



November 14, 2012

The Honorable Barack Obama
President of the United States
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20500

Dear President Obama:

Congratulations on your election victory. The American people have made their decision and Republican governors stand ready to work with your Administration on issues of critical importance to our states and the nation. Our American political system once again showed the world democracy in action, where policy differences are debated in the public arena and settled with one vote per person. We wish you well and are hopeful that you and the Congress will promptly address the crushing problems of debt, unemployment, and spending reform by the end of the year to create certainty for the states and businesses.

We write today on behalf of the 29 Republican governors and two governor-elects representing 60 percent of the states, with an urgent matter related to implementation of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA). It is clear that putting in place the new programs you championed will be an enormous strain on state governments and budgets, as well as the federal government. From the financial obligations and complex technicalities to ensuring the healthcare workforce and infrastructure will be in place to meet the new demand, the timeframe and many of the provisions in the PPACA are simply unworkable. With the pending deadline of November 16 for governors to make a decision on state based health insurance exchanges, we ask you to push back the date until your team has answered the numerous

previous questions for governors and other groups, and promulgated the final regulations, so that all stakeholders have had the opportunity to comment, and those comments have been incorporated into a final rule. The guidance Friday from Secretary Sebelius extends the date only for the election of a partnership exchange, and subsequently for the federal exchange.

The PPACA, as written, requires many changes, but most immediate are the implementation deadlines for the health insurance exchange models. While the January deadline to certify if a state is prepared to implement a state based exchange is statutory, most other deadlines are written within the discretion of the United States Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). Other than the minor amendments made last Friday, to date, HHS has been unwilling to establish a more manageable timeline. The rulemaking process has been unduly condensed, and in some cases, important rules have not been promulgated at all. Rather, the administrative guidance that has been shared holds limited legal authority for states or the federal government.

States are struggling with many unanswered questions and are not able to make comprehensive far-reaching decisions prudently. In the past months, we have sent letters with many specific questions to help us make an informed choice, and our letters have been generally ignored. Many important questions remain unanswered as the deadlines loom. We include our previous letters as an attachment.

Also, the clear truth is that the PPACA does not contain much-needed Medicaid or Medicare reform designed to control costs. As you correctly told Senate Democrats, “[a]s we move forward on health reform, it is not sufficient for us to simply add more people to Medicare or Medicaid to increase the rolls, to increase coverage in the absence of cost controls and reform. And let us repeat this principle: If we don’t get control over costs, then it is going to be very difficult for us to expand coverage. These two things go hand in hand. Another way of putting it is we can’t simply put more people into a broken system that doesn’t work.” We governors, facing crushing Medicaid budget pressure from Medicaid before PPACA implementation, wholeheartedly agree with your statement. Expansion without reform is not responsible and would bust the state budgets. With the Supreme Court striking the punitive provisions of PPACA to penalize states that do not expand, we renew our pleas for an honest discussion on reform, flexibility, and waivers to allow governors to manage Medicaid costs better.

As has been stated many times, before making any final policy decisions, governors must carefully consider the short and long-term implications of an expanded entitlement program and the consequences of significantly increasing the size of government to manage these programs. In the near term, we need to better understand how the federal government will implement a federal exchange as it is clear most states will not be ready on their own. We also have concerns about future cost shifting to states, and need certainty as we prepare our budgets, many of which are biennial budgets. We also remain very apprehensive about the unsustainable deficits and

national debt, and the reality that imprudent implementation of PPACA will contribute dramatically to an increase in both.

Lastly, we respectfully request that you meet as soon as possible with a group of concerned governors, Republicans and Democrats. We wish to discuss our specific proposals for Medicaid reform that we sent you in August 2011, as you work with the Congress to address the fiscal cliff the country faces. We hope you can appreciate the real challenges all states face in implementing the PPACA under compressed schedules with insufficient information to make good decisions.

Mr. President, again, congratulations on your team's impressive victory. We all look forward to working together.

Sincerely,



Governor Bob McDonnell
Commonwealth of Virginia



Governor Bobby Jindal
State of Louisiana

Enclosure
CC: Republican Governors

Healthcare Exchanges:

- 1) Please provide a complete list of regulations that will have to be reviewed, revised and re-opened for public comment prior to implementation as a result of the Supreme Court ruling (e.g., the Medicaid eligibility regulations, exchange regulations related to interface with Medicaid). What is the schedule for re-issuing these regulations?
- 2) When will final rules be issued on essential health benefits, actuarial value and rating areas?
- 3) The federal government has already extended deadlines for applying for Level 1 and Level 2 Exchange Establishment funding into 2014. Can we expect extensions of the deadlines for other areas of implementation given the uncertainty caused by the Supreme Court ruling and the linkage between Medicaid expansion and exchange eligibility and enrollment functions? In addition, will the deadlines change for states implementing a partnership exchange? Will the deadlines be extended for states implementing a federal exchange? Can you confirm that states will be able to switch from a federal model to a partnership or state model until 2019 and that funding will be available to enable that transition?
- 4) When will the details of the federal partnership options be available? These cannot be considered as an option without details including cost estimates and how state and federal systems are expected to link. How will the long term funding of the federally-facilitated healthcare exchanges be sustained?
- 5) States considering a state-based exchange need to know whether there will be a charge to use the federal data hub, advance premium tax credit/cost-sharing reduction service, risk adjustment and transitional reinsurance programs. Will there be a charge? And, if so, how much will it be?
- 6) When will states learn the details of the operational systems for a federal exchange? The procedural, technical, and architectural requirements for linking to the federal exchange have not been released. It is not feasible to know if a state-based exchange is better for our citizens until we know what the contents of a federal exchange will be. Taking grant money at this time for state exchange creation may be wasted if a federal exchange makes more sense for a particular state.
- 7) When will information from the establishment of a federal exchange be available for states to use if a state opts to build its own exchange? It is costly for each state to have to start from scratch and still not know how interfaces will work.

- 8) If states choose to build a state-based exchange, what dollars will the federal government contribute now and in the future? For the federal exchange states, when will the regulations regarding the imposition of taxes on a state's insurers be released?
- 9) It has been widely reported that Congressional leaders who have to appropriate money will seek to defund exchanges. Can you explain how the enactment provisions of the law allow the Executive Branch to continue to fund exchanges without Congressional action to appropriate money?
- 10) What happens to a state that has taken exchange planning and implementation grants if their exchange is not financially viable after 2015? Can a state refuse to increase taxes on either its residents or insurers, thus putting the financial underpinning of an exchange at risk? What penalties does the federal government envision in this case?
- 11) What happens if a state accepts grant money now to begin to build a state exchange, and subsequently determines that a federal exchange may be better? Will the federal government claw back these grant dollars from the states?
- 12) The Congressional Budget Office (CBO) has pointed out a provision in the law that reduces exchange subsidies after 2018, which means fewer and fewer people will qualify for subsidies, and the people who do qualify will get a smaller and smaller subsidy. Does the Administration support that change, and if so, how would you pay for it? If you do not, why do you think people should be forced to buy insurance if federal subsidies are shrinking?
- 13) Alongside the considerable challenge of greatly expanding the Medicaid program, states are charged by the PPACA with creating a single, seamless point of entry for all of the insurance affordability programs affected by the Act--Medicaid, the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP), the Basic Health Plan (where offered), advance tax credits for individual and Small Business Health Options Program (SHOP) exchange enrollees. This leaves another major question on the table. What about all of the other social service programs?
- 14) In order to minimize disruptions to a state's insurance market, The Office of Personnel Management (OPM) is required to certify multi-state plans that must be included in every exchange. When will the rules be released detailing the requirements and timeline for multi-state plans? How OPM structures these rules can be very disruptive to a state's insurance market.

- 15) Does the federal government intend to maintain high risk pools and how will they be financed? What actions will they take in a state that has opted not to operate a high risk pool or an exchange?
- 16) How do states with a federal exchange ensure that Web Based Entities (WBE) are an option in their state?
- 17) Will HHS and the United States Department of the Treasury offset the advance payments of premium assistance tax credits to issuers for an applicant's outstanding tax, alimony, and/or child support debts?
- 18) Will state-based exchanges have the flexibility to retroactively adjust past due premium amounts for interim changes in income?
- 19) How will the Center for Consumer Information and Insurance Oversight (CCIO) handle Qualified Health Plans (QHP) to Medicare transitions to prevent enrollee confusion and the potential for unpaid QHP premiums due to the enrollee not terminating the QHP timely?
- 20) How will CCIO minimize the adverse impact of its overly-broad employer notice requirement?

