POST-TREATMENT OPTIONS

REV. STEPHEN J. ROSSETTI, PH.D.

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: The post-treatment ministry options for clergy and religious who have been involved in sexual misconduct challenges us to those social, legal and pastoral considerations that may well force us into that uncomfortable, but necessary, zone of danger that will sharpen our skills to successfully handle all the twists, all the turns, and the thrills of the hills as we go up and down that mountain we call life.

We have today with us an excellent instructor guide. I am honored to present to you Stephen Rossetti, priest of the diocese of Syracuse; graduate of the Air Force Academy; former Air Force intelligence officer; former director of education at House of Affirmation; author of best sellers, I Am Awake, Fire on the Earth; editor of award-winning Slayer of the Soul, Child Sexual Abuse and the Catholic Church; licensed psychologist; member of the National Conference of Catholic Bishops' Think Tank on Child Sexual Abuse; member of the board of directors of St. John's University Sexual Trauma Institute; currently executive vice-president and chief operating officer of St. Luke's

Institute; lecturer on issues of sexuality and 1 spirituality to clergy and religious around the country; consultant to religious organizations on clergy and 3 religious mental health issues. 4 Ladies and gentlemen, Reverend 5 6 Dr. Rossetti. REV. ROSSETTI: Thank you. It's great to be here 7 with you all and I appreciate your coming. It's a 8 difficult issue, post-treatment options. By the way, 9 that in itself is a new sort of spin. It's a euphemism. 10 You may want to sit. Its going to be a ... 11 It's a euphemism. I used to use the term 12 "return to ministry, question mark," and that got so 13 much negative responses that we've now changed the title 14 to "post-treatment options." And that actually came 15 from Everett McNeal, who has been helping out the NCCB 16 on working out this issue because return to ministry is 17 such a powerfully negative issue that it's hard to speak 18 about it normally. And so post-treatment options is a 19 way of sort of spinning it more positively because it 20 faces you with a problem. The problem is, what do we do 21 with these men after treatment. 22 I'll be speaking primarily about men. 23 There are some women, of course, who have sexual 24

problems, but it's primarily a male issue. And there

1 are about three or four types of typical cases of sexual 2 misconduct that we see.

You're welcome to come and sit down in the front, if you like.

Primarily I'll be talking about child sexual abuse because that's the most difficult issue.

What do you do with priests who sexually molest minors after treatment? That's the hardest issue to deal with.

Another issue, which is almost as difficult, is, what about the guys who are involved with anonymous and compulsive sexuality. For example, the guys who cruise pickup joints, porno shops, truck stops, that crowd, a lot of high frequency anonymous compulsive sexuality. Usually we see it in homosexuality more than heterosexuality.

And the third issue, which is becoming more critical these days, is sexual exploitation. What do you do with a priest who may have been involved sexually with a number of his counselees or a number of his parishioners, what do you do with them after treatment.

Now, there is a miscellaneous category, a bunch of guys, but they're less frequent, those are involved with other types of sexual behavior like exhibitionism, exposing himself, voyeurism, peeping

toms, sadism and masochism, those who enjoy sexual, perhaps violent sexual contact. But Those categories are less common. So I'll focus primarily on child sexual abuse and a bit on the other two issues on top of there.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, somebody said, do these priests go back to ministry. And I say that somewhat -- I don't mean to be too glib, but the answer to that is, they go back if the major superior bishop says they go back. And the reason why it's important to say that is because they're the ones who actually make the decision. is no law against putting a child sexual abuser back into ministry. There may be some liability issues but there is no law against it. So it really comes down on his or her desk. That's where the decision lies. And So what you see is a variety of perspectives. major superiors will be much more lenient, others will be much more restrictive. Some will say, when I have a priest involved in sexual misconduct, I will never put him back into ministry. That's just his policy. And some will say that to the media but you realize that's not actually what they're doing. And some will say, no, no, we think about these cases and we talk about them, but in reality they never return anyone to ministry. So again depends on the personality and the perspective of

the superior.

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would again like to highlight how 2 intense this issue is. Here was a newspaper story from 3 Daytona Beach in last November, which really is typical. 4 5 This could be any state of the union. What should we do with those who molest minors? Of the hundred people who 7 called in, 21 said they should be castrated. suggested that we should cut off their hands or fingers. 8 Several of them -- three of them suggested a type of 9 tattooing across their forehead so it would identify 10 them as offenders. And 13 said they would take the law 11 12 into their own hands if the man was freed from court. As this one resident said, there has to be some justice, 13 14 I would just kill the person. These are not uncommon 15 responses, frankly.

Now, I think if nothing else, this is not to justify the heinousness of the crimes, but there is a Gospel prospective, which I think we need to bring to this issue. If there is any one thing the Catholic church has to bring that's unique is, in fact, a Gospel perspective.

Now, as you know, there is secular reporting laws sweeping the United States right now for sex offenders. In 47 states right now, there is a requirement for sex offenders to register after they get

out of jail. So they have to register with the local 1 authorities. In 20 of those states, there is a requirement for some sort of public notification. Ιt 3 could be a 900 number where you call and -- for example, 4 the neighborhood you could call and say, I would like a 5 list of all the sex offenders who are living in my 6 neighborhood. Some places put signs or posters up. Other places, they'll notify nearby schools and that 8 sort of thing. So we see as a society a general 9 movement towards registration, identification, public 10 notification of anyone involved with a sex offense, 11 usually child abuse and rape. It is in that context 12 13 discussing going back to ministry becomes even a more 14 difficult one. 15 Now, the problem with this issue for a 16 superior, if you're the person making the decision, you cannot make a decision that everyone is going to like. 17 It's impossible. You can't do it. I was in Dublin 18 recently and one of the chancellors there was upset 19 that, for example, you've got the lawyers fighting 20 21 against the psychologists and the lawyers will say one thing, they tend to be more negative obviously, and the 22 psychologists tend to be more positive, and this 23 chancellor was trying to get all his competing values 24

into place and get the people to agree. And finally I

sat down with him and I said, you're not going to get 1 these people to agree, they just have a different 2 3 perspective. In the end, the decision falls on your 4 desk and it falls on the bishop's desk. Your 5 professionals are not going to agree on this issue. Now, I think our primary value should be 7 protecting children and projecting victims, number one. I think that's what it should be. Now, we had to 8 somehow think about what our values are. When you have 9 10 competing values, we have to say, what is our primary 11 concern, what are we trying to do. And I think it should be primarily to protect children and protect 12 victims. What are the best options for protecting them, 13 14 I would place that first. 15 Now, many people sometimes will say, what 16 we should do with child molesters, we should get rid of 17 them because that is the best thing for children, that's 18 the safest thing for children. You hear that comment 19 all the time, let's get rid of them. What does that 20 mean getting rid of them? As I explain to people somewhat facetiously, we don't beam them to the moon. 21 22 Where do they go? Well, if we laicize them, if we 23 release them from religious life or the priesthood, they

go into society and there are children everywhere.

