COMPLAINT ABOUT A CALIFORNIA JUDGE, COURT COMMISSIONER OR REFEREE

Confidential under California Constitution Article VI, Section 18, and Commission Rule 102

For information about the Commission on Judicial Performance and instructions on filling out and submitting this form, please visit our website at <u>http://cjp.ca.gov</u>.

Today's date: September 16, 2021

Your name: Juan Pablo Lopez

Your telephone number: (650) 346-4324

Your address: 254 5th Avenue Rewood City, CA 9406

Your attorney's name: Maria Belyi & J. Tony Serra

Your attorney's telephone number: 415-986-5591

Name of judge: Joseph C. Scott, Judge & Katrina Bihl, Court Clerk - ADDENDUM to Original Complaint

OR

Name of court commissioner or referee:

(If your complaint involves a court commissioner or referee, you must first submit your complaint to the local court. If you have done so, please attach copies of your correspondence to and from that court.)

Court: Superior Court

County: San Mateo County

Name of case and case number: People v. Juan Pablo Lopez; NF433910

Date conduct occurred: August 27, 2021

Please specify what action or behavior of the judge, court commissioner or referee is the basis of your complaint. Provide relevant dates and the names of others present. (Use additional pages if necessary.)

SEE ATTACHED

Return to:Commission on Judicial Performance
455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 14400
San Francisco, California 94102Telephone:(415) 557-\$200Fax:(415) 557-1266

PARTIES:

- 1) Joseph C. Scott, Superior Court Judge
- 2) Katrina Bihl, Court Clerk
- 3) Jocelyne Fakhouri, Court Reporter
- 4) Evelyn Segura-Chavez, WITNESS
- 5) Sarah L. Overton (CSB # 163810), Judge Scott's attorney

SUMMARY:

Court Clerk Katrina Bihl acts in concert with Superior Court Judge Joseph C. Scott and perjures herself, in a written declaration (ATTACHED), in support of him. Said declaration is presented by Judge Scott's own attorney, Sarah L. Overton (CSB # 163810), who either knew or should have known she was suborning perjury and in a clear conflict of interest -representing both Scott & Bihl.

Bihl's perjurious statements are revealed by an audio recording made by WITNESS Segura-Chavez, one which directly contradicts her (Bihl's) declaration and that of Judge Scott -in his declaration, Judge Scott, in support of his denials, said he had immediately left the courtroom with Court Reporter Jocelyne Fakhouri, yet she is heard, in the recording, to have remained [in the courtroom] and conversed with Bihl.

NARRATIVE:

On September 8, 2021, my attorneys, Maria Belyi & Tony Serra, filed responses to Judge Scott's and Court Clerk Katrina Bihl's declarations, respectively (ATTACHED). In Ms. Belyi's response, she revealed WITNESS Segura-Chavez had provided a recording to counsel, one which established Bihl and Judge Scott had perjured themselves, in their respective statements. Both Judge Scott and Bihl had, obviously, been <u>unaware</u> of the described recording and what it would represent/establish, as to both the truth of the matter and their individual credibility.

I submit, Judge Scott had contacted and questioned Court Clerk Katrina Bihl, as part of the "court staff" identified in his declaration; that his purpose had been to see if she would contradict him, in what he was about to say in the document, and to intimidate and influence her, in her recollection of events; and that the perjurious statements contained in her declaration were the direct result of his influence. Judge Scott is the executive, Bihl his subordinate, and his questioning of her inappropriate/unethical.

Evelyn Segura-Chavez' audiotape (ATTACHED) clearly <u>contradicts</u> Court Clerk Katrina Bihl assertions that:

- (1) she had **muted the public access line** [barring Segur-Chavez from overhearing Judge Scott's & Bihl's conversation]
- (2) she and the bailiff were the only persons in the courtroom, following the hearing
- (3) immediately after Judge Scott concluded the hearing, he had left the courtroom with the court reporter [Jocelyne Fakhouri].

Specifically, (1) Court Clerk Katrina Bihl had <u>not</u> muted the Court's Public Access Line, allowing WITNESS Evelyn Segura-Chavez to hear the respective interactions/conversations of Judge Scott, Bihl, Fakhouri, and the Bailiff; (2) Bihl & the Bailiff had <u>not</u> been the only persons present, in the courtroom, following the hearing, as Fakhouri can clearly be heard to have a conversation with Bill and was therefore present; and (3) Court Reporter Fakhouri had <u>not</u> left the courtroom with Judge Scott, immediately after he (Judge Scott) had concluded the hearing, <u>in</u> <u>contradiction of not only Bihl, but Judge Scott as well</u> -in his Declaration filed by Sarah L. Overton.

