

**ENTERED**

September 08, 2021

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

KRISTINA D. DEGELO,

Plaintiff,

VS.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF  
THE INTERIOR, *et al*,

Defendants.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:21-CV-114

**ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION  
TO DISMISS CASE FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE**


On August 3, 2021, United States Magistrate Judge Julie K. Hampton issued her “Memorandum and Recommendation to Dismiss Case for Failure to Prosecute” (M&R, D.E. 6). Plaintiff was provided proper notice of, and opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge’s M&R. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); General Order No. 2002-13. No objections have been timely filed.

When no timely objection to a magistrate judge’s M&R is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge’s M&R. *Guillory v. PPG Industries, Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing *Douglass v. United Services Auto Ass’n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)).

Having reviewed the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge’s M&R (D.E. 6), and all other relevant documents in the record, and finding no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** as its own the findings and conclusions of the

Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, this action is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for failure to prosecute.

ORDERED this 8th day of September, 2021.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
NELVA GONZALES RAMOS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE