that means.</li> <li>MR. CAIN: Well, a gnat is a little bug.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. CAIN: That was her characterization.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. And I'm not going to say you would characterize him similarly, that's not my point. But with respect to her role, since you testified now that she was</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>question. If your lawyer doesn't like it,</li> <li>he can make an objection or assert a</li> <li>privilege or make an instruction.</li> <li>When you said she was working on</li> <li>the Dominion aspect of the case, what did</li> <li>you mean by that?</li> <li>A. So an investigation like this, a</li> <li>lot of different things come up; correct?</li> <li>So we've got we had essentially three</li> <li>really active supervisors to handle this</li> <li>stuff, me, Jenna, Sidney. Joe and Vicki</li> <li>had pretty much taken the burden of</li> <li>Pennsylvania off our hands. They were</li> <li>watching that like a hawk. Boris was</li> <li>spending a great deal of time handling</li> <li>Nevada, Arizona. I was personally dealing</li> <li>quite a bit with Wisconsin and then we</li> <li>brought Christina on and she took over a</li> <li>lot of Michigan. So that was sort of the</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>time on it but certainly not an inordinate time on it.</li> <li>Q. She called Dr. Coomer a gnat as far as the overall picture in her testimony.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I know what that means.</li> <li>MR. CAIN: Well, a gnat is a little bug.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. CAIN: That was her characterization.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. And I'm not going to say you would characterize him similarly, that's not my point. But with respect to her role, since you testified now that she was not a campaign lawyer but she was working</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>question. If your lawyer doesn't like it,</li> <li>he can make an objection or assert a</li> <li>privilege or make an instruction.</li> <li>When you said she was working on</li> <li>the Dominion aspect of the case, what did</li> <li>you mean by that?</li> <li>A. So an investigation like this, a</li> <li>lot of different things come up; correct?</li> <li>So we've got we had essentially three</li> <li>really active supervisors to handle this</li> <li>stuff, me, Jenna, Sidney. Joe and Vicki</li> <li>had pretty much taken the burden of</li> <li>Pennsylvania off our hands. They were</li> <li>watching that like a hawk. Boris was</li> <li>spending a great deal of time handling</li> <li>Nevada, Arizona. I was personally dealing</li> <li>quite a bit with Wisconsin and then we</li> <li>brought Christina on and she took over a</li> <li>lot of Michigan. So that was sort of the</li> <li>informal breakdown I had, and I shouldn't</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>time on it but certainly not an inordinate time on it.</li> <li>Q. She called Dr. Coomer a gnat as far as the overall picture in her testimony.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I know what that means.</li> <li>MR. CAIN: Well, a gnat is a little bug.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. CAIN: That was her characterization.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. And I'm not going to say you would characterize him similarly, that's not my point. But with respect to her role, since you testified now that she was</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>question. If your lawyer doesn't like it,</li> <li>he can make an objection or assert a</li> <li>privilege or make an instruction.</li> <li>When you said she was working on</li> <li>the Dominion aspect of the case, what did</li> <li>you mean by that?</li> <li>A. So an investigation like this, a</li> <li>lot of different things come up; correct?</li> <li>So we've got we had essentially three</li> <li>really active supervisors to handle this</li> <li>stuff, me, Jenna, Sidney. Joe and Vicki</li> <li>had pretty much taken the burden of</li> <li>Pennsylvania off our hands. They were</li> <li>watching that like a hawk. Boris was</li> <li>spending a great deal of time handling</li> <li>Nevada, Arizona. I was personally dealing</li> <li>quite a bit with Wisconsin and then we</li> <li>brought Christina on and she took over a</li> <li>lot of Michigan. So that was sort of the</li> </ul>

^{17 (}Pages 62 - 65)

_		-	
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	whiteboard, we had all the names of the	2	don't even think it relates to Coomer at
3	lawyers and so I knew who to go to, what	3	all but since everything relates to
4	they were responsible for.	4	everything else, it's hard to say it
5	Q. Broken down by?	5	didn't at all.
6	A. Subject matter. So you would	6	There was another set of problems
7   8	have something on the board that said	78	that my staff was having with Sidney which
	Vicki and Joe and then it would say		I attempted to resolve and wasn't able to,
9 10	Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pittsburgh and	9 10	and I went to the president with it. I'm not sure I can tell you.
10	then a couple of assorted other things	10	Q. That's fine. I don't need to
11	that they were doing, and every morning we would go over that and see how it changed.	11	know.
12	Q. Now	12	A. I went to the president with it
13	A. So in that category, if I were to	14	and the end result was that I made a
14	think of Dominion, I would think of Sidney	14	determination that we had to separate her
16	carrying the ball on that with everybody	16	from the campaign, and I tell you it did
17	else helping, and Phil was the	17	not have to do with anything different
18	investigator.	17	that happened in regard to Coomer. It
19	Q. Here's where the disconnect is	19	happened with other things.
$\frac{19}{20}$	for me. With Ms. Powell, was she in the	20	Q. So if I'm hearing you right,
$\frac{20}{21}$	campaign offices working on the Dominion	20	press conference on the 19th, the meeting
$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22 \end{vmatrix}$	aspect?	22	with President Trump after the press
23	A. At times, not as Ms. Powell	23	conference or maybe a call and then a
24	didn't operate as the same as the others	24	separation
25	that I mentioned. She operated somewhat	25	A. Meeting, too. A meeting, a call
	Page 66		Page 68
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	GIULIANI more as an independent, independent of the	1 2	GIULIANI and then the decision.
2	more as an independent, independent of the	2	and then the decision.
2 3	more as an independent, independent of the other people. She wasn't as collegial	2 3	and then the decision. Q. And then a separation when you
2 3 4	more as an independent, independent of the other people. She wasn't as collegial maybe is a nice way to put it, which isn't	2 3 4	<ul><li>and then the decision.</li><li>Q. And then a separation when you sent the press release out that she was no</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	more as an independent, independent of the other people. She wasn't as collegial maybe is a nice way to put it, which isn't unusual for lawyers that tend to be prima	2 3 4 5	and then the decision. Q. And then a separation when you sent the press release out that she was no longer
2 3 4 5 6	more as an independent, independent of the other people. She wasn't as collegial maybe is a nice way to put it, which isn't unusual for lawyers that tend to be prima donnas.	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>and then the decision.</li> <li>Q. And then a separation when you sent the press release out that she was no longer</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q associated? MR. SIBLEY: Object to form.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>more as an independent, independent of the other people. She wasn't as collegial maybe is a nice way to put it, which isn't unusual for lawyers that tend to be prima donnas.</li><li>Q. You did send out a statement, I believe it was on November 22nd?</li><li>A. Which is after.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>and then the decision.</li> <li>Q. And then a separation when you sent the press release out that she was no longer</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q associated?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>more as an independent, independent of the other people. She wasn't as collegial maybe is a nice way to put it, which isn't unusual for lawyers that tend to be prima donnas.</li><li>Q. You did send out a statement, I believe it was on November 22nd?</li><li>A. Which is after.</li><li>Q. After the press conference?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>and then the decision.</li> <li>Q. And then a separation when you sent the press release out that she was no longer</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q associated?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Object to form.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. We're frustrating Cathi.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>more as an independent, independent of the other people. She wasn't as collegial maybe is a nice way to put it, which isn't unusual for lawyers that tend to be prima donnas.</li> <li>Q. You did send out a statement, I believe it was on November 22nd?</li> <li>A. Which is after.</li> <li>Q. After the press conference?</li> <li>A. Yeah, up until the press</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>and then the decision.</li> <li>Q. And then a separation when you sent the press release out that she was no longer</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q associated?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Object to form.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. We're frustrating Cathi.</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't even know I</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>more as an independent, independent of the other people. She wasn't as collegial maybe is a nice way to put it, which isn't unusual for lawyers that tend to be prima donnas.</li> <li>Q. You did send out a statement, I believe it was on November 22nd?</li> <li>A. Which is after.</li> <li>Q. After the press conference?</li> <li>A. Yeah, up until the press conference it wasn't as bad as it got</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>and then the decision.</li> <li>Q. And then a separation when you sent the press release out that she was no longer</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q associated?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Object to form.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. We're frustrating Cathi.</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't even know I was doing it.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>more as an independent, independent of the other people. She wasn't as collegial maybe is a nice way to put it, which isn't unusual for lawyers that tend to be prima donnas.</li> <li>Q. You did send out a statement, I believe it was on November 22nd?</li> <li>A. Which is after.</li> <li>Q. After the press conference?</li> <li>A. Yeah, up until the press conference it wasn't as bad as it got after.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>and then the decision.</li> <li>Q. And then a separation when you sent the press release out that she was no longer</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q associated?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Object to form.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. We're frustrating Cathi.</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't even know I was doing it.</li> <li>Q. Let's do this, Mr. Giuliani,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>more as an independent, independent of the other people. She wasn't as collegial maybe is a nice way to put it, which isn't unusual for lawyers that tend to be prima donnas.</li> <li>Q. You did send out a statement, I believe it was on November 22nd?</li> <li>A. Which is after.</li> <li>Q. After the press conference?</li> <li>A. Yeah, up until the press conference it wasn't as bad as it got after.</li> <li>Q. And then after the press</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>and then the decision.</li> <li>Q. And then a separation when you sent the press release out that she was no longer</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q associated?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Object to form.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. We're frustrating Cathi.</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't even know I was doing it.</li> <li>Q. Let's do this, Mr. Giuliani, let's</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>more as an independent, independent of the other people. She wasn't as collegial maybe is a nice way to put it, which isn't unusual for lawyers that tend to be prima donnas.</li> <li>Q. You did send out a statement, I believe it was on November 22nd?</li> <li>A. Which is after.</li> <li>Q. After the press conference?</li> <li>A. Yeah, up until the press conference it wasn't as bad as it got after.</li> <li>Q. And then after the press conference, roughly three days later on</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>and then the decision.</li> <li>Q. And then a separation when you sent the press release out that she was no longer</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q associated?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Object to form.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. We're frustrating Cathi.</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't even know I was doing it.</li> <li>Q. Let's do this, Mr. Giuliani, let's</li> <li>A. I'm used to it, getting hit in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>more as an independent, independent of the other people. She wasn't as collegial maybe is a nice way to put it, which isn't unusual for lawyers that tend to be prima donnas.</li> <li>Q. You did send out a statement, I believe it was on November 22nd?</li> <li>A. Which is after.</li> <li>Q. After the press conference?</li> <li>A. Yeah, up until the press conference it wasn't as bad as it got after.</li> <li>Q. And then after the press conference on the 22nd, you sent that statement out that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>and then the decision.</li> <li>Q. And then a separation when you sent the press release out that she was no longer</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q associated?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Object to form.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. We're frustrating Cathi.</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't even know I was doing it.</li> <li>Q. Let's do this, Mr. Giuliani, let's</li> <li>A. I'm used to it, getting hit in the shins.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>more as an independent, independent of the other people. She wasn't as collegial maybe is a nice way to put it, which isn't unusual for lawyers that tend to be prima donnas.</li> <li>Q. You did send out a statement, I believe it was on November 22nd?</li> <li>A. Which is after.</li> <li>Q. After the press conference?</li> <li>A. Yeah, up until the press conference it wasn't as bad as it got after.</li> <li>Q. And then after the press conference on the 22nd, you sent that statement out that said that she, Ms. Powell, was working</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>and then the decision.</li> <li>Q. And then a separation when you sent the press release out that she was no longer</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q associated?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Object to form.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. We're frustrating Cathi.</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't even know I was doing it.</li> <li>Q. Let's do this, Mr. Giuliani, let's</li> <li>A. I'm used to it, getting hit in the shins.</li> <li>Q. I want to make sure I get all</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>more as an independent, independent of the other people. She wasn't as collegial maybe is a nice way to put it, which isn't unusual for lawyers that tend to be prima donnas.</li> <li>Q. You did send out a statement, I believe it was on November 22nd?</li> <li>A. Which is after.</li> <li>Q. After the press conference?</li> <li>A. Yeah, up until the press conference it wasn't as bad as it got after.</li> <li>Q. And then after the press conference on the 22nd, you sent that statement out that said that she, Ms. Powell, was working independently on her own behalf or words</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>and then the decision.</li> <li>Q. And then a separation when you sent the press release out that she was no longer</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q associated?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Object to form.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. We're frustrating Cathi.</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't even know I was doing it.</li> <li>Q. Let's do this, Mr. Giuliani, let's</li> <li>A. I'm used to it, getting hit in the shins.</li> <li>Q. I want to make sure I get all your testimony prior to the press</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>more as an independent, independent of the other people. She wasn't as collegial maybe is a nice way to put it, which isn't unusual for lawyers that tend to be prima donnas.</li> <li>Q. You did send out a statement, I believe it was on November 22nd?</li> <li>A. Which is after.</li> <li>Q. After the press conference?</li> <li>A. Yeah, up until the press conference it wasn't as bad as it got after.</li> <li>Q. And then after the press conference on the 22nd, you sent that statement out that said that she, Ms. Powell, was working independently on her own behalf or words to that effect. Do you remember that?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>and then the decision.</li> <li>Q. And then a separation when you sent the press release out that she was no longer</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q associated?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Object to form.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. We're frustrating Cathi.</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't even know I was doing it.</li> <li>Q. Let's do this, Mr. Giuliani, let's</li> <li>A. I'm used to it, getting hit in the shins.</li> <li>Q. I want to make sure I get all your testimony prior to the press conference on the Coomer story and we've</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	<ul> <li>more as an independent, independent of the other people. She wasn't as collegial maybe is a nice way to put it, which isn't unusual for lawyers that tend to be prima donnas.</li> <li>Q. You did send out a statement, I believe it was on November 22nd?</li> <li>A. Which is after.</li> <li>Q. After the press conference?</li> <li>A. Yeah, up until the press conference it wasn't as bad as it got after.</li> <li>Q. And then after the press conference on the 22nd, you sent that statement out that said that she, Ms. Powell, was working independently on her own behalf or words to that effect. Do you remember that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \end{array}$	<ul> <li>and then the decision.</li> <li>Q. And then a separation when you sent the press release out that she was no longer</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q associated? MR. SIBLEY: Object to form.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. We're frustrating Cathi.</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't even know I was doing it.</li> <li>Q. Let's do this, Mr. Giuliani, let's</li> <li>A. I'm used to it, getting hit in the shins.</li> <li>Q. I want to make sure I get all your testimony prior to the press conference on the Coomer story and we've talked about that pretty much constantly</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>more as an independent, independent of the other people. She wasn't as collegial maybe is a nice way to put it, which isn't unusual for lawyers that tend to be prima donnas.</li> <li>Q. You did send out a statement, I believe it was on November 22nd?</li> <li>A. Which is after.</li> <li>Q. After the press conference?</li> <li>A. Yeah, up until the press conference it wasn't as bad as it got after.</li> <li>Q. And then after the press conference, roughly three days later on the 22nd, you sent that statement out that said that she, Ms. Powell, was working independently on her own behalf or words to that effect. Do you remember that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. What changed then at that point</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>and then the decision.</li> <li>Q. And then a separation when you sent the press release out that she was no longer</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q associated?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Object to form.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. We're frustrating Cathi.</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't even know I</li> <li>was doing it.</li> <li>Q. Let's do this, Mr. Giuliani, let's</li> <li>A. I'm used to it, getting hit in the shins.</li> <li>Q. I want to make sure I get all your testimony prior to the press conference on the Coomer story and we've talked about that pretty much constantly this morning. Is there anything else as</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>more as an independent, independent of the other people. She wasn't as collegial maybe is a nice way to put it, which isn't unusual for lawyers that tend to be prima donnas.</li> <li>Q. You did send out a statement, I believe it was on November 22nd?</li> <li>A. Which is after.</li> <li>Q. After the press conference?</li> <li>A. Yeah, up until the press conference it wasn't as bad as it got after.</li> <li>Q. And then after the press conference on the 22nd, you sent that statement out that said that she, Ms. Powell, was working independently on her own behalf or words to that effect. Do you remember that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. What changed then at that point as it relates to this working</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>and then the decision.</li> <li>Q. And then a separation when you sent the press release out that she was no longer</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q associated?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Object to form.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. We're frustrating Cathi.</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't even know I was doing it.</li> <li>Q. Let's do this, Mr. Giuliani, let's</li> <li>A. I'm used to it, getting hit in the shins.</li> <li>Q. I want to make sure I get all your testimony prior to the press conference on the Coomer story and we've talked about that pretty much constantly this morning. Is there anything else as you sit here that you can think of that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>more as an independent, independent of the other people. She wasn't as collegial maybe is a nice way to put it, which isn't unusual for lawyers that tend to be prima donnas.</li> <li>Q. You did send out a statement, I believe it was on November 22nd?</li> <li>A. Which is after.</li> <li>Q. After the press conference?</li> <li>A. Yeah, up until the press conference it wasn't as bad as it got after.</li> <li>Q. And then after the press conference, roughly three days later on the 22nd, you sent that statement out that said that she, Ms. Powell, was working independently on her own behalf or words to that effect. Do you remember that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. What changed then at that point as it relates to this working relationship?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>and then the decision.</li> <li>Q. And then a separation when you sent the press release out that she was no longer</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q associated? MR. SIBLEY: Object to form.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. We're frustrating Cathi.</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't even know I was doing it.</li> <li>Q. Let's do this, Mr. Giuliani, let's</li> <li>A. I'm used to it, getting hit in the shins.</li> <li>Q. I want to make sure I get all your testimony prior to the press conference on the Coomer story and we've talked about that pretty much constantly this morning. Is there anything else as you sit here that you can think of that informed your statements at the press</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>more as an independent, independent of the other people. She wasn't as collegial maybe is a nice way to put it, which isn't unusual for lawyers that tend to be prima donnas.</li> <li>Q. You did send out a statement, I believe it was on November 22nd?</li> <li>A. Which is after.</li> <li>Q. After the press conference?</li> <li>A. Yeah, up until the press conference it wasn't as bad as it got after.</li> <li>Q. And then after the press conference, roughly three days later on the 22nd, you sent that statement out that said that she, Ms. Powell, was working independently on her own behalf or words to that effect. Do you remember that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. What changed then at that point as it relates to this working relationship?</li> <li>A. First of all for your purposes,</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>and then the decision.</li> <li>Q. And then a separation when you sent the press release out that she was no longer</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q associated? MR. SIBLEY: Object to form.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. We're frustrating Cathi.</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't even know I was doing it.</li> <li>Q. Let's do this, Mr. Giuliani, let's</li> <li>A. I'm used to it, getting hit in the shins.</li> <li>Q. I want to make sure I get all your testimony prior to the press conference on the Coomer story and we've talked about that pretty much constantly this morning. Is there anything else as you sit here that you can think of that informed your statements at the press conference?</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	<ul> <li>more as an independent, independent of the other people. She wasn't as collegial maybe is a nice way to put it, which isn't unusual for lawyers that tend to be prima donnas.</li> <li>Q. You did send out a statement, I believe it was on November 22nd?</li> <li>A. Which is after.</li> <li>Q. After the press conference?</li> <li>A. Yeah, up until the press conference it wasn't as bad as it got after.</li> <li>Q. And then after the press conference, roughly three days later on the 22nd, you sent that statement out that said that she, Ms. Powell, was working independently on her own behalf or words to that effect. Do you remember that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. What changed then at that point as it relates to this working relationship?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>and then the decision.</li> <li>Q. And then a separation when you sent the press release out that she was no longer</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q associated? MR. SIBLEY: Object to form.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. We're frustrating Cathi.</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I didn't even know I was doing it.</li> <li>Q. Let's do this, Mr. Giuliani, let's</li> <li>A. I'm used to it, getting hit in the shins.</li> <li>Q. I want to make sure I get all your testimony prior to the press conference on the Coomer story and we've talked about that pretty much constantly this morning. Is there anything else as you sit here that you can think of that informed your statements at the press</li> </ul>

18 (Pages 66 - 69)

1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	looked in preparation, I looked at it	2	the social media that had been taken down
3	several times last night. Just so that I	3	or at least the amount they were able to
4	end it and feel comfortable I told you	4	salvage of that social media. I also
5	everything I can think of right now, it	5	reviewed documents that they, in this case
6	would help me if I just read it, I just	6	meaning Phil, got for me with regard to
7	read that portion of the press conference.	7	more of Mr. Coomer's history and found out
8	I have it marked out. We can do it pretty	8	that he had come to Dominion from a
9	easily.	9	company named Sequoia, that Sequoia was a
10	Q. Why don't we do this, why don't	10	company that had been banned in Chicago
11	we take a quick break. I've got a	11	and banned from doing contracts in the
12	portion, a clip that I pulled out.	12	U.S. because of a very flawed election in
13	A. I know exactly what I want to	13	Chicago that was investigated ultimately
14	look at. It's the one where it's only	14	by the Congress for several years and
14	like a page or two where I talk about it.	15	Smartmatic was found to be unreliable, had
15	I've got it in my room pulled out.	16	a lot of practices that went on that would
10			•
	MR. ZAKHEM: Are we going off the	17	lead to making it rather easy to change
18	record?	18	votes, fix votes, rearrange votes, and of
19	MR. CAIN: Let's go off the	19	course in about the worst place you could
20	record.	20	do that, Chicago, which is kind of the
21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going	21	major leagues along with Philadelphia of
22	off the record at approximately	22	vote fraud, and did it in such a to
23	10:39 a.m.	23	such a degree that it even shocked
24	(Recess taken from 10:39 a.m. to	24	Chicago, which to me meant something
25	10:50 a.m.)	25	because you've got to really steal votes
	Page 70		Page 72
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on	2	to shock Chicago.
3	the record at approximately 10:50 a.m.	3	So they had a hearing in
4	BY MR. CAIN:	4	Congress. I looked at the documents, not
5	Q. Okay, Mr. Giuliani, we broke, you	5	in great detail but I looked at the
6	said you needed to look at the transcript.	6	conclusory documents and got a little more
7	It appears you have it in front of you.	7	interested in it because the woman who
8	A. I do.	8	seemed to be the toughest on Smartmatic
9	Q. And has your memory been	9	and Sequoia was Carolyn Maloney who was n
10	refreshed?	10	congresswoman. Not only was she my
11	A. It has. By the time I made this	11	Congresswoman, but she was one of the
12	statement about Mr. Coomer	12	democratic members of the City Council
13	MR. ARRINGTON: I object to this	13	when I was the mayor and one of my allies
	-	14	because she would tend to be described as
14	testimony. There's not a question		
	testimony. There's not a question pending.		
15	pending.	15	something that is fast disappearing, a
15 16	pending. THE WITNESS: Okay, ask the	15 16	something that is fast disappearing, a moderate democrat and a very good woman.
15 16 17	pending. THE WITNESS: Okay, ask the question.	15 16 17	something that is fast disappearing, a moderate democrat and a very good woman. And she had several letters and
15 16 17 18	pending. THE WITNESS: Okay, ask the question. BY MR. CAIN:	15 16 17 18	something that is fast disappearing, a moderate democrat and a very good woman. And she had several letters and it appeared she was very angry and very
15 16 17 18 19	pending. THE WITNESS: Okay, ask the question. BY MR. CAIN: Q. At the time that you made this	15 16 17 18 19	something that is fast disappearing, a moderate democrat and a very good woman. And she had several letters and it appeared she was very angry and very upset with the performance of Smartmatic
15 16 17 18 19 20	pending. THE WITNESS: Okay, ask the question. BY MR. CAIN: Q. At the time that you made this statement about Dr. Coomer, what other	15 16 17 18 19 20	something that is fast disappearing, a moderate democrat and a very good woman. And she had several letters and it appeared she was very angry and very upset with the performance of Smartmatic and Sequoia as basically being machines
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul><li>pending.</li><li>THE WITNESS: Okay, ask the question.</li><li>BY MR. CAIN:</li><li>Q. At the time that you made this statement about Dr. Coomer, what other investigation had you done in order to</li></ul>	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	something that is fast disappearing, a moderate democrat and a very good woman. And she had several letters and it appeared she was very angry and very upset with the performance of Smartmatic and Sequoia as basically being machines that were built to fix votes because you
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul><li>pending.</li><li>THE WITNESS: Okay, ask the question.</li><li>BY MR. CAIN:</li><li>Q. At the time that you made this statement about Dr. Coomer, what other investigation had you done in order to inform your statement?</li></ul>	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	something that is fast disappearing, a moderate democrat and a very good woman. And she had several letters and it appeared she was very angry and very upset with the performance of Smartmatic and Sequoia as basically being machines that were built to fix votes because you could change the vote. Never made sense
<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>pending.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Okay, ask the question.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. At the time that you made this statement about Dr. Coomer, what other investigation had you done in order to inform your statement?</li> <li>A. In addition to going over several</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	something that is fast disappearing, a moderate democrat and a very good woman. And she had several letters and it appeared she was very angry and very upset with the performance of Smartmatic and Sequoia as basically being machines that were built to fix votes because you could change the vote. Never made sense to me that a voting machine would allow
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>pending.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Okay, ask the question.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. At the time that you made this statement about Dr. Coomer, what other investigation had you done in order to inform your statement?</li> <li>A. In addition to going over several times with Phil, with his staff member and</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	something that is fast disappearing, a moderate democrat and a very good woman. And she had several letters and it appeared she was very angry and very upset with the performance of Smartmatic and Sequoia as basically being machines that were built to fix votes because you could change the vote. Never made sense to me that a voting machine would allow you to change a vote. Voting machines
<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>pending.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Okay, ask the question.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. At the time that you made this statement about Dr. Coomer, what other investigation had you done in order to inform your statement?</li> <li>A. In addition to going over several</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	something that is fast disappearing, a moderate democrat and a very good woman. And she had several letters and it appeared she was very angry and very upset with the performance of Smartmatic and Sequoia as basically being machines that were built to fix votes because you could change the vote. Never made sense to me that a voting machine would allow

^{19 (}Pages 70 - 73)

1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	machines should do the calculating but	2	So it seemed to me that a machine
3	once it's there, it shouldn't be changed.	3	that allows you to change the vote is a
4	It would have to be dealt with. If	4	machine that was built to fix the vote.
5	somebody wanted to do something with it,	5	When you add to that the machine was built
6	there should be a special proceeding to do	6	so it could be accessed by the Internet,
7	something with it, but once the vote is	7	then it sort of puts it over the top.
8	cast it should be there forever. Then if	8	We've got what we would call in
9	someone claims they made a mistake or I	9	New York a fugazi machine. Fugazi machine
10	didn't see it or I put it in the wrong	10	means a crooked machine.
11	place, well then you're going to have to	11	I was also at this point aware of
12	have a proceeding. Otherwise we end up	12	the fact that the president of Smartmatic
13	with fraudulent elections.	13	had lied about that under oath where he
14	Q. May I just ask since as part of	14	testified in Michigan and that concerned
15	your answer you said we need to have	15	me greatly because in my world of being a
16	another proceeding if there's a mistake on	16	criminal prosecutor, that was very, very
17	a ballot	17	damaging evidence. I mean that's a false
18	A. If someone goes into a voting	18	exculpatory statement which a judge would
10	booth, votes, walks out and says I made a	10	charge a jury as evidence of guilt.
20	mistake, I should have voted for Biden and	20	He testified I knew he had
20	I voted for Trump, you should not be able	20	several times in speeches said you cannot
$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22 \end{vmatrix}$		$\frac{21}{22}$	
22	to change the vote on the voting machine		change the vote and it's not accessible by
	and be able to move it from Biden to Trump	23	Internet. I always wondered why he made
24	or vice versa. You should be able to put	24	that statement because at one point when
25	it in an affidavit in which you explain Page 74	25	he made it, Phil came to me with a manual Page 76
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	that happens and then some neutral arbiter	2	for the machine. Back up.
3	will determine under the laws of the state	3	Phil came to me with several
4	can the vote be changed.	4	witnesses and some pictures which
5	On the other hand, it would seem	5	demonstrated that the machine can be
6	to be totally inviting fraud to build a	6	accessed by the Internet.
7	machine in which you could change the	7	Q. Pause for just a second. I want
8	vote. It should be a calculator, not a	8	to make sure we're still talking about
9	machine in which you can change the vote.	9	before the press conference.
10	Changing the vote is a very significant	10	A. This is all conversation we had
11	act that should be surrounded by a record.	11	before the press conference. The only
12	And I'm not just relying on me, I'm	12	thing missing is the testimony under oath.
13	relying on oh, gosh, the report that was	13	So let me back it up and make it clear
14	done by Carter and Baker who were very	14	because I got a little sloppy.
15		1 1 1	0.01
110	much opposed to mail-in ballots for that	15	Q. Okay.
16	much opposed to mail-in ballots for that reason, or somewhere around 60 percent of	15 16	<ul><li>Q. Okay.</li><li>A. The testimony of the owner, is it</li></ul>
16	**		· ·
	reason, or somewhere around 60 percent of	16	A. The testimony of the owner, is it
17	reason, or somewhere around 60 percent of the European countries who have largely	16 17	A. The testimony of the owner, is it Mr. Poulos of Dominion?
17 18	reason, or somewhere around 60 percent of the European countries who have largely done away with mail ballots or have	16 17 18	<ul><li>A. The testimony of the owner, is it</li><li>Mr. Poulos of Dominion?</li><li>Q. Poulos.</li></ul>
17 18 19	reason, or somewhere around 60 percent of the European countries who have largely done away with mail ballots or have surrounded them with very, very strict identification procedures because almost	16 17 18 19	<ul><li>A. The testimony of the owner, is it</li><li>Mr. Poulos of Dominion?</li><li>Q. Poulos.</li><li>A. Poulos. The testimony of</li><li>Mr. Poulos came later. He had made</li></ul>
17 18 19 20	reason, or somewhere around 60 percent of the European countries who have largely done away with mail ballots or have surrounded them with very, very strict	16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. The testimony of the owner, is it</li> <li>Mr. Poulos of Dominion?</li> <li>Q. Poulos.</li> <li>A. Poulos. The testimony of</li> <li>Mr. Poulos came later. He had made</li> <li>statements in front of Congress and</li> </ul>
17 18 19 20 21 22	reason, or somewhere around 60 percent of the European countries who have largely done away with mail ballots or have surrounded them with very, very strict identification procedures because almost all of them have had experiences like the one that we had in 2020 where there were	16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul><li>A. The testimony of the owner, is it</li><li>Mr. Poulos of Dominion?</li><li>Q. Poulos.</li><li>A. Poulos. The testimony of</li><li>Mr. Poulos came later. He had made</li></ul>
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reason, or somewhere around 60 percent of the European countries who have largely done away with mail ballots or have surrounded them with very, very strict identification procedures because almost all of them have had experiences like the one that we had in 2020 where there were allegations of significant fraud because	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. The testimony of the owner, is it</li> <li>Mr. Poulos of Dominion?</li> <li>Q. Poulos.</li> <li>A. Poulos. The testimony of</li> <li>Mr. Poulos came later. He had made</li> <li>statements in front of Congress and</li> <li>statements in speeches that he gave that</li> <li>this is terrible about the Dominion</li> </ul>
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	reason, or somewhere around 60 percent of the European countries who have largely done away with mail ballots or have surrounded them with very, very strict identification procedures because almost all of them have had experiences like the one that we had in 2020 where there were allegations of significant fraud because the mail ballot is very, very hard to	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. The testimony of the owner, is it</li> <li>Mr. Poulos of Dominion?</li> <li>Q. Poulos.</li> <li>A. Poulos. The testimony of</li> <li>Mr. Poulos came later. He had made</li> <li>statements in front of Congress and</li> <li>statements in speeches that he gave that</li> <li>this is terrible about the Dominion</li> <li>machine, you cannot access it through the</li> </ul>
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reason, or somewhere around 60 percent of the European countries who have largely done away with mail ballots or have surrounded them with very, very strict identification procedures because almost all of them have had experiences like the one that we had in 2020 where there were allegations of significant fraud because	<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>A. The testimony of the owner, is it</li> <li>Mr. Poulos of Dominion?</li> <li>Q. Poulos.</li> <li>A. Poulos. The testimony of</li> <li>Mr. Poulos came later. He had made</li> <li>statements in front of Congress and</li> <li>statements in speeches that he gave that</li> <li>this is terrible about the Dominion</li> </ul>

1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	change votes.	2	software was being used all throughout
3	Now there was at that point, and	3	that led to many, many objections.
4	this is before the press conference, there	4	I had been told that there was a
5	was a lot of evidence that you could do	5	witness that could testify that the
6	both. There were people in Michigan,	6	original Smartmatic, Sequoia crooked
7	Georgia, Atlanta not Atlanta, Arizona,	7	machines were developed for Chávez in
8	Nevada, that had somehow someway got their	8	order to make sure that he didn't lose,
9	hands on these machines, I guess a machine	9	and that was the basic Sequoia machine.
10	here, a machine there, and submitted	10	Was told they all used the same software,
11	statements that they had examined the	11	that they fixed a couple of elections,
12	machine and that's just garbage, that you	12	Sequoia, Smartmatic was the company that
13	can connect it to the Internet, and number	13	Coomer was involved with, and that after
14	two, you can change the vote rather	14	the big scandal in Chicago, whether it's
15	easily, including remotely. That seemed	15	true or not, it got laid off on Sequoia.
16	to be almost undeniable, yet the president	16	Smartmatic sort of laid it off on Sequoia
17	of the company was consistently making the	17	as the evildoer and the answer was let us
18	statement that you cannot do it.	18	continue to do business, we'll get rid of
19	So that really colored my view of	19	Sequoia.
20	the company because I'm saying to myself,	20	I don't think they went to
21	why is the guy lying about this? Then	21	Dominion first. I think there was some
22	they showed me the manual, which I think I	22	kind of a transaction in the middle but
23	included in one of my submissions to one	23	eventually they end up the crooked
24	of the courts in which they show you how	24	company and Coomer end up with Dominion.
25	to do it.	25	And now he's saying that he's
	Page 78		Page 80
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	GIULIANI So now we have Coomer saying they	1 2	GIULIANI going he can fix they are going to
2	So now we have Coomer saying they	2	going he can fix they are going to
2 3	So now we have Coomer saying they are going to fix the election, added to it	2 3	going he can fix they are going to fix the election. He's a guy who hated
2 3 4	So now we have Coomer saying they are going to fix the election, added to it now Coomer comes to Dominion from the bad	2 3 4	going he can fix they are going to fix the election. He's a guy who hated Trump. Say they all corroborated the
2 3 4 5	So now we have Coomer saying they are going to fix the election, added to it now Coomer comes to Dominion from the bad seed, from the bad company that Smartmatic	2 3 4 5	going he can fix they are going to fix the election. He's a guy who hated Trump. Say they all corroborated the notion that not only did he make the
2 3 4 5 6	So now we have Coomer saying they are going to fix the election, added to it now Coomer comes to Dominion from the bad seed, from the bad company that Smartmatic wanted to dump because the government had	2 3 4 5 6	going he can fix they are going to fix the election. He's a guy who hated Trump. Say they all corroborated the notion that not only did he make the statement which in and of itself is pretty
2 3 4 5 6 7	So now we have Coomer saying they are going to fix the election, added to it now Coomer comes to Dominion from the bad seed, from the bad company that Smartmatic wanted to dump because the government had pretty much figured out they were a bad	2 3 4 5 6 7	going he can fix they are going to fix the election. He's a guy who hated Trump. Say they all corroborated the notion that not only did he make the statement which in and of itself is pretty powerful, I mean somebody says they are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So now we have Coomer saying they are going to fix the election, added to it now Coomer comes to Dominion from the bad seed, from the bad company that Smartmatic wanted to dump because the government had pretty much figured out they were a bad company, didn't want them involved.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	going he can fix they are going to fix the election. He's a guy who hated Trump. Say they all corroborated the notion that not only did he make the statement which in and of itself is pretty powerful, I mean somebody says they are going to fix an election against my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So now we have Coomer saying they are going to fix the election, added to it now Coomer comes to Dominion from the bad seed, from the bad company that Smartmatic wanted to dump because the government had pretty much figured out they were a bad company, didn't want them involved. Q. You're talking about Sequoia?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	going he can fix they are going to fix the election. He's a guy who hated Trump. Say they all corroborated the notion that not only did he make the statement which in and of itself is pretty powerful, I mean somebody says they are going to fix an election against my client, I'm not going to start off by not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	So now we have Coomer saying they are going to fix the election, added to it now Coomer comes to Dominion from the bad seed, from the bad company that Smartmatic wanted to dump because the government had pretty much figured out they were a bad company, didn't want them involved. Q. You're talking about Sequoia? A. Sequoia was a bad company, he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	going he can fix they are going to fix the election. He's a guy who hated Trump. Say they all corroborated the notion that not only did he make the statement which in and of itself is pretty powerful, I mean somebody says they are going to fix an election against my client, I'm not going to start off by not believing them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So now we have Coomer saying they are going to fix the election, added to it now Coomer comes to Dominion from the bad seed, from the bad company that Smartmatic wanted to dump because the government had pretty much figured out they were a bad company, didn't want them involved. Q. You're talking about Sequoia? A. Sequoia was a bad company, he came from there. Got this record of these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	going he can fix they are going to fix the election. He's a guy who hated Trump. Say they all corroborated the notion that not only did he make the statement which in and of itself is pretty powerful, I mean somebody says they are going to fix an election against my client, I'm not going to start off by not believing them. Now I didn't have to end by just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	So now we have Coomer saying they are going to fix the election, added to it now Coomer comes to Dominion from the bad seed, from the bad company that Smartmatic wanted to dump because the government had pretty much figured out they were a bad company, didn't want them involved. Q. You're talking about Sequoia? A. Sequoia was a bad company, he came from there. Got this record of these out-of-control, very troublesome	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	going he can fix they are going to fix the election. He's a guy who hated Trump. Say they all corroborated the notion that not only did he make the statement which in and of itself is pretty powerful, I mean somebody says they are going to fix an election against my client, I'm not going to start off by not believing them. Now I didn't have to end by just that. What I could end with was yeah, he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So now we have Coomer saying they are going to fix the election, added to it now Coomer comes to Dominion from the bad seed, from the bad company that Smartmatic wanted to dump because the government had pretty much figured out they were a bad company, didn't want them involved. Q. You're talking about Sequoia? A. Sequoia was a bad company, he came from there. Got this record of these out-of-control, very troublesome statements about the president showing a real bias, certainly the kind of bias that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	going he can fix they are going to fix the election. He's a guy who hated Trump. Say they all corroborated the notion that not only did he make the statement which in and of itself is pretty powerful, I mean somebody says they are going to fix an election against my client, I'm not going to start off by not believing them. Now I didn't have to end by just that. What I could end with was yeah, he did make the statement, yeah, he did work
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So now we have Coomer saying they are going to fix the election, added to it now Coomer comes to Dominion from the bad seed, from the bad company that Smartmatic wanted to dump because the government had pretty much figured out they were a bad company, didn't want them involved. Q. You're talking about Sequoia? A. Sequoia was a bad company, he came from there. Got this record of these out-of-control, very troublesome statements about the president showing a real bias, certainly the kind of bias that would I guess the point I'm trying to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	going he can fix they are going to fix the election. He's a guy who hated Trump. Say they all corroborated the notion that not only did he make the statement which in and of itself is pretty powerful, I mean somebody says they are going to fix an election against my client, I'm not going to start off by not believing them. Now I didn't have to end by just that. What I could end with was yeah, he did make the statement, yeah, he did work for a company that fixes elections, yeah, he did work for a company that fixed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So now we have Coomer saying they are going to fix the election, added to it now Coomer comes to Dominion from the bad seed, from the bad company that Smartmatic wanted to dump because the government had pretty much figured out they were a bad company, didn't want them involved. Q. You're talking about Sequoia? A. Sequoia was a bad company, he came from there. Got this record of these out-of-control, very troublesome statements about the president showing a real bias, certainly the kind of bias that would I guess the point I'm trying to make is there'd be nothing I saw that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	going he can fix they are going to fix the election. He's a guy who hated Trump. Say they all corroborated the notion that not only did he make the statement which in and of itself is pretty powerful, I mean somebody says they are going to fix an election against my client, I'm not going to start off by not believing them. Now I didn't have to end by just that. What I could end with was yeah, he did make the statement, yeah, he did work for a company that fixes elections, yeah, he did work for a company that fixed elections that was so bad they had to kind
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array}$	So now we have Coomer saying they are going to fix the election, added to it now Coomer comes to Dominion from the bad seed, from the bad company that Smartmatic wanted to dump because the government had pretty much figured out they were a bad company, didn't want them involved. Q. You're talking about Sequoia? A. Sequoia was a bad company, he came from there. Got this record of these out-of-control, very troublesome statements about the president showing a real bias, certainly the kind of bias that would I guess the point I'm trying to make is there'd be nothing I saw that suggested that the statement that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	going he can fix they are going to fix the election. He's a guy who hated Trump. Say they all corroborated the notion that not only did he make the statement which in and of itself is pretty powerful, I mean somebody says they are going to fix an election against my client, I'm not going to start off by not believing them. Now I didn't have to end by just that. What I could end with was yeah, he did make the statement, yeah, he did work for a company that fixes elections, yeah, he did work for a company that fixed elections that was so bad they had to kind of do this laundering transaction for it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So now we have Coomer saying they are going to fix the election, added to it now Coomer comes to Dominion from the bad seed, from the bad company that Smartmatic wanted to dump because the government had pretty much figured out they were a bad company, didn't want them involved. Q. You're talking about Sequoia? A. Sequoia was a bad company, he came from there. Got this record of these out-of-control, very troublesome statements about the president showing a real bias, certainly the kind of bias that would I guess the point I'm trying to make is there'd be nothing I saw that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	going he can fix they are going to fix the election. He's a guy who hated Trump. Say they all corroborated the notion that not only did he make the statement which in and of itself is pretty powerful, I mean somebody says they are going to fix an election against my client, I'm not going to start off by not believing them. Now I didn't have to end by just that. What I could end with was yeah, he did make the statement, yeah, he did work for a company that fixes elections, yeah, he did work for a company that fixed elections that was so bad they had to kind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So now we have Coomer saying they are going to fix the election, added to it now Coomer comes to Dominion from the bad seed, from the bad company that Smartmatic wanted to dump because the government had pretty much figured out they were a bad company, didn't want them involved. Q. You're talking about Sequoia? A. Sequoia was a bad company, he came from there. Got this record of these out-of-control, very troublesome statements about the president showing a real bias, certainly the kind of bias that would I guess the point I'm trying to make is there'd be nothing I saw that suggested that the statement that the election was fixed was false. Everything I saw supported somebody who had a motive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	going he can fix they are going to fix the election. He's a guy who hated Trump. Say they all corroborated the notion that not only did he make the statement which in and of itself is pretty powerful, I mean somebody says they are going to fix an election against my client, I'm not going to start off by not believing them. Now I didn't have to end by just that. What I could end with was yeah, he did make the statement, yeah, he did work for a company that fixes elections, yeah, he did work for a company that fixed elections that was so bad they had to kind of do this laundering transaction for it and it ends up being attached to Dominion,
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array}$	So now we have Coomer saying they are going to fix the election, added to it now Coomer comes to Dominion from the bad seed, from the bad company that Smartmatic wanted to dump because the government had pretty much figured out they were a bad company, didn't want them involved. Q. You're talking about Sequoia? A. Sequoia was a bad company, he came from there. Got this record of these out-of-control, very troublesome statements about the president showing a real bias, certainly the kind of bias that would I guess the point I'm trying to make is there'd be nothing I saw that suggested that the statement that the election was fixed was false. Everything I saw supported somebody who had a motive for fixing the election, hated the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	going he can fix they are going to fix the election. He's a guy who hated Trump. Say they all corroborated the notion that not only did he make the statement which in and of itself is pretty powerful, I mean somebody says they are going to fix an election against my client, I'm not going to start off by not believing them. Now I didn't have to end by just that. What I could end with was yeah, he did make the statement, yeah, he did work for a company that fixes elections, yeah, he did work for a company that fixed elections that was so bad they had to kind of do this laundering transaction for it and it ends up being attached to Dominion, the bad company ends up being attached to Dominion.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	So now we have Coomer saying they are going to fix the election, added to it now Coomer comes to Dominion from the bad seed, from the bad company that Smartmatic wanted to dump because the government had pretty much figured out they were a bad company, didn't want them involved. Q. You're talking about Sequoia? A. Sequoia was a bad company, he came from there. Got this record of these out-of-control, very troublesome statements about the president showing a real bias, certainly the kind of bias that would I guess the point I'm trying to make is there'd be nothing I saw that suggested that the statement that the election was fixed was false. Everything I saw supported somebody who had a motive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	going he can fix they are going to fix the election. He's a guy who hated Trump. Say they all corroborated the notion that not only did he make the statement which in and of itself is pretty powerful, I mean somebody says they are going to fix an election against my client, I'm not going to start off by not believing them. Now I didn't have to end by just that. What I could end with was yeah, he did make the statement, yeah, he did work for a company that fixes elections, yeah, he did work for a company that fixed elections that was so bad they had to kind of do this laundering transaction for it and it ends up being attached to Dominion, the bad company ends up being attached to
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	So now we have Coomer saying they are going to fix the election, added to it now Coomer comes to Dominion from the bad seed, from the bad company that Smartmatic wanted to dump because the government had pretty much figured out they were a bad company, didn't want them involved. Q. You're talking about Sequoia? A. Sequoia was a bad company, he came from there. Got this record of these out-of-control, very troublesome statements about the president showing a real bias, certainly the kind of bias that would I guess the point I'm trying to make is there'd be nothing I saw that suggested that the statement that the election was fixed was false. Everything I saw supported somebody who had a motive for fixing the election, hated the president beyond normal, was involved with a company that had to be gotten rid of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	going he can fix they are going to fix the election. He's a guy who hated Trump. Say they all corroborated the notion that not only did he make the statement which in and of itself is pretty powerful, I mean somebody says they are going to fix an election against my client, I'm not going to start off by not believing them. Now I didn't have to end by just that. What I could end with was yeah, he did make the statement, yeah, he did work for a company that fixes elections, yeah, he did work for a company that fixed elections that was so bad they had to kind of do this laundering transaction for it and it ends up being attached to Dominion, the bad company ends up being attached to Dominion. And then we had a report that the heads of Dominion and Smartmatic,
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	So now we have Coomer saying they are going to fix the election, added to it now Coomer comes to Dominion from the bad seed, from the bad company that Smartmatic wanted to dump because the government had pretty much figured out they were a bad company, didn't want them involved. Q. You're talking about Sequoia? A. Sequoia was a bad company, he came from there. Got this record of these out-of-control, very troublesome statements about the president showing a real bias, certainly the kind of bias that would I guess the point I'm trying to make is there'd be nothing I saw that suggested that the statement that the election was fixed was false. Everything I saw supported somebody who had a motive for fixing the election, hated the president beyond normal, was involved with a company that had to be gotten rid of because it was a crooked company and they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	going he can fix they are going to fix the election. He's a guy who hated Trump. Say they all corroborated the notion that not only did he make the statement which in and of itself is pretty powerful, I mean somebody says they are going to fix an election against my client, I'm not going to start off by not believing them. Now I didn't have to end by just that. What I could end with was yeah, he did make the statement, yeah, he did work for a company that fixes elections, yeah, he did work for a company that fixed elections that was so bad they had to kind of do this laundering transaction for it and it ends up being attached to Dominion, the bad company ends up being attached to Dominion. And then we had a report that the heads of Dominion and Smartmatic, somewhere in the mid teens, 2013, '14,
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	So now we have Coomer saying they are going to fix the election, added to it now Coomer comes to Dominion from the bad seed, from the bad company that Smartmatic wanted to dump because the government had pretty much figured out they were a bad company, didn't want them involved. Q. You're talking about Sequoia? A. Sequoia was a bad company, he came from there. Got this record of these out-of-control, very troublesome statements about the president showing a real bias, certainly the kind of bias that would I guess the point I'm trying to make is there'd be nothing I saw that suggested that the statement that the election was fixed was false. Everything I saw supported somebody who had a motive for fixing the election, hated the president beyond normal, was involved with a company that had to be gotten rid of because it was a crooked company and they had to bury it somewhere so it could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	going he can fix they are going to fix the election. He's a guy who hated Trump. Say they all corroborated the notion that not only did he make the statement which in and of itself is pretty powerful, I mean somebody says they are going to fix an election against my client, I'm not going to start off by not believing them. Now I didn't have to end by just that. What I could end with was yeah, he did make the statement, yeah, he did work for a company that fixes elections, yeah, he did work for a company that fixed elections that was so bad they had to kind of do this laundering transaction for it and it ends up being attached to Dominion, the bad company ends up being attached to Dominion. And then we had a report that the heads of Dominion and Smartmatic, somewhere in the mid teens, 2013, '14, whatever, went down to Venezuela for a
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	So now we have Coomer saying they are going to fix the election, added to it now Coomer comes to Dominion from the bad seed, from the bad company that Smartmatic wanted to dump because the government had pretty much figured out they were a bad company, didn't want them involved. Q. You're talking about Sequoia? A. Sequoia was a bad company, he came from there. Got this record of these out-of-control, very troublesome statements about the president showing a real bias, certainly the kind of bias that would I guess the point I'm trying to make is there'd be nothing I saw that suggested that the statement that the election was fixed was false. Everything I saw supported somebody who had a motive for fixing the election, hated the president beyond normal, was involved with a company that had to be gotten rid of because it was a crooked company and they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	going he can fix they are going to fix the election. He's a guy who hated Trump. Say they all corroborated the notion that not only did he make the statement which in and of itself is pretty powerful, I mean somebody says they are going to fix an election against my client, I'm not going to start off by not believing them. Now I didn't have to end by just that. What I could end with was yeah, he did make the statement, yeah, he did work for a company that fixes elections, yeah, he did work for a company that fixed elections that was so bad they had to kind of do this laundering transaction for it and it ends up being attached to Dominion, the bad company ends up being attached to Dominion. And then we had a report that the heads of Dominion and Smartmatic, somewhere in the mid teens, 2013, '14,

^{21 (}Pages 78 - 81)

