## MATTHEW BRIESACHER 3/21/2014

			Page 1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
2	WESTERN DISTRICT C	OF MISSOURI	
3	CENTRAL DIVI	SION	
4			
5			
6			
7	DAVID ZINK, et al.,	)	
8		)	
9	Plaintiffs,	)	
10		) Cause No.	
11	VS.	) 2:12-CV-4209-NKL	
12		)	
13	GEORGE A. LOMBARDI, et al.,	)	
14		)	
15	Defendants.	)	
16			
17			
18			
19	DEPOSITION OF MATTHE	W BRIESACHER	
20	Taken on behalf of t	he Plaintiffs	
21	March 21, 2	014	
22	(The proceedings began	at 10:15 a.m.)	
23			
24			
25			

			Page 2
1		INDEX	
2	QUESTIC	DNS BY:	PAGE NO.
3	Ms. Car	rlyle	5
4			
_			
5		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
6	MB		PAGE MKD.
7	NO.		
8	1	Current Missouri of Corrections	
9		execution protocol.	12
10			
11	3	Draft of execution protocol.	15
12			
13	4	Lab correspondence.	41
14			
15	5	Bid record document.	44
16			
17	7	Memos from Dr. Greg Markway.	54
18			
19	8	Memorandum from Mr. Dormire.	57
20			
21	9	Chemical log.	64
22			
23	(Whereu	upon the exhibits were attached to the	original and
24	copies.	.)	
25			

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI 3 CENTRAL DIVISION DAVID ZINK, et al., 4 ) 5 ) 6 Plaintiffs, ) 7 ) Cause No. ) 2:12-CV-4209-NKL 8 vs. 9 ) GEORGE A. LOMBARDI, et al., 10 ) 11 ) 12 Defendants. 13 14 DEPOSITION OF WITNESS, MATTHEW BRIESACHER, 15 produced, sworn, and examined on the 21st day of March, 2014, between the hours of ten o'clock in the forenoon and 16 17 three o'clock in the afternoon of that day, at Husch Blackwell, 235 East High Street, Jefferson City, Missouri, 18 before SHERYL A. PAUTLER, a Notary Public and Certified 19 Court Reporter within and for the State of Missouri, in a 20 21 certain cause now pending before the United States District Court, Western District of Missouri, Central Division 22 23 wherein DAVID ZINK, et al., are the Plaintiffs, and GEORGE A. LOMBARDI, et al., are the Defendants. 24 25

Page 3

	Page 4
A P P E A R A N C E S	
For the Plaintiffs:	
Ms. Elizabeth Unger Carlyle	
Elizabeth Carlyle Law Office	
P.O. Box 30418	
Kansas City, Missouri 64112	
816-525-6540	
Elizabeth@carlyle-law.com	
For the Defendants:	
Mr. David Hansen	
Ms. Susan D. Boresi	
Mr. Michael Spillane	
Missouri Attorney General's Office	
207 West High Street	
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102	
573-751-4418	
David.hansen@ago.mo.gov	
The Court Reporter:	
Ms. Sheryl Pautler	
Midwest Litigation Services	
711 North Eleventh Street	
St. Louis, Missouri 63101	
314-644-2191	
	<pre>For the Plaintiffs: Ms. Elizabeth Unger Carlyle Elizabeth Carlyle Law Office P.O. Box 30418 Kansas City, Missouri 64112 816-525-6540 Elizabeth@carlyle-law.com For the Defendants: Mr. David Hansen Ms. Susan D. Boresi Mr. Michael Spillane Missouri Attorney General's Office 207 West High Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573-751-4418 David.hansen@ago.mo.gov The Court Reporter: Ms. Sheryl Pautler Midwest Litigation Services 711 North Eleventh Street St. Louis, Missouri 63101</pre>

	F	Page 5
1	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and	
2	between counsel for Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendants,	
3	that the deposition of MATTHEW BRIESACHER may be taken in	
4	shorthand by Sheryl A. Pautler, a notary public and	
5	shorthand reporter, and afterwards transcribed into	
6	typewriting; and the signature of the witness is expressly	
7	reserved.	
8	* * * * *	
9	MATTHEW BRIESACHER,	
10	of lawful age, being produced, sworn and examined on	
11	behalf of the Plaintiffs, deposes and says:	
12	[EXAMINATION]	
13	QUESTIONS BY MS. CARLYLE:	
14	Q. Would you tell us your name, please.	
15	A. Matt Briesacher.	
16	Q. And have you had your deposition taken before?	
17	A. No.	
18	Q. But you've probably taken a few, true?	
19	A. I have.	
20	Q. Well, I'm still going to say to you what I say	
21	to everybody whose deposition I'm in. Which is what I'm	
22	trying to do is ask you questions that make sense. If you	
23	don't understand the question, please tell me and I'll try	
24	to ask it better. And if you don't do that, then I'm going	
25	to assume that you understand it.	

Page 6 1 Okay. How are you employed, Mr. Briesacher? 2 Α. I'm the deputy general counsel for the 3 Missouri Department of Corrections. And who's the general counsel? 4 Q. 5 Α. Rick Williams. 6 Q. Okay. How long have you been the deputy 7 general counsel? About four weeks. 8 Α. 9 **Q**. And before that, what were you? 10 I was the general counsel for the Missouri Α. 11 Department of Corrections. 12 Q. Okay. Then let me just ask you, what's Rick Williams' full name? 13 14 Α. It's Richard Williams. 15 And so you're now his deputy, but before -- up Q. until four weeks ago, you were the general counsel? 16 17 Α. Correct. 18 And how long were you the general counsel? Q. 19 Approximately a year. Α. 20 And what did you do before then? Q. 21 Α. I was an attorney for the Missouri Department 22 of Revenue. 23 **Q**. And when did you start doing that? Α. 24 I was with the Department of Revenue for about 25 a year.

1 0. So what year are we in now? Okay. 2 Α. 2014. So in about March of about 2013, give 3 or take, I went from Revenue to the Department of Corrections. 4 5 Q. Okay. 6 In 2012, January I think, I went -- is when I Α. started at the Missouri Department of Revenue. 7 8 Q. And before then? 9 Α. I worked as legal counsel for the Missouri Department of Corrections for three years. 10 11 Okay. So you were legal counsel for the Q. 12 Missouri Department of Corrections. What's the difference 13 between that and general counsel? 14 Α. The -- as it was constituted when I was 15 general counsel, there are three attorneys who work in-house for the Department of Corrections. The supervisor 16 17 is the general counsel and legal counsel is the two other attorneys. 18 19 Q. Okay. So you started being the legal counsel 20 at the Department of Corrections in 2009 when? 21 Α. I think that's either January -- I think it was 2009 -- or 2010 maybe. 22 23 Q. Okay. And so before you were legal counsel 24 for the Department of Corrections, what did you do? 25 I worked at Missouri Attorney General's Α.

Page 7

Page 8 Office. 1 2 And how long did you do that? Q. 3 Α. About eight years. I started in 2002. Okay. And before 2002, what did you do? 4 Q. 5 Α. I was in law school. Where did you go to law school? 6 Q. 7 Α. Washington University in St. Louis. 8 Q. And you graduated in what year? 2002. 9 Α. 10 And where did you do your undergraduate work? Q. Eastern Illinois University. 11 Α. 12 Q. And when did you graduate there? 13 Α. 1999. 14 Q. Okay. What's your date of birth? 3/31/77. 15 Α. 16 Q. And your Social Security number? 17 Α. I'm not going to answer that question. It's not privileged and I'd ask that you 18 Q. 19 answer it. MR. HANSEN: We're going to move for that 20 21 portion to be confidential. MS. CARLYLE: Sure. You can designate it as 22 confidential if you want to. But the local rules of the 23 24 Western District of Missouri require that when any deposition is filed or -- publically that Social Security 25

Page 9 numbers be redacted. That protects you. 1 2 MR. HANSEN: That's fine. We're also going to 3 mark this portion as confidential. MS. CARLYLE: Okay. So what's being marked as 4 5 confidential -- because we've run into this problem before. 6 MR. HANSEN: Right. We're going to be very 7 clear this time. 8 MS. CARLYLE: Is the question what's your 9 Social Security number and the answer. 10 MR. HANSEN: That is correct. 11 (By Ms. Carlyle) Okay. What is the answer? Q. 12 Α. I'm not answering the question. MS. CARLYLE: Okay. I'm not really prepared 13 to call the judge about that question, but we'll deal with 14 that later. 15 MR. HANSEN: That's fine. 16 17 Ο. (By Ms. Carlyle) Have you ever been convicted of a crime? 18 19 No, I have not. Α. 20 Have you ever been sued? Q. 21 Α. Yes, I have. 22 Q. Can you tell us what you've been sued for and 23 when? To my recollection, I've been sued four times. 24 Α. One time was an action in replevin for my duties related to 25

## MATTHEW BRIESACHER 3/21/2014

		Page 10
1	being counsel with the Department of Corrections. One	
2	action was for violations of the ADA in relation to my work	
3	at the Department of Corrections. There's another action	
4	for violation of the constitutional rights, again	
5	associated with my role with the Department of Corrections.	
6	And then this lawsuit.	
7	Q. Okay. I'm sorry. I got a little behind. The	
8	first one was replevin?	
9	A. Yes.	
10	Q. And when was that?	
11	A. I don't recall. It was while I was legal	
12	counsel.	
13	Q. And where was that filed at?	
14	A. I forget the county, but it was in Farmington.	
15	Q. St. Francois County?	
16	A. I believe that's right.	
17	Q. Maybe they say St. Francis. Who knows.	
18	Okay. And were you sued in your official	
19	capacity or individual or both?	
20	A. I think I think it was official capacity	
21	only.	
22	Q. Okay. And what was that about, replevin?	
23	A. I was serving as the litigation coordinator	
24	for the department. I reviewed some mail an offender	
25	received and he was suing to get the mail returned to him.	

## MATTHEW BRIESACHER 3/21/2014

Page 11 1 0. Okay. And what was the outcome? 2 Α. It was dismissed in my favor. 3 Q. Okay. And then the second one -- second one was the one I missed completely. What was the second one? 4 5 Α. Allegations of -- it is a pro se action 6 against me. So there are numerous counts. 7 Q. Okay. I don't know that I could state all of the 8 Α. 9 counts, but ADA seems to be the major thrust of the 10 plaintiff's concerns. 11 Is that still pending? Q. 12 Α. Yes, it is. 13 Q. And where is it pending? 14 Eastern District of Missouri. Α. 15 Can you tell me the plaintiff's name? Q. The plaintiff is -- I forget how it's 16 Α. 17 captioned. Christopher Cross. And he's either as guardian or next of friend for offender Eldon Flaherty. 18 19 Okay. And who was the plaintiff in the Q. 20 replevin case? 21 Α. I don't recall. 22 Q. Do you have any -- can you give me -- you said 23 it was when you were legal counsel. Can we get a year 24 range for that one? 25 Sometime between 2010 and 2012. Α.

Page 12 1 **Q**. Okay. Then the third one you said was some 2 sort of constitutional rights? 3 Α. Correct. Tell me a little more about that. 4 Q. 5 Α. Reginald Clemmonds is suing me claiming I 6 violated his First Amendment rights. 7 And where is that one pending? Q. I -- I don't know if any portion of the case 8 Α. 9 is still pending. I've been dismissed. I think it was 10 pending in the Eastern District. Eastern District of Missouri. Okay. 11 Q. 12 Α. Federal court. 13 Q. Okay. And then the -- then this case is the 14 fourth? 15 Α. Correct. 16 MS. CARLYLE: Okay. So let me show you what's 17 been marked as Exhibit 1 for the purposes of this deposition. I spoke with the court reporter before this 18 deposition, we're going to refer to them as MB. So this 19 will be MB1. So we'll have a fighting chance of figuring 20 21 out what deposition they were exhibits to. (Whereupon Exhibit No. 1 was marked for 22 23 identification.) 24 (By Ms. Carlyle) I'll show you what we're Q. 25 marking as MB1. Can you tell me what that is?

Page 13 This is the current execution protocol for the 1 Α. 2 Missouri Department of Corrections. 3 Q. Okay. MR. HANSEN: Just a second. I want to take a 4 5 look at it. 6 MS. CARLYLE: Sure. 7 (By Ms. Carlyle) Did you have any involvement Q. 8 in drafting that document? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Tell me what you did. Q. I drafted that document. 11 Α. 12 Q. Okay. And was that the first execution 13 protocol that you drafted? 14 Α. No. 15 Q. What other execution protocols have you 16 drafted? 17 Α. There were -- well, I don't -- when you say drafted, I had input in modifying two previous protocols. 18 19 Q. Okay. And would those have been two of the 20 previous propofol protocols? 21 Α. That is correct. 22 Q. Okay. So to -- let's see here. 23 I can give you this one back. Α. 24 Q. Okay. With respect to Paragraph B1 of this 25 protocol, how did you determine that 5 grams of

		Page 14
1	pentobarbital was the amount to put in there?	
2	A. I reviewed protocols from other states and	
3	that amount seemed consistent. And based on my research,	
4	it seemed to be a standard amount for this purpose.	
5	Q. Okay. Other than reviewing protocols from	
6	other states, what research did you do?	
7	A. I did a case law review of those states and	
8	whether or not their protocols had been accepted by the	
9	courts. And in that research, I was able to review some	
10	filings, exhibits to filings discussing this protocol or	
11	this amount of pentobarbital.	
12	Q. Okay. Did you actually speak to anyone from	
13	other states or did you just read documents?	
14	A. Regarding the amount of pentobarbital to use?	
15	Q. Well, actually let me broaden it a little.	
16	Regarding the developing of this protocol, period.	
17	A. I made calls to other states and asked them	
18	for copies of their protocols.	
19	Q. Okay. What states were those?	
20	A. I know I reached out to Ohio. I reached out	
21	to Texas, Oklahoma. I don't recall if I called or was able	
22	to find through other methods Kentucky, Washington. I may	
23	have looked or talked to Arizona, Florida, Georgia,	
24	Virginia to the best of my recollection.	
25	Q. And did all of those states have pentobarbital	

Page 15 1 protocols? 2 Α. No. 3 Q. Do you remember which ones did and which ones 4 didn't? 5 Α. Not as we sit here. 6 Okay. How did it happen that you were the --Q. 7 were the drafter of this protocol? 8 Α. By the nature of my position. 9 Okay. Did someone instruct you to do that? Ο. 10 Α. Yes. And who was that? 11 Q. 12 Α. Director Lombardi. MS. CARLYLE: Okay. This is actually going to 13 be -- let's make it Exhibit 3, even though we haven't had a 14 2 yet. 15 (Whereupon Exhibit No. 3 was marked for 16 17 identification.) MR. HANSEN: This is MB3? 18 19 MS. CARLYLE: MB3. 20 MR. HANSEN: Can I see that, please? 21 MS. CARLYLE: Sure. And you have a copy there 22 too. MR. HANSEN: I'll let you look at the actual 23 24 one. So it's on the record, what's the Bates stamp number down at the bottom of that? 25

Page 16 MS. CARLYLE: The Bates stamp number is Pages 1 2 2246 to 2249. That is the Bates stamped number from a disc 3 that I show was prepared on January 9, I think, and I received it on January 10. 4 5 MR. HANSEN: Thank you. (By Ms. Carlyle) And can you tell us what 6 Q. 7 Exhibit MB3 is? 8 Α. This is a draft that I prepared for an 9 execution protocol. 10 Q. Has it ever been enacted or designated as the 11 execution protocol? 12 Α. No. What are the differences between Exhibit 3 and 13 Q. 14 Exhibit 1? 15 Paragraph A went from three -- or four -- in Α. the draft, it was four separate paragraphs. In the 16 17 October 18 protocol, it was combined to a single paragraph and the language was changed. And it was formated 18 19 differently. 20 Q. I need one of them. Okay. 21 Α. It was formatted differently. Paragraph B1(a) in the draft was removed. 22 23 Q. Okay. I'm sorry. Was removed -- I'm sorry. Was removed -- well, let me ask this question: Does 24 Exhibit 3 predate Exhibit 1 in your drafting? Did you 25

draft Exhibit 3 first? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 ο. Okay. So Exhibit 3 was a draft, then Exhibit 1 was what was ultimately adopted? 4 5 Α. Correct. 6 Okay. Yeah. Now it makes sense to me. ο. When 7 you say Exhibit B1(a) was removed, what else happened 8 between Exhibit 3 and Exhibit 1? Well, all of Paragraph B was renumbered. 9 Α. Do you want me to identify the renumbering or is that 10 sufficient? 11 12 **Q**. That's sufficient. Paragraph B1 -- well, because this was a 13 Α. draft, the outlining wasn't perfect. 14 15 Q. Okay. But on Page 2, the paragraph marked with a 16 Α. 17 small B was deleted. The paragraph marked with a large A was deleted, the paragraph with a capital B was deleted, 18 the paragraph with the capital C was deleted. 19 20 And can you tell us, please, what that --Q. 21 those paragraphs under little B were; generally what the 22 substance of them was? I mean I can be a little more 23 specific than that. Did they provide for an alternative 24 method of execution or an alternative drug setup? 25 Α. Yes.

Page 17

## Page 18 1 0. Okay. And what was the alternative? 2 Α. It would have been to use the drug midazolam 3 in combination with the drug hydromorphone. Okay. When you were directed to draft -- were 4 Q. 5 you also directed to draft Exhibit 3? 6 Α. It was part of the same project. 7 Q. It was part of the same project. Okay. 8 Were you directed to provide those two 9 alternatives? 10 Α. No. 11 Okay. So who decided that there would only be Q. 12 one alternative? I did. 13 Α. 14 Q. And then you -- I presume you made that recommendation to the director? 15 16 Α. Correct. 17 Q. Why did you decide that? 18 We were able to obtain pentobarbital. Α. 19 When did you draft Exhibit 3? Q. Other than saying that it was prior to 20 Α. 21 October 18 of 2013, I can say it was in that time frame. Ι don't know that I could give you a specific date. 22 23 I'm not sure what in that time frame means 0. 24 though.

25 A. Prior to that.

	Page 19
1	Q. But I mean six months prior, a year prior?
2	A. No. It would have been probably when the
3	draft was actually created, probably after the governor's
4	announcement to return that the State of Missouri would
5	be returning to propofol and October 18, sometime in that
6	time frame. It may have been a few days before that
7	announcement, may have been a few days after.
8	Q. So you had this project before that
9	announcement?
10	A. Yes. I had the project to review the
11	protocol, yes.
12	Q. So I'm sorry. What was the project exactly?
13	What were you assigned to do?
14	A. I was assigned to make sure that the
15	department had an execution protocol that it could carry
16	out its statutory obligations.
17	Q. So was were you involved in a decision that
18	the propofol protocol probably wouldn't do that?
19	MR. HANSEN: Object to the form of the
20	question. It's vague and ambiguous.
21	MS. CARLYLE: It is vague and ambiguous. Let
22	me try saying it again.
23	Q. (By Ms. Carlyle) If I understood you if I
24	understand you, you said that your assignment was to make
25	sure that the department had a protocol that they would

Page 20 1 carry out? 2 Α. Correct. 3 ο. Was -- did you have any concern that the protocol -- there was a protocol in effect at the time you 4 5 got the assignment? 6 I mean -- I'm sorry if I've mischaracterized Α. 7 it. Just as part of my duties -- my assignment may be misleading. Part of my duties as general counsel is to 8 9 make sure the department has an execution protocol so it can carry out its statutory requirements. You keep using 10 11 it as I was given a directive. And I didn't mean to 12 mislead you. 13 0. Okay. So what you're saying is that at all 14 times, that was part of your job? 15 It was part of my job. Α. Is it still part of your job? 16 Q. 17 Α. I still have some involvement in the execution process. I don't know. It has not been clarified to me 18 what my role is regarding drafting protocols. 19 20 So when did you decide that you needed to --Q. 21 to draft Exhibit 3 -- I guess what I'm saying is, you might 22 have started on Exhibit 3 before the governor made his 23 announcement; is that correct? That is correct. 2.4 Α. 25 Did you know the governor was going to make Q.

Page 21 1 his announcement ahead of time and that's why you started 2 or did you start for another reason? 3 Α. I started for another reason. And what was that? 4 Q. 5 Α. Based on information that I was seeing and hearing, I wasn't sure that propofol would have remained --6 7 that we would have been able to get any more at that point. So I began looking at alternatives for new chemicals, new 8 9 sources, that kind of thing. 10 Okay. What was your involvement -- now, one Q. 11 difference between both Exhibit 1 -- well, one difference 12 between Exhibit 1 and the protocols that were adopted prior 13 to it is that it includes the pharmacist as a member of the 14 execution team, correct? 15 Α. Correct. 16 Q. The pharmacist wasn't included as a member -there was no pharmacist included as a member of the 17 propofol protocol execution team, was there? 18 19 Not to my knowledge. Α. 20 If you're not sure, I can pull it up. Q. 21 Α. You asked if there was a pharmacist that was a member of the execution team. 22 23 0. Yes. I'm not sure that I know all of the members of 24 Α. the execution team while the propofol protocol was pending, 25

Page 22 if you're asking me about the language of the protocol. 1 2 Well, the protocol -- maybe I'm unclear. Are Q. 3 there members of the execution team that are not listed in 4 the protocol? 5 Α. The protocol describes who may be a member of 6 the execution team. 7 Q. Right. 8 Α. The protocol does not identify the members of the execution team. 9 10 It identifies them by position however? Q. 11 Α. Yes. I'm with you now. 12 So although it doesn't say the physician Dr. X Q. is a member of the execution team, the protocol describes 13 the functions of the people who are members of the 14 15 execution team? 16 Α. Correct. 17 ο. It does not, for example, include a manufacturer who sells chemicals to a pharmacist? 18 19 Which protocol are you discussing? Α. 20 Any of them. Q. 21 Α. I believe the current protocol would cover the 22 supplier. 23 Do you recall this protocol which is Exhibit 1 Q. which you have -- oh, I see. Including individuals. Yeah. 24 25 Okay.

Page 23 1 So you believe that the person who -- the firm 2 that sells chemicals to the compounding pharmacist under 3 this protocol is a member of the execution team? Α. I believe they are covered by the protocol, 4 5 yes. 6 MS. CARLYLE: Okay. But the -- well, let me 7 pull up that propofol protocol. It will be clearer if I look at the right page and number. 8 THE WITNESS: Actually, while you're doing 9 that, do you mind if I step out and ask my attorney a 10 question? 11 12 MS. CARLYLE: Sure. You can step out and ask your attorney a question. 13 14 (Whereupon there was a short break.) 15 Q. (By Ms. Carlyle) Mr. Briesacher, what I'm going to show you is a document which has been filed as 16 17 Exhibit 4 to Document 73 in this current lawsuit filed April 10 of 2013. And it's a three-page document and I'm 18 19 going to show you Page 1. 20 MR. HANSEN: So the record is clear, you're 21 actually showing him your laptop computer. 22 MS. CARLYLE: That's true. 23 MR. HANSEN: Which has the electronic document 24 that you have identified as Exhibit 4 attached to Document 25 72 -- doesn't that say?

Page 24 MS. CARLYLE: I believe it says 73. But hang 1 2 on. 3 MR. HANSEN: Yes, that's right. 4 Q. (By Ms. Carlyle) I know that I've now made the 5 print smaller so you could see the whole page. 6 Α. Okav. 7 But let me ask you -- and I can make it bigger Q. 8 if you want me to do that. 9 Α. No. If you can be patient with me, I think I 10 can read it. 11 Q. Okay. 12 Α. Okay. Okay. So first of all, I mean can we agree 13 Q. 14 that's the May 2012 protocol? Do you want to see the end? 15 I will trust your assertion. Α. 16 MR. HANSEN: Well, let's scroll through it. Ι 17 believe that to be true, but let's scroll down. It says it's three pages. Let's look at the date on the bottom. 18 19 Yes. It's May 15, 2012. Α. 20 (By Ms. Carlyle) Okay. And who are the Q. 21 execution team members by function in that protocol? Can 22 you see that or do you want me to make it larger? 23 No. I can see that. By function, we're Α. 24 talking about those described in Paragraph A? 25 Right. Q.

		Page 25
1	A. The team consists of contracted medical	
2	personnel and department employees. A physician, nurse or	
3	pharmacist prepares the chemicals used during the lethal	
4	injection. A physician, nurse or emergency medical	
5	technician inserts intravenous lines, monitors the prisoner	
6	and supervises the injection of lethal chemicals by	
7	non-medical members of the execution team and two	
8	department employees inject the chemicals into the	
9	prisoner.	
10	Q. Okay. Now, the execution team members in	
11	Exhibit 1, Exhibit MB1, to those descriptions is added the	
12	last sentence of Paragraph A, correct?	
13	A. I'm not sure I would completely agree with	
14	that.	
15	Q. Okay. What do you disagree with?	
16	A. I don't think the first sentence on Exhibit 1	
17	encompasses everyone that is described in Paragraph A of	
18	the May protocol.	
19	Q. Okay.	
20	A. So I think the second sentence encompasses	
21	that those some of those people, individuals.	
22	Q. But the second sentence in Exhibit A	
23	Paragraph A of Exhibit 1 also encompasses some people who	
24	simply aren't included in the 2012 document, correct?	
25	A. It could, yes.	

Page 26 1 0. Specifically, it doesn't -- the 2012 document 2 makes no mention of anyone who prescribes drugs, does it? 3 Α. If you don't mind. I don't mind at all. 4 Q. 5 MR. HANSEN: To make it easier, it's actually the same as Exhibit 3, correct? 6 7 THE WITNESS: That portion appears to be the 8 same. 9 MS. CARLYLE: You're right. Okay. 10 I'm not sure that a person who was writing a Α. prescription would not have been covered by the May 2012. 11 12 (By Ms. Carlyle) I'm not asking you that. I'm Q. 13 asking whether there's any mention of anyone writing a 14 prescription? 15 Direct mention? Α. 16 Q. Yes. 17 Α. No. There's no use of the word "prescription" in Paragraph A. 18 19 Q. Okay. There's no mention of anyone who 20 compounds chemicals, is there, in Paragraph A of the 2012 21 protocol and in your previous draft Exhibit 3? 22 I think I would disagree with that. Α. 23 There's a -- the word "compound" occurs in Q. 24 that paragraph? 25 MR. HANSEN: That wasn't your question.

Page 27 MS. CARLYLE: Okay. Then I'm clarifying my 1 2 question. 3 Ο. (By Ms. Carlyle) Does the word "compound" 4 appear in that paragraph? 5 Α. In the May 2012 protocol, the word "compound" it not located in Paragraph A. 6 7 Okay. Is there any mention in Paragraph A of Q. 8 the May 2012 protocol of anyone who supplies chemicals? 9 Α. Let me make sure I understand your question. Is the phrase "supplies chemicals" used in the May 2012 10 protocol? 11 12 Q. Well, that's my first question. 13 Α. No, I don't recall seeing that language. 14 Q. Do you read the May 2012 chemical [sic] as 15 covering someone who supplied chemicals, but did not 16 prepare them for use in execution? 17 Α. I believe that it might. 18 Okay. Are you aware that prior to the Q. issuance of Exhibit 1, the Attorney General's Office made 19 20 no attempt to hide the identity of anyone who supplied 21 chemicals? I have no knowledge of that. 22 Α. 23 Q. Okay. So you weren't consulted before the 24 identity of the suppliers of propofol were disclosed? 25 MR. HANSEN: I'm going to object to

Page 28 attorney/client privilege of conversations that might have 1 2 existed between the client and the lawyers. 3 MS. CARLYLE: Okay. I'm not asking him for any conversations. I'm asking was he aware -- okay. 4 5 Q. (By Ms. Carlyle) Were you aware that the suppliers of propofol were disclosed? 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. Okay. Were you involved in the decision about 9 whether or not to disclose them? 10 No. And if I may clarify. In May 2012, I was Α. not working for the Department of Corrections. 11 12 Absolutely. And I had forgotten that. So who Q. was the general counsel of the Department of Corrections in 13 14 2012? 15 A. It's my understanding that it was David 16 Hansen. 17 MS. CARLYLE: Okay. Mr. Hansen, we'll take your deposition another day. 18 19 MR. HANSEN: Not my decision. 20 MS. CARLYLE: That's true. 21 (By Ms. Carlyle) Okay. But in any event, you Q. 22 drafted Exhibit 1. And in Exhibit 1, Paragraph A, you made direct references to individuals who -- and I reading from 23 Exhibit 1 now -- prescribe, compound, prepare or otherwise 24 25 supply the chemicals for use in the lethal injection

Page 29 1 procedure? 2 Α. Correct. 3 ο. Were you directed to do that specifically by anyone in the Department of Corrections? 4 5 Α. No. 6 Who under Missouri law decides who's going to Ο. 7 be on the execution team? The director of the Missouri Department of 8 Α. Corrections. 9 10 Q. Okay. I'm going to ask you some questions now 11 about a pharmacy which has been designated by the 12 department of -- by the counsel for the defendants in this case as M6. Do you know what concern I'm talking about? 13 14 MR. HANSEN: Object to the form of the 15 question. It's vague and ambiguous when you say "concern." 16 MS. CARLYLE: I mean the business. 17 MR. HANSEN: Okay. 18 I'm familiar with M6. Α. 19 (By Ms. Carlyle) Okay. And M6 was the Q. 20 pharmacy that supplied the execution drugs for Joseph 21 Franklin, Alan Nicklasson and Herbert Smulls execution, 22 correct? 23 Correct. Α. Just making sure we're talking about the same 24 Q. 25 pharmacy here. Were you the person who located or

Page 30 1 recruited that source of the drugs? 2 Α. My hesitation is located or recruited implies 3 kind of two different things. So, yes, I participated in 4 that process. 5 Okay. Who else participated in that process? Q. Α. The division director David Dormire. 6 7 Well, did you provide the name to Mr. Dormire? Q. 8 Α. Yes. 9 Where'd you get it? Q. 10 MR. HANSEN: Objection. MS. CARLYLE: What's the objection? 11 12 MR. HANSEN: The objection is that information 13 is specifically the information that the Lombardi -- it will lead to revealing the identity of a past member of the 14 15 execution team. 16 MS. CARLYLE: I'm asking him who it was. I'm 17 asking him how he figured out to call this pharmacy. 18 MR. HANSEN: And by determining who he contacted, who he talked about it with, that is information 19 that would directly lead to determining the identity of a 20 21 former member of the execution team. And under the Lombardi decision and under --22 23 MS. CARLYLE: Well --2.4 MR. HANSEN: Let me finish. 25 MS. CARLYLE: Sure. You finish and then I'll

1 finish.

2	MR. HANSEN: Under 546.720 and under the State
3	Secrets privilege, that information is protected.
4	MS. CARLYLE: Let me just make clear for the
5	record that the Lombardi decision does not protect
6	anything. The Lombardi decision held that the Court should
7	have granted a Writ of Mandamus because the case should
8	have been dismissed. The Lombardi decision says expressly
9	the privilege issues in this case are complex, but we do
10	not address them.
11	The district court in this case has already
12	held that there is no privilege and that the State Secrets
13	Act does noted protect this information. That decision has
14	never been disturbed by any court. So it may or may not be
15	enforceable at this point. But that is the only decision
16	that has been made about privilege in this case.
17	That's not to say that you shouldn't go ahead
18	and assert the privilege. But let me also add that what is
19	privileged is the identity. I think when we get to the
20	point where we cannot ask this witness about the process
21	that led to the identification of M6 because it might
22	identify M6, then I think it's clear that there's been
23	improper inference with the discovery process.
24	MR. HANSEN: Well, that's exactly what our
25	concern is. Let me make clear for the record exactly

	Pa
1	maybe a little more clear what the objection is. Pursuant
2	to the Lombardi decisions, there are the Lombardi
3	decision, there are no claims in this case to which this
4	information to claims in this case is relevant. Because
5	Plaintiffs have not stated claims, even in their second
6	amended complaint, on which relief can be granted. So that
7	information, as the Lombardi court determined, is protected
8	from disclosure including in discovery.
9	Your question seeking information about that
10	would lead to the members of the Missouri execution team.
11	That information is not relevant based on your second
12	amended complaint. It's confidential, privileged and
13	protected from disclosure, again under the Lombardi
14	decision, under section 546.702 of the Missouri statutes
15	and under the State Secrets provision.
16	I know we're going to continue to get into
17	this some of these similar questions.
18	MS. CARLYLE: Let's see if we can get the
19	judge on the phone.
20	MR. HANSEN: I will have similar objections to
21	much of this information.
22	MS. CARLYLE: Okay. Let's see if you we can
23	get the judge on the phone. I'm frankly not asking him to
24	tell me who M6 is. I'm asking him what process he went
25	through to determine if I wanted a compounding

Page 32

		Page 33
1	pharmacist, there's some ways I can do it. I don't know	
2	how he did it. The only thing I can say is I think I'm	
3	entitled whether or not he's supposed to identify M6, I'm	
4	entitled to know for the purposes of this lawsuit how he	
5	came up with a name.	
6	MR. HANSEN: And we the attorneys in this	
7	case have demonstrated by the sheer disclosure of a form of	
8	a document, that you will take that information, you will	
9	narrow down who that pharmacy was or is or attempt to and	
10	determine what the range of possibilities	
11	MS. CARLYLE: Oh, no question about it. We're	
12	going to use whatever information you give us.	
13	MR. HANSEN: what the range of	
14	possibilities are. So the information that is related	
15	that could reveal those identities is protected. And it's	
16	our position that based on Lombardi and based on your	
17	second amended complaint, there should be no disclosure of	
18	that information in that lawsuit.	
19	MS. CARLYLE: Of course the relevance issue in	
20	discovery is far different than the relevance issue, you	
21	know, in connection with evidentiary privileges as you	
22	know. Moreover, there has been no ruling on the current	
23	motion to dismiss the second amended complaint the Lombardi	
24	court didn't purport to do that because it hadn't been	
25	filed yet when the Lombardi court made its ruling.	

		Page 34
1	So there is no ruling that there is no issue	
2	in the second amended complaint to which this information	
3	is not relevant because there has been no ruling on the	
4	second amended complaint by anyone, including the Lombardi	
5	court.	
6	But let's see if we can get the judge on the	
7	phone. We may end up just adjourning this deposition.	
8	Because I'm not sure we're going to get very far if that's	
9	the position you're going to take.	
10	MR. HANSEN: I agree. And I frankly think	
11	that we need to probably present that issue to the Court in	
12	a fuller context than a conference call. But we can	
13	proceed.	
14	MS. CARLYLE: Let's see what we can do. I	
15	guess what I would say again for the record is that in our	
16	recent telephone conference with the judge, she encouraged	
17	us to come to her when we had problems. And as I perceived	
18	it at least, was concerned that plaintiffs had not been	
19	proactive enough in doing that. So with that in mind, I'd	
20	like to see what she has to say at this point.	
21	MR. HANSEN: I understand and agree	
22	completely. What I'm just saying is I don't think I	
23	think this issue is significant enough and is going to be	
24	repeated enough that it may take more than just an argument	
25	over the phone. But I think that should be the first step.	

		Page 35
1	MS. CARLYLE: We can go off.	
2	(Whereupon there was a conference call with	
3	Judge Philips.)	
4	MS. CARLYLE: We're back on the record. Let	
5	me attempt to summarize what we just did for the record.	
6	And then of course, Mr. Hansen, you can correct my summary	
7	if I have it wrong. During the time we were off the	
8	record, we contacted Judge Philips and she stated in	
9	response to the state's the defendant's objection to my	
10	questioning Mr. Briesacher about the method by which he	
11	located or identified the pharmacy M6, she ruled that that	
12	issue was not relevant to the claims before the Court and	
13	that I could therefore not ask those questions. Is that	
14	fair?	
15	MR. HANSEN: I think that's accurate.	
16	MS. CARLYLE: Okay. So just for the record, I	
17	want to say that while I understand that's the Court's	
18	ruling, by not asking those questions again, I'm not	
19	intending to say that I will never again attempt to bring	
20	that up with the Court in attempt to raise that issue again	
21	for other reasons and on other bases and in other context.	
22	But for the purposes of this deposition, I will not ask	
23	about that area.	
24	Q. (By Ms. Carlyle) Let me just ask you, are you	
25	willing to affirm or deny that the that M6 refers to the	

Page 36 1 hypothecary shop in Tulsa, Oklahoma? 2 MR. HANSEN: Objection to the question. 3 Again, the very same objection I made earlier. (By Ms. Carlyle) Okay. But if I'm not 4 Q. 5 mistaken, before we started this objection process, you indicated that you did provide the name of M6 to Mr. 6 7 Dormire; is that correct? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Okay. Did you provide Mr. Dormire with 0. 10 information concerning the bidding process for supplying the chemicals? 11 12 Α. Yes. Mr. Dormire has indicated that he was told he 13 **Q**. 14 needed to make contact with three pharmacies in order to 15 obtain a bid. Did that information come from you? I don't believe I can answer that question. I 16 Α. 17 think it would be attorney/client communication. Did Mr. Dormire tell you he planned to do that 18 Q. by contacting the name you provided and calling two other 19 20 pharmacies at random from the phone book? 21 MR. HANSEN: I'm going to object to the form 22 of the question in that it is a compound -- compound 23 question. 24 Answer to the degree you can unless it would reveal an attorney/client communication or legal advice. 25

Page 37 I do not recall if we discussed the -- his Α. 1 2 actions before or after he took them. 3 Ο. (By Ms. Carlyle) Do you know whether pharmacy M6 has provided execution drugs to other states? 4 5 MR. HANSEN: I'm going to object to the form of the question -- excuse me -- not the form of the 6 7 question. I'm going to object again for the same reason I 8 objected to the question about whether or not he spoke to 9 the pharmacy or who he spoke to. And I don't know, if I 10 can just refer to my initial objection, would that be sufficient for you? 11 12 MS. CARLYLE: Yes. 13 Q. (By Ms. Carlyle) Did you do any research or 14 make any inquiry concerning the reliability of M6? 15 Α. Yes. Did you inspect M6, the pharmacy M6, before 16 Q. 17 obtaining --MR. HANSEN: Again, I'm going to object the 18 same -- my original objection. 19 20 MS. CARLYLE: You're objecting to the yes or 21 no question did you visit the place? MR. HANSEN: Well, I'll withdraw the 22 objection. Just bear in mind that we have to be very 23 careful about --24 25 MS. CARLYLE: I understand it.

		Page 38
1	MR. HANSEN: Just let me we have to be very	
2	careful about what we allow to answer. Because certainly	
3	if that answer would reveal the identity, it would be very	
4	difficult to take it back. Although, under I do want to	
5	make this on the record. I think you're aware of the	
6	Protective Order 112. But it does give parties is it 10	
7	or 14 days to designate as confidential things that	
8	weren't designated at the time of the deposition. So there	
9	may be things we would designate confidential after this	
10	deposition.	
11	MS. CARLYLE: Okay.	
12	MR. HANSEN: We want to be very careful. So I	
13	may err on the side of objecting prematurely. But go	
14	ahead.	
15	Q. (By Ms. Carlyle) So the question to which I	
16	think the objection has been withdrawn is did you inspect	
17	the pharmacy?	
18	A. Did I go to visit the pharmacy?	
19	Q. Yes.	
20	A. No.	
21	Q. To your knowledge, did anyone from DOC visit	
22	the pharmacy before obtaining drugs from them?	
23	A. Not not to my knowledge.	
24	Q. Okay. Do you know Jake Jackson?	
25	A. No.	

Page 39 1 **Q**. Do you -- if I tell you he's the general 2 counsel of the hypothecary shop in Tulsa, Oklahoma do you 3 know him based on that description? MR. HANSEN: I'm going to object again for my 4 5 original objection. Because again, any questions about any other pharmacies allow you to narrow the scope down. 6 And 7 we're going to assert the original objection because it will reveal potentially identities to current or former. 8 MS. CARLYLE: I'm just asking him if he knows 9 the general counsel of the hypothecary shop in Tulsa, 10 Oklahoma. 11 12 MR. HANSEN: And I've asserted the objection. (By Ms. Carlyle) Okay. Did you make inquiry 13 Q. 14 as to any professional complaints filed against pharmacy 15 M6? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. Were there any? 18 Α. I can't --19 MR. HANSEN: Again, I'm going to object to 20 that question --21 MS. CARLYLE: Okay. MR. HANSEN: -- based on my original 22 23 objection. 24 (By Ms. Carlyle) Do you know whether pharmacy Q. 25 M6 ever compounded pentobarbital before supplying it to the

Page 40 1 Missouri Department of Corrections? 2 Α. Yes, I do know whether it compounded 3 pentobarbital prior to compounding it for the Missouri Department of Corrections. 4 5 Q. And did it? 6 Α. I can't answer that question. 7 MS. CARLYLE: Let me just state for the record that if -- but for the judge's ruling, I would have asked 8 Mr. Briesacher to describe the history of his dealings with 9 M6, including how he initially contacted them and all of 10 his subsequent dealings with them. I can ask those 11 12 questions more specifically, but I'm assuming they'd be 13 subject to your current objection. 14 MR. HANSEN: That's correct. And that's sufficient. 15 16 Q. (By Ms. Carlyle) Okay. Let's turn to the --17 to the person who prescribes -- who writes prescriptions for the pentobarbital. Was that a person that you 18 19 identified? 20 Α. Yes. 21 And are you willing to tell us what steps you Q. 22 took to identify that person? 23 MR. HANSEN: I'm going to object based on my 24 original objection. 25 (By Ms. Carlyle) Did you investigate the Q.

Page 41 1 license of that person? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. How did you investigate it? MR. HANSEN: Same objection. 4 5 (By Ms. Carlyle) Let me show you what's Q. been -- let's take a look at Exhibit 4. 6 7 THE WITNESS: Do you mind if I take my jacket 8 off? 9 MS. CARLYLE: Not a bit. 10 MR. HANSEN: Off the record. 11 (Whereupon there was an off-the-record 12 discussion.) 13 (Whereupon Exhibit No. 4 was marked for 14 identification.) 15 (By Ms. Carlyle) Mr. Briesacher, I've handed Q. you what's been marked as Exhibit 4. Do you want to trade 16 17 me. And I will state for the record that this is Discovery Pages 2048, 2049, 2050 and 2051 which were included in the 18 disc provided on January 10, 2014. 19 20 Can you tell me what -- first of all, are 21 those the -- well, tell me what you have there. The first page is a letter dated 11/27/2013 to 22 Α. my attention. The second page is a summary of charges. 23 24 And the third and fourth page were -- sorry. The third page is terms and conditions. And the fifth page is 25

Page 42 e-mails between myself and another individual dated 1 2 November 27, 2013. 3 Q. And I understand based on previous objections, that you're not willing to identify the person or business 4 5 to whom this is -- this is -- this correspondence pertains. 6 But I'll ask you, does it pertain to a laboratory? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. And does it concern testing of chemicals for 9 lethal injection? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Were you the person who located or selected Q. 12 this laboratory? 13 MR. HANSEN: Let's talk about this for a 14 second. 15 MS. CARLYLE: Off the record. 16 (Whereupon there was a short break.) 17 (Whereupon the reporter read back the previous 18 question.) 19 No. Α. 20 (By Ms. Carlyle) Who did? Q. 21 Α. M6. 22 Q. M6? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Is there a connection between this laboratory Q. 25 and M6?

Page 43 Α. I don't know if there's a current relationship 1 2 between M6 and this laboratory. 3 Q. At the time that that you were engaged in this correspondence, was there? 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 ο. Are you aware that Mr. Dormire paid M6 a 7 combined payment for the services of the pharmacy and the 8 laboratory services? Α. 9 Yes. 10 Can you tell me at the time you were Q. 11 corresponding with the laboratory, what the connection was 12 between the two businesses? 13 Α. They had a contractual relationship. 14 Q. Was laboratory testing performed on the drug 15 used to execute Michael Taylor? 16 MR. HANSEN: We're going to object for the 17 same reasons as my initial objection. MS. CARLYLE: Let me just be clear. You're 18 19 objecting to answering the question about whether or not 20 laboratory testing was performed? 21 MR. HANSEN: Yes. MS. CARLYLE: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and 22 ask the rest of these questions so we'll be clear about 23 what I would ask. 24 25 MR. HANSEN: Sure.

1 **Q**. (By Ms. Carlyle) Why have no laboratory 2 reports been provided on any testing for Michael Taylor? 3 MR. HANSEN: Same objection. 4 Q. (By Ms. Carlyle) Was that testing performed, 5 if there was any performed, by the same laboratory or a different laboratory? 6 7 MR. HANSEN: Same objection. 8 Q. (By Ms. Carlyle) With how many laboratories 9 does the Department of Corrections have contracts to test 10 pentobarbital? 11 MR. HANSEN: Same objection. 12 Q. (By Ms. Carlyle) Do you believe that the 13 laboratory is a member of the execution team? 14 MR. HANSEN: I'm going to object to the form of the question and vagueness. I'm not sure what 15 execution, what time frame we're talking about. 16 17 ο. (By Ms. Carlyle) Today, is the laboratory a member of the execution team? 18 19 MR. HANSEN: I will object to that question based on my original objection. 20 21 MS. CARLYLE: Well, the judge says she won't be back until 1:00. We probably do need to call her about 22 that. 23 24 Let me ask you to pull out Exhibit 5. Do you 25 want to mark that for us, please.

Page 44

Page 45 (Whereupon Exhibit No. 5 was marked for 1 2 identification.) 3 Q. (By Ms. Carlyle) Can you tell us if you know what that it, please? 4 5 Α. Yes. This is a bid record document. 6 Q. Okay. 7 MR. HANSEN: Could we identify the Bates numbers? 8 MS. CARLYLE: Certainly. The Bates number on 9 this document is 1292, again from the disc that was 10 provided on January 10, 2014. 11 12 (By Ms. Carlyle) When does it reflect that Q. 13 the -- well, when was it signed? 14 Α. The document is dated 10/21/13. 15 Q. And this document refers to bidding for 10 grams of injectable pentobarbital, correct? 16 17 Α. Correct. 18 Q. Are you aware of the existence of any other 19 bid records like this for injectable pentobarbital? 20 No, I'm not aware of any other bid records. Α. 21 Okay. So there is no similar bid record that Q. 22 might have been created after October 21 of 2013 as far as 23 you know? A. As far as I know. I'm not aware of any bid 2.4 record created after this one. 25

Page 46 1 0. Again, but for the judge's ruling, I would now 2 ask you -- well, actually I think you answered this one. 3 Did you identify M7? Did I? Α. 4 5 Q. I mean did you find it, locate it, whatever? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Okay. And did you provide the name of M7 to Q. 8 Mr. Dormire? 9 Α. Correct. 10 When did you do that? Q. I do not recall. 11 Α. 12 Q. Can you give me within a month or a year? 13 Α. It would have been within -- I'm completely 14 blanking. What month is this? 15 This is March. Q. It would have been February -- January or 16 Α. 17 February of this year. 18 Okay. Did you provide him with that name Q. before the Oklahoma judgment in which M6 -- or which the 19 20 hypothecary shop in Tulsa, Oklahoma which I guess hasn't 21 been identified as M6, agreed not to supply drugs for 22 Missouri, did you provide the name before that? 23 MR. HANSEN: Objection based on my original 24 objection. 25 MS. CARLYLE: You're objecting to giving a

Page 47 date when you provided the name of M7 to Mr. Dormire? 1 2 MR. HANSEN: All right. Go ahead. 3 Α. I don't recall. 4 Q. (By Ms. Carlyle) You don't remember whether it 5 was before or after that judgment? 6 Α. I don't know that I've ever seen that 7 judgment. 8 Q. Okay. Well, I can give you a date. Let me 9 just ask it this way because we don't really need a date. 10 At the time that you provided that name, were you aware 11 that a complaint had been filed against the hypothecary 12 shop? Yes. 13 Α. 14 Q. So if the complaint was filed on February 11 15 of 2014, then the -- then you provided the name after that; would that be fair? 16 17 Α. I don't know that I can confirm or deny that. I do not know. 18 19 Q. I understand that. I'm saying if I were to 20 represent to you that the complaint was filed on 21 February 11, what you're telling me is that it was after 22 that event that you provided the name? 23 No. What I'm saying is, I do not know that it Α. was before -- whether it was before or after that date. 24 25 Okay. Was there someone in the Department of Q.

Page 48 1 Corrections who directed you to find another pharmacy? 2 Α. No. 3 Q. Were you referred to M7 by M6? MR. HANSEN: Object. I will object based on 4 5 my original objection to that question. 6 (By Ms. Carlyle) Did you perform research Q. 7 concerning the reliability of M7? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Do you know whether M7 had ever supplied 0. 10 execution drugs in other states? MR. HANSEN: Objection based on my original 11 12 objection. 13 Q. (By Ms. Carlyle) Do you know whether M7 had ever compounded pentobarbital before supplying it to MDOC? 14 15 MR. HANSEN: Same objection. 16 MS. CARLYLE: He answered it for M6. 17 MR. HANSEN: I know he did. 18 MS. CARLYLE: I think you waived the objection 19 then. 20 MR. HANSEN: Go ahead and answer unless you --21 MR. SPILLANE: Let the judge decide. I don't know that he waived it. 22 23 MS. BORESI: It's with regard to a different 24 entity. 25 MS. CARLYLE: Well, that's true. It's exactly

Page 49 the same question however. 1 2 (By Ms. Carlyle) Did you make inquiry as to Q. 3 whether any professional complaints had been filed against 4 M7? 5 Α. Yes. And where there any? 6 Q. 7 MR. HANSEN: Objection based on the original 8 objection. 9 MS. CARLYLE: Again, I'll state for the record but for the judge's earlier ruling, I would explore with 10 Mr. Briesacher the complete history of his dealings with 11 12 M7. And I would further state, which I didn't this morning, that I believe this is relevant to our deliberate 13 indifference claim. But if you want to make the same 14 15 objection, that's fine. 16 MR. HANSEN: Same objection. 17 Ο. (By Ms. Carlyle) Let's go back to Exhibit 5. Did you perform the redactions on Exhibit 5? 18 19 Α. I do not recall. I may have. 20 Okay. Have you been involved in performing Q. 21 redactions on discovery that's been supplied to the 22 plaintiffs in this case? 23 Yes, I have. Α. 24 Exhibit 5 reflects that in the case of two, I Q. 25 quess, requests, the product was not available; is that

Page 50 1 correct? 2 Α. Yes, that's what the document states. 3 ο. Okay. Did you decide to redact the identity of the people who said that the drug was not available? 4 5 Α. Yes. Why did you do that? 6 ο. 7 Α. I believe that information is confidential as it could lead to the identity of the bidder that did 8 receive the award. 9 10 Q. Okay. Let me just ask you because I think I 11 kind of need to understand this to understand exactly what 12 you do now. You said up until -- first of all, before I 13 forget, are you separately represented today? Who's your 14 lawyer who's here? 15 MR. HANSEN: The Attorney General's Office represents the Department of Corrections and he's --16 17 MS. CARLYLE: Mr. Briesacher is a named party. 18 (By Ms. Carlyle) What I'm asking you is are Q. you personally represented by the Attorney General's Office 19 20 today? 21 Α. It's my understanding I'm not personally sued. I'm sued in my official capacity --22 23 ο. Right. I understand that. 2.4 -- which means it's the same as a suit against Α. the department. So I would be represented by the Attorney 25

Page 51 General's Office. 1 2 Okay. Let me also then ask you, you said your Q. 3 job title had changed about a month ago. 4 Α. Correct. 5 Was the department you're in restructured in Q. 6 some way? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. So what's the current structure of it, just so 9 I understand who's who, if you don't mind? 10 A. Of the entire department or just the legal 11 department? 12 Q. Just the legal department. It would take you 13 a while probably to do the entire department. 14 Α. I'm not sure I could do the entire department. 15 But just the legal department, I report to the general 16 counsel Mr. Williams. 17 Q. Okay. 18 And then two attorneys report to me. Α. 19 Q. Okay. 20 Α. And I guess there are other units that report 21 to the general counsel's office. There are other -- what's a unit in that 22 0. 23 sense? 24 Α. The Procedures and Forms Management Unit reports to the general counsel and the Prison Rape 25

Page 52 Elimination Act Unit -- Central Office Unit also reports to 1 2 that position. 3 Ο. Okay. And so when you were general counsel, did those two units report to you? 4 5 Α. Correct. 6 So until four weeks ago, what was the Ο. 7 structure of the legal department? There was no deputy general counsel. 8 Α. 9 ο. Okay. Has your role in the execution process changed as a result of your change in job title? 10 Α. 11 Yes. 12 ο. Okay. Then we'll divide it up into two here. 13 Let's talk first of all about up until four weeks ago. Did 14 you participate in simulation training for executions? Α. 15 Yes. 16 Q. Okay. And what was your role in the 17 simulation trainings? 18 Α. It would probably best be described as a 19 subject matter expert. 20 ο. As is? 21 Α. Subject matter expert. 22 Q. What's a subject matter expert? 23 I was the one with the most knowledge of the Α. 24 protocol. 25 Do you have -- do you have knowledge of how to Q.

Page 53 1 insert intravenous lines and administer chemicals; is that 2 part of your knowledge base? 3 Α. No. I have no specific training in inserting intravenous lines or chemicals. 4 5 Q. So what did you do during the simulation 6 training? 7 Α. I observed and participated in the training. 8 And if there were questions that needed to be answered relating to department policy, I assisted in answering 9 those questions. 10 11 How did you participate in the training? Q. You 12 just said you observed or participated. I'm just asking 13 how you participated other than answering questions? 14 I guess -- I don't know a better way to Α. describe it. 15 16 Q. I guess -- maybe I'm just confused. Observed 17 is one thing and participated, to me, sort of sounds like another. Maybe that's a distinction you don't make. 18 But other than answering questions, what did you do during the 19 20 training sessions, I quess is the question. 21 Α. I was not the trainer. 22 Q. Okay. So I guess when I say I participated, the 23 Α. 24 trainer was responsible for providing the training. So I observed and participated in that I was receiving training 25

Page 54 from the trainer. 1 2 Q. Okay. So you were receiving training is what 3 you're telling me? 4 Α. Yeah, I quess so. 5 Q. What kind of training did you receive? 6 Α. I received training on the process to conduct 7 an execution. 8 Q. Okay. And why did you think you needed 9 training on the process of conducting an execution? 10 A. I was involved in writing the protocols and policies for conducting executions. 11 12 MS. CARLYLE: Okay. Let's take a look at 13 exhibit seven. 14 (Whereupon Exhibit No. 7 was marked for identification.) 15 16 MS. CARLYLE: I'm tendering to Mr. Briesacher Exhibit 7 which is Pages 1175, 1176, 1177 and 1178 of the 17 discovery provided on disc on January 10 of 2014. 18 19 MR. HANSEN: There's some pages behind it. Were these with it? They're not marked. 20 21 MS. CARLYLE: I have four pages in the 22 exhibit. 23 THE WITNESS: I don't know where those came from. 24 25 MR. HANSEN: They're not marked as exhibits.

Page 55 1 MS. CARLYLE: Yes, they are. 2 MR. HANSEN: Well, some have labels and some 3 don't. 4 MS. CARLYLE: That's because she's labeling 5 them as I bring them up. 6 MR. HANSEN: Okay. Well, I'm asking where 7 they belong. 8 MS. CARLYLE: They belong to you because 9 they're part of the set of copies that were bound together. 10 (By Ms. Carlyle) Back to Exhibit 7. Can you Q. 11 tell me please what Exhibit 7 is? 12 Α. The first page of the exhibit is a memo to me from Dr. Greg Markway dated November 15, 2013. The second 13 page is a memo from Dr. Greg Markway to me dated 14 15 September 5, 2013. The third page is a memo from Dr. Greg Markway to director George Lombardi dated 11/15/2013. 16 17 Ο. And does that reflect that there was a copy provided to you? 18 19 Yes, that is correct. It indicates there was Α. 20 a copy provided to Matt Sturm and to myself and to the 21 file. And the fourth page is a memo from Dr. Greg Markway to me dated 11/15/2013 with copies to Matt Sturm and the 22 23 file. 24 Q. Just so we're clear -- frankly I didn't realize that until this moment -- do Pages 1175 and 1178 25

		Page 56
1	appear to be to have the same text on them? I realize	
2	they have some different handwritten and stamped markings,	
3	but I think they're the same thing, are they not?	
4	A. Yes.	
5	Q. And what's the general topic of these memos?	
6	A. Offender Joseph Franklin.	
7	Q. And what about Offender Joseph Franklin?	
8	A. Appears to be reviews of his medical records.	
9	Q. In fact, they're reviews of the and contain	
10	recommendations concerning whether he's competent to be	
11	executed, don't they?	
12	A. Pursuant to Statute 552.010, correct.	
13	Q. What's your role or what at that time was your	
14	role in the determination as to whether a prisoner was	
15	competent to be executed?	
16	A. Providing legal advice and counsel if asked.	
17	Q. Okay. Did you well, is the this review,	
18	it looks like, was conducted by Dr. Markway; is that	
19	correct?	
20	A. That is correct.	
21	Q. Is that is it a part of or at least is	
22	it part of DOC policy that he performs that sort of review?	
23	A. It is the practice. I don't know if it is the	
24	policy.	
25	Q. Okay. I'm not I'm not I mean I think I	

Page 57 understand the difference. And that was the information I 1 2 needed. 3 Let's take a look at -- I'm looking for 8. 4 And it may be that I ended up with yours. 5 MR. HANSEN: I've got my copy of 8. 6 MS. CARLYLE: Okay. Let's see what I've got. 7 MR. HANSEN: I don't have a yellow... 8 MS. CARLYLE: There isn't a yellow one yet because she hasn't marked it. 9 10 MR. HANSEN: You're looking for an 8? 11 MS. CARLYLE: Yes. Do you have 8? 12 THE WITNESS: No. MR. HANSEN: I just handed you one. 13 14 MS. CARLYLE: You did. Give me just a second to reorganize here. If I do that, we'll save time later. 15 Do you have a 9? 16 17 MR. HANSEN: There's 9 right here. MS. CARLYLE: There should be another set of 18 19 those. 20 MR. HANSEN: I've got ones that were not 21 marked with exhibit stickers. All I've got is 1, 5, 6, 8 22 and 9. 23 MS. CARLYLE: Somehow my 8 and 9, I think, 24 migrated. 25 (Whereupon Exhibit No. 8 was marked for

Page 58 1 identification.) 2 MS. CARLYLE: So this is 8. You can use 3 whichever one you want. 4 MR. HANSEN: Look at the original one. 5 MS. CARLYLE: If you've marked that one up --6 I need to see one. 7 (By Ms. Carlyle) Okay. I've handed you what's Q. 8 been marked as Exhibit 8. I will tell you that it is 9 Discovery No. 2797. It was provided in discovery on 10 March 20, 2013 [sic]. And I'd ask you what that is? It is a memorandum from Dave Dormire to Lenny 11 Α. 12 Lenger dated March 20, 2014. 13 Q. And is it a request for payment for contracted 14 providers assisting in the execution of Jeff Ferguson? Α. 15 Yes. 16 Q. And does it list three providers and three 17 different amounts of money? Α. 18 Yes. 19 Q. Are you able to tell me by function which 20 amount goes with what function? That is, I'm not asking 21 you whether you're paying John Smith, but I'm asking is one 22 the pharmacy, is one --23 If I can use the M designations, would that be Α. 24 responsive? 25 **Q**. Sure.

Page 59 I believe the first one -- provider listed is 1 Α. 2 M6. 3 Q. M6? The pharmacy. 4 Α. 5 Okay. But is the pharmacy for Jeffrey Q. Ferguson? 6 7 Α. Oh, sorry. I got the dates wrong. M7. Thank 8 you for correcting me. 9 **Q**. Sure. 10 I believe the second provider listed is for M3 Α. and the third provider listed was for M2. 11 12 Q. Okay. So none of those providers are 13 laboratories, are they? M2 is the nurse, correct? 14 Α. You're correct on -- no. None of those providers are laboratories. 15 16 Q. Okay. Do you know whether the sum to be paid 17 to M7 includes the sum for laboratory testing? MR. HANSEN: Objection based on my original 18 19 objection. MS. CARLYLE: I actually don't have a whole 20 21 lot more. Let me go through that, and then if we have questions for the judge, we'll ask her all at once. If 22 that's okay. Because I'd like to ask her about that. 23 24 (By Ms. Carlyle) Let me take you back, Q. Mr. Briesacher, to the night of the execution of Alan 25

	Page 60
1	Nicklasson and ask you where were you located during the
2	period during the warrant period for Mr. Nicklasson? By
3	warrant period, I mean the period from 12:01 on the day
4	that he was scheduled to be executed until he was executed,
5	which I believe was 20 hours later.
6	A. When I was at the institution, I would have
7	been in a conference room in the administration building.
8	Q. Okay. When were you at the institution within
9	that period?
10	A. I don't think I could give you exact times.
11	Q. General times.
12	A. I believe there was a standdown during that
13	period. So any time that we were not on a standdown, I
14	would have been in the conference room or the vicinity
15	thereof.
16	Q. And when there wasn't a standdown, were you in
17	direct communication with Attorney General Koster?
18	A. Not the entire time.
19	Q. Okay. When did you last talk to Mr. Koster
20	before the execution?
21	MR. HANSEN: Objection, form of the question,
22	lack of foundation. Go ahead.
23	A. Well, immediately I shouldn't say just
24	immediately. But prior to the execution, I established
25	direct contact with the Attorney General's Office.

Page 61 1 **Q**. (By Ms. Carlyle) Okay. Were you talking to 2 Mr. Koster or someone else? 3 Α. I don't recall. 4 Q. Okay. Were you the person who was responsible 5 for telling Mr. Lombardi that there were no legal impediments to the execution? Not -- okay. Were you 6 7 responsible for communicating to Mr. Lombardi that there 8 were no legal impediments to the execution? 9 Α. I was responsible for relaying the message that we received from the Attorney General's Office prior 10 to execution. 11 12 **Q**. Okay. At the time Mr. Nicklasson was 13 executed, were you aware that there was a motion for Stay of Execution pending before the 8th Circuit? 14 15 Α. I do not recall. 16 Q. Did you tell Mr. Lombardi that there was a 17 Stay motion pending before the 8th Circuit? I do not recall. 18 Α. 19 Q. Let me ask you similarly for -- did you 20 perform a similar function during the warrant period for 21 Joseph Franklin? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. And were you then the person who was 24 responsible for relaying the message from the Attorney General's Office to Mr. Lombardi? 25

Page 62 1 Α. Yes. 2 And in both cases, Mr. Nicklasson and Q. 3 Mr. Franklin did you do that personally? Did you talk to Mr. Lombardi personally or did you relay that through some 4 5 third person? 6 Α. Personally. 7 Q. Was Mr. Lombardi at the institution? 8 Α. Yes. 9 **Q**. And was the same -- was the same process followed for Mr. Smulls? 10 11 Α. Same process? 12 Q. In that you were at the institution, you were 13 the person responsible for communicating to Mr. Lombardi and you did so personally? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. And with respect to Mr. Franklin, were 17 you aware at the time you made that communication, that 18 there was a Stay motion pending in the district court? 19 Α. I do not recall. 20 And did you tell Mr. Lombardi that? Q. 21 MR. HANSEN: I'm going to object to what he 22 told his client. 23 MS. CARLYLE: I -- I guess my response to that 24 objection is in that the protocol requires that he be notified -- that Mr. Lombardi be notified by the -- make a 25

Page 63 determination, I don't think this is really an 1 2 attorney/client function. Plus he's answered the question 3 that he doesn't recall three times. I think you've clearly waived that one. 4 5 MR. HANSEN: It is attorney/client potentially privileged communication. But go ahead. I'll withdraw the 6 7 objection. 8 You can answer the question same way you did 9 previously. 10 MS. CARLYLE: Unless he recalls. Α. Well, I guess since I don't recall if I knew 11 12 the information, I don't know that I can answer the 13 question you've just asked. 14 (By Ms. Carlyle) Okay. And with respect to Q. Mr. Smulls, were you aware at the time you communicated 15 16 with Mr. Lombardi, that there was a motion for Stay of 17 Execution pending in the United States Supreme Court? I don't recall if there was a motion pending 18 Α. or not. So I don't recall if I... 19 20 Well, there certainly was. The question is, Q. 21 did you know it? 22 I don't recall if I knew it or not. Α. 23 Q. Okay. And do you recall whether you communicated about that to Mr. Lombardi? 24 25 If I don't recall if I knew it, I can't recall Α.

Page 64 whether I communicated it to him. 1 2 MS. CARLYLE: Okay. I know we're being 3 cautious here. And that's what I'm doing. I don't want to be in a position where later on someone says, oh, but you 4 never asked him if he communicated that to Mr. Lombardi. 5 6 MR. HANSEN: I understand. 7 (By Ms. Carlyle) Okay. Do you have any Q. 8 knowledge about the process of disposing of unused 9 pentobarbital after executions? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Q. And what's that knowledge? 12 Α. I know for the executions we have conducted 13 how the disposal or lack of disposal has occurred. 14 Q. Well, tell me about what happened to the unused pentobarbital on Mr. Franklin's execution. 15 16 It was disposed of. Α. 17 Q. By whom? 18 Α. I would have to look at the document. 19 (Whereupon Exhibit No. 9 was marked for identification.) 20 21 (By Ms. Carlyle) Have a look at Exhibit 9. Q. 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. Can you tell us what Exhibit 9 is? 24 It is a chemical log dated -- well, for the Α. execution of Joseph Franklin on November 20, 2013. 25

Page 65 1 **Q**. Does that tell you what you need to know about 2 how the chemicals were disposed of? 3 Α. No. What document would you need to look at in 4 Q. 5 order to know that? 6 The -- either the chemical inventory sheet or Α. 7 there's another record of -- there are two forms regarding inventory that we've produced. And I don't remember the 8 titles of the two of them. 9 10 I guess what you're telling me is that in the Q. 11 materials that have been produced are the documents you 12 need? Yes. 13 Α. 14 MR. HANSEN: Are you asking who did it or how it was done? Just to kind of move it along. 15 MS. CARLYLE: I asked who did it. He said 16 17 he'd have to look. But let's move along. Let's go off the record for a minute. 18 19 (Whereupon there was a short break.) 20 (By Ms. Carlyle) So first let me ask this Q. 21 question: Do M2 and M3 dispose of the unused drugs? MR. HANSEN: I think you might want -- need to 22 23 ask the question more specifically. Because there have been -- I don't think it's been the same in each instance. 24 So I don't know if you want to clarify the question. 25

Page 66 1 (By Ms. Carlyle) Did M2 and M3 dispose of the 0. 2 drugs in Mr. Franklin's case? 3 Α. No. 4 Q. I understand that you don't know the name of 5 the person who disposed of it, but can you explain the process by which they were disposed and the sort of person 6 7 by job description who would have disposed of it? I know it was a department staff member. It 8 Α. 9 was -- it was one of two or three people who were in the business office or the administration. My understanding of 10 the process was that they took a bucket, filled it bleach 11 12 and a small amount of water, injected the two leftover syringes into that, mixed it up and threw it out. 13 14 **Q**. Let me -- let me ask you, so what happened to 15 the chemicals that were used in the execution of Mr. Nicklasson? 16 17 Α. It's my understanding that a very similar process was used. 18 19 And Mr. Smulls? Q. 20 Α. The unused chemicals were placed in a sealed 21 container. 22 Q. Let me refer you to Exhibit 1, Paragraph D2. 23 Exhibit 1 D2. Α. Right. Just read that to us, please? 2.4 Q. 25 Medical personnel shall monitor the prisoner Α.

Page 67 during the execution. 1 2 Q. I'm sorry. F1. 3 Α. Medical personnel shall properly dispose of unused chemicals. 4 5 Q. Okay. Does the -- do you believe that the process you've described with respect to Mr. Franklin and 6 7 Mr. Nicklasson complies with that? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. So the person who disposed -- the people who dispose of the chemicals, according to the account you've 10 11 given, are medical personnel? 12 Α. No. 13 Q. Okay. Then since the protocol says that 14 medical personnel dispose of the accused -- of the unused 15 chemicals, why is it that you think that the process that's used complies with that? 16 17 Α. I think the medical personnel placed it and identified what needed to be disposed and provided 18 direction to the individuals who did it. 19 20 So do you think at the time that the disposal Q. 21 occurred, the medical personnel were there? Not present, but they had given direction to 22 Α. the individuals who were to dispose of it. 23 24 Q. Were you there when they gave those 25 directions?

Page 68 No, I was not. 1 Α. 2 So you believe they gave those directions, but Q. 3 you don't have any personal knowledge of it? Α. That is correct. 4 5 Let me ask you this: If M3 testified, as he Q. did, that he simply left the chemicals on the table and 6 7 left the room, would that comply with the protocol? 8 Α. M3 is --9 **Q**. M3 is the doctor. 10 Α. Correct. 11 He's medical personnel, isn't he? Q. 12 Α. I don't know that that would be in violation 13 of the protocol. 14 Ο. No. I -- well, okay. Can you tell me whether prisoners in the holding cell at Bonne Terre -- can we 15 16 agree on what the holding cell is? Let me describe it a 17 little more fully just so we're clear. The last place in which the prisoner is placed before he's taken to the 18 19 execution center -- chamber. 20 Α. I believe I understand what you're talking 21 about. 22 **Q**. And that is in fact called the holding cell, 23 is it not, in the descriptions of how a prisoner is held? 24 I believe so, yes. Α. 25 Okay. Is a prisoner who's in the holding cell Q.