Respectfully submitted,

Juan Pablo Lopez

PLUP Pania Logi a Constant addamian

Court Clerk Katrina Bihl's Declaration

ł		FILED SAN MATEO COUNTY
		AUG 27 2021
.		
1	SARAH L. OVERTON (CSB # 163810) CUMMINGS, MCCLOREY, DAVIS, ACHO & ASSOC	Clerkofthe Superior Court
2	3801 University Avenue, Suite 560 Riverside, CA 92501	
3	(951) 276-4420	
4	(951) 276-4405 facsimile soverton@cmda-law.com	1
5	Attorneys for the Honorable Joseph C. Scott, Judge of the Superior Court of California,	
6	County of San Mateo	
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF SAN MATEO	
10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Case No. NF433910
11	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	
12	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF KATRINA BIHL IN
13	v.	RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S SECOND SUPPLEMENT TO THE CHALLENGE
14	JUAN PABLO LOPEZ,	FOR CAUSE
15		
16	Defendant.	
17		
18		
19		OF KATRINA BIHL
20	I, Katrina Bihl, declare:	
21	1. I am the courtroom clerk for the Honorable Joseph C. Scott, Judge of the Superior	
22	Court of California, County of San Mateo (Judge Scott). If called upon as a witness, I would	
23	competently testify to the matters stated herein.	
24	2. I am employed by the Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo	
25	(Superior Court) and have been so employed since December 2016. I was initially hired by the	
26	Superior Court as a deputy court clerk in the probate department. I have been a courtroom clerk	
27	since November 2019. I have been Judge Scott	's courtroom clerk for a little over a year.
28		
	4	

DECLARATION OF KATRINA BIHL IN RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S SECOND SUPPLEMENT TO THE CHALLENGE FOR CAUSE

3. As part of my duties as courtroom clerk, prior to the time that Judge Scott takes the bench to hear the matters on calendar, I check in the attorneys and/or parties, and I call into the public access line as the "host." The public access line permits the public to listen to the proceedings through their telephone. In the morning session, after the proceedings conclude, I mute the public access line without disconnecting it because additional matters may be sent from the master-calendar court to be heard in our department. Once I determine that no additional matters will be sent from the master calendar court, I disconnect the line. ł

4. 8 On July 27, 2021, the instant action was on the morning calendar for a hearing to continue the trial. This was the only matter on the court's calendar. When the attorneys arrived 9 10 for the hearing, I noted their appearance for my minutes. I also called into the public access line 11 at approximately 9:15 a.m. Judge Scott took the bench sometime around 9:30 a.m. The hearing lasted approximately 5 minutes. Immediately after Judge Scott concluded the hearing, he left the 12 13 courtroom with the court reporter. Judge Scott did not have any conversation with me. After the 14 hearing, as was my usual practice, I muted the public access line and proceeded to work at my 15 desk in the courtroom while I waited to see if the master calendar court would send any matters to this courtroom. The only other person in the courtroom was a bailiff, who was not the usual 16 17 bailiff for this courtroom. Judge Scott did not take the bench again that morning. After some time, I left the courtroom to speak to Judge Scott in chambers. When I returned to the 18 19 courtroom, the bailiff had left. That indicated to me that the master calendar court was not 20 sending any cases to this courtroom that morning. At that point, I disconnected the public access 21 line.

5. I have read the defendant's second supplement to the challenge for cause which
sets forth the declaration of Evelyn Segura Chavez. In the declaration, Ms. Chavez contends that
she overheard a conversation between Judge Scott and me after the hearing. Specifically, Ms.
Chavez alleges that she heard the following:

"The Clerk said, "Hey, Judge Scott, what do you think of this?" Judge Scott replied, "What are you talking about?" The Clerk said, "About the case." Judge Scott then said, "Well, he got lucky and got away with the first one, but we will see about this one. His cousin works in San Francisco and is going to testify against him. Also, about the loans, how is he going to get around that?" The Clerk

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

26

27

28

-2-

DECLARATION OF KATRINA BIHL IN RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S SECOND SUPPLEMENT TO THE CHALLENGE FOR CAUSE

then said "Well, they've been putting this off for a long time now." Both Judge Scott and the Clerk laughed. Judge Scott then responded, "Well, we will see about that." They both laughed again.

