UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

PEOPLE FOR THE ETHICAL TREATMENT OF ANIMALS, INC., et al.,)))
Defendants and Counterclaim Plaintiffs,))
v.) No. 4:16-CV-2163-CDP
TONIA HADDIX,)) July 14, 2021
Counterclaim Defendant.)

IN-COURT PROCEEDING BEFORE THE HONORABLE CATHERINE D. PERRY UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES

FOR DEFENDANTS Jared S. Goodman, Esq. AND COUNTERCLAIM PETA Foundation 2154 W. Sunset Blvd. PLAINTIFFS:

Los Angeles, CA 90026

(323)210-2266

James P. Martin, Esq.

Polsinelli, PC

100 S. Fourth, Suite 1000

St. Louis, MO 63102

(314)231-1776

FOR COUNTERCLAIM John M. Pierce (by telephone)

Pierce Bainbridge, P.C. DEFENDANT:

355 S. Grand Avenue, 44th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90071

REPORTED BY: CARLA M. KLAUSTERMEIER, RMR, CRR, CSR, CRC, CCR

Official Court Reporter

United States District Court

111 South Tenth Street

St. Louis, MO 63102 | (314)244-7984

PRODUCED BY COURT REPORTER COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

(Proceedings convened in open court at 10:05 a.m.)

THE COURT: All right. Good morning. We are here in the case of Missouri Primate, et al. v. People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals. The parties before me are PETA and Tonia Haddix. This is Case No. 4:16-CV-2163 -- that is, the parties on this motion.

So, I would ask -- first, I would ask, first of all, would counsel for PETA please introduce yourselves for the record. Announce yourself.

MR. GOODMAN: Good morning, Your Honor. It's Jared Goodman and Jim Martin.

THE COURT: Jared Goodman and Jim Martin. All right. And I see Ms. Haddix is here in person. And I believe we have a lawyer on the line. Would you state your name for the record, please?

MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. This is John Pierce, P-I-E-R-C-E. My motion for pro hac vice admission is pending currently.

THE COURT: It is. So that is pending and I also saw you filed a motion for a continuance.

MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Goodman, just briefly, before we take up anything else, tell me your position on the request for a continuance.

MR. GOODMAN: We request that it be denied.

1 There's --2 THE COURT: I think I'm going to have a better time 3 hearing you if you step up to the lectern. 4 MR. GOODMAN: Absolutely, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Sorry. MR. GOODMAN: We ask that the motion be denied. 6 7 There's simply no basis for a continuance at this stage. 8 Ms. Haddix has indisputably violated the Court's orders and 9 unequivocally expressed her intention to continue to do so. 10 As this Court has heard many times, her deadline to 11 meet her final obligations have long since passed. Her 12 initial deadline of March 17th, her extended deadline of 13 April 26th, and here we are now, here at least three months later, where she's requesting a continuance yet again on the 14 15 morning of the hearing when Your Honor previously declined a 16 motion for a continuance for that very reason. 17 THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Pierce? 18 MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. 19 Tell me what you want to tell me about THE COURT: 20 why you -- I mean, everything Mr. Goodman says is correct. 21 You're coming in at the last moment. I haven't yet granted 22 your motion for pro hac vice because I do have some questions

MR. PIERCE: Okay.

about that as well.

23

24

25

THE COURT: But just tell me why you think a

continuance at this time -- I mean, we aren't starting over.

Or it sounds from your motion like you think maybe we are starting over.

MR. PIERCE: Well, Your Honor, of course I just got retained literally probably about 24 to 36 hours ago and, you know, of course we're trying to get our mind and hands wrapped around, you know, a very extensive file and what's going on. I do understand that the Court, you know, requested or directed or advised Ms. Haddix to retain counsel quite some time ago and, as set forth in her declaration, she did undergo a very vigorous attempt to find attorneys.

You know, talking to 85 or so and, you know, finally, just literally in the last day or two, you know, found me. And I'm happy to try to get involved in the case if the Court will grant my pro hac vice motion and happy to start working very, you know, directly and quickly with PETA to try to find, you know, a reasonable outcome to this that will ensure the safety of the chimps.

I think that the key thing that we're concerned about, as set forth in Dr. Talbot's declaration, is that these chimps have not been, so far, as Dr. Talbot testifies, been socialized to the extent that they will be able to, you know, defend themselves or do so effectively at a center, like for the Center for Great Apes that PETA has. We're very concerned for the safety of the chimps if they're sent there.

And, you know, Ms. Haddix -- and I do not know the history as of yet in terms of compliance or non-compliance with the Consent Decree, but it does appear, from what I see so far, that she has worked very, very hard to, you know, construct, you know, this nice shelter which is apparently done now. She's working on, you know, this Primadome that she was not able to finish in the winter because of her submissions. I think she had a contractor, paid the fees. Then she secured funding, and she, of course, didn't want to expend the funding if there was going to be, you know, a serious chance that the chimps would be taken anyway. But now she has that funding and she's in a position where she can construct that and she can make sure, you know, that these chimps are taken care of.

