Any comments or rebuttals you want me to make regarding this?


Sent from my iPad
Isn't clear ballot working with Maricopa County?

Election audit firm again offers Senate a way to check Maricopa County ballot counts

Ronald J. Hansen
Arizona Republic

View Comments
A Boston-based election auditing firm that has assailed the review of Maricopa County ballots by a Florida company with no experience is again offering its work as a check on a new tally sought by the Arizona state Senate.

For more than a month, a Pima County Republican and two officials with Clear Ballot Group have sought to have Arizona Senate President Karen Fann, R-Prescott, compare their box-by-box breakdown of Maricopa County’s ballots to the box counts put together by Cyber Ninjas.

Last week Fann ordered a separate count of the 2.1 million ballots from the county using high-speed paper counters as a way to roughly check the Cyber Ninjas’ work. It will only count the number of ballots, not tally the votes for each candidate.

In a letter Monday, Clear Ballot officials praised Fann for seeking more independent corroboration but again suggested Arizona make use of their more sophisticated method.

Neither Fann nor former Secretary of State Ken Bennett, who is serving as the media liaison to the ballot review, could be reached Monday to comment on whether Fann has any interest in the latest offer.

Randy Pullen, a spokesman for the Senate review effort, chuckled at the offer and declined.

“We already did that,” he said, adding the audit team has a complete spreadsheet laid out with all the numbers of ballots in the boxes. “This is not complicated.”

The firm offered the reconstruction of Maricopa County’s certified results in a way that accounts for all the votes in all 1,600 boxes — a way the county didn’t track.

“Without independent ballot and vote counts by box, the Cyber Ninja’s two-month-long recount cannot be audited,” their letter said. “However, all is not
lost. We have taken it upon ourselves to create an independent comparison against the official results.

“Using only publicly available data and with no access to the ballots, we have assigned 2,089,563 ballots into 10,341 batches (of approximately 200 ballots each) and grouped them into 1,634 storage boxes that now sit on 45 pallets.”

Election experts offer challenge to Cyber Ninjas: We can count ballots without opening boxes

If the ballots were put back in the boxes the same way Cyber Ninjas’ workers took them out, the numbers should perfectly match Clear Ballot’s count, the letter predicted.

The letter came from Benny White, a prominent Pima County Republican data analyst; Larry Moore, the founder of Clear Ballot; and Tim Halvorsen, Clear Ballot’s retired chief technology officer.

Does recount show doubts exist?

The ballot review of the 2020 presidential election results in Arizona’s most populous county has served as a national political spectacle during the ongoing efforts by former President Donald Trump and his allies to undermine the result of the election.
The letter came from Benny White, a prominent Pima County Republican data analyst; Larry Moore, the founder of Clear Ballot; and Tim Halvorsen, Clear Ballot's retired chief technology officer.

Does recount show doubts exist?

The ballot review of the 2020 presidential election results in Arizona’s most populous county has served as a national political spectacle during the ongoing efforts by former President Donald Trump and his allies to undermine the result of the election.

As a sign of what they are offering, the letter-writers included some of the data they have analyzed.

In an interview Monday, Moore said he thinks Fann’s decision to seek a count of the number of ballots in each box itself is a sign of concern over what the Cyber Ninjas might report for results.

"If you read between the lines, they don't trust the Ninjas' count," he said.

Moore said the Senate’s purchase of the paper counters, along with a comparison to the box-by-box results Clear Ballot produced, would spotlight any disparities with the Cyber Ninjas results when they are published.

Text messages from Senate President Karen Fann show deterioration of relationship with Maricopa County Biden administration to end arrest and detention of most pregnant migrant
The group initially offered to let the Senate Republicans running the audit select an unopened box of ballots (any box), and the team would within minutes provide an accurate count of each race on all 1,000 or so ballots inside.

They proposed to do this without ever opening it or stepping foot in Veterans Memorial Coliseum, where the Cyber Ninjas' ballot review unfolded. Fann's ballot count is taking place at the Wesley Bolin building.

The Senate did not take them up on the challenge.

*Arizona Republic reporter Mary Jo Pitzl contributed to this article.*
From: Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 27, 2021 11:21 AM
To: Douglas Logan
Subject: 7AM Liz Harris is BACK! What's up with Election Integrity? 6/25/2021

https://youtu.be/QqGNnpE7OC0

FYI
--
With best regards,

Randy Pullen
Yellow sheet report

Sent from my iPhone
Dear Doug,

I've reviewed it. It looks good. I added one term on the date, which states its a function of our receiving data on a timely basis. We are ALL READY TO GO.

As next steps, I need:
1) Payment wired to our EchoMail account; and,
2) The ballot images.

Let's go!
-Shiva

--
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Confidentiality Notice: The information contained in this email and any attachments to it is intended only for the use of the intended recipient and may be confidential and/or privileged. If any recipient of this communication is not the intended recipient, the unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this email and any accompanying attachments or other information contained herein is strictly prohibited, and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender by return email, destroy this email and any and all copies thereof (including any attachments) without reading them or saving them in any manner. Thank you.
taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.
Doug Logan lives out on the Sarasota County line, a half-hour's drive from Florida's Gulf Coast and more than a dozen miles down a two-lane road.

Cows and horses graze in fields. Hand-painted signs inform travelers that "Jesus is coming" and ask, "Where will you spend your eternity?"

Trees and shrubs, overgrown with Spanish moss, block the view from the road to Logan's wooden ranch house, set in the fecund soil. A rope swing dangles from the branch of a giant oak tree. An American flag hangs from a front porch post.

On a sultry July day, a man in a plaid shirt and khakis standing in the long macadam driveway says that Logan isn't there.
swing dangles from the branch of a giant oak tree. An American flag hangs from a front porch post.

On a sultry July day, a man in a plaid shirt and khakis standing in the long macadam driveway says that Logan isn't there.

Down the road, a neighbor said that Logan's wife asked her to pray for his work while he's away.

More than 2,000 miles from rural Sarasota, across the Gulf of Mexico and into the Sonoran Desert, Logan spent most of his spring and summer inside an aging coliseum in Phoenix.

"Tens of thousands" of people, Logan later would say, prayed for him as he led a review of Maricopa County's 2020 general election results — an unprecedented partisan undertaking made possible by subpoenas issued by just a few Republican state senators well outside the realm of normal election procedures.

Logan prayed, too.

In times of stress, Logan leaned on a wall inside Veterans Memorial Coliseum out of public view and closed his eyes. On the wall, workers had taped up a white sheet and scribbled dozens of scriptures and prayers.

"Father, please protect Doug Logan," one message on the wall read. "God bless Doug Logan" read another.
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subpoenas issued by just a few Republican state senators well outside the realm of normal election procedures.

Logan prayed, too.

In times of stress, Logan leaned on a wall inside Veterans Memorial Coliseum out of public view and closed his eyes. On the wall, workers had taped up a white sheet and scribbled dozens of scriptures and prayers.

"Father, please protect Doug Logan," one message on the wall read. "God bless Doug Logan" read another.

It's murky how exactly this religious, rural family man — a cybersecurity expert and owner of a tiny firm called Cyber Ninjas who had no prior election experience — found himself leading efforts to find fraud or votes allegedly stolen from former president Donald Trump. Even Rod Thomson, his longtime friend and spokesperson, said he doesn't know.

When votes were being counted in November, though, and in the weeks stretching to the Jan. 6 insurrection, Logan was working closely with prominent Trump allies and lawyers who pursued ways to block Joe Biden from setting foot in the White House as president, according to e-mails, texts and videos obtained by The Arizona Republic.
Joe Biden from setting foot in the White House as president, according to e-mails, texts and videos obtained by The Arizona Republic.

The communications show that in mid-November, Logan was supposed to be in a meeting with a group, including Trump attorney Sidney Powell.

By December, a "Doug Patriot" was working on subpoenas under Powell, former Overstock CEO Patrick Byrne and former National Security Adviser Michael Flynn as they attempted to get access to voting machines across the country.

The next month, Logan was helping them try to persuade Congress not to certify Biden's presidential win.

After Biden took office, the Trump allies switched from lawsuits, which had proved unsuccessful, to audits that they hoped would lead to decertification of election results at the state level. By at least early February, nearly a month before a judge granted the Arizona Senate access to the county's ballots, Logan was talking to Senate President Karen Fann.

Fann announced Cyber Ninjas would lead the Arizona audit in March, and Logan went into it knowing his company would be paid by others far more than the $150,000 the Senate promised. The same Trump loyalists Logan had been working with would pay his company and its subcontractors nearly $6.7 million.
The Senate's lack of control and influence was clear days into the audit as it spun into an attempt to prove the most far-flung fantasies about the election, with ever-changing procedures and a lack of bipartisan oversight. Counters shined ultraviolet lights on ballots and hunted for bamboo fibers.

While Fann billed the audit as a nonpartisan legislative activity to try to improve election laws, the team of Trump allies had a much different idea, and their advice and support were "pivotal" to the audit, Logan said in a statement as the audit wrapped up in July.

Even though Trump loyalists guided his work, Logan maintained the goal of the audit is not to overturn the election but to bring Americans back together. “It has always been about election integrity and re-establishing trust in the election systems,” he said in a statement.

Through Thomson, he declined several requests for interviews during the audit and for this article.

Logan's longtime friends tell The Republic that Logan is a smart and logical man and a skilled cyber expert. Thomson paints Logan as honest to a fault.

At the same time, Logan and his team have released false statements about the county's ballots, elections and voters. Maricopa County Recorder Stephen Richer, a Republican, and Secretary of State Katie Hobbs, a Democrat, have debunked and discredited the ballot review process.
It might not be that Logan is lying, his friends say, but that he just doesn't know any better since he doesn't know elections. But he does know how to pick apart complicated systems, they say, and raise questions about what could go wrong, or what doesn't look right.

Cyber Ninjas' report on the ballot review is expected soon, and all eyes will be on the enigmatic Florida man behind it.

He's a businessman who stepped away from his core business late last year. A family man who spent months away from his wife and children. A man previously quiet about politics who thrust himself into the most partisan political challenge imaginable.

**Cyber Ninjas finds a niche**

Logan’s life before Nov. 3 was centered on growing a business and a family — a big family.

Logan, 41, and his wife have 11 young children. Neighbors say his wife homeschools them.

Logan is a Christian conservative, and his religious beliefs are fundamental to everything he does, Thomson said.

Logan “tries to live out his beliefs as ardently as any Christian I know tries to live their beliefs out,” Thomson said. “This isn’t like a Sunday morning thing, and he tries to live it with his family and with his business.”
Logan founded Cyber Ninjas in 2013. By then, he had worked for about a decade in information technology and network security, after earning degrees in business and accounting at Guilford College, a small liberal arts college in Greensboro, North Carolina.

A knack for cybersecurity prompted him in 2010 to participate in the U.S. Cyber Challenge, a national program once sponsored by the Department of Homeland Security to develop new cybersecurity talent.

Logan was one of the top scorers in that year's competition, which cemented his career path in cybersecurity, according to Randy Marchany, an information technology security officer at Virginia Tech who has worked for the challenge since its inception.

From the start, Logan impressed Marchany. The two have been friends since. Marchany calls him a “straight-arrow guy.”

“Good to work with,” he said. “He has the chops.”

In 2011, Logan was hired by software firm Cigital to develop a team to assess web and mobile vulnerabilities, in part through ethical hacking.

Logan found a niche in the market when creating Cyber Ninjas, which specialized in helping companies ensure the applications and forms on their websites are secure.

A year after starting Cyber Ninjas in Indiana, Logan moved to Sarasota in 2014. It's not clear how many employees he had at the time, but he announced he planned to add eight to 10 employees in two years.
He became ingrained in the Sarasota technology community, often participating in cybersecurity workshops and seminars.

He continued volunteering and working with Cyber Challenge, too. SANS, a cybersecurity organization, awarded him with a “difference maker” award in 2015, saying he spent “significant amounts of his own time advancing the program.”

Logan’s involvement with Cyber Challenge caught the attention of Tony Summerlin, an information technology and cybersecurity expert who worked for the federal government and helped Logan get Federal Communications Commission contracts.

“He is basically just really smart and could figure things out really quickly,” said Summerlin, now a good friend of Logan’s.

Also, Summerlin said, Logan is just a very agreeable guy.

“He is great to be around,” he said. “He is just the most cheerful, never has a bad word to say about anything or anyone. Doesn’t swear. Doesn’t really drink. I thought he was a Mormon or something, especially with all the kids.”

By 2017, Logan had handled cybersecurity projects for the FCC, its affiliate Universal Services and Administration Corporation, and many major banks, according to an online biography.
Marchany visited Logan in Sarasota around that time and said his company seemed to be doing well, with five or so employees and a new office.

In April 2020, Cyber Ninjas received about $98,000 in a federal PPP loan, the paycheck protection program launched in the pandemic. He listed five employees.

By then, Logan had become Cyber Challenge’s chief technology officer, helping to arrange camps. He was a well-respected part of the program, according to several people who knew him through his work there.

Around the same time, in spring 2020, months before the November election, Logan resigned from Cyber Challenge.

Cyber Ninjas moved out of its office and started using an address that leads to a mailbox in a Sarasota UPS Store.

Logan’s attention would soon turn elsewhere.

**The 'Bad News Bears'**

It’s unclear exactly how Logan met up with analysts interested in another type of technical sleuthing: investigating election results. But he soon was connected with people claiming election fraud.
The core analyst team that worked under Trump lawyers began claiming U.S. elections were being manipulated long before 2020, and long before Logan got involved.

Its leader was Russell Ramsland, the co-founder of a Dallas-based company called Allied Security Operations Group, or ASOG. Even before the November 2020 election, Ramsland pushed the unfounded claim that election results are sent from U.S. voting companies to foreign companies allegedly connected to them, which then switch votes and send them back, according to videos of him speaking at the time.

As far back as 2018, ASOG officials and Laura Pressley, an elections activist in Texas working with them at the time, began meeting with politicians and government officials to try to convince them of the fraud, Pressley told The Republic.

Powell — who represented the Trump campaign for a time, as well as Flynn — saw a presentation, Pressley said.

In the months before the November 2020 election, ASOG was building the case that voting machines would be hacked. That summer, its executives talked to the top federal officials who oversee election security.

executives talked to the top federal officials who oversee election security.

ASOG presented its claims of voting machine vulnerabilities to the U.S. Department of Homeland Security’s Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency at the request of Republican U.S. Sen. Ron Johnson of Wisconsin, said Matt Masterson, who previously led election security for the agency. Homeland Security field staff in Texas heard a similar presentation from ASOG, Masterson said.

The takeaway, Masterson said, was “nothing actionable” — they were shown no evidence even worth investigating.

But Byrne, the former Overstock CEO, saw something.

Byrne had previous interest in election security. In 2018, when he was CEO, Overstock provided seed money to a company called Voatz through a subsidiary investment firm. Voatz is an elections app, not yet widely used, that allows people to cast votes on their smartphones.

Byrne would eventually publish a book on the 2020 election, writing that he is a libertarian who didn't even think about voting for Trump. But he did think the election was stolen.

Byrne, in his book “The Deep Rig,” talks about meeting a team of analysts in summer 2020 that had studied “irregularities” in the 2018 Dallas elections. ASOG employees told Byrne their theories about the vulnerabilities of voting machines, and soon they met up with Powell.
Byrne has said repeatedly that, to rig an election, conspirators have to cheat in six key swing states — one being Arizona.

In “The Deep Rig,” he claims that vote counting paused on election night in those states and large quantities of presidential votes were “switched” to Biden. This hasn't been proven in any of the court cases or audits.

This idea is vague enough to capitalize on the common trope among Americans who say they have a general feeling that the election was stolen from Trump but they don’t know how the cheating happened.

Using these broad ideas from ASOG, Powell filed her infamous “Kraken” lawsuits in at least four states. Powell claimed they would introduce irrefutable evidence of fraud and "release the Kraken."

These lawsuits were dismissed, the official Trump legal team distanced itself from Powell, and now she and the lawyers working alongside her face possible sanctions in several states, including Arizona.

Byrne, Flynn and Powell’s grand plan, though, was to make something happen outside of the courts, according to Byrne in his book. Flynn had started pushing election fraud claims after Trump pardoned him in November. The retired Army general had pleaded guilty to lying to the FBI about his contacts with a Russian ambassador before Trump's inauguration.
in November. The retired Army general had pleaded guilty to lying to the FBI about his contacts with a Russian ambassador before Trump's inauguration.

The trio eventually got a meeting with Trump in late December in which they presented their plot: Trump would declare he had seen enough problems in the six swing states to send in the U.S. National Guard to recount the votes and examine the machines.

“Open up the paper ballot backups and recount them on live-streamed TV,” Byrne wrote in his book, in a description that sounds strikingly similar to how the ballot review unfolded in Arizona. “Ideally, they would also image the hard drives of the election equipment in those counties, for forensic examination.” If discrepancies were found, Byrne said, “then more aggressive course of action could be countenanced.”

Trump’s top advisers ultimately dissuaded him from going along, according to Byrne’s book and media reports about the meeting, which has since been described as one of the craziest meetings of the Trump presidency.

Byrne, Flynn and Powell’s team didn’t back down, though. The team of analysts, which labeled itself the “Bad News Bears,” according to Byrne’s book, was trying to get access to voting machines through local election clerks and court cases. And they succeeded in at least one place.
The team found out somehow — the how is not mentioned in Byrne’s book — that they could get access to election records in Antrim County, Michigan.

When they got there, Byrne wrote, they found a “mildly cooperative 75 year old lady working in a public building that had acted as a voting precinct.” He said the woman gave them access to audit logs from the election, which were fed to Michigan lawyer Matthew DePerno, who had filed a lawsuit about Antrim County’s election.

Shortly after the team’s first expedition to Antrim County, the judge in the case granted DePerno access to the voting machines.

Byrne flew the Bad News Bears back to get the data.

Among the analysts involved, court records would reveal, was Doug Logan.

**Neighbor: ‘They were pretty vanilla’**

Down the road from Logan lives Mary Grady, who described her neighbors in glowing terms.

“They’ve got a wonderful family,” she said of the Logans. “They’re just nice people. They’re good neighbors. They’re good Christians. They’re people I like.”
Grady said she mostly talks to Logan’s wife and children. She's the one who said Logan's wife asked her to pray about the audit. And she believes the audit is "smart."

“Somebody’s got to open something up somewhere,” she said.

Grady said she trusts Logan to do the right thing. “I trust him very, very much.”

Before the Logans moved out here, they lived in a three-bedroom house in Colonial Oaks, a suburban neighborhood closer to downtown Sarasota.

Rick Briefman lived next to the Logans and remembers how large the family was, for that small of a house. Briefman recalled the Logan family being very religious and said that was one of the reasons they homeschooled their children. They dressed up and went to church every Sunday.

Briefman said Logan and his family are “very nice people. The kids were good kids.”

“Honestly, he was just a guy next door and very quiet, didn’t really know what he did,” Briefman said, adding: “I knew he had his own little company.”
Briefman said it’s surprising that Logan has become embroiled in one of the biggest political stories in the country. He had no sense of the Logans’ politics. “They were pretty vanilla,” he said.

Top Sarasota County Republicans say they had never met Logan or heard his name before the audit gained attention. One of Logan’s only known political connections is to Thomson, a prominent Republican
consultant in Sarasota County who had served as the president of the Sarasota Republican Club and is deeply connected in Sarasota GOP circles.

But that relationship was never based in politics, Thomson said.

Thomson met Logan in 2014. Thomson had long been involved with the Sarasota County Economic Development Corporation, and said that’s how he heard about Cyber Ninjas, which received help from the EDC when the company relocated to Sarasota from Indiana.

A public relations professional and former newspaper reporter, Thomson said he contacted Logan asking if his company needed public relations help.

The two men discovered they had a lot in common.

“Like me he’s a Christian, a Bible-believing Christian,” Thomson said. “So we ended up going to church together for many years, too, and so I knew him from church and I know his family.”

Thomson says he doesn't know how Logan originally got involved with the election — he just knows Logan asked him for help once he was.

'You’re going to ruin your life'
Many assume Logan got involved with the Byrne, Flynn and Powell team through one of his contacts in the cybersecurity world, perhaps through his federal contracting work.

Byrne and Flynn also have Sarasota County connections. Flynn bought property there in April, and Byrne followed suit in June. Joe Flynn, Mike Flynn’s brother who is involved in the nonprofits funding the Arizona audit, has owned property there since 2018.

In “The Deep Rig,” a movie Byrne made based on his book that Logan is featured in, the Bad News Bears talked about coming together at a property to start to analyze the November election.

This may have been at the South Carolina property of attorney Lin Wood, who worked with Powell on her Kraken lawsuits.

The first decipherable sign of Logan’s involvement is in mid-November.

Jim Penrose, a cybersecurity expert working under Flynn, was to bring Logan to a Nov. 15 meeting at Wood’s place about election fraud that involved Powell. Plans for the meeting were described in text messages
shared by Dave Hancock, who previously worked for Wood’s organization FightBack.

Logan was at Wood’s property in late November, according to texts between Logan and Hancock that Hancock provided The Republic.

At the time, Hancock was ending his work with Wood. He had previously set up Wood’s home security system and internet network, and Logan first texted him on Nov. 25 to find out details about the systems to transfer them out of Hancock’s hands.

Wood, who has supported the QAnon movement and pushed conspiracy theories, told Talking Points Memo in April that he housed Logan and others working on election security issues. Wood told the news and opinion website that he was letting Logan and others stay at his property as they investigated the 2020 election. The cybersecurity work was a favor, he told the publication.

Wood did not return The Republic's call and email seeking comment.

By early December, Logan was part of the Bad News Bears, examining copies of Antrim County’s voting machines for DePerno's court case. And he wasn’t just part of this team: He helped organize it.

Greg Freemeyer, of Dallas-based data management company Sullivan Strickler, said Logan called him in early December as Logan was “organizing the team” to go to Antrim County. Freemeyer said he
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By early December, Logan was part of the Bad News Bears, examining copies of Antrim County’s voting machines for DePerno's court case. And he wasn’t just part of this team: He helped organize it.

Greg Freemeyer, of Dallas-based data management company Sullivan Strickler, said Logan called him in early December as Logan was “organizing the team” to go to Antrim County. Freemeyer said he didn’t know Logan previously, but he thought Logan found his company because of its work in the tech field.

Freemeyer said that Logan later asked them to help with Arizona’s audit, but Sullivan Strickler decided against it because “it became too political,” he said.

The final Antrim County report, signed by Ramsland of ASOG, ultimately claimed that machines from Dominion Voting Systems were set up to foster widespread voting fraud, and claimed that the machines had a 68% error rate. The Michigan lawsuit was later dismissed and the report's claims were further debunked by a Republican-led committee of state lawmakers.

About this time, in December, Logan was sharing tweets with wild claims of widespread election fraud, surprising a few of his longtime friends who didn't know he had gotten involved.

Summerlin called Logan when he saw his tweets, and Logan insisted he was just trying to do the right thing.
"I called him up and said, ‘What are you doing?’” Summerlin said. “He
said, ‘Well, Tony, if I can get 10 percent of people to believe in
elections with my work.’ I said, ‘You aren’t going to do anything of the
sort.’ I said, ‘You are full of s---. One, you don’t know anything about
elections. Two, the machines weren’t hooked up to the internet. Three,
You don’t know anything about coding. Four, You’re going to ruin your
life.’”

Summerlin said hearing of Logan's involvement "scared the s---" out
of him.

“Doug is such a reasonable, intelligent and level-headed guy,” he said.
“If he could be pushed over the edge with these lies, then who else
could?”

'Doug Patriot' involved in Arizona subpoenas

On Dec. 14, Logan retweeted a tweet from known QAnon conspiracy
theorist Ron Watkins indicating that Trump got “200k more votes
than previously reported in Arizona.”

Just a few days before, a text message indicates "Doug Patriot" was
wading into Arizona's elections, and possibly gaining access to voting
machines.

Some of the Bad News Bears had been looking at Arizona even before
the election. The demographic and political makeup of Maricopa
County, in particular, made it a key place to study, Phil Waldron, who
has worked with ASOG, explained in one of his many interviews with Prescott conservative Lyle Rapacki of ePrescott News.

Waldron is a former manager for pharmaceutical companies and retired colonel in the U.S. Army Reserve, according to a biography sent to the state Senate.

In late November, Waldron came to Phoenix with Trump's personal attorney Rudy Giuliani for a 10-hour meeting with residents and some Republican lawmakers in a downtown Phoenix hotel, where false claims about election fraud were tossed around. It was one of three such meetings Giuliani held across the country.

As Giuliani and Flynn's teams gathered what they considered to be evidence of fraud in Arizona, that's when Staci Burk, a former Gilbert school board member who had filed a lawsuit claiming the election had been rigged, said she connected with them.

