Skip to Main Content Logout My Account Search Menu New District Civil/Criminal Search Refine Search Back

Location : District Court Civil/Criminal Help

## REGISTER OF ACTIONS CASE No. A-14-701398-B

§

§

Business Benefits Inc, Plaintiff(s) vs. Clark County School District,

Defendant(s)

Case Type: Business Court Subtype: Other Business Court Matters

Date Filed: 05/29/2014 Location: Department 27

8 Cross-Reference Case Number: A701398

PARTY INFORMATION

**Clark County School District** Defendant

Lead Attorneys

Defendant

Cranor, Erine E

**Plaintiff** 

**Business Benefits Inc** 

Erika Pike Turner Retained 7027965555(W)

EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT

OTHER EVENTS AND HEARINGS

05/29/2014 Case Opened

05/29/2014 Discovery Heard by Department/Deemed Complex

05/29/2014 Complaint (Business Court)

Complaint

05/29/2014 Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure

Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure

06/02/2014 Motion for Declaratory Relief

Plaintiff's Motion for Declaratory Relief and Preliminary Injunction, and Ex-Parte Application for Order Shortening Time

06/18/2014 Motion for Declaratory Relief (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Allf, Nancy)

Plaintiff's Motion for Declaratory Relief and Preliminary injunctionm and Ex-Parte Application for Order Shortening Time

## FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Plaintiff Business Benefits Inc

Total Financial Assessment

Total Payments and Credits

Balance Due as of 06/16/2014

1,530.00 1.530.00 0.00

05/29/2014

Transaction Assessment

05/29/2014 Wiznet

Receipt # 2014-61713-CCCLK

**Business Benefits Inc** 

1,530.00 (1,530.00)

# CIVIL COVER SHEET

A-14-701398-B

Clark County, Nevada

XXVII

Case No.

(Assigned by Clerk's Office)

I. Party Information				
Plaintiff(s) (name/address/phone): BUSINESS BENEFITS, INC., a Nevada corporation		Defendant(s) (name/address/phone): CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT, a political subdivision of the State of Nevada; ERIN E. CRANOR, an individual		
Attorney (name/address/phone): Erika Pike Turner (NV Bar No. 6454) Gordon Silver 3773 Howard Hughes Pkwy., 9 <sup>th</sup> Fl., Las Vegas, NV 89169 (702) 796-5555		Attorney (name/address/phone):		
II. Nature of Controversy (Please che applicable subcategory, if appropriate)	eck applicable bold	category and	Arbitration Requested	
Civil Cases				
Real Property	Torts			
Landlord/Tenant  Unlawful Detainer  Title to Property  Foreclosure  Liens  Quiet Title	☐ Negligence – Au ☐ Negligence – Me ☐ Negligence – Pre	edical/Dental emises Liability Slip/Fall)	Product Liability Product Liability/Motor Vehicle Other Torts/Product Liability Intentional Misconduct Torts/Defamation (Libel/Slander) Interfere with Contract Rights	
☐ Specific Performance ☐ Condemnation/Eminent Domain ☐ Other Real Property ☐ Partition ☐ Planning/Zoning			☐ Employment Torts (Wangful termination) ☐ Other Torts ☐ Anti-trust ☐ Fraud/Misrepresentation ☐ Insurance ☐ Legal Tort ☐ Unfair Competition	
Probate	Other Civil Filing Types			
Estimated Estate Value:	Insurance Commerci Other Con Collection Employme Guarantee Sale Cont Uniform C Civil Petition fo Porcelosure Other Adm	ract c Construction Carrier al Instrument tracts/Acet/Judgment of Actions ent Contract ract	Appeal from Lower Court (also check applicable civil case box)  Transfer from Justice Court Justice Court Civil Appeal  Civil Writ Other Special Proceeding  Other Civil Filing Compromise of Minor's Claim Conversion of Property Damage to Property Employment Security Enforcement of Judgment Poreign Judgment — Civil Other Personal Property Recovery of Property Stockholder Suit Other Civil Matters	
III. Business Court Requested (Please check applicable category; for Clark or Washoe Countles only.)				
☐ NRS Chapters 78-88 ☐ Commodities (NRS 90) ☐ Securities (NRS 90)	☐ Investments (NF	RS 104 Art. 8) Practices (NRS 598)	Enhanced Case Mgmt/Business Other Business Court Matters	
May 29, 2014		7	350	
Date	• 4	Signature of	initiating party or representative	

tun to Com **COMP** 1 GORDON SILVER ERIKA PIKE TURNER 2 CLERK OF THE COURT Nevada Bar No. 6454 Email: eturner@gordonsilver.com 3 ELIAS P. GEORGE Nevada Bar No. 12379 4 Email: egeorge@gordonsilver.com 3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy., 9th Floor 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 (702) 796-5555 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 10 BUSINESS BENEFITS, INC., a Nevada corporation; CASE NO. A-14-701398-B 11 Plaintiff, DEPT. TTVXX 12 COMPLAINT VS. 13 BUSINESS COURT REQUESTED CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT, a political subdivision of the state of Nevada; 14 ERIN E. CRANOR, an individual residing in Exempt from Arbitration - Declaratory Nevada; DOES 1-X and ROE ENTITIES 1-X. Relief and other Extraordinary Relief 15 Requested Inclusive. 16 Defendants, 17 18 Plaintiff BUSINESS BENEFITS, INC. ("BBI"), by and through its counsel, the law firm 19 of Gordon Silver, complains against Defendants CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT 20 ("CCSD"), ERIN E. CRANOR ("Cranor"), DOES 1-X and ROE Entities 1-X, inclusive, and 21 alleges as follows: 22 I. 23 THE PARTIES, VENUE AND JURISDICTION 24 BBI is, and at all times relevant hereto was, a corporation duly organized under 25 1. the laws of Nevada with its principal place of business in the State of Nevada. BBI is a small, 26 privately held employee benefits consulting and insurance brokerage business that has 27 professionally serviced the Las Vegas community for over thirty (30) years. 28

