

September 30, 2021

Mr. David Shafer, Chairman
% Georgia Republican Party
3110 Maple Drive, N.E.
Atlanta, GA 30305

Mr. Gregg Phillips
% True The Vote
P. O. Box 3109 #19128
Houston, TX 77253-3109

Dear Mr. Shafer and Mr. Phillips:

I have had the opportunity to review and discuss, with agents and experts, the information you provided at the meeting you requested a few months back. Additionally, this week Assistant Director John Melvin and I met with the FBI to review the entirety of their case file which consisted of a mirrored image of the hard drive, purportedly from Texas, that had been turned over to them.

The mirrored hard drive contained the contents of commercially available cell site location information (CSLI) which, according to our discussion, True the Vote purchased for the time frame of October 1, 2020, through January 5, 2021. Someone¹ had culled down the 25 terabytes of information representing 1.2 trillion mobile signals to provided spreadsheets under the following headings:

- ACLED USA_2020_Dec12 (appears to be a Associated Actors)
- ATL Dropboxes and Days of Interest
- Devices within 100 feet of Org or Dropbox by Day
- GA 279 Devices Nationwide 10.1.2020 to 1.5.2021
- GA Devices of Interest 1.14.2021.csv
- Ga_dropbox_locations-output copy
- TTV – GA 207 of 279 Devices Pass 1 Address
- UPS Locations

Specific to the Georgia election, the file *Georgia Devices of Interest* is a spreadsheet listing approximately 1,048,575 Mobile Device Identification Numbers and their geolocation from October 1, 2020, to January 5, 2021.

¹ The spreadsheets/analytics appear to have been generated by Mr. Gregg Phillips and Mr. Azeddine Rahlouni.

Letter to Mr. Shafer and Mr. Phillips
September 30, 2021
Page 2

Of those datapoints, the spreadsheets identify 279 cellphones which had made multiple trips to within 100 feet of a voter drop box. Other spreadsheets and documents provided tie these cell phones through geolocation to various organizations.

What has not been provided is any other kind of evidence that ties these cell phones to ballot harvesting; for example, there are no statements of witnesses and no names of any potential defendants to interview. Saliiently, it has been stated that there is "a source" that can validate ballot harvesting. Despite repeated requests that source has not been provided to either the GBI or to the FBI.

As it exists, the data, while curious, does not rise to the level of probable cause that a crime has been committed. For the GBI to get the same CSLI information you provided, we would need to obtain a search warrant based on such probable cause. We cannot make that showing with what has been provided.

As such, based on what has been provided and what has not been provided, an investigation is not justified.

Sincerely,



D. Victor Reynolds
Director

DVR:mj