1	· 		
1 ·	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney		
2	MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630) Chief, Criminal Division		
4	[
5	STEPHANIE M. HINDS (CABN 154284) ARVON J. PERTEET (CABN 242828) Assistant United States Attorneys		
6	450 Golden Gate Avenue, 11th Floor		
7	San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: 415.436.7200		
8	Facsimile: 415.436.7234 Email: arvon.perteet@usdoj.gov		
9	Attorneys for United States of America		
10	I INHTED STATES DISTRICT COLUDT		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CV 11 5596		
15) No. CV 11- Plaintiff,)		
16	v. COMPLAINT FOR FORFEITURE		
17	REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 6 SCHOOL STREET, FAIRFAX, CALIFORNIA,		
18			
19	Defendant.		
20			
21	In this in rem forfeiture action, the United States alleges:		
22	JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
23	1. This in rem forfeiture action is brought pursuant to Title 21, United States Code,		
24	Section 881(a)(7).		
25	2. This Court has jurisdiction under Title 28, United States Code, Sections 1345 and		
26	1355.		
27	3. Venue lies in the Northern District of California pursuant to Title 28, United		
28	States Code, Sections 1355(b) and 1395(a) and (b), as the acts giving rise to this in rem action		

marijuana to its customers under a conditional use permit issued by the Town of Fairfax. Upon

MAMM purports to distribute marijuana and facilitate the distribution of

11.

25

26

27

28

,

guardian or medical professional. The terms of the conditional use permit thus authorizes MAMM to distribute marijuana and facilitate the distribution of marijuana to minors. Upon information and belief, MAMM distributes and facilitates the distribution of marijuana to minors.

- 12. Central Field is a little league baseball field located at Contratti Park on Bank Street in Fairfax. MAMM, which located at 6 School Street, is within 1000 feet of Central Field. According to MAMM's website, MAMM's is open seven days a week from 9 a.m. to 9 p.m., Monday through Saturday, and 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. on Sunday.
- 13. Since at least 1996 and continuing until the present, Lynette Shaw has operated a marijuana store engaged in the distribution of marijuana at the defendant real property. Since at least 1997, MAMM has engaged in the distribution of marijuana at the defendant real property.
- 14. On January 9, 1998, plaintiff filed a complaint in the Northern District of California seeking to enjoin MAMM and Lynette Shaw from distributing marijuana and conspiring to distribute marijuana at the defendant real property in violation of federal law. The matter is captioned *United States v. Marin Alliance for Medical Marijuana*, Case No. CV 98-0086-CRB.
- 15. As a result of the government's lawsuit, on or about June 10, 2002, the District Court issued an order permanently enjoining MAMM and Lynette Shaw from engaging in the distribution of marijuana, the possession with the intent to distribute marijuana, or the manufacture of marijuana with the intent to distribute marijuana, in violation of federal law. The court's order also enjoined MAMM and Shaw from using Suite 210 of the defendant real property for the purpose of engaging in the manufacture and distribution of marijuana. The Court's order also enjoined MAMM and Shaw from conspiring to violate the Controlled Substances Act with respect to the distribution of marijuana, the manufacture of marijuana with the intent to distribute, or the possession of marijuana with the intent to distribute. (See Attachment B).
- 16. In December 2007, Farshid Ezazi, the owner of the defendant real property, received a letter from the Drug Enforcement Administration advising him that there was a

marijuana dispensary operating at the defendant real property in violation of federal law. The letter further advised that continued operation of a marijuana store at this property could result in criminal and civil penalties, including forfeiture of the defendant real property.

