

CHFA Capital Plan Property Assessment - Parkside Village I & II

Property Identification

Parkside Village I & II
BRANFORD, CT

CHFA Property Identification #: 85005D, 86002D

Current State Sponsored Housing Program: SH Elderly

Total Current Unit Count: 90
Census Tract: 1844.00
Connecticut Congressional District: 3

This property was originally financed in phases and appears in CHFA's records as two separate properties. However, lenders and investors are likely to favor larger transactions given the efficiencies of scale and Recap has elected to analyze these properties as a unitary whole. Recap also recommends that the owner and CHFA merge the properties for purposes of reporting, accounting and ownership.

Property Description

Tenancy Type: Elderly/Disabled
Structure Type: Low rise (1-4 floors)
Number of buildings: 6
Maximum # of Stories: 2
Elevator? Yes

Summary property description:

The Parkside Village property has 69 efficiency or studio and 21 one-bedroom units. Generally, the property consists of reasonably sized units. It features amenities such as common laundry, semi-private outdoor space, a common room and dining room with meal service.

Current Operating & Capital Needs Status

Aggregate Capital Needs
(without market enhancements): \$ 3,712,556

Capital Needs per Unit: \$ 41,251

Projected Year 1 (2014) Operating Income: \$ (81,257)

Current operations at the property are projected to generate negative \$81,300 in net operating income (NOI, or revenue after operating expenses) in Year 1 (2014). With incomes and expenses trending at 2% and 3% respectively, which is a standard affordable housing industry convention, the NOI figure decreases annually and this shortfall continues to grow. As a result, the property is not sustainable and cannot adequately address its future basic capital needs, projected to be approximately \$3.71 million (\$41,250 per unit) over the next 20 years.

Current average income relative to the Area Median Income (AMI): 21%

The Capital Plan is recommending that the property continue operating as it is currently structured, without a consolidated recapitalization transaction. Consequently, the Capital Plan does not recommend a specific revenue adjustment. The owner should note, however, that the rental income is not projected to meet the property's expenses over the next 20 years and may struggle to cover future capital needs. The owner may want to consider an adjustment in the property's base rent in order to avoid future budget problems.

	Current Base Rent	Affordability (% AMI)
Studio/efficiency unit:	293	20%
One-bedroom unit:	310	20%
Two-bedroom unit:		
Three-bedroom unit:		
Four-bedroom unit:		
Five-bedroom unit:		
Six-bedroom unit:		

	Proposed Base Rent	Affordability (% AMI)
Studio/efficiency unit:	293	20%
One-bedroom unit:	310	20%
Two-bedroom unit:		
Three-bedroom unit:		
Four-bedroom unit:		
Five-bedroom unit:		
Six-bedroom unit:		

Number of current households that would be impacted by the proposed increase in Base Rent: n/a

Rental operating subsidy necessary in 2014 to generate revenue equal to raising the base rent as proposed: n/a

Additional rental assistance payments subsidy over a 20 year period due to revised base rent: n/a

Revenue Adjustments Concurrent with a Recapitalization Transaction

Parkside Village I & II, continued

Household Income Level	Current Income Mix	Proposed Income Mix
0-25% of AMI	63	63
25-50% of AMI	27	27
50% of AMI or greater	0	0
Total number of units	90	90

Since the Capital Plan is recommending that the property continue operating as it is currently structured, without a consolidated recapitalization transaction, the analysis does not assume any changes to the property's income mix.

	Pre-Trans. Base Rent	Post-Trans. Base Rent
Studio/efficiency unit:	293	293
One-bedroom unit:	310	310
Two-bedroom unit:		
Three-bedroom unit:		
Four-bedroom unit:		
Five-bedroom unit:		
Six-bedroom unit:		

Rental operating subsidy in the transaction year which would be necessary to generate additional revenue equal to that generated by income mixing: n/a

Additional rental operating subsidy necessary to sustain Rental Assistance Payments based on the adjusted base rent: n/a

Property used for market reference: Ivy Street Apartments

	Capital Surplus or (Gap)	Total (Gap) Funded by Subsidy inc. Capital & Operating
Current Scenario (excluding transaction costs):	(3,011,819)	(5,844,567)
Recoverable Grant Scenario:	(6,285,426)	(8,970,116)
CHFA/FHA Scenario:	(5,924,882)	(9,118,014)
4% LIHTC Scenario:	(4,486,233)	(7,673,359)
9% LIHTC Scenario:	(1,956,537)	(5,143,814)

The Capital Plan analysis considers five scenarios and the prospect under each scenario to address the property's capital and operational needs. Each scenario's capacity to address the property's capital needs is listed to the left, as represented by the Replacement Reserve (RM&R) balance at the end of 20 years. Also at left is the total gap, including both operating subsidy needs and capital subsidy needs, over the 20 year study period.