Gordon Silver Attomays At Law Ninth Floor 3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy Las Vogas, Novada 89169 (702) 796-5555

1 of 15

104575-001/2300203

10

8

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

2

ges, Nevada 89169 702) 796-5555

Gordon Silver

- BBI is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that CCSD is a political subdivision of the State of Nevada operating in Clark County, Nevada.
- BBI is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that a Board of Trustees governs CCSD by providing direction to the CCSD superintendent.
- 4. Cranor is, and at all times relevant hereto was, an individual residing in Clark County, Nevada. BBI is informed and believes that Cranor is, and has been since 2010, a duly elected member of the Board of Trustees to CCSD.
- 5. Those Defendants designated herein as Doe Individuals and Roe Entities are individuals and legal entities that are either liable to BBI for the claims set forth herein, or are otherwise necessary parties to the action. The true capacities and identities of such Doe Individuals and/or Roe Entities are presently unknown to BBI and, therefore, BBI sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. BBI will amend its Complaint to assert the true names and capacities of such Doe Individuals and/or Roe Entities when more information has been ascertained.
- 6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over both CCSD and Cranor based upon their presence in Nevada, the conduct giving rise to BBI's claims having occurred in Nevada, and because CCSD's obligations to BBI were undertaken within Clark County, Nevada.
- 7. Venue is proper in this Eighth Judicial District Court pursuant to the terms of a written contract executed by CCSD, and otherwise under Nevada Revised Statutes 13.010(1), 13.020(2), 13.030(1), and 13.040.

II.

# **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

# A. BBI has provided valuable services to CCSD pursuant to a Written Contract.

8. As an employee benefits consulting and insurance brokerage business, BBI provides a number of professional services to its clients, including, but not limited to, health care negotiation and benefits administration services, and strategic modeling services that quantitatively analyze and identify the best benefits at the lowest cost. BBI's performance and

expertise in the marketplace are unparalleled, particularly here in the Las Vegas community.

- At various times since 2001, BBI has provided insurance benefits consulting and insurance brokerage services to CCSD.
- 10. On or about January 4, 2011, CCSD contacted Tim DeRosa, the President of BBI ("DeRosa"), to inform him that Health Plan of Nevada ("HPN") one of CCSD's insurers asked to re-open negotiations for an upcoming contract renewal, and that CCSD desired to have BBI represent it in those negotiations.
- 11. Thereafter, BBI and CCSD negotiated a contract establishing terms for BBI's provision of services to CCSD. The terms of contract was negotiated on behalf of CCSD by its then-General Counsel, C.W. Hoffman.
- 12. On or about January 31, 2011, CCSD issued a written public announcement that it had retained the services of BBI as its "exclusive broker of record" for "all medical, dental, vision, life/accidental death and dismemberment, long term disability, short term disability, and long term care contracts held or acquired by CCSD." The announcement further provided that BBI's "designation [as CCSD's exclusive broker] shall continue in full force until CCSD or BBI terminates the designation in writing in accordance with the terms of a certain written agreement between the parties." (emphasis added).
- 13. BBI and CCSD were parties to that certain written agreement styled "Contract Between Clark County School District and Business Benefits, Inc.," dated January 31, 2011 (the "Contract"). A true and correct copy of the Contract is attached hereto as Exhibit "1" and incorporated herein by reference.
- 14. Following the execution of the Contract between BBI and CCSD, BBI acted pursuant to CCSD's direction and began reviewing opportunities to improve CCSD's position financially and to improve its employee benefits. BBI invested a significant amount of time and effort in developing updated strategic models quantitatively analyzing and identifying the best benefits at the lowest cost. Further, BBI engaged in extended negotiations with HPN and other health care providers to secure valuable multiple-year contracts.
  - 15. The insurance contracts negotiated by BBI pursuant to the Contract, together with

Gordon Silver Attorneys At Law Ninth Ftoor 960 Howard Hughos Pkwy as Vegas, Nevada 89169 (702) 796-5555 BBI's contribution to strategy and plan designs, effectively froze costs of health care at 2011 levels.

- 16. In the last round of negotiations with HPN (in the second half of 2013), at the beginning of negotiations, HPN had proposed a cost increase of 37% to CCSD employees, a one year contract and no additional plan options for employees. At the end of negotiations, estimated aggregate cost savings to CCSD and its employees (when comparing to HPN's original proposal) over the new multi-year contract that commenced January 1, 2014 are conservatively estimated to exceed \$55 million. Upon information and belief, these cost savings do not adversely affect overall quality of benefits to CCSD employees and employee choice has been increased.
- 17. In addition to negotiation of insurance contracts with terms favorable to CCSD and its employees, BBI has dedicated staff to provide advisory services to CCSD and its employees regarding benefits.