People say, well, let's send them to where there is no

24

1 children. You know, name one spot. Name one spot. 2 so what really happens is people say, let's get rid of them. But what happens is they go into society without 3 being treated, unsupervised and in unrestricted 4 ministry, unrestricted life. So children are at greater risk in a scenario like that. If you simply release 6 them into society, I maintain that without some supervision or restrictions, you're placing children at 8 a greater risk. And when you release them from their 9 social support, they can't find a job, you find them 10 they're in greater possibility of relapsing. 11 12 So let's take a look at this issue 13 rationally, if we can, and say, what is best for 14 society, what is best for our children. 15 I know that reducing liability tends to be 16 the issue that drives many of our decisions in this 17 case. Now, I don't want to downplay the significance of 18 that. We just had a thing this morning on protecting 19 our assets. I know people are concerned about losing 20 money, and it's not a minor concern. If we had to lose -- if we lose hundreds of millions of dollars on 21 22 this issue, which we have, and it restricts what we can do for other types of ministries, then it's a real 23 24 issue. So, again, I don't want to downplay the 25 significance of reducing liability because it is an

issue. We would not be responsible stewards if we were not concerned about that. But I think in the end, our first concern should not be that, it should not be that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Number three, a sensitivity to victims. That's very important. Say you've got a priest who was involved in sexual misconduct and you decide to return him to some form of public work and he stands up there in the pulpit and the victims see him. How do we think the victims are going to feel? You know, this is an obvious -- it's difficult. And in some cases, it's even more problematic. Let's say you got a priest who went to treatment because two victims came forward. And but when he gets in treatment, you realize there were probably maybe 15 more. Now, if you release him -- one bishop said, if I put him back into ministry where he's seen, am I going to inflame the other 13 victims. they likely to come forward and what is that going to do to the diocese, the parish, not to mention the liability issues. So that's a thorny issue. The bishop says, what should I do. I said -- I've got 13 more victims out there and who would now come forward and they're going to see this guy in the pulpit.

It's hard. I mean, you know, the victims will say, look, see how much I have lost because of this and yet this man is back to where he was before. That's

how it feels to the victims and I think we have to be sensitive to that too.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Another issue becoming more important is the last one in the top one. Perpetrator rights and Canon Law. In the early days of this issue, we pretty much ignored Canon Law in America. Canon Law didn't fit too well and we pretty much went along with the legal and psychological and secular model. What's happening now is Canon Law is starting to reassert itself in this area. And the Vatican is starting to do that too. These perpetrators do have rights obviously. Now, most cases where the guys are involved with child abuse of some sort, the cases are not adjudicated and so you never really have a legal court saying, yes, he's guilty; no, he's not guilty. And many times the cases are somewhat fuzzy. So what do you do when everyone believes he did some sort of crime but you haven't really proven it? Those are the toughest cases, I think. You've got one allegation that is kind of soft, maybe even two kind of soft allegations, he denies it, the whole thing becomes inconclusive. And then what happens is he reasserts his rights; I am innocent until proven guilty. Therefore -- and as a priest, you have a right under Canon Law to minister.

One of the more difficult issues these

days is that the Vatican in most cases has upheld priests being in ministry even if they have molested minors. If the priest appeal their cases to Rome, many times Rome will side with the priest and say, yes, he's done something wrong, but he needs to be reinstated into some form of ministry. And that is when the bishop starts appealing to Rome directly and it becomes sort of an in-house fight. Most of the fights we don't hear about now, but it's become very difficult. And right now Rome has pretty much sided with priests remaining in ministry and remaining in some active ministry.

The media response is obvious. When the media gets ahold of a guy going back into ministry, it's a very powerful issue.

Gospel values. Now, I want to be clear about this. Sometimes when people think about Gospel values, they say, well, we should forgive the guy. Which is true, of course. But what they mean by that is we should have a positive feeling towards him and what he's done and he should be returned to where he was before, returned to full ministry. That's not how I understand Gospel values. We are angry at crimes, as indeed we should. It does not mean we cannot be angry at the perpetrators. I don't think the Gospel is telling us that. I think it's an incorrect

interpretation of the Gospel.

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The second thing is that to forgive 2 someone does not necessarily mean that we should 3 reinstate him where he was before. Now, you wouldn't 4 take an alcoholic and say, we forgive you for your 5 problem, therefore, we're going to make you a bartender. 6 You know what I mean? It's not -- I think it's a false 7 compassion to take a priest who has had a problem with 8 minors, and even if he's done well in treatment, to put him back into ministry that involves minor. I don't 10 consider that compassion. That is dangerous. It's 11 dangerous for the children, it's dangerous for him. He 12 13 can land up back in jail.

And the desires of the laity. Now, that's a very difficult thing and I'll talk about that a bit later. What do the laity desire? And, unfortunately, it's very conflictual. It's hard for a priest these days to stand up in front of his congregation and say, I had a problem with sexual misconduct, I was cruising the bars, but now I've been through treatment and I feel much better about myself. You know, the moment a priest stands up in front of his group and says, I have a problem with alcohol and I've gone through treatment and I'm back here to serve, people clap. You know, the priest stands up and says, I've got a problem with

cruising anonymous pickup joints and I'm here back to help you, they don't tend to clap, you know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So if that's the issue, if he goes back to ministry, whom do you tell. You see, whom do you tell. Then if he does it again and the people will say, well, we didn't know he had that problem, you didn't tell us. You could see why people would be upset. Very thorny 7 8 issue.

One of the things which I think we need to change is our perspective. This is the common statement you hear, that pedophilia is incurable. You'll hear that all the time, pedophilia is incurable. And the statement is misleading. The statement is misleading. The impression you get from reading the media is that all priests or brothers who are involved with sexual misconduct are pedophiles and they're doing this compulsively and have done it dozens of times.

Andrew Greeley article about a year or two ago, he figured that the average number of victims a priest would have would be at least 50. Remember that article? And it's not true. It's not true. The Porter cases are unusual. James Porter, the Fall River case, he had over a hundred victims. They're unusual. They happen but they're unusual.