I do want to also note -- and again, please forgive me for not being terribly familiar with the history of the case -- but I think there was some suggestion at some point that there was a chimp named Tonka that had passed away and there's some suggestion that she was not -- that that's not accurate. But, you know, it does appear from Dr. Talbot's declaration that was, in fact, accurate.

So, basically, Your Honor, all we're really asking for is a little bit of time so that we can work with PETA and I intend to do that in a very amicable way just to make sure.

And the bottom -- you know, the bottom line that I think

everybody is concerned about here is to make sure that the chimps are safe. I know that that is Ms. Haddix' primary concern. It's certainly going to be my primary concern.

And I would just ask for a brief continuance so we can wrap our minds around the record and start trying to work with PETA in an amicable way to just make sure that the chimps are in the best possible situation. So that kind of sums it it up from a 10,000 foot, Your Honor, but I'd be happy to try to answer any other questions.

THE COURT: Well, I mean, the -- from what you've said, I think you understand that your client signed a Consent Decree almost a year ago now -- well, ten months -- agreeing to do certain things within six months and has completely failed to do that. You do understand that's the current -- and I've held her in contempt already. You do understand that's the current status of the case.

And so, your talks about we're going to do something need to be -- you would have to address those issues. And I don't know what PETA's position is on that, but you seem to think that it's just -- I mean, Ms. Haddix, throughout, has seemed to think that she can continue negotiating whenever she came to court. But, you know, she's way past her obligations on the agreement that she, herself, agreed to, and that she, herself, had told me she wished to represent herself on in this matter.

So, you know, I urged her to get a lawyer, but I certainly -- she has the right to represent herself and she told me repeatedly that she was choosing to do that. So, what are you telling me about the Consent Decree? Are you telling me that, oh, you think you'll just negotiate with PETA but maybe -- I mean, what, you think they're going to agree to just do away with it?

MR. PIERCE: I'm not necessarily suggesting that,
Your Honor. I'm just suggesting that, you know, as a lawyer
and an officer of the court, literally, and I can't
overemphasize this, Your Honor, just coming into this hearing
kind of on the spot, you know, I will work with Ms. Haddix and
I will work with PETA to ensure that, you know, the provisions
of the Consent Decree are met and/or cured.

And I understand that there may be -- and again, I just don't know this for sure, but I'm taking Your Honor at her word, of course, that there are violations that have occurred and, you know, all I can say at this point is that, as an officer of the court, I will work, you know, night and day with Ms. Haddix and PETA to ensure that we cure those as best as possible and make sure that we get into compliance with that as -- you know, despite the fact that it may be late. And, again, I just don't know that personally firsthand, but I take Your Honor's word for it. That's kind of all I can say on that at this point.

But I'm not suggesting that I'm coming in trying to negotiate anything that the Court has ordered, that PETA, you know, has agreed and Ms. Haddix has agreed to. I'm just coming in as a lawyer at the last second, you know, with the concerns of the chimps in mind actually and just trying to see if we can come up with a situation that doesn't send them to an unsafe environment, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And so let's talk about this officer of the court business because I have not yet granted your motion for pro hac vice, although, obviously, I've allowed you to appear by telephone here this morning --

MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- so we can talk about it.

But you filed an affidavit saying that you're in a certificate of good standing with the California bar. Does your -- are you telling me that there are no disciplinary proceedings or motions for sanctions pending related to your conduct anywhere in the country at this time?

MR. PIERCE: There is a letter of inquiry that is -THE COURT: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. A letter of

what?

MR. PIERCE: There is no -- so, as far as I understand the technical meaning of these things, there is no disciplinary action or sanctions anywhere in the country in any court. There is a letter of inquiry that is pending with

the California State Bar with respect to one matter that, you know, I have retained counsel and we are in the process of responding to. But insofar as I understand the meanings of, you know, discipline or sanctions, that does not constitute discipline or sanctions whatsoever.

THE COURT: Mr. Goodman, anything you wish to say on the motion for pro hac vice admission?

MR. GOODMAN: Frankly, Your Honor, there is no reason for Mr. Pierce to appear at this time because of the stage of litigation that we're at. I mean, to the extent that he wants to be present for the chimpanzees' transfer, we don't object to that and for him being admitted for that purpose. But this Consent Decree is not being renegotiated. The ship has sailed on that. Your Honor gave Ms. Haddix the opportunity to renegotiate with the plaintiffs and she declined to do that within the requested period of time.

I think it's notable that while her declaration states that she was advised to retain counsel at the end of April this year, you'd actually been suggesting that she do so since last June.