1	CILII IANI	1	
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	GIULIANI could demonstrate to Maduro the kind of	1	GIULIANI L maan L aguid guaga but it would not be
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$		23	I mean I could guess but it would not be
4	vote fixing they did for Chávez. Q. You say the heads of Dominion and	4	an educated guess. Q. Okay, so let's talk about a few
5	Smartmatic?	5	things based on your recent testimony.
6	A. Yes, that's what I was told.	6	You mentioned a report that you
7	Q. And the report you're referring	7	had from a confidential informant and
8	to is what?	8	we've talked a little bit about
9	A. The report is the report of a	9	investigatory material, the manual that
10	confidential informant, and before the	10	Mr. Waldron had access to, et cetera.
11	press conference I was told about it.	11	In terms of documents,
12	What I don't recall is did I read it,	12	Mr. Giuliani, I've asked for documents
13	actually read it before the press	13	that would have been compiled as part of
14	conference or did I read it after the	14	your investigation on Dr. Coomer or the
15	press conference. I did subsequently read	15	campaigns. Do you have access to any of
16	it and it says exactly what he told me it	16	that at this point in time?
17	says. But I can't tell you for sure	17	MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.
18	because at the very end I rushed into this	18	THE WITNESS: Pardon me?
19	and I'm not sure I read it. I had it with	19	MR. SIBLEY: I just said
20	me and the guy was	20	objection to form.
21	Q. Had it with you when?	21	THE WITNESS: Should I answer?
22	A. Had it with me probably in my	22	MR. SIBLEY: Yes, if you can
23	bag, in the papers to read. I just hadn't	23	answer.
24	had the chance I don't think to go through	24	THE WITNESS: I have access in
25	it in the kind of detail I like to. But I	25	that I can request, yes.
	Page 82		Page 84
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	GIULIANI saw some of the statements so I was pretty	1 2	GIULIANI BY MR. CAIN:
2 3 4	saw some of the statements so I was pretty comfortable that it was there under oath. So that's the background. That's	2 3 4	BY MR. CAIN: Q. If you had to make a request for that information, who would you send it
2 3 4 5	saw some of the statements so I was pretty comfortable that it was there under oath. So that's the background. That's what I knew when I made I think I	2 3	BY MR. CAIN: Q. If you had to make a request for that information, who would you send it to?
2 3 4 5 6	saw some of the statements so I was pretty comfortable that it was there under oath. So that's the background. That's what I knew when I made I think I mentioned Coomer's name once out of	2 3 4	<ul><li>BY MR. CAIN:</li><li>Q. If you had to make a request for that information, who would you send it to?</li><li>A. I would send that request to</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	saw some of the statements so I was pretty comfortable that it was there under oath. So that's the background. That's what I knew when I made I think I mentioned Coomer's name once out of numerous pages. I certainly didn't focus	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>BY MR. CAIN:</li><li>Q. If you had to make a request for that information, who would you send it to?</li><li>A. I would send that request to Boris Epstein, I would send that request</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	saw some of the statements so I was pretty comfortable that it was there under oath. So that's the background. That's what I knew when I made I think I mentioned Coomer's name once out of numerous pages. I certainly didn't focus on him by any means. Much like Sidney, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>BY MR. CAIN:</li><li>Q. If you had to make a request for that information, who would you send it to?</li><li>A. I would send that request to Boris Epstein, I would send that request to Christina Bobb. They would be the most</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	saw some of the statements so I was pretty comfortable that it was there under oath. So that's the background. That's what I knew when I made I think I mentioned Coomer's name once out of numerous pages. I certainly didn't focus on him by any means. Much like Sidney, I didn't think of him as anything more than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>BY MR. CAIN:</li><li>Q. If you had to make a request for that information, who would you send it to?</li><li>A. I would send that request to</li><li>Boris Epstein, I would send that request to Christina Bobb. They would be the most likely to have if they did retain such</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	saw some of the statements so I was pretty comfortable that it was there under oath. So that's the background. That's what I knew when I made I think I mentioned Coomer's name once out of numerous pages. I certainly didn't focus on him by any means. Much like Sidney, I didn't think of him as anything more than what he purported to be, a guy who knew	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. If you had to make a request for that information, who would you send it to?</li> <li>A. I would send that request to</li> <li>Boris Epstein, I would send that request to Christina Bobb. They would be the most likely to have if they did retain such a thing, they would be the most likely to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	saw some of the statements so I was pretty comfortable that it was there under oath. So that's the background. That's what I knew when I made I think I mentioned Coomer's name once out of numerous pages. I certainly didn't focus on him by any means. Much like Sidney, I didn't think of him as anything more than what he purported to be, a guy who knew that the election was fixed, that his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. If you had to make a request for that information, who would you send it to?</li> <li>A. I would send that request to</li> <li>Boris Epstein, I would send that request to Christina Bobb. They would be the most likely to have if they did retain such a thing, they would be the most likely to have it or not have it.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	saw some of the statements so I was pretty comfortable that it was there under oath. So that's the background. That's what I knew when I made I think I mentioned Coomer's name once out of numerous pages. I certainly didn't focus on him by any means. Much like Sidney, I didn't think of him as anything more than what he purported to be, a guy who knew that the election was fixed, that his company did it, that hated Trump, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. If you had to make a request for that information, who would you send it to?</li> <li>A. I would send that request to</li> <li>Boris Epstein, I would send that request to Christina Bobb. They would be the most likely to have if they did retain such a thing, they would be the most likely to have it or not have it.</li> <li>Q. And let's you've said a lot.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	saw some of the statements so I was pretty comfortable that it was there under oath. So that's the background. That's what I knew when I made I think I mentioned Coomer's name once out of numerous pages. I certainly didn't focus on him by any means. Much like Sidney, I didn't think of him as anything more than what he purported to be, a guy who knew that the election was fixed, that his company did it, that hated Trump, that seemed to have the motivation to do it,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. If you had to make a request for that information, who would you send it to?</li> <li>A. I would send that request to</li> <li>Boris Epstein, I would send that request to Christina Bobb. They would be the most likely to have if they did retain such a thing, they would be the most likely to have it.</li> <li>Q. And let's you've said a lot.</li> <li>A. And I'm sorry, Phil Waldron of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	saw some of the statements so I was pretty comfortable that it was there under oath. So that's the background. That's what I knew when I made I think I mentioned Coomer's name once out of numerous pages. I certainly didn't focus on him by any means. Much like Sidney, I didn't think of him as anything more than what he purported to be, a guy who knew that the election was fixed, that his company did it, that hated Trump, that seemed to have the motivation to do it, the ability to do it and the associations	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. If you had to make a request for that information, who would you send it to?</li> <li>A. I would send that request to</li> <li>Boris Epstein, I would send that request to Christina Bobb. They would be the most likely to have if they did retain such a thing, they would be the most likely to have it or not have it.</li> <li>Q. And let's you've said a lot.</li> <li>A. And I'm sorry, Phil Waldron of course. Probably you would put Phil at</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	saw some of the statements so I was pretty comfortable that it was there under oath. So that's the background. That's what I knew when I made I think I mentioned Coomer's name once out of numerous pages. I certainly didn't focus on him by any means. Much like Sidney, I didn't think of him as anything more than what he purported to be, a guy who knew that the election was fixed, that his company did it, that hated Trump, that seemed to have the motivation to do it, the ability to do it and the associations to do it. Exactly what role he played, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. If you had to make a request for that information, who would you send it to?</li> <li>A. I would send that request to</li> <li>Boris Epstein, I would send that request to Christina Bobb. They would be the most likely to have if they did retain such a thing, they would be the most likely to have it or not have it.</li> <li>Q. And let's you've said a lot.</li> <li>A. And I'm sorry, Phil Waldron of course. Probably you would put Phil at the top and they would be backups.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	saw some of the statements so I was pretty comfortable that it was there under oath. So that's the background. That's what I knew when I made I think I mentioned Coomer's name once out of numerous pages. I certainly didn't focus on him by any means. Much like Sidney, I didn't think of him as anything more than what he purported to be, a guy who knew that the election was fixed, that his company did it, that hated Trump, that seemed to have the motivation to do it, the ability to do it and the associations to do it. Exactly what role he played, I had no idea. It's a big company, lots of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. If you had to make a request for that information, who would you send it to?</li> <li>A. I would send that request to</li> <li>Boris Epstein, I would send that request to Christina Bobb. They would be the most likely to have if they did retain such a thing, they would be the most likely to have it or not have it.</li> <li>Q. And let's you've said a lot.</li> <li>A. And I'm sorry, Phil Waldron of course. Probably you would put Phil at the top and they would be backups.</li> <li>Q. Let's follow up on one of those</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	saw some of the statements so I was pretty comfortable that it was there under oath. So that's the background. That's what I knew when I made I think I mentioned Coomer's name once out of numerous pages. I certainly didn't focus on him by any means. Much like Sidney, I didn't think of him as anything more than what he purported to be, a guy who knew that the election was fixed, that his company did it, that hated Trump, that seemed to have the motivation to do it, the ability to do it and the associations to do it. Exactly what role he played, I had no idea. It's a big company, lots of people do different things. Was it his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. If you had to make a request for that information, who would you send it to?</li> <li>A. I would send that request to</li> <li>Boris Epstein, I would send that request to Christina Bobb. They would be the most likely to have if they did retain such a thing, they would be the most likely to have it or not have it.</li> <li>Q. And let's you've said a lot.</li> <li>A. And I'm sorry, Phil Waldron of course. Probably you would put Phil at the top and they would be backups.</li> <li>Q. Let's follow up on one of those folks you just mentioned because I want to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	saw some of the statements so I was pretty comfortable that it was there under oath. So that's the background. That's what I knew when I made I think I mentioned Coomer's name once out of numerous pages. I certainly didn't focus on him by any means. Much like Sidney, I didn't think of him as anything more than what he purported to be, a guy who knew that the election was fixed, that his company did it, that hated Trump, that seemed to have the motivation to do it, the ability to do it and the associations to do it. Exactly what role he played, I had no idea. It's a big company, lots of people do different things. Was it his job just to announce it? Was it his job	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. If you had to make a request for that information, who would you send it to?</li> <li>A. I would send that request to</li> <li>Boris Epstein, I would send that request to Christina Bobb. They would be the most likely to have if they did retain such a thing, they would be the most likely to have it or not have it.</li> <li>Q. And let's you've said a lot.</li> <li>A. And I'm sorry, Phil Waldron of course. Probably you would put Phil at the top and they would be backups.</li> <li>Q. Let's follow up on one of those folks you just mentioned because I want to get information on her.</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array} $	saw some of the statements so I was pretty comfortable that it was there under oath. So that's the background. That's what I knew when I made I think I mentioned Coomer's name once out of numerous pages. I certainly didn't focus on him by any means. Much like Sidney, I didn't think of him as anything more than what he purported to be, a guy who knew that the election was fixed, that his company did it, that hated Trump, that seemed to have the motivation to do it, the ability to do it and the associations to do it. Exactly what role he played, I had no idea. It's a big company, lots of people do different things. Was it his job just to announce it? Was it his job to carry it? I had no idea, nor was I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. If you had to make a request for that information, who would you send it to?</li> <li>A. I would send that request to</li> <li>Boris Epstein, I would send that request to Christina Bobb. They would be the most likely to have if they did retain such a thing, they would be the most likely to have it or not have it.</li> <li>Q. And let's you've said a lot.</li> <li>A. And I'm sorry, Phil Waldron of course. Probably you would put Phil at the top and they would be backups.</li> <li>Q. Let's follow up on one of those folks you just mentioned because I want to get information on her. (Exhibit 102, document from Jan</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\\end{array} $	saw some of the statements so I was pretty comfortable that it was there under oath. So that's the background. That's what I knew when I made I think I mentioned Coomer's name once out of numerous pages. I certainly didn't focus on him by any means. Much like Sidney, I didn't think of him as anything more than what he purported to be, a guy who knew that the election was fixed, that his company did it, that hated Trump, that seemed to have the motivation to do it, the ability to do it and the associations to do it. Exactly what role he played, I had no idea. It's a big company, lots of people do different things. Was it his job just to announce it? Was it his job to carry it? I had no idea, nor was I particularly interested at that point.	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       3 \\       4 \\       5 \\       6 \\       7 \\       8 \\       9 \\       10 \\       11 \\       12 \\       13 \\       14 \\       15 \\       16 \\       17 \\       18 \\       19 \\       20 \\     \end{array} $	<ul> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. If you had to make a request for that information, who would you send it to?</li> <li>A. I would send that request to</li> <li>Boris Epstein, I would send that request to Christina Bobb. They would be the most likely to have if they did retain such a thing, they would be the most likely to have it or not have it.</li> <li>Q. And let's you've said a lot.</li> <li>A. And I'm sorry, Phil Waldron of course. Probably you would put Phil at the top and they would be backups.</li> <li>Q. Let's follow up on one of those folks you just mentioned because I want to get information on her.</li> <li>(Exhibit 102, document from Jan Wolfe Twitter account, marked for</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\\end{array} $	saw some of the statements so I was pretty comfortable that it was there under oath. So that's the background. That's what I knew when I made I think I mentioned Coomer's name once out of numerous pages. I certainly didn't focus on him by any means. Much like Sidney, I didn't think of him as anything more than what he purported to be, a guy who knew that the election was fixed, that his company did it, that hated Trump, that seemed to have the motivation to do it, the ability to do it and the associations to do it. Exactly what role he played, I had no idea. It's a big company, lots of people do different things. Was it his job just to announce it? Was it his job to carry it? I had no idea, nor was I particularly interested at that point. Q. Like if I asked you what your	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \end{array}$	<ul> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. If you had to make a request for that information, who would you send it to?</li> <li>A. I would send that request to</li> <li>Boris Epstein, I would send that request to Christina Bobb. They would be the most likely to have if they did retain such a thing, they would be the most likely to have it or not have it.</li> <li>Q. And let's you've said a lot.</li> <li>A. And I'm sorry, Phil Waldron of course. Probably you would put Phil at the top and they would be backups.</li> <li>Q. Let's follow up on one of those folks you just mentioned because I want to get information on her.</li> <li>(Exhibit 102, document from Jan Wolfe Twitter account, marked for identification.)</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	saw some of the statements so I was pretty comfortable that it was there under oath. So that's the background. That's what I knew when I made I think I mentioned Coomer's name once out of numerous pages. I certainly didn't focus on him by any means. Much like Sidney, I didn't think of him as anything more than what he purported to be, a guy who knew that the election was fixed, that his company did it, that hated Trump, that seemed to have the motivation to do it, the ability to do it and the associations to do it. Exactly what role he played, I had no idea. It's a big company, lots of people do different things. Was it his job just to announce it? Was it his job to carry it? I had no idea, nor was I particularly interested at that point. Q. Like if I asked you what your theory is on what Dr. Coomer may or may	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. If you had to make a request for that information, who would you send it to?</li> <li>A. I would send that request to</li> <li>Boris Epstein, I would send that request to Christina Bobb. They would be the most likely to have if they did retain such a thing, they would be the most likely to have it or not have it.</li> <li>Q. And let's you've said a lot.</li> <li>A. And I'm sorry, Phil Waldron of course. Probably you would put Phil at the top and they would be backups.</li> <li>Q. Let's follow up on one of those folks you just mentioned because I want to get information on her.</li> <li>(Exhibit 102, document from Jan Wolfe Twitter account, marked for identification.)</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	saw some of the statements so I was pretty comfortable that it was there under oath. So that's the background. That's what I knew when I made I think I mentioned Coomer's name once out of numerous pages. I certainly didn't focus on him by any means. Much like Sidney, I didn't think of him as anything more than what he purported to be, a guy who knew that the election was fixed, that his company did it, that hated Trump, that seemed to have the motivation to do it, the ability to do it and the associations to do it. Exactly what role he played, I had no idea. It's a big company, lots of people do different things. Was it his job just to announce it? Was it his job to carry it? I had no idea, nor was I particularly interested at that point. Q. Like if I asked you what your theory is on what Dr. Coomer may or may not have done, you wouldn't have a	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \end{array}$	<ul> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. If you had to make a request for that information, who would you send it to?</li> <li>A. I would send that request to</li> <li>Boris Epstein, I would send that request to Christina Bobb. They would be the most likely to have if they did retain such a thing, they would be the most likely to have it or not have it.</li> <li>Q. And let's you've said a lot.</li> <li>A. And I'm sorry, Phil Waldron of course. Probably you would put Phil at the top and they would be backups.</li> <li>Q. Let's follow up on one of those folks you just mentioned because I want to get information on her.</li> <li>(Exhibit 102, document from Jan Wolfe Twitter account, marked for identification.)</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. This is Exhibit 102. It should</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	saw some of the statements so I was pretty comfortable that it was there under oath. So that's the background. That's what I knew when I made I think I mentioned Coomer's name once out of numerous pages. I certainly didn't focus on him by any means. Much like Sidney, I didn't think of him as anything more than what he purported to be, a guy who knew that the election was fixed, that his company did it, that hated Trump, that seemed to have the motivation to do it, the ability to do it and the associations to do it. Exactly what role he played, I had no idea. It's a big company, lots of people do different things. Was it his job just to announce it? Was it his job to carry it? I had no idea, nor was I particularly interested at that point. Q. Like if I asked you what your theory is on what Dr. Coomer may or may not have done, you wouldn't have a response to that?	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. If you had to make a request for that information, who would you send it to?</li> <li>A. I would send that request to</li> <li>Boris Epstein, I would send that request to Christina Bobb. They would be the most likely to have if they did retain such a thing, they would be the most likely to have it or not have it.</li> <li>Q. And let's you've said a lot.</li> <li>A. And I'm sorry, Phil Waldron of course. Probably you would put Phil at the top and they would be backups.</li> <li>Q. Let's follow up on one of those folks you just mentioned because I want to get information on her.</li> <li>(Exhibit 102, document from Jan Wolfe Twitter account, marked for identification.)</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. This is Exhibit 102. It should have been uploaded already for those</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	saw some of the statements so I was pretty comfortable that it was there under oath. So that's the background. That's what I knew when I made I think I mentioned Coomer's name once out of numerous pages. I certainly didn't focus on him by any means. Much like Sidney, I didn't think of him as anything more than what he purported to be, a guy who knew that the election was fixed, that his company did it, that hated Trump, that seemed to have the motivation to do it, the ability to do it and the associations to do it. Exactly what role he played, I had no idea. It's a big company, lots of people do different things. Was it his job just to announce it? Was it his job to carry it? I had no idea, nor was I particularly interested at that point. Q. Like if I asked you what your theory is on what Dr. Coomer may or may not have done, you wouldn't have a	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \end{array}$	<ul> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. If you had to make a request for that information, who would you send it to?</li> <li>A. I would send that request to</li> <li>Boris Epstein, I would send that request to Christina Bobb. They would be the most likely to have if they did retain such a thing, they would be the most likely to have it or not have it.</li> <li>Q. And let's you've said a lot.</li> <li>A. And I'm sorry, Phil Waldron of course. Probably you would put Phil at the top and they would be backups.</li> <li>Q. Let's follow up on one of those folks you just mentioned because I want to get information on her.</li> <li>(Exhibit 102, document from Jan Wolfe Twitter account, marked for identification.)</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. This is Exhibit 102. It should</li> </ul>

^{22 (}Pages 82 - 85)

1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	this briefly. I'll describe it as you're	2	BY MR. CAIN:
3	looking at it. This is from a Twitter	3	Q. Herring, like the fish.
4	account by someone named Jan Wolfe, newly	4	A. And I had gotten to know him very
5	disclosed e-mails show how a One America	5	well because I did a documentary on
6	News reporter was working for Giuliani and	6	Ukrainian collusion.
7	helping him try to overturn the election.	7	Q. With
8	And then it's a copy apparently of an	8	A. With Chanel, with Chanel Rion,
9	e-mail from Christina Bobb to K. Fann at	9	and so I had I trusted him. They were
10	the Arizona legislature on December 4th.	10	very patriotic. They were very honest and
11 12	And the content of the e-mail is	11 12	they did a very straightforward job which
12	"Good morning, ma'am. Mayor Giuliani	12	to me was a revelation because I hadn't
13	asked me to send you these declarations.	13	seen that done in a couple years. So when Christina came over
	He will want to follow up with you as		
15 16	well. I will have one more e-mail follow" I think she meant following	15 16	Q. Can I ask you a question? I'm sorry, I apologize. I try not to
10	"this one. Respectfully Christina."	17	interrupt but I wanted to make sure I
17	So can you describe what was	18	understood. You were about to say when
19	going on well, no, before we get to	19	she came over.
$\frac{19}{20}$	that, you mentioned Ms. Bobb was working	20	A. Came over to the campaign.
21	with your team. This is December 4th.	21	Q. Right. And I was going to ask
22	Was she working with you before the press	22	you how did you decide to hire her of all
23	conference as well?	23	people?
24	A. Tell me the date of the press	24	A. I'll tell you.
25	conference again, I'm sorry.	25	Q. Okay, thank you.
	Page 86		Page 88
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	GIULIANI Q. The press conference was on	1 2	GIULIANI A. She came over to the campaign and
2	Q. The press conference was on	2	A. She came over to the campaign and
2 3	Q. The press conference was on November 19, 2020. This e-mail is after	2 3	A. She came over to the campaign and she offered to help us. She said that she
2 3 4	Q. The press conference was on November 19, 2020. This e-mail is after the press conference where she's	2 3 4	A. She came over to the campaign and she offered to help us. She said that she had been she had as a reporter
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. The press conference was on November 19, 2020. This e-mail is after the press conference where she's apparently sending something at your request. The question was A. The answer is yes, she was.	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. She came over to the campaign and she offered to help us. She said that she had been she had as a reporter gathered by process of elimination, she had gathered a lot of information about Arizona, Michigan, I don't know about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. The press conference was on November 19, 2020. This e-mail is after the press conference where she's apparently sending something at your request. The question was</li> <li>A. The answer is yes, she was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you hire her?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. She came over to the campaign and she offered to help us. She said that she had been she had as a reporter gathered by process of elimination, she had gathered a lot of information about Arizona, Michigan, I don't know about Georgia. I don't remember Georgia. She
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. The press conference was on November 19, 2020. This e-mail is after the press conference where she's apparently sending something at your request. The question was</li> <li>A. The answer is yes, she was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you hire her?</li> <li>A. I did.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. She came over to the campaign and she offered to help us. She said that she had been she had as a reporter gathered by process of elimination, she had gathered a lot of information about Arizona, Michigan, I don't know about Georgia. I don't remember Georgia. She eventually got involved in Georgia and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. The press conference was on November 19, 2020. This e-mail is after the press conference where she's apparently sending something at your request. The question was</li> <li>A. The answer is yes, she was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you hire her?</li> <li>A. I did.</li> <li>Q. And did you hire her to work for</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. She came over to the campaign and she offered to help us. She said that she had been she had as a reporter gathered by process of elimination, she had gathered a lot of information about Arizona, Michigan, I don't know about Georgia. I don't remember Georgia. She eventually got involved in Georgia and several of my assistants, I had never
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. The press conference was on November 19, 2020. This e-mail is after the press conference where she's apparently sending something at your request. The question was</li> <li>A. The answer is yes, she was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you hire her?</li> <li>A. I did.</li> <li>Q. And did you hire her to work for the campaign?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. She came over to the campaign and she offered to help us. She said that she had been she had as a reporter gathered by process of elimination, she had gathered a lot of information about Arizona, Michigan, I don't know about Georgia. I don't remember Georgia. She eventually got involved in Georgia and several of my assistants, I had never she said I met her but I don't remember
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. The press conference was on November 19, 2020. This e-mail is after the press conference where she's apparently sending something at your request. The question was</li> <li>A. The answer is yes, she was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you hire her?</li> <li>A. I did.</li> <li>Q. And did you hire her to work for the campaign?</li> <li>A. No, to work for the his</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. She came over to the campaign and she offered to help us. She said that she had been she had as a reporter gathered by process of elimination, she had gathered a lot of information about Arizona, Michigan, I don't know about Georgia. I don't remember Georgia. She eventually got involved in Georgia and several of my assistants, I had never she said I met her but I don't remember meeting her when I was over at One America
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. The press conference was on November 19, 2020. This e-mail is after the press conference where she's apparently sending something at your request. The question was</li> <li>A. The answer is yes, she was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you hire her?</li> <li>A. I did.</li> <li>Q. And did you hire her to work for the campaign?</li> <li>A. No, to work for the his personal lawyers, work with his personal</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. She came over to the campaign and she offered to help us. She said that she had been she had as a reporter gathered by process of elimination, she had gathered a lot of information about Arizona, Michigan, I don't know about Georgia. I don't remember Georgia. She eventually got involved in Georgia and several of my assistants, I had never she said I met her but I don't remember meeting her when I was over at One America News but I met a lot of people there so I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. The press conference was on November 19, 2020. This e-mail is after the press conference where she's apparently sending something at your request. The question was</li> <li>A. The answer is yes, she was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you hire her?</li> <li>A. I did.</li> <li>Q. And did you hire her to work for the campaign?</li> <li>A. No, to work for the his personal lawyers, work with his personal lawyers.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. She came over to the campaign and she offered to help us. She said that she had been she had as a reporter gathered by process of elimination, she had gathered a lot of information about Arizona, Michigan, I don't know about Georgia. I don't remember Georgia. She eventually got involved in Georgia and several of my assistants, I had never she said I met her but I don't remember meeting her when I was over at One America News but I met a lot of people there so I may have.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. The press conference was on November 19, 2020. This e-mail is after the press conference where she's apparently sending something at your request. The question was</li> <li>A. The answer is yes, she was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you hire her?</li> <li>A. I did.</li> <li>Q. And did you hire her to work for the campaign?</li> <li>A. No, to work for the his personal lawyers, work with his personal lawyers.</li> <li>Q. Was that at or around the time</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. She came over to the campaign and she offered to help us. She said that she had been she had as a reporter gathered by process of elimination, she had gathered a lot of information about Arizona, Michigan, I don't know about Georgia. I don't remember Georgia. She eventually got involved in Georgia and several of my assistants, I had never she said I met her but I don't remember meeting her when I was over at One America News but I met a lot of people there so I may have. And my staff said she was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. The press conference was on November 19, 2020. This e-mail is after the press conference where she's apparently sending something at your request. The question was</li> <li>A. The answer is yes, she was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you hire her?</li> <li>A. I did.</li> <li>Q. And did you hire her to work for the campaign?</li> <li>A. No, to work for the his personal lawyers, work with his personal lawyers.</li> <li>Q. Was that at or around the time that former President Trump put you in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. She came over to the campaign and she offered to help us. She said that she had been she had as a reporter gathered by process of elimination, she had gathered a lot of information about Arizona, Michigan, I don't know about Georgia. I don't remember Georgia. She eventually got involved in Georgia and several of my assistants, I had never she said I met her but I don't remember meeting her when I was over at One America News but I met a lot of people there so I may have. And my staff said she was terrific, she was very trustworthy and if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. The press conference was on November 19, 2020. This e-mail is after the press conference where she's apparently sending something at your request. The question was</li> <li>A. The answer is yes, she was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you hire her?</li> <li>A. I did.</li> <li>Q. And did you hire her to work for the campaign?</li> <li>A. No, to work for the his personal lawyers, work with his personal lawyers.</li> <li>Q. Was that at or around the time that former President Trump put you in charge of the legal team for the campaign?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. She came over to the campaign and she offered to help us. She said that she had been she had as a reporter gathered by process of elimination, she had gathered a lot of information about Arizona, Michigan, I don't know about Georgia. I don't remember Georgia. She eventually got involved in Georgia and several of my assistants, I had never she said I met her but I don't remember meeting her when I was over at One America News but I met a lot of people there so I may have. And my staff said she was terrific, she was very trustworthy and if we could work out an agreement with One
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. The press conference was on November 19, 2020. This e-mail is after the press conference where she's apparently sending something at your request. The question was</li> <li>A. The answer is yes, she was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you hire her?</li> <li>A. I did.</li> <li>Q. And did you hire her to work for the campaign?</li> <li>A. No, to work for the his personal lawyers, work with his personal lawyers.</li> <li>Q. Was that at or around the time that former President Trump put you in charge of the legal team for the campaign?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Form.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. She came over to the campaign and she offered to help us. She said that she had been she had as a reporter gathered by process of elimination, she had gathered a lot of information about Arizona, Michigan, I don't know about Georgia. I don't remember Georgia. She eventually got involved in Georgia and several of my assistants, I had never she said I met her but I don't remember meeting her when I was over at One America News but I met a lot of people there so I may have. And my staff said she was terrific, she was very trustworthy and if we could work out an agreement with One America News, it would be very helpful.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. The press conference was on November 19, 2020. This e-mail is after the press conference where she's apparently sending something at your request. The question was</li> <li>A. The answer is yes, she was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you hire her?</li> <li>A. I did.</li> <li>Q. And did you hire her to work for the campaign?</li> <li>A. No, to work for the his personal lawyers, work with his personal lawyers.</li> <li>Q. Was that at or around the time that former President Trump put you in charge of the legal team for the campaign?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Form. THE WITNESS: It was a little bit</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. She came over to the campaign and she offered to help us. She said that she had been she had as a reporter gathered by process of elimination, she had gathered a lot of information about Arizona, Michigan, I don't know about Georgia. I don't remember Georgia. She eventually got involved in Georgia and several of my assistants, I had never she said I met her but I don't remember meeting her when I was over at One America News but I met a lot of people there so I may have. And my staff said she was terrific, she was very trustworthy and if we could work out an agreement with One America News, it would be very helpful. She was a very good investigator.
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	<ul> <li>Q. The press conference was on November 19, 2020. This e-mail is after the press conference where she's apparently sending something at your request. The question was</li> <li>A. The answer is yes, she was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you hire her?</li> <li>A. I did.</li> <li>Q. And did you hire her to work for the campaign?</li> <li>A. No, to work for the his personal lawyers, work with his personal lawyers.</li> <li>Q. Was that at or around the time that former President Trump put you in charge of the legal team for the campaign?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: It was a little bit after. It was a little bit after. It</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. She came over to the campaign and she offered to help us. She said that she had been she had as a reporter gathered by process of elimination, she had gathered a lot of information about Arizona, Michigan, I don't know about Georgia. I don't remember Georgia. She eventually got involved in Georgia and several of my assistants, I had never she said I met her but I don't remember meeting her when I was over at One America News but I met a lot of people there so I may have. And my staff said she was terrific, she was very trustworthy and if we could work out an agreement with One America News, it would be very helpful. She was a very good investigator. So I didn't act on it right away.
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	<ul> <li>Q. The press conference was on November 19, 2020. This e-mail is after the press conference where she's apparently sending something at your request. The question was A. The answer is yes, she was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you hire her?</li> <li>A. I did.</li> <li>Q. And did you hire her to work for the campaign?</li> <li>A. No, to work for the his personal lawyers, work with his personal lawyers.</li> <li>Q. Was that at or around the time that former President Trump put you in charge of the legal team for the campaign?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Form. THE WITNESS: It was a little bit after. It was a little bit after. It was maybe a week, less than. She came</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. She came over to the campaign and she offered to help us. She said that she had been she had as a reporter gathered by process of elimination, she had gathered a lot of information about Arizona, Michigan, I don't know about Georgia. I don't remember Georgia. She eventually got involved in Georgia and several of my assistants, I had never she said I met her but I don't remember meeting her when I was over at One America News but I met a lot of people there so I may have. And my staff said she was terrific, she was very trustworthy and if we could work out an agreement with One America News, it would be very helpful. She was a very good investigator. So I didn't act on it right away. I wanted to see how she functioned and she
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. The press conference was on November 19, 2020. This e-mail is after the press conference where she's apparently sending something at your request. The question was</li> <li>A. The answer is yes, she was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you hire her?</li> <li>A. I did.</li> <li>Q. And did you hire her to work for the campaign?</li> <li>A. No, to work for the his personal lawyers, work with his personal lawyers.</li> <li>Q. Was that at or around the time that former President Trump put you in charge of the legal team for the campaign?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Form. THE WITNESS: It was a little bit after. It was a little bit after. It was maybe a week, less than. She came in and volunteered a number of I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. She came over to the campaign and she offered to help us. She said that she had been she had as a reporter gathered by process of elimination, she had gathered a lot of information about Arizona, Michigan, I don't know about Georgia. I don't remember Georgia. She eventually got involved in Georgia and several of my assistants, I had never she said I met her but I don't remember meeting her when I was over at One America News but I met a lot of people there so I may have. And my staff said she was terrific, she was very trustworthy and if we could work out an agreement with One America News, it would be very helpful. She was a very good investigator. So I didn't act on it right away. I wanted to see how she functioned and she was fabulous. She would get you
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>Q. The press conference was on November 19, 2020. This e-mail is after the press conference where she's apparently sending something at your request. The question was A. The answer is yes, she was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you hire her?</li> <li>A. I did.</li> <li>Q. And did you hire her to work for the campaign?</li> <li>A. No, to work for the his personal lawyers, work with his personal lawyers.</li> <li>Q. Was that at or around the time that former President Trump put you in charge of the legal team for the campaign?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: It was a little bit after. It was a little bit after. It was maybe a week, less than. She came in and volunteered a number of I didn't know Christina, although I did</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. She came over to the campaign and she offered to help us. She said that she had been she had as a reporter gathered by process of elimination, she had gathered a lot of information about Arizona, Michigan, I don't know about Georgia. I don't remember Georgia. She eventually got involved in Georgia and several of my assistants, I had never she said I met her but I don't remember meeting her when I was over at One America News but I met a lot of people there so I may have. And my staff said she was terrific, she was very trustworthy and if we could work out an agreement with One America News, it would be very helpful. She was a very good investigator. So I didn't act on it right away. I wanted to see how she functioned and she was fabulous. She would get you information quickly, she turned out to be
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>Q. The press conference was on November 19, 2020. This e-mail is after the press conference where she's apparently sending something at your request. The question was A. The answer is yes, she was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you hire her?</li> <li>A. I did.</li> <li>Q. And did you hire her to work for the campaign?</li> <li>A. No, to work for the his personal lawyers, work with his personal lawyers.</li> <li>Q. Was that at or around the time that former President Trump put you in charge of the legal team for the campaign?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: It was a little bit after. It was a little bit after. It was maybe a week, less than. She came in and volunteered a number of I didn't know Christina, although I did know One American News, Charles</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. She came over to the campaign and she offered to help us. She said that she had been she had as a reporter gathered by process of elimination, she had gathered a lot of information about Arizona, Michigan, I don't know about Georgia. I don't remember Georgia. She eventually got involved in Georgia and several of my assistants, I had never she said I met her but I don't remember meeting her when I was over at One America News but I met a lot of people there so I may have. And my staff said she was terrific, she was very trustworthy and if we could work out an agreement with One America News, it would be very helpful. She was a very good investigator. So I didn't act on it right away. I wanted to see how she functioned and she was fabulous. She would get you information quickly, she turned out to be an excellent lawyer, she could write
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>Q. The press conference was on November 19, 2020. This e-mail is after the press conference where she's apparently sending something at your request. The question was A. The answer is yes, she was.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you hire her?</li> <li>A. I did.</li> <li>Q. And did you hire her to work for the campaign?</li> <li>A. No, to work for the his personal lawyers, work with his personal lawyers.</li> <li>Q. Was that at or around the time that former President Trump put you in charge of the legal team for the campaign?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: It was a little bit after. It was a little bit after. It was maybe a week, less than. She came in and volunteered a number of I didn't know Christina, although I did</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. She came over to the campaign and she offered to help us. She said that she had been she had as a reporter gathered by process of elimination, she had gathered a lot of information about Arizona, Michigan, I don't know about Georgia. I don't remember Georgia. She eventually got involved in Georgia and several of my assistants, I had never she said I met her but I don't remember meeting her when I was over at One America News but I met a lot of people there so I may have. And my staff said she was terrific, she was very trustworthy and if we could work out an agreement with One America News, it would be very helpful. She was a very good investigator. So I didn't act on it right away. I wanted to see how she functioned and she was fabulous. She would get you information quickly, she turned out to be

23 (Pages 86 - 89)

1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	both a law school graduate and a Marine	2	general assignment they would take her
3	Corps officer so she had tremendous	3	off I'm sorry, did you not finish? I'm
4	discipline as a Marine Corps officer and	4	sorry, I spoke over you. I realized when
5	she has a heck of an intellect as well,	5	you looked at her. Go ahead.
6	I guess Marine Corps officers have great	6	Q. I don't want her to blame me.
7	intellects, too. But she also had the	7	A. Good. If you do that, it gets me
8	benefit pardon me?	8	guilty. See I don't care about you. I
9	MR. CAIN: Whoever just said	9	don't want her to be upset. Go ahead.
10	words needs to mute themselves,	10	Q. Well, Now I've forgotten the
11	please.	11	question. Because I never asked it.
12	BY MR. CAIN:	12	(Record read.)
13	Q. Mr. Giuliani, this happens	13	BY MR. CAIN:
14	virtually every	14	Q. I was going to ask you, I assumed
15	A. I thought maybe it was a marine	15	you were referring to this discussion you
16	who was angry or happy that I corrected.	16	had with Charles Herring.
17	But in any event she was a very	17	A. Yes, the rules that we made with
18	intelligent woman and very hardworking and	18	Charles were that he would defer to us to
19	very trustworthy and I sort of have a I	19	whatever our needs were, that he couldn't
20	have a prejudice in favor of marines. And	20	give it to us permanently but he could
21	she said she could help a lot. So we	21	loosen up her assignments for the next
22	addressed a conflict issue with her	22	couple of months, therefore she wouldn't
23	publication. I talked to Charles myself	23	be working all that much for OAN, so the
24	and I said if she has to hold this	24	conflict thing wouldn't come up all the
25	confidential from you, that doesn't mean	25	time, that she would agree that any
	Page 90		Page 92
			CHH LLOH
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	GIULIANI there won't be things that you can then if	$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	GIULIANI communication she had, OAN would be
2	there won't be things that you can then if	2	communication she had, OAN would be
2 3	there won't be things that you can then if they are okay then the benefit to you is	2 3	communication she had, OAN would be treated for that purpose the way any other
2 3 4	there won't be things that you can then if they are okay then the benefit to you is you'll have like an extra, you'll have an	2 3 4	communication she had, OAN would be treated for that purpose the way any other news organization is, and then if she did
2 3 4 5	there won't be things that you can then if they are okay then the benefit to you is you'll have like an extra, you'll have an extra edge on everybody else that will	2 3 4 5	communication she had, OAN would be treated for that purpose the way any other news organization is, and then if she did develop a discrete, good story, she would
2 3 4 5 6	there won't be things that you can then if they are okay then the benefit to you is you'll have like an extra, you'll have an extra edge on everybody else that will benefit you, but you're going to have to	2 3 4 5 6	communication she had, OAN would be treated for that purpose the way any other news organization is, and then if she did develop a discrete, good story, she would have to run it past us so it didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7	there won't be things that you can then if they are okay then the benefit to you is you'll have like an extra, you'll have an extra edge on everybody else that will benefit you, but you're going to have to agree to something that I know our news	2 3 4 5 6 7	communication she had, OAN would be treated for that purpose the way any other news organization is, and then if she did develop a discrete, good story, she would have to run it past us so it didn't violate any of our rules or whatever. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	there won't be things that you can then if they are okay then the benefit to you is you'll have like an extra, you'll have an extra edge on everybody else that will benefit you, but you're going to have to agree to something that I know our news networks won't agree to, which is there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	communication she had, OAN would be treated for that purpose the way any other news organization is, and then if she did develop a discrete, good story, she would have to run it past us so it didn't violate any of our rules or whatever. And I was pretty comfortable they would live
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	there won't be things that you can then if they are okay then the benefit to you is you'll have like an extra, you'll have an extra edge on everybody else that will benefit you, but you're going to have to agree to something that I know our news networks won't agree to, which is there may be things that you just can't do and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	communication she had, OAN would be treated for that purpose the way any other news organization is, and then if she did develop a discrete, good story, she would have to run it past us so it didn't violate any of our rules or whatever. And I was pretty comfortable they would live by it because they had before. And I knew
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	there won't be things that you can then if they are okay then the benefit to you is you'll have like an extra, you'll have an extra edge on everybody else that will benefit you, but you're going to have to agree to something that I know our news networks won't agree to, which is there may be things that you just can't do and she's got to separate her role as a lawyer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	communication she had, OAN would be treated for that purpose the way any other news organization is, and then if she did develop a discrete, good story, she would have to run it past us so it didn't violate any of our rules or whatever. And I was pretty comfortable they would live by it because they had before. And I knew she had tremendous enthusiasm for doing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	there won't be things that you can then if they are okay then the benefit to you is you'll have like an extra, you'll have an extra edge on everybody else that will benefit you, but you're going to have to agree to something that I know our news networks won't agree to, which is there may be things that you just can't do and she's got to separate her role as a lawyer and if she wants to share things with you,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	communication she had, OAN would be treated for that purpose the way any other news organization is, and then if she did develop a discrete, good story, she would have to run it past us so it didn't violate any of our rules or whatever. And I was pretty comfortable they would live by it because they had before. And I knew she had tremendous enthusiasm for doing this and I always like to hire people with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	there won't be things that you can then if they are okay then the benefit to you is you'll have like an extra, you'll have an extra edge on everybody else that will benefit you, but you're going to have to agree to something that I know our news networks won't agree to, which is there may be things that you just can't do and she's got to separate her role as a lawyer and if she wants to share things with you, she will have to get my permission or one of my people. Now we had done that before and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	communication she had, OAN would be treated for that purpose the way any other news organization is, and then if she did develop a discrete, good story, she would have to run it past us so it didn't violate any of our rules or whatever. And I was pretty comfortable they would live by it because they had before. And I knew she had tremendous enthusiasm for doing this and I always like to hire people with enthusiasm because they work harder.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there won't be things that you can then if they are okay then the benefit to you is you'll have like an extra, you'll have an extra edge on everybody else that will benefit you, but you're going to have to agree to something that I know our news networks won't agree to, which is there may be things that you just can't do and she's got to separate her role as a lawyer and if she wants to share things with you, she will have to get my permission or one of my people.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	communication she had, OAN would be treated for that purpose the way any other news organization is, and then if she did develop a discrete, good story, she would have to run it past us so it didn't violate any of our rules or whatever. And I was pretty comfortable they would live by it because they had before. And I knew she had tremendous enthusiasm for doing this and I always like to hire people with enthusiasm because they work harder. Q. And so that serves as kind of the background A. That's how we got her. And she
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	there won't be things that you can then if they are okay then the benefit to you is you'll have like an extra, you'll have an extra edge on everybody else that will benefit you, but you're going to have to agree to something that I know our news networks won't agree to, which is there may be things that you just can't do and she's got to separate her role as a lawyer and if she wants to share things with you, she will have to get my permission or one of my people. Now we had done that before and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	communication she had, OAN would be treated for that purpose the way any other news organization is, and then if she did develop a discrete, good story, she would have to run it past us so it didn't violate any of our rules or whatever. And I was pretty comfortable they would live by it because they had before. And I knew she had tremendous enthusiasm for doing this and I always like to hire people with enthusiasm because they work harder. Q. And so that serves as kind of the background
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	there won't be things that you can then if they are okay then the benefit to you is you'll have like an extra, you'll have an extra edge on everybody else that will benefit you, but you're going to have to agree to something that I know our news networks won't agree to, which is there may be things that you just can't do and she's got to separate her role as a lawyer and if she wants to share things with you, she will have to get my permission or one of my people. Now we had done that before and it had worked out really well, nothing had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	communication she had, OAN would be treated for that purpose the way any other news organization is, and then if she did develop a discrete, good story, she would have to run it past us so it didn't violate any of our rules or whatever. And I was pretty comfortable they would live by it because they had before. And I knew she had tremendous enthusiasm for doing this and I always like to hire people with enthusiasm because they work harder. Q. And so that serves as kind of the background A. That's how we got her. And she
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there won't be things that you can then if they are okay then the benefit to you is you'll have like an extra, you'll have an extra edge on everybody else that will benefit you, but you're going to have to agree to something that I know our news networks won't agree to, which is there may be things that you just can't do and she's got to separate her role as a lawyer and if she wants to share things with you, she will have to get my permission or one of my people. Now we had done that before and it had worked out really well, nothing had leaked, nothing had come out, nothing had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	communication she had, OAN would be treated for that purpose the way any other news organization is, and then if she did develop a discrete, good story, she would have to run it past us so it didn't violate any of our rules or whatever. And I was pretty comfortable they would live by it because they had before. And I knew she had tremendous enthusiasm for doing this and I always like to hire people with enthusiasm because they work harder. Q. And so that serves as kind of the background A. That's how we got her. And she got very active in gathering evidence and eventually ended up focusing a lot on Arizona, Michigan which she helped to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there won't be things that you can then if they are okay then the benefit to you is you'll have like an extra, you'll have an extra edge on everybody else that will benefit you, but you're going to have to agree to something that I know our news networks won't agree to, which is there may be things that you just can't do and she's got to separate her role as a lawyer and if she wants to share things with you, she will have to get my permission or one of my people. Now we had done that before and it had worked out really well, nothing had leaked, nothing had come out, nothing had been compromised and the situation you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	communication she had, OAN would be treated for that purpose the way any other news organization is, and then if she did develop a discrete, good story, she would have to run it past us so it didn't violate any of our rules or whatever. And I was pretty comfortable they would live by it because they had before. And I knew she had tremendous enthusiasm for doing this and I always like to hire people with enthusiasm because they work harder. Q. And so that serves as kind of the background A. That's how we got her. And she got very active in gathering evidence and eventually ended up focusing a lot on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there won't be things that you can then if they are okay then the benefit to you is you'll have like an extra, you'll have an extra edge on everybody else that will benefit you, but you're going to have to agree to something that I know our news networks won't agree to, which is there may be things that you just can't do and she's got to separate her role as a lawyer and if she wants to share things with you, she will have to get my permission or one of my people. Now we had done that before and it had worked out really well, nothing had leaked, nothing had come out, nothing had been compromised and the situation you gave was far more dangerous because there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	communication she had, OAN would be treated for that purpose the way any other news organization is, and then if she did develop a discrete, good story, she would have to run it past us so it didn't violate any of our rules or whatever. And I was pretty comfortable they would live by it because they had before. And I knew she had tremendous enthusiasm for doing this and I always like to hire people with enthusiasm because they work harder. Q. And so that serves as kind of the background A. That's how we got her. And she got very active in gathering evidence and eventually ended up focusing a lot on Arizona, Michigan which she helped to
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array} $	there won't be things that you can then if they are okay then the benefit to you is you'll have like an extra, you'll have an extra edge on everybody else that will benefit you, but you're going to have to agree to something that I know our news networks won't agree to, which is there may be things that you just can't do and she's got to separate her role as a lawyer and if she wants to share things with you, she will have to get my permission or one of my people. Now we had done that before and it had worked out really well, nothing had leaked, nothing had come out, nothing had been compromised and the situation you gave was far more dangerous because there was some risk to it which I was very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	communication she had, OAN would be treated for that purpose the way any other news organization is, and then if she did develop a discrete, good story, she would have to run it past us so it didn't violate any of our rules or whatever. And I was pretty comfortable they would live by it because they had before. And I knew she had tremendous enthusiasm for doing this and I always like to hire people with enthusiasm because they work harder. Q. And so that serves as kind of the background A. That's how we got her. And she got very active in gathering evidence and eventually ended up focusing a lot on Arizona, Michigan which she helped to clean up, Nevada.
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\\end{array} $	there won't be things that you can then if they are okay then the benefit to you is you'll have like an extra, you'll have an extra edge on everybody else that will benefit you, but you're going to have to agree to something that I know our news networks won't agree to, which is there may be things that you just can't do and she's got to separate her role as a lawyer and if she wants to share things with you, she will have to get my permission or one of my people. Now we had done that before and it had worked out really well, nothing had leaked, nothing had come out, nothing had been compromised and the situation you gave was far more dangerous because there was some risk to it which I was very impressed that Chanel was willing to take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	communication she had, OAN would be treated for that purpose the way any other news organization is, and then if she did develop a discrete, good story, she would have to run it past us so it didn't violate any of our rules or whatever. And I was pretty comfortable they would live by it because they had before. And I knew she had tremendous enthusiasm for doing this and I always like to hire people with enthusiasm because they work harder. Q. And so that serves as kind of the background A. That's how we got her. And she got very active in gathering evidence and eventually ended up focusing a lot on Arizona, Michigan which she helped to clean up, Nevada. Q. Okay.
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	there won't be things that you can then if they are okay then the benefit to you is you'll have like an extra, you'll have an extra edge on everybody else that will benefit you, but you're going to have to agree to something that I know our news networks won't agree to, which is there may be things that you just can't do and she's got to separate her role as a lawyer and if she wants to share things with you, she will have to get my permission or one of my people. Now we had done that before and it had worked out really well, nothing had leaked, nothing had come out, nothing had been compromised and the situation you gave was far more dangerous because there was some risk to it which I was very impressed that Chanel was willing to take so they promised and she came to work for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>communication she had, OAN would be treated for that purpose the way any other news organization is, and then if she did develop a discrete, good story, she would have to run it past us so it didn't violate any of our rules or whatever. And I was pretty comfortable they would live by it because they had before. And I knew she had tremendous enthusiasm for doing this and I always like to hire people with enthusiasm because they work harder.</li> <li>Q. And so that serves as kind of the background</li> <li>A. That's how we got her. And she got very active in gathering evidence and eventually ended up focusing a lot on Arizona, Michigan which she helped to clean up, Nevada.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Someplace else that I can't</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	there won't be things that you can then if they are okay then the benefit to you is you'll have like an extra, you'll have an extra edge on everybody else that will benefit you, but you're going to have to agree to something that I know our news networks won't agree to, which is there may be things that you just can't do and she's got to separate her role as a lawyer and if she wants to share things with you, she will have to get my permission or one of my people. Now we had done that before and it had worked out really well, nothing had leaked, nothing had come out, nothing had been compromised and the situation you gave was far more dangerous because there was some risk to it which I was very impressed that Chanel was willing to take so they promised and she came to work for us.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>communication she had, OAN would be treated for that purpose the way any other news organization is, and then if she did develop a discrete, good story, she would have to run it past us so it didn't violate any of our rules or whatever. And I was pretty comfortable they would live by it because they had before. And I knew she had tremendous enthusiasm for doing this and I always like to hire people with enthusiasm because they work harder.</li> <li>Q. And so that serves as kind of the background</li> <li>A. That's how we got her. And she got very active in gathering evidence and eventually ended up focusing a lot on Arizona, Michigan which she helped to clean up, Nevada.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Someplace else that I can't remember.</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	there won't be things that you can then if they are okay then the benefit to you is you'll have like an extra, you'll have an extra edge on everybody else that will benefit you, but you're going to have to agree to something that I know our news networks won't agree to, which is there may be things that you just can't do and she's got to separate her role as a lawyer and if she wants to share things with you, she will have to get my permission or one of my people. Now we had done that before and it had worked out really well, nothing had leaked, nothing had come out, nothing had been compromised and the situation you gave was far more dangerous because there was some risk to it which I was very impressed that Chanel was willing to take so they promised and she came to work for us. Q. When you say they promised, you had A. They said they would take her off	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>communication she had, OAN would be treated for that purpose the way any other news organization is, and then if she did develop a discrete, good story, she would have to run it past us so it didn't violate any of our rules or whatever. And I was pretty comfortable they would live by it because they had before. And I knew she had tremendous enthusiasm for doing this and I always like to hire people with enthusiasm because they work harder.</li> <li>Q. And so that serves as kind of the background</li> <li>A. That's how we got her. And she got very active in gathering evidence and eventually ended up focusing a lot on Arizona, Michigan which she helped to clean up, Nevada.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Someplace else that I can't remember.</li> <li>Q. And I take from your testimony the promise from Mr. Herring about what the relationship would be was just an oral</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	there won't be things that you can then if they are okay then the benefit to you is you'll have like an extra, you'll have an extra edge on everybody else that will benefit you, but you're going to have to agree to something that I know our news networks won't agree to, which is there may be things that you just can't do and she's got to separate her role as a lawyer and if she wants to share things with you, she will have to get my permission or one of my people. Now we had done that before and it had worked out really well, nothing had leaked, nothing had come out, nothing had been compromised and the situation you gave was far more dangerous because there was some risk to it which I was very impressed that Chanel was willing to take so they promised and she came to work for us. Q. When you say they promised, you had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>communication she had, OAN would be treated for that purpose the way any other news organization is, and then if she did develop a discrete, good story, she would have to run it past us so it didn't violate any of our rules or whatever. And I was pretty comfortable they would live by it because they had before. And I knew she had tremendous enthusiasm for doing this and I always like to hire people with enthusiasm because they work harder.</li> <li>Q. And so that serves as kind of the background</li> <li>A. That's how we got her. And she got very active in gathering evidence and eventually ended up focusing a lot on Arizona, Michigan which she helped to clean up, Nevada.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Someplace else that I can't remember.</li> <li>Q. And I take from your testimony the promise from Mr. Herring about what</li> </ul>

^{24 (}Pages 90 - 93)

	. TT
1 GIULIANI 1 GIULIAN	
2 agreement between 2 listen to a recording	?
3 A. It was, yes. 3 A. I did not.	
4 Q between you two, okay. 4 Q. Who told you	u there was a
5 And that agreement didn't 5 recording?	
6 expressly prevent her from reporting on 6 A. First of all I s	
	was a newspaper article
8 A. She had to get permission. 8 that said there was a	
	collection really fails
	ent a certain amount of
	not going to use the
	on that Sidney did but
13Now I'm going to go back to in13it isn't so far off that	
14 time a little bit in your testimony with 14 we are now devoting	
15 some follow-up questions, and forgive me, 15 Mr. Coomer than w	
16 we've really drilled down on the pre-press 16 Q. Right, your b	oill is going to be
17 conference period which I appreciate your 17 larger for this depos	sition than
18 testimony on. I'll give you an 18 A. No question,	no question. And
19 opportunity if you remember anything else 19 that happens. That's	s not unusual. That
20 before the press conference about 20 happens when you h	nave depositions and
21 Dr. Coomer's investigation to inform us 21 trials but I would sa	y I didn't see
	at we said and then some
23 the course of the deposition. 23 of the things that we	ere investigated
A. I just know there are two or 24 afterwards that came	e back to us, I didn't
25 three things, they are not of major 25 see a great deal of g	
Page 94	Page 96
1 GIULIANI 1 GIULIAN	NI
2 significance but they are I mean I 2 going to get in making	ing Mr. Coomer, you
	defendant of some kind.
4 statement. At the time that I heard this 4 He seemed to me like	ke when we focused on
5 and at the time that I made this 5 Dominion, we could	d see if we could try to
6 statement, I didn't have any reason to 6 convince him it wou	ald be good if he became
7 believe it wasn't true and I had a certain 7 a witness.	
8 group of facts that suggested that it was. 8 Q. Right.	
9 So I had I didn't have any doubt that 9 A. So we didn't	want to do this
10 it was a proper statement to make. It was 10 seems a little strang	e because we didn't
11 supportable by what I was told he said, by 11 want to do too much	h damage to him. So I
12 his sentiments that he relayed of bias and 12 mentioned him that	one time. I honestly
13 prejudice and then the companies he worked 13 cannot, just sitting h	here, I can't tell
14 for that clearly had the capability to do 14 you when else I men	ntioned him I have
	nuoneu mm. Thave
15 this. 15 a I wouldn't say I	didn't because then
15this.15a I wouldn't say I16Q. I didn't mean to re-ask a16I'd take the risk I'm	didn't because then
	didn't because then not telling the
16Q. I didn't mean to re-ask a16I'd take the risk I'm17question I asked previously. I think my17truth but I think I pr	didn't because then not telling the
16Q. I didn't mean to re-ask a16I'd take the risk I'm17question I asked previously. I think my17truth but I think I pr	didn't because then not telling the robably did talk n't remember some big
16Q. I didn't mean to re-ask a16I'd take the risk I'm17question I asked previously. I think my17truth but I think I pr18question was more geared towards anything18about him, but I dor	didn't because then not telling the obably did talk n't remember some big en I did it, and I do
16Q. I didn't mean to re-ask a16I'd take the risk I'm17question I asked previously. I think my17truth but I think I pr18question was more geared towards anything18about him, but I dor19else from a factual standpoint.19formal occasion whe20A. There probably are but right now20think he came up a dor	didn't because then not telling the obably did talk n't remember some big en I did it, and I do
16Q. I didn't mean to re-ask a16I'd take the risk I'm17question I asked previously. I think my17truth but I think I pr18question was more geared towards anything18about him, but I dor19else from a factual standpoint.19formal occasion wh20A. There probably are but right now20think he came up a d21I can't remember.21questions about who	didn't because then not telling the obably did talk n't remember some big en I did it, and I do couple times in
16Q. I didn't mean to re-ask a16I'd take the risk I'm17question I asked previously. I think my17truth but I think I pr18question was more geared towards anything18about him, but I dor19else from a factual standpoint.19formal occasion wh20A. There probably are but right now20think he came up a d21I can't remember.21questions about who	didn't because then not telling the robably did talk n't remember some big en I did it, and I do couple times in p is he and what did he would answer and then
16Q. I didn't mean to re-ask a16I'd take the risk I'm17question I asked previously. I think my17truth but I think I pr18question was more geared towards anything18about him, but I dor19else from a factual standpoint.19formal occasion wh20A. There probably are but right now20think he came up a d21I can't remember.21questions about who22Q. That's fine. At least twice in22do, but generally I w	didn't because then not telling the obably did talk n't remember some big en I did it, and I do couple times in to is he and what did he would answer and then ect that I was really
16Q. I didn't mean to re-ask a16I'd take the risk I'm17question I asked previously. I think my17truth but I think I pr18question was more geared towards anything17truth but I think I pr19else from a factual standpoint.18about him, but I dor20A. There probably are but right now20think he came up a c21I can't remember.21questions about who22Q. That's fine. At least twice in22do, but generally I w23your prior testimony you mentioned a23move on to the subj	didn't because then not telling the obably did talk n't remember some big en I did it, and I do couple times in b is he and what did he would answer and then ect that I was really about.