On Dec. 11, Burk thought the judge might give her access to voting machines. (She was wrong; her case out of Pinal County would be dismissed shortly after.) She texted her contact on Powell's team, Carissa Keshel. "I was granted ‘reasonable’ discovery to inspect ballots and machines,” text messages she provided to The Republic show.

“No way!” Keshel responded in the texts. “I should hook you up with Jim and Doug!! They are from our team and are experts in that!!!”
Keshel texted others on her team and told them about Burk’s case, according to screenshots of the group chat she later shared with Burk.

In response to Keshel, someone labeled “Sharon Patriot” texted back, “Okay! Send her contact and I will have Jim and Doug reach out to her also. They were up working forensics all night.”

That’s when someone labeled “Doug Patriot” weighed in: “Sweet! We should give her subpoenas to use,” misspelling subpoenas.

"Doug" and "Jim" had no last names in the texts. But Burk said she had heard Logan's name before.

Logan did not respond when The Republic sent him copies of the text for comment.

If this is Logan, as Burk surmises, the texts place Logan working on subpoenas for voting machines — including requests for many technical documents and materials related to the election — in Arizona at a critical juncture in the audit timeline.

A few days later, on Dec. 15, Fann and former Judiciary Chairman Eddie Farnsworth issued sweeping subpoenas to Arizona's most populous county, Maricopa. They sought all 2.1 million ballots cast in the county's election, all machines used to tabulate the ballots, and other election information and materials.
If this is Logan, as Burk surmises, the texts place Logan working on subpoenas for voting machines — including requests for many technical documents and materials related to the election — in Arizona at a critical juncture in the audit timeline.

A few days later, on Dec. 15, Fann and former Judiciary Chairman Eddie Farnsworth issued sweeping subpoenas to Arizona's most populous county, Maricopa. They sought all 2.1 million ballots cast in
the county's election, all machines used to tabulate the ballots, and other election information and materials.

State GOP Chairwoman Kelli Ward said in a December tweet the plan was to give the materials sought by the state Senate to Giuliani, according to a deputy county attorney’s statements in court. Giuliani reinforced that in a Dec. 17 interview with Glenn Beck, saying that his team would be the ones to get access to the machines through the subpoenas.

Around that same time, Fann told a constituent in an email that she had been in “constant contact” with Giuliani, and Trump had personally called to thank her for her efforts.

But in order for the subpoenas to stand up in court, they couldn't be aimed at finding fraud or at overturning the election. Legislative subpoenas in Arizona are only valid for legislative purposes. Senate attorneys said in court the audit was aimed at learning how the state's elections could be improved.

The county argued in court that Ward and Giuliani’s statements alone proved otherwise.

In late December, as the court battle continued, Marchany got a text from Logan. They hadn't spoken for months, but Logan wanted to know what he thought about the Maricopa County election.

Marchany said he was honest with Logan, telling him to look at the dismissed court cases and the fact that there wasn't any evidence that would indicate fraud. "I said, 'Stay away from it.'"
Logan asked Marchany whether he knew of any experts in voting systems.

It appears Logan was trying to build out another team.

**The proof for the insurrection**

As state and county leaders battled over subpoenas in Arizona, the nation was moving ever closer to a Biden presidency as deadlines for transferring power loomed. Congress was to certify election results Jan. 6, and Logan weighed in with national security concerns about the voting machines.

In early January, the Byrne, Flynn and Powell team was building a case to persuade Congress to delay certifying the presidential election results so "irregularities" they insisted they had found could be examined further, according to Byrne's book.

To do his part, Logan produced a document that was posted on Powell’s website outlining broad claims about Dominion’s ties to foreign countries — all in line with ASOG’s theories.

The document claims that “the core software utilized by Dominion ... originates from intellectual property of Smartmatic,” and that Smartmatic was founded in Venezuela with links to Hugo Chavez. It references papers claiming that Smartmatic rigged Venezuela elections. It also references a claim made without proof that a Dominion executive had rigged the 2020 election.
Dominion and Smartmatic are two separate companies, Dominion has said in response to similar claims, and Dominion does not use or license Smartmatic software.

Logan worked on the document, he told Fann in an April email, to help U.S. senators who “wanted to either object to the certification of the election; or get evidence into the official record to show some of what had been found.”

He said he was specifically asked to include "any evidence that could support a national security concern,” he said.

Logan didn’t say who asked him to write it, but he did say that he gave the document to “a former NSA friend who I had been working closely with in researching all of the election integrity issues." Penrose, the man who brought Logan to the meeting at Wood's house in the week after the election, worked for the agency for 17 years and was a senior-level defense intelligence official, according to his biography.

That friend then "gave it to an attorney who is on the Sidney Powell team," Logan told Fann.

On Jan. 5, Byrne wrote in his book, he, Flynn and “a few of our scientists and dolphin-speakers” took their evidence into a meeting with U.S. senators, delegates for senators, and delegates for Vice President Mike Pence to try to make their case that election certification should be delayed.

On the morning of Jan. 6, he wrote, they were scheduled by Women for Trump to speak on the South Lawn of the White House. As history
shows, the day went awry and the protests turned bloody. But Biden's election was still certified.

Logan said he stood by his document. "I think you’ll find that all those claims are supported," he wrote in the April email. “Just because a company has foreign connections doesn’t mean that those connections were used inappropriately; but if that company creates voting equipment, those connections most definitely should be looked into.”

Logan reiterated one of the lines he says often, that there “are a lot of election anomalies that need a proper explanation for the American people to have confidence in their elections."

He noted he's worked with many people with opinions or beliefs he doesn't agree with. "You don't get things done by focusing on what you disagree with," he wrote in the email to Fann.

**Choosing the Ninjas and the Bad News Bears**

Logan first came to public attention in Arizona in the spring after Fann backtracked on a plan to use ASOG, the Dallas-based company trying to show fraud, to work on the audit.

In early February, Fann said she was just considering ASOG. She later said that the Senate would not hire the company because Waldron's involvement with Giuliani gave a perception of bias. More recently, she said it was because "the media had trashed the company."
When Maricopa County Superior Court Judge Timothy Thomason upheld the Senate's subpoenas in late February, the next month Fann made her announcement: Cyber Ninjas would lead the audit.

Subcontractors under Cyber Ninjas would include Wake TSI, CyFir and Digital Discovery.

Fann told The Republic this month she first talked on the phone to Logan about his proposal around the same time she decided not to use ASOG, in early February. A log of documents the Senate has refused to publicly release shows that Fann and Logan began texting on Feb. 5.

Fann says she does not remember who gave her Logan's name, but she said it was not ASOG or Byrne or Flynn's team.

"I'm sure his name probably came up for the first time talking to people in other states," she said.

But when Fann selected Cyber Ninjas, she essentially picked everyone else who had been working with ASOG.

These were Bad News Bears.

Pennsylvania-based Wake TSI, for example, had contracted with Powell’s Defending the Republic for a partisan audit of machines and ballots in Fulton County, Pennsylvania, in late December.
When ASOG was under consideration to work on the audit, Dallas-based Digital Discovery was on a list that ASOG provided Senate attorneys about who would help them.

CyFIR’s CEO Ben Cotton was involved in Antrim County’s audit.

Fann told The Republic that she liked Logan’s proposal because it brought together a team with expertise in many different areas needed to review both ballots and voting machines.

But the only election experience of the known subcontractors that would work for Logan (Digital Discovery would bow out) came in 2020 — as part of the "Stop the Steal" movement.

And while ASOG wasn’t officially on the team, Waldron would spend at least the first few months of the audit talking as if he were, in interviews with Rapacki, the Prescott conservative.

Waldron said in a May 3 interview that Senate leadership thought that, because of his involvement with Giuliani, he would be a “toxic or caustic factor,” so he agreed to lay low and help out behind the scenes.

A log of withheld Senate documents shows Fann communicated with Waldron leading up to and throughout the audit, from Jan. 26 to July 25.

So although Logan and Cyber Ninjas were the names upfront, other Trump loyalists were embedded throughout the Arizona audit.
Masterson, the former Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency official, said "in hindsight, this has been a coordinated effort to gain access to election systems following the election, and undermine confidence."

"It seems all these efforts are connected."

'Just wants to get to the truth'

The night before the audit began, on April 22, Logan talked with reporters. The Senate promised regular in-person updates, but this would be Logan's only news conference.

Logan said he was there to talk about the process for the audit. But reporters pressed for answers to who was paying him.

Logan was honest that the audit would accept outside donations — responding to a reporter with a polite “yes, sir.” But he would not say who was paying him.

Logan said it shouldn’t matter who was paying him since the process he designed had integrity. As he would say several times later, the process would be “beyond reproach.”

“Is the question about who funded it, or is the question about whether that will influence the results?” Logan said. “Those are two very different things. ...”
News reports already had revealed Logan's tweets and that he authored the document posted on Powell's website about voting machine manipulation and foreign ties.

Logan insisted he was there with good intentions.

“There are a lot of Americans who are bothered by the way the country is being ripped apart right now,” he said. “We want a transparent audit to be in place so people can trust the results, so we can get everyone on the same page.”

On the first day of the audit, Logan seemed earnest in his efforts to see that everything went well. But knowledge gaps were apparent.

When this reporter, observing for the day, asked why the counters would have blue-ink pens available to them — considering blue was a color voters could use, and counters could potentially change voters' intent if they had that color — Logan initially said he thought black ink was the only color that voters could use. It was one of several statements he would make during the audit that would represent a lack of understanding of Arizona's election procedures.

After checking, Logan quickly swapped out the blue pens. He returned to the coliseum floor with a tote bag full of green pens and started to replace them.

But Fann said it's Logan's attention to detail and diligence that made her want to work with him. He was adamant, for example, that everything is livestreamed 24/7 and that there be a recording of every ballot reviewed.
Still, Fann acknowledges Logan could have used more help than he had.

A Cyber Ninjas lawyer said recently in court that the company has seven employees, but Logan seemed to be taking on the audit alone that first day. The only sign The Republic found of another Cyber Ninjas employee involved with the audit was an email that an executive assistant sent Senate staff about the initial $50,000 owed to the company.

Fann said no one had any idea going in just how much work the audit would be. But Logan's ability to stay organized, and to document everything that needed to be documented, impressed her throughout.

The Senate president's view runs counter to the disorganization and changing procedures noted by Secretary of State's Office observers and journalists throughout the process — including lapses in ballot security and organization, changes to the ways votes and ballots were being tallied and tracked, and conflicting information from audit spokespeople about procedures.

Logan said he expected the ballot review would take 14 days. The effort began on April 23 and stretched through July.

Thomson said, considering the breadth and depth of this audit, even election experts would have been in over their heads.
Logan said he expected the ballot review would take 14 days. The effort began on April 23 and stretched through July.

Thomson said, considering the breadth and depth of this audit, even election experts would have been in over their heads.

Audit opponents have made a "Mount Everest out of every hiccup," when there were bound to be some mistakes, Thomson said.

'I look back and I see the manipulations'

Logan carried his faith with him.

John Brakey, an election transparency activist who lives in Tucson and served as an adviser to the audit team, was the one to spot Logan at the prayer board.

Brakey said he initially thought Logan was "really interested in getting at the truth."

But his opinion changed. There were times when Brakey felt Logan wasn't upfront with him. There were important meetings that Senate Liaison Ken Bennett was kept from attending. And then there was the release of "The Deep Rig" movie in June. Logan gave exclusive access to Byrne's producers and participated in the movie.

In the film, based on the premise the election was compromised, Logan says he views his role as saving democracy. "I know stuff and I can do something about it and by golly, I’m not going to let our country be lost on my watch.”
The tipping point for Brakey was in mid-July, when Fann and Judiciary Chairman Warren Petersen held a public hearing in which Bennett, Cotton and Logan revealed preliminary audit findings.

Logan brought up the long-debunked Sharpiegate theory of fraud and claimed that the county had counted 74,000 more early ballots than it had provided to voters. He was simply looking at the wrong data, though. Claims of fraudulent votes took off on right-wing media and Trump repeated it in at least one of his daily missives and at his July rally in Phoenix.

“I always try to look at the best of people,” Brakey said. “After this experience, I look back and I see the manipulations.”

But Thomson said that's one thing critics have gotten "wildly wrong" about Logan.

"I will tell you that this is a man of painfully honest morality. He is just assiduously honest about things," he said. "It’s almost difficult to work with because he’s so painstakingly honest."

Fann said Logan worked 60- to 80-hour weeks throughout the audit. While she said he had a great demeanor throughout, at some point the scrutiny took a toll on him.

Finding the truth in an election, with no prior election experience, is challenging, even with a team of subcontractors and advisers. County officials, seeing the lack of elections expertise in the team and questioning its true motives, have refused to directly answer most
questions about the election from Logan and the Senate since the audit began.

County Board Chairman Jack Sellers laid out his view of the audit in frank terms, calling it "grift disguised as an audit."

In late July, as the work wrapped up, Cyber Ninjas finally announced who had paid for the ballot review. The nearly $5.7 million Cyber Ninjas received is all connected back to the original team of Trump allies — organizations run by Byrne, DePerno, Flynn and Powell.

Separately, Trump adviser Cleta Mitchell set up an escrow account and collected at least $1 million to pay Cyber Ninjas' subcontractors. And some of the funding sources remain unknown — even Fann said she doesn't know where all of the money came from.

"Our sponsors have raised and provided over $5 million; and tens of thousands of you have prayed for the work of our hands to determine truth, whatever it may be," Logan said in a statement.

Sara Chimene-Weiss of national nonprofit organization Protect Democracy said that even if the people writing the report were honest, the funding of the audit alone means it wasn't independent.

“It’s as if the Suns paid for a study that says the Suns actually won the NBA Finals,” said Chimene-Weiss, who lives in Phoenix. “I wouldn’t trust that study.”

'I’m putting everything on the line'
The audit stretched on far longer than expected, with the final report not out nearly five months after the process began.

In June, Logan was still in Phoenix. Fann worried about how long Logan had been kept from his family, she said. His wife is expecting a child in October, Fann said.

Fann said that Logan reassured her that his wife had help from family and friends. He also emphasized how important he thought it was for him to be there and to do to the work "for his country and for election integrity."

Workers finished at the end of July, and the ballots were returned to Maricopa County.

Anticipation has been building ever since. The Senate was expecting a report on Aug. 23. Instead, Fann announced Logan and other members of the audit team had COVID-19.

More recently, the Senate's attorney told a judge the report would be delivered Sept. 24.

The long wait has given people plenty of time to weigh in with their expectations. Byrne and Flynn indicated in a TikTok video at the end of August that if the report shows that Trump won Arizona, something unprecedented should happen — for example, Flynn said, perhaps the electoral college votes will “flip.”
More recently, the Senate's attorney told a judge the report would be delivered Sept. 24.

The long wait has given people plenty of time to weigh in with their expectations. Byrne and Flynn indicated in a TikTok video at the end of August that if the report shows that Trump won Arizona, something unprecedented should happen — for example, Flynn said, perhaps the electoral college votes will “flip.”

Chimene-Weiss of Protect Democracy said that it seems one of the end goals for the outsiders like Byrne was to raise money and to raise their profile, but in doing so, they are doing lasting damage to the country.
“It ultimately poses a real danger to the health of our democracy in the long term,” she said.

Fann said Logan never had a preconceived notion of what the results would be — even though he got involved through the Byrne, Flynn and Powell team and shared statements indicating election fraud on social media.

It doesn’t matter who paid Logan, she said, because Logan has integrity. Her only expectation for the report is that it will be accurate and honest, she said. It will be submitted to the Arizona attorney general for review and be scrutinized from all angles, she said, so it has to be.

She hopes it will lead to improvements in Arizona’s elections, as originally intended.

In his first and last news conference in April, Logan said he’s in this to ensure our democracy works — not the other way around. At one point during the gathering, he got emotional about why he was there, his voice wavering as he spoke.

“I know you guys want to paint me as some bad guy in here,” he said. "I’m putting everything on the line for my company. I care about our country.”

Richard Ruelas of The Republic and Dave Boucher of the Detroit Free Press contributed to this report.
Reach Jen Fifield at jen.fifield@azcentral.com or 602-444-8763. Follow her on Twitter @JenAFifield.

Sent from my iPad
From Mr Logan regarding your first question:

In January, I was asked to put together information for the US Senate to help senators who wanted to challenge the certification of the election; or get evidence into the official record to show some of what I think was a specific concern about national security, and so I was asked to put together a document that could support a national security concern.

Every item in that document is supported by evidence numbered the same way which is included on dropbox. This includes articles, affidavits, government filings, and similar data. I gave it to a former NSA friend who I had been working closely with in researching all of the election integrity issues, an attorney who is on the Sidney Powell team. If I remember correctly, she used to work in the White House.

I didn’t know that a copy of this had made it to Sidney Powell’s website; but it was assembled together. Some of it is based on my own research, but quite a bit is information I got personally vetted.

If anything in that document is proven inaccurate, I can probably ask that it be removed; but I think those claims are supported in the manner listed and I don’t think she has it posted. Just because there are connections doesn’t mean that those connections were used inappropriately; but if that equipment was used, those connections most definitely should be looked into. I very much support that the way our elections are run be looked into; and that we fully audit the results. There are a lot of people who disagree with me, including a lot of people; many of them who have opinions and beliefs I do not agree with. However, you get things done by focusing on what you agree with. If you get hung up on what you disagree with, you waste time. The role of auditors; not the person who thinks it is impossible to find anything.

Thanks,
Doug Logan
Doug Logan Retweeted

Ron @CodeMonkeyZ · Dec 14

Hint: After auditing the adjudicated ballots and corresponding AuditMarks, you may discover Trump got 200k more votes than previously reported in Arizona.

Dr. Kelli Ward 🇺🇸 📡 @kelliwardaz

Over 200,000 #Dominion “digitally adjudicated” ballots in Maricopa County, #Arizona. We haven’t been able to examine even ONE. #ElectionIntegrity
twitter.com/michaeljohns/s...

Sent from my iPad
Good morning:

I hope all is well with you all. It has been rumored that the shredded material retrieved from the dumpster behind the election center has been destroyed. That is not true. While the ballot remnants and other documents were shredded and destroyed by the County, the contents of the bag retrieved have been preserved to the best of my ability and chain of custody has been maintained since discovery.

When Earl Shafer, Steve, and I found the bag in the dumpster at the election center, a man named James Curtis was on speakerphone at the time. Once we retrieved the bag and returned to Earls house, that evening we spoke with James Curtis on speakerphone again. We agreed that the remnants should go to Mr. Curtis (a fellow Marine), to preserve them until we could decide who was the best and most appropriate authority was to turn them over to.

Shafer provided the bag to the man who transferred it to Curtis for safekeeping as previously agreed upon. Curtis signed an affidavit (attached) regarding his securing the bag and its contents as evidence for my U.S. Supreme Court case. Curtis sealed the box using security protocol and that has been maintained.

Curtis has been willing to provide the shredded ballots and election documents to the U.S. Supreme Court for my case or to the Arizona Senate and/or audit should there be a formal request. To date, no formal request for the shredded ballots or election materials has been made by any Government authority.

I did receive a call from Sonny Borelli the following day (which was recorded by Earls daughter Kirsten Shafer who was also on the call), advising that I might be killed by “high level” people who wanted the whole thing to go away and that if we gave the materials to the AG, they would “evaporate.”

Also in March, Liz Harris and Kirsten Shafer (Earls daughter) requested return of the shredded material on behalf of Earl to provide the materials to Mike Lindell at an OANN press conference which they had scheduled for 6pm that day. They were not provided to them at that time. However, a fundraiser was pushed out which raised 28K for Earl Shafer using photos of the shredded ballots.

About five weeks ago, a man who provided security for General Flynn (Mike Kinney aka “Coyote”) reached out offering that Flynn, Powell, and Byrne could push out a fundraiser for me in their networks and that 400K was a “doable” amount if I would agree to give
them the shredded ballots. Curtis and I did not take them up on the offer and sent a message to Kinney’s supervisor Robert Patrick Lewis (a known associate of Flynn and head of security team), that the shredded ballots were not for sale.

None of these requests represent appropriate formal government requests and thus have been denied. In our opinion had they been granted, it would have compromised the shredded material.

As you can see from the still shot photo attached taken from the surveillance video at MCTEC, the County employee tossed the shredded ballots, registrations, and election related materials into the dumpster on 3/5/21 at 10:44am, and entered the building afterward (see attached photo time stamped 3/5/21 at 10:46am.

Pursuant to U.S.C 52 § 20701 Retention and preservation of records and papers by officers of elections; deposit with custodian; penalty for violation.

“Every officer of election shall retain and preserve, for a period of twenty-two months from the date of any general, special, or primary election of which candidates for the office of President, Vice President, presidential elector. . . all records and papers which come into his possession relating to any application, registration, payment of poll tax, or other act requisite to voting in such election..”

https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/52/20701?fbclid=IwAR1J559BmeC8Q0Bju32-DQ6HUfq4GqItD2GbKuf1IbruqXgxHuYumE4X7I

As you can see from the attached photos, the shredded documents were related to the 2020 general election and included registration forms and death certificates.

To be clear, should the Senate or AGs office want the safeguarded material at any point, Curtis and I stand willing to do whatever is necessary to provide the shredded materials upon formal request.

Thank you for your time and understanding.

Best regards,

Staci Burk
(480) 343-4518

James Curtis
(269) 967-3129
FYI the is scuttlebutt that the documents and mutilated by...

In case anyone is asking, this is...

Is free speech for sale?
I, James Allan Curtis, under penalty of perjury declare the best of my knowledge;

1. I am over 18 and competent to testify to the truth.

2. I am a former officer and served as a combat

3. I have held positions of property management

4. I met Staci Burk on January 4, 2021 when I

5. Several weeks ago, I received a bag of what a

6. I agree to maintain possession of and am will

7. I understand that if the Court orders itself to
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Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone
I, James Allan Curtis, under penalty of perjury declare to the best of my knowledge:

1. I am over 18 and competent to testify to the truth of this statement.

2. I am a former officer and served as a combat officer or as a contractor for approxim

3. I have held positions of property management, and as a contractor for approxim

4. I met Staci Burk on January 4, 2021 when I was an active officer or as a contractor for approxim

5. Several weeks ago, I received a bag of what appeared to be shredded ballots and election related material i

6. I agree to maintain possession of and am willing to act as a bailee on behalf of the United States Supreme Court.

7. I understand that if the Court orders itself to property, I will act as a bailee on behalf of the C
To Whom It May Concern,

Please see the attached request for public records made pursuant to the Arizona Public Records Law.

If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Brittany Williams

--
Brittany M. Williams
Counsel, Protect Democracy
(202) 236-7396
To All: Doug Logan will have the preliminary draft audit report ready to deliver and present to us in the next few days. Could you all check your calendars to see if Next Wednesday, August 25th at 9 or 10 am would work for your schedules? We should plan on at least a couple hours. You can see by the email addresses who I’ve included on this initial meeting but if you feel I’ve missed anyone then please let me know.

Karen Fann
President of the Senate
Tel: 602.926.5874
's what we know about the hack of Maricopa County's website in November

Jen Fifield
Arizona Republic

A reported theft of Maricopa County voter data before the November election is apparently still in the hands of law enforcement, but this much is clear: The hacker didn't get into the county's election management system, where the county stores its election results.

A false statement by one of the people leading Arizona's election audit has reignited interest in the theft eight months after the event.

Ben Cotton, founder of a Virginia-based cybersecurity company called CyFIR, claimed at a July 15 public hearing that the county admitted its election system was breached.

The website is separate and not connected to the county's election management system.
Ben Cotton, founder of a Virginia-based cybersecurity company called CyFIR, claimed at a July 15 public hearing that the county admitted its election system was breached.

The website is separate and not connected to the county's election management system.

"We know through public record and public statements that an element of the election system was actually compromised or breached during the course of the November 2020 election," Cotton told Senate President Karen Fann and Senate Judiciary Chairman Warren Petersen during the hearing.

This is one of many false or misleading statements that the Senate Republican's audit contractors made during the hearing, including that Sharpie markers bleeding through ballots may have changed voter intent and there were about 74,000 more ballots cast by mail than were sent out.

The contractors said they were not ready to release any final results, in part because they said they needed more information from the county before making conclusions.

When flagging the November data breach, the county's IT staff caught on that someone had been pulling voter data en masse off the county's website from late October through early November, according to the Recorder's Office.

The office says that only public voter data, such as voters' name, addresses and political party affiliation, was stolen. No private voter
information, such as Social Security or driver's license numbers, was obtained, according to the office.

Public voter data is usually only accessible through a public records request to a county or the state. In Maricopa County, it costs about $490 to purchase the data for all 2.6 million county voters who were registered as of the November 2020 election.