Medicaid:

- 1) When can we expect to receive updated guidance on Medicaid expansion and related topics?
- 2) Will states that expand Medicaid coverage up to a level below 138% of the federal poverty level (FPL), for example up to 100% of FPL, still receive the enhanced federal medical assistance percentage (FMAP) available for newly covered populations?
- 3) Will states be allowed to phase in Medicaid coverage up to 138% of FPL (or 100%FPL) years after 2013 and still receive the enhanced FMAP?
- 4) Does the MOE requirement apply to the expansion population or does it apply only to the current Medicaid population? If a state accepts the expansion, but the federal match goes away, can we drop out of the expansion program? Will you waive the MOE under your 1115 waiver authority? What will be the penalties for failure to comply with MOE requirements? Since the MOE was a direct result of the expansion funding, if a state chooses not to expand is the MOE no longer effective?

- 5) How will the federal exchanges utilize the state's criteria for eligibility that will be included in MAGI?
- 6) If a state expanded Medicaid through a waiver prior to enactment of the PPACA, but then chooses not to expand coverage further, are they still eligible for the 75% to 90% enhanced FMAP for the previously expanded population?
- 7) Will the federal government support options for the Medicaid expansion population that encourage personal responsibility cost sharing or accountability provisions, the use of high deductible plans such as Health Savings Accounts, and other options at the state's choice?
- 8) What specific plans and timeline do you have for enacting the reforms and flexibility options for Medicaid that you spoke of in 2009? When can states give further input on the needed reforms?
- 9) You have stated that you will not deport undocumented aliens who have not committed a crime. You have also said that these undocumented aliens will be exempt from the individual mandate. How will the state be reimbursed for medical services given to these individuals?
- 10) Will CMS approve global waivers with an aggregate allotment, state flexibility, and accountability if states are willing to initiate a portion of the expansion?
- 11) The Disproportionate Share allotments will be reduced every year with a methodology based in the reduction in the number of uninsured. One, when will HHS issue the regulations and methodology for this reduction? Two, for a state that does not see a decrease in its uninsured population, will the remaining states absorb the full reduction? In addition, can a state implement a new DSH Diversion program as part of the optional expansion? Can a state implement new DSH Diversion programs for services to the uninsured/uncompensated care services?
- 12) What assurance can states be provided the federal share will be 100 % for the first 3 years and 90% into perpetuity? If the 90% federal match for the expanded population is ever reduced, will states be able to repeal the expansion without penalty or clawbacks. Likewise, if the existing match for the current Medicaid population is reduced, will states be able to repeal the expansion without penalty or clawbacks?
- 13) How much nationwide will Medicaid expansion contribute to annual federal deficits and the national debt?

- 14) Will the Administration approve waivers that will allow states to use limited financial incentives to encourage appropriate utilization of services and reduce costs to both the state and the federal government?



July 10, 2012

The Honorable Barack Obama
President of the United States
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20500

Dear President Obama:

On June 28 the United States Supreme Court ruled in *National Federation of Independent Business vs. Sebelius* that the Medicaid expansion provisions in the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA) were unconstitutionally coercive of state sovereignty.

Despite the ruling which upheld the individual mandate as a tax, we have written before and continue to maintain that the PPACA remains seriously flawed both conceptually and technically. It favors dependency over personal responsibility and will ultimately destroy the private insurance market. In its current form, the law will increase health care costs and likely lead to the disruption or discontinuation of millions of Americans' insurance plans. The new federal subsidies anticipated that enable exchanges are unaffordable given the crushing federal budget deficits and record national debt, and states cannot afford significant Medicaid expansions. For most governors, Medicaid growth even before PPACA, was exorbitant, and consuming an even larger share of state budgets.

Three years ago, you correctly told Senate Democrats, "[a]s we move forward on health reform, it is not sufficient for us to simply add more people to Medicare or Medicaid to increase the rolls, to increase coverage in the absence of cost controls and reform. And let me repeat this principle: If we don't get control over costs, then it is going to be very difficult for us to expand

coverage. These two things go hand in hand. Another way of putting it is we can't simply put more people into a broken system that doesn't work."