25 (Pages 94 - 97)

1			
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	A. He didn't become we didn't	2	House and Senate which comes from article
3	focus on him again until he sued.	3	1 has a certain reservation for Congress.
4	Q. Right. And to that point,	4	It says that the time and place will be
5	Mr. Giuliani, the campaign itself filed	5	set by the State legislature but from time
6	several lawsuits relating to the 2020	6	to time Congress can make rules, blah,
7	election; right?	7	blah, blah. But then it's very striking
8	A. Um-hum.	8	that when you go a little later in the
9	Q. And you were involved personally	9	Constitution and it says the State
10	I think in the one	10	legislature shall determine the electors
11	A. Pennsylvania.	11	and how they are selected, there's nothing
12	Q in Pennsylvania with the	12	there about Congress being able to change
13	federal judge there where you actually	13	it.
14	made an appearance.	14	So just by usual rules of
15	A. I did, sir.	15	construction that we all learned in law
16	Q. I have not seen any case filed by	16	school, that would be a pretty good
17	the Trump campaign that based its claim of	17	argument if they were going to include
18	election fraud on Dr. Coomer or anything	18	Congress, just a couple pages earlier they
19	about him. Is that	19	did, they would have done it. And then if
20	MR. SIBLEY: Object to form.	20	you go a little further and therefore want
21	BY MR. CAIN:	21	to eliminate the idea that it's kind of an
22	Q. Is that your understanding as	22	accident, there is discussion both in the
23	well?	23	debates, a little bit in the federalist
24	MR. SIBLEY: Same objection.	24	papers that it was a deliberate decision
25	THE WITNESS: I don't remember.	25	because they wanted the body closest to
	Page 98		Page 100
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	I don't remember if we did. There	2	the people to make this decision. After
3	came a point that our strategy changed	3	all we're picking the people's president,
4	so there would have been no reason to	4	not a king, so let's go to the lowest
5	do that, and I'd have to drill down a	5	1 1 1 . 1 .
	do thut, the fullate to this do the t	5	house, not the highest.
6	little bit farther to tell you exactly	6	And then all of a sudden you're
6 7			
	little bit farther to tell you exactly	6	And then all of a sudden you're
7	little bit farther to tell you exactly when that happened but we're talking	6 7	And then all of a sudden you're faced with this language, and I'm sorry, I
7 8	little bit farther to tell you exactly when that happened but we're talking about the press conference was on	6 7 8	And then all of a sudden you're faced with this language, and I'm sorry, I don't remember the name of the case, it
7 8 9	little bit farther to tell you exactly when that happened but we're talking about the press conference was on November	6 7 8 9	And then all of a sudden you're faced with this language, and I'm sorry, I don't remember the name of the case, it would be easy to find out, you have this
7 8 9 10	little bit farther to tell you exactly when that happened but we're talking about the press conference was on November BY MR. CAIN:	6 7 8 9 10	And then all of a sudden you're faced with this language, and I'm sorry, I don't remember the name of the case, it would be easy to find out, you have this very powerful case in 1895 in which the
7 8 9 10 11	little bit farther to tell you exactly when that happened but we're talking about the press conference was on November BY MR. CAIN: Q. 19th.	6 7 8 9 10 11	And then all of a sudden you're faced with this language, and I'm sorry, I don't remember the name of the case, it would be easy to find out, you have this very powerful case in 1895 in which the Supreme Court very clearly says the power
7 8 9 10 11 12	little bit farther to tell you exactly when that happened but we're talking about the press conference was on November BY MR. CAIN: Q. 19th. A. By December, certainly by	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	And then all of a sudden you're faced with this language, and I'm sorry, I don't remember the name of the case, it would be easy to find out, you have this very powerful case in 1895 in which the Supreme Court very clearly says the power to select the electors is given
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	little bit farther to tell you exactly when that happened but we're talking about the press conference was on November BY MR. CAIN: Q. 19th. A. By December, certainly by December we had sort of given up on the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And then all of a sudden you're faced with this language, and I'm sorry, I don't remember the name of the case, it would be easy to find out, you have this very powerful case in 1895 in which the Supreme Court very clearly says the power to select the electors is given exclusively to the State legislature. It
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	little bit farther to tell you exactly when that happened but we're talking about the press conference was on November BY MR. CAIN: Q. 19th. A. By December, certainly by December we had sort of given up on the courts and the idea was maybe maybe we	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And then all of a sudden you're faced with this language, and I'm sorry, I don't remember the name of the case, it would be easy to find out, you have this very powerful case in 1895 in which the Supreme Court very clearly says the power to select the electors is given exclusively to the State legislature. It is not shared, it's plenary and although
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	little bit farther to tell you exactly when that happened but we're talking about the press conference was on November BY MR. CAIN: Q. 19th. A. By December, certainly by December we had sort of given up on the courts and the idea was maybe maybe we had made a mistake and our valuation of	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And then all of a sudden you're faced with this language, and I'm sorry, I don't remember the name of the case, it would be easy to find out, you have this very powerful case in 1895 in which the Supreme Court very clearly says the power to select the electors is given exclusively to the State legislature. It is not shared, it's plenary and although it's been delegated, the delegation means
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	little bit farther to tell you exactly when that happened but we're talking about the press conference was on November BY MR. CAIN: Q. 19th. A. By December, certainly by December we had sort of given up on the courts and the idea was maybe maybe we had made a mistake and our valuation of the courts was kind of old-fashioned and	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And then all of a sudden you're faced with this language, and I'm sorry, I don't remember the name of the case, it would be easy to find out, you have this very powerful case in 1895 in which the Supreme Court very clearly says the power to select the electors is given exclusively to the State legislature. It is not shared, it's plenary and although it's been delegated, the delegation means nothing because they can take it back any
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	little bit farther to tell you exactly when that happened but we're talking about the press conference was on November BY MR. CAIN: Q. 19th. A. By December, certainly by December we had sort of given up on the courts and the idea was maybe maybe we had made a mistake and our valuation of the courts was kind of old-fashioned and where we should go is to the governmental	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And then all of a sudden you're faced with this language, and I'm sorry, I don't remember the name of the case, it would be easy to find out, you have this very powerful case in 1895 in which the Supreme Court very clearly says the power to select the electors is given exclusively to the State legislature. It is not shared, it's plenary and although it's been delegated, the delegation means nothing because they can take it back any time they want. It's not the kind of
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	little bit farther to tell you exactly when that happened but we're talking about the press conference was on November BY MR. CAIN: Q. 19th. A. By December, certainly by December we had sort of given up on the courts and the idea was maybe maybe we had made a mistake and our valuation of the courts was kind of old-fashioned and where we should go is to the governmental body that really has the power to make	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And then all of a sudden you're faced with this language, and I'm sorry, I don't remember the name of the case, it would be easy to find out, you have this very powerful case in 1895 in which the Supreme Court very clearly says the power to select the electors is given exclusively to the State legislature. It is not shared, it's plenary and although it's been delegated, the delegation means nothing because they can take it back any time they want. It's not the kind of power that you lose by delegating. These
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	little bit farther to tell you exactly when that happened but we're talking about the press conference was on November BY MR. CAIN: Q. 19th. A. By December, certainly by December we had sort of given up on the courts and the idea was maybe maybe we had made a mistake and our valuation of the courts was kind of old-fashioned and where we should go is to the governmental body that really has the power to make this decision, which is the State legislature because under the Constitution	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	And then all of a sudden you're faced with this language, and I'm sorry, I don't remember the name of the case, it would be easy to find out, you have this very powerful case in 1895 in which the Supreme Court very clearly says the power to select the electors is given exclusively to the State legislature. It is not shared, it's plenary and although it's been delegated, the delegation means nothing because they can take it back any time they want. It's not the kind of power that you lose by delegating. These comments were all made in 19 I'm going
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	little bit farther to tell you exactly when that happened but we're talking about the press conference was on November BY MR. CAIN: Q. 19th. A. By December, certainly by December we had sort of given up on the courts and the idea was maybe maybe we had made a mistake and our valuation of the courts was kind of old-fashioned and where we should go is to the governmental body that really has the power to make this decision, which is the State	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And then all of a sudden you're faced with this language, and I'm sorry, I don't remember the name of the case, it would be easy to find out, you have this very powerful case in 1895 in which the Supreme Court very clearly says the power to select the electors is given exclusively to the State legislature. It is not shared, it's plenary and although it's been delegated, the delegation means nothing because they can take it back any time they want. It's not the kind of power that you lose by delegating. These comments were all made in 19 I'm going to say '85, '88. I'm sorry, I don't
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	little bit farther to tell you exactly when that happened but we're talking about the press conference was on November BY MR. CAIN: Q. 19th. A. By December, certainly by December we had sort of given up on the courts and the idea was maybe maybe we had made a mistake and our valuation of the courts was kind of old-fashioned and where we should go is to the governmental body that really has the power to make this decision, which is the State legislature because under the Constitution of the United States, the plenary power to	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And then all of a sudden you're faced with this language, and I'm sorry, I don't remember the name of the case, it would be easy to find out, you have this very powerful case in 1895 in which the Supreme Court very clearly says the power to select the electors is given exclusively to the State legislature. It is not shared, it's plenary and although it's been delegated, the delegation means nothing because they can take it back any time they want. It's not the kind of power that you lose by delegating. These comments were all made in 19 I'm going to say '85, '88. I'm sorry, I don't remember the case. Just in case, it was a
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	little bit farther to tell you exactly when that happened but we're talking about the press conference was on November BY MR. CAIN: Q. 19th. A. By December, certainly by December we had sort of given up on the courts and the idea was maybe maybe we had made a mistake and our valuation of the courts was kind of old-fashioned and where we should go is to the governmental body that really has the power to make this decision, which is the State legislature because under the Constitution of the United States, the plenary power to determine the electors is not one that is	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And then all of a sudden you're faced with this language, and I'm sorry, I don't remember the name of the case, it would be easy to find out, you have this very powerful case in 1895 in which the Supreme Court very clearly says the power to select the electors is given exclusively to the State legislature. It is not shared, it's plenary and although it's been delegated, the delegation means nothing because they can take it back any time they want. It's not the kind of power that you lose by delegating. These comments were all made in 19 I'm going to say '85, '88. I'm sorry, I don't remember the case. Just in case, it was a long time ago and the court doesn't
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	little bit farther to tell you exactly when that happened but we're talking about the press conference was on November BY MR. CAIN: Q. 19th. A. By December, certainly by December we had sort of given up on the courts and the idea was maybe maybe we had made a mistake and our valuation of the courts was kind of old-fashioned and where we should go is to the governmental body that really has the power to make this decision, which is the State legislature because under the Constitution of the United States, the plenary power to determine the electors is not one that is shared with anyone. It's rare.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And then all of a sudden you're faced with this language, and I'm sorry, I don't remember the name of the case, it would be easy to find out, you have this very powerful case in 1895 in which the Supreme Court very clearly says the power to select the electors is given exclusively to the State legislature. It is not shared, it's plenary and although it's been delegated, the delegation means nothing because they can take it back any time they want. It's not the kind of power that you lose by delegating. These comments were all made in 19 I'm going to say '85, '88. I'm sorry, I don't remember the case. Just in case, it was a long time ago and the court doesn't believe that anymore, well in 2002, in
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	little bit farther to tell you exactly when that happened but we're talking about the press conference was on November BY MR. CAIN: Q. 19th. A. By December, certainly by December we had sort of given up on the courts and the idea was maybe maybe we had made a mistake and our valuation of the courts was kind of old-fashioned and where we should go is to the governmental body that really has the power to make this decision, which is the State legislature because under the Constitution of the United States, the plenary power to determine the electors is not one that is shared with anyone. It's rare. For example, the power to make	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	And then all of a sudden you're faced with this language, and I'm sorry, I don't remember the name of the case, it would be easy to find out, you have this very powerful case in 1895 in which the Supreme Court very clearly says the power to select the electors is given exclusively to the State legislature. It is not shared, it's plenary and although it's been delegated, the delegation means nothing because they can take it back any time they want. It's not the kind of power that you lose by delegating. These comments were all made in 19 I'm going to say '85, '88. I'm sorry, I don't remember the case. Just in case, it was a long time ago and the court doesn't believe that anymore, well in 2002, in Bush v. Gore, they approvingly cite the

^{26 (}Pages 98 - 101)

· ·			
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	cites the case as a statement of good law.	2	purpose.
3	Q. And you're explaining right now	3	A. No, I'm not. I'm just free
4	why I'm sorry.	4	associating which is probably silly. I'm
5	A. Go a little further with that and	5	sorry.
6	there's apparently been a lot of	6	Q. So I only have a limited amount
7	scholarship on this, from the very, very	7	of time.
8	beginning when they passed a law in 1877	8	A. You take me back to where we are.
9	which was intended to pick the president	9	Q. So where we were was I was asking
10	if there was a dispute, many scholars	10	you if the campaign based any of its
11	argued then and now that that was	11	lawsuits on Dr. Coomer's
12	unconstitutional, that it violated the	12	A. I guess the point I was trying to
13	Constitution, that it violated the	13	make in all of that, Dr. Coomer after that
14	Constitution in that it created new bodies	14	became kind of a small player. His
15	that were going to get involved in the	15	statement, his statement that they fixed
16	plenary power that was given to the House	16	the election now was one piece of one of
17	and you can't do that without amendment to	17	many ways in which they fixed the election
18	the Constitution. It said if there was a	18	which now was going to get proved by
19	dispute about electors, they had to	19	things much bigger than Dr. Coomer, like
20	separate into House, they had to separate	20	the Antrim audit which we actually it's
21	into House and Senate, and the Senate	21	one thing for him to say we fixed the
22	would then take a role in picking the	22	election, it's another thing to show
23	president.	23	conclusively, although we knew it.
24	Under the Constitution, the	24	So pretty quickly after the
25	Senate doesn't have a role in picking the	25	testimony had moved on to many more
	Page 102		Page 104
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	president, it goes to the House. So right	2	many more pieces of evidence that showed
3	from the beginning, that act, presidential	3	that Dominion, in fact, had manipulated
4	succession act or whatever they call it,	4	votes, changed votes, had the capacity to
5	was attacked as unconstitutional. It's	5	do it, things like the audit in Antrim
			-
6	never really been used. They almost used	6	County which people read for all different
6 7	never really been used. They almost used it in Bush v. Gore. None other than	7	County which people read for all different things. The thing I read it for is the
6 7 8	it in Bush v. Gore. None other than Laurence Tribe did an editorial, an op-ed		County which people read for all different things. The thing I read it for is the voting machines examined there came back
6 7 8 9	it in Bush v. Gore. None other than Laurence Tribe did an editorial, an op-ed piece, very prominent left wing democratic	7 8 9	County which people read for all different things. The thing I read it for is the voting machines examined there came back with something like an 80 percent
6 7 8 9 10	it in Bush v. Gore. None other than Laurence Tribe did an editorial, an op-ed piece, very prominent left wing democratic scholar and supporter of Hillary Clinton,	7 8 9 10	County which people read for all different things. The thing I read it for is the voting machines examined there came back with something like an 80 percent adjudication rate. What that means is
6 7 8 9 10 11	it in Bush v. Gore. None other than Laurence Tribe did an editorial, an op-ed piece, very prominent left wing democratic scholar and supporter of Hillary Clinton, wrote an article saying he thought it was	7 8 9 10 11	County which people read for all different things. The thing I read it for is the voting machines examined there came back with something like an 80 percent adjudication rate. What that means is that 80 percent of the people that went in
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	it in Bush v. Gore. None other than Laurence Tribe did an editorial, an op-ed piece, very prominent left wing democratic scholar and supporter of Hillary Clinton, wrote an article saying he thought it was unconstitutional.	7 8 9 10 11 12	County which people read for all different things. The thing I read it for is the voting machines examined there came back with something like an 80 percent adjudication rate. What that means is that 80 percent of the people that went in to vote have no assurance that the person
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	it in Bush v. Gore. None other than Laurence Tribe did an editorial, an op-ed piece, very prominent left wing democratic scholar and supporter of Hillary Clinton, wrote an article saying he thought it was unconstitutional. Somewhere around 2015, one of the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	County which people read for all different things. The thing I read it for is the voting machines examined there came back with something like an 80 percent adjudication rate. What that means is that 80 percent of the people that went in
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	it in Bush v. Gore. None other than Laurence Tribe did an editorial, an op-ed piece, very prominent left wing democratic scholar and supporter of Hillary Clinton, wrote an article saying he thought it was unconstitutional. Somewhere around 2015, one of the prominent, very prominent law reviews,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	County which people read for all different things. The thing I read it for is the voting machines examined there came back with something like an 80 percent adjudication rate. What that means is that 80 percent of the people that went in to vote have no assurance that the person they voted for actually got that vote because some government bureaucrat came
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	it in Bush v. Gore. None other than Laurence Tribe did an editorial, an op-ed piece, very prominent left wing democratic scholar and supporter of Hillary Clinton, wrote an article saying he thought it was unconstitutional. Somewhere around 2015, one of the prominent, very prominent law reviews, Georgetown, I think, wrote a very, very	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	County which people read for all different things. The thing I read it for is the voting machines examined there came back with something like an 80 percent adjudication rate. What that means is that 80 percent of the people that went in to vote have no assurance that the person they voted for actually got that vote
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	it in Bush v. Gore. None other than Laurence Tribe did an editorial, an op-ed piece, very prominent left wing democratic scholar and supporter of Hillary Clinton, wrote an article saying he thought it was unconstitutional. Somewhere around 2015, one of the prominent, very prominent law reviews, Georgetown, I think, wrote a very, very long article, very, very strongly arguing	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	County which people read for all different things. The thing I read it for is the voting machines examined there came back with something like an 80 percent adjudication rate. What that means is that 80 percent of the people that went in to vote have no assurance that the person they voted for actually got that vote because some government bureaucrat came
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it in Bush v. Gore. None other than Laurence Tribe did an editorial, an op-ed piece, very prominent left wing democratic scholar and supporter of Hillary Clinton, wrote an article saying he thought it was unconstitutional. Somewhere around 2015, one of the prominent, very prominent law reviews, Georgetown, I think, wrote a very, very long article, very, very strongly arguing it's not constitutional, that it can't be	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	County which people read for all different things. The thing I read it for is the voting machines examined there came back with something like an 80 percent adjudication rate. What that means is that 80 percent of the people that went in to vote have no assurance that the person they voted for actually got that vote because some government bureaucrat came along and decided it wasn't done carefully enough. That's an absurd number. They
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it in Bush v. Gore. None other than Laurence Tribe did an editorial, an op-ed piece, very prominent left wing democratic scholar and supporter of Hillary Clinton, wrote an article saying he thought it was unconstitutional. Somewhere around 2015, one of the prominent, very prominent law reviews, Georgetown, I think, wrote a very, very long article, very, very strongly arguing	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	County which people read for all different things. The thing I read it for is the voting machines examined there came back with something like an 80 percent adjudication rate. What that means is that 80 percent of the people that went in to vote have no assurance that the person they voted for actually got that vote because some government bureaucrat came along and decided it wasn't done carefully enough. That's an absurd number. They had 10 people walk in, they think they
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	it in Bush v. Gore. None other than Laurence Tribe did an editorial, an op-ed piece, very prominent left wing democratic scholar and supporter of Hillary Clinton, wrote an article saying he thought it was unconstitutional. Somewhere around 2015, one of the prominent, very prominent law reviews, Georgetown, I think, wrote a very, very long article, very, very strongly arguing it's not constitutional, that it can't be	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	County which people read for all different things. The thing I read it for is the voting machines examined there came back with something like an 80 percent adjudication rate. What that means is that 80 percent of the people that went in to vote have no assurance that the person they voted for actually got that vote because some government bureaucrat came along and decided it wasn't done carefully enough. That's an absurd number. They had 10 people walk in, they think they voted for Bush sorry, wrong case. They
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it in Bush v. Gore. None other than Laurence Tribe did an editorial, an op-ed piece, very prominent left wing democratic scholar and supporter of Hillary Clinton, wrote an article saying he thought it was unconstitutional. Somewhere around 2015, one of the prominent, very prominent law reviews, Georgetown, I think, wrote a very, very long article, very, very strongly arguing it's not constitutional, that it can't be fought and gave Congress a warning, you	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	County which people read for all different things. The thing I read it for is the voting machines examined there came back with something like an 80 percent adjudication rate. What that means is that 80 percent of the people that went in to vote have no assurance that the person they voted for actually got that vote because some government bureaucrat came along and decided it wasn't done carefully enough. That's an absurd number. They had 10 people walk in, they think they
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it in Bush v. Gore. None other than Laurence Tribe did an editorial, an op-ed piece, very prominent left wing democratic scholar and supporter of Hillary Clinton, wrote an article saying he thought it was unconstitutional. Somewhere around 2015, one of the prominent, very prominent law reviews, Georgetown, I think, wrote a very, very long article, very, very strongly arguing it's not constitutional, that it can't be fought and gave Congress a warning, you better straighten it out before we have to	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	County which people read for all different things. The thing I read it for is the voting machines examined there came back with something like an 80 percent adjudication rate. What that means is that 80 percent of the people that went in to vote have no assurance that the person they voted for actually got that vote because some government bureaucrat came along and decided it wasn't done carefully enough. That's an absurd number. They had 10 people walk in, they think they voted for Bush sorry, wrong case. They
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it in Bush v. Gore. None other than Laurence Tribe did an editorial, an op-ed piece, very prominent left wing democratic scholar and supporter of Hillary Clinton, wrote an article saying he thought it was unconstitutional. Somewhere around 2015, one of the prominent, very prominent law reviews, Georgetown, I think, wrote a very, very long article, very, very strongly arguing it's not constitutional, that it can't be fought and gave Congress a warning, you better straighten it out before we have to face the issue. Well, they didn't.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	County which people read for all different things. The thing I read it for is the voting machines examined there came back with something like an 80 percent adjudication rate. What that means is that 80 percent of the people that went in to vote have no assurance that the person they voted for actually got that vote because some government bureaucrat came along and decided it wasn't done carefully enough. That's an absurd number. They had 10 people walk in, they think they voted for Bush sorry, wrong case. They think they voted for Trump or they think
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it in Bush v. Gore. None other than Laurence Tribe did an editorial, an op-ed piece, very prominent left wing democratic scholar and supporter of Hillary Clinton, wrote an article saying he thought it was unconstitutional. Somewhere around 2015, one of the prominent, very prominent law reviews, Georgetown, I think, wrote a very, very long article, very, very strongly arguing it's not constitutional, that it can't be fought and gave Congress a warning, you better straighten it out before we have to face the issue. Well, they didn't. Q. May I interject just for a	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	County which people read for all different things. The thing I read it for is the voting machines examined there came back with something like an 80 percent adjudication rate. What that means is that 80 percent of the people that went in to vote have no assurance that the person they voted for actually got that vote because some government bureaucrat came along and decided it wasn't done carefully enough. That's an absurd number. They had 10 people walk in, they think they voted for Bush sorry, wrong case. They think they voted for Trump or they think they voted for Biden and we don't know who
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it in Bush v. Gore. None other than Laurence Tribe did an editorial, an op-ed piece, very prominent left wing democratic scholar and supporter of Hillary Clinton, wrote an article saying he thought it was unconstitutional. Somewhere around 2015, one of the prominent, very prominent law reviews, Georgetown, I think, wrote a very, very long article, very, very strongly arguing it's not constitutional, that it can't be fought and gave Congress a warning, you better straighten it out before we have to face the issue. Well, they didn't. Q. May I interject just for a second? I've lost the question. A. I know. Q. So you had said, and I'm sorry, I	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	County which people read for all different things. The thing I read it for is the voting machines examined there came back with something like an 80 percent adjudication rate. What that means is that 80 percent of the people that went in to vote have no assurance that the person they voted for actually got that vote because some government bureaucrat came along and decided it wasn't done carefully enough. That's an absurd number. They had 10 people walk in, they think they voted for Bush sorry, wrong case. They think they voted for Trump or they think they voted for Biden and we don't know who they voted for because some bureaucrat is
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it in Bush v. Gore. None other than Laurence Tribe did an editorial, an op-ed piece, very prominent left wing democratic scholar and supporter of Hillary Clinton, wrote an article saying he thought it was unconstitutional. Somewhere around 2015, one of the prominent, very prominent law reviews, Georgetown, I think, wrote a very, very long article, very, very strongly arguing it's not constitutional, that it can't be fought and gave Congress a warning, you better straighten it out before we have to face the issue. Well, they didn't. Q. May I interject just for a second? I've lost the question. A. I know.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	County which people read for all different things. The thing I read it for is the voting machines examined there came back with something like an 80 percent adjudication rate. What that means is that 80 percent of the people that went in to vote have no assurance that the person they voted for actually got that vote because some government bureaucrat came along and decided it wasn't done carefully enough. That's an absurd number. They had 10 people walk in, they think they voted for Bush sorry, wrong case. They think they voted for Trump or they think they voted for Biden and we don't know who they voted for because some bureaucrat is going to come along and say oh, they were

27 (Pages 102 - 105)

1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	got a bigger mark for Biden, let's give it	2	center in Philadelphia, the center in
3	to Biden. And from what I could tell at	3	Pittsburgh, the center in Michigan. Most
4	Antrim, those came out like eight to one,	4	of the analysis was Michigan.
5	like they weren't finding too many for	5	Q. So this was all before
6	Trump in a district that Trump had	6	A. The point
7	actually won but the Antrim machine	7	MR. SIBLEY: Let him finish his
8	somehow based on a glitch gave that to	8	question.
9	Biden by 3,000 votes, and they have never	9	THE WITNESS: The point is a week
10	explained what the glitch is. The report	10	after Coomer, two weeks after Coomer,
11	explains what the glitch is.	11	the thing has gone on way beyond his
12	The glitch is they put an	12	one comment that they fixed the
13	algorithm in so that for X number of votes	13	election. He turned out to be right
14	for Trump, Biden would get more votes and	14	but he was no longer the key player.
15	therefore, when they went back and checked	15	BY MR. CAIN:
16	it three times, it never balanced out. So	16	Q. Okay. The question focused on
17	the report is that that's clear evidence	17	whether, when you say he was no longer the
18	that in that county, the Dominion machine	18	key player, my question was whether he was
19	was used to fix the vote. And then it had	19	ever a player in the lawsuits that the
20	all the contradictions pointing out that	20	campaign filed. And I'll tell you this,
21	the president of Dominion lied, that it	20	I'll make this representation, I've got
$\frac{21}{22}$	was accessible to the Internet, that it	$\frac{21}{22}$	every lawsuit that has been filed by the
23	was used to communicate through the	23	campaign and we've analyzed them, we have
23	Internet, and that votes would change	23	not seen anything about Dr. Coomer
24	four, five and six times.	24	mentioned
23	Page 106	23	Page 108
	1 450 100		1 450 100
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	At that point you're sort of	2	A. You're talking about lawsuits
	At that point you're sort of beyond Coomer, you've got the president of		
2	At that point you're sort of beyond Coomer, you've got the president of the company convicting himself. I mean a	2	A. You're talking about lawsuits
2 3	At that point you're sort of beyond Coomer, you've got the president of	2 3	A. You're talking about lawsuits that come after the press conference?
2 3 4	At that point you're sort of beyond Coomer, you've got the president of the company convicting himself. I mean a	2 3 4	<ul><li>A. You're talking about lawsuits</li><li>that come after the press conference?</li><li>Q. Yes, sir. So</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	At that point you're sort of beyond Coomer, you've got the president of the company convicting himself. I mean a false exculpatory statement, I can't tell	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. You're talking about lawsuits that come after the press conference?</li><li>Q. Yes, sir. So</li><li>A. That doesn't surprise me.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	At that point you're sort of beyond Coomer, you've got the president of the company convicting himself. I mean a false exculpatory statement, I can't tell you how many people I've convicted with a	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. You're talking about lawsuits that come after the press conference?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. So</li> <li>A. That doesn't surprise me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's all I'm asking.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	At that point you're sort of beyond Coomer, you've got the president of the company convicting himself. I mean a false exculpatory statement, I can't tell you how many people I've convicted with a false exculpatory statement. That's an	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. You're talking about lawsuits that come after the press conference?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. So</li> <li>A. That doesn't surprise me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's all I'm asking.</li> <li>A. Kind of makes sense.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	At that point you're sort of beyond Coomer, you've got the president of the company convicting himself. I mean a false exculpatory statement, I can't tell you how many people I've convicted with a false exculpatory statement. That's an extraordinary statement to tell people,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. You're talking about lawsuits</li> <li>that come after the press conference?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. So</li> <li>A. That doesn't surprise me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's all I'm asking.</li> <li>A. Kind of makes sense.</li> <li>Q. You have now described at length</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	At that point you're sort of beyond Coomer, you've got the president of the company convicting himself. I mean a false exculpatory statement, I can't tell you how many people I've convicted with a false exculpatory statement. That's an extraordinary statement to tell people, the Michigan legislature, you can't access	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. You're talking about lawsuits</li> <li>that come after the press conference?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. So</li> <li>A. That doesn't surprise me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's all I'm asking.</li> <li>A. Kind of makes sense.</li> <li>Q. You have now described at length</li> <li>that you moved on to the State</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	At that point you're sort of beyond Coomer, you've got the president of the company convicting himself. I mean a false exculpatory statement, I can't tell you how many people I've convicted with a false exculpatory statement. That's an extraordinary statement to tell people, the Michigan legislature, you can't access it by Internet and you can't change the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. You're talking about lawsuits</li> <li>that come after the press conference?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. So</li> <li>A. That doesn't surprise me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's all I'm asking.</li> <li>A. Kind of makes sense.</li> <li>Q. You have now described at length</li> <li>that you moved on to the State</li> <li>legislature.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	At that point you're sort of beyond Coomer, you've got the president of the company convicting himself. I mean a false exculpatory statement, I can't tell you how many people I've convicted with a false exculpatory statement. That's an extraordinary statement to tell people, the Michigan legislature, you can't access it by Internet and you can't change the vote and then you've got videotape showing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. You're talking about lawsuits that come after the press conference?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. So</li> <li>A. That doesn't surprise me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's all I'm asking.</li> <li>A. Kind of makes sense.</li> <li>Q. You have now described at length that you moved on to the State legislature.</li> <li>A. The point of that statement was</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	At that point you're sort of beyond Coomer, you've got the president of the company convicting himself. I mean a false exculpatory statement, I can't tell you how many people I've convicted with a false exculpatory statement. That's an extraordinary statement to tell people, the Michigan legislature, you can't access it by Internet and you can't change the vote and then you've got videotape showing people doing that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. You're talking about lawsuits that come after the press conference?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. So</li> <li>A. That doesn't surprise me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's all I'm asking.</li> <li>A. Kind of makes sense.</li> <li>Q. You have now described at length that you moved on to the State legislature.</li> <li>A. The point of that statement was very, very revealing and very important.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	At that point you're sort of beyond Coomer, you've got the president of the company convicting himself. I mean a false exculpatory statement, I can't tell you how many people I've convicted with a false exculpatory statement. That's an extraordinary statement to tell people, the Michigan legislature, you can't access it by Internet and you can't change the vote and then you've got videotape showing people doing that. We also had acquired by that time the videotape from Georgia where a Georgia	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. You're talking about lawsuits that come after the press conference?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. So</li> <li>A. That doesn't surprise me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's all I'm asking.</li> <li>A. Kind of makes sense.</li> <li>Q. You have now described at length that you moved on to the State legislature.</li> <li>A. The point of that statement was very, very revealing and very important. It turned out that the multitude of evidence corroborating it was much</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	At that point you're sort of beyond Coomer, you've got the president of the company convicting himself. I mean a false exculpatory statement, I can't tell you how many people I've convicted with a false exculpatory statement. That's an extraordinary statement to tell people, the Michigan legislature, you can't access it by Internet and you can't change the vote and then you've got videotape showing people doing that. We also had acquired by that time the videotape from Georgia where a Georgia election official having gotten one of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. You're talking about lawsuits</li> <li>that come after the press conference?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. So</li> <li>A. That doesn't surprise me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's all I'm asking.</li> <li>A. Kind of makes sense.</li> <li>Q. You have now described at length</li> <li>that you moved on to the State</li> <li>legislature.</li> <li>A. The point of that statement was</li> <li>very, very revealing and very important.</li> <li>It turned out that the multitude of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	At that point you're sort of beyond Coomer, you've got the president of the company convicting himself. I mean a false exculpatory statement, I can't tell you how many people I've convicted with a false exculpatory statement. That's an extraordinary statement to tell people, the Michigan legislature, you can't access it by Internet and you can't change the vote and then you've got videotape showing people doing that. We also had acquired by that time the videotape from Georgia where a Georgia election official having gotten one of the machines shows you how to do it. You can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. You're talking about lawsuits that come after the press conference?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. So</li> <li>A. That doesn't surprise me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's all I'm asking.</li> <li>A. Kind of makes sense.</li> <li>Q. You have now described at length that you moved on to the State legislature.</li> <li>A. The point of that statement was very, very revealing and very important. It turned out that the multitude of evidence corroborating it was much stronger and came from more powerful sources.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	At that point you're sort of beyond Coomer, you've got the president of the company convicting himself. I mean a false exculpatory statement, I can't tell you how many people I've convicted with a false exculpatory statement. That's an extraordinary statement to tell people, the Michigan legislature, you can't access it by Internet and you can't change the vote and then you've got videotape showing people doing that. We also had acquired by that time the videotape from Georgia where a Georgia election official having gotten one of the machines shows you how to do it. You can actually see how you change the vote, how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. You're talking about lawsuits that come after the press conference?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. So</li> <li>A. That doesn't surprise me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's all I'm asking.</li> <li>A. Kind of makes sense.</li> <li>Q. You have now described at length that you moved on to the State legislature.</li> <li>A. The point of that statement was very, very revealing and very important. It turned out that the multitude of evidence corroborating it was much stronger and came from more powerful sources.</li> <li>Q. I don't understand that. The</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	At that point you're sort of beyond Coomer, you've got the president of the company convicting himself. I mean a false exculpatory statement, I can't tell you how many people I've convicted with a false exculpatory statement. That's an extraordinary statement to tell people, the Michigan legislature, you can't access it by Internet and you can't change the vote and then you've got videotape showing people doing that. We also had acquired by that time the videotape from Georgia where a Georgia election official having gotten one of the machines shows you how to do it. You can actually see how you change the vote, how you plug in the Internet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. You're talking about lawsuits that come after the press conference?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. So</li> <li>A. That doesn't surprise me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's all I'm asking.</li> <li>A. Kind of makes sense.</li> <li>Q. You have now described at length that you moved on to the State legislature.</li> <li>A. The point of that statement was very, very revealing and very important. It turned out that the multitude of evidence corroborating it was much stronger and came from more powerful sources.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	At that point you're sort of beyond Coomer, you've got the president of the company convicting himself. I mean a false exculpatory statement, I can't tell you how many people I've convicted with a false exculpatory statement. That's an extraordinary statement to tell people, the Michigan legislature, you can't access it by Internet and you can't change the vote and then you've got videotape showing people doing that. We also had acquired by that time the videotape from Georgia where a Georgia election official having gotten one of the machines shows you how to do it. You can actually see how you change the vote, how you plug in the Internet. I also seem to recall seeing from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. You're talking about lawsuits that come after the press conference?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. So</li> <li>A. That doesn't surprise me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's all I'm asking.</li> <li>A. Kind of makes sense.</li> <li>Q. You have now described at length that you moved on to the State legislature.</li> <li>A. The point of that statement was very, very revealing and very important. It turned out that the multitude of evidence corroborating it was much stronger and came from more powerful sources.</li> <li>Q. I don't understand that. The multitude of evidence corroborating the Coomer</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	At that point you're sort of beyond Coomer, you've got the president of the company convicting himself. I mean a false exculpatory statement, I can't tell you how many people I've convicted with a false exculpatory statement. That's an extraordinary statement to tell people, the Michigan legislature, you can't access it by Internet and you can't change the vote and then you've got videotape showing people doing that. We also had acquired by that time the videotape from Georgia where a Georgia election official having gotten one of the machines shows you how to do it. You can actually see how you change the vote, how you plug in the Internet. I also seem to recall seeing from Detroit photographs of Internet	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. You're talking about lawsuits that come after the press conference?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. So</li> <li>A. That doesn't surprise me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's all I'm asking.</li> <li>A. Kind of makes sense.</li> <li>Q. You have now described at length that you moved on to the State legislature.</li> <li>A. The point of that statement was very, very revealing and very important. It turned out that the multitude of evidence corroborating it was much stronger and came from more powerful sources.</li> <li>Q. I don't understand that. The multitude of evidence corroborating the Coomer</li> <li>A. When he said it, we hadn't had</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\\end{array} $	At that point you're sort of beyond Coomer, you've got the president of the company convicting himself. I mean a false exculpatory statement, I can't tell you how many people I've convicted with a false exculpatory statement. That's an extraordinary statement to tell people, the Michigan legislature, you can't access it by Internet and you can't change the vote and then you've got videotape showing people doing that. We also had acquired by that time the videotape from Georgia where a Georgia election official having gotten one of the machines shows you how to do it. You can actually see how you change the vote, how you plug in the Internet. I also seem to recall seeing from Detroit photographs of Internet connections to the machines that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. You're talking about lawsuits that come after the press conference?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. So</li> <li>A. That doesn't surprise me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's all I'm asking.</li> <li>A. Kind of makes sense.</li> <li>Q. You have now described at length that you moved on to the State legislature.</li> <li>A. The point of that statement was very, very revealing and very important. It turned out that the multitude of evidence corroborating it was much stronger and came from more powerful sources.</li> <li>Q. I don't understand that. The multitude of evidence corroborating the Coomer</li> <li>A. When he said it, we hadn't had the forensic report back yet.</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	At that point you're sort of beyond Coomer, you've got the president of the company convicting himself. I mean a false exculpatory statement, I can't tell you how many people I've convicted with a false exculpatory statement. That's an extraordinary statement to tell people, the Michigan legislature, you can't access it by Internet and you can't change the vote and then you've got videotape showing people doing that. We also had acquired by that time the videotape from Georgia where a Georgia election official having gotten one of the machines shows you how to do it. You can actually see how you change the vote, how you plug in the Internet. I also seem to recall seeing from Detroit photographs of Internet connections to the machines that supposedly can't be connected to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. You're talking about lawsuits that come after the press conference?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. So</li> <li>A. That doesn't surprise me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's all I'm asking.</li> <li>A. Kind of makes sense.</li> <li>Q. You have now described at length that you moved on to the State legislature.</li> <li>A. The point of that statement was very, very revealing and very important. It turned out that the multitude of evidence corroborating it was much stronger and came from more powerful sources.</li> <li>Q. I don't understand that. The multitude of evidence corroborating the Coomer</li> <li>A. When he said it, we hadn't had the forensic report back yet.</li> <li>Q. Which</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	At that point you're sort of beyond Coomer, you've got the president of the company convicting himself. I mean a false exculpatory statement, I can't tell you how many people I've convicted with a false exculpatory statement. That's an extraordinary statement to tell people, the Michigan legislature, you can't access it by Internet and you can't change the vote and then you've got videotape showing people doing that. We also had acquired by that time the videotape from Georgia where a Georgia election official having gotten one of the machines shows you how to do it. You can actually see how you change the vote, how you plug in the Internet. I also seem to recall seeing from Detroit photographs of Internet connections to the machines that supposedly can't be connected to the Internet, and Phil Waldron showed me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. You're talking about lawsuits that come after the press conference?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. So</li> <li>A. That doesn't surprise me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's all I'm asking.</li> <li>A. Kind of makes sense.</li> <li>Q. You have now described at length that you moved on to the State legislature.</li> <li>A. The point of that statement was very, very revealing and very important. It turned out that the multitude of evidence corroborating it was much stronger and came from more powerful sources.</li> <li>Q. I don't understand that. The multitude of evidence corroborating the Coomer</li> <li>A. When he said it, we hadn't had the forensic report back yet.</li> <li>Q. Which</li> <li>A. Financial.</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	At that point you're sort of beyond Coomer, you've got the president of the company convicting himself. I mean a false exculpatory statement, I can't tell you how many people I've convicted with a false exculpatory statement. That's an extraordinary statement to tell people, the Michigan legislature, you can't access it by Internet and you can't change the vote and then you've got videotape showing people doing that. We also had acquired by that time the videotape from Georgia where a Georgia election official having gotten one of the machines shows you how to do it. You can actually see how you change the vote, how you plug in the Internet. I also seem to recall seeing from Detroit photographs of Internet connections to the machines that supposedly can't be connected to the Internet, and Phil Waldron showed me papers that captured the level, high level	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. You're talking about lawsuits that come after the press conference?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. So</li> <li>A. That doesn't surprise me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's all I'm asking.</li> <li>A. Kind of makes sense.</li> <li>Q. You have now described at length that you moved on to the State legislature.</li> <li>A. The point of that statement was very, very revealing and very important. It turned out that the multitude of evidence corroborating it was much stronger and came from more powerful sources.</li> <li>Q. I don't understand that. The multitude of evidence corroborating the Coomer</li> <li>A. When he said it, we hadn't had the forensic report back yet.</li> <li>Q. Which</li> <li>A. Financial.</li> <li>Q. Well, you know that's been</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	At that point you're sort of beyond Coomer, you've got the president of the company convicting himself. I mean a false exculpatory statement, I can't tell you how many people I've convicted with a false exculpatory statement. That's an extraordinary statement to tell people, the Michigan legislature, you can't access it by Internet and you can't change the vote and then you've got videotape showing people doing that. We also had acquired by that time the videotape from Georgia where a Georgia election official having gotten one of the machines shows you how to do it. You can actually see how you change the vote, how you plug in the Internet. I also seem to recall seeing from Detroit photographs of Internet connections to the machines that supposedly can't be connected to the Internet, and Phil Waldron showed me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. You're talking about lawsuits that come after the press conference?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. So</li> <li>A. That doesn't surprise me.</li> <li>Q. Okay. That's all I'm asking.</li> <li>A. Kind of makes sense.</li> <li>Q. You have now described at length that you moved on to the State legislature.</li> <li>A. The point of that statement was very, very revealing and very important. It turned out that the multitude of evidence corroborating it was much stronger and came from more powerful sources.</li> <li>Q. I don't understand that. The multitude of evidence corroborating the Coomer</li> <li>A. When he said it, we hadn't had the forensic report back yet.</li> <li>Q. Which</li> <li>A. Financial.</li> </ul>

^{28 (}Pages 106 - 109)