- 17. On September 28, 2011, the United States Attorney's Office for the Northern District of California sent Farshid Ezazi a letter notifying him that MAMM was operating a marijuana dispensary at the defendant real property in Suite #215 in violation of federal law. The letter further advised that continued operation of a marijuana store at this property could result in criminal and civil penalties, including forfeiture of the defendant real property.
- 18. On September 28, 2011, the United States Attorney's Office for the Northern District of California sent JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., the lienholder of the defendant real property, a letter notifying them that MAMM was operating a marijuana dispensary at the defendant real property in Suite #215 in violation of federal law. The letter further advised that continued operation of a marijuana store at this property could result in criminal and civil penalties, including forfeiture of the defendant real property.
- 19. Despite the existence of the permanent injunction and the letters notifying the property owner and lienholder of the operation of a marijuana store in violation of federal law, MAMM continues to distribute and facilitate the distribution of marijuana at the defendant real property.

19 //
20 //
21 //
22 //
23 //
24 //
25 //

//

Complaint for Forfeiture No. CV 11-

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

//

//

//

20

21

22 //

23

24

25

26 //

27

28

No. CV 11-

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(forfeiture of property used to facilitate cultivation, sale or distribution of marijuana)

- The United States incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs one 20. through 19 as though fully set forth.
- Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a) prohibits the manufacture, 21. distribution or dispensing and possession with the intent to manufacture, distribute or dispense a controlled substance, to wit: marijuana.
- 22. Title 21, United States Code, Section 856 makes it unlawful to rent, lease, profit from or make available for use, with or without compensation, a place for the purpose of unlawfully manufacturing, storing distributing or using a controlled substance, to wit: marijuana.
- 23. Title 21, United States Code, Section 881(a)(7) provides for the forfeiture of all real property, including any right, title, and interest, which is used or intended to be used, in any manner or part to commit, or facilitate the commission of any violation of Title 21, United States Code, Chapter 13, Subchapter I, to include sections 841 and 856.
- 24. In light of the foregoing, plaintiff alleges that the defendant real property represents is subject to forfeiture, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 881(a)(7), as property which was used or intended to be used, to commit or facilitate the commission of the distribution, cultivation and possession with the intent to distribute and cultivate marijuana.

Complaint for Forfeiture

1	25.	With respect to the defendant real property, the United States has not seized said		
2	property. Rather, the United States will, as provided in Title 18, United States Code, Section			
3	985(b)(1) and (c)(1):			
4	a.	Post notice of this action and a copy of this Complaint for Forfeiture at the defendant real property;		
5 6	b.	Serve notice of this action together with a copy of the Complaint for Forfeiture and related documents, on the owners of record of the defendant real property; and		
7 8	c.	Record a <i>lis pendens</i> in the county records to demonstrate the status of the defendant real property in this <i>in rem</i> action.		
9				
10	WHEREFORE, plaintiff United States of America requests that due process issue to			
	enforce the forfeiture of the defendant real property; that notice be given to all interested parties			
11	to appear and show cause why forfeiture should not be decreed; that the Court enter judgment for			
12	forfeiture of the defendant real property to the United States; and that the United States be			
13	awarded such other relief as may be proper and just.			
14		Respectfully submitted,		
15				
16		MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney		
17		A A		
18 19	Dated: 11 18 2011 ARVON J. PERTEET			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25	•			
26				
27		•		
28				
	Complaint for No. CV 11-	r Forfeiture		

VERIFICATION

I, DAVID WHITE, state as follows:

Complaint for Forfeiture No. 11-

1. I am a Special Agent with the United States Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration. As the agent assigned to this case I am familiar with the facts and investigation leading to the filing of this Complaint for Forfeiture.

2. I have read the Complaint, and based upon my personal knowledge, discussions with persons involved in the investigation and my review of relevant investigative reports and other documentation, I believe the allegations contained in it to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. Executed this <u>/8</u> day of November 2011, in <u>SAN FRANCISCO</u>, California.

DAVE WHITE

Special Agent

Drug Enforcement Administration

ATTACHMENT A

Complaint for Forfeiture No. CV 11-

EXHIBIT A

LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

The Property is located in the City of Fairlest, County of Martin, State of California and is described as follows:

PARCEL ONE

Lots 24, 25 and 26, as shown upon that certain Map entitled "Map No. 1, Fairfax Park Tract, Marin Co., Calif.", filed for record June 5, 1911 in Volume 4 of Maps, at Page 1, Matin County Records.