Unfortunately, that is precisely what has been done—PPACA, if implemented by the states, would put more people, 16-20 million individuals, into a broken Medicaid system. Three years ago, you stated clearly that would be a mistake. We fully agree. Today, states have less flexibility over the administration of the program, even though some states pay a share of the cost equal to that of the federal government. Governors of both parties, who are the primary managers of Medicaid delivery in our states, were not invited to engage in meaningful dialogue with your administration in 2010 when the PPACA was drafted-- and ultimately passed--- on a party-line vote.

We are still waiting for the real tools and flexibility we need to reform Medicaid and lower costs as you promised. Last year, Republican governors stressed the need to reform Medicaid, and we put forward 31 specific policy ideas to achieve that goal. We sent you and the Congressional leadership the detailed plan documents to craft such reforms. Since we received virtually no response from you, we are enclosing another copy for your team to review. We now renew our call for Medicaid reform.

PPACA uses Medicaid as the vehicle for expansion because it would be cheaper for the federal government through cost-shifting to the states. Despite promises of higher federal matches for the expansion populations, we also cannot ignore the policies proposed by your Administration that would cut the enhanced match rate for newly eligibles.

While overall spending on health care has slowed, the cost of health care has not. Spending has slowed, but for the wrong reason—the lingering recession that has cost jobs and thus lost health coverage. According to the most recent federal government projections, the number of individuals without health insurance will have increased from 42.7 million in 2008 to 48.6 million in 2013.

While we continue to believe the best option is to fully repeal and replace the PPACA, states now confront numerous deadlines and face major policy decisions in the wake of the Supreme Court decision. Before making any final policy decisions, governors must carefully consider the short and long-term implications of an expanded entitlement program and the consequences of significantly increasing the size of government to manage these programs.

The states' burden of the expansion population as well as administrative costs remains significant. Increased spending on Medicaid crowds out resources available to states to spend on other meaningful priorities like education, the environment, public safety and infrastructure.

Moreover, even before increasing the Medicaid-eligible population as prescribed by PPACA, Medicaid has been on an unsustainable path, comprising a growing share of state

budgets every year. It is difficult to see how expanding Medicaid without reform would do anything other than put more strain on state budgets and the taxpayers, especially when considering that many pernicious provisions that curtail state flexibility remain.

While the Supreme Court decision focused on the states' role in determining whether a Medicaid expansion is in the best interest of its citizens, states also face other PPACA-related decisions, like whether to establish a state based health-insurance exchange or accept the default of a federal exchange. As the exchange issue is currently interpreted, states are essentially being tasked with shouldering all the responsibility without any authority.

If states determine that a Medicaid expansion is not in the best interests of its citizens, it is likely that there will be a significant gap in coverage for low-income individuals who do not qualify for tax credits. We believe it is incumbent upon the authors of PPACA and your Administration to detail precisely how you intend to address this situation.

We also believe that it is unlikely that the federal government will have fully functional exchanges in place by the fall of 2013 in order for millions of Americans to be able to purchase coverage beginning January 2014. We respectfully request the Administration provide the detailed work plan that demonstrates these deadlines will be met. If they cannot be met, the responsible course would be for HHS to level with us and the American people. We also do not understand how the federal government can begin to afford to implement PPACA, with deficits already over \$1 trillion in every year of your presidency, and the debt growing \$5 trillion in the past 3 years to an outrageous record of nearly \$16 trillion.

The consequences of governors' decisions will impact our states – and the nation – for decades to come, so we must have all the information needed to choose wisely. We have taken the liberty of compiling below just some of the critical questions that must have answers before states can determine best how to proceed in light of the Court's decision. We undertake this task with a sense of great responsibility, and resolve to only move forward when we have full and complete knowledge of all the implications of our decision.

Healthcare Exchanges:

- 1) Please provide a complete list of regulations that will have to be reviewed, revised and re-opened for public comment prior to implementation as a result of the Supreme Court ruling (e.g., the Medicaid eligibility regulations, exchange regulations related to interface with Medicaid)? What is the schedule for re-issuing these regulations?
- 2) When will either additional guidance or actual rules be issued on essential health benefits, actuarial value and rating areas be issued?