Further, it was recorded on June 22nd in the Gateway Pundit that Mr. Pierce had offered to represent Ms. Haddix. Regardless of when that representation was actually formalized and she returned the paperwork to actually formalize that representation, she's been aware of this hearing date

since June 30th, and notwithstanding that and Mr. Pierce's supposed interest in the case, weeks ago at this point, we're, again, here on the morning of the hearing where we're discussing not only Ms. Haddix' contempt, but the planned transfer of the chimpanzees and she's asking, yet again, for this case to be delayed further with no basis to do so with respect to the Consent Decree and the subsequent Court's orders.

THE COURT: All right. Well, so here's what I'm going to do on these preliminary issues of the motion for pro hac vice and the motion to continue. I'm going to grant the motion for pro hac vice, and so you will -- you are now, as of now, counsel of record unless the clerk's office has anything they need from you which they'll -- you can talk to them about.

MR. PIERCE: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: But I expect you to comply with all of our rules. I will note that your motion itself did not, but I'm accepting it anyway. In the past -- past practice, we had, you know, a local lawyer filed a motion for pro hac vice on someone else's behalf. That's what you did. That has not been the practice in this court for a number of years. But I did not -- I told the clerk's office not to, you know, send it back because things were too confusing. So I do expect you to be current and comply with all the current requirements.

MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And I'm doing this based on your representation that there are no disciplinary proceedings against you right now and that the letter of inquiry is whatever it is under California proceedings. But I -- you know, if there's any change in that, I'm requiring you to notify me.

MR. PIERCE: Yes, of course. Yes, Your Honor.

not going to -- this will be a minute entry done by the clerk for this hearing and the Motion No. 314, which is the motion for pro hac vice admission, is granted provided you've paid the money, which there's a fee. There's a fee for that. I don't know if you have or not. But provided you've paid the money. And also on the condition that if any disciplinary action in any court or in any state bar is taken against you that you notify this Court within five days in writing --

MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- by filing something in the court file. So don't have your associates e-mail us about stuff.

We need to see things in the court file. Because I believe we did start getting e-mails from someone in your office yesterday, but nothing was actually filed here until after 3:00 yesterday afternoon and your entry of appearance was not filed until then, and then of course the motion to continue

was filed, you know, this morning sometime.

So on the motion to continue, I am going to deny it. We have continued this matter multiple times and, you know, when -- the last time -- when we had the hearing, the earlier hearing on April 7th, Ms. Haddix told me that she actually had lawyers she was talking to at that time and she believed one of them was going to represent her, but couldn't do it then. And she asked for two weeks to obtain counsel and I did not continue the hearing, but I continued the monetary sanction since the contempt order at that time really just ordered her to do what she already agreed to do. So there was no real detriment to her at that time. And so I -- but I delayed the imposition of the sanctions for more than two weeks to give her time to do that. And nothing, of course, happened.

And so here we are. So, Mr. Goodman, I'll hear what you wish to say about -- well, I guess, I'm curious. Do you know where all these chimpanzees are?

MR. GOODMAN: Not with certainty, Your Honor. I have reason to believe that they are all in Festus at this time. Ms. Haddix represented to the Court previously that Tonka, Crystal, and Mikayla had been moved to Eldon, but then Dr. Talbot informed me that when he treated Tonka at May 21st, it was at the Festus facility. So between this Court's acknowledgment that no evidence has ever been presented of the existence of this Eldon facility, plus that statement from

1 Dr. Talbot, we have no reason to believe that anyone is in 2 Eldon and we believe they're all in Festus. 3 THE COURT: All right. And, Mr. Pierce? Where are 4 these --5 MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. Where are these chimpanzees? All seven 6 THE COURT: 7 of them, where are they? 8 MR. PIERCE: Well, I believe that one of them has --9 I believe that Tonka has passed away is my understanding, 10 Your Honor, and so I believe that there are six chimpanzees. 11 Without having any firsthand knowledge whatsoever, I do believe that the chimpanzees are in Festus. 12 13 THE COURT: And so do you believe they have been 14 recently moved or do you believe they've been there all along? 15 MR. PIERCE: I honestly do not have any knowledge 16 whatsoever with respect to that question, Your Honor. 17 sorry. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Well, that might be something you 19 want to inquire into if you're going to continue to represent 20 Ms. Haddix and if there might end up being further proceedings 21 since she made different representations to me the last --22 when she was in court previously. And so -- but that's --23 that was my first question, so I think we, at least, believe 24 that's where they are.

And there was some question about Tonka's -- whether

Tonka truly passed away. And I -- Mr. Goodman, do you have anything to add on that?

MR. GOODMAN: Yes, Your Honor. Especially in light of the representation made in the motion today. I think it's particularly notable that we have inquired with Ms. Haddix repeatedly for some sort of proof that he was deceased. She, ultimately, indicated that he was cremated. And when we requested proof of that cremation, even just the name and contact information of the company that did it, she refused to provide that.