The study that we did found out that the

1 average numbers of victims was eight, a little over 2 eight for the guys, a little over eight. Now, that's 3 too many, I realize, but it's not what you're reading in 4 the newspapers. The other thing is this: Pedophilia --5 most priests who sexually molest minors are not pedophiles. I don't know if you knew that. Most 6 7 priests who sexually molest minors are not pedophiles. 8 We use that word incorrectly. A pedophile is someone 9 whose sexual object choice is a prepubescent child. 10 if he's going to sexually fantasize, he's going to 11 fantasize about a seven or eight or nine or 12 ten-year-old. That's his sexual object choice. Most 13 priests who sexually molest minors are involved with postpubescent minors, 13, 14, 15. They're not 14 15 pedophiles. Now, it is true that we're not likely to 16 change someone's sexual orientation. We don't try. 17 if you've got a real pedophile, you're probably not 18 going to change his orientation. What you can help him 19 do though is you can help him to control his behavior. 20 So we're looking for behavioral control. 21 Fortunately, most priests are not 22 pedophiles. And we use the term ephebophiles. It's a loose term. It's not in the diagnostic manual. But it 23 24 refers to priests who molest postpubescent minors. 25 if you take a priest like that, chances are his issues

are not going to be around a deviant arousal pattern. 1 It's going to be more along the lines of him 2 psychosexually needing to grow up. For example, he may 3 have a body of 40-year-old, but psychosexually he's 4 probably about the same age as his victims, 12, 13, 14. 5 So what's the goal of treatment at that 6 point? The goal in treatment is basically have him grow 7 up sexually and emotionally, to develop peer 8 relationships. Can we do that? Yes, many cases we can. 9 Now, what treatment response tends to be among priests? 10 Does treatment work? I would say about 70 or 80 percent 11 of them, as a ballpark figure, do get better with 12 treatment, they do. Seventy, 80 percent. 13 conservative. Some of them get a lot better. 14 them get a little bit better. And there is maybe ten 15 percent or so who walk out the same at the end of 16 treatment they walked in at. That's typical with any 17 psychiatric problem. If you have someone that is 18 depressed, 80 percent of them are going to get better in 19 treatment. Some are going to get a lot better in 20 treatment, some are going to get a little bit better in 21 treatment and some are going to walk out just like they 22 walked in at. And that's normal. 23 Let me put this next thing up here. 24 Something that I would -- now, something 25

that I would maintain is that priests tend to be good 1 clients in treatment. There is a variety of reasons. 2 Now, here are some of the negative treatment indicators. 3 If you have got somebody who has some of these problems, 4 he may not do that well in treatment. For example, the 5 first one, if he abuses very young children, those are 6 the pedophiles, they tend not to do too well in 7 treatment. So if you have got someone who is doing 8 that, he's probably not going to do a whole lot better. 9 Someone with a serious personality 10 disorder. I was talking at lunch about someone who is 11 sociopaths, other types. Someone with a very serious 12 personality order is liable not to do that well in 13 14 treatment. Someone who is in rigid denial, rigid 15 projection; I didn't do it, he was coming on to me, I'm 16 the passive victim of this 13-year-old boy. You'll hear 17 that in the beginning. But if you're still hearing that 18 at the end of treatment, he's not doing well. Rigid 19 denial system. And his only problem is you, his 20 superior or his community, who basically did him a dirty 21 deal. And then we try to explain to them, well, how did 22 you get the treatment to begin with, you know. 23 24 Serious neuropsychological deficits. Whenever we see someone in the screening who has serious

neuropsychological deficits, brain wiring, is his brain 1 wired badly. If his brain is wired badly, he's not 2 going to do that well in treatment. We can help him a little bit.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the last thing is a long history of compulsive behavior. Now, if you've got someone with several of these, you can be sure that he's going to be fairly treatment resistant.

In general though, I would say the priests that we get are good clients. And the reason why those screenings that you've been paying for all those years, you know, for candidates, they do help, they do help. What they do end up is getting rid of using the very seriously disturbed people. You'll screen out the mentally retarded, the people who are mentally handicapped, the seriously emotionally disturbed. mean, how many priests do you know, for example, who are schizophrenic? I'm sure there are some. Every once in a while, you would see one, but not -- very few.

So what you've done in those early screenings is screen out the real bad cases, the obvious ones. I think it does -- as a result, I think we do get a better cut of clients. And how well they do in treatment almost always depends on what type of people they are coming into treatment. One of the most

interesting things I found the other day is we did the 1 study on the average IQ of the priests that we've been 2 treating at St. Luke's. Do you know what the average IQ 3 was? Now, normal is a hundred. You read the article. It's 122. Now, that's in the upper seven percent of the 5 population. So what you're getting is you're taking a 6 guy who has some good cognitive skills, a good support 7 system, a pretty good history of working, even though 8 you might not think that. But basically from a clinical perspective, a fairly good history of working and a 10 social support network. And most of these things are 11 not present, these negatives. So you've got a guy who's 12 probably going to do fairly well in treatment, and in 13 14 general they do.

The other thing to say that's different is we're changing our styles of treatment.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, this next slide is going to be very busy but I will explain it to you. And this comes from the Safer Society in Vermont. They're the ones that put this together. Basically what it is is a survey of over one thousand treatment programs in the United States for sex offenders. The first thing that surprised me is that there are over a thousand treatment programs in the United States for sex offenders. But I'll show you the types of treatments that are being used today.

It used to be that people did psychoanalytical treatment, the one-hour-a-week therapy, we sit down with a person for an hour a week, they lie down on the couch, and we talk to the person for a That does not work with people who are sex Just like it did not work with alcoholics. offenders. You can sit down with an alcoholic and talk about his or her problem all day and it's not going to help a lot. 8 So a lot of those early studies on do these people 9 respond to treatment are based on the old types of 10 11 treatment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Here are the newer types of treatment. The first thing is 94.5 percent of all these treatment programs had -- the most important thing was the course in victim empathy, exactly right, a course in victim empathy. Many times the guys will come to treatment and they will say, the child enjoyed it, he liked it, he was coming on to me, and he was really into the whole thing. And then what he does not realize, the victims were confused, they were frightened, they were scared, they felt intimidated. So we help to teach them some victim empathy.

Anger management. It's even more important than sexuality. Now, those of you who have dealt with some of these treatment programs realize how important anger management is. And many of the men have perhaps even a bigger problem with anger than they do with sexuality. Big issue.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cognitive distortion, some of those nuts ideas they have about victims, about society, about themselves, I am a lousy person, I am a rotten person, that sort of thing.