Page 69 1 allowed to have confidential visits with lawyers? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Ο. What about confidential visits with clergy? 4 Α. Yes. I guess to an extent. 5 What do you mean by to an extent? Q. 6 Α. Consistent with the safety and security needs 7 of the institution, we try and accommodate confidential visits to the best of our ability. 8 9 And do you know how in practice how that works Q. 10 with respect to prisoners in the holding cell and their 11 attorneys and clergy? 12 Α. It is dependent upon the prisoner. What are the options for how it could work? 13 Q. 14 It would be dependent upon the prisoner. Α. 15 I understand that. But what's the range of Q. 16 options that dependent upon the prisoner that the prison 17 could use; do you know? 18 Α. I don't know the full range. 19 Q. Do you know any of them? 20 Α. Yeah, I know some. 21 Okay. Well, tell me the ones you know. Q. I know that -- well, at what point are we 22 Α. talking about? 23 24 Q. When they're in the holding cell, at any time 25 when they're in the holding cell.

		Page 70
1	A. At any time it changes. So for example, the	
2	closer it gets to the time of execution and I'm not	
3	certain which time that is then the ability for visits	
4	is cut off. And I think it's restricted before it's cut	
5	off. So as we get closer to the anticipated time of	
6	execution, those change.	
7	If you're talking about Offenders Franklin or	
8	Nicklasson, they were in the holding cell, my understanding	
9	is, longer than for instance Offender Smulls and Offender	
10	Taylor. So I think in those cases, I'm aware that there	
11	was some other meetings that were allowed under various	
12	circumstances.	
13	Q. Okay. But once let's just talk about the	
14	timetable for Mr. Smulls and Mr. Taylor. Once they were in	
15	the holding cell, were they able to have confidential	
16	visits with anyone?	
17	A. Yes.	
18	Q. Okay. And how did that work?	
19	A. I'm not sure that they actually did. I know	
20	that they were able to. I know there were confidential	
21	communications with their legal counsel throughout the	
22	period that they were in their holding cell.	
23	Q. So while they were in the holding cell, it was	
24	possible for them to communicate with their legal counsel	
25	and their legal counsel to communicate with them without	

Page 71 1 anyone listening? 2 Α. Yes. 3 ο. And was that also true for clergy? Again, I don't know that either of them requested that. But if they 4 5 had, would that be accommodated also? 6 Α. I believe -- I would have to look at the logs. 7 But, yes, there would have been an opportunity for them to communicate with clergy, just as with their counsel, in a 8 confidential telephone call. 9 10 Okay. What about a confidential visit? Q. 11 Α. I guess you're going to have to be more 12 specific on what you mean by confidential. 13 ο. I mean a visit in which no one is listening. Yes. I guess again, I would have to -- I am 14 Α. 15 not in a position to make that call about when that is and is not allowed. 16 17 Ο. Okay. I was not privy to the safety and security 18 Α. issues regarding those two offenders. I'm speaking 19 20 generally. I just wanted that to be on the record. 21 MS. CARLYLE: Absolutely. I think that's all I have except for the things I'd like to ask the judge 22 about. I'll remind you what they were so you won't be 23 24 surprised. 25 MR. HANSEN: One was about the lab.

		Page 72
1	MS. CARLYLE: Yeah. And the question	
2	specifically was the series of questions specifically	
3	were, was laboratory testing performed on the drug used to	
4	execute Michael Taylor and why have no laboratory reports	
5	been provided? Was it performed by the same laboratory or	
6	different laboratory that tested the drugs for Mr.	
7	Nicklasson, Smulls and Franklin? And are there any other	
8	laboratories under contract to test pentobarbital for the	
9	department of corrections?	
10	Then the only other one was whether the	
11	payment on Exhibit 8 that's requested for Mr. Ferguson	
12	that's for M7 includes a payment to a laboratory. So are	
13	we clear what we're going to ask her about?	
14	MR. HANSEN: Yes.	
15	MS. CARLYLE: Let's go off.	
16	(Whereupon there was a conference call with	
17	Judge Philips.)	
18	MS. CARLYLE: Since going off the record, the	
19	parties have had a telephone conference with Judge Philips	
20	with respect to the questions which were earlier objected	
21	to, specifically was laboratory testing performed on the	
22	drug used to execute Michael Taylor; why have no laboratory	
23	reports been provided; was the testing, if there was any	
24	performed, by the same laboratory or a different laboratory	
25	than tested the drug used on Mr. Smulls, Mr. Franklin and	