1		1	
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	MR. SIBLEY: Object to form.	2	of how the vote changed. It doesn't give
3	THE WITNESS: It hasn't been	3	an explanation of how what happened,
4	debunked at all. That's a matter of	4	did the Holy Ghost come in and kind of
5	litigation that it's been debunked.	5	move the votes around or did somebody
6	Michigan republicans have done	6	change the machine? I think the second is
7	everything they can to try to cover	7	more likely.
8	this up.	8	It doesn't explain what the heck
9	BY MR. CAIN:	9	all those computer wires were doing
10	Q. Have you seen the report?	10	plugged into the machines in Detroit when
11	A. Of course I have.	11	you're not supposed to plug computer wires
12	Q. Why have they covered it up?	12	into the machines, into the Antrim
13	A. Everything they say there isn't	13	machines. It's a piece of junk that was
14	true, none of it's true. There wasn't a	14	produced to perpetrate Dominion's massive
15	glitch. They don't even locate the	15	coverup.
16	glitch. They just say it. It's like the	16	Q. And you're saying Dominion and/or
17	new 1984 we live in. The court in	17	Dr. Coomer were complicit in that?
18	Philadelphia, you walk in and you say the	18	A. I don't I can't tell you
19	law says we have to be present. Okay, you	19	Dr. Coomer was complicit in that. I don't
20	can be present. 70 yards away you don't	20	know how much his role continued after he
21	get to see anything. That isn't what	21	made that statement. As far as I know,
22	present means. That's what it means in	22	unless they are hiding him somewhere,
23	1984.	23	either he left or they tossed him out.
24	A good deal of the Michigan	24	Q. He was in the courthouse on
25	republican party is not in support of Page 110	25	Wednesday of this week waiting for one of Page 112
	1 age 110		I age 112
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	Donald Trump, has never been. They are	2	the defendants to testify who had been
2 3	Donald Trump, has never been. They are very much influenced by their loyalties	2 3	the defendants to testify who had been ordered to testify there who didn't show
2 3 4	Donald Trump, has never been. They are very much influenced by their loyalties to to the governor, former governor and	2 3 4	the defendants to testify who had been ordered to testify there who didn't show up, and that person is the guy you
2 3 4 5	Donald Trump, has never been. They are very much influenced by their loyalties to to the governor, former governor and the report is completely self-serving.	2 3 4 5	the defendants to testify who had been ordered to testify there who didn't show up, and that person is the guy you referred to earlier, I think incorrectly.
2 3 4 5 6	Donald Trump, has never been. They are very much influenced by their loyalties to to the governor, former governor and the report is completely self-serving. The report goes nowhere in explaining the	2 3 4 5 6	the defendants to testify who had been ordered to testify there who didn't show up, and that person is the guy you referred to earlier, I think incorrectly. His name is Joe Oltmann.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Donald Trump, has never been. They are very much influenced by their loyalties to to the governor, former governor and the report is completely self-serving. The report goes nowhere in explaining the key facts.	2 3 4 5 6 7	the defendants to testify who had been ordered to testify there who didn't show up, and that person is the guy you referred to earlier, I think incorrectly. His name is Joe Oltmann. MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Donald Trump, has never been. They are very much influenced by their loyalties to to the governor, former governor and the report is completely self-serving. The report goes nowhere in explaining the key facts. Q. Why	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the defendants to testify who had been ordered to testify there who didn't show up, and that person is the guy you referred to earlier, I think incorrectly. His name is Joe Oltmann. MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: What did I call
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Donald Trump, has never been. They are very much influenced by their loyalties to to the governor, former governor and the report is completely self-serving. The report goes nowhere in explaining the key facts. Q. Why A. Why would a machine, basic facts	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the defendants to testify who had been ordered to testify there who didn't show up, and that person is the guy you referred to earlier, I think incorrectly. His name is Joe Oltmann. MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: What did I call him?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Donald Trump, has never been. They are very much influenced by their loyalties to to the governor, former governor and the report is completely self-serving. The report goes nowhere in explaining the key facts. Q. Why A. Why would a machine, basic facts like why do you have a machine where you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the defendants to testify who had been ordered to testify there who didn't show up, and that person is the guy you referred to earlier, I think incorrectly. His name is Joe Oltmann. MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: What did I call him? BY MR. CAIN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Donald Trump, has never been. They are very much influenced by their loyalties to to the governor, former governor and the report is completely self-serving. The report goes nowhere in explaining the key facts. Q. Why A. Why would a machine, basic facts like why do you have a machine where you can change the vote and you've been and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the defendants to testify who had been ordered to testify there who didn't show up, and that person is the guy you referred to earlier, I think incorrectly. His name is Joe Oltmann. MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: What did I call him? BY MR. CAIN: Q. You said Joe Olzheimer. Do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Donald Trump, has never been. They are very much influenced by their loyalties to to the governor, former governor and the report is completely self-serving. The report goes nowhere in explaining the key facts. Q. Why A. Why would a machine, basic facts like why do you have a machine where you can change the vote and you've been and you've been and you've been selling it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the defendants to testify who had been ordered to testify there who didn't show up, and that person is the guy you referred to earlier, I think incorrectly. His name is Joe Oltmann. MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: What did I call him? BY MR. CAIN: Q. You said Joe Olzheimer. Do you know who I'm talking about?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Donald Trump, has never been. They are very much influenced by their loyalties to to the governor, former governor and the report is completely self-serving. The report goes nowhere in explaining the key facts. Q. Why A. Why would a machine, basic facts like why do you have a machine where you can change the vote and you've been and you've been and you've been selling it and lying to people that it can't be done?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the defendants to testify who had been ordered to testify there who didn't show up, and that person is the guy you referred to earlier, I think incorrectly. His name is Joe Oltmann. MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: What did I call him? BY MR. CAIN: Q. You said Joe Olzheimer. Do you know who I'm talking about? A. We're talking about the same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Donald Trump, has never been. They are very much influenced by their loyalties to to the governor, former governor and the report is completely self-serving. The report goes nowhere in explaining the key facts. Q. Why A. Why would a machine, basic facts like why do you have a machine where you can change the vote and you've been and you've been and you've been selling it and lying to people that it can't be done? How can you possibly tell people the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the defendants to testify who had been ordered to testify there who didn't show up, and that person is the guy you referred to earlier, I think incorrectly. His name is Joe Oltmann. MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: What did I call him? BY MR. CAIN: Q. You said Joe Olzheimer. Do you know who I'm talking about? A. We're talking about the same person.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Donald Trump, has never been. They are very much influenced by their loyalties to to the governor, former governor and the report is completely self-serving. The report goes nowhere in explaining the key facts. Q. Why A. Why would a machine, basic facts like why do you have a machine where you can change the vote and you've been and you've been and you've been selling it and lying to people that it can't be done? How can you possibly tell people the machine is invulnerable when every study	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>the defendants to testify who had been ordered to testify there who didn't show up, and that person is the guy you referred to earlier, I think incorrectly.</li> <li>His name is Joe Oltmann.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: What did I call him?</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. You said Joe Olzheimer. Do you know who I'm talking about?</li> <li>A. We're talking about the same person.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you met him, Oltmann?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Donald Trump, has never been. They are very much influenced by their loyalties to to the governor, former governor and the report is completely self-serving. The report goes nowhere in explaining the key facts. Q. Why A. Why would a machine, basic facts like why do you have a machine where you can change the vote and you've been and you've been and you've been selling it and lying to people that it can't be done? How can you possibly tell people the machine is invulnerable when every study ever done of it comes to the conclusion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>the defendants to testify who had been ordered to testify there who didn't show up, and that person is the guy you referred to earlier, I think incorrectly.</li> <li>His name is Joe Oltmann.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: What did I call him?</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. You said Joe Olzheimer. Do you know who I'm talking about?</li> <li>A. We're talking about the same person.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you met him, Oltmann?</li> <li>A. I don't believe I have but I'm</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Donald Trump, has never been. They are very much influenced by their loyalties to to the governor, former governor and the report is completely self-serving. The report goes nowhere in explaining the key facts. Q. Why A. Why would a machine, basic facts like why do you have a machine where you can change the vote and you've been and you've been and you've been selling it and lying to people that it can't be done? How can you possibly tell people the machine is invulnerable when every study ever done of it comes to the conclusion that it is either vulnerable or so bad	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>the defendants to testify who had been ordered to testify there who didn't show up, and that person is the guy you referred to earlier, I think incorrectly.</li> <li>His name is Joe Oltmann.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: What did I call him?</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. You said Joe Olzheimer. Do you know who I'm talking about?</li> <li>A. We're talking about the same person.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you met him, Oltmann?</li> <li>A. I don't believe I have but I'm not sure. I met a lot of people who came</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Donald Trump, has never been. They are very much influenced by their loyalties to to the governor, former governor and the report is completely self-serving. The report goes nowhere in explaining the key facts. Q. Why A. Why would a machine, basic facts like why do you have a machine where you can change the vote and you've been and you've been and you've been selling it and lying to people that it can't be done? How can you possibly tell people the machine is invulnerable when every study ever done of it comes to the conclusion that it is either vulnerable or so bad it's a piece of Swiss cheese? How does it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>the defendants to testify who had been ordered to testify there who didn't show up, and that person is the guy you referred to earlier, I think incorrectly.</li> <li>His name is Joe Oltmann. <ul> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: What did I call him?</li> </ul> </li> <li>BY MR. CAIN: <ul> <li>Q. You said Joe Olzheimer. Do you know who I'm talking about?</li> <li>A. We're talking about the same person.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you met him, Oltmann?</li> <li>A. I don't believe I have but I'm not sure. I met a lot of people who came by and said I gave you this, I gave you</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Donald Trump, has never been. They are very much influenced by their loyalties to to the governor, former governor and the report is completely self-serving. The report goes nowhere in explaining the key facts. Q. Why A. Why would a machine, basic facts like why do you have a machine where you can change the vote and you've been and you've been and you've been selling it and lying to people that it can't be done? How can you possibly tell people the machine is invulnerable when every study ever done of it comes to the conclusion that it is either vulnerable or so bad it's a piece of Swiss cheese? How does it deal with all of the major opportunities	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>the defendants to testify who had been ordered to testify there who didn't show up, and that person is the guy you referred to earlier, I think incorrectly.</li> <li>His name is Joe Oltmann.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: What did I call him?</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. You said Joe Olzheimer. Do you know who I'm talking about?</li> <li>A. We're talking about the same person.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you met him, Oltmann?</li> <li>A. I don't believe I have but I'm not sure. I met a lot of people who came by and said I gave you this, I gave you that.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Donald Trump, has never been. They are very much influenced by their loyalties to to the governor, former governor and the report is completely self-serving. The report goes nowhere in explaining the key facts. Q. Why A. Why would a machine, basic facts like why do you have a machine where you can change the vote and you've been and you've been and you've been selling it and lying to people that it can't be done? How can you possibly tell people the machine is invulnerable when every study ever done of it comes to the conclusion that it is either vulnerable or so bad it's a piece of Swiss cheese? How does it deal with all of the major opportunities that were revealed in Texas for invading	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>the defendants to testify who had been ordered to testify there who didn't show up, and that person is the guy you referred to earlier, I think incorrectly.</li> <li>His name is Joe Oltmann.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: What did I call him?</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. You said Joe Olzheimer. Do you know who I'm talking about?</li> <li>A. We're talking about the same person.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you met him, Oltmann?</li> <li>A. I don't believe I have but I'm not sure. I met a lot of people who came by and said I gave you this, I gave you that, I gave you this, I gave you that.</li> <li>If I've met him anytime but within the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Donald Trump, has never been. They are very much influenced by their loyalties to to the governor, former governor and the report is completely self-serving. The report goes nowhere in explaining the key facts. Q. Why A. Why would a machine, basic facts like why do you have a machine where you can change the vote and you've been and you've been and you've been selling it and lying to people that it can't be done? How can you possibly tell people the machine is invulnerable when every study ever done of it comes to the conclusion that it is either vulnerable or so bad it's a piece of Swiss cheese? How does it deal with all of the major opportunities that were revealed in Texas for invading the machine, all of which corroborate what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>the defendants to testify who had been ordered to testify there who didn't show up, and that person is the guy you referred to earlier, I think incorrectly.</li> <li>His name is Joe Oltmann.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: What did I call him?</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. You said Joe Olzheimer. Do you know who I'm talking about?</li> <li>A. We're talking about the same person.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you met him, Oltmann?</li> <li>A. I don't believe I have but I'm not sure. I met a lot of people who came by and said I gave you this, I gave you that. If I've met him anytime but within the two- or three-week period when he was in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Donald Trump, has never been. They are very much influenced by their loyalties to to the governor, former governor and the report is completely self-serving. The report goes nowhere in explaining the key facts. Q. Why A. Why would a machine, basic facts like why do you have a machine where you can change the vote and you've been and you've been and you've been selling it and lying to people that it can't be done? How can you possibly tell people the machine is invulnerable when every study ever done of it comes to the conclusion that it is either vulnerable or so bad it's a piece of Swiss cheese? How does it deal with all of the major opportunities that were revealed in Texas for invading the machine, all of which corroborate what was found in Antrim County and contradict	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>the defendants to testify who had been ordered to testify there who didn't show up, and that person is the guy you referred to earlier, I think incorrectly.</li> <li>His name is Joe Oltmann.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: What did I call him?</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. You said Joe Olzheimer. Do you know who I'm talking about?</li> <li>A. We're talking about the same person.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you met him, Oltmann?</li> <li>A. I don't believe I have but I'm not sure. I met a lot of people who came by and said I gave you this, I gave you that. If I've met him anytime but within the two- or three-week period when he was in the focus of my conversation, I wouldn't</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Donald Trump, has never been. They are very much influenced by their loyalties to to the governor, former governor and the report is completely self-serving. The report goes nowhere in explaining the key facts. Q. Why A. Why would a machine, basic facts like why do you have a machine where you can change the vote and you've been and you've been and you've been selling it and lying to people that it can't be done? How can you possibly tell people the machine is invulnerable when every study ever done of it comes to the conclusion that it is either vulnerable or so bad it's a piece of Swiss cheese? How does it deal with all of the major opportunities that were revealed in Texas for invading the machine, all of which corroborate what was found in Antrim County and contradict them?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the defendants to testify who had been ordered to testify there who didn't show up, and that person is the guy you referred to earlier, I think incorrectly. His name is Joe Oltmann.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: What did I call him?</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. You said Joe Olzheimer. Do you know who I'm talking about?</li> <li>A. We're talking about the same person.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you met him, Oltmann?</li> <li>A. I don't believe I have but I'm not sure. I met a lot of people who came by and said I gave you this, I gave you that. If I've met him anytime but within the two- or three-week period when he was in the focus of my conversation, I wouldn't remember.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Donald Trump, has never been. They are very much influenced by their loyalties to to the governor, former governor and the report is completely self-serving. The report goes nowhere in explaining the key facts. Q. Why A. Why would a machine, basic facts like why do you have a machine where you can change the vote and you've been and you've been and you've been selling it and lying to people that it can't be done? How can you possibly tell people the machine is invulnerable when every study ever done of it comes to the conclusion that it is either vulnerable or so bad it's a piece of Swiss cheese? How does it deal with all of the major opportunities that were revealed in Texas for invading the machine, all of which corroborate what was found in Antrim County and contradict them? Q. When you sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>the defendants to testify who had been ordered to testify there who didn't show up, and that person is the guy you referred to earlier, I think incorrectly.</li> <li>His name is Joe Oltmann.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: What did I call him?</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. You said Joe Olzheimer. Do you know who I'm talking about?</li> <li>A. We're talking about the same person.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you met him, Oltmann?</li> <li>A. I don't believe I have but I'm not sure. I met a lot of people who came by and said I gave you this, I gave you that, I gave you this, I gave you that, I gave you this, I gave you that the focus of my conversation, I wouldn't remember.</li> <li>Q. Did you review anything like an</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	Donald Trump, has never been. They are very much influenced by their loyalties to to the governor, former governor and the report is completely self-serving. The report goes nowhere in explaining the key facts. Q. Why A. Why would a machine, basic facts like why do you have a machine where you can change the vote and you've been and you've been and you've been selling it and lying to people that it can't be done? How can you possibly tell people the machine is invulnerable when every study ever done of it comes to the conclusion that it is either vulnerable or so bad it's a piece of Swiss cheese? How does it deal with all of the major opportunities that were revealed in Texas for invading the machine, all of which corroborate what was found in Antrim County and contradict them?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the defendants to testify who had been ordered to testify there who didn't show up, and that person is the guy you referred to earlier, I think incorrectly. His name is Joe Oltmann.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: What did I call him?</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. You said Joe Olzheimer. Do you know who I'm talking about?</li> <li>A. We're talking about the same person.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you met him, Oltmann?</li> <li>A. I don't believe I have but I'm not sure. I met a lot of people who came by and said I gave you this, I gave you that. If I've met him anytime but within the two- or three-week period when he was in the focus of my conversation, I wouldn't remember.</li> </ul>

29 (Pages 110 - 113)

1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	or anything like that as part of your	2	reason why I wouldn't say about him what I
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	investigation?	3	absolutely believe to be true. Absolutely
4	A. Before I made the statement?	4	not, and I have plenty of support for it.
5	Q. Yes, sir.	5	There wasn't a single thing that suggested
6	A. No, I saw no denial by him that	6	that it wasn't true, and we've got to deal
7	he made no denial from him that he made	7	with the time it was said.
8	the statement.	8	I can line up like 20 facts, all
9	Q. By Dr. Coomer?	9	of which make what he said plausible, that
10	A. I've seen denials after but the	10	he fixed that his company fixed the
11	critical point is at the time that I made	11	evidence, or fixed the case and that they
12	the statement, I don't see what it is,	12	were going to screw Trump, including one
13	particularly in my position as the lawyer	13	of the most important things you use in
14	for a client who should be seeing things	14	determining credibility which is motive
15	in the light most favorable to your	15	and ability.
16	client, why I would ever think that it	16	Did he have the motive to do it?
17	wasn't I shouldn't take it at its face.	17	Yeah, big time. Did he have the ability
18	Everything I knew suggested I should take	18	to do it or did his company have the
19	it at its face. Nothing I knew suggested	19	ability to do it? Absolutely.
20	that it was false.	20	Particularly the one that he came from.
21	Suppose he had made that	21	Q. I asked you for your theory on
22	statement and I looked at the history of	22	how that would be accomplished. You said
23	the company and I didn't see Sequoia	23	he had the ability or his company had the
24	there, I didn't see all the problems in	24	ability to do it. I assume you meant rig
25	Chicago. I didn't even mention the other	25	the election in some form or fashion, and
	Page 114		Page 116
1			
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	reports that I saw that they were involved	2	I haven't heard an answer to how Dominion
2 3	reports that I saw that they were involved in questionable elections in Argentina	2 3	I haven't heard an answer to how Dominion or Dr. Coomer would have done that.
2 3 4	reports that I saw that they were involved in questionable elections in Argentina elsewhere. Suppose what I saw instead was	2 3 4	I haven't heard an answer to how Dominion or Dr. Coomer would have done that. MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form.
2 3 4 5	reports that I saw that they were involved in questionable elections in Argentina elsewhere. Suppose what I saw instead was a big long record of a very, very clean	2 3 4 5	I haven't heard an answer to how Dominion or Dr. Coomer would have done that. MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Hypothetical as to
2 3 4 5 6	reports that I saw that they were involved in questionable elections in Argentina elsewhere. Suppose what I saw instead was a big long record of a very, very clean company that never had a question raised	2 3 4 5 6	I haven't heard an answer to how Dominion or Dr. Coomer would have done that. MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Hypothetical as to how they would do it? You go watch
2 3 4 5 6 7	reports that I saw that they were involved in questionable elections in Argentina elsewhere. Suppose what I saw instead was a big long record of a very, very clean company that never had a question raised about it, maybe you would say oh well.	2 3 4 5 6 7	I haven't heard an answer to how Dominion or Dr. Coomer would have done that. MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Hypothetical as to how they would do it? You go watch the movie.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	reports that I saw that they were involved in questionable elections in Argentina elsewhere. Suppose what I saw instead was a big long record of a very, very clean company that never had a question raised about it, maybe you would say oh well. Instead I see a record of a company that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I haven't heard an answer to how Dominion or Dr. Coomer would have done that. MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Hypothetical as to how they would do it? You go watch the movie. BY MR. CAIN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	reports that I saw that they were involved in questionable elections in Argentina elsewhere. Suppose what I saw instead was a big long record of a very, very clean company that never had a question raised about it, maybe you would say oh well. Instead I see a record of a company that looks like it smells to high heaven and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I haven't heard an answer to how Dominion or Dr. Coomer would have done that. MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Hypothetical as to how they would do it? You go watch the movie. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Oh, you're referring to Kill
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	reports that I saw that they were involved in questionable elections in Argentina elsewhere. Suppose what I saw instead was a big long record of a very, very clean company that never had a question raised about it, maybe you would say oh well. Instead I see a record of a company that looks like it smells to high heaven and I didn't see the kill, kill, kill, what's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I haven't heard an answer to how Dominion or Dr. Coomer would have done that. MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Hypothetical as to how they would do it? You go watch the movie. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Oh, you're referring to Kill Chain?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	reports that I saw that they were involved in questionable elections in Argentina elsewhere. Suppose what I saw instead was a big long record of a very, very clean company that never had a question raised about it, maybe you would say oh well. Instead I see a record of a company that looks like it smells to high heaven and I didn't see the kill, kill, kill, what's the name of that documentary, kill plan,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I haven't heard an answer to how Dominion or Dr. Coomer would have done that. MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Hypothetical as to how they would do it? You go watch the movie. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Oh, you're referring to Kill Chain? A. Or not to just Kill Chain, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	reports that I saw that they were involved in questionable elections in Argentina elsewhere. Suppose what I saw instead was a big long record of a very, very clean company that never had a question raised about it, maybe you would say oh well. Instead I see a record of a company that looks like it smells to high heaven and I didn't see the kill, kill, kill, what's the name of that documentary, kill plan, kill plan?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I haven't heard an answer to how Dominion or Dr. Coomer would have done that. MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Hypothetical as to how they would do it? You go watch the movie. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Oh, you're referring to Kill Chain? A. Or not to just Kill Chain, the people beforehand who told me how you do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	reports that I saw that they were involved in questionable elections in Argentina elsewhere. Suppose what I saw instead was a big long record of a very, very clean company that never had a question raised about it, maybe you would say oh well. Instead I see a record of a company that looks like it smells to high heaven and I didn't see the kill, kill, kill, what's the name of that documentary, kill plan, kill plan? MR. JOHNSON: Kill Chain.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I haven't heard an answer to how Dominion or Dr. Coomer would have done that. MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Hypothetical as to how they would do it? You go watch the movie. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Oh, you're referring to Kill Chain? A. Or not to just Kill Chain, the people beforehand who told me how you do it. Or the lady from Georgia, I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	reports that I saw that they were involved in questionable elections in Argentina elsewhere. Suppose what I saw instead was a big long record of a very, very clean company that never had a question raised about it, maybe you would say oh well. Instead I see a record of a company that looks like it smells to high heaven and I didn't see the kill, kill, kill, what's the name of that documentary, kill plan, kill plan? MR. JOHNSON: Kill Chain. A. I didn't see that beforehand.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I haven't heard an answer to how Dominion or Dr. Coomer would have done that. MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Hypothetical as to how they would do it? You go watch the movie. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Oh, you're referring to Kill Chain? A. Or not to just Kill Chain, the people beforehand who told me how you do it. Or the lady from Georgia, I don't know, 90 miles out of Atlanta who's got
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	reports that I saw that they were involved in questionable elections in Argentina elsewhere. Suppose what I saw instead was a big long record of a very, very clean company that never had a question raised about it, maybe you would say oh well. Instead I see a record of a company that looks like it smells to high heaven and I didn't see the kill, kill, kill, what's the name of that documentary, kill plan, kill plan? MR. JOHNSON: Kill Chain. A. I didn't see that beforehand. I'd been told about it. I'd been told	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I haven't heard an answer to how Dominion or Dr. Coomer would have done that. MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Hypothetical as to how they would do it? You go watch the movie. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Oh, you're referring to Kill Chain? A. Or not to just Kill Chain, the people beforehand who told me how you do it. Or the lady from Georgia, I don't know, 90 miles out of Atlanta who's got the machine and shows you how to change
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	reports that I saw that they were involved in questionable elections in Argentina elsewhere. Suppose what I saw instead was a big long record of a very, very clean company that never had a question raised about it, maybe you would say oh well. Instead I see a record of a company that looks like it smells to high heaven and I didn't see the kill, kill, kill, what's the name of that documentary, kill plan, kill plan? MR. JOHNSON: Kill Chain. A. I didn't see that beforehand. I'd been told about it. I'd been told that that was true but if you go to Kill	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I haven't heard an answer to how Dominion or Dr. Coomer would have done that. MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Hypothetical as to how they would do it? You go watch the movie. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Oh, you're referring to Kill Chain? A. Or not to just Kill Chain, the people beforehand who told me how you do it. Or the lady from Georgia, I don't know, 90 miles out of Atlanta who's got the machine and shows you how to change the vote. She shows you three times she
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	reports that I saw that they were involved in questionable elections in Argentina elsewhere. Suppose what I saw instead was a big long record of a very, very clean company that never had a question raised about it, maybe you would say oh well. Instead I see a record of a company that looks like it smells to high heaven and I didn't see the kill, kill, kill, what's the name of that documentary, kill plan, kill plan? MR. JOHNSON: Kill Chain. A. I didn't see that beforehand. I'd been told about it. I'd been told that that was true but if you go to Kill Chain, you can see them do it in broad	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I haven't heard an answer to how Dominion or Dr. Coomer would have done that. MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Hypothetical as to how they would do it? You go watch the movie. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Oh, you're referring to Kill Chain? A. Or not to just Kill Chain, the people beforehand who told me how you do it. Or the lady from Georgia, I don't know, 90 miles out of Atlanta who's got the machine and shows you how to change the vote. She shows you three times she does the vote and each time it comes out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reports that I saw that they were involved in questionable elections in Argentina elsewhere. Suppose what I saw instead was a big long record of a very, very clean company that never had a question raised about it, maybe you would say oh well. Instead I see a record of a company that looks like it smells to high heaven and I didn't see the kill, kill, kill, what's the name of that documentary, kill plan, kill plan? MR. JOHNSON: Kill Chain. A. I didn't see that beforehand. I'd been told about it. I'd been told that that was true but if you go to Kill Chain, you can see them do it in broad daylight and they bring out the whole	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I haven't heard an answer to how Dominion or Dr. Coomer would have done that. MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Hypothetical as to how they would do it? You go watch the movie. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Oh, you're referring to Kill Chain? A. Or not to just Kill Chain, the people beforehand who told me how you do it. Or the lady from Georgia, I don't know, 90 miles out of Atlanta who's got the machine and shows you how to change the vote. She shows you three times she does the vote and each time it comes out different, or all the wires I saw plugged
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	reports that I saw that they were involved in questionable elections in Argentina elsewhere. Suppose what I saw instead was a big long record of a very, very clean company that never had a question raised about it, maybe you would say oh well. Instead I see a record of a company that looks like it smells to high heaven and I didn't see the kill, kill, what's the name of that documentary, kill plan, kill plan? MR. JOHNSON: Kill Chain. A. I didn't see that beforehand. I'd been told about it. I'd been told that that was true but if you go to Kill Chain, you can see them do it in broad daylight and they bring out the whole history that I knew a year earlier so I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I haven't heard an answer to how Dominion or Dr. Coomer would have done that. MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Hypothetical as to how they would do it? You go watch the movie. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Oh, you're referring to Kill Chain? A. Or not to just Kill Chain, the people beforehand who told me how you do it. Or the lady from Georgia, I don't know, 90 miles out of Atlanta who's got the machine and shows you how to change the vote. She shows you three times she does the vote and each time it comes out different, or all the wires I saw plugged into the Internet, or all the capture I
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	reports that I saw that they were involved in questionable elections in Argentina elsewhere. Suppose what I saw instead was a big long record of a very, very clean company that never had a question raised about it, maybe you would say oh well. Instead I see a record of a company that looks like it smells to high heaven and I didn't see the kill, kill, what's the name of that documentary, kill plan, kill plan? MR. JOHNSON: Kill Chain. A. I didn't see that beforehand. I'd been told about it. I'd been told that that was true but if you go to Kill Chain, you can see them do it in broad daylight and they bring out the whole history that I knew a year earlier so I don't understand what he's complaining	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       3 \\       4 \\       5 \\       6 \\       7 \\       8 \\       9 \\       10 \\       11 \\       12 \\       13 \\       14 \\       15 \\       16 \\       17 \\       18 \\       19 \\       20 \\     \end{array} $	I haven't heard an answer to how Dominion or Dr. Coomer would have done that. MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Hypothetical as to how they would do it? You go watch the movie. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Oh, you're referring to Kill Chain? A. Or not to just Kill Chain, the people beforehand who told me how you do it. Or the lady from Georgia, I don't know, 90 miles out of Atlanta who's got the machine and shows you how to change the vote. She shows you three times she does the vote and each time it comes out different, or all the wires I saw plugged into the Internet, or all the capture I saw of the Internet traffic coming in and
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	reports that I saw that they were involved in questionable elections in Argentina elsewhere. Suppose what I saw instead was a big long record of a very, very clean company that never had a question raised about it, maybe you would say oh well. Instead I see a record of a company that looks like it smells to high heaven and I didn't see the kill, kill, kill, what's the name of that documentary, kill plan, kill plan? MR. JOHNSON: Kill Chain. A. I didn't see that beforehand. I'd been told about it. I'd been told that that was true but if you go to Kill Chain, you can see them do it in broad daylight and they bring out the whole history that I knew a year earlier so I don't understand what he's complaining about.	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \end{array}$	I haven't heard an answer to how Dominion or Dr. Coomer would have done that. MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Hypothetical as to how they would do it? You go watch the movie. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Oh, you're referring to Kill Chain? A. Or not to just Kill Chain, the people beforehand who told me how you do it. Or the lady from Georgia, I don't know, 90 miles out of Atlanta who's got the machine and shows you how to change the vote. She shows you three times she does the vote and each time it comes out different, or all the wires I saw plugged into the Internet, or all the capture I saw of the Internet traffic coming in and out of those machines, some of it headed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	reports that I saw that they were involved in questionable elections in Argentina elsewhere. Suppose what I saw instead was a big long record of a very, very clean company that never had a question raised about it, maybe you would say oh well. Instead I see a record of a company that looks like it smells to high heaven and I didn't see the kill, kill, what's the name of that documentary, kill plan, kill plan? MR. JOHNSON: Kill Chain. A. I didn't see that beforehand. I'd been told about it. I'd been told that that was true but if you go to Kill Chain, you can see them do it in broad daylight and they bring out the whole history that I knew a year earlier so I don't understand what he's complaining about. Q. You don't understand what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I haven't heard an answer to how Dominion or Dr. Coomer would have done that. MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Hypothetical as to how they would do it? You go watch the movie. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Oh, you're referring to Kill Chain? A. Or not to just Kill Chain, the people beforehand who told me how you do it. Or the lady from Georgia, I don't know, 90 miles out of Atlanta who's got the machine and shows you how to change the vote. She shows you three times she does the vote and each time it comes out different, or all the wires I saw plugged into the Internet, or all the capture I saw of the Internet traffic coming in and out of those machines, some of it headed to Germany, traced, when the company
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reports that I saw that they were involved in questionable elections in Argentina elsewhere. Suppose what I saw instead was a big long record of a very, very clean company that never had a question raised about it, maybe you would say oh well. Instead I see a record of a company that looks like it smells to high heaven and I didn't see the kill, kill, what's the name of that documentary, kill plan, kill plan? MR. JOHNSON: Kill Chain. A. I didn't see that beforehand. I'd been told about it. I'd been told that that was true but if you go to Kill Chain, you can see them do it in broad daylight and they bring out the whole history that I knew a year earlier so I don't understand what he's complaining about. Q. You don't understand what Dr. Coomer is complaining about?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I haven't heard an answer to how Dominion or Dr. Coomer would have done that. MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Hypothetical as to how they would do it? You go watch the movie. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Oh, you're referring to Kill Chain? A. Or not to just Kill Chain, the people beforehand who told me how you do it. Or the lady from Georgia, I don't know, 90 miles out of Atlanta who's got the machine and shows you how to change the vote. She shows you three times she does the vote and each time it comes out different, or all the wires I saw plugged into the Internet, or all the capture I saw of the Internet traffic coming in and out of those machines, some of it headed to Germany, traced, when the company maintains it's not vulnerable.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	reports that I saw that they were involved in questionable elections in Argentina elsewhere. Suppose what I saw instead was a big long record of a very, very clean company that never had a question raised about it, maybe you would say oh well. Instead I see a record of a company that looks like it smells to high heaven and I didn't see the kill, kill, what's the name of that documentary, kill plan, kill plan? MR. JOHNSON: Kill Chain. A. I didn't see that beforehand. I'd been told about it. I'd been told that that was true but if you go to Kill Chain, you can see them do it in broad daylight and they bring out the whole history that I knew a year earlier so I don't understand what he's complaining about. Q. You don't understand what Dr. Coomer is complaining about? A. There would be no reason, there	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	I haven't heard an answer to how Dominion or Dr. Coomer would have done that. MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Hypothetical as to how they would do it? You go watch the movie. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Oh, you're referring to Kill Chain? A. Or not to just Kill Chain, the people beforehand who told me how you do it. Or the lady from Georgia, I don't know, 90 miles out of Atlanta who's got the machine and shows you how to change the vote. She shows you three times she does the vote and each time it comes out different, or all the wires I saw plugged into the Internet traffic coming in and out of those machines, some of it headed to Germany, traced, when the company maintains it's not vulnerable. Q. Who showed you the German
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	reports that I saw that they were involved in questionable elections in Argentina elsewhere. Suppose what I saw instead was a big long record of a very, very clean company that never had a question raised about it, maybe you would say oh well. Instead I see a record of a company that looks like it smells to high heaven and I didn't see the kill, kill, what's the name of that documentary, kill plan, kill plan? MR. JOHNSON: Kill Chain. A. I didn't see that beforehand. I'd been told about it. I'd been told that that was true but if you go to Kill Chain, you can see them do it in broad daylight and they bring out the whole history that I knew a year earlier so I don't understand what he's complaining about. Q. You don't understand what Dr. Coomer is complaining about?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I haven't heard an answer to how Dominion or Dr. Coomer would have done that. MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Hypothetical as to how they would do it? You go watch the movie. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Oh, you're referring to Kill Chain? A. Or not to just Kill Chain, the people beforehand who told me how you do it. Or the lady from Georgia, I don't know, 90 miles out of Atlanta who's got the machine and shows you how to change the vote. She shows you three times she does the vote and each time it comes out different, or all the wires I saw plugged into the Internet, or all the capture I saw of the Internet traffic coming in and out of those machines, some of it headed to Germany, traced, when the company maintains it's not vulnerable.

^{30 (}Pages 114 - 117)

1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	A. Phil.	2	Q. Okay.
3	Q. Phil did?	3	A. I can't tell you if somebody on
4	A. I think it was during the	4	the team didn't interview him.
5	let's see how it fits in the chronology.	5	Q. And you've mentioned the word
6	I knew about it, I think we presented it	6	credibility.
7	in Michigan because that's where it was	7	A. I think somebody interviewed him.
8	I sort of thought of Michigan as the	8	Q. You said the story was credible
9	center of the Dominion fraud and so we	9	and then you gave your reasons.
10	focused on all of the Internet traffic in	10	Do you have any knowledge about
11	and out of there, it was substantial, and	11	this source, Mr. Oltmann, that led you to
12	that's the one that was traced to Germany	12	believe he was credible?
13	and then traced to a main mass computer in	13	A. No, I didn't have any information
14	Frankfurt, Germany that has since	14	that he wasn't. I mean the way it works
15	disappeared. Not there anymore. Flew	15	in a fast-moving case, I used to do liable
16	away.	16	cases, right, it's not my job in a
17	These are all great facts for an	17	fast-moving case to go out and investigate
18	ex-prosecutor. There would be no if my	18	every piece of evidence that's given to
19	client came to me and said this is what I	19	me, otherwise you're never going to write
20	know about this guy, under prevailing law	20	a story, you never come to a conclusion.
21	that protects the first amendment and can	21	There was nothing presented to me
22	you make that statement, I would have said	22	that suggested that he wasn't telling the
23	yeah, absolutely. Every fact corroborates	23	truth and it wasn't a situation where I
24	it. I don't see a fact that doesn't.	24	was the head of the FBI and I could go
25	Q. Did	25	subpoena his records, his files, send out
	Page 118		Page 120
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	A. You're at a very early stage of	2	five agents and go see him. I had to rely
3	the proceeding. It's completely	3	on what I was getting and what I was
4	inconsistent to expect a much further	4	getting was the picture of a man who hated
5	investigation two weeks into your	5	the president, sure as heck seems like the
6	investigation when you're getting ready to	6	kind of guy that would love to see a case
7	put cases together. We had every	7	fixed, works for a company that has a
8	intention of putting them in a case at	8	reputation for fixing cases that has the
9	that point. The only thing that changed	9	capacity to do it. He works for the worst
10	that was the change in strategy.	10	company you can work for, Sequoia, the one
11	Soon after, that the strategy	11	that was tossed out. Why the heck
12	changed because we acquired more evidence	12	wouldn't I believe him? I would have to
13	and we were convinced that we would get	13	have been a terrible lawyer that would
14	nowhere in the courts and that we had to	14	like to exercise rather than giving my
15	go we had to go and that the courts	15	client the benefit of the doubt, I'd like
16	didn't really have the role of fixing	16	to exercise every single thing I could
17	this, the Constitution had given that role	17	against my client, gee, let's go find out
18	to the State legislatures.	18	it's untrue. I didn't have the time to do
19	Q. Maybe it's where you weren't	19	that, and there was nothing that said to
20	looking that matters to my client. In the	20	me I should do it. There's no red flag
21	sense of Mr. Oltmann who says he was on	21	that came up that said wait a second, this
22	this call, I take it from your testimony	22	guy is a boy scout.
23	you or your team didn't interview him	23	I'm constrained by time.
24	about that call?	24	Constrained by time, I don't mean the
25	A T 1' 1 1/ ' ' 1'	07	
	A. I didn't interview him. Page 119	25	press conference time, I mean the Page 121

31 (Pages 118 - 121)

		-	
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	realistic time. The caucus had already	2	to you at the press conference, don't say
3	run it, the election's over, press is	3	anything about Dr. Coomer.
4	closing down, the censorship is	4	MR. ZAKHEM: Is that a question?
5	unconstitutional, oppressive, almost	5	BY MR. CAIN:
6	fascist in the way it was done. You	6	Q. Did you consider that option?
7	couldn't get on NBC, you couldn't get on	7	MR. SIBLEY: Objection to form.
8	CBS, because they decided this shouldn't	8	THE WITNESS: It was my
9	be presented and they knew none of the	9	obligation at that time to give the
10	facts. All they knew was we're going to	10	public all the facts that I had
11	screw Trump and we're going to put Biden	11	because we had had an unprecedented
12	in. I wasn't living in a dream world, I	12	three weeks of censorship unheard of
13	was living in the real world we live in.	13	in the United States which had
14	Q. Okay. Let's do this. I	14	followed three months of censorship on
15	A. If I wanted to hurt him	15	the Hunter Biden hard drive, which the
16	unnecessarily I would have mentioned him	16	American people elected a president
17	all the time way beyond what the facts	17	without knowing the complete evidence
18	indicated. I didn't do that. I mentioned	18	of how he was engaged for 30 years of
19	him proportionately based on the	19	taking bribes through his son, which
20	information I had and there wasn't a	20	his son spells out in great deal in
21	single bit of information to suggest that	21	the hard drive and the American people
22	it wasn't true.	22	have never seen it. The son points
23	Now if you tell me, give me five	23	out that for 30 years he collected
24	weeks to investigate it, or you give me	24	money for his father and he gave him
25	the resources of the FBI, then maybe I	25	half of it. Very few people know that
	Page 122		Page 124
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	could have done something else but I don't	2	because NBC, ABC, CBS, all the other
3	think it's fair to say oh gee, you didn't	3	BB's numbers, The New York Times, the
4	conduct a big contrary investigation of	4	New York Post, almost every major
4			
45	him. I don't have the time to do it, I	5	newspaper but the New York Post, every
			• • • 5
5	him. I don't have the time to do it, I	5	newspaper but the New York Post, every
5 6	him. I don't have the time to do it, I don't have the resources to do it and	5 6	newspaper but the New York Post, every one of the cable stations except FOX,
5 6 7	him. I don't have the time to do it, I don't have the resources to do it and there was nothing that said to me or I	5 6 7	newspaper but the New York Post, every one of the cable stations except FOX, OAN and Newsmax refused to print the
5 6 7 8	him. I don't have the time to do it, I don't have the resources to do it and there was nothing that said to me or I would have done it. Nothing that said to	5 6 7 8	newspaper but the New York Post, every one of the cable stations except FOX, OAN and Newsmax refused to print the words of Hunter Biden and instead
5 6 7 8 9	him. I don't have the time to do it, I don't have the resources to do it and there was nothing that said to me or I would have done it. Nothing that said to me, oh this guy this is incredible, it	5 6 7 8 9	newspaper but the New York Post, every one of the cable stations except FOX, OAN and Newsmax refused to print the words of Hunter Biden and instead created the completely false story
5 6 7 8 9 10	him. I don't have the time to do it, I don't have the resources to do it and there was nothing that said to me or I would have done it. Nothing that said to me, oh this guy this is incredible, it doesn't make sense, this guy isn't this	5 6 7 8 9 10	newspaper but the New York Post, every one of the cable stations except FOX, OAN and Newsmax refused to print the words of Hunter Biden and instead created the completely false story that it was Russian disinformation
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	him. I don't have the time to do it, I don't have the resources to do it and there was nothing that said to me or I would have done it. Nothing that said to me, oh this guy this is incredible, it doesn't make sense, this guy isn't this kind of guy. I don't know, he worked for	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	newspaper but the New York Post, every one of the cable stations except FOX, OAN and Newsmax refused to print the words of Hunter Biden and instead created the completely false story that it was Russian disinformation which has been completely
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	him. I don't have the time to do it, I don't have the resources to do it and there was nothing that said to me or I would have done it. Nothing that said to me, oh this guy this is incredible, it doesn't make sense, this guy isn't this kind of guy. I don't know, he worked for a company that was a screwed up company,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	newspaper but the New York Post, every one of the cable stations except FOX, OAN and Newsmax refused to print the words of Hunter Biden and instead created the completely false story that it was Russian disinformation which has been completely BY MR. CAIN:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	him. I don't have the time to do it, I don't have the resources to do it and there was nothing that said to me or I would have done it. Nothing that said to me, oh this guy this is incredible, it doesn't make sense, this guy isn't this kind of guy. I don't know, he worked for a company that was a screwed up company, or this guy really seems neutral about	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	newspaper but the New York Post, every one of the cable stations except FOX, OAN and Newsmax refused to print the words of Hunter Biden and instead created the completely false story that it was Russian disinformation which has been completely BY MR. CAIN: Q. Sir, we're not talking about
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	him. I don't have the time to do it, I don't have the resources to do it and there was nothing that said to me or I would have done it. Nothing that said to me, oh this guy this is incredible, it doesn't make sense, this guy isn't this kind of guy. I don't know, he worked for a company that was a screwed up company, or this guy really seems neutral about politics or he likes Trump, why the hell	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	newspaper but the New York Post, every one of the cable stations except FOX, OAN and Newsmax refused to print the words of Hunter Biden and instead created the completely false story that it was Russian disinformation which has been completely BY MR. CAIN: Q. Sir, we're not talking about Hunter Biden.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	him. I don't have the time to do it, I don't have the resources to do it and there was nothing that said to me or I would have done it. Nothing that said to me, oh this guy this is incredible, it doesn't make sense, this guy isn't this kind of guy. I don't know, he worked for a company that was a screwed up company, or this guy really seems neutral about politics or he likes Trump, why the hell would he do it? Instead I've got a guy	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	newspaper but the New York Post, every one of the cable stations except FOX, OAN and Newsmax refused to print the words of Hunter Biden and instead created the completely false story that it was Russian disinformation which has been completely BY MR. CAIN: Q. Sir, we're not talking about Hunter Biden. A. No, no, what we're talking about
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	him. I don't have the time to do it, I don't have the resources to do it and there was nothing that said to me or I would have done it. Nothing that said to me, oh this guy this is incredible, it doesn't make sense, this guy isn't this kind of guy. I don't know, he worked for a company that was a screwed up company, or this guy really seems neutral about politics or he likes Trump, why the hell would he do it? Instead I've got a guy that's off the charts hating him and I've	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>newspaper but the New York Post, every one of the cable stations except FOX, OAN and Newsmax refused to print the words of Hunter Biden and instead created the completely false story that it was Russian disinformation which has been completely</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Sir, we're not talking about Hunter Biden.</li> <li>A. No, no, what we're talking about is the atmosphere in which I was</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	him. I don't have the time to do it, I don't have the resources to do it and there was nothing that said to me or I would have done it. Nothing that said to me, oh this guy this is incredible, it doesn't make sense, this guy isn't this kind of guy. I don't know, he worked for a company that was a screwed up company, or this guy really seems neutral about politics or he likes Trump, why the hell would he do it? Instead I've got a guy that's off the charts hating him and I've got a company that knows how to do it and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>newspaper but the New York Post, every one of the cable stations except FOX, OAN and Newsmax refused to print the words of Hunter Biden and instead created the completely false story that it was Russian disinformation which has been completely</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Sir, we're not talking about Hunter Biden.</li> <li>A. No, no, what we're talking about is the atmosphere in which I was conducting this investigation. This</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	him. I don't have the time to do it, I don't have the resources to do it and there was nothing that said to me or I would have done it. Nothing that said to me, oh this guy this is incredible, it doesn't make sense, this guy isn't this kind of guy. I don't know, he worked for a company that was a screwed up company, or this guy really seems neutral about politics or he likes Trump, why the hell would he do it? Instead I've got a guy that's off the charts hating him and I've got a company that knows how to do it and I've got a whole bunch of other people	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>newspaper but the New York Post, every one of the cable stations except FOX, OAN and Newsmax refused to print the words of Hunter Biden and instead created the completely false story that it was Russian disinformation which has been completely</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Sir, we're not talking about Hunter Biden.</li> <li>A. No, no, what we're talking about is the atmosphere in which I was conducting this investigation. This wasn't a fair and balanced atmosphere. I</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	him. I don't have the time to do it, I don't have the resources to do it and there was nothing that said to me or I would have done it. Nothing that said to me, oh this guy this is incredible, it doesn't make sense, this guy isn't this kind of guy. I don't know, he worked for a company that was a screwed up company, or this guy really seems neutral about politics or he likes Trump, why the hell would he do it? Instead I've got a guy that's off the charts hating him and I've got a company that knows how to do it and I've got a whole bunch of other people yelling and screaming that it was done.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>newspaper but the New York Post, every one of the cable stations except FOX, OAN and Newsmax refused to print the words of Hunter Biden and instead created the completely false story that it was Russian disinformation which has been completely</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Sir, we're not talking about</li> <li>Hunter Biden.</li> <li>A. No, no, what we're talking about is the atmosphere in which I was conducting this investigation. This wasn't a fair and balanced atmosphere. I was conducting this investigation in an</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	him. I don't have the time to do it, I don't have the resources to do it and there was nothing that said to me or I would have done it. Nothing that said to me, oh this guy this is incredible, it doesn't make sense, this guy isn't this kind of guy. I don't know, he worked for a company that was a screwed up company, or this guy really seems neutral about politics or he likes Trump, why the hell would he do it? Instead I've got a guy that's off the charts hating him and I've got a company that knows how to do it and I've got a whole bunch of other people yelling and screaming that it was done. Why would I doubt it? I would	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>newspaper but the New York Post, every one of the cable stations except FOX, OAN and Newsmax refused to print the words of Hunter Biden and instead created the completely false story that it was Russian disinformation which has been completely</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Sir, we're not talking about</li> <li>Hunter Biden.</li> <li>A. No, no, what we're talking about is the atmosphere in which I was conducting this investigation. This wasn't a fair and balanced atmosphere. I was conducting this investigation in an atmosphere in which if you were to say</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	him. I don't have the time to do it, I don't have the resources to do it and there was nothing that said to me or I would have done it. Nothing that said to me, oh this guy this is incredible, it doesn't make sense, this guy isn't this kind of guy. I don't know, he worked for a company that was a screwed up company, or this guy really seems neutral about politics or he likes Trump, why the hell would he do it? Instead I've got a guy that's off the charts hating him and I've got a company that knows how to do it and I've got a whole bunch of other people yelling and screaming that it was done. Why would I doubt it? I would have been I think I think I would have	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>newspaper but the New York Post, every one of the cable stations except FOX, OAN and Newsmax refused to print the words of Hunter Biden and instead created the completely false story that it was Russian disinformation which has been completely BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Sir, we're not talking about Hunter Biden.</li> <li>A. No, no, what we're talking about is the atmosphere in which I was conducting this investigation. This wasn't a fair and balanced atmosphere. I was conducting this investigation in an atmosphere in which if you were to say anything unfavorable to Biden, it didn't</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	him. I don't have the time to do it, I don't have the resources to do it and there was nothing that said to me or I would have done it. Nothing that said to me, oh this guy this is incredible, it doesn't make sense, this guy isn't this kind of guy. I don't know, he worked for a company that was a screwed up company, or this guy really seems neutral about politics or he likes Trump, why the hell would he do it? Instead I've got a guy that's off the charts hating him and I've got a company that knows how to do it and I've got a whole bunch of other people yelling and screaming that it was done. Why would I doubt it? I would have been I think I think I would have been a I think that would be pretty	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>newspaper but the New York Post, every one of the cable stations except FOX, OAN and Newsmax refused to print the words of Hunter Biden and instead created the completely false story that it was Russian disinformation which has been completely BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Sir, we're not talking about Hunter Biden.</li> <li>A. No, no, what we're talking about is the atmosphere in which I was conducting this investigation. This wasn't a fair and balanced atmosphere. I was conducting this investigation in an atmosphere in which if you were to say anything unfavorable to Biden, it didn't get published. It could be the piece of</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	him. I don't have the time to do it, I don't have the resources to do it and there was nothing that said to me or I would have done it. Nothing that said to me, oh this guy this is incredible, it doesn't make sense, this guy isn't this kind of guy. I don't know, he worked for a company that was a screwed up company, or this guy really seems neutral about politics or he likes Trump, why the hell would he do it? Instead I've got a guy that's off the charts hating him and I've got a company that knows how to do it and I've got a whole bunch of other people yelling and screaming that it was done. Why would I doubt it? I would have been I think I think I would have been a I think that would be pretty close to malpractice if I hadn't credited	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>newspaper but the New York Post, every one of the cable stations except FOX, OAN and Newsmax refused to print the words of Hunter Biden and instead created the completely false story that it was Russian disinformation which has been completely</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Sir, we're not talking about</li> <li>Hunter Biden.</li> <li>A. No, no, what we're talking about is the atmosphere in which I was conducting this investigation. This wasn't a fair and balanced atmosphere. I was conducting this investigation in an atmosphere in which if you were to say anything unfavorable to Biden, it didn't get published. It could be the piece of paper that Hunter Biden signed to buy a</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	him. I don't have the time to do it, I don't have the resources to do it and there was nothing that said to me or I would have done it. Nothing that said to me, oh this guy this is incredible, it doesn't make sense, this guy isn't this kind of guy. I don't know, he worked for a company that was a screwed up company, or this guy really seems neutral about politics or he likes Trump, why the hell would he do it? Instead I've got a guy that's off the charts hating him and I've got a company that knows how to do it and I've got a whole bunch of other people yelling and screaming that it was done. Why would I doubt it? I would have been I think I think I would have been a I think that would be pretty close to malpractice if I hadn't credited that statement for my client.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>newspaper but the New York Post, every one of the cable stations except FOX, OAN and Newsmax refused to print the words of Hunter Biden and instead created the completely false story that it was Russian disinformation which has been completely</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Sir, we're not talking about</li> <li>Hunter Biden.</li> <li>A. No, no, what we're talking about is the atmosphere in which I was conducting this investigation. This wasn't a fair and balanced atmosphere. I was conducting this investigation in an atmosphere in which if you were to say anything unfavorable to Biden, it didn't get published. It could be the piece of paper that Hunter Biden signed to buy a gun, lying about the fact that he was a</li> </ul>