(Second Supplement, pp.1:26-2:3.)

6. I deny the claims made by Ms. Chavez. At no time did I make any of the statements that Ms. Chavez alleges were made by me. At no time did I hear any of the statements alleged to have been made by Judge Scott. As stated in the foregoing, Judge Scott was not in the courtroom after the hearing, as he immediately left the courtroom after he ruled on defendant's motion. I did not have any conversation with Judge Scott in the courtroom after the hearing. Further, I have never heard Judge Scott make the types of statements alleged by Ms. Chavez about any criminal defendant. At no time did I hear anyone make the statements alleged by Ms. Chavez. Further, the bailiff and I were the only people in the courtroom. At no time did I hear the bailiff make any of the statements alleged by Ms. Chavez.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 27, 2021, at San Mateo County, California.

and an and the set of the set of

Maria Belyi's response to Court Clerk Katrina Bihl's Declaration

1	J. TONY SERRA SBN 32639		
2	MARIA BELYI SBN 270019 3330 Geary Blvd, 3 rd Floor East San Francisco, CA 94118		
3			
4	Telephone: (415)986-5591 Facsimile: (415)421-1331		
5	Attorneys for Defendant		
6	JUAN PABLO LOPEZ		
7	SUPERIOR COURT	OF CALIFORNIA	
8	COUNTY OI	F SAN MATEO	
9	se		
10	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	No. NF433910	
11	Plaintiff,	DECLARATIONS AND ARGUMENT IN	
12	v.	RESPONSE TO KATRINA BIHL'S DECLARATION (CCP 1701.1(a)(6)(A)(iii))	
13	JUAN PABLO LOPEZ,		
14		REQUEST FOR STAY OF	
15	Defendant.	PROCEEDINGS PENDING DECISION OF CHALLENGE FOR CAUSE	
16	/		
17	I. KATRINA BIHL'S DECLARAITON IS	CONTRADICTED BY FACTS.	
18	Ms. Bihl's declaration avers that after the co	ourt proceedings, Judge Scott "immediately" left	
19	the courtroom with the court reporter. See Declaration of Katrina Bihl, 2:12-13. Ms. Bihl then says		
20 21	that she muted the fine, as she does in typical practice and continued to work at her desk. Id. 2:13-		
21	16.		
23	However, Ms. Segura Chavez provided a recording to counsel ¹ . See Exhibit A; see also		
24	Declaration by Counsel. That recording, which begins after the off-the-record colloquy between		
25	IMs. Bihl and Judge Scott, contradicted the assertion by the Judge and Ms. Bihl that he and the court		
26	reporter left immediately with him. The court reporter, Jocelyne Fakhouri, stayed behind a little bit		
27	after the judge, and had a brief conversation with N	Is. Bihl; that line was not muted. (See	
28			

¹ The recording is in the process of being transcribed.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4

5

6

7

Declaration of Counsel, below; see also Ms. Segura Chavez's declaration, attached herein as
 <u>Exhibit A</u>). That same recording showed that after the court reporter left, Ms. Bihl and the deputy
 engaged in a conversation about various topics, such as Olympic gymnastics.

Ms. Bihl's declaration is also troublesome because it was prepared by the same attorney as that representing Judge Scott. Judge Scott indicated in his papers that he had asked his staff about any statements like the ones that Mr. Lopez alleged. Judge Scott is obviously Ms. Bihl's boss, and her being represented by the same attorney as Judge Scott is a conflict of interest.

8 On the other hand, Ms. Segura Chavez's actions are consistent with someone who heard a
9 troublesome statement uttered by a judge presiding over her fiancé's matter. She has made
10 declarations under penalty of perjury describing what she heard and the actions that she took.

Ultimately, it is apparent that neither Judge Scott nor Ms. Bihl have a clear recollection of
the events of the morning of July 27, 2021, despite their assertions, under penalty of perjury, that
they do. A reasonable objective observer, knowing the facts and circumstances of this Judge Scott's
statement, its disclosure, and the nature of the denials of Judge Scott and Katrina Bihl, "would fairly
entertain doubts concerning the judge's impartiality." <u>Briggs v. Superior Court</u> (2001) 87
Cal.App.4th 312, 319.