Relapse cycle. One of the most important things is everyone has their own offend cycle. I was treating a guy the other day, he walked in the first time and he basically says, it just happens, all of a sudden, bang, I'm there, it's happening. Or one guy was cruising, for example, he said, well, I just find myself in this porno shop. I said, wait a minute, you just found yourself in the porno shop, you mean, so the Enterprise beamed you from your rectory over to the -no, no, no, you got into your car, you turned the thing, you drove over there. But then the question comes, what were all those factors going on before. Nothing. said, it just happened. Well, as we're starting to go through therapy now, he realizes that he's got his whole offend cycle. For example, someone will say something to him, something will happen where he starts feelingdevalued or disappointed or discouraged. He gets depressed, he starts feeling angry, he starts feeling

anxious, he starts to fantasize about it, he finds 1 himself driving closer and closer to these places and 2 then he finds himself driving up and down the strip for 3 a while and then he finally goes in and makes a sexual contact with someone. There is a whole offend cycle. 5 He needs to learn that cycle. So when he feels angry or disappointed or discouraged, he can do something 7 different. So what we're talking about is changing --8 intervening in this relapse cycle. Very important. 9 Now, a lot of other things. When you can 10 see all the things -- the types of things that are done 11 in treatment programs. And I would say we probably use 12 13 two-thirds of these, which is typical: Social skills, communication, sex education, all those things. 14 15 But, of course, personal victimization and trauma, two-thirds of the priests that we have who 16 sexually molest minors themselves were sexually molested 17 when they were children. Now, that's an important 18 issue. Two-thirds of the guys we have who molest minors 19 themselves were sexually molested. 20 There is a way in which -- I think the 21 Gospel values have something to say about this today. 22 They were saying, these guys are bad and the victims are 23 good. Or we were in a conference, I think, Lane, you 24

were there this summer, and I remember one of the guys

stood up and said, victims should never ever, ever be 1 treated in the same program we treat offenders. He 2 pounded his fists. He went on and on and on and 3 everybody was looking at him like, you don't understand, 4 you can't separate them out of a treatment program. 5 First of all, almost all of our perpetrators themselves 6 were victims. We spend a lot of time working on 7 victimization. 8 And then when you work with victims, you 9 find out some of them later were, in fact, perpetrators. 10 The line between them is not so clear. It's not so 11 black and white. 12 I think what the Gospel does have to say 13 to us, that we should not judge. That's I think the 14 thing I take from the Gospel, we're not here to judge, 15 we're here to serve and to help. 16 So I guess the short period on that is 17 that child sexual abuse is a complex issue. You know, 18 what is -- there are two different guys, for example. 19 How can you compare a guy who has molested dozens of 20 prepubescent minors with a guy who has been involved 21 with one 15-year-old girl. I mean, both those cases are 22 bad, both of them are illegal, both are immoral and both 23 of them are hurtful. But when you talk about what we

are going to do with them after treatment, we're going

24

to have to treat them in a different way. They're going to be unique. So if you stand up and say, we will never return a child molester to ministry or to our community or to whatever, we're making a sweeping statement that we may want to think about before we say something like that. Because you're including a lot of different folks in that same type of statement.

б

Okay. Here is what I would say -- here is the clinical factors I would look at if you're discussing what should we do with this person, you know, where should we go with the person. The first thing is clinical background and diagnoses. For example, as I said, you're going to treat a man who is involved with one 15-year-old differently than you're going to treat these men involved with dozens of prepubescent minors. You're going to treat a man who is involved with -- who is violently involved with kids differently than you might treat someone who is cruising pickup joints.

So you're going to want to look at his clinical background and diagnoses. You need some sophistication dealing with each one of these guys, you know. What is his background, what did he do, and what are his diagnoses.

Okay. Next one I would look at is response to treatment. Is he still in a case of denial.

Does he have no victim empathy. Does he take no responsibility for his actions. Does he know what his relapse cycle is. If you've got a guy who said, I did this, I'm sorry I did it, I know I hurt my victims, and he means this sincerely, not just a superficial thing, I take responsibility, it's my fault, it's not the superior's fault, it's not my parent's fault, it's my fault and I know what my relapse cycle is and I'm willing to work on this, you've got a guy who is doing fairly well in treatment.

If you've got a guy who is still blaming some other people, then that's not good.

Okay. The next thing, a comprehensive after-care program. This is going to be real important, I think. Residential treatment or any type of treatment is just the beginning. He's going to need a long-term after-care program and an after-care contract. For example, he's going to want to be in some sort of ongoing treatment. He's going to want to be in maybe a 12-step program or some sort of support group. And he is going to want to continue with his spiritual life. Many times the guys will -- one of the risk factors is when their spiritual life begins to deteriorate. And it's going to be important also to have -- I believe to be monitored and supervised.

1 Monitoring and supervision. Now, this is 2 in the external form, by the way. We're not talking 3 about a spiritual director. Many organizations or 4 religious organizations are starting to train 5 supervisors, monitors. How would this look, what does 6 this look like? He would probably sit down with his 7 monitor, his supervisor, maybe once every couple of 8 weeks or so, talk about his case, talk about his -- for 9 example, let's say he's someone who cruises. He would 10 want to talk about his -- any feelings or thoughts he's 11 had about this. If he's been involved with adult women, 12 for example, an exploitation, he'll want to talk about 13 his fantasies about women, about any sort of contacts he's had with women in the last few weeks, is he working 14 15 his after-care program, is he working his spiritual 16 life, that sort of thing. 17 One of the ideas is that when you see a 18 guy who is getting close to relapsing, you're going to want to intervene. And that's why the -- one of the 19 20 things you know is that if a guy is not working his 21 after-care contract, he's much more likely to relapse. 22 So if his contract says, I'm going to be in therapy, I'm 23 going to stay away from kids, I'm going to see my

superior once a month, I'm going to meet my supervisor,

I'm going to go to 12-step meetings, if you see him

24

start not doing all those things, see -- well, usually you get rationalizations, I can't go to therapy because it's too far away or it's too expensive or it's too this or it's too that. And even though you're paying the bills, he's saying it's too expensive, you know. you say, wait, when was the last time you worried about bills, you know. It's funny when you hear it though, you hear those.

The reason why I'm not going to 12-step meetings any more is because the meetings stopped at this place and no more, I can't find a good one in town, I don't trust them. Something like that.

They stop working their contract, that's when things start getting dicey.