32 (Pages 122 - 125)

1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	getting prosecuted for it. It could be	2	and it sounds like you're
3	the report of the niece of Hunter Biden, a	3	transitioning here. Is this a good
4	minor who said that Hunter Biden was	4	time for a break?
5	dangerous to the child that was covered up	5	MR. CAIN: Give me a few more
6	by the FBI and not covered by the	6	minutes, Barry, and then I will.
7	newspapers, or it could be any statement I	7	BY MR. CAIN:
8	made about the election which they would	8	Q. The next question was have you
9	not cover. So when I got an opportunity	9	heard the term trial by press conference?
10	to put out facts that pointed in the	10	A. I have.
11	direction of they fixed the election, I	11	Q. You've used that term?
12	was going to put them out.	12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And that's why you	13	Q. All right. Was this trial by
14	A. I had every obligation to do it.	14	press conference?
15	The American people had a right to hear	15	A. No, sir.
16	this. It was being kept from them. The	16	Q. Why not?
17	Antrim audit was being kept from them.	17	A. It was an investigation by press
18	This man made a startling statement and	18	conference. It was laying out the facts
19	he's a man who has the background where	19	that we had to explain where we were
20	that statement is credible and he's in an	20	going. We didn't pronounce him guilty.
21	organization that has the capability to do	21	We laid out the facts that we had. For
22	exactly what he said and there wasn't a	22	triers of fact for example, the one
23	single thing I knew about him that	23	that you're referring to, the Attorney
24	suggested it wasn't true.	24	General several times opined on the
25	Again I'm not the FBI, I wasn't	25	credibility of the witnesses and said that
	Page 126		Page 128
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	finding him guilty of a crime. I was	2	the women are telling the truth.
2 3	finding him guilty of a crime. I was beginning the process of determining		the women are telling the truth. Q. You're talking about the tweet
2 3 4	finding him guilty of a crime. I was beginning the process of determining whether we should pursue him and then all	2 3 4	the women are telling the truth. Q. You're talking about the tweet you sent about the Attorney General?
2 3 4 5	finding him guilty of a crime. I was beginning the process of determining whether we should pursue him and then all of a sudden he got overwhelmed by fish	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>the women are telling the truth.</li><li>Q. You're talking about the tweet</li><li>you sent about the Attorney General?</li><li>A. Yes, yeah, yeah, yeah. I didn't</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	finding him guilty of a crime. I was beginning the process of determining whether we should pursue him and then all of a sudden he got overwhelmed by fish much bigger than him and evidence much	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>the women are telling the truth.</li><li>Q. You're talking about the tweet</li><li>you sent about the Attorney General?</li><li>A. Yes, yeah, yeah, yeah. I didn't</li><li>say that in the press conference. I said</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	finding him guilty of a crime. I was beginning the process of determining whether we should pursue him and then all of a sudden he got overwhelmed by fish much bigger than him and evidence much bigger than him.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>the women are telling the truth.</li><li>Q. You're talking about the tweet</li><li>you sent about the Attorney General?</li><li>A. Yes, yeah, yeah, yeah. I didn't</li><li>say that in the press conference. I said</li><li>this is what he said. This is what I'm</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	finding him guilty of a crime. I was beginning the process of determining whether we should pursue him and then all of a sudden he got overwhelmed by fish much bigger than him and evidence much bigger than him. Q. So you mentioned in the press	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>the women are telling the truth.</li><li>Q. You're talking about the tweet</li><li>you sent about the Attorney General?</li><li>A. Yes, yeah, yeah, yeah. I didn't</li><li>say that in the press conference. I said</li><li>this is what he said. This is what I'm</li><li>told about. This is what we're</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	finding him guilty of a crime. I was beginning the process of determining whether we should pursue him and then all of a sudden he got overwhelmed by fish much bigger than him and evidence much bigger than him. Q. So you mentioned in the press conference that we still haven't actually	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>the women are telling the truth.</li><li>Q. You're talking about the tweet</li><li>you sent about the Attorney General?</li><li>A. Yes, yeah, yeah, yeah. I didn't</li><li>say that in the press conference. I said</li><li>this is what he said. This is what I'm</li><li>told about. This is what we're</li><li>investigating.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	finding him guilty of a crime. I was beginning the process of determining whether we should pursue him and then all of a sudden he got overwhelmed by fish much bigger than him and evidence much bigger than him. Q. So you mentioned in the press conference that we still haven't actually looked at, we haven't looked at the video	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>the women are telling the truth.</li> <li>Q. You're talking about the tweet you sent about the Attorney General?</li> <li>A. Yes, yeah, yeah, yeah. I didn't say that in the press conference. I said this is what he said. This is what I'm told about. This is what we're investigating.</li> <li>First of all, it was a civil</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	finding him guilty of a crime. I was beginning the process of determining whether we should pursue him and then all of a sudden he got overwhelmed by fish much bigger than him and evidence much bigger than him. Q. So you mentioned in the press conference that we still haven't actually looked at, we haven't looked at the video of the press conference and we may not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the women are telling the truth. Q. You're talking about the tweet you sent about the Attorney General? A. Yes, yeah, yeah, yeah. I didn't say that in the press conference. I said this is what he said. This is what I'm told about. This is what we're investigating. First of all, it was a civil case, not a criminal case. I was pursuing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	finding him guilty of a crime. I was beginning the process of determining whether we should pursue him and then all of a sudden he got overwhelmed by fish much bigger than him and evidence much bigger than him. Q. So you mentioned in the press conference that we still haven't actually looked at, we haven't looked at the video of the press conference and we may not have time, you mentioned that it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the women are telling the truth. Q. You're talking about the tweet you sent about the Attorney General? A. Yes, yeah, yeah, yeah. I didn't say that in the press conference. I said this is what he said. This is what I'm told about. This is what we're investigating. First of all, it was a civil case, not a criminal case. I was pursuing civil cases. The rules are completely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	finding him guilty of a crime. I was beginning the process of determining whether we should pursue him and then all of a sudden he got overwhelmed by fish much bigger than him and evidence much bigger than him. Q. So you mentioned in the press conference that we still haven't actually looked at, we haven't looked at the video of the press conference and we may not have time, you mentioned that it was essentially your opening statement for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the women are telling the truth. Q. You're talking about the tweet you sent about the Attorney General? A. Yes, yeah, yeah, yeah. I didn't say that in the press conference. I said this is what he said. This is what I'm told about. This is what we're investigating. First of all, it was a civil case, not a criminal case. I was pursuing civil cases. The rules are completely different. Number two, I didn't make a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	finding him guilty of a crime. I was beginning the process of determining whether we should pursue him and then all of a sudden he got overwhelmed by fish much bigger than him and evidence much bigger than him. Q. So you mentioned in the press conference that we still haven't actually looked at, we haven't looked at the video of the press conference and we may not have time, you mentioned that it was essentially your opening statement for the litigation that was going to proceed at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the women are telling the truth. Q. You're talking about the tweet you sent about the Attorney General? A. Yes, yeah, yeah, yeah. I didn't say that in the press conference. I said this is what he said. This is what I'm told about. This is what we're investigating. First of all, it was a civil case, not a criminal case. I was pursuing civil cases. The rules are completely different. Number two, I didn't make a finding on who was telling the truth or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	finding him guilty of a crime. I was beginning the process of determining whether we should pursue him and then all of a sudden he got overwhelmed by fish much bigger than him and evidence much bigger than him. Q. So you mentioned in the press conference that we still haven't actually looked at, we haven't looked at the video of the press conference and we may not have time, you mentioned that it was essentially your opening statement for the litigation that was going to proceed at that point; right? Do you remember saying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the women are telling the truth. Q. You're talking about the tweet you sent about the Attorney General? A. Yes, yeah, yeah, yeah. I didn't say that in the press conference. I said this is what he said. This is what I'm told about. This is what we're investigating. First of all, it was a civil case, not a criminal case. I was pursuing civil cases. The rules are completely different. Number two, I didn't make a finding on who was telling the truth or not. I laid out what I knew, and what I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	finding him guilty of a crime. I was beginning the process of determining whether we should pursue him and then all of a sudden he got overwhelmed by fish much bigger than him and evidence much bigger than him. Q. So you mentioned in the press conference that we still haven't actually looked at, we haven't looked at the video of the press conference and we may not have time, you mentioned that it was essentially your opening statement for the litigation that was going to proceed at that point; right? Do you remember saying that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the women are telling the truth. Q. You're talking about the tweet you sent about the Attorney General? A. Yes, yeah, yeah, yeah. I didn't say that in the press conference. I said this is what he said. This is what I'm told about. This is what we're investigating. First of all, it was a civil case, not a criminal case. I was pursuing civil cases. The rules are completely different. Number two, I didn't make a finding on who was telling the truth or not. I laid out what I knew, and what I knew I had no reason to believe was untrue
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	finding him guilty of a crime. I was beginning the process of determining whether we should pursue him and then all of a sudden he got overwhelmed by fish much bigger than him and evidence much bigger than him. Q. So you mentioned in the press conference that we still haven't actually looked at, we haven't looked at the video of the press conference and we may not have time, you mentioned that it was essentially your opening statement for the litigation that was going to proceed at that point; right? Do you remember saying that? A. I do I remember actually, yes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the women are telling the truth. Q. You're talking about the tweet you sent about the Attorney General? A. Yes, yeah, yeah, yeah. I didn't say that in the press conference. I said this is what he said. This is what I'm told about. This is what we're investigating. First of all, it was a civil case, not a criminal case. I was pursuing civil cases. The rules are completely different. Number two, I didn't make a finding on who was telling the truth or not. I laid out what I knew, and what I knew I had no reason to believe was untrue which I litigated many times against
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>finding him guilty of a crime. I was beginning the process of determining whether we should pursue him and then all of a sudden he got overwhelmed by fish much bigger than him and evidence much bigger than him.</li> <li>Q. So you mentioned in the press conference that we still haven't actually looked at, we haven't looked at the video of the press conference and we may not have time, you mentioned that it was essentially your opening statement for the litigation that was going to proceed at that point; right? Do you remember saying that?</li> <li>A. I do I remember actually, yes, I was responsible. I think Jenna really</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the women are telling the truth. Q. You're talking about the tweet you sent about the Attorney General? A. Yes, yeah, yeah, yeah. I didn't say that in the press conference. I said this is what he said. This is what I'm told about. This is what we're investigating. First of all, it was a civil case, not a criminal case. I was pursuing civil cases. The rules are completely different. Number two, I didn't make a finding on who was telling the truth or not. I laid out what I knew, and what I knew I had no reason to believe was untrue which I litigated many times against Sullivan cases. I couldn't possibly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	finding him guilty of a crime. I was beginning the process of determining whether we should pursue him and then all of a sudden he got overwhelmed by fish much bigger than him and evidence much bigger than him. Q. So you mentioned in the press conference that we still haven't actually looked at, we haven't looked at the video of the press conference and we may not have time, you mentioned that it was essentially your opening statement for the litigation that was going to proceed at that point; right? Do you remember saying that? A. I do I remember actually, yes, I was responsible. I think Jenna really took over that portion of it to describe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the women are telling the truth. Q. You're talking about the tweet you sent about the Attorney General? A. Yes, yeah, yeah, yeah. I didn't say that in the press conference. I said this is what he said. This is what I'm told about. This is what we're investigating. First of all, it was a civil case, not a criminal case. I was pursuing civil cases. The rules are completely different. Number two, I didn't make a finding on who was telling the truth or not. I laid out what I knew, and what I knew I had no reason to believe was untrue which I litigated many times against Sullivan cases. I couldn't possibly imagine that there's some liability
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>finding him guilty of a crime. I was beginning the process of determining whether we should pursue him and then all of a sudden he got overwhelmed by fish much bigger than him and evidence much bigger than him.</li> <li>Q. So you mentioned in the press conference that we still haven't actually looked at, we haven't looked at the video of the press conference and we may not have time, you mentioned that it was essentially your opening statement for the litigation that was going to proceed at that point; right? Do you remember saying that?</li> <li>A. I do I remember actually, yes, I was responsible. I think Jenna really took over that portion of it to describe it as an opening statement but yes, I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the women are telling the truth. Q. You're talking about the tweet you sent about the Attorney General? A. Yes, yeah, yeah, yeah. I didn't say that in the press conference. I said this is what he said. This is what I'm told about. This is what we're investigating. First of all, it was a civil case, not a criminal case. I was pursuing civil cases. The rules are completely different. Number two, I didn't make a finding on who was telling the truth or not. I laid out what I knew, and what I knew I had no reason to believe was untrue which I litigated many times against Sullivan cases. I couldn't possibly imagine that there's some liability attached to that. There wasn't a single
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	finding him guilty of a crime. I was beginning the process of determining whether we should pursue him and then all of a sudden he got overwhelmed by fish much bigger than him and evidence much bigger than him. Q. So you mentioned in the press conference that we still haven't actually looked at, we haven't looked at the video of the press conference and we may not have time, you mentioned that it was essentially your opening statement for the litigation that was going to proceed at that point; right? Do you remember saying that? A. I do I remember actually, yes, I was responsible. I think Jenna really took over that portion of it to describe it as an opening statement but yes, I think I referred to it as that and then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the women are telling the truth. Q. You're talking about the tweet you sent about the Attorney General? A. Yes, yeah, yeah, yeah. I didn't say that in the press conference. I said this is what he said. This is what I'm told about. This is what we're investigating. First of all, it was a civil case, not a criminal case. I was pursuing civil cases. The rules are completely different. Number two, I didn't make a finding on who was telling the truth or not. I laid out what I knew, and what I knew I had no reason to believe was untrue which I litigated many times against Sullivan cases. I couldn't possibly imagine that there's some liability attached to that. There wasn't a single fact available to me that suggested what I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>finding him guilty of a crime. I was beginning the process of determining whether we should pursue him and then all of a sudden he got overwhelmed by fish much bigger than him and evidence much bigger than him.</li> <li>Q. So you mentioned in the press conference that we still haven't actually looked at, we haven't looked at the video of the press conference and we may not have time, you mentioned that it was essentially your opening statement for the litigation that was going to proceed at that point; right? Do you remember saying that?</li> <li>A. I do I remember actually, yes, I was responsible. I think Jenna really took over that portion of it to describe it as an opening statement but yes, I think I referred to it as that and then she spelled it out.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the women are telling the truth. Q. You're talking about the tweet you sent about the Attorney General? A. Yes, yeah, yeah, yeah. I didn't say that in the press conference. I said this is what he said. This is what I'm told about. This is what we're investigating. First of all, it was a civil case, not a criminal case. I was pursuing civil cases. The rules are completely different. Number two, I didn't make a finding on who was telling the truth or not. I laid out what I knew, and what I knew I had no reason to believe was untrue which I litigated many times against Sullivan cases. I couldn't possibly imagine that there's some liability attached to that. There wasn't a single fact available to me that suggested what I was saying was untrue and there's plenty
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	finding him guilty of a crime. I was beginning the process of determining whether we should pursue him and then all of a sudden he got overwhelmed by fish much bigger than him and evidence much bigger than him. Q. So you mentioned in the press conference that we still haven't actually looked at, we haven't looked at the video of the press conference and we may not have time, you mentioned that it was essentially your opening statement for the litigation that was going to proceed at that point; right? Do you remember saying that? A. I do I remember actually, yes, I was responsible. I think Jenna really took over that portion of it to describe it as an opening statement but yes, I think I referred to it as that and then she spelled it out. Q. Have you heard the term	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the women are telling the truth. Q. You're talking about the tweet you sent about the Attorney General? A. Yes, yeah, yeah, yeah. I didn't say that in the press conference. I said this is what he said. This is what I'm told about. This is what we're investigating. First of all, it was a civil case, not a criminal case. I was pursuing civil cases. The rules are completely different. Number two, I didn't make a finding on who was telling the truth or not. I laid out what I knew, and what I knew I had no reason to believe was untrue which I litigated many times against Sullivan cases. I couldn't possibly imagine that there's some liability attached to that. There wasn't a single fact available to me that suggested what I was saying was untrue and there's plenty of opportunity for him to respond to it.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>finding him guilty of a crime. I was beginning the process of determining whether we should pursue him and then all of a sudden he got overwhelmed by fish much bigger than him and evidence much bigger than him.</li> <li>Q. So you mentioned in the press conference that we still haven't actually looked at, we haven't looked at the video of the press conference and we may not have time, you mentioned that it was essentially your opening statement for the litigation that was going to proceed at that point; right? Do you remember saying that?</li> <li>A. I do I remember actually, yes, I was responsible. I think Jenna really took over that portion of it to describe it as an opening statement but yes, I think I referred to it as that and then she spelled it out.</li> <li>Q. Have you heard the term MR. ARRINGTON: Charlie, this is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the women are telling the truth. Q. You're talking about the tweet you sent about the Attorney General? A. Yes, yeah, yeah, yeah. I didn't say that in the press conference. I said this is what he said. This is what I'm told about. This is what we're investigating. First of all, it was a civil case, not a criminal case. I was pursuing civil cases. The rules are completely different. Number two, I didn't make a finding on who was telling the truth or not. I laid out what I knew, and what I knew I had no reason to believe was untrue which I litigated many times against Sullivan cases. I couldn't possibly imagine that there's some liability attached to that. There wasn't a single fact available to me that suggested what I was saying was untrue and there's plenty of opportunity for him to respond to it. He can respond orally, he can respond to
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	finding him guilty of a crime. I was beginning the process of determining whether we should pursue him and then all of a sudden he got overwhelmed by fish much bigger than him and evidence much bigger than him. Q. So you mentioned in the press conference that we still haven't actually looked at, we haven't looked at the video of the press conference and we may not have time, you mentioned that it was essentially your opening statement for the litigation that was going to proceed at that point; right? Do you remember saying that? A. I do I remember actually, yes, I was responsible. I think Jenna really took over that portion of it to describe it as an opening statement but yes, I think I referred to it as that and then she spelled it out. Q. Have you heard the term	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the women are telling the truth. Q. You're talking about the tweet you sent about the Attorney General? A. Yes, yeah, yeah, yeah. I didn't say that in the press conference. I said this is what he said. This is what I'm told about. This is what we're investigating. First of all, it was a civil case, not a criminal case. I was pursuing civil cases. The rules are completely different. Number two, I didn't make a finding on who was telling the truth or not. I laid out what I knew, and what I knew I had no reason to believe was untrue which I litigated many times against Sullivan cases. I couldn't possibly imagine that there's some liability attached to that. There wasn't a single fact available to me that suggested what I was saying was untrue and there's plenty of opportunity for him to respond to it.

^{33 (}Pages 126 - 129)

1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	Q. Well, he is.	2	anything wrong with it, anything contrary
3	MR. ZAKHEM: Objection to form.	3	to it.
4	THE WITNESS: So I have no idea	4	MR. CAIN: Let's do this,
5	how anybody can contort that into	5	Mr. Arrington has asked for a break.
6	under modern law a defamation case	6	THE WITNESS: Is that who it was?
7	given the Times against Sullivan	7	MR. CAIN: Yes, sir. So let's go
8	standard, that I have to virtually	8	ahead and take a break and figure out
9	know it's untrue when I say it or I	9	where we are at.
10	have to be reckless beyond any	10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the
11	definition of reckless. I think it's	11	record at approximately 12:01 p.m.
12	a burdensome lawsuit that takes	12	(Recess taken from 12:01 p.m. to
13	advantage of our system and puts a	13	12:23 p.m.)
14	burden on people being able to	14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on
15	communicate but that's been the	15	the record at approximately 12:24 p.m.
16	that's been the strategy of Dominion	16	BY MR. CAIN:
17	to do that, to intimidate people.	17	Q. All right, Mr. Giuliani, we've
18	I mean Dominion is guilty as sin.	18	got about 45 minutes or so on the record
19	Dominion hasn't explained the false	19	so let's do a lightning round.
20	statements by their own. They haven't	20	A. Okay, we'll try.
21	explained all these videos out there	21	Q. Try to maybe ask
22	showing how you can change votes. You	22	A. I will do the best I can.
23	can intercept it by the Internet, and	23	Q as many yes/no questions as I
24	most telling of all, they won't give	24	can. But I want to circle back to
25	anybody a machine to look at. Page 130	25	Mr. Oltmann and some of this you talked Page 132
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	BY MR. CAIN:	2	about, so forgive me. But number one, you
2 3	Q. You say they are guilty as sin	2 3	about, so forgive me. But number one, you never talked to Joe Oltmann directly;
2 3 4	Q. You say they are guilty as sin but ultimately that's for a court to	2 3 4	about, so forgive me. But number one, you never talked to Joe Oltmann directly; correct?
2 3 4 5	Q. You say they are guilty as sin but ultimately that's for a court to decide, not you; right?	2 3 4 5	about, so forgive me. But number one, you never talked to Joe Oltmann directly; correct? A. I don't believe so.
2 3 4 5 6	Q. You say they are guilty as sin but ultimately that's for a court to decide, not you; right? MR. SIBLEY: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>about, so forgive me. But number one, you never talked to Joe Oltmann directly;</li><li>correct?</li><li>A. I don't believe so.</li><li>Q. You never reviewed or listened to</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. You say they are guilty as sin but ultimately that's for a court to decide, not you; right? MR. SIBLEY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: This isn't a press	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>about, so forgive me. But number one, you never talked to Joe Oltmann directly; correct?</li><li>A. I don't believe so.</li><li>Q. You never reviewed or listened to a recording of the Antifa conference call;</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. You say they are guilty as sin but ultimately that's for a court to decide, not you; right? MR. SIBLEY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: This isn't a press conference.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>about, so forgive me. But number one, you never talked to Joe Oltmann directly; correct?</li><li>A. I don't believe so.</li><li>Q. You never reviewed or listened to a recording of the Antifa conference call; correct?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. You say they are guilty as sin</li> <li>but ultimately that's for a court to</li> <li>decide, not you; right?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection to form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: This isn't a press</li> <li>conference.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>about, so forgive me. But number one, you never talked to Joe Oltmann directly;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. You never reviewed or listened to a recording of the Antifa conference call;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so. I don't think</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. You say they are guilty as sin</li> <li>but ultimately that's for a court to</li> <li>decide, not you; right?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection to form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: This isn't a press</li> <li>conference.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. No, it's not.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>about, so forgive me. But number one, you never talked to Joe Oltmann directly;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. You never reviewed or listened to a recording of the Antifa conference call;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so. I don't think</li> <li>so. I guess I wouldn't be shocked if I</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. You say they are guilty as sin</li> <li>but ultimately that's for a court to</li> <li>decide, not you; right?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection to form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: This isn't a press</li> <li>conference.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. No, it's not.</li> <li>A. This is a private deposition.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>about, so forgive me. But number one, you never talked to Joe Oltmann directly;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. You never reviewed or listened to a recording of the Antifa conference call;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so. I don't think</li> <li>so. I guess I wouldn't be shocked if I did but I don't recall.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. You say they are guilty as sin</li> <li>but ultimately that's for a court to</li> <li>decide, not you; right?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection to form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: This isn't a press</li> <li>conference.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. No, it's not.</li> <li>A. This is a private deposition.</li> <li>Q. And ultimately you would agree</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>about, so forgive me. But number one, you never talked to Joe Oltmann directly;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. You never reviewed or listened to a recording of the Antifa conference call;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so. I don't think</li> <li>so. I guess I wouldn't be shocked if I did but I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You never talked to any of the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. You say they are guilty as sin</li> <li>but ultimately that's for a court to</li> <li>decide, not you; right?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection to form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: This isn't a press</li> <li>conference.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. No, it's not.</li> <li>A. This is a private deposition.</li> <li>Q. And ultimately you would agree</li> <li>that the judge sitting on this case is to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>about, so forgive me. But number one, you never talked to Joe Oltmann directly;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. You never reviewed or listened to a recording of the Antifa conference call;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so. I don't think</li> <li>so. I guess I wouldn't be shocked if I did but I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You never talked to any of the other folks that were allegedly on this</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. You say they are guilty as sin</li> <li>but ultimately that's for a court to</li> <li>decide, not you; right?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection to form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: This isn't a press</li> <li>conference.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. No, it's not.</li> <li>A. This is a private deposition.</li> <li>Q. And ultimately you would agree</li> <li>that the judge sitting on this case is to</li> <li>decide those types of issues, not you or</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>about, so forgive me. But number one, you never talked to Joe Oltmann directly;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. You never reviewed or listened to a recording of the Antifa conference call;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so. I don't think</li> <li>so. I guess I wouldn't be shocked if I did but I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You never talked to any of the other folks that were allegedly on this call, the other witnesses?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. You say they are guilty as sin</li> <li>but ultimately that's for a court to</li> <li>decide, not you; right?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection to form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: This isn't a press</li> <li>conference.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. No, it's not.</li> <li>A. This is a private deposition.</li> <li>Q. And ultimately you would agree</li> <li>that the judge sitting on this case is to</li> <li>decide those types of issues, not you or</li> <li>frankly not me?</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ \end{array} $	<ul> <li>about, so forgive me. But number one, you never talked to Joe Oltmann directly;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. You never reviewed or listened to a recording of the Antifa conference call;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so. I don't think</li> <li>so. I guess I wouldn't be shocked if I</li> <li>did but I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You never talked to any of the other folks that were allegedly on this call, the other witnesses?</li> <li>A. The Antifa people?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. You say they are guilty as sin but ultimately that's for a court to decide, not you; right? MR. SIBLEY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: This isn't a press conference.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. No, it's not.</li> <li>A. This is a private deposition.</li> <li>Q. And ultimately you would agree that the judge sitting on this case is to decide those types of issues, not you or frankly not me? A. Actually I think in this case he</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>about, so forgive me. But number one, you never talked to Joe Oltmann directly;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. You never reviewed or listened to a recording of the Antifa conference call;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so. I don't think</li> <li>so. I guess I wouldn't be shocked if I did but I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You never talked to any of the other folks that were allegedly on this call, the other witnesses?</li> <li>A. The Antifa people?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. You say they are guilty as sin but ultimately that's for a court to decide, not you; right? MR. SIBLEY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: This isn't a press conference.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. No, it's not.</li> <li>A. This is a private deposition.</li> <li>Q. And ultimately you would agree that the judge sitting on this case is to decide those types of issues, not you or frankly not me?</li> <li>A. Actually I think in this case he doesn't get to decide guilt or innocence</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>about, so forgive me. But number one, you never talked to Joe Oltmann directly;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. You never reviewed or listened to a recording of the Antifa conference call;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so. I don't think</li> <li>so. I guess I wouldn't be shocked if I</li> <li>did but I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You never talked to any of the other folks that were allegedly on this call, the other witnesses?</li> <li>A. The Antifa people?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir.</li> <li>A. No, I didn't talk, nor do I think</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. You say they are guilty as sin</li> <li>but ultimately that's for a court to</li> <li>decide, not you; right?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: This isn't a press</li> <li>conference.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. No, it's not.</li> <li>A. This is a private deposition.</li> <li>Q. And ultimately you would agree</li> <li>that the judge sitting on this case is to</li> <li>decide those types of issues, not you or</li> <li>frankly not me?</li> <li>A. Actually I think in this case he</li> <li>doesn't get to decide guilt or innocence</li> <li>at all. He gets to decide</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>about, so forgive me. But number one, you never talked to Joe Oltmann directly;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. You never reviewed or listened to a recording of the Antifa conference call;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so. I don't think</li> <li>so. I guess I wouldn't be shocked if I</li> <li>did but I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You never talked to any of the other folks that were allegedly on this call, the other witnesses?</li> <li>A. The Antifa people?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir.</li> <li>A. No, I didn't talk, nor do I think it was even conceivable they would talk to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. You say they are guilty as sin but ultimately that's for a court to decide, not you; right? MR. SIBLEY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: This isn't a press conference.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN: Q. No, it's not.</li> <li>A. This is a private deposition.</li> <li>Q. And ultimately you would agree that the judge sitting on this case is to decide those types of issues, not you or frankly not me? A. Actually I think in this case he doesn't get to decide guilt or innocence at all. He gets to decide Q. She.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>about, so forgive me. But number one, you never talked to Joe Oltmann directly; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. You never reviewed or listened to a recording of the Antifa conference call; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so. I don't think so. I guess I wouldn't be shocked if I did but I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You never talked to any of the other folks that were allegedly on this call, the other witnesses?</li> <li>A. The Antifa people?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir.</li> <li>A. No, I didn't talk, nor do I think it was even conceivable they would talk to me.</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	<ul> <li>Q. You say they are guilty as sin but ultimately that's for a court to decide, not you; right? MR. SIBLEY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: This isn't a press conference.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. No, it's not.</li> <li>A. This is a private deposition.</li> <li>Q. And ultimately you would agree that the judge sitting on this case is to decide those types of issues, not you or frankly not me?</li> <li>A. Actually I think in this case he doesn't get to decide guilt or innocence at all. He gets to decide Q. She.</li> <li>A. Oh, I'm sorry. She gets to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>about, so forgive me. But number one, you never talked to Joe Oltmann directly; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. You never reviewed or listened to a recording of the Antifa conference call; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so. I don't think so. I guess I wouldn't be shocked if I did but I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You never talked to any of the other folks that were allegedly on this call, the other witnesses?</li> <li>A. The Antifa people?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir.</li> <li>A. No, I didn't talk, nor do I think it was even conceivable they would talk to me.</li> <li>Q. You never reviewed any of the</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	<ul> <li>Q. You say they are guilty as sin but ultimately that's for a court to decide, not you; right? MR. SIBLEY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: This isn't a press conference.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. No, it's not.</li> <li>A. This is a private deposition.</li> <li>Q. And ultimately you would agree that the judge sitting on this case is to decide those types of issues, not you or frankly not me?</li> <li>A. Actually I think in this case he doesn't get to decide guilt or innocence at all. He gets to decide Q. She.</li> <li>A. Oh, I'm sorry. She gets to decide whether or not I knew that I was</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>about, so forgive me. But number one, you never talked to Joe Oltmann directly; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. You never reviewed or listened to a recording of the Antifa conference call; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so. I don't think so. I guess I wouldn't be shocked if I did but I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You never talked to any of the other folks that were allegedly on this call, the other witnesses?</li> <li>A. The Antifa people?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir.</li> <li>A. No, I didn't talk, nor do I think it was even conceivable they would talk to me.</li> <li>Q. You never reviewed any of the notes that were taken by Mr. Oltmann of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. You say they are guilty as sin</li> <li>but ultimately that's for a court to</li> <li>decide, not you; right?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: This isn't a press</li> <li>conference.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN: <ul> <li>Q. No, it's not.</li> <li>A. This is a private deposition.</li> <li>Q. And ultimately you would agree</li> <li>that the judge sitting on this case is to</li> <li>decide those types of issues, not you or</li> <li>frankly not me?</li> <li>A. Actually I think in this case he</li> <li>doesn't get to decide guilt or innocence</li> <li>at all. He gets to decide</li> <li>Q. She.</li> <li>A. Oh, I'm sorry. She gets to</li> <li>decide whether or not I knew that I was</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>about, so forgive me. But number one, you never talked to Joe Oltmann directly; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. You never reviewed or listened to a recording of the Antifa conference call; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so. I don't think</li> <li>so. I guess I wouldn't be shocked if I did but I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You never talked to any of the other folks that were allegedly on this call, the other witnesses?</li> <li>A. The Antifa people?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir.</li> <li>A. No, I didn't talk, nor do I think it was even conceivable they would talk to me.</li> <li>Q. You never reviewed any of the notes that were taken by Mr. Oltmann of the call, did you?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. You say they are guilty as sin but ultimately that's for a court to decide, not you; right? MR. SIBLEY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: This isn't a press conference.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. No, it's not.</li> <li>A. This is a private deposition.</li> <li>Q. And ultimately you would agree that the judge sitting on this case is to decide those types of issues, not you or frankly not me?</li> <li>A. Actually I think in this case he doesn't get to decide guilt or innocence at all. He gets to decide Q. She.</li> <li>A. Oh, I'm sorry. She gets to decide whether or not I knew that I was lying when I said that or I was just about totally irresponsible in saying it when</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>about, so forgive me. But number one, you never talked to Joe Oltmann directly; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. You never reviewed or listened to a recording of the Antifa conference call; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so. I don't think</li> <li>so. I guess I wouldn't be shocked if I did but I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You never talked to any of the other folks that were allegedly on this call, the other witnesses?</li> <li>A. The Antifa people?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir.</li> <li>A. No, I didn't talk, nor do I think it was even conceivable they would talk to me.</li> <li>Q. You never reviewed any of the notes that were taken by Mr. Oltmann of the call, did you?</li> <li>A. Not unless they showed it to me.</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>Q. You say they are guilty as sin but ultimately that's for a court to decide, not you; right? MR. SIBLEY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: This isn't a press conference.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. No, it's not.</li> <li>A. This is a private deposition.</li> <li>Q. And ultimately you would agree that the judge sitting on this case is to decide those types of issues, not you or frankly not me?</li> <li>A. Actually I think in this case he doesn't get to decide guilt or innocence at all. He gets to decide Q. She.</li> <li>A. Oh, I'm sorry. She gets to decide whether or not I knew that I was lying when I said that or I was just about totally irresponsible in saying it when there wasn't a single thing that pointed</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>about, so forgive me. But number one, you never talked to Joe Oltmann directly; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. You never reviewed or listened to a recording of the Antifa conference call; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so. I don't think so. I guess I wouldn't be shocked if I did but I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You never talked to any of the other folks that were allegedly on this call, the other witnesses?</li> <li>A. The Antifa people?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir.</li> <li>A. No, I didn't talk, nor do I think it was even conceivable they would talk to me.</li> <li>Q. You never reviewed any of the notes that were taken by Mr. Oltmann of the call, did you?</li> <li>A. Not unless they showed it to me.</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>Q. You say they are guilty as sin but ultimately that's for a court to decide, not you; right? MR. SIBLEY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: This isn't a press conference.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. No, it's not.</li> <li>A. This is a private deposition.</li> <li>Q. And ultimately you would agree that the judge sitting on this case is to decide those types of issues, not you or frankly not me?</li> <li>A. Actually I think in this case he doesn't get to decide guilt or innocence at all. He gets to decide Q. She.</li> <li>A. Oh, I'm sorry. She gets to decide whether or not I knew that I was lying when I said that or I was just about totally irresponsible in saying it when</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>about, so forgive me. But number one, you never talked to Joe Oltmann directly; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. You never reviewed or listened to a recording of the Antifa conference call; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't think so. I don't think</li> <li>so. I guess I wouldn't be shocked if I did but I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You never talked to any of the other folks that were allegedly on this call, the other witnesses?</li> <li>A. The Antifa people?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir.</li> <li>A. No, I didn't talk, nor do I think it was even conceivable they would talk to me.</li> <li>Q. You never reviewed any of the notes that were taken by Mr. Oltmann of the call, did you?</li> <li>A. Not unless they showed it to me.</li> </ul>

^{34 (}Pages 130 - 133)

1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	pieces of paper about it so I can't tell	2	Q. I was hoping you could tell me
3	you if I did or I didn't.	3	what it is.
4	Q. You don't know?	4	A. (Witness perusing document.)
5	A. I don't know. I did review some,	5	Q. Let's do this. The reason I want
6	could have been their memo, it could have	6	you to familiarize yourself with it
7	been notes but they had something they	7	because I'm going to ask you if that
8	were briefing me from written down.	8	refreshes your memory as to whether you
9	Q. Neither you nor your team	9	had seen this before. So just flip
10	attempted to contact Dr. Coomer?	10	through the pages as you see fit to
11	A. Not that I know of.	11	familiarize yourself with it.
12	Q. Neither you nor your team	12	A. (Witness perusing document.)
13	attempted to contact Dominion for response	13	Q. Does that refresh your
14	about Dr. Coomer?	14	recollection at all?
15	A. No.	15	A. Some of the names do but not the
16	Q. In terms of internally at the	16	document itself.
17	campaign, you had access to research by	17	Q. Okay. So as you sit here today,
18	the communications department on these	18	you don't think this document was provided
19	subjects, did you not?	19	to you by the campaign?
20	A. I did, yeah.	20	A. I don't think so.
21	Q. Did you ever look this is an	21	Q. What is the function as you
22	open-ended question, did you ever look at	22	understand it for the research department
23	research that was compiled by the	23	under the communications umbrella for the
24	communications department on Dr. Coomer?	24	campaign, if you know?
25	A. I'm sure I did.	25	A. I don't know really. I wasn't
	Page 134		Page 136
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
		1	OIOLININI
2	Q. Okay. Let me show you what has	2	running the campaign and had nothing to do
2 3			
1	Q. Okay. Let me show you what has	2	running the campaign and had nothing to do
3	Q. Okay. Let me show you what has previously been marked as Exhibit 68, and	2 3	running the campaign and had nothing to do with the campaign until the very last
3 4	Q. Okay. Let me show you what has previously been marked as Exhibit 68, and while you're looking at that, it appears to be the same document twice but I just	2 3 4	running the campaign and had nothing to do with the campaign until the very last minute. My main function was these cases
3 4 5	Q. Okay. Let me show you what has previously been marked as Exhibit 68, and while you're looking at that, it appears	2 3 4 5	running the campaign and had nothing to do with the campaign until the very last minute. My main function was these cases that were two weeks behind so I didn't spend an awful lot of time involved with
3 4 5 6	Q. Okay. Let me show you what has previously been marked as Exhibit 68, and while you're looking at that, it appears to be the same document twice but I just wanted to produce to you what was produced	2 3 4 5 6	running the campaign and had nothing to do with the campaign until the very last minute. My main function was these cases that were two weeks behind so I didn't
3 4 5 6 7	Q. Okay. Let me show you what has previously been marked as Exhibit 68, and while you're looking at that, it appears to be the same document twice but I just wanted to produce to you what was produced to us by the Trump campaign. Are you with	2 3 4 5 6 7	running the campaign and had nothing to do with the campaign until the very last minute. My main function was these cases that were two weeks behind so I didn't spend an awful lot of time involved with the campaign.
3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Okay. Let me show you what has previously been marked as Exhibit 68, and while you're looking at that, it appears to be the same document twice but I just wanted to produce to you what was produced to us by the Trump campaign. Are you with me?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	running the campaign and had nothing to do with the campaign until the very last minute. My main function was these cases that were two weeks behind so I didn't spend an awful lot of time involved with the campaign. Q. All right, well, let's
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Okay. Let me show you what has previously been marked as Exhibit 68, and while you're looking at that, it appears to be the same document twice but I just wanted to produce to you what was produced to us by the Trump campaign. Are you with me? A. This has been produced by the Trump campaign?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	running the campaign and had nothing to do with the campaign until the very last minute. My main function was these cases that were two weeks behind so I didn't spend an awful lot of time involved with the campaign. Q. All right, well, let's A. I have no idea how they set up
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Let me show you what has previously been marked as Exhibit 68, and while you're looking at that, it appears to be the same document twice but I just wanted to produce to you what was produced to us by the Trump campaign. Are you with me?</li> <li>A. This has been produced by the Trump campaign?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. That TC Bates on the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	running the campaign and had nothing to do with the campaign until the very last minute. My main function was these cases that were two weeks behind so I didn't spend an awful lot of time involved with the campaign. Q. All right, well, let's A. I have no idea how they set up their research department, their
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Okay. Let me show you what has previously been marked as Exhibit 68, and while you're looking at that, it appears to be the same document twice but I just wanted to produce to you what was produced to us by the Trump campaign. Are you with me? A. This has been produced by the Trump campaign?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	running the campaign and had nothing to do with the campaign until the very last minute. My main function was these cases that were two weeks behind so I didn't spend an awful lot of time involved with the campaign. Q. All right, well, let's A. I have no idea how they set up their research department, their communications department.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Let me show you what has previously been marked as Exhibit 68, and while you're looking at that, it appears to be the same document twice but I just wanted to produce to you what was produced to us by the Trump campaign. Are you with me?</li> <li>A. This has been produced by the Trump campaign?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. That TC Bates on the bottom right means Trump campaign.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	running the campaign and had nothing to do with the campaign until the very last minute. My main function was these cases that were two weeks behind so I didn't spend an awful lot of time involved with the campaign. Q. All right, well, let's A. I have no idea how they set up their research department, their communications department. Q. Let's orient ourself really quick on Exhibit 68.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Let me show you what has previously been marked as Exhibit 68, and while you're looking at that, it appears to be the same document twice but I just wanted to produce to you what was produced to us by the Trump campaign. Are you with me?</li> <li>A. This has been produced by the Trump campaign?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. That TC Bates on the bottom right means Trump campaign.</li> <li>A. Okay, sure, right.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	running the campaign and had nothing to do with the campaign until the very last minute. My main function was these cases that were two weeks behind so I didn't spend an awful lot of time involved with the campaign. Q. All right, well, let's A. I have no idea how they set up their research department, their communications department. Q. Let's orient ourself really quick
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Let me show you what has previously been marked as Exhibit 68, and while you're looking at that, it appears to be the same document twice but I just wanted to produce to you what was produced to us by the Trump campaign. Are you with me?</li> <li>A. This has been produced by the Trump campaign?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. That TC Bates on the bottom right means Trump campaign.</li> <li>A. Okay, sure, right.</li> <li>Q. I'll give you a minute to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	running the campaign and had nothing to do with the campaign until the very last minute. My main function was these cases that were two weeks behind so I didn't spend an awful lot of time involved with the campaign. Q. All right, well, let's A. I have no idea how they set up their research department, their communications department. Q. Let's orient ourself really quick on Exhibit 68. A. Some of these things seem wrong
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Let me show you what has previously been marked as Exhibit 68, and while you're looking at that, it appears to be the same document twice but I just wanted to produce to you what was produced to us by the Trump campaign. Are you with me?</li> <li>A. This has been produced by the Trump campaign?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. That TC Bates on the bottom right means Trump campaign.</li> <li>A. Okay, sure, right.</li> <li>Q. I'll give you a minute to familiarize yourself with this document.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>running the campaign and had nothing to do with the campaign until the very last minute. My main function was these cases that were two weeks behind so I didn't spend an awful lot of time involved with the campaign.</li> <li>Q. All right, well, let's</li> <li>A. I have no idea how they set up their research department, their communications department.</li> <li>Q. Let's orient ourself really quick on Exhibit 68.</li> <li>A. Some of these things seem wrong but I haven't seen it.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Let me show you what has previously been marked as Exhibit 68, and while you're looking at that, it appears to be the same document twice but I just wanted to produce to you what was produced to us by the Trump campaign. Are you with me?</li> <li>A. This has been produced by the Trump campaign?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. That TC Bates on the bottom right means Trump campaign.</li> <li>A. Okay, sure, right.</li> <li>Q. I'll give you a minute to familiarize yourself with this document.</li> <li>A. It doesn't look familiar.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	running the campaign and had nothing to do with the campaign until the very last minute. My main function was these cases that were two weeks behind so I didn't spend an awful lot of time involved with the campaign. Q. All right, well, let's A. I have no idea how they set up their research department, their communications department. Q. Let's orient ourself really quick on Exhibit 68. A. Some of these things seem wrong but I haven't seen it. Q. Okay. Sir, page as e-mails
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Let me show you what has previously been marked as Exhibit 68, and while you're looking at that, it appears to be the same document twice but I just wanted to produce to you what was produced to us by the Trump campaign. Are you with me?</li> <li>A. This has been produced by the Trump campaign?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. That TC Bates on the bottom right means Trump campaign.</li> <li>A. Okay, sure, right.</li> <li>Q. I'll give you a minute to familiarize yourself with this document.</li> <li>A. It doesn't look familiar.</li> <li>Q. Since it doesn't look familiar to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	running the campaign and had nothing to do with the campaign until the very last minute. My main function was these cases that were two weeks behind so I didn't spend an awful lot of time involved with the campaign. Q. All right, well, let's A. I have no idea how they set up their research department, their communications department. Q. Let's orient ourself really quick on Exhibit 68. A. Some of these things seem wrong but I haven't seen it. Q. Okay. Sir, page as e-mails are A. Looks to me like okay, looks
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Let me show you what has previously been marked as Exhibit 68, and while you're looking at that, it appears to be the same document twice but I just wanted to produce to you what was produced to us by the Trump campaign. Are you with me?</li> <li>A. This has been produced by the Trump campaign?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. That TC Bates on the bottom right means Trump campaign.</li> <li>A. Okay, sure, right.</li> <li>Q. I'll give you a minute to familiarize yourself with this document.</li> <li>A. It doesn't look familiar.</li> <li>Q. Since it doesn't look familiar to you</li> <li>A. That doesn't mean I didn't see</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>running the campaign and had nothing to do with the campaign until the very last minute. My main function was these cases that were two weeks behind so I didn't spend an awful lot of time involved with the campaign.</li> <li>Q. All right, well, let's</li> <li>A. I have no idea how they set up their research department, their communications department.</li> <li>Q. Let's orient ourself really quick on Exhibit 68.</li> <li>A. Some of these things seem wrong but I haven't seen it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Sir, page as e-mails are</li> <li>A. Looks to me like okay, looks like propaganda.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Let me show you what has previously been marked as Exhibit 68, and while you're looking at that, it appears to be the same document twice but I just wanted to produce to you what was produced to us by the Trump campaign. Are you with me?</li> <li>A. This has been produced by the Trump campaign?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. That TC Bates on the bottom right means Trump campaign.</li> <li>A. Okay, sure, right.</li> <li>Q. I'll give you a minute to familiarize yourself with this document.</li> <li>A. It doesn't look familiar.</li> <li>Q. Since it doesn't look familiar to you</li> <li>A. That doesn't mean I didn't see it. I mean a lot of things aren't going</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \end{array}$	running the campaign and had nothing to do with the campaign until the very last minute. My main function was these cases that were two weeks behind so I didn't spend an awful lot of time involved with the campaign. Q. All right, well, let's A. I have no idea how they set up their research department, their communications department. Q. Let's orient ourself really quick on Exhibit 68. A. Some of these things seem wrong but I haven't seen it. Q. Okay. Sir, page as e-mails are A. Looks to me like okay, looks like propaganda. Q. Can you just turn to the second
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Let me show you what has previously been marked as Exhibit 68, and while you're looking at that, it appears to be the same document twice but I just wanted to produce to you what was produced to us by the Trump campaign. Are you with me?</li> <li>A. This has been produced by the Trump campaign?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. That TC Bates on the bottom right means Trump campaign.</li> <li>A. Okay, sure, right.</li> <li>Q. I'll give you a minute to familiarize yourself with this document.</li> <li>A. It doesn't look familiar.</li> <li>Q. Since it doesn't look familiar to you</li> <li>A. That doesn't mean I didn't see</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>running the campaign and had nothing to do with the campaign until the very last minute. My main function was these cases that were two weeks behind so I didn't spend an awful lot of time involved with the campaign.</li> <li>Q. All right, well, let's</li> <li>A. I have no idea how they set up their research department, their communications department.</li> <li>Q. Let's orient ourself really quick on Exhibit 68.</li> <li>A. Some of these things seem wrong but I haven't seen it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Sir, page as e-mails are</li> <li>A. Looks to me like okay, looks like propaganda.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Let me show you what has previously been marked as Exhibit 68, and while you're looking at that, it appears to be the same document twice but I just wanted to produce to you what was produced to us by the Trump campaign. Are you with me?</li> <li>A. This has been produced by the Trump campaign?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. That TC Bates on the bottom right means Trump campaign.</li> <li>A. Okay, sure, right.</li> <li>Q. I'll give you a minute to familiarize yourself with this document.</li> <li>A. It doesn't look familiar.</li> <li>Q. Since it doesn't look familiar to you</li> <li>A. That doesn't mean I didn't see it. I mean a lot of things aren't going to look familiar that I saw. I saw 10,000 documents.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	running the campaign and had nothing to do with the campaign until the very last minute. My main function was these cases that were two weeks behind so I didn't spend an awful lot of time involved with the campaign. Q. All right, well, let's A. I have no idea how they set up their research department, their communications department. Q. Let's orient ourself really quick on Exhibit 68. A. Some of these things seem wrong but I haven't seen it. Q. Okay. Sir, page as e-mails are A. Looks to me like okay, looks like propaganda. Q. Can you just turn to the second page of the A. Sure.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Let me show you what has previously been marked as Exhibit 68, and while you're looking at that, it appears to be the same document twice but I just wanted to produce to you what was produced to us by the Trump campaign. Are you with me?</li> <li>A. This has been produced by the Trump campaign?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. That TC Bates on the bottom right means Trump campaign.</li> <li>A. Okay, sure, right.</li> <li>Q. I'll give you a minute to familiarize yourself with this document.</li> <li>A. It doesn't look familiar.</li> <li>Q. Since it doesn't look familiar to you</li> <li>A. That doesn't mean I didn't see it. I mean a lot of things aren't going to look familiar that I saw. I saw 10,000 documents.</li> <li>Q. Okay, take a brief moment to just</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>running the campaign and had nothing to do with the campaign until the very last minute. My main function was these cases that were two weeks behind so I didn't spend an awful lot of time involved with the campaign.</li> <li>Q. All right, well, let's</li> <li>A. I have no idea how they set up their research department, their communications department.</li> <li>Q. Let's orient ourself really quick on Exhibit 68.</li> <li>A. Some of these things seem wrong but I haven't seen it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Sir, page as e-mails are</li> <li>A. Looks to me like okay, looks like propaganda.</li> <li>Q. Can you just turn to the second page of the</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q the beginning of the exhibit?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Let me show you what has previously been marked as Exhibit 68, and while you're looking at that, it appears to be the same document twice but I just wanted to produce to you what was produced to us by the Trump campaign. Are you with me?</li> <li>A. This has been produced by the Trump campaign?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. That TC Bates on the bottom right means Trump campaign.</li> <li>A. Okay, sure, right.</li> <li>Q. I'll give you a minute to familiarize yourself with this document.</li> <li>A. It doesn't look familiar.</li> <li>Q. Since it doesn't look familiar to you</li> <li>A. That doesn't mean I didn't see it. I mean a lot of things aren't going to look familiar that I saw. I saw 10,000 documents.</li> <li>Q. Okay, take a brief moment to just flip through it so you know.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	running the campaign and had nothing to do with the campaign until the very last minute. My main function was these cases that were two weeks behind so I didn't spend an awful lot of time involved with the campaign. Q. All right, well, let's A. I have no idea how they set up their research department, their communications department. Q. Let's orient ourself really quick on Exhibit 68. A. Some of these things seem wrong but I haven't seen it. Q. Okay. Sir, page as e-mails are A. Looks to me like okay, looks like propaganda. Q. Can you just turn to the second page of the A. Sure. Q the beginning of the exhibit? A. Does it refer to Mr. Coomer?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Let me show you what has previously been marked as Exhibit 68, and while you're looking at that, it appears to be the same document twice but I just wanted to produce to you what was produced to us by the Trump campaign. Are you with me?</li> <li>A. This has been produced by the Trump campaign?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir. That TC Bates on the bottom right means Trump campaign.</li> <li>A. Okay, sure, right.</li> <li>Q. I'll give you a minute to familiarize yourself with this document.</li> <li>A. It doesn't look familiar.</li> <li>Q. Since it doesn't look familiar to you</li> <li>A. That doesn't mean I didn't see it. I mean a lot of things aren't going to look familiar that I saw. I saw 10,000 documents.</li> <li>Q. Okay, take a brief moment to just</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	running the campaign and had nothing to do with the campaign until the very last minute. My main function was these cases that were two weeks behind so I didn't spend an awful lot of time involved with the campaign. Q. All right, well, let's A. I have no idea how they set up their research department, their communications department. Q. Let's orient ourself really quick on Exhibit 68. A. Some of these things seem wrong but I haven't seen it. Q. Okay. Sir, page as e-mails are A. Looks to me like okay, looks like propaganda. Q. Can you just turn to the second page of the A. Sure. Q the beginning of the exhibit? A. Does it refer to Mr. Coomer?