Mr. Lopez respectfully requests that proceedings be stayed pending this motion to disqualify
Judge Scott. Mr. Lopez respectfully requests that Judge Scott be disqualified from these
proceedings.

Dated: September 8, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

J. TONT SERVA MARIA BELYI Attorneys for Defendant JUAN PABLO LOPEZ

DECLARATION OF MARIA BELYI

- 1. I, MARIA BELYI, declare:
- 2. I am one of the attorneys for Mr. Juan Lopez. J. Tony Serra is lead counsel. We are currently in the process of transcribing a recording of the courtroom audio after the judge went out of the courtroom on July 27, 2021. I am informed and believe that Evelyn Segura Chavez recorded this after she heard Judge Scott and his clerk discuss Mr. Lopez's case, and heard Judge Scott state that Mr. Lopez "got lucky and got away" with his first case. Evelyn Segura Chavez's declaration as to this matter is attached as <u>Exhibit A</u>.
- 3. I have listened to the recording. The recording contradicts Judge Scott's assertion that the court reporter left with him immediately: the court reporter stays behind and has a short discussion with Ms. Bihl.
- 4. The recording contradicts Ms. Bihl's assertion that she had muted the line as soon as the court went off the record as, clearly, the recording shows that the conversations in the courtroom could be heard by the public call-in line.
- 5. The transcript will be provided as soon as it is ready. The recording can also be provided upon request.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct. That which is stated under information and belief, I believe to be true. Executed an Sentember 8, 2021 at San Francisco, CA.



1 2 3	J. TONY SERRA SBN 32639 MARIA BELYI SBN 270019 3330 GEARY BLVD, 3 RD FLOOR EAST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94118	
4	TELEPHONE: (415)986-5591 FACSIMILE: (415)421-1331	
5	ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT	
6	JUAN PABLO LOPEZ	
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	COUNTY OF	F SAN MATEO
9		
10	EPEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	No. NF433910
11	Plaintiff,	SECOND DECLARATION OF EVELYN
12	U U U U U U	SEGURA CHAVEZ ADDRESSING CLERK KATRINA BIHL'S ASSERTIONS
13	JUAN PABLO LOPEZ.	
14		
15	Defendant.	
16	DECLARATION OF EVE	LYN SEGURA CHAVEZ
17	I, EVELYN SEGURA CHAVEZ, hereby declare:	
18 19		hat Judge Scott's clerk stated, under penalty of
20	perjury, she had muted the public access line, after Judge Scott had left the bench, on July 27,	
20	2021.	
22	2. I know that to be not true. After Judge Scot	tt had made the comments stating Juan Lopez
23	had previously gotten away with the first case. I stayed on the line, to hear if anything else	
24	would be said. After I heard Judge Scott's comments, I proceeded to record the courtroom	
25	audio, through the public call-in line, in anticipation that something else of that nature may be	
26	said. The proceedings were no longer on the record. The recording refutes Ms. Bihl's	
27	statement.	
28	3. 1 have provided the recording to Mr. Lopez	's attorney. In that recording, you can hear a

I have provided the recording to Mr. Lopez's attorney. In that recording, you can hear a conversation between the clerk, Katrina Bihl, and the court reporter, Jocelyne Fakhouri -a

person Judge Scott contends had left the courtroom and was with him. This includes an exchange where Ms. Bihl and Ms. Fakhouri are discussing sending and ordering items to family in Lebanon.

 Afterwards, they discuss a transcript and it sounds as though Ms. Fakhouri leaves the courtroom. After Ms. Fakhouri leaves, Ms. Bihl is heard discussing the Olympics, her place of residence, and other topics with the bailiff.

I declare the above to be true under penalty of perjury. Executed on this 7th of September 2021 in Redwood City, CA.

Respectfully submitted,

* X EVELYN SEGURA CHAVEZ

1	PROOF OF SERVICE	
2		
3	The undersigned declares:	
4	I am a citizen of the United States. My business address 3330 Geary Blvd., Third Floor	
5	East, San Francisco, CA 94118. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within	
6	action.	
7	On the date below, I caused a true copy of the within:	
8 9	DECLARATIONS AND ARGUMENT IN RESPONSE TO KATRINA BIHL'S DECLARATION (CCP 1701.1(a)(6)(A)(iii))	
10	to be served on the following parties in the following manner:	
11	San Mateo County District Attorney's Office ATTN: Kimberly Perrotti Email: KPerrotti@smcgov.org	
12		
13		
14	Via Email	
15	Sarah Overton Cummings, McClorey, Davis, Acho & Associates	
16	SOverton@cmda-law.com	
17	Via Email	
18	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this	
19 20	declaration is executed on September 8, 2021, at San Francisco, California.	
20		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
1 1		