Okay. An appropriate ministry available.

Now, this can be tricky. Let's say you've got a man who is sexually involved with many adult women, he's been exploiting them. Clearly you would not want to put him in a ministry that includes adult women. Do you have something like that. If all you've got is parishes, then you may not have anything like that. If you want him to go back to ministry that does not involve minors, do you have something like that. Now, dioceses have harder times than religious orders do. Many bishops tell me on the phone, Steve, we don't have a ministry

like that. Or tell a Christian brother that he can't go 1 back to working in schools with kids, you know. 2 kind of cuts -- that kind of really narrows it down a 3 little bit. So it's a real problem. They say, well, 4 send him somewhere else. Great. You have the 5 Provincial Christian Brothers who call up the Lasolet Fathers and say, look, we've got this Christian brother, 7 he's has been molesting minors, how about you taking 8 him. It's tough. Now, there actually are some bishops, 10 whose names we hold closely to our hearts and we'll 11 never release, who are more likely to take a good 12

treatment prospect, a guy who is on treatment and has none of those negative things, he might take them.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One bishop had a priest who was in another country, I won't tell you which one, but a priest who was charged with child sexual abuse. They laicized him locally with the local court, their local Canon courts what they call them, ecclesiastical courts, and then he appealed it and Rome said, well, you're right, he did abuse those minors, and he does deserve a punishment but we're not going to laicize him forcibly. Instead what we should do is we should have him spend ten years in a monastery. Imagine that. Perspective religious life, you know. Religious life has incarcerated sex

offenders. It's terrible. It's just terrible, isn't it? We just kind of shake our heads going, whew.

Vatican II. There was a council between now and Trenton called Vatican II.

Okay. So something about this issue really brings back some sort of primal responses on a lot of levels from everybody, obviously including Rome.

Okay. A periodic review. The last one is periodic review. I think the case -- if you have someone back in some form of ministry or wherever he is, I would review the case on a periodic basis. If you've got a review committee or provincial council or whatever it is, I would review the case and I would want regular input from his therapist or whomever. So those are the clinical factors I would look at when discussing a guy's case.

Now, what are the options right now being used. What are the post-treatment options that people are using today. The first one, perhaps the most common issue, common one, or one of the most common is what I call limbo. You thought limbo was gone. No. There are a lot of our priests who are currently living in limbo. So basically what I mean by limbo is that they're just sort of floating. I mean, they've got a place for them to stay maybe and their case is being talked about. And

I would say a good portion of the cases, the guys are in 1 limbo. I don't mean that as a criticism of our system, 2 because if I were in your shoes, I might end up in the same category. But recognize it's not good for the guys 4 who are in recovery obviously, you know, when someone is 5 sort of floating. I mean, you hear cases of guys, they 6 don't know what to do with the guys, it's even hard to 7 find them a place to stay. One diocese who had a number of guys after treatment decided to start a house for 9 them. So they decided to open up a house for our former 10 sex offenders and they were going to open up this house. 11 So, of course, they had to go through a zoning board. 12 You know the rest of the story. Okay. So then they 13 went to another town and went through their zoning 14 board. And after three towns, the chancellor told me, 15 he said, we're not going to do this again, I can't take 16 it, getting beaten up that badly. And, of course, we 17 18 know what it's like too. At St. Luke's, we recently moved, and we went through a zoning fight and we won the 19 fight due to, I think, a real open-mindedness on the 20 part of the county counsel and also the fact we lived in 21 the same county for 15 years and never a problem. But 22 still with all that muscle behind us, we still had 23 problems. So it's not so easy. 24

Now, there is another option today which

has just surfaced. Did the hear about the signator's decision for Bishop Wuerl in the Cipolla case in Pittsburgh? Basically what happened was Bishop Cipolla (sic) took him out of ministry. Cipolla appealed it to The signator came back and said, you've acted dastardly towards him and you need to put him back in a parish. And then Bishop Wuerl went back to Rome and said, you don't understand the situation here. And fortunately, of course, he has a lot of clout in Rome, as you know, and so he went back and the signator reversed his decision. And they did by a little change in the perspective of Canon Law. And that is using Canon 1044.

Now, Canon 1044 is an administrative decision when a priest has a certain psychic defect, quote, unquote, a psychic defect. It was generally used for a priest who would become schizophrenic or psychotic and just can't celebrate the sacraments, so they will take away his faculty. He's still a priest but administratively he's no longer functioning as a priest. Now, they expanded the use of the psychic defect and they said that a priest who sexually molested minors in this case, the bishop was given the authority to take him out of active ministry. He's still a priest but the administrative decision is that he can't function as a

priest, he's unable to fulfill his ministry. Now, that
is a change. And it's going to be interesting to see
whether superiors end up using this Canon 1044. It's
definitely a departure from the past. And it holds some
promise for those bishops who would like to take their
men out of ministry but need some canonical punch to do
it.

The negative part of that is, of course, if you expand the bishop's jurisdiction to remove a priest from ministry, it makes a lot of priests very nervous because they're afraid of arbitrarily losing their ability to minister.

So the next one is retiring. And many of the priests will retire early. The man is still a priest, he's still connected with whomever you are, but they retire them early. And many times that works because the guys are older when the offenses are surfaced.

Now, the issue of laicization. Is someone -- a friend of mine, Shawn, you all know Shawn Salmon. Shawn wrote me a letter a couple of months ago and said one of the things that concerns him is that they're approving these voluntary laicizations by return fax. And obviously if you have voluntarily asked to be laicized and show that you cannot lead a celibate life,

your request is going to go through extremely rapidly
through the Vatican, so you're going to be laicized post
haste.

The problem comes, of course, with involuntary laicization. Can the church do this? Of course the church can. Will the church do this? In most cases, the answer is no. I only know of one case where -- now, there probably have been others -- one case where an ecclesiastical court involuntarily laicized a guy for child sexual abuse and it was overturned by Rome.

I do know one case recently where the Pope himself intervened after an archbishop went to him basically directly and said, I want you to laicize this man.

But basically right now, Rome is not involuntarily laicizing men for sexual misconduct issues. They're just not doing it. So basically what that means is you're stuck with the person. You know, you're stuck with this person still being in orders of some sort. It's not happening.