35 (Pages 134 - 137)

1 GIULIANI 1	
	GIULIANI
	I think the campaign had a
	ent view of what should be done.
	ampaign in my opinion had checked out
	three, four weeks before the
· ·	on. They were pretty much convinced
	s going to lose, they were looking
	bs, they were worried about their
	ng in the Washington community.
F 78	I walked in there and asked for all
	preparation for the draft
	laints and the because I had been
	ney were ready for everything, I got
· · ·	ng. They had done nothing.
	Several months earlier there had
	a very, very big article that the
	crats had put together a massive legal
	to win this election and I was asked
	e president to check and see what we
e	nd it wasn't my role. I was not
, 8	ved in that at the time but I did. I
· · · · · · · ·	half a day. Although they wouldn't
	me anything, they told me they were
· · · ·	epared and I went back and I gave
	esident advice. I can't tell you
Page 138	Page 140
1 GIULIANI 1	GIULIANI
	I'll tell you what I said, I can't
	ou what he said. I told him
	MR. SIBLEY: Don't tell them what
	1 said, Rudy, if it's legal advice.
	Okay, then I won't tell you what
7 A. No, I haven't seen it. I mean I 7 I said	
8 would have been really if this were 8	In any event, when I showed up
8would have been really if this were89handed to me at the time, I would have99there	In any event, when I showed up with my team, they took about 45
8would have been really if this were89handed to me at the time, I would have910said what the hell are you looking at10	In any event, when I showed up with my team, they took about 45 es to show up themselves and I said
8would have been really if this were89handed to me at the time, I would have910said what the hell are you looking at1011whether Poulos is connected to Antifa? We11	In any event, when I showed up with my team, they took about 45 es to show up themselves and I said bing to really need all the draft
8would have been really if this were89handed to me at the time, I would have910said what the hell are you looking at1011whether Poulos is connected to Antifa? We1112don't have time for that. Maybe he is.12	In any event, when I showed up with my team, they took about 45 es to show up themselves and I said bing to really need all the draft laints. They didn't have any so we
8would have been really if this were89handed to me at the time, I would have910said what the hell are you looking at1011whether Poulos is connected to Antifa? We1112don't have time for that. Maybe he is.1213We don't have time for that.13	In any event, when I showed up with my team, they took about 45 es to show up themselves and I said bing to really need all the draft laints. They didn't have any so we o start from the beginning.
8would have been really if this were89handed to me at the time, I would have910said what the hell are you looking at1011whether Poulos is connected to Antifa? We1112don't have time for that.1213We don't have time for that.1314Q. Okay. So to my question, you14	In any event, when I showed up with my team, they took about 45 es to show up themselves and I said bing to really need all the draft laints. They didn't have any so we o start from the beginning. They did have a complaint in
8would have been really if this were89handed to me at the time, I would have910said what the hell are you looking at1011whether Poulos is connected to Antifa? We1112don't have time for that.1213We don't have time for that.1314Q. Okay. So to my question, you1415were already heading up the legal portion15	In any event, when I showed up with my team, they took about 45 es to show up themselves and I said bing to really need all the draft laints. They didn't have any so we o start from the beginning. They did have a complaint in were going about filing a complaint
8would have been really if this were89handed to me at the time, I would have910said what the hell are you looking at1011whether Poulos is connected to Antifa? We1112don't have time for that.1213We don't have time for that.1314Q. Okay. So to my question, you1415were already heading up the legal portion1516of the campaign as of Friday, November16	In any event, when I showed up with my team, they took about 45 es to show up themselves and I said bing to really need all the draft laints. They didn't have any so we o start from the beginning. They did have a complaint in
8would have been really if this were89handed to me at the time, I would have910said what the hell are you looking at1011whether Poulos is connected to Antifa? We1112don't have time for that. Maybe he is.1213We don't have time for that.1314Q. Okay. So to my question, you1415were already heading up the legal portion1516of the campaign as of Friday, November161713th at 5:13 p.m.?17	In any event, when I showed up with my team, they took about 45 es to show up themselves and I said bing to really need all the draft laints. They didn't have any so we o start from the beginning. They did have a complaint in were going about filing a complaint msylvania. Before I could spend any time on that, Pennsylvania became a
8would have been really if this were89handed to me at the time, I would have910said what the hell are you looking at1011whether Poulos is connected to Antifa? We1112don't have time for that.1213We don't have time for that.1314Q. Okay. So to my question, you1415were already heading up the legal portion1516of the campaign as of Friday, November161713th at 5:13 p.m.?1718A. Yeah, and the campaign was doing18	In any event, when I showed up with my team, they took about 45 es to show up themselves and I said bing to really need all the draft laints. They didn't have any so we o start from the beginning. They did have a complaint in were going about filing a complaint ansylvania. Before I could spend any time on that, Pennsylvania became a issue because our people were being
8would have been really if this were89handed to me at the time, I would have910said what the hell are you looking at1011whether Poulos is connected to Antifa? We1112don't have time for that.1213We don't have time for that.1314Q. Okay. So to my question, you1415were already heading up the legal portion1516of the campaign as of Friday, November161713th at 5:13 p.m.?1718A. Yeah, and the campaign was doing1919everything it could to keep things from19	In any event, when I showed up with my team, they took about 45 es to show up themselves and I said bing to really need all the draft laints. They didn't have any so we o start from the beginning. They did have a complaint in were going about filing a complaint insylvania. Before I could spend any time on that, Pennsylvania became a issue because our people were being but in Pennsylvania and I sent Corey
8would have been really if this were89handed to me at the time, I would have910said what the hell are you looking at1011whether Poulos is connected to Antifa? We1112don't have time for that. Maybe he is.1213We don't have time for that.1314Q. Okay. So to my question, you1415were already heading up the legal portion1516of the campaign as of Friday, November161713th at 5:13 p.m.?1718A. Yeah, and the campaign was doing1919everything it could to keep things from1920me, including undermining the litigation20	In any event, when I showed up with my team, they took about 45 es to show up themselves and I said bing to really need all the draft laints. They didn't have any so we o start from the beginning. They did have a complaint in were going about filing a complaint msylvania. Before I could spend any time on that, Pennsylvania became a issue because our people were being out in Pennsylvania and I sent Corey ne former Attorney General there.
8would have been really if this were89handed to me at the time, I would have9there10said what the hell are you looking at10minu11whether Poulos is connected to Antifa? We11I'm g12don't have time for that. Maybe he is.12comp13We don't have time for that.13had to14Q. Okay. So to my question, you141415were already heading up the legal portion15they16of the campaign as of Friday, November16in Per1713th at 5:13 p.m.?17more18A. Yeah, and the campaign was doing19shut of20me, including undermining the litigation20and the21in Pennsylvania which is a totally213	In any event, when I showed up with my team, they took about 45 es to show up themselves and I said bing to really need all the draft laints. They didn't have any so we o start from the beginning. They did have a complaint in were going about filing a complaint insylvania. Before I could spend any time on that, Pennsylvania became a issue because our people were being but in Pennsylvania and I sent Corey
8would have been really if this were89handed to me at the time, I would have910said what the hell are you looking at1011whether Poulos is connected to Antifa? We1112don't have time for that.1213We don't have time for that.1314Q. Okay. So to my question, you1415were already heading up the legal portion1516of the campaign as of Friday, November161713th at 5:13 p.m.?1718A. Yeah, and the campaign was doing1919everything it could to keep things from1920me, including undermining the litigation2021in Pennsylvania which is a totally2122where22	In any event, when I showed up with my team, they took about 45 es to show up themselves and I said bing to really need all the draft laints. They didn't have any so we o start from the beginning. They did have a complaint in were going about filing a complaint ansylvania. Before I could spend any time on that, Pennsylvania became a issue because our people were being but in Pennsylvania and I sent Corey he former Attorney General there. And when they came back, I said c's our Pennsylvania lawsuit? We
8would have been really if this were89handed to me at the time, I would have910said what the hell are you looking at1011whether Poulos is connected to Antifa? We1112don't have time for that.1213We don't have time for that.1314Q. Okay. So to my question, you1415were already heading up the legal portion1516of the campaign as of Friday, November161713th at 5:13 p.m.?1718A. Yeah, and the campaign was doing1919everything it could to keep things from1920me, including undermining the litigation2021in Pennsylvania which is a totally2122where23Q. Explain what you mean by that,	In any event, when I showed up with my team, they took about 45 es to show up themselves and I said bing to really need all the draft laints. They didn't have any so we o start from the beginning. They did have a complaint in vere going about filing a complaint msylvania. Before I could spend any time on that, Pennsylvania became a r issue because our people were being but in Pennsylvania and I sent Corey he former Attorney General there. And when they came back, I said
8would have been really if this were89handed to me at the time, I would have910said what the hell are you looking at1011whether Poulos is connected to Antifa? We1112don't have time for that.1213We don't have time for that.1314Q. Okay. So to my question, you1415were already heading up the legal portion1516of the campaign as of Friday, November161713th at 5:13 p.m.?1718A. Yeah, and the campaign was doing1919everything it could to keep things from1920me, including undermining the litigation2021in Pennsylvania which is a totally2122where2223Q. Explain what you mean by that,23	In any event, when I showed up with my team, they took about 45 es to show up themselves and I said bing to really need all the draft laints. They didn't have any so we o start from the beginning. They did have a complaint in were going about filing a complaint ansylvania. Before I could spend any time on that, Pennsylvania became a issue because our people were being but in Pennsylvania and I sent Corey he former Attorney General there. And when they came back, I said c's our Pennsylvania lawsuit? We
8would have been really if this were89handed to me at the time, I would have910said what the hell are you looking at1011whether Poulos is connected to Antifa? We1112don't have time for that. Maybe he is.1213We don't have time for that.1314Q. Okay. So to my question, you1415were already heading up the legal portion1516of the campaign as of Friday, November161713th at 5:13 p.m.?1718A. Yeah, and the campaign was doing1919everything it could to keep things from1920me, including undermining the litigation2021in Pennsylvania which is a totally2122where2223Q. Explain what you mean by that,2324the campaign was trying to keep things24	In any event, when I showed up with my team, they took about 45 es to show up themselves and I said bing to really need all the draft laints. They didn't have any so we o start from the beginning. They did have a complaint in were going about filing a complaint insylvania. Before I could spend any time on that, Pennsylvania became a issue because our people were being but in Pennsylvania and I sent Corey he former Attorney General there. And when they came back, I said c's our Pennsylvania lawsuit? We have one so I started writing one

^{36 (}Pages 138 - 141)

1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	in it all of the much like the Michigan	2	Not only did they never see it, they
3	complaint which we submitted to you which	3	didn't have the energy to go investigate
4	outlines 100 affidavits, specific ones	4	it. They were basically looking for jobs.
5	demonstrating fraud, we put together one	5	Q. I think your
6	for Pennsylvania like that and we	6	A. I mean I've been involved in
7	submitted it. And the lawyer in charge,	7	many, many presidential campaigns. This
8	Mr. Hicks, had to resign because his law	8	campaign had checked out three weeks
9	firm was pressuring him. He had refused	9	earlier and they undermined I even have
10	to resign and then his wife received death	10	memos
11	threats.	11	MR. SIBLEY: Let's not talk about
12	Q. But this isn't responsive to	12	memos.
13	what	13	A from Republican National
14	A. Yes, it is.	14	Committee people and from other people on
15	Q I was asking.	15	the campaign telling people not to
16	A. And	16	cooperate with us, Jenna and me, because
17	Q. Let's get to the response part	17	the republican party will do better if
18	then.	18	Trump loses, they'll collect more money.
19	A. And without telling me, the	19	There was no question there was a major
20	campaign, although I was supposedly in	20	effort to undercut what we were doing.
21	charge, submitted its own complaint	21	Q. So turmoil within the campaign
22	without fraud alleged in it.	22	because of
23	Q. Is that Justin Clark?	23	MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form.
24	A. Justin Clark and somebody else,	24	THE WITNESS: Well, it put us in
25	Morgan something. And I think Morgan is	25	a position where they were
	Page 142		Page 144
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
	GIULIANI the one who did this.	1 2	GIULIANI undercutting him, they wanted to
1 2 3			
2	the one who did this.	2	undercutting him, they wanted to
2 3	the one who did this. I found out the night before the	2 3	undercutting him, they wanted to defeat him. So when you give me this
2 3 4	the one who did this. I found out the night before the argument, even though I was going to argue the case, that I was going to argue the	2 3 4	undercutting him, they wanted to defeat him. So when you give me this and it's done by the campaign, I don't
2 3 4 5	the one who did this. I found out the night before the argument, even though I was going to argue	2 3 4 5	undercutting him, they wanted to defeat him. So when you give me this and it's done by the campaign, I don't know who they are working for. The
2 3 4 5 6	the one who did this. I found out the night before the argument, even though I was going to argue the case, that I was going to argue the second complaint, not the one that I had	2 3 4 5 6	undercutting him, they wanted to defeat him. So when you give me this and it's done by the campaign, I don't know who they are working for. The complaint that was substituted for the complaint that I had worked out with
2 3 4 5 6 7	the one who did this. I found out the night before the argument, even though I was going to argue the case, that I was going to argue the second complaint, not the one that I had drafted, so I made a quick motion before	2 3 4 5 6 7	undercutting him, they wanted to defeat him. So when you give me this and it's done by the campaign, I don't know who they are working for. The complaint that was substituted for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the one who did this. I found out the night before the argument, even though I was going to argue the case, that I was going to argue the second complaint, not the one that I had drafted, so I made a quick motion before the judge for yet another amended	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	undercutting him, they wanted to defeat him. So when you give me this and it's done by the campaign, I don't know who they are working for. The complaint that was substituted for the complaint that I had worked out with Hicks completely subverted our theory
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the one who did this. I found out the night before the argument, even though I was going to argue the case, that I was going to argue the second complaint, not the one that I had drafted, so I made a quick motion before the judge for yet another amended complaint to restore our fraud.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	undercutting him, they wanted to defeat him. So when you give me this and it's done by the campaign, I don't know who they are working for. The complaint that was substituted for the complaint that I had worked out with Hicks completely subverted our theory of the case, was done in order to tank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the one who did this. I found out the night before the argument, even though I was going to argue the case, that I was going to argue the second complaint, not the one that I had drafted, so I made a quick motion before the judge for yet another amended complaint to restore our fraud. And the reason why this statement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	undercutting him, they wanted to defeat him. So when you give me this and it's done by the campaign, I don't know who they are working for. The complaint that was substituted for the complaint that I had worked out with Hicks completely subverted our theory of the case, was done in order to tank the case and was done without ever
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the one who did this. I found out the night before the argument, even though I was going to argue the case, that I was going to argue the second complaint, not the one that I had drafted, so I made a quick motion before the judge for yet another amended complaint to restore our fraud. And the reason why this statement is used against me so often that I said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	undercutting him, they wanted to defeat him. So when you give me this and it's done by the campaign, I don't know who they are working for. The complaint that was substituted for the complaint that I had worked out with Hicks completely subverted our theory of the case, was done in order to tank the case and was done without ever telling me, and there were more than a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the one who did this. I found out the night before the argument, even though I was going to argue the case, that I was going to argue the second complaint, not the one that I had drafted, so I made a quick motion before the judge for yet another amended complaint to restore our fraud. And the reason why this statement is used against me so often that I said there was no fraud is I didn't say there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	undercutting him, they wanted to defeat him. So when you give me this and it's done by the campaign, I don't know who they are working for. The complaint that was substituted for the complaint that I had worked out with Hicks completely subverted our theory of the case, was done in order to tank the case and was done without ever telling me, and there were more than a few acts like this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the one who did this. I found out the night before the argument, even though I was going to argue the case, that I was going to argue the second complaint, not the one that I had drafted, so I made a quick motion before the judge for yet another amended complaint to restore our fraud. And the reason why this statement is used against me so often that I said there was no fraud is I didn't say there was no fraud in the case, I said there was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	undercutting him, they wanted to defeat him. So when you give me this and it's done by the campaign, I don't know who they are working for. The complaint that was substituted for the complaint that I had worked out with Hicks completely subverted our theory of the case, was done in order to tank the case and was done without ever telling me, and there were more than a few acts like this. BY MR. CAIN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the one who did this. I found out the night before the argument, even though I was going to argue the case, that I was going to argue the second complaint, not the one that I had drafted, so I made a quick motion before the judge for yet another amended complaint to restore our fraud. And the reason why this statement is used against me so often that I said there was no fraud is I didn't say there was no fraud in the case, I said there was no fraud in the complaint that had been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	undercutting him, they wanted to defeat him. So when you give me this and it's done by the campaign, I don't know who they are working for. The complaint that was substituted for the complaint that I had worked out with Hicks completely subverted our theory of the case, was done in order to tank the case and was done without ever telling me, and there were more than a few acts like this. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Did you ask
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the one who did this. I found out the night before the argument, even though I was going to argue the case, that I was going to argue the second complaint, not the one that I had drafted, so I made a quick motion before the judge for yet another amended complaint to restore our fraud. And the reason why this statement is used against me so often that I said there was no fraud is I didn't say there was no fraud in the case, I said there was no fraud in the complaint that had been substituted for my complaint because they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	undercutting him, they wanted to defeat him. So when you give me this and it's done by the campaign, I don't know who they are working for. The complaint that was substituted for the complaint that I had worked out with Hicks completely subverted our theory of the case, was done in order to tank the case and was done without ever telling me, and there were more than a few acts like this. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Did you ask A. It doesn't help me to show me
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	the one who did this. I found out the night before the argument, even though I was going to argue the case, that I was going to argue the second complaint, not the one that I had drafted, so I made a quick motion before the judge for yet another amended complaint to restore our fraud. And the reason why this statement is used against me so often that I said there was no fraud is I didn't say there was no fraud in the case, I said there was no fraud in the complaint that had been substituted for my complaint because they didn't they didn't agree that we should	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array}$	undercutting him, they wanted to defeat him. So when you give me this and it's done by the campaign, I don't know who they are working for. The complaint that was substituted for the complaint that I had worked out with Hicks completely subverted our theory of the case, was done in order to tank the case and was done without ever telling me, and there were more than a few acts like this. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Did you ask A. It doesn't help me to show me something done by somebody I don't know.
$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ \end{array} $	the one who did this. I found out the night before the argument, even though I was going to argue the case, that I was going to argue the second complaint, not the one that I had drafted, so I made a quick motion before the judge for yet another amended complaint to restore our fraud. And the reason why this statement is used against me so often that I said there was no fraud is I didn't say there was no fraud in the case, I said there was no fraud in the complaint that had been substituted for my complaint because they didn't they didn't agree that we should go about the lawyer's task of trying to	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ \end{array}$	undercutting him, they wanted to defeat him. So when you give me this and it's done by the campaign, I don't know who they are working for. The complaint that was substituted for the complaint that I had worked out with Hicks completely subverted our theory of the case, was done in order to tank the case and was done without ever telling me, and there were more than a few acts like this. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Did you ask A. It doesn't help me to show me something done by somebody I don't know. I mean this could have been done because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the one who did this. I found out the night before the argument, even though I was going to argue the case, that I was going to argue the second complaint, not the one that I had drafted, so I made a quick motion before the judge for yet another amended complaint to restore our fraud. And the reason why this statement is used against me so often that I said there was no fraud is I didn't say there was no fraud in the case, I said there was no fraud in the complaint that had been substituted for my complaint because they didn't they didn't agree that we should go about the lawyer's task of trying to prove fraud in all of the different	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18 \end{array}$	undercutting him, they wanted to defeat him. So when you give me this and it's done by the campaign, I don't know who they are working for. The complaint that was substituted for the complaint that I had worked out with Hicks completely subverted our theory of the case, was done in order to tank the case and was done without ever telling me, and there were more than a few acts like this. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Did you ask A. It doesn't help me to show me something done by somebody I don't know. I mean this could have been done because he would have much preferred this thing
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array} $	the one who did this. I found out the night before the argument, even though I was going to argue the case, that I was going to argue the second complaint, not the one that I had drafted, so I made a quick motion before the judge for yet another amended complaint to restore our fraud. And the reason why this statement is used against me so often that I said there was no fraud is I didn't say there was no fraud in the case, I said there was no fraud in the complaint that had been substituted for my complaint because they didn't they didn't agree that we should go about the lawyer's task of trying to prove fraud in all of the different states.	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array}$	undercutting him, they wanted to defeat him. So when you give me this and it's done by the campaign, I don't know who they are working for. The complaint that was substituted for the complaint that I had worked out with Hicks completely subverted our theory of the case, was done in order to tank the case and was done without ever telling me, and there were more than a few acts like this. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Did you ask A. It doesn't help me to show me something done by somebody I don't know. I mean this could have been done because he would have much preferred this thing all go away and the president just concede.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	the one who did this. I found out the night before the argument, even though I was going to argue the case, that I was going to argue the second complaint, not the one that I had drafted, so I made a quick motion before the judge for yet another amended complaint to restore our fraud. And the reason why this statement is used against me so often that I said there was no fraud is I didn't say there was no fraud in the case, I said there was no fraud in the complaint that had been substituted for my complaint because they didn't they didn't agree that we should go about the lawyer's task of trying to prove fraud in all of the different states. Q. And you disagreed with that?	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	undercutting him, they wanted to defeat him. So when you give me this and it's done by the campaign, I don't know who they are working for. The complaint that was substituted for the complaint that I had worked out with Hicks completely subverted our theory of the case, was done in order to tank the case and was done without ever telling me, and there were more than a few acts like this. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Did you ask A. It doesn't help me to show me something done by somebody I don't know. I mean this could have been done because he would have much preferred this thing all go away and the president just concede. Q. Okay. So did you have access to
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	the one who did this. I found out the night before the argument, even though I was going to argue the case, that I was going to argue the second complaint, not the one that I had drafted, so I made a quick motion before the judge for yet another amended complaint to restore our fraud. And the reason why this statement is used against me so often that I said there was no fraud is I didn't say there was no fraud in the case, I said there was no fraud in the complaint that had been substituted for my complaint because they didn't they didn't agree that we should go about the lawyer's task of trying to prove fraud in all of the different states. Q. And you disagreed with that? A. I knew that if that's what we	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	undercutting him, they wanted to defeat him. So when you give me this and it's done by the campaign, I don't know who they are working for. The complaint that was substituted for the complaint that I had worked out with Hicks completely subverted our theory of the case, was done in order to tank the case and was done without ever telling me, and there were more than a few acts like this. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Did you ask A. It doesn't help me to show me something done by somebody I don't know. I mean this could have been done because he would have much preferred this thing all go away and the president just concede.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	the one who did this. I found out the night before the argument, even though I was going to argue the case, that I was going to argue the second complaint, not the one that I had drafted, so I made a quick motion before the judge for yet another amended complaint to restore our fraud. And the reason why this statement is used against me so often that I said there was no fraud is I didn't say there was no fraud in the case, I said there was no fraud in the complaint that had been substituted for my complaint because they didn't they didn't agree that we should go about the lawyer's task of trying to prove fraud in all of the different states. Q. And you disagreed with that? A. I knew that if that's what we did, even if we were to get it in court we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	undercutting him, they wanted to defeat him. So when you give me this and it's done by the campaign, I don't know who they are working for. The complaint that was substituted for the complaint that I had worked out with Hicks completely subverted our theory of the case, was done in order to tank the case and was done without ever telling me, and there were more than a few acts like this. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Did you ask A. It doesn't help me to show me something done by somebody I don't know. I mean this could have been done because he would have much preferred this thing all go away and the president just concede. Q. Okay. So did you have access to the research done by the communications
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	the one who did this. I found out the night before the argument, even though I was going to argue the case, that I was going to argue the second complaint, not the one that I had drafted, so I made a quick motion before the judge for yet another amended complaint to restore our fraud. And the reason why this statement is used against me so often that I said there was no fraud is I didn't say there was no fraud in the case, I said there was no fraud in the complaint that had been substituted for my complaint because they didn't they didn't agree that we should go about the lawyer's task of trying to prove fraud in all of the different states. Q. And you disagreed with that? A. I knew that if that's what we did, even if we were to get it in court we wouldn't have proved anything that would	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	undercutting him, they wanted to defeat him. So when you give me this and it's done by the campaign, I don't know who they are working for. The complaint that was substituted for the complaint that I had worked out with Hicks completely subverted our theory of the case, was done in order to tank the case and was done without ever telling me, and there were more than a few acts like this. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Did you ask A. It doesn't help me to show me something done by somebody I don't know. I mean this could have been done because he would have much preferred this thing all go away and the president just concede. Q. Okay. So did you have access to the research done by the communications department if you wanted it?
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	the one who did this. I found out the night before the argument, even though I was going to argue the case, that I was going to argue the second complaint, not the one that I had drafted, so I made a quick motion before the judge for yet another amended complaint to restore our fraud. And the reason why this statement is used against me so often that I said there was no fraud is I didn't say there was no fraud in the case, I said there was no fraud in the complaint that had been substituted for my complaint because they didn't they didn't agree that we should go about the lawyer's task of trying to prove fraud in all of the different states. Q. And you disagreed with that? A. I knew that if that's what we did, even if we were to get it in court we wouldn't have proved anything that would overturn the election. The fact is that	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	undercutting him, they wanted to defeat him. So when you give me this and it's done by the campaign, I don't know who they are working for. The complaint that was substituted for the complaint that I had worked out with Hicks completely subverted our theory of the case, was done in order to tank the case and was done without ever telling me, and there were more than a few acts like this. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Did you ask A. It doesn't help me to show me something done by somebody I don't know. I mean this could have been done because he would have much preferred this thing all go away and the president just concede. Q. Okay. So did you have access to the research done by the communications department if you wanted it? A. I don't know why I'd want it.

^{37 (}Pages 142 - 145)

1			
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	department research? I'm a lawyer. They	2	Spain, you made comments in the press
3	are politicians. Yes, I mean I might want	3	conference about Spain well, we may
4	specific things but I'm not going to use	4	talk about that and Germany and Soros
5	the communications department of the	5	and Smartmatic and all that stuff, but in
6	campaign to do legal research. Plus I	6	terms of the Coomer information on this
7	didn't know what side the Trump campaign	7	document, if you'd turn to page 14.
8	was working for.	8	A. I think this is 14, TC 14?
9	Q. So	9	Q. Yes, sir. In the middle section
10	A. I thought the majority of them	10	of this campaign document, it says
11	were working for him to concede as soon as	11	Dominion's leadership has no ties to
12	possible so they could move on to another	12	Antifa, there is no evidence to the claim
13	job and so they wouldn't be criticized too	13	that Dominion's head of strategy and
14	heavily in the Washington Post because	14	security has ties to Antifa. And then
15	there was a tyranny of fear going on at	15	there's a little note. Similarly there's
16	the time pushed by the Post and anybody	16	no evidence that Dominion's CEO, John
17	doing this is some kind of a maniac, right	17	Poulos, has ties to Antifa.
18	wing and it affected my people. It	18	And then it goes on to talk about
19	affected Hicks dropping out. It affected	19	an Internet rumor that a top-level
20	most of the people in the campaign acting	20	employee has ties to Antifa and you can
21	based on their true loyalty, which is	21	read it down there.
22	Washington politicians wanting to hold	22	In the middle it says the rumors
23	onto jobs in Washington and not wanting to	23	are fueled because of now deleted
24	go against the establishment, there was no	24	antipolice Facebook posts.
25	fraud, there was no fraud.	25	A. I don't see that.
	Page 146		Page 148
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	Q. All right.	2	Q. No, sir, it's down about
3	A. Gee, I don't know, this nice	3	two-thirds of the way down.
4	little Indian lady says the democratic	4	Those rumors are fueled because
5	party in Michigan spent two weeks teaching	5	of now deleted antipolice Facebook posts.
6	how to cheat. Is she lying?	6	A. Antipolice?
7	Q. I don't know what you're	7	Q. Yes, sir.
8	referring to. If you turn to page	8	A. A blogger under the pseudonym
9	A. It's in the papers.	9	Conservative Treehouse alleged that
10	Q. But I'm not asking you about the	10	Dr. Eric Coomer, who he claimed was head
11	Indian lady at this point.	10	of strategy for Dominion, was a supporter
12	A. I know you're not but you're	12	of Antifa for now-deleted Facebook posts
12	asking me whether I have any confidence in	12	in which Coomer allegedly posted
		13	III WINCH COULIEL Anegeuly posted
	•		• • •
14	this document and I'm explaining to you	14	anti-police songs. They were posts that
14 15	this document and I'm explaining to you why I don't.	14 15	anti-police songs. They were posts that Dr. Eric Coomer posted in May. He is the
14 15 16	<ul><li>this document and I'm explaining to you</li><li>why I don't.</li><li>Q. Let's actually look at it so you</li></ul>	14 15 16	anti-police songs. They were posts that Dr. Eric Coomer posted in May. He is the man that is responsible for the
14 15 16 17	<ul><li>this document and I'm explaining to you why I don't.</li><li>Q. Let's actually look at it so you can respond to this.</li></ul>	14 15 16 17	anti-police songs. They were posts that Dr. Eric Coomer posted in May. He is the man that is responsible for the strategy noticed the anti-American
14 15 16 17 18	<ul><li>this document and I'm explaining to you why I don't.</li><li>Q. Let's actually look at it so you can respond to this.</li><li>A. I didn't realize this came from</li></ul>	14 15 16 17 18	anti-police songs. They were posts that Dr. Eric Coomer posted in May. He is the man that is responsible for the strategy noticed the anti-American sentiment. Eric Coomer is a major
14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul><li>this document and I'm explaining to you why I don't.</li><li>Q. Let's actually look at it so you can respond to this.</li><li>A. I didn't realize this came from one of the campaign people.</li></ul>	14 15 16 17 18 19	anti-police songs. They were posts that Dr. Eric Coomer posted in May. He is the man that is responsible for the strategy noticed the anti-American sentiment. Eric Coomer is a major shareholder in Dominion voting, et cetera.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul><li>this document and I'm explaining to you why I don't.</li><li>Q. Let's actually look at it so you can respond to this.</li><li>A. I didn't realize this came from one of the campaign people.</li><li>Q. Yeah, it is a campaign document.</li></ul>	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	anti-police songs. They were posts that Dr. Eric Coomer posted in May. He is the man that is responsible for the strategy noticed the anti-American sentiment. Eric Coomer is a major shareholder in Dominion voting, et cetera. Okay.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul><li>this document and I'm explaining to you why I don't.</li><li>Q. Let's actually look at it so you can respond to this.</li><li>A. I didn't realize this came from one of the campaign people.</li><li>Q. Yeah, it is a campaign document.</li><li>A. I would have considered it a</li></ul>	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>anti-police songs. They were posts that</li> <li>Dr. Eric Coomer posted in May. He is the man that is responsible for the</li> <li>strategy noticed the anti-American</li> <li>sentiment. Eric Coomer is a major</li> <li>shareholder in Dominion voting, et cetera.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>Q. And then you go to the next page,</li> </ul>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>this document and I'm explaining to you why I don't.</li> <li>Q. Let's actually look at it so you can respond to this.</li> <li>A. I didn't realize this came from one of the campaign people.</li> <li>Q. Yeah, it is a campaign document.</li> <li>A. I would have considered it a useless piece of information because I</li> </ul>	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>anti-police songs. They were posts that</li> <li>Dr. Eric Coomer posted in May. He is the man that is responsible for the strategy noticed the anti-American sentiment. Eric Coomer is a major shareholder in Dominion voting, et cetera. Okay.</li> <li>Q. And then you go to the next page, there's actually the post that he's</li> </ul>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>this document and I'm explaining to you why I don't.</li> <li>Q. Let's actually look at it so you can respond to this.</li> <li>A. I didn't realize this came from one of the campaign people.</li> <li>Q. Yeah, it is a campaign document.</li> <li>A. I would have considered it a useless piece of information because I would not have known the motive behind it.</li> </ul>	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>anti-police songs. They were posts that</li> <li>Dr. Eric Coomer posted in May. He is the man that is responsible for the strategy noticed the anti-American sentiment. Eric Coomer is a major shareholder in Dominion voting, et cetera. Okay.</li> <li>Q. And then you go to the next page, there's actually the post that he's referring to.</li> </ul>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>this document and I'm explaining to you why I don't.</li> <li>Q. Let's actually look at it so you can respond to this.</li> <li>A. I didn't realize this came from one of the campaign people.</li> <li>Q. Yeah, it is a campaign document.</li> <li>A. I would have considered it a useless piece of information because I would not have known the motive behind it.</li> <li>Q. So in terms of the stuff we're</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>anti-police songs. They were posts that</li> <li>Dr. Eric Coomer posted in May. He is the man that is responsible for the strategy noticed the anti-American sentiment. Eric Coomer is a major shareholder in Dominion voting, et cetera. Okay.</li> <li>Q. And then you go to the next page, there's actually the post that he's referring to.</li> <li>A. This is the post allegedly from</li> </ul>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>this document and I'm explaining to you why I don't.</li> <li>Q. Let's actually look at it so you can respond to this.</li> <li>A. I didn't realize this came from one of the campaign people.</li> <li>Q. Yeah, it is a campaign document.</li> <li>A. I would have considered it a useless piece of information because I would not have known the motive behind it.</li> </ul>	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>anti-police songs. They were posts that</li> <li>Dr. Eric Coomer posted in May. He is the man that is responsible for the strategy noticed the anti-American sentiment. Eric Coomer is a major shareholder in Dominion voting, et cetera. Okay.</li> <li>Q. And then you go to the next page, there's actually the post that he's referring to.</li> </ul>

^{38 (}Pages 146 - 149)

1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	Q. Yes. And then after that post it	2	big difference between being a member of
3	says, however, there is the conclusion	3	Antifa and being close to Antifa.
4	is however, there is no evidence that Eric	4	Q. Okay, well, I'm trying to find
5	Coomer is a supporter of Antifa in any	5	out
6	way.	6	A. Membership suggests that there's
7	Do you know if Dr. Coomer is	7	some kind of membership ceremony, that he
8	involved as an Antifa member?	8	underwent that ceremony and was a member
9	MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.	9	of it and that I knew that. First of all,
10	THE WITNESS: I've seen, I	10	I don't know if there is a membership
11	thought they were tweets but they may	11	ceremony. I've represented organized
12	be Facebook posts in which he was	12	crime groups that have it and organized
13	tweeting things that were supportive	13	crime groups that don't. Antifa could be
14	of what Antifa was doing.	14	an organized crime group, a terrorist
15	BY MR. CAIN:	15	group that doesn't have a membership
16	Q. Well, that might be two different	16	ceremony. And I never asserted that he
17	questions.	17	was a member because I wasn't told that.
18	A. No, no, supportive of defunding	18	I was told that he was close to Antifa and
19	the police, supportive of the riots.	19	that he tweeted or put on social media
20	Q. What makes someone an Antifa	20	supportive comments of which two or three
21	member in your mind?	21	were shown to me and I was told there were
22	A. I don't know the basis for	22	more.
23	admission to Antifa but the things that he	23	Q. Okay. So close to
24	texted and tweeted didn't so much say he	24	A. All it was really was just one
25	was a member of Antifa, they supported	25	piece of about 12 other pieces of
	Page 150		Page 152
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	Antifa in their campaign against the	2	corroborative evidence that it was
3	police.	3	certainly plausible that he'd be somebody
4	Q. Can you name or identify any	4	who would want to fix the election against
5	structure to that organization? In other	5	Donald Trump. He also had tweets about
6	words, did they tell you that Dr. Coomer	6	horrible things about Donald Trump saying
7	was in	7	terrible things about him.
8	A. I	8	Q. And that's what makes him close
9	MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.	9	to Antifa in your mind?
10	BY MR. CAIN:	10	MR. SIBLEY: Objection to form.
11	Q. Let me finish.	11	THE WITNESS: No, no, no, you
12	A. Okay.	12	didn't listen to what I said. I said
13	Q a particular organization, a	13	this is just one piece of evidence of
14	communist party group, you know, something	14	12 or 13 others that made it plausible
15	along those lines that would support the	15	that it was true that he knew that the
16	notion that he is, quote, a member of	16	election was going to be fixed.
17	Antifa, close quote?	17	BY MR. CAIN:
18	MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.	18	Q. Plausible that it's true that he
	÷		
19	THE WITNESS: I didn't say he was	19	knew that the election was fixed?
20	THE WITNESS: I didn't say he was a member of Antifa.	20	knew that the election was fixed? A. That's what I said.
20 21	THE WITNESS: I didn't say he was a member of Antifa. BY MR. CAIN:	20 21	<ul><li>A. That's what I said.</li><li>Q. That's what you were saying in</li></ul>
20 21 22	THE WITNESS: I didn't say he was a member of Antifa. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Okay. So you don't think he is?	20 21 22	<ul><li>A. That's what I said.</li><li>Q. That's what you were saying in the press conference?</li></ul>
20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: I didn't say he was a member of Antifa. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Okay. So you don't think he is? A. I don't know what I think, it's a	20 21 22 23	<ul><li>A. That's what I said.</li><li>Q. That's what you were saying in the press conference?</li><li>A. They are going to fix this</li></ul>
20 21 22 23 24	THE WITNESS: I didn't say he was a member of Antifa. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Okay. So you don't think he is? A. I don't know what I think, it's a long time ago. I'm telling you you	20 21 22 23 24	<ul><li>A. That's what I said.</li><li>Q. That's what you were saying in the press conference?</li><li>A. They are going to fix this election. He's completely warped about</li></ul>
20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: I didn't say he was a member of Antifa. BY MR. CAIN: Q. Okay. So you don't think he is? A. I don't know what I think, it's a	20 21 22 23	<ul><li>A. That's what I said.</li><li>Q. That's what you were saying in the press conference?</li><li>A. They are going to fix this</li></ul>

39 (Pages 150 - 153)

1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	specifically says, this is the language,	2	relationship with Trump. These are people
3	they are gonna fix this election. They	3	who could have been pulled out of the DNC
4	are gonna fix should have said going,	4	and the DNC had more than a few memos
5	terrible English, my mother would be	5	indicating they were trying to undercut
6	really upset they are gonna fix this	6	this entire effort. And there are a
7	let's use the words I used rather than the	7	couple of things here that are kind of
8	words that you would like to think I used.	8	stupid, like they create straw men.
9	I didn't say he was a member of Antifa so	9	No one suggested that Poulos was
10	you should really correct that. I said	10	a member of Antifa. So you prove that
11	he's close to Antifa, real big difference,	11	Poulos isn't a member of Antifa. Come on,
12	and I didn't say that he fixed the	12	that's a phony job. I can pick that out
13	election, I said he said that they are	13	in a second. I used to pull these apart.
14	going to fix this election. So that's	14	I know what this is.
15	what we're talking about.	15	It's hard to believe but there
16	Now, what was this? This, these	16	was within the RNC in particular a
17	statements, to me to be liable I'd have to	17	never-Trumper group and they had to be
18	know they are untrue. Instead I am	18	fired. Right down to the end they were
19	confronted with 12 to 13 of pieces of	19	undercutting, including this guy Reamer.
20	evidence that suggest that he's the kind	20	Q. Well, this memo was on November
21	of guy that would say something like this,	21	14th so they hadn't been fired at least as
22	that this is true.	22	of the time you came on; right?
23	Q. Is this Trump campaign memo	23	A. No, I don't know who these people
24	consistent with your theory of the case?	24	are which indicates to me they are also
25	MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.	25	fairly low level.
	Page 154		Page 156
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	THE WITNESS: This Trump campaign	2	Q. So these low-level folks
3	memo is useless. I would not have	3	A. There's also a lot of work for
4	relied on this. I had to fire people	4	them to put together which means to me it
5	in the Trump campaign for being spies	5	was probably fed to them. If you want a
6			
	and double-cross Eric Reamer was	6	really good, solid investigatory
7	and double-cross Eric Reamer was the general counsel. Eric Reamer, I	6 7	
			really good, solid investigatory conclusion, this is a put-up job. They didn't do this work. They didn't have the
7	the general counsel. Eric Reamer, I	7	conclusion, this is a put-up job. They
7 8	the general counsel. Eric Reamer, I have a text saying don't cooperate	7 8	conclusion, this is a put-up job. They didn't do this work. They didn't have the
7 8 9	the general counsel. Eric Reamer, I have a text saying don't cooperate with Jenna and Rudy, it's over, it	7 8 9	conclusion, this is a put-up job. They didn't do this work. They didn't have the time to do this work.
7 8 9 10	the general counsel. Eric Reamer, I have a text saying don't cooperate with Jenna and Rudy, it's over, it will hurt our getting a job. Oh, and	7 8 9 10	<ul><li>conclusion, this is a put-up job. They didn't do this work. They didn't have the time to do this work.</li><li>Q. Okay, so if you go to page 10.</li></ul>
7 8 9 10 11	the general counsel. Eric Reamer, I have a text saying don't cooperate with Jenna and Rudy, it's over, it will hurt our getting a job. Oh, and by the way, we raise more money when	7 8 9 10 11	<ul><li>conclusion, this is a put-up job. They didn't do this work. They didn't have the time to do this work.</li><li>Q. Okay, so if you go to page 10.</li><li>A. Or the capacity to do it. They</li></ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12	the general counsel. Eric Reamer, I have a text saying don't cooperate with Jenna and Rudy, it's over, it will hurt our getting a job. Oh, and by the way, we raise more money when Trump loses. This could very well have been written to help the	7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul><li>conclusion, this is a put-up job. They didn't do this work. They didn't have the time to do this work.</li><li>Q. Okay, so if you go to page 10.</li><li>A. Or the capacity to do it. They weren't that good.</li><li>Q. If you go to page 10 then since</li></ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the general counsel. Eric Reamer, I have a text saying don't cooperate with Jenna and Rudy, it's over, it will hurt our getting a job. Oh, and by the way, we raise more money when Trump loses. This could very well	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul><li>conclusion, this is a put-up job. They didn't do this work. They didn't have the time to do this work.</li><li>Q. Okay, so if you go to page 10.</li><li>A. Or the capacity to do it. They weren't that good.</li></ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the general counsel. Eric Reamer, I have a text saying don't cooperate with Jenna and Rudy, it's over, it will hurt our getting a job. Oh, and by the way, we raise more money when Trump loses. This could very well have been written to help the opposition. BY MR. CAIN:	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>conclusion, this is a put-up job. They didn't do this work. They didn't have the time to do this work.</li> <li>Q. Okay, so if you go to page 10.</li> <li>A. Or the capacity to do it. They weren't that good.</li> <li>Q. If you go to page 10 then since it has your picture on it and then we'll have to move on from this document. One</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>the general counsel. Eric Reamer, I have a text saying don't cooperate with Jenna and Rudy, it's over, it will hurt our getting a job. Oh, and by the way, we raise more money when Trump loses. This could very well have been written to help the opposition.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Any of these folks on, if you'll</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>conclusion, this is a put-up job. They didn't do this work. They didn't have the time to do this work.</li> <li>Q. Okay, so if you go to page 10.</li> <li>A. Or the capacity to do it. They weren't that good.</li> <li>Q. If you go to page 10 then since it has your picture on it and then we'll have to move on from this document. One of the things that you said at or around</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>the general counsel. Eric Reamer, I have a text saying don't cooperate with Jenna and Rudy, it's over, it will hurt our getting a job. Oh, and by the way, we raise more money when Trump loses. This could very well have been written to help the opposition.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Any of these folks on, if you'll go back to the front, Zach Parkinson, Matt</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>conclusion, this is a put-up job. They didn't do this work. They didn't have the time to do this work.</li> <li>Q. Okay, so if you go to page 10.</li> <li>A. Or the capacity to do it. They weren't that good.</li> <li>Q. If you go to page 10 then since it has your picture on it and then we'll have to move on from this document. One of the things that you said at or around the time in the press conference itself</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>the general counsel. Eric Reamer, I have a text saying don't cooperate with Jenna and Rudy, it's over, it will hurt our getting a job. Oh, and by the way, we raise more money when Trump loses. This could very well have been written to help the opposition.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Any of these folks on, if you'll go back to the front, Zach Parkinson, Matt VanHyfte, H-Y-F-T-E, Jacki I'm going to</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>conclusion, this is a put-up job. They didn't do this work. They didn't have the time to do this work.</li> <li>Q. Okay, so if you go to page 10.</li> <li>A. Or the capacity to do it. They weren't that good.</li> <li>Q. If you go to page 10 then since it has your picture on it and then we'll have to move on from this document. One of the things that you said at or around the time in the press conference itself actually you talked about the Smartmatic</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>the general counsel. Eric Reamer, I have a text saying don't cooperate with Jenna and Rudy, it's over, it will hurt our getting a job. Oh, and by the way, we raise more money when Trump loses. This could very well have been written to help the opposition.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Any of these folks on, if you'll go back to the front, Zach Parkinson, Matt VanHyfte, H-Y-F-T-E, Jacki I'm going to butcher her last name Kotkiewicz</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>conclusion, this is a put-up job. They didn't do this work. They didn't have the time to do this work.</li> <li>Q. Okay, so if you go to page 10.</li> <li>A. Or the capacity to do it. They weren't that good.</li> <li>Q. If you go to page 10 then since it has your picture on it and then we'll have to move on from this document. One of the things that you said at or around the time in the press conference itself actually you talked about the Smartmatic machines sending votes overseas, in this</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>the general counsel. Eric Reamer, I have a text saying don't cooperate with Jenna and Rudy, it's over, it will hurt our getting a job. Oh, and by the way, we raise more money when Trump loses. This could very well have been written to help the opposition.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN: <ul> <li>Q. Any of these folks on, if you'll go back to the front, Zach Parkinson, Matt VanHyfte, H-Y-F-T-E, Jacki I'm going to butcher her last name Kotkiewicz A. Yeah, nobody I know.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>conclusion, this is a put-up job. They didn't do this work. They didn't have the time to do this work.</li> <li>Q. Okay, so if you go to page 10.</li> <li>A. Or the capacity to do it. They weren't that good.</li> <li>Q. If you go to page 10 then since it has your picture on it and then we'll have to move on from this document. One of the things that you said at or around the time in the press conference itself actually you talked about the Smartmatic machines sending votes overseas, in this case to Spain or Germany. Do you remember</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>the general counsel. Eric Reamer, I have a text saying don't cooperate with Jenna and Rudy, it's over, it will hurt our getting a job. Oh, and by the way, we raise more money when Trump loses. This could very well have been written to help the opposition.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Any of these folks on, if you'll go back to the front, Zach Parkinson, Matt VanHyfte, H-Y-F-T-E, Jacki I'm going to butcher her last name Kotkiewicz A. Yeah, nobody I know.</li> <li>Q. Dean Cleary.</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>conclusion, this is a put-up job. They didn't do this work. They didn't have the time to do this work.</li> <li>Q. Okay, so if you go to page 10.</li> <li>A. Or the capacity to do it. They weren't that good.</li> <li>Q. If you go to page 10 then since it has your picture on it and then we'll have to move on from this document. One of the things that you said at or around the time in the press conference itself actually you talked about the Smartmatic machines sending votes overseas, in this case to Spain or Germany. Do you remember that part of the press conference?</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>the general counsel. Eric Reamer, I have a text saying don't cooperate with Jenna and Rudy, it's over, it will hurt our getting a job. Oh, and by the way, we raise more money when Trump loses. This could very well have been written to help the opposition.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Any of these folks on, if you'll go back to the front, Zach Parkinson, Matt VanHyfte, H-Y-F-T-E, Jacki I'm going to butcher her last name Kotkiewicz A. Yeah, nobody I know.</li> <li>Q. Dean Cleary.</li> <li>A. I knew the people closest to</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>conclusion, this is a put-up job. They didn't do this work. They didn't have the time to do this work.</li> <li>Q. Okay, so if you go to page 10.</li> <li>A. Or the capacity to do it. They weren't that good.</li> <li>Q. If you go to page 10 then since it has your picture on it and then we'll have to move on from this document. One of the things that you said at or around the time in the press conference itself actually you talked about the Smartmatic machines sending votes overseas, in this case to Spain or Germany. Do you remember that part of the press conference?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the general counsel. Eric Reamer, I have a text saying don't cooperate with Jenna and Rudy, it's over, it will hurt our getting a job. Oh, and by the way, we raise more money when Trump loses. This could very well have been written to help the opposition.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Any of these folks on, if you'll go back to the front, Zach Parkinson, Matt VanHyfte, H-Y-F-T-E, Jacki I'm going to butcher her last name Kotkiewicz A. Yeah, nobody I know.</li> <li>Q. Dean Cleary.</li> <li>A. I knew the people closest to Trump and they don't fit in that category.</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>conclusion, this is a put-up job. They didn't do this work. They didn't have the time to do this work.</li> <li>Q. Okay, so if you go to page 10.</li> <li>A. Or the capacity to do it. They weren't that good.</li> <li>Q. If you go to page 10 then since it has your picture on it and then we'll have to move on from this document. One of the things that you said at or around the time in the press conference itself actually you talked about the Smartmatic machines sending votes overseas, in this case to Spain or Germany. Do you remember that part of the press conference?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it shows a picture</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>the general counsel. Eric Reamer, I have a text saying don't cooperate with Jenna and Rudy, it's over, it will hurt our getting a job. Oh, and by the way, we raise more money when Trump loses. This could very well have been written to help the opposition.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN: <ul> <li>Q. Any of these folks on, if you'll go back to the front, Zach Parkinson, Matt VanHyfte, H-Y-F-T-E, Jacki I'm going to butcher her last name Kotkiewicz</li> <li>A. Yeah, nobody I know.</li> <li>Q. Dean Cleary.</li> <li>A. I knew the people closest to Trump and they don't fit in that category.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>conclusion, this is a put-up job. They didn't do this work. They didn't have the time to do this work.</li> <li>Q. Okay, so if you go to page 10.</li> <li>A. Or the capacity to do it. They weren't that good.</li> <li>Q. If you go to page 10 then since it has your picture on it and then we'll have to move on from this document. One of the things that you said at or around the time in the press conference itself actually you talked about the Smartmatic machines sending votes overseas, in this case to Spain or Germany. Do you remember that part of the press conference?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it shows a picture here of you making that claim on Fox News?</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the general counsel. Eric Reamer, I have a text saying don't cooperate with Jenna and Rudy, it's over, it will hurt our getting a job. Oh, and by the way, we raise more money when Trump loses. This could very well have been written to help the opposition.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Any of these folks on, if you'll go back to the front, Zach Parkinson, Matt VanHyfte, H-Y-F-T-E, Jacki I'm going to butcher her last name Kotkiewicz A. Yeah, nobody I know.</li> <li>Q. Dean Cleary.</li> <li>A. I knew the people closest to Trump and they don't fit in that category.</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>conclusion, this is a put-up job. They didn't do this work. They didn't have the time to do this work.</li> <li>Q. Okay, so if you go to page 10.</li> <li>A. Or the capacity to do it. They weren't that good.</li> <li>Q. If you go to page 10 then since it has your picture on it and then we'll have to move on from this document. One of the things that you said at or around the time in the press conference itself actually you talked about the Smartmatic machines sending votes overseas, in this case to Spain or Germany. Do you remember that part of the press conference?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it shows a picture</li> </ul>