**Voter information website breached**

In connection with the breach, law enforcement officials on November 5 served a search warrant at the home of Elliot Kerwin, who identifies himself on LinkedIn as an information technology professional. Law enforcement officials seized computers, hard drives and other storage devices.

Marcus Milam, a spokesperson for the Recorder's Office, said he couldn't say much at the time about the incident because the FBI was investigating.

As of Thursday, no federal case can be found under Kerwin's name.

Maricopa County has refused to provide internal emails about the incident to The Arizona Republic, saying they fall under an exception for information shared between attorneys and their clients.

The 243 withheld emails were sent by or received by the Maricopa County Attorney’s Office from Adrian Fontes, then the county recorder, or elections directors Scott Jarrett or Rey Valenzuela, mentioning the words analysis, breach, security,
unauthorized, information or records. Some of those emails could have been duplicates.

The Recorder's Office says it is still unable to comment on how exactly the breach occurred because it's a "law enforcement matter," Milam said.

Milam said the hacker used the Recorder's Office webpage that allows county residents to look up their voter information to get access to others' voter data. The county shut down that webpage immediately upon learning about the breach, for less than a day before Election Day, while it made changes to better secure the voter information, Milam said.

After Cotton's claim, the county reiterated on Twitter that the county's website is separate from its election management system.

Milam also said even though the website was breached, at no time was there an intrusion into the actual voter registration database.

After taking office in January, Recorder Stephen Richer decided his office should send a letter to certain protected voters to let them know about the incident, Milam said. The addresses of protected voters are not public record, but the hacker stole them during the incident.

COVID-19 outbreak tied to country-western dance turns deadly Here are the bright spots fueling an Arizona tourism rebound Flies on bagels among violations in restaurant inspections $10.35M mansion in Scottsdale sells to Washington buyer
The letter went out to 859 protected voters, Milam said. It explained that, while their voter record was obtained in the incident, "the information obtained does not identify you as a protected voter and there is no way for the individual to determine your status from the information obtained."

Reach the reporter at jen.fifield@azcentral.com or at 602-444-8763. Follow her on Twitter @JenAFifield.
Hi Doug,
Got the CVR file downloaded and putting it into SQL. Kindly send me the final docs on SOW and MSA.

If we can get images Monday, we can start getting some prototype by End of the Week.

Best,
Shiva
Cyber Ninjas CEO Doug Logan appears in new film about rigged election


Sent from my iPad
Cyber Ninjas play by an alarmingly different set of election audit rules

Compare the rules we in Maricopa County follow on election audits to those the Cyber Ninjas are using. We all should be skeptical of their findings.

Check out this story on azcentral.com:

Sent from my iPad
Dear Mr. Logan,

Have you ever heard the metaphorical phrase "Dead man walking"?

After reading this news article, it has become perfectly clear what your true intentions are.


Your "audit" has been revealed as a haphazard attempt to cloud the 2020 election results for Arizona's most populous county in doubt by blowing up totally normal election activity and misreading numbers to falsely insinuate impropriety.

The public has no idea who's paying for your "audit" and who the 1,500 volunteers and 200 paid staff who conducted the "audit" were, but we do know trumpsters have been fundraising for it and that it's being helmed by a conspiracy theorist.

Your ultimate game plan appears to be insinuating fraud without actually proving anything.

This ploy is straight out of Sidney Powell's playbook: Drop dozens of disproven or easily disprovable charges into a report, claim there is a massive cover-up of election fraud, and wave your hands at the big picture even as the charges are easily shown, one by one, to be lies and distortions.

Making hollow claims of being 'beyond reproach' IS vile and evil in and of itself!

Is threatening democracy worth the money you made performing this sham "audit"?

Nazism did not start out in genocide. It began with militias and violent troops disrupting democracy. It's imperative that fascists maintain control of their "Big Lie" propaganda narrative.

Why are you terrorizing the good citizens of this country by participating in this narrative?

Sincerely,

Grafton, Ohio
PS In the past 6 months, 99.5% of COVID-19 deaths have been from unvaccinated people.

Being involved in forensic investigations you may be interested in this study too.

ASSOCIATIONS BETWEEN GOVERNOR POLITICAL AFFILIATION AND COVID-19 CASES, DEATHS, AND TESTING IN THE UNITED STATES
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7587838
More BS

With best regards,

Randy Pullen

-------- Forwarded message --------
From: Kelli Ward’s iPhone <comms@azgop.org>
Date: Fri, Jun 25, 2021 at 4:30 PM
Subject: 2 Minute Update
To: <rpullen13@gmail.com>

Friend,

I wanted to give one more quick update before the weekend on where Arizona Republicans are at right now.

Small-dollar donations have propelled America’s Audit into becoming the hallmark example of Election Integrity - ten states have even toured our facilities to see how they can secure their elections as well!

We are showing that despite their lies on CNN, our full forensic audit isn't bad for democracy - it's bad for Democrats.

This progress can be kept up as long as we keep meeting our goals - and we’re still facing $22,782 left on our quarterly one for next week. Will you rush in any amount before the weekend to help us close the gap?

Rush in Here: https://secure.winred.com/arizona-republican-party/2-minute-update-email

Thank you for the support, and enjoy the weekend!

-Dr. Kelli Ward

Sent from my iPhone.
From: Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2021 7:41 PM
To: Douglas Logan
Subject: Fwd: Az Audit - Team Members Pre-Planning Process Help California Audit.

We will meet on Wednesday June 23, 2021 @ 8:00 am

FYI. Coming in Wednesday morning.

With best regards,

Randy Pullen

-------- Forwarded message --------
From: Gloria Massey Chinea <chineagloria@gmail.com>
Date: Mon, Jun 21, 2021 at 3:21 PM
Subject: Re: Az Audit - Team Members Pre-Planning Process Help California Audit. We will meet on Wednesday June 23, 2021 @ 8:00 am

Hello and Good Afternoon.

Just wanted to follow up with you regarding place and address of where we are meeting on Wednesday. The EIPCa delegation is traveling tomorrow to Arizona and meeting you on Wednesday as Agreed.

Please advise. Again thanks for your accommodations on viewing the arena and learning of this important process that you have accomplished.

Best Regards,

Gloria Massey Chinea

Gloria Massey Chinea
805.236.6626
Chineagloria@gmail.com

On Jun 18, 2021, at 4:57 PM, Gloria Massey Chinea <chineagloria@gmail.com> wrote:

Mr. Randy Pullen
Arizona Audit
rpullen13@gmail.com

Dear Mr. Pullen,
Today I received a call from Mr. Smith with the good news that you both have facilitated the process of us visiting Arizona to talk about the audit. We are very pleased that you have accepted a small delegation from California to discuss the planning process that has taken place in Arizona. Here is the requested information. Our intention is to standardize the process and follow what it has been achieved in Arizona.

As discussed we in California through Election Integrity Project California (EIPCa) have our own federal lawsuit, EIPCa, et. v Weber, et al., U.S. District Ct. Central District of California, Case No. 2:21-CV-32-AB-MAA (EIPCa First Amended Complaint 3.10.2021.pdf (eip-ca.com))

Our California small delegation /group desires to meet and understand the pre-planning process and phase for these audits. As agreed we will be in Arizona on Wednesday 06.23.2020 at 8:00 AM, we just need to know the location where we would meet with you and Mr. Smith. Please provide the address where we need to be that morning. The following are the names of the small delegation:

- Gloria Massey Chinea
- Rolando Chinea
- Mary Gallegos
- James T Meyer
- Maureeen Meyer
- 2 other alternates: Barbara Sponsler and William Spangler
We, EIPCa, are in the pre-planning process for a 13-county California audit. We would welcome any and all help regarding the following:

1. Facilities Planning – Any plans you might have available
2. Process Definition and Control Planning
3. Human Resources and volunteer recruitment planning
4. Security Issues and Planning for Security Staff
5. Cyber-Security expertise company used
6. Financial Planning regarding the cost incurred

We realize our requests are long. But, we acknowledge your success and can benefit from your experience.

Thanks for your time. God Bless America and God Bless your efforts to preserve our freedom and our liberty.

Best Regards,

Gloria Massey Chinea
Member, BOD EIPCa
Coordinator Ventura County EIPCa
Leo Buscaglia states: "Too often we underestimate the power of a touch, a smile, a kind word, a listening ear, an honest compliment, or the smallest act of caring, all of which have the potential to turn a life around."

"When we are whom we are called to be, we will set the world ablaze." St. Catherine of Siena ~ "Love conquers all" ~ Virgil ~ "If you want PEACE work for JUSTICE" ~ POPE PAUL VI

DISCLAIMER: Sender is NOT a United States Securities Dealer or Broker or U.S. Investment advisor. Sender is a Consultant and makes no warranties or representations as to the Buyer, Seller or
Transaction. All due diligence is the responsibility of the Buyer and Seller. This E-mail letter and the attached related documents are never to be considered a solicitation for any purpose in any form or content. Upon receipt of these documents, the Recipient hereby acknowledges this Disclaimer. If acknowledgement is not accepted, Recipient must return any and all documents in their original receipted condition to Sender. This electronic communication is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986, Codified at 18 U.S.C 1367,2510-2521,2701-2710

P Think Green! Before printing this e-mail ask the question, is it necessary?
Do you know anything about this?

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Mike Philipsen <MPhilipsen@azleg.gov>
Date: June 28, 2021 at 12:55:34 PM MST
To: Karen Fann <KFann@azleg.gov>
Cc: Adrian Luth <ALuth@azleg.gov>, Sawyer Bessler <SBessler@azleg.gov>
Subject: FW: Media Question

From: Morgan Loew <Morgan.Loew@azfamily.com>
Sent: Monday, June 28, 2021 12:49 PM
To: Mike Philipsen <MPhilipsen@azleg.gov>
Subject: Media Question

Hey Mike,
I hope you are well. I am working on a story that involves the election audit, and I want to make sure Senator Fann has an opportunity to respond, if she so chooses.

We have seen written complaints from female employees at the audit, complaining about a fellow employee sexually harassing them. We have eight written complaint reports. One of the complainants did an on-camera interview. She said the complaints were not taken seriously by the people running the audit.

This person also told us the audit people changed their policies numerous times throughout the operation, that there were several workplace injuries (not sure who is going to pay the medical bill – maybe the subcontractors?) and that the auditors bought lots of unnecessary equipment at the beginning of the process, including cameras and computers that did not work for the project, thus wasting those resources.

My story is going to air on Thursday. I am also reaching out the audit organizers, but Sen. Fann is their boss, so if she wants to speak on camera or offer a statement, I’m available any time before Thursday at noon.

Thanks,
Morgan

Morgan Loew
Good morning:

As you know, it is critical for the state and the nation that the recall of Governor Newsom is hugely successful. Unfortunately, without a doubt, we know that the Democratic party will absolutely cheat again with their long record of doing so nationally.

With that and your vast experience with recently uncovering all the voter fraud in Arizona, would all or some of you be willing to volunteer to oversee the voter returns for the California Recall tomorrow, Tuesday, 09/14/21? Would you please pass around this email to any voter volunteer you know who has integrity and is honest? I am wondering if the oversight could even be done easily via ZOOM with multiple camera angles on those doing the counts in California.

Further, every voter should check online twice to see if their vote was counted and correctly so. Then, once the recall election is called, we each need to check online again to be certain our votes were not flipped or modified electronically or manually to keep Newsom in office. No, this is so important and, sadly, they will try anything to win.

The momentum seems to really be building in the California recall for Newsom to be removed. Exciting and we are so hopeful the recall will be successful, that Larry Elder will be voted in and California can finally be rescued and restored. Wonderful thought.

So much fraud typically in California. Just thought to mention this to you. Although we are able to verify our vote is received and tallied by our local county office, the DemonDems could still alter the vote en mass electronically and fraudulently win. Exhibit A is the on air change to the election tally board with John King on CNN the night of 11/03/2020. The count added to Biden and deducted votes from Trump on air.

With that, you may know of the great work attorney and journalist, Christina Bobb has done with the Arizona election audit...loads of fraud uncovered. Maybe if you contact her, she will come to California with other volunteer observers to truly oversee the recall election. Her email address is: christina.bobb@oann.com

As you know, we cannot leave anything to chance with the DemonDems continued and longterm lies and cheating...all chaotic antics.

Thank you very much!

Vote for the Recall California!
Good morning: As you know, it is critical for the state and the nation that the recall of Governor Newsom is hugely successful. Unfortunately, without a doubt, we know that the Democratic party will absolutely cheat again with their long record of doing so nationally. With that and your vast experience with recently uncovering all the voter fraud in Arizona, would all or some of you be willing to volunteer to oversee the voter returns for the California Recall tomorrow, Tuesday, 09/14/21? Would you please pass around this email to any voter volunteer you know who has integrity and is honest? I am wondering if the oversight could even be done easily via ZOOM with multiple camera angles on those doing the counts in California. Further, every voter should check online twice to see if their vote was counted and correctly so. Then, once the recall election is called, we each need to check online again to be certain our votes were not flipped or modified electronically or manually to keep Newsom in office. No, this is so important and, sadly, they will try anything to win. The momentum seems to really be building in the California recall for Newsom to be removed. Exciting and we are so hopeful the recall will be successful, that Larry Elder will be voted in and California can finally be rescued and restored. Wonderful thought. So much fraud typically in California. Just thought to mention this to you. Although we are able to verify our vote is received and tallied by our local county office, the DemonDems could still alter the vote en mass electronically and fraudulently win. Exhibit A is the on air change to the election tally board with John King on CNN the night of 11/03/2020. The count added to Biden and deducted votes from Trump on air. With that, you may know of the great work attorney and journalist, Christina Bobb has done with the Arizona election audit...loads of fraud uncovered. Maybe if you contact her, she will come to California with other volunteer observers to truly oversee the recall election. Her email address is: christina.bobb@oann.com As you know, we cannot leave anything to chance with the DemonDems continued and longterm lies and cheating...all chaotic antics. Thank you very much! Vote for the Recall California!
Mr. Black, 

I understand that you represent Staci Burk. Please see below for this office’s position with respect to Ms. Burk’s statements about the alleged shredded ballots. Please provide this email information to your client.

Please be advised that, consistent with our longstanding and ongoing conversations with your client prior to your representation, if Ms. Burk has documents, materials, information, or anything that she believes to be evidence of a crime, she is always welcome to provide that material to this agency. If I receive such material from Ms. Burk or anyone associated with her, our office will gladly review, investigate, and safeguard that material consistent with our policies and procedures about any such evidence in any case. If she wishes to provide our office an interview, with you present of course, I am more than willing to conduct that interview.

I appreciate your client forwarding to our office the email below that discusses providing information about shredded ballot material and expressing her willingness to “do whatever is necessary to provide the shredded materials upon formal request.” It is encouraging to read in her email that “should the Senate or AGs office want the [shredded ballot material] at any point, Curtis and [she] stand willing to do whatever is necessary to provide the shredded materials upon formal request.” As I have repeatedly stated in emails to Ms. Burk over the last several months, our office stands ready to meet with Ms. Burk, and you as her attorney, about her allegations related to ballots, and I ask that your client send us any information that would help us to investigate her claims (including shredded ballot materials).

Specific to allegations of shredded ballots, as soon as our office learned that Mr. Earl Shafer may be in possession of shredded ballot evidence, beginning on Sunday, March 7, 2021, our agents attempted multiple times to contact Mr. Shafer and interview him, even leaving their contact information at his residence. To this date, our agents have been unsuccessful in their attempted contacts with Mr. Shafer or anyone else purporting to have knowledge of the shredded ballots.

To briefly recap, Ms. Burk and I exchanged several emails beginning March 30, 2021 concerning her allegations of ballots being flown into Sky Harbor Airport from South Korea. I asked that we meet to discuss her allegations, and I also asked that she send me any information that would help our office to investigate her information. As I noted in a subsequent email on April 23, 2021, Ms. Burk’s hospitalization outside of Arizona complicated setting up a meeting, and although I let her know that I stood ready to meet her at the hospital, we were unable to
arrange for that meeting to take place. Additionally, to date she has not provided any proof or evidence related to her claims despite our office explicitly asking that she provide such information. Then on June 3, 2021, she emailed our office stating that she “no longer [believes] there was election fraud with the South Korean plane,” and that she “[believes she] was lied to and duped by a network of people…with financial and ulterior motives as well as a specific plan and agenda.”

As Attorney General Brnovich has repeatedly said publicly, if anyone possesses evidence or has knowledge of voter fraud or criminal election activity, it is incumbent on them to provide that information to our office. Our office remains committed to that statement and continues to stand ready to accept any information your client may have related to her election fraud claims.

Mr. Black, please know that this information is also being sent to the persons to whom she sent her June 27, 2021 9:58 a.m. email, so that those persons may be made aware that this office stands ready to accept any such information or evidence and investigate it as appropriate.

Thank you.

From: Staci Griffin-Burk [mailto:stacigriffinburk@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 27, 2021 10:18 AM
To: Brnovich, Mark; Geisler, Roger
Subject: Fw: Arizona Audit

----- Forwarded Message ----- 
From: Staci Griffin-Burk
To: dlogan@cyberninjas.com ; diw@wb-law.com ; kfann@azleg.gov ; wpeterson@azleg.gov ; Kelly Townsend ; Michelle Ugenti-Rita ; Vince Leach ; dlivingston@azleg.gov ; dgowan@azleg.gov
Cc: vsteele@azleg.gov ; Martin Quezada
Sent: Sunday, June 27, 2021, 09:58:55 AM MST
Subject: Arizona Audit

Good morning:

I hope all is well with you all. It has been rumored that the shredded material retrieved from the dumpster behind the election center has been destroyed. That is not true. While the ballot remnants and other documents were shredded and destroyed by the County, the contents of the bag retrieved have been preserved to the best of my ability and chain of custody has been maintained since discovery.

When Earl [redacted], Steve, and I found the bag in the dumpster at the election center, a man named James Curtis was on speakerphone at the time. Once we retrieved the bag and returned to Earls house, that evening we spoke with James [redacted] on speakerphone again. We agreed that the remnants should go to [redacted] (a fellow Marine), to preserve them until we could decide who was the best and most appropriate authority was to turn them over to.
Shafer provided the bag to the man who transferred it to Curtis for safekeeping as previously agreed upon. Curtis signed an affidavit (attached) regarding his securing the bag and its contents as evidence for my U.S. Supreme Court case. Curtis sealed the box using security protocol and that has been maintained.

Curtis has been willing to provide the shredded ballots and election documents to the U.S. Supreme Court for my case or to the Arizona Senate and/or audit should there be a formal request. To date, no formal request for the shredded ballots or election materials has been made by any Government authority.

I did receive a call from Sonny Borelli the following day, advising that I might be killed by “high level” people who wanted the whole thing to go away and that if we gave the materials to the AG, they would “evaporate.”

Also in March, Liz and Kirsten requested return of the shredded material on behalf of Earl to provide the materials to Mike Lindell at an OANN press conference which they had scheduled for 6pm that day. They were not provided to them at that time. However, a fundraiser was pushed out which raised 28K for Earl Shafer using photos of the shredded ballots.

About five weeks ago, a man who provided security for General Flynn (Mike Kinney aka “Coyote”) reached out offering that Flynn, Powell, and Byrne could push out a fundraiser for me in their networks and that 400K was a “doable” amount if I would agree to give them the shredded ballots. Curtis and I did not take them up on the offer and sent a message to Kinney’s supervisor Robert Patrick Lewis (a known associate of Flynn and head of security team), that the shredded ballots were not for sale.

None of these requests represent appropriate formal government requests and thus have been denied. In our opinion had they been granted, it would have compromised the shredded material.

As you can see from the still shot photo attached taken from the surveillance video at MCTEC, the County employee tossed the shredded ballots, registrations, and election related materials into the dumpster on 3/5/21 at 10:44am, and entered the building afterward (see attached photo time stamped 3/5/21 at 10:46am).

Pursuant to U.S.C 52 § 20701 Retention and preservation of records and papers by officers of elections; deposit with custodian; penalty for violation.

“Every officer of election shall retain and preserve, for a period of twenty-two months from the date of any general, special, or primary election of which candidates for the office of President, Vice President, presidential elector. . . all records and papers which come into his possession relating to any application, registration, payment of poll tax, or other act requisite to voting in such election..”
As you can see from the attached photos, the shredded documents were related to the 2020 general election and included registration forms and death certificates.

To be clear, should the Senate or AGs office want the safeguarded material at any point, Curtis and I stand willing to do whatever is necessary to provide the shredded materials upon formal request.

Thank you for your time and understanding.

Best regards,

Staci Burk

James Curtis
FYI the is scuttlebutt that the documents and mutilated by...

In case anyone is asking, this...

Is free speech for sale?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAME</td>
<td>RAPHAEL JOSÉ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SECURITY NUMBER</td>
<td>879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. DATE OF BIRTH</td>
<td>02/10/1943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARITAL STATUS</td>
<td>MARRIED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>MARICOPA, AZ, 85225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RACE(S)</td>
<td>WHITE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOTHER'S NAME</td>
<td>CARTER, MCCONNEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGE</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEX</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. BIRTHPLACE</td>
<td>MARICOPA, AZ, 85225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. SITE OF DEATH</td>
<td>MARICOPA, AZ, 85225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. NAME OF SURVIVORS</td>
<td>CARTER, MCCONNEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. RELATIONSHIP</td>
<td>SPOUSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER</td>
<td>879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. DATE OF DEATH</td>
<td>02/10/1943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. SITE OF BURIAL</td>
<td>MARICOPA, AZ, 85225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. DEATH CERTIFICATE</td>
<td>85225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. MOTHER'S NAME PRIOR TO</td>
<td>CARTER, MCCONNEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. MOTHER'S NAME PRIOR TO</td>
<td>CARTER, MCCONNEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. MOTHER'S NAME PRIOR TO</td>
<td>CARTER, MCCONNEL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I, James Allan Curtis, under penalty of perjury declare the best of my knowledge;

1. I am over 18 and competent to testify to the truth of these facts.

2. I am a former officer and served as a combat officer and as a contractor for approximately 22 years.

3. I have held positions of property management, an active officer or as a contractor for approximately 15 years.

4. I met Staci Burk on January 4, 2021 when I was a team providing personal security detail services.

5. Several weeks ago, I received a bag of what appears to be shredded ballots and election related material.

6. I agree to maintain possession of and am willing to deliver the property on behalf of the United States Supreme Court.

7. I understand that if the Court orders itself to deliver me certain property, I will act as a bailee on behalf of the Court.
From: info arizonaaudit.com <info@arizonaaudit.com>
Sent: Friday, August 20, 2021 7:46 PM
To: Douglas Logan
Subject: FYI.

Fw: New Entry: Simple Contact Form

FyI.
~Julie

From: Arizona Audit <info@arizonaaudit.com>
Sent: Friday, August 20, 2021 3:44 PM
To: info arizonaaudit.com <info@arizonaaudit.com>
Subject: New Entry: Simple Contact Form

Name
Amy Weaver

Email

Comment or Message
I live in Shasta Co, California & just found out we've been using Dominion servers since 2007. There is a recall effort here to remove the OBVIOUSLY corrupt board members. No one is looking into the vote-flipping of Dominion servers that I know of and it is obvious these goons are Gavin Newsom's lackeys. Any information you can send on what the next steps might be would be most appreciated. My cell is also blacked out if needed. Thank you very much.
President Fann,

Is there any other information I can respond to those who inquire?

~Julie

From: info arizonaaudit.com <info@arizonaaudit.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 7:27 AM
To: [Redacted]
Subject: Re: New Entry: Simple Contact Form

Mark,

Please follow Arizona Senate President Karen Fann for official report release updates and upcoming Senate hearings, [https://twitter.com/FannKfann](https://twitter.com/FannKfann).

Thank you,
Julie Fisher
Email
help_mvhairsonfire@yahoo.com

Comment or Message
I’ve been patient my awaiting the results of the audit. I understand the democrat party is hoiling it up, but I would really like to know where it sits today, 9/9/2021
Could you please give an update?

Sent from Arizona Audit
Please see attached and below.

I am ready to send Doug and Gene releases for Round 1 which will cover 22 people at $176,450.00.

Gene has submitted 19 names for Round 2 at a cost of $100,910.00.

Round 1 and 2 would cover 41 people and cost $277,000.00.

-Scott

Gene / Chris:

Attached are round 1 and round 2 spreadsheets. Please Review and confirm. I will add these amounts to the releases.

I removed Adam Richman since he said he was paid in full.

I was charged a wire fee of $15.00, postage will be about $50.00, and I ordered 100 blank escrow checks at a cost of $60.00.

With round 1 and 2 we cover 41 people, however we would be short $27,485.00.