# B. CCSD has failed to act in accordance with the Contract.

# CCSD interfered with reserves dedicated to payment of premiums.

- 18. In order to provide more choice to CCSD employees regarding benefits packages at a lower aggregate cost to CCSD and the employees, BBI structured a private exchange model of delivering benefits. In order to budget for premiums to be paid by CCSD and contributions to be paid by CCSD employees over the term of the insurance contracts negotiated by BBI, BBI conducted detailed predictive models that included predictions of employee benefits package selections and projections to CCSD.
- 19. As BBI had repeatedly demonstrated accuracy in its predictive modeling, a course of dealing was established over the years where CCSD budgeted for premiums and contributions to be paid by employees based on BBI's predictive models.
- 20. In August 2011, following the same predictive modeling that BBI had historically provided to CCSD, it was determined that in order to maintain the highest possible level of benefits for the CCSD employees, an insurance reserve subsidy of approximately \$5.5 million annually was required. BBI was advised by CCSD that it had approximately \$30 million in accumulated insurance reserves, specifically earmarked for use in the delivery of health benefits,

and that those reserves could be used in funding the negotiated benefits plan, which includes employee contributions.

- 21. The CCSD employee enrollment that occurred in the fall of 2011 substantially mirrored BBI's predictions. However, in an attempt to reduce the contributions required to be paid by the CCSD employees, CCSD directed BBI to remodel the benefits plan with reduced contributions being paid from the employees. The re-model resulted in a larger subsidy requirement from CCSD's reserve account. As the negotiated insurance contract term was only three (3) years and the insurance reserves were represented to be approximately \$30 million, the additional reserve requirement for the rzeduced contribution model would not exhaust the reserves prior to the end of the contract period in December 2013.
- 22. Upon information and belief, without regard to BBI's projections and the subsidy requirements necessary to fund employee insurance premiums, CCSD used the insurance reserve funds necessary to subsidize the insurance benefits plan employee contributions to fund Education Support Employees Association ("ESEA") union member PERS retirement contributions and cost of living salary increases. Upon information and belief, the funding of retirement benefits and salaries of ESEA union members from the insurance reserves was without equal participation by other unions' members.
- 23. Upon information and belief, following discovery of a multi-million dollar deficit as a result of the use of insurance reserves' funding of ESEA employee benefits and salaries, CCSD's then-Superintendent Dwight Jones communicated that it should be "buried" in the CCSD budget. As BBI's duties were to CCSD, BBI did not interfere with CCSD's proposed solution. BBI was requested by CCSD to work to remodel the benefits plan and make up the deficit. BBI provided the requested extra-contractual work to CCSD.
- 24. Upon information and belief, CCSD's failed to disclose the true facts relating to the deficit to the Board of Trustees and other interested parties, which resulted in unfair accusations against BBI.
- 25. Upon information and belief, CCSD's new Superintendent Pat Skorkowsky and Cranor (and perhaps Does) unfairly blamed BBI for a deficit that was not BBI's fault and have

now taken affirmative action to terminate the Contract in contravention of its terms. Upon information and belief, BBI has also suffered disruption with its separate contract with the Clark County Association of School Administrators ("CCASA") and BBI's commission agreement with HPN.

## CCSD has attempted to terminate the Contract in contravention of its terms.

26. Section 8 of the Contract expressly details the duration and termination of BBI's services ("Section 8"), as follows:

Contract Term: This contract shall run concurrent with each of the insurance contracts held or acquired by CCSD during the term of this contract, or for eighteen (18) months from the Effective Date whichever is longer (the "Contract Term"). The Contract Term shall automatically renew at the end of the Contract Term for successive twelve (12) month periods (the "Extended Contract Term") unless revoked by either party hereto in writing not less than one hundred eighty (180) days prior to the end of the Contract Term or the Extended Contract Term as applicable.

(Exh. 1, § 8) (emphasis in Italics added).

- 27. Pursuant to the Contract, BBI is only paid for its services provided to CCSD through the payment of commissions from the health care benefit providers. The health care benefit providers pay BBI monthly commissions over the term of the insurance contract only so long as BBI is the contracted broker of record.
- 28. The term of the Contract described in Section 8 was critical to BBI in its negotiations of the Contract because BBI is required to expend significant time and resources to secure insurance contracts, and to be engaged in strenuous negotiations with health care providers months in advance of any finalized insurance contracts. Early termination of the Contract prior to the expiration of the term of the insurance contract(s) negotiated by BBI effectively denies BBI compensation for it services provided to CCSD.
- 29. In 2004, BBI suffered early termination of a contract with CCSD without any cause, only to be re-hired under a different administration when the insurance contracts came up for renegotiation. In order to avoid any early termination that effectively denied BBI its due compensation, during negotiations of the Contract, DeRosa communicated to CCSD the

104575-001/2300203

7

4

11

9

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

26

25

27

28

104575-001/2300203

Gordon Silver Attorneys At Law

3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy /egas, Nevada 89169 (702) 796-5555

importance that the Contract not be terminated for convenience. BBI could not afford to suffer another early termination.