Now, the question of return to ministry of some sort. I will address this at the end and I will give you some figures on a study we just did on how many of these guys are back in ministry now. What

percentage, how many, a two-year study that we just did. 1 2 And some of the problems with that is whom do you inform. Let's say you take a guy who has been cruising 3 the pickup joints and you take him, you send him back to 4 a ministry. Again, whom do you tell? Well, we strongly 5 encourage the people to tell this to people around him. б For example, you send him back to live in a rectory, the 7 pastor of the rectory should know, the other priests 8 9 should know. Any sort of full-time paid ministers I personally would recommend know. In other words, the 10 core staff should know this man's background. 11 12 Now, that gets into some disclosure 13 issues, and this is from a psychological perspective I'm 14 telling you. Now, there are some legal issues too. 15 Imagine that you had a problem -- some of you lay people here, imagine you had a problem -- some sexual problems 16 17 in your current job, you move on to a new job, imagine 18 how you would feel if your employer told the other 19 people in the place your past history. Is that fair? 20 Is that even legal? You know, there is some real strong issues around that. 21 But from a clinical perspective, that's 22 what we're recommending and that's pretty much what has 23 24 been done.

34

25

Can you tell the people to whom this guy

ministers? Well, imagine if he goes back to a nursing home and you want to go around to all the 85-year-old residents and tell them that your chaplain had a problem molesting minors or used to cruise the joints or has problems flashing himself, you know. You see what I mean? It's a tough problem.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The next one. We're seeing a Okay. little bit more of these this days, temporary secular employment. L.A. was the first one that spoke to us about this and we liked the model. Let's say you've got someone who is a good prospect, has done well in treatment, but you're not quite sure. You're saying to yourself, you know, maybe. Well, one of the things you can do with a guy like that is say, look, how about if you work full-time in lay employment, you find yourself a job, you work full-time for one to three years, we'll review your case later. Now, it's important not to use this issue as a way of stalling making tough decisions. If you know the guy is not going to go back to ministry, you should tell him as soon as you know. So don't let him string on for five years. Don't let him do this and then say, well, we never really intended to put you back into ministry anyway. You see what I mean? It's got to be a real option. If you're seriously thinking about putting him back into ministry but you say, let's wait,

1 one of the things that some people do is they have him 2 work in secular job for one to three years to actually show a longer term recovery. It's not a bad option. 3 4 It's not a bad option. 5 Do you have some questions about that? 6 You're looking puzzled. 7 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Just wondering about 8 disclosure ... (inaudible). 9 REV. ROSSETTI: The question was disclosure 10 issues for the priest looking for employment. That is a 11 problem. But most of the guys, remember, do not have a 12 criminal background. Most of them do not have a 13 criminal background. So, in fact, my understanding of 14 that, and any of the lawyers can correct me, most of the 15 guys are not obliged to reveal that about themselves. 16 Now, of course, we do not expect them to apply to teach 17 in a high school. There are certain limits of what we 18 are going to do. So we have some clear ideas about the 19 type of work you should look for, you know. 20 Yes? 21 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: (Inaudible.) 22 REV. ROSSETTI: The statement was -- especially 23 for the tape -- that you're absolutely right, secular employment, they may not be asking you questions like 24

that, may not be able to, but clearly more and more in

ministry, if you're going to go into a diocese, they're going to give you a form, have you ever been accused of misconduct.

One superior was telling me a few minutes ago that, in fact, this has been dooming one of his guys who was not found guilty but was just accused. And so he answered truthfully and he was not allowed to minister in that place. There are some ways, I think, in which we have to be careful we don't violate a person's civil rights. Now --

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)

б

REV. ROSSETTI: Yeah, hopefully, as you're saying, the superiors or whoever is employing the person will look at the case with some fairness and equity. But there is a certain amount of hysteria still going around and fear, you know. When you think about sexual misconduct issues, you say, gosh, he may be innocent, but this might cost me a million and a half. So, you know, it's tough.

The last issue is just basically called warehousing, another option. And unfortunately the church has a long history of this actually. There were clerical prisons not so long ago and it's -- one of the ways we get stuck with this is that, you know, you're a priest in a -- you're a priest forever. So if you've

1 got a priest forever who has, in fact, molested -- done 2 something serious enough that he can't go back to ministry, where do you put him? Well, if he's a serious 3 4 risk -- let's talk about the ten percent who go through 5 treatment programs and don't change. What are you going to do with that ten or fifteen percent? Well, let's say 6 7 he's a compulsive ten or fifteen percent so children are 8 at risk around this person or he's at risk for cruising 9 or whatever or he's just not treatable. One bishop -actually, one major superior called me up and said, 10 11 Steve, I've got this guy, he's been through 26 treatment programs. I thought he was kidding. I said, really. I 12 13 said, you really put a lot of money into this guy. He said, yeah, 26 programs. I said well -- he started 14 15 going through all the programs. He was serious, it was 16 26 programs. I said, you probably did about 22 too 17 many. But, you know how it is, they keep trying. 18 Although most of them, I must admit, were one-month 19 programs, but still 26 programs. And I said, well, 20 there is no sense sending him here because you might get him off your hands for six months, but he's probably not 21 going to get any better after 26 programs. So what are 22 you going to do with him? Well, you know, we have -- I 23 24 guess I made the right -- word is not right, but contracted with him to take care of his needs, at least 25

physical needs, so what do you do. Well, what's 1 happening now is we see springing up around the country 2 is basically clerical warehouses, not a lot of them but 3 there is more than there were five years ago. You know, 4 there are a couple of more and I think we're going to 5 see a few more. Just someplace to put the guys. 6 7 Now, it's hard to make a program like that, what would I say, humane. And that is the real 8 challenge. Can you take ten or fifteen guys who are 9 10 extremely dysfunctional and make them living at least human, at least human. And that's a real challenge. 11 Starting this summer, we at St. Luke's are 12 13 working with three or four religious communities and we're starting a pilot project, trying to develop a 14 community of unassignables called Taber House. We're 15 16 trying to combine a religious spirit -- there will be a religious superior who runs the community, with also 17 some clinical expertise. Some of our therapists will 18 19 manage the guys on a day-to-day basis. 20 Yes, Greg? UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Looking at the treatment 21 22 options ... (inaudible). REV. ROSSETTI: Greg, it's a good question. 23