- 3) The federal government has already extended deadlines for applying for Level 1 and Level 2 Exchange Establishment funding into 2014. Can we expect extensions of the deadlines for implementation given the uncertainty caused by the Supreme Court ruling and the linkage between Medicaid expansion and exchange eligibility and enrollment functions? In addition, will the deadlines change for states implementing a partnership exchange? Will the deadlines be extended for states implementing a federal exchange?
- 4) When will the details of the federal partnership options be available? These cannot be considered as an option without details including cost estimates. How will the long term funding of the federally-facilitated healthcare exchanges be sustained?
- 5) States considering a state-based exchange need to know whether there will be a charge and by how much to use the federal data hub, advance premium tax credit/cost-sharing reduction service, risk adjustment and transitional reinsurance programs.
- 6) When will states learn the details of the operational systems for a federal exchange? The procedural, technical, and architectural requirements for linking to the federal exchange have not been released. It is not feasible to know if a state-based exchange is better for our citizens until we know what the contents of a federal exchange will be. Taking grant money at this time for state exchange creation may be wasted if a federal exchange makes more sense for a particular state.
- 7) When will information from the establishment of a federal exchange be available for states to use if a state opts to build its own exchange? It is costly for each state to have to start from scratch and still not know how interfaces will work.
- 8) If states choose to build a state-based exchange, what dollars will the federal government contribute now and in the future? For the federal exchange states, when will the regulations regarding the imposition of taxes on a state's insurers be released?
- 9) It has been widely reported that Congressional leaders who have to appropriate money will seek to defund exchanges. Please explain how the enactment provisions of the law allow the Executive Branch to continue to fund exchanges without Congressional action to appropriate money.
- 10) What happens to a state that has taken exchange planning and implementation grants if their exchange is not financially viable after 2015? Can a state refuse to increase

- taxes on either its residents or insurers, thus putting the financial underpinning of an exchange at risk? What penalties does the federal government envision in this case?
- 11) What happens if a state accepts grant money now to begin to build a state exchange, and subsequently determines that a federal exchange may be better? Will the federal government claw back these grant dollars from the states?
 - 12) What impact will changes to the Medicaid expansion have on exchange implementation? The federal exchange is currently structured to provide Medicaid eligibility determination. How will this work if some states participate and others do not?
 - 13) Last month the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) pointed out a provision in the law that reduces exchange subsidies after 2018, which means fewer and fewer people will qualify for subsidies, and the people who do qualify will get a smaller and smaller subsidy. Does the Administration support that change, and if so, how would you pay for it? If you do not, why do you think people should be forced to buy insurance if federal subsidies are shrinking?
 - 14) CMS has released 90/10 funding under ARRA and HITECH in order for states to improve their eligibility systems for Medicaid and other social service programs. Will that funding continue?
 - 15) Alongside the considerable challenge of greatly expanding the Medicaid program, states are charged by the PPACA with creating a single, seamless point of entry for all of the insurance affordability programs affected by the Act--Medicaid, the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP), the Basic Health Plan (where offered), advance tax credits for individual and Small Business Health Options Program (SHOP) exchange enrollees. This leaves another major question on the table. What about all of the other social service programs? Will states still be able to create an eligibility system for all social service programs under the 90/10 funding mechanism?
 - 16) In order to minimize disruptions to a state's insurance market, The Office of Personnel Management (OPM) is required to certify multi-state plans that must be included in every exchange, when will the rules be released detailing the requirements and timeline for multi-state plans. How OPM structures these rules can be very disruptive to a state's insurance market.
 - 17) Does the federal government intend to maintain high risk pools and how will they be financed? What actions will they take in a state that has opted not to operate a high risk pool or an exchange?