- 30. In contravention of BBI's justified expectations, on or about December 31, 2013, CCSD's General Counsel, Carlos McDade, communicated CCSD's intent to terminate the Contract with an effective date of June 30, 2014 (the "Attempt to Terminate"). A true and correct copy of the Attempt to Terminate is attached hereto as Exhibit "2" and incorporated herein by reference.
- Insurance contracts negotiated by BBI under the Contract, including a contract 31. with HPN, were scheduled to conclude on December 31, 2013 (the "HPN 2013 Contract"). Pursuant to Section 8, CCSD had until June 30, 2013—180 days prior to December 31, 2013—to submit written notification to BBI informing it that CCSD was terminating the Contract. BBI did not receive any such written notification on or before June 30, 2013. Instead, CCSD provided its instructions to BBI to renegotiate the HPN 2013 Contract on behalf of CCSD and to "fix" the deficit in insurance reserve funds at the same time.
- According to CCSD's instructions, in the second half of 2013, BBI negotiated 32. new insurance contracts with HPN and various other health care service providers. During the months leading up to the December 31, 2013 conclusion of the HPN 2013 Contract, BBI was involved in intense negotiations and conducted multiple analytic portfolios to determine the best benefits at the lowest cost for CCSD and its employees.
- As a result of BBI's expenditure of significant time and effort, BBI successfully 33. negotiated a new, three-year insurance contract with HPN, commencing January 1, 2014 and concluding December 31, 2016 (the "HPN 2016 Contract").
- Pursuant to Section 8 of the Contract, the Contract term runs concurrently with 34. the HPN 2016 Contract, which is set to expire on December 31, 2016.
- Pursuant to Section 8 of the Contract, any written notification by CCSD 35. attempting to terminate the Contract that is received on or before June 30, 2016 (including the Attempt to Terminate) is not effective until December 31, 2016.
  - As CCSD cannot effectively terminate the Contract without cause prior to 36.

December 31, 2016, CCSD's Attempt to Terminate does not comply with Section 8 of the

1

- 45. Without regard to the terms of the Contract, HPN requested CCSD's acknowledgement that it had been notified that BBI's commission rate was changed from 0.75% to 2.25% as a condition of payment.
- 46. BBI contacted CCSD in order to secure the extra-contractual acknowledgment that BBI had informed CCSD of the new commission rate. In response to BBI's request, CCSD refused to provide the requested acknowledgement and has consequently prevented, and continues to prevent, BBI from receiving the new normalized commission.
- 47. The Contract does not afford CCSD the ability to negotiate BBI commission rates, or to use such rates as leverage in its attempt to wrongfully terminate the Contract.
- 48. Notably, Section 15 does not require BBI's commission rate to be contingent upon any acknowledgement or agreement by CCSD; rather, the language merely requires that BBI "shall not invoice the District for its fees," and that any payment BBI receives is to come directly from the health care providers with whom it negotiates.
- 49. BBI is being arbitrarily and unfairly denied fair compensation for its services provided pursuant to the Contract.

### D. Cranor is interfering with the Contract.

- 50. In addition to CCSD's breach of the Contract and its interference with BBI's agreement with HPN for commissions, Cranor, the acting president to the Board of Trustees to CCSD, has also acted inappropriately.
- 51. Upon information and belief, on or about October 14, 2013, Cranor communicated to the CCSD Superintendent Pat Skorkowsky that the Contract is "unfavorable to the district" and that having BBI negotiate the HPN 2016 Agreement would "limit both our ability to sever the existing unfavorable contract, and to limit our options going forward with a plan that includes the CCEA [Clark County Education Association] employee group."
- 52. Upon information and belief, Cranor's communications to Superintendant Skorkowsky and others with regard to BBI resulted in CCASA's termination of their contract with BBI.
  - 53. Upon information and belief, despite that Cranor knew (or should have known)

1	
2	
3	0
4	
5	
6	-
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	۱
21	
22	
23	
24	

that the Contract could not be terminated for convenience and that its term ran concurrent with any insurance contract held by CCSD, Cranor advocated to CCSD Superintendent (separate and apart from the other members of the Board of Trustees) that CCSD terminate the Contract without cause as set forth in the wrongful Attempt to Terminate.

### III.

### **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

# FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Breach of Contract—CCSD)

- 54. BBI incorporates the allegations contained in the paragraphs above, and below, as if fully set forth herein.
- 55. On or about January 31, 2014, BBI and CCSD executed a valid and existing contract that memorializes the consulting and brokering services of BBI on behalf of CCSD.
- 56. BBI fully performed under the terms of the Contract by successfully negotiating a number of multiple-year insurance contracts with various health care providers, including, multiple-year contracts with HPN.
- 57. Section 8 of the Contract requires that CCSD may only terminate the Contract without cause upon written notification of the same not less than 180 days before the expiration of the Contract Term.
- 58. CCSD breached the Contract by submitting an untimely written notification and prematurely terminating the contract in advance of the December 31, 2016 terminate date.
- 59. BBI has expended considerable attorneys' fees and costs in its attempts to address the wrongful Attempt to Terminate, which are damages as a result of CCSD's breach of the Contract.
- 60. CCSD breached the Contract by taking action to deny BBI just compensation for its services provided thereunder.
- 61. As a proximate result of the actions of CCSD, BBI has suffered damages in excess of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00), a specific amount to be determined upon proof.

111

25

26

27

28

# SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Contractual Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing – CCSD)

- 62. BBI incorporates the allegations contained in the paragraphs above, and below, as if fully set forth herein.
- 63. On or about January 31, 2014, BBI and CCSD executed a valid and existing contract that memorializes the consulting and brokering services of BBI on behalf of CCSD.
- 64. Every written contract in Nevada has an implied duty of good faith and fair dealing, which essentially forbids arbitrary, unfair acts by one party that disadvantage the other.
- 65. CCSD breached its duty of good faith and fair dealing by performing in a manner that was unfaithful to the purpose of the Contract.
- 66. BBI's justified expectations under the Contract were denied when CCSD submitted its written notification to BBI attempting to prematurely terminate the Contract and when CCSD interfered with BBI's right to payment of commissions from HPN, thereby precluding BBI from being fairly compensated for the work performed.
- 67. As a proximate result of the actions of CCSD, BBI has suffered damages in excess of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00), a specific amount to be determined upon proof.
- 68. As a result of the conduct of CCSD as described herein, BBI has been required to retain the services of an attorney and is entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs as an element of its damages.

# THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Unjust Enrichment – CCSD)

- 69. BBI incorporates the allegations contained in the paragraphs above, and below, as if fully set forth herein.
- 70. BBI expended considerable time and resources over an extended period of time to successfully negotiate and secure multiple-year insurance contracts with various service providers. Those insurance contracts enriched CCSD and its membership with rich health care benefits at significantly lower costs than historically offered by the insurers.
  - 71. CCSD appreciated the benefit of BBI's services at the same time it denied BBI its

23

24

25

26

27

28

due compensation.

- 72. CCSD's conduct to effectively deny BBI due compensation for services provided violates the fundamental principles of justice, equity and good conscience.
- 73. As a direct and proximate result of CCSD's actions, BBI has suffered damages in excess of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00), a specific amount to be determined upon proof.
- 74. As a result of the conduct of CCSD as described herein, BBI has been required to retain the services of an attorney and is entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs as an element of its damages.

# FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Intentional Interference with Existing Contractual Relations and Prospective Economic Advantage – CCSD)

- 75. BBI incorporates the allegations contained in the paragraphs above, and below, as if fully set forth herein.
- 76. CCSD is clearly aware that under the Contract, BBI's only source of payment for the services it has provided to CCSD is to receive payment in the form of commissions from HPN.
- 77. CCSD intended to harm or disrupt BBI's relationship with HPN by failing to acknowledge that CCSD was informed of the commission rate to be paid to BBI and that the commission would be paid from premiums.
- 78. CCSD had no justification for its conduct, as CCSD agreed that BBI's sole compensation for services provided pursuant to the Contract were to be received from commissions and CCSD did not insist on any commission rate approval requirement as a term of the Contract.
- 79. As a proximate result of CCSD's actions, BBI has suffered damages in excess of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00), a specific amount to be determined upon proof.
- 80. As CCSD's conduct was willful, malicious and/or oppressive, punitive damages should be awarded in an amount sufficient to deter such conduct in the future.
- 81. As a result of the conduct of CCSD as described herein, BBI has been required to retain the services of an attorney and is entitled to an award reasonable attorney's fees and costs

24

25

26

27

28

104575-001/2300203

7

10 11

12

14

15

13

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

Gordon Silver

Attorneys At Law Ninth Floor

(702) 796-5555

as an element of damages.

## FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Intentional Interference with Existing Contractual Relations and Prospective Economic Advantage - Cranor)

- 82. BBI incorporates the allegations contained in the paragraphs above, and below, as if fully set forth herein.
- 83. BBI is a party to a Contract with CCSD. Further, there exists a valid contract between BBI and HPN regarding BBI's compensation for its services rendered.
- 84. Upon information and belief, Cranor is fully aware and has knowledge about BBI's contractual relationship with CCSD as evident in a October 14, 2013 written correspondence with CCSD Superintendent Skorkowsky; there, Cranor referenced CCSD's "current contract with the broker (BBI)."
- 85. Upon information and belief, Cranor also discussed BBI in a closed door session with Superintendent Skorkowsky. Upon information and belief, Cranor has taken action for the purpose of disrupting BBI's contractual relationship with CCSD. Cranor expressed to the CCSD Superintendent her interest in "sever[ing] the existing" contract.
- 86. Upon information and belief, Cranor acted in concert with CCSD (and possible Roes and Doe Entities) for the purpose of severing any relationship with BBI that existed inconsistent with Cranor's plan for a self-funded district-wide benefits plan that included the CCEA.
- 87. Upon information and belief, Cranor knew that CCASA would be required to terminate its contract with BBI in furtherance of her goal for a self-funded district-wide benefits plan.
- 88. Upon further information and belief, CCASA's termination of its contract with BBI was a result of Cranor's actions.
- 89. As a proximate result of Cranor's actions, BBI has suffered damages in excess of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00), a specific amount to be determined upon proof.
- 90. As Cranor's conduct was willful, malicious and/or oppressive, punitive damages should be awarded in an amount sufficient to deter such conduct in the future.

Gordon Silver Attorneys At Law Ninth Floor 3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 (702) 796-5555

10

9

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

2627

~ ·

28

# WHEREFORE, BBI prays for relief as follows

- 1. For an award of compensatory damages against Defendants, jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of \$10,000, with a specific amount to be determined at the time of trial;
- For an award of exemplary and punitive damages against Defendants, jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of \$10,000, with a specific amount to be determined at the time of trial.
  - 3. For an award to BBI of its attorney's fees and costs.
  - 4. For an award of pre-and post-judgment interest;
- 5. For the Court to construe the Contract under the Uniform Declaratory Relief Act and decree that the Attempt to Terminate violates Section 8 of the Contract; and
  - For such other and further relief that the Court deems just and equitable.

    Dated this 2 Xday of May 2014.

GORĐON SILVER

ENHKAPIKE TURNER
Nevada Bar No. 6454
ELIAS P. GEORGE
Nevada Bar No. 12379
3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy., 9th Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
(702) 796-5555
Attorneys for Plaintiff

# **EXHIBIT 1**

**EXHIBIT** 1

# CONTRACT BETWEEN CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT And BUSINESS BENEFITS, INC.

THIS contract is between Business Benefits, Inc. (hereinafter called the "Supplier"), having an office at 9900 Covington Cross Drive, Suite 210, Las Vegas, Nevada 89144-6837, and the Clark County School District (hereinafter called "CCSD", "Owner" or "District"), having an office at 4212 Eucalyptus Avenue, Bldg. #7, Las Vegas, Nevada 89121. The Effective Date of this contract shall be January 31, 2011.

WHEREAS, the District has determined that the services of the Supplier herein specified are deemed both necessary, desirable, and in the best interests of the District.

WHEREAS, the Supplier represents that it is duly qualified and able to render the services as hereinafter described:

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the aforesaid premises, the parties mutually agree as follows:

- Amendment: No amendment or modification of the contract shall be doesned effective unless and
  until it is executed in writing by the parties hereto. The only officer with authority to execute an
  amendment to this contract is Jeff Weiler, the Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") of the District.
- Applicable Law, Jurisdiction and Venue: This contract shall be construed and interpreted according to the laws of the State of Nevada. The venus of any action brought hereunder shall be Clark County, Nevada.
- Assignments: The Supplier shall neither assign, transfer nor delegate any rights, obligations or duties under the contract without the prior written consent of the District.
- Attorneys Feest The parties agree that in the event of a dispute, each party will bear its own cost of litigation and attorneys' fees.
- Compliance with Applicable Laws: Supplier shall comply with all applicable statutes, laws, ordinances, codes, order rules, regulations, proclamations, and other governmental requirements, all the aforementioned provisions are incorporated by reference.
- 6. Confidential Information in the event the Supplier is furnished with or otherwise has access to confidential District information, while fulfilling its responsibilities under the terms of this agreement, the Supplier shall agree to hold such information in strict confidence and shall take all reasonable precautions to maintain the confidentiality of the information. The Supplier shall exercise the same degree of care and protection that would be exercised with respect to its own confidential information. The Supplier shall only use and access confidential information as necessary to fulfill its obligations under this contract, including without limitation providing confidential information to insurance earners necessary for them to respond to requests for proposals. The Supplier shall not directly or indirectly disclose, sell, copy, distribute, reproduce, transfer, display, modify, create derivative works from, demonstrate, or allow any third party to have access to any of the District's confidential information which may include, but not be limited to: student academic records, student medical records, student photographs, budgetary information,



7. Conflict of Interest/Nepotlam: Pursuant to Regulation 3312, the District will not enter into a purchase transaction for an amount in excess of \$1,000 with any person related within the third degree of consanguinity or affinity to a member of the Board of School Trustees, or to a person acting as the authorizing official for the District, except as fully disclosed and authorized in advance. Authorizing official means a person who has final authority to administer budgets, or his/her designee.

The Supplier must disclose any relationship or affinity with a member of the Board of School Trustees or an authorizing official in writing to an Administrator of the Purchasing Department prior to executing this contract. Failure to properly disclose a relationship will result in termination of the contract and may jeopardize the Supplier's future business dealings/apportunities with the District.

- 6. Contract Term: This contract shall run concurrent with each of the insurance contracts held or acquired by CCSD during the term of this contract, or for eighteen (18) months from the Effective Date whichever is longer (the "Contract Term"). The Contract Term shall automatically renew at the end of the Contract Term for successive tweive (12) month periods (the "Extended Contract Term") unless revoked by either party hereto in writing not less than one hundred eighty (180) days prior to the end of the Contract Term or the Extended Contract Term as applicable. Notwithstanding the above, CCSD shall have the right to terminate this contract pursuant to the terms of Section 9 below.
- 9. Centract Termination: In the event the Supplier fails to substantially perform in accordance with the statement of work set forth on Attachment A and/or does not adhere to any of the provisions of this agreement, the District shall provide written notification to the Supplier detailing its performance deficiencies. Said notice shall provide the Supplier forty-five (45) calendar days to remedy its deficiencies. Should the Supplier fail to remedy the same within said period, the District shall have the unilateral right to terminate this agreement, without penalty.
- 10. Controversies and Claims Subject to Arbitration: In the event of a dispute Jeff Weiler, the CFO of the District will schedule a meeting with the Supplier to attempt to mediate and resolve any autstanding claims or disputes prior to initiating a request for arbitration (or commencing litigation). If through good faith efforts the matter is not resolved within founces (14) working days after the scheduled meeting, the parties may agree to continue mediation or may decide to proceed with the formal resolution process set forth herein.

Formal Dispute: In the event that mediation is unsuccessful, the controversy or claim arising out of or related to the Agreement, or the breach thereof, shall be settled by arbitration, unless the District, at its sole option, rejects arbitration by so notifying the Supplier. If the District rejects arbitration, the Supplier shall have thirty (30) days from the date of the receipt of the notice of rejection to commence litigation(s) by the service of a summons and complaint upon the District. Failure to effect service upon the District within said time period shall set as a bar to litigation of the claim, which was the subject of the request for arbitration. If the matter is orbitrated, the rules of the American Arbitration Association shall apply. Judgment on such awards may be entered by Nevada courts. The parties agree that no attorneys' fees may be awarded by any arbitrator to any party or sub-tier party that arise out of or relate to any and all claims and other disputes pertaining to the Agreement (in any case)

- 11. Cooling Off Period: The District may not enter into any contract, agreement, or consulting arrangement directly or indirectly with any employee or former employee for a period of one year from the date of termination of employment other than as a member of a bargaining unit or as a substitute employee, unless, (1) there is full disclosure of the nature and extent of the employee or former employee's interest in the matter, and (2) the contract is noticed and acted upon in an open session before the Trustees and approved by a majority of the Trustees.
- 12. Entire Agreement: This contract constitutes the entire agreement between the parties.