Auto Thus I append and i wit Addondum

Tony Serra's response to Judge Scott's Declaration

1 2 3 4	J. TONY SERRA SBN 32639 MARIA BELYI SBN 270019 3330 Geary Blvd, 3 rd Floor East San Francisco, CA 94118 Tele p hone: (415)986-5591 Facsimile: (415)421-1331	
5 6	Attorneys for Defendant JUAN PABLO LOPEZ	
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	COUNTY OF SAN MATEO	
9		
10	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, No. NF433910	
11	Plaintiff, NOTICE AND CHALLENGE FOR CAUSE;	
12	v. DECLARATION OF J. TONY SERRA IN SUPPORT OF DISQUALIFICATION FOR	
13 14	JUAN PABLO LOPEZ,CAUSE OF THE HONORABLE JUDGE SCOTT (Cal. Code Civ. Pro	
14	170.1(a)(6)(A)(iii)) Defendant.	
16	Request of Stay of Proceedings	
17	DECLARATION OF COUNSEL	
18	I, J. TONY SERRA, hereby declare:	
19	1. I am an attorney licensed to practice in the State of California, and I am the lead attorney	
20	representing Mr. Lopez.	
21	2. I realize that Judge Scott now knows that I know he has perjured himself by not admitting to	
22	uttering the statement as alleged in Mr. Lopez motion for disqualification for cause. (Sce	
23	Verified Answer of Judge Scott, filed August 20, 2021) That statement, averred by Evelyn	
24	Segura Chavez and signed under penalty of perjury, is self-authenticating and is true.	
25	a. Judge Scott, knowing that I feel this way about him, will not be fair to Mr. Lopez at	
26	trial.	
27	3. Further, Judge Scott has sought to influence his staff, including his clerk, by asking them	
28	about the statement and insinuating that they should say that he did not make it to go along with	
	his position on the matter.	
	1	

1	4. For the above two reasons, it is further believed that Mr. Lopez will not be able to get a fair	
2	trial in front of Judge Scott.	
3	I declare under penalty of perjury, based on my information and belief, that the above is true	
4	and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on this September 1, 2021.	
5	$\Lambda \Lambda \Lambda$	
6	im timps	
7	J. VONY SERRA	
8		
9	Verification	
10	J. Tony Serra declares as follows:	
11	I am an attorney licensed to practice in all courts of California and I am the lead attorney in	
12	this matter. In that capacity, I make this verification.	
13	I have read the foregoing declaration, and I know the contents to be true based upon	
14	personal knowledge.	
15	I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct and that this verification	
16	was executed on September 1, 2021, in San Francisco, California.	
17	(Im)	
18	J TONY SERRA	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
26 27		
27		
20		

PROOF OF SERVICE	
The undersigned declares:	
I am a citizen of the United States.	My business address is 3330 Geary Blvd., Third
Floor East, San Francisco, CA 94118. I am ov	ver the age of eighteen years and not a party to the
within action.	
On the date below, I caused a true c	opy of the:
J. TONY SERRA'S NOTICE OF AND CHAI	LLENGE FOR CAUSE; DECLARATION AND
VERIFICATION—CHALLENGE FOR CAU	JSE (170.1(6)(A)(3))
to be served on the following parties in the fol	lowing manner:
San Mateo County District Attorney's Office	Sarah Overton Cummings, McClorey, Davis, Acho & Associates
KPerrotti@smcgov.org	SOverton@cmda-law.com
Via Email	Via Email
I declare under penalty of perjury that the fore	egoing is true and correct, and that this declaration is
executed on September 8, 2021 at San Francis	co, California.
a a programmentaria, province general	
Maria Belui	
1	
	The undersigned declares: I am a citizen of the United States. Floor East, San Francisco, CA 94118. I am ov within action. On the date below, I caused a true of J. TONY SERRA'S NOTICE OF AND CHAR VERIFICATION—CHALLENGE FOR CAU to be served on the following parties in the fol San Mateo County District Attorney's Office ATTN: Kimberly Perrotti KPerrotti@smcgov.org <i>Via Email</i> I declare under penalty of perjury that the for