I suggest you cut $28,000.00 from Round 2 and move them to Round 3.

Please let me know.
Hey Scott

Here is the latest spreadsheet. There are two tabs; the 7-31 is the one I sent yesterday with a couple of updates for B2B, the 8-1 is round two. There are more B2B but they don’t matter right now.

Respectfully,

Gene Kern
EVP
LANSING, Mich. — State Senate Republicans who investigated Michigan's 2020 presidential election for months concluded there was no widespread or systemic fraud and urged the state attorney general to consider probing people who have made baseless allegations about the results in Antrim County to raise money or publicity "for their own ends."

The GOP-led state Senate Oversight Committee said in a 55-page report released Wednesday that citizens should be confident that the election's outcome represents the "true results." Democrat Joe Biden defeated then-President Donald Trump by about 155,000 votes, or 2.8 percentage points, in the battleground state.

Trump and his allies have pushed debunked conspiracy theories and unfounded information about voter fraud.

"The committee strongly recommends citizens use a critical eye and ear toward those who have pushed demonstrably false theories for their own personal gain," the panel wrote days after Republican activists requested an Arizona-style "forensic" audit of the election.

The committee's three Republicans did recommend legislation that would close "real vulnerabilities" in future elections. Election-related bills are pending, including proposed tougher photo ID rules that the Senate passed last week, but Democratic Gov. Gretchen Whitmer will veto them if they reach her desk.

Election night results in northern Michigan's rural Antrim County, which has roughly 23,000 residents, initially erroneously showed a local victory for Biden over Trump. But it was attributed to human error, not any problems with machines, and corrected. A hand recount turned up no signs of shenanigans.

"We will review the report in its entirety in order to determine if a criminal investigation is appropriate," Lynsey Mukomel, spokeswoman for Attorney
General Dana Nessel, said of the call to probe individuals who have lied about what happened in Antrim.

People mentioned in the report include Mike Lindell, the MyPillow creator-turned-conspiracy peddler; lawyer Matthew DePerno, who unsuccessfully sued the county on behalf of a resident, and ex-state Sen. Patrick Colbeck. The report also criticized Texas-based Allied Security Operations Group, a company that worked with Trump attorney Rudy Giuliani to raise baseless allegations of fraud and counting errors.

The report dismissed various allegations — that many dead people voted, that hundreds of thousands of unsolicited absentee ballots were mailed to Michigan voters, that absentee ballots were counted multiple times, that tens of thousands of fraudulent absentee ballots were “dumped” at Detroit's counting center after the polls closed. Those ballots had been submitted throughout Election Day in drop boxes, in the mail and at clerk's offices.

The panel's Republicans recommended that drop boxes not be used or be closed sooner than 8 p.m. on Election Day so that processing and tabulating the ballots they contain won't extend long into the night. Democrats have said such a move would disenfranchise some voters.

“The committee’s report goes into considerable detail ... and I hope the public is reassured by the security and protections already in place, motivated to support necessary reforms to make it better and grateful for our fellow citizens who do the hard work of conducting our elections,” said Sen. Ed McBroom, a Vulcan Republican who chairs the panel.

The lone Democrat on the committee, Sen. Jeff Irwin of Ann Arbor, noted that its two other members had been among 11 GOP senators who asked Congress to investigate “credible” allegations of election misconduct on Jan. 4, two days before it met to certify Biden's win amid the deadly insurrection by Trump supporters at the Capitol.

“It is unfortunate that the Michigan Legislature participated in the circus, parading witnesses who were not credible or who pressed obvious falsehoods in order to promote the lie that Michigan’s results were tainted," he said. "But it is my fervent hope that we, as a legislative body, can finally focus our energy
on getting help out to our residents who need it most after such a tumultuous year for many due to the COVID-19 pandemic.”

Sent from my iPad
The multiple counts of Maricopa County ballots probably will not match

New machines were set up Friday for Arizona Senate Republican leaders' additional recount of nearly 2.1 million ballots cast in Maricopa County's 2020 election.

This means there may be three different counts of the total number of ballots cast when the results from this months-long audit are announced: The ballot count from the county's certified election results, the results of the hand count led by Cyber Ninjas, and the results from the new count led by a separate subcontractor, which will count just the ballots — not the votes on the ballots.

The three ballot counts are all bound to be different, mostly because of human error that is almost guaranteed to happen during any one of the counts, according to several election consultants.
The three ballot counts are all bound to be different, mostly because of human error that is almost guaranteed to happen during any one of the counts, according to several election consultants.

Senate President Karen Fann has called this a “triple check" to verify the number of ballots before the Senate returns them to the county. This way, she said, if the contractors' count does not match the county’s, the Senate also has a count.

Fann said she is not entering this latest count with the intent that the Senate's count match any of the other counts.

The Senate purchased the two high-speed counting machines that will be used for the count. They were made by U.S. Paper Counters out of Cairo, New York, and cost $13,500 each, according to the company's website.

One election consultant, Republican Benny White of Pima County said this latest move will cause even more confusion among voters about the official election results.

**Why the 3 counts may be different**

White and other election consultants across the country have been saying for months that there are numerous reasons why the Cyber Ninjas’ hand count results might be different from the county’s — mainly because hand counting anything inserts human error, intentionally or not. This is true, they say, not just for the tally of votes but also simply for the number of ballots cast.
Maricopa County counted 2,089,563 ballots in the election.

Cyber Ninjas has not released its count, but a final report is expected in August.

Jennifer Morrell, a partner at The Elections Group, a national elections consulting organization who served as an observer of the audit for the Secretary of State’s Office during the first week of May, said that she hopes that, before the numbers come out, people understand that they should expect them to look different from the county’s.
This is not because there was fraud on the part of the county, she said, but because the audit was mismanaged, processes changed too frequently and there initially was no quality control.

**Election consultants:** Results of Arizona ballot recount will be inaccurate at worst, incomplete at best

As the Senate takes on the new ballot count, human and machine error could occur in this count as well, according to White and Alex Halderman, a University of Michigan computer science and engineering professor who specializes in election security.

The results of a ballot hand count rarely are an exact match to a machine count, Halderman said. In both types of audits, clerical errors could be made, and ballots could be misplaced, skipped or stick together, he said.

There's also just the sheer amount of different types of ballots, and the different ways they are counted. Some people vote with accessible voting devices that accommodate a disability, some voters who are outside of the country vote electronically, and some vote using braille ballots. It's unclear how the new count would handle the special ballots the county handled.

White says he doubts new counting machines the Senate has purchased to do a high-speed count of the ballots next week will be accurate. High-speed counting machines are meant to count new sheets of paper, he said, not those that have been handled numerous times and folded.
The Bantam-1 machines purchased by the Senate count a corner of each sheet in a stack of paper, rather than running the entire sheet through the machine.

The counter can handle up to 2,000 pages a minute, according to the company's specifications. However, Randy Pullen, the audit's communications director, said 500 per minute is more reasonable, given workers have to get familiar with the operation and constraints due to protocols to protect the security of the ballots.

The Senate has hired a technician to oversee the operation, although details on who that person is were not immediately available. No one was operating the machines during a reporter's visit Friday afternoon, although the screen on one showed that a test had been run on 149 pages.

Counting is likely to happen next week and may be done by week's end.

Doing another count of ballots is not “entirely useless," though, according to Halderman, especially if they believe that their initial counting was sloppy. The additional count provides more evidence as to what the correct numbers are, he said. He said adding it last minute raises more questions about whether this was a well-planned or organized process to begin with.

**How counties ensure accurate counts**

Halderman said that the typical practice to ensure the number of ballots counted is accurate is to compare the number of ballots
counted to the number of voters who voted. If the voting is done in person, you compare the ballots counted with the ballots handed out, minus those that were spoiled.

He said some jurisdictions will ensure that all discrepancies are accounted for, but others don’t.

“It’s not uncommon, at the end of the day, to be out of balance by several ballots, and those errors are just going to be tolerated,” he said. Most of them, he said, are due to human error.

White said that the county’s count should be trusted. He has helped audit elections in Arizona and other states for more than a decade and has spent the past month conducting his own analysis of the county’s 2020 election using election data including the record of votes cast.

His team’s analysis verified in a few ways that it was accurate, he said. One way was by comparing the county’s ballot count to the voter registration data to see the number of voters who voted. That matched up almost perfectly, he said. White said he only found 46 voters for which he could not find a voter registration, but he suspects those are law enforcement officers, judges or others who have their records sealed from the public for safety reasons.
up almost perfectly, he said. White said he only found 46 voters for which he could not find a voter registration, but he suspects those are law enforcement officers, judges or others who have their records sealed from the public for safety reasons.

Another way his team verified the county’s ballot count, he said, was by examining the summary reports that county election workers fill out as they tabulate ballots, and comparing those to the official results by batch and box. Those numbers all matched, he said.

Reach the reporter at jen.fifield@arizonarepublic.com and follow her on Twitter @jenAfifield. Reporters Mary Jo Pitzl and Andrew Oxford contributed to this story.

Sent from my iPad
Tom - Randy and Doug are sending invoices to wire $ to some AZ entities from American Voting Rights Foundation.

Randy / Doug - Tom is the treasurer of AVRF who’s going to send the $ to the vendors you’ve approved.

I’m going to forward the wire instructions you’ve sent. Can you please work with Tom to get the funds out today where the funds need to be sent? Then Tom will need invoices and backup for the amounts for the records were for AVRF.

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone
Good morning Cleta, It is great to reconnect with you. This is my primary cellphone. My email is; Douglas Logan

Attached are the invoices for the wire from American Voting Rights Foundation:

CyFir: $500,000
Stratech: $250,000

I sent the information about the $250,000 wire to the IOLTA account of Scott Sigman, Esq who will take responsibility for disbursing funds to individuals who have been working at the audit site and obtaining the W9 forms from each as well as a release from each individual.

Let me know if this is sufficient. I need to go to the airport. Cleta
Linda Brickman here: A Review and Request from Doug to me regarding ARS 16-168

LTR TO D LOGAN & K FANN RE AZ 2020 AZ AUDIT.docx

President Fann and CEO Doug Logan,

Please review the LONG attachment for some good results...

I will be talking with you both soon...I am sure.

Respectfully,

Linda
From: Linda Brickman <luvbug1611@cox.net>
Sent: Monday, August 2, 2021 3:28 PM
To: [REDACTED]; Kf@azleg.gov; Douglas Logan
Cc: LINDA BRICKMAN
Subject: Linda Brickman here: GOOD ARTICLE ATTACHED
Attachments: https.docx
Is this for real?

1. Madam President: I thought we all signed a NDA which precludes us from speaking to Media or anyone for 60 days AFTER the audit? And

2. Doug: Did you authorize this and under "Cyber Ninjas?" Since when does the witch Nicole work for you!???

Respectfully,

Linda Brickman
On Aug 2, 2021, at 10:58 PM, Nicole AZAudit <azaudit2021@gmail.com> wrote:

If you worked or volunteered at the AZ AUDIT, Cyber Ninjas want to hear from you!

WHEN: Friday, August 6th  3:00-8:00 pm
      OR
      Saturday, August 7th  8:00 -12:30pm

WHERE: CLEAR MEDIA STUDIOS
       5060 N. 19th Ave. Suite 317-1
       Phoenix, AZ. 85015

We are producing a video and want your testimony/experience while you were working at the Audit. Your identity can remain Anonymous. Bring your badge and wear your colored shirt (if you still have them).

If you plan on attending, or have any questions, please reply to this email azaudit2021@gmail.com with your anticipated date and arrival time for your testimony.

Thank you,
Nicole
rpullen13@gmail.com has accepted this invitation.

Maricopa Audit - Daily Touchbase
When       Thu Apr 15, 2021 7am – 7:30am Mountain Standard Time - Phoenix
Where      Microsoft Teams Meeting (map)
Calendar    Douglas Logan
Who
• Douglas Logan - organizer
• rpullen13@gmail.com - creator
• Arizona Audit
• johnbrakey@gmail.com

Setting up a Microsoft Teams meeting so that both Ken and his Deputy can connect in if needed; and if applicable I might have someone on my team join as well.

Microsoft Teams meeting
Join on your computer or mobile app
Click here to join the meeting
Or call in (audio only)
+1 941-549-8541,,851729087# United States, Sarasota
Phone Conference ID: 851 729 087#
Find a local number | Reset PIN
Learn More | Meeting options

Invitation from Google Calendar

You are receiving this courtesy email at the account dlogan@cyberninjas.com because you are an attendee of this event.

To stop receiving future updates for this event, decline this event. Alternatively you can sign up for a Google account at https://calendar.google.com/calendar/ and control your notification settings for your entire calendar.

Forwarding this invitation could allow any recipient to send a response to the organizer and be added to the guest list, or invite others regardless of their own invitation status, or to modify your RSVP. Learn More.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject:</th>
<th>Briefing before audit meeting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>President's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start:</td>
<td>Tue 5/18/2021 2:00 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End:</td>
<td>Tue 5/18/2021 3:30 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Show Time As:</td>
<td>Tentative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrence:</td>
<td>(none)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Status:</td>
<td>Not yet responded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizer:</td>
<td>Karen Fann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subject:</strong></td>
<td>Audit Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location:</strong></td>
<td>President's Office or Conference Call</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Start:</strong></td>
<td>Mon 6/28/2021 12:00 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>End:</strong></td>
<td>Mon 6/28/2021 1:00 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Show Time As:</strong></td>
<td>Tentative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recurrence:</strong></td>
<td>(none)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Meeting Status:</strong></td>
<td>Not yet responded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organizer:</strong></td>
<td>Karen Fann</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Call-in number: (602) 926-5874
Douglas Logan

Subject: Audit Update Meeting
Location: https://azleg.zoom.us/j/87876382363?pwd=R0JRNXFobVdYVvk13ek1rZWNSeHFUZz09&from=addon

Start: Wed 8/25/2021 12:30 PM
End: Wed 8/25/2021 3:00 PM

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Accepted

Organizer: Karen Fann

Join Zoom Meeting
https://azleg.zoom.us/j/87876382363?pwd=R0JRNXFobVdYVvk13ek1rZWNSeHFUZz09&from=addon

Meeting ID: 878 7638 2363
Passcode: 057855 One tap mobile
+16692192599,,87876382363#,,,,*057855# US (San Jose)
+13462487799,,87876382363#,,,,*057855# US (Houston)

Dial by your location
+1 669 219 2599 US (San Jose)
+1 346 248 7799 US (Houston)
Meeting ID: 878 7638 2363
Passcode: 057855 Find your local number: https://azleg.zoom.us/u/kr93dg5xK
Douglas Logan

Subject: Audit Update Meeting
Location: https://azleg.zoom.us/j/83001780788?pwd=QytaSHcxWmRvVGxLODIhUFBEUkxNdz09&from=addon

Start: Mon 8/30/2021 4:30 PM
End: Mon 8/30/2021 7:30 PM
Show Time As: Tentative

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Not yet responded

Organizer: Karen Fann

Join Zoom Meeting
https://azleg.zoom.us/j/83001780788?pwd=QytaSHcxWmRvVGxLODIhUFBEUkxNdz09&from=addon

Meeting ID: 830 0178 0788
Passcode: 720266 One tap mobile
+13462487799,,83001780788#,,,,*720266# US (Houston)
+16692192599,,83001780788#,,,,*720266# US (San Jose)

Dial by your location
+1 346 248 7799 US (Houston)
+1 669 219 2599 US (San Jose)
Meeting ID: 830 0178 0788
Passcode: 720266 Find your local number: https://azleg.zoom.us/u/kbjy61RhZ7

VERSIGHT
Douglas Logan

Subject: Meeting with Dr. Shiva
Location: https://azleg.zoom.us/j/83030364224?pwd=RklOMnNPaW1MWFg3UFJpNTF5cm1Hdz09&from=addon

Start: Fri 9/10/2021 7:00 PM
End: Fri 9/10/2021 9:00 PM
Show Time As: Tentative

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Not yet responded

Organizer: Karen Fann

Join Zoom Meeting
https://azleg.zoom.us/j/83030364224?pwd=RklOMnNPaW1MWFg3UFJpNTF5cm1Hdz09&from=addon

Meeting ID: 830 3036 4224
Passcode: 894153 One tap mobile
+13462487799,,83030364224#,,,,*894153# US (Houston)
+16692192599,,83030364224#,,,,*894153# US (San Jose)

Dial by your location
+1 346 248 7799 US (Houston)
+1 669 219 2599 US (San Jose)
Meeting ID: 830 3036 4224
Passcode: 894153 Find your local number: https://azleg.zoom.us/u/khk0ig0P3
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject:</th>
<th>Audit Update Meeting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>ARPA; 916 West Adams Street, Suite 1 Phoenix, AZ 85007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start:</td>
<td>Wed 9/15/2021 4:00 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End:</td>
<td>Wed 9/15/2021 7:00 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrence:</td>
<td>(none)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizer:</td>
<td>Karen Fann</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please join my meeting from your computer, tablet or smartphone.
[https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/96441149](https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/96441149)

You can also dial in using your phone.
United States (Toll Free): [1 877 309 2073](tel:+18773092073)
United States: [+1 (646) 749-3129](tel:+16467493129)

Access Code: 964-411-149
Douglas Logan

Subject: Audit Review Meeting
Location: https://azleg.zoom.us/j/89766764114?pwd=bzcybUk4RnlnU1o4RFovb3lqS3RhUT09&from=addon

Start: Thu 9/23/2021 5:00 PM
End: Thu 9/23/2021 7:00 PM
Show Time As: Tentative

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Not yet responded

Organizer: Karen Fann

Join Zoom Meeting
https://azleg.zoom.us/j/89766764114?pwd=bzcybUk4RnlnU1o4RFovb3lqS3RhUT09&from=addon

Meeting ID: 897 6676 4114
Passcode: 389095 One tap mobile
+13462487799,,89766764114#,,,,*389095# US (Houston)
+16692192599,,89766764114#,,,,*389095# US (San Jose)

Dial by your location
+1 346 248 7799 US (Houston)
+1 669 219 2599 US (San Jose)
Meeting ID: 897 6676 4114
Passcode: 389095 Find your local number: https://azleg.zoom.us/u/kclMY9JffN
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Subject:</strong></th>
<th>Canceled: Audit Update Meeting 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location:</strong></td>
<td><a href="https://azleg.zoom.us/j/88998698422?pwd=S29HMUgydmJWQ3lkmFIVWVQZjROQT09">https://azleg.zoom.us/j/88998698422?pwd=S29HMUgydmJWQ3lkmFIVWVQZjROQT09</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Start:</strong></td>
<td>Wed 9/1/2021 5:00 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>End:</strong></td>
<td>Wed 9/1/2021 7:30 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Show Time As:</strong></td>
<td>Free</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recurrence:</strong></td>
<td>(none)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Meeting Status:</strong></td>
<td>Not yet responded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organizer:</strong></td>
<td>Adrian Luth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Importance:</strong></td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Join Zoom Meeting
https://azleg.zoom.us/j/88998698422?pwd=S29HMUgydmJWQ3lkmFIVWVQZjROQT09

Meeting ID: 889 9869 8422
Passcode: 396981
One tap mobile
+13462487799,,88998698422#,,,,,*396981# US (Houston)
+16692192599,,88998698422#,,,,,*396981# US (San Jose)

Dial by your location
+1 346 248 7799 US (Houston)
+1 669 219 2599 US (San Jose)
Meeting ID: 889 9869 8422
Passcode: 396981
Find your local number: https://azleg.zoom.us/u/khAZpz2e9
Subject:   Canceled: Zoom Meeting with Dr. Shiva
Location:  https://azleg.zoom.us/j/82848031519?pwd=cFRoUGhEditSTzBndlV1REsrcUxDUT09&from=addon

Start:     Fri 9/10/2021 7:00 PM
End:       Fri 9/10/2021 9:00 PM
Show Time As:  Free

Recurrence:  (none)
Meeting Status: Not yet responded
Organizer:   Karen Fann
Importance:  High
Doug Logan, CEO of Cyber Ninjas, tells GOP lawmakers about irregularities he said have been found so far in the review of Maricopa County ballots and equipment. With him is Ken Bennett, who is the Senate's liaison with the audit. (Capitol Media Services photo from video feed)

By Howard Fischer, For Prescott News Network | azcapmedia

Originally Published: July 15, 2021 7:38 p.m.
Updated as of Thursday, July 15, 2021 7:47 PM

PHOENIX — The outside contractors conducting the audit of the 2020 election told GOP lawmakers Thursday, July 15, they have identified a series of inconsistencies between information that Maricopa County reported and what they have found.

And the result could be workers from Cyber Ninjas showing up at the doors of some voters in a bid to resolve them. Senate President Karen Fann confirmed after the hearing that she is considering giving the go-ahead for that despite being told earlier this year by the U.S. Department of Justice that “raises concerns regarding potential intimidation of voters.”

Fann also is weighing new subpoenas to force Maricopa County to turn over information that the outside auditors said they never received or were denied outright. And that would pave the way to a new round of court cases.

But it may be Cyber Ninjas and other firms conducting the review of voting equipment and the 2.1 million ballots, that may be subject to closer examination.

Earlier Thursday, Maricopa County Superior Court Judge Michael Kemp rejected claims by Fann that documents in the hands of Cyber Ninjas, including who is financing the review, are not public records and need not be
PHOENIX — The outside contractors conducting the audit of the 2020 election told GOP lawmakers Thursday, July 15, they have identified a series of inconsistencies between information that Maricopa County reported and what they have found.

And the result could be workers from Cyber Ninjas showing up at the doors of some voters in a bid to resolve them. Senate President Karen Fann confirmed after the hearing that she is considering giving the go-ahead for that despite being told earlier this year by the U.S. Department of Justice that “raises concerns regarding potential intimidation of voters.”

Fann also is weighing new subpoenas to force Maricopa County to turn over information that the outside auditors said they never received or were denied outright. And that would pave the way to a new round of court cases.

But it may be Cyber Ninjas and other firms conducting the review of voting equipment and the 2.1 million ballots, that may be subject to closer examination.

Earlier Thursday, Maricopa County Superior Court Judge Michael Kemp rejected claims by Fann that documents in the hands of Cyber Ninjas, including who is financing the review, are not public records and need not be disclosed. Kemp rejected arguments that only documents in the actual possession of the Senate are subject to the state’s public records law.

“Nothing in the statute absolves Senate defendants’ responsibilities to keep and maintain records for authorities supported by public monies by merely retaining a third-party contractor who in turns hires subvendors,” Kemp wrote. And he noted that Fann herself made statements that the audit itself is a public function.

Allowing those documents to now be shielded, the judge said, “would be an absurd result and undermine Arizona’s strong public policy in favor of permitting access to records reflecting governmental activity.”

Significantly, that includes who is financing the audit, as the judge said the $150,000 the Senate agreed to pay Cyber Ninjas “appears to be far short of paying the full cost.”
“The public does not know who is financing the remaining costs,” the judge wrote. Kemp was no more impressed by arguments that how the Senate obeys or interprets the public records law is a “nonjusticiable political question” beyond the reach of courts.

He said it is true that judges cannot tell the Senate how to conduct the audit.

But Kemp said what is at issue here is the enforcement of the public records law, a statute crafted by the legislature itself. And he noted that lawmakers have not exempted themselves.

“It is difficult to conceive of a case with a more compelling public interest demanding public disclosure and public scrutiny,” the judge wrote.

Kemp’s ruling and the results of the hearing Thursday portend months more of legal disputes.

The Senate is virtually certain to appeal. And then there is the work of contacting voters and those new subpoenas of Maricopa County to get information that the auditors say is necessary for them to complete their work.

And it’s even more complicated than that, as the U.S. House Oversight Committee has launched its own investigation into the audit.

In a letter to Cyber Ninjas CEO Doug Logan, Rep. Carolyn Maloney, D-N.Y., who chairs that panel demanded he produce information about the company and with whom he is communicating, including former President Donald Trump and others who have advanced the theory that the election was tainted.

“The committee is seeking to determine whether the privately funded audit conducted by your company in Arizona protects the right to vote or is instead an effort to promote baseless conspiracy theories, undermine confidence in American’s elections, and reverse the result of a free and fair election for partisan gain,” Maloney said in a joint statement with Rep. Jamie Raskin, D-Md., who chairs the subcommittee on civil rights and civil liberties.

During Thursday’s hearing, Logan identified for Fann and Sen. Warren Petersen, R-Gilbert, who chairs the Senate Judiciary Committee — Senate
Democrats were not allowed to participate or ask questions — a series of what he said are irregularities.

For example, he said there were 74,243 mail-in ballots being received “where there is no clear record of them being sent.” Logan also said there were 11,326 individuals who did not show up on the version of the voter rolls prepared the day after the election but did show up on the Dec. 4 list as not only being registered but having voted.