Now, today in this motion, we have a representation from Dr. Talbot stating he wouldn't be surprised if Tonka died based on what Tonka looked like on May 21st. But, again, notwithstanding the fact that we've represented in this motion that Ms. Haddix has failed to provide any evidence, she, still in her motion for continuance, has failed to do so.

Dr. Talbot specifically informed me that he had no knowledge of Tonka's death.

THE COURT: Okay. And that's -- yeah. I didn't see anything that changed that from what you had provided previously based on his affidavit that we received today.

All right. You may proceed on your motion, the one we actually originally started out on. Oh, you had a motion for leave to seal, and under our rule, wait a certain number of days. But there's been no opposition filed to the motion

for leave to file that portion under seal and you've already filed the redacted copy. So I will grant motion No. 310 which is leave to file under seal, and so that is granted.

MR. GOODMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And then the document that actually is the motion requesting transfer, et cetera, is an attachment to the memorandum. It's No. 311-3. And so that's really what I want to hear from. And then also -- well, if there are further contempt remedies or sanctions that you think are necessary aside from the transfer order, I want to hear that, too. But go ahead and talk to me about transfer order now.

MR. GOODMAN: Sure. Just given the issues that we've had in this case in terms of veracity of certain representations and information that has been provided to us, we're very concerned about Ms. Haddix' conduct leading up to the time of the transfer. And I just want to note, I'm not going to reference the date or time of the transfer because there are non-parties present in the courtroom.

THE COURT: Yes. And I -- we are on the public record. And I assume, Mr. Pierce, that you are fully aware -- I didn't ask you this at the beginning -- that any recording or broadcasting of this proceeding by you is strictly forbidden and that you are not recording this in any way. Is that correct?

MR. PIERCE: That's correct, Your Honor. I am not

and I will comply with that order.

THE COURT: Okay. And then, additionally, I want to make sure that Ms. Haddix and you both know that they are not to mention, as Mr. Goodman just stated, the date or time set out in the issue for the suggested transfer. So that's not to be told to anyone.

And, Mr. Pierce, can you verify to us whether your client has already told anybody that date or has already put it up on Facebook or anything like that?

MR. PIERCE: I cannot verify that one way or another, Your Honor. I can tell you that I don't -- I don't even -- I don't know what that date or time is myself. But I have no knowledge or awareness that Ms. Haddix has done that or has not done that.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Goodman.

MR. GOODMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

So, because of the concerns that we have about the veracity of representations that were made about --

THE COURT: Can you pull that microphone a little closer to you?

MR. GOODMAN: Sure. The concerns that we've had about the veracity of representations that were made, about the Eldon facility, and about Tonka, we are concerned that even just being placed under oath doesn't necessarily assure Ms. Haddix' honesty and we just want to take appropriate

measures to make sure that all the chimpanzees are there and this goes smoothly when the transfer actually happens.

So we've set forth in the motion the details that we believe are necessary to decrease the likelihood of -- or any of her associates obstructing the transfer and in terms of potential sanctions in relation to that, you know, would ask that the Court specifically inform Ms. Haddix that if, at the time of the transfer, not all of the chimpanzees are present, that she will be jailed until they're all transferred to the Center for Great Apes.

THE COURT: All right. And so you also have requested that I request the United States Marshals -- well, the order you proposed would require that the marshal service be present at the Festus facility during the time of the procedure. Are you -- of the transfer, because of concerns for safety of everyone involved, which I have to tell you, I'm concerned about because I believe, based on news reports, that Ms. Haddix made some statements about bringing the sheriff and you don't want to mess with me and stuff like that, that could be interpreted, but not necessarily, as threats of violence. And then for that reason, you've requested that she also be ordered to remain away at least 2 miles away from the facility during the time this is happening; correct?

MR. GOODMAN: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So Mr. Pierce, do you wish

to make any response to his motion?

MR. PIERCE: Well, yes, Your Honor. First of all, as Mr. -- I believe it's Goodman. I apologize if I didn't catch the name. But as he indicated and he seemed to offer, you know, I certainly would, you know, like the opportunity to be present at the time and place of the transfer, which, as of now, I'm not aware of what that -- you know, what that is.

So, I mean, I'm assuming he's going to keep that confidential. I can coordinate with Mr. Goodman, you know, offline with respect to that.

Also, I mean -- and with all due respect in the world, Your Honor, we'd request a short stay on this order so that we can potentially file an emergency motion for a stay with the Eighth Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals. And, you know, other than that, I don't -- you know, I don't think there's a reason for us to have Ms. Haddix jailed. I can assure that if there needs to be steps taken to, you know, have a notation that shows the Court that there will not be, you know, issues that were referenced, I will answer to that.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I do want Ms. Haddix to know that if, when this does take place, there is -- you know, there is interference by anyone or if it turns out that not all of these six chimpanzees are there, that she must -- that she will be held in further contempt and it will be summary contempt where I would ask -- I will do a body

attachment and ask the marshals to go arrest her if that is what happened until she can purge herself of the contempt by telling us where the chimpanzees are so that they could be transported. I hope none of that is necessary. I hope that when you get there, they will be there and it will be a peaceful transfer.