Medicaid

- 1) When can we expect to receive updated guidance on Medicaid expansion and related topics?
- 2) Is there a deadline for letting the federal government know if a state will be participating in the Medicaid expansion? How does that relate to the exchange declaration deadline? The two programs are currently scheduled to be implemented simultaneously in January 2014.
- 3) Will states that expand Medicaid coverage up to a level below 138% of the federal poverty level (FPL), for example up to 100% of FPL, still receive the enhanced federal medical assistance percentage (FMAP) available for “newly covered” populations?
- 4) Will states be allowed to phase in Medicaid coverage up to 138% of FPL (or 100% FPL) years after 2013 and still receive the enhanced FMAP?
- 5) Does the MOE requirement apply to the expansion population or does it apply only to the current Medicaid population? If a state accepts the expansion, but the federal match goes away, can we drop out of the expansion program? Will you waive the MOE under your 1115 waiver authority? What will be the penalties for failure to comply with MOE requirements? Since the MOE was a direct result of the expansion funding, if a state chooses not to expand is the MOE no longer effective?
- 6) Regarding the two year increase in Medicaid reimbursement for primary care codes, are you going to extend it? If so, how are you going to pay for it? Congressional Republicans have expressed opposition to any funded for PPACA.
- 7) Will states still be required to convert their income counting methodology to MAGI for purposes of determining eligibility regardless of whether they expand to the optional adult group? If so, how do states link the categorical eligibility criteria to the MAGI? How will the federal exchanges utilize the state’s criteria?
- 8) If a state expanded Medicaid through a waiver prior to enactment of the PPACA, but then chooses not to expand coverage further, are they still eligible for the 75% to 90% enhanced FMAP for the previously expanded population?
- 9) Will the federal government support options for the Medicaid expansion population that encourage personal responsibility – cost sharing or accountability provisions, the

use of high deductible plans such as Health Savings Accounts, and other options at the state's choice?

- 10) What specific plans and timeline do you have for enacting the reforms and flexibility options for Medicaid that you spoke of in 2009? When can states give further input on the needed reforms?
- 11) You have stated that you will not deport undocumented aliens who have not committed a crime. You have also said that these undocumented aliens will be exempt from the individual mandate. How will the state be reimbursed for medical services given to these individuals?
- 12) Will CMS approve global waivers with an aggregate allotment, state flexibility, and accountability if states are willing to initiate a portion of the expansion?
- 13) The Disproportionate Share allotments will be reduced every year with a methodology based in the reduction in the number of uninsured. One, when will HHS issue the regulations and methodology for this reduction? Two, for a state that does not see a decrease in its uninsured population will the remaining state absorb the full reduction? In addition, can a state implement a new DSH Diversion program as part of the optional expansion? Can a state implement new DSH Diversion programs for services to the uninsured/uncompensated care services?

There will inevitably be more questions that will arise as additional guidance flows from your Administration. With just 18 months until the anticipated implementation date of PPACA, we would appreciate prompt answers.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter facing states and the country. We look forward to learning from your responses.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Robert F. McDonnell".

Governor Bob McDonnell, RGA Chairman
Commonwealth of Virginia



September 27, 2012

The Honorable Barack Obama
President of the United States
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20500

Dear President Obama:

On July 10, 2012, I submitted to you a letter that detailed 30 questions from Republican governors regarding the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA). We continue to wait for answers to most of our questions, and while your administration responded to some of them, the answers were not substantial enough to take responsible action. Today, we write again, hoping that more information will be provided.

As stated several times before, we continue to maintain that the PPACA remains seriously flawed both conceptually and technically. Our explanation isn't new, we believe the law favors dependency over personal responsibility and will ultimately destroy the private insurance market. In its current form, the law will increase health care costs and likely lead to the disruption or discontinuation of millions of Americans' insurance plans. The new federal subsidies anticipated that enable exchanges are unaffordable given the crushing federal budget deficits and record national debt, and states cannot afford significant Medicaid expansions. For most governors, Medicaid growth even before PPACA was exorbitant and consuming a growing share of state budgets. While we strive to balance our budgets at the state level, we do not understand how the federal government can begin to afford to implement PPACA, with deficits already over \$1 trillion in every year of your presidency, and the debt growing \$5 trillion in the past 3 years to an outrageous record of nearly \$16 trillion.

For emphasis, we will again repeat that we wish you would have stood by your statement three years ago when you correctly told Senate Democrats, —[a]s we move forward on health reform, it is not sufficient for us to simply add more people to Medicare or Medicaid to increase the rolls,

to increase coverage in the absence of cost controls and reform. And let me repeat this principle: If we don't get control over costs, then it is going to be very difficult for us to expand coverage. These two things go hand in hand. Another way of putting it is we can't simply put more people into a broken system that doesn't work.