And he said there were nearly 4,000 people listed as registering to vote after the cutoff on Oct. 15.

“It’s the one way to know for sure whether some of the data we’re seeing if it’s real problems or whether it’s clerical errors of some sort,” Logan said of a canvass of voters.

In May, after the idea of contacting voters was first floated, Pamela Karlan, principal deputy assistant attorney general for the U.S. Department of Justice, said the plan could intimidate voters. And that, Karlan said, would violate the federal Voting Rights Act.

Fann dismissed those concerns.

In responding to Karlan, Fann promised that if there is any door-to-door questioning that Cyber Ninjas would not select voters or precincts based on race, ethnicity, sex, party affiliation or “any other legally protected status.”

But what Logan appears to want is far more specific, contacting those whose names showed up -- or did not -- on those conflicting documents to hear directly from them whether they actually voted.

“Canvassing can help validate that information,” he said.

Another big issue is whether the ballots were properly counted.

County officials have insisted that there could be no “bleed-through” of marks made by voters on one side of the ballot to another. And even if that did occur, they said the ballots were printed in a way so that those stray marks could not affect races on the other side of the sheet because of how the “bubbles” for voters to fill were aligned.
Auditors produced this image of "bleed through" of Maricopa County ballots, which they said can result in votes not being properly counted or ballots recording votes that the person did not intend. (Capitol Media Services photo from video feed)

But Logan showed the senators evidence of not just mis-alignment of those bubbles but actual ballots where stray marks could result either in a vote for someone for whom the person did not intend or invalidating the choice for that race because it appeared more than one vote had been cast.

He said the problem appears to be confined only to votes cast on election day, as the preprinted early ballots were properly aligned. But that still amounts to about 168,000 ballots that were prepared at voting centers.

Separately, Logan wants access to images of the signatures on the early ballot envelopes.

He claimed to have an affidavit -- he did not say from whom -- that so many early ballots were received that county election workers loosened the standards to determine whether signatures matched what is on file.

Logan said that started out at 20 points of comparison but was reduced to just 10 and then to just five.

“And then, eventually, they were told to just let every single mail-in ballot through,” he said. And while Logan said it’s too late to redo such comparisons, the images will show whether county officials allowed ballots with no signatures at all to be counted.

Fann said the woman who made the complaint, whose identity she knows, worked to verify signatures for the county. The Senate president said there is no need at this point to reveal her identity.

And county election officials denied the claim as “categorically false.”

“At no point during the 2020 election cycle did Maricopa County modify the rigorous signature verification requirements,” they said in a prepared statement.

Ben Cotton, founder of CyFir, a subcontractor on the process, said he found other problems.
Fann said the woman who made the complaint, whose identity she knows, worked to verify signatures for the county. The Senate president said there is no need at this point to reveal her identity.

And county election officials denied the claim as “categorically false.”

“At no point during the 2020 election cycle did Maricopa County modify the rigorous signature verification requirements,” they said in a prepared statement.

Ben Cotton, founder of CyFir, a subcontractor on the process, said he found other problems.

Some are related to a breach of the voter registration system the county itself reported, with the FBI having raided a Fountain Hills home days before the election. Cotton said that shows that at least one element of the county’s election system had been “hacked.”

But county election officials said all that was accessed was a site where people could register to vote, not the actual server where voter registration records are stored. And all that anyone obtained was someone’s voter registration number, with no access to personal information.

Cotton also said that anti-virus software had not been updated since the county acquired the equipment in 2019 from Dominion Voting Systems, nor had there been updates and “patches” in the operating system, something Cotton said would be expected even of a home computer.

“What that creates is a tremendous vulnerability to anyone who could get access through a system,” he said, especially if the computer server handling voter registration had access into the county’s internal systems.

But county election officials said they cannot update systems through security patches, saying that would be altering a system that had been certified.

“Contrary to Mr. Cotton’s insinuation, the county maintains an air-gapped system and tabulation equipment is not connected to the internet,” they said.

That, in turn, goes to what has been a bone of contention between the Senate and the county: the refusal to provide access to the internal routers. These are
the devices that direct computer traffic. And Cotton said that could help identify who might have breached the system, something he said that could take less than 10 minutes.

County officials have balked, saying the information on the router might compromise law enforcement, including identifying informants. But Cotton said that is at odds with the county’s own assurances that its election equipment operated on a closed system that did not have access to the internet. And that, he said, means it could not have comingled data with the sheriff’s department.

“The fact that they have responded back to an official subpoena with the justification that to produce that data would compromise these other aspect of the Maricopa County network that does touch the internet is an admission that maybe things aren’t like what they’ve told the American public,” he said.
Interesting article


Sent from my iPad
From: Cleta Mitchell
Sent: Friday, July 30, 2021 8:05 AM
To: Douglas Logan; Randy Pullen; Thomas Datwyler
Subject: Need W9 from Stratech and CyFir

Do we have those? If not, can you get those and forward to Tom for our records? Thanks! Cleta

Cleta Mitchell, Esq.
From: [From Address]
Sent: Saturday, July 17, 2021 1:04 PM
To: rachel.janfaza@cnn.com
Cc: kelli.ward@azgop.org;ydelrincon@risc.maricopa.gov;Clint.Hickman@Maricopa.Gov;Bill.Gates@Maricopa.Gov;KFann@azleg.gov;Jack.Sellers@Maricopa.Gov;mark.brnovich@azag.gov;Douglas Logan;sara.chimene-weiss@protectdemocracy.org;KFann@azleg.gov;kbazsos@gmail.com;cwitt@waketsi.com;yvonne.wingett@arizonarepublic.com
Subject: Political Gangsters

Dear Ms. Janfaza,

Nazism did not start out in genocide. It began with militias and violent troops disrupting democracy.

To subvert and hinder the vote is also a threat to our system of government.

It's imperative that fascists maintain control of their propaganda narrative.

"The Big Lie" was used for the first insurrection, voter suppression legislation, endless vote recounts, campaign contributions, and more recently, the legal defense for sanctioned attorneys that aided and abetted this fascist activity.

What we have learned from Hitler's rise to power was that the dividing line is not between Left and Right but between decent people and political gangsters, between tolerant people and totalitarians.

Thank you for detailing how political gangsters pressured the Maricopa County Arizona voting officials to undermine and interfere with the vote.
Events like this should remind us not to be complacent — that the things we care about have to be nurtured and defended regularly — because even seemingly good people have the potential to do hideous things.

Six and a half months before Adolf Hitler invaded Poland, New York City's Madison Square Garden hosted a rally attended by 20,000 Americans to celebrate the rise of Nazism in Germany.

If you have not done so, please take a few minutes to view this short documentary.

Sincerely,

Grafton, Ohio

Visit the post for more.


Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android
From: Karen Fann
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 3:27 PM
To: Kory Langhofer; Thomas Basile; Garth Kamp; Greg Jernigan; Melissa Taylor
Cc: Ken Bennett; Randy Pullen; Douglas Logan
Subject: Pres. Fann statement 8-23-21.docx

Sent from my iPad
Good morning.

Numerous inquiries regarding the report being submitted to the Senate today. Confirmed?

Thank you,
Julie
From: Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 3, 2021 4:00 PM
To: Shiva Ayyadurai
Cc: Douglas Logan
Subject: Re: All good, kindly execute and return!

Please send me your account information.

With best regards,
Randy Pullen

On Tue, Aug 3, 2021 at 11:17 AM Shiva Ayyadurai <vashiva@vashiva.com> wrote:

Dear Doug,
I've reviewed it. It looks good. I added one term on the date, which states its a function of our receiving data on a timely basis. We are ALL READY TO GO.

As next steps, I need:
1) Payment wired to our EchoMail account; and,
2) The ballot images.

Let's go!
-Shiva

--

Confidentiality Notice: The information contained in this email and any attachments to it is intended only for the use of the intended recipient and may be confidential and/or privileged. If any recipient of this communication is not the intended recipient, the unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this email and any accompanying attachments or other information contained herein is strictly prohibited,
and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender by return email, destroy this email and any and all copies thereof (including any attachments) without reading them or saving them in any manner. Thank you.

This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail (even if the e-mail address above is yours), (i) you may not use, copy or retransmit it, (ii) please delete this message and (iii) please notify the sender immediately. Any disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.
To Whom It May Concern,

Please see the attached follow up letter concerning Protect Democracy's June 28, 2021 public records request.

If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brittany Williams

---

Brittany M. Williams
Counsel, Protect Democracy
(202) 236-7396

---

Brittany M. Williams
Counsel, Protect Democracy
(202) 236-7396
Thank you Trevor. That might be helpful as we move thru this process. I am copying the Auditors on this email for their records as well. Thank you for coming forward.

Senate President Fann

Sent from my iPad

---

On Jul 16, 2021, at 9:36 AM, Trevor wrote:

Hello this is Trevor [Redacted]. I was a resident and registered independent voter in Maricopa County during the 2020 elections. After watching your update on the Maricopa County audit yesterday I realized that I still have my mail in ballot. I have been on the early voting list for years but last year I decided to vote in person from the Queen Creek location on voting day. Which as a side note, the Queen Creek voting center only provided black sharpies to fill out the ballots and the ballot that they printed and provided to me on site after verifying my ID was of a different paper stock and thickness than my mail in ballot. Not sure why I remember that but it stood out to me at the time.

I would be happy to provide my original mail in ballot or answer further questions if it helps with the audit process. I would be more than happy to sign an affidavit with my statements if necessary. Please feel free to contact me via this email or by phone at [Redacted].

Trevor [Redacted]

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android
Sounds good, thanks for the connection Cleta.

Doug and Randy, great to meet you both.

Let me know if you need anything in the meantime.

Thanks!
Tom

On Wed, Jul 28, 2021 at 1:11 PM Cleta Mitchell wrote:
Tom - Randy and Doug are sending invoices to wire $ to some AZ entities from American Voting Rights Foundation.

Randy / Doug - Tom is the treasurer of AVRF who’s going to send the $ to the vendors you’ve approved.

I’m going to forward the wire instructions you’ve sent. Can you please work with Tom to get the funds out today where the funds need to be sent? Then Tom will need invoices and backup for the amounts for the records were for AVRF.

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone
I read that earlier!
Not a surprise to you or me. :)  
Hopefully people will start to understand the gravity of what has been and did take place. 
It's clear from the voter rolls that they have been and continue to be monkeyed with.

Sent with ProtonMail Secure Email.

------ Original Message ------
On Monday, August 2nd, 2021 at 2:27 PM, Linda Brickman <luvbug1611@cox.net> wrote:
Thanks for the reminder Cleta!

On Fri, Jul 30, 2021 at 7:05 AM Cleta Mitchell wrote:
Do we have those? If not, can you get those and forward to Tom for our records? Thanks! Cleta
From: Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 30, 2021 11:46 AM
To: Thomas Datwyler
Cc: Cleta Mitchell; Douglas Logan
Subject: Re: Need W9 from Stratech and CyFir

Doug should have. Will check

Sent from my iPhone

---

On Jul 30, 2021, at 5:08 AM, Thomas Datwyler <tcdatwyler@gmail.com> wrote:

Thanks for the reminder Cleta!

---

On Fri, Jul 30, 2021 at 7:05 AM Cleta Mitchell wrote:
Do we have those? If not, can you get those and forward to Tom for our records?
Thanks! Cleta

Cleta Mitchell, Esq.

---

--
The wire from AVRF to your trust account was $250,000.00. I presume there are additional funds to cover the amounts over $250k?

Once these individuals are paid, does that cover all the individuals who were working the audit?

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 1, 2021, at 4:00 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

Please see attached and below.

I am ready to send Doug and Gene releases for Round 1 which will cover 22 people at $176,450.00.

Gene has submitted 19 names for Round 2 at a cost of $100,910.00.

Round 1 and 2 would cover 41 people and cost $277,000.00.

-Scott

Gene / Chris:

Attached are round 1 and round 2 spreadsheets. Please Review and confirm. I will add these amounts to the releases.
I removed Adam Richman since he said he was paid in full.

I was charged a wire fee of $15.00, postage will be about $50.00, and I ordered 100 blank escrow checks at a cost of $60.00.

With round 1 and 2 we cover 41 people, however we would be short $27,485.00.

I suggest you cut $28,000.00 from Round 2 and move them to Round 3.

Please let me know.

-Scott

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Escrow</th>
<th>$250,000.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round 1</td>
<td>-$176,450.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round 2</td>
<td>-$100,910.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Postage</td>
<td>-$50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wire Fee</td>
<td>-$15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Checks</td>
<td>-$60.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$27,485.00

From: Gene Kern
Sent: Sunday, August 1, 2021 1:19 PM
To: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Cc: Chris Witt
Subject: Re: 1775 Advisors - Brad Mills

Hey Scott

Here is the latest spreadsheet. There are two tabs; the 7-31 is the one I sent yesterday with a couple of updates for B2B, the 8-1 is round two. There are more B2B but they don't matter right now.

Respectfully,
Gene Kern
EVP
From: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 1, 2021 4:32 PM
To: Cleta Mitchell
Cc: Randy Pullen; Douglas Logan; Thomas Datwyler
Subject: Re: Round 1 and 2 Spreadsheets - Please review

I am still analyzing all records to determine who was not paid under Wake and reconciling that with what Wake is providing me.

Round 2 has not been approved yet.

Right now I am working on completing Round 1.

Just wanted to update you on the progress.

While we anticipate additional funds right now we only have $250,000.00 for payroll.

I will continue to keep you updated while we work on completing payments to round 1 over the next few weeks.

Please note that I will be out of town between August 9 through August 14.

Have a good rest of your Sunday.

-Scott

Sent via iPhone

Scott P. Sigman, Esq.

On Aug 1, 2021, at 4:05 PM, Cleta Mitchell wrote:

The wire from AVRF to your trust account was $250,000.00. I presume there are additional funds to cover the amounts over $250k?

Once these individuals are paid, does that cover all the individuals who were working the audit?

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 1, 2021, at 4:00 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:
Please see attached and below.

I am ready to send Doug and Gene releases for Round 1 which will cover 22 people at $176,450.00.

Gene has submitted 19 names for Round 2 at a cost of $100,910.00.

Round 1 and 2 would cover 41 people and cost $277,000.00.

-Scott

From: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 1, 2021 3:38 PM
To: Gene Ker####
Cc: Chris Witt####
Subject: Re: Round 1 and 2 Spreadsheets - Please review

Gene / Chris:

Attached are round 1 and round 2 spreadsheets. Please Review and confirm. I will add these amounts to the releases.

I removed Adam#### since he said he was paid in full.

I was charged a wire fee of $15.00, postage will be about $50.00, and I ordered 100 blank escrow checks at a cost of $60.00.

With round 1 and 2 we cover 41 people, however we would be short $27,485.00.

I suggest you cut $28,000.00 from Round 2 and move them to Round 3.

Please let me know.

-Scott
Hey Scott

Here is the latest spreadsheet. There are two tabs; the 7-31 is the one I sent yesterday with a couple of updates for B2B, the 8-1 is round two. There are more B2B but they don’t matter right now.

Respectfully,

Gene Kern
EVP
Here is my Spreadsheet.

Round 1 was approved (signed by Doug and Gene) and is in process.

Round 2 is a proposal from Gene. Please review and let me know.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>James</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaylie</td>
<td>$8,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John</td>
<td>$8,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aaron</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diane</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank</td>
<td>$4,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roy</td>
<td>$12,300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott</td>
<td>$8,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam</td>
<td>$11,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galen</td>
<td>$8,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryson</td>
<td>$11,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cecilia</td>
<td>$6,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doglas</td>
<td>$3,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jesse</td>
<td>$6,150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas</td>
<td>$3,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marc</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eddie</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riccardo</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$166,550.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Wake Round 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vickie</td>
<td>$3,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brad</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael</td>
<td>$9,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James</td>
<td>$13,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uku</td>
<td>$3,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sean</td>
<td>$7,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allison</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrea</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabrielle</td>
<td>$2,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nikolaos</td>
<td>$13,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlie</td>
<td>$2,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lori</td>
<td>$4,380.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total:** $81,690.00

**Escrow**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Escrow</td>
<td>$250,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round 1</td>
<td>-$166,550.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round 2</td>
<td>-$81,690.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses</td>
<td>-$125.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escrow Balance</td>
<td>$1,635.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Expenses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wire Fee</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postage (estimate)</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 Blank Escrow Checks</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Expenses:** $125.00
From: Scott Sigman
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 7:02 PM
To: Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>
Cc: Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>; Cleta Mitchell; Thommas Datwyler
Subject: Re: Round 1 Completed Releases

I am waiting on Gene or Chris to sign the Releases (that were already signed by Doug) so I can start sending them to the sub contractors.

Here is what’s next:

Once the Releases are signed by Wake (Gene or Chris), I will send the Release to each sub contractor in Round 1 individually.

Per the Release, the sub contractor must review and sign, then send back the Release with a completed W9 which I will send to you and Wake.

After that I will mail the sub contractor a check for the amount indicated on the Release.

All checks will be photocopied and sent to you.

Once complete, you will have (1) a signed Release, (2) a W9, and (3) a photocopy of the check for each person.

Sent via iPhone

Scott P. Sigman, Esq.

On Aug 2, 2021, at 6:08 PM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

Scott,

Please see the updated signed releases.

Thanks
Doug Logan
From: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Sent: Monday, August 2, 2021 11:33 AM
To: Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Cc: Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>; Cleta Mitchell
    ; Thomas Datwyler
Subject: Re: Round 1 Completed Releases
Importance: High

Doug:

Please see attached for your review and signature.

-Scott

From: Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 2, 2021 11:28 AM
To: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Cc: Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>; Cleta Mitchell
    ; Thomas Datwyler
Subject: Re: Round 1 Completed Releases

👍
Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 2, 2021, at 8:11 AM, Scott Sigman
<scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

Yes

I will add a date for each signature line

Give me an hour

Thanks

Sent via iPhone

Scott P. Sigman, Esq.

On Aug 2, 2021, at 11:00 AM, Douglas Logan
<dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:
Scott,

I have signed all places where I am supposed to. FYI, there is no date on these documents anywhere. Shouldn’t it be dated?

Thanks,

Doug Logan

---

From: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>  
Sent: Monday, August 2, 2021 5:20 AM  
To: Cleta Mitchell  
Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>  
Cc: Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>; Thomas Datwyler  
Subject: Re: Round 1 Completed Releases

Doug:

Attached are the completed releases for your review and signature.

The payroll numbers should be an exact match to the attached spreadsheet.

Thanks

Scott
Scott P. Sigman, Esquire

| 2016 Spruce Street | Philadelphia, PA | 19103


www.scottsigman.com

(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)

<Wake Cyber General Release Settlement Agreement ver2_signed.pdf>
<Wake Cyber General Release Settlement Agreement ver2 (1)_signed.pdf>
Attached are copies of the checks for Round 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>James</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaylie</td>
<td>$8,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John</td>
<td>$8,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aaron</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diane</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank</td>
<td>$4,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roy</td>
<td>$12,300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott</td>
<td>$8,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam</td>
<td>$11,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galen</td>
<td>$8,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryson</td>
<td>$11,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cecilia</td>
<td>$6,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>$3,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jesse</td>
<td>$6,150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas</td>
<td>$3,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marc</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eddie</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riccardo</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$166,550.00
Scott P. Sigman, Esquire  
2016 Spruce Street | Philadelphia, PA | 19103  
www.scottsigman.com  
(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)

From: Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>  
Sent: Monday, August 2, 2021 6:09 PM  
To: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>; Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>  
Cc: Cleta Mitchell <cleta@cletamitchell.com>; Thomas Datwyler <tcdatwyler@gmail.com>  
Subject: RE: Round 1 Completed Releases

Scott,  
Please see the updated signed releases.

Thanks  
Doug Logan

---------

From: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>  
Sent: Monday, August 2, 2021 11:33 AM  
To: Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>  
Cc: Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>; Cleta Mitchell <cleta@cletamitchell.com>; Thomas Datwyler <tcdatwyler@gmail.com>  
Subject: Re: Round 1 Completed Releases  
Importance: High

Doug:  
Please see attached for your review and signature.

-Scott

---------

From: Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, August 2, 2021 11:28 AM  
To: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>  
Cc: Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>; Cleta Mitchell <cleta@cletamitchell.com>; Thomas Datwyler <tcdatwyler@gmail.com>  
Subject: Re: Round 1 Completed Releases

👍

Sent from my iPhone
On Aug 2, 2021, at 8:11 AM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

Yes

I will add a date for each signature line

Give me an hour

Thanks

Sent via iPhone

Scott P. Sigman, Esq.

On Aug 2, 2021, at 11:00 AM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

Scott,

I have signed all places where I am supposed to. FYI, there is no date on these documents anywhere. Shouldn’t it be dated?

Thanks,
Doug Logan

From: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Sent: Monday, August 2, 2021 5:20 AM
To: Cleta Mitchell <cleta@cletamitchell.com>; Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>
Cc: Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>; Thomas Datwyler <tcdatwyler@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Round 1 Completed Releases

Doug:

Attached are the completed releases for your review and signature.

The payroll numbers should be an exact match to the attached spreadsheet.

Thanks

Scott
Scott P. Sigman, Esquire

| 2016 Spruce Street | Philadelphia, PA | 19103


www.scottsigman.com

(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)

<Wake Cyber General Release Settlement Agreement ver2_signed.pdf>
Thanks!

Sent via iPhone

Scott P. Sigman, Esq.

> On Aug 2, 2021, at 6:08 PM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:
> 
> Scott,
> > Please see the updated signed releases.
> >
> ~ Scott
> >
> > From: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
> > Sent: Monday, August 2, 2021 11:33 AM
> > To: Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
> > Cc: Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>; Cleta Mitchell; Thomas Datwyler
> > Subject: Re: Round 1 Completed Releases
> > Importance: High
> >
> > Doug:
> >
> > Please see attached for your review and signature.
> >
> > -Scott
> >
> >
> > From: Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com> <mailto:rpullen13@gmail.com>>
> > Sent: Monday, August 2, 2021 11:28 AM
> > To: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> <mailto:scott@scottsigman.com>>
> > Cc: Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> <mailto:dlogan@cyberninjas.com>>; Cleta Mitchell; Thomas Datwyler
> > Subject: Re: Round 1 Completed Releases
> >
> > Sent from my iPhone
> >
On Aug 2, 2021, at 8:11 AM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

Yes

I will add a date for each signature line

Give me an hour

Thanks

Sent via iPhone

Scott P. Sigman, Esq.

On Aug 2, 2021, at 11:00 AM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

Scott,

I have signed all places where I am supposed to. FYI, there is no date on these documents anywhere. Shouldn’t it be dated?

Thanks,

Doug Logan

From: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Sent: Monday, August 2, 2021 5:20 AM
To: Cleta Mitchell
Cc: Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>; Thomas Datwyler
Subject: Re: Round 1 Completed Releases

Doug:
Attached are the completed releases for your review and signature.

The payroll numbers should be an exact match to the attached spreadsheet.

Thanks

Scott

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire

| 2016 Spruce Street | Philadelphia, PA | 19103 |

(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)

<Wake Cyber General Release Settlement Agreement ver2_signed.pdf>
From: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Sent: Monday, August 2, 2021 2:33 PM
To: Randy Pullen
Cc: Douglas Logan; Cleta Mitchell; Thomas Datwyler
Subject: Re: Round 1 Completed Releases

Importance: High

Doug:

Please see attached for your review and signature.

-Scott

From: Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 2, 2021 11:28 AM
To: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Cc: Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>; Cleta Mitchell; Thomas Datwyler
Subject: Re: Round 1 Completed Releases

 côté

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 2, 2021, at 8:11 AM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

Yes

I will add a date for each signature line

Give me an hour

Thanks

Sent via iPhone

Scott P. Sigman, Esq.

On Aug 2, 2021, at 11:00 AM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:
Scott,

I have signed all places where I am supposed to. FYI, there is no date on these documents anywhere. Shouldn’t it be dated?

Thanks,
Doug Logan

From: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Sent: Monday, August 2, 2021 5:20 AM
To: Cleta Mitchell; Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>
Cc: Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>; Thomas Datwyler
Subject: Re: Round 1 Completed Releases

Doug:

Attached are the completed releases for your review and signature.

The payroll numbers should be an exact match to the attached spreadsheet.

Thanks

Scott

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire
| 2016 Spruce Street | Philadelphia, PA | 19103
www.scottsigman.com
(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)
On Aug 2, 2021, at 8:11 AM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

Yes

I will add a date for each signature line

Give me an hour

Thanks

Sent via iPhone

Scott P. Sigman, Esq.

On Aug 2, 2021, at 11:00 AM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

Scott,

I have signed all places where I am supposed to. FYI, there is no date on these documents anywhere. Shouldn’t it be dated?

