1	LINDBERGH PORTER, Bar No. 100091 lporter@littler.com	
2	ROBERT M. GEIGER, Bar No. 322914 rgeiger@littler.com	ELECTRONICALLY
3	LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. 333 Bush Street, 34th Floor	FILED Superior Court of California,
4	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: 415.433.1940	County of San Francisco
5	Facsimile: 415.399.8490	10/28/2021 Clerk of the Court BY: EDWARD SANTOS
6	Attorneys for Defendant RECOLOGY, INC.	Deputy Clerk
7	RECOLOGI, INC.	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
10		
11		Cara Na CCC 21 504674
12	GABRIELLA TABAK, individually and as Guardian Ad Litem for minors BEN I.	Case No. CGC-21-594674
13	TABAK, ADELA L. TABAK, and LEVI J. TABAK; THE ESTATE OF ADAM	DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT
14	TABAK, DECEASED,	
15	Plaintiffs,	
16	V.	
17	RECOLOGY, INC.; and DOES 1 TO 100, inclusive,	
18	Defendant.	Complaint Filed: 08/20/2021
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
LSON PC		

LER MENDELSON, P.C. 333 Bush Street 34th Floor Defendant RECOLOGY, INC. ("Defendant") answers Plaintiffs GABRIELLA TABAK, individually and as Guardian Ad Litem for minors BEN I. TABAK, ADELA L. TABAK, and LEVI J. TABAK; and THE ESTATE OF ADAM TABAK, DECEASED (Adam Tabak referred to as "Decedent") (collectively "Plaintiffs") as follows.

GENERAL DENIAL

Pursuant to section 431.30(d) of the California Code of Civil Procedure, Defendant denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in the Complaint. Defendant further denies Plaintiffs have sustained, or will sustain any loss or damage in the manner or amount alleged, or otherwise, by reason of any act or omission, or any other conduct or absence thereof on the part of Defendant or on the part of Defendant's agents, representatives or employees.

<u>AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES</u>

In further answer to the Complaint, Defendant asserts the following additional defenses, which it designates as "affirmative defenses." In asserting these defenses, Defendant does not admit any of the allegations of the Complaint and does not assume the burden of proof as to any matter that, as a matter of law, is Plaintiffs' burden to prove. Defendant intends to rely upon any additional defenses that become available or apparent during pretrial proceedings and discovery in this action and hereby reserves the right to amend this Answer to assert all such further defenses.

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendant alleges that the Complaint, and each cause of action alleged therein, fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action upon which relief can be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendant alleges on information and belief that Plaintiffs' Complaint and each cause of action alleged therein, or some of them, are barred, in whole or in part, because there exists and arbitration agreement between Decedent and Defendant in which the parties agreed to submit any and all claims arising under the arbitration agreements to final and binding arbitration and thus each and every cause of action alleged in the Complaint is subject to final and binding arbitration in accordance with the terms of the arbitration agreement. Such claims

are further barred due to the failure or refusal of Plaintiffs to timely and completely utilize the complaint procedure established by the arbitration agreement, including but not limited to the applicable arbitration procedures, which were at all times available and applicable to Plaintiffs. Defendant does not waive its right to enforce the arbitration agreement.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendant alleges that to the extent Decedent's alleged injuries arose in the course of employment, Plaintiffs' claims are barred by the exclusivity of the California Workers' Compensation Act, California Labor Code section 3600, et seq.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendant alleges that the Complaint, and each cause of action alleged therein, are barred to the extent that they were filed after the expiration of the applicable statutory periods, including but not limited to the limitations periods set forth in California Code of Civil Procedure section 335.1, California Government Code sections 12960 and 12965, and 29 U.S.C. § 2617(c).

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendant alleges that the Complaint, and each cause of action alleged therein, are barred to the extent that Plaintiffs failed to timely comply with the applicable procedural and administrative prerequisites including timely charge filing requirements and the exhaustion of all administrative remedies available to them.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendant alleges that it exercised reasonable care to prevent and promptly correct any alleged discriminatory or otherwise unlawful behavior.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a separate and affirmative defense, Defendant alleges that it had no knowledge of any discriminatory or otherwise unlawful behavior by any of its employees, agents, or representatives.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendant alleges that to the extent any

employee engaged in any discriminatory or otherwise unlawful behavior, the alleged acts were committed outside the course and scope of employment and were not authorized, adopted, or ratified by Defendant and/or Defendant did not know nor should it have known of such conduct.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendant alleges that the employment actions complained of by Plaintiffs were based on legitimate, non-discriminatory reasons.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendant alleges that with respect to the employment actions complained of by Plaintiffs, Defendant would have taken the same employment actions in the absence of the alleged discriminatory factor.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendant alleges that Plaintiffs' claims, in whole or in part, are barred, or any recovery should be reduced, pursuant to the avoidable consequences doctrine.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant is informed and believes that a reasonable opportunity for investigation and discovery will reveal and, on that basis alleges to the extent that the Court may find that Decedent did not have a disability that limited a major life activity and that Defendant had an obligation to reasonably accommodate such a disability, Decedent, even with reasonable accommodations, was unable to perform an essential job duty without endangering Decedent's health or safety or the health or safety of others.

THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendant alleges that assuming that Decedent made a request for reasonable accommodation, Decedent's requested accommodation imposes an undue hardship.

FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendant is informed and believes that a reasonable opportunity for investigation and discovery will reveal and, on that basis alleges that

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Plaintiff failed to provide sufficient notice that Plaintiff sought qualifying leave under the California Family Rights Act and Family Medical Leave Act.

FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendant alleges that Defendant's acts were made in good faith and had reasonable ground for believing such acts were in compliance with the Family Medical Leave Act, and therefore, liquidated damages are not appropriate.

SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendant is informed and believes that a reasonable opportunity for investigation and discovery will reveal and, on that basis alleges, that the Complaint and each cause of action set forth therein, or some of them, cannot be maintained against Defendant because Plaintiffs failed to allege the requisite causal connection between alleged protected status or activities and the alleged adverse employment action(s).

SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendant is informed and believes that a reasonable opportunity for investigation and discovery will reveal and, on that basis alleges, that Defendant's conduct towards Plaintiff was fully justified based upon its judgment of differences in individual performance, qualifications, skill, effort, responsibility, merit or other bona fide occupational qualifications, business necessity (including undue hardship), by job relatedness, by nondiscrimination or affirmative action plans and/or by requirement of law.

EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendant alleges that to the extent that during the course of this litigation it acquires any evidence of Plaintiffs' or Decedent's wrongdoing, such after-acquired evidence bars Plaintiffs' claims of liability or damages or reduces such claims as provided by law.

NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendant alleges that the injuries and damages alleged in the Complaint were caused by and/or were contributed to by Decedent's own acts or failure to act and that Plaintiffs' recovery, if any, should be reduced by an amount proportionate to

the amount by which said acts caused or contributed to said alleged injuries or damages.

TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendant is informed and believes that a reasonable opportunity for investigation and discovery will reveal and, on that basis alleges, Plaintiffs' recovery, if any, of non-economic damages based upon the Complaint is limited to the percentage of fault, if any, attributable to Defendant as provided in the Fair Responsibility Act of 1986, Civil Code sections 1431-1431.5.

TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendant alleges that Plaintiffs' claims are barred and/or any recovery to which Plaintiffs might be entitled (and Defendant does not admit that Plaintiffs are entitled to any recovery) must be reduced by reason of Plaintiffs' and Decedent's failure to mitigate their damages.

TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendant alleges that any recovery to which Plaintiffs might be entitled (and Defendant does not admit that Plaintiffs are entitled to any recovery) must be offset by any benefits and/or other monies that Plaintiffs have received or will receive from any source, including but not limited to other insurance, state or federal disability payments, and workers' compensation payments.

TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendant alleges that all or portions of the Plaintiffs' claims are barred by the defense of waiver and/or release, or accord and satisfaction.

TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendant alleges that the Complaint, and each cause of action alleged therein, or some of them, are barred by the doctrines of unclean hands, estoppel, waiver, and laches.

TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendant alleges that some or all of Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, on the grounds of res judicata, issue preclusion, or

26

27

28

for punitive damages is barred because Defendant had suitable anti-discrimination policies in effect at

all material times. Kolstad v. ADA, 527 U.S. 526 (1999); White v. Ultramar, Inc., 21 Cal. 4th 563

As a separate and distinct affirmative defense, Defendant alleges that Plaintiffs' claim

1	(1999).	
2	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
3	WHEREFORE, Defendant prays that:	
4	1. Plaintiffs' Complaint be dismissed in its entirety with prejudice;	
5	2. Plaintiffs take nothing by this action;	
6	3. Judgment be entered in Defendant's favor and against Plaintiffs;	
7	4. Defendant be awarded its costs and attorneys' fees incurred herein; and	
8	 Defendant be awarded such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper. 	
9		
10	Dated: October 28, 2021	
11		
12	Hot A Som	
13	LÍNDBERGH PORTER ROBERT M. GEIGER	
14	LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.	
15	Attorneys for Defendant RECOLOGY, INC.	
16	4866-5893-6321.2 / 016440-2779	
17	4000-3093-0321.27010440-2779	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27 28		
ر م <i>ا</i>	II	

LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.
333 Bush Store
3416 Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
415.433.1940