- The first scenario, the "Current Scenario" assumes the property continues operating as it currently is operated - no material change in the base rent and no implementation of income mixing strategies to shift the property's revenue picture. Consequently, there is no adverse impact on residents or on the opportunity to serve the income demographic currently holding tenancies. The current scenario uses the baseline capital needs as the anticipated capital investment for purposes of identifying the surplus or gap. However, the current scenario - unlike the other four scenarios - does not include any allowance for soft costs (architecture or design, relocation, developer overhead, etc.) or for general contractor overhead and profit (as it is assumed each trade would come to the site independently, without the need for overarching coordination).

- The second scenario, the "Recoverable Grant Scenario" assumes any revenue adjustments described above (i.e., if the analysis suggested an increase in base rent and/or introduction of a mixed-income framework, or the equivalent revenue from federal or state operating subsidy). The Recoverable Grant Scenario envisions a streamlined allocation of funds from the State to the property, implemented with standardized documents and minimal legal or due diligence transaction costs. The Recoverable Grant would be repaid to the State to the extent possible from cash flow. The Recoverable Grant Scenario is most frequently selected when the transaction is too small to warrant the transaction costs associated with alternative financing or if the market is too weak to support debt or equity leverage.

- The three remaining scenarios - "CHFA/FHA," "4% LIHTC" and "9% LIHTC" correspond to three different leverage transaction structures. Each scenario includes transaction costs appropriate to the nature of the transaction. (For example, legal fees in the two LIHTC scenarios are higher than in the CHFA/FHA scenario.) Typically, the CHFA/FHA scenario would generate the least amount of funds for capital improvements and the 9% LIHTC scenario would generate the greatest amount, with the 4% LIHTC scenario falling in between. The CHFA/FHA scenario is a debt-only scenario, using either CHFA or FHA-insured financing. The two LIHTC scenarios assume both debt and a syndication of low income housing tax credits. The 4% tax credits rely on the use of tax exempt bond financing and are generally available when needed. (The analysis assumes that the tax exempt bonds will be used for construction funding in order to generate the tax credits, but may not remain outstanding at the full amount after permanent debt conversion.) The 9% tax credits are a competitive and scarce resource so cannot be assumed to be available for all properties.

Recommended Transaction and Transaction Assumptions

Parkside Village I & II, continued

Recommended Transaction Option:	Current	The Capital Plan is recommending that the property continue operating as it is currently structured (i.e., the current scenario described above), as this approach requires the least amount of subsidy from the State over time as compared to the other capital leverage transactions. In the absence of a consolidated recapitalization transaction, however, the property will need additional resources on a continuing basis.
Recommended Transaction Year	n/a	The Capital Plan recommends that the property receive annual grants as needed to cover the gap between the property's capital need budget and the property's ability to pay those costs. These grants, identified as "Pre-Transaction Subsidy" (since no consolidated transaction is proposed), would total \$3,011,819 over the course of the next 20 years.
Replacement Reserve Deposit PUPY:	-	
Debt Service Coverage in Transaction Year:	-	
Debt Service Coverage in Transaction Year 15:	-	At this time, the "Current Scenario" is the only approach which reasonably covers the property's capital needs given the low rental revenue and/or small size of the property and the current programmatic assumptions. However, it is neither a sustainable nor an efficient strategy as it requires the State to have a much more active role in supervising both capital and operating budgets. This level of oversight would correspond to a higher degree of accountability by the owner to the State.
Pre-Transaction Capital Subsidy Needed:	3,011,819	
Transaction Capital Subsidy Needed:	-	The "Current Scenario" would also require the property to self-manage improvements as they become necessary - the budget does not anticipate the availability of a general contractor. In other words, the property management staff would bring in the specific tradespeople as necessary to repair or replace the components as they fail. Since this is consistent with current property management practices, this burden should be manageable for the owner.

Summary of Recommended Transaction

This property does not have a stable operating revenue and expense picture and is at-risk of experiencing long term structural operating deficits unless it is able to access significant operating subsidy. Under the Current scenario, the property yields negative \$81,257 in NOI in the current year, which includes \$0 per unit per year in replacement reserve deposits, trending to negative \$190,171 fifteen years thereafter. The transaction results in a capital subsidy need of \$3,011,000 and \$2,832,000 in operating deficit subsidy, all of which would need to be covered by State capital subsidy. Given that the "Current Scenario" assumes a heavy dependence on state subsidy on an ongoing annual basis, any cash flow should presumably be escrowed to offset future subsidy need or to repay the State for prior subsidy payments.