As everyone here agrees, I know that all the parties in this case care about these chimpanzeees and do not want them in danger. But this is not -- this is a delicate process. It takes a whole lot of people. It takes a whole lot of organization. And everyone needs to cooperate on this.

So I'm going to sign the proposed order. The exact date, I understand, Mr. Pierce, since you were not yet admitted pro hac vice, you would not have had access to the materials filed under seal with the time and day, but Mr. Goodman -- you must make arrangements with Mr. Goodman as soon as this hearing is over to, you know, obtain the information you need and to --

MR. PIERCE: Yes. Yes. Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- and make sure that your client is prepared to comply.

I am -- I'm going to sign two orders today. The order for motion for leave to file under seal -- well, actually, I'm going to sign that order that was proposed as well, and so I'll just read it to everyone so it's clear,

which is that the counterclaim plaintiff's motion for sealing the motion requesting transfer order and attendance of the United States Marshals Service is granted, and that is -- will be filed under seal and remain under seal.

And, additionally, that motion for sealing requesting transfer order and attendance will remain under seal until the transfer is completed and the counterclaim plaintiffs, that is, PETA, must notify the Court of the completed transfer within two business days after its completion, at which time the Court will direct the clerk to unseal the docket entry. And so I am signing that order here today and the Clerk of Court will make sure it's provided to everyone, including to Mr. Pierce.

And then the other order that they've requested, I am signing two versions of this order. One of them will be filed under seal and the other one will be filed in the court record. The one in the court record has the time and date redacted as proposed by the counterclaim plaintiffs.

And I will note that one thing I am additionally going to order, and I'll go into more detail in this order, I'm going to order that within seven days of today's date, Ms. Haddix provide to Mr. Goodman proof that the chimpanzee, Tonka, has -- is deceased. And I don't know what that proof will be, but we need something.

And, additionally -- then the -- so that's an

additional part of this order. I'm just telling you that orally now. It will be in the minute entry here that that is a part -- that is ordered as a separate order.

Now, what the -- I'm going to summarize this order since it appears that -- well, I don't know if you've seen Mr. Pierce's -- with the docket sheet, you should be able to have read the redacted copy and know everything in it except for the time and date. But it is that the legal and physical transfer, loading, and transport of all seven chimpanzees, or six if they are all still living, must occur at the time and date set in the sealed order.

And Ms. Haddix and anyone working with her or any of the other people who were originally counterclaim defendants in this case may not move, transfer, or relocate any of these seven chimpanzees other than as provided in the orders and must cooperate so this can happen in a safe manner and must not interfere at all with anyone working to implement this transfer or anyone who is on the premises.

They must continue to provide food, water, and other care pending this removal. And defendants -- however, they must withhold food and water for a period right before the transfer which would be set out in the sealed order. And, also, they must provide clean drinkable water at all times before the transfer.

The defendants must allow -- counterclaim defendants

must allow representatives of PETA and the Center for Great Apes and their designees and their vehicles and equipment full access to the chimpanzees and entry to their enclosures and all facility entrance and exit points in order to allow for this to happen. And they must also provide any keys, codes, or other means necessary to access those chimpanzees when -- so they can arrive and do this. And those will be returned to either Ms. Casey or to a law enforcement officer upon the conclusion of the transfer process.

They must cooperate with any reasonable requests or instructions from the counterclaim plaintiffs and the Center for Great Apes about the chimpanzees and the enclosures, anything that's necessary to effectuate a safe transfer.

And they may not -- Ms. Haddix and the other counterclaim defendants may not -- or any of their agents or employees shall not permit any of the other enclosures or cages to be unlocked other than at the request of the representative of the Center for Great Apes or United States Marshal. And they must not allow any other animals to be in the area at the time to ensure that no other animals or dogs or anything like that are outside of any enclosed spaces or roaming freely while these activities are going on.

And this, again, is a summary. The written order will contain exactly what you're to do. And I am going to request that representatives of the United States Marshals

Service be present at the Festus facility for the duration of this transfer. This is to ensure the safety and non-violence of this proceeding and to ensure that it is -- that no one attempts to stop this from happening.

I will direct Mr. Goodman to meet with the representatives of the United States Marshals Service in this district. Some of them are present in the courtroom here today. And so as soon as we are finished with this proceeding, you need to discuss with them -- and I guess Mr. Giraudo -- but they'll tell you -- they'll identify themselves to you, but make sure you discuss with them whatever needs to be done because this is a big burden on them. And I'm sorry that it comes to this that we have to burden our law enforcement officers with taking care of something like this in a civil manner, but frankly, there's no other way to assure that it will be done safely.