Unfortunately, that is precisely what has been done—PPACA, if implemented by the states, would put more people, 16-20 million individuals, into a broken Medicaid system. A system that lacks real tools and flexibility to afford states the opportunity to be creative and implement true Medicaid reforms. Twice now, we have sent you and Congressional leadership the detailed plan documents to craft such reforms. We continue to wait for a response and have enclosed yet another copy for your team to review.

While believe the best option is to fully repeal and replace the PPACA, states continue to confront numerous deadlines and face major policy decisions in the wake of the Supreme Court decision. Before making any final policy decisions, governors must carefully consider the short and long-term implications of an expanded entitlement program and the consequences of significantly increasing the size of government to manage these programs.

In addition to determining whether Medicaid expansion is in the best interest of its citizens, states face other PPACA-related decisions, like whether to establish a state-based health-insurance exchange, enter into a partnership exchange or accept the default of a federal exchange. As the exchange issue is currently interpreted, states are essentially being tasked with shouldering all the responsibility without any authority.

We respectfully request the Administration provide the detailed work plan that demonstrates how the aggressive deadlines for the creation of a federally-facilitated health insurance exchange deadlines will be met. If they cannot be met, the responsible course would be for HHS to level with us and the American people.

The consequences of governors' decisions will impact our states – and the nation – for decades to come, so we must have all the information needed to choose wisely. We have taken the liberty of listing below some of the critical questions that must have answers before states can determine best how to proceed. You will notice that of the 30 questions originally put forward in the July 10 letter, the vast majority remain unanswered.

Healthcare Exchanges:

- 1) Please provide a complete list of regulations that will have to be reviewed, revised and re-opened for public comment prior to implementation as a result of the Supreme Court ruling (e.g., the Medicaid eligibility regulations, exchange regulations related to interface with Medicaid). What is the schedule for re-issuing these regulations?

- 2) When will preliminary and subsequent final rules be issued on essential health benefits, actuarial value and rating areas be issued?
- 3) The federal government has already extended deadlines for applying for Level 1 and Level 2 Exchange Establishment funding into 2014. Can we expect extensions of the deadlines for implementation given the uncertainty caused by the Supreme Court ruling and the linkage between Medicaid expansion and exchange eligibility and enrollment functions? In addition, will the deadlines change for states implementing a partnership exchange? Will the deadlines be extended for states implementing a federal exchange?
- 4) When will the details of the federal partnership options be available? These cannot be considered as an option without details including cost estimates. How will the long term funding of the federally-facilitated healthcare exchanges be sustained?
- 5) States considering a state-based exchange need to know whether there will be a charge to use the federal data hub, advance premium tax credit/cost-sharing reduction service, risk adjustment and transitional reinsurance programs. Will there be a charge? And, if so, how much will it be?
- 6) When will states learn the details of the operational systems for a federal exchange? The procedural, technical, and architectural requirements for linking to the federal exchange have not been released. It is not feasible to know if a state-based exchange is better for our citizens until we know what the contents of a federal exchange will be. Taking grant money at this time for state exchange creation may be wasted if a federal exchange makes more sense for a particular state.
- 7) When will information from the establishment of a federal exchange be available for states to use if a state opts to build its own exchange? It is costly for each state to have to start from scratch and still not know how interfaces will work.
- 8) If states choose to build a state-based exchange, what dollars will the federal government contribute now and in the future? For the federal exchange states, when will the regulations regarding the imposition of taxes on a state's insurers be released?
- 9) It has been widely reported that Congressional leaders who have to appropriate money will seek to defund exchanges. Can you explain how the enactment provisions of the law allow the Executive Branch to continue to fund exchanges without Congressional action to appropriate money?