Thanks,
Doug Logan

From: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Sent: Monday, August 2, 2021 5:20 AM
To: Cleta Mitchell; Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>
Cc: Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>; Thomas Datwyler
Subject: Re: Round 1 Completed Releases
Doug:

Attached are the completed releases for your review and signature.

The payroll numbers should be an exact match to the attached spreadsheet.

Thanks

Scott

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire
| 2016 Spruce Street | Philadelphia, PA | 19103

www.scottsigman.com

(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)

<Wake Cyber General Release Settlement Agreement ver2_signed.pdf>
From: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Sent: Monday, August 2, 2021 11:12 AM
To: Douglas Logan
Cc: Cleta Mitchell; Randy Pullen; Thomas Datwyler
Subject: Re: Round 1 Completed Releases

Yes

I will add a date for each signature line

Give me an hour

Thanks

Sent via iPhone

Scott P. Sigman, Esq.

On Aug 2, 2021, at 11:00 AM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

Scott,  
I have signed all places where I am supposed to. FYI, there is no date on these documents anywhere. Shouldn’t it be dated?

Thanks,
Doug Logan

From: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Sent: Monday, August 2, 2021 5:20 AM
To: Cleta Mitchell; Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>  
Cc: Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>; Thomas Datwyler
Subject: Re: Round 1 Completed Releases

Doug:

Attached are the completed releases for your review and signature.

The payroll numbers should be an exact match to the attached spreadsheet.

Thanks
Scott

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire

| 2016 Spruce Street | Philadelphia, PA | 19103

E: scott@scottsigman.com |

www.scottsigman.com

(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)

<Wake Cyber General Release Settlement Agreement ver2_signed.pdf>
From: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Sent: Monday, August 2, 2021 8:20 AM
To: Cleta Mitchell; Douglas Logan
Cc: Randy Pullen; Thomas Datwyler
Subject: Re: Round 1 Completed Releases
Attachments: Round 1 Wake Payments.xlsx; Wake Cyber General Release Settlement Agreement ver2 (1).pdf

Doug:

Attached are the completed releases for your review and signature.

The payroll numbers should be an exact match to the attached spreadsheet.

Thanks

Scott

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire
www.scottsigman.com
(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)
From: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 7:02 PM
To: Douglas Logan
Cc: Randy Pullen; Cleta Mitchell; Thomas Datwyler
Subject: Re: Round 1 Completed Releases

I am waiting on Gene or Chris to sign the Releases (that were already signed by Doug) so I can start sending them to the sub contractors.

Here is what’s next:

Once the Releases are signed by Wake (Gene or Chris), I will send the Release to each sub contractor in Round 1 individually.

Per the Release, the sub contractor must review and sign, then send back the Release with a completed W9 which I will send to you and Wake.

After that I will mail the sub contractor a check for the amount indicated on the Release.

All checks will be photocopied and sent to you.

Once complete, you will have (1) a signed Release, (2) a W9, and (3) a photocopy of the check for each person.

Sent via iPhone

Scott P. Sigman, Esq.

On Aug 2, 2021, at 6:08 PM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

Scott,
Please see the updated signed releases.

Thanks
Doug Logan

From: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Sent: Monday, August 2, 2021 11:33 AM
To: Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Cc: Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>; Cleta Mitchell
Subject: Re: Round 1 Completed Releases
Importance: High
Doug:

Please see attached for your review and signature.

-Scott

---

From: Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, August 2, 2021 11:28 AM To: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> Cc: Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>; Cleta Mitchell Thomas Datwyler

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 2, 2021, at 8:11 AM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

Yes

I will add a date for each signature line

Give me an hour

Thanks

Sent via iPhone

Scott P. Sigman, Esq.

On Aug 2, 2021, at 11:00 AM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

Scott,

I have signed all places where I am supposed to. FYI, there is no date on these documents anywhere. Shouldn’t it be dated?

Thanks,
Doug:

Attached are the completed releases for your review and signature.

The payroll numbers should be an exact match to the attached spreadsheet.

Thanks

Scott

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire

| 2016 Spruce Street | Philadelphia, PA | 19103


(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)

<Wake Cyber General Release Settlement Agreement ver2_signed.pdf>
<Wake Cyber General Release Settlement Agreement ver2 (1)_signed.pdf>
From: Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 30, 2021 6:07 PM
To: Cleta Mitchell
Cc: Scott Sigman; Thomas Datwyler; Douglas Logan
Subject: Re: Wake Payments

Heard he was not happy with it

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 30, 2021, at 2:51 PM, Cleta Mitchell wrote:

Thanks!

Cleta Mitchell, Esq.

From: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Sent: Friday, July 30, 2021 1:58 PM
To: Cleta Mitchell
Cc: Thomas Datwyler; Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>; Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: Wake Payments

W9 Attached.

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire

www.scottsigman.com
(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)

From: Cleta Mitchell
Sent: Friday, July 30, 2021 8:07 AM
To: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Cc: Thomas Datwyler; Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>; Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Wake Payments

also, Scott, will need a W9 for your law firm. If you can forward to Tom Datwyler, that will be helpful. Thanks! Cleta

Cleta Mitchell, Esq.
On Jul 29, 2021, at 9:48 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

I wanted to confirm that the wire was received.

<image001.jpg>

Sent via iPhone

Scott P. Sigman, Esq.

On Jul 29, 2021, at 3:37 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

Please see Version 2 attached.

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire

(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)

From: Scott Sigman  
Sent: Thursday, July 29, 2021 2:30 PM  
To: Cleta Mitchell; Thomas Datwyler; Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>; Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>  
Cc:  
Subject: RE: Wake Payments  
Importance: High

Attached in both MS Word and PDF is a DRAFT GENERAL RELEASE, CONFIDENTIALITY, AND
**SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT** for your review.

Please let me know your thoughts.

-Scott

---

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire

(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)

---

From: Cleta Mitchell
Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 2:18 PM
To: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>; Thomas Datwyler
Cc: Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>; Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Wake Payments

Thank you. Copying the Pres / Treas of American Voting Rights Foundation.
Tom will take care of the wire and we will work with you on anything you need from us re the documentation and followup.

Thanks! Cleta

---

On Jul 28, 2021, at 12:10 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

I will work up draft releases for your review.

Here is a brief outline of the plan:
• All funds would be held in an attorney Pennsylvania IOLTA escrow account.

• The plan would be to pay table and pod managers - being mindful that they had a cap on hours per day (12 hours) and a cap on total amount they could receive.

• The table manager or pod manager would agree that the payment would represent a full and final settlement concerning money owed to them by Wake and/or Cyber Ninjas.

• Wake would agree to credit Cyber for any amount paid against what they claim Cyber owes them.

• Wake would also agree to send 1099s for each person who signs the release and receives a check.

• Checks would only be issued after Doug approves the amount and the employee signs the release.

• Should there be any remaining funds, they would be returned.

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire

(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)
From: Cleta Mitchell  
Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 2:02 PM  
To: Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>  
Cc: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>; Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>  
Subject: Re: Wake Payments  

Yes thanks. Scott, can you prepare those releases? I’m on the road so I can’t do until Fri and we want to get $ out the door to payees before then.  

Happy to help but am tied up until later today in conference.  

Cleta Mitchell  

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2021, at 11:56 AM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

Scott,  
When you have the Escrow account setup for the payments to Wake Employees, can you please reply back with the wire instructions to Cleta?  

Per our discussion we will want to be sure that no one gets a payment out of the
account before signing a release, and of course with us having Wake officially signing off of this releasing our obligations to them as well.

Thanks,
Doug Logan

<Wake Cyber General Release Settlement Agreement ver2.DOCX>
<Wake Cyber General Release Settlement Agreement ver2.pdf>
Thanks!

Cleta Mitchell, Esq.

From: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Sent: Friday, July 30, 2021 1:58 PM
To: Cleta Mitchell <cleta@cletamitchell.com>
Cc: Thomas Datwyler; Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>; Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: Wake Payments

W9 Attached.

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire

(F*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)

From: Cleta Mitchell
Sent: Friday, July 30, 2021 8:07 AM
To: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Cc: Thomas Datwyler; Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>; Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Wake Payments

also, Scott, will need a W9 for your law firm. If you can forward to Tom Datwyler, that will be helpful.

Thanks! Cleta

Cleta Mitchell, Esq.

On Jul 29, 2021, at 9:48 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

I wanted to confirm that the wire was received.
On Jul 29, 2021, at 3:37 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

Please see Version 2 attached.
Attached in both MS Word and PDF is a DRAFT GENERAL RELEASE, CONFIDENTIALITY, AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT for your review.

Please let me know your thoughts.

-Scott

Cleta Mitchell

Thank you. Copying the Pres / Treas of American Voting Rights Foundation.
Tom will take care of the wire and we will work with you on anything you need from us re the documentation and followup.

Thanks! Cleta

Cleta Mitchell
On Jul 28, 2021, at 12:10 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

I will work up draft releases for your review.

Here is a brief outline of the plan:

- All funds would be held in an attorney Pennsylvania IOLTA escrow account.

- The plan would be to pay table and pod managers - being mindful that they had a cap on hours per day (12 hours) and a cap on total amount they could receive.

- The table manager or pod manager would agree that the payment would represent a full and final settlement concerning money owed to them by Wake and/or Cyber Ninjas.

- Wake would agree to credit Cyber for any amount paid against what they claim Cyber owes them.

- Wake would also agree to send 1099s for each person who signs the release and receives a check.

- Checks would only be issued after Doug approves the amount and the employee signs the release.

- Should there be any remaining funds, they would be returned.
Yes thanks. Scott, can you prepare those releases? I’m on the road so I can’t do until Fri and we want to get $ out the door to payees before then.

Happy to help but am tied up until later today in conference.

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2021, at 11:56 AM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

Scott,

When you have the Escrow account setup for the payments to Wake Employees, can you please reply back with the wire instructions to Cleta?

Per our discussion we will want to be sure that no one gets a payment out of the account before signing a release, and of course with us having Wake officially signing off of this releasing our obligations to them as well.

Thanks,
Doug Logan

<Wake Cyber General Release Settlement Agreement ver2.DOCX>
I am waiting for Gene from Wake to approve the release (version 2).

When/if he approves the release we would like to begin the process of paying the sub contractors.

For the first round of payments, we recommend paying the following 26 managers for a total of $207,804.

After mailing checks, we can start a second round with the remaining funds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>First Name</th>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Total Hours</th>
<th>Total O$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kaylie</td>
<td>Table Manager</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>April 25th - May 8th, May 9th - May 14th</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>$6,604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>David</td>
<td>Table Manager</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>April 21st - May 7th</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>$8,554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Taeza</td>
<td>Corral Manager</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>April 22nd - May 6th</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>$8,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jesse</td>
<td>Table Manager</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>14 Days</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>$8,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>John</td>
<td>Pod Manager</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>April 21 - May 9</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>$8,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thomas</td>
<td>Table Manager</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>April 21th - May 9th</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>$4,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aaron</td>
<td>Manager</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>April 22nd - May 8th</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diane</td>
<td>Table Manager</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>April 22nd - May 8th, April 26th - May 3rd - May 8th, May 10th - May 13th</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>$4,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>Start Date</td>
<td>End Date</td>
<td>Hours</td>
<td>Total Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heather</td>
<td>Floor Manager</td>
<td>$125.00</td>
<td>May 2- May 14</td>
<td></td>
<td>165</td>
<td>$20,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank</td>
<td>Corral Manager</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>April 18 - May 14th</td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>$4,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andre</td>
<td>Health Manager</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>April 18 - May 14th</td>
<td></td>
<td>354</td>
<td>$17,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradford</td>
<td>Table Manager</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>19-24 Apr, 26 Apr-1 May, 3-8 May</td>
<td>5/8/21 - 5/14/21</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marc</td>
<td>Pod Manager</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>April 22nd-May 13th</td>
<td></td>
<td>296</td>
<td>$13,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eddie</td>
<td>Table Manager</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>April 21 - May 15</td>
<td></td>
<td>296</td>
<td>$7,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROY</td>
<td>Table Manager</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>May 10th - May 14th</td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rick (Riccardo)</td>
<td>Photographer</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>22-Apr</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$12,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert</td>
<td>Table Manager</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrie</td>
<td>Table Manager</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>May 26, 27, 28, 29, 31</td>
<td></td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>$1,475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott</td>
<td>Pod Manager</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>April 21 - May 9</td>
<td></td>
<td>224</td>
<td>$8,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam</td>
<td>Corral Manager</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>April 22nd - May 6th</td>
<td></td>
<td>210</td>
<td>$8,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galen</td>
<td>Forensic Manager</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>April 22nd-May 8th</td>
<td></td>
<td>168</td>
<td>$6,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cecilia (CJ)</td>
<td>Aggregation Manager</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>May 8th - May 14th, 2021</td>
<td></td>
<td>65</td>
<td>$6,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimball</td>
<td>Table Manager</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>April 21 - May 9</td>
<td></td>
<td>224</td>
<td>$4,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew</td>
<td>Table Manager</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>April 22nd-May 15th</td>
<td></td>
<td>252</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>Table Manager</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>April 22nd-28th May 7th-13th</td>
<td></td>
<td>144</td>
<td>$2,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Cost:** $207,800
From: Cleta Mitchell
Sent: Friday, July 30, 2021 8:07 AM
To: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Cc: Thomas Datwyler ; Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>; Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Wake Payments

also, Scott, will need a W9 for your law firm. If you can forward to Tom Datwyler, that will be helpful.
Thanks!

Cleta Mitchell, Esq.

On Jul 29, 2021, at 9:48 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

I wanted to confirm that the wire was received.

Sent via iPhone

Scott P. Sigman, Esq.

On Jul 29, 2021, at 3:37 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

Please see Version 2 attached.
From: Scott Sigman
Sent: Thursday, July 29, 2021 2:30 PM
To: Cleta Mitchell; Thomas Datwyler
Cc: Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>; Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: Wake Payments
Importance: High

Attached in both MS Word and PDF is a DRAFT GENERAL RELEASE, CONFIDENTIALITY, AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT for your review.

Please let me know your thoughts.

-Scott

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire

scott@scottsigman.com | www.scottsigman.com

From: Cleta Mitchell
Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 2:18 PM
To: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>; Thomas Datwyler
Cc: Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>; Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Wake Payments

Thank you. Copying the Pres / Treas of American Voting Rights Foundation.
Tom will take care of the wire and we will work with you on anything you need from us re the documentation and followup.

Thanks! Cleta

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone
On Jul 28, 2021, at 12:10 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

I will work up draft releases for your review.

Here is a brief outline of the plan:

- All funds would be held in an attorney Pennsylvania IOLTA escrow account.

- The plan would be to pay table and pod managers - being mindful that they had a cap on hours per day (12 hours) and a cap on total amount they could receive.

- The table manager or pod manager would agree that the payment would represent a full and final settlement concerning money owed to them by Wake and/or Cyber Ninjas.

- Wake would agree to credit Cyber for any amount paid against what they claim Cyber owes them.

- Wake would also agree to send 1099s for each person who signs the release and receives a check.

- Checks would only be issued after Doug approves the amount and the employee signs the release.

- Should there be any remaining funds, they would be returned.

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire  
www.scottsigman.com  
(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)
Yes thanks. Scott, can you prepare those releases? I’m on the road so I can’t do until Fri and we want to get $ out the door to payees before then.

Happy to help but am tied up until later today in conference.

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2021, at 11:56 AM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

Scott,

When you have the Escrow account setup for the payments to Wake Employees, can you please reply back with the wire instructions to Cleta?

Per our discussion we will want to be sure that no one gets a payment out of the account before signing a release, and of course with us having Wake officially signing off of this releasing our obligations to them as well.

Thanks,
Doug Logan

<Wake Cyber General Release Settlement Agreement ver2.DOCX>
<Wake Cyber General Release Settlement Agreement ver2.pdf>
Thank you!

On Fri, Jul 30, 2021 at 12:58 PM Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

W9 Attached.

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire

www.scottsigman.com

(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)

also, Scott, will need a W9 for your law firm. If you can forward to Tom Datwyler, that will be helpful. Thanks! Cleta

Cleta Mitchell, Esq.
On Jul 29, 2021, at 9:48 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

I wanted to confirm that the wire was received.

---

**Pending Transaction**

**Authorized Transaction**

*Note: Debit card transaction*

**WIRE TRANSFER DEPOSIT**

07/29/21

*Merchant Services*

Sent via iPhone
On Jul 29, 2021, at 3:37 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

Please see Version 2 attached.

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire

www.scottsigman.com

(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)

From: Scott Sigman
Sent: Thursday, July 29, 2021 2:30 PM
To: Cleta Mitchell [REDACTED]; Thomas Datwyler [REDACTED]
Cc: Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>; Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: Wake Payments
Importance: High

Attached in both MS Word and PDF is a DRAFT
GENERAL RELEASE, CONFIDENTIALITY, AND
SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT for your review.
Please let me know your thoughts.

-Scott

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire
| 2016 Spruce Street | Philadelphia, PA | 19103

www.scottsigan.com

(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)

From: Cleta Mitchell
Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 2:18 PM
To: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigan.com>; Thomas Datwyler
Cc: Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>; Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Wake Payments

Thank you. Copying the Pres / Treas of American Voting Rights Foundation.

Tom will take care of the wire and we will work with you on anything you need from us re the documentation and followup.

Thanks! Cleta
On Jul 28, 2021, at 12:10 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

I will work up draft releases for your review.

Here is a brief outline of the plan:

- All funds would be held in an attorney Pennsylvania IOLTA escrow account.

- The plan would be to pay table and pod managers - being mindful that they had a cap on hours per day (12 hours) and a cap on total amount they could receive.

- The table manager or pod manager would agree that the payment would represent a full and final settlement concerning money owed to them by Wake and/or Cyber Ninjas.

- Wake would agree to credit Cyber for any amount paid against what they claim Cyber owes them.
• Wake would also agree to send 1099s for each person who signs the release and receives a check.

• Checks would only be issued after Doug approves the amount and the employee signs the release.

• Should there be any remaining funds, they would be returned.

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire

www.scottsigman.com

(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)
Yes thanks. Scott, can you prepare those releases? I’m on the road so I can’t do until Fri and we want to get $ out the door to payees before then.

Happy to help but am tied up until later today in conference.

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2021, at 11:56 AM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

Scott,

When you have the Escrow account setup for the payments to Wake Employees, can you please reply back with the wire instructions to Cleta?

Per our discussion we will want to be sure that no one gets a payment out of the account before signing a release, and of course with us having Wake officially signing off of this releasing our obligations to them as well.

Thanks,
Doug Logan

<Wake Cyber General Release Settlement Agreement ver2.DOCX>

<Wake Cyber General Release Settlement Agreement ver2.pdf>

--

[Redacted]
From: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Sent: Friday, July 30, 2021 1:58 PM
To: Cleta Mitchell
Cc: Thomas Datwyler; Douglas Logan; Randy Pullen
Subject: RE: Wake Payments
Attachments: w9 sigman law.pdf

W9 Attached.

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire

(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)

---

From: Cleta Mitchell <cleta@cletamitchell.com>
Sent: Friday, July 30, 2021 8:07 AM
To: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Cc: Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>; Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Wake Payments

also, Scott, will need a W9 for your law firm. If you can forward to Tom Datwyler, that will be helpful.
Thanks! Cleta

Cleta Mitchell, Esq.

---

On Jul 29, 2021, at 9:48 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

I wanted to confirm that the wire was received.
Please see Version 2 attached.

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire
From: Scott Sigman
Sent: Thursday, July 29, 2021 2:30 PM
To: Cleta Mitchell; Thomas Datwyler
Cc: Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>; Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: Wake Payments
Importance: High

Attached in both MS Word and PDF is a DRAFT GENERAL RELEASE, CONFIDENTIALITY, AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT for your review.

Please let me know your thoughts.

-Scott

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire

www.scottsigman.com
(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)
On Jul 28, 2021, at 12:10 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

I will work up draft releases for your review.

Here is a brief outline of the plan:

- All funds would be held in an attorney Pennsylvania IOLTA escrow account.

- The plan would be to pay table and pod managers - being mindful that they had a cap on hours per day (12 hours) and a cap on total amount they could receive.

- The table manager or pod manager would agree that the payment would represent a full and final settlement concerning money owed to them by Wake and/or Cyber Ninjas.

- Wake would agree to credit Cyber for any amount paid against what they claim Cyber owes them.

- Wake would also agree to send 1099s for each person who signs the release and receives a check.

- Checks would only be issued after Doug approves the amount and the employee signs the release.

- Should there be any remaining funds, they would be returned.
Yes thanks. Scott, can you prepare those releases? I’m on the road so I can’t do until Fri and we want to get $ out the door to payees before then.

Happy to help but am tied up until later today in conference.

Cleta Mitchell

On Jul 28, 2021, at 11:56 AM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

Scott,

When you have the Escrow account setup for the payments to Wake Employees, can you please reply back with the wire instructions to Cleta?

Per our discussion we will want to be sure that no one gets a payment out of the account before signing a release, and of course with us having Wake officially signing off of this releasing our obligations to them as well.

Thanks,
Doug Logan
From: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Sent: Friday, July 30, 2021 8:22 AM
To: Cleta Mitchell
Cc: Thomas Datwyler; Douglas Logan; Randy Pullen
Subject: Re: Wake Payments

We put the w9 requirement for each sub contractor in the release

Sent via iPhone

Scott P. Sigman, Esq.

On Jul 30, 2021, at 8:03 AM, Cleta Mitchell <cleta@cletamitchell.com> wrote:

Thank you!

I’m back at computer today and will review release and return any thoughts. You will also need to obtain a W9 from each of the individuals who receive payments.

Cleta Mitchell, Esq.

On Jul 29, 2021, at 9:48 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

I wanted to confirm that the wire was received.

<image0.jpeg>

Sent via iPhone

Scott P. Sigman, Esq.

On Jul 29, 2021, at 3:37 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

Please see Version 2 attached.
Attached in both MS Word and PDF is a DRAFT GENERAL RELEASE, CONFIDENTIALITY, AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT for your review.

Please let me know your thoughts.

-Scott
Tom will take care of the wire and we will work with you on anything you need from us re the documentation and followup.

Thanks! Cleta

Cleta Mitchell
Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2021, at 12:10 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

I will work up draft releases for your review.

Here is a brief outline of the plan:

- All funds would be held in an attorney Pennsylvania IOLTA escrow account.

- The plan would be to pay table and pod managers - being mindful that they had a cap on hours per day (12 hours) and a cap on total amount they could receive.

- The table manager or pod manager would agree that the payment would represent a full and final settlement concerning money owed to them by Wake and/or Cyber Ninjas.

- Wake would agree to credit Cyber for any amount paid against what they claim Cyber owes them.

- Wake would also agree to send 1099s for each person who
signs the release and receives a check.

- Checks would only be issued after Doug approves the amount and the employee signs the release.
- Should there be any remaining funds, they would be returned.

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire
(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)

From: Cleta Mitchell
Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 2:02 PM
To: Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>
Cc: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>; Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Wake Payments

Yes thanks. Scott, can you prepare those releases? I’m on the road so I can’t do until Fri and we want to get $ out the door to payees before then.

Happy to help but am tied up until later today in conference.

Cleta Mitchell
Sent from my iPhone
On Jul 28, 2021, at 11:56 AM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

Scott,

When you have the Escrow account setup for the payments to Wake Employees, can you please reply back with the wire instructions to Cleta?

Per our discussion we will want to be sure that no one gets a payment out of the account before signing a release, and of course with us having Wake officially signing off of this releasing our obligations to them as well.

Thanks,
Doug Logan

<Wake Cyber General Release Settlement Agreement ver2.DOCX>
<Wake Cyber General Release Settlement Agreement ver2.pdf>
From: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Sent: Friday, July 30, 2021 8:22 AM
To: Cleta Mitchell
Cc: Thomas Datwyler; Douglas Logan; Randy Pullen
Subject: Re: Wake Payments

I will send you the w9 later today

Sent via iPhone

Scott P. Sigman, Esq.

On Jul 30, 2021, at 8:06 AM, Cleta Mitchell <cleta@clratmitchell.com> wrote:

also, Scott, will need a W9 for your law firm. If you can forward to Tom Datwyler, that will be helpful. Thanks! Cleta

Cleta Mitchell, Esq.

On Jul 29, 2021, at 9:48 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

I wanted to confirm that the wire was received.

<image0.jpeg>

Sent via iPhone

Scott P. Sigman, Esq.

On Jul 29, 2021, at 3:37 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

Please see Version 2 attached.
Attached in both MS Word and PDF is a DRAFT GENERAL RELEASE, CONFIDENTIALITY, AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT for your review.

Please let me know your thoughts.