Summary of Capital Needs & State Subsidy Needs

Parkside Village I & II, continued

Immediate Emergency Capital Needs: 0
 Current Deferred Capital Needs: 0
 Current Routine Capital Needs: 257,473

The chart below indicates the year-by-year capital investment needs at the property as projected by On-Site Insight. One should note, however, that On-Site Insight used a state-wide cost basis generated from the RS Means database for capital needs. Some high-cost communities can experience a premium of 10%-15% in excess of the State-wide figures. The chart also indicates the timing of State capital and operating subsidy needs assuming the transaction scenario described above.

Year	Annual Capital Needs (per CNA)	Capital Subsidy		Operating Subsidy		
		Pre-Transaction Capital Subsidy Needs	Transaction Capital Subsidy Needs	Operating Deficit Subsidy Needs	Base Rent Operating Subsidy Needs	Income Mixing Operating Subsidy Needs
2013	257,473	229,647	-	-	-	-
2014	67,201	42,883	-	81,257	-	-
2015	97,841	72,551	-	87,144	-	-
2016	71,292	44,990	-	93,281	-	-
2017	75,116	47,762	-	99,677	-	-
2018	92,104	63,655	-	106,343	-	-
2019	170,207	140,620	-	113,288	-	-
2020	49,196	18,426	-	120,522	-	-
2021	39,345	7,344	-	128,055	-	-
2022	60,454	27,173	-	135,899	-	-

Year	Annual Capital Needs (per CNA)	Capital Subsidy		Operating Subsidy		
		Pre-Transaction Capital Subsidy Needs	Transaction Capital Subsidy Needs	Operating Deficit Subsidy Needs	Base Rent Operating Subsidy Needs	Income Mixing Operating Subsidy Needs
2023	88,707	54,095	-	144,065	-	-
2024	67,302	31,305	-	152,564	-	-
2025	414,069	376,632	-	161,408	-	-
2026	54,682	15,748	-	170,611	-	-
2027	56,325	15,834	-	180,184	-	-
2028	1,272,826	1,230,715	-	190,141	-	-
2029	61,974	18,178	-	200,496	-	-
2030	60,982	15,434	-	211,263	-	-
2031	164,334	116,965	-	222,458	-	-
2032	491,127	441,863	-	234,094	-	-

Scenario Pro Formas

Parkside Village I & II, continued

Income and Expense Analysis

	CURRENT		RECOVERABLE GRANT		CHFA/FHA		4% LIHTC		9% LIHTC	
	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit
2023 ANNUAL INCOME										
Gross Potential Rent	438,677	4,874.19	686,736	7,630.40	686,736	7,630	686,736	7,630	686,736	7,630
Vacancy/Loss	(7,260)	(80.67)	(7,632)	(84.80)	(34,337)	(382)	(48,071)	(534)	(48,071)	(534)
Other Income	12,663	140.70	12,663	140.70	12,663	141	12,663	141	12,663	141
Effective Gross Income	444,080	4,934.23	691,767	7,686.30	665,062	7,390	651,327	7,237	651,327	7,237
2023 ANNUAL EXPENSES										
Operating Expenses	553,532.72	6,150	527,145	5,857	508,093	5,645	507,406	5,638	507,406	5,638
Replacement Reserve Deposits	34,612	385	34,612	385	44,834	498	44,834	498	44,834	498
Total Operating Expenses	588,145	6,535	561,757	6,242	552,927	6,144	552,240	6,136	552,240	6,136
2023 NET OPERATING INCOME	(144,065)	(1,601)	130,010	1,445	112,135	1,246	99,087	1,101	99,087	1,101
Debt Service	-	-	-	-	53,687	597	39,075	434	39,097	434
2023 CASH FLOW	(144,065)	(1,601)	130,010	1,445	58,448	649	60,012	667	59,990	667

Sources and Uses Analysis

	CURRENT		RECOVERABLE GRANT		CHFA/FHA		4% LIHTC		9% LIHTC	
	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit
SOURCES										
Hard Debt										
Commercial Debt 1	-	-	-	-	934,228	10,380	563,129	6,257	680,338	7,559
Commercial Debt 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tax-Exempt Bond	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Soft Debt										
Seller Financing/Take Back Note	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,700,000	30,000	2,700,000	30,000
State	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Local	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other										
From Operations	-	-	18,326	204	49,826	554	49,826	554	49,826	554
Cash Escrows	-	-	46,030	511	53,212	591	53,212	591	53,212	591
Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Deferred Developer Fee	-	-	-	-	358,122	3,979	371,893	4,132	370,273	4,114
Equity										
GP Contribution	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIHTC	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,378,738	26,430	4,785,710	53,175
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Sources of Funds	-	-	64,356	715	1,395,388	15,504	6,116,798	67,964	8,639,359	95,993
USES										
Acquisition Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,700,000	30,000	2,700,000	30,000
Construction Costs	-	-	5,074,216	56,380	5,074,216	56,380	5,130,448	57,005	5,130,448	57,005
Soft Costs - Design & Construction	-	-	553,291	6,148	545,293	6,059	558,633	6,207	558,633	6,207
Soft Costs - Due Diligence	-	-	18,089	201	30,089	334	37,897	421	37,897	421
Soft Costs - Transaction Costs	-	-	38,826	431	118,826	1,320	272,776	3,031	272,776	3,031
Soft Costs - Financing	-	-	155,593	1,729	478,154	5,313	554,167	6,157	549,942	6,110
Soft Costs - Other	-	-	51,750	575	58,500	650	58,500	650	58,500	650
Soft Cost Contingency	-	-	40,877	454	61,543	684	67,190	747	65,819	731
Reserves	-	-	-	-	58,344	648	293,687	3,263	296,198	3,291
Developer Fee	-	-	417,139	4,635	895,306	9,948	929,733	10,330	925,684	10,285
Total Uses of Funds	-	-	6,349,781	70,553	7,320,271	81,336	10,603,030	117,811	10,595,896	117,732
TRANSACTION SURPLUS (GAP)	-	-	(6,285,426)	(69,838)	(5,924,882)	(65,832)	(4,486,233)	(49,847)	(1,956,537)	(21,739)

Coverage of Capital Needs Analysis

	CURRENT		RECOVERABLE GRANT		CHFA/FHA		4% LIHTC		9% LIHTC	
	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit
FUNDS										
Transaction Rehab	-	-	3,916,018	43,511	3,916,018	43,511	3,916,018	43,511	3,916,018	43,511
Capital Needs Funded Using Subsidy	3,011,819	33,465	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Existing Replacement Reserve Balance	27,826	309	27,826	309	27,826	309	27,826	309	27,826	309
Replacement Reserves	672,912	7,477	672,912	7,477	871,644	9,685	871,644	9,685	871,644	9,685
Total Funds	3,712,556	41,251	4,616,755	51,297	4,815,487	53,505	4,815,487	53,505	4,815,487	53,505
USES										
Estimated Capital Needs	3,712,556	41,251	3,712,556	41,251	3,712,556	41,251	3,712,556	41,251	3,712,556	41,251
Enhancements	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Uses	3,712,556	41,251	3,712,556	41,251	3,712,556	41,251	3,712,556	41,251	3,712,556	41,251
YEAR 20 REPLACEMENT RESERVE BALANCE	-	-	904,199	10,047	1,102,931	12,255	1,102,931	12,255	1,102,931	12,255

Subsidy Analysis

	CURRENT		RECOVERABLE GRANT		CHFA/FHA		4% LIHTC		9% LIHTC	
	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit
OPERATING SUBSIDY										
Base Rent Operating Subsidy Needed	n/a	n/a	3,524,486	39,161	3,524,486	39,161	3,524,486	39,161	3,524,486	39,161
Operating Deficit Subsidy Needed	2,832,748	31,475	-	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Income Mixing Operating Subsidy Needed	n/a	n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Operating Subsidy	2,832,748	31,475	3,524,486	39,161	3,524,486	39,161	3,524,486	39,161	3,524,486	39,161
CAPITAL SUBSIDY										
Pre-Transaction Capital Subsidy Needed	3,011,819	33,465	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Recoverable Cash Flow	n/a	n/a	(839,796)	(9,331)	(331,354)	(3,682)	(337,360)	(3,748)	(337,209)	(3,747)
Transaction Capital Subsidy Needed	n/a	n/a	6,285,426	69,838	5,924,882	65,832	4,486,233	49,847	1,956,537	21,739
Total Capital Subsidy	3,011,819	33,465	5,445,630	60,507	5,593,528	62,150	4,148,873	46,099	1,619,328	17,993
TOTAL SUBSIDY NEEDED	5,844,567	64,940	8,970,116	99,668	9,118,014	101,311	7,673,359	85,260	5,143,814	57,153