^{40 (}Pages 154 - 157)

1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	Q. And the conclusion below from	2	wanted Trump to lose because they could
3	this group was however, the only apparent	3	raise more money. Some of them thought
4	evidence that votes were being counted in	4	Trump should never win in the first place.
5	Spain was that Smartmatic is owned by a	5	I mean how about the people in the
6	Spanish person. And then it cites to	6	administration that wrote those ridiculous
7	some	7	letters that turned out to be untrue.
8	A. That's wrong. Phil well, it	8	Q. Well, how about the people in
9	is wrong and it's right. The time that I	9	A. He had spies in the organization
10	said this, we thought it was sent to	10	that wanted him out.
11	Spain. The votes actually were sent to	11	Q. How about the people in the
12	Frankfurt, Germany. In fact, we thought	12	administration that wrote reports about
13	incorrectly that the major computer was in	13	election fraud such as Chris Krebs and the
14	Barcelona, Spain because that's the one	14	CISA folks?
15	they used to help to fix an Italian	15	MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form.
16	election in 2018.	16	BY MR. CAIN:
17	We found out, I can't tell you	17	Q. You were at one point,
18	exactly when, but after it was at about	18	unofficially at least, on Trump's
19	the time that it was destroyed, although	19	cybersecurity advisory committee; right?
20	some votes may have been sent to	20	A. I was.
21	Barcelona, that most of them went to	21	Q. And you were there when CISA was
22	Frankfurt, Germany, and there are analyses	22	created, weren't you?
23	done of the traffic leaving Michigan going	23	A. Um-hum.
24	to Europe. And originally they thought it	24	Q. Is that a yes?
25	was Barcelona. I do think some went to	25	A. Yes, yes, yes.
	Page 158		Page 160
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	GIULIANI Barcelona but the yast majority went to	1 2	GIULIANI O. And CISA. I mean you remember the
2	Barcelona but the vast majority went to	2	Q. And CISA, I mean you remember the
2 3	Barcelona but the vast majority went to Frankfurt, Germany which is where the	2 3	Q. And CISA, I mean you remember the report they issued about election
2 3 4	Barcelona but the vast majority went to Frankfurt, Germany which is where the major computer was, which we were told was	2 3 4	Q. And CISA, I mean you remember the report they issued about election security, it was right around this time.
2 3 4 5	Barcelona but the vast majority went to Frankfurt, Germany which is where the major computer was, which we were told was shared by Smartmatic and Dominion who did	2 3 4 5	Q. And CISA, I mean you remember the report they issued about election security, it was right around this time. I think it was November 12th.
2 3 4 5 6	Barcelona but the vast majority went to Frankfurt, Germany which is where the major computer was, which we were told was shared by Smartmatic and Dominion who did have a joint operating agreement. I know	2 3 4 5 6	Q. And CISA, I mean you remember the report they issued about election security, it was right around this time. I think it was November 12th. MR. ZAKHEM: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Barcelona but the vast majority went to Frankfurt, Germany which is where the major computer was, which we were told was shared by Smartmatic and Dominion who did have a joint operating agreement. I know it says they didn't do business together.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. And CISA, I mean you remember the report they issued about election security, it was right around this time. I think it was November 12th. MR. ZAKHEM: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah, it was so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Barcelona but the vast majority went to Frankfurt, Germany which is where the major computer was, which we were told was shared by Smartmatic and Dominion who did have a joint operating agreement. I know it says they didn't do business together. That's also not true.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And CISA, I mean you remember the report they issued about election security, it was right around this time. I think it was November 12th. MR. ZAKHEM: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah, it was so filled with contradictions and holes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Barcelona but the vast majority went to Frankfurt, Germany which is where the major computer was, which we were told was shared by Smartmatic and Dominion who did have a joint operating agreement. I know it says they didn't do business together. That's also not true. Q. So in terms of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And CISA, I mean you remember the report they issued about election security, it was right around this time. I think it was November 12th. MR. ZAKHEM: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah, it was so filled with contradictions and holes and things they didn't investigate and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Barcelona but the vast majority went to Frankfurt, Germany which is where the major computer was, which we were told was shared by Smartmatic and Dominion who did have a joint operating agreement. I know it says they didn't do business together. That's also not true. Q. So in terms of A. This is very this is this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. And CISA, I mean you remember the report they issued about election security, it was right around this time. I think it was November 12th. MR. ZAKHEM: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah, it was so filled with contradictions and holes and things they didn't investigate and information they didn't even bother to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Barcelona but the vast majority went to Frankfurt, Germany which is where the major computer was, which we were told was shared by Smartmatic and Dominion who did have a joint operating agreement. I know it says they didn't do business together. That's also not true. Q. So in terms of A. This is very this is this information, I can tell you from the form	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. And CISA, I mean you remember the report they issued about election security, it was right around this time. I think it was November 12th. MR. ZAKHEM: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah, it was so filled with contradictions and holes and things they didn't investigate and information they didn't even bother to share or get, questions they didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Barcelona but the vast majority went to Frankfurt, Germany which is where the major computer was, which we were told was shared by Smartmatic and Dominion who did have a joint operating agreement. I know it says they didn't do business together. That's also not true. Q. So in terms of A. This is very this is this information, I can tell you from the form of it because I know how those kids write.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. And CISA, I mean you remember the report they issued about election security, it was right around this time. I think it was November 12th. MR. ZAKHEM: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah, it was so filled with contradictions and holes and things they didn't investigate and information they didn't even bother to share or get, questions they didn't ask, witnesses that they wouldn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Barcelona but the vast majority went to Frankfurt, Germany which is where the major computer was, which we were told was shared by Smartmatic and Dominion who did have a joint operating agreement. I know it says they didn't do business together. That's also not true. Q. So in terms of A. This is very this is this information, I can tell you from the form of it because I know how those kids write. This is a corporate document. This was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And CISA, I mean you remember the report they issued about election security, it was right around this time. I think it was November 12th. MR. ZAKHEM: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah, it was so filled with contradictions and holes and things they didn't investigate and information they didn't even bother to share or get, questions they didn't ask, witnesses that they wouldn't listen to. They refused to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Barcelona but the vast majority went to Frankfurt, Germany which is where the major computer was, which we were told was shared by Smartmatic and Dominion who did have a joint operating agreement. I know it says they didn't do business together. That's also not true. Q. So in terms of A. This is very this is this information, I can tell you from the form of it because I know how those kids write. This is a corporate document. This was written by I don't understand why you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. And CISA, I mean you remember the report they issued about election security, it was right around this time. I think it was November 12th. MR. ZAKHEM: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah, it was so filled with contradictions and holes and things they didn't investigate and information they didn't even bother to share or get, questions they didn't ask, witnesses that they wouldn't listen to. They refused to investigate. They just wrote. That
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Barcelona but the vast majority went to Frankfurt, Germany which is where the major computer was, which we were told was shared by Smartmatic and Dominion who did have a joint operating agreement. I know it says they didn't do business together. That's also not true. Q. So in terms of A. This is very this is this information, I can tell you from the form of it because I know how those kids write. This is a corporate document. This was written by I don't understand why you can't see that. This isn't done by a a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. And CISA, I mean you remember the report they issued about election security, it was right around this time. I think it was November 12th. MR. ZAKHEM: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah, it was so filled with contradictions and holes and things they didn't investigate and information they didn't even bother to share or get, questions they didn't ask, witnesses that they wouldn't listen to. They refused to investigate. They just wrote. That was a totally phony report.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Barcelona but the vast majority went to Frankfurt, Germany which is where the major computer was, which we were told was shared by Smartmatic and Dominion who did have a joint operating agreement. I know it says they didn't do business together. That's also not true. Q. So in terms of A. This is very this is this information, I can tell you from the form of it because I know how those kids write. This is a corporate document. This was written by I don't understand why you can't see that. This isn't done by a a campaign doesn't do this. They don't have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And CISA, I mean you remember the report they issued about election security, it was right around this time. I think it was November 12th. MR. ZAKHEM: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah, it was so filled with contradictions and holes and things they didn't investigate and information they didn't even bother to share or get, questions they didn't ask, witnesses that they wouldn't listen to. They refused to investigate. They just wrote. That was a totally phony report. BY MR. CAIN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Barcelona but the vast majority went to Frankfurt, Germany which is where the major computer was, which we were told was shared by Smartmatic and Dominion who did have a joint operating agreement. I know it says they didn't do business together. That's also not true. Q. So in terms of A. This is very this is this information, I can tell you from the form of it because I know how those kids write. This is a corporate document. This was written by I don't understand why you can't see that. This isn't done by a a campaign doesn't do this. They don't have the capacity to do this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. And CISA, I mean you remember the report they issued about election security, it was right around this time.</li> <li>I think it was November 12th.</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Objection to form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah, it was so filled with contradictions and holes and things they didn't investigate and information they didn't even bother to share or get, questions they didn't ask, witnesses that they wouldn't listen to. They refused to investigate. They just wrote. That was a totally phony report.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Barcelona but the vast majority went to Frankfurt, Germany which is where the major computer was, which we were told was shared by Smartmatic and Dominion who did have a joint operating agreement. I know it says they didn't do business together. That's also not true. Q. So in terms of A. This is very this is this information, I can tell you from the form of it because I know how those kids write. This is a corporate document. This was written by I don't understand why you can't see that. This isn't done by a a campaign doesn't do this. They don't have the capacity to do this. Q. I don't understand why they would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. And CISA, I mean you remember the report they issued about election security, it was right around this time.</li> <li>I think it was November 12th.</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Objection to form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah, it was so filled with contradictions and holes and things they didn't investigate and information they didn't even bother to share or get, questions they didn't ask, witnesses that they wouldn't listen to. They refused to investigate. They just wrote. That was a totally phony report.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you</li> <li>A. It isn't worth the paper it was</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Barcelona but the vast majority went to Frankfurt, Germany which is where the major computer was, which we were told was shared by Smartmatic and Dominion who did have a joint operating agreement. I know it says they didn't do business together. That's also not true. Q. So in terms of A. This is very this is this information, I can tell you from the form of it because I know how those kids write. This is a corporate document. This was written by I don't understand why you can't see that. This isn't done by a a campaign doesn't do this. They don't have the capacity to do this. Q. I don't understand why they would want to mislead either you or any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. And CISA, I mean you remember the report they issued about election security, it was right around this time.</li> <li>I think it was November 12th.</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Objection to form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah, it was so filled with contradictions and holes and things they didn't investigate and information they didn't even bother to share or get, questions they didn't ask, witnesses that they wouldn't listen to. They refused to investigate. They just wrote. That was a totally phony report.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you</li> <li>A. It isn't worth the paper it was written on. This could be the same thing.</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	Barcelona but the vast majority went to Frankfurt, Germany which is where the major computer was, which we were told was shared by Smartmatic and Dominion who did have a joint operating agreement. I know it says they didn't do business together. That's also not true. Q. So in terms of A. This is very this is this information, I can tell you from the form of it because I know how those kids write. This is a corporate document. This was written by I don't understand why you can't see that. This isn't done by a a campaign doesn't do this. They don't have the capacity to do this. Q. I don't understand why they would want to mislead either you or any other campaign	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. And CISA, I mean you remember the report they issued about election security, it was right around this time.</li> <li>I think it was November 12th.</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Objection to form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah, it was so filled with contradictions and holes and things they didn't investigate and information they didn't even bother to share or get, questions they didn't ask, witnesses that they wouldn't listen to. They refused to investigate. They just wrote. That was a totally phony report.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you</li> <li>A. It isn't worth the paper it was written on. This could be the same thing. This looks to me like something that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Barcelona but the vast majority went to Frankfurt, Germany which is where the major computer was, which we were told was shared by Smartmatic and Dominion who did have a joint operating agreement. I know it says they didn't do business together. That's also not true. Q. So in terms of A. This is very this is this information, I can tell you from the form of it because I know how those kids write. This is a corporate document. This was written by I don't understand why you can't see that. This isn't done by a a campaign doesn't do this. They don't have the capacity to do this. Q. I don't understand why they would want to mislead either you or any other campaign A. Because there are memos saying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. And CISA, I mean you remember the report they issued about election security, it was right around this time.</li> <li>I think it was November 12th.</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Objection to form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah, it was so filled with contradictions and holes and things they didn't investigate and information they didn't even bother to share or get, questions they didn't ask, witnesses that they wouldn't listen to. They refused to investigate. They just wrote. That was a totally phony report.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you</li> <li>A. It isn't worth the paper it was written on. This could be the same thing. This looks to me like something that Dominion prepared for these guys. They</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Barcelona but the vast majority went to Frankfurt, Germany which is where the major computer was, which we were told was shared by Smartmatic and Dominion who did have a joint operating agreement. I know it says they didn't do business together. That's also not true. Q. So in terms of A. This is very this is this information, I can tell you from the form of it because I know how those kids write. This is a corporate document. This was written by I don't understand why you can't see that. This isn't done by a a campaign doesn't do this. They don't have the capacity to do this. Q. I don't understand why they would want to mislead either you or any other campaign A. Because there are memos saying they wanted to. I don't have to I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. And CISA, I mean you remember the report they issued about election security, it was right around this time.</li> <li>I think it was November 12th.</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Objection to form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah, it was so filled with contradictions and holes and things they didn't investigate and information they didn't even bother to share or get, questions they didn't ask, witnesses that they wouldn't listen to. They refused to investigate. They just wrote. That was a totally phony report.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you</li> <li>A. It isn't worth the paper it was written on. This could be the same thing. This looks to me like something that Dominion prepared for these guys. They wouldn't write it this way. This is a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Barcelona but the vast majority went to Frankfurt, Germany which is where the major computer was, which we were told was shared by Smartmatic and Dominion who did have a joint operating agreement. I know it says they didn't do business together. That's also not true. Q. So in terms of A. This is very this is this information, I can tell you from the form of it because I know how those kids write. This is a corporate document. This was written by I don't understand why you can't see that. This isn't done by a a campaign doesn't do this. They don't have the capacity to do this. Q. I don't understand why they would want to mislead either you or any other campaign A. Because there are memos saying they wanted to. I don't have to I don't have to speculate about it. I can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. And CISA, I mean you remember the report they issued about election security, it was right around this time.</li> <li>I think it was November 12th.</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Objection to form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah, it was so filled with contradictions and holes and things they didn't investigate and information they didn't even bother to share or get, questions they didn't ask, witnesses that they wouldn't listen to. They refused to investigate. They just wrote. That was a totally phony report.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you</li> <li>A. It isn't worth the paper it was written on. This could be the same thing. This looks to me like something that Dominion prepared for these guys. They wouldn't write it this way. This is a corporate type report.</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	Barcelona but the vast majority went to Frankfurt, Germany which is where the major computer was, which we were told was shared by Smartmatic and Dominion who did have a joint operating agreement. I know it says they didn't do business together. That's also not true. Q. So in terms of A. This is very this is this information, I can tell you from the form of it because I know how those kids write. This is a corporate document. This was written by I don't understand why you can't see that. This isn't done by a a campaign doesn't do this. They don't have the capacity to do this. Q. I don't understand why they would want to mislead either you or any other campaign A. Because there are memos saying they wanted to. I don't have to I don't have to speculate about it. I can show you memos saying they wanted to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. And CISA, I mean you remember the report they issued about election security, it was right around this time.</li> <li>I think it was November 12th.</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Objection to form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah, it was so filled with contradictions and holes and things they didn't investigate and information they didn't even bother to share or get, questions they didn't ask, witnesses that they wouldn't listen to. They refused to investigate. They just wrote. That was a totally phony report.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you</li> <li>A. It isn't worth the paper it was written on. This could be the same thing. This looks to me like something that Dominion prepared for these guys. They wouldn't write it this way. This is a corporate type report.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you discount</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	Barcelona but the vast majority went to Frankfurt, Germany which is where the major computer was, which we were told was shared by Smartmatic and Dominion who did have a joint operating agreement. I know it says they didn't do business together. That's also not true. Q. So in terms of A. This is very this is this information, I can tell you from the form of it because I know how those kids write. This is a corporate document. This was written by I don't understand why you can't see that. This isn't done by a a campaign doesn't do this. They don't have the capacity to do this. Q. I don't understand why they would want to mislead either you or any other campaign A. Because there are memos saying they wanted to. I don't have to I don't have to speculate about it. I can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. And CISA, I mean you remember the report they issued about election security, it was right around this time.</li> <li>I think it was November 12th.</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Objection to form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah, it was so filled with contradictions and holes and things they didn't investigate and information they didn't even bother to share or get, questions they didn't ask, witnesses that they wouldn't listen to. They refused to investigate. They just wrote. That was a totally phony report.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you</li> <li>A. It isn't worth the paper it was written on. This could be the same thing. This looks to me like something that Dominion prepared for these guys. They wouldn't write it this way. This is a corporate type report.</li> </ul>

^{41 (}Pages 158 - 161)

1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	had, in the period of time they had, they	2	THE WITNESS: Plus I knew there
3	could not have acquired all this	3	were people in the campaign that
4	information. This information had to be	4	didn't know their that weren't
5	given to them. They are not smart enough	5	particularly useful because they
6	to have acquired all this information, nor	6	weren't particularly professional or
7	did they have enough time to do it. I've	7	smart.
8	never seen such a professional work coming	8	BY MR. CAIN:
9	out of that operation. And I've seen lots	9	Q. Okay.
10	of corporate stuff. This is a corporate	10	A. And all these people that were
11	document. This was done for Dominion to	11	sitting there, what I observed from the
12	cover its ass.	12	day I walked in there was most of the time
13	Q. So you discount that document, I	13	it was my people that were the only people
14	understand your testimony.	14	there. They had all taken off already.
15	A. Nobody ever bothered to give it	15	They were off looking for another job and
16	to me because they probably knew I would	16	I would have to demand they be there to
17	go crazy if I saw it. I would have picked	17	get them to work. No way they would have
18	this out in two seconds.	18	had the energy to compile this. They were
19	Q. Probably.	19	half asleep. I caught one of them under a
20	A. I would have said hey guys, I've	20	desk one day.
21	only investigated people a hell of a lot	21	Q. Okay. So you discount that.
22	more sophisticated than you, you're going	22	We've established that. You discount
23	to try to pass this off you're going to	23	CISA; right?
24	try to pass this off as a campaign	24	A. I did publicly. I don't know if
25	document? I've seen a hundred campaign	25	you recall.
	Page 162		Page 164
1		1	GIULIANI
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	GIULIANI documents that are nowhere near as	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	GIULIANI
2	documents that are nowhere near as	2	Q. I do.
2 3	documents that are nowhere near as professional as this. This is a corporate	2 3	<ul><li>Q. I do.</li><li>A. I discounted it publicly. I know</li></ul>
2 3 4	documents that are nowhere near as professional as this. This is a corporate document fed to the campaign.	2 3 4	Q. I do. A. I discounted it publicly. I know what he was up to.
2 3 4 5	documents that are nowhere near as professional as this. This is a corporate document fed to the campaign. Q. You've said that. And you	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. I do.</li><li>A. I discounted it publicly. I know what he was up to.</li><li>Q. Is there anybody that was in the</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	documents that are nowhere near as professional as this. This is a corporate document fed to the campaign. Q. You've said that. And you discount is there any	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>Q. I do.</li><li>A. I discounted it publicly. I know</li><li>what he was up to.</li><li>Q. Is there anybody that was in the</li><li>United States government during this time</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>documents that are nowhere near as professional as this. This is a corporate document fed to the campaign.</li> <li>Q. You've said that. And you discount is there any</li> <li>A. Plus I never got to see it so</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. I do.</li> <li>A. I discounted it publicly. I know</li> <li>what he was up to.</li> <li>Q. Is there anybody that was in the</li> <li>United States government during this time</li> <li>period that you thought was authoritative</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>documents that are nowhere near as professional as this. This is a corporate document fed to the campaign.</li> <li>Q. You've said that. And you discount is there any</li> <li>A. Plus I never got to see it so what's the relevance of it? They never</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>Q. I do.</li><li>A. I discounted it publicly. I know</li><li>what he was up to.</li><li>Q. Is there anybody that was in the</li><li>United States government during this time</li><li>period that you thought was authoritative</li><li>about election security issues?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>documents that are nowhere near as professional as this. This is a corporate document fed to the campaign.</li> <li>Q. You've said that. And you discount is there any</li> <li>A. Plus I never got to see it so what's the relevance of it? They never gave it to me.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. I do.</li> <li>A. I discounted it publicly. I know what he was up to.</li> <li>Q. Is there anybody that was in the United States government during this time period that you thought was authoritative about election security issues?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>documents that are nowhere near as professional as this. This is a corporate document fed to the campaign.</li> <li>Q. You've said that. And you discount is there any</li> <li>A. Plus I never got to see it so what's the relevance of it? They never gave it to me.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't ask for it</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. I do.</li> <li>A. I discounted it publicly. I know what he was up to.</li> <li>Q. Is there anybody that was in the United States government during this time period that you thought was authoritative about election security issues?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. But not CISA?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>documents that are nowhere near as professional as this. This is a corporate document fed to the campaign.</li> <li>Q. You've said that. And you discount is there any</li> <li>A. Plus I never got to see it so what's the relevance of it? They never gave it to me.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't ask for it obviously?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. I do.</li> <li>A. I discounted it publicly. I know</li> <li>what he was up to.</li> <li>Q. Is there anybody that was in the</li> <li>United States government during this time</li> <li>period that you thought was authoritative</li> <li>about election security issues?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. But not CISA?</li> <li>A. Absolutely not.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>documents that are nowhere near as professional as this. This is a corporate document fed to the campaign.</li> <li>Q. You've said that. And you discount is there any</li> <li>A. Plus I never got to see it so what's the relevance of it? They never gave it to me.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't ask for it obviously?</li> <li>A. I didn't know it existed. How</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. I do.</li> <li>A. I discounted it publicly. I know</li> <li>what he was up to.</li> <li>Q. Is there anybody that was in the</li> <li>United States government during this time</li> <li>period that you thought was authoritative</li> <li>about election security issues?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. But not CISA?</li> <li>A. Absolutely not.</li> <li>Q. Not the Department of Homeland</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>documents that are nowhere near as professional as this. This is a corporate document fed to the campaign.</li> <li>Q. You've said that. And you discount is there any</li> <li>A. Plus I never got to see it so what's the relevance of it? They never gave it to me.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't ask for it obviously?</li> <li>A. I didn't know it existed. How could I have would you give me the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. I do.</li> <li>A. I discounted it publicly. I know</li> <li>what he was up to.</li> <li>Q. Is there anybody that was in the</li> <li>United States government during this time</li> <li>period that you thought was authoritative</li> <li>about election security issues?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. But not CISA?</li> <li>A. Absolutely not.</li> <li>Q. Not the Department of Homeland</li> <li>Security?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>documents that are nowhere near as professional as this. This is a corporate document fed to the campaign.</li> <li>Q. You've said that. And you discount is there any</li> <li>A. Plus I never got to see it so what's the relevance of it? They never gave it to me.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't ask for it obviously?</li> <li>A. I didn't know it existed. How could I have would you give me the document I don't know exists.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. I do.</li> <li>A. I discounted it publicly. I know</li> <li>what he was up to.</li> <li>Q. Is there anybody that was in the</li> <li>United States government during this time</li> <li>period that you thought was authoritative</li> <li>about election security issues?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. But not CISA?</li> <li>A. Absolutely not.</li> <li>Q. Not the Department of Homeland</li> <li>Security?</li> <li>A. Department of Homeland Security</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>documents that are nowhere near as professional as this. This is a corporate document fed to the campaign.</li> <li>Q. You've said that. And you discount is there any</li> <li>A. Plus I never got to see it so what's the relevance of it? They never gave it to me.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't ask for it obviously?</li> <li>A. I didn't know it existed. How could I have would you give me the document I don't know exists.</li> <li>Q. You can go ask anybody in the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. I do.</li> <li>A. I discounted it publicly. I know</li> <li>what he was up to.</li> <li>Q. Is there anybody that was in the</li> <li>United States government during this time</li> <li>period that you thought was authoritative</li> <li>about election security issues?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. But not CISA?</li> <li>A. Absolutely not.</li> <li>Q. Not the Department of Homeland</li> <li>Security?</li> <li>A. Department of Homeland Security</li> <li>was afraid to investigate this. They were</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>documents that are nowhere near as professional as this. This is a corporate document fed to the campaign.</li> <li>Q. You've said that. And you discount is there any</li> <li>A. Plus I never got to see it so what's the relevance of it? They never gave it to me.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't ask for it obviously?</li> <li>A. I didn't know it existed. How could I have would you give me the document I don't know exists.</li> <li>Q. You can go ask anybody in the campaign, is there any information or</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. I do.</li> <li>A. I discounted it publicly. I know</li> <li>what he was up to.</li> <li>Q. Is there anybody that was in the</li> <li>United States government during this time</li> <li>period that you thought was authoritative</li> <li>about election security issues?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. But not CISA?</li> <li>A. Absolutely not.</li> <li>Q. Not the Department of Homeland</li> <li>Security?</li> <li>A. Department of Homeland Security</li> <li>was afraid to investigate this. They were</li> <li>afraid that Congress would come down so</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>documents that are nowhere near as professional as this. This is a corporate document fed to the campaign.</li> <li>Q. You've said that. And you discount is there any</li> <li>A. Plus I never got to see it so what's the relevance of it? They never gave it to me.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't ask for it obviously?</li> <li>A. I didn't know it existed. How could I have would you give me the document I don't know exists.</li> <li>Q. You can go ask anybody in the campaign, is there any information or research on Dr. Coomer or Dominion?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. I do.</li> <li>A. I discounted it publicly. I know</li> <li>what he was up to.</li> <li>Q. Is there anybody that was in the</li> <li>United States government during this time</li> <li>period that you thought was authoritative</li> <li>about election security issues?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. But not CISA?</li> <li>A. Absolutely not.</li> <li>Q. Not the Department of Homeland</li> <li>Security?</li> <li>A. Department of Homeland Security</li> <li>was afraid to investigate this. They were</li> <li>afraid that Congress would come down so</li> <li>hard on them they wouldn't be able to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>documents that are nowhere near as professional as this. This is a corporate document fed to the campaign.</li> <li>Q. You've said that. And you discount is there any</li> <li>A. Plus I never got to see it so what's the relevance of it? They never gave it to me.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't ask for it obviously?</li> <li>A. I didn't know it existed. How could I have would you give me the document I don't know exists.</li> <li>Q. You can go ask anybody in the campaign, is there any information or research on Dr. Coomer or Dominion?</li> <li>A. You think I had the time for</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. I do.</li> <li>A. I discounted it publicly. I know</li> <li>what he was up to.</li> <li>Q. Is there anybody that was in the</li> <li>United States government during this time</li> <li>period that you thought was authoritative</li> <li>about election security issues?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. But not CISA?</li> <li>A. Absolutely not.</li> <li>Q. Not the Department of Homeland</li> <li>Security?</li> <li>A. Department of Homeland Security</li> <li>was afraid to investigate this. They were</li> <li>afraid that Congress would come down so</li> <li>hard on them they wouldn't be able to</li> <li>exist. Everybody was afraid to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>documents that are nowhere near as professional as this. This is a corporate document fed to the campaign.</li> <li>Q. You've said that. And you discount is there any</li> <li>A. Plus I never got to see it so what's the relevance of it? They never gave it to me.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't ask for it obviously?</li> <li>A. I didn't know it existed. How could I have would you give me the document I don't know exists.</li> <li>Q. You can go ask anybody in the campaign, is there any information or research on Dr. Coomer or Dominion?</li> <li>A. You think I had the time for that?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. I do.</li> <li>A. I discounted it publicly. I know</li> <li>what he was up to.</li> <li>Q. Is there anybody that was in the</li> <li>United States government during this time</li> <li>period that you thought was authoritative</li> <li>about election security issues?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. But not CISA?</li> <li>A. Absolutely not.</li> <li>Q. Not the Department of Homeland</li> <li>Security?</li> <li>A. Department of Homeland Security</li> <li>was afraid to investigate this. They were</li> <li>afraid that Congress would come down so</li> <li>hard on them they wouldn't be able to</li> <li>exist. Everybody was afraid to</li> <li>everybody figured if they investigated</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	<ul> <li>documents that are nowhere near as professional as this. This is a corporate document fed to the campaign.</li> <li>Q. You've said that. And you discount is there any</li> <li>A. Plus I never got to see it so what's the relevance of it? They never gave it to me.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't ask for it obviously?</li> <li>A. I didn't know it existed. How could I have would you give me the document I don't know exists.</li> <li>Q. You can go ask anybody in the campaign, is there any information or research on Dr. Coomer or Dominion?</li> <li>A. You think I had the time for that?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. I do.</li> <li>A. I discounted it publicly. I know</li> <li>what he was up to.</li> <li>Q. Is there anybody that was in the</li> <li>United States government during this time</li> <li>period that you thought was authoritative</li> <li>about election security issues?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. But not CISA?</li> <li>A. Absolutely not.</li> <li>Q. Not the Department of Homeland</li> <li>Security?</li> <li>A. Department of Homeland Security</li> <li>was afraid to investigate this. They were</li> <li>afraid that Congress would come down so</li> <li>hard on them they wouldn't be able to</li> <li>exist. Everybody was afraid to</li> <li>everybody figured if they investigated</li> <li>this, what is being done to me would be</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	<ul> <li>documents that are nowhere near as professional as this. This is a corporate document fed to the campaign.</li> <li>Q. You've said that. And you discount is there any</li> <li>A. Plus I never got to see it so what's the relevance of it? They never gave it to me.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't ask for it obviously?</li> <li>A. I didn't know it existed. How could I have would you give me the document I don't know exists.</li> <li>Q. You can go ask anybody in the campaign, is there any information or research on Dr. Coomer or Dominion?</li> <li>A. You think I had the time for that?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. I do.</li> <li>A. I discounted it publicly. I know</li> <li>what he was up to.</li> <li>Q. Is there anybody that was in the</li> <li>United States government during this time</li> <li>period that you thought was authoritative</li> <li>about election security issues?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. But not CISA?</li> <li>A. Absolutely not.</li> <li>Q. Not the Department of Homeland</li> <li>Security?</li> <li>A. Department of Homeland Security</li> <li>was afraid to investigate this. They were</li> <li>afraid that Congress would come down so</li> <li>hard on them they wouldn't be able to</li> <li>exist. Everybody was afraid to</li> <li>everybody figured if they investigated</li> <li>this, what is being done to me would be</li> <li>done to them and they were afraid to do</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>documents that are nowhere near as professional as this. This is a corporate document fed to the campaign.</li> <li>Q. You've said that. And you discount is there any</li> <li>A. Plus I never got to see it so what's the relevance of it? They never gave it to me.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't ask for it obviously?</li> <li>A. I didn't know it existed. How could I have would you give me the document I don't know exists.</li> <li>Q. You can go ask anybody in the campaign, is there any information or research on Dr. Coomer or Dominion?</li> <li>A. You think I had the time for that?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Apparently not.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. I do.</li> <li>A. I discounted it publicly. I know</li> <li>what he was up to.</li> <li>Q. Is there anybody that was in the</li> <li>United States government during this time</li> <li>period that you thought was authoritative</li> <li>about election security issues?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. But not CISA?</li> <li>A. Absolutely not.</li> <li>Q. Not the Department of Homeland</li> <li>Security?</li> <li>A. Department of Homeland Security</li> <li>was afraid to investigate this. They were</li> <li>afraid that Congress would come down so</li> <li>hard on them they wouldn't be able to</li> <li>exist. Everybody was afraid to</li> <li>everybody figured if they investigated</li> <li>this, what is being done to me would be</li> <li>done to them and they were afraid to do</li> <li>it. What would be done to them, they</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>documents that are nowhere near as professional as this. This is a corporate document fed to the campaign.</li> <li>Q. You've said that. And you discount is there any</li> <li>A. Plus I never got to see it so what's the relevance of it? They never gave it to me.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't ask for it obviously?</li> <li>A. I didn't know it existed. How could I have would you give me the document I don't know exists.</li> <li>Q. You can go ask anybody in the campaign, is there any information or research on Dr. Coomer or Dominion?</li> <li>A. You think I had the time for that?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Apparently not.</li> <li>A. Of course I didn't have the time</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. I do.</li> <li>A. I discounted it publicly. I know</li> <li>what he was up to.</li> <li>Q. Is there anybody that was in the</li> <li>United States government during this time</li> <li>period that you thought was authoritative</li> <li>about election security issues?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. But not CISA?</li> <li>A. Absolutely not.</li> <li>Q. Not the Department of Homeland</li> <li>Security?</li> <li>A. Department of Homeland Security</li> <li>was afraid to investigate this. They were</li> <li>afraid that Congress would come down so</li> <li>hard on them they wouldn't be able to</li> <li>exist. Everybody was afraid to</li> <li>everybody figured if they investigated</li> <li>this, what is being done to me would be</li> <li>done to them and they were afraid to do</li> <li>it. What would be done to them, they</li> <li>would be they would be attacked by the</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>documents that are nowhere near as professional as this. This is a corporate document fed to the campaign.</li> <li>Q. You've said that. And you discount is there any</li> <li>A. Plus I never got to see it so what's the relevance of it? They never gave it to me.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't ask for it obviously?</li> <li>A. I didn't know it existed. How could I have would you give me the document I don't know exists.</li> <li>Q. You can go ask anybody in the campaign, is there any information or research on Dr. Coomer or Dominion?</li> <li>A. You think I had the time for that?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Apparently not.</li> <li>A. Of course I didn't have the time for that.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. I do.</li> <li>A. I discounted it publicly. I know what he was up to.</li> <li>Q. Is there anybody that was in the United States government during this time period that you thought was authoritative about election security issues?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. But not CISA?</li> <li>A. Absolutely not.</li> <li>Q. Not the Department of Homeland Security?</li> <li>A. Department of Homeland Security was afraid to investigate this. They were afraid that Congress would come down so hard on them they wouldn't be able to exist. Everybody was afraid to everybody figured if they investigated this, what is being done to me would be done to them and they were afraid to do it. What would be done to them, they would be they would be attacked by the local bar association.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>documents that are nowhere near as professional as this. This is a corporate document fed to the campaign.</li> <li>Q. You've said that. And you discount is there any</li> <li>A. Plus I never got to see it so what's the relevance of it? They never gave it to me.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't ask for it obviously?</li> <li>A. I didn't know it existed. How could I have would you give me the document I don't know exists.</li> <li>Q. You can go ask anybody in the campaign, is there any information or research on Dr. Coomer or Dominion?</li> <li>A. You think I had the time for that?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Apparently not.</li> <li>A. Of course I didn't have the time</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. I do.</li> <li>A. I discounted it publicly. I know</li> <li>what he was up to.</li> <li>Q. Is there anybody that was in the</li> <li>United States government during this time</li> <li>period that you thought was authoritative</li> <li>about election security issues?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. But not CISA?</li> <li>A. Absolutely not.</li> <li>Q. Not the Department of Homeland</li> <li>Security?</li> <li>A. Department of Homeland Security</li> <li>was afraid to investigate this. They were</li> <li>afraid that Congress would come down so</li> <li>hard on them they wouldn't be able to</li> <li>exist. Everybody was afraid to</li> <li>everybody figured if they investigated</li> <li>this, what is being done to me would be</li> <li>done to them and they were afraid to do</li> <li>it. What would be done to them, they</li> <li>would be they would be attacked by the</li> </ul>

42 (Pages 162 - 165)

1		1	
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	A. And alleged to be dangerous. I	2	though, I asked you earlier about
3	don't know, I didn't see you guys come in	3	Mr. Oltmann being with you, your contacts
4	here with security.	4	with him. You don't remember him being in
5	Q. That was for Mr. Oltmann, not for	5	the Willard Hotel with you the day before
6	you.	6	the insurrection?
7   8	A. Oh, it was for Mr. Oltmann.	8	A. I do not remember. I don't think I know what he looks like. Could he have
9	Q. We had security at the courthouse	9	
10	when he was supposed to testify. Do you know Mr. Oltmann failed to show up for his	10	been there? Absolutely he could have been there. A lot of people were there.
10	deposition, check your source, on	11	O. Let me ask
12	Wednesday in this case?	12	A. And by the way, when you refer to
12	MR. SIBLEY: Objection to form.	12	it as an insurrection, it should be
13	THE WITNESS: I have no idea that	14	referred to as the only insurrection ever
15	he showed up so	15	where a gun was not fired, a shot was not
16	BY MR. CAIN:	16	fired. Oh, and the only death was an
17	Q. I'm not afraid of you.	17	unarmed woman who was a Trump supporter
18	A. That's good. So then the court	18	for whom for the first time in American
19	was wrong. I'm not a danger.	19	history we don't know the police officer
20	Q. Well, it depends on the type of	20	who shot her.
21	danger. I think they were describing a	21	Q. According to a report that I saw
22	different type of danger.	22	recently, on the night of the election you
23	MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.	23	were at the White House; is that true?
24	THE WITNESS: They were	24	A. It is, yes.
25	describing a danger that I could	25	Q. And according to a couple of
	Page 166		Page 168
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
1 2	GIULIANI create a riot, a riot that was the	1 2	GIULIANI witnesses, a campaign manager was there,
2	create a riot, a riot that was the	2	witnesses, a campaign manager was there,
2 3	create a riot, a riot that was the only insurrection riot where a shot	2 3	witnesses, a campaign manager was there, Bill Stepien, Mark Meadows and Jason
2 3 4	create a riot, a riot that was the only insurrection riot where a shot wasn't fired except one shot fired by	2 3 4	witnesses, a campaign manager was there, Bill Stepien, Mark Meadows and Jason Miller. You have been quoted as saying at
2 3 4 5	create a riot, a riot that was the only insurrection riot where a shot wasn't fired except one shot fired by a police officer that killed an	2 3 4 5	witnesses, a campaign manager was there, Bill Stepien, Mark Meadows and Jason Miller. You have been quoted as saying at that time in a room by the Map Room, you
2 3 4 5 6	create a riot, a riot that was the only insurrection riot where a shot wasn't fired except one shot fired by a police officer that killed an innocent woman that's covered up and	2 3 4 5 6	witnesses, a campaign manager was there, Bill Stepien, Mark Meadows and Jason Miller. You have been quoted as saying at that time in a room by the Map Room, you told them just say that we won.
2 3 4 5 6 7	create a riot, a riot that was the only insurrection riot where a shot wasn't fired except one shot fired by a police officer that killed an innocent woman that's covered up and we're not investigating. They use a	2 3 4 5 6 7	witnesses, a campaign manager was there, Bill Stepien, Mark Meadows and Jason Miller. You have been quoted as saying at that time in a room by the Map Room, you told them just say that we won. MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. MR. ZAKHEM: Privilege. MR. SIBLEY: Rudy, can you move
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	create a riot, a riot that was the only insurrection riot where a shot wasn't fired except one shot fired by a police officer that killed an innocent woman that's covered up and we're not investigating. They use a total mischaracterization of what I said. They said oh, he said trial by combat. They leave out the fact that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	witnesses, a campaign manager was there, Bill Stepien, Mark Meadows and Jason Miller. You have been quoted as saying at that time in a room by the Map Room, you told them just say that we won. MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. MR. ZAKHEM: Privilege. MR. SIBLEY: Rudy, can you move your hand from your face?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	create a riot, a riot that was the only insurrection riot where a shot wasn't fired except one shot fired by a police officer that killed an innocent woman that's covered up and we're not investigating. They use a total mischaracterization of what I said. They said oh, he said trial by combat. They leave out the fact that I was talking about a comparison of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	witnesses, a campaign manager was there, Bill Stepien, Mark Meadows and Jason Miller. You have been quoted as saying at that time in a room by the Map Room, you told them just say that we won. MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. MR. ZAKHEM: Privilege. MR. SIBLEY: Rudy, can you move
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	create a riot, a riot that was the only insurrection riot where a shot wasn't fired except one shot fired by a police officer that killed an innocent woman that's covered up and we're not investigating. They use a total mischaracterization of what I said. They said oh, he said trial by combat. They leave out the fact that I was talking about a comparison of the two machines.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	witnesses, a campaign manager was there, Bill Stepien, Mark Meadows and Jason Miller. You have been quoted as saying at that time in a room by the Map Room, you told them just say that we won. MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form. MR. ZAKHEM: Privilege. MR. SIBLEY: Rudy, can you move your hand from your face? THE WITNESS: Yeah, that wasn't on purpose.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	create a riot, a riot that was the only insurrection riot where a shot wasn't fired except one shot fired by a police officer that killed an innocent woman that's covered up and we're not investigating. They use a total mischaracterization of what I said. They said oh, he said trial by combat. They leave out the fact that I was talking about a comparison of the two machines. No one at the speech that I gave	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>witnesses, a campaign manager was there,</li> <li>Bill Stepien, Mark Meadows and Jason</li> <li>Miller. You have been quoted as saying at</li> <li>that time in a room by the Map Room, you</li> <li>told them just say that we won.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Privilege.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Rudy, can you move</li> <li>your hand from your face?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah, that wasn't</li> <li>on purpose.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	create a riot, a riot that was the only insurrection riot where a shot wasn't fired except one shot fired by a police officer that killed an innocent woman that's covered up and we're not investigating. They use a total mischaracterization of what I said. They said oh, he said trial by combat. They leave out the fact that I was talking about a comparison of the two machines. No one at the speech that I gave got up, yelled, screamed, got all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>witnesses, a campaign manager was there,</li> <li>Bill Stepien, Mark Meadows and Jason</li> <li>Miller. You have been quoted as saying at</li> <li>that time in a room by the Map Room, you</li> <li>told them just say that we won.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Privilege.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Rudy, can you move</li> <li>your hand from your face?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah, that wasn't</li> <li>on purpose.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Did you tell these individuals to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	create a riot, a riot that was the only insurrection riot where a shot wasn't fired except one shot fired by a police officer that killed an innocent woman that's covered up and we're not investigating. They use a total mischaracterization of what I said. They said oh, he said trial by combat. They leave out the fact that I was talking about a comparison of the two machines. No one at the speech that I gave got up, yelled, screamed, got all excited. In fact, they were freezing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>witnesses, a campaign manager was there,</li> <li>Bill Stepien, Mark Meadows and Jason</li> <li>Miller. You have been quoted as saying at</li> <li>that time in a room by the Map Room, you</li> <li>told them just say that we won.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Privilege.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Rudy, can you move</li> <li>your hand from your face?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah, that wasn't</li> <li>on purpose.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Did you tell these individuals to</li> <li>just say that Trump won the election that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	create a riot, a riot that was the only insurrection riot where a shot wasn't fired except one shot fired by a police officer that killed an innocent woman that's covered up and we're not investigating. They use a total mischaracterization of what I said. They said oh, he said trial by combat. They leave out the fact that I was talking about a comparison of the two machines. No one at the speech that I gave got up, yelled, screamed, got all excited. In fact, they were freezing to death. And my speech was way in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>witnesses, a campaign manager was there, Bill Stepien, Mark Meadows and Jason</li> <li>Miller. You have been quoted as saying at that time in a room by the Map Room, you told them just say that we won.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Privilege.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Rudy, can you move your hand from your face?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah, that wasn't on purpose.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Did you tell these individuals to just say that Trump won the election that night?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	create a riot, a riot that was the only insurrection riot where a shot wasn't fired except one shot fired by a police officer that killed an innocent woman that's covered up and we're not investigating. They use a total mischaracterization of what I said. They said oh, he said trial by combat. They leave out the fact that I was talking about a comparison of the two machines. No one at the speech that I gave got up, yelled, screamed, got all excited. In fact, they were freezing to death. And my speech was way in the middle, long before anything	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>witnesses, a campaign manager was there, Bill Stepien, Mark Meadows and Jason</li> <li>Miller. You have been quoted as saying at that time in a room by the Map Room, you told them just say that we won.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Privilege.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Rudy, can you move your hand from your face?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah, that wasn't on purpose.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Did you tell these individuals to just say that Trump won the election that night?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: There's an assertion</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	create a riot, a riot that was the only insurrection riot where a shot wasn't fired except one shot fired by a police officer that killed an innocent woman that's covered up and we're not investigating. They use a total mischaracterization of what I said. They said oh, he said trial by combat. They leave out the fact that I was talking about a comparison of the two machines. No one at the speech that I gave got up, yelled, screamed, got all excited. In fact, they were freezing to death. And my speech was way in the middle, long before anything happened, and the president gave the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>witnesses, a campaign manager was there, Bill Stepien, Mark Meadows and Jason</li> <li>Miller. You have been quoted as saying at that time in a room by the Map Room, you told them just say that we won.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Privilege.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Rudy, can you move your hand from your face?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah, that wasn't on purpose.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Did you tell these individuals to just say that Trump won the election that night?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: There's an assertion of privilege.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	create a riot, a riot that was the only insurrection riot where a shot wasn't fired except one shot fired by a police officer that killed an innocent woman that's covered up and we're not investigating. They use a total mischaracterization of what I said. They said oh, he said trial by combat. They leave out the fact that I was talking about a comparison of the two machines. No one at the speech that I gave got up, yelled, screamed, got all excited. In fact, they were freezing to death. And my speech was way in the middle, long before anything happened, and the president gave the final speech that went on for an hour	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>witnesses, a campaign manager was there, Bill Stepien, Mark Meadows and Jason</li> <li>Miller. You have been quoted as saying at that time in a room by the Map Room, you told them just say that we won.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Privilege.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Rudy, can you move your hand from your face?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah, that wasn't on purpose.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Did you tell these individuals to just say that Trump won the election that night?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: There's an assertion of privilege.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	create a riot, a riot that was the only insurrection riot where a shot wasn't fired except one shot fired by a police officer that killed an innocent woman that's covered up and we're not investigating. They use a total mischaracterization of what I said. They said oh, he said trial by combat. They leave out the fact that I was talking about a comparison of the two machines. No one at the speech that I gave got up, yelled, screamed, got all excited. In fact, they were freezing to death. And my speech was way in the middle, long before anything happened, and the president gave the final speech that went on for an hour and 15 minutes and ended by saying to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>witnesses, a campaign manager was there, Bill Stepien, Mark Meadows and Jason</li> <li>Miller. You have been quoted as saying at that time in a room by the Map Room, you told them just say that we won.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Privilege.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Rudy, can you move your hand from your face?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah, that wasn't on purpose.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Did you tell these individuals to just say that Trump won the election that night?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: There's an assertion of privilege.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. It's been quoted in the media.</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	create a riot, a riot that was the only insurrection riot where a shot wasn't fired except one shot fired by a police officer that killed an innocent woman that's covered up and we're not investigating. They use a total mischaracterization of what I said. They said oh, he said trial by combat. They leave out the fact that I was talking about a comparison of the two machines. No one at the speech that I gave got up, yelled, screamed, got all excited. In fact, they were freezing to death. And my speech was way in the middle, long before anything happened, and the president gave the final speech that went on for an hour and 15 minutes and ended by saying to act peacefully and patriotically. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>witnesses, a campaign manager was there, Bill Stepien, Mark Meadows and Jason</li> <li>Miller. You have been quoted as saying at that time in a room by the Map Room, you told them just say that we won.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Privilege.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Rudy, can you move your hand from your face?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah, that wasn't on purpose.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Did you tell these individuals to just say that Trump won the election that night?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: There's an assertion of privilege.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. It's been quoted in the media.</li> <li>A. All I can tell you without</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	create a riot, a riot that was the only insurrection riot where a shot wasn't fired except one shot fired by a police officer that killed an innocent woman that's covered up and we're not investigating. They use a total mischaracterization of what I said. They said oh, he said trial by combat. They leave out the fact that I was talking about a comparison of the two machines. No one at the speech that I gave got up, yelled, screamed, got all excited. In fact, they were freezing to death. And my speech was way in the middle, long before anything happened, and the president gave the final speech that went on for an hour and 15 minutes and ended by saying to act peacefully and patriotically. So excuse me if I don't think that's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>witnesses, a campaign manager was there, Bill Stepien, Mark Meadows and Jason</li> <li>Miller. You have been quoted as saying at that time in a room by the Map Room, you told them just say that we won.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Privilege.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Rudy, can you move your hand from your face?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah, that wasn't on purpose.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Did you tell these individuals to just say that Trump won the election that night?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: There's an assertion of privilege.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. It's been quoted in the media.</li> <li>A. All I can tell you without breaking the privilege is</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	create a riot, a riot that was the only insurrection riot where a shot wasn't fired except one shot fired by a police officer that killed an innocent woman that's covered up and we're not investigating. They use a total mischaracterization of what I said. They said oh, he said trial by combat. They leave out the fact that I was talking about a comparison of the two machines. No one at the speech that I gave got up, yelled, screamed, got all excited. In fact, they were freezing to death. And my speech was way in the middle, long before anything happened, and the president gave the final speech that went on for an hour and 15 minutes and ended by saying to act peacefully and patriotically. So excuse me if I don't think that's a setup.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>witnesses, a campaign manager was there, Bill Stepien, Mark Meadows and Jason</li> <li>Miller. You have been quoted as saying at that time in a room by the Map Room, you told them just say that we won.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Privilege.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Rudy, can you move your hand from your face?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah, that wasn't on purpose.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Did you tell these individuals to just say that Trump won the election that night?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: There's an assertion of privilege.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. It's been quoted in the media.</li> <li>A. All I can tell you without</li> <li>breaking the privilege is MR. ZAKHEM: Counsel, I'd ask</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	create a riot, a riot that was the only insurrection riot where a shot wasn't fired except one shot fired by a police officer that killed an innocent woman that's covered up and we're not investigating. They use a total mischaracterization of what I said. They said oh, he said trial by combat. They leave out the fact that I was talking about a comparison of the two machines. No one at the speech that I gave got up, yelled, screamed, got all excited. In fact, they were freezing to death. And my speech was way in the middle, long before anything happened, and the president gave the final speech that went on for an hour and 15 minutes and ended by saying to act peacefully and patriotically. So excuse me if I don't think that's a setup. BY MR. CAIN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>witnesses, a campaign manager was there, Bill Stepien, Mark Meadows and Jason</li> <li>Miller. You have been quoted as saying at that time in a room by the Map Room, you told them just say that we won.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Privilege.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Rudy, can you move your hand from your face?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah, that wasn't on purpose.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Did you tell these individuals to just say that Trump won the election that night?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: There's an assertion of privilege.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. It's been quoted in the media.</li> <li>A. All I can tell you without</li> <li>breaking the privilege is MR. ZAKHEM: Counsel, I'd ask THE WITNESS: if it's been</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	create a riot, a riot that was the only insurrection riot where a shot wasn't fired except one shot fired by a police officer that killed an innocent woman that's covered up and we're not investigating. They use a total mischaracterization of what I said. They said oh, he said trial by combat. They leave out the fact that I was talking about a comparison of the two machines. No one at the speech that I gave got up, yelled, screamed, got all excited. In fact, they were freezing to death. And my speech was way in the middle, long before anything happened, and the president gave the final speech that went on for an hour and 15 minutes and ended by saying to act peacefully and patriotically. So excuse me if I don't think that's a setup.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>witnesses, a campaign manager was there, Bill Stepien, Mark Meadows and Jason</li> <li>Miller. You have been quoted as saying at that time in a room by the Map Room, you told them just say that we won.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Privilege.</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: Rudy, can you move your hand from your face?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah, that wasn't on purpose.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. Did you tell these individuals to just say that Trump won the election that night?</li> <li>MR. SIBLEY: There's an assertion of privilege.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> <li>Q. It's been quoted in the media.</li> <li>A. All I can tell you without</li> <li>breaking the privilege is MR. ZAKHEM: Counsel, I'd ask</li> </ul>