		Page 73
1	Mr. Nicklasson; are there any other laboratories under	
2	contract to test pentobarbital; and did the payment for	
3	Mr. Ferguson's drugs to the pharmacy include a payment for	
4	the laboratory.	
5	The Court declined to rule on those questions	
6	pending further consideration and briefing. So they stand	
7	at this point as being asked and not answered. They are	
8	not withdrawn and we anticipate a later resolution of that	
9	decision.	
10	With that, I have no further questions for	
11	this witness and would tender him for cross-examination.	
12	MR. HANSEN: No questions. We will waive	
13	presentment but sign.	
14	(Whereupon signature was reserved.)	
15	(Off the record at 2:44 p.m.)	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		Page 74
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	
2	I, Sheryl A. Pautler, Certified Shorthand	
3	Reporter, Notary Public within and for the State of	
4	Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose	
5	testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly	
6	sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me	
7	to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to	
8	typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel	
9	for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the	
10	action in which this deposition was taken, and further that	
11	I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel	
12	employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or	
13	otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.	
14		
15		
16	Notary Public within and for	
17	the State of Missouri	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
1
                   MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES
 2
    March 31, 2014
 3
    Mr. David Hansen
     Missouri Attorney General's Office
     207 West High Street
 4
     Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
 5
     IN RE: DAVID ZINK, et al. vs. GEORGE A. LOMBARDI,
 6
            et al.
 7
     Dear Mr. Hansen:
    Please find enclosed your copies of the deposition of
 8
    MATTHEW BRIESACHER taken on March 21, 2014 in the
     above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original
 9
     signature page and errata sheets.
10
     Please have the witness read your copy of the
     transcript, indicate any changes and/or corrections
11
12
     desired on the errata sheets, and sign the signature
13
     page before a notary public.
14
15
    Please return the errata sheets and notarized
     signature page to Ms. Elizabeth Unger Carlyle for filing
16
17
    prior to trial date.
18
19
     Sincerely,
20
21
22
    Ms. Sheryl Pautler
23
24
     Enclosures
25
```

		Page 76
1	ERRATA SHEET	
	Witness Name: MATTHEW BRIESACHER	
2	Case Name: DAVID ZINK, et al. vs. GEORGE A. LOMBARDI,	
	et al.	
3	Date Taken: MARCH 21, 2014	
4	Page # Line #	
5	Should read:	
6	Reason for change:	
7		
8	Page # Line #	
9	Should read:	
10	Reason for change:	
11		
12	Page # Line #	
13	Should read:	
14	Reason for change:	
15		
16	Page # Line #	
17	Should read:	
18	Reason for change:	
19		
20	Page # Line #	
21	Should read:	
22	Reason for change:	
23		
24	Witness Signature:	
25		

## MATTHEW BRIESACHER 3/21/2014

1       STATE OF	Page 77
3       COUNTY OF	
4         5       I, MATTHEW BRIESACHER, do hereby certify:         6       That I have read the foregoing deposition;         7       That I have made such changes in form         8       and/or substance to the within deposition as might         9       be necessary to render the same true and correct;         10       That having made such changes thereon, I         11       hereby subscribe my name to the deposition.         12       I declare under penalty of perjury that the         13       foregoing is true and correct.         14       Executed this day of	
5       I, MATTHEW BRIESACHER, do hereby certify:         6       That I have read the foregoing deposition;         7       That I have made such changes in form         8       and/or substance to the within deposition as might         9       be necessary to render the same true and correct;         10       That having made such changes thereon, I         11       hereby subscribe my name to the deposition.         12       I declare under penalty of perjury that the         13       foregoing is true and correct.         14       Executed this day of	
6 That I have read the foregoing deposition; 7 That I have made such changes in form 8 and/or substance to the within deposition as might 9 be necessary to render the same true and correct; 10 That having made such changes thereon, I 11 hereby subscribe my name to the deposition. 12 I declare under penalty of perjury that the 13 foregoing is true and correct. 14 Executed this day of, 15 20, at 16 17 18 19 20 MATTHEW BRIESACHER 21 22 23 NOTARY FUBLIC	
<ul> <li>7 That I have made such changes in form</li> <li>8 and/or substance to the within deposition as might</li> <li>9 be necessary to render the same true and correct;</li> <li>10 That having made such changes thereon, I</li> <li>11 hereby subscribe my name to the deposition.</li> <li>12 I declare under penalty of perjury that the</li> <li>13 foregoing is true and correct.</li> <li>14 Executed this day of</li> <li>15 20, at</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20 MATTHEW BRIESACHER</li> <li>21</li> <li>23 NOTARY PUBLIC</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>and/or substance to the within deposition as might</li> <li>be necessary to render the same true and correct;</li> <li>That having made such changes thereon, I</li> <li>hereby subscribe my name to the deposition.</li> <li>I declare under penalty of perjury that the</li> <li>foregoing is true and correct.</li> <li>Executed this day of</li></ul>	
9 be necessary to render the same true and correct; 10 That having made such changes thereon, I 11 hereby subscribe my name to the deposition. 12 I declare under penalty of perjury that the 13 foregoing is true and correct. 14 Executed this day of, 15 20, at 16 . 17 . 18 . 19	
10       That having made such changes thereon, I         11       hereby subscribe my name to the deposition.         12       I declare under penalty of perjury that the         13       foregoing is true and correct.         14       Executed this day of,         15       20, at         16	
11       hereby subscribe my name to the deposition.         12       I declare under penalty of perjury that the         13       foregoing is true and correct.         14       Executed this day of	
12       I declare under penalty of perjury that the         13       foregoing is true and correct.         14       Executed this day of,         15       20, at         16          17          18          19	
13       foregoing is true and correct.         14       Executed this day of,         15       20, at         16          17          18          19          20       MATTHEW BRIESACHER         21          23       NOTARY PUBLIC	
14       Executed this day of,         15       20, at         16          17          18          19          20       MATTHEW BRIESACHER         21          22          23       NOTARY PUBLIC	
15       20, at         16       .         17       .         18       .         19       .         20       MATTHEW BRIESACHER         21       .         22       .         23       NOTARY PUBLIC	
16         17         18         19         20         MATTHEW BRIESACHER         21         22         23         NOTARY PUBLIC	
17         18         19	
18         19	
19	
20 MATTHEW BRIESACHER 21 22 23 NOTARY PUBLIC	
21       22       23       NOTARY PUBLIC	
22 23 NOTARY PUBLIC	
23 NOTARY PUBLIC	
24 My Commission Expires:	
25	

Γ

A	38:14 43:22	<b>April</b> 23:18	50:4	45:15
<b>ability</b> 69:8 70:3	47:2 48:20	<b>area</b> 35:23	award 50:9	bigger 24:7
74:7	60:22 63:6	argument 34:24	<b>aware</b> 27:18 28:4	<b>birth</b> 8:14
<b>able</b> 14:9,21	<b>al</b> 1:7,13 3:4,10	Arizona 14:23	28:5 38:5 43:6	<b>bit</b> 41:9
18:18 21:7	3:23,24 75:5,6	asked 14:17	45:18,20,24	Blackwell 3:18
58:19 70:15,20	76:2,2	21:21 40:8	47:10 61:13	blanking 46:14
above-referenc	Alan 29:21 59:25	56:16 63:13	62:17 63:15	<b>bleach</b> 66:11
75:9	Allegations 11:5	64:5 65:16 73:7	70:10	<b>Bonne</b> 68:15
Absolutely 28:12	<b>allow</b> 38:2 39:6	asking 22:1	<b>a.m</b> 1:22	<b>book</b> 36:20
71:21	allowed 69:1	26:12,13 28:3,4		Boresi 4:12 48:23
accepted 14:8	70:11 71:16	30:16,17 32:23	<u> </u>	<b>bottom</b> 15:25
accommodate	alternative 17:23	32:24 35:18	<b>B</b> 17:9,17,18,21	24:18
69:7	17:24 18:1,12	39:9 50:18	<b>back</b> 13:23 35:4	<b>bound</b> 55:9
accommodated	alternatives 18:9	53:12 55:6	38:4 42:17	<b>Box</b> 4:5
71:5	21:8	58:20,21 65:14	44:22 49:17	break 23:14
<b>account</b> 67:10	ambiguous 19:20	assert 31:18 39:7	55:10 59:24	42:16 65:19
accurate 35:15	19:21 29:15	asserted 39:12	<b>base</b> 53:2	briefing 73:6
<b>accused</b> 67:14	amended 32:6,12	assertion 24:15	<b>based</b> 14:3 21:5	Briesacher 1:19
Act 31:13 52:1	33:17,23 34:2,4	assigned 19:13	32:11 33:16,16	3:14 5:3,9,15
action 9:25 10:2	Amendment 12:6	19:14	39:3,22 40:23	6:1 23:15 35:10
10:3 11:5 74:10	<b>amount</b> 14:1,3,4	assignment	42:3 44:20	40:9 41:15
74:13	14:11,14 58:20	19:24 20:5,7	46:23 48:4,11	49:11 50:17
actions 37:2	66:12	assisted 53:9	49:7 59:18	54:16 59:25
actual 15:23	amounts 58:17	assisting 58:14	bases 35:21	75:8 76:1 77:5
ADA 10:2 11:9	and/or 75:11	associated 10:5	Bates 15:24 16:1	77:20
add 31:18	77:8	assume 5:25	16:2 45:7,9	bring 35:19 55:5
added 25:11	announcement	assuming 40:12	<b>bear</b> 37:23	broaden 14:15
address 31:10	19:4,7,9 20:23	attached 2:23	began 1:22 21:8	bucket 66:11
adjourning 34:7	21:1	23:24	behalf 1:20 5:11	building 60:7
administer 53:1	answer 8:17,19	attempt 27:20	<b>believe</b> 10:16	business 29:16
administration	9:9,11 36:16,24	33:9 35:5,19,20	22:21 23:1,4	42:4 66:10
60:7 66:10	38:2,3 40:6	attention 41:23	24:1,17 27:17	businesses 43:12
adopted 17:4	48:20 63:8,12	attorney 4:14	36:16 44:12	<b>B1</b> 13:24 17:13
21:12	answered 46:2	6:21 7:25 23:10	49:13 50:7 59:1	<b>B1(a)</b> 16:21 17:7
advice 36:25	48:16 53:8 63:2	23:13 27:19	59:10 60:5,12	
56:16	73:7	50:15,19,25	67:5 68:2,20,24	C
<b>affirm</b> 35:25	answering 9:12	60:17,25 61:10	71:6	<b>C</b> 4:1 17:19
afternoon 3:17	43:19 53:9,13	61:24 74:11	<b>belong</b> 55:7,8	call 9:14 30:17
age 5:10	53:19	75:3	<b>best</b> 14:24 52:18	34:12 35:2
<b>ago</b> 6:16 51:3	anticipate 73:8	<b>attorneys</b> 7:15,18	69:8 74:7	44:22 71:9,15
52:6,13	anticipated 70:5	33:6 51:18	<b>better</b> 5:24 53:14	72:16
<b>agree</b> 24:13	appear 27:4 56:1	69:11	<b>bid</b> 2:15 36:15	called 14:21
U	appears 26:7	attorney/client	45:5,19,20,21	68:22
25:13 34:10,21 68:16	56:8 74:5	28:1 36:17,25	45:24	calling 36:19
	Approximately	63:2,5	<b>bidder</b> 50:8	calls 14:17
agreed 5:1 46:21	6:19	available 49:25	<b>bidding</b> 36:10	capacity 10:19
<b>ahead</b> 21:1 31:17	0.17	u, unusit 77.23	Ŭ	
	-	-	•	•

Page	79
1 uge	12

10:20 50:22	29:13 31:7,9,11	circumstances	47:20	connection 33:21
capital 17:18,19	31:16 32:3,4	70:12	complaints 39:14	42:24 43:11
captioned 11:17	33:7 49:22,24	<b>City</b> 3:18 4:6,16	49:3	consideration
careful 37:24	66:2 75:9 76:2	75:4	complete 49:11	73:6
38:2,12	cases 62:2 70:10	<b>claim</b> 49:14	completely 11:4	consistent 14:3
<b>Carlyle</b> 2:3 4:3,4	cause 1:10 3:7,21	claiming 12:5	25:13 34:22	69:6
5:13 8:22 9:4,8	cautious 64:3	claims 32:3,4,5	46:13	consists 25:1
9:11,13,17	<b>cell</b> 68:15,16,22	35:12	complex 31:9	constituted 7:14
12:16,24 13:6,7	68:25 69:10,24	clarified 20:18	<b>complies</b> 67:7,16	constitutional
15:13,19,21	69:25 70:8,15	clarify 28:10	<b>comply</b> 68:7	10:4 12:2
16:1,6 19:21,23	70:22,23	65:25	compound 26:23	consulted 27:23
23:6,12,15,22	<b>center</b> 68:19	clarifying 27:1	27:3,5 28:24	<b>contact</b> 36:14
24:1,4,20 26:9	<b>Central</b> 1:3 3:3	<b>clear</b> 9:7 23:20	36:22,22	60:25
26:12 27:1,3	3:22 52:1	31:4,22,25 32:1	compounded	contacted 30:19
28:3,5,17,20,21	certain 3:21 70:3	43:18,23 55:24	39:25 40:2	35:8 40:10
29:16,19 30:11	certainly 38:2	68:17 72:13	48:14	contacting 36:19
30:16,23,25	45:9 63:20	clearer 23:7	compounding	contain 56:9
31:4 32:18,22	CERTIFICATE	clearly 63:3	23:2 32:25 40:3	container 66:21
33:11,19 34:14	74:1	Clemmonds 12:5	compounds	context 34:12
35:1,4,16,24	Certified 3:19	<b>clergy</b> 69:3,11	26:20	35:21
36:4 37:3,12,13	74:2	71:3,8	computer 23:21	continue 32:16
37:20,25 38:11	certify 74:4 77:5	client 28:2 62:22	concern 20:3	contract 72:8
38:15 39:9,13	<b>chamber</b> 68:19	<b>closer</b> 70:2,5	29:13,15 31:25	73:2
39:21,24 40:7	<b>chance</b> 12:20	combination	42:8	contracted 25:1
40:16,25 41:5,9	<b>change</b> 52:10	18:3	concerned 34:18	58:13
41:15 42:15,20	70:6 76:6,10,14	combined 16:17	concerning 36:10	contracts 44:9
43:18,22 44:1,4	76:18,22	43:7	37:14 48:7	contractual
44:8,12,17,21	changed 16:18	<b>come</b> 34:17 36:15	56:10	43:13
45:3,9,12 46:25	51:3 52:10	Commission	concerns 11:10	conversations
47:4 48:6,13,16	changes 70:1	77:24	conditions 41:25	28:1,4
48:18,25 49:2,9	75:11 77:7,10	communicate	conduct 54:6	convicted 9:17
49:17 50:17,18	charges 41:23	70:24,25 71:8	conducted 56:18	coordinator
54:12,16,21	chemical 2:21	communicated	64:12	10:23
55:1,4,8,10	27:14 64:24	63:15,24 64:1,5	conducting 54:9	<b>copies</b> 2:24 14:18
57:6,8,11,14,18	65:6	communicating	54:11	55:9,22 75:8
57:23 58:2,5,7	chemicals 21:8	61:7 62:13	conference 34:12	<b>copy</b> 15:21 55:17
59:20,24 61:1	22:18 23:2 25:3	communication	34:16 35:2 60:7	55:20 57:5
62:23 63:10,14	25:6,8 26:20	36:17,25 60:17	60:14 72:16,19	75:10
64:2,7,21 65:16	27:8,10,15,21	62:17 63:6	confidential 8:21	<b>correct</b> 6:17 9:10
65:20 66:1	28:25 36:11	communications	8:23 9:3,5	12:3,15 13:21
71:21 72:1,15	42:8 53:1,4	70:21	32:12 38:7,9	17:5 18:16 20:2
72:18 75:16	65:2 66:15,20	competent 56:10	50:7 69:1,3,7	20:23,24 21:14
10 1	I 67.4 10 15 60.6	56:15	70:15,20 71:9	21:15 22:16
carry 19:15 20:1	67:4,10,15 68:6		· ·	
20:10	Christopher	complaint 32:6	71:10,12	25:12,24 26:6
20:10 case 11:20 12:8	Christopher 11:17	<b>complaint</b> 32:6 32:12 33:17,23	71:10,12 <b>confirm</b> 47:17	29:2,22,23 35:6
20:10	Christopher	complaint 32:6	71:10,12	

				-
45:16,17 46:9	covered 23:4	28:8,19 30:22	67:6	<b>disc</b> 16:2 41:19
50:1 51:4 52:5	26:11	31:5,6,8,13,15	describes 22:5,13	45:10 54:18
55:19 56:12,19	covering 27:15	32:3,14 73:9	description 39:3	disclose 28:9
56:20 59:13,14	created 19:3	decisions 32:2	66:7	disclosed 27:24
68:4,10 77:9,13	45:22,25	declare 77:12	descriptions	28:6
correcting 59:8	<b>crime</b> 9:18	declined 73:5	25:11 68:23	disclosure 32:8
corrections 2:8	<b>Cross</b> 11:17	defendants 1:15	designate 8:22	32:13 33:7,17
6:3,11 7:4,10	cross-examinat	3:12,24 4:10	38:7,9	discovery 31:23
7:12,16,20,24	73:11	5:2 29:12	designated 16:10	32:8 33:20
10:1,3,5 13:2	current 2:8 13:1	defendant's 35:9	29:11 38:8	41:17 49:21
28:11,13 29:4,9	22:21 23:17	<b>degree</b> 36:24	designations	54:18 58:9,9
40:1,4 44:9	33:22 39:8	deleted 17:17,18	58:23	discussed 37:1
48:1 50:16 72:9	40:13 43:1 51:8	17:18,19	desired 75:12	discussing 14:10