think this is when we start thinking about -- I think

the thing we're missing from the whole child abuse

24

1 issues and sexual misconduct issues is a real Christian 2 perspective. Not a sappy Christianity, but a real 3 strong sense of who we are as Christian people. You know, sometimes I've done some education to bishops too. 4 5 For example, they'll say, well, we had to do this 6 because St. Luke's told us with this guy or we had to do 7 this because the lawyers told us. I say, wait a minute, 8 bishop, I am advising you from a clinical perspective, 9 obviously we're pastoral people too, but this is a 10 clinical perspective. You have to use our perspective, 11 you have to do what the legal folks tell you, you take 12 all of that together and then you as a superior make the 13 best pastoral decision that you can make. You don't 14 have to do it because a psychologist told you, you don't 15 have to do it because the lawyers told you, but you have 16 to know what the consequences are if you don't. 17 Now, what Greg is saying is absolutely 18 If you take a man who has got a compulsive right. 19 problem with minors and you laicize the man forcibly, 20 what is -- is he at greater risk for re-offending. Look 21 at the James Porter case, remember Porter in Fall River 22 abused over a hundred minors. What did he do when they 23 laicized him? He went to Minnesota in New Olm and 24 molested his baby-sitter, who he was convicted of that, 25 and perhaps some other children too. Once he's released from the church circle, you've got a man who is free to do as he wishes, you know. Again, remember, most of them do not have to report themselves to authorities because they're not criminally adjudicated. So it's a real issue.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This came home to me recently with the John Calicott case. Remember John Calicott was accused of molesting some minors and recently was reinstated in his parish in Chicago. Any Chicago people here? My gosh. If I blow this, let me know, you can correct me. This is what I was told by some Chicago folks. went to treatment and my understanding was the parish asked for him back. And I know there was some reticence on the part of the ecclesiastical superiors to send him back for obvious reasons. Now, John is a black priest from a black parish. But they insisted, they said, we want him back. And I know of another case like that. They said, no, no, we'll send you somebody else. And they said, no, no, we want him. So that in itself is unusual. I only know of two cases like that. And so -and the interesting thing I heard was that the people in the parish said, you know, this idea of getting rid of people, that's a white people's way of dealing with things. They said, you white people just want to get rid of your problems, but, in fact, that's not the way

the black community does it, we're going to take him into our parish ourselves and we're going to monitor him ourselves.

Now, I can't think of a former child molester who is going to be in safer hands than that. You've got 3000 people around him who are watching him, you know. That's ideal. But what you've got is a parish who has universally recognized this man's gifts and said, we want him for his gifts, we'll accept the weakness, but we'll take care of that weakness ourselves. The problem with most parishes, they're not at that spot. They don't want him back. But, Greg, I think it's an important issue.

Let's not kid ourselves by saying, we've gotten rid of our problems. We may have gotten rid of the liability maybe. But are we doing what's best for society? Think about it. I would say though that I would never return a man back to ministry with his target population. I would never return a man back who has got problems with adult women and have him go back to counseling women or teaching women or one-to-one work with women. If he's been molesting a lot of adult women and exploiting them, I would never send him back to where he would work one-on-one with women. I just wouldn't do it. It's not good.

Okay. I would like to talk about some guiding principles that I would recommend when you're thinking about these cases. The first one is that each case is unique. And that's so important, I think. I can't give you sort of a recipe on how to deal with each case, but I can say that each case is unique, so think about each case separately. Look at them, what is the situation; what was his problem; how well did he do in treatment and what are some other factors going on in his life. Take a look at the whole case.

Next one. There is no perfect choice.

It's very important because superiors around the globe are agonizing over this decision. They want to do the right thing. But what they find out is there is no way of doing the right thing that is a decision that everyone is going to like. You just can't do it. And so there is no perfect decision. You're going to have to make a very messy decision. It's a messy decision.

Next one. I think it should be a team decision. Take the monkey off your back. I think it's too much weight for one person to carry. The decision is just too hard. I would say get a provincial council around you, get an advisory team, some sort of group that can sit down and make that decision. It also helps in media at crunch time. You bishop, you major

1 superior, returned this person back to ministry. 2 but I also took this under advice of my advisory 3 committee which includes a professional lawyer, a 4 psychologist, a social worker, a pastoral theologian, a 5 moral theologian and that sort of thing and this is what 6 my professional group said. Again, I would make that ' 7 team multi-disciplinary. I would include legal folks, 8 clinical folks and some theological/pastoral people. You might want to include some parents too in that 10 decision, some lay people. I think it would be a good 11 idea. 12 I think we need to know what -- how we're 13 making the decision. Many times the decision is based 14 on either what the psychologists say or what the lawyers 15 say. Let's look at what are our first principles. I 16 think our first principles would be to protect children 17 in the case of child molesters. What is the safest 18 thing to do for children. 19 And finally I would say as much openness 20 as possible. I think we need to be open with the 21 priests involved, here is why we're making the decision, 22 here is what we're going to do. Now, it's hard to be --23 you want to be open with as many people as you can 24 without violating his rights to confidentiality. For

example, again, I would tell the pastor of the parish or

anywhere else that he's going what's going on. So those are the principles I would use in making a decision.

It's always going to be messy.

There is -- this is important: There is 4 5 no risk-free society. I wrote that article you may have 6 read in American Magazine on returning child molesters 7 to ministry. I got a letter from an attorney and he said, you know, Steve, I read your article and I 8 disagreed with it. He said, what I didn't like about 9 10 it -- although he misquoted me a bit -- he said, there is a chance of one out of 300 that the guy will 11 12 re-offend, one out of 300. Well, that's not the facts 13 actually. But he said that. He said, one out of 300 is 14 too many. So one out of 300 is too many. I said, well, 15 in that case, you don't want to have any priests in your 16 diocese because the chance of any priest re-offending is 17 higher than one out of 300. One out of 300 is less than one percent and I suspect the offense rate over 18 19 someone's lifetime of the clergy, I'll bet, just 20 clinically guessing, it's at least two or three percent. 21 That's a minimum. And it's probably higher. But that 22 would be conservative.

So there is no such thing as a risk-free society. For example, our whole parole system is based on that. You take someone out of prison on parole, is

23

24

1 there a likelihood that a murderer is going to do it 2 again. Yes, you know. Basically it's frightening, I know, to think we're living in a society where we're 3 4 surrounded by people who have problems. After 5 working -- for example, driving down the road, you wonder why there are so many car accidents. After 6 7 working in the mental health field, I wonder why there 8 are not more. Because some of these people riding 9 behind the wheel of a car are not operating on all their 10 cylinders. So when you start to think about it, we're 11 living in a society that is inherently dangerous. And 12 the question is, how much risk can we take and can we 13 stand. Now, that's very uncomforting. But that's the way it is. And then if you send them to jail, most 14 child molesters go to jail, I think, for just a couple 15 16 of years, two to three years. Most murderers, how long 17 do they go to jail for, how long do they really go to 18 jail for? Six. They're back on the streets. All these 19 people are back on the streets. We're surrounded by 20 them. 21 So I think our goal is not to develop a risk-free society, our goal is to make a prudent 22 23 decision. Given all the things that we know, what is 24 the best decision I can make. And it's all you can do. 25 And you gotta be able to sleep at night with that

1 decision.