- 13. Expenses: In the event that the District agrees to pay the Supplier's expenses directly related to this work the following parameters shall apply: no overhead and/or profit shall be permitted, Supplier shall only receive reimbursement in amounts that are consistent with applicable travel guidelines established by District policy, regulations and procedures for its own employees. Reporting of expenses shall be submitted on an approved form along with invoices for all itemized charges.
- 14. Extra Work: The District reserves the right to request the expansion of the Supplier's scope of work to perform related services and/or issue amendments to commission an expanded scope of work for related services without invalidating the remaining terms and conditions of this contract; provided however, no extra work shall be required to Supplier without the written consent of the Supplier.
- 15. Fee Schedule: Supplier shall not invoice the District for its fees; provided however, Supplier may invoice the District for third party services (e.g., actuarial costs, legal fees) if such third party services have been approved in writing by the District.
- Gratuities: In accordance with District Policy 3316, District employees are prohibited from accepting any gratuity (including food or beverages) from Supplier or prospective Suppliers.
- 17. Headings: Paragraph/section headings, numbers and alphabetical designations have been inserted for convenience of reference only. If there is any conflict between any such designations and the text of this agreement, the text shall take precedence.
- 18. Indemnification: Each of the parties hereto agree to protect, defend, indemnify and hold harmless the other party hereto, and its officers, employees and agents, from and against all liability, claims, demands and expenses, including court costs and attorney fees, on account of any injury, loss or damage, which arises out of the work to be performed under this contract, if such injury, loss or damage is due to the breach of this contract by such party, or any officer, employee or agent of such party.
- 19. Insurance: The Supplier shall be responsible for maintaining insurance coverage in force for the life of the contract. The insurance company(ies) must have an A.M. Best rating of A-VI or better and be licensed to write such insurance in the State of Nevada. Prior to commencement of services provide the District certificate(s) of insurance verifying the coverage. The insurance carrier shall give the District a thirty (30) days written advance notice of any termination, expiration or any and all changes in coverage. Deductibles and self-insurance retentions shall be declared in the certificate(s) of insurance. All deductibles and retentions are the sole responsibility of the Supplier to pay.

Certificates shall verify the following coverages:

Statutory Workers Compensation, and Employers Liability, with limits no less than \$1 million, and providing Clark County School District with a waiver of subrogation.

Commercial General Liability Insurance, including contractual liability in accordance with the indemnification requirements in above paragraph, and including bodily injury, personal injury, and property damage, with limits of at least \$1,000,000 per occurrence. The general liability insurance shall name the Clark County School District as an additional insured.

Professional Liability (Errors & Omissions) with minimum limits of at least \$1,000,000 per occurrence. The insurance required above may be provided under primary policies or by a combination of primary and excess policies.

a. Reference your certificate of insurance to the Clark County School District, Attention: Purchasing Department, 4212 Eucalyptus Avenue, Bldg. #7, Las Vegas, NV 89121, and indicate the PS number.

- 20. Intellectual Property: It is understood and agreed that the information, materials, processes, and advice used or provided by Supplier for the benefit of CCSD and/or for the purpose of acquiring insurance is considered highly proprietary and confidential by Supplier. It is intended only for the use of CCSD. Any dissemination, distribution or copy of aforementioned proprietary information is strictly prohibited.
- No Third Party Rights: This contract is made for the benefit of the District and the Supplier, and not for any outside party.
- 22. Non-Endorsement: As a result of the selection of the Supplier to furnish services, the District is neither endorsing nor suggesting that the Supplier's service is the best or only solution. The Supplier may make reference to the District, in any literature, promotional material brochures, sales representations, or the like.
- 23. Notices: Any notice required to be given under this contract or any amendments thereof shall be sent by certified mail to the parties at the following addresses:

c: Clark County School District
Attn: Jeff Weiler, Chief Financial Officer
4212 Eucalyptus Ave., Btdg. #7
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121
Phone: (702) 799-5225 ext. \$462

and

To: Business Henefits, Inc.
Atm: Tim DeRoss, President
9900 Covington Cross Drive, Suite 204
Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
Phone: (702) 255-0092

- Records: The books, recards and documents of the Supplier relevant to this contract shall be subject to inspection, examination and/or making excerpts or transcripts by the District or its designee.
- 25. Relationship: The parties agree that the Supplier is an independent contractor; the Supplier is not a District employee. There shall be no withholding of income taxes by the District, industrial insurance coverage provided by the District, participation in group insurance plans which may be available to employees of the District, participation or contributions by either the Supplier or the District to the public employees retirement system, accumulation of vacation leave or sick leave, nor unemployment compensation coverage provided by the District.
- 26. Scope of Work: As CCSD's exclusive broker of record, the Supplier will provide the services set forth on Attachment "A." CCSD hereby agrees to execute broker of record letters substantially as set forth on Attachment "B" as it may be reasonably modified by the Supplier. All work shall be done in a good and workmanlike manner and in accordance with industry standards. All Supplier personnel assigned to this project shall be fully qualified and legally able to perform the work.
- 27. Severability: It is mutually agreed that all of the terms, covenants, provisions and agreements contained herein are severable and that, in the event any of them shall be held to be invalid by a competent court or arbitrator, this contract shall be interpreted as if such invalid term, covenant, provision or agreement were not contained herein.
- Subcontracting: No work may be subcontracted without the prior written approval of the District, which shall not be unreasonably withheld.