PARCEL TWO:

Beginning at the most Northerly comer of Lot 59, as shown upon that certain Map entitled "Frustrick and Wreden Subdivision of Fairfax Park", filed for record January 8, 1914 in Volume 4 of Maps, at Page 64, Marin County Records, on the Westerly line of Marin Street and running along said line. North 38" 45' West 56.3 feet; thence North 29" 13' West 24 feet to the Southerly line of 40 foot road; thence along said last manifement line, South 85" 21' West 56.8 feet; thence South 59" 10' West 62.55 feet and thence South 38" 51' West 23.4 feet; thence leaving said line and running South 42" 54' East 170.1 feet and thence North 84" 33' East 149 feet to the point of beginning.

Being Lot marked "X7" reserved, on a Map emitted, "Map No.1, Fairfax Park Tract", filed for record June 5, 1911 in Volume 4 of Mapa, at Page 1, Marin County Records, Together with all and singular the tenements.

PARCEL THREE:

Lots 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62 and 63, as shown upon that certain Map entitled "Frustruck and Wieden Subdivision of Fairfax Park", filed for record January 8, 1914 in Volume 4 of Maps, at Page 64, Mann County Records.

ATTACHMENT B

Complaint for Forfeiture No. CV 11-

į	
)	ornia
	Calife
	5
	Distric
	orthera
	Se X
	For t
	_

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FILED

JUN 1 0 2002

ENTERED IN CIVIL DOCKE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff.

No. C 98-00086 CRB

JUDGMENT: PERMAN INJUNCTION

MARIN ALLIANCE FOR MEDICAL MARIJUANA, and LYNETTE SHAW,

Defendants.

The Court having granted plaintiff's motion for summary judgment by Memorandum and Order filed May 3, 2002, and for the reasons stated in its Memorandum and Order dated June 10, 2002, it is hereby ORDERED that judgment be entered in favor of the United States of America and against defendants Marin Alliance For Medical Marijuana and Lynette Shaw as follows:

- Defendants Marin Alliance for Medical Marijuana and Lynette Shaw are 1. hereby permanently enjoined from engaging in the distribution of marijuana, the possession of marijuana with the intent to distribute, or the manufacture of marijuana with the intent to distribute, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1); and
- Defendants Marin Alliance for Medical Marijuana and Lynette Shaw are 2. hereby permanently enjoined from using the premises of Suite 210, School Street Plaza,

COPIES MAILED TO PARTIES OF RECORE

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Fairfax, California for the purposes of engaging in the manufacture and distribution of marijuana; and

- Defendant Lynette Shaw is hereby permanently enjoined from conspiring to 3. violate the Controlled Substances Act, 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) with respect to the distribution of marijuana, the manufacture of marijuana with the intent to distribute, or the possession of marijuana with the intent to distribute.
- It shall not be a violation of this injunction for defendants to seek and obtain 4. legal advice from their attorneys.
- Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(d), this injunction shall bind 5. the defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, successors, and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with them who receive notice of the order by personal service or otherwise.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June / 0, 2002

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States District Court for the Northern District of California June 12, 2002

* * CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE * *

Case Number:3:98-cv-00086

USA

· vs

Marin Alliance

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on June 12, 2002, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Alice P. Mead, Esq. California Medical Association 221 Main St P.O. Box 7690 San Francisco, CA 94120-7690

Mark T. Quinlivan, Esq. USDJ-Civil Division 901 E Street NW Washington, DC 20530

Gary G. Grindler, Esq. Department of Justice Civil Division, Room 1078 901 E Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20530

Margaret S. Schroeder, Esq. Pillsbury Winthrop LLP 50 Fremont St 5th Flr San Francisco, CA 94105

Thomas V. Loran III, Esq. Pillsbury Winthrop LLP