And so there are a number of issues that Mr. Goodman has asked for. I will put these in the order. But if the marshal's service say that any of these are impractical, then, you know, I'm going to be guided by that and would modify this without notice to you. I'm not going to send notice to the parties of any modifications, but if the marshals tell me they need modifications, I may enter them in a modified order. But I expect that they would have already discussed that with Mr. Goodman.

And, Mr. Pierce, you may be present at that time, but you must -- if you're going to be present at the time, you must notify Mr. Goodman 48 hours in advance and tell him exactly when and where you will be so that there is no confusion about that. And if you tell him you're coming and you don't show up, they'll move forward. They won't wait for you. But you may be present as an officer of the court assuming you're going to comply with all the -- you won't interfere or do anything else.

MR. PIERCE: What -- what --

THE COURT: Yeah. Go ahead.

MR. PIERCE: I'm sorry, Judge. But will I be able to be a part of these discussions that Mr. Goodman is going to have with the U.S. Marshals here imminently so I'm, you know, sort of part of the process as Ms. Haddix' counsel?

THE COURT: Not initially, but if there's anything different from what's in the order, then Mr. Goodman's required to call you as an officer of the court and tell you. But, no, I don't believe you need to be involved in the security arrangements.

You know, frankly, if this were an easier process, we wouldn't let any of you all know when it's going to happen. But because of the need to have Ms. Haddix removed from the area or make sure she's not in the area, you know, we're not picking a date that we wouldn't tell any lawyers. You know,

I mean, when the marshals move prisoners, they don't tell them the date and time. But this is a civil matter and we're expecting everyone to comply.

So I'm not going to let you be involved in the negotiations Mr. Goodman has with the marshals, but I'm asking him to share any information with you that's appropriate and not be unreasonable in doing that.

MR. GOODMAN: Absolutely, Your Honor.

MR. PIERCE: Okay.

THE COURT: And, additionally, because of the things that have been reported publicly that Ms. Haddix has said about the transfer and the implications of potential violence or harassment, Ms. Haddix may not be present at the facility during the transfer and must be located a sufficient distance away from the facility to allow for the transfer. She must remain at least 2 miles away from the transfer and the times will be set out in the sealed order and must not come there until it is completed and all persons associated with the transfer have left the facility.

The defendants also -- Ms. Haddix and her agents or representatives or Ms. Casey or any of the defendants may not administer any sedation drug to any chimpanzee until the transfer dates except if it's required in the event of a medical or public safety emergency. If they do administer or cause a third party to administer any drug to any of the

chimpanzees, they must notify Plaintiff's counsel within 24 hours.

No one may record this by video, including any surveillance cameras, or shall take photographs or monitor by any drone or any other manner, this transfer. This must -- so none of -- this will not be videoed by anyone.

And Ms. Haddix, because she is -- the reason we're doing this, must reimburse the plaintiffs for all costs associated with securing the services of the U.S. Marshals if there are any and all parties must keep the time and date of the transfer confidential except to the extent necessary for PETA to do what it needs to do here. But the defendants are prohibited from sharing this date and time with any non-party.

And any violation of this -- if I'm notified of a violation, I would expect Mr. Goodman would file an affidavit telling me what it is, and based on his affidavit, if there is a violation that interferes, it will be my intention to order the marshal service to take Ms. Haddix or anyone who is violating this into custody. Based on -- and I would do that based on the affidavit of Mr. Goodman as an officer of the court.

But I'm expecting that there will be no problems.

So I am signing this order, and as I say, the redacted copy will be filed in the public file. The unredacted copy will be filed under seal, but will be provided to Mr. Pierce as I have

granted his motion for pro hac vice admission.

And I do want to mention one other thing, and that is that it is my understanding that after the last proceeding, Ms. Haddix posted my chamber's contact information on her Facebook page and urged her supporters to contact my office. And I want to inform you all that many people did do so. It has not yet risen to the level where I felt that I needed to have the U.S. Marshals Service investigate or take action. But I do want to state that I do not -- and it's public information. What she posted was not private information. It is public. The phone -- you know, this is the court. We're open to the public.

But harassing phone calls to my staff are not appropriate. And if Ms. Haddix does anything to encourage her supporters as she did last time by telling them that I was a biased judge and they should call me and tell me what they thought of me -- that's paraphrasing what the Facebook post said -- but if she should do that again, I will consider my own issue of whether I should impose further contempt sanctions.

I don't -- you know, people have a First Amendment right to do what they do, but as long as it's not a threat, and if it is a threat, I will notify the U.S. Marshals service. Nothing was a threat so far, but it was harassment. So please don't do anything like that. Okay?

Now -- oh, and Mr. Pierce?

MR. PIERCE: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: There is -- this is not happening tomorrow. If you want to file a motion -- emergency motion to stay with the Court of Appeals, you may do so. To the extent you're asking me to stay my order, I deny that request. But I urge you to move quickly if you think you're going to do something. I don't think the Court of Appeals likes hearing about things the day before any more than I do or the morning of the hearing to find out that somebody's asking for a continuance. So I urge you not to -- don't dawdle if you think you're going to get a stay of this order. And, obviously, you have a right to seek whatever legal recourse you wish to seek.

Mr. Goodman, what else do we need to discuss this morning?

MR. GOODMAN: With respect to the stay and Mr. Pierce's representations about concern for Mikayla and Crystal's safety, I would just like to address that very briefly.

THE COURT: Yes, please do. Yeah.

MR. GOODMAN: So, first of all, Dr. Talbot's speculation in his declaration is littered with problems. Essentially, his firsthand knowledge is the fact that Crystal and Mikayla are not particularly assertive. But his opinion

was about the potential danger to them was littered -- excuse me -- was informed by Ms. Haddix' statement as he recognizes in his declaration that they have not meaningfully interacted with other chimpanzees.

But I think it's important for Mr. Pierce to know, and perhaps the Court, too, to the extent that he does pursue this, that Chloe and Mikayla were long held together, and only them, at this facility until Chloe was transferred pursuant to a settlement with former counterclaim defendant, Vito Stramaeglia. And only at that time did Ms. Haddix and Ms. Casey introduce Mikayla to Crystal and Tonka. So, clearly, they have had introductions with chimpanzees who were not previously introduced to each other.

I also think it's worth noting that the Center for Great Apes specifically constructed these enclosures for these chimpanzees to be transferred. They will be grouped as required in their best interests. And these are professional, experienced staff who have really extensive experience with chimpanzees, far more than Mr. Talbot, and will only put the chimpanzees together as their best interests allows.

And the final note on this point is that it's simple untenable for Ms. Haddix to be making this argument about the sanctuary now when she specifically directed that the Center for Great Apes be the sanctuary chosen for the relocation of these chimpanzees if she defaulted.

THE COURT: Yeah. I also will note, although, obviously, it's not -- well, I mean, it's not these two chimpanzees, but she did repeatedly say -- tell me in one of the more recent hearings that, you know, PETA should come get these chimpanzees. I want them to come get them out of my way. She wasn't talking about those two in particular, but she was somewhat demanding that. So it's not -- you know, I mean, this is not a surprise.

MR. GOODMAN: Right.

THE COURT: It's also in the Consent Decree. It was specifically agreed to. And I will say -- and I should have asked you to respond to that before and I -- I simply did not consider the opinion of the veterinarian. I considered it to the extent it was probative or was helpful, but because it was based on secondhand knowledge and limited interactions between him and those particular animals -- I believe he said he visited them twice in his affidavit -- because of that, I don't think -- I think it just didn't carry much weight in my decision and I should have addressed that earlier to make that clear.

Obviously, I do not want anything to happen that would be not in the best interests of these chimpanzees. But that's the kind of thing, when you hear about it at the very last moment after all these years of litigation, I find it not very helpful as an expert opinion.

MR. GOODMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. And one more very brief point on that before Mr. Pierce goes.

Again, these were speculative assertions regarding the potential risk if they were introduced improperly, whereas, there is actually evidence that hasn't been submitted to the record because there hasn't been a need to, that chimpanzees are presently at risk in their current conditions.

Mikayla, specifically, has escaped from her enclosure twice, in June and August last year, and that a second chimpanzee from the facility was with her in August when that happened. So this, notwithstanding the fact that, quite commonly, escaped chimpanzees end up being shot, including about 15 years ago, a chimpanzee named Suzie escaped from this very location and a neighbor shot and killed her.

THE COURT: Right. And I do -- I will note, although there's no real explanation in the record of what happened in one of the many filings made by Ms. Haddix, she did tell me that she had -- that because of the escapes last summer, that she was concerned about Jefferson County authorities because she'd been put on notice that if they escaped again, she'd be -- she'd be, I don't know, sanctioned in some way by Jefferson County authorities. So this is not -- this is not something that -- although I've not had formal evidence of it, but Ms. Haddix did make reference to it in at least one of the many things she has filed. So I was,

generally, aware that there had been an escape. I didn't know it was these particular chimpanzees, I don't think.

MS. HADDIX: I think we want to clarify what really took place. First of all --

THE COURT: Ms. Haddix, hold on just a second.

You're represented by a lawyer here and since you've chosen to be represented by a lawyer, I want to ask him if it's okay if I hear from you and allow you to speak. I will do so if your lawyer thinks it's -- if he agrees, but often, lawyers think their clients should not speak except to their lawyers.

Mr. Pierce?

MR. PIERCE: Let me just ask one clarifying question first, Your Honor, just to make sure I'm clear on the procedure. And, of course, as an officer of the court, I absolutely will comply with every single order that you've given today and the procedures that the Court sets out for, you know, the transfer that needs to occur.

But just to be clear, am I going to learn from Mr. Goodman directly, kind of working informally, as to the time and location so I can, you know, make sure I'm present, or is that something I'm going to see because there's going to be sealed filings I'll be able to get access to here imminently so I'm able to plan, you know, if I want to go through the Eighth Circuit, et cetera, and have that if we need, et cetera.

Right. I'm asking him now. I asked you 1 THE COURT: 2 earlier to talk to him and I would like --3 MR. PIERCE: Okay. 4 THE COURT: -- you to before -- I'm going to leave 5 the court and be in recess, but you should stay on the line so 6 that Mr. Goodman and you can agree to call each other 7 afterward and make sure that -- well, how about this? What if 8 he calls the phone number that you gave us to call you today? 9 MR. PIERCE: Yes, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MR. PIERCE: That's absolutely fine. 12 THE COURT: He'll do that within the next two hours. 13 MR. PIERCE: That's --14 THE COURT: So you be sure you're available so he 15 can talk to you because then that way you all can work out 16 what you'll do. And he -- I will ask him to tell you those 17 dates and times so that if you wish to file a motion of any 18 sort or file -- you know, take some action, you may do so. 19 Obviously, within the -- you know, again, when I 20 say -- I don't know. I am not in charge of the Eighth Circuit 21 Court of Appeals sealing rules and regulations, but you are 22 not allowed to file anything publicly that provides the date 23 and time. Okay? 24 MR. PIERCE: I understand. I understand that loud

and clear, Your Honor. And I absolutely will not do that.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. PIERCE: And as to -- as to Ms. Haddix, I do
think that -- and I would advise and admonish her to be, you
know, respectful to the Court and accurate, but I would,
you know, suggest to Ms. Haddix to go ahead and be able to
address the Court briefly with respect to specific issues that
have been raised to that ape. I'm fine with it.

Go ahead, Ms. Haddix.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Ms. Haddix.

MS. HADDIX: Basically, I just want clarification that Mikayla has not escaped twice out of the Festus facility. It was a one-time escape. It was another set of chimpanzees that was let out of their enclosure the second time. So she's not been at risk twice. That's one thing.

Jefferson County situation. Whenever I approached you about PETA expecting me to take three chimpanzees to another facility and leave four chimpanzees in my custody and in my care and me not be present to take care of those chimpanzees, I addressed concerns with you because of the fact that, because of the escapes, we ended up getting two tickets for wild and -- I think it's wild and dangerous animals loose or at large or I'm not exactly 100 percent sure what the ticket actually said -- but we were ticketed twice for those escapes.

And Connie Casey and I both were put on probation

with Jefferson County. Well, with the prosecuting attorney. We signed an agreement that we were put on probation 24 months and that we were not to have another escape. And if we did, they were going to take all of the chimpanzees from us.

So that was my big concern and that's why I even broached the Courts with that because of the fact that I just wanted to make certain that if I had to take the three chimpanzees that was awarded to me at the time frame that they were supposed to be moved and transferred to a new facility, that you were aware that they were requiring me to maintain custody and be -- not only have physical custody, but actually legal custody of them, and I did not feel comfortable leaving them at the Festus facility without me having some kind of hands-on interaction with those chimpanzees because of the probation issue. Not because I'm afraid -- or not because of Jefferson County or that stuff, but because there was a legality for that reason.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am.

MS. HADDIX: And then the other thing is, yes, the three chimpanzees were moved to Eldon. And when Tonka started getting ill, I -- because of the fact that I care enough about the chimps to care about them more than I do myself, I moved them back to Festus so that they could have 100 percent care by me and by Ms. Casey instead of some stranger and just one person.

So, yeah, that's what happened. And, yes, all the chimpanzees are present and accounted for, well cared for, always on Facebook, and cared for by me at the Festus facility except for Tonka who passed away. THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am. Anything further from either counsel? MR. GOODMAN: No, Your Honor. MR. PIERCE: No. No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then the orders, I have signed, and I'm handing them to the clerk right now. And so they will be docketed, as I said, and we will proceed according to those orders and the plans. Court is in recess. (The proceedings concluded at 10:56 a.m.)

CERTIFICATE

I, Carla M. Klaustermeier, Registered Merit Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter, hereby certify that I am a duly appointed Official Court Reporter of the United States

District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri.

I further certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings held in the above-entitled case and that said transcript is a true and correct transcription of my stenographic notes.

I further certify that this transcript contains pages 1 through 36 inclusive and was delivered electronically and that this reporter takes no responsibility for missing or damaged pages of this transcript when same transcript is copied by any party other than this reporter.

Dated at St. Louis, Missouri, this 15th day of July, 2021.

/s/ Carla M. Klaustermeier Carla M. Klaustermeier, RMR, CCR, CSR, CRR Official Court Reporter