43 (Pages 166 - 169)

1 4			
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	true.	2	MR. SIBLEY: We're asserting
3	BY MR. CAIN:	3	privilege.
4	Q. Do you remember saying that?	4	THE WITNESS: Tell me who said I
5	MR. ZAKHEM: Objection,	5	said that.
6	privilege.	6	BY MR. CAIN:
7	MR. SIBLEY: Assertion of	7	Q. You're quoted. I don't know who
8	privilege.	8	said you said. You were in the room with
9	THE WITNESS: The only answer I	9	Mark Meadows, Bill Stepien and Jason
10	can give you is if it's quoted in the	10	Miller.
11	media, it's probably not true.	11	MR. SIBLEY: Don't disclose
12	BY MR. CAIN:	12	whatever you said to them.
13	Q. Have you ever told anybody	13	THE WITNESS: Someone has to have
14	outside of that group that the idea was to	14	said Rudy Giuliani said I didn't
15	just simply say that Trump won whether he	15	say that so I'm trying to figure out
16	did or not?	16	who's lying.
17	MR. SIBLEY: Objection, form.	17	BY MR. CAIN:
18	You can answer this	18	Q. Another quote was
19	THE WITNESS: Of course not. Of	19	A. You're not going to tell me?
20	course not. I've been practicing law	20	Q. I don't know. I'm just quoting
21	for over 50 years, I've never in the	21	you as you were quoted. I don't know who
22	whole time that I've practiced law had	22	said you said.
23	a single allegation that I acted	23	A. Who quoted me?
24	unethically until now.	24	Q. It's a quote of you.
25	///	25	A. Somebody has to write it down.
	Page 170		Page 172
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
2	BY MR. CAIN:	2	Q. No.
3	Q. Well, I'm just asking you a	3	A. Where does it appear?
4	question.	4	Q. In a book about this issue.
			Q. In a book about this issue.
5	A. I'm going to finish my answer,	5	A. Who does the book attribute the
5 6	A. I'm going to finish my answer, sir. You asked a question and I'm going		-
		5	A. Who does the book attribute the
6	sir. You asked a question and I'm going	5 6	A. Who does the book attribute the quote to?
6 7	sir. You asked a question and I'm going to finish it. And I'm hardly going to	5 6 7	<ul><li>A. Who does the book attribute the quote to?</li><li>Q. To you.</li></ul>
6 7 8	sir. You asked a question and I'm going to finish it. And I'm hardly going to tell someone to make an allegation and	5 6 7 8	<ul><li>A. Who does the book attribute the quote to?</li><li>Q. To you.</li><li>A. In other words, he was there, the</li></ul>
6 7 8 9	sir. You asked a question and I'm going to finish it. And I'm hardly going to tell someone to make an allegation and just say it without having substantial	5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>A. Who does the book attribute the quote to?</li><li>Q. To you.</li><li>A. In other words, he was there, the author was there and heard me say it.</li></ul>
6 7 8 9 10	sir. You asked a question and I'm going to finish it. And I'm hardly going to tell someone to make an allegation and just say it without having substantial amount of proof of it. And everything I	5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>A. Who does the book attribute the quote to?</li><li>Q. To you.</li><li>A. In other words, he was there, the author was there and heard me say it.</li><li>Q. I'm sure he interviewed a witness</li></ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11	sir. You asked a question and I'm going to finish it. And I'm hardly going to tell someone to make an allegation and just say it without having substantial amount of proof of it. And everything I alleged, I have at least one and usually	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Who does the book attribute the quote to?</li> <li>Q. To you.</li> <li>A. In other words, he was there, the author was there and heard me say it.</li> <li>Q. I'm sure he interviewed a witness that was there.</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	sir. You asked a question and I'm going to finish it. And I'm hardly going to tell someone to make an allegation and just say it without having substantial amount of proof of it. And everything I alleged, I have at least one and usually 10 or 15 affidavits to support except	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Who does the book attribute the quote to?</li> <li>Q. To you.</li> <li>A. In other words, he was there, the author was there and heard me say it.</li> <li>Q. I'm sure he interviewed a witness that was there.</li> <li>A. That's what I'm asking you.</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	sir. You asked a question and I'm going to finish it. And I'm hardly going to tell someone to make an allegation and just say it without having substantial amount of proof of it. And everything I alleged, I have at least one and usually 10 or 15 affidavits to support except nobody wants to look at them. Just like	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Who does the book attribute the quote to?</li> <li>Q. To you.</li> <li>A. In other words, he was there, the author was there and heard me say it.</li> <li>Q. I'm sure he interviewed a witness that was there.</li> <li>A. That's what I'm asking you.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't disclose that, who</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	sir. You asked a question and I'm going to finish it. And I'm hardly going to tell someone to make an allegation and just say it without having substantial amount of proof of it. And everything I alleged, I have at least one and usually 10 or 15 affidavits to support except nobody wants to look at them. Just like they wouldn't look at the hard drive and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Who does the book attribute the quote to?</li> <li>Q. To you.</li> <li>A. In other words, he was there, the author was there and heard me say it.</li> <li>Q. I'm sure he interviewed a witness that was there.</li> <li>A. That's what I'm asking you.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't disclose that, who said you said. I'm just asking you if you</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	sir. You asked a question and I'm going to finish it. And I'm hardly going to tell someone to make an allegation and just say it without having substantial amount of proof of it. And everything I alleged, I have at least one and usually 10 or 15 affidavits to support except nobody wants to look at them. Just like they wouldn't look at the hard drive and they covered it up and now it's all coming	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Who does the book attribute the quote to?</li> <li>Q. To you.</li> <li>A. In other words, he was there, the author was there and heard me say it.</li> <li>Q. I'm sure he interviewed a witness that was there.</li> <li>A. That's what I'm asking you.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't disclose that, who said you said. I'm just asking you if you said it.</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	sir. You asked a question and I'm going to finish it. And I'm hardly going to tell someone to make an allegation and just say it without having substantial amount of proof of it. And everything I alleged, I have at least one and usually 10 or 15 affidavits to support except nobody wants to look at them. Just like they wouldn't look at the hard drive and they covered it up and now it's all coming out and I'm turning out to be absolutely	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Who does the book attribute the quote to?</li> <li>Q. To you.</li> <li>A. In other words, he was there, the author was there and heard me say it.</li> <li>Q. I'm sure he interviewed a witness that was there.</li> <li>A. That's what I'm asking you.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't disclose that, who said you said. I'm just asking you if you said it.</li> <li>A. But you've got to give me the</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	sir. You asked a question and I'm going to finish it. And I'm hardly going to tell someone to make an allegation and just say it without having substantial amount of proof of it. And everything I alleged, I have at least one and usually 10 or 15 affidavits to support except nobody wants to look at them. Just like they wouldn't look at the hard drive and they covered it up and now it's all coming out and I'm turning out to be absolutely true. The same thing will happen here.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Who does the book attribute the quote to?</li> <li>Q. To you.</li> <li>A. In other words, he was there, the author was there and heard me say it.</li> <li>Q. I'm sure he interviewed a witness that was there.</li> <li>A. That's what I'm asking you.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't disclose that, who said you said. I'm just asking you if you said it.</li> <li>A. But you've got to give me the context. It's not fair to say did you say</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sir. You asked a question and I'm going to finish it. And I'm hardly going to tell someone to make an allegation and just say it without having substantial amount of proof of it. And everything I alleged, I have at least one and usually 10 or 15 affidavits to support except nobody wants to look at them. Just like they wouldn't look at the hard drive and they covered it up and now it's all coming out and I'm turning out to be absolutely true. The same thing will happen here. Q. Well, I'm just asking you,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Who does the book attribute the quote to?</li> <li>Q. To you.</li> <li>A. In other words, he was there, the author was there and heard me say it.</li> <li>Q. I'm sure he interviewed a witness that was there.</li> <li>A. That's what I'm asking you.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't disclose that, who said you said. I'm just asking you if you said it.</li> <li>A. But you've got to give me the context. It's not fair to say did you say something you didn't say.</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	sir. You asked a question and I'm going to finish it. And I'm hardly going to tell someone to make an allegation and just say it without having substantial amount of proof of it. And everything I alleged, I have at least one and usually 10 or 15 affidavits to support except nobody wants to look at them. Just like they wouldn't look at the hard drive and they covered it up and now it's all coming out and I'm turning out to be absolutely true. The same thing will happen here. Q. Well, I'm just asking you, there's some quotes that are attributed to	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Who does the book attribute the quote to?</li> <li>Q. To you.</li> <li>A. In other words, he was there, the author was there and heard me say it.</li> <li>Q. I'm sure he interviewed a witness that was there.</li> <li>A. That's what I'm asking you.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't disclose that, who said you said. I'm just asking you if you said it.</li> <li>A. But you've got to give me the context. It's not fair to say did you say something you didn't say.</li> <li>Q. I don't know if you said it or not, sir, that's why I'm asking.</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	sir. You asked a question and I'm going to finish it. And I'm hardly going to tell someone to make an allegation and just say it without having substantial amount of proof of it. And everything I alleged, I have at least one and usually 10 or 15 affidavits to support except nobody wants to look at them. Just like they wouldn't look at the hard drive and they covered it up and now it's all coming out and I'm turning out to be absolutely true. The same thing will happen here. Q. Well, I'm just asking you, there's some quotes that are attributed to you and I want to know if they're true or	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Who does the book attribute the quote to?</li> <li>Q. To you.</li> <li>A. In other words, he was there, the author was there and heard me say it.</li> <li>Q. I'm sure he interviewed a witness that was there.</li> <li>A. That's what I'm asking you.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't disclose that, who said you said. I'm just asking you if you said it.</li> <li>A. But you've got to give me the context. It's not fair to say did you say something you didn't say.</li> <li>Q. I don't know if you said it or</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sir. You asked a question and I'm going to finish it. And I'm hardly going to tell someone to make an allegation and just say it without having substantial amount of proof of it. And everything I alleged, I have at least one and usually 10 or 15 affidavits to support except nobody wants to look at them. Just like they wouldn't look at the hard drive and they covered it up and now it's all coming out and I'm turning out to be absolutely true. The same thing will happen here. Q. Well, I'm just asking you, there's some quotes that are attributed to you and I want to know if they're true or not.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Who does the book attribute the quote to?</li> <li>Q. To you.</li> <li>A. In other words, he was there, the author was there and heard me say it.</li> <li>Q. I'm sure he interviewed a witness that was there.</li> <li>A. That's what I'm asking you.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't disclose that, who said you said. I'm just asking you if you said it.</li> <li>A. But you've got to give me the context. It's not fair to say did you say something you didn't say.</li> <li>Q. I don't know if you said it or not, sir, that's why I'm asking.</li> <li>A. But I'm asking you for the</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>sir. You asked a question and I'm going to finish it. And I'm hardly going to tell someone to make an allegation and just say it without having substantial amount of proof of it. And everything I alleged, I have at least one and usually 10 or 15 affidavits to support except nobody wants to look at them. Just like they wouldn't look at the hard drive and they covered it up and now it's all coming out and I'm turning out to be absolutely true. The same thing will happen here.</li> <li>Q. Well, I'm just asking you, there's some quotes that are attributed to you and I want to know if they're true or not.</li> <li>A. What was the quote?</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Who does the book attribute the quote to?</li> <li>Q. To you.</li> <li>A. In other words, he was there, the author was there and heard me say it.</li> <li>Q. I'm sure he interviewed a witness that was there.</li> <li>A. That's what I'm asking you.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't disclose that, who said you said. I'm just asking you if you said it.</li> <li>A. But you've got to give me the context. It's not fair to say did you say something you didn't say.</li> <li>Q. I don't know if you said it or not, sir, that's why I'm asking.</li> <li>A. But I'm asking you for the details of what you're asking.</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>sir. You asked a question and I'm going to finish it. And I'm hardly going to tell someone to make an allegation and just say it without having substantial amount of proof of it. And everything I alleged, I have at least one and usually 10 or 15 affidavits to support except nobody wants to look at them. Just like they wouldn't look at the hard drive and they covered it up and now it's all coming out and I'm turning out to be absolutely true. The same thing will happen here.</li> <li>Q. Well, I'm just asking you, there's some quotes that are attributed to you and I want to know if they're true or not.</li> <li>A. What was the quote?</li> <li>Q. The first one was just say that</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Who does the book attribute the quote to?</li> <li>Q. To you.</li> <li>A. In other words, he was there, the author was there and heard me say it.</li> <li>Q. I'm sure he interviewed a witness that was there.</li> <li>A. That's what I'm asking you.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't disclose that, who said you said. I'm just asking you if you said it.</li> <li>A. But you've got to give me the context. It's not fair to say did you say something you didn't say.</li> <li>Q. I don't know if you said it or not, sir, that's why I'm asking.</li> <li>A. But I'm asking you for the details of what you're asking.</li> <li>Q. That's what I can give you, and</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>sir. You asked a question and I'm going to finish it. And I'm hardly going to tell someone to make an allegation and just say it without having substantial amount of proof of it. And everything I alleged, I have at least one and usually 10 or 15 affidavits to support except nobody wants to look at them. Just like they wouldn't look at the hard drive and they covered it up and now it's all coming out and I'm turning out to be absolutely true. The same thing will happen here.</li> <li>Q. Well, I'm just asking you, there's some quotes that are attributed to you and I want to know if they're true or not.</li> <li>A. What was the quote?</li> <li>Q. The first one was just say that we won to Mark Meadows, et al.</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Who does the book attribute the quote to?</li> <li>Q. To you.</li> <li>A. In other words, he was there, the author was there and heard me say it.</li> <li>Q. I'm sure he interviewed a witness that was there.</li> <li>A. That's what I'm asking you.</li> <li>Q. It doesn't disclose that, who said you said. I'm just asking you if you said it.</li> <li>A. But you've got to give me the context. It's not fair to say did you say something you didn't say.</li> <li>Q. I don't know if you said it or not, sir, that's why I'm asking.</li> <li>A. But I'm asking you for the details of what you're asking.</li> <li>Q. That's what I can give you, and your counsel has already instructed you</li> </ul>

44 (Pages 170 - 173)

1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	with it.	$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	A. Yeah, and you're giving me three
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	A. Well, there's nothing privileged	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	guys, we don't even know who said it.
4	about that. You just asked me a question.	4	Q. And you think Mr. Waldron is
5	•	5	credible?
6	Q. I agree.	6	
1	A. There's a quote in a book	7	A. I do. Colonel in the military,
7	attributing to me that I said to people	8	great war record, every piece of I've
8	Q. Just say we won.		had substantial dealings with him and he's
9	A. Now who said that?	9	very, very thorough and very experienced
10	Q. We've already been through this.	10	in this kind of work.
11	A. You don't know.	11	Q. Did Chris Christie after the
12	Q. You were quoted as being in a	12	press conference that we've been talking
13	room and saying that to Mark Meadows	13	about tell you that this was a national
14	A. In order to evaluate the	14	embarrassment and you need to stop?
15	credibility of a quote, I have to know who	15	A. Chris Christie hasn't even
16	said it. There's not a tape recording of	16	talked
17	it.	17	MR. ARRINGTON: I would like to
18	Q. No, nor was there one of	18	get a count on the time, please.
19	Dr. Coomer's alleged call, was there?	19	THE WITNESS: The answer is
20	MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form.	20	totally untrue. Chris Christie never
21	THE WITNESS: It was a totally	21	called me, never did that. He
22	different thing.	22	wouldn't have the guts.
23	BY MR. CAIN:	23	MR. ARRINGTON: Mr. Giuliani, I
24	Q. How?	24	would like an answer to my question,
25	A. I'm going to tell you who told	25	please.
	Page 174		Page 176
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI
1 2	GIULIANI me. You're not telling me who said it. I	1 2	GIULIANI THE WITNESS: I don't even know
2	me. You're not telling me who said it. I	2	THE WITNESS: I don't even know
2 3	me. You're not telling me who said it. I told you I was told that by Phil Waldron.	2 3	THE WITNESS: I don't even know what your question is. I'm answering
2 3 4	me. You're not telling me who said it. I told you I was told that by Phil Waldron. Now you're telling me I'm alleged to have	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: I don't even know what your question is. I'm answering the question I was just asked.
2 3 4 5	me. You're not telling me who said it. I told you I was told that by Phil Waldron. Now you're telling me I'm alleged to have said this but you can't tell me who said	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: I don't even know what your question is. I'm answering the question I was just asked. MR. ARRINGTON: I understand but
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>me. You're not telling me who said it. I told you I was told that by Phil Waldron.</li><li>Now you're telling me I'm alleged to have said this but you can't tell me who said that.</li><li>Q. No, can't.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: I don't even know what your question is. I'm answering the question I was just asked. MR. ARRINGTON: I understand but I would like to get an answer as to
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>me. You're not telling me who said it. I told you I was told that by Phil Waldron.</li> <li>Now you're telling me I'm alleged to have said this but you can't tell me who said that.</li> <li>Q. No, can't.</li> <li>A. Well, it sounds like pretty</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: I don't even know what your question is. I'm answering the question I was just asked. MR. ARRINGTON: I understand but I would like to get an answer as to the count of time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>me. You're not telling me who said it. I told you I was told that by Phil Waldron.</li> <li>Now you're telling me I'm alleged to have said this but you can't tell me who said that.</li> <li>Q. No, can't.</li> <li>A. Well, it sounds like pretty incredible to me then if you can't do</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: I don't even know what your question is. I'm answering the question I was just asked. MR. ARRINGTON: I understand but I would like to get an answer as to the count of time. THE WITNESS: The time? I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>me. You're not telling me who said it. I told you I was told that by Phil Waldron.</li> <li>Now you're telling me I'm alleged to have said this but you can't tell me who said that.</li> <li>Q. No, can't.</li> <li>A. Well, it sounds like pretty</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: I don't even know what your question is. I'm answering the question I was just asked. MR. ARRINGTON: I understand but I would like to get an answer as to the count of time. THE WITNESS: The time? I don't know the time because MR. ARRINGTON: I'm not asking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>me. You're not telling me who said it. I told you I was told that by Phil Waldron.</li> <li>Now you're telling me I'm alleged to have said this but you can't tell me who said that.</li> <li>Q. No, can't.</li> <li>A. Well, it sounds like pretty incredible to me then if you can't do that. You've got apples and oranges, my friend.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: I don't even know what your question is. I'm answering the question I was just asked. MR. ARRINGTON: I understand but I would like to get an answer as to the count of time. THE WITNESS: The time? I don't know the time because MR. ARRINGTON: I'm not asking you, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>me. You're not telling me who said it. I told you I was told that by Phil Waldron.</li> <li>Now you're telling me I'm alleged to have said this but you can't tell me who said that.</li> <li>Q. No, can't.</li> <li>A. Well, it sounds like pretty incredible to me then if you can't do that. You've got apples and oranges, my friend.</li> <li>Q. Are you denying it?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: I don't even know what your question is. I'm answering the question I was just asked. MR. ARRINGTON: I understand but I would like to get an answer as to the count of time. THE WITNESS: The time? I don't know the time because MR. ARRINGTON: I'm not asking you, sir. MR. SIBLEY: It's three hours,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>me. You're not telling me who said it. I told you I was told that by Phil Waldron.</li> <li>Now you're telling me I'm alleged to have said this but you can't tell me who said that.</li> <li>Q. No, can't.</li> <li>A. Well, it sounds like pretty incredible to me then if you can't do that. You've got apples and oranges, my friend.</li> <li>Q. Are you denying it? MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: I don't even know what your question is. I'm answering the question I was just asked. MR. ARRINGTON: I understand but I would like to get an answer as to the count of time. THE WITNESS: The time? I don't know the time because MR. ARRINGTON: I'm not asking you, sir. MR. SIBLEY: It's three hours, three minutes, Barry, but we spent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>me. You're not telling me who said it. I told you I was told that by Phil Waldron.</li> <li>Now you're telling me I'm alleged to have said this but you can't tell me who said that.</li> <li>Q. No, can't.</li> <li>A. Well, it sounds like pretty incredible to me then if you can't do that. You've got apples and oranges, my friend.</li> <li>Q. Are you denying it?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I never said that,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: I don't even know what your question is. I'm answering the question I was just asked. MR. ARRINGTON: I understand but I would like to get an answer as to the count of time. THE WITNESS: The time? I don't know the time because MR. ARRINGTON: I'm not asking you, sir. MR. SIBLEY: It's three hours, three minutes, Barry, but we spent about five minutes going over who was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>me. You're not telling me who said it. I told you I was told that by Phil Waldron.</li> <li>Now you're telling me I'm alleged to have said this but you can't tell me who said that.</li> <li>Q. No, can't.</li> <li>A. Well, it sounds like pretty incredible to me then if you can't do that. You've got apples and oranges, my friend.</li> <li>Q. Are you denying it?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I never said that, I never said it and the reality is the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: I don't even know what your question is. I'm answering the question I was just asked. MR. ARRINGTON: I understand but I would like to get an answer as to the count of time. THE WITNESS: The time? I don't know the time because MR. ARRINGTON: I'm not asking you, sir. MR. SIBLEY: It's three hours, three minutes, Barry, but we spent about five minutes going over who was on Zoom, so I've given him an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>me. You're not telling me who said it. I told you I was told that by Phil Waldron.</li> <li>Now you're telling me I'm alleged to have said this but you can't tell me who said that.</li> <li>Q. No, can't.</li> <li>A. Well, it sounds like pretty incredible to me then if you can't do that. You've got apples and oranges, my friend.</li> <li>Q. Are you denying it?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I never said that, I never said it and the reality is the way it's attributed, it's almost</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: I don't even know what your question is. I'm answering the question I was just asked. MR. ARRINGTON: I understand but I would like to get an answer as to the count of time. THE WITNESS: The time? I don't know the time because MR. ARRINGTON: I'm not asking you, sir. MR. SIBLEY: It's three hours, three minutes, Barry, but we spent about five minutes going over who was on Zoom, so I've given him an additional five minutes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>me. You're not telling me who said it. I told you I was told that by Phil Waldron.</li> <li>Now you're telling me I'm alleged to have said this but you can't tell me who said that.</li> <li>Q. No, can't.</li> <li>A. Well, it sounds like pretty incredible to me then if you can't do that. You've got apples and oranges, my friend.</li> <li>Q. Are you denying it?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I never said that, I never said it and the reality is the way it's attributed, it's almost patently untrue. That's what a phony</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: I don't even know what your question is. I'm answering the question I was just asked. MR. ARRINGTON: I understand but I would like to get an answer as to the count of time. THE WITNESS: The time? I don't know the time because MR. ARRINGTON: I'm not asking you, sir. MR. SIBLEY: It's three hours, three minutes, Barry, but we spent about five minutes going over who was on Zoom, so I've given him an additional five minutes. THE WITNESS: I'm happy to tell
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>me. You're not telling me who said it. I told you I was told that by Phil Waldron.</li> <li>Now you're telling me I'm alleged to have said this but you can't tell me who said that.</li> <li>Q. No, can't.</li> <li>A. Well, it sounds like pretty incredible to me then if you can't do that. You've got apples and oranges, my friend.</li> <li>Q. Are you denying it?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I never said that, I never said it and the reality is the way it's attributed, it's almost patently untrue. That's what a phony reporter does when he's saying</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: I don't even know what your question is. I'm answering the question I was just asked. MR. ARRINGTON: I understand but I would like to get an answer as to the count of time. THE WITNESS: The time? I don't know the time because MR. ARRINGTON: I'm not asking you, sir. MR. SIBLEY: It's three hours, three minutes, Barry, but we spent about five minutes going over who was on Zoom, so I've given him an additional five minutes. THE WITNESS: I'm happy to tell you that Chris Christie never called
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>me. You're not telling me who said it. I told you I was told that by Phil Waldron.</li> <li>Now you're telling me I'm alleged to have said this but you can't tell me who said that.</li> <li>Q. No, can't.</li> <li>A. Well, it sounds like pretty incredible to me then if you can't do that. You've got apples and oranges, my friend.</li> <li>Q. Are you denying it?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I never said that, I never said it and the reality is the way it's attributed, it's almost patently untrue. That's what a phony reporter does when he's saying something false. How would you treat</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: I don't even know what your question is. I'm answering the question I was just asked. MR. ARRINGTON: I understand but I would like to get an answer as to the count of time. THE WITNESS: The time? I don't know the time because MR. ARRINGTON: I'm not asking you, sir. MR. SIBLEY: It's three hours, three minutes, Barry, but we spent about five minutes going over who was on Zoom, so I've given him an additional five minutes. THE WITNESS: I'm happy to tell you that Chris Christie never called me, never said that.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>me. You're not telling me who said it. I told you I was told that by Phil Waldron.</li> <li>Now you're telling me I'm alleged to have said this but you can't tell me who said that.</li> <li>Q. No, can't.</li> <li>A. Well, it sounds like pretty incredible to me then if you can't do that. You've got apples and oranges, my friend.</li> <li>Q. Are you denying it?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I never said that, I never said it and the reality is the way it's attributed, it's almost patently untrue. That's what a phony reporter does when he's saying something false. How would you treat a statement if I said your client said</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: I don't even know what your question is. I'm answering the question I was just asked. MR. ARRINGTON: I understand but I would like to get an answer as to the count of time. THE WITNESS: The time? I don't know the time because MR. ARRINGTON: I'm not asking you, sir. MR. SIBLEY: It's three hours, three minutes, Barry, but we spent about five minutes going over who was on Zoom, so I've given him an additional five minutes. THE WITNESS: I'm happy to tell you that Chris Christie never called me, never said that. BY MR. CAIN:
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>me. You're not telling me who said it. I told you I was told that by Phil Waldron.</li> <li>Now you're telling me I'm alleged to have said this but you can't tell me who said that.</li> <li>Q. No, can't.</li> <li>A. Well, it sounds like pretty incredible to me then if you can't do that. You've got apples and oranges, my friend.</li> <li>Q. Are you denying it?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I never said that, I never said it and the reality is the way it's attributed, it's almost patently untrue. That's what a phony reporter does when he's saying something false. How would you treat a statement if I said your client said it but I'm not going to tell you who</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: I don't even know what your question is. I'm answering the question I was just asked. MR. ARRINGTON: I understand but I would like to get an answer as to the count of time. THE WITNESS: The time? I don't know the time because MR. ARRINGTON: I'm not asking you, sir. MR. SIBLEY: It's three hours, three minutes, Barry, but we spent about five minutes going over who was on Zoom, so I've given him an additional five minutes. THE WITNESS: I'm happy to tell you that Chris Christie never called me, never said that. BY MR. CAIN: Q. He said it on a national
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>me. You're not telling me who said it. I told you I was told that by Phil Waldron. Now you're telling me I'm alleged to have said this but you can't tell me who said that.</li> <li>Q. No, can't.</li> <li>A. Well, it sounds like pretty incredible to me then if you can't do that. You've got apples and oranges, my friend.</li> <li>Q. Are you denying it?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I never said that, I never said it and the reality is the way it's attributed, it's almost patently untrue. That's what a phony reporter does when he's saying something false. How would you treat a statement if I said your client said it but I'm not going to tell you who said it. I'm giving you the person</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: I don't even know what your question is. I'm answering the question I was just asked. MR. ARRINGTON: I understand but I would like to get an answer as to the count of time. THE WITNESS: The time? I don't know the time because MR. ARRINGTON: I'm not asking you, sir. MR. SIBLEY: It's three hours, three minutes, Barry, but we spent about five minutes going over who was on Zoom, so I've given him an additional five minutes. THE WITNESS: I'm happy to tell you that Chris Christie never called me, never said that. BY MR. CAIN: Q. He said it on a national A. He wouldn't have the guts to do
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>me. You're not telling me who said it. I told you I was told that by Phil Waldron. Now you're telling me I'm alleged to have said this but you can't tell me who said that.</li> <li>Q. No, can't.</li> <li>A. Well, it sounds like pretty incredible to me then if you can't do that. You've got apples and oranges, my friend.</li> <li>Q. Are you denying it?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I never said that, I never said it and the reality is the way it's attributed, it's almost patently untrue. That's what a phony reporter does when he's saying something false. How would you treat a statement if I said your client said it but I'm not going to tell you who said it. I'm giving you the person who told me on the record.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: I don't even know what your question is. I'm answering the question I was just asked. MR. ARRINGTON: I understand but I would like to get an answer as to the count of time. THE WITNESS: The time? I don't know the time because MR. ARRINGTON: I'm not asking you, sir. MR. SIBLEY: It's three hours, three minutes, Barry, but we spent about five minutes going over who was on Zoom, so I've given him an additional five minutes. THE WITNESS: I'm happy to tell you that Chris Christie never called me, never said that. BY MR. CAIN: Q. He said it on a national A. He wouldn't have the guts to do it.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>me. You're not telling me who said it. I told you I was told that by Phil Waldron. Now you're telling me I'm alleged to have said this but you can't tell me who said that.</li> <li>Q. No, can't.</li> <li>A. Well, it sounds like pretty incredible to me then if you can't do that. You've got apples and oranges, my friend.</li> <li>Q. Are you denying it? MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I never said that, I never said it and the reality is the way it's attributed, it's almost patently untrue. That's what a phony reporter does when he's saying something false. How would you treat a statement if I said your client said it but I'm not going to tell you who said it. I'm giving you the person who told me on the record.</li> <li>BY MR. CAIN:</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE WITNESS: I don't even know what your question is. I'm answering the question I was just asked. MR. ARRINGTON: I understand but I would like to get an answer as to the count of time. THE WITNESS: The time? I don't know the time because MR. ARRINGTON: I'm not asking you, sir. MR. SIBLEY: It's three hours, three minutes, Barry, but we spent about five minutes going over who was on Zoom, so I've given him an additional five minutes. THE WITNESS: I'm happy to tell you that Chris Christie never called me, never said that. BY MR. CAIN: Q. He said it on a national A. He wouldn't have the guts to do it. Q. He said it on ABC.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>me. You're not telling me who said it. I told you I was told that by Phil Waldron. Now you're telling me I'm alleged to have said this but you can't tell me who said that.</li> <li>Q. No, can't.</li> <li>A. Well, it sounds like pretty incredible to me then if you can't do that. You've got apples and oranges, my friend.</li> <li>Q. Are you denying it?</li> <li>MR. ZAKHEM: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I never said that, I never said it and the reality is the way it's attributed, it's almost patently untrue. That's what a phony reporter does when he's saying something false. How would you treat a statement if I said your client said it but I'm not going to tell you who said it. I'm giving you the person who told me on the record.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: I don't even know what your question is. I'm answering the question I was just asked. MR. ARRINGTON: I understand but I would like to get an answer as to the count of time. THE WITNESS: The time? I don't know the time because MR. ARRINGTON: I'm not asking you, sir. MR. SIBLEY: It's three hours, three minutes, Barry, but we spent about five minutes going over who was on Zoom, so I've given him an additional five minutes. THE WITNESS: I'm happy to tell you that Chris Christie never called me, never said that. BY MR. CAIN: Q. He said it on a national A. He wouldn't have the guts to do it.

45 (Pages 174 - 177)

1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI	
2	THE WITNESS: I know he was	2	CERTIFICATE	
3	saying it. He wasn't telling the	3	STATE OF NEW YORK )	
4	truth. He was what we call lying.	4	: ss.	
5	BY MR. CAIN:	5	COUNTY OF NASSAU )	
6	Q. Did he call you and say you	6		
7	needed to stop?	7	I, CATHI IRISH, a Registered	
8	A. Never. Chris Christie and I	8	Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime	
9	don't have that kind of relationship. He	9	Reporter, and Notary Public within and for	
10	wouldn't have called me to tell me to	10	the State of New York, do hereby certify:	
11	stop. He would be afraid to. He's not	11	That RUDOLPH GIULIANI, the witness	
12	going to lie to my face. He would be	12	whose deposition is hereinbefore set	
13	afraid to lie to my face.	13	forth, was duly sworn by me and that such	
14	Q. Okay. I don't have enough time	14	deposition is a true record of the	
15	to get into a different subject under the	15	testimony given by the witness.	
16	court's order so I think we're going to	16	I further certify that I am not	
17	shut it down for today.	17	related to any of the parties to this	
18	A. We're not finished?	18	action by blood or marriage, and that I am	
19	Q. Pardon?	19	in no way interested in the outcome of	
20	A. We're going to continue this?	20 21	this matter.	
21	Q. No, I'm saying the court has	21 22	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 18th day of August, 2021.	
22	ordered us to have a time limit. We've	22 23	set my hand this 18th day of August, $2021$ .	
23	reached that time limit.	23 24	() Line l.	
$\frac{23}{24}$	A. Good.	24	CATHI IRISH, KPK, CRR, CLVS	
25	Q. So I'm going to conclude my	25	CATHI IKISH, KI K, CKK, CL VS	
	Page 178	25	Page	180
1	GIULIANI	1	GIULIANI	
2	questions for today and thank you for your	2	I N D E X	
3	hospitality.	3	WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAG	E
4	A. Thank you.	4	RUDOLPH GIULIANI MR. CAIN 14	
5	MR. SIBLEY: I've got no	5		
6	questions.	6		
7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Does anyone	7	EXHIBITS	
8	else have any questions on remote?	8		AGE
9	MR. SIBLEY: We're at the limit	9	Exhibit 98, declaration 17	
10	of the deposition so nobody is asking	10	Exhibit 102, document from Jan 85	
11	questions.	11	Wolfe Twitter account	
12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes			
13	today's testimony. We're now off the	12		
14	record at approximately 1:07 p.m.	14		
15	(Time noted: 1:07 p.m.)	15		
16	(This house ito, phili)	16		
17		17		
18	RUDOLPH GIULIANI	18		
19		18 19		
20	Subscribed and sworn to before me	20		
20	this day of, 2021.	20 21		
$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22 \end{vmatrix}$	uns uay 01, 2021.	21 22		
$\begin{vmatrix} 22\\23 \end{vmatrix}$				
23		23 24		
24		24 25		
145		20		
	Page 179		Page	181

46 (Pages 178 - 181)

1			ULIANI	
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	NT A N		RATA SHEET	
3		IE OF C. resident,		vs. Donald J. Trump
5			EPOSITION: A	ugust 14, 2021
6			Rudolph Giulian	
7				
8	PAG	ELINE	FROM	TO
9 10				
11				
12				I
13				
14				
15				
16				
17 18				
19				
20				
			RUDOLPH GI	ULIANI
21				
22			. 1 6	
22			worn to before	
23 24	this_	day	of	, 2021.
24				
25	(Not	ary Publ	ic) My Comm	ission Expires:
				Page 182
1	siblev@	camaras	ibley.com	
2	5		1gust 18, 2021	
	Coome		-	Frump For President
			F: Rudolph Giuli	-
5			ferenced witness t	
6			l and sign.	
7			plicable timefram	e, the witness
8			estimony to verify	
				should note those
			Errata Sheet.	
11	The	witness s	hould sign and no	otarize the
12	attache	d Errata p	ages and return to	Veritext at
13	errata-t	x@verite	xt.com.	
14	Acc	ording to	applicable rules o	r agreements, if
15	the with	ness fails	to do so within th	e time allotted,
16	a certif	ied copy o	of the transcript m	ay be used as if
17	signed.			
18		Y	ours,	
19		١	veritext Legal Sol	utions
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				Page 183
25				

Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure Chapter 4, Disclosure and Discovery Rule 30

(e) Review by Witness; Changes; Signing. If requested by the deponent or a party before completion of the deposition, the deponent shall be notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available. Within 35 days of receipt of such notification the deponent shall review the transcript or recording and, if the deponent makes changes in the form or substance of the deposition, shall sign a statement reciting such changes and the deponent's reasons for making them and send such statement to the officer. The officer shall indicate in the certificate prescribed by subsection (f)(1) of this rule whether any review was requested and, if so, shall append any changes made by the deponent.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING CIVIL PROCEDURE RULES ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY. THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1, 2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE STATE RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

#### VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.

## EXHIBIT



Exhibit PX 0098 Giuliani

DISTRICT COURT, DENVER COUNTY, CO 1437 Bannock Street, Denver, CO 80202	
ERIC COOMER, Ph.D., Plaintiff	
vs.	
DONALD J. TRUMP FOR PRESIDENT, INC.,	COURT USE ONLY
SIDNEY POWELL, SIDNEY POWELL, P.C.,	
RUDOLPH GIULIANI, JOSEPH OLTMANN, FEC UNITED, SHUFFLING MADNESS MEDIA,	Case Number: 2020CV34319
INC. dba CONSERVATIVE DAILY, JAMES	
HOFT, TGP COMMUNICATIONS LLC dba THE GATEWAY PUNDIT, MICHELLE MALKIN,	Division Courtroom: 409
ERIC METAXAS, CHANEL RION, HERRING	
NETWORKS, INC. dba ONE AMERICA NEWS NETWORK, and NEWSMAX MEDIA, INC.,	
Defendants	
Attorneys for Defendant, Rudolph Giuliani:	
Autorneys for Defendant, Kadolph Gladdant.	
Geoffrey N. Blue (32684), <u>gblue@gesslerblue.com</u>	
Scott E. Gessler (28944), <u>sgessler@gesslerblue.com</u> Gessler Blue LLC	
7350 E Progress Pl., Suite 100	
Greenwood Village, CO 80111	
Tel: (303) 906-1050	

#### DECLARATION OF RUDOLPH GIULIANI IN SUPPORT OF SPECIAL MOTION TO DISMISS PURSUANT TO COLO. REV. STAT. § 13-20-1101

RUDOLPH GIULIANI, hereby declares as follows pursuant to COLO. REV. STAT. § 13-

27-106:

1. "My name is Rudolph Giuliani. I am one of the Defendants in the above-referenced

cause.

- 2. "Just after the November 3, 2020 Election ("*Election*"), I was retained by Donald J. Trump for President, Inc ("*Trump Campaign*"). I was the head of the Trump Campaign's legal team. I began the process of investigating what were perceived as voting irregularities in the Election. Prior to November 3, 2020, I was unfamiliar with Plaintiff Eric Coomer ("*Coomer*"), Dominion Voting Systems ("*Dominion*"), or Smartmatic ("*Smartmatic*").
- 3. "At some point during our legal team's investigation into the Election (which included voting security issues with Dominion and Dominion's history with Smartmatic) we became aware of media reports circulating regarding Coomer and allegations that he had been overheard telling a radical leftist group words to the effect that he had ensured that Trump would lose the Election. I perceived this to mean that Coomer used his position at Dominion to rig the software or machines to manipulate the Election results. I was also made aware that Coomer was alleged to have ties to radical leftist groups, such as Antifa.
- 4. "Around this same time frame, we had learned many alarming facts about voting machines and voting technologies used in the 2020 Election: (1) information that Smartmatic had ties to Venezuela and the Chavez regime; (2) information that Smartmatic had attempted to operate in the U.S. through a subsidiary called Sequoia Voting ("*Sequoia*"); (3) information that the U.S. Government had raised concerns regarding Smartmatic operating Sequoia given its ties to Venezuela and unknown ownership; (4) information that Dominion had acquired Sequoia from Smartmatic after Smartmatic decided to divest from Sequoia rather than fully comply with an investigation by the U.S. Government and with Sequoia, also acquired Coomer who

worked for Sequoia as "Vice President of Research and Product Development"; (5) information that Dominion had a software licensing agreement with Smartmatic; (6) allegations of voting irregularities with Dominion machines/software that had switched votes from Trump to Biden; (7) evidence that Texas had rejected Dominion due to security concerns; and (8) evidence of significant nationwide concern over the security of Dominion machines and software that existed prior to the Election.

- 5. "I was aware of these facts prior to the November 19, 2020 press conference in Washington, D.C. ("*Press Conference*") as a result of our legal team's investigation into the Election. At the time I made the statements in the Press Conference regarding Coomer I believed them to be true. Although I do not believe I interviewed or talked to Mr. Oltmann (the person alleged to overheard Coomer make the statements in question) prior to the Press Conference, I had seen evidence of Coomer's social media postings which were vehemently anti-Trump.
- 6. "Based on that corroborating evidence, I had no reason to doubt the credibility of the witness testimony that was being widely reported at the time regarding Coomer's statements that he had programmed Dominion machines or software to rig the Election. I believed them to be true when I made the statements regarding Coomer at the Press Conference.
- 7. "In addition, at the time I made the statements in the Press Conference regarding Coomer, I had already filed a suit to challenge the Election and was planning to file more suits in contested states alleging, among other things, that the results of the Election were tainted based on security issues with Dominion machines and/or software. The alleged statements from Coomer were intended to be part of our legal

team's presentation of evidence to challenge the Election results. In fact, the entire purpose of the Press Conference was to announce an "opening statement" as to what we expected the evidence to show in the litigation over the Election we had already and were preparing to file in the contested states.

 "I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of Colorado that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on the 29th day of April, 2021 in New York, NY, United States of America.

Rudolph Giuliani Rudolph Giuliani

DISTRICT COURT CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER, COLORADO 1437 Bannock Street, Room 256 Denver, CO 80202 Phone: (303) 606-2300	
ERIC COOMER, Plaintiff, v. DONALD J. TRUMP FOR PRESIDENT, et al.	
Defendants.	$\triangle$ COURT USE ONLY $\triangle$
Defendants.         Attorneys for Defendant, Rudolph Giuliani:         Geoffrey N. Blue (32684), gblue@gesslerblue.com         Scott E. Gessler (28944), sgessler@gesslerblue.com         Gessler Blue LLC         7350 E Progress Pl., Suite 100         Greenwood Village, CO 80111         Tel: (303) 906-1050	COURT USE ONLY Case Number: 2020CV34319

#### **RELATING TO SPECIAL MOTION TO DISMISS**

The Plaintiff has served requests for production ("RFPs") that are overly broad,

confusing and seek to expand Defendant Rudolph Giuliani's ("Giuliani"), obligations under

the Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure. Accordingly, Giuliani provides the following

objections to those RFPs:

#### OBJECTIONS TO DEFINITIONS AND INTERPRETATIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

Giuliani objects to the definitions and instructions to the extent they seek to expand his obligations to respond beyond those obligations set forth in the Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure, and he refuses to adhere to any of the definitions or instructions to the extent they seek to expand his obligations beyond those set forth in the Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure.

In particular, Giuliani does not have an obligation to produce documents electronically nor to follow Plaintiff's instructions regarding the electronic format of those documents if he does produce them electronically. He also will only perform the search and recovery of any documents required by the Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure.

To the extent any of the below requests include privileged documents or documents protected by the work product doctrine, Giuliani will not produce those documents and will follow the Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure on how those documents are identified to Plaintiff if they must be identified.

These specific objections do not obviate Giuliani's general objection to the Definitions and Interpretations and Instructions that seek to expand his obligations beyond those set forth by the Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure.

#### **OBJECTIONS TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION**

1. All communications (including email and text messages) about Dr. Coomer and/or Dominion Voting Systems between you and:

a. Any other Defendant

2

- b. Ron Watkins (including any aliases)
- c. Jack Posobiec
- d. Christina Bobb
- e. Michael Flynn
- f. Patrick Byrne
- g. Lindsey Oakley

The foregoing request is limited in time from January 2020 to the present with an obligation to update should additional materials be discovered.

**Response:** Giuliani objects to RFP 1 to the extent it seeks information regarding the Dominion Voting Systems which is not a subject of this lawsuit. He further objects to the extent it seeks documents created after the lawsuit was filed which are not relevant to the case. He will produce only communications in his possession, custody, or control about Plaintiff. Giuliani will not produce any documents created in connection with the defense of this lawsuit, as those documents are privileged and/or protected by the work product doctrine – and they will not be included in a "Privilege Log."

2. All broadcasts and publications of You containing any statements regarding Dr. Coomer.

**Response:** Giuliani objects to RFP 2 as the phrase "All broadcasts and publications of you…" is grammatically confusing and the term "regarding" is overly broad. Giuliani also objects to this request because it suggests that Giuliani either broadcasted or published any statements about Dr. Comer. He will produce all broadcasts and publications in his possession, custody or control that contain any statements by him in which he references Plaintiff.

3. All evidence of any retractions you have made with respect to statements regarding Dr. Coomer.

**Response:** Giuliani objects to RFP 3 because the term "regarding" is overly broad and the term "retraction" can have multiple meanings. He will produce documents showing any evidence of "retractions," as that word is used in the relevant caselaw, about any statements he made about Plaintiff. Giuliani further objects to this Defendants object to this request because it seeks information not likely to lead to the discovery of relevant evidence. In assessing whether a party acted with actual malice, "[t]he Court must look at the circumstances at the time the publication was issued." *United Food and Commercial Workers Union v. Ute City Tea Party, Ltd.,* No. 98CV285, 2000 WL 1575536, *6 (Colo. Dist. Ct. Feb. 10, 2000) (emphasis added). Because the decision whether to issue a retraction is made after the time of publication, "the failure to retract is not 'adequate evidence of malice for constitutional purposes." *Id.* (quoting *New York Times v. Sullivan,* 376 U.S. 254, 286 (1964)).

4. All documents reflecting any investigation you made regarding the allegations about Dr. Coomer made by Defendant Joseph Oltmann.

**<u>Response</u>**: Giuliani objects to RFP 3 because the term "regarding" is overly broad. Giuliani also objects to this request as, by its terms, it seeks attorney-client privileged and work product protected information, that he refuses to produce. He will produce nonprivileged responsive documents that were created prior to the filing of the lawsuit, but will not produce documents created in connection with his defense of this lawsuit.

Respectfully submitted this 25th day of June 2021,

GESSLER BLUE LLC

<u>s/ Geoffrey N. Blue</u> Geoffrey N. Blue

#### **Certificate of Service**

I certify that on this 25th day of June 2021, the foregoing was electronically served to all parties on their counsel of record via ICCES.

By: <u>s/ Joanna Bila</u> Joanna Bila, Paralegal

# TRUMP

KE AMERICA GREAT AGAINI

2020

Exhibit PX 0100 Giuliani

## EXHIBIT 101 Video File

### PRESERVED IN NATIVE FORMAT



## Newly disclosed emails show how a One America News "reporter" was working for Giuliani and helping him try to overturn the election.

. . .

americanoversight.org/arizona-senate...

Dec. 4, 2020, email from Christina Bobb to Fann: "Mayor Giuliani asked me to send you these declarations. He will follow up with you as well."

From: Christina Bobb • Date: Friday, December 4, 2020 at 11:45 AM To: <u>"kfann@azleg.gov" <kfann@azleg.gov></u> Subject: AZ Evidence/Affidavits

Good morning, Ma'am, Mayor Giuliani asked me to send you these declarations. He will follow up with you as well. I will have one more email follow this one. Respectfully, Christina

#### 4:28 PM · Jun 7, 2021 · Twitter Web App





Jan Wolfe 🔮 @JanNWolfe · 16h This isn't how journalism works thedailybeast.com/oan-host-chris...

president's team. Her presence has caused a bit of confusion among actual campaign staff, who wondered if she was there to embed with the Trump legal "strike force" as a reporter.

But according to multiple knowledgeable sources, Bobb has actually been assisting the president's long, long, long-shot legal effort effectively taking on a secondary role as a pro-Trump lawyer even as she continues her job as a pro-Trump TV host.

"Christina is an attorney and has helped with some legal work in her personal capacity and not on behalf of OAN," Jenna Ellis, a senior legal adviser to Trump and his 2020 campaign, told The Daily Beast on Monday afternoon.

**२** 30

186

9 602

 $\triangle$