Case 1:21-cv-02201-RC Document 1-7 Filed 08/18/21 Page 1 of 2



U.S. Department of Justice Office of Information Policy Sixth Floor 441 G Street, NW Washington, DC 20530-0001

Telephone: (202) 514-3642

Stephen Stamboulieh, Esq. Post Office Box 428 Olive Branch, MS 38654 stephen@sdslaw.us August 4, 2021 Re: Appeal No. A-2021-01984 Request No. 2021-0263 CDT:AMF

VIA: Email

Dear Stephen Stamboulieh:

You appealed on behalf of your client, David Codrea, from the action of the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF) on your client's Freedom of Information Act request for access to certain records concerning Hunter Biden. I note that your appeal concerns ATF's refusal to confirm or deny the existence of records responsive to your client's request.

After carefully considering your appeal, I am affirming ATF's action on your client's request. The FOIA provides for disclosure of many agency records. At the same time, Congress included in the FOIA nine exemptions from disclosure that provide protection for important interests such as personal privacy, privileged communications, and certain law enforcement activities. ATF properly refused to confirm or deny the existence of records responsive to your client's request. Confirming or denying the existence of such records, including law enforcement records, concerning a third-party individual would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, and could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. See 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6), (7)(C). Additionally, it is reasonably foreseeable that confirming or denying the existence of such records would harm the interests protected by these exemptions. See, e.g., People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals v. NIH, 745 F.3d 535, 544 (D.C. Cir. 2014) (upholding agency's refusal to confirm or deny existence of records that would confirm whether investigation of third party had occurred); see also Antonelli v. FBI, 721 F.2d 615, 618 (7th Cir. 1983) (finding that confirming whether third party has been the subject of investigation would likely "constitute an invasion of that person's privacy that implicates the protections of Exemptions 6 and 7").

Please be advised that this Office's decision was made only after a full review of this matter. Your appeal was assigned to an attorney with this Office who thoroughly reviewed and analyzed your appeal, your client's underlying request, and the action of ATF in response to your client's request.

If your client is dissatisfied with my action on your appeal, the FOIA permits your client to file a lawsuit in federal district court in accordance with 5 U.S.C. §552(a)(4)(B).

For your information, the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) offers mediation services to resolve disputes between FOIA requesters and Federal agencies as a non-exclusive alternative to litigation. Using OGIS services does not affect your client's right to pursue litigation. The contact information for OGIS is as follows: Office of Government Information Services, National Archives and Records Administration, Room 2510, 8601 Adelphi Road, College Park, Maryland 20740-6001; email at ogis@nara.gov; telephone at 202-741-5770; toll free at 1-877-684-6448; or facsimile at 202-741-5769. If you have any questions regarding the action this Office has taken on your appeal, you may contact this Office's FOIA Public Liaison for your appeal. Specifically, you may speak with the undersigned agency official by calling (202) 514-3642.

Sincerely,

Matthew Hurd,

Chief, Administrative Appeals Staff

Mitte-M