-Scott
Thanks! Cleta

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2021, at 12:10 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

I will work up draft releases for your review.

Here is a brief outline of the plan:

- All funds would be held in an attorney Pennsylvania IOLTA escrow account.

- The plan would be to pay table and pod managers - being mindful that they had a cap on hours per day (12 hours) and a cap on total amount they could receive.

- The table manager or pod manager would agree that the payment would represent a full and final settlement concerning money owed to them by Wake and/or Cyber Ninjas.

- Wake would agree to credit Cyber for any amount paid against what they claim Cyber owes them.

- Wake would also agree to send 1099s for each person who signs the release and receives a check.
• Checks would only be issued after Doug approves the amount and the employee signs the release.

• Should there be any remaining funds, they would be returned.

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire
www.scottsigman.com
(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)

From: Cleta Mitchell
Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 2:02 PM
To: Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>
Cc: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>; Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Wake Payments

Yes thanks. Scott, can you prepare those releases? I’m on the road so I can’t do until Fri and we want to get $ out the door to payees before then.

Happy to help but am tied up until later today in conference.

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone
On Jul 28, 2021, at 11:56 AM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

Scott,

When you have the Escrow account setup for the payments to Wake Employees, can you please reply back with the wire instructions to Cleta?

Per our discussion we will want to be sure that no one gets a payment out of the account before signing a release, and of course with us having Wake officially signing off of this releasing our obligations to them as well.

Thanks,
Doug Logan

<Wake Cyber General Release Settlement Agreement ver2.DOCX>
<Wake Cyber General Release Settlement Agreement ver2.pdf>
also, Scott, will need a W9 for your law firm. If you can forward to Tom Datwyler, that will be helpful. Thanks! Cleta

Cleta Mitchell, Esq.

On Jul 29, 2021, at 9:48 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

I wanted to confirm that the wire was received.
Sent via iPhone

Scott P. Sigman, Esq.

On Jul 29, 2021, at 3:37 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

Please see Version 2 attached.
Attached in both MS Word and PDF is a DRAFT GENERAL RELEASE, CONFIDENTIALITY, AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT for your review.

Please let me know your thoughts.

-Scott

---

Thank you. Copying the Pres / Treas of American Voting Rights Foundation. Tom will take care of the wire and we will work with you on anything you need from us re the documentation and followup.

Thanks! Cleta
On Jul 28, 2021, at 12:10 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

I will work up draft releases for your review.

Here is a brief outline of the plan:

- All funds would be held in an attorney Pennsylvania IOLTA escrow account.

- The plan would be to pay table and pod managers - being mindful that they had a cap on hours per day (12 hours) and a cap on total amount they could receive.

- The table manager or pod manager would agree that the payment would represent a full and final settlement concerning money owed to them by Wake and/or Cyber Ninjas.

- Wake would agree to credit Cyber for any amount paid against what they claim Cyber owes them.

- Wake would also agree to send 1099s for each person who signs the release and receives a check.

- Checks would only be issued after Doug approves the amount and the employee signs the release.

- Should there be any remaining funds, they would be returned.
Yes thanks. Scott, can you prepare those releases? I’m on the road so I can’t do until Fri and we want to get $ out the door to payees before then.

Happy to help but am tied up until later today in conference.

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2021, at 11:56 AM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

Scott,

When you have the Escrow account setup for the payments to Wake Employees, can you please reply back with the wire instructions to Cleta?

Per our discussion we will want to be sure that no one gets a payment out of the account before signing a release, and of course with us having Wake officially signing off of this releasing our obligations to them as well.

Thanks,
Doug Logan
From: Cleta Mitchell
Sent: Friday, July 30, 2021 8:04 AM
To: Scott Sigman
Cc: Thomas Datwyler; Douglas Logan; Randy Pullen
Subject: Re: Wake Payments

Thank you!

I’m back at computer today and will review release and return any thoughts. You will also need to obtain a W9 from each of the individuals who receive payments.

Cleta Mitchell, Esq.

On Jul 29, 2021, at 9:48 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

I wanted to confirm that the wire was received.
Sent via iPhone
Scott P. Sigman, Esq.

On Jul 29, 2021, at 3:37 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

Please see Version 2 attached.
Attached in both MS Word and PDF is a DRAFT GENERAL RELEASE, CONFIDENTIALITY, AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT for your review.

Please let me know your thoughts.

-Scott

Thank you. Copying the Pres / Treas of American Voting Rights Foundation.
Tom will take care of the wire and we will work with you on anything you need from us re the documentation and followup.

Thanks! Cleta
I will work up draft releases for your review.

Here is a brief outline of the plan:

- All funds would be held in an attorney Pennsylvania IOLTA escrow account.

- The plan would be to pay table and pod managers - being mindful that they had a cap on hours per day (12 hours) and a cap on total amount they could receive.

- The table manager or pod manager would agree that the payment would represent a full and final settlement concerning money owed to them by Wake and/or Cyber Ninjas.

- Wake would agree to credit Cyber for any amount paid against what they claim Cyber owes them.

- Wake would also agree to send 1099s for each person who signs the release and receives a check.

- Checks would only be issued after Doug approves the amount and the employee signs the release.

- Should there be any remaining funds, they would be returned.

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire
Yes thanks. Scott, can you prepare those releases? I’m on the road so I can’t do until Fri and we want to get $ out the door to payees before then.

Happy to help but am tied up until later today in conference.

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone

---

On Jul 28, 2021, at 11:56 AM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

Scott,

    When you have the Escrow account setup for the payments to Wake Employees, can you please reply back with the wire instructions to Cleta?

Per our discussion we will want to be sure that no one gets a payment out of the account before signing a release, and of course with us having Wake officially signing off of this releasing our obligations to them as well.

Thanks,
Doug Logan
I wanted to confirm that the wire was received.
On Jul 29, 2021, at 3:37 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

Please see Version 2 attached.

Attached in both MS Word and PDF is a DRAFT GENERAL RELEASE, CONFIDENTIALITY, AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT for your review.

Please let me know your thoughts.

-Scott

Thank you. Copying the Pres / Treas of American Voting Rights Foundation.
Tom will take care of the wire and we will work with you on anything you need from us re the documentation and followup.

Thanks! Cleta

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2021, at 12:10 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigan.com> wrote:

I will work up draft releases for your review.

Here is a brief outline of the plan:

- All funds would be held in an attorney Pennsylvania IOLTA escrow account.
- The plan would be to pay table and pod managers - being mindful that they had a cap on hours per day (12 hours) and a cap on total amount they could receive.
- The table manager or pod manager would agree that the payment would represent a full and final settlement concerning money owed to them by Wake and/or Cyber Ninjas.
- Wake would agree to credit Cyber for any amount paid against what they claim Cyber owes them.
- Wake would also agree to send 1099s for each person who signs the release and receives a check.
- Checks would only be issued after Doug approves the amount and the employee signs the release.
- Should there be any remaining funds, they would be returned.

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire
Yes thanks. Scott, can you prepare those releases? I’m on the road so I can’t do until Fri and we want to get $ out the door to payees before then.

Happy to help but am tied up until later today in conference.

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2021, at 11:56 AM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

Scott,

   When you have the Escrow account setup for the payments to Wake Employees, can you please reply back with the wire instructions to Cleta?

   Per our discussion we will want to be sure that no one gets a payment out of the account before signing a release, and of course with us having Wake officially signing off of this releasing our obligations to them as well.

   Thanks,
   Doug Logan

<Wake Cyber General Release Settlement Agreement ver2.DOCX>
<Wake Cyber General Release Settlement Agreement ver2.pdf>
Looks good to me, but then I am not a lawyer. A few mispelling

With best regards,

Randy Pullen

On Thu, Jul 29, 2021 at 11:29 AM Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

Attached in both MS Word and PDF is a DRAFT GENERAL RELEASE, CONFIDENTIALITY, AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT for your review.

Please let me know your thoughts.

-Scott

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire

(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)
Thank you. Copying the Pres / Treas of American Voting Rights Foundation.

Tom will take care of the wire and we will work with you on anything you need from us re the documentation and followup.

Thanks! Cleta

Sent from my iPhone

---

On Jul 28, 2021, at 12:10 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

I will work up draft releases for your review.

Here is a brief outline of the plan:

- All funds would be held in an attorney Pennsylvania IOLTA escrow account.

- The plan would be to pay table and pod managers - being mindful that they had a cap on hours per day (12 hours) and a cap on total amount they could receive.
• The table manager or pod manager would agree that the payment would represent a full and final settlement concerning money owed to them by Wake and/or Cyber Ninjas.

• Wake would agree to credit Cyber for any amount paid against what they claim Cyber owes them.

• Wake would also agree to send 1099s for each person who signs the release and receives a check.

• Checks would only be issued after Doug approves the amount and the employee signs the release.

• Should there be any remaining funds, they would be returned.

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire

www.scottsigman.com

(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)
Cc: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>; Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Wake Payments

Yes thanks. Scott, can you prepare those releases? I’m on the road so I can’t do until Fri and we want to get $ out the door to payees before then.

Happy to help but am tied up until later today in conference.

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2021, at 11:56 AM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

Scott,

When you have the Escrow account setup for the payments to Wake Employees, can you please reply back with the wire instructions to Cleta?

Per our discussion we will want to be sure that no one gets a payment out of the account before signing a release, and of course with us having Wake officially signing off of this releasing our obligations to them as well.

Thanks,

Doug Logan
Please see Version 2 attached.

Attached in both MS Word and PDF is a DRAFT GENERAL RELEASE, CONFIDENTIALITY, AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT for your review.

Please let me know your thoughts.

-Scott
Thank you. Copying the Pres / Treas of American Voting Rights Foundation. Tom will take care of the wire and we will work with you on anything you need from us re the documentation and followup.

Thanks! Cleta

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2021, at 12:10 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigan.com> wrote:

I will work up draft releases for your review.

Here is a brief outline of the plan:

- All funds would be held in an attorney Pennsylvania IOLTA escrow account.
- The plan would be to pay table and pod managers - being mindful that they had a cap on hours per day (12 hours) and a cap on total amount they could receive.
- The table manager or pod manager would agree that the payment would represent a full and final settlement concerning money owed to them by Wake and/or Cyber Ninjas.
- Wake would agree to credit Cyber for any amount paid against what they claim Cyber owes them.
- Wake would also agree to send 1099s for each person who signs the release and receives a check.
- Checks would only be issued after Doug approves the amount and the employee signs the release.
- Should there be any remaining funds, they would be returned.
Yes thanks. Scott, can you prepare those releases? I’m on the road so I can’t do until Fri and we want to get $ out the door to payees before then.

Happy to help but am tied up until later today in conference.

Cleta Mitchell

On Jul 28, 2021, at 11:56 AM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

Scott,

When you have the Escrow account setup for the payments to Wake Employees, can you please reply back with the wire instructions to Cleta?

Per our discussion we will want to be sure that no one gets a payment out of the account before signing a release, and of course with us having Wake officially signing off of this releasing our obligations to them as well.

Thanks,
Doug Logan
Attached in both MS Word and PDF is a DRAFT **GENERAL RELEASE, CONFIDENTIALITY, AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT** for your review.

Please let me know your thoughts.

-Scott
Here is a brief outline of the plan:

- All funds would be held in an attorney Pennsylvania IOLTA escrow account.
- The plan would be to pay table and pod managers - being mindful that they had a cap on hours per day (12 hours) and a cap on total amount they could receive.
- The table manager or pod manager would agree that the payment would represent a full and final settlement concerning money owed to them by Wake and/or Cyber Ninjas.
- Wake would agree to credit Cyber for any amount paid against what they claim Cyber owes them.
- Wake would also agree to send 1099s for each person who signs the release and receives a check.
- Checks would only be issued after Doug approves the amount and the employee signs the release.
- Should there be any remaining funds, they would be returned.

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire
(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)

From: Cleta Mitchell
Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 2:02 PM
To: Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>
Cc: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>; Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Wake Payments

Yes thanks. Scott, can you prepare those releases? I’m on the road so I can’t do until Fri and we want to get $ out the door to payees before then.

Happy to help but am tied up until later today in conference.

Cleta Mitchell
Sent from my iPhone
On Jul 28, 2021, at 11:56 AM, Douglas Logan 
<dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

Scott,

When you have the Escrow account setup for the payments to Wake Employees, can you please reply back with the wire instructions to Cleta?

Per our discussion we will want to be sure that no one gets a payment out of the account before signing a release, and of course with us having Wake officially signing off of this releasing our obligations to them as well.

Thanks,
Doug Logan
This is what I have. Pls confirm to Tom that these are correct amounts.

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2021, at 12:38 PM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

To put into writing what we discussed this morning:
- $250k should go to Scott Sigman’s Escrow account for Wake contractors.
  - Contact: Scott Sigman:
- $500k should go to CyFIR
  - Contact: Ben Cotton:
- $250k should go to StraTech.
  - Contact: Alan Gleghorn:

You should now have all of the wiring instructions for all three, but to make it easier for you; attached are the Stratech and CyFIR instructions and Scott’s instructions from his prior email are below:

Thanks,
Doug Logan
Yes thanks. Scott, can you prepare those releases? I’m on the road so I can’t do until Fri and we want to get $ out the door to payees before then.

Happy to help but am tied up until later today in conference.

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2021, at 11:56 AM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

Scott,

When you have the Escrow account setup for the payments to Wake Employees, can you please reply back with the wire instructions to Cleta?

Per our discussion we will want to be sure that no one gets a payment out of the account before signing a release, and of course with us having Wake officially signing off of this releasing our obligations to them as well.

Thanks,
Doug Logan
Randy do you concur?

If so, Tom / Ed. See below. With Randy’s concurrence, Can you pls confirm receipt and execution?

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone
Cc: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>; Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Wake Payments

Yes thanks. Scott, can you prepare those releases? I’m on the road so I can’t do until Fri and we want to get $ out the door to payees before then.

Happy to help but am tied up until later today in conference.

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2021, at 11:56 AM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

Scott,
    When you have the Escrow account setup for the payments to Wake Employees, can you please reply back with the wire instructions to Cleta?

Per our discussion we will want to be sure that no one gets a payment out of the account before signing a release, and of course with us having Wake officially signing off of this releasing our obligations to them as well.

Thanks,
Doug Logan
Thank you. Copying the Pres / Treas of American Voting Rights Foundation. Tom will take care of the wire and we will work with you on anything you need from us re the documentation and followup.

Thanks! Cleta

Cleta Mitchell
Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2021, at 12:10 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

I will work up draft releases for your review.

Here is a brief outline of the plan:

- All funds would be held in an attorney Pennsylvania IOLTA escrow account.
- The plan would be to pay table and pod managers - being mindful that they had a cap on hours per day (12 hours) and a cap on total amount they could receive.
- The table manager or pod manager would agree that the payment would represent a full and final settlement concerning money owed to them by Wake and/or Cyber Ninjas.
- Wake would agree to credit Cyber for any amount paid against what they claim Cyber owes them.
- Wake would also agree to send 1099s for each person who signs the release and receives a check.
- Checks would only be issued after Doug approves the amount and the employee signs the release.
- Should there be any remaining funds, they would be returned.
Yes thanks. Scott, can you prepare those releases? I’m on the road so I can’t do until Fri and we want to get $ out the door to payees before then.

Happy to help but am tied up until later today in conference.

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2021, at 11:56 AM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

Scott,

When you have the Escrow account setup for the payments to Wake Employees, can you please reply back with the wire instructions to Cleta?

Per our discussion we will want to be sure that no one gets a payment out of the account before signing a release, and of course with us having Wake officially signing off of this releasing our obligations to them as well.

Thanks,
Doug Logan
Got it, thanks. Do you know the amount?

I don’t have wire abilities set-up on the account yet. Working with the bank now to get that settled.

Tom
Scott,

When you have the Escrow account setup for the payments to Wake Employees, can you please reply back with the wire instructions to Cleta?

Per our discussion we will want to be sure that no one gets a payment out of the account before signing a release, and of course with us having Wake officially signing off of this releasing our obligations to them as well.

Thanks,

Doug Logan
Tom - here is first wire. Please work with Scott and Doug and Randy to get the funds sent. They will work on the releases and documentation for our records. Thanks. Cleta

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2021, at 12:12 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire

(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)

From: Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 1:56 PM
To: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Cc: Cleta Mitchell; Randy Pullen
Subject: Wake Payments

Scott,

When you have the Escrow account setup for the payments to Wake Employees, can you please reply back with the wire instructions to Cleta?

Per our discussion we will want to be sure that no one gets a payment out of the account before signing a release, and of course with us having Wake officially signing off of this releasing our obligations to them as well.

Thanks,
Doug Logan
From: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 2:12 PM
To: Douglas Logan
Cc: Cleta Mitchell; Randy Pullen
Subject: RE: Wake Payments

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire
(*)Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

From: Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 1:56 PM
To: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Cc: Cleta Mitchell; Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Subject: Wake Payments

Scott,

When you have the Escrow account setup for the payments to Wake Employees, can you please reply back with the wire instructions to Cleta?

Per our discussion we will want to be sure that no one gets a payment out of the account before signing a release, and of course with us having Wake officially signing off of this releasing our obligations to them as well.

Thanks,
Doug Logan
I will work up draft releases for your review.

Here is a brief outline of the plan:

- All funds would be held in an attorney Pennsylvania IOLTA escrow account.

- The plan would be to pay table and pod managers - being mindful that they had a cap on hours per day (12 hours) and a cap on total amount they could receive.

- The table manager or pod manager would agree that the payment would represent a full and final settlement concerning money owed to them by Wake and/or Cyber Ninjas.

- Wake would agree to credit Cyber for any amount paid against what they claim Cyber owes them.

- Wake would also agree to send 1099s for each person who signs the release and receives a check.

- Checks would only be issued after Doug approves the amount and the employee signs the release.

- Should there be any remaining funds, they would be returned.
Yes thanks. Scott, can you prepare those releases? I’m on the road so I can’t do until Fri and we want to get $ out the door to payees before then.

Happy to help but am tied up until later today in conference.

Cleta Mitchell
Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2021, at 11:56 AM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

Scott,

When you have the Escrow account setup for the payments to Wake Employees, can you please reply back with the wire instructions to Cleta?

Per our discussion we will want to be sure that no one gets a payment out of the account before signing a release, and of course with us having Wake officially signing off of this releasing our obligations to them as well.

Thanks,
Doug Logan
Yes thanks. Scott, can you prepare those releases? I’m on the road so I can’t do until Fri and we want to get $ out the door to payees before then.

Happy to help but am tied up until later today in conference.

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2021, at 11:56 AM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

Scott,

When you have the Escrow account setup for the payments to Wake Employees, can you please reply back with the wire instructions to Cleta?

Per our discussion we will want to be sure that no one gets a payment out of the account before signing a release, and of course with us having Wake officially signing off of this releasing our obligations to them as well.

Thanks,
Doug Logan
Waiting on Gene to approve the release so we can get started

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire
www.scottsigman.com
(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)

Heard he was not happy with it

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 30, 2021, at 2:51 PM, Cleta Mitchell wrote:

Thanks!

Cleta Mitchell, Esq.

W9 Attached.
From: Cleta Mitchell
Sent: Friday, July 30, 2021 8:07 AM
To: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Cc: Thomas Datwyler; Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com>; Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Wake Payments

also, Scott, will need a W9 for your law firm. If you can forward to Tom Datwyler, that will be helpful. Thanks! Cleta

Cleta Mitchell, Esq.

On Jul 29, 2021, at 9:48 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

I wanted to confirm that the wire was received.

<image001.jpg>

Sent via iPhone

Scott P. Sigman, Esq.

On Jul 29, 2021, at 3:37 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

Please see Version 2 attached.
Attached in both MS Word and PDF is a DRAFT GENERAL RELEASE, CONFIDENTIALITY, AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT for your review.

Please let me know your thoughts.

-Scott

---

**Scott P. Sigman, Esquire**

(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)*

---

Thank you. Copying the Pres / Treas of American Voting Rights Foundation.
Tom will take care of the wire and we will work with you on anything you need from us re the documentation and followup.

Thanks! Cleta
On Jul 28, 2021, at 12:10 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

I will work up draft releases for your review.

Here is a brief outline of the plan:

- All funds would be held in an attorney Pennsylvania IOLTA escrow account.

- The plan would be to pay table and pod managers - being mindful that they had a cap on hours per day (12 hours) and a cap on total amount they could receive.

- The table manager or pod manager would agree that the payment would represent a full and final settlement concerning money owed to them by Wake and/or Cyber Ninjas.

- Wake would agree to credit Cyber for any amount paid against what they claim Cyber owes them.

- Wake would also agree to send 1099s for each person who signs the release and receives a check.

- Checks would only be issued after Doug approves the
amount and the employee signs the release.

- Should there be any remaining funds, they would be returned.

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire

(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)

From: Cleta Mitchell
Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 2:02 PM
To: Douglas Logan
<dlogan@cyberninjas.com>
Cc: Scott Sigman
<scott@scottsigan.com>; Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Wake Payments

Yes thanks. Scott, can you prepare those releases? I’m on the road so I can’t do until Fri and we want to get $ out the door to payees before then.

Happy to help but am tied up until later today in conference.

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2021, at 11:56 AM, Douglas Logan
<dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

Scott,

When you have the Escrow account setup for the payments to Wake Employees, can you please reply back with the wire instructions to Cleta?

Per our discussion we will want to be sure that no one gets a payment out of the account before signing a release, and of course with us having Wake officially signing off of this releasing our obligations to them as well.

Thanks,
Doug Logan

<Wake Cyber General Release Settlement Agreement ver2.DOCX>
<Wake Cyber General Release Settlement Agreement ver2.pdf>
Gene Kern of Wake approved Version 2 of the release with one change:

WHEREAS, Wake hired **1099 Sub-Contractors** to work in a variety of management positions to include Aggregation Management, HSSE, Registration Management, Technology Management, Pod Managers, Table Mangers, Coral Managers, and Forensic Managers.

I will check with Doug on the Kern change - otherwise I have the Wake approval to get started using Version 2 of release with the one change written above.

Sent via iPhone

Scott P. Sigman, Esq.

---

On Jul 30, 2021, at 8:06 AM, Cleta Mitchell wrote:

also, Scott, will need a W9 for your law firm. If you can forward to Tom Datwyler, that will be helpful. Thanks! Cleta

Cleta Mitchell, Esq.

---

On Jul 29, 2021, at 9:48 PM, Scott Sigman wrote:

I wanted to confirm that the wire was received.
On Jul 29, 2021, at 3:37 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

Please see Version 2 attached.

Attached in both MS Word and PDF is a DRAFT GENERAL RELEASE, CONFIDENTIALITY, AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT for your review.

Please let me know your thoughts.

-Scott
Thank you. Copying the Pres / Treas of American Voting Rights Foundation.
Tom will take care of the wire and we will work with you on anything you need from us re the documentation and followup.

Thanks! Cleta

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2021, at 12:10 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

I will work up draft releases for your review.

Here is a brief outline of the plan:

• All funds would be held in an attorney Pennsylvania IOLTA escrow account.

• The plan would be to pay table and pod managers - being mindful that they had a cap on hours per day (12 hours) and a cap on total amount they could receive.

• The table manager or pod manager would agree that the
A payment would represent a full and final settlement concerning money owed to them by Wake and/or Cyber Ninjas.

- Wake would agree to credit Cyber for any amount paid against what they claim Cyber owes them.
- Wake would also agree to send 1099s for each person who signs the release and receives a check.
- Checks would only be issued after Doug approves the amount and the employee signs the release.
- Should there be any remaining funds, they would be returned.

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire

(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)

From: Cleta Mitchell
Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 2:02 PM
To: Douglas Logan
<dlogan@cyberninjas.com>
Cc: Scott Sigman
<scott@scottsigman.com>; Randy Pullen <rupllen13@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Wake Payments
Yes thanks. Scott, can you prepare those releases? I’m on the road so I can’t do until Fri and we want to get $ out the door to payees before then.

Happy to help but am tied up until later today in conference.

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2021, at 11:56 AM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

Scott,

When you have the Escrow account setup for the payments to Wake Employees, can you please reply back with the wire instructions to Cleta?

Per our discussion we will want to be sure that no one gets a payment out of the account before signing a release, and of course with us having Wake officially signing off of this releasing our obligations to them as well.

Thanks,
Doug Logan
Once again, Ken sneaking info and releasing it combined with his statements regarding Cyber Ninjas changing data, and threats to quit are driving this story. They portraying him as a hero. Welcome to the Bennett World!

On Tue, Aug 3, 2021 at 7:27 AM [.testing] wrote:


Sent from my iPad

--
With best regards,

Randy Pullen
500 to CyFir
250 to Stratech
250 for Wake to be held by the attorney until releases are signed.