- 10) What happens to a state that has taken exchange planning and implementation grants if their exchange is not financially viable after 2015? Can a state refuse to increase taxes on either its residents or insurers, thus putting the financial underpinning of an exchange at risk? What penalties does the federal government envision in this case?
- 11) What happens if a state accepts grant money now to begin to build a state exchange, and subsequently determines that a federal exchange may be better? Will the federal government claw back these grant dollars from the states?
- 12) The Congressional Budget Office (CBO) has pointed out a provision in the law that reduces exchange subsidies after 2018, which means fewer and fewer people will qualify for subsidies, and the people who do qualify will get a smaller and smaller subsidy. Does the Administration support that change, and if so, how would you pay for it? If you do not, why do you think people should be forced to buy insurance if federal subsidies are shrinking?
- 13) Alongside the considerable challenge of greatly expanding the Medicaid program, states are charged by the PPACA with creating a single, seamless point of entry for all of the insurance affordability programs affected by the Act--Medicaid, the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP), the Basic Health Plan (where offered), advance tax credits for individual and Small Business Health Options Program (SHOP) exchange enrollees. This leaves another major question on the table. What about all of the other social service programs? Will states still be able to create an eligibility system for all social service programs under the 90/10 funding mechanism?
- 14) In order to minimize disruptions to a state's insurance market, The Office of Personnel Management (OPM) is required to certify multi-state plans that must be included in every exchange. When will the rules be released detailing the requirements and timeline for multi-state plans? How OPM structures these rules can be very disruptive to a state's insurance market.
- 15) Does the federal government intend to maintain high risk pools and how will they be financed? What actions will they take in a state that has opted not to operate a high risk pool or an exchange?

Medicaid

- 1) When can we expect to receive updated guidance on Medicaid expansion and related topics?

- 2) Will states that expand Medicaid coverage up to a level below 138% of the federal poverty level (FPL), for example up to 100% of FPL, still receive the enhanced federal medical assistance percentage (FMAP) available for —newly covered populations?
- 3) Will states be allowed to phase in Medicaid coverage up to 138% of FPL (or 100% FPL) years after 2013 and still receive the enhanced FMAP?
- 4) Does the MOE requirement apply to the expansion population or does it apply only to the current Medicaid population? If a state accepts the expansion, but the federal match goes away, can we drop out of the expansion program? Will you waive the MOE under your 1115 waiver authority? What will be the penalties for failure to comply with MOE requirements? Since the MOE was a direct result of the expansion funding, if a state chooses not to expand is the MOE no longer effective?
- 5) Regarding the two year increase in Medicaid reimbursement for primary care codes, are you going to issue rules and guidance in time for implementation? Do you plan on extending it? If so, how are you going to pay for it? Congressional Republicans have expressed opposition to any funding for PPACA.
- 6) How will the federal exchanges utilize the state's criteria for eligibility that will be included in MAGI?
- 7) If a state expanded Medicaid through a waiver prior to enactment of the PPACA, but then chooses not to expand coverage further, are they still eligible for the 75% to 90% enhanced FMAP for the previously expanded population?
- 8) Will the federal government support options for the Medicaid expansion population that encourage personal responsibility – cost sharing or accountability provisions, the use of high deductible plans such as Health Savings Accounts, and other options at the state's choice?
- 9) What specific plans and timeline do you have for enacting the reforms and flexibility options for Medicaid that you spoke of in 2009? When can states give further input on the needed reforms?
- 10) You have stated that you will not deport undocumented aliens who have not committed a crime. You have also said that these undocumented aliens will be exempt from the individual mandate. How will the state be reimbursed for medical services given to these individuals?

- 11) Will CMS approve global waivers with an aggregate allotment, state flexibility, and accountability if states are willing to initiate a portion of the expansion?
- 12) The Disproportionate Share allotments will be reduced every year with a methodology based in the reduction in the number of uninsured. One, when will HHS issue the regulations and methodology for this reduction? Two, for a state that does not see a decrease in its uninsured population will the remaining state absorb the full reduction? In addition, can a state implement a new DSH Diversion program as part of the optional expansion? Can a state implement new DSH Diversion programs for services to the uninsured/uncompensated care services?

There will inevitably be more questions that will arise as additional guidance flows from your Administration. With just 15 months until the anticipated implementation date of PPACA, we would appreciate prompt answers.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter facing states and the country. We look forward to learning from your responses.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Robert F. McDonnell".

Governor Bob McDonnell, RGA Chairman
Commonwealth of Virginia