The other thing I would do is I try to be 2 supportive of the superiors and bishops who make these 3 decisions. Sometimes the bishops or superiors look at 4 me and say, Steve, we cannot return this man to 5 ministry. And I say, bishop, that's okay, I'm not the 6 person in your shoes. And I've become more and more 7 respectful of someone in a position of authority like 8 that. It's a very difficult decision. And I can't -- I 9 can't criticize anyone who makes a decision either way. 10 I respect his decision. I'll give him my best input, 11 but then that's really where the buck stops and you 12 really begin to realize the weight of -- the crushing 13 weight sometimes of authority when you realize that he 14 or she is the one that has to make that final decision. 15 And it's an awesome responsibility. They just have to 16 17 make a prudent decision. Now, what is -- by the way, we got about 18 nine minutes left. I want to go through what is really 19 happening out there. And feel free to ask me any 20 questions in the next nine minutes. 21

Yes?

22

23

24

25

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)

REV. ROSSETTI: The re-offense rate for priests?

Re-offense or initial offense rate?

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REV. ROSSETTI: So if you laicize a priest, is his offense rate going to be like the other -- like that of an average layman?

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)

REV. ROSSETTI: I'm not quite sure I get it.

Does someone -- what is the question? In other words -
UNKNOWN SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)

REV. ROSSETTI: If we laicize someone, we're sending him back out to society, should we -- should that be an inhibitor because there are people out there doing that anyway. True, but you don't know about the other one. You know about him. Him you know. I would say the minimum, I would say this, maybe going back to something that Greg asked about, I think you can morally make a case for at least putting the man into treatment. Treat him as best you can and then laicizing him. think you make a moral case for that, although we shouldn't fool ourselves in thinking that's the safest thing for children. Because I think the safest thing is to put them in a restrictive ministry which does not involve minors and have them be supervised. But that's an enormous burden on the order and some orders can't do Some orders don't have the resources or the supervisors to take care of that. But simply to dismiss them all categorically is -- I think it's imprudent.

Yes, ma'am.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)

REV. ROSSETTI: So, in other words, the question was, even though this person may not be re-offending against their target population, would they re-offend in another way, misuse their power. It's an excellent question.

I would say this, some of the people are dysfunctional in a variety of levels, which would be -which really hurt the community. And then I would have some real problems with them being in a community because they would be a real weight. Although, as we all know, every community seems to have one or two and they're not molesting minors. You're sort of stuck with some. But, for example, if this person molested minors and would no longer work with minors and would start to work with elderly, would they abuse their power with the elderly. Well, I have not seen a large crossover from abusing minors to abusing elders, for example. of those same psychic dynamics are going to be in place. You're hoping the person is getting better. But I would say this: If the person is really dysfunctional in a variety of levels and is dragging the community down, then I would have some problems with returning them to

1 the community obviously. It's a tough call. 2 They tend not to re-offend in other Yeah. 3 populations though. Child molesters tend not to cruise. Cruisers tend not to molest adults. I don't see a lot 5 of crossover among priests. There are some population of offenders that do cross over a bit, but I have not 7 seen a lot in our groups. They tend to focus on what 8 they're into. 9 Yes? UNKNOWN SPEAKER: (Inaudible.) 10 11 REV. ROSSETTI: Are homosexually-oriented people more likely to molest minors or cruise? 13 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: (Inaudible.) REV. ROSSETTI: Well, most priests who molest 14 minors molest boys. Then people will say, oh, he must 15 be gay. But actually many times they're heterosexual, 16 17 believe it or not, and they're molesting boys. doesn't make sense. It's a long clinical discussion. 18 But basically most children are molested by males and 19 most of the victims are women actually. So heterosexual 20 men tend to molest. I mean, women tend to be molested 21 more by adult males. Now, it's also true that --22 23 because most the cases are incestuous of some sort. Most males who molest outside the home molest boys, 24 which includes priests. But many times they're not gay. 25

The best clinical studies say there is no link between homosexuality, per se, and child sexual abuse. So although there is a lot of -- people are saying, I'm not taking gay priests any more because they're child molesters, which is not true. But that is sort of that idea floating around.

This is a two-year study, '94, '95. Here is what it turns out, that 54 percent of the 57 guys who molested minors will return to ministries, whereas for other sexual disorders, the return rate was 63 percent. So if you're molesting minors, the chance that you're going to return to ministry is 43 percent. If you have other sexual problems like cruising, exploiting women, 63 percent is the chance of you returning to ministry. Now, this is not surprising to you, I'm sure, that if you're religious, you're much more likely to return to ministry than if you are a diocesan priest.

Now, returning to ministry, by the way, could involve being the archivist, you know, some sort of work. I would say now many times it's not, they're going out, saying mass, whatever. But I'm saying the -- if you're religious, the chance of going back to ministry if you molested minors was 82 percent, 82 percent. If you're religious and you have other sexual problems, the chances also were 82 percent. So there is

a much more likelihood you're going to go back to the 1 community and be involved in some sort of limited 2 ministry. And of those that went back to unlimited 3 ministry, it was nine percent of those who molested 4 minors and 15 percent of those who have other sexual 5 So basically what happens is about half or problems. 6 almost a little more -- almost a majority of those with 7 sexual problems are going back to ministry, but they're 8 limited ministries. They're limited ministries. 9 If you're a real pedophile, the chance of 10 you going back to ministry are very low. If you're a 11 diocesan priest and you're a pedophile, the chance of 12 you going back to ministry are zero. There is zero for 13 five. Ephebophilia are those who molest adolescents, 14 and the return rate is higher. 15 So what I like to say about this graph is 16 what's happening is there are a lot more going back to 17 ministry than you realize. And that's why I'm not sure 18 what to do with this graph because it's going to 19 embarrass people. If the media got ahold of it, I'm not 20 sure what they would do with it, you know. 21 Yes, Mario. 22 (Inaudible.) UNKNOWN SPEAKER: 23 REV. ROSSETTI: The question was, why are 24

religious more likely to return someone to ministry.

```
variety of reasons. One, a stronger sense of commitment
1
   to this person. You see that in religious life. You
2
   just have a stronger sense of commitment ...
3
                  (Whereupon, the tape concluded.)
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```