id:215637

date/time:7/8/2009 13:03

refid:09DAMASCUS477

origin:Embassy Damascus

classification:SECRET//NOFORN

destination:07DAMASCUS1156 | 09DAMASCUS185

header:

VZCZCXYZ0001 OO RUEHWEB

DE RUEHDM #0477/01 1891303

ZNY SSSSS ZZH

O 081303Z JUL 09

FM AMEMBASSY DAMASCUS

TO RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 6579

INFO RUEHRL/AMEMBASSY BERLIN PRIORITY 0180

RUEHBS/AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS PRIORITY 0314

RUEHLO/AMEMBASSY LONDON PRIORITY 0626

RUEHFR/AMEMBASSY PARIS PRIORITY 0593

RUEHGV/USMISSION GENEVA PRIORITY 0697

RUEAIIA/CIA WASHINGTON DC PRIORITY

RHEHNSC/NSC WASHDC PRIORITY

RUCNDT/USMISSION USUN NEW YORK PRIORITY 0665

RHEHAAA/WHITE HOUSE WASHDC PRIORITY

----- header ends -----

S E C R E T DAMASCUS 000477

NOFORN SIPDIS

DEPARTMENT FOR NEA/ELA, NEA/PI, DRL/NECSA BARGHOUT NSC FOR MCDERMOTT/SHAPIRO LONDON FOR TSOU, PARIS FOR MILLER

E.O. 12958: DECL: 07/08/2019
TAGS: PREL, PGOV, PHUM, KDEM, SY

SUBJECT: MURKY ALLIANCES: MUSLIM BROTHERHOOD, THE MOVEMENT FOR JUSTICE AND DEMOCRACY, AND THE DAMASCUS DECLARATION

REF: A. 07 DAMASCUS 01156 B. DAMASCUS 00185

Classified By: CDA Raymond Maxwell for reasons 1.4 (b) and (d)

1. (C) Summary: The Muslim Brotherhood (MB) in Syria Website published a "letter" on June 11 accusing external Damascus Declaration committees of violating the Damascus Declaration National Council's bylaws on electing members to the General Secretariat. XXXXXXXXXXX explained the Muslim Brotherhood's protest stemmed from the external Damascus Declaration committees' failure to coordinate with the MB in setting up the external political structures meant to compliment the Damascus Declaration's internal structures. The rancor expressed in the MB's letter suggested a growing fissure between expatriate Damascus Declaration

representatives, especially between the MB and the small, but politically connected and increasingly active Movement for Justice and Development (MJD). More worrisome, however, is recent information suggesting the SARG may already have penetrated the MJD and learned about sensitive USG programs in Syria. End Summary.

Background

3. (C) XXXXXXXXXXXX

MJD vs. Muslim Brotherhood

- 5. (C) XXXXXXXXXXX asked the representative of the London-based Damascus Declaration committee, Anas al-Abdah -- who was also the leader of the Movement for Justice and Development, a self-professed moderate Islamic organization (ref B) -- to contact the MB

and invite them to participate in the formation and elections of the ad hoc political panel.

6. (C) "After a year," XXXXXXXXXXX lamented, "nothing has been achieved. Abdah claimed he tried to contact them, but this is hard to prove." XXXXXXXXXXX added that other external Damascus Declaration committee members had reported back that they too had attempted to contact the MB without success. XXXXXXXXXXX told

us XXXXXXXXXXX doubted attempts at contact commenced until it was effectively beside the point -- that is, after the MB broke with the NSF and disavowed opposition activities in response to the Israeli attacks on Gaza. By then, XXXXXXXXXXX said, it was too late; the MB felt slighted by the external committees. When the MB broke from the NSF, XXXXXXXXXXX said, "I tried to push XXXXXXXXXXX to contact them directly," to ask them to participate in the formation of the external political structure. "I said directly, not through (Anas) Abdah because I know competition among groups outside causes problems," XXXXXXXXXXXX recounted. XXXXXXXXXXX

7. (C) According to XXXXXXXXXXXX, it was the external committees' disregard for MB participation that prompted the Brotherhood to draft and publish its incendiary letter. XXXXXXXXXXX said "some people are now saying the MB isn't serious about joining in the Damascus Declaration's work" and that the letter is just an excuse -- they have already renounced opposition activities and do not plan to resume them against Syria. XXXXXXXXXXX cautioned, "I think this comes from outside, not in Syria," and that it is not true. XXXXXXXXXXXX, "The MB is the largest Islamic group in the country; the MJD is just a few people."

MJD: A Leaky Boat?

- 8. (C) XXXXXXXXXXXX had told us in the past (ref B) that the MJD (1) had many members who were formerly with the MB; (2) was at odds with the MB and sought to marginalize it abroad; (3) was seeking to expand its base in Syria, though it had not been successful; and (4) had been initially lax in its security, often speaking about highly sensitive material on open lines. The first three points speak directly to the ongoing feud and the MB's recent letter of protest. XXXXXXXXXXXX
- 9. (S/NF) XXXXXXXXXXX told us security services had asked whether XXXXXXXXXXX had met with anyone from our "Foreign Ministry" and with anyone from the Democracy Council (Comment: State Department Foreign Affairs Officer Joseph Barghout had recently been in Syria XXXXXXXXXXXX; we assume the SARG was fishing for information, knowing Barghout had entered the country. Jim Prince was in Damascus on February 25, XXXXXXXXXXX
- 10. (S/NF) Comment: Born not as a political party, but as an umbrella organization composed of many different groups, the Damascus Declaration has been handicapped by internal divisions among unlikely allies: the Kurds, the MB, liberals, national socialists, communists and others. XXXXXXXXXXX MJD's organizational successes so far might best be explained as the by-products of its relationship with the XXXXXXXXXXX and the USG. Evidence the organization has a sizable, influential constituency inside and outside Syria is difficult to discern. Post has seen no reporting on the size MJD's base in Europe and the U.S. XXXXXXXXXXXX; therefore it would not surprise us if an external committee member like Anas Abdah, who heads both the Damascus Declaration's external London committee and the MJD, would drag his feet when asked to contact the MB.

11. (S/NF) Comment continued: XXXXXXXXXXX report begs the question of how much and for how long the SARG has known about Democracy Council operations in Syria and, by extension, the MJD's participation. Reporting in other channels suggest the Syrian Muhabarat may already have penetrated the MJD and is using MJD contacts to track U.S. democracy programming. If the SARG does know, but has chosen not to intervene openly, it raises the possibility that the SARG may be mounting a campaign to entrap democracy activists receiving illegal (under Syrian law) foreign assistance. End Comment.

MAXWELL