- 29. Taxes: The District is a political subdivision of the State of Nevada and under the provisions of NRS 372.325 is exempt from payment of Sales and Use Tax (Tax Identification Number is 88-6000030). The prices bid must be nex, exclusive of taxes.
- 30. Title and Risk of Loss: The title and risk of loss of material or service shall not pass to the District until material is delivered to the specified location, quantities are verified, and the material is inspected for damage or service is completed as specified.
- 31. Waiver: No waiver or any breach of this contract or any of the terms or conditions hereof shall be held to be a waiver of any other subsequent breach; nor shall any waiver be valid or binding unless the same shall be in writing and signed by the party alleged to have granted the waiver.
- 32. Warranty: Supplier warrants that the work shall be performed with that degree of skill, eare, and judgment customarily accepted as sound and quality practice and procedure. Supplier further warrants that the work fulfills the requirements and intent of the entire contractual agreement inclusive of the Supplier's proposal. If the work fails to meet the aforementioned criteria and/or is in the reasonable judgment of the District to be inadequate the Supplier shall re-perform the work or portion that is unsatisfactory. The Supplier shall be liable for all costs and expenses incurred in the performance of corrective work and services, inclusive of travel, per dien, etc. The District agrees to meet with the Supplier, (if requested) on an as needed basis to monitor the effectiveness of ongoing work and notify the Supplier of deficiencies within a reasonable time of discovery.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this contract to be signed and intend to be legally bound thereby.

CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

By:

Print Name: Jeff Weiler

Title: Chief Firancial officer

Date: John 31,2911

SUPPLIER: BUSINESS BENEFITS, INC.

Print Name: TIM DaRasa

Title: Firs Jan +

### "ATTACHMENT A"

### **BUSINESS BENEFITS, INC.**

#### **LIST OF SERVICES**

As the Clark County School District's ("CCSD") exclusive broker of record for all of CCSD's Medical, Dental, Vision, Life/AD&D, Long Term Disability, Short Term Disability and Long Term Care Insurance, we add value to the program with services we provide and advice with regard to the plan design. We assist In, or directly perform the following services in connection with the Benefits program:

- Collaborate to identify the coverage and service needs of the clients' demographics. Examples:
  - 1. MA plans if population includes that demographic
  - 2. Advise on network size/network overlays
  - 3. In house administration or TPA
  - 4. Exchange model vs. traditional
- Identify the existence and availability of coverage's and services geared toward accommodating the unique needs of the clients demographics
  - 1. RFP process
- Collaborate to develop and apply criteria to evaluate the coverage's and services that would be advisable for inclusion in the benefits program.
- Seek out and identify carriers that offer comparable coverage's and services to those identified.
- Provide Carrier evaluation data i.e. financial ratings, membership, Network capacity and geographic coverage.
- Remain close to the insurers to pre-empt the "surprise" Summerlin closure that many people experienced.
- Assist client in understanding the constituent impact of the types of coverage's and services that have been identified and are available.
- Collaborate with client in developing project plans to evaluate and implement a program that
  offers the coverage's and services identified.

- Collaborate with client in developing and communications plan to roll-out a program that offers the coverage's and services available through all participating insurers and compare.
- Assist client in the implementation of the communications plan to roll-out the coverage's and services available through participating insurers and compare.
- Develop and submit a Request for Proposal (RFP) to insurance carriers for the coverage's and services 180 days prior to renewal. Require carrier participation for above.
- Assist client by reviewing and summarizing carrier responses to the Request for Proposal (RFP) requests for the coverage's and services identified and requested.
- Assist in ongoing Contract and amendment review. ACA and State mandates.
- Assist client by attending enrollment meetings, plan administration advice, monitoring, and member support, including assistance with accessing healthcare providers and claims resolution when needed.
- Billing Resolution, maintain records of all contracts, amendments, endorsements and renewals.
- Attend trustee/management meetings if applicable
- Assist client in insurer communication review/plan changes/mandates etc. Maintain relationships with carriers, to help expedite claims and management of care resolutions, such as step therapy, prior authorization issues and special consideration.
- Identifying special client benefits needs and services not provided by insurers. Assist in Early Retirement Reimbursement Program if required.
- Provide comprehensive HR advice, legislative and regulatory compliance tools, customized employer and employee communications via customized online portal as needed. Daily if necessary.
- Resources for COBRA, ARRA, HIPAA, HIPAA Privacy, Section 125 and FMLA questions.
- Ongoing compliance assistance with state and federal mandates.

# **EXHIBIT 2**

**EXHIBIT 2** 



## K 100 WEST SAHARA AVENUE • LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89146 • TELEPHONE (702) 799-5000

# CLARK COUNTY

# SCHOOL DISTRICT

Via Facsimile 383-8845 and U.S. Mail

BOARD OF SCHOOL TRUSTEES

Carolyn Edwards, President Lorraine Alderman, Vice President Deanna L. Wright, Clerk Erin E. Cranor, Member Chris Garvey, Member Patrice Tew, Member Dr. Linda E. Young, Member

Par Skorkowsky, Superintendent

December 31, 2013

Rory J. Reid, Esq. Lionel Sawyer & Collins 300 S. Fourth Street, #1700 Las Vegas, NV 89101

Dear Mr. Reid:

Please consider this letter to be notification of how the District would like to proceed with its contractual relationship with Business Benefits, Inc.

In accordance with the Contract Between Clark County School District and Business Benefits, Inc., Section 8, the District provides this one hundred eighty (180) day written notice of its revocation of the automatic renewal of the Contract.

The District values the services performed by Business Benefits, Inc. and thanks Mr. DeRosa for his service to our employees. While the District is not interested in automatic renewal of this contract, the District is fully open to the possibility of continuing a business relationship with Business Benefits, Inc. under different contract terms.

Sincerely.

Carlos L. McDade General Counsel

clm/eh

RECEIVED

LON 0 8 2014

R.J.R.