75:11	<b>cut</b> 70:4,4	deliberate 49:13	determination	22:19
correspondence	·	demonstrated	56:14 63:1	discussion 41:12
2:13 42:5 43:4	D	33:7	determine 13:25	dismiss 33:23
corresponding	<b>D</b> 4:12	deny 35:25 47:17	32:25 33:10	dismissed 11:2
43:11	date 8:14 18:22	department 6:3	determined 32:7	12:9 31:8
<b>counsel</b> 5:2,2 6:2	24:18 47:1,8,9	6:11,21,24 7:3	determining	disposal 64:13,13
6:4,7,10,16,18	47:24 75:17	7:7,10,12,16,20	30:18,20	67:20
7:9,11,13,15,17	76:3	7:24 10:1,3,5	developing 14:16	dispose 65:21
7:17,19,23 10:1	dated 41:22 42:1	10:24 13:2	difference 7:12	66:1 67:3,10,14
10:12 11:23	45:14 55:13,14	19:15,25 20:9	21:11,11 57:1	67:23
20:8 28:13	55:16,22 58:12	25:2,8 28:11,13	differences 16:13	disposed 64:16
29:12 39:2,10	64:24	29:4,8,12 40:1	different 30:3	65:2 66:5,6,7
51:16,25 52:3,8	dates 59:7	40:4 44:9 47:25	33:20 44:6	67:9,18
56:16 70:21,24	Dave 58:11	50:16,25 51:5	48:23 56:2	disposing 64:8
70:25 71:8 74:8	<b>David</b> 1:7 3:4,23	51:10,11,12,13	58:17 72:6,24	distinction 53:18
74:11	4:11 28:15 30:6	51:14,15 52:7	differently 16:19	<b>district</b> 1:1,2 3:1
counsel's 51:21	75:3,5 76:2	53:9 66:8 72:9	16:21	3:2,21,22 8:24
<b>counts</b> 11:6,9	David.hansen	dependent 69:12	difficult 38:4	11:14 12:10,11
<b>county</b> 10:14,15	4:18	69:14,16	direct 26:15	31:11 62:18
77:3	day 3:15,17	deposes 5:11	28:23 60:17,25	disturbed 31:14
<b>course</b> 33:19	28:18 60:3	deposition 1:19	directed 18:4,5,8	<b>divide</b> 52:12
35:6	77:14	3:14 5:3,16,21	29:3 48:1	<b>division</b> 1:3 3:3
<b>court</b> 1:1 3:1,20	days 19:6,7 38:7	8:25 12:18,19	direction 67:19	3:22 30:6
3:22 4:20 12:12	<b>deal</b> 9:14	12:21 28:18	67:22 74:8	<b>DOC</b> 38:21 56:22
12:18 31:6,11	<b>dealings</b> 40:9,11	34:7 35:22 38:8	directions 67:25	<b>doctor</b> 68:9
31:14 32:7	49:11	38:10 74:5,10	68:2	document 2:15
33:24,25 34:5	<b>Dear</b> 75:7	75:8 77:6,8,11	directive 20:11	13:8,11 23:16
34:11 35:12,20	<b>decide</b> 18:17	deputy 6:2,6,15	directly 30:20	23:17,18,23,24
62:18 63:17	20:20 48:21	52:8	director 15:12	25:24 26:1 33:8
73:5	50:3	describe 40:9	18:15 29:8 30:6	45:5,10,14,15
courts 14:9	decided 18:11	53:15 68:16	55:16	50:2 64:18 65:4
<b>Court's</b> 35:17	decides 29:6	described 24:24	disagree 25:15	documents 14:13
<b>cover</b> 22:21	decision 19:17	25:17 52:18	26:22	65:11
	Ι	I		I

<b>doing</b> 6:23 23:9	Eleventh 4:23	example 22:17	64:19,21,23	48:1 75:8
34:19 64:3	Elimination 52:1	70:1	66:22,23 72:11	<b>fine</b> 9:2,16 49:15
<b>Dormire</b> 2:19	Elizabeth 4:3,4	<b>excuse</b> 37:6	exhibits 2:5,23	finish 30:24,25
30:6,7 36:7,9	75:16	execute 43:15	12:21 14:10	31:1
36:13,18 43:6	Elizabeth@car	72:4,22	54:25	<b>firm</b> 23:1
46:8 47:1 58:11	4:8	executed 56:11	existed 28:2	<b>first</b> 10:8 12:6
Dr 2:17 22:12	emergency 25:4	56:15 60:4,4	existence 45:18	13:12 17:1
55:13,14,15,21	employed 6:1	61:13 77:14	expert 52:19,21	24:13 25:16
56:18	74:9,12	execution 2:9,11	52:22	27:12 34:25
draft 2:11 16:8	employee 74:11	13:1,12,15 16:9	Expires 77:24	41:20,22 50:12
16:16,22 17:1,3	employees 25:2,8	16:11 17:24	explain 66:5	52:13 55:12
17:14 18:4,5,19	enacted 16:10	19:15 20:9,17	<b>explore</b> 49:10	59:1 65:20
19:3 20:21	enclosed 75:8,9	21:14,18,22,25	expressly 5:6	Flaherty 11:18
26:21	<b>Enclosures</b> 75:24	22:3,6,9,13,15	31:8	<b>Florida</b> 14:23
drafted 13:11,13	encompasses	23:3 24:21 25:7	<b>extent</b> 69:4,5	<b>followed</b> 62:10
13:16,18 28:22	25:17,20,23	25:10 27:16	e-mails 42:1	foregoing 74:5
drafter 15:7	encouraged	29:7,20,21		77:6,13
drafting 13:8	34:16	30:15,21 32:10	F	forenoon 3:16
16:25 20:19	ended 57:4	37:4 44:13,16	fact 56:9 68:22	forget 10:14
drug 17:24 18:2	enforceable	44:18 48:10	<b>fair</b> 35:14 47:16	11:16 50:13
18:3 43:14 50:4	31:15	52:9 54:7,9	familiar 29:18	forgotten 28:12
72:3,22,25	engaged 43:3	58:14 59:25	<b>far</b> 33:20 34:8	<b>form</b> 19:19 29:14
drugs 26:2 29:20	entire 51:10,13	60:20,24 61:6,8	45:22,24	33:7 36:21 37:5
30:1 37:4 38:22	51:14 60:18	61:11,14 63:17	Farmington	37:6 44:14
46:21 48:10	entitled 33:3,4	64:15,25 66:15	10:14	60:21 77:7
65:21 66:2 72:6	<b>entity</b> 48:24	67:1 68:19 70:2	<b>favor</b> 11:2	formated 16:18
73:3	<b>err</b> 38:13	70:6	<b>February</b> 46:16	formatted 16:21
<b>duly</b> 74:5	errata 75:9,12,15	executions 52:14	46:17 47:14,21	former 30:21
duties 9:25 20:7	76:1	54:11 64:9,12	Federal 12:12	39:8
20:8	established 60:24	exhibit 12:17,22	Ferguson 58:14	<b>forms</b> 51:24 65:7
<b>D2</b> 66:22,23	<b>et</b> 1:7,13 3:4,10	15:14,16 16:7	59:6 72:11	foundation 60:22
	3:23,24 75:5,6	16:13,14,25,25	Ferguson's 73:3	four 6:8,16 9:24
E	76:2,2	17:1,3,4,7,8,8	<b>fifth</b> 41:25	16:15,16 52:6
<b>E</b> 4:1,1	event 28:21	18:5,19 20:21	fighting 12:20	52:13 54:21
earlier 36:3	47:22	20:22 21:11,12	<b>figured</b> 30:17	fourth 12:14
49:10 72:20	everybody 5:21	22:23 23:17,24	figuring 12:20	41:24 55:21
easier 26:5	evidentiary	25:11,11,16,22	file 55:21,23	frame 18:21,23
East 3:18	33:21	25:23 26:6,21	<b>filed</b> 8:25 10:13	19:6 44:16
Eastern 8:11	exact 60:10	27:19 28:22,22	23:16,17 33:25	Francis 10:17
11:14 12:10,11	exactly 19:12	28:24 41:6,13	39:14 47:11,14	Francois 10:15
effect 20:4	31:24,25 48:25	41:16 44:24	47:20 49:3	Franklin 29:21
eight 8:3	50:11	45:1 49:17,18	<b>filing</b> 75:16	56:6,7 61:21
either 7:21 11:17	EXAMINATI	49:24 54:13,14	<b>filings</b> 14:10,10	62:3,16 64:25
65:6 71:4	5:12	54:17,22 55:10	<b>filled</b> 66:11	67:6 70:7 72:7
<b>Eldon</b> 11:18	examined 3:15	55:11,12 57:21	<b>financially</b> 74:12	72:25
electronic 23:23	5:10	57:25 58:8	<b>find</b> 14:22 46:5	Franklin's 64:15

	1	1	1	-
66:2	60:22 63:6	24:3,16 26:5,25	18:3	individual 10:19
frankly 32:23	65:17 72:15	27:25 28:16,17	hypothecary	42:1
34:10 55:24	goes 58:20	28:19 29:14,17	36:1 39:2,10	individuals 22:24
friend 11:18	<b>going</b> 5:20,24	30:10,12,18,24	46:20 47:11	25:21 28:23
<b>full</b> 6:13 69:18	8:17,20 9:2,6	31:2,24 32:20		67:19,23
<b>fuller</b> 34:12	12:19 15:13	33:6,13 34:10	<u> </u>	inference 31:23
fully 68:17	20:25 23:16,19	34:21 35:6,15	identification	information 21:5
function 24:21	27:25 29:6,10	36:2,21 37:5,18	12:23 15:17	30:12,13,19
24:23 58:19,20	32:16 33:12	37:22 38:1,12	31:21 41:14	31:3,13 32:4,7
61:20 63:2	34:8,9,23 36:21	39:4,12,19,22	45:2 54:15 58:1	32:9,11,21 33:8
functions 22:14	37:5,7,18 39:4	40:14,23 41:4	64:20	33:12,14,18
further 49:12	39:7,19 40:23	41:10 42:13	identified 23:24	34:2 36:10,15
73:6,10 74:10	43:16,22 44:14	43:16,21,25	35:11 40:19	50:7 57:1 63:12
<b>F1</b> 67:2	62:21 71:11	44:3,7,11,14,19	46:21 67:18	<b>initial</b> 37:10
	72:13,18	45:7 46:23 47:2	identifies 22:10	43:17
G	governor 20:22	48:4,11,15,17	identify 17:10	initially 40:10
general 6:2,4,7	20:25	48:20 49:7,16	22:8 31:22 33:3	inject 25:8
6:10,16,18 7:13	governor's 19:3	50:15 54:19,25	40:22 42:4 45:7	injectable 45:16
7:15,17 20:8	graduate 8:12	55:2,6 57:5,7	46:3	45:19
28:13 39:1,10	graduated 8:8	57:10,13,17,20	identities 33:15	injected 66:12
51:15,21,25	grams 13:25	58:4 59:18	39:8	injection 25:4,6
52:3,8 56:5	45:16	60:21 62:21	<b>identity</b> 27:20,24	28:25 42:9
60:11,17	granted 31:7	63:5 64:6 65:14	30:14,20 31:19	<b>input</b> 13:18
generally 17:21	32:6	65:22 71:25	38:3 50:3,8	inquiry 37:14
71:20	<b>Greg</b> 2:17 55:13	72:14 73:12	<b>Illinois</b> 8:11	39:13 49:2
General's 4:14	55:14,15,21	75:3,7	immediately	<b>insert</b> 53:1
7:25 27:19	guardian 11:17	happen 15:6	60:23,24	inserting 53:3
50:15,19 51:1	guess 20:21	happened 17:7	impediments	inserts 25:5
60:25 61:10,25	34:15 46:20	64:14 66:14	61:6,8	<b>inspect</b> 37:16
75:3	49:25 51:20	hearing 21:6	implies 30:2	38:16
<b>George</b> 1:13 3:10	53:14,16,20,23	held 31:6,12	improper 31:23	instance 65:24
3:23 55:16 75:5	54:4 62:23	68:23	<b>include</b> 22:17	70:9
76:2	63:11 65:10	Herbert 29:21	73:3	institution 60:6,8
Georgia 14:23	69:4 71:11,14	hesitation 30:2	included 21:16	62:7,12 69:7
give 7:2 11:22	· · · ·	hide 27:20	21:17 25:24	instruct 15:9
13:23 18:22	<u> </u>	High 3:18 4:15	41:18	intending 35:19
33:12 38:6	handed 41:15	75:4	includes 21:13	interested 74:13
46:12 47:8	57:13 58:7	history 40:9	59:17 72:12	intravenous 25:5
57:14 60:10	handwritten	49:11	including 22:24	53:1,4
<b>given</b> 20:11	56:2	holding 68:15,16	32:8 34:4 40:10	inventory 65:6,8
67:11,22	hang 24:1	68:22,25 69:10	<b>INDEX</b> 2:1,5	investigate 40:25
<b>giving</b> 46:25	Hansen 4:11	69:24,25 70:8	indicate 75:11	41:3
<b>go</b> 8:6 31:17 35:1	8:20 9:2,6,10	70:15,22,23	<b>indicated</b> 36:6,13	involved 19:17
38:13,18 43:22	9:16 13:4 15:18	hours 3:16 60:5	indicates 55:19	28:8 49:20
47:2 48:20	15:20,23 16:5	Husch 3:17	indifference	54:10
49:17 59:21	19:19 23:20,23	hydromorphone	49:14	involvement 13:7
	I		I	I

20:17 21:10	14:20 18:22	larger 24:22	14:15 17:21,22	7:2 46:15 58:10
<b>in-house</b> 7:16	20:18,25 21:24	law 4:4 8:5,6	32:1 68:17	58:12 75:2,8
issuance 27:19	24:4 29:13	14:7 29:6	local 8:23	76:3
<b>issue</b> 33:19,20	32:16 33:1,4,21	lawful 5:10	locate 46:5	mark 9:3 44:25
34:1,11,23	33:22 37:3,9	lawsuit 10:6	located 27:6	marked 9:4
35:12,20	38:24 39:3,24	23:17 33:4,18	29:25 30:2	12:17,22 15:16
<b>issues</b> 31:9 71:19	40:2 43:1 45:3	lawyer 50:14	35:11 42:11	17:16,17 41:13
	45:23,24 47:6	lawyers 28:2	60:1	41:16 45:1
J	47:17,18,23	69:1	log 2:21 64:24	54:14,20,25
jacket 41:7	48:9,13,17,22	lead 30:14,20	logs 71:6	57:9,21,25 58:5
Jackson 38:24	53:14 54:23	32:10 50:8	Lombardi 1:13	58:8 64:19
Jake 38:24	56:23 59:16	led 31:21	3:10,24 15:12	marking 12:25
<b>January</b> 7:6,21	63:12,21 64:2	left 68:6,7	30:13,22 31:5,6	markings 56:2
16:3,4 41:19	64:12 65:1,5,25	leftover 66:12	31:8 32:2,2,7	Markway 2:17
45:11 46:16	66:4.8 68:12	legal 7:9,11,17,19	32:13 33:16,23	55:13,14,16,21
54:18	69:9,17,18,19	7:23 10:11	33:25 34:4	56:18
<b>Jeff</b> 58:14	69:20,21,22	11:23 36:25	55:16 61:5,7,16	materials 65:11
Jefferson 3:18	70:19,20 71:4	51:10,12,15	61:25 62:4,7,13	Matt 5:15 55:20
4:16 75:4	knowledge 21:19	52:7 56:16 61:5	62:20,25 63:16	55:22
Jeffrey 59:5	27:22 38:21,23	61:8 70:21,24	63:24 64:5 75:5	matter 52:19,21
<b>job</b> 20:14,15,16	52:23,25 53:2	70:25	76:2	52:22
51:3 52:10 66:7	64:8,11 68:3	Lenger 58:12	long 6:6,18 8:2	MATTHEW
<b>John</b> 58:21	knows 10:17	Lenny 58:11	longer 70:9	1:19 3:14 5:3,9
<b>Joseph</b> 29:20	39:9	lethal 25:3,6	look 13:5 15:23	75:8 76:1 77:5
56:6,7 61:21	<b>Koster</b> 60:17,19	28:25 42:9	23:8 24:18 41:6	77:20
64:25	61:2	letter 41:22	54:12 57:3 58:4	<b>MB</b> 2:6 12:19
judge 9:14 32:19	01.2	let's 13:22 15:14	64:18,21 65:4	<b>MB1</b> 12:20,25
32:23 34:6,16	L	24:16,17,18	65:17 71:6	25:11
35:3,8 44:21	lab 2:13 71:25	32:18,22 34:6	looked 14:23	<b>MB3</b> 15:18,19
48:21 59:22	labeling 55:4	34:14 40:16	looking 21:8 57:3	16:7
71:22 72:17,19	labels 55:2	41:6 42:13	57:10	<b>MDOC</b> 48:14
judge's 40:8 46:1	laboratories 44:8	49:17 52:13	looks 56:18	mean 17:22 19:1
49:10	59:13,15 72:8	54:12 57:3,6	lot 59:21	20:6,11 24:13
judgment 46:19	73:1	65:17,17 70:13	Louis 4:24 8:7	29:16 46:5
47:5,7	laboratory 42:6	72:15	Louis 4.24 0.7	56:25 60:3 69:5
	42:12,24 43:2,8	<b>license</b> 41:1	Μ	71:12,13
K	43:11,14,20	<b>Line</b> 76:4,8,12,16	M 58:23	means 18:23
Kansas 4:6	44:1,5,6,13,17	76:20	mail 10:24,25	50:24
keep 20:10	59:17 72:3,4,5	lines 25:5 53:1,4	<b>major</b> 11:9	<b>medical</b> 25:1,4
Kentucky 14:22	72:6,12,21,22	list 58:16	making 29:24	56:8 66:25 67:3
kind 21:9 30:3	72:24,24 73:4	listed 22:3 59:1	Management	67:11,14,17,21
50:11 54:5	lack 60:22 64:13	59:10,11	51:24	68:11
65:15	language 16:18	listening 71:1,13	Mandamus 31:7	meetings 70:11
knew 63:11,22	22:1 27:13	litigation 4:22	manufacturer	member 21:13
63:25	laptop 23:21	10:23 75:1	22:18	21:16,17,22
know 11:8 12:8	large 17:17	little 10:7 12:4	March 1:21 3:15	22:5,13 23:3
		11110 10.7 12.4		22.3,13 23.3
	1	1	1	

www.midwestlitigation.com

	I	1	1	
30:14,21 44:13	<b>moment</b> 55:25	65:4,12,22	objection 30:10	7:5,11,19,23
44:18 66:8	money 58:17	needed 20:20	30:11,12 32:1	8:4,14 9:4,11
members 21:24	monitor 66:25	36:14 53:8 54:8	35:9 36:2,3,5	9:13 10:7,18,22
22:3,8,14 24:21	monitors 25:5	57:2 67:18	37:10,19,23	11:1,3,7,19
25:7,10 32:10	month 46:12,14	needs 69:6	38:16 39:5,7,12	12:1,11,13,16
<b>memo</b> 55:12,14	51:3	neither 74:8	39:23 40:13,24	13:3,12,19,22
55:15,21	months 19:1	<b>never</b> 31:14	41:4 43:17 44:3	13:24 14:5,12
memorandum	morning 49:13	35:19 64:5	44:7,11,20	14:19 15:6,9,13
2:19 58:11	<b>motion</b> 33:23	<b>new</b> 21:8,8	46:23,24 48:5	16:20,23 17:3,6
memos 2:17 56:5	61:13,17 62:18	Nicklasson 29:21	48:11,12,15,18	17:15 18:1,4,7
mention 26:2,13	63:16,18	60:1,2 61:12	49:7,8,15,16	18:11 20:13
26:15,19 27:7	move 8:20 65:15	62:2 66:16 67:7	59:18,19 60:21	21:10 22:25
message 61:9,24	65:17	70:8 72:7 73:1	62:24 63:7	23:6 24:6,11,12
<b>method</b> 17:24	<b>M2</b> 59:11,13	night 59:25	objections 32:20	24:13,20 25:10
35:10	65:21 66:1	non-medical	42:3	25:15,19 26:9
methods 14:22	M3 59:10 65:21	25:7	obligations 19:16	26:19 27:1,7,18
Michael 4:13	66:1 68:5,8,9	<b>North</b> 4:23	<b>observed</b> 53:7,12	27:23 28:3,4,8
43:15 44:2 72:4	M6 29:13,18,19	notarized 75:15	53:16,25	28:17,21 29:10
72:22	31:21,22 32:24	notary 3:19 5:4	<b>obtain</b> 18:18	29:17,19 30:5
midazolam 18:2	33:3 35:11,25	74:3,16 75:13	36:15	32:22 35:16
Midwest 4:22	36:6 37:4,14,16	77:23	obtaining 37:17	36:4,9 38:11,24
75:1	37:16 39:15,25	noted 31:13	38:22	39:13,21 40:16
migrated 57:24	40:10 42:21,22	notified 62:25,25	occurred 64:13	43:22 45:6,21
mind 23:10 26:3	42:25 43:2,6	November 42:2	67:21	46:7,18 47:8,25
26:4 34:19	46:19,21 48:3	55:13 64:25	occurs 26:23	49:20 50:3,10
37:23 41:7 51:9	48:16 59:2,3	number 8:16 9:9	<b>October</b> 16:17	51:2,17,19 52:3
<b>minute</b> 65:18	<b>M7</b> 46:3,7 47:1	15:24 16:1,2	18:21 19:5	52:9,12,16
mischaracteriz	48:3,7,9,13	23:8 45:9	45:22	53:22 54:2,8,12
20:6	49:4,12 59:7,17	numbers 9:1	offender 10:24	55:6 56:17,25
mislead 20:12	72:12	45:8	11:18 56:6,7	57:6 58:7 59:5
misleading 20:8	<b></b>	numerous 11:6	70:9,9	59:12,16,23
missed 11:4	N N	<b>nurse</b> 25:2,4	offenders 70:7	60:8,19 61:1,4
<b>Missouri</b> 1:2 2:8	N 4:1	59:13	71:19	61:6,12 62:16
3:2,18,20,22	name 5:14 6:13		office 4:4,14 8:1	63:14,23 64:2,7
4:6,14,16,24	11:15 30:7 33:5	$\frac{0}{11121212}$	27:19 50:15,19	67:5,13 68:14
6:3,10,21 7:7,9	36:6,19 46:7,18	<b>object</b> 19:19	51:1,21 52:1	68:25 69:21
7:12,25 8:24	46:22 47:1,10	27:25 29:14	60:25 61:10,25	70:13,18 71:10
11:14 12:11	47:15,22 66:4	36:21 37:5,7,18	66:10 75:3	71:17
13:2 19:4 29:6	76:1,2 77:11	39:4,19 40:23	official 10:18,20	Oklahoma 14:21
29:8 32:10,14	named 50:17	43:16 44:14,19	50:22	36:1 39:2,11
40:1,3 46:22	<b>narrow</b> 33:9 39:6	48:4,4 62:21	off-the-record	46:19,20
74:4,17 75:3,4	nature 15:8	objected 37:8	41:11	once 59:22 70:13
mistaken 36:5	necessary 77:9	72:20	<b>oh</b> 22:24 33:11	70:14
<b>mixed</b> 66:13	need 16:20 34:11	<b>objecting</b> 37:20	59:7 64:4	ones 15:3,3 57:20
<b>MKD</b> 2:6	44:22 47:9	38:13 43:19	<b>Ohio</b> 14:20	69:21
modifying 13:18	50:11 58:6 65:1	46:25	<b>okay</b> 6:1,6,12 7:1	opportunity 71:7
	l	l	I	I

		-		
options 69:13,16	parties 38:6	personal 68:3	75:8,10,15	prior 18:20,25
order 36:14 38:6	72:19 74:9,12	personally 50:19	<b>Plus</b> 63:2	19:1,1 21:12
65:5	party 50:17	50:21 62:3,4,6	point 21:7 31:15	27:18 40:3
original 2:23	patient 24:9	62:14	31:20 34:20	60:24 61:10
37:19 39:5,7,22	<b>Pautler</b> 3:19 4:21	personnel 25:2	69:22 73:7	75:17
40:24 44:20	5:4 74:2 75:22	66:25 67:3,11	policies 54:11	prison 51:25
46:23 48:5,11	paying 58:21	67:14,17,21	<b>policy</b> 53:9 56:22	69:16
49:7 58:4 59:18	payment 43:7	68:11	56:24	prisoner 25:5,9
75:9	58:13 72:11,12	pertain 42:6	portion 8:21 9:3	56:14 66:25
outcome 11:1	73:2,3	pertains 42:5	12:8 26:7	68:18,23,25
74:13	penalty 77:12	pharmacies	position 15:8	69:12,14,16
outlining 17:14	pending 3:21	36:14,20 39:6	22:10 33:16	prisoners 68:15
o'clock 3:16,17	11:11,13 12:7,9	pharmacist	34:9 52:2 64:4	69:10
	12:10 21:25	21:13,16,17,21	71:15	privilege 28:1
P	61:14,17 62:18	22:18 23:2 25:3	possibilities	31:3,9,12,16,18
<b>P</b> 4:1,1	63:17,18 73:6	33:1	33:10,14	privileged 8:18
page 2:2,6 17:16	pentobarbital	pharmacy 29:11	possible 70:24	31:19 32:12
23:8,19 24:5	14:1,11,14,25	29:20,25 30:17	potentially 39:8	63:6
41:22,23,24,25	18:18 39:25	33:9 35:11 37:3	63:5	privileges 33:21
41:25 55:12,14	40:3,18 44:10	37:9,16 38:17	practice 56:23	<b>privy</b> 71:18
55:15,21 75:9	45:16,19 48:14	38:18,22 39:14	69:9	<b>pro</b> 11:5
75:13,16 76:4,8	64:9,15 72:8	39:24 43:7 48:1	predate 16:25	proactive 34:19
76:12,16,20	73:2	58:22 59:4,5	prematurely	probably 5:18
pages 16:1 24:18	<b>people</b> 22:14	73:3	38:13	19:2,3,18 34:11
41:18 54:17,19	25:21,23 50:4	<b>Philips</b> 35:3,8	prepare 27:16	44:22 51:13
54:21 55:25	66:9 67:9	72:17,19	28:24	52:18
paid 43:6 59:16	perceived 34:17	<b>phone</b> 32:19,23	prepared 9:13	problem 9:5
paragraph 13:24	perfect 17:14	34:7,25 36:20	16:3,8	problems 34:17
16:15,17,21	<b>perform</b> 48:6	<b>phrase</b> 27:10	prepares 25:3	procedure 29:1
17:9,13,16,17	49:18 61:20	physician 22:12	prescribe 28:24	Procedures
17:18,19 24:24	performed 43:14	25:2,4	prescribes 26:2	51:24
25:12,17,23	43:20 44:4,5	<b>place</b> 37:21 68:17	40:17	proceed 34:13
26:18,20,24	72:3,5,21,24	<b>placed</b> 66:20	prescription	proceedings 1:22
27:4,6,7 28:22	performing	67:17 68:18	26:11,14,17	process 20:18
66:22	49:20	<b>plaintiff</b> 11:16,19	prescriptions	30:4,5 31:20,23
paragraphs	performs 56:22	plaintiffs 1:9,20	40:17	32:24 36:5,10
16:16 17:21	period 14:16	3:6,23 4:2 5:2	present 34:11	52:9 54:6,9
part 18:6,7 20:7	60:2,2,3,3,9,13	5:11 32:5 34:18	67:22	62:9,11 64:8
20:8,14,15,16	61:20 70:22	49:22	presentment	66:6,11,18 67:6
53:2 55:9 56:21	perjury 77:12	plaintiff's 11:10	73:13	67:15
56:22	person 23:1	11:15	presume 18:14	produced 3:15
participate 52:14	26:10 29:25	planned 36:18	previous 13:18	5:10 65:8,11
53:11	40:17,18,22	please 5:14,23	13:20 26:21	product 49:25
participated 30:3	41:1 42:4,11	15:20 17:20	42:3,17	professional
30:5 53:7,12,13	61:4,23 62:5,13	44:25 45:4	previously 63:9	39:14 49:3
53:17,23,25	66:5,6 67:9	55:11 66:24	<b>print</b> 24:5	<b>project</b> 18:6,7
	l		I	I

				0
19:8,10,12	74:3,16 75:13	range 11:24	45:5,21,25 49:9	removed 16:22
properly 67:3	77:23	33:10,13 69:15	65:7,18 71:20	16:23,24 17:7
	publically 8:25	69:18	72:18 73:15	render 77:9
· ·	<b>pull</b> 21:20 23:7	Rape 51:25	records 45:19,20	renumbered
21:25 23:7	44:24	reached 14:20,20	56:8	17:9
	purport 33:24	read 14:13 24:10	<b>recruited</b> 30:1,2	renumbering
	purpose 14:4	27:14 42:17	redact 50:3	17:10
-	purposes 12:17	66:24 75:10	redacted 9:1	reorganize 57:15
32:7,13 33:15	33:4 35:22	76:5,9,13,17,21	redactions 49:18	repeated 34:24
-	<b>Pursuant</b> 32:1	77:6	49:21	replevin 9:25
protects 9:1	56:12	reading 28:23	reduced 74:7	10:8,22 11:20
· •	<b>put</b> 14:1	realize 55:25	refer 12:19 37:10	report 51:15,18
· · · · ·	<b>p.m</b> 73:15	56:1	66:22	51:20 52:4
	<b>P.O</b> 4:5	really 9:13 47:9	references 28:23	reporter 3:20
16:9,11,17	1.04.5	63:1	referred 48:3	4:20 5:5 12:18
19:11,15,18,25	Q	reason 21:2,3	refers 35:25	42:17 74:1,3
20:4,4,9 21:18	question 5:23	37:7 76:6,10,14	45:15	reports 44:2
20.4,4,9 21.18 21:25 22:1,2,4	8:17 9:8,12,14	76:18,22	<b>reflect</b> 45:12	51:25 52:1 72:4
	16:24 19:20	<i>,</i>	55:17	72:23
22:5,8,13,19,21	23:11,13 26:25	reasons 35:21		
22:23 23:3,4,7	27:2,9,12 29:15	43:17	<b>reflects</b> 49:24	represent 47:20
24:14,21 25:18	32:9 33:11 36:2	recall 10:11	regard 48:23	represented
26:21 27:5,8,11	36:16,22,23	11:21 14:21	<b>regarding</b> 14:14	50:13,19,25
52:24 62:24	37:6,7,8,21	22:23 27:13	14:16 20:19	represents 50:16
67:13 68:7,13	38:15 39:20	37:1 46:11 47:3	65:7 71:19	request 58:13
protocols 13:15	40:6 42:18	49:19 61:3,15	Reginald 12:5	requested 71:4
13:18,20 14:2,5		61:18 62:19	related 9:25	72:11
14:8,18 15:1	43:19 44:15,19	63:3,11,18,19	33:14 74:9	requests 49:25
20:19 21:12	48:5 49:1 53:20	63:22,23,25,25	relating 53:9	require 8:24
54:10	60:21 63:2,8,13	recalls 63:10	relation 10:2	requirements
provide 17:23	63:20 65:21,23	<b>receive</b> 50:9 54:5	relationship 43:1	20:10
18:8 30:7 36:6	65:25 72:1	received 10:25	43:13	requires 62:24
50.7 10.7,10,22	questioning	16:4 54:6 61:10	relative 74:11	<b>research</b> 14:3,6,9
provided 36:19	35:10	receiving 53:25	<b>relay</b> 62:4	37:13 48:6
57.11117 11.2	questions 2:2	54:2	relaying 61:9,24	reserved 5:7
45:11 47:1,10	5:13,22 29:10	recollection 9:24	relevance 33:19	73:14
47:15,22 54:18	32:17 35:13,18	14:24	33:20	resolution 73:8
55:18,20 58:9	39:5 40:12	recommendation	relevant 32:4,11	respect 13:24
67:18 72:5,23	43:23 53:8,10	18:15	34:3 35:12	62:16 63:14
<b>provider</b> 59:1,10	53:13,19 59:22	recommendati	49:13	67:6 69:10
59:11	72:2,20 73:5,10	56:10	reliability 37:14	72:20
providers 58:14	73:12	record 2:15	48:7	response 35:9
58:16 59:12,15	R	15:24 23:20	relief 32:6	62:23
providing 53:24		31:5,25 34:15	remained 21:6	responsible
0010	<b>R</b> 4:1	35:4,5,8,16	remember 15:3	53:24 61:4,7,9
DEOVISION 52.1.)	<b>raise</b> 35:20	38:5 40:7 41:10	47:4 65:8	61:24 62:13
<b>public</b> 3:19 5:4	<b>random</b> 36:20	41:17 42:15	remind 71:23	responsive 58:24
1				

	10.00		70 7 05	140(71017
rest 43:23	saying 18:20	set 55:9 57:18	72:7,25	14:2,6,7,13,17
restricted 70:4	19:22 20:13,21	setup 17:24	<b>Social</b> 8:16,25	14:19,25 37:4
restructured	34:22 47:19,23	seven 54:13	9:9	48:10 50:2
51:5	says 5:11 24:1,17	sheer 33:7	sorry 10:7 16:23	63:17
<b>result</b> 52:10	31:8 44:21 64:4	<b>sheet</b> 65:6 76:1	16:23 19:12	state's 35:9
return 19:4	67:13	sheets 75:9,12,15	20:6 41:24 59:7	Statute 56:12
75:15	scheduled 60:4	Sheryl 3:19 4:21	67:2	statutes 32:14
returned 10:25	<b>school</b> 8:5,6	5:4 74:2 75:22	sort 12:2 53:17	statutory 19:16
returning 19:5	<b>scope</b> 39:6	<b>shop</b> 36:1 39:2	56:22 66:6	20:10
<b>reveal</b> 33:15	scroll 24:16,17	39:10 46:20	<b>sounds</b> 53:17	Stay 61:13,17
36:25 38:3 39:8	<b>se</b> 11:5	47:12	<b>source</b> 30:1	62:18 63:16
revealing 30:14	<b>sealed</b> 66:20	<b>short</b> 23:14 42:16	sources 21:9	step 23:10,12
<b>Revenue</b> 6:22,24	<b>second</b> 11:3,3,4	65:19	speak 14:12	34:25
7:3,7	13:4 25:20,22	shorthand 5:4,5	speaking 71:19	steps 40:21
<b>review</b> 14:7,9	32:5,11 33:17	74:2	specific 17:23	stickers 57:21
19:10 56:17,22	33:23 34:2,4	<b>show</b> 12:16,24	18:22 53:3	STIPULATED
reviewed 10:24	41:23 42:14	16:3 23:16,19	71:12	5:1
14:2	55:13 57:14	41:5	specifically 26:1	Street 3:18 4:15
reviewing 14:5	59:10	showing 23:21	29:3 30:13	4:23 75:4
reviews 56:8,9	Secrets 31:3,12	<b>sic</b> 27:14 58:10	40:12 65:23	structure 51:8
Richard 6:14	32:15	<b>side</b> 38:13	72:2,2,21	52:7
<b>Rick</b> 6:5,12	section 32:14	sign 73:13 75:12	Spillane 4:13	<b>Sturm</b> 55:20,22
right 9:6 10:16	security 8:16,25	signature 5:6	48:21	<b>subject</b> 40:13
22:7 23:8 24:3	9:9 69:6 71:18	73:14 75:9,12	<b>spoke</b> 12:18 37:8	52:19,21,22
24:25 26:9 47:2	see 13:22 15:20	75:16 76:24	37:9	subscribe 77:11
50:23 57:17	22:24 24:5,14	<b>signed</b> 45:13	<b>St</b> 4:24 8:7 10:15	subsequent
66:24	24:22,23 32:18	significant 34:23	10:17	40:11
<b>rights</b> 10:4 12:2	32:22 34:6,14	<b>similar</b> 32:17,20	<b>staff</b> 66:8	substance 17:22
12:6	34:20 57:6 58:6	45:21 61:20	stamp 15:24 16:1	77:8
role 10:5 20:19	seeing 21:5 27:13	66:17	stamped 16:2	sued 9:20,22,24
52:9,16 56:13	seeking 32:9	similarly 61:19	56:2	10:18 50:21,22
56:14	<b>seen</b> 47:6	simply 25:24	stand 73:6	sufficient 17:11
<b>room</b> 60:7,14	selected 42:11	68:6	standard 14:4	17:12 37:11
68:7	sells 22:18 23:2	simulation 52:14	standdown 60:12	40:15
<b>rule</b> 73:5	sense 5:22 17:6	52:17 53:5	60:13,16	suing 10:25 12:5
ruled 35:11	51:23	Sincerely 75:19	start 6:23 21:2	<b>suit</b> 50:24
<b>rules</b> 8:23	sentence 25:12	<b>single</b> 16:17	started 7:7,19	sum 59:16,17
ruling 33:22,25	25:16,20,22	sit 15:5	8:3 20:22 21:1	summarize 35:5
34:1,3 35:18	<b>separate</b> 16:16	six 19:1	21:3 36:5	summary 35:6
40:8 46:1 49:10	separately 50:13	small 17:17	state 3:20 11:8	41:23
<b>run</b> 9:5	September 55:15	66:12	19:4 31:2,12	supervises 25:6
	series 72:2	smaller 24:5	32:15 40:7	supervisor 7:16
S	services 4:22	Smith 58:21	41:17 49:9,12	supplied 27:15
<b>S</b> 4:1	43:7,8 75:1	<b>Smulls</b> 29:21	74:3,17 77:1	27:20 29:20
safety 69:6 71:18	serving 10:23	62:10 63:15	stated 32:5 35:8	48:9 49:21
save 57:15	sessions 53:20	66:19 70:9,14	states 1:1 3:1,21	supplier 22:22
L				

ſ				
suppliers 27:24	<b>Taylor</b> 43:15	thing 21:9 33:2	told 36:13 62:22	69:15
28:6	44:2 70:10,14	53:17 56:3	<b>topic</b> 56:5	understanding
<b>supplies</b> 27:8,10	72:4,22	things 30:3 38:7	<b>trade</b> 41:16	28:15 50:21
<b>supply</b> 28:25	team 21:14,18,22	38:9 71:22	trainer 53:21,24	66:10,17 70:8
46:21	21:25 22:3,6,9	think 7:6,21,21	54:1	understood
supplying 36:10	22:13,15 23:3	10:20,20 12:9	training 52:14	19:23
39:25 48:14	24:21 25:1,7,10	16:3 24:9 25:16	53:3,6,7,11,20	<b>Unger</b> 4:3 75:16
supposed 33:3	29:7 30:15,21	25:20 26:22	53:24,25 54:2,5	unit 51:22,24
Supreme 63:17	32:10 44:13,18	31:19,22 33:2	54:6,9	52:1,1
sure 8:22 13:6	technician 25:5	34:10,22,23,25	trainings 52:17	<b>United</b> 1:1 3:1,21
15:21 18:23	telephone 34:16	35:15 36:17	transcribed 5:5	63:17
19:14,25 20:9	71:9 72:19	38:5,16 46:2	transcript 75:11	<b>units</b> 51:20 52:4
21:6,20,24	<b>tell</b> 5:14,23 9:22	48:18 50:10	trial 75:17	University 8:7,11
23:12 25:13	11:15 12:4,25	54:8 56:3,25	true 5:18 23:22	<b>unused</b> 64:8,15
26:10 27:9	13:10 16:6	57:23 60:10	24:17 28:20	65:21 66:20
29:24 30:25	17:20 32:24	63:1,3 65:22,24	48:25 71:3 77:9	67:4,14
34:8 43:25	36:18 39:1	67:15,17,20	77:13	<b>use</b> 14:14 18:2
44:15 51:14	40:21 41:20,21	70:4,10 71:21	<b>trust</b> 24:15	26:17 27:16
58:25 59:9	43:10 45:3	third 12:1 41:24	try 5:23 19:22	28:25 33:12
70:19	55:11 58:8,19	41:24 55:15	69:7	58:2,23 69:17
surprised 71:24	61:16 62:20	59:11 62:5	trying 5:22	50.2,25 09.17
Susan 4:12	64:14,23 65:1	<b>three</b> 3:17 7:10	<b>Tulsa</b> 36:1 39:2	V
sworn 3:15 5:10	68:14 69:21	7:15 16:15	39:10 46:20	vague 19:20,21
74:6	telling 47:21 54:3	24:18 36:14	<b>turn</b> 40:16	29:15
	61:5 65:10		<b>two</b> 7:17 13:18	vagueness 44:15
<b>syringes</b> 66:13	ten 3:16	58:16,16 63:3 66:9	13:19 18:8 25:7	various 70:11
T	tender 73:11		30:3 36:19	vicinity 60:14
table 68:6		<b>three-page</b> 23:18 <b>threw</b> 66:13	43:12 49:24	violated 12:6
take 7:3 13:4	<b>tendering</b> 54:16 <b>terms</b> 41:25			violation 10:4
28:17 33:8 34:9		<b>thrust</b> 11:9	51:18 52:4,12	68:12
34:24 38:4 41:6	<b>Terre</b> 68:15	time 9:7,25 18:21	65:7,9 66:9,12	violations 10:2
41:7 51:12	test 44:9 72:8	18:23 19:6 20:4	71:19	Virginia 14:24
54:12 57:3	73:2	21:1 35:7 38:8	<b>typewriting</b> 5:6	visit 37:21 38:18
59:24	tested 72:6,25	43:3,10 44:16	74:8	38:21 71:10,13
<b>taken</b> 1:20 5:3,16	testified 68:5	47:10 56:13	U	visits 69:1,3,8
5:18 68:18 74:6	testimony 74:5,6	57:15 60:13,18	ultimately 17:4	70:3,16
74:10 75:8 76:3	<b>testing</b> 42:8	61:12 62:17	unclear 22:2	vs 1:11 3:8 75:5
talk 42:13 52:13	43:14,20 44:2,4	63:15 67:20	undergraduate	<b>vs</b> 1.11 5.8 75.5 76:2
60:19 62:3	59:17 72:3,21	69:24 70:1,2,3	8:10	10.2
70:13	72:23	70:5	understand 5:23	W
talked 14:23	<b>Texas</b> 14:21	times 9:24 20:14	5:25 19:24 27:9	waive 73:12
30:19	text 56:1	60:10,11 63:3	34:21 35:17	waived 48:18,22
	<b>Thank</b> 16:5 59:7	<b>timetable</b> 70:14	37:25 42:3	63:4
talking 24:24	<b>thereof</b> 60:15	<b>title</b> 51:3 52:10		want 8:23 13:4
29:13,24 44:16 61:1 68:20	thereon 77:10	titles 65:9	47:19 50:11,11 50:23 51:9 57:1	17:10 24:8,14
	thereto 74:12	today 44:17		24:22 35:17
69:23 70:7	<b>they'd</b> 40:12	50:13,20	64:6 66:4 68:20	21.22 33.17
1	I	I	I	I

г				I age o
38:4,12 41:16	63:6	57:21 66:22,23	7:2 41:19 45:11	573-751-4418
44:25 49:14	withdrawn 38:16	<b>1:00</b> 44:22	47:15 54:18	4:17
58:3 64:3 65:22	73:8	<b>10</b> 16:4 23:18	58:12 75:2,8	
65:25	witness 3:14 5:6	38:6 41:19	76:3	6
wanted 32:25	23:9 26:7 31:20	45:11,15 54:18	<b>2048</b> 41:18	<b>6</b> 57:21
71:20	41:7 54:23	<b>10/21/13</b> 45:14	<b>2049</b> 41:18	<b>63101</b> 4:24
<b>warrant</b> 60:2,3	57:12 73:11	<b>10:15</b> 1:22	<b>2050</b> 41:18	<b>64</b> 2:21
61:20	74:4,6 75:10	<b>11</b> 47:14,21	<b>2051</b> 41:18	<b>64112</b> 4:6
Washington 8:7	76:1,24	<b>11/15/2013</b> 55:16	<b>207</b> 4:15 75:4	<b>65102</b> 4:16 75:4
14:22	word 26:17,23	55:22	<b>21</b> 1:21 45:22	7
wasn't 17:14	27:3,5	<b>11/27/2013</b> 41:22	75:8 76:3	
21:6,16 26:25	work 7:15 8:10	<b>112</b> 38:6	<b>21st</b> 3:15	<b>7</b> 2:17 54:14,17
60:16	10:2 69:13	<b>1175</b> 54:17 55:25	<b>2246</b> 16:2	55:10,11
water 66:12	70:18	<b>1176</b> 54:17	<b>2249</b> 16:2	<b>711</b> 4:23
way 47:9 51:6	worked 7:9,25	<b>1177</b> 54:17	<b>235</b> 3:18	<b>72</b> 23:25
53:14 63:8	working 28:11	<b>1178</b> 54:17 55:25	<b>27</b> 42:2	<b>73</b> 23:17 24:1
ways 33:1	works 69:9	<b>12</b> 2:9	<b>2797</b> 58:9	8
weeks 6:8,16	wouldn't 19:18	<b>12:01</b> 60:3		<b>8</b> 2:19 57:3,5,10
52:6,13	Writ 31:7	<b>1292</b> 45:10	3	
went 7:3,6 16:15	writes 40:17	<b>14</b> 38:7	<b>3</b> 2:11 15:14,16	57:11,21,23,25
32:24	writing 26:10,13	<b>15</b> 2:11 24:19	16:13,25 17:1,3	58:2,8 72:11
weren't 27:23	54:10	55:13	17:8 18:5,19	8th 61:14,17 816-525-6540 4:7
38:8	wrong 35:7 59:7	<b>18</b> 16:17 18:21	20:21,22 26:6	810-525-05404:/
West 4:15 75:4		19:5	26:21	9
Western 1:2 3:2	X	<b>1999</b> 8:13	<b>3/31/77</b> 8:15	<b>9</b> 2:21 16:3 57:16
3:22 8:24	<b>X</b> 22:12		<b>30418</b> 4:5	57:17,22,23
we'll 9:14 12:20		2	<b>31</b> 75:2	64:19,21,23
28:17 43:23	Y	<b>2</b> 15:15 17:16	314-644-2191	01.19,21,25
52:12 57:15	Yeah 17:6 22:24	2:12-CV-4209	4:25	
59:22	54:4 69:20 72:1	1:11 3:8		
<b>we're</b> 8:20 9:2,6	year 6:19,25 7:1	<b>2:44</b> 73:15	4	
12:19,24 24:23	8:8 11:23 19:1	<b>20</b> 58:10,12 60:5	<b>4</b> 2:13 23:17,24	
29:24 32:16	46:12,17	64:25 77:15	41:6,13,16	
33:11 34:8 35:4	years 7:10 8:3	<b>2002</b> 8:3,4,9	<b>41</b> 2:13	
39:7 43:16	<b>yellow</b> 57:7,8	<b>2009</b> 7:20,22	<b>44</b> 2:15	
44:16 55:24	Z	<b>2010</b> 7:22 11:25	5	
64:2 68:17		<b>2012</b> 7:6 11:25		
72:13	<b>ZINK</b> 1:7 3:4,23	24:14,19 25:24	<b>5</b> 2:3,15 13:25	
<b>we've</b> 9:5 65:8	75:5 76:2	26:1,11,20 27:5	44:24 45:1	
Where'd 30:9	1	27:8,10,14	49:17,18,24 55:15 57:21	
whichever 58:3	<b>1</b> 2:8 12:17,22	28:10,14	<b>53</b> :15 57:21 <b>54</b> 2:17	
<b>Williams</b> 6:5,13	16:14,25 17:4,8	<b>2013</b> 7:2 18:21	<b>54</b> 2:17 <b>546.702</b> 32:14	
6:14 51:16	21:11,12 22:23	23:18 42:2	<b>546.720</b> 31:2	
willing 35:25	23:19 25:11,16	45:22 55:13,15	<b>552.010</b> 56:12	
40:21 42:4		58:10 64:25		
1	25:23 27:19		57 2.10	
withdraw 37:22	25:23 27:19 28:22,22,24	<b>2014</b> 1:21 3:16	<b>57</b> 2:19	