With best regards,

Randy Pullen

---

On Wed, Jul 28, 2021 at 12:47 PM Cleta Mitchell wrote:
Randy and Doug. Can you pls confirm to Tom the exact amounts to be wired to each of the 3 entities.

Cleta Mitchell

---

On Jul 28, 2021, at 10:36 AM, Douglas Logan wrote:

Cleta,

Here are the wire instructions for CyFir and StraTech. Randy and I still need to sit down and determine the amounts.

I don’t think we’re doing anything to Wake right now.

Thanks,

Doug Logan
Randy and Doug. Can you pls confirm to Tom the exact amounts to be wired to each of the 3 entities.

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2021, at 10:36 AM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:

Cleta,

Here are the wire instructions for CyFir and StraTech. Randy and I still need to sit down and determine the amounts.

I don’t think we’re doing anything to Wake right now.

Thanks,
Doug Logan
Update.

Just heard that the bank President wanted to review the release of funds. So he held up the wires until tomorrow. Sorry about that. Will keep you posted. Hopefully they will go out first thing in the morning. Or we will find a new bank.

Thanks. Cleta

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2021, at 2:30 PM, Randy Pullen <rpullen13@gmail.com> wrote:

500 to CyFir
250 to Stratech
250 for Wake to be held by the attorney until releases are signed.

With best regards,

Randy Pullen

On Wed, Jul 28, 2021 at 12:47 PM Cleta Mitchell <cleta.mitchell@acme.com> wrote:

Randy and Doug. Can you pls confirm to Tom the exact amounts to be wired to each of the 3 entities.

Cleta Mitchell

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2021, at 10:36 AM, Douglas Logan <dlogan@cyberninjas.com> wrote:
Cleta,

Here are the wire instructions for CyFir and StraTech. Randy and I still need to sit down and determine the amounts.

I don’t think we’re doing anything to Wake right now.

Thanks,

Doug Logan
Good afternoon Mr. Geisler:

I hope all is well with you. Back in March, I had sent you a link to a website put up by Liz [redacted] and Kirsten [redacted] (Earl [redacted]) which had recordings of Scott Koch as well as a witness affidavit from a man named Steve Daniels. That information has since been taken down, but at the time was available on the site.

Prior to the election, I did not know any of the following individuals discussed below with the exception of Tory Smock and Andy Biggs.

I learned about the South Korean plane after a member of the Trump campaign in PA (Brandon) told me that several men had been out at Sky Harbor airstrip acting on a tip they received and it was a strange coincidence in light of my Seattle whistleblower.

Brandon had reached out to me because he heard I was trying to help a friend of a friend who worked as a supervisor at a Seattle FedEx facility and wanted to report suspicious ballots coming through her facility to authorities and I was trying to help her reach the FBI or other authorities. Brandon said that they had a tip about a plane in Phoenix that allegedly had illegal ballots and went or was going to Seattle. Brandon then gave me information about the individuals who had gone out to Sky Harbor and I reached out via Facebook to one of them; Marko Trickovic.

The individuals who were allegedly at Sky Harbor were Marko [redacted], Josh [redacted], Ryan [redacted], who worked with Matt [redacted] and Project Veritas as a Facebook Whistleblower [redacted], Paul Van Flien, Congressman Gosar’s Chief of Staff, Christine Ong Arizona National GOP delegate and poll watch recruiter, and a flight attendant Sarah Roork. While out on the airstrip, Josh Barnett reached out to John [redacted], a well-connected individual of the Trump team who knew Roger Stone, Paul Teller (Pence Chief of Staff), Bernie Kerik, Steve Bannon, Joe Flynn, Tony Shaffer, Tim Foley, Eddie Gallagher, Craig Sawyer, etc. Shattuck reached out to me and put me in touch with several of these individuals after I recorded the Koch confession.

Ryan [redacted] posted information about his involvement here (note I was not at the airstrip with the plane and these individuals would have any information about the plane as direct witnesses);

https://ryanhartwig.org/korean_air_part_1/
Gail [redacted] and attorney Susan [redacted] interviewed Ryan Hartwig and Josh Barnett on a YouTube video here;

**The Planes, The Ballots & Eyewitness Accounts**

After reaching out to Marko [redacted], [redacted] sent me video of the plane and tail number (HL8230). Marko knew a woman named Sommer [redacted] and told her about the plane. Sommer approached a friend of mine at a rally that someone told her knew me and told my friend Tory [redacted], that I was in serious danger because of having video of the plane and information about a whistleblower. Tolley got on Smock's phone with me and offered to come over to my house to provide security because I lived alone and said she had gone to law school and could help me study. I took her number and the following day after talking to her for a bit, invited her over to help me study. Once at my home Tolley said she knew a man named Scott Koch who worked for the Department of Defense. She said he knew about the plane somehow and she hadn't told him. She said he could come over and talk to me about the plane. We got him on the phone and he said he wanted to only talk in person and said he would come by later that night.

Once at my home, Scott [redacted] said he had his agents worked as security for a plane that unloaded ballots favoring Biden and sent them to Las Vegas via FedEx and took others to the Maricopa County Election Center. I believed at the time that Koch made these admissions because he thought I already had video recording of him and his guys out on the airstrip and a whistleblower at the Phoenix FedEx, where he claimed they took the ballots. I did not have video of the unloading (which allegedly occurred on November 5th) or a Phoenix whistleblower. I had video of the tail number and allegedly Josh Barnett has video of things loaded onto the plane before it took off.

The short excerpt version of those admissions can be listened to here;
Koch stated that the plane in which these ballots were allegedly unloaded, was in the care of the Arizona Air National Guard and confirmed he was a direct DOD employee and also did contract work. I spoke with House Speaker Rusty Bowers about this prior to certification of the election and gave him the excerpt recording. He said that he would notify your office of the allegation.
After a couple of weeks, Marko called and said he found a witness that had seen Scott [REDACTED] and his boss Shawn [REDACTED] behind the secure line at the election center. Prior to learning about this witness, Shattuck told me that Wilson was going to fire Koch for using his companies name and I asked if I could clarify that his companies name did not come up in my conversation with Koch. I recorded the conversation with Wilson and in that conversation, he reiterated that Koch was a credible man, did have DOD clearance and runway access and there was "nothing" he could refute that Koch said on the audio.

Around this time, I spoke with Congressman Andy Biggs who told me that the audio recording contained a "statement against interest," which under legal principles is admissible in Court to prove the truth of the matter. Under Rule 804(b)(3) - Statement Against Interest 804(b)(3) is "The circumstantial guaranty of reliability for declarations against interest is the assumption that persons do not make statements which are damaging to themselves unless satisfied for good reason that they are true."

A few months later after hearing that your office denied being aware of the plane, I spoke again with House Speaker Rusty Bowers and asked if he had reported it to your office as he said he would. He said he had instead spoken with House Representative Travis Grantham who oversees the airstrip and signs off on all planes on that strip and that Grantham told him it was not possible that illegal ballots could have been unloaded because that would require a number of people to have been involved to pull that off.

I was also later told by others that after my election challenge case had been filed and gone to the Supreme Court, that Koch learned I had recorded our conversation, listened to the recording, and recanted his statements. Wilson also told me I didn't have the tail number of the actual plane Koch was involved with and so I couldn't prove anything.

In January, Liz [REDACTED] reached out and said she had been canvassing voters and that Earl [REDACTED] was one of her top canvassers with his friend Steve. She said they had a great deal of data that she believed would help and support my Supreme Court case and asked if we could meet. I met with Harris and incorporated her data and information into my U.S. Supreme Court petition for cert filing. While dropping off that filing downtown with Kirsten [REDACTED], she asked if I had been to the election center and I had not. We had heard from the news that the ballots were on a truck in the parking lot. We pulled up to the election center and the warehouse door was open and no one was around. I took a video of what appeared to be voter's ballots on pallets that were unsecured and posted it to Facebook. I was later told they were registrations but the boxes look the same.

Once back at Kirsten's dad's house (canvasser Earl [REDACTED]), we showed him the video and photos and after seeing the dumpsters in the photos, he was convinced the County was shredding ballots. The following morning Shafer insisted on returning to the election center. I said I would go with him as I was curious as well. Earl asked his friend Steve who had also been canvassing to come along as well. From the dumpster on that following day March 6, 2021, a yellow bag of shredded ballots and election material was
retrieved from the dumpster. Surveillance video from the County shows the bag was put in the dumpster by a County employee on March 5, 2021, at approximately 10:44 am.

Liz has discussed the dumpster diving and shredded ballots on a number of her podcasts, but on this one in particular she interviewed Earl and Steve about the "dumpster diving" incident; https://fb.watch/6sQpy0lu8I/

As stated in the previous email, a man by the name of James, secured and still has possession of the shredded ballots and material from the dumpster and you can contact him to obtain it.

As time passed, I learned how connected the individuals involved are and many knew each other and interacted often prior to the election. I also discovered a network of financial ties and exchanges as well between the individuals involved and Sidney Powell, Mike Flynn, Patrick Byrne, Mike Lindell, and others. I did not accept any kind of financial offers from this network, although after speaking with Mike Flynn and Sidney Powell directly and being told I was in danger, I did accept security and had 3-4 guys at a time for 3-4 days at a time rotating out for approximately 6-7 weeks. These men were top-level law enforcement and military guys (I checked credentials) from the First Amendment Praetorian team. These men also provided direct security for Flynn, Powell, Byrne, Ali Alexander, Tracy Beanz, Sebastian Gorka, etc., and still provide security for several of them directly and for events.

The Flynn and Powell team (through and the 1AP team) asked a number of times for my iPhone, hard drive, and flash drives for data because allegedly Marko Trickovic told them my devices contained "dirt" on Arizona politicians. I told them I needed a subpoena or warrant to give them my iPhone as it contained HIPPA data of my former patients. In the end, two members of the security team stole my iPhone 11 and flash drives and they turned up at the Willard Hotel around January 6th where Powell, the Flynns, and their team along with Roger Stone were staying.

Based on the things I learned over time and after the fact, from the security team who shared confidential things with me given their observations and learning the financial ties and relationships of those involved (including learning that prior to the election even Liz Harris had also been to Mar-A-Lago and had ties with those involved along with several individuals out on the airstrip with the South Korean plane), in conjunction with the behavior of several involved of individuals after the fact, and finding out there were a number of lies that had been told, and based on outside reports, I have had doubts and questions about the underlying network and financial motives of those behind the events described.

At this time I need to focus on other more important things in my life. I hope the information provided allows you to conduct an investigation into the matter... or not. It is
up to you to investigate the information I have provided or not. At this point, it does not matter to me anymore if an investigation occurs or not.

I have provided contact information for all of the individuals named and am willing to answer any further questions you may have. Otherwise, I will assume you do not need anything further from me.

Thank you for your time and understanding.

Regards,

Staci Burk

From: Geisler, Roger <Roger.Geisler@azag.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 29, 2021 2:47 PM
To: David
Cc: 'dlogan@cyberninjas.com'; 'diw@wb-law.com'; 'kfann@azleg.gov'; 'wpeterson@azleg.gov'; 'ktownsend@azleg.gov'; 'mugenti-rita@azleg.gov'; 'vleach@azleg.gov'; 'dlivingston@azleg.gov'; 'dgowan@azleg.gov'; 'vsteele@azleg.gov'; 'mquezada@azleg.gov'
Subject: FW: Arizona Audit

Mr. Black,

I understand that you represent Staci Burk. Please see below for this office’s position with respect to Ms. Burk’s statements about the alleged shredded ballots. Please provide this email information to your client.

Please be advised that, consistent with our longstanding and ongoing conversations with your client prior to your representation, if Ms. Burk has documents, materials, information, or anything that she believes to be evidence of a crime, she is always welcome to provide that material to this agency. If I receive such material from Ms. Burk or anyone associated with her, our office will gladly review, investigate, and safeguard that material consistent with our policies and procedures about any such evidence in any case. If she wishes to provide our office an interview, with you present of course, I am more than willing to conduct that interview.

I appreciate your client forwarding to our office the email below that discusses providing information about shredded ballot material and expressing her willingness to “do whatever is necessary to provide the shredded materials upon formal request.” It is encouraging to read in her email that “should the Senate or AGs office want the [shredded ballot material] at any point, Curtis and [she] stand willing to do whatever is necessary to provide the shredded materials upon formal request.” As I have repeatedly stated in emails to Ms. Burk over the last several months, our office stands ready to meet with Ms. Burk, and you as her attorney, about her allegations related to ballots, and I ask that your client send us any information that would help us to investigate her claims (including shredded ballot materials).
Specific to allegations of shredded ballots, as soon as our office learned that Mr. Earl Shafer may be in possession of shredded ballot evidence, beginning on Sunday, March 7, 2021, our agents attempted multiple times to contact Mr. Shafer and interview him, even leaving their contact information at his residence. To this date, our agents have been unsuccessful in their attempted contacts with Mr. Shafer or anyone else purporting to have knowledge of the shredded ballots.

To briefly recap, Ms. Burk and I exchanged several emails beginning March 30, 2021 concerning her allegations of ballots being flown into Sky Harbor Airport from South Korea. I asked that we meet to discuss her allegations, and I also asked that she send me any information that would help our office to investigate her information. As I noted in a subsequent email on April 23, 2021, Ms. Burk’s hospitalization outside of Arizona complicated setting up a meeting, and although I let her know that I stood ready to meet her at the hospital, we were unable to arrange for that meeting to take place. Additionally, to date she has not provided any proof or evidence related to her claims despite our office explicitly asking that she provide such information. Then on June 3, 2021, she emailed our office stating that she “no longer [believes] there was election fraud with the South Korean plane,” and that she “[believes she] was lied to and duped by a network of people…with financial and ulterior motives as well as a specific plan and agenda.”

As Attorney General Brnovich has repeatedly said publicly, if anyone possesses evidence or has knowledge of voter fraud or criminal election activity, it is incumbent on them to provide that information to our office. Our office remains committed to that statement and continues to stand ready to accept any information your client may have related to her election fraud claims.

Mr. Black, please know that this information is also being sent to the persons to whom she sent her June 27, 2021 9:58 a.m. email, so that those persons may be made aware that this office stands ready to accept any such information or evidence and investigate it as appropriate.

Thank you.

From: Staci Griffin-Burk [mailto:stacigriffinburk@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 27, 2021 10:18 AM
To: Brnovich, Mark; Geisler, Roger
Subject: Fw: Arizona Audit

----- Forwarded Message -----
From: Staci Griffin-Burk
To: dlogan@cyberninjas.com ; diw@wb-law.com ; kfann@azleg.gov ; wpeterson@azleg.gov ; Kelly Townsend ; Michelle Ugenti-Rita ; Vince Leach ; dlivingston@azleg.gov ; dgowan@azleg.gov
Cc: vsteele@azleg.gov ; Martin Quezada
Sent: Sunday, June 27, 2021, 09:58:55 AM MST
Subject: Arizona Audit

Good morning:
I hope all is well with you all. It has been rumored that the shredded material retrieved from the dumpster behind the election center has been destroyed. That is not true. While the ballot remnants and other documents were shredded and destroyed by the County, the contents of the bag retrieved have been preserved to the best of my ability and chain of custody has been maintained since discovery.

When Earl Shafer, Steve, and I found the bag in the dumpster at the election center, a man named James Curtis was on speakerphone at the time. Once we retrieved the bag and returned to Earls house, that evening we spoke with James Curtis on speakerphone again. We agreed that the remnants should go to Mr. Curtis (a fellow Marine), to preserve them until we could decide who was the best and most appropriate authority was to turn them over to.

Shafer provided the bag to the man who transferred it to Curtis for safekeeping as previously agreed upon. Curtis signed an affidavit (attached) regarding his securing the bag and its contents as evidence for my U.S. Supreme Court case. Curtis sealed the box using security protocol and that has been maintained.

Curtis has been willing to provide the shredded ballots and election documents to the U.S. Supreme Court for my case or to the Arizona Senate and/or audit should there be a formal request. To date, no formal request for the shredded ballots or election materials has been made by any Government authority.

I did receive a call from Sonny Borelli the following day (which was recorded by Earls daughter Kirsten Shafer who was also on the call), advising that I might be killed by “high level” people who wanted the whole thing to go away and that if we gave the materials to the AG, they would “evaporate.”

Also in March, Liz Harris and Kirsten Shafer (Earls daughter) requested return of the shredded material on behalf of Earl to provide the materials to Mike Lindell at an OANN press conference which they had scheduled for 6pm that day. They were not provided to them at that time. However, a fundraiser was pushed out which raised 28K for Earl Shafer using photos of the shredded ballots.

About five weeks ago, a man who provided security for General Flynn (Mike Kinney aka “Coyote”) reached out offering that Flynn, Powell, and Byrne could push out a fundraiser for me in their networks and that 400K was a “doable” amount if I would agree to give them the shredded ballots. Curtis and I did not take them up on the offer and sent a message to Kinneys supervisor Robert Patrick Lewis (a known associate of Flynn and head of security team), that the shredded ballots were not for sale.

None of these requests represent appropriate formal government requests and thus have been denied. In our opinion had they been granted, it would have compromised the shredded material.

As you can see from the still shot photo attached taken from the surveillance video at MCTEC, the County employee tossed the shredded ballots, registrations, and election related materials
into the dumpster on 3/5/21 at 10:44am, and entered the building afterward (see attached photo time stamped 3/5/21 at 10:46am.

Pursuant to U.S.C 52 § 20701 Retention and preservation of records and papers by officers of elections; deposit with custodian; penalty for violation.

“Every officer of election shall retain and preserve, for a period of twenty-two months from the date of any general, special, or primary election of which candidates for the office of President, Vice President, presidential elector. . . all records and papers which come into his possession relating to any application, registration, payment of poll tax, or other act requisite to voting in such election.”

52 U.S. Code § 20701 - Retention and preservation of records and papers by officers of elections; deposit with custodian; penalty for violation

As you can see from the attached photos, the shredded documents were related to the 2020 general election and included registration forms and death certificates.

To be clear, should the Senate or AGs office want the safeguarded material at any point, Curtis and I stand willing to do whatever is necessary to provide the shredded materials upon formal request.

Thank you for your time and understanding.

Best regards,

Staci Burk
James Curtis
(269) 967-3129
My response to Gene is below.

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire
www.scottsigman.com
(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)

1. The funds are being held in my Pennsylvania Attorney IOLTA Escrow Account and will be distributed by paper check sent via first class mail as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
2. The first round of distributions is to 26 managers on the attached sheet.
3. Once you approve the attached, I will send each person an individual Settlement Agreement.
4. Once the Settlement Agreement is Executed, I will distribute the funds as set forth in the Settlement Agreement:
   a. The **1099 Sub-Contractor** will review and sign this **Agreement** and send to the Escrow Agent;
   b. The **1099 Sub-Contractor** will send a completed and signed IRS W9 form and send to the Escrow Agent;
   c. Upon receipt of the executed **Agreement** and W9, the 1099 Sub-Contractor will receive $___________ as full and final payment of the Wake payroll obligation by a check mailed via USPS First Class Mail to the **1099 Sub-Contractor** at an address provided by the **1099 Sub-Contractor** within two (2) weeks of executing this Agreement;
d. Wake will issue a 1099 to the **1099 Sub-Contractor** on or before January 31, 2022;

e. **Wake** will credit **Cyber** for the amount paid to the **1099 Sub-Contractor** for any outstanding obligation Wake claims it is owed by **Cyber**.

After we finish round 1, we can discuss who you would like to pay on round 2.

Doug, the funder, and the Managers are all anxious to resolve the outstanding payroll. After these 26 managers are resolved, we can move forward with round 2.

---

**Scott P. Sigman, Esquire**

[www.scottsigman.com](http://www.scottsigman.com)  
(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania*)

---

**From:** Gene Kern

**Sent:** Friday, July 30, 2021 6:35 PM

**To:** Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>

**Cc:** Chris Witt

**Subject:** Re: Wake Cyber Agreement

Who holds the escrow account? How are the funds being distributed?

Respectfully,

Gene Kern
EVP

---

On Jul 30, 2021, at 2:24 PM, Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com> wrote:

Gene:
Doug will not agree to your change.

For purposes of this release and the money being held in escrow, Doug intends to pay the table and pod managers.

Doug will continue to discuss all other financial obligations, however he wants to get the bulk of the sub contractors paid.

Please confirm that you will accept version 2 of the contract and we will begin the process to complete individual releases with amounts for you and Doug to review.

Once the individual releases are approved, then I will send them to each sub contractor.

Once executed, I will send a check from the escrow account to each sub contractor via First class US Mail.

Let me know,

Scott

Scott P. Sigman, Esquire
| 2016 Spruce Street | Philadelphia, PA | 19103
(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)

From: Gene Kern
Sent: Thursday, July 29, 2021 9:54 PM
To: Scott Sigman <scott@scottsigman.com>
Cc: Chris Witt
Subject: Re: Wake Cyber Agreement
Importance: High

Scott

Please see the changes about the positions.
Respectfully,

Gene Kern
EVP

On Jul 29, 2021, at 3:37 PM, Scott Sigman wrote:

Please see version 2 attached.

Gene and Chris:

Attached in both MS Word and PDF is a DRAFT GENERAL RELEASE, CONFIDENTIALITY, AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT for your review.

Please let me know your thoughts.

-Scott
(*Admitted to the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania)


<Wake Cyber General Release Settlement Agreement ver2.pdf>
What did the Cyber Ninjas find? Sen. Fann, release their work now


Sent from my iPad
THIS IS NOT A FAKE LAWSUIT
Dominion Voting Systems filed three defamation lawsuits today – against One American News Network (LINK), Newsmax (LINK) and ex-Overstock CEO Patrick Byrne (LINK), seeking more than $1.6 billion in damages in each. Also named as defendants in the OANN suit are anchors Christina Bobb and Chanel Rion. In a statement, Dominion CEO John Poulos argued that the defendants “recklessly disregarded the truth when they spread lies in November and continue to do so today” and that they “show no remorse, nor any sign they intend to stop spreading disinformation.” Poulos said the defendants’ actions caused “severe damage” to his company, employees and customers. The lawsuits say that since the start of the “viral disinformation campaign” about Dominion and the 2020 election, Dominion has spent more than $600,000 on private security for protection of its employees and more than $700,000 on efforts to “mitigate the harm to its business.” In listing what it considers to be threatening communications, the suits note an Aug. 2 Rogers tweet: “I would like to know if we have enough solitary confinement cells in Arizona available for the entire Maricopa Board of Supervisors and the execs at the fraud machine company. We are going to need a lot” (LINK). The lawsuits against OANN and Newsmax say the pro-Trump outlets “helped create and cultivate an alternate reality where up is down, pigs have wings, and Dominion engaged in a colossal fraud to steal the presidency from Donald Trump by rigging the vote.” The company blames the networks for the decision of legislators in at least six states to review or plan to review its Dominion contracts, as well as Louisiana’s cancellation of its “intent to award” offer to Dominion for future elections. “They have done so because of pressure from constituents and donors as a direct result of the lies peddled by Newsmax,” the Newsmax suit stated. Dominion also points to the alleged disinformation campaign as reason for the loss of 53 potential contracts, with elections officials telling the company it is losing their business “because of the ‘Dominion’ name.” The new suits add to a growing list of defamation lawsuits from Dominion following the 2020 election. The company previously sued Trump attorney Sidney Powell (LINK), Rudy Giuliani (LINK), MyPillow CEO Mike Lindell (LINK) and Fox News (LINK).

THIS FUNDRAISING WILL GO OANN AND OANN
The latest Dominion defamation lawsuits repeatedly reference the Arizona Senate’s review of Maricopa County ballots from the 2020 November election. “Arizona is currently in the midst of a sham audit propagated by people who have bought into the lies pushed by Newsmax in its race to the bottom with Fox and OAN,” the Newmax suit says. The OAN suit notes that the network fundraised for the Arizona audit – “Voices and Votes” headed by Bobb contributed $605,000 – and was granted exclusive broadcasting rights. “By participating publicly in fundraising for and facilitating this sham audit, OAN has gone where no news outlet has gone before: playing a direct role as a principal in the story it is ostensibly covering, in order to generate more material – more lies – to broadcast,” the complaint says, adding that OAN repeatedly refused Dominion’s eight written demands for retractions and corrections between Dec. 18, 2020 and June 18. Another $3.25 million for the audit came from The America Project, set up by Byrne (LINK). The Byrne complaint says he and his collaborators wanted to find someone “with zero experience in election security who has already committed to the preconceived narrative that the election was stolen, and pay them to manufacture evidence to support that conclusion” in Arizona and “found exactly what they were looking for” with Doug Logan and Cyber Ninjas (YS, 3/30). Byrne deployed a similar strategy, Dominion’s attorneys say, in supporting a disputed report in Antrim County, Michigan (LINK).