1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9	AT TACOMA	
10	JENNIFER SIMATIC,	
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 3:21-cv-05180-MJP-JRC
12	v.	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO AMEND COMPLAINT
13	COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,	
14	Defendant.	
15		
16	This matter is before the Court on referral from the District Court (Dkt. 13) and on	
17	plaintiff's motion for leave to amend the complaint. Dkt. 16.	
18	Plaintiff requests to amend her complaint to name the "Social Security Administration"	
19	as defendant, rather than the "Commissioner of Social Security." Dkt. 16, at 1–2. Plaintiff must	
20	have leave of Court to amend her complaint at this stage in the litigation. See Fed. R. Civ. P.	
21	15(a). Defendant does not oppose the request to amend the complaint to name the 15	
22	Administration as defendant although defendant "does not stipulate that the proposed	
23		
24		

amendment is legally or factually sufficient, or otherwise results in a cognizable F[reedom of Information Act] or Privacy Act claim related to Plaintiff's request for records." Dkt. 18, at 2.

"Generally, Rule 15 advises the court that 'leave shall be freely given when justice so
requires." *Eminence Cap., LLC v. Aspeon, Inc.*, 316 F.3d 1048, 1051 (9th Cir. 2003) (quoting *Owens v. Kaiser Found. Health Plan, Inc.*, 244 F.3d 708, 712 (9th Cir. 2001)). Factors to be
considered in deciding whether to grant leave to amend include undue delay, bad faith, dilatory
motive, repeated failure to cure deficiencies by amendments previously allowed, undue prejudice
to the opposing party by virtue of allowance of the amendment, and futility of amendment. *Id.* at
1051–52. "Prejudice is the 'touchstone of the inquiry under rule 15(a)." *Id.* at 1051 (quoting *Lone Star Ladies Inv. Club v. Schlotzsky's Inc.*, 238 F.3d 363, 368 (5th Cir. 2001)). Absent
prejudice, or a strong showing of any of the remaining factors, there exists a presumption under
Rule 15(a) in favor of granting leave to amend. *Id.*

Here, no prejudice to defendant is apparent. Nor is there a strong showing of any of the remaining factors as related to plaintiff's proposed amendment. Therefore, the Court grants the motion for leave to amend. Plaintiff shall file the proposed amended complaint (Dkt. 16-1) as the operative complaint in this matter within 30 days of the date of this Order.

The Clerk's Office shall send a copy of this Order to plaintiff.

Dated this 6th day of July, 2021.

Tualus have

J. Richard Creatura Chief